Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2005-09 - 2005-10

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-09-01 through 2005-10-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,739

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 2

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 00:08:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <RDrRe.5948$cg.4966@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 117827

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125530533.623588.294190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
> all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
> on water while the hams walk on water...:-)
>

Of course not Len. Not everything survives; the portable and mobile stuff will survive far better than the cellphone towers, police towers and even amateur towers. The smaller towers (usually amateur), however, do have the one advantage of a much smaller windload to carry.

The biggest difference might just lie in understanding how things work. A friend of mine with a construction company told me what happened to another construction firm owner. He had his guys working close to Lake Ontario at the bottom of a hill. It ended up they would have to work very late to get the job finished so he told his men to go ahead and use his cellphone to call their wives and let them know they would be running late (this was some years ago). Amateur radio would have run into the same problem except that when you use amateur radio and can only connect to an Ontario repeater (in Canada), you know it by the Morse id sent by the repeater and there is, of course, no charge to connect to Canada.

The guy received a long distance phone bill the next month for nearly $1,000.00!!! Cellphones simply connect to whatever they can. As far as the telephone company was concerned, he was in Canada calling long-distance to the U.S. It is up to the user to learn :))

I've been involved in exactly two emergency situations. One was on ssb with Hans K0HB and the other was on 500 KHz. Yes, the cw is old, but the situation involving Hans can happen at any time. Amazingly, that huge coast guard tower did *not* survive the typhoon. An hf amateur rig could load a chain link fence and provide reliable communications.

So, do tell us your experiences with emergency communications and why you know that amateur radio will always (or never) float.

With all due regards,
Jim AA2QA

2. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 00:12:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <dIrRe.1506$Xo3.181@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117828

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:u7vbh157u423pacehnmhfssacajc5itcmk@4ax.com...
> On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
> <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
> >and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
> >communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
> >displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
> >phone subband.
> >
> >This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
> >are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
> >physical infrastructure to function.
>
>
> I guess that means CB radios aren't working either, huh?
>
>

If a large area is devestated, one just might need a couple hundred mile range 24/7. Even battery powered HTs through a repeater can get you 30 to 100 miles total between users. Hf rigs can supply you continuous coverage 24/7 from local to thousands of miles. You just select an appropriate frequency (ranges of a few decades in frequency may be involved here).

There may be a lot more cbs, but if you can only get 10 or 20 miles and you keep receiving skip from other stations far away running power ....

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 00:43:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125535411.720807.166410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117829

Dee Flint wrote:
> BEGIN QUOTE
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125497368.007345.63060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > >
> > >
> > > KØHB wrote:
> cut
> > > No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
> > > Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
> > >
> >
> > With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
> > have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers
> > available
> > to teach those wish to learn.
>
> What? is there a part of the NPRM I missed like carting off the Code
> users to some kind of death camp?
>
> The ending of code testing will not reduce the number of code using
> hams.
>
> Time may do that though the actions of the grim reaper and the failure
> of Code users to recruit others to replace them, but I doubt that will
> kill CW USE or learning of the mode
>
> Further with computers and programs in existance today any one that
> wishes to make the attempt to learn Morse Code has the tools to do so
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> END QUOTE
>
> We could easily become short of code elmers as I know a number of people who
> taught it only out of a sense of duty to the incoming amateurs.

Well again this says a lot about the mode if it is truely that
vulernable

But when the student is ready the teacher will appear.

>
> There will of course be people who continue to learn it and use it.
>
> However what we will lose are those people who choose to never try it but
> would like it if they did. Some will fall prey to the "obsolete" argument.
> Others will think that it is "too hard" and so on.

and you will stop losing those that are put off by by having to learn
it, but the ARS is not a Morse Code welfare system, it never should
have been but it certainly ends here

Morse Code will simply have to compete on a level feild rather than one
in which it has built advantage

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 00:45:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125535550.673723.72030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117830

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1125501785.468906.76550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> >> I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
> >> better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
> >> permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
> >> test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
> >> Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
> >
> > Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
> > shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
> > recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
> > already.
> >
>
> You know, I'd object to a ham trying to read charts and bandplans while they
> are driving. It's just as bad as reading a newspaper or trying to shave.
> So I say yes they should at least be required to know from memory where at
> least the regulations allow them to be.

why when they can program there rigs to know it in many cases

>
> The read the chart/bandplan concept has the underlying assumption that one
> will be operating from a fixed location with access to reference materials.

You are simply wrong there nothing about a fixed location is assumed
that one prepares for operation is assumed

Most hams would assume the would carry a log book with them and the rig
so a page or 5 is charts

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5. Re: It would be funny, if it was not so sad...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 00:50:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <NfsRe.1510$Xo3.1264@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 117831

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:GoGdnUVSHfUHgojeRVn-qQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:A19Re.5858$cg.4051@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:-uWdnZ2dnZ1xsS71nZ2dnQuSiN6dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> news:4D7Re.1398$Xo3.741@news01.roc.ny...
> >> >
> >> > <eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> > news:1125440144.058256.286570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> All,
> >> >>
> >> >> I am a somewhat younger person (43 yrs) and I still see a use for
CW.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> 1) Since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
squeeze
> >> >> it in between massive amounts of QRM on the 40 meter band and still
> >> >> manage to get heard.
> >> >>
> >> >> 2) Again, since it has the narrowest bandwidth of any mode, you can
> >> >> get more kilometers per watt out of the signal... basically you get
> >> >> reach the furtherest across the world with it.
> >> >>
> >> >> 3) It is the only digital mode that does not require computer
> >> >> machinery to interpret.
> >> >>
> >> >> 4) It the mode that requiring the simplest of equipment to
implement.
> >> >> Worst case, one could use crystal oscillator and a crystal receiver
to
> >> >> make a contact one state away. Try that with SSB or packet!
> >> >>
> >> >> To me, CW is to communication as a lightsaber is to combat: both
are
> >> >> archaic, elegant, and extremely effective ways of getting your point
> >> >> made. There might not be Jedi in this galaxy, but in my mind CW
> >> >> operation is the next best thing.
> >> >>
```

> >> >> The Eternal Squire
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > CW is not the narrowest bandwidth mode; currently, psk-31 is. With
> >> > something like a 32 Hz bandwidth, cw pales in comparison with its'
100
> > to
> >> > 200 Hz bandwidth.
> >> >
> >>
> >> However CW is the lowest power consumption mode. All the other digital
> >> modes require a computer and monitor. The latter two combined can
> >> require
> >> 250watts to 350 watts even before adding in the transmitter
requirements.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > An excellent point, Dee
> >
> > Of course, if your computer is a Cray .....
> > Just plug into your nearest substation LOL.
> >
> > A good point and well taken. Then again, RTTY does not necessarily
> > require
> > a computer. Anyone have a model 28 ASR? :)))
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
>
> Curiosity prompts me to ask how much power that drew?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Hello, Dee

I have no idea, but they certainly were large and made quite a bit of racket
:)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

6. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 01:16:40 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125537400.914206.238640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117832

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed 31 Aug 2005 13:23

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > >> Why are there written exams with questions on electronics for
>> > > >> those who chose not to build their radios?
>> > > >
>> > > > Indeed I ask why there are so many question about
>> > > > electronics on the
>> > > > tech pool as I try to teach them to my partner.
>> > >
>> > > Same old tired analogy.
>> >
>> > It's a valid question, Bill. And I'm not the only
>> > one asking it.
>>
>> no it isn't
>> but no you are not the only one using this red herring
>
>Except it's not a red herring.

True, and gutted and scaled, tossed into a frying pan with
potatoes, it makes a TERRIBLE "sill och potatis" for
Scandinavians. Totally tasteless. :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk, all that paranoic mumbling about "WE GONNA
LOSE THE WRITTEN TESTS!" is really only bullsnit
MISDIRECTION to avoid discussing the ONLY subject of
NPRM 05-143, the elimination of the morse code test.

TRY to stay focussed. Show a good image.

>> > > PLEASE...if you want electronics taken
>> > > off the written test, then say so.
>> >
>> > I don't. In fact I think there should be *more* in-depth
>> > electronics testing on the exams. And I'll take the new
>> > exams myself if needed.
>>
>> WHY?
>
>Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
>that I can't pass myself.

Congratulations on joining the NEC Question Pool Committee!

What a wonderful surprise for all. I'm sure you've gone
and taken every single new written test as it was generated
by the VEC QPC and passed by the FCC!

Er, you HAVE taken each new written test element as it has
come out, haven't you?

>> > Worse, it proposed to remove *all* regulations questions from
>> > the test for the new entry-level license, and instead just
>> > require a signed statement that the licensee had read and
>> > understood the rules.
>>
>> not a bad idea given the way even the extras don't seem to
>> agree on
>> what the rules say.
>>
>> But an entry license without the ability to anything but shelf > gear
>> limited power etc
>
>That's what NCVEC wanted...

The NCVEC didn't get ALL it wanted, did it? Neither did eight
other Petitions which were GRANTED IN PART. Seven other
Peitioners were just DENIED. Denied as in Defunct. :-)

The NCVEC Petition is RM-10870. Did you Comment on it?
[it didn't take down my CD which has all of that to look]

If you didn't Comment on it, that's your tough luck. The
Commission left open plenty of time to file your Comment.
The Commission doesn't leave everything open to re-argue and
re-re-argue and re-re-re-argue matters which you love to do.

>> we will always see the tug between getting folks in the door
>> and the keep em out with hard tests
>
>Thank you, Mark - you've just proved my point!

What "point" are you tring to push into folks?

>For some folks (like Mark) it's not about the code test
>in isolation. It's about "hard" tests in general - written,
>code, practical, whatever.

For most of the morsemen, they reach into their creels,
yank out those smelly red herrings and yell, "SEE? I
told you!" as if it were some "new discovery."

There are only TWO kinds of tests required by the FCC:
Manual International Morse Code proficiency (test element
1) and the written, multiple-choice tests (test elements
2 through 4). There haven't been any others in the FCC
regulations...no "practical" (whatever they are) and no

"whatever" (whatever the whatevers were).

>Did you read the "21st Century" paper? I wrote a detailed
>rebuttal.

I'm sure it will be regarded as a Landmark Paper, right
up there with Shannon, Nyquist, and others...?

<snip>

>There was a time, not so long ago, when if someone had suggested
>a nocodetest amateur license, they would have been told it was a
>"red herring" and "something FCC would never consider".

How about in 1912? Before the FRC added the code test? :-)

Note: The FCC would never have considered it in 1912. Not
only that the FCC was never considered in 1912!

Now look where we are! :-)

>Now look where we are.

We are in the year 2005, 71 years after the FCC was created
and - FINALLY - seeing the very distinct possibility of
ending the old, archaic, outmoded, unneccessary code test
for the U.S. amateur radio service (a HOBBY activity done
for personal recreation).

>The trend isn't just towards less code testing, but to less
>testing overall.

Nah. It's a "trend" to more and more very sour whine
pressed from old grapes by morsemen. Grapes old and
whithered on the vine, ones that should have been picked
and processed as raisins long ago...with all the other
dried fruit.

Of course, you are free as anyone to FILE YOUR OWN PETITION
with the FCC...to make written testing waaayyyyy tough to
match YOUR CONCEPT of the amateur radio service licensing.
BTASE (But That's Another Subject Entirely). It isn't part
of NPRM 05-143, never was.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

7. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 01:24:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125537846.948601.323090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117833

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
cut
> Thank you, Mark - you've just proved my point!
>

> For some folks (like Mark) it's not about the code test
> in isolation. It's about "hard" tests in general - written,
> code, practical, whatever.

Now you are simply lying Jim

I want a test that covers the need of the FCC and US Govt need for
there purposes wether that is harder or easier I don't realy care

But No I don't believe the test should amout to S&M as advocated by
Stevie and to some extent by you

8. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 01:26:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125537962.720708.290130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117834

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1125501785.468906.76550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> >> I actually agree that memorizing band edges is a waste. Far
> >> better to have a schart of the bands, beand edges and
> >> permitted uses and then ask questions which have the
> >> test taker use the chart as a resourse to answer questions.
> >> Band edges are dynamic and change over time.
> >
> > Better yet, a chart that shows the regs *and* a chart that
> > shows the current bandplan. ('Bandplan' meaning current
> > recommendations, not regulations). It's done for RF exposure
> > already.
>
> You know, I'd object to a ham trying to read charts and
> bandplans while they
> are driving. It's just as bad as reading a newspaper or trying > to shave.

Shaving can be done by touch. Reading can't.

> So I say yes they should at least be required to know from
> memory where at
> least the regulations allow them to be.

You make a valid point, Dee.
>
> The read the chart/bandplan concept has the underlying
> assumption that one
> will be operating from a fixed location with access to
> reference materials.

Not necessarily. But it does assume you'll have the
info with you when you need it. Or at least a close
approximation.

73 de Jim, N2EY

9. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 01:50:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <t4nch1phld62h5hbke7cc2d0mund0qe2b8@4ax.com>
Source record: 117835

On Thu, 01 Sep 2005 00:12:57 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in <dIrRe.1506$Xo3.181@news01.roc.ny>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:u7vbh157u423pacehnmhfssacajc5itcmk@4ax.com...
>> On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
>> <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
>> >and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
>> >communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
>> >displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
>> >phone subband.
>> >
>> >This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
>> >are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
>> >physical infrastructure to function.
>>
>>
>> I guess that means CB radios aren't working either, huh?
>>
>>
>
>
>If a large area is devestated, one just might need a couple hundred mile
>range 24/7. Even battery powered HTs through a repeater can get you 30 to
>100 miles total between users. Hf rigs can supply you continuous coverage
>24/7 from local to thousands of miles. You just select an appropriate
>frequency (ranges of a few decades in frequency may be involved here).
>
>There may be a lot more cbs, but if you can only get 10 or 20 miles and you
>keep receiving skip from other stations far away running power ....

I never knew there was a minimum range for emergency communications.
So how far does a radio wave have to go in order to get this
distinction? A couple hundred miles? More than 10 or 20 miles? Is
there some FCC rule that defines this distance?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

10. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 01:57:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vknch1t8qcmt002te65hab0isrgatpgtsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 117836

```
On 31 Aug 2005 15:01:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125522296.661437.57000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
>> <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
>> >and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
>> >communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
>> >displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
>> >phone subband.
>> >
>> >This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
>> >are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
>> >physical infrastructure to function.
>>
>> I guess that means CB radios aren't working either, huh?
>
> I am sure they work fine where they are not otherwise mechanically
>damaged.
>
> It's not the tool...It's how you use it...

Oddly enough, I couldn't agree with you more. But it seems there are
some 'people' that would take the opportunity to toot their own horn
in the midst of a huge and horrible natural disaster. I think some
'people' have their priorities a little screwed up.

You can bet that people are using -whatever- kind of communication is
at their disposal -- and from the way it looks on the news, even radio
is taking a backseat to cardboard signs and spray paint.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----
```

11. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 02:10:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <c3och1178vskglt0l7s6cupnf77v72mls8@4ax.com>
Source record: 117840

On 31 Aug 2005 15:16:45 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1125526605.826027.117640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Frank of Silliland wrote:
>> > On 29 Aug 2005 08:01:26 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
>> > > Gotta hurt, though...I am sure that, for a couple of days, it felt
>> > >"good" taking some swipes at someone who managed to accomplish
>> > >something you DIDN'T in the Marines...make it to a Staff NCO rank.
>> >
>> > Two promotions in 15 years as a staff NCO, selected by cutting scores
>> > that were never used, and granted with promotion certificates you
>> > can't read. Yeah, you sure made your case, Dudly.
>>
>> Hey screwball...
>
>Huh??? Is RE-4YZ calling someone else a nut? Hi!
>
>> WHERE did >>I<< say SNCO ranks were selected by cutting
>> scores...?!?!
>>
>> SNCO's never were...
>
>Then you should have corrected it the first time Frank called you on
>it. Instead you go through this, "no they weren't, yes they were, no
>they weren't,..." nonsense that you're so famous for. You're just not
>believable.
>
>> But LPCL through Sergeant were! PFC was almost automatic unless
>> you picked your nose in morning formation.
>
>"no they weren't, yes they were, no they weren't,..."
>
>> You're such an idiot.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Hi!

One of the distinctions of the USMC, and something they pride
themselves on, is that they don't use the same type of promotion
system as the other services. No tests, no "cutting scores", etc.
Promotions are -earned-, not handed out like pay raises. If you do

above-average work and you get promoted more quickly than the average.
Perform poorly and you don't get promoted at all. That's the way it is
and that's the way it's always been.

Oh yeah, and Marines always refer to their rank, not their pay-grade.
If you hear a "Marine" say he was an E-7 then he wasn't a Marine.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

12. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 02:17:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gsoch1h3vnhtccnsjhr4coktela0cge3pj@4ax.com>
Source record: 117842

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 20:11:48 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
wrote in <-ZGdnUjGs7wF_4veRVn-vg@gbronline.com>:

>Bob wrote:
>> Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
>> and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
>> communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
>> displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
>> phone subband.
>>
>> This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
>> are mostly out,
>
>
>No no, just can't be. There are those on this group that swear cell
>phones are very reliable in emergencies. Much better than ham radio,
>after all, almost everyone has a cell phone but not a ham radio. But if
>the infrastructure that supports cell phones is out of operation........
>
>> as well as most any other comm system that needs
>> physical infrastructure to function.
>
>Yet there are those that claim with today's technology that kind of
>thing just can't happen, thus there is no need for ham radio to play a
>part in emergency comms anymore.
>
>Yet official emergency plans include plans for the use of ham radio if
>necessary. Cell phones and cb aren't.

Official emergency plans don't include cardboard signs and spray paint
but they are being used anyway.

>This is just the kind of thing that makes folks like lennieboy cringe
>with envy. They like to downplay the role of amateur radio in
>emergencies, say it is outdated, modern technology can do much better
>and is more reliable, all in an attempt to cover the fact they can't be
>a part of it.

Yep, all those people holding up cardboard signs and spray-painting
"HELP" on their roofs are the hams doing what they do best -- using
low-tech communications!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

13. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 02:22:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m6pch15b9olgqplcn5m705g9hv47p5o4c4@4ax.com>
Source record: 117843

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 20:16:21 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
wrote in <-ZGdnUrGs7w1_oveRVn-vg@gbronline.com>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
>> I never knew there was a minimum range for emergency communications.
>> So how far does a radio wave have to go in order to get this
>> distinction? A couple hundred miles? More than 10 or 20 miles? Is
>> there some FCC rule that defines this distance?
>
>Doesn't matter, cb is limited in it's useful range,

And ham radio is limited in it's availability. What's your point?

> not to mention all
>the idiots screaming "ten fer thar" and "aaaaaauuuuuuddddddddiiiiiioooo".

Oh, I'm sure that's happening quite a bit -- hundreds of thousands of
people taking time out from trying to find lost family members, food,
water, and a dry place to sleep, just to whoop it up on the CB.

Idiot.

>Name the range you want and ham radio can provide it by using the proper
>band.

Name the range and try to find a ham radio.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

14. Re: Illinois Ops....help a lady in distress (Hurricane Katrina)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 02:26:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2mpch118mqct4c9adsbf3j3l9nkkvqdeqa@4ax.com>
Source record: 117844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm cross-posting this to rrap since there seems to be a bunch of
horn-tooting hammies there who think that ham radio has the emergency
comm thing all wrapped up. Obviously they dont:

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 16:28:42 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<5141-431612FA-89@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:

>This was on the Hurricane Katrina forum:
>
>
>
>K DeStefano - Alton, IL
>I am from Diamondhead, MS. I evacuated with my 3 children to my parents
>home in Alton, IL. I have a finace and his family down there in
>Diamondhead that rode out the storm. They need help with supplies. They
>barely made it due to the water coming in the house and they had to flee
>to the second floor. There are two elderly people with them and 4
>teenage kid's and a5 other adults. Please let me know how I would have
>access to a ham radio operator from here to Miss. my phone in Illinois
>is 618-466-1392

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

15. Re: Illinois Ops....help a lady in distress (Hurricane Katrina)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 02:29:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050831222920.449$GB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 117845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> I'm cross-posting this to rrap
>
Nope, but I am.

16. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:01:59 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <sf2dnaJ8i5DU_YveRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117837

N9OGL wrote:

>
>
> Not necessary, You can get information out of an opinion, it's up to
> the person hearing the opinion to determine if he go's along with that
> train of thought.A good example is look at court cases, a ruling by a
> court is not called a ruling but an opinion. At any rate Society is
> based on two input General information and Opinions you can't just have
> one.

The FCC rules states it should be of general interest to amateurs, your opinion, I guarantee, is of no interest to amateurs.

17. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:02:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125543297.821114.179650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117846

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 01 Sep 2005 00:12:57 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in <dIrRe.1506$Xo3.181@news01.roc.ny>:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in message
> >news:u7vbh157u423pacehnmhfssacajc5itcmk@4ax.com...
> >> On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
> >> <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
> >> >and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
> >> >communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
> >> >displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
> >> >phone subband.
> >> >
> >> >This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
> >> >are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
> >> >physical infrastructure to function.
> >>
> >>
> >> I guess that means CB radios aren't working either, huh?
> >>
> >>
> >>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

18. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:11:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-ZGdnUjGs7wF_4veRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117838

Bob wrote:
> Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
> and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
> communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
> displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
> phone subband.
>
> This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
> are mostly out,

No no, just can't be. There are those on this group that swear cell phones are very reliable in emergencies. Much better than ham radio, after all, almost everyone has a cell phone but not a ham radio. But if the infrastructure that supports cell phones is out of operation.....

> as well as most any other comm system that needs
> physical infrastructure to function.

Yet there are those that claim with today's technology that kind of thing just can't happen, thus there is no need for ham radio to play a part in emergency comms anymore.

Yet official emergency plans include plans for the use of ham radio if necessary. Cell phones and cb aren't.

This is just the kind of thing that makes folks like lennieboy cringe with envy. They like to downplay the role of amateur radio in emergencies, say it is outdated, modern technology can do much better and is more reliable, all in an attempt to cover the fact they can't be a part of it.

19. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:13:29 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-ZGdnUvGs7xm_4veRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117839

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
> all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
> on water while the hams walk on water...:-)
>

Looks like ham radio is doing just that right now.

20. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:16:21 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-ZGdnUrGs7w1_oveRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117841

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> I never knew there was a minimum range for emergency communications.
> So how far does a radio wave have to go in order to get this
> distinction? A couple hundred miles? More than 10 or 20 miles? Is
> there some FCC rule that defines this distance?

Doesn't matter, cb is limited in it's useful range, not to mention all
the idiots screaming "ten fer thar" and "aaaaaauuuuuuddddddddiiiiiioooo".
Name the range you want and ham radio can provide it by using the proper
band.

21. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:17:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <epuRe.4152$Wd7.2821@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117849

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>>>>>
>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>>>>
>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
>>>
>>>
>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>>
>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
>
>
> You seem to love playing stupid.

...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.

>
>>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
>>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.

>
> Do your own homework.

My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.

Dave K8MN

22. Re: Illinois Ops....help a lady in distress (Hurricane Katrina)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:21:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050831232124.640$o5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 117851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Notice she didn't ask how to have access to a cb operator. Smart lady,
> knew that would be a waste of time.
>
Hi JJ.

You must have been abused by a CBer more than once. You can hardly post
with out referencing CB.

What's your call?

23. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:25:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LwuRe.3117$4P5.1879@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117852

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
> all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
> on water while the hams walk on water...:-)

I recall your past statements about the commercial communications infrastructure never totally failing in an emergency. Well, Leonard the devastation of New Orleans reveals near total collapse of the commercial communications infrastructure. Hams are there and are producing. The Feds are rushing communications equipment into place but amateur radio volunteers are already on the job:

AMATEUR HIGH-FREQUENCY GULF COAST HURRICANE NETS

03845.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
03862.5 LSB Mississippi Section Traffic
03873.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03873.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (night)
03873.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (night)
03873.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
03910.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
03910.0 LSB Louisiana Traffic
03923.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
03925.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03925.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)
03935.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03935.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
03940.0 LSB Southern Florida Emergency
03950.0 LSB Northern Florida Emergency
03955.0 LSB South Texas Emergency
03965.0 LSB Alabama Emergency (altn)
03967.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing traffic)
03975.0 LSB Texas RACES
03993.5 LSB Gulf Coast (health & welfare)
03995.0 LSB Gulf Coast Wx

07225.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
07235.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
07240.0 LSB American Red Cross US Gulf Coast Disaster
07240.0 LSB Texas Emergency

07243.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
07245.0 LSB Southern Louisiana
07248.0 LSB Texas RACES
07250.0 LSB Texas Emergency
07260.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
07264.0 LSB Gulf Coast (health & welfare)
07265.0 LSB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN) (altn)
07273.0 LSB Texas ARES (altn)
07280.0 LSB NTS Region 5
07280.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)
07283.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing only)
07285.0 LSB West Gulf ARES Emergency (day)
07285.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (day)
07285.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
07285.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (day)
07290.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07290.0 LSB Gulf Coast Wx
07290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
07290.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health & welfare) (day)
07290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
07290.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health & welfare)

14265.0 USB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN) (health &
welfare) 14300.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic
14300.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service
14303.0 USB International Assistance & Traffic
14313.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic (altn)
14313.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service (altn)
14316.0 USB Health & Welfare
14320.0 USB Health & Welfare
14325.0 USB Hurricane Watch (Amateur-to-National Hurricane Center)
14340.0 USB Louisiana (1900)

You'll find FEMA, other USG and NGO operations at:

Hurricane Katrina HF Response and Recovery Frequencies

02802.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-91) **

03171.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-92) **

05136.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-93) **
05141.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-94) **
05211.0 USB FEMA
05236.0 USB SHARES Coordination Network (nationwide HF voice coordination)

06859.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-95) **

07507.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net (pri)

07550.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-96 - primary) **
07698.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-97) **

09380.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net (sec)

10493.0 USB FEMA

14396.5 USB SHARES Coordination Network (nationwide HF voice coordination)

** Type-accepted equipment and an issued US FCC license are required to transmit on Red Cross frequencies

Dave K8MN

24. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:33:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125545627.121805.42720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117853

>The FCC rules states it should be of general interest to amateurs, your
>opinion, I guarantee, is of no interest to amateurs.

Again that's YOUR opinion.

Todd N9OGL

25. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 03:51:51 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125546711.768010.218120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117854

From: Jim Hampton on Aug 31, 5:08 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
>> all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
>> on water while the hams walk on water...:-)
>
>Of course not Len. Not everything survives; the portable and mobile stuff
>will survive far better than the cellphone towers, police towers and even
>amateur towers. The smaller towers (usually amateur), however, do have the
>one advantage of a much smaller windload to carry.

Really? :-)

Maybe you're right. Amateur radio towers are kept up by FAITH.
Busy gal that Faith...

Hmmm...looking at the terrible scenes in Louisiana and
Mississippi, I couldn't help but notice a few police officers
about (in New Orleans) with one talking on his police HT. Then,
on NBC news with Brian Williams, a video clip showed an antenna-
laden Humvee of the Mississippi National Guard. Oh, and the
USCG with all those helos and the hoister guy talking with the
loader-into-the-basket guy on some kind of radio. Presumably
the helo pilots can talk to their base of ops by radio. Oh,
and all the news commentators in shirt-sleeves doing their
video-audio reporting from on-scene...and various folks
telephoning in audio stories. Yup...the whole INFRASTRUCTURE
went totally kaput at the end of the Mississippi River!

>The biggest difference might just lie in understanding how things work.

ESPECIALLY everyone's local INFRASTRUCTURE, communications-wise.

>I've been involved in exactly two emergency situations. One was on ssb with
>Hans K0HB and the other was on 500 KHz. Yes, the cw is old, but the
>situation involving Hans can happen at any time. Amazingly, that huge coast
>guard tower did *not* survive the typhoon. An hf amateur rig could load a
>chain link fence and provide reliable communications.
>
>So, do tell us your experiences with emergency communications and why you
>know that amateur radio will always (or never) float.

1994 Northridge Earthquake. TOTAL loss of electrical power to about
10 MILLION a few minutes after 4:30 AM. [53 persons died as a
direct result of that quake] Some places had severe structural

damage, gas main in Northridge ruptured, caught fire, various utility poles and their lines down in many places, a few collapsed freeway overpasses.

LAFD and other professional FDs had leased lines that went straight to stations, didn't go through the switching centers of telephone offices. All of them followed the pre-existing emergency plan and rolled out. A few answered radio calls to fires that had broken out (FDs have back-up electrical power for their base stations). PDs were in constant contact by radio, their bases also having backup electrical power. The hospitals of course had their emergency electrical generators going and most of the ambulance services not a part of hospitals were able to communicate by their radios. TOTAL street blackout except for headlights of vehicles, that way until sun-up (late, it was January 17th). Utility companies had the greatest workload of all, but they had their radios and could communicate...just too many places to go to to fix things all at once...but they managed to contact all able employees to get them to work (some going direct to repair sites).

Electrical power restoration didn't come back until shortly before noon, a sequential area-by-area turn-on necessary to keep the AC frequency constant. Got my residence power back at 2 PM, could see the TV scenes (TV broadcasters long ago had backup electric power). FEMA was on the scene the next day, doing the first real trial of their flyaway terminals...and set up VIDEO bulletin boards so that folks here could post things for faraway family and friends. About 2:30 PM on the 17th I got a telephone call from my uncle and aunt in Florida, worried call; we were okay and we talked at some length. They had no problem getting through. I got a call about 10 AM (give or take) wanting me to help out with a utility company the next day; no problem getting through to my residence phone.

Was there any amateur radio activated here on that 17th of January? I'm not sure. Haven't heard of any locally. All I know is what I've seen of the Greater L.A. Emergency Communications System and its periodic drilling, refining of procedures, etc. Amateurs were not a part of that in 1994. That system worked just as it was expected to, even if it was part of the INFRASTRUCTURE that "was supposed to fail."

Ham equipment can "float?" Haven't seen any yet with floation devices. I'm sure someone can jury rig something for them. National HROs and Halliscratchers are all boat anchors and will dutifully go to the bottom of the water. Larry Roll once postulated a Ten-Tec that "floated ashore" to a hypothetical desert island after a hypothetical ship sinking and he used that to send hypothetical messages from that by hypothetical morse code (which didn't require any hypothetical electric power..."CW gets through when nothing else will" and stuff like thet there). Larry had too many hypos perhaps and

departed the newsgroup on April 15 last year. My house is 830 feet above mean sea level; am NOT worried about flooding.

Now, I'm absolutely sure that amateur radio CAN be a definite help in a big disasterous emergency. Sometime. Besides the documentary film about invading space aliens, that is. I'm just NOT convinced that the INFRASTRUCTURE is going to FAIL to such as extent that amateur radio is "the only possible savior."

From what I've seen on the TV news of the terrible destruction from hurricane Katrina, the average amateur radio station in the average New Orleans residence is not only submerged to the roof line, but the electric power to it is out and any emergency generators that might have been at those stations are completely under water. If the shingles of a roof can blow off completely in the downwash of a USCG helo (as has been seen by millions of viewers), you'll have a hard sell to me on saying "amateur radio towers have less wind loading and will survive" because I ain't gonna believe some rinky-dink wire antennas I've seen can hold up under 100+ MPH winds. I WILL believe an NG Humvee with VRCs can do their nevis thing (cloud-burning) and get through to the horizon IN such winds.

With most kindest warmest kissy-poo regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

26. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 04:18:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <1sCdnbfJxte074veRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117847

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 20:16:21 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
> wrote in <-ZGdnUrGs7w1_oveRVn-vg@gbronline.com>:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >
>>
>>>I never knew there was a minimum range for emergency communications.
>>>So how far does a radio wave have to go in order to get this
>>>distinction? A couple hundred miles? More than 10 or 20 miles? Is
>>>there some FCC rule that defines this distance?
>>
>>Doesn't matter, cb is limited in it's useful range,
>
>
>
> And ham radio is limited in it's availability. What's your point?

So what does that have to do with communication range? Name the range
you want and there is a ham band that will provide it.
>
>
>>not to mention all
>>the idiots screaming "ten fer thar" and "aaaaaauuuuuuddddddddiiiiiioooo".
>
>
>
> Oh, I'm sure that's happening quite a bit -- hundreds of thousands of
> people taking time out from trying to find lost family members, food,
> water, and a dry place to sleep, just to whoop it up on the CB.

On a band full of screaming idiots yelling "ten fer thar", "git off my
channel", and "aaaaaaauuuuuuuuddddddddiiiiiiooooooo".
>
> Idiot.

Yes they are.
>
>
>
>>Name the range you want and ham radio can provide it by using the proper
>>band.
>
>

>
> Name the range and try to find a ham radio.

There are hams right now using HF/VHF/UHF frequencies to cover just
about any distance for emergency communications, so you point is?

I will use your term...idiot.

27. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 04:19:55 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <1sCdnbbJxtcQ74veRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117848

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 20:11:48 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
> wrote in <-ZGdnUjGs7wF_4veRVn-vg@gbronline.com>:
>
>
>>Bob wrote:
>>
>>>Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
>>>and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
>>>communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
>>>displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
>>>phone subband.
>>>
>>>This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
>>>are mostly out,
>>
>>
>>No no, just can't be. There are those on this group that swear cell
>>phones are very reliable in emergencies. Much better than ham radio,
>>after all, almost everyone has a cell phone but not a ham radio. But if
>>the infrastructure that supports cell phones is out of operation........
>>
>>
>>>as well as most any other comm system that needs
>>>physical infrastructure to function.
>>
>>Yet there are those that claim with today's technology that kind of
>>thing just can't happen, thus there is no need for ham radio to play a
>>part in emergency comms anymore.
>>
>>Yet official emergency plans include plans for the use of ham radio if
>>necessary. Cell phones and cb aren't.
>
>
>
> Official emergency plans don't include cardboard signs and spray paint
> but they are being used anyway.
>
>
>
>>This is just the kind of thing that makes folks like lennieboy cringe
>>with envy. They like to downplay the role of amateur radio in
>>emergencies, say it is outdated, modern technology can do much better
>>and is more reliable, all in an attempt to cover the fact they can't be

>>a part of it.
>
>
>
> Yep, all those people holding up cardboard signs and spray-painting
> "HELP" on their roofs are the hams doing what they do best -- using
> low-tech communications!
>

You can't be that stupid...Opps sorry, you just proved you are.

28. Re: Illinois Ops....help a lady in distress (Hurricane Katrina)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 04:21:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <1sCdnbHJxtdi74veRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> I'm cross-posting this to rrap since there seems to be a bunch of
> horn-tooting hammies there who think that ham radio has the emergency
> comm thing all wrapped up. Obviously they dont:
>
>
> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 16:28:42 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
> <5141-431612FA-89@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:
>
>
>>This was on the Hurricane Katrina forum:
>>
>>
>>
>>K DeStefano - Alton, IL
>>I am from Diamondhead, MS. I evacuated with my 3 children to my parents
>>home in Alton, IL. I have a finace and his family down there in
>>Diamondhead that rode out the storm. They need help with supplies. They
>>barely made it due to the water coming in the house and they had to flee
>>to the second floor. There are two elderly people with them and 4
>>teenage kid's and a5 other adults. Please let me know how I would have
>>access to a ham radio operator from here to Miss. my phone in Illinois
>>is 618-466-1392
>

Notice she didn't ask how to have access to a cb operator. Smart lady,
knew that would be a waste of time.

29. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 05:04:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125551055.954393.149370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117856

From: Dave Heil on Aug 31, 8:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
>> all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
>> on water while the hams walk on water...:-)
>
>I recall your past statements about the commercial communications
>infrastructure never totally failing in an emergency.

...and you are still mad as heil and can't take it anymore. :-)

> Well, Leonard the
>devastation of New Orleans reveals near total collapse of the commercial
>communications infrastructure.

...and you are there, reporting for ARRL Eyewitless News?

Of course you are, and nearly totally collapsed yourself in
this mighty Herculean Effort to TELL ME OFF! :-)

> Hams are there and are producing.

They've set up a factory?!? What are they making? Floating
Ten-Tecs?

> The
>Feds are rushing communications equipment into place but amateur radio
>volunteers are already on the job:

...and so has NBC, CBS, ABC, CNN, Fox News teams, duly reporting
LIVE from the scene. Ahem, millions of us viewers around the
country have seen those news broadcasts. Was amateur radio
handling their LIVE feeds from the disaster areas? Was amateur
radio manning those antenna-laden Humvees of the NG?

Lissen-up Davie-boy: PARTS of New Orleans are TOTALLY under
water. That INCLUDES ham residences and probably some ham
equipped vehicles (hard to tell when the tops are under water).
EVERYTHING went under in some of that flooding...but NOT
everywhere, obviously from the news reports on TV.

Davie-boy, I didn't say anything "against" amateur radio as a
service, or anything nasty to the CITIZEN volunteers (ham or
not) who are busy "producing" on-the-scene.

I'm tossing stuff at INDIVIDUAL "commentators" in here. If you got somebody else's ripe tomato, TS for you. You throw them at me all time...I'll save some extra-ripe ones for you, OK?

I have nothing but PRAISE for INDIVIDUAL CITIZENS WHO VOLUNTEER TO HELP fellow citizens in a disaster. Been there myself in this part of the country and don't want to see another.

Now, get on with YOUR on-the-spot "aid" by tossing nastygrams at all who don't accept the myths and morsemyths about amateur radio. You seem to be obsessed with nastygramming all who disagree with you. Are you a Dudly-the-Pretender Wannabe?

Meanwhile, NPRM 05-143 was before the FCC and the public before Katrina had grown to acquire a NOAA name for it. That NPRM might cause the utter destruction of Ham Radio As You Know It! Don't worry. The newcomers will get a Heil Help Net working just for you...someday...and ease your obvious Pain and Discomfort of whatever ails your psyche.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

30. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 05:30:08 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <jsudnZo3QNSZHoveRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117855

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Really? :-)
>
> Maybe you're right. Amateur radio towers are kept up by FAITH.
> Busy gal that Faith...
>
> Hmmm...looking at the terrible scenes in Louisiana and
> Mississippi, I couldn't help but notice a few police officers
> about (in New Orleans) with one talking on his police HT. Then,
> on NBC news with Brian Williams, a video clip showed an antenna-
> laden Humvee of the Mississippi National Guard. Oh, and the
> USCG with all those helos and the hoister guy talking with the
> loader-into-the-basket guy on some kind of radio. Presumably
> the helo pilots can talk to their base of ops by radio. Oh,
> and all the news commentators in shirt-sleeves doing their
> video-audio reporting from on-scene...and various folks
> telephoning in audio stories. Yup...the whole INFRASTRUCTURE
> went totally kaput at the end of the Mississippi River!

And I guess you think they are all passing health and welfare traffic.
>
>

>
>
> 1994 Northridge Earthquake. TOTAL loss of electrical power to about
> 10 MILLION a few minutes after 4:30 AM. [53 persons died as a
> direct result of that quake] Some places had severe structural
> damage, gas main in Northridge ruptured, caught fire, various
> utility poles and their lines down in many places, a few
> collapsed freeway overpasses.

Blah, blah, blah,

Face it lennyboy, ham radio has and is right now playing an important
part in emergency communications in a major disaster. Too bad you can't
be a part of it....no, I take that back, with your attitude you would
only be a hindrance.

31. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 05:37:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qswRe.5866$z2.4323@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117857

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Aug 31, 8:25 pm
>
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>> Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
>>> all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
>>> on water while the hams walk on water...:-)
>>
>>I recall your past statements about the commercial communications
>>infrastructure never totally failing in an emergency.
>
>
> ...and you are still mad as heil and can't take it anymore. :-)

I can see where a guy who operates like you do would come to that
erroneous conclusion. It'd be sort of like the ARRL conspiracy to keep
things from being published in the Federal Register. :-) :-)

>
>> Well, Leonard the
>>devastation of New Orleans reveals near total collapse of the commercial
>>communications infrastructure.
>
>
> ...and you are there, reporting for ARRL Eyewitless News?

I am?

> Of course you are, and nearly totally collapsed yourself in
> this mighty Herculean Effort to TELL ME OFF! :-)

Telling you off is pretty easy. You invariably get things wrong. :-)

>> Hams are there and are producing.
>
>
> They've set up a factory?!? What are they making? Floating
> Ten-Tecs?

What are they making? They're making you look like you don't know what
you're talking about--again.

>> The
>>Feds are rushing communications equipment into place but amateur radio
>>volunteers are already on the job:
>
>
> ...and so has NBC, CBS, ABC, CNN, Fox News teams, duly reporting
> LIVE from the scene. Ahem, millions of us viewers around the
> country have seen those news broadcasts.

Thanks for another masterful statement of the obvious.

> Was amateur radio
> handling their LIVE feeds from the disaster areas?

I thought you knew all about amateur radio and what it is and does.
Do you mean that you think amateur radio is a commercial broadcasting
endeavor?

> Was amateur
> radio manning those antenna-laden Humvees of the NG?

Do you think that amateur radio is the military?

> Lissen-up Davie-boy: PARTS of New Orleans are TOTALLY under
> water.

No, you listen up, Lennie-old-boy: Better than 80% of New Orleans is
under water.

> That INCLUDES ham residences and probably some ham
> equipped vehicles (hard to tell when the tops are under water).
> EVERYTHING went under in some of that flooding...but NOT
> everywhere, obviously from the news reports on TV.

You must not be paying much attention to those live news reports from
the area. The telephone system and cellular phones are down. From your
past comments on emergencies, *that just can't happen*, but it did.

> Davie-boy, I didn't say anything "against" amateur radio as a
> service, or anything nasty to the CITIZEN volunteers (ham or
> not) who are busy "producing" on-the-scene.

You've certainly done so a number of times in the past.

> I'm tossing stuff at INDIVIDUAL "commentators" in here.

What else is new? :-) :-)

> If you
> got somebody else's ripe tomato, TS for you. You throw them
> at me all time...I'll save some extra-ripe ones for you, OK?

I've corrected one of your frequent factual errors.

> I have nothing but PRAISE for INDIVIDUAL CITIZENS WHO
> VOLUNTEER TO HELP fellow citizens in a disaster. Been there

> myself in this part of the country and don't want to see
> another.

Well, Mister "Nothing-but-praise", you've commented on a number of emergency situations and have discounted accounts of amateur radio participation. Yet there the hams are. I've listened to a number of nets this evening on 75m. There is emergency traffic being passed. There is health and welfare traffic being passed. As time goes by, we'll hear accounts of the local VHF operations and the parts they played.

> Now, get on with YOUR on-the-spot "aid" by tossing nastygrams
> at all who don't accept the myths and morsemyths about amateur
> radio.

My direct assistance from here isn't needed at all. We've already passed health and welfare traffic on the West Virginia Phone Net, beginning last evening. NTS is working well. It isn't a myth. Neither is the list of active nets which I posted here. What is a myth is that you are somehow involved in amateur radio.

> You seem to be obsessed with nastygramming all who
> disagree with you. Are you a Dudly-the-Pretender Wannabe?

You and Frank haven't yet discovered how to spell "Dudley". That hasn't stopped your usual name calling.

Fire up your trusty R-70, Len, and you may be able to listen to some of what amateur radio ops are doing toward assisting the hurricane victims.

Dave K8MN

32. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 10:24:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KfudnZ2dnZ34tpyPnZ2dnXJLi96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 117858

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125543297.821114.179650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 01 Sep 2005 00:12:57 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in <dIrRe.1506$Xo3.181@news01.roc.ny>:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <w@renut@NOSPAM@cehouse.net> wrote in message
> >news:u7vbh157u423pacehnmhfssacajc5itcmk@4ax.com...
> >> On 31 Aug 2005 11:11:52 -0700, "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in
> >> <1125511912.012870.113600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >Saw this morning a brief mention on Fox News about hams doing health
> >> >and welfare emergency traffic for New Orleans. "Only reliable
> >> >communications in the area". They showed a few ham transcievers, one
> >> >displaying a 70cm band frequency, another HF rig on 20 meters in the
> >> >phone subband.
> >> >
> >> >This is the sort of disaster that ham radio handles well. Cell phones
> >> >are mostly out, as well as most any other comm system that needs
> >> >physical infrastructure to function.
> >>
> >>
> >> I guess that means CB radios aren't working either, huh?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >If a large area is devestated, one just might need a couple hundred mile
> >range 24/7. Even battery powered HTs through a repeater can get you 30
> >to
> >100 miles total between users. Hf rigs can supply you continuous
> >coverage
> >24/7 from local to thousands of miles. You just select an appropriate
> >frequency (ranges of a few decades in frequency may be involved here).
> >
> >There may be a lot more cbs, but if you can only get 10 or 20 miles and
> >you
> >keep receiving skip from other stations far away running power ....
>
>
> I never knew there was a minimum range for emergency communications.

> So how far does a radio wave have to go in order to get this
> distinction? A couple hundred miles? More than 10 or 20 miles? Is
> there some FCC rule that defines this distance?

150 miles is the max legal CB range (a very stupid rule BTW) but in an
emergency anything goes that works

end quote

-

In disasters, the real problem is not the rules but the nature of radio wave
propagation on the 11 meter band (as well as the similar 10m and 12m ham
bands). You can get close in (10 to 20 miles) via line of site or you get
skip out to thousands of miles via ionospheric propagation when the solar
flux is high enough. However the intermediate distances of a few hundred
miles just are not going to be covered unless you are lucky enough to get
some uncommon propagation modes like backscatter.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

33. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 10:33:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dJydnVfVTL-9RIveRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 117859

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qswRe.5866$z2.4323@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

[snip]

>
> My direct assistance from here isn't needed at all. We've already passed
> health and welfare traffic on the West Virginia Phone Net, beginning last
> evening. NTS is working well. It isn't a myth. Neither is the list of
> active nets which I posted here. What is a myth is that you are somehow
> involved in amateur radio.
>

Yes we've started to receive health and welfare traffic into our area. One
of the members of the net had the great satisfaction last night of being
able to deliver a message to let someone know that their relatives were OK.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

34. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 10:37:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125571060.530661.175310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117860

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
> >>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> >>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
> >>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
> >>>>
> >>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> >>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> >>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> >>
> >>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
> >
> > You seem to love playing stupid.
>
> ...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
> prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
```

There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation, personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding non-amateurs.

> >>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
> >>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> >
> > Do your own homework.
>
> My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
> specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.

best of luck.

35. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 10:45:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ihldh1p95mrjn5clhkcvqh72tld9te7agt@4ax.com>
Source record: 117861

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 21:18:13 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
wrote in <1sCdnbfJxte074veRVn-qA@gbronline.com>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 20:16:21 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
>> wrote in <-ZGdnUrGs7w1_oveRVn-vg@gbronline.com>:
>>
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> >
>>>
>>>>I never knew there was a minimum range for emergency communications.
>>>>So how far does a radio wave have to go in order to get this
>>>>distinction? A couple hundred miles? More than 10 or 20 miles? Is
>>>>there some FCC rule that defines this distance?
>>>
>>>Doesn't matter, cb is limited in it's useful range,
>>
>>
>>
>> And ham radio is limited in it's availability. What's your point?
>
>So what does that have to do with communication range? Name the range
>you want and there is a ham band that will provide it.

What section of Part 47 declares the minimum range required for an
emergency radio communication? In fact, what section of Part 47
declares that -radio- is required for an emergency communication?

>>>not to mention all
>>>the idiots screaming "ten fer thar" and "aaaaaauuuuuuddddddiiiiiioooo".
>>
>>
>>
>> Oh, I'm sure that's happening quite a bit -- hundreds of thousands of
>> people taking time out from trying to find lost family members, food,
>> water, and a dry place to sleep, just to whoop it up on the CB.
>
>On a band full of screaming idiots yelling "ten fer thar", "git off my
>channel", and "aaaaaaauuuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiooooo".

Gee, what a suprise -- you can't address the facts so you fill in the
blanks with what you -assume- to be happening. Show me what really
-is- happening and I might give your "argument" due consideration.

>> Idiot.
>
>Yes they are.
>>
>>
>>
>>>Name the range you want and ham radio can provide it by using the proper
>>>band.
>>
>>
>>
>> Name the range and try to find a ham radio.
>
>There are hams right now using HF/VHF/UHF frequencies to cover just
>about any distance for emergency communications, so you point is?

The point is that you can't find a ham. CB radio covers local comm,
and LOCAL comm comprises the VAST MAJORITY of emergency communication.
There simply aren't enough hams to cover all the emergency comm they
claim to be able to cover. They might have the ability to play some
emergency-DX but they simply don't have the numbers..... NOR the
availability, NOR the ease of operation, NOR the licensing
requirements (or lack thereof), to handle what's required of a large
population in an emergency situation. Hammies might have gotten a warm
fuzzy from one news network, but -ALL- the news networks are showing
that things as simple as cardboard signs are -MORE EFFECTIVE- than ham
radio in such situations.

>I will use your term...idiot.

The idiots are the hams manning their keys while people drown in their
own homes.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

36. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 10:46:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1umdh1117tr5esbktkos7qqdk1oloon33b@4ax.com>
Source record: 117862

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 21:19:55 -0700, Cmdr Buzz corey <me@that.moc>
wrote in <1sCdnbbJxtcQ74veRVn-qA@gbronline.com>:

<snip>
>> Yep, all those people holding up cardboard signs and spray-painting
>> "HELP" on their roofs are the hams doing what they do best -- using
>> low-tech communications!
>>
>
>You can't be that stupid...Opps sorry, you just proved you are.

You can't be that blind..... oops, sorry, I was thinking of Eric.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

37. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 10:49:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125571755.665532.310970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117863

Dave Heil wrote:

> the
> devastation of New Orleans reveals near total collapse of the
> commercial communications infrastructure.

Worse than that - most of the infrastructure of any kind has collapsed.
Power is off, water and sewage out of action, roads
blocked by water, bridges collapsed.

Mississippi appears to have gotten hit even worse, with some
communities simply wiped out completely. New Orleans is getting more
news coverage in part because it's a city, and in part
because it's still under water due to being below sea level.

The big picture is still incomplete because some areas are still
completely cut off.

Nobody really knows how many are dead, but estimates of 1000
or more are the current level. At least half a million people
were made homeless.

It will be weeks or months before survivors can be allowed
back into the New Orleans area - assuming the water can be pumped out.
Much of what is still standing will have been so damaged by long-term
immersion that it will have to be knocked down.

> Hams are there and are producing. The
> Feds are rushing communications equipment into place but
> amateur radio volunteers are already on the job

Some organizations in need of support:

American Red Cross
www.redcross.org
800-HELP NOW (435-7669) English,
800-257-7575 Spanish

Operation Blessing
www.ob.org
800-436-6348

America's Second Harvest
www.secondharvest.org
800-344-8070

Make a donation and volunteer at the following: (Use the phone to make
your

donation if the Web sites are deluged.)

Adventist Community Services
www.adventist.communityservices.org
800-381-7171

Catholic Charities USA
www.catholiccharitiesusa.org
800-919-9338

Christian Disaster Response
www.cdresponse.org
941-956-5183 or 941-551-9554

Christian Reformed World Relief Committee
www.crwrc.org
800-848-5818

Church World Service
www.churchworldservice.org
800-297-1516

Convoy of Hope
www.convoyofhope.org
417-823-8998

Lutheran Disaster Response
www.ldr.org
800-638-3522

Mennonite Disaster Service
www.mds.mennonite.net
717-859-2210

Nazarene Disaster Response
www.nazarenedisasterresponse.org
888-256-5886

Presbyterian Disaster Assistance
www.pcusa.org
800-872-3283

Salvation Army
www.salvationarmyusa.org
800-SAL-ARMY (725-2769)

Southern Baptist Convention -- Disaster Relief
www.namb.net
800-462-8657, ext. 6440

United Jewish Communities
www.ujc.org
877-277-2477

United Methodist Committee on Relief
www.gbgm-umc.org
800-554-8583

(Use the phone to make your donation if the Web sites are deluged.)

73 de Jim, N2EY

38. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 10:53:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <g4ndh15ebtt8fd6qkkns9g7kse5njd848n@4ax.com>
Source record: 117864

On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 06:24:17 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
<KfudnZ2dnZ34tpyPnZ2dnXJLi96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>:

<snip>
>
>In disasters, the real problem is

What experience have you had with disaster communications that makes
you think you can draw any sort of conclusion as to what the problems
are or might be?

> not the rules but the nature of radio wave
>propagation on the 11 meter band (as well as the similar 10m and 12m ham
>bands). You can get close in (10 to 20 miles) via line of site or you get
>skip out to thousands of miles via ionospheric propagation when the solar
>flux is high enough. However the intermediate distances of a few hundred
>miles just are not going to be covered unless you are lucky enough to get
>some uncommon propagation modes like backscatter.

What makes you think that DX radio comprises all -- or even a major
part of -- communications in a disaster or emergency situation?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

39. Washington Post Article Mentions Ham Radio in Katrina Disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 11:19:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125573568.405689.68070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117865

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/31/AR2005083102656.html

40. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 14:04:12 UTC
From: Tyrone Kuntakintae <auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com>
Message-ID: <b746f$43170a40$d1cc7bc8$24088@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117866
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

This freakin ends now!!

The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!

"Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
> First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles attacking
> police stations.
>
> A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets, the
> back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
>
> Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
> helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
>
> What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to turn
> flooded NO into another Fallujah.

41. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 14:11:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125583896.026953.191110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117867

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of August 31, 2005:

Novice - 27,781 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,548]
Technician - 270,663 (40.8%) [increase of 65,269)
Technician Plus - 46,998 (7.1%) [decrease of 81,862]
General - 135,970 (20.5%) [increase of 23,293]
Advanced - 75,293 (11.3%) [decrease of 24,489]
Extra - 107,005 (16.1%) [increase of 28,255]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,661 (47.9%) [decrease of 16,593]

Total all classes - 663,710 (decrease of 11,082)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

42. Re: Washington Post Article Mentions Ham Radio in Katrina Disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 14:22:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125584547.411239.282510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117868

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/31/AR2005083102656.html

Yea we are there and mentioned but you shoul;d note that almost as
prominat is mention of Text messaging systems based on the cell phone
network

43. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 14:30:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125585051.733041.41870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117869

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> > >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You seem to love playing stupid.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.

>
break
> There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
> personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
> non-amateurs.

indeed alll you need do is look around the group to see it on a more
than daily basis
>
> > >>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
> > >>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.

You want a name try Steve J Robeson

> > >
> > > Do your own homework.
> >
> > My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
> > specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.
>
> best of luck.

44. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 14:40:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125585600.696362.199770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117870

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
> > all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
> > on water while the hams walk on water...:-)
>
> I recall your past statements about the commercial communications
> infrastructure never totally failing in an emergency. Well, Leonard the
> devastation of New Orleans reveals near total collapse of the commercial
> communications infrastructure. Hams are there and are producing. The
> Feds are rushing communications equipment into place but amateur radio
> volunteers are already on the job:
>

and indeed the article in the washington post (
http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/31/AR2005083102656.html
) prove Len correct

text messaging system are there plugging allong with the hams

remainder cut to save BW

45. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 15:20:51 UTC
From: Vic Vega <stuck.in.the.middle@with.you>
Message-ID: <11he730bqu5ro23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 117871
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

This just shows that niggers can't live in a civilized society.

"Tyrone Kuntakintae" <auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com> wrote in message
news:b746f$43170a40$d1cc7bc8$24088@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> This freakin ends now!!
>
> The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
> strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
> the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
> the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
> HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!
>
>
> "Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
> news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
> > First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles attacking
> > police stations.
> >
> > A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets, the
> > back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
> >
> > Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
> > helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
> >
> > What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to turn
> > flooded NO into another Fallujah.
>

46. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 17:28:33 UTC
From: SteveJ <SJ@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Xc-dnZK5OPjYp4reRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 117872
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Just what we thought, as times get hard Looters come out like the wild Africans. Just can not shake the wild jungle out of them even after 200-300 years of training.
Steal, fuck, have babies ,or get crack is all they can thing about and if they cant carry it away, they just shit on it like a wild bunch of monkeys.
Remember the movie Planet of the Apes, does this look familiar?

"Vic Vega" <stuck.in.the.middle@with.you> wrote in message
news:11he730bqu5ro23@corp.supernews.com...
> This just shows that niggers can't live in a civilized society.
>
> "Tyrone Kuntakintae" <auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com> wrote in message
> news:b746f$43170a40$d1cc7bc8$24088@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> This freakin ends now!!
>>
>> The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
>> strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
>> the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
>> the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
>> HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!
>>
>>
>> "Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
>> news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
>> > First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles attacking
>> > police stations.
>> >
>> > A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets, the
>> > back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
>> >
>> > Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
>> > helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
>> >
>> > What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to turn
>> > flooded NO into another Fallujah.
>>
>
>

47. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 17:48:31 UTC
From: HopalongHowie <hopalong@wpis.net>
Message-ID: <1125596911.750294.134020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117873
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep as far as I'm concerned they did that now all bets are off.

Let the gators, water moccisons and Uncle Chuck have them.

They knew the storm was coming and it killed 10 people in Floeida...HELLO! What further clues do you need that its going to fuck you up. Jesus H. Christ the fucking storm was covering HALF THE GOD DAMNED GULF OF MEXICO!

As far as I'm concered you can all get cholera and shit yourselves to death.

48. What Is Ham Radio?.....A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 18:11:38 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1125598298.766344.4330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

49. Re: What Is Ham Radio?.....A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 18:15:17 UTC
From: EL84 <el84@nospam.aol.com>
Message-ID: <3noupoF2lsp0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 117875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1125598298.766344.4330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?
Yawn, same record, needle stuck

50. Re: What Is Ham Radio?.....A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 18:18:43 UTC
From: Somebody <somebody@anonymous.null>
Message-ID: <43174605$0$97098$ed2619ec@ptn-nntp-reader03.plus.net>
Source record: 117876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

EL84 wrote:
> "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1125598298.766344.4330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>What is Ham Radio?
>
> Yawn, same record, needle stuck
>
>

It's a waste of time, it hasn't really changed things has it?

Tiresome though.

51. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 18:53:24 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <dajeh11dma2sml2v52mui3uscug6u8a7rf@4ax.com>
Source record: 117877

On 1 Sep 2005 07:11:36 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>on the stated dates, and the percentage of
>the total number of active licenses that
>class contains:
>
>As of May 14, 2000:
>
>Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
>Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
>Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
>General - 112,677 (16.7%)
>Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
>Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

What? No smart-arse replies from Babbling Brucie about amateur radio
being "dumbed down"?

52. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 19:21:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ixlRe.6143$z2.4657@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117878

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
```

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>>>>
>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
>>>
>>>You seem to love playing stupid.
>>
>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
>
>
> There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
> personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
> non-amateurs.

Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.

I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.

The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.

Dave K8MN

53. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 19:22:25 UTC
From: barnegatdx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1125602545.953693.124230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117879
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Article about Looters in NY Times..

http://tinyurl.com/ch45k

>Let the gators, water moccisons and Uncle Chuck have them.

There have been reports of Bull Sharks sighted in the water..
& Doubtles

" Bullsharks meet the Looters "

54. Re: What Is Ham Radio?.....A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 20:58:01 UTC
From: scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <1125608281.462511.212590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath, Any chance of going back to the hole you live in and give us all a break as your weekly whinge is BORING !!!!!

55. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 21:09:57 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <3bydnfw0OJrVworeRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117880

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
>
> The point is that you can't find a ham. CB radio covers local comm,
> and LOCAL comm comprises the VAST MAJORITY of emergency communication.

Which more than likely it won't be via cb, but ham radio UHF/VHF or
other services on UHF/VHF.

> There simply aren't enough hams to cover all the emergency comm they
> claim to be able to cover. They might have the ability to play some
> emergency-DX but they simply don't have the numbers.
>

And 10,000 idiot cbers all shouting "ten fer thar", and
"aaaaaauuuuuuddddddiiiiiooo" all over 11 meters is a large number of
idiots with radios, but of no help at all.

>
> The idiots are the hams manning their keys while people drown in their
> own homes.

At least they are helping in a way they are capable. So you want them to
paddle out on their radios to save someone? And what are you doing while
people drown? Anything? Didn't think so.

56. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 21:11:38 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <3bydnf80OJo3woreRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117881

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 06:24:17 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> <KfudnZ2dnZ34tpyPnZ2dnXJLi96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>In disasters, the real problem is
>
>
>
> What experience have you had with disaster communications that makes
> you think you can draw any sort of conclusion as to what the problems
> are or might be?
>
>
>
>>not the rules but the nature of radio wave
>>propagation on the 11 meter band (as well as the similar 10m and 12m ham
>>bands). You can get close in (10 to 20 miles) via line of site or you get
>>skip out to thousands of miles via ionospheric propagation when the solar
>>flux is high enough. However the intermediate distances of a few hundred
>>miles just are not going to be covered unless you are lucky enough to get
>>some uncommon propagation modes like backscatter.
>
>
>
> What makes you think that DX radio comprises all -- or even a major
> part of -- communications in a disaster or emergency situation?

No one has said that is does frankie, do please try to keep up.

57. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 21:19:31 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <JqCdnbcBvtcX_IreRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117882

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
>
> The idiots are the hams manning their keys while people drown in their
> own homes.
>

These "idiot" hams helped save more than a "dozen people". And please notice that they weren't all in the local area either, as in Portland, Ore., and Utah.

Washington Post:
Communications Networks Fail Disaster Area Residents

"But he spoke to a fellow ham in Portland, Ore., who found another operator in Utah who was finally able to reach operators in Louisiana. The radio operators in Louisiana got word to emergency personnel, who rescued more than a dozen people in the house, including Hayes's 81-year-old aunt."

So how many people have you and your cb saved frankie?

58. Re: Washington Post Article Mentions Ham Radio in Katrina Disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 21:21:09 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <JqCdnbYBvtd7_IreRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117883

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/31/AR2005083102656.html
>
>
>
> Yea we are there and mentioned but you shoul;d note that almost as
> prominat is mention of Text messaging systems based on the cell phone
> network
>

Which is mostely down.

59. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 21:28:11 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <n6CdnfrlrJYQ_oreRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117884

an_old_friend wrote:
>) prove Len correct
>
> text messaging system are there plugging allong with the hams

You seem to indicate that text messages can magically access the system when voice cannot. If you can't access the network for voice you can't access it for text messages either. The only reason they are using text messaging, where they can get a signal which is very spotty, is because text is sent in small packets thus saving batteries and ties up the network less.

"Mobile-phone providers said their service was *severely limited*, at best, in New Orleans and along the Mississippi coast, and they encouraged people to use text messages instead of making voice calls. Text messages are sent in small "packets" of data, using less bandwidth to get through overloaded lines more easily."

If the cell network is down, so is text messaging.

60. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 22:15:25 UTC
From: Anonymous-Remailer@See.Comment.Header
Message-ID: <T2D90WFK38596.7607060185@anonymous>
Source record: 117886

```
In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4657@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
> >>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt
to
> >>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
```

> >>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What
you
> >>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> >>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> >>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> >>>>
> >>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
> >>>
> >>>You seem to love playing stupid.
> >>
> >>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
> >>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
> >
> >
> > There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
> > personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
> > non-amateurs.
>
> Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
>
> I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
>
> The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
>
> Dave K8MN

You *should* work on your audio, supposed "well known DX-er." For example, when
you checked into that 3.865 net, it sounded like crap. Must be the cheap radios
on your end.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

61. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 22:34:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <c5WdnarLI4mOH4reRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 117887

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:g4ndh15ebtt8fd6qkkns9g7kse5njd848n@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 06:24:17 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> <KfudnZ2dnZ34tpyPnZ2dnXJLi96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>
>>In disasters, the real problem is
>
>
> What experience have you had with disaster communications that makes
> you think you can draw any sort of conclusion as to what the problems
> are or might be?
>
>
>> not the rules but the nature of radio wave
>>propagation on the 11 meter band (as well as the similar 10m and 12m ham
>>bands). You can get close in (10 to 20 miles) via line of site or you get
>>skip out to thousands of miles via ionospheric propagation when the solar
>>flux is high enough. However the intermediate distances of a few hundred
>>miles just are not going to be covered unless you are lucky enough to get
>>some uncommon propagation modes like backscatter.
>
>
> What makes you think that DX radio comprises all -- or even a major
> part of -- communications in a disaster or emergency situation?
>

I did not mention DX. But there is often a great need to communicate within
the surrounding couple of hundred miles.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

62. Re: Washington Post Article Mentions Ham Radio in Katrina Disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 22:38:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ioKdnX6Au9SGHoreRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 117888

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125584547.411239.282510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/31/AR2005083102656.html
>
>
> Yea we are there and mentioned but you shoul;d note that almost as
> prominat is mention of Text messaging systems based on the cell phone
> network
>

And the fact that the cell phones were sporadic and that their batteries
were dying.

All modes of communications need to be utilized to the extent possible but
that one has limited application under these circumstances.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

63. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 22:44:35 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LtLRe.6784$ZL4.3147@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 117889

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:JqCdnbcBvtcX_IreRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> The idiots are the hams manning their keys while people drown in their
>> own homes.
>>
>
> These "idiot" hams helped save more than a "dozen people". And please
> notice that they weren't all in the local area either, as in Portland,
> Ore., and Utah.
>
> Washington Post:
> Communications Networks Fail Disaster Area Residents
>
> "But he spoke to a fellow ham in Portland, Ore., who found another
> operator in Utah who was finally able to reach operators in Louisiana. The
> radio operators in Louisiana got word to emergency personnel, who rescued
> more than a dozen people in the house, including Hayes's 81-year-old
> aunt."
>
>
> So how many people have you and your cb saved frankie?

Them CB fellers is "a-standin' fer that 'ee-mer--gen-cee'
traffic (that never comes! :))

J

64. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 23:52:39 UTC
From: me <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <a15fh1ps25d51ucbcasrr05dek20turha5@4ax.com>
Source record: 117890
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 1 Sep 2005 12:22:25 -0700, barnegatdx@aol.com wrote:

>
>Article about Looters in NY Times..
>
>http://tinyurl.com/ch45k
>
>

I say more power to them, I'd SHOOT first and ask questions later!

65. Ham Radio (sic) NotFAQs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-01 23:58:58 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@Technologies.invalid>
Message-ID: <0e5fh1597sol4b4bgvb8qkjj4gel1l0ofj@4ax.com>
Source record: 117892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Ham Radio (sic) is full of myths.

Do not be taken in by them, no matter how badly expressed.

The following is a collection of items that have been claimed as facts by supporters of UK 'ham radio' (sic), that needed correcting in order not to mislead the uncritical reader

Bookmark for future reference, as these items tend to recur.

New items added. This is Version V. It replaces all other Versions.

[ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.

[ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment. See above.

[ ] Before sunrise on Friday, September 1st, 1939, Germany attacked Poland at 4:45am, when the Battleship "Schleswig-Holstein", on a so-called goodwill visit, opened fire on the Polish naval depot and garrison at Westeplatte. World War II had begun. An hour later, first German units crossed the Polish border. Britain entered the war two days later. She did not repeat not start WWII.

[ ] Because the 'dimensions' of both sides of the Radar Range Equation need a term having the units of square metres in order to balance, references to ratios of these terms expressed in terms of decibels does not repeat not imply that they are in themselves a ratio of powers.

[ ] FM can be demodulated by an AM receiver using the 'Slope Detection' method. Placing an NBFM signal entirely within a channel in a channelised AM receiver is not repeat not Slope Detection.

[ ] A company's Returns Policy is not repeat not an admission that it deliberately produces shoddy goods, no matter how well wrapped at the time of purchase.

[ ] An IP Address in the headers of one's posts is not repeat not Secret Information.

[ ] An exemplary driver is not repeat not one who makes an obscene gesture to show that the other driver is an idiot.

[ ] An exemplary driver is not repeat not one who repeats an obscene gesture to confirm that the other driver is an idiot.

[ ] XRC is not repeat not a suitable method of differentiating between the 80 percent of metals that have FCC crystal structure.

[ ] Millimetre-waves are not repeat not generated by 'nuclear emissions'.

[ ] The length of the day on this planet is not repeat not 23H20.

[ ] A spring/damper model is not repeat not a mechanical analogue of a capacitor/inductor.

[ ] Dehumidifiers do not repeat not work by absorbing heat.

[ ] Gas Company piping is not repeat not blue in colour.

[ ] 1 deciBel is not repeat not equal to 10 Bels.

from
Aero Spike

from
Aero Spike

66. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 00:02:34 UTC
From: Who Dat? <"Who Dat"@ncat.com>
Message-ID: <7j5fh1t636nholvjj6tkdh4vnv2ebkgffe@4ax.com>
Source record: 117893
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 10:04:12 -0400, "Tyrone Kuntakintae"
<auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com> wrote:

>This freakin ends now!!
>
>The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
>strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
>the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
>the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
>HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!
>

Why? Because the sand niggers are gonna get you in the end anyway???
Because they dont mind dying and taking a few pink westerners with
them????

>"Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
>news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
>> First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles attacking
>> police stations.
>>
>> A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets, the
>> back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
>>
>> Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
>> helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
>>
>> What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to turn
>> flooded NO into another Fallujah.

67. HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 00:26:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <X_MRe.5348$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117894

I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.

7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.

I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages to family and friends outside of the disaster area.

Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call before.

And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove it.

Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.

Dan/W4NTI

68. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:15:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <GINRe.5309$9i4.186@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117896

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:u7idnfqnYNr9AIreRVn-qg@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>> amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>> Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>
>> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>
>> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>> before.
>>
>> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>> it.
>>
>> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Ask frankieboy to turn on his SeeBee and tell us all about the disaster
> traffic they are handling.

This just came over 3965...

On 3965, KB7BSA is with the Baptist feeding unit in Biloxi MS.

She just passed this along after sending out 40 Health and Welfare
messages.....

" Ham radio is all there is for communications. Police, Fire, Rescue,
Emergency Services, all of them using Ham Radio, there is NOTHING ELSE
that works"

Dan/W4NTI

69. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:17:07 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125623827.627282.287040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117897

From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm

>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.

"Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?

Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.

Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
equipment and render it useless as well.

The relief efforts in Louisiana and Mississippi are being
handled by MANY, MANY different volunteers and MOST of them
do not appear to be or are identified as amateur radio
operators.

>7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.

Those networks are operating OUTSIDE the flood regions,
have NOT been inundated with flood waters.

>I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages to
>family and friends outside of the disaster area.

Then that young lady is NOT under water and has gotten to a
location that is NOT under water or destroyed (somehow, you
have not specified that transport) and has the help of
an amateur radio station set up for this purpose on DRY land
(some place unspecified).

>Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>before.

That is excellent service that is provided (partly) by amateur
radio. "Daddy" somehow has gotten the news and that probably
is completed over a telephone circuit...a telephone circuit of
the evil "commercial infrastructure" that was NOT destroyed.

>And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove it.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've NEVER been "anti-ham." What I HAVE been
against is the morse code test for any amateur radio license.

What I HAVE been against is the braggadoccio of morse code mode over and above any other mode in effectiveness. What I HAVE been against is that morse code mode is the "only" mode possible in emergency communications.

And what I HAVE been against is all you PCTA emotional retards who think/state/live the notion that U.S. amateur radio is ONLY about morse code mode, morsemanship, and neglecting the majority of licensed radio amateurs who do NOT agree with you waving the ham flag as ONLY yours and ONLY that of the morsemen.

You greatly confuse all of "hamdom" as having YOUR personal opinion. You don't understand that someone having opinions contrary to YOURS does NOT act in any "anti-amateur" manner.

>Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.

Dan, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

70. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:18:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125623932.548975.151960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117898

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
>
> I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
>
> The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
>
> Dave K8MN

The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.

71. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:18:59 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125623939.571069.115770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117899

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 1, 3:37 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> >>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>> >>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>> >>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>
>> >>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>> >>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>
>> >>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>> >>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>
>> >>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>
>> >>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
>> >>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
>
>> >>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
>> >>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>
>> >>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
>
>> > You seem to love playing stupid.
>
>> ...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
>> prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
>

>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
>non-amateurs.

By all appearances, the "Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse" want to
exclude all but PCTAs in this newsgroup.

They do so with charges of "stupidity" and "errors" and some
uncivil remarks including labels of "piranha" and "Foghorn Lenhorn"
and "little electrolytic acolyte" and other endearing terms. Then
they get upset by others calling them names. The PCTA extra Double
Standard is always invoked in their postings.

Case in point is James Miccolis constant statement of "that's
just plain wrong" in regards to others' opinions...as if he were
the sole judge of what is right and wrong. Then there is his
(macro) paragraph of denunciation of my postings with uncivil
terms in it, repeated and repeated on his whim.

>> >>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
>> >>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>
>> > Do your own homework.
>
>> My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
>> specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.
>
>best of luck.

Heil forgets that I've openly SUGGESTED to the PCTA to get their own
MODERATED newsgroup. That way they can be assured of proper Group
Think and not be bothered by opposing opinions from non-PCTA folk.

This newsgroup is UNmoderated and that is how most feel it should
be.

But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here. Lacking
valid arguments on SUBJECTS he attempts personal attack by constant
reference to non-licensed amateurs' postings as if those were "not
allowed." Heil acts the bigot on newsgroup participation.

Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
"Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

72. Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:20:41 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125624041.033535.230880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117900

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 31 Aug 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total 2023	55
Indeterminate (note 1) 101	4
Value for Percentages 1922	51
Against NPRM (note 2) 561 [29.19%]	19 [37.25%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1082 [56.30%]	28 [54.90%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 279 [14.52%]	4 [7.84%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentage figures are calculated Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

73. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:34:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <u7idnfqnYNr9AIreRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117895

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
> amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
> Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages to
> family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
> before.
>
> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove it.
>
> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Ask frankieboy to turn on his SeeBee and tell us all about the disaster
traffic they are handling.

74. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:37:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125625053.939180.249090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117901

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Dan, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He'll find RE-4YZ there. He's done, too.

75. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 01:56:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <1jORe.6084$cg.4763@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 117902

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125546711.768010.218120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Jim Hampton on Aug 31, 5:08 pm
>
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>
> >> Doesn't matter about MODE...all good morsepersons know that
> >> all amateur radio SURVIVES all possible emergencies, floats
> >> on water while the hams walk on water...:-)
> >
> >Of course not Len. Not everything survives; the portable and mobile
stuff
> >will survive far better than the cellphone towers, police towers and even
> >amateur towers. The smaller towers (usually amateur), however, do have
the
> >one advantage of a much smaller windload to carry.
>
> Really? :-)
>
> Maybe you're right. Amateur radio towers are kept up by FAITH.
> Busy gal that Faith...
>
> Hmmm...looking at the terrible scenes in Louisiana and
> Mississippi, I couldn't help but notice a few police officers
> about (in New Orleans) with one talking on his police HT. Then,
> on NBC news with Brian Williams, a video clip showed an antenna-
> laden Humvee of the Mississippi National Guard. Oh, and the
> USCG with all those helos and the hoister guy talking with the
> loader-into-the-basket guy on some kind of radio. Presumably
> the helo pilots can talk to their base of ops by radio. Oh,
> and all the news commentators in shirt-sleeves doing their
> video-audio reporting from on-scene...and various folks
> telephoning in audio stories. Yup...the whole INFRASTRUCTURE
> went totally kaput at the end of the Mississippi River!
>
> >The biggest difference might just lie in understanding how things work.
>
> ESPECIALLY everyone's local INFRASTRUCTURE, communications-wise.
>
>
> >I've been involved in exactly two emergency situations. One was on ssb
with
> >Hans K0HB and the other was on 500 KHz. Yes, the cw is old, but the

> >situation involving Hans can happen at any time. Amazingly, that huge
coast
> >guard tower did *not* survive the typhoon. An hf amateur rig could load
a
> >chain link fence and provide reliable communications.
> >
> >So, do tell us your experiences with emergency communications and why you
> >know that amateur radio will always (or never) float.
>
> 1994 Northridge Earthquake. TOTAL loss of electrical power to about
> 10 MILLION a few minutes after 4:30 AM. [53 persons died as a
> direct result of that quake] Some places had severe structural
> damage, gas main in Northridge ruptured, caught fire, various
> utility poles and their lines down in many places, a few
> collapsed freeway overpasses.
>
> LAFD and other professional FDs had leased lines that went straight
> to stations, didn't go through the switching centers of telephone
> offices. All of them followed the pre-existing emergency plan and
> rolled out. A few answered radio calls to fires that had broken out
> (FDs have back-up electrical power for their base stations). PDs
> were in constant contact by radio, their bases also having backup
> electrical power. The hospitals of course had their emergency
> electrical generators going and most of the ambulance services not
> a part of hospitals were able to communicate by their radios.
> TOTAL street blackout except for headlights of vehicles, that way
> until sun-up (late, it was January 17th). Utility companies had
> the greatest workload of all, but they had their radios and could
> communicate...just too many places to go to to fix things all at
> once...but they managed to contact all able employees to get them
> to work (some going direct to repair sites).
>
> Electrical power restoration didn't come back until shortly before
> noon, a sequential area-by-area turn-on necessary to keep the AC
> frequency constant. Got my residence power back at 2 PM, could see
> the TV scenes (TV broadcasters long ago had backup electric power).
> FEMA was on the scene the next day, doing the first real trial of
> their flyaway terminals...and set up VIDEO bulletin boards so that
> folks here could post things for faraway family and friends. About
> 2:30 PM on the 17th I got a telephone call from my uncle and aunt
> in Florida, worried call; we were okay and we talked at some length.
> They had no problem getting through. I got a call about 10 AM (give
> or take) wanting me to help out with a utility company the next day;
> no problem getting through to my residence phone.
>
> Was there any amateur radio activated here on that 17th of January?
> I'm not sure. Haven't heard of any locally. All I know is what
> I've seen of the Greater L.A. Emergency Communications System and
> its periodic drilling, refining of procedures, etc. Amateurs were
> not a part of that in 1994. That system worked just as it was

> expected to, even if it was part of the INFRASTRUCTURE that "was
> supposed to fail."
>
> Ham equipment can "float?" Haven't seen any yet with floation
> devices. I'm sure someone can jury rig something for them.
> National HROs and Halliscratchers are all boat anchors and will
> dutifully go to the bottom of the water. Larry Roll once
> postulated a Ten-Tec that "floated ashore" to a hypothetical
> desert island after a hypothetical ship sinking and he used
> that to send hypothetical messages from that by hypothetical
> morse code (which didn't require any hypothetical electric
> power..."CW gets through when nothing else will" and stuff
> like thet there). Larry had too many hypos perhaps and
> departed the newsgroup on April 15 last year. My house
> is 830 feet above mean sea level; am NOT worried about
> flooding.
>
> Now, I'm absolutely sure that amateur radio CAN be a definite
> help in a big disasterous emergency. Sometime. Besides the
> documentary film about invading space aliens, that is. I'm
> just NOT convinced that the INFRASTRUCTURE is going to FAIL to
> such as extent that amateur radio is "the only possible savior."
>
> From what I've seen on the TV news of the terrible destruction
> from hurricane Katrina, the average amateur radio station in
> the average New Orleans residence is not only submerged to the
> roof line, but the electric power to it is out and any emergency
> generators that might have been at those stations are completely
> under water. If the shingles of a roof can blow off completely
> in the downwash of a USCG helo (as has been seen by millions of
> viewers), you'll have a hard sell to me on saying "amateur radio
> towers have less wind loading and will survive" because I ain't
> gonna believe some rinky-dink wire antennas I've seen can hold
> up under 100+ MPH winds. I WILL believe an NG Humvee with VRCs
> can do their nevis thing (cloud-burning) and get through to the
> horizon IN such winds.
>
> With most kindest warmest kissy-poo regards,
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

LOL, Hello, Len

Thanks, but I'll pass on the kissy-poo regards :))

You know, the few times we come close to arguing, I find that we are quite close to agreeing. I think a lot of the problem is that many get sidetracked over the great (if one can call it great) cw debate.

The answer, of course, is to use whatever resources are available should one find themselves in such an unfortunate situation.

The size of the asset that amateur radio becomes is most likely decided by the size of the area that is affected. That big NE ice storm a few years back (late 90s) affected areas for hundreds of miles. They were asking for mobile hf hams. I suspect that many hams in the affected area did loose their towers, but, as I mentioned, the smaller towers have the advantage of less wind loading (and less ice to support too).

Mobile ops supplied a lot of help during that mess as their rigs and antennas came in from an unaffected area. HTs would not have helped (except in very localized support) as they don't have the kind of coverage needed. Many repeaters were off, some were still on, and at least one was pressed into use by the police (plugged their radio into the repeater coax).

In closing, let me wish you best regards and pass on the kissy-poo :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

76. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 02:02:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <JoORe.5337$9i4.2960@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117903

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125623827.627282.287040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>
>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?

Agreed.

> Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
> infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
> with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.

Agreed, but there's no doubt that hams are assisting in the overall
process. Such assistance at least lightens the communications
load on the remaining commercial infrastructure.

> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
> equipment and render it useless as well.

Maybe, maybe not....depending on the portability of that
equipment and how/where the ham actually has/had it when disastor stuck.

> The relief efforts in Louisiana and Mississippi are being
> handled by MANY, MANY different volunteers and MOST of them
> do not appear to be or are identified as amateur radio
> operators.
>
>>7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
> Those networks are operating OUTSIDE the flood regions,
> have NOT been inundated with flood waters.

But they are delivering messages to and from the flood areas.

>>I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>>Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>>to
>>family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
> Then that young lady is NOT under water and has gotten to a
> location that is NOT under water or destroyed (somehow, you
> have not specified that transport) and has the help of

> an amateur radio station set up for this purpose on DRY land
> (some place unspecified).

I must ask... What's the difference? Does
it mean that ham radio isn't providing helpful and needed
additional communications?

>>Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>>before.
>
> That is excellent service that is provided (partly) by amateur
> radio. "Daddy" somehow has gotten the news and that probably
> is completed over a telephone circuit...a telephone circuit of
> the evil "commercial infrastructure" that was NOT destroyed.

Again, the fact that hams played a part is all that matters if
the communication between father/family could not have
otherwise been completed.

<SNIP>

Cheers,
To those hams in the thick of the emergency...well done
and keep up the good work.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

77. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 02:08:30 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <yuORe.5344$9i4.1457@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117904

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:JoORe.5337$9i4.2960@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1125623827.627282.287040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>>
>>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>
> Agreed.

Let me revise that.

If non-amateur includes CD, FRS and GMRS then I agree. If by
amateur Len is only referencing the Amateur Service (Part 97)
then I disagree and would suggest the following.

Commercial Infrastructure is anything other than CB, FRS,
GMRS or Amateur Service.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

78. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 02:31:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MPORe.5425$_84.1806@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117908

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125623827.627282.287040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>
Nothing worth wasting bandwith on from the idiot on the left coast.

<plonk>

Dan/W4NTI

79. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 02:34:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xSORe.5427$_84.3637@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117909

The mobile unit I was refering to is OBVIOUS to anyone that has Ham Radio Emergency Com experience. This totally elliminates dipshit Lennie. But to help the dummy out....they drove in from Anniston Alabama.....and to help him out....yes they had a proper pass.

Lennie, just sit down on your hands and shut up. You have NOTHING to contribute, as usual.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:JoORe.5337$9i4.2960@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1125623827.627282.287040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>>
>>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>
> Agreed.
>
>> Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
>> infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
>> with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.
>
> Agreed, but there's no doubt that hams are assisting in the overall
> process. Such assistance at least lightens the communications
> load on the remaining commercial infrastructure.
>
>> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
>> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
>> equipment and render it useless as well.
>
> Maybe, maybe not....depending on the portability of that
> equipment and how/where the ham actually has/had it when disastor stuck.
>
>> The relief efforts in Louisiana and Mississippi are being
>> handled by MANY, MANY different volunteers and MOST of them
>> do not appear to be or are identified as amateur radio
>> operators.
>>

>>>7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>
>> Those networks are operating OUTSIDE the flood regions,
>> have NOT been inundated with flood waters.
>
> But they are delivering messages to and from the flood areas.
>
>>>I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>>>Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>>>to
>>>family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>
>> Then that young lady is NOT under water and has gotten to a
>> location that is NOT under water or destroyed (somehow, you
>> have not specified that transport) and has the help of
>> an amateur radio station set up for this purpose on DRY land
>> (some place unspecified).
>
> I must ask... What's the difference? Does
> it mean that ham radio isn't providing helpful and needed
> additional communications?
>
>>>Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>>>before.
>>
>> That is excellent service that is provided (partly) by amateur
>> radio. "Daddy" somehow has gotten the news and that probably
>> is completed over a telephone circuit...a telephone circuit of
>> the evil "commercial infrastructure" that was NOT destroyed.
>
> Again, the fact that hams played a part is all that matters if
> the communication between father/family could not have
> otherwise been completed.
>
> <SNIP>
>
> Cheers,
> To those hams in the thick of the emergency...well done
> and keep up the good work.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

80. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 02:37:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <KVORe.5432$_84.3544@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117910

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:14qdnSjmFvzTKIreRVn-pA@gbronline.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>>
>>
>>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>>
>> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>>
>> Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
>> infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
>> with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.
>>
>> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
>> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
>> equipment and render it useless as well.
>
> You truly are an idiot lennyboy.

Exactly my point about Lennie. He has absolutely no idea what ham radio
does and is capable of. So why do we even read what he says?

Dan/W4NTI

81. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 02:39:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hXORe.5434$_84.4044@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117911

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:J6ydnZ2dnZ04Y9HFnZ2dnWEqit6dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>>>it.
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've NEVER been "anti-ham." What I HAVE been
>> against is the morse code test for any amateur radio license.
>> What I HAVE been against is the braggadoccio of morse code mode
>> over and above any other mode in effectiveness. What I HAVE
>> been against is that morse code mode is the "only" mode possible
>> in emergency communications.
>
> And just were do you see anywhere that Morse code is being pushed forward
> as the only means of communication in this disaster? Has anyone even
> mentioned Morse code being used in emergency communication in this
> disaster? All the emergency ham frequencies I have seen listed, where the
> emergency comms are taking place, are in the voice portion of the bands.
> You really do need to try to keep up lennie, maybe you meds need changing.
>>
>> And what I HAVE been against is all you PCTA emotional retards
>> who think/state/live the notion that U.S. amateur radio is ONLY
>> about morse code mode, morsemanship, and neglecting the majority
>> of licensed radio amateurs who do NOT agree with you waving the
>> ham flag as ONLY yours and ONLY that of the morsemen.
>
> And just what in the sam hill does this have to do with the hams that are
> now providing much needed emergency comms in this disaster?
> You are a real first class dip-wit lennieboy.

I didn't even mention this....but I passed several Health and Welfare
messages via CW on the regular nets.

Dan/W4NTI

82. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 02:54:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3436@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117913

Ethan Jones wrote:
> In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4657@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt
>
> to
>
>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What
>
> you
>
>>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
>>>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
>>>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
>>>>>
>>>>>You seem to love playing stupid.
>>>>
>>>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
>>>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
>>>
>>>
>>>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
>>>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
>>>non-amateurs.
>>
>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?

>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
>>
>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
>>
>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> You *should* work on your audio, supposed "well known DX-er." For example, when
> you checked into that 3.865 net, it sounded like crap. Must be the cheap radios
> on your end.

I guess you're anonymous on the net as well as usenet. Maybe you'll
figure out a way to use two anonymous callsigns to disguise yourself.

Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
the voices begin talking to you again.

Dave K8MN

83. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:02:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_gPRe.4863$Wd7.1873@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117914

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
>>
>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
>>
>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.

> The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
> think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.

That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.

Do you ever tire of being wrong?

Dave K8MN

84. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:17:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <14qdnSjmFvzTKIreRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117905

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>
>
>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
>
> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>
> Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
> infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
> with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.
>
> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
> equipment and render it useless as well.

You truly are an idiot lennyboy.

85. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:20:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <KuOdnVh8PbWkK4reRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117906

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
>
> I must ask... What's the difference? Does
> it mean that ham radio isn't providing helpful and needed
> additional communications?

Lennieboy would like to belive that with all his little heart, but the fact remains...ham radio has and is at this very moment providing much need emergency comms in the disaster area. Ham radio continues to be a very helpful tool in disaster emergency comms, much to the chagrin of the likes of lennieboy and frankieboy.

86. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:28:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gFPRe.3657$4P5.2853@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117915

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 1, 3:37 am
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
```

>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>
>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>>
>>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>>
>>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
>>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
>>
>>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
>>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>>
>>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
>>
>>>>You seem to love playing stupid.
>>
>>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
>>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
>>
>>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
>>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
>>non-amateurs.
>
>
> By all appearances, the "Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse" want to
> exclude all but PCTAs in this newsgroup.

There's one of your deliberate misstatements, Leonard.

> They do so with charges of "stupidity" and "errors" and some
> uncivil remarks including labels of "piranha" and "Foghorn Lenhorn"
> and "little electrolytic acolyte" and other endearing terms.

Len, you've invented more rude names for folks than any person here.
You started out as windy, insulting, condescending and pontificating.
It went downhill from there. Poor baby. Tsk, tsk. :-) :-)

> Then
> they get upset by others calling them names. The PCTA extra Double
> Standard is always invoked in their postings.

...and there's one of your factual errors.

> Case in point is James Miccolis constant statement of "that's
> just plain wrong" in regards to others' opinions...as if he were
> the sole judge of what is right and wrong.

You know, Leonard, it's funny that when Jim uses that phrase, you almost
always turn out to be just plain wrong.

> Then there is his
> (macro) paragraph of denunciation of my postings with uncivil

> terms in it, repeated and repeated on his whim.

I don't see that profile of your likely actions to be a denunciation at all. It reads like an accurate summary of your actions. All anyone has to do is look at N2EY's profile and compare it with archives of your past posts. Any reader can make a judgement as to whether the profile is accurate or inaccurate.

>
>>>>>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
>>>>>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>>
>>>>Do your own homework.
>>
>>>My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
>>>specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.
>>
>>best of luck.
>
>
> Heil forgets that I've openly SUGGESTED to the PCTA to get their own
> MODERATED newsgroup.

I haven't forgotten. Brian Burke may have though. He seems to think it was some sort of PCTA plot.

> That way they can be assured of proper Group
> Think and not be bothered by opposing opinions from non-PCTA folk.

Do you really think that any self-respecting radio amateur would let a non-ham tell us to go away from a newsgroup dealing with amateur radio? You've probably gathered that it won't happen.

> This newsgroup is UNmoderated and that is how most feel it should
> be.

No kidding? Really?

> But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.

What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now. I'll bet you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.

> Lacking
> valid arguments on SUBJECTS he attempts personal attack by constant
> reference to non-licensed amateurs' postings as if those were "not
> allowed." Heil acts the bigot on newsgroup participation.

I've never ever said anything about your postings not being allowed. I have stated that I don't give them any credence and I've suggested that others might want to discount the rantings of an old gent with no experience in amateur radio. You've gone way, way beyond that. You have, in comments to the FCC, said that they should disregard the comments of several radio amateurs. You're pathetic.

> Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
> post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
> K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
> Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
> reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
> misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
> differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
> "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.

I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name "Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.

You can tell the Mrs. that those dratted hams aren't giving you any respect again.

Dave K8MN

87. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:28:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <J6ydnZ2dnZ04Y9HFnZ2dnWEqit6dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117907

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove it.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've NEVER been "anti-ham." What I HAVE been
> against is the morse code test for any amateur radio license.
> What I HAVE been against is the braggadoccio of morse code mode
> over and above any other mode in effectiveness. What I HAVE
> been against is that morse code mode is the "only" mode possible
> in emergency communications.

And just were do you see anywhere that Morse code is being pushed
forward as the only means of communication in this disaster? Has anyone
even mentioned Morse code being used in emergency communication in this
disaster? All the emergency ham frequencies I have seen listed, where
the emergency comms are taking place, are in the voice portion of the
bands. You really do need to try to keep up lennie, maybe you meds need
changing.
>
> And what I HAVE been against is all you PCTA emotional retards
> who think/state/live the notion that U.S. amateur radio is ONLY
> about morse code mode, morsemanship, and neglecting the majority
> of licensed radio amateurs who do NOT agree with you waving the
> ham flag as ONLY yours and ONLY that of the morsemen.

And just what in the sam hill does this have to do with the hams that
are now providing much needed emergency comms in this disaster?
You are a real first class dip-wit lennieboy.

88. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:40:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <8c1902ebdcad18b34edc43cb6b77bcc0@dizum.com>
Source record: 117918

```
In article <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3436@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Ethan Jones wrote:
> > In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
```

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > you
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>You seem to love playing stupid.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding

> >>>non-amateurs.
> >>
> >>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> >>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> >>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
> >>
> >>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> >>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> >>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
> >>
> >>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> >>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > You *should* work on your audio, supposed "well known DX-er." For example,
when
> > you checked into that 3.865 net, it sounded like crap. Must be the cheap
radios
> > on your end.
>
>
> I guess you're anonymous on the net as well as usenet. Maybe you'll
> figure out a way to use two anonymous callsigns to disguise yourself.

Maybe you'll figure out something for once and it will be a first. You sure
can't figure out how to get good audio.

> Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
> the voices begin talking to you again.
>
> Dave K8MN

Who's Roger, you utterly stupid man? It sounds like you are on some medications
yourself.

89. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:47:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6igfh1tpd8700rnsn9j2t2ki34t67ovuh5@4ax.com>
Source record: 117917

```
On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 01:15:18 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<GINRe.5309$9i4.186@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>news:u7idnfqnYNr9AIreRVn-qg@gbronline.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>> amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>> Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>>
>>> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>>
>>> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>>> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>>> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>>
>>> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>>> before.
>>>
>>> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>>> it.
>>>
>>> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Ask frankieboy to turn on his SeeBee and tell us all about the disaster
>> traffic they are handling.
>
>This just came over 3965...
>
>On 3965, KB7BSA is with the Baptist feeding unit in Biloxi MS.
>
>She just passed this along after sending out 40 Health and Welfare
>messages.....
>
>" Ham radio is all there is for communications. Police, Fire, Rescue,
>Emergency Services, all of them using Ham Radio, there is NOTHING ELSE
>that works"
```

I've always said that ham radio has it's usefulness in certain
situations. This is most definitely one of those situations. But I
have no doubt whatsoever that every -other- mode of communication that
works, radio or not, is being used to it's fullest limitations.

And for some glory seeking hams to declare themselves and their
service to be the exclusive 'heros de jour' is absolutely repulsive,
especially while the situation continues to erode and people continue
to die.

Let me make this -REAL- clear to you, Dan: It's not about ham radio or
any other radio service. It's about the people that are suffering in
this disaster. So instead of thumping your chest while standing on a
pile of rubble and dead bodies, how about you hero-wannabe hammies
show a little dignity and set aside your self-aggrandizing delusions
for a little while?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

90. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:50:15 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <YvGdnc1KYNyEIIreRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117912

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>
>
>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
>
> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>
> Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
> infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
> with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.
>
> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
> equipment and render it useless as well.
>
> The relief efforts in Louisiana and Mississippi are being
> handled by MANY, MANY different volunteers and MOST of them
> do not appear to be or are identified as amateur radio
> operators.
>
>
>>7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
>
> Those networks are operating OUTSIDE the flood regions,
> have NOT been inundated with flood waters.

And your point is? So you think they have to be inudated with flood
waters before they can be of service? You are a real piece of work
lennieboy.
>
>
>>I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>>Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages to
>>family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
>
> Then that young lady is NOT under water and has gotten to a
> location that is NOT under water or destroyed (somehow, you
> have not specified that transport) and has the help of

> an amateur radio station set up for this purpose on DRY land
> (some place unspecified).

And? So you think it is only valid emergency comms if she goes to someplace under water. Why wouldn't an amateur station be set up on DRY land. Would you set one up under water?...yeah, you probably would try.
>
>
>>Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>>before.
>
>
> That is excellent service that is provided (partly) by amateur
> radio. "Daddy" somehow has gotten the news and that probably
> is completed over a telephone circuit...a telephone circuit of
> the evil "commercial infrastructure" that was NOT destroyed.

So you seem to have a problem with the "partly" part. Really grinds your butt that the hams are carrying on the fine tradition they have been known for for decades, that of helping to provide emergency communications in times of disaster, which they are very good at.

Poor lennieboy, can only stand on the sidelines and look on and trash that which he can't be a part of. Maybe frankieboy will come put his arm around you for comfort and you two can commiserate together.

91. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 03:58:21 UTC
From: "Jasper Towing Co, Ltd." <jastowcoltd@aol.com>
Message-ID: <BAMRe.4227$UQ1.4141@fe03.lga>
Source record: 117891
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tennessee Titans quarterback Steve McNair teamed with Green Bay Packers quarterback Brett Favre and arranged to fill a tractor-trailer with relief supplies bound for Mississippi. McNair also asked his fans to help him raise money for victims of Hurricane Katrina. McNair, who has a home near Favre's in Hattiesburg, Miss., is offering to swap an autographed photo for each minimum donation of $100 to his foundation.
"me" <me@me.com> wrote in message
news:a15fh1ps25d51ucbcasrr05dek20turha5@4ax.com...
: On 1 Sep 2005 12:22:25 -0700, barnegatdx@aol.com wrote:
:
: >
: >Article about Looters in NY Times..
: >
: >http://tinyurl.com/ch45k
: >
: >
:
: I say more power to them, I'd SHOOT first and ask questions later!
:

92. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 04:36:49 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <lglfh1tb697goic36n8g0j0c8jlvhnsemh@4ax.com>
Source record: 117919

On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 00:26:31 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing

<snip>

Is anyone else getting tired of hearing the phrase, (or any variation
thereof) "step up to the plate" besides me?

93. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 04:40:36 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <ZOadnS5W3Nd6VYreRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 117916

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
> equipment and render it useless as well.

FOX30
WAWS
Ham Radio operators provide early communication after storm
Last Update: 8/30/2005 9:45:19 AM

The technology has been around for close to 100 years, and during natural disaster like Hurricane Katrina, it could be one of the most reliable forms of communication.

ï¿½Ham radioï¿½ operators may soon be helping in the recovery effort during the cleanup phase of Katrina relief. Even after a storm like Katrina, operators in the gulf states can be back on the air delivering important information immediately.

Allen Jones is an amateur emergency radio operator in St., Johns County. While wind and rain can cut other forms of communication, Jones says ham radio operators can be back on the air in no time. Most have back-up generators and just need to put antennas back up that have been taken out.

Jones and others like him worked out of shelters during last yearï¿½s hurricanes, making sure messages got out. For Katrina, Jones has already tried to help a friend who couldnï¿½t get in touch with a family member in hurricane ravaged Gulfport, Mississippi. Getting that important update may mean reaching out to several other operators along the way.

ï¿½They'll spread the word,ï¿½ says Jones. ï¿½Somebody will know that person, and they'll find that person.ï¿½

Allen says there are 35 ham operators on his emergency communication team in St. Johns County. He'll soon learn if any of them will be needed to operate radio equipment where hurricane Katrina struck.

Ham operators hope to get a digital link set up at hospitals and the EOC in St. Johns County. That way, prescriptions and other important information can be printed and available to shelters.

WLOX managers suggest the best way to find news of friends and family is through HAM radio operators. There is a HAM radio operator stationed at WLOX; call sign is WX5AAA.

94. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 04:52:18 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125636738.453787.294360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117920

From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 2 Sep 2005 02:08

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>>>
>>>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>>
>>> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>>
>> Agreed.
>
>Let me revise that.
>
>If non-amateur includes CD, FRS and GMRS then I agree. If by
>amateur Len is only referencing the Amateur Service (Part 97)
>then I disagree and would suggest the following.
>
>Commercial Infrastructure is anything other than CB, FRS,
>GMRS or Amateur Service.

Bill, not to nit-pick, but on the same subject you would have to add in the NATIONAL GUARD. Definitely not "commercial." One could also add the National Military Forces should they be activated; Louisiana NG is already activated within that state. National Guard has rugged multi-environment equipment and vehicles.

We can also consider local PUBLIC SAFETY agencies as "non-commercial" (police, fire, ambulances), could we not? In truth, New Orleans has only 1,500 police officers, not nearly enough to properly police things in such a devastated area, but they do have local radio communications equipment.

We ought to consider what BASIC EMERGENCY NEEDS are. Plain survival always comes first with humans (sex is secondary to survival). For that the BASIC NEEDS are: Food, shelter (dry), toilet, hygiene, clothing/footwear (as appropriate for climate), medical (as needed, not all need it immediately), protection/police. That order is approximate, most-to-least.

In the immediate disaster areas of Louisiana and Mississippi, those basic needs are being provided (not necessarily all or in order) by the LOCALS who are within human-voice talking distance of victims. Most of those BASIC NEEDS come from supplies and/or services and must be physically delivered. In many observed situations, supplies and services CANNOT be phsically delivered due to flooding making roads impassible, existing aerial wires prohibiting helicopter descents, debris of all kinds inhibiting water or vehicles.

Looking at the overall big picture of SURVIVAL for tens of thousands of victims, "communications" some distance away is NOT on the BASIC SURVIVAL need list. Victims want to communicate with immediate rescuers, helpers, aid people FIRST. They must have BASIC NEEDS. Once those basic needs are at least partly satisfied, they can turn their attention to distant communications, to contact family, friends...but that is NOT in the basic needs listing.

Those of us sitting in safe, dry homes, typing away at keyboards, have the basic needs at hand, don't need help. Most of us don't think about them because we aren't in a disaster zone, suffering the aftermath of widespread destruction, flooding. It is very easy to talk about radio networks and health and welfare messages AS IF they were some kind of "basic needs" but those are NOT. Yes, news to/from family and friends IS important, but, when faced with a loss of everything or nearly everything in the BASIC NEEDS FOR SURVIVAL listing, it is way down on the importance priority.

For tens of thousands of humans in Louisiana and Mississippi, the basic needs for survival are NEEDED NOW. Most don't have a single one of the basic needs now and none of those can be supplied by a radio. Local aid people have to handle that, up-close and personal, ON THE SCENE. Now radio communications CAN be of service to those local aid people, coordinating their activities and delivery/distribution of needed supplies/shelter. Such radio communications is presently being handled by radio facilities that survived the hurricane and following flooding. Maybe THAT is where amateur radios (that also survived the hurricane and flooding) can help. "Health and welfare messages" just wouldn't be on a disaster victim's immediate needs right now.

We need to put things in perspective...and rationally try to imagine the plight of victims who have lost almost ALL of their basic survival necessities.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

95. new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 04:53:05 UTC
From: lloyd the toide <flushhhhhh@yahooblows.com>
Message-ID: <cc0b9$4317dab4$d1cc7c3d$16727@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117921
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

From the Chicago Sun-Times:

http://www.suntimes.com/output/feder/cst-fin-feder01.html

On [Dahl's] (105.9) afternoon show Tuesday, he mused about creating a drink inspired by
reports of "floating corpses" in New Orleans. And on his Web log Wednesday, he elaborated.

"Okay, so maybe now I feel a little guilty that I wanted to see New Orleans completely
covered in water," Dahl wrote. "You have to admit, though, that the helicopter rescues
and the looting make for some interesting TV. I guess I understand the looting for food
and water. If I were flooded out of my home, I think I would crave a 32-ounce bottle of
Dasani water and a can of SpaghettiOs myself. But trying on clothes while standing knee
deep in floodwater? That seems a bit much. I'm still waiting on the floating corpses.

"To that end, I have sent away for five pounds of Chocolate Babies to act as 'floaters' in
the new drink I'll be inventing this week: The Floating Corpse. ... I'm thinking Creme de
Cacao, Kahlua, some rum, maybe a little cream and a floating Chocolate Baby.

"Of course, I'll fine tune that and come up with a 'secret ingredient' or two, but that's the
general cocktail framework that I'm thinking of presently. Sort of a Mudslide, without the
mud. More of a Muddy Waters.

"Also, just for the record, the Chocolate Baby thing is not meant to be racist in any way.
Yes, there have been a lot of African Americans on TV being rescued and the like, but they
don't make anything other than Chocolate Babies or Licorice Babies, and it seemed to me
that chocolate was less offensive. It's a judgment call. If they made Strawberry Babies, I
would have ordered five pounds of them too."

I nearly peed myself folks.... ;)

96. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 05:00:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <770d0023bdf3aae8cc30f22f14358cda@dizum.com>
Source record: 117922

In article <1125545627.121805.42720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >The FCC rules states it should be of general interest to amateurs, your
> >opinion, I guarantee, is of no interest to amateurs.
>
> Again that's YOUR opinion.

Fuck off, Toad, you little faggot.

97. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 05:46:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125639965.053058.234070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117923

>Fuck off, Toad, you little faggot.

NO FUCK YOU YOU LITTLE BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!!! If you aren't going to write about the discussion don't write anything at all you fucking troll!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

98. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 05:54:49 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <htpfh1lmonb3tu0b716sot91ovpbvkvc8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 117924
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 10:04:12 -0400, "Tyrone Kuntakintae"
<auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com> wrote:

>This freakin ends now!!
>
>The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
>strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
>the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
>the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
>HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!

Are you done thumping your pud in public? You're as bad as the
bastard Bush. When Diane Sawyer asked about people who were taking
only food, diapers, etc, the monster had to interrupt her to blow out
his oh-so-stallionlike "zero tolerance" Texas bullshit. I thought he
was going to stand =up and come in her lap.

This is the same hypocrite who signed a law while governor
requiring severe penalties for minors caught drinking. But after he
stole the presidency, his drunken slut of a daughter gets off with
"community service" when nabbed. The service she should have done was
being turned out while tied low on the hitching post.

>
>
>"Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
>news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
>> First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles attacking
>> police stations.
>>
>> A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets, the
>> back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
>>
>> Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
>> helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
>>
>> What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to turn
>> flooded NO into another Fallujah.

99. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 06:30:08 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <54ba2b5afcfbde80ff1993d840937ec2@dizum.com>
Source record: 117925

In article <3bydnf80OJo3woreRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 06:24:17 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> > <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote in
> > <KfudnZ2dnZ34tpyPnZ2dnXJLi96dnZ2dRVn-yJ2d...@comcast.com>:
> > >
> > <snip>
> > >
> > >>In disasters, the real problem is
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > What experience have you had with disaster communications that makes
> > you think you can draw any sort of conclusion as to what the problems
> > are or might be?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>not the rules but the nature of radio wave
> > >>propagation on the 11 meter band (as well as the similar 10m and 12m ham
> > >>bands). You can get close in (10 to 20 miles) via line of site or you get
> > >>skip out to thousands of miles via ionospheric propagation when the solar
> > >>flux is high enough. However the intermediate distances of a few hundred
> > >>miles just are not going to be covered unless you are lucky enough to get
> > >>some uncommon propagation modes like backscatter.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > What makes you think that DX radio comprises all -- or even a major
> > part of -- communications in a disaster or emergency situation?
>
> No one has said that is does frankie, do please try to keep up.

Steve C. Thompson
3671 EAST VAUGHN AVENUE
GILBERT, AZ 85234

100. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 10:28:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VMidne44Ra41tIXeRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 117926

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:X_MRe.5348$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
> before.
>
> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
> it.
>
> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

And I'd like to add kudos for all those outside the disaster area who are processing the traffic. I've heard people with voices quavery with extreme old age and voices young enough that I doubt they are old enough to shave and every age in between. All are operating in an efficient and professional manner.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

101. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 10:39:23 UTC
From: N7TX <N7TX@cbrules.com>
Message-ID: <1125657735.198c5175f45430179904dec10e324d9b@teranews>
Source record: 117927

```
breaker breaker
```

102. Katrina Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 11:02:26 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <3hbgh19gbpbc4ar7toaph6qec4msm98v6a@4ax.com>
Source record: 117928

Hello RRAP,

FWIW, here are some of the HF frequencxies that have been found active
with Katrina-related communications:

****

Hurricane Katrina HF Response/Recovery Frequencies

02802.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-91)
03171.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-92)
05136.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-93)
05141.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-94)
05211.0 USB FEMA
05236.0 USB SHARES Coordination Network (nationwide HF voice
coordination)
06859.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-95)
07507.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net (pri)
07550.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-96 - primary)
07698.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (F-97)
09380.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net (sec)
10493.0 USB FEMA
14396.5 USB SHARES Coordination Network (nationwide HF voice
coordination)

Amateur HF Gulf Coast Hurricane Nets

03845.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
03862.5 LSB Mississippi Section Traffic
03873.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03873.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (night)
03873.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (night)
03873.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
03910.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
03910.0 LSB Louisiana Traffic
03923.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
03925.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03925.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)
03935.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
03935.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health & welfare)
03935.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
03940.0 LSB Southern Florida Emergency
03950.0 LSB Northern Florida Emergency
03955.0 LSB South Texas Emergency

03965.0 LSB Alabama Emergency (altn)
03967.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing traffic)
03975.0 LSB Texas RACES
03993.5 LSB Gulf Coast (health & welfare)
03995.0 LSB Gulf Coast Wx

07225.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
07235.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
07240.0 LSB American Red Cross US Gulf Coast Disaster
07240.0 LSB Texas Emergency
07243.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
07245.0 LSB Southern Louisiana
07248.0 LSB Texas RACES
07250.0 LSB Texas Emergency
07260.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
07264.0 LSB Gulf Coast (health & welfare)
07265.0 LSB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN) (altn)
07273.0 LSB Texas ARES (altn)
07280.0 LSB NTS Region 5
07280.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)
07283.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing only)
07285.0 LSB West Gulf ARES Emergency (day)
07285.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (day)
07285.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
07285.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (day)
07290.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
07290.0 LSB Gulf Coast Wx
07290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
07290.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health & welfare) (day)
07290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health & welfare)
07290.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health & welfare)

14265.0 USB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN) (health &
welfare)
14300.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic
14300.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service
14303.0 USB International Assistance & Traffic
14313.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic (altn)
14313.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service (altn)
14316.0 USB Health & Welfare
14320.0 USB Health & Welfare
14325.0 USB Hurricane Watch (Amateur-to-National Hurricane Center)
14340.0 USB Louisiana (1900)

Credit is due to the Worldwide Utility News Network (WUN) for many of
the loggings used in compiling this list.

http://www.wunclub.com

Presented here as a public service DE John, KC2HMZ
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

103. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 11:44:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125661482.767811.235730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117929

N9OGL wrote:
> >K4YZ said...
> >There's no "thin line".
> >It's either an "information bulletin" or it's broadcasting.
>
> There's a BIG difference between an information bulletin and a
> broadcast. A broadcast is directed to the general public as a whole
> while an information Bulletin is directed to a small group.

And your opinionated broadcasts are just that...Broadcasts.

> >Once it's "opinonated" it stops being an "information bulletin"
> >and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.
>
> Not necessary, You can get information out of an opinion, it's up to
> the person hearing the opinion to determine if he go's along with that
> train of thought.A good example is look at court cases, a ruling by a
> court is not called a ruling but an opinion. At any rate Society is
> based on two input General information and Opinions you can't just have
> one.

Todd, The purpose of an "information bulletin" is to provide the
aforementioned "listener" with specific, timely INFORMATION wth which
to make operational decisions as they pertain to his/her Amateur
station...

And Todd...Society is ALSO based on specific facts.

As far as Amateur bulletins go, they should be timely, CONCISE
bits of information...propagation updates, rules changes by
FCC...operating activity parameters...short term emergency operation
limits...

> >But an information bulletin has to have INFORMATION in it.
> >Once tainted by editorialization, it's no longer objective
>
> There is nothing in the FCC rules that stated that, Nor does the FCC
> rules state that an Information Bulletin has to be a pure piece of
> facts.

Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.

> The Definition that YOU have given is the Genreral definition of the
> word Information bulletin, However, It is NOT defined in the FCC rules.

Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.

> The FCC has the power to define what a definition is in the rules, for
> example the FCC can stated that an informational bulletin may only be a
> bono fide newscast, but that is not what we see here. In other words
> the FCC can limit what something is provided it the lease restrictive
> means necessary to promote govenment interest.

Todd, you're dancing around here hoping to find some resolute hole
in the rules to justify being a junior disc jockey.

K1MAN is in the hot water he's in today partially because most
Amateurs consider his OPINIONATED, copy-cat bulletins to be

> > "97.1369 When in doubt about what constitutes an "information
> >bulletin" as opposed to a "broadcast", anything Todd McDaugherty says
> >is wrong."
>
> Your going to have to quote that rule for me steve, I looked in the
> rules and couldn't find it. here's what I found
>
> 97.3(a)(10)
>
> "(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general
> public, either direct or relayed. "
>
> 97.3(a)(25)
>
> "(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service."
>
> 97.111(b)(6)
>
> "(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized
> elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following
> types of one-way communications:
>
> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins; "
>
> 97.113(b)
>
> "(b) An amateur station shall not engage in any form of broadcasting,
> nor may an amateur station transmit one-way communications EXCEPT as
> specifically provided in these rules; nor shall an amateur station
> engage in any activity related to program production or news gathering
> for broadcasting purposes, except that communications directly related
> to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may
> be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to
> the public where no other means of communication is reasonably
> available before or at the time of the event. " [EMP. ADDED]

>
> But I didn't see the rule you stated.

No, you wouldn't since it was an "opinion", Todd.

NOW are you getting the idea...?!?!

> And one has to be relatively objective in what constitutes
> "amateur interest".

No...one has to be SUBJECTIVE...The SUBJECT being Amateur Radio.

I don't give a Rat's Kazoo about The OPINIONS of K1MAN, N9OGL, or
the Staffers at ARRL...When I hear an INFORMATION BULLETIN, I want
FACTS...I want to know what frequencies are off limits due to
disasters... I want to know the callsign of that DXpedition to Never
Never Land...I want to know about some new experimental technique that
folks are working with...

I do NOT want to wade through the "And here's what >>I<< think.."
crap.

> > Toiddie, the opinions of a foul mouthed, immature, basement
> >dwelling domestic parasite such as your self are of zero-point-squat
> >interest to the Amateur Radio service.
> > Set up 1000 blogs if you want to...Internet bandwidth is almost
> >limitless...Once your tripe hits the airwaves it's QRM.
>
> That's YOUR opinion, and who said I use profanity on the air??? I very
> civil on the radio. As for as QRM, as long as I'm using a unused
> frequency it's legal.

It's my opinion and the opinion of almost everyone in THIS forum
who's cared to offer an OPNION on the subject.

> >Which in this case does not apply.
> >The only "content" issues were Baxter's pecuniary use of Amateur
> >Radio. Those are clearly within the FCC's purview to limit
>
> K1MAN would of been legal if he would of these things
>
> 1. pick an Unused frequency
> 2. didn't discuss or promote his website
> 3. remained at the control point.
>
> appart from that his INFORMATION BULLETINS were legal.

But the FACTS are they AREN'T legal.

And Amateurs have pretty well made it clear that W1AW's bulletins
are more than enough one-way stuff on the Amateur allocations.

> >Again...You keep avoiding the fact that the FCC C L E A R L Y is
> >allowed to prohibit commerical use of the Amateur Service.

> >This isn't about Baxter discussing religious preferences or the
> >color of his wallpaper
>
> First the FCC over the last 10 to 15 years has allowed more commerical
> use...SNIP TO>>>

> <<<UNSNIP...As a matter of fact a club station running CW practice or an
> Information bulletin 40 hours per week can compensate the operator. So
> some "commercial" operations are allowed.

The payment of monies to an operator who is doing the work of
operating a station that otherwise is NON commercial does NOT make the
enterprise a commercial one. It means that someone got paid to turn
the thing off and on and to run the tapes at the appointed hour.

Steve, K4YZ

104. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 12:15:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125663301.407090.7360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117930

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frank of Silliland wrote:
> > > On 30 Aug 2005 02:33:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > <1125394431.177060.259480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > > >
> > > cut

Hey Frank...

You gonna let other people fight your fights for you?

I mean, after all, you made a point of chiding Dave Heil for
speaking on my behalf.

(Snickersnickersnickersnicker.....)

> > > Because they weren't appealed, or couldn't you figure that out by
> > > yourself? You can appeal a summary court martial on an issue of law or
> > > an issue of procedure, but not based on evidence that wasn't available
> > > or not presented at the hearing. Hence, no appeal. 18 alleged years in
> > > the USMC and you don't know squat about the UCMJ......
> >
> > I know more than you think.
>
> you'd have to know more than he think you do, or for that matter than I
> think you do.

I know a lot more than both of your together, no doubt.

> OTOH no one is likely to find out what you might know under all the
> Bull##### you spew forth

This is great!

A disgraced, twice court martialed, one enlistment, sick-bay
commando being "defended" by a never served, mentally deficient,
lied-about-being-a-colonel who admits to intentional deceit and deviant
behaviour!

Wadda pair!

> > > Where, in the charter, does it require that anyone who posts here be a
> > > ham?
> >
> > It doesn't. But your snide, "Cut their throats any way possible"
> > attitude shows...

>
> that is you balliwick Stevie

Nope.

> > > >> You used to try
> > > >> and exert control over your challengers by starting new threads with
> > > >> almost every reply, yet for the past week you can't seem to break out
> > > >> of -this- thread.
> > > >
> > > > If you were paying attention, Frankie, the threads were in
> > > >response to MARKIE'S frequent changes.
> > >
> > > So you have the mentality of a lemming -- as if -that's- a suprise....
> >
> > As do you...Following your Feeble Five brothers without detour...
>
> Brothers? really now you are charting new ground in your delusions

Nope.

Brothers summs it up nicely.

> or is it just another Stevie lie

Nope.

> > > Nice try yourself; just a couple weeks ago you prided yourself on your
> > > ability to correct others on -their- spelling, putting yourself on an
> > > intellectual pedestal above everyone else. But all of a sudden you
> > > can't even find the spell-check button. Now you excuse yourself from
> > > bad spelling by claiming that you are just as faulty as everyone else.
> > > You fell off your pedestal, Dudly.
> >
> > I never was on one, Frankie, and had never put myself over anyone.
>
> big whooper

"whopper"

Nope.

> > Most of what "goes on" in this forum HAS been going one for YEARS,
> > Frankie...The "last few days" is irrelevent in the over all scheme of
> > things.
>
> hmm you have made major admissiions in the last week been caught lying
> again yea very little in the scheme of things

I've made NO "admissions", major or minor, Markie.

YOU have tried to draw conclusions based upon faulty or irrelevent
statements...In MOST cases you site as "quotes" words that you don't
actually QUOTE...

> > > > Or maybe they just realize that you're the loser you've turned out
> > > >to be...
> > >
> > > Well, if -they- realized that much, why haven't you? You could have
> > > simply put me in your killfile and be done with me, just like you
> > > could have done with Len and others. Nope, you need to keep tabs on me
> > > and others so as to make sure that you can counter any bit of proof
> > > that exposes you as a liar. Your "friends" have abandoned you because
> > > they have no interest in defending your lies.
> >
> > What lies?
>
> one the lie that you were going to killfile some of us

Some of you are.

Usually when you get into serious rant mode I just let you ramble
on until it's time to get into it again.

> > Neither you nor any of the other Feeble Five have proven a single
> > one, Frankie.
>
> of course we have

No, you've not.

And thanks for YOUR "admission" of BEING in the Feeble Five,
Markie!

> > No, I am not predisposed to copying and posting my military
> > records, but I HAVE provided more than a few PUBLIC reference to
> > records that verify my having served.
>
> more stevie lies

Where?

> > > That's all it says, huh? An 8-1/2" x 11" sheet of paper that has only
> > > one word printed on it: "HONORABLE". No qualifiers that would indicate
> > > to whom or what the word is referring, who printed it, who authorized
> > > it, or even why it exists? Whatever you say, Dudly.
> >
> > Nice try again, Frankie.
> >
> > You're getting lame.
>
> you have already admitted to being disabled and then denied it was
> pyscial so course it is mental as you are showing right now

"Physical"

No, it's not "mental", Markie.

And had I had a discharge from the Armed Forces for mental defect,
I would NOT have been allowed to ahve a Nursing license.

> > That you put your failed USMC service up front is nothing to be
> > proud of other than to admit to yourself that you did indeed fail to do
> > what you promised you'd do.
>
> Failed?

Yes, FAILED.

Remember a couple of weeks ago YOUR lame efforts to suggest I had
violated an Oath to Defend the Constitution of the United States,
Markie?

Well Farankie had to take that SAME oath...and IN that oath HE
swore to obey the orders of those appointed above him.

He didn't do it.

> Gee I would say being court marialed would make someone better suited
> to comment on such, Not that I would value such experence to go through
> it for nothing

"martialed"

I never needed to go through a court martial to learn that one
would be humiliating and would be a smear on my character for the rest
of my life, Markie...

> > I was never a "poster" Marine, nor was I guest of honor at any of
> > the presentations at 8th and I, but I DID manage to serve without a
> > single black mark in my SRB.
>
> yea you were somekind kiss ### of course

Nope. I caught heat on a lot of occassions because I took a stand
on issues that I thoght were critical to do so on...

But there's a WAY and a MEANS to effecting that stand without
getting court martialed.

> > Actually, Frank, it will be YOUR offspring who are disillusioned.
>
> you do think a lot of yourself and of this medium

It was Frankie's rant, Markie...

And I'm not the one carrying TWO court martials.

> > > Then don't discuss your service. Just prove that you served.
> >
> > I already have.
>
> proven nothing Stevie

Proven that you and your Feeble Five Brothers are lairs and
cowards, Markie...especially Frankie who CLAIMS to have these great
resources at his disposal, yet can't seem to search them

> > > > You're a humiliation to yourself and the Marine Corps,
> > > >Gilliland...
> > >
> > > ...yawn.
> >
> > And that is, no doubt, why you're an EX Marine.
>
> indeed shows he grew up and moved on

Nope.

He's here.

He's still a disgraced, court martialed, EX-Marine.

> > Can't carry the day with assinine arguments that are easily
> > disproven with PUBLIC records, so start in on claims of "mental
> > illness"...
>
> you are the one going about mental illness threats to call folks

What threats? Call whom?

Steve, K4YZ

105. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 13:09:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125666551.082185.144950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117931

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 1, 3:37 am
> >
> >>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
> >>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
> >>non-amateurs.
> >
> > By all appearances, the "Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse" want to
> > exclude all but PCTAs in this newsgroup.
>
> There's one of your deliberate misstatements, Leonard.

That's merely your wrong opinion. I happen to agree with Len.

> > They do so with charges of "stupidity" and "errors" and some
> > uncivil remarks including labels of "piranha" and "Foghorn Lenhorn"
> > and "little electrolytic acolyte" and other endearing terms.
>
> Len, you've invented more rude names for folks than any person here.
> You started out as windy, insulting, condescending and pontificating.
> It went downhill from there. Poor baby. Tsk, tsk. :-) :-)

David, you've started out as you've ended up. Smug.

> > Then
> > they get upset by others calling them names. The PCTA extra Double
> > Standard is always invoked in their postings.
>
> ...and there's one of your factual errors.
>
> > Case in point is James Miccolis constant statement of "that's
> > just plain wrong" in regards to others' opinions...as if he were
> > the sole judge of what is right and wrong.
>
> You know, Leonard, it's funny that when Jim uses that phrase, you almost
> always turn out to be just plain wrong.

Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
know, only you hold that distinction and title.

> > Then there is his
> > (macro) paragraph of denunciation of my postings with uncivil
> > terms in it, repeated and repeated on his whim.
>
> I don't see that profile of your likely actions to be a denunciation at

> all. It reads like an accurate summary of your actions. All anyone has
> to do is look at N2EY's profile and compare it with archives of your
> past posts. Any reader can make a judgement as to whether the profile
> is accurate or inaccurate.

Yours is smug.

> >>>>>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
> >>>>>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> >>
> >>>>Do your own homework.
> >>
> >>>My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
> >>>specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.
> >>
> >>best of luck.
> >
> > Heil forgets that I've openly SUGGESTED to the PCTA to get their own
> > MODERATED newsgroup.
>
> I haven't forgotten. Brian Burke may have though. He seems to think it
> was some sort of PCTA plot.

Then I stand corrected on that single point. However, Jim, Kelly,
RE-4YZ, and you repeatedly post that Len is not an amateur. The
purpose is to discredit Len's opinions, without considering his
opinions on their own merit. Luckily, we have a government body that
is not bound by such absurdity.

> > That way they can be assured of proper Group
> > Think and not be bothered by opposing opinions from non-PCTA folk.
>
> Do you really think that any self-respecting radio amateur would let a
> non-ham tell us to go away from a newsgroup dealing with amateur radio?
> You've probably gathered that it won't happen.

Eternal hope shines brightly.

> > This newsgroup is UNmoderated and that is how most feel it should
> > be.
>
> No kidding? Really?

Really, and without kidding.

> > But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.
>
> What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've
> haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a
> radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now.

Hmmmm? Why would Heil make such a statement?

I'll bet
> you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
> fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
> everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
> somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.

I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
cracks me up.

> > Lacking
> > valid arguments on SUBJECTS he attempts personal attack by constant
> > reference to non-licensed amateurs' postings as if those were "not
> > allowed." Heil acts the bigot on newsgroup participation.
>
> I've never ever said anything about your postings not being allowed. I
> have stated that I don't give them any credence and I've suggested that
> others might want to discount the rantings of an old gent with no
> experience in amateur radio. You've gone way, way beyond that. You
> have, in comments to the FCC, said that they should disregard the
> comments of several radio amateurs. You're pathetic.

Hmmm? You can ask folks to ignore Len, but Len cannot?

> > Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
> > post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
> > K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
> > Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
> > reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
> > misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
> > differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
> > "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
>
> I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
> real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
> warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
> "Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.

It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
meters, IMHO.

> You can tell the Mrs. that those dratted hams aren't giving you any
> respect again.
>
> Dave K8MN

One ham isn't. Never has. Too smug to be bothered by a non-ham.
Luckily we have a government organization....

106. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 13:10:42 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <df9j0h$1f36$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117932

John Smith wrote:
> K0HB:
>
> Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most of the authors
> strike me as being rather weak in math and especially in the area of
> probability and statistics--quite possibly lotus-blossom-eaters.

It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"

> First, just for starters, to get all the necessary elements formed into
> the complex amino acids to create the RNA is preposterous--let alone the
> actual creation of the RNA (and this would only be a virus--unable to
> replicate on its own.)

Why no, it really isn't preposterous.

What are the titles of your books?

> Next, to get a complex DNA structure would be
> another extraordinary event, for the proper structure (organism) to be
> present and form around the DNA AND be able to use the DNA would be
> another extraordinary event, for this organism to be able to replicate
> would be one more extraordinary event, for just one of these single celled
> organisms to go "multi-cellular" would be one more extraordinary event,
> then for each cell to develop specialized functions--another extraordinary
> event, for them to form complete organs handling a specific
> function--another extraordinary event.... AND THIS IS SUPPOSED TO GO
> RIGHT ON UP TO WHERE THE ORGANISM IS CAPABLE OF SELF-REALIZATION, COMPLEX
> THOUGHT AND CONSIDERS ITSELF TO HAVE A SPIRIT!

You are off the scientific track to begin with, otherwise you wouldn't
use the string of "Preposterous, Extraordinary, impossible, endlessly
number, impossible links, Impossible- end of story" stuff.

Want to see a few things that are interesting?

Look up lipid structures, and see their likely early life implications.
No miracles here, just simple chemistry.

You speak of RNA and DNA. When speaking of origins of life, it is
probably better to speak of metabolic pathways, as the DNA and RNA
probably evolved to accommodate them.

And are they complicated!

see http://tinyurl.com/dm8hu

This is a pdf file of the various metabolic pathways. While the major ones are ATP and glycolysis, there are many.

Now whereas you may look at this chart, and say look how complicated! this is proof that we are created by God!, I look at it and say "What kind of God would create such a sloppy convoluted MESS!"

I can only speak for myself, but if I were to create life, I would leave no doubt that it was created. There would be no processes, no interconnected pathways, nothing of the sort.

My creatures would see because I made them see, would think because they just do, and there would be no obvious source of life - cut one of them open, and inside would be nothing.

A genuine miracle. Simple, and allowing of no argument.

> ... as you can quickly see, this chain of impossible, seemingly endlessly
> numbered and impossible links of extraordinary events to have all
> occurred, all at just the right time, all in just the proper order is just
> too mathematically impossible to have any believe but those willing to
> believe the most preposterous impossibility which could ever be devised...
> in plain english--IT IS IMPOSSIBLE--END OF STORY!

You try to make it much more complicated sounding than it is.

> Those books on the subject, start quickly to, toss around these CHAINS of
> extraordinary events without the slightest considerations to the
> mathematical possibilities, which end up being NON-EXISTENT!

What were those books again?

> I had the fortune to have a mathematics professor who I worked with at the
> university, who obtained a grant and was into computing these
> possibilities, he WAS an atheist... and that is a true story!
>
> In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for angels
> or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from
> a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...
>
> I just flat do not know what to think, it is all too impossible...
> perhaps the answers are out there...
> <X-Files-theme-plays-in-the-background>
>
> ... or, perhaps there is a very simple explanation we just have not
> thought of--yet... any guess is as valid as another...

Well, the simplest answer is "All this comes from God". Simple, to the point, and the great thing is that once you accept this, you need look no further. You are her to worship him, and that is all the knowledge needed.

If you want more, if the one liner answer is not enough, I would suggest that you add time to your equations of impossibility.

As for your math professor, I wonder if he had the concept of time on the billion year scale? Almost no one does.

How is it that the human has eyes? An exquisite organ of sense to be sure. But before we throw up our hands and say that it was too complicated a structure to have simply come about by chance, we might want to take a look at the facts.

Phototropism exists at the lowest levels of life. There are bacteria in the ocean that adjust the level at which they "swim" by the amount of ambient light falling on them. It is a pretty simple thing. Various creatures make use of this in varying degrees of complexity, from simple organs that react to light coming from different directions, to simple lensed eyes, to multi lensed wonders that detect movement, to reflective layers behind the sensing structure that allow sight at the individual photon level. As well as our eyes, which although wonderful, are not at the top of the list for acuity.

But at it's root level, it *is* a simple thing. That's phototropism. A chemical reaction that would exist if there were no life to put it in.

That is just one case. The other senses are also similarly simply based. All based on detection of energy sources, and using those sources to extract information from the environment.

Just like looking at a modern automobile. While they look very complicated, at heart, they are just a compilation of simple machines.

This is in no way to say that life is not a wonderful and amazing thing. It is. It is a messy, complicated, unruly, terribly imperfect yet surprisingly resilient gastraphagus we have here.

And yet, some people look at it, and some throw their arms in the air and say that "It is so complex! It could only have been created by God!". While I look at it and say "It is so complex! I doubt any God would create such a mess when under his total control, a simple life form could be created".

It is worth study with an open mind. When you work on the billion year time scale, all sorts of possibilities exist.

- Mike KB3EIA -

107. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 13:15:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125666933.004637.180310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117933

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> >>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> >>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
> >>
> >>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> >>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> >>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
> >>
> >>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> >>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
>
> > The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
> > think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.
>
> That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
> evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
> Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
>
> Do you ever tire of being wrong?

Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
on 6 meters?

108. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 13:26:50 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <df9juq$159k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117934

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>> In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for
>>> angels
>>> or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT
>>> from
>>> a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...
>>
>>
>>
>> The only thing that I can think of which is more impossible to believe
>> than "mud became man" is angels that just "were".
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it
> had no beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning,
> the what was before that?

Personally, I suspect that answer may be hard to come by. My own beliefs are that we are going to have to meld Big Bang and Steady State together.

Big Bang has still not found Proton decay, which to me is a fatal flaw. Steady State as it was thought of in the past, just doesn't hold up to what we know today.

Certainly the idea of pre-Big Bang "foam" is interesting, but of course, what was there before the "foam"? Was there a previous universe? Given that the conditions and constants of the Universe were set by the Big Bang, there isn't much doubt that any previous universe would have been much different.

Where might the energy from these universe/singularity/universe/singularity/universes have come from.

Perhaps the quantum world may give us some answers. Perhaps zero point energy may have played a part.

- mike KB3EIA -

109. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 13:36:08 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <df9kg8$1aig$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117935

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Cmdr Buzz corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>
>
>>So where did all the matter in the universe orginially come from? If it had no
>>beginning, the it just "was". If it did indeed have a beginning, the what was
>>before that?
>
>
> Since there was no universe, there was no time. If there was no time, there
> obviously was no "before".

That is beginning to sound like "faith" Hans! (ducking and running)

Unfortunately, we can't just go on that idea. There are plenty enough
possibilites for what happened before the "Big Bang".

For a singularity (Big Bang precipitating event) to exist, there needs
to be something for it to exist *from*. Unless you propose the mother of
all zero point energy events. Of course, there must be something to have
a ZPE event from!

But there is always something.

Turtles all the way down!

- Mike KB3EIA -

110. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 13:40:03 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <df9knj$1e12$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117936

John Smith wrote:

> K0HB:
>
> There is no such thing as time... time is something humans make up to
> deal with their universe--there is only movement...

Read the Book "The End of Time". Cecil W5DXP turned me on to that.

Frankly, I don't quite buy the idea. The author throws away a lot of concepts we have today that are explainable within the context of what we already know. IOW, simple answers exist for things that he invents a new universe for. Occams razor thrown out with the bathwater, so to speak.

- Mike KB3EIA -

111. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 13:46:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <CIYRe.5582$_84.2568@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117937

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125636738.453787.294360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 2 Sep 2005 02:08
>
>
>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>>>>
>>>>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>>>
>>>> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>>>
>>> Agreed.
>>
>>Let me revise that.
>>
>>If non-amateur includes CD, FRS and GMRS then I agree. If by
>>amateur Len is only referencing the Amateur Service (Part 97)
>>then I disagree and would suggest the following.
>>
>>Commercial Infrastructure is anything other than CB, FRS,
>>GMRS or Amateur Service.
>
> Bill, not to nit-pick, but on the same subject you would have to
> add in the NATIONAL GUARD. Definitely not "commercial." One
> could also add the National Military Forces should they be
> activated; Louisiana NG is already activated within that state.
> National Guard has rugged multi-environment equipment and
> vehicles.
>
> We can also consider local PUBLIC SAFETY agencies as "non-
> commercial" (police, fire, ambulances), could we not? In truth,
> New Orleans has only 1,500 police officers, not nearly enough
> to properly police things in such a devastated area, but they
> do have local radio communications equipment.
>
> ---
>
> We ought to consider what BASIC EMERGENCY NEEDS are. Plain survival
> always comes first with humans (sex is secondary to survival). For
> that the BASIC NEEDS are: Food, shelter (dry), toilet, hygiene,

> clothing/footwear (as appropriate for climate), medical (as needed,
> not all need it immediately), protection/police. That order is
> approximate, most-to-least.
>
> In the immediate disaster areas of Louisiana and Mississippi, those
> basic needs are being provided (not necessarily all or in order) by
> the LOCALS who are within human-voice talking distance of victims.
> Most of those BASIC NEEDS come from supplies and/or services and
> must be physically delivered. In many observed situations, supplies
> and services CANNOT be phsically delivered due to flooding making
> roads impassible, existing aerial wires prohibiting helicopter
> descents, debris of all kinds inhibiting water or vehicles.
>
> Looking at the overall big picture of SURVIVAL for tens of thousands
> of victims, "communications" some distance away is NOT on the BASIC
> SURVIVAL need list. Victims want to communicate with immediate
> rescuers, helpers, aid people FIRST. They must have BASIC NEEDS.
> Once those basic needs are at least partly satisfied, they can
> turn their attention to distant communications, to contact family,
> friends...but that is NOT in the basic needs listing.
>
> Those of us sitting in safe, dry homes, typing away at keyboards,
> have the basic needs at hand, don't need help. Most of us don't
> think about them because we aren't in a disaster zone, suffering
> the aftermath of widespread destruction, flooding. It is very
> easy to talk about radio networks and health and welfare messages
> AS IF they were some kind of "basic needs" but those are NOT.
> Yes, news to/from family and friends IS important, but, when faced
> with a loss of everything or nearly everything in the BASIC NEEDS
> FOR SURVIVAL listing, it is way down on the importance priority.
>
> For tens of thousands of humans in Louisiana and Mississippi, the
> basic needs for survival are NEEDED NOW. Most don't have a single
> one of the basic needs now and none of those can be supplied by a
> radio. Local aid people have to handle that, up-close and
> personal, ON THE SCENE. Now radio communications CAN be of
> service to those local aid people, coordinating their activities
> and delivery/distribution of needed supplies/shelter. Such radio
> communications is presently being handled by radio facilities that
> survived the hurricane and following flooding. Maybe THAT is
> where amateur radios (that also survived the hurricane and flooding)
> can help. "Health and welfare messages" just wouldn't be on a
> disaster victim's immediate needs right now.

True to a point, but there's also been no shortage of victims making
an efffort to let others know they are safe. Not every victim there is
only inward focused on their own basic needs.

> We need to put things in perspective...and rationally try to
> imagine the plight of victims who have lost almost ALL of their
> basic survival necessities.

Agreed...and the only point I am trying to make is
that amateur radio can and is oproviding help for
communications. Whatever help that may be, it is still
of value and would not necessarily be happening by
other (commercial/military/whatever) services because
ALL the help resources are already maxed to their limit.

Bill K2UNK

112. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 14:15:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125670504.764436.56860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117938

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >) prove Len correct
> >
> > text messaging system are there plugging allong with the hams
>
> You seem to indicate that text messages can magically access the system
> when voice cannot. If you can't access the network for voice you can't
> access it for text messages either. The only reason they are using text
> messaging, where they can get a signal which is very spotty, is because
> text is sent in small packets thus saving batteries and ties up the
> network less.

No I did not indicate it, the washington post reported it

>
> "Mobile-phone providers said their service was *severely limited*, at
> best, in New Orleans and along the Mississippi coast, and they
> encouraged people to use text messages instead of making voice calls.
> Text messages are sent in small "packets" of data, using less bandwidth
> to get through overloaded lines more easily."
>
> If the cell network is down, so is text messaging.

but if text messaging is getting through then the system is not down

113. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 14:22:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125670938.345124.318290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117939

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > I must ask... What's the difference? Does
> > it mean that ham radio isn't providing helpful and needed
> > additional communications?
>
> Lennieboy would like to belive that with all his little heart, but the
> fact remains...ham radio has and is at this very moment providing much
> need emergency comms in the disaster area. Ham radio continues to be a
> very helpful tool in disaster emergency comms, much to the chagrin of
> the likes of lennieboy and frankieboy.

more making up stuff again

114. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 14:43:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125672199.408512.12020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117940

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1125636738.453787.294360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 2 Sep 2005 02:08
> >
> >
> >>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
cut
> > For tens of thousands of humans in Louisiana and Mississippi, the
> > basic needs for survival are NEEDED NOW. Most don't have a single
> > one of the basic needs now and none of those can be supplied by a
> > radio. Local aid people have to handle that, up-close and
> > personal, ON THE SCENE. Now radio communications CAN be of
> > service to those local aid people, coordinating their activities
> > and delivery/distribution of needed supplies/shelter. Such radio
> > communications is presently being handled by radio facilities that
> > survived the hurricane and following flooding. Maybe THAT is
> > where amateur radios (that also survived the hurricane and flooding)
> > can help. "Health and welfare messages" just wouldn't be on a
> > disaster victim's immediate needs right now.
>
> True to a point, but there's also been no shortage of victims making
> an efffort to let others know they are safe. Not every victim there is
> only inward focused on their own basic needs.
>
> > We need to put things in perspective...and rationally try to
> > imagine the plight of victims who have lost almost ALL of their
> > basic survival necessities.
>
> Agreed...and the only point I am trying to make is
> that amateur radio can and is oproviding help for
> communications. Whatever help that may be, it is still
> of value and would not necessarily be happening by
> other (commercial/military/whatever) services because
> ALL the help resources are already maxed to their limit.

And Len is pointing that it seems that Hams in here and the ARRL it
publication is rateing the importance of that aid the ARS does provide
and inflating it.

In his opinion and mine to point of turning it into something of a Lie

Ham radio provides useful, important, and valuble aid in a disaster
(esp in one of this size) but it is in the ssecondary (but important
feilds) very if any of the Ham radio is all that VITAL, and we (hams)

are fooling ourselves if we think otherwise

It is if I may presume to speack for Len the sin of hubris that Len cautions against (as do I)

Hubris is dangerous if we are seen as too full of hubris instaed taking our due credit,we the ARS may be seen by the powers that be as unworthy of the freqs we hold

Be proud Hams, but claim no glory not due us, there is enough due us
>
> Bill K2UNK

115. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 15:01:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125670796.357223.82300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117941

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >K4YZ said...
> > >There's no "thin line".
> > >It's either an "information bulletin" or it's broadcasting.
> >
> > There's a BIG difference between an information bulletin and a
> > broadcast. A broadcast is directed to the general public as a whole
> > while an information Bulletin is directed to a small group.
>
> And your opinionated broadcasts are just that...Broadcasts.

Stevie As I recall you sent a tape to FCC. am I right? if so what did
they do

>
> > >Once it's "opinonated" it stops being an "information bulletin"
> > >and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.
> >
> > Not necessary, You can get information out of an opinion, it's up to
> > the person hearing the opinion to determine if he go's along with that
> > train of thought.A good example is look at court cases, a ruling by a
> > court is not called a ruling but an opinion. At any rate Society is
> > based on two input General information and Opinions you can't just have
> > one.
>
> Todd, The purpose of an "information bulletin" is to provide the
> aforementioned "listener" with specific, timely INFORMATION wth which
> to make operational decisions as they pertain to his/her Amateur
> station...

Where did you get that definition?

Out of your twisted mind no doubt

>
> And Todd...Society is ALSO based on specific facts.

such as?

>
> As far as Amateur bulletins go, they should be timely, CONCISE
> bits of information...propagation updates, rules changes by
> FCC...operating activity parameters...short term emergency operation
> limits...

according to whom?

You it seems

>
> > >But an information bulletin has to have INFORMATION in it.
> > >Once tainted by editorialization, it's no longer objective
> >
> > There is nothing in the FCC rules that stated that, Nor does the FCC
> > rules state that an Information Bulletin has to be a pure piece of
> > facts.
>
> Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
> and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.

according to whom? Never seen the FCC say someting this

>
> > The Definition that YOU have given is the Genreral definition of the
> > word Information bulletin, However, It is NOT defined in the FCC rules.
>
> Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
> and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.
>
> > The FCC has the power to define what a definition is in the rules, for
> > example the FCC can stated that an informational bulletin may only be a
> > bono fide newscast, but that is not what we see here. In other words
> > the FCC can limit what something is provided it the lease restrictive
> > means necessary to promote govenment interest.
>
> Todd, you're dancing around here hoping to find some resolute hole
> in the rules to justify being a junior disc jockey.
>
> K1MAN is in the hot water he's in today partially because most
> Amateurs consider his OPINIONATED, copy-cat bulletins to be

Not accarding the FCC
cuting the rest of stevie ranting of his OPINION given without any
rreal facts

116. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 15:39:59 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <df9rof$1d08$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117942

Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>>
>> In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look either for
>> angels
>> or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels (intelligence NOT from
>> a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like planet)...
>>
>> I just flat do not know what to think, it is all too impossible...
>> perhaps the answers are out there...
>> <X-Files-theme-plays-in-the-background>
>>
>> ... or, perhaps there is a very simple explanation we just have not
>> thought of--yet... any guess is as valid as another...
>>
>> John
>
>
> The balance between the universe (as we know it) existing and not
> existing is very delicate. Take the gravitional constant (the force of
> gravity). If the gravitional constant were larger, stars would form no
> bigger than the earth and would burn up in a very short time (less than
> a year). If the gravitional constant were smaller, expansion of matter
> would proceed at such a fast pace that gravity could not keep stars
> together and ignite nuclear burning of their cores.
>
> So how did this force of gravity come to be just the right amount of
> force to allow creation of stars and planets? By accident or by design?
>
> A question not likely to be answered anytime soon, if ever, but curious
> minds want to know.

Sure enough.

Of course, the only uninverses that could allow sentient beings to
exist are those that emerge with conditions that do indeed allow that.
There is some thought that embyonic universes may pop into existance all
the time, but most have conditions, constants if you will, that do not
allow for their continued existance.

This may mean that in the universes that can exist, the conditions will
probably be similar enough that life can also exist.

Gotta love it!

117. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 16:24:36 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <81%Re.5899$FW1.5459@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117943

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125672199.408512.12020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1125636738.453787.294360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 2 Sep 2005 02:08
>> >
>> >
>> >>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> cut
>> > For tens of thousands of humans in Louisiana and Mississippi, the
>> > basic needs for survival are NEEDED NOW. Most don't have a single
>> > one of the basic needs now and none of those can be supplied by a
>> > radio. Local aid people have to handle that, up-close and
>> > personal, ON THE SCENE. Now radio communications CAN be of
>> > service to those local aid people, coordinating their activities
>> > and delivery/distribution of needed supplies/shelter. Such radio
>> > communications is presently being handled by radio facilities that
>> > survived the hurricane and following flooding. Maybe THAT is
>> > where amateur radios (that also survived the hurricane and flooding)
>> > can help. "Health and welfare messages" just wouldn't be on a
>> > disaster victim's immediate needs right now.
>>
>> True to a point, but there's also been no shortage of victims making
>> an efffort to let others know they are safe. Not every victim there is
>> only inward focused on their own basic needs.
>>
>> > We need to put things in perspective...and rationally try to
>> > imagine the plight of victims who have lost almost ALL of their
>> > basic survival necessities.
>>
>> Agreed...and the only point I am trying to make is
>> that amateur radio can and is oproviding help for
>> communications. Whatever help that may be, it is still
>> of value and would not necessarily be happening by
>> other (commercial/military/whatever) services because
>> ALL the help resources are already maxed to their limit.
>
> And Len is pointing that it seems that Hams in here and the ARRL it
> publication is rateing the importance of that aid the ARS does provide
> and inflating it.
>
> In his opinion and mine to point of turning it into something of a Lie
```

>
> Ham radio provides useful, important, and valuble aid in a disaster
> (esp in one of this size) but it is in the ssecondary (but important
> feilds) very if any of the Ham radio is all that VITAL, and we (hams)
> are fooling ourselves if we think otherwise
>
> It is if I may presume to speack for Len the sin of hubris that Len
> cautions against (as do I)
>
> Hubris is dangerous if we are seen as too full of hubris instaed taking
> our due credit,we the ARS may be seen by the powers that be as unworthy
> of the freqs we hold

From everything I've heard so far, as a result of Hurricane Katrina,
we (hams) will be viewed as considerably worthy of the frequencies
we are allowed to operate on.

> Be proud Hams, but claim no glory not due us, there is enough due us

Agree completely, but I don't see any hams claiming any undue
glory to begin with.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

118. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 16:33:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125678838.088041.298870@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117944

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125672199.408512.12020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> news:1125636738.453787.294360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 2 Sep 2005 02:08
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > cut
> >> > For tens of thousands of humans in Louisiana and Mississippi, the
> >> > basic needs for survival are NEEDED NOW. Most don't have a single
> >> > one of the basic needs now and none of those can be supplied by a
> >> > radio. Local aid people have to handle that, up-close and
> >> > personal, ON THE SCENE. Now radio communications CAN be of
> >> > service to those local aid people, coordinating their activities
> >> > and delivery/distribution of needed supplies/shelter. Such radio
> >> > communications is presently being handled by radio facilities that
> >> > survived the hurricane and following flooding. Maybe THAT is
> >> > where amateur radios (that also survived the hurricane and flooding)
> >> > can help. "Health and welfare messages" just wouldn't be on a
> >> > disaster victim's immediate needs right now.
> >>
> >> True to a point, but there's also been no shortage of victims making
> >> an efffort to let others know they are safe. Not every victim there is
> >> only inward focused on their own basic needs.
> >>
> >> > We need to put things in perspective...and rationally try to
> >> > imagine the plight of victims who have lost almost ALL of their
> >> > basic survival necessities.
> >>
> >> Agreed...and the only point I am trying to make is
> >> that amateur radio can and is oproviding help for
> >> communications. Whatever help that may be, it is still
> >> of value and would not necessarily be happening by
> >> other (commercial/military/whatever) services because
> >> ALL the help resources are already maxed to their limit.
> >
> > And Len is pointing that it seems that Hams in here and the ARRL it
> > publication is rateing the importance of that aid the ARS does provide
> > and inflating it.
> >

> > In his opinion and mine to point of turning it into something of a Lie
> >
> > Ham radio provides useful, important, and valuble aid in a disaster
> > (esp in one of this size) but it is in the ssecondary (but important
> > feilds) very if any of the Ham radio is all that VITAL, and we (hams)
> > are fooling ourselves if we think otherwise
> >
> > It is if I may presume to speack for Len the sin of hubris that Len
> > cautions against (as do I)
> >
> > Hubris is dangerous if we are seen as too full of hubris instaed taking
> > our due credit,we the ARS may be seen by the powers that be as unworthy
> > of the freqs we hold
>
> From everything I've heard so far, as a result of Hurricane Katrina,
> we (hams) will be viewed as considerably worthy of the frequencies
> we are allowed to operate on.

as do I but if we make it more than it is we risk damaging the the
value of that service in maintaining our freqs

Indeed we have seen some of the regs in here spend years calling those
that even want to discuss how much we are doing and its value savagely
attacked by others for even bring the question up

I think it is vital that we all take a GOOD look at this disaster and
be very careful what we say about it and about our roles in it
>
> > Be proud Hams, but claim no glory not due us, there is enough due us
>
> Agree completely, but I don't see any hams claiming any undue
> glory to begin with.

But i see hams, here at least, dismissing the efforts and uses of other
means, indeed even insulting them. Over the years frankly at best
bluring the lines of what we have have done, and I am guessing we will
see more later later in print (based on past write ups or other
disasters)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

119. Re: Don't buy toilet paper if you don't need it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 18:04:33 UTC
From: romulus <hoodooloose@snarkeyssnout.com>
Message-ID: <645be$4318941f$d1cc4ecb$27203@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 117945
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bill Aimes" <baimes@i-s-e.com> wrote in message
news:ZxZRe.607$pt.239@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> Wipe your ass with pictures of your president
>
>

Ya know if someone started making toilet paper with
pics of The Chimp on them, I'd bet he'd sell so many
rolls overnight right now that he'd be a overnight-millionaire

120. Re: What Is Ham Radio?.....A Weekly F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 19:07:19 UTC
From: Rob Gibson <astroknutt@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dfa7t7$m1f$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 117946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

<scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1125608281.462511.212590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Polymath, Any chance of going back to the hole you live in and give us
> all a break as your weekly whinge is BORING !!!!!
>

You don't have to read it, it's not compulsory.

121. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 19:09:25 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dfa815$15f6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 117947

Bill Sohl wrote:

> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message

<snip>

>>Be proud Hams, but claim no glory not due us, there is enough due us
>
>
> Agree completely, but I don't see any hams claiming any undue
> glory to begin with.

Who decides?

For some in this group. any is too much.

- Mike KB3EIA -

122. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 19:28:13 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125689293.824989.70050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117948

From: Bill Sohl on Sep 2, 6:46 am

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri 2 Sep 2005 02:08
>>>"Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm

>>>>>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>>>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>>>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
>>>>> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>
>>>> Agreed.
>
>>>Let me revise that.
>
>>>If non-amateur includes CD, FRS and GMRS then I agree. If by
>>>amateur Len is only referencing the Amateur Service (Part 97)
>>>then I disagree and would suggest the following.
>
>>>Commercial Infrastructure is anything other than CB, FRS,
>>>GMRS or Amateur Service.
>
>> Bill, not to nit-pick, but on the same subject you would have to
>> add in the NATIONAL GUARD. Definitely not "commercial." One
>> could also add the National Military Forces should they be
>> activated; Louisiana NG is already activated within that state.
>> National Guard has rugged multi-environment equipment and
>> vehicles.
>
>> We can also consider local PUBLIC SAFETY agencies as "non-
>> commercial" (police, fire, ambulances), could we not? In truth,
>> New Orleans has only 1,500 police officers, not nearly enough
>> to properly police things in such a devastated area, but they
>> do have local radio communications equipment.
>
>> ---
>
>> We ought to consider what BASIC EMERGENCY NEEDS are. Plain survival
>> always comes first with humans (sex is secondary to survival). For
>> that the BASIC NEEDS are: Food, shelter (dry), toilet, hygiene,
>> clothing/footwear (as appropriate for climate), medical (as needed,
>> not all need it immediately), protection/police. That order is

>> approximate, most-to-least.
>
>> In the immediate disaster areas of Louisiana and Mississippi, those
>> basic needs are being provided (not necessarily all or in order) by
>> the LOCALS who are within human-voice talking distance of victims.
>> Most of those BASIC NEEDS come from supplies and/or services and
>> must be physically delivered. In many observed situations, supplies
>> and services CANNOT be phsically delivered due to flooding making
>> roads impassible, existing aerial wires prohibiting helicopter
>> descents, debris of all kinds inhibiting water or vehicles.
>
>> Looking at the overall big picture of SURVIVAL for tens of thousands
>> of victims, "communications" some distance away is NOT on the BASIC
>> SURVIVAL need list. Victims want to communicate with immediate
>> rescuers, helpers, aid people FIRST. They must have BASIC NEEDS.
>> Once those basic needs are at least partly satisfied, they can
>> turn their attention to distant communications, to contact family,
>> friends...but that is NOT in the basic needs listing.
>
>> Those of us sitting in safe, dry homes, typing away at keyboards,
>> have the basic needs at hand, don't need help. Most of us don't
>> think about them because we aren't in a disaster zone, suffering
>> the aftermath of widespread destruction, flooding. It is very
>> easy to talk about radio networks and health and welfare messages
>> AS IF they were some kind of "basic needs" but those are NOT.
>> Yes, news to/from family and friends IS important, but, when faced
>> with a loss of everything or nearly everything in the BASIC NEEDS
>> FOR SURVIVAL listing, it is way down on the importance priority.
>
>> For tens of thousands of humans in Louisiana and Mississippi, the
>> basic needs for survival are NEEDED NOW. Most don't have a single
>> one of the basic needs now and none of those can be supplied by a
>> radio. Local aid people have to handle that, up-close and
>> personal, ON THE SCENE. Now radio communications CAN be of
>> service to those local aid people, coordinating their activities
>> and delivery/distribution of needed supplies/shelter. Such radio
>> communications is presently being handled by radio facilities that
>> survived the hurricane and following flooding. Maybe THAT is
>> where amateur radios (that also survived the hurricane and flooding)
>> can help. "Health and welfare messages" just wouldn't be on a
>> disaster victim's immediate needs right now.
>
>True to a point, but there's also been no shortage of victims making
>an efffort to let others know they are safe. Not every victim there is
>only inward focused on their own basic needs.

I would revise that, Bill. There is fear, anxiety, anger, all
sorts of extreme emotions being displayed for anyone to see.

"Inward focus" is an inappropriate choice of words. There are
over a QUARTER MILLION HOMES destroyed/unliveable/not-there in

the disaster zones of the Mississippi Delta. All those homeless JUST DON'T HAVE ANY BASIC NEEDS. Period. That is their ONLY "focus" right now.

>> We need to put things in perspective...and rationally try to
>> imagine the plight of victims who have lost almost ALL of their
>> basic survival necessities.
>
>Agreed...and the only point I am trying to make is
>that amateur radio can and is oproviding help for
>communications. Whatever help that may be, it is still
>of value and would not necessarily be happening by
>other (commercial/military/whatever) services because
>ALL the help resources are already maxed to their limit.

Unfortunately, there will and ARE a bunch of ham flag wavers in here doing their emotional thing and triumphing on the "great help" that amateur radio is doing. Those emotional beings are essentially equating their hobby activity, self-pride, and whatever else they feel about the hobby "service" with that of a few who are providing emotional sustenance to SOME "civilians" who have made it to the periphery of the disaster area.

My wife and I just watched a supply convoy of high-wheeled trucks (dozens) loaded with many things inching their way into New Orleans through water, their wheels leaving a virtual boat wake, somehow able to stay on a hard-to-see "roadway." Radio didn't drive those trucks. I doubt those truck drivers are "amateur" drivers. I salute THEM for daring to bring much-needed supplies through a seemingly impassible path.

Yes, there ARE emotional needs for many and amateur radio WILL satisfy those things. But, the providers of such emotional filling are NOT "heroes," just good people who help any way they can. A lot of named and anonymous posters in here are wrapping themselves in "hero" clothing just by identifying with the REAL WORKERS getting up-close and personal with victims IN the disaster area. Those named and anonymous are sitting safe and far away from any current disaster and drinking in some personally-perceived glory and acclaim while NOT being in any danger or even taken away from their recreation.

We WILL see a similar outpouring from the big national amateur membership organization on all the "help" being provided. That is what many of the safe and untouched radio hobbyists want to hear, feeding their own self-enobling egos. That has gone on for years. It is the basis for more myths and sagas which will be repeated many times in an effort of self-enoblement...and fostering a false spirit of do-gooderism by said organization. But, trying to bring reality into such self-praising efforts is counterproductive. The self-enoblers can do no wrong, as they often imply (if not stating outright). They will fight to a

newsgroup death for their "right" to enoble themselves, their "virtue" and "sanctity." Phooey.

There are many REAL Aid Organizations hard at work. We can all support those as best we can. We can also reward those who are up-close and personal IN the disaster areas, doing things for victims to restore their BASIC NEEDS. Self-enoblement of a radio hobby is a bit out of place when this nation has just experienced the worst disaster in its history.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

123. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 19:29:54 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125689394.817406.81260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117949

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 1 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2105	137
Indeterminate (note 1)	104	7
Value for Percentages	2001	130
Against NPRM (note 2)	587 [29.34%]	45 [34.62%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1115 [55.72%]	61 [46.92%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	299 [14.94%]	24 [18.46%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

124. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 20:07:18 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <9eab05e96c083115ede7464a26d9e2ba@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 117950

In article <1125639965.053058.234070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Fuck off, Toad, you little faggot.
>
> NO <Toadie's fag it snipped>

What a fag fit you threw, Toadie, you taking lessons from Elton John?

Fuck off, Toadie, you little faggot.

125. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 20:17:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125692233.015551.194880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117951

What don't you and your little bitch Normen go back to given each other
knob jobs and shut the hell up

126. Re: Brief blurb in Fox News on ham emergency comms for New orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 20:41:55 UTC
From: Bob <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1125693715.190225.88750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117952

It's likely only a few lines are functioning, so a low bandwidth method like text messaging would be perferred. So more users can make use of the limited bandwidth.

127. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 20:58:52 UTC
From: Bob <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1125694732.765721.182010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117953

Health and Welfare traffic is lower priority but it's still important
for people to know that their friends/relatives are okay and they know
he's okay too. Then they can attend to matters at hand with a clearer
head. Ham radio offloads H&W from police/govt/mil comm links so they
have enough bandwidth to handle the high priority stuff.

128. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 21:16:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <b4fhh1huf211rhu6crbdk7lr98njpud5l4@4ax.com>
Source record: 117954

On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 16:24:36 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in
<81%Re.5899$FW1.5459@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>> Be proud Hams, but claim no glory not due us, there is enough due us
>
>Agree completely, but I don't see any hams claiming any undue
>glory to begin with.

Maybe, maybe not. But it sure wouldn't hurt to postpone the medal
presentation ceremonies until -after- they find and bury all the
bodies.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

129. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 21:21:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9hghh15pt87h301nfc7f3qbe7j7565vdq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 117955

On 2 Sep 2005 05:15:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1125663301.407090.7360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> (Snickersnickersnickersnicker.....)

=<plonk>=

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

130. Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 22:19:49 UTC
From: Loyd <Loyd@snb.nsp>
Message-ID: <4.62.0509021808380.9498@fntp-a.com>
Source record: 117956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

it is like cuhulin said and like the bible says. they are part of the ape race and are not human. all of you liberal bleading hearts created this problem and now us white pple must clean it up with our guns. cuhulin and i are going to go hunting pretty soon.

131. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 22:57:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125701839.072892.190790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117957

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >K4YZ said...
> > > >There's no "thin line".
> > > >It's either an "information bulletin" or it's broadcasting.
> > >
> > > There's a BIG difference between an information bulletin and a
> > > broadcast. A broadcast is directed to the general public as a whole
> > > while an information Bulletin is directed to a small group.
> >
> > And your opinionated broadcasts are just that...Broadcasts.
>
> Stevie As I recall you sent a tape to FCC. am I right? if so what did
> they do

As usual, Markie, you "recall" incorrectly.

> > > >Once it's "opinonated" it stops being an "information bulletin"
> > > >and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.
> > >
> > > Not necessary, You can get information out of an opinion, it's up to
> > > the person hearing the opinion to determine if he go's along with that
> > > train of thought.A good example is look at court cases, a ruling by a
> > > court is not called a ruling but an opinion. At any rate Society is
> > > based on two input General information and Opinions you can't just have
> > > one.
> >
> > Todd, The purpose of an "information bulletin" is to provide the
> > aforementioned "listener" with specific, timely INFORMATION wth which
> > to make operational decisions as they pertain to his/her Amateur
> > station...
>
> Where did you get that definition?
>
> Out of your twisted mind no doubt

Nope.

From the opinions of FCC personnel over the years.

K1MAN is NOT "the original".

California had WA6GVG for YEARS.

> > And Todd...Society is ALSO based on specific facts.
>
> such as?

Such as the written law...Such as science...Such as case law...

> > As far as Amateur bulletins go, they should be timely, CONCISE
> > bits of information...propagation updates, rules changes by
> > FCC...operating activity parameters...short term emergency operation
> > limits...
>
> according to whom?
>
> You it seems

Obviously a LOT more people than me, Markie, since there's been
REAMS of complaints filed on K1MAN, but NONE of them by me.

> > > >But an information bulletin has to have INFORMATION in it.
> > > >Once tainted by editorialization, it's no longer objective
> > >
> > > There is nothing in the FCC rules that stated that, Nor does the FCC
> > > rules state that an Information Bulletin has to be a pure piece of
> > > facts.
> >
> > Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
> > and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.
>
> according to whom? Never seen the FCC say someting this

The FCC doesn't have to.

It's a fact of documentation.

"XX4XX is operating on 14.123Mhz" is a bit of information.

"XX4AA is operating on 14.123Mhz, but sometimes I think he's on
.250 or .321" is not information...it's speculation...It's opinion. It
further diverts the intended from the facts.

> > > The Definition that YOU have given is the Genreral definition of the
> > > word Information bulletin, However, It is NOT defined in the FCC rules.
> >
> > Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
> > and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.
> >
> > > The FCC has the power to define what a definition is in the rules, for
> > > example the FCC can stated that an informational bulletin may only be a
> > > bono fide newscast, but that is not what we see here. In other words
> > > the FCC can limit what something is provided it the lease restrictive
> > > means necessary to promote govenment interest.
> >
> > Todd, you're dancing around here hoping to find some resolute hole
> > in the rules to justify being a junior disc jockey.

> >
> > K1MAN is in the hot water he's in today partially because most
> > Amateurs consider his OPINIONATED, copy-cat bulletins to be
>
> Not accarding the FCC

"according"

Where did I say "according to the FCC", Markie...?!?!

> cuting the rest of stevie ranting of his OPINION given without any
> rreal facts

"cutting" "real"

Re-inserting my comments as they ARE opinon...just like Markie's
comments...

QUOTE

N9OGL said:
>K4YZ siad:
> > "97.1369 When in doubt about what constitutes an "information
> >bulletin" as opposed to a "broadcast", anything Todd McDaugherty says
> >is wrong."

> Your going to have to quote that rule for me steve, I looked in the
> rules and couldn't find it. here's what I found

> 97.3(a)(10)

> "(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general
> public, either direct or relayed. "

> 97.3(a)(25)

> "(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service."

> 97.111(b)(6)

> "(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized
> elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following
> types of one-way communications:

> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins; "

> 97.113(b)

> "(b) An amateur station shall not engage in any form of broadcasting,
> nor may an amateur station transmit one-way communications EXCEPT as
> specifically provided in these rules; nor shall an amateur station
> engage in any activity related to program production or news gathering
> for broadcasting purposes, except that communications directly related
> to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may

> be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to
> the public where no other means of communication is reasonably
> available before or at the time of the event. " [EMP. ADDED]

> But I didn't see the rule you stated.

No, you wouldn't since it was an "opinion", Todd.

NOW are you getting the idea...?!?!

> And one has to be relatively objective in what constitutes
> "amateur interest".

No...one has to be SUBJECTIVE...The SUBJECT being Amateur Radio.

I don't give a Rat's Kazoo about The OPINIONS of K1MAN, N9OGL, or
the Staffers at ARRL...When I hear an INFORMATION BULLETIN, I want
FACTS...I want to know what frequencies are off limits due to
disasters... I want to know the callsign of that DXpedition to Never
Never Land...I want to know about some new experimental technique that
folks are working with...

I do NOT want to wade through the "And here's what >>I<< think.."
crap.

> > Toiddie, the opinions of a foul mouthed, immature, basement
> >dwelling domestic parasite such as your self are of zero-point-squat
> >interest to the Amateur Radio service.
> > Set up 1000 blogs if you want to...Internet bandwidth is almost
> >limitless...Once your tripe hits the airwaves it's QRM.

> That's YOUR opinion, and who said I use profanity on the air??? I very
> civil on the radio. As for as QRM, as long as I'm using a unused
> frequency it's legal.

It's my opinion and the opinion of almost everyone in THIS forum
who's cared to offer an OPNION on the subject.

> >Which in this case does not apply.
> >The only "content" issues were Baxter's pecuniary use of Amateur
> >Radio. Those are clearly within the FCC's purview to limit

> K1MAN would of been legal if he would of these things

> 1. pick an Unused frequency
> 2. didn't discuss or promote his website
> 3. remained at the control point.

> appart from that his INFORMATION BULLETINS were legal.

But the FACTS are they AREN'T legal.

And Amateurs have pretty well made it clear that W1AW's bulletins
are more than enough one-way stuff on the Amateur allocations.

> >Again...You keep avoiding the fact that the FCC C L E A R L Y is
> >allowed to prohibit commerical use of the Amateur Service.
> >This isn't about Baxter discussing religious preferences or the
> >color of his wallpaper

> First the FCC over the last 10 to 15 years has allowed more commerical
> use...SNIP TO>>>
> <<<UNSNIP...As a matter of fact a club station running CW practice or an
> Information bulletin 40 hours per week can compensate the operator. So
> some "commercial" operations are allowed.

The payment of monies to an operator who is doing the work of
operating a station that otherwise is NON commercial does NOT make the
enterprise a commercial one. It means that someone got paid to turn
the thing off and on and to run the tapes at the appointed hour.

Steve, K4YZ

END QUOTE

So...Markie...Into censorship now?

Seems so...Most of your posts these days are filled with "cut" and
"cuting rest of..."

Seems I WAS correct that you don't cut those things you don't like
getting your nose rubbed in or that ruin YOUR rants.

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

132. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 23:37:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DpmdncOAVqW_f4XeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117958

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>>>I do not believe that one way transmissions should be legal on the amateur
>>>>bands.
>>>>
>>>>Period.
>
>
>>>No bulletins about hurricane Katrina and communications
>>>emergency activations?
>>
>>Not unless it is part of an emergency net, and therefore
>>inherently
>>part of two way conversations. If it is just a broadcast, turn > on Fox News or CNN.
>
>
> Fox News and CNN don't seem to cover the situation in the detail
> needed by those in the affected area.
>
>
>>>No code practice sessions?
>>
>>No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can
>>now be self taught.
>
>
> ??
>
> It's always been possible for code to be self-taught.
> That's how I learned - listening to hams on 80 meters.
> With a homebrew two-tube regenerative receiver and a wire
> out to the crab apple tree.
>
>
>>Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no
>>anyhow.
>
>

> If someone wants to learn Morse Code in order to actually
> *use* the mode, rather than just to pass the test, being
> able to listen to real live ham stations is the best way
> to learn. Code practice like W1AW is predictable, dependable,
> high quality and of known speed.
>
> Is there no room on the bands for a few hours of Morse Code
> practice?
>
>
>>>No remote control of satellites?
>
>
>>That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way
>>communications
>
>
> The first amateur radio satellite, launched more than 40
> years ago, only carried a transmitter. It sent some basic
> telemetry. Under your rules it would not have been allowed.
>
>
>>>No remote control of model airplanes?
>>
>> Is that us?
>
>
> Yes.
>
>
>>>No remote control of repeaters?
>>
>>That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way
>>communications.
>
>
> Sounds like bafflegab to me. If the repeater sticks on and I
> send a shutdown command, and the repeater goes dead, that's
> one way.
>
>>>No telemetry from satellites?
>>
>>That is part of establishing (or cutting off) two way
>>communications.
>
>
> Only if the satellite is capable of two way. See Oscar 1,
> above.
>
>>>No propagation beacons?

>>
>> No. Try calling CQ! ;^)
>
>
> The beacons are useful because they are a known quantity.
>
>
>>>No APRS? (Not even in balloons?)
>>
>> That is part of a two way system. (balloons)
>
>
> Not necessarily.
>
>
>>I must confess that I don't know enough about ground based
>>APRS to make
>>an informed judgment.
>>
>>
>>>No auxiliary links between remote elements of a repeater
>>>system?
>>
>> Still part of two way comms.
>
>
> Bafflegab.
>
>>>No.....
>>>
>>>"Period"
>>
>>There is a big difference between what happens when
>>a repeater or
>>satellite is used, and when someone starts yappin
>>or beepin with no
>>intention of getting a reply.
>
>
> So it's really all about *intent*, not about two-way
> or one-way communications.
>
> That much I can agree with!
>
>>The determination is made by the litmus test of whether or not > the signals are used in
two way transmissions or not.
>
>
> Why?
>

> What's wrong with beacons? Radio control? Code practice?
> Telecommand and telemetry?
>
> Seems the "no one way" stuff would really cut out a lot
> of good things from the ARS, for no good reason.
>
> btw, the pactor robots are not one-way devices - they are trying to
> carry out two-way comms, right?
>
> 73 de Jim N2EY
>

OKAY! I give up!

One way transmissions are okay! they are a great thing for amateur radio, and I was a hopelessly reactionary for even suggesting that they weren't. I apologize for the transgression.

I'm now on record that I accept and encourage one way transmissions however you may define them.

We need more of them.

- Mike KB3EIA -

133. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 23:38:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DpmdncKAVqXBf4XeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117959

N9OGL wrote:
>>No your comparison is not valid. In the case of W1AW & K1MAN, the point is
>>that one adheres to the rules as they exist at this time and the other does
>>not. If you study the "history" of amateur radio, those rules were crafted
>>to allow W1AW to do exactly what it was doing at the time and they adhere to
>>those same requirements today. If K1MAN adhered to those rules, it would
>>not be possible to stop him. But he chose not too. If you think W1AW ought
>>to go too, then work to change the rules.
>
>
> The problem is the rules are very vague. There is a thin line between
> broadcasting and information bulletins but here is a list of things
> K1MAN SHOULD of done.
>
> 1. Make sure the frequency wasn't in uses. If the frequency was in use
> then he should of moved to a different fequency.
> 2. Stay at the control point while the transmission was going on
> 3. Don't avertise or talk about his website.
>
> If he would of done this he would not be in the trouble he's in now.

Todd, Why aren't you broadcasting your bulletins?

- mike KB3EIA -

134. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 23:38:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Dpmdnf2AVqUff4XeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117960

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
>>>Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
>>>
>>
>>With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
>>have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers available
>>to teach those wish to learn.
>
>
> What? is there a part of the NPRM I missed like carting off the Code
> users to some kind of death camp?
>
> The ending of code testing will not reduce the number of code using
> hams.
>
> Time may do that though the actions of the grim reaper and the failure
> of Code users to recruit others to replace them, but I doubt that will
> kill CW USE or learning of the mode
>
> Further with computers and programs in existance today any one that
> wishes to make the attempt to learn Morse Code has the tools to do so
>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

135. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 23:41:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DpmdnfyAVqWOfoXeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117961

Dee Flint wrote:
> BEGIN QUOTE
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125497368.007345.63060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
>>>Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
>>>
>>
>>With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
>>have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers
>>available
>>to teach those wish to learn.
>
>
> What? is there a part of the NPRM I missed like carting off the Code
> users to some kind of death camp?
>
> The ending of code testing will not reduce the number of code using
> hams.
>
> Time may do that though the actions of the grim reaper and the failure
> of Code users to recruit others to replace them, but I doubt that will
> kill CW USE or learning of the mode
>
> Further with computers and programs in existance today any one that
> wishes to make the attempt to learn Morse Code has the tools to do so
>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> END QUOTE
>
> We could easily become short of code elmers as I know a number of people who
> taught it only out of a sense of duty to the incoming amateurs.

>
> There will of course be people who continue to learn it and use it.
>
> However what we will lose are those people who choose to never try it but
> would like it if they did. Some will fall prey to the "obsolete" argument.
> Others will think that it is "too hard" and so on.

I want to know why they took that guy who was transmitting religious
texts in Morse code off the air!

(remember, I am a reformed no one way broadcast person, forgive my new
zealotry) ;^)

I believe that that was an illegal action.

- Mike KB3EIA -

136. Re: What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-02 23:42:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Dpmdnf-AVqX0foXeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 117962

```
Dave wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:devmtr$174u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Since it seems that I am hopelessly confused between control signals and
>>>>broadcasts, could some of the more knowledgeable hams give me a little
>>>>primer on how K1MAN broadcasts are the same thing as sending a control
>>>>signal to a repeater?
>>>
>>>
>>>Both are "one-way" transmissions in the amateur bands.
>>
>>What does the one way transmission to the repeater do? Is it just
>>something that is done for fun, or "information" or is it something else?
>>What about a signal to say, turn on a stray elimination filter? Is that
>>just for sending out a signal, or is that signal made in order to improve
>>the communications that the repeater handles? Are the signal tones that I
>>send to activate the autopatch one way transmissions?
>>
>>We seem to be very close to arguing that the subaudible tones, which are
>>used to get me into some repeaters in the first place, are one way
>>transmissions.
>>
>>Or like the cute post about how if no one answers my CQ, I am making a
>>broadcast.
>>
>
> no, a cq may be a one way transmission, but it is not a broadcast... a
> review of the basics is in order i think.
>
> definition in 97.3(a)
> (10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general
> public, either direct or relayed.
>
> from operating standards in 97.111
>
```

> (b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere
> in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way
> communications:
>
>
> (1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
> (2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way communications
> with other stations;
>
> (3) Telecommand;
>
> (4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
>
> (5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
> proficiency in, the international Morse code;
>
> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
>
> (7) Transmissions of telemetry.
>
> so, to totally ban one-way transmissions you would have to stop all these
> specifically permitted types of one-way transmissions. it is interesting to
> note the definition of broadcasting specifically aims the transmission at
> the general public... and the paragraphs that talk about transmitting
> information bulletins or code practice do not use the word broadcasting,
> they call it transmissions or transmitting.
>
>

I'm reformed now, Dave. The more one way broadcasts, the better. I was
so wrong in my opinion before.

- Mike KB3EIA -

137. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 00:13:52 UTC
From: RJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4V5Se.172627$5N3.152680@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117963

Isn't it ironic citizens despise amateur radio and they will go to court to insure amateur antennas are never installed in their neighborhood. But when commercial radio and cell phone fail, they expect we can provide communication without antennas. Think about it.

AA8X

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:X_MRe.5348$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
> before.
>
> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
> it.
>
> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

138. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 00:25:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125707119.368773.134970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117964

Mike,

I've been busy with school, my schooling is more important then Ham Radio. I'm also in the process of starting my business. So I've been busy with more important things.

Todd N9OGL

139. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 00:37:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125707840.965174.126400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117965

>K4YZ Said...
> But the FACTS are they AREN'T legal.

But you haven't quote a FCC rule nor a FCC final order stating it was illegal. The only thing you've quoted was a paraphase from the dictonary.

> And Amateurs have pretty well made it clear that W1AW's bulletins
>are more than enough one-way stuff on the Amateur allocations.

It seem to me like a monopoly....a monopoly on what should be free speech.

Todd N9OGL

140. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 00:43:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xk6Se.6948$z2.4952@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117966

```
Nomen Nescio wrote:
> In article <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3436@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>Ethan Jones wrote:
>>
>>>In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt
>>>
>>>to
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What
>>>
>>>you
>>>

>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
>>>>>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
>>>>>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You seem to love playing stupid.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
>>>>>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
>>>>>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
>>>>>non-amateurs.
>>>>
>>>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
>>>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
>>>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
>>>>
>>>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
>>>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
>>>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
>>>>
>>>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
>>>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>You *should* work on your audio, supposed "well known DX-er." For example,
>
> when
>
>>>you checked into that 3.865 net, it sounded like crap. Must be the cheap
>
> radios
>
>>>on your end.
>>
>>
>>I guess you're anonymous on the net as well as usenet. Maybe you'll

>>figure out a way to use two anonymous callsigns to disguise yourself.
>
>
> Maybe you'll figure out something for once and it will be a first. You sure
> can't figure out how to get good audio.
>
>
>>Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
>>the voices begin talking to you again.

> Who's Roger, you utterly stupid man?

He's living proof that no man is a total loss. Roger serves as a
horrible example.

> It sounds like you are on some medications
> yourself.

You're hearing sounds?

Dave K8MN

141. Re: No one-way transmissions. Period.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:08:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <J_adnQYz18dwaoXeRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 117967

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:DpmdnfyAVqWOfoXeRVn-vA@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> BEGIN QUOTE
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125497368.007345.63060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>news:devk6c$1b7m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>>>
>>>>K HB wrote:
>>
>> cut
>>
>>>>No. With the dropping of Element 1, code testing can now be self taught.
>>>>Get on the air, and find someone who will QSO wit ya. And no anyhow.
>>>>
>>>
>>>With the dropping of the code testing, it will be even more important to
>>>have the code practice transmissions. There will be fewer Elmers
>>>available
>>>to teach those wish to learn.
>>
>>
>> What? is there a part of the NPRM I missed like carting off the Code
>> users to some kind of death camp?
>>
>> The ending of code testing will not reduce the number of code using
>> hams.
>>
>> Time may do that though the actions of the grim reaper and the failure
>> of Code users to recruit others to replace them, but I doubt that will
>> kill CW USE or learning of the mode
>>
>> Further with computers and programs in existance today any one that
>> wishes to make the attempt to learn Morse Code has the tools to do so
>>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>> END QUOTE

>>
>> We could easily become short of code elmers as I know a number of people
>> who taught it only out of a sense of duty to the incoming amateurs.
>>
>> There will of course be people who continue to learn it and use it.
>>
>> However what we will lose are those people who choose to never try it but
>> would like it if they did. Some will fall prey to the "obsolete"
>> argument. Others will think that it is "too hard" and so on.
>
>
> I want to know why they took that guy who was transmitting religious texts
> in Morse code off the air!
>

They didn't believe that it was really for code practice.
But here's the biggie: Since it was a one man operation and he was
transmitting 24/7, there was no way he could properly exercise his control
operator duties.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

142. Re: What is the difference between control signals and broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:23:18 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <TNSdnbNfT4mMZoXeRVn-1Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 117968

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Dpmdnf-AVqX0foXeRVn-vA@adelphia.com...
> Dave wrote:
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:devmtr$174u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>
>>>K HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Since it seems that I am hopelessly confused between control signals
>>>>>and broadcasts, could some of the more knowledgeable hams give me a
>>>>>little primer on how K1MAN broadcasts are the same thing as sending a
>>>>>control signal to a repeater?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Both are "one-way" transmissions in the amateur bands.
>>>
>>>What does the one way transmission to the repeater do? Is it just
>>>something that is done for fun, or "information" or is it something else?
>>>What about a signal to say, turn on a stray elimination filter? Is that
>>>just for sending out a signal, or is that signal made in order to improve
>>>the communications that the repeater handles? Are the signal tones that I
>>>send to activate the autopatch one way transmissions?
>>>
>>>We seem to be very close to arguing that the subaudible tones, which are
>>>used to get me into some repeaters in the first place, are one way
>>>transmissions.
>>>
>>>Or like the cute post about how if no one answers my CQ, I am making a
>>>broadcast.
>>>
>>
>> no, a cq may be a one way transmission, but it is not a broadcast... a
>> review of the basics is in order i think.
>>
>> definition in 97.3(a)
>> (10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general
>> public, either direct or relayed.

>>
>> from operating standards in 97.111
>>
>> (b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized
>> elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following
>> types of one-way communications:
>>
>>
>> (1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
>> (2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way
>> communications with other stations;
>>
>> (3) Telecommand;
>>
>> (4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
>>
>> (5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
>> proficiency in, the international Morse code;
>>
>> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
>>
>> (7) Transmissions of telemetry.
>>
>> so, to totally ban one-way transmissions you would have to stop all these
>> specifically permitted types of one-way transmissions. it is interesting
>> to note the definition of broadcasting specifically aims the transmission
>> at the general public... and the paragraphs that talk about transmitting
>> information bulletins or code practice do not use the word broadcasting,
>> they call it transmissions or transmitting.
>>
>>
>
> I'm reformed now, Dave. The more one way broadcasts, the better. I was so
> wrong in my opinion before.
>

no, we don't want any 'broadcasts', however, one way 'transmissions' under
the right conditions are perfectly acceptable.

143. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:32:41 UTC
From: So Sad The Renegade <mjp36@mts.net>
Message-ID: <%27Se.2018$qP3.21190@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 117969
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

If a disaster ever strikes my city the first thing I'm gonna do is off the
nearest niggers before they get me. That seems rational to me.

Arthur
"Vic Vega" <stuck.in.the.middle@with.you> wrote in message
news:11he730bqu5ro23@corp.supernews.com...
> This just shows that niggers can't live in a civilized society.
>
> "Tyrone Kuntakintae" <auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com> wrote in message
> news:b746f$43170a40$d1cc7bc8$24088@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> This freakin ends now!!
>>
>> The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
>> strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
>> the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
>> the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
>> HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!
>>
>>
>> "Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
>> news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
>> > First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles attacking
>> > police stations.
>> >
>> > A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets, the
>> > back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
>> >
>> > Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
>> > helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
>> >
>> > What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to turn
>> > flooded NO into another Fallujah.
>>
>
>

144. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:33:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125711219.333405.6870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117970

Dave Heil wrote:
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
cut
> > It sounds like you are on some medications
> > yourself.
>
> You're hearing sounds?

Of course he hearing sounds what do you expect that he smell them
instead. Indeed the only thing anyone hear is sound
>
> Dave K8MN

145. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:34:47 UTC
From: "Jasper Towing Co, Ltd." <jastowcoltd@aol.com>
Message-ID: <G57Se.3197$3R1.2094@fe06.lga>
Source record: 117971
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

It a group of whites doing the shootings.

"So Sad The Renegade" <mjp36@mts.net> wrote in message
news:%27Se.2018$qP3.21190@news1.mts.net...
: If a disaster ever strikes my city the first thing I'm gonna do is off
the
: nearest niggers before they get me. That seems rational to me.
:
: Arthur
: "Vic Vega" <stuck.in.the.middle@with.you> wrote in message
: news:11he730bqu5ro23@corp.supernews.com...
: > This just shows that niggers can't live in a civilized society.
: >
: > "Tyrone Kuntakintae" <auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com> wrote in message
: > news:b746f$43170a40$d1cc7bc8$24088@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
: >> This freakin ends now!!
: >>
: >> The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
: >> strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
: >> the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
: >> the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
: >> HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!
: >>
: >>
: >> "Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
: >> news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
: >> > First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles
attacking
: >> > police stations.
: >> >
: >> > A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets,
the
: >> > back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
: >> >
: >> > Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
: >> > helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
: >> >
: >> > What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to turn
: >> > flooded NO into another Fallujah.
: >>
: >
: >
:

:

146. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:36:16 UTC
From: "Jasper Towing Co, Ltd." <jastowcoltd@aol.com>
Message-ID: <377Se.3199$3R1.843@fe06.lga>
Source record: 117972
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
It's a group of white racist, in black face, with ghetto clothing on,
doing the shooting. Saw it on the news today.

"Jasper Towing Co, Ltd." <jastowcoltd@aol.com> wrote in message
news:G57Se.3197$3R1.2094@fe06.lga...
: It a group of whites doing the shootings.
:
:
: "So Sad The Renegade" <mjp36@mts.net> wrote in message
: news:%27Se.2018$qP3.21190@news1.mts.net...
: : If a disaster ever strikes my city the first thing I'm gonna do is
off
: the
: : nearest niggers before they get me. That seems rational to me.
: :
: : Arthur
: : "Vic Vega" <stuck.in.the.middle@with.you> wrote in message
: : news:11he730bqu5ro23@corp.supernews.com...
: : > This just shows that niggers can't live in a civilized society.
: : >
: : > "Tyrone Kuntakintae" <auntjemimah@fatassniggaz.com> wrote in
message
: : > news:b746f$43170a40$d1cc7bc8$24088@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
: : >> This freakin ends now!!
: : >>
: : >> The Gov of LA should order in the Apaches in to
: : >> strafe those looting armed coons in the water with
: : >> the .50 calibres. Hell with wasting the ammo on
: : >> the sandniggers in Iraq! They should be SHOOTING
: : >> HOT LEAD at the domestic negroids we have right here!
: : >>
: : >>
: : >> "Vigilante" <we.ride@night.now> wrote in message
: : >> news:6cudh1hmln90hpbddcaoi06dfb41d93vdq@4ax.com...
: : >> > First we heard of nigger gangs armed with assault rifles
: attacking
: : >> > police stations.
: : >> >
: : >> > A FoxNews crew report seeing a Ryder truck driving the streets,
: the
: : >> > back door open, 3 armed niggers inside brandishing AK-47s.
: : >> >
: : >> > Now the evac of the Superdome has been stopped because whenever
```

: : >> > helicopters try to land, niggers with rifles shoot at them.
: : >> >
: : >> > What the fuck is the matter with these baboons? They want to
turn
: : >> > flooded NO into another Fallujah.
: : >>
: : >
: : >
: :
: :
:
:

147. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:52:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125712370.011201.99910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117973

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >K4YZ said...
> > > > >There's no "thin line".
> > > > >It's either an "information bulletin" or it's broadcasting.
> > > > >
> > > > There's a BIG difference between an information bulletin and a
> > > > broadcast. A broadcast is directed to the general public as a whole
> > > > while an information Bulletin is directed to a small group.
> > > >
> > > And your opinionated broadcasts are just that...Broadcasts.
> > >
> > Stevie As I recall you sent a tape to FCC. am I right? if so what did
> > they do
> >
> As usual, Markie, you "recall" incorrectly.

then why haven't you done so if you are so sure of yourself?

Oh I forgot what you realy want to rag on him not in fact settle
anything

>
> > > > >Once it's "opinonated" it stops being an "information bulletin"
> > > > >and becomes an editorial. Editorials are broadcasting.
> > > > >
> > > > Not necessary, You can get information out of an opinion, it's up to
> > > > the person hearing the opinion to determine if he go's along with that
> > > > train of thought.A good example is look at court cases, a ruling by a
> > > > court is not called a ruling but an opinion. At any rate Society is
> > > > based on two input General information and Opinions you can't just have
> > > > one.
> > > >
> > > Todd, The purpose of an "information bulletin" is to provide the
> > > aforementioned "listener" with specific, timely INFORMATION wth which
> > > to make operational decisions as they pertain to his/her Amateur
> > > station...
> > >
> > Where did you get that definition?
> >
> > Out of your twisted mind no doubt
> >
> Nope.

>
> From the opinions of FCC personnel over the years.

must be that secrect font of knowledge that comes from knowing morse
code
cut
> > > And Todd...Society is ALSO based on specific facts.
> >
> > such as?
>
> Such as the written law...Such as science...Such as case law...

so cite em

you refuse to cite Case law of written and yet claim ilegality

>
> > > As far as Amateur bulletins go, they should be timely, CONCISE
> > > bits of information...propagation updates, rules changes by
> > > FCC...operating activity parameters...short term emergency operation
> > > limits...
> >
> > according to whom?
> >
> > You it seems
>
> Obviously a LOT more people than me, Markie, since there's been
> REAMS of complaints filed on K1MAN, but NONE of them by me.

not at all

it is obvious that a lot of folks don't K1MAN, for what ever reason
(prehaps even good reason) but still where is it stated that " As
far as Amateur bulletins go, they should be timely, CONCISE bits of
information...propagation updates, rules changes by FCC...operating
activity parameters...short term emergency operation limits..."

is anything covered by rules

at least Riley in saying porr ham practice might be actionable
explianed himself

you have just made a pronoucenment.
>
> > > > >But an information bulletin has to have INFORMATION in it.
> > > > >Once tainted by editorialization, it's no longer objective
> > > >
> > > > There is nothing in the FCC rules that stated that, Nor does the FCC
> > > > rules state that an Information Bulletin has to be a pure piece of
> > > > facts.
> > >
> > > Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
> > > and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.

> >
> > according to whom? Never seen the FCC say someting this
>
> The FCC doesn't have to.

it sure as hell does or congress must
cuting more stevie BS
>
> > > > The Definition that YOU have given is the Genreral definition of the
> > > > word Information bulletin, However, It is NOT defined in the FCC rules.
> > >
> > > Anything LESS than a "pure piece of facts" dilutes the INFORMATION
> > > and thus causes you to DIS-serve the audience you seek to cater to.
> > >
> > > > The FCC has the power to define what a definition is in the rules, for
> > > > example the FCC can stated that an informational bulletin may only be a
> > > > bono fide newscast, but that is not what we see here. In other words
> > > > the FCC can limit what something is provided it the lease restrictive
> > > > means necessary to promote govenment interest.
> > >
> > > Todd, you're dancing around here hoping to find some resolute hole
> > > in the rules to justify being a junior disc jockey.
> > >
> > > K1MAN is in the hot water he's in today partially because most
> > > Amateurs consider his OPINIONATED, copy-cat bulletins to be
> >
> > Not accarding the FCC
>
> "according"
>
> Where did I say "according to the FCC", Markie...?!?!

if K1MAN is in hot water for the FCC or Congress MUST say so or it is
just some hams blowing smoke

>
> > cuting the rest of stevie ranting of his OPINION given without any
> > rreal facts
>
> "cutting" "real"
cut

> But the FACTS are they AREN'T legal.

according to whom?

You deny it is the FCC haven't claimed it is congress so it can't be
ILLEGAL
>
> And Amateurs have pretty well made it clear that W1AW's bulletins
> are more than enough one-way stuff on the Amateur allocations.

So what?

Hams don't make the law, thank god
cut

> So...Markie...Into censorship now?

no I just did not find worth comenting on

I am not required to coment on your every word

My failure to repeat your remarks is not censorship
cutingthe rest of the rant

148. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 01:54:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125712493.824623.4490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117974

N9OGL wrote:
> >K4YZ Said...
> > But the FACTS are they AREN'T legal.
>
> But you haven't quote a FCC rule nor a FCC final order stating it was
> illegal. The only thing you've quoted was a paraphase from the
> dictonary.

But Todd don't you know Stevie makes the laws
>
> > And Amateurs have pretty well made it clear that W1AW's bulletins
> >are more than enough one-way stuff on the Amateur allocations.
>
> It seem to me like a monopoly....a monopoly on what should be free
> speech.

Naw it is just Stveie claiming that His Opinion is more important than
Law

>
>
> Todd N9OGL

149. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 02:16:00 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <e143aded3fc66dc5764bdf75c24bc173@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 117977

In article <1125692233.015551.194880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> What don't you

"Why" don't you learn how to compose a sentence in English, Toadie, you cocksucking little faggot.

> and your little bitch Normen go back to given each other
> knob jobs and shut the hell up

Naturally, you are the expert in giving another man knobjobs, Toadie, you fag fit throwing fagot.
Have another fag fit, Toadie..... (chuckle)

150. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 02:19:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125713943.735852.169580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117975

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
> >>> In fact, it was this professor who first told me
> >>> to look either for
> >>> angels
> >>> or aliens--before he finally settled on
> >>> the angels (intelligence NOT
> >>> from
> >>> a mud puddle as you could ever find upon
> >>> an earth-like planet)...

> >> The only thing that I can think of which is more
> >> impossible to believe
> >> than "mud became man" is angels that just "were".

> > So where did all the matter in the universe
> > orginially come from? If it
> > had no beginning, the it just "was".
> > If it did indeed have a beginning,
> > the what was before that?

Maybe nothing.

Most humans consider time to be something that goes from
here to there, giving meaning to concepts such as "before",
"after", "simultaneously", etc. Such a timeview is based on
their experience, limited as it is.

But consider this:

Go outside on a clear night and look for a star. Suppose for discussion
sake you look at a star that is 300 light years
away.

>From our point of view, the light you see originated at the star in the
year 1705, and has been traveling to the Earth for three centuries.

But from the point of view of the photons that make up that starlight,
no time elapsed between the creation of the photons at the star and
their end on your retinas. No time at all.

How can the same thing have two so completely different times of
existence?

(note that in this context "light" means EM radiation of all
sorts, from "radio" to gamma rays)
>
> Personally, I suspect that answer may be hard to come by.
> My own
> beliefs are that we are going to have to meld Big Bang
> and Steady State
> together.
>
> Big Bang has still not found Proton decay, which to me
> is a fatal flaw.
> Steady State as it was thought of in the past, just
> doesn't hold up to
> what we know today.
>
> Certainly the idea of pre-Big Bang "foam" is interesting,
> but of
> course, what was there before the "foam"? Was there a
> previous universe?
> Given that the conditions and constants of the Universe were
> set by the
> Big Bang, there isn't much doubt that any previous universe
> would have been much different.

Maybe - or maybe not!

One thing we assume - IOW, take completely on faith - is that
the "laws of physics" are time- and place-invariant. We look at
light from distant quasars and galaxies that has come to us from
billions of light years distance and time, and we *assume* that
the laws of physics are exactly the same across all that time
and all that distance.

We assume it because there's no evidence to the contrary.

So maybe the laws of physics do *not* change from Big Bang to Big
Crunch to Big Bang II, etc. Perhaps they are truly eternal and
omnipresent...just as the Supreme Being is described to be.

That doesn't mean the Supreme Being is only the laws of physics.
>
> Where might the energy from these
> universe/singularity/universe/singularity/universes have come
> from.
>
> Perhaps the quantum world may give us some answers.
> Perhaps zero point
> energy may have played a part.
>
Exactly.

Or maybe there's a whole bunch of physical laws yet to be discovered.

Consider how recently concepts like relativity, electromagnetism, and this thing we call radio have been understood by humans, compared to the age of the earth or the amount of time humans have lived on it. And in such a short time, some folks think they have it all figured out? That's almost funny!

73 de Jim, N@EY

151. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 02:28:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125714519.390374.182790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117976

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
> >
> > > KØHB wrote:
cut

> How can the same thing have two so completely different times of
> existence?

Very Easy just read Einstein

All frames of reference are valid ones

cut

> > Big Bang, there isn't much doubt that any previous universe
> > would have been much different.
>
> Maybe - or maybe not!
>
> One thing we assume - IOW, take completely on faith - is that

If you do then you are In correct in taking it on Faith

read Hawkings

cut
> Or maybe there's a whole bunch of physical laws yet to be discovered.

of course there is

just what it may cover is unclear but there Must Be or else science is
facing a real dead end

cut

152. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 02:33:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125714835.819270.229370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117978

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <1125692233.015551.194880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > What don't you

cuting sexual ranting

gee nothing left

153. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 02:34:20 UTC
From: Larry K3LT <yodoc@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125714860.049246.278720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Omigosh. I'm away from RRAP for almost two years, come in for a look see, and am smacked between the eyes with this crapola! Sheez. There goes my thoughts that things may have improved.

73 de Larry, K3LT

154. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 03:08:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3t8Se.5893$9i4.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117980

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:6igfh1tpd8700rnsn9j2t2ki34t67ovuh5@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 02 Sep 2005 01:15:18 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <GINRe.5309$9i4.186@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>
>>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>>news:u7idnfqnYNr9AIreRVn-qg@gbronline.com...
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>> amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>> Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>>>
>>>> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>>>
>>>> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit
>>>> in
>>>> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing
>>>> messages
>>>> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>>>
>>>> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>>>> before.
>>>>
>>>> And this is for you Len Anderson.....take your anti-ham crap and shove
>>>> it.
>>>>
>>>> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Ask frankieboy to turn on his SeeBee and tell us all about the disaster
>>> traffic they are handling.
>>
>>This just came over 3965...
>>
>>On 3965, KB7BSA is with the Baptist feeding unit in Biloxi MS.
>>
>>She just passed this along after sending out 40 Health and Welfare
>>messages.....

>>
>>" Ham radio is all there is for communications. Police, Fire, Rescue,
>>Emergency Services, all of them using Ham Radio, there is NOTHING ELSE
>>that works"
>
>
> I've always said that ham radio has it's usefulness in certain
> situations. This is most definitely one of those situations. But I
> have no doubt whatsoever that every -other- mode of communication that
> works, radio or not, is being used to it's fullest limitations.
>
> And for some glory seeking hams to declare themselves and their
> service to be the exclusive 'heros de jour' is absolutely repulsive,
> especially while the situation continues to erode and people continue
> to die.
>
> Let me make this -REAL- clear to you, Dan: It's not about ham radio or
> any other radio service. It's about the people that are suffering in
> this disaster. So instead of thumping your chest while standing on a
> pile of rubble and dead bodies, how about you hero-wannabe hammies
> show a little dignity and set aside your self-aggrandizing delusions
> for a little while?
>
I'm sorry Frank....I'm too tired to debate your idiotic comments. Ain't no
one thumping chests here.

I have ran several pieces of traffic from the disaster area tonight. Made
the calls and I'm damn proud of Ham Radio. If you don't like it. Gent
bent

Dan/W4NTI

>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

155. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 03:15:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Nz8Se.5895$9i4.2188@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117981

"Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:1125694732.765721.182010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Health and Welfare traffic is lower priority but it's still important
> for people to know that their friends/relatives are okay and they know
> he's okay too. Then they can attend to matters at hand with a clearer
> head. Ham radio offloads H&W from police/govt/mil comm links so they
> have enough bandwidth to handle the high priority stuff.
>

Sure H&W is of less importance. Saving lives is the highest priority. But
you know something? It sure made me feel good when I delivered those
messages from the disaster area. That is worth all the BS I read in here.

Dan/W4NTI

156. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 03:18:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <DC8Se.5897$9i4.5414@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117982

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:VMidne44Ra41tIXeRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:X_MRe.5348$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>
>> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>
>> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>> before.
>>
>> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>> it.
>>
>> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> And I'd like to add kudos for all those outside the disaster area who are
> processing the traffic. I've heard people with voices quavery with
> extreme old age and voices young enough that I doubt they are old enough
> to shave and every age in between. All are operating in an efficient and
> professional manner.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Ain't it amazing Dee? Ham Radio is safe. I no longer doubt it.

Dan/W4NTI

157. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 03:20:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ED8Se.5898$9i4.2485@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117983

Yeah it sucks....but you know something? We still manage to be able to
communicate.

Dan/W4NTI

"RJ" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:4V5Se.172627$5N3.152680@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Isn't it ironic citizens despise amateur radio and they will go to court
> to insure amateur antennas are never installed in their neighborhood. But
> when commercial radio and cell phone fail, they expect we can provide
> communication without antennas. Think about it.
>
> AA8X
>
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:X_MRe.5348$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>
>> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>
>> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>> before.
>>
>> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>> it.
>>
>> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

158. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 04:14:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125720894.551287.232290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117984

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:1125694732.765721.182010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Health and Welfare traffic is lower priority but it's still important
> > for people to know that their friends/relatives are okay and they know
> > he's okay too. Then they can attend to matters at hand with a clearer
> > head. Ham radio offloads H&W from police/govt/mil comm links so they
> > have enough bandwidth to handle the high priority stuff.
> >
>
> Sure H&W is of less importance. Saving lives is the highest priority. But
> you know something? It sure made me feel good when I delivered those
> messages from the disaster area. That is worth all the BS I read in here.

thank you for making my point so well Dan

Hams don't look with much real objectivity on their role in disasters
>
> Dan/W4NTI

159. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 04:21:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125721282.434927.253860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117985

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > reminding Ole stevie of his word
> > >
> > > again
> >
> > now to Hightlight the relavant pasage again
> >
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > > there at the end
> > cut
> > > >
> > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > start
> > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > above,
> > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> >
> > there you have
> >
> > confessing to disablity of some kind and he denies it was physcial so
> > it must be mental
> >
> > No other logical choice except of course that Stvei isn't the marrine
> > in question or other such lie
>
> It could have been spiritual. If Steve had been a Chaplain and lost
> his faith...

I had to think about this one for a few days but indeed if Stevie wants
to claim chaplain status and loss of faith I'll let do it and apologize
for saying it must have been a mental

160. Re: Steve lies again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 04:22:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125721360.636898.120190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117986

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > > > victum that decides what is a threat
> >
> > No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
> > Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
> > could say that's a "threat"
> >
> > > You're right! And those were his very words.
> >
> > Sure is fun watching the two of you pat each other on the back!
> > Haven't seen so much self-congratulation for nothing at all since
> > Clinton's book came out...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve lies. Here's proof:
>
> ----------
> Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> case of your
> misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
>
> Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> might be
> read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> it be
> perceived as such."
> ----------

Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
>
> So, was Steve lying then, or now?

161. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 04:30:11 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <c69ih1hneeqb2a9dvd5u7etlf2o39b4gnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 117987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2 Sep 2005 19:34:20 -0700, "Larry K3LT" <yodoc@aol.com> wrote:

>Omigosh. I'm away from RRAP for almost two years, come in for a look
>see, and am smacked between the eyes with this crapola! Sheez. There
>goes my thoughts that things may have improved.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

They did improve somewhat - your buddy Dick Carrol went into a vapor lock and bit the big one awhile back.

So are you back to obsess over Kim's callsign some more, or are you going to bitch and moan about CW actually being a useful mode of communication?

162. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 05:04:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125723863.988071.27640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117988

Michael Coslo wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > K0HB:

> > Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> > of the authors
> > strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> > in the area of
> > probability and statistics--quite possibly
> > lotus-blossom-eaters.

For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
to disprove the idea....

> It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"

The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
>
> > First, just for starters, to get all the necessary elements
> > formed into
> > the complex amino acids to create the RNA is preposterous--
> > let alone the
> > actual creation of the RNA (and this would only be a virus--
> > unable to
> > replicate on its own.)
>
> Why no, it really isn't preposterous.
>
> What are the titles of your books?
>
> > Next, to get a complex DNA structure would be
> > another extraordinary event, for the proper structure
> > (organism) to be
> > present and form around the DNA AND be able to use the DNA
> > would be
> > another extraordinary event, for this organism to be
> > able to replicate
> > would be one more extraordinary event, for just one of these > > single celled
> > organisms to go "multi-cellular" would be one more
> > extraordinary event,
> > then for each cell to develop specialized functions--another > > extraordinary
> > event, for them to form complete organs handling a specific
> > function--another extraordinary event.... AND THIS IS
> > SUPPOSED TO GO
> > RIGHT ON UP TO WHERE THE ORGANISM IS CAPABLE OF SELF-

> > REALIZATION, COMPLEX
> > THOUGHT AND CONSIDERS ITSELF TO HAVE A SPIRIT!

At times like this, it's important to recall the
Unnamed Law:

"If it happens, it must be possible"

> You are off the scientific track to begin with, otherwise
> you wouldn't
> use the string of "Preposterous, Extraordinary, impossible,
> endlessly
> number, impossible links, Impossible- end of story" stuff.

What's really missing in those statements is imagination.

Look at your PC or Mac. Then look at pictures of ENIAC (or actually go
see it, as I have done...)

Could anyone imagine that today's PCs are the direct descendants
of that machine? Yet they are, after just 60 years

> Want to see a few things that are interesting?
>
> Look up lipid structures, and see their likely early life > implications.
> No miracles here, just simple chemistry.
>
> You speak of RNA and DNA. When speaking of origins of
> life, it is
> probably better to speak of metabolic pathways, as the DNA and > RNA
> probably evolved to accommodate them.
>
> And are they complicated!
>
> see http://tinyurl.com/dm8hu
>
>
> This is a pdf file of the various metabolic pathways. While the > major
> ones are ATP and glycolysis, there are many.
>
> Now whereas you may look at this chart, and say look how > complicated!
> this is proof that we are created by God!, I look at it and
> say "What
> kind of God would create such a sloppy convoluted MESS!"

"You see, to be quite frank, Kevin, the fabric of the universe
is far from perfect. It was a bit of botched job, you see. We
only had seven days to make it. And that's where this comes in.
This is the only map of all the holes. Well, why repair them?
Why not use them to get stinking rich?"

> I can only speak for myself, but if I were to create
> life, I would

> leave no doubt that it was created. There would be no
> processes, no
> interconnected pathways, nothing of the sort.

"God is not interested in technology... He knows nothing of
the potential of the micro-chip or the silicon revolution.
He's obsessed with making the grass grow and getting
rainbows right... Look at what he spends his time on.
43 species of parrot! Nipples for men! Slugs! HE created slugs! They
can't hear. They can't speak. They can't operate machinery. Are we not
in the hands of a lunatic?

If I were creating the world I wouldn't mess about with butterflies and
daffodils. I would have started with lasers, eight o'clock, Day One!"

> My creatures would see because I made them see, would think
> because
> they just do, and there would be no obvious source of life -
> cut one of
> them open, and inside would be nothing.
>
> A genuine miracle. Simple, and allowing of no argument.

Is not life-as-we-know-it a genuine miracle, regardless of
how it came about?

> > ... as you can quickly see, this chain of impossible,
> > seemingly endlessly
> > numbered and impossible links of extraordinary events to have > > all
> > occurred, all at just the right time, all in just the proper > > order is just
> > too mathematically impossible to have any believe but those
> > willing to
> > believe the most preposterous impossibility which could ever > > be devised...
> > in plain english--IT IS IMPOSSIBLE--END OF STORY!
>
> You try to make it much more complicated sounding than it is.

What you're seeing in those statements is a lack of imagination.
Plus a failure to comprehend how long a billion years is...

> > Those books on the subject, start quickly to, toss around
> > these CHAINS of
> > extraordinary events without the slightest considerations to > > the
> > mathematical possibilities, which end up being NON-EXISTENT!
>
> What were those books again?

"If it happens, it must be possible"
>
> > I had the fortune to have a mathematics professor who I
> > worked with at the
> > university, who obtained a grant and was into computing these
> > possibilities, he WAS an atheist... and that is a true

> > story!

Must have read Oolon Coolophid's "Well That About Wraps It Up For
God"...
>
> > In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look
> > either for angels
> > or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels
> > (intelligence NOT from
> > a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like
> > planet)...
> >
> > I just flat do not know what to think, it is all too
> > impossible...
> > perhaps the answers are out there...
> > <X-Files-theme-plays-in-the-background>
> >
> > ... or, perhaps there is a very simple explanation we just
> > have not
> > thought of--yet... any guess is as valid as another...
>
> Well, the simplest answer is "All this comes from God".
> Simple, to the
> point, and the great thing is that once you accept this, you
> need look
> no further. You are her to worship him, and that is all the
> knowledge needed.

I've got a two-liner that works for me:

Science is the how
God is the why

> If you want more, if the one liner answer is not enough, I would
> suggest that you add time to your equations of impossibility.

Also the size of the earth.

> As for your math professor, I wonder if he had the concept of
> time on
> the billion year scale? Almost no one does.

Worse, too many don't realize they don't know.

> How is it that the human has eyes? An exquisite organ of sense > to be
> sure. But before we throw up our hands and say that it was too
> complicated a structure to have simply come about by chance, we > might
> want to take a look at the facts.
>
> Phototropism exists at the lowest levels of life. There > are > bacteria in
> the ocean that adjust the level at which they "swim" by the amount of
> ambient light falling on them. It is a pretty simple thing. Various
> creatures make use of this in varying degrees of complexity, from simple

> organs that react to light coming from different directions, to simple
> lensed eyes, to multi lensed wonders that detect movement, to reflective
> layers behind the sensing structure that allow sight at the
> individual
> photon level. As well as our eyes, which although wonderful,
> are not at
> the top of the list for acuity.

And in the oceans are creatures who have lost the ability
to see, because in their environment it's pretty useless.

Some forms of life have senses we don't - like migratory birds that can
sense the earth's magnetism.
>
> But at it's root level, it *is* a simple thing. That's
> phototropism. A
> chemical reaction that would exist if there were no life to put > it in.
>
> That is just one case. The other senses are also similarly
> simply
> based. All based on detection of energy sources, and using
> those sources
> to extract information from the environment.
>
> Just like looking at a modern automobile. While they look very
> complicated, at heart, they are just a compilation of simple
> machines.

They're also the end product of a long series of small developments.
>
> This is in no way to say that life is not a wonderful and
> amazing
> thing. It is. It is a messy, complicated, unruly, terribly
> imperfect yet
> surprisingly resilient gastraphagus we have here.
>
> And yet, some people look at it, and some throw their arms in
> the air
> and say that "It is so complex! It could only have been created > by
> God!". While I look at it and say "It is so complex! I doubt
> any God
> would create such a mess when under his total control, a simple > life form could be
created".

I doubt that anyone on this planet really knows. We're just at
the beginning in so many ways. Consider how recently things like
infectious disease, vaccines and basic metabolism were understood.
And yet some people think they are qualified to say what is "too
complex"? That's almost funny.

> It is worth study with an open mind. When you work on the
> billion year

> time scale, all sorts of possibilities exist.
>
Yup.

One more thing is unknown: Is the biology we know the only one possible, or are there many possibilities, and Earth just has one of them?

73 de Jim, N2EY

163. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 05:10:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DfaSe.4151$4P5.1149@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117989

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>It sounds like you are on some medications
>>>yourself.
>>
>>You're hearing sounds?
>
>
> Of course he hearing sounds what do you expect that he smell them
> instead. Indeed the only thing anyone hear is sound

Great, Mark, you're hearing sounds on usenet too? I sit here reading words. The computer isn't making a single sound. Did you read what the semi-anonymous Roger wrote, or did you just decide to jump in with both feet inserted in your yap?

Dave K8MN

164. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 05:29:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <khcih1tn135opeda42rbjtn9hdlq6tdm76@4ax.com>
Source record: 117990

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:08:47 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<3t8Se.5893$9i4.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

First you wrote:

>...Ain't no
>one thumping chests here.

Immediately followed by:

>I have ran several pieces of traffic from the disaster area tonight. Made
>the calls and I'm damn proud of Ham Radio.

Hypocrite.

> If you don't like it. Gent
>bent

It's morons like you who secretly -wanted- something this bad to
happen just so you could have the opportunity to "step up to the
plate". Yep, all those people are suffering and dying so -you- can
feel good about being a ham -- you -should- be proud.

Get some perspective you sick fuck.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

165. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 05:53:18 UTC
From: Ralph Abernathy <WeBe@Rastus.up>
Message-ID: <dfbdlstnp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117991
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jasper Towing Co, Ltd." <jastowcoltd@aol.com> wrote in message
news:377Se.3199$3R1.843@fe06.lga...
It's a group of white racist, in black face, with ghetto clothing on,
doing the shooting. Saw it on the news today.

I been tellin you dat for years an years. We don't be shootin' at no
Hellicpoters.
You white boys wif all da money be blaming it on us and Bush jus wanna make
us look bad

All we needs is some water an food and lecticity to run the stereos we stole
from Wall Marts.

Oh, I gots me a neet shot gun from Wall Marts. I done shot it at one of them
Hellicolpters an you shoulda seen them white boys run.

Dey all ran an hid. Guess dey be fraid of a nigger with a trigger.

166. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 06:16:09 UTC
From: LaToya <ShoNuff@tummz>
Message-ID: <dfbf0o$450$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Larry K3LT" <yodoc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1125714860.049246.278720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
Omigosh. I'm away from RRAP for almost two years, come in for a look
see, and am smacked between the eyes with this crapola! Sheez. There
goes my thoughts that things may have improved.

73 de Larry, K3LT

The politically correct Liberals take offense that the media is calling the
goons in New Orleans "Looters".

The Liberals prefer that they be referred to as "Undocumented Shoppers

167. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 06:26:18 UTC
From: Digital <NoName@anon>
Message-ID: <dfbfjo$6mk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 117993

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:DfaSe.4151$4P5.1149@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>It sounds like you are on some medications
>>>yourself.
>>
>>You're hearing sounds?
>
>
> Of course he hearing sounds what do you expect that he smell them
> instead. Indeed the only thing anyone hear is sound

..and this comment from an obviously underadvanced intellect said what?
..."he smell them"? "he hearing sounds"?

Well, I be hearing you, bro. Unnerstan whut I be sayin?

168. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 13:40:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125754836.736652.245470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117994

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > K0HB:
>
> > > Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> > > of the authors
> > > strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> > > in the area of
> > > probability and statistics--quite possibly
> > > lotus-blossom-eaters.
>
> For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> to disprove the idea....

That's what I've been saying about you'se guys.

"Len is not a ham. Len has no interest in amateur radio. Discard
Len's opinions because as a non-participant, Len could know nothing
about amateur radio."

Hi! You guys crack me up.

169. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 13:42:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125754979.298438.245800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117995

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > > No he isn't very practiced He forgets that at some points it is his
> > > > > victum that decides what is a threat
> > >
> > > No, Markie...It's a court of law that decides what a "threat" is.
> > > Otherwise you could tell me the sky is blue and, if I deemed it so,
> > > could say that's a "threat"
> > >
> > > > You're right! And those were his very words.
> > >
> > > Sure is fun watching the two of you pat each other on the back!
> > > Haven't seen so much self-congratulation for nothing at all since
> > > Clinton's book came out...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve lies. Here's proof:
> >
> > ----------
> > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > case of your
> > misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> >
> > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > might be
> > read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> > it be
> > perceived as such."
> > ----------
>
> Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard

I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.

MIA or AWOL?

170. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 13:47:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125755251.103125.281620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117996

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > reminding Ole stevie of his word
> > > >
> > > > again
> > >
> > > now to Hightlight the relavant pasage again
> > >
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > > > there at the end
> > > cut
> > > > >
> > > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > > start
> > > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > > above,
> > > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > >
> > > there you have
> > >
> > > confessing to disablity of some kind and he denies it was physcial so
> > > it must be mental
> > >
> > > No other logical choice except of course that Stvei isn't the marrine
> > > in question or other such lie
> >
> > It could have been spiritual. If Steve had been a Chaplain and lost
> > his faith...
>
> I had to think about this one for a few days but indeed if Stevie wants
> to claim chaplain status and loss of faith I'll let do it and apologize
> for saying it must have been a mental

As will I.

But Steve has never mentioned that he was a chaplain, only claims being
an "A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS, and supposed to be aircraft maintenance
of some sort.

It will be interesting to see if he goes for the "loss of faith" excuse.

171. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 13:52:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125755549.809654.202530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117997

```
hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > reminding Ole stevie of his word
> > > > >
> > > > > again
> > > >
> > > > now to Hightlight the relavant pasage again
> > > >
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > > > > there at the end
> > > > cut
> > > > >
> > > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > > start
> > > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > > above,
> > > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > > >
> > > there you have
> > >
> > > confessing to disablity of some kind and he denies it was physcial so
> > > it must be mental
> > >
> > > No other logical choice except of course that Stvei isn't the marrine
> > > in question or other such lie
> > >
> > It could have been spiritual. If Steve had been a Chaplain and lost
> > his faith...
> >
> > I had to think about this one for a few days but indeed if Stevie wants
> > to claim chaplain status and loss of faith I'll let do it and apologize
> > for saying it must have been a mental
>
> As will I.
>
> But Steve has never mentioned that he was a chaplain, only claims being
> an "A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS, and supposed to be aircraft maintenance
> of some sort.
```

but when has changing his story bothered Stevie before
>
> It will be interesting to see if he goes for the "loss of faith" excuse.

172. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 14:02:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125756146.526730.52610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117998

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > Steve lies. Here's proof:
> > >
> > > ----------
> > > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > > case of your
> > > misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> > >
> > > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > > might be
> > > read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> > > it be
> > > perceived as such."
> > > ----------
> >
> > Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
>
> I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.

well I have seen one post from him yesterday he was flaming me claiming
that my refusual to address every point of one of his repetive flame
posts was censorship of him.

I was glad to see it frankly as long as he posts every day or so we are
safe since he is obviously not driving to one of to carru out one of
his threats
>
> MIA or AWOL?

Definately MIA, stevie has always been MIA, plenty of talk though

173. in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 14:17:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125757047.193809.114850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 117999

a few questions

Is/are CW nets carries traffic into out of the disaster Zone? I see
posts of lots of SSB freqs but not one CW net

174. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 14:56:59 UTC
From: N7TX <N7TX@cbrules.com>
Message-ID: <1125759540.de4a521babaa020dfecc504628076cb8@teranews>
Source record: 118100

Shut up you silly bastard.
>
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:X_MRe.5348$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
> before.
>
> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
> it.
>
> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

175. Re: Washington Post Article Mentions Ham Radio in Katrina Disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 14:58:41 UTC
From: N7TX <N7TX@cbrules.com>
Message-ID: <1125759640.f076f993d86608574d8c1b52cc7d5ad2@teranews>
Source record: 118101

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:JqCdnbYBvtd7_IreRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/31/AR2005083102656.html
>>
>>
>>
>> Yea we are there and mentioned but you shoul;d note that almost as
>> prominat is mention of Text messaging systems based on the cell phone
>> network
>>
>
> Which is mostely down.
>
My key is "mostely" down. CW is for fags.

176. Re: in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 15:18:40 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <vjfjh1tu9hhbdduin2n1ap7f47h1793n70@4ax.com>
Source record: 118102

On 3 Sep 2005 07:17:27 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>a few questions
>
>Is/are CW nets carries traffic into out of the disaster Zone? I see
>posts of lots of SSB freqs but not one CW net

The CW junkies can't be bothered during a contest weekend, which is
just about every week.

177. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 15:40:45 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <QAO56AT438598.9866319444@reece.net.au>
Source record: 118103

In article <DfaSe.4151$4P5.1149@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>Nomen Nescio wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>It sounds like you are on some medications
> >>>yourself.
> >>
> >>You're hearing sounds?
> >
> >
> > Of course he hearing sounds what do you expect that he smell them
> > instead. Indeed the only thing anyone hear is sound
>
> Great, Mark, you're hearing sounds on usenet too?

I bet you hear voices in your empty head, don't you? You have obvious mental health issues if you think one man is the only one who posts using anonymous remailers.

> I sit here reading words. The computer isn't making a single sound.

Oh? You don't have a cooling fan on your CPU or even the system board? You won't have that computer very much longer.

> Did you read what the semi-anonymous Roger wrote, or did you just decide to jump in with both
> feet inserted in your yap?
AS I said, it would seem that you need the mental help, if you think this Roger is the only one who uses anonymous remailers. Perhaps you should seek mental help and counseling if you think he is behind every anonymous post. Enjoy that foot in *your* mouth. More pepper?

178. Re: Washington Post Article Mentions Ham Radio in Katrina Disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 16:47:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125766035.418293.179150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118104

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125584547.411239.282510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/08/31/AR2005083102656.html
> >
> >
> > Yea we are there and mentioned but you shoul;d note that almost as
> > prominat is mention of Text messaging systems based on the cell phone
> > network
> >
>
> And the fact that the cell phones were sporadic and that their batteries
> were dying.

and you know I hear on TV that they are also siting in lines for access
to sockets able to recharge them

>
> All modes of communications need to be utilized to the extent possible but
> that one has limited application under these circumstances.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

179. Re: in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 17:01:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125766197.482231.212290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118105

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On 3 Sep 2005 07:17:27 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >a few questions
> >
> >Is/are CW nets carries traffic into out of the disaster Zone? I see
> >posts of lots of SSB freqs but not one CW net
>
> The CW junkies can't be bothered during a contest weekend, which is
> just about every week.

you may indeed be right, I was trying to check to see is the CW crowd
was being missed by all the folks that could not tell a cw HW net from
contesting or rag crewing

It will be something interesting to consider as the CW folks call for
CW only bandwidth

180. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 17:08:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lNKdnX1RiKYaRYTeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118106

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>K0HB:
>>
>>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
>>>>of the authors
>>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
>>>>in the area of
>>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
>>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
>>
>>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
>>to disprove the idea....
>
>
> That's what I've been saying about you'se guys.
>
> "Len is not a ham. Len has no interest in amateur radio. Discard
> Len's opinions because as a non-participant, Len could know nothing
> about amateur radio."
>
> Hi! You guys crack me up.

I don't discard a one of Len Andersons opinions.

However, he is fully aware of "attacking the thinker". I doubt I've ever said one nasty thing to the man, (aside from calling him Lennie once, for which I was chastised and then ceased doing). Yet go look up his comments to me over time. Fascinating stuff. Probably not a good avenue to go down for an argument! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

181. Re: in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 17:48:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <mllSe.6193$9i4.597@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118107

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125766197.482231.212290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Uncle Ted wrote:
>> On 3 Sep 2005 07:17:27 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >a few questions
>> >
>> >Is/are CW nets carries traffic into out of the disaster Zone? I see
>> >posts of lots of SSB freqs but not one CW net
>>
>> The CW junkies can't be bothered during a contest weekend, which is
>> just about every week.
>
> you may indeed be right, I was trying to check to see is the CW crowd
> was being missed by all the folks that could not tell a cw HW net from
> contesting or rag crewing
>
> It will be something interesting to consider as the CW folks call for
> CW only bandwidth
>

Does sending H&W messages into the area via the National Traffic System
count as using CW in this emergency?

Just wondering.

Dan/W4NTI

182. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 17:49:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0nlSe.6194$9i4.5065@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118108

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125720894.551287.232290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125694732.765721.182010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > Health and Welfare traffic is lower priority but it's still important
>> > for people to know that their friends/relatives are okay and they know
>> > he's okay too. Then they can attend to matters at hand with a clearer
>> > head. Ham radio offloads H&W from police/govt/mil comm links so they
>> > have enough bandwidth to handle the high priority stuff.
>> >
>>
>> Sure H&W is of less importance. Saving lives is the highest priority.
>> But
>> you know something? It sure made me feel good when I delivered those
>> messages from the disaster area. That is worth all the BS I read in
>> here.
>
> thank you for making my point so well Dan
>
> Hams don't look with much real objectivity on their role in disasters
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

And what are you doing in support of this disaster asshole?

Dan/W4NTI

183. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 17:51:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kolSe.6195$9i4.3981@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118109

And what are you doing to help out in this disaster?

Dan/W4NTI

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:khcih1tn135opeda42rbjtn9hdlq6tdm76@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:08:47 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <3t8Se.5893$9i4.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>
> First you wrote:
>
>>...Ain't no
>>one thumping chests here.
>
>
> Immediately followed by:
>
>
>>I have ran several pieces of traffic from the disaster area tonight. Made
>>the calls and I'm damn proud of Ham Radio.
>
>
> Hypocrite.
>
>
>> If you don't like it. Gent
>>bent
>
>
> It's morons like you who secretly -wanted- something this bad to
> happen just so you could have the opportunity to "step up to the
> plate". Yep, all those people are suffering and dying so -you- can
> feel good about being a ham -- you -should- be proud.
>
> Get some perspective you sick fuck.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

184. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 17:53:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqlSe.6198$9i4.1913@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118110

I often wonder why people of N7TX attitude bother to get a ham license.

Dan/W4NTI

"N7TX" <N7TX@cbrules.com> wrote in message
news:1125759540.de4a521babaa020dfecc504628076cb8@teranews...
> Shut up you silly bastard.
>>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:X_MRe.5348$_84.1848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>
>> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>> to family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>
>> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>> before.
>>
>> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>> it.
>>
>> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

185. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 18:11:23 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <3lpjh11auekihbga2c1bnkma764ob4np57@4ax.com>
Source record: 118112

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 17:49:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>And what are you doing in support of this disaster asshole?
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Personally, I haven't done anything to support the disaster...

...I HAVE helped the relief effort by donating to the American Red
Cross.

186. Re: in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 18:19:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125771558.745571.12960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118111

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125766197.482231.212290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Uncle Ted wrote:
> >> On 3 Sep 2005 07:17:27 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >a few questions
> >> >
> >> >Is/are CW nets carries traffic into out of the disaster Zone? I see
> >> >posts of lots of SSB freqs but not one CW net
> >>
> >> The CW junkies can't be bothered during a contest weekend, which is
> >> just about every week.
> >
> > you may indeed be right, I was trying to check to see is the CW crowd
> > was being missed by all the folks that could not tell a cw HW net from
> > contesting or rag crewing
> >
> > It will be something interesting to consider as the CW folks call for
> > CW only bandwidth
> >
>
> Does sending H&W messages into the area via the National Traffic System
> count as using CW in this emergency?

yea it does had not though of it I admit

looking for data on the subject

>
> Just wondering.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

187. Re: in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 18:26:31 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <biqjh19fmoooilenfjbn5hmabllnp86v5k@4ax.com>
Source record: 118115

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 17:48:02 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>Does sending H&W messages into the area via the National Traffic System
>count as using CW in this emergency?
>
>Just wondering.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

That depends on whether or not such messages are sent using CW. I am
not aware of any CW nets that are handling such messages.

188. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 18:41:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HOidnQ_GpMbVc4TeRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118113

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>K0HB:
>
>
>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
>>>of the authors
>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
>>>in the area of
>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
>
>
> For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> to disprove the idea....
>
>
>>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
>
>
> The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...

Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
who are just slap happy to tell us that!

>>>First, just for starters, to get all the necessary elements
>>>formed into
>>>the complex amino acids to create the RNA is preposterous--
>>>let alone the
>>>actual creation of the RNA (and this would only be a virus--
>>>unable to
>>>replicate on its own.)
>>
>> Why no, it really isn't preposterous.
>>
>> What are the titles of your books?
>>
>>
>>>Next, to get a complex DNA structure would be
>>>another extraordinary event, for the proper structure
>>>(organism) to be
>>>present and form around the DNA AND be able to use the DNA

>>>would be
>>>another extraordinary event, for this organism to be
>>>able to replicate
>>>would be one more extraordinary event, for just one of these > > single celled
>>>organisms to go "multi-cellular" would be one more
>>>extraordinary event,
>>>then for each cell to develop specialized functions--another > > extraordinary
>>>event, for them to form complete organs handling a specific
>>>function--another extraordinary event.... AND THIS IS
>>>SUPPOSED TO GO
>>>RIGHT ON UP TO WHERE THE ORGANISM IS CAPABLE OF SELF-
>>>REALIZATION, COMPLEX
>>>THOUGHT AND CONSIDERS ITSELF TO HAVE A SPIRIT!
>
>
> At times like this, it's important to recall the
> Unnamed Law:
>
> "If it happens, it must be possible"
>
>
>>You are off the scientific track to begin with, otherwise
>>you wouldn't
>>use the string of "Preposterous, Extraordinary, impossible,
>>endlessly
>>number, impossible links, Impossible- end of story" stuff.
>
>
> What's really missing in those statements is imagination.
>
> Look at your PC or Mac. Then look at pictures of ENIAC (or actually go
> see it, as I have done...)
>
> Could anyone imagine that today's PCs are the direct descendants
> of that machine? Yet they are, after just 60 years
>
>
>> Want to see a few things that are interesting?
>>
>> Look up lipid structures, and see their likely early life > implications.
>>No miracles here, just simple chemistry.
>>
>> You speak of RNA and DNA. When speaking of origins of
>>life, it is
>>probably better to speak of metabolic pathways, as the DNA and > RNA
>>probably evolved to accommodate them.
>>
>> And are they complicated!
>>
>>see http://tinyurl.com/dm8hu

>>
>>
>>This is a pdf file of the various metabolic pathways. While the > major
>>ones are ATP and glycolysis, there are many.
>>
>> Now whereas you may look at this chart, and say look how > complicated!
>>this is proof that we are created by God!, I look at it and
>>say "What
>>kind of God would create such a sloppy convoluted MESS!"
>
>
> "You see, to be quite frank, Kevin, the fabric of the universe
> is far from perfect. It was a bit of botched job, you see. We
> only had seven days to make it. And that's where this comes in.
> This is the only map of all the holes. Well, why repair them?
> Why not use them to get stinking rich?"

Hitchhikers guide?

>
>>I can only speak for myself, but if I were to create
>>life, I would
>>leave no doubt that it was created. There would be no
>>processes, no
>>interconnected pathways, nothing of the sort.
>
>
> "God is not interested in technology... He knows nothing of
> the potential of the micro-chip or the silicon revolution.
> He's obsessed with making the grass grow and getting
> rainbows right... Look at what he spends his time on.
> 43 species of parrot! Nipples for men! Slugs! HE created slugs! They
> can't hear. They can't speak. They can't operate machinery. Are we not
> in the hands of a lunatic?
>
> If I were creating the world I wouldn't mess about with butterflies and
> daffodils. I would have started with lasers, eight o'clock, Day One!"
>
>
>>My creatures would see because I made them see, would think
>>because
>>they just do, and there would be no obvious source of life -
>>cut one of
>>them open, and inside would be nothing.
>>
>>A genuine miracle. Simple, and allowing of no argument.
>
>
> Is not life-as-we-know-it a genuine miracle, regardless of
> how it came about?

Sure! But not the same kind of miracle. Ours is a miracle of different
processes. We live because of various chemical reactions, taking energy
and transforming it into ourselves.

No, I'm talking about life that takes in no energy, and no apparent
support structure. It just IS. The life forms should be just sacks of
goo that have no obvious reason to be alive. They just are. There would
be no question that someone had to "make" that life.

>
>>>... as you can quickly see, this chain of impossible,
>>>seemingly endlessly
>>>numbered and impossible links of extraordinary events to have > > all
>>>occurred, all at just the right time, all in just the proper > > order is just
>>>too mathematically impossible to have any believe but those
>>>willing to
>>>believe the most preposterous impossibility which could ever > > be devised...
>>>in plain english--IT IS IMPOSSIBLE--END OF STORY!
>>
>>You try to make it much more complicated sounding than it is.
>
>
> What you're seeing in those statements is a lack of imagination.
> Plus a failure to comprehend how long a billion years is...

There are moments where I believe that I can. At least for a few
minutes. Then I invariably get a headache! 8^)

>
>>>Those books on the subject, start quickly to, toss around
>>>these CHAINS of
>>>extraordinary events without the slightest considerations to > > the
>>>mathematical possibilities, which end up being NON-EXISTENT!
>>
>> What were those books again?
>
>
> "If it happens, it must be possible"

absolutely, and it is possible, it must happen.

>>>I had the fortune to have a mathematics professor who I
>>>worked with at the
>>>university, who obtained a grant and was into computing these
>>>possibilities, he WAS an atheist... and that is a true
>>>story!
>
>
> Must have read Oolon Coolophid's "Well That About Wraps It Up For
> God"...
>
>>>In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look
>>>either for angels

>>>or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels
>>>(intelligence NOT from
>>>a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like
>>>planet)...
>>>
>>>I just flat do not know what to think, it is all too
>>>impossible...
>>>perhaps the answers are out there...
>>><X-Files-theme-plays-in-the-background>
>>>
>>>... or, perhaps there is a very simple explanation we just
>>>have not
>>>thought of--yet... any guess is as valid as another...
>>
>> Well, the simplest answer is "All this comes from God".
>>Simple, to the
>>point, and the great thing is that once you accept this, you
>>need look
>>no further. You are her to worship him, and that is all the
>>knowledge needed.
>
>
> I've got a two-liner that works for me:
>
> Science is the how
> God is the why

Why? Insecurity that causes God to create you so that you worship him
because he needs worship, and if you don't he will torture you for eternity?

>
>
>>If you want more, if the one liner answer is not enough, I would
>>suggest that you add time to your equations of impossibility.
>
>
> Also the size of the earth.

For some reason that reminds me of the natural "reactor that they found
in Africa. Seems that there was a concentration of Uranium ore that was
reacting a couple billion of years ago. Groundwater was acting as the
moderator. (at least the theory that sounds best to me)

The odds of that happening are pretty darn slight.

So I guess God must have done it for some reason.

http://tinyurl.com/5wth8

>
>>As for your math professor, I wonder if he had the concept of
>>time on

>>the billion year scale? Almost no one does.
>
>
> Worse, too many don't realize they don't know.

Well, when you want to be certain of things, you have to know what you
don't know! ;^)

>
>>How is it that the human has eyes? An exquisite organ of sense > to be
>>sure. But before we throw up our hands and say that it was too
>>complicated a structure to have simply come about by chance, we > might
>>want to take a look at the facts.
>>
>>Phototropism exists at the lowest levels of life. There > are > bacteria in
>>the ocean that adjust the level at which they "swim" by the amount of
>>ambient light falling on them. It is a pretty simple thing. Various
>>creatures make use of this in varying degrees of complexity, from simple
>>organs that react to light coming from different directions, to simple
>>lensed eyes, to multi lensed wonders that detect movement, to reflective
>>layers behind the sensing structure that allow sight at the
>>individual
>>photon level. As well as our eyes, which although wonderful,
>>are not at
>>the top of the list for acuity.
>
>
> And in the oceans are creatures who have lost the ability
> to see, because in their environment it's pretty useless.
>
> Some forms of life have senses we don't - like migratory birds that can
> sense the earth's magnetism.
>
>>But at it's root level, it *is* a simple thing. That's
>>phototropism. A
>>chemical reaction that would exist if there were no life to put > it in.
>>
>>That is just one case. The other senses are also similarly
>>simply
>>based. All based on detection of energy sources, and using
>>those sources
>>to extract information from the environment.
>>
>>Just like looking at a modern automobile. While they look very
>>complicated, at heart, they are just a compilation of simple
>>machines.
>
>
> They're also the end product of a long series of small developments.
>
>>This is in no way to say that life is not a wonderful and

>>amazing
>>thing. It is. It is a messy, complicated, unruly, terribly
>>imperfect yet
>>surprisingly resilient gastraphagus we have here.
>>
>>And yet, some people look at it, and some throw their arms in
>>the air
>>and say that "It is so complex! It could only have been created > by
>>God!". While I look at it and say "It is so complex! I doubt
>>any God
>>would create such a mess when under his total control, a simple > life form could be created".
>
>
> I doubt that anyone on this planet really knows. We're just at
> the beginning in so many ways. Consider how recently things like
> infectious disease, vaccines and basic metabolism were understood.
> And yet some people think they are qualified to say what is "too
> complex"? That's almost funny.

It is an admission of failure. Or hubris. Or a strange combination of both. If something is too complex for someone to understand, that does no mean it is too complex for me to understand. And vice versa.

>
>>It is worth study with an open mind. When you work on the
>>billion year
>>time scale, all sorts of possibilities exist.
>>
>
> Yup.
>
> One more thing is unknown: Is the biology we know the only one
> possible, or are there many possibilities, and Earth just has one of
> them?

I think that the answer lies in the many metabolic pathways. And I believe the answer is that there are a lot of possibilities.

Earth has two distinct forms of life already. There is plenty of room for more.

- Mike KB3EIA -

189. Re: N9OGL comments on K1MAN taken from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 18:41:36 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125772896.805978.130410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118114

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> gee nothing left

A typical Markie post, then.

190. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 18:53:34 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125773614.337715.264220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118116

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 2 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention are:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2123	155
Indeterminate (note 1)	109	12
Value for Percentages 2014	143	
Against NPRM (note 2)	587 [29.15%]	45 [31.47%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1120 [55.61%]	66 [46.15%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	307 [15.24%]	32 [22.38%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

191. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 18:56:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125773779.472529.95090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118117

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 2 Sep 2005 22:04

>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > K0HB:

>> > Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
>> > of the authors
>> > strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
>> > in the area of
>> > probability and statistics--quite possibly
>> > lotus-blossom-eaters.
>
>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
>to disprove the idea....

...such as all PCTA attacking any non-PCTA.

>> It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
>
>The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...

The PCTA merely have to say THEY are "God" in regards
to the code test issue...and so it is...

>At times like this, it's important to recall the
>Unnamed Law:
>
>"If it happens, it must be possible"

The morse code test has always been a part of amateur radio
license examinations and so it must continue to be possible
(forever and to the end of time, whichever comes first).

>What's really missing in those statements is imagination.

What's really missing in the PCTA arguments is their imagination
in considering that morse code mode is archaic, slow, and not
used by any other radio service in the USA.

>Look at your PC or Mac. Then look at pictures of ENIAC (or actually go
>see it, as I have done...)
>
>Could anyone imagine that today's PCs are the direct descendants
>of that machine? Yet they are, after just 60 years

Can anyone imagine that morse code is "the most effectual mode
in radio communications and MUST be tested of every radio

amateur for licensing" even after all other radio services have
stopped using morse code for communications?

Can anyone imagine that today's amateur radio transceivers are
direct descendants of the 1912 spark-gap transmitter and crystal
set receivers of 1912?

Can anyone imagine? [not the PCTA]

>> A genuine miracle. Simple, and allowing of no argument.
>
>Is not life-as-we-know-it a genuine miracle, regardless of
>how it came about?

Is morse code a "genuine miracle, regardless of how it came
about [for wired communications]?"

Of course it is...Bless the Miracles and worship them forever
by continuing the code test.

>Science is the how
>God is the why

Morse code is the WAY.

>Some forms of life have senses we don't - like migratory birds that can
>sense the earth's magnetism.

...or the PCTA.

>They're also the end product of a long series of small developments.

For the PCTA, all development in radio communications ceased
on turning the carrier on and off.

>I doubt that anyone on this planet really knows. We're just at
>the beginning in so many ways. Consider how recently things like
>infectious disease, vaccines and basic metabolism were understood.
>And yet some people think they are qualified to say what is "too
>complex"? That's almost funny.

PCTA seem united on saying that machine decoding of on-off
carrier keying is "too complex." It MUST be done ONLY by human
abilities...so the government MUST TEST for that ability.

That's almost funny. Except it is tragic, closed-minded.

>One more thing is unknown: Is the biology we know the only one
>possible, or are there many possibilities, and Earth just has one of
>them?

In the radio world we know MANY possible modes of communication.
Morse code is just one of them.

But the PCTA insist that only the "true way" (morse code) must
forever be tested.

bip bip
LenAnderson@ieee.org

192. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 19:04:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125774254.985018.245920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118118

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 1, 3:37 am
>> >
>> >>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
>> >>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
>> >>non-amateurs.
>> >
>> > By all appearances, the "Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse" want to
>> > exclude all but PCTAs in this newsgroup.
>>
>> There's one of your deliberate misstatements, Leonard.
>
>That's merely your wrong opinion. I happen to agree with Len.

Dishonorable Judge Heil does not rule in favor of any non-PCTA.

ANYTHING contrary to PCTA thinking is "wrong" and must be
punished by them with humiliation, denigration, denunciations.

>> > They do so with charges of "stupidity" and "errors" and some
>> > uncivil remarks including labels of "piranha" and "Foghorn Lenhorn"
>> > and "little electrolytic acolyte" and other endearing terms.
>>
>> Len, you've invented more rude names for folks than any person here.
>> You started out as windy, insulting, condescending and pontificating.
>> It went downhill from there. Poor baby. Tsk, tsk. :-) :-)
>
>David, you've started out as you've ended up. Smug.

Don't forget arrogant (if not egotistical).

>> > Then
>> > they get upset by others calling them names. The PCTA extra Double
>> > Standard is always invoked in their postings.
>>
>> ...and there's one of your factual errors.
>>
>> > Case in point is James Miccolis constant statement of "that's
>> > just plain wrong" in regards to others' opinions...as if he were
>> > the sole judge of what is right and wrong.
>>
>> You know, Leonard, it's funny that when Jim uses that phrase, you almost
>> always turn out to be just plain wrong.

>
>Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
>know, only you hold that distinction and title.

He should be PROUD of it.

>> > Then there is his
>> > (macro) paragraph of denunciation of my postings with uncivil
>> > terms in it, repeated and repeated on his whim.
>>
>> I don't see that profile of your likely actions to be a denunciation at
>> all. It reads like an accurate summary of your actions. All anyone has
>> to do is look at N2EY's profile and compare it with archives of your
>> past posts. Any reader can make a judgement as to whether the profile
>> is accurate or inaccurate.
>
>Yours is smug.

Everyone is invited to view Heil's message archives and arrive
at your summation. I would have added "arrogant" but that may
be a personal choice.

>> >>>>>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
>> >>>>>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
>> >>
>> >>>>Do your own homework.
>> >>
>> >>>My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
>> >>>specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.
>> >>
>> >>best of luck.
>> >
>> > Heil forgets that I've openly SUGGESTED to the PCTA to get their own
>> > MODERATED newsgroup.
>>
>> I haven't forgotten. Brian Burke may have though. He seems to think it
>> was some sort of PCTA plot.
>
>Then I stand corrected on that single point. However, Jim, Kelly,
>>RE-4YZ, and you repeatedly post that Len is not an amateur. The
>purpose is to discredit Len's opinions, without considering his
>opinions on their own merit. Luckily, we have a government body that
>is not bound by such absurdity.

Dishonorable Judge Heil is benched.

Heil will not discuss the lawful fact that neither Commissioners or
staff at the FCC are required to hold amateur radio license grants
in order to REGULATE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio regulations.

Heil believes in the good-old-boys-pecking-order-in-club-house
rule of only those tenured in licensing are "suitable" for

"leadership." Heil doesn't want to understand that ALL U.S. civil
radio is regulated and enforced by the FCC, NOT the licensees.

>> > That way they can be assured of proper Group
>> > Think and not be bothered by opposing opinions from non-PCTA folk.
>>
>> Do you really think that any self-respecting radio amateur would let a
>> non-ham tell us to go away from a newsgroup dealing with amateur radio?
>> You've probably gathered that it won't happen.
>
>Eternal hope shines brightly.

Not for me in Heil's case. He simply can't be told anything he
doesn't want to hear.

>> > This newsgroup is UNmoderated and that is how most feel it should
>> > be.
>>
>> No kidding? Really?
>
>Really, and without kidding.

Heil cannot understand that. We should cease telling him in order
to save time for more productive efforts.

>> > But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.
>>
>> What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've
>> haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a
>> radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now.
>
>Hmmmm? Why would Heil make such a statement?

It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
ANY diplomacy there.

>> I'll bet
>> you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
>> fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
>> everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
>> somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.
>
>I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
>WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
>cracks me up.

Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
morsemanship in the 1980s...claiming that "radio communications
paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
modes."

None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
effort of foreign policy.

Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis
from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
"equatorial region" of the African continent]

>> > Lacking
>> > valid arguments on SUBJECTS he attempts personal attack by constant
>> > reference to non-licensed amateurs' postings as if those were "not
>> > allowed." Heil acts the bigot on newsgroup participation.
>>
>> I've never ever said anything about your postings not being allowed. I
>> have stated that I don't give them any credence and I've suggested that
>> others might want to discount the rantings of an old gent with no
>> experience in amateur radio. You've gone way, way beyond that. You
>> have, in comments to the FCC, said that they should disregard the
>> comments of several radio amateurs. You're pathetic.
>
>Hmmm? You can ask folks to ignore Len, but Len cannot?

January 25th, 1999, ten days beyond the cutoff date of Replies
to Comments on 98-143 ("Restructuring"), Dudly the USMC imposter
attempted to have the FCC disregard my Comment (a Reply to Comment
of Michael Deignan) entire becasue of not being a licensed radio
amateur or worthy of even making any comments. That one is still
in public view at the FCC ECFS, in the archives. My Reply to
Comment was stamped as "received" on 13 January 1999, also in
public view.

Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur
radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
amateur radio license grants.

That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.

>> > Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
>> > post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
>> > K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
>> > Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
>> > reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
>> > misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
>> > differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of

>> > "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
>>
>> I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
>> real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
>> warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
>> "Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.
>
>It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
>meters, IMHO.

Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
"typographical" errors in spelling. I did not mention any Hun
who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
of Hunnish to English.

Dudly the USMC imposter is another subject, already exposed. The
"Dudley" of Gann's book (published in 1961) is yet another subject.
Heil is unable to tell the two apart. In that case he must think
that the Gann pilot-imposter "Dudley" is the SAME as this
newsgroup's
USMC-imposter "Dudly" but cannot commit himself to comment.

>> You can tell the Mrs. that those dratted hams aren't giving you any
>> respect again.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>One ham isn't. Never has. Too smug to be bothered by a non-ham.
>Luckily we have a government organization....

Former government employee Heil seems overly bothered by a non-
amateur (who is and has been a long time a Commercial/Professional
licensee). That demonstrates his own insecurity.

Fortunately, for the CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES, we are still
protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Heil thinks that the First Amendment does NOT APPLY when discussing
amateur radio subjects. His black uniform and jackboots must be
too ill-fitting for him to tolerate deviation from his dictatorial
wannabe-ruler complex. Very strange behavior exhibited by Heil.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

193. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 19:11:22 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050903191122.D4C07170EA@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118119

```
In article <xk6Se.6948$z2.4952@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In article <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3...@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Ethan Jones wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
```

> >>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's
typical
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or
attempt
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.

> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed.
What
> >>>
> >>>you
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were
incorrect.
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> >>>>>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> >>>>>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what
purpose.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>You seem to love playing stupid.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
> >>>>>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
> >>>>>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
> >>>>>non-amateurs.
> >>>>
> >>>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> >>>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> >>>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
> >>>>
> >>>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> >>>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> >>>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
> >>>>
> >>>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> >>>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>You *should* work on your audio, supposed "well known DX-er." For example,
> >
> > when
> >

> >>>you checked into that 3.865 net, it sounded like crap. Must be the cheap
> >
> > radios
> >
> >>>on your end.
> >>
> >>
> >>I guess you're anonymous on the net as well as usenet. Maybe you'll
> >>figure out a way to use two anonymous callsigns to disguise yourself.
> >
> >
> > Maybe you'll figure out something for once and it will be a first. You sure
> > can't figure out how to get good audio.
> >
> >
> >>Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
> >>the voices begin talking to you again.
>
> > Who's Roger, you utterly stupid man?
>
> He's living proof that no man is a total loss. Roger serves as a
> horrible example.

Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man. No wonder you were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department." You seem to have issues seeing one person behind every anonymous post. As if just one person would use anonymous remailers. God, are you stupid.

> > It sounds like you are on some medications
> > yourself.
>
> You're hearing sounds?

No, stupid. If you would perhaps get a clue, you'd be able to have better audio. Now go take your much needed Valium.

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let alone correct someone else's language. But that's not surprising from someone who things that..."

194. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 19:54:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.03.19.54.43.600992@gmail.com>
Source record: 118120

On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:

Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
that I can't pass myself.

That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur radio (the rf bands) should be open to ALL AMERICANS...

I happen to have been favored by my creator. If I understand where I stand on IQ charts, roughly 5% of people are my equal or betters... If I took a test which fully tested my abilities, then required the same of others--I could end up rather lonely...

I think the test should be one which at least 95% of ALL amercians can pass--more or less like a drivers license...

John

195. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 20:03:07 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125777787.457292.196080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118121

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 3 Sep 2005 06:40

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> > > K0HB:
>>
>> > > Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
>> > > of the authors
>> > > strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
>> > > in the area of
>> > > probability and statistics--quite possibly
>> > > lotus-blossom-eaters.
>>
>> For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
>> to disprove the idea....
>
>That's what I've been saying about you'se guys.

They are defending their turf..."from all enemies, foreign and
domestic." Especially the domestic ones.

>"Len is not a ham. Len has no interest in amateur radio. Discard
>Len's opinions because as a non-participant, Len could know nothing
>about amateur radio."

The following is a distillation of what is apparent from the
noble and sacred writings of federally-authorized radio amateurs:

Amateur radio is a super-secret organization, unknown to ordinary
citizens. No one can hear their communications. Their radio
equipment works on different principles of physics that all other
radio services. Third parties must be sworn to absolute secrecy
if they are permitted to speak over amateur bands using amateur
equipment controlled by federally-authorized amateurs. Licensing
is classified and no amateur may talk to any non-amateur, ever.
The FCC Commissioners and staff are all licensed radio amateurs,
therefore they are permitted to regulate and enforce amateur radio.
Books, magazines, written texts explaining amateur radio are only
available to federally-authorized and licensed radio amateurs.
Amateur radio equipment as well as amateurs themselves will survive
any disaster or extreme emergency so that they can take over and
save the day because the radio infrastructure always fails.
Health and welfare messages save more lives than all non-amateur
rescuers, medics, or relief workers put together. The instant
an amateur radio license applicant passes his or her test, an

epiphany occurs where all receive instant information on
everything in amateur radio, past, present, and future. CB is
evil, wicked, mean, and nasty and must never ever be compared to
sacred amateur radio in any form whatsoever. Morse code is the
universal language of radio, easily learned by everyone, and is
the heart and soul of amateur radio. A morse code test MUST be
passed by all REAL radio amateurs seeking a federally-authorized
license.

I think that covers all bases, Brian... :-)

>Hi! You guys crack me up.

Whatever they say nowadays is a parody on themselves.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

196. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 20:38:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <af1kh15bkinu3bhk12fotkb734tbmj2kmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 118122

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 17:51:12 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<kolSe.6195$9i4.3981@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>And what are you doing to help out in this disaster?

Don't spin it around on me, Dan. This is one of the worst disasters in
US history and it's not over. But you and other hams are already using
other people's suffering as an opportunity to 'strut your stuff' and
brag about your accomplishments which aren't exactly spectacular --
did you get some food and water to the hungry and thirsty? Did you
pull someone out of the debris? Did you give first aid to the injured?
Of course not. You passed a couple personal messages. That was a big
sacrifice on your behalf, I'm sure.

You want bragging rights? Then go down there, get yourself hip-deep in
the muck, take in the sights and smells, and do something purely for
someone -else's- benefit instead of your own. THEN come back here and
tell us all about it.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

197. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 20:55:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125780915.580731.112920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118123

John Smith wrote:
> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:

> Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
> that I can't pass myself.

> That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur > radio (the rf bands) should be open to ALL AMERICANS...

They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.

> I happen to have been favored by my creator. If I understand
> where I
> stand on IQ charts, roughly 5% of people are my equal or
> betters...

All an IQ test shows is how well you take IQ tests. There
are many different kinds of intelligence, and trying to
describe them with a single number is ludicrous.

> If I
> took a test which fully tested my abilities, then required the > same of
> others--I could end up rather lonely...

The amateur radio tests I've taken, and others I've seen, are
nowhere near a full test of my abilities. I don't know about
yours...
>
> I think the test should be one which at least 95% of ALL
> amercians can pass--more or less like a drivers license...

Why?

And when you say "95% of all [Americans]" - does that include
those under the age of, say, 5 years? How about those with
severe developmental delays and deficits? Does it include those
Americans with dementia or Alzheimer's disease? How about those
who are illiterate or barely literate, those for whom English is
a foreign language, and those with all sorts of other problems
and limitations?

Or do you mean 95% of all healthy, educated, "average" Americans over
the age of, say, 10 years?

More important, how much are you willing to water down the written
tests to reach that goal?

198. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 21:01:44 UTC
From: Digital <NoName@anon>
Message-ID: <dfd2t8$3df$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118124

"Anonymous AB8MQ the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> spells:

�woamn�

�doens't� Dagnabbit, Timmy.

�hyurt�

�chcienshit� You figure this one out.

�imginary� Hey, Rog. Another typo?

�asck� Sack or ask?

�Ultimte� Hooked on Phonics?

�greade� First or Second?

�attentiopn" Hooked on phonics, are we?

�copuple� Damn. Lloyd moved my keys around again.

�licvense� What Riley makes you retest for.

�havfe� Half? Halve? Have? Who knows.

�Baout� Bout? About?

�unsubstantiateed" It gets better�

�pompousity� Oops! Darn that keyboard, anyway!

�suergery� That is Devry for ya�

�aditting� Darn those typos!

�abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again

�shreeched� Wasn�t he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

�stuid� Pot calling the kettle black?

�heared� That�s West Virginia for heard.

�assuslted� Rog just makes em� up as he goes along.

�experieince� Oh, DUH!

�abouve� Is this a French word?

�Cosast� Some place by a body of water?

�mebership�, Just a typo, right?

�retqards� Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

�coordinbator� Huh?

�Realy� Really?

�Nitoiced� Keyboard error or stupidity?

Becasue

Licence

Convienince

Myabe

�occurance� Say what?

�srtuggling�

�definatrely�

�delapidated� What a dufus.

�picutre�

�permenatly� Ebonics again?

�Arest� You need a rest, Wogie.

�Sentenceing� No comment.

�Narcotices� What?

�Undre� Is this a city in Germany?

�Surgeoun� And this would be?

�Commuications� Who is laughing at who?

�Ovre� Ovre and out!

�Wondreful� It�s a �wondreful� day in the neighborhood?

�Derivitave� Still no spell-checker, eh?

�You lose, shit for brians.� Woger showing who real loser is.

�Deisowned� Deis what?

�Deeppest� Roger strikes again.

�Dodgeing�. Is he talking about a car?

�Staedy� Steady?

�Linme� Transmission line?

�Getr� Nobody knows.

�Experieince� Wogie is good, ain�t he?

�Appropiate� What kind of opiate?

�Laywer� Lay what?

�Visitpors� You never have any, ergo you don�t know how to spell it.

�It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel.� (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

�Irreevant� Good going, Wogie.

�Forwared� Forward HO!

�Glady� Glad Bags?

�Neighborhod� It�s a wonderful day in Wogie�s neighborhood.

�Mena� Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

�Notr� Not?

�Sassifyed� ALA Rolling Stones�No Sassifaction..

�Fantasises� That is �fantasizes�, Wussman.

�Illarate� West BY GOD Virginny!

�Reveresed� Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

�Soem��as in Some? What a loser.

�VIRIN��What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

�Minisule��he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

�Champoin��Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

�Acident� Roger�s mom got preggers by accident.

�Alreaedy� Alright, already!

�Amouints� Roger amounts to nothing.

�Aposterphe� This guy is a hoot, ain�t he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

�Coiward� As in peeking from behind his mommy�s curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.

"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

�Ghet� Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
�Sisnce� He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
�shoitgun� 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell �snip� in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can�t spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It�s a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

� Stgop� Roger�s version of Stop.

�Fahter's� He meant Father�s Day.

�fserting�..would that be festering?

�poists�. Roger meant �posts�.

�snadwich� As in peanut butter and jelly?

�regualr� Glen Dale rendition of �regular�.

�aftrer� After? Aftrer what?

�soory�. Sorry Roger fucks up again.

�didnb't� He didn�t?

�whoile�. He ate the whoile thing?

�Woamn� He�s hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

�prrof� And he kin prove it, too.

�beforfe� Was that before he lurned to spell?

�yoiuy� Roger�s version of �y�all�.

�illerate� Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

�haeart� Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

�valule� Roger has no values. No wonder he can�t spell it.

�proch� Doesn�t everyone have one on their house?

�inmstitution� Where Roger�s mom put him in June 1999.

�timne� It�s Howdy Doody time?

�whgo� What? Who dat?

�gnetically� Only Roger knows what this one means�

�crfy� Like, cry, Roger, cry.

�stutrtering� Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

�Whjere� Where is Curtain Boy?

�Webiste� That�s Website to the rest of us.

�Youire� Your? You�re? Who knows.

�fiored� As in fired?

�Parety� Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

�Bouynce� Bounce?

�Varification� Verifiable mental case.

�Probelms� Poor Rogie�has many problems of the mental sort.

�Partricipate� Oh, DUH!!!!

�Cryed� Gee, Wogie..cry me a river�you dolt!

�Stoick� What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

�Partiall� More WV Phonics, I see.

�Obsucred� Either obscured or Rogie has �sucre� on his mind.

�Infinate� Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

�Sustinance� As in Sustenance?

�Juvinile�. This is the way Roger likes his boys.

�Piut� Put it where, Rogie?

�Toyus�. �Toyus R Us?

�Diminuative�. Roger�s height.

�Definitely� Definitely

�Percriptions� Say what?

�Credibiliy� More Glen Dale intellect.

�Thsoe� Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

.....

As long as you try to post here you will be treated like the scum you are. You can only post on this board and play your psychotic games here.

150 posts in one hour is spam you moron, and to top it off you use at least 4 different dial up accounts
http://1st.net/
MSN
AOL
netzero.net
1Cust5558.an3.cle11.da.uu.net

you have threatened
Lloyd's life
Chosen's life [who ever he is]
Steve's Life
DeLorean's life
my life

you have threatened to get numerous people fired from their jobs, and have Tos'd this board and still are trying to Tos it

you are one sick son of a bitch and you will never post on NIM Busters

This is your domain so enjoy it

199. Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 21:50:20 UTC
From: Ugly Hamfest Bums <dirtystinkinhams@noarrl.net>
Message-ID: <b14e8$431a1aa7$d1cc57ad$13562@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 118125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I can't believe how many scumbags there
are profiteering off of Katrina on e-Bay
right now !!

Alot of them say that some of the proceeds will
go to Disaster relief when everyone knows these
fat smelly opportunistic bastards won't send one single
dime in to any charity anytime or anywhere and will
just pocket the proceeds and walk away laughing.

I knew these gypsy dealers we all used to see at the hamfests
each and every year were lowlifes and scumbags, but this really
takes the cake and the silverware! What a bunch of cheap ass
lying bastadts !!!!

(Wonder how may of em are pulling the same crap on the
many other swap-and-shop boards?? Not all hams do this
of course but the ones who are really stink like shi+ - disgusted.)

200. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 22:06:56 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125785216.020311.80870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118126

Whiny cunt Lardass Davies aka Digital wrote:
> "Anonymous AB8MQ"

Poor Lardass, he sees his owner everywhere!

You can't even count to 5, Lardass! Keep guessing on the IP's, dumbass!

"Digital" <WussyRayChason@anon.uz> shows not only is he too fucking
stupid to correctly read a message header, he can't count to 5 in
<degedb$l0u$0@pita.alt.net>:
"posts from one of his four providers.
1)http://1st.net/
2)MSN
3)AOL
4)netzero.net
5) 1Cust5558.an3.cle11.da.uu.net"
How to speak Mongolloyd like Mongolloyd Davies
"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven

"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance

"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack

"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation
session

"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also
"forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!

"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things

"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too
bad LARDASS learned to Dalk

"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson

"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of
hair and all

"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd
deciding "whether" or not to use correct English

"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead
in life

"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?

"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in
the morning, real "painful"

"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd

"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot

"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the dumpster

"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"

"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?

"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom

"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere

"Cant" - Emmanuel?

"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters

"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity

"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?

"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night

"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"

"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English

"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!

"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"

"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto

"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...

"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"

"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue

"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"

"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies

"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit

"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd

"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....

"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"

"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!

"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!

Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd

"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"

" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!

"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?

"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English

"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"

"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"

"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again

"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!

"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"

"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill

"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......

"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak

"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd

"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here

"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?

"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy

"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses

"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"

"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit

"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn

"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh

"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade

"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar

idiout! - "Lloyid" strikes out again!

"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!

"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again

"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....

"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post

"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to debate......

"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub

"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"

"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a scat fetish

"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd

"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?

"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!

"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing

"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp

"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?

"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned

"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks in

"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in ignorance

"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his favorite fag artist "Moby"

"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"

"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does "dserve" those pizzas, mainly he "deserves" all the abuse he gets.

"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"

"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is "disgusting"

"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make good sentence

"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of skinny, in shape people

"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he didn't "work out".

"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.

"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his fucking stupidity.

"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus spirit.....

"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"

"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school

"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an education

"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"

"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven

"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".

"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.

"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!

"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream" of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment

"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of stupidity.

"pleanty" - "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.

"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd talk tough!

"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"

"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's" them!

"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed

"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"

"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd revels in his ignorance!

"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head collide they have an "argument"

"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his stupidity, however.

"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!

"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging with Steve Holsten

"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's department" - Mongolloyd

shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.

"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school because he had no "sense"

"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.

"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!

"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared of me. You our jellous

because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!

The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said about Mongolloyd Davies?

"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack thereof from the

niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.

"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad LARDASS didn't gain any language skills

"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd

"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.

"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears

"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu

"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!

"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and write.

"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest L-O-S-E-R!

"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy apartment as a masturbation rag

"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has "despised" for his lack of ability

to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory

"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say "sticker"

"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses" on stupidity

"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.

"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity and mental illness

"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!

"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.

"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't care, though!

"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"

"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the

"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to, to-mah-to.....

"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe illiteracy is in his family.

"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get rejected again!

"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that I can succeed if I put my

mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not here to please you." -

- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.

"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby tubby Davies dreams

he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane

"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the impossible dream of thought

"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS Lloyd didn't take and pass English

"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!

"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?

"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile

"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never are though.

"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well

"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to get English lessons and

not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"

"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never had to pretend to be stupid, either!

"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza delivery and trashcan robots is really something

to want, too, isn't it?

"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....

"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.

"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?

"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!

"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.

"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".

"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?

"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!

"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"

"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.

"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.

"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman." - I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.

"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)

"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!

"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!

"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's "doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.

"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.

"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.

"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!

"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"

"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."

"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.

"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."

"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."

"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.

"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!, and his stupidity is no riddle.

"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.

"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."

"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.

"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.

"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."

"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.

"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.

"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.

"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!

"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.

"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."

"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.

"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.

"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!

"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!

"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!

"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!

"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.

"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!

"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.

"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.

"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.

"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.

"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!

"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!

"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.

"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!

"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites by now

"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in Lardass' fat piehole.

"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com

"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten in obsessing about dicks.

"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed skull

"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.

"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't he?

Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.

"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.

"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets "harassment" from the drunk black winos!

"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".

"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino nutsack goo!

"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide "whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.

"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider remedial English, FATASS!

"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a cannon to be "canonized"

"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!

"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his stupidity, either.

" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.

"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.

"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard Lardass never notices when he fucks up.

"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very literate, either.

"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never contained anything to begin with.

"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.

"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck ups.

"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.

"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.

"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".

"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity "activations" ready to show themselves.

"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a pizza order taker seriously.

Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!
"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.

"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good spell!

"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!

"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!

"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates the English language, too!

"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!

"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.

"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.

"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not "bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.

"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably discharged a year earlier?

"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a 90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA

"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.

"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking imbecile.

"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!

"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.

"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off" himself, instead of "being off".

"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so "useless,"

"that's what can be said" about him.

"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is useless on and offline.

"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper, "don't" he?

"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.

"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."

"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.

"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!

"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.

"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.

"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.

"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.

"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."

"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.

"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.

"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.

"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!

"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.

"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.

"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.

"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.

"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.

"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.

"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.

"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.

"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."

"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.

"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!

"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."

"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.

"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."

"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.

"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.

"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.

"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the internet.

"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.

"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.

"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.

"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.

"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".

"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".

"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.

"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.

"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!

"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.

"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.

"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."

"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!

"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.

"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again

"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.

"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"

"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!

"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.

"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.

"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."

"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!

"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!

"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.

"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!

"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!

"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".

"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!

"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!

"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????

"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.

"tribes" - Mongolloyd's "tribe's" name is Retardos!

"abou" - "About" this time, Lardass the alcoholic fucks up!

"till" - "Until" Mongolloyd learns English, he'll always be ridiculed.

"din't" - Mongolloyd "didn't" ever finish high school!

"stuipd" - Lardass Davies is really "stupid," isn't he?

"are we know" - Mongolloyd has a hard time posting in English. Being more stupid, "are we now," Lardass?

"I just you like a brother" - Mongolloyd would take it up the ass from you just like he did from his brother, too.

"I've been to two Hamfest" - Mongolloyd's never been to two English classes, though!

"Wogie" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucker's lisp.

"his paint size" - Mongolloyd wears size 50 "pants," does he also wear "paint" because he is a walking billboard for obesity?

"HAMFEST'S" - Mongolloyd has never shown at any "hamfests"! He's too big of a coward!

"Woger" - Lardass likes to think of "Roger" when he sucks nigger dicks!

"West Vagina" - Yes, "Virginia," Mongolloyd hasn't ever seen any vagina, west or east, north or south.

"reconize" - Mongolloyd, your fuckups are easy to "recognize"

"thopught" - Mongolloyd never has any "thoughts", except to show off his lisp again!

"Who hear likes" - Mongolloyd nobody likes you, "here" or anywhere!

"Wogie" - Lardass Lloydie's lisp exposes him again!

"really beated" - Mongolloyd really "beats" off, since he can't get a woman.

"Woger" - Mognolloyd makes like faggot.

"Curtain's" - Mongolloyd always fucks up when he hides behind his "curtains" of sockpuppets.

"cocksuckers" - Lardass always fucks up and punctuates incorrectly, must be his "cocksucker's" lisp.

"spinctor" - Mongolloyd has the "spinchter" muscle the size of a #10 washtub, since his drunk daddy, his felon older brother and his mommy's dildoe has stretched it out.

"reconized" - Lardass Davies stupidity is easily "recognized".

"porpuse" - Mongolloyd's stupidity is on "purpose"!

"worlds" - Mongolloyd is the "world's" worst speller!

"comfortbale" - Mongolloyd confessed he is "comfortable" looking at dicks all day long.

"For the recod" - For the "RECORD," Mongolloyd is retarded!
"all these yours" - "All these years" of posting, and Lardass has yet to post in English.

"I have no worked for 22 days straight" - Too bad you don't concentrate on your English for the same legnth of time, Porky!

"Roger'" - "Roger's" what's always on your little mind, Porky!

"Shittpacker" - Mongolloyd has a "shitpacker" for a father.

"revoaked" Mongolloyd your life will soon be "revoked."
"Upeset?" BWHAHAHAHAHA..Mongolloyd you get "upset" so easily, BITCH!

"knumskull" - Mongolloyd, I could fucking care less since I'm not Catholic, you fucking "Numbskull"

"BEVERY FUCKNH AWARE" - Mongolloyd should "BE VERY FUCKING AWARE" of his surroundings and who is watching him!

"orafice" - Mongolloyd has had a big greasy dick stuck in every "ORFICE" in his obese body.
"YOUR STILL" Mongolloyd, "you're still" a fucking imbecile!

"Funn" - Mongolloyd's face sure is "FUNNY" looking.

"Your whole life has past you by." - Lardass, your life has already "passed" you by the minute you were shit out of your whore mommy.

"Eldery" - If you were a bit more "ELDERY," Porky ,you would be a better speller.

"Jelous" - Nobody, I repeat nobody is "JEALOUS" of a retard like Mongolloyd Davies!

"He 4F for the Air Force" - Wrong, Mongolloyd, you are 4F for LIFE!

"plagarize" - Mongolloyd, you couldn't even "plagiarize" from "See Jack Run" correctly.

"Is like our her Steve and Lloyd" - Mongolloyd fucks up again! Mongolloyd calls himself and Holstan a "her."

"to much" - Mongolloyd tries to communicate in English but it's just "too much" for his tiny brain to handle.

"beutiful" - Mongolloyd is as "beautiful" as a WART HOG!

"Trough" - Mongolloyd can't get that everybody sees that he is fucking stupid "THROUGH" his malformed skull.

"would all upset" - Try posting in English, DUMBFUCK Davies!

"backpedd;e" - Mongolloyd "backpedals" when he gets upset and misspells words!

"Good are you ever pathetic" - Mongolloyd, Goddamn, are YOU ever pathetic when you fuck up like that.

"Make ne" - Mongolloyd is trying to say "make me" like a little girl, but naturally, he fucks it up! HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

"I'm not into, unlike you" - Mongolloyd isn't into looking smart, either.

"Rare end" - Lardass, you "rear end" is so big, when you back up, you beep.

"hygienne" - Mongolloyd hasn't got any "hygiene" habits.

"suprise" - "SURPRISE", Mongolloyd fucks up again!

"poved" - Mongolloyd "proved" he is a fucking idiot once again!

"Momma" "marbels"- Your Crackwhore "Mama" knows you don't have all your "marbles", Porky!

201. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 22:28:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125786510.533077.8040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118127

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
> >Dave Heil wrote:

> >> You know, Leonard, it's funny that when Jim uses that phrase, you almost
> >> always turn out to be just plain wrong.
> >
> >Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
> >know, only you hold that distinction and title.
>
> He should be PROUD of it.

Perhaps I'll petition my ARRL to come up with a new operating award.

> >> > Then there is his
> >> > (macro) paragraph of denunciation of my postings with uncivil
> >> > terms in it, repeated and repeated on his whim.
> >>
> >> I don't see that profile of your likely actions to be a denunciation at
> >> all. It reads like an accurate summary of your actions. All anyone has
> >> to do is look at N2EY's profile and compare it with archives of your
> >> past posts. Any reader can make a judgement as to whether the profile
> >> is accurate or inaccurate.
> >
> >Yours is smug.
>
> Everyone is invited to view Heil's message archives and arrive
> at your summation. I would have added "arrogant" but that may
> be a personal choice.
>
> >> >>>>>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
> >> >>>>>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> >> >>
> >> >>>>Do your own homework.
> >> >>
> >> >>>My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
> >> >>>specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.
> >> >>
> >> >>best of luck.
> >> >
> >> > Heil forgets that I've openly SUGGESTED to the PCTA to get their own
> >> > MODERATED newsgroup.
> >>
> >> I haven't forgotten. Brian Burke may have though. He seems to think it
> >> was some sort of PCTA plot.

> >
> >Then I stand corrected on that single point. However, Jim, Kelly,
> >>RE-4YZ, and you repeatedly post that Len is not an amateur. The
> >purpose is to discredit Len's opinions, without considering his
> >opinions on their own merit. Luckily, we have a government body that
> >is not bound by such absurdity.
>
> Dishonorable Judge Heil is benched.
>
> Heil will not discuss the lawful fact that neither Commissioners or
> staff at the FCC are required to hold amateur radio license grants
> in order to REGULATE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio regulations.

And those Commissioners and staff are serving in the public interest,
not the amateur's interest. Something that Jim et al always seems to
forget when recommneding your opinions are invalid because you're not a
ham.

> Heil believes in the good-old-boys-pecking-order-in-club-house
> rule of only those tenured in licensing are "suitable" for
> "leadership." Heil doesn't want to understand that ALL U.S. civil
> radio is regulated and enforced by the FCC, NOT the licensees.

And the Dept. of State is a vehicle for DX destinations.

> >> > That way they can be assured of proper Group
> >> > Think and not be bothered by opposing opinions from non-PCTA folk.
> >>
> >> Do you really think that any self-respecting radio amateur would let a
> >> non-ham tell us to go away from a newsgroup dealing with amateur radio?
> >> You've probably gathered that it won't happen.
> >
> >Eternal hope shines brightly.
>
> Not for me in Heil's case. He simply can't be told anything he
> doesn't want to hear.

He is only one insignificant man.

> >> > This newsgroup is UNmoderated and that is how most feel it should
> >> > be.
> >>
> >> No kidding? Really?
> >
> >Really, and without kidding.
>
> Heil cannot understand that. We should cease telling him in order
> to save time for more productive efforts.

Never.

> >> > But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.
> >>

> >> What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've
> >> haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a
> >> radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now.
> >
> >Hmmmm? Why would Heil make such a statement?
>
> It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
> worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
> Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
> ANY diplomacy there.

He was merely their messenger.

> >> I'll bet
> >> you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
> >> fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
> >> everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
> >> somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.
> >
> >I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
> >WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
> >cracks me up.
>
> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
> morsemanship in the 1980s...

He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?

> claiming that "radio communications
> paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
> modes."

He was probably doing something wrong.

> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
> effort of foreign policy.

"Sorry Len, State Dept. Communications >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi,
hi!

> Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
> teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
> elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
> get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
> was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
> Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis
> from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
> "equatorial region" of the African continent]

I would consider it so. But I only have a degree in Geography.

> >> > Lacking
> >> > valid arguments on SUBJECTS he attempts personal attack by constant
> >> > reference to non-licensed amateurs' postings as if those were "not
> >> > allowed." Heil acts the bigot on newsgroup participation.
> >>
> >> I've never ever said anything about your postings not being allowed. I
> >> have stated that I don't give them any credence and I've suggested that
> >> others might want to discount the rantings of an old gent with no
> >> experience in amateur radio. You've gone way, way beyond that. You
> >> have, in comments to the FCC, said that they should disregard the
> >> comments of several radio amateurs. You're pathetic.
> >
> >Hmmm? You can ask folks to ignore Len, but Len cannot?
>
> January 25th, 1999, ten days beyond the cutoff date of Replies
> to Comments on 98-143 ("Restructuring"), Dudly the USMC imposter
> attempted to have the FCC disregard my Comment (a Reply to Comment
> of Michael Deignan) entire becasue of not being a licensed radio
> amateur or worthy of even making any comments. That one is still
> in public view at the FCC ECFS, in the archives. My Reply to
> Comment was stamped as "received" on 13 January 1999, also in
> public view.

Other TN amateurs have referred to RA-4YZ as a "POS," according to
Mark.

> Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
> are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur
> radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
> would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
> radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
> amateur radio license grants.
>
> That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
> U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.

Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.

> >> > Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
> >> > post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
> >> > K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
> >> > Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
> >> > reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
> >> > misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
> >> > differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
> >> > "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
> >>
> >> I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
> >> real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
> >> warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
> >> "Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.
> >

> >It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
> >meters, IMHO.
>
> Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
> schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
> "typographical" errors in spelling.

Dave is smug.

> I did not mention any Hun
> who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
> a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
> of Hunnish to English.

Dave must be multi-lingual.

> Dudly the USMC imposter is another subject, already exposed. The
> "Dudley" of Gann's book (published in 1961) is yet another subject.
> Heil is unable to tell the two apart. In that case he must think
> that the Gann pilot-imposter "Dudley" is the SAME as this
> newsgroup's
> USMC-imposter "Dudly" but cannot commit himself to comment.

He's AWOL.

> >> You can tell the Mrs. that those dratted hams aren't giving you any
> >> respect again.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >One ham isn't. Never has. Too smug to be bothered by a non-ham.
> >Luckily we have a government organization....
>
> Former government employee Heil seems overly bothered by a non-
> amateur (who is and has been a long time a Commercial/Professional
> licensee). That demonstrates his own insecurity.

He should have been outraged when that illiterate fool Bruce got an
Extra license.

> Fortunately, for the CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES, we are still
> protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

So far.

> Heil thinks that the First Amendment does NOT APPLY when discussing
> amateur radio subjects. His black uniform and jackboots must be
> too ill-fitting for him to tolerate deviation from his dictatorial
> wannabe-ruler complex. Very strange behavior exhibited by Heil.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I need to read what Dave, Jim, Kelly, and Steve have sent in to the FCC.

202. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 22:37:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125787034.368778.22250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118128

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > > Steve lies. Here's proof:
> > > >
> > > > ----------
> > > > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > > > case of your
> > > > misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> > > >
> > > > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > > > might be
> > > > read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> > > > it be
> > > > perceived as such."
> > > > ----------
> > >
> > > Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
> >
> > I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.
>
> well I have seen one post from him yesterday he was flaming me claiming
> that my refusual to address every point of one of his repetive flame
> posts was censorship of him.
>
> I was glad to see it frankly as long as he posts every day or so we are
> safe since he is obviously not driving to one of to carru out one of
> his threats

I dunno. I met someone at a McDonalds near Toledo to capture some GPS
data, and when I booted up my laptop, it said that I had service to the
Flying J and another truckstop's network. Most business oriented
hotels have WAN also. Steve can post from anywhere.

> > MIA or AWOL?
>
> Definately MIA, stevie has always been MIA, plenty of talk though

I used to refer to him at the "Yell, Yell Marine."

203. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 22:40:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <i-ydnXjP88jOu4feRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118129

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Cmdr Buzz corey wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>>
>>>>>In fact, it was this professor who first told me
>>>>>to look either for
>>>>>angels
>>>>>or aliens--before he finally settled on
>>>>>the angels (intelligence NOT
>>>>>from
>>>>>a mud puddle as you could ever find upon
>>>>>an earth-like planet)...
>
>
>>>>The only thing that I can think of which is more
>>>>impossible to believe
>>>>than "mud became man" is angels that just "were".
>
>
>>>So where did all the matter in the universe
>>>orginially come from? If it
>>>had no beginning, the it just "was".
>>>If it did indeed have a beginning,
>>>the what was before that?
>
>
> Maybe nothing.
>
> Most humans consider time to be something that goes from
> here to there, giving meaning to concepts such as "before",
> "after", "simultaneously", etc. Such a timeview is based on
> their experience, limited as it is.

But that is not time so much as what happens during time. As soon as
you look at time as "something that happens to you, you are pulling a
one person version of "The three blind men and the elephant"

Yet if someone wants to define time as things changing, on the quantum level, things are quite busy all the time.

> But consider this:
>
> Go outside on a clear night and look for a star. Suppose for discussion
> sake you look at a star that is 300 light years
> away.
>
>>From our point of view, the light you see originated at the star in the
> year 1705, and has been traveling to the Earth for three centuries.

> But from the point of view of the photons that make up that starlight,
> *no* time elapsed between the creation of the photons at the star and
> their end on your retinas. No time at all.

I suppose that to the photon, all other photons around it are appearing to stand still among themselves, while every other thing in the universe is moving at somewhere around the speed of light. Interesting though experiments can be made about photons racing toward each other, giving rise to apparent superluminal speeds. In photonworld, assuming that the photon could be aware of it and measure it, the cosmic speed limit would apparently be 2c!

> How can the same thing have two so completely different times of
> existence?

To the photons, we are moving at the speed o'light. Its all relative.

> (note that in this context "light" means EM radiation of all
> sorts, from "radio" to gamma rays)
>
>>Personally, I suspect that answer may be hard to come by.
>>My own
>>beliefs are that we are going to have to meld Big Bang
>>and Steady State
>>together.
>>
>>Big Bang has still not found Proton decay, which to me
>>is a fatal flaw.
>>Steady State as it was thought of in the past, just
>>doesn't hold up to
>>what we know today.
>>
>>Certainly the idea of pre-Big Bang "foam" is interesting,
>>but of
>>course, what was there before the "foam"? Was there a
>>previous universe?
>>Given that the conditions and constants of the Universe were
>>set by the
>>Big Bang, there isn't much doubt that any previous universe
>>would have been much different.
>

>
> Maybe - or maybe not!
>
> One thing we assume - IOW, take completely on faith - is that
> the "laws of physics" are time- and place-invariant. We look at
> light from distant quasars and galaxies that has come to us from
> billions of light years distance and time, and we *assume* that
> the laws of physics are exactly the same across all that time
> and all that distance.

But if the Big Bang had unfolded just a little differently, if the
inflationary period had been a little different.....

> We assume it because there's no evidence to the contrary.

Any evidence is likely to be fatal.

> So maybe the laws of physics do *not* change from Big Bang to Big
> Crunch to Big Bang II, etc. Perhaps they are truly eternal and
> omnipresent...just as the Supreme Being is described to be.

Equally possible.

> That doesn't mean the Supreme Being is only the laws of physics.
>
>>Where might the energy from these
>>universe/singularity/universe/singularity/universes have come
>>from.
>>
>> Perhaps the quantum world may give us some answers.
>>Perhaps zero point
>>energy may have played a part.
>>
>
> Exactly.
>
> Or maybe there's a whole bunch of physical laws yet to be discovered.

And always will be.

> Consider how recently concepts like relativity, electromagnetism, and
> this thing we call radio have been understood by humans, compared to
> the age of the earth or the amount of time humans have lived on it. And
> in such a short time, some folks think they
> have it all figured out? That's almost funny!

Almost? hehe.

- Mike KB3EIA -

204. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:02:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125785099.630133.164610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118130

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 3 Sep 2005 06:40
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> > > K0HB:
> >>
> >> > > Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> >> > > of the authors
> >> > > strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> >> > > in the area of
> >> > > probability and statistics--quite possibly
> >> > > lotus-blossom-eaters.
> >>
> >> For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> >> to disprove the idea....
> >
> >That's what I've been saying about you'se guys.
>
> They are defending their turf..."from all enemies, foreign and
> domestic." Especially the domestic ones.
>
> >"Len is not a ham. Len has no interest in amateur radio. Discard
> >Len's opinions because as a non-participant, Len could know nothing
> >about amateur radio."
>
> The following is a distillation of what is apparent from the
> noble and sacred writings of federally-authorized radio amateurs:
>
> Amateur radio is a super-secret organization, unknown to ordinary
> citizens. No one can hear their communications. Their radio
> equipment works on different principles of physics that all other
> radio services. Third parties must be sworn to absolute secrecy
> if they are permitted to speak over amateur bands using amateur
> equipment controlled by federally-authorized amateurs. Licensing
> is classified and no amateur may talk to any non-amateur, ever.
> The FCC Commissioners and staff are all licensed radio amateurs,
> therefore they are permitted to regulate and enforce amateur radio.
> Books, magazines, written texts explaining amateur radio are only
> available to federally-authorized and licensed radio amateurs.
> Amateur radio equipment as well as amateurs themselves will survive
> any disaster or extreme emergency so that they can take over and
> save the day because the radio infrastructure always fails.
> Health and welfare messages save more lives than all non-amateur

> rescuers, medics, or relief workers put together. The instant
> an amateur radio license applicant passes his or her test, an
> epiphany occurs where all receive instant information on
> everything in amateur radio, past, present, and future. CB is
> evil, wicked, mean, and nasty and must never ever be compared to
> sacred amateur radio in any form whatsoever. Morse code is the
> universal language of radio, easily learned by everyone, and is
> the heart and soul of amateur radio. A morse code test MUST be
> passed by all REAL radio amateurs seeking a federally-authorized
> license.
>
> I think that covers all bases, Brian... :-)

Mmmmm, I dunno.

Not nearly enough material devoted to CW.

> >Hi! You guys crack me up.
>
> Whatever they say nowadays is a parody on themselves.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I'm still troubled about being a jerk for not giving Mike greif. I
think I would have been better off if I had given him greif.

205. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:12:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <l5qSe.6855$FW1.1206@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118131

You don't get it do you "Frank Gilliland". I'm not bragging....all I'm doing is TRYING to show you malcontent jerks that Ham Radio is Alive and Well. And damn sure capable of "earning its pay". But I guess you and your kind won't ever understand that.

Oh and I'm sorry but the wife won't let me head out to the area. My ability to walk is very limited. But she volunteered to go do her thing, she is a Certified Nursing Assistant.

Again "Frank" what are you doing but running your mouth?

Dan/W4NTI

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:af1kh15bkinu3bhk12fotkb734tbmj2kmq@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 17:51:12 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <kolSe.6195$9i4.3981@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>And what are you doing to help out in this disaster?
>
>
> Don't spin it around on me, Dan. This is one of the worst disasters in
> US history and it's not over. But you and other hams are already using
> other people's suffering as an opportunity to 'strut your stuff' and
> brag about your accomplishments which aren't exactly spectacular --
> did you get some food and water to the hungry and thirsty? Did you
> pull someone out of the debris? Did you give first aid to the injured?
> Of course not. You passed a couple personal messages. That was a big
> sacrifice on your behalf, I'm sure.
>
> You want bragging rights? Then go down there, get yourself hip-deep in
> the muck, take in the sights and smells, and do something purely for
> someone -else's- benefit instead of your own. THEN come back here and
> tell us all about it.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----

> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

206. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:12:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <N5qSe.6856$FW1.3342@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118132

```
"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:3lpjh11auekihbga2c1bnkma764ob4np57@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 17:49:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>And what are you doing in support of this disaster asshole?
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
> Personally, I haven't done anything to support the disaster...
>
> ...I HAVE helped the relief effort by donating to the American Red
> Cross.
>

Good man.

Dan/W4NTI
```

207. Re: in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:13:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <I6qSe.6857$FW1.2883@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118133

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125771558.745571.12960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125766197.482231.212290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Uncle Ted wrote:
>> >> On 3 Sep 2005 07:17:27 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >a few questions
>> >> >
>> >> >Is/are CW nets carries traffic into out of the disaster Zone? I see
>> >> >posts of lots of SSB freqs but not one CW net
>> >>
>> >> The CW junkies can't be bothered during a contest weekend, which is
>> >> just about every week.
>> >
>> > you may indeed be right, I was trying to check to see is the CW crowd
>> > was being missed by all the folks that could not tell a cw HW net from
>> > contesting or rag crewing
>> >
>> > It will be something interesting to consider as the CW folks call for
>> > CW only bandwidth
>> >
>>
>> Does sending H&W messages into the area via the National Traffic System
>> count as using CW in this emergency?
>
> yea it does had not though of it I admit
>
>
> looking for data on the subject
>
Guess we will find out when this mess is over and done.

Dan/W4NTI
```

208. Re: in regrad to protect bandwidth for CW and Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:15:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Y7qSe.6858$FW1.6302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118134

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:biqjh19fmoooilenfjbn5hmabllnp86v5k@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 17:48:02 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Does sending H&W messages into the area via the National Traffic System
>>count as using CW in this emergency?
>>
>>Just wondering.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> That depends on whether or not such messages are sent using CW. I am
> not aware of any CW nets that are handling such messages.

I know of one Section and one Region net under the ARRL NTS. Alabama
Section Net and Region Net 5. Both CW of course.

Hope that helps

Dan/W4NTI

209. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:48:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lDqSe.7293$z2.1968@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118135

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
> exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.
>

The very best idea ever to come out of FCC, bar none, was the original Novice license. The "technical content" consisted of very low-tech questions like "What is Ohms Law?" and "What is the purpose of a key-click filter?". No formulas to calculate, no schematics to interpret, just the simplest "familiarization" topics.

While I am passionately interested in INCREASING the techical content of the Technician, General, and Extra examinations, I also believe that a very "un-technical" non-renewable entry class license (with power limits similar to the original Novice) is an excellent idea.

73, de Hans, K0HB

210. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:49:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125791386.289719.108300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118136

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 3, 4:02 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat 3 Sep 2005 06:40
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> > > K0HB:

>> >> For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
>> >> to disprove the idea....
>
>> >That's what I've been saying about you'se guys.

Thum of thoth guyths are thinkers...

>> >"Len is not a ham. Len has no interest in amateur radio. Discard
>> >Len's opinions because as a non-participant, Len could know nothing
>> >about amateur radio."
>
>> The following is a distillation of what is apparent from the
>> noble and sacred writings of federally-authorized radio amateurs:
>
>> Amateur radio is a super-secret organization, unknown to ordinary
>> citizens. No one can hear their communications. Their radio
>> equipment works on different principles of physics that all other
>> radio services. Third parties must be sworn to absolute secrecy
>> if they are permitted to speak over amateur bands using amateur
>> equipment controlled by federally-authorized amateurs. Licensing
>> is classified and no amateur may talk to any non-amateur, ever.
>> The FCC Commissioners and staff are all licensed radio amateurs,
>> therefore they are permitted to regulate and enforce amateur radio.
>> Books, magazines, written texts explaining amateur radio are only
>> available to federally-authorized and licensed radio amateurs.
>> Amateur radio equipment as well as amateurs themselves will survive
>> any disaster or extreme emergency so that they can take over and
>> save the day because the radio infrastructure always fails.
>> Health and welfare messages save more lives than all non-amateur
>> rescuers, medics, or relief workers put together. The instant
>> an amateur radio license applicant passes his or her test, an
>> epiphany occurs where all receive instant information on
>> everything in amateur radio, past, present, and future. CB is
>> evil, wicked, mean, and nasty and must never ever be compared to
>> sacred amateur radio in any form whatsoever. Morse code is the
>> universal language of radio, easily learned by everyone, and is

>> the heart and soul of amateur radio. A morse code test MUST be
>> passed by all REAL radio amateurs seeking a federally-authorized
>> license.
>
>> I think that covers all bases, Brian... :-)
>
>Mmmmm, I dunno.
>
>Not nearly enough material devoted to CW.

True...but I was trying to be kind... :-)

>> >Hi! You guys crack me up.
>
>> Whatever they say nowadays is a parody on themselves.
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>I'm still troubled about being a jerk for not giving Mike greif. I
>think I would have been better off if I had given him greif.

Not to worry, Davie Heil gonna give you grief on the spelling
of "greif." :-)

He will probably make a macro on that and remind you for years
in lieu of his replying on some subject. :-)

Be that as it may, the VEC ought to disband. Since newbies "don't
know a thing about amateur radio" they can't possibly pass any
tests for a license. Just have ONE VEC for the "upgraders" (those
already licensed who want a nicer TITLE). Better all around and
satisfies Davie's smug, arrogant "superiority."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

211. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:50:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125791447.327379.90830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118137

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > Steve lies. Here's proof:
> > > > > >
> > > > > ----------
> > > > > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > > > > case of your
> > > > > misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> > > > > >
> > > > > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > > > > might be
> > > > > read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> > > > > it be
> > > > > perceived as such."
> > > > > ----------
> > > > >
> > > > Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
> > > >
> > > I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.
> > >
> > well I have seen one post from him yesterday he was flaming me claiming
> > that my refusual to address every point of one of his repetive flame
> > posts was censorship of him.
> >
> > I was glad to see it frankly as long as he posts every day or so we are
> > safe since he is obviously not driving to one of to carru out one of
> > his threats
>
> I dunno. I met someone at a McDonalds near Toledo to capture some GPS
> data, and when I booted up my laptop, it said that I had service to the
> Flying J and another truckstop's network. Most business oriented
> hotels have WAN also. Steve can post from anywhere.

I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie
>
> > > MIA or AWOL?
> >
> > Definately MIA, stevie has always been MIA, plenty of talk though
>
```

> I used to refer to him at the "Yell, Yell Marine."

212. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:52:08 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125791528.074049.88460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118138

From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm

>John Smith wrote:
>> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>> Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
>> that I can't pass myself.
>> That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur > radio (the rf bands)
>>should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
>
>They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
>a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.

Don't forget cute little 6-year-olds who passed their WRITTENS.

>> I happen to have been favored by my creator. If I understand
>> where I
>> stand on IQ charts, roughly 5% of people are my equal or
>> betters...
>
>All an IQ test shows is how well you take IQ tests. There
>are many different kinds of intelligence, and trying to
>describe them with a single number is ludicrous.

OK, so now we all know that Jimmie didn't score high on a
Stanford-Binet IQ test! :-)

>> If I
>> took a test which fully tested my abilities, then required the > same of
>> others--I could end up rather lonely...
>
>The amateur radio tests I've taken, and others I've seen, are
>nowhere near a full test of my abilities. I don't know about
>yours...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Federal Communications Commission is NOT
chartered as an "academic institution" for testing anyone to
their "full test of abilities." Never was, not in 71 years.

A license test is for the Commission's purpose...to determine
if, TO THE COMMISSION, an applicant is considered worthy of a
license grant.

>> I think the test should be one which at least 95% of ALL
>> amercians can pass--more or less like a drivers license...
>
>Why?

>
>And when you say "95% of all [Americans]" - does that include
>those under the age of, say, 5 years? How about those with
>severe developmental delays and deficits? Does it include those
>Americans with dementia or Alzheimer's disease? How about those
>who are illiterate or barely literate, those for whom English is
>a foreign language, and those with all sorts of other problems
>and limitations?
>
>Or do you mean 95% of all healthy, educated, "average" Americans over
>the age of, say, 10 years?

The present written tests are simple enough for two SIX YEAR OLDS to pass their license tests under the watchful eye of kindly, grandfatherly-looking VEs. Imagine, two SIX YEAR OLDS with perfect understanding of all regulations and the MATURITY to act responsibly on their own!

>More important, how much are you willing to water down the written
>tests to reach that goal?

Why have YOU already accepted and passed "watered-down" written tests sufficient that some kindly VEs could pass two SIX YEAR OLDS so that they could - legally - operate radios all by themselves?

But, on that matter, you challenge any challenger on their "expertise" of knowing SIX YEAR OLDS' capability. However, YOU have NEVER stated YOUR "qualifications" in regard to grading SIX YEAR OLDS on their "maturity" to be responsible, federally-licensed radio amateurs. You could do us all a great service by revealing your "expertise" in rating childrens' "maturity."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

213. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:55:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125791713.074027.98540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118139

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
cut
> >
> > I think the test should be one which at least 95% of ALL
> > amercians can pass--more or less like a drivers license...
>
> Why?

Because it the right full property of all american (in the USA outside
your milage may vary)
cut

> More important, how much are you willing to water down the written
> tests to reach that goal?

As usaul you turn the question upside down. the written should gaged to
that needed in order toallow those americans there rightfull access,
while preserving the abilty to operate safely

wether that results in rasing or lowering the level of the tests I
doesn't matter to me, nor I suspect to John

214. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-03 23:56:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125791773.964644.103610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118140

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> You don't get it do you "Frank Gilliland". I'm not bragging....all I'm
> doing is TRYING to show you malcontent jerks that Ham Radio is Alive and
> Well. And damn sure capable of "earning its pay". But I guess you and your
> kind won't ever understand that.

you are braging,
>
> Oh and I'm sorry but the wife won't let me head out to the area. My ability
> to walk is very limited. But she volunteered to go do her thing, she is a
> Certified Nursing Assistant.
>
> Again "Frank" what are you doing but running your mouth?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:af1kh15bkinu3bhk12fotkb734tbmj2kmq@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 17:51:12 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> > <kolSe.6195$9i4.3981@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >
> >>And what are you doing to help out in this disaster?
> >
> >
> > Don't spin it around on me, Dan. This is one of the worst disasters in
> > US history and it's not over. But you and other hams are already using
> > other people's suffering as an opportunity to 'strut your stuff' and
> > brag about your accomplishments which aren't exactly spectacular --
> > did you get some food and water to the hungry and thirsty? Did you
> > pull someone out of the debris? Did you give first aid to the injured?
> > Of course not. You passed a couple personal messages. That was a big
> > sacrifice on your behalf, I'm sure.
> >
> > You want bragging rights? Then go down there, get yourself hip-deep in
> > the muck, take in the sights and smells, and do something purely for
> > someone -else's- benefit instead of your own. THEN come back here and
> > tell us all about it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> > News==----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> > Newsgroups
> > ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> > =----

215. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 00:32:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GgrSe.7314$z2.1267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118141

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> you are braging,

My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

216. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 00:54:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <tBrSe.6899$FW1.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118142

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:GgrSe.7314$z2.1267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> you are braging,
>
> My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>
>
>
>

I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?

That is bragging too, right?

Dan/W4NTI

217. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 01:03:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cJrSe.4846$4P5.1992@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118143

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

>
> I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?
>

Whatever you mention about Amateur Radio, "Old Friend" will denigrate it.

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB

218. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 01:09:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lmhkh19s1f9gi2ioms8afptcgj13ua57r0@4ax.com>
Source record: 118144

On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 23:12:17 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<l5qSe.6855$FW1.1206@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>You don't get it do you "Frank Gilliland". I'm not bragging....

"I have ran several pieces of traffic from the disaster area tonight.
Made the calls and I'm damn proud of Ham Radio."

>all I'm
>doing is TRYING to show you malcontent jerks that Ham Radio is Alive and
>Well.

Who is disputing that fact?

> And damn sure capable of "earning its pay". But I guess you and your
>kind won't ever understand that.

I understand it very well. I also understand that the state of ham
radio is not the topic. The topic is the twisted pleasure you get as a
result of the misery of others.

>Oh and I'm sorry but the wife won't let me head out to the area. My ability
>to walk is very limited. But she volunteered to go do her thing, she is a
>Certified Nursing Assistant.

Good for her. At least -she- isn't in here begging for praise.

>Again "Frank" what are you doing but running your mouth?

There you go again, trying to make this issue about me. Resolve your
own issues first, let the circumstances settle, and then maybe we can
discuss my contributions.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

219. Re: Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 01:12:58 UTC
From: jm <nospam@garbagecan.com>
Message-ID: <zRrSe.32468$Tf5.25136@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 118145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ugly Hamfest Bums" <dirtystinkinhams@noarrl.net> wrote in message
news:b14e8$431a1aa7$d1cc57ad$13562@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>I can't believe how many scumbags there
> are profiteering off of Katrina on e-Bay
> right now !!
>
> Alot of them say that some of the proceeds will
> go to Disaster relief when everyone knows these
> fat smelly opportunistic bastards won't send one single
> dime in to any charity anytime or anywhere and will
> just pocket the proceeds and walk away laughing.
>
> I knew these gypsy dealers we all used to see at the hamfests
> each and every year were lowlifes and scumbags, but this really
> takes the cake and the silverware! What a bunch of cheap ass
> lying bastadts !!!!
>
> (Wonder how may of em are pulling the same crap on the
> many other swap-and-shop boards?? Not all hams do this
> of course but the ones who are really stink like shi+ - disgusted.)
>
>

Are you "sure" about that? Somewhere in the news I heard that E-Bay was setting up a program where the proceeds from sales could be sent directly to them to be put toward the relief effort! Maybe the sales of these items ARE for this purpose. "I" could be wrong but that is a possibility.

jm

220. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 01:24:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gbikh11c6vg9c45r1v6u9696sigob7m2j0@4ax.com>
Source record: 118146

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 00:54:49 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<tBrSe.6899$FW1.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:GgrSe.7314$z2.1267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>> you are braging,
>>
>> My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."
>>
>> Beep beep
>> de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?
>
>That is bragging too, right?

Look at the subject line of this post. This entire thread was started
by you doing nothing -but- bragging; i.e, "how Ham Radio has stepped
up to the plate", how it's "showing its stuff", etc. Maybe you've
forgotten the definition of the word "brag"..... let me refresh your
failing memory, Dan:

brag -- v. [OFr, 'braguer'], to boast. n. 1. a boast; boasting. 2. a
person or thing boasted of. 3. a braggart.

braggart -- n. an offensively boastful person.

And it's certainly offensive when there are still people suffering
while you are carrying on about how great ham radio is and how you get
a warm fuzzy when you pass a couple messages. That's like a little kid
at a funeral begging for attention by making faces and yelling, "Look
at me! Look at me!". How old are you anyway, Dan?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

221. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 01:59:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125799162.387256.119640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118147

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125720894.551287.232290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1125694732.765721.182010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Health and Welfare traffic is lower priority but it's still important
> >> > for people to know that their friends/relatives are okay and they know
> >> > he's okay too. Then they can attend to matters at hand with a clearer
> >> > head. Ham radio offloads H&W from police/govt/mil comm links so they
> >> > have enough bandwidth to handle the high priority stuff.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Sure H&W is of less importance. Saving lives is the highest priority.
> >> But
> >> you know something? It sure made me feel good when I delivered those
> >> messages from the disaster area. That is worth all the BS I read in
> >> here.
> >>
> > thank you for making my point so well Dan
> >
> > Hams don't look with much real objectivity on their role in disasters
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
>
> And what are you doing in support of this disaster asshole?

One I am not making Ham radio look bad by puting on airs

Two, Nothing realy for me to do (as a radio operator), No 6m or sat
traffic to assit with I am tech class as you should know by now.

as a Private person well I am waiting for some folks to get here form
NO been geting ready shelter them likely for the winter, contribing
though such organizations as I choose

Now of course you are bragging and pissed now that you are not getting
rave reviews for your efforts
>
> Dan/W4NTI

222. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 02:02:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125799364.013088.140010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118148

Braging see the following
Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
> amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
> Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
> 7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
> I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
> Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages to
> family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
> Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
> before.
>
> And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove it.

taking this disaster and using it as club to beat up someone you don't
like

such Nobility ham radio can do without
>
> Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

223. The Bar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 02:11:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9JsSe.7342$z2.5339@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118149

Four guys are walking down a street in Manhattan.

Then they turn a corner and see a sign that says, "Old Timer's Bar"

"ALL DRINKS 10 CENTS!"

They look at each other, and then go in. The old bartender says in a voice that carries across the room, "Come on in and let me pour one for you. What'll it be, Gentlemen?" There seems to be a fully stocked bar, so the 4 men each asked for a martini.

In short order, the bartender serves up 4 iced martinis and says, "That'll be 10 cents each, please."

They can't believe their good luck. They pay the 40 cents, finish their martinis, and order another round. Again, four excellent martinis are produced with the bartender again saying, "That's 40 cents, please." They pay the 40 cents, but their curiosity is more than they can stand.

They've each had two martinis and so far they've spent less than a dollar.

Finally one of the men couldn't stand it any longer and asks the bartender, "How can you afford to serve martinis as good as these for a dime a piece?"

"Here's my story. I'm a retired tailor from Brooklyn, and I always wanted to own a bar. Last year I hit the lottery for $25
million and decided to open this place. Every drink costs a dime -wine, liquor, beer, all the same."

"Wow!! That's quite a story," says one of the men.

The four of them sipped at their martinis and couldn't help but notice three other guys at the end of the bar who didn't have a drink in front of them, and hadn't ordered anything the whole time they were there.

One man gestures at the three at the end of the bar without drinks and asks the bartender, "What's with them?"

The bartender says, "They're ham radio operators, waiting for happy hour."

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/

TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

224. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 02:26:03 UTC
From: Larry K3LT <yodoc@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125800763.944481.263390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Unfortunately, a large-scale disaster like this will cause the worst sort of human (inhuman?) behavior to show it's ugly face. However, an inhumane response to inhuman behavior is never warranted. Shooting looters on site is never warranted; arresting them and prosecuting them for whatever degree of larceny they are guilty of is sufficient. However, shooting at rescue helicopters does warrant a response which includes the use of deadly force. Those helicopters should start carrying door gunners to return fire if fired upon.

225. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 02:28:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125800896.732873.262560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118051

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > reminding Ole stevie of his word
> > > > > >
> > > > > > again
> > > > >
> > > > > now to Hightlight the relavant pasage again
> > > > >
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Stevie has deined any physcail problem so it must be metnal discharge
> > > > > > > there at the end
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > (In case you want to check the validity of my service like Mark,
> > > > > > > start
> > > > > > > with Platoon 2100, F Co, 2nd RTB, RTR, MCRD SanDiego from the date
> > > > > > > above,
> > > > > > > finishing with medical retirement from MCAS El Toro, CA 29 May 1992)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > there you have
> > > > >
> > > > > confessing to disablity of some kind and he denies it was physcial so
> > > > > it must be mental
> > > > >
> > > > > No other logical choice except of course that Stvei isn't the marrine
> > > > > in question or other such lie
> > > >
> > > > It could have been spiritual. If Steve had been a Chaplain and lost
> > > > his faith...
> > >
> > > I had to think about this one for a few days but indeed if Stevie wants
> > > to claim chaplain status and loss of faith I'll let do it and apologize
> > > for saying it must have been a mental
> >
> > As will I.
> >
> > But Steve has never mentioned that he was a chaplain, only claims being
> > an "A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS, and supposed to be aircraft maintenance
> > of some sort.
>
```

> but when has changing his story bothered Stevie before

Changes his story or won't finish his story. Like the time he
mentioned that he was in seven hostile actions...

226. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 02:43:44 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125801824.896357.3600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

This post was NOT the work of Mr. Davies.

227. I'm Baaaaack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 02:46:03 UTC
From: Larry K3LT <yodoc@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125801963.193599.324360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118053

Greetings all, and hope all is well with everyone. I'm back after my fairly lengthy hiatus; I have moved out of the apartment I lived in for 12 years and moved down the road 3 miles East. I bought a 3-bedroom duplex house in a very heavily CCR'd community -- but hopefully I'll manage to get back on-the-air in some minimal way pretty soon. I need a new HF rig for one thing -- my ancient IC-735 is pretty much toast after over 18 years of constant service.

Ham radio on the local scene is pretty much toast as well -- club membership is a third of what it was even 3 years ago, and between making the move, doing my job, and dealing with some health issues, my own participation has been virtually non-existant for more than a year. I'm hoping that the coming of cooler weather I'll be able to do more stuff radio-wise, perhaps get a dipole in my attic or rig a wire vertical up the side of the house and load it with my auto-tuner.

As far as code v. no-code goes, I don't really care any more. I'm all but done with that topic. The handwriting is on the wall, and no matter what the FCC and the ARRL do to dumb-down the licensing requirements, we will not see any big increases in the number of hams. Even I now own a cell phone; so there it is. I can chat for hours free of charge anywhere in the world after 9 PM EST and on weekends. BTW, if anyone wants to do just that, e-mail me and we'll exchange phone numbers. If you're on Verizon, we can chat for free 24/7!

Well, that's all for now. I'll be around.

73 de Larry, K3LT

228. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 03:01:23 UTC
From: Larry K3LT <yodoc@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125800396.017389.219490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On 2 Sep 2005 19:34:20 -0700, "Larry K3LT" <yodoc@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >Omigosh. I'm away from RRAP for almost two years, come in for a look
> >see, and am smacked between the eyes with this crapola! Sheez. There
> >goes my thoughts that things may have improved.
> >
> >73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> They did improve somewhat - your buddy Dick Carrol went into a vapor
> lock and bit the big one awhile back.

I don't know who the hell you are, as whole, but that was MISTER
Carroll to you. He was a good man and a highly intelligent and
informative poster to this newsgroup. YOU are obviously neither.

> So are you back to obsess over Kim's callsign some more, or are you
> going to bitch and moan about CW actually being a useful mode of
> communication?

I shall do as I please.

73 de Larry, K3LT

229. Re: The Bar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 03:02:00 UTC
From: Larry K3LT <yodoc@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125800996.678832.248070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118055

'samatter, Hansl -- trying to imply that hams are cheap or something?

230. Great Liberty Net 3.953 on now in rare form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 03:33:18 UTC
From: Pretorian Guard <herewegofolks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5629c$431a6b03$d1cc507b$1540@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 118056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The Liberty Net is on 3.953 as of 11:00 Eastern time Sat Night.

With all the stuff going on in NOLA, you can *just imagine* the
level of the commentary! Tune in RIGHT NOW!

Other fun sites to view while listening to 3.953 :

http://www.davidduke.com/

http://www.halturnershow.com/index.html

231. Re: The Bar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 04:10:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EsuSe.4892$4P5.1615@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118057

"Larry K3LT" <yodoc@aol.com> wrote

>
> 'samatter, Hansl -- trying to imply that hams are cheap or something?
>

Sumpin like that.

232. Re: I'm Baaaaack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 04:12:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tuuSe.4894$4P5.2687@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118058

"Larry K3LT" <yodoc@aol.com> wrote

> I'm back after my fairly lengthy hiatus;

Welcome back, Larryl. Nothing new happened while you were gone.

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB

233. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 04:13:32 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <doskh1dikcqlqpsmqv35a7vd9ma3cgfl1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 118059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 3 Sep 2005 20:01:23 -0700, "Larry K3LT" <yodoc@aol.com> wrote:

>>
>> They did improve somewhat - your buddy Dick Carrol went into a vapor
>> lock and bit the big one awhile back.
>
>I don't know who the hell you are, as whole, but that was MISTER
>Carroll to you. He was a good man and a highly intelligent and
>informative poster to this newsgroup. YOU are obviously neither.

Googling some of those "highly intelligent and informative" postings
shows otherwise.

>> So are you back to obsess over Kim's callsign some more, or are you
>> going to bitch and moan about CW actually being a useful mode of
>> communication?
>
>I shall do as I please.

...as shall I.

234. Re: Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 04:49:31 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96C6DE0F34D61spectrumhogstarbandn@207.106.92.175>
Source record: 118060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> I can't believe how many scumbags there
> are profiteering off of Katrina on e-Bay
> right now !!
>
> Alot of them say that some of the proceeds will
> go to Disaster relief when everyone knows these
> fat smelly opportunistic bastards won't send one single
> dime in to any charity anytime or anywhere and will
> just pocket the proceeds and walk away laughing.

Before you blow a gasket, check to see if any listing in question has a
little blue ribbon by it. Per Ebay, (http://givingworks.ebay.com/)
there is a valid contribution campaign available.

Ed K7AAT

235. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 05:03:24 UTC
From: Lennie <NoName@anon>
Message-ID: <dfdv4c$as0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118061

> --
> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>
>
>
>

I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?

That is bragging too, right?

Dan/W4NTI

..

No, Dan. You are not bragging but stating fact.
Keep up the fine work and pay no heed to the Blowguts such as Lennie and his apostles.

236. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 06:37:44 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <IIRXLFTN38599.359537037@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118062

```
In article <xk6Se.6948$z2.4952@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In article <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3...@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Ethan Jones wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 28, 9:04 am
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
```

> >>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's
typical
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or
attempt
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.

> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed.
What
> >>>
> >>>you
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were
incorrect.
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Tsk, tsk, tsk...Heil sails the river denial again.
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Denial, old bean? The words were there for everyone to read. Brian
> >>>>>>>>>>claimed one thing and then rapidly backpedals to another position.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>No back-pedalling, old has bean. Don't forget who was discussing
> >>>>>>>>>moderating and closing the newsgroup to non-hams and for what
purpose.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>You seem to have lost track of your own posted writings, Brian.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>You seem to love playing stupid.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>...and you, apparently, are not playing at it. You charged that Jim
> >>>>>>prevented Len Anderson from commenting. There's no truth in your claim.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>There's truth that the four morsemen have tried through intimidation,
> >>>>>personal attacks, and talk of moderating the group and excluding
> >>>>>non-amateurs.
> >>>>
> >>>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> >>>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> >>>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
> >>>>
> >>>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> >>>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> >>>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
> >>>>
> >>>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> >>>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>You *should* work on your audio, supposed "well known DX-er." For example,
> >
> > when
> >

> >>>you checked into that 3.865 net, it sounded like crap. Must be the cheap
> >
> > radios
> >
> >>>on your end.
> >>
> >>
> >>I guess you're anonymous on the net as well as usenet. Maybe you'll
> >>figure out a way to use two anonymous callsigns to disguise yourself.
> >
> >
> > Maybe you'll figure out something for once and it will be a first. You sure
> > can't figure out how to get good audio.
> >
> >
> >>Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
> >>the voices begin talking to you again.
>
> > Who's Roger, you utterly stupid man?
>
> He's living proof that no man is a total loss. Roger serves as a
> horrible example.

Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man. No wonder you
were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department." You seem
to have issues seeing one person behind every anonymous post. As if just one
person would use anonymous remailers. God, are you stupid.

> > It sounds like you are on some medications
> > yourself.
>
> You're hearing sounds?

No, stupid. If you would perhaps get a clue, you'd be able to have better
audio. Now go take your much needed Valium.

This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.

237. Re: I'm Baaaaack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 11:28:36 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <R-idnX3vppv6R4feRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118063

Welcome back Larry ..... Unfortunately I would have to agree with your comments .... Hope to hear you on the air with my "comprimised antenna".

73 Tom KI3R

238. Re: Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 12:36:45 UTC
From: MS <ms@ms.org>
Message-ID: <nhqlh1lm1707k7bbd72o07cd8rems6a449@4ax.com>
Source record: 118064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 17:50:20 -0400, "Ugly Hamfest Bums"
<dirtystinkinhams@noarrl.net> wrote:

>What a bunch of cheap ass lying bastadts !!!!

bastadts?

239. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 13:09:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125839381.159995.131410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118065

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am
> That's nice, Len. Who attempted to silence you? Have you told > anyone to shut up or leave the newsgroup? :-) :-)

Well, there was the classic "feldwebel post"....

> >>>>And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?

> >>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering
> >>>Len's typical insulting demeanor.

> > Oh, my! "typical insulting demeanor?" Davie is above such
> > things? Not by all the archives in Google! :-)
>
> Yes, Len, your typical insulting demeanor.

I think you meant "behavior", Dave.

> You know, the one which
> brought about the creation of Jim's apropos profile of your
> likely actions:
>
> N2EY: "Besides, here's a simple, plain fact:
>
> No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
> government/military service that a radio amateur has, if
> said radio
> amateur opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
> the target of Mr.
> Anderson's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual
> errors, ethnic
> slurs, excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."
>
Actually there's an updated version:

No matter what employment, education, experience or
government/military service a person has, if that
person opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
the target of Mr. Anderson's insults, ridicule,
name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior.

I've found it to be a very accurate predictor of Len's
behavior on rrap. Len of course has parodied/plaigirized
and in doing so simply provide more proof of its validity.

Note that the profile does not call Len names or
other direct insults. It simply predicts his behavior when
faced with opposition to his views.

Perhaps Len considers such opposition to be the
ultimate insult to him.

> >> What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> >>>prevent Len from making those comments.

Nor would I. What I have done is to point out errors
in Len's reasoning and claims. And I backed it up
with google quotes and other info.

For example, some time back Len posted that all hams could
continue to operate legally with licenses that were in the grace
period. I showed that to be in error, by simply quoting the
appropriate sections of Part 97. Len's behavior in response to
that was typical of the profile.

> > Right now, there's not a damn thing Jimmie can do about
> > it...

There's plenty that people "can do about it". No one chooses to.
Not worth the time or effort.

> Slow down and regroup, Len. Jim has done nothing to prevent
> you from
> commenting to this newsgroup or to your government. Your rant > is vapor.

Dave, consider that perhaps Len considers *any* opposition to
his views as an attempt to silence him.
>
> >>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.

Free speech includes the right to discredit other's comments and
opinions if those comments and opinions are not based on facts and
sound logical reasoning. Some of Len's comments and opinions are not
based on facts and sound logical reasoning.

> >>In the end, if they cannot
> >>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s),
> >>they claim that
> >>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.

Actually the logic is quite different. It comes down to
asking why Len is so interested in amateur radio policy
even though Len is not a ham and has never been one. There
has been a nocodetest amateur radio license in the USA since
1991, yet Len never got one. The maximum code test required
for any US amateur radio license has been 5 wpm since 1990 (with
medical waiver) and since 2000 without a waiver.

Nor is Len a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment, nor does he have anything to do with FCC.

More than 5-1/2 years ago, Len told us he was going for Extra, but didn't say when, and it hasn't happened yet.

And regardless of how someone replies to Len's posts here, Len will reply according to the profile.

It's just his way.

Why should anyone reply to his posts at all, Dave?

73 de Jim, N2EY

240. Re: Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 13:11:51 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agn@NOSPAMw2agn.net>
Message-ID: <431AF297.6040702@NOSPAMw2agn.net>
Source record: 118066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

MS wrote:
> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 17:50:20 -0400, "Ugly Hamfest Bums"
> <dirtystinkinhams@noarrl.net> wrote:
>
>
>>What a bunch of cheap ass lying bastadts !!!!
>
>
> bastadts?
>
That's an illiterate bastard.

241. Re: Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 13:13:33 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agnNOSPAM@w2agn.net>
Message-ID: <431AF2FD.1040402@w2agn.net>
Source record: 118067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

MS wrote:
> On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 17:50:20 -0400, "Ugly Hamfest Bums"
> <dirtystinkinhams@noarrl.net> wrote:
>
>
>>What a bunch of cheap ass lying bastadts !!!!
>
>
> bastadts?
>
That's an illiterate bastard.

242. Re: I'm Baaaaack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 13:30:28 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <XFCSe.8217$OT1.222@fe09.lga>
Source record: 118068

Welcome back, Larry. Congrats on your new home and I hope all is well.
(Particularly re. your health.)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

"Larry K3LT" <yodoc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1125801963.193599.324360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Greetings all, and hope all is well with everyone. I'm back after my
> fairly lengthy hiatus; I have moved out of the apartment I lived in for
> 12 years and moved down the road 3 miles East. I bought a 3-bedroom
> duplex house in a very heavily CCR'd community -- but hopefully I'll
> manage to get back on-the-air in some minimal way pretty soon. I need
> a new HF rig for one thing -- my ancient IC-735 is pretty much toast
> after over 18 years of constant service.
>
> Ham radio on the local scene is pretty much toast as well -- club
> membership is a third of what it was even 3 years ago, and between
> making the move, doing my job, and dealing with some health issues, my
> own participation has been virtually non-existant for more than a year.
> I'm hoping that the coming of cooler weather I'll be able to do more
> stuff radio-wise, perhaps get a dipole in my attic or rig a wire
> vertical up the side of the house and load it with my auto-tuner.
>
> As far as code v. no-code goes, I don't really care any more. I'm all
> but done with that topic. The handwriting is on the wall, and no
> matter what the FCC and the ARRL do to dumb-down the licensing
> requirements, we will not see any big increases in the number of hams.
> Even I now own a cell phone; so there it is. I can chat for hours free
> of charge anywhere in the world after 9 PM EST and on weekends. BTW,
> if anyone wants to do just that, e-mail me and we'll exchange phone
> numbers. If you're on Verizon, we can chat for free 24/7!
>
> Well, that's all for now. I'll be around.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

243. Re: Savage negro beasts rampage in lousiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 13:36:39 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <h2ulh1dcbe9cfg1rip3jnl82luf6uurp1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 118069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 18:19:49 -0400, Loyd <Loyd@snb.nsp> wrote:

>it is like cuhulin said and like the bible says. they are part of the ape
>race and are not human. all of you liberal bleading hearts created this
>problem and now us white pple must clean it up with our guns. cuhulin and
>i are going to go hunting pretty soon.
I got yer ''Savage negro beast'' in my pants.

244. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 14:27:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125844048.113267.99270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118070

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 3, 4:02 pm
> >
> >I'm still troubled about being a jerk for not giving Mike greif. I
> >think I would have been better off if I had given him greif.
>
> Not to worry, Davie Heil gonna give you grief on the spelling
> of "greif." :-)

Darnit, you stole my Heil bait. Maybe if we're quiet about it, he'll
still go for it.

> He will probably make a macro on that and remind you for years
> in lieu of his replying on some subject. :-)
>
> Be that as it may, the VEC ought to disband. Since newbies "don't
> know a thing about amateur radio" they can't possibly pass any
> tests for a license. Just have ONE VEC for the "upgraders" (those
> already licensed who want a nicer TITLE). Better all around and
> satisfies Davie's smug, arrogant "superiority."
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

That's what I see, two license classes in the spirit of K0HBs vision.
What we'll get is two license classes in the spirit of the Four
Morsemen where all the old Extras bitch and moan as they always have.
Speaking of bitching Extra's, I see Larry's back. I better go say
"Hi!"

bb

245. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 14:32:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125844335.767879.140320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118071

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>John Smith wrote:
> >>>K0HB:
> >
> >>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> >>>of the authors
> >>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> >>>in the area of
> >>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
> >>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
> >
> > For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> > to disprove the idea....
> >
> >>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
> >
> > The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
>
> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
> who are just slap happy to tell us that!

Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
President Bush is a Christian?

246. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 14:37:02 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050904143702.C4217171E9@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118072

```
In article <1125786510.533077.8040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
> > >Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > >> You know, Leonard, it's funny that when Jim uses that phrase, you almost
> > >> always turn out to be just plain wrong.
> > >
> > >Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
> > >know, only you hold that distinction and title.
> >
> > He should be PROUD of it.
>
> Perhaps I'll petition my ARRL to come up with a new operating award.
>
> > >> > Then there is his
> > >> > (macro) paragraph of denunciation of my postings with uncivil
> > >> > terms in it, repeated and repeated on his whim.
> > >>
> > >> I don't see that profile of your likely actions to be a denunciation at
> > >> all. It reads like an accurate summary of your actions. All anyone has
> > >> to do is look at N2EY's profile and compare it with archives of your
> > >> past posts. Any reader can make a judgement as to whether the profile
> > >> is accurate or inaccurate.
> > >
> > >Yours is smug.
> >
> > Everyone is invited to view Heil's message archives and arrive
> > at your summation. I would have added "arrogant" but that may
> > be a personal choice.
> >
> > >> >>>>>By the way, tell us who was discussing moderating and closing the
> > >> >>>>>newsgroup to non-hams and for what purpose.
> > >> >>
> > >> >>>>Do your own homework.
> > >> >>
> > >> >>>My homework? You made mention of something but you don't care to be
> > >> >>>specific. The matter remains unsubstantiated by you.
> > >> >>
> > >> >>best of luck.
> > >> >
```

> > >> > Heil forgets that I've openly SUGGESTED to the PCTA to get their own
> > >> > MODERATED newsgroup.
> > >>
> > >> I haven't forgotten. Brian Burke may have though. He seems to think it
> > >> was some sort of PCTA plot.
> > >
> > >Then I stand corrected on that single point. However, Jim, Kelly,
> > >>RE-4YZ, and you repeatedly post that Len is not an amateur. The
> > >purpose is to discredit Len's opinions, without considering his
> > >opinions on their own merit. Luckily, we have a government body that
> > >is not bound by such absurdity.
> >
> > Dishonorable Judge Heil is benched.
> >
> > Heil will not discuss the lawful fact that neither Commissioners or
> > staff at the FCC are required to hold amateur radio license grants
> > in order to REGULATE and ENFORCE U.S. amateur radio regulations.
>
> And those Commissioners and staff are serving in the public interest,
> not the amateur's interest. Something that Jim et al always seems to
> forget when recommneding your opinions are invalid because you're not a
> ham.
>
> > Heil believes in the good-old-boys-pecking-order-in-club-house
> > rule of only those tenured in licensing are "suitable" for
> > "leadership." Heil doesn't want to understand that ALL U.S. civil
> > radio is regulated and enforced by the FCC, NOT the licensees.
>
> And the Dept. of State is a vehicle for DX destinations.

Deepest Darkest Africa, and Finland? <snicker>

> > >> > That way they can be assured of proper Group
> > >> > Think and not be bothered by opposing opinions from non-PCTA folk.
> > >>
> > >> Do you really think that any self-respecting radio amateur would let a
> > >> non-ham tell us to go away from a newsgroup dealing with amateur radio?
> > >> You've probably gathered that it won't happen.
> > >
> > >Eternal hope shines brightly.
> >
> > Not for me in Heil's case. He simply can't be told anything he
> > doesn't want to hear.
>
> He is only one insignificant man.
>
> > >> > This newsgroup is UNmoderated and that is how most feel it should
> > >> > be.
> > >>
> > >> No kidding? Really?
> > >

> > >Really, and without kidding.
> >
> > Heil cannot understand that. We should cease telling him in order
> > to save time for more productive efforts.
>
> Never.
>
> > >> > But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.
> > >>
> > >> What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've
> > >> haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a
> > >> radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now.
> > >
> > >Hmmmm? Why would Heil make such a statement?
> >
> > It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
> > worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
> > Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
> > ANY diplomacy there.
>
> He was merely their messenger.
>
> > >> I'll bet
> > >> you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
> > >> fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
> > >> everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
> > >> somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.
> > >
> > >I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
> > >WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
> > >cracks me up.
> >
> > Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
> > where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
> > morsemanship in the 1980s...
>
> He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?

He wore a tinfoil hat.

> > claiming that "radio communications
> > paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
> > modes."
>
> He was probably doing something wrong.
>
> > None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
> > counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
> > effort of foreign policy.
>
> "Sorry Len, State Dept. Communications >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi,

> hi!
>
> > Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
> > teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
> > elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
> > get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
> > was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
> > Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis
> > from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
> > "equatorial region" of the African continent]
>
> I would consider it so. But I only have a degree in Geography.
>
> > >> > Lacking
> > >> > valid arguments on SUBJECTS he attempts personal attack by constant
> > >> > reference to non-licensed amateurs' postings as if those were "not
> > >> > allowed." Heil acts the bigot on newsgroup participation.
> > >>
> > >> I've never ever said anything about your postings not being allowed. I
> > >> have stated that I don't give them any credence and I've suggested that
> > >> others might want to discount the rantings of an old gent with no
> > >> experience in amateur radio. You've gone way, way beyond that. You
> > >> have, in comments to the FCC, said that they should disregard the
> > >> comments of several radio amateurs. You're pathetic.
> > >
> > >Hmmm? You can ask folks to ignore Len, but Len cannot?
> >
> > January 25th, 1999, ten days beyond the cutoff date of Replies
> > to Comments on 98-143 ("Restructuring"), Dudly the USMC imposter
> > attempted to have the FCC disregard my Comment (a Reply to Comment
> > of Michael Deignan) entire becasue of not being a licensed radio
> > amateur or worthy of even making any comments. That one is still
> > in public view at the FCC ECFS, in the archives. My Reply to
> > Comment was stamped as "received" on 13 January 1999, also in
> > public view.
>
> Other TN amateurs have referred to RA-4YZ as a "POS," according to
> Mark.
>
> > Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
> > are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur
> > radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
> > would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
> > radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
> > amateur radio license grants.
> >
> > That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
> > U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.
>
> Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.

>
> > >> > Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
> > >> > post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
> > >> > K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
> > >> > Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
> > >> > reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
> > >> > misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
> > >> > differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
> > >> > "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
> > >>
> > >> I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
> > >> real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
> > >> warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
> > >> "Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very
UNPROFESSIONAL.
> > >
> > >It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
> > >meters, IMHO.
> >
> > Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
> > schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
> > "typographical" errors in spelling.
>
> Dave is smug.

He's an asshole.

>
> > I did not mention any Hun
> > who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
> > a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
> > of Hunnish to English.
>
> Dave must be multi-lingual.

He sure is sensitive about his crappy on-air audio. Who knew he's such a
sensitive guy.

> > Dudly the USMC imposter is another subject, already exposed. The
> > "Dudley" of Gann's book (published in 1961) is yet another subject.
> > Heil is unable to tell the two apart. In that case he must think
> > that the Gann pilot-imposter "Dudley" is the SAME as this
> > newsgroup's
> > USMC-imposter "Dudly" but cannot commit himself to comment.
>
> He's AWOL.
>
> > >> You can tell the Mrs. that those dratted hams aren't giving you any
> > >> respect again.
> > >>
> > >> Dave K8MN

> > >
> > >One ham isn't. Never has. Too smug to be bothered by a non-ham.
> > >Luckily we have a government organization....
> >
> > Former government employee Heil seems overly bothered by a non-
> > amateur (who is and has been a long time a Commercial/Professional
> > licensee). That demonstrates his own insecurity.
>
> He should have been outraged when that illiterate fool Bruce got an
> Extra license.
>
> > Fortunately, for the CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES, we are still
> > protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.
>
> So far.
>
> > Heil thinks that the First Amendment does NOT APPLY when discussing
> > amateur radio subjects. His black uniform and jackboots must be
> > too ill-fitting for him to tolerate deviation from his dictatorial
> > wannabe-ruler complex. Very strange behavior exhibited by Heil.
> >
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
> I need to read what Dave, Jim, Kelly, and Steve have sent in to the FCC.

247. Re: I'm Baaaaack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 15:08:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125846495.839895.5550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118073

Larry K3LT wrote:
> Greetings all, and hope all is well with everyone. I'm back after my
> fairly lengthy hiatus; I have moved out of the apartment I lived in for
> 12 years and moved down the road 3 miles East. I bought a 3-bedroom
> duplex house in a very heavily CCR'd community -- but hopefully I'll
> manage to get back on-the-air in some minimal way pretty soon. I need
> a new HF rig for one thing -- my ancient IC-735 is pretty much toast
> after over 18 years of constant service.
>
> Ham radio on the local scene is pretty much toast as well -- club
> membership is a third of what it was even 3 years ago, and between
> making the move, doing my job, and dealing with some health issues, my
> own participation has been virtually non-existant for more than a year.
> I'm hoping that the coming of cooler weather I'll be able to do more
> stuff radio-wise, perhaps get a dipole in my attic or rig a wire
> vertical up the side of the house and load it with my auto-tuner.
>
> As far as code v. no-code goes, I don't really care any more. I'm all
> but done with that topic. The handwriting is on the wall, and no
> matter what the FCC and the ARRL do to dumb-down the licensing
> requirements, we will not see any big increases in the number of hams.
> Even I now own a cell phone; so there it is. I can chat for hours free
> of charge anywhere in the world after 9 PM EST and on weekends. BTW,
> if anyone wants to do just that, e-mail me and we'll exchange phone
> numbers. If you're on Verizon, we can chat for free 24/7!
>
> Well, that's all for now. I'll be around.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, welcome back. I've often wondered about what was keeping you,
so maybe now you'll have more time to keep us entertained.

Amateur radio is moving back to it's roots; a solitary activity in one
corner of the basement. I think the boomer influence of doing
everything in mass is starting to wash away.

As far as cell phones go, I've got one too. I'm on a dirt cheap plan,
and my anytime minutes are about zip. You never know when an emergency
will come up, though.

Stay well, Brian

248. Re: Hurricane Katrina approaches New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 15:22:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125847379.123833.83620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118074

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://weather.noaa.gov/cgi-bin/iwszone?Sites=:laz069#t2
>
> Note the predictions of damage...
>
> Only three Category 5 hurricanes have hit the USA since records have
> been kept. They hit in 1935, 1969 and 1992. 34 years, 23 years, and now
> 13 years between such storms.

Hmmmm? If we keep subtracting 10 years from the inter-storm interval,
the next Cat5 will hit us in three years. Where will it hit, Jim?

249. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 15:40:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125848453.397774.317390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118075

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> snip

>
> So in the end, when Jim Miccolis/N2EY, David Heil/K8MN, Brian
> Kelly/W3RV, and Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP point out that Len is not
> an amateur, for what purpose do you do so? What do you hope to gain
> from pointing out that Len isn't an amateur?

Indeed it does get tiresome that they go on and on about

Could we keep to once a month or once a week (valid I suppose if
someone slips in to let them Know)
>
> > And regardless of how someone replies to Len's posts here, Len will
> > reply according to the profile.
> >
> > It's just his way.
> >
> > Why should anyone reply to his posts at all, Dave?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Hi, hi! You reply directly to my comment, then you act as if you are
> speaking to Dave. Then you ask why anyone should reply to Len's posts
> at all. More hi, hi's!
>
> Jim, when you're ready to have a rational discussion with me, I'll be
> here.

when we have a rational discussion it will likely merely be a front for
Procoder effort to assinate us, by shocking us into heart attacks

250. Re: Hurricane Katrina approaches New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 15:47:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125848827.886207.34250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118076

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://weather.noaa.gov/cgi-bin/iwszone?Sites=:laz069#t2
> >
> > Note the predictions of damage...
> >
> > Only three Category 5 hurricanes have hit the USA since records have
> > been kept. They hit in 1935, 1969 and 1992. 34 years, 23 years, and now
> > 13 years between such storms.
>
> Hmmmm? If we keep subtracting 10 years from the inter-storm interval,
> the next Cat5 will hit us in three years. Where will it hit, Jim?

no the next hit 7 years ago or is that the storm after that

251. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 15:50:11 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TIESe.5991$Wd7.1052@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118077

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
> President Bush is a Christian?
>

There are thousands of gods available to believe in, and for each individual god there are often several different versions of that religion. Take, for example, President Bush's god --- dozens and dozens of different cults all claiming to be the "proper" Christians.

The only difference between atheists and GWB is that the atheists believe in one less god than George does. If I have it right, Georges god, in His cosmic loneliness, felt a need to be worshipped, so He invented Us to worship him. Those of Us who aren't so inclined, He will banish to spend eternity on 75-meter phone.

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB
Most Reverend Keeper of the Codes of Q

252. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.953 on now in rare form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 15:58:39 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125849519.861744.258580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <5629c$431a6b03$d1cc507b$1540@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"Pretorian Guard" <herewegofo...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> The Liberty Net is on 3.953 as of 11:00 Eastern time Sat Night.

Another lonely Saturday night, drunk and only listening because you are
too stupid and lazy to get a license to talk on 3.953, huh? Another
dateless Saturday night, huh?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

><Shit flushed>

Fuck off, fatty Davies!

253. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.953 on now in rare form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 16:17:37 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <c64bb7e2b48d5ab8e553d08a0a1c6ab8@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <5629c$431a6b03$d1cc507b$1540@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"Pretorian Guard" <herewegofo...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> The Liberty Net is on 3.953 as of 11:00 Eastern time Sat Night.

Another lonely Saturday night, drunk and only listening because you are too
stupid and lazy to get a license to talk on 3.953, huh? Another dateless
Saturday night, huh?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

><Shit flushed>

Fuck off, fatty Davies!

254. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 17:30:58 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <ubbmh11fpat50pqvnt9tene4j1de7bom8p@4ax.com>
Source record: 118081

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 15:50:11 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>There are thousands of gods available to believe in, and for each individual god
>there are often several different versions of that religion. Take, for example,
>President Bush's god --- dozens and dozens of different cults all claiming to be
>the "proper" Christians.
>
>The only difference between atheists and GWB is that the atheists believe in one
>less god than George does. If I have it right, Georges god, in His cosmic
>loneliness, felt a need to be worshipped, so He invented Us to worship him.
>Those of Us who aren't so inclined, He will banish to spend eternity on 75-meter
>phone.
>
>Beep beep!
>de Hans, K0HB
>Most Reverend Keeper of the Codes of Q

Since this subject has been brought up, I'll admit it - I am an
atheist. Here are MY observations, however off-topic they may be...

With the catastrophe of Hurricane Katrina, we saw thousands of
survivors thanking god for their lives, even though theyï¿½ve lost
everything. I havenï¿½t seen one survivor blaming god for the death of
innocents in this disaster, not to mention the thousands that have
been left homeless and displaced from their families, not yet knowing
whether theyï¿½re dead or alive. Yet, they still thank god for their
lives. If a madman forced you out of your house by gun point, and then
burned your house to the ground while you watched, would you thank him
for sparing your life? What good is life if your lifestyle, quality of
life, and means of sustanation are suddenly gone?

It makes no sense to me why people would appeal to god and praise god,
yet not scratch their heads in confusion when said god anally rapes
New Orleans, but say that it is "godï¿½s will". Believers will say that
I must not question "godï¿½s will", but I SHALL question the will of
such a god in the hopes that some right-wing bible thumpers will
re-think their godï¿½s position in the wake of such disasters.

But I doubt that is going to happen. Today, there are people going to
prayer-fests in just about every church in the country. Theyï¿½ll do
their praying, get back into their big, gas-sucking SUVs, and drive
back to their fancy homes with the smug satisfaction that they
actually did something to help the survivors of this disaster. Is all
of this praying supposed to cause some big hand to come down out of
the sky and supply those affected by this tragedy with food, water,

clothes, medicine and shelter until the government and volunteer donations can be supplied. Somehow, I doubt it.

I am ashamed of my government, and I donï¿½t care who is offended by my comments. Iï¿½ll question and bash anyone and any god I damn well please and make no apologies for it. I have had with the religious platitudes from politicians and community leaders on all levels of government. They invoke a non-existent supernatural deity so they can duck their responsibilities. They dragged their asses when it came to getting the job done and lives were lost as a result. Itï¿½s time to fire all of them, starting with their murdering, cruel, vindictive god and working the way down.

255. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 17:39:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125855549.507267.155570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118080

From: K0HB on Sep 3, 5:32 pm

>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> you are braging,
>
>My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."

Then your "granny" is Jimmie Miccolis or she was a mis-quoted
former baseball player.

On "bragging:" Frank has the real motive pinned to the wall with
a big sign saying (variously) "Look at me!", "Love me because I am
a Hero", "Ham radio is Heroic, a savior to mankind" or some such
nonsensical SELF-praise.

Dan Jeswald and some others have the stock non-reply ready and
waiting: "Disagree with me and you HATE ham radio!" :-)

Indeed, ANY disagreement with Truthspeak sayings of the ARRL is
labeled as "You HATE ham radio!"

That sort of "critique" is as boring as it is nonsensical. It is
just bullsnit.

Amateur radio is a HOBBY, a personal activity involving
transmission of RF energy communications for recreation as a fun
avocation. It has always been so since the beginning of government
regulated radio 93 years ago. It is called a "service" because
that is the regulatory term used by the FCC for all U.S. civil
radio to denote a type and kind of radio being regulated.

Sending health and welfare messages does NOT supply BASIC NEEDS
to disaster victims, doesn't give them food, shelter, hygiene
facilities, medical aid, or anything else but some slight emotional
sustenance. Once this snack bite of emotion is satisfied, the
victims are still stuck with BASIC NEEDS that aren't satisfied by
some radio messages. Health and welfare messages do NOT reach into
the disaster center where the majority of victims are. Health and
welfare messages to distant family and friends usually "travels"
on the existing infrastructure of public communications that was
not affected by any disaster. Health and welfare messages don't
transport homeless victims to dry shelter over almost-impassible
flooded roadways. But, some of the carriers of a FEW health and
welfare messages think they are the next thing to those who can
walk on water, those who perform miracles, and other vainglorious
accomplishments.

ORDINARY CITIZENS can do much for disaster victims in their own way by whatever means work for them. Few ordinary citizens want "name recognition" for themselves or gratuitous praise heaped on them for minor assistance efforts. They do it because they are human and want to help fellow humans victimized by a disaster. Tens of thousands, perhaps more, are doing that already. They aren't USING what they do in order to heap praise upon themselves. They just do it and that's that.

But, in HERE, we've got those who grab the banners of praise and wrap it around themselves and parrot the feel-good phrases of the clubs "Ham radio is showing what it's good for!" as if that were the sole purpose of creation of that radio service. It wasn't. Public Safety Radio Services were created for that and more and have been as busy as possible, working without fanfare or big signs of "look at me, I'm a hero" on their T-shirts.

So, somebody passes some health and welfare messages on a Net. Clap, clap, mild applause. Their medals and certificates of valor are in the mail. Not to worry, a big membership organization will compose the sagas that will become legend in history. All those Net members are automatic HEROES for passing messages and then passing gas about their Wonderful Good Works. Clap, clap.

All who call their passing gas as vainglorious are labeled "haters of ham radio" in order to end any and all discussion. The HOBBY must remain "heroic." Clap, clap.

bip bip
LenAnderson@ieee.org

Liberator of Common Sense and Deflator of Gaseous Egos

256. Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 18:37:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125859068.552199.184700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 2, 2005--The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) will provide a $100,000 grant supplement to ARRL to support Amateur Radio's emergency communication operators in states affected by Hurricane Katrina. The grant will help to fund "Ham Aid," a new League program to support Amateur Radio volunteers deployed in the field in disaster-stricken areas. ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH, expressed gratitude to CNCS for its generous response. Ham Aid, she said, offers a unique opportunity to support individual radio amateurs helping to bridge the communication gap Hurricane Katrina has caused.

"For the first time in ARRL history, we will be able to reimburse some of the expenses that hams incur in response to a disaster," she said. "We only wish that we could justify an expense reimbursement program like this every time Amateur Radio Emergency Service volunteers are called upon to help in a disaster or emergency, sometimes placing themselves in harm's way."

In addition to providing emergency communication within and outside the affected areas, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) members and individual radio amateurs are supplementing the communication needs of emergency management and relief agencies, including the American Red Cross and The Salvation Army. Hobart said it's only due to the scope of the unprecedented and tragic Katrina disaster that CNCS agreed to help support dedicated Amateur Radio volunteers.

"But," she added, "we'd like to think of this grant as a token of appreciation and a recognition of Amateur Radio's value in past emergencies and disasters, such as 9/11."

Hobart says ARRL's Ham Aid program already has received some substantial private donations. Those and the CNCS grant, she said, provide a way for the League to "support our Field Organization as never before."

The CNCS Ham Aid grant is effective for operations established and documented as of September 1, 2005, and the aid is earmarked for Hurricane Katrina deployments only at this point. Guidelines are being established that will permit volunteers who have been involved in bona fide field support operations on or after September 1 to provide communication support to apply for a reimbursement voucher on a per diem basis.

Trained Amateur Radio operators will be on site for the duration of this disaster response, which could run into several weeks or months.

"Many will leave their jobs and families and travel on their own expense, using their own equipment," Hobart points out.

Corporation funds may also sustain the Ham Aid program and help to rebuild the emergency communications capabilities in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama to ensure that the Gulf Coast is prepared, should disaster strike again.

The CNCS grant is an extension of ARRL's three year Homeland Security training grant, which has provided certification in emergency communication protocols to nearly 5500 Amateur Radio volunteer over the past three years.

"CNCS grants helped make it possible for the ARRL to train America's hams and make them the best all-volunteer emergency radio service ever seen," Hobart said. "Now they are making it possible for the hams to use that training."

257. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 18:43:21 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <TXW0TWHE38599.8634375@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <det6m0shr0@pita.alt.net>
"Meta" <J...@joez.uk> wrote:
>
>
> The below comments were posted by Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ.

BWHAHAHAHA..You are so fucking stupid, Davies. You are so mentally ill you are seeing Roger behind everything. I bet you even see him in your dreams.

> Roger most often hides behind anonymous remailers.

And you hide behind two dozen different sockpuppets, Lardass Lloyd Daives.

>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125250513.323736.202530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >Slander is spoken defamation, dumbass Daugherty, Libel is written
> >defamation. You are so fucking stupid you don't know the difference, I
> >suppose. And you are queer as queer can be.

> Don't matter i'll find out who you are, and sue you ass for both.

Toadie, you can't even get out of your parents' basement to live. Now have another one of your fag fits you fucking spammer.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

258. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 19:07:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DBHSe.5070$4P5.513@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> "For the first time in ARRL history, we will be able to reimburse some
> of the expenses that hams incur in response to a disaster," she said.
> "We only wish that we could justify an expense reimbursement program
> like this every time Amateur Radio Emergency Service volunteers are
> called upon to help in a disaster or emergency, sometimes placing
> themselves in harm's way."
>

I think the $100,000 grant should be redirected to victim needs. I'm sure it's strictly legal, but I'd personally not be comfortable accepting reimbursement for volunteer activities of this nature, even if the funds came from private donations or ARRL reserves and not from tax dollars.

K0CKB and I have assembled a capable portable station, and we can provide our own living facilities and power (RV & generator) in case our help is needed. We might add additional diesel fuel capacity to increase our range, but certainly would not expect the government/ARRL to cover that expense.

73, de Hans, K0HB

259. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 19:34:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VOWdnTw6t8Og0YbeRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118085

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K0HB:
>>>
>>>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
>>>>>of the authors
>>>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
>>>>>in the area of
>>>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
>>>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
>>>
>>>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
>>>to disprove the idea....
>>>
>>>
>>>>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
>>>
>>>The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
>>
>> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
>> who are just slap happy to tell us that!
>
>
> Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
> President Bush is a Christian?

You tell me, Brian! I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
tell us about how to live.

That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy these days
don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all. The closest
thing that they are is a modern day version of the Pharisees. Their
trends are much more old testament - therefore not sharing in the new
covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer, also proscribed
against by Jesus, They push religious domination of government - same deal.

While demanding that the first books of *their* bible (KJV) be taken as absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, they totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers direct orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible. What's up with that?

What is up with that is the modern fundamentalist Christians are falling for one of the oldest tricks in the book - the false prophets.

That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that the world was *not* created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October in 4004 BC as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles at home.

There is no doubt in my mind that the present day universe *was* created billions of years ago, probably in an event we call "the Big Bang. I highly doubt that it was created by a supreme being. For what happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy speculation on that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

260. Re: I'm Baaaaack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 19:40:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VOWdnT86t8MC0IbeRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118086

Welcome back Larry,

The neighborhood's gone downhill a bit recently.

- mike KB3EIA -

261. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 19:42:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125862922.491086.114390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
compensation for our activiites as Hams, the correctness of that rule
being a another matter

262. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 20:21:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gHISe.6104$Wd7.1105@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
> compensation for our activiites as Hams.

That's true. But in this case there is no compensation for operation; rather expense reimbursement(food?/transportation?/lodging?). This would be similar to being given a plane ticket, food and lodging vouchers, and sent to provide your volunteer services, without any compensation for those services.

That distinction covers the legalities which I'm sure both CNCS and ARRL lawyers have examined. All that said, at a more basic personal level I'd be uncomfortable taking this reimbursement, preferring to just let the expenses be part of my (tax deductible) contribution to the recovery effort.

73, de Hans, K0HB

263. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 20:52:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125867132.576390.162290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118089

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <1125786510.533077.8040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > Dave is smug.
>
> He's an asshole.

Pretty much, but far be if for me to call people names on RRAP.

264. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 21:40:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125870050.120251.137500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL

Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment

"You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime directives of our serice:

"Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service, particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis added) [97.1(a)]

If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work. You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial basis, period
You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating. The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised" [97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."

It rather funny that K1MAN get's fined $21,000 for Pecuniary Interest and the ARRL is allowed to do this. What go for one person should apply to all. The ARRL should be fined by the FCC for doing this!

Todd N9OGL

265. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 21:50:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <T_JSe.436$9x2.238@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> directives of our serice:
>

I understand your concern, but the grant does not provide payment for operating;
it provides reimbursement for expenses.

The distinction isn't all that subtle --- consider this: If the government gave
you a plane ticket, lodged you in a hotel, and provided your meals so you could
operate a station in the disaster area, would you consider this "compensation"?
In this case, rather than provide those items "in kind", the government has made
a grant which covers exactly those same expenses after the fact.

I personally wish the money was spent on grants to actual victims, but I can't
find anyone being paid "any money or other consideration for operating".

73, de Hans, K0HB

266. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:06:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.04.22.06.33.98899@gmail.com>
Source record: 118098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Let us hope the newbies are smart enough to see the graft and corruption which has entered arrl (and been there growing for decades) and just say "HELL NO!"

John

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 11:37:48 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

> NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 2, 2005--The Corporation for National and Community
> Service (CNCS) will provide a $100,000 grant supplement to ARRL to
> support Amateur Radio's emergency communication operators in states
> affected by Hurricane Katrina. The grant will help to fund "Ham Aid," a
> new League program to support Amateur Radio volunteers deployed in the
> field in disaster-stricken areas. ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary
> Hobart, K1MMH, expressed gratitude to CNCS for its generous response.
> Ham Aid, she said, offers a unique opportunity to support individual
> radio amateurs helping to bridge the communication gap Hurricane
> Katrina has caused.
>
> "For the first time in ARRL history, we will be able to reimburse some
> of the expenses that hams incur in response to a disaster," she said.
> "We only wish that we could justify an expense reimbursement program
> like this every time Amateur Radio Emergency Service volunteers are
> called upon to help in a disaster or emergency, sometimes placing
> themselves in harm's way."
>
> In addition to providing emergency communication within and outside the
> affected areas, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) members and
> individual radio amateurs are supplementing the communication needs of
> emergency management and relief agencies, including the American Red
> Cross and The Salvation Army. Hobart said it's only due to the scope of
> the unprecedented and tragic Katrina disaster that CNCS agreed to help
> support dedicated Amateur Radio volunteers.
>
> "But," she added, "we'd like to think of this grant as a token of
> appreciation and a recognition of Amateur Radio's value in past
> emergencies and disasters, such as 9/11."
>
> Hobart says ARRL's Ham Aid program already has received some
> substantial private donations. Those and the CNCS grant, she said,
> provide a way for the League to "support our Field Organization as
> never before."
>
> The CNCS Ham Aid grant is effective for operations established and

> documented as of September 1, 2005, and the aid is earmarked for
> Hurricane Katrina deployments only at this point. Guidelines are being
> established that will permit volunteers who have been involved in bona
> fide field support operations on or after September 1 to provide
> communication support to apply for a reimbursement voucher on a per
> diem basis.
>
> Trained Amateur Radio operators will be on site for the duration of
> this disaster response, which could run into several weeks or months.
> "Many will leave their jobs and families and travel on their own
> expense, using their own equipment," Hobart points out.
>
> Corporation funds may also sustain the Ham Aid program and help to
> rebuild the emergency communications capabilities in Louisiana,
> Mississippi and Alabama to ensure that the Gulf Coast is prepared,
> should disaster strike again.
>
> The CNCS grant is an extension of ARRL's three year Homeland Security
> training grant, which has provided certification in emergency
> communication protocols to nearly 5500 Amateur Radio volunteer over the
> past three years.
>
> "CNCS grants helped make it possible for the ARRL to train America's
> hams and make them the best all-volunteer emergency radio service ever
> seen," Hobart said. "Now they are making it possible for the hams to
> use that training."

267. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:08:36 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <EfKSe.6262$Wd7.1728@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125870050.120251.137500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
: >From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing
: Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL
:
: Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment
:
:
: "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
: your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
: directives of our serice:
:
: "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
: to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service,
: particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis
: added) [97.1(a)]
:
: If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham
: for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work.
: You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial
: basis, period
: You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating.
: The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or
: material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised"
: [97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
: on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."
:
: ----------

As a matter of practical curiousness from an outside view, have you ability
to afford to go there with your station for several weeks and pay your own
life expenses?

If so, why you have not done so?

If not, would you volunteer to go if your government provided travel and
food and shelter expenses?

BGO
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

268. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:17:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <goKSe.7488$FW1.1106@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118093

You are one large pathetic example of a ham radio operator. You sit there and badmouth not just me, but every one of the thousands of hams that are, or will be, directly involved in this emergence. You self admit that your just a tech and don't see anything you can do on radio, what am I to do? Feel sorry for you and your tech ticket? ITS NOT ABOUT YOU. Then you turn it all around and make it look like I, the messenger of a good thing, am bragging.

You sir are not just a idiot but a disgrace. Turn in your license and shut your stupid face.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125799162.387256.119640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125720894.551287.232290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1125694732.765721.182010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Health and Welfare traffic is lower priority but it's still
>> >> > important
>> >> > for people to know that their friends/relatives are okay and they
>> >> > know
>> >> > he's okay too. Then they can attend to matters at hand with a
>> >> > clearer
>> >> > head. Ham radio offloads H&W from police/govt/mil comm links so
>> >> > they
>> >> > have enough bandwidth to handle the high priority stuff.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Sure H&W is of less importance. Saving lives is the highest priority.
>> >> But
>> >> you know something? It sure made me feel good when I delivered those
>> >> messages from the disaster area. That is worth all the BS I read in
>> >> here.
>> >
>> > thank you for making my point so well Dan
>> >
>> > Hams don't look with much real objectivity on their role in disasters
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI

>> >
>>
>> And what are you doing in support of this disaster asshole?
>
> One I am not making Ham radio look bad by puting on airs
>
> Two, Nothing realy for me to do (as a radio operator), No 6m or sat
> traffic to assit with I am tech class as you should know by now.
>
> as a Private person well I am waiting for some folks to get here form
> NO been geting ready shelter them likely for the winter, contribing
> though such organizations as I choose
>
>
> Now of course you are bragging and pissed now that you are not getting
> rave reviews for your efforts
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

269. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:18:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dpKSe.7489$FW1.2966@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118094

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:cJrSe.4846$4P5.1992@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?
>>
>
> Whatever you mention about Amateur Radio, "Old Friend" will denigrate it.
>
> Beep beep!
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Tell me about it. I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.

Dan/W4NTI

270. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:20:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nqKSe.7497$FW1.2413@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118095

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:gbikh11c6vg9c45r1v6u9696sigob7m2j0@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 00:54:49 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <tBrSe.6899$FW1.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>
>>"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:GgrSe.7314$z2.1267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>
>>>> you are braging,
>>>
>>> My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."
>>>
>>> Beep beep
>>> de Hans, K0HB
>>> --
>>> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?
>>
>>That is bragging too, right?
>
>
> Look at the subject line of this post. This entire thread was started
> by you doing nothing -but- bragging; i.e, "how Ham Radio has stepped
> up to the plate", how it's "showing its stuff", etc. Maybe you've
> forgotten the definition of the word "brag"..... let me refresh your
> failing memory, Dan:
>
> brag -- v. [OFr, 'braguer'], to boast. n. 1. a boast; boasting. 2. a
> person or thing boasted of. 3. a braggart.
>
> braggart -- n. an offensively boastful person.
>
> And it's certainly offensive when there are still people suffering
> while you are carrying on about how great ham radio is and how you get

> a warm fuzzy when you pass a couple messages. That's like a little kid
> at a funeral begging for attention by making faces and yelling, "Look
> at me! Look at me!". How old are you anyway, Dan?
>
I'm old enough to know an ignorant SOB when I see one. YOU.

Dan/W4NTI

271. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:23:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118096

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.

Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll both consider it a compliment!)

73, de Hans, K0HB

272. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:31:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125873075.420190.316020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118097

Disecting a real pack of lies

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> You are one large pathetic example of a ham radio operator.<cut>

first lie since oyu of course have no idea what my skills are as an Ham
radio operator

<cut> You sit there
> and badmouth not just me, <cut>
Second lie follows Never said anything againt thousands of ham
operators just Dan's bragging

<cut> but every one of the thousands of hams that are,
> or will be, directly involved in this emergence. You self admit that your
> just a tech and don't see anything you can do on radio, <cut>
Ok to here but then
<Cut> what am I to do?

Never said anything like that a third lie in as many lines

> Feel sorry for you and your tech ticket? <cut>

Another lie/personal attack I simply pointed my license class effective
precludes my jioning in the effort, which it clearly does. I answer his
questions and he he is pissed off

<cut>ITS NOT ABOUT YOU. <cut>
But at that point in answering your question about what I was Doing it
was certainly about me

so another Lie

<Cut> Then you turn
> it all around and make it look like I, the messenger of a good thing, am
> bragging.

as You are bragging you post was about YOU and what YOU did and then
trying to use the incident as a club against others

You are a Bully and a Bragart
>
> You sir are not just a idiot but a disgrace. Turn in your license and shut
> your stupid face.

just the Bulling we have seen for years from the elitists like yourself

Not about to turn in my license or shut up

You should grow up and act like an adult.

Learn to accept that not everyone in the world MUST agree with you

> Dan/W4NTI
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125799162.387256.119640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1125720894.551287.232290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "Bob" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1125694732.765721.182010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > Health and Welfare traffic is lower priority but it's still
> >> >> > important
> >> >> > for people to know that their friends/relatives are okay and they
> >> >> > know
> >> >> > he's okay too. Then they can attend to matters at hand with a
> >> >> > clearer
> >> >> > head. Ham radio offloads H&W from police/govt/mil comm links so
> >> >> > they
> >> >> > have enough bandwidth to handle the high priority stuff.
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Sure H&W is of less importance. Saving lives is the highest priority.
> >> >> But
> >> >> you know something? It sure made me feel good when I delivered those
> >> >> messages from the disaster area. That is worth all the BS I read in
> >> >> here.
> >> >
> >> > thank you for making my point so well Dan
> >> >
> >> > Hams don't look with much real objectivity on their role in disasters
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >>
> >> And what are you doing in support of this disaster asshole?
> >
> > One I am not making Ham radio look bad by puting on airs
> >
> > Two, Nothing realy for me to do (as a radio operator), No 6m or sat
> > traffic to assit with I am tech class as you should know by now.
> >
> > as a Private person well I am waiting for some folks to get here form
> > NO been geting ready shelter them likely for the winter, contribing
> > though such organizations as I choose
> >

> >
> > Now of course you are bragging and pissed now that you are not getting
> > rave reviews for your efforts
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >

273. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:33:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125873225.814943.75690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118099

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > snip
> > >
> > > So in the end, when Jim Miccolis/N2EY, David Heil/K8MN, Brian
> > > Kelly/W3RV, and Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP point out that Len is not
> > > an amateur, for what purpose do you do so? What do you hope to gain
> > > from pointing out that Len isn't an amateur?
> >
> > Indeed it does get tiresome that they go on and on about
> >
> > Could we keep to once a month or once a week (valid I suppose if
> > someone slips in to let them Know)
>
> There's something in there that they just won't come out an say. If
> they do, it will likely be on Aaron Jones "Morse Myths" list. So they
> get to the very edge of the ledge and peer over, afraid to make the
> leap.

Indeed they can't be honest to admit that what they want is the power
to censor and silence all oposition

Did you catch Dan flaming for daring to answer his direct question. Of
course I did commit the sin of not answering as he wished
cut

274. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:38:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125873480.576496.144610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
> > compensation for our activiites as Hams.
>
> That's true. But in this case there is no compensation for operation; rather
> expense reimbursement(food?/transportation?/lodging?). This would be similar to
> being given a plane ticket, food and lodging vouchers, and sent to provide your
> volunteer services, without any compensation for those services.
>
> That distinction covers the legalities which I'm sure both CNCS and ARRL lawyers
> have examined. All that said, at a more basic personal level I'd be
> uncomfortable taking this reimbursement, preferring to just let the expenses be
> part of my (tax deductible) contribution to the recovery effort.

I am sure the Lawyers have looked at it. I also know that you can
normaly find support for about anything in the body of legal precedent.

But like Todd I find it troubleing when laid next to K1MAN
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

275. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:42:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125873755.708529.97750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> > your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> > directives of our serice:
> >
>
> I understand your concern, but the grant does not provide payment for operating;
> it provides reimbursement for expenses.

but you cut the perant paragraph Hans being re qutoed below

97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."

>
> The distinction isn't all that subtle --- consider this: If the government gave
> you a plane ticket, lodged you in a hotel, and provided your meals so you could
> operate a station in the disaster area, would you consider this "compensation"?
> In this case, rather than provide those items "in kind", the government has made
> a grant which covers exactly those same expenses after the fact.

based on what has been published by the ARRL for years yes I would say
it was compensation. I have never thought that type of rule was proper,
but I have and do feel that those are the rules
>
> I personally wish the money was spent on grants to actual victims, but I can't
> find anyone being paid "any money or other consideration for operating".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

276. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:45:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zOKSe.6270$Wd7.2268@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118002

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> Another lie/personal attack I simply pointed my license class effective
> precludes my jioning in the effort, which it clearly does.
>

Don't take that cop-out, Old Friend. Competent operators of all license classes (especially including UHF/VHF Techs) will be in great demand over the next many months of recovery. Put together a capable VHF/UHF station, maybe including a portable repeater or a base rig with cross-band repeat capability, and volunteer your services at http://katrina.ab2m.net/ .

73, de Hans, K0HB

277. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 22:56:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118003

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
>
> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll
> both consider it a compliment!)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew. We
sure don't need them in the SERVICE.

Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South Ala/
Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
facilities.

Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly new
hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here. There
actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it again...I am
proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so be
it.

Dan/W4NTI

278. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:05:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125875100.356637.83340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118004

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Another lie/personal attack I simply pointed my license class effective
> > precludes my jioning in the effort, which it clearly does.
> >
>
> Don't take that cop-out, Old Friend. Competent operators of all license classes
> (especially including UHF/VHF Techs) will be in great demand over the next many
> months of recovery. Put together a capable VHF/UHF station, maybe including a
> portable repeater or a base rig with cross-band repeat capability, and volunteer
> your services at http://katrina.ab2m.net/ .

I have duties of my own as I have siad a number of times I have my old
man to care for

If I could without leaving the area certainly
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

279. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:07:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125875259.197243.299210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118005

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
cut
> >
> >
> >
> It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
> there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew. We
> sure don't need them in the SERVICE.

learn some manners Dan
>
> Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South Ala/
> Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
> facilities.

sure fine

a different story to move from The Canadian border to to AL

cut

280. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:10:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <divmh192kqh6et6m8v4deamf5rqccbet8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 118006

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>>
>>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
>>
>> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll
>> both consider it a compliment!)
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>
>>
>It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
>there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew. We
>sure don't need them in the SERVICE.

Again, get some perspective Dan..... it's a radio service for
AMATEURS. IOW, it's a HOBBY. You speak like you are a commissioned
officer in the National Guard. Get over yourself.

>Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South Ala/
>Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
>facilities.
>
>Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly new
>hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here. There
>actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it again...I am
>proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so be
>it.

It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

281. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:20:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125876033.123878.320770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118007

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
> >"KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> >>
> >>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
> >>
> >> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll
> >> both consider it a compliment!)
> >>
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
> >>there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew. We
> >>sure don't need them in the SERVICE.
>
>
break
> Again, get some perspective Dan..... it's a radio service for
> AMATEURS. IOW, it's a HOBBY. You speak like you are a commissioned
> officer in the National Guard. Get over yourself.

Frank you are forgeting that The Coded extra's are officers and the
rest of the ARS esp folks like me are supposed to say yes sir to any
idea they have
>
>
> >Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South Ala/
> >Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
> >facilities.
> >
> >Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly new
> >hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here. There
> >actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it again...I am
> >proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so be

> >it.
>
>
> It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.

Indeed that is the problem we have Hams Like Stevie and Danny boy. they feel they ARE the entire ARS and therefore any slight of them is a slight of the whole ARS.

Ham are prefroming useful and valueble service, but Dan was bragging and has been drawn up short, so he goes on the attack

282. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:37:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <K8Wdnb2Jj6WBGIbeRVn-tw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
>>From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing
> Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL
>
> Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment
>
>
> "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> directives of our serice:

Specifically:

(2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or
indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these rules;

Never? Who said "never"?

What is compensation? How about the public service event support where
the volunteers are expected to wear a hat or t-shirt? And the sponsors
give all the volunteers that piece of clothing. Must the Hams refuse?
What if the sponsors says "sorry, if you don't abide by our rules, we'll
have to do this some other way"?

Is accepting that glass of water compensation?

Better yet, during field day, I drank a lot of soda provided by some
club members. Is that compensation?

> "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
> to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service,
> particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis
> added) [97.1(a)]
>

Of course.

> If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham
> for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work.
> You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial
> basis, period

No money should ever change hands.

> You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating.
> The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or
> material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised"

> [97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
> on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."

What if one of the people in that parade gives you a ride home? We get thank you letters all the time, and mentioned in these groups newsletters. Is this in violation?

> ----------
>
> It rather funny that K1MAN get's fined $21,000 for Pecuniary Interest
> and the ARRL is allowed to do this. What go for one person should apply
> to all. The ARRL should be fined by the FCC for doing this!

There is a significant difference between compensation (which the FCC allows in some circumstances, and having expenses covered. For me to move a station down to one of these states in trouble for a week or two is going to put considerable expense on me. A kilobuck for a plane ticket each way), a weeks worth of food, (probably around 150 if I'm careful) and hotel expenses (say $700). Plus a weeks worth of vacation means I'm giving up around 3-4 kilobucks depending on how you add it up.

Vacation isn't a compensable item IMO, but we simply aren't going to get many people to help on-site during these disasters if there isn't some form of compensation.

- Mike KB3EIA -

283. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:37:49 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qpvmh15gch03bf66dk0lu4jr7uc15m76vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 118009

```
On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:20:03 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<nqKSe.7497$FW1.2413@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:gbikh11c6vg9c45r1v6u9696sigob7m2j0@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 00:54:49 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <tBrSe.6899$FW1.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>>
>>>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>news:GgrSe.7314$z2.1267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>>
>>>>> you are braging,
>>>>
>>>> My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."
>>>>
>>>> Beep beep
>>>> de Hans, K0HB
>>>> --
>>>> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?
>>>
>>>That is bragging too, right?
>>
>>
>> Look at the subject line of this post. This entire thread was started
>> by you doing nothing -but- bragging; i.e, "how Ham Radio has stepped
>> up to the plate", how it's "showing its stuff", etc. Maybe you've
>> forgotten the definition of the word "brag"..... let me refresh your
>> failing memory, Dan:
>>
>> brag -- v. [OFr, 'braguer'], to boast. n. 1. a boast; boasting. 2. a
>> person or thing boasted of. 3. a braggart.
>>
```

>> braggart -- n. an offensively boastful person.
>>
>> And it's certainly offensive when there are still people suffering
>> while you are carrying on about how great ham radio is and how you get
>> a warm fuzzy when you pass a couple messages. That's like a little kid
>> at a funeral begging for attention by making faces and yelling, "Look
>> at me! Look at me!". How old are you anyway, Dan?
>>
>I'm old enough to know an ignorant SOB when I see one. YOU.

But apparently not old enough to address your personal issues and
refrain from grade-school rhetoric. I most certainly am an SOB, but
you write the book when it comes to ignorance. It's not your fault
that your parents didn't teach you how there is a time for bragging
and a time for condolence. But after being gone from the nest for how
long now..... 40 years?..... you should have learned such basic moral
principles on your own. Your -only- excuse is ignorance. Isn't it
about time you grew up?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

284. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:41:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MPWdneCez9-oG4beRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 118010

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:zOKSe.6270$Wd7.2268@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Another lie/personal attack I simply pointed my license class effective
>> precludes my jioning in the effort, which it clearly does.
>>
>
> Don't take that cop-out, Old Friend. Competent operators of all license
> classes (especially including UHF/VHF Techs) will be in great demand over
> the next many months of recovery. Put together a capable VHF/UHF station,
> maybe including a portable repeater or a base rig with cross-band repeat
> capability, and volunteer your services at http://katrina.ab2m.net/ .
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

In addition, when the health and welfare traffic arrives in the general area of its destination, it often goes into LOCAL VHF nets. Techs can participate fully there, pick up traffic, and deliver it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

285. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:42:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <apqdnZ2dnZ1fc2-7nZ2dneAbht6dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118011

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125875100.356637.83340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Another lie/personal attack I simply pointed my license class effective
> > precludes my jioning in the effort, which it clearly does.
> >
>
> Don't take that cop-out, Old Friend. Competent operators of all license
> classes
> (especially including UHF/VHF Techs) will be in great demand over the next
> many
> months of recovery. Put together a capable VHF/UHF station, maybe
> including a
> portable repeater or a base rig with cross-band repeat capability, and
> volunteer
> your services at http://katrina.ab2m.net/ .

I have duties of my own as I have siad a number of times I have my old
man to care for

If I could without leaving the area certainly
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Check into your LOCAL VHF traffic net.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

286. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:42:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NomdnXlYyorjG4beRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
> compensation for our activiites as Hams, the correctness of that rule
> being a another matter
>

Under certain circumstances it is.

We're talking about being reimbursed for reasonable expenses here. The personal cost of say a week on location in a disaster area is significant. Air travel, hotel and food adds up pretty quickly. And as Ham radio becomes more integrated into the disaster response system, we will be compensated like the rest of the responders.

Perhaps that will be one of those certain circumstances?

- Mike KB3EIA -

287. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:44:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118013

Mike Coslo wrote:
> I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> tell us about how to live.

Yup - and how *not* to live.

> That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
> themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
> conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy
> these days
> don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all.

Nothing new about that. Constantine, Cyril, the Crusades, and
of course the Spanish Inquisition.

"If Jesus came back, and saw what's going on in His name,
He'd never stop throwing up." --Frederick, in Woody Allen's "Hannah and
Her Sisters"

> The closest thing that they are is a modern day version
> of the Pharisees. Their trends are much more old
> testament - therefore not sharing in the new
> covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer,
> also proscribed
> against by Jesus, they push religious domination of
> government - same deal.

They've had plenty of company in the past 2000 years...
>
> While demanding that the first books of *their* bible

Except it's not really "theirs" - particularly the first
five books...

> (KJV) be taken as
> absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, > they
> totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers > direct
> orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible.
> What's up with that?

It's a mystery, Mike. You just have to take it on faith.

I find it interesting that the dietary and other laws of the "old"
testament are ignored when inconvenient - just like
the inconvenient teachings of Jesus.

Fun fact: Which states do you think have the highest and lowest
divorce rates - "conservative" red states or "liberal" blue states?

"what God has joined together, let no man put asunder"...

> What is up with that is the modern
> fundamentalist Christians are
> falling for one of the oldest tricks
> in the book - the false prophets.
>
> That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> the world was *not*
> created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> in 4004 BC
> as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.

Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
rested on the seventh day.

Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
>
> There is no doubt in my mind that the present
> day universe *was*
> created billions of years ago, probably in an
> event we call "the Big
> Bang.

There should always be doubt, Mike. The Big Bang
cosmology is simply the best explanation we
have now that fits all the scientific data. New
data might require a new cosmology.

That's one big difference between real and fake
science. Real science is always open to new
data and new explanations.

> I highly doubt that it was created by
> a supreme being.

Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
in motion, and the Bang was just the method?

> For what
> happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy
> speculation on that.
>
You can explain anything by using the 'supernatural'. Which means the
'supernatural' explains nothing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

288. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:52:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125877933.551208.118320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118014

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125875100.356637.83340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
cut
> I have duties of my own as I have siad a number of times I have my old
> man to care for
>
> If I could without leaving the area certainly
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> ---------------------------------
>
> Check into your LOCAL VHF traffic net.

there is no local VHF traffic net

the UP net is HF don't recall the eact freq but since I recall it being
on LSB it is well below 30 MHZ

you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

289. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:54:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qv1nh1lbsl0ig6cr8q3l2dsvu14ick6asb@4ax.com>
Source record: 118015

On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
<snip>
>> That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
>> the world was *not*
>> created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
>> in 4004 BC
>> as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
>
>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
>rested on the seventh day.
>
>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....

LOL!!!

<snip>
>> I highly doubt that it was created by
>> a supreme being.
>
>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?

I get a kick out of some of these discussions. Especially regarding
evolution. These "Christians" are constantly trying to poke holes in
the theory, yet are too short-sighted to consider that 'evolution'
(even with all it's holes) might be one of God's creations. If so,
then they are effectively attacking their own faith.

Go figure.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

290. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-04 23:57:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125878237.177258.187430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >>From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing
> > Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL
> >
> > Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment
> >
> >
> > "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> > your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> > directives of our serice:
>
> Specifically:
>
> (2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or
> indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these rules;
>
>
> Never? Who said "never"?

the ARRL said so

>
>
> What is compensation? How about the public service event support where
> the volunteers are expected to wear a hat or t-shirt? And the sponsors
> give all the volunteers that piece of clothing. Must the Hams refuse?

wearing thier unidform is more questionable but I would agree that it
certainly can be seen that way

> What if the sponsors says "sorry, if you don't abide by our rules, we'll
> have to do this some other way"?
>
> Is accepting that glass of water compensation?

techinicaly yes

>
> Better yet, during field day, I drank a lot of soda provided by some
> club members. Is that compensation?

FD is a ham activity

>
> > "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service

> > to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service,
> > particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis
> > added) [97.1(a)]
> >
>
> Of course.
>
> > If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham
> > for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work.
> > You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial
> > basis, period
>
> No money should ever change hands.
>
>
> > You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating.
> > The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or
> > material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised"
> > [97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
> > on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."
>
> What if one of the people in that parade gives you a ride home? We get

techinaly yes

> thank you letters all the time, and mentioned in these groups
> newsletters. Is this in violation?

not if we don't ask for them
>
>
> > ----------
> >
> > It rather funny that K1MAN get's fined $21,000 for Pecuniary Interest
> > and the ARRL is allowed to do this. What go for one person should apply
> > to all. The ARRL should be fined by the FCC for doing this!
>
> There is a significant difference between compensation (which the FCC
> allows in some circumstances, and having expenses covered. For me to
> move a station down to one of these states in trouble for a week or two
> is going to put considerable expense on me. A kilobuck for a plane
> ticket each way), a weeks worth of food, (probably around 150 if I'm
> careful) and hotel expenses (say $700). Plus a weeks worth of vacation
> means I'm giving up around 3-4 kilobucks depending on how you add it up.

and the rules as written don't seem to allow it the ARRL has been
pushing the view that it is forbidden. That these rules are IMO wrong
alters not the facts of what the rules are
>
> Vacation isn't a compensable item IMO, but we simply aren't going to

> get many people to help on-site during these disasters if there isn't
> some form of compensation.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

291. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:02:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125878531.157176.170650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
> > compensation for our activiites as Hams, the correctness of that rule
> > being a another matter
> >
>
> Under certain circumstances it is.
>
> We're talking about being reimbursed for reasonable expenses here. The
> personal cost of say a week on location in a disaster area is
> significant. Air travel, hotel and food adds up pretty quickly. And as
> Ham radio becomes more integrated into the disaster response system, we
> will be compensated like the rest of the responders.
>
> Perhaps that will be one of those certain circumstances?

It should be but this amounts to turning the rules upside down over
night

The rules should be rewritten so as to deal with such things but the
rules currently forbid it

wicking at the rules at some point, is likely the reason why some hams
(like K1MAN) have developed views and actions that so many Ham
disaprove of

I fully support changing the rules, writing new ones to deal with such
things. I would even support this if it came with words like" we are
aware this may be considered a violation of the rules, but the ARRL
thinks in this case we must act, and then we will seek to work with the
FCC to write rules that permit reasonable compsation for thier
expenses" or word to that effect

as it is is looks like graft and corupportion
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

292. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:10:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IsidnehwctuNEIbeRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 118018

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125877933.551208.118320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125875100.356637.83340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
> cut
>> I have duties of my own as I have siad a number of times I have my old
>> man to care for
>>
>> If I could without leaving the area certainly
>> >
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> _____________________________
>>
>> Check into your LOCAL VHF traffic net.
>
> there is no local VHF traffic net
>
> the UP net is HF don't recall the eact freq but since I recall it being
> on LSB it is well below 30 MHZ
>
>
> you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

And you might stop flaming those who are participating in one way or
another.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

293. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:13:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bqadnU2EmuokElbeRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 118019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125878531.157176.170650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
>> > compensation for our activiites as Hams, the correctness of that rule
>> > being a another matter
>> >
>>
>> Under certain circumstances it is.
>>
>> We're talking about being reimbursed for reasonable expenses here. The
>> personal cost of say a week on location in a disaster area is
>> significant. Air travel, hotel and food adds up pretty quickly. And as
>> Ham radio becomes more integrated into the disaster response system, we
>> will be compensated like the rest of the responders.
>>
>> Perhaps that will be one of those certain circumstances?
>
> It should be but this amounts to turning the rules upside down over
> night
>
> The rules should be rewritten so as to deal with such things but the
> rules currently forbid it
>
> wicking at the rules at some point, is likely the reason why some hams
> (like K1MAN) have developed views and actions that so many Ham
> disaprove of
>
> I fully support changing the rules, writing new ones to deal with such
> things. I would even support this if it came with words like" we are
> aware this may be considered a violation of the rules, but the ARRL
> thinks in this case we must act, and then we will seek to work with the
> FCC to write rules that permit reasonable compsation for thier
> expenses" or word to that effect
>
> as it is is looks like graft and corupportion

It only looks like that to people who look at the worst possible
interpretation rather than the best. Most of us DON'T look at it that way.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

294. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:15:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125879350.212236.240760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118020

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> ahhhhh....
>
> I like to build antennas... I like to experiment with them...
>
> But, I am a software engineer, not a hardware engineer (some of the
> math interests me) and frankly, anyone who will pay attention to my
> rants about the either consider me a loon <frown>... something has to seem
> like "magic" to me--or I will lose faith altogether! <grin>

WHATEVER you do, don't ask for any antenna advice from these "higher"
hams on RRAP. I made that mistake. Once!

295. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:19:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125879573.882578.304250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> > your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> > directives of our serice:
>
> I understand your concern, but the grant does not provide payment for operating;
> it provides reimbursement for expenses.

My radios are expenses.

296. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:33:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125880411.534183.52530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118022

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > Look at NCVEC's second proposal. They wanted an entry-level
> > exam with even less technical content. They were dead-serious.

> The very best idea ever to come out of FCC, bar none, was the
> original Novice license.

Except I don't think it came out of FCC. I think it was an
idea from hams (maybe ARRL, but maybe not).

> The "technical content" consisted of very low-tech
> questions like
> "What is Ohms Law?" and "What is the purpose of a
> key-click filter?". No
> formulas to calculate, no schematics to interpret, just the
> simplest "familiarization" topics.

Not really "low-tech" to a beginner, though.

The old Novice test also contained questions on regulations
and operating practices, so it wasn't just technical.

Also required 5 wpm Morse Code, sending and receiving.

At least, the original Novice was like that. By its 25th
anniversary, the Novice written was more technical....
>
> While I am passionately interested in INCREASING the techical
> content of the
> Technician, General, and Extra examinations, I also believe
> that a very
> "un-technical" non-renewable entry class license (with power
> limits similar to
> the original Novice) is an excellent idea.

Perhaps - but that's not what NCVEC wanted. Their proposal
included things like no homebrewing allowed and that
incredible "30 volt" rule.

For the first 20 or so years of its existence, the Novice was
a one-shot license. No renewals. A prospective Novice could not have
ever held any class of amateur license, and once the Novice expired, it
was gone. One year until 1967, then two years until the mid 1970s.

But it's all really moot for now. FCC specifically denied all
of the suggestions for changes to the entry-level license class.

Your comments are specifically mentioned in the NPRM, Hans - but
FCC didn't act on any of them besides proposing to drop Element 1.

73 de Jim, N2EY

297. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:36:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rqMSe.5377$4P5.3045@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118023

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>snip
>
>
>>So in the end, when Jim Miccolis/N2EY, David Heil/K8MN, Brian
>>Kelly/W3RV, and Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP point out that Len is not
>>an amateur, for what purpose do you do so? What do you hope to gain
>>from pointing out that Len isn't an amateur?
>
>
> Indeed it does get tiresome that they go on and on about

On and on about?

> Could we keep to once a month or once a week (valid I suppose if
> someone slips in to let them Know)

Could we? How are you involved?

>>Jim, when you're ready to have a rational discussion with me, I'll be
>>here.

> when we have a rational discussion it will likely merely be a front for
> Procoder effort to assinate us, by shocking us into heart attacks

I have a sneaking hunch that you've been assinated any number of times.

Dave K8MN

298. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:37:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125880640.292690.297180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118024

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125877933.551208.118320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1125875100.356637.83340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> > cut
> >> I have duties of my own as I have siad a number of times I have my old
> >> man to care for
> >>
> >> If I could without leaving the area certainly
> >> >
> >> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> _____________________________
> >>
> >> Check into your LOCAL VHF traffic net.
> >
> > there is no local VHF traffic net
> >
> > the UP net is HF don't recall the eact freq but since I recall it being
> > on LSB it is well below 30 MHZ
> >
> >
> > you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> And you might stop flaming those who are participating in one way or
> another.

But I am not flaming them

I Am noting that Dan was blowing his own horn said nothing against the
run of the mill traffic handlers

The wrst I have done is say that their service is not the equal of the
Cops or NG or Red cross in the area

You on the other hand have chosen to flame ME for not doing the
impossible while not flaming Hans who appreantly could be there with
working gear and license in a few days

Plenty of the Double standard we see all to often
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

299. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:39:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125880769.446159.37920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125878531.157176.170650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
> >> > compensation for our activiites as Hams, the correctness of that rule
> >> > being a another matter
> >> >
> >>
> >> Under certain circumstances it is.
> >>
> >> We're talking about being reimbursed for reasonable expenses here. The
> >> personal cost of say a week on location in a disaster area is
> >> significant. Air travel, hotel and food adds up pretty quickly. And as
> >> Ham radio becomes more integrated into the disaster response system, we
> >> will be compensated like the rest of the responders.
> >>
> >> Perhaps that will be one of those certain circumstances?
> >
> > It should be but this amounts to turning the rules upside down over
> > night
> >
> > The rules should be rewritten so as to deal with such things but the
> > rules currently forbid it
> >
> > wicking at the rules at some point, is likely the reason why some hams
> > (like K1MAN) have developed views and actions that so many Ham
> > disaprove of
> >
> > I fully support changing the rules, writing new ones to deal with such
> > things. I would even support this if it came with words like" we are
> > aware this may be considered a violation of the rules, but the ARRL
> > thinks in this case we must act, and then we will seek to work with the
> > FCC to write rules that permit reasonable compsation for thier
> > expenses" or word to that effect
> >
> > as it is is looks like graft and corupportion
>
> It only looks like that to people who look at the worst possible
> interpretation rather than the best. Most of us DON'T look at it that way.

Those notes are from the ARRL who have boasted they take a more liberal
view than the FCC
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

300. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:41:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125880897.377214.109300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > > "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> > > your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> > > directives of our serice:
> >
> > I understand your concern, but the grant does not provide payment for operating;
> > it provides reimbursement for expenses.
>
> My radios are expenses.

as are My drill bits For punching hole in the walls

A Better set of rules would allow some reasonable comp, and I would
support it but that isn't the rules NOW

301. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:44:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125881077.361101.110140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118027

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>snip
> >
> >
> >>So in the end, when Jim Miccolis/N2EY, David Heil/K8MN, Brian
> >>Kelly/W3RV, and Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP point out that Len is not
> >>an amateur, for what purpose do you do so? What do you hope to gain
> >>from pointing out that Len isn't an amateur?
> >
> >
> > Indeed it does get tiresome that they go on and on about
>
> On and on about?

about the subject of the text now 3 to 6 lines above this

>
> > Could we keep to once a month or once a week (valid I suppose if
> > someone slips in to let them Know)
>
> Could we? How are you involved?

I guess you are syaing we can't. I am certainly involved in the NG much
to your ..disaproval shale we say

>
>
> >>Jim, when you're ready to have a rational discussion with me, I'll be
> >>here.
>
> > when we have a rational discussion it will likely merely be a front for
> > Procoder effort to assinate us, by shocking us into heart attacks
>
> I have a sneaking hunch that you've been assinated any number of times.

Now you are showing your need for meds, serious meds

>
> Dave K8MN

302. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:45:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.05.00.45.33.986452@gmail.com>
Source record: 118028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

... so, the general message here is, "Do as the FCC says--unless you are arrl--then you can do as you see fit. However, no one else (not even K1MAN) can do as the arrl does..."

I'll admit it is a bit confusing... unless you are the arrl--then you know exactly what is going on--you are giving everyone a royal screwing and either they are too stupid to care--or too stupid to have ethics!

John

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 14:40:50 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

>>From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing
> Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL
>
> Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment
>
>
> "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> directives of our serice:
>
> "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
> to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service,
> particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis
> added) [97.1(a)]
>
> If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham
> for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work.
> You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial
> basis, period
> You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating.
> The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or
> material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised"
> [97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
> on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."
>
> ----------

>
> It rather funny that K1MAN get's fined $21,000 for Pecuniary Interest
> and the ARRL is allowed to do this. What go for one person should apply
> to all. The ARRL should be fined by the FCC for doing this!
>

>
> Todd N9OGL

303. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:47:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.05.00.47.46.969121@gmail.com>
Source record: 118029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

AOF:

Did I understand Dee's question correctly? Isn't what she asked, and I paraphrase here, "Where are your rose colored glasses?"

John

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 17:02:11 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
>> > compensation for our activiites as Hams, the correctness of that rule
>> > being a another matter
>> >
>>
>> Under certain circumstances it is.
>>
>> We're talking about being reimbursed for reasonable expenses here. The
>> personal cost of say a week on location in a disaster area is
>> significant. Air travel, hotel and food adds up pretty quickly. And as
>> Ham radio becomes more integrated into the disaster response system, we
>> will be compensated like the rest of the responders.
>>
>> Perhaps that will be one of those certain circumstances?
>
> It should be but this amounts to turning the rules upside down over
> night
>
> The rules should be rewritten so as to deal with such things but the
> rules currently forbid it
>
> wicking at the rules at some point, is likely the reason why some hams
> (like K1MAN) have developed views and actions that so many Ham
> disaprove of
>
> I fully support changing the rules, writing new ones to deal with such
> things. I would even support this if it came with words like" we are
> aware this may be considered a violation of the rules, but the ARRL
> thinks in this case we must act, and then we will seek to work with the
> FCC to write rules that permit reasonable compsation for thier
> expenses" or word to that effect
>

> as it is is looks like graft and corupportion
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -

304. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:51:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IEMSe.5382$4P5.3917@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118030

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Your comments are specifically mentioned in the NPRM,
> Hans - but FCC didn't act on any of them besides proposing
> to drop Element 1.

Democracy doesn't mean you'll get your every wish, only that the wish will be considered. I'm satisfied that my wishes were considered.

I'm ready to move on. Ham radio is way too much fun for me to do dismay chants about the FCC dismissing my ideas.

73, de Hans, K0HB

305. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:53:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6GMSe.7089$9i4.324@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118031

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125875259.197243.299210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
cut
> >
> >
> >
> It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
> there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew.
> We
> sure don't need them in the SERVICE.

learn some manners Dan
>
> Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South
> Ala/
> Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
> facilities.

sure fine

a different story to move from The Canadian border to to AL

cut

Don't sweat it dipwad....I am completely and totally positive that with your
attitude....No ham radio group would want your "assistance".

Dan/W4NTI

306. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:53:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zGMSe.5384$4P5.63@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118032

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
>

I don't think Dee was flaming you. She was just pointing out possible ways you might find to help out. Only you are in a position to evaluate those possibilities.

73, de Hans, K0HB

307. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:54:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125881650.533804.166780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> AOF:
>
> Did I understand Dee's question correctly? Isn't what she asked, and I
> paraphrase here, "Where are your rose colored glasses?"
>
> John
>

IMO No what she said was "trust the ARRL they know what they are doing"
that takes more than Rose colored glasses, more like CGI imaging glasses

308. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:55:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118035

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
cut>
> Don't sweat it dipwad....I am completely and totally positive that with your
> attitude....No ham radio group would want your "assistance".

where is Stveie to decry your profanity

More of the Double standard
>
> Dan/W4NTI

309. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:55:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BtCdnQyDSuMDCobeRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>>From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing
>>>Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL
>>>
>>>Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment
>>>
>>>
>>> "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
>>>your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
>>>directives of our serice:
>>
>>Specifically:
>>
>>(2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or
>>indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these rules;
>>
>>
>> Never? Who said "never"?
>
>
> the ARRL said so

Then they are incorrect. Reading part 97, which shows specific
instances when compensation is allowable is right there in the rules.

>
>>
>> What is compensation? How about the public service event support where
>>the volunteers are expected to wear a hat or t-shirt? And the sponsors
>>give all the volunteers that piece of clothing. Must the Hams refuse?
>
>
> wearing thier unidform is more questionable but I would agree that it
> certainly can be seen that way
>
>
>>What if the sponsors says "sorry, if you don't abide by our rules, we'll
>>have to do this some other way"?
>>
>> Is accepting that glass of water compensation?

>
>
> techinicaly yes
>
>
>> Better yet, during field day, I drank a lot of soda provided by some
>>club members. Is that compensation?
>
>
> FD is a ham activity

But I was a Ham who got about 20 sodas free of charge that I wouldn't
have otherwise. Hey this years FD was around 10o degrees! 8^)

>
>>> "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
>>>to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service,
>>>particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis
>>>added) [97.1(a)]
>>>
>>
>> Of course.
>>
>>
>>>If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham
>>>for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work.
>>>You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial
>>>basis, period
>>
>> No money should ever change hands.
>>
>>
>>
>>> You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating.
>>>The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or
>>>material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised"
>>>[97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
>>>on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."
>>
>> What if one of the people in that parade gives you a ride home? We get
>
>
> techinaly yes
>
>
>>thank you letters all the time, and mentioned in these groups
>>newsletters. Is this in violation?
>
>
> not if we don't ask for them

What you are trying to do is hyper-interpret the rules. They aren't made for what you are trying to do. They are made to keep people from being paid for their volunteer work. This means money or goods changing hands. It means advertising your business through your radio activities. It doesn't mean me using my own vehicle and gas to get around the parade in 100 degree heat, and getting a bottle of water from them.

But I can see it now.......

Dave works out of band French Amateurs, and I accepted a bottle of water at a public service event. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

- Mike KB3EIA -

310. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:57:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125881853.783307.189960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118036

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
> >
>
> I don't think Dee was flaming you. She was just pointing out possible ways you
> might find to help out. Only you are in a position to evaluate those
> possibilities.

and you are of course entitled to your opinion as am I
given her response "And you might stop flaming those who are
participating in one way or another. " which doesn't even deny that she
was flaming me I will follow along with my own opinion

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

311. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 00:58:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IKMSe.5389$4P5.1787@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118037

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>
>>>>So in the end, when Jim Miccolis/N2EY, David Heil/K8MN, Brian
>>>>Kelly/W3RV, and Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP point out that Len is not
>>>>an amateur, for what purpose do you do so? What do you hope to gain
>>>
>>>>from pointing out that Len isn't an amateur?
>>>
>>>
>>>Indeed it does get tiresome that they go on and on about
>>
>>On and on about?
>
>
> about the subject of the text now 3 to 6 lines above this
>
>
>>>Could we keep to once a month or once a week (valid I suppose if
>>>someone slips in to let them Know)
>>
>>Could we? How are you involved?
>
>
> I guess you are syaing we can't. I am certainly involved in the NG much
> to your ..disaproval shale we say
>
>
>>
>>>>Jim, when you're ready to have a rational discussion with me, I'll be
>>>>here.
>>
>>>when we have a rational discussion it will likely merely be a front for
>>> Procoder effort to assinate us, by shocking us into heart attacks
>>
```

>>I have a sneaking hunch that you've been assinated any number of times.
>
>
> Now you are showing your need for meds, serious meds

Get someone to read you what you wrote.

Dave K8MN

312. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:00:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <AMMSe.7616$FW1.3954@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118038

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:divmh192kqh6et6m8v4deamf5rqccbet8k@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>
>>"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>>>
>>>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
>>>
>>> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll
>>> both consider it a compliment!)
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
>>there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew.
>>We
>>sure don't need them in the SERVICE.
>
>
> Again, get some perspective Dan..... it's a radio service for
> AMATEURS. IOW, it's a HOBBY. You speak like you are a commissioned
> officer in the National Guard. Get over yourself.
>
>
>>Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South
>>Ala/
>>Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
>>facilities.
>>
>>Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly new
>>hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here. There
>>actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it again...I
>>am
>>proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so be

>>it.
>
>
> It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.
>
>

That's right, I am strutting because I'm proud of the Amateur Radio Operators that are actually helping. I am also hanging my head in disgust at those like you that belittle and attack those that are.

Don't bother coming back with another attack on me. You just continue to show your utter and complete ignorance. Why do you continue this charade? What are YOU thinking you are accomplishing? We all know you for what you are. A spoiler and a total fraud. Nobody believes a thing you say.

Dan/W4NTI

313. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:01:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <aOMSe.7617$FW1.3880@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118039

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125876033.123878.320770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
> >"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> >>
> >>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
> >>
> >> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll
> >> both consider it a compliment!)
> >>
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >
> >Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly new
> >hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here. There
> >actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it again...I
> >am
> >proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so be
> >it.
>
>
> It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.

Indeed that is the problem we have Hams Like Stevie and Danny boy. they
feel they ARE the entire ARS and therefore any slight of them is a
slight of the whole ARS.

Ham are prefroming useful and valueble service, but Dan was bragging
and has been drawn up short, so he goes on the attack

Wrong....I attack all idiots....guess what you are.

Dan/W4NTI

314. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:04:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bQMSe.7619$FW1.3290@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118040

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:qpvmh15gch03bf66dk0lu4jr7uc15m76vh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:20:03 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <nqKSe.7497$FW1.2413@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:gbikh11c6vg9c45r1v6u9696sigob7m2j0@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 00:54:49 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>> <tBrSe.6899$FW1.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:GgrSe.7314$z2.1267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>> you are braging,
>>>>>
>>>>> My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."
>>>>>
>>>>> Beep beep
>>>>> de Hans, K0HB
>>>>> --
>>>>> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?
>>>>
>>>>That is bragging too, right?
>>>
>>>
>>> Look at the subject line of this post. This entire thread was started
>>> by you doing nothing -but- bragging; i.e, "how Ham Radio has stepped
>>> up to the plate", how it's "showing its stuff", etc. Maybe you've
>>> forgotten the definition of the word "brag"..... let me refresh your
>>> failing memory, Dan:
>>>

>>> brag -- v. [OFr, 'braguer'], to boast. n. 1. a boast; boasting. 2. a
>>> person or thing boasted of. 3. a braggart.
>>>
>>> braggart -- n. an offensively boastful person.
>>>
>>> And it's certainly offensive when there are still people suffering
>>> while you are carrying on about how great ham radio is and how you get
>>> a warm fuzzy when you pass a couple messages. That's like a little kid
>>> at a funeral begging for attention by making faces and yelling, "Look
>>> at me! Look at me!". How old are you anyway, Dan?
>>>
>>I'm old enough to know an ignorant SOB when I see one. YOU.
>
>
> But apparently not old enough to address your personal issues and
> refrain from grade-school rhetoric. I most certainly am an SOB, but
> you write the book when it comes to ignorance. It's not your fault
> that your parents didn't teach you how there is a time for bragging
> and a time for condolence. But after being gone from the nest for how
> long now..... 40 years?..... you should have learned such basic moral
> principles on your own. Your -only- excuse is ignorance. Isn't it
> about time you grew up?
>

I've been out of the nest since 1964. I was shooting gooks before you were born. Which was the same year, right?

Keep your left wing crap under you wet behind the ears.

I grew up just fine. What's your problem is the REAL question.

Dan/W4NTI

315. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:04:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125882253.911369.166810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>>>From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing
> >>>Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL
> >>>
> >>>Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> >>>your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> >>>directives of our serice:
> >>
> >>Specifically:
> >>
> >>(2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or
> >>indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these rules;
> >>
> >>
> >> Never? Who said "never"?
> >
> >
> > the ARRL said so
>
> Then they are incorrect. Reading part 97, which shows specific
> instances when compensation is allowable is right there in the rules.

well if they are incorrect there and they may be then they being very
strange in endorsing it now
>

cut
> >
> >>> "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
> >>>to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service,
> >>>particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis
> >>>added) [97.1(a)]
> >>>
> >>
> >> Of course.
> >>

> >>
> >>>If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham
> >>>for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work.
> >>>You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial
> >>>basis, period
> >>
> >> No money should ever change hands.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating.
> >>>The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or
> >>>material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised"
> >>>[97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
> >>>on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."
> >>
> >> What if one of the people in that parade gives you a ride home? We get
> >
> >
> > techinaly yes
> >
> >
> >>thank you letters all the time, and mentioned in these groups
> >>newsletters. Is this in violation?
> >
> >
> > not if we don't ask for them
>
>
> What you are trying to do is hyper-interpret the rules. They aren't
> made for what you are trying to do. They are made to keep people from
> being paid for their volunteer work. This means money or goods changing
> hands. It means advertising your business through your radio activities.
> It doesn't mean me using my own vehicle and gas to get around the parade
> in 100 degree heat, and getting a bottle of water from them.

I agree the rules are made for this occasion like many other rules in
DHS, FEMA, etc many rules are showing just how out of touch the planing
of the goverment is with needs of the people

I find it suspious that the ARRL is taking part in this when they have
published and pusshed the opinion that this ilegal for years

I can remeber the Former Central Divison Director (can't remeber his
name but he was from Springfield IL lecutureing some of us on the
repeater when we were discussing this subject, and then showing up at
the Ham club meeting to make sure we understood that that even that
soda is techicaly illegal

Major flip flop
>

> But I can see it now.......
>
> Dave works out of band French Amateurs, and I accepted a bottle of
> water at a public service event. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

316. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:05:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125882352.758635.247950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>>>From the FCC Rule Book: Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing
> >>>Amateur Radio; edited by Norm Bliss,WA1CCQ, Published by the ARRL
> >>>
> >>>Chapter 4, Page 4-2 Direct and Indirect Payment
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> >>>your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> >>>directives of our serice:
> >>
> >>Specifically:
> >>
> >>(2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or
> >>indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these rules;
> >>
> >>
> >> Never? Who said "never"?
> >
> >
> > the ARRL said so
>
> Then they are incorrect. Reading part 97, which shows specific
> instances when compensation is allowable is right there in the rules.
>
> >
> >>
> >> What is compensation? How about the public service event support where
> >>the volunteers are expected to wear a hat or t-shirt? And the sponsors
> >>give all the volunteers that piece of clothing. Must the Hams refuse?
> >
> >
> > wearing thier unidform is more questionable but I would agree that it
> > certainly can be seen that way
> >
> >
> >>What if the sponsors says "sorry, if you don't abide by our rules, we'll
> >>have to do this some other way"?

> >>
> >> Is accepting that glass of water compensation?
> >
> >
> > techinicaly yes
> >
> >
> >> Better yet, during field day, I drank a lot of soda provided by some
> >>club members. Is that compensation?
> >
> >
> > FD is a ham activity
>
> But I was a Ham who got about 20 sodas free of charge that I wouldn't
> have otherwise. Hey this years FD was around 10o degrees! 8^)
>
> >
> >>> "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
> >>>to the public as a voluntary, noncommercial communication service,
> >>>particularly with respect providing emergency communication" (emphasis
> >>>added) [97.1(a)]
> >>>
> >>
> >> Of course.
> >>
> >>
> >>>If you club is providing communications support to the town of Needham
> >>>for a parade, you cannot accept their offer of payment for your work.
> >>>You are volunteers, providing a community service on a non-commercial
> >>>basis, period
> >>
> >> No money should ever change hands.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> You should never accept anything for your Amateur Radio operating.
> >>>The FCC prohibits operation of an amateur station "for hire, or
> >>>material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised"
> >>>[97.113(a)(2)] This includes direct payment (money, goods, food, and so
> >>>on) and indirect payment (publicity, advertising, and so on)."
> >>
> >> What if one of the people in that parade gives you a ride home? We get
> >
> >
> > techinaly yes
> >
> >
> >>thank you letters all the time, and mentioned in these groups
> >>newsletters. Is this in violation?
> >

> >
> > not if we don't ask for them
>
>
> What you are trying to do is hyper-interpret the rules. They aren't
> made for what you are trying to do. They are made to keep people from
> being paid for their volunteer work. This means money or goods changing
> hands. It means advertising your business through your radio activities.
> It doesn't mean me using my own vehicle and gas to get around the parade
> in 100 degree heat, and getting a bottle of water from them.

I agree the rules are not set up for this just like FEMA and DHS and
other set of rules are not set up for this mess (katrina)

I don't agree with the rules as written but they are the rules
>
> But I can see it now.......
>
> Dave works out of band French Amateurs, and I accepted a bottle of
> water at a public service event. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

317. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:07:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125882466.377912.246040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118044

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>>K0HB:
> >
> >
> >>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> >>>of the authors
> >>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> >>>in the area of
> >>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
> >>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
> >
> >
> > For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> > to disprove the idea....
> >
> >
> >>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
> >
> >
> > The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
>
> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are
> plenty enough people
> who are just slap happy to tell us that!
>
> >>>First, just for starters, to get all the necessary elements
> >>>formed into
> >>>the complex amino acids to create the RNA is preposterous--
> >>>let alone the
> >>>actual creation of the RNA (and this would only be a virus--
> >>>unable to
> >>>replicate on its own.)
> >>
> >> Why no, it really isn't preposterous.
> >>
> >> What are the titles of your books?
> >>
> >>
> >>>Next, to get a complex DNA structure would be

> >>>another extraordinary event, for the proper structure
> >>>(organism) to be
> >>>present and form around the DNA AND be able to use the DNA
> >>>would be
> >>>another extraordinary event, for this organism to be
> >>>able to replicate
> >>>would be one more extraordinary event, for just one of these > > single celled
> >>>organisms to go "multi-cellular" would be one more
> >>>extraordinary event,
> >>>then for each cell to develop specialized functions--another > > extraordinary
> >>>event, for them to form complete organs handling a specific
> >>>function--another extraordinary event.... AND THIS IS
> >>>SUPPOSED TO GO
> >>>RIGHT ON UP TO WHERE THE ORGANISM IS CAPABLE OF SELF-
> >>>REALIZATION, COMPLEX
> >>>THOUGHT AND CONSIDERS ITSELF TO HAVE A SPIRIT!
> >
> >
> > At times like this, it's important to recall the
> > Unnamed Law:
> >
> > "If it happens, it must be possible"
> >
> >
> >>You are off the scientific track to begin with, otherwise
> >>you wouldn't
> >>use the string of "Preposterous, Extraordinary, impossible,
> >>endlessly
> >>number, impossible links, Impossible- end of story" stuff.
> >
> >
> > What's really missing in those statements is imagination.
> >
> > Look at your PC or Mac. Then look at pictures of ENIAC (or actually go
> > see it, as I have done...)
> >
> > Could anyone imagine that today's PCs are the direct descendants
> > of that machine? Yet they are, after just 60 years
> >
> >
> >> Want to see a few things that are interesting?
> >>
> >> Look up lipid structures, and see their likely early life > implications.
> >>No miracles here, just simple chemistry.
> >>
> >> You speak of RNA and DNA. When speaking of origins of
> >>life, it is
> >>probably better to speak of metabolic pathways, as the DNA and > RNA
> >>probably evolved to accommodate them.
> >>

> >> And are they complicated!
> >>
> >>see http://tinyurl.com/dm8hu
> >>
> >>
> >>This is a pdf file of the various metabolic pathways. While the > major
> >>ones are ATP and glycolysis, there are many.
> >>
> >> Now whereas you may look at this chart, and say look how > complicated!
> >>this is proof that we are created by God!, I look at it and
> >>say "What
> >>kind of God would create such a sloppy convoluted MESS!"
> >
> >
> > "You see, to be quite frank, Kevin, the fabric of the universe
> > is far from perfect. It was a bit of botched job, you see. We
> > only had seven days to make it. And that's where this comes in.
> > This is the only map of all the holes. Well, why repair them?
> > Why not use them to get stinking rich?"
>
> Hitchhikers guide?
>
No.

"Time Bandits" (Terry Gilliam film from 1981, still dead-on)
> >
> >>I can only speak for myself, but if I were to create
> >>life, I would
> >>leave no doubt that it was created. There would be no
> >>processes, no
> >>interconnected pathways, nothing of the sort.
> >
> >
> > "God is not interested in technology... He knows nothing of
> > the potential of the micro-chip or the silicon revolution.
> > He's obsessed with making the grass grow and getting
> > rainbows right... Look at what he spends his time on.
> > 43 species of parrot! Nipples for men! Slugs! HE created
> > slugs! They
> > can't hear. They can't speak. They can't operate machinery.
> > Are we not in the hands of a lunatic?
> >
> > If I were creating the world I wouldn't mess about with
> > butterflies and
> > daffodils. I would have started with lasers,
> > eight o'clock, Day One!"

Also from Time Bandits.

> >>My creatures would see because I made them see, would think
> >>because

> >>they just do, and there would be no obvious source of life -
> >>cut one of
> >>them open, and inside would be nothing.
> >>
> >>A genuine miracle. Simple, and allowing of no argument.
> >
> >
> > Is not life-as-we-know-it a genuine miracle, regardless of
> > how it came about?
>
> Sure! But not the same kind of miracle.

Any sufficiently advanced technology appears to work miracles.

> Ours is a miracle of
> different
> processes. We live because of various chemical reactions,
> taking energy
> and transforming it into ourselves.

Well, some of it. A large diesel engine is more efficient...

> No, I'm talking about life that takes in no energy, and no
> apparent
> support structure. It just IS. The life forms should be just
> sacks of
> goo that have no obvious reason to be alive. They just are.
> There would
> be no question that someone had to "make" that life.

Why?

> >>>... as you can quickly see, this chain of impossible,
> >>>seemingly endlessly
> >>>numbered and impossible links of extraordinary events to have > > all
> >>>occurred, all at just the right time, all in just the proper > > order is just
> >>>too mathematically impossible to have any believe but those
> >>>willing to
> >>>believe the most preposterous impossibility which could ever > > be devised...
> >>>in plain english--IT IS IMPOSSIBLE--END OF STORY!
> >>
> >>You try to make it much more complicated sounding than it is.
> >
> >
> > What you're seeing in those statements is a lack of imagination.
> > Plus a failure to comprehend how long a billion years is...
>
> There are moments where I believe that I can. At least for a few
> minutes. Then I invariably get a headache! 8^)
>
> >
> >>>Those books on the subject, start quickly to, toss around

> >>>these CHAINS of
> >>>extraordinary events without the slightest considerations to > > the
> >>>mathematical possibilities, which end up being NON-EXISTENT!
> >>
> >> What were those books again?
> >
> >
> > "If it happens, it must be possible"
>
> absolutely, and it is possible, it must happen.

Not necessarily. All that happens must be possible, but
all that is possible doesn't necessarily happen.
>
> >>>I had the fortune to have a mathematics professor who I
> >>>worked with at the
> >>>university, who obtained a grant and was into computing these
> >>>possibilities, he WAS an atheist... and that is a true
> >>>story!
> >
> >
> > Must have read Oolon Coolophid's "Well That About Wraps It Up > > For God"...

That's HHTTG.

The whole subject of creationism is nicely dealt with in
the first HHTG book, as part of the explanation of the
Babelfish. That was long before the current antiscience
inquisition..

> >>>In fact, it was this professor who first told me to look
> >>>either for angels
> >>>or aliens--before he finally settled on the angels
> >>>(intelligence NOT from
> >>>a mud puddle as you could ever find upon an earth-like
> >>>planet)...
> >>>
> >>>I just flat do not know what to think, it is all too
> >>>impossible...
> >>>perhaps the answers are out there...
> >>><X-Files-theme-plays-in-the-background>
> >>>
> >>>... or, perhaps there is a very simple explanation we just
> >>>have not
> >>>thought of--yet... any guess is as valid as another...
> >>
> >> Well, the simplest answer is "All this comes from God".
> >>Simple, to the
> >>point, and the great thing is that once you accept this, you
> >>need look
> >>no further. You are her to worship him, and that is all the

> >>knowledge needed.
> >
> >
> > I've got a two-liner that works for me:
> >
> > Science is the how
> > God is the why
>
> Why? Insecurity that causes God to create you so that you
> worship him
> because he needs worship, and if you don't he will torture you > for eternity?

That's one explanation. It's not mine. I find most definitions
of "God" to be far too limited.

> >
> >>If you want more, if the one liner answer is not enough, I would
> >>suggest that you add time to your equations of impossibility.
> >
> >
> > Also the size of the earth.
>
> For some reason that reminds me of the natural "reactor that they found
> in Africa. Seems that there was a concentration of Uranium ore that was
> reacting a couple billion of years ago. Groundwater was acting as the
> moderator. (at least the theory that sounds best to me)
>
> The odds of that happening are pretty darn slight.

How do we know? We've only examined the planets of this solar system.
We've barely scratched the surface of this one. For all we know, there
are dozens - hundreds - thousands of such
uranium deposits deep in the earth.
>
> So I guess God must have done it for some reason.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5wth8

No, it was the planet manufacturers on Magrathea.
>
> >>As for your math professor, I wonder if he had the concept of
> >>time on
> >>the billion year scale? Almost no one does.
> >
> >
> > Worse, too many don't realize they don't know.
>
> Well, when you want to be certain of things, you have to > know what you don't know! ;^)
> >
> >>How is it that the human has eyes? An exquisite organ of sense > to be
> >>sure. But before we throw up our hands and say that it was too

> >>complicated a structure to have simply come about by chance, we > might
> >>want to take a look at the facts.
> >>
> >>Phototropism exists at the lowest levels of life. There > are > bacteria in
> >>the ocean that adjust the level at which they "swim" by the amount of
> >>ambient light falling on them. It is a pretty simple thing. Various
> >>creatures make use of this in varying degrees of complexity, from simple
> >>organs that react to light coming from different directions, to simple
> >>lensed eyes, to multi lensed wonders that detect movement, to reflective
> >>layers behind the sensing structure that allow sight at the
> >>individual
> >>photon level. As well as our eyes, which although wonderful,
> >>are not at
> >>the top of the list for acuity.
> >>
> >
> > And in the oceans are creatures who have lost the ability
> > to see, because in their environment it's pretty useless.
> >
> > Some forms of life have senses we don't - like migratory birds that can
> > sense the earth's magnetism.
> >
> >>But at it's root level, it *is* a simple thing. That's
> >>phototropism. A
> >>chemical reaction that would exist if there were no life to put > it in.
> >>
> >>That is just one case. The other senses are also similarly
> >>simply
> >>based. All based on detection of energy sources, and using
> >>those sources
> >>to extract information from the environment.
> >>
> >>Just like looking at a modern automobile. While they look very
> >>complicated, at heart, they are just a compilation of simple
> >>machines.
> >>
> >
> > They're also the end product of a long series of small developments.
> >
> >>This is in no way to say that life is not a wonderful and
> >>amazing
> >>thing. It is. It is a messy, complicated, unruly, terribly
> >>imperfect yet
> >>surprisingly resilient gastraphagus we have here.
> >>
> >>And yet, some people look at it, and some throw their arms in
> >>the air
> >>and say that "It is so complex! It could only have been created > by
> >>God!". While I look at it and say "It is so complex! I doubt
> >>any God

> >>would create such a mess when under his total control, a simple > life form could be created".
> >
> >
> > I doubt that anyone on this planet really knows. We're just at
> > the beginning in so many ways. Consider how recently things like
> > infectious disease, vaccines and basic metabolism were understood.
> > And yet some people think they are qualified to say what is "too
> > complex"? That's almost funny.
>
> It is an admission of failure. Or hubris. Or a strange
> combination of
> both. If something is too complex for someone to understand,
> that does
> no mean it is too complex for me to understand. And vice versa.

Exactly.

Next thing they'll do is want to ban "Inherit the Wind"

> >>It is worth study with an open mind. When you work on the
> >>billion year
> >>time scale, all sorts of possibilities exist.
> >>
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > One more thing is unknown: Is the biology we know the only one
> > possible, or are there many possibilities, and Earth just has one of
> > them?
>
> I think that the answer lies in the many metabolic pathways.
> And I
> believe the answer is that there are a lot of possibilities.
>
> Earth has two distinct forms of life already. There is plenty
> of room for more.
>
Which form are the tube worms found near volcanic vents in the deep oceans?

73 de Jim, N2EY

318. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:07:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ETMSe.7620$FW1.337@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118043

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MPWdneCez9-oG4beRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:zOKSe.6270$Wd7.2268@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> Another lie/personal attack I simply pointed my license class effective
>>> precludes my jioning in the effort, which it clearly does.
>>>
>>
>> Don't take that cop-out, Old Friend. Competent operators of all license
>> classes (especially including UHF/VHF Techs) will be in great demand over
>> the next many months of recovery. Put together a capable VHF/UHF
>> station, maybe including a portable repeater or a base rig with
>> cross-band repeat capability, and volunteer your services at
>> http://katrina.ab2m.net/ .
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
> In addition, when the health and welfare traffic arrives in the general
> area of its destination, it often goes into LOCAL VHF nets. Techs can
> participate fully there, pick up traffic, and deliver it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

That's right. Without the Technician licensees none of this emergency commo
would work so well.

As a matter of fact a LOT of the locals around here are "JUST TECHS" (a bad
thing according to some folks here) and they are doing a fantastic job. Bet
we get a whole lot of upgrades real soon.

Dan/W4NTI

319. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:08:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125882511.241878.236000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118045

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:qpvmh15gch03bf66dk0lu4jr7uc15m76vh@4ax.com...
cut

>
> I've been out of the nest since 1964. I was shooting gooks before you were
> born. Which was the same year, right?

you racist sack of shit
>
> Keep your left wing crap under you wet behind the ears.
>
> I grew up just fine. What's your problem is the REAL question.

no you never grew up at all
>
> Dan/W4NTI

320. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:09:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125882564.659014.210430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118046

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125876033.123878.320770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> > <iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > >
> > >"KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >>
> > >> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> > >>
> > >>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
> > >>
> > >> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll
> > >> both consider it a compliment!)
> > >>
> > >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
> > >there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew.
> > >We
> > >sure don't need them in the SERVICE.
> >
> >
> break
> > Again, get some perspective Dan..... it's a radio service for
> > AMATEURS. IOW, it's a HOBBY. You speak like you are a commissioned
> > officer in the National Guard. Get over yourself.
>
> Frank you are forgeting that The Coded extra's are officers and the
> rest of the ARS esp folks like me are supposed to say yes sir to any
> idea they have
> >
> >
> > >Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South
> > >Ala/
> > >Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross

> > >facilities.
> > >
> > >Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly new
> > >hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here. There
> > >actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it again...I
> > >am
> > >proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so be
> > >it.
> >
> >
> > It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.
>
> Indeed that is the problem we have Hams Like Stevie and Danny boy. they
> feel they ARE the entire ARS and therefore any slight of them is a
> slight of the whole ARS.
>
> Ham are prefroming useful and valueble service, but Dan was bragging
> and has been drawn up short, so he goes on the attack
>
>
> Wrong....I attack all idiots....guess what you are.

ah yes anyone that opposes you is an idiot
>
> Dan/W4NTI

321. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:09:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <FVMSe.7621$FW1.2481@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118047

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125877933.551208.118320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125875100.356637.83340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
> cut
>> I have duties of my own as I have siad a number of times I have my old
>> man to care for
>>
>> If I could without leaving the area certainly
>> >
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> _________________________________
>>
>> Check into your LOCAL VHF traffic net.
>
> there is no local VHF traffic net
>
> the UP net is HF don't recall the eact freq but since I recall it being
> on LSB it is well below 30 MHZ
>
>
> you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
>>

Hey....big mouth....START ONE. Don't know how or what to do? ASK....or do
you know it all?

Dan/W4NTI
```

322. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:12:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125882720.833243.174660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118048

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:divmh192kqh6et6m8v4deamf5rqccbet8k@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
cut
> > It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.
> >
> >
>
> That's right, I am strutting because I'm proud of the Amateur Radio
> Operators that are actually helping.

No you are struting becuase you decided to blow your own horn, in
addition to showing pride in the ARS

> I am also hanging my head in disgust
> at those like you that belittle and attack those that are.
>
> Don't bother coming back with another attack on me. You just continue to
> show your utter and complete ignorance. Why do you continue this charade?
> What are YOU thinking you are accomplishing? We all know you for what you
> are. A spoiler and a total fraud. Nobody believes a thing you say.

Obviously you are at least concerned by what he says meaning you
suspect at least some one is believing

Prehaps deep down one of those persons is YOU
>
> Dan/W4NTI

323. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:13:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125882813.168452.302160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > "You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> > > > your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> > > > directives of our serice:
> > >
> > > I understand your concern, but the grant does not provide payment for operating;
> > > it provides reimbursement for expenses.
> >
> > My radios are expenses.
>
> as are My drill bits For punching hole in the walls
>
> A Better set of rules would allow some reasonable comp, and I would
> support it but that isn't the rules NOW

People have been paying to have their 2M and 440 transmissions repeated
for years, and all the OFs here are good with it. None are asking for
a rules change.

324. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:14:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <k_MSe.5399$4P5.3102@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118200

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> you racist sack of shit

What race is "gook"? What race is Dan?

325. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:18:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kMSdnWrUvIlvAYbeRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118201

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
>>tell us about how to live.
>
>
> Yup - and how *not* to live.
>
>
>>That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
>>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
>>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy
>>these days
>>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all.
>
>
> Nothing new about that. Constantine, Cyril, the Crusades, and
> of course the Spanish Inquisition.
>
> "If Jesus came back, and saw what's going on in His name,
> He'd never stop throwing up." --Frederick, in Woody Allen's "Hannah and
> Her Sisters"
>
>
>>The closest thing that they are is a modern day version
>>of the Pharisees. Their trends are much more old
>>testament - therefore not sharing in the new
>>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer,
>>also proscribed
>>against by Jesus, they push religious domination of
>>government - same deal.
>
>
> They've had plenty of company in the past 2000 years...
>
>>While demanding that the first books of *their* bible
>
>
> Except it's not really "theirs" - particularly the first
> five books...
>
>
>>(KJV) be taken as

>>absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, > they
>>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers > direct
>>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible.
>>What's up with that?
>
>
> It's a mystery, Mike. You just have to take it on faith.
>
> I find it interesting that the dietary and other laws of the "old"
> testament are ignored when inconvenient - just like
> the inconvenient teachings of Jesus.
>
> Fun fact: Which states do you think have the highest and lowest
> divorce rates - "conservative" red states or "liberal" blue states?
>
> "what God has joined together, let no man put asunder"...
>
>
>>What is up with that is the modern
>>fundamentalist Christians are
>>falling for one of the oldest tricks
>>in the book - the false prophets.
>>
>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
>>the world was *not*
>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
>>in 4004 BC
>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
>
>
> Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> rested on the seventh day.
>
> Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....

void, without form

>>There is no doubt in my mind that the present
>>day universe *was*
>>created billions of years ago, probably in an
>>event we call "the Big
>>Bang.
>
>
> There should always be doubt, Mike. The Big Bang
> cosmology is simply the best explanation we
> have now that fits all the scientific data. New
> data might require a new cosmology.
>
> That's one big difference between real and fake
> science. Real science is always open to new

> data and new explanations.

Whereas the "science" practiced by these
fake practitioners is in looking for evidence that
supports their proposition-and only their proposition.

When it gets fun is when they try to explain the
biblical flood as a verbatim event. The have yet to answer
two simple questions.

Where did the water come from?
Where did the water go?

I haven't found one yet that can answer the question, How much water
would it take to cover the earth from sea level to 1 foot over the top
of Mount Everest? And What effects would this extra mass have on the
Earth?

>>I highly doubt that it was created by
>>a supreme being.
>
>
> Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> in motion, and the Bang was just the method?

Why is not my concern, Jim. A supreme being may have created everything
yesterday, including all of our memories to the contrary.

But I doubt it. Seems a incredibly roundabout way of doing things. 4.5
billion years to have people start thinking of "him" around 4000 years
ago. Not to mention all the times they got it wrong before this one came
along....

>
>>For what
>>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy
>>speculation on that.
>>
>
> You can explain anything by using the 'supernatural'. Which means the
> 'supernatural' explains nothing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

326. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:22:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125883330.685983.276720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118202

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
> > President Bush is a Christian?
> >
>
> There are thousands of gods available to believe in, and for each individual god
> there are often several different versions of that religion. Take, for example,
> President Bush's god --- dozens and dozens of different cults all claiming to be
> the "proper" Christians.
>
> The only difference between atheists and GWB is that the atheists believe in one
> less god than George does. If I have it right, Georges god, in His cosmic
> loneliness, felt a need to be worshipped, so He invented Us to worship him.
> Those of Us who aren't so inclined, He will banish to spend eternity on 75-meter
> phone.
>
> Beep beep!
> de Hans, K0HB
> Most Reverend Keeper of the Codes of Q

Hans, you're already on record. I was just curious about Mike.

Before the election, almost every liberal was saying that they were
Christians. Now that all of the hooplah is over, they're back to being
atheists.

327. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:30:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125883817.683327.87950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118203

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>>>K0HB:
> >>>
> >>>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> >>>>>of the authors
> >>>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> >>>>>in the area of
> >>>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
> >>>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
> >>>
> >>>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> >>>to disprove the idea....
> >>>
> >>>>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
> >>>
> >>>The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
> >>
> >> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
> >> who are just slap happy to tell us that!
> >
> > Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
> > President Bush is a Christian?
>
> You tell me, Brian! I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> tell us about how to live

One cool dude?

> That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
> themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
> conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy these days
> don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all. The closest
> thing that they are is a modern day version of the Pharisees. Their
> trends are much more old testament - therefore not sharing in the new
> covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer, also proscribed
> against by Jesus, They push religious domination of government - same deal.
>
> While demanding that the first books of *their* bible (KJV) be taken as
> absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, they
> totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers direct

> orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible. What's up
> with that?
>
> What is up with that is the modern fundamentalist Christians are
> falling for one of the oldest tricks in the book - the false prophets.
>
> That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that the world was *not*
> created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October in 4004 BC
> as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles at home.
>
> There is no doubt in my mind that the present day universe *was*
> created billions of years ago, probably in an event we call "the Big
> Bang. I highly doubt that it was created by a supreme being. For what
> happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy speculation
> on that.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

There! I knew you had a lot more to say than mere nide remarks about
God. I hope you feel better.

328. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:36:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I-OdnXQbn_-TPIbeRVn-2A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118204

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> <1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
>>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
>>>the world was *not*
>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
>>>in 4004 BC
>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
>>
>>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
>>rested on the seventh day.
>>
>>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
>
>
>
> LOL!!!
>
>
> <snip>
>
>>>I highly doubt that it was created by
>>>a supreme being.
>>
>>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
>>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
>
>
>
> I get a kick out of some of these discussions. Especially regarding
> evolution. These "Christians" are constantly trying to poke holes in
> the theory, yet are too short-sighted to consider that 'evolution'
> (even with all it's holes) might be one of God's creations. If so,
> then they are effectively attacking their own faith.

I've asked them that (one time I was trapped in a car on a 4 hour drive
with a couple fundies- arrrgh) We had a grand old time. I used to keep
me yap shut because it doesn't do much good, but after the second hour

of them trying to save my soul, I unleashed the dogs on them. Turns out they did not know where the water came from or went to, and didn't know why the kangaroos had to swim from Australia to the middle east in order not to drown. Even my more serious questions were troublesome for them, especially since they were engineers. They really hated my thoughts on how if they were correct about the young universe and Earth were fact, some of the "facts" that they tried to use to disprove Evolution, such as dating anomalies, could not be true because the basic nuclear decay rates (or is that nukular?) were wrong to begin with.

- Mike KB3EIA -

329. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:36:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S6-dnXo1MejUPIbeRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118205

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125880640.292690.297180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125877933.551208.118320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1125875100.356637.83340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> > cut
>> >> I have duties of my own as I have siad a number of times I have my old
>> >> man to care for
>> >>
>> >> If I could without leaving the area certainly
>> >> >
>> >> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> >> _________________________________
>> >>
>> >> Check into your LOCAL VHF traffic net.
>> >
>> > there is no local VHF traffic net
>> >
>> > the UP net is HF don't recall the eact freq but since I recall it being
>> > on LSB it is well below 30 MHZ
>> >
>> >
>> > you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>>
>> And you might stop flaming those who are participating in one way or
>> another.
>
> But I am not flaming them
>
> I Am noting that Dan was blowing his own horn said nothing against the
> run of the mill traffic handlers
>
> The wrst I have done is say that their service is not the equal of the
> Cops or NG or Red cross in the area
>
```

> You on the other hand have chosen to flame ME for not doing the
> impossible while not flaming Hans who appreantly could be there with
> working gear and license in a few days
>
> Plenty of the Double standard we see all to often
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Hans has not indicated anything he couldn't actually do. You, on the other hand, from appearances so far, have not tried to find anyway you could contribute. Plus you put down someone who has contributed and is simply sharing his experience and taking pleasure in what good he and others can do. Every effort, large or small, is worth doing and celebrating.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

330. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:37:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yt2dnclulKUFPIbeRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 118207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125880769.446159.37920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125878531.157176.170650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > I had always thought it was expressly forbidden to accept any
>> >> > compensation for our activiites as Hams, the correctness of that
>> >> > rule
>> >> > being a another matter
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Under certain circumstances it is.
>> >>
>> >> We're talking about being reimbursed for reasonable expenses here. The
>> >> personal cost of say a week on location in a disaster area is
>> >> significant. Air travel, hotel and food adds up pretty quickly. And as
>> >> Ham radio becomes more integrated into the disaster response system,
>> >> we
>> >> will be compensated like the rest of the responders.
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps that will be one of those certain circumstances?
>> >
>> > It should be but this amounts to turning the rules upside down over
>> > night
>> >
>> > The rules should be rewritten so as to deal with such things but the
>> > rules currently forbid it
>> >
>> > wicking at the rules at some point, is likely the reason why some hams
>> > (like K1MAN) have developed views and actions that so many Ham
>> > disaprove of
>> >
>> > I fully support changing the rules, writing new ones to deal with such
>> > things. I would even support this if it came with words like" we are
>> > aware this may be considered a violation of the rules, but the ARRL
>> > thinks in this case we must act, and then we will seek to work with the
>> > FCC to write rules that permit reasonable compsation for thier
>> > expenses" or word to that effect
>> >
```

>> > as it is is looks like graft and corupportion
>>
>> It only looks like that to people who look at the worst possible
>> interpretation rather than the best. Most of us DON'T look at it that
>> way.
>
> Those notes are from the ARRL who have boasted they take a more liberal
> view than the FCC
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

It seems like a simple statement rather than a boast.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

331. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:38:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I-OdnXcbn__jPIbeRVn-2A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>"You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
>>>your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
>>>directives of our serice:
>>
>>I understand your concern, but the grant does not provide payment for operating;
>>it provides reimbursement for expenses.
>
>
> My radios are expenses.

You might be able to make a case for that if you purchased them for
emergency use, and only used them for Emergency use.

Expect a challenge, tho'

- Mike KB3EIA -

332. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:41:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125884498.567602.83750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118208

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> > tell us about how to live.
>
> Yup - and how *not* to live.

Such as?

> > That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
> > themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
> > conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy
> > these days
> > don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all.
>
> Nothing new about that. Constantine, Cyril, the Crusades, and
> of course the Spanish Inquisition.

I once saw King Constatine trying to outmaneuver his motorcade in
Athens.

> "If Jesus came back, and saw what's going on in His name,
> He'd never stop throwing up." --Frederick, in Woody Allen's "Hannah and
> Her Sisters"

I always turn to Woody Allen when I need a good bible reference.

> > The closest thing that they are is a modern day version
> > of the Pharisees. Their trends are much more old
> > testament - therefore not sharing in the new
> > covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer,
> > also proscribed
> > against by Jesus, they push religious domination of
> > government - same deal.
>
> They've had plenty of company in the past 2000 years...

Indeed.

> > While demanding that the first books of *their* bible
>
> Except it's not really "theirs" - particularly the first
> five books...

Shared?

> > (KJV) be taken as
> > absolutely literal,

Except for Jesus first miracle. That was water into grape juice.

despite two different versions of creation, > they
> > totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers > direct
> > orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible.
> > What's up with that?
>
> It's a mystery, Mike. You just have to take it on faith.
>
> I find it interesting that the dietary and other laws of the "old"
> testament are ignored when inconvenient - just like
> the inconvenient teachings of Jesus.

Jim, do you practice the old laws?

> Fun fact: Which states do you think have the highest and lowest
> divorce rates - "conservative" red states or "liberal" blue states?
>
> "what God has joined together, let no man put asunder"...

Just wait til your wife puts you asunder.

> > What is up with that is the modern
> > fundamentalist Christians are
> > falling for one of the oldest tricks
> > in the book - the false prophets.
> >
> > That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> > the world was *not*
> > created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> > in 4004 BC
> > as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
>
> Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> rested on the seventh day.
>
> Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....

Grand Canyon, Yellowstone...

He should have created Soil and Water Conservation districts.

> > There is no doubt in my mind that the present
> > day universe *was*
> > created billions of years ago, probably in an
> > event we call "the Big
> > Bang.
>
> There should always be doubt, Mike. The Big Bang
> cosmology is simply the best explanation we
> have now that fits all the scientific data. New

> data might require a new cosmology.
>
> That's one big difference between real and fake
> science. Real science is always open to new
> data and new explanations.

Hi! Just try to run a new theory by those guys...

> > I highly doubt that it was created by
> > a supreme being.
>
> Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> in motion, and the Bang was just the method?

Could have. But just remember that Jesus turned water into grape
juice, not wine.

> > For what
> > happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy
> > speculation on that.
> >
> You can explain anything by using the 'supernatural'. Which means the
> 'supernatural' explains nothing.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, this isn't programming school. It's OK to put the periods inside
the quotes where they belong.

333. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:45:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <OqNSe.7633$FW1.4112@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118209

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> cut>
>> Don't sweat it dipwad....I am completely and totally positive that with
>> your
>> attitude....No ham radio group would want your "assistance".
>
> where is Stveie to decry your profanity
>
> More of the Double standard
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.

Dan/W4NTI

334. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:48:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ptNSe.7634$FW1.4063@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118210

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125882720.833243.174660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:divmh192kqh6et6m8v4deamf5rqccbet8k@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
cut
> > It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.
> >
> >
>
> That's right, I am strutting because I'm proud of the Amateur Radio
> Operators that are actually helping.

No you are struting becuase you decided to blow your own horn, in
addition to showing pride in the ARS

And your point is? Hey...if you are so ashamed of ham radio...why dont you
just turn in your license? I think that's a great idea actually.

> I am also hanging my head in disgust
> at those like you that belittle and attack those that are.
>
> Don't bother coming back with another attack on me. You just continue to
> show your utter and complete ignorance. Why do you continue this charade?
> What are YOU thinking you are accomplishing? We all know you for what you
> are. A spoiler and a total fraud. Nobody believes a thing you say.

Obviously you are at least concerned by what he says meaning you
suspect at least some one is believing

Prehaps deep down one of those persons is YOU
>
> Dan/W4NTI

335. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:48:12 UTC
From: Mr Cheap <rottensending@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b4627$431ba3f3$d1cc41f0$31337@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 118211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125859068.552199.184700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 2, 2005--The Corporation for National and Community
> Service (CNCS) will provide a $100,000 grant supplement to ARRL to
> support Amateur Radio's emergency communication operators in states
> affected by Hurricane Katrina.

Isn't that nice....

> "For the first time in ARRL history, we will be able to reimburse some
> of the expenses that hams incur in response to a disaster," she said.

Obviously this woman don't know just how cheap hams
can be. They better have a great accounting system on hand
and/or have the radios nailed down and/or etched with
property and S/N tags else their gonna be up for sale on
e-Bay in a few months!

> "We only wish that we could justify an expense reimbursement program
> like this every time Amateur Radio Emergency Service volunteers are
> called upon to help in a disaster or emergency, sometimes placing
> themselves in harm's way."

Just put a big truck well stocked with *free* coffee and doughnuts
and it will attract them like iron filings to an alinco magnet.

(Been listening to 75M between all the traffic nets at night. Sounds like
the musings in an all-white redneck bar from one end of the band to the
other. How amusing and expected)

336. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:49:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125884949.393337.41290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118212

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > <1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> >>>the world was *not*
> >>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> >>>in 4004 BC
> >>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
> >>
> >>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> >>rested on the seventh day.
> >>
> >>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
> >
> > LOL!!!
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >>>I highly doubt that it was created by
> >>>a supreme being.
> >>
> >>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> >>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
> >
> > I get a kick out of some of these discussions. Especially regarding
> > evolution. These "Christians" are constantly trying to poke holes in
> > the theory, yet are too short-sighted to consider that 'evolution'
> > (even with all it's holes) might be one of God's creations. If so,
> > then they are effectively attacking their own faith.
>
> I've asked them that (one time I was trapped in a car on a 4 hour drive
> with a couple fundies- arrrgh) We had a grand old time. I used to keep
> me yap shut because it doesn't do much good, but after the second hour
> of them trying to save my soul, I unleashed the dogs on them.

Does your soul need saving?

Turns out
> they did not know where the water came from or went to, and didn't know

> why the kangaroos had to swim from Australia to the middle east in order
> not to drown.

Do you refer to a flood? There's one in New Orleans right now. Do you know where the water came from? Do you know how it's going to be let out? Are the zoo animals swimming for Cairo?

Even my more serious questions were troublesome for them,
> especially since they were engineers. They really hated my thoughts on
> how if they were correct about the young universe and Earth were fact,
> some of the "facts" that they tried to use to disprove Evolution, such
> as dating anomalies, could not be true because the basic nuclear decay
> rates (or is that nukular?) were wrong to begin with.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

It's "nuclear." And they should be dating much younger women if decay is going to be a problem.

337. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:50:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2_6dnYJLu7g5OYbeRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 118213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125881650.533804.166780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> AOF:
>>
>> Did I understand Dee's question correctly? Isn't what she asked, and I
>> paraphrase here, "Where are your rose colored glasses?"
>>
>> John
>>
>
> IMO No what she said was "trust the ARRL they know what they are doing"
> that takes more than Rose colored glasses, more like CGI imaging glasses
>

Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to agree that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel expenses, food expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent to "make a buck".

My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there is an intent to do wrong.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

338. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:54:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125885260.575250.164440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118214

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> >>tell us about how to live.
> >
> But I doubt it. Seems a incredibly roundabout way of doing things. 4.5
> billion years to have people start thinking of "him" around 4000 years
> ago. Not to mention all the times they got it wrong before this one came
> along....

Yep, you've convinced me that "Jesus was one cool dude." Hi!

339. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:54:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <GzNSe.7636$FW1.2932@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118215

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125882564.659014.210430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125876033.123878.320770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> > <iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > >
> > > >"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > > >>
> > > >> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> > > >>
> > > >>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
> > > >>
> > > >> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry ---
> > > >> they'll
> > > >> both consider it a compliment!)
> > > >>
> > > >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>>It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
> > > >>>there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew.
> > > >>>We
> > > >>>sure don't need them in the SERVICE.
> > >
> > >
> > break
> > > Again, get some perspective Dan..... it's a radio service for
> > > AMATEURS. IOW, it's a HOBBY. You speak like you are a commissioned
> > > officer in the National Guard. Get over yourself.
> >
> Frank you are forgeting that The Coded extra's are officers and the
> rest of the ARS esp folks like me are supposed to say yes sir to any
> idea they have

> >
> >
> > >Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South
> > >Ala/
> > >Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
> > >facilities.
> > >
> > >Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly
> > >new
> > >hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here.
> > >There
> > >actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it
> > >again...I
> > >am
> > >proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so
> > >be
> > >it.
> >
> >
> > It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.
>
> Indeed that is the problem we have Hams Like Stevie and Danny boy. they
> feel they ARE the entire ARS and therefore any slight of them is a
> slight of the whole ARS.
>
> Ham are prefroming useful and valueble service, but Dan was bragging
> and has been drawn up short, so he goes on the attack
>
>
> Wrong....I attack all idiots....guess what you are.

ah yes anyone that opposes you is an idiot
>
> Dan/W4NTI

No....I am particular who I call idiot. So feel special.

Dan/W4NTI

340. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 01:58:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3DNSe.7637$FW1.4419@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118216

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:k_MSe.5399$4P5.3102@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> you racist sack of shit
>
> What race is "gook"? What race is Dan?
>
>
>

I really am not sure......they presented a good sight picture tho.

Dan/W4NTI

341. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:01:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9LGdnX_sDpC2OobeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118218

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:OqNSe.7633$FW1.4112@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> cut>
>>> Don't sweat it dipwad....I am completely and totally positive that with
>>> your
>>> attitude....No ham radio group would want your "assistance".
>>
>> where is Stveie to decry your profanity
>>
>> More of the Double standard
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

You have to remember that most people are totally unclear as to the
differences between profanity, vulgarity, obscenity, cursing, and swearing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

342. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:01:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125883500.502081.273620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118217

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 15:50:11 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >There are thousands of gods available to believe in, and for each individual god
> >there are often several different versions of that religion. Take, for example,
> >President Bush's god --- dozens and dozens of different cults all claiming to be
> >the "proper" Christians.
> >
> >The only difference between atheists and GWB is that the atheists believe in one
> >less god than George does. If I have it right, Georges god, in His cosmic
> >loneliness, felt a need to be worshipped, so He invented Us to worship him.
> >Those of Us who aren't so inclined, He will banish to spend eternity on 75-meter
> >phone.
> >
> >Beep beep!
> >de Hans, K0HB
> >Most Reverend Keeper of the Codes of Q
>
> Since this subject has been brought up, I'll admit it - I am an
> atheist. Here are MY observations, however off-topic they may be...
>
> With the catastrophe of Hurricane Katrina, we saw thousands of
> survivors thanking god for their lives, even though they've lost
> everything. I haven't seen one survivor blaming god for the death of
> innocents in this disaster, not to mention the thousands that have
> been left homeless and displaced from their families, not yet knowing
> whether they're dead or alive. Yet, they still thank god for their
> lives. If a madman forced you out of your house by gun point, and then
> burned your house to the ground while you watched, would you thank him
> for sparing your life? What good is life if your lifestyle, quality of
> life, and means of sustanation are suddenly gone?
>
> It makes no sense to me why people would appeal to god and praise god,
> yet not scratch their heads in confusion when said god anally rapes
> New Orleans, but say that it is "god's will". Believers will say that
> I must not question "god's will", but I SHALL question the will of
> such a god in the hopes that some right-wing bible thumpers will
> re-think their god's position in the wake of such disasters.
>
> But I doubt that is going to happen. Today, there are people going to
> prayer-fests in just about every church in the country. They'll do
> their praying, get back into their big, gas-sucking SUVs, and drive

> back to their fancy homes with the smug satisfaction that they
> actually did something to help the survivors of this disaster. Is all
> of this praying supposed to cause some big hand to come down out of
> the sky and supply those affected by this tragedy with food, water,
> clothes, medicine and shelter until the government and volunteer
> donations can be supplied. Somehow, I doubt it.
>
> I am ashamed of my government, and I don't care who is offended by my
> comments. I'll question and bash anyone and any god I damn well please
> and make no apologies for it. I have had with the religious platitudes
> from politicians and community leaders on all levels of government.
> They invoke a non-existent supernatural deity so they can duck their
> responsibilities. They dragged their asses when it came to getting the
> job done and lives were lost as a result. It's time to fire all of
> them, starting with their murdering, cruel, vindictive god and working
> the way down.

Welp, Ted, at least you didn't try to bash Bush over the hurricane.

343. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:02:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125885749.808483.193680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118219

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> *That's* HHTTG.
>
> The whole subject of creationism is nicely dealt with in
> the first HHTG book, as part of the explanation of the
> Babelfish. That was long before the current antiscience
> inquisition..

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What anti-science inquisition do you refer to?

344. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:04:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5JNSe.7642$FW1.5795@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118220

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125879350.212236.240760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> ahhhhh....
>>
>> I like to build antennas... I like to experiment with them...
>>
>> But, I am a software engineer, not a hardware engineer (some of the
>> math interests me) and frankly, anyone who will pay attention to my
>> rants about the either consider me a loon <frown>... something has to
>> seem
>> like "magic" to me--or I will lose faith altogether! <grin>
>
> WHATEVER you do, don't ask for any antenna advice from these "higher"
> hams on RRAP. I made that mistake. Once!
>

There are some individuals here that can and or could answer antenna
questions....I think you would find a better selection of knowledgeable
individuals at...

rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Dan/W4NTI

345. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:17:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VUNSe.5416$4P5.4966@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118223

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>>>>>>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
>>>>>>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
>>>>>>>military.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
>>>>>>many occasions.
>>>>>
>>>>>And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
>>>>
>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>
```

>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>
>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>
>
> We were instructed to discard Len's comments.

...and you always follow instruction--right?

>>>In the end, if they cannot
>>>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>>
>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>>one who has little experience.
>
>
> As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.

Net control capabilities? What in the world are you going on about?

>>>...they claim that
>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>
>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>>has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>>deliberately fabricates.
>
>
> You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.

I'd settle for 80-90%.

>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>>well.
>>
>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>>participated over a period of years.
>
>
> Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
> radio operator?

I didn't know there was going to be a quiz. It has to be fewer than the
number of recountings of his ADA tale or his comments about FCC staffers
don't need to hold amateur radio licenses.

>>Len isn't involved in amateur
>>radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
>>rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done

>>those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
>>or ridiculing him or his ideas.
>
>
> Nor him you.

That's where I came in. Len's been doing that almost since my first
posts to this newsgroup in 1996.

>>Len writes of being denigrated or
>>insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
>>denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.
>
> Perhaps Len is correct to do so.

The signs point to his not being correct.
>
>>He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
>>policy,
>
>
> Get a clue, he's giving it back to you. He's been told that he is not
> an amateur radio operator and should be here. This is a place only of
> amateurs and amateur things.

I don't think Len has ever been told that he should be here. :-)

Len has declared a several-decades-long "interest" in amateur radio.
He's never been interested enough to even attempt passing a license
exam. Len was going to go for an "Extra right out of the box" several
years back. That hasn't happened. We have him declaring within the
past few months that he has *no interest* in obtaining an amateur radio
license. Tsk, tsk. What is one to believe?

>>then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
>>personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.
>
>
> Have you ever thought of reigning in Robeson?

Am I in charge of Steve's postings? Feel free to take on the job if you
think it should be done.

> When you do, get back to
> me about Len and we'll talk some more.

Howzzat? Did I suggest that it is up to you to control Len's bad behavior?

>
>>You, of course, are Len's little electrolytic acolyte.

> And you are the World Famous DXer that works out of band Frenchmen on 6
> Meters.

Well, I certainly operate on 6m, but always within the regs which govern my amateur radio operation. I don't control French radio amateurs any more than I'm responsible for Steve's posts.

Dave K8MN

346. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:45:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ejOSe.5430$4P5.4383@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118225

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>
>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>>
>>We were instructed to discard Len's comments.
>>
>>
>>>>In the end, if they cannot
>>>>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>>
>>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>>>one who has little experience.
>>
>>As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
>
```

>
> Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
> that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.

I've never told anyone here to shut the hell up or to go away. You
have. What are you, some kind of control freak? I'm sure that your
words were evident to all.

> I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
> PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
> rendered.

That's nice for you, Len. I have much experience in professional radio
as well. Your lack of experience in *amateur radio* was being discussed.

> Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
> as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
> expenses.

I must not? How utterly silly sounding your statement is! I was never
employed by the Department of State as an amateur radio operator.

>
>>>>...they claim that
>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>
>>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>>>has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
>>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
>>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>>>deliberately fabricates.
>>
>>You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
>
>
> Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
> discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)

De-clawed.

>
>>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>>>well.
>>
>>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>>>participated over a period of years.
>>
>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>>radio operator?
>
>
> He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)

Is that how you crank out those ADA tales of half a century back? :-)

> I've never said I had an amateur radio license. From day one in
> here
> I've stated that I do not.

...and you are correct!

> I have a Commercial radio license.

You had a commercial radio license. That isn't an amateur radio license. One doesn't get you the other. I've know plenty of folks with commercial radio licenses. Some were hams; some were not. A commercial ticket gets you nothing in amateur radio.

> But, that constant repetition of "not having a license" masks Heil's
> INABILITY to reply ON the subject of what he was challenged for. He
> tries to wiggle out of a challenge by semi-denigrative, moral
> something or others implying that I should NOT be posting in here.

"On the subject of what he was challenged for" doesn't appear to be grammatically correct. You haven't bothered much with "semi-denigrative" (have you ever worked as a technical writer?). You've gone straight for denigration and insult. I've implied that you shouldn't post here? Nope. I've questioned your motives and your ideas. I've stated (correctly) that you don't know much at all about amateur radio.

>
>>>Len isn't involved in amateur
>>>radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
>>>rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done
>>>those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
>>>or ridiculing him or his ideas.
>>
>>Nor him you.
>
>
> Heil is a bundle of laughs. :-)

...and you provide quite a number of laughs.

>>>Len writes of being denigrated or
>>>insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
>>>denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.
>>
>>Perhaps Len is correct to do so.
>
>
> Tsk tsk tsk. Heil thinks he has some special dispensation that
> allows
> him to insult others but others are "not permitted" to fire right
> back
> at him.

What you have is a juxtaposition of horse and cart, Leonard.

>
>
>>>He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
>>>policy,
>>
>>Get a clue, he's giving it back to you. He's been told that he is not
>>an amateur radio operator and should be here. This is a place only of
>>amateurs and amateur things.
>
>
> Supposedly. Lots of different things are discussed in here. One
> such seems to be winding down: The one about evolution versus
> creationists. All of radio hasn't been around more than 109 years
> and fits NEITHER. :-)

It has been a civil discussion. Don't read it if you don't care for it.
It certainly beats Mark Morgan's endless, "lier" and "cuting Sevie..."

>>>then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
>>>personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.
>>
>>Have you ever thought of reigning in Robeson? When you do, get back to
>>me about Len and we'll talk some more.
>
>
> Heil must LIKE Dudly...because Dudly attacks me.

Who is "Dudly"? Is that another of your insulting terms for someone
posting here?

> Heil is living
> vicariously, enjoying another personally-insult me.

I have no need to live vicariously, Len. If I want to ridicule you,
I'll do it myself.

> "An enemy of
> an enemy is his friend" to slightly paraphrase an old folk saying.

The anemone of my enemy is my anemone's enemy.

> Heil doesn't realize that Dudly's fraudulent behavior is HURTING
> the image of U.S. amateur radio.

Who is Dudly? Is this another insulting name you've come up with?
Well, Len, it delights me to no end that you haven't come up with an
amateur radio license. We don't have to worry about you tarnishing
amateur radio's image. You are tarnishing the image of California
retirees though.

> Miccolis can't rein-in Dudly.

It isn't Jim's job to rein-in (or, in Brian's words, to "reign him in").

> Hans Brakob couldn't hold him down.

It isn't Hans' job to hold anyone down.

> Katapult Kellie doesn't seem to have tried either way.

Who is "Katapult Kellie"? Is he supposed to have control of someone
named "Dudly"?

> Jeswald
> hasn't said much.

He's written quite a bit. You don't seem to like what he writes.

> NOT a good case for building a good image of
> U.S. amateur radio to the public.

If you view amateur radio in a poor light, as you have since you arrived
on the scene in r.r.a.p., I can live with that.

Dave K8MN

347. (OT) : DaviD - " I got yer "Savage negro beast" in my pants. "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:48:06 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1125888486.287766.71820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

DaviD - " I got yer ''Savage negro beast'' in my pants. "
.
Stay Tuned and see DaviD in 'White Boys Gone Wild !'
.
DaviD - Are 'you' now claiming to be "Black" ?
Or are 'you' just another Liberal Want-A-Be suffering
from Class and Social Envy for those Poor Black Folks
that only 'you' can lead to true equality ?
.
once again - an example of : david being david ~ RHF
. . . . .

348. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 02:58:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4vOSe.6334$Wd7.4445@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118229

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm
>>
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>>>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>>>>one who has little experience.
>>>
>>>As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
>>
>> Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
>> that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.
>
>
> Closing a net with CW?

The only nets I've ever closed with CW were CW nets.

>
>> I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
>> PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
>> rendered.

>>
>> Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
>> as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
>> expenses.
>
>
> Obviously he's not professional.

...not any more. There aren't any professional radio amateurs.
Professional credentials don't get one a pass into amateur radio.

>>>>>...they claim that
>>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>>
>>>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>>>>has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
>>>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
>>>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>>>>deliberately fabricates.
>>>
>>>You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
>>
>> Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
>> discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)
>
>
> demonized.

Deep-sixed.

>
>>>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>>>>well.
>>>
>>>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>>>>participated over a period of years.
>>>
>>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>>>radio operator?
>>
>> He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)
>
>
> And there is a purpose for his stating that you're not an amateur.

There certainly is. It is to point out that Len isn't a radio amateur
and that he has no experience in amateur radio. He is to amateur radio
as a fishing rod to deer hunting.

Dave K8MN

349. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:02:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%yOSe.6337$Wd7.2191@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118230

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm
>
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>
>>It is not at all uncommon for items to take this length of time and more to
>>appear in the Federal Register.
>
>
> Is a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking a legal document or not?
>
> Does it not say on NPRM 05-143 that a stated Comment period
> exists on both the title block on page 1 and in item 54 on
> page 25? It says that the Comment period BEGINS when the
> notice is published in the Federal Register. Both pages.
>
>
>>I know they (the FCC) are as frustrated as anyone else with the "lead time"
>>they sometimes face for the Fed Reg (produced by the GPO).
>
>
> I don't "know" that. I'm just a citizen living roughly
> 3000 miles from Washington, DC, and depend on the Internet
> for "quick" news out of my government. I have NO law firm
> to "represent me" and can't afford a Lobbyist firm to push
> for anything.
>
> The only "problem" observed with the Federal Register TOC
> page was a four-day gap two weeks ago...when they failed to
> update the links to appropriate days for Volume 70 (all of
> 2005). Without a link to the Day TOC, NO ONE can see what
> is in them unless they get the paper version.
>
>
>>Perhaps ARRL is waiting until the Fed Reg publication, too, so that their
>>comments will not "technically" be "premature."
>
>
> ...and perhaps the ARRL is NOT so all-fired "pure" as you say.
>
> Then again, I am not running for any office at the ARRL.
>

> Neither am I running for any office at NCI.
>
>
>
>>> The ARRL was opposed to revision of ITU-R Recommendation S25, in
>>> particular S25.5 making it mandatory for all administrations to
>>> test for morse code proficiency for any license having below-30-
>>> MHz operating privileges. The IARU was for the revision, being
>>> its principal author of change which was voted in at WRC-03.
>>
>>That is misinformation ... the ARRL "got with the program" on the revision
>>of S25.5 and was represented on the US Delegation. They also pushed the
>>improvement of S25.6 by adding a reference to a recommendation that gives
>>administrations guidance on the (technical) things that applicants for
>>amateur licenses should be tested for (which NCI also supported).
>
>
> I wasn't IN Geneva, Switzerland, for WRC-03. All I read are the
> U.S. Delegation's Report on WRC-03, comments from the IARU on
> their web page, comments on several other web pages, and a few
> items that have made it into print so far.
>
> I read what everyone else reads...and that INCLUDES Dave
> Sumnner's infrequent postings from Geneva during WRC-03.
>
>
>>> From there on, it is speculation. The Comment period on NPRM
>>> 05-143 in WT Docket 05-235 has NOT OFFICIALLY STARTED. No notice
>>> has yet been made in the Federal Register. There have been 1920
>>> Comments already filed with the FCC on that Docket. Are these to
>>> be rendered "useless" for consideration because of no Federal
>>> Register notice given before they were filed? [see the Title
>>> Block of NPRM 05-143 as well as page 25, item 54, for the period
>>> of Comments and Replies to Comments]
>>
>>As a practical matter, while comments file before the Fed Reg publication
>>are "technically" "premature," they will never the less be read and
>>considered by the FCC.
>
>
> Hello? Who are you trying to kid here? Ever hear of "sunshine"
> or see the big red type on some dockets' Comment listings?
>
> When did you work IN the FCC Carl?
>
>
>
>>> Further speculation - fueled by suspicion - is that some sort of
>>> behind-the-scenes "stonewalling" is going on...perhaps by
>>> lobbyists urging the FCC to delay the Federal Register notice.

>>> Of all the possible organizations having sufficient "clout" to
>>> lobby the FCC, the ARRL is pre-eminent, retaining the services of
>>> both a communications-law firm and a professional lobbying firm
>>> in the District of Columbia area.
>>
>>As I said, the publication in the Fed Reg is beyond the FCC's control and I
>>know that they are just as frustrated as anyone else when this sort of delay
>>occurs.
>
>
> Gratuitous corporate doublespeak phrase.
>
> The FCC is simply NOT THAT CONCERNED about amateur radio matters.
> Want evidence of that? Go to the FCC home page, click on Wireless
> Communications Bureau. When you get to the Bureau, click on
> "Amateur." What do you see in "news" of procedings there?
>
> Hello, the LATEST date is 8 October 2002 on DA 02-2475, Antenna
> siting and support structure revision to Part 97. Damn near
> THREE YEARS OLD. Not one single thing about the 18 Petitions that
> went through from 2003 to 2004. Not one notice that NPRM 05-143
> was ever released. Not one notice in the separate page of "open"
> procedings from all Bureaus and Offices.
>
> "Delay" is like a few days, maybe two weeks. The NOTICE for 05-143
> hasn't been there for SIX WEEKS.
>
>
>>Trying to "nail" the ARRL on some sort of half-baked "conspiracy theory" is
>>simply bogus.
>
>
> Bullsnit. Hello? I'm SPECULATING. Understand the word?
>
> Don't get your legal briefs in a knot. A legal beagle you ain't
> and this is NOT "moot court."
>
>
>> If the FCC doesn't have control over when the item gets
>>published, which they don't, how can you rationally assert that the ARRL is
>>"behind the delay???"
>
>
> RATIONALLY, one only has to look over the past half-century or so
> and see that, in the beginning, the ARRL used to get all that it
> asked for, lobbied for, etc. All the way up to creation of the
> much-beloved (by some) "incentive plan."
>
> Since I don't work for the ARRL and am NOT running for office, I
> simply observe what everyone else can observe and RATIONALLY put

> together all the available information...and "connect the dots."
> It's not difficult for anyone with a RATIONAL mind. Note I
> emphasize RATIONAL. Those whose minds are "conditioned" or easily
> swayed by nice-nice words that cater to what they want to believe
> won't connect all the "dots"...those minds are NOT rational, just
> conditioned (or "brainwashed" in the indelicate common phrase).
>
> I'm just a citizen of the USA without a fancy title, can't put
> words down on nice letterhead paper sounding like they are oh, so
> important(!). Not being an "insider" or having opportunity to
> hobnob with the rich and famous, all I or any other citizen can
> so is SPECULATE...based on what has happened in years past.
>
>
>>BTW, I *do* appreciate all the effort you've been putting into reading all
>>of the comments filed and giving us the stats ...
>
>
> Here's some "charm school" advice, Carl. If you want to SOUND
> appreciative, put such phrases FIRST in the body of text. Putting
> them at the last makes them look like the phony-baloney they
> probably are.
>
> I don't work for NCI. In fact, NCI REFUSED my no-dues membership
> for over a year. I don't work for ANY big money or big
> organizations and am NOT running to do so. Got that so far?
> I've been doing small-scale arguing on this code-test issue since
> three decades ago. And received the usual boilerplated form-
> letter "replies" in surface mail, like a truly wonderful "answer"
> from the late Vic Clark (?) once the elected president of the
> older ARRL...which said if I "wanted to know more about radio,
> buy the new book out "Now You're Talking!" Judas H. Cottonpicker,
> after my beginning in HF radio communications, I was supposed to
> read a "radio for dummies" book? Yeah, like thanks a lot, big
> League. And the same to you.
>
> I do some bookkeeping on numbers and percentages which are a bit
> of extra effort. I read EVERY SINGLE COMMENT...for ME, not for
> anyone else. I post the stats in here because I CAN...for
> ANYONE's information-input. If those stats show code-test
> retention, then that is what I would show. But, the stats
> favor code-test elimination. Push aside the gratuitous corporate-
> speak phrases and understand that. NPRM 05-143 is going to have
> as large, possibly larger, effect on U.S. amateur radio in the
> near future than the "restructuring" of R&O 99-412 did. Had you
> read all of those Comments on WT Docket 05-235 and done an honest
> synopsis of them, you would see the same. But, you are running
> for office now, and that may not be in the center of your vision.
>
> Oh, did you want nice-nice phrases down here? :-) Sorry, I don't

> HAVE to work for anybody or run for office, I can speak my mind.
> I've done the "charm school" thing (manager's classes) and passed
> just fine. :-) Don't have to use those "lessons learned" now.
> Difficult to take a RATIONAL, independent mind, ain't it? :-)

"...and INSULTING each and every person who contradicts him or just expresses an opposing viewpoint. NOT a good image."

--Len Anderson

Sometimes you even snap and snarl at those who share your goals, Len.

Dave K8MN

350. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:08:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4FOSe.6339$Wd7.4345@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118231

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
>
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> Didn't they find that out with welfare?
>
>
> You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
> with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
> "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
> didn't it?

You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a morse exam. To go from the General to Advanced, one passed only a theory exam. To step up from the Advanced to the Amateur Extra, one passed a theory exam and a higher speed morse exam.

In a welfare system, you get something for doing nothing. You stick your hand out and someone gives you something.

Dave K8MN

351. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:18:59 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <GumdnZ2dnZ022Zj8nZ2dndw3ht6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118221

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>You don't get it do you "Frank Gilliland". I'm not bragging....all I'm
>>doing is TRYING to show you malcontent jerks that Ham Radio is Alive and
>>Well. And damn sure capable of "earning its pay". But I guess you and your
>>kind won't ever understand that.
>
>
> you are braging,

At least he has something to brag about, unlike a usless jerk like you
an frankieboy.

352. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:19:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125890348.239345.215590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118236

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >>you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
> >>
> >
> >
> > I don't think Dee was flaming you. She was just pointing out possible ways you
> > might find to help out. Only you are in a position to evaluate those
> > possibilities.
>
> He doesn't have the slightest interest in helping out, so he considers
> any suggestion that he might do so, to be a flame. All he wants to do is
> whine.

more lies Cmdr

but Dee should bother to know what she is talking about before she
starts giving orders

a little fairness on her part might also be nice, but frankly I don't
expect of any of the Extras, I am presently surprised when I get it but
I don't expect it

Id love to help if such were possible without negleting my Own
responiblities

353. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:19:51 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <GumdnZ2dnZ0x2Zj8nZ2dneg3ht6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118222

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
> Don't spin it around on me, Dan. This is one of the worst disasters in
> US history and it's not over. But you and other hams are already using
> other people's suffering as an opportunity to 'strut your stuff' and
> brag about your accomplishments which aren't exactly spectacular

Dumber than a bowl of dirt.
--
> did you get some food and water to the hungry and thirsty? Did you
> pull someone out of the debris? Did you give first aid to the injured?
> Of course not. You passed a couple personal messages. That was a big
> sacrifice on your behalf, I'm sure. \

Everyone can't be there, nor does everyone need to be there, to help.
Communications is just another way of helping. So what have you and your
wonderful SeeBee radio done to help frankie? Have you pulled anyone out
of the water, gave them food, medicine, fisrt aid? Didn't think so, nor
have you even helped in any other way.

Are you, leennieboy, and an_old_idiot related by chance?

354. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:19:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125890391.526406.197540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125881650.533804.166780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> AOF:
> >>
> >> Did I understand Dee's question correctly? Isn't what she asked, and I
> >> paraphrase here, "Where are your rose colored glasses?"
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >
> > IMO No what she said was "trust the ARRL they know what they are doing"
> > that takes more than Rose colored glasses, more like CGI imaging glasses
> >
>
> Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to agree
> that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel expenses, food
> expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent to "make a buck".
>
> My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there is
> an intent to do wrong.

and I at least have never said there was intent. but wrong can be done
without intent but still the ARRL is flip floping on LONG held
postition without much explaination
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

355. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:20:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9kcnh118baf4th9edoo1r376q5f33tttoc@4ax.com>
Source record: 118238

```
On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 01:00:16 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<AMMSe.7616$FW1.3954@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:divmh192kqh6et6m8v4deamf5rqccbet8k@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <iYKSe.7510$FW1.2038@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>>
>>>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>news:rtKSe.5318$4P5.3975@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>>>>
>>>>> I put him in the same category as Lennie the loon.
>>>>
>>>> Now you've gone and insulted both of them (but don't worry --- they'll
>>>> both consider it a compliment!)
>>>>
>>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>It's amazing.....where did we get this type of person in ham radio? Is
>>>there something in the water, or what? I can only hope he won't renew.
>>>We
>>>sure don't need them in the SERVICE.
>>
>>
>> Again, get some perspective Dan..... it's a radio service for
>> AMATEURS. IOW, it's a HOBBY. You speak like you are a commissioned
>> officer in the National Guard. Get over yourself.
>>
>>
>>>Oh right now a large number of Alabama hams are traveling to the South
>>>Ala/
>>>Mississippi area to set up VHF links between shelters and Red Cross
>>>facilities.
>>>
>>>Most to All of the operators are TECHNICIAN CLASS. Probably mostly new
```

>>>hams to boot. And none are caught up in the BS I have read here. There
>>>actually doing something. I've said it before and I'll say it again...I
>>>am
>>>proud of what the hams are doing. If that is considered bragging, so be
>>>it.
>>
>>
>> It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.
>>
>>
>
>That's right, I am strutting because I'm proud of the Amateur Radio
>Operators that are actually helping. I am also hanging my head in disgust
>at those like you that belittle and attack those that are.

Yet -AGAIN- your communications deficit (i.e, ignorance) is dripping
all over your bib. I'm not against ham radio and I'm not demeaning
anything that hams are doing to help with this disaster. What I -AM-
against is blow-hards like you who can't wait until the bodies are
cold before you declare yourselves to be heros.

Now, what part of that didn't you understand -this- time? Or should I
ask the kid next door to translate it down to a third-grade level so
you -can- understand it?

>Don't bother coming back with another attack on me.

... by golly, NOW you're catching on! So you -can- see that I'm
attacking you and -not- the hams that actually -deserve- praise for
their efforts. Just like I have said half a dozen times already, it's
all about -YOU- and your screwed up values, Dan!

> You just continue to
>show your utter and complete ignorance. Why do you continue this charade?

What charade? I've already proved who I am to K4YZ and everyone else
who witnessed his meltdown. If you are accusing me of being someone
else then -you- are demonstrating -your- ignorance, not me.

>What are YOU thinking you are accomplishing? We all know you for what you
>are. A spoiler and a total fraud. Nobody believes a thing you say.

So begins yet another 'truth-by-repetition' campaign.....

It doesn't seem to matter that I've told the truth about -everything-
I've said about myself, and have even provided -proof- that I've told
the truth..... yet I have no credibility with -anyone- because -you-
make some open-ended declaration calling me a fraud? LOL! You are just
as egocentric as K4YZ!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

356. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:21:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125890468.421367.294760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125880769.446159.37920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut

> >> It only looks like that to people who look at the worst possible
> >> interpretation rather than the best. Most of us DON'T look at it that
> >> way.
> >
> > Those notes are from the ARRL who have boasted they take a more liberal
> > view than the FCC
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> It seems like a simple statement rather than a boast.

you are of course entitled to your opinion. By what right to seek to
deny MINE?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

357. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:23:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125890583.203593.214970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118240

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
cut
> >
>
> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.

more profanity?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

358. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:24:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125890692.181887.313730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118241

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125882720.833243.174660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote in message
> > news:divmh192kqh6et6m8v4deamf5rqccbet8k@4ax.com...
> > > On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:56:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> cut
> > > It's great what the hams are doing. But -you- are strutting.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > That's right, I am strutting because I'm proud of the Amateur Radio
> > Operators that are actually helping.
>
> No you are struting becuase you decided to blow your own horn, in
> addition to showing pride in the ARS
>
> And your point is? Hey...if you are so ashamed of ham radio...why dont you
> just turn in your license? I think that's a great idea actually.

you must be using the same drugs as stevie

I am not ashamed of Ham radio I am ashamed you are Ham

you being a raicsit and bully and bragart

>
>
> > I am also hanging my head in disgust
> > at those like you that belittle and attack those that are.
> >
> > Don't bother coming back with another attack on me. You just continue to
> > show your utter and complete ignorance. Why do you continue this charade?
> > What are YOU thinking you are accomplishing? We all know you for what you
> > are. A spoiler and a total fraud. Nobody believes a thing you say.
>
> Obviously you are at least concerned by what he says meaning you
> suspect at least some one is believing
>
> Prehaps deep down one of those persons is YOU
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

359. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:28:07 UTC
From: Randy <Allohla@nobody.us>
Message-ID: <dfgdtk$4sd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118242

>
> Now you are showing your need for meds, serious meds

Get someone to read you what you wrote.

Dave K8MN

.....

And yet nary a discouraging word is uttered concerning Lennie's bloated and
self-aggrandizing posts.
Blowgut is a term that seems fitting for Lennie's oft tedious commentaries.

360. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:28:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <iZadnXn4LN0VMYbeRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118224

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> Look at the subject line of this post. This entire thread was started
> by you doing nothing -but- bragging; i.e, "how Ham Radio has stepped
> up to the plate", how it's "showing its stuff", etc. Maybe you've
> forgotten the definition of the word "brag"..... let me refresh your
> failing memory,

I think the hams have something to brag about. They have "stepped up to the plate" and are doing in fine tradition what they have done *many* times in the past. Hooray for ham radio!!! What have you and your weenie little SeeBee done frankieboy? Are you headed for the gulf coast to help out? No, you just set on your sorry butt and complaine about those that are doing something.

>
> And it's certainly offensive when there are still people suffering
> while you are carrying on about how great ham radio is and how you get
> a warm fuzzy when you pass a couple messages. That's like a little kid
> at a funeral begging for attention by making faces and yelling, "Look
> at me! Look at me!". How old are you anyway, Dan?

How old are you to be so jealous of a group that is using their time, talents, equipment and their own money to help provide much needed communications in this disaster. Like I said, dumber than a bowl of dirt.

361. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:33:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125891238.706717.37480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118244

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125880640.292690.297180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
> > Plenty of the Double standard we see all to often
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Hans has not indicated anything he couldn't actually do. You, on the other
> hand, from appearances so far, have not tried to find anyway you could
> contribute. Plus you put down someone who has contributed and is simply
> sharing his experience and taking pleasure in what good he and others can
> do. Every effort, large or small, is worth doing and celebrating.

in a word Bull

Dan is holding up passing a couple of messages as some great thing,
what are they a drop in the bucket, together with all the others they
do add up to something but to glorify hisown little bit into something

that I call being a baragrt and I am not alone in holding that opinion

now as toy your biased accusation against me personaly

Gee how do you know what I have done or not done. I don't brag about
such petty things as passing a few pieces of traffic

but hans has Done nothing(at least by his words nothing) and is clearly
able to do a great more than that. I have looked and found nothing I
can without neglecting my own duties which are first to my family.

you choose to flame the guy with the least abilty to do anything for
doing the same as the guys able to do a great deal. Gee I call that
unfair, thankfully I don't expect fairness, haven't since I was kid.

You just start barking order to do what isn't possible.

Speacking of HTS for monet I keep hearing there are VHF nets and yet I
have never lived anywhere with one. Could some one provide a reference
(location and band) where there is a real VHF traffic net. I would just
like to know they in fact exist somewhere

Like I said the double standard I have seen all to often in both the
ARS and life. It would be refreshing to have someone at least be honest
enough to admit to it

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

362. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:38:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nrenh1di5ps37skikv8dmolnjssv95dp3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 118245

```
On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 01:04:07 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<bQMSe.7619$FW1.3290@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:qpvmh15gch03bf66dk0lu4jr7uc15m76vh@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 22:20:03 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <nqKSe.7497$FW1.2413@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>>
>>>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>>news:gbikh11c6vg9c45r1v6u9696sigob7m2j0@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 00:54:49 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>>> <tBrSe.6899$FW1.1835@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:GgrSe.7314$z2.1267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> you are braging,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> My granny always told me "It ain't bragging if you can do it."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Beep beep
>>>>>> de Hans, K0HB
>>>>>> --
>>>>>> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I guess I better not mention to him what I am doing with MARS also, eh?
>>>>>
>>>>>That is bragging too, right?
>>>>
>>>> Look at the subject line of this post. This entire thread was started
>>>> by you doing nothing -but- bragging; i.e, "how Ham Radio has stepped
```

>>>> up to the plate", how it's "showing its stuff", etc. Maybe you've
>>>> forgotten the definition of the word "brag"..... let me refresh your
>>>> failing memory, Dan:
>>>>
>>>> brag -- v. [OFr, 'braguer'], to boast. n. 1. a boast; boasting. 2. a
>>>> person or thing boasted of. 3. a braggart.
>>>>
>>>> braggart -- n. an offensively boastful person.
>>>>
>>>> And it's certainly offensive when there are still people suffering
>>>> while you are carrying on about how great ham radio is and how you get
>>>> a warm fuzzy when you pass a couple messages. That's like a little kid
>>>> at a funeral begging for attention by making faces and yelling, "Look
>>>> at me! Look at me!". How old are you anyway, Dan?
>>>>
>>>I'm old enough to know an ignorant SOB when I see one. YOU.
>>
>>
>> But apparently not old enough to address your personal issues and
>> refrain from grade-school rhetoric. I most certainly am an SOB, but
>> you write the book when it comes to ignorance. It's not your fault
>> that your parents didn't teach you how there is a time for bragging
>> and a time for condolence. But after being gone from the nest for how
>> long now..... 40 years?..... you should have learned such basic moral
>> principles on your own. Your -only- excuse is ignorance. Isn't it
>> about time you grew up?
>>
>
>I've been out of the nest since 1964. I was shooting gooks before you were
>born. Which was the same year, right?

Maybe I should rephrase that: Isn't it about time you let your
emotional age catch up with your physical age?

>Keep your left wing crap under you wet behind the ears.

Oh boy, now you spin political! I -love- arguing politics! So are you
a Bush supporter? or do you think there should be an amendment to the
Constitution that guarantees every citizen the right to vote?

>I grew up just fine. What's your problem is the REAL question.

Aw, gee, and just as I was beginning to think that you were starting
to get a clue..... IT'S NOT ABOUT ME, DAN!!!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

363. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:41:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125891705.719264.321000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >
> > Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to agree
> > that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel expenses, food
> > expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent to "make a buck".
> >
> > My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there is
> > an intent to do wrong.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?

Yes in the sense written in the rules. Now those rules ought to be
rewritten and so one but in real terms yes you according the
publications of the ARRL.

such a rule is stuppid will never be enforced but it a violation never
the less, just as if I drive 60 in 55 zone I'll likely not be stoped
and ticketed but I would still be breaking the law.

364. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:44:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125891883.489538.23230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118247

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
> >
> >
> >><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> >> Didn't they find that out with welfare?
> >
> >
> > You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
> > with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
> > "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
> > didn't it?
>
> You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
> introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
> Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
> morse exam. To go from the General to Advanced, one passed only a
> theory exam. To step up from the Advanced to the Amateur Extra, one
> passed a theory exam and a higher speed morse exam.

BUZZZ wrong answer Dave there was such a class. the Tech Plus class and
it exists today like the advanced and Novice classes

get your facts straight before you start casting stones

Siting to await the evasions that are likely to follow catching another
of MMM in another error of fact
>
> In a welfare system, you get something for doing nothing. You stick
> your hand out and someone gives you something.
>
> Dave K8MN

365. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:50:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125892234.242526.71780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118248

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm
cut

> "...and INSULTING each and every person who contradicts him or just
> expresses an opposing viewpoint. NOT a good image."
>
> --Len Anderson
>
> Sometimes you even snap and snarl at those who share your goals, Len.

sometimes he does as is his right. I am sure he will even agree you
have the right to snap and snarl at everyone who disgrees with you

but you missed the point(s) as usaul

Amoug them being

Your snap at everyone, your right but not a good image.

Len does reserve to right to snapp at folks too, event hose he shares
goals with

Indeed you miss out on the fact the Nocoders do disagree amoug
ourselfs, and we are free to to do so, a sign of the greater freedom
espoused by the NoCoders

but then you never seem to get the point, and indeed seem to prefer
volumes on evasion rather than answer a few simple questions
>
> Dave K8MN

366. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:53:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125892419.167411.125710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118249

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 01:04:07 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <bQMSe.7619$FW1.3290@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
cut
> >Keep your left wing crap under you wet behind the ears.
>
>
> Oh boy, now you spin political! I -love- arguing politics! So are you
> a Bush supporter? or do you think there should be an amendment to the
> Constitution that guarantees every citizen the right to vote?

amusing that he assumes you are left wing indeed he assumes I am

I voted for bush becuase I agree with more than I disagree and I agree
with alot more than I agreed with Bore or Kerry
>
>
> >I grew up just fine. What's your problem is the REAL question.
>
>
> Aw, gee, and just as I was beginning to think that you were starting
> to get a clue..... IT'S NOT ABOUT ME, DAN!!!

say it isn't so please tell that you were thinking Dan has a usable
mind

and I had such respect for you

367. You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:54:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0kPSe.568$9x2.286@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

>> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
>> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
>> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
>> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> replied:

> Yes

OK, folks, every one of you who accepted food or lodging in the course of participating in an emergency communications incident is guilty of violating FCC rules.

If the Red Cross or Salvation Army (btw, why is there no Salvation Navy) provided you with some identification garment like a hat or t-shirt, you must return the garment to the issuing agency. If you have lost or damaged the garment you must reimburse the RC/SA for the fair market value of the garment.

You should file amended state and federal tax returns accounting for the value of the freebie meals/lodging/refreshments/transportation which was provided to you in the course of your volunteer assignment, with copies to all FCC Commissioners who will review your fitness to continue to hold your Amateur Radio license.

Or not.

73, de Hans, K0HB

368. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:55:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <pladnVXw6YZ0L4beRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118227

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Amateur radio is a HOBBY, a personal activity involving
> transmission of RF energy communications for recreation as a fun
> avocation.

And has been used, from it's beginning, by these "hobbists" to provide assistance, including the saving of many lives, in times of disaster, not just in the U.S., but all over the world. A tradition that continues even as we type. Amateur radio has long been recgonized by government agencies as well as by the public for their dedicated service in time of crisis, giving of their time, equipment, all at their own expense to aid others. Various Presidents have released statements of the service that ham radio has played in emergency communications. Have they ever mentioned you or frankieboy?

At times, this personal "hobby" radio has been the *only* communication into or out of a disaster area, until commercial services could be restored. Because of ham radio's tradition of providing reliable emergency communications in disaster situations it is and has long been included in official emergency plans at the local, state, and federal levels. Something no other "hobby" radio service can claim.

Ham radio has a lot to brag about, even it it does get up your's, frankieboy's and an_old_what-ever-he-is noses.

Too bad for you, but that's just the way it is.
It has always been so since the beginning of government
> regulated radio 93 years ago. It is called a "service" because
> that is the regulatory term used by the FCC for all U.S. civil
> radio to denote a type and kind of radio being regulated.

>
> Sending health and welfare messages does NOT supply BASIC NEEDS
> to disaster victims, doesn't give them food, shelter, hygiene
> facilities, medical aid, or anything else but some slight emotional
> sustenance.

And it is often ham radio communications that help coordinate these BASIC NEEDS. There are professionals, like the Red Cross, who know how to get these basic needs to victums. Ham radio often aids the Red Cross and other agencies in doing just that by providing essential communications when other communication links are not functioning. Hams never said they were going to rush into a disaster area with a jug of wine and loaf of bread to feed the 5,000. You must be an idiot (no

your are an idiot) if you think that everything can be put right if everyone just rushes in without any support from others outside the affected area. The hams say, "you need communications, we are here to help", and that's what the do and do it well. Sorry it bytes your butt so bad, but that's the facts of life lennieboy.

And by the way, those health and welfare messages are very important to both the victums and the families of a disaster.

> Once this snack bite of emotion is satisfied, the
> victims are still stuck with BASIC NEEDS that aren't satisfied by
> some radio messages. Health and welfare messages do NOT reach into
> the disaster center where the majority of victims are. Health and
> welfare messages to distant family and friends usually "travels"
> on the existing infrastructure of public communications that was
> not affected by any disaster. Health and welfare messages don't
> transport homeless victims to dry shelter over almost-impassible
> flooded roadways. But, some of the carriers of a FEW health and
> welfare messages think they are the next thing to those who can
> walk on water, those who perform miracles, and other vainglorious
> accomplishments.
>
> ORDINARY CITIZENS can do much for disaster victims in their own
> way by whatever means work for them. Few ordinary citizens want
> "name recognition" for themselves or gratuitous praise heaped on
> them for minor assistance efforts. They do it because they are
> human and want to help fellow humans victimized by a disaster.
> Tens of thousands, perhaps more, are doing that already. They
> aren't USING what they do in order to heap praise upon themselves.
> They just do it and that's that.
>
> But, in HERE, we've got those who grab the banners of praise and
> wrap it around themselves and parrot the feel-good phrases of the
> clubs "Ham radio is showing what it's good for!" as if that were
> the sole purpose of creation of that radio service. It wasn't.
> Public Safety Radio Services were created for that and more and
> have been as busy as possible, working without fanfare or big
> signs of "look at me, I'm a hero" on their T-shirts.
>
> So, somebody passes some health and welfare messages on a Net.
> Clap, clap, mild applause. Their medals and certificates of valor
> are in the mail. Not to worry, a big membership organization will
> compose the sagas that will become legend in history. All those
> Net members are automatic HEROES for passing messages and then
> passing gas about their Wonderful Good Works. Clap, clap.
>
> All who call their passing gas as vainglorious are labeled
> "haters of ham radio" in order to end any and all discussion.
> The HOBBY must remain "heroic." Clap, clap.

Yes it should and will remain "heroic" because of the role it plays in times of disasters.

Yet you are so quick to brag about all your so-called communications accomplishments, yet I doubt you could even find the on/off swithc on a transmitter. Just having once leaned up against an Army communications van while you were taking a break from picking up cigarette butts on the base didn't make you the communications expert you seem to think you are lennieboy. So what have you done for the victums of Katrina?

369. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:57:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fmfnh15qv6h3r33rc2rmjo5s3etlrtvipg@4ax.com>
Source record: 118251

On Sun, 4 Sep 2005 21:36:22 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
<S6-dnXo1MejUPIbeRVn-iQ@comcast.com>:

<snip>
> Every effort, large or small, is worth doing and celebrating.

I'll celebrate my efforts when the people that are being devastated by
this disaster can celebrate their's. I do that out of respect for
those who have died or will die soon, and for those who have lost
their family, their homes, or both. Is a little respect too much to
ask? Or are you all too busy filling out forms to secure your places
in the Hurricane Hero Parade?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

370. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:58:08 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <pladnVTw6YbzLobeRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118228

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>
> Agree completely, but I don't see any hams claiming any undue
> glory to begin with.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

Only in the minds (assuming they have minds) of lennieboy, frankieboy,
and old_what-ever-he-is.

371. Re: The Bar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 03:59:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <moPSe.229$am.156@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 118252

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9JsSe.7342$z2.5339@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Four guys are walking down a street in Manhattan.
>
> Then they turn a corner and see a sign that says, "Old Timer's Bar"
>
> "ALL DRINKS 10 CENTS!"
>
> They look at each other, and then go in. The old bartender says in a voice
that
> carries across the room, "Come on in and let me pour one for you. What'll
it
> be, Gentlemen?" There seems to be a fully stocked bar, so the 4 men each
asked
> for a martini.
>
> In short order, the bartender serves up 4 iced martinis and says, "That'll
be 10
> cents each, please."
>
> They can't believe their good luck. They pay the 40 cents, finish their
> martinis, and order another round. Again, four excellent martinis are
produced
> with the bartender again saying, "That's 40 cents, please." They pay the
40
> cents, but their curiosity is more than they can stand.
>
> They've each had two martinis and so far they've spent less than a dollar.
>
> Finally one of the men couldn't stand it any longer and asks the
bartender,
> "How can you afford to serve martinis as good as these for a dime a
piece?"
>
> "Here's my story. I'm a retired tailor from Brooklyn, and I always wanted
to own
> a bar. Last year I hit the lottery for $25
> million and decided to open this place. Every drink costs a dime -wine,
> liquor, beer, all the same."
>
> "Wow!! That's quite a story," says one of the men.
>
> The four of them sipped at their martinis and couldn't help but notice

three
> other guys at the end of the bar who didn't have a drink in front of them,
and
> hadn't ordered anything the whole time they were there.
>
> One man gestures at the three at the end of the bar without drinks and
asks the
> bartender, "What's with them?"
>
> The bartender says, "They're ham radio operators, waiting for happy hour."
>
>
>
>
> --
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org

Hello, Hans

Heck, when I was on Guam (1967-68), they introduced Old Swill (Old
Milwaukee) at 5 cents a can (16 ounce cans).

I'll wait for 5 cent Old Swill.

Am I cheap? You betcha!!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

372. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:05:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qkgnh19fmoi1q68qa7i47gji8kdrtou7oj@4ax.com>
Source record: 118253

On 4 Sep 2005 20:53:39 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
in <1125892419.167411.125710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 01:04:07 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <bQMSe.7619$FW1.3290@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>cut
>> >Keep your left wing crap under you wet behind the ears.
>>
>>
>> Oh boy, now you spin political! I -love- arguing politics! So are you
>> a Bush supporter? or do you think there should be an amendment to the
>> Constitution that guarantees every citizen the right to vote?
>
>amusing that he assumes you are left wing indeed he assumes I am
>
>I voted for bush becuase I agree with more than I disagree and I agree
>with alot more than I agreed with Bore or Kerry

I voted for Bush the first time because I didn't think Gore could
handle the job. Since then I have voted third-party or independent
across the board because both parties suck and I want better choices.

>> >I grew up just fine. What's your problem is the REAL question.
>>
>>
>> Aw, gee, and just as I was beginning to think that you were starting
>> to get a clue..... IT'S NOT ABOUT ME, DAN!!!
>
>say it isn't so please tell that you were thinking Dan has a usable
>mind

Maybe you're right -- at his age there aren't too many coherent years
left to worry about.

>and I had such respect for you

I can change. (Remember Saddam singing that in the South Park movie?)

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

373. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:13:02 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <WMWdnQkonpZxK4beRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118232

Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
> And you might stop flaming those who are participating in one way or
> another.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

That is all he is capable of doing Dee.

374. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:15:31 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <WMWdnQgonpYcKobeRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118233

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
>>
>
>
> I don't think Dee was flaming you. She was just pointing out possible ways you
> might find to help out. Only you are in a position to evaluate those
> possibilities.

He doesn't have the slightest interest in helping out, so he considers
any suggestion that he might do so, to be a flame. All he wants to do is
whine.

375. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:15:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125893744.545254.185130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Food, caps, tee-shirts, food, and bottle water is one thing, but money is another. My point in all of this is K1MAN was fined by the FCC for Pecuniary Interest and now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE is going to do the samething. What go for one person should apply for all. The MAIN problem I see here is the definition of the term noncommercial, and seems that there is two tems on what noncommercial means.

Todd N9OGL

376. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:16:44 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <WMWdnQsonpZXKobeRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118234

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
> Maybe, maybe not. But it sure wouldn't hurt to postpone the medal
> presentation ceremonies until -after- they find and bury all the
> bodies.

Who said there would be any medal presentations, frankieboy?

377. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:18:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <55hnh11vdi9thmbqstudg9d2rqv1do24p5@4ax.com>
Source record: 118255

On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 03:54:36 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <0kPSe.568$9x2.286@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<crossposting snipped>

>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
>>> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
>>> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
>>> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?
>
>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> replied:
>
>> Yes
>
>OK, folks, every one of you who accepted food or lodging in the course of
>participating in an emergency communications incident is guilty of violating FCC
>rules.

Are you talking to every ham? or just the fat, lazy, nacho-cheese
addicted hero-wannabes that hang around this group?

>If the Red Cross or Salvation Army (btw, why is there no Salvation Navy)

Ok, I'll bite: Why is there no Salvation Navy?

>provided you with some identification garment like a hat or t-shirt, you must
>return the garment to the issuing agency. If you have lost or damaged the
>garment you must reimburse the RC/SA for the fair market value of the garment.
>
>You should file amended state and federal tax returns accounting for the value
>of the freebie meals/lodging/refreshments/transportation which was provided to
>you in the course of your volunteer assignment, with copies to all FCC
>Commissioners who will review your fitness to continue to hold your Amateur
>Radio license.
>
>Or not.

Seems to me that the rules were written that way for a reason. After
all, how many times has someone offered services in exchange for
"donations"? Happens all the time. Even the Red Cross has it's own
definition of "reasonable compensation" when it comes to exec salaries
and bonuses. I doubt that anyone will cry foul if such amenities are
given, but they should certainly not be expected or anticipated, which

is what will happen if the rules are changed to allow such exceptions.
Besides, 'compensation' kinda takes a little weight out of the word
"volunteer".

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

378. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:20:19 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <rvGdnZ2dnZ0jfhWfnZ2dnT4lht6dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118235

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> My wife and I just watched a supply convoy of high-wheeled
> trucks (dozens) loaded with many things inching their way into
> New Orleans through water, their wheels leaving a virtual boat
> wake, somehow able to stay on a hard-to-see "roadway." Radio
> didn't drive those trucks.

I betcha those drivers were keeping in touch by radio.
> I doubt those truck drivers are
> "amateur" drivers. I salute THEM for daring to bring much-
> needed supplies through a seemingly impassible path.

No, they were trained to drive those truck, just like many of the hams
have trained, on their own time, at their own expense, to handle
emergency communications.
>
> Yes, there ARE emotional needs for many and amateur radio WILL
> satisfy those things. But, the providers of such emotional
> filling are NOT "heroes,"

I don't see any of them claiming to be heroes.

379. you know it would be nice to be correctly quoted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:20:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125894033.417596.298590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> >> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
> >> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
> >> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
> >> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?
>
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> replied:
>
> > Yes
>
> OK, folks, every one of you who accepted food or lodging in the course of
> participating in an emergency communications incident is guilty of violating FCC
> rules.
>
cut

glad to see you agree (at last I mention where I make my cuts Hans
quote
Yes in the sense written in the rules. Now those rules ought to be
rewritten and so one but in real terms yes you according the
publications of the ARRL.

such a rule is stuppid will never be enforced but it a violation never
the less, just as if I drive 60 in 55 zone I'll likely not be stoped
and ticketed but I would still be breaking the law.

unquote

It is still a violation even if no one will ever do anything about it

your efforts at bad jokes not withstanding

>
> Or not.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

380. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:22:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AKPSe.6355$Wd7.3875@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote

> now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE

Todd,

Up until this point, the conversation on this topic was reasoned and balanced.
But you've chosen to resort to your habitual trash mouth mode, so you can find
any further comments from me posted at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy .

With warmest kind wishes,
de Hans, K0HB

381. Gulf Coast Communication Restoration Group-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:23:44 UTC
From: restore_com@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1125894224.617407.297410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118258

Gulf Coast Communication Restoration Group-

Gulf Coast Communication Restoration Group (GCCRW) is tasked to provide
"Real Time" information and coordination for the on going Communication
Restoration effort on the Gulf Coast.

This Group is for all Wired and Wireless Communication Professionals.

Carrier representatives are encouraged to join to disseminate or
collect information to expedite restoration efforts. GCCRW will serve
as a "Clearing House" for those on the ground in the Gulf Coast by
linking companies together.

Communication Companies and Communication Professionals should -

1) Identify themselves
2) Indicate Communication Expertise
3) Manpower Available
4) Contact information (Telephone Number, Email, Wireless Number)

Subscribe:

GulfCoastCommunicationRestorationGroup-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

Post message:

GulfCoastCommunicationRestorationGroup@yahoogroups.com

Home Page-

http://finance.groups.yahoo.com/group/GulfCoastCommunicationRestorationGroup

382. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:26:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125894378.957025.256750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> Food, caps, tee-shirts, food, and bottle water is one thing, but money
> is another. My point in all of this is K1MAN was fined by the FCC for
> Pecuniary Interest and now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE is going to
> do the samething. What go for one person should apply for all. The MAIN
> problem I see here is the definition of the term noncommercial, and
> seems that there is two tems on what noncommercial means.

I'll have agree wihth you where I think you realy go of the tracks is
saying there are 2 standards, there are far more than that. the rules
are enforced in arbitary manners based on personalities not on the
public interests

The ARRL can do most of what it wants becuase of its sheer size, and
the facts it has the money and legal forces to make a better fight of
it

The FCC will try and make examples of those that are not liked like
K1MAN who by the best accounts I have heard sounds like a jerk, not
crimal to my mind Unless the ARRL is too but not a nice guy.

The danger of such tactics is the camels nose effect, and like the
Nazi's you start with folks that are not liked and then you move on to
others and still others

Not bashing Bush for this or Clinton or... but the general creeping
influence of the people truely runing the country , the nameless
faceless breaucrat, what Asimov called the "Greys"
>
> Todd N9OGL

383. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:28:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125894515.501518.242580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118260

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 4 Sep 2005 21:36:22 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> <S6-dnXo1MejUPIbeRVn-iQ@comcast.com>:
>
> <snip>
> > Every effort, large or small, is worth doing and celebrating.
>
>
> I'll celebrate my efforts when the people that are being devastated by
> this disaster can celebrate their's. I do that out of respect for
> those who have died or will die soon, and for those who have lost
> their family, their homes, or both. Is a little respect too much to
> ask? Or are you all too busy filling out forms to secure your places
> in the Hurricane Hero Parade?

Hear Hear

384. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:31:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125894680.542515.3660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118261

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 4 Sep 2005 20:53:39 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> in <1125892419.167411.125710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
cut
> >say it isn't so please tell that you were thinking Dan has a usable
> >mind
>
>
> Maybe you're right -- at his age there aren't too many coherent years
> left to worry about.
>
>
> >and I had such respect for you
>
>
> I can change. (Remember Saddam singing that in the South Park movie?)

I avoid south even more firmly than Morse Code

but i was ribbing you

385. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:35:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <5MWdnQQX24KIIYbeRVn-2A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:

>
> Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to agree
> that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel expenses, food
> expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent to "make a buck".
>
> My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there is
> an intent to do wrong.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?

386. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:35:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125894914.609520.253660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE
>
> Todd,
>
> Up until this point, the conversation on this topic was reasoned and balanced.
> But you've chosen to resort to your habitual trash mouth mode, so you can find
> any further comments from me posted at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy .
>
> With warmest kind wishes,
> de Hans, K0HB

yeah right
balanced sure

such advocates of free speech we have here

387. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 04:52:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125895923.435049.136750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The general term for noncommercial is a station that can't make a profit, but can make money to substain the station. Then you have the amateur rules which state an amateur station can make money direct or indirect period. K1MAN is a primary example of this no money direct or indirect period which the FCC is enforcing and the ARRL supports. that was last week, now you have the ARRL this week getting a grant to give to amateur operators to basically compensate them for doing radio communication down south. I REALLY hope the FCC is watching this crap as a matter of fact I'm going to copy and paste this and sent it to the FCC and a few other people I know. I posted it on QRZ but those asshole knocked it off their site. Because Like I said what applies for one should apply for all.

Todd N9OGL

388. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 05:12:29 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125897149.717014.172160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> The general term for noncommercial is a station that can't make a
> profit, but can make money to substain the station. Then you have the
> amateur rules which state an amateur station can make money direct or
> indirect period. K1MAN is a primary example of this no money direct or
> indirect period which the FCC is enforcing and the ARRL supports. that
> was last week, now you have the ARRL this week getting a grant to give
> to amateur operators to basically compensate them for doing radio
> communication down south. I REALLY hope the FCC is watching this crap
> as a matter of fact I'm going to copy and paste this and sent it to the
> FCC and a few other people I know. I posted it on QRZ but those asshole
> knocked it off their site. Because Like I said what applies for one
> should apply for all.

You are right of course it should, but alas it doesn't, such is life in
the USA these days under either party since the 'Crats control things
>
> Todd N9OGL

389. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 05:44:17 UTC
From: Randy <Allohla@nobody.us>
Message-ID: <dfglsu$789$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125893744.545254.185130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Food, caps, tee-shirts, food, and bottle water is one thing, but money
is another. My point in all of this is K1MAN was fined by the FCC for
Pecuniary Interest and now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE is going to
do the samething. What go for one person should apply for all. The MAIN
problem I see here is the definition of the term noncommercial, and
seems that there is two tems on what noncommercial means.

Todd N9OGL
.....

Learn to write (and spell), Todd.
Most third graders can do better than you.

390. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 05:58:25 UTC
From: Randy <Allohla@nobody.us>
Message-ID: <dfgmnealh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:AKPSe.6355$Wd7.3875@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote

> now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE

Todd,

Up until this point, the conversation on this topic was reasoned and
balanced.

(according to who? You?)

But you've chosen to resort to your habitual trash mouth mode, so you can
find
any further comments from me posted at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy .

Don't go away mad, Hans. Just go away. Thank you very much.

With warmest kind wishes,
de Hans, K0HB

(in closing, Hans takes his dishes and goes home...)

391. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 06:13:02 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dfgniredn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:5MWdnQQX24KIIYbeRVn-2A@gbronline.com...
Dee Flint wrote:

>
> Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to
agree
> that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel expenses,
food
> expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent to "make a
buck".
>
> My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there is
> an intent to do wrong.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?
....

Of course not. Besides, who but the most idiotic of Hams would accuse you of
same?
You were performing a public service.
I am assuming, of course, that your question was posted with a
tongue-in-cheek smile and with hopes to "bait" someone.

And by the way. Hotel/motel rooms, meals etc. aside, I wonder if some
nit-picker may not come along and posit that you should not have accepted
the free electricity to run your radios, either.

392. Re: Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 08:32:06 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ri0oh1tlhnb2h0o5j73rushrcsha5imi3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 118268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 12:36:45 GMT, MS <ms@ms.org> wrote:

>On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 17:50:20 -0400, "Ugly Hamfest Bums"
><dirtystinkinhams@noarrl.net> wrote:
>
>>What a bunch of cheap ass lying bastadts !!!!
>
>bastadts?

Go to the head of the class -- you actually found a
misspelling on usenet. Do you do any other tricks?

393. Re: Hamfest bums on e-bay profiteering on Katrina ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 10:06:09 UTC
From: Cribbie <nune@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dfh57u$8qe$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<kashe@sonic.net> wrote in message
news:ri0oh1tlhnb2h0o5j73rushrcsha5imi3v@4ax.com...
On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 12:36:45 GMT, MS <ms@ms.org> wrote:

>On Sat, 3 Sep 2005 17:50:20 -0400, "Ugly Hamfest Bums"
><dirtystinkinhams@noarrl.net> wrote:
>
>>What a bunch of cheap ass lying bastadts !!!!
>
>bastadts?

Go to the head of the class -- you actually found a
misspelling on usenet. Do you do any other tricks?
..

Nahhh...that's how people from the East Coast speak...

"bastadt" and Chappaquiddick...

394. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 10:25:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125915916.690789.220090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118270

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > <1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> >>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> >>>the world was *not*
> >>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> >>>in 4004 BC
> >>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
> >>
> >>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> >>rested on the seventh day.
> >>
> >>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....

> > LOL!!!

That's from Time Bandits as well.

> > <snip>
> >
> >>>I highly doubt that it was created by
> >>>a supreme being.
> >>
> >>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> >>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
> >
> > I get a kick out of some of these discussions.

I find them somewhat interesting and somewhat dismaying.
The dismaying part is that the deeper meanings of the
Bible stories are missed because folks are too busy
taking them literally.

For example, take the two contradictory creation
stories in Genesis. First off, you find that relatively
few have actually read them well enough to see the
contradictions.

But those contradictions only exist if the interpretation
is literal. If you see the stories as parables, the contradictions

don't matter.

Or take the part about all of us being punished because
of Adam eating the apple. Doesn't make any sense at first - you
don't send a son to jail because his father robbed a bank!

OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
in a hurricane zone?!)

> > Especially regarding
> > evolution. These "Christians" are constantly
> > trying to poke holes in
> > the theory, yet are too short-sighted
> > to consider that 'evolution'
> > (even with all it's holes) might be one of
> > God's creations. If so,
> > then they are effectively attacking their own faith.
>
> I've asked them that (one time I was trapped in a car
> on a 4 hour drive
> with a couple fundies- arrrgh) We had a grand old time.
> I used to keep
> me yap shut because it doesn't do much good, but
> after the second hour
> of them trying to save my soul, I unleashed the dogs on them.

When did logic and reason become "the dogs"?

> Turns out
> they did not know where the water came from or went to, and
> didn't know
> why the kangaroos had to swim from Australia to the middle east > in order not to drown.

Oh yes, the deluge. Lots of good stuff in there. Here's some more:

The Book tells us how big the ark was and how many of each
animal were taken aboard.

Now since evolution supposedly doesn't happen, all of the land mammals
and birds we see must have been on the ark, since otherwise they'd
drown. The Book specifically mentions Noah
sending out a bird, too.

Not just the animals and birds themselves were on the ark but food and
water for them.

Look around for all the different species of land animals and birds
around today. Then figure out how much space they'd all take up.

Unless the ark was actually a tardis, it wasn't near big enough for all
the different types of deer, bison, antelope, giraffe, elephant,

cattle, oxen, sheep, swine, goat, emu, ostrich, eland, moose, horse,
zebra, bear, lion, tiger, panther, caribou, etc., etc., etc.

> Even my more serious questions were troublesome
> for them,
> especially since they were engineers. They really hated my
> thoughts on
> how if they were correct about the young universe
> and Earth were fact,
> some of the "facts" that they tried to use to
> disprove Evolution, such
> as dating anomalies, could not be true because
> the basic nuclear decay
> rates (or is that nukular?) were wrong to begin with.

The basic explanation they use for all that is that it
was made that way. Even down to the light from the stars more
distant than 6000 light years. Just popped into being.

Of course if someone accepts that "popped into being"
explanation, the universe could only be an hour old...

I think the real attraction of the "young universe" idea
is that it's comforting and reduces people's
environmental responsibility. Global warming? Resource
depletion? Species extinction? No problems, because
the Earth isn't old enough for there to be enough data.

But if the Earth is billions of years old, the situation is very
different.

If someone wants to believe the Earth is a bit more than 6000
years old, that's fine with me. Just as if they want to believe
that pi is equal to 3, that the earth is flat or the moon is
made of cheese.

Just don't try to pass off those beliefs as "science", because
they simply don't stand up to the scientific method.

When people insist that their religious beliefs be considered
"scientific" even though they fall apart under scientific
scrutiny, what they're really trying to do is destroy the
scientific method.

Not a new thing. Look at what happened to Galileo. How many years did
it take for the Vatican to admit they were wrong?

73 de Jim, N2EY

395. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.953 on now in rare form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 10:53:44 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net>
Message-ID: <20050905070356317 29%garv@elaboratenet>
Source record: 118271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2005-09-03 23:33:18 -0400, "Pretorian Guard" <herewegofolks@yahoo.com> said:

> The Liberty Net is on 3.953 as of 11:00 Eastern time Sat Night.
>
> With all the stuff going on in NOLA, you can *just imagine* the
> level of the commentary! Tune in RIGHT NOW!
>
> Other fun sites to view while listening to 3.953 :

No one cares asshat.

>

--
Chris: "Dad, what's a blowhole for?"
Peter: "I'll tell you what it's NOT for and then you'll know why I can
never go back to Sea World."

396. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:20:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <If6dnZgNb6G4toHeRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 118272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125890468.421367.294760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125880769.446159.37920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> cut
>
>> >> It only looks like that to people who look at the worst possible
>> >> interpretation rather than the best. Most of us DON'T look at it that
>> >> way.
>> >
>> > Those notes are from the ARRL who have boasted they take a more liberal
>> > view than the FCC
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>>
>> It seems like a simple statement rather than a boast.
>
> you are of course entitled to your opinion. By what right to seek to
> deny MINE?
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I have not denied yours. Simply made my point to counterbalance yours.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

397. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:34:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ibOdndxkIfbBs4HenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 118273

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125890348.239345.215590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >
> > >>you might try checking your facts before you join in the flaming
> > >>
> >
> >
> > I don't think Dee was flaming you. She was just pointing out possible
> > ways you
> > might find to help out. Only you are in a position to evaluate those
> > possibilities.
>
> He doesn't have the slightest interest in helping out, so he considers
> any suggestion that he might do so, to be a flame. All he wants to do is
> whine.

more lies Cmdr

but Dee should bother to know what she is talking about before she
starts giving orders

a little fairness on her part might also be nice, but frankly I don't
expect of any of the Extras, I am presently surprised when I get it but
I don't expect it

Id love to help if such were possible without negleting my Own
responiblities

END QUOTE

And what has license class got to do with it ?? If you can't help with your
radio activity and can't go, there alway always donations needed. The point
is your individual negatism toward the ARRL and people's individual
activities.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

398. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:35:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2uqdnd6zwYo1s4HeRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 118274

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125890583.203593.214970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> cut
>> >
>>
>> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.
>
> more profanity?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Dan is using vulgarity not profanity. They are NOT the same thing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

399. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:40:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K6-dnUjejdt_soHeRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 118275

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125891238.706717.37480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125880640.292690.297180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> cut
>> > Plenty of the Double standard we see all to often
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>>
>> Hans has not indicated anything he couldn't actually do. You, on the
>> other
>> hand, from appearances so far, have not tried to find anyway you could
>> contribute. Plus you put down someone who has contributed and is simply
>> sharing his experience and taking pleasure in what good he and others can
>> do. Every effort, large or small, is worth doing and celebrating.
>
> in a word Bull
>
> Dan is holding up passing a couple of messages as some great thing,
> what are they a drop in the bucket, together with all the others they
> do add up to something but to glorify hisown little bit into something
>
> that I call being a baragrt and I am not alone in holding that opinion
>
> now as toy your biased accusation against me personaly
>
> Gee how do you know what I have done or not done. I don't brag about
> such petty things as passing a few pieces of traffic
>
> but hans has Done nothing(at least by his words nothing) and is clearly
> able to do a great more than that. I have looked and found nothing I
> can without neglecting my own duties which are first to my family.
>
> you choose to flame the guy with the least abilty to do anything for
> doing the same as the guys able to do a great deal. Gee I call that
> unfair, thankfully I don't expect fairness, haven't since I was kid.
>
> You just start barking order to do what isn't possible.

There's always donations needed.

>
> Speacking of HTS for monet I keep hearing there are VHF nets and yet I
> have never lived anywhere with one. Could some one provide a reference
> (location and band) where there is a real VHF traffic net. I would just
> like to know they in fact exist somewhere
>

I check into the SE Michigan Traffic Net a couple of times a week. If you will look in the ARRL directory, there are quite a few VHF nets listed for Michigan.

> Like I said the double standard I have seen all to often in both the
> ARS and life. It would be refreshing to have someone at least be honest
> enough to admit to it
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

No that double standard exists only in your own interpretations.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

400. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:52:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XYWdnXf1H_gHr4HeRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 118277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:5MWdnQQX24KIIYbeRVn-2A@gbronline.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to
>> agree that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel
>> expenses, food expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent
>> to "make a buck".
>>
>> My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there
>> is an intent to do wrong.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The kind
> folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and fed
> us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?

Not me. I'm quite sure you returned home with less money than you started.

I've generally seen the term "pecuniary interest" used in the sense of
"attempting to make a profit". Neither the ARRL nor the individual hams
will make a profit from this.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

401. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:53:32 UTC
From: N7TX <N7TX@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125921333.190de15aad69396d0b696eab03dca287@teranews>
Source record: 118276

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2uqdnd6zwYo1s4HeRVn-3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125890583.203593.214970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> cut
>>> >
>>>
>>> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.
>>
>> more profanity?
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> Dan is using vulgarity not profanity. They are NOT the same thing.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
Fuck that asshole Dan.

What's that?
```

402. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:55:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OtednX2SX_ncroHeRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 118278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Digital" <Wogie@anon.uz> wrote in message news:dfgniredn0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:5MWdnQQX24KIIYbeRVn-2A@gbronline.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to
> agree
>> that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel expenses,
> food
>> expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent to "make a
> buck".
>>
>> My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there
>> is
>> an intent to do wrong.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?
> ....
>
> Of course not. Besides, who but the most idiotic of Hams would accuse you
> of
> same?
> You were performing a public service.
> I am assuming, of course, that your question was posted with a
> tongue-in-cheek smile and with hopes to "bait" someone.
>
> And by the way. Hotel/motel rooms, meals etc. aside, I wonder if some
> nit-picker may not come along and posit that you should not have accepted
> the free electricity to run your radios, either.

Excellent example of "illustrating absurdity by reducing it to the absurd."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

403. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 11:59:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9LOdncvy97rcqYHeRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 118280

"N7TX" <N7TX@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125921333.190de15aad69396d0b696eab03dca287@teranews...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:2uqdnd6zwYo1s4HeRVn-3Q@comcast.com...
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125890583.203593.214970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> cut
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.
>>>
>>> more profanity?
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>
>> Dan is using vulgarity not profanity. They are NOT the same thing.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
> Fuck that asshole Dan.
>
> What's that?
>

Vulgarity. And if you are also appealing to prurient interest, it can
additionally be obscenity.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

404. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 12:01:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125921717.238841.109570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118279

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm

> >><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >> Didn't they find that out with welfare?

> > You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the
> > high-rate morsemen
> > with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
> > "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very
> > well, didn't it?
>
> You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
> introduced a factual error.

uh-oh, now you've done it, Dave. You pointed out both faulty
reasoning and a factual error in one of Len's postings here.

> There was never a class of license
> under
> Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely
> passing a
> morse exam. To go from the General to Advanced, one passed
> only a
> theory exam. To step up from the Advanced to the Amateur
> Extra, one
> passed a theory exam and a higher speed morse exam.

Not only that, but after 1990 the 13 and 20 wpm Morse Code
tests could be avoided by getting a waiver. All it took was
a letter from any doctor.

The Extra and Advanced license classes have existed since 1951,
even though the changes commonly known as "incentive licensing"
did not go into effect until the late 1960s.

Before the restructuring of 1951, the old "ABC" license system
offered had one code test - and two written tests. Upgrading
to Class A required only a written test.

As for how well it worked, well, the number of Amateur Extras grew from
about 5000 in 1968 to over 75,000 in 2000. The number of Advanceds grew
from less than 40,000 to over 100,000 in the same time period. And the
total number of US amateurs grew
from about 260,000 to about 675,000 in the same time period.

> In a welfare system, you get something for doing nothing.
> You stick your hand out and someone gives you something.

You mean like the proposals by ARRL and NCI and NCVEC to
give automatic upgrades without any more tests?

73 de Jim, N2EY

405. Re: I'm Baaaaack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 12:58:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125925117.509089.4830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118281

Larry K3LT wrote:
> Greetings all, and hope all is well with everyone.

Greetings, Larry!

> I'm back after my
> fairly lengthy hiatus; I have moved out of
> the apartment I lived in for
> 12 years and moved down the road 3 miles East.
> I bought a 3-bedroom
> duplex house in a very heavily CCR'd community

Just for the record - why that house and not one
without antenna restrictions?

> -- but hopefully I'll
> manage to get back on-the-air in some minimal
> way pretty soon.

Excellent!

> I need
> a new HF rig for one thing -- my ancient IC-735 is pretty much > toast
> after over 18 years of constant service.

Lots of good new and used rigs out there.
>
> As far as code v. no-code goes, I don't
> really care any more. I'm all
> but done with that topic. The handwriting
> is on the wall, and no
> matter what the FCC and the ARRL do to dumb-down the licensing
> requirements, we will not see any big increases
> in the number of hams.

I agree 100%. Nor will we see the techno-revolution
in ham radio that's been predicted.

Good luck with the new place and getting back OTA.
Perhaps we shall cross paths on 7037 kHz - Morse
Code, of course. Or at a hamfest - fall season is
coming fast.

73 de Jim, N2EY

406. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 13:29:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125926987.930293.150510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>
> >>
> >>>"You must never accept any money or other consideration for operating
> >>>your station [97.113(a)(2)]. this is consistent with one of the prime
> >>>directives of our serice:
> >>
> >>I understand your concern, but the grant does not provide payment for operating;
> >>it provides reimbursement for expenses.
> >
> >
> > My radios are expenses.
>
>
> You might be able to make a case for that if you purchased them for
> emergency use, and only used them for Emergency use.
>
> Expect a challenge, tho'
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

As if I'd use them any other time.

407. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 13:43:04 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <431c4af6$0$230$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 118283

In <1125892234.242526.71780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm
>cut

>> "...and INSULTING each and every person who contradicts him or just
>> expresses an opposing viewpoint. NOT a good image."
>>
>> --Len Anderson
>>
>> Sometimes you even snap and snarl at those who share your goals, Len.

>sometimes he does as is his right. I am sure he will even agree you
>have the right to snap and snarl at everyone who disgrees with you

>but you missed the point(s) as usaul

>Amoug them being

>Your snap at everyone, your right but not a good image.

>Len does reserve to right to snapp at folks too, event hose he shares
>goals with

Len may have the "right" to do a lot of things, but if he truly wants to "win friends and influence people" in this debate, and leave a personal legacy other than that of a Usenet "kook," he might want to take stock of who are his allies and who are his enemies. And even enemies can become allies on some issues. Recently, Len insisted that he only posts facts and truth, and challenged me to find examples otherwise. When I did, finding several recent errors and subjective interpretations, rather than acknowledge or rebut them, he chose to change the subject and accuse me of being a hanging "judge" for daring to criticize. Len also seems to feel he is entitled to unlimited public revenge against those who have slighted him, and will lash out reflexively, and Serdar Argic style, whenever anyone posts with certain "hot-button" keywords like Morse Code. Serdar accused anyone who disagreed with him as "criminal Armenian," even those who were ethnic Japanese. Similarly, I wonder if invectives like "Macho Morsemen" are aimed at Kim and Dee Dee, also.

When I pointed out areas of agreement, including one example where I was the only one to side with him in this forum, he replied with, "I don't give a flying fig about your 'position.'"

I also wonder why most of those that try to defend Len choose to post anonymously. I have agreed with Len on some issues, and have posted with my real name. One red flag that someone is being tuned out is the number of likely sock puppets that start to orbit around him.

>Indeed you miss out on the fact the Nocoders do disagree amoug
>ourselfs, and we are free to to do so, a sign of the greater freedom
>espoused by the NoCoders

I'm happy to debate with anyone on any subject, and though I may disagree, I will treat that person respectfully, even going so far as to separate behavior from personality. There's a difference between honest debate and sniping, insults, and ad-hominem attacks. It's also useful to clarify and make understandable your position by indicating whom you may agree with, rather than solipsistically dismissing any worldview other than your own with, "I don't give a flying fig about your 'position.'"

>but then you never seem to get the point, and indeed seem to prefer
>volumes on evasion rather than answer a few simple questions
>>
>> Dave K8MN

My comments on this docket are forthcoming. No evasion or avoidance of simple questions are planned.

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

408. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 13:49:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125928146.829727.272760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118284

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > > <1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >>Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > <snip>
> > >
> > >>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> > >>>the world was *not*
> > >>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> > >>>in 4004 BC
> > >>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
> > >>
> > >>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> > >>rested on the seventh day.
> > >>
> > >>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
>
> > > LOL!!!
>
> That's from Time Bandits as well.

Great! "Time Bandits" is used to critize someone else's faith.

> > > <snip>
> > >
> > >>>I highly doubt that it was created by
> > >>>a supreme being.
> > >>
> > >>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> > >>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
> > >
> > > I get a kick out of some of these discussions.
>
> I find them somewhat interesting and somewhat dismaying.
> The dismaying part is that the deeper meanings of the
> Bible stories are missed because folks are too busy
> taking them literally.
>
> For example, take the two contradictory creation
> stories in Genesis. First off, you find that relatively
> few have actually read them well enough to see the
```

> contradictions.
>
> But those contradictions only exist if the interpretation
> is literal. If you see the stories as parables, the contradictions
> don't matter.
>
> Or take the part about all of us being punished because
> of Adam eating the apple. Doesn't make any sense at first - you
> don't send a son to jail because his father robbed a bank!
>
> OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
> affect following generations.

Retention of the Morse Code exam.

(Why the heck did anyone
> ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
> sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
> in a hurricane zone?!)

Because it was fantastic for the mode of transportation available at
the time. Then it had momentum which brought us to the present point.
Are you suggesting the New Orleans be rebuilt at a different location,
or not be rebuilt at all?

> > > Especially regarding
> > > evolution. These "Christians" are constantly
> > > trying to poke holes in
> > > the theory, yet are too short-sighted
> > > to consider that 'evolution'
> > > (even with all it's holes) might be one of
> > > God's creations. If so,
> > > then they are effectively attacking their own faith.
> >
> > I've asked them that (one time I was trapped in a car
> > on a 4 hour drive
> > with a couple fundies- arrrgh) We had a grand old time.
> > I used to keep
> > me yap shut because it doesn't do much good, but
> > after the second hour
> > of them trying to save my soul, I unleashed the dogs on them.
>
> When did logic and reason become "the dogs"?

When you insisted that the government retain an arbitrary and
unnecessary exam.

> > Turns out
> > they did not know where the water came from or went to, and
> > didn't know
> > why the kangaroos had to swim from Australia to the middle east > in order not to
drown.

>
> Oh yes, the deluge. Lots of good stuff in there. Here's some more:
>
> The Book tells us how big the ark was and how many of each
> animal were taken aboard.
>
> Now since evolution supposedly doesn't happen, all of the land mammals
> and birds we see must have been on the ark, since otherwise they'd
> drown. The Book specifically mentions Noah
> sending out a bird, too.
>
> Not just the animals and birds themselves were on the ark but food and
> water for them.
>
> Look around for all the different species of land animals and birds
> around today. Then figure out how much space they'd all take up.
>
> Unless the ark was actually a tardis, it wasn't near big enough for all
> the different types of deer, bison, antelope, giraffe, elephant,
> cattle, oxen, sheep, swine, goat, emu, ostrich, eland, moose, horse,
> zebra, bear, lion, tiger, panther, caribou, etc., etc., etc.

You get too excited about the details.

> > Even my more serious questions were troublesome
> > for them,
> > especially since they were engineers. They really hated my
> > thoughts on
> > how if they were correct about the young universe
> > and Earth were fact,
> > some of the "facts" that they tried to use to
> > disprove Evolution, such
> > as dating anomalies, could not be true because
> > the basic nuclear decay
> > rates (or is that nukular?) were wrong to begin with.
>
> The basic explanation they use for all that is that it
> was made that way. Even down to the light from the stars more
> distant than 6000 light years. Just popped into being.
>
> Of course if someone accepts that "popped into being"
> explanation, the universe could only be an hour old...
>
> I think the real attraction of the "young universe" idea
> is that it's comforting and reduces people's
> environmental responsibility. Global warming? Resource
> depletion? Species extinction? No problems, because
> the Earth isn't old enough for there to be enough data.
>
> But if the Earth is billions of years old, the situation is very
> different.

Yep. Most of the pollution and extinctions occurred prior to man.
Comforting.

> If someone wants to believe the Earth is a bit more than 6000
> years old, that's fine with me. Just as if they want to believe
> that pi is equal to 3, that the earth is flat or the moon is
> made of cheese.

Obviously it's not fine with you. You make fun of them and their
faith. Yet I don't hear any making fun of strapping on a bomb for
one's faith. You've too much respect for that religion because GW Bush
isn't a Moslem.

> Just don't try to pass off those beliefs as "science", because
> they simply don't stand up to the scientific method.

They are two different things.

> When people insist that their religious beliefs be considered
> "scientific" even though they fall apart under scientific
> scrutiny, what they're really trying to do is destroy the
> scientific method.

Oh, is that it?

> Not a new thing. Look at what happened to Galileo. How many years did
> it take for the Vatican to admit they were wrong?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

How many years will it take for Miccolis to admit that he was wrong?

409. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 13:59:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125928792.293307.262540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118285

Dave Heil wrote:

> It has been a civil discussion. Don't read it if you don't care for it.
> It certainly beats Mark Morgan's endless, "lier" and "cuting Sevie..."
>
> Dave K8MN

Don't read Len if you don't care for it.
Don't read Mark if you don't care for it.
Don't read Brian if you don't care for it.

410. Re: (OT) : DaviD - " I got yer "Savage negro beast" in my pants. "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 14:37:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <i1moh15v2ip2vll85se21b14i645li7m6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 118286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 Sep 2005 19:48:06 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>DaviD - " I got yer ''Savage negro beast'' in my pants. "
> .
>Stay Tuned and see DaviD in 'White Boys Gone Wild !'
> .
>DaviD - Are 'you' now claiming to be "Black" ?
>Or are 'you' just another Liberal Want-A-Be suffering
>from Class and Social Envy for those Poor Black Folks
>that only 'you' can lead to true equality ?
> .
>once again - an example of : david being david ~ RHF
> . . . . .
It's a fucking joke, you twat.

411. Re: (OT) : DaviD - " I got yer "Savage negro beast" in my pants. "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 14:43:12 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <jbmoh15j0sfvo4phmt7kqll151600l6jcp@4ax.com>
Source record: 118287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 14:37:52 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On 4 Sep 2005 19:48:06 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>wrote:
>
>>DaviD - " I got yer ''Savage negro beast'' in my pants. "
>> .
>>Stay Tuned and see DaviD in 'White Boys Gone Wild !'
>> .
>>DaviD - Are 'you' now claiming to be "Black" ?
>>Or are 'you' just another Liberal Want-A-Be suffering
>>from Class and Social Envy for those Poor Black Folks
>>that only 'you' can lead to true equality ?
>> .
>>once again - an example of : david being david ~ RHF
>> . . . . .
>It's a fucking joke, you twat.

Kinda like you life?

412. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 14:53:05 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <dfhm4h$5d3$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 118289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K�HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
>>> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
>>> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
>>> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?
>
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> replied:
>
>> Yes
>
> OK, folks, every one of you who accepted food or lodging in the course of
> participating in an emergency communications incident is guilty of violating FCC
> rules.
>
> If the Red Cross or Salvation Army (btw, why is there no Salvation Navy)
> provided you with some identification garment like a hat or t-shirt, you must
> return the garment to the issuing agency. If you have lost or damaged the
> garment you must reimburse the RC/SA for the fair market value of the garment.
>
> You should file amended state and federal tax returns accounting for the value
> of the freebie meals/lodging/refreshments/transportation which was provided to
> you in the course of your volunteer assignment, with copies to all FCC
> Commissioners who will review your fitness to continue to hold your Amateur
> Radio license.
>
> Or not.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
Of course, if you reverse the wording, it makes the thing all make more
sense.

You volunteer for something, but they insist you wear a tshirt and hat,
and you MUST pay for it.

They won't provide food, so you've got to pay for it, even though you will
be in a situation where you can't easily bring lunch from home, and don't
have a wide selection of choices.

Then they will bill you for lodging.

Michael VE2BVW

413. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 14:54:20 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w_YSe.6456$Wd7.1080@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118288

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> How many years will it take for Miccolis to admit that he was wrong?
>

I was wrong only once. That was the time I thought I was wrong but it turned
out that I wasn't.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

414. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:05:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125932700.627606.9120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118290

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>hot> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> >>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> >>>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
> >>>>one who has little experience.
> >>>
> >>>As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
> >>
> >> Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
> >> that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.
> >
> > Closing a net with CW?
>
> The only nets I've ever closed with CW were CW nets.

More word play.

> >> I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
> >> PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
> >> rendered.
> >>
> >> Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
> >> as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
> >> expenses.
> >
> > Obviously he's not professional.
>
> ...not any more. There aren't any professional radio amateurs.

When did that end?

> Professional credentials don't get one a pass into amateur radio.

What? Another set of redundant licensing requirements?

I recall taking the GROL. Looked identical to the Amatuer Advanced
exam.

> >>>>>...they claim that
> >>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
> >>>
> >>>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
> >>>>has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
> >>>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
> >>>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
> >>>>deliberately fabricates.
> >>>
> >>>You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
> >>
> >> Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
> >> discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)
> >
> > demonized.
>
> Deep-sixed.

As in murdered?

> >>>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
> >>>>>well.
> >>>
> >>>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
> >>>>participated over a period of years.
> >>>
> >>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
> >>>radio operator?
> >>
> >> He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)
> >
> > And there is a purpose for his stating that you're not an amateur.
>
> There certainly is. It is to point out that Len isn't a radio amateur
> and that he has no experience in amateur radio.

There must be somthing more to it than that.

He is to amateur radio
> as a fishing rod to deer hunting.
>
> Dave K8MN

You're not even close enough to be considered a poor analogue.

415. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:15:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125933339.071160.136910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118291

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > >>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> > >>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> > >>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
> > >>
> > >>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> > >>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> > >>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
> > >>
> > >>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> > >>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
> > >
> > > The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
> > > think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.
> > >
> > That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
> > evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
> > Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
> > >
> > Do you ever tire of being wrong?
>
> Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
> on 6 meters?

Do you?
```

416. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:24:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QqZSe.865$9x2.286@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118292

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
>>>>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
>>>>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
>>>>>
>>>>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
>>>>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
>>>>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
>>>>>
>>>>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
>>>>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
>>>
>>>>The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
>>>>think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.
>>>
>>>That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
>>>evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
>>>Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
>>>
>>>Do you ever tire of being wrong?
>>
>>Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
>>on 6 meters?

> Do you?

Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
being one? I've never been out of band when working French ops on any
band. If French ops work outside their allocations, they are
responsible and it is up to the French PTT to do something about it.
Then again, you already knew that.

Do you ever tire of trying to make something out of nothing?

Dave K8MN

417. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:25:50 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125933950.525532.254240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Randy wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:AKPSe.6355$Wd7.3875@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE
>
> Todd,
>
> Up until this point, the conversation on this topic was reasoned and
> balanced.
>
> (according to who? You?)
>
>
> But you've chosen to resort to your habitual trash mouth mode, so you can
> find
> any further comments from me posted at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy .
>
> Don't go away mad, Hans. Just go away. Thank you very much.
^^

Plagiarist Lardass!

> With warmest kind wishes,
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> (in closing, Hans takes his dishes and goes home...)

418. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:27:13 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ltZSe.6462$Wd7.4494@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118294

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> I recall taking the GROL. Looked identical to the Amatuer Advanced
> exam.
>

The GROL exam has Amateur Radio questions in it?

I never knew that! Sunuvagun!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

419. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:30:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AwZSe.868$9x2.505@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118295

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot> >>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>>>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>>>>>>one who has little experience.
>>>>>
>>>>>As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
>>>>
>>>> Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
>>>> that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.
>>>
>>>Closing a net with CW?
>>
>>The only nets I've ever closed with CW were CW nets.
>
>
> More word play.
```

Word play? Hardly. I've never participated in a State Department net
on RTTY or CW.

>>>> I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
>>>> PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
>>>> rendered.
>>>>
>>>> Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
>>>> as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
>>>> expenses.
>>>
>>>Obviously he's not professional.
>>
>>...not any more. There aren't any professional radio amateurs.
>
>
> When did that end?

It never began.

>>Professional credentials don't get one a pass into amateur radio.
>
>
> What? Another set of redundant licensing requirements?

They aren't redundant. They're for different services.

> I recall taking the GROL. Looked identical to the Amatuer Advanced
> exam.

Really? Were there lots of regulatory questions dealing with the
amateur bands?

>
>>>>>>...they claim that
>>>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>>>>>>has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
>>>>>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
>>>>>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>>>>>>deliberately fabricates.
>>>>>
>>>>>You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
>>>>
>>>> Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
>>>> discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)
>>>
>>>demonized.
>>
>>Deep-sixed.
>
>

> As in murdered?

As in "deep-sixed".

>
>>>>>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>>>>>>well.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>>>>>>participated over a period of years.
>>>>>
>>>>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>>>>>radio operator?
>>>>
>>>> He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)
>>>
>>>And there is a purpose for his stating that you're not an amateur.
>>
>>There certainly is. It is to point out that Len isn't a radio amateur
>>and that he has no experience in amateur radio.
>
>
> There must be somthing more to it than that.

A read-between-the-lines guy like you would probably attempt to find a
hidden meaning or agenda.

> He is to amateur radio
>
>>as a fishing rod to deer hunting.

> You're not even close enough to be considered a poor analogue.

It'd be tough to come up with something. I'm a long time participant in
amateur radio. The closest Len can come is being an SWL.

Dave K8MN

420. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:35:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125934554.803536.311270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118296

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > > Steve lies. Here's proof:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ----------
> > > > > > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > > > > > case of your
> > > > > > misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > > > > > might be
> > > > > > read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> > > > > > it be
> > > > > > perceived as such."
> > > > > > ----------
> > > > > >
> > > > > Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
> > > > >
> > > > I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.
> > > >
> > > well I have seen one post from him yesterday he was flaming me claiming
> > > that my refusual to address every point of one of his repetive flame
> > > posts was censorship of him.
> > >
> > > I was glad to see it frankly as long as he posts every day or so we are
> > > safe since he is obviously not driving to one of to carru out one of
> > > his threats
> >
> > I dunno. I met someone at a McDonalds near Toledo to capture some GPS
> > data, and when I booted up my laptop, it said that I had service to the
> > Flying J and another truckstop's network. Most business oriented
> > hotels have WAN also. Steve can post from anywhere.
>
> I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie

You're 3x3. Say again all after "i."

> > > > MIA or AWOL?
> > >

> > > Definately MIA, stevie has always been MIA, plenty of talk though
> >
> > I used to refer to him at the "Yell, Yell Marine."

421. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:42:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125934968.831461.321300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118297

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
> >
> >
> >><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> >> Didn't they find that out with welfare?
> >
> >
> > You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
> > with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
> > "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
> > didn't it?
>
> You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
> introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
> Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
> morse exam.

Factual error? It was called the Tech-Plus. And before you go calling
me a liar, I'll correct myself and say, "Technician-Plus."

422. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 15:48:43 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1125935323.310153.14700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118298
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

It appears prejudice is alive and well in America...

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

423. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:00:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iNydnbrq64Ww8IHeRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 118351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote in message
news:dfhm4h$5d3$1@theodyn.ncf.ca...
>
> "K�HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
>>>> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
>>>> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities
>>>> and
>>>> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary
>>>> interest"?
>>
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> replied:
>>
>>> Yes
>>
>> OK, folks, every one of you who accepted food or lodging in the course of
>> participating in an emergency communications incident is guilty of
>> violating FCC
>> rules.
>>
>> If the Red Cross or Salvation Army (btw, why is there no Salvation Navy)
>> provided you with some identification garment like a hat or t-shirt, you
>> must
>> return the garment to the issuing agency. If you have lost or damaged
>> the
>> garment you must reimburse the RC/SA for the fair market value of the
>> garment.
>>
>> You should file amended state and federal tax returns accounting for the
>> value
>> of the freebie meals/lodging/refreshments/transportation which was
>> provided to
>> you in the course of your volunteer assignment, with copies to all FCC
>> Commissioners who will review your fitness to continue to hold your
>> Amateur
>> Radio license.
>>
>> Or not.
>>

>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
> Of course, if you reverse the wording, it makes the thing all make more
> sense.
>
> You volunteer for something, but they insist you wear a tshirt and hat,
> and you MUST pay for it.
>
> They won't provide food, so you've got to pay for it, even though you will
> be in a situation where you can't easily bring lunch from home, and don't
> have a wide selection of choices.
>
> Then they will bill you for lodging.
>
> Michael VE2BVW
>

Either way, you end up with less than when you started even accounting for being able to deduct them on your income taxes.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

424. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:02:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <i_ZSe.878$9x2.662@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118299

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
```

>>
>>>>>>>>>Just as they have not permitted you to comment about "amateur" radio
>>>>>>>>>because you hold no license, NoServers may not comment about the
>>>>>>>>>military.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hold on, Sparky. Len has commented here at great length and on many,
>>>>>>>>many occasions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering Len's typical
>>>>>>insulting demeanor. What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>>>
>>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>>>
>>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>>>
>>>We were instructed to discard Len's comments.
>>
>>...and you always follow instruction--right?

> And you always give instruction not to be followed--right?

...and you always follow instruction--right?

>>>>>In the end, if they cannot
>>>>>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s)...
>>>>
>>>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>>>>one who has little experience.
>>>
>>>
>>>As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
>>
>>Net control capabilities? What in the world are you going on about?
>
>
> Opening and closing a RTTY net with CW. Hi!

I've been involved in both as a radio amateur. Len wouldn't know
anything about that. I've never been involved in either as a State
Department employee. Len wouldn't know anything about that either.

>>>>>...they claim that
>>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>>>
>>>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>>>>has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
>>>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,

>>>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>>>>deliberately fabricates.
>>>
>>>You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
>>
>>I'd settle for 80-90%.
>
>
> About the same percentage as your commnets. Imagine that!

No RTTY or CW State Department "commnets".

>>>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>>>>well.
>>>>
>>>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>>>>participated over a period of years.
>>>
>>>
>>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>>>radio operator?
>>
>>I didn't know there was going to be a quiz.
>
>
> There is always a quiz where your motives are concerned.

I'm taking a whiz on your quiz.

> It has to be fewer than the
>
>>number of recountings of his ADA tale or his comments about FCC staffers
>>don't need to hold amateur radio licenses.
>
>
> Are FCC staffers required to hold an amateur radio license in order to
> hold their positions?

Are they paid for regulating amateur radio? Are DMV employees required
to hold a driving license? Are DNR clerks mandated to have a hunting
license? Do you understand any of this?

FCC staffers - regulate amateur radio - receive a salary

radio amateurs - participate in amateur radio - receive no salary

Len Anderson - not a regulator or participant - receives no salary

>>>>Len isn't involved in amateur
>>>>radio. He wraps himself in bunting and writes of his Constitutional
>>>>rights of free speech and to petition his government. Well, he has done
>>>>those things. Nothing on this planet can prevent me from lauging at him
>>>>or ridiculing him or his ideas.

>>>
>>>Nor him you.
>>
>>That's where I came in. Len's been doing that almost since my first
>>posts to this newsgroup in 1996.
>
>
> Congratulations on almost a century of posting meaningless drivel.

A century, huh? That must be the new math.

>>>>Len writes of being denigrated or
>>>>insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
>>>>denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.
>>>
>>>Perhaps Len is correct to do so.
>>
>>The signs point to his not being correct.
>
>
> Please point out those "signs."

Check under the Google sign. Take some Pepto Bismol first and be
prepared to spend some time.

>>>>He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
>>>>policy,
>>>
>>>Get a clue, he's giving it back to you. He's been told that he is not
>>>an amateur radio operator and should be here. This is a place only of
>>>amateurs and amateur things.
>>
>>I don't think Len has ever been told that he should be here. :-)
>
>
> Typo.

A *big* typo.

> You really are a frustrated technical writer, aren't you?

I'm neither frustrated nor a technical writer. I don't have the knack
for making simple terms seem complex.

> Back to the subject.

Really? You're going to lay waste to Frank of Silliland's silliness?

>
>>Len has declared a several-decades-long "interest" in amateur radio.
>
>
> OK.

I'm sure he feels better now that you've blessed the concept.

>>He's never been interested enough to even attempt passing a license
>>exam.
>
>
> How do you know that?

That's easy. He has told us so.

>>Len was going to go for an "Extra right out of the box" several
>>years back. That hasn't happened.
>
>
> How do you know that?

Another easy one. He has told us so.

>>We have him declaring within the
>>past few months that he has *no interest* in obtaining an amateur radio
>>license. Tsk, tsk. What is one to believe?
>
>
> Perhaps he has tried and failed. Many people fail the tests.

It is certainly possible for you to be correct. Do you think Len is
fibbing about taking a test because he doesn't want to embarrass himself?

>>>>then he goes on a multi-post rant having everything to do with
>>>>personalities and nothing to do with amateur radio.
>>>
>>>Have you ever thought of reigning in Robeson?
>>
>>Am I in charge of Steve's postings? Feel free to take on the job if you
>>think it should be done.
>
> Yet you think that you are in charge of Anderson. You take it as a
> personal challenge to reign in Len's postings.

I do? I've never told Len to shut up or to go away. I've countered
him, challenged his assertions and ridiculed a number of his ideas.

The word is "rein".

> Why is that?

It isn't.

>>>When you do, get back to
>>>me about Len and we'll talk some more.
>>
>>Howzzat? Did I suggest that it is up to you to control Len's bad behavior?
>
>
> Then end your decade-long griping about Len.

Thanks, but no thanks.

> Take your own advice and
> simply don't read it.

You and others are free to ignore my advice. I feel free to ignore yours.

> And don't start tail-ending someone elses
> comments as Jim has, in order to comment on Len's opinions. Hi!

How about if I simply ignore your suggestions? There's certainly
precedent for doing so.

>>>>You, of course, are Len's little electrolytic acolyte.
>>
>>>And you are the World Famous DXer that works out of band Frenchmen on 6
>>>Meters.
>>
>>Well, I certainly operate on 6m, but always within the regs which govern
>>my amateur radio operation. I don't control French radio amateurs any
>>more than I'm responsible for Steve's posts.

> I'd prefer not to engage out of band Frenchmen on six meters...

You are free to check the allocations of any country's radio amateurs
before working them. You may quiz any foreign or domestic radio
amateurs about whether they are outside their alloted bands or band
segments. You may complain to any country's PTT if its amateurs call you
outside their published allocations. I woulnd't encourage just anyone
to do so but I feel that you need purpose in your life.

> ...and not
> to give Robeson a pass on his outrageous behavio[u]r by remaining
> silent.

I don't control the postings of Steve Robeson. He is responsible for
his own postings. I'm free to comment or not comment on them. You
speak of them as outrageous. I feel that comments directed to Steve
about his military service, border on outrageous. You have written some
of them. Frank the CBer and Leonard Anderson have written others.

I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
standards, responsible for his posts?

> You, of course, will do both.

I will operate under the regs imposed by my license. I will not take
personal responsibility for newsgroup posts other than my own. Those
are two things you'll have to live with.

Dave K8MN

425. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:06:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <52_Se.881$9x2.629@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118350

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:08:47 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <3t8Se.5893$9i4.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>
> First you wrote:
>
>
>>...Ain't no
>>one thumping chests here.
>
>
>
> Immediately followed by:
>
>
>
>>I have ran several pieces of traffic from the disaster area tonight. Made
>>the calls and I'm damn proud of Ham Radio.
>
>
>
> Hypocrite.
>
>
>
>> If you don't like it. Gent
>>bent
>
>
>
> It's morons like you who secretly -wanted- something this bad to
> happen just so you could have the opportunity to "step up to the
> plate". Yep, all those people are suffering and dying so -you- can
> feel good about being a ham -- you -should- be proud.

You're statement is more than stupid. I know of no one, radio amateur
or not, who wanted such a tragedy to take place.

> Get some perspective you sick <expletive deleted>.

Wow! It is difficult to believe that one who thinks the way you do,
could accept that it is you who needs the perspective.

Dave K8MN

426. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:06:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Bfqdnd8POeat84HeRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 118353

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:431c4af6$0$230$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> In <1125892234.242526.71780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> writes:
>

[snip]

> Similarly, I
> wonder if invectives like "Macho Morsemen" are aimed at Kim and Dee Dee,
> also.
>

Of course they are. Especially if one has not only passed the code but also
had the audacity to get their Extra class ticket. And heaven help you if you
actually USE Morse code.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

427. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:11:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <G6_Se.883$9x2.788@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118352

Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> In article <DfaSe.4151$4P5.1149@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>It sounds like you are on some medications
>>>>>yourself.
>>>>
>>>>You're hearing sounds?
>>>
>>>
>>>Of course he hearing sounds what do you expect that he smell them
>>>instead. Indeed the only thing anyone hear is sound
>>
>>Great, Mark, you're hearing sounds on usenet too?
>
>
> I bet you hear voices in your empty head, don't you?

You know, you're right! I'm thinking it might be the headphones.

> You have obvious mental
> health issues if you think one man is the only one who posts using anonymous
> remailers.

Plenty of folks, usually those with something to hide, use anonymous
remailers. We have only one with an ax to grind with me, who monitors
the local repeaters and the West Virginia net (but doesn't check in).

>>I sit here reading words. The computer isn't making a single sound.
>
>
> Oh? You don't have a cooling fan on your CPU or even the system board? You
> won't have that computer very much longer.

The fan is totally inaudible. Maybe yours is simply wearing out.

>>Did you read what the semi-anonymous Roger wrote, or did you just decide to
>
> jump in with both
>
>>feet inserted in your yap?
>
> AS I said, it would seem that you need the mental help, if you think this Roger
> is the only one who uses anonymous remailers. Perhaps you should seek mental
> help and counseling if you think he is behind every anonymous post. Enjoy that
> foot in *your* mouth. More pepper?

Read my comments above and be sure to take your lithium.

Dave K8MN

428. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:13:37 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125936817.437108.200800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118354

```
hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > cut
> > > > > > > Steve lies. Here's proof:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > ----------
> > > > > > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > > > > > case of your
> > > > > > misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > > > > > might be
> > > > > > read that way. For an offense to have occured, it onl;y requires that
> > > > > > it be
> > > > > > perceived as such."
> > > > > > ----------
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
> > > > > >
> > > > > I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.
> > > > >
> > > > well I have seen one post from him yesterday he was flaming me claiming
> > > > that my refusual to address every point of one of his repetive flame
> > > > posts was censorship of him.
> > > >
> > > I was glad to see it frankly as long as he posts every day or so we are
> > > safe since he is obviously not driving to one of to carru out one of
> > > his threats
> > >
> > I dunno. I met someone at a McDonalds near Toledo to capture some GPS
> > data, and when I booted up my laptop, it said that I had service to the
> > Flying J and another truckstop's network. Most business oriented
> > hotels have WAN also. Steve can post from anywhere.
> >
> I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie
>
> You're 3x3. Say again all after "i."
```

you and I can certainly post from anyway but can stevie
>
> > > > > MIA or AWOL?
> > > >
> > > > Definately MIA, stevie has always been MIA, plenty of talk though
> > >
> > > I used to refer to him at the "Yell, Yell Marine."

429. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:15:13 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125936913.818018.227440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118355

I love the way Dave and Jim dismiss the existance of whole license
class

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
> > >
> > >
> > > >><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > >
> > > >> Didn't they find that out with welfare?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
> > > > with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
> > > > "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
> > > > didn't it?
> > >
> > You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
> > introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
> > Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
> > morse exam.
>
> Factual error? It was called the Tech-Plus. And before you go calling
> me a liar, I'll correct myself and say, "Technician-Plus."

430. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:15:35 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <a9_Se.15018$ZL4.12516@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118356

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1125623827.627282.287040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>
>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>
> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>
> Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
> infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
> with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.
>
> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
> equipment and render it useless as well.
>
> The relief efforts in Louisiana and Mississippi are being
> handled by MANY, MANY different volunteers and MOST of them
> do not appear to be or are identified as amateur radio
> operators.
>
>>7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>
> Those networks are operating OUTSIDE the flood regions,
> have NOT been inundated with flood waters.
>
>>I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>>Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>>to
>>family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>
> Then that young lady is NOT under water and has gotten to a
> location that is NOT under water or destroyed (somehow, you
> have not specified that transport) and has the help of
> an amateur radio station set up for this purpose on DRY land
> (some place unspecified).
>
>>Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>>before.
>
> That is excellent service that is provided (partly) by amateur
> radio. "Daddy" somehow has gotten the news and that probably

> is completed over a telephone circuit...a telephone circuit of
> the evil "commercial infrastructure" that was NOT destroyed.
>
>>And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>>it.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've NEVER been "anti-ham." What I HAVE been
> against is the morse code test for any amateur radio license.
> What I HAVE been against is the braggadoccio of morse code mode
> over and above any other mode in effectiveness. What I HAVE
> been against is that morse code mode is the "only" mode possible
> in emergency communications.
>
> And what I HAVE been against is all you PCTA emotional retards
> who think/state/live the notion that U.S. amateur radio is ONLY
> about morse code mode, morsemanship, and neglecting the majority
> of licensed radio amateurs who do NOT agree with you waving the
> ham flag as ONLY yours and ONLY that of the morsemen.
>
> You greatly confuse all of "hamdom" as having YOUR personal
> opinion. You don't understand that someone having opinions
> contrary to YOURS does NOT act in any "anti-amateur" manner.
>
>>Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>
> Dan, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

This is from the Sunday Pensacola News Journal:

Ham-radio operators

assist in rescue fforts

Tallahassee ham-radio operators guided emergency workers through daring helicopter rescue :, Friday of 1 ,500 patients and staff from two New Orleans hospitals besieged by darkness and gunfire.

Urged by rapidly rising water 8 feet deep in places and the growing reality that New Orleans had become a drowning pool, the operators fashioned a satellite receptor atop an 8-story building in downtown Tallahassee.

Three of them - Theo Titus, Gene Floyd and Bill Schmidt - boarded a helicopter in Tallahassee on Wednesday and headed for New Orleans.

Atop the garage at Tulane University Hospital and Clinic, they set up a generator-powered .. ham radio with a satellite uplink.

The men used that communication to direct pilots through the near-war zone as they evacuated decimated hospitals. "There were a lot of heroes in this operation," said operator Chuck Hall. Hall said the rescue was a small victory, but bigger obstacles remain. Hospitals have to be rebuilt. Patients

have to get well, and New Orleans still faces months of recovery. "We had to overcome some small hurdles today, but the big hurdles are in front of us.".

If THAT isn't in the thick of it, I really don't know WHAT you really want. I recall a thread recently where you insisted that Amateur Radio had no "real" part in emergency operations and that most such communications was handled withOUT the help of Amateur Radio. Yada Yada, Yada. Much will be done by MANY agencies and groups-even people lending backs and hands or a mere handkerchief to the effort. *Some* may be by Morse; much of it not. Some will handled by satellite and other data links as can be established like the gentlemen in the article. I am seeing PLENTY of articles on TV and radio about hams in the thick of the action--Even Larry King Live mentioned! The crux of your angst was not the Morse issue, but the viability of Amateur Radio itself as a vital part of our nation's communications infrastructure, which it is HAS been since the beginning. Nothing puts the lie to your ham-radio-is-not-vital-to-emergency-communications diatribe than this horrific tragedy. NO! It is NOT the onlyone--no one's saying it is--but it is certainly doing what it does best; serving the community and nation in anyway it can. And there are thousands staying out of the way until the phone rings, "Can YOU come and do....."? Or relaying messages into and out of NO and other stricken area. MOST amateurs that respond, whether it be some heroic effort or merely letting a daughter know that her Daddy is safe via HF radio will do so without expecting or receiving so much as a quick "Thanks, man". They wouldn't have it any other way! :)

J

431. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:19:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125937194.651904.112410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118357

Paul W. Schleck wrote:
> In <1125892234.242526.71780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> "an old friend"
<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm
> >cut
>
>
> >> "...and INSULTING each and every person who contradicts him or just
> >> expresses an opposing viewpoint. NOT a good image."
> >>
> >> --Len Anderson
> >>
> >> Sometimes you even snap and snarl at those who share your goals, Len.
>
> >sometimes he does as is his right. I am sure he will even agree you
> >have the right to snap and snarl at everyone who disgrees with you
>
> >but you missed the point(s) as usaul
>
> >Amoug them being
>
> >Your snap at everyone, your right but not a good image.
>
> >Len does reserve to right to snapp at folks too, event hose he shares
> >goals with
>
> Len may have the "right" to do a lot of things, but if he truly wants to
> "win friends and influence people" in this debate, and leave a personal
> legacy other than that of a Usenet "kook," he might want to take stock

again missing the point

432. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:25:35 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125937535.762342.298770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118358

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> >>>
> >>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
> >>>radio operator?
> >>
> >>I didn't know there was going to be a quiz.
> >
> >
> > There is always a quiz where your motives are concerned.
>
> I'm taking a whiz on your quiz.

gee where is Stevie on that one
cut

>
> I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> standards, responsible for his posts?

because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you

One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
lying
cut
> Dave K8MN

433. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:26:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sk_Se.891$9x2.103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118359

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <xk6Se.6948$z2.4952@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
>>
>>>In article <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3...@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Ethan Jones wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

>>>>Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
>>>>the voices begin talking to you again.
>>
>>>Who's Roger, you utterly stupid man?
>>
>>He's living proof that no man is a total loss. Roger serves as a
>>horrible example.
>
>
> Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man.

What do you know of real men, Roger/not Roger? You're reduced to hiding
behind anonymous remailers. You can't use your own name lest you have
the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.

> No wonder you
> were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."

I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".
How and why are you concerned?

> You seem
> to have issues seeing one person behind every anonymous post.
> As if just one
> person would use anonymous remailers. God, are you stupid.

You follow your posts with some mindless drivel about Lloyd Davies and
no one is supposed to know who you are. You monitor local FM repeaters
and the West Virginia net and I'm not supposed to know who you are, heh
heh. Yep, you're the epitome of shrewdness.

>>>It sounds like you are on some medications
>>>yourself.
>>
>>You're hearing sounds?
>
>
> No, stupid. If you would perhaps get a clue, you'd be able to have better
> audio. Now go take your much needed Valium.

You're as nervous about actually transmitting as you are about using
only anonymous remailers. You don't have *any* audio, Wiseman.

> --
> Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own <snip>

Dave K8MN

434. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:27:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1m_Se.5620$4P5.956@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote

>
> They won't provide food, so you've got to pay for it, even though you will
> be in a situation where you can't easily bring lunch from home, and don't
> have a wide selection of choices.
>

Is that written in Canookian? All the words seem to be English, but ....

73, de Hans, K0HB

435. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:29:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mn_Se.894$9x2.470@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118361

John Smith wrote:
> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
> Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
> that I can't pass myself.
>
>
> That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur radio (the
> rf bands) should be open to ALL AMERICANS...

Every American (and foreigners living in America) have the very same
opportunity for obtaining an amateur radio license.

> I happen to have been favored by my creator. If I understand where I
> stand on IQ charts, roughly 5% of people are my equal or betters...

You're not supposed to stand on them. It is quite possible that folks
with a lower IQ could still be your equal or betters.

> If I
> took a test which fully tested my abilities, then required the same of
> others--I could end up rather lonely...

I'm guessing that you're already rather lonely.

> I think the test should be one which at least 95% of ALL amercians can
> pass--more or less like a drivers license...

Just use your CB radio or find a newsgroup to haunt. That'll end your
loneliness.

Dave K8MN

436. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:30:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125937831.630684.259970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote
>
> >
> > They won't provide food, so you've got to pay for it, even though you will
> > be in a situation where you can't easily bring lunch from home, and don't
> > have a wide selection of choices.
> >
>
> Is that written in Canookian? All the words seem to be English, but ....
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

ah the open mindedness of hams

one boasts of killing gooks another address canaadian pagoritively

437. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:40:57 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ylWdnZ2dnZ2a0nKLnZ2dnYfogd6dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@crocker.com>
Source record: 118363

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Mn_Se.894$9x2.470@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
>> that I can't pass myself.
>>
>>
>> That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur radio (the
>> rf bands) should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
>
> Every American (and foreigners living in America) have the very same
> opportunity for obtaining an amateur radio license.
>
>> I happen to have been favored by my creator. If I understand where I
>> stand on IQ charts, roughly 5% of people are my equal or betters...
>
> You're not supposed to stand on them. It is quite possible that folks
> with a lower IQ could still be your equal or betters.
>
>> If I
>> took a test which fully tested my abilities, then required the same of
>> others--I could end up rather lonely...
>
> I'm guessing that you're already rather lonely.
>
>> I think the test should be one which at least 95% of ALL amercians can
>> pass--more or less like a drivers license...

lets see, to get a drivers license you have to be at least 16, that cuts out
a lot of americans who make good hams. you have to be able to see, that
cuts out some more hams. you have to be able to exert some kind of
mechanical control over the vehicle, that cuts out some more. you can't
have an active seziure disorder, that cuts out more... boy, sure does seem
harder to get a drivers license than a ham ticket... considering the
youngest extra operator recently was a 9 year old girl, i know a couple
blind hams, and some who couldn't get a drivers license for other medical
reasons. and then there are the idiots who lose their license because they
are just too plain dumb to follow the rules, oh wait, we do have a couple of
hams who fit that description also.

>
> Just use your CB radio or find a newsgroup to haunt. That'll end your
> loneliness.

>
> Dave K8MN

i think he just found a group to haunt, and someone to keep him from being lonely!

438. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:41:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3z_Se.904$9x2.885@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118364

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

>
> because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>

Yup, draftee Colonel Morgan of the Chemical Corps. It's not a lie. At worst,
the US Army is just "eeing things differently than you".

Yup, that's the explanation.

With all kind personal wishes,
de Hans, K0HB

439. Re: (OT) : DaviD - " I got yer "Savage negro beast" in my pants. "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:50:33 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <optoh15bgp02s7a7gdahh85ce9osqbt939@4ax.com>
Source record: 118365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 10:43:12 -0400, Beerbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:

>On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 14:37:52 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On 4 Sep 2005 19:48:06 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>DaviD - " I got yer ''Savage negro beast'' in my pants. "
>>> .
>>>Stay Tuned and see DaviD in 'White Boys Gone Wild !'
>>> .
>>>DaviD - Are 'you' now claiming to be "Black" ?
>>>Or are 'you' just another Liberal Want-A-Be suffering
>>>from Class and Social Envy for those Poor Black Folks
>>>that only 'you' can lead to true equality ?
>>> .
>>>once again - an example of : david being david ~ RHF
>>> . . . . .
>>It's a fucking joke, you twat.
>
>
>Kinda like you life?

Kinda like me life...

You got a problem with that?

440. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:51:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XH_Se.910$9x2.68@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote
>>
>> >
>> > They won't provide food, so you've got to pay for it, even though you will
>> > be in a situation where you can't easily bring lunch from home, and don't
>> > have a wide selection of choices.
>> >
>>
>> Is that written in Canookian? All the words seem to be English, but
>> .....
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

> canaadian pagoritively

Well, at least the esteemed ham from Canookia used English words. The esteemed
old_friend from Michigan used words from an unknown language.

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB

441. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:53:12 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125939192.967108.271170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118367

KØHB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
> >
> > because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >
>
> Yup, draftee Colonel Morgan of the Chemical Corps. It's not a lie. At worst,
> the US Army is just "eeing things differently than you".

that stament of rank was alie as I admited years ago
both you and Dave and stevie refuse to accept that

or adknowledge that a fellow has right to use what tols are at hand
when threatened as stevie did

> With all kind personal wishes,

now that staement is a lie one of those common social lies
> de Hans, K0HB

442. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:55:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125939309.272858.69040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

learn to quote people correctly

443. Re: (OT) : DaviD - " I got yer "Savage negro beast" in my pants. "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 16:56:17 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <c4uoh1pus7r3rb8q91rpu4ihbkj8fi1tng@4ax.com>
Source record: 118369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 16:50:33 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 10:43:12 -0400, Beerbarrel <xxx@xxx.xxx> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 14:37:52 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On 4 Sep 2005 19:48:06 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>DaviD - " I got yer ''Savage negro beast'' in my pants. "
>>>> .
>>>>Stay Tuned and see DaviD in 'White Boys Gone Wild !'
>>>> .
>>>>DaviD - Are 'you' now claiming to be "Black" ?
>>>>Or are 'you' just another Liberal Want-A-Be suffering
>>>>from Class and Social Envy for those Poor Black Folks
>>>>that only 'you' can lead to true equality ?
>>>> .
>>>>once again - an example of : david being david ~ RHF
>>>> . . . . .
>>>It's a fucking joke, you twat.
>>
>>
>>Kinda like you life?
>
>Kinda like me life...
>
>You got a problem with that?

Nope, it's your problem! You keep it.

444. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:06:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zW_Se.915$9x2.170@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

> learn to quote people correctly

Those two words are a precise copy of the words you used. (Ain't Google wonderful!)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

445. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:08:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%X_Se.6485$Wd7.505@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118371

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>>>Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
>>>know, only you hold that distinction and title.
>>
>> He should be PROUD of it.
>
>
> Perhaps I'll petition my ARRL to come up with a new operating award.

I encourage you to follow through with your idea. It is certain to be
received with the attention it deserves.

>> Heil believes in the good-old-boys-pecking-order-in-club-house
>> rule of only those tenured in licensing are "suitable" for
>> "leadership." Heil doesn't want to understand that ALL U.S. civil
>> radio is regulated and enforced by the FCC, NOT the licensees.
>
>
> And the Dept. of State is a vehicle for DX destinations.

It can be, *if* you know what you're doing.

>>>>> But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.
>>>>
>>>>What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've
>>>>haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a
>>>>radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now.
>>>
>>>Hmmmm? Why would Heil make such a statement?
>>
>> It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
>> worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
>> Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
>> ANY diplomacy there.

There you go, Brian. Len's made another factual error. It won't be
five years until the end of this year. As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".

> He was merely their messenger.

Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.

>>>> I'll bet
>>>>you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
>>>>fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
>>>>everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
>>>>somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.
>>>
>>>I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
>>>WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
>>>cracks me up.
>>
>> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
>> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
>> morsemanship in the 1980s...
>
>
> He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?

He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.

>> claiming that "radio communications
>> paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
>> modes."
>
>
> He was probably doing something wrong.

Actually, I maintained the lowest QSY rate of any AFRECONE station.
That part about claiming that propagation paths were so poor that there
were times when they wouldn't support encrypted RTTY communications? It
was absolutely true. Then again, neither you nor Len know where the
other end of my circuit was. That'll just have to remain a mystery.

>> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
>> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
>> effort of foreign policy.

Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?

> "Sorry Len, State Dept. Communications >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi,
> hi!

You wrote it. It is your quote. Don't be surprised if you see it again.

>
>> Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
>> teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
>> elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
>> get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
>> was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
>> Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis

>> from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
>> "equatorial region" of the African continent]
>
>
> I would consider it so. But I only have a degree in Geography.

With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
worked into. Good luck.

>> Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
>> are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur
>> radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
>> would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
>> radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
>> amateur radio license grants.
>>
>> That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
>> U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.

Len has discussed. Len had commented. I'm guessing that Len has
talked, though there's no evidence of it here. Len has insulted. Len
has denigrated. Len has belittled.

As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.

> Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.

Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
floor rooms.

>>>>> Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
>>>>> post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
>>>>> K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
>>>>> Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
>>>>> reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
>>>>> misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
>>>>> differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
>>>>> "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
>>>>
>>>>I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
>>>>real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
>>>>warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
>>>>"Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.
>>>
>>>It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
>>>meters, IMHO.
>>

>> Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
>> schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
>> "typographical" errors in spelling.
>
>
> Dave is smug.

I certainly can be from time to time. Len used a couple of words three or more times each. He spelled them in the same incorrect way each time. They were not typographical errors. They were Len's spelling errors. Did you know that Len claims to be a PROFESSIONAL writer?

>> I did not mention any Hun
>> who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
>> a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
>> of Hunnish to English.
>

> Dave must be multi-lingual.

If the word belligerent is based in Latin, then I am. Len seems to think it was used by Attila and his horde.

Dave K8MN

446. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:13:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <f1%Se.6487$Wd7.5657@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118372

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>> Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
>>> that I can't pass myself.
>>>That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur > radio (the rf bands)
>>should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
>>
>>They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
>>a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.
>
>
> Don't forget cute little 6-year-olds who passed their WRITTENS.

It is a cinch that *you* haven't forgotten them. :-)

>>All an IQ test shows is how well you take IQ tests. There
>>are many different kinds of intelligence, and trying to
>>describe them with a single number is ludicrous.
>
>
> OK, so now we all know that Jimmie didn't score high on a
> Stanford-Binet IQ test! :-)

You're big on jumping to false conclusions. You might be setting
yourself up to make another factual error. :-) :-)

>>And when you say "95% of all [Americans]" - does that include
>>those under the age of, say, 5 years? How about those with
>>severe developmental delays and deficits? Does it include those
>>Americans with dementia or Alzheimer's disease? How about those
>>who are illiterate or barely literate, those for whom English is
>>a foreign language, and those with all sorts of other problems
>>and limitations?
>>
>>Or do you mean 95% of all healthy, educated, "average" Americans over
>>the age of, say, 10 years?
>
>

> The present written tests are simple enough for two SIX YEAR OLDS
> to pass their license tests under the watchful eye of kindly,
> grandfatherly-looking VEs. Imagine, two SIX YEAR OLDS with perfect
> understanding of all regulations and the MATURITY to act
> responsibly on their own!

Yeah, imagine that. If they could do it, it would seem that a guy like
you could do it--but you haven't.

Dave K8MN

447. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:24:13 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125941053.246798.275850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
KØHB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
> > learn to quote people correctly
>
> Those two words are a precise copy of the words you used. (Ain't Google
> wonderful!)
with out the rest of them
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
```

448. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:28:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ge%Se.6498$Wd7.1814@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118374

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> Imagine, two SIX YEAR OLDS with perfect
> understanding of all regulations

Well, maybe not "perfect understanding" --- a score of
74.285714285714285714285714285714% on the Technician and General written exams,
or a score of 74.000000000000000000000% on the Extra written is considered by
the FCC as adequate understanding.

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB

449. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:28:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9f%Se.925$9x2.511@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118375

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am
>>
>>That's nice, Len. Who attempted to silence you? Have you told > anyone to shut up or leave the newsgroup? :-) :-)
>
>
> Well, there was the classic "feldwebel post"....

...and several others where Len told others to go away.

>>>>>>And what has Jim's response been to Len's comments?
>
>
>>>>>It has been quite varied and quite mild considering
>>>>>Len's typical insulting demeanor.
>
>
>>> Oh, my! "typical insulting demeanor?" Davie is above such
>>> things? Not by all the archives in Google! :-)
>>
>>Yes, Len, your typical insulting demeanor.
>
>
> I think you meant "behavior", Dave.

>>You know, the one which
>>brought about the creation of Jim's apropos profile of your
>>likely actions:
>>
>>N2EY: "Besides, here's a simple, plain fact:
>>
>>No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
>>government/military service that a radio amateur has, if
>>said radio
>>amateur opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
>>the target of Mr.
>>Anderson's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual
>>errors, ethnic
>>slurs, excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."
>>

>
> Actually there's an updated version:
>
> No matter what employment, education, experience or
> government/military service a person has, if that
> person opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
> the target of Mr. Anderson's insults, ridicule,
> name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
> excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior.
>
> I've found it to be a very accurate predictor of Len's
> behavior on rrap. Len of course has parodied/plaigirized
> and in doing so simply provide more proof of its validity.
>
> Note that the profile does not call Len names or
> other direct insults. It simply predicts his behavior when
> faced with opposition to his views.
>
> Perhaps Len considers such opposition to be the
> ultimate insult to him.

I'd forgotten about the updated version. I'll save that one.

>>>>What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>
>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>
>
> Nor would I. What I have done is to point out errors
> in Len's reasoning and claims. And I backed it up
> with google quotes and other info.

Those posts have resulted in action by Len which fits the profile
precisely. You've been called any number of names. Your education has
been trivialized. He'd tackle you on your job if he knew anything about it.

> For example, some time back Len posted that all hams could
> continue to operate legally with licenses that were in the grace
> period. I showed that to be in error, by simply quoting the
> appropriate sections of Part 97. Len's behavior in response to
> that was typical of the profile.

Len is a prisoner of the profile.

>>> Right now, there's not a damn thing Jimmie can do about
>>> it...
>
>
> There's plenty that people "can do about it". No one chooses to.
> Not worth the time or effort.
>
>

>>Slow down and regroup, Len. Jim has done nothing to prevent
>>you from
>>commenting to this newsgroup or to your government. Your rant > is vapor.
>
>
> Dave, consider that perhaps Len considers *any* opposition to
> his views as an attempt to silence him.

It appears that Len wants special treatment. He not only wants to
comment, he wants acceptance of or reverance for his views. When either
is absent, he follows the profile.

>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>
>
> Free speech includes the right to discredit other's comments and
> opinions if those comments and opinions are not based on facts and
> sound logical reasoning. Some of Len's comments and opinions are not
> based on facts and sound logical reasoning.

Len still reminds me of old Mr. Rober, our neighbor in Lake Worth,
Florida back in the 1950's. He is an angry, aging white guy, typing
angry letters to various editors.

>>>>In the end, if they cannot
>>>>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s),
>>>>they claim that
>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>
>
> Actually the logic is quite different. It comes down to
> asking why Len is so interested in amateur radio policy
> even though Len is not a ham and has never been one. There
> has been a nocodetest amateur radio license in the USA since
> 1991, yet Len never got one. The maximum code test required
> for any US amateur radio license has been 5 wpm since 1990 (with
> medical waiver) and since 2000 without a waiver.
>
> Nor is Len a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment, nor does
> he have anything to do with FCC.
>
> More than 5-1/2 years ago, Len told us he was going for Extra,
> but didn't say when, and it hasn't happened yet.
>
> And regardless of how someone replies to Len's posts here, Len will
> reply according to the profile.
>
> It's just his way.
>
> Why should anyone reply to his posts at all, Dave?

Len is my experiment in human behavior. Strange things happen when he is flexed.

Dave K8MN

450. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:37:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1n%Se.933$9x2.100@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118376

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>
>>>>Didn't they find that out with welfare?
>>>
>>>
>>> You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
>>> with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
>>> "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
>>> didn't it?
>>
>>You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
>>introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
>>Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
>>morse exam.
>
>
> Factual error? It was called the Tech-Plus. And before you go calling
> me a liar, I'll correct myself and say, "Technician-Plus."

The Tech-Plus came into existence long, long after the implementation of
Incentive Licensing.

Dave K8MN

451. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:41:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Br%Se.939$9x2.263@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118377

an Old friend wrote:
> Paul W. Schleck wrote:
>
>>In <1125892234.242526.71780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> "an old friend"
<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm
>>>
>>>cut
>>
>>
>>>>"...and INSULTING each and every person who contradicts him or just
>>>>expresses an opposing viewpoint. NOT a good image."
>>>>
>>>> --Len Anderson
>>>>
>>>>Sometimes you even snap and snarl at those who share your goals, Len.
>>
>>>sometimes he does as is his right. I am sure he will even agree you
>>>have the right to snap and snarl at everyone who disgrees with you
>>
>>>but you missed the point(s) as usaul
>>
>>>Amoug them being
>>
>>>Your snap at everyone, your right but not a good image.
>>
>>>Len does reserve to right to snapp at folks too, event hose he shares
>>>goals with
>>
>>Len may have the "right" to do a lot of things, but if he truly wants to
>>"win friends and influence people" in this debate, and leave a personal
>>legacy other than that of a Usenet "kook," he might want to take stock
>
>
> again missing the point

I'm sorry that you missed the point. Perhaps it'll come to you after a re-reading.

Dave K8MN

452. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:48:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ox%Se.941$9x2.7@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118378

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>>>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>>>>>radio operator?
>>>>
>>>>I didn't know there was going to be a quiz.
>>>
>>>
>>>There is always a quiz where your motives are concerned.
>>
>>I'm taking a whiz on your quiz.
>
>
> gee where is Stevie on that one
> cut
>
>
>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
>>standards, responsible for his posts?
>
>
> because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you

You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.

> One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> lying
> cut

There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.

I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.

You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.

Dave K8MN

453. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:49:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5z%Se.942$9x2.827@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118379

an Old friend wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote
>>
>>
>>>They won't provide food, so you've got to pay for it, even though you will
>>>be in a situation where you can't easily bring lunch from home, and don't
>>>have a wide selection of choices.
>>>
>>
>>Is that written in Canookian? All the words seem to be English, but ....
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> ah the open mindedness of hams

I think your mind is extremely open, Mark.

> one boasts of killing gooks another address canaadian pagoritively

I think those Canaadians live near the Canaanites, if you approach it
paragorically.

Dave K8MN

454. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 17:52:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EB%Se.943$9x2.359@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118380

an Old friend wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>
>>
>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>>>
>>
>>Yup, draftee Colonel Morgan of the Chemical Corps. It's not a lie. At worst,
>>the US Army is just "eeing things differently than you".
>
>
> that stament of rank was alie as I admited years ago
> both you and Dave and stevie refuse to accept that

"Both" is three people? I accept one of your lies as a lie. I accept a
number of your lies as lies. You can't be counted upon to tell the truth.

> or adknowledge that a fellow has right to use what tols are at hand
> when threatened as stevie did

Right. You lie and it is Steve Robeson's fault. I see.

Dave K8MN

455. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:37:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125945421.106662.155220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118382

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >>You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
> >>introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
> >>Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
> >>morse exam.
> >
> >
> > Factual error? It was called the Tech-Plus. And before you go calling
> > me a liar, I'll correct myself and say, "Technician-Plus."
>
> The Tech-Plus came into existence long, long after the implementation of
> Incentive Licensing.

so what?

now you add more words

why not admit the mistake

instead of trying to cover it up, badly?
>
> Dave K8MN

456. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:40:27 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118383

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
cut
> >
> >>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> >>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> >>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> >>standards, responsible for his posts?
> >
> >
> > because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>
> You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.

what bald faced lies?

>
> > One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> > lying
> > cut
>
> There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
> "personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.

you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
sexual flirtations

you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
female

I know the turth you lack a nodding understanding of it

again One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with
isn't
lying
>
> You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.

you have nevr entounteed me thnak god

>
> Dave K8MN

457. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:44:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.05.18.44.00.289936@gmail.com>
Source record: 118384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K0HB:

Maybe, perhaps, and non-pecuniary of course, you can find someone who would fund you to go away--I mean, hell!, just maybe the arrl is on to something here and we can all make a buck while doing something which would be done anyway! Ethics are such a bother anyway--and besides, they are only for the "other guy" (read K1MAN here), certainly they don't pertain to us "special people!"

John

On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 04:22:56 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE
>
> Todd,
>
> Up until this point, the conversation on this topic was reasoned and balanced.
> But you've chosen to resort to your habitual trash mouth mode, so you can find
> any further comments from me posted at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy .
>
> With warmest kind wishes,
> de Hans, K0HB

458. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:44:37 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dfi33r$4j0$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 118381
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1125935323.310153.14700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It appears prejudice is alive and well in America...
>
> Professor
> www.telstar-electronics.com
>

Predudice is alive in every country in every creed. Please dont blame it as
a "White" trait. Everyone who is true to their heart and belief would
support their own people before others, whether it be black, white, asian,
indian or pink with blue spots. Most people who would disagree with this are
basically Liars....

459. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:44:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125945888.845009.197210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118385

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>
> >>
> >>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >>>
> >>
> >>Yup, draftee Colonel Morgan of the Chemical Corps. It's not a lie. At worst,
> >>the US Army is just "eeing things differently than you".
> >
> >
> > that stament of rank was alie as I admited years ago
> > both you and Dave and stevie refuse to accept that
>
> "Both" is three people? I accept one of your lies as a lie. I accept a
> number of your lies as lies. You can't be counted upon to tell the truth.

agreeded

as I have said many times you can't count on me to tell the turth about
where I am how to find me or my past. I don't think that is safe
behavoir

you and Stevie prove me right

>
> > or adknowledge that a fellow has right to use what tols are at hand
> > when threatened as stevie did
>
> Right. You lie and it is Steve Robeson's fault. I see.

another of YOUR lies

I choose to defnd myself I choose that path and it worked quite well
stveie was posting for days about his efforts to track me down

you refuse to accept that I know everyone lies

I know you lie and Stevie lies and everyone else

what I can't stand about you and some other your dishonesty about that
central point and that you make up stuff that you claim someone said
then say they leid in saying it
>

> Dave K8MN
You support and endorse crime for goodness sake you will willing aid
and abet it

and you have admitted to this on hear

460. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:45:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125945952.635497.260750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118386

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Paul W. Schleck wrote:
cut
> > again missing the point
>
> I'm sorry that you missed the point. Perhaps it'll come to you after a
> re-reading.

not me that needs to reread it
>
> Dave K8MN

461. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:49:13 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125946153.491205.221160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118387

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
cut
> >
> > ah the open mindedness of hams
>
> I think your mind is extremely open, Mark.
My God Dave got something right

I may die of the shock

>
> > one boasts of killing gooks another address canaadian pagoritively
>
> I think those Canaadians live near the Canaanites, if you approach it
> paragorically.
well can't even beat a broken clock feeling better now
>
> Dave K8MN

462. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:51:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <95WdneqSiYpPDoHeRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118389

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1n%Se.933$9x2.100@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>
>>>>Didn't they find that out with welfare?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
>>>> with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
>>>> "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
>>>> didn't it?
>>>
>>>You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
>>>introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
>>>Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
>>>morse exam.
>>
>>
>> Factual error? It was called the Tech-Plus. And before you go calling
>> me a liar, I'll correct myself and say, "Technician-Plus."
>
> The Tech-Plus came into existence long, long after the implementation of
> Incentive Licensing.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to have not
only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license class.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

463. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:51:11 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125946271.153446.124400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> K0HB:
>
> Maybe, perhaps, and non-pecuniary of course, you can find someone who
> would fund you to go away--I mean, hell!, just maybe the arrl is on to
> something here and we can all make a buck while doing something which
> would be done anyway! Ethics are such a bother anyway--and besides, they
> are only for the "other guy" (read K1MAN here), certainly they don't
> pertain to us "special people!"
>
> John
>
> On Mon, 05 Sep 2005 04:22:56 +0000, KØHB wrote:
>

Indeed this is a large problem in the ARS

464. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 18:54:18 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118390

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:1n%Se.933$9x2.100@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >>>
> >>>Didn't they find that out with welfare?
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
> >>>> with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
> >>>> "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
> >>>> didn't it?
> >>>
> >>>You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
> >>>introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
> >>>Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
> >>>morse exam.
> >>
> >>
> >> Factual error? It was called the Tech-Plus. And before you go calling
> >> me a liar, I'll correct myself and say, "Technician-Plus."
> >
> > The Tech-Plus came into existence long, long after the implementation of
> > Incentive Licensing.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to have not
> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license class.

boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
licenses

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

465. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:00:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.05.19.00.31.341545@gmail.com>
Source record: 118391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

CB:

Funny, but when the gov't asked for billions for "homeland defense" and made fema and it united, I thought they would have bought some communications equip., trained people and they would be set in place in such a disaster--from planes, tops of tall buildings, in communication vehicles and such--then begin communicating with hams in their local communities to handle communications for family and other services into that area. I never thought for a moment they would then plan, in a real disaster, to start hiring hams--that simply sucks and demonstrates we have been screwed.

Now we find out, they didn't even use the money to get supplies of food, water, bedding, blankets, clothing, etc. WHICH YOU WOULD NEED IN ANY EMERGENCY! together, how surprising, the gov't has given us a royal screwing--ONCE AGAIN...

John

On Sun, 04 Sep 2005 21:35:01 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> Not at all. I read the ARRL statement and the FCC rules. I happen to agree
>> that there is enough flexibility to allow meeting the travel expenses, food
>> expenses for those who are going down. There is no intent to "make a buck".
>>
>> My point was that there is no reason to automatically assume that there is
>> an intent to do wrong.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?

466. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:01:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WfKdnRWBdLbUC4HeRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 118392

```
"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:1n%Se.933$9x2.100@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>From: Jim Hampton on Aug 30, 6:12 pm
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> >>>
>> >>>Didn't they find that out with welfare?
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> You mean the WELFARE system that rewarded the high-rate morsemen
>> >>>> with fancier titles and better privileges, such as in the
>> >>>> "incentive plan" licensing system? Yes. It worked very well,
>> >>>> didn't it?
>> >>>
>> >>>You seem to have the idea of welfare backward, Len, and you've
>> >>>introduced a factual error. There was never a class of license under
>> >>>Incentive Licensing, which provided an upgrade for merely passing a
>> >>>morse exam.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Factual error? It was called the Tech-Plus. And before you go
>> >> calling
>> >> me a liar, I'll correct myself and say, "Technician-Plus."
>> >
>> > The Tech-Plus came into existence long, long after the implementation
>> > of
>> > Incentive Licensing.
>> >
>> > Dave K8MN
>> >
>>
>> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to have not
>> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license
```

>> class.
>
> boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
> licenses
>

And how is factual data considered an insult?

At the introduction of the No Code Tech

Fact: Novice was the lowest class requiring one written and one code test.
Fact: Tech was a "higher" license class requiring the Novice written & Tech written but not the Novice code and did not grant Novice privileges.
Fact: To get Novice privileges, Techs had to pass the Novice code.

What is insulting about that?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

467. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:23:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125948224.916006.231880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118393

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
> >> > Dave K8MN
> >> >
> >>
> >> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to have not
> >> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license
> >> class.
> >
> > boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
> > licenses
> >
>
> And how is factual data considered an insult?

by showing the Speacker as an elistist

That you even think in such terms is insulting
>
> At the introduction of the No Code Tech
>
> Fact: Novice was the lowest class requiring one written and one code test.
> Fact: Tech was a "higher" license class requiring the Novice written & Tech
> written but not the Novice code and did not grant Novice privileges.
> Fact: To get Novice privileges, Techs had to pass the Novice code.
>
> What is insulting about that?

It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
the statement

However I suspect you will be
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

468. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:24:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125948270.220639.117050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118394

From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Sep 4, 8:55 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Amateur radio is a HOBBY, a personal activity involving
>> transmission of RF energy communications for recreation as a fun
>> avocation.
>
>Yet you are so quick to brag about all your so-called communications
>accomplishments, yet I doubt you could even find the on/off swithc on a
>transmitter.

"It ain't braggin' if ya done it."

By the way, I also know how to spell "switch" properly. :-)

Technically, I would rate your "swithc" [sic] as an NPNT
(No Pole No Throw).

> Just having once leaned up against an Army communications
>van while you were taking a break from picking up cigarette butts on the
>base didn't make you the communications expert you seem to think you are
>lennieboy.

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf

I've never claimed to be an "expert" in communications 50 years
ago, buzziebaby. That was just my START in HF communications.
Even though my battalion back then had a dozen or so AN/GRC-26s
(field radio HUT on a TRUCK, not a "van"), I never had to know
or operate manual radiotelegraphy then or since in a career in
aerospace electronics in southern California, transmitting legally
on parts of the EM spectrum ranging from LF on past UHF to 25 GHz.

> So what have you done for the victums of Katrina?

Tsk, another MOTIVE MAVEN "challenging" others! :-)

I've joined some neighbors in contributing monetary aid through
a local entertainment guild fund-raising program. Some of us
have never been IN movies or TV but we know the guilds and the
good people in them. Los Angeles is a hub of movie and TV
production...which you SHOULD KNOW WELL seeing as you were born
in two-dimensional black-and-white on television in the 1950s,
"Commander Buzz Corey of the Space Patrol!" Geez, if Ed Kemmer
ever knew what would happen to his portrayal of that fictional
character by pompous wannabe space cadets, he might have gotten

a different acting job.

Tell us again how YOU helped the disaster victims by posing as "Commander Buzz Corey of the Space Patrol!" of the 1950s, and without EVER identifying yourself as anything but a two-dimensional fictitious character on the boob tube a half century ago.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

469. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:32:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125948750.878226.184840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118395

From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 4, 3:17 pm

>You are one large pathetic example of a ham radio operator. You sit there
>and badmouth not just me, but every one of the thousands of hams that are,
>or will be, directly involved in this emergence. You self admit that your
>just a tech and don't see anything you can do on radio, what am I to do?
>Feel sorry for you and your tech ticket? ITS NOT ABOUT YOU. Then you turn
>it all around and make it look like I, the messenger of a good thing, am
>bragging.

Yes, you are...

>You sir are not just a idiot but a disgrace. Turn in your license and shut
>your stupid face.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Judge Miccolis is even now convening a Court of
Inquiry about you telling someone to "SHUT UP!!!" :-)

Well, the meaning is certainly clear. Disagree with Jeswald and
THOSE ALL *HATE* HAM RADIO!!!

Rational discussion RIP. Dumb tacet clamate.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

470. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:32:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125948779.211914.129520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118396

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Sep 4, 8:55 pm
>
cut

> > So what have you done for the victums of Katrina?
>
> Tsk, another MOTIVE MAVEN "challenging" others! :-)

and if you answer them then the flames realy begin
>
cut

471. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:39:40 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125949180.697113.316620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118397

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun 4 Sep 2005 07:48

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>snip
>> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am
>
>> > >>In the end, if they cannot
>> > >>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s),
>> > >>they claim that
>> > >>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>
>> Actually the logic is quite different. It comes down to
>> asking why Len is so interested in amateur radio policy
>> even though Len is not a ham and has never been one. There
>> has been a nocodetest amateur radio license in the USA since
>> 1991, yet Len never got one. The maximum code test required
>> for any US amateur radio license has been 5 wpm since 1990 (with
>> medical waiver) and since 2000 without a waiver.

[Jimmie NEVER got a no-code-test Technician license...]

>> Nor is Len a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment, nor does
>> he have anything to do with FCC.

[Jimmie is a "manufacturer of amateur radio equipment"...makes
one of a kind equipment...state-of-the-art style using vacuum
tubes in the 1990s]

>> More than 5-1/2 years ago, Len told us he was going for Extra,
>> but didn't say when, and it hasn't happened yet.

[tsk, tsk, make a statement long ago and the Profiler HOLDS ONTO
that as a "lifelong goal"...as he was taught in Seminary...]

>So in the end, when Jim Miccolis/N2EY, David Heil/K8MN, Brian
>Kelly/W3RV, and Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP point out that Len is not
>an amateur, for what purpose do you do so? What do you hope to gain
>from pointing out that Len isn't an amateur?

Jimmie has a need to WIN MESSAGE POINTS. :-)

Jimmie wants to be TOP DAWG in here! :-)

>> And regardless of how someone replies to Len's posts here, Len will
>> reply according to the profile.
>>
>> It's just his way.

>>
>> Why should anyone reply to his posts at all, Dave?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Hi, hi! You reply directly to my comment, then you act as if you are
>speaking to Dave. Then you ask why anyone should reply to Len's posts
>at all. More hi, hi's!
>
>Jim, when you're ready to have a rational discussion with me, I'll be
>here.

Brian, don't expect a "rational discussion" with Jimmie. Repeated
asking will get you PROFILED! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

472. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:42:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125949335.201567.5930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118398

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun 4 Sep 2005 07:27

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 3, 4:02 pm
>> >
>> >I'm still troubled about being a jerk for not giving Mike greif. I
>> >think I would have been better off if I had given him greif.
>>
>> Not to worry, Davie Heil gonna give you grief on the spelling
>> of "greif." :-)
>
>Darnit, you stole my Heil bait. Maybe if we're quiet about it, he'll
>still go for it.

Not to worry. Heil will simply look at the printouts he made
of your posts a few months from now and REMIND you...and remind
you...and remind you...all as if it is the MOST IMPORTANT thing
in this newsgroup! :-)

>> He will probably make a macro on that and remind you for years
>> in lieu of his replying on some subject. :-)
>>
>> Be that as it may, the VEC ought to disband. Since newbies "don't
>> know a thing about amateur radio" they can't possibly pass any
>> tests for a license. Just have ONE VEC for the "upgraders" (those
>> already licensed who want a nicer TITLE). Better all around and
>> satisfies Davie's smug, arrogant "superiority."
>
>That's what I see, two license classes in the spirit of K0HBs vision.
>What we'll get is two license classes in the spirit of the Four
>Morsemen where all the old Extras bitch and moan as they always have.
>Speaking of bitching Extra's, I see Larry's back. I better go say
>"Hi!"

Hi hi... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

473. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:46:04 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125949564.503621.231780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118399

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun 4 Sep 2005 06:09

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am

>> You know, the one which
>> brought about the creation of Jim's apropos profile of your
>> likely actions:
>>
>> N2EY: "Besides, here's a simple, plain fact:
>>
>> No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
>> government/military service that a radio amateur has, if
>> said radio
>> amateur opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
>> the target of Mr.
>> Anderson's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual
>> errors, ethnic
>> slurs, excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."
>>
>Actually there's an updated version:
>
>No matter what employment, education, experience or
>government/military service a person has, if that
>person opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
>the target of Mr. Anderson's insults, ridicule,
>name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
>excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior.

Translation: JIMMIE DOES NOT TOLERATE DISSENT!!! :-)

Wow...talk about "telling someone to 'shut up!'" :-)

>I've found it to be a very accurate predictor of Len's
>behavior on rrap. Len of course has parodied/plaigirized
>and in doing so simply provide more proof of its validity.

Translation: JIMMIE IS *ALWAYS RIGHT*!!! :-)

>Note that the profile does not call Len names or
>other direct insults. It simply predicts his behavior when
>faced with opposition to his views.

Yes, how DARE I speak against the Mighty Miccolis? :-)

>Perhaps Len considers such opposition to be the
>ultimate insult to him.

Poor baby. Really hurting when you can't stomach the
give-and-take in here? :-)

>> >> What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>> >>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>
>Nor would I. What I have done is to point out errors
>in Len's reasoning and claims. And I backed it up
>with google quotes and other info.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie CANNOT "shut me up" so he tries
the next best thing: Disingenuous insults in the form
of the Profiler's "Prediction" wherein all who disagree
(all those who DARE disagree that is) are uncivilly
chastised in a "formal" manner.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie can't even reply directly to me.
No guts, I suppose. <shrug>

>For example, some time back Len posted that all hams could
>continue to operate legally with licenses that were in the grace
>period. I showed that to be in error, by simply quoting the
>appropriate sections of Part 97. Len's behavior in response to
>that was typical of the profile.
>
>> > Right now, there's not a damn thing Jimmie can do about
>> > it...
>
>There's plenty that people "can do about it". No one chooses to.
>Not worth the time or effort.

So...why all the "time and effort" to do this INDIRECT REPLY?

:-)

>> Slow down and regroup, Len. Jim has done nothing to prevent
>> you from
>> commenting to this newsgroup or to your government. Your rant > is vapor.
>
>Dave, consider that perhaps Len considers *any* opposition to
>his views as an attempt to silence him.

Poor baby. Still can't take the give-and-take in a newsgroup,
can you?

>> >>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>> >>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>
>Free speech includes the right to discredit other's comments and
>opinions if those comments and opinions are not based on facts and
>sound logical reasoning. Some of Len's comments and opinions are not

>based on facts and sound logical reasoning.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Free speech" does not mean that opposition to
the Words of the ARRL shall be silenced. Jimmie speaks as a
parrot of the Words of the ARRL.

Jimmie wants to re-argue and re-argue and re-argue OLD arguments
that appeared in here, perhaps hoping to "win" one that he lost
long ago? Yes, that is "free speech" but it does NOTHING.

>> >>In the end, if they cannot
>> >>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s),
>> >>they claim that
>> >>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>
>Actually the logic is quite different. It comes down to
>asking why Len is so interested in amateur radio policy
>even though Len is not a ham and has never been one. There
>has been a nocodetest amateur radio license in the USA since
>1991, yet Len never got one. The maximum code test required
>for any US amateur radio license has been 5 wpm since 1990 (with
>medical waiver) and since 2000 without a waiver.

Oh, my, Mighty Miccolis the Moral Minder, meandering the
morass of MOTIVES! :-)

Okay, everyone fill out the Motive form in triplicate so
that Mighty Mindcontrol Miccolis can JUDGE all for "rightness"
in saying anything in here!

>Nor is Len a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment, nor does
>he have anything to do with FCC.

Jimmie no work at FCC. Jimmie no work in electronics.
Jimmie NOT "manufacturer of amateur radio equipment." Jimmie
make state-of-the-art radio transmitter using vacuum tubes
at cost of $100 in 1990s, show picture on home page. Must be
single prototype of "new product". All cheer, applaud.

>More than 5-1/2 years ago, Len told us he was going for Extra,
>but didn't say when, and it hasn't happened yet.

Keeps you guessing, donut? :-)

Jimmie hasn't told us of his expertise in "parenting" either.
Jimmie hasn't presented his degrees in pediatrics whereby he
be Expert on raising chilluns. How can you conceive expertise?

Jimmie get laid yet?

>And regardless of how someone replies to Len's posts here, Len will
>reply according to the profile.

The "Profiler" show on TV got cancelled, Jimmie. Consider you
self going same way... :-)

>It's just his way.

"It ain't braggin' if ya done it."

>Why should anyone reply to his posts at all, Dave?

Gosh, Ms. Profiler, you sure spent a lot of time on an INDIRECT
reply, dintya? :-)

You really DO waste a lot of your own time in here...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

474. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 19:51:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125949899.628620.107260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118300

>> > So what have you done for the victums of Katrina?
>
>> Tsk, another MOTIVE MAVEN "challenging" others! :-)
>
>and if you answer them then the flames realy begin

"Commander Buzz Corey of the Space Patrol!" still seems pissed that
his TV got cancelled in the 1950s. No wonder he's ANGRY! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

475. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:03:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zZKdnZ2dnZ36kyeynZ2dnVE5gd6dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118302

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125947606.957337.155480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>> news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> cut> >> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to
> have not
>> >> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license
>> >> class.
>> >
>> > boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
>> > licenses
>> >
>>
>> And how is factual data considered an insult?
>
> by being presented in an insluting manner
>
> you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be insulted
>
> you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
>

There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a degree
of paranoia to so construe it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

476. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:04:01 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050905200401.A410817219@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118301

In article <sk_Se.891$9x2.103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> > In article <xk6Se.6948$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Nomen Nescio wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3...@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Ethan Jones wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >>>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >>>>Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
> >>>>the voices begin talking to you again.
> >>
> >>>Who's Roger, you utterly stupid man?
> >>
> >>He's living proof that no man is a total loss. Roger serves as a
> >>horrible example.
> >
> >
> > Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man.
>
> What do you know of real men, Roger/not Roger?

I know you aren't one of them. And take that electro-shock, you are seeing this
Roger everywhere.

> You're reduced to hiding behind anonymous remailers.

And you're reduced to seeing Roger behind every post. Babbling on and on about
somebody else, showing what you are.

> You can't use your own name lest you have
> the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.

Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep. And since when does
the police deny freedom of speech?

> > No wonder you
> > were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."
>
> I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".

Oh, so you couldn't even make the errand boy grade?

> How and why are you concerned?

Oh, I forgot, you are a sensitive guy and has his feelings hurt easily.

> > You seem
> > to have issues seeing one person behind every anonymous post.
> > As if just one
> > person would use anonymous remailers. God, are you stupid.
>
> You follow your posts with some mindless drivel about Lloyd Davies and
> no one is supposed to know who you are.

And you seem to think one person has the exclusive use of anonymous remailers. Lloyd Davies is like you, an idiot. Or are you trying to deny me freedom of speech?

> You monitor local FM repeaters

Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.

> and the West Virginia net

One can't listen in to a public net on a clear frequency? Are you trying to say someone can't listen in to a net? And so you have confirmed you have crappy on-air audio.

> and I'm not supposed to know who you are, heh

Funy how your posts with your name dissappear when "Stagger Lee" shows up and vice-versa, but you wouldn't be a hypocrite as well as a liar, now would you?

> heh. Yep, you're the epitome of shrewdness.

Yep, you're the epitome of ignorance. Who knew you were so sensitive about your crappy audio.

>
> >>>It sounds like you are on some medications
> >>>yourself.
> >>
> >>You're hearing sounds?
> > >
> > >
> > > No, stupid. If you would perhaps get a clue, you'd be able to have better
> > > audio. Now go take your much needed Valium.
>
> You're as nervous about actually transmitting as you are about using
> only anonymous remailers.

Not so, I've made several contacts today.

> You don't have *any* audio, Wiseman.

1) My radio has A-1 audio, unlike yours.
2) Keep seeing Wiseman everywhere, you ham stalker.

> > --
> > Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own <snip>

Aww, did that hurt your little feelings, sensitive Dave?

477. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:07:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125950837.273327.312630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118303

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125947606.957337.155480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> >> news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > cut> >> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to
> > > have not
> >> >> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license
> >> >> class.
> >> >
> >> > boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
> >> > licenses
> >> >
> >>
> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
> >
> > by being presented in an insluting manner
> >
> > you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be insulted
> >
> > you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
> >
>
> There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a degree
> of paranoia to so construe it.

certianly were insulting phrases

Lowest classes

that you think in those terms shows you an elitest

Like I said "It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist
Bitch, given the
factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
the statement "

"However I suspect you will be "

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

478. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:07:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6rydndGf1sFXOIHeRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 118304

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125948224.916006.231880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>> news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> cut
>> >> > Dave K8MN
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to have
>> >> not
>> >> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license
>> >> class.
>> >>
>> > boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
>> > licenses
>> >
>>
>> And how is factual data considered an insult?
>
> by showing the Speacker as an elistist
>
> That you even think in such terms is insulting
>>
>> At the introduction of the No Code Tech
>>
>> Fact: Novice was the lowest class requiring one written and one code
>> test.
>> Fact: Tech was a "higher" license class requiring the Novice written &
>> Tech
>> written but not the Novice code and did not grant Novice privileges.
>> Fact: To get Novice privileges, Techs had to pass the Novice code.
>>
>> What is insulting about that?
>
> It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
> factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
> the statement
>
> However I suspect you will be
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

>

Not in the least. Those suffering from paranoia have no power to insult me regardless of their choice of words and attempts to do so. In addition, elitest is a qualitative judgement which I do not have to accept as fact. Bitch is totally inaccurate as I am a human being not a canine so again I do not have to accept it as fact.

On the other hand, as licenses are defined by the FCC, Novice is a lower class license that Tech. Tech is a lower class license than General and so on. I did not create the classes or the definitions. Since I have chosen to be a licensed amateur, I must abide by the FCC's definitions.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

479. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:09:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125950983.986732.236390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118305

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
cut
> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
> >
> > by being presented in an insluting manner
> >
> > you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be insulted
> >
> > you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
> >
>
> There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a degree
> of paranoia to so construe it.

how do you expect to be an effective elmer if you can't reconize that
you are being rude

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

480. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:12:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125951162.686193.147300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118306

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125948224.916006.231880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> >> news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> > cut
> >> >> > Dave K8MN
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to have
> >> >> not
> >> >> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license
> >> >> class.
> >> >
> >> > boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
> >> > licenses
> >> >
> >>
> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
> >
> > by showing the Speacker as an elistist
> >
> > That you even think in such terms is insulting
> >>
> >> At the introduction of the No Code Tech
> >>
> >> Fact: Novice was the lowest class requiring one written and one code
> >> test.
> >> Fact: Tech was a "higher" license class requiring the Novice written &
> >> Tech
> >> written but not the Novice code and did not grant Novice privileges.
> >> Fact: To get Novice privileges, Techs had to pass the Novice code.
> >>
> >> What is insulting about that?
> >
> > It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
> > factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
> > the statement
> >
> > However I suspect you will be
> >>

> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Not in the least. Those suffering from paranoia have no power to insult me
Indeed another MD amoug us

> regardless of their choice of words and attempts to do so. In addition,
> elitest is a qualitative judgement which I do not have to accept as fact.

wether you accept it or not it is clearly a fact

> Bitch is totally inaccurate as I am a human being not a canine so again I do
> not have to accept it as fact.

ah yes the ability to see only the difinition you want to see

but none of your ranting changes the fact you are an elitist bitch

>
> On the other hand, as licenses are defined by the FCC, Novice is a lower
> class license that Tech. Tech is a lower class license than General and so
> on. I did not create the classes or the definitions. Since I have chosen
> to be a licensed amateur, I must abide by the FCC's definitions.

but you choose to be insulting by pointing things out in those terms

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

481. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:15:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CfmdnR9Ks_4lOoHeRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 118307

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125950837.273327.312630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125947606.957337.155480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>> >> news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > cut> >> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to
>> > have not
>> >> >> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest"
>> >> >> license
>> >> >> class.
>> >> >
>> >> > boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
>> >> > licenses
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
>> >
>> > by being presented in an insluting manner
>> >
>> > you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be insulted
>> >
>> > you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
>> >
>>
>> There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a
>> degree
>> of paranoia to so construe it.
>
> certianly were insulting phrases
>
> Lowest classes
>

It is not my fault that the language has been so perverted that use of the
word class is not PC. Class simply means category. There really aren't
good synonyms to use to describe the relative ranks of licenses other than
higher or lower. However I could use class instead of category but I
don't feel like bowing to the pressures of those who are trying to mangle
our language and force their vision of politically correct speach on the

rest of us. When will category become politically incorrect. It never ends.

> that you think in those terms shows you an elitest
>

To me, class is synonymous with category. Therefore there is no insult and no elitism.

> Like I said "It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist
> Bitch, given the
> factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
> the statement "
>
> "However I suspect you will be "
>

As stated, you haven't the power to insult me.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

482. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 20:28:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125952128.356795.101360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118308

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125950837.273327.312630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1125947606.957337.155480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > cut> >> And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to
> >> > have not
> >> >> >> only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest"
> >> >> >> license
> >> >> >> class.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
> >> >> > licenses
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
> >> >
> >> > by being presented in an insluting manner
> >> >
> >> > you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be insulted
> >> >
> >> > you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
> >> >
> >>
> >> There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a
> >> degree
> >> of paranoia to so construe it.
> >
> > certianly were insulting phrases
> >
> > Lowest classes
> >
>
> It is not my fault that the language has been so perverted that use of the
> word class is not PC. Class simply means category. There really aren't
> good synonyms to use to describe the relative ranks of licenses other than
> higher or lower. However I could use class instead of category but I
> don't feel like bowing to the pressures of those who are trying to mangle

> our language and force their vision of politically correct speach on the
> rest of us. When will category become politically incorrect. It never
> ends.

that you can't see it can be considered insulting shows yu as something
undesirable

>
>
> > that you think in those terms shows you an elitest
> >
>
> To me, class is synonymous with category. Therefore there is no insult and
> no elitism.

and now you demand that I accept that langauge in the way you want

more proof of your elistism

I call you elitist not just becuase of "lowest class" but you general
manner

such as your assumtion that the upgrading will need your help becuase
they will be generals and you are an Extra

You are an elitist

You BTW don't get to decode wether you are being insulting. the reader
does

that you try to inist you van is more evidence of your arogance and
elitism

>
> > Like I said "It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist
> > Bitch, given the
> > factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
> > the statement "
> >
> > "However I suspect you will be "
> >
>
> As stated, you haven't the power to insult me.

you stated it but I don't believe you
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

483. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 21:41:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125956500.322405.212930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118309

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > How many years will it take for Miccolis to admit that he was wrong?
>
> I was wrong only once. That was the time I thought I was wrong but it turned
> out that I wasn't.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Lighten up, Hans.

484. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 21:47:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125956836.535845.130370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118310

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> >>>>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> >>>>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> >>>>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> >>>>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> >>>>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
> >>>
> >>>>The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
> >>>>think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.
> >>>
> >>>That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
> >>>evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
> >>>Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
> >>>
> >>>Do you ever tire of being wrong?
> >>
> >>Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
> >>on 6 meters?
> >
> > Do you?
> >
> Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
> being one?

I have no certificate from Hiram, suitable for framing. But I do take
pride in my station, my signal, and my operating abilities. And if I
were aware that French hams were out of band, I wouldn't be completing
circuits for them nor sending them QSL cards.

bb
```

485. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 22:13:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125958418.382461.71180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118311

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>>Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
> >>>know, only you hold that distinction and title.
> >>
> >> He should be PROUD of it.
> >>
> > Perhaps I'll petition my ARRL to come up with a new operating award.
> >
> I encourage you to follow through with your idea. It is certain to be
> received with the attention it deserves.

Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.

> >> Heil believes in the good-old-boys-pecking-order-in-club-house
> >> rule of only those tenured in licensing are "suitable" for
> >> "leadership." Heil doesn't want to understand that ALL U.S. civil
> >> radio is regulated and enforced by the FCC, NOT the licensees.
> >>
> > And the Dept. of State is a vehicle for DX destinations.
> >
> It can be, *if* you know what you're doing.

I'm sure there were lines of comm types waiting for some of your
destinations.

Dave, off subject - are you eligible for military hops?

> >>>>> But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.
> >>>>
> >>>>What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've
> >>>>haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a
> >>>>radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now.
> >>>
> >>>Hmmmm? Why would Heil make such a statement?
> >>
> >> It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
> >> worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
> >> Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned

> >> ANY diplomacy there.
>
> There you go, Brian. Len's made another factual error. It won't be
> five years until the end of this year.

Fair enough. Should I call him a liar at this point? Do I threaten
bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives? Maybe I need
to start a new thread about how Len might be homosexual or an idiot or
both?

Do I need to do anything?

As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
> there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
> type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
> go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".

And there you have it.

> > He was merely their messenger.
>
> Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.

In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?

> >>>> I'll bet
> >>>>you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
> >>>>fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
> >>>>everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
> >>>>somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.
> >>>
> >>>I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
> >>>WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
> >>>cracks me up.
> >>
> >> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
> >> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
> >> morsemanship in the 1980s...
> >>
> > He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
>
> He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.

What frequency?

> >> claiming that "radio communications
> >> paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
> >> modes."
> >>
> > He was probably doing something wrong.
>
> Actually, I maintained the lowest QSY rate of any AFRECONE station.

Is there an award for keeping folks on frequency too long?

> That part about claiming that propagation paths were so poor that there
> were times when they wouldn't support encrypted RTTY communications? It
> was absolutely true. Then again, neither you nor Len know where the
> other end of my circuit was. That'll just have to remain a mystery.

I've operated on encrypted circuits as well. That we lacked enough
frequencies to operate 24/7 is true.

> >> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
> >> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
> >> effort of foreign policy.
>
> Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?

I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
too heilish.

I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias. And when you apparently deny
your professional radio experience, what are we to think? I think that
you choose to not recognize your professional radio experience because
it might get in the way of your denigrations of Len.

> > "Sorry Len, State Dept. Communications >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi,
> > hi!
>
> You wrote it. It is your quote. Don't be surprised if you see it again.

"Hi, hi!" A joke. You're welcome to bring it up again at anytime - as
a joke.

> >> Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
> >> teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
> >> elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
> >> get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
> >> was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
> >> Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis
> >> from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
> >> "equatorial region" of the African continent]
> >
> > I would consider it so. But I only have a degree in Geography.
>
> With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
> Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
> speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
> figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
> piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
> worked into. Good luck.

Ascension.

> >> Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
> >> are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur

> >> radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
> >> would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
> >> radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
> >> amateur radio license grants.
> >>
> >> That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
> >> U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.
>
> Len has discussed. Len had commented. I'm guessing that Len has
> talked, though there's no evidence of it here. Len has insulted. Len
> has denigrated. Len has belittled.

I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?

> As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
> of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.

Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur
radio.

> > Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
>
> Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
> floor rooms.

You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.

> >>>>> Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
> >>>>> post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
> >>>>> K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
> >>>>> Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
> >>>>> reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
> >>>>> misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
> >>>>> differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
> >>>>> "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
> >>>>
> >>>>I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
> >>>>real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
> >>>>warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
> >>>>"Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.
> >>>
> >>>It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
> >>>meters, IMHO.
> >>
> >> Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
> >> schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
> >> "typographical" errors in spelling.
> >
> > Dave is smug.
>
> I certainly can be from time to time.

>From time to time?

Len used a couple of words three
> or more times each. He spelled them in the same incorrect way each
> time. They were not typographical errors. They were Len's spelling
> errors. Did you know that Len claims to be a PROFESSIONAL writer?

Aye. You should see my son's textbooks....

> >> I did not mention any Hun
> >> who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
> >> a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
> >> of Hunnish to English.
>
> > Dave must be multi-lingual.
>
> If the word belligerent is based in Latin, then I am. Len seems to
> think it was used by Attila and his horde.

What word would attila have used?

486. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 22:14:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125958452.099889.175780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118312

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >>>Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
> >>>know, only you hold that distinction and title.
> >>
> >> He should be PROUD of it.
> >
> >
> > Perhaps I'll petition my ARRL to come up with a new operating award.
>
> I encourage you to follow through with your idea. It is certain to be
> received with the attention it deserves.
>
>
> >> Heil believes in the good-old-boys-pecking-order-in-club-house
> >> rule of only those tenured in licensing are "suitable" for
> >> "leadership." Heil doesn't want to understand that ALL U.S. civil
> >> radio is regulated and enforced by the FCC, NOT the licensees.
> >
> >
> > And the Dept. of State is a vehicle for DX destinations.
>
> It can be, *if* you know what you're doing.
>
>
> >>>>> But...Heil is easily upset and so he must VENT in here.
> >>>>
> >>>>What accounts for non-radio amateur Anderson's VENTING in here? You've
> >>>>haunted an amateur radio newsgroup for close to a decade. You weren't a
> >>>>radio amateur back then and you aren't a radio amateur now.
> >>>
> >>>Hmmmm? Why would Heil make such a statement?
> >>
> >> It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
> >> worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
> >> Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
> >> ANY diplomacy there.
>
```

> There you go, Brian. Len's made another factual error. It won't be
> five years until the end of this year. As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
> there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
> type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
> go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
>
> > He was merely their messenger.
>
> Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
>
> >>>> I'll bet
> >>>>you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
> >>>>fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
> >>>>everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
> >>>>somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.
> >>>
> >>>I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
> >>>WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
> >>>cracks me up.
> >>
> >> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
> >> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
> >> morsemanship in the 1980s...
> >
> >
> > He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
>
> He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
>
> >> claiming that "radio communications
> >> paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
> >> modes."
> >
> >
> > He was probably doing something wrong.
>
> Actually, I maintained the lowest QSY rate of any AFRECONE station.
> That part about claiming that propagation paths were so poor that there
> were times when they wouldn't support encrypted RTTY communications? It
> was absolutely true. Then again, neither you nor Len know where the
> other end of my circuit was. That'll just have to remain a mystery.
>
> >> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
> >> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
> >> effort of foreign policy.
>
> Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
>
> > "Sorry Len, State Dept. Communications >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi,
> > hi!

>
> You wrote it. It is your quote. Don't be surprised if you see it again.
>
> >
> >> Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
> >> teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
> >> elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
> >> get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
> >> was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
> >> Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis
> >> from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
> >> "equatorial region" of the African continent]
> >
> >
> > I would consider it so. But I only have a degree in Geography.
>
> With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
> Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
> speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
> figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
> piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
> worked into. Good luck.
>
> >> Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
> >> are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur
> >> radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
> >> would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
> >> radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
> >> amateur radio license grants.
> >>
> >> That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
> >> U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.
>
> Len has discussed. Len had commented. I'm guessing that Len has
> talked, though there's no evidence of it here. Len has insulted. Len
> has denigrated. Len has belittled.
>
> As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
> of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
>
>
> > Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
>
> Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
> floor rooms.
>
> >>>>> Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
> >>>>> post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
> >>>>> K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
> >>>>> Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in

> >>>>> reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
> >>>>> misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
> >>>>> differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
> >>>>> "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
> >>>>
> >>>>I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
> >>>>real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
> >>>>warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
> >>>>"Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.
> >>>
> >>>It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
> >>>meters, IMHO.
> >>
> >> Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
> >> schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
> >> "typographical" errors in spelling.
> >
> >
> > Dave is smug.
>
> I certainly can be from time to time. Len used a couple of words three
> or more times each. He spelled them in the same incorrect way each
> time. They were not typographical errors. They were Len's spelling
> errors. Did you know that Len claims to be a PROFESSIONAL writer?
>
> >> I did not mention any Hun
> >> who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
> >> a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
> >> of Hunnish to English.
> >
>
> > Dave must be multi-lingual.
>
> If the word belligerent is based in Latin, then I am. Len seems to
> think it was used by Attila and his horde.
>
> Dave K8MN

487. Re: (OT) : DaviD Says - " Kinda like me (my) life... You got a problem with that? "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 22:17:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1125958636.807107.131870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
DaviD - " Kinda like me (my) life... You got a problem with that? "
.
DaviD - Only When 'you' Continuously and Constantly
Post Off-Topic Messages here on Rec.Radio.Shortwave.
.
yeah david - that is a real life type problem 'you' got ~ RHF
. . . . .
```

488. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 22:17:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125958678.901879.300900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118314

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > I recall taking the GROL. Looked identical to the Amatuer Advanced
> > exam.
> >
>
> The GROL exam has Amateur Radio questions in it?
>
> I never knew that! Sunuvagun!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

You must be in league with the Four Morsemen.

The physics of electronics and radio do not change with the type of
license you test for. Best of luck.

489. Re: (OT) : DaviD - " I got yer "Savage negro beast" in my pants. "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 22:26:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1125959194.387692.213140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

DaviD - " It's a fucking joke, you twat. "
.
With Reference To - - - (OT) : DaviD Says
" I got yer ''Savage negro beast'' in my pants. "
.
DaviD - Funny I Did Not Hear Anyone Laughing [.]
.
DaviD - "TWAT"
- If it Walks like a 'twat' ?
- If it Talks like a 'twat' ?
- If it Acts like a 'twat' ?
Sure Enough - It Must Be DaviD ! ! !
.
twaddle on now david and have a nice holiday ~ RHF
. . . . .

490. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:03:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d4mdndYZBcExU4HeRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118316

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K0HB:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
>>>>>>of the authors
>>>>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
>>>>>>in the area of
>>>>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
>>>>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
>>>>>
>>>>>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
>>>>>to disprove the idea....
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
>>>>>
>>>>>The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
>>>>
>>>> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
>>>> who are just slap happy to tell us that!
>>>
>>>Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
>>>President Bush is a Christian?
>>
>> You tell me, Brian! I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
>>tell us about how to live
>
>
> One cool dude?

Why yes, one cool dude. Seems like an irreverent thing to say, eh? I
wonder what Jesus' opinion of me calling him a cool dude would be?
```

>
>> That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
>>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
>>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy these days
>>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all. The closest
>>thing that they are is a modern day version of the Pharisees. Their
>>trends are much more old testament - therefore not sharing in the new
>>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer, also proscribed
>>against by Jesus, They push religious domination of government - same deal.
>>
>> While demanding that the first books of *their* bible (KJV) be taken as
>>absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, they
>>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers direct
>>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible. What's up
>>with that?
>>
>> What is up with that is the modern fundamentalist Christians are
>>falling for one of the oldest tricks in the book - the false prophets.
>>
>> That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that the world was *not*
>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October in 4004 BC
>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles at home.
>>
>> There is no doubt in my mind that the present day universe *was*
>>created billions of years ago, probably in an event we call "the Big
>>Bang. I highly doubt that it was created by a supreme being. For what
>>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy speculation
>>on that.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> There! I knew you had a lot more to say than mere nide remarks about
> God. I hope you feel better.

If you look deeply enough, the snide remarks are not really about God.
They are about the people who would form God in their own image.

Problem is, that is an awful lot of people. Most, in fact.

- Mike KB3EIA -

491. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:14:10 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125962050.941103.195420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118317

From: Paul W. Schleck on Sep 5, 6:43 am

>In <1125892234.242526.71...@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> "an old friend"

><kb9...@yahoo.com> writes:
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > From: Carl R. Stevenson on Aug 30, 5:53 pm

>>> Sometimes you even snap and snarl at those who share your goals, Len.
>
>>sometimes he does as is his right. I am sure he will even agree you
>>have the right to snap and snarl at everyone who disgrees with you
>>but you missed the point(s) as usaul
>>Amoug them being
>>Your snap at everyone, your right but not a good image.
>>Len does reserve to right to snapp at folks too, event hose he shares
>>goals with
>
>Len may have the "right" to do a lot of things, but if he truly wants to
>"win friends and influence people" in this debate, and leave a personal
>legacy other than that of a Usenet "kook," he might want to take stock
>of who are his allies and who are his enemies.

Feel free to list them by category... :-)

> And even enemies can become allies on some issues.

Old Sicilian saying: "The enemy of my enemy is my friend." :-)

Not really. That's just one of those sayings that is supposed
to show the sayer in some kind of Light of Wisdom. :-)

> Recently, Len insisted that he only posts
>facts and truth, and challenged me to find examples otherwise.

"Recently?!?" :-)

> When I
>did, finding several recent errors and subjective interpretations,
>rather than acknowledge or rebut them, he chose to change the subject
>and accuse me of being a hanging "judge" for daring to criticize.

Tsk. I must have hit a nerve or three. Awful sawwy, Judge.

> Len
>also seems to feel he is entitled to unlimited public revenge against
>those who have slighted him, and will lash out reflexively, and Serdar

>Argic style, whenever anyone posts with certain "hot-button" keywords
>like Morse Code. Serdar accused anyone who disagreed with him as
>"criminal Armenian," even those who were ethnic Japanese. Similarly, I
>wonder if invectives like "Macho Morsemen" are aimed at Kim and Dee Dee,
>also.

"Serdar Argic?!?" Is that person on the BoD of ARRL? IARU?

I used to know of an Arpad Somlyody, applications engineer at
Burroughs Corporation on Nixie display tubes. Any relation?

>When I pointed out areas of agreement, including one example where I was
>the only one to side with him in this forum, he replied with, "I don't
>give a flying fig about your 'position.'"

That's still true.

>I also wonder why most of those that try to defend Len choose to post
>anonymously.

You mean like "Commander Buzz Corey of the Space Patrol?" :-)

> I have agreed with Len on some issues, and have posted
>with my real name.

Well, that's better than "Quitefine" or "Darkguard."

> One red flag that someone is being tuned out is the
>number of likely sock puppets that start to orbit around him.

Are they all "Lamb Chops?" :-)

"Orbit?" :-) "Puppets?!?" :-)

>>Indeed you miss out on the fact the Nocoders do disagree amoug
>>ourselfs, and we are free to to do so, a sign of the greater freedom
>>espoused by the NoCoders
>
>I'm happy to debate with anyone on any subject, and though I may
>disagree, I will treat that person respectfully, even going so far as to
>separate behavior from personality.

Then you be the RARE BIRD of this Galaxy, Paul.

This newsgroup is best described as a Din of Inequity.

ANY unmoderated newsgroup is so described... :-)

> There's a difference between honest
>debate and sniping, insults, and ad-hominem attacks.

And there is a HUGE GAP in the levels of sensitivity among
the Easily Offended in here. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> It's also useful
>to clarify and make understandable your position by indicating whom you

>may agree with, rather than solipsistically dismissing any worldview
>other than your own with, "I don't give a flying fig about your
>'position.'"

Careful, Paul, one of "my sock puppets" may attack you... :-)

They might send figs flying your way. Enjoy.

>>but then you never seem to get the point, and indeed seem to prefer
>>volumes on evasion rather than answer a few simple questions
>
>>> Dave K8MN

[I beat my wife in bed the other night, by about five minutes...]

:-)

>My comments on this docket are forthcoming. No evasion or avoidance of
>simple questions are planned.

Well, Paul, I don't give a Flying Fig about "Serdar Argic" either.

NBC West Coast Hq is just a couple miles away from me. Shall I
call them up on Tuesday and ask if they have any leftover "Flying
Fickle Finger of Fate" statuettes that you could award to someone?
Just asking for Laugh-Ins. :-)

Sock puppet to me? :-)

===

On WT Docket 05-235, the ECFS opened it up on 15 July 2005 and
the first individual Comments arrived on 20 July 2005. Actually,
NPRM 05-143 was released on 15 July but was not put into the
ECFS by the FCC until 21 July. See the date stamp on the viewed
NPRM document on the ECFS for proof. There was NO NOTICE of
NPRM 05-143 given in the Federal Register until 31 August 2005.
Do you wish to count the elapsed days between 15 July and 31
August? By "business days" or calendar days, I don't care.
A rather long time between release and official notice, it seems
to me. <shrug>

Guess who started THIS thread on 30 August 2005? :-)

ABOUT the Federal Register Notice on that NPRM. Now how much
discussion on that subject has gone on? Not a great deal. Most
of this thread's content is a lot of in-fighting between the
"regulars" of this newsgroup...fighting among themselves. :-)

I already commented several times on the FAILURE of timely
notice in the Federal Register as can be seen on the "scorecard"
postings I made on WT Docket 05-235. I even thanked Carl
Stevenson publicly in here for pointing it out first in here.

If you bother to look in Google archives you will see that I've complained years ago about NCI membership "requirements" in regards to who can "join." That was all public. Most of the exchange on that took place in here between myself and Carl. I don't harbor any "bad feelings" for Carl and support him in TWO forthcoming elections; I'd vote for him at the IEEE except my IEEE ballot doesn't allow non-Standards-Committee members to vote on Members At Large in the Standards Committee. I can't vote for him on the ARRL because I'm not a member of the ARRL. I have NO disputes on those. NCI did change their "membership requirements" to what is currently shown at www.nocode.org and I thought that was a Good Thing.

Now, as long as we are being up-front on THIS thread, is WT Docket 05-235 and NPRM 05-143 about the U.S. amateur radio telegraphy test element? Is it on anything else in amateur radio regulations? No? Well, I guess it is what you call a "hot button topic" ey? Would this "Serdar Argic" approve or disapprove? And why should we care? :-)

Say hello to Abulard Harkonnen and Vorian Atriedes when you get off-line. Figs are in the approved food groups...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

492. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:15:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UZ-dnZ2dnZ1C1X6-nZ2dnQBMgd6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118318

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>><1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
>>>>the world was *not*
>>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
>>>>in 4004 BC
>>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
>>>>
>>>>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
>>>>rested on the seventh day.
>>>>
>>>>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
>>>
>>>LOL!!!
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>I highly doubt that it was created by
>>>>a supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
>>>>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
>>>
>>>I get a kick out of some of these discussions. Especially regarding
>>>evolution. These "Christians" are constantly trying to poke holes in
>>>the theory, yet are too short-sighted to consider that 'evolution'
>>>(even with all it's holes) might be one of God's creations. If so,
>>>then they are effectively attacking their own faith.
>>
>> I've asked them that (one time I was trapped in a car on a 4 hour drive
>>with a couple fundies- arrrgh) We had a grand old time. I used to keep
>>me yap shut because it doesn't do much good, but after the second hour
>>of them trying to save my soul, I unleashed the dogs on them.

>
>
> Does your soul need saving?

They think so!

> Turns out
>
>>they did not know where the water came from or went to, and didn't know
>>why the kangaroos had to swim from Australia to the middle east in order
>>not to drown.
>
>
> Do you refer to a flood? There's one in New Orleans right now. Do you
> know where the water came from? Do you know how it's going to be let
> out? Are the zoo animals swimming for Cairo?

The water came from two sources. One was fresh water and the other salt water from a storm surge. Water that was once some place else came to be deposited in New Orleans and the world has suffered no increase or decrease in the amount of water that exists in the world. The world covering biblical flood is quite a different matter. A huge amount of water that doesn't exist here toady would have to had existed at that time. It would have had to be someplace else before the flood, and then after the flood, it would have had to go some place else.

Another interesting question:

During the rain and flood period, the ocean levels would have to raise by many 10's of thousands of feet. What effect would this have on the rotational velocity of the earth? Same thing when the water receded.

Since the water came from rain, and therefore fresh, there should be a distinct record of the Oceans salinity dropping drastically at that time.

> Even my more serious questions were troublesome for them,
>
>>especially since they were engineers. They really hated my thoughts on
>>how if they were correct about the young universe and Earth were fact,
>>some of the "facts" that they tried to use to disprove Evolution, such
>>as dating anomalies, could not be true because the basic nuclear decay
>>rates (or is that nukular?) were wrong to begin with.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> It's "nuclear." And they should be dating much younger women if decay
> is going to be a problem.

I had dated a few anomalies myself in my younger days. Some were fun, and others.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

493. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:33:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125963203.792633.325300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118320

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >>><1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>><snip>
> >>>
> >>>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> >>>>the world was *not*
> >>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> >>>>in 4004 BC
> >>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
> >>>>
> >>>>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> >>>>rested on the seventh day.
> >>>>
> >>>>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
> >>>
> >>>LOL!!!
> >>>
> >>><snip>
> >>>
> >>>>I highly doubt that it was created by
> >>>>a supreme being.
> >>>>
> >>>>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> >>>>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
> >>>
> >>>I get a kick out of some of these discussions. Especially regarding
> >>>evolution. These "Christians" are constantly trying to poke holes in
> >>>the theory, yet are too short-sighted to consider that 'evolution'
> >>>(even with all it's holes) might be one of God's creations. If so,
> >>>then they are effectively attacking their own faith.
> >>
> >> I've asked them that (one time I was trapped in a car on a 4 hour drive
> >>with a couple fundies- arrrgh) We had a grand old time. I used to keep
> >>me yap shut because it doesn't do much good, but after the second hour
> >>of them trying to save my soul, I unleashed the dogs on them.
> >
> >
> > Does your soul need saving?
>

> They think so!

Would you be offended if they prayed for your soul?

> > Turns out
> >
> >>they did not know where the water came from or went to, and didn't know
> >>why the kangaroos had to swim from Australia to the middle east in order
> >>not to drown.
> >
> > Do you refer to a flood? There's one in New Orleans right now. Do you
> > know where the water came from? Do you know how it's going to be let
> > out? Are the zoo animals swimming for Cairo?
>
> The water came from two sources. One was fresh water and the other salt
> water from a storm surge. Water that was once some place else came to be
> deposited in New Orleans and the world has suffered no increase or
> decrease in the amount of water that exists in the world.

Do you know this from first-hand experience, or what?

The world
> covering biblical flood is quite a different matter.

The known world in the biblical flood...

A huge amount of
> water that doesn't exist here toady would have to had existed at that
> time.

Why?

> It would have had to be someplace else before the flood, and then
> after the flood, it would have had to go some place else.

Much like the water in New Orleans. It wasn't there two weeks ago.
Three months from now it will be somewhere else. Will you be able to
account for all of the water then?

> Another interesting question:
>
> During the rain and flood period, the ocean levels would have to raise
> by many 10's of thousands of feet. What effect would this have on the
> rotational velocity of the earth? Same thing when the water receded.

Probably rotate faster as a water covered earth should have far less
frictional drag than a rough land/mountain covered earth. If you
discount the atmosphere.

> Since the water came from rain, and therefore fresh, there should be a
> distinct record of the Oceans salinity dropping drastically at that time.

You should have asked Noah if he could float an egg in the water or
not.

> > Even my more serious questions were troublesome for them,
> >
> >>especially since they were engineers. They really hated my thoughts on
> >>how if they were correct about the young universe and Earth were fact,
> >>some of the "facts" that they tried to use to disprove Evolution, such
> >>as dating anomalies, could not be true because the basic nuclear decay
> >>rates (or is that nukular?) were wrong to begin with.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > It's "nuclear." And they should be dating much younger women if decay
> > is going to be a problem.
>
> I had dated a few anomalies myself in my younger days. Some were fun,
> and others.....

Too many cultures have a tradition of a great flood for it to be a
fairy tale.

494. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:38:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125963501.234933.92380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118321

A. Melon wrote:
> In article <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > > >
> > > > >>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> > > > >>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> > > > >>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> > > > >>standards, responsible for his posts?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> > > >
> > > > You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> > > > chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> > > > you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
> > >
> > what bald faced lies?
> >
> Anybody who disagrees with Dave Vile is a liar, didn't you know that? <guffaw!>
I have noticed

different edition of websters I guess
>
> > >
> > > > One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> > > > lying
> > > > cut
> > > >
> > > > There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> > > > malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
> > > > "personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> > > > woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> > > > I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
> > >
> > you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> > sexual flirtations
> >
> > you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> > female

>
> Guess he was bored with the one he married.
and interesting that he attacks me so vicously for being a BIsexual
male but will flirt with Bisexual female

495. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:44:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125963843.454125.222620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118322

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125879350.212236.240760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Len:
> >>
> >> ahhhhh....
> >>
> >> I like to build antennas... I like to experiment with them...
> >>
> >> But, I am a software engineer, not a hardware engineer (some of the
> >> math interests me) and frankly, anyone who will pay attention to my
> >> rants about the either consider me a loon <frown>... something has to
> >> seem
> >> like "magic" to me--or I will lose faith altogether! <grin>
> >
> > WHATEVER you do, don't ask for any antenna advice from these "higher"
> > hams on RRAP. I made that mistake. Once!
>
> There are some individuals here that can and or could answer antenna
> questions....I think you would find a better selection of knowledgeable
> individuals at...

Perhaps. They've got "thier" own little wars going on over there. I
never dreamed that antenna discussions could cause such disharmony
within the ARS.

496. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:44:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <IuCdnfIOWNP6RYHeRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118323

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>On 4 Sep 2005 16:44:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>><1125877482.659665.136130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
>>>>>the world was *not*
>>>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
>>>>>in 4004 BC
>>>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
>>>>
>>>>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
>>>>rested on the seventh day.
>>>>
>>>>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
>
>
>>>LOL!!!
>
>
> That's from Time Bandits as well.
>
>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>>I highly doubt that it was created by
>>>>>a supreme being.
>>>>
>>>>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
>>>>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
>>
>>>I get a kick out of some of these discussions.
>
>
> I find them somewhat interesting and somewhat dismaying.
> The dismaying part is that the deeper meanings of the

> Bible stories are missed because folks are too busy
> taking them literally.
>
> For example, take the two contradictory creation
> stories in Genesis. First off, you find that relatively
> few have actually read them well enough to see the
> contradictions.
>
> But those contradictions only exist if the interpretation
> is literal. If you see the stories as parables, the contradictions
> don't matter.
>
> Or take the part about all of us being punished because
> of Adam eating the apple. Doesn't make any sense at first - you
> don't send a son to jail because his father robbed a bank!
>
> OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
> affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
> ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
> sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
> in a hurricane zone?!)
>
>
>>>Especially regarding
>>>evolution. These "Christians" are constantly
>>>trying to poke holes in
>>>the theory, yet are too short-sighted
>>>to consider that 'evolution'
>>>(even with all it's holes) might be one of
>>>God's creations. If so,
>>>then they are effectively attacking their own faith.
>>
>>I've asked them that (one time I was trapped in a car
>>on a 4 hour drive
>>with a couple fundies- arrrgh) We had a grand old time.
>>I used to keep
>>me yap shut because it doesn't do much good, but
>>after the second hour
>>of them trying to save my soul, I unleashed the dogs on them.
>
>
> When did logic and reason become "the dogs"?
>
>
>>Turns out
>>they did not know where the water came from or went to, and
>>didn't know
>>why the kangaroos had to swim from Australia to the middle east > in order not to drown.
>
>

> Oh yes, the deluge. Lots of good stuff in there. Here's some more:
>
> The Book tells us how big the ark was and how many of each
> animal were taken aboard.
>
> Now since evolution supposedly doesn't happen, all of the land mammals
> and birds we see must have been on the ark, since otherwise they'd
> drown. The Book specifically mentions Noah
> sending out a bird, too.
>
> Not just the animals and birds themselves were on the ark but food and
> water for them.
>
> Look around for all the different species of land animals and birds
> around today. Then figure out how much space they'd all take up.

Just about 1 blue whale should do it.

> Unless the ark was actually a tardis, it wasn't near big enough for all
> the different types of deer, bison, antelope, giraffe, elephant,
> cattle, oxen, sheep, swine, goat, emu, ostrich, eland, moose, horse,
> zebra, bear, lion, tiger, panther, caribou, etc., etc., etc.
>
>
>>Even my more serious questions were troublesome
>>for them,
>>especially since they were engineers. They really hated my
>>thoughts on
>>how if they were correct about the young universe
>>and Earth were fact,
>>some of the "facts" that they tried to use to
>>disprove Evolution, such
>>as dating anomalies, could not be true because
>>the basic nuclear decay
>>rates (or is that nukular?) were wrong to begin with.
>
>
> The basic explanation they use for all that is that it
> was made that way. Even down to the light from the stars more
> distant than 6000 light years. Just popped into being.

Don't forget the "variable light speed" theory. Or is that fact? ;^)
Light can magically slow down and speed up in order to account for a
literal Genesis interpretation.

> Of course if someone accepts that "popped into being"
> explanation, the universe could only be an hour old...
>
> I think the real attraction of the "young universe" idea
> is that it's comforting and reduces people's
> environmental responsibility. Global warming? Resource

> depletion? Species extinction? No problems, because
> the Earth isn't old enough for there to be enough data.

Ostrich heads in the sand....

> But if the Earth is billions of years old, the situation is very
> different.
>
> If someone wants to believe the Earth is a bit more than 6000
> years old, that's fine with me. Just as if they want to believe
> that pi is equal to 3, that the earth is flat or the moon is
> made of cheese.
>
> Just don't try to pass off those beliefs as "science", because
> they simply don't stand up to the scientific method.

> When people insist that their religious beliefs be considered
> "scientific" even though they fall apart under scientific
> scrutiny, what they're really trying to do is destroy the
> scientific method.

Of course. Yaknow, my trump card in the whole science/fundamental religion debate is that I have a lot of the fundies literature, courtesy of my maternal grandparents, who were indeed fundies.

I have literature that proclaims that education is dangerous, due to the likelihood of sinful ideas such as evolution being imprinted on the student. I even have statements that education may lead the educated to question things. Questioning things is bad.

> Not a new thing. Look at what happened to Galileo. How many years did
> it take for the Vatican to admit they were wrong?

Not in time for his pension, I dare say!

- Mike KB3EIA -

497. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:47:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iO4Te.6701$Wd7.6066@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118324

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
>>>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
>>>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
>>>>standards, responsible for his posts?
>>>
>>>
>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>>
>>You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
>>chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
>>you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
>
>
> what bald faced lies?

The lies which I outlined below. You know full well which baldfaced lies.

>>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
>>>lying
>>>cut
>>
>>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
>>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
>>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
>>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
>> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
>
>
> you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> sexual flirtations

That is one lie. I was posting in alt.west-virginia.

> you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> female

That is two more lies. I posted nothing of a flirtaceous nature and I
posted nothing to a bisexual female.

> I know the turth you lack a nodding understanding of it

You not only don't "know the turth", you incapable of being truthful.

> again One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with
> isn't
> lying

There is no disagreement, Mark. You flat out lied, deliberately,
brazenly and maliciously.

>>You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.
>
>
> you have nevr entounteed me thnak god

No, I haven't entounteed you. I've encountered you right here.

Why are you "thnaking" a deity in which you have no belief?

Dave K8MN

498. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:48:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5P4Te.8137$FW1.2894@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118325

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:K6-dnUjejdt_soHeRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125891238.706717.37480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1125880640.292690.297180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>> cut
>>> > Plenty of the Double standard we see all to often
>>> >>
>>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>> >
>>>
>>> Hans has not indicated anything he couldn't actually do. You, on the
>>> other
>>> hand, from appearances so far, have not tried to find anyway you could
>>> contribute. Plus you put down someone who has contributed and is simply
>>> sharing his experience and taking pleasure in what good he and others
>>> can
>>> do. Every effort, large or small, is worth doing and celebrating.
>>
>> in a word Bull
>>
>> Dan is holding up passing a couple of messages as some great thing,
>> what are they a drop in the bucket, together with all the others they
>> do add up to something but to glorify hisown little bit into something
>>
>> that I call being a baragrt and I am not alone in holding that opinion
>>
>> now as toy your biased accusation against me personaly
>>
>> Gee how do you know what I have done or not done. I don't brag about
>> such petty things as passing a few pieces of traffic
>>
>> but hans has Done nothing(at least by his words nothing) and is clearly
>> able to do a great more than that. I have looked and found nothing I
>> can without neglecting my own duties which are first to my family.
>>
>> you choose to flame the guy with the least abilty to do anything for
>> doing the same as the guys able to do a great deal. Gee I call that
>> unfair, thankfully I don't expect fairness, haven't since I was kid.

>>
>> You just start barking order to do what isn't possible.
>
> There's always donations needed.
>
>>
>> Speacking of HTS for monet I keep hearing there are VHF nets and yet I
>> have never lived anywhere with one. Could some one provide a reference
>> (location and band) where there is a real VHF traffic net. I would just
>> like to know they in fact exist somewhere
>>
>
> I check into the SE Michigan Traffic Net a couple of times a week. If you
> will look in the ARRL directory, there are quite a few VHF nets listed for
> Michigan.
>
>> Like I said the double standard I have seen all to often in both the
>> ARS and life. It would be refreshing to have someone at least be honest
>> enough to admit to it
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> No that double standard exists only in your own interpretations.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I can see now I am fighting with a mental case. Here is a suggestion "old friend" START a VHF NET. Get some stations with HF capabilities to link with the HF network. There you are....your now in the system. Don't know how to do it? Don't trust my advice? Check with ARRL.org. DOn't trust them either probably.

EXACTLY what some firebrands did in this part of Alabama. They started their own V/Uhf system. And it is a fabulous success. Oh...is that bragging again?

Dan/W4NTI

499. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:51:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rcadnYX5kNmRR4HeRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118326

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<snip>

> OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
> affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
> ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
> sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
> in a hurricane zone?!)

It must be remembered that New Orleans has been sinking at the rate of 3 feet per century. This has been accelerated due to the deterioration of the Mississippi Delta.

When the city was founded, it was a low lying coastal city, just like most coastal cities. At that time, there was plenty of Delta, and it looked like a fine place to build a port city.

Over the years, as the geology changed, it was not at such as fast rate that relocation seemed necessary. Then as we learned more, we found out that essentially the city was doomed.

More on that in my reply to Brian upcoming.

- Mike KB3EIA -

500. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:52:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1125964361.944646.49120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118327

an Old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:

> > > > > > I was glad to see it frankly as long as he posts every day or so we are
> > > > > > safe since he is obviously not driving to one of to carru out one of
> > > > > > his threats
> > > > >
> > > > > I dunno. I met someone at a McDonalds near Toledo to capture some GPS
> > > > > data, and when I booted up my laptop, it said that I had service to the
> > > > > Flying J and another truckstop's network. Most business oriented
> > > > > hotels have WAN also. Steve can post from anywhere.
> > > >
> > > > I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie
> > >
> > > You're 3x3. Say again all after "i."
> >
> > you and I can certainly post from anyway but can stevie

Yeh, he could. Stay vigilant.

501. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:52:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125964377.021972.242340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118328

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> >>>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> >>>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> >>>>standards, responsible for his posts?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >>
> >>You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> >>chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> >>you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
> >
> >
> > what bald faced lies?
>
> The lies which I outlined below. You know full well which baldfaced lies.
>
> >>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> >>>lying
> >>>cut
> >>
> >>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> >>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
> >>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> >>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> >> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
> >
> >
> > you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> > sexual flirtations
>
> That is one lie. I was posting in alt.west-virginia.

no lie it was that named group but you were posting in group consiting
of nothing but presonal adds and sexual flirtations
>

> > you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> > female
>
> That is two more lies. I posted nothing of a flirtaceous nature and I
> posted nothing to a bisexual female.

both true
>
> > I know the turth you lack a nodding understanding of it
>
> You not only don't "know the turth", you incapable of being truthful.

I crtian can be, and yes I certainly can choose not to be
>
> > again One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with
> > isn't
> > lying
>
> There is no disagreement, Mark. You flat out lied, deliberately,
> brazenly and maliciously.
No lie what so ever

>
> >>You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.
> >
> >
> > you have nevr entounteed me thnak god
>
> No, I haven't entounteed you. I've encountered you right here.
>
> Why are you "thnaking" a deity in which you have no belief?

where do you get that LIE
>
>
> Dave K8MN

502. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:57:10 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <1c8229ff629ecc3c67d21029f300ac88@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 118319

In article <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> cut
> > >
> > >>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> > >>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> > >>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> > >>standards, responsible for his posts?
> > >
> > >
> > > because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >
> > You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> > chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> > you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
>
> what bald faced lies?

Anybody who disagrees with Dave Vile is a liar, didn't you know that? <guffaw!>

> >
> > > One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> > > lying
> > > cut
> >
> > There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> > malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
> > "personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> > woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> > I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
>
> you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> sexual flirtations
>
> you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> female

Guess he was bored with the one he married.

503. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:58:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <BY4Te.8146$FW1.1214@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118329

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:a9_Se.15018$ZL4.12516@bignews4.bellsouth.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1125623827.627282.287040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 1, 5:26 pm
>>
>>>I hope you folks can give a listen to HF during this disaster. It is
>>>amazing how Ham Radio has stepped up to the plate and is providing
>>>Communications where the Commercial infrastructure is destroyed.
>>
>> "Commercial infrastructure" is defined as anything non-amateur?
>>
>> Strange, I still see rather "commercial" and "military"
>> infrastructure radios very much at work on TV news, along
>> with lots and lots of "infrastructure" personnel.
>>
>> Flood conditions high enough to inundate "commercial
>> infrastructure" equipment will ALSO inundate amateur
>> equipment and render it useless as well.
>>
>> The relief efforts in Louisiana and Mississippi are being
>> handled by MANY, MANY different volunteers and MOST of them
>> do not appear to be or are identified as amateur radio
>> operators.
>>
>>>7290, 7285, 14.265, 3935, 3873, 3965 and many others I am sure.
>>
>> Those networks are operating OUTSIDE the flood regions,
>> have NOT been inundated with flood waters.
>>
>>>I'm listening to a young lady right now with the Baptist feeding unit in
>>>Biloxi Mississippi on the Alabama net frequency of 3965 passing messages
>>>to
>>>family and friends outside of the disaster area.
>>
>> Then that young lady is NOT under water and has gotten to a
>> location that is NOT under water or destroyed (somehow, you
>> have not specified that transport) and has the help of
>> an amateur radio station set up for this purpose on DRY land
>> (some place unspecified).
>>
>>>Such as "Daddy were alright" Don't worry have not been able to call
>>>before.

>>
>> That is excellent service that is provided (partly) by amateur
>> radio. "Daddy" somehow has gotten the news and that probably
>> is completed over a telephone circuit...a telephone circuit of
>> the evil "commercial infrastructure" that was NOT destroyed.
>>
>>>And this is for you Len Anderson......take your anti-ham crap and shove
>>>it.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've NEVER been "anti-ham." What I HAVE been
>> against is the morse code test for any amateur radio license.
>> What I HAVE been against is the braggadoccio of morse code mode
>> over and above any other mode in effectiveness. What I HAVE
>> been against is that morse code mode is the "only" mode possible
>> in emergency communications.
>>
>> And what I HAVE been against is all you PCTA emotional retards
>> who think/state/live the notion that U.S. amateur radio is ONLY
>> about morse code mode, morsemanship, and neglecting the majority
>> of licensed radio amateurs who do NOT agree with you waving the
>> ham flag as ONLY yours and ONLY that of the morsemen.
>>
>> You greatly confuse all of "hamdom" as having YOUR personal
>> opinion. You don't understand that someone having opinions
>> contrary to YOURS does NOT act in any "anti-amateur" manner.
>>
>>>Back to work, thanks to you all for the help you are providing.
>>
>> Dan, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> This is from the Sunday Pensacola News Journal:
>
> Ham-radio operators
>
> assist in rescue fforts
>
> Tallahassee ham-radio operators guided emergency workers through daring
> helicopter rescue :, Friday of 1 ,500 patients and staff from two New
> Orleans hospitals besieged by darkness and gunfire.
>
> Urged by rapidly rising water 8 feet deep in places and the growing
> reality
> that New Orleans had become a drowning pool, the operators fashioned a
> satellite receptor atop an 8-story building in downtown Tallahassee.
>
> Three of them - Theo Titus, Gene Floyd and Bill Schmidt - boarded a
> helicopter in Tallahassee on Wednesday and headed for New Orleans.
>

> Atop the garage at Tulane University Hospital and Clinic, they set up a
> generator-powered .. ham radio with a satellite uplink.
>
> The men used that communication to direct pilots through the near-war zone
> as they evacuated decimated hospitals. "There were a lot of heroes in this
> operation," said operator Chuck Hall. Hall said the rescue was a small
> victory, but bigger obstacles remain. Hospitals have to be rebuilt.
> Patients
> have to get well, and New Orleans still faces months of recovery. "We had
> to
> overcome some small hurdles today, but the big hurdles are in front of
> us.".
>
>
> If THAT isn't in the thick of it, I really don't know WHAT you really
> want. I recall a thread recently where you insisted that Amateur Radio had
> no "real" part in emergency operations and that most such communications
> was handled withOUT the help of Amateur Radio. Yada Yada, Yada. Much will
> be done by MANY agencies and groups-even people lending backs and hands
> or a mere handkerchief to the effort. *Some* may be by Morse; much of it
> not. Some will handled by satellite and other data links as can be
> established like the gentlemen in the article. I am seeing PLENTY of
> articles on TV and radio about hams in the thick of the action--Even Larry
> King Live mentioned! The crux of your angst was not the Morse issue, but
> the viability of Amateur Radio itself as a vital part of our nation's
> communications infrastructure, which it is HAS been since the beginning.
> Nothing puts the lie to your
> ham-radio-is-not-vital-to-emergency-communications diatribe than this
> horrific tragedy. NO! It is NOT the onlyone--no one's saying it is--but it
> is certainly doing what it does best; serving the community and nation in
> anyway it can. And there are thousands staying out of the way until the
> phone rings, "Can YOU come and do....."? Or relaying
> messages into and out of NO and other stricken area. MOST amateurs that
> respond, whether it be some heroic effort or merely letting a daughter
> know that her Daddy is safe via HF radio will do so without expecting or
> receiving so much as a quick "Thanks, man". They wouldn't have it any
> other way! :)
>
> J
>
>

At the probability of getting accused, again, of boasting.....I am happy to read of the success of the chopper flights.

The Alabama Emergency Net responded to a extremely weak station requesting to contact Tallahassee Fla hospital and ask them to come up on VHF. We passed it via several relays.

Then heard nothing more. I sincerely hope we helped in a little way.

Dan/W4NTI 3965

504. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-05 23:59:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NZ4Te.8153$FW1.5327@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118330

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:9LGdnX_sDpC2OobeRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:OqNSe.7633$FW1.4112@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> cut>
>>>> Don't sweat it dipwad....I am completely and totally positive that with
>>>> your
>>>> attitude....No ham radio group would want your "assistance".
>>>
>>> where is Stveie to decry your profanity
>>>
>>> More of the Double standard
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>
>> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> You have to remember that most people are totally unclear as to the
> differences between profanity, vulgarity, obscenity, cursing, and
> swearing.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
I know that they have sensitive virgin eyes. Perhaps they should also learn
English.

Dan/W4NTI

505. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:00:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <q_4Te.1333$9x2.1187@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118331

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yup, draftee Colonel Morgan of the Chemical Corps. It's not a lie. At worst,
>>>>the US Army is just "eeing things differently than you".
>>>
>>>
>>>that stament of rank was alie as I admited years ago
>>>both you and Dave and stevie refuse to accept that
>>
>>"Both" is three people? I accept one of your lies as a lie. I accept a
>>number of your lies as lies. You can't be counted upon to tell the truth.
>
> agreeded

You might be "agreeding" or you just might be lying about it.

> as I have said many times you can't count on me to tell the turth about
> where I am how to find me or my past. I don't think that is safe
> behavoir

Posting malicious lies about someone on a newsgroup isn't safe behavior
either.

Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916

You're pretty easy to find, lies or no lies. The Radio Amateur Callbook
has you listed as does the FCC. Do you think your past is any less easy
to find?

> you and Stevie prove me right

You're probably lying.

You came into this newsgroup blowing smoke and lying and you're still
doing it.

>>>or adknowledge that a fellow has right to use what tols are at hand
>>>when threatened as stevie did
>>
>>Right. You lie and it is Steve Robeson's fault. I see.
>
>
> another of YOUR lies

Naw, you're likely lying again, Colonel. You tell one lie and then
another to cover up the first.

> I choose to defnd myself I choose that path and it worked quite well
> stveie was posting for days about his efforts to track me down

Mark, finding anyone's whereabouts just isn't that tough as a rule. It
is even easier to find a U.S. radio amateur. Most Americans aren't in
hiding for any reason.

> you refuse to accept that I know everyone lies

> I know you lie and Stevie lies and everyone else

I can't believe a thing you say, Mark. You're most likely lying again
to cover another lie.

> what I can't stand about you and some other your dishonesty about that
> central point and that you make up stuff that you claim someone said
> then say they leid in saying it

Let's see you come up with an example of your claim. After all, you
made up some things and attributed actions to me when an examination of
the facts by anyone at all would show you to be an outrageous liar.

> You support and endorse crime for goodness sake you will willing aid
> and abet it

Call that pool of typists, Mark. You're headed toward writing pure
gibberish. I have not and do not support, endorse or abet crime. You
are either lying or a fool. Which is it?

> and you have admitted to this on hear

I know I've said it before, Mark, but it bears repeating: You're a twit.

Dave K8MN

506. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:00:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <w_4Te.8158$FW1.77@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118332

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125890583.203593.214970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> cut
>> >
>>
>> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.
>
> more profanity?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Your right Dee.

Dan/W4NTI

507. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:00:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <__4Te.1334$9x2.586@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118333

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Paul W. Schleck wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>again missing the point
>>
>>I'm sorry that you missed the point. Perhaps it'll come to you after a
>>re-reading.
>
>
> not me that needs to reread it

You seem to be the one missing the point.

Dave K8MN

508. Re: You heard it here first, folks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:02:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <P05Te.1339$9x2.80@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118334

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>ah the open mindedness of hams
>>
>>I think your mind is extremely open, Mark.
>
> My God Dave got something right
>
> I may die of the shock

Don't get too giddy now. I just meant that there's plenty of open space
in your mind.

>
>>>one boasts of killing gooks another address canaadian pagoritively
>>
>>I think those Canaadians live near the Canaanites, if you approach it
>>paragorically.
>
> well can't even beat a broken clock feeling better now

I haven't the slightest idea what thought those words are supposed to
represent.

Dave K8MN

509. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:06:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <545Te.1343$9x2.758@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118335

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>>news:1125946458.483347.248290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
> cut
>
>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>And it wasn't considered an upgrade. It simply allowed them to have not
>>>>only their own privileges but the privileges of the "lowest" license
>>>>class.
>>>
>>>boy you must be popular when you talk to the techs you insult there
>>>licenses
>>>
>>
>>And how is factual data considered an insult?
>
>
> by showing the Speacker as an elistist
>
> That you even think in such terms is insulting
>
>>At the introduction of the No Code Tech
>>
>>Fact: Novice was the lowest class requiring one written and one code test.
>>Fact: Tech was a "higher" license class requiring the Novice written & Tech
>>written but not the Novice code and did not grant Novice privileges.
>>Fact: To get Novice privileges, Techs had to pass the Novice code.
>>
>>What is insulting about that?
>
>
> It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
> factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
> the statement
>
> However I suspect you will be

Dee, there is no dealing with Mr. Morgan at the moment. He does not
seem to be in control of his emotions or his mental faculties.

Dave K8MN

510. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:08:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <v65Te.1344$9x2.441@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118336

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Tell us again how YOU helped the disaster victims by posing as
> "Commander Buzz Corey of the Space Patrol!" of the 1950s, and
> without EVER identifying yourself as anything but a two-dimensional
> fictitious character on the boob tube a half century ago.

...yet you have no troube at all with the unidentified "John Smith".
You haven't even called him an anonymousie.

Dave K8MN

511. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:12:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125965532.856452.118510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118337

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Yup, draftee Colonel Morgan of the Chemical Corps. It's not a lie. At worst,
> >>>>the US Army is just "eeing things differently than you".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>that stament of rank was alie as I admited years ago
> >>>both you and Dave and stevie refuse to accept that
> >>
> >>"Both" is three people? I accept one of your lies as a lie. I accept a
> >>number of your lies as lies. You can't be counted upon to tell the truth.
> >
> > agreeded
>
> You might be "agreeding" or you just might be lying about it.

I might indeed
>
> > as I have said many times you can't count on me to tell the turth about
> > where I am how to find me or my past. I don't think that is safe
> > behavoir
>
> Posting malicious lies about someone on a newsgroup isn't safe behavior
> either.

more threats Dave boring
more stalking

> > you and Stevie prove me right
>
> You're probably lying.
```

not this time

You prove me right with most posts stevie with alomst every post
> You came into this newsgroup blowing smoke and lying and you're still
> doing it.
>
> >>>or adknowledge that a fellow has right to use what tols are at hand
> >>>when threatened as stevie did
> >>
> >>Right. You lie and it is Steve Robeson's fault. I see.
> >
> >
> > another of YOUR lies
>
> Naw, you're likely lying again, Colonel. You tell one lie and then
> another to cover up the first.
>
> > I choose to defnd myself I choose that path and it worked quite well
> > stveie was posting for days about his efforts to track me down
>
> Mark, finding anyone's whereabouts just isn't that tough as a rule. It
> is even easier to find a U.S. radio amateur. Most Americans aren't in
> hiding for any reason.
>
> > you refuse to accept that I know everyone lies
>
> > I know you lie and Stevie lies and everyone else
>
> I can't believe a thing you say, Mark. You're most likely lying again
> to cover another lie.
>
> > what I can't stand about you and some other your dishonesty about that
> > central point and that you make up stuff that you claim someone said
> > then say they leid in saying it
>
> Let's see you come up with an example of your claim. After all, you
> made up some things and attributed actions to me when an examination of
> the facts by anyone at all would show you to be an outrageous liar.

your claim that I don't believe in god for example is a case where you
made up something
>
>
> > You support and endorse crime for goodness sake you will willing aid
> > and abet it
>
> Call that pool of typists, Mark. You're headed toward writing pure
> gibberish. I have not and do not support, endorse or abet crime. You
> are either lying or a fool. Which is it?

you sure do support crime and are willing to aid it
>
> > and you have admitted to this on hear
>
> I know I've said it before, Mark, but it bears repeating: You're a twit.

you are a lair and a bad one too
>
>
> Dave K8MN

512. Re: Stonewalling on WT Docket 05-235?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:13:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125965597.860265.51080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118338

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Paul W. Schleck wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>again missing the point
> >>
> >>I'm sorry that you missed the point. Perhaps it'll come to you after a
> >>re-reading.
> >
> >
> > not me that needs to reread it
>
> You seem to be the one missing the point.
nope you are
as is normal
>
> Dave K8MN

513. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:15:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125965703.142915.293210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118339

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125890583.203593.214970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1125881754.359342.72120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > cut
> >> >
> >>
> >> WHAT PROFANITY? DIPWAD? What a Putz.
> >
> > more profanity?
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Your right Dee.
Idoit there is nothign written by there to be right or wrong
>
> Dan/W4NTI

514. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:18:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <TtOdnTItc-byfYHeRVn-2Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118340

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<snip>

>>ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
>>sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
>>in a hurricane zone?!)
>
>
> Because it was fantastic for the mode of transportation available at
> the time.

It was a good place to build a city. At that time we did not know that tectonic forces were causing a thinning of the plate that New Orleans and much of the east was sitting on was causing the subsidence of the city. 3 feet every 100 years in fact, not a happy number when you are a sea level port city. Coupled with the receding of the delta due to natural and man made changes, and the raising of the sea level due to global warming or whatever, you have a disaster waiting to happen. And it happened.

More below.

Then it had momentum which brought us to the present point.
> Are you suggesting the New Orleans be rebuilt at a different location,
> or not be rebuilt at all?

It is not a good idea to rebuild the city of New Orleans in it's present position. While New Orleans is sinking, the rest of the area is sinking also. The Mississippi will almost certainly change its course soon. It "wants" to start entering the Gulf of Mexico to the west of where it does now, and it will probably do so through the Atchafalaya river. SO we could rebuild the city, and it might not matter.

- Mike KB3EIA

515. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:27:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125966450.184945.65870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118341

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
cut
> >>What is insulting about that?
> >
> >
> > It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
> > factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
> > the statement
> >
> > However I suspect you will be
>
> Dee, there is no dealing with Mr. Morgan at the moment. He does not
> seem to be in control of his emotions or his mental faculties.

another lie form you Dave 2 in fact

One that it is ever hard to deal with me. it never is hard.

two, that I am not in control of my emotions
>
> Dave K8MN

516. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:28:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4p5Te.7605$_84.1562@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118342

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:52_Se.881$9x2.629@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:08:47 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <3t8Se.5893$9i4.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>
>> First you wrote:
>>
>>
>>>...Ain't no one thumping chests here.
>>
>>
>>
>> Immediately followed by:
>>
>>
>>
>>>I have ran several pieces of traffic from the disaster area tonight.
>>>Made the calls and I'm damn proud of Ham Radio.
>>
>>
>>
>> Hypocrite.
>>
>>
>>
>>> If you don't like it. Gent bent
>>
>>
>>
>> It's morons like you who secretly -wanted- something this bad to
>> happen just so you could have the opportunity to "step up to the
>> plate". Yep, all those people are suffering and dying so -you- can
>> feel good about being a ham -- you -should- be proud.
>
> You're statement is more than stupid. I know of no one, radio amateur or
> not, who wanted such a tragedy to take place.
>
>> Get some perspective you sick <expletive deleted>.
>
> Wow! It is difficult to believe that one who thinks the way you do, could
> accept that it is you who needs the perspective.

>
> Dave K8MN

The last time I saw anything like this was the rubble we left that used to be Cholon district of Saigon during TET. I still wake up seeing that.

And to think this moron thinks anyone actually wished for a disaster that has hit the Gulf Coast of the US is beyond stupid. He is braindead.

Well, thanks to all of you out there that know what is going on. I'm done dealing with this fool. My break is over, going back to the rig.

73

Dan/W4NTI

517. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:30:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125966649.299198.83470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118343

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
cut
> > > > I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie
> > >
> > > You're 3x3. Say again all after "i."
> >
> > you and I can certainly post from anyway but can stevie
>
> Yeh, he could. Stay vigilant.

always

if he shows he will eat lead since it will prove he is dangerous

his quiet is blissfull though

518. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:46:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtednZ2dnZ0V8z3InZ2dnU17gd6dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118347

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

>>The water came from two sources. One was fresh water and the other salt
>>water from a storm surge. Water that was once some place else came to be
>>deposited in New Orleans and the world has suffered no increase or
>>decrease in the amount of water that exists in the world.
>
>
> Do you know this from first-hand experience, or what?
>
> The world
>
>>covering biblical flood is quite a different matter.
>
>
> The known world in the biblical flood...
>> A huge amount of
>>water that doesn't exist here toady would have to had existed at that
>>time.
>
>
> Why?
>
>
>>It would have had to be someplace else before the flood, and then
>>after the flood, it would have had to go some place else.
>
>
> Much like the water in New Orleans. It wasn't there two weeks ago.
> Three months from now it will be somewhere else. Will you be able to
> account for all of the water then?

Hang on a second, Brian. The amount of water needed to raise the level
of water coverage to 29,035 feet above sea level does not simply come
and go like the water that flooded New Orleans and Mississippi and
Alabama. How much water do you figure that is?

>
>> Another interesting question:
>>
>> During the rain and flood period, the ocean levels would have to raise
>>by many 10's of thousands of feet. What effect would this have on the
>>rotational velocity of the earth? Same thing when the water receded.
>

>
> Probably rotate faster as a water covered earth should have far less
> frictional drag than a rough land/mountain covered earth. If you
> discount the atmosphere.

Assuming that the mass of the Earth was neither increased nor decreased during the event, the rotation would slow down as the flood progressed, ant then sped up as the water evaporated.

But given that no amount of water that could cover the entire earth can be found today, the earth would speed up in rotation as the water went to wherever it went. Like the skater who spins with he arms stretched out, then pulls them in and speeds up. Should work that was AFAIK.

- Mike KB3EIA -

519. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:46:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VF5Te.5997$4P5.1976@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118348

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> If you look deeply enough, the snide remarks are not really about God. They
> are about the people who would form God in their own image.
>
> Problem is, that is an awful lot of people. Most, in fact.
>

I could believe in a god in the image of say, Pamela Anderson!

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB

520. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:49:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pI5Te.6000$4P5.2952@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118349

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Lighten up, Hans.

My doctor said my weight is (172#) is ideal for my height.

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB

521. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 00:58:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7R5Te.6012$4P5.4471@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118150

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>If you look deeply enough, the snide remarks are not really about God. They
>>are about the people who would form God in their own image.
>>
>>Problem is, that is an awful lot of people. Most, in fact.
>>
>
>
> I could believe in a god in the image of say, Pamela Anderson!

I can't accept a slutty, trailer trash deity who looks like five miles
of bad road.

Dave K8MN

522. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:01:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125968470.782078.275090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118151

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
> >
> >
> >>If you look deeply enough, the snide remarks are not really about God. They
> >>are about the people who would form God in their own image.
> >>
> >>Problem is, that is an awful lot of people. Most, in fact.
> >>
> >
> >
> > I could believe in a god in the image of say, Pamela Anderson!
>
> I can't accept a slutty, trailer trash deity who looks like five miles
> of bad road.

ah yes show a streak of mysogny too

>
> Dave K8MN

523. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:05:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IX5Te.6016$4P5.3154@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118152

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
>>>>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
>>>>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
>>>>>standards, responsible for his posts?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>>>>
>>>>You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
>>>>chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
>>>>you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
>>>
>>>
>>>what bald faced lies?
>>
>>The lies which I outlined below. You know full well which baldfaced lies.
>>
>>
>>>>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
>>>>>lying
>>>>>cut
>>>>
>>>>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
>>>>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
>>>>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
>>>>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
>>>> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
>>>
>>>
>>>you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
>>>sexual flirtations
```

>>
>>That is one lie. I was posting in alt.west-virginia.
>
>
> no lie it was that named group but you were posting in group consiting
> of nothing but presonal adds and sexual flirtations

Well, your lie has started to fall apart and we have you admitting that
the post was in alt.west-virginia. Spammers target that group and
thousands of others, yet there are a goodly number of West Virginians
and transplanted West Virginians who have posted in that newsgroup.

>>>you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
>>>female
>>
>>That is two more lies. I posted nothing of a flirtaceous nature and I
>>posted nothing to a bisexual female.
>
>
> both true

Mark, if you are going to tell a lie, tell a good one. You provided
links which show your claim to be a lie. I directly quoted the posts
for which you provided links and I posted them right here. The quoted
material proves that you've lied. Your word is worthless.

>>>I know the turth you lack a nodding understanding of it
>>
>>You not only don't "know the turth", you incapable of being truthful.
>
>
> I crtian

You cretin?

> ...can be, and yes I certainly can choose not to be

You continue to post deliberate falsehoods and admit that you can choose
to be untruthful. Well, there we have it.

>>>again One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with
>>>isn't
>>>lying
>>
>>There is no disagreement, Mark. You flat out lied, deliberately,
>>brazenly and maliciously.
>
> No lie what so ever

Then again, you are simply lying to cover your other lies.

>>>>You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.
>>>

>>>
>>>you have nevr entounteed me thnak god
>>
>>No, I haven't entounteed you. I've encountered you right here.
>>
>>Why are you "thnaking" a deity in which you have no belief?
>
>
> where do you get that LIE

...from Mark Morgan, self-described pagan.

Dave K8MN

524. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:06:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125968793.348112.5450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118153

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > Your comments are specifically mentioned in the NPRM,
> > Hans - but FCC didn't act on any of them besides proposing
> > to drop Element 1.
>
> Democracy doesn't mean you'll get your every wish, only that
> the wish will be
> considered. I'm satisfied that my wishes were considered.

A nice sentiment, but FCC rules are not made democratically.
>
> I'm ready to move on.

> Ham radio is way too much fun for me to
> do dismay chants about the FCC dismissing my ideas.

Same here!

73 de Jim, N2EY

525. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:14:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O36Te.6732$Wd7.1111@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118154

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yup, draftee Colonel Morgan of the Chemical Corps. It's not a lie. At worst,
>>>>>>>the US Army is just "eeing things differently than you".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>that stament of rank was alie as I admited years ago
>>>>>>both you and Dave and stevie refuse to accept that
>>>>
>>>>"Both" is three people? I accept one of your lies as a lie. I accept a
>>>>number of your lies as lies. You can't be counted upon to tell the truth.
>>>
>>>agreeded
>>
>>You might be "agreeding" or you just might be lying about it.
>
>
> I might indeed

We can't take your word for it, Mark. Sorry, you're a known liar.

>>>as I have said many times you can't count on me to tell the turth about
>>>where I am how to find me or my past. I don't think that is safe
>>>behavoir

>>
>>Posting malicious lies about someone on a newsgroup isn't safe behavior
>>either.
>
>
> more threats

More?

> Dave boring

No, Dave bored.

> more stalking

Stalking? Stalking whom?

>>>you and Stevie prove me right
>>
>>You're probably lying.
>
>
> not this time

Sorry, I simply can't believe you. You are a notorious liar.

> You prove me right with most posts stevie with alomst every post

I'll have to assume that you're lying.

>>You came into this newsgroup blowing smoke and lying and you're still
>>doing it.
>>
>>
>>>>>or adknowledge that a fellow has right to use what tols are at hand
>>>>>when threatened as stevie did
>>>>
>>>>Right. You lie and it is Steve Robeson's fault. I see.
>>>
>>>
>>>another of YOUR lies
>>
>>Naw, you're likely lying again, Colonel. You tell one lie and then
>>another to cover up the first.
>>
>>
>>>I choose to defnd myself I choose that path and it worked quite well
>>>stveie was posting for days about his efforts to track me down
>>
>>Mark, finding anyone's whereabouts just isn't that tough as a rule. It
>>is even easier to find a U.S. radio amateur. Most Americans aren't in
>>hiding for any reason.
>>

>>
>>>you refuse to accept that I know everyone lies
>>
>>>I know you lie and Stevie lies and everyone else
>>
>>I can't believe a thing you say, Mark. You're most likely lying again
>>to cover another lie.
>>
>>
>>>what I can't stand about you and some other your dishonesty about that
>>>central point and that you make up stuff that you claim someone said
>>>then say they leid in saying it
>>
>>Let's see you come up with an example of your claim. After all, you
>>made up some things and attributed actions to me when an examination of
>>the facts by anyone at all would show you to be an outrageous liar.
>
>
> your claim that I don't believe in god for example is a case where you
> made up something

You must have been lying about the pagan stuff before. You simply can't
be trusted.

>>>You support and endorse crime for goodness sake you will willing aid
>>>and abet it
>>
>>Call that pool of typists, Mark. You're headed toward writing pure
>>gibberish. I have not and do not support, endorse or abet crime. You
>>are either lying or a fool. Which is it?
>
>
> you sure do support crime and are willing to aid it

I've just written that I do not support crime and that I do not abet it.
You must be lying again.

>>>and you have admitted to this on hear
>>
>>I know I've said it before, Mark, but it bears repeating: You're a twit.
>
>
> you are a lair...

No, I might find bobcats in a lair though. I think you were trying to
call me a liar--but then you're likely lying about that.

> ...and a bad one too

I'm not a liar at all, much less a practiced one like you. If you want
to discuss bad liars, let's take one who posts links to google which
disprove his lies. Now that person is a really bad liar.

Dave K8MN

526. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:15:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125969305.815071.145220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118155

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an Old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> >>>>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> >>>>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> >>>>>standards, responsible for his posts?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >>>>
> >>>>You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> >>>>chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> >>>>you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>what bald faced lies?
> >>
> >>The lies which I outlined below. You know full well which baldfaced lies.
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> >>>>>lying
> >>>>>cut
> >>>>
> >>>>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> >>>>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
> >>>>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> >>>>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> >>>> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and

> >>>sexual flirtations
> >>
> >>That is one lie. I was posting in alt.west-virginia.
> >
> >
> > no lie it was that named group but you were posting in group consiting
> > of nothing but presonal adds and sexual flirtations
>
> Well, your lie has started to fall apart and we have you admitting that
> the post was in alt.west-virginia. Spammers target that group and
> thousands of others, yet there are a goodly number of West Virginians
> and transplanted West Virginians who have posted in that newsgroup.

a Lie has to exist before it can fall apart
>
> >>>you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> >>>female
> >>
> >>That is two more lies. I posted nothing of a flirtaceous nature and I
> >>posted nothing to a bisexual female.
> >
> >
> > both true
>
> Mark, if you are going to tell a lie, tell a good one. You provided
> links which show your claim to be a lie. I directly quoted the posts
> for which you provided links and I posted them right here. The quoted
> material proves that you've lied. Your word is worthless.

the quoted mataerial proves nothing of the kind

your word is worthless

and looking around a WV owman other posts she states she is Bi female
for example

>
> >>>I know the turth you lack a nodding understanding of it
> >>
> >>You not only don't "know the turth", you incapable of being truthful.
> >
> >
> > I crtian
>
> You cretin?
>
> > ...can be, and yes I certainly can choose not to be
>
> You continue to post deliberate falsehoods and admit that you can choose
> to be untruthful. Well, there we have it.

of course I can

I have the same choice you do

You choose to lie very often it seems

>
> >>>again One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with
> >>>isn't
> >>>lying
> >>
> >>There is no disagreement, Mark. You flat out lied, deliberately,
> >>brazenly and maliciously.
> >
> > No lie what so ever
>
> Then again, you are simply lying to cover your other lies.

nope

If I was lying for effect say Id admit to at the proper time

you lie and never admit to it
>
>
> >>>>You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>you have nevr entounteed me thnak god
> >>
> >>No, I haven't entounteed you. I've encountered you right here.
> >>
> >>Why are you "thnaking" a deity in which you have no belief?
> >
> >
> > where do you get that LIE
>
> ...from Mark Morgan, self-described pagan.

another Lie Dave

and showing you are stupid

Pagan believ ein one or more Deities, indeed I don't think there exist
any pagan aethists but I could be wrong

Like I have said You and stevie apply your bigotries to things to make
up stuff that was never said and then claim it is a lie
>
> Dave K8MN

527. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:18:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w76Te.6734$Wd7.1084@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118156

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>What is insulting about that?
>>>
>>>
>>>It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
>>>factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
>>>the statement
>>>
>>>However I suspect you will be
>>
>>Dee, there is no dealing with Mr. Morgan at the moment. He does not
>>seem to be in control of his emotions or his mental faculties.
>
>
> another lie form you Dave 2 in fact
>
> One that it is ever hard to deal with me. it never is hard.

You just called a woman an elitist bitch for posting an accurate
statement. Not hard to deal with you?

> two, that I am not in control of my emotions

You are not in control of your emotions. Your quest for victimhood knows
no bounds.

Dave K8MN

528. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:20:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Y96Te.6737$Wd7.278@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118157

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
> cut
>
>>>>>I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie
>>>>
>>>>You're 3x3. Say again all after "i."
>>>
>>>you and I can certainly post from anyway but can stevie
>>
>>Yeh, he could. Stay vigilant.
>
>
> always
>
> if he shows he will eat lead since it will prove he is dangerous
>
> his quiet is blissfull though

You know, Mark, a rational man could take your statement very, very seriously. You should be most careful in what you post to a newsgroup, lest it be misinterpreted.

Dave K8MN

529. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:23:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wb6Te.6738$Wd7.1604@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118158

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> your claim that I don't believe in god for example
> is a case where you made up something

Actually, Dave, in fairness to the Colonel, a little Google workshows that he professes a religion, worshipping the pagan flavor of god, and he also likes girls (although his preferences aren't what you'd call exactly mainstream).

Subject: Cen Ilininois pagan
Author: Mark Morgan <konst...@geocities.com>
Date: 1998/07/08
Forum: alt.pagan.contacts
Posted on: 1998/07/08
Message-ID: <35a30246.64697...@news.concentric.net>
Organization: Concentric Internet Services

----------&#65533;----------&#65533;----------
----

33 Bi wm poly pagan in Cen IL the very center of the state would like to hear from others in the area

----------&#65533;----------&#65533;----------

Subject: Cen IL Bimale seeks Domme, would love spanking on his birthday
Author: Mark Morgan <konst...@geocities.com>
Date: 1998/02/04
Forums: alt.sex.femdom, alt.personals.bondage, alt.personals.fetish, alt.personals.spanking
Posted on: 1998/02/04
Message-ID: <34D93822.2...@geocities.com>
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Reply-To: konst...@geocities.com

----------&#65533;----------&#65533;----------
----

my birthday is the 21 of feb 9i'll be 34 then)
i live near Springfield IL, and 33 white unmarried, Bisexual, switch (tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has experenced both sub and Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with women, and would really like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough to make a ProDom my first choice. i will not deal with solid waste but i think every thing thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as i think I have heard

it all someone seems to come up with something new) Things i diffinently like are body worship, hot wax, bondage, spanking. i have done and enoyed with people really into them watersports, lite bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?) these are things i likely to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall flat if the other party(s) aren't real into them, in that vein i will consider and may well get into something i if it really turns you on.

----------�----------�----------
----

530. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:26:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4KSdnfO_o73tbYHeRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118160

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125950983.986732.236390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> cut
>> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
>> >
>> > by being presented in an insluting manner
>> >
>> > you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be insulted
>> >
>> > you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
>> >
>>
>> There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a
>> degree
>> of paranoia to so construe it.
>
> how do you expect to be an effective elmer if you can't reconize that
> you are being rude
>
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

And how can one be a successful student if they misinterpret plain language?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

531. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:27:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125970026.774939.208270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118159

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
> > affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
> > ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
> > sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
> > in a hurricane zone?!)

> It must be remembered that New Orleans has been sinking
> at the rate of
> 3 feet per century. This has been accelerated due to the
> deterioration of the Mississippi Delta.

Agreed!

>
> When the city was founded, it was a low lying
> coastal city, just like
> most coastal cities. At that time, there was plenty of Delta,
> and it
> looked like a fine place to build a port city.

Sure - but that was centuries ago.

> Over the years, as the geology changed, it was not at
> such as fast rate
> that relocation seemed necessary. Then as we learned
> more, we found out
> that essentially the city was doomed.
>
But how long has the sinking been known? How long ago did NO
go below sea level?

It's been known for years - decades - that if a big enough
storm came ashore in the right place, NO would be in big
trouble. A little more than a week ago it looked like
Katrina would hit NO dead-on with full Category 5 force.
Had that happened - and it was a real possibility - things
would probably be even worse there than today.

Yet even with all that warning, the levee system was only good
for a Category 3 storm. People kept building there. even as the ground
kept sinking. Why?

Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have

the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place beforehand? Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or unusual thing.

Or is that sort of thing too "liberal" for this era?

Meanwhile, Americans keep building big expensive homes and buildings in lowlying coastal areas. And in places where the ground shakes every so often. Why?

btw, it was just about 105 years ago that the big hurricane hit Galveston, Texas - with no advance warning. Look that one up....

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Wasn't That A Mighty Storm" (with kudos to Tom Rush)

532. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:27:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125970058.453351.225810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118161

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut
> >>>agreeded
> >>
> >>You might be "agreeding" or you just might be lying about it.
> >
> >
> > I might indeed
>
> We can't take your word for it, Mark. Sorry, you're a known liar.

as are you

you are well know for your lying

but the statement I might indeed (be lying) has to be true
>
> >>>as I have said many times you can't count on me to tell the turth about
> >>>where I am how to find me or my past. I don't think that is safe
> >>>behavoir
> >>
> >>Posting malicious lies about someone on a newsgroup isn't safe behavior
> >>either.
> >
> >
> > more threats
>
> More?

yes more
>
> > Dave boring
>
> No, Dave bored.

not my problem dave

and BTW you should anounced your editing of posts not doing is
dishonest

>
> > more stalking
>

> Stalking? Stalking whom?

me

and threatening behavoir too

all standard for bigots like yourself

>
> >>>you and Stevie prove me right
> >>
> >>You're probably lying.
> >
> >
> > not this time
>
> Sorry, I simply can't believe you. You are a notorious liar.

as are you very notorious

>
> > You prove me right with most posts stevie with alomst every post
>
> I'll have to assume that you're lying.

then like the axoin goes you make an ass of yourself

but you ceratinly prove me right quite often

No need to take my word for it either

>
> >>You came into this newsgroup blowing smoke and lying and you're still
> >>doing it.
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>or adknowledge that a fellow has right to use what tols are at hand
> >>>>>when threatened as stevie did
> >>>>
cut
> >>>you refuse to accept that I know everyone lies
> >>
> >>>I know you lie and Stevie lies and everyone else
> >>
> >>I can't believe a thing you say, Mark. You're most likely lying again
> >>to cover another lie.
> >>
> >>
> >>>what I can't stand about you and some other your dishonesty about that
> >>>central point and that you make up stuff that you claim someone said
> >>>then say they leid in saying it
> >>
> >>Let's see you come up with an example of your claim. After all, you

> >>made up some things and attributed actions to me when an examination of
> >>the facts by anyone at all would show you to be an outrageous liar.
> >
> >
> > your claim that I don't believe in god for example is a case where you
> > made up something
>
> You must have been lying about the pagan stuff before. You simply can't
> be trusted.

not at all since pagan belive in god your statement is the lie

check your facts

>
> >>>You support and endorse crime for goodness sake you will willing aid
> >>>and abet it
> >>
> >>Call that pool of typists, Mark. You're headed toward writing pure
> >>gibberish. I have not and do not support, endorse or abet crime. You
> >>are either lying or a fool. Which is it?
> >
> >
> > you sure do support crime and are willing to aid it
>
> I've just written that I do not support crime and that I do not abet it.
> You must be lying again.

not at all just restating something you do but lack the guts to admit
to

>
> >>>and you have admitted to this on hear
> >>
> >>I know I've said it before, Mark, but it bears repeating: You're a twit.
> >
> >
> > you are a lair...
>
> No, I might find bobcats in a lair though. I think you were trying to
> call me a liar--but then you're likely lying about that.

you lie every time you post in reply to me, as faras I know without
exception
>
> > ...and a bad one too
>
> I'm not a liar at all, much less a practiced one like you. If you want
> to discuss bad liars, let's take one who posts links to google which
> disprove his lies. Now that person is a really bad liar.

who are you talking about?

why can't you admiting to flriting with the woman?

nothing wrong with that

you just can't bear to admit to anything
>
> Dave K8MN

533. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:29:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125970172.135251.235310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118162

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 5, 4:05 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>> >>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

[lotta back-and-forths there...:-)]

>> >>>>Correct. Questioning or discrediting is not what you claimed. What you
>> >>>>said was that Len wasn't permitted to comment. You were incorrect.
>
>> >>>We were instructed to discard Len's comments.

[ordered to, really... :-)]

>> >>...and you always follow instruction--right?
>
>> > And you always give instruction not to be followed--right?
>
>> ...and you always follow instruction--right?
>
>...right.

All Directives issued by der Oberst SHALL be followed! :-)

>> >>Net control capabilities? What in the world are you going on about?
>
>> > Opening and closing a RTTY net with CW. Hi!
>
>> I've been involved in both as a radio amateur. Len wouldn't know
>> anything about that. I've never been involved in either as a State
>> Department employee.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, then Heil's long-ago "RTTY Synchronization" post
was a LIE!

Horrors! Imagine a PCTA committing a felony LIE!

> Len wouldn't know anything about that either.
>
>Calm down.

He can't. He was on a roll and slipped in the butter.

>> No RTTY or CW State Department "commnets".
>
>Frustrated technical writer?

Heil must have gone to a Catholic school and been slapped on the
knuckles by a Sister Nun of the Above, hated her ever since so
he takes it out by "punishing" those who commit the slightest typo.

>> >>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>> >>>radio operator?
>
>> >>I didn't know there was going to be a quiz.
>
>> > There is always a quiz where your motives are concerned.
>
>> I'm taking a whiz on your quiz.
>
>Lay off the branch water.

Poor Heil, this newsgroup is a diuretic to him. Bad side effect.

>> > It has to be fewer than the
>> >>number of recountings of his ADA tale or his comments about FCC staffers
>> >>don't need to hold amateur radio licenses.
>
>> > Are FCC staffers required to hold an amateur radio license in order to
>> > hold their positions?
>
>> Are they paid for regulating amateur radio? Are DMV employees required
>> to hold a driving license? Are DNR clerks mandated to have a hunting
>> license? Do you understand any of this?
>
>> FCC staffers - regulate amateur radio - receive a salary
>> radio amateurs - participate in amateur radio - receive no salary
>> Len Anderson - not a regulator or participant - receives no salary
>
>So people without licenses and least likely to know anything about
>anything are regulating our radio, our drivers, and people with guns???
>
>There ought to be a law!

Let's just "whiz on his quiz." :-)

FCC REGULATES and ENFORCES amateur radio.
Radio amateurs MUST obey the FCC.
It's as simple as that.

Poor Heil doesn't understand that I DO receive monetary
compensation,
but NOT for anything said in here. :-)

Ed Hare receives a salary. Jon Bloom receives a salary. They hold
Amateur Extra licenses. They still have to obey the FCC
regulations,
though.

The salesmen at Ham Radio Outlet receive a salary. If they hold
radio licenses they still have to obey FCC regulations.

>> >>That's where I came in. Len's been doing that almost since my first
>> >>posts to this newsgroup in 1996.
>
>> > Congratulations on almost a century of posting meaningless drivel.
>
>> A century, huh? That must be the new math.
>
>Sorry, Log -10

Geez, it SEEMS like a century worth of the Robust Oberst dictating
things in here. Time stretches when ya ain't havin' fun. :-)

>> >>>>Len writes of being denigrated or
>> >>>>insulted by those who do not agree with his him but he often insults and
>> >>>>denigrates those who have the opposite point of view.
>
>> >>>Perhaps Len is correct to do so.
>
>> >>The signs point to his not being correct.
>
>> > Please point out those "signs."
>
>> Check under the Google sign. Take some Pepto Bismol first and be
>> prepared to spend some time.
>
>I've seen plenty of name calling and denigration moving in Len's
>direction.
>
>Your point?

It's blunted.

>> >>>>He is quick to tell others that they are not discussing amateur radio
>> >>>>policy,
>
>> >>>Get a clue, he's giving it back to you. He's been told that he is not
>> >>>an amateur radio operator and should be here. This is a place only of
>> >>>amateurs and amateur things.
>
>> >>I don't think Len has ever been told that he should be here. :-)
>

>> > Typo.
>
>> A *big* typo.
>
>"not"

...and a knot. Gordian knot. A Heilian knot not.

>> > You really are a frustrated technical writer, aren't you?
>
>> I'm neither frustrated nor a technical writer. I don't have the knack
>> for making simple terms seem complex.
>
>Yet you have a knack to make simple typos into undecipherable passages.
> You should put your lack of talent to more useful purposes.

Emperors have difficulty with writing skills. That's why they
have scribes and courtiers to say things for them. :-)

>> > Back to the subject.
>
>> Really? You're going to lay waste to Frank of Silliland's silliness?
>
>Hi! Robeson is in hiding.

He might be flying SAR missions for CAP picking up flood victims.

>> >>Len has declared a several-decades-long "interest" in amateur radio.
>
>> > OK.
>
>> I'm sure he feels better now that you've blessed the concept.
>
>There's nothing new there. I thought you were the guy that couldn't
>take the simple and make it complex. Yet you point out the plain and
>obvious and expect me to get excited.

The emperor spoke. All must get excited hearing His voice.

>> >>He's never been interested enough to even attempt passing a license
>> >>exam.
>
>> > How do you know that?
>
>> That's easy. He has told us so.
>
>OK.

Captain Oblivious spoke the obvious again. He DOES have a knack
for taking simple things and making them complex!

>> >>Len was going to go for an "Extra right out of the box" several
>> >>years back. That hasn't happened.

>
>> > How do you know that?
>
>> Another easy one. He has told us so.
>
>OK. That means you and the three other Morsemen are still safe from
>having to talk to him on the radio.
>
>Is there anything else?

Plenty more. Heil's anger hasn't abated.

Actually, I've talked on amateur radio as well as land radio,
aircraft radio, maritime radio, broadcast radio, military
radio. I didn't talk on space radio, used digital data
instead. :-)

>> >>We have him declaring within the
>> >>past few months that he has *no interest* in obtaining an amateur radio
>> >>license. Tsk, tsk. What is one to believe?
>
>> > Perhaps he has tried and failed. Many people fail the tests.
>
>> It is certainly possible for you to be correct. Do you think Len is
>> fibbing about taking a test because he doesn't want to embarrass himself?
>
>I certainly thought that dysfunctional and illiterate Bruce was fibbing
>about having passed Extra on a lark, without studying, in under 7
>minutes, with 100% correct, and winning a wager of $250. But I guess I
>was wrong. So after being so wrong with such a slam-dunk, I'd rather
>not venture a guess about Len.

No need to guess about me. I've NEVER taken any amateur radio
license test in front of the FCC or any VEC.

Heil WANTS me to "embarrass" myself. He will MANUFACTURE some
implausible story about "embarrassment" for his own puerile needs.

That's "predictable." That's his "profile." :-)

>>> > Yet you think that you are in charge of Anderson. You take it as a
>>> > personal challenge to reign in Len's postings.
>>
>>> I do? I've never told Len to shut up or to go away. I've countered
>>> him, challenged his assertions and ridiculed a number of his ideas.
>>
>>> The word is "rein".
>>
>Please forgive me.

Brian, you were CORRECT in your use of the word "reign." Heil
thinks he rules the place. :-)

>> > Then end your decade-long griping about Len.
>
>> Thanks, but no thanks.
>
>Learning theory fails. There's certainly no change in your
>behavio[u]r.

In failure he thinks there is success. :-)

>> > Take your own advice and
>> > simply don't read it.
>
>> You and others are free to ignore my advice. I feel free to ignore yours.
>
>And you your own. If it is worthless to you, why try to pawn it off on
>others?

Heil is obsessed with needing to get the Last Word in an exchange.

>> > And don't start tail-ending someone elses
>> > comments as Jim has, in order to comment on Len's opinions. Hi!
>
>> How about if I simply ignore your suggestions? There's certainly
>> precedent for doing so.
>
>There certainly is.

Heil won't. He can't help himself. :-)

Or, maybe he is running for Precedent? Has anyone checked?

>> I don't control the postings of Steve Robeson. He is responsible for
>> his own postings. I'm free to comment or not comment on them. You
>> speak of them as outrageous. I feel that comments directed to Steve
>> about his military service, border on outrageous. You have written some
>> of them. Frank the CBer and Leonard Anderson have written others.
>
>Steve has repeatedly told me that if I can't show proof, then my claims
>didn't happen and that I'm a liar. I merely hold Steve to his own
>ethics. Tuff Love.

PCTA extra Double Standard. PCTAs can do anything, others cannot.

>> I will operate under the regs imposed by my license. I will not take
>> personal responsibility for newsgroup posts other than my own. Those
>> are two things you'll have to live with.
>
>I hope you won't mind me sharing.

Heil has effectively negated PEER PRESSURE. That means one of two
things: He is above all others and cannot have any peers; or, he
is beneath all others, still not having any peers. Poor guy.

Sigh...we all have to "live with" Heil. He thinks he Rules and
can REIGN someone. Long live the emperor!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

534. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:30:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xNCdnWz6hNbrbIHeRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 118163

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125952128.356795.101360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
[snip]
>
> I call you elitist not just becuase of "lowest class" but you general
> manner
>
> such as your assumtion that the upgrading will need your help becuase
> they will be generals and you are an Extra
>

If they don't want help, I don't force. I assume that they have the resources to go ahead on their own. However, in ham radio, as in any other endeavor, the experienced have an obligation to help those who are still developing. I choose to honor that obligation for anyone who would like to have help.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

535. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:35:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125970517.118521.228720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118164

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1125950983.986732.236390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > cut
> >> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
> >> >
> >> > by being presented in an insluting manner
> >> >
> >> > you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be insulted
> >> >
> >> > you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
> >> >
> >>
> >> There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a
> >> degree
> >> of paranoia to so construe it.
> >>
> > how do you expect to be an effective elmer if you can't reconize that
> > you are being rude
> >
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
>
> And how can one be a successful student if they misinterpret plain language?

making your meaning clear is your job as the elmer,at least by the
standards you were trying to ram down my throat a few weeks ago. you
are the pone comunicating so it is your job to be clear

But in answer to your question it is certainly possible for any
competant intructor to over come misunderstanding

and still You elitist bitch the question stands, "how do you expect to
be an effective elmer if you can't reconize that you are being rude?"

your manner is insulting

in your posts you come off as a snob, at best

you are evasive, rude and lazy and always ready to tell another what
they should be doing

How can anyone call anything but an elitist bitch and be honest?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

536. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:37:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XZCdnZ2dnZ2p8ReMnZ2dnWFvgd6dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118165

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:5P4Te.8137$FW1.2894@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
[snip]
>
> I can see now I am fighting with a mental case. Here is a suggestion "old
> friend" START a VHF NET. Get some stations with HF capabilities to link
> with the HF network. There you are....your now in the system. Don't know
> how to do it? Don't trust my advice? Check with ARRL.org. DOn't trust
> them either probably.
>
> EXACTLY what some firebrands did in this part of Alabama. They started
> their own V/Uhf system. And it is a fabulous success. Oh...is that
> bragging again?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

He will consider it so if you were one of those "firebrands."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

537. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:37:59 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <N5edncerX_GKeYHeRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Digital wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>
> Years ago I participated in ham radio communications after a tornado
> cleaned out about half of the southern part of Witchta Fall, Tx. The
> kind folks of WF housed us in a church, provided sleeping facilities and
> fed us. Anyone think that was violating the rule of "pecuniary interest"?
> ....
>
> Of course not. Besides, who but the most idiotic of Hams would accuse you of
> same?

Bingo!

538. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:39:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125970763.937196.272810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118166

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>What is insulting about that?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
> >>>factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
> >>>the statement
> >>>
> >>>However I suspect you will be
> >>
> >>Dee, there is no dealing with Mr. Morgan at the moment. He does not
> >>seem to be in control of his emotions or his mental faculties.
> >
> >
> > another lie form you Dave 2 in fact
> >
> > One that it is ever hard to deal with me. it never is hard.
>
> You just called a woman an elitist bitch for posting an accurate
> statement. Not hard to deal with you?

another lie on your part of course

I called an elitist bitch an elitist bitch , not for making a ture or
flase statement but for making an elitist and insulting one the truth
of the statement does affect these properties in the slightest

all she has to do is be intelectualy honest easy enough

>
> > two, that I am not in control of my emotions
>
> You are not in control of your emotions. Your quest for victimhood knows
> no bounds.

I am certinaly in control of them
quest for victumhood? you realy need to start on meds

>
>
> Dave K8MN

539. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:39:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <N5edncarX_HseYHeRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> CB:
>
> Funny, but when the gov't asked for billions for "homeland defense" and
> made fema and it united, I thought they would have bought some
> communications equip., trained people and they would be set in place in
> such a disaster--from planes, tops of tall buildings, in communication
> vehicles and such--then begin communicating with hams in their local
> communities to handle communications for family and other services into
> that area. I never thought for a moment they would then plan, in a real
> disaster, to start hiring hams--that simply sucks and demonstrates we have
> been screwed.

So just were are they "hiring" hams?

540. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:41:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <F56dnbPBF5xHboHeRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 118167

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:545Te.1343$9x2.758@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
[snip]
>
> Dee, there is no dealing with Mr. Morgan at the moment. He does not seem
> to be in control of his emotions or his mental faculties.
>
> Dave K8MN

Thankfully it is his problem not mine.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

541. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:46:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tx6Te.6041$4P5.2989@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118168

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7R5Te.6012$4P5.4471@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:

```
>> I could believe in a god in the image of say, Pamela Anderson!
>
> I can't accept a slutty, trailer trash deity who looks like five miles of bad
> road.
```

```
Hey, if I got to bow down and worship someone/something, there oughta be some
fun involved!
```

542. Re: Nigs shooting at CG helicopters in NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:46:45 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <N5ednccCrX_G_e4HeRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118346
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
The Magnum wrote:
> "Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:1125935323.310153.14700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>It appears prejudice is alive and well in America...
>>
>>Professor
>>www.telstar-electronics.com
>>
>
>
> Predudice is alive in every country in every creed. Please dont blame it as
> a "White" trait. Everyone who is true to their heart and belief would
> support their own people before others, whether it be black, white, asian,
> indian or pink with blue spots. Most people who would disagree with this are
> basically Liars....
>
>

Yep, blacks are just as prejudiced as any other race.
```

543. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:47:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125971227.890215.144450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118169

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> > > cut
> > >
> >>>>>I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie
> >>>>
> >>>>You're 3x3. Say again all after "i."
> >>>
> >>>you and I can certainly post from anyway but can stevie
> >>
> >>Yeh, he could. Stay vigilant.
> >
> >
> > > always
> > >
> > > if he shows he will eat lead since it will prove he is dangerous
> > >
> > > his quiet is blissfull though
> >
> You know, Mark, a rational man could take your statement very, very
> seriously. You should be most careful in what you post to a newsgroup,
> lest it be misinterpreted.

good I hope you and Stevie do take it seriously

If Stevie shows up here one of us (at least) will mostly likely die. I
will do my best to see to it that it is Stevie.

I am fully aware of what I am saying

Stevie shows here I will shoot at him at least try to shoot him, and
take my chances explaining myself later

I take it very seriously as I took Stevie's "One shot one kill.." very
seriously

If Stevie show up here (which I tend to doubt for various reasons) I
will take it as prove that he has been serious in the threats he has

made over the years, and seek to kill him in self defense

any confusion there Dave? (or Stevie?)
>
> Dave K8MN

544. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:51:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125971466.568527.177910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118170

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=212#3a4fefe5705925c9

your thread with an admitted stripper
Dave Heil wrote:

545. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:51:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x7adnUmSnuWO84DeRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 118469

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:UtednZ2dnZ0V8z3InZ2dnU17gd6dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>>The water came from two sources. One was fresh water and the other salt
>>>water from a storm surge. Water that was once some place else came to be
>>>deposited in New Orleans and the world has suffered no increase or
>>>decrease in the amount of water that exists in the world.
>>
>>
>> Do you know this from first-hand experience, or what?
>>
>> The world
>>
>>>covering biblical flood is quite a different matter.
>>
>>
>> The known world in the biblical flood...
>>> A huge amount of water that doesn't exist here toady would have to had
>>> existed at that
>>>time.
>>
>>
>> Why?
>>
>>
>>>It would have had to be someplace else before the flood, and then
>>>after the flood, it would have had to go some place else.
>>
>>
>> Much like the water in New Orleans. It wasn't there two weeks ago.
>> Three months from now it will be somewhere else. Will you be able to
>> account for all of the water then?
>
> Hang on a second, Brian. The amount of water needed to raise the level of
> water coverage to 29,035 feet above sea level does not simply come and go
> like the water that flooded New Orleans and Mississippi and Alabama. How
> much water do you figure that is?
>

Actually Mike, I've always figured that the Biblical flood was something
like the catastrophe we've seen in New Orleans that grew in magnitude as the
story tellers passed it down by word of mouth and wove in the religious

aspects for many generations before it became a written document. Afterall, there weren't policemen around so the perceived "wrath of God" would have been a reasonable tool to convince people to behave in society.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

546. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:51:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6Te.6043$4P5.3146@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118171

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an Old friend wrote:

>>>>>what bald faced lies?
>>>>
>>>>The lies which I outlined below. You know full well which baldfaced lies.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
>>>>>>>lying
>>>>>>>cut
>>>>>>
>>>>>>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
>>>>>>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
>>>>>>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
>>>>>>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
>>>>>>I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
>>>>>sexual flirtations
>>>>
>>>>That is one lie. I was posting in alt.west-virginia.
>>>
>>>
>>>no lie it was that named group but you were posting in group consiting
>>>of nothing but presonal adds and sexual flirtations
>>
>>Well, your lie has started to fall apart and we have you admitting that
```

>>the post was in alt.west-virginia. Spammers target that group and
>>thousands of others, yet there are a goodly number of West Virginians
>>and transplanted West Virginians who have posted in that newsgroup.
>
>
> a Lie has to exist before it can fall apart

Thank you, Mister/Colonel Morgan. Your lie exists and now it is simply
falling apart.

>>>>>you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
>>>>>female
>>>>
>>>>That is two more lies. I posted nothing of a flirtaceous nature and I
>>>>posted nothing to a bisexual female.
>>>
>>>
>>>both true
>>
>>Mark, if you are going to tell a lie, tell a good one. You provided
>>links which show your claim to be a lie. I directly quoted the posts
>>for which you provided links and I posted them right here. The quoted
>>material proves that you've lied. Your word is worthless.
>
>
> the quoted mataerial proves nothing of the kind

Only a fool would continue lying when concrete evidence shows him to be
spreading falsehoods. The links prove you lied. I quoted material from
the links which proves you lied.

> your word is worthless

Ahhhh. You're lying again.

> and looking around a WV owman other posts she states she is Bi female
> for example

No, she does not. That is an untruth. There are no posts where I
flirted with her. You claimed that she was "a stripper", but in her
post, she says that she "made that up LOL". So, what do we have:
1. No personals newsgroup
2. No flirts by me to a woman, bisexual or otherwise
3. No stripper at all.

Other than those glaring contradictions to your story, what do you have?

>
>
>>>>>I know the turth you lack a nodding understanding of it
>>>>
>>>>You not only don't "know the turth", you incapable of being truthful.
>>>

>>>
>>>I crtian
>>
>>You cretin?
>>
>>
>>>...can be, and yes I certainly can choose not to be
>>
>>You continue to post deliberate falsehoods and admit that you can choose
>>to be untruthful. Well, there we have it.
>
>
> of course I can

...and it is obvious that you have so chosen.

> I have the same choice you do

...and your choice is opposite mine.

> You choose to lie very often it seems

Oh, you're fabricating again, I see.

>>>>>again One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with
>>>>>isn't
>>>>>lying
>>>>
>>>>There is no disagreement, Mark. You flat out lied, deliberately,
>>>>brazenly and maliciously.
>>>
>>>No lie what so ever
>>
>>Then again, you are simply lying to cover your other lies.
>
>
> nope
>
> If I was lying for effect say Id admit to at the proper time

You don't get to decide on a proper time. You lied and you were called
on it. Anyone can see that your statements are false.

> you lie and never admit to it

Mark, you can't look much worse than you already do.

>>>>>>You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>you have nevr entounteed me thnak god
>>>>
>>>>No, I haven't entounteed you. I've encountered you right here.

>>>>
>>>>Why are you "thnaking" a deity in which you have no belief?
>>>
>>>
>>>where do you get that LIE
>>
>>...from Mark Morgan, self-described pagan.
>
>
> another Lie Dave

Oh? You haven't stated that you are a pagan?

> and showing you are stupid

Awww, you're just lying again.

> Pagan believ ein one or more Deities, indeed I don't think there exist
> any pagan aethists but I could be wrong

You could be wrong? How can one be a pagan *and* an atheist? Which pagan group believes in only one deity? Does any pagan group call their deity "god"?

> Like I have said You and stevie apply your bigotries to things to make
> up stuff that was never said and then claim it is a lie

You never said you were a pagan? Think upon your response very carefully.

I don't believe in pagan practices. I find homosexuality to be perverse. I don't believe that there is any such thing as a bisexual and that individuals who claims such status are simply denying their homosexuality. I think anyone who actively participates in sado-masochistic acts is in need of a good shrink. I find that those who lie with no qualms are deeply troubled. I believe that anyone who is involved in all of these things has a number of issues to work out before he worries about what I'm thinking about him.

The morse code issue is the least of your worries.

Dave K8MN

547. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:55:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x7adnUiSnuWJ84DeRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 118468

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:w76Te.6734$Wd7.1084@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> cut
>>
>>>>>What is insulting about that?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It is a fact that I have come to consider you an Elist Bitch, given the
>>>>factual nature of that statement and BYS you shoud not be insulted by
>>>>the statement
>>>>
>>>>However I suspect you will be
>>>
>>>Dee, there is no dealing with Mr. Morgan at the moment. He does not
>>>seem to be in control of his emotions or his mental faculties.
>>
>>
>> another lie form you Dave 2 in fact
>>
>> One that it is ever hard to deal with me. it never is hard.
>
> You just called a woman an elitist bitch for posting an accurate
> statement. Not hard to deal with you?
>
>> two, that I am not in control of my emotions
>
> You are not in control of your emotions. Your quest for victimhood knows
> no bounds.
>
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Don't bother to fight him on my behalf. It won't work anyway. Anyone can
read his statements and my statements for themselves and make their own
decision.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

548. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:56:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125971794.648117.266690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118172

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm

> >>John Smith wrote:

> >>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
> >>> Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
> >>> that I can't pass myself.
> >>>That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur > radio (the rf bands)
>>should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
> >>
> >>They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
> >>a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.
> >>
> >>
> > Don't forget cute little 6-year-olds who passed their
> > WRITTENS.
>
> It is a cinch that *you* haven't forgotten them. :-)

Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
children, he could not come up with a single example.

As far as anyone knows, he's never told
FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.

> >>All an IQ test shows is how well you take IQ tests. There
> >>are many different kinds of intelligence, and trying to
> >>describe them with a single number is ludicrous.

> > OK, so now we all know that Jimmie didn't score high on a
> > Stanford-Binet IQ test! :-)
>
> You're big on jumping to false conclusions. You might be
> setting
> yourself up to make another factual error. :-) :-)

What you have there is an attempt to phish for information.

Consider the possibilities:

Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's. Or lower,
or the same. How would his behavior here change?

> >>And when you say "95% of all [Americans]" - does that include
> >>those under the age of, say, 5 years? How about those with
> >>severe developmental delays and deficits? Does it include
> >>those
> >>Americans with dementia or Alzheimer's disease? How about
> >>those
> >>who are illiterate or barely literate, those for whom English is
> >>a foreign language, and those with all sorts of other problems
> >>and limitations?
> >>
> >>Or do you mean 95% of all healthy, educated, "average" Americans over
> >>the age of, say, 10 years?
> >
> >
> > The present written tests are simple enough for two SIX
> > YEAR OLDS
> > to pass their license tests under the watchful eye of
> > kindly,
> > grandfatherly-looking VEs. Imagine, two SIX YEAR OLDS
> > with perfect
> > understanding of all regulations and the MATURITY to act
> > responsibly on their own!
>
> Yeah, imagine that. If they could do it, it would seem that
> a guy like
> you could do it--but you haven't.

If you recall, Len has repeatedly demonstrated a less-than-perfect
understanding of Part 97 here. And as to his level
of MATURITY...well, his posts here demonstrate that much
better than I ever could ;-)

Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.

73 de Jim, N2EY

549. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 01:58:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6J6Te.6045$4P5.4139@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118173

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
>>>affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
>>>ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
>>>sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
>>>in a hurricane zone?!)
>
>
>>It must be remembered that New Orleans has been sinking
>>at the rate of
>>3 feet per century. This has been accelerated due to the
>>deterioration of the Mississippi Delta.
>
>
> Agreed!

Has anyone considered what would happen if all of the fat people left?

>>When the city was founded, it was a low lying
>>coastal city, just like
>>most coastal cities. At that time, there was plenty of Delta,
>>and it
>>looked like a fine place to build a port city.
>
>
> Sure - but that was centuries ago.
>

But the early city is on higher ground. The French Quarter was left
largely high and dry. The city expanded into lower ground.

Dave K8MN

550. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 02:01:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1125970795.675220.151850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118174

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 5, 4:18 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun 4 Sep 2005 07:48
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >snip
>>> >> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am

>> [Jimmie NEVER got a no-code-test Technician license...]
>
>But he's got 101 opinions about it.

You betcha! :-)

>> >> Nor is Len a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment, nor does
>> >> he have anything to do with FCC.
>
>> [Jimmie is a "manufacturer of amateur radio equipment"...makes
>> one of a kind equipment...state-of-the-art style using vacuum
>> tubes in the 1990s]
>
>He's preparing for the big EM Pulse.

He might need to take his own pulse... :-)

>Hey Len, have you ever worked Frenchmen out of band on Six Meters?

No, but I recall a Frenchwoman I would have liked to
"work" once between marriages...but she was only about
1.7 meters.

>> Jimmie has a need to WIN MESSAGE POINTS. :-)
>
>No, there's something else to it. Probably something on Aaron Jones
>list of "Morse Myths." By coming out with whatever their motivations
>are, it will expose yet another myth. But which one?

Ah! Good question! I've got 10 morse myths on my Reply
to Comments sent on WT Docket 05-235 on 5 Sep 05 (today).

Difficult to choose which one of the 10 "motivates" Jimmie.

>> Jimmie wants to be TOP DAWG in here! :-)
>
>Never happen if he can't face legitimate discussion.

Tsk. That makes him "illegitimate!"

Arf, arf!

>> >> Why should anyone reply to his posts at all, Dave?
>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>> >Hi, hi! You reply directly to my comment, then you act as if you are
>> >speaking to Dave. Then you ask why anyone should reply to Len's posts
>> >at all. More hi, hi's!
>
>> >Jim, when you're ready to have a rational discussion with me, I'll be
>> >here.
>
>> Brian, don't expect a "rational discussion" with Jimmie. Repeated
>> asking will get you PROFILED! :-)
>
>Yeh, well.

...not to mention "predictable." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

551. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 02:06:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wQ6Te.6762$Wd7.486@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118175

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> your thread with an admitted stripper

Are admitted strippers bad people? What is the admission fee?

Beep beep!
de Hans, K0HB

552. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 02:07:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kR6Te.6054$4P5.248@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118176

```
Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:7R5Te.6012$4P5.4471@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>>>I could believe in a god in the image of say, Pamela Anderson!
>>
>>I can't accept a slutty, trailer trash deity who looks like five miles of bad
>>road.
>
>
> Hey, if I got to bow down and worship someone/something, there oughta be some
> fun involved!

That's my point. I'd look for someone with beauty and class. Of course
I've mentioned class and that'll set Colonel Morgan off again.

Dave K8MN
```

553. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 02:09:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1T6Te.6056$4P5.132@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118177

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>I can certainly do so not as sure about Stevie
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You're 3x3. Say again all after "i."
>>>>>
>>>>>you and I can certainly post from anyway but can stevie
>>>>
>>>>Yeh, he could. Stay vigilant.
>>>
>>>
>>>always
>>>
>>>if he shows he will eat lead since it will prove he is dangerous
>>>
>>>his quiet is blissfull though
>>
>>You know, Mark, a rational man could take your statement very, very
>>seriously. You should be most careful in what you post to a newsgroup,
>>lest it be misinterpreted.
>
>
> good I hope you and Stevie do take it seriously
>
> If Stevie shows up here one of us (at least) will mostly likely die. I
> will do my best to see to it that it is Stevie.
>
```

> I am fully aware of what I am saying
>
> Stevie shows here I will shoot at him at least try to shoot him, and
> take my chances explaining myself later
>
> I take it very seriously as I took Stevie's "One shot one kill.." very
> seriously
>
> If Stevie show up here (which I tend to doubt for various reasons) I
> will take it as prove that he has been serious in the threats he has
> made over the years, and seek to kill him in self defense
>
>
> any confusion there Dave? (or Stevie?)

Mark, I consider you to be unbalanced. I have no confusion and will
make it a point to save your latest post.

Dave K8MN

554. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 02:12:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IW6Te.6058$4P5.465@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118178

an_old_friend wrote:
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=212#3a4fefe5705925c9
>
> your thread with an admitted stripper
> Dave Heil wrote:

You're dumber than a stump. The text found at the url you provided:

Dave Heil Feb 29 2004, 1:06 pm
Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Sun, 29 Feb 2004 13:06:08 GMT
Local: Sun, Feb 29 2004 1:06 pm
Subject: Re: i got a job stripping

WV Female wrote:

> i ride them poles like theres no tomorrow...lol... ok.. ok.. im made it
> all up.. sounded good tho.. lol..

Linda,

Have you considered easing up on the caffeine?

Where've you been?

Dave near Cameron

So, 1) no stripper and 2) no flirt on my part.

Mark, you are a complete twit and a fabricator.

Dave K8MN

555. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 02:13:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wX6Te.6764$Wd7.5393@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118179

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> That's my point. I'd look for someone with beauty and class. Of course I've
> mentioned class and that'll set Colonel Morgan off again.
>

I just saw Colonel Morgans' unambiguous stated intention to kill someone,
written in unusually clear (for him) English. Based on that sobering threat, I
don't think I'd want to "set him off". Hopefully the appropriate medical and
law enforcement authorites will not ignore his obvious call for help.

73, de Hans, K0HB

556. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 02:17:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <z_6Te.6062$4P5.5793@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118180

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
>
>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>>>Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
>>>>>that I can't pass myself.
>>>>>That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur > radio (the rf bands)
>>should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
>>>>
>>>>They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
>>>>a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.
>>>
>>>
>>>Don't forget cute little 6-year-olds who passed their
>>>WRITTENS.
>>
>>It is a cinch that *you* haven't forgotten them. :-)
>
>
> Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
> an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
> radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
> of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
> children, he could not come up with a single example.

> As far as anyone knows, he's never told
> FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.

No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has not
pursued it further.

>>>>All an IQ test shows is how well you take IQ tests. There
>>>>are many different kinds of intelligence, and trying to
>>>>describe them with a single number is ludicrous.
>
>

>>> OK, so now we all know that Jimmie didn't score high on a
>>> Stanford-Binet IQ test! :-)
>>
>>You're big on jumping to false conclusions. You might be
>>setting
>>yourself up to make another factual error. :-) :-)
>
>
> What you have there is an attempt to phish for information.
>
> Consider the possibilities:
>
> Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's. Or lower,
> or the same. How would his behavior here change?

I'm going to go out on a limb here and say that I don't think his
behavior would change at all.

>>>>And when you say "95% of all [Americans]" - does that include
>>>>those under the age of, say, 5 years? How about those with
>>>>severe developmental delays and deficits? Does it include
>>>>those
>>>>Americans with dementia or Alzheimer's disease? How about
>>>>those
>>>>who are illiterate or barely literate, those for whom English is
>>>>a foreign language, and those with all sorts of other problems
>>>>and limitations?
>>>>
>>>>Or do you mean 95% of all healthy, educated, "average" Americans over
>>>>the age of, say, 10 years?
>>>
>>>
>>> The present written tests are simple enough for two SIX
>>> YEAR OLDS
>>> to pass their license tests under the watchful eye of
>>> kindly,
>>> grandfatherly-looking VEs. Imagine, two SIX YEAR OLDS
>>> with perfect
>>> understanding of all regulations and the MATURITY to act
>>> responsibly on their own!
>>
>>Yeah, imagine that. If they could do it, it would seem that
>>a guy like
>>you could do it--but you haven't.
>
>
> If you recall, Len has repeatedly demonstrated a less-than-perfect
> understanding of Part 97 here. And as to his level
> of MATURITY...well, his posts here demonstrate that much
> better than I ever could ;-)
>

> Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.

I've not only considered Paul's post, I've read the expected tone in Len's reply.

Dave K8MN

557. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:10:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1125976227.991474.7550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118181

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
cut

> >>>if he shows he will eat lead since it will prove he is dangerous
> >>>
> >>>his quiet is blissfull though
> >>
> >>You know, Mark, a rational man could take your statement very, very
> >>seriously. You should be most careful in what you post to a newsgroup,
> >>lest it be misinterpreted.
> >
> >
> > good I hope you and Stevie do take it seriously
> >
> > If Stevie shows up here one of us (at least) will mostly likely die. I
> > will do my best to see to it that it is Stevie.
> >
> > I am fully aware of what I am saying
> >
> > Stevie shows here I will shoot at him at least try to shoot him, and
> > take my chances explaining myself later
> >
> > I take it very seriously as I took Stevie's "One shot one kill.." very
> > seriously
> >
> > If Stevie show up here (which I tend to doubt for various reasons) I
> > will take it as prove that he has been serious in the threats he has
> > made over the years, and seek to kill him in self defense
> >
> >
> > any confusion there Dave? (or Stevie?)
>
> Mark, I consider you to be unbalanced. I have no confusion and will
> make it a point to save your latest post.

go ahead Google of course will do it for you but knock yourself out

But caling defening oneself unblanced well that is of course rather
strange
>
> Dave K8MN

558. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:14:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125976443.884950.26410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118182

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76
/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=212#3a4fefe5705925c9
> >
> > your thread with an admitted stripper
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> You're dumber than a stump. The text found at the url you provided:
>
> ----------
> Dave Heil Feb 29 2004, 1:06 pm
> Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
> Date: Sun, 29 Feb 2004 13:06:08 GMT
> Local: Sun, Feb 29 2004 1:06 pm
> Subject: Re: i got a job stripping
>
> WV Female wrote:
>
> > i ride them poles like theres no tomorrow...lol... ok.. ok.. im made it
> > all up.. sounded good tho.. lol..
>
> Linda,
>
> Have you considered easing up on the caffeine?
>
> Where've you been?
>
> Dave near Cameron
> ----------
>
> So, 1) no stripper and 2) no flirt on my part.
>
> Mark, you are a complete twit and a fabricator.

not at all look at it and I quote "i got a job stripping" as the title

seems clear enough to me
>
> Dave K8MN

559. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:23:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jZ7Te.6103$4P5.1599@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118183

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e76/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=212#3a4fefe5705925c9
>>>
>>>your thread with an admitted stripper
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>You're dumber than a stump. The text found at the url you provided:
>>
>>----------
>>Dave Heil Feb 29 2004, 1:06 pm
>>Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
>>From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
>>Date: Sun, 29 Feb 2004 13:06:08 GMT
>>Local: Sun, Feb 29 2004 1:06 pm
>>Subject: Re: i got a job stripping
>>
>>WV Female wrote:
>>
>> > i ride them poles like theres no tomorrow...lol... ok.. ok.. im made it
>> > all up.. sounded good tho.. lol..
>>
>>Linda,
>>
>>Have you considered easing up on the caffeine?
>>
>>Where've you been?
>>
>>Dave near Cameron
>>----------
>>
>>So, 1) no stripper and 2) no flirt on my part.
>>
>>Mark, you are a complete twit and a fabricator.
>
>
> not at all look at it and I quote "i got a job stripping" as the title
>
> seems clear enough to me

Sure, it seems clear to you. Now let's talk about how it looks to a rational human being. What does the body of the message state? Where are the flirtaceous comments I'm supposed to have made? Give up? They don't exist.

What you've done is fabricate falsehoods, deliberately and maliciously. You are simply lying scum.

Dave K8MN

560. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:27:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125977238.622695.68810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118184

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> >>>>>you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> >>>>>sexual flirtations
> >>>>
> >>>>That is one lie. I was posting in alt.west-virginia.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>no lie it was that named group but you were posting in group consiting
> >>>of nothing but presonal adds and sexual flirtations
> >>
> >>Well, your lie has started to fall apart and we have you admitting that
> >>the post was in alt.west-virginia. Spammers target that group and
> >>thousands of others, yet there are a goodly number of West Virginians
> >>and transplanted West Virginians who have posted in that newsgroup.
> >
> >
> > a Lie has to exist before it can fall apart
>
> Thank you, Mister/Colonel Morgan. Your lie exists and now it is simply
> falling apart.

No lie exists

therefore no lie is falling apart
>
> >>>>>you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> >>>>>female
> >>>>
> >>>>That is two more lies. I posted nothing of a flirtaceous nature and I
> >>>>posted nothing to a bisexual female.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>both true
> >>
> >>Mark, if you are going to tell a lie, tell a good one. You provided
> >>links which show your claim to be a lie. I directly quoted the posts
> >>for which you provided links and I posted them right here. The quoted
> >>material proves that you've lied. Your word is worthless.
> >
> >
> > the quoted mataerial proves nothing of the kind

>
> Only a fool would continue lying when concrete evidence shows him to be
> spreading falsehoods. The links prove you lied. I quoted material from
> the links which proves you lied.

the link proves nothing of the sort

>
> > your word is worthless
>
> Ahhhh. You're lying again.

nope
>
> > and looking around a WV owman other posts she states she is Bi female
> > for example
>
> No, she does not. That is an untruth. There are no posts where I
> flirted with her. You claimed that she was "a stripper", but in her
> post, she says that she "made that up LOL". So, what do we have:
> 1. No personals newsgroup
> 2. No flirts by me to a woman, bisexual or otherwise
> 3. No stripper at all.

you were flighting

no state that she mad eit up (and if there was then you are flirting
with liar?)

I simply took the woman at her word

>
> Other than those glaring contradictions to your story, what do you have?

no contradictions presented

cut
> >>
> >>>...can be, and yes I certainly can choose not to be
> >>
> >>You continue to post deliberate falsehoods and admit that you can choose
> >>to be untruthful. Well, there we have it.
> >
> >
> > of course I can
>
> ...and it is obvious that you have so chosen.

obvious How?

>
> > I have the same choice you do
>
> ...and your choice is opposite mine.

Indeed you choose to lie and then deny it

>
> > You choose to lie very often it seems
>
> Oh, you're fabricating again, I see.

not at all

cut

> >
> >
> > nope
> >
> > If I was lying for effect say Id admit to at the proper time
>
> You don't get to decide on a proper time. You lied and you were called
> on it. Anyone can see that your statements are false.

I certianly do No the stament appear turthfull at worst that I see the
context of those posts defferently than you
>
> > you lie and never admit to it
>
> Mark, you can't look much worse than you already do.

to You I am sure that is the case

>
> >>>>>>You're one of the most sorry human beings I've ever encountered.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>you have nevr entounteed me thnak god
> >>>>
> >>>>No, I haven't entounteed you. I've encountered you right here.
> >>>>
> >>>>Why are you "thnaking" a deity in which you have no belief?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>where do you get that LIE
> >>
> >>...from Mark Morgan, self-described pagan.
> >
> >
> > another Lie Dave
>
> Oh? You haven't stated that you are a pagan?

No I am a pagan

>
> > and showing you are stupid
>

> Awww, you're just lying again.

No lie there
>
> > Pagan believ ein one or more Deities, indeed I don't think there exist
> > any pagan aethists but I could be wrong
>
> You could be wrong? How can one be a pagan *and* an atheist? Which
> pagan group believes in only one deity? Does any pagan group call their
> deity "god"?

I certainly admit the posiblity that someone may have found a way to be
a pagan and an atheist

Certainly some do call the deity God you simply don't know much about
paganism. Many do use the feminie Goddess but not all

>
> > Like I have said You and stevie apply your bigotries to things to make
> > up stuff that was never said and then claim it is a lie
>
> You never said you were a pagan? Think upon your response very carefully.

I have indeed said I am a pagan, you OTOH have fabricated the lie that
pagans don't believe in god
>
> I don't believe in pagan practices. <cut>

So?

><cut> I find homosexuality to be
> perverse. <cut>

So?

> <cut>I don't believe that there is any such thing as a bisexual and
> that individuals who claims such status are simply denying their
> homosexuality. <Cut>

Well the pros disagree with you, but you

><cut> I think anyone who actively participates in
> sado-masochistic acts is in need of a good shrink.<cut>

The pros disagree

>Cut> I find that those
> who lie with no qualms are deeply troubled.<cut>

another fabrication on your part I have qualms and consider it very
carefully first

><cut> I believe that anyone who is
> involved in all of these things has a number of issues to work out
> before he worries about what I'm thinking about him.

again all I see is you trying to prohect your morality on someone else,
indeed i see you being most unchristian as well Forgeting Jues
admonisment "let he that is without sin cast the first stone" or
something like that
>
> The morse code issue is the least of your worries.

Indeed Now it is the close to the least of my worries.

The very least of my worries is law enforcement reaction to your and
Stevies ranting
>
> Dave K8MN

561. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:28:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <K18Te.6105$4P5.1304@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118185

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>>>>if he shows he will eat lead since it will prove he is dangerous
>>>>>
>>>>>his quiet is blissfull though
>>>>
>>>>You know, Mark, a rational man could take your statement very, very
>>>>seriously. You should be most careful in what you post to a newsgroup,
>>>>lest it be misinterpreted.
>>>
>>>
>>>good I hope you and Stevie do take it seriously
>>>
>>>If Stevie shows up here one of us (at least) will mostly likely die. I
>>>will do my best to see to it that it is Stevie.
>>>
>>>I am fully aware of what I am saying
>>>
>>>Stevie shows here I will shoot at him at least try to shoot him, and
>>>take my chances explaining myself later
>>>
>>>I take it very seriously as I took Stevie's "One shot one kill.." very
>>>seriously
>>>
>>>If Stevie show up here (which I tend to doubt for various reasons) I
>>>will take it as prove that he has been serious in the threats he has
>>>made over the years, and seek to kill him in self defense
>>>
>>>
>>>any confusion there Dave? (or Stevie?)
>>
>>Mark, I consider you to be unbalanced. I have no confusion and will
>>make it a point to save your latest post.
>
>
> go ahead Google of course will do it for you but knock yourself out
>
> But caling defening oneself unblanced well that is of course rather...

calling? defending? one's self? unbalanced?

> strange

You're a lying, sado-masochistic, bisexual pagan (poly *not monotheistic*) who has threatened to kill someone and you think I'm strange? You're over the edge, Mark.

Dave K8MN

562. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:29:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125977372.275603.56470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118186

KØHB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> >
> > That's my point. I'd look for someone with beauty and class. Of course I've
> > mentioned class and that'll set Colonel Morgan off again.
> >
>
> I just saw Colonel Morgans' unambiguous stated intention to kill someone,
> written in unusually clear (for him) English. Based on that sobering threat, I
> don't think I'd want to "set him off". Hopefully the appropriate medical and
> law enforcement authorites will not ignore his obvious call for help.

Hans if you think a threat has been do call the cops
But Indeed I have asserted and warned Stevie yet again that IF he shows
at my place I will see it as an attack and react accordingly

Id rather try and explain to 12 than risk being carried by 6
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

563. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:31:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125977517.807949.318650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118187

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > As far as anyone knows, he's never told
> > FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.
>
> No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has not
> pursued it further.

why should he tell the FCC not to do something they have decided not to
do
cut

> > Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's. Or lower,
> > or the same. How would his behavior here change?
>
> I'm going to go out on a limb here and say that I don't think his
> behavior would change at all.

hardly out on a limb
cut

> > Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.
>
> I've not only considered Paul's post, I've read the expected tone in
> Len's reply.
>
> Dave K8MN

564. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:35:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125977722.559595.89020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118188

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e7
6/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=212#3a4fefe5705925c9
> >>>
> >>>your thread with an admitted stripper
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>You're dumber than a stump. The text found at the url you provided:
> >>
> >>----------
> >>Dave Heil Feb 29 2004, 1:06 pm
> >>Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
> >>From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
> >>Date: Sun, 29 Feb 2004 13:06:08 GMT
> >>Local: Sun, Feb 29 2004 1:06 pm
> >>Subject: Re: i got a job stripping
> >>
> >>WV Female wrote:
> >>
> >> > i ride them poles like theres no tomorrow...lol... ok.. ok.. im made it
> >> > all up.. sounded good tho.. lol..
> >>
> >>Linda,
> >>
> >>Have you considered easing up on the caffeine?
> >>
> >>Where've you been?
> >>
> >>Dave near Cameron
> >>----------
> >>
> >>So, 1) no stripper and 2) no flirt on my part.
> >>
> >>Mark, you are a complete twit and a fabricator.
> >
> >
> > not at all look at it and I quote "i got a job stripping" as the title
> >
> > seems clear enough to me

>
> Sure, it seems clear to you. Now let's talk about how it looks to a
> rational human being. What does the body of the message state? Where
> are the flirtaceous comments I'm supposed to have made? Give up? They
> don't exist.

the flirts were there esp when combined with other posts I have cited
in the past

why is this such an issue for you?

>
> What you've done is fabricate falsehoods, deliberately and maliciously.
> You are simply lying scum.

No I simply the text and see what I see

I was simply mentioning what I found when I made my google search as
told me to do

No malice there

then you demanded I back em up and I did so

You are unbalanced and apearntly filled with rage over posts by a
person that you claim nobody belives or take seriously
>
> Dave K8MN

565. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:39:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125977947.114439.41550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118189

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut
> >>>any confusion there Dave? (or Stevie?)
> >>
> >>Mark, I consider you to be unbalanced. I have no confusion and will
> >>make it a point to save your latest post.
> >
> >
> > go ahead Google of course will do it for you but knock yourself out
> >
> > But caling defening oneself unblanced well that is of course rather...
>
> calling? defending? one's self? unbalanced?
>
> > strange
>
> You're a lying, sado-masochistic, bisexual pagan (poly *not
> monotheistic*) who has threatened to kill someone and you think I'm
> strange? You're over the edge, Mark.

I have not threaten to kill anyone, Dave

I have stated my intentions to defend myself if nessasary, Of course
you don't want to see that

and just what is your point on Polytheistic vs Montheitic?

My Bisexaulity interest in S&M has nothing to do with anything at hand

You are clearly unblanced

But again your point is what?

>
> Dave K8MN

566. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:44:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125978268.059347.158500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118190

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125890348.239345.215590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut
>
> And what has license class got to do with it ?? If you can't help with your
> radio activity and can't go, there alway always donations needed. The point
> is your individual negatism toward the ARRL and people's individual
> activities.

I have already stated that I have donated

But factual there is nothing a Tech class op in the UP can do at this
as a radio op. That is a true statement and yet you flame me for it

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

567. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:46:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125978371.987600.268370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118191

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125891238.706717.37480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1125880640.292690.297180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> > cut
> >> > Plenty of the Double standard we see all to often
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >>
> >> Hans has not indicated anything he couldn't actually do. You, on the
> >> other
> >> hand, from appearances so far, have not tried to find anyway you could
> >> contribute. Plus you put down someone who has contributed and is simply
> >> sharing his experience and taking pleasure in what good he and others can
> >> do. Every effort, large or small, is worth doing and celebrating.
> >
> > in a word Bull
> >
> > Dan is holding up passing a couple of messages as some great thing,
> > what are they a drop in the bucket, together with all the others they
> > do add up to something but to glorify hisown little bit into something
> >
> > that I call being a baragrt and I am not alone in holding that opinion
> >
> > now as toy your biased accusation against me personaly
> >
> > Gee how do you know what I have done or not done. I don't brag about
> > such petty things as passing a few pieces of traffic
> >
> > but hans has Done nothing(at least by his words nothing) and is clearly
> > able to do a great more than that. I have looked and found nothing I
> > can without neglecting my own duties which are first to my family.
> >
> > you choose to flame the guy with the least abilty to do anything for
> > doing the same as the guys able to do a great deal. Gee I call that
> > unfair, thankfully I don't expect fairness, haven't since I was kid.
> >
> > You just start barking order to do what isn't possible.
>

> There's always donations needed.
>
> >
> > Speacking of HTS for monet I keep hearing there are VHF nets and yet I
> > have never lived anywhere with one. Could some one provide a reference
> > (location and band) where there is a real VHF traffic net. I would just
> > like to know they in fact exist somewhere
> >
>
> I check into the SE Michigan Traffic Net a couple of times a week. If you
> will look in the ARRL directory, there are quite a few VHF nets listed for
> Michigan.

I have indeed but I guess you don't know where the UP of Michigan is
there are a few VHF nets here but no linkage between them and the NTS
as far as I know

>
> > Like I said the double standard I have seen all to often in both the
> > ARS and life. It would be refreshing to have someone at least be honest
> > enough to admit to it
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> No that double standard exists only in your own interpretations.

No it exists in your actions

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

568. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 03:55:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125978915.890619.215820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118192

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:K6-dnUjejdt_soHeRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> >
cut

> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> I can see now I am fighting with a mental case. Here is a suggestion "old
> friend" START a VHF NET. Get some stations with HF capabilities to link
> with the HF network. There you are....your now in the system. Don't know
> how to do it? Don't trust my advice? Check with ARRL.org. DOn't trust
> them either probably.

again with with yours suggestions/orders?

I doubt that trying to dublicate the effort of the HF hams would go
over very well

Just who are you to tell how to run MY station/

If I am a mental case for asserting my own autonomy then so be it

It isn't a matter of Trusting the ARRL it is a matter I don't support
the ARRL, I don't feel they have been represnting the true interests of
the ARS, other such as Carl Stevenson have held these views as well
(although he seems to have changed his mind, and if he is succesfull I
may give them another chance to earn my support)
>
> EXACTLY what some firebrands did in this part of Alabama. They started
> their own V/Uhf system. And it is a fabulous success. Oh...is that
> bragging again?

You know what? I realy don't want to be such a fireband I have heard
other round here tell their stories and am getting the feel of the land
indeed I agree that HF based net make more sense here in the UP than
VHF or UHF nets

Indeed we have enough trouble maintianing our Skywarn nets on VHF
hopefully the new 6M repeater we will soon have will help, if not I and
everyone else will have to look at it some more
>
> Dan/W4NTI

569. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 04:07:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125979633.160927.234360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118193

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
cut
> >
> > any confusion there Dave? (or Stevie?)
>
> Mark, I consider you to be unbalanced. I have no confusion and will
> make it a point to save your latest post.

You know dave if you realy think Stevie is in any dnger from me siting
here in Michigan prehaps you better call the cops?

OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
>
> Dave K8MN

570. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 04:22:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PP8Te.1569$9x2.354@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118194

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
>

Nobody finds death threats amusing.

Frankly, they scare the hell out of me. If you'd make such a serious threat about a cyber-encounter on the internet, what would you do about a real-life encounter on the streets of your town?

I'm certain the police in your town/county will NOT be amused.

571. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 04:28:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125980908.102957.66000@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118196

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
> >
>
> Nobody finds death threats amusing.

and yet I have been epected to endure one myself

Stevie has threatened to kill me in the past

So If he shows up here I will assume he is serious
>
> Frankly, they scare the hell out of me. <cut>

Me too which is why I have reacted to Stevies threat as strongly as I
have

Interesting that you take ME to task for asserting my right to self
defense and

>If you'd make such a serious threat
> about a cyber-encounter on the internet, what would you do about a real-life
> encounter on the streets of your town?

I have made no such threat. I have threatened if you like that if
Stevie chooses to encoutner me here at my place I will react violently

>
> I'm certain the police in your town/county will NOT be amused.

No if Stevie shows they will not be amused at the results

Neither will I and neither will Stevie

But again Hans if feel I am acting illegaly call the cops, but assmuing
you tell them the truth being that I have siad if a particaular person
show up I will try and kill before he gets a chance to the same to me I
am not worried about them

572. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:11:10 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <94BY6CQB38601.0494212963@anonymous>
Source record: 118451

```
In article <G6_Se.883$9x2.788@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> > In article <DfaSe.4151$4P5.1...@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>It sounds like you are on some medications
> >>>>>yourself.
> >>>>
> >>>>You're hearing sounds?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Of course he hearing sounds what do you expect that he smell them
> >>>instead. Indeed the only thing anyone hear is sound
> >>
> >>Great, Mark, you're hearing sounds on usenet too?
> >
> >
> > I bet you hear voices in your empty head, don't you?
>
> You know, you're right! I'm thinking it might be the headphones.

No, it's your obvious mental illness. Or are you contacting those out-of
banders again?

>
> > You have obvious mental
> > health issues if you think one man is the only one who posts using anonymous
> > remailers.
>
> Plenty of folks, usually those with something to hide, use anonymous
> remailers.
```

No, it's an online privacy option. Sounds like you are jealous since you can't use them.

> We have only one with an ax to grind with me, who monitors
> the local repeaters and the West Virginia net (but doesn't check in).

Sure, and you see him everywhere don't you? How do you know he doesn't check in? Are you stalking him?
Your lack of "diplomacy" skills that your former employer usually employs in its' daily dealings means that it's obvious more than one person has an "axe to grind" with you.

> >>I sit here reading words. The computer isn't making a single sound.
> >
> >
> > Oh? You don't have a cooling fan on your CPU or even the system board? You
> > won't have that computer very much longer.
>
> The fan is totally inaudible. Maybe yours is simply wearing out.

No, perhaps yours isn't working. Or you need your hearing aid batteries replaced.

>
> >>Did you read what the semi-anonymous Roger wrote, or did you just decide to
> >
> > jump in with both
> >
> >>feet inserted in your yap?
> >
> > AS I said, it would seem that you need the mental help, if you think this
Roger
> > is the only one who uses anonymous remailers. Perhaps you should seek mental
> > help and counseling if you think he is behind every anonymous post. Enjoy
that
> > foot in *your* mouth. More pepper?
>
> Read my comments above and be sure to take your lithium.

Sounds like you need lithium and a whole series of electro-shock.

Be sure to fix those cracked old wooden shingles on the side of that old wooden cramped farmhouse with the crooked roof and slap on some paint on that white trim before it rots away eevn more than it already has when you fix the broken roof.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

573. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:23:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125984219.231012.29370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118452

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Just who are you to tell how to run MY station/
>
> Someone needs to, you obviously don't know how.

Not at all

and NO One has the right short of the FCC
One of the biggest problems poised to become the very biggest is the
bossyness of various hams

574. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:25:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125984306.789968.64270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118453

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> >
> >
> > more lies Cmdr
>
> No lies, just the complete truth. You are a first class fake.

First Class well that is better than many accept

but you were lying Cmdr

575. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:27:36 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <25OdnYio08Z4hIDeRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118195

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Sep 4, 8:55 pm
>
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Amateur radio is a HOBBY, a personal activity involving
>>> transmission of RF energy communications for recreation as a fun
>>> avocation.
>>
>>Yet you are so quick to brag about all your so-called communications
>>accomplishments, yet I doubt you could even find the on/off swithc on a
>>transmitter.
>
>
> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it."

You're correct, and the hams have done it.

576. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:28:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fO9Te.6155$4P5.5437@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118454

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.west-virginia/browse_thread/thread/7369f3ab21961e7
6/3a4fefe5705925c9?lnk=st&q=&rnum=212#3a4fefe5705925c9
>>>>>
>>>>>your thread with an admitted stripper
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>You're dumber than a stump. The text found at the url you provided:
>>>>
>>>>----------
>>>>Dave Heil Feb 29 2004, 1:06 pm
>>>>Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
>>>>From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
>>>>Date: Sun, 29 Feb 2004 13:06:08 GMT
>>>>Local: Sun, Feb 29 2004 1:06 pm
>>>>Subject: Re: i got a job stripping
>>>>
>>>>WV Female wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>i ride them poles like theres no tomorrow...lol... ok.. ok.. im made it
>>>>>all up.. sounded good tho.. lol..
>>>>
>>>>Linda,
>>>>
>>>>Have you considered easing up on the caffeine?
>>>>
>>>>Where've you been?
>>>>
>>>>Dave near Cameron
>>>>----------
>>>>
>>>>So, 1) no stripper and 2) no flirt on my part.
>>>>

>>>>Mark, you are a complete twit and a fabricator.
>>>
>>>
>>>not at all look at it and I quote "i got a job stripping" as the title
>>>
>>>seems clear enough to me
>>
>>Sure, it seems clear to you. Now let's talk about how it looks to a
>>rational human being. What does the body of the message state? Where
>>are the flirtaceous comments I'm supposed to have made? Give up? They
>>don't exist.
>
>
> the flirts were there esp when combined with other posts I have cited
> in the past

Not true. That too is a fabrication.

> why is this such an issue for you?

I suppose because you are a lying little SOB posting malicious falsehoods.

>>What you've done is fabricate falsehoods, deliberately and maliciously.
>>You are simply lying scum.
>
>
> No I simply the text and see what I see

Yeah, you "simply the text" all right. Perhaps you should have your
vision checked. You fabricated.

> I was simply mentioning what I found when I made my google search as
> told me to do

...except that what you said you found turned out not to exist.

> No malice there

There certainly was malice. You were corrected and even in the face of
overwhelming evidence to the contrary, you continued the lies.

> then you demanded I back em up and I did so

You backed up nothing and shredded what was left of your credibility.

"My credibilty in this NG not important. I never claim to make
point based on requiring that I be seen as honest here sso why should
it bother me?"

-- Mark Morgan June, 29, 2000

Dave K8MN

577. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:32:16 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <25OdnYuo08adhoDeRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118197

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> I can see now I am fighting with a mental case. Here is a suggestion "old
> friend" START a VHF NET. Get some stations with HF capabilities to link
> with the HF network. There you are....your now in the system. Don't know
> how to do it? Don't trust my advice? Check with ARRL.org. DOn't trust
> them either probably.
>
> EXACTLY what some firebrands did in this part of Alabama. They started
> their own V/Uhf system. And it is a fabulous success. Oh...is that
> bragging again?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

old_friend doesn't want to go to the trouble to help, he just wants to whine and cry about others that do. He is part of the crowd we see that only complain about what is wrong in this disaster, but do nothing to help.

578. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:33:39 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <25OdnYqo08bNhoDeRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118198

an old friend wrote:

>
> Just who are you to tell how to run MY station/

Someone needs to, you obviously don't know how.

579. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:35:06 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <25OdnYWo08Y6hoDeRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118199

an old friend wrote:
>
>
> more lies Cmdr

No lies, just the complete truth. You are a first class fake.

580. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:35:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125984929.896612.180180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118455

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >>Sure, it seems clear to you. Now let's talk about how it looks to a
> >>rational human being. What does the body of the message state? Where
> >>are the flirtaceous comments I'm supposed to have made? Give up? They
> >>don't exist.
> >
> >
> > the flirts were there esp when combined with other posts I have cited
> > in the past
>
> Not true. That too is a fabrication.

not as I saw it and continue to see it

simply disgreeing with is not lying Dave
>
> > why is this such an issue for you?
>
> I suppose because you are a lying little SOB posting malicious falsehoods.

Not lying and even if I am an SoB it is rude of you to call my mother a
bitch having never met her

No flasehood no malicious one

Just calling your posts as I see em

>
> >>What you've done is fabricate falsehoods, deliberately and maliciously.
> >>You are simply lying scum.
> >
> >
> > No I simply the text and see what I see
>
> Yeah, you "simply the text" all right. Perhaps you should have your
> vision checked. You fabricated.

Not at all
>
> > I was simply mentioning what I found when I made my google search as
> > told me to do
>
> ...except that what you said you found turned out not to exist.

Seems to to my reading

>
> > No malice there
>
> There certainly was malice. You were corrected and even in the face of
> overwhelming evidence to the contrary, you continued the lies.

more lies on your part

No malice no proof of anything

but you are so senitive about it that you are convining that you may
have even had an affair with this woman

>
> > then you demanded I back em up and I did so
>
> You backed up nothing and shredded what was left of your credibility.

immpossible you cliamed I had none before

therefore your statement that I shredded any was a lie or you previous
statement was
>
> "My credibilty in this NG not important. I never claim to make
> point based on requiring that I be seen as honest here sso why should
> it bother me?"
>
> -- Mark Morgan June, 29, 2000

so?

This NG is pretty unimortant to me I have said so many time in many
ways

why are you so frighten of what I say?
>
> Dave K8MN

581. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:44:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <OoOdnZ2dnZ11qCCKnZ2dnSKAgN6dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118450

Jerry wrote:

> This is from the Sunday Pensacola News Journal:
>
> Ham-radio operators
>
> assist in rescue fforts
>
> Tallahassee ham-radio operators guided emergency workers through daring
> helicopter rescue :, Friday of 1 ,500 patients and staff from two New
> Orleans hospitals besieged by darkness and gunfire.
>
> Urged by rapidly rising water 8 feet deep in places and the growing reality
> that New Orleans had become a drowning pool, the operators fashioned a
> satellite receptor atop an 8-story building in downtown Tallahassee.
>
> Three of them - Theo Titus, Gene Floyd and Bill Schmidt - boarded a
> helicopter in Tallahassee on Wednesday and headed for New Orleans.
>
> Atop the garage at Tulane University Hospital and Clinic, they set up a
> generator-powered .. ham radio with a satellite uplink.
>
> The men used that communication to direct pilots through the near-war zone
> as they evacuated decimated hospitals. "There were a lot of heroes in this
> operation," said operator Chuck Hall. Hall said the rescue was a small
> victory, but bigger obstacles remain. Hospitals have to be rebuilt. Patients
> have to get well, and New Orleans still faces months of recovery. "We had to
> overcome some small hurdles today, but the big hurdles are in front of us.".
>
>
> If THAT isn't in the thick of it, I really don't know WHAT you really want.
> I recall a thread recently where you insisted that Amateur Radio had no
> "real" part in emergency operations and that most such communications was
> handled withOUT the help of Amateur Radio. Yada Yada, Yada. Much will be
> done by MANY agencies and groups-even people lending backs and hands or a
> mere handkerchief to the effort. *Some* may be by Morse; much of it not.
> Some will handled by satellite and other data links as can be established
> like the gentlemen in the article. I am seeing PLENTY of articles on TV and
> radio about hams in the thick of the action--Even Larry King Live mentioned!
> The crux of your angst was not the Morse issue, but the viability of Amateur
> Radio itself as a vital part of our nation's communications infrastructure,
> which it is HAS been since the beginning. Nothing puts the lie to your
> ham-radio-is-not-vital-to-emergency-communications diatribe than this
> horrific tragedy. NO! It is NOT the onlyone--no one's saying it is--but it

> is certainly doing what it does best; serving the community and nation in
> anyway it can. And there are thousands staying out of the way until the
> phone rings, "Can YOU come and do....."? Or relaying
> messages into and out of NO and other stricken area. MOST amateurs that
> respond, whether it be some heroic effort or merely letting a daughter know
> that her Daddy is safe via HF radio will do so without expecting or
> receiving so much as a quick "Thanks, man". They wouldn't have it any other
> way! :)
>
> J
>
>

Jerry:

What we have here are three individuals that: (1) two of them are either too dumb or too lazy to have every gotten a ham license, thus they are on the outside looking in, and they see what ham radio can do in assisting in emergencies and they can't be a part of it so it really chaps their butt, and (2) the other, who claims to be a ham is nothing more than a do-nothing baby, who just crys and whines about what others are doing because he is either too stupid or too lazy to do something himself.

582. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:48:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S4aTe.6159$4P5.353@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118456

```
Anonymous wrote:
> In article <G6_Se.883$9x2.788@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>Thrasher Remailer wrote:
>>
>>>In article <DfaSe.4151$4P5.1...@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>cut
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>It sounds like you are on some medications
>>>>>>>yourself.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You're hearing sounds?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Of course he hearing sounds what do you expect that he smell them
>>>>>instead. Indeed the only thing anyone hear is sound
>>>>
>>>>Great, Mark, you're hearing sounds on usenet too?
>>>
>>>
>>>I bet you hear voices in your empty head, don't you?
>>
>>You know, you're right! I'm thinking it might be the headphones.
>
>
> No, it's your obvious mental illness. Or are you contacting those out-of
> banders again?

What out-of-banders would those be?
```

>>>You have obvious mental
>>>health issues if you think one man is the only one who posts using anonymous
>>>remailers.
>>
>>Plenty of folks, usually those with something to hide, use anonymous
>>remailers.
>
>
> No, it's an online privacy option. Sounds like you are jealous since you can't
> use them.

For you, "online privacy" equates to online necessity. You've been
given the boot by a number of ISPs. Additionally, you need to hide your
activities from the authorities. That works fine unless your computer
is seized. Face it, Roger, you're about the least popular guy on the
entire internet.

>>We have only one with an ax to grind with me, who monitors
>>the local repeaters and the West Virginia net (but doesn't check in).
>
>
> Sure, and you see him everywhere don't you?

See him? No, he keeps a very low profile. He lives in constant fear.
His only outlet is making anonymous newsgroup posts. He has to be
careful, you see.

> How do you know he doesn't check
> in?

He? You write of yourself as "he"?

> Are you stalking him?

For what possible purpose?

> Your lack of "diplomacy" skills that your former employer usually employs in
> its' daily dealings means that it's obvious more than one person has an "axe to
> grind" with you.

Your statement makes no sense.

>
>>>>I sit here reading words. The computer isn't making a single sound.
>>>
>>>
>>>Oh? You don't have a cooling fan on your CPU or even the system board? You
>>>won't have that computer very much longer.
>>
>>The fan is totally inaudible. Maybe yours is simply wearing out.
>
>
> No, perhaps yours isn't working.

You're incorrect. It is working just fine. Thanks for your concern.

> Or you need your hearing aid batteries
> replaced.

The fan in my computer doesen't run on hearing aid batteries.

>>>>Did you read what the semi-anonymous Roger wrote, or did you just decide to
>>>
>>>jump in with both
>>>
>>>
>>>>feet inserted in your yap?
>>>
>>>AS I said, it would seem that you need the mental help, if you think this
>
> Roger
>
>>>is the only one who uses anonymous remailers. Perhaps you should seek mental
>>>help and counseling if you think he is behind every anonymous post. Enjoy
>
> that
>
>>>foot in *your* mouth. More pepper?
>>
>>Read my comments above and be sure to take your lithium.
>
>
> Sounds like you need lithium and a whole series of electro-shock.

Again things sound like something to you. Are you hearing usenet voices?

> Be sure to fix those cracked old wooden shingles on the side of that old wooden
> cramped farmhouse with the crooked roof and slap on some paint on that white
> trim before it rots away eevn more than it already has when you fix the broken
> roof.

Why would you be concerned with my home, Roger/not Roger? Are you in
the remodeling business? I'm happy that you've found meaningful work.
Now just keep your nose clean with the local gendarmes.

Dave K8MN

583. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 05:58:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1125986311.269078.210470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118457

Dave Heil wrote:
> Anonymous wrote:
> > In article <G6_Se.883$9x2.788@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
cut
> > No, it's your obvious mental illness. Or are you contacting those out-of
> > banders again?
>
> What out-of-banders would those be?

ah dave you under estimate your fame for supporting out of band and
bootleg ops

cut
> >>We have only one with an ax to grind with me, who monitors
> >>the local repeaters and the West Virginia net (but doesn't check in).
> >
> >
> > Sure, and you see him everywhere don't you?
>
> See him? No, he keeps a very low profile. He lives in constant fear.
> His only outlet is making anonymous newsgroup posts. He has to be
> careful, you see.
>
> > How do you know he doesn't check
> > in?
>
> He? You write of yourself as "he"?

he is the accepted convential english pronoun for use when the gender
is unknown

>
> > Are you stalking him?
>
> For what possible purpose?

what prupose indeed?

cut

584. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 06:14:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ftaTe.6924$Wd7.2206@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118458

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>Sure, it seems clear to you. Now let's talk about how it looks to a
>>>>rational human being. What does the body of the message state? Where
>>>>are the flirtaceous comments I'm supposed to have made? Give up? They
>>>>don't exist.
>>>
>>>
>>>the flirts were there esp when combined with other posts I have cited
>>>in the past
>>
>>Not true. That too is a fabrication.
>
>
> not as I saw it and continue to see it
>
> simply disgreeing with is not lying Dave

No it isn't. You aren't simply disagreeing. You posted deliberate
falsehoods and now you are lying on top of your initial lies. Then
again, you're an admitted liar.

>>>why is this such an issue for you?
>>
>>I suppose because you are a lying little SOB posting malicious falsehoods.
>
>
> Not lying...

Yes, you have lied and you continue to do so.

> ...and even if I am an SoB it is rude of you to call my mother a
> bitch having never met her

I guess you'll have to learn to live with that pain. You're not just
any SOB, you're a lying little SOB.

> No flasehood no malicious one
>

> Just calling your posts as I see em

It is most interesting that you rant and rail at others as you call them
all "lier", but that you deny, over and over, your own untruths, even in
the face of easily obtainable proof.

>>>>What you've done is fabricate falsehoods, deliberately and maliciously.
>>>>You are simply lying scum.
>>>
>>>
>>>No I simply the text and see what I see
>>
>>Yeah, you "simply the text" all right. Perhaps you should have your
>>vision checked. You fabricated.
>
> Not at all

Yes, all.

>>>I was simply mentioning what I found when I made my google search as
>>>told me to do
>>
>>...except that what you said you found turned out not to exist.
>
>
> Seems to to my reading

Then again, you're dyslexic.

>>>No malice there
>>
>>There certainly was malice. You were corrected and even in the face of
>>overwhelming evidence to the contrary, you continued the lies.
>
>
> more lies on your part

See what I mean? You call others liars when the truth is evident. You
aren't wrapped too tight.

> No malice no proof of anything
>
> but you are so senitive about it that you are convining that you may
> have even had an affair with this woman

I'm convining? How does one convine? Well, Lieutenant/Colonel Morgan,
you're about as goofy as they come.

>>>then you demanded I back em up and I did so
>>
>>You backed up nothing and shredded what was left of your credibility.
>
>

> immpossible you cliamed I had none before

Great. Google it up.

> therefore your statement that I shredded any was a lie or you previous
> statement was

Naw, Mark, you're lying again, just to keep in practice.

>>"My credibilty in this NG not important. I never claim to make
>>point based on requiring that I be seen as honest here sso why should
>>it bother me?"
>>
>> -- Mark Morgan June, 29, 2000
>
>
>
> so?

"So?" That's the best you can do? "So?" It is bad enough for you to
be dishonest. You take it to a new level, trumpeting it from the rooftops.

> This NG is pretty unimortant to me I have said so many time in many
> ways

...yet you find it important enough to show up on a regular basis these
days.

> why are you so frighten of what I say?

Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in
redeeming qualities. I see you as pitiful.

In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called
a very nice woman an elitist bitch.

Dave K8MN

585. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 06:18:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <phcqh197ctifkgkl0eqgud773pi91nicab@4ax.com>
Source record: 118459

```
On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 00:28:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<4p5Te.7605$_84.1562@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:52_Se.881$9x2.629@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:08:47 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>> <3t8Se.5893$9i4.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>>
>>> First you wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>...Ain't no one thumping chests here.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Immediately followed by:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I have ran several pieces of traffic from the disaster area tonight.
>>>>Made the calls and I'm damn proud of Ham Radio.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Hypocrite.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> If you don't like it. Gent bent
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> It's morons like you who secretly -wanted- something this bad to
>>> happen just so you could have the opportunity to "step up to the
>>> plate". Yep, all those people are suffering and dying so -you- can
>>> feel good about being a ham -- you -should- be proud.
>>
>> You're statement is more than stupid. I know of no one, radio amateur or
>> not, who wanted such a tragedy to take place.
```

>>
>>> Get some perspective you sick <expletive deleted>.
>>
>> Wow! It is difficult to believe that one who thinks the way you do, could
>> accept that it is you who needs the perspective.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>The last time I saw anything like this was the rubble we left that used to
>be Cholon district of Saigon during TET. I still wake up seeing that.

.....oh brother, another war vet. I must have met more people who
claimed to have served in Viet-Nam than people who actually -did-
serve there. And to compare my post to the TET offensive is even more
sick than your wannabe-hero complex.

>And to think this moron thinks anyone actually wished for a disaster that
>has hit the Gulf Coast of the US is beyond stupid. He is braindead.

It's hardly inconceivable. Just go to any field day and listen to how
they talk. Those wannabe-hero hams practically wet their pants at the
thought of a disaster and the opportunity to "show their stuff" and
"step up to the plate". Just like you do, Dan.

>Well, thanks to all of you out there that know what is going on. I'm done
>dealing with this fool. My break is over, going back to the rig.

Typical. You can't face your own dysfunction so you just ignore it.
PLEASE tell me that you didn't raise any kids....!!!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

586. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 06:27:27 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125988047.057212.327150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118460

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >>>
> >>>the flirts were there esp when combined with other posts I have cited
> >>>in the past
> >>
> >>Not true. That too is a fabrication.
> >
> >
> > not as I saw it and continue to see it
> >
> > simply disgreeing with is not lying Dave
>
> No it isn't. You aren't simply disagreeing. You posted deliberate
> falsehoods and now you are lying on top of your initial lies. Then
> again, you're an admitted liar.

No I am simply reading em the way I see em

No falsehood at all

why are you so threatened by that?

cut

> > No flasehood no malicious one
> >
> > Just calling your posts as I see em
>
> It is most interesting that you rant and rail at others as you call them
> all "lier", but that you deny, over and over, your own untruths, even in
> the face of easily obtainable proof.

If there was unturth id be prepared to admit it

from where I am siting you wer exchanging a number of flirtaous pots
with a Bisexual female o a Hg mostly of peronal ads

cut

> >>...except that what you said you found turned out not to exist.
> >
> >
> > Seems to to my reading
>

> Then again, you're dyslexic.

yes I am your point?

>
> >>>No malice there
> >>
> >>There certainly was malice. You were corrected and even in the face of
> >>overwhelming evidence to the contrary, you continued the lies.
> >
> >
> > more lies on your part
>
> See what I mean? You call others liars when the truth is evident. You
> aren't wrapped too tight.

no I don't see what you mean

the turth is I see your post as flirtaous

that is a fact

that you can call them something else in your mind has nothing to do
with that fact
>
> > No malice no proof of anything
> >
> > but you are so senitive about it that you are convining that you may
> > have even had an affair with this woman
>
> I'm convining? How does one convine? Well, Lieutenant/Colonel Morgan,
> you're about as goofy as they come.

by protesting your inocence of such a trival matter so violently

>
> >>>then you demanded I back em up and I did so
> >>
> >>You backed up nothing and shredded what was left of your credibility.
> >
> >
> > immpossible you cliamed I had none before
>
> Great. Google it up.

already done

>
> > therefore your statement that I shredded any was a lie or you previous
> > statement was
>
> Naw, Mark, you're lying again, just to keep in practice.

no need for that Dave

>
> >>"My credibilty in this NG not important. I never claim to make
> >>point based on requiring that I be seen as honest here sso why should
> >>it bother me?"
> >>
> >> -- Mark Morgan June, 29, 2000
> >
> >
> >
> > so?
>
> "So?" That's the best you can do? "So?" It is bad enough for you to
> be dishonest. You take it to a new level, trumpeting it from the rooftops.

It isn't bad at all as far as Im concerned I don't owe you turth esp
when you don't deliver it in reuturn

so is the answer

You and Stevie keep projecting your values

are you so stupid you can't understand I don't accept them?
>
> > This NG is pretty unimortant to me I have said so many time in many
> > ways
>
> ...yet you find it important enough to show up on a regular basis these
> days.

It kills times
>
> > why are you so frighten of what I say?
>
> Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
> no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in
> redeeming qualities. I see you as pitiful.

Then why are you concerned about my posts?

>
> In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called
> a very nice woman an elitist bitch.

Done neither

>
> Dave K8MN

587. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 06:29:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125988141.153218.72270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118461

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
cut
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> old_friend doesn't want to go to the trouble to help, he just wants to
> whine and cry about others that do. He is part of the crowd we see that
> only complain about what is wrong in this disaster, but do nothing to help.

more lies Cmdr

I done my part to help may do if needed

588. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 06:31:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <08dqh1h8n3qralltt875tijh6o5kg3pr5t@4ax.com>
Source record: 118462

On 5 Sep 2005 18:27:06 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1125970026.774939.208270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>It's been known for years - decades - that if a big enough
>storm came ashore in the right place, NO would be in big
>trouble. A little more than a week ago it looked like
>Katrina would hit NO dead-on with full Category 5 force.
>Had that happened - and it was a real possibility - things
>would probably be even worse there than today.

The first hurricane to flood the city happened in 1927. Hurricane
Betsy hit the city in 1965, flooded half the city and left 60,000
homeless. It's not like they didn't know this could happen.

>Yet even with all that warning, the levee system was only good
>for a Category 3 storm. People kept building there. even as the ground
>kept sinking. Why?

Because of better weather prediction technology, and assurances from
the state and federal governments that they would provide assistance
in such a disaster. And because a thriving economy had already been
established -- i.e, "thar's gold in them thar swamps".

>Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
>the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place beforehand?

That's a question that will need to be answered by the state and
federal governments in the coming months.

>Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>unusual thing.
>
>Or is that sort of thing too "liberal" for this era?
>
>Meanwhile, Americans keep building big expensive homes and
>buildings in lowlying coastal areas. And in places where the
>ground shakes every so often.

And around active volcanoes, in tornado hot-zones, at the bottom of
steep mountain slopes, on muddy hillsides, etc.

> Why?

Because people think "it can't happen to me".

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

589. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 07:06:14 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1125990374.805189.56500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118463

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 00:28:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <4p5Te.7605$_84.1562@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
cut

> >> Wow! It is difficult to believe that one who thinks the way you do, could
> >> accept that it is you who needs the perspective.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >The last time I saw anything like this was the rubble we left that used to
> >be Cholon district of Saigon during TET. I still wake up seeing that.
>
>
> .....oh brother, another war vet. I must have met more people who
> claimed to have served in Viet-Nam than people who actually -did-
> serve there. And to compare my post to the TET offensive is even more
> sick than your wannabe-hero complex.

not sure about the levels of sickness (and don't want to debate it)

>
>
> >And to think this moron thinks anyone actually wished for a disaster that
> >has hit the Gulf Coast of the US is beyond stupid. He is braindead.
>
>
> It's hardly inconceivable. Just go to any field day and listen to how
> they talk. Those wannabe-hero hams practically wet their pants at the
> thought of a disaster and the opportunity to "show their stuff" and
> "step up to the plate". Just like you do, Dan.

indeed I have heard on the repeater a few ham going on about the the
fact they wish there was disatser traffic for the UP of michigan. I for
one am glad our area is little affected, even gas supplies are not much
affected for us(we get ours is barges and we have the fuel we will burn
till about march aready sitting round) I am sorry of these folks gald
that Ham radio can help out but sick the Hero Wanna bes

>
>
> >Well, thanks to all of you out there that know what is going on. I'm done
> >dealing with this fool. My break is over, going back to the rig.
>
>

> Typical. You can't face your own dysfunction so you just ignore it.
> PLEASE tell me that you didn't raise any kids....!!!!!
>

I don't about Dan or Dave but Stevie has god help the poor dears

590. Re: Markie lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 07:21:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125991270.397351.317900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118464

an old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
> > >
> >
> > Nobody finds death threats amusing.
>
> and yet I have been epected to endure one myself

Not from anyone in this newsgroup, you haven't.

> Stevie has threatened to kill me in the past

Nope.

> So If he shows up here I will assume he is serious

Never "assume" anything.

> > Frankly, they scare the hell out of me. <cut>
>
> Me too which is why I have reacted to Stevies threat as strongly as I
> have

All you've done is demonstrate severe paranoid delusions.

> Interesting that you take ME to task for asserting my right to self
> defense and

You're just running off at the mouth and playing up the "I'M A
VICTIM! I'M A VICTIM" role again.

> >If you'd make such a serious threat
> > about a cyber-encounter on the internet, what would you do about a real-life
> > encounter on the streets of your town?
>
> I have made no such threat. I have threatened if you like that if
> Stevie chooses to encoutner me here at my place I will react violently

Your only "reaction" will be to wet your pants and cry for mama.

> > I'm certain the police in your town/county will NOT be amused.
>
> No if Stevie shows they will not be amused at the results

Uh huh.

> Neither will I and neither will Stevie

Why? With all I have seen and done in the last week, watching you drop to your knees trying to cover the wet spot in your jeans will be quite the comic relief.

> But again Hans if feel I am acting illegaly call the cops, but assmuing
> you tell them the truth being that I have siad if a particaular person
> show up I will try and kill before he gets a chance to the same to me I
> am not worried about them

Markie...EACH and EVERY one of your "he's trying to kill me" posts makes you appear even MORE ludicrous and MORE infantile that the one before.

No one in this forum, least of all me, is even REMOTELY impressed by your "threats" to "get violent" over ANY provocation.

Steve, K4YZ

591. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 07:22:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125991368.392033.326090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118465

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> > Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
>
> I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.
>
> MIA or AWOL?

Neither. Working.

Steve, K4YZ

592. A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 07:42:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1125992542.059222.244480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118466

For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive care transports of critical patients from Southern Mississippi and Southeastern Louisiana. I was on four ground transports and four air transports.

For those who have been watching the news on CNN and Fox News, all I can say is that the most horrific and heartbreaking scenes have yet to be aired, and in all likelyhood never will be...I just don't think the American public could withstand it.

As an ER Nurse I've seen tragedy and dispair on an almost daily basis, but at the end of the day, it's been easy to put behind me as soon as I get out of the parking lot. I can get a sausage and bisquit at the corner store, enjoy a pint of milk, and know that when I get home the lights are on and the fridge is full.

Not so in LA or MS.

I was on the ground in Slidell, LA, for 45 minutes on Saturday. I don't believe that even if I possessed the skills of a poet laureat that I could put into words the devastation. I never served with the Marines in Viet Nam, but have always heard about the "1000 yeard stare"...Well, now I've seen it...In the eyes of hundreds of those brutalized by this horrific event.

That having been said, those who have been casting about that I am "MIA" or otherwise "strangely absent" from the NG only reinforce, in my mind anyway, just how infantile, meaningless and puny they really are. In light of the last few days, the punks who make up the Feeble Five have just ceased to have any substance for me. They are just too petty.

Steve, K4YZ

593. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 09:08:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1125997709.039526.228040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118467

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> >>tell us about how to live.

> > Yup - and how *not* to live.

> >>That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
> >>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
> >>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy
> >>these days
> >>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all.

> > Nothing new about that. Constantine, Cyril, the Crusades, and
> > of course the Spanish Inquisition.

> > "If Jesus came back, and saw what's going on in His name,
> > He'd never stop throwing up." --Frederick, in Woody Allen's "Hannah and
> > Her Sisters"
> >
> >
> >>The closest thing that they are is a modern day version
> >>of the Pharisees. Their trends are much more old
> >>testament - therefore not sharing in the new
> >>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer,
> >>also proscribed
> >>against by Jesus, they push religious domination of
> >>government - same deal.
> >
> >
> > They've had plenty of company in the past 2000 years...
> >
> >>While demanding that the first books of *their* bible
> >
> >
> > Except it's not really "theirs" - particularly the first
> > five books...
> >
> >
> >>(KJV) be taken as
> >>absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, > they
> >>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers > direct
> >>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible.

> >>What's up with that?
> >
> >
> > It's a mystery, Mike. You just have to take it on faith.
> >
> > I find it interesting that the dietary and other laws of the "old"
> > testament are ignored when inconvenient - just like
> > the inconvenient teachings of Jesus.
> >
> > Fun fact: Which states do you think have the highest and lowest
> > divorce rates - "conservative" red states or "liberal" blue states?
> >
> > "what God has joined together, let no man put asunder"...
> >
> >
> >>What is up with that is the modern
> >>fundamentalist Christians are
> >>falling for one of the oldest tricks
> >>in the book - the false prophets.
> >>
> >>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> >>the world was *not*
> >>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> >>in 4004 BC
> >>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
> >
> >
> > Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> > rested on the seventh day.
> >
> > Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
>
> void, without form
>
> >>There is no doubt in my mind that the present
> >>day universe *was*
> >>created billions of years ago, probably in an
> >>event we call "the Big
> >>Bang.
> >
> >
> > There should always be doubt, Mike. The Big Bang
> > cosmology is simply the best explanation we
> > have now that fits all the scientific data. New
> > data might require a new cosmology.
> >
> > That's one big difference between real and fake
> > science. Real science is always open to new
> > data and new explanations.
>

> Whereas the "science" practiced by these
> fake practitioners is in looking for evidence that
> supports their proposition-and only their proposition.

Which isn't science at all.
>
> When it gets fun is when they try to explain the
> biblical flood as a verbatim event. The have yet to answer
> two simple questions.
>
> Where did the water come from?
> Where did the water go?
>
> I haven't found one yet that can answer the question, How much > water
> would it take to cover the earth from sea level to 1 foot over > the top
> of Mount Everest? And What effects would this extra mass have
> on the
> Earth?

Well, if you melted the polar ice caps, the oceans would rise some, but
not nearly enough. Precipitate out all the atmospheric water and the
oceans would rise some more.

But to flood the entire earth requires much more water than that.

The flood story may have its origin in reality.

There is some evidence that the Black Sea was once a fresh water lake -
and a lot smaller than it is today. This would require that the strait
near Constantinople/Istanbul was an isthmus back then.

The evidence says that when the oceans rose at the end of the last
ice age, water from the Mediterranean rose to the point where it
overflowed the isthmus and flowed into what is now the Black Sea -
raising its level and flooding any low=ying lakeside communities.
Which have been well below sea level ever since.

(sound familiar)

Such a catastrophe would have been remembered a long time.

> >>I highly doubt that it was created by
> >>a supreme being.

> > Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> > in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
>
> Why is not my concern, Jim. A supreme being may have created everything
> yesterday, including all of our memories to the contrary.

Like I quoted about the supernatural explaining nothing...

> But I doubt it. Seems a incredibly roundabout way of doing things. 4.5
> billion years to have people start thinking of "him" around 4000 years

> ago. Not to mention all the times they got it wrong before this one came
> along....
>
> >
> >>For what
> >>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy
> >>speculation on that.
> >>
> >
> > You can explain anything by using the 'supernatural'. Which means the
> > 'supernatural' explains nothing.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

594. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 10:30:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YuidnZ2dnZ0WlgSlnZ2dnV7ygN6dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118470

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1125970517.118521.228720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1125950983.986732.236390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > cut
>> >> >> And how is factual data considered an insult?
>> >> >
>> >> > by being presented in an insluting manner
>> >> >
>> >> > you address someone in insulting terms at some of them will be
>> >> > insulted
>> >> >
>> >> > you have insulted the Novices and the various types of techs
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> There were no insulting phrases or words in my post. It would take a
>> >> degree
>> >> of paranoia to so construe it.
>> >
>> > how do you expect to be an effective elmer if you can't reconize that
>> > you are being rude
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>>
>> And how can one be a successful student if they misinterpret plain
>> language?
>
> making your meaning clear is your job as the elmer,at least by the
> standards you were trying to ram down my throat a few weeks ago. you
> are the pone comunicating so it is your job to be clear
>
> But in answer to your question it is certainly possible for any
> competant intructor to over come misunderstanding
>
> and still You elitist bitch the question stands, "how do you expect to
> be an effective elmer if you can't reconize that you are being rude?"
>
```

> your manner is insulting
>
> in your posts you come off as a snob, at best
>
> you are evasive, rude and lazy and always ready to tell another what
> they should be doing
>
>
> How can anyone call anything but an elitist bitch and be honest?
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Believe what you wish. However, my students have a near 100% pass rate on both theory and code on their first try.

I refuse to spar with some one who chooses to act like an idiot so I will respond to no more of your posts until you regain your equilibrium.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

595. Re: Stevie lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 12:04:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126008282.070332.23950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118471

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > >
> > > >
> > > > OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
> > > > >
> > >
> > > Nobody finds death threats amusing.
> >
> > and yet I have been epected to endure one myself
>
> Not from anyone in this newsgroup, you haven't.

sure have from you

>
> > Stevie has threatened to kill me in the past
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> > So If he shows up here I will assume he is serious
>
> Never "assume" anything.

Bulshit if you show up here I will assmue you are serious

>
> > > Frankly, they scare the hell out of me. <cut>
> >
> > Me too which is why I have reacted to Stevies threat as strongly as I
> > have
>
> All you've done is demonstrate severe paranoid delusions.

Not at all

>
> > Interesting that you take ME to task for asserting my right to self
> > defense and
>
> You're just running off at the mouth and playing up the "I'M A
> VICTIM! I'M A VICTIM" role again.

Not at all

>
> > >If you'd make such a serious threat
> > > about a cyber-encounter on the internet, what would you do about a real-life
> > > encounter on the streets of your town?
> >
> > I have made no such threat. I have threatened if you like that if
> > Stevie chooses to encoutner me here at my place I will react violently
>
> Your only "reaction" will be to wet your pants and cry for mama.

no you show up and at least one of us will die
>
> > > I'm certain the police in your town/county will NOT be amused.
> >
> > No if Stevie shows they will not be amused at the results
>
> Uh huh.
>
> > Neither will I and neither will Stevie
>
> Why? With all I have seen and done in the last week, watching you
> drop to your knees trying to cover the wet spot in your jeans will be
> quite the comic relief.

Stevie you are stupid

you show you will not seeing much of anything
>
> > But again Hans if feel I am acting illegaly call the cops, but assmuing
> > you tell them the truth being that I have siad if a particaular person
> > show up I will try and kill before he gets a chance to the same to me I
> > am not worried about them
>
> Markie...EACH and EVERY one of your "he's trying to kill me" posts
> makes you appear even MORE ludicrous and MORE infantile that the one
> before.

I never said you were trying to kill

merely threatening

>
> No one in this forum, least of all me, is even REMOTELY impressed
> by your "threats" to "get violent" over ANY provocation.

You know you may not be but it seem you are wrong about he NG stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

596. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 12:05:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126008309.200455.261970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118472

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > Indeed Stevie when you going to address YOUR double standard
> >
> > I wonder if Steve has been picked up? He's strangely absent on RRAP.
> >
> > MIA or AWOL?
>
> Neither. Working.

Certainly MIA
>
> Steve, K4YZ

597. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 12:06:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126008360.314026.213620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118473

well good to hear fopr change you have done something productive
K4YZ wrote:
> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
> care transports of critical patients from Southern Mississippi and
> Southeastern Louisiana. I was on four ground transports and four air
> transports.
cut

598. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 12:07:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126008449.801731.274810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118474

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:w76Te.6734$Wd7.1084@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
cut> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> Don't bother to fight him on my behalf. It won't work anyway. Anyone can
> read his statements and my statements for themselves and make their own
> decision.

don't flatter yourself Dave is not fighting on YOUR behalf is just
fighting becuase likes to fight
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

599. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 12:35:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126010137.638355.102110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118475

you this a radio Newsgroup right?

last time I loked the Book of Genisis was a religous text with very
little to do the ARS

there are btter places for such content surely
Dee Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:UtednZ2dnZ0V8z3InZ2dnU17gd6dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>>The water came from two sources. One was fresh water and the other salt
> >>>water from a storm surge. Water that was once some place else came to be
> >>>deposited in New Orleans and the world has suffered no increase or
> >>>decrease in the amount of water that exists in the world.
cut

600. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 13:00:15 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <zpgTe.8327$FW1.3539@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118476

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1125971794.648117.266690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

(SNIP)

> Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
> an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
> radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
> of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
> children, he could not come up with a single example.
>
> As far as anyone knows, he's never told
> FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.

With all due respect, if the FCC has NOT acted on
Len's age-requirement idea by now, it can be assumed
to be a dead idea and rrequires no "please discard"
note/memo/letter.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

601. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 14:33:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126017224.881571.267200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118477

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> well good to hear fopr change you have done something productive

"for"

Nothing less than exactly the kind of response I expected, Markie.

Thanks for not letting me down.

Steve, K4YZ

602. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:04:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <deiTe.6266$4P5.1346@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118478

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 00:28:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <4p5Te.7605$_84.1562@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>>And to think this moron thinks anyone actually wished for a disaster that
>>has hit the Gulf Coast of the US is beyond stupid. He is braindead.
>
>
> It's hardly inconceivable. Just go to any field day and listen to how
> they talk. Those wannabe-hero hams practically wet their pants at the
> thought of a disaster and the opportunity to "show their stuff" and
> "step up to the plate". Just like you do, Dan.

I've participated in Field Day many times over the course of 40+ years,
from several geographic locations and with a number of different groups.
I have never heard anything like you've described from any participant.

Dave K8MN

603. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:17:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126019827.423205.12090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118479

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > well good to hear fopr change you have done something productive
>
> "for"
>
> Nothing less than exactly the kind of response I expected, Markie.
>
> Thanks for not letting me down.

gee I adknowledge your efforts and the improvement they show and all
you can manage to do is play spelling cop

Yep the bullying bigoted stevie is back
>
> Steve, K4YZ

604. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:19:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126019991.629253.217920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118480

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 00:28:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> > <4p5Te.7605$_84.1562@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
> >>And to think this moron thinks anyone actually wished for a disaster that
> >>has hit the Gulf Coast of the US is beyond stupid. He is braindead.
> >
> >
> > It's hardly inconceivable. Just go to any field day and listen to how
> > they talk. Those wannabe-hero hams practically wet their pants at the
> > thought of a disaster and the opportunity to "show their stuff" and
> > "step up to the plate". Just like you do, Dan.
>
> I've participated in Field Day many times over the course of 40+ years,
> from several geographic locations and with a number of different groups.
> I have never heard anything like you've described from any participant.

Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code

>
>
> Dave K8MN

605. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:24:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FwiTe.6275$4P5.3459@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118481

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>>the flirts were there esp when combined with other posts I have cited
>>>>>in the past
>>>>
>>>>Not true. That too is a fabrication.
>>>
>>>
>>>not as I saw it and continue to see it
>>>
>>>simply disgreeing with is not lying Dave
>>
>>No it isn't. You aren't simply disagreeing. You posted deliberate
>>falsehoods and now you are lying on top of your initial lies. Then
>>again, you're an admitted liar.
>
>
> No I am simply reading em the way I see em
>
> No falsehood at all
>
> why are you so threatened by that?

You keep using the term "threatened". I don't feel threatened, Mark.
You have manufactured stories. You have been called on them.
Irrefutable proof that your stories are fabrications has been
introduced. You continue to lie.

> cut
>
>
>>>No flasehood no malicious one
>>>
>>>Just calling your posts as I see em
>>
>>It is most interesting that you rant and rail at others as you call them
>>all "lier", but that you deny, over and over, your own untruths, even in
>>the face of easily obtainable proof.

>
>
> If there was unturth id be prepared to admit it

According to your own rules, you'd be prepared to tell further lies.

> from where I am siting you wer exchanging a number of flirtaous pots
> with a Bisexual female o a Hg mostly of peronal ads

...and since you are aware of the untruth of your statement, anyone can
see that you would like about anything at any time.

> cut
>
>
>>>>...except that what you said you found turned out not to exist.
>>>
>>>
>>>Seems to to my reading
>>
>>Then again, you're dyslexic.
>
>

> yes I am your point?

Yes, you are my point.

>>>>>No malice there
>>>>
>>>>There certainly was malice. You were corrected and even in the face of
>>>>overwhelming evidence to the contrary, you continued the lies.
>>>
>>>
>>>more lies on your part
>>
>>See what I mean? You call others liars when the truth is evident. You
>>aren't wrapped too tight.
>
>
> no I don't see what you mean
>
> the turth is I see your post as flirtaous
>
> that is a fact

Actually it isn't a fact. It is simply another of your claims. Google
archives show otherwise.

> that you can call them something else in your mind has nothing to do
> with that fact

You aren't living in reality.

>>>No malice no proof of anything
>>>
>>>but you are so senitive about it that you are convining that you may
>>>have even had an affair with this woman
>>
>>I'm convining? How does one convine? Well, Lieutenant/Colonel Morgan,
>>you're about as goofy as they come.
>
>
> by protesting your inocence of such a trival matter so violently

Oh? That's "convining", is it? I'm not doing anything "so violently".
I've presented concrete evidence that what you have claimed is a
fabrication. I've done that consistently, not violently.

>>>>>then you demanded I back em up and I did so
>>>>
>>>>You backed up nothing and shredded what was left of your credibility.
>>>
>>>
>>>immpossible you cliamed I had none before
>>
>>Great. Google it up.
>
>
> already done

It is? Where, pray tell, did you post it?

>>>therefore your statement that I shredded any was a lie or you previous
>>>statement was
>>
>>Naw, Mark, you're lying again, just to keep in practice.
>
>
> no need for that Dave

The record of your lying shows that you need improvement.

>>>>"My credibilty in this NG not important. I never claim to make
>>>>point based on requiring that I be seen as honest here sso why should
>>>>it bother me?"
>>>>
>>>> -- Mark Morgan June, 29, 2000
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>so?
>>
>>"So?" That's the best you can do? "So?" It is bad enough for you to
>>be dishonest. You take it to a new level, trumpeting it from the rooftops.
>
>

> It isn't bad at all as far as Im concerned I don't owe you turth esp
> when you don't deliver it in reuturn

You'd first have to be able to recognize truth. You can't.

> so is the answer

What is the question?

> You and Stevie keep projecting your values

> are you so stupid you can't understand I don't accept them?

Anyone can understand that you don't accept many values. Not everyone
subscribes to the idea that you can manufacture any story you'd like,
whether true or not.

>>>This NG is pretty unimortant to me I have said so many time in many
>>>ways
>>
>>...yet you find it important enough to show up on a regular basis these
>>days.
>
>
> It kills times

So does watching paint dry.

>>>why are you so frighten of what I say?
>>
>>Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
>>no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in
>>redeeming qualities. I see you as pitiful.
>
>
> Then why are you concerned about my posts?

Why am I concerned? Because you've manufactured outright and deliberate
lies about me, that's why. You seem to feel that you have complete
liberty to do so.

>>In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called
>>a very nice woman an elitist bitch.
>
>
> Done neither

You've done both, but you have to continue lying. It is your nature.

Dave K8MN

606. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:48:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7TiTe.1751$9x2.156@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118482

As Telecom Reels From Storm Damage, Ham Radios Hum

By CHRISTOPHER RHOADS
Staff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL
September 6, 2005; Page A19

MONROE, La. -- In a shelter here, 300 miles north of New Orleans, Theo McDaniel took his plight to a young man fiddling with a clunky, outdated-looking radio.

Mr. McDaniel, a 25-year-old barber, had evacuated New Orleans with his wife and two small children more than a week ago and since then had had no contact with his brother or his aunt. The last he heard, his 42-year-old aunt was clinging to her roof.

"We've got to get a message down there to help them," he said. The man at the radio sent the information to the emergency-operations center across town, which relayed it to rescue units in New Orleans. Later in the weekend, Mr. McDaniel learned that food and water were on the way to his trapped brother and his
brother's young family. He had heard nothing about his aunt.

With Hurricane Katrina having knocked out nearly all the high-end emergency communications gear, 911 centers, cellphone towers and normal fixed phone lines in its path, ham-radio operators have begun to fill the information vacuum. "Right now, 99.9% of normal communications in the affected region is nonexistent," says David Gore, the man operating the ham radio in the Monroe shelter.
"That's where we come in."

In an age of high-tech, real-time gadgetry, it's the decidedly unsexy ham radio -- whose technology has changed little since World War II -- that is in high demand in ravaged New Orleans and environs. The Red Cross issued a request for about 500 amateur radio operators -- known as "hams" -- for the 260 shelters it is erecting in the area. The American Radio Relay League, a national association of ham-radio operators, has been deluged with requests to find people
in the region. The U.S. Coast Guard is looking for hams to help with its relief efforts.

Ham radios, battery operated, work well when others don't in part because they are simple. Each operator acts as his own base station, requiring only his radio and about 50 feet of fence wire to transmit messages thousands of miles. Ham radios can send messages on multiple channels and in myriad ways, including Morse code, microwave frequencies and even email.

Then there are the ham-radio operators themselves, a band of radio enthusiasts who spend hours jabbering with each other even during normal times. They are often the first to get messages in and out of disaster areas, in part because they are everywhere. (The ARRL estimates there are 250,000 active licensed hams in the U.S.) Sometimes they are the only source of information in the first hours following a disaster. "No matter how good the homeland-security system is, it will be overwhelmed," says Thomas Leggett, a retired mill worker manning a ham radio in the operations center here. "You don't hear about us, but we are there."

Slidell, a town 30 miles northeast of New Orleans, was directly hit by the hurricane and remains virtually cut off from the outside world. One of the few, if not the only, communications links is Michael King, a retired Navy captain, operating a ham radio out of a Slidell hospital.

"How are you holding up, Mike?" asked Sharon Riviere into a ham-radio microphone at Monroe's operations center. She and her husband, Ron, who is the president of the Slidell ham-radio club, had evacuated before the storm to the home of some fellow ham-radio enthusiasts in Monroe. She said Mr. King had been working 20-hour days since the storm hit.

Crackling static and odd, garbled sounds followed her question to Mr. King. Then he replied: "It's total devastation here. I've got 18 feet of water at my house. Johnny's Caf? down there has water up to its roof."

Ms. Riviere asked about her own home, which is not far from Mr. King's. "It's full of mud," Mr. King replied. "Looks like someone's been slugging it out in there."

Ham radios are often most effective as one link in a chain of communication devices. Early last week, someone trapped with 15 people on a roof of a New Orleans home tried unsuccessfully to get through to a 911 center on his cellphone. He was able to call a relative in Baton Rouge, who in turn called another relative, Sybil Hayes, in Broken Arrow, Okla. Ms. Hayes, whose 81-year-old aunt was among those stranded on the New Orleans roof, then called the Red Cross in Broken Arrow, which handed the message to its affiliated ham-radio operator, Ben Joplin.

Via stations in Oregon, Idaho and Louisiana, Mr. Joplin got the message to rescue workers who were able to save the 15 people on the roof, according to the ARRL, based in Newington, Conn. "We are like the Pony Express," says the 26-year-old Mr. Gore, wearing black cowboy boots. "One way or the other, even by hand, we will get you the message."

Mr. Gore, who is in charge of the northeastern district of Louisiana for the Amateur Radio Emergency Service, has spent a lot of time the past week at the Monroe shelter, helping evacuees try to track missing friends and relatives.

Last Monday, Danita Alexander of Violet, La., came to a ham operator in the Monroe shelter asking about her 96-year-old grandfather, Willie Bright, who had

been in a nursing home in New Orleans. The next day, she got word back from a ham operator that he had been safely transferred to a shelter near New Orleans. "We can't do enough of these," says Mark Ketchell, who runs the ARES branch
in Monroe.

Nevertheless, the ham-radio community feels under threat. Telecom companies want to deliver broadband Internet connections over power lines, which ham-radio operators say distorts communications in the surrounding area. Since hams
are "amateurs," there is little lobbying money to fight such changes, they add.

The hams also get little respect from telecommunications-equipment companies, such as Motorola Inc. "Something is better than nothing, that's right," says Jim Screeden, who runs all of Motorola's repair teams in the field for its emergency-response business. "But ham radios are pretty close to nothing." Mr. Screeden says ham radios can take a long time to relay messages and work essentially as "party lines," with multiple parties talking at once. Says Mr. Leggett
at the Monroe operations center: "We are the unwanted stepchild. But when the s--- hits the fan, who are you going to call?"

607. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:53:08 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dfke13$1eac$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 118483

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
>>>affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
>>>ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
>>>sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
>>>in a hurricane zone?!)
>
>
>>It must be remembered that New Orleans has been sinking
>>at the rate of
>>3 feet per century. This has been accelerated due to the
>>deterioration of the Mississippi Delta.
>
>
> Agreed!
>
>
>>When the city was founded, it was a low lying
>>coastal city, just like
>>most coastal cities. At that time, there was plenty of Delta,
>>and it
>>looked like a fine place to build a port city.
>
>
> Sure - but that was centuries ago.
>
>
>>Over the years, as the geology changed, it was not at
>>such as fast rate
>>that relocation seemed necessary. Then as we learned
>>more, we found out
>>that essentially the city was doomed.
>>
>
> But how long has the sinking been known? How long ago did NO
> go below sea level?

I think it was in the mid 1800's that there started to be a concern.
Quite a while back.

> It's been known for years - decades - that if a big enough
> storm came ashore in the right place, NO would be in big
> trouble. A little more than a week ago it looked like
> Katrina would hit NO dead-on with full Category 5 force.
> Had that happened - and it was a real possibility - things
> would probably be even worse there than today.

hard to imagine, but I'll grant you that.

> Yet even with all that warning, the levee system was only good
> for a Category 3 storm. People kept building there. even as the ground
> kept sinking. Why?

People have a great capacity for self deception. People build in California along the fault lines, People live in "Tornado Alley". People build on the sides of active volcanoes, and don't move even when they are about to erupt. Building in a place that WILL eventually be underwater is just about par for the course.

> Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
> I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
> the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place beforehand?
> Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
> unusual thing.

There should have been buses brought in to evacuate people. There was ample notice of the magnitude of the storm.

> Or is that sort of thing too "liberal" for this era?

Yes, the idea of the Federal gvt helping people is too liberal. I hear a lot of grousing already about this not being the Federal Government's responsibility.

>
> Meanwhile, Americans keep building big expensive homes and
> buildings in lowlying coastal areas. And in places where the
> ground shakes every so often. Why?

See above. Of course, the real answer is stupidity.

> btw, it was just about 105 years ago that the big hurricane hit
> Galveston, Texas - with no advance warning. Look that one up....

Well, it's not exactly that they didn't have any warning....

> "Wasn't That A Mighty Storm" (with kudos to Tom Rush)

T'would appear so!

- Mike KB3EIA -

608. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:55:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126022108.929589.254280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118484

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >>>
> >>>not as I saw it and continue to see it
> >>>
> >>>simply disgreeing with is not lying Dave
> >>
> >>No it isn't. You aren't simply disagreeing. You posted deliberate
> >>falsehoods and now you are lying on top of your initial lies. Then
> >>again, you're an admitted liar.
> >
> >
> > No I am simply reading em the way I see em
> >
> > No falsehood at all
> >
> > why are you so threatened by that?
>
> You keep using the term "threatened". I don't feel threatened, Mark.
> You have manufactured stories. You have been called on them.
> Irrefutable proof that your stories are fabrications has been
> introduced. You continue to lie.

I use the term threatened for want of a better term

I have not manfucatured stories I have reported on my reading of what I
found when I did the search you inistsed I do

Indeed I still don't understand your beef nothing wrong with flirting
with her and yet you are in what looks like a rage over the suggestion

>
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>>No flasehood no malicious one
> >>>
> >>>Just calling your posts as I see em
> >>
> >>It is most interesting that you rant and rail at others as you call them
> >>all "lier", but that you deny, over and over, your own untruths, even in
> >>the face of easily obtainable proof.
> >

> >
> > If there was unturth id be prepared to admit it
>
> According to your own rules, you'd be prepared to tell further lies.

Yes indeed if I felt threatened nothing realy threatening her no reason
to lie

>
> > from where I am siting you wer exchanging a number of flirtaous pots
> > with a Bisexual female o a Hg mostly of peronal ads
>
> ...and since you are aware of the untruth of your statement, anyone can
> see that you would like about anything at any time.

I am aware of truth of that statement she is Bisexual by her own words
and you were flirting with her over what looks like a period of some
weeks

cut
> >>>>>No malice there
> >>>>
> >>>>There certainly was malice. You were corrected and even in the face of
> >>>>overwhelming evidence to the contrary, you continued the lies.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>more lies on your part
> >>
> >>See what I mean? You call others liars when the truth is evident. You
> >>aren't wrapped too tight.
> >
> >
> > no I don't see what you mean
> >
> > the turth is I see your post as flirtaous
> >
> > that is a fact
>
> Actually it isn't a fact. It is simply another of your claims. Google
> archives show otherwise.

Google archives can't show any such thing

It is a fact that I see your posting as flirtaous\

wether any one else does is another matter, but does not affect the
FACT I see them as such

More proof of your lack of conection to reality
>
> > that you can call them something else in your mind has nothing to do
> > with that fact
>

> You aren't living in reality.

I certainly am, you OTOH don't seem to be
cut down on the BS

> >>>>"My credibilty in this NG not important. I never claim to make
> >>>>point based on requiring that I be seen as honest here sso why should
> >>>>it bother me?"
> >>>>
> >>>> -- Mark Morgan June, 29, 2000
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>so?
> >>
> >>"So?" That's the best you can do? "So?" It is bad enough for you to
> >>be dishonest. You take it to a new level, trumpeting it from the rooftops.
> >
> >
> > It isn't bad at all as far as Im concerned I don't owe you turth esp
> > when you don't deliver it in reuturn
>
> You'd first have to be able to recognize truth. You can't.

I certainly you can't reconize turth from lies your opinions from facts
or that simple facts that not everyone has to see the same thing the
same wya you do

Grow up and stop your little temper tantruam, if you can

>
> > so is the answer
>
> What is the question?
>
> > You and Stevie keep projecting your values
>
> > are you so stupid you can't understand I don't accept them?
>
> Anyone can understand that you don't accept many values. Not everyone
> subscribes to the idea that you can manufacture any story you'd like,
> whether true or not.

More Davie fabrications, more lies

My values are simply different than your

>
> >>>This NG is pretty unimortant to me I have said so many time in many
> >>>ways
> >>
> >>...yet you find it important enough to show up on a regular basis these
> >>days.

> >
> >
> > It kills times
>
> So does watching paint dry.

But this is much more fun

as another poster (in a thread not so far away) put it, like the morbid
fasination of watching a slow motion train wreck or something like that

>
> >>>why are you so frighten of what I say?
> >>
> >>Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
> >>no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in
> >>redeeming qualities. I see you as pitiful.
> >
> >
> > Then why are you concerned about my posts?
>
> Why am I concerned? Because you've manufactured outright and deliberate
> lies about me, that's why. You seem to feel that you have complete
> liberty to do so.

One I have manufactured nothing. I have formed an opinion you disgree
with.

Two, in point of fact, if I CHOOSE I have complete liberty to do so,
just as you have (and exercise) the complete liberty to manufacture
lies about me my beliefs, my very existance

>
> >>In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called
> >>a very nice woman an elitist bitch.
> >
> >
> > Done neither
>
> You've done both, but you have to continue lying. It is your nature.

I have done neither

Stevie was merely reminded again that is to stay away from me or face
the results, and I have called a not nice woman an elitist bitch, a
label I point out she does not object too

No lie no continuing what wasn't started

grow up show a bit of class
>
> Dave K8MN

609. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 15:57:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126022229.977729.310390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118485

a much better peice Hans than Dans show us properly warts and merits
both

610. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 16:03:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126022620.247619.166590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118486

nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> gee I adknowledge your efforts and the improvement they show and all
> you can manage to do is play spelling cop

"acknowledge"

No...

You were spiteful and pontificating.

And YOU are no judge of any milestones of improvement, Markie.

> Yep the bullying bigoted stevie is back

Nope...The "Stevie" that doesn't tolerate liars, punks and
deceivers never left...He just had better things to do.

And your two posts to this thread prove it.

Steve, K4YZ

611. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 17:57:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dfkl9t$1f4a$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 118487

an_old_friend wrote:

> you this a radio Newsgroup right?
>
> last time I loked the Book of Genisis was a religous text with very
> little to do the ARS
>
> there are btter places for such content surely
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>news:UtednZ2dnZ0V8z3InZ2dnU17gd6dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>The water came from two sources. One was fresh water and the other salt
>>>>>>water from a storm surge. Water that was once some place else came to be
>>>>>>deposited in New Orleans and the world has suffered no increase or
>>>>>>decrease in the amount of water that exists in the world.

Is this group a good place for your fighting with Steve? Seems like
there might be better places for that.

Lets make a deal, Mark.

I'll quit posting off topic messages about religion, and you quit
posting your off topic bar brawl with K4YZ.

Is it a deal?

- Mike KB3EIA -

612. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 18:02:32 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <sPkTe.12450$FO3.2208@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118488

:)

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:OoOdnZ2dnZ11qCCKnZ2dnSKAgN6dnZ2dRVn-zJ2dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> Jerry wrote:
>
>> This is from the Sunday Pensacola News Journal:
>>
>> Ham-radio operators
>>
>> assist in rescue fforts
>>
>> Tallahassee ham-radio operators guided emergency workers through daring
>> helicopter rescue :, Friday of 1 ,500 patients and staff from two New
>> Orleans hospitals besieged by darkness and gunfire.
>>
>> Urged by rapidly rising water 8 feet deep in places and the growing
>> reality
>> that New Orleans had become a drowning pool, the operators fashioned a
>> satellite receptor atop an 8-story building in downtown Tallahassee.
>>
>> Three of them - Theo Titus, Gene Floyd and Bill Schmidt - boarded a
>> helicopter in Tallahassee on Wednesday and headed for New Orleans.
>>
>> Atop the garage at Tulane University Hospital and Clinic, they set up a
>> generator-powered .. ham radio with a satellite uplink.
>>
>> The men used that communication to direct pilots through the near-war
>> zone
>> as they evacuated decimated hospitals. "There were a lot of heroes in
>> this
>> operation," said operator Chuck Hall. Hall said the rescue was a small
>> victory, but bigger obstacles remain. Hospitals have to be rebuilt.
>> Patients
>> have to get well, and New Orleans still faces months of recovery. "We had
>> to
>> overcome some small hurdles today, but the big hurdles are in front of
>> us.".
>>
>>
>> If THAT isn't in the thick of it, I really don't know WHAT you really
>> want. I recall a thread recently where you insisted that Amateur Radio
>> had no "real" part in emergency operations and that most such
>> communications was handled withOUT the help of Amateur Radio. Yada Yada,

>> Yada. Much will be done by MANY agencies and groups-even people lending
>> backs and hands or a mere handkerchief to the effort. *Some* may be by
>> Morse; much of it not. Some will handled by satellite and other data
>> links as can be established like the gentlemen in the article. I am
>> seeing PLENTY of articles on TV and radio about hams in the thick of the
>> action--Even Larry King Live mentioned! The crux of your angst was not
>> the Morse issue, but the viability of Amateur Radio itself as a vital
>> part of our nation's communications infrastructure, which it is HAS been
>> since the beginning. Nothing puts the lie to your
>> ham-radio-is-not-vital-to-emergency-communications diatribe than this
>> horrific tragedy. NO! It is NOT the onlyone--no one's saying it is--but
>> it is certainly doing what it does best; serving the community and nation
>> in anyway it can. And there are thousands staying out of the way until
>> the phone rings, "Can YOU come and do....."? Or
>> relaying messages into and out of NO and other stricken area. MOST
>> amateurs that respond, whether it be some heroic effort or merely letting
>> a daughter know that her Daddy is safe via HF radio will do so without
>> expecting or receiving so much as a quick "Thanks, man". They wouldn't
>> have it any other way! :)
>>
>> J
>>
>>
>
> Jerry:
>
> What we have here are three individuals that: (1) two of them are either
> too dumb or too lazy to have every gotten a ham license, thus they are on
> the outside looking in, and they see what ham radio can do in assisting in
> emergencies and they can't be a part of it so it really chaps their butt,
> and (2) the other, who claims to be a ham is nothing more than a
> do-nothing baby, who just crys and whines about what others are doing
> because he is either too stupid or too lazy to do something himself.

613. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 18:28:38 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dfkn4m$1eo2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 118489

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
>>>>tell us about how to live.
>
>
>>>Yup - and how *not* to live.
>
>
>>>>That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
>>>>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
>>>>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy
>>>>these days
>>>>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all.
>
>
>>>Nothing new about that. Constantine, Cyril, the Crusades, and
>>>of course the Spanish Inquisition.
>
>
>>>"If Jesus came back, and saw what's going on in His name,
>>>He'd never stop throwing up." --Frederick, in Woody Allen's "Hannah and
>>>Her Sisters"
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>The closest thing that they are is a modern day version
>>>>of the Pharisees. Their trends are much more old
>>>>testament - therefore not sharing in the new
>>>>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer,
>>>>also proscribed
>>>>against by Jesus, they push religious domination of
>>>>government - same deal.
>>>
>>>
>>>They've had plenty of company in the past 2000 years...
>>>

>>>
>>>>While demanding that the first books of *their* bible
>>>
>>>
>>>Except it's not really "theirs" - particularly the first
>>>five books...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>(KJV) be taken as
>>>>absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, > they
>>>>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers > direct
>>>>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible.
>>>>What's up with that?
>>>
>>>
>>>It's a mystery, Mike. You just have to take it on faith.
>>>
>>>I find it interesting that the dietary and other laws of the "old"
>>>testament are ignored when inconvenient - just like
>>>the inconvenient teachings of Jesus.
>>>
>>>Fun fact: Which states do you think have the highest and lowest
>>>divorce rates - "conservative" red states or "liberal" blue states?
>>>
>>>"what God has joined together, let no man put asunder"...

Some of these good folks have figured out that they can receive
forgiveness for any of their sins, so they feel a lot better now about
sinning!

>>>
>>>
>>>>What is up with that is the modern
>>>>fundamentalist Christians are
>>>>falling for one of the oldest tricks
>>>>in the book - the false prophets.
>>>>
>>>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
>>>>the world was *not*
>>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
>>>>in 4004 BC
>>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
>>>
>>>
>>>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
>>>rested on the seventh day.
>>>
>>>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
>>
>>void, without form

>>
>>
>>>>There is no doubt in my mind that the present
>>>>day universe *was*
>>>>created billions of years ago, probably in an
>>>>event we call "the Big
>>>>Bang.
>>>
>>>
>>>There should always be doubt, Mike. The Big Bang
>>>cosmology is simply the best explanation we
>>>have now that fits all the scientific data. New
>>>data might require a new cosmology.
>>>
>>>That's one big difference between real and fake
>>>science. Real science is always open to new
>>>data and new explanations.
>>
>> Whereas the "science" practiced by these
>>fake practitioners is in looking for evidence that
>>supports their proposition-and only their proposition.
>
>
> Which isn't science at all.
>
>> When it gets fun is when they try to explain the
>>biblical flood as a verbatim event. The have yet to answer
>>two simple questions.
>>
>>Where did the water come from?
>>Where did the water go?
>>
>>I haven't found one yet that can answer the question, How much > water
>>would it take to cover the earth from sea level to 1 foot over > the top
>>of Mount Everest? And What effects would this extra mass have
>>on the
>>Earth?
>
>
> Well, if you melted the polar ice caps, the oceans would rise some, but
> not nearly enough. Precipitate out all the atmospheric water and the
> oceans would rise some more.
>
> But to flood the entire earth requires much more water than that.
>
> The flood story may have its origin in reality.

I don't doubt that a bit.

> There is some evidence that the Black Sea was once a fresh water lake -
> and a lot smaller than it is today. This would require that the strait

> near Constantinople/Istanbul was an isthmus back then.
>
> The evidence says that when the oceans rose at the end of the last
> ice age, water from the Mediterranean rose to the point where it
> overflowed the isthmus and flowed into what is now the Black Sea -
> raising its level and flooding any low=ying lakeside communities.
> Which have been well below sea level ever since.
>
> (sound familiar)
>
> Such a catastrophe would have been remembered a long time.

Yup. I am certain that some event in the past left a big impression on
our ancestors.

From their point of view, the entire earth was covered with water. I'd
bet that some survivalist by the name of Noah put his family on a boat,
and may have brought along some livestock. They rode the flood out, and
built a new homestead when it was over.

The oral traditions of the time probably enhanced the story with each
retelling. Then it gets written down, accepted as a good story over the
ages.

Now along comes fundamentalism, telling us we have to accept the entire
thing literal and verbatim.

>
>>>>I highly doubt that it was created by
>>>>a supreme being.
>
>
>>>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
>>>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?
>>
>>Why is not my concern, Jim. A supreme being may have created everything
>>yesterday, including all of our memories to the contrary.
>
>
> Like I quoted about the supernatural explaining nothing...

Keerect.

>
>> But I doubt it. Seems a incredibly roundabout way of doing things. 4.5
>>billion years to have people start thinking of "him" around 4000 years
>>ago. Not to mention all the times they got it wrong before this one came
>>along....
>>
>>
>>>>For what
>>>>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy

>>>>speculation on that.
>>>>
>>>
>>>You can explain anything by using the 'supernatural'. Which means the
>>>'supernatural' explains nothing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

614. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 18:29:29 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dfkn69$1eo2$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 118490

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:UtednZ2dnZ0V8z3InZ2dnU17gd6dnZ2dRVn-yp2dnZ0@adelphia.com...
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>>The water came from two sources. One was fresh water and the other salt
>>>>water from a storm surge. Water that was once some place else came to be
>>>>deposited in New Orleans and the world has suffered no increase or
>>>>decrease in the amount of water that exists in the world.
>>>
>>>
>>>Do you know this from first-hand experience, or what?
>>>
>>>The world
>>>
>>>
>>>>covering biblical flood is quite a different matter.
>>>
>>>
>>>The known world in the biblical flood...
>>>
>>>>A huge amount of water that doesn't exist here toady would have to had
>>>>existed at that
>>>>time.
>>>
>>>
>>>Why?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>It would have had to be someplace else before the flood, and then
>>>>after the flood, it would have had to go some place else.
>>>
>>>
>>>Much like the water in New Orleans. It wasn't there two weeks ago.
>>>Three months from now it will be somewhere else. Will you be able to
>>>account for all of the water then?
>>
>>Hang on a second, Brian. The amount of water needed to raise the level of
>>water coverage to 29,035 feet above sea level does not simply come and go

>>like the water that flooded New Orleans and Mississippi and Alabama. How
>>much water do you figure that is?
>>
>
>
> Actually Mike, I've always figured that the Biblical flood was something
> like the catastrophe we've seen in New Orleans that grew in magnitude as the
> story tellers passed it down by word of mouth and wove in the religious
> aspects for many generations before it became a written document. Afterall,
> there weren't policemen around so the perceived "wrath of God" would have
> been a reasonable tool to convince people to behave in society.

And that makes tremendous sense to me!

- Mike KB3EIA -

615. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 19:25:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126034734.251185.242580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118491

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> you this a radio Newsgroup right?

Was that a sentence?

> last time I loked the Book of Genisis was a religous text with very
> little to do the ARS

"looked" "Genesis" "religious"

> there are btter places for such content surely

"better"

What better place than in a forum of persons supposedly dedicated
to "communicating"...?!?!

What's that matter? Make you nervous?

Steve, K4YZ

616. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 20:25:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IWmTe.6357$4P5.5081@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118493

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 06 Sep 2005 00:28:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>><4p5Te.7605$_84.1562@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>>>And to think this moron thinks anyone actually wished for a disaster that
>>>>has hit the Gulf Coast of the US is beyond stupid. He is braindead.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's hardly inconceivable. Just go to any field day and listen to how
>>>they talk. Those wannabe-hero hams practically wet their pants at the
>>>thought of a disaster and the opportunity to "show their stuff" and
>>>"step up to the plate". Just like you do, Dan.
>>
>>I've participated in Field Day many times over the course of 40+ years,
>>from several geographic locations and with a number of different groups.
>>I have never heard anything like you've described from any participant.
>
>
> Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code

During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio operation, Mark.

You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.

Dave K8MN

617. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 21:08:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6znTe.7099$Wd7.4698@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118498

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>>not as I saw it and continue to see it
>>>>>
>>>>>simply disgreeing with is not lying Dave
>>>>
>>>>No it isn't. You aren't simply disagreeing. You posted deliberate
>>>>falsehoods and now you are lying on top of your initial lies. Then
>>>>again, you're an admitted liar.
>>>
>>>
>>>No I am simply reading em the way I see em
>>>
>>>No falsehood at all
>>>
>>>why are you so threatened by that?
>>
>>You keep using the term "threatened". I don't feel threatened, Mark.
>>You have manufactured stories. You have been called on them.
>>Irrefutable proof that your stories are fabrications has been
>>introduced. You continue to lie.
>
>
> I use the term threatened for want of a better term

There are plenty of words which could be used to describe the way I
feel. "Threatened" is not among them.

> I have not manfucatured stories I have reported on my reading of what I
> found when I did the search you inistsed I do

Your new word "manfucatured" is pretty descriptive but I'll stick with
"manufactured", "false" or "fabricated". Those words are apt
descriptions of your dishonest and untruthful actions.

> Indeed I still don't understand your beef nothing wrong with flirting
> with her and yet you are in what looks like a rage over the suggestion

Rage? I'm not in a rage at all, Mark. I'm insistent that you have
written deliberate and malicious falsehoods. There are no shades of
gray here. There is nothing open to interpretation. There are just
lies told by you.

>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>No flasehood no malicious one
>>>>>
>>>>>Just calling your posts as I see em
>>>>
>>>>It is most interesting that you rant and rail at others as you call them
>>>>all "lier", but that you deny, over and over, your own untruths, even in
>>>>the face of easily obtainable proof.
>>>
>>>
>>>If there was unturth id be prepared to admit it
>>
>>According to your own rules, you'd be prepared to tell further lies.
>
>
> Yes indeed if I felt threatened nothing realy threatening her no reason
> to lie

It is quite apparent from viewing your past posts to this newsgroup, you
don't need a reason to lie. You simply lie.

>>>from where I am siting you wer exchanging a number of flirtaous pots
>>>with a Bisexual female o a Hg mostly of peronal ads
>>
>>...and since you are aware of the untruth of your statement, anyone can
>>see that you would like about anything at any time.
>
>
> I am aware of truth of that statement she is Bisexual by her own words...

Sorry, that is a lie.

> and you were flirting with her over what looks like a period of some
> weeks

Sorry, that is another lie.

> cut
>
>>>>>>>No malice there
>>>>>>
>>>>>>There certainly was malice. You were corrected and even in the face of
>>>>>>overwhelming evidence to the contrary, you continued the lies.
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>>more lies on your part
>>>>
>>>>See what I mean? You call others liars when the truth is evident. You
>>>>aren't wrapped too tight.
>>>
>>>
>>>no I don't see what you mean
>>>
>>>the turth is I see your post as flirtaous
>>>
>>>that is a fact
>>
>>Actually it isn't a fact. It is simply another of your claims. Google
>>archives show otherwise.
>
>
> Google archives can't show any such thing

Yes, Mark, the archives do show what was said and by whom. The facts
are quite different than your claims.

> It is a fact that I see your posting as flirtaous\

You see yourself as a victim. You see Dee as an elitist bitch. That
doesn't mean that those things are true. It only means that your point
of view is skewed.

> wether any one else does is another matter, but does not affect the
> FACT I see them as such

Huh-uh, Mark. A fact would be that you *say* you see them as such--but
you're a known liar.

> More proof of your lack of conection to reality

I don't think you are anyone I'd want to ask about reality.

>>>that you can call them something else in your mind has nothing to do
>>>with that fact
>>
>>You aren't living in reality.
>
>
> I certainly am, you OTOH don't seem to be
> cut down on the BS

I'm cutting down some BS right now--your own.

>>>>>>"My credibilty in this NG not important. I never claim to make
>>>>>>point based on requiring that I be seen as honest here sso why should
>>>>>>it bother me?"
>>>>>>
>>>>>> -- Mark Morgan June, 29, 2000

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>so?
>>>>
>>>>"So?" That's the best you can do? "So?" It is bad enough for you to
>>>>be dishonest. You take it to a new level, trumpeting it from the rooftops.
>>>
>>>
>>>It isn't bad at all as far as Im concerned I don't owe you turth esp
>>>when you don't deliver it in reuturn
>>
>>You'd first have to be able to recognize truth. You can't.
>
>
> I certainly you can't reconize turth from lies your opinions from facts
> or that simple facts that not everyone has to see the same thing the
> same wya you do

Mark, I know you've been told this before, but it bears repeating. You
are a twit.

> Grow up and stop your little temper tantruam, if you can
>
>
>>>so is the answer
>>
>>What is the question?
>>
>>
>>>You and Stevie keep projecting your values
>>
>>>are you so stupid you can't understand I don't accept them?
>>
>>Anyone can understand that you don't accept many values. Not everyone
>>subscribes to the idea that you can manufacture any story you'd like,
>>whether true or not.
>
>
> More Davie fabrications, more lies

Please point out the fabrications or lies in my statement.

> My values are simply different than your

...than my what?

>>>>>This NG is pretty unimortant to me I have said so many time in many
>>>>>ways
>>>>
>>>>...yet you find it important enough to show up on a regular basis these
>>>>days.

>>>
>>>
>>>It kills times
>>
>>So does watching paint dry.
>
>
> But this is much more fun
>
> as another poster (in a thread not so far away) put it, like the morbid
> fasination of watching a slow motion train wreck or something like that

...only you're on the train.

>>>>>why are you so frighten of what I say?
>>>>
>>>>Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
>>>>no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in
>>>>redeeming qualities. I see you as pitiful.
>>>
>>>
>>>Then why are you concerned about my posts?
>>
>>Why am I concerned? Because you've manufactured outright and deliberate
>>lies about me, that's why. You seem to feel that you have complete
>>liberty to do so.
>
>
> One I have manufactured nothing. I have formed an opinion you disgree
> with.

No, you have spread deliberate lies. You are a stranger to the truth.

> Two, in point of fact, if I CHOOSE I have complete liberty to do so,
> just as you have (and exercise) the complete liberty to manufacture
> lies about me my beliefs, my very existance

You may choose to fabricate and lie regarding your very existence. You
have no right to do so about my existence. If you choose to lie and you
are found out, you must pay the consequences for your actions. That is
personal responsibility.

>>>>In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called
>>>>a very nice woman an elitist bitch.
>>>
>>>
>>>Done neither
>>
>>You've done both, but you have to continue lying. It is your nature.
>
>
> I have done neither

I have to insist. You've done both and on more than one occasion.

> Stevie was merely reminded again that is to stay away from me or face
> the results...

The results? You threatened to kill him with a gun. The words you used exist. You can't deny them.

> and I have called a not nice woman an elitist bitch, a
> label I point out she does not object too

I have never observed Dee to be other than pleasant. In her recent dealings with you, you have tested her. She has still not stooped to your level. You have no way to know if she objects to being called such a name by you.

> No lie no continuing what wasn't started

That's right but you are continuing and you did those things initially.

> grow up show a bit of class

Who would tell you if something were classy or not?

Dave K8MN

618. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 21:26:58 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <SK6dnUGAJegiZ4DeRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118492

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> It's hardly inconceivable. Just go to any field day and listen to how
>> they talk. Those wannabe-hero hams practically wet their pants at the
>> thought of a disaster and the opportunity to "show their stuff" and
>> "step up to the plate". Just like you do, Dan.
>
>
> I've participated in Field Day many times over the course of 40+ years,
> from several geographic locations and with a number of different groups.
> I have never heard anything like you've described from any participant.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

And the frankieboy the cb king hasn't either. Just something he makes up
to make him feel good because he can't get a ham license.

619. As Telecom Reels From Storm Damage, Ham Radios Hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 21:28:15 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d87d3f87b21701c9896bf@news.citlink.net>
Source record: 118499

The reporter doesn't have a clue.
The Motorola guy should know better.

By *CHRISTOPHER RHOADS*
Staff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL
September 6, 2005; Page A19

MONROE, La. -- In a shelter here, 300 miles north of New Orleans, Theo McDaniel took his plight to a young man fiddling with a clunky, outdated-looking radio.

Mr. McDaniel, a 25-year-old barber, had evacuated New Orleans with his wife and two small children more than a week ago and since then had had no contact with his brother or his aunt. The last he heard, his 42-year-old aunt was clinging to her roof.

"We've got to get a message down there to help them," he said. The man at the radio sent the information to the emergency-operations center across town, which relayed it to rescue units in New Orleans. Later in the weekend, Mr. McDaniel learned that food and water were on the way to his trapped brother and his brother's young family. He had heard nothing about his aunt.

With Hurricane Katrina having knocked out nearly all the high-end emergency communications gear, 911 centers, cellphone towers and normal fixed phone lines in its path, ham-radio operators have begun to fill the information vacuum. "Right now, 99.9% of normal communications in the affected region is nonexistent," says David Gore, the man operating the ham radio in the Monroe shelter. "That's where we come in."

In an age of high-tech, real-time gadgetry, it's the decidedly unsexy ham radio -- whose technology has changed little since World War II -- that is in high demand in ravaged New Orleans and environs. The Red Cross issued a request for about 500 amateur radio operators -- known as "hams" -- for the 260 shelters it is erecting in the area. The American Radio Relay League, a national association of ham-radio operators, has been deluged with requests to find people in the region. The U.S. Coast Guard is looking for hams to help with its relief efforts.

Ham radios, battery operated, work well when others don't in part because they are simple. Each operator acts as his own base station, requiring only his radio and about 50 feet of fence wire to transmit messages thousands of miles. Ham radios can send messages on multiple channels and in myriad ways, including Morse code, microwave frequencies and even email.

Then there are the ham-radio operators themselves, a band of radio enthusiasts who spend hours jabbering with each other even during normal times. They are often the first to get messages in and out of disaster areas, in part because they are everywhere. (The ARRL estimates there are 250,000 licensed hams in the U.S.) Sometimes they are the only source of information in the first hours following a disaster. "No matter how good the homeland-security system is, it will be overwhelmed," says Thomas Leggett, a retired mill worker manning a ham radio in the operations center here. "You don't hear about us, but we are there."

Slidell, a town 30 miles northeast of New Orleans, was directly hit by the hurricane and remains virtually cut off from the outside world. One of the few, if not the only, communications links is Michael King, a retired Navy captain, operating a ham radio out of a Slidell hospital.

"How are you holding up, Mike?" asked Sharon Riviere into a ham-radio microphone at Monroe's operations center. She and her husband, Ron, who is the president of the Slidell ham-radio club, had evacuated before the storm to the home of some fellow ham-radio enthusiasts in Monroe. She said Mr. King had been working 20-hour days since the storm hit.

Crackling static and odd, garbled sounds followed her question to Mr. King. Then he replied: "It's total devastation here. I've got 18 feet of water at my house. Johnny's Café down there has water up to its roof."

Ms. Riviere asked about her own home, which is not far from Mr. King's. "It's full of mud," Mr. King replied. "Looks like someone's been slugging it out in there."

Ham radios are often most effective as one link in a chain of communication devices. Early last week, someone trapped with 15 people on a roof of a New Orleans home tried unsuccessfully to get through to a 911 center on his cellphone. He was able to call a relative in Baton Rouge, who in turn called another relative, Sybil Hayes, in Broken Arrow, Okla. Ms. Hayes, whose 81-year-old aunt was among those stranded on the New Orleans roof, then called the Red Cross in Broken Arrow, which handed the message to its affiliated ham-radio operator, Ben Joplin.

Via stations in Oregon, Idaho and Louisiana, Mr. Joplin got the message to rescue workers who were able to save the 15 people on the roof, according to the ARRL, based in Newington, Conn. "We are like the Pony Express," says the 26-year-old Mr. Gore, wearing black cowboy boots. "One way or the other, even by hand, we will get you the message."

Mr. Gore, who is in charge of the northeastern district of Louisiana for the Amateur Radio Emergency Service, has spent a lot of time the past week at the Monroe shelter, helping evacuees try to track missing friends and relatives.

Last Monday, Danita Alexander of Violet, La., came to a ham operator in the Monroe shelter asking about her 96-year-old grandfather, Willie Bright, who had been in a nursing home in New Orleans. The next day, she

got word back from a ham operator that he had been safely transferred to a shelter near New Orleans. "We can't do enough of these," says Mark Ketchell, who runs the ARES branch in Monroe.

Nevertheless, the ham-radio community feels under threat. Telecom companies want to deliver broadband Internet connections over power lines, which ham-radio operators say distorts communications in the surrounding area. Since hams are "amateurs," there is little lobbying money to fight such changes, they add.

The hams also get little respect from telecommunications-equipment companies, such as Motorola Inc. "Something is better than nothing, that's right," says Jim Screeden, who runs all of Motorola's repair teams in the field for its emergency-response business. "But ham radios are pretty close to nothing." Mr. Screeden says ham radios can take a long time to relay messages and work essentially as "party lines," with multiple parties talking at once. Says Mr. Leggett at the Monroe operations center: "We are the unwanted stepchild. But when the s- hits the fan, who are you going to call?"

*Write to *Christopher Rhoads at christopher.rhoads@wsj.com <mailto:christopher.rhoads@wsj.com>

620. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 21:28:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <SK6dnUCAJeiwZoDeRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118494

an Old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>old_friend doesn't want to go to the trouble to help, he just wants to
>>whine and cry about others that do. He is part of the crowd we see that
>>only complain about what is wrong in this disaster, but do nothing to help.
>
>
> more lies Cmdr
>
> I done my part to help may do if needed
>

No lies, and you have to be the dumbest person I have ever run across on
this newsgroup, with lennieboy and frankie the cb king running a close
second.

621. Re: Markie lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 21:50:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126043416.386280.43640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118400

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Nobody finds death threats amusing.
> > > >
> > > and yet I have been epected to endure one myself
> > >
> > Not from anyone in this newsgroup, you haven't.
> >
> sure have from you

I haven't made any threats.

> > > Stevie has threatened to kill me in the past
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> yep

Paranoia.

Get help.

> > > So If he shows up here I will assume he is serious
> > >
> > Never "assume" anything.
> >
> Bul##### if you show up here I will assmue you are serious

"Bull#####"

If I am in your neighborhood it will be to testify at your
confinement hearing.

> > > > Frankly, they scare the hell out of me. <cut>
> > > >
> > > Me too which is why I have reacted to Stevies threat as strongly as I
> > > have
> > >
> > All you've done is demonstrate severe paranoid delusions.

>
> Not at all

Absolutely "at all".

Several years of it.

> > > Interesting that you take ME to task for asserting my right to self
> > > defense and
> >
> > You're just running off at the mouth and playing up the "I'M A
> > VICTIM! I'M A VICTIM" role again.
>
> Not at all

Absolutely "at all".

The very post I quoted was a prime example.

> > > >If you'd make such a serious threat
> > > > about a cyber-encounter on the internet, what would you do about a real-life
> > > > encounter on the streets of your town?
> > >
> > > I have made no such threat. I have threatened if you like that if
> > > Stevie chooses to encoutner me here at my place I will react violently
> >
> > Your only "reaction" will be to wet your pants and cry for mama.
>
> no you show up and at least one of us will die

Now THAT is a THREAT!

(Actually, more like a suicidal ideation)

> > > > I'm certain the police in your town/county will NOT be amused.
> > >
> > > No if Stevie shows they will not be amused at the results
> >
> > Uh huh.
> >
> > > Neither will I and neither will Stevie
> >
> > Why? With all I have seen and done in the last week, watching you
> > drop to your knees trying to cover the wet spot in your jeans will be
> > quite the comic relief.
>
> Stevie you are stupid

Nope.

> you show you will not seeing much of anything

Was that a sentence? What did it mean?

> > > But again Hans if feel I am acting illegaly call the cops, but assmuing
> > > you tell them the truth being that I have siad if a particaular person
> > > show up I will try and kill before he gets a chance to the same to me I
> > > am not worried about them
> >
> > Markie...EACH and EVERY one of your "he's trying to kill me" posts
> > makes you appear even MORE ludicrous and MORE infantile that the one
> > before.
>
> I never said you were trying to kill
>
> merely threatening

You keep vacillitating on what you "mean", Markie...

You can't keep your stories straight.

Why?

> > No one in this forum, least of all me, is even REMOTELY impressed
> > by your "threats" to "get violent" over ANY provocation.
>
> You know you may not be but it seem you are wrong about he NG stevie

No, I'm not.

Who here cares about Markie's threats to "get violent"...?!?!

Personally, I find it comical.

Steve, K4YZ

622. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 21:51:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2c6dnWcIq4bwnYPeRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118495

an_old_friend wrote:
> a much better peice Hans than Dans show us properly warts and merits
> both
>

Whatever the hell that sentence is supposed to mean.

623. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 21:53:23 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118496

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> See him? No, he keeps a very low profile. He lives in constant fear.

Hiding behind the curtains.

624. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:02:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126044140.452616.149630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118401

K4YZ wrote:
> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
> care transports of critical patients from Southern Mississippi and
> Southeastern Louisiana. I was on four ground transports and four air
> transports.

You know, Steve, I recall some #1 A-holes on this group calling in to question my service in Somalia, doubting my even being there, and demanding proof.

And as much as I'd like to give you the same $hit that you gave me, I'm not going to do it. I'm not going to ask you for your medical credentials to be there. I'm not going to ask you to post your travel vouchers proving you were there. I'm not going to ask you to post the names of people who saw you there to verify that you were there. I'm not going to mention that I never saw you on the CNN or Fox News that you ask us to witness. I'm not going to do it because I'm a bigger man than you have been.

Instead I'm going to thank you for your service as a medical professional in our time of need. I'm even going to invite you to continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a bigger man than you have in the recent past. Good luck to you, best wishes, and thank you.

Thank you for your service in MS and LA.

bb

625. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:07:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126044422.746075.203510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118402

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K0HB:
> >>>>>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> >>>>>>>of the authors
> >>>>>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> >>>>>>>in the area of
> >>>>>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
> >>>>>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
> >>>>>>>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> >>>>>>>to disprove the idea....
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
> >>>>>>>The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
> >>>>
> >>>> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
> >>>> who are just slap happy to tell us that!
> >>>
> >>>Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
> >>>President Bush is a Christian?
> >>
> >> You tell me, Brian! I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> >>tell us about how to live
> >
> >
> > One cool dude?
>
> Why yes, one cool dude. Seems like an irreverent thing to say, eh? I

> wonder what Jesus' opinion of me calling him a cool dude would be?

I wonder?

> >> That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
> >>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
> >>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy these days
> >>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all. The closest
> >>thing that they are is a modern day version of the Pharisees. Their
> >>trends are much more old testament - therefore not sharing in the new
> >>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer, also proscribed
> >>against by Jesus, They push religious domination of government - same deal.
> >>
> >> While demanding that the first books of *their* bible (KJV) be taken as
> >>absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, they
> >>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers direct
> >>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible. What's up
> >>with that?
> >>
> >> What is up with that is the modern fundamentalist Christians are
> >>falling for one of the oldest tricks in the book - the false prophets.
> >>
> >> That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that the world was *not*
> >>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October in 4004 BC
> >>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles at home.
> >>
> >> There is no doubt in my mind that the present day universe *was*
> >>created billions of years ago, probably in an event we call "the Big
> >>Bang. I highly doubt that it was created by a supreme being. For what
> >>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy speculation
> >>on that.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > There! I knew you had a lot more to say than mere nide remarks about
> > God. I hope you feel better.
>
> If you look deeply enough, the snide remarks are not really about God.
> They are about the people who would form God in their own image.
>
> Problem is, that is an awful lot of people. Most, in fact.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Their faith says that they are made in God's image. And as you make
fun of them, you make redicule their faith.

Aren't you supposed to be an educated man?

626. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:09:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <8MmdndJKcJY1mYPeRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118497

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> In an age of high-tech, real-time gadgetry, it's the decidedly unsexy ham
> radio -- whose technology has changed little since World War II -- that is in
> high demand in ravaged New Orleans and environs. The Red Cross issued a request
> for about 500 amateur radio operators -- known as "hams" -- for the 260
> shelters it is erecting in the area.

Wonder why they didn't just issue a request for frankieboy and all his
cb "good buddies" to show up? Do you think it could have anything to do
with the fact that they would only add to the confusion of the disaster?

> The U.S. Coast Guard is looking for hams to help with its
> relief efforts.

Not looking for the "ten fer thar" cb boys to help? For shame.

> With Hurricane Katrina having knocked out nearly all the high-end emergency
> communications gear, 911 centers, cellphone towers and normal fixed phone lines
> in its path, ham-radio operators have begun to fill the information vacuum.
> "Right now, 99.9% of normal communications in the affected region is
> nonexistent," says David Gore, the man operating the ham radio in the Monroe
> shelter.
> "That's where we come in."

More bad news for lennieboy, frankieboy the cb king, and an_old_halfwit.

>
> Ham radios, battery operated, work well when others don't in part because
> they are simple. Each operator acts as his own base station, requiring only his
> radio and about 50 feet of fence wire to transmit messages thousands of miles.
> Ham radios can send messages on multiple channels and in myriad ways,
> including Morse code, microwave frequencies and even email.

Heavens!! Hams using an outdated mode such as Morse code, what is the
world of ham radio coming to?
>
> Then there are the ham-radio operators themselves, a band of radio
> enthusiasts who spend hours jabbering with each other even during normal times.
> They are
> often the first to get messages in and out of disaster areas, in part because
> they are everywhere. (The ARRL estimates there are 250,000 active licensed hams
> in
> the U.S.) Sometimes they are the only source of information in the first hours
> following a disaster. "No matter how good the homeland-security system is, it
> will be overwhelmed," says Thomas Leggett, a retired mill worker manning a ham
> radio in the operations center here. "You don't hear about us, but we are

> there."

Gee, wonder where all that cell phone cabability went to that some claim
make ham radio obselete in times of disaster?

>
> Ham radios are often most effective as one link in a chain of communication
> devices. Early last week, someone trapped with 15 people on a roof of a New
> Orleans home tried unsuccessfully to get through to a 911 center on his
> cellphone.

Oh no, you mean the very system that would make ham radio obselete in
times of a disaster wouldn't work?

>
> The hams also get little respect from telecommunications-equipment companies,
> such as Motorola Inc. "Something is better than nothing, that's right," says
> Jim Screeden, who runs all of Motorola's repair teams in the field for its
> emergency-response business. "But ham radios are pretty close to nothing." Mr.
> Screeden says ham radios can take a long time to relay messages and work
> essentially as "party lines," with multiple parties talking at once.

And a Motorola system that isn't working, such as the ones in NO, can't
deleiver a message at all.

627. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:21:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126045315.419820.281870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118403

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >>>OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
> >>>affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
> >>>ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
> >>>sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
> >>>in a hurricane zone?!)

> >>It must be remembered that New Orleans has been sinking
> >>at the rate of
> >>3 feet per century. This has been accelerated due to the
> >>deterioration of the Mississippi Delta.

> > Agreed!

> >>When the city was founded, it was a low lying
> >>coastal city, just like
> >>most coastal cities. At that time, there was plenty of Delta,
> >>and it
> >>looked like a fine place to build a port city.

> > Sure - but that was centuries ago.

> >>Over the years, as the geology changed, it was not at
> >>such as fast rate
> >>that relocation seemed necessary. Then as we learned
> >>more, we found out
> >>that essentially the city was doomed.

> > But how long has the sinking been known? How long ago did NO
> > go below sea level?
>
> I think it was in the mid 1800's that there
> started to be a concern.
> Quite a while back.
>
Yet the expansion was to *lower* ground.

> > It's been known for years - decades - that if a big enough
> > storm came ashore in the right place, NO would be in big
> > trouble. A little more than a week ago it looked like
> > Katrina would hit NO dead-on with full Category 5 force.
> > Had that happened - and it was a real possibility - things
> > would probably be even worse there than today.

>
> hard to imagine, but I'll grant you that.

I posted a link to the warnings of 8 days ago. There would
have been fewer left to save...

> > Yet even with all that warning, the levee system was only good
> > for a Category 3 storm. People kept building there. even as
> > the ground kept sinking. Why?
>
> People have a great capacity for self deception. People
> build in
> California along the fault lines, People live in "Tornado
> Alley". People
> build on the sides of active volcanoes, and don't move even
> when they
> are about to erupt. Building in a place that WILL eventually be
> underwater is just about par for the course.

There are building and fire codes to protect people from their
own and other's ignorance. That concept needs to expand.

> > Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
> > I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
> > the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place
> > beforehand?
> > Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
> > unusual thing.
>
> There should have been buses brought in to evacuate people.
> There was
> ample notice of the magnitude of the storm.
>
> > Or is that sort of thing too "liberal" for this era?

> Yes, the idea of the Federal gvt helping people is too
> liberal.

I kinda expected...

> I hear
> a lot of grousing already about this not being the Federal
> Government's responsibility.

?? From whom?

It seems to me that once the Administration got a clue, they
began devoting serious resources. Why it took so long for
them to get a clue is another issue. But I don't see anyone
saying it's not the Fed's job.

What I see as an issue that will be ignored is why there are
so many resources after the fact, but not before.

> > Meanwhile, Americans keep building big expensive homes and
> > buildings in lowlying coastal areas. And in places where the
> > ground shakes every so often. Why?
>
> See above. Of course, the real answer is stupidity.

Or ignorance.
>
> > btw, it was just about 105 years ago

September 8, 1900.

> > that the big hurricane
> > hit
> > Galveston, Texas - with no advance warning. Look that one
> > up....
>
>Well, it's not exactly that they didn't have any warning....
>
In 1900 they had none. 2005 was different...

> > "Wasn't That A Mighty Storm" (with kudos to Tom Rush)
>
> T'would appear so!
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

628. Re: Stevie confesses to mental dischage from Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:25:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126045521.569812.142780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118404

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > > > It could have been spiritual. If Steve had been a Chaplain and lost
> > > > > his faith...
> > > >
> > > > I had to think about this one for a few days but indeed if Stevie wants
> > > > to claim chaplain status and loss of faith I'll let do it and apologize
> > > > for saying it must have been a mental
> > >
> > > As will I.
> > >
> > > But Steve has never mentioned that he was a chaplain, only claims being
> > > an "A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS, and supposed to be aircraft maintenance
> > > of some sort.
> >
> > but when has changing his story bothered Stevie before
>
> Changes his story or won't finish his story. Like the time he
> mentioned that he was in seven hostile actions...

Perhaps Steve has renewed his faith in the past week. Time will tell.

629. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:31:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y72dnc7gBuqYhIPeRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 118405

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:08dqh1h8n3qralltt875tijh6o5kg3pr5t@4ax.com...
> On 5 Sep 2005 18:27:06 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> <1125970026.774939.208270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>It's been known for years - decades - that if a big enough
>>storm came ashore in the right place, NO would be in big
>>trouble. A little more than a week ago it looked like
>>Katrina would hit NO dead-on with full Category 5 force.
>>Had that happened - and it was a real possibility - things
>>would probably be even worse there than today.
>
>
> The first hurricane to flood the city happened in 1927. Hurricane
> Betsy hit the city in 1965, flooded half the city and left 60,000
> homeless. It's not like they didn't know this could happen.
>
>
>>Yet even with all that warning, the levee system was only good
>>for a Category 3 storm. People kept building there. even as the ground
>>kept sinking. Why?
>
>
> Because of better weather prediction technology, and assurances from
> the state and federal governments that they would provide assistance
> in such a disaster. And because a thriving economy had already been
> established -- i.e, "thar's gold in them thar swamps".
>
>
>>Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>>I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
>>the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place beforehand?
>
>
> That's a question that will need to be answered by the state and
> federal governments in the coming months.
>
>
>>Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>>unusual thing.
>>
>>Or is that sort of thing too "liberal" for this era?

>>
>>Meanwhile, Americans keep building big expensive homes and
>>buildings in lowlying coastal areas. And in places where the
>>ground shakes every so often.
>
>
> And around active volcanoes, in tornado hot-zones, at the bottom of
> steep mountain slopes, on muddy hillsides, etc.
>

Tornado "hot zones" just happen to coincide with some of the best farmland
in this country.

>
>> Why?
>
>
> Because people think "it can't happen to me".

Besides there is no place on earth that isn't subject to some type of
natural disaster or another.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

630. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:39:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <866sh1lmt69sg80mbuda1tt4qoia7kgpbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 118406

On 6 Sep 2005 15:02:20 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1126044140.452616.149630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
>> care transports of critical patients from Southern Mississippi and
>> Southeastern Louisiana. I was on four ground transports and four air
>> transports.
>
>You know, Steve, I recall some #1 A-holes on this group calling in to
>question my service in Somalia, doubting my even being there, and
>demanding proof.
>
>And as much as I'd like to give you the same $hit that you gave me, I'm
>not going to do it. I'm not going to ask you for your medical
>credentials to be there. I'm not going to ask you to post your travel
>vouchers proving you were there. I'm not going to ask you to post the
>names of people who saw you there to verify that you were there. I'm
>not going to mention that I never saw you on the CNN or Fox News that
>you ask us to witness. I'm not going to do it because I'm a bigger man
>than you have been.
>
>Instead I'm going to thank you for your service as a medical
>professional in our time of need. I'm even going to invite you to
>continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a
>bigger man than you have in the recent past. Good luck to you, best
>wishes, and thank you.
>
>Thank you for your service in MS and LA.
>
>bb

You've been suckered. In case you haven't noticed, "Steve" is prone to
fabrications. The -real- reason he was gone was probably because he
went camping with his mommy and daddy during the Memorial Day weekend.
If you have any doubts, just look at what he wrote: "....the Feeble
Five have just ceased to have any substance for me. They are just too
petty." Then look how he jumps right back into flame wars with the
same people. What a hypocrite.

If he really had a life-changing experience and want's anyone to
believe his newest stories, it might help if he comes clean about his
claims about his military service and "seven hostile actions". But
until that happens he doesn't have any credibility at all.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

631. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:44:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ep6sh1t1jkd67dt69jfoatov3qcmc3ccis@4ax.com>
Source record: 118407

On Tue, 6 Sep 2005 18:31:50 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
<Y72dnc7gBuqYhIPeRVn-3w@comcast.com>:

<snip>
>>> Why?
>>
>>
>> Because people think "it can't happen to me".
>
>Besides there is no place on earth that isn't subject to some type of
>natural disaster or another.

Some places are better than others. Look how many times a SoE has been
declared in Florida as compared to, say, Idaho.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

632. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:49:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <g8WdnZEUl5mtgIPeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118408

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1126045315.419820.281870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>

[snip]

>>
>> > Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>> > I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
>> > the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place
>> > beforehand?
>> > Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>> > unusual thing.
>>
>> There should have been buses brought in to evacuate people.
>> There was
>> ample notice of the magnitude of the storm.
>>

It is my understanding that both the city of New Orleans and the state of
Louisiana actually have a plan to totally evacuate New Orleans but they
choose NOT to activate it.

[snip]

> It seems to me that once the Administration got a clue, they
> began devoting serious resources. Why it took so long for
> them to get a clue is another issue. But I don't see anyone
> saying it's not the Fed's job.
>
> What I see as an issue that will be ignored is why there are
> so many resources after the fact, but not before.
>

I've also heard that one of the major differences is that Louisiana did not
follow the example of Alabama and Mississippi in activating their state
quardsmen in advance of the hurricane.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

633. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 22:50:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H8CdnZ2dnZ1OpSfdnZ2dnR-Ag96dnZ2dRVn-052dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118409

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ftaTe.6924$Wd7.2206@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

{snip]

>
> Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
> no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in redeeming
> qualities. I see you as pitiful.
>
> In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called a
> very nice woman an elitist bitch.
>
> Dave K8MN

Thank you for the compliment.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

634. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 23:08:40 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126048120.679663.87430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118410

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 2 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1,
corrected from previous 2 Sep post due to 11 filings added on
6 Sep 05 for 2 Sep 05. Elimination/Retention tabulation:

ALL to Date Since FR Notice
------------- ---------------
Grand Total 2134 166

Indeterminate (note 1) 115 12

Value for Percentages 2192 154

Against NPRM (note 2) 606 [29.18%] 46 [29.87%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1153 [55.51%] 75 [48.70%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 318 [15.31%] 33 [21.43%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

635. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 23:11:05 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126048265.948687.100740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118411

From: "Bill Sohl" on Tues 6 Sep 2005 06:00

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:1125971794.648117.266690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>(SNIP)
>
>> Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
>> an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
>> radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
>> of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
>> children, he could not come up with a single example.
>>
>> As far as anyone knows, he's never told
>> FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.
>
>With all due respect, if the FCC has NOT acted on
>Len's age-requirement idea by now, it can be assumed
>to be a dead idea and rrequires no "please discard"
>note/memo/letter.

Bill, I made that "notorious/error-filled" on (horrors!)
minimum age SUGGESTION on page 14 of my 14-page Reply to
Comments of Michael Deignan that was received by FCC on

>>> 13 January 1999 <<< !!!

After six and a half years have elapsed...AND the issuance
of Report and Order 99-412 in late December, 1999, the FCC
hasn't "acted on it" AND I've given up on it. :-)

Jimmie Miccolis CANNOT give up on it. He must bring it out
again and again and again AS IF it were as notorious as
the 14-part message from Japan that was delivered to the
U.S. State Department AFTER the Pearl Harbor attack on
December 7th, 1941 !!! :-)

For the rest of the readers unaquainted with the details of
"Restructuring" (of Amateur Radio Service), WT Docket 98-143
contains ALL the Comments and Replies to Comments on the
NPRM that led up to the final Report and Order 99-412 that
established the "Restructuring." WT Docket 98-143 is still
accessible on-line at the FCC's ECFS, all the filed documents,
including all those filings made AFTER the official close of
Comments on 15 January 1999.

One Steven J. Robeson made a "reply to comments" on my document on 25 January 1999, 10 days AFTER the official close of Comments. Not a pretty thing, Robeson wanted me to abrogate my Rights of Free Speech as a citizen of the United States. Jimmie no say one thing about that. :-)

Jimmie should state ALL things about a subject instead of Sinning By Omission to attempt some puerile character assassination that he thinks is "rightful" or "just."

That is irritating to myself and others, but I will simply CORRECT Jimmie's ERRORS as needs be, to point out where any reader can go and check for themselves and see where the REAL errors and other wrongful things are...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

636. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 23:13:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1opTe.7140$Wd7.383@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118412

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:ftaTe.6924$Wd7.2206@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
> {snip]
>
>
>>Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
>>no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in redeeming
>>qualities. I see you as pitiful.
>>
>>In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called a
>>very nice woman an elitist bitch.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Thank you for the compliment.

Look how I've left myself open! Mark will now accuse me of flirting
with you.

Dave K8MN

637. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-06 23:19:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126048759.821164.145210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118413

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Mon 5 Sep 2005 15:13

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
>> >>>Dave Heil wrote:

>> >> It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
>> >> worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
>> >> Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
>> >> ANY diplomacy there.
>>
>> There you go, Brian. Len's made another factual error. It won't be
>> five years until the end of this year.
>
>Fair enough. Should I call him a liar at this point? Do I threaten
>bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives? Maybe I need
>to start a new thread about how Len might be homosexual or an idiot or
>both?

Absolutely! Shout "Liar! Liar!" in here every chance you get,
charge that my pants are on fire (or 'fiar')!!!

"FACTUAL ERROR!" "FACTUAL ERROR!" :-)

Davie's Dossier has ALL the FACTS in it and ALL MUST KNOW THE
EXACT DETAILS IN IT...and never, ever remark anything FACTUALLY
WRONG ABOUT IT!!!! :-)

...okay, just where IS that dossier where we are all supposed to
KNOW ALL THE DETAILS of the Hero Diplomat from Foggy Bottom?

>Do I need to do anything?

Yes, Brian, you must sound the Hue and Cry!

Death to the Infidels who dare challenge the Defenders of the
True Faith in amateur radio, the Faithful of the Church of
St. Hiram!

>> As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
>> there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
>> type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
>> go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
>
>And there you have it.

My Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (Merriam Co., 1961) has it -

Demarche - noun, French origin, "a course of action. especially
one involving a change in policy, as in diplomacy."

Okay, let's use "demarche" in a sentence: All de morsemen do
demarche in de ranks to de same code drumbeat.

>> >>>I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
>> >>>WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
>> >>>cracks me up.
>> >>
>> >> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
>> >> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
>> >> morsemanship in the 1980s...
>> >
>> > He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
>>
>> He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
>
>What frequency?

Musta bin infrequently. REAL HF communicators in the post-WW2
period didn't need to "synchronize" their TTYs. Those machines
synchronize from each other, were designed that way. If some
HF net wanted to SET UP SCHEDULES of transmission, frequencies,
etc., then they would have use ANOTHER TTY circuit, usually the
"order wire" circuit used for command and control.

Of course, with a small embassy having only one radio, it's a
bit difficult.

>> >> claiming that "radio communications
>> >> paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
>> >> modes."
>> >
>> > He was probably doing something wrong.
>>
>> Actually, I maintained the lowest QSY rate of any AFRECONE station.
>
>Is there an award for keeping folks on frequency too long?

It might be a plaque with stylized hands and drum.

>> That part about claiming that propagation paths were so poor that there
>> were times when they wouldn't support encrypted RTTY communications? It
>> was absolutely true. Then again, neither you nor Len know where the
>> other end of my circuit was. That'll just have to remain a mystery.
>
>I've operated on encrypted circuits as well. That we lacked enough
>frequencies to operate 24/7 is true.

Sunnuvagun. I shoulda chewed out the ACAN-Starcom-DCS people for
keeping that Asmara-Manila link into USAEUR network going 24/7.

I shoulda told them they COULDN'T DO THAT! [Davie told us in
the future 30 decades afterwards!]

>> >> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
>> >> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
>> >> effort of foreign policy.
>>
>> Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
>
>I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
>too heilish.

Davie is from the gubmint...he here to help!

>I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias. And when you apparently deny
>your professional radio experience, what are we to think? I think that
>you choose to not recognize your professional radio experience because
>it might get in the way of your denigrations of Len.

That sounds pretty close to what everyone has read!

>> > "Sorry Len, State Dept. Communications >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi,
>> > hi!
>>
>> You wrote it. It is your quote. Don't be surprised if you see it again.
>
>"Hi, hi!" A joke. You're welcome to bring it up again at anytime - as
>a joke.

JOKES ARE NOT ALLOWED IN A MORSEMAN GROUP!!! :-)

>> >> Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
>> >> teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
>> >> elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
>> >> get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
>> >> was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
>> >> Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis
>> >> from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
>> >> "equatorial region" of the African continent]
>> >
>> > I would consider it so. But I only have a degree in Geography.
>>
>> With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
>> Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
>> speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
>> figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
>> piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
>> worked into. Good luck.
>
>Ascension.

Davie has achieved ultimate beatitude and ASCENDED?!? WOW!

Tsk, tsk, tsk. All that misdirection to avoid agreement that
Eritrea is close to the equator. :-)

If anyone wants, I can reveal the TTY message header addresses
(four-letter) used by the Army -and- State Department in relaying
TTY messages. But, those were used in the 1950s and 1960s and
well before Davie demarched into dis quadrangle.

>> >> Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
>> >> are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur
>> >> radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
>> >> would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
>> >> radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
>> >> amateur radio license grants.
>> >>
>> >> That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
>> >> U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.
>>
>> Len has discussed. Len had commented. I'm guessing that Len has
>> talked, though there's no evidence of it here. Len has insulted. Len
>> has denigrated. Len has belittled.
>
>I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?

Len has been insulted. Len has been denigrated. Len has been
belittled. :-) [it's all in Google archives!]

>> As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
>> of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
>
>Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur
>radio.

Davie ain't IN the FCC, he's just a participant and a stray
participle trying to sentence others who don't agree with him.

>> > Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
>>
>> Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
>> floor rooms.
>
>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.

Must be some "tarpaper" underlayment on the outside walls... :-)

>> >> Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
>> >> schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
>> >> "typographical" errors in spelling.
>> >
>> > Dave is smug.
>>
>> I certainly can be from time to time.
>
>>From time to time?

Allatime! Plus arrogant, abusive, dictatorial to all that don't
agree with him. :-)

>> Len used a couple of words three
>> or more times each. He spelled them in the same incorrect way each
>> time. They were not typographical errors. They were Len's spelling
>> errors. Did you know that Len claims to be a PROFESSIONAL writer?
>
>Aye. You should see my son's textbooks....

WOW! All professional writers are SUPPOSED to to absolutely pure
"professional work" when they aren't getting paid? I didn't know
that!

Yes, I AM a professional writer in that I get money for work
submitted for publishing. Several periodicals know that. The
IRS knows that and the Franchise Tax Board of California knows
that from the "miscellaneous income" tax forms submitted. I
get nothing by being in here...with all the "nothing" morsemen.

Heil is welcome to contact the IRS and Franchise Tax Board to
dispute the above, but such would be wasted effort on his part.

>> >> I did not mention any Hun
>> >> who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
>> >> a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
>> >> of Hunnish to English.
>>
>> > Dave must be multi-lingual.
>>
>> If the word belligerent is based in Latin, then I am. Len seems to
>> think it was used by Attila and his horde.
>
>What word would attila have used?

"HEIL!" :-)

"Beware the Hun in the sun!" - old RAF fighter pilot expression.
:-)

I half expected Jimmie Noserve to come in here and write that
quote! He MUST have read of it in his renowned historical reading!

If anyone wants to learn Hunnish, they need only get a Capital One
credit card and reform an invading Hun. It's in the ads. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

638. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 00:00:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <h79sh15mkn4b0ertpac9a96vi3lutn507q@4ax.com>
Source record: 118414

On Tue, 6 Sep 2005 18:49:36 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
<g8WdnZEUl5mtgIPeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>:

>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:1126045315.419820.281870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>
>[snip]
>
>>
>>> > Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>>> > I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
>>> > the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place
>>> > beforehand?
>>> > Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>>> > unusual thing.
>>>
>>> There should have been buses brought in to evacuate people.
>>> There was
>>> ample notice of the magnitude of the storm.
>>>
>
>It is my understanding that both the city of New Orleans and the state of
>Louisiana actually have a plan to totally evacuate New Orleans but they
>choose NOT to activate it.

http://gov.louisiana.gov/Press_Release_detail.asp?id=973
http://gov.louisiana.gov/Press_Release_detail.asp?id=983

>[snip]
>
>> It seems to me that once the Administration got a clue, they
>> began devoting serious resources. Why it took so long for
>> them to get a clue is another issue. But I don't see anyone
>> saying it's not the Fed's job.
>>
>> What I see as an issue that will be ignored is why there are
>> so many resources after the fact, but not before.
>>

>
>I've also heard that one of the major differences is that Louisiana did not
>follow the example of Alabama and Mississippi in activating their state
>quardsmen in advance of the hurricane.

They did, about 3600 of them. And Louisiana activated their NG
-before- both Alabama and Mississippi.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

639. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 00:14:04 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126052044.930872.40890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118415

From: "Dee Flint" on Tues 6 Sep 2005 18:31

>"Frank Gilliland" <w¿nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote in message
>> On 5 Sep 2005 18:27:06 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in

>Tornado "hot zones" just happen to coincide with some of the best farmland
>in this country.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. FACTUAL ERROR!

The San Joaquin Valley of California is NOT in "tornado alley"
of the midwest. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

640. Fraudulent Websites for Hurricane Katrina Victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 00:16:00 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <u0csh1d8vr0gds729t4j9ei9pcsi4mqj5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 118418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Please be aware:

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF CYBER SECURITY AND CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE
COORDINATION CYBER INFORMATION BULLETIN
DATE ISSUED:
September 1, 2005

SUBJECT:
Fraudulent Websites for Hurricane Katrina Victims

OVERVIEW:
We have received information indicating that Internet domain names are
being created that could be used to lure unwary users into visiting
potentially malicious web sites.

BULLETIN:
Relief and charity efforts for the victims of Hurricane Katrina began
immediately after the hurricane devastated the Gulf Coast area.
Shortly
thereafter, web sites began to appear which were designed to defraud
unsuspecting users. Some of the activities include soliciting
donations
for seemingly charitable purposes, attempting to collect personal
information through phishing scams and also spreading malware to
unsuspecting users. Over the past few days, domain names that redirect
users to malicious web sites have appeared online, in addition to
email
scams requesting donations for those impacted by the hurricane. While
some of these sites and messages may be legitimate, many are not. At
the
time of this bulletin, please be aware that the following domains are
reported to be suspicious:

katrinahelp.com
katrinacleanup.com
katrinarelief.com.

Please note that this is not an exhaustive list and additional domains
may continue to appear.

In addition to fraudulent web sites, opportunists may use this event
as
a vehicle for other types of online attacks. For example, email
messages that claim to contain attachments with photos, video, or
other

information about Hurricane Katrina may actually contain viruses,
worms,
or other malware.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

We recommend that staff be advised to:

* Validate the relief fund or charity through a known reliable
entity. Please refer to the FEMA link below for a list of reputable
disaster relief resources for Hurricane Katrina.
* When a message containing a request for donations for these
victims appears, do not respond unless you are certain it is a valid
message.
* Avoid visiting untrusted web sites.
* Avoid opening email messages and attachments that claim to
contain video, photos, or other information relating to relief
solicitation for Hurricane Katrina.
* Follow standard best practices for email and web browsing
security.

REFERENCES:

SANS:
http://isc.sans.org/diary.php?date=2005-08-31
<http://isc.sans.org/diary.php?date=2005-08-31>

Washington Post:
http://blogs.washingtonpost.com/securityfix/2005/08/katrina_phishin.html
<http://blogs.washingtonpost.com/securityfix/2005/08/katrina_phishin.htm
l>

Better Business Bureau:
http://www.give.org/news/disaster_pr.asp
<http://www.give.org/news/disaster_pr.asp>

Federal Emergency Management Agency:
http://www.fema.gov/press/2005/resources_katrina.shtm
<http://www.fema.gov/press/2005/resources_katrina.shtm>

NYS Cyber Security & Critical Infrastructure Coordination
30 South Pearl Street, Suite P2
Albany, NY 12207
(518) 474-0865
7x24 CSAC 1-866-787-4722

CSCIC PGP Public Keys are available at:
http://www.cscic.state.ny.us/security/incident_reporting/public_keys/ind
ex.htm
<http://www.cscic.state.ny.us/security/incident_reporting/public_keys/in
dex.htm>

641. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 00:17:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126052247.929303.89840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118416

From: Frank Gilliland on Sep 6, 3:39 pm

>On 6 Sep 2005 15:02:20 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
>>K4YZ wrote:

>>> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
>>> care transports of critical patients from Southern Mississippi and
>>> Southeastern Louisiana. I was on four ground transports and four air
>>> transports.
>
>>You know, Steve, I recall some #1 A-holes on this group calling in to
>>question my service in Somalia, doubting my even being there, and
>>demanding proof.

[Yea, verily, Brian...all that be archived in Google. :-)]

>>And as much as I'd like to give you the same $hit that you gave me, I'm
>>not going to do it. I'm not going to ask you for your medical
>>credentials to be there. I'm not going to ask you to post your travel
>>vouchers proving you were there. I'm not going to ask you to post the
>>names of people who saw you there to verify that you were there. I'm
>>not going to mention that I never saw you on the CNN or Fox News that
>>you ask us to witness. I'm not going to do it because I'm a bigger man
>>than you have been.
>
>>Instead I'm going to thank you for your service as a medical
>>professional in our time of need. I'm even going to invite you to
>>continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a
>>bigger man than you have in the recent past. Good luck to you, best
>>wishes, and thank you.

>You've been suckered.

Lots of us readers know that already, Frank. :-)

>In case you haven't noticed, "Steve" is prone to fabrications.

Yessir! EMOTIONAL fabrications. He's keyed to anything
emotional, seems to live for that.

> The -real- reason he was gone was probably because he
>went camping with his mommy and daddy during the Memorial Day weekend.

According to Dudly (whoever he really is), his father passed
away some years ago of a heart attack. Posted in here very
emotionally and upset. Dudly has never mentioned his mother
that I can recall.

>If you have any doubts, just look at what he wrote: "....the Feeble
>Five have just ceased to have any substance for me. They are just too
>petty." Then look how he jumps right back into flame wars with the
>same people. What a hypocrite.

Dudly USES the "emotional ploy" thing as misdirection so that
he can do the flamethrower thing at others. When others
complain he jumps back to the emotional story thing and berates
them even more AS IF they were responding to the emotional story.

Dudly has had NO "humbling experience." He doesn't KNOW how to
be humble.

>If he really had a life-changing experience and want's anyone to
>believe his newest stories, it might help if he comes clean about his
>claims about his military service and "seven hostile actions". But
>until that happens he doesn't have any credibility at all.

He won't. He can't.

I'm just going to challenge Dudly (whoever he really is) to POST
some kind of PROOF of his latest "humbling epiphany." Something
OTHER than his usual flamethrowing-at-others-for-not-believing-him
posts.

He could have used more correct terms such as "ten-klick-stare"
instead of the "thousand-yard" one (of Vietnam War origin) he
wrote.

Dudly COULD have been on SOME transports, but the "count" and
"type" are irrelevant. He never said EXACTLY what he did ON
them, which is the usual general, vague description he has
used in the past postings of his claims.

"Transport" is a generic term for any sort of vehicle. A ground
ambulance is a "transport." So is a medevac helo and even a
hospital ship. Dudly could have been doing his claimed ordinary
ambulance duty, thus his use of "transport" would be vaguely and
generally "correct." A spaceship is a "transport" in the same
general way. :-)

Dudly ain't got no PROOF. All we can be sure of is that Dudly
is gonna pitch another hissy-fit and cuss out all his detractors
for "not believing him." We can take that to the bank. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

642. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 00:25:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126052737.219841.28130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118417

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Sep 6, 3:39 pm
>
>
> >On 6 Sep 2005 15:02:20 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
> >>K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> >>> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
> >>> care transports of critical patients from Southern Mississippi and
> >>> Southeastern Louisiana. I was on four ground transports and four air
> >>> transports.
> >
> >>You know, Steve, I recall some #1 A-holes on this group calling in to
> >>question my service in Somalia, doubting my even being there, and
> >>demanding proof.
>
> [Yea, verily, Brian...all that be archived in Google. :-)]
>
>
> >>And as much as I'd like to give you the same $hit that you gave me, I'm
> >>not going to do it. I'm not going to ask you for your medical
> >>credentials to be there. I'm not going to ask you to post your travel
> >>vouchers proving you were there. I'm not going to ask you to post the
> >>names of people who saw you there to verify that you were there. I'm
> >>not going to mention that I never saw you on the CNN or Fox News that
> >>you ask us to witness. I'm not going to do it because I'm a bigger man
> >>than you have been.
> >
> >>Instead I'm going to thank you for your service as a medical
> >>professional in our time of need. I'm even going to invite you to
> >>continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a
> >>bigger man than you have in the recent past. Good luck to you, best
> >>wishes, and thank you.
>
>
> >You've been suckered.
>
> Lots of us readers know that already, Frank. :-)
>
> >In case you haven't noticed, "Steve" is prone to fabrications.
>
> Yessir! EMOTIONAL fabrications. He's keyed to anything
> emotional, seems to live for that.

>
> > The -real- reason he was gone was probably because he
> >went camping with his mommy and daddy during the Memorial Day weekend.
>
> According to Dudly (whoever he really is), his father passed
> away some years ago of a heart attack. Posted in here very
> emotionally and upset. Dudly has never mentioned his mother
> that I can recall.
>
>
> >If you have any doubts, just look at what he wrote: "....the Feeble
> >Five have just ceased to have any substance for me. They are just too
> >petty." Then look how he jumps right back into flame wars with the
> >same people. What a hypocrite.
>
> Dudly USES the "emotional ploy" thing as misdirection so that
> he can do the flamethrower thing at others. When others
> complain he jumps back to the emotional story thing and berates
> them even more AS IF they were responding to the emotional story.
>
> Dudly has had NO "humbling experience." He doesn't KNOW how to
> be humble.
>
> >If he really had a life-changing experience and want's anyone to
> >believe his newest stories, it might help if he comes clean about his
> >claims about his military service and "seven hostile actions". But
> >until that happens he doesn't have any credibility at all.
>
> He won't. He can't.
>
> I'm just going to challenge Dudly (whoever he really is) to POST
> some kind of PROOF of his latest "humbling epiphany." Something
> OTHER than his usual flamethrowing-at-others-for-not-believing-him
> posts.
>
> He could have used more correct terms such as "ten-klick-stare"
> instead of the "thousand-yard" one (of Vietnam War origin) he
> wrote.
>
> Dudly COULD have been on SOME transports, but the "count" and
> "type" are irrelevant. He never said EXACTLY what he did ON
> them, which is the usual general, vague description he has
> used in the past postings of his claims.
>
> "Transport" is a generic term for any sort of vehicle. A ground
> ambulance is a "transport." So is a medevac helo and even a
> hospital ship. Dudly could have been doing his claimed ordinary
> ambulance duty, thus his use of "transport" would be vaguely and
> generally "correct." A spaceship is a "transport" in the same
> general way. :-)

>
> Dudly ain't got no PROOF. All we can be sure of is that Dudly
> is gonna pitch another hissy-fit and cuss out all his detractors
> for "not believing him." We can take that to the bank. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

As much as it goes against my better judgement, I'm still going to give Steve the stage to show how the past week has changed his life. It is up to him to fly or flop, and I won't predict which he'll do. It's up to him.

643. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 00:52:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126054351.538656.28180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118419

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Mon 5 Sep 2005 15:13
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
> >> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >> >> It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
> >> >> worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
> >> >> Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
> >> >> ANY diplomacy there.
> >>
> >> There you go, Brian. Len's made another factual error. It won't be
> >> five years until the end of this year.
> >>
> >Fair enough. Should I call him a liar at this point? Do I threaten
> >bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives? Maybe I need
> >to start a new thread about how Len might be homosexual or an idiot or
> >both?
>
> Absolutely! Shout "Liar! Liar!" in here every chance you get,
> charge that my pants are on fire (or 'fiar')!!!
>
> "FACTUAL ERROR!" "FACTUAL ERROR!" :-)
>
> Davie's Dossier has ALL the FACTS in it and ALL MUST KNOW THE
> EXACT DETAILS IN IT...and never, ever remark anything FACTUALLY
> WRONG ABOUT IT!!!! :-)
>
> ...okay, just where IS that dossier where we are all supposed to
> KNOW ALL THE DETAILS of the Hero Diplomat from Foggy Bottom?
>
> >Do I need to do anything?
>
> Yes, Brian, you must sound the Hue and Cry!
>
> Death to the Infidels who dare challenge the Defenders of the
> True Faith in amateur radio, the Faithful of the Church of
> St. Hiram!

Heil is all about Heil. Everyone else's stuff stinks.

644. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 01:00:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126054817.474547.208880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118420

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Lighten up, Hans.
>
> My doctor said my weight is (172#) is ideal for my height.
>
> Beep beep!
> de Hans, K0HB

Got a photo of that in a flight suit? Hi!

645. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 01:13:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126055595.729812.179910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118421

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:

> > When it gets fun is when they try to explain the
> > biblical flood as a verbatim event. The have yet to answer
> > two simple questions.
> >
> > Where did the water come from?
> > Where did the water go?
> >
> > I haven't found one yet that can answer the question, How much > water
> > would it take to cover the earth from sea level to 1 foot over > the top
> > of Mount Everest? And What effects would this extra mass have
> > on the
> > Earth?
>
> Well, if you melted the polar ice caps, the oceans would rise some, but
> not nearly enough. Precipitate out all the atmospheric water and the
> oceans would rise some more.

So the environmentalists are all wet?

> But to flood the entire earth requires much more water than that.
>
> The flood story may have its origin in reality.
>
> There is some evidence that the Black Sea was once a fresh water lake -
> and a lot smaller than it is today. This would require that the strait
> near Constantinople/Istanbul was an isthmus back then.

Think hard. What would that area be called today?

Hint: We spent most of the cold war counting the Russian ships and subs
passing through there. And we're almost approaching a radio-related
topic.

> The evidence says that when the oceans rose at the end of the last
> ice age, water from the Mediterranean rose to the point where it
> overflowed the isthmus and flowed into what is now the Black Sea -
> raising its level and flooding any low=ying lakeside communities.
> Which have been well below sea level ever since.
>
> (sound familiar)

Sounds like a big flood.

> Such a catastrophe would have been remembered a long time.

Perhaps even to the present day. Especially if it covered all of the known world at the time.

646. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 01:24:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126056243.027692.268580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118422

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> >>>>tell us about how to live.

> >>>Yup - and how *not* to live.

> >>>>That being said, I think that many people who are
> >>>>proclaiming
> >>>>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
> >>>>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy
> >>>>these days
> >>>>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all.

> >>>Nothing new about that. Constantine, Cyril, the Crusades, and
> >>>of course the Spanish Inquisition.

> >>>"If Jesus came back, and saw what's going on in His name,
> >>>He'd never stop throwing up." --Frederick, in Woody
> >>>Allen's "Hannah and Her Sisters"

> >>>>The closest thing that they are is a modern day version
> >>>>of the Pharisees. Their trends are much more old
> >>>>testament - therefore not sharing in the new
> >>>>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer,
> >>>>also proscribed
> >>>>against by Jesus, they push religious domination of
> >>>>government - same deal.

> >>>They've had plenty of company in the past 2000 years...

> >>>>While demanding that the first books of *their* bible

> >>>Except it's not really "theirs" - particularly the first
> >>>five books...

> >>>>(KJV) be taken as
> >>>>absolutely literal, despite two different versions
> >>>>of creation, they
> >>>>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers > direct
> >>>>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible.
> >>>>What's up with that?
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>It's a mystery, Mike. You just have to take it on faith.
> >>>
> >>>I find it interesting that the dietary and other laws of the "old"
> >>>testament are ignored when inconvenient - just like
> >>>the inconvenient teachings of Jesus.
> >>>
> >>>Fun fact: Which states do you think have the highest
> >>>and lowest
> >>>divorce rates - "conservative" red states or
> >>>"liberal" blue states?

> >>>"what God has joined together, let no man put asunder"...
>
> Some of these good folks have figured out that they can receive
> forgiveness for any of their sins, so they feel a lot better
> now about sinning!

> >>>>What is up with that is the modern
> >>>>fundamentalist Christians are
> >>>>falling for one of the oldest tricks
> >>>>in the book - the false prophets.
> >>>>
> >>>>That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that
> >>>>the world was *not*
> >>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October
> >>>>in 4004 BC
> >>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles > at home.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Actually, Genesis says it took six days - because the Creator
> >>>rested on the seventh day.
> >>>
> >>>Rush job, too. Left a lot of holes....
> >>
> >>void, without form
> >>
> >>
> >>>>There is no doubt in my mind that the present
> >>>>day universe *was*
> >>>>created billions of years ago, probably in an
> >>>>event we call "the Big
> >>>>Bang.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>There should always be doubt, Mike. The Big Bang
> >>>cosmology is simply the best explanation we
> >>>have now that fits all the scientific data. New
> >>>data might require a new cosmology.
> >>>
> >>>That's one big difference between real and fake
> >>>science. Real science is always open to new

> >>>data and new explanations.
> >>
> >> Whereas the "science" practiced by these
> >>fake practitioners is in looking for evidence that
> >>supports their proposition-and only their proposition.

> > Which isn't science at all.

> >> When it gets fun is when they try to explain the
> >>biblical flood as a verbatim event. The have yet to answer
> >>two simple questions.
> >>
> >>Where did the water come from?
> >>Where did the water go?
> >>
> >>I haven't found one yet that can answer the question, How much > water
> >>would it take to cover the earth from sea level to 1 foot over > the top
> >>of Mount Everest? And What effects would this extra mass have
> >>on the
> >>Earth?

> > Well, if you melted the polar ice caps, the oceans would rise > > some, but
> > not nearly enough. Precipitate out all the atmospheric water > > and the
> > oceans would rise some more.

> > But to flood the entire earth requires much more water than
> > that.

> > The flood story may have its origin in reality.

> I don't doubt that a bit.
>
> > There is some evidence that the Black Sea was
> > once a fresh water lake -
> > and a lot smaller than it is today. This would
> > require that the strait
> > near Constantinople/Istanbul was an isthmus back then.

> > The evidence says that when the oceans rose
> > at the end of the last
> > ice age, water from the Mediterranean rose
> > to the point where it
> > overflowed the isthmus and flowed into what
> > is now the Black Sea -
> > raising its level and flooding any low=ying
> > lakeside communities.
> > Which have been well below sea level ever since.
> >
> > (sound familiar)
> >
> > Such a catastrophe would have been remembered a long time.
>

> Yup. I am certain that some event in the past
> left a big impression on
> our ancestors

> From their point of view, the entire earth was
> covered with water. I'd
> bet that some survivalist by the name of Noah put
> his family on a boat,
> and may have brought along some livestock. They
> rode the flood out, and
> built a new homestead when it was over.

The big question is how did that person know to do it?

> The oral traditions of the time probably enhanced the story
> with each
> retelling. Then it gets written down, accepted as a good story > over the ages.

Yep.
>
> Now along comes fundamentalism, telling us we have to accept
> the entire thing literal and verbatim.
>
While ignoring the important lessons of the story!

> >>>>I highly doubt that it was created by
> >>>>a supreme being.

> >>>Why? Couldn't the Supreme Being have set it all
> >>>in motion, and the Bang was just the method?

> >>Why is not my concern, Jim. A supreme being may have created > >>everything
> >>yesterday, including all of our memories to the contrary.

> > Like I quoted about the supernatural explaining nothing...
>
> Keerect.
>
> >
> >> But I doubt it. Seems a incredibly roundabout way of
> >> doing things.

So what? To us humans, 100 years is a long lifetime. To geology
or evolution it's a blink of time.

> >>4.5
> >>billion years to have people start thinking of "him" around
> >>4000 years
> >>ago. Not to mention all the times they got it wrong before this one came
> >>along....

So what? Nothing wronge with people believing whatever religion they
want, without proof, as long as they don't call it "science" or try to
force it on others.

> >>>>For what
> >>>>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy
> >>>>speculation on that.

> >>>You can explain anything by using the 'supernatural'. Which means the
> >>>'supernatural' explains nothing.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

647. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:03:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BfqdnU5GW8Pn14PeRVn-1A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118423

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>K0HB:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
>>>>>>>>>of the authors
>>>>>>>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
>>>>>>>>>in the area of
>>>>>>>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
>>>>>>>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
>>>>>>>to disprove the idea....
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
>>>>>>who are just slap happy to tell us that!
>>>>>

>>>>>Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
>>>>>President Bush is a Christian?
>>>>
>>>> You tell me, Brian! I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
>>>>tell us about how to live
>>>
>>>
>>>One cool dude?
>>
>> Why yes, one cool dude. Seems like an irreverent thing to say, eh? I
>>wonder what Jesus' opinion of me calling him a cool dude would be?
>
>
> I wonder?
>
>
>>>> That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
>>>>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
>>>>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy these days
>>>>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all. The closest
>>>>thing that they are is a modern day version of the Pharisees. Their
>>>>trends are much more old testament - therefore not sharing in the new
>>>>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer, also proscribed
>>>>against by Jesus, They push religious domination of government - same deal.
>>>>
>>>> While demanding that the first books of *their* bible (KJV) be taken as
>>>>absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, they
>>>>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers direct
>>>>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible. What's up
>>>>with that?
>>>>
>>>> What is up with that is the modern fundamentalist Christians are
>>>>falling for one of the oldest tricks in the book - the false prophets.
>>>>
>>>> That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that the world was *not*
>>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October in 4004 BC
>>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles at home.
>>>>
>>>> There is no doubt in my mind that the present day universe *was*
>>>>created billions of years ago, probably in an event we call "the Big
>>>>Bang. I highly doubt that it was created by a supreme being. For what
>>>>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy speculation
>>>>on that.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>There! I knew you had a lot more to say than mere nide remarks about
>>>God. I hope you feel better.
>>

>> If you look deeply enough, the snide remarks are not really about God.
>>They are about the people who would form God in their own image.
>>
>> Problem is, that is an awful lot of people. Most, in fact.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Their faith says that they are made in God's image. And as you make
> fun of them, you make redicule their faith.

I ridicule many things.

So where do we stop, Brian? Is it when they call for the government to assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like? Is it when they call for holy war? Is it when they launch inquisitions? Kill people in the name of an Exorcism? Is it when a Catholic priest is expelled from the UAR after serving a jail sentence for the crime of evangelizing without a permit? Is it when the preacher stands in the pulpit and declares that if you vote for a certain political party, you have to leave the church? And in my own case, where the local fundies put such a stranglehold on the school system that not only is evolution not taught at all, but that no teaching about any dinosaurs or any animal that didn't live in modern time was taught or discussed. My "sex ed" class consisted of one session of naming of various STDs, and that was it. That is it. less than an hour. I've seen the other side, and it isn't pretty.

There is one problem with religious tolerance, and that is that many religions have no concept of tolerance themselves.

> Aren't you supposed to be an educated man?

Supposed to be. Didn't find out about a lot of things that I should have known until after I graduated High School.

- Mike KB3EIA -

648. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:13:55 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <BEWUOGMC38602.1763310185@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118424

In article <S4aTe.6159$4P5.353@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> What out-of-banders would those be?

Why, those out of band Frenchmen you like to work on 6 meters, the ones you brag about working. Pay attention.

> For you, "online privacy" equates to online necessity.

No.

> You've been given the boot by a number of ISPs.

No, I haven't. But then you think Roger is the only one who uses anonymous remailers.

> Additionally, you need to hide your activities from the authorities.

I don't have to "hide my activities" from anybody, dunce. Perhaps you have something to hide, since you are projecting your own paranoia onto others. What else are you hiding?

> That works fine unless your computer is seized.

Not going to happen, dummy. Why would it be seized? Because you say so?

> Face it, Roger, you're about the least popular guy on the
> entire internet.

First of all, I'm not "Roger" and secondly, you aren't the most popular guy either, judging from the Google archives.

> See him?

You seem to behind every post that disagrees with you. Which is up to the thousands in number.

> No, he keeps a very low profile. He lives in constant fear.

I doubt that. And you see him beind every anonymous post. He certainly hasn't anything to be afraid of. Especially from you.

> His only outlet is making anonymous newsgroup posts. He has to be
> careful, you see.

Have you had this paranoia long? Why does he have to "be careful?" You are stalking him, aren't you?

> > How do you know he doesn't check
> > in?
>
> He? You write of yourself as "he"?

"Roger" is a male's name, and using correct English grammar, "he" is correct if you are referring to another person if that person is male. If I was writing referring to myself, I would have written, "How do you know I don't check in?". Didn't they teach you how to communicate as part of your orientation to the State Department's errand boy service?

> > Are you stalking him?
>
> For what possible purpose?

Sounds like you are stalking him. You keep track of what he does, even speculates where he listens and so forth. You seem to have put out quite a bit of information on him. Looks like you are stalking him.

> Your statement makes no sense.

Naturally, you are too stupid to understand what it means.

> You're incorrect. It is working just fine.

Then get your hearing aid batteries replaced.

>Thanks for your concern.

Just looking out for the less fortunate.

> > Or you need your hearing aid batteries
> > replaced.
>
> The fan in my computer doesen't run on hearing aid batteries.

Nobody said it did. How did the former State Department errand boy ever communicate with his betters, the Foreign Service officers, with not any better communications skills then you are demonstrating.
Or is that why you had the "early retirement?"

Perhaps your eyesight is as bad as your hearing.

> Again things sound like something to you. Are you hearing usenet voices?

Your Pee Wee Herman impersonation doesn't work. Try something new. Oh, that's right, you lack the ability.

> Why would you be concerned with my home, Roger/not Roger?

Why do you think "Roger" is the only one who posts anonymously? Perhaps you'd like to discuss those posts you make under other names on this group and others.

> Are you in the remodeling business?

Yours looks like it needs a total home makeover. Maybe ABC will help you out if you call them. That home makeover show is a real hit.

> I'm happy that you've found meaningful work.

Roger has meaningful work?
Unlike you, eh? You can't even keep that old farmhouse fixed up.

> Now just keep your nose clean with the local gendarmes.

Don't have to worry about such things, You must, though.

649. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:20:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rqKdnZ2dnZ0uIjzQnZ2dncXTg96dnZ2dRVn-yZ2dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118426

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>>>>OTOH, the mistakes of one generation (like pollution) *can*
>>>>>affect following generations. (Why the heck did anyone
>>>>>ever decide to build a major city on ground that is *below*
>>>>>sea level and right next to three major bodies of water? And
>>>>>in a hurricane zone?!)
>
>
>>>>It must be remembered that New Orleans has been sinking
>>>>at the rate of
>>>>3 feet per century. This has been accelerated due to the
>>>>deterioration of the Mississippi Delta.
>
>
>>>Agreed!
>
>
>>>>When the city was founded, it was a low lying
>>>>coastal city, just like
>>>>most coastal cities. At that time, there was plenty of Delta,
>>>>and it
>>>>looked like a fine place to build a port city.
>
>
>>>Sure - but that was centuries ago.
>
>
>>>>Over the years, as the geology changed, it was not at
>>>>such as fast rate
>>>>that relocation seemed necessary. Then as we learned
>>>>more, we found out
>>>>that essentially the city was doomed.
>
>
>>>But how long has the sinking been known? How long ago did NO

>>>go below sea level?
>>
>>I think it was in the mid 1800's that there
>>started to be a concern.
>>Quite a while back.
>>
>
> Yet the expansion was to *lower* ground.

Hey, Jim - I sure can't explain what I think is stupidity on some peoples part! Every house that I have ever bought has been on top of a hill, cuz I believe that is a generally safer place. I would not ever move to a lowland place like NO unless I absolutely had to.

>
>>>It's been known for years - decades - that if a big enough
>>>storm came ashore in the right place, NO would be in big
>>>trouble. A little more than a week ago it looked like
>>>Katrina would hit NO dead-on with full Category 5 force.
>>>Had that happened - and it was a real possibility - things
>>>would probably be even worse there than today.
>>
>>hard to imagine, but I'll grant you that.
>
>
> I posted a link to the warnings of 8 days ago. There would
> have been fewer left to save...
>
>
>>>Yet even with all that warning, the levee system was only good
>>>for a Category 3 storm. People kept building there. even as
>>>the ground kept sinking. Why?
>>
>>People have a great capacity for self deception. People
>>build in
>>California along the fault lines, People live in "Tornado
>>Alley". People
>>build on the sides of active volcanoes, and don't move even
>>when they
>>are about to erupt. Building in a place that WILL eventually be
>>underwater is just about par for the course.
>
>
> There are building and fire codes to protect people from their
> own and other's ignorance. That concept needs to expand.

Like "reguulashuns" are going to stand a chance. More Leeberul hogwash!

>
>>>Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>>>I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have

>>>the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place
>>>beforehand?
>>>Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>>>unusual thing.
>>
>>There should have been buses brought in to evacuate people.
>>There was
>>ample notice of the magnitude of the storm.
>>
>>
>>>Or is that sort of thing too "liberal" for this era?
>
>
>>Yes, the idea of the Federal gvt helping people is too
>>liberal.
>
>
> I kinda expected...
>
>
>>I hear
>>a lot of grousing already about this not being the Federal
>>Government's responsibility.
>
>
> ?? From whom?
>
> It seems to me that once the Administration got a clue, they
> began devoting serious resources. Why it took so long for
> them to get a clue is another issue. But I don't see anyone
> saying it's not the Fed's job.

Go to some of the more conservative enclaves, and you'll hear it. I'd
rather not name names here if I didn't have to.

> What I see as an issue that will be ignored is why there are
> so many resources after the fact, but not before.

Because it is our way now to react, not act.

>>>Meanwhile, Americans keep building big expensive homes and
>>>buildings in lowlying coastal areas. And in places where the
>>>ground shakes every so often. Why?
>>
>>See above. Of course, the real answer is stupidity.
>
>
> Or ignorance.

To me, Ignorance is buying a house, and not knowing it is near a
sinkhole. I *don't* think ignorance is when you build a house in an area
that you have to cross a levee to get to it. Wonder what that levee is

all about? I knew about all those problems in NO for years. And I'm not the sharpest pencil in the box..

>>>btw, it was just about 105 years ago
>
>
> September 8, 1900.

I've been to Galveston. Beautiful place. Fine sand that feels good on the feet. Big seawall now too!

>
>>>that the big hurricane
>>>hit
>>>Galveston, Texas - with no advance warning. Look that one
>>>up....
>>
>>Well, it's not exactly that they didn't have any warning....
>>
>
> In 1900 they had none. 2005 was different...
>
>
>>>"Wasn't That A Mighty Storm" (with kudos to Tom Rush)
>>
>> T'would appear so!
>>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

650. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:26:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XKOdnXGClLII0oPeRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 118427

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:h79sh15mkn4b0ertpac9a96vi3lutn507q@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 6 Sep 2005 18:49:36 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> <g8WdnZEUl5mtgIPeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>:
>
>>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1126045315.419820.281870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>>
>>>> > Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>>>> > I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
>>>> > the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place
>>>> > beforehand?
>>>> > Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>>>> > unusual thing.
>>>>
>>>> There should have been buses brought in to evacuate people.
>>>> There was
>>>> ample notice of the magnitude of the storm.
>>>>
>>
>>It is my understanding that both the city of New Orleans and the state of
>>Louisiana actually have a plan to totally evacuate New Orleans but they
>>choose NOT to activate it.
>
>
> http://gov.louisiana.gov/Press_Release_detail.asp?id=973
> http://gov.louisiana.gov/Press_Release_detail.asp?id=983
>

So explain all the buses left sitting in the city. If activivated, it
certainly wasn't carried out the way it was supposed.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

651. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:32:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <u7udnVKH5_ikzlPeRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118428

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:08dqh1h8n3qralltt875tijh6o5kg3pr5t@4ax.com...
>
>>On 5 Sep 2005 18:27:06 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>><1125970026.774939.208270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>>It's been known for years - decades - that if a big enough
>>>storm came ashore in the right place, NO would be in big
>>>trouble. A little more than a week ago it looked like
>>>Katrina would hit NO dead-on with full Category 5 force.
>>>Had that happened - and it was a real possibility - things
>>>would probably be even worse there than today.
>>
>>
>>The first hurricane to flood the city happened in 1927. Hurricane
>>Betsy hit the city in 1965, flooded half the city and left 60,000
>>homeless. It's not like they didn't know this could happen.
>>
>>
>>
>>>Yet even with all that warning, the levee system was only good
>>>for a Category 3 storm. People kept building there. even as the ground
>>>kept sinking. Why?
>>
>>
>>Because of better weather prediction technology, and assurances from
>>the state and federal governments that they would provide assistance
>>in such a disaster. And because a thriving economy had already been
>>established -- i.e, "thar's gold in them thar swamps".
>>
>>
>>
>>>Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>>>I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
>>>the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place beforehand?
>>
>>
>>That's a question that will need to be answered by the state and
>>federal governments in the coming months.
>>
>>

>>
>>>Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>>>unusual thing.
>>>
>>>Or is that sort of thing too "liberal" for this era?
>>>
>>>Meanwhile, Americans keep building big expensive homes and
>>>buildings in lowlying coastal areas. And in places where the
>>>ground shakes every so often.
>>
>>
>>And around active volcanoes, in tornado hot-zones, at the bottom of
>>steep mountain slopes, on muddy hillsides, etc.
>>
>
>
> Tornado "hot zones" just happen to coincide with some of the best farmland
> in this country.
>
>
>>>Why?
>>
>>
>>Because people think "it can't happen to me".
>
>
> Besides there is no place on earth that isn't subject to some type of
> natural disaster or another.

True. Even here in the woods of PA, there are occasional flirtations with disaster. In 1985 a tornado touched down in Penfield near Parker Dam State Park, and continued on the ground for 99 minutes in a 60 mile long path to just north of Lock Haven PA. Blew down 26K of forest in a path that varied from 300 yards to 1.5 miles wide. A real monster that happened on some of the more mountainous terrain in PA. Fortunately, it was in a virtually uninhabited area.

So it can happen anywhere. The odds are a little better here than in the alley tho'.

- Mike KB3EIA -

652. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:35:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <u7udnU2H5_h4zIPeRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118429

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Tues 6 Sep 2005 18:31
>
>
>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w¿nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote in message
>>
>>>On 5 Sep 2005 18:27:06 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>
>
>
>>Tornado "hot zones" just happen to coincide with some of the best farmland
>>in this country.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. FACTUAL ERROR!
>
> The San Joaquin Valley of California is NOT in "tornado alley"
> of the midwest. :-)

The San Joaquin Valley is some of the best farmland too! 8^)

Did Dee say *all* of the best farmland?

- mike KB3EIA -

653. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:36:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6KKdnUlj2lakz4PeRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118430

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:nbOdnUhPabGE0IPeRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Tornado "hot zones" just happen to coincide with some of the best
>>>farmland
>>>in this country.
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. FACTUAL ERROR!
>>
>> The San Joaquin Valley of California is NOT in "tornado alley"
>> of the midwest. :-)
>>
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
> She said "some", not "all" of the best farmland lennieboy, do try to keep
> up.

He does that all the time. And he overlooks that the San Joaquin Valley was not particularly good until it was irrigated and fertilized. However with those changes it is quite fertile. Personally, I like the land of the tall corn (Iowa). Just punch a seed in the ground and step back quick before it hits you in the eye (grin)!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

654. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:37:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <24-dnZ2dnZ3TC7qvnZ2dnfvPg96dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118431

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1opTe.7140$Wd7.383@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:ftaTe.6924$Wd7.2206@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>> {snip]
>>
>>
>>>Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
>>>no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in
>>>redeeming qualities. I see you as pitiful.
>>>
>>>In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called
>>>a very nice woman an elitist bitch.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>> Thank you for the compliment.
>
> Look how I've left myself open! Mark will now accuse me of flirting with
> you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Oh well that's his problem.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

655. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 02:58:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qOydneFrrNrGyoPeRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 118432

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:u7udnVKH5_ikzIPeRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>> news:08dqh1h8n3qralltt875tijh6o5kg3pr5t@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On 5 Sep 2005 18:27:06 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>><1125970026.774939.208270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>

[snip]

>> Besides there is no place on earth that isn't subject to some type of
>> natural disaster or another.
>
> True. Even here in the woods of PA, there are occasional flirtations with
> disaster. In 1985 a tornado touched down in Penfield near Parker Dam State
> Park, and continued on the ground for 99 minutes in a 60 mile long path to
> just north of Lock Haven PA. Blew down 26K of forest in a path that varied
> from 300 yards to 1.5 miles wide. A real monster that happened on some of
> the more mountainous terrain in PA. Fortunately, it was in a virtually
> uninhabited area.
>
> So it can happen anywhere. The odds are a little better here than in the
> alley tho'.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Though it seems with tornados that the most severe occurances tend to be
outside tornado alley. Almost as if they have to be bigger to be able at
all. I.e. the greatest number are in tornado alley but the severest ones
are outside it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

656. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 03:18:21 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <nbOdnUhPabGE0IPeRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118425

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>Tornado "hot zones" just happen to coincide with some of the best farmland
>>in this country.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. FACTUAL ERROR!
>
> The San Joaquin Valley of California is NOT in "tornado alley"
> of the midwest. :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

She said "some", not "all" of the best farmland lennieboy, do try to
keep up.

657. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 03:44:29 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <RIBG0BCB38601.989224537@anonymous>
Source record: 118434

In article <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> cut
> > >
> > >>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> > >>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> > >>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> > >>standards, responsible for his posts?
> > >
> > >
> > > because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >
> > You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> > chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> > you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
>
> what bald faced lies?

Anybody who disagrees with Dave Vile is a liar, didn't you know that? <guffaw!>

> >
> > > One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> > > lying
> > > cut
> >
> > There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> > malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
> > "personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> > woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> > I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
>
> you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> sexual flirtations
>
> you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> female

Guess he was bored with the one he married.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

658. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 03:45:01 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <4LB6KPLV38601.9895949074@anonymous>
Source record: 118435

In article <1125992542.059222.244480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive

More Nursie lies.

> That having been said,

You need "humbling", but not in the way you described, fake Marine.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

659. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 04:11:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e1qsh1d3fmn7r59quo7rqb2tfi4rj3jif7@4ax.com>
Source record: 118436

```
On Tue, 6 Sep 2005 22:26:11 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
<XKOdnXGClLlI0oPeRVn-vg@comcast.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:h79sh15mkn4b0ertpac9a96vi3lutn507q@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 6 Sep 2005 18:49:36 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>> <g8WdnZEUl5mtgIPeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>:
>>
>>>
>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>news:1126045315.419820.281870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>
>>>>
>>>>> > Most of all, why wasn't everyone evacuated *before* the storm?
>>>>> > I know some refused to go, but many more simply did not have
>>>>> > the means to go. Why wasn't there a better plan in place
>>>>> > beforehand?
>>>>> > Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico aren't a new or
>>>>> > unusual thing.
>>>>>
>>>>> There should have been buses brought in to evacuate people.
>>>>> There was
>>>>> ample notice of the magnitude of the storm.
>>>>>
>>>
>>>It is my understanding that both the city of New Orleans and the state of
>>>Louisiana actually have a plan to totally evacuate New Orleans but they
>>>choose NOT to activate it.
>>
>>
>> http://gov.louisiana.gov/Press_Release_detail.asp?id=973
>> http://gov.louisiana.gov/Press_Release_detail.asp?id=983
>>
>
```

>So explain all the buses left sitting in the city. If activivated, it
>certainly wasn't carried out the way it was supposed.

No, it certainly wasn't. A lot of people are going to have to do a lot
of explaining in the coming months.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

660. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 04:27:10 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126067230.112915.102920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118437

From: Dee Flint on Sep 6, 7:36 pm

>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <m...@that.moc> wrote in message
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>>Tornado "hot zones" just happen to coincide with some of the best
>>>>farmland in this country.
>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. FACTUAL ERROR!
>
>>> The San Joaquin Valley of California is NOT in "tornado alley"
>>> of the midwest. :-)
>
>> She said "some", not "all" of the best farmland lennieboy, do try to keep
>> up.
>
>He does that all the time.

INCORRECT. FACTUAL ERROR multiplied.

Dee, you have me on your "killfile" so therefore you cannot see
what I wrote. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> And he overlooks that the San Joaquin Valley was
>not particularly good until it was irrigated and fertilized.

Incorrect again. Refer to the "oakies'" plight when they moved
to California to get away from the midwest droughts. Irrigation
was added LATER to increase the bountiful harvests. It is HUGE.
Takes at least 8 hours of continuous driving on I-5 from north to
south to go the length of just the San Joaquin Valley. California
is the biggest cotton grower of all the states and has been for
decades.

> However with those changes it is quite fertile.

MORE fertile. The San Joaquin Valley can feed ALL the western,
mountain states with enough left over for those in the midwest.

> Personally, I like the land of the tall corn (Iowa).

Personally, I don't think you know Agribusiness from a DeKalb
Hybrid Seed poked in the ground.

I spent my first 19 years in Illinois and got to know all of
its bordering states. IN THE BEGINNING, Iowa, Illinois, Nebraska
were all PRARIE and grew little else but prarie vegetation.
Missouri isn't much better off although they have more

waterways. Without continuous fertilization, crop rotation, and wind-shelter trees ADDED later, the midwest would have reverted to just prarie again.

Iowa restaurants are excellent midwest-style eating places and most will serve free seconds on entrees. Do you wish to know more about the Amana Colonies? Cedar Rapids? [home of Collins Radio] It's a fine place to visit and shop (as my wife and I expect to do soon, again) but we wouldn't want to live there.

> Just punch a seed in the ground and step back quick before it
>hits you in the eye (grin)!

Yes, yes, ha, ha, clap, clap...good one...but ancient.

Try OKLAHOMA for that...easterners still think that Oklahoma is the heart of the cornbelt. Oklahoma chiefly grows truck drivers and oil derricks.

Tell us about the terrible tornados we have in California. We'd like to know as we are the most populous and prosperous state in the union.

Tell us also why you are responding to an anony-mousie whose handle is that of a DEFUNCT TV hero of the 1950s?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

661. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 04:27:46 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <g66dnWahqpP9wIPeRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118433

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> When it gets fun is when they try to explain the
> biblical flood as a verbatim event. The have yet to answer
> two simple questions.
>
> Where did the water come from?
> Where did the water go?
>
> I haven't found one yet that can answer the question, How much water
> would it take to cover the earth from sea level to 1 foot over the top
> of Mount Everest? And What effects would this extra mass have on the Earth?

Very doubtful that the flood of Noah's time covered the entire earth. At
that time, the world to them was the Mesopotamia area, they new nothing
of the world outside that. Archaeologists in attempting to verify the
flood, found a layer of silt beneath the city of Ur at a depth that
would concide with about the time of Noah. However, as they moved to the
outer edges of the Mesopotamia area the layer of silt became shallower
and finally dissappears, indicating that the flood did not cover the
"entire earth". There are many ancient stories of great floods from
areas all around the world.

662. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 04:28:49 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126067329.389701.191730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118438

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 6, 5:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Frank Gilliland on Sep 6, 3:39 pm
>> >On 6 Sep 2005 15:02:20 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >>K4YZ wrote:

>> >If you have any doubts, just look at what he wrote: "....the Feeble
>> >Five have just ceased to have any substance for me. They are just too
>> >petty." Then look how he jumps right back into flame wars with the
>> >same people. What a hypocrite.
>
>> Dudly USES the "emotional ploy" thing as misdirection so that
>> he can do the flamethrower thing at others. When others
>> complain he jumps back to the emotional story thing and berates
>> them even more AS IF they were responding to the emotional story.
>
>> Dudly has had NO "humbling experience." He doesn't KNOW how to
>> be humble.
>
>> >If he really had a life-changing experience and want's anyone to
>> >believe his newest stories, it might help if he comes clean about his
>> >claims about his military service and "seven hostile actions". But
>> >until that happens he doesn't have any credibility at all.
>
>> He won't. He can't.
>
>> I'm just going to challenge Dudly (whoever he really is) to POST
>> some kind of PROOF of his latest "humbling epiphany." Something
>> OTHER than his usual flamethrowing-at-others-for-no?t-believing-him
>> posts.
>
>> He could have used more correct terms such as "ten-klick-stare"
>> instead of the "thousand-yard" one (of Vietnam War origin) he
>> wrote.

This great big warrior of "seven hostile actions" can't even use
"klick" (one kilometer in distance) as common in the military
land forces. Geez. Even I know that.

>As much as it goes against my better judgement, I'm still going to give
>Steve the stage to show how the past week has changed his life. It is
>up to him to fly or flop, and I won't predict which he'll do. It's up
>to him.

Dudly gonna FLOP. Again.

I'll bet he's even now searching, searching the net for phrases, whole stories with which to embellish his middle-school journalism attempts.

Maybe he thinks he can get a Pulitzer Prize for his "humbling experience" tale? It isn't worth a Pullet Surprise...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

663. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 04:35:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a6uTe.2048$9x2.1011@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118439

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dee Flint on Sep 6, 7:36 pm

> Dee, you have me on your "killfile" so therefore you cannot see
> what I wrote. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>
>> And he overlooks that the San Joaquin Valley was
>>not particularly good until it was irrigated and fertilized.
>
>
> Incorrect again. Refer to the "oakies'" plight when they moved
> to California to get away from the midwest droughts.

There's another of your factual errors, Leonard. The term is "Okies",
not "Oakies". I suppose the Oakies were guys who cut all the hardwood
timber in Oklahoma. :-) :-)

Dave K8MN

664. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 05:47:17 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <P8ednUapaN2e7YPeRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118440

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Try OKLAHOMA for that...easterners still think that Oklahoma is
> the heart of the cornbelt. Oklahoma chiefly grows truck drivers
> and oil derricks.

INCORRECT. FACTUAL ERROR:

Oklahoma is 2nd in the nation in production of winter wheat, 1st in the production of rye and produced over 6 million pounds of pecans in 2003, is 5th in cattle production and 8th in hog production. Oklahoma beef cattle, hog, and sheep produce over 3 billion lbs of meat a year and poultry produce over 900 million eggs a year.

Do try to keep up lennieboy.

665. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 09:33:39 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dfmc2vnvt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118441

"Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
news:4LB6KPLV38601.9895949074@anonymous...
In article <1125992542.059222.244480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive

More Nursie lies.

> That having been said,

You need "humbling", but not in the way you described, fake Marine.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

Cowardice...pure cowardice...

666. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 09:56:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126086969.060172.37410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118442

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> "Transport" is a generic term for any sort of vehicle. A ground
> ambulance is a "transport." So is a medevac helo and even a
> hospital ship. Dudly could have been doing his claimed ordinary
> ambulance duty, thus his use of "transport" would be vaguely and
> generally "correct." A spaceship is a "transport" in the same
> general way.

There's nothing "ordinary" about a Mobile Intensive Care
Ambulance, Lennie...Land or otherwise.

> Dudly ain't got no PROOF. All we can be sure of is that Dudly
> is gonna pitch another hissy-fit and cuss out all his detractors
> for "not believing him." We can take that to the bank.

At this point I don't care what you or the other Feeble Five
believe, Lennie.

Steve, K4YZ

667. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 10:01:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126087269.738021.14290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118443

Frank of Silliland wrote:

> If he really had a life-changing experience and want's anyone to
> believe his newest stories, it might help if he comes clean about his
> claims about his military service and "seven hostile actions". But
> until that happens he doesn't have any credibility at all.

Coming from a disgraced, prosecuted and convicted ex-service
non-hacker, I am SO underwhelmed at anything else you can suggest about
anything, Frankie.

I have nothing to "prove" to a loser who couldn't keep his nose
clean for ONE tour in the Armed Forces, let alone five of them.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

668. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 11:12:26 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <SMGPJF5J38602.5503009259@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118444

In article <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Hiding behind the curtains.

That's rich, coming from somebody who thinks they are a character from a 1950's TV show. Or should I say hiding behind a character from a 1950's TV show? Yes, that's more correct.

669. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 11:45:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126093525.798222.190460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118445

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>K0HB:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Yes, I have read a couple of books on the subject--most
> >>>>>>>>>of the authors
> >>>>>>>>>strike me as being rather weak in math and especially
> >>>>>>>>>in the area of
> >>>>>>>>>probability and statistics--quite possibly
> >>>>>>>>>lotus-blossom-eaters.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>For some it is easier to attack the thinker than
> >>>>>>>>>to disprove the idea....
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>It certainly is a lot easier to say "God makes it so, so it is"
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>The hard part is defining what is meant by "God"...
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Not for those who want the easy answer. There are plenty enough people
> >>>>>>>>who are just slap happy to tell us that!

> >>>>>
> >>>>>Mike, are you an atheist? Or are you just playing at one because
> >>>>>President Bush is a Christian?
> >>>>
> >>>> You tell me, Brian! I think Jesus was one cool dude, and has a lot to
> >>>>tell us about how to live
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>One cool dude?
> >>
> >> Why yes, one cool dude. Seems like an irreverent thing to say, eh? I
> >>wonder what Jesus' opinion of me calling him a cool dude would be?
> >
> >
> > I wonder?
> >
> >
> >>>> That being said, I think that many people who are proclaiming
> >>>>themselves as "Christians" these days are not. The so-called
> >>>>conservative Christians who loudly proclaim their ascendancy these days
> >>>>don't really seem to have much to do with Jesus at all. The closest
> >>>>thing that they are is a modern day version of the Pharisees. Their
> >>>>trends are much more old testament - therefore not sharing in the new
> >>>>covenant proclaimed by Jesus. They push public prayer, also proscribed
> >>>>against by Jesus, They push religious domination of government - same deal.
> >>>>
> >>>> While demanding that the first books of *their* bible (KJV) be taken as
> >>>>absolutely literal, despite two different versions of creation, they
> >>>>totally ignore the Sermon on the Mount, in which Jesus delivers direct
> >>>>orders in as plain language as you will find in the bible. What's up
> >>>>with that?
> >>>>
> >>>> What is up with that is the modern fundamentalist Christians are
> >>>>falling for one of the oldest tricks in the book - the false prophets.
> >>>>
> >>>> That being said, there is no doubt in my mind that the world was *not*
> >>>>created in seven days starting on Sunday, the 23rd of October in 4004 BC
> >>>>as determined by Ussher - and put in print in one of my bibles at home.
> >>>>
> >>>> There is no doubt in my mind that the present day universe *was*
> >>>>created billions of years ago, probably in an event we call "the Big
> >>>>Bang. I highly doubt that it was created by a supreme being. For what
> >>>>happened before then, it becomes quite complex, and I enjoy speculation
> >>>>on that.
> >>>>
> >>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>There! I knew you had a lot more to say than mere nide remarks about
> >>>God. I hope you feel better.

> >>
> >> If you look deeply enough, the snide remarks are not really about God.
> >>They are about the people who would form God in their own image.
> >>
> >> Problem is, that is an awful lot of people. Most, in fact.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Their faith says that they are made in God's image. And as you make
> > fun of them, you make redicule their faith.
>
> I ridicule many things.

Bravo!

> So where do we stop, Brian?

Has it started?

> Is it when they call for the government to
> assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?

Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life? Clinton sent a
couple of missiles into an emply office building to show that you can't
just go around threatening the President.

Is it when
> they call for holy war?

Yeh, I think we should draw the line at Jihad.

> Is it when they launch inquisitions?

Saddam confessed to crimes.

Kill people
> in the name of an Exorcism? Is it when a Catholic priest is expelled
> from the UAR after serving a jail sentence for the crime of evangelizing
> without a permit? Is it when the preacher stands in the pulpit and
> declares that if you vote for a certain political party, you have to
> leave the church? And in my own case, where the local fundies put such a
> stranglehold on the school system that not only is evolution not taught
> at all, but that no teaching about any dinosaurs or any animal that
> didn't live in modern time was taught or discussed.

Abuses in publice education? Couldn't be.

Public education is the grand indoctrination that our children receive
from the left.

> My "sex ed" class
> consisted of one session of naming of various STDs, and that was it.
> That is it. less than an hour. I've seen the other side, and it isn't

> pretty.

What did your parent's tell you?

> There is one problem with religious tolerance, and that is that many
> religions have no concept of tolerance themselves.

Fine. It's called seperation of Church and State. We have that and
more. As rights are denied to Christians, the pendulum begins to swing
the other way.

Lock up people who make threats against other people and quit whining
to me about it.

> > Aren't you supposed to be an educated man?
>
> Supposed to be. Didn't find out about a lot of things that I should
> have known until after I graduated High School.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

You weren't supposed to learn everything in high school. That's why we
have colleges. Know anyone who's living depends on other people
thinking there's more to learn?

Life is a life-long learning experience. Learn something today.

670. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 12:41:46 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <3SYKW5UL38602.612337963@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118446

In article <dfmc2vnvt0@pita.alt.net>
"Digital" <Wussy@anon.uz> wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous" <BigappleRemai...@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
> news:4LB6KPLV38601.9895949074@anonymous...
> In article <1125992542.059222.244...@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
>
> More Nursie lies.
>
> > That having been said,
>
> You need "humbling", but not in the way you described, fake Marine.
>
>
> -=-
> This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.
>
> Cowardice...pure cowardice...

Says the coward who hides behind "Digital" posting from Alt.net.....

Pot Kettle Black. Hypocrite.

671. "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 12:49:00 UTC
From: Charlie <chas@aol.com>
Message-ID: <11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 118447
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/

--

Charlie - AD5TH
www.ad5th.com

672. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 14:14:40 UTC
From: Herbert Khaury <tiptoe@tulip.me>
Message-ID: <v6adnZ2dnZ0o4bvanZ2dnU1og96dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118448
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How do you make a dead looter float?

First you get a tall glass, add two scoops of dead looter and 8 oz of cold milk, top it off with whipped cream and a cherry.

--

"lloyd the toide" <flushhhhhh@yahooblows.com> wrote in message news:cc0b9$4317dab4$d1cc7c3d$16727@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> From the Chicago Sun-Times:
>
> http://www.suntimes.com/output/feder/cst-fin-feder01.html
>
> On [Dahl's] (105.9) afternoon show Tuesday, he mused about creating a drink
> inspired by
> reports of "floating corpses" in New Orleans. And on his Web log Wednesday,
> he elaborated.
[...]

673. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 14:30:46 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dfmtim$1gli$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 118449

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:

>> I ridicule many things.
>
>
> Bravo!

You do too!

>
>> So where do we stop, Brian?
>
>
> Has it started?

Oh absolutely.

>
>>Is it when they call for the government to
>>assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?
>
>
> Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life?

No it wasn't. It was when Pat Robertson called for the US to assassinate
Chavez. I'm not talking about politicos, I'm talking about religious
leaders.

> Clinton sent a
> couple of missiles into an emply office building to show that you can't
> just go around threatening the President.

> Is it when
>
>>they call for holy war?
>
>
> Yeh, I think we should draw the line at Jihad.
>

There ya go!

>>Is it when they launch inquisitions?
>
>
> Saddam confessed to crimes.

Not Saddam. But religious leaders have often had purges, inquisitions,
and the like.

> Kill people
>
>>in the name of an Exorcism? Is it when a Catholic priest is expelled
>>from the UAR after serving a jail sentence for the crime of evangelizing
>>without a permit? Is it when the preacher stands in the pulpit and
>>declares that if you vote for a certain political party, you have to
>>leave the church? And in my own case, where the local fundies put such a
>>stranglehold on the school system that not only is evolution not taught
>>at all, but that no teaching about any dinosaurs or any animal that
>>didn't live in modern time was taught or discussed.
>
>
> Abuses in publice education? Couldn't be.

Yup. In the name of religion.

> Public education is the grand indoctrination that our children receive
> from the left.

Yeah, I keep hearing that. My experience has been otherwise. The far
right controlled education and what we learned in my little burg.

Keep blaming everything on liberals. It's almost as easy as religion.

>
>>My "sex ed" class
>>consisted of one session of naming of various STDs, and that was it.
>>That is it. less than an hour. I've seen the other side, and it isn't
>>pretty.
>
>
> What did your parent's tell you?

Nutthin. I got my education at the public library, reading the books
that the far right wanted the library to get rid of. It was nice of them
to give out the titles though.

>
>> There is one problem with religious tolerance, and that is that many
>>religions have no concept of tolerance themselves.
>
>
> Fine. It's called seperation of Church and State. We have that and
> more. As rights are denied to Christians, the pendulum begins to swing
> the other way.

Problem is that what some Christians consider their right, is to deprive
others of their rights.

I've been in a religion controlled community. I know how they act when
they are allowed to.

> Lock up people who make threats against other people and quit whining
> to me about it.

I have no idea what you mean here

>>>Aren't you supposed to be an educated man?
>>
>> Supposed to be. Didn't find out about a lot of things that I should
>>have known until after I graduated High School.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> You weren't supposed to learn everything in high school. That's why we
> have colleges. Know anyone who's living depends on other people
> thinking there's more to learn?

So it's okay to have forbidden knowledge? Dinosaurs are dangerous? What
threat does a dinosaur have to religion?

> Life is a life-long learning experience. Learn something today.

Always do!

- Mike KB3EIA -

674. Hurricane Katrina Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 15:02:39 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <iiDTe.5968$mH.942@fed1read07>
Source record: 118600

I hope all you that posted truths, lies, blame games, speculations, garbage, rants and raves about the disaster;

DONATED TO THE RELIEF AGENCIES

See FEMA SITE -- URL:
http://www.fema.gov/index.shtm

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

675. Re: Stevie lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:24:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126110243.399647.151120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118601

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > > >
> > > > > > OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Nobody finds death threats amusing.
> > > >
> > > and yet I have been epected to endure one myself
> > >
> > > Not from anyone in this newsgroup, you haven't.
> >
> > sure have from you
>
> I haven't made any threats.

Sure have

Threatened me and others in the Newsgroup why don't fess up
>
> > > > Stevie has threatened to kill me in the past
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep
>
> Paranoia.
>
> Get help.
>
> > > > So If he shows up here I will assume he is serious
> > >
> > > Never "assume" anything.
> >
> > Bul##### if you show up here I will assmue you are serious
>
> "Bull#####"
>
> If I am in your neighborhood it will be to testify at your
> confinement hearing.

>
> > > > > Frankly, they scare the hell out of me. <cut>
> > > >
> > > > Me too which is why I have reacted to Stevies threat as strongly as I
> > > > have
> > >
> > > All you've done is demonstrate severe paranoid delusions.
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Absolutely "at all".
>
> Several years of it.
>
> > > > Interesting that you take ME to task for asserting my right to self
> > > > defense and
> > >
> > > You're just running off at the mouth and playing up the "I'M A
> > > VICTIM! I'M A VICTIM" role again.
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Absolutely "at all".
>
> The very post I quoted was a prime example.
>
> > > > >If you'd make such a serious threat
> > > > > about a cyber-encounter on the internet, what would you do about a real-life
> > > > > encounter on the streets of your town?
> > > >
> > > > I have made no such threat. I have threatened if you like that if
> > > > Stevie chooses to encoutner me here at my place I will react violently
> > >
> > > Your only "reaction" will be to wet your pants and cry for mama.
> >
> > no you show up and at least one of us will die
>
> Now THAT is a THREAT!
>
> (Actually, more like a suicidal ideation)
>
> > > > > I'm certain the police in your town/county will NOT be amused.
> > > >
> > > > No if Stevie shows they will not be amused at the results
> > >
> > > Uh huh.
> > >
> > > > Neither will I and neither will Stevie
> > >
> > > Why? With all I have seen and done in the last week, watching you

> > > drop to your knees trying to cover the wet spot in your jeans will be
> > > quite the comic relief.
> >
> > Stevie you are stupid
>
> Nope.
>
> > you show you will not seeing much of anything
>
> Was that a sentence? What did it mean?
>
> > > > But again Hans if feel I am acting illegaly call the cops, but assmuing
> > > > you tell them the truth being that I have siad if a particaular person
> > > > show up I will try and kill before he gets a chance to the same to me I
> > > > am not worried about them
> > >
> > > Markie...EACH and EVERY one of your "he's trying to kill me" posts
> > > makes you appear even MORE ludicrous and MORE infantile that the one
> > > before.
> >
> > I never said you were trying to kill
> >
> > merely threatening
>
> You keep vacillitating on what you "mean", Markie...
>
> You can't keep your stories straight.
>
> Why?
>
> > > No one in this forum, least of all me, is even REMOTELY impressed
> > > by your "threats" to "get violent" over ANY provocation.
> >
> > You know you may not be but it seem you are wrong about he NG stevie
>
> No, I'm not.
>
> Who here cares about Markie's threats to "get violent"...?!?!
>
> Personally, I find it comical.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

676. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:26:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126110408.808496.53130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118602

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>
> > gee I adknowledge your efforts and the improvement they show and all
> > you can manage to do is play spelling cop
>
> "acknowledge"
>
> No...
>
> You were spiteful and pontificating.

nope

you are so good at desribing yourself though
>
> And YOU are no judge of any milestones of improvement, Markie.

sure am

>
> > Yep the bullying bigoted stevie is back
>
> Nope...The "Stevie" that doesn't tolerate liars, punks and
> deceivers never left...He just had better things to do.

god the number of lies there

You do tolerate liars, tyourself first of all

>
> And your two posts to this thread prove it.

not at all
>
> Steve, K4YZ

677. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:31:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126110672.671415.292620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118603

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank of Silliland wrote:
>
> > If he really had a life-changing experience and want's anyone to
> > believe his newest stories, it might help if he comes clean about his
> > claims about his military service and "seven hostile actions". But
> > until that happens he doesn't have any credibility at all.
>
> Coming from a disgraced, prosecuted and convicted ex-service
> non-hacker, I am SO underwhelmed at anything else you can suggest about
> anything, Frankie.
>
> I have nothing to "prove" to a loser who couldn't keep his nose
> clean for ONE tour in the Armed Forces, let alone five of them.

then why you trying to do so?
>
> Putz.

ah yes been back long enough to get back into sex
>
> Steve, K4YZ

678. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:31:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126110676.389660.206550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118604

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > "Transport" is a generic term for any sort of vehicle. A ground
> > ambulance is a "transport." So is a medevac helo and even a
> > hospital ship. Dudly could have been doing his claimed ordinary
> > ambulance duty, thus his use of "transport" would be vaguely and
> > generally "correct." A spaceship is a "transport" in the same
> > general way.
>
> There's nothing "ordinary" about a Mobile Intensive Care
> Ambulance, Lennie...Land or otherwise.

certainly is whn campared to say a spcaeship of a helo
>
> > Dudly ain't got no PROOF. All we can be sure of is that Dudly
> > is gonna pitch another hissy-fit and cuss out all his detractors
> > for "not believing him." We can take that to the bank.
>
> At this point I don't care what you or the other Feeble Five
> believe, Lennie.

a realy obvious lie. If you truely did not care you would not be saying
that

After all you claim to be an expert on Mental heath so you KNOW that
fact
>
> Steve, K4YZ

679. Re: Docket 05-235 Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:32:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126110774.730725.302380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118605

Dave Heil wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:ftaTe.6924$Wd7.2206@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> {snip]
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Frightened? You must have come to a faulty conclusion, Colonel. I have
> >>>>>>no fear at all of you. You're a perverse individual, lacking in redeeming
> >>>>>>qualities. I see you as pitiful.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>In one night, you've threatened death to an individual and you've called a
> >>>>>>very nice woman an elitist bitch.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>> Thank you for the compliment.
> >>>
> >>> Look how I've left myself open! Mark will now accuse me of flirting
> >>> with you.

only if you start flirting with her

which of course the only thing that could wrong with that is that you
can seem to admit when you do such things
>
> Dave K8MN

680. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:36:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126111007.946870.175680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118606

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut
> > Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>
> During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
> chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
> operation, Mark.
>
> You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.

I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
CW if I like

but of course you have no sense of humor either
>
> Dave K8MN

681. day 28 of Stevie's action MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:39:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126111144.322262.188170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118607

a simple question Stevie: when you going to admit that you lack the power to any action taken against me by the state of michigan?

It is clear they are not doing naything, indeed they certainly of circular filed or buired in deep file cabinet your letter by now.

when you going to admit failure?

simple question

682. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:42:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126111375.885986.167610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118608

Anonymous wrote:
> In article <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > > >
> > > > >>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> > > > >>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> > > > >>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> > > > >>standards, responsible for his posts?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> > > >
> > > > You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> > > > chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> > > > you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
> > >
> > what bald faced lies?
> >
> Anybody who disagrees with Dave Vile is a liar, didn't you know that? <guffaw!>

of course I know that. I was just asking for the catalog of lies seeing
if I had forgotten any
>
> > >
> > > > > One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> > > > > lying
> > > > > cut
> > > >
> > > > There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> > > > malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
> > > > "personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> > > > woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> > > > I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
> > >
> > you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> > sexual flirtations
> >
> > you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> > female

>
> Guess he was bored with the one he married.

or perhaps more likely the other way around
>
>
> -=-
> This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

683. Re: Jim uses Dave as a surrogate to answer me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:43:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_METe.7008$4P5.6823@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118609

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun 4 Sep 2005 07:48
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>>>Actually the logic is quite different. It comes down to
>>>asking why Len is so interested in amateur radio policy
>>>even though Len is not a ham and has never been one. There
>>>has been a nocodetest amateur radio license in the USA since
>>>1991, yet Len never got one. The maximum code test required
>>>for any US amateur radio license has been 5 wpm since 1990 (with
>>>medical waiver) and since 2000 without a waiver.
>
>
> [Jimmie NEVER got a no-code-test Technician license...]

Then you and Jim have something in common. :-) :-)

>>>Nor is Len a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment, nor does
>>>he have anything to do with FCC.
>
>
> [Jimmie is a "manufacturer of amateur radio equipment"...makes
> one of a kind equipment...state-of-the-art style using vacuum
> tubes in the 1990s]
>
>
>>>More than 5-1/2 years ago, Len told us he was going for Extra,
>>>but didn't say when, and it hasn't happened yet.
>
>
> [tsk, tsk, make a statement long ago and the Profiler HOLDS ONTO
> that as a "lifelong goal"...as he was taught in Seminary...]

You boasted of what you were going to do and you didn't follow through.
It has now been years since your boast. You've done nothing about
obtaining *any* amateur radio license, much less the Extra.

>>So in the end, when Jim Miccolis/N2EY, David Heil/K8MN, Brian
>>Kelly/W3RV, and Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP point out that Len is not
>>an amateur, for what purpose do you do so? What do you hope to gain
>
>>from pointing out that Len isn't an amateur?
>
> Jimmie has a need to WIN MESSAGE POINTS. :-)

Are those MESSAGES POINTS anything like those MESSAGE KNUCKLES you wrote about some time back? From your sheer number of posts, you'd be likely to achieve some sort of MESSAGE POINT award. If you were to get credit for the number of words you've posted, you might even get a MESSAGE POINT plaque.

> Jimmie wants to be TOP DAWG in here! :-)

There's been no indication of that whatever. I wonder what prompted you to write such an inaccurate statement.

>>Hi, hi! You reply directly to my comment, then you act as if you are
>>speaking to Dave. Then you ask why anyone should reply to Len's posts
>>at all. More hi, hi's!
>>
>>Jim, when you're ready to have a rational discussion with me, I'll be
>>here.
>
>
> Brian, don't expect a "rational discussion" with Jimmie. Repeated
> asking will get you PROFILED! :-)

I don't think your advice is very accurate, Leonard. Repeated behavior of the type you've demonstrated here could result in your having a profile written.

Dave K8MN

684. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 16:55:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126112121.418145.106360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118610

K4YZ wrote:
> For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
> care transports of critical patients from Southern Mississippi and
> Southeastern Louisiana. I was on four ground transports and four air
> transports.
>
> For those who have been watching the news on CNN and Fox News, all
> I can say is that the most horrific and heartbreaking scenes have yet
> to be aired, and in all likelyhood never will be...I just don't think
> the American public could withstand it.
>
> As an ER Nurse I've seen tragedy and dispair on an almost daily
> basis, but at the end of the day, it's been easy to put behind me as
> soon as I get out of the parking lot. I can get a sausage and bisquit
> at the corner store, enjoy a pint of milk, and know that when I get
> home the lights are on and the fridge is full.
>
> Not so in LA or MS.
>
> I was on the ground in Slidell, LA, for 45 minutes on Saturday. I
> don't believe that even if I possessed the skills of a poet laureat
> that I could put into words the devastation. I never served with the
> Marines in Viet Nam, but have always heard about the "1000 yeard
> stare"...Well, now I've seen it...In the eyes of hundreds of those
> brutalized by this horrific event.
>
> That having been said, those who have been casting about that I am
> "MIA" or otherwise "strangely absent" from the NG only reinforce, in my
> mind anyway, just how infantile, meaningless and puny they really are.
> In light of the last few days, the punks who make up the Feeble Five
> have just ceased to have any substance for me. They are just too
> petty.

gee No one is allowed to wnoder what Stevie is doing indeed no one is
allowed to do anything but praise stevie for blowing his own horn

we have had a several decnt peices posted and 2 now based on blowing
there own horn Stevie and Dannie

now that is petty

of course this omits any detailed spelling coing of the post or
wondering at the fact and times mentioned, but I tried to overlook
these glaring problems, adknowledge his allleged service and got flamed
for my efforts

Standard for Stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

685. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 17:06:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Z5FTe.2279$9x2.372@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118611

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun 4 Sep 2005 06:09
>
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 8:18 am
>
>
>>>You know, the one which
>>>brought about the creation of Jim's apropos profile of your
>>>likely actions:
>>>
>>>N2EY: "Besides, here's a simple, plain fact:
>>>
>>>No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
>>>government/military service that a radio amateur has, if
>>>said radio
>>>amateur opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
>>>the target of Mr.
>>>Anderson's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual
>>>errors, ethnic
>>>slurs, excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."
>>>
>>
>>Actually there's an updated version:
>>
>>No matter what employment, education, experience or
>>government/military service a person has, if that
>>person opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be
>>the target of Mr. Anderson's insults, ridicule,
>>name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
>>excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior.
>
>
> Translation: JIMMIE DOES NOT TOLERATE DISSENT!!! :-)

Your translation leaves much to be desired. Jim tolerates dissent just fine. What he has done is create what, to this point, is a very accurate description of your likely behavior toward those who dare disagree with your views.

> Wow...talk about "telling someone to 'shut up!'" :-)

You can talk about it, but Jim's profile of your likely behavior doesn't
tell you to shut up. You have, of course, told others to shut up or to
go away.

>>I've found it to be a very accurate predictor of Len's
>>behavior on rrap. Len of course has parodied/plaigirized
>>and in doing so simply provide more proof of its validity.
>
>
> Translation: JIMMIE IS *ALWAYS RIGHT*!!! :-)

I can't recall a post where Jim claims that he is always right. You're
still using the diminuitive form of his name, thereby fulfilling the
profile's prediction of your behavior. You can't seem to avoid
fulfilling the profile.

>>Note that the profile does not call Len names or
>>other direct insults. It simply predicts his behavior when
>>faced with opposition to his views.
>
>
> Yes, how DARE I speak against the Mighty Miccolis? :-)

See? You're still unable to avoid fulfilling the predictions set out in
the profile.

>>Perhaps Len considers such opposition to be the
>>ultimate insult to him.
>
>
> Poor baby. Really hurting when you can't stomach the
> give-and-take in here? :-)

You can't help yourself, can you?

>>>>>What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
>>>>>
>>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
>>
>>Nor would I. What I have done is to point out errors
>>in Len's reasoning and claims. And I backed it up
>>with google quotes and other info.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie CANNOT "shut me up" so he tries
> the next best thing: Disingenuous insults in the form
> of the Profiler's "Prediction" wherein all who disagree
> (all those who DARE disagree that is) are uncivilly
> chastised in a "formal" manner.

Jim's profile isn't about "all those". It is about you.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie can't even reply directly to me.
> No guts, I suppose. <shrug>

Shrug all you like. Fill a screen with "Poor baby", "Tsk, tsk, tsk",
"Jimmie", capital letters and shrugs. You just continue to fulfill the
profile of your predicted actions toward those who don't agree with you.

>>For example, some time back Len posted that all hams could
>>continue to operate legally with licenses that were in the grace
>>period. I showed that to be in error, by simply quoting the
>>appropriate sections of Part 97. Len's behavior in response to
>>that was typical of the profile.
>>
>>
>>>> Right now, there's not a damn thing Jimmie can do about
>>>> it...
>>
>>There's plenty that people "can do about it". No one chooses to.
>>Not worth the time or effort.
>
>
> So...why all the "time and effort" to do this INDIRECT REPLY?
>
> :-)
>
>
>>>Slow down and regroup, Len. Jim has done nothing to prevent
>>>you from
>>>commenting to this newsgroup or to your government. Your rant > is vapor.
>>
>>Dave, consider that perhaps Len considers *any* opposition to
>>his views as an attempt to silence him.
>
>
> Poor baby. Still can't take the give-and-take in a newsgroup,
> can you?

You can "poor baby" but you didn't address Jim's comment.

>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
>>
>>Free speech includes the right to discredit other's comments and
>>opinions if those comments and opinions are not based on facts and
>>sound logical reasoning. Some of Len's comments and opinions are not
>>based on facts and sound logical reasoning.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Free speech" does not mean that opposition to
> the Words of the ARRL shall be silenced.

When have you been silenced? What have you to do with the ARRL or with
amateur radio?

> Jimmie speaks as a
> parrot of the Words of the ARRL.

That certainly looks like another of your factual errors.

> Jimmie wants to re-argue and re-argue and re-argue OLD arguments
> that appeared in here, perhaps hoping to "win" one that he lost
> long ago? Yes, that is "free speech" but it does NOTHING.

You've carped on many of the same issues for nearly a decade. You've
argued and re-argued those issues. Are you hoping to win one?

>>>>>In the end, if they cannot
>>>>>lay waste to Len's comments with rational argument(s),
>>>>>they claim that
>>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>
>>Actually the logic is quite different. It comes down to
>>asking why Len is so interested in amateur radio policy
>>even though Len is not a ham and has never been one. There
>>has been a nocodetest amateur radio license in the USA since
>>1991, yet Len never got one. The maximum code test required
>>for any US amateur radio license has been 5 wpm since 1990 (with
>>medical waiver) and since 2000 without a waiver.
>
>
> Oh, my, Mighty Miccolis the Moral Minder, meandering the
> morass of MOTIVES! :-)

That certainly looks like it fulfills several of the profile's predictions.

> Okay, everyone fill out the Motive form in triplicate so
> that Mighty Mindcontrol Miccolis can JUDGE all for "rightness"
> in saying anything in here!

There are a few items which fit the profile.

>>Nor is Len a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment, nor does
>>he have anything to do with FCC.
>
>
> Jimmie no work at FCC. Jimmie no work in electronics.
> Jimmie NOT "manufacturer of amateur radio equipment." Jimmie
> make state-of-the-art radio transmitter using vacuum tubes
> at cost of $100 in 1990s, show picture on home page. Must be
> single prototype of "new product". All cheer, applaud.

...more profile fulfillment. The fact is, you don't know what Jim does
for a living. You know that he is a EE. No, he doesn't work at the
FCC, but he is involved in amateur radio. You aren't involved as a
participant or a regulator.

>>More than 5-1/2 years ago, Len told us he was going for Extra,
>>but didn't say when, and it hasn't happened yet.

>
>
> Keeps you guessing, donut? :-)

I've never guessed about that issue at all, Leonard. I was quite
certain that you'd never be a licensed radio amateur.

> Jimmie hasn't told us of his expertise in "parenting" either.
> Jimmie hasn't presented his degrees in pediatrics whereby he
> be Expert on raising chilluns. How can you conceive expertise?
>
> Jimmie get laid yet?

...just more profile fulfillment.

>>And regardless of how someone replies to Len's posts here, Len will
>>reply according to the profile.
>
>
> The "Profiler" show on TV got cancelled, Jimmie. Consider you
> self going same way... :-)

...and additional profile fulfillment.

>>It's just his way.
>
>
> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it."

You'd be awfully foolish to write "I fulfill N2EY's profile of my likely
behavior", but I think you've just done something similar. So be it.

Dave K8MN

686. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 17:23:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126113812.032131.38900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118612

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun 4 Sep 2005 06:09
cut

> >
> > Translation: JIMMIE DOES NOT TOLERATE DISSENT!!! :-)
>
> Your translation leaves much to be desired. Jim tolerates dissent just
> fine. What he has done is create what, to this point, is a very
> accurate description of your likely behavior toward those who dare
> disagree with your views.

All is relitive. Jim does tolerate dissent much better than Dave or Dan
or esp Stevie

But Dave you over look that I disagree at time with Len, no flames in
return.
cut

>
> >>>>>What Jim hasn't done is to prevent or attempt to
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>prevent Len from making those comments.
> >>
> >>Nor would I. What I have done is to point out errors
> >>in Len's reasoning and claims. And I backed it up
> >>with google quotes and other info.
> >
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie CANNOT "shut me up" so he tries
> > the next best thing: Disingenuous insults in the form
> > of the Profiler's "Prediction" wherein all who disagree
> > (all those who DARE disagree that is) are uncivilly
> > chastised in a "formal" manner.
>
> Jim's profile isn't about "all those". It is about you.

nope that is Jim SoP seend often enough around her
cut
> >>>>>The PCTA, including Jim Miccolis/N2EY, immediately set upon
> >>>>>discrediting Len's comments and opinions.
> >>
> >>Free speech includes the right to discredit other's comments and
> >>opinions if those comments and opinions are not based on facts and
> >>sound logical reasoning. Some of Len's comments and opinions are not

> >>based on facts and sound logical reasoning.
> >
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Free speech" does not mean that opposition to
> > the Words of the ARRL shall be silenced.
>
> When have you been silenced? What have you to do with the ARRL or with
> amateur radio?

silenced no but attemps have been made to selience Len as well as
myself

that they are prettty feble and so silly as to make one wonder about
the sanity of the efort is another matter

but here again you try febly of course to silence or at drown him in
shit if you prefer

He has nothing to do with ARRL, indeed he is proud of that fact. I wish
I felt it entirely practical to join him there. Why does he need to
have anything to do the ARRL?

similar text for the ARS stab

> > Jimmie wants to re-argue and re-argue and re-argue OLD arguments
> > that appeared in here, perhaps hoping to "win" one that he lost
> > long ago? Yes, that is "free speech" but it does NOTHING.
>
> You've carped on many of the same issues for nearly a decade. You've
> argued and re-argued those issues. Are you hoping to win one?

I think he has or more properly been on the winning side in one of the
Biggest one. Or are still thinking Code testing is going to survivie
this rounf at the FCC?
cut

687. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 17:25:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QnFTe.7019$4P5.2209@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118613

```
Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <sk_Se.891$9x2.103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>>
>>>In article <xk6Se.6948$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <3aPRe.4859$Wd7.3...@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Ethan Jones wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <ixIRe.6143$z2.4...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>Take your medications, Roger. Get plenty of sleep. Tell the doctor if
>>>>>>the voices begin talking to you again.
>>>>
>>>>>Who's Roger, you utterly stupid man?
>>>>
>>>>He's living proof that no man is a total loss. Roger serves as a
>>>>horrible example.
>>>
>>>
>>>Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man.
>>
>>What do you know of real men, Roger/not Roger?
>
>
> I know you aren't one of them.

You know? How would you know? You're just some guy hiding behind
anonymous remailers.
```

>>You're reduced to hiding behind anonymous remailers.
>
>
> And you're reduced to seeing Roger behind every post.

Every post? Is everyone targeting me? Is everyone using anonymous
remailers? Does everyone monitor local repeaters and the West Virginia
Phone Net? Trying hiding behind a 4 x 4, Roger.

>> You can't use your own name lest you have
>>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
>
>
> Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.

Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
as well.

> And since when does
> the police deny freedom of speech?

Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police. Your freedom of
speech stops where my rights begin.

>>>No wonder you
>>>were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."
>>
>>I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".
>
>
> Oh, so you couldn't even make the errand boy grade?

Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?

>>How and why are you concerned?
>
>
> Oh, I forgot, you are a sensitive guy and has his feelings hurt easily.

It had nothing to do with feelings. How and why are you concerned?

>>>You seem
>>>to have issues seeing one person behind every anonymous post.
>>>As if just one
>>>person would use anonymous remailers. God, are you stupid.
>>
>>You follow your posts with some mindless drivel about Lloyd Davies and
>>no one is supposed to know who you are.
>
>
> And you seem to think one person has the exclusive use of anonymous remailers.
> Lloyd Davies is like you, an idiot. Or are you trying to deny me freedom of
> speech?

You're being silly, Roger/not Roger. You've used the same Lloyd Davies rants in other posts. Your game of I'm-not-really-me has holes in it.

>>You monitor local FM repeaters
>
>
> Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
> think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.

You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is "a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little difference, I've never claimed to be either.

>>and the West Virginia net
>
>
> One can't listen in to a public net on a clear frequency? Are you trying to say
> someone can't listen in to a net? And so you have confirmed you have crappy on-
> air audio.

By your rather convoluted logic, I mention that you lurk, but don't check into, a Section Net and that somehow confirms something about my transmitted audio? That is strange, Roger, very strange.

>>and I'm not supposed to know who you are, heh
>
>
> Funy how your posts with your name dissappear when "Stagger Lee" shows up and
> vice-versa, but you wouldn't be a hypocrite as well as a liar, now would you?

There, as if I needed confirmation of your identity! Sometimes you think I'm Lloyd Davies and sometimes I'm someone called Stagger Lee. That's quite a cloud of paranoia you're living under.

You must be taking at least some of your lithium. Your posts aren't the filth we're accustomed to. Keep up the good work.

Dave K8MN

688. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 17:35:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126114507.867865.164460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118614

Dave Heil wrote:
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> > In article <sk_Se.891$9x2.103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
cut

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man.
> >>
> >>What do you know of real men, Roger/not Roger?
> >
> >
> > I know you aren't one of them.
>
> You know? How would you know? You're just some guy hiding behind
> anonymous remailers.

which mean what? that he knows nothing?
cut

> >> You can't use your own name lest you have
> >>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
> >
> >
> > Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.
>
> Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
> as well.

You know this How?

>
> > And since when does
> > the police deny freedom of speech?
>
> Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police. Your freedom of
> speech stops where my rights begin.

gee you are very concerned about YOUR rights but support the abuse of
other poeple rights

yep I am beigin to wonder if you are not a cut out for Stevie

>
> >>>No wonder you
> >>>were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."

> >>
> >>I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".
> >
> >
> > Oh, so you couldn't even make the errand boy grade?
>
> Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?

why should anyone?

such an ego you have

gee looking more like Stevie

cut

> >>You monitor local FM repeaters
> >
> >
> > Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
> > think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
>
> You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is
> "a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
> difference, I've never claimed to be either.

If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
about them must have been lies

aroogance now the speeling looks a lot like stevie
cut

Hmm is Dave realy Stevie. It could be, but not likely Sadly Stevie's
abusive self centered is all too comon

689. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 17:39:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZAFTe.7026$4P5.1783@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118615

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
>>>>>>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
>>>>>>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
>>>>>>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
>>>>>>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
>>>>>>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
>>>>>
>>>>>The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
>>>>>think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.
>>>>>
>>>>>That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
>>>>>evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
>>>>>Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you ever tire of being wrong?
>>>>
>>>>Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
>>>>on 6 meters?
>>
>>>Do you?
>>
>>Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
>>being one?
```

>
>
> I have no certificate from Hiram, suitable for framing. But I do take
> pride in my station, my signal, and my operating abilities.

That is admirable.

> And if I
> were aware that French hams were out of band, I wouldn't be completing
> circuits for them nor sending them QSL cards.

It is your right to do so. You may research the band allocations for each and every DX station you hear, before you actually call them or respond to them. It will assuredly cut down on the number of contacts your make, especially in a contest or pileup situation. You should also bear in mind that nothing in the regulations under which you operate, mandates that you do so. You are responsible for making sure that your own signal is where it is supposed to be. If any station I've ever worked was where he was not supposed to be, he'd likely not be able to show off a treasured QSL from a rare station which read the exact frequency of operation (i.e. 50.115 MHz).

Additionally, you can check on the web or by using a callsign database, to be certain that each domestic station you might contact is where he or she is supposed to be under the terms of his or her license.

Finally, there is nothing in the regs which makes you responsible for my station operation either here in the United States, in Tanzania or in any other country.

I hope that clears things up for you.

Dave K8MN

690. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 17:49:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126115394.182993.181450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118616

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut
> >>>>>That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
> >>>>>evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
> >>>>>Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Do you ever tire of being wrong?
> >>>>
> >>>>Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
> >>>>on 6 meters?
> >>
> >>>Do you?
> >>
> >>Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
> >>being one?
> >
> >
> > I have no certificate from Hiram, suitable for framing. But I do take
> > pride in my station, my signal, and my operating abilities.
>
> That is admirable.
>
> > And if I
> > were aware that French hams were out of band, I wouldn't be completing
> > circuits for them nor sending them QSL cards.
>
> It is your right to do so. You may research the band allocations for
> each and every DX station you hear, before you actually call them or
> respond to them. It will assuredly cut down on the number of contacts
> your make, especially in a contest or pileup situation. You should also
> bear in mind that nothing in the regulations under which you operate,
> mandates that you do so. You are responsible for making sure that your
> own signal is where it is supposed to be. If any station I've ever
> worked was where he was not supposed to be, he'd likely not be able to
> show off a treasured QSL from a rare station which read the exact
> frequency of operation (i.e. 50.115 MHz).
>
> Additionally, you can check on the web or by using a callsign database,
> to be certain that each domestic station you might contact is where he
> or she is supposed to be under the terms of his or her license.
>

> Finally, there is nothing in the regs which makes you responsible for my
> station operation either here in the United States, in Tanzania or in
> any other country.

indeed you spend a page avoiding the issue
>
> I hope that clears things up for you.

very clear

you are a fraud, and lack the moral courage to deal with an issue
>
> Dave K8MN

691. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 18:16:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <s8GTe.7443$Wd7.192@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118617

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 2 Sep 2005 06:09
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>>>Len has never worked out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. As far as I
>>>>>know, only you hold that distinction and title.
>>>>
>>>> He should be PROUD of it.
>>>
>>>Perhaps I'll petition my ARRL to come up with a new operating award.
>>
>>I encourage you to follow through with your idea. It is certain to be
>>received with the attention it deserves.
>
>
> Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
> request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.

I've seen nothing to indicate an endorsement from the World's Greatest
DXer. Perhaps you received an e-mail from him. While I haven't
endorsed the idea, I have encouraged you to pursue it.

>>>> Heil believes in the good-old-boys-pecking-order-in-club-house
>>>> rule of only those tenured in licensing are "suitable" for
>>>> "leadership." Heil doesn't want to understand that ALL U.S. civil
>>>> radio is regulated and enforced by the FCC, NOT the licensees.
>>>
>>>And the Dept. of State is a vehicle for DX destinations.
>>
>>It can be, *if* you know what you're doing.
>
>
> I'm sure there were lines of comm types waiting for some of your
> destinations.

There may or may not have been. The number of hams working for the DOS
is quite small and not all of them have family situations which would
permit some of the tours I had. In my case, the additional pay and the
opportunity to operate in some rare spots accounted for some of my
assignments. My first tour in Helsinki was luck of the draw and my
second tour in Helsinki was a needed break (at the bottom of a solar
cycle) after three consecutive African hardship postings.

> Dave, off subject - are you eligible for military hops?

No, I am not. I spent only four years in the military.

>>>> It must be close to a decade since Heil ceased being a paid
>>>> worker in the "foreign service" of the Department of State.
>>>> Absolutely NO evidence has been presented of his having learned
>>>> ANY diplomacy there.
>>
>>There you go, Brian. Len's made another factual error. It won't be
>>five years until the end of this year.
>
>
> Fair enough. Should I call him a liar at this point?

It isn't necessary. Your acknowledgement is sufficient.

> Do I threaten
> bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives? Maybe I need
> to start a new thread about how Len might be homosexual or an idiot or
> both?

That isn't necessary but if you like, you may proceed.

> Do I need to do anything?

No, thanks. You've already done so.

> As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
>
>>there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
>>type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
>>go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
>
>
> And there you have it.

Yes, there you have it. Ambassadors, Deputy Chiefs of Mission,
Political and Economics Officers make demarches, telephone and write to
foreign ministers, presidents, prime ministers and the like.
Information Management personnel have quite different job requirements.

>
>>>He was merely their messenger.
>>
>>Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
>

>
> In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?

I'm certain that I've outlined it before. In addition to sending
messages, I received messages. :-)

There's much more though. I operated and maintained the equipment which
took care of all of that sending and receiving. I was responsible for
the HF and VHF E&E nets and equipment. I was in charge of the embassy
telephone system and supervised the receptionists. I was responsible
for classified pouch despatch and receipt. I served as system
administrator for classified and unclassifed LAN's and was responsible
for stand alone PC's. I supervised the embassy mailroom staff. I ran
frequency clearances for VIP visits, obtained VHF frequency permits for
embassy repeater and simplex channels through the host country PTT.
There were other duties, but I'm sure you get the idea.

>>>>>>I'll bet
>>>>>>you've retold your fascinating tale of BIG TIME HF work at ADA over
>>>>>>fifty times. It is a story having nothing to do with amateur radio and
>>>>>>everything to do with Len Anderson's desire to be recognized as
>>>>>>somebody. Well, you're certainly recognized, Len.
>>>>>
>>>>>I especially like Jim's recounting amateur radio's contributions during
>>>>>WWII when there was no legal amateur radio operations in the USA. He
>>>>>cracks me up.
>>>>
>>>> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
>>>> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
>>>> morsemanship in the 1980s...
>>>
>>>He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
>>
>>He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
>
>
> What frequency?

A different one!

>>>> claiming that "radio communications
>>>> paths were so poor that they would not support teleprinter/data
>>>> modes."
>>>
>>>He was probably doing something wrong.
>>
>>Actually, I maintained the lowest QSY rate of any AFRECONE station.
>
>
> Is there an award for keeping folks on frequency too long?

No, there was an "Atta Boy" for choosing frequency pairs which did not necessitate keeping the distant end's ops busy dialing up receivers and retuning PA's.

>>That part about claiming that propagation paths were so poor that there
>>were times when they wouldn't support encrypted RTTY communications? It
>>was absolutely true. Then again, neither you nor Len know where the
>>other end of my circuit was. That'll just have to remain a mystery.
>
>
> I've operated on encrypted circuits as well. That we lacked enough
> frequencies to operate 24/7 is true.

>>>> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
>>>> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
>>>> effort of foreign policy.
>>
>>Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
>
>
> I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
> too heilish.
>
> I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias.

That has been obvious, but I asked about a U.S. Foreign Policy bias. He has frequently taken pot shots at the U.S. Department of State and U.S. Foreign Policy.

> And when you apparently deny
> your professional radio experience, what are we to think?

First, it would be necessary for me to agree that I've denied my professional radio experience. I've not done so, but I've not done anything like Len's repetitive recounting of his ADA operations of a half-century back.

> I think that
> you choose to not recognize your professional radio experience because
> it might get in the way of your denigrations of Len.

It isn't really relevant to amateur radio operation. My story dealing with the use of CW in Guinea-Bissau was strictly to counter Len's assertion that the U.S. Government was no longer using morse. He was wrong. He made a factual error.

>>>"Sorry Len, State Dept. Communications >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi,
>>>hi!
>>
>>You wrote it. It is your quote. Don't be surprised if you see it again.
>
>
> "Hi, hi!" A joke. You're welcome to bring it up again at anytime - as
> a joke.

I just don't expect to see you writing somewhere down the line, that I'd written such a goofy statement. Let's be clear that it is *your* statement.

>>>> Tsk. In other government radio, the U.S. military has maintained
>>>> teleprinter/data networks 24/7 in equatorial regions as well as
>>>> elsewhere some THIRTY YEARS PRIOR to Heil's tale of inability to
>>>> get a State Department radio circuit working. [Asmara, Eritrea,
>>>> was the principal relay point for DCS/Starcom/ACAN linkage of
>>>> Manila, Phillipines, to Pirmasens, FRG, kept open on 24/7 basis
>>>> from 1948 to about 1978...Asmara can be considered to be in the
>>>> "equatorial region" of the African continent]
>>>
>>>I would consider it so. But I only have a degree in Geography.
>>
>>With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
>>Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
>>speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
>>figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
>>piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
>>worked into. Good luck.
>
>
> Ascension.

I only wish I was at liberty to tell you.

>>>> Heil is of the dictatorial view that ONLY licensed radio amateurs
>>>> are worthy of commenting/talking/discussing ANYTHING about amateur
>>>> radio...the "clubhouse" syndrome. Of course, such an attitude
>>>> would NEGATE U.S. government regulation and enforcement of amateur
>>>> radio since no Commissioner or FCC staffer is required to hold any
>>>> amateur radio license grants.
>>>>
>>>> That's a dichotomy in thinking of Heil as a former employee of the
>>>> U.S. government. It's also friggin' WEIRD.
>>
>>Len has discussed. Len had commented. I'm guessing that Len has
>>talked, though there's no evidence of it here. Len has insulted. Len
>>has denigrated. Len has belittled.
>
>
> I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?

If there's a God in Heaven, he has.

>>As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
>>of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
>
>
> Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur
> radio.

That's right. They're paid to do a job, whether they participate in
some radio service or not. Len isn't a participant and he isn't a
regulator.

>>>Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
>>
>>Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
>> floor rooms.
>
>
> You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.

There's tarpaper under there somewhere.

>>>>>>> Heil (who claims to be a linguist of Hunnish) forgot, in another
>>>>>>> post, that the fictious name of "Dudley" was used by author Earnest
>>>>>>> K. Gann in his book, "Fate Is The Hunter." [my mention in here]
>>>>>>> Frank Gilliland and I used another fictitious name of "Dudly" in
>>>>>>> reference to another, a military pretender in here. There was no
>>>>>>> misspelling of "Dudley" at all, just the use of "Dudly" to
>>>>>>> differentiate from Gann's original name use. A shortened form of
>>>>>>> "Dudly" is "Dud" which also fits that other, the pretender.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I see. It must be like your use of "Atila" to differentiate between the
>>>>>>real "Attila" and your use of "beligerent" to differentiate between real
>>>>>>warlike "belligerants". The name "Dudley" is an actual name. The name
>>>>>>"Dudly" doesn't exist. Very UNPROFESSIONAL, Leonard; very UNPROFESSIONAL.
>>>>>
>>>>>It's less unprofessional than working out of band Frenchmen on 6
>>>>>meters, IMHO.
>>>>
>>>> Heil attempts to word-play in a puerile game of trying to be the
>>>> schoolmistress rapping the knuckles of "students" who make minor
>>>> "typographical" errors in spelling.
>>>
>>>Dave is smug.
>>
>>I certainly can be from time to time.
>
>
>>From time to time?
>
>> Len used a couple of words three
>>or more times each. He spelled them in the same incorrect way each
>>time. They were not typographical errors. They were Len's spelling
>>errors. Did you know that Len claims to be a PROFESSIONAL writer?
>
>
> Aye. You should see my son's textbooks....

Did Len write them?

>>>> I did not mention any Hun
>>>> who wishes to conquer any ham world, only that Heil attempts to be
>>>> a master of Hunnish language and the only "judge" on translations
>>>> of Hunnish to English.
>>
>>>Dave must be multi-lingual.
>>
>>If the word belligerent is based in Latin, then I am. Len seems to
>>think it was used by Attila and his horde.
>
>
> What word would attila have used?

I dunno. Only Len has stated that I claim to be an expert in "Hunnish".
I'd capitalize "Attila" though.

Dave K8MN

692. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 18:27:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AiGTe.7050$4P5.5252@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118618

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 28, 6:02 pm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot> >>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>

>>>>>>>>I've seen any number of Len's comments made to look like the product of
>>>>>>>>one who has little experience.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>As Len has questioned your net control capabilities.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Not quite true either side. I was citing Heil as a CONTROL FREAK
>>>>>> that he appears to be from all his postings to me. Evident to all.
>>>>>
>>>>>Closing a net with CW?
>>>>
>>>>The only nets I've ever closed with CW were CW nets.
>>>
>>>
>>>More word play.
>>
>>Word play? Hardly. I've never participated in a State Department net
>>on RTTY or CW.
>
> More word play. Clintonesque.

I fail to see any word play. I made a factual and concise statement of
the truth.

> Further down you just admitted to opening and closing RTTY net using CW
> on "another frequency."

Yes, I did. I was not active on any CW or RTTY net. It can't be much
more plain that that.

>>>>>> I have experience in radio. A considerable amount. Most of it is
>>>>>> PROFESSIONAL radio...that kind that pays money for services
>>>>>> rendered.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Heil must not equate government employ in the Department of State
>>>>>> as "professional" yet he obviously got MONEY for that, PLUS living
>>>>>> expenses.
>>>>>
>>>>>Obviously he's not professional.
>>>>
>>>>...not any more. There aren't any professional radio amateurs.
>>>
>>>When did that end?
>>
>>It never began.
>
>
> You should work on your facts.
>
> The W1AW operator is paid a salary to transmit on amateur frequencies.
> School teachers may make radio transmissions as a part of their paid
> instructions to students.

Is the W1AW op paid to transmit? Are you certain? School teachers
receive a salary for teaching, not for demonstrating amateur radio.

>>>>Professional credentials don't get one a pass into amateur radio.
>>>
>>>What? Another set of redundant licensing requirements?
>>
>>They aren't redundant. They're for different services.
>
>
> Oh yeh, forgot the physics change with the different services.

The regs, purpose, types of operation and requirements for licensing are
different, aren't they?

>>>I recall taking the GROL. Looked identical to the Amatuer Advanced
>>>exam.
>>
>>Really? Were there lots of regulatory questions dealing with the
>>amateur bands?
>
>
> There were lots of identical electronics and radio physics material.
> Identical to the Advanced exam. And whaddayaknow? The exam was
> administered by a famous VEC. Go figure?

So the only questions on the exam consisted of electronics and radio
physics material?

>>>>>>>>>...they claim that
>>>>>>>>>his opinions are simply no good because Len isn't a ham.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Sometimes Len's opinions are no good because they are issued because he
>>>>>>>>>has no experience in amateur radio. Sometimes his opinions are no good
>>>>>>>>>because they are the rantings of a geezer with an ax to grind. Often,
>>>>>>>>>he makes factual errors and there have been numerous times when he
>>>>>>>>>deliberately fabricates.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You want us to believe that all of Len's comments are to be discarded.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Heil doesn't like my commenting, therefore I am to be "discarded,"
>>>>>> discredited, demeaned, and some other "d" I can't think of. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>demonized.
>>>>
>>>>Deep-sixed.
>>>
>>>As in murdered?
>>
>>As in "deep-sixed".
>
>
> As in "murdered?"

If "murdered" is what you want to write, then write it. You aren't
going to twist my words.

>>>>>>>>>David Heil/K8MN is a primary culprit in that tactic, but Jim has used it as
>>>>>>>>>well.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Oh no, I've by no means been "a primary culprit", but I have
>>>>>>>>participated over a period of years.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Can you guess how many times you've commented that Len isn't an amateur
>>>>>>>radio operator?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> He has a macro sentence generator for that. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>And there is a purpose for his stating that you're not an amateur.
>>>>
>>>>There certainly is. It is to point out that Len isn't a radio amateur
>>>>and that he has no experience in amateur radio.
>>>
>>>There must be somthing more to it than that.
>>
>>A read-between-the-lines guy like you would probably attempt to find a
>>hidden meaning or agenda.
>
>
> This is what surprises me. Except for this, your agendas and
> double-standards are obvious. This one has me puzzled.

Some things which you might think to be obvious, may not be obvious.
Some things which you see as complex, could be simple.

>>>He is to amateur radio
>>>
>>>
>>>>as a fishing rod to deer hunting.
>>
>>>You're not even close enough to be considered a poor analogue.
>>
>>It'd be tough to come up with something. I'm a long time participant in
>>amateur radio. The closest Len can come is being an SWL.
>
>
> Well there you go! You could say that he is a "lurker" on the HF
> frequencies. That should sound nefarious enough to raise eyebrows.

Nothing nefarious need be written. Len's closest involvement with
amateur radio is as SWL. He has told us that he has spoken over amateur
radio stations but he has to beat feet and visit someone in order for a
control op to permit his participation. Yeah, basically, Len can be an
SWL. There's nothing wrong with that. I began as an SWL myself. Len
is still a pre-beginner in amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

693. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 18:35:25 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <NpGTe.5983$mH.1402@fed1read07>
Source record: 118619

See This One
http://www.roanoke.com/news/roanoke%5C31333.html
AND
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/#storyContinued
AND
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/08/30/1/

While some of you are bashing Ham Radio -- these folks are helping Katrina Victims

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

694. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 18:36:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UqGTe.7055$4P5.1614@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118620

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> A. Melon wrote:
>
>>In article <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
>>"an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>>>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
>>>>>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
>>>>>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
>>>>>>standards, responsible for his posts?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
>>>>
>>>>You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
>>>>chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
>>>>you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not lie.
>>>
>>>what bald faced lies?
>>
>>Anybody who disagrees with Dave Vile is a liar, didn't you know that? <guffaw!>
>
> I have noticed
>
> different edition of websters I guess
>
>>>>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
>>>>>lying
>>>>>cut
>>>>
>>>>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
>>>>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
>>>>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
>>>>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
>>>> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
>>>
>>>you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
```

>>>sexual flirtations
>>>
>>>you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
>>>female
>>
>>Guess he was bored with the one he married.
>
> and interesting that he attacks me so vicously for being a BIsexual
> male but will flirt with Bisexual female

It is even more interesting that you've been carrying on this exchange with the anonymous remailer user who has been calling you a faggot for the past couple of weeks.

I've not only not flirted with bisexual woman, I've not flirted with any woman in any newsgroup. You can lead a dyslexic to Google, but you can't make him read.

Dave K8MN

695. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 18:52:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QFGTe.7057$4P5.4224@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118621

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 5, 4:05 pm
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Aug 25, 2:42 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:

>>>>>Net control capabilities? What in the world are you going on about?
>>
>>>>Opening and closing a RTTY net with CW. Hi!
>>
>>>I've been involved in both as a radio amateur. Len wouldn't know
>>>anything about that. I've never been involved in either as a State
>>>Department employee.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, then Heil's long-ago "RTTY Synchronization" post

> was a LIE!
>
> Horrors! Imagine a PCTA committing a felony LIE!

You've just made another factual error. I've opened and closed a RTTY circuit. I've used CW on a different frequency to do so. I've never participated in a government CW net or an RTTY net. You don't much of anything right, do you?

> No need to guess about me. I've NEVER taken any amateur radio
> license test in front of the FCC or any VEC.
>
> Heil WANTS me to "embarrass" myself.

...and I'm seldom disappointed.

Dave K8MN

696. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 19:31:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126121515.417841.156860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118622

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
cut

> >
> > Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
> > request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.
>
> I've seen nothing to indicate an endorsement from the World's Greatest
> DXer. Perhaps you received an e-mail from him. While I haven't
> endorsed the idea, I have encouraged you to pursue it.

indeed you do always encourage people to feed your ego

cut

> > As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
> >
> >>there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
> >>type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
> >>go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
> >
> >
> > And there you have it.
>
> Yes, there you have it. Ambassadors, Deputy Chiefs of Mission,
> Political and Economics Officers make demarches, telephone and write to
> foreign ministers, presidents, prime ministers and the like.
> Information Management personnel have quite different job requirements.

indeed We may all the god for that, the fact you were a file clerk was
obviously much better for the nation and the world than puting you in
real diplomatic work

>
> >
> >>>He was merely their messenger.
> >>
> >>Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
> >
> >

> > In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?
>
> I'm certain that I've outlined it before. In addition to sending
> messages, I received messages. :-)

My My such ornerous duties

cut
me up.
> >>>>
> >>>> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
> >>>> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
> >>>> morsemanship in the 1980s...
> >>>
> >>>He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
> >>
> >>He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
> >>
> >>
> > What frequency?
>
> A different one!

i see more non answer answerer
cut

> >>>> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
> >>>> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
> >>>> effort of foreign policy.
> >>
> >>Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
> >>
> >>
> > I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
> > too heilish.
> >
> > I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias.
>
> That has been obvious, but I asked about a U.S. Foreign Policy bias. He
> has frequently taken pot shots at the U.S. Department of State and U.S.
> Foreign Policy.

Indeed and you flame Len for this american tradition of questioning the
action of the natiion, you flame him for prefroming the DUTY of an
amercian citizen

cut

> >>With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
> >>Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
> >>speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
> >>figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing

> >>piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
> >>worked into. Good luck.
> >
> >
> > Ascension.
>
> I only wish I was at liberty to tell you.

rich the I could tell you bit have to kill routine. Tom cruise does it
better dave
cut
> >
> > I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?
>
> If there's a God in Heaven, he has.

mighty generous
>
> >>As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
> >>of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
> >
> >
> > Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur
> > radio.
>
> That's right. They're paid to do a job, whether they participate in
> some radio service or not. Len isn't a participant and he isn't a
> regulator.

so what?

why do you seem to deny the rights of american citizen in amercia?
>
> >>>Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
> >>
> >>Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
> >> floor rooms.
> >
> >
> > You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.
>
> There's tarpaper under there somewhere.

ah yess another lie

cut

> >
> > What word would attila have used?
>
> I dunno. Only Len has stated that I claim to be an expert in "Hunnish".
> I'd capitalize "Attila" though.

more spelling cop
>
> Dave K8MN

697. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 19:36:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126121803.707417.126370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118623

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >>>More word play.
> >>
> >>Word play? Hardly. I've never participated in a State Department net
> >>on RTTY or CW.
> >
> > More word play. Clintonesque.
>
> I fail to see any word play. I made a factual and concise statement of
> the truth.

the very meaning of word play as word play. Nicely done
>
> > Further down you just admitted to opening and closing RTTY net using CW
> > on "another frequency."
>
> Yes, I did. I was not active on any CW or RTTY net. It can't be much
> more plain that that.

so you closed a RTTY net that were not on with CW?

the only plain meaning in there would saying you are nuts
cut
> >>It never began.
> >
> >
> > You should work on your facts.
> >
> > The W1AW operator is paid a salary to transmit on amateur frequencies.
> > School teachers may make radio transmissions as a part of their paid
> > instructions to students.
>
> Is the W1AW op paid to transmit? Are you certain? School teachers
> receive a salary for teaching, not for demonstrating amateur radio.

more word play

cut

> >>As in "deep-sixed".
> >
> >
> > As in "murdered?"

>
> If "murdered" is what you want to write, then write it. You aren't
> going to twist my words.

no one is trying to twist your words just trying to pin you down to
what you mean

Indeed I think most people have an easier time reading my stuff at its
worst than reading your dreck

cut

698. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 19:43:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126122219.253784.250960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118624

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > A. Melon wrote:
> >
> >>In article <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> >>"an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
cut
> >>Guess he was bored with the one he married.
> >
> > and interesting that he attacks me so vicously for being a BIsexual
> > male but will flirt with Bisexual female
>
> It is even more interesting that you've been carrying on this exchange
> with the anonymous remailer user who has been calling you a faggot for
> the past couple of weeks.

weeks?

don't think so but you can't tell with the remailers

and unlike you I can polite to anyone, even those that dispise me, if
they can be polite in turn

>
> I've not only not flirted with bisexual woman, I've not flirted with any
> woman in any newsgroup. You can lead a dyslexic to Google, but you
> can't make him read.

meaning no one my be premitted to form his/her own opinion on anything
without Daves aproval. I bet your wife would disagree with your
assement if she read all of your various exachnges with that woman

Indeed I also simply can't understand how you can maneg to polite to a
bisexaul female and yet be so moraly repulsed by a bisexual male

and more of you bashing the disabled
>
> Dave K8MN

699. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 19:46:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126122416.764009.171570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118625

Caveat Lector wrote:
> See This One
> http://www.roanoke.com/news/roanoke%5C31333.html
> AND
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/#storyContinued
> AND
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/08/30/1/
>
> While some of you are bashing Ham Radio -- these folks are helping Katrina
> Victims

Bshing Ham radio hmmm.... I gues another stevie clone at work
questioning ONE ham is bashing all hams.

Is it Stevie or is it just another fellow who has been brain washed

Hard to tell
>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

700. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 19:55:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FAHTe.2347$9x2.242@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118626

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>>More word play.
>>>>
>>>>Word play? Hardly. I've never participated in a State Department net
>>>>on RTTY or CW.
>>>
>>>More word play. Clintonesque.
>>
>>I fail to see any word play. I made a factual and concise statement of
>>the truth.
>
>
> the very meaning of word play as word play. Nicely done
>
>>>Further down you just admitted to opening and closing RTTY net using CW
>>>on "another frequency."
>>
>>Yes, I did. I was not active on any CW or RTTY net. It can't be much
>>more plain that that.
>
>
> so you closed a RTTY net that were not on with CW?

Thank you for pointing out my error. I closed a RTTY circuit by use of
CW on another frequency. There was no net involved.

> the only plain meaning in there would saying you are nuts
> cut

That would be the only meaning with which you could come up. Well...

>>>>It never began.
>>>
>>>
>>>You should work on your facts.
>>>
>>>The W1AW operator is paid a salary to transmit on amateur frequencies.

>>>School teachers may make radio transmissions as a part of their paid
>>>instructions to students.
>>
>>Is the W1AW op paid to transmit? Are you certain? School teachers
>>receive a salary for teaching, not for demonstrating amateur radio.
>
>
> more word play

If you mean that I used words to respond, then you are correct.

> cut
>
>
>>>>As in "deep-sixed".
>>>
>>>
>>>As in "murdered?"
>>
>>If "murdered" is what you want to write, then write it. You aren't
>>going to twist my words.
>
>
> no one is trying to twist your words just trying to pin you down to
> what you mean

I wrote what I meant in the first place. Brian is trying to get me to
say another thing.

> Indeed I think most people have an easier time reading my stuff at its
> worst than reading your dreck

I think you may have just written your biggest fabrication of all.

Dave K8MN

701. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 20:12:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tQHTe.2355$9x2.1914@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118627

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>A. Melon wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <1125945627.043588.63370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>Guess he was bored with the one he married.
>>>
>>>and interesting that he attacks me so vicously for being a BIsexual
>>>male but will flirt with Bisexual female
>>
>>It is even more interesting that you've been carrying on this exchange
>>with the anonymous remailer user who has been calling you a faggot for
>>the past couple of weeks.
>
>
> weeks?
>
> don't think so but you can't tell with the remailers
>
> and unlike you I can polite to anyone, even those that dispise me, if
> they can be polite in turn

Polite in return? Seek comfort wherever you can find it, Mark. Check
Google for Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ or KC8JBO to see who you've cozied up to.

>>I've not only not flirted with bisexual woman, I've not flirted with any
>>woman in any newsgroup. You can lead a dyslexic to Google, but you
>>can't make him read.
>
>
> meaning no one my be premitted to form his/her own opinion on anything
> without Daves aproval.

That isn't what it means at all, Mark. It means that you can go to an
archived source and still not be able to comprehend what you are looking at.

> I bet your wife would disagree with your
> assement if she read all of your various exachnges with that woman

You'd be completely and utterly wrong...again.

> Indeed I also simply can't understand how you can maneg to polite to a
> bisexaul female and yet be so moraly repulsed by a bisexual male

You've misread or misunderstood or are deliberately being obtuse. The woman is not, repeat no bisexual. She is not, repeat not a stripper. At no time did I flirt with her.

Would you like to see my archived comments from the alt.west-virginia newsgroup on those who claim to be bisexual? Right here:

---

2. Dave Heil Mar 12 2003, 6:15 am
Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:15:48 GMT
Local: Wed, Mar 12 2003 6:15 am
Subject: Re: looking in wv

hunny_bu...@webtv.net wrote:

> hello i am a married 26 yr old bisexual female i am looking for a female
> in the same area to get to know and hopefully get together with...if you
> are interesed please email me i would love to hear from you ...females
> or couples only...no single men remember i am married..thanks

Buns,

Let's see if we have this straight. You can cheat on your husband with another woman or you and your husband can cheat with another couple but you can't cheat on your husband with a single man. Does that about sum it up?

I'm sure you folks are quite normal--in your own way.

Dave in Cameron

To another couple of sexual adventurers who appeared in the same newsgroup, I wrote:

---

2. Dave Heil Jan 6 2004, 8:16 am
Newsgroups: alt.west-virginia
From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Tue, 06 Jan 2004 08:16:23 GMT
Local: Tues, Jan 6 2004 8:16 am
Subject: Re: 301 MD couple seeking 3some or 4some....

Sus wrote:

> Married white couple seeking male or female 3some or 4some. She is 37,
> he is 46, both are in excellent physical condition.

Your psychological and moral condition seemingly leaves a little to be
desired. One can only hope that you don't have children.

Dave near Cameron

> and more of you bashing the disabled

My comments were directed not to the disabled as a group, but to you.
You are a vicious, lying SOB. My apologies to the truly disabled.

Dave K8MN

702. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 20:27:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x2ITe.2358$9x2.2092@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118628

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>>Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
>>>request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.
>>
>>I've seen nothing to indicate an endorsement from the World's Greatest
>>DXer. Perhaps you received an e-mail from him. While I haven't
>>endorsed the idea, I have encouraged you to pursue it.
>
>
> indeed you do always encourage people to feed your ego

You keep showing us that you can't comprehend the written word. I asked
for no credit. The idea is Brian Burke's. I've never claimed to be the
World's Greatest DXer. You've come up with nothing once again, frantic
fabricator.

> cut
>
>
>>>As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
>>>
>>>
>>>>there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
>>>>type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
>>>>go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
>>>
>>>
>>>And there you have it.
>>
>>Yes, there you have it. Ambassadors, Deputy Chiefs of Mission,

>>Political and Economics Officers make demarches, telephone and write to
>>foreign ministers, presidents, prime ministers and the like.
>>Information Management personnel have quite different job requirements.
>
>
> indeed We may all the god for that...

We may all the god? Just how do we accomplish that?

> ...the fact you were a file clerk was
> obviously much better for the nation and the world than puting you in
> real diplomatic work

Ah, ah, ah, manic manufacturer of fables! I was never a file clerk.
There are people who file things in embassies. They used to be called
"secretaries" but they're now called "Office Management Specialists".
Then again, those of us who were "Information Management Specialists" as
a broad subgroup, used to be "Support Communications Officers". I liked
the old term. The generic term "Communicators" is used on a daily basis.
>
>
>>>>>He was merely their messenger.
>>>>
>>>>Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
>>>
>>>
>>>In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?
>>
>>I'm certain that I've outlined it before. In addition to sending
>>messages, I received messages. :-)
>
>
> My My such ornerous duties

Only a twit would cut an entire paragraph and then write "My My such
ornerous duties (sic)".

> cut
> me up.

Cut you up? Is this the masochistic side of you coming to the surface?

>>>>>> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
>>>>>> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
>>>>>> morsemanship in the 1980s...
>>>>>
>>>>>He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
>>>>
>>>>He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
>>>
>>>
>>>What frequency?

>>
>>A different one!
>
>
> i see more non answer answerer

An answer was provided. You didn't like it. You have no clearance and
no need to know.

> cut
>
>
>>>>>> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
>>>>>> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
>>>>>> effort of foreign policy.
>>>>
>>>>Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
>>>
>>>
>>>I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
>>>too heilish.
>>>
>>>I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias.
>>
>>That has been obvious, but I asked about a U.S. Foreign Policy bias. He
>>has frequently taken pot shots at the U.S. Department of State and U.S.
>>Foreign Policy.
>
>
> Indeed and you flame Len for this american tradition of questioning the
> action of the natiion, you flame him for prefroming the DUTY of an
> amercian citizen

I'm sure that Len does a lot of "preforming". In this instance, he was
not questioning any action at all, just thumping on the Department of
State. I don't expect you to be able to tell the difference. Ask for
some help.

> cut
>
>
>>>>With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
>>>>Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
>>>>speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
>>>>figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
>>>>piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
>>>>worked into. Good luck.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ascension.
>>

>>I only wish I was at liberty to tell you.
>
>
> rich the I could tell you bit have to kill routine. Tom cruise does it
> better dave
> cut

I was doing it before Tom Cruise was old enough to work. The fact is,
there are things which I know that I simply can't share with you. If it
bothers you, I don't care.

>>>I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?
>>
>>If there's a God in Heaven, he has.
>
>
> mighty generous

I'm a mighty generous guy.

>>>>As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
>>>>of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
>>>
>>>
>>>Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur
>>>radio.
>>
>>That's right. They're paid to do a job, whether they participate in
>>some radio service or not. Len isn't a participant and he isn't a
>>regulator.
>
>
> so what?

Check Google. I don't have time to explain it for those who can't keep up.

> why do you seem to deny the rights of american citizen in amercia?

Len has no right for his views to be accepted. His views carry no more
weight than my own. The terms "America" and "American" are capitalized.
There is no "Amercia".

>>>>>Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
>>>>
>>>>Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
>>>> floor rooms.
>>>
>>>
>>>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.
>>
>>There's tarpaper under there somewhere.
>
>

> ah yess another lie

Let me see if I understand you. You wan't to promote a quarrel over whether there is tarpaper on my house? Do I have it correct?

Dave K8MN

703. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 20:31:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L6lTe.2359$9x2.2244@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118629

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
> cut
>
>>>>>>>That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
>>>>>>>evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
>>>>>>>Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Do you ever tire of being wrong?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
>>>>>>on 6 meters?
>>>>
>>>>>Do you?
>>>>
>>>>Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
>>>>being one?
>>>
>>>
>>>I have no certificate from Hiram, suitable for framing. But I do take
>>>pride in my station, my signal, and my operating abilities.
>>
>>That is admirable.
>>
>>
>>>And if I
>>>were aware that French hams were out of band, I wouldn't be completing
>>>circuits for them nor sending them QSL cards.
>>
>>It is your right to do so. You may research the band allocations for
>>each and every DX station you hear, before you actually call them or
>>respond to them. It will assuredly cut down on the number of contacts
>>your make, especially in a contest or pileup situation. You should also
>>bear in mind that nothing in the regulations under which you operate,
>>mandates that you do so. You are responsible for making sure that your
>>own signal is where it is supposed to be. If any station I've ever
>>worked was where he was not supposed to be, he'd likely not be able to
>>show off a treasured QSL from a rare station which read the exact

>>frequency of operation (i.e. 50.115 MHz).
>>
>>Additionally, you can check on the web or by using a callsign database,
>>to be certain that each domestic station you might contact is where he
>>or she is supposed to be under the terms of his or her license.
>>
>>Finally, there is nothing in the regs which makes you responsible for my
>> station operation either here in the United States, in Tanzania or in
>>any other country.
>
>
> indeed you spend a page avoiding the issue

The issue? The issue is waaaaaaay up at the top of the page. To save
you the trouble of going up there to look, it was:

"That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do."

It was written by me. Brian's diversion took us down the merry path.

>>I hope that clears things up for you.
>
>
> very clear

Duuuuuh, not to you. You managed to hose it up.

> you are a fraud, and lack the moral courage to deal with an issue

First, have someone define the issue for you. Then have them explain
its nuances. Seek assistance in formulating a reasoned response and get
your pool of typists post it in English for you.

Dave K8MN

704. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 20:40:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OeITe.7486$Wd7.7027@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118630

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>>
>>>In article <sk_Se.891$9x2.103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>>>>
>>>>>Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man.
>>>>
>>>>What do you know of real men, Roger/not Roger?
>>>
>>>
>>>I know you aren't one of them.
>>
>>You know? How would you know? You're just some guy hiding behind
>>anonymous remailers.
>
>
> which mean what? that he knows nothing?

You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.

> cut
>
>
>>>>You can't use your own name lest you have
>>>>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
>>>
>>>
>>>Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.
>>
>>Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
>>as well.
>
>
> You know this How?

I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.

>>>And since when does
>>>the police deny freedom of speech?
>>
>>Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police. Your freedom of
>>speech stops where my rights begin.
>
>
> gee you are very concerned about YOUR rights but support the abuse of
> other poeple rights

You know not of what you speak.

> yep I am beigin to wonder if you are not a cut out for Stevie

A cut out for him? Can you explain the concept?

>>>>>No wonder you
>>>>>were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."
>>>>
>>>>I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".
>>>
>>>
>>>Oh, so you couldn't even make the errand boy grade?
>>
>>Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?
>
>
> why should anyone?

Precisely.

> such an ego you have

It has nothing to do with my ego.

> gee looking more like Stevie

Steve and I look nothing alike.

>>>>You monitor local FM repeaters
>>>
>>>
>>>Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
>>>think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
>>
>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is
>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
>
>
> If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts

> about them must have been lies

You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
who worked me on 6m....and me.

> aroogance now the speeling looks a lot like stevie
> cut

YOu can tell by the aroogance and speeling, can you? C'mon. You had help.

> Hmm is Dave realy Stevie. It could be, but not likely Sadly Stevie's
> abusive self centered is all too comon

You're still a twit, Mark.

Dave K8MN

705. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 20:47:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118631

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
> cut
>
>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>
>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
>>operation, Mark.
>>
>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>
>
> I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
> CW if I like

Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only. We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke. If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.

> but of course you have no sense of humor either

I'm responding to you, aren't I?

Dave K8MN

706. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:08:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126127338.473068.315130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118632

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>>More word play.
> >>>>
> >>>>Word play? Hardly. I've never participated in a State Department net
> >>>>on RTTY or CW.
> >>>
> >>>More word play. Clintonesque.
> >>
> >>I fail to see any word play. I made a factual and concise statement of
> >>the truth.
> >
> >
> > the very meaning of word play as word play. Nicely done
> >
> >>>Further down you just admitted to opening and closing RTTY net using CW
> >>>on "another frequency."
> >>
> >>Yes, I did. I was not active on any CW or RTTY net. It can't be much
> >>more plain that that.
> >
> >
> > so you closed a RTTY net that were not on with CW?
>
> Thank you for pointing out my error. I closed a RTTY circuit by use of
> CW on another frequency. There was no net involved.

no it seems I have pointed out your lie

>
> > the only plain meaning in there would saying you are nuts
> > cut
>
> That would be the only meaning with which you could come up. Well...
>
> >>>>It never began.
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>You should work on your facts.
> >>>
> >>>The W1AW operator is paid a salary to transmit on amateur frequencies.
> >>>School teachers may make radio transmissions as a part of their paid
> >>>instructions to students.
> >>
> >>Is the W1AW op paid to transmit? Are you certain? School teachers
> >>receive a salary for teaching, not for demonstrating amateur radio.
> >
> >
> > more word play
>
> If you mean that I used words to respond, then you are correct.
>
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>>>As in "deep-sixed".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>As in "murdered?"
> >>
> >>If "murdered" is what you want to write, then write it. You aren't
> >>going to twist my words.
> >
> >
> > no one is trying to twist your words just trying to pin you down to
> > what you mean
>
> I wrote what I meant in the first place. Brian is trying to get me to
> say another thing.
>
> > Indeed I think most people have an easier time reading my stuff at its
> > worst than reading your dreck
>
> I think you may have just written your biggest fabrication of all.

not at all you spend days and day trying to franticaly avoid saying
what you mean
>
> Dave K8MN

707. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:09:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126127384.910334.309200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118633

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
> >>
> >>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
> >>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
> >>operation, Mark.
> >>
> >>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
> >
> >
> > I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
> > CW if I like
>
> Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
> reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
> We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
> If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
> real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
> challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
>
> > but of course you have no sense of humor either
>
> I'm responding to you, aren't I?

more nonsesne from Dave
>
> Dave K8MN

708. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:14:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126127684.837131.11340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118634

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
cut
> > don't think so but you can't tell with the remailers
> >
> > and unlike you I can polite to anyone, even those that dispise me, if
> > they can be polite in turn
>
> Polite in return? Seek comfort wherever you can find it, Mark. Check
> Google for Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ or KC8JBO to see who you've cozied up to.

Comfort? boyo you need to over yourself

I can be polite to those that are polite to me, making me a better man
than you are, but then Dee Flint is a better man than you are
>
> >>I've not only not flirted with bisexual woman, I've not flirted with any
> >>woman in any newsgroup. You can lead a dyslexic to Google, but you
> >>can't make him read.
> >
> >
> > meaning no one my be premitted to form his/her own opinion on anything
> > without Daves aproval.
>
> That isn't what it means at all, Mark. It means that you can go to an
> archived source and still not be able to comprehend what you are looking at.

yes it is what you mean

noon but you are premitted to decide what you are saying

>
> > I bet your wife would disagree with your
> > assement if she read all of your various exachnges with that woman
>
> You'd be completely and utterly wrong...again.

Don't know for sure but I don't think so, could be though

>
> > Indeed I also simply can't understand how you can maneg to polite to a
> > bisexaul female and yet be so moraly repulsed by a bisexual male
>

> You've misread or misunderstood or are deliberately being obtuse. The
> woman is not, repeat no bisexual. She is not, repeat not a stripper. At
> no time did I flirt with her.

according to women she is Bisexual and I see the length content and
nature of the exchanges between on the NG as flirting
>
> Would you like to see my archived comments from the alt.west-virginia
> newsgroup on those who claim to be bisexual? Right here:

no I saw them once you were disgusting enough without repeating
yourself
cut
> > and more of you bashing the disabled
>
> My comments were directed not to the disabled as a group, but to you.
> You are a vicious, lying SOB. My apologies to the truly disabled.

more of your lies Dave
>
> Dave K8MN

709. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:16:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2NITe.7135$4P5.5141@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118635

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>>>
>>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
>>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
>>>>operation, Mark.
>>>>
>>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>>>
>>>
>>>I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
>>>CW if I like
>>
>>Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
>>reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
>>We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
>>If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
>>real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
>>challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
>>
>>
>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
>>
>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?

> more nonsesne from Dave

What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd

have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for you?

I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.

Dave K8MN

710. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:18:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050907171816.843$lz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 118636
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Herbert Khaury" <tiptoe@tulip.me> wrote:
> How do you make a dead looter float?
>
Send it to the Bijou.

711. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:22:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bSITe.7139$4P5.4318@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118637

```
an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>More word play.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Word play? Hardly. I've never participated in a State Department net
>>>>>>on RTTY or CW.
>>>>>
>>>>>More word play. Clintonesque.
>>>>
>>>>I fail to see any word play. I made a factual and concise statement of
>>>>the truth.
>>>
>>>
>>>the very meaning of word play as word play. Nicely done
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Further down you just admitted to opening and closing RTTY net using CW
>>>>>on "another frequency."
>>>>
>>>>Yes, I did. I was not active on any CW or RTTY net. It can't be much
>>>>more plain that that.
>>>
>>>
>>>so you closed a RTTY net that were not on with CW?
>>
>>Thank you for pointing out my error. I closed a RTTY circuit by use of
>>CW on another frequency. There was no net involved.
>
>
> no it seems I have pointed out your lie
```

I saw the error you pointed out. I admitted the error and corrected it.

>>>the only plain meaning in there would saying you are nuts
>>>cut
>>
>>That would be the only meaning with which you could come up. Well...
>>
>>
>>>>>>It never began.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You should work on your facts.
>>>>>
>>>>>The W1AW operator is paid a salary to transmit on amateur frequencies.
>>>>>School teachers may make radio transmissions as a part of their paid
>>>>>instructions to students.
>>>>
>>>>Is the W1AW op paid to transmit? Are you certain? School teachers
>>>>receive a salary for teaching, not for demonstrating amateur radio.
>>>
>>>
>>>more word play
>>
>>If you mean that I used words to respond, then you are correct.
>>
>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>As in "deep-sixed".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>As in "murdered?"
>>>>
>>>>If "murdered" is what you want to write, then write it. You aren't
>>>>going to twist my words.
>>>
>>>
>>>no one is trying to twist your words just trying to pin you down to
>>>what you mean
>>
>>I wrote what I meant in the first place. Brian is trying to get me to
>>say another thing.
>>
>>
>>>Indeed I think most people have an easier time reading my stuff at its
>>>worst than reading your dreck
>>
>>I think you may have just written your biggest fabrication of all.
>

>
> not at all you spend days and day trying to franticaly avoid saying
> what you mean

There's part of your problem. I write what I intend to convey. You attempt to read it and through an inability to comprehend or through some suspicion on your part that my words have some hidden meaning, you believe that I'm trying to avoid saying what I mean.

"Paranoia striked deep
into your heart it will creep"
--Buffalo Springfield

Dave K8MN

712. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:23:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126128189.315305.65990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118638

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >
> >>>Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
> >>>request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.
> >>
> >>I've seen nothing to indicate an endorsement from the World's Greatest
> >>DXer. Perhaps you received an e-mail from him. While I haven't
> >>endorsed the idea, I have encouraged you to pursue it.
> >
> >
> > indeed you do always encourage people to feed your ego
>
> You keep showing us that you can't comprehend the written word. I asked
> for no credit. The idea is Brian Burke's. I've never claimed to be the
> World's Greatest DXer. You've come up with nothing once again, frantic
> fabricator.

I understand it fine never said you asked for credit never commented on
your claim

you just don't understand the written word, esp if it says anything you
don't wnat to read

>
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>>As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
> >>>>type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
> >>>>go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>And there you have it.
> >>
> >>Yes, there you have it. Ambassadors, Deputy Chiefs of Mission,
> >>Political and Economics Officers make demarches, telephone and write to
> >>foreign ministers, presidents, prime ministers and the like.
> >>Information Management personnel have quite different job requirements.
> >

> >
> > indeed We may all the god for that...
>
> We may all the god? Just how do we accomplish that?

not my problem

>
> > ...the fact you were a file clerk was
> > obviously much better for the nation and the world than puting you in
> > real diplomatic work
>
> Ah, ah, ah, manic manufacturer of fables! I was never a file clerk.
> There are people who file things in embassies. They used to be called
> "secretaries" but they're now called "Office Management Specialists".
> Then again, those of us who were "Information Management Specialists" as
> a broad subgroup, used to be "Support Communications Officers". I liked
> the old term. The generic term "Communicators" is used on a daily basis.

and Torturers are called "Pain Technicians" spin it all you like clerk,
but you are convincing no one, not already tkaen in by your bullshit

> >
> >
> >>>>>He was merely their messenger.
> >>>>
> >>>>Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?
> >>
> >>I'm certain that I've outlined it before. In addition to sending
> >>messages, I received messages. :-)
> >
> >
> > My My such ornerous duties
>
> Only a twit would cut an entire paragraph and then write "My My such
> ornerous duties (sic)".

you keep geting it wrong I hadn't cut the paragraph yet
>
> > cut
cut
> >>>>>> Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
> >>>>>> where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
> >>>>>> morsemanship in the 1980s...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
> >>>>
> >>>>He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>What frequency?
> >>
> >>A different one!
> >
> >
> > i see more non answer answerer
>
> An answer was provided. You didn't like it. You have no clearance and
> no need to know.

more tom cruise
>
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>>>>> None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
> >>>>>> counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
> >>>>>> effort of foreign policy.
> >>>>
> >>>>Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
> >>>too heilish.
> >>>
> >>>I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias.
> >>
> >>That has been obvious, but I asked about a U.S. Foreign Policy bias. He
> >>has frequently taken pot shots at the U.S. Department of State and U.S.
> >>Foreign Policy.
> >
> >
> > Indeed and you flame Len for this american tradition of questioning the
> > action of the natiion, you flame him for prefroming the DUTY of an
> > amercian citizen
cut
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>>>With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
> >>>>Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
> >>>>speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
> >>>>figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
> >>>>piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
> >>>>worked into. Good luck.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Ascension.
> >>

> >>I only wish I was at liberty to tell you.
> >
> >
> > rich the I could tell you bit have to kill routine. Tom cruise does it
> > better dave
> > cut
>
> I was doing it before Tom Cruise was old enough to work. The fact is,
> there are things which I know that I simply can't share with you. If it
> bothers you, I don't care.

Doesn't bother me just disgusts me your efforts to make yourself look
important

>
> >>>I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?
> >>
> >>If there's a God in Heaven, he has.
> >
> >
> > mighty generous
>
> I'm a mighty generous guy.
>
> >>>>As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
> >>>>of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur
> >>>radio.
> >>
> >>That's right. They're paid to do a job, whether they participate in
> >>some radio service or not. Len isn't a participant and he isn't a
> >>regulator.
> >
> >
> > so what?
>
> Check Google. I don't have time to explain it for those who can't keep up.

no need to check google to know that you just can't stand anyone that
doesn't kowtow to you, everyong remotely honest on her knows that

I was just trying to fair nd give a chance t make your point if your
had one

so it was just another gratouitous attack boring

>
> > why do you seem to deny the rights of american citizen in amercia?
>
> Len has no right for his views to be accepted. His views carry no more

> weight than my own. The terms "America" and "American" are capitalized.
> There is no "Amercia".

never len did, but he does have the right to express his opinion a
right you seek to deny him and me for that matter

>
> >>>>>Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
> >>>>
> >>>>Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
> >>>> floor rooms.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.
> >>
> >>There's tarpaper under there somewhere.
> >
> >
> > ah yess another lie
>
> Let me see if I understand you. You wan't to promote a quarrel over
> whether there is tarpaper on my house? Do I have it correct?

no you don't
>
> Dave K8MN

713. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:24:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126128275.702296.163470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118639

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>>>>That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
> >>>>>>>evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
> >>>>>>>Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Do you ever tire of being wrong?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
> >>>>>>on 6 meters?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Do you?
> >>>>
> >>>>Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
> >>>>being one?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I have no certificate from Hiram, suitable for framing. But I do take
> >>>pride in my station, my signal, and my operating abilities.
> >>
> >>That is admirable.
> >>
> >>
> >>And if I
> >>>were aware that French hams were out of band, I wouldn't be completing
> >>>circuits for them nor sending them QSL cards.
> >>
> >>It is your right to do so. You may research the band allocations for
> >>each and every DX station you hear, before you actually call them or
> >>respond to them. It will assuredly cut down on the number of contacts
> >>your make, especially in a contest or pileup situation. You should also
> >>bear in mind that nothing in the regulations under which you operate,
> >>mandates that you do so. You are responsible for making sure that your
> >>own signal is where it is supposed to be. If any station I've ever
> >>worked was where he was not supposed to be, he'd likely not be able to
```

> >>show off a treasured QSL from a rare station which read the exact
> >>frequency of operation (i.e. 50.115 MHz).
> >>
> >>Additionally, you can check on the web or by using a callsign database,
> >>to be certain that each domestic station you might contact is where he
> >>or she is supposed to be under the terms of his or her license.
> >>
> >>Finally, there is nothing in the regs which makes you responsible for my
> >> station operation either here in the United States, in Tanzania or in
> >>any other country.
> >
> >
> > indeed you spend a page avoiding the issue

still avoid the issue I see

cut

714. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:29:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <pZITe.8406$9i4.661@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118640

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:2NITe.7135$4P5.5141@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>cut
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>>>>
>>>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
>>>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
>>>>>operation, Mark.
>>>>>
>>>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
>>>>CW if I like
>>>
>>>Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
>>>reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
>>>We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
>>>If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
>>>real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
>>>challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
>>>
>>>
>>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
>>>
>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>
>> more nonsesne from Dave

>
> What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
> challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd
> have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for
> you?
>
> I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave,

Everything is "nonsesne" to him. Especially those that disagree with him.
Which seems to be most everyone.

Dan/W4NTI

715. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:32:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126128737.888277.106000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118641

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <sk_Se.891$9x2.103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man.
> >>>>
> >>>>What do you know of real men, Roger/not Roger?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I know you aren't one of them.
> >>
> >>You know? How would you know? You're just some guy hiding behind
> >>anonymous remailers.
> >
> >
> > which mean what? that he knows nothing?
>
> You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
> is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
> has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
> it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
> Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.

One I don't take your orders

Two Noone knows nothing, even you must know something god help Ican't
say what it might be, but I am certain you know something

>
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>>>You can't use your own name lest you have
> >>>>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.
> >>
> >>Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
> >>as well.
> >
> >
> > You know this How?
>
> I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
> Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
> Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.

still don't see any proof you know this alleged fact

>
> >>>And since when does
> >>>the police deny freedom of speech?
> >>
> >>Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police. Your freedom of
> >>speech stops where my rights begin.
> >
> >
> > gee you are very concerned about YOUR rights but support the abuse of
> > other poeple rights
>
> You know not of what you speak.

I certainly do know of what I speak

>
> > yep I am beigin to wonder if you are not a cut out for Stevie
>
> A cut out for him? Can you explain the concept?

you should know the term "cut out" if you don't then you are likely
lying about having a career any where the Govt.

>
> >>>>No wonder you
> >>>>were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."
> >>>>
> >>>>I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Oh, so you couldn't even make the errand boy grade?
> >>
> >>Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?
> >
> >
> > why should anyone?
>
> Precisely.

indeed you were an errand boy so what
>
> > such an ego you have
>
> It has nothing to do with my ego.

Big whooper
>
> > gee looking more like Stevie
>
> Steve and I look nothing alike.

you 2 are very much alike in manner in the way you look online even
the way you don't bother with the uses of lang

>
> >>>>You monitor local FM repeaters
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
> >>>think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
> >>
> >>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is
> >>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
> >>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
> >
> >
> > If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
> > about them must have been lies
>
> You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
> I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
> who worked me on 6m....and me.

Not at all just one you don't like

and with You I doubt even the other hams kew what they were dealing
with (or realy cared either)

>
> > aroogance now the speeling looks a lot like stevie
> > cut
>
> YOu can tell by the aroogance and speeling, can you? C'mon. You had help.

I can tell no need for hel with you and stevie
>
> > Hmm is Dave realy Stevie. It could be, but not likely Sadly Stevie's
> > abusive self centered is all too comon
>
> You're still a twit, Mark.

In your view sure I am

but Id rather be atwit than someone who promotes, aids criminals,
abusives the conistitution of the Us and the rest of th stuf you and
Stevie do
>
> Dave K8MN

716. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:40:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126129244.176668.104930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118642

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
> >>>>
> >>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
> >>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
> >>>>operation, Mark.
> >>>>
> >>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
> >>>CW if I like
> >>
> >>Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
> >>reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
> >>We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
> >>If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
> >>real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
> >>challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
> >>
> >>
> >>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
> >>
> >>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
> >
> > more nonsesne from Dave
```

but again proving you aren't reading, the nonsense is your claim that
you have a sense of humor
>
> What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
> challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd
> have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for you?

Why take you up on a challenge? What do I gain? If I shoudl prvail you
will not adknoweldge it or admit to it. if I should fail then you will
keep going on about til you become a SK. I have work CW on FD and
frankly this year I am looking forward to trying FD from Home with my
own station, and to have fun doing it, so I am bussy and you are
offering a challenge with no upside, to replace my plans. and then you
dare wonder why I am not interested

>
> I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.

you are full of nonsense, and full of shit for that matter
>
> Dave K8MN

717. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:41:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t8JTe.2383$9x2.502@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118643

```
an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>>Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
>>>>>request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.
>>>>
>>>>I've seen nothing to indicate an endorsement from the World's Greatest
>>>>DXer. Perhaps you received an e-mail from him. While I haven't
>>>>endorsed the idea, I have encouraged you to pursue it.
>>>
>>>
>>>indeed you do always encourage people to feed your ego
>>
>>You keep showing us that you can't comprehend the written word. I asked
>>for no credit. The idea is Brian Burke's. I've never claimed to be the
>>World's Greatest DXer. You've come up with nothing once again, frantic
>>fabricator.
>
>
> I understand it fine never said you asked for credit never commented on
> your claim

Then what's with your little "indeed you do always encourage people to
feed your ego" comment. My statement had nothing to do my ego or with
any self-aggrandizement. It was, in fact, just the opposite.

> you just don't understand the written word, esp if it says anything you
> don't wnat to read

Wnat?

>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
```

>>>>>>there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
>>>>>>type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
>>>>>>go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>And there you have it.
>>>>
>>>>Yes, there you have it. Ambassadors, Deputy Chiefs of Mission,
>>>>Political and Economics Officers make demarches, telephone and write to
>>>>foreign ministers, presidents, prime ministers and the like.
>>>>Information Management personnel have quite different job requirements.
>>>
>>>
>>>indeed We may all the god for that...
>>
>>We may all the god? Just how do we accomplish that?
>
>
> not my problem
>
>
>>>...the fact you were a file clerk was
>>>obviously much better for the nation and the world than puting you in
>>>real diplomatic work
>>
>>Ah, ah, ah, manic manufacturer of fables! I was never a file clerk.
>>There are people who file things in embassies. They used to be called
>>"secretaries" but they're now called "Office Management Specialists".
>>Then again, those of us who were "Information Management Specialists" as
>>a broad subgroup, used to be "Support Communications Officers". I liked
>>the old term. The generic term "Communicators" is used on a daily basis.
>
>
> and Torturers are called "Pain Technicians" spin it all you like clerk,
> but you are convincing no one, not already tkaen in by your bullshit

I'll state it for you again, feeble one. I never worked in the position
of file clerk. You've lied so much and so often that you're assuming
that everyone does.

>>>
>>>>>>>He was merely their messenger.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?
>>>>
>>>>I'm certain that I've outlined it before. In addition to sending
>>>>messages, I received messages. :-)
>>>

>>>
>>>My My such ornerous duties
>>
>>Only a twit would cut an entire paragraph and then write "My My such
>>ornerous duties (sic)".
>
>
> you keep geting it wrong I hadn't cut the paragraph yet

Why, certainly you did, feeble fabricator. Here it is:

"There's much more though. I operated and maintained the equipment
which took care of all of that sending and receiving. I was responsible
for the HF and VHF E&E nets and equipment. I was in charge of the
embassy telephone system and supervised the receptionists. I was
responsible for classified pouch despatch and receipt. I served as
system administrator for classified and unclassifed LAN's and was
responsible for stand alone PC's. I supervised the embassy mailroom
staff. I ran frequency clearances for VIP visits, obtained VHF
frequency permits for embassy repeater and simplex channels through the
host country PTT. There were other duties, but I'm sure you get the idea."

>
>>>>>>>>Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
>>>>>>>>where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
>>>>>>>>morsemanship in the 1980s...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>What frequency?
>>>>
>>>>A different one!
>>>
>>>
>>>i see more non answer answerer
>>
>>An answer was provided. You didn't like it. You have no clearance and
>>no need to know.
>
>
> more tom cruise

Tom Cruise worked for the Department of State as a communicator? Get
outta here!

>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>>>>>>None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
>>>>>>>>counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
>>>>>>>>effort of foreign policy.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
>>>>>too heilish.
>>>>>
>>>>>I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias.
>>>>
>>>>That has been obvious, but I asked about a U.S. Foreign Policy bias. He
>>>>has frequently taken pot shots at the U.S. Department of State and U.S.
>>>>Foreign Policy.
>>>
>>>
>>>Indeed and you flame Len for this american tradition of questioning the
>>>action of the natiion, you flame him for prefroming the DUTY of an
>>>amercian citizen
>
> cut
>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
>>>>>>Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
>>>>>>speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
>>>>>>figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
>>>>>>piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
>>>>>>worked into. Good luck.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Ascension.
>>>>
>>>>I only wish I was at liberty to tell you.
>>>
>>>
>>>rich the I could tell you bit have to kill routine. Tom cruise does it
>>>better dave
>>>cut
>>
>>I was doing it before Tom Cruise was old enough to work. The fact is,
>>there are things which I know that I simply can't share with you. If it
>>bothers you, I don't care.
>
>
> Doesn't bother me just disgusts me your efforts to make yourself look

> important

Funny that my informing you that I can't tell you something would
disgust you more than some of your own practices.

>>>>>I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?
>>>>
>>>>If there's a God in Heaven, he has.
>>>
>>>
>>>mighty generous
>>
>>I'm a mighty generous guy.
>>
>>
>>>>>>As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
>>>>>>of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur
>>>>>radio.
>>>>
>>>>That's right. They're paid to do a job, whether they participate in
>>>>some radio service or not. Len isn't a participant and he isn't a
>>>>regulator.
>>>
>>>
>>>so what?
>>
>>Check Google. I don't have time to explain it for those who can't keep up.
>
>
> no need to check google to know that you just can't stand anyone that
> doesn't kowtow to you, everyong remotely honest on her knows that

Her? Her who?

> I was just trying to fair nd give a chance t make your point if your
> had one

I appreciate that you were just trying to fair, as you understand the term.

> so it was just another gratouitous attack boring
>
>
>>>why do you seem to deny the rights of american citizen in amercia?
>>
>>Len has no right for his views to be accepted. His views carry no more
>>weight than my own. The terms "America" and "American" are capitalized.
>>There is no "Amercia".
>
>

> never len did, but he does have the right to express his opinion a
> right you seek to deny him and me for that matter

Never len did? Did what? Len has repeatedly expressed his opinion.
You have, on very many occasions, expressed your opinions and even a
number of outright lies. You can't point to an occasion when I have
sought to deny your rights.

>
>>>>>>>Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
>>>>>>floor rooms.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.
>>>>
>>>>There's tarpaper under there somewhere.
>>>
>>>
>>>ah yess another lie
>>
>>Let me see if I understand you. You wan't to promote a quarrel over
>>whether there is tarpaper on my house? Do I have it correct?
>
>
> no you don't

Well? Enlighten me. Tell me exactly what you mean. Tell me why you
believe I'm lying about tarpaper.

Dave K8MN

718. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:51:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118644

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:2NITe.7135$4P5.5141@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>cut
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>>>>>
>>>>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
>>>>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
>>>>>>operation, Mark.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
>>>>>CW if I like
>>>>
>>>>Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
>>>>reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
>>>>We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
>>>>If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
>>>>real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the

>>>>challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
>>>>
>>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>>
>>>more nonsesne from Dave
>>
>>What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
>>challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd
>>have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for
>>you?
>>
>>I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Dave,
>
> Everything is "nonsesne" to him. Especially those that disagree with him.
> Which seems to be most everyone.

In all honesty, I think anything written is perplexing to Mark. He
assumes that if it is nonsense to him, it is nonsense to all--a sort of
equal opportunity confusion.

I made him an easy challenge. Even a guy who uses code-reading software
and a PC should be able to generate 100 QSOs during a 24-hour FD period.
Maybe I'm being unfair to him. Perhaps I need to give him a 15:1 ratio
as an advantage. I've offered to publicly acknowledge him as a real CW
op if he wins. I think I should be able to claim something if he loses.
Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
football game if he falls short.

Maybe he has re-thought his claim about his being able to use CW.

Dave K8MN

719. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:53:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126130029.775580.227200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118645

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>>Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
> >>>>>request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.
> >>>>
> >>>>I've seen nothing to indicate an endorsement from the World's Greatest
> >>>>DXer. Perhaps you received an e-mail from him. While I haven't
> >>>>endorsed the idea, I have encouraged you to pursue it.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>indeed you do always encourage people to feed your ego
> >>
> >>You keep showing us that you can't comprehend the written word. I asked
> >>for no credit. The idea is Brian Burke's. I've never claimed to be the
> >>World's Greatest DXer. You've come up with nothing once again, frantic
> >>fabricator.
> >
> >
> > I understand it fine never said you asked for credit never commented on
> > your claim
>
> Then what's with your little "indeed you do always encourage people to
> feed your ego" comment. My statement had nothing to do my ego or with
> any self-aggrandizement. It was, in fact, just the opposite.

learn english

your statement was nothing but ego and selfaggrandizement. you have
little but your puff and stract and bluster

>
> > you just don't understand the written word, esp if it says anything you
> > don't wnat to read
>
> Wnat?

indeed my point exactly
>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>As to learning "ANY diplomacy",
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>there is never an instance where an ambassador calls a communications
> >>>>>>type and says, "We've just received news from Washington. I want you to
> >>>>>>go to the Foreign Ministry and make a demarche".
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>And there you have it.
> >>>>
> >>>>Yes, there you have it. Ambassadors, Deputy Chiefs of Mission,
> >>>>Political and Economics Officers make demarches, telephone and write to
> >>>>foreign ministers, presidents, prime ministers and the like.
> >>>>Information Management personnel have quite different job requirements.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>indeed We may all the god for that...
> >>
> >>We may all the god? Just how do we accomplish that?
> >
> >
> > not my problem
> >
> >
> >>>...the fact you were a file clerk was
> >>>obviously much better for the nation and the world than puting you in
> >>>real diplomatic work
> >>
> >>Ah, ah, ah, manic manufacturer of fables! I was never a file clerk.
> >>There are people who file things in embassies. They used to be called
> >>"secretaries" but they're now called "Office Management Specialists".
> >>Then again, those of us who were "Information Management Specialists" as
> >>a broad subgroup, used to be "Support Communications Officers". I liked
> >>the old term. The generic term "Communicators" is used on a daily basis.
> >
> >
> > and Torturers are called "Pain Technicians" spin it all you like clerk,
> > but you are convincing no one, not already tkaen in by your bullshit
>
> I'll state it for you again, feeble one. I never worked in the position
> of file clerk. You've lied so much and so often that you're assuming
> that everyone does.

you still understand

I Know you lie, indeed I know Bill Sohl lies (I am sure he answers
"fine" to the question how are you) everyone does lie.

You lie here with almost every post you make, and in many case I
honestly think you don't know it

You were a peon, a clerk, a nobody in the dept, you puuf yourself up
with a title but I don't care
>
> >>>
> >>>>>>>He was merely their messenger.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?
> >>>>
> >>>>I'm certain that I've outlined it before. In addition to sending
> >>>>messages, I received messages. :-)
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>My My such ornerous duties
> >>
> >>Only a twit would cut an entire paragraph and then write "My My such
> >>ornerous duties (sic)".
> >
> >
> > you keep geting it wrong I hadn't cut the paragraph yet
>
> Why, certainly you did, feeble fabricator. Here it is:

nope I wrote those words, "My My such ornerous duties" and then moved
down to that para and decided to cut it

another Dave Hiel lie
>
> "There's much more though. I operated and maintained the equipment
> which took care of all of that sending and receiving. I was responsible
> for the HF and VHF E&E nets and equipment. I was in charge of the
> embassy telephone system and supervised the receptionists. I was
> responsible for classified pouch despatch and receipt. I served as
> system administrator for classified and unclassifed LAN's and was
> responsible for stand alone PC's. I supervised the embassy mailroom
> staff. I ran frequency clearances for VIP visits, obtained VHF
> frequency permits for embassy repeater and simplex channels through the
> host country PTT. There were other duties, but I'm sure you get the idea."
>
> >
> >>>>>>>>Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
> >>>>>>>>where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
> >>>>>>>>morsemanship in the 1980s...
> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>What frequency?
> >>>>
> >>>>A different one!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>i see more non answer answerer
> >>
> >>An answer was provided. You didn't like it. You have no clearance and
> >>no need to know.
> >
> >
> > more tom cruise
>
> Tom Cruise worked for the Department of State as a communicator? Get
> outta here!

never said that another Dave Fabrication
>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>>>None of that has anything to do with amateur radio...unless one
> >>>>>>>>counts the entirety of the Department of State as an "amateur"
> >>>>>>>>effort of foreign policy.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Do you think has an anti-U.S. Foreign Policy bias, Brian?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I -could- pretend to not understand your question, but that would be
> >>>>>too heilish.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I think that Len has an anti-Heil bias.
> >>>>
> >>>>That has been obvious, but I asked about a U.S. Foreign Policy bias. He
> >>>>has frequently taken pot shots at the U.S. Department of State and U.S.
> >>>>Foreign Policy.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Indeed and you flame Len for this american tradition of questioning the
> >>>action of the natiion, you flame him for prefroming the DUTY of an
> >>>amercian citizen
> >
> > cut
> >

> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>With that degree, you'd likely be able to figure that Bissau and
> >>>>>Freetown are across the continent from Asmara. When my old colleagues
> >>>>>speak of the "West African Echo" they don't include East Africa. Go
> >>>>>figure. I didn't work into nor did I work through Asmara. The missing
> >>>>>piece of the puzzle for both of you is the location of the station I
> >>>>>worked into. Good luck.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Ascension.
> >>>>
> >>>>I only wish I was at liberty to tell you.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>rich the I could tell you bit have to kill routine. Tom cruise does it
> >>>better dave
> >>>cut
> >>
> >>I was doing it before Tom Cruise was old enough to work. The fact is,
> >>there are things which I know that I simply can't share with you. If it
> >>bothers you, I don't care.
> >
> >
> > Doesn't bother me just disgusts me your efforts to make yourself look
> > important
>
> Funny that my informing you that I can't tell you something would
> disgust you more than some of your own practices.

auf anglish bitte
>
>
> >>>>>I agree. Has Len been insulted? denigrated? belittled?
> >>>>
> >>>>If there's a God in Heaven, he has.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>mighty generous
> >>
> >>I'm a mighty generous guy.
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>>As to the FCC staffer schpiel, it has been previously addressed a number
> >>>>>>of times. Len isn't an FCC staffer, nor is he a radio amateur.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Nor are most FCC staffers, even the ones dealing directly with amateur

> >>>>>radio.
> >>>>
> >>>>That's right. They're paid to do a job, whether they participate in
> >>>>some radio service or not. Len isn't a participant and he isn't a
> >>>>regulator.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>so what?
> >>
> >>Check Google. I don't have time to explain it for those who can't keep up.
> >
> >
> > no need to check google to know that you just can't stand anyone that
> > doesn't kowtow to you, everyong remotely honest on her knows that
>
> Her? Her who?
>
> > I was just trying to fair nd give a chance t make your point if your
> > had one
>
> I appreciate that you were just trying to fair, as you understand the term.
>
> > so it was just another gratouitous attack boring
> >
> >
> >>>why do you seem to deny the rights of american citizen in amercia?
> >>
> >>Len has no right for his views to be accepted. His views carry no more
> >>weight than my own. The terms "America" and "American" are capitalized.
> >>There is no "Amercia".
> >
> >
> > never len did, but he does have the right to express his opinion a
> > right you seek to deny him and me for that matter
>
> Never len did? Did what? Len has repeatedly expressed his opinion.
> You have, on very many occasions, expressed your opinions and even a
> number of outright lies. You can't point to an occasion when I have
> sought to deny your rights.

yes I can you try to deny my right to even exist
>
> >
> >>>>>>>Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
> >>>>>>floor rooms.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.

> >>>>
> >>>>There's tarpaper under there somewhere.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>ah yess another lie
> >>
> >>Let me see if I understand you. You wan't to promote a quarrel over
> >>whether there is tarpaper on my house? Do I have it correct?
> >
> >
> > no you don't
>
> Well? Enlighten me. Tell me exactly what you mean. Tell me why you
> believe I'm lying about tarpaper.
>
> Dave K8MN

720. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 21:55:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126130129.432412.65580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118647

>>>>>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.

>>>>There's tarpaper under there somewhere.

>>>ah yess another lie

>>Let me see if I understand you. You wan't to promote a quarrel over
>>whether there is tarpaper on my house? Do I have it correct?

> no you don't

Well? Enlighten me. Tell me exactly what you mean. Tell me why you
believe I'm lying about tarpaper.

you lied in calling something a Tarpaper shack, plain and simple

Dave

721. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:01:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126130460.844469.306110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118648

Dave Heil wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:2NITe.7135$4P5.5141@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
cut
> Maybe he has re-thought his claim about his being able to use CW.

nope I just don't bet with folks that are not trustworthy
>
> Dave K8MN

722. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:03:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118649

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <sk_Se.891$9x2.103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>>>Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>Your example is one of how you couldn't cut it as a real man.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>What do you know of real men, Roger/not Roger?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I know you aren't one of them.
>>>>
>>>>You know? How would you know? You're just some guy hiding behind
>>>>anonymous remailers.
>>>
>>>
>>>which mean what? that he knows nothing?
>>
>>You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
>>is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
>>has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
>>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
>>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
>
>
> One I don't take your orders

You don't? Did you think I gave you an order a short time ago when you provided Google links here, which you erroneously claimed were my flirts in a personals newsgroup with a bisexual, married stripper?

> Two Noone knows nothing, even you must know something god help Ican't
> say what it might be, but I am certain you know something

Who's noone?

>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>You can't use your own name lest you have
>>>>>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.
>>>>
>>>>Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
>>>>as well.
>>>
>>>
>>>You know this How?
>>
>>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
>>Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
>>Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.
>
>
> still don't see any proof you know this alleged fact

If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city
building.

>>>>>And since when does
>>>>>the police deny freedom of speech?
>>>>
>>>>Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police. Your freedom of
>>>>speech stops where my rights begin.
>>>
>>>
>>>gee you are very concerned about YOUR rights but support the abuse of
>>>other poeple rights
>>
>>You know not of what you speak.
>
>
> I certainly do know of what I speak

Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct.

>>>yep I am beigin to wonder if you are not a cut out for Stevie
>>
>>A cut out for him? Can you explain the concept?
>

>
> you should know the term "cut out" if you don't then you are likely
> lying about having a career any where the Govt.

Sorry. Does it have something to do with exhaust systems?

>>>>>>>No wonder you
>>>>>>>were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh, so you couldn't even make the errand boy grade?
>>>>
>>>>Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?
>>>
>>>
>>>why should anyone?
>>
>>Precisely.
>
>
> indeed you were an errand boy so what

Ahhhh, you're just practicing your lying again.

>>>such an ego you have
>>
>>It has nothing to do with my ego.
>
>
> Big whooper

I saw a big Whooper the other day along Fish Creek. It was standing on
one leg.

>>>gee looking more like Stevie
>>
>>Steve and I look nothing alike.
>
>
> you 2 are very much alike in manner in the way you look online even
> the way you don't bother with the uses of lang

We don't bother with the uses of lang?

>>>>>>You monitor local FM repeaters
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
>>>>>think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
>>>>
>>>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is

>>>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
>>>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
>>>
>>>
>>>If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
>>>about them must have been lies
>>
>>You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
>>I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
>>who worked me on 6m....and me.
>
>
> Not at all just one you don't like

What?

> and with You I doubt even the other hams kew what they were dealing
> with (or realy cared either)

We must be on another topic with which you have no familiarity. If I
was the only 6m op from a rare African DX spot and the band opened, the
ops on the other end of a QSO knew who and what they were dealing with
and they cared very much.

>>>aroogance now the speeling looks a lot like stevie
>>>cut
>>
>>YOu can tell by the aroogance and speeling, can you? C'mon. You had help.
>
>
> I can tell no need for hel with you and stevie

I can see that. You are in a hel of your own making.

>>>Hmm is Dave realy Stevie. It could be, but not likely Sadly Stevie's
>>>abusive self centered is all too comon
>>
>>You're still a twit, Mark.
>
>
> In your view sure I am

Bank on it.

> but Id rather be atwit than someone who promotes, aids criminals,
> abusives the conistitution of the Us and the rest of th stuf you and
> Stevie do

I think I would be too, Mark. Luckily for me, I'm not a twit, nor am I
any of the other things you've described in your own *special* style.

Dave K8MN

723. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:05:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <quJTe.7146$4P5.2499@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118500

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>cut
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>>>>>
>>>>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
>>>>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
>>>>>>operation, Mark.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
>>>>>CW if I like
>>>>
>>>>Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
>>>>reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
>>>>We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
>>>>If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
>>>>real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
>>>>challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
>>>>
>>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>>
>>>more nonsesne from Dave
>
>
> but again proving you aren't reading, the nonsense is your claim that
> you have a sense of humor

I'm responding to you, aren't I?

>>What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
>>challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd
>>have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for you?
>
>
> Why take you up on a challenge? What do I gain? If I shoudl prvail you
> will not adknoweldge it or admit to it. if I should fail then you will
> keep going on about til you become a SK. I have work CW on FD and
> frankly this year I am looking forward to trying FD from Home with my
> own station, and to have fun doing it, so I am bussy and you are
> offering a challenge with no upside, to replace my plans. and then you
> dare wonder why I am not interested
>
>
>>I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.
>
>
> you are full of nonsense, and full of shit for that matter

Does this mean that you do not accept the very generous challenge I've
put before you?

Dave K8MN

724. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:24:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qMJTe.2399$9x2.1874@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118501

```
an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Fantastic! An endorsement from the World's Greatest DXer. I'll
>>>>>>>request that it be known as the "Heil Award," in your honor.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I've seen nothing to indicate an endorsement from the World's Greatest
>>>>>>DXer. Perhaps you received an e-mail from him. While I haven't
>>>>>>endorsed the idea, I have encouraged you to pursue it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>indeed you do always encourage people to feed your ego
>>>>
>>>>You keep showing us that you can't comprehend the written word. I asked
>>>>for no credit. The idea is Brian Burke's. I've never claimed to be the
>>>>World's Greatest DXer. You've come up with nothing once again, frantic
>>>>fabricator.
>>>
>>>
>>>I understand it fine never said you asked for credit never commented on
>>>your claim
>>
>>Then what's with your little "indeed you do always encourage people to
>>feed your ego" comment. My statement had nothing to do my ego or with
>>any self-aggrandizement. It was, in fact, just the opposite.
>
>
> learn english
>
> your statement was nothing but ego and selfaggrandizement. you have
> little but your puff and stract and bluster
```

You're out of your ever-livin', medicated mind.

>>>you just don't understand the written word, esp if it says anything you
>>>don't wnat to read
>>
>>Wnat?
>
>
> indeed my point exactly

Wnat? was your point? Unbelievable.

>>>>>...the fact you were a file clerk was
>>>>>obviously much better for the nation and the world than puting you in
>>>>>real diplomatic work
>>>>
>>>>Ah, ah, ah, manic manufacturer of fables! I was never a file clerk.
>>>>There are people who file things in embassies. They used to be called
>>>>"secretaries" but they're now called "Office Management Specialists".
>>>>Then again, those of us who were "Information Management Specialists" as
>>>>a broad subgroup, used to be "Support Communications Officers". I liked
>>>>the old term. The generic term "Communicators" is used on a daily basis.
>>>
>>>
>>>and Torturers are called "Pain Technicians" spin it all you like clerk,
>>>but you are convincing no one, not already tkaen in by your bullshit
>>
>>I'll state it for you again, feeble one. I never worked in the position
>>of file clerk. You've lied so much and so often that you're assuming
>>that everyone does.
>
>
> you still understand

Yes, I understand. You've attempted to create the false impression that
my job was something entirely different than it was.

> I Know you lie, indeed I know Bill Sohl lies (I am sure he answers
> "fine" to the question how are you) everyone does lie.

You're starting to come off as pretty delusional, Mark.

> You lie here with almost every post you make, and in many case I
> honestly think you don't know it

Sure, sure, Mark. Everybody lies with every breath they breathe out and
they're all talking about you too.

> You were a peon, a clerk, a nobody in the dept, you puuf yourself up
> with a title but I don't care

I haven't provided you with any titles, just a job description. The
guys who worked for me carried the "Support Communications Officer" or
"Information Management Specialist" titles. I was, with the exception

of my first tour, the "Communications Programs Officer" or "Information Programs Officer". There were times that I was provided a diplomatic title as well, "Administative Attache'". You say you don't care, but your words indicate that it matters to you.

>>>>>>>>>He was merely their messenger.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Perhaps it soothes you to cling to that belief.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In addition to sending messages, what else did you do?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'm certain that I've outlined it before. In addition to sending
>>>>>>messages, I received messages. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>My My such ornerous duties
>>>>
>>>>Only a twit would cut an entire paragraph and then write "My My such
>>>>ornerous duties (sic)".
>>>
>>>
>>>you keep geting it wrong I hadn't cut the paragraph yet
>>
>>Why, certainly you did, feeble fabricator. Here it is:
>
>
> nope I wrote those words, "My My such ornerous duties" and then moved
> down to that para and decided to cut it
>
> another Dave Hiel lie

Ahhh, so the order in which you did the two things makes a big difference in your mind. I was going to write that you're a twit and a liar, but I'll make it liar and twit.

>>"There's much more though. I operated and maintained the equipment
>>which took care of all of that sending and receiving. I was responsible
>>for the HF and VHF E&E nets and equipment. I was in charge of the
>>embassy telephone system and supervised the receptionists. I was
>>responsible for classified pouch despatch and receipt. I served as
>>system administrator for classified and unclassifed LAN's and was
>>responsible for stand alone PC's. I supervised the embassy mailroom
>>staff. I ran frequency clearances for VIP visits, obtained VHF
>>frequency permits for embassy repeater and simplex channels through the
>>host country PTT. There were other duties, but I'm sure you get the idea."
>>
>>
>>>>>>>>>>Then there's Heil's thrilling tales of African adventures
>>>>>>>>>>where he "synchronized" State Department communications via
>>>>>>>>>>morsemanship in the 1980s...

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>He opened and closed rtty circuits with CW?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>He surely did, but not on the same frequency as the RTTY circuit.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>What frequency?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>A different one!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>i see more non answer answerer
>>>>
>>>>An answer was provided. You didn't like it. You have no clearance and
>>>>no need to know.
>>>
>>>
>>>more tom cruise
>>
>>Tom Cruise worked for the Department of State as a communicator? Get
>>outta here!
>
>
> never said that another Dave Fabrication

I asked a question. I didn't make a statement. You used the words
"more Tom Cruise". Maybe you meant that you'd *like* some more Tom
Cruise. Was there any Tom Cruise movie where he said anything about
someone not having a security clearanc or a need to know something?

>>>Doesn't bother me just disgusts me your efforts to make yourself look
>>>important
>>
>>Funny that my informing you that I can't tell you something would
>>disgust you more than some of your own practices.
>
>
> auf anglish bitte

It was in English, Mark. Hire a translator to put it into whatever it
is that you speak.

>>>>>why do you seem to deny the rights of american citizen in amercia?
>>>>
>>>>Len has no right for his views to be accepted. His views carry no more
>>>>weight than my own. The terms "America" and "American" are capitalized.
>>>>There is no "Amercia".
>>>
>>>
>>>never len did, but he does have the right to express his opinion a
>>>right you seek to deny him and me for that matter

>>
>>Never len did? Did what? Len has repeatedly expressed his opinion.
>>You have, on very many occasions, expressed your opinions and even a
>>number of outright lies. You can't point to an occasion when I have
>>sought to deny your rights.
>
>
> yes I can you try to deny my right to even exist

I asked you to point to an occasion. You did not do so. I have never
attempted to deny your right to exist. I don't spend a lot of time even
thinking about your existence.

>>>>>>>>>Heil may have spent too much time in the basement with his radios.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Now *that* would be weird. My hamshack consists of two, adjacent second
>>>>>>>>floor rooms.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>There's tarpaper under there somewhere.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>ah yess another lie
>>>>
>>>>Let me see if I understand you. You wan't to promote a quarrel over
>>>>whether there is tarpaper on my house? Do I have it correct?
>>>
>>>
>>>no you don't
>>
>>Well? Enlighten me. Tell me exactly what you mean. Tell me why you
>>believe I'm lying about tarpaper.

I'm waiting. What devious thing am I doing in order to create a
tarpaper coverup?

Dave K8MN

725. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:26:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jOJTe.2402$9x2.1250@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118502

an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>You told us you lived in a tarpaper shack.
>
>
>
>>>>>There's tarpaper under there somewhere.
>
>
>
>>>>ah yess another lie
>
>
>
>>>Let me see if I understand you. You wan't to promote a quarrel over
>>>whether there is tarpaper on my house? Do I have it correct?
>
>
>
>>no you don't
>
>
>
>
> Well? Enlighten me. Tell me exactly what you mean. Tell me why you
> believe I'm lying about tarpaper.

You're certainly hosing things up. The words in the three sentence
above, are mine, not yours.

> you lied in calling something a Tarpaper shack, plain and simple

I'll bet you watch Monk on TV and don't find anything funny about the show.

Dave K8MN

726. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:27:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126132071.719285.82700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118503

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
cut

> >>>which mean what? that he knows nothing?
> >>
> >>You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
> >>is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
> >>has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
> >>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
> >>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
> >
> >
> > One I don't take your orders
>
> You don't? Did you think I gave you an order a short time ago when you
> provided Google links here, which you erroneously claimed were my flirts
> in a personals newsgroup with a bisexual, married stripper?

you ordered nagged and harrased me till I did it and found what I see
as your flirting etc as well more of gay bashing in yet other groups
but never found what you claimed I would find
>
> > Two Noone knows nothing, even you must know something god help Ican't
> > say what it might be, but I am certain you know something
>
> Who's noone?

noone at all
>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>You can't use your own name lest you have
> >>>>>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.
> >>>>
> >>>>Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
> >>>>as well.

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>You know this How?
> >>
> >>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
> >>Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
> >>Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.
> >
> >
> > still don't see any proof you know this alleged fact
>
> If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city
> building.

no Thanks do your own staking
>
> >>>>>And since when does
> >>>>>the police deny freedom of speech?
> >>>>
> >>>>Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police. Your freedom of
> >>>>speech stops where my rights begin.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>gee you are very concerned about YOUR rights but support the abuse of
> >>>other poeple rights
> >>
> >>You know not of what you speak.
> >
> >
> > I certainly do know of what I speak
>
> Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
> you are not correct.

U agree may saying doesn't make it so, However it is so wether you
think so or not

Indeed I am quite convinced that you are so blinded by your bigotry
that you don't the abuses you comit agianst the right of others

>
> >>>yep I am beigin to wonder if you are not a cut out for Stevie
> >>
> >>A cut out for him? Can you explain the concept?
> >
> >
> > you should know the term "cut out" if you don't then you are likely
> > lying about having a career any where the Govt.
>
> Sorry. Does it have something to do with exhaust systems?

no
>
> >>>>>>>No wonder you
> >>>>>>>were relegated to doing errand type tasks for the "State Department."
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>I've never filled a job position which entailed "errand type tasks".
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Oh, so you couldn't even make the errand boy grade?
> >>>>
> >>>>Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>why should anyone?
> >>
> >>Precisely.
> >
> >
> > indeed you were an errand boy so what
>
> Ahhhh, you're just practicing your lying again.

more dave fabrication
>
> >>>such an ego you have
> >>
> >>It has nothing to do with my ego.
> >
> >
> > Big whooper
>
> I saw a big Whooper the other day along Fish Creek. It was standing on
> one leg.

Ok go take your meds
>
> >>>gee looking more like Stevie
> >>
> >>Steve and I look nothing alike.
> >
> >
> > you 2 are very much alike in manner in the way you look online even
> > the way you don't bother with the uses of lang
>
> We don't bother with the uses of lang?

knwo neither of you does
>
> >>>>>>You monitor local FM repeaters
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd

> >>>>>think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
> >>>>
> >>>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is
> >>>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
> >>>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
> >>>about them must have been lies
> >>
> >>You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
> >>I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
> >>who worked me on 6m....and me.
> >
> >
> > Not at all just one you don't like
>
> What?

again check you english data bank
>
> > and with You I doubt even the other hams kew what they were dealing
> > with (or realy cared either)
>
> We must be on another topic with which you have no familiarity. If I
> was the only 6m op from a rare African DX spot and the band opened, the
> ops on the other end of a QSO knew who and what they were dealing with
> and they cared very much.

no we are not

You are off on one topic while the rest of us are on another topic,
which has been the porblem most of the time with you
>
> >>>aroogance now the speeling looks a lot like stevie
> >>>cut
> >>
> >>YOu can tell by the aroogance and speeling, can you? C'mon. You had help.
> >
> >
> > I can tell no need for hel with you and stevie
>
> I can see that. You are in a hel of your own making.

Really?

well then Id recomend you hope that Jeus isn't the god you will stand
before, as ahe may well ask why you didnot follow his teachings and yet
claimed to be one of his followers, but that is just some free advice
>
> >>>Hmm is Dave realy Stevie. It could be, but not likely Sadly Stevie's
> >>>abusive self centered is all too comon

> >>
> >>You're still a twit, Mark.
> >
> >
> > In your view sure I am
>
> Bank on it.
>
> > but Id rather be atwit than someone who promotes, aids criminals,
> > abusives the conistitution of the Us and the rest of th stuf you and
> > Stevie do
>
> I think I would be too, Mark. Luckily for me, I'm not a twit, nor am I
> any of the other things you've described in your own *special* style.

never said you were twit. You are however all the rest and far worse
>
>
> Dave K8MN

727. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:28:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RPJTe.2403$9x2.686@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118504

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>news:2NITe.7135$4P5.5141@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> cut
>
>>Maybe he has re-thought his claim about his being able to use CW.
>
>
> nope I just don't bet with folks that are not trustworthy

What's not to trust, Mark? I'm not like you, a confessed liar of convenience. The scores would be submitted to the ARRL. They'd be documented. It isn't like a real bet. No money changes hands. It is just your opportunity to demonstrate that you're a CW op.

Dave K8MN

728. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:30:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126132219.111019.186680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118505

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> >>>>
> >>>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
> >>>>
> >>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
> >>
> >>>more nonsesne from Dave
> >>
> >>
> > but again proving you aren't reading, the nonsense is your claim that
> > you have a sense of humor
>
> I'm responding to you, aren't I?

another dave fabrication
>
> >>What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
> >>challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd
> >>have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for you?
> >>
> >>
> > Why take you up on a challenge? What do I gain? If I shoudl prvail you
> > will not adknoweldge it or admit to it. if I should fail then you will
> > keep going on about til you become a SK. I have work CW on FD and
> > frankly this year I am looking forward to trying FD from Home with my
> > own station, and to have fun doing it, so I am bussy and you are
> > offering a challenge with no upside, to replace my plans. and then you
> > dare wonder why I am not interested
> >
> >
> >>I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.
> >>
> >>
> > you are full of nonsense, and full of shit for that matter
>
> Does this mean that you do not accept the very generous challenge I've
> put before you?

what generous challenge? I haven't seen one put to me.

I have seen you try to bait to do something you will find or invent a
way to prevert

if you make a generous challenge I'll respond to it (respond as in
consider accepting) till then
>
> Dave K8MN

729. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:55:59 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126133759.949001.271520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118506

Digital wrote:
> "Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
> news:4LB6KPLV38601.9895949074@anonymous...
> In article <1125992542.059222.244480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > For the last eight days I've been involved in mobile intensive
>
> More Nursie lies.
>
> > That having been said,
>
> You need "humbling", but not in the way you described, fake Marine.
>
>
> -=-
> This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.
>
> Cowardice...pure cowardice...

Says the hypocrite hiding behind "Digital" and posting from Alt.net.
Pot Kettle Black, hypocrite.

730. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 22:57:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <9PWdnTeBxuga_lLeRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118646

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> In article <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Hiding behind the curtains.
>
>
> That's rich, coming from somebody who thinks they are a character from a 1950's
> TV show. Or should I say hiding behind a character from a 1950's TV show? Yes,
> that's more correct.
>
>

Come see me fat boy, I sure won't hide behind the curtains like you do.

731. Solar Flare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:02:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126134135.461405.94790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118507

http://www.lmsal.com/solarsoft/latest_events_summary/gev_20050907_1717/gev_20050907_1717_sxilm.html

Watch for aurora in a day or two.

73 de Jim, N2EY

732. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:03:31 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050907230331.0A26E1717B@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118508

In article <9PWdnTeBxuga_ILeRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > In article <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>Hiding behind the curtains.
> >
> >
> > That's rich, coming from somebody who thinks they are a character from a
1950's
> > TV show. Or should I say hiding behind a character from a 1950's TV show?
Yes,
> > that's more correct.
> >
> >
>
> Come see me fat boy,

Fatass, the only fat boy is you!

> I sure won't hide behind the curtains like you do.

No, you'll just hide behind that front door whimpering and sniveling, like you
do when you hide behind that 1950's TV show character screen name.

733. Re: Stevie lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:07:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126134442.284765.57560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118509

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > OTOH don't get mad at me if they are not amused
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nobody finds death threats amusing.
> > > > >
> > > > and yet I have been epected to endure one myself
> > > >
> > > Not from anyone in this newsgroup, you haven't.
> > >
> > sure have from you
> >
> I haven't made any threats.

sure have
>
> > > > Stevie has threatened to kill me in the past
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > yep
> >
> Paranoia.
>
> Get help.

trsuty shotgun is here sheel about all I need should you decide to
carry though (bot that I realy expect you to)
>
> > > > So If he shows up here I will assume he is serious
> > > >
> > > Never "assume" anything.
> > >
> > Bulshit if you show up here I will assmue you are serious
> >
> "Bull####"
>
> If I am in your neighborhood it will be to testify at your

> confinement hearing.

still thowing out the bullshit are stevie
>
> > > > > Frankly, they scare the hell out of me. <cut>
> > > >
> > > > Me too which is why I have reacted to Stevies threat as strongly as I
> > > > have
> > >
> > > All you've done is demonstrate severe paranoid delusions.
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Absolutely "at all".

nope
>
> Several years of it.
>
> > > > Interesting that you take ME to task for asserting my right to self
> > > > defense and
> > >
> > > You're just running off at the mouth and playing up the "I'M A
> > > VICTIM! I'M A VICTIM" role again.
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Absolutely "at all".
>
> The very post I quoted was a prime example.
>
> > > > >If you'd make such a serious threat
> > > > > about a cyber-encounter on the internet, what would you do about a real-life
> > > > > encounter on the streets of your town?
> > > >
> > > > I have made no such threat. I have threatened if you like that if
> > > > Stevie chooses to encoutner me here at my place I will react violently
> > >
> > > Your only "reaction" will be to wet your pants and cry for mama.
> >
> > no you show up and at least one of us will die
>
> Now THAT is a THREAT!

not at all, a simple staement of fact

you show up here at my home and at least one of us will die
>
> (Actually, more like a suicidal ideation)

you planing on Suicide/ that is about my only real fear if you show up
and I shot you to death that it will prove to be just your way of

comiting suicide.
>
> > > > > I'm certain the police in your town/county will NOT be amused.
> > > > >
> > > > > No if Stevie shows they will not be amused at the results
> > > >
> > > > Uh huh.
> > > >
> > > > > Neither will I and neither will Stevie
> > > >
> > > > Why? With all I have seen and done in the last week, watching you
> > > > drop to your knees trying to cover the wet spot in your jeans will be
> > > > quite the comic relief.
> > >
> > Stevie you are stupid
>
> Nope.

yes you are

>
> > you show you will not seeing much of anything
>
> Was that a sentence? What did it mean?

learn to read and find out
>
> > > > > But again Hans if feel I am acting illegaly call the cops, but assmuing
> > > > > you tell them the truth being that I have siad if a particaular person
> > > > > show up I will try and kill before he gets a chance to the same to me I
> > > > > am not worried about them
> > > >
> > > > Markie...EACH and EVERY one of your "he's trying to kill me" posts
> > > > makes you appear even MORE ludicrous and MORE infantile that the one
> > > > before.
> > >
> > I never said you were trying to kill
> >
> > merely threatening
>
> You keep vacillitating on what you "mean", Markie...

No I don't

you have threatened to kill me.

I haven't said you accualy tried to carry out that threat

you have threatened to have me comited, and you claim you have tried to
carry out that threat (no real evidence of that of course)
>
> You can't keep your stories straight.

I keep em straight, you just don't bother telling the turth about it

You made the threat, I reacted by taking it seriously, prehaps more
than it merited, but I harmed you in way by doing so.

You contibue to threaten me and Todd and brain I have seen em in your
text
>
> Why?
>
> > > No one in this forum, least of all me, is even REMOTELY impressed
> > > by your "threats" to "get violent" over ANY provocation.
> >
> > You know you may not be but it seem you are wrong about he NG stevie
>
> No, I'm not.
>
> Who here cares about Markie's threats to "get violent"...?!?!

Hans and Dave did guess you haven't read the newgruop
>
> Personally, I find it comical.

I bet you do sadly

You find death and killing funny, which is why you do scare people like
me, and Brain and len
>
> Steve, K4YZ

734. Re: Herr Dave Heil Himmler says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:12:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126134774.626223.287190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118510

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> In his jack-boots and black SS uniform, with the Nazi armband and monocle:
>
> "They vill sieze your computer, because you disagree with me, and because I say
> so."

and of course Dave would know

735. Re: archive of some things steve would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:15:10 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126134910.007364.299950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118511

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> quoting you stevie
> To which I will reply: (1.) I wasn't there to verify the
> participants at
> the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> then have
> at it (2) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> something
> shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> don't
> waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> consequence.
> YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> subject
> to change!
>
> Unquote
>
> the last sentence is particularly ilumanting

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut

> ---------------------
> Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
>
> Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y
> requires that it be perceived as such<<<."
> --------------------
>
> Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to
> have the courts decide.

and therefore according to stevie as the one who received the threat I
decide if it was threatening

736. Re: Solar Flare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:16:26 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126134986.487504.54970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118512

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.lmsal.com/solarsoft/latest_events_summary/gev_20050907_1717/gev_20050907_1717_sxilm.html
>
> Watch for aurora in a day or two.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I will they are something to see

the only I find wrong with looking at em is that They distract me from
tryo to use to work 6m

737. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:25:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1qqdnR3g9KGa6oLeRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 118513

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>
>> cut
>>
>>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>>
>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
>>>operation, Mark.
>>>
>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>>
>>
>> I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
>> CW if I like
>
> Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
> reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
> We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
> If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
> real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
> challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
>
>> but of course you have no sense of humor either
>
> I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>
> Dave K8MN

More likely you could beat him 100:1 since even I could probably manage 10:1
as I suspect that you are far better than I. One member of our club set a
new personal best last Field Day of 1000 contacts on 40 meters mixed CW and
phone. At least half of them were CW.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

738. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-07 23:31:07 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126135867.281293.131420@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118514

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
cut
> >
> > I'm responding to you, aren't I?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> More likely you could beat him 100:1 since even I could probably manage 10:1
> as I suspect that you are far better than I. One member of our club set a
> new personal best last Field Day of 1000 contacts on 40 meters mixed CW and
> phone. At least half of them were CW.\

boy you are in deep mis elitist Bitch

but of course I know Daves style on here

Asuming I accept his challenge and win I gain nothing of value since
Dave will never adknowledge it

but neither of you nor can both of you bait me into accepting
challange that wouls be adknowledged

Dave's history of evasion is clear on that score
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

739. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 00:17:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hqLTe.7547$Wd7.1004@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118516

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>>>>which mean what? that he knows nothing?
>>>>
>>>>You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
>>>>is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
>>>>has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
>>>>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
>>>>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
>>>
>>>
>>>One I don't take your orders
>>
>>You don't? Did you think I gave you an order a short time ago when you
>>provided Google links here, which you erroneously claimed were my flirts
>>in a personals newsgroup with a bisexual, married stripper?
>
>
> you ordered nagged and harrased me till I did it and found what I see
> as your flirting etc as well more of gay bashing in yet other groups
> but never found what you claimed I would find

You never found anything about me flirting and you never found any "gay
bashing" either. I'm sure you could find my opposition to gay marriage.
I'm sure that you know that I find homosexuality to be forbidden by my
religion and that I can't find the words to adequately express my
disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.

How about if I order, nag and harass you until you look up "Roger
Wiseman", "AB8MQ" and "KC8JBO"?

>>>Two Noone knows nothing, even you must know something god help Ican't
>>>say what it might be, but I am certain you know something

>>
>>Who's noone?
>
>
> noone at all

Darkness at noone.

>>>>>cut
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>You can't use your own name lest you have
>>>>>>>>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
>>>>>>as well.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You know this How?
>>>>
>>>>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
>>>>Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
>>>>Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.
>>>
>>>
>>>still don't see any proof you know this alleged fact
>>
>>If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city
>>building.
>
>
> no Thanks do your own staking

You wanted proof. I provide a way for you to obtain it. That you
choose not to do so isn't my concern. As to "staking", that's exactly
what I did before responding to this post--I had a steak.

>>We don't bother with the uses of lang?
>
>
> knwo neither of you does

And a happy "knwo" to you too. I know of no one who uses the term "lang".

>>>>>>>Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
>>>>>>>think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
>>>>>>

>>>>>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is
>>>>>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
>>>>>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
>>>>>about them must have been lies
>>>>
>>>>You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
>>>>I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
>>>>who worked me on 6m....and me.
>>>
>>>
>>>Not at all just one you don't like
>>
>>What?
>
>
> again check you english data bank

My English data bank can't make heads nor tails of a response in gibberish which doesn't track with the flow of conversation.

My comment: "The only folks who know for sure are those who worked me on 6m....and me"

Your response: "Not at all just one you don't like"

It appears that you believe that the only people who know for sure about my 6m operations from overseas are just ones I don't like. That doesn't make any sense at all.

>>>and with You I doubt even the other hams kew what they were dealing
>>>with (or realy cared either)
>>
>>We must be on another topic with which you have no familiarity. If I
>>was the only 6m op from a rare African DX spot and the band opened, the
>>ops on the other end of a QSO knew who and what they were dealing with
>>and they cared very much.
>
> no we are not
>
> You are off on one topic while the rest of us are on another topic,
> which has been the porblem most of the time with you

I made a direct response to your statement. Now you'd like me to believe that I'm on a different topic. Again, Mark, that doesn't make any sense.

Dave K8MN

740. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 00:29:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WBLTe.7552$Wd7.4645@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118517

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>>>>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>>>>
>>>>>more nonsesne from Dave
>>>
>>>
>>>but again proving you aren't reading, the nonsense is your claim that
>>>you have a sense of humor
>>
>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>
>
> another dave fabrication

It is a fabrication that I'm responding to you? Have it your way, Mark.

>>>>What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
>>>>challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd
>>>>have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for you?
>>>
>>>
>>>Why take you up on a challenge? What do I gain? If I shoudl prvail you
>>>will not adknoweldge it or admit to it. if I should fail then you will
>>>keep going on about til you become a SK. I have work CW on FD and
>>>frankly this year I am looking forward to trying FD from Home with my
>>>own station, and to have fun doing it, so I am bussy and you are
>>>offering a challenge with no upside, to replace my plans. and then you
>>>dare wonder why I am not interested

You'd gain a modicum of respect from others and a mountain of
self-respect if you won. I've already acknowledged that I'll be happy
to recognize your accomplishment right here. The scores will appear on
the ARRL website. You could even operate from your home station while I
sit out in the weeds with a makeshift antenna (a very, very good

makeshift antenna). If you're too busy, I understand. I'd hate to upset
your carefully made plans.

>>>>I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.
>>>
>>>
>>>you are full of nonsense, and full of shit for that matter
>>
>>Does this mean that you do not accept the very generous challenge I've
>>put before you?
>
>
> what generous challenge? I haven't seen one put to me.

I think I have gained some insight into your reading comprehension problem.

> I have seen you try to bait to do something you will find or invent a
> way to prevert

You're the "prevert", Mark. I've offered a challenge which is more than
fair.

> if you make a generous challenge I'll respond to it (respond as in
> consider accepting) till then

I've upped my QSO ratio offer to 15:1. How much of a "gimme" do I need
to offer before you consider it generous, Mark? After all, you've
claimed that *you* can use CW.

Dave K8MN

741. Re: Herr Dave Heil Himmler says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 00:32:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YELTe.7553$Wd7.4301@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118518

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> In his jack-boots and black SS uniform, with the Nazi armband and monocle:
>
> "They vill sieze your computer, because you disagree with me, and because I say
> so."

There's a little guy in Glen Dale, hiding in his little house, using his
little anonymous remailers to disguise his horrid little posts.

Dave K8MN

742. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 00:44:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IPLTe.2455$9x2.280@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118519

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>>>
>>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat about
>>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur radio
>>>>operation, Mark.
>>>>
>>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>>>
>>>
>>>I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
>>>CW if I like
>>
>>Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse
>>reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
>>We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
>>If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
>>real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
>>challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
>>
>>
>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
>>
>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>

>
> More likely you could beat him 100:1 since even I could probably manage 10:1
> as I suspect that you are far better than I.

That'd be tight in a 24 hour event, Dee. As to better (faster?), I've been doing it a lot longer. I was a contester before my traveling days but the pileups during the African years gave me plenty of opportunity to practice. I think the best 48 hour QSO total I've made was in '91 or so from Sierra Leone with something like 3500 CW QSOs. I operated 40 or so hours of the contest. I'm actually a lot better Phone op. :-)

> One member of our club set a
> new personal best last Field Day of 1000 contacts on 40 meters mixed CW and
> phone. At least half of them were CW.

He's doing really well, especially on a single band with typical FD antennas.

N8NN and I've split the FD operating time in 2002, 2003 (those years with K8MN in '02 and N8NN in '03) and 2004 (in '04 we ran CW under the W8CAL call with the local ARES group). I spent part of this year's event at K4CO in northern Kentucky.

Dave K8MN

743. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 00:50:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <de1b69060741508ab7c9d8bec892447b@dizum.com>
Source record: 118520

```
In article <UqGTe.7055$4P5.1614@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > A. Melon wrote:
> >
> >>In article <1125945627.043588.63...@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> >>"an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>an Old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> >>>>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> >>>>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> >>>>>standards, responsible for his posts?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >>>>
> >>>>You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> >>>>chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> >>>>you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not
lie.
> >>>
> >>>what bald faced lies?
> >>
> >>Anybody who disagrees with Dave Vile is a liar, didn't you know that?
<guffaw!>
> >
> > I have noticed
> >
> > different edition of websters I guess
> >
> >>>>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> >>>>>lying
> >>>>>cut
> >>>>
> >>>>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> >>>>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
```

> >>>>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> >>>>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> >>>> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
> >>>
> >>>you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> >>>sexual flirtations
> >>>
> >>>you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> >>>female
> >>
> >>Guess he was bored with the one he married.
> >
> > and interesting that he attacks me so vicously for being a BIsexual
> > male but will flirt with Bisexual female
>
> It is even more interesting that you've been carrying on this exchange
> with the anonymous remailer user who has been calling you a faggot for
> the past couple of weeks.

Dave Vile admits he has been calling somebody a faggot through anonymous remailers and tries to cover it up by attacking somebody else who uses an anonymous remailer. The Irony: priceless.

> I've not only not flirted with bisexual woman, I've not flirted with any
> woman in any newsgroup. You can lead a dyslexic to Google, but you
> can't make him read.

You flirted with a bisexual woman. Quit lying about it. Guess you were bored with the one you married.

744. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 00:50:23 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050908005023.E739F17172@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118521

In article <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

>You know, I hadn't thought of that.

You could fill an encyclopedia with what you haven't thought of.

>I meant that the Roger Wiseman who is behind these anonymous remailer posts

Yep, Roger is behind every post. Paranoid much?

> is a non-entity in my life.

And yet, you are stalking him.

>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.

Neither do you. I bet he knows not to work out of banders.

>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief

It's "Police", you really shouldn't mix the booze with those prescription
painkillers you are addicted to.

>Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
>Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.

Only hearsay, and we all know what a liar Dave Vile is. So anything he says is
a lie. Looks like Vile has lied and can't prove Roger had previous dealings
with the police. He speasks in generalities and can't name specifics.

> If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city

They don't give out information like that to the public, Vile.

> building.

Better still, you can report Dave Vile for stalking with the
Marshall County, West Virginia Prosecutor's office:

Courthouse
Seventh St.
Moundsville, WV 26041
(304) 845-3580

Or the Marshall County West Virginia Sheriff's Office:
601 6th St.
Moundsville, WV 26041
(304)843-1500

> We must be on

You must be on some powerful anti-depressants or is it the prescription painkillers you are addicted to?

> I think I would be too, Mark. Luckily for me, I'm not a twit

Oh, yes you are, Vile.

745. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 00:58:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I0MTe.2466$9x2.1347@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118522

an Old friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> cut
>
>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>More likely you could beat him 100:1 since even I could probably manage 10:1
>>as I suspect that you are far better than I. One member of our club set a
>>new personal best last Field Day of 1000 contacts on 40 meters mixed CW and
>>phone. At least half of them were CW.\
>
>
> boy you are in deep mis elitist Bitch

Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?

> but of course I know Daves style on here

> Asuming I accept his challenge and win I gain nothing of value since
> Dave will never adknowledge it

I've stated here (this makes the third time) that I'll publicly
acknowledge your CW operating prowess in this forum. The scores would
be submitted to the ARRL for publication on the League website.
The League's results are broken down into QSOs and final score.
We'll go strictly by the number of QSOs made during the FD period.
I'll take your worthless word that you've had no assistance, other than
from your computer. You may have one, two or three individuals,
mutually agreed on by us, to watch me like a hawk during the entire
operation, if you like.

> but neither of you nor can both of you bait me into accepting
> challange that wouls be adknowledged

You would receive your due if you were to actually come up with 1 QSO to
my 15 QSOs. You know there is a catch, of course, and that is making
you leary. The catch is that you know and I know that you can't succeed
and that I'd pound you like a drum. I don't blame you for being a
little nervous. After all, your self-respect is on the line.

> Dave's history of evasion is clear on that score

That's a sheer fabrication, and you know it. You're simply ducking the challenge. It's okay to know your limitations and to abide by them, even if you have to weasel by trying to raise some non-existent "history of evasion". Is it on or isn't it?

Dave K8MN

746. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:03:57 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <V6idnXQb5MCF4oLeRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118515

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <9PWdnTeBxuga_ILeRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>>
>>>In article <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn...@gbronline.com>
>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hiding behind the curtains.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's rich, coming from somebody who thinks they are a character from a
>
> 1950's
>
>>>TV show. Or should I say hiding behind a character from a 1950's TV show?
>
> Yes,
>
>>>that's more correct.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Come see me fat boy,
>
>
> Fatass, the only fat boy is you!
>
>
>>I sure won't hide behind the curtains like you do.
>
>
> No, you'll just hide behind that front door whimpering and sniveling, like you
> do when you hide behind that 1950's TV show character screen name.

And you hide behind an anonymous remailer. Come on down coward, the door
is open.

747. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:12:32 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <de1b69060741508ab7c9d8bec892447b@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118526

```
In article <UqGTe.7055$4P5.1614@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > A. Melon wrote:
> >
> >>In article <1125945627.043588.63...@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> >>"an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>an Old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>>>I noticed that you made no comments about "Colonel" Mark Morgan's recent
> >>>>>outrageous lies about me. Why is that? Did you see the quoted Google
> >>>>>material which revealed his claims to be a lie? Aren't you, by your own
> >>>>>standards, responsible for his posts?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>because I wasn't lying at worst I was eeing things differently than you
> >>>>
> >>>>You posted baldfaced lies and when you were presented with facts, you
> >>>>chose to ignore them. In fact, you continued with additional lies. If
> >>>>you'd stoop to these lies, there's likely nothing about which you'd not
lie.
> >>>
> >>>what bald faced lies?
> >>
> >>Anybody who disagrees with Dave Vile is a liar, didn't you know that?
<guffaw!>
> >
> > I have noticed
> >
> > different edition of websters I guess
> >
> >>>>>One thing you and Stvie need to learn is that disagreeing with isn't
> >>>>>lying
> >>>>>cut
> >>>>
> >>>>There was no disagreement. There was only your posting of deliberate,
> >>>>malicious untruths. You wrote that I was posting in a usenet
```

> >>>>"personals" group. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a
> >>>>woman. I wasn't. You wrote that I was flirting with a bisexual woman.
> >>>> I wasn't. You wouldn't know the truth if it whapped you in the face.
> >>>
> >>>you were posting in group consiting of nothing but presonal adds and
> >>>sexual flirtations
> >>>
> >>>you were posting stuff of a flirting nature, directed toward a bisexual
> >>>female
> >>
> >>Guess he was bored with the one he married.
> >
> > and interesting that he attacks me so vicously for being a BIsexual
> > male but will flirt with Bisexual female
>
> It is even more interesting that you've been carrying on this exchange
> with the anonymous remailer user who has been calling you a faggot for
> the past couple of weeks.

Dave Vile admits he has been calling somebody a faggot through anonymous remailers and tries to cover it up by attacking somebody else who uses an anonymous remailer. The Irony: priceless.

> I've not only not flirted with bisexual woman, I've not flirted with any
> woman in any newsgroup. You can lead a dyslexic to Google, but you
> can't make him read.

You flirted with a bisexual woman. Quit lying about it. Guess you were bored with the one you married.

748. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:19:17 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <NFQZ33B238603.1383912037@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118523

```
In article <V6idnXQb5MCF4oLeRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> > In article <9PWdnTeBxuga_ILeRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >>Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn...@gbronline.com>
> >>>Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Hiding behind the curtains.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>That's rich, coming from somebody who thinks they are a character from a
> >
> > 1950's
> >
> >>>TV show. Or should I say hiding behind a character from a 1950's TV show?
> >
> > Yes,
> >
> >>>that's more correct.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>Come see me fat boy,
> >
> >
> > Fatass, the only fat boy is you!
> >
> >
> >>I sure won't hide behind the curtains like you do.
> >
> >
> > No, you'll just hide behind that front door whimpering and sniveling, like
you
> > do when you hide behind that 1950's TV show character screen name.
>
> And you hide behind an anonymous remailer.
```

And you hide behind a 1950's TV character screen name all the while crying about somebody else "hiding." Pot Kettle Black, Buzzie.

> Come on down coward, the door is open.

How is that possible, when your fat ass blocks most of the opening as you hide behind the door.

And I notice you didn't tell anybody where to "come down" to.

749. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:21:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qmMTe.7580$Wd7.1433@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118524

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>You know, I hadn't thought of that.
>
>
> You could fill an encyclopedia with what you haven't thought of.
>
>
>>I meant that the Roger Wiseman who is behind these anonymous remailer posts
>
>
> Yep, Roger is behind every post. Paranoid much?
>
>
>>is a non-entity in my life.
>
>
> And yet, you are stalking him.

It would appear that the Roger-as-anonymous-poster is doing the stalking.

>>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
>>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
>
>
> Neither do you. I bet he knows not to work out of banders.

He doesn't even know enough not QRM other stations on 20m. He's had a
couple of FCC warning letters and was forced to retest.

>>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
>
>
> It's "Police", you really shouldn't mix the booze with those prescription
> painkillers you are addicted to.
>
>
>>Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
>>Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.
>
>
> Only hearsay, and we all know what a liar Dave Vile is. So anything he says is

> a lie. Looks like Vile has lied and can't prove Roger had previous dealings
> with the police. He speasks in generalities and can't name specifics.

You've been dealt with over your e-mailings to me and over your
newsgroup filth posts. That's one of the reasons that you can't come
out in the open and that you have to hide behind remailers and deny your
identity. You'll live in usenet infamy as a sociopath.

>
>>If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city
>
>
> They don't give out information like that to the public, Vile.

...at least you hope not.

>>building.
>
>
> Better still, you can report Dave Vile for stalking with the
> Marshall County, West Virginia Prosecutor's office:
>
> Courthouse
> Seventh St.
> Moundsville, WV 26041
> (304) 845-3580
>
> Or the Marshall County West Virginia Sheriff's Office:
> 601 6th St.
> Moundsville, WV 26041
> (304)843-1500

...but you claim that you aren't Roger Wiseman. You're some anonymous
nobody in cyber space. How can someone stalk a nonexistent person? I
haven't seen Roger Wiseman since he fled a Marshall County ARES meeting
several years ago. He never returned.

Dave K8MN

750. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:27:35 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126142855.591750.51030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118525

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >>news:ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
> >>>
> >>>Dave K8MN
> >>
> >>More likely you could beat him 100:1 since even I could probably manage 10:1
> >>as I suspect that you are far better than I. One member of our club set a
> >>new personal best last Field Day of 1000 contacts on 40 meters mixed CW and
> >>phone. At least half of them were CW.\
> >
> >
> > boy you are in deep mis elitist Bitch
>

> Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?

I am I am in full control of myself, and the object of the address has
stated that she does not find it offensive or insulting

>
> > but of course I know Daves style on here
>
> > Asuming I accept his challenge and win I gain nothing of value since
> > Dave will never adknowledge it
>
> I've stated here (this makes the third time) that I'll publicly

And I don't believe you

> acknowledge your CW operating prowess in this forum. The scores would
> be submitted to the ARRL for publication on the League website.

but that mans Id have to NOT support my club for FD overwise my scorre
will be lumped in with theirs

> The League's results are broken down into QSOs and final score.
> We'll go strictly by the number of QSOs made during the FD period.
> I'll take your worthless word that you've had no assistance, other than

and I don't believe youd take my word

> from your computer. You may have one, two or three individuals,
> mutually agreed on by us, to watch me like a hawk during the entire
> operation, if you like.

right like I know anyone in WV
>
> > but neither of you nor can both of you bait me into accepting
> > challange that wouls be adknowledged
>
> You would receive your due if you were to actually come up with 1 QSO to
> my 15 QSOs. You know there is a catch, of course, and that is making

The catch is you don't tell the turth and so I don't believe you

> you leary. The catch is that you know and I know that you can't succeed
> and that I'd pound you like a drum. I don't blame you for being a
> little nervous. After all, your self-respect is on the line.
>
> > Dave's history of evasion is clear on that score
>
> That's a sheer fabrication, and you know it. You're simply ducking the

no it is simple truth, You spent weeks refusing to answer simple
questions. You will likely find some way of evading admitting to my
sucess assuming I pull it off and we (the NG) will never hear the end
of it if i should fail.

If I were to accept a "chalenge" in which no desirable outcome is
possiblethen I would the fool you claim I am

> challenge. It's okay to know your limitations and to abide by them,
> even if you have to weasel by trying to raise some non-existent "history
> of evasion". Is it on or isn't it?
>
> Dave K8MN

751. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:35:47 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126143347.756570.250300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118527

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> cut
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>which mean what? that he knows nothing?
> >>>>
> >>>>You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
> >>>>is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
> >>>>has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
> >>>>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
> >>>>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>One I don't take your orders
> >>>
> >>>You don't? Did you think I gave you an order a short time ago when you
> >>>provided Google links here, which you erroneously claimed were my flirts
> >>>in a personals newsgroup with a bisexual, married stripper?
> >>
> >>
> > you ordered nagged and harrased me till I did it and found what I see
> > as your flirting etc as well more of gay bashing in yet other groups
> > but never found what you claimed I would find
>
> You never found anything about me flirting and you never found any "gay
> bashing" either. I'm sure you could find my opposition to gay marriage.
> I'm sure that you know that I find homosexuality to be forbidden by my
> religion and that I can't find the words to adequately express my

and you admit here to your gay bashing by repeating it in summary form

> disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
> you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.

no it is becuase of one of 2 thing (i can't tell which at this point)

One possiblity is that I lack enough details about your claims to have
a narrow enough search to have a chance to find it

the other is that you have been lying and it isn't there to be found

>
> How about if I order, nag and harass you until you look up "Roger
> Wiseman", "AB8MQ" and "KC8JBO"?

you can try (alas I can't stop you) but i played your game once
>
> >>>Two Noone knows nothing, even you must know something god help Ican't
> >>>say what it might be, but I am certain you know something
> >>
> >>Who's noone?
> >
> >
> > noone at all
>
> Darkness at noone.
>
> >>>>>cut
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>You can't use your own name lest you have
> >>>>>>>>>the police on your doorstep again. You have to be very, very careful.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Really? Doubtful, since they haven't been on my doorstep.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
> >>>>>>as well.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>You know this How?
> >>>>
> >>>>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
> >>>>Norman Stenger. Glen Dale Police Officers are quite familiar with Mr.
> >>>>Wiseman. They had dealings with him before my visits.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>still don't see any proof you know this alleged fact
> >>
> >>If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city
> >>building.
> >
> >
> > no Thanks do your own staking
>
> You wanted proof. I provide a way for you to obtain it. That you

> choose not to do so isn't my concern. As to "staking", that's exactly
> what I did before responding to this post--I had a steak.

no you provided away I can help you harrass someone I don't know

>
>
> >>We don't bother with the uses of lang?
> >
> >
> > knwo neither of you does
>
> And a happy "knwo" to you too. I know of no one who uses the term "lang".
>
>
> >>>>>>>Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
> >>>>>>>think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is
> >>>>>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
> >>>>>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
> >>>>>about them must have been lies
> >>>>
> >>>>You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
> >>>>I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
> >>>>who worked me on 6m....and me.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Not at all just one you don't like
> >>
> >>What?
> >
> >
> > again check you english data bank
>
> My English data bank can't make heads nor tails of a response in
> gibberish which doesn't track with the flow of conversation.

not my fault

>
> My comment: "The only folks who know for sure are those who worked me on
> 6m....and me"

another Daveie lie what you realy said was "You just jumped to a false
conclusion. Folks here know only because I've seen fit to tell them."
[plus the other statement
>
> Your response: "Not at all just one you don't like"

and my response is that the statement quoted again

and it is true I came to one (conclusion) that you don't like

I came to this conclusion becuase you after days of asking reused to
answer my questions, therefore as I said I would I have to accept
Brain's account of the matter
>
> It appears that you believe that the only people who know for sure about
> my 6m operations from overseas are just ones I don't like. That doesn't
> make any sense at all.

then you are stupid but we knew that
>
> >>>and with You I doubt even the other hams kew what they were dealing
> >>>with (or realy cared either)
> >>
> >>We must be on another topic with which you have no familiarity. If I
> >>was the only 6m op from a rare African DX spot and the band opened, the
> >>ops on the other end of a QSO knew who and what they were dealing with
> >>and they cared very much.
> >
> > no we are not
> >
> > You are off on one topic while the rest of us are on another topic,
> > which has been the porblem most of the time with you
>
> I made a direct response to your statement. Now you'd like me to
> believe that I'm on a different topic. Again, Mark, that doesn't make
> any sense.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

752. Re: Herr Dave Heil Himmler says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:37:19 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126143439.529565.71200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118528

Dave Heil wrote:
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In his jack-boots and black SS uniform, with the Nazi armband and monocle:
> >
> > "They vill sieze your computer, because you disagree with me, and because I say
> > so."
>
> There's a little guy in Glen Dale, hiding in his little house, using his
> little anonymous remailers to disguise his horrid little posts.

so what?
>
> Dave K8MN

753. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:49:31 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <93c315e3e559fcf45da76fb358dc983b@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118531

In article <QnFTe.7019$4P5.2209@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You know? How would you know? You're just some guy hiding behind
> anonymous remailers.

And you're hiding behind other things that are so obvious. Like seeing Roger everywhere.
And posting under other names. And trying to be a moderator of a public newsgroup.

> Every post?

Indeed. Especially if they happen to use anonymous remailers.

> Is everyone targeting me?

Your backside has taken quite a number of hits, according to the Google archives. Especially of late, when the pretend Marine is absent.

> Is everyone using anonymous remailers?

If they did, would you whine more than you usually do?

> Does everyone monitor local repeaters

I don't hear your local repeaters. I would imagine most hams monitor their local repeaters.

> and the West Virginia Phone Net?

It sounds like your mental illness is in full swing. Now, you'll reply some lame comment about hearing sounds, but as usual, you'll just be projecting your own numerous shortcomings onto others.

> Trying hiding behind a 4 x 4, Roger.

Why would I, since I'm not Roger, you stupid man.

> Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
> as well.

No, they haven't and no, I haven't. I can't speak for anybody else, though. I'm sure you'll be able to post proof that Roger has, you wouldn't make unsubstantiated comments, now would you? No, not the self-proclaimned "A-1 operator" with the "strict morals."

> > And since when does the police deny freedom of speech?
>

> Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police.

Wrong. You should brush up on your English skills, former errand boy.

> Your freedom of speech stops where my rights begin.

Ah, there's that Nazi way we've all come to see in you, Dave Vile. Afraid the U.S. Consitution disagrees with your narrow minded views, Vile. We don't see you trying to contact the police at An Old Friend's or Lennie's location. Just Roger's. More of your stalking of him, I suppose. Too bad for you nothing can or will be done to anybody. Better save all that hot air, it's likely to be cold in West Virginny this winter.

> Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?

Why don't you quit lying about your so called State Department "experiences?"

> > Oh, I forgot, you are a sensitive guy and has his feelings hurt easily.
>
> It had nothing to do with feelings.

Oh, your whiny response says it does have something to do with feelings. Who knew you'd be so sensitive about your crappy on-air audio. Did the out of band Frenchmen notice it being so crappy?

>How and why are you concerned?

How and why are you concerned about what people post?

> You're being silly, Roger/not Roger.

There's that "Roger" thing again.
No, unlike you, I'm being honest. You are so mentally ill, you see Roger behind every post.

> You've used the same Lloyd Davies rants in other posts.

No.

> Your game of I'm-not-really-me has holes in it.

No. You are so stupid, you don't realize how stupid you are. The only thing that has holes in it is your head. You must have a hole in your head to be as stupid as you are.

> > Good amateur practice says you should monitor before doing anything else. You'd
> > think an world class DXer who talks to out of banders like you would know that.
>
> You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas.

You bragged about talking to out of band Frenchmen. What has that got to do with monitoring a frequency before anything else? Or even good amateur practice to work out of banders? It's always about you to you, isn't it?

> By the way, it is "a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer".

No. You flunk English grammar again, former errand boy.

> Makes little difference, I've never claimed to be either.

More lies. It must make a difference, as defensive as you are about the comment.

> > One can't listen in to a public net on a clear frequency? Are you trying to
say
> > someone can't listen in to a net? And so you have confirmed you have crappy
on-
> > air audio.
>
> By your rather convoluted logic, I mention that you lurk, but don't
> check into, a Section Net and that somehow confirms something about my
> transmitted audio?

Wrong, Vile. You have no idea how many people listen into a net, do you? Or is listening to a net against Dave Vile's rules? Will those that listen to a net without your permission have to send "I will not listen to a net" a thousand times by morse code as punishment?

> That is strange, Roger, very strange.

Not as strange as you stalking Roger.

> > Funny how your posts with your name dissappear when "Stagger Lee" shows up
and
> > vice-versa, but you wouldn't be a hypocrite as well as a liar, now would
you?
>
> There, as if I needed confirmation of your identity! Sometimes you
> think I'm Lloyd Davies and sometimes I'm someone called Stagger Lee.
> That's quite a cloud of paranoia you're living under.

That's quite a straw you are grasping for. And you practically admitted you post under other names, too.

> You must be taking at least some of your lithium.

Projecting again, errand boy??

> Your posts aren't the filth we're accustomed to.

I've never posted "filth," unlike you, especially when you hit on a bisexual female on that forum.

> Keep up the good work.

You are very "light work."

754. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:51:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YOMTe.2490$9x2.1594@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118529

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?

>>>>More likely you could beat him 100:1 since even I could probably manage 10:1
>>>>as I suspect that you are far better than I. One member of our club set a
>>>>new personal best last Field Day of 1000 contacts on 40 meters mixed CW and
>>>>phone. At least half of them were CW.\
>>>
>>>
>>>boy you are in deep mis elitist Bitch
>>
>
>>Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?
>
>
> I am I am in full control of myself, and the object of the address has
> stated that she does not find it offensive or insulting

...and you have no thought at all for anyone else who might find it
offensive or insulting.

>>>but of course I know Daves style on here
>>
>>>Asuming I accept his challenge and win I gain nothing of value since
>>>Dave will never adknowledge it
>>
>>I've stated here (this makes the third time) that I'll publicly
>
>
> And I don't believe you

It was all set up in your favor, Mark, the odds, the reporting of scores
to ARRL, monitoring of my activity but no monitoring of your activity,
your use of PC and code reading software.

>>acknowledge your CW operating prowess in this forum. The scores would
>>be submitted to the ARRL for publication on the League website.
>
>
> but that mans Id have to NOT support my club for FD overwise my scorre
> will be lumped in with theirs

Didn't you just tell me that you'd planned to operate from home? How
were you going to support your club's effort from your home? You can't
add your home score to your club's efforts from a different location.

>>The League's results are broken down into QSOs and final score.
>>We'll go strictly by the number of QSOs made during the FD period.
>>I'll take your worthless word that you've had no assistance, other than
>
>
> and I don't believe youd take my word

Your word has been proven worthless, but I've said I'd accept it.

>>from your computer. You may have one, two or three individuals,
>>mutually agreed on by us, to watch me like a hawk during the entire
>>operation, if you like.
>
>
> right like I know anyone in WV

I live near eastern Ohio and Southwestern Pennsylvania. You have months
and months to find some people.

>>>but neither of you nor can both of you bait me into accepting
>>>challange that wouls be adknowledged
>>
>>You would receive your due if you were to actually come up with 1 QSO to
>>my 15 QSOs. You know there is a catch, of course, and that is making
>
>
> The catch is you don't tell the turth and so I don't believe you

Every word I've told you is the truth. I've publicly offered the
challenge here. I've offered for my operation to be monitored.
How much spine do you have? How much of an advantage do you need?
Think of your recent postings about how you could identify a morse
distress call and handle the message traffic using your PC and
code-reading software. Doesn't your self-respect enter into this?

>>you leary. The catch is that you know and I know that you can't succeed
>>and that I'd pound you like a drum. I don't blame you for being a
>>little nervous. After all, your self-respect is on the line.
>>

>>
>>>Dave's history of evasion is clear on that score
>>
>>That's a sheer fabrication, and you know it. You're simply ducking the
>
>
> no it is simple truth, You spent weeks refusing to answer simple
> questions.

Nothing whatever necessitates my answering any of your questions on any topic. Deal with it.

> You will likely find some way of evading admitting to my
> sucess assuming I pull it off and we (the NG) will never hear the end
> of it if i should fail.

I can assure that the newsgroup will never hear the end of it when you fail. It would never hear the end of it if *I* failed. This is a real, one-on-one challenge of operating skills--man and machine vs. man and his brain. The worst it will cost you is years of humiliation and jeering. Now think of how it would be if I somehow lost to you. Wouldn't that be sweet? I could stumble coming out of the blocks. I could have miscalculated the odds and given you too much of an advantage for me to ever overcome. I'd even skip the part about you having to kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home game at halftime. What *if*, Mark?

> If I were to accept a "chalenge" in which no desirable outcome is
> possiblethen I would the fool you claim I am

Then you've been painted into a corner, Mark. You won't accept the challenge, even with a 15:1 advantage. You're sure to get walloped if you do take the challenge. Maybe you aren't one who can operate CW. Maybe all that stuff about code-reading software being as good as a human op was simply guff.

Dave K8MN

755. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 01:52:04 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126144324.599004.171470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118530

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> You're a lying, sado-masochistic, bisexual pagan (poly *not
> monotheistic*) <cut>

again Dave what is your point about polythesism verus monothesism?

you complain that you don't like that Bisexual yet you constantly bring
it up. Why?

Ditto on S&M
>
> Dave K8MN

756. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:00:05 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <364e1bbd08c8f0f28956bca666d8a04f@dizum.com>
Source record: 118532

In article <kNJPe.1963$Wd7.615@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52
> >
> >
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
> >>>>the rear someplace.
> >>>
> >>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
> >>
> >>Not yours.
> >
> >
> > If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.
>
> You took my comment and turned it around. Then, when I respond to your
> silly question, you think I'm discussing anal sex? What in the world is
> the matter with you?

But, Dave Vile, you are into anal sex.....you liked talking about having Mark Morgan kiss your ass on the WVU 50 yard line.
in <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
"Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home football game if he falls short."

757. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:09:42 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126145382.168137.291940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118533

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >

cut
> >>Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?
> >
> >
> > I am I am in full control of myself, and the object of the address has
> > stated that she does not find it offensive or insulting
>
> ...and you have no thought at all for anyone else who might find it
> offensive or insulting.

then don't read it was targeted for you anyway

You have no right not to be offended

You bitch if I lie but you bitch if I tell the truth, so what should I
do? I choose to do as I think best

In using that form of address I choose to be honest about my assement
of the target, and to state so plainly (avoiding it here since I am
addressing you so I show my thoughtfullness for everyone else)

you have no thought for offending folks yourself why should I be held
to higher standard than you.

You will not even allow me to say "thank God" without flaming me

I will now remind you of the word of the figure you call the Lord God,
"Let he who is without sin cast the first stone" the Gospel according
Matthew I believe.

risking being held over bearing (but claiming full licesen after your
efforts to lecture me about my religion) I will point that many
religous scholars hold this as a injuction against comdeming, since
(accrdoing to Christain faith) we are all sinners

You failure to follow the teachings of your faith, while seeking to ram
your morality down my throat is one of the reasons I refuse to trust
you, and decline your "Challenge"
>
> >>>but of course I know Daves style on here
> >>
> >>>Asuming I accept his challenge and win I gain nothing of value since

> >>>Dave will never adknowledge it
> >>
> >>I've stated here (this makes the third time) that I'll publicly
> >
> >
> > And I don't believe you
>
> It was all set up in your favor, Mark, the odds, the reporting of scores
> to ARRL, monitoring of my activity but no monitoring of your activity,
> your use of PC and code reading software.

but it doesn't anything if I don't trust you, and I don't trust you
cut
> >
> > The catch is you don't tell the turth and so I don't believe you
>
> Every word I've told you is the truth. I've publicly offered the
> challenge here. I've offered for my operation to be monitored.
> How much spine do you have? How much of an advantage do you need?
> Think of your recent postings about how you could identify a morse
> distress call and handle the message traffic using your PC and
> code-reading software. Doesn't your self-respect enter into this?

I don't beleive you, it is as simple as that.

I don't beleive you'd ever accept the results if they work in my favor

Your apporeval is not needed by me for my self respect (thank god) I
know I can work CW if I wish, wether I can work it as well as (or
1/10th 100x beter) you or not is not important to me

Believe it or not I am not into the same sorts of Macho games you seem
to be into
having spent as much BW on this as I feel like right now cutting the
rest

>

758. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:12:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <c6NTe.2493$9x2.1261@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118534

```
an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>which mean what? that he knows nothing?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
>>>>>>is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
>>>>>>has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
>>>>>>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
>>>>>>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>One I don't take your orders
>>>>
>>>>You don't? Did you think I gave you an order a short time ago when you
>>>>provided Google links here, which you erroneously claimed were my flirts
>>>>in a personals newsgroup with a bisexual, married stripper?
>>>
>>>
>>>you ordered nagged and harrased me till I did it and found what I see
>>>as your flirting etc as well more of gay bashing in yet other groups
>>>but never found what you claimed I would find
>>
>>You never found anything about me flirting and you never found any "gay
>>bashing" either. I'm sure you could find my opposition to gay marriage.
>>I'm sure that you know that I find homosexuality to be forbidden by my
```

>>religion and that I can't find the words to adequately express my
>
>
> and you admit here to your gay bashing by repeating it in summary form

That is not gay bashing. I object to homosexuality on religious
grounds. I object to the concept of gay marriage. I find homosexual
practice to be disgusting and repugnant. No law in this country can
force me to like or accept your perverse form of sexuality but I make
no attempt to prevent it or to harm you in any way.

>>disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
>>you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.
>
>
> no it is becuase of one of 2 thing (i can't tell which at this point)
>
> One possiblity is that I lack enough details about your claims to have
> a narrow enough search to have a chance to find it

That was addressed by my earlier comment.

> the other is that you have been lying and it isn't there to be found

We have a third possibility: that you are lying about it simply because
you feel like it.

>>How about if I order, nag and harass you until you look up "Roger
>>Wiseman", "AB8MQ" and "KC8JBO"?
>
>
> you can try (alas I can't stop you) but i played your game once

Well, you claimed that the reasons you did the earlier search is because
I somehow ordered you and harassed you. Well if I can't do it now, the
chances are good that I couldn't do it earlier.

>>>>>>>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it is
>>>>>>>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
>>>>>>>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
>>>>>>>about them must have been lies
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
>>>>>>I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
>>>>>>who worked me on 6m....and me.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Not at all just one you don't like
>>>>
>>>>What?

>>>
>>>
>>>again check you english data bank
>>
>>My English data bank can't make heads nor tails of a response in
>>gibberish which doesn't track with the flow of conversation.
>
>
> not my fault

Ah, back to your victim status. You can't put a sentence together so
that it can be understood, but the problem isn't yours. Right.

>>My comment: "The only folks who know for sure are those who worked me on
>>6m....and me"
>
>
> another Daveie lie what you realy said was "You just jumped to a false
> conclusion. Folks here know only because I've seen fit to tell them."
> [plus the other statement
>
>>Your response: "Not at all just one you don't like"
>
>
> and my response is that the statement quoted again
>
> and it is true I came to one (conclusion) that you don't like
>
> I came to this conclusion becuase you after days of asking reused to
> answer my questions, therefore as I said I would I have to accept
> Brain's account of the matter

It is tiresome to keep explaining things to you, Mark. You have no
first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. Brian has no
first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. The
ever-anonymous Roger Wiseman has no first hand knowledge of my 6m
operation from overseas.

The o n l y people who know for sure about them are those who QSO'd
me...and me. All others have only secondhand information.

I am under no obligation to provide you answers just because you have
questions.

>>It appears that you believe that the only people who know for sure about
>>my 6m operations from overseas are just ones I don't like. That doesn't
>>make any sense at all.
>
>
> then you are stupid but we knew that

I'm sure if you have problems making people understand you, you come to the conclusion that everyone else is stupid.

Dave K8MN

759. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:27:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126146429.693059.45990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118535

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> >>You never found anything about me flirting and you never found any "gay
> >>bashing" either. I'm sure you could find my opposition to gay marriage.
> >>I'm sure that you know that I find homosexuality to be forbidden by my
> >>religion and that I can't find the words to adequately express my
> >
> >
> > and you admit here to your gay bashing by repeating it in summary form
>
> That is not gay bashing.<cut>

it certainly is

><cut> I object to homosexuality on religious grounds.<cut>

so what?

><cut> I object to the concept of gay marriage.

so what?

> I find homosexual
> practice to be disgusting and repugnant.

clearly gay bashing

> No law in this country can
> force me to like or accept your perverse form of sexuality but I make
> no attempt to prevent it or to harm you in any way.

another lie

you seek and support harm the Gay and bisexaul citizins of the nations
by dening them te same legal standing for theire relationship as you
enjoy in yours

you also seek to use the fact I am Bisexual as an excuse to attack me
in forum where my sexual orientation is not relavant, this harms me and
proves you a liar

>
> >>disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
> >>you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.
> >

> >
> > no it is becuase of one of 2 thing (i can't tell which at this point)
> >
> > One possiblity is that I lack enough details about your claims to have
> > a narrow enough search to have a chance to find it
>
> That was addressed by my earlier comment.

no it wasn't you have refused to provide enough detail to make a real
search

>
> > the other is that you have been lying and it isn't there to be found
cuting more bs
>
> >>How about if I order, nag and harass you until you look up "Roger
> >>Wiseman", "AB8MQ" and "KC8JBO"?
> >
> >
> > you can try (alas I can't stop you) but i played your game once
>
> Well, you claimed that the reasons you did the earlier search is because
> I somehow ordered you and harassed you. Well if I can't do it now, the
> chances are good that I couldn't do it earlier.

faulty logic Dave

cut

> >>My comment: "The only folks who know for sure are those who worked me on
> >>6m....and me"
> >
> >
> > another Daveie lie what you realy said was "You just jumped to a false
> > conclusion. Folks here know only because I've seen fit to tell them."
> > [plus the other statement
> >
> >>Your response: "Not at all just one you don't like"
> >
> >
> > and my response is that the statement quoted again
> >
> > and it is true I came to one (conclusion) that you don't like
> >
> > I came to this conclusion becuase you after days of asking reused to
> > answer my questions, therefore as I said I would I have to accept
> > Brain's account of the matter
>
> It is tiresome to keep explaining things to you, Mark. You have no
> first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. Brian has no
> first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. The
> ever-anonymous Roger Wiseman has no first hand knowledge of my 6m

> operation from overseas.

none of these folks to my knwoledge hhas any such claim

but based on your accounts we have formed opinions

cut
> I am under no obligation to provide you answers just because you have
> questions.

I never claimed you did but if you want me to accept your claims then
you must met my terms

Based on the info you have provide I can see no other conclusion but
that you are willing to knowingly aid and bet others in the breaking of
thier local laws

You might be able to change that opinion but you have to answer my
questions to do so

That you will not answer a few simple questions makes me believe the
answers will support my existing conclusion.

that you refuse to take such a simple to establish my trust means I
will consider taking any "challenge" of yours.
cut

760. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:33:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bqNTe.7263$4P5.3263@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118536

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <QnFTe.7019$4P5.2209@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>You know? How would you know? You're just some guy hiding behind
>>anonymous remailers.
>
>
> And you're hiding behind other things that are so obvious. Like seeing Roger
> everywhere.

Sorry, that is incorrect. I don't see Roger at all. He spends a lot of
time hiding. Right now he hides behind anonymous remailers.

> And posting under other names.

You spend much time trying to uncover the identities of your various
adversaries. You aren't very successful. I post under nothing but my
e-mail address. Take it to the bank.

> And trying to be a moderator of a public
> newsgroup.

No, I've never attempted to moderate an unmoderated newsgroup.

>>Is everyone targeting me?
>
>
> Your backside has taken quite a number of hits, according to the Google
> archives. Especially of late, when the pretend Marine is absent.

Why, not at all, Roger. One has only to look up "Roger Wiseman",
"AB8MQ" or "KC8JBO" to find someone who has taken *real* hits--and
justifiably so.

>> Is everyone using anonymous remailers?
>
>
> If they did, would you whine more than you usually do?

If they did, you wouldn't have any idea who I am.

>> Does everyone monitor local repeaters
>
>
> I don't hear your local repeaters. I would imagine most hams monitor their

> local repeaters.
>
>
>>and the West Virginia Phone Net?
>
>
> It sounds like your mental illness is in full swing. Now, you'll reply some
> lame comment about hearing sounds, but as usual, you'll just be projecting your
> own numerous shortcomings onto others.

Oh, maybe you're a different anonymous type than the one who report
hearing my signal checking into that net. *snicker*

>>Trying hiding behind a 4 x 4, Roger.
>
>
> Why would I, since I'm not Roger, you stupid man.
>
>
>>Sure they have, a number of times, and you've been invited to see them
>>as well.
>
>
> No, they haven't and no, I haven't. I can't speak for anybody else, though.
> I'm sure you'll be able to post proof that Roger has, you wouldn't make
> unsubstantiated comments, now would you?

Oh, right. I get it. Mum's the word. ;-) The comments I've made about
Roger Wiseman don't begin to do him justice.

> No, not the self-proclaimned "A-1
> operator" with the "strict morals."

You've made a mistake. I didn't proclaim myself an A-1 Op. It doesn't
work that way. The ARRL Web has listings by call and by name.

>>>And since when does the police deny freedom of speech?
>>
>>Since when *do* the police, not *does* the police.
>
>
> Wrong. You should brush up on your English skills, former errand boy.

That's incorrect. The word Police is plural. Better luck next time.

>>Your freedom of speech stops where my rights begin.
>
>
> Ah, there's that Nazi way we've all come to see in you, Dave Vile. Afraid the
> U.S. Consitution disagrees with your narrow minded views, Vile. We don't see
> you trying to contact the police at An Old Friend's or Lennie's location. Just
> Roger's. More of your stalking of him, I suppose. Too bad for you nothing can
> or will be done to anybody. Better save all that hot air, it's likely to be

> cold in West Virginny this winter.

Neither Mark Morgan nor Len Anderson has posted any filth regarding
members of my family. Neither of them has ever sent e-mail threats to
my home. Be very, very careful, Roger.

>>Why don't you make it your life's work to try to find out?
>
>
> Why don't you quit lying about your so called State Department "experiences?"

What do you know of my or anyone's State Department experience?

>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas.
>
>
> You bragged about talking to out of band Frenchmen.

You'd better do your Google homework. You're short on facts.
What are my 6m activities to you?

>>By the way, it is "a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer".
>
>
> No. You flunk English grammar again, former errand boy.

Sorry. Better luck next time. That's two down and only one to go.

>>Makes little difference, I've never claimed to be either.
>
>
> More lies. It must make a difference, as defensive as you are about the comment.

I was very clear about what I wrote. It makes little difference as I've
never claimed to be either.

>>>One can't listen in to a public net on a clear frequency? Are you trying to
>
> say
>
>>>someone can't listen in to a net? And so you have confirmed you have crappy
>
> on-
>
>>>air audio.
>>
>>By your rather convoluted logic, I mention that you lurk, but don't
>>check into, a Section Net and that somehow confirms something about my
>>transmitted audio?

> Wrong, Vile. You have no idea how many people listen into a net, do you? Or is
> listening to a net against Dave Vile's rules? Will those that listen to a net
> without your permission have to send "I will not listen to a net" a thousand
> times by morse code as punishment?

You're drifting. How does my mentioning that you lurk, but don't check into a Section net confirm something about my transmitted audio as you claimed?

Ta Ta, Phantom,

Dave K8MN

761. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:44:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ANTe.7266$4P5.967@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118537

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>You're a lying, sado-masochistic, bisexual pagan (poly *not
>>monotheistic*) <cut>
>
>
> again Dave what is your point about polythesism verus monothesism?

You used it. Hans reposted some of your swell stuff the other day.
Yet you complained when I commented on your "thnak god" comment.
Looks like it should have been "thnak gods" under your beliefs.

> you complain that you don't like that Bisexual yet you constantly bring
> it up. Why?
>
> Ditto on S&M

I don't constantly bring either up, Konstans. A pagan, bisexual S&M
freak has far more issues than morse code to deal with. I can certainly
understand how you've come into your view of self-as-victim. I can only
hope that you receive the help you need.

Dave K8MN

762. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:46:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OBNTe.7267$4P5.5367@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118538

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> In article <kNJPe.1963$Wd7.615@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
>>>>>the rear someplace.
>>>>>
>>>>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
>>>>
>>>>Not yours.
>>>
>>>
>>> If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.
>>
>>You took my comment and turned it around. Then, when I respond to your
>>silly question, you think I'm discussing anal sex? What in the world is
>>the matter with you?
>
>
> But, Dave Vile, you are into anal sex.....you liked talking about having Mark
> Morgan kiss your ass on the WVU 50 yard line.
> in <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> "Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home football
> game if he falls short."

Maybe you two fellows have something in common. You equate someone
telling you to kiss his ass with anal sex. That's very, very weird.

Dave K8MN

763. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 02:48:03 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126147683.728071.144580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118539

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 6 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2210	240
Indeterminate (note 1)	127	15
Value for Percentages	2083	222
Against NPRM (note 2)	623 [29.91%]	70 [31.53%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1143 [54.87%]	109 [49.10%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	317 [15.22%]	43 [19.37%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

764. Re: Steve lies again...MIA again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:01:06 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1126148466.481427.257880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118540

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>You're a lying, sado-masochistic, bisexual pagan (poly *not
> >>monotheistic*) <cut>
> >
> >
> > again Dave what is your point about polythesism verus monothesism?
>
> You used it. Hans reposted some of your swell stuff the other day.
> Yet you complained when I commented on your "thnak god" comment.
> Looks like it should have been "thnak gods" under your beliefs.

I used what?

You don't know what you are talking when discusing paganism.

you certainly don't have any place telling me about my religion when
you are obviously ignorant

I could have said Thank the gods or thank the Goddess or thank God or a
number of other phrases.

Why do you feel empoered to tell me what I may say?

you are still evading the question

>
> > you complain that you don't like that Bisexual yet you constantly bring
> > it up. Why?
> >
> > Ditto on S&M
>
> I don't constantly bring either up, Konstans.

Bullshit not less often every few days

> A pagan, bisexual S&M
> freak has far more issues than morse code to deal with.

More bashing of those you dislike

>I can certainly
> understand how you've come into your view of self-as-victim. I can only
> hope that you receive the help you need.

and again you eavde the issue

why do you presume to lecture me about my religion?
>
> Dave K8MN

765. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:02:42 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <295814895fae1e23d60ad4594122166b@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118542

In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
> football game if he falls short.

More perversion from Dave Vile. You fatasize about that happening, don't you?
Then pretend that homosexuality "offends" you. Ah, well, anything would be
better then watching a WVU game ratingswise, since they never play worth a crap.

766. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:10:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AYNTe.7276$4P5.5754@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118541

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
>
> cut
>
>>>>Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?
>>>
>>>
>>>I am I am in full control of myself, and the object of the address has
>>>stated that she does not find it offensive or insulting
>>
>>...and you have no thought at all for anyone else who might find it
>>offensive or insulting.
>
>
> then don't read it was targeted for you anyway

Let's see. It was targeted for me...but I shouldn't read it. Right?

> You have no right not to be offended

You have no right to offend.

> You bitch if I lie but you bitch if I tell the truth, so what should I
> do? I choose to do as I think best

You admit to lying at your convenience. You've conducted a malicious
campaign of pure fabrications at me. You've even lied when confronted
by archived material. Why should I believe you ever about anything?

> In using that form of address I choose to be honest about my assement
> of the target, and to state so plainly (avoiding it here since I am
> addressing you so I show my thoughtfullness for everyone else)

This newsgroup is public. All posts can be viewed by anyone. Do you
have disrespect for everyone?

> you have no thought for offending folks yourself why should I be held
> to higher standard than you.

I've never called anyone the names you used. I've never conducted a campaign of lies directed at anyone. How do you think you are being held to a higher standard. In just the past couple of days, you've gone way overboard on a couple of issues.

> You will not even allow me to say "thank God" without flaming me

Are you a polytheistic pagan as you stated in one of your more perverse postings to another group or did you lie about that too?

> I will now remind you of the word of the figure you call the Lord God,
> "Let he who is without sin cast the first stone" the Gospel according
> Matthew I believe.
>
> risking being held over bearing (but claiming full licesen after your
> efforts to lecture me about my religion) I will point that many
> religous scholars hold this as a injuction against comdeming, since
> (accrdoing to Christain faith) we are all sinners
>
> You failure to follow the teachings of your faith, while seeking to ram
> your morality down my throat is one of the reasons I refuse to trust
> you, and decline your "Challenge"

You can't use my faith, something in which you do not believe, against me. My religion also teaches about recognizing evil, not embracing it. I don't believe that every view is valid, that there is no right or wrong. In fact, you practice a number of things which my religion considers abhorrent.

What do your gods teach?

>>It was all set up in your favor, Mark, the odds, the reporting of scores
>>to ARRL, monitoring of my activity but no monitoring of your activity,
>>your use of PC and code reading software.
>
>
> but it doesn't anything if I don't trust you, and I don't trust you

...and you don't trust the ARRL and you don't trust the monitors you and I would agree on and you don't trust yourself to do well.

> cut
>
>>>The catch is you don't tell the turth and so I don't believe you
>>
>>Every word I've told you is the truth. I've publicly offered the
>>challenge here. I've offered for my operation to be monitored.
>>How much spine do you have? How much of an advantage do you need?
>>Think of your recent postings about how you could identify a morse
>>distress call and handle the message traffic using your PC and
>>code-reading software. Doesn't your self-respect enter into this?
>
>
> I don't beleive you, it is as simple as that.

...and you don't believe in any of the safeguards I've offered you.
My operation would be monitored. Yours would not.

> I don't beleive you'd ever accept the results if they work in my favor

The scores would be published. The number of QSOs made by each station
would be a part of that record.

> Your apporeval is not needed by me for my self respect (thank god)

Thank gods? It wouldn't be for my approval, Mark. You'd have overcome
your victimhood persona. Even if the playing field was tilted in your
direction, you could have made a real statement.

> I
> know I can work CW if I wish...

So you say.

>... wether I can work it as well as (or
> 1/10th 100x beter) you or not is not important to me

Apparently not.

> Believe it or not I am not into the same sorts of Macho games you seem
> to be into
> having spent as much BW on this as I feel like right now cutting the
> rest

I never figured you as one to be involved in macho games of any sort,
Mark. You could have competed and done your best. You've opted not to
participate. The choice was yours.

Dave K8MN

767. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:33:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126150423.991813.212690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118543

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>>Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I am I am in full control of myself, and the object of the address has
> >>>stated that she does not find it offensive or insulting
> >>
> >>...and you have no thought at all for anyone else who might find it
> >>offensive or insulting.
> >
> >
> > then don't read it was targeted for you anyway
>
> Let's see. It was targeted for me...but I shouldn't read it. Right?

wasn't targeted for was a reply to Dee the elitsit bitch in question
but nobody said you should or couldn't read it

>
> > You have no right not to be offended
>
> You have no right to offend.

I certainly do have that right.

prehaps we begin to see some the real differences between us.

I believe in personal freedom and personal responiblit, you believe in
some version of the Nanny sociality

>
> > You bitch if I lie but you bitch if I tell the truth, so what should I
> > do? I choose to do as I think best
>
cuting the non responivie response

> > In using that form of address I choose to be honest about my assement
> > of the target, and to state so plainly (avoiding it here since I am
> > addressing you so I show my thoughtfullness for everyone else)
>
> This newsgroup is public. All posts can be viewed by anyone. Do you
> have disrespect for everyone?

you are not making sense

but then you don't believe in honesty, nor that any one but you (and
those that agree with you) has the right to express their opinions
freely

if you were offended then of course be offended, that is your right,
and I will never try and take it away from you.

I will make suggestions to help you avoid being offended, but they are
strictly for your considerations.

another choice you could make is to kill file all my posts then they
would not offend you,

Just trying to be helpfull of course

>
> > you have no thought for offending folks yourself why should I be held
> > to higher standard than you.
>
> I've never called anyone the names you used.<cut>

No you call me a freak, you try to dengrate my religion, and in general
show a lack of respect for me, always have.

But I think I said this to Hans, you lack the right to determine what
insults I will use at in turn. since she chose to me insulting, I
choose my reply. when you choose to be insulting to me I choose what to
reply with

> <cut> I've never conducted a
> campaign of lies directed at anyone.<cut>

Neither have I, I have simply dared to disagree with you

> <cut> How do you think you are being
> held to a higher standard.<cut>

by your demands I respect your beliefs when you refuse to respect mine

><cut> In just the past couple of days, you've gone
> way overboard on a couple of issues.

Prehaps in your judemental opinion

but again If I am going over the Top as you say that is my right too
>
> > You will not even allow me to say "thank God" without flaming me

cuting more Davie bs

> > I will now remind you of the word of the figure you call the Lord God,
> > "Let he who is without sin cast the first stone" the Gospel according
> > Matthew I believe.
> >
> > risking being held over bearing (but claiming full licesen after your
> > efforts to lecture me about my religion) I will point that many
> > religous scholars hold this as a injuction against comdeming, since
> > (accrdoing to Christain faith) we are all sinners
> >
> > You failure to follow the teachings of your faith, while seeking to ram
> > your morality down my throat is one of the reasons I refuse to trust
> > you, and decline your "Challenge"
>
> You can't use my faith, something in which you do not believe, against
> me. My religion also teaches about recognizing evil, not embracing it.
> I don't believe that every view is valid, that there is no right or
> wrong. In fact, you practice a number of things which my religion
> considers abhorrent.
>
> What do your gods teach?

that heterosexuality and homosexuality are preverse, amoug other
things, that decide who you wil love solely by what is between there
legs is obsense

That it is proper to reuturn harm to sender 3 fold

none of this BTW is proper for the forum we are in but there you have
it

But we are in the USA and I have the right to believe as I choose. I
have the right to practice my faith as I see fit
>
> >>It was all set up in your favor, Mark, the odds, the reporting of scores
> >>to ARRL, monitoring of my activity but no monitoring of your activity,
> >>your use of PC and code reading software.
> >
> >
> > but it doesn't anything if I don't trust you, and I don't trust you
>
> ...and you don't trust the ARRL and you don't trust the monitors you and
> I would agree on <cut>

I don't trust the ARRL, I have said so a number of times, I don't trust
you to adibe by them

>and you don't trust yourself to do well.

My abilty never comes to it, if I can't rust the condictions of the
tests wether I can pass it matters not

>
> > cut
> >
> >>>The catch is you don't tell the turth and so I don't believe you
> >>
> >>Every word I've told you is the truth. I've publicly offered the
> >>challenge here. I've offered for my operation to be monitored.
> >>How much spine do you have? How much of an advantage do you need?
> >>Think of your recent postings about how you could identify a morse
> >>distress call and handle the message traffic using your PC and
> >>code-reading software. Doesn't your self-respect enter into this?
> >
> >
> > I don't beleive you, it is as simple as that.
>
> ...and you don't believe in any of the safeguards I've offered you.
> My operation would be monitored. Yours would not.

are you dumb I don't trust you abide the results how many times must I
repeat that before you accept the statement

>
> > I don't beleive you'd ever accept the results if they work in my favor
>
> The scores would be published. The number of QSOs made by each station
> would be a part of that record.

so?

you will evade or deny the results if it suits you

>
> > Your apporeval is not needed by me for my self respect (thank god)
>
cuting you continued abuse of my religous freedom
>
> > I
> > know I can work CW if I wish...
>
> So you say.

indeed and I am the only one I need to convince, although I dare John
smith and others accept that as well

I am also convinced you will just claim cheating somehow
>
> >... wether I can work it as well as (or
> > 1/10th 100x beter) you or not is not important to me
>
> Apparently not.
>
> > Believe it or not I am not into the same sorts of Macho games you seem
> > to be into

> > having spent as much BW on this as I feel like right now cutting the
> > rest
>
more of dave bs cut
> Dave K8MN

768. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:37:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126150664.864731.85330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118544

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut
> I can assure that the newsgroup will never hear the end of it when you
> fail. It would never hear the end of it if *I* failed. This is a real,
> one-on-one challenge of operating skills--man and machine vs. man and
> his brain. The worst it will cost you is years of humiliation and
> jeering. Now think of how it would be if I somehow lost to you.
> Wouldn't that be sweet? I could stumble coming out of the blocks. I
> could have miscalculated the odds and given you too much of an advantage
> for me to ever overcome. <cut>

> <cut I'd even skip the part about you having to
> kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home game at halftime.<cut>

you hypocrite, you pretend that such things disgust you and then you
make remarks like that

i missed it before

><cut>What
> *if*, Mark?
>

cut

769. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:38:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126150714.856673.130080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118545

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
> > football game if he falls short.
>
> More perversion from Dave Vile. You fatasize about that happening, don't you?
> Then pretend that homosexuality "offends" you. Ah, well, anything would be
> better then watching a WVU game ratingswise, since they never play worth a crap.

thank you Sir for point that out to me I had missed it

770. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:41:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PpOTe.7284$4P5.2907@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118548

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>
>>>>You never found anything about me flirting and you never found any "gay
>>>>bashing" either. I'm sure you could find my opposition to gay marriage.
>>>>I'm sure that you know that I find homosexuality to be forbidden by my
>>>>religion and that I can't find the words to adequately express my
>>>
>>>
>>>and you admit here to your gay bashing by repeating it in summary form
>>
>>That is not gay bashing.<cut>
>
>
> it certainly is
>
>
>><cut> I object to homosexuality on religious grounds.<cut>
>
>
> so what?
>
>
>><cut> I object to the concept of gay marriage.
>
>
> so what?
>
>
>> I find homosexual
>>practice to be disgusting and repugnant.
>
>
> clearly gay bashing

I'm sorry, Mark. They aren't gay bashing, no matter how much you choose to believe that they are. I'm not going to engage you and have you play a game of "so?" or "yes, it is" with me.

>> No law in this country can
>>force me to like or accept your perverse form of sexuality but I make
>>no attempt to prevent it or to harm you in any way.
>
>
> another lie

That just isn't correct, Mark.

> you seek and support harm the Gay and bisexaul citizins of the nations
> by dening them te same legal standing for theire relationship as you
> enjoy in yours

There is no recognized right of homosexuals to marry those of their own gender. They have the equal right to marry one of the opposite gender. You, as a bisexual, don't get to marry one of each gender. If you think the morse code battle was a tough one, try to have an estimated 3% of the population try to pass such laws in a country where a large majority is dead set against it.
>
> you also seek to use the fact I am Bisexual as an excuse to attack me
> in forum where my sexual orientation is not relavant, this harms me and
> proves you a liar

Mark, you have a number of issues. If you thought your bisexuality would harm you, you surely wouldn't have posted the things you did in other newsgroups. Right now, you're attempting to engage me in an argument over your bisexuality. I don't accept it and don't condone it. If you and whoever, want to engage in whatever sexual acts you engage in and you'd like to sprinkle in a little game of pee-on-me or spank-me, in the privacy of someone's home, then go for it. If you want to pray to some trees and shrubs, be my guest. If you want to blame life's problems on your dyslexia, do it. But I don't intend to accord you victim status or call you "special". I remember too well your description of learning morse code at 5 wpm as "torture".

>>>>disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
>>>>you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.
>>>
>>>
>>>no it is becuase of one of 2 thing (i can't tell which at this point)
>>>
>>>One possiblity is that I lack enough details about your claims to have
>>>a narrow enough search to have a chance to find it
>>
>>That was addressed by my earlier comment.
>
>
> no it wasn't you have refused to provide enough detail to make a real

> search

Then so be it.

>>>>My comment: "The only folks who know for sure are those who worked me on
>>>>6m....and me"
>>>
>>>
>>>another Daveie lie what you realy said was "You just jumped to a false
>>>conclusion. Folks here know only because I've seen fit to tell them."
>>>[plus the other statement
>>>
>>>
>>>>Your response: "Not at all just one you don't like"
>>>
>>>
>>>and my response is that the statement quoted again
>>>
>>>and it is true I came to one (conclusion) that you don't like
>>>
>>>I came to this conclusion becuase you after days of asking reused to
>>>answer my questions, therefore as I said I would I have to accept
>>>Brain's account of the matter
>>
>>It is tiresome to keep explaining things to you, Mark. You have no
>>first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. Brian has no
>>first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. The
>>ever-anonymous Roger Wiseman has no first hand knowledge of my 6m
>>operation from overseas.
>
>
> none of these folks to my knwoledge hhas any such claim
>
> but based on your accounts we have formed opinions

You can form opinions until you're blue in the face. You're forming
them based on second-hand and sometimes third-hand knowledge.

> cut
>
>>I am under no obligation to provide you answers just because you have
>>questions.
>
>
> I never claimed you did but if you want me to accept your claims then
> you must met my terms

If you'll recall, I wasn't making the claims. Brian Burke made claims
about me. Wow, you're thick.

> Based on the info you have provide I can see no other conclusion but
> that you are willing to knowingly aid and bet others in the breaking of

> thier local laws

Folks can get plastic surgery but it tough to fix stupidity.

> You might be able to change that opinion but you have to answer my
> questions to do so
>
> That you will not answer a few simple questions makes me believe the
> answers will support my existing conclusion.
>
> that you refuse to take such a simple to establish my trust means I
> will consider taking any "challenge" of yours.

Let's cut to the chase, Mark. I don't really care about answering
questions for you, what your conclusions are or whether you consider
taking the FD challenge which you've already said you wouldn't take.

--
AR

Dave K8MN

771. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:56:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126151812.372001.225620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118550

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut

> >>That is not gay bashing.<cut>
> > it certainly is
> >><cut> I object to homosexuality on religious grounds.<cut>
> > so what?
> >><cut> I object to the concept of gay marriage.
> > so what?
> >> I find homosexual
> >>practice to be disgusting and repugnant.
> >
> >
> > clearly gay bashing
>
> I'm sorry, Mark. They aren't gay bashing, no matter how much you choose
> to believe that they are. I'm not going to engage you and have you play
> a game of "so?" or "yes, it is" with me.

certianly are gay bashing

engaging me?

It is irelavant to me what your beliefs are, so of course my repsonse
is along the lines of so what

You lack the right in this country to try and make me accept your faith
above my own

that you are too bigoted to admit and to dishonest to admit it not
withstanding
>
> >> No law in this country can
> >>force me to like or accept your perverse form of sexuality but I make
> >>no attempt to prevent it or to harm you in any way.
> > another lie
> That just isn't correct, Mark.

it sure is
> > you seek and support harm the Gay and bisexaul citizins of the nations
> > by dening them te same legal standing for theire relationship as you
> > enjoy in yours
>

cuting more bs in which Dave repeats his gay bashing rants
> >
> > you also seek to use the fact I am Bisexual as an excuse to attack me
> > in forum where my sexual orientation is not relavant, this harms me and
> > proves you a liar
>
> Mark, you have a number of issues. If you thought your bisexuality
> would harm you, you surely wouldn't have posted the things you did in
> other newsgroups.

another lie Dave you are and have been using my sexual orientation as a
excuse to attack me

>Right now, you're attempting to engage me in an
> argument over your bisexuality.

another lie

I am asking what my being Bisexual has to do with the ARS? you still
will not answer that

cuting more assorted bashing

> >>>>disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
> >>>>you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>no it is becuase of one of 2 thing (i can't tell which at this point)
> >>>
> >>>One possiblity is that I lack enough details about your claims to have
> >>>a narrow enough search to have a chance to find it
> >>
> >>That was addressed by my earlier comment.
> > >
> > >
> > > no it wasn't you have refused to provide enough detail to make a real
> > > search
> >
> > Then so be it.

which means you lied in saying you provided it

cut
> > none of these folks to my knwoledge hhas any such claim
> > >
> > > but based on your accounts we have formed opinions
> >
> > You can form opinions until you're blue in the face. You're forming
> > them based on second-hand and sometimes third-hand knowledge.

so? I am forming them on the best info I have.
>
> > cut

> >
> >>I am under no obligation to provide you answers just because you have
> >>questions.
> >
> >
> > I never claimed you did but if you want me to accept your claims then
> > you must met my terms
>
> If you'll recall, I wasn't making the claims. Brian Burke made claims
> about me. Wow, you're thick.

you made a number of claims

One that you did nothing wrong

Two that you do not support doing anything wrong

Three you claimed that Brain was lying

you refuse to support these claims

>
> > Based on the info you have provide I can see no other conclusion but
> > that you are willing to knowingly aid and bet others in the breaking of
> > thier local laws
cut
> > You might be able to change that opinion but you have to answer my
> > questions to do so
> >
> > That you will not answer a few simple questions makes me believe the
> > answers will support my existing conclusion.
> >
> > that you refuse to take such a simple to establish my trust means I
> > will consider taking any "challenge" of yours.
>
> Let's cut to the chase, Mark. I don't really care about answering
> questions for you,<cut>

> <Cut> what your conclusions are <cut>

a clear and obvious lie.

You clearly carea great deal or else you let it go

You also clearly care a great deal what Brain Burke says about them

> <cut>or whether you consider
> taking the FD challenge which you've already said you wouldn't take.

meaning you never took your Challenge seriously in the fist place,
likely because you never intended to abide by it

772. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 03:57:46 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050908035746.D1B881718B@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118551

```
In article <c6NTe.2493$9x2.1261@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>which mean what? that he knows nothing?
> >>>>
> >>>>You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
> >>>>is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
> >>>>has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
> >>>>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
> >>>>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>One I don't take your orders
> >>>>
> >>>>You don't? Did you think I gave you an order a short time ago when you
> >>>>provided Google links here, which you erroneously claimed were my flirts
> >>>>in a personals newsgroup with a bisexual, married stripper?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>you ordered nagged and harrased me till I did it and found what I see
> >>>as your flirting etc as well more of gay bashing in yet other groups
> >>>but never found what you claimed I would find
> >>
```

> >>You never found anything about me flirting and you never found any "gay
> >>bashing" either. I'm sure you could find my opposition to gay marriage.
> >>I'm sure that you know that I find homosexuality to be forbidden by my
> >>religion and that I can't find the words to adequately express my
> >
> >
> > and you admit here to your gay bashing by repeating it in summary form
>
> That is not gay bashing. I object to homosexuality on religious
> grounds. I object to the concept of gay marriage. I find homosexual
> practice to be disgusting and repugnant. No law in this country can
> force me to like or accept your perverse form of sexuality but I make
> no attempt to prevent it or to harm you in any way.

But you offered to engage him in homosexual perverted behavior on the 50 yard
line at a WVU home game.

In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
"Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
football game if he falls short."

> >>disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
> >>you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.
> >
> >
> > no it is becuase of one of 2 thing (i can't tell which at this point)
> >
> > One possiblity is that I lack enough details about your claims to have
> > a narrow enough search to have a chance to find it
>
> That was addressed by my earlier comment.

Backpedal.

> > the other is that you have been lying and it isn't there to be found
>
> We have a third possibility: that you are lying about it simply because
> you feel like it.

You are the liar.

> >>How about if I order, nag and harass you until you look up "Roger
> >>Wiseman", "AB8MQ" and "KC8JBO"?
> >
> >
> > you can try (alas I can't stop you) but i played your game once
>
> Well, you claimed that the reasons you did the earlier search is because
> I somehow ordered you and harassed you. Well if I can't do it now, the
> chances are good that I couldn't do it earlier.

Backpedal.

>
> >>>>>>>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it
is
> >>>>>>>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
> >>>>>>>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
> >>>>>>>about them must have been lies
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
> >>>>>>I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
> >>>>>>who worked me on 6m....and me.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Not at all just one you don't like
> >>>>
> >>>>What?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>again check you english data bank
> >>
> >>My English data bank can't make heads nor tails of a response in
> >>gibberish which doesn't track with the flow of conversation.
> >
> >
> > not my fault
>
> Ah, back to your victim status. You can't put a sentence together so
> that it can be understood, but the problem isn't yours. Right.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

> >>My comment: "The only folks who know for sure are those who worked me on
> >>6m....and me"
> >
> >
> > another Daveie lie what you realy said was "You just jumped to a false
> > conclusion. Folks here know only because I've seen fit to tell them."
> > [plus the other statement
> >
> >>Your response: "Not at all just one you don't like"
> >
> >
> > and my response is that the statement quoted again
> >
> > and it is true I came to one (conclusion) that you don't like
> >

> > I came to this conclusion becuase you after days of asking reused to
> > answer my questions, therefore as I said I would I have to accept
> > Brain's account of the matter
>
> It is tiresome to keep explaining things to you, Mark. You have no
> first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. Brian has no
> first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. The
> ever-anonymous Roger Wiseman has no first hand knowledge of my 6m
> operation from overseas.

Right, you worked out of banders, bragged about doing so and now you lie about
it.

> The o n l y people who know for sure about them are those who QSO'd
> me...and me. All others have only secondhand information.

Sort of like your claims that Roger is "well-known" to the Glen Dale "police"
<sic>.

> I am under no obligation to provide you answers just because you have
> questions.

Cheap cop-out.

> >>It appears that you believe that the only people who know for sure about
> >>my 6m operations from overseas are just ones I don't like. That doesn't
> >>make any sense at all.
> >
> >
> > then you are stupid but we knew that
>
> I'm sure if you have problems making people understand you, you come to
> the conclusion that everyone else is stupid.

You are stupid, errand boy.

773. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:01:45 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <5YKD39P938603.2512152778@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118553

In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
> football game if he falls short.

More perversion from Dave Vile. You fatasize about that happening, don't you?
Then pretend that homosexuality "offends" you. Ah, well, anything would be
better then watching a WVU game ratingswise, since they never play worth a crap.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

774. off topic but I sware it is god for a laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:02:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126152178.531162.312820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118552

just recieved one of those you have a a vaction email scams the
desination the cassiono of New Orleans

I know it is off topic but thought we could all use the laugh

775. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:14:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OUOTe.7654$Wd7.4753@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118554

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I am I am in full control of myself, and the object of the address has
>>>>>stated that she does not find it offensive or insulting
>>>>
>>>>...and you have no thought at all for anyone else who might find it
>>>>offensive or insulting.
>>>
>>>
>>>then don't read it was targeted for you anyway
>>
>>Let's see. It was targeted for me...but I shouldn't read it. Right?
>
>
> wasn't targeted for was a reply to Dee the elitsit bitch in question
> but nobody said you should or couldn't read it
>
>
>>>You have no right not to be offended
>>
>>You have no right to offend.

> I certainly do have that right.

If I'm sitting on a porch and you approach and utter a string of
vulgarities, you believe your are within your rights? Your rights end

where mine begin.

> prehaps we begin to see some the real differences between us.

Oh, take my word for it, Mark, there of loads and loads of differences between you and me.

> I believe in personal freedom and personal responiblit, you believe in
> some version of the Nanny sociality

I disagree. You believe in personal freedom with no strings and no personal responsibility. You believe you can do what you want and say what you want and write what you want with no consequences to yourself.

cutting the irrelevant comment due to cutting of response

> cuting the non responivie response
>
>
>>>In using that form of address I choose to be honest about my assement
>>>of the target, and to state so plainly (avoiding it here since I am
>>>addressing you so I show my thoughtfullness for everyone else)
>>
>>This newsgroup is public. All posts can be viewed by anyone. Do you
>>have disrespect for everyone?
>
>
> you are not making sense

I understand that the term is alien to you. Since anything you write here can be seen by anyone, and there is no warning as to message content, do you have disrespect for everyone. In other words, if someone who is not your "intended target" should read your post and take offense, do you care?

> but then you don't believe in honesty, nor that any one but you (and
> those that agree with you) has the right to express their opinions
> freely

I can't accept lectures in honesty from an admitted liar.

> if you were offended then of course be offended, that is your right,
> and I will never try and take it away from you.
>
> I will make suggestions to help you avoid being offended, but they are
> strictly for your considerations.
>
> another choice you could make is to kill file all my posts then they
> would not offend you,

If folks who knew nothing of the types of things you write were to open and read one of your posts, how would they know in advance that they should have killfiled you?

> Just trying to be helpfull of course
>
>
>>>you have no thought for offending folks yourself why should I be held
>>>to higher standard than you.
>>
>>I've never called anyone the names you used.<cut>
>
>
> No you call me a freak, you try to dengrate my religion, and in general
> show a lack of respect for me, always have.

Okay, Mark. In my book, you are no longer an S&M freak but a
pracitioner of the Sado-Masochistic arts. As to respect, I'll be happy
to respect you when you've demonstrated respect for others. I don't
have a lot of respect for cries of "lier, lier", "idoit", "sh-t" or
"f--k". I'm also not big on respecting those who claim a special class
of victimhood.

> But I think I said this to Hans, you lack the right to determine what
> insults I will use at in turn. since she chose to me insulting, I
> choose my reply. when you choose to be insulting to me I choose what to
> reply with

Dee was not being insulting and you lack the ability to know the
difference. At no time did she call you any names.

>><cut> I've never conducted a
>>campaign of lies directed at anyone.<cut>
>
>
> Neither have I, I have simply dared to disagree with you

Mark, you constructed a series of malicious lies directed at me and
you've still not admitted it despite being confronted with evidence
which proves that you lied.

>><cut> How do you think you are being
>>held to a higher standard.<cut>
>
>
> by your demands I respect your beliefs when you refuse to respect mine

I don't care if you respect my beliefs or not. I expect you to observe
some decorum and to act like an adult who is in control of his faculties.

>><cut> In just the past couple of days, you've gone
>>way overboard on a couple of issues.
>
>
> Prehaps in your judemental opinion

Others' posts show that your writings were seen to be excessive. Are we
all possessed of judgemental opinion or are we all liars?

> but again If I am going over the Top as you say that is my right too

There you go with your rights, Mark. Others have rights as well.

"cuting more markie bs in response to his cuts"

> cuting more Davie bs
>
>
>>>I will now remind you of the word of the figure you call the Lord God,
>>>"Let he who is without sin cast the first stone" the Gospel according
>>>Matthew I believe.
>>>
>>>risking being held over bearing (but claiming full licesen after your
>>>efforts to lecture me about my religion) I will point that many
>>>religous scholars hold this as a injuction against comdeming, since
>>>(accrdoing to Christain faith) we are all sinners
>>>
>>>You failure to follow the teachings of your faith, while seeking to ram
>>>your morality down my throat is one of the reasons I refuse to trust
>>>you, and decline your "Challenge"
>>
>>You can't use my faith, something in which you do not believe, against
>>me. My religion also teaches about recognizing evil, not embracing it.
>>I don't believe that every view is valid, that there is no right or
>>wrong. In fact, you practice a number of things which my religion
>>considers abhorrent.
>>
>>What do your gods teach?
>
>
> that heterosexuality and homosexuality are preverse, amoug other
> things, that decide who you wil love solely by what is between there
> legs is obsense

That's quite a mouthful, Mark. Both heterosexuality and homosexuality
are perverse? Well then, you're damned if you do, damned if you don't.

That last part is pretty strange. You believe that a woman is a woman
based on her genitals? Can that be right? And your gods teach you this?

> That it is proper to reuturn harm to sender 3 fold

So, if you take offense to anything someone did or wrote you could, for
example, begin a malicious campaign of utter lies directed toward that
individual. Would that be right?

> none of this BTW is proper for the forum we are in but there you have
> it

It's pretty bad, but I suppose it could have been worse.

> But we are in the USA and I have the right to believe as I choose. I
> have the right to practice my faith as I see fit

That's right, Mark. We're in a land turned upside-down. You can
believe any damned thing you want and do almost anything you want and
you can claim that it is normal. You can become a victim and even
receive special treatment because of it.

>>>>It was all set up in your favor, Mark, the odds, the reporting of scores
>>>>to ARRL, monitoring of my activity but no monitoring of your activity,
>>>>your use of PC and code reading software.
>>>
>>>
>>>but it doesn't anything if I don't trust you, and I don't trust you
>>
>>...and you don't trust the ARRL and you don't trust the monitors you and
>>I would agree on <cut>
>
>
> I don't trust the ARRL, I have said so a number of times, I don't trust
> you to adibe by them

You're probably wise. There's probably a guy at League HQ whose sole
job is to fix contest score bets in favor of high speed morse ops.

>>and you don't trust yourself to do well.
>
>
> My abilty never comes to it, if I can't rust the condictions of the
> tests wether I can pass it matters not

You can't expect that anyone will believe that it is the conditions set
out that cause you to decide not to participate. Nobody is going to
believe that two or three independent monitors and the ARRL are going in
collusion to prevent you from winning a bet. Sure, your knowledge of
your own ability came into play.

>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>>>The catch is you don't tell the turth and so I don't believe you
>>>>
>>>>Every word I've told you is the truth. I've publicly offered the
>>>>challenge here. I've offered for my operation to be monitored.
>>>>How much spine do you have? How much of an advantage do you need?
>>>>Think of your recent postings about how you could identify a morse
>>>>distress call and handle the message traffic using your PC and
>>>>code-reading software. Doesn't your self-respect enter into this?
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't beleive you, it is as simple as that.
>>
>>...and you don't believe in any of the safeguards I've offered you.
>>My operation would be monitored. Yours would not.
>
>

> are you dumb I don't trust you abide the results how many times must I
> repeat that before you accept the statement

...and you believe that monitors agreed upon by you *and* the American
Radio Relay League's scoring would be fixed in my favor.

>>>I don't beleive you'd ever accept the results if they work in my favor
>>
>>The scores would be published. The number of QSOs made by each station
>>would be a part of that record.
>
>
> so?
>
> you will evade or deny the results if it suits you

How does one evade or deny published results? How would one evade or
deny based upon the word of those monitoring my station?

>>>Your apporeval is not needed by me for my self respect (thank god)
>>
> cuting you continued abuse of my religous freedom

cutting the rest of your weak diatribe. Two can play that game.

Dave K8MN

776. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:17:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sXOTe.7657$Wd7.3627@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118555

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
> cut
>
>>I can assure that the newsgroup will never hear the end of it when you
>>fail. It would never hear the end of it if *I* failed. This is a real,
>>one-on-one challenge of operating skills--man and machine vs. man and
>>his brain. The worst it will cost you is years of humiliation and
>>jeering. Now think of how it would be if I somehow lost to you.
>>Wouldn't that be sweet? I could stumble coming out of the blocks. I
>>could have miscalculated the odds and given you too much of an advantage
>>for me to ever overcome. <cut>
>
>
>><cut I'd even skip the part about you having to
>>kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home game at halftime.<cut>
>
>
> you hypocrite, you pretend that such things disgust you and then you
> make remarks like that
>
> i missed it before

Don't tell me that when someone tells you to kiss his ass that you
believe it to be an invitation to anal sex? It surely doesn't mean that
in these parts.

Dave K8MN

777. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:34:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xbPTe.7299$4P5.1530@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118556

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> I haven't seen Roger Wiseman since he fled a Marshall County ARES
>> meeting several years ago. He never returned.

> Tell us about that one Dave, bet it makes an interesting story.

There's not so much to tell. Wiseman showed up at an ARES meeting after his newsgroup "flurry of filth" festival directed toward my family and after I'd visited the Glen Dale Police on a number of occasions. They wanted copies of his e-mails to me and of his newsgroup postings directed at my family.

I had some words with the lad before the meeting began. After the formal meeting, N8FQN took us on a tour of the comm van. Roger was there one moment and he slipped out quietly and beat feet for his car. Onlookers said he was really moving. He signed up for membership but has never returned for a single meeting.

Wiseman is about as well-liked by Glen Dale and Moundsville hams as he is by usenet participants. They know who he is and they know what he is.

One of the locals dropped the dime on Roger for the malicious interference for which he received the FCC letter in 1999. The guy included tapes of Wiseman's work on 20m. Roger has since tried to claim that it was me or N8NN who turned him in but Bert was living in Germany and I was in Tanzania back then. Neither of us moved back here until mid-2000. Roger now keeps a very low on-air profile. He's had two strikes with the Commission and I don't think we wants to risk a third.

Dave K8MN

778. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:43:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <gbGdnR3oQbMFL4LeRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118546

```
Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> In article <V6idnXQb5MCF4oLeRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>>
>>>In article <9PWdnTeBxuga_ILeRVn...@gbronline.com>
>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn...@gbronline.com>
>>>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Hiding behind the curtains.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>That's rich, coming from somebody who thinks they are a character from a
>>>
>>>1950's
>>>
>>>
>>>>>TV show. Or should I say hiding behind a character from a 1950's TV show?
>>>
>>>Yes,
>>>
>>>
>>>>>that's more correct.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Come see me fat boy,
>>>
>>>
>>>Fatass, the only fat boy is you!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I sure won't hide behind the curtains like you do.
```

>>>
>>>
>>>No, you'll just hide behind that front door whimpering and sniveling, like
>
> you
>
>>>do when you hide behind that 1950's TV show character screen name.
>>
>>And you hide behind an anonymous remailer.
>
>
> And you hide behind a 1950's TV character screen name all the while crying
> about somebody else "hiding." Pot Kettle Black, Buzzie.
>
>
>>Come on down coward, the door is open.
>
>
> How is that possible, when your fat ass blocks most of the opening as you hide
> behind the door.
>
> And I notice you didn't tell anybody where to "come down" to.

LOL at the Glendale turd!!!

779. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:45:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <gbGdnRzoQbNuL4LeRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118547

Dave Heil wrote:
> I
> haven't seen Roger Wiseman since he fled a Marshall County ARES meeting
> several years ago. He never returned.
>
> Dave K8MN

Tell us about that one Dave, bet it makes an interesting story.

780. Re: As Telecom Reels From Storm Damage, Ham Radios Hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 04:58:31 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <wtmdnXGQsOaIK4LeRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118549

Steve Stone wrote:

>
> *The hams also get little respect from telecommunications-equipment
> companies, such as Motorola Inc. "Something is better than nothing,
> that's right," says Jim Screeden, who runs all of Motorola's repair
> teams in the field for its emergency-response business. "But ham radios
> are pretty close to nothing." Mr. Screeden says ham radios can take a
> long time to relay messages and work essentially as "party lines," with
> multiple parties talking at once. Says Mr. Leggett at the Monroe
> operations center: "We are the unwanted stepchild. But when the s- hits
> the fan, who are you going to call?"*

I sent an emil to Motorola about Mr. Screeden's comments about ham radio. Here is the respondse.

Motorola apologizes for the way Mr. Screeden's comments appeared in Tuesday's Wall Street Journal. Unfortunately, Mr. Screeden was taken out of context. (sure it was, it couldn't be any clearer of his thoughts towards ham radio).

Motorola fully recognizes the incredible work that the amateur radio operator community has put forth during the Hurricane Katrina response efforts. In fact, Motorola has at least 100 of its own employees who are amateur radio operators.We also understand the cooperation and partnership that Motorola has developed with the amateur radio operator community in introducing our Broadband Over Powerline solution.

We will continue to work to highlight the unique capabilities that public safety communications and amateur radio operators both bring to significant natural disasters such as Hurricane Katrina. Once again, as demonstrated by the recently publicized cooperation with amateur radio for the Powerline LV test at ARRL headquarters, Motorola values it's relationship with amateur radio and respects the critical and unique work they are doing to help with the Katrina recovery efforts. The recent WSJ article was taken out of context in comparing the simple amateur radio communication capabilities with the very complex Public Safety and Enterprise solutions.

We appreciate the work you and the amateur radio operator community is putting forth. You may not know that Hurricane Katrina has become the largest single disaster recovery effort in our company's history too. We also hope we'll be able to establish a level of understanding and keep our focus on the folks that need it most ... those impacted by Hurricane Katrina.

Sincerely,

Jeff Madsen

Director, Communications & Public Affairs

Motorola, Inc.

Government & Enterprise Mobility Solutions

Email: jeffrey.madsen@motorola.com

Office: (847)-538-7660

781. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 05:01:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126155687.405325.214190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118557

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
cut
> >><cut I'd even skip the part about you having to
> >>kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home game at halftime.<cut>
> >
> >
> > you hypocrite, you pretend that such things disgust you and then you
> > make remarks like that
> >
> > i missed it before
>
> Don't tell me that when someone tells you to kiss his ass that you
> believe it to be an invitation to anal sex? It surely doesn't mean that
> in these parts.

Annalngus , the kissing or licking of the ass and related part, is a
sexual act not always a homosexual one (hertos can play too)
>
> Dave K8MN

782. Re: As Telecom Reels From Storm Damage, Ham Radios Hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 05:18:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <baqdnclkCdI8VILeRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118558

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Steve Stone wrote:
>
>>
>> *The hams also get little respect from telecommunications-equipment
>> companies, such as Motorola Inc. "Something is better than nothing,
>> that's right," says Jim Screeden, who runs all of Motorola's repair
>> teams in the field for its emergency-response business. "But ham
>> radios are pretty close to nothing." Mr. Screeden says ham radios can
>> take a long time to relay messages and work essentially as "party
>> lines," with multiple parties talking at once. Says Mr. Leggett at the
>> Monroe operations center: "We are the unwanted stepchild. But when the
>> s- hits the fan, who are you going to call?"*
>
>
> I sent an emil to Motorola about Mr. Screeden's comments about ham
> radio. Here is the respondse.
>
>
> Motorola apologizes for the way Mr. Screeden's comments appeared in
> Tuesday's Wall Street Journal. Unfortunately, Mr. Screeden was taken out
> of context. (sure it was, it couldn't be any clearer of his thoughts
> towards ham radio).

Hah! context indeed!

I sent off an email to them:

I read with great interest the story in the Wall Street Journal
regarding your employee Jim Screeden's attitude toward Amateur Radio and
our contribution to the relief and emergency efforts in the aftermath of
Hurricane Katrina.
Quotes such as:
Something is better than nothing,that's right,But ham radios
are pretty close to nothing." are very, very disappointing, and I am
very sorry to see that Motorola holds such a low opinion of Amateurs and
their contribution. Other comments regarding the speed of amateur
communications are also seemingly uncalled for, and add to the
embarrassment of a public dressing down of the service.
I am sorry that Motorola holds Amateurs in such low esteem.

Michael J. Coslo KB3EIA

We'll see what their response is.

> Motorola fully recognizes the incredible work that the amateur radio
> operator community has put forth during the Hurricane Katrina response
> efforts. In fact, Motorola has at least 100 of its own employees who are
> amateur radio operators.We also understand the cooperation and
> partnership that Motorola has developed with the amateur radio operator
> community in introducing our Broadband Over Powerline solution.

> We will continue to work to highlight the unique capabilities that
> public safety communications and amateur radio operators both bring to
> significant natural disasters such as Hurricane Katrina. Once again, as
> demonstrated by the recently publicized cooperation with amateur radio
> for the Powerline LV test at ARRL headquarters, Motorola values it's
> relationship with amateur radio and respects the critical and unique
> work they are doing to help with the Katrina recovery efforts. The
> recent WSJ article was taken out of context in comparing the simple
> amateur radio communication capabilities with the very complex Public
> Safety and Enterprise solutions.
>
> We appreciate the work you and the amateur radio operator community is
> putting forth. You may not know that Hurricane Katrina has become the
> largest single disaster recovery effort in our company's history too. We
> also hope we'll be able to establish a level of understanding and keep
> our focus on the folks that need it most ... those impacted by Hurricane
> Katrina.

> Sincerely,
>
> Jeff Madsen
>
> Director, Communications & Public Affairs
>
> Motorola, Inc.
>
> Government & Enterprise Mobility Solutions
>
> Email: jeffrey.madsen@motorola.com
>
> Office: (847)-538-7660

783. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 05:27:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126157255.855434.9900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118559

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut

> > I certainly do have that right.
>
> If I'm sitting on a porch and you approach and utter a string of
> vulgarities, you believe your are within your rights? Your rights end
> where mine begin.

walking down the public street yes of course
cut
>
> > I believe in personal freedom and personal responiblit, you believe in
> > some version of the Nanny sociality
>
> I disagree. You believe in personal freedom with no strings and no
> personal responsibility.

again you lie, but i do beleive I have no respoiblity for your feeling
being hurt

> You believe you can do what you want and say
> what you want and write what you want with no consequences to yourself.

well exceptping speech like screaming "fire" in crowd, or calling for
all of X group to be put to death or denied there right, you got that
pretty close

cut
> >>>In using that form of address I choose to be honest about my assement
> >>>of the target, and to state so plainly (avoiding it here since I am
> >>>addressing you so I show my thoughtfullness for everyone else)
> >>
> >>This newsgroup is public. All posts can be viewed by anyone. Do you
> >>have disrespect for everyone?
> >
> >
> > you are not making sense
>
> I understand that the term is alien to you. <CUT>

It is not

><CUT> Since anything you write
> here can be seen by anyone, and there is no warning as to message
> content, do you have disrespect for everyone.<CUT>

I don't have to, but I may choose to. I owe you no respect, you show me
nothing but disrespect. Do you have to? no but you choose to. I have
that same right

><CUT In other words, if
> someone who is not your "intended target" should read your post and take
> offense, do you care?

not exactly, I don't care if anyone at all including the target
audience reads and takes offense, just as you don't at offending me and
others

>
> > but then you don't believe in honesty, nor that any one but you (and
> > those that agree with you) has the right to express their opinions
> > freely
>
> I can't accept lectures in honesty from an admitted liar.

then you refuse to accept the testimony of an expert

after all only when one is freed from the tranny of lies many of tell
everyday, can one realy look at what truth is
>
> > if you were offended then of course be offended, that is your right,
> > and I will never try and take it away from you.
> >
> > I will make suggestions to help you avoid being offended, but they are
> > strictly for your considerations.
> >
> > another choice you could make is to kill file all my posts then they
> > would not offend you,
>
> If folks who knew nothing of the types of things you write were to open
> and read one of your posts, how would they know in advance that they
> should have killfiled you?

not my problem I was offering you adivice on avoiding offfense for
yourself
>
> > Just trying to be helpfull of course
> >
> >
> >>>you have no thought for offending folks yourself why should I be held
> >>>to higher standard than you.
> >>
> >>I've never called anyone the names you used.<cut>
> >
> >

> > No you call me a freak, you try to dengrate my religion, and in general
> > show a lack of respect for me, always have.
>
> Okay, Mark. In my book, you are no longer an S&M freak but a
> pracitioner of the Sado-Masochistic arts.

beter would be to avoid mention it at all at least till you can say
what it has to with the ARS
> As to respect, I'll be happy
> to respect you when you've demonstrated respect for others.

But I do demostrate it, for those that earn it.

> I don't
> have a lot of respect for cries of "lier, lier", "idoit", "sh-t" or
> "f--k".

again showing your lack of belief in the first amendment

> I'm also not big on respecting those who claim a special class
> of victimhood.
>
> > But I think I said this to Hans, you lack the right to determine what
> > insults I will use at in turn. since she chose to me insulting, I
> > choose my reply. when you choose to be insulting to me I choose what to
> > reply with
>
> Dee was not being insulting and you lack the ability to know the
> difference.

I have found most of her content insulting, I finaly decided she had
exceedd my tolerance for her crap

> At no time did she call you any names.

Name calling is not the sole paramiter of insulting behavoir

>
> >><cut> I've never conducted a
> >>campaign of lies directed at anyone.<cut>
> >
> >
> > Neither have I, I have simply dared to disagree with you
>
> Mark, you constructed a series of malicious lies directed at me and
> you've still not admitted it despite being confronted with evidence
> which proves that you lied.

No I have not

I have reported on my result from a google search that you insisted I
should do. that you disgree with my reading of your posting is not
evidence I am lying when I describe them as I see em

>
> >><cut> How do you think you are being
> >>held to a higher standard.<cut>
> >
> >
> > by your demands I respect your beliefs when you refuse to respect mine
>
> I don't care if you respect my beliefs or not.

you are lying, you may not realize it but you areI get to showing it in
a sec

> I expect you to observe
> some decorum and to act like an adult who is in control of his faculties.

You expect I conform to YOUR standards to your beliefs. IOW you demnd I
confrom to YOUR beliefs of what is proper when and where.

Given the content of the NG in general I see nothing imporper in
calling dee a bitch, or even a good deal worse langauge

I am in full control of my faculties, I just use them according to my
belief system not yours

In short your effort to compell me to respect your starnards is clearly
in my view demandning I respect your beliefs

>
> >><cut> In just the past couple of days, you've gone
> >>way overboard on a couple of issues.
> >
> >
> > Prehaps in your judemental opinion
>
> Others' posts show that your writings were seen to be excessive. Are we
> all possessed of judgemental opinion or are we all liars?

Id have to go though case by case to answer judemental in some cases,
not reading them carefully in other, perhaps lying in others

>
> > but again If I am going over the Top as you say that is my right too
>
> There you go with your rights, Mark. Others have rights as well.

I am in no way infringing on their rights. The only person with cause
and standing to complain is Stevie, and he seems to have declined that
option.

cut

> >>What do your gods teach?
> >
> >
> > that heterosexuality and homosexuality are preverse, amoug other

> > things, that decide who you wil love solely by what is between there
> > legs is obsense
>
> That's quite a mouthful, Mark. Both heterosexuality and homosexuality
> are perverse? <CUT>
when praticed to the exclusion of the other yes

><CUT>Well then, you're damned if you do, damned if you don't.

I answered you show some respect

>
> That last part is pretty strange. You believe that a woman is a woman
> based on her genitals? <CUT>

yes, of course

><CUT> Can that be right?<CUT>

obviously

><CUT> And your gods teach you this?

yes
>
> > That it is proper to reuturn harm to sender 3 fold
>
> So, if you take offense to anything someone did or wrote you could, for
> example, begin a malicious campaign of utter lies directed toward that
> individual. <CUT>

mere offense no but harm me yes certainly that would be a valid
possible response, as might be physical violence, or a number of other
actions, the lmits based on proprotionality, not means.

><CUT> Would that be right?

yes of course

>
> > none of this BTW is proper for the forum we are in but there you have
> > it
>
> It's pretty bad, but I suppose it could have been worse.

worse?

as I have said I tell you the truth you respond with flames I lie and
you flame, you certainly will teach no moral lessions concering say the
value or advantage in truth

>
> > But we are in the USA and I have the right to believe as I choose. I
> > have the right to practice my faith as I see fit
>

> That's right, Mark.

you need to let this sink in Dave

> We're in a land turned upside-down.

we are in a land where men died to create the freedom for all to
believe what they choose. a freedom you despise and scron

> You can
> believe any damned thing you want and do almost anything you want and
> you can claim that it is normal.

indeed and you need to start to deal with that fact

> You can become a victim and even
> receive special treatment because of it.
>
> >>>>It was all set up in your favor, Mark, the odds, the reporting of scores
> >>>>to ARRL, monitoring of my activity but no monitoring of your activity,
> >>>>your use of PC and code reading software.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>but it doesn't anything if I don't trust you, and I don't trust you
> >>
cut the repition

> >>>Your apporeval is not needed by me for my self respect (thank god)
> >>
> > cuting you continued abuse of my religous freedom
>
> cutting the rest of your weak diatribe. Two can play that game.

Indeed I can't stop you, nor can you stop me.

so grow up and stop trying
>
> Dave K8MN

784. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 07:12:16 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <WQ6R6HGC38603.1335185185@anonymous>
Source record: 118560

```
In article <c6NTe.2493$9x2.1261@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>which mean what? that he knows nothing?
> >>>>
> >>>>You know, I hadn't thought of that. I meant that the Roger Wiseman who
> >>>>is behind these anonymous remailer posts is a non-entity in my life. He
> >>>>has seen my precisely once in his life. But now that you've mentioned
> >>>>it, he really is the kind of guy who knows little of anything. Use
> >>>>Google and look under Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ and KC8JBO.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>One I don't take your orders
> >>>>
> >>>>You don't? Did you think I gave you an order a short time ago when you
> >>>>provided Google links here, which you erroneously claimed were my flirts
> >>>>in a personals newsgroup with a bisexual, married stripper?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>you ordered nagged and harrased me till I did it and found what I see
> >>>as your flirting etc as well more of gay bashing in yet other groups
> >>>but never found what you claimed I would find
> >>
```

> >>You never found anything about me flirting and you never found any "gay
> >>bashing" either. I'm sure you could find my opposition to gay marriage.
> >>I'm sure that you know that I find homosexuality to be forbidden by my
> >>religion and that I can't find the words to adequately express my
> >
> >
> > and you admit here to your gay bashing by repeating it in summary form
>
> That is not gay bashing. I object to homosexuality on religious
> grounds. I object to the concept of gay marriage. I find homosexual
> practice to be disgusting and repugnant. No law in this country can
> force me to like or accept your perverse form of sexuality but I make
> no attempt to prevent it or to harm you in any way.

But you offered to engage him in homosexual perverted behavior on the 50 yard
line at a WVU home game.

In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
"Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
football game if he falls short."

> >>disgust. I'm sure that you didn't find the material I suggested that
> >>you seek because you are incapable of using Google's search engine.
> >
> >
> > no it is becuase of one of 2 thing (i can't tell which at this point)
> >
> > One possiblity is that I lack enough details about your claims to have
> > a narrow enough search to have a chance to find it
>
> That was addressed by my earlier comment.

Backpedal.

> > the other is that you have been lying and it isn't there to be found
>
> We have a third possibility: that you are lying about it simply because
> you feel like it.

You are the liar.

> >>How about if I order, nag and harass you until you look up "Roger
> >>Wiseman", "AB8MQ" and "KC8JBO"?
> >
> >
> > you can try (alas I can't stop you) but i played your game once
>
> Well, you claimed that the reasons you did the earlier search is because
> I somehow ordered you and harassed you. Well if I can't do it now, the
> chances are good that I couldn't do it earlier.

Backpedal.

>
> >>>>>>>>You know nothing of my activies on 6m from overseas. By the way, it
is
> >>>>>>>>"a world class DXer", not "an world class DXer". Makes little
> >>>>>>>>difference, I've never claimed to be either.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>If he and the rest of US know nothing then I guess all of your posts
> >>>>>>>about them must have been lies
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>You just jumped to a false conclusion. Folks here know only because
> >>>>>>I've seen fit to tell them. The only folks who know for sure are those
> >>>>>>who worked me on 6m....and me.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Not at all just one you don't like
> >>>>
> >>>>What?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>again check you english data bank
> >>
> >>My English data bank can't make heads nor tails of a response in
> >>gibberish which doesn't track with the flow of conversation.
> >
> >
> > not my fault
>
> Ah, back to your victim status. You can't put a sentence together so
> that it can be understood, but the problem isn't yours. Right.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

> >>My comment: "The only folks who know for sure are those who worked me on
> >>6m....and me"
> >
> >
> > another Daveie lie what you realy said was "You just jumped to a false
> > conclusion. Folks here know only because I've seen fit to tell them."
> > [plus the other statement
> >
> >>Your response: "Not at all just one you don't like"
> >
> >
> > and my response is that the statement quoted again
> >
> > and it is true I came to one (conclusion) that you don't like
> >

> > I came to this conclusion becuase you after days of asking reused to
> > answer my questions, therefore as I said I would I have to accept
> > Brain's account of the matter
>
> It is tiresome to keep explaining things to you, Mark. You have no
> first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. Brian has no
> first hand knowledge of my 6m operation from overseas. The
> ever-anonymous Roger Wiseman has no first hand knowledge of my 6m
> operation from overseas.

Right, you worked out of banders, bragged about doing so and now you lie about
it.

> The o n l y people who know for sure about them are those who QSO'd
> me...and me. All others have only secondhand information.

Sort of like your claims that Roger is "well-known" to the Glen Dale "police"
<sic>.

> I am under no obligation to provide you answers just because you have
> questions.

Cheap cop-out.

> >>It appears that you believe that the only people who know for sure about
> >>my 6m operations from overseas are just ones I don't like. That doesn't
> >>make any sense at all.
> >
> >
> > then you are stupid but we knew that
>
> I'm sure if you have problems making people understand you, you come to
> the conclusion that everyone else is stupid.

You are stupid, errand boy.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

785. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 10:21:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EcOdnbwBbfctjb3eRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 118561

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:YOMTe.2490$9x2.1594@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an Old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:ylITe.2368$9x2.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>cut
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>
>>>>>More likely you could beat him 100:1 since even I could probably manage
>>>>>10:1
>>>>>as I suspect that you are far better than I. One member of our club
>>>>>set a
>>>>>new personal best last Field Day of 1000 contacts on 40 meters mixed CW
>>>>>and
>>>>>phone. At least half of them were CW.\
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>boy you are in deep mis elitist Bitch
>>>
>>
>>>Why don't you exercise some civility and self-control?
>>
>>
>> I am I am in full control of myself, and the object of the address has
>> stated that she does not find it offensive or insulting
>
> ...and you have no thought at all for anyone else who might find it
> offensive or insulting.
>
>>>>but of course I know Daves style on here
>>>
>>>>Asuming I accept his challenge and win I gain nothing of value since
>>>>Dave will never adknowledge it
>>>

>>>I've stated here (this makes the third time) that I'll publicly
>>
>>
>> And I don't believe you
>
> It was all set up in your favor, Mark, the odds, the reporting of scores
> to ARRL, monitoring of my activity but no monitoring of your activity,
> your use of PC and code reading software.
>
>>>acknowledge your CW operating prowess in this forum. The scores would
>>>be submitted to the ARRL for publication on the League website.
>>
>>
>> but that mans Id have to NOT support my club for FD overwise my scorre
>> will be lumped in with theirs
>
> Didn't you just tell me that you'd planned to operate from home? How were
> you going to support your club's effort from your home? You can't add
> your home score to your club's efforts from a different location.
>
>>>The League's results are broken down into QSOs and final score.
>>>We'll go strictly by the number of QSOs made during the FD period.
>>>I'll take your worthless word that you've had no assistance, other than
>>
>>
>> and I don't believe youd take my word
>
> Your word has been proven worthless, but I've said I'd accept it.
>
>>>from your computer. You may have one, two or three individuals,
>>>mutually agreed on by us, to watch me like a hawk during the entire
>>>operation, if you like.
>>
>>
>> right like I know anyone in WV
>
> I live near eastern Ohio and Southwestern Pennsylvania. You have months
> and months to find some people.
>
>>>>but neither of you nor can both of you bait me into accepting
>>>>challange that wouls be adknowledged
>>>
>>>You would receive your due if you were to actually come up with 1 QSO to
>>>my 15 QSOs. You know there is a catch, of course, and that is making
>>
>>
>> The catch is you don't tell the turth and so I don't believe you
>
> Every word I've told you is the truth. I've publicly offered the
> challenge here. I've offered for my operation to be monitored.

> How much spine do you have? How much of an advantage do you need?
> Think of your recent postings about how you could identify a morse
> distress call and handle the message traffic using your PC and
> code-reading software. Doesn't your self-respect enter into this?
>
>>>you leary. The catch is that you know and I know that you can't succeed
>>>and that I'd pound you like a drum. I don't blame you for being a
>>>little nervous. After all, your self-respect is on the line.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dave's history of evasion is clear on that score
>>>
>>>That's a sheer fabrication, and you know it. You're simply ducking the
>>
>>
>> no it is simple truth, You spent weeks refusing to answer simple
>> questions.
>
> Nothing whatever necessitates my answering any of your questions on any
> topic. Deal with it.
>
>> You will likely find some way of evading admitting to my
>> sucess assuming I pull it off and we (the NG) will never hear the end
>> of it if i should fail.
>
> I can assure that the newsgroup will never hear the end of it when you
> fail. It would never hear the end of it if *I* failed. This is a real,
> one-on-one challenge of operating skills--man and machine vs. man and his
> brain. The worst it will cost you is years of humiliation and jeering.
> Now think of how it would be if I somehow lost to you. Wouldn't that be
> sweet? I could stumble coming out of the blocks. I could have
> miscalculated the odds and given you too much of an advantage for me to
> ever overcome. I'd even skip the part about you having to kiss my ass on
> the fifty-yard line at a WVU home game at halftime. What *if*, Mark?
>
>> If I were to accept a "chalenge" in which no desirable outcome is
>> possiblethen I would the fool you claim I am
>
> Then you've been painted into a corner, Mark. You won't accept the
> challenge, even with a 15:1 advantage. You're sure to get walloped if you
> do take the challenge. Maybe you aren't one who can operate CW. Maybe all
> that stuff about code-reading software being as good as a human op was
> simply guff.
>
> Dave K8MN

Don't forget that unless he upgrades between now and FD, that he will have to have arrange with another amateur (General, Advanced, or Extra) to be at his site and acting as control op so they can operate in the HF bands.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

786. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 10:55:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126176908.064231.252190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118562

Michael Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> >> I ridicule many things.
> >
> > Bravo!
>
> You do too!

I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.

> >> So where do we stop, Brian?
> >
> > Has it started?
>
> Oh absolutely.
> >
> >>Is it when they call for the government to
> >>assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?
> >
> >
> > Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life?
>
> No it wasn't. It was when Pat Robertson called for the US to assassinate
> Chavez. I'm not talking about politicos, I'm talking about religious
> leaders.

Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
process was set up by evil religious people.

> > Clinton sent a
> > couple of missiles into an emply office building to show that you can't
> > just go around threatening the President.
>
> > Is it when
> >
> >>they call for holy war?
> >
> > Yeh, I think we should draw the line at Jihad.
>
> There ya go!

Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
call for Jihad.

> >>Is it when they launch inquisitions?
> >
> > Saddam confessed to crimes.
>
> Not Saddam. But religious leaders have often had purges, inquisitions,
> and the like.

Those damned religious people. I wonder if non-religious people have
ever committed atrocities.

> > Kill people
> >
> >>in the name of an Exorcism? Is it when a Catholic priest is expelled
> >>from the UAR after serving a jail sentence for the crime of evangelizing
> >>without a permit? Is it when the preacher stands in the pulpit and
> >>declares that if you vote for a certain political party, you have to
> >>leave the church? And in my own case, where the local fundies put such a
> >>stranglehold on the school system that not only is evolution not taught
> >>at all, but that no teaching about any dinosaurs or any animal that
> >>didn't live in modern time was taught or discussed.
> >
> > Abuses in publice education? Couldn't be.
>
> Yup. In the name of religion.

Which religion?

> > Public education is the grand indoctrination that our children receive
> > from the left.
>
> Yeah, I keep hearing that. My experience has been otherwise. The far
> right controlled education and what we learned in my little burg.
>
> Keep blaming everything on liberals. It's almost as easy as religion.

...as blaming on religion? You tell me.

> >>My "sex ed" class
> >>consisted of one session of naming of various STDs, and that was it.
> >>That is it. less than an hour. I've seen the other side, and it isn't
> >>pretty.
> >
> > What did your parent's tell you?
>
> Nutthin.

OIC. You blame religion for not teaching you things that your parents
are responsible for teaching you, but when your parents fall down on
the job, it's still the religious people who are blamed. You're double
standard is multi-faceted.

> I got my education at the public library, reading the books
> that the far right wanted the library to get rid of. It was nice of them
> to give out the titles though.

Gay stuff? Recently, one upstanding citizen removed all of the
"alternative lifestyle" free newspapers from the lobby of a public
library. Said he didn't want his children to have to walk past it.

> >> There is one problem with religious tolerance, and that is that many
> >>religions have no concept of tolerance themselves.
> >
> > Fine. It's called seperation of Church and State. We have that and
> > more. As rights are denied to Christians, the pendulum begins to swing
> > the other way.
>
> Problem is that what some Christians consider their right, is to deprive
> others of their rights.

Odd. I just reread the Bill of Rights, and I see no right to sex
education. For that matter, I see no right to education at all. As
such, it is a parental obligation to educate you or to pay to have you
educated.

> I've been in a religion controlled community. I know how they act when
> they are allowed to.

Get out.

> > Lock up people who make threats against other people and quit whining
> > to me about it.
>
> I have no idea what you mean here

Robertson vs Chavez.

> >>>Aren't you supposed to be an educated man?
> >>
> >> Supposed to be. Didn't find out about a lot of things that I should
> >>have known until after I graduated High School.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > You weren't supposed to learn everything in high school. That's why we
> > have colleges. Know anyone who's living depends on other people
> > thinking there's more to learn?
>
> So it's okay to have forbidden knowledge?

Some issues may not be appropriate to teach in public school. For
example, I draw the line at "intro to Jihad," "intro to bomb making,"
and "intro to the combustible properties of effigies of the President
of the USA."

Meanwhile, I trust that your personal oddysey into human sexual
intercourse education was a success?

> Dinosaurs are dangerous? What
> threat does a dinosaur have to religion?

Don't know - never seen one. Never seen God, for what that's worth.

> > Life is a life-long learning experience. Learn something today.
>
> Always do!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hey, Joslyn Elders advocated teaching masturbation to school children.
You should look her up. You guys might make a dynamic duo on the sex
ed scene.

787. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 11:55:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126180503.957615.119490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118563

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:YOMTe.2490$9x2.1594@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > an Old friend wrote:
cut

> Don't forget that unless he upgrades between now and FD, that he will have
> to have arrange with another amateur (General, Advanced, or Extra) to be at
> his site and acting as control op so they can operate in the HF bands.
>

Unless the R&O is undeuely delayed that isn't likely an issue
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

788. Today is Thor's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:07:57 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <if.i.had.a.hammer@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 118564

On Thu, 8 Sep 2005, Secwet Scwuffy Woger wrote:
[nothing important]

Thor is the Norse god of thunder. He is a son of Odin and Jord, and one of the most powerful gods. He is married to Sif, a fertility goddess. His mistress is the giantess Jarnsaxa ("iron cutlass"), and their sons are Magni and Modi and his daughter is Thrud. Thor is helped by Thialfi, his servant and the messenger of the gods.

But today, Thor is all washed up. Know why?

Thor had long hair.

====
"If a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him." -- St. Paul
1 Corinthians 11:14

789. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:16:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126181801.700122.172590@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118565

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> >>Then what's with your little "indeed you do always encourage people to
> >>feed your ego" comment. My statement had nothing to do my ego or with
> >>any self-aggrandizement. It was, in fact, just the opposite.
> >
> >
> > learn english
> >
> > your statement was nothing but ego and selfaggrandizement. you have
> > little but your puff and stract and bluster
>
> You're out of your ever-livin', medicated mind.

not at all I fully in my mind, not at all medicated

>
> >>>you just don't understand the written word, esp if it says anything you
> >>>don't wnat to read
> >>
> >>Wnat?
> >
> >
> > indeed my point exactly
>
> Wnat? was your point? Unbelievable.

unbeleiveable? I suppose anything outside your limited mind set is
unblevable

but you just don't understand the written word, esp if it says anything
you
don't want to read
cut
> >>>and Torturers are called "Pain Technicians" spin it all you like clerk,
> >>>but you are convincing no one, not already tkaen in by your bullshit
> >>
> >>I'll state it for you again, feeble one. I never worked in the position
> >>of file clerk. You've lied so much and so often that you're assuming
> >>that everyone does.
> >
> >
> > you still understand

>
> Yes, I understand. You've attempted to create the false impression that
> my job was something entirely different than it was.

more lies Dave

your job was trival, and the details never mattered when they are so
trivial
>
> > I Know you lie, indeed I know Bill Sohl lies (I am sure he answers
> > "fine" to the question how are you) everyone does lie.
>
> You're starting to come off as pretty delusional, Mark.

indeed I am sure everyone that disgrees with you is seen as delusional
>
> > You lie here with almost every post you make, and in many case I
> > honestly think you don't know it
>
> Sure, sure, Mark. Everybody lies with every breath they breathe out and
> they're all talking about you too.

Never said that another Dave fabrication, never said any such thing

But I am sure you lie every day of your life, without exception
>
> > You were a peon, a clerk, a nobody in the dept, you puuf yourself up
> > with a title but I don't care
>
cuting more preening of feathers

cut

> >>Tom Cruise worked for the Department of State as a communicator? Get
> >>outta here!
> >
> >
> > never said that another Dave Fabrication
>
> I asked a question.

and Choose not to answer it as you might (and Steve has) fail to answer
the question have you stoped beating your wife yet
cut

> >>Funny that my informing you that I can't tell you something would
> >>disgust you more than some of your own practices.
> >
> >
> > auf anglish bitte
>
> It was in English, Mark. Hire a translator to put it into whatever it
> is that you speak.

well it make no sense at all

after all nothing I practice disgusts me at all, there it is easy for
you to tell more disgusting

It might helps if youd bother to remember and pass though your brain I
don't beleive in the same things you do

> > yes I can you try to deny my right to even exist
>
> I asked you to point to an occasion.

anther Lie you said bisexauls do not exist

> You did not do so.

I did so

> I have never
> attempted to deny your right to exist.

wrong

> I don't spend a lot of time even
> thinking about your existence.

never said you had
cut

790. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:16:57 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <US19AOTQ38603.3451041667@anonymous>
Source record: 118566

In article <bqNTe.7263$4P5.3263@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry, that is incorrect. I don't see Roger at all. He spends a lot of
> time hiding. Right now he hides behind anonymous remailers.

You see him behind every post.

> You spend much

More lies. I'm rather frugal.

> No, I've never attempted to moderate an unmoderated newsgroup.

Liar.

> Why, not at all, Roger.

There you go seeing Roger behind every post again.
Your vile and insulting posts are there for all to see as well as any others.
Perhaps you'll fantasize about that anal-oral sex again on the 50 yeard line
with Mark.

> > If they did, would you whine more than you usually do?
>
> If they did, you wouldn't have any idea who I am.

Your stupidity is archived at Google.

> Oh, maybe you're a different anonymous type than the one who report
> hearing my signal checking into that net. *snicker*

Your crappy audio has been discussed on here already.

> Oh, right. I get it.

That is doubtful. You brag about working out of band Frenchmen then try to lie
about it.

> You've made a mistake.

No, hypocrite.

> That's incorrect. The word Police is plural. Better luck next time.

No, the word Police is a noun. At least you spelled it correctly this time.

> Neither Mark Morgan nor Len Anderson has posted any filth regarding
> members of my family.

No, you have posted filth about them though. Or do you think dreaming about having Mark kiss your ass on the 50-yard line isn't filth? Perhaps he forgot how sensitive you are, little one.

After all, you got your panties in a wad just by telling you that you have crappy on-air audio.

> Neither of them has ever sent e-mail threats to
> my home. Be very, very careful, Roger.

There's that stalking again, thinking everybody is Roger, but the thing is, you sent Roger a threatening e-mail, I've heard. It's in the Google archives somewhere.
Threating and illegal activities again. Hypocrite.

"Be very very careful, I'm stalking Rogers."

> What do you know of my or anyone's State Department experience?

What do you know about anything?

> You'd better

You'd better think up better lies.

> Sorry. Better luck next time.

For you, former errand boy. Keep trying, you might get something right once.

> I was very clear about what I wrote.

You never are, liar.

> You're dri

You're backpedaling.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

791. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:17:50 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <09OG96J838603.3457175926@anonymous>
Source record: 118567

In article <bqNTe.7263$4P5.3263@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry, that is incorrect. I don't see Roger at all. He spends a lot of
> time hiding. Right now he hides behind anonymous remailers.

You see him behind every post.

> You spend much

More lies. I'm rather frugal.

> No, I've never attempted to moderate an unmoderated newsgroup.

Liar.

> Why, not at all, Roger.

There you go seeing Roger behind every post again.
Your vile and insulting posts are there for all to see as well as any others.
Perhaps you'll fantasize about that anal-oral sex again on the 50 yard line
with Mark.

> > If they did, would you whine more than you usually do?
>
> If they did, you wouldn't have any idea who I am.

Your stupidity is archived at Google.

> Oh, maybe you're a different anonymous type than the one who report
> hearing my signal checking into that net. *snicker*

Your crappy audio has been discussed on here already.

> Oh, right. I get it.

That is doubtful. You brag about working out of band Frenchmen then try to lie
about it.

> You've made a mistake.

No, hypocrite.

> That's incorrect. The word Police is plural. Better luck next time.

No, the word Police is a noun. At least you spelled it correctly this time.

> Neither Mark Morgan nor Len Anderson has posted any filth regarding
> members of my family.

No, you have posted filth about them though. Or do you think dreaming about having Mark kiss your ass on the 50-yard line isn't filth? Perhaps he forgot how sensitive you are, little one.

After all, you got your panties in a wad just by telling you that you have crappy on-air audio.

> Neither of them has ever sent e-mail threats to
> my home. Be very, very careful, Roger.

There's that stalking again, thinking everybody is Roger, but the thing is, you sent Roger a threatening e-mail, I've heard. It's in the Google archives somewhere.
Threating and illegal activities again. Hypocrite.

"Be very very careful, I'm stalking Rogers."

> What do you know of my or anyone's State Department experience?

What do you know about anything?

> You'd better

You'd better think up better lies.

> Sorry. Better luck next time.

For you, former errand boy. Keep trying, you might get something right once.

> I was very clear about what I wrote.

You never are, liar.

> You're dri

You're backpedaling.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

792. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:18:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126181910.475804.304680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118568

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
cut
> I'll bet you watch Monk on TV and don't find anything funny about the show.

pay up

I never watch the show.

I find the comercails for it too disgusting, to even consider watching
it
>
> Dave K8MN

793. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:33:46 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <frb0i19k7cui7n1c1i58mfd8r5e25200i2@4ax.com>
Source record: 118569

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> certianly are gay bashing
>
What's wrong with being gay?
>
> engaging me?
>

Top or bottom?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

794. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:37:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126183057.535931.121230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118570

AB8MQ wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > certianly are gay bashing
> >
> What's wrong with being gay?

I never said there was that was Dave Heil
> >
> > engaging me?
> >
>
> Top or bottom?
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

795. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:39:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZhWTe.2626$9x2.2356@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118571

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>><cut I'd even skip the part about you having to
>>>>kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home game at halftime.<cut>
>>>
>>>
>>> you hypocrite, you pretend that such things disgust you and then you
>>>make remarks like that
>>>
>>>i missed it before
>>
>>Don't tell me that when someone tells you to kiss his ass that you
>>believe it to be an invitation to anal sex? It surely doesn't mean that
>>in these parts.
>
>
> Annalngus , the kissing or licking of the ass and related part, is a
> sexual act not always a homosexual one (hertos can play too)

I'll have to take your word for it, Mark. Thanks so much for sharing
your wealth of knowledge with us.

Dave K8MN

796. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:42:33 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <sbc0i19navk42111urng5p4igg2kunepj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 118573

```
Fritz Wuehler
<fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
wrote:
> In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
> > football game if he falls short.
>
> More perversion from Dave Vile. You fatasize about that happening, don't
> you?
>
IWhat's wrong with that?
```


```
----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

797. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:45:19 UTC
From: Lloyd <Gotca@Wodgie.comface>
Message-ID: <dfpbma$tq0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118572

"Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
news:09OG96J838603.3457175926@anonymous...
In article <bqNTe.7263$4P5.3263@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry, that is incorrect. I don't see Roger at all. He spends a lot of
> time hiding. Right now he hides behind anonymous remailers.

You see him behind every post.

> You spend much

More lies. I'm rather frugal.

.....

Yes, you are frugal, aren't you? You shop at Save-A-Lot.
And there are those who say you are fairly good at the fifty hard dash, too.

798. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 12:51:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OtWTe.2632$9x2.1860@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118574

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:YOMTe.2490$9x2.1594@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>an Old friend wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>Don't forget that unless he upgrades between now and FD, that he will have
>>to have arrange with another amateur (General, Advanced, or Extra) to be at
>>his site and acting as control op so they can operate in the HF bands.
>>
>
>
> Unless the R&O is undeuely delayed that isn't likely an issue

That aside, the dog ate your homework and you have to submit your effort
for your club, except that you were going to operate from your own
station at home and it might rain.

Dave K8MN

799. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:02:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126184570.738424.262610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118575

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >>Don't tell me that when someone tells you to kiss his ass that you
> >>believe it to be an invitation to anal sex? It surely doesn't mean that
> >>in these parts.
> >
> >
> > Annalngus , the kissing or licking of the ass and related part, is a
> > sexual act not always a homosexual one (hertos can play too)
>
> I'll have to take your word for it, Mark. Thanks so much for sharing
> your wealth of knowledge with us.

I do my best to combat ignorance. I wish you did not make it such a big
job
>
> Dave K8MN

800. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:05:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IGWTe.2634$9x2.2040@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118576

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>Don't tell me that when someone tells you to kiss his ass that you
>>>>believe it to be an invitation to anal sex? It surely doesn't mean that
>>>>in these parts.
>>>
>>>
>>>Annalngus , the kissing or licking of the ass and related part, is a
>>>sexual act not always a homosexual one (hertos can play too)
>>
>>I'll have to take your word for it, Mark. Thanks so much for sharing
>>your wealth of knowledge with us.
>
>
> I do my best to combat ignorance. I wish you did not make it such a big
> job

I can only hope, for your sake, that if someone tells you to kiss his
ass, you don't use your own definition in a hasty manner.

Dave K8MN

801. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:09:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126184947.883993.149790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118577

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> > I do my best to combat ignorance. I wish you did not make it such a big
> > job
>
> I can only hope, for your sake, that if someone tells you to kiss his
> ass, you don't use your own definition in a hasty manner.

why? becasue you can't resist th urge to regulat human behavoir

>
> Dave K8MN

802. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:11:02 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126185062.389972.307840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118578

Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP wrote:
> Fritz Wuehler
> <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
> wrote:
> > In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
> > > football game if he falls short.
> >
> > More perversion from Dave Vile. You fatasize about that happening, don't
> > you?
> >
> IWhat's wrong with that?

"IWhat's"..... Lloydie, you make good spell!

But the only reason you are still a VIRGIN is because you are a queer,
Davies.

> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

My, what were you whining about hiding behind remailers before, Davies?
PKB, Fatass!

803. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:13:17 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126185197.048376.214340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118579

I'm sure Dave Vile and his buddies (especially the one who he took to a meeting as his date) are all too familiar with anilingus.
After all, he is the one who put the idea on the table first. It must be on his mind a lot. Out there in the country.

804. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:14:28 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <96e0i1ppnhauv8mjsa1hl4d78rodcalhhj@4ax.com>
Source record: 118582

```
"Stagger Leechildraper"
<Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP wrote:
> > Fritz Wuehler
> > <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
> > wrote:
> > > In article <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
> > > > football game if he falls short.
> > >
> > > More perversion from Dave Vile. You fatasize about that happening,
> > > don't you?
> > >
> > IWhat's wrong with that?
>
> "IWhat's"..... Lloydie, you make good spell!
>
Sorry, I was trying to put compound W on my dick
while typing and my hand slipped. Damn warts!

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

805. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:15:44 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <uae0i15p2enajnv1on6et382kr6konvi0u@4ax.com>
Source record: 118583

"Stagger Leechildraper"
<Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I'm sure Dave Vile and his buddies (especially the one who he took to a
> meeting as his date) are all too familiar with anilingus.
> After all, he is the one who put the idea on the table first. It must
> be on his mind a lot. Out there in the country.
>
You're making me hot!!!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

806. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:15:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fQWTe.2635$9x2.725@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118580

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>>>I do my best to combat ignorance. I wish you did not make it such a big
>>>job
>>
>>I can only hope, for your sake, that if someone tells you to kiss his
>>ass, you don't use your own definition in a hasty manner.
>
>
> why? becasue you can't resist th urge to regulat human behavoir

No, Mark, because it might save you from being pile-driven into some
artificial turf.

Dave K8MN

807. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:16:25 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126185385.040861.228750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118581

N0VFP wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > certianly are gay bashing
> >
> What's wrong with being gay?

That is why you are a 31, almost 32 year old VIRGIN, Fatass Davies. Did
you get a new job yet, fired pizza BOY?

>
> Top or bottom?

You are probably a bottom kind of pussy, Davies.

> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

My, my, what were you whining about cowardice before, Fatass Davies?

808. Re: Today is Thor's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:19:46 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126185586.849569.42920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118584

Saggytits Lee wrote:

> [nothing important]

Jesus is all washed up, too. Why? Jesus had long hair.
--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

809. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:20:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <8813bcc52ad0b95f0fcb3b31de936c22@dizum.com>
Source record: 118585

In article <frb0i19k7cui7n1c1i58mfd8r5e25200i2@4ax.com>
Lardass Lloyd Davies <A...@nospam.com> wrote:

> What's wrong with being gay?

That is why you are a 31 almost 32 year old VIRGIN, Fatass Davies.

>
> Top or bottom?

You are probably a bottom kind of pussy, Davies.

> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

My, my, what were you whining about cowardice before, Fatass Davies?

810. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:20:05 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <4257b3017ddb081c9890c350c97fb74c@dizum.com>
Source record: 118587

In article <1126155687.405325.214190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > >an Old friend wrote:
> cut
> > > ><cut I'd even skip the part about you having to
> > > >kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home game at halftime.<cut>
> > >
> > >
> > > you hypocrite, you pretend that such things disgust you and then you
> > > make remarks like that
> > >
> > > i missed it before
> >
> > Don't tell me that when someone tells you to kiss his ass that you
> > believe it to be an invitation to anal sex? It surely doesn't mean that
> > in these parts.
>
> Annalngus , the kissing or licking of the ass and related part, is a
> sexual act not always a homosexual one (hertos can play too)

I'm sure Dave Vile and his buddies (especially the one who he took to a meeting
as his date) are all too familiar with anilingus.
After all he is the one who put the idea on the table first. It must be on his
mind a lot.

<hhJTe.2388$9x2.1...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
"Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home football
game if he falls short."

811. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:20:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126185620.061067.252600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118586

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut
> > why? becasue you can't resist th urge to regulat human behavoir
>
> No, Mark, because it might save you from being pile-driven into some
> artificial turf.

Ok I remeber your warning the next time I am near artificail tuff

>
> Dave K8MN

812. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:21:08 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <rke0i15bgequc0h6pa7sbqn1unpbp0cr2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 118588

Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com> wrote:
> In article <frb0i19k7cui7n1c1i58mfd8r5e25200i2@4ax.com>
> Lardass Lloyd Davies <A...@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> > What's wrong with being gay?
>
> That is why you are a 31 almost 32 year old VIRGIN, Fatass Davies.
>
> >
> > Top or bottom?
>
> You are probably a bottom kind of pussy, Davies.
>
My man pussy agrees!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

813. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:23:55 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126185835.607509.268310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118589

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > certianly are gay bashing
> > >
> > What's wrong with being gay?
>
> I never said there was that was Dave Heil

Lloyd is looking for a new boyfriend.

LLoyd Davies
710 S. Clinton St. #202
Athens, AL 35611

814. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:25:41 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126185941.801956.322150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118590

N0VFP wrote:
> Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com> wrote:
> > In article <frb0i19k7cui7n1c1i58mfd8r5e25200i2@4ax.com>
> > Lardass Lloyd Davies <A...@nospam.com> wrote:
> >
> > > What's wrong with being gay?
> >
> > That is why you are a 31 almost 32 year old VIRGIN, Fatass Davies.
> >
> > >
> > > Top or bottom?
> >
> > You are probably a bottom kind of pussy, Davies.
> >
> My man pussy agrees!!

I'm sure it does, find a new job yet, fired pizza BOY?

815. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:25:43 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050908132543.1C3BD171A9@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118591

In article <qmMTe.7580$Wd7.1433@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> It would appear that

More lies.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

Isn't that always the way with criminals like Dave Vile, the stalker (you)
blaming the victim (Roger). The criminal mind of Dave Vile, trying to
rationalize his stalking behavior. My oh my!

> He doesn't even know enough not QRM other stations on 20m.

More lies.

AS you said:
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

> He's had a couple of FCC warning letters and was forced to retest.

And you can't count, either.

Roger had 1 warning letter, 1 advisory letter along with another ham, and 1
retest letter.

And from the FCC database, he never lost his license nor was fined and has the
Extra class license. If that retest was supposed to be a "punishment" it sure
backfired since Roger apparently retained his licese and got the Extra.

>
> >>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
> >
> >
> > It's "Police", you really shouldn't mix the booze with those prescription
> > painkillers you are addicted to.

> > Only hearsay, and we all know what a liar Dave Vile is. So anything he says
is
> > a lie. Looks like Vile has lied and can't prove Roger had previous dealings

> > with the police. He speaks in generalities and can't name specifics.
>
> You've been dealt with

Again:
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

I haven't been "dealt" anything, SS-wannabe.

Neither has Roger. And learn how to spell "Police".

> >
> >>If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city
> >
> >
> > They don't give out information like that to the public, Vile.
>
> ...at least you hope not.

One doesn't have to hope. They cannot give such information out to the public.

> > Better still, you can report Dave Vile for stalking with the
> > Marshall County, West Virginia Prosecutor's office:
> >
> > Courthouse
> > Seventh St.
> > Moundsville, WV 26041
> > (304) 845-3580
> >
> > Or the Marshall County West Virginia Sheriff's Office:
> > 601 6th St.
> > Moundsville, WV 26041
> > (304)843-1500
>
> ...but you claim that you aren't Roger Wiseman.

I'm not, but Switchboard has that information posted above readily available.
Perhaps you will get called to the carpet on your stalking.

> You're some anonymous nobody in cyber space. How can someone stalk a
nonexistent person?

God, you are stupid. You are stalking Roger Wiseman. But as always you think
everybody who posts anonymously is Roger Wiseman.

> I haven't seen Roger Wiseman since

More Dave Vile lies. AS you said:
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but you are not correct."

Besides, you see him behind every post.

The way I hear it, the meeting was over when he left. Deal with it, former State Department errand boy.

816. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:26:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UZWTe.2641$9x2.1340@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118592

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
> cut
>
>>>why? becasue you can't resist th urge to regulat human behavoir
>>
>>No, Mark, because it might save you from being pile-driven into some
>>artificial turf.
>
>
> Ok I remeber your warning the next time I am near artificail tuff

The term is "artificial turf". You are "artificail tuff".

It'd be a good idea to remember it, Mark, since you're the fellow who
believes that if a guy tells you to kiss his ass at a football game, it
is an invitation to have anal sex.

Dave K8MN

817. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:26:34 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <qqe0i19i058oj5vr7tkrogjsa0gqivdn5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 118593

"Stagger Leechildraper"
<Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com> wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N0VFP wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > certianly are gay bashing
> > > >
> > > What's wrong with being gay?
> > >
> > I never said there was that was Dave Heil
> >
> Lloyd is looking for a new boyfriend.

>
Tell him to stop by my place, I'm not picky.

610 GLEN HAVEN AVE
GLENDALE WV 26038-1302

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

818. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:29:10 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126186150.434467.19900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118594

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > In article <V6idnXQb5MCF4oLeRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >>Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <9PWdnTeBxuga_ILeRVn...@gbronline.com>
> >>>Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>In article <2c6dnWYIq4ZynYPeRVn...@gbronline.com>
> >>>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Hiding behind the curtains.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>That's rich, coming from somebody who thinks they are a character from a
> >>>
> >>>1950's
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>TV show. Or should I say hiding behind a character from a 1950's TV show?
> >>>
> >>>Yes,
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>that's more correct.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Come see me fat boy,
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Fatass, the only fat boy is you!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>>I sure won't hide behind the curtains like you do.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No, you'll just hide behind that front door whimpering and sniveling, like
> >
> > you
> >
> >>>do when you hide behind that 1950's TV show character screen name.
> >>
> >>And you hide behind an anonymous remailer.
> >
> >
> > And you hide behind a 1950's TV character screen name all the while crying
> > about somebody else "hiding." Pot Kettle Black, Buzzie.
> >
> >
> >>Come on down coward, the door is open.
> >
> >
> > How is that possible, when your fat ass blocks most of the opening as you hide
> > behind the door.
> >
> > And I notice you didn't tell anybody where to "come down" to.
>
> LOL

You are laughing at somebody else?

You talked tough but you sure did leave out where it was one was
supposed to come down to, your location. Which speaks volumes about
you, fatboy!

819. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:32:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <W3XTe.2645$9x2.553@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118595

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <qmMTe.7580$Wd7.1433@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> The way I hear it, the meeting was over when he left. Deal with it, former
> State Department errand boy.

It was over for you, Roger. ;-) Everyone else stayed.

Dave K8MN

820. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:34:53 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126186493.585661.6270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118596

N0VFP wrote:
> "Stagger Leechildraper"
> <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N0VFP wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > certianly are gay bashing
> > > > >
> > > > What's wrong with being gay?
> > >
> > > I never said there was that was Dave Heil
> > >
> > Lloyd is looking for a new boyfriend.
> >
> >
> Tell him to stop by my place, I'm not picky.
> 710 S. Clinton St. #202
> Athens, AL 35611

_____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

821. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:41:17 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126186877.943489.158010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118597

So you say. But you are a liar.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it,
but
you are not correct."

822. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:42:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tdXTe.2651$9x2.563@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118598

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <qmMTe.7580$Wd7.1433@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

>>He's had a couple of FCC warning letters and was forced to retest.
>
>
> And you can't count, either.
>
> Roger had 1 warning letter, 1 advisory letter along with another ham, and 1
> retest letter.
>
> And from the FCC database, he never lost his license nor was fined and has the
> Extra class license. If that retest was supposed to be a "punishment" it sure
> backfired since Roger apparently retained his licese and got the Extra.

Thanks for the correction. That's quite an amateur radio resume you've
assembled for yourself. A warning letter, an advisory letter and an
opportunity to retest. The vast majority of radio amateurs never have
even one such letter from the FCC in a lifetime of operating.

> I haven't been "dealt" anything, SS-wannabe.
>
> Neither has Roger. And learn how to spell "Police".

Oh, so you aren't Roger Wiseman, but you happen to know all about him,
huh? Are you his imaginary friend? *snicker*

Dave K8MN

823. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:46:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126187185.563181.181250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118599

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>why? becasue you can't resist th urge to regulat human behavoir
> >>
> >>No, Mark, because it might save you from being pile-driven into some
> >>artificial turf.
> >
> >
> > Ok I remeber your warning the next time I am near artificail tuff
>
> The term is "artificial turf". You are "artificail tuff".
>
> It'd be a good idea to remember it, Mark, since you're the fellow who
> believes that if a guy tells you to kiss his ass at a football game, it
> is an invitation to have anal sex.

dave why can't tell the truth?

why must you lie?
>
> Dave K8MN

824. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:48:43 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126187323.812309.36540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118650

Genital herpes, Davies, you shouldn't butt fuck those blacks in your neighborhood.

825. I feel a song coming on!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:50:08 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <kbg0i1lvijrt62j8p5b8495af35kn7cb98@4ax.com>
Source record: 118653

It was twenty years ago today,
Roger Wiseman forced the boys to be gay
Heï¿½s been going in and outa their rears
And heï¿½s made them into raviniï¿½ queers.
So watch out or Iï¿½ll force on you
The act we've hated all these years,
Roger Wisemaniï¿½s Greyhound Meniï¿½s Room Band.

Weï¿½re Roger Wisemaniï¿½s Greyhound Meniï¿½s Room
Band,
Disciples of the Bucktoothed Choad,
Roger Wisemaniï¿½s Greyhound Meniï¿½s Room Band,
Sit back and watch us shoot a load.
Roger Wisemaniï¿½s Greyhound, Roger Wisemaniï¿½s
Greyhound,
Roger Wisemaniï¿½s Greyhound Meniï¿½s Room Band.
It's wonderful to be here,
But Wisemaniï¿½s full of crap,
The dirty goddamn pederast:
That fucker gave us syphilis,
That fucker gave us clap.

I don't really want to stop the show,
But I thought you had all better know,
That Wisemaniï¿½s going to play a song,
On a stickpin that he stuck through his schlong.
So let me introduce to you
The one and only Bucktoothed Choad
And Roger Wisemaniï¿½s Greyhound Meniï¿½s Room Band.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

826. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:51:35 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126187495.186044.152220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118651

I'm sure that kind of chat does. FAGGOT!

827. Re: Today is Thor's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:53:21 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <cut.wogers.hair.please@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 118652

On Thu, 8 Sep 2005, Secwet Scwuffy Woger wrote:

>
> Jesus is all washed up, too. Why? Jesus had long hair.

Wrong. Jesus had short hair. Not only did the History Channel discuss that fact at great length, but bible scholars also agree that the long hair was only introduced by medieval artists, and was not an accurate depiction of reality.

That is not to say that short hair is automatically admirable. Take skinheads for example: They chase people into grocery stores, causing them to hurt themselves on the entranceway as they flee in terror.

Glendale policemen have short hair too.

Life sux, don't it Woger?

====
"Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him?" St. Paul, 1 Corinthians 11:14

828. lonely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:54:47 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ojg0i1h1h5keua9gshodkrrreol9jnp7fq@4ax.com>
Source record: 118655

I have a lover in st clairsville ohio

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

829. Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor" from sucking cocks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:55:27 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126187727.117418.201690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118654

Saggytits Lee wrote:

<nothing important>

Hi Dave Vile! So much for your lie that you don't post other than under your own name, eh?

830. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 13:58:00 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126187880.340142.219600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118656

Dave Heil wrote:
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> > In article <qmMTe.7580$Wd7.1433@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >>He's had a couple of FCC warning letters and was forced to retest.
> >
> >
> > And you can't count, either.
> >
> > Roger had 1 warning letter, 1 advisory letter along with another ham, and 1
> > retest letter.
> >
> > And from the FCC database, he never lost his license nor was fined and has the
> > Extra class license. If that retest was supposed to be a "punishment" it sure
> > backfired since Roger apparently retained his licese and got the Extra.
>
> Thanks for the correction.

Oh, so you admit to lying.

> That's quite an amateur radio resume you've
> assembled for yourself. A warning letter, an advisory letter and an
> opportunity to retest.

Yawn. Yet, you worked out of band Frenchman.

> The vast majority of radio amateurs never have
> even one such letter from the FCC in a lifetime of operating.

So?

> > I haven't been "dealt" anything, SS-wannabe.
> >
> > Neither has Roger. And learn how to spell "Police".
>
> Oh,

And you know all about him, do you, stalker?

831. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 14:00:57 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126188057.198214.142170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118657

Dave Heil wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >> I haven't seen Roger Wiseman since he fled a Marshall County ARES
> >> meeting several years ago. He never returned.
> >
> > Tell us about that one Dave, bet it makes an interesting story.
> >
> There's not so much to tell.

In other words, Dave Vile is about to lie his ass off.

> I had some words with the lad before the meeting began.

And as with your newsgroup "orders" nobody took them seriously.
Too bad your attempt to intimidate somebody didn't work. Roger posted
exactly what happened.

> After the formal meeting, N8FQN took us on a tour of the comm van. Roger was there one
> moment and he slipped out quietly and beat feet for his car.

That's incorrect. The tour occured after the meeting was over. And
after viewing the comm van, which wasn't much to see, Roger left. The
factual version was posted by Roger. You can't lie worth a darn, but
you sure can talk to out of banders.
Hypocrite.

> Onlookers said he was really moving.
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it,
but
you are not correct."

And their names were?

> He signed up for membership but

Gee, Vile, so did you and your fat buddy. See the factual account has
been posted by Roger and archived at Google.

> has never returned for a single meeting.

No, Roger's membership expired and he hasn't renewed it. Can't blame
him if they all ow stalkers like you in the organization. And why is
that you, the long time ham wasn't a member before then?

> Wiseman is about as well-liked

Only disliked by you, Vile.

> One of the locals

More lies.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it,
but
you are not correct."

832. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 14:01:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126185299.400774.17070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118658

BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
bitch as all that bad
Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP wrote:
>

833. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 14:03:57 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126188237.461330.262840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118659

Dave Heil wrote:
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In article <kNJPe.1963$Wd7.615@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
> >>>>>>the rear someplace.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
> >>>>
> >>>>Not yours.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.
> >>
> >>You took my comment and turned it around. Then, when I respond to your
> >>silly question, you think I'm discussing anal sex? What in the world is
> >>the matter with you?
> >
> >
> > But, Dave Vile, you are into anal sex.....you liked talking about having Mark
> > Morgan kiss your ass on the WVU 50 yard line.
> > in <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > "Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home football
> > game if he falls short."
>
> Maybe you two fellows have something in common.

Projecting, again?

> You equate someone telling you to kiss his ass with anal sex. That's very, very weird.

So is the fact that you claim not to post "filth" and post:

<hhJTe.2388$9x2.1...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
"Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home
football
game if he falls short."

Hypocrite.

834. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor" from sucking cocks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 14:44:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <z7YTe.2672$9x2.2099@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118660

Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> Saggytits Lee wrote:
>
> <nothing important>
>
> Hi Dave Vile! So much for your lie that you don't post other than under
> your own name, eh?

If it comforts you to believe that I'm Stagger Lee and that I'm Lloyd Davies, fine and dandy, Roger.

You are seeing Dave behind each post. Has an eerie ring of familiarity to it, huh?

Dave K8MN

835. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 14:45:23 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050908144523.178A21717B@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118661

In article <W3XTe.2645$9x2.553@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> > In article <qmMTe.7580$Wd7.1...@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > The way I hear it, the meeting was over when he left. Deal with it, former
> > State Department errand boy.
>
> It was over for you, Roger. ;-) Everyone else stayed.

So you say.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

836. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 14:48:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OaYTe.2673$9x2.800@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118662

an_old_friend wrote:
> BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
> below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
> bitch as all that bad
> Stagger Leechildraper wrote:

Those posts have been made by the very guy you've been cozying up to the past few days--the one you're going to be polite to. I tried to let you know.

Now you might want to look up the fellow I mentioned, without considering it to be an order from me.

Dave K8MN

837. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 14:52:11 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <ueYTe.6067$mH.1987@fed1read07>
Source record: 118663

Ham Radio Rescue Effort On TV

http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx

Ham radio operators to the rescue after Katrina

Ham Radio Involvement in Hurriane Katrina

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

838. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:32:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126193557.851406.222560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118664

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
> > below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
> > bitch as all that bad
> > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
>
> Those posts have been made by the very guy you've been cozying up to the
> past few days--the one you're going to be polite to. I tried to let you
> know.

again evading the pooint and making stuff up

how can you seriously object my use use of with "Stagger Leechildraper"

at the every least you going on with your double standard

not cozying up to anyone, just being polite to those who are polite

I don't worry about the name of the man behind the curatin online, not
even certain you are realy Dave K8MN, let alone who the anies may be

Indeed I see it as likely you are also, "Stagger Leechildraper" as that
psoter being the fellow you named
>
> Now you might want to look up the fellow I mentioned, without
> considering it to be an order from me.
>
> Dave K8MN

839. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:36:30 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1im0i15lcnujdps3usav4e24gb55bupdbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 118665

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> cut
> > I'll bet you watch Monk on TV and don't find anything funny about the
> > show.
>
> pay up
>
> I never watch the show.
>
Ever watch gay porn? I love it!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

840. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:44:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5%YTe.7834$Wd7.2283@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118666

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
>>>below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
>>>bitch as all that bad
>>>Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
>>
>>Those posts have been made by the very guy you've been cozying up to the
>>past few days--the one you're going to be polite to. I tried to let you
>>know.
>
>
> again evading the pooint and making stuff up

Right, Mark. I'm making this stuff up just so I can get on your good
side. Lordy, lord but you are obtuse.

> how can you seriously object my use use of with "Stagger Leechildraper"

I can and do object to both. Your pointing to another and claiming,
"that one is worse", doesn't work.

> at the every least you going on with your double standard
>
> not cozying up to anyone, just being polite to those who are polite

Yep, he's certainly on a polite roll now.

> I don't worry about the name of the man behind the curatin online, not
> even certain you are realy Dave K8MN, let alone who the anies may be

E-mail me at k8mn@arrl.net and see who responds. Oh, that's right, you
don't trust the ARRL. The League is apt to be covering for me.

> Indeed I see it as likely you are also, "Stagger Leechildraper" as that
> psoter being the fellow you named
>
>>Now you might want to look up the fellow I mentioned, without
>>considering it to be an order from me.

Mark, you are entitled to retain your own stupid beliefs, whatever they
may be. I've warned you about Roger Wiseman. 'Nuf said.

Dave K8MN

841. The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:52:16 UTC
From: Digital <Wogiie@RunningScared.uz>
Message-ID: <dfpmkq$g60$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It wasn't exactly Wyatt Earp and Ike Clanton, but to Roger it was just as traumatic and dangerous.

Go ahead, Roger. Why don't you tell everybody the tale. And then I'll tell what really happened.
Come on, Roger. Tell the boys about The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral.

842. Re: off topic but I sware it is god for a laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:53:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126194785.759729.54040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118668

an_old_friend wrote:
> just recieved one of those you have a a vaction email scams the
> desination the cassiono of New Orleans
>
> I know it is off topic but thought we could all use the laugh

I'll have to back you up on this one, Mark...Got the same
spam...Just shows you you don't have to be a genius to be a spammer!

I just wonder if there will be some equally clueless citizen out
ther who tries to take 'em up on it...?!?!?

73

Steve, K4YZ

843. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:54:11 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3jn0i1dhddogqj3n1a1hd8ur96u0t1dd0j@4ax.com>
Source record: 118670

"Digital" <Wogiie@RunningScared.uz> wrote:
> It wasn't exactly Wyatt Earp and Ike Clanton, but to Roger it was just as
> traumatic and dangerous.
>
> Go ahead, Roger. Why don't you tell everybody the tale. And then I'll
> tell what really happened.
> Come on, Roger. Tell the boys about The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot
> Corral.
>
I offered to give Doc head but I was afraid he
would kick my ass after he popped his nut.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

844. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:54:25 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <9cbd6dea9cd9ab66769ab0ca2c9c98de@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118672

In article <xbPTe.7299$4P5.1530@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> There's not so much to tell.

In other words, Dave Vile is about to lie his ass off.

> I had some words with the lad before the meeting began.

And as with your newsgroup "orders" nobody took them seriously.
Too bad your attempt to intimidate somebody didn't work.

> After the formal meeting, N8FQN took us on a tour of the comm van. Roger was
there one
> moment and he slipped out quietly and beat feet for his car.

That's incorrect. The tour occured after the meeting was over. And after
viewing the comm van, which wasn't much to see, Roger left. The factual version
was posted by Roger. You can't lie worth a darn, but you sure can talk to out
of banders.
Hypocrite.

> Onlookers said he was really moving.
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

And their names were?

> He signed up for membership but

Gee, Vile, so did you and your fat buddy. See the factual account has been
posted by Roger and archived at Google.

> has never returned for a single meeting.

No, Roger's membership expired and he hasn't renewed it. Can't blame him if
they all ow stalkers like you in the organization. And why is that you, the
long time ham wasn't a member before then?

> Wiseman is about as well-liked

Only disliked by you, Vile.

> One of the locals

More lies.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but you are not correct."

845. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:55:45 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126194945.939248.68430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118669

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 7 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2221	251
Indeterminate (note 1)	129	20
Value for Percentages	2092	231
Against NPRM (note 2)	626 [29.92%]	73 [31.60%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1148 [54.88%]	114 [49.35%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	318 [15.20%]	44 [19.05%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

846. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 15:57:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126195029.162320.114910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118671

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenIamApathologicalLiarAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > "Transport" is a generic term for any sort of vehicle. A ground
> > > ambulance is a "transport." So is a medevac helo and even a
> > > hospital ship. Dudly could have been doing his claimed ordinary
> > > ambulance duty, thus his use of "transport" would be vaguely and
> > > generally "correct." A spaceship is a "transport" in the same
> > > general way.
> >
> > There's nothing "ordinary" about a Mobile Intensive Care
> > Ambulance, Lennie...Land or otherwise.
>
> certainly is whn campared to say a spcaeship of a helo

Huh? Was that a sentence? A "spcaeship of a helo"...?!?! WTF
was THAT...?!?!

> > > Dudly ain't got no PROOF. All we can be sure of is that Dudly
> > > is gonna pitch another hissy-fit and cuss out all his detractors
> > > for "not believing him." We can take that to the bank.
> >
> > At this point I don't care what you or the other Feeble Five
> > believe, Lennie.
>
> a realy obvious lie. If you truely did not care you would not be saying
> that

"really"

> After all you claim to be an expert on Mental heath so you KNOW that
> fact

W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on
ANYthing...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

847. Re: off topic but I sware it is god for a laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:15:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126196150.795951.280060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118673

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > just recieved one of those you have a a vaction email scams the
> > desination the cassiono of New Orleans
> >
> > I know it is off topic but thought we could all use the laugh
>
> I'll have to back you up on this one, Mark...Got the same
> spam...Just shows you you don't have to be a genius to be a spammer!
>
> I just wonder if there will be some equally clueless citizen out
> ther who tries to take 'em up on it...?!?!?

sadly I am sure there will be
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

848. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:21:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126196486.960222.206950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118674

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
> >>>below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
> >>>bitch as all that bad
> >>>Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> >>
> >>Those posts have been made by the very guy you've been cozying up to the
> >>past few days--the one you're going to be polite to. I tried to let you
> >>know.
> >>
> >>
> > again evading the pooint and making stuff up
>
> Right, Mark. I'm making this stuff up just so I can get on your good
> side. Lordy, lord but you are obtuse.

no you bullshiting some more
>
> > how can you seriously object my use use of with "Stagger Leechildraper"
>
> I can and do object to both. Your pointing to another and claiming,
> "that one is worse", doesn't work.

well this is the first I have seen object

>
> > at the every least you going on with your double standard
> >
> > not cozying up to anyone, just being polite to those who are polite
>
> Yep, he's certainly on a polite roll now.
>
> > I don't worry about the name of the man behind the curatin online, not
> > even certain you are realy Dave K8MN, let alone who the anies may be
>
> E-mail me at k8mn@arrl.net and see who responds. Oh, that's right, you
> don't trust the ARRL. The League is apt to be covering for me.

There are a excaltly 2 people form RRAP that I trust I know the people
posting are the real people, Ed Hare and Todd becuase I happen to have

offline contact with them, or someone I trust, Carl Stevenson, Bill
Sohl, all but cerain, everyone is suspect. Futher I don't trust that
everything posted under anybody name is the same person as normaly
post, Todd esp seem to get his post forged

the medium is untrustworthy

>
> > Indeed I see it as likely you are also, "Stagger Leechildraper" as that
> > psoter being the fellow you named
> >
> >>Now you might want to look up the fellow I mentioned, without
> >>considering it to be an order from me.
>
> Mark, you are entitled to retain your own stupid beliefs, whatever they
> may be. I've warned you about Roger Wiseman. 'Nuf said.

>
> Dave K8MN

849. Re: A Most Humbling Experience ..prehaps he has had one indeed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:24:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126196694.598496.264650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118675

K4YZ wrote:

cut
>
> W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on
> ANYthing...?!?!

recanting you clims huh maybe the experence did some good. wther that
was just watching CNN/Fox or making the trips you claim can't be told
>
> Steve, K4YZ

850. Re: lonely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:26:05 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126196765.357237.106090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118676

LLoyd Davies wrote:
> I have a lover in st clairsville ohio

Oh, did your queer lover Steve Tunder N8WGM (white gay male) move from
his hole in the ground to St. Clairsville, Davies?

851. checking on a matter of dates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:27:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126196822.532283.111290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118677

Hmm can any give me the date of when the QST with news of NPRM came out?

was wondering if it was coorelated to the shift to coments favoring code runing slightly ahead of no code

852. Re: I feel a song coming on!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:27:47 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126196867.388375.295370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118678

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> It was <plagiarized>

LLOYD'S A VIRGIN

(Madonna/Billy Steinberg and Tom Kelly/Les Paul)

LLoyd made it through 12th grade
Somehow he made it through
Never had a forum for his views
Until he found radio newsgroups

Lloyd is the the freak of the week
Everybody avoids that fatassed geek
But everyday's a brand new day
Yeah, it makes him feel
Shiny and new

Lloyd's a virgin
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

"I'm gonna post about you, boy
My Bible's on my side"
Lloyd's been bashed around
Because his stupidity is hard to hide

He's bald, obese and round
A weeble-wobble that won't fall down
Oh, when he went to the retreat
Yeah, he stepped on his own feet
What a fucking fool

Lloyd's a virgin <GAY!>
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

Oooh, oooh, oooh

He's bald, obese and round
He'll just follow you around
Because you make him feel
Yeah, you make him feel
He's got something to do

Lloyd's a virgin
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

Lloyd's a virgin, ooh, ooh
He'd rather slurp nutsack goo
Lloyd's a virgin
What's a loser like him to do

Oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh
Ooh, Lllllloyd
Can't you hire a whore
For the very first time?

853. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:30:20 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126197020.284482.297240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LLoyd Davies wrote to himself:
> "Digital" <Wogiie@RunningScared.uz> wrote:
> > It wasn't exactly Wyatt Earp and Ike Clanton, but to Roger it was just as
> > traumatic and dangerous.
> >
> > Go ahead, Roger. Why don't you tell everybody the tale. And then I'll
> > tell what really happened.
> > Come on, Roger. Tell the boys about The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot
> > Corral.
> >
> I offered to give Doc head but I was afraid he
> would kick my ass after he popped his nut.

LARDASS Lloyd Davies admits he offered to give another man head.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

854. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:33:03 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126197183.854208.268280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Digital wrote:

I'm still waiting for you to give your location, "Digital"

> It wasn't exactly

How would you know, Lardass? Schwartz has already proven Blevins lied.
And lest we forget, You hid behind a door and shreiked "Leave me
alone" when Oris Grimm came a-calling.

Roger doesn't even shop at Save-a-lot, you fucking imbecile. You lose,
as usual.

855. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:35:34 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126197334.515784.120500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118681

In article <OaYTe.2673$9x2.800@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
> > below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
> > bitch as all that bad
> > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
>
> Those posts have been made by the very guy you've been cozying up to the
> past few days--the one you're going to be polite to. I tried to let you
> know.

"That Roger is everywhere I tell ya!"

>
> Now you

Now you might try not lying.

--
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it,
but
you are not correct."

856. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:38:04 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126197484.725577.73380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118682

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > I'll bet you watch Monk on TV and don't find anything funny about the
> > > show.
> > >
> > pay up
> > >
> > I never watch the show.
> > >
> Ever watch gay porn? I love it!

You sure do, Lardass Davies, you even make kiddie porn!

Lloyd Davies
710 S.Clinton St. #202
Athens, AL 35611

857. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor" from sucking cocks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:40:41 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126197641.202369.187370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118683

In article <5%YTe.7834$Wd7.2283@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
> >>>below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
> >>>bitch as all that bad
> >>>Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> >>
> >>Those posts have been made by the very guy you've been cozying up to the
> >>past few days--the one you're going to be polite to. I tried to let you
> >>know.
> > >
> > >
> > > again evading the pooint and making stuff up
>
> Right, Mark. I'm making this stuff up just so I can get on your good
> side. Lordy, lord but you are obtuse.

You are the obtuse one, Vile.

> > how can you seriously object my use use of with "Stagger Leechildraper"
>
> I can and do object to both. Your pointing to another and claiming,
> "that one is worse", doesn't work.
>
> > at the every least you going on with your double standard
> > >
> > not cozying up to anyone, just being polite to those who are polite
>
> Yep, he's certainly on a polite roll now.

But you claim he posts nothing but "filth".

> > I don't worry about the name of the man behind the curatin online, not
> > even certain you are realy Dave K8MN, let alone who the anies may be
>
> E-mail me at k...@arrl.net and see who responds. Oh, that's right, you
> don't trust the ARRL. The League is apt to be covering for me.

Plus, Davie Vile'll whine that you sent him a nasty message.

> > Indeed I see it as likely you are also, "Stagger Leechildraper" as that
> > psoter being the fellow you named
> >
> >>Now you might want to look up the fellow I mentioned, without
> >>considering it to be an order from me.
>
> Mark, you are entitled to retain your own stupid beliefs, whatever they
> may be. I've warned you about Roger Wiseman.

"He's everywhere, he's everywhere!"

> 'Nuf said.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Hmm, Vile uses the same phrase that "Stagger Lee" uses. So much for
Vile's "truthful" comment that he doesn't post under anything but his
own name.

858. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 16:44:47 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126197887.010508.108190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118684

In article <dfpbma$tq0$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <G...@Wodgie.comface> wrote:

> Yes, you are frugal, aren't you? You shop at Save-A-Lot.

No, Roger doesn't shop at Save-a-lot. Try again, dumbass! And tell us
how you have to have food stamps, Davies.

> And there are those who say you are fairly good at the fifty hard dash, too.

Funny there are those that say you are good at hiding behind your front
door and sniveling "Leave me alone" in that girly voice of yours, like
you did last Christmas when you had a visitor.

859. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor" from sucking cocks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 17:20:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <072a95c02e3b13dfa182b2c17b4b85b2@dizum.com>
Source record: 118685

In article <z7YTe.2672$9x2.2099@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > Saggytits Lee wrote:
> >
> > <nothing important>
> >
> > Hi Dave Vile! So much for your lie that you don't post other than under
> > your own name, eh?
>
> If it comforts you to believe that I'm Stagger Lee and that I'm Lloyd
> Davies, fine and dandy,

So you admit to lying about posting under other names other than your own.

> Roger.

Wait, I'm supposed to be Roger....

> You are seeing Dave behind each post. Has an eerie ring of familiarity
> to it, huh?

It figures you'd be a plagiarist as well as a liar.

860. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his to" from sucking cocksngue is "thor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 17:21:13 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <fms0i111e6tv62l0qq031fmtvdeps3ufu5@4ax.com>
Source record: 118686

```
Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com> wrote:
> In article <z7YTe.2672$9x2.2099@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > > Saggytits Lee wrote:
> > >
> > > <nothing important>
> > >
> > > Hi Dave Vile! So much for your lie that you don't post other than
> > > under your own name, eh?
> >
> > If it comforts you to believe that I'm Stagger Lee and that I'm Lloyd
> > Davies, fine and dandy,
>
> So you admit to lying about posting under other names other than your
> own.
>
> > Roger.
>
> Wait, I'm supposed to be Roger....
>
>
I'm Woger you forging asshole!

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

861. Re: Herr Dave Heil Himmler says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 17:30:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <e0fcb45f7c76650252741dbd2b43aba7@dizum.com>
Source record: 118687

In article <YELTe.7553$Wd7.4301@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In his jack-boots and black SS uniform, with the Nazi armband and monocle:
> >
> > "They vill sieze your computer, because you disagree with me, and because I
say
> > so."
>
> There's a little guy in Glen Dale, hiding in his little house, using his
> little anonymous remailers to disguise his horrid little posts.

There's a dumb fat guy in Cameron, West Virginia, hiding in his old crooked roofed farmouse that needs fixed up the one with the tarpaper covered up with broken and faded wooden shingles with a hole in the roof, and the mangled antennas and towers keeping the broken down washing machines and rusting farm equipment company in his yard, whining about somebody he thinks is using anonymous remailers, and then lies about not seeing that individual behind every post.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but you are not correct."

862. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 18:10:31 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <71K9T64Z38603.8406365741@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118688

```
In article <OBNTe.7267$4P5.5367@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In article <kNJPe.1963$Wd7....@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: Dave Heil on Fri 26 Aug 2005 05:52
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>From: Dave Heil on Aug 25, 7:12 pm
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>Every time I see such nonsense, it seems to be from a guy who served in
> >>>>>>the rear someplace.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Tsk. Whose rear have you served lately?
> >>>>
> >>>>Not yours.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> If you wish to discuss anal sex, find ANOTHER newsgroup for that.
> >>
> >>You took my comment and turned it around. Then, when I respond to your
> >>silly question, you think I'm discussing anal sex? What in the world is
> >>the matter with you?
> >
> >
> > But, Dave Vile, you are into anal sex.....you liked talking about having
Mark
> > Morgan kiss your ass on the WVU 50 yard line.
> > in <hhJTe.2388$9x2.1...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > "Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home football
> > game if he falls short."
```

>
> Maybe you two fellows have something in common.

Projecting, again?

> You equate someone telling you to kiss his ass with anal sex. That's very,
very weird.

So is the fact that you claim not to post "filth" and post:

<hhJTe.2388$9x2.1...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
"Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home football
game if he falls short."

Hypocrite.

863. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 18:11:26 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <SZQ4S8NO38603.8412731482@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118691

from sucking cocks!

In article <z7YTe.2672$9x2.2099@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > Saggytits Lee wrote:
> >
> > <nothing important>
> >
> > Hi Dave Vile! So much for your lie that you don't post other than under
> > your own name, eh?
>
> If it comforts you to believe that I'm Stagger Lee and that I'm Lloyd
> Davies, fine and dandy,

So you admit to lying about posting under other names other than your own.

> Roger.

Wait, I'm supposed to be Roger....

> You are seeing Dave behind each post. Has an eerie ring of familiarity
> to it, huh?

It figures you'd be a plagiarist as weell as a liar.

864. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 18:12:03 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <XRSM4N0X38603.8417013889@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118689

In article <dfpbma$tq0$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <G...@Wodgie.comface> wrote:

> Yes, you are frugal, aren't you? You shop at Save-A-Lot.

No, I don't. Try again, dumbass!

> And there are those who say you are fairly good at the fifty hard dash, too.

Funny there are those that say you are good at hiding behind your front door
and sniveling "Leave me alone" in that girly voice of yours, like you did last
Christmas.

865. I'm afraid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 18:20:08 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <i501i11c17er5njvlgnvmsvd3nr32bghnv@4ax.com>
Source record: 118690

I'm afraid Andrew Belivins is going to kick my
ass.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

866. I feel another song coming on!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 18:28:42 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1m01i1ta1mak02o5akt4o1skkpcj35jlio@4ax.com>
Source record: 118693

WELL THE SOUTH SIDE OF GLEN DALE
IS THE FAGGOT SIDE OF TOWN
AND IF YOU GO DOWN THERE YOU BEST BEWARE
OF A PUNK NAMED WOGER WISEMAN

NOW WOGER IS A FAGGOT
STAND ABOUT 5 FOOT FOUR
ALL THE DOWNTOWN JOHNS CALL HIM LOOSE SPHINCTER
LOVER
HIS MOTHER CALL HIM 'HOE

CHORUS
AND IT'S BAD BAD WOGER WISEMAN
THE BADDEST PUNK IN THE WHOLE CELL BLOCK
BADDER THAN RICHARD SIMMONS
AND FATTER THAN NAT KING COLE

NOW WOGER HE'S A PUSSY
AND HE LIKES HIS PURPLE CLOTHES
HE LIKES TO WEAR HIS FISHNET HOSE,
UNDER EVERYONE'S NOSE
HE GOT CUSTOM PINK PANTIES
HE GOT A FRENCH TICKLE TOO
HE GOT A 32 INCH DILDO IN HIS POCKET FOR FUN
A VIBRATOR IN HIS SHOE

(REPEAT CHORUS)

NOW FRIDAY 'BOUT A WEEK AGO
WOGER SUCKIN' DICK
AND AT THE END OF THE YARD
SAT A BROTHER NAMED BINKIE
AND OO THAT GUY LOOKED NICE
WELL HE CAST HIS EYES UPON HIM
AND THE TROUBLE SOON BEGAN
CAUSE WOGER LEARNED A LESSON
BOUT MESSIN' WITH A PUNK OF A JEALOUS BITCH

WELL THE PUNKS TOOK TO BITCH SLAPPIN'
AND WHEN THEY PULLED THEM FROM THE BED
ROGER'S LARD ASS LOOKED LIKE A BLOODY PUZZLE
WITH AN EXTRA HOLE!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

867. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 18:29:55 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050908182955.C1CF7170F1@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <dfpmkq$g60$0@pita.alt.net>
"Digital" AKA LARDASS Lloydie N0VFP<Wog...@RunningScared.uz> wrote:
>
> It wasn't exactly

How would you know, Lardass? You hid behind a door and shreiked "Leave me alone" when Oris Grimm came a calling.

Roger doesn't shop at Save-a-lot, you fucking imbecile.

868. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 18:58:04 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <b0728ea29a3e24be5c1a15b2295da765@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118695

In article <qmMTe.7580$Wd7.1433@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> It would appear that

More lies.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

Isn't that always the way with criminals like Dave Vile, the stalker (you)
blaming the victim (Roger). The criminal mind of Dave Vile, trying to
rationalize his stalking behavior. My oh my!

> He doesn't even know enough not QRM other stations on 20m.

More lies.

AS you said:
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

> He's had a couple of FCC warning letters and was forced to retest.

And you can't count, either.

Roger had 1 warning letter, 1 advisory letter along with another ham, and 1
retest letter.

And from the FCC database, he never lost his license nor was fined and has the
Extra class license. If that retest was supposed to be a "punishment" it sure
backfired since Roger apparently retained his licese and got the Extra.

>
> >>I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief
> >
> >
> > It's "Police", you really shouldn't mix the booze with those prescription
> > painkillers you are addicted to.

> > Only hearsay, and we all know what a liar Dave Vile is. So anything he says
is
> > a lie. Looks like Vile has lied and can't prove Roger had previous dealings

> > with the police. He speaks in generalities and can't name specifics.
>
> You've been dealt with

Again:
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but
you are not correct."

I haven't been "dealt" anything, SS-wannabe.

Neither has Roger. And learn how to spell "Police".

> >
> >>If it worries you, call 'em and ask: (304) 845-5511 gets you the city
> >
> >
> > They don't give out information like that to the public, Vile.
>
> ...at least you hope not.

One doesn't have to hope. They cannot give such information out to the public.

> > Better still, you can report Dave Vile for stalking with the
> > Marshall County, West Virginia Prosecutor's office:
> >
> > Courthouse
> > Seventh St.
> > Moundsville, WV 26041
> > (304) 845-3580
> >
> > Or the Marshall County West Virginia Sheriff's Office:
> > 601 6th St.
> > Moundsville, WV 26041
> > (304)843-1500
>
> ...but you claim that you aren't Roger Wiseman.

I'm not, but Switchboard has that information posted above readily available.
Perhaps you will get called to the carpet on your stalking.

> You're some anonymous nobody in cyber space. How can someone stalk a
nonexistent person?

God, you are stupid. You are stalking Roger Wiseman. But as always you think
everybody who posts anonymously is Roger Wiseman.

> I haven't seen Roger Wiseman since

More Dave Vile lies. AS you said:
Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in
<4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but you are not correct."

Besides, you see him behind every post.

The way I hear it, the meeting was over when he left. Deal with it, former State Department errand boy.

869. Re: I feel a song coming on!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 19:04:15 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <EDJDMDVM38603.8779513889@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 118694

In article <kbg0i1lvijrt62j8p5b8495af35kn7cb98@4ax.com>
N0VFP <A...@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> It <plagiarized>

LLOYD'S A VIRGIN

(Madonna/Billy Steinberg and Tom Kelly/Les Paul)

LLoyd made it through 12th grade
Somehow he made it through
Never had a forum for his views
Until he found radio newsgroups

Lloyd is the the freak of the week
Everybody avoids that fatassed geek
But everyday's a brand new day
Yeah, it makes him feel
Shiny and new

Lloyd's a virgin
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

"I'm gonna post about you, boy
My Bible's on my side"
Lloyd's been bashed around
Because his stupidity is hard to hide

He's bald, obese and round
A weeble-wobble that won't fall down
Oh, when he went to the retreat
Yeah, he stepped on his own feet
What a fucking fool

Lloyd's a virgin <GAY!>
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

Oooh, oooh, oooh

He's bald, obese and round
He'll just follow you around
Because you make him feel
Yeah, you make him feel

He's got something to do

Lloyd's a virgin
Hasn't had pussy for the very first time
Lloyd's a virgin
Never tires of jacking off all the time

Lloyd's a virgin, ooh, ooh
He'd rather slurp nutsack goo
Lloyd's a virgin
What's a loser like him to do

Oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, oh
Ooh, Lllllloyd
Can't you hire a whore
For the very first time?

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

870. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 19:16:24 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <cia.owns.wogers.wemailer@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 118696

On Thu, 8 Sep 2005, Italian Police Anonymous Remailer wrote:

>
> It figures you'd be a plagiarist as weell as a liar.
>

Weel, weel, weel. You finally lurned to speell plagiarist.

871. Re: Herr Dave Heil Himmler says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 19:21:36 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050908192136.70F0E17180@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 118697

In article <YELTe.7553$Wd7.4301@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In his jack-boots and black SS uniform, with the Nazi armband and monocle:
> >
> > "They vill sieze your computer, because you disagree with me, and because I
say
> > so."
>
> There's a little guy in Glen Dale, hiding in his little house, using his
> little anonymous remailers to disguise his horrid little posts.

There's a dumb fat guy in Cameron, West Virginia, hiding in his old crooked roofed farmouse that needs fixed up the one with the tarpaper covered up with broken and faded wooden shingles with a hole in the roof, and the mangled antennas and towers keeping the broken down washing machines and rusting farm equipment company in his yard, whining about somebody he thinks is using anonymous remailers, and then lies about not seeing that individual behind every post.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but you are not correct."

872. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 19:29:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126207758.196685.154600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118698

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> cut
> >
> > W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on
> > ANYthing...?!?!
>
> recanting you clims huh maybe the experence did some good. wther that
> was just watching CNN/Fox or making the trips you claim can't be told

W H E R E, Markie, did I say that any of the EMS trips couldn't be told? When I have more than 10 minutes at a time I will be glad to tell about them.

Now...W H E R E did I say the trips "couldn't be told...?!?!

Quote with haaders, please...Your version of "quotes" and Websters are NOT the same.

Steve, K4YZ

873. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 19:41:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126208470.263800.39520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118699

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> I'm even going to invite you to
> continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a
> bigger man than you have in the recent past.

Even your pontification and self aggrandizing silliness isn't
worth arguing with this week, Brain.

The mere act of your suggestion sets the stage for yet another
opporutnity to humiliate you ever further...But why?

> Good luck to you, best wishes, and thank you

> Thank you for your service in MS and LA.

You're welcome.

I've had my share of "fun" this week. I spent yesterday at the
Nashville Red Cross Chapter. CAP is supporting them with ground and
air services. It's a week later and you can still see the terror in
some of these kids eyes...It started to cloud over for a bit and some
kids started crying "The rain's coming again, Mommy...the rain's
coming!"

I can't imagine living life afraid of a summer rain on the porch
roof, can you?

I get back to my "regular" job tomorrow.

I've read in another forum where the DoD is sending in the
equivilent of a light carrier battle group to assist. With the waters
receeding in NO, I wouldn't want to be in thier shoes for a dozen
winning lottery tickets.

73

Steve, K4YZ

874. Re: I feel another song coming on!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 19:41:10 UTC
From: Mr SolarFlare <blowinchunks@gigapetz.com>
Message-ID: <8519$432093da$d1cc450e$22418@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 118700

U want a song ??

OK - here goes!

-------- (cut along preforation) --------------

Mini-Ode to great NOLA whores

Sung to the tune of "American Pie"

Was a -real- short time ago...
You could still remember when the tourist's had a smile.

You knew if you did a flash, you could make some easy cash,
but sista' this won't happen for a while.

So Katerina made you shiver,
With every wind gust she delivered...
Bad news for the bizness, I guess you won't get your fix.

Now locked up in the Superdome, you'll never see your shotgun home.
All your stuff is broken, your crack kid's probably floatin...

Now covered head to toe in it, you finally know what is 'da shit'...
All the john's have busted, and all your "Johns" have bolted

The jigs that you admired most, Jesse, Al and Louis F.
They took the first train from the coast, the day that NOLA died...

And they were singing...

Bye Bye all you Bourbon Street Whores...
Drove the humvee to the levee but the levee's no more...
Water is a rising and soon you'll be dying...
And you won't be a ho' no mo'
And you won't be a ho' no mo'

875. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:05:24 UTC
From: Mr SolarFlare <blowinchunks@gigapetz.com>
Message-ID: <76888$432099a4$d1cc45d5$22974@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 118701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Digital" <Wogiie@RunningScared.uz> wrote in message
news:dfpmkq$g60$0@pita.alt.net...
> Go ahead, Roger. Why don't you tell everybody the tale. And then I'll
tell
> what really happened.
> Come on, Roger. Tell the boys about The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot
Corral.

.....if it was anything like what used to happen on late Saturday nights at the waiting station in the old West Memphis, TN Greyhound bus station during the good ole fun days of the 14.300/14.313/3.894.5 radio wars ("he who readeth let him gain understanding") then I'd rather not know thank you.

To this day I still become sick when I see a kiebassa or sausage on the grill cooking at the itenerant summer carnival food stand and recall that 50-something YO men wearing 4 day old sweatpants and a dirty Yaesu Hamvention ballcap having a kiebassa rubber-banded to the insides of the pants leg.......

Urpppppp.......BLARRFFF!!!!!!

876. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:07:01 UTC
From: Bob <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1126210021.469023.179670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118702

Thank you for lending a hand down there.

877. Classical Japanese Haiku For White Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:12:33 UTC
From: Mr SolarFlare <blowinchunks@gigapetz.com>
Message-ID: <f20ec$43209b68$d1cc45d5$23178@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 118703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Get it here!

---> http://www.lamprey-systems.com/new.shtml#SDMk

878. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:14:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126210447.312413.313990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118704

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > cut
> > >
> > > W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on
> > > ANYthing...?!?!
> >
> > recanting you clims huh maybe the experence did some good. wther that
> > was just watching CNN/Fox or making the trips you claim can't be told
>
> W H E R E, Markie, did I say that any of the EMS trips couldn't be
> told? When I have more than 10 minutes at a time I will be glad to
> tell about them.

learn english it what can't be told is wether you made the trips or
not, that that I can't tell wether you made tthe trip or just saw the
sences on CNN fox
cut the tangential rant
>
> Steve, K4YZ

879. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:17:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126210636.624298.272070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118705

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > I'm even going to invite you to
> > continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a
> > bigger man than you have in the recent past.
>
> Even your pontification and self aggrandizing silliness isn't
> worth arguing with this week, Brain.

of course messages like this one just make a guy doubt wether you made
the trips at all, or even more likely wether they had an effect real
effect on you

>
> The mere act of your suggestion sets the stage for yet another
> opporutnity to humiliate you ever further...But why?
>
> > Good luck to you, best wishes, and thank you
>
> > Thank you for your service in MS and LA.
>
> You're welcome.
>
> I've had my share of "fun" this week. I spent yesterday at the
> Nashville Red Cross Chapter. CAP is supporting them with ground and
> air services. It's a week later and you can still see the terror in
> some of these kids eyes...It started to cloud over for a bit and some
> kids started crying "The rain's coming again, Mommy...the rain's
> coming!"
>
> I can't imagine living life afraid of a summer rain on the porch
> roof, can you?

I certainly can but then I haave lived with the threat of torndos much
of my life, and seen them first hand, as well as the Hurricane that
conviced me I never realy wanted to live on the gulf coast
>
> I get back to my "regular" job tomorrow.
>
> I've read in another forum where the DoD is sending in the
> equivilent of a light carrier battle group to assist. With the waters
> receeding in NO, I wouldn't want to be in thier shoes for a dozen
> winning lottery tickets.
>

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

880. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:18:59 UTC
From: Digital <Wogiie@RunningScared.uz>
Message-ID: <dfq68u$k2g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
in message news:20050908182955.C1CF7170F1@mail.cypherpunks.to...
In article <dfpmkq$g60$0@pita.alt.net>
"Digital" AKA LARDASS Lloydie N0VFP<Wog...@RunningScared.uz> wrote:
>
> It wasn't exactly

How would you know, Lardass? You hid behind a door and shreiked "Leave me
alone" when Oris Grimm came a calling.

Roger doesn't shop at Save-a-lot, you fucking imbecile.
>

Not any more, you don't. You were so traumatized that you're afraid to go
back.
But look at the bright side. Now you can go to your shrink, tell him you're
suffering from PTSD, and ask him to up the dosage of your meds.

881. Re: I like sucking things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:25:25 UTC
From: 240_Robert <Americancitizen@us.com>
Message-ID: <43209cb0_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 118707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, but since you gave out enough information,

You should have others lining up outside where-ever you may be living.

Tracing route to 194.25.134.126 [194.25.134.126]...

hop rtt rtt rtt ip address domain name
1 0 0 0 216.46.228.229 port-216-3073253-es128.devices.datareturn.com
2 0 0 0 64.29.192.145
port-64-1949841-zzt0prespect.devices.datareturn.com
3 0 0 0 64.29.192.226 daa.g921.ispb.datareturn.com
4 82 0 0 168.215.241.133 hagg-01-ae0-1001.dlfw.twtelecom.net
5 0 0 0 66.192.253.120 core-01-ge-3-1-0-506.dlfw.twtelecom.net
6 42 42 42 66.192.255.71 core-01-so-0-0-0-0.nycl.twtelecom.net
7 42 42 42 66.192.240.42 tran-02-ge-0-2-0-1.nycl.twtelecom.net
8 42 42 42 66.192.252.250
9 127 127 127 62.154.58.166
10 128 128 128 62.156.139.34
11 127 128 127 194.25.134.126 newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de

Trace complete

Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
remailer administrator at <abuse@cypherpunks.to>.

> LLoyd Davies
> 710 S.Clinton St. #202
> Athens, AL 35611

Using an anonymous remailer just goes to show what a coward you are by not
being up front about yourself.

What's wrong?

Don't have a decent, cool screen name to use -- so you go and Hide behind an
anonymous remailer?

Only You chose to act like a little kid --- therefore you WILL be treated
like a little kid!

"Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
in message news:20050908171008.7B93917186@mail.cypherpunks.to...
> Can I suck your thing?
>
> LLoyd Davies

> 710 S.Clinton St. #202
> Athens, AL 35611
>

882. Re: Pecuniary Interest???? Lets Laugh At K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:45:52 UTC
From: hamop_62@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126212352.927187.159530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

All that money to the ARRL which everyone knows to be the only legit representative of ALL of the nations hams and not one single penny to the looser up in Maine who is usually bellowing how important he is. The Corporation for National and Community know which groups are real and which are phony and they know that the ARRL and ONLY the ARRL deserves the support because the ARRL is REAL and is saving lives. The K1MAN group is nothing more than a guy in an old packing crate in Maine.

> Service
N9OGL wrote:
> NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 2, 2005--The Corporation for National and Community
> Service (CNCS) will provide a $100,000 grant supplement to ARRL to
> support Amateur Radio's emergency communication operators in states
> affected by Hurricane Katrina. The grant will help to fund "Ham Aid," a
> new League program to support Amateur Radio volunteers deployed in the
> field in disaster-stricken areas. ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary
> Hobart, K1MMH, expressed gratitude to CNCS for its generous response.
> Ham Aid, she said, offers a unique opportunity to support individual
> radio amateurs helping to bridge the communication gap Hurricane
> Katrina has caused.
>
> "For the first time in ARRL history, we will be able to reimburse some
> of the expenses that hams incur in response to a disaster," she said.
> "We only wish that we could justify an expense reimbursement program
> like this every time Amateur Radio Emergency Service volunteers are
> called upon to help in a disaster or emergency, sometimes placing
> themselves in harm's way."
>
> In addition to providing emergency communication within and outside the
> affected areas, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) members and
> individual radio amateurs are supplementing the communication needs of
> emergency management and relief agencies, including the American Red
> Cross and The Salvation Army. Hobart said it's only due to the scope of
> the unprecedented and tragic Katrina disaster that CNCS agreed to help
> support dedicated Amateur Radio volunteers.
>
> "But," she added, "we'd like to think of this grant as a token of
> appreciation and a recognition of Amateur Radio's value in past
> emergencies and disasters, such as 9/11."
>
> Hobart says ARRL's Ham Aid program already has received some
> substantial private donations. Those and the CNCS grant, she said,

> provide a way for the League to "support our Field Organization as
> never before."
>
> The CNCS Ham Aid grant is effective for operations established and
> documented as of September 1, 2005, and the aid is earmarked for
> Hurricane Katrina deployments only at this point. Guidelines are being
> established that will permit volunteers who have been involved in bona
> fide field support operations on or after September 1 to provide
> communication support to apply for a reimbursement voucher on a per
> diem basis.
>
> Trained Amateur Radio operators will be on site for the duration of
> this disaster response, which could run into several weeks or months.
> "Many will leave their jobs and families and travel on their own
> expense, using their own equipment," Hobart points out.
>
> Corporation funds may also sustain the Ham Aid program and help to
> rebuild the emergency communications capabilities in Louisiana,
> Mississippi and Alabama to ensure that the Gulf Coast is prepared,
> should disaster strike again.
>
> The CNCS grant is an extension of ARRL's three year Homeland Security
> training grant, which has provided certification in emergency
> communication protocols to nearly 5500 Amateur Radio volunteer over the
> past three years.
>
> "CNCS grants helped make it possible for the ARRL to train America's
> hams and make them the best all-volunteer emergency radio service ever
> seen," Hobart said. "Now they are making it possible for the hams to
> use that training."

883. Re: A Most Humming Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 20:53:14 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <p391i1tphknd5mvm98sb01jf01vuebm721@4ax.com>
Source record: 118709

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> learn english
>
Ever swallow cum? yum yum!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

884. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 21:24:03 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <e3e74357d40100e8f38cc0fb3b221048@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118710

In article <OaYTe.2673$9x2.800@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > BTW Dave in forum with posting calling themselves names like the ones
> > below I just can't see the words Shit or fuck or the phrase elitist
> > bitch as all that bad
> > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
>
> Those posts have been made by the very guy you've been cozying up to the
> past few days--the one you're going to be polite to. I tried to let you
> know.

"That Roger is everywhere I tell ya!"

>
> Now you

Now you might try not lying.

--
-.-/ ./ -. / -.-./ ---/ -..-/ ---/..-/-..-/ -.-./ .../ -.-./ -.-/...

885. dave you have bigger issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 22:23:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126218192.716119.121930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118711

Dave hold on the flames, look around the days posting.

You have bigger issues than I over langauge and politenss, that is
simple fact, when you make some progress cleaing things up talk to me,
till then buzz off

886. Re: A Most Humming Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 22:47:52 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126219672.483105.289210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118712

LLoyd Davies wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > learn english
> >
> Ever swallow cum? yum yum!

You sure have, huh Lloyd Davies!

887. Re: I like sucking things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 22:51:42 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126219902.829013.174650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

240_Robert wrote:
> No, but since you gave out enough information,
>
> You should have others lining up outside where-ever you may be living.
>
> Tracing route to 194.25.134.126 [194.25.134.126]...
>
> hop rtt rtt rtt ip address domain name
> 1 0 0 0 216.46.228.229 port-216-3073253-es128.devices.datareturn.com
> 2 0 0 0 64.29.192.145
> port-64-1949841-zzt0prespect.devices.datareturn.com
> 3 0 0 0 64.29.192.226 daa.g921.ispb.datareturn.com
> 4 82 0 0 168.215.241.133 hagg-01-ae0-1001.dlfw.twtelecom.net
> 5 0 0 0 66.192.253.120 core-01-ge-3-1-0-506.dlfw.twtelecom.net
> 6 42 42 42 66.192.255.71 core-01-so-0-0-0-0.nycl.twtelecom.net
> 7 42 42 42 66.192.240.42 tran-02-ge-0-2-0-1.nycl.twtelecom.net
> 8 42 42 42 66.192.252.250
> 9 127 127 127 62.154.58.166
> 10 128 128 128 62.156.139.34
> 11 127 128 127 194.25.134.126 newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de
>
> Trace complete
>
> Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
> It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
> Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
> remailer administrator at <abuse@cypherpunks.to>.
>
> > LLoyd Davies
> > 710 S.Clinton St. #202
> > Athens, AL 35611
>
> Using an anonymous remailer just goes to show what a coward you are by not
> being up front about yourself.
>
> What's wrong?
>
> Don't have a decent, cool screen name to use -- so you go and Hide behind an
> anonymous remailer?
>
> Only You chose to act like a little kid --- therefore you WILL be treated
> like a little kid!

You aren't going to do jack shit, K? I dare you to do anything! My Daleks will EXTERMINATE you!

Lloyd Davies
710 S.Clinton St. #202
Athens,AL 35611

888. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 22:56:03 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126220163.742275.137840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Digital wrote:
> "Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
> in message news:20050908182955.C1CF7170F1@mail.cypherpunks.to...
> In article <dfpmkq$g60$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Digital" AKA LARDASS Lloydie N0VFP<Wog...@RunningScared.uz> wrote:
> >
> > It wasn't exactly
>
> How would you know, Lardass? You hid behind a door and shreiked "Leave me
> alone" when Oris Grimm came a calling.
>
> Roger doesn't shop at Save-a-lot, you fucking imbecile.
> >
>
> Not any <Lardass Lloydie's lies, typical fake xtain>

He didn't shop there in the first place, you fucking imbecile Davies.

889. Re: I feel another song coming on!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:00:06 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126220406.642084.52040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118715

LLoyd Davies wrote:
> WELL

Goddamn, Lardass Davies,that is the worst piece of shit you've ever plagiarized!

Comfortably Dumb
(Waters, Gilmour, ?)

(knocking on Davies' skull)
Hello, Lardass, is there anybody in there?
Just nod if you can hear me.
Is there anyone home?
Come on, now, I'm glad you're feeling down.
Well I can ease your pain
And get you on your flabby feet again.
Relax, I'll need some cyanide first.
In the head you sure are whacked.
Can you snivel when it hurts?

There is no hair yours is receding
A dullard shit who smokes pot as well then.
Your stupidity only comes through in waves.
Your lips move but I can't hear what you're saying.
When you were a child You had a fever
Your hands felt your man tits just like two balloons.
Now You've got that faggotry once again
You can't explain, you just do not understand
The eternal training wheel ham.
Lloyd has become comfortably dumb.

Ok, You're just a little prick.
There'll be no more of your whaaaaaaaaah!
When you get that fat ass kicked.
Can you shut the fuck up?
I do believe it's working, good.
That'll keep you stuttering through your shitty show
Come on, fatty, it's time to go.

There is no decent pay you are receiving
Nobody leaves a tip, pizza delivery career on the horizon.
Your stupidity only comes through in waves.
Your lips move but I can't hear what you're saying.
When you was a child you caught a fleeting glimpse
Of your whore mommy's fur pie.
You turned to look but it was gone

You cannot put Your finger in it now
The child never grown, the dream of being smart is gone.
Lloyd Davies has become comfortably dumb.

890. THANKS HOUSTON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:01:25 UTC
From: jprados469@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126220485.649179.226440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118716
Cross-posted to: alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dear Houston,

Thanks for taking our filthy niggers away from here! Thank God for
Katrina!!

Love,
New Orleans

891. Re: I feel another song coming on!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:02:17 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126220537.224887.231420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118717

That was better than Davies' attempt. Lloyd Davies is a mentally ill retard, whose parents dropped acid and he is the result.

892. Re: lonely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:06:01 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <4YSRD6DR38603.7958449074@anonymous>
Source record: 118718

In article <ojg0i1h1h5keua9gshodkrrreol9jnp7fq@4ax.com>
N0VFP <A...@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> I have a lover in st clairsville ohio

Oh, Your lover Steve Tunder N8WGM (White Gay Male) moved to St. Clairsville,
Davies?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

893. Re: I'm afraid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:07:11 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126220831.765618.63230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118719

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> I'm afraid Andrew Belivins is going to kick my
> ass.

Hey Lardass, it's "Andrew Blevins" and he won't show anyplace, since he has several outstanding warrants for his arrest on him in Virginia. Dumbass!

894. Re: HAM RADIO IS SHOWING ITS STUFF NOW !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:10:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <yx3Ue.8979$9i4.3751@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118720

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:hhJTe.2388$9x2.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:2NITe.7135$4P5.5141@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>cut
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Of course you haven't they don't talk about that in Morse Code
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>During FD 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, none of the ops present sat
>>>>>>>about
>>>>>>>chatting in morse code. I use any number of modes in my amateur
>>>>>>>radio
>>>>>>>operation, Mark.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You do as you can; I'll do as I choose.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I can use any mode I want Dave we have had that dicussion before even
>>>>>>CW if I like
>>>>>
>>>>>Super, Mark. Next Field Day, you do your CW thing with a PC and morse

>>>>>reading software. I'll do it the old-fashioned way and work CW only.
>>>>>We'll see if you can really operate CW or whether you're blowing smoke.
>>>>>If I don't beat you by a 10:1 QSO ratio, I'll relent and consider you a
>>>>>real CW op. I'm even willing to proclaim it here if you accept the
>>>>>challenge. Logs must be submitted to the ARRL.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>but of course you have no sense of humor either
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm responding to you, aren't I?
>>>
>>>>more nonsesne from Dave
>>>
>>>What nonsense, Mark? You said you could use CW. Use it. Take up the
>>>challenge. I've given you ten to one odds. You make 100 QSOs and I'd
>>>have to make at least 1,000 to win. How easy do I have to make it for
>>>you?
>>>
>>>I'm a no-"nonsesne" kind of guy.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>> Dave,
>>
>> Everything is "nonsesne" to him. Especially those that disagree with
>> him. Which seems to be most everyone.
>
> In all honesty, I think anything written is perplexing to Mark. He
> assumes that if it is nonsense to him, it is nonsense to all--a sort of
> equal opportunity confusion.
>
> I made him an easy challenge. Even a guy who uses code-reading software
> and a PC should be able to generate 100 QSOs during a 24-hour FD period.
> Maybe I'm being unfair to him. Perhaps I need to give him a 15:1 ratio as
> an advantage. I've offered to publicly acknowledge him as a real CW op if
> he wins. I think I should be able to claim something if he loses.
> Perhaps he could kiss my ass on the fifty-yard line at a WVU home football
> game if he falls short.
>
> Maybe he has re-thought his claim about his being able to use CW.
>
> Dave K8MN

Forget that one Dave. I think we both have a handle on this guy.

Dan/W4NTI

895. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor" from sucking cocks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:12:45 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126221164.991557.93310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118721

```
Lardass Lloyd Davies,N0vfp wrote:
> Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com> wrote:
> > In article <z7YTe.2672$9x2.2099@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > > > Saggytits Lee wrote:
> > > >
> > > > <nothing important>
> > > >
> > > > Hi Dave Vile! So much for your lie that you don't post other than
> > > > under your own name, eh?
> > >
> > > If it comforts you to believe that I'm Stagger Lee and that I'm Lloyd
> > > Davies, fine and dandy,
> >
> > So you admit to lying about posting under other names other than your
> > own.
> >
> > > Roger.
> >
> > Wait, I'm supposed to be Roger....
> >
> >
> I'm Woger you forging asshole!

No, you are cocksucking Lloyd Davies, with the permanent cocksucker's
lisp.

>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

896. Re: Pecuniary Interest????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:14:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126221245.597933.141720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Randy wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1125893744.545254.185130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Food, caps, tee-shirts, food, and bottle water is one thing, but money
> is another. My point in all of this is K1MAN was fined by the FCC for
> Pecuniary Interest and now the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE is going to
> do the samething. What go for one person should apply for all. The MAIN
> problem I see here is the definition of the term noncommercial, and
> seems that there is two tems on what noncommercial means.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> ....
>
> Learn to write (and spell), Todd.
> Most third graders can do better than you.

Make the ARRL stop, make them all stop. Who listens to W1AW anyway,
except for field day points.

897. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor" from sucking cocks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:16:52 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126221412.587128.207140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118723

Saggytits Lee wrote:

> Weel, weel, weel. You finally lurned to speell plagiarist.

I see you still haven't gotten treatment for your permanent cocksucking
lisp, fatass!

898. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:32:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126222322.947546.264200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118724

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
> >>>>>Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
> >>>>>that I can't pass myself.
> >>>>>That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur > radio (the rf bands) >>should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
> >>>>
> >>>>They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
> >>>>a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Don't forget cute little 6-year-olds who passed their
> >>>WRITTENS.
> >>
> >>It is a cinch that *you* haven't forgotten them. :-)

> > Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
> > an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
> > radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
> > of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
> > children, he could not come up with a single example.

> > As far as anyone knows, he's never told
> > FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.
>
> No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has
> not pursued it further.

Of course - and based on the comments above, it's clear he
still thinks it's a good idea, even though he cannot come
up with a single example of problems caused by the lack of
such a rule.

> >>>>All an IQ test shows is how well you take IQ tests. There
> >>>>are many different kinds of intelligence, and trying to
> >>>>describe them with a single number is ludicrous.

> >>> OK, so now we all know that Jimmie didn't score high on a
> >>> Stanford-Binet IQ test! :-)

> >>You're big on jumping to false conclusions. You might be
> >>setting
> >>yourself up to make another factual error. :-) :-)

> > What you have there is an attempt to phish for information.

> > Consider the possibilities:

> > Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's. > > Or lower, or the same. How would his behavior here change?
>
> I'm going to go out on a limb here and say that I don't think
> his behavior would change at all.

With one exception: There's a very high probability that he'd find a
way to use my IQ score as a way to insult and denigrate.
Just as the profile predicts. So there's no logical reason to give my
score.

> >>> The present written tests are simple enough for two SIX
> >>> YEAR OLDS
> >>> to pass their license tests under the watchful eye of
> >>> kindly,
> >>> grandfatherly-looking VEs. Imagine, two SIX YEAR OLDS
> >>> with perfect
> >>> understanding of all regulations and the MATURITY to act
> >>> responsibly on their own!

> >>Yeah, imagine that. If they could do it, it would seem that
> >>a guy like you could do it--but you haven't.

> > If you recall, Len has repeatedly demonstrated a less-than-
> > perfect
> > understanding of Part 97 here. And as to his level
> > of MATURITY...well, his posts here demonstrate that much
> > better than I ever could ;-)
> >
> > Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.
>
> I've not only considered Paul's post, I've read the expected
> tone in Len's reply.

Ever notice how there are some people who are just plain
nice to have around? They may not be the smartest, or the
richest, or the most physically attractive, or the most
accomplished, but when you spend some time with them you
feel better than you did before, for all sorts of reasons.

Among them are people from whom you can always learn something useful,
people who are always there to help out, people with
whom you can communicate freely and honestly, people who have
an innate common sense, people with a good sense of humor, and
a whole list of other traits and combinations of traits.

People who are slow to criticize and quick to praise, slow to anger and quick to smile, etc. People who see the glass as half full rather than half empty, and then they go and get a smaller glass. People who ask little and give much. People whose example inspires and uplifts you to be a better person.

You know people like that, don't you, Dave?

They may not have all of the above, and they may not be perfect, but the overall effect they have on those around them is generally pleasant, uplifting and positive.

Then you have folks who are exactly the opposite. You know people like that, too, I suppose.

Why not spend more time on the first group and less time on the second?

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Don't waste the thousand marbles"

WWHD

899. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-08 23:35:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126222524.565580.216850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118725

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

> >>>>>>>Intimidating Leonard H. Anderson? How does one intimidate a piranha?
> >>>>>>>Len began personal attacks long before he got on the receiving end.
> >>>>>>>Discussion of moderating a newsgroup is not a forbidden subject.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I remember well when Leneoard was all upset that some regular poster
> >>>>>>>here decided to meet on 40m CW. Len probably considered his right of
> >>>>>>>free speech to be violated in that instance. Of course he was wrong.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>The fact is that your claim that Jim Miccolis prevented Len from posting
> >>>>>>>here is utter nonsense. You're becoming well known for nonsense.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>The fact is that there are two sides to every story, and you clowns
> >>>>>>think you own both. You don't. Get used to it.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>That's three things offered by you as fact. Please provide any old
> >>>>>evidence at all that what you've claimed, that Jim Miccolis prevented
> >>>>>Len from presenting his views here. A single instance will do.
> >>>>>
> >>>>Do you ever tire of being wrong?
> >>>>
> >>>Do you ever tire of being an A1 Operator and work out of band Frenchmen
> >>>on 6 meters?
> >>
> >>>Do you?
> >>
> >>Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
> >>being one?
> >
> > I have no certificate from Hiram, suitable for framing. But I do take
> > pride in my station, my signal, and my operating abilities.
>
> That is admirable.

More than that, it's highly recommended.

> > And if I
> > were aware that French hams were out of band, I wouldn't be completing
> > circuits for them nor sending them QSL cards.
>
> It is your right to do so.

Actually, I consider it an obligation.

> You may research the band allocations for
> each and every DX station you hear, before you actually call them or
> respond to them.

Why should I do that? The case in point, your case, was one of French amateur working completely outside the French Amateur Authorizations by several *hundred* kilohertz.

But as a matter of record, I have suggested to you several years ago that you learn the authorizations of the DX countries that you need, so you'll at least know where to NOT look for them.

> It will assuredly cut down on the number of contacts
> your make, especially in a contest or pileup situation.

Hi! An out of band contest!!! You crack me up. Not even CQ Magazine would go for that one!

> You should also
> bear in mind that nothing in the regulations under which you operate,
> mandates that you do so.

Many things are not in the regulations. None-the-less, you should abide by "Good Amateur Practice." Riley says it's enforceable, and you're back in America now.

You are responsible for making sure that your
> own signal is where it is supposed to be.

Indeed I am. But that completely misses the case in point, your case.

If any station I've ever
> worked was where he was not supposed to be, he'd likely not be able to
> show off a treasured QSL from a rare station which read the exact
> frequency of operation (i.e. 50.115 MHz).

Fair enough. But many DXers merely put down the band. But you're not many DXers. You have trouble enough being just one.

> Additionally, you can check on the web or by using a callsign database,
> to be certain that each domestic station you might contact is where he
> or she is supposed to be under the terms of his or her license.

Again you evade the case in point. Your case. You didn't just work hams outside their license class, you were working hams that were hundreds of kilohertz outside their countries 6M authorization.

> Finally, there is nothing in the regs which makes you responsible for my
> station operation either here in the United States, in Tanzania or in
> any other country.

If I know that you are operating out of band, you can rest assured that
I won't complete the circuit, and you won't be getting one of my QSL
cards.

> I hope that clears things up for you.
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm convinced more than ever that given the opportunity to work yet
more out of band Frenchmen, you'd go for it again.

900. Re: THANKS HOUSTON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 00:07:28 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <4n4Ue.234489$0f.35761@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 118726
Cross-posted to: alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<jprados469@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1126220485.649179.226440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dear Houston,
>
> Thanks for taking our filthy niggers away from here! Thank God for
> Katrina!!
>
> Love,
> New Orleans
>

Enjoy drinking the water much?

901. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 00:22:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Q46dnZ2dnZ2IjqeXnZ2dnVtIvd6dnZ2dRVn-z52dnZ0@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118727

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>> I ridicule many things.
>>>
>>>Bravo!
>>
>> You do too!
>
>
> I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.

So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?

Are religious radicals sacred?

Okay, let me make fun of atheists.....

Q. What is the worst part about being an atheist?

A. No one to talk to during Orgasm.....

>>>> So where do we stop, Brian?
>>>
>>>Has it started?
>>
>> Oh absolutely.
>>
>>>>Is it when they call for the government to
>>>>assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?
>>>
>>>
>>>Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life?
>>
>>No it wasn't. It was when Pat Robertson called for the US to assassinate
>>Chavez. I'm not talking about politicos, I'm talking about religious
>>leaders.
>
>
> Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> process was set up by evil religious people.

Ummm, where?

>
>>> Clinton sent a
>>>couple of missiles into an emply office building to show that you can't
>>>just go around threatening the President.
>>
>>>Is it when
>>>
>>>
>>>>they call for holy war?
>>>
>>>Yeh, I think we should draw the line at Jihad.
>>
>> There ya go!
>
>
> Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
> call for Jihad.

Where do you get this stuff Brian? I don't like any religion that tries
to impress it's beliefs on others, that engages in killing in the name
of God, and in general allows people of "faith" to use that faith to
cause harm.

>
>>>>Is it when they launch inquisitions?
>>>
>>>Saddam confessed to crimes.
>>
>>Not Saddam. But religious leaders have often had purges, inquisitions,
>>and the like.
>
>
> Those damned religious people. I wonder if non-religious people have
> ever committed atrocities.

Oh yes! Only not as many as are given Gods supposed sanction.

>
>>>Kill people
>>>
>>>
>>>>in the name of an Exorcism? Is it when a Catholic priest is expelled
>>>
>>>>from the UAR after serving a jail sentence for the crime of evangelizing
>>>
>>>>without a permit? Is it when the preacher stands in the pulpit and
>>>>declares that if you vote for a certain political party, you have to
>>>>leave the church? And in my own case, where the local fundies put such a
>>>>stranglehold on the school system that not only is evolution not taught
>>>>at all, but that no teaching about any dinosaurs or any animal that
>>>>didn't live in modern time was taught or discussed.

>>>
>>>Abuses in publice education? Couldn't be.
>>
>> Yup. In the name of religion.
>
>
> Which religion?

Many of 'em

>
>>>Public education is the grand indoctrination that our children receive
>>>from the left.
>>
>> Yeah, I keep hearing that. My experience has been otherwise. The far
>>right controlled education and what we learned in my little burg.
>>
>> Keep blaming everything on liberals. It's almost as easy as religion.
>
>
> ...as blaming on religion? You tell me.
>
>
>>>>My "sex ed" class
>>>>consisted of one session of naming of various STDs, and that was it.
>>>>That is it. less than an hour. I've seen the other side, and it isn't
>>>>pretty.
>>>
>>>What did your parent's tell you?
>>
>> Nutthin.
>
>
> OIC. You blame religion for not teaching you things that your parents
> are responsible for teaching you, but when your parents fall down on
> the job, it's still the religious people who are blamed. You're double
> standard is multi-faceted.

I gotta repeat, where do you get this stuff?

>
>>I got my education at the public library, reading the books
>>that the far right wanted the library to get rid of. It was nice of them
>>to give out the titles though.
>
>
> Gay stuff? Recently, one upstanding citizen removed all of the
> "alternative lifestyle" free newspapers from the lobby of a public
> library. Said he didn't want his children to have to walk past it.

Where do you get this stuff Brian? Not one piece of literature I read
was anything anywhere near Gay stuff.

>
>>>> There is one problem with religious tolerance, and that is that many
>>>>religions have no concept of tolerance themselves.
>>>
>>>Fine. It's called seperation of Church and State. We have that and
>>>more. As rights are denied to Christians, the pendulum begins to swing
>>>the other way.
>>
>>Problem is that what some Christians consider their right, is to deprive
>>others of their rights.
>
>
> Odd. I just reread the Bill of Rights, and I see no right to sex
> education. For that matter, I see no right to education at all. As
> such, it is a parental obligation to educate you or to pay to have you
> educated.

Where do you get this stuff Brian? Who is talking about the bill of
rights? But if you wanna ask questions, is there something in the Bill
of rights about banning literature that is not indecent?

>
>> I've been in a religion controlled community. I know how they act when
>>they are allowed to.
>
>
> Get out.

I did.

>
>>>Lock up people who make threats against other people and quit whining
>>>to me about it.
>>
>> I have no idea what you mean here
>
>
> Robertson vs Chavez.
>
>
>>>>>Aren't you supposed to be an educated man?
>>>>
>>>> Supposed to be. Didn't find out about a lot of things that I should
>>>>have known until after I graduated High School.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>You weren't supposed to learn everything in high school. That's why we
>>>have colleges. Know anyone who's living depends on other people
>>>thinking there's more to learn?
>>
>> So it's okay to have forbidden knowledge?

>
>
> Some issues may not be appropriate to teach in public school. For
> example, I draw the line at "intro to Jihad," "intro to bomb making,"
> and "intro to the combustible properties of effigies of the President
> of the USA."
>
> Meanwhile, I trust that your personal oddysey into human sexual
> intercourse education was a success?
>
>
>>Dinosaurs are dangerous? What
>>threat does a dinosaur have to religion?
>
>
> Don't know - never seen one. Never seen God, for what that's worth.
>
>
>>>Life is a life-long learning experience. Learn something today.
>>
>> Always do!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Hey, Joslyn Elders advocated teaching masturbation to school children.
> You should look her up. You guys might make a dynamic duo on the sex
> ed scene.

Good one Brian! Okay, I'll let you have the last word on this exchange now.

- Mike KB3EIA -

902. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 00:43:22 UTC
From: Mac <vk2evb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dfql5loq1@nnrp.waia.asn.au>
Source record: 118728
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac

"Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
> 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>
> --
>
> Charlie - AD5TH
> www.ad5th.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

903. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 01:31:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126229470.979088.266210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118729

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has
> > not pursued it further.
>
> Of course - and based on the comments above, it's clear he
> still thinks it's a good idea, even though he cannot come
> up with a single example of problems caused by the lack of
> such a rule.

and the response is so what if he does?

Why is it so vital for you harp on this point?

Why can't len have an express his opinion?

cut

904. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 01:35:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126229739.627303.325220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118730

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> > >>Why no, Brian, I've never tired of being an A-1 Op. Do you tire of
> > >>being one?
> > >
> > > I have no certificate from Hiram, suitable for framing. But I do take
> > > pride in my station, my signal, and my operating abilities.
> >
> > That is admirable.
>
> More than that, it's highly recommended.
>
> > > And if I
> > > were aware that French hams were out of band, I wouldn't be completing
> > > circuits for them nor sending them QSL cards.
> >
> > It is your right to do so.
>
> Actually, I consider it an obligation.
>
> > You may research the band allocations for
> > each and every DX station you hear, before you actually call them or
> > respond to them.
>
> Why should I do that? The case in point, your case, was one of French
> amateur working completely outside the French Amateur Authorizations by
> several *hundred* kilohertz.
>
> But as a matter of record, I have suggested to you several years ago
> that you learn the authorizations of the DX countries that you need, so
> you'll at least know where to NOT look for them.
>
> > It will assuredly cut down on the number of contacts
> > your make, especially in a contest or pileup situation.
>

> Hi! An out of band contest!!! You crack me up. Not even CQ Magazine
> would go for that one!
>
> > You should also
> > bear in mind that nothing in the regulations under which you operate,
> > mandates that you do so.
>
> Many things are not in the regulations. None-the-less, you should
> abide by "Good Amateur Practice." Riley says it's enforceable, and
> you're back in America now.
>
> You are responsible for making sure that your
> > own signal is where it is supposed to be.
>
> Indeed I am. But that completely misses the case in point, your case.

Dave is good at evading the point and talking about everything in sight
and beyond but the point at hand
>
> If any station I've ever
> > worked was where he was not supposed to be, he'd likely not be able to
> > show off a treasured QSL from a rare station which read the exact
> > frequency of operation (i.e. 50.115 MHz).
>
> Fair enough. But many DXers merely put down the band. But you're not
> many DXers. You have trouble enough being just one.
>
> > Additionally, you can check on the web or by using a callsign database,
> > to be certain that each domestic station you might contact is where he
> > or she is supposed to be under the terms of his or her license.
>
> Again you evade the case in point. Your case. You didn't just work
> hams outside their license class, you were working hams that were
> hundreds of kilohertz outside their countries 6M authorization.
>
> > Finally, there is nothing in the regs which makes you responsible for my
> > station operation either here in the United States, in Tanzania or in
> > any other country.
>
> If I know that you are operating out of band, you can rest assured that
> I won't complete the circuit, and you won't be getting one of my QSL
> cards.
>
> > I hope that clears things up for you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I'm convinced more than ever that given the opportunity to work yet
> more out of band Frenchmen, you'd go for it again.

905. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 01:40:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126230015.148902.313430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118731

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>> I ridicule many things.
> >>>
> >>>Bravo!
> >>
> >> You do too!
> >
> >
> > I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
>
> So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
> course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?

As many as they want? maybe not, as many as they can convince to marry
sure, why not?

why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
banned?

>
> Are religious radicals sacred?
>
> Okay, let me make fun of atheists.....
>
> Q. What is the worst part about being an atheist?
>
> A. No one to talk to during Orgasm.....
>
>
>
> >>>> So where do we stop, Brian?
> >>>
> >>>Has it started?
> >>
> >> Oh absolutely.
> >>
> >>>>Is it when they call for the government to
> >>>>assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life?
> >>
> >>No it wasn't. It was when Pat Robertson called for the US to assassinate
> >>Chavez. I'm not talking about politicos, I'm talking about religious
> >>leaders.
> >
> >
> > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> > process was set up by evil religious people.
>
> Ummm, where?

Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
good place to start
>
> >
> >>> Clinton sent a
> >>>couple of missiles into an emply office building to show that you can't
> >>>just go around threatening the President.
> >>
> >>>Is it when
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>they call for holy war?
> >>>
> >>>Yeh, I think we should draw the line at Jihad.
> >>
> >> There ya go!
> >
> >
> > Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
> > call for Jihad.
>
> Where do you get this stuff Brian? I don't like any religion that tries
> to impress it's beliefs on others, that engages in killing in the name
> of God, and in general allows people of "faith" to use that faith to
> cause harm.

indeed then you are much a fan of Cristain beliefs then I take it
cut

906. Re: THANKS HOUSTON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 01:41:57 UTC
From: TNT <T@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jrqdnf4nBtv1db3eRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 118732
Cross-posted to: alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

New Orleans Didn't Just Go Nuts

It's Been Nuts...

[by Mac Johnson] 9/7/05

Where to even begin in being one more idiot talking about Hurricane Katrina?
I hate the subject. It should be a news item and a humanitarian cause --a
huge recovery and reconstruction effort joined in by all. It should not a
political issue fit for "commentary."

But the Hurricane tore at more than just the weaknesses in New Orleans' inadequate levees. The shortcomings of the levee system were known to all
who ever lived on the Gulf Coast, and in the end, all the levees really did
was encourage expanded development in a huge geologic bowl sitting between a
large lake, North America's mightiest river, and the immense green waters of
the Gulf of Mexico. The whole booby-trap was simply yet another triumph of
government subsidized and directed development. And its failure was long anticipated.

What was not anticipated was the way the Hurricane tore at our human divisions.

First out of the gate were the Holy Men of the Cult of Global Warming, who
couldn't wait for the first dead to wash up before they declared the Hurricane irrefutable proof of Global Warming and a direct responsibility of
George W. Bush.

Next up were the racial ambulance chasers, always looking for another grievous injury to add to their political caseload. Looking at the Sea of
Black faces abandoned without transportation, food, water or protection, they somehow managed to look past the City's Black Mayor, Black Police Chief, Black City Council members and all the other Black office holders that run the 67% Black city, and found that the whole thing was: white

folks' fault. Yet another example of racism at its worst.

This opened up a torrent of Bush-bashing, since he was the closest Republican that had any responsibility for the City. The Democratic Governor of Louisiana -- though white -- was merely a victim of the whole thing it seems, just like the Mayor of New Orleans. Nobody has any power in this world other than George Bush. Nobody has any responsibility. George Bush is now the navel of the world for his enemies. If a butterfly flaps its wings in Central Park, it's George Bush's fault. And the butterfly is racist. And it was blown there by Global Warming.

And at some point during the disaster, the most disturbing of all the infighting began. The thugs of New Orleans turned on their neighbors like a Mongol horde. Looting erupted, as did arson and robberies, shootings and beatings. Rape became an organized crime as gangs preyed on the defenseless stranded girls of New Orleans. Pharmacies were looted and hospitals were surrounded and invaded in a manic hunt for drugs. New Orleans Mayor Ray Nagin couldn't stop such junkie armies from destroying much of what was left of the City's medical infrastructure, but he could excuse them, explaining that it was all just people "looking for something to take the edge off their jones, if you will." Actually, no, I won't. (The mayor added a few minutes later in the same interview: "You know, I'm not one of those drug addicts. I am thinking very clearly." And nobody said different, Mayor.)

Barbarians with an edgy jones shot a cop in the head, shot a national guardsman, halted life-saving evacuations by firing on helicopters and humvees. Police had to mow down a gang of six shooting at contractors who came to repair the levee breaches. A group of white civilians that came into the city in private boats to save as many refugees as they could -- giving lie to the racism howls of the media -- gave up and turned back because people began shooting at them, trying to take their boats.

Soldiers who should be concentrating on rescue operations are carrying full battle gear through the streets of an American City, opening doors with rifles at the ready. Overnight, it seems, The Big Easy had become Thunderdome, and Mad Max was nowhere to be found. In the middle of the worst

American natural disaster in over a century, gang warfare,
anti-authority
psychosis and individual malevolence finished off the hope of tens of
thousands that had survived the flood. It did more to demoralize the
nation
than the storm had done.

What happened?

The storm may have triggered the violence, but it did not cause it. What
we
saw in New Orleans was what happens in America's most murderous city
when
the criminals realize that all the cops have left.

It wasn't desperation, or insanity, or protest. It was New Orleans,
without
police.

Many people believe that Washington, D.C., is the "murder capital of
America." And indeed it often is, but that is only because such rankings
are
limited to "major cities" -those with a population of 500,000 or more,
and
New Orleans has (or had) a population of 485,000. Were it not for this
actuarial accident, Washington, D.C.. wouldn't even have a shot at the
murder title. The per capita murder rate in New Orleans is 16% higher
than
in "Murder Capital" Washington, D.C.; and nearly 10 times the national
average. To have a murder rate equal to that of New York City, New
Orleans
would need to reduce its murders by 86%. No, that's not a typo.
At a time when crime is plummeting in most of America, it has been
steadily
increasing in New Orleans. And one cause is simple: The New Orleans City
Government has run its law enforcement apparatus into the ground. On a
per
capita basis, New Orleans has less than half as many cops as Washington,
D.C.: just 3.1 police officers per 1,000 citizens. Turnover has become a
huge issue, as young cops leave at the first opportunity. A report
conducted
<http://www.bestofneworleans.com/dispatch/2004-02-10/news_feat.html> for
the
city two years ago said that New Orleans was "bleeding police officers."

The strain shows. Fewer than one in four murder cases in New Orleans
results
in a conviction. 42% of violent offenders have their charges dropped by
prosecutors because the cases are "not suitable for court." Many in New
Orleans will not now testify against the thugs that they know -- more
likely
than not -- are going to be released Scot-free. People don't even bother

calling the police in New Orleans anymore. In 2004, academic Researchers conducted an experiment in which they had police fire 700 blank rounds into
the air, in a single afternoon, in one neighborhood. No one -- not one person -- called to report the gunfire. It was background noise.
The report on police levels mentioned above stated that New Orleans needs
2,000 cops just to maintain order in normal times. When Katrina struck, the
city had only 1,700. No more than 1,500 are on duty now, after dislocation,
desertions, resignations, and two suicides.
There is no wonder the place went chaotic. There should be no mystery. It is
barely under control on a good day.

Why are the cops leaving? They are utterly demoralized. They face low pay to
fight a losing war against crime in a city that will not commit resources to
the battle. "We have to use our own shotguns," one patrolman was quoted in
the New York Times. "This isn't theirs; this is my personal gun."

They are demoralized because they have to bear the reputation of working in
what is widely acknowledged as the most corrupt police department in the country. More than fifty NOPD officers were sent to prison in the 1990's,
two of them to death row.

They are demoralized because they have to live in New Orleans, due to a strict residency requirement for police. And unless you are wealthy enough
to live in the perpetual party of the Vieux Carre, New Orleans is not a nice
place to live -- especially for those with children. 84% of officers with
children reported sending them to private or parochial schools, at their own
expense. That's quite an endorsement of Mayor Nagin's schools.

So they leave, and are not replaced. It is not just "white flight" either,
for those that want to see the world through racial lenses. Most of those
leaving are black officers.
All this is not to say that New Orleans has had no plan to reduce its high
crime statistics. For a while, one police district tried lying about the statistics. It meant letting some violent thugs go (and with an edge on

their joneses, I'm told), but it was cheaper than fighting real crime; and
it kept the tourists coming.

Asked if such lying meant that perhaps the NOPD should have its stats
audited by an outside agency, Police Chief Eddie Compass stated, "I don't
need an outside agency coming in. I think we have proven that we are capable
of taking care of our own house."

This is the same Chief that now screams on camera for outside agencies to
just take over. As soon as order is restored, you can bet the New Orleans
City Government will rediscover its need for independence -- and privacy.

The overnight crisis we saw in New Orleans this week has been a long time
coming. It was just the bursting of a purulent boil that has been festering
for years.

Undoubtedly, that is Bush's fault as well. Perhaps his Global Warming has
been putting an edge on criminal's joneses, unbeknownst to the City
Government.

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:4n4Ue.234489$0f.35761@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> <jprados469@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1126220485.649179.226440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Dear Houston,
>>
>> Thanks for taking our filthy niggers away from here! Thank God for
>> Katrina!!
>>
>> Love,
>> New Orleans
>>
>
> Enjoy drinking the water much?
>

907. Re: Today Saggytits celebrates Thor's Day since his tongue is "thor" from sucking cocks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 03:48:35 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <06UHHU2D38603.9920717593@anonymous>
Source record: 118733

```
In article <fms0i111e6tv62l0qq031fmtvdeps3ufu5@4ax.com>
Lloyd Davies <A...@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio <nob...@dizum.com> wrote:
> > In article <z7YTe.2672$9x2.2...@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
> > > > Saggytits Lee wrote:
> > > >
> > > > <nothing important>
> > > >
> > > > Hi Dave Vile! So much for your lie that you don't post other than
> > > > under your own name, eh?
> > >
> > > If it comforts you to believe that I'm Stagger Lee and that I'm Lloyd
> > > Davies, fine and dandy,
> >
> > So you admit to lying about posting under other names other than your
> > own.
> >
> > > Roger.
> >
> > Wait, I'm supposed to be Roger....
> >
> >
> I'm Woger you forging asshole!

No, you are cocksucking Lloyd Davies, with the permanent cocksucker's lisp.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.
```

908. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 06:26:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126247189.058730.152540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118734

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > cut

Reinstalling line Markie cut to avoid answering:

QUOTE:

> After all you claim to be an expert on Mental heath so you KNOW that
> fact

> > > > W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on
> > > > ANYthing...?!?!
> > >
> > > recanting you clims huh maybe the experence did some good. wther that
> > > was just watching CNN/Fox or making the trips you claim can't be told
> >
> > W H E R E, Markie, did I say that any of the EMS trips couldn't be
> > told? When I have more than 10 minutes at a time I will be glad to
> > tell about them.
>
> learn english

Unlike you, I can both speak it and understand it perfectly well.

YOU stated (and "cut" to avoid embarrassment) that I CLAIMED to be
an "expert".

I asked YOU where you believe I ever made the claim of being
expert at ANYthing.

Your "response" is to suggest I "learn english".

Now...where is the answer to the question "Where have I claimed to
be an "expert" on anything, Markie?

> it what can't be told is wether you made the trips or
> not, that that I can't tell wether you made tthe trip or just saw the
> sences on CNN fox

"the" "scenes" "Fox"

It still does not answer the question...WHERE did you get that I
claimed to be an "expert"...!?!?

> cut the tangential rant

The only thing "tangential" here is your trying to put words in other peoples posts, Markie.

Just one more thing that you're a failure at.

Steve, K4YZ

909. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 06:32:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126247549.271151.312340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118735

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > I'm even going to invite you to
> > > continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a
> > > bigger man than you have in the recent past.
> >
> > Even your pontification and self aggrandizing silliness isn't
> > worth arguing with this week, Brain.
>
> of course messages like this one just make a guy doubt wether you made
> the trips at all, or even more likely wether they had an effect real
> effect on you

First of all, who's the "guy"...?!?!

Considering your admitted deviance, we should establish whether
you are the "female" or not before accepting your self-assessment as
"the guy".

> > The mere act of your suggestion sets the stage for yet another
> > opporutnity to humiliate you ever further...But why?
> >
> > > Good luck to you, best wishes, and thank you
> >
> > > Thank you for your service in MS and LA.
> >
> > You're welcome.
> >
> > I've had my share of "fun" this week. I spent yesterday at the
> > Nashville Red Cross Chapter. CAP is supporting them with ground and
> > air services. It's a week later and you can still see the terror in
> > some of these kids eyes...It started to cloud over for a bit and some
> > kids started crying "The rain's coming again, Mommy...the rain's
> > coming!"
> >
> > I can't imagine living life afraid of a summer rain on the porch
> > roof, can you?
>
> I certainly can but then I haave lived with the threat of torndos much
> of my life, and seen them first hand, as well as the Hurricane that
> conviced me I never realy wanted to live on the gulf coast

I lived with the same "threat", having also grown up in the midwest.

There's not a nearly as many violent weather events in Tennessee as in Ohio.

Steve, K4YZ

910. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 06:39:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126247960.516709.218910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118736
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mac wrote:
> Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
>
> "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
> > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
> >
> > --
> >
> > Charlie - AD5TH
> > www.ad5th.com

I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded Amateur Radio.

Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been laid waste.

73

Steve, K4YZ

911. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 06:53:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126248790.540551.14060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118737

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
> >
> > So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
> > course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?
>
> As many as they want? maybe not, as many as they can convince to marry
> sure, why not?

Uhhhhhhhhhh...it's against the law.
>
> why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> banned?

Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
one.

The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
poverty. Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with
in-breeding.

> > >>>> So where do we stop, Brian?
> > >>>
> > >>>Has it started?
> > >>
> > >> Oh absolutely.
> > >>
> > >>>>Is it when they call for the government to
> > >>>>assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life?
> > >>
> > >>No it wasn't. It was when Pat Robertson called for the US to assassinate
> > >>Chavez. I'm not talking about politicos, I'm talking about religious
> > >>leaders.
> > >>
> > >>
> > > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> > > process was set up by evil religious people.
> >
> > Ummm, where?
>

> Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
> good place to start

Guess you missed the point, Markie...

I am sure the "evil religious people" he was refring to were the
Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves to put ambiguous language
about "seperation of church and state" in the Constitution, however
liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the political process.

> > > Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
> > > call for Jihad.
> >
> > Where do you get this stuff Brian? I don't like any religion that tries
> > to impress it's beliefs on others, that engages in killing in the name
> > of God, and in general allows people of "faith" to use that faith to
> > cause harm.
>
> indeed then you are much a fan of Cristain beliefs then I take it

"Christian" "than"

There's not a one of the major religions that does not preach
"love, tolerance and understading" that does not subsequently turn
around and use overt and covert violence in order to perpetuate its
doctrine or control at some point.

Steve, K4YZ

912. Roger's four dozen posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 07:11:52 UTC
From: Digital <Wogiie@RunningScared.uz>
Message-ID: <dfrciq$m32$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118738

"This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services."

This, sadly, seems to be The Mantra from the 49 year old Sociopath with more time on his hands than common sense.

In one 24 hour period, September 8th, in times ranging from 2:12 a. m. up to and including 10:48 p.m., Roger Wiseman posted AT LEAST 49 times to this group.

Wiseman's posts had but two themes. He accused two Hams of "stalking" him while at the same time posting the names and addresses of these very same Hams, Hams that Wogie himself has been electronically stalking for years. Roger's other theme, if you want to call it that, is that everybody who comes in contact with him is lying about him. His lame, "you see Roger everywhere" comments from behind anon remailers speaks volumes.

Oh, the irony. When Wiseman stalks, it is, in his misfiring mind, retribution. When others respond in kind, it suddenly becomes stalking. Roger has not the mental capacity to see the similarities of these acts.

One of the comments made by this Misfit is that there is only one Amateur in this group who dislikes him. I beg to differ. There is only one Amateur (and that is being generous) in this newsgroup who may, perhaps, like Roger, but Todd doesn't count. Roger is, quite simply, viewed as an abhorrent, malcontent Troll. I have yet to meet anybody who shows anything but disdain for him.

49 posts in a 20 hour period (13 in one hour alone) speaks volumes of this psychotic man's lifestyle. Life must be very, very boring in that little $33,000 West Virginia hovel on a hill.

913. Re: THANKS HOUSTON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 09:54:26 UTC
From: inyourface <inyourface@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <WYcUe.10854$Du3.266@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118739
Cross-posted to: alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What do you expect from a "C" student, particularly when the "Cs" are themselves actually grade-inflated symbols. Bush is an "F" student and a failure. Who else would have a black female as an advisor and then as, of all things, a Secretary of State. And who else would want Colin Powell as a butcher of West Asian peoples and as a Secretary of State. What you see is MULATTO America at war with non-white non-black peoples. The real WAR is only just beginning. Whites have chosen blacks as their FAVORITE RACIAL MINORITY GROUP. The Niggerization and Jewification of America is well on its way.
The prognosis is DEATH. Most of the peoples of the world are neither whites nor blacks. Wait, listen, and watch: Death is on the Wing.
"TNT" <T@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:jrqdnf4nBtv1db3eRVn-uA@comcast.com...
> New Orleans Didn't Just Go Nuts
>
> It's Been Nuts...
>
> [by Mac Johnson] 9/7/05
>
> Where to even begin in being one more idiot talking about Hurricane
> Katrina?
> I hate the subject. It should be a news item and a humanitarian
> cause --a
> huge recovery and reconstruction effort joined in by all. It should not
> a
> political issue fit for "commentary."
>
> But the Hurricane tore at more than just the weaknesses in New Orleans'
> inadequate levees. The shortcomings of the levee system were known to
> all
> who ever lived on the Gulf Coast, and in the end, all the levees really
> did
> was encourage expanded development in a huge geologic bowl sitting
> between a
> large lake, North America's mightiest river, and the immense green
> waters of
> the Gulf of Mexico. The whole booby-trap was simply yet another triumph
> of
> government subsidized and directed development. And its failure was long
> anticipated.
>
> What was not anticipated was the way the Hurricane tore at our human
> divisions.

>
> First out of the gate were the Holy Men of the Cult of Global Warming,
> who
> couldn't wait for the first dead to wash up before they declared the
> Hurricane irrefutable proof of Global Warming and a direct
> responsibility of
> George W. Bush.
>
> Next up were the racial ambulance chasers, always looking for another
> grievous injury to add to their political caseload. Looking at the Sea
> of
> Black faces abandoned without transportation, food, water or protection,
> they somehow managed to look past the City's Black Mayor, Black Police
> Chief, Black City Council members and all the other Black office holders
> that run the 67% Black city, and found that the whole thing was: white
> folks' fault. Yet another example of racism at its worst.
>
> This opened up a torrent of Bush-bashing, since he was the closest
> Republican that had any responsibility for the City. The Democratic
> Governor
> of Louisiana -- though white -- was merely a victim of the whole thing
> it
> seems, just like the Mayor of New Orleans. Nobody has any power in this
> world other than George Bush. Nobody has any responsibility. George Bush
> is
> now the navel of the world for his enemies. If a butterfly flaps its
> wings
> in Central Park, it's George Bush's fault. And the butterfly is racist.
> And
> it was blown there by Global Warming.
>
> And at some point during the disaster, the most disturbing of all the
> infighting began. The thugs of New Orleans turned on their neighbors
> like a
> Mongol horde. Looting erupted, as did arson and robberies, shootings and
> beatings. Rape became an organized crime as gangs preyed on the
> defenseless
> stranded girls of New Orleans. Pharmacies were looted and hospitals were
> surrounded and invaded in a manic hunt for drugs. New Orleans Mayor Ray
> Nagin couldn't stop such junkie armies from destroying much of what was
> left
> of the City's medical infrastructure, but he could excuse them,
> explaining
> that it was all just people "looking for something to take the edge off
> their jones, if you will." Actually, no, I won't. (The mayor added a few
> minutes later in the same interview: "You know, I'm not one of those
> drug
> addicts. I am thinking very clearly." And nobody said different, Mayor.)
>
> Barbarians with an edgy jones shot a cop in the head, shot a national

> guardsman, halted life-saving evacuations by firing on helicopters and
> humvees. Police had to mow down a gang of six shooting at contractors
> who
> came to repair the levee breaches. A group of white civilians that came
> into
> the city in private boats to save as many refugees as they could --
> giving
> lie to the racism howls of the media -- gave up and turned back because
> people began shooting at them, trying to take their boats.
>
> Soldiers who should be concentrating on rescue operations are carrying
> full
> battle gear through the streets of an American City, opening doors with
> rifles at the ready. Overnight, it seems, The Big Easy had become
> Thunderdome, and Mad Max was nowhere to be found. In the middle of the
> worst
> American natural disaster in over a century, gang warfare,
> anti-authority
> psychosis and individual malevolence finished off the hope of tens of
> thousands that had survived the flood. It did more to demoralize the
> nation
> than the storm had done.
>
> What happened?
>
> The storm may have triggered the violence, but it did not cause it. What
> we
> saw in New Orleans was what happens in America's most murderous city
> when
> the criminals realize that all the cops have left.
>
> It wasn't desperation, or insanity, or protest. It was New Orleans,
> without
> police.
>
> Many people believe that Washington, D.C., is the "murder capital of
> America." And indeed it often is, but that is only because such rankings
> are
> limited to "major cities" -those with a population of 500,000 or more,
> and
> New Orleans has (or had) a population of 485,000. Were it not for this
> actuarial accident, Washington, D.C.. wouldn't even have a shot at the
> murder title. The per capita murder rate in New Orleans is 16% higher
> than
> in "Murder Capital" Washington, D.C.; and nearly 10 times the national
> average. To have a murder rate equal to that of New York City, New
> Orleans
> would need to reduce its murders by 86%. No, that's not a typo.
> At a time when crime is plummeting in most of America, it has been
> steadily

> increasing in New Orleans. And one cause is simple: The New Orleans City
> Government has run its law enforcement apparatus into the ground. On a
> per
> capita basis, New Orleans has less than half as many cops as Washington,
> D.C.: just 3.1 police officers per 1,000 citizens. Turnover has become a
> huge issue, as young cops leave at the first opportunity. A report
> conducted
> <http://www.bestofneworleans.com/dispatch/2004-02-10/news_feat.html> for
> the
> city two years ago said that New Orleans was "bleeding police officers."
>
> The strain shows. Fewer than one in four murder cases in New Orleans
> results
> in a conviction. 42% of violent offenders have their charges dropped by
> prosecutors because the cases are "not suitable for court." Many in New
> Orleans will not now testify against the thugs that they know -- more
> likely
> than not -- are going to be released Scot-free. People don't even bother
> calling the police in New Orleans anymore. In 2004, academic Researchers
> conducted an experiment in which they had police fire 700 blank rounds
> into
> the air, in a single afternoon, in one neighborhood. No one -- not one
> person -- called to report the gunfire. It was background noise.
> The report on police levels mentioned above stated that New Orleans
> needs
> 2,000 cops just to maintain order in normal times. When Katrina struck,
> the
> city had only 1,700. No more than 1,500 are on duty now, after
> dislocation,
> desertions, resignations, and two suicides.
> There is no wonder the place went chaotic. There should be no mystery.
> It is
> barely under control on a good day.
>
> Why are the cops leaving? They are utterly demoralized. They face low
> pay to
> fight a losing war against crime in a city that will not commit
> resources to
> the battle. "We have to use our own shotguns," one patrolman was quoted
> in
> the New York Times. "This isn't theirs; this is my personal gun."
>
> They are demoralized because they have to bear the reputation of working
> in
> what is widely acknowledged as the most corrupt police department in the
> country. More than fifty NOPD officers were sent to prison in the
> 1990's,
> two of them to death row.
>
> They are demoralized because they have to live in New Orleans, due to a

> strict residency requirement for police. And unless you are wealthy
> enough
> to live in the perpetual party of the Vieux Carre, New Orleans is not a
> nice
> place to live -- especially for those with children. 84% of officers
> with
> children reported sending them to private or parochial schools, at their
> own
> expense. That's quite an endorsement of Mayor Nagin's schools.
>
> So they leave, and are not replaced. It is not just "white flight"
> either,
> for those that want to see the world through racial lenses. Most of
> those
> leaving are black officers.
> All this is not to say that New Orleans has had no plan to reduce its
> high
> crime statistics. For a while, one police district tried lying about the
> statistics. It meant letting some violent thugs go (and with an edge on
> their joneses, I'm told), but it was cheaper than fighting real crime;
> and
> it kept the tourists coming.
>
> Asked if such lying meant that perhaps the NOPD should have its stats
> audited by an outside agency, Police Chief Eddie Compass stated, "I
> don't
> need an outside agency coming in. I think we have proven that we are
> capable
> of taking care of our own house."
>
>
> This is the same Chief that now screams on camera for outside agencies
> to
> just take over. As soon as order is restored, you can bet the New
> Orleans
> City Government will rediscover its need for independence -- and
> privacy.
>
> The overnight crisis we saw in New Orleans this week has been a long
> time
> coming. It was just the bursting of a purulent boil that has been
> festering
> for years.
>
> Undoubtedly, that is Bush's fault as well. Perhaps his Global Warming
> has
> been putting an edge on criminal's joneses, unbeknownst to the City
> Government.
>
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message

> news:4n4Ue.234489$0f.35761@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>>
>> <jprados469@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126220485.649179.226440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> Dear Houston,
>>>
>>> Thanks for taking our filthy niggers away from here! Thank God for
>>> Katrina!!
>>>
>>> Love,
>>> New Orleans
>>>
>>
>> Enjoy drinking the water much?
>>
>
>

914. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 10:33:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126261996.595287.97140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118740

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
> > shoudl polyandry be banned?
>
> Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> one.

Why would that be a concern? We don't prevent people from having
lots of kids they cannot afford.

Many if not most families-with-children I know have all the adults
working outside the home. Having more adults available
would make things easier, not harder.

> The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> poverty.

But is that due to the polygamy, or due to other factors such as
rejection by the mainstream culture?

> Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with in-
> breeding.

That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
polygamy or polyandry.

The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one man
joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws of the
various levels of government.

There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as long as
those involved don't demand government sanction and protection.

> I am sure the "evil religious people" he was refring to were the
> Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves to put ambiguous language
> about "seperation of church and state" in the Constitution, however
> liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the political process.

Where?

Most of the Founders were Deists. They also cherished religious liberty
and did not want churches to be supported by tax dollars.

73 de Jim, N2EY

915. Today is Freya Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 10:59:41 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <microcephalic.dwarves.love@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 118741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

In Norse mythology, Freya is a goddess of love and fertility, and the most beautiful and propitious of the goddesses. She is the patron goddess of crops and birth, the symbol of sensuality and was called upon in matters of love. She loves music, spring and flowers, and is particularly fond of the fairies.

[No comment, other than to note that she would be particularly fond of Woger.]

Among her attributes is the precious necklace of the Brisings, which she obtained by sleeping with four microcephalic dwarves.

[Lloyd, Steve, Schwartz, and Woger?]

Alas, Freya is no longer important. Know why?

Freya consorted with men of long hair.

====
"Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him?" St. Paul, 1 Corinthians 11:14

916. Re: THANKS HOUSTON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 11:26:36 UTC
From: Who Dat? <"Who Dat"@ncat.com>
Message-ID: <7as2i1l0koa0rtufiuoe1smc38p47g38bp@4ax.com>
Source record: 118742
Cross-posted to: alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 9 Sep 2005 05:54:26 -0400, "inyourface"
<inyourface@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>What do you expect from a "C" student, particularly when the "Cs" are
>themselves actually grade-inflated symbols. Bush is an "F" student and a
>failure. Who else would have a black female as an advisor and then as, of
>all things, a Secretary of State. And who else would want Colin Powell as a
>butcher of West Asian peoples and as a Secretary of State. What you see is
>MULATTO America at war with non-white non-black peoples. The real WAR is
>only just beginning. Whites have chosen blacks as their FAVORITE RACIAL
>MINORITY GROUP. The Niggerization and Jewification of America is well on
>its way.
>The prognosis is DEATH. Most of the peoples of the world are neither whites
>nor blacks. Wait, listen, and watch: Death is on the Wing.

Wow! All this info in a cb radio group???

917. LLoyd Davies' four dozen whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 11:41:29 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126266089.475619.241270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118743

Digital aka Lardass Lloyd Davies wrote:
> "This message <whines>

http://www.nething-goes.com/taryn/misc/shutthefuckup.jpg

"Digital" <WussyRayChason@anon.uz> shows not only is he too fucking
stupid to correctly read a message header, he can't count to 5 in
<degedb$l0u$0@pita.alt.net>:
"posts from one of his four providers.
http://1st.net

MSN

AOL

netzero.net

1Cust5558.an3.cle11.da.uu.net"

918. Re: Today is Freya Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 11:46:03 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126266363.708859.271480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris

Saggytits Lee plagiarized:
> In Norse (Saggytits does his usual, cut and paste, add a few comments within the plagiarized material and try to pass the whole thing off as his own)

http://www.nething-goes.com/taryn/misc/shutthefuckup.jpg

Poor Lardass Davies..........

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

919. K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 12:30:45 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1126269045.712263.185380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118745

He's crossed the line numerous times: doing foreign policy, defaming Riley Hollingsworth, going commercial. But he has a case

When Ervin Duggan was FCC Chief Commissioner in the 80s, Baxter received two NALs similar to the present. Dutifully, he spent a great deal of time on his response, serving same on the FCC by regular mail. When the mail was "lost," Baxter resorted to Federal Express with no better result.

So, here was an agency, pre-Hollingsworth, that had issed two NALs and had purposely sandbagged K1MAN's answer -- with good reason.

In his answer, Baxter cited two documents of probative value. One was a letter to Kenneth Black of Ulmerton, UK, responding to his complaint, that gave K1MAN's operation a clean bill of health, equating it to W1AW bulletins. It was signed by Robert McNamara, Chief of the FCC, then-Private Radio Bureau.

The other was a declaratory order on the subject of the length of Information Bulletins with a footnote that referred to W1AW published schedules. The essence of the order was, information bulletins cannot be proscribed by time limits since they are so varied. Rightly or wrongly, Baxter has drawn his authority to come on when he does from that Order's footnote.

Small wonder the FCC disregarded K1MAN's answer, which essentially held that the issue of the NALs had already been settled in his favor. In legal argot, res judicata.

Though most hams, including myself, want to march Baxter right out of this service, justice dictates something else. The FCC, it seems to this commentator, has the burden of first addressing its previous NALs of the 80s which were never resolved.

An agency of government simply cannot throw a flurry of similar charges at a citizen, selectively unresponsive to those that can be defended, while arbitrarily limiting its attention to subsequent charges. Certainly, to the extent the previous NALs are similar, the legal principle of collaterol estoppel comes into play in Baxter's behalf.

I don't like what Baxter does any more than you. Indeed, I think he lost his way years ago. But if we're to have justice for all in these United States, then we must afford K1MAN justice. Let's not throw out the baby with the bath water.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

920. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 14:05:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126274714.058763.79550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118746

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
> > > shoudl polyandry be banned?
> >
> > Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> > one.
>
> Why would that be a concern? We don't prevent people from having
> lots of kids they cannot afford.

How many families of 20 or more children do you know of in
Pennsylvania, Jim?

> Many if not most families-with-children I know have all the adults
> working outside the home. Having more adults available
> would make things easier, not harder.

But these guys don't LET the women work...They are expected to
stay at home and multiply...Period.

> > The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> > poverty.
>
> But is that due to the polygamy, or due to other factors such as
> rejection by the mainstream culture?

Uhhhhhhhhhh.........How many families with more than three or four
kids do you know of that "lives well", Jim?

> > Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with in-
> > breeding.
>
> That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
> polygamy or polyandry.

Most of the polygamy colonies in Utah are fiercely close and shun
outsiders, Jim. Where's the fresh DNA come from?

> The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
> society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one man
> joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws of the
> various levels of government.

And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
intra-family breeding.

> There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as long as
> those involved don't demand government sanction and protection.

Common sense and the health and welfare of the children will
prevent it, Jim.

> > I am sure the "evil religious people" he was refring to were the
> > Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves to put ambiguous language
> > about "seperation of church and state" in the Constitution, however
> > liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the political process.
>
> Where?

Start with your folding money.

> Most of the Founders were Deists. They also cherished religious liberty
> and did not want churches to be supported by tax dollars.

As well they shouldn't. However Christian principles were the
basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early American
law.

Who among them could have foretold the silliness that prevails in
today's "religious" pursuits?

73

Steve, K4YZ

921. Re: dave you have bigger issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 14:10:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126275034.294520.269550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118747

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave hold on the flames, look around the days posting.
>
> You have bigger issues than I over langauge and politenss, that is
> simple fact, when you make some progress cleaing things up talk to me,
> till then buzz off

Mark C. Morgan has NO BUSINESS telling ANYONE to "buzz off".

You're the "EPITOME" of "impolite". You're foul-mouthed, crude,
decitful and just an out-and-out liar. You're well-ranked as one of
the Feeble Five.

Steve, K4YZ

922. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 14:52:46 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tjhUe.31$z73.22@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118748
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1126247960.516709.218910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mac wrote:
>> Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
>>
>> "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
>> > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>> >
>> > --
>> >
>> > Charlie - AD5TH
>> > www.ad5th.com
>
> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
> Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
> of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
> MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
> Amateur Radio.
>
> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
> laid waste.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yeah! Where's all those fellers "a-standin' by to pass that 'ere
'eee-mer-gen-cee' traffic thar". We down, we off the key, we gone (thar)"
:)

J
>

923. Re: dave you have bigger issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 16:18:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126282700.035431.23240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118749

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave hold on the flames, look around the days posting.
> >
> > You have bigger issues than I over langauge and politenss, that is
> > simple fact, when you make some progress cleaing things up talk to me,
> > till then buzz off
>
> Mark C. Morgan has NO BUSINESS telling ANYONE to "buzz off".

ah yes Stevie the Censor again

> Steve, K4YZ

924. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 16:26:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126283204.760230.314590@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118850

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > > I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
> > >
> > > So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
> > > course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?
> >
> > As many as they want? maybe not, as many as they can convince to marry
> > sure, why not?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...it's against the law.

so what? that law is wrong indeed I think the morman could get it
struck down as unconstitutional using much the same approuch as the gay
right folks in striking at Amendment 2 in CO an the anti sodomy law of
TX

We see here your weakness shows up in the Code issue but dominates your
life

Nothing may ever be allowed to change
> >
> > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> > banned?
>
> Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> one.

if that is the issue you add an ecomonic test to the maaraige system,
>
> The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> poverty. Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with
> in-breeding.

no one said anything about striking down other laws about cousins and
stuff like that

more of Stevie as a religous bigot

further by what right do you dictate the level of economics in a
counouity

Utah before the banning of Polygamy was a stable reasonably properous
splace, the towns where they practive it now out of sight lack the

resources to support themselve wether mongamy or polygamy is rule

cut
> > > >
> > > > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> > > > process was set up by evil religious people.
> > >
> > > Ummm, where?
> >
> > Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
> > good place to start
>
> Guess you missed the point, Markie...

not at all

cut
> > > > Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
> > > > call for Jihad.
> > >
> > > Where do you get this stuff Brian? I don't like any religion that tries
> > > to impress it's beliefs on others, that engages in killing in the name
> > > of God, and in general allows people of "faith" to use that faith to
> > > cause harm.
> >
> > indeed then you are much a fan of Cristain beliefs then I take it
>
> "Christian" "than"
cut speeling cop
> Steve, K4YZ

925. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 16:35:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126283753.295873.193150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118851

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > cut
>
> Reinstalling line Markie cut to avoid answering:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> > After all you claim to be an expert on Mental heath so you KNOW that
> > fact
>
> > > > > W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on
> > > > > ANYthing...?!?!
> > > >
> > > recanting you clims huh maybe the experence did some good. wther that
> > > > was just watching CNN/Fox or making the trips you claim can't be told
> > >
> > > W H E R E, Markie, did I say that any of the EMS trips couldn't be
> > > told? When I have more than 10 minutes at a time I will be glad to
> > > tell about them.
> >
> > learn english
>
> Unlike you, I can both speak it and understand it perfectly well.
>
> YOU stated (and "cut" to avoid embarrassment) that I CLAIMED to be
> an "expert".

another lie I cut I simply did not bother to call you a liar Again
>
> I asked YOU where you believe I ever made the claim of being
> expert at ANYthing.

well you demanded it

you have claimed to an expert shot, in your one shot one kill message

therefore yet again Stevie is a liar. you will not amdit it, but you
are

all of this was of course tangentail
cut

> > it what can't be told is wether you made the trips or
> > not, that that I can't tell wether you made tthe trip or just saw the
> > sences on CNN fox
>
cut
> > cut the tangential rant
>
> The only thing "tangential" here is your trying to put words in
> other peoples posts, Markie.\

Tangetcail is you mis understanding an english sentence and then
running off the page with it

I can't tell if you made the trips. I am inclined to doubt it, but
maybe you did. What I know from your manner is that you were in no way
humbled by the alleged experence

you took your inablity to reconize an eliptical phrase "..or making the
trips you claim can't be told" and ran off on a fabrication that I
claimed you were invoking somethihg security clearaenes instead of
using an understanding of the english language to properly understand
that the cluase meant in context that no one here can tell if you in
fact made the trip

you blew your english gramar and went off on a rant, again as you have
done many times in the past

926. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 16:39:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126283984.873137.213380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118852

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > I'm even going to invite you to
> > > > continue this period of goodwill and grace by continuing by being a
> > > > bigger man than you have in the recent past.
> > >
> > > Even your pontification and self aggrandizing silliness isn't
> > > worth arguing with this week, Brain.
> >
> > of course messages like this one just make a guy doubt wether you made
> > the trips at all, or even more likely wether they had an effect real
> > effect on you
>
> First of all, who's the "guy"...?!?!

I am as is simple english

cuting you sexual rant
> > > The mere act of your suggestion sets the stage for yet another
> > > opporutnity to humiliate you ever further...But why?
> > >
> > > > Good luck to you, best wishes, and thank you
> > >
> > > > Thank you for your service in MS and LA.
> > >
> > > You're welcome.
> > >
> > > I've had my share of "fun" this week. I spent yesterday at the
> > > Nashville Red Cross Chapter. CAP is supporting them with ground and
> > > air services. It's a week later and you can still see the terror in
> > > some of these kids eyes...It started to cloud over for a bit and some
> > > kids started crying "The rain's coming again, Mommy...the rain's
> > > coming!"
> > >
> > > I can't imagine living life afraid of a summer rain on the porch
> > > roof, can you?
> >
> > I certainly can but then I haave lived with the threat of torndos much
> > of my life, and seen them first hand, as well as the Hurricane that
> > conviced me I never realy wanted to live on the gulf coast
>
> I lived with the same "threat", having also grown up in the

> midwest.

meaning of course you were or amditing to a lack of imagination in writng "I can't imagine living life afraid of a summer rain on the porch
roof, can you?"

Like I said you may have made the runs. Len does thinks for decent reasons. I doubt for those and others. But I am certain it in no way hummbled or affected you

you remain as creul and uncaring and down right abusive as ever
>
> There's not a nearly as many violent weather events in Tennessee
> as in Ohio.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

927. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 16:43:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118853
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Mac wrote:
> > Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
> >
> > "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
> > > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > Charlie - AD5TH
> > > www.ad5th.com
>
> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
> Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
> of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
> MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
> Amateur Radio.

No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.

It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
keystone cops bummbling we see and here about

the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
>
> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
> laid waste.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

928. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 18:48:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126291680.695223.306810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118854

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 8 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2233	263
Indeterminate (note 1)	131	22
Value for Percentages	2102	241
Against NPRM (note 2)	628 [29.88%]	75 [31.12%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1153 [54.85%]	119 [49.38%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	321 [15.27%]	47 [19.50%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

929. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 18:49:51 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126291791.155163.280980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118855

From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
>> >>>>John Smith wrote:
>> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>> >>>>>Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
>> >>>>>that I can't pass myself.
>
>> >>>>That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur
>> >>>>radio (the rf bands) should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
>
>> >>>They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
>> >>>a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.
>
>> >>Don't forget cute little 6-year-olds who passed their
>> >>WRITTENS.
>
>> >It is a cinch that *you* haven't forgotten them. :-)
>> > Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
>> > an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
>> > radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
>> > of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
>> > children, he could not come up with a single example.
>> > As far as anyone knows, he's never told
>> > FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.
>
>> No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has
>> not pursued it further.
>
>Of course - and based on the comments above, it's clear he
>still thinks it's a good idea, even though he cannot come
>up with a single example of problems caused by the lack of
>such a rule.

"It's clear he still thinks it's a good idea?" :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie, you put bad INTERPRETATIONS on some
folks' comments! :-)

>> > Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's.
>> > Or lower, or the same. How would his behavior here change?

>
>> I'm going to go out on a limb here and say that I don't think
>> his behavior would change at all.
>
>With one exception: There's a very high probability that he'd find a
>way to use my IQ score as a way to insult and denigrate.
>Just as the profile predicts. So there's no logical reason to give my
>score.

Wow! Jimmie turned into FUTUREMAN! Futureman...able to see what
is coming before it happens! :-)

Was there some "issue" concerning Stanford-Binet IQ tests?!?!?

Seems to me that morse code testing does NOT involve any "IQ"
ratings. Morse code skill is an ear-brain-motor-function thing
NOT involving intellectual capability.

Are modems "intelligent?" :-)

Do code keys have "intelligence?" :-)

>> > Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.
>
>> I've not only considered Paul's post, I've read the expected
>> tone in Len's reply.

"Expected tone?" :-)

Gosh, I'll be glad to reset the Bass and Treble controls, even
put in an Equalizer!

You know this "Argic" guy, do you Jimmie?

>Ever notice how there are some people who are just plain
>nice to have around? They may not be the smartest, or the
>richest, or the most physically attractive, or the most
>accomplished, but when you spend some time with them you
>feel better than you did before, for all sorts of reasons.

Jimmie just feels warm and fuzzy around other PCTAs? :-)

>Among them are people from whom you can always learn something useful,
>people who are always there to help out, people with
>whom you can communicate freely and honestly, people who have
>an innate common sense, people with a good sense of humor, and
>a whole list of other traits and combinations of traits.

Jimmie, you need to get out more, meet some NEW friends.

...or...form a NEW newsgroup, one devoted to the joys,
nobility, and rapture of morse code! Wouldn't that be a
heaven of Your Kind, the morse mavins. :-)

>"Don't waste the thousand marbles"

Why? Did you lose your marbles? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

930. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 18:53:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126292026.748055.276080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118856

From: an_old_friend on Sep 8, 6:31 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > Dave Heil wrote:

>> > No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has
>> > not pursued it further.
>
>> Of course - and based on the comments above, it's clear he
>> still thinks it's a good idea, even though he cannot come
>> up with a single example of problems caused by the lack of
>> such a rule.
>
>and the response is so what if he does?
>
>Why is it so vital for you harp on this point?

Jimmie NEEDS to win something he lost years ago...a newsgroup argument nicely designed to denigrate someone who wasn't responding to his mighty words in the way he thought...:-)

Jimmie has NOT EVER explained how he is such an "expert" on children even though he has charged me that I am no "expert." I'm not an expert on kiddies of 6 years of age yet I've been around them all my life. :-)

Jimmie still hasn't explained HOW six-year-olds can be "responsible" in the same way as adults. Did he teach K-12? K-6? Did he study pediatrics? He INFERS he is SOMEHOW an "expert" fully "qualified" to negatively critique others on the responsibility of kiddies.

"Harping on points" is Jimmie's MISDIRECTION in posting, charging flaws in character for "not following through on 'promises' made years ago." Jimmie puts the onus on others when he doesn't get his way. [maybe he thinks too much about 'onuses'? :-)]

>Why can't len have an express his opinion?

Because I don't love, honor, and obey morse code. :-)

Because I don't leave Jimmie feeling warm and fuzzy. :-)

Because Jimmie doesn't Get His Way in here...? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

931. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 19:01:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126290295.083217.121840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118857

Indeed I think (not having hread him directly) that the K1MAN case
shows what happened when the Govt in this case plays fast and loose, as
is my experence thewhole govt does these days sincreasingly ,
regardless of the party in power.

It seems likely that K1MAN has slowly based on the history
driffted/felt pushed down these paths by a Govt that rather than make
clear diffinition relies on a "wink and nodd", rather doing it job and
writting regulation that can used in all cases it can forsee and then
addressing what comes up later

Like the case of "Ham Aid" a worthy idea, but an idea at varance with
the letter of the rules (I also disagree on wether the rules should
read as they do) but rather than confront the issue head on, we rely on
spin.

We could say you know this may be against the rules but this disater
can't wait, we will follow the Presients lead in cutting though the red
for now and deall with writing better rules later.

Instead we ignore these rules and what then is to stop tanother fella
like K1MAN form finding a donor (or creating a donor) and paying Hams
pointing to Ham Aid as precident

Due process does not mean short circuting the law when ever it suits
you
Morris wrote:
> He's crossed the line numerous times: doing foreign policy, defaming
> Riley Hollingsworth, going commercial. But he has a case
>
> When Ervin Duggan was FCC Chief Commissioner in the 80s, Baxter
> received two NALs similar to the present. Dutifully, he spent a great
> deal of time on his response, serving same on the FCC by regular mail.
> When the mail was "lost," Baxter resorted to Federal Express with no
> better result.
cut ing for breity

932. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 19:33:34 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dfso2g$b7h$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 118858
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1126247960.516709.218910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mac wrote:
>> Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
>>
>> "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
>> > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>> >
>> > --
>> >
>> > Charlie - AD5TH
>> > www.ad5th.com
>
> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
> Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
> of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
> MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
> Amateur Radio.
>
> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
> laid waste.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Methinks a very strongly worded letter from ARRL to the head honcho at
Motorola might be a good idea.

73
and special kudos to all those volunteers.
ZR3RC
```

933. Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 20:17:52 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126297072.619168.77700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118859

From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39

>Mac wrote:
>> Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
>>
>> "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
>> > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>> >
>> > --
>> >
>> > Charlie - AD5TH
>> > www.ad5th.com
>
> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
>of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
>MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>Amateur Radio.

"Patriarch?!?" :-) Not I, never having met in-person any of
those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable. :-)

"Waned?!?" :-) Life goes on 2000 miles away from the Katrina
hurricane devastation.

Ahem...the "MAJOR media" are reporting as much as possible about
the Gulf Coast damage. That is of considerable quantity.

Reporters, journalists, telecasters are all on-the-scene covering
an enormous area of extensive damage with many, many "human
interest" stories appearing. Anybody with a working TV set can
see/hear that; anybody with a working BC receiver can hear that.
Anyone able to read a major newspaper can read that. Anyone with
a working PC and an Internet connection can find that out.

What Dudly is doing in here is just ordinary FLAMING because he
is obsessed with FIGHTING. [wannabe-warriors get that way...]
Yes, stories have been filed with newsservices on amateur radio
doing their part in aiding the relief effort, but are those
relief actions of amateurs of any notable effect on society as
a whole? Health and welfare messages have not been shown to "save
lives" on any large scale...a large scale such as a MILLION
displaced and homeless citizens in the Gulf states affected by
Katrina. Perhaps nearer to two MILLION people displace, homeless,

or dead when the tallies on human lives is more complete. The scale of damage is too vast, too shattering to millions more.

Thousands and thousands of volunteers and paid workers are very busy at the moment, doing many things of DIRECT AID to the homeless and displaced, all WITHOUT any direct "need" to do radio communications about "health and welfare messages." That's important work. MOST (very nearly all) of that work can be done WITHOUT a lot of radio communications. Coordination of effort does require communications of some kind, but the "coordination" of ALL agencies, paid and volunteer, were simply NOT prepared to deal with the magnitude of damage and destruction that happened. That includes amateur radio, folks, whether it smarts your little egos or not. Did anyone really think that a few dozen hams could really make a "difference" by sending health and welfare messages from millions to other millions? No doubt the self-proclaimed amateur patriots far from the disaster scene were happy as larks to see the tiniest factoid of their Great Help to the victims of hurricane Katrina. They have waved their banners, cheered as loudly (and abusively) as possible, pinned invisible medals of valor to their equally invisible uniforms of service they wear in the newsgroups.

But, things eventually get sorted out and the REAL coordinators can organize things, start to do the REAL rescue work such as pumping out all those millions of acre-feet of flood water, finding shelter and food and sanitation for a million or more displaced and homeless people, trying to restore a large city and many smaller cities along the Gulf. The REAL health workers have been on the scene, pulling out bodies of the dead, measuring the countryside for disease, taking steps to control insect-borne diseases, preparing for the epidemics that might ravage the survivors. REAL work, down on the nitty-gritty level, done by those who KNOW what they are doing even though they are over-whelmed by the enormity of the task.

> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
>laid waste.

"Lame slime?" :-) While some consider Public Relations folks in that category, that's just inflamatory, ignorant rhetoric from a nurse who has no provable record of past "experience" in either radio communications or the electronics industry...a wannabe-warrior who can only "fight" by cursing others who don't agree with him. Disreputable activity.

Such inflammatory curse words are only in the minds of a few who have NO REAL CONCEPT of the many and various communications systems used by the "infrastructure." Motorola has been one of the leaders in radio since World War 2...surviving when Hallicrafters and Zenith and a number of other electronics industry biggies of the Chicago area FAILED, dissolved, went bankrupt, became DEFUNCT.

The electronics industry of the United States IS HELPING, just as it did after the NYC disaster of 11 Sep 01, shipping in professional radio-electronics equipment from handhelds to "WiFi" and "WiMax" whole systems to restore the BIG communications needs along the Gulf coast. The evidence IS there in the "MAJOR news", in the newspaper business section, in the press releases of major electronics industry corporations. It isn't carried on the amateur "news" from the ARRL...such is counter-productive to promoting the ARRL and furthering the amateur mystique that some need to justify their imaginary glory of having an amateur radio hobby.

The disaster of hurricane Katrina is of unparalleled proportions and ALL humane-thinking citizens can do their part, however big, however small. There is NO AID given to anyone or anything by continuing an unrelenting stream of personal insults towards others they know nothing of nor nothing about.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

934. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 20:36:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0nmUe.9902$FW1.9234@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118860
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Mac wrote:
>> > Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
>> >
>> > "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
>> > > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>> > >
>> > > --
>> > >
>> > > Charlie - AD5TH
>> > > www.ad5th.com
>>
>> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>> Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
>> of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
>> MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>> Amateur Radio.
>
> No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
> H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
>
> It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
> keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
>
> the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
> lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
>>
>> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
>> laid waste.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about. Here in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.

The Alabama Net and other groups are providing links further down the line.

Dan/W4NTI

935. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 20:45:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <gvmUe.9906$FW1.7920@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118861

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126290295.083217.121840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Indeed I think (not having hread him directly) that

This right here explains your problem. You form opinions based on knowledge
you admit you don't have. Amazing indeed.

Dan/W4NTI

936. Re: The Showdown at the Save-A-Lot Corral

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 20:49:42 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <0fc44dd669e13ebd91e5cb42a7444bbc@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118862

In article <3jn0i1dhddogqj3n1a1hd8ur96u0t1dd0j@4ax.com>
N0VFP <A...@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> I offered to give Doc head but I was afraid he
> would kick my ass after he popped his nut.

LARDASS Davies admits he gave another man head. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

937. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:01:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.09.21.01.21.510871@gmail.com>
Source record: 118863

Morris:

Without a doubt, and with only a quick overview of the K1MAN vs. ARRL issue, it is apparent that either ARRL must mend its ways or K1MAN be allowed to continue in some form.

Once a great man, which I USED TO RESPECT, asked me, and point blank, "Who says the world has to be fair." Of course, I knew the correct answer, "I DO!"

John

On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 05:30:45 -0700, Morris wrote:

> He's crossed the line numerous times: doing foreign policy, defaming
> Riley Hollingsworth, going commercial. But he has a case
>
> When Ervin Duggan was FCC Chief Commissioner in the 80s, Baxter
> received two NALs similar to the present. Dutifully, he spent a great
> deal of time on his response, serving same on the FCC by regular mail.
> When the mail was "lost," Baxter resorted to Federal Express with no
> better result.
>
> So, here was an agency, pre-Hollingsworth, that had issed two NALs and
> had purposely sandbagged K1MAN's answer -- with good reason.
>
> In his answer, Baxter cited two documents of probative value. One was
> a letter to Kenneth Black of Ulmerton, UK, responding to his complaint,
> that gave K1MAN's operation a clean bill of health, equating it to W1AW
> bulletins. It was signed by Robert McNamara, Chief of the FCC,
> then-Private Radio Bureau.
>
> The other was a declaratory order on the subject of the length of
> Information Bulletins with a footnote that referred to W1AW published
> schedules. The essence of the order was, information bulletins cannot
> be proscribed by time limits since they are so varied. Rightly or
> wrongly, Baxter has drawn his authority to come on when he does from
> that Order's footnote.
>
> Small wonder the FCC disregarded K1MAN's answer, which essentially held
> that the issue of the NALs had already been settled in his favor. In
> legal argot, res judicata.
>
> Though most hams, including myself, want to march Baxter right out of
> this service, justice dictates something else. The FCC, it seems to
> this commentator, has the burden of first addressing its previous NALs

> of the 80s which were never resolved.
>
> An agency of government simply cannot throw a flurry of similar charges
> at a citizen, selectively unresponsive to those that can be defended,
> while arbitrarily limiting its attention to subsequent charges.
> Certainly, to the extent the previous NALs are similar, the legal
> principle of collaterol estoppel comes into play in Baxter's behalf.
>
> I don't like what Baxter does any more than you. Indeed, I think he
> lost his way years ago. But if we're to have justice for all in these
> United States, then we must afford K1MAN justice. Let's not throw out
> the baby with the bath water.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX

938. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:19:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126300790.709377.137220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118864

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126290295.083217.121840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Indeed I think (not having hread him directly) that
>
> This right here explains your problem. You form opinions based on knowledge
> you admit you don't have. Amazing indeed.

not at all. It gives me a certain amount of objectivity, and the abilty
to form a judgements based on the facts involved without being
prejudged by hearing what I suppose could a very offensive fellows and
allowing my judgement to swayed by emotion
>
> Dan/W4NTI

939. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:21:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126300910.547847.17050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118865

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> Jimmie, you need to get out more, meet some NEW friends.
>
> ...or...form a NEW newsgroup, one devoted to the joys,
> nobility, and rapture of morse code! Wouldn't that be a
> heaven of Your Kind, the morse mavins. :-)

well It might be awfully queit in there Len
>
cut

940. Re: Herr Dave Heil Himmler says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:28:17 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <e0fcb45f7c76650252741dbd2b43aba7@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 118869

In article <YELTe.7553$Wd7.4301@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In his jack-boots and black SS uniform, with the Nazi armband and monocle:
> >
> > "They vill sieze your computer, because you disagree with me, and because I
say
> > so."
>
> There's a little guy in Glen Dale, hiding in his little house, using his
> little anonymous remailers to disguise his horrid little posts.

There's a dumb fat guy in Cameron, West Virginia, hiding in his old crooked roofed farmouse that needs fixed up the one with the tarpaper covered up with broken and faded wooden shingles with a hole in the roof, and the mangled antennas and towers keeping the broken down washing machines and rusting farm equipment company in his yard, whining about somebody he thinks is using anonymous remailers, and then lies about not seeing that individual behind every post.

Dave Vile the liar and hypocrite fails to follow his own advice in <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1003@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"Your saying so does not make your statement true. You may think it, but you are not correct."

941. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:29:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126301386.520944.13970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118866

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > cut
> > >
> > Reinstalling line Markie cut to avoid answering:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > > After all you claim to be an expert on Mental heath so you KNOW that
> > > fact
> >
> > > > > > > W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on
> > > > > > > ANYthing...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > recanting you clims huh maybe the experence did some good. wther that
> > > > > > was just watching CNN/Fox or making the trips you claim can't be told
> > > > >
> > > > > W H E R E, Markie, did I say that any of the EMS trips couldn't be
> > > > > told? When I have more than 10 minutes at a time I will be glad to
> > > > > tell about them.
> > >
> > > learn english
> >
> > Unlike you, I can both speak it and understand it perfectly well.
> >
> > YOU stated (and "cut" to avoid embarrassment) that I CLAIMED to be
> > an "expert".
>
> another lie I cut I simply did not bother to call you a liar Again

The comments were germane to your comments that I was an "expert"
on "mental health" issues.

You still ahve yet to provled said quote.

> > I asked YOU where you believe I ever made the claim of being
> > expert at ANYthing.
>
> well you demanded it
>

> you have claimed to an expert shot, in your one shot one kill message

I didn't claim to be an expert. Simply that I hit what I aim ait,
that being either figuratively or practically.

> therefore yet again Stevie is a liar. you will not amdit it, but you
> are

No, I am not a liar.

YOU have yet to prove it.

> all of this was of course tangentail

No, it was not "tangentail".

> cut

> > > it what can't be told is wether you made the trips or
> > > not, that that I can't tell wether you made tthe trip or just saw the
> > > sences on CNN fox
> >
> cut

More quoting of my half of the comments while leaving yours in
place.

> > > cut the tangential rant
> >
> > The only thing "tangential" here is your trying to put words in
> > other peoples posts, Markie.\
>
> Tangetcail is you mis understanding an english sentence and then
> running off the page with it

"Tangential" "misunderstanding" "English"

First of all, I can't "run off the page" with anything here since
it's broadband.

Secondly, if YOU are going to criticize ANYone's spelling and
grammar, you should do it from a base of skillsets equivilent to the
person you're chastising.

In that case you again are deficient.

> I can't tell if you made the trips. I am inclined to doubt it, but
> maybe you did. What I know from your manner is that you were in no way
> humbled by the alleged experence

"experience"

And >>I<< am inclined to not care if YOU care, Markie.

> you took your inablity to reconize an eliptical phrase "..or making the
> trips you claim can't be told" and ran off on a fabrication that I

> claimed you were invoking somethihg security clearaenes instead of
> using an understanding of the english language to properly understand
> that the cluase meant in context that no one here can tell if you in
> fact made the trip

"recognize" "something" "clearances" "English" "clause"

If anyone has to be "eliptical", they don't have a point...They
are trying to hide behind rhetoric and wordsmithing.

Which is exactly what you're trying to do now.

> you blew your english gramar and went off on a rant, again as you have
> done many times in the past

"English" "grammar"

Nope. You're the one in rant mode, Markie. This silliness of
trying to hide comments behind "eliptical phrases", and then trying to
justify the end-run are obvious.

Your attempt at "eliptical phrases" doesn't work with YOUR poor
"mastery" of the English language, Mark.

Steve, K4YZ

942. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:30:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126301413.743957.191760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118867
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> Mac wrote:
> >> > Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
> >> >
> >> > "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
> >> > > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
> >> > >
> >> > > --
> >> > >
> >> > > Charlie - AD5TH
> >> > > www.ad5th.com
> >>
> >> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
> >> Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
> >> of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
> >> MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
> >> Amateur Radio.
> >
> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
> >
> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
> >
> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
> >>
> >> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
> >> laid waste.
> >>
> >> 73
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about. Here
> in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red

> Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY
> communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.

did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
thrower?

But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
I.

as to your other points.

One am unable judge for myself directly of course because of rules you
personaly have supported for years.

Two I said most com seemed to that most were H&W, Indeed given the size
of Montgomery could not they diespense with anything but messagers? Not
syaing they should but couldn't they.

The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
the level of critcal

>
> The Alabama Net and other groups are providing links further down the line.

and what are they passing?

what vital message are they conveying?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

943. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:32:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126301537.031503.135160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118868

John Smith wrote:
> Morris:
>
> Without a doubt, and with only a quick overview of the K1MAN vs. ARRL
> issue, it is apparent that either ARRL must mend its ways or K1MAN be
> allowed to continue in some form.
>
> Once a great man, which I USED TO RESPECT, asked me, and point blank, "Who
> says the world has to be fair." Of course, I knew the correct answer, "I
> DO!"
>
> John
>
ah you are less of a cynci than I. These I follow the word in B5 spoken
by the rnager Marcus, "I take great comfort in the fact they universe
is unfiar, otherwise I'd have to believe when something bad happened to
us that we deserve it"

944. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 21:53:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126302817.611921.139260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118870

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > cut
cut

> The comments were germane to your comments that I was an "expert"
> on "mental health" issues.

quoting Stevie Sep 8, 11:57
W H E R E, Markie, have I >>E V E R<< claimed to be an "expert" on

ANYthing...?!?!

unquote
no such limitation in your statement

more evasion of your over blown lies

> > > I asked YOU where you believe I ever made the claim of being
> > > expert at ANYthing.
> >
> > well you demanded it
> >
> > you have claimed to an expert shot, in your one shot one kill message
>
> I didn't claim to be an expert. Simply that I hit what I aim ait,
> that being either figuratively or practically.

another lie proof follows

" I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. "

the whole post is quoted in thread "a balst from the past 1998/09/08
form robeson stevie death in the origainl or only a copy off"

>
> > therefore yet again Stevie is a liar. you will not amdit it, but you
> > are
>
> No, I am not a liar.
>

> YOU have yet to prove it.

sure have

>
> > all of this was of course tangentail
>
> No, it was not "tangentail".
>
> > cut
>
> > > > it what can't be told is wether you made the trips or
> > > > not, that that I can't tell wether you made tthe trip or just saw the
> > > > sences on CNN fox
> > >
> > cut
>
> More quoting of my half of the comments while leaving yours in
> place.

yea at least I leave some part of your staments for context, and I
don't alter them or claim that something else was stated

I do much better than you at being fair and balanced, but that isn't
hard

>
> > > > cut the tangential rant
> > >
> > > The only thing "tangential" here is your trying to put words in
> > > other peoples posts, Markie.\
> >
> > Tangetcail is you mis understanding an english sentence and then
> > running off the page with it

more tangents

Stay on toic and I will not cut it
> > I can't tell if you made the trips. I am inclined to doubt it, but
> > maybe you did. What I know from your manner is that you were in no way
> > humbled by the alleged experence
>
> "experience"
>
> And >>I<< am inclined to not care if YOU care, Markie.

another lie

you prove you do care every time you reply

>
> > you took your inablity to reconize an eliptical phrase "..or making the
> > trips you claim can't be told" and ran off on a fabrication that I

> > claimed you were invoking somethihg security clearaenes instead of
> > using an understanding of the english language to properly understand
> > that the cluase meant in context that no one here can tell if you in
> > fact made the trip
>
> "recognize" "something" "clearances" "English" "clause"
>
> If anyone has to be "eliptical", they don't have a point...They
> are trying to hide behind rhetoric and wordsmithing.

No I simply choose not to use sentences out of the "see spot run level"

You simply are too dumb to notice a turn of phrase
>
> Which is exactly what you're trying to do now.

another lie Stevie

>
> > you blew your english gramar and went off on a rant, again as you have
> > done many times in the past

cuting the repeating of the same tangent

945. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 22:02:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126300498.497525.205010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118871

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39

> > I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
> >Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
> >of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
> >MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
> >Amateur Radio.
>
> "Patriarch?!?" Not I, never having met in-person any of
> those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
> Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable.

In other words, you're ducking. Amateur Radio is doing exactly
what you said it can't won't do.

All of those "paid professionals" that you claim do the
communicating with those high-priced, high tech systems, ie: Motorol,
are Johnnie-Come-Lately, are having to pause to wipe the crow from
thier faces before trying to answer up as to why they are DAYS behind
doing what those lowly Amateurs have been doing since Day One.

> "Waned?!?" Life goes on 2000 miles away from the Katrina
> hurricane devastation.

Louisiana is 2000 miles from you.

This newsgroup is only as far away as your keyboard.

> Ahem...the "MAJOR media" are reporting as much as possible about
> the Gulf Coast damage. That is of considerable quantity.

Uh huh.

And YOU are the one who always claims that Amateur Radio isn't the
"force" that it's own PR folks claim simply because there's not "major
media" coverage corroborating it.

Whelp...I consider Fox News, CNN, The Wall Street Journal, MSN,
etc, to be those "major media" resources.

> Reporters, journalists, telecasters are all on-the-scene covering
> an enormous area of extensive damage with many, many "human
> interest" stories appearing. Anybody with a working TV set can
> see/hear that; anybody with a working BC receiver can hear that.
> Anyone able to read a major newspaper can read that. Anyone with
> a working PC and an Internet connection can find that out.

YaddaYaddaYadda.........

The facts remain...Amateur Radio is doing EXACTLY the thing YOU have claimed that they can't or won't do...

Snip of spin-doctoring.

Steve, K4YZ

946. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 22:11:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126303877.186367.189160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118872

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39
>
> > > I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
> > >Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
> > >of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
> > >MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
> > >Amateur Radio.
> >
> > "Patriarch?!?" Not I, never having met in-person any of
> > those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
> > Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable.
>
> In other words, you're ducking. Amateur Radio is doing exactly
> what you said it can't won't do.

It is "ducking" to disclaim a title conferred by your enemy

and ARs is still not providing vital coms

cut excess hot air suggesting perhaps it be redirected to NO to help
dry the place out
> And YOU are the one who always claims that Amateur Radio isn't the
> "force" that it's own PR folks claim simply because there's not "major
> media" coverage corroborating it.
>
> Whelp...I consider Fox News, CNN, The Wall Street Journal, MSN,
> etc, to be those "major media" resources.

I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
important but nothing life saving

cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before

947. what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 22:56:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126306602.718885.144290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118873

In the discussion of the ARS work during Katrina there is a distintion being lost, the difference between Valuable and Vital.

I am certain that somewhere postclean up some looking at disater plans is goingto look at just what value our service has provided

I have seen little in the back and forth that rises to level of Vital. Indeed would the world notice a difference in the suffering if the ARS had not existed for Katrina. even the people we help would likely not be aware of the difference after all a ham radio message seem to come to them as a bolt from the blue since our profile in the world is low.

agin I se our service as valuable but despensable, the served agencies could funtion without us supplies would still be moved

This isn't to trash the ARS but someone not freindly to our interests will be asking these questions, we would be better if we had thought about em first

948. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 23:03:18 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <af44i11v0c7ctk7i01dt8622vg1u8uibc2@4ax.com>
Source record: 118874
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:
>> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
>> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.

Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.

>> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
>> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about

In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
abound.

>> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
>> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along

Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely unaware
of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to the
point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after they
were rescued through Ham operator efforts.

>But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
>thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
>CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
>I.

From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such
suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
communications.

>The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
>report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
>the level of critcal

You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.

To Others,

I've rummaged up at least a couple of dozen references to the Ham
activities from a variety of news outlets (and not just the bobbing
heads of salacious cable TV). It would do us all well to stockpile
such commendations to "remind" authorities who so quickly forget in
the face of those who can stuff their pockets with money-for-airspace.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

949. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 00:15:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126311329.419267.80600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118875

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > You should also
> > > bear in mind that nothing in the regulations under which you operate,
> > > mandates that you do so.
> >
> > Many things are not in the regulations. None-the-less, you should
> > abide by "Good Amateur Practice." Riley says it's enforceable, and
> > you're back in America now.
> >
> > You are responsible for making sure that your
> > > own signal is where it is supposed to be.
> >
> > Indeed I am. But that completely misses the case in point, your case.
>
> Dave is good at evading the point and talking about everything in sight
> and beyond but the point at hand

At least he's good at something.

950. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 00:27:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126312044.867562.141330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118876

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>> I ridicule many things.
> >>>
> >>>Bravo!
> >>
> >> You do too!
> >
> > I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
>
> So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
> course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?
>
> Are religious radicals sacred?
>
> Okay, let me make fun of atheists.....
>
> Q. What is the worst part about being an atheist?
>
> A. No one to talk to during Orgasm.....
>
> >>>> So where do we stop, Brian?
> >>>
> >>>Has it started?
> >>
> >> Oh absolutely.
> >>
> >>>>Is it when they call for the government to
> >>>>assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?
> >>>
> >>>Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life?
> >>
> >>No it wasn't. It was when Pat Robertson called for the US to assassinate
> >>Chavez. I'm not talking about politicos, I'm talking about religious
> >>leaders.
> >
> >
> > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due

> > process was set up by evil religious people.
>
> Ummm, where?
>
> >>> Clinton sent a
> >>>couple of missiles into an emply office building to show that you can't
> >>>just go around threatening the President.
> >>
> >>>Is it when
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>they call for holy war?
> >>>
> >>>Yeh, I think we should draw the line at Jihad.
> >>
> >> There ya go!
> >
> > Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
> > call for Jihad.
>
> Where do you get this stuff Brian? I don't like any religion that tries
> to impress it's beliefs on others, that engages in killing in the name
> of God, and in general allows people of "faith" to use that faith to
> cause harm.
>
> >>>>Is it when they launch inquisitions?
> >>>
> >>>Saddam confessed to crimes.
> >>
> >>Not Saddam. But religious leaders have often had purges, inquisitions,
> >>and the like.
> >
> > Those damned religious people. I wonder if non-religious people have
> > ever committed atrocities.
>
> Oh yes! Only not as many as are given Gods supposed sanction.
>
> >>>Kill people
> >>>
> >>>>in the name of an Exorcism? Is it when a Catholic priest is expelled
> >>>
> >>>>from the UAR after serving a jail sentence for the crime of evangelizing
> >>>
> >>>>without a permit? Is it when the preacher stands in the pulpit and
> >>>>declares that if you vote for a certain political party, you have to
> >>>>leave the church? And in my own case, where the local fundies put such a
> >>>>stranglehold on the school system that not only is evolution not taught
> >>>>at all, but that no teaching about any dinosaurs or any animal that
> >>>>didn't live in modern time was taught or discussed.
> >>>

> >>>Abuses in publice education? Couldn't be.
> >>
> >> Yup. In the name of religion.
> >
> > Which religion?
>
> Many of 'em
>
> >>>Public education is the grand indoctrination that our children receive
> >>>from the left.
> >>
> >> Yeah, I keep hearing that. My experience has been otherwise. The far
> >>right controlled education and what we learned in my little burg.
> >>
> >> Keep blaming everything on liberals. It's almost as easy as religion.
> >
> > ...as blaming on religion? You tell me.
> >
> >>>>My "sex ed" class
> >>>>consisted of one session of naming of various STDs, and that was it.
> >>>>That is it. less than an hour. I've seen the other side, and it isn't
> >>>>pretty.
> >>>
> >>>What did your parent's tell you?
> >>
> >> Nutthin.
> >
> > OIC. You blame religion for not teaching you things that your parents
> > are responsible for teaching you, but when your parents fall down on
> > the job, it's still the religious people who are blamed. You're double
> > standard is multi-faceted.
>
> I gotta repeat, where do you get this stuff?
>
> >>I got my education at the public library, reading the books
> >>that the far right wanted the library to get rid of. It was nice of them
> >>to give out the titles though.
> >
> > Gay stuff? Recently, one upstanding citizen removed all of the
> > "alternative lifestyle" free newspapers from the lobby of a public
> > library. Said he didn't want his children to have to walk past it.
>
> Where do you get this stuff Brian? Not one piece of literature I read
> was anything anywhere near Gay stuff.
>
> >>>> There is one problem with religious tolerance, and that is that many
> >>>>religions have no concept of tolerance themselves.
> >>>
> >>>Fine. It's called seperation of Church and State. We have that and
> >>>more. As rights are denied to Christians, the pendulum begins to swing

> >>>the other way.
> >>
> >>Problem is that what some Christians consider their right, is to deprive
> >>others of their rights.
> >
> > Odd. I just reread the Bill of Rights, and I see no right to sex
> > education. For that matter, I see no right to education at all. As
> > such, it is a parental obligation to educate you or to pay to have you
> > educated.
>
> Where do you get this stuff Brian? Who is talking about the bill of
> rights? But if you wanna ask questions, is there something in the Bill
> of rights about banning literature that is not indecent?
>
> >> I've been in a religion controlled community. I know how they act when
> >>they are allowed to.
> >
> > Get out.
>
> I did.
>
> >>>Lock up people who make threats against other people and quit whining
> >>>to me about it.
> >>
> >> I have no idea what you mean here
> >
> > Robertson vs Chavez.
> >
> >>>>>Aren't you supposed to be an educated man?
> >>>>
> >>>> Supposed to be. Didn't find out about a lot of things that I should
> >>>>have known until after I graduated High School.
> >>>>
> >>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>>You weren't supposed to learn everything in high school. That's why we
> >>>have colleges. Know anyone who's living depends on other people
> >>>thinking there's more to learn?
> >>
> >> So it's okay to have forbidden knowledge?
> >
> > Some issues may not be appropriate to teach in public school. For
> > example, I draw the line at "intro to Jihad," "intro to bomb making,"
> > and "intro to the combustible properties of effigies of the President
> > of the USA."
> >
> > Meanwhile, I trust that your personal oddysey into human sexual
> > intercourse education was a success?
> >
> >>Dinosaurs are dangerous? What

> >>threat does a dinosaur have to religion?
> >
> > Don't know - never seen one. Never seen God, for what that's worth.
> >
> >>>Life is a life-long learning experience. Learn something today.
> >>
> >> Always do!
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > Hey, Joslyn Elders advocated teaching masturbation to school children.
> > You should look her up. You guys might make a dynamic duo on the sex
> > ed scene.
>
> Good one Brian! Okay, I'll let you have the last word on this exchange now.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

You begin by talking specifics about why the bible is for fools, then
you back out to generalities about all religions, carry a chip on your
shoulder for not getting what you wanted out of a public education, and
now you bail.

I can see that you're a deep thinker when it comes to discussing other
people's faith, having none of your own. Congrats.

951. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 00:40:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126312841.474797.34530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118877

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with in-
> > > breeding.
> >
> > That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
> > polygamy or polyandry.
>
> Most of the polygamy colonies in Utah are fiercely close and shun
> outsiders, Jim. Where's the fresh DNA come from?

Kidnapping?

> > The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
> > society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one man
> > joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws of the
> > various levels of government.
>
> And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
> intra-family breeding.

Most societies (cultures) define "marriage" that way. How would Jim
have it defined?

> > There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as long as
> > those involved don't demand government sanction and protection.
>
> Common sense and the health and welfare of the children will
> prevent it, Jim.

Multi"-spouse" arrangements are widespread in the welfare communities,
without the benefit of official government sanction, but ultimitely
with government (tax-payer) support.

952. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 00:51:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126313485.074036.133460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118878

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
> > > > shoudl polyandry be banned?

> > > Let's start of with inability to support the extended
> > > family for one.
>
> > Why would that be a concern? We don't prevent people from
> > having lots of kids they cannot afford.

> How many families of 20 or more children do you know of in
> Pennsylvania, Jim?

Actually, there are a couple who have made the papers - by
adoption and foster care. Of course they have enormous
resources, usually.

Point is, there's no law against having lots of kids, regardless
of whether the family can support them.

> > Many if not most families-with-children I know have
> > all the adults
> > working outside the home. Having more adults available
> > would make things easier, not harder.

> But these guys don't LET the women work...They are expected to
> stay at home and multiply...Period.

That's not because of polygamy.

> > > The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities
> > > is abject poverty.

> > But is that due to the polygamy, or due to other factors
> > such as rejection by the mainstream culture?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh.........How many families with more than
> three or four kids do you know of that "lives well", Jim?

Several! Of course the parents have good incomes. But that's
not the point.

> > > Follow that with chronic medical problems associated
> > > with in-breeding.

> > That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
> > polygamy or polyandry.
>
> Most of the polygamy colonies in Utah are fiercely
> close and shun outsiders, Jim.

Sure - because what they're doing is illegal.

> Where's the fresh DNA come from?

Suppose - just suppose - "multispousing" was legal. Would those
problems continue?

> > The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
> > society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one > > man joined in a
legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws
> > of the various levels of government.

> And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
> intra-family breeding.

I disagree 100%.

> > There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as
> > long as those involved don't demand government sanction
> > and protection.
>
> Common sense and the health and welfare of the children will
> prevent it, Jim.

Not really. The big pressures are simple economics and peer
pressure. Plus the fact that there aren't many people who
would put up with the inherent relationship inequality of sharing a
spouse.

> > > I am sure the "evil religious people" he was
> > > refring to were the
> > > Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves
> > > to put ambiguous language
> > > about "seperation of church and state" in
> > > the Constitution, however
> > > liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the
> > > political process.

> > Where?

> Start with your folding money.

You mean "in God we trust"? Just a catchphrase, not even
specific to Christianity.

> > Most of the Founders were Deists. They also cherished
> > religious liberty

> > and did not want churches to be supported by tax dollars.
>
> As well they shouldn't.

It was common at the time. In colonial times, the dominant
churches were usually supported by taxes (Pennsylvania was
one exception).

> However Christian principles were the
> basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early
> American law.

"Christian principles"? Which ones?

They allowed slavery. They did not let women vote. They did not
treat the native population as citizens, and in some cases not
even as human beings.

How "Christian" is any of that?

At least they didn't burn witches anymore.

> Who among them could have foretold the silliness
> that prevails in today's "religious" pursuits?

You mean like the attempts to suppress real science
and support pseudoscience?

73 de Jim, N2EY

953. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 01:17:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126315066.262040.67480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118879
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:
> On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
> >> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
> >> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
>
> Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.

I have seencoverage of H&W traffic on the news
>
> >> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
> >> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
>
> In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
> abound.

Cops dispatched by Ham radio? I don't think so
>
> >> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
> >> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
>
> Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely unaware
> of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to the
> point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
> half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after they
> were rescued through Ham operator efforts.

well that is news
>
> >But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> >thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> >CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> >I.
>
> From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
> would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such
> suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
> communications.

Virgina? I don't live in Virgina

but in responf to a quip about the shelters in Montgomery being linked
by Ham radio HF would hardly seem likely to be involved

>
> >The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> >report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> >the level of critcal
>
> You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.

Auf Anglish Bitte?

>
> To Others,
>
> I've rummaged up at least a couple of dozen references to the Ham
> activities from a variety of news outlets (and not just the bobbing
> heads of salacious cable TV). It would do us all well to stockpile
> such commendations to "remind" authorities who so quickly forget in
> the face of those who can stuff their pockets with money-for-airspace.

Indeed we should, we should also make sure they are accurate
>
> 73's
> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

954. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 01:18:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126315119.268656.28930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118880

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > > You are responsible for making sure that your
> > > > own signal is where it is supposed to be.
> > >
> > > Indeed I am. But that completely misses the case in point, your case.
> >
> > Dave is good at evading the point and talking about everything in sight
> > and beyond but the point at hand
>
> At least he's good at something.

he is also good at being a bad example

955. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 01:24:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126315469.905254.112590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118881

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
cut
> > > Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with in-
> > > breeding.
> >
> > That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
> > polygamy or polyandry.
>
> Most of the polygamy colonies in Utah are fiercely close and shun
> outsiders, Jim. Where's the fresh DNA come from?

then the problem is clearly self limiting
>
> > The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
> > society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one man
> > joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws of the
> > various levels of government.
>
> And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
> intra-family breeding.

and most of it is the imposition of Christain morality on those that
they could not convince of it
>
> > There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as long as
> > those involved don't demand government sanction and protection.
>
> Common sense and the health and welfare of the children will
> prevent it, Jim.

gee Polygamous societis exists for centuries Japan for example had an
esscaily polygamous system for about 1000 years till the Mengi
restorain

The Japanesse did ok rising a couple of generation to Challenge the
Mightof theUSA itself
>
> > > I am sure the "evil religious people" he was refring to were the
> > > Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves to put ambiguous language
> > > about "seperation of church and state" in the Constitution, however
> > > liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the political process.

> >
> > Where?
>
> Start with your folding money.
>
> > Most of the Founders were Deists. They also cherished religious liberty
> > and did not want churches to be supported by tax dollars.
>
> As well they shouldn't. However Christian principles were the
> basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early American
> law.
>
> Who among them could have foretold the silliness that prevails in
> today's "religious" pursuits?

ah yes the voice of tolerance speaks, beliefs other than his own are
silly
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

956. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 01:24:57 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <432235F3.4050402@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118882

John Smith wrote:
> Morris:
>
> Without a doubt, and with only a quick overview of the K1MAN vs. ARRL
> issue, it is apparent that either ARRL must mend its ways or K1MAN be
> allowed to continue in some form.
>
> Once a great man, which I USED TO RESPECT, asked me, and point blank, "Who
> says the world has to be fair." Of course, I knew the correct answer, "I
> DO!"
>
> John
>
> On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 05:30:45 -0700, Morris wrote:
>
>
>>He's crossed the line numerous times: doing foreign policy, defaming
>>Riley Hollingsworth, going commercial. But he has a case
>>
>>When Ervin Duggan was FCC Chief Commissioner in the 80s, Baxter
>>received two NALs similar to the present. Dutifully, he spent a great
>>deal of time on his response, serving same on the FCC by regular mail.
>>When the mail was "lost," Baxter resorted to Federal Express with no
>>better result.
>>
>>So, here was an agency, pre-Hollingsworth, that had issed two NALs and
>>had purposely sandbagged K1MAN's answer -- with good reason.
>>
>>In his answer, Baxter cited two documents of probative value. One was
>>a letter to Kenneth Black of Ulmerton, UK, responding to his complaint,
>>that gave K1MAN's operation a clean bill of health, equating it to W1AW
>>bulletins. It was signed by Robert McNamara, Chief of the FCC,
>>then-Private Radio Bureau.
>>
>>The other was a declaratory order on the subject of the length of
>>Information Bulletins with a footnote that referred to W1AW published
>>schedules. The essence of the order was, information bulletins cannot
>>be proscribed by time limits since they are so varied. Rightly or
>>wrongly, Baxter has drawn his authority to come on when he does from
>>that Order's footnote.
>>
>>Small wonder the FCC disregarded K1MAN's answer, which essentially held
>>that the issue of the NALs had already been settled in his favor. In
>>legal argot, res judicata.

>>
>>Though most hams, including myself, want to march Baxter right out of
>>this service, justice dictates something else. The FCC, it seems to
>>this commentator, has the burden of first addressing its previous NALs
>>of the 80s which were never resolved.
>>
>>An agency of government simply cannot throw a flurry of similar charges
>>at a citizen, selectively unresponsive to those that can be defended,
>>while arbitrarily limiting its attention to subsequent charges.
>>Certainly, to the extent the previous NALs are similar, the legal
>>principle of collaterol estoppel comes into play in Baxter's behalf.
>>
>>I don't like what Baxter does any more than you. Indeed, I think he
>>lost his way years ago. But if we're to have justice for all in these
>>United States, then we must afford K1MAN justice. Let's not throw out
>>the baby with the bath water.
>>
>>Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>
>
In my opinion the problem has been greatly exacerbated by the FCC timidity.
I think they were afraid to make a ruling based on content not being
information bulletins and waited for other grounds (as well as numerous
complaints). Having heard K1MAN I would say that the only portions of
his broadcasts that meet any reasonable definition of information
bulletins were rebroadcasts of ARRL bulletins or the RAIN report. The
rest bore no resemblance to the intent of the rules (my opninion
obviously, but I think it reasonably represents the content).
While I sometimes think Dan is more rude than he should be, he is dead
on here. If you heard MAN even once you would never equate what he did
with what W1AW does.
John

957. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 01:27:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126315636.657908.100710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118883

```
Let the day be marked down I Mark Morgan have found a post from N2EY
that agree with every word of
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
cut
```

958. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 01:31:49 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <43223790.30107@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118884

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39
>>
>>>> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>>>>Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
>>>>of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
>>>>MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>>>>Amateur Radio.
>>>
>>> "Patriarch?!?" Not I, never having met in-person any of
>>> those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
>>> Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable.
>>
>> In other words, you're ducking. Amateur Radio is doing exactly
>>what you said it can't won't do.
>
>
> It is "ducking" to disclaim a title conferred by your enemy
>
> and ARs is still not providing vital coms
>
> cut excess hot air suggesting perhaps it be redirected to NO to help
> dry the place out
>
>> And YOU are the one who always claims that Amateur Radio isn't the
>>"force" that it's own PR folks claim simply because there's not "major
>>media" coverage corroborating it.
>>
>> Whelp...I consider Fox News, CNN, The Wall Street Journal, MSN,
>>etc, to be those "major media" resources.
>
>
> I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
> the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
> important but nothing life saving
>
> cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before
>
Than it is the news coverage that has missed the boat. I have listened
(BTW first rule for an emergency net, listen, don't transmit, unless

your help is needed) to the SATERN net (14.265) and heard relays of quite a number of life saving messages - e.g. someone at such and such an address needs to be rescued. Sounds like life saving to me.
John

959. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 01:38:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126316310.702468.8480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118885

John wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Morris:
cut
> >
> >
> In my opinion the problem has been greatly exacerbated by the FCC timidity.

Sadly I say you dead on here without hearing any of K1MAN's stuff

> I think they were afraid to make a ruling based on content not being
> information bulletins and waited for other grounds (as well as numerous
> complaints). cutting brevieity
> While I sometimes think Dan is more rude than he should be, he is dead
> on here. If you heard MAN even once you would never equate what he did
> with what W1AW does.

It was me that dan was flaming for not having heard K1MAN

but you might usrprises I happen to believe all views have the right to
be heard even if say K1MAN's "information" was a set of talking points
for the American NAZI party with some window dressing conecting to the
ARS in the absense of rules I would likely grudgingly support him,
since I am conviced leting the govt engage in any kind of censorship is
far more dangerous than anything K1MAN can possiblity manage
> John

960. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 02:00:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126317629.912394.165990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118886

John wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
cut
> > I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
> > the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
> > important but nothing life saving
> >
> > cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before
> >
> Than it is the news coverage that has missed the boat. I have listened
> (BTW first rule for an emergency net, listen, don't transmit, unless
> your help is needed) to the SATERN net (14.265) and heard relays of
> quite a number of life saving messages - e.g. someone at such and such
> an address needs to be rescued. Sounds like life saving to me.
> John
did you catch/record a city or such?

one of the reasons I keep asking and pressing is to help make sure we
as Hams know these things for the day when we will need them

961. Power Company Interference and the Law?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 02:18:06 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@removebeforeflight.com>
Message-ID: <ynrUe.9596$_84.6438@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118887

Can some point to me specific laws regarding interference caused buy power companies?

This has nothing to do with BPL but regular powerline noise. Been having some problems here and before I go farther I want to know what the law actually says regarding this issue.

Thanks
George

962. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 02:31:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126319468.565808.133130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118888

LETTER TO G4WNE FROM FCC SPECIAL SERVICES
DIVISION CHIEF Robert H. Mc Namara - 2 November 1989:

Dear Mr. Black:

This responds to your letter to Chairman Alfred C. Sikes

concerning Mr. Glenn A. Baxter, licensee of amateur radio

station K1MAN. You state that station K1MAN interferes with

your communications by transmitting recorded one-way

communications.

We are familiar with the nature of the transmissions by K1MAN
and find that they fall in the same category as the information
bulletins transmitted by amateur station W1AW, which is
licensed to the American Radio Relay League's Headquarters
Operators' Club. Amateur service information bulletins are
authorized by Section 97.111(b)(6) of the Commissions's rules,
47 C.F.R. Paragraph 97.111(b)(6).

I trust this is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Robert H. Mc Namara
Chief, Special Services Division
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

963. AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS FILLING COMMUNICATION GAPS IN GULF REGION from today's ARRL Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 02:57:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2YrUe.8325$Wd7.5020@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118889

==>AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS FILLING COMMUNICATION GAPS IN GULF REGION

Hundreds of Amateur Radio operators from the Gulf Coast and elsewhere in the US continue to volunteer their skills and expertise as the Hurricane Katrina relief effort heads into its third week. ARRL Section Managers (SMs) and Section Emergency Coordinators (SECs) across and around the affected region have been teleconferencing daily to keep their efforts on the same page. In the field, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) and other volunteers are assisting as needed to support communication for relief agencies as well as for state and local government and even the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Louisiana SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, says Amateur Radio was the only means for state officials at the state emergency operations center (EOC) in Baton Rouge to communicate earlier this week with the so-called "Florida parishes" above Lake Pontchartrain.

"We have had praise from one end of Louisiana to the other about Amateur Radio operators," Stratton said. "There was a communication to the EOC in Baton Rouge from FEMA that said, 'Ham radio is our prime communications with you, and they should get anything they need,' so FEMA recognizes the importance of ham radio." He also recounted how state officials arriving at the EOC were using ham radio to get through to their hard-hit parishes.

A marshaling center has been established in Covington, Louisiana. ARES has been continuing to support Red Cross shelter and Southern Baptist Convention debris-clearing in St Tammany parish, as well as Baptist Men's Kitchen canteen operations. In Washington Parish, ARES volunteers--including more than a dozen from South Texas--have been providing critical communication among hospitals and the parish EOC, among other functions. Field teams were continuing to use HF to maintain communication with the EOC in Baton Rouge.

Stratton, who's temporarily handed over his SEC duties to former Louisiana SM Al Oubre, K5SDG, said that while things are going along okay right now, he foresees a need for additional operators down the road, once closed areas are reopened. "One of the biggest problems we're going to have is relief for the operators who have been down there [in the affected parishes]," he said.

"New Orleans is, of course, our next thrust, and we're going to have to have to staff recovery efforts down there, but it'll be a different kind of recovery effort," Stratton predicted. "We'll be supporting the EOC in Baton Rouge with temporary communications until the National Guard can get in."

Stratton said Amateur Radio has even had to loan some government agencies their communication gear because their own didn't function. "It's been an eye-opener to me operating in the EOC down there how terribly their equipment operates," he said.

In Mississippi, ARES operators have been helping to maintain communication among hospitals, EOCs and shelters. ARES District Emergency Coordinator Tom Hammack, W4WLF, reported operators were sleeping on the floor when off duty. State RACES Officer and ARES DEC Ron Brown, AB5WF, was setting up a staging area for Amateur Radio volunteers near the Mississippi Emergency Management Agency in Jackson.

SECs in the US Gulf advise volunteers signing up for duty in the hurricane-stricken zones to coordinate with their home SECs and, once given the go-ahead, arrive as self-sufficient as possible. "If you need it, you bring it," advised Alabama SEC Jay Isbell, KA4KUN. Volunteers have come from all over the US.

Isbell said each Red Cross feeding unit was turning out 25,000 to 30,000 meals a day. "They still need communication," he said. Local amateurs in the affected areas were handling some of the tactical communication on VHF.

A staging area in Montgomery, Alabama, continues to process and orient Amateur Radio volunteers for American Red Cross and other duty in Louisiana and Mississippi. Some volunteers will help support communication at Red Cross shelters set up for evacuees, while others will provide tactical communication for feeding stations or for emergency management. Alabama SM Greg Sarratt, W4OZK, has been coordinating ham radio volunteers at the Montgomery site.

Norm North Jr, WA1DBR, of Arkansas, was deployed to a Red Cross shelter in Biloxi, Mississippi, where he managed to squeeze in some health-and-welfare messages among the emergency traffic.

North says typical requests included pleas from mothers trying to find missing children, youngsters looking for parents and other trying to get word to families and loved ones that they'd survived the storm and were at the shelter. "Many messages got through," North said, "and I received many thanks and hugs."

As conventional telecommunications starts coming back to life, traffic has been slowing on the major regional HF emergency net--the West Gulf ARES Emergency Net on 7.285 MHz days and 3.873 MHz nights. As a result, the net announced September 9 that it would secure routine operation at 0600 UTC September 10. An open net will be maintained on 3.862 MHz after that.

West Gulf ARES Emergency Net Manager Lee Franks, N5FP (ex-AD5IS), says the net passed traffic as recently as September 7 about a man trapped in an attic in Arabi [Louisiana]. "We're still getting a trickle of messages like this," he said earlier this week. "As communications are reestablished via landline and VHF-UHF links in that area, there has been less demand on our net--but I'd call it an absolute, tremendous success what we have done."

There's more information on Amateur Radio's Hurricane Katrina response on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org>.

==>ARRL HEADQUARTERS RESPONDING TO HURRICANE KATRINA ON SEVERAL FRONTS

As the magnitude of Hurricane Katrina's devastation in human terms became evident along the US Gulf Coast, activities at ARRL Headquarters ramped up into crisis mode. The immediate challenges were many and seemed to multiply by the minute. Under the leadership of ARRL Chief Operating Officer Harold Kramer, WJ1B--an experienced Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) Emergency Coordinator--and Special Assistant to the CEO Dave Patton, NN1N, ARRL staff members mustered to focus their energies on responding to the needs of ham radio volunteers. Kramer says the overarching goal at ARRL Headquarters has been to support its Field Organization of ARES and other volunteers.

"Their main job is to support the served agencies, such as the American Red Cross, The Salvation Army, FEMA," Kramer said. "Because this is over such a widespread area, a lot of the Amateur Radio infrastructure in that area got destroyed, so we're having to bring in operators from further out--as well as equipment." With a lack of communication cited as the largest obstacle to rescue and relief efforts, ARES members--with support from Headquarters--began bridging the gap immediately.

The sheer size of the geographical region affected by the disaster and the dearth of communication put ARRL Headquarters in the somewhat unusual role of serving as a clearing house for various aspects of the response. Activities include helping to recruit volunteers, coordinating equipment donations, and working with regulatory agencies and the news media. A daily conference call has brought together Headquarters personnel and Section Managers (SMs) and Section Emergency Coordinators (SECs) from the affected region to provide situation reports, compare notes and request any assistance they need from ARRL Headquarters.

"We don't normally have to do that much support for the Field Organization," Kramer pointed out.

ARRL has been receiving donations and offers of equipment and services for use in the Hurricane Katrina relief effort. Some two dozen members of the Amateur Radio industry and individual radio amateurs have contributed gear. The donations were made as gifts to ARRL, which is redirecting these resources as needed to the disaster zone. Some donors have offered valuable services and supplies.

"The ARRL would like to thank everyone who has generously donated Amateur Radio equipment, accessories and supplies," said Kramer. Some of the equipment already on hand has been or soon will be deployed to assist relief agencies such as the American Red Cross as well as state and local emergency managers, he said.

Key ARRL staff members have been meeting on a daily basis--including over the Labor Day holiday weekend--to help keep track of events and relief-related initiatives as they progress. Over the Labor Day holiday weekend, ARRL Headquarters employees volunteered to staff Maxim Memorial Station W1AW around the clock and to keep telephone (860-594-0200) and e-mail communication open <katrina@arrl.org>.

The activity at W1AW also provided a focal point for local news media. On more than one occasion, TV crews showed up at HQ for a story about how Amateur Radio was doing its part in the disaster. Major "media hits" included a favorable article in the Wall Street Journal September 6. Another turned up on MSNBC's Web site, and a third on the Computerworld Web site.

ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, volunteered to head into the hurricane strike zone. He checked in at the American Red Cross ham radio support volunteer center in Montgomery, Alabama, on September 6. Motschenbacher has a complete HF station as well as sufficient supplies to stay in the field for a couple of weeks. He was expected to be deployed to help in Mississippi.

ARRL Media and Public Relations Manager Allen Pitts, W1AGP, also has headed to Montgomery to coordinate with national news media there.

end quote

Dave K8MN

964. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 03:13:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126321989.064739.218130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118890

anyone sating that there has been any real change in the content of
K1MAN since th edtae given here 2 NOV 89
N9OGL wrote:
> LETTER TO G4WNE FROM FCC SPECIAL SERVICES
> DIVISION CHIEF Robert H. Mc Namara - 2 November 1989:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Dear Mr. Black:
>
>
>
>
>
> This responds to your letter to Chairman Alfred C. Sikes
>
>
> concerning Mr. Glenn A. Baxter, licensee of amateur radio
>
>
> station K1MAN. You state that station K1MAN interferes with
>
>
> your communications by transmitting recorded one-way
>
>
> communications.
>
>
>
>
>
> We are familiar with the nature of the transmissions by K1MAN
> and find that they fall in the same category as the information
> bulletins transmitted by amateur station W1AW, which is
> licensed to the American Radio Relay League's Headquarters
> Operators' Club. Amateur service information bulletins are
> authorized by Section 97.111(b)(6) of the Commissions's rules,
> 47 C.F.R. Paragraph 97.111(b)(6).
>

>
> I trust this is responsive to your inquiry.
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
> (signed)
>
> Robert H. Mc Namara
> Chief, Special Services Division
> Federal Communications Commission
> Washington, D.C. 20554

965. Re: THANKS HOUSTON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 03:34:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050909233401.323$aP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 118891
Cross-posted to: alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> <jprados469@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1126220485.649179.226440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dear Houston,
> >
> > Thanks for taking our filthy niggers away from here! Thank God for
> > Katrina!!
> >
> > Love,
> > New Orleans
> >
>
> Enjoy drinking the water much?
>
Put a lawn feeding guy right out of business!

966. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 06:33:47 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hqs4i1tdb3tft3jd29fcjjj4mo3dekhm9i@4ax.com>
Source record: 118892
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 9 Sep 2005 18:17:46 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>> You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.
>
>Auf Anglish Bitte?

All Things Considered, August 30, 2005 ï¿½
While cell phones and other communication networks were demolished
by Hurricane Katrina, a group of radio operators volunteering with the
Red Cross have been instrumental in assistance and relief efforts.

NORTH LITTLE ROCK -
... Temple is president of the Central Arkansas Radio Emergency Net.
When Hurricane Katrina knocked over cell phone towers and utility
lines, ham radio became a key communications tool.
Temple says ham radio operators have acted as go-betweens for
Louisiana hospitals needing help and Arkansas aid workers.

Ham radio operators provide vital link after storm
Thursday September 01, 2005
ROANOKE, Va. (AP) ... Then an urgent voice sliced through the static,
clear as a bell.
``I have a diabetic, 80 years old, out of food and water for the
last 24 hours,'' it said.
An elderly woman from Bush, La., was stranded in her home after
Hurricane Katrina and desperate for food and insulin. Somebody sent
the message out over the air. Other voices picked up the call and it
was relayed all across America until, with luck, it would reach a
local rescue squad.

Ham Radio Operators Rise to Occasion of Another Disaster
BY CHUCK McCUTCHEON
c.2005 Newhouse News Service
Approximately 250 operators are in Louisiana working with the
American Red Cross and state emergency response officials, with others
waiting to enter the state when floodwaters recede ....
Sept. 1, 2005

Local Woman Helping Hurricane Victims Via Ham Radio
Aug 30, 2005, 08:00 PM
A woman in central Indiana took control of one of the most powerful
emergency networks anywhere to help those effected by Hurricane
Katrina.

Somehow, somewhere in the middle of all the hurricane mess, someone is broadcasting to the world probably operating on battery power and a makeshift antenna.
Tuesday night, Indiana handled the call.
For an hour, Peggy McNary took the post of "controller" of SATERN, Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network.... "A family called out on a cell phone, trapped in an attic. They got word to an amateur radio operator somehow just before they lost contact, SATERN was able to contact the Coast Guard and that family was rescued," she explained.
The network claims a hand in dozens of Katrina rescues already and will operate until there is no need for more.

Local ham-radio operators help rescue effort
By Rocky Scott
DEMOCRAT STAFF WRITER
Tallahassee ham-radio operators took part in the daring helicopter rescue Friday of about 1,500 patients and staff from two New Orleans hospitals besieged by darkness, dank water and gunfire.
...
Looting and gunfire erupted and 100,000 stranded, scared residents became a force ready to spill into anarchy.
Enter the Tallahassee Amateur Radio Club, Florida Division of Emergency Management and some old-fashioned ingenuity.
State Emergency Management officials suggested Hall contact the local ham radio club to solve the communications dilemma.
Urged by rapidly rising water - 8 feet deep in places - and the growing knowledge that New Orleans had become a drowning pool, the ham operators fashioned a satellite reception device atop an 8-story building in downtown Tallahassee.
Then three of them - Theo Titus, Gene Floyd and Bill Schmidt - all boarded a helicopter in Tallahassee Wednesday and headed for New Orleans.
Atop the garage at Tulane, they set up a generator-powered ham radio with a satellite uplink.
By Tuesday afternoon, the choppers were up and running, and Hall and his co-workers in Tallahassee were able to give directions to pilots....

Reported by Justin Cavey
Ham Radio Operators Invaluable in Times of Disaster

Volunteer Ham Radio Operators to Receive Grant To Enhance Emergency Communications in Hurricane Region
WASHINGTON, D.C. ï¿½ The Corporation for National and Community Service today announced a supplemental grant of $100,000 to the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) to support volunteer emergency communication operators who are helping out in Gulf Coast states affected by Hurricane Katrina.
"With the breakdown of regular communication channels caused by the storm, the services provided by volunteer ham radio operators is

vitally important, both to organizations and to individuals seeking to connect with loved ones," said David Eisner, CEO of the Corporation. "Weï¿½re pleased to be able to provide this extra assistance at this critical time."

Ham radio operators play role in communication
By TERESA RESSEL\Daily Journal Staff Writer
Amateur (or ham) radio operators are playing a major role in communications in the states hit hard by Hurricane Katrina. The wind and rain hampered other means of communication, so it was estimated as of Wednesday that 250 Amateur Radio Emergency Service members have been working with relief organizations and emergency management agencies to assist with communication.

Ham radio helps in emergencies
Updated: 9/3/2005 11:30 AM
By: Adam Shub, News 14 Carolina
SHELBY, N.C. -- Amateur radio operators are banding together to help the victims of Hurricane Katrina.

Ham radio provides a link between hurricane victims and loved ones in West Michigan
(Grand Rapids, September 1, 2005, 8:06 a.m.) Getting in touch with anyone in the hurricane-stricken areas is almost impossible. Telephone poles and cell phone towers have been knocked down or washed away. The lack of power means no e-mail, either. But a group of people in West Michigan has a way to get through.

Ham Radio Operators Provide Lifeline During Disaster
Radio Operators Can Communicate In Stricken Areas
POSTED: 5:51 pm EDT September 1, 2005
MEREDITH, N.H.
... ham radio operators from New Orleans to Utah coordinated the effort to guide a Coast Guard helicopter to rescue more than a dozen trapped people.

As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
By Andrea Kelly
ARIZONA DAILY STAR
When all else fails, it's ham radio to the rescue. Across Arizona, ham radio operators are helping sustain Hurricane Katrina relief efforts by helping storm-torn communities communicate with rescue workers....

HAM radio operators reach out
Some messages have been sent
WJRT By Joel Doepker
Saginaw - (09/01/05)
...
In one case, a victim in New Orleans called a family member outside the area for help. That person then contacted an amateur radio

operator who contacted rescue crews, who saved more than a dozen people from a rooftop.

Relief Effort
Many Pleas For Aid Go Out
Rescue Teams and Clean Water Needed
By Elizabeth Williamson
Washington Post Staff Writer
Thursday, September 1, 2005; Page A21
... "I was just a relay kind of station. The message got transmitted on into the Coast Guard, who handled the rescue," said Fillinger, 78, whose ham radio unit is based in his hilltop home in Portland, Ore. "By the evening, we got confirmation that the group had been rescued," including an 81-year-old woman that Fillinger knows only as Helen.

Ham radio volunteers help re-establish communications after Katrina
Some 700 operators are already at work, with more on the way
News Story by Todd R. Weiss
... Many of the volunteers sprung into action even before the storm struck the Gulf Coast, broadcasting as part of a "Hurricane Watch-Net" three days before deadly Hurricane Katrina slammed into the coast on Aug. 29, Pitts said

Ham radio operator tunes in to help victims
By EDIE HALL
The Kansas City Star
... Since Hurricane Katrina devastated the Gulf Coast, Goll, 81, has been getting messages to agencies such as the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the American Red Cross and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Ham radio operators to the rescue after Katrina
Amateur radio networks help victims of the hurricane
By Gary Krakow
Columnist
MSNBC
... Such rescues were repeated over and over again. Another ham was part of the mix that same Monday when he heard over the same Salvation Army emergency network of a family of five trapped in an attic in Diamond Head, La. The family used a cell phone to call out. Bob Rathbone, AG4ZG, in Tampa, says he checked the address on a map and determined it was in an area struck by a storm surge.
He called the Coast Guard search-and-rescue station in Clearwater, explained the situation and relayed the information. At this point, the Coast Guard office in New Orleans was out of commission. An hour later he received a return call from the South Haven Sheriffï¿½s Department in Louisiana, which informed him a rescue operation was under way.
Another search-and-rescue operation involved two adults and a child stuck on a roof. The person was able to send a text message from a

cell phone to a family member in Michigan. Once again, the Coast Guard handled the call.

Ham radio operator tunes in to help victims
By EDIE HALL
The Kansas City Star
... he said. "I also got a message from a group of FEMA workers who were trapped on a bridge that was about to collapse and we got them out of there."

.... hopefully this is written in suitably understandable English.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

967. Today is Saturn's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 11:53:49 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <shriveled.up.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 118893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Today is named after the Roman god of time and the harvest, Saturn. The Anglo-Saxons had no corresponding god of time, so they chose the Roman god and called their sabbath day saterdaeg. Saturn was so pleased with the Anglo-Saxon honor that he created a new class of men from within their ranks, the Time Lords. Those chosen men could travel through time and could influence the present by changing the past. Therefore, they had to be keen of intellect and pure of heart and could never reveal the true extent of their powers. They hid their abilities by taking up menial jobs and appearing to be ordinary men.

They are with us even to this day, because they are immortal.

Yet they have the failings of ordinary men and can lose their tempers when provoked. If you provoke a Time Lord to the point where he is truly angry, legend says that he will alter your genetic past, causing you to shrivel up into a microcephalic, long-haired dwarf and to subsequently go insane.

And now you know what happened to Woger.

====
"Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him?" St. Paul, 1 Corinthians 11:14

968. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 11:57:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126353425.965486.150370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118894

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
> > > > > shoudl polyandry be banned?

There are some points I forgot to include in my previous response.

> > The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
> > society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one > > man
> > joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the
> > laws of the
> > various levels of government.
>
> And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
> intra-family breeding.
>
> > There's nothing to prevent a

simultaneous

> > multi-spouse arrangement, as
> > long as
> > those involved don't demand government sanction and
> > protection.

In fact, it has become common for people to have multiple
spouses, just not simultaneously. There was a time when
divorce carried an enormous social stigma and was made
legally difficult in most places. That's all changed.

> However Christian principles were the
> basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early
> American law.

The polygamist folks you mention are all Christians. Not Muslims,
Jews, pagans, agnostics, Wiccans or atheists.

Do you know of any nonChristian groups in the USA advocating polygamy,
Steve?

--

The main obstacles to simultaneous-multi-spouse arrangements that I can see are:

- Peer/societal pressure
- Personal preference of most people regardless of religion
- It's tough enough for two people to get along in a marriage (how many US marriages end in divorce?). How are three or more supposed to make it work?

I'm not saying that polygamy or polyandry or any other multi-simultaneous-spouse situation should be legal or illegal. All I'm saying is that the laws governing marriage are not so much derived from "Christian" principles as they are derived from society's overall concept of family structure, regardless of religion.

73 de Jim, N2EY

969. Katrina Quotes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 12:01:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126353661.798680.171160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118895

http://specials.about.com/zxfcp0.htm?gs=politicalhumor&u=http://politicalhumor.about.com/od/currentevents/a/katrinaquotes.htm

970. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 13:06:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126357602.599200.94890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118896

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
> > > >
> > > > So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
> > > > course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?
> > >
> > > As many as they want? maybe not, as many as they can convince to marry
> > > sure, why not?
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhhh...it's against the law.
>
> so what?

That's exactly what I expect from the Pivot Man of the Feeble
Five.

> that law is wrong

No, it's not.

> indeed I think the morman could get it
> struck down as unconstitutional using much the same approuch as the gay
> right folks in striking at Amendment 2 in CO an the anti sodomy law of
> TX

"Morman" "approach"

No, they won't, and for the very reasons I stated.

> We see here your weakness shows up in the Code issue but dominates your
> life

My only "weakness" is my intolerance for liars and deceivers such
as yourself.

However we see YOUR weakness, which is to simply "allow" anything
that allows YOU to just do as you darn well please, and to Hell with
the consequences.

> Nothing may ever be allowed to change

Sure it can.

You're trying to perpetuate a LennieLie that has itself been
proven wrong over and over and over.

> > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> > > banned?
> >
> > Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> > one.
>
> if that is the issue you add an ecomonic test to the maaraige system,

"economic" "marriage"

Indeed there should be. I also believe there should be a license
to breed.

However it's statistically proven fact that the more mouths you
have to feed the less likely you are to be able to adequately provide
for ALL the needs of ALL the members of the family.

> > The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> > poverty. Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with
> > in-breeding.
>
> no one said anything about striking down other laws about cousins and
> stuff like that

I wish I knew what you were trying to say.

> more of Stevie as a religous bigot

It's got nothing to do with "religion", Markie.

"Polygamy" is NOT a religion. It IS a "practice" that some
religions encourage.

More evidence of Markie running his mouth off.

> further by what right do you dictate the level of economics in a
> counouity

WTF is a "counouity"...?!?!

And as for "dictating the level of economics", I didn't.

However the various state children's protective agencies draw the
poverty line based upon gross income and the number of souls in the
family.

No mention of religion...

> Utah before the banning of Polygamy was a stable reasonably properous
> splace, the towns where they practive it now out of sight lack the
> resources to support themselve wether mongamy or polygamy is rule

"prosperous" "place" "practice" "themselves" "monogamy"

They used to be "prosperous" when the economy was almost 90%
aggrarian.

Not so today. Most of those multi-spousal "families" are nothing
more than multiple-dwelling groups where "dad" can stay tonight and
procreate yet another mouth to feed.

> > > > > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> > > > > process was set up by evil religious people.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ummm, where?
> > > >
> > > > Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
> > > > good place to start
> > >
> > > Guess you missed the point, Markie...
> >
> > not at all

Absolutely at all.

> > > > > Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
> > > > > call for Jihad.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where do you get this stuff Brian? I don't like any religion that tries
> > > > > to impress it's beliefs on others, that engages in killing in the name
> > > > > of God, and in general allows people of "faith" to use that faith to
> > > > > cause harm.
> > > >
> > > > indeed then you are much a fan of Cristain beliefs then I take it
> > >
> > > "Christian" "than"
> > cut speeling cop

"SPELLING", you nitwit!

Sheesh! What an I D I O T ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

971. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 13:15:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126358104.855967.93680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118897

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> In fact, it has become common for people to have multiple
> spouses, just not simultaneously. There was a time when
> divorce carried an enormous social stigma and was made
> legally difficult in most places. That's all changed.

Yes, it has...and it shouldn't have, but then that's a trade-off to
civility that we surrendered for the "Sexual Revolution" in the 60's.

> > However Christian principles were the
> > basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early
> > American law.
>
> The polygamist folks you mention are all Christians.

Those particular ones are...Well..let me ammend that to say they
CLAIM they are...

> Not Muslims, Jews, pagans, agnostics, Wiccans or atheists.
>
> Do you know of any nonChristian groups in the USA advocating polygamy,
> Steve?

Not off the top of my head, Jim, but then even if there were, my
response would be the same.

> The main obstacles to simultaneous-multi-spouse arrangements that I can
> see are:
>
> - Peer/societal pressure
> - Personal preference of most people regardless of religion
> - It's tough enough for two people to get along in a marriage (how many
> US marriages end in divorce?). How are three or more
> supposed to make it work?
>
> I'm not saying that polygamy or polyandry or any other
> multi-simultaneous-spouse situation should be legal or illegal. All I'm
> saying is that the laws governing marriage are not so much derived from
> "Christian" principles as they are derived from
> society's overall concept of family structure, regardless of religion.

You still side-stepped the poverty issue, Jim.

And yes, laws governing marriage and the structure of the basic
family unit in THIS country were derived from Christian principles.

American History 101 refers.

73

Steve, K4YZ

972. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 13:21:54 UTC
From: John Smith <jsmith@jsmithdxprop.org>
Message-ID: <dfumiv$59l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118898

There is little doubt Baxter has several contacts (leaks, informants, etc.) inside the FCC. The commission continues to be powerless to rectify its internal security problems. Commercial interests have taken full advantage of FCC informants, and one can be certain over the years that Baxter has developed several credible sources of information inside the FCC. Just one case in point. Two commission employees in the Northeast/DC areas would become aware of imminent CB enforcement actions. They would email others specific details about the imminent enforcement effort, and worse yet, would discuss it on ham repeaters. Within hours, illegal CB equipment was pulled from shelves, and local freeband frequencies would go quiet. Legal CB channels, area ham repeaters, and email would be full of specific details of the pending enforcement actions. The same two commission employees served as valuable sources of information for commercial interests, allowing them lead time to prepare for FCC inspections. Overheard a ARRL official once say he guessed there were dozens of reliable sources of information inside the FCC.

973. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 13:23:04 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1126358584.224925.205250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118899
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Oops - forgot to cross-post to our friends in Yankland.....

11 years of posting under Outlook Express and similar
packages (Turnpike, DIS) mean dissimilar habits!

Polymath wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>
> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years

> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

974. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 13:25:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126358707.445082.283000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118800
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Richard Clark wrote:
> > On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> > >> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
> > >> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
> >
> > Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.
>
> I have seencoverage of H&W traffic on the news
> >
> > >> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
> > >> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
> >
> > In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
> > abound.
>
> Cops dispatched by Ham radio? I don't think so

Then get your "believer" fixed, boyo.

It's happened before, it's happening now.

> > >> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
> > >> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
> >
> > Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely unaware
> > of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to the
> > point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
> > half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after they
> > were rescued through Ham operator efforts.
>
> well that is news
> >
> > >But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> > >thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> > >CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> > >I.
> >
> > From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
> > would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such
> > suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
> > communications.

>
> Virgina? I don't live in Virgina

Good thing, too.

They like liars and deviants even less than I do.

> but in responf to a quip about the shelters in Montgomery being linked
> by Ham radio HF would hardly seem likely to be involved

"response"

Why?

You think that they only need to talk across town?

> > >The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> > >report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> > >the level of critcal
> >
> > You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.
>
> Auf Anglish Bitte?

Cowardly avoidance.

Typical Mark Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

975. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 14:03:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126361038.416324.296410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118801

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > In fact, it has become common for people to have multiple
> > spouses, just not simultaneously. There was a time when
> > divorce carried an enormous social stigma and was made
> > legally difficult in most places. That's all changed.
>
> Yes, it has...and it shouldn't have, but then that's a
> trade-off to
> civility that we surrendered for the "Sexual Revolution"
> in the 60's.

Please explain "tradeoff to civility"?

As for the sexual revolution, I'd say the climb in divorce rates
is/was much more connected to women's liberation and changing
expectations.

And here's a fun fact: The divorce rate in the USA tends to be
highest in the "red/conservative/Bible Belt" states, and *lowest* in
the "blue/liberal/leftcoast/eastcoast" states.

> > > However Christian principles were the
> > > basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early
> > > American law.
> >
> > The polygamist folks you mention are all Christians.
>
> Those particular ones are...Well..let me ammend that to say they
> CLAIM they are...

What are the criteria for the CLAIM to be valid?

I don't recall any prohibition against multiple spouses in the
"New" Testament. Do you know of any?

The "Old" Testament is full of polygamous families.

The "New" Testament does include a clear prohibition against
divorce, however. Yet all of the "mainstream Christian religions" have
found a way around it. Most simply recognize civil divorces
as the end of a marriage. Roman Catholicism plays a semantic game
(called "annulment") where they declare that a valid marriage never
existed.

> > Not Muslims, Jews, pagans, agnostics, Wiccans or atheists.

> > Do you know of any nonChristian groups in the USA advocating > > polygamy, Steve?
>
> Not off the top of my head, Jim, but then even if there were, my
> response would be the same.

My point is that monogamy isn't necessarily part of Christianity.

> > The main obstacles to simultaneous-multi-spouse arrangements > > that I can see are:

> > - Peer/societal pressure
> > - Personal preference of most people regardless of religion
> > - It's tough enough for two people to get along in a marriage > > (how many
> > US marriages end in divorce?). How are three or more
> > supposed to make it work?
> >
> > I'm not saying that polygamy or polyandry or any other
> > multi-simultaneous-spouse situation should be legal or
> > illegal. All I'm
> > saying is that the laws governing marriage are not so much
> > derived from
> > "Christian" principles as they are derived from
> > society's overall concept of family structure, regardless of > > religion.
>
> You still side-stepped the poverty issue, Jim.

Then I'll have another go at it.

Polygamy doesn't necessarily mean poverty.

When I was a kid, I knew plenty of families with 8, 10, 12 kids,
and only the father worked outside the home. Those families were
not well-to-do but they weren't in poverty either. Today such
families are rare, for a whole bunch of reasons, none of them
have to do with legal restrictions on family size.

Divorce is often financially devastating to those involved because
the same earning power goes to support two households. Yet divorces
remain easy to get. How many families exist in the USA where one spouse
is paying child support and/or alimony to a former spouse, plus
supporting a current spouse and kids? Yet there's no law against it.

There have been a few documented cases of hidden polygamy, where
a man had multiple wives in different locations who did not know about
each other. Poverty was not the rule in those cases.

You've pointed out those isolated polygamous communities as
proof of the poverty=polygamy connection, as if that's the only
way polygamy could exist. But that's not the case - one can imagine a
polygamous family where all the adults have jobs outside the home and a
reasonable number of kids. Of course
most people I know would never choose to be part of such a
relationship!

> And yes, laws governing marriage and the structure of the basic
> family unit in THIS country were derived from Christian
> principles.

Which "Christian principles"? See above about NT rules about marriage.

> American History 101 refers.

Most of the Founders were nominally Christians, but that doesn't
mean everything they did came from Christianity.

73 de Jim, N2EY

976. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 14:08:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126361293.168132.184530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118802
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about. Here
> > in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red
> > Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY
> > communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.
>
> did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
> thrower?

Seems to me he did.

> But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> I.

ANYONE knows better than you.

(1) There are only 35 ACTIVE GMRS licenses in Montgomery per the
FCC. To the best of my knowledge, there is NO active GMRS repeater in
Montgomery. However, with only 35 licensees, I find it hard to believe
there's more than one if there's any at all.

This means a MAXIMUM of seven repeater pairs and 14 simplex
channels and no more than 25w to fixed antennas, period.

(2) There are 378 AMATEUR licenses in Montgomery. My 2004
repeater directory shows (6) 2 meter repeaters and (6) 440 repeaters in
the city alone.

On the 2 meter band alone this includes five digital-exclusive
channels, over 30 simplex channels, and authorized power of up to
1500w.

(3) CB Radio has a ground-wave effective distance of less than 5
miles. Daytime propagation makes it completely unusable more than 2
miles away.

(4) There is no viable CB radio-based traffic handing system in
the United States. You can tattoo the message to the CBer's head, but
if they don't have the infrastructure to disposition the message, all

you've done is waste time.

(5) Montgomery's population is just under 250,000.

Steve, K4YZ

977. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 14:21:44 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <QdCdnY8ioKtrdr_eRVn-rA@giganews.com>
Source record: 118803
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:
> Oops - forgot to cross-post to our friends in Yankland.....
>
> 11 years of posting under Outlook Express and similar
> packages (Turnpike, DIS) mean dissimilar habits!

Turnpike and Demon Internet... even then you were bonkers... what was your Demon account name? Oh yes, cemetery.demon.co.uk

What a bizarre choice! Why did you choose this?
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

978. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 14:49:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126363740.435740.252080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118804

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhhhhhhh...it's against the law.
> >
> > so what?
>
> That's exactly what I expect from the Pivot Man of the Feeble
> Five.

the truth glad not to disapoint you
>
> > that law is wrong
>
> No, it's not.

sure is as is any law based solely on the medevil concepts evolved by
the church
>
> > indeed I think the morman could get it
> > struck down as unconstitutional using much the same approuch as the gay
> > right folks in striking at Amendment 2 in CO an the anti sodomy law of
> > TX
>
> "Morman" "approach"
>
> No, they won't, and for the very reasons I stated.

that is you opinion, and not likely worth very much
>
> > We see here your weakness shows up in the Code issue but dominates your
> > life
>
> My only "weakness" is my intolerance for liars and deceivers such
> as yourself.

your weakness is your bigotry, but you can't se it of course.

A post with nothing that can be called a lie and still you flame and
flame
>
> However we see YOUR weakness, which is to simply "allow" anything
> that allows YOU to just do as you darn well please, and to Hell with
> the consequences.

and this a about me NOW? when did that happen I missed it

as I have said I don't esp support marraiage at all
>
> > Nothing may ever be allowed to change
>
> Sure it can.

not according to you, once set set in law it must stay forever.

Jim Crow was once Law too
>
> You're trying to perpetuate a LennieLie that has itself been
> proven wrong over and over and over.
>
> > > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> > > > banned?
> > >
> > > Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> > > one.
> >
> > if that is the issue you add an ecomonic test to the maaraige system,
>
> "economic" "marriage"
>
> Indeed there should be. I also believe there should be a license
> to breed.

as yes the Facist shows his head
>
> However it's statistically proven fact that the more mouths you
> have to feed the less likely you are to be able to adequately provide
> for ALL the needs of ALL the members of the family.
>
> > > The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> > > poverty. Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with
> > > in-breeding.
> >
> > no one said anything about striking down other laws about cousins and
> > stuff like that
>
> I wish I knew what you were trying to say.

no you don't
>
> > more of Stevie as a religous bigot
>
> It's got nothing to do with "religion", Markie.

yes it does
>
> "Polygamy" is NOT a religion. It IS a "practice" that some
> religions encourage.

never said it was a religion

but it is a practice you disapove of for religious reasons and that you
seek to maintaina ban becuase it suits your religous bigotry
>
> More evidence of Markie running his mouth off.
>
cut
> > Utah before the banning of Polygamy was a stable reasonably properous
> > splace, the towns where they practive it now out of sight lack the
> > resources to support themselve wether mongamy or polygamy is rule
>
> "prosperous" "place" "practice" "themselves" "monogamy"
>
> They used to be "prosperous" when the economy was almost 90%
> aggrarian.
>
> Not so today. Most of those multi-spousal "families" are nothing
> more than multiple-dwelling groups where "dad" can stay tonight and
> procreate yet another mouth to feed.

becuase they are forced into marginal land and into hiding to practice
what they se as a tenant of their faith
>
> > > > > > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> > > > > > process was set up by evil religious people.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ummm, where?
> > > > >
> > > > > Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
> > > > > good place to start
> > > >
> > > Guess you missed the point, Markie...
> >
> > not at all
>
> Absolutely at all.

I sure do

Chav has recourse to the courts if he wishs

cut

979. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 14:52:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126363970.820311.161670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118806
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Richard Clark wrote:
> > > On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > > wrote:
> > > >> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
> > > >> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
> > > >
> > > > Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.
> > >
> > > I have seencoverage of H&W traffic on the news
> > > >
> > > >> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
> > > >> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
> > > >
> > > > In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
> > > > abound.
> > >
> > > Cops dispatched by Ham radio? I don't think so
> >
> > Then get your "believer" fixed, boyo.
> >
> > It's happened before, it's happening now.
> >
> > > >> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
> > > >> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
> > > >
> > > > Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely unaware
> > > > of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to the
> > > > point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
> > > > half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after they
> > > > were rescued through Ham operator efforts.
> > >
> > > well that is news
> > > >
> > > > >But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> > > > >thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> > > > >CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> > > > >I.
> > > >
> > > > From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
> > > > would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such

> > > suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
> > > communications.
> >
> > Virgina? I don't live in Virgina
>
> Good thing, too.
cuting you sex ramrks again
> > but in responf to a quip about the shelters in Montgomery being linked
> > by Ham radio HF would hardly seem likely to be involved
>
> "response"
>
> Why?
>
> You think that they only need to talk across town?

that was the stated report
>
> > > >The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> > > >report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> > > >the level of critcal
> > >
> > > You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.
> >
> > Auf Anglish Bitte?
>
> Cowardly avoidance.

then you are a bigger coward stilll pretending you don't understand
when it is convientant
>
> Typical Mark Morgan.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

980. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 14:53:44 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <4322f335.8873303@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 118805
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote:

: Polymath wrote:
: > Oops - forgot to cross-post to our friends in Yankland.....
: >
: > 11 years of posting under Outlook Express and similar
: > packages (Turnpike, DIS) mean dissimilar habits!
:
: Turnpike and Demon Internet... even then you were bonkers... what was your
: Demon account name? Oh yes, cemetery.demon.co.uk
:
: What a bizarre choice! Why did you choose this?

so nice of you to change your posting name to something else other than
that of anothers registered callsign.

its a pity you dont have the courage to put your own callsign in, in the
same way that you could abuse anothers callsign.

981. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 14:57:12 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1126364232.327977.314580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118807

Yes, the content has changed vastly: 1) His rhetoric has risen to leading a conspiracy against the US governmnet, i.e. urging hams not to follow FCC interpretation of Part 97 while urging them to follow his construction, 2) He now has a call in radio show, which may or may not be violative, 3) He has involved himself and ham radio in many instances of foreign policy, 4) There is now a commercial twist that was never present in 1989, 5) He has begun defaming the man in charge (Riley Hollingsworth], not present in 1989.

Even if his rule construction in certain aspects is correct and the FCC's, incorrect, our system affords a way to handle that situation through declaratory ruling in the administrative sector. None of us has the right to urge others into non-compliance. Moreover, to defame Riley Hollingsworth amounts to leading a conspiracy against our government, because if we listen to Baxter, we're supposed to disregard Hollingsworth, anti-thetical to a functioning Republic. Tieing into his bulletins his Web Site, where he advertises money-making endeavors is commercial, a Part 97 no-no. Supporting revolutions, such as the one in Bougainville, clearly violates the Patriot Act, because none of us can presume to do foreign policy, especially over ham radio.

This piece, not a complete analysis by any means, is but the tip of the iceberg.

982. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:01:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126364471.656311.316620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118808
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about. Here
> > > in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red
> > > Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY
> > > communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.
> > >
> > did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
> > thrower?
> >
> Seems to me he did.

but he did not
>
> > But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> > thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> > CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> > I.
> >
> ANYONE knows better than you.
>
> (1) There are only 35 ACTIVE GMRS licenses in Montgomery per the
> FCC. To the best of my knowledge, there is NO active GMRS repeater in
> Montgomery. However, with only 35 licensees, I find it hard to believe
> there's more than one if there's any at all.

so now repeaters are the only means
cuting the off topic bullshit

all you do is rant mostly about things with no relavnce even make
claims that the laws of physics change somehow for cb hf

no reason advanced why the shelter even the radios at all all could be
handled less effectively perhaps by the people driving supplies to the
shelters

I see a lot of posturing

It was nice for that other poster a list a few life saving events,
though are worth knowing about

>
> Steve, K4YZ

983. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:01:42 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xZydnVN0PKjCaL_eRVn-hw@crocker.com>
Source record: 118809

has he even been on the air recently?? haven't heard him in quite a while
on the old frequencies on 20m and 75m he had been occupying.

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1126364232.327977.314580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Yes, the content has changed vastly: 1) His rhetoric has risen to
> leading a conspiracy against the US governmnet, i.e. urging hams not to
> follow FCC interpretation of Part 97 while urging them to follow his
> construction, 2) He now has a call in radio show, which may or may not
> be violative, 3) He has involved himself and ham radio in many
> instances of foreign policy, 4) There is now a commercial twist that
> was never present in 1989, 5) He has begun defaming the man in charge
> (Riley Hollingsworth], not present in 1989.
>
> Even if his rule construction in certain aspects is correct and the
> FCC's, incorrect, our system affords a way to handle that situation
> through declaratory ruling in the administrative sector. None of us
> has the right to urge others into non-compliance. Moreover, to defame
> Riley Hollingsworth amounts to leading a conspiracy against our
> government, because if we listen to Baxter, we're supposed to disregard
> Hollingsworth, anti-thetical to a functioning Republic. Tieing into
> his bulletins his Web Site, where he advertises money-making endeavors
> is commercial, a Part 97 no-no. Supporting revolutions, such as the
> one in Bougainville, clearly violates the Patriot Act, because none of
> us can presume to do foreign policy, especially over ham radio.
>
> This piece, not a complete analysis by any means, is but the tip of the
> iceberg.
>

984. Re: archive of some things steve would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:06:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126364771.235202.18390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118810

```
an Old friend wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > quoting you stevie
> > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > participants at
> > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > then have
> > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > something
> > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > don't
> > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > consequence.
> > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > subject
> > to change!
> >
> > Unquote
> >
> > the last sentence is particularly ilumanting
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> cut
>
>
> > ---------------------
> > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> >
> > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y
> > requires that it be perceived as such<<<."
> > --------------------
> >
> > Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to
> > have the courts decide.
>
> and therefore according to stevie as the one who received the threat I
> decide if it was threatening

It would appear that way.
```

But there's always a double-standard at work in this newsgripe, so the usual rules of logic don't always work.

985. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:07:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126364820.807253.167720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118811

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
> > > > > shoudl polyandry be banned?
>
> There are some points I forgot to include in my previous response.
>
> > > The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
> > > society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one > > man
> > > joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the
> > > laws of the
> > > various levels of government.
> >
> > And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
> > intra-family breeding.
> >
> > > There's nothing to prevent a
>
> *simultaneous*
>
> > > multi-spouse arrangement, as
> > > long as
> > > those involved don't demand government sanction and
> > > protection.
>
> In fact, it has become common for people to have multiple
> spouses, just not simultaneously. There was a time when
> divorce carried an enormous social stigma and was made
> legally difficult in most places. That's all changed.
>
> > However Christian principles were the
> > basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early
> > American law.
>
> The polygamist folks you mention are all Christians. Not Muslims,
> Jews, pagans, agnostics, Wiccans or atheists.
>
> Do you know of any nonChristian groups in the USA advocating polygamy,

> Steve?

I beleive Steve would claim that the Mormans are not christain, and he
would have a point based on the academic view in saying that Chtrians
of the Ctahloic Orthodox and prodestant strips are a dirrent religion
than the mormon based on having very defferent diety concepts
>
> --
>
> The main obstacles to simultaneous-multi-spouse arrangements that I can
> see are:
>
> - Peer/societal pressure

not as much as you might think (or perhaps not as much as may have
been)
I know plenty of gruops that are in most terms in multi spouse
arrangements, mostly chrisatian though

> - Personal preference of most people regardless of religion
> - It's tough enough for two people to get along in a marriage (how many
> US marriages end in divorce?). How are three or more
> supposed to make it work?

but they are general good point
>
> I'm not saying that polygamy or polyandry or any other
> multi-simultaneous-spouse situation should be legal or illegal. All I'm
> saying is that the laws governing marriage are not so much derived from
> "Christian" principles as they are derived from
> society's overall concept of family structure, regardless of religion.

which was set down and enforced by the Church in the Middle ages
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

986. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:16:11 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tLCUe.2$OT2.1@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118812

```
"John" <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:43223790.30107@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39
>>>
>>>>> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>>>>>Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the patriarch
>>>>>of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face of
>>>>>MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>>>>>Amateur Radio.
>>>>
>>>> "Patriarch?!?" Not I, never having met in-person any of
>>>> those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
>>>> Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable.
>>>
>>> In other words, you're ducking. Amateur Radio is doing exactly
>>>what you said it can't won't do.
>>
>>
>> It is "ducking" to disclaim a title conferred by your enemy
>>
>> and ARs is still not providing vital coms
>>
>> cut excess hot air suggesting perhaps it be redirected to NO to help
>> dry the place out
>>
>>> And YOU are the one who always claims that Amateur Radio isn't the
>>>"force" that it's own PR folks claim simply because there's not "major
>>>media" coverage corroborating it.
>>>
>>> Whelp...I consider Fox News, CNN, The Wall Street Journal, MSN,
>>>etc, to be those "major media" resources.
>>
>>
>> I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
>> the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
>> important but nothing life saving
>>
```

>> cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before
>>
> Than it is the news coverage that has missed the boat. I have listened
> (BTW first rule for an emergency net, listen, don't transmit, unless your
> help is needed) to the SATERN net (14.265) and heard relays of quite a
> number of life saving messages - e.g. someone at such and such an address
> needs to be rescued. Sounds like life saving to me.
> John

And I also have been hearing MARS passing life-saving traffic. Uh, MARS is.....er, what? HAMS! And I've also heard the SAtern net doing the same. "Mrs. X is out of insulin, critical need at such 'n such place". "Be careful wading around there, we saw some water moccasins swimming there". (Have you ever SEEN a cotton mouth, Mr Anderson) :)

It is not just H & W traffic; the ham volunteers are in place to facilitate communications whatever the message.
They were onscene almost immediately doing what they have always done; a part in the total scheme of things. How MUCH ham radio does is immaterial, or WHAT traffic they pass is not important. They ARE there doing what they can just as I would if I were called. This bickering, snipping, arguing about the "uselessness" of ham radio is stupid and those that who armchair quarterback from 1000 miles away don't know what they are talking about just as I don't know what is going on in NO. But I CAN monitor what is happening and I know that the military, CAP, church relief organizations, Red Cross, AND Amateur Radio are doing whatever they can to contribute to the effort.

The thread began pre-Katrina and was basically about how Amateur Radio was "useless" or irrelevant to disasters--even how the wonderfully "organized" CBers could provide "communications into and out of a stricken area---along with the usually attacks and accusations.

The bottom line is, hams ARE providing a service. Some of it is Health and Welfare traffic, some of it is vital communications concerning threats to life and property. I'm sitting here LISTENING to it, dammit! So let's quit yelling at each other and get back to work whether it be wading thru several feet of water, looking for victims, passing the most mundane of messages, OR opening our wallets to donate money or supplies. :(
]
Jerry

987. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:16:49 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1126365409.100410.255070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118813
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

I wonder why I get the impression that
THE INCURABLE CBER is obsessed with me to
a degree that borders on mental derangement?

There's a simpler way for him to deal with
his own deep-seated inadequacy, and that is to
tackle and then pass a 12 WPM Morse Test, and
then to go on and build his own TX!

That way he won't have to keep sucking up to me.

ZZZZPK wrote:
> "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote:
>
> : Polymath wrote:
> : > Oops - forgot to cross-post to our friends in Yankland.....
> : >
> : > 11 years of posting under Outlook Express and similar
> : > packages (Turnpike, DIS) mean dissimilar habits!
> :
> : Turnpike and Demon Internet... even then you were bonkers... what was your
> : Demon account name? Oh yes, cemetery.demon.co.uk
> :
> : What a bizarre choice! Why did you choose this?
>
> so nice of you to change your posting name to something else other than
> that of anothers registered callsign.
>
> its a pity you dont have the courage to put your own callsign in, in the
> same way that you could abuse anothers callsign.

988. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:18:17 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1126365497.883163.81870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118814
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Perhaps THE INCURABLE CBER and MRS.NUGATORY
are one and the same!

Polymath wrote:
> I wonder why I get the impression that
> THE INCURABLE CBER is obsessed with me to
> a degree that borders on mental derangement?

989. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:18:34 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Q7mdneHUWrHWZL_eRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 118815
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:

> That way he won't have to keep sucking up to me.
>

You'd like that, wouldn't you?
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

990. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:24:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126365891.706563.131410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118816

Jerry wrote:
> "John" <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:43223790.30107@worldnet.att.net...
cut
> >> I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
> >> the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
> >> important but nothing life saving
> >>
> >> cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before
> >>
> > Than it is the news coverage that has missed the boat. I have listened
> > (BTW first rule for an emergency net, listen, don't transmit, unless your
> > help is needed) to the SATERN net (14.265) and heard relays of quite a
> > number of life saving messages - e.g. someone at such and such an address
> > needs to be rescued. Sounds like life saving to me.
> > John
>
> And I also have been hearing MARS passing life-saving traffic. Uh, MARS
> is.............er, what? HAMS! And I've also heard the SAtern net doing the
> same. "Mrs. X is out of insulin, critical need at such 'n such place". "Be
> careful wading around there, we saw some water moccasins swimming there".
> (Have you ever SEEN a cotton mouth, Mr Anderson) :)
>
> It is not just H & W traffic; the ham volunteers are in place to facilitate
> communications whatever the message.
> They were onscene almost immediately doing what they have always done; a
> part in the total scheme of things. How MUCH ham radio does is immaterial,
> or WHAT traffic they pass is not important.

Both are relavant points and point we need to be able to answer with
facts not generalities

> They ARE there doing what they
> can just as I would if I were called. This bickering, snipping, arguing
> about the "uselessness" of ham radio is stupid and those that who armchair
> quarterback from 1000 miles away don't know what they are talking about just
> as I don't know what is going on in NO. But I CAN monitor what is happening
> and I know that the military, CAP, church relief organizations, Red Cross,
> AND Amateur Radio are doing whatever they can to contribute to the effort.

indeed why is it when someone is questioning the vitalness they are
calling something useless
>
> The thread began pre-Katrina and was basically about how Amateur Radio was
> "useless" or irrelevant to disasters--even how the wonderfully "organized"

> CBers could provide "communications into and out of a stricken area---along
> with the usually attacks and accusations.

again overdrawing
>
> The bottom line is, hams ARE providing a service. Some of it is Health and
> Welfare traffic, some of it is vital communications concerning threats to
> life and property. I'm sitting here LISTENING to it, dammit! So let's quit
> yelling at each other and get back to work whether it be wading thru
> several feet of water, looking for victims, passing the most mundane of
> messages, OR opening our wallets to donate money or supplies. :(

indeed the only yelling I seeing is from the side that says one may not
question the content or the value of the message
>]
> Jerry

991. Re: archive of some things steve would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:27:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126366024.079858.127630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118817

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > quoting you stevie
> > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > participants at
> > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > then have
> > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > something
> > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > don't
> > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > consequence.
> > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > subject
> > > to change!
> > >
> > > Unquote
> > >
> > > the last sentence is particularly ilumanting
> > >
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > cut
> >
> >
> > > ----------------------
> > > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > > case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> > >
> > > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > > might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y
> > > requires that it be perceived as such<<<."
> > > --------------------
> > >
> > > Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to
> > > have the courts decide.
> >
> > and therefore according to stevie as the one who received the threat I
> > decide if it was threatening
>
```

> It would appear that way.
>
> But there's always a double-standard at work in this newsgripe, so the
> usual rules of logic don't always work.

I wonder if if realy the NG do we have any eveidence that lgic ever
works on stevie

BTW did you catch Stevie that he never cliamed to be an expert on
anything?

992. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:35:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126366509.222617.193400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118818

Morris wrote:
> Yes, the content has changed vastly: 1) His rhetoric has risen to
> leading a conspiracy against the US governmnet, i.e. urging hams not to
> follow FCC interpretation of Part 97 while urging them to follow his
> construction,

well I hear alot any govt conspriay stuff ont he air these days

>2) He now has a call in radio show, which may or may not
> be violative,

not sure how but it would a change

> 3) He has involved himself and ham radio in many
> instances of foreign policy, 4) There is now a commercial twist that
> was never present in 1989, 5) He has begun defaming the man in charge
> (Riley Hollingsworth], not present in 1989.

was not flaming others though in those days?
>
> Even if his rule construction in certain aspects is correct and the
> FCC's, incorrect, our system affords a way to handle that situation
> through declaratory ruling in the administrative sector. None of us
> has the right to urge others into non-compliance.

I could find a lot of people to disagree with you, there is a well
established (outside Ham radio) tradition of civl disobeince

> Moreover, to defame
> Riley Hollingsworth amounts to leading a conspiracy against our
> government, because if we listen to Baxter, we're supposed to disregard
> Hollingsworth, anti-thetical to a functioning Republic.

I'll have to pass that one onto Carl Rove with the suggestion that GW
start arresting his opponents for treason

> Tieing into
> his bulletins his Web Site, where he advertises money-making endeavors
> is commercial, a Part 97 no-no.

but does the ARRL mention its website which certainly involved in
fundrasing and has links to comercail sitie

> Supporting revolutions, such as the
> one in Bougainville, clearly violates the Patriot Act, because none of
> us can presume to do foreign policy, especially over ham radio.

Suporting govt change is NOT a violation of the patroit act.

>
> This piece, not a complete analysis by any means, is but the tip of the
> iceberg.

I thank you for it, but honestly I don't see anything beyond some
suggestions that Baxter might be best dealt by Mental health and not
the FCC. He seems to be not a nice person, but is not in and of itself
a rules violation

993. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 15:51:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126367496.559600.256600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118819
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:
> I wonder why I get the impression that
> THE INCURABLE CBER is obsessed with me to
> a degree that borders on mental derangement?
>
> There's a simpler way for him to deal with
> his own deep-seated inadequacy, and that is to
> tackle and then pass a 12 WPM Morse Test, and
> then to go on and build his own TX!

gee how would help anybody? the tranmitter might

994. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 16:01:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126364996.250505.58460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118820

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > In fact, it has become common for people to have multiple
> > > spouses, just not simultaneously. There was a time when
> > > divorce carried an enormous social stigma and was made
> > > legally difficult in most places. That's all changed.
> > >
> > Yes, it has...and it shouldn't have, but then that's a
> > trade-off to
> > civility that we surrendered for the "Sexual Revolution"
> > in the 60's.
>
> Please explain "tradeoff to civility"?
>
> As for the sexual revolution, I'd say the climb in divorce rates
> is/was much more connected to women's liberation and changing
> expectations.

both are the same thing
>
> And here's a fun fact: The divorce rate in the USA tends to be
> *highest* in the "red/conservative/Bible Belt" states, and *lowest* in
> the "blue/liberal/leftcoast/eastcoast" states.

which says interesting thing about the people there in both places, the
bible belter are less likely to maintain here vows made before god than
liberals, interesting
>
> > > However Christian principles were the
> > > basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early
> > > American law.
> > >
> > The polygamist folks you mention are all Christians.
> >
> > Those particular ones are...Well..let me ammend that to say they
> > CLAIM they are...
>
> What are the criteria for the CLAIM to be valid?
>
> I don't recall any prohibition against multiple spouses in the
> "New" Testament. Do you know of any?
>

> The "Old" Testament is full of polygamous families.
>
> The "New" Testament does include a clear prohibition against
> divorce, however. Yet all of the "mainstream Christian religions" have
> found a way around it. Most simply recognize civil divorces
> as the end of a marriage. Roman Catholicism plays a semantic game
> (called "annulment") where they declare that a valid marriage never
> existed.
>
> > > Not Muslims, Jews, pagans, agnostics, Wiccans or atheists.
>
> > > Do you know of any nonChristian groups in the USA advocating > > polygamy, Steve?
> >
> > Not off the top of my head, Jim, but then even if there were, my
> > response would be the same.
>
> My point is that monogamy isn't necessarily part of Christianity.
>
> > > The main obstacles to simultaneous-multi-spouse arrangements > > that I can see are:
>
> > > - Peer/societal pressure
> > > - Personal preference of most people regardless of religion
> > > - It's tough enough for two people to get along in a marriage > > (how many
> > > US marriages end in divorce?). How are three or more
> > > supposed to make it work?
> > >
> > > I'm not saying that polygamy or polyandry or any other
> > > multi-simultaneous-spouse situation should be legal or
> > > illegal. All I'm
> > > saying is that the laws governing marriage are not so much
> > > derived from
> > > "Christian" principles as they are derived from
> > > society's overall concept of family structure, regardless of > > religion.
> >
> > You still side-stepped the poverty issue, Jim.
>
> Then I'll have another go at it.
>
> Polygamy doesn't necessarily mean poverty.
>
> When I was a kid, I knew plenty of families with 8, 10, 12 kids,
> and only the father worked outside the home. Those families were
> not well-to-do but they weren't in poverty either. Today such
> families are rare, for a whole bunch of reasons, none of them
> have to do with legal restrictions on family size.
>
> Divorce is often financially devastating to those involved because
> the same earning power goes to support two households. Yet divorces
> remain easy to get. How many families exist in the USA where one spouse
> is paying child support and/or alimony to a former spouse, plus

> supporting a current spouse and kids? Yet there's no law against it.
>
> There have been a few documented cases of hidden polygamy, where
> a man had multiple wives in different locations who did not know about
> each other. Poverty was not the rule in those cases.
>
> You've pointed out those isolated polygamous communities as
> proof of the poverty=polygamy connection, as if that's the only
> way polygamy could exist. But that's not the case - one can imagine a
> polygamous family where all the adults have jobs outside the home and a
> reasonable number of kids. Of course
> most people I know would never choose to be part of such a
> relationship!
>
> > And yes, laws governing marriage and the structure of the basic
> > family unit in THIS country were derived from Christian
> > principles.
>
> Which "Christian principles"? See above about NT rules about marriage.
>
> > American History 101 refers.
>
> Most of the Founders were nominally Christians, but that doesn't
> mean everything they did came from Christianity.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

995. Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 16:02:10 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CqDUe.23$OT2.18@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118821

==>AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS FILLING COMMUNICATION GAPS IN GULF REGION

Hundreds of Amateur Radio operators from the Gulf Coast and elsewhere in the US continue to volunteer their skills and expertise as the Hurricane Katrina relief effort heads into its third week. ARRL Section Managers (SMs) and Section Emergency Coordinators (SECs) across and around the affected region have been teleconferencing daily to keep their efforts on the same page. In the field, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) and other volunteers are assisting as needed to support communication for relief agencies as well as for state and local government and even the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Louisiana SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, says Amateur Radio was the only means for state officials at the state emergency operations center (EOC) in Baton Rouge to communicate earlier this week with the so-called "Florida parishes" above Lake Pontchartrain.

"We have had praise from one end of Louisiana to the other about Amateur Radio operators," Stratton said. "There was a communication to the EOC in Baton Rouge from FEMA that said, 'Ham radio is our prime communications with you, and they should get anything they need,' so FEMA recognizes the importance of ham radio." He also recounted how state officials arriving at the EOC were using ham radio to get through to their hard-hit parishes.

A marshaling center has been established in Covington, Louisiana. ARES has been continuing to support Red Cross shelter and Southern Baptist Convention debris-clearing in St Tammany parish, as well as Baptist Men's Kitchen canteen operations. In Washington Parish, ARES volunteers--including more than a dozen from South Texas--have been providing critical communication among hospitals and the parish EOC, among other functions. Field teams were continuing to use HF to maintain communication with the EOC in Baton Rouge.....
<snip>
This comes from www.arrl.org and its "ARRL Letter. I call attention to the quotes by FEMA officials and others as tp the necessity and vitality of Amateur Radio to the relief effort in the aftermath of Katrina. Yes, it is from a publication dedicated to Amateur Radio itself, but I also invite readers to go to the site and read the entire article AND the part where the National Guard borrowed equipment from HAMS WHEN THEIRS WOULDN'T WORK!!!!!! THERE ARE INSTANCES WHERE A M A T E U R R A D I O WAS, AND STILL IS, THE O N L Y means of commuications into and out of various Louisiana parishes!!!!!!!!!!! The O N L Y communications. Period!

Again, it doesn't matter how MUCH ham radio contributes, but that it IS contributing in VITAL ways and, in some cases, is the ONLY communications. Period. HAHAHAHA!

We can deny, denigrate, belittle, downrate Amateur radio all you like, but facts is facts. I am sitting here LISTENING to HF ham radio traffic of all kinds from the stricken area. A lot of it sounds important to ME, but then what do I know? *I* am not the ones (Lenny) in denial!

Jerry

996. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 16:18:00 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YGDUe.506$LS5.315@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118822
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126301413.743957.191760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> Mac wrote:
>> >> > Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
>> >> >
>> >> > "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
>> >> > > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>> >> > >
>> >> > > --
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Charlie - AD5TH
>> >> > > www.ad5th.com
>> >>
>> >> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>> >> Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the
>> >> patriarch
>> >> of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face
>> >> of
>> >> MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>> >> Amateur Radio.
>> >
>> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
>> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
>> >
>> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
>> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
>> >
>> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
>> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
>> >>
>> >> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
>> >> laid waste.
>> >>
>> >> 73
>> >>
```

>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>>
>> As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about.
>> Here
>> in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red
>> Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY
>> communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.
>
> did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
> thrower?
>
> But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> I.
>
> as to your other points.
>
> One am unable judge for myself directly of course because of rules you
> personaly have supported for years.
>
> Two I said most com seemed to that most were H&W, Indeed given the size
> of Montgomery could not they diespense with anything but messagers? Not
> syaing they should but couldn't they.

That is incorrect. All the traffic I personally relayed was
emergency requests for rescue. All the traffic handled by
the net I helped was emergency traffic, and resulted in many
many rescues. Lots of folks are alive today because of ham radio.

> The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> the level of critcal

Your ignorance is amazing. Did you actually LISTEN?

>
>>
>> The Alabama Net and other groups are providing links further down the
>> line.
>
> and what are they passing?
>
> what vital message are they conveying?

Listen and learn.

--

... Hank

http://home.earthlink.net/~horedson
http://home.earthlink.net/~w0rli

997. Re: The FAQ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 16:52:11 UTC
From: Steve H <g0lmv@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <43230f3a$1_1@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 118823
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1126365409.100410.255070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> There's a simpler way for him to deal with
> his own deep-seated inadequacy, and that is to
> tackle and then pass a 12 WPM Morse Test, and
> then to go on and build his own TX!
>
Gareth, after 10 years of slow learning you passed the Morse test, rumour
has it you once even built a "oner". You suffer from social inadequacy
almost as much as we suffer from your social inadequacy.

Steve H

998. Re: Power Company Interference and the Law?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 18:02:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126374897.257315.213840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118824

GeorgeF wrote:
> Can some point to me specific laws regarding interference caused buy
> power companies?
>
> This has nothing to do with BPL but regular powerline noise. Been
> having some problems here and before I go farther I want to know what
> the law actually says regarding this issue.

there are rules about it, not an expert but you might try to contact ed
Hare, W1RFI at the ARRL

The ARRL has had some sucessin such matters
>
> Thanks
> George

999. Re: Power Company Interference and the Law?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 18:16:25 UTC
From: jimp@specsol.spam.sux.com
Message-ID: <dfv7tphre1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 118825

GeorgeF <av8tor@removebeforeflight.com> wrote:
> Can some point to me specific laws regarding interference caused buy
> power companies?

> This has nothing to do with BPL but regular powerline noise. Been
> having some problems here and before I go farther I want to know what
> the law actually says regarding this issue.

> Thanks
> George

AFAIK there are no laws specific to power line noise.

When the FCC dings power companies over noise they use the incidental
radiators regs same as electric fences, wierd pool heaters, etc.

Title 47, CFR section 15, specificaly 15.5, 15.13, and 15.15.

--
Jim Pennino

Remove .spam.sux to reply.

1000. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 19:14:25 UTC
From: fazamy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1126379665.506036.203190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118826
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NYC''s WCBS 880 business reporter Steve Donnelly stated a few days
after Katrina devasted New Orleans that the only things working down
there were helicopters and ham radio.

KB2AMY

1001. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 19:30:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GuOdnZ2dnZ1KOoWFnZ2dnRipvt6dnZ2dRVn-yZ2dnZ0@comcast.com>
Source record: 118827

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1126361038.416324.296410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > In fact, it has become common for people to have multiple
>> > spouses, just not simultaneously. There was a time when
>> > divorce carried an enormous social stigma and was made
>> > legally difficult in most places. That's all changed.
>>
>> Yes, it has...and it shouldn't have, but then that's a
>> trade-off to
>> civility that we surrendered for the "Sexual Revolution"
>> in the 60's.
>
> Please explain "tradeoff to civility"?
>
> As for the sexual revolution, I'd say the climb in divorce rates
> is/was much more connected to women's liberation and changing
> expectations.
>
> And here's a fun fact: The divorce rate in the USA tends to be
> *highest* in the "red/conservative/Bible Belt" states, and *lowest* in
> the "blue/liberal/leftcoast/eastcoast" states.
>

Perhaps that is because some of the conservatives push too hard on their children to "save themselves" for marriage so they rush into marriage without knowing their partner well enough? Of course this is just speculation, but an idea to consider.

Another possibility is that in the "blue/liberal/leftcoast/eastcoast" groups, it is OK simply to live together and when they break up, there is no need for divorce since they were never married in the first place.

I think I remember reading that Margaret Meade had proposed a system where there would be a "trial marriage" for a period of time before having a regular marriage. If I remember correctly, the trial marriage would have an automatic expiration and one would have to go through the marriage ceremony or whatever to continue the marriage. The idea being that one could better determine if this was the person with whom they really wanted to spend the rest of their life. I've always thought the idea had some merit.

One way or another, marriage customs grow out the needs of the particular society. In times and places where the number of men and women is approximately equal and there is not a great discrepancy in the wealth of men in the society, monogamy tends to be the norm. Where there are significantly more women than men, polygamy becomes quite common. Or if there are a few very wealthy men, polygamy may develop as part of showing off their wealth or power. In some American Indian tribes, monogamy was the norm yet a man was required, if his brother died, to take his brother's wife as his own even if he had a wife already.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1002. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 19:41:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126381281.090122.122610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118828

nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> I beleive Steve would claim that the Mormans are not christain, and he
> would have a point based on the academic view in saying that Chtrians
> of the Ctahloic Orthodox and prodestant strips are a dirrent religion
> than the mormon based on having very defferent diety concepts

"believe" "Christian" "Christian [a different abuse of]

"Catholic" "Protestant" "different" "different [again]"

Markie.....

H O O K E D O N P H O N I C S

Steve, K4YZ

1003. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 19:46:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126381599.071781.191230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118829

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>
> > I beleive Steve would claim that the Mormans are not christain, and he
> > would have a point based on the academic view in saying that Chtrians
> > of the Ctahloic Orthodox and prodestant strips are a dirrent religion
> > than the mormon based on having very defferent diety concepts
cuting your spelling cop again

> H O O K E D O N P H O N I C S
better to need than Hooked on being a control freak

You need to control the way everyone in the nation is allowed to live
their lives
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1004. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 19:51:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126381898.412823.240730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118830

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1126361038.416324.296410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> > In fact, it has become common for people to have multiple
> >> > spouses, just not simultaneously. There was a time when
> >> > divorce carried an enormous social stigma and was made
> >> > legally difficult in most places. That's all changed.
> >>
> >> Yes, it has...and it shouldn't have, but then that's a
> >> trade-off to
> >> civility that we surrendered for the "Sexual Revolution"
> >> in the 60's.
> >
> > Please explain "tradeoff to civility"?
> >
> > As for the sexual revolution, I'd say the climb in divorce
> > rates
> > is/was much more connected to women's liberation and changing
> > expectations.
> >
> > And here's a fun fact: The divorce rate in the USA tends to be
> > *highest* in the "red/conservative/Bible Belt" states, and
> > *lowest* in
> > the "blue/liberal/leftcoast/eastcoast" states.

> Perhaps that is because some of the conservatives push too hard > on their
> children to "save themselves" for marriage so they rush into
> marriage
> without knowing their partner well enough? Of course this is
> just speculation, but an idea to consider.

I'd say that's one factor. Expressed perfectly in the
classic Meat Loaf hit, "Paradise By The Dashboard Light"

Would you buy a car that you'd never driven, or a pair of shoes
you'd never tried on? Particularly if they were supposed to last
you for the rest of your life?
>
> Another possibility is that in
> the "blue/liberal/leftcoast/eastcoast"

> groups, it is OK simply to live together and when
> they break up, there is no
> need for divorce since they were never married in
> the first place.

Sure.

> I think I remember reading that Margaret Meade had proposed
> a system where
> there would be a "trial marriage" for a period of time
> before having a
> regular marriage. If I remember correctly, the trial
> marriage would have an
> automatic expiration and one would have to go through
> the marriage ceremony
> or whatever to continue the marriage. The idea being
> that one could better
> determine if this was the person with whom they really
> wanted to spend the
> rest of their life. I've always thought the idea had some
> merit.

Heck, go the whole route: Allow marriage licenses to expire every so
many years, and both parties would have to agree to renew them.
>
> One way or another, marriage customs grow out the needs of the > particular
> society. In times and places where the number of men and women > is
> approximately equal and there is not a great discrepancy in the > wealth of
> men in the society, monogamy tends to be the norm. Where there > are
> significantly more women than men, polygamy becomes quite
> common. Or if
> there are a few very wealthy men, polygamy may develop as part > of showing
> off their wealth or power. In some American Indian tribes,
> monogamy was the
> norm yet a man was required, if his brother died, to take his
> brother's wife
> as his own even if he had a wife already.
>
I did not know that!

IIRC there was a similar requirement in the Bible - if a man with a
brother died with no male heir and left a wife of childbearing
age, the brother was required to...ummm.... step in for his dead
brother until a male heir was produced, so the dead brother's
line would not be wiped out. After that the widow could marry
again if desired. That practice was abandoned long ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1005. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 19:52:30 UTC
From: "Percival P. Cassidy" <Nobody@NotMyISP.net>
Message-ID: <1QGUe.29145$ih4.20237@fe02.lga>
Source record: 118831
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 09/09/05 05:30 pm an_old_friend tossed the following ingredients into
the ever-growing pot of cybersoup:

> Two I said most com seemed to that most were H&W, Indeed given the size
> of Montgomery could not they diespense with anything but messagers? Not
> syaing they should but couldn't they.
>
> The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> the level of critcal

>>The Alabama Net and other groups are providing links further down the line.

> and what are they passing?
>
> what vital message are they conveying?

Several days ago I heard a message being relayed to police/hwy
patrol/whomever about people trapped in an attic somewhere that needed
to be rescued.

The latest ARRL eLetter reports that in some places hams were lending
their equipment to "official" agencies because the latters' equipment
did not work.

Remember that, in this "land of the 'We'll do it our own way, thank you
very much'", many govt. agencies cannot communicate with each other by
radio even when the equipment does work: every county and municipality,
every fire dept. and ambulance service is free to decide what equipment
to use regardless of what anybody else is using. I understand that
Michigan is one of the few states with an integrated (or at least
coordinated) radio system. Where multiple agencies are trying to work
together, putting ham radio operators with each agency may be one of the
few ways they have of keeping in touch.

"Perce"
(aka Alan NV8A)

1006. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:14:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126383248.224494.78300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118832

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > > >
> > > > Uhhhhhhhhhhh...it's against the law.
> > > >
> > > so what?
> > >
> > That's exactly what I expect from the Pivot Man of the Feeble
> > Five.
> >
> the truth glad not to disapoint you

"disappoint"

Always proving your disdain for our laws.

> > > that law is wrong
> > >
> > No, it's not.
> >
> sure is as is any law based solely on the medevil concepts evolved by
> the church

It's not based "solely" on concepts of any era of the Church,
Markie.

It has everything to do with economics and

> > > indeed I think the morman could get it
> > > struck down as unconstitutional using much the same approuch as the gay
> > > right folks in striking at Amendment 2 in CO an the anti sodomy law of
> > > TX
> > >
> > "Mormon" "approach"
> > >
> > No, they won't, and for the very reasons I stated.
> >
> that is you opinion, and not likely worth very much

"your"

At least it's legible.

> > > We see here your weakness shows up in the Code issue but dominates your
> > > life
> >
> > My only "weakness" is my intolerance for liars and deceivers such
> > as yourself.
>
> your weakness is your bigotry, but you can't se it of course.

There's no bigotry here, Markie.

> A post with nothing that can be called a lie and still you flame and
> flame

No flames, Markie.

Polygamy is wrong. I shown two very valid reasons for the
outlawing of polygamy, none of which has ANYthing to do with a Bible
verse.

> > However we see YOUR weakness, which is to simply "allow" anything
> > that allows YOU to just do as you darn well please, and to Hell with
> > the consequences.
>
> and this a about me NOW? when did that happen I missed it

It's about YOU the same way YOU tried to make it about ME, rather
than the facts relative to the concept of polygamy.

> as I have said I don't esp support marraiage at all

No doubt.

I bet Mrs Markie might not care to share her bed with YOUR
boyfriend.

> > > Nothing may ever be allowed to change
> >
> > Sure it can.
>
> not according to you, once set set in law it must stay forever.

You and Lennie the Liar keep repeating that, and I keep asking you
to validate it...

> Jim Crow was once Law too

Jim Crow was wrong on so many levels.

So is polygamy.

> > You're trying to perpetuate a LennieLie that has itself been
> > proven wrong over and over and over.
> >
> > > > > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> > > > > > banned?
> > > > >

> > > > Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> > > > one.
> > >
> > > if that is the issue you add an ecomonic test to the maaraige system,
> >
> > "economic" "marriage"
> >
> > Indeed there should be. I also believe there should be a license
> > to breed.
>
> as yes the Facist shows his head

Nope.

Just tired of seeing defenseless, unwanted children starving and
wasting away due to poverty and illness. It's far more prevelent than
you imagine, even in the most "modern" of cities.

> > However it's statistically proven fact that the more mouths you
> > have to feed the less likely you are to be able to adequately provide
> > for ALL the needs of ALL the members of the family.
> >
> > > > The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> > > > poverty. Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with
> > > > in-breeding.
> > >
> > > no one said anything about striking down other laws about cousins and
> > > stuff like that
> >
> > I wish I knew what you were trying to say.
>
> no you don't

Sure I do.

I'm just tired of trying to "make sense" of all-too-many of your
"sentences" that are nothing more than you trying to impress us with
the number of words you can string together.

> > > more of Stevie as a religous bigot
> >
> > It's got nothing to do with "religion", Markie.
>
> yes it does

No, it does not.

I've not cited one line of religious doctrine here.

> > "Polygamy" is NOT a religion. It IS a "practice" that some
> > religions encourage.
>
> never said it was a religion

Sure you have.

For the last two days you've tried to keep calling me a "religious
bigot" because of my opinion on polygamy.

> but it is a practice you disapove of for religious reasons and that you
> seek to maintaina ban becuase it suits your religous bigotry

Wrong, wrong, WRONG.

Again...I've not cited a single line of religious dogma or
doctrine, Markie. I HAVE very clearly stated my objections to polygamy
based upon economic and medical reasons.

I'd be glad to have you quote where I have said otherwise.

(This is where Markie will "cut" in his reply...)

> > More evidence of Markie running his mouth off.
> >
> cut
> > > Utah before the banning of Polygamy was a stable reasonably properous
> > > splace, the towns where they practive it now out of sight lack the
> > > resources to support themselve wether mongamy or polygamy is rule
> >
> > "prosperous" "place" "practice" "themselves" "monogamy"
> >
> > They used to be "prosperous" when the economy was almost 90%
> > aggrarian.
> >
> > Not so today. Most of those multi-spousal "families" are nothing
> > more than multiple-dwelling groups where "dad" can stay tonight and
> > procreate yet another mouth to feed.
>
> becuase they are forced into marginal land and into hiding to practice
> what they se as a tenant of their faith

Hardly.

A "large family" on a wide-ranging farm is the IDEAL place to
"hide".

> > > > > > > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> > > > > > > process was set up by evil religious people.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ummm, where?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
> > > > > > good place to start
> > > > >
> > > > Guess you missed the point, Markie...
> > >
> > > not at all
> >

> > Absolutely at all.
>
> I sure do
>
> Chav has recourse to the courts if he wishs

"wishes"

That will be intresting to see.

Steve, K4YZ

1007. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:34:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126384472.396083.263930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118833
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > > As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about. Here
> > > > in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red
> > > > Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY
> > > > communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.
> > > >
> > > did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
> > > thrower?
> > >
> > Seems to me he did.
> >
> but he did not

But he DID!

> > > But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> > > thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> > > CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> > > I.
> > >
> > ANYONE knows better than you.
> > >
> > (1) There are only 35 ACTIVE GMRS licenses in Montgomery per the
> > FCC. To the best of my knowledge, there is NO active GMRS repeater in
> > Montgomery. However, with only 35 licensees, I find it hard to believe
> > there's more than one if there's any at all.
> >
> so now repeaters are the only means
> cuting the off topic bull####

What's "off topic" about it, Markie..?!?!

YOU were the one who brought up GMRS and CB, Ya Putz!

And you will please notice that I clearly addressed SIMPLEX
frequencies too, so so much for your "repeaters only" diatribe, Markie.

> all you do is rant mostly about things with no relavnce even make
> claims that the laws of physics change somehow for cb hf

(1) YOU brought up GMRS and CB radio, Markie, NOT ME!

(2) I didn't claim any "laws of physics" were different for "cb hf" ! I SPECIFICALLY addressed the shortcomings of using CB radio BASED upon KNOWN propagation at that wavelength! It's the SAME reason that 10 meters is not typically used for short range emergency communications here! Add the horrific QRM on 11 meters, and it's a wasteland good for nothing except in-house intercom duties, IF that!

> no reason advanced why the shelter even the radios at all all could be
> handled less effectively perhaps by the people driving supplies to the
> shelters
>
> I see a lot of posturing

No...what you SAW here were some FACTS.

QUOTE

(1) There are only 35 ACTIVE GMRS licenses in Montgomery per the FCC. To the best of my knowledge, there is NO active GMRS repeater in Montgomery. However, with only 35 licensees, I find it hard to believe

there's more than one if there's any at all.

This means a MAXIMUM of seven repeater pairs and 14 simplex channels and no more than 25w to fixed antennas, period.

UNQUOTE

What is "posturing" about that, Markie? Nothing there is mistruthful or deceitful. Also please note the aforementioned use of OTHER-THAN "repeater" options that you tried to claim was my only point.

QUOTE

(2) There are 378 AMATEUR licenses in Montgomery. My 2004 repeater directory shows (6) 2 meter repeaters and (6) 440 repeaters in

the city alone.

On the 2 meter band alone this includes five digital-exclusive channels, over 30 simplex channels, and authorized power of up to 1500w.

UNQUOTE

One correction on my part...There are "374", not "378" Amateur licensees in Montgomery...And that's just folks with a Montgomery mailing address.

Again...I'd be interested in what part of those numbers are "posturing", Markie.

And again, OTHER than "repeater" options are addressed.

QUOTE:

(3) CB Radio has a ground-wave effective distance of less than 5
miles. Daytime propagation makes it completely unusable more than 2
miles away.

UNQUOTE

You dispute this, Markie?

QUOTE

(4) There is no viable CB radio-based traffic handing system in
the United States. You can tattoo the message to the CBer's head, but
if they don't have the infrastructure to disposition the message, all
you've done is waste time.

UNQUOTE

Wondering what part of THAT is "posturing", Markie.

P L E A S E point me to the URL for the CB-radio based traffic
handing organization in the United States...Please...

QUOTE:

(5) Montgomery's population is just under 250,000.

UNQUOTE

YOU said that you remembered Montgomery as being small. So now
the Census numbers are "posturing"...?!?!

> It was nice for that other poster a list a few life saving events,
> though are worth knowing about.

Stuff like that is going on every day right now. It will be a long
time before Motorola and the other manufacturers can get the gear into
the field and working.

So...Amateurs created KATRINA just so we could "posture" in a
newsgroup...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1008. Re: archive of some things steve would like to forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:38:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126384693.136245.209330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118834

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > quoting you stevie
> > > > To which I will reply: ( 1. ) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > > participants at
> > > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > > then have
> > > > at it ( 2 ) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > > something
> > > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > > don't
> > > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > > consequence.
> > > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > > subject
> > > > to change!
> > > >
> > > > Unquote
> > > >
> > > > the last sentence is particularly ilumanting
> > >
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > cut
> > >
> > >
> > > > ----------------------
> > > > Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
> > > > case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."
> > > >
> > > > Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments
> > > > might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y
> > > > requires that it be perceived as such<<<."
> > > > --------------------
> > > >
> > > > Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to
> > > > have the courts decide.
> > >
> > > and therefore according to stevie as the one who received the threat I
> > > decide if it was threatening
```

> >
> > It would appear that way.
> >
> > But there's always a double-standard at work in this newsgripe, so the
> > usual rules of logic don't always work.
>
> I wonder if if realy the NG do we have any eveidence that lgic ever
> works on stevie
>
> BTW did you catch Stevie that he never cliamed to be an expert on
> anything?

Yeh, not worth arguing his obvious contradictions.

1009. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:43:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126385036.347264.199240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118835

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 6, 5:25 pm
>
> >As much as it goes against my better judgement, I'm still going to give
> >Steve the stage to show how the past week has changed his life. It is
> >up to him to fly or flop, and I won't predict which he'll do. It's up
> >to him.
>
> Dudly gonna FLOP. Again.
>
> I'll bet he's even now searching, searching the net for phrases,
> whole stories with which to embellish his middle-school journalism
> attempts.
>
> Maybe he thinks he can get a Pulitzer Prize for his "humbling
> experience" tale? It isn't worth a Pullet Surprise...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If Steve simply stays away as he's done recently, he made a big
improvement.

1010. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:50:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126385422.218007.238060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118836

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Uhhhhhhhhhhh...it's against the law.
> > > > >
> > > > > so what?
> > > >
> > > That's exactly what I expect from the Pivot Man of the Feeble
> > > Five.
> > >
> > the truth glad not to disapoint you
> >
> "disappoint"
>
> Always proving your disdain for our laws.

Of coursewe now see the fear threat reaction any opposition to given
laws is seen as distain for all laws

Stevie you are getting realy boring

I have a great of respect for the law, more than you show BTW

But the issue is socail contrl thought the legal system

>
> > > > that law is wrong
> > >
> > > No, it's not.
> >
> > sure is as is any law based solely on the medevil concepts evolved by
> > the church
>
> It's not based "solely" on concepts of any era of the Church,
> Markie.

yes it is

Mandated mongamy is a medievil concept, emplaced by the church to
combat the pagan remants of the days, it hangs aroound to this day,
dispite being obviously unnatural

>
> It has everything to do with economics and

nothing to do economics

>
> > > > indeed I think the morman could get it
> > > > struck down as unconstitutional using much the same approuch as the gay
> > > > right folks in striking at Amendment 2 in CO an the anti sodomy law of
> > > > TX
> > >
> > > "Mormon" "approach"
> > >
> > > No, they won't, and for the very reasons I stated.
> >
> > that is you opinion, and not likely worth very much
cuting you speling cop shit
>
> > > > We see here your weakness shows up in the Code issue but dominates your
> > > > life
> > >
> > > My only "weakness" is my intolerance for liars and deceivers such
> > > as yourself.
> >
> > your weakness is your bigotry, but you can't se it of course.
>
> There's no bigotry here, Markie.

sure is

You call any religous beliefe than your own silly

you mock the beliefs of others

You are an intolerant bigot, simple as that
>
> > A post with nothing that can be called a lie and still you flame and
> > flame
>
> No flames, Markie.

sure are and still flaming away, and without a lie in sight on my part

>
> Polygamy is wrong. I shown two very valid reasons for the
> outlawing of polygamy, none of which has ANYthing to do with a Bible
> verse.

you have shown no such thing

You noticed that a group when marginalized has problems and then you
assume the problems are the fault of the practice rather thanthe result
of being marginalized

Bad logic as is normal from you

>
> > > However we see YOUR weakness, which is to simply "allow" anything
> > > that allows YOU to just do as you darn well please, and to Hell with
> > > the consequences.
> > >
> > and this a about me NOW? when did that happen I missed it
>
> It's about YOU the same way YOU tried to make it about ME, rather
> than the facts relative to the concept of polygamy.

you have not dealt with the facts about polygamy

in fact polygamy exists today, in creasingly accross the nation, it
existed as a comom state of afair in Japn for centuries

>
> > as I have said I don't esp support marraiage at all
>
cuting your sexual comented
> > > > Nothing may ever be allowed to change
> > > >
> > > Sure it can.
> > >
> > not according to you, once set set in law it must stay forever.
>
> You and Lennie the Liar keep repeating that, and I keep asking you
> to validate it...

you do that for us

>
> > Jim Crow was once Law too
>
> Jim Crow was wrong on so many levels.
>
> So is polygamy.

according to your bigoted opinion
>
> > > You're trying to perpetuate a LennieLie that has itself been
> > > proven wrong over and over and over.
> > >
> > > > > > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> > > > > > banned?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> > > > > > one.
> > > > >
> > > > > if that is the issue you add an ecomonic test to the maaraige system,
> > > >
> > > "economic" "marriage"
> > >
> > > Indeed there should be. I also believe there should be a license

> > > to breed.
> >
> > as yes the Facist shows his head
>
> Nope.

yep you demend that everything be controled and regimented
>
> Just tired of seeing defenseless, unwanted children starving and
> wasting away due to poverty and illness. It's far more prevelent than
> you imagine, even in the most "modern" of cities.

and this has nothing to do with polygamy
>
> > > However it's statistically proven fact that the more mouths you
> > > have to feed the less likely you are to be able to adequately provide
> > > for ALL the needs of ALL the members of the family.
> > >
> > > > > The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> > > > > poverty. Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with
> > > > > in-breeding.
> > > >
> > > > no one said anything about striking down other laws about cousins and
> > > > stuff like that
> > >
> > > I wish I knew what you were trying to say.
> >
> > no you don't
>
> Sure I do.
>
> I'm just tired of trying to "make sense" of all-too-many of your
> "sentences" that are nothing more than you trying to impress us with
> the number of words you can string together.

One then stop trying

but you were the one pushing the concept that you don't apprecate what
you don't work for

and then you whine when asked to work for something
>
> > > > more of Stevie as a religous bigot
> > >
> > > It's got nothing to do with "religion", Markie.
> >
> > yes it does
>
> No, it does not.
>
> I've not cited one line of religious doctrine here.

which is another evasion on your part
>
> > > "Polygamy" is NOT a religion. It IS a "practice" that some
> > > religions encourage.
> >
> > never said it was a religion
>
> Sure you have.

never have
>
> For the last two days you've tried to keep calling me a "religious
> bigot" because of my opinion on polygamy.

becuase it is true but it is that your opinion on polygamy is based on
your religous biases not a claim the polygamy is a religion

>
> > but it is a practice you disapove of for religious reasons and that you
> > seek to maintaina ban becuase it suits your religous bigotry
>
> Wrong, wrong, WRONG.

Ture true true
>
> Again...I've not cited a single line of religious dogma or
> doctrine, Markie. I HAVE very clearly stated my objections to polygamy
> based upon economic and medical reasons.

no you have repeated false claptrap put out by folks with religous
reasons to ban te practice
>
> I'd be glad to have you quote where I have said otherwise.
>
> (This is where Markie will "cut" in his reply...)
>
> > > More evidence of Markie running his mouth off.
> > >
> > cut
> > > > Utah before the banning of Polygamy was a stable reasonably properous
> > > > splace, the towns where they practive it now out of sight lack the
> > > > resources to support themselve wether mongamy or polygamy is rule
> > >
> > > "prosperous" "place" "practice" "themselves" "monogamy"
> > >
> > > They used to be "prosperous" when the economy was almost 90%
> > > aggrarian.
> > >
> > > Not so today. Most of those multi-spousal "families" are nothing
> > > more than multiple-dwelling groups where "dad" can stay tonight and
> > > procreate yet another mouth to feed.
> >
> > becuase they are forced into marginal land and into hiding to practice

> > what they se as a tenant of their faith
>
> Hardly.
>
> A "large family" on a wide-ranging farm is the IDEAL place to
> "hide".

but only a productive lifstyle if the land is not marginal

Margin land is the main issue in the fringe moroms practice of polygamy
and there economic trouble

rotten land will not support many people wether Mono or ploy

simple fact

You ignore Japan 1000 years history of essetncail polygamous life
>
> > > > > > > > > Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
> > > > > > > > > process was set up by evil religious people.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Ummm, where?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
> > > > > > > > > good place to start
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Guess you missed the point, Markie...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not at all
> > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely at all.
> > >
> > > I sure do
> > >
> > > Chav has recourse to the courts if he wishs
> >
> > "wishes"
> >
> That will be intresting to see.

not likely will see it as i doubt chav realy feels threatened enough to
bother
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1011. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:50:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126385457.432849.278260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118837

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> And here's a fun fact: The divorce rate in the USA tends to be
> *highest* in the "red/conservative/Bible Belt" states, and *lowest* in
> the "blue/liberal/leftcoast/eastcoast" states.

Why is that "fun?"

1012. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:53:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126385583.478998.173920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118838
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Percival P. Cassidy wrote:
> On 09/09/05 05:30 pm an_old_friend tossed the following ingredients into
> the ever-growing pot of cybersoup:
>
> > Two I said most com seemed to that most were H&W, Indeed given the size
> > of Montgomery could not they diespense with anything but messagers? Not
> > syaing they should but couldn't they.
> >
> > The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> > report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> > the level of critcal
>
> >>The Alabama Net and other groups are providing links further down the line.
>
> > and what are they passing?
> >
> > what vital message are they conveying?
>
> Several days ago I heard a message being relayed to police/hwy
> patrol/whomever about people trapped in an attic somewhere that needed
> to be rescued.
>
> The latest ARRL eLetter reports that in some places hams were lending
> their equipment to "official" agencies because the latters' equipment
> did not work.
>
> Remember that, in this "land of the 'We'll do it our own way, thank you
> very much'", many govt. agencies cannot communicate with each other by
> radio even when the equipment does work: every county and municipality,
> every fire dept. and ambulance service is free to decide what equipment
> to use regardless of what anybody else is using. I understand that
> Michigan is one of the few states with an integrated (or at least
> coordinated) radio system. Where multiple agencies are trying to work
> together, putting ham radio operators with each agency may be one of the
> few ways they have of keeping in touch.

michigan is still working on this system sad to say although they are
trying fairly hard and have made a lot of progress compared to much of
the nation
>
> "Perce"
> (aka Alan NV8A)

1013. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:56:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TLHUe.10445$FW1.3243@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118839
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126315066.262040.67480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Richard Clark wrote:
>> On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>> >> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in
>> >> > keeping
>> >> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
>>
>> Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.
>
> I have seencoverage of H&W traffic on the news
>>
>> >> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness
>> >> > the
>> >> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
>>
>> In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
>> abound.
>
> Cops dispatched by Ham radio? I don't think so
>>
>> >> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp
>> >> > people
>> >> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
>>
>> Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely unaware
>> of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to the
>> point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
>> half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after they
>> were rescued through Ham operator efforts.
>
> well that is news
>>
>> >But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
>> >thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
>> >CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
>> >I.
>>
>> From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
>> would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such

>> suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
>> communications.
>
> Virgina? I don't live in Virgina
>
> but in responf to a quip about the shelters in Montgomery being linked
> by Ham radio HF would hardly seem likely to be involved
>

Now the goof calls me a liar. I have been sitting on HF for the last WEEK helping the shelters talk with each other. Montgomery needs commo into places like the Gulf Coast, Biloxi, Gulfport, and of course New Orleans. Get a flippin map and tell me how VHF/UHF is going to make those distances?

Montgomery is the capital city of Alabama. Not what I would call a small town.

>>
>> >The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
>> >report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
>> >the level of critcal
>>
>> You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.
>
> Auf Anglish Bitte?
>
>>
>> To Others,
>>
>> I've rummaged up at least a couple of dozen references to the Ham
>> activities from a variety of news outlets (and not just the bobbing
>> heads of salacious cable TV). It would do us all well to stockpile
>> such commendations to "remind" authorities who so quickly forget in
>> the face of those who can stuff their pockets with money-for-airspace.
>
> Indeed we should, we should also make sure they are accurate
>>
>> 73's
>> Richard Clark, KB7QHC
>

1014. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 20:57:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126385863.388711.272520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118840
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > > As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about. Here
> > > > > in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red
> > > > > Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY
> > > > > communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.
> > > >
> > > > did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
> > > > thrower?
> > >
> > > Seems to me he did.
> >
> > but he did not
>
> But he DID!
>
> > > > But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> > > > thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> > > > CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> > > > I.
> > >
> > > ANYONE knows better than you.
> > >
> > > (1) There are only 35 ACTIVE GMRS licenses in Montgomery per the
> > > FCC. To the best of my knowledge, there is NO active GMRS repeater in
> > > Montgomery. However, with only 35 licensees, I find it hard to believe
> > > there's more than one if there's any at all.
> >
> > so now repeaters are the only means
> > cuting the off topic bull####
>
> What's "off topic" about it, Markie..?!?!
>
> YOU were the one who brought up GMRS and CB, Ya Putz!
>
> And you will please notice that I clearly addressed SIMPLEX

> frequencies too, so so much for your "repeaters only" diatribe, Markie.
>
> > all you do is rant mostly about things with no relavnce even make
> > claims that the laws of physics change somehow for cb hf
>
> (1) YOU brought up GMRS and CB radio, Markie, NOT ME!
>
> (2) I didn't claim any "laws of physics" were different for "cb
> hf" ! I SPECIFICALLY addressed the shortcomings of using CB radio
> BASED upon KNOWN propagation at that wavelength! It's the SAME reason
> that 10 meters is not typically used for short range emergency
> communications here! Add the horrific QRM on 11 meters, and it's a
> wasteland good for nothing except in-house intercom duties, IF that!
>
> > no reason advanced why the shelter even the radios at all all could be
> > handled less effectively perhaps by the people driving supplies to the
> > shelters
> >
> > I see a lot of posturing
>
> No...what you SAW here were some FACTS.

sure there are facts but it also a fact that i think you are an idoit
but this sate of being a fact does not make it relavant

nothing your rant saying why the neds Dan was identifing culd not be
met though other means

just a waste of BW
cut

> YOU said that you remembered Montgomery as being small. So now
> the Census numbers are "posturing"...?!?!

250,00 is relitive small but the issue was never number but land area
>
> > It was nice for that other poster a list a few life saving events,
> > though are worth knowing about.
>
> Stuff like that is going on every day right now. It will be a long
> time before Motorola and the other manufacturers can get the gear into
> the field and working.
>
> So...Amateurs created KATRINA just so we could "posture" in a
> newsgroup...?!?!

more of you absurdity

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1015. Re: A Most Humbling Experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:00:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126386018.317365.286770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118841

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 6, 5:25 pm
> >
> > >As much as it goes against my better judgement, I'm still going to give
> > >Steve the stage to show how the past week has changed his life. It is
> > >up to him to fly or flop, and I won't predict which he'll do. It's up
> > >to him.
> >
> > Dudly gonna FLOP. Again.
> >
> > I'll bet he's even now searching, searching the net for phrases,
> > whole stories with which to embellish his middle-school journalism
> > attempts.
> >
> > Maybe he thinks he can get a Pulitzer Prize for his "humbling
> > experience" tale? It isn't worth a Pullet Surprise...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> If Steve simply stays away as he's done recently, he made a big
> improvement.

indeed when he stays away he might even aid relief efforts just by
siting on his hand

1016. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:05:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qUHUe.9896$9i4.1896@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118842
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126301413.743957.191760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126284201.468452.155920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> Mac wrote:
>> >> > Great work and excellent publicity...cya Mac
>> >> >
>> >> > "Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
>> >> > > 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>> >> > >
>> >> > > --
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Charlie - AD5TH
>> >> > > www.ad5th.com
>> >>
>> >> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>> >> Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the
>> >> patriarch
>> >> of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face
>> >> of
>> >> MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>> >> Amateur Radio.
>> >
>> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in keeping
>> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
>> >
>> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as witness the
>> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
>> >
>> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp people
>> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all along
>> >>
>> >> Even the snide remarks of some lame slime from Motorola has been
>> >> laid waste.
>> >>
>> >> 73
>> >>
```

>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>>
>> As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about.
>> Here
>> in Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red
>> Cross on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY
>> communications between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.
>
> did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
> thrower?
>
> But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> I.
>
> as to your other points.
>
> One am unable judge for myself directly of course because of rules you
> personaly have supported for years.
>
> Two I said most com seemed to that most were H&W, Indeed given the size
> of Montgomery could not they diespense with anything but messagers? Not
> syaing they should but couldn't they.
>

Sure they could. And if we still had WWI carrier pidgeons they could be
used also. Or perhaps they could mail a letter.

> The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> the level of critcal
>
Then I suggest you listen in and find out what is being passed. I will be
posting on rec.radio.amateur. policy the statements from the ARRL on this
subject. Perhaps that will help you to understand.

>
>>
>> The Alabama Net and other groups are providing links further down the
>> line.
>
> and what are they passing?
>

Everything from relaying between shelters (because of poor conditions, or
poor antennas or both), helping various operators with finding links to
other nets, Health and Welfare such as passing messages (at our expense)
from the disaster area to families of those in the area. I personally
participated in passing Emergency traffic from Huntsville AL to New Orleans

Coast Guard about a family trapped in a house and needing their help. Additionally I relayed between a very weak HF station in New Orleans to Tallahassee Hospital to "tell the aircraft to come up on VHF". I have no idea what that meant. But I have no doubt it was important to someone.

I am just ONE operator doing what I can. How about stop criticizing those that are.....you may learn something.

> what vital message are they conveying?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

1017. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:07:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kWHUe.9897$9i4.9616@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118843
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126363970.820311.161670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > Richard Clark wrote:
>> > > On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> > > wrote:
>> > > >> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in
>> > > >> > keeping
>> > > >> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
>> > >
>> > > Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.
>> >
>> > I have seencoverage of H&W traffic on the news
>> > >
>> > > >> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as
>> > > >> > witness the
>> > > >> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
>> > >
>> > > In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
>> > > abound.
>> >
>> > Cops dispatched by Ham radio? I don't think so
>>
>> Then get your "believer" fixed, boyo.
>>
>> It's happened before, it's happening now.
>>
>> > > >> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp
>> > > >> > people
>> > > >> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all
>> > > >> > along
>> > >
>> > > Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely unaware
>> > > of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to the
>> > > point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
>> > > half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after they
>> > > were rescued through Ham operator efforts.
>> >
>> > well that is news
>> > >

>> > > >But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
>> > > >thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or
>> > > >even
>> > > >CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better
>> > > >than
>> > > >I.
>> > >
>> > > From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
>> > > would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such
>> > > suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
>> > > communications.
>> >
>> > Virgina? I don't live in Virgina
>>
>> Good thing, too.
> cuting you sex ramrks again
>> > but in responf to a quip about the shelters in Montgomery being linked
>> > by Ham radio HF would hardly seem likely to be involved
>>
>> "response"
>>
>> Why?
>>
>> You think that they only need to talk across town?
>
> that was the stated report
>>
>> > > >The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
>> > > >report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises
>> > > >to
>> > > >the level of critcal
>> > >
>> > > You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.
>> >
>> > Auf Anglish Bitte?
>>
>> Cowardly avoidance.
>
> then you are a bigger coward stilll pretending you don't understand
> when it is convientant
>>
>> Typical Mark Morgan.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
Just where did you get the notion that Montgomery only needed to talk across
town?

Dan/W4NTI

1018. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:09:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126386571.077673.154380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118844
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126363970.820311.161670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >> > Richard Clark wrote:
> >> > > On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >> > > wrote:
> >> > > >> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in
> >> > > >> > keeping
> >> > > >> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
> >> > >
> >> > > Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.
> >> >
> >> > I have seencoverage of H&W traffic on the news
> >> >
> >> > > >> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as
> >> > > >> > witness the
> >> > > >> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
> >> >
> >> > In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
> >> > abound.
> >> >
> >> > Cops dispatched by Ham radio? I don't think so
> >>
> >> Then get your "believer" fixed, boyo.
> >>
> >> It's happened before, it's happening now.
> >>
> >> > > >> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is hellp
> >> > > >> > people
> >> > > >> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying all
> >> > > >> > along
> >> > >
> >> > > Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely unaware
> >> > > of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to the
> >> > > point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
> >> > > half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after they
> >> > > were rescued through Ham operator efforts.
> >> >
> >> > well that is news

> >> > >
> >> > > >But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> >> > > >thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or
> >> > > >even
> >> > > >CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better
> >> > > >than
> >> > > >I.
> >> > >
> >> > > From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
> >> > > would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such
> >> > > suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
> >> > > communications.
> >> >
> >> > Virgina? I don't live in Virgina
> >>
> >> Good thing, too.
> > cuting you sex ramrks again
> >> > but in responf to a quip about the shelters in Montgomery being linked
> >> > by Ham radio HF would hardly seem likely to be involved
> >>
> >> "response"
> >>
> >> Why?
> >>
> >> You think that they only need to talk across town?
> >
> > that was the stated report
> >>
> >> > > >The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> >> > > >report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises
> >> > > >to
> >> > > >the level of critcal
> >> > >
> >> > > You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.
> >> >
> >> > Auf Anglish Bitte?
> >>
> >> Cowardly avoidance.
> >
> > then you are a bigger coward stilll pretending you don't understand
> > when it is convientant
> >>
> >> Typical Mark Morgan.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Just where did you get the notion that Montgomery only needed to talk across
> town?

from your statement obviously
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1019. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:13:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126386817.465115.147680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118845
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126301413.743957.191760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut

> >
> > did you you bother to read my remarks before lighting up the flame
> > thrower?
> >
> > But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago, and
> > thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS or even
> > CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better than
> > I.
> >
> > as to your other points.
> >
> > One am unable judge for myself directly of course because of rules you
> > personaly have supported for years.
> >
> > Two I said most com seemed to that most were H&W, Indeed given the size
> > of Montgomery could not they diespense with anything but messagers? Not
> > syaing they should but couldn't they.
> >
>
> Sure they could. And if we still had WWI carrier pidgeons they could be
> used also. Or perhaps they could mail a letter.
>
> > The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see no
> > report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that rises to
> > the level of critcal
> >
> Then I suggest you listen in and find out what is being passed. I will be
> posting on rec.radio.amateur. policy the statements from the ARRL on this
> subject. Perhaps that will help you to understand.

Dan if that is what I am to judge the ARS come off even worse

>
> >
> >>
> >> The Alabama Net and other groups are providing links further down the
> >> line.

> >
> > and what are they passing?
> >
>
> Everything from relaying between shelters (because of poor conditions, or
> poor antennas or both), helping various operators with finding links to
> other nets, Health and Welfare such as passing messages (at our expense)
> from the disaster area to families of those in the area. I personally
> participated in passing Emergency traffic from Huntsville AL to New Orleans
> Coast Guard about a family trapped in a house and needing their help.
> Additionally I relayed between a very weak HF station in New Orleans to
> Tallahassee Hospital to "tell the aircraft to come up on VHF". I have no
> idea what that meant. But I have no doubt it was important to someone.
>
> I am just ONE operator doing what I can. How about stop criticizing those
> that are.....you may learn something.

again you and Stvie and Dave can't allow anyone to question any thing

anyone daring to question is subject to automatic flame thrower

Very typical of the ARS operators these days

so thin skined that anything short glorifcation is critism
>
> > what vital message are they conveying?
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >

1020. Latest from ARRL about Emergency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:18:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <h4IUe.9900$9i4.657@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118846

==>Editorial questions or comments: Rick Lindquist, N1RL, n1rl@arrl.org
==========

==>AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS FILLING COMMUNICATION GAPS IN GULF REGION

Hundreds of Amateur Radio operators from the Gulf Coast and elsewhere in the US continue to volunteer their skills and expertise as the Hurricane Katrina relief effort heads into its third week. ARRL Section Managers (SMs) and Section Emergency Coordinators (SECs) across and around the affected region have been teleconferencing daily to keep their efforts on the same page. In the field, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) and other volunteers are assisting as needed to support communication for relief agencies as well as for state and local government and even the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Louisiana SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, says Amateur Radio was the only means for state officials at the state emergency operations center (EOC) in Baton Rouge to communicate earlier this week with the so-called "Florida parishes" above Lake Pontchartrain.

"We have had praise from one end of Louisiana to the other about Amateur Radio operators," Stratton said. "There was a communication to the EOC in Baton Rouge from FEMA that said, 'Ham radio is our prime communications with you, and they should get anything they need,' so FEMA recognizes the importance of ham radio." He also recounted how state officials arriving at the EOC were using ham radio to get through to their hard-hit parishes.

A marshaling center has been established in Covington, Louisiana. ARES has been continuing to support Red Cross shelter and Southern Baptist Convention debris-clearing in St Tammany parish, as well as Baptist Men's Kitchen canteen operations. In Washington Parish, ARES volunteers--including more than a dozen from South Texas--have been providing critical communication among hospitals and the parish EOC, among other functions. Field teams were continuing to use HF to maintain communication with the EOC in Baton Rouge.

Stratton, who's temporarily handed over his SEC duties to former Louisiana SM Al Oubre, K5SDG, said that while things are going along okay right now, he foresees a need for additional operators down the road, once closed areas are reopened. "One of the biggest problems we're going to have is relief for the operators who have been down there [in the affected parishes]," he said.

"New Orleans is, of course, our next thrust, and we're going to have to have to staff recovery efforts down there, but it'll be a different kind of recovery effort," Stratton predicted. "We'll be supporting the EOC in Baton Rouge with temporary communications until the National Guard can get in."

Stratton said Amateur Radio has even had to loan some government agencies their communication gear because their own didn't function. "It's been an

eye-opener to me operating in the EOC down there how terribly their equipment operates," he said.

In Mississippi, ARES operators have been helping to maintain communication among hospitals, EOCs and shelters. ARES District Emergency Coordinator Tom Hammack, W4WLF, reported operators were sleeping on the floor when off duty. State RACES Officer and ARES DEC Ron Brown, AB5WF, was setting up a staging area for Amateur Radio volunteers near the Mississippi Emergency Management Agency in Jackson.

SECs in the US Gulf advise volunteers signing up for duty in the hurricane-stricken zones to coordinate with their home SECs and, once given the go-ahead, arrive as self-sufficient as possible. "If you need it, you bring it," advised Alabama SEC Jay Isbell, KA4KUN. Volunteers have come from all over the US.

Isbell said each Red Cross feeding unit was turning out 25,000 to 30,000 meals a day. "They still need communication," he said. Local amateurs in the affected areas were handling some of the tactical communication on VHF.

A staging area in Montgomery, Alabama, continues to process and orient Amateur Radio volunteers for American Red Cross and other duty in Louisiana and Mississippi. Some volunteers will help support communication at Red Cross shelters set up for evacuees, while others will provide tactical communication for feeding stations or for emergency management. Alabama SM Greg Sarratt, W4OZK, has been coordinating ham radio volunteers at the Montgomery site.

Norm North Jr, WA1DBR, of Arkansas, was deployed to a Red Cross shelter in Biloxi, Mississippi, where he managed to squeeze in some health-and-welfare messages among the emergency traffic.

North says typical requests included pleas from mothers trying to find missing children, youngsters looking for parents and other trying to get word to families and loved ones that they'd survived the storm and were at the shelter. "Many messages got through," North said, "and I received many thanks and hugs."

As conventional telecommunications starts coming back to life, traffic has been slowing on the major regional HF emergency net--the West Gulf ARES Emergency Net on 7.285 MHz days and 3.873 MHz nights. As a result, the net announced September 9 that it would secure routine operation at 0600 UTC September 10. An open net will be maintained on 3.862 MHz after that.

West Gulf ARES Emergency Net Manager Lee Franks, N5FP (ex-AD5IS), says the net passed traffic as recently as September 7 about a man trapped in an attic in Arabi [Louisiana]. "We're still getting a trickle of messages like this," he said earlier this week. "As communications are reestablished via landline and VHF-UHF links in that area, there has been less demand on our net--but I'd call it an absolute, tremendous success what we have done."

There's more information on Amateur Radio's Hurricane Katrina response on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org>.

==>ARRL HEADQUARTERS RESPONDING TO HURRICANE KATRINA ON SEVERAL FRONTS

As the magnitude of Hurricane Katrina's devastation in human terms became evident along the US Gulf Coast, activities at ARRL Headquarters ramped up into crisis mode. The immediate challenges were many and seemed to multiply by the minute. Under the leadership of ARRL Chief Operating Officer Harold Kramer, WJ1B--an experienced Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) Emergency Coordinator--and Special Assistant to the CEO Dave Patton, NN1N, ARRL staff members mustered to focus their energies on responding to the needs of ham radio volunteers. Kramer says the overarching goal at ARRL Headquarters has been to support its Field Organization of ARES and other volunteers.

"Their main job is to support the served agencies, such as the American Red Cross, The Salvation Army, FEMA," Kramer said. "Because this is over such a widespread area, a lot of the Amateur Radio infrastructure in that area got destroyed, so we're having to bring in operators from further out--as well as equipment." With a lack of communication cited as the largest obstacle to rescue and relief efforts, ARES members--with support from Headquarters--began bridging the gap immediately.

The sheer size of the geographical region affected by the disaster and the dearth of communication put ARRL Headquarters in the somewhat unusual role of serving as a clearing house for various aspects of the response. Activities include helping to recruit volunteers, coordinating equipment donations, and working with regulatory agencies and the news media. A daily conference call has brought together Headquarters personnel and Section Managers (SMs) and Section Emergency Coordinators (SECs) from the affected region to provide situation reports, compare notes and request any assistance they need from ARRL Headquarters.

"We don't normally have to do that much support for the Field Organization," Kramer pointed out.

ARRL has been receiving donations and offers of equipment and services for use in the Hurricane Katrina relief effort. Some two dozen members of the Amateur Radio industry and individual radio amateurs have contributed gear. The donations were made as gifts to ARRL, which is redirecting these resources as needed to the disaster zone. Some donors have offered valuable services and supplies.

"The ARRL would like to thank everyone who has generously donated Amateur Radio equipment, accessories and supplies," said Kramer. Some of the equipment already on hand has been or soon will be deployed to assist relief agencies such as the American Red Cross as well as state and local emergency managers, he said.

Key ARRL staff members have been meeting on a daily basis--including over the Labor Day holiday weekend--to help keep track of events and relief-related initiatives as they progress. Over the Labor Day holiday weekend, ARRL Headquarters employees volunteered to staff Maxim Memorial Station W1AW around the clock and to keep telephone (860-594-0200) and e-mail communication open <katrina@arrl.org>.

The activity at W1AW also provided a focal point for local news media. On more than one occasion, TV crews showed up at HQ for a story about how Amateur Radio was doing its part in the disaster. Major "media hits" included a favorable article in the Wall Street Journal September 6. Another turned up on MSNBC's Web site, and a third on the Computerworld Web site.

ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, volunteered to head into the hurricane strike zone. He checked in at the American Red Cross ham radio support volunteer center in Montgomery, Alabama, on September 6. Motschenbacher has a complete HF station as well as sufficient supplies to stay in the field for a couple of weeks. He was expected to be deployed to help in Mississippi.

ARRL Media and Public Relations Manager Allen Pitts, W1AGP, also has headed to Montgomery to coordinate with national news media there.

1021. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:24:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <1aIUe.9902$9i4.6598@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118847
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126386571.077673.154380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126363970.820311.161670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > Richard Clark wrote:
>> >> > > On 9 Sep 2005 14:30:13 -0700, "an_old_friend"
>> >> > > <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> >> > > wrote:
>> >> > > >> > No waviering here. The ARS proides usefull service, mostly in
>> >> > > >> > keeping
>> >> > > >> > H&W stuff off the plate of those with better things to do.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > Mostly? This is a left-handed complement in light of the news.
>> >> >
>> >> > I have seencoverage of H&W traffic on the news
>> >> > >
>> >> > > >> > It does not properly replace the system used by the cops as
>> >> > > >> > witness the
>> >> > > >> > keystone cops bummbling we see and here about
>> >> > >
>> >> > > In fact it did replace the system used by the cops, and witnesses
>> >> > > abound.
>> >> >
>> >> > Cops dispatched by Ham radio? I don't think so
>> >>
>> >> Then get your "believer" fixed, boyo.
>> >>
>> >> It's happened before, it's happening now.
>> >>
>> >> > > >> > the ARS is not saving the day or saving civilation, it is
>> >> > > >> > hellp
>> >> > > >> > people
>> >> > > >> > lessen the impact of the disaster, what I have been saying
>> >> > > >> > all
>> >> > > >> > along
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > Well, until you were crossposted here, we have been entirely

>> >> > > unaware
>> >> > > of what you have been saying all along. That aside, and more to
>> >> > > the
>> >> > > point about your comment about ARS not saving the day, there are a
>> >> > > half dozen FEMA workers who would humbly admit otherwise after
>> >> > > they
>> >> > > were rescued through Ham operator efforts.
>> >> >
>> >> > well that is news
>> >> > >
>> >> > > >But just How big is Mntgomery I was though there once long ago,
>> >> > > >and
>> >> > > >thought it pretty small. But any reason they could not use GRMS
>> >> > > >or
>> >> > > >even
>> >> > > >CB to make those links? I don't see any but you might know better
>> >> > > >than
>> >> > > >I.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > From your vantage deep in Virginia, I would think that Montgomery
>> >> > > would be quite cosmopolitan. Going beyond that however, such
>> >> > > suggestions as offered above seem to miss the point of HF
>> >> > > communications.
>> >> >
>> >> > Virgina? I don't live in Virgina
>> >>
>> >> Good thing, too.
>> > cuting you sex ramrks again
>> >> > but in responf to a quip about the shelters in Montgomery being
>> >> > linked
>> >> > by Ham radio HF would hardly seem likely to be involved
>> >>
>> >> "response"
>> >>
>> >> Why?
>> >>
>> >> You think that they only need to talk across town?
>> >
>> > that was the stated report
>> >>
>> >> > > >The Comms provided by hams are important and of value, But I see
>> >> > > >no
>> >> > > >report of (let alone have one confirmed) use of ham radio that
>> >> > > >rises
>> >> > > >to
>> >> > > >the level of critcal
>> >> > >
>> >> > > You should consider your choices made in informing yourself.
>> >> >
>> >> > Auf Anglish Bitte?

>> >>
>> >> Cowardly avoidance.
>> >
>> > then you are a bigger coward stilll pretending you don't understand
>> > when it is convientant
>> >>
>> >> Typical Mark Morgan.
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> Just where did you get the notion that Montgomery only needed to talk
>> across
>> town?
>
> from your statement obviously
>>
I never said any such thing. I was talking about comms in/out of shelters.
You think ALL the shelters are in one town?

Are you seriously this out of step with the rest of ham radio? Seek mental
health help. You have been fixed, right? Please say yes.

Dan/W4NTI

1022. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:26:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0cIUe.9904$9i4.8427@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118848

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:CqDUe.23$OT2.18@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>
> ==>AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS FILLING COMMUNICATION GAPS IN GULF REGION
>
> Hundreds of Amateur Radio operators from the Gulf Coast and elsewhere in
> the
> US continue to volunteer their skills and expertise as the Hurricane
> Katrina
> relief effort heads into its third week. ARRL Section Managers (SMs) and
> Section Emergency Coordinators (SECs) across and around the affected
> region
> have been teleconferencing daily to keep their efforts on the same page.
> In
> the field, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) and other volunteers are
> assisting as needed to support communication for relief agencies as well
> as
> for state and local government and even the Federal Emergency Management
> Agency (FEMA). Louisiana SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, says Amateur Radio was
> the only means for state officials at the state emergency operations
> center
> (EOC) in Baton Rouge to communicate earlier this week with the so-called
> "Florida parishes" above Lake Pontchartrain.
>
> "We have had praise from one end of Louisiana to the other about Amateur
> Radio operators," Stratton said. "There was a communication to the EOC in
> Baton Rouge from FEMA that said, 'Ham radio is our prime communications
> with
> you, and they should get anything they need,' so FEMA recognizes the
> importance of ham radio." He also recounted how state officials arriving
> at
> the EOC were using ham radio to get through to their hard-hit parishes.
>
> A marshaling center has been established in Covington, Louisiana. ARES has
> been continuing to support Red Cross shelter and Southern Baptist
> Convention
> debris-clearing in St Tammany parish, as well as Baptist Men's Kitchen
> canteen operations. In Washington Parish, ARES volunteers--including more
> than a dozen from South Texas--have been providing critical communication
> among hospitals and the parish EOC, among other functions. Field teams
> were
> continuing to use HF to maintain communication with the EOC in Baton
> Rouge.....
> <snip>

> This comes from www.arrl.org and its "ARRL Letter. I call attention to
> the quotes by FEMA officials and others as tp the necessity and vitality
> of Amateur Radio to the relief effort in the aftermath of Katrina. Yes,
> it is from a publication dedicated to Amateur Radio itself, but I also
> invite readers to go to the site and read the entire article AND the part
> where the National Guard borrowed equipment from HAMS WHEN THEIRS WOULDN'T
> WORK!!!!!! THERE ARE INSTANCES WHERE A M A T E U R R A D I O WAS, AND
> STILL IS, THE O N L Y means of commuications into and out of various
> Louisiana parishes!!!!!!!!!!!! The O N L Y communications. Period!
>
> Again, it doesn't matter how MUCH ham radio contributes, but that it IS
> contributing in VITAL ways and, in some cases, is the ONLY communications.
> Period. HAHAHAHA!
>
> We can deny, denigrate, belittle, downrate Amateur radio all you like, but
> facts is facts. I am sitting here LISTENING to HF ham radio traffic of all
> kinds from the stricken area. A lot of it sounds important to ME, but
> then what do I know? *I* am not the ones (Lenny) in denial!
>
> Jerry
>

Neither he, nor the old goofball believe the ARRL. This is all a big ploy
to make hams look better according to the old goof. Lennie is strangly
quiet these days. Guess his life long hate of Amateur Radio doing good
things must have him all upset.

Dan/W4NTI

1023. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:36:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <%IIUe.9986$_84.185@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118849

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126306602.718885.144290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> In the discussion of the ARS work during Katrina there is a distintion
> being lost, the difference between Valuable and Vital.
>
> I am certain that somewhere postclean up some looking at disater plans
> is goingto look at just what value our service has provided
>
> I have seen little in the back and forth that rises to level of Vital.
> Indeed would the world notice a difference in the suffering if the ARS
> had not existed for Katrina. even the people we help would likely not
> be aware of the difference after all a ham radio message seem to come
> to them as a bolt from the blue since our profile in the world is low.
>
> agin I se our service as valuable but despensable, the served agencies
> could funtion without us supplies would still be moved
>
> This isn't to trash the ARS but someone not freindly to our interests
> will be asking these questions, we would be better if we had thought
> about em first
>

With your attitude and age you don't know of how Ham Radio used to be with the agencies. Right up there with "gotta have it".

Over the years the agencies like Red Cross, Civil Defense (Now Emergency Management), etc. depended on hams for commo. These type of agencies went for the sales pitches of the high tech big buck computer driven systems you see today. You know the ones like the NY Trade Center had and no one could talk to each other with. Same Same in this fiasco.

KISS.....keep it simple stupid is a time proven technique. And hams have that perfected.

HOPEFULLY someone in power will pay attention before the next disaster strikes. In the mean time dedicated Amateur Radio operators will practice and train. At their own expense and not ask a dang thing for it.

Dan/W4NTI

1024. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:40:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <spIUe.9987$_84.3419@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118750

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126303877.186367.189160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39
>>
>> > > I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>> > >Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the
>> > >patriarch
>> > >of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face
>> > >of
>> > >MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>> > >Amateur Radio.
>> >
>> > "Patriarch?!?" Not I, never having met in-person any of
>> > those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
>> > Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable.
>>
>> In other words, you're ducking. Amateur Radio is doing exactly
>> what you said it can't won't do.
>
> It is "ducking" to disclaim a title conferred by your enemy
>
> and ARs is still not providing vital coms
>
> cut excess hot air suggesting perhaps it be redirected to NO to help
> dry the place out
>> And YOU are the one who always claims that Amateur Radio isn't the
>> "force" that it's own PR folks claim simply because there's not "major
>> media" coverage corroborating it.
>>
>> Whelp...I consider Fox News, CNN, The Wall Street Journal, MSN,
>> etc, to be those "major media" resources.
>
> I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
> the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
> important but nothing life saving
>
> cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before
>

Just what do you consider "VITAL COMMS" ???

Lets get some specifics here . Then we shall see the Ham community tear you a new one.

Dan/W4NTI

1025. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:44:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <SsIUe.9991$_84.5748@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118751

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:tLCUe.2$OT2.1@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>
> "John" <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:43223790.30107@worldnet.att.net...
>>
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39
>>>>
>>>>>> I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
>>>>>>Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the
>>>>>>patriarch
>>>>>>of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face
>>>>>>of
>>>>>>MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
>>>>>>Amateur Radio.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Patriarch?!?" Not I, never having met in-person any of
>>>>> those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
>>>>> Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable.
>>>>
>>>> In other words, you're ducking. Amateur Radio is doing exactly
>>>>what you said it can't won't do.
>>>
>>>
>>> It is "ducking" to disclaim a title conferred by your enemy
>>>
>>> and ARs is still not providing vital coms
>>>
>>> cut excess hot air suggesting perhaps it be redirected to NO to help
>>> dry the place out
>>>
>>>> And YOU are the one who always claims that Amateur Radio isn't the
>>>>"force" that it's own PR folks claim simply because there's not "major
>>>>media" coverage corroborating it.
>>>>
>>>> Whelp...I consider Fox News, CNN, The Wall Street Journal, MSN,
>>>>etc, to be those "major media" resources.
>>>

>>>
>>> I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
>>> the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
>>> important but nothing life saving
>>>
>>> cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before
>>>
>> Than it is the news coverage that has missed the boat. I have listened
>> (BTW first rule for an emergency net, listen, don't transmit, unless your
>> help is needed) to the SATERN net (14.265) and heard relays of quite a
>> number of life saving messages - e.g. someone at such and such an address
>> needs to be rescued. Sounds like life saving to me.
>> John
>
> And I also have been hearing MARS passing life-saving traffic. Uh, MARS
> is.....er, what? HAMS! And I've also heard the SAtern net doing
> the same. "Mrs. X is out of insulin, critical need at such 'n such place".
> "Be careful wading around there, we saw some water moccasins swimming
> there". (Have you ever SEEN a cotton mouth, Mr Anderson) :)
>
> It is not just H & W traffic; the ham volunteers are in place to
> facilitate communications whatever the message.
> They were onscene almost immediately doing what they have always done; a
> part in the total scheme of things. How MUCH ham radio does is immaterial,
> or WHAT traffic they pass is not important. They ARE there doing what they
> can just as I would if I were called. This bickering, snipping, arguing
> about the "uselessness" of ham radio is stupid and those that who armchair
> quarterback from 1000 miles away don't know what they are talking about
> just as I don't know what is going on in NO. But I CAN monitor what is
> happening and I know that the military, CAP, church relief organizations,
> Red Cross, AND Amateur Radio are doing whatever they can to contribute to
> the effort.
>
> The thread began pre-Katrina and was basically about how Amateur Radio was
> "useless" or irrelevant to disasters--even how the wonderfully "organized"
> CBers could provide "communications into and out of a stricken
> area---along with the usually attacks and accusations.
>
> The bottom line is, hams ARE providing a service. Some of it is Health and
> Welfare traffic, some of it is vital communications concerning threats to
> life and property. I'm sitting here LISTENING to it, dammit! So let's quit
> yelling at each other and get back to work whether it be wading thru
> several feet of water, looking for victims, passing the most mundane of
> messages, OR opening our wallets to donate money or supplies. :(
>]
> Jerry
>

Bravo Jerry....well put. I assure you there are very few folks here that
share the view of 'an old friend'.

Dan/W4NTI

1026. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 21:54:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <eCIUe.9996$_84.1903@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118752

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126366509.222617.193400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Morris wrote:
>> Yes, the content has changed vastly: 1) His rhetoric has risen to
>> leading a conspiracy against the US governmnet, i.e. urging hams not to
>> follow FCC interpretation of Part 97 while urging them to follow his
>> construction,
>
> well I hear alot any govt conspriay stuff ont he air these days
>
>>2) He now has a call in radio show, which may or may not
>> be violative,
>
> not sure how but it would a change
>
>> 3) He has involved himself and ham radio in many
>> instances of foreign policy, 4) There is now a commercial twist that
>> was never present in 1989, 5) He has begun defaming the man in charge
>> (Riley Hollingsworth], not present in 1989.
>
> was not flaming others though in those days?
>>
>> Even if his rule construction in certain aspects is correct and the
>> FCC's, incorrect, our system affords a way to handle that situation
>> through declaratory ruling in the administrative sector. None of us
>> has the right to urge others into non-compliance.
>
> I could find a lot of people to disagree with you, there is a well
> established (outside Ham radio) tradition of civl disobeince
>
>
>> Moreover, to defame
>> Riley Hollingsworth amounts to leading a conspiracy against our
>> government, because if we listen to Baxter, we're supposed to disregard
>> Hollingsworth, anti-thetical to a functioning Republic.
>
> I'll have to pass that one onto Carl Rove with the suggestion that GW
> start arresting his opponents for treason
>
>> Tieing into
>> his bulletins his Web Site, where he advertises money-making endeavors
>> is commercial, a Part 97 no-no.
>

> but does the ARRL mention its website which certainly involved in
> fundrasing and has links to comercail sitie
>
>> Supporting revolutions, such as the
>> one in Bougainville, clearly violates the Patriot Act, because none of
>> us can presume to do foreign policy, especially over ham radio.
>
> Suporting govt change is NOT a violation of the patroit act.
>
>
>>
>> This piece, not a complete analysis by any means, is but the tip of the
>> iceberg.
>
> I thank you for it, but honestly I don't see anything beyond some
> suggestions that Baxter might be best dealt by Mental health and not
> the FCC. He seems to be not a nice person, but is not in and of itself
> a rules violation
>

A sensible comment from 'an old friend'....again I am amazed....wait a minute.....'an old friend' recognizing Glenn Baxter is in need of a rubber room......right off the bat, eh? Perhaps a bit of experience 'old friend'?

Dan/W4NTI

1027. Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:05:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <EMIUe.9999$_84.9585@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118753

http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx

1028. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:11:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126390318.686281.153260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118754

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126303877.186367.189160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 8 Sep 2005 23:39
> >>
> >> > > I've noted that the usual rhetoric from the "Ham Radio Will Never
> >> > >Get Through When Anything Fails" crowd, specifically from the
> >> > >patriarch
> >> > >of the Feeble Five, Lennie "No Guts" Anderson, has waned in the face
> >> > >of
> >> > >MAJOR media stories in all facets of "the press" that have lauded
> >> > >Amateur Radio.
> >> >
> >> > "Patriarch?!?" Not I, never having met in-person any of
> >> > those against the mighty warrior of "seven hostile actions,"
> >> > Dudly the Unconquerable...aka Dudly the Unhumbleable.
> >>
> >> In other words, you're ducking. Amateur Radio is doing exactly
> >> what you said it can't won't do.
> >
> > It is "ducking" to disclaim a title conferred by your enemy
> >
> > and ARs is still not providing vital coms
> >
> > cut excess hot air suggesting perhaps it be redirected to NO to help
> > dry the place out
> >> And YOU are the one who always claims that Amateur Radio isn't the
> >> "force" that it's own PR folks claim simply because there's not "major
> >> media" coverage corroborating it.
> >>
> >> Whelp...I consider Fox News, CNN, The Wall Street Journal, MSN,
> >> etc, to be those "major media" resources.
> >
> > I have been watching the news I don't see any coverage indacating that
> > the ARs is preforming a vital service. It is providing the H&W traffic,
> > important but nothing life saving
> >
> > cuting more hot air, with the same suggestion as before
> >
>
> Just what do you consider "VITAL COMMS" ???

as i have said Vital ops are those that can't be handled by other
means, the failure of which to get through will cause substancail harm
to many people or additonal damage to infrastructure

Since the post the post you are quoting I have heard of some
lifesavinng messgae but would stand behind the revised assertion that
most of the ARS traffic is H&W with some life saving traffic in the mix

But what I am seeing described is retail aid, in a disater of
wholescale proprotions

important but not vital

What traffic is being passed by the ARS that would affect the headlines
in this disaster, the only headlines that would be affected are the one
mentioning us by name

Vital comms

I have been informed that the ARS can normaly allow to be complied list
of people in the shelters of the affected areas, I hear from SecHS
mouth that no such list yet exists after how many days

Indeed I can recall being regalled with how Cw would rise to pass large
volumes of traffic for us in a disater such as this
>
> Lets get some specifics here . Then we shall see the Ham community tear you
> a new one.

Why BTW is someone holding the opinion that th ARS operations in
Katrina are important and valueble but not al though vital such a
threat to your ego?

the ARS is doing better than I expected out of this, but not at all as
well as we have been lead to believe it could do
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1029. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:15:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126390529.275426.171890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118755
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126386571.077673.154380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut
> >> Just where did you get the notion that Montgomery only needed to talk
> >> across
> >> town?
> >
> > from your statement obviously
> >>
> I never said any such thing. I was talking about comms in/out of shelters.
> You think ALL the shelters are in one town?

you never said any such thing as needing to get to other cites, you
seem to think it is my duty to read your mind
>
> Are you seriously this out of step with the rest of ham radio?

Perhaps I am indeed I suspect I like a lot of the long term memeber of
the tech class are largely cut off from the rest of Ham radio. OTOH
that is a result of decisions and rules you have supported for years

> Seek mental
> health help. You have been fixed, right? Please say yes.

up thine preffeable with a antenna conection to powerful tranmitter
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1030. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:16:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126390593.495316.245870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118756

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:tLCUe.2$OT2.1@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
cut
> >
>
> Bravo Jerry....well put. I assure you there are very few folks here that
> share the view of 'an old friend'.

only about a thrid of the regulars here
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1031. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:20:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126390837.611732.166110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118757

Jerry wrote:
cut
> We can deny, denigrate, belittle, downrate Amateur radio all you like, but
> facts is facts. I am sitting here LISTENING to HF ham radio traffic of all
> kinds from the stricken area. A lot of it sounds important to ME, but then
> what do I know? *I* am not the ones (Lenny) in denial!

the proof of the statements of Len and myself is in your title "Proof
of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio"

using proof and necessity mean you blow the truth right of the water

if you had choosen instead the "Importance of the ARS"

literaly how many more people would DIE without the ARS (and how do you
sdetermeine that without asking question about the operations?

IMO and in Lens I believe there is a difference between vital and
merely important
>
> Jerry

1032. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:24:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126391064.045826.38590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118758

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126366509.222617.193400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Morris wrote:
> >> Yes, the content has changed vastly: 1) His rhetoric has risen to
> >> leading a conspiracy against the US governmnet, i.e. urging hams not to
> >> follow FCC interpretation of Part 97 while urging them to follow his
> >> construction,
> >
> > well I hear alot any govt conspriay stuff ont he air these days
> >
> >>2) He now has a call in radio show, which may or may not
> >> be violative,
> >
> > not sure how but it would a change
> >
> >> 3) He has involved himself and ham radio in many
> >> instances of foreign policy, 4) There is now a commercial twist that
> >> was never present in 1989, 5) He has begun defaming the man in charge
> >> (Riley Hollingsworth], not present in 1989.
> >
> > was not flaming others though in those days?
> >>
> >> Even if his rule construction in certain aspects is correct and the
> >> FCC's, incorrect, our system affords a way to handle that situation
> >> through declaratory ruling in the administrative sector. None of us
> >> has the right to urge others into non-compliance.
> >
> > I could find a lot of people to disagree with you, there is a well
> > established (outside Ham radio) tradition of civl disobeince
> >
> >
> >> Moreover, to defame
> >> Riley Hollingsworth amounts to leading a conspiracy against our
> >> government, because if we listen to Baxter, we're supposed to disregard
> >> Hollingsworth, anti-thetical to a functioning Republic.
> >
> > I'll have to pass that one onto Carl Rove with the suggestion that GW
> > start arresting his opponents for treason
> >
> >> Tieing into
> >> his bulletins his Web Site, where he advertises money-making endeavors
> >> is commercial, a Part 97 no-no.

> >
> > but does the ARRL mention its website which certainly involved in
> > fundrasing and has links to comercail sitie
> >
> >> Supporting revolutions, such as the
> >> one in Bougainville, clearly violates the Patriot Act, because none of
> >> us can presume to do foreign policy, especially over ham radio.
> >
> > Suporting govt change is NOT a violation of the patroit act.
> >
> >
> >>
> >> This piece, not a complete analysis by any means, is but the tip of the
> >> iceberg.
> >
> > I thank you for it, but honestly I don't see anything beyond some
> > suggestions that Baxter might be best dealt by Mental health and not
> > the FCC. He seems to be not a nice person, but is not in and of itself
> > a rules violation
> >
>
> A sensible comment from 'an old friend'....again I am amazed....wait a
> minute.....'an old friend' recognizing Glenn Baxter is in need of a rubber
> room......right off the bat, eh? Perhaps a bit of experience 'old
> friend'?

even agre with you and get flamed for it

OTOH I did not mention a rubber room either, simple mental health work
very few people are need of the rubber room. I have no evidence that
K1MAN would even benifit from one let alone need it

I take it then you agree with me that K1MAN is not much of an issue for
the FCC then
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1033. Re: Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:32:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126391560.742242.125090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118759

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx

look at what? an empty file?

1034. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 22:42:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126392137.088776.231800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118760

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126306602.718885.144290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > In the discussion of the ARS work during Katrina there is a distintion
> > being lost, the difference between Valuable and Vital.
cut
> > This isn't to trash the ARS but someone not freindly to our interests
> > will be asking these questions, we would be better if we had thought
> > about em first
> >
>
> With your attitude and age you don't know of how Ham Radio used to be with
> the agencies. Right up there with "gotta have it".

I point out of the critical part of your sentence ..."used to be.."
>
> Over the years the agencies like Red Cross, Civil Defense (Now Emergency
> Management), etc. depended on hams for commo. These type of agencies went
> for the sales pitches of the high tech big buck computer driven systems you
> see today. You know the ones like the NY Trade Center had and no one could
> talk to each other with. Same Same in this fiasco.

and sometimes the agencies were simply not satified with the degree of
control they had over us in those ops. and example I can point to is
Sprifnfield IL, where the IEMa director got pissed that a ham operator
cut him off, when he want to rip one of his subordinates a new one with
many "colorfull metaphors", the ham control op cut the radio and tried
to explain that he can't say stuff like that on Ham radio

Hams have been largely excluded in Illinois from emergency planing
since that event)things may have been patched up by now Todd might know
more about that than I anymore

Thus for various reason the served agencies have been wanting more
contol over what they depend on and are willing to spend the bucks to
try and get it. Indeed with as much as I understand the cell phones
continued to pass text messages I wonder if they will a real chance to
spend more money on enhancing the towers and back up power supplies
hope to achieve a system within there control, and perhaps look at
geting the govt to sell off some of oour VHF and UHF spectrum to pay
for it
>
> KISS.....keep it simple stupid is a time proven technique. And hams have
> that perfected.

>
> HOPEFULLY someone in power will pay attention before the next disaster
> strikes. In the mean time dedicated Amateur Radio operators will practice
> and train. At their own expense and not ask a dang thing for it.

>
> Dan/W4NTI

1035. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 23:01:59 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1126393478_8223@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 118761
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> So...Amateurs created KATRINA just so we could "posture" in a
> newsgroup...?!?!

Someone interviewed on network news said today that it was
an "Act of God by George Bush's God". A couple of days ago
some followers of Allah were taking credit for it.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1036. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 23:07:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5so6i1drfuv05r78effdbvtjib47ojhjlq@4ax.com>
Source record: 118762

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 21:26:20 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<0cIUe.9904$9i4.8427@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:CqDUe.23$OT2.18@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>>
>> ==>AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS FILLING COMMUNICATION GAPS IN GULF REGION
>>
>> Hundreds of Amateur Radio operators from the Gulf Coast and elsewhere in
>> the
>> US continue to volunteer their skills and expertise as the Hurricane
>> Katrina
>> relief effort heads into its third week. ARRL Section Managers (SMs) and
>> Section Emergency Coordinators (SECs) across and around the affected
>> region
>> have been teleconferencing daily to keep their efforts on the same page.
>> In
>> the field, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) and other volunteers are
>> assisting as needed to support communication for relief agencies as well
>> as
>> for state and local government and even the Federal Emergency Management
>> Agency (FEMA). Louisiana SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, says Amateur Radio was
>> the only means for state officials at the state emergency operations
>> center
>> (EOC) in Baton Rouge to communicate earlier this week with the so-called
>> "Florida parishes" above Lake Pontchartrain.
>>
>> "We have had praise from one end of Louisiana to the other about Amateur
>> Radio operators," Stratton said. "There was a communication to the EOC in
>> Baton Rouge from FEMA that said, 'Ham radio is our prime communications
>> with
>> you, and they should get anything they need,' so FEMA recognizes the
>> importance of ham radio." He also recounted how state officials arriving
>> at
>> the EOC were using ham radio to get through to their hard-hit parishes.
>>
>> A marshaling center has been established in Covington, Louisiana. ARES has
>> been continuing to support Red Cross shelter and Southern Baptist
>> Convention
>> debris-clearing in St Tammany parish, as well as Baptist Men's Kitchen
>> canteen operations. In Washington Parish, ARES volunteers--including more
>> than a dozen from South Texas--have been providing critical communication

>> among hospitals and the parish EOC, among other functions. Field teams
>> were
>> continuing to use HF to maintain communication with the EOC in Baton
>> Rouge.....
>> <snip>
>> This comes from www.arrl.org and its "ARRL Letter. I call attention to
>> the quotes by FEMA officials and others as tp the necessity and vitality
>> of Amateur Radio to the relief effort in the aftermath of Katrina. Yes,
>> it is from a publication dedicated to Amateur Radio itself, but I also
>> invite readers to go to the site and read the entire article AND the part
>> where the National Guard borrowed equipment from HAMS WHEN THEIRS WOULDN'T
>> WORK!!!!!! THERE ARE INSTANCES WHERE A M A T E U R R A D I O WAS, AND
>> STILL IS, THE O N L Y means of commuications into and out of various
>> Louisiana parishes!!!!!!!!!!! The O N L Y communications. Period!
>>
>> Again, it doesn't matter how MUCH ham radio contributes, but that it IS
>> contributing in VITAL ways and, in some cases, is the ONLY communications.
>> Period. HAHAHAHA!
>>
>> We can deny, denigrate, belittle, downrate Amateur radio all you like, but
>> facts is facts. I am sitting here LISTENING to HF ham radio traffic of all
>> kinds from the stricken area. A lot of it sounds important to ME, but
>> then what do I know? *I* am not the ones (Lenny) in denial!
>>
>> Jerry
>>
>
>Neither he, nor the old goofball believe the ARRL. This is all a big ploy
>to make hams look better according to the old goof. Lennie is strangly
>quiet these days. Guess his life long hate of Amateur Radio doing good
>things must have him all upset.

Or maybe he's busy actually doing something to help the effort, unlike
the few lame wannabes like you who do nothing but boast about your
accomplishments when you haven't accomplished squat. Some hams did
indeed make a great contribution. But -you- don't get to take credit
for -their- individual efforts by virtue of your license. If you want
to brag then -you- do something worth bragging about. Then, when it's
all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1037. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-10 23:29:54 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <43236BFF.F90C9FB9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 118763
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> Sure they could. And if we still had WWI carrier pidgeons they could be
> used also. Or perhaps they could mail a letter.

Sure they could mail a letter. After the US postal service reopens
mail service to the affected areas. There were notices on the USPS
website telling that next day, and some other mail services were not
available for the time being.

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1038. Re: Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 00:21:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <vMKUe.762$am.709@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 118764

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126391560.742242.125090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx
>
> look at what? an empty file?
>

Empty file? Perhaps you should update your default media player. It was an interesting video of a report of amateur radio directly being responsible for rescuing folks trapped on a rooftop in New Orleans.

If you haven't updated your media player, perhaps you should check if you have installed the latest critical patches to your operating system too. If you don't, this can leave you open to having your computer hijacked.

BTW, Dan, the naysayers have a religious pitch to their theme. You won't convince them. Hey, a handheld CB should work just fine. Of course, those hops in the video were a bit more than a few miles ... more than hundreds of miles, for that matter ;)

I also noted that the operator in the video seemed well beyond his twenties (or thirties or forties for that matter). I thought the oldsters were worthless too :))

I can understand why some folks left the newsgroup on what appears to be at least a semi-permanent basis (Ed Hare comes to mind).

With luck, our old friend may upgrade his player (maybe he needs a newer browser as well). I will admit, however, that running 7,000 kbps on DSL makes this job really quick and easy for me ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1039. Re: Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 00:26:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4YCdnWuANNJ75L7eRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 118765

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126391560.742242.125090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx
>
> look at what? an empty file?
>

A video of a newscast. You need some patience as it takes a bit of time to
come up.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1040. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 00:39:16 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <43237cb4$0$32199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 118766
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> sure there are facts but it also a fact that i think you are an idoit
> but this sate of being a fact does not make it relavant
>
> nothing your rant saying why the neds Dan was identifing culd not be
> met though other means

>
> 250,00 is relitive small but the issue was never number but land area
>

> more of you absurdity

You might be more credible if you could spell a bit better. At least
use a spell checker so you appear to be less of an idiot.

Of course, your arguments would still be suspect even if you did that.

tom
K0TAR

1041. Re: Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 01:39:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126402762.329977.305230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118767

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126391560.742242.125090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx
> >
> > look at what? an empty file?
> >
>
> Empty file? Perhaps you should update your default media player. It was an
> interesting video of a report of amateur radio directly being responsible
> for rescuing folks trapped on a rooftop in New Orleans.

that might explain it I long ago blocked such downloads

1042. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 02:02:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126402494.029517.184900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118768
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > >
> > > sure there are facts but it also a fact that i think you are an idoit
> > > but this sate of being a fact does not make it relavant
> > >
> > > nothing your rant saying why the neds Dan was identifing culd not be
> > > met though other means
> >
> >
> > >
> > > 250,00 is relitive small but the issue was never number but land area
> > >
> >
> > > more of you absurdity
> >
> > You might be more credible if you could spell a bit better. At least
> > use a spell checker so you appear to be less of an idiot.
> >
> > Of course, your arguments would still be suspect even if you did that.

and you give the very reason I don't bother with such most folks have
already made up their minds, why should I put out the effort when in
many cases the aurguement is rejected before it is read
>
> tom
> K0TAR

1043. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 02:14:40 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <43239310$0$22197$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 118769
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> and you give the very reason I don't bother with such most folks have
> already made up their minds, why should I put out the effort when in
> many cases the aurguement is rejected before it is read
>

Stupid is as stupid does.

tom
K0TAR

1044. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 02:16:15 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4323936f$0$22197$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 118770
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> and you give the very reason I don't bother with such most folks have
> already made up their minds, why should I put out the effort when in
> many cases the aurguement is rejected before it is read
>

And I have the balls to admit who I am.

tom
K0TAR
```

1045. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 04:48:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.immqca6.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 118771

On 9 Sep 2005 19:31:08 -0700, N9OGL wrote:

>LETTER TO G4WNE FROM FCC SPECIAL SERVICES
>DIVISION CHIEF Robert H. Mc Namara - 2 November 1989:
>

>(signed)
>
>Robert H. Mc Namara
>Chief, Special Services Division
>Federal Communications Commission
>Washington, D.C. 20554

There never was a "Special Services Division" for Bob McNamara to be
chief of.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1046. REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 05:42:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126417322.815441.95720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

REC Network has filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL
dealing with him announcing his website on the radio. Read it here

http://12.156.123.39/k1man_recon.pdf

or

http://12.156.123.39/k1man.htm

Todd N9OGL

1047. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 06:28:03 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1126420083.361341.92020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118773

Yes there was a Special Services Division of the Private Radio Bureau when McNamara wrote his letter in 1989. Having been in contact on 20 meters with Kenneth Black in the U.K., I received a copy of this letter from the recipient, who said he received it via regular mail in the UK. In addition, I flew to DC and interviewed Mcnamara, together with Counsel Tom Fitzgibbons, for the express purpose of airing over ham radio. Accordingly, the interviews ran for a few weeks over Baxter's Network, then called the IARN.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

1048. Re: Solar Flare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 07:18:16 UTC
From: Bob <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1126423096.778617.277260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118774

If using SSB, you might get sme QSB

1049. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 10:16:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126433812.470306.297350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118775

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
> > > > You are responsible for making sure that your
> > > > > own signal is where it is supposed to be.
> > > >
> > > > Indeed I am. But that completely misses the case in point, your case.
> > >
> > > Dave is good at evading the point and talking about everything in sight
> > > and beyond but the point at hand
> >
> > At least he's good at something.
>
> he is also good at being a bad example

A poor example at the very least.

1050. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 10:30:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126434620.366988.300090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118776

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an_old_friend on Sep 8, 6:31 pm
>
> >Why can't len have an express his opinion?
>
> Because I don't love, honor, and obey morse code. :-)
>
> Because I don't leave Jimmie feeling warm and fuzzy. :-)
>
> Because Jimmie doesn't Get His Way in here...? :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim is no fun to be around.

1051. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 10:45:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126435505.546112.266310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118777

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
> >> >>>>>Because I can. And because I won't ask others to pass a test
> >> >>>>>that I can't pass myself.
> >
> >> >>>>That is awfully big of you, however, keep it mind that amateur
> >> >>>>radio (the rf bands) should be open to ALL AMERICANS...
> >
> >> >>>They are open to all who can pass the required tests. In fact
> >> >>>a nonresident noncitizen can get an FCC amateur radio license.
> >
> >> >>Don't forget cute little 6-year-olds who passed their
> >> >>WRITTENS.
> >
> >> >It is a cinch that *you* haven't forgotten them. :-)
> >> > Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
> >> > an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
> >> > radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
> >> > of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
> >> > children, he could not come up with a single example.
> >> > As far as anyone knows, he's never told
> >> > FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.
> >
> >> No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has
> >> not pursued it further.
> >
> >Of course - and based on the comments above, it's clear he
> >still thinks it's a good idea, even though he cannot come
> >up with a single example of problems caused by the lack of
> >such a rule.
>
> "It's clear he still thinks it's a good idea?" :-)
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie, you put bad INTERPRETATIONS on some
> folks' comments! :-)

Glass half-full. Jim no fun to be around.

> >> > Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's.
> >> > Or lower, or the same. How would his behavior here change?
> >
> >> I'm going to go out on a limb here and say that I don't think
> >> his behavior would change at all.
> >
> >With one exception: There's a very high probability that he'd find a
> >way to use my IQ score as a way to insult and denigrate.
> >Just as the profile predicts. So there's no logical reason to give my
> >score.
>
> Wow! Jimmie turned into FUTUREMAN! Futureman...able to see what
> is coming before it happens! :-)

I'm still waiting for Jim's prediction of when the next class 5
hurricane will hit.

> Was there some "issue" concerning Stanford-Binet IQ tests?!?!?

Only that he thinks his score will be higher than yours.

> Seems to me that morse code testing does NOT involve any "IQ"
> ratings. Morse code skill is an ear-brain-motor-function thing
> NOT involving intellectual capability.

Emulating a modem shows desire and committment.

> Are modems "intelligent?" :-)
>
> Do code keys have "intelligence?" :-)

If they did they would be among the very smartest appliances.

> >> > Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.
> >
> >> I've not only considered Paul's post, I've read the expected
> >> tone in Len's reply.
>
> "Expected tone?" :-)
>
> Gosh, I'll be glad to reset the Bass and Treble controls, even
> put in an Equalizer!
>
> You know this "Argic" guy, do you Jimmie?

Argic?

> >Ever notice how there are some people who are just plain
> >nice to have around? They may not be the smartest, or the
> >richest, or the most physically attractive, or the most
> >accomplished, but when you spend some time with them you
> >feel better than you did before, for all sorts of reasons.
>

> Jimmie just feels warm and fuzzy around other PCTAs? :-)

Yep. They boast of working out of band amateurs and collecting W1AW
messages before the start of the field day contest.

> >Among them are people from whom you can always learn something useful,
> >people who are always there to help out, people with
> >whom you can communicate freely and honestly, people who have
> >an innate common sense, people with a good sense of humor, and
> >a whole list of other traits and combinations of traits.
>
> Jimmie, you need to get out more, meet some NEW friends.
>
> ...or...form a NEW newsgroup, one devoted to the joys,
> nobility, and rapture of morse code! Wouldn't that be a
> heaven of Your Kind, the morse mavins. :-)

Be sure that it's a moderated group, and exclusive.

> >"Don't waste the thousand marbles"
>
> Why? Did you lose your marbles? :-)

Jim wishes to be seen as a deep thinker, often appending such
nonsensical phrases at the end of his postings.

1052. Re: Today is Saturn's Day, Saggytits Lee still can't get pussy! He's spending his Saturday night whining about another man!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 15:10:10 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126451410.901725.46470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Lee aka Lardass whined:
> Today is

Poor Lardass Davies, thinks there are such things as "Time Lords" is it
any wonder he will be the 32 year old VIRGIN tomorrow?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1053. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 15:14:35 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126451675.596703.261180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8005090912501.5300@zifcol.ar>
Lloyd <l...@no.spam> wrote:
>
> you an others are just jelous of k1man but nobody could stop him since
> 1987 and it is to late now. barf will probaly merger with the iarn if the
> talks go good and then they will grow biger than the arrl. barf and the

Says the "self-appointed" barfie Lloydie....who Herb Schoenbaum thought
was an idiot. Along with the rest of the ham community.

> iarn are in talks right now so watch out. k1man is a great man and he will
> lead us to victory and bring a new day to ham radio esp after cw is droped
> from the exam and real radio hobbiests can become hams and iarn members.
>
> barf and iarn rule!!!!!

That's our Lardass Lloyd Davies, always running his stupid mouth never
knowing what the hell he is talking about.
You are waiting to see if they will drop the code to get your General,
Davies, you are too stupid and lazy to EARN your General, you want it
handed to you because you are not all there. Sort of "affrimative
action," you want the standards lowered because you can't pass them as
they currently stand.

> On Sat, 10 Sep 2005, Bob Sherin, W4ASX wrote:
>
> > In all deference Lloyd, I take issue with you. He is neither great nor
> > is the FCC afraid of him.
> >
> > Rather, I believe with age mental disorder is advancing and the FCC
> > today is into cost effectiveness, which means solve problems without
> > litigation.

Lloyd Davies, N0VFP is a mentally ill retard.

LARDASS Davies admits he's mentally challenged
in
http://www.network54.com/Hide/Forum/message?forumid=243612&messageid=1073965472
"I have no problems with learning code. I get stuck after I work on
about 5-10
letters. I cannot go any further. I'm stuck. I get a mental block."

1054. Re: REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 15:17:30 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126451850.892819.182720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Shut the fuck up, Davies.

1055. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 16:51:58 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126457518.506323.81840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118781

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 9 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2243	273
Indeterminate (note 1)	132	23
Value for Percentages	2111	250
Against NPRM (note 2)	633 [29.99%]	80 [32.00%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1155 [54.71%]	121 [48.40%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	323 [15.30%]	49 [19.60%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1056. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 16:56:34 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126457793.964738.307230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118782

From: Frank Gilliland on Sep 10, 4:07 pm

>On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 21:26:20 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
><w...@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
><0cIUe.9904$9i4.8...@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>"Jerry" <joxe...@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>news:CqDUe.23$OT2.18@bignews3.bellsouth.net...

>>> Again, it doesn't matter how MUCH ham radio contributes, but that it IS
>>> contributing in VITAL ways and, in some cases, is the ONLY communications.
>>> Period. HAHAHAHA!
>
>>> We can deny, denigrate, belittle, downrate Amateur radio all you like, but
>>> facts is facts. I am sitting here LISTENING to HF ham radio traffic of all
>>> kinds from the stricken area. A lot of it sounds important to ME, but
>>> then what do I know? *I* am not the ones (Lenny) in denial!
>
>>> Jerry [Oxendine]
>
>>Neither he, nor the old goofball believe the ARRL. This is all a big ploy
>>to make hams look better according to the old goof. Lennie is strangly
>>quiet these days. Guess his life long hate of Amateur Radio doing good
>>things must have him all upset.
>
>Or maybe he's busy actually doing something to help the effort, unlike
>the few lame wannabes like you who do nothing but boast about your
>accomplishments when you haven't accomplished squat.

I diasgree with that, Frank. I think Dan and Jerry HAVE squatted
a lot in here. :-)

Some of their squat products are things like "if you don't agree
with ME, you HATE HAM RADIO!" :-)

What I do for any hurricane/flood relief aid is my business. I
don't need any "bragging rights" stated to make me secure.
Others brag and, as you point out, are waving their self-
patriotic flags for themselves by associating others' good
deeds with their own hobby.

> Some hams did
>indeed make a great contribution. But -you- don't get to take credit
>for -their- individual efforts by virtue of your license.

Oh, ohhh! Frank, if you don't like Dan's bragging, he gonna say
"you HATE HAM RADIO!"

> If you want to brag then -you- do something worth bragging about.

He is. He be cutting-and-pasting ARRL "official news" and posting
it in here. Of course, anyone who can access Google can also access
www.arrl.org, get the same stuff direct. :-)

Dan's concept of "doing something" involves being a cheerleader
and cussing out others who don't agree with him. Dan >>IS<< ham
radio! Disagree with him and you HATE HAM RADIO!!! :-)

> Then, when it's
>all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.

You mean I can't send all those Medals of Valor for Amateur
Communications to Dan? I had this very nice Ceremony planned.
Marching bands, bugle corps, drum majorettes, and plenty of flags.
It was going to be in northern New Orleans. They seem to have
some trouble with water or whatever. Need wading boots to be on
the parade ground. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Remember also that Jerry Oxendine >>IS<< ham radio. Disagree with
him and he'll say you HATE HAM RADIO!!! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1057. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 16:58:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126457924.913986.320760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118783

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 11, 3:45 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm

>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
>> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
>> >> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>> >> > Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
>> >> > an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
>> >> > radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
>> >> > of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
>> >> > children, he could not come up with a single example.
>> >> > As far as anyone knows, he's never told
>> >> > FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.
>
>> >> No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has
>> >> not pursued it further.
>
>> >Of course - and based on the comments above, it's clear he
>> >still thinks it's a good idea, even though he cannot come
>> >up with a single example of problems caused by the lack of
>> >such a rule.
>
>> "It's clear he still thinks it's a good idea?" :-)
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie, you put bad INTERPRETATIONS on some
>> folks' comments! :-)
>
>Glass half-full. Jim no fun to be around.

I think his "glass" is half-broken. Six and a half years AFTER
I filed a Reply to Comments on 98-143 Jimmie still wants to
ARGUE that! Report and Order 99-412 pretty well put an END to
all those Comments when it came out in late December 1999.

Jimmie won't let it end. Nossir, he had to keep on arguing and
arguing and arguing and arguing that.

>> >> > Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's.
>> >> > Or lower, or the same. How would his behavior here change?

>
>> >> I'm going to go out on a limb here and say that I don't think
>> >> his behavior would change at all.
>
>> >With one exception: There's a very high probability that he'd find a
>> >way to use my IQ score as a way to insult and denigrate.
>> >Just as the profile predicts. So there's no logical reason to give my
>> >score.
>
>> Wow! Jimmie turned into FUTUREMAN! Futureman...able to see what
>> is coming before it happens! :-)
>
>I'm still waiting for Jim's prediction of when the next class 5
>hurricane will hit.

Jimmie's levee is broken and he is too busy pumping himself out
to give predictions. He be flooded with "information."

>> Was there some "issue" concerning Stanford-Binet IQ tests?!?!?
>
>Only that he thinks his score will be higher than yours.

Probably will be. :-) Last Stanford-Binet IQ test I ever took
was done in 1950, part of an Illinois state-wide, week-long test
of many things of high school students done by the University of
Illinois. Don't have any of that test result paperwork now. As
I recall, my IQ was in the "average" category, whatever numbers
those were. :-) Didn't mean a helluvalot then to me, doesn't
now, but be sure that Jimmie WANTS those values to do his little
character assassination things on his opponents in here.

>> Seems to me that morse code testing does NOT involve any "IQ"
>> ratings. Morse code skill is an ear-brain-motor-function thing
>> NOT involving intellectual capability.
>
>Emulating a modem shows desire and committment.

...to the "amateur community!" :-)

>> Are modems "intelligent?" :-)
>
>> Do code keys have "intelligence?" :-)
>
>If they did they would be among the very smartest appliances.

Maybe Stanford-Binet has an IQ score for modems and code keys?

>> >> > Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.
>
>> >> I've not only considered Paul's post, I've read the expected
>> >> tone in Len's reply.
>
>> "Expected tone?" :-)

>
>> Gosh, I'll be glad to reset the Bass and Treble controls, even
>> put in an Equalizer!
>
>> You know this "Argic" guy, do you Jimmie?
>
>Argic?

Something like that for a surname, one of Paul's pet peeves.

>> >Ever notice how there are some people who are just plain
>> >nice to have around? They may not be the smartest, or the
>> >richest, or the most physically attractive, or the most
>> >accomplished, but when you spend some time with them you
>> >feel better than you did before, for all sorts of reasons.
>
>> Jimmie just feels warm and fuzzy around other PCTAs? :-)
>
>Yep. They boast of working out of band amateurs and collecting W1AW
>messages before the start of the field day contest.

Morsemanship enables prescience! :-)

>> >Among them are people from whom you can always learn something useful,
>> >people who are always there to help out, people with
>> >whom you can communicate freely and honestly, people who have
>> >an innate common sense, people with a good sense of humor, and
>> >a whole list of other traits and combinations of traits.
>
>> Jimmie, you need to get out more, meet some NEW friends.
>
>> ...or...form a NEW newsgroup, one devoted to the joys,
>> nobility, and rapture of morse code! Wouldn't that be a
>> heaven of Your Kind, the morse mavins. :-)
>
>Be sure that it's a moderated group, and exclusive.

Right! All them morsemen can sit around and give each other high-
fives for advancing the state of the radiotelegraphy art by being
the biggest, baddest, bad-asses on radio! Nobody will be there to
say nay in an exclusive enclave! All will be happiness and warm
fuzzies of feeling since all are champions of radiotelegraphy!

>> >"Don't waste the thousand marbles"
>
>> Why? Did you lose your marbles? :-)
>
>Jim wishes to be seen as a deep thinker, often appending such
>nonsensical phrases at the end of his postings.

Thinker? I thought for a second you were writing with a lithp.

Thith ith too much...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1058. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 20:08:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <790Ve.10356$9i4.4089@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118784

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126390318.686281.153260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>> Just what do you consider "VITAL COMMS" ???
>
> as i have said Vital ops are those that can't be handled by other
> means, the failure of which to get through will cause substancail harm
> to many people or additonal damage to infrastructure
>

So....none of the examples given by me or others in here is considered vital comms to you. Well I am sure those that needed the messages send, and those that got them considered them vital.

I will give you one more example of how ham radio got the job done, when NOTHING ELSE WORKED.

My city, Anniston Alabama wanted to donate several Police Vehicles to the city of Waveland MS. The city manager made several attempts to contact the city in MS. NO WAY COULD HE GET THROUGH.

The city manager here knew a city employee was a Ham operator. He asked him if he could help. He picked up the message and gave it to me, since he was working at the time.

I passed that message within an hour. A day went by, no return call. That evening on the regular Alabama Traffic Net I asked our member KB7BSA who was in Biloxi if she could get someone into Waveland and see if they need the Police cars.

She not only did just that, but did it herself by traveling to the town, found the Chief of Police. He is sending people to pick them up today.

Do you think the people of Waveland are going to be happy that they now have Police patrolling their town once again? Is that vital? Or could a CB done it? Or GMRS ? Or carrier Pidgeon????

> Since the post the post you are quoting I have heard of some
> lifesavinng messgae but would stand behind the revised assertion that
> most of the ARS traffic is H&W with some life saving traffic in the mix
>

OK, I get it now. Next Communication Emergency we will have you set up the allowed traffic list.

> But what I am seeing described is retail aid, in a disater of
> wholescale proprotions
>
> important but not vital
>
Retail aid? What the heck is that?

> What traffic is being passed by the ARS that would affect the headlines
> in this disaster, the only headlines that would be affected are the one
> mentioning us by name
>

Who gives a damn about headlines.
Those that respond in this situation are looking to get the job done. Not
your approval.

> Vital comms
>
> I have been informed that the ARS can normaly allow to be complied list
> of people in the shelters of the affected areas, I hear from SecHS
> mouth that no such list yet exists after how many days
>

Well Mr. Know it all....The RED CROSS is responsible for getting the lists
at the Shelters. Then passing them as they see fit. The hams are there to
COMMUNICATE ....NOT MAKE POLICY.

> Indeed I can recall being regalled with how Cw would rise to pass large
> volumes of traffic for us in a disater such as this
>>

When traffic is passed via the Region nets it is passed by CW. CW is being
used for H&W. I already told you this.

>> Lets get some specifics here . Then we shall see the Ham community tear
>> you
>> a new one.
>
> Why BTW is someone holding the opinion that th ARS operations in
> Katrina are important and valueble but not al though vital such a
> threat to your ego?
>
A threat to my ego? All I am doing is TRYING to explain to that hole
between your ears that Ham Radio is doing something good here. But you
simply refuse to believe it because you are in a RF hole in Upper Michigan.
Get you attitude adjusted.

> the ARS is doing better than I expected out of this, but not at all as
> well as we have been lead to believe it could do
>>
See what I mean? Even when you are shown what the Hams are doing, you
doubt it. YOU ARE ONE PARANOID SCREWBALL.

Dan/W4NTI

>

1059. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 20:11:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Nb0Ve.10358$9i4.6085@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118785

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126391064.045826.38590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126366509.222617.193400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Morris wrote:
>> >> Yes, the content has changed vastly: 1) His rhetoric has risen to
>> >> leading a conspiracy against the US governmnet, i.e. urging hams not
>> >> to
>> >> follow FCC interpretation of Part 97 while urging them to follow his
>> >> construction,
>> >
>> > well I hear alot any govt conspriay stuff ont he air these days
>> >
>> >>2) He now has a call in radio show, which may or may not
>> >> be violative,
>> >
>> > not sure how but it would a change
>> >
>> >> 3) He has involved himself and ham radio in many
>> >> instances of foreign policy, 4) There is now a commercial twist that
>> >> was never present in 1989, 5) He has begun defaming the man in charge
>> >> (Riley Hollingsworth], not present in 1989.
>> >
>> > was not flaming others though in those days?
>> >>
>> >> Even if his rule construction in certain aspects is correct and the
>> >> FCC's, incorrect, our system affords a way to handle that situation
>> >> through declaratory ruling in the administrative sector. None of us
>> >> has the right to urge others into non-compliance.
>> >
>> > I could find a lot of people to disagree with you, there is a well
>> > established (outside Ham radio) tradition of civl disobeince
>> >
>> >
>> >> Moreover, to defame
>> >> Riley Hollingsworth amounts to leading a conspiracy against our
>> >> government, because if we listen to Baxter, we're supposed to
>> >> disregard
>> >> Hollingsworth, anti-thetical to a functioning Republic.
>> >
>> > I'll have to pass that one onto Carl Rove with the suggestion that GW

>> > start arresting his opponents for treason
>> >
>> >> Tieing into
>> >> his bulletins his Web Site, where he advertises money-making endeavors
>> >> is commercial, a Part 97 no-no.
>> >
>> > but does the ARRL mention its website which certainly involved in
>> > fundrasing and has links to comercail sitie
>> >
>> >> Supporting revolutions, such as the
>> >> one in Bougainville, clearly violates the Patriot Act, because none of
>> >> us can presume to do foreign policy, especially over ham radio.
>> >
>> > Suporting govt change is NOT a violation of the patroit act.
>> >
>> >
>> >>
>> >> This piece, not a complete analysis by any means, is but the tip of
>> >> the
>> >> iceberg.
>> >
>> > I thank you for it, but honestly I don't see anything beyond some
>> > suggestions that Baxter might be best dealt by Mental health and not
>> > the FCC. He seems to be not a nice person, but is not in and of itself
>> > a rules violation
>> >
>>
>> A sensible comment from 'an old friend'....again I am amazed....wait a
>> minute.....'an old friend' recognizing Glenn Baxter is in need of a
>> rubber
>> room......right off the bat, eh? Perhaps a bit of experience 'old
>> friend'?
>
> even agre with you and get flamed for it
>
> OTOH I did not mention a rubber room either, simple mental health work
> very few people are need of the rubber room. I have no evidence that
> K1MAN would even benifit from one let alone need it
>
> I take it then you agree with me that K1MAN is not much of an issue for
> the FCC then
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Your ability to understand is obviously defective. Let me try again.

I think K1MAN has mental problems. As you apparantly do also.

I think MAN is a discrace to Ham Radio, and should be removed for several
infractions of the rules and regulations.

I am HAPPY not to hear his "opinions" on his "First ever Ham Radio Call in Talk SHow".

Dan/W4NTI

1060. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 20:15:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Nf0Ve.10359$9i4.10170@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118786
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tom Ring" <news0002@taring.org> wrote in message
news:43239310$0$22197$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>
>> and you give the very reason I don't bother with such most folks have
>> already made up their minds, why should I put out the effort when in
>> many cases the aurguement is rejected before it is read
>>
>
> Stupid is as stupid does.
>
> tom
> K0TAR
>

And one thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid!!

Dan/W4NTI

1061. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 20:16:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Kg0Ve.10360$9i4.4123@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118787
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:43236BFF.F90C9FB9@earthlink.net...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> Sure they could. And if we still had WWI carrier pidgeons they could be
>> used also. Or perhaps they could mail a letter.
>
>
> Sure they could mail a letter. After the US postal service reopens
> mail service to the affected areas. There were notices on the USPS
> website telling that next day, and some other mail services were not
> available for the time being.
>
>
> --
> ?
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida\

That was my point, sir.

Dan/W4NTI

1062. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:09:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <a21Ve.10383$9i4.5343@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118792
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is to 'an old friend' in particular and his dingaling buddies.

Perhaps this E-Mail I just received from the Alabama Section Emergency Coordinator will "HELP" 'an old friend' to understand. Anyone want to bet?

Please notice the comments about using tactical and formal message handling. FYI tactical would be to dispense with the formal headings. Just use the basic address/phone number. Then the operator that received the message makes a phone call to deliver anywhere in the world that allowed 3rd Party traffic.

Formal should be self explainatory, but knowing the abilities of Mr. 'an old friend' I'll translate....It is using standard ARRL Formatted messages as in;

Number 139 W (Welfare) W4NTI CHECK 12 ANNISTON AL SEPT 10
TO MR JAMES KIRK
STARSHIP ENTERPRISE
ANYWHERE IN THE UNIVERSE ZIP CODE
PHONE NR
BT
ALL IS WELL HERE MR. SPOCK X HOPE
TO SEE YOU SOON BT JAMES T. KIRK

BT

NNNN (MEANS NOTHING FURTHER) (USUALLY SENT IN CW OR DIGITAL MODES AND NOT USED IN PHONE)

You do notice the word 'sites' right? That is plural for many locations.

I especially want your response to the paragraph concerning the highly vaunted 800 mhz system. That FAILED immediatly. Then please comment about the use of Hams to DISPATCH Police and Fire. Not to mention the comment of how these same hams helped FIX these sites to attempt getting the Police and other Emergency agencies back on line.

Please also note that these Ham teams VOLUNTARILY PUT THEMSELVES into the middle of one of worst, if not THEY worst ecological disaster in this countries history. Providing their own equipment, their own supplies, their own gas, etc. Note how much that is appreciated and does not ADD to the problem.

No bragging, no looking for headlines, in fact NO NAMES AT ALL. So tell me 'an old friend' where is all the bragging????????

I doubt this will do a bit of good with you and your 1/3 of the newsgroup
that support you. But these are the facts. Believe it if you want.

OR.....just remove the egg off you face and drop the subject.

Dan/W4NTI

> Good morning Folks,
>
> I can't begin to tell you how awesome your response to Hurricane Katrina
> and the aftermath has been. The Tactical and Formal traffic handling as
> well as the overall professionalism, has truly been impressive.
>
> Those of you that have packed up and gone to the needed sites to operate
> in dismal conditions deserve all of our gratitude. You have made our state
> proud, and me too.
> For those who went but were turned around because of mis-communication,
> please accept my sincere apologies. With a half million people homeless,
> and hundreds of thousands without power, water and basic services, all of
> us in Emergency Services were simply overwhelmed. We're trying to get it
> right, and using the experience to make sure of a good plan should this
> magnatude of event ever happen again.
>
> This is what we have learned:
>
> The 800mhz and other trunked systems commonly used by local and state
> agencies, were the first to go in the hard hit areas. In many cases, we
> found Police and Fire units were dispatching from a car or HT. Even after
> two weeks, we still have hams handling All of the EOC and Public Service
> communications in a number of counties and Parishes on the coast. This is
> really hot gristly work. Many of the hams were able to repair the
> commercial antenna systems and bring some public services back online.
> Cell phones were out initally, but began to come up after a week, but many
> areas are still overloaded or spotty.
> The most valuable asset by far has been the totally self contained ham or
> team who went in with radios, generators, antennas, food, water, and
> sleeping bags. This is what everyone onsite began to ask for, and what we
> are running short of. Keep this in mind for a club or group project in the
> future.
>
> ARRL has set up a Clearing House for needed hams at
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/agencies/.
> Also Greg W4OZK has beed working as Amateur Radio liaison at the American
> Red Cross Disaster Operation Center that has been set up in Montgomery.
> You can contact him at DR871-06RTT-RCO3@usa.redcross.org for placement.
>
> We are still looking at at least 60 days of operations that will require
> our assistance. Anything that you or your clubs can do will mean a great
> deal to those folks who still can't communicate with the needed agencies.
>
> 73's and God Bless, Jay Isbell KA4KUN ARRL Alabama Section Emergency
> Coordinator

> ARES - ARES Mutual Assistance Team - ARES Rapid Response Team - "It's Not
> Just A Hobby Anymore"
>
>

1063. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:10:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Q21Ve.10384$9i4.10311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118793
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I think he listened to Dr. Peppers Lonely Hearts club band way way tooooooo
much....hi hi

Dan/W4NTI

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:zaOdnTg7KpJxC7neRVn-jw@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>> Just where did you get the notion that Montgomery only needed to talk
>> across town?
>
> The voices in his head told him so.

1064. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:22:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126473723.008658.199030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118797
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Tom Ring" <news0002@taring.org> wrote in message
> news:43239310$0$22197$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> and you give the very reason I don't bother with such most folks have
> >> already made up their minds, why should I put out the effort when in
> >> many cases the aurguement is rejected before it is read
> >>
> >
> > Stupid is as stupid does.
> >
> > tom
> > K0TAR
> >
>
>
> And one thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid!!

SoP insult any that dare question
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1065. What ham radio is doing with Katrina communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:29:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bl1Ve.10397$9i4.1433@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118798
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For those of you that want to know what ham radio is doing with Katrina communications, enjoy the read.

Dan/W4NTI

==========
The ARES E-Letter
Special Edition
September 11, 2005
==========

Edited by Rick Palm, K1CE

==========
ARES reports, other related contributions, editorial questions or comments: Rick Palm, K1CE, k1ce@arrl.net
==========

SPECIAL EDITION TWO: HURRICANE KATRINA FOLLOW-UP

It's been quite a week of studying the ARES response to Hurricane Katrina while keeping a wary eye on storm Ophelia, just off shore here in Flagler County, on the Florida central east coast. Local ARES was on alert. We had winds and driving rain as Ophelia tried to decide which way to turn. Yesterday, it marched to the northeast and today we have an uneasy, almost surreal calm. But, the sky is clear.

Back to Katrina: I can't remember a more comprehensive ARES response, especially in terms of inter-county and interstate mutual assistance, plus the concomitant, unprecedented activity by ARRL HQ staff in support of that response, ever. Even the Chief Operating Officer Harold Kramer, WJ1B, worked over the Labor Day holiday weekend. And word arrived yesterday that the "Hurricane Katrina Amateur Radio Volunteers Needed Clearing House" now is live on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/agencies/>. The ARRL Letter: "This database will be the primary means for relief organizations requiring Amateur Radio volunteers for communication support to list their needs. Additionally, volunteers looking to help may search the listings to match up their capabilities with the various requirements." That is a first, as far as I know. Credit Joe Tomasone, AB2M, for the Hurricane Katrina Disaster Communications Volunteer Registration and Message Traffic Database he developed.

On the Northern Florida ARES Net this morning, a report was made that "renegade" hams were turned away from an affected area and were to be

adorned with "metal bracelets" if they did not leave. Message: Coordinate your volunteer efforts with your own home ARRL Section Emergency Coordinator (SEC), or his/her designees. Also, be prepared to be self-sufficient: "If you need it, you bring it," advised Alabama SEC Jay Isbell, KA4KUN. - K1CE

"All of us know this will be a months-long effort. Just because we are unable to immediately send those of you who have registered, that does not mean we will not need you in the future. Your patience in awaiting an assignment that may never come is appreciated. The requirements are likely to change in the future; we are unable to give any idea of when that will be." - Jerry Reimer, KK5CA, STX SEC

==========

IN THIS ISSUE:

+ Northern Texas Response
+ Louisiana
+ Mississippi
+ Alabama
+ South Texas
+ New Orleans
+ Northwest Harris County (Texas) ARES
+ Hillsborough (Florida) Ops Deployment
+ Interoperability Tip
+ On Responder Fatigue
+ Digital Outlets
+ Briefs
+ Response and Recovery HF Frequencies
+ Resource Links
+ Final Note and Prediction

==========

+ NORTHERN TEXAS SECTION RESPONSE

The NTX Section had been on alert since Monday, August 29. NTX SEC Harris Swan, K5MWC, and STX SEC Jerry Reimer, KK5CA, had agreed early on a plan to make effective use of NTX ARES members in response to requests from the affected area. That plan was to prepare a list of people volunteering to go if requested; Reimer would coordinate all requests for assistance.

NTX ARES has primarily been supporting the West Gulf ARES Emergency Net, dealing with H/W traffic, and supporting Red Cross, Salvation Army, and FEMA. Several of the county EOCs requested support as they anticipated operations with the large number of evacuees expected.

NTX will supplement resources in the affected area, provide support to local shelter operations and support relief agencies in response to their requests. The tempo will certainly pick up as time goes by; NTX ARES is planning for long-term needs. -- NTX SEC Harris Swan,

K5MWC

The following is culled from a status report by the indefatigable Jerry Reimer, KK5CA, South Texas SEC: Hurricane Katrina ARES operations continue in Louisiana, Alabama, Mississippi, North and South Texas sections, using operators recruited from Louisiana, Arkansas, Mississippi, Texas, Tennessee, Florida and other nearby states and sections.

+ LOUISIANA

ARES continues to support Red Cross shelter and Southern Baptist Convention debris-clearing operations in St. Tammany parish, where disaster relief workers are housed and fed at the First Baptist Church in Covington. In Washington Parish, ARES operators are arriving to provide critical communication among hospitals and the parish EOC. Over a dozen operators from Texas alone have traveled to Louisiana. Victoria County (Texas) ARES EC John Wagner, WA5VBP, flew into the New Orleans airport where it was determined that his assignment was unsafe; he was sent on to serve in Baton Rouge.

Communications among the field teams and the state EOC in Baton Rouge continues using HF voice on 75 meters and 40 meters. Surprisingly, many VHF and UHF repeaters remained operational after the storm's passing. Louisiana SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, temporarily delegated his authority to former SM Al Oubre, K5DPG. Stratton will be working on restoring the communications infrastructure.

In yesterday's ARRL Letter, Stratton was cited as saying Amateur Radio was the only means for state officials at the state EOC in Baton Rouge to communicate earlier this week with parishes above Lake Pontchartrain. Also, there was a communication to the EOC from FEMA that said, "Ham radio is our prime communications with you, and they should get anything they need."

Reports also have ARES ops even loaning government agencies their equipment.

+ MISSISSIPPI

The hardest hit areas are perhaps the counties closest to the Gulf coast, especially Hancock and Harrison Counties. ARES DEC Tom Hammack, W4WLF, requested 25 ARES operators for critical communication among EOCs, hospitals, and shelters. ARRL Northern Florida, West Central Florida and Southern Florida Sections are sending self-sufficient teams to meet this urgent need, and a few operators are coming from Arkansas. Hammack said his operators are sleeping on the floor where they are assigned. State RACES Officer and ARES DEC Ron Brown, AB5WF, is working on a staging area for Amateur Radio responders near the Mississippi Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) in Jackson. Mississippi SM/SEC Malcolm Keown, W5XX, received a replacement generator and now has telephone and Internet

service.

[In correspondence with Malcolm, he gave me an appreciation for their mental status and what they are going through on the ground in Mississippi: "At this point nobody has much time to document activities. Nobody at this point really has a clear enough mind to put together a coherent story." -- K1CE]

+ ALABAMA

The state capitol of Montgomery was the scene of processing and orienting Amateur Radio volunteers for Red Cross and other duty in Louisiana and Mississippi. Some volunteers will help support communication at Red Cross shelters set up for evacuees, while others will provide tactical communication for feeding stations or for emergency management. Alabama SM Greg Sarratt, W4OZK, has been coordinating ham radio volunteers at the Montgomery site. - ARRL Letter

+ SOUTH TEXAS

The Red Cross reports housing more than 142,000 people in 485 shelters in 18 states. Texas has over 230,000 people who fled. Orange County ARES EC Rocky Wilson, N5MTX, has ARES operators supporting seven Red Cross facilities that include distribution centers and shelters. Since being activated on August 27, 35 ARES operators have provided over 1,200 person-hours, as operations continue. ARES groups from adjacent Jefferson and Polk Counties are providing much-needed mutual aid.

ARES District 14 (Harris County) DEC Ken Mitchell, KD2KW, was requested by the county emergency management office (HCOEM) to provide four operators 24 hours per day, on-site at the Houston Astrodome, and two at the county EOC, beginning September 1. Since then, more than 90 operators have provided over 720 person-hours of direct communications support. All four county ARES ECs are providing operators at both sites.

Managing the incoming health and welfare inquiry messages is overwhelming nearly all NTS resources, especially at the local level. To mitigate this, Amateur Radio clubs have been asked to contact nearby shelters as often as possible to pick up outgoing messages and process them into the NTS by any available means.

At the request of the state Adjutant General's office, Travis County ARES EC Don Dudley, AC5YK, facilitated National Guard in Waco with communication with Guard elements at the Louisiana Superdome.

+ NEW ORLEANS

STX SEC Jerry Reimer, KK5CA, reports: "Amateur Radio operators are beginning to be sent into areas around New Orleans to support command and control operations for Red Cross and other disaster relief

operations. The equipment requirement is VHF and UHF FM. Portable, hand-held and mobile stations are needed. Conditions remain primitive. A pass is required from the Louisiana State Police for access beyond roadblocks. Similar requirements exist in Mississippi, where the state EOC is located in Jackson."

+ NORTHWEST HARRIS COUNTY (TEXAS) ARES

From Hal Merritt, KD5HWW, EC, Northwest Harris County (Texas) ARES: "I have a few moments to breathe and share some notes. We have three running missions: a deployment near Slidell, an operator in New Orleans, and the local event.

The local mission consists of serving the mass shelters in Houston. We saw rapid escalation, much more misinformation than information, and a dynamic situation. The core problem was one we've never seen before: We had trained and drilled on mass evacuations, but not the reverse (mass incoming evacuees). Our local resources were quickly overwhelmed, and I pulled the trigger on our MOU with surrounding county ARES groups. I am now tasking hams from several counties, but may be expanding my plea for operators statewide. The problem is that there is no lodging of any kind to be had. Every hotel and motel is completely full with evacuees.

There is ample local infrastructure, except housing. The event is running along the FEMA ICS (Incident Command System) and UC (Unified Command) scripts. It may seem like chaos to the untrained eye, but it is a thing of beauty to see it working so well.

Normally, an ARES response team would report to, and be tasked by, the Liaison person of the FEMA Command Staff. There, it might be used as an ICS resource or tasked to Logistics for assignment to Task Forces or Strike Teams. Amateur Radio is not yet an official FEMA Resource Type, but that is being worked on. In this event, the Harris County OEM called us. The EOC is attached to ICS under Logistics, and that is how we fit into this event. The ICS is on site at the Astrodome complex.

The ARES response has two locations. The first team is situated with the EOC, providing communication for logistics (resource scheduling and support of the Dome team). They are making entries into a master event log, also.

The main effort is in the field, however. We have teams of four to six operators. There is a Team Leader who is in charge. He/she is responsible for on-site task assignments and for getting the relief team quickly up to speed. The Team Leaders are ARES AECs, or other amateurs with large public service event experience.

We are running six-hour shifts. No one is scheduled for more than six hours, and they have only one shift per day. Having seen what happens when someone gets too tired, we would rather do without, than have someone get hurt; or worse, get other people hurt. Superheroes

need not apply.

The ARES leadership is focused on coordination. Every possible task is being delegated. Although we are working 12-hour days, the real action is with the Team Leaders and Net Controls. No, things are not being done exactly like we would have done it, but it is getting done; and generally, with the highest degree of professionalism. Every time I screw up, there seems to be a number of folks there to beat me up and get the job done right.

Please relay my deepest gratitude to all that have stepped up to the plate. - Contact Hal Merritt, KD5HWW, at <hal.merritt@worldnet.att.net>

+ HILLSBOROUGH (FLORIDA) OPS DEPLOYED TO MISSISSIPPI MAKING A DIFFERENCE FOR KATRINA SURVIVORS

Six trained communication volunteers from the Tampa Bay, Florida, area (sponsored by the Hillsborough County EOC) have been in Mississippi. Using ham radio while awaiting their deployment with state of Florida communications assets, they became one of the few outlets for "I'm Alive" messages out of the area. With local operators in the affected area providing communications to local police, fire, and search teams, there has been limited means of transmitting H/W messages from the victims to their families outside the disaster zone.

Members of the Hillsborough team have been visiting shelters and feeding stations collecting messages from victims. More than 100 messages have been transmitted from Gulfport to Tampa via the Tampa Amateur Radio Club, and local hams in Tampa have been making heart-breaking phone calls to loved ones across the country who had no word for a week on the fate of their family members in Mississippi.

The official mission of the Hillsborough team is to set up and operate EDICs (Emergency Deployable Interoperable Communications System), a fly-away computer-controlled communications system that interconnects radios and cell phones of different frequencies and types so that public service communication can be restored in a disaster area. It allows the radios that survived to be put to use so that police officers and firefighters can better coordinate recovery operations.

The EDICs unit may be tasked to Stennis Space Center in Hancock County, or to Keesler Air Force Base, Mississippi. The team is to deploy with Florida law enforcement teams staged in Gulfport. -- Pete Kemp, KZ1Z, West Central Florida Section PIO

Gary Sessums, KC5QCN, member of the Hillsborough team writes: "Thanks for the mention in the ARES newsletter about the Hillsborough County ARES/RACES deployment. We are on site in Biloxi and Gulfport, Mississippi. We have passed over 100 H/W messages in addition to our

public safety tasking. We are on the MARS, CAP, and SHARES nets, in addition to Amateur Radio. I am trying to arrange relief for the local ARES/RACES operators at the Harrison County EOC, as those guys have been running non-stop since before the storm hit."

+ INTEROPERABILITY TIP

Watching in frustration at the breakdown of communications in the Gulf coast response, I was made acutely aware of the viability of Amateur Radio in terms of interoperability. We have the ability to change frequencies in our radios to serve the location and needs of the area.

Mobile HF, VHF and UHF radios can be re-programmed on the fly. With that in mind, one of the necessary contents of anyone's "grab and go" equipment should be the manuals, or copies of specific pages, for any radio they carry with them.

I also write down the necessary steps -- and only the necessary steps -- to program and use the radio away from the manual. I word process the steps small enough so that I can laminate them as part of my credentials lanyard. As a backup, I put another credit-card-size laminated copy in a wallet that I keep separate in a coat pocket or in the cargo pocket of my trousers. -- Chuck Heron, KD7BWG, DEC, Gila County ARES, Arizona, Executive Officer, Gila County EMCOMM (ARES/RACES), <kd7bwg@arrl.net>

+ ON RESPONDER FATIGUE

From Fred Leif, W6WTI: Thank you for putting out the special edition ARES E-Letter. It is important that hams outside the impact and support areas have a sense of the service that is being provided so that we can help explain to our neighbors and the public the vital role that Amateur Radio is playing.

Amateur Radio serves best while the communications emergency exists. The full response and recovery from Katrina will take a very long time, but when the response agencies and recovery teams have established networks that meet their communications needs, the hams should be allowed to stand down. It will be difficult to determine when this occurs, but the ARES leaders should keep an eye on this ball. We don't want "responder fatigue" to the extent that we can't get operators for the next event. Bringing our service to bear on the communications emergency, and recognizing when it is time to step aside is a vital management function.

+ DIGITAL OUTLETS

Louisiana SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, reports "We now have digital (Pactor/Internet/WL2K) outlets for H/W traffic into the areas noted below in Louisiana and Mississippi. National Traffic System (NTS) traffic can be sent to <ae5v@winlink.org>. At the point that destination traffic handlers feel they can take on all comers, the

directions to reach them will be shared. Louisiana towns and parishes: St. Tammany, Abita Spring, Covington, Folsom, Lacombe, Madisonville, Mandeville, Pearl River, Son, Slidell, Tangipahoa, Amite, Hammond, Independence, Kentwood, Ponchatoula, Roseland, Tangipahoa, Ticfaw, Terrebonne, Houma, Washington, Angie, Bogalusa, Franklinton, Varnado. Mississippi Towns: Gautier, Ocean Springs, Pascagoula. - Relayed by Benson Scott, AE5V

+ BRIEFS:

The Ohio Single Side Band Net (OSSBN) was to announce that H/W traffic will be accepted. OSSBN Net Manager Connie Hamilton, N8IO, who is also an Assistant Section Manager, said conditions have changed, allowing for a reasonable chance of traffic getting delivered. -- Joe Phillips, K8QOE, Ohio Section Manager

+ HURRICANE KATRINA HF RESPONSE AND RECOVERY FREQUENCIES

The following frequencies and links are the courtesy of John Mayger, W4DJ. As far as net activity is concerned, I've been listening primarily to the Gulf Coast ARES Net on 7285 kHz, and the SATERN Net on 14.265 MHz, although I'm sure there are many other active nets. Good propagation to the Florida east coast from those two nets have allowed me to monitor their excellent, professional work. - K1CE

2802.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster
3171.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster
5136.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster
5141.4 USB American Red Cross Disaster
5211.0 USB FEMA
5236.0 USB SHARES Coordination Network
6859.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster
7507.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net
7550.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster (primary)
7698.5 USB American Red Cross Disaster
9380.0 USB USN/USCG hurricane net
10493.0 USB FEMA
14396.5 USB SHARES Coordination Network

AMATEUR HF GULF COAST HURRICANE NETS

3845.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
3862.5 LSB Mississippi Section Traffic
3873.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
3873.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (night)
3873.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (night)
3873.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
3910.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
3910.0 LSB Louisiana Traffic
3923.0 LSB Mississippi ARES
3925.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
3925.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)

3935.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
3935.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health and welfare)
3935.0 LSB Texas ARES (health and welfare)
3935.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health and welfare)
3935.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
3940.0 LSB Southern Florida Emergency
3950.0 LSB Northern Florida Emergency
3955.0 LSB South Texas Emergency
3965.0 LSB Alabama Emergency (altn)
3967.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing traffic)
3975.0 LSB Texas RACES
3993.5 LSB Gulf Coast (health & welfare)
3995.0 LSB Gulf Coast Wx

7225.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
7235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
7235.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
7235.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency
7240.0 LSB American Red Cross US Gulf Coast Disaster
7240.0 LSB Texas Emergency
7243.0 LSB Alabama Emergency
7245.0 LSB Southern Louisiana
7248.0 LSB Texas RACES
7250.0 LSB Texas Emergency
7260.0 LSB Gulf Coast West Hurricane
7264.0 LSB Gulf Coast (health and welfare)
7265.0 LSB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN) (altn)
7273.0 LSB Texas ARES (altn)
7280.0 LSB NTS Region 5
7280.0 LSB Louisiana Emergency (altn)
7283.0 LSB Gulf Coast (outgoing only)
7285.0 LSB West Gulf ARES Emergency (day)
7285.0 LSB Louisiana ARES Emergency (day)
7285.0 LSB Mississippi ARES Emergency
7285.0 LSB Texas ARES Emergency (day)
7290.0 LSB Central Gulf Coast Hurricane
7290.0 LSB Gulf Coast Weather
7290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health and welfare)
7290.0 LSB Louisiana ARES (health and welfare) (day)
7290.0 LSB Texas ARES (health and welfare)
7290.0 LSB Mississippi ARES (health and welfare)

14265.0 USB Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio (SATERN)
14300.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic
14300.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service
14303.0 USB International Assistance and Traffic
14313.0 USB Intercontinental Traffic (altn)
14313.0 USB Maritime Mobile Service (altn)
14316.0 USB Health and Welfare
14320.0 USB Health and Welfare
14325.0 USB Hurricane Watch (Amateur-to-National Hurricane Center)

14340.0 USB Louisiana (1900)

+ RESOURCE LINKS

CAUTION: The links presented below are listed as possible resources
for ARES deployment teams. THEY HAVE NOT BEEN VETTED BY ARRL. USE
AT YOUR OWN RISK.
-K1CE

Health and Welfare Inquiries can be submitted to:

Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network (SATERN)
<http://www.satern.org/>; for Health and Welfare inquiries after
hurricanes and other disasters <http://qso.com/satern/emailfrm.htm>.

American Red Cross (H/W Inquiries): 866-GET-INFO (866-438-4636)
American Red Cross donations and volunteering: 800-HELP-NOW
(800-435-7669)
<http://www.redcross.org>

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
(Individual Disaster Assistance): 800-621-FEMA (800-621-3362)
<http://www.fema.gov/>

Hurricane Katrina Survivor Lists and Information:

National Next of Kin Registration <http://www.nokr.org/>

Hurricane Katrina Survivors Online Forum and Survivor List
<http://www.hurricanekatrinasurvivors.com/main/>

CNN Hurricane Katrina Survivor List <http://www.cnn.com/safe>

Globe Coast News Katrina Survivor List
<http://kenburtonne.web116.discountasp.net/Katrina/Status.aspx>

New Orleans <http://www.neworleans.craigslist.org>

WDSU-TV6 New Orleans TV Station <http://www.wdsu.com/news>

New Orleans Newspaper <http://www.nola.com>

Hurricane Housing Information for Victims
<http://www.hurricanehousing.org>

Links to Emergency Management and Related Sites:

<http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/aboutlinkem.html>

See also:

Florida Division of Emergency Management
<http://www.floridadisaster.org/>

Alabama Emergency Management <http://www.disaster.ema.alabama.gov/>

Alabama Homeland Security <http://www.homelandsecurity.alabama.gov/>

Louisiana State Emergency Information
<http://www.lsp.org/emergency.html>

Louisiana Homeland Security <http://www.ohsep.louisiana.gov/>

Louisiana Governor's Office <http://www.gov.state.la.us/>

City of New Orleans <http://www.cityofno.com/portal.aspx>

Mississippi Emergency Management <http://www.msema.org/>

Mississippi Emergency Management Emergency Line: 1-800-222-MEMA(6362)

Mississippi Homeland Security <http://www.homelandsecurity.ms.gov/>

Disaster Relief Organizations:

American Red Cross <http://www.redcross.org>

Salvation Army <http://www.salvationarmyusa.org/>

United Way <http://national.unitedway.org/>

Catholic Charities <http://www.catholiccharitiesusa.org/>

Operation Blessing (800) 436-6348 <http://www.ob.org/>

United Jewish Communities <http://www.ujc.org>

United Methodists Relief Committee
<http://gbgm-umc.org/umcor/emergency/hurricanes/2005/>

Episcopal Relief <http://www.er-d.org/>

America's Second Harvest (800) 344-8070
<http://www.secondharvest.org/>

+ FINAL NOTE AND PREDICTION

This special edition is released on the anniversary of the September 11 bombings that changed all of our lives. As with the humanitarian ARES response, then, it has been a privilege to monitor the incredible ARES response to the current catastrophe. Bravo to you, the ARES field activists.

It was also inspiring to see Newington and other staff give up their holiday and other time to support the ARES effort. Bravo to them -- they are members of the HQ staff for all of the right reasons.

And now, the prediction: When the curtain is finally drawn on this calamity, you will see Amateur Radio and ARES written -- or re-written -- into a host of more governmental and non-governmental organization plans for the future. Guaranteed. Mark my words on that one. Get your pens ready. - K1CE

==========
The ARES E-Letter is published on the third Wednesday of each month by the American Radio Relay League--The National Association For

Amateur Radio--225 Main St, Newington, CT 06111; tel 860-594-0200;
fax 860-594-0259; <http://www.arrl.org/>. Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
President.

The ARES E-Letter is an e-mail digest of news and information of
interest to active members of the ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency
Service (ARES).

Material from The ARES E-Letter may be republished or reproduced in
whole or in part in any form without additional permission. Credit
must be given to The ARES E-Letter and The American Radio Relay
League.

Editorial questions or comments: Rick Palm, K1CE, k1ce@arrl.net
Delivery problems (ARRL direct delivery only!): ares-el-dlvy@arrl.org

To subscribe, unsubscribe or change your address for e-mail delivery:
ARRL members first must register on the Members Only Web Site,
http://www.arrl.org/members/. You'll have an opportunity during
registration to sign up for e-mail delivery of the The ARES E-Letter,
W1AW bulletins, and other material. ARRL members may subscribe to The
ARES E-Letter by going to the Member Data Page at:
http://www.arrl.org/members-only/memdata.html?modify=1

Note that you must be logged in to the site to access this page.
Scroll down to the section "Which of the following would you like
to receive automatically via email from ARRL?" Check the box for
"ARES E-Letter (monthly public service and emergency communications
news)" and you're all set.

Past issues of The ARES E-Letter are available at
http://www.arrl.org/ares-el/. Issues are posted to this page after
publication.
==========

1066. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:31:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bn1Ve.10399$9i4.7411@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118799

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:XqCdnWrywvjQB7neRVn-tQ@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> See what I mean? Even when you are shown what the Hams are doing, you
>> doubt it. YOU ARE ONE PARANOID SCREWBALL.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> You're aurging with an imbecile who along with some others just can't face
> the fact that ham radio is playing a very important role in emergency
> comms in this disaster and they don't have the ability, skills, knowledge,
> or sense to be a part of it.
>
> The local media here has done several spots about local hams being
> involved, and yesterday a group were getting ready to deploy to the
> affected area to set up more equipment.

Roger that....."Don't confuse me with the facts, my mind is made up"
syndrome....hi hi.

Dan/W4NTI

1067. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:31:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126474313.128208.216810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118900
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> This is to 'an old friend' in particular and his dingaling buddies.
>
> Perhaps this E-Mail I just received from the Alabama Section Emergency
> Coordinator will "HELP" 'an old friend' to understand. Anyone want to bet?
>
> Please notice the comments about using tactical and formal message handling.
> FYI tactical would be to dispense with the formal headings. Just use the
> basic address/phone number. Then the operator that received the message
> makes a phone call to deliver anywhere in the world that allowed 3rd Party
> traffic.
>
> Formal should be self explainatory, but knowing the abilities of Mr. 'an
> old friend' I'll translate....It is using standard ARRL Formatted messages
> as in;
>
> Number 139 W (Welfare) W4NTI CHECK 12 ANNISTON AL SEPT 10
> TO MR JAMES KIRK
> STARSHIP ENTERPRISE
> ANYWHERE IN THE UNIVERSE ZIP CODE
> PHONE NR
> BT
> ALL IS WELL HERE MR. SPOCK X HOPE
> TO SEE YOU SOON BT JAMES T. KIRK
>
> BT
>
> NNNN (MEANS NOTHING FURTHER) (USUALLY SENT IN CW OR DIGITAL MODES AND NOT
> USED IN PHONE)
>
> You do notice the word 'sites' right? That is plural for many locations.
>
> I especially want your response to the paragraph concerning the highly
> vaunted 800 mhz system. That FAILED immediatly.

Yes they failed never said otherwise

> Then please comment about
> the use of Hams to DISPATCH Police and Fire.

I see no such reference, I see a reference that mean that but it is not
clear what type of car or HT radio. Had this been Sanagamon
County(springfield IL area) or here (houghton County) the police and

fire systems can operate autonomouslys of the 800 MHZ system not as
well

> Not to mention the comment of
> how these same hams helped FIX these sites to attempt getting the Police and
> other Emergency agencies back on line.

Nice to see them lend a hand but of course this isn't passing a
message, and here at least many of hams able to fix such system are
also the poeple that installed them in the first place
>
> Please also note that these Ham teams VOLUNTARILY PUT THEMSELVES into the
> middle of one of worst, if not THEY worst ecological disaster in this
> countries history.

Never said otherwise

> Providing their own equipment, their own supplies, their
> own gas, etc. Note how much that is appreciated and does not ADD to the
> problem.

never said otherwise
>
> No bragging, no looking for headlines, in fact NO NAMES AT ALL. So tell me
> 'an old friend' where is all the bragging????????

you were the one Bragging personaly. I know you can't seem to seperate
yourself from the totality of the ARS.

>
> I doubt this will do a bit of good with you and your 1/3 of the newsgroup
> that support you. But these are the facts. Believe it if you want.

I see it and I see the ARRL is being more carefull than I have seen
them in the past not to over state the role of the ARS
>
> OR.....just remove the egg off you face and drop the subject.

of course anyone that dares disgree you in the slightest degree has
"egg" on their face
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> > Good morning Folks,
> >
> > I can't begin to tell you how awesome your response to Hurricane Katrina
> > and the aftermath has been. The Tactical and Formal traffic handling as
> > well as the overall professionalism, has truly been impressive.
> >
> > Those of you that have packed up and gone to the needed sites to operate
> > in dismal conditions deserve all of our gratitude. You have made our state
> > proud, and me too.
> > For those who went but were turned around because of mis-communication,

> > please accept my sincere apologies. With a half million people homeless,
> > and hundreds of thousands without power, water and basic services, all of
> > us in Emergency Services were simply overwhelmed. We're trying to get it
> > right, and using the experience to make sure of a good plan should this
> > magnatude of event ever happen again.
> >
> > This is what we have learned:
> >
> > The 800mhz and other trunked systems commonly used by local and state
> > agencies, were the first to go in the hard hit areas. In many cases, we
> > found Police and Fire units were dispatching from a car or HT. Even after
> > two weeks, we still have hams handling All of the EOC and Public Service
> > communications in a number of counties and Parishes on the coast. This is
> > really hot gristly work. Many of the hams were able to repair the
> > commercial antenna systems and bring some public services back online.
> > Cell phones were out initally, but began to come up after a week, but many
> > areas are still overloaded or spotty.
> > The most valuable asset by far has been the totally self contained ham or
> > team who went in with radios, generators, antennas, food, water, and
> > sleeping bags. This is what everyone onsite began to ask for, and what we
> > are running short of. Keep this in mind for a club or group project in the
> > future.
> >
> > ARRL has set up a Clearing House for needed hams at
> > http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/agencies/.
> > Also Greg W4OZK has beed working as Amateur Radio liaison at the American
> > Red Cross Disaster Operation Center that has been set up in Montgomery.
> > You can contact him at DR871-06RTT-RCO3@usa.redcross.org for placement.
> >
> > We are still looking at at least 60 days of operations that will require
> > our assistance. Anything that you or your clubs can do will mean a great
> > deal to those folks who still can't communicate with the needed agencies.
> >
> > 73's and God Bless, Jay Isbell KA4KUN ARRL Alabama Section Emergency
> > Coordinator
> > ARES - ARES Mutual Assistance Team - ARES Rapid Response Team - "It's Not
> > Just A Hobby Anymore"
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ---

1068. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:32:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <do1Ve.10401$9i4.9475@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118901

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:0LmdncsUROdEA7neRVn-vg@gbronline.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>
>> and sometimes the agencies were simply not satified with the degree of
>> control they had over us in those ops. and example I can point to is
>> Sprifnfield IL, where the IEMa director got pissed that a ham operator
>> cut him off, when he want to rip one of his subordinates a new one with
>> many "colorfull metaphors", the ham control op cut the radio and tried
>> to explain that he can't say stuff like that on Ham radio
>
> As he should have.
>>
>> Hams have been largely excluded in Illinois from emergency planing
>> since that event)things may have been patched up by now Todd might know
>> more about that than I anymore
>
> Can you post some proof to back that up?

I would like to see that myself.

Dan/W4NTI

1069. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:33:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126474404.146770.224670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118902

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > See what I mean? Even when you are shown what the Hams are doing, you
> > doubt it. YOU ARE ONE PARANOID SCREWBALL.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> You're aurging with an imbecile who along with some others just can't
> face the fact that ham radio is playing a very important role in
> emergency comms in this disaster and they don't have the ability,
> skills, knowledge, or sense to be a part of it.

No He is argueing with someone that Says the ARS is playing an
important role, but not an vital one, as are oyu
>
> The local media here has done several spots about local hams being
> involved, and yesterday a group were getting ready to deploy to the
> affected area to set up more equipment.

1070. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:37:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Xs1Ve.10403$9i4.5640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118903

> Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
>> Then, when it's
>> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.

Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at that.

How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?

Dan/W4NTI

1071. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:43:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126475013.422739.278920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118904

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126390318.686281.153260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >> Just what do you consider "VITAL COMMS" ???
> >
> > as i have said Vital ops are those that can't be handled by other
> > means, the failure of which to get through will cause substancail harm
> > to many people or additonal damage to infrastructure
> >
>
> So....none of the examples given by me or others in here is considered vital
> comms to you. , and Well I am sure those that needed the messages send
> those that got them considered them vital.

If you are going to critizse my use of languae you should try to do
better yourself

But guessing at the details "Well I am sure those that needed the
messages send those that got them considered them vital."

No I don't I see them as important, valueble, not vital, wether the
persons involved thought so matters little as they are not in a
position to be objective
>
> I will give you one more example of how ham radio got the job done, when
> NOTHING ELSE WORKED.
>
> My city, Anniston Alabama wanted to donate several Police Vehicles to the
> city of Waveland MS. The city manager made several attempts to contact the
> city in MS. NO WAY COULD HE GET THROUGH.
>
> The city manager here knew a city employee was a Ham operator. He asked him
> if he could help. He picked up the message and gave it to me, since he
> was working at the time.
>
> I passed that message within an hour. A day went by, no return call. That
> evening on the regular Alabama Traffic Net I asked our member KB7BSA who was
> in Biloxi if she could get someone into Waveland and see if they need the
> Police cars.
>
> She not only did just that, but did it herself by traveling to the town,
> found the Chief of Police. He is sending people to pick them up today.

>
> Do you think the people of Waveland are going to be happy that they now have
> Police patrolling their town once again? Is that vital? Or could a CB
> done it? Or GMRS ? Or carrier Pidgeon????

not vital, and by the desciption I suspect that a carrier pigeon might
have done better, said pigeon would flown to waveland and not required
a repeat, as would have simply driving one of the police cars that
Anniston was prepared to send
>
>
> > Since the post the post you are quoting I have heard of some
> > lifesavinng messgae but would stand behind the revised assertion that
> > most of the ARS traffic is H&W with some life saving traffic in the mix
> >
>
> OK, I get it now. Next Communication Emergency we will have you set up the
> allowed traffic list.

never said or suggested that the traffic sent was improper, just I see
the traffic passed as less important than you, which is not to say
unimportant.

>
> > But what I am seeing described is retail aid, in a disater of
> > wholescale proprotions
> >
> > important but not vital
> >
> Retail aid? What the heck is that?

a metaphor which obviously you are not bright enough to follow
>
> > What traffic is being passed by the ARS that would affect the headlines
> > in this disaster, the only headlines that would be affected are the one
> > mentioning us by name
> >
>
> Who gives a damn about headlines.
> Those that respond in this situation are looking to get the job done. Not
> your approval.

Never said it required it

Indeed like Dave and Stevie you insist on adding your own meaning to
what I say, to point you fabricate statements
>
> > Vital comms
> >
> > I have been informed that the ARS can normaly allow to be complied list
> > of people in the shelters of the affected areas, I hear from SecHS
> > mouth that no such list yet exists after how many days

> >
>
cut

you want to try responding to what I am saying instead of making
fabrication let me know

1072. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:47:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zaOdnTg7KpJxC7neRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118788
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
> Just where did you get the notion that Montgomery only needed to talk across
> town?

The voices in his head told him so.

1073. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:48:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zaOdnTs7KpKuCrneRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118789
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>from your statement obviously
>>
> I never said any such thing. I was talking about comms in/out of shelters.
> You think ALL the shelters are in one town?

First you need to explain to an_old_idiot what a shelter is.

1074. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:49:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126475390.775880.295590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118905

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126391064.045826.38590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut

> >> A sensible comment from 'an old friend'....again I am amazed....wait a
> >> minute.....'an old friend' recognizing Glenn Baxter is in need of a
> >> rubber
> >> room......right off the bat, eh? Perhaps a bit of experience 'old
> >> friend'?
> >
> > even agre with you and get flamed for it
> >
> > OTOH I did not mention a rubber room either, simple mental health work
> > very few people are need of the rubber room. I have no evidence that
> > K1MAN would even benifit from one let alone need it
> >
> > I take it then you agree with me that K1MAN is not much of an issue for
> > the FCC then
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Your ability to understand is obviously defective. Let me try again.
>
> I think K1MAN has mental problems. As you apparantly do also.

K1MAN's own words say so so of course I do, but I don't think that is
reason to confine him. Indeed I am very slow to suggest that anyperson
should be confined
>
> I think MAN is a discrace to Ham Radio, and should be removed for several
> infractions of the rules and regulations.

and I have not heard the allegation let alone the proof of it.

the realy serious matter are Control ops issues, and allegation of
interference in H&W traffic but the latter as presented by Hans looks
more like a missing understanding than something calling for banning
him form the service, prehaps a fine.
>
> I am HAPPY not to hear his "opinions" on his "First ever Ham Radio Call in
> Talk SHow".

and that sound like a personal problem to me, after all it is clear you
are never open to opinions that vary from your own

>
> Dan/W4NTI

1075. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 21:53:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126475581.124314.190620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118906

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > and sometimes the agencies were simply not satified with the degree of
> > control they had over us in those ops. and example I can point to is
> > Sprifnfield IL, where the IEMa director got pissed that a ham operator
> > cut him off, when he want to rip one of his subordinates a new one with
> > many "colorfull metaphors", the ham control op cut the radio and tried
> > to explain that he can't say stuff like that on Ham radio
>
> As he should have.

I agree
> >
> > Hams have been largely excluded in Illinois from emergency planing
> > since that event)things may have been patched up by now Todd might know
> > more about that than I anymore
>
> Can you post some proof to back that up?

Proof no the incident occoured before I was licensed It was first
related to me, by K0HMO, then president of the SVRC, a very similar
story was also related to me by other 2 Hams and A Magor of the IL NG

Todd I believe, was involved in an effort to try to patch things up,
and has related to me a close version of the same story

1076. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 22:01:24 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XqCdnWrywvjQB7neRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118790

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> See what I mean? Even when you are shown what the Hams are doing, you
> doubt it. YOU ARE ONE PARANOID SCREWBALL.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>

You're aurging with an imbecile who along with some others just can't face the fact that ham radio is playing a very important role in emergency comms in this disaster and they don't have the ability, skills, knowledge, or sense to be a part of it.

The local media here has done several spots about local hams being involved, and yesterday a group were getting ready to deploy to the affected area to set up more equipment.

1077. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 22:10:39 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <0Lmdnc4UROfqAbneRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118791

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
> Or maybe he's busy actually doing something to help the effort, unlike
> the few lame wannabes like you who do nothing but boast about your
> accomplishments when you haven't accomplished squat. Some hams did
> indeed make a great contribution. But -you- don't get to take credit
> for -their- individual efforts by virtue of your license. If you want
> to brag then -you- do something worth bragging about.

The hams have no need to brag, the media and emergency officials are doing pleanty of bragging about the role ham radio is playing in this disaster.

Haven't heard them brag about any of the "ten fer thar, we is-a-standing-by thar ten fer" cb crowd yet.

> Then, when it's
> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.

And you and your CeeBee have done what to help so far Frankieboy?

1078. Re: An appeal to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 22:13:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126476805.315772.111610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118907

Lloyd wrote:
> Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> goggle. thank you.

don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
ago, and comitted to a mental institution

1079. Re: Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 22:14:58 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <0LmdnckUROfkALneRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118794

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126391560.742242.125090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>>http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx
>>
>>look at what? an empty file?
>>
>
>
> Empty file? Perhaps you should update your default media player. It was an
> interesting video of a report of amateur radio directly being responsible
> for rescuing folks trapped on a rooftop in New Orleans.

But according to lennieboy and the SeeBee King frankieboy, and
a_really_stupid_oldwhateverheis, that would be just something routine,
nothing vital.

1080. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 22:17:18 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <0LmdncgUROeYA7neRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118795

John Smith wrote:
> There is little doubt Baxter has several contacts (leaks, informants,
> etc.) inside the FCC. The commission continues to be powerless
> to rectify its internal security problems. Commercial interests have
> taken full advantage of FCC informants, and one can be certain
> over the years that Baxter has developed several credible sources
> of information inside the FCC. Just one case in point. Two
> commission employees in the Northeast/DC areas would become
> aware of imminent CB enforcement actions. They would email
> others specific details about the imminent enforcement effort, and
> worse yet, would discuss it on ham repeaters. Within hours, illegal
> CB equipment was pulled from shelves, and local freeband
> frequencies would go quiet. Legal CB channels, area ham
> repeaters, and email would be full of specific details of the
> pending enforcement actions. The same two commission employees
> served as valuable sources of information for commercial
> interests, allowing them lead time to prepare for FCC inspections.
> Overheard a ARRL official once say he guessed there were dozens
> of reliable sources of information inside the FCC.
>
>
>

Care to site a source for those statements?

1081. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 22:20:51 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <0LmdncsUROdEA7neRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118796

an_old_friend wrote:

> and sometimes the agencies were simply not satified with the degree of
> control they had over us in those ops. and example I can point to is
> Sprifnfield IL, where the IEMa director got pissed that a ham operator
> cut him off, when he want to rip one of his subordinates a new one with
> many "colorfull metaphors", the ham control op cut the radio and tried
> to explain that he can't say stuff like that on Ham radio

As he should have.

> Hams have been largely excluded in Illinois from emergency planing
> since that event)things may have been patched up by now Todd might know
> more about that than I anymore

Can you post some proof to back that up?

1082. Re: REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-11 23:53:30 UTC
From: Todd <NoSpam@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dg2fv3cce0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 118908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message news:005090201.5300@zifcol.ar...
anybody who uses childraper in his name should be reported to goggle. I
bet that k4yz does it because he is a known net cop.

On Sun, 11 Sep 2005, Stagger Leechildraper wrote:

> Shut the fuck up, Davies.
>

Well, here is where to start. Besides, Roger often plays net cop so giving
him a dose of his own medicine is a good thing.

X-Trace: posting.google.com 1126451683 3842 127.0.0.1 (11 Sep 2005 15:14:43
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

1083. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 01:57:46 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4324E0BD.A033E1A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 118909
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" wrote:
>
> The disaster of hurricane Katrina is of unparalleled proportions
> and ALL humane-thinking citizens can do their part, however big,
> however small.

And then, there are the morons and bigots:
<http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG=Search+News>

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1084. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 02:35:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126492532.284682.62360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118912

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > No He is argueing with someone that Says the ARS is playing an
> > important role, but not an vital one, as are oyu
>
> Ham radio is in some cases playing an important role, and in some cases
> a very vital role. So what's your problem?

Dab is the one with the problem and perhaps yourself It seem it is not
allowed to dissgree about HOW important a role

1085. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 02:40:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126492855.192584.29130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118913

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >
> > A threat to my ego? All I am doing is TRYING to explain to that hole
> > between your ears that Ham Radio is doing something good here. But you
> > simply refuse to believe it because you are in a RF hole in Upper Michigan.
> > Get you attitude adjusted.

No Dan you are flaming me for not taking your view of just How
important that role is

Never said Ham wasn't doing somethinggood, never said it at all, merely
have stated that I don't see the value of that service as being as high
as you do. Saying that something isn't vital isn't saying something
isn't good, despite your instance to that effect

It's Got nothing to do with the fact I can't realy hear both side of
any of the traffic, I can hear NO ok but ofcourse not a word from the
stations answering them, mostly becuase either the prop is just wrong
to them or they are using beams and I am behind the reflector element
>
> He needs an "opti-rectomy" to sever that nerve that runs from his ahole
> to his eyes that gives him that crappy outlook on life.

ah Buzz your normal jerk hood but at least you cut enough from Dan crap
to maybe respond to. perhaps he will even read it before turning the
flame thrower back on

1086. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 02:42:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126492937.963113.222850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118914

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >
> > A threat to my ego? All I am doing is TRYING to explain to that hole
> > between your ears that Ham Radio is doing something good here. But you
> > simply refuse to believe it because you are in a RF hole in Upper Michigan.
> > Get you attitude adjusted.

No Dan you are flaming me for not taking your view of just How
important that role is, trying reading before you switch on the flame
thrower

Never said Ham wasn't doing somethinggood, never said it at all, merely
have stated that I don't see the value of that service as being as high
as you do. Saying that something isn't vital isn't saying something
isn't good, despite your instance to that effect

It's Got nothing to do with the fact I can't realy hear both side of
any of the traffic, I can hear NO ok but ofcourse not a word from the
stations answering them, mostly becuase either the prop is just wrong
to them or they are using beams and I am behind the reflector element

It s hard to believe that I need explain progation to you, but there it
is But of course you don't want me to judge the effort by what I can
hear, despite your insitance that I do so
>
> He needs an "opti-rectomy" to sever that nerve that runs from his ahole
> to his eyes that gives him that crappy outlook on life.

ah Buzz your normal jerk hood but at least you cut enough from Dan crap
to maybe respond to. perhaps he will even read it before turning the
flame thrower back on

1087. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 02:48:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126493294.756384.272070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118915
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" wrote:
> >
> > The disaster of hurricane Katrina is of unparalleled proportions
> > and ALL humane-thinking citizens can do their part, however big,
> > however small.
>
>
> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
> <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG=Search+News>

I doubt they are the first to do so, I am certain they are will not be
the last.

Hopefully such actions will deliever a silver lining of forcing the
hand of the Govt to reign in the overbearing homowner assocations, as
hopefully, will the death and estruction in NO lead to finaly dealing
with the cities problems rather than just pushing them off till the
next admistration (at all levels)
>
>
> --
> ?
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

1088. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 02:56:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126493771.881114.146170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118916

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> >
> >> Then, when it's
> >> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
> >> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>
> Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
> politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at that.
>
> How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?

ah yes the pissing contest again SoP for the elite
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1089. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 03:17:51 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xPOdnV5YNbDqebneRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118910

an_old_friend wrote:

>
>
> No He is argueing with someone that Says the ARS is playing an
> important role, but not an vital one, as are oyu

Ham radio is in some cases playing an important role, and in some cases
a very vital role. So what's your problem?

1090. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 03:25:15 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <SomdndvlFqWpe7neRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118911

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> A threat to my ego? All I am doing is TRYING to explain to that hole
> between your ears that Ham Radio is doing something good here. But you
> simply refuse to believe it because you are in a RF hole in Upper Michigan.
> Get you attitude adjusted.

He needs an "opti-rectomy" to sever that nerve that runs from his ahole
to his eyes that gives him that crappy outlook on life.

1091. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 04:00:21 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <5oSdneiC5qb2c7neRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118917

an_old_friend wrote:

>
>
> ah Buzz your normal jerk hood but at least you cut enough from Dan crap
> to maybe respond to. perhaps he will even read it before turning the
> flame thrower back on
>

jerk hood? Well, whatever floats your boat.

1092. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 04:04:04 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MaydnR-FlMbNcrneRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118918

an_old_friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>No He is argueing with someone that Says the ARS is playing an
>>>important role, but not an vital one, as are oyu
>>
>>Ham radio is in some cases playing an important role, and in some cases
>>a very vital role. So what's your problem?
>
>
> Dab is the one with the problem and perhaps yourself It seem it is not
> allowed to dissgree about HOW important a role
>

You can disagree all you want, no one is saying you can't, you're just wrong as usual, because ham radio *is* playing a vital role in this emergency and there are a lot of emergency officials and agencies that agree.

1093. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 04:10:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9ISdnZyK3e9RYrneRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118919

an_old_friend wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> I ridicule many things.
>>>>>
>>>>>Bravo!
>>>>
>>>> You do too!
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
>>
>> So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
>>course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?
>
>
> As many as they want? maybe not, as many as they can convince to marry
> sure, why not?

Not the point.

> why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> banned?

Not the point. The point is a lot of people *don't* like either. A number of people do. But turning it into a religious matter, ala some religions, and then expecting all others to accept that, with no comment is simply incorrect. Brian has a big problem with my making fun of what I consider some of the more silly aspects of religion.

Some people find that 2 wives is one too many. Some say the same of 1 wife......

>> Are religious radicals sacred?
>>
>> Okay, let me make fun of atheists.....

>>
>> Q. What is the worst part about being an atheist?
>>
>> A. No one to talk to during Orgasm.....
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>> So where do we stop, Brian?
>>>>>
>>>>>Has it started?
>>>>
>>>> Oh absolutely.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Is it when they call for the government to
>>>>>assassinate the leader of a country that they don't like?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Is that when they called to assassinate Bush Sr's life?
>>>>
>>>>No it wasn't. It was when Pat Robertson called for the US to assassinate
>>>>Chavez. I'm not talking about politicos, I'm talking about religious
>>>>leaders.
>>>
>>>
>>>Chavez should file charges. Let due process work. BTW, that due
>>>process was set up by evil religious people.
>>
>> Ummm, where?
>
>
> Not sure wher Pat is a resident, but in the state where Pat lives is a
> good place to start

>>>>>Clinton sent a
>>>>>couple of missiles into an emply office building to show that you can't
>>>>>just go around threatening the President.
>>>>
>>>>>Is it when
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>they call for holy war?
>>>>>
>>>>>Yeh, I think we should draw the line at Jihad.
>>>>
>>>> There ya go!
>>>
>>>

>>>Bravo! I was wondering if you were racist and only hated WASP's that
>>>call for Jihad.
>>
>> Where do you get this stuff Brian? I don't like any religion that tries
>>to impress it's beliefs on others, that engages in killing in the name
>>of God, and in general allows people of "faith" to use that faith to
>>cause harm.
>
>
> indeed then you are much a fan of Cristain beliefs then I take it
> cut

Huh? I don't quite get that, Mark.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1094. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 04:30:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Kd-dnX4f1oXImbjeRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118920

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>>why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
>>>>shoudl polyandry be banned?
>>>
>>>Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
>>>one.
>>
>>Why would that be a concern? We don't prevent people from having
>>lots of kids they cannot afford.
>
>
> How many families of 20 or more children do you know of in
> Pennsylvania, Jim?
>
>
>>Many if not most families-with-children I know have all the adults
>>working outside the home. Having more adults available
>>would make things easier, not harder.
>
>
> But these guys don't LET the women work...They are expected to
> stay at home and multiply...Period.
>
>
>>>The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
>>>poverty.
>>
>>But is that due to the polygamy, or due to other factors such as
>>rejection by the mainstream culture?
>
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh.........How many families with more than three or four
> kids do you know of that "lives well", Jim?
>

>
>>>Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with in-
>>>breeding.
>>
>>That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
>>polygamy or polyandry.
>
>
> Most of the polygamy colonies in Utah are fiercely close and shun
> outsiders, Jim. Where's the fresh DNA come from?
>
>
>>The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
>>society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one man
>>joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws of the
>>various levels of government.
>
>
> And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
> intra-family breeding.
>
>
>>There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as long as
>>those involved don't demand government sanction and protection.
>
>
> Common sense and the health and welfare of the children will
> prevent it, Jim.
>
>
>>>I am sure the "evil religious people" he was refring to were the
>>>Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves to put ambiguous language
>>>about "seperation of church and state" in the Constitution, however
>>>liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the political process.
>>
>>Where?
>
>
> Start with your folding money.
>
>
>>Most of the Founders were Deists. They also cherished religious liberty
>>and did not want churches to be supported by tax dollars.
>
>
> As well they shouldn't. However Christian principles were the
> basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early American
> law.
>
> Who among them could have foretold the silliness that prevails in

> today's "religious" pursuits?

Bingo! It is no exaggeration to note that the biggest atheists here in the US are almost all filled to the gills with "Christian Values". We all have to have some sort of values, save for the rare and sick few.

And there is nothing wrong with most of those values. The ten commandments? A lot of that is good stuff. We better not stand too hard on that "covet thy neighbors goods stuff, tho'. And "not having any other God before me" kind of makes it a problem to post it in the courthouse.

We are what we are, and our heritage is where it comes from.

I suppose that it is a great comfort to many people to "know" just how things are supposed to be, and to have great faith in that "knowing". But the faithful have had a long and storied history of depriving others of what they believe is their own faith's fundamental rights.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1095. Re: An appeal to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 13:15:49 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126530949.122834.4200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118921

Lloyd wrote:
> Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> goggle. thank you.

Poor Lardass, he's now the 32 YEAR-OLD-VIRGIN, BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
No job, no, girlfriend, no money, no presents, not even a birthday card
will he have! BWHAHAHAHAHAHA! Whine a little louder, Lardass!
BWHAHAHAHAHA

1096. Re: REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 13:23:23 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126531403.696575.27810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd wrote:
> anybody

Shut the fuck up, Davies.

1097. Re: REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 13:24:33 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126531473.394825.50520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Todd wrote:
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message news:005090201.5300@zifcol.ar...
> anybody who uses childraper in his name should be reported to goggle. I
> bet that k4yz does it because he is a known net cop.
>
> On Sun, 11 Sep 2005, Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
>
> > Shut the fuck up, Davies.
> >
>
> Well,

Well, you little faggot, it's nice to see you stand by your woman,
Lardass Lloydie.

1098. Re: REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 13:25:31 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126531531.515653.55630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news.glorb.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Todd" <NoS...@anon.uz>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN
NAL
Date: Sun, 11 Sep 2005 18:53:30 -0500
Organization: "Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com"
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <dg2fv3$cce$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <1126417322.815441.95720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<80050902501.5300@zifcol.ar>
<1126451850.892819.182720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<005090201.5300@zifcol.ar>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441

You mean like this?
```

1099. When Will KB9RQZ Finally Fix His Grammar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 13:52:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126533143.904389.201540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118925

an_old_friend wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
> > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > goggle. thank you.
>
> don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> ago, and comitted to a mental institution

"arrested"

Markie...Was that a sentence?

>>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.

Learn English, Markie. You are seriously deficient in grammar,
comprehension and application. Fix it or accept the fact that you'll
be constantly made a fool of by your own words (or what passes for
words)...

Steve, K4YZ

1100. Re: Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 13:58:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126533485.584421.56460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118926

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > http://easylink.playstream.com/katu/050831ham_operator_530pm.wvx
>
> look at what? an empty file?

So much for the 248 IQ, eh, Markie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1101. When will K4YZ start telling the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 15:09:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126537774.715624.241240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118927

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Lloyd wrote:
> > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > goggle. thank you.
> >
> > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
cut
> >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.

Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
course but you cliamed it

you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
month ago

You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
>cut

1102. When will K4YZ start telling the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 15:10:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126537841.941170.123600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118928

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Lloyd wrote:
> > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > goggle. thank you.
> >
> > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
cut
> >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.

Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
course but you cliamed it

you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
month ago

You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA

Indeed you are more offended by me than the "Childraper" poster showing
that you are truly sick you will tolerate Child rape but not what you
call lying
>cut

1103. Re: An appeal to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 15:23:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126538586.621363.239660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118929

Lloyd wrote:
> Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> goggle. thank you.

Like I said don't count on Stevie for action.

He is full of talk full of boasting short on deeds

Stevie is bully and only attacks those he thinks he can bully, and once
he starts he can't stop even if they attacked doesn't back down so he
carries on these multyear venedettas desperating trying and thinking to
himself "just more attack and he'll cave"

He cn't even stay on topic

1104. Why Does Mark Morgan Have To Resort To Lying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 15:41:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126539660.903441.142760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118930

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > > goggle. thank you.
> > >
> > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> cut
> > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
>
> Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> course but you cliamed it

"claimed"

No, I did not state I was going to "get you arrested".

> you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> month ago

No, I did not state I was going to get you committed.

If you're going to make crazy claims, at least get what I >>DID<<
say correct!

> You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA

Nope.

I can't force Michigan's hand, however the FACT remains that a
case file is now open. It has YOUR name on it.

Steve, K4YZ

1105. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 15:53:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <429bi1t3hqoqm3pblifpoboddo9ccpdbpi@4ax.com>
Source record: 118931

On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 21:37:59 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<Xs1Ve.10403$9i4.5640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>>
>>> Then, when it's
>>> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>>> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>
>Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
>politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at that.

You are also a braggart.

>How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?

More than you.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1106. Why Does Mark C. Morgan Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 16:11:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126541467.462857.304890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118932

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > > goggle. thank you.
> > >
> > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> cut
> > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
>
> Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> course but you cliamed it

That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post,
Markie.

> you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> month ago

No, I did not.

I stated I would file a complain and report. I did.

> You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA

Nope. Ask Toiddie.

> Indeed you are more offended by me than the "Childraper" poster showing
> that you are truly sick you will tolerate Child rape but not what you
> call lying

First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
Wiseman, et al group. I no more believe any of them to be a "child
raper" than I believe you to be a Colonel in the Army.

Second of all, most of what you do IS lying, and we even have YOUR
acknowledgement of your mistruthfulness and deceit to back it up.

Steve, K4YZ

1107. Re: Why Does K4YZ blow in public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 16:47:26 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <74cbi11dn9eq0cou9kft6v9abq6gu950f9@4ax.com>
Source record: 118933

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
> Wiseman, et al group.
> Steve, K4YZ
>
You did good! Let me blow you now!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1108. Re: Why Does K4YZ To Resort To Lying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 17:14:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126545273.297765.323080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118934

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > >
> > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > cut
> > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> >
> > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > course but you cliamed it
>
> "claimed"
>
> No, I did not state I was going to "get you arrested".

yes you did you claimed that for saying I was colnel after you
threatened to kill me you going to get me aressted

>
> > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > month ago
>
> No, I did not state I was going to get you committed.

you sure did

and that you would take action that would do more than anoy me

>
> If you're going to make crazy claims, at least get what I >>DID<<
> say correct!

I am repateing your Crazy Claims

>
> > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
>
> Nope.

>
> I can't force Michigan's hand, however the FACT remains that a
> case file is now open. It has YOUR name on it.

Nah at best you have caused to a file to be labeled and stuck in
cabiment somewhere, already forgotten

You claimed that you could cause action that would do more than anoy me

You have caused nothing
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1109. Re: An appeal to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 17:25:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126545932.824163.214930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118935

Lloyd wrote:
> Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> goggle. thank you.

Don't count on Stevie he is MIA

1110. Stevie admits he find lying more offenseive than Child rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 17:27:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126546038.503456.293890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118936

" First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
Wiseman, et al group. "

there we ahave ladies and gents

Discussion of Child rape is acceptable to Stevie, but lying (meaning
disgreeing with him isn't

just who is the sick SoB?

1111. Re: Why Does K4YZ Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 18:01:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126545599.274591.28720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118937

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > >
> > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > cut
> > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> >
> > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > course but you cliamed it
>
> That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post,
> Markie.

There was no "ini9tail" post

but indeed 7 years ago you claimed that one call would get me arrested
>
> > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > month ago
>
> No, I did not.
>
> I stated I would file a complain and report. I did.

file a complain? what is that

You promised however to take action that would do more than anoy me

You lied plain and simple

you are also lying in claiming that you think lying and kid rape are
about the same in your eyes, as can be clearly seen you easily tolerate
the boasting of child rape but you flame relentlessly anyone you think
is lying (a term you seem to define as disagreeing with you)

>
> > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA

>
> Nope. Ask Toiddie.

but you denied any part in that

which statement was the lie
>
> > Indeed you are more offended by me than the "Childraper" poster showing
> > that you are truly sick you will tolerate Child rape but not what you
> > call lying
>
> First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
> Wiseman, et al group. I no more believe any of them to be a "child
> raper" than I believe you to be a Colonel in the Army.

Meaning you tolerate a chid rpaing without a word but pounce on lying
>
> Second of all, most of what you do IS lying, and we even have YOUR
> acknowledgement of your mistruthfulness and deceit to back it up.
more lie from Stevie

Start telling the turth, it will not hurt you

You are a liar,
a bigot,
a bully,
a bragart,
a stalker,

we all know it why not adnit to
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1112. Some notes on Stevie usenet activities and his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 18:08:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126548526.738246.108050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118938

Stevie has posted this month about 63 messages this month (gogle archives to aug 12 and not counting any he may post later today) by my count 55 of the posts are flaming one of his so called "feeble 5". Leaving 8 posts in month that are not involved in the his flame wars.

Stevie Claims the "Feeble 5" are not important to him, and yet roughly 90 percent of post are directed toward them

Stevie claims the Mr Childraper is a lying about child raping (in this case I do hope he is correct). Stveie claims he does not tolerate lying. Yet it seems Stevie does tolerate "Mr Childraper", meaning of course that Stevie is lying when he says je does not tolerate lying

1113. Setting More Markie Mularkie Straight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 18:56:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126551392.859912.185180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118939

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Stevie has posted this month about 63 messages this month (gogle
> archives to aug 12 and not counting any he may post later today) by my
> count 55 of the posts are flaming one of his so called "feeble 5".
> Leaving 8 posts in month that are not involved in the his flame wars.

Thank-you, Markie, for showing us just WHO is the "obssessed" one
here.

You claim that everyone EXCEPT you is "obssessed" over one thing
or another, yet here you are generating posts that allegedly tally my
posting activites.

You've also created several extra screen names to get around
Google's "Daily Posting Limit".

> Stevie Claims the "Feeble 5" are not important to him, and yet roughly
> 90 percent of post are directed toward them

You're not "important" to me, Markie. You're entertainment.

> Stevie claims the Mr Childraper is a lying about child raping (in this
> case I do hope he is correct).

YOU are the one lying ehre, Markie.

SHOW ME WHERE I SAID "MR CHILDRAPER IS LYING". I said nothing of
the sort.

What I DID say is I didn't believe anyone here was guilty of such
a thing. There's a bit difference between calling someone a "liar" and
choosing to not believe something.

> Stveie claims he does not tolerate
> lying. Yet it seems Stevie does tolerate "Mr Childraper", meaning of
> course that Stevie is lying when he says je does not tolerate lying

I don't. But neither can I go toe-to-toe with every idiot here.
I DO have a life and responsibilities beyond RRAP. Hence my LACK of
need to have multiple screen names to "defeat" the posting limits...

Like you, for example...

Steve, K4YZ

1114. For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 19:06:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8badnTjLD5FeTLjeRVn-1w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118940

All from non ARRL sources

Arizona Daily Star

http://tinyurl.com/dw6ul

Huntsville Alabama, the Huntsville Times....

http://tinyurl.com/boj5b

Lisbon Ohio Morning Journal.....

http://tinyurl.com/c9qvo

West Point MS Daily Times Leader....

http://tinyurl.com/8cb6y

MaCalester OK News-Capital....

http://tinyurl.com/8hbmc

Mountain View Telegraph. Albuquerque NM...

http://tinyurl.com/73s2u

The Huntsville Item (TX) ......

http://tinyurl.com/bsmzn

CBS News....

http://tinyurl.com/7kv93

New York Newsday....

http://tinyurl.com/dof5d

NBC......

http://tinyurl.com/b2ffr

There is a lot more, but I have some errands to run.

Ham haters - on this issue, you are owned.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1115. Re: Why Does KB9RQZ Resort To Lying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 19:09:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126552161.051708.296220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118942

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > > > cut
> > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> > > >
> > > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > > course but you cliamed it
> > >
> > "claimed"
> >
> > No, I did not state I was going to "get you arrested".
>
> yes you did you claimed that for saying I was colnel after you
> threatened to kill me you going to get me aressted

"colonel" "arrested"

No, I said what you did was felonious and subjected you to arrest.

> > > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > > month ago
> > >
> > No, I did not state I was going to get you committed.
>
> you sure did
>
> and that you would take action that would do more than anoy me

"annoy"

I said I was going to file the appropriate report. I did that.

> > If you're going to make crazy claims, at least get what I >>DID<<
> > say correct!

>
> I am repateing your Crazy Claims

"repeating"

No...what you ARE doing is taking one thing, embellishing it and
re-wording it THEN "repateing" it as something I allegedly said.

That's dishonest and deceitful.

> > > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I can't force Michigan's hand, however the FACT remains that a
> > case file is now open. It has YOUR name on it.
>
> Nah at best you have caused to a file to be labeled and stuck in
> cabiment somewhere, already forgotten

"cabinet"

No doubt it's hardly on anyone's "hot list"...However it was
received, acknowledged, and the next time your name comes up in the
right circles, it will be flagged.

> You claimed that you could cause action that would do more than anoy me

"annoy"

> You have caused nothing

The volume and tenor of your psots suggests otherwise.

Steve, K4YZ

1116. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 19:10:08 UTC
From: Carl / W6VDC <carl.hixon@cox.net>
Message-ID: <bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07>
Source record: 118941
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
> <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG=Search+News>

I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
HOA took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.

1117. Some notes on Stevie usenet activities and his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 19:12:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126552347.156550.238920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118943

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Stevie has posted this month about 63 messages this month (gogle
> > archives to aug 12 and not counting any he may post later today) by my
> > count 55 of the posts are flaming one of his so called "feeble 5".
> > Leaving 8 posts in month that are not involved in the his flame wars.
>
> Thank-you, Markie, for showing us just WHO is the "obssessed" one
> here.

again with the attack
cut stevie evasion
>
> > Stevie Claims the "Feeble 5" are not important to him, and yet roughly
> > 90 percent of post are directed toward them
>
> You're not "important" to me, Markie. You're entertainment.
>
> > Stevie claims the Mr Childraper is a lying about child raping (in this
> > case I do hope he is correct).
>
cuting the evasion
>
> > Stveie claims he does not tolerate
> > lying. Yet it seems Stevie does tolerate "Mr Childraper", meaning of
> > course that Stevie is lying when he says je does not tolerate lying

cuting stvie evasions

when you going to admit to your lies

1118. Yet Another Mark Morgan Lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 19:12:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126552350.493199.125420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118944

nobodys_old_friend wrote:

> " First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
> Wiseman, et al group. "
>
> there we ahave ladies and gents

"have"

> Discussion of Child rape is acceptable to Stevie, but lying (meaning
> disgreeing with him isn't

Where did I say it's "acceptable"...?!?!

> just who is the sick SoB?

You, the remainder of the Feeble Five and the Wiseman Laughing
Stock and Company...that's who.

Steve, K4YZ

1119. Re: Why Does K4YZ Resort To Lying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 19:15:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126552529.076723.4300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118945

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > > > > course but you cliamed it
> > > > >
> > > > "claimed"
> > > >
> > > No, I did not state I was going to "get you arrested".
> > >
> > yes you did you claimed that for saying I was colnel after you
> > threatened to kill me you going to get me aressted
>
> "colonel" "arrested"
>
> No, I said what you did was felonious and subjected you to arrest.

you said that and that you would see to it that i was arested
>
> > > > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > > > month ago
> > > >
> > > No, I did not state I was going to get you committed.
> > >
> > you sure did
> >
> > and that you would take action that would do more than anoy me
>
> "annoy"

>
> I said I was going to file the appropriate report. I did that.

no you claimed that you could something done to me that would do more
than anoy me
>
> > > If you're going to make crazy claims, at least get what I >>DID<<
> > > say correct!
> >
> > I am repateing your Crazy Claims
>
> "repeating"
>
> No...what you ARE doing is taking one thing, embellishing it and
> re-wording it THEN "repateing" it as something I allegedly said.

You said more than anoy me
>
> That's dishonest and deceitful.
You are the dishonest one

I am simply reporting your threats

you can't even admit that
>
> > > > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > I can't force Michigan's hand, however the FACT remains that a
> > > case file is now open. It has YOUR name on it.
> >
> > Nah at best you have caused to a file to be labeled and stuck in
> > cabiment somewhere, already forgotten
>
> "cabinet"
>
> No doubt it's hardly on anyone's "hot list"...However it was
> received, acknowledged, and the next time your name comes up in the
> right circles, it will be flagged.

yea right

>
> > You claimed that you could cause action that would do more than anoy me
>
> "annoy"
>
> > You have caused nothing
>
> The volume and tenor of your psots suggests otherwise.

not at all

more lying
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1120. Stevie admits he find lying more offenseive than Child rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 19:18:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126552719.484740.14530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118946

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>
> > " First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
> > Wiseman, et al group. "
> >
> > there we ahave ladies and gents
>
> "have"
>
> > Discussion of Child rape is acceptable to Stevie, but lying (meaning
> > disgreeing with him isn't
>
> Where did I say it's "acceptable"...?!?!

your silence does

You flame me and not a word about Mr Childraper

therefore you tolerate Mr Childraper and therefore you are a liar

>
> > just who is the sick SoB?
>
> You, the remainder of the Feeble Five and the Wiseman Laughing
> Stock and Company...that's who.

I am not the the one that find Childrape acceptable Stevie you do
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1121. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 21:27:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vpmVe.10883$_84.9291@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118947

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126493771.881114.146170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >> Then, when it's
>> >> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>> >> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>>
>> Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
>> politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at
>> that.
>>
>> How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
>
> ah yes the pissing contest again SoP for the elite
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

No pissin contest. Just a question. Your the one bad mouthing ham radio.
Just what are your credentials?

Dan/W4NTI

1122. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 21:30:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <WrmVe.10884$_84.6864@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118948

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:429bi1t3hqoqm3pblifpoboddo9ccpdbpi@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 21:37:59 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <Xs1Ve.10403$9i4.5640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>
>>
>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>> Then, when it's
>>>> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>>>> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>>
>>Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
>>politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at that.
>
>
> You are also a braggart.
>
>
>>How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
>
>
> More than you.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

```
First place I'm not talking to you.
```

Second place....if you have so much training....when do you plan to use it?

Now I'm talking to you....Mr. Un-identified poster.

Dan/W4NTI

1123. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 21:34:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <LvmVe.10886$_84.2476@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118949

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126475581.124314.190620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > and sometimes the agencies were simply not satified with the degree of
>> > control they had over us in those ops. and example I can point to is
>> > Sprifnfield IL, where the IEMa director got pissed that a ham operator
>> > cut him off, when he want to rip one of his subordinates a new one with
>> > many "colorfull metaphors", the ham control op cut the radio and tried
>> > to explain that he can't say stuff like that on Ham radio
>>
>> As he should have.
>
> I agree
>> >
>> > Hams have been largely excluded in Illinois from emergency planing
>> > since that event)things may have been patched up by now Todd might know
>> > more about that than I anymore
>>
>> Can you post some proof to back that up?
>
> Proof no the incident occoured before I was licensed It was first
> related to me, by K0HMO, then president of the SVRC, a very similar
> story was also related to me by other 2 Hams and A Magor of the IL NG
>
> Todd I believe, was involved in an effort to try to patch things up,
> and has related to me a close version of the same story
>

The Red Cross seems to have a case of the dumb arse when using Amateur
Radio. They apparently don't know what to do with us. So your story does
not surprise me at all.

Dan/W4NTI

1124. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 21:40:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vBmVe.10889$_84.5896@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118950

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126475013.422739.278920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126390318.686281.153260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> >> Just what do you consider "VITAL COMMS" ???
>> >
>> > as i have said Vital ops are those that can't be handled by other
>> > means, the failure of which to get through will cause substancail harm
>> > to many people or additonal damage to infrastructure
>> >
>>
>> So....none of the examples given by me or others in here is considered
>> vital
>> comms to you. , and Well I am sure those that needed the messages send
>> those that got them considered them vital.
>
> If you are going to critizse my use of languae you should try to do
> better yourself
>
> But guessing at the details "Well I am sure those that needed the
> messages send those that got them considered them vital."
>
> No I don't I see them as important, valueble, not vital, wether the
> persons involved thought so matters little as they are not in a
> position to be objective
>>
>> I will give you one more example of how ham radio got the job done, when
>> NOTHING ELSE WORKED.
>>
>> My city, Anniston Alabama wanted to donate several Police Vehicles to
>> the
>> city of Waveland MS. The city manager made several attempts to contact
>> the
>> city in MS. NO WAY COULD HE GET THROUGH.
>>
>> The city manager here knew a city employee was a Ham operator. He asked
>> him
>> if he could help. He picked up the message and gave it to me, since
>> he

>> was working at the time.
>>
>> I passed that message within an hour. A day went by, no return call.
>> That
>> evening on the regular Alabama Traffic Net I asked our member KB7BSA who
>> was
>> in Biloxi if she could get someone into Waveland and see if they need the
>> Police cars.
>>
>> She not only did just that, but did it herself by traveling to the town,
>> found the Chief of Police. He is sending people to pick them up today.
>>
>> Do you think the people of Waveland are going to be happy that they now
>> have
>> Police patrolling their town once again? Is that vital? Or could a CB
>> done it? Or GMRS ? Or carrier Pidgeon????
>
> not vital, and by the desciption I suspect that a carrier pigeon might
> have done better, said pigeon would flown to waveland and not required
> a repeat, as would have simply driving one of the police cars that
> Anniston was prepared to send
>>
>>
>> > Since the post the post you are quoting I have heard of some
>> > lifesavinng messgae but would stand behind the revised assertion that
>> > most of the ARS traffic is H&W with some life saving traffic in the mix
>> >
>>
>> OK, I get it now. Next Communication Emergency we will have you set up
>> the
>> allowed traffic list.
>
> never said or suggested that the traffic sent was improper, just I see
> the traffic passed as less important than you, which is not to say
> unimportant.
>
>
>>
>> > But what I am seeing described is retail aid, in a disater of
>> > wholescale proprotions
>> >
>> > important but not vital
>> >
>> Retail aid? What the heck is that?
>
> a metaphor which obviously you are not bright enough to follow
>>
>> > What traffic is being passed by the ARS that would affect the headlines
>> > in this disaster, the only headlines that would be affected are the one
>> > mentioning us by name

>> >
>>
>> Who gives a damn about headlines.
>> Those that respond in this situation are looking to get the job done.
>> Not
>> your approval.
>
> Never said it required it
>
> Indeed like Dave and Stevie you insist on adding your own meaning to
> what I say, to point you fabricate statements
>>
>> > Vital comms
>> >
>> > I have been informed that the ARS can normaly allow to be complied list
>> > of people in the shelters of the affected areas, I hear from SecHS
>> > mouth that no such list yet exists after how many days
>> >
>>
> cut
>
> you want to try responding to what I am saying instead of making
> fabrication let me know
>

I am unable to respond to you in the manner that you seem to expect.
Apparently we are 180 degrees out of phase and will never find a point that
agrees.

So how's this....when you know something about Amateur Radio Emergency
Communications, ARES, RACES,National Traffic System, Propagation,
CW....etc. we will have something to discuss. In the mean time I see no
reason to continue this waste of bandwidth on you.

Dan/W4NTI

1125. Re: Why Does K4YZ blow in public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:02:27 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126562547.852611.223440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118951

LLoyd Davies, N0VFP wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
> > Wiseman, et al group.
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> You did good! Let me blow you now!

Hey, Robeson, Lloyd Davies is using/posting under your name over on a couple of blogs, too.

Lloyd Davies, N0VFP
710 S. Clinton St. #202
Athens, AL 35611

1126. Re: Look at this 'an old friend'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:03:33 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126562613.197113.79130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118952

He forgot the negative sign in front of that 248.

1127. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:04:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126562649.023681.244400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118953

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 9 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total 2246	276
Indeterminate (note 1) 132	23
Value for Percentages 2114	253
Against NPRM (note 2) 633 [29.94%]	80 [31.62%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1158 [54.78%]	124 [49.01%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 323 [15.28%]	49 [19.37%]

The FCC has not updated its ECFS for 12 Sep 05 as of 6 PM EDT on
12 Sep 05. This posting reflects three additional Comments added
by them, one on 6 Sep 05 and two on 31 Aug. All three are "For."

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1128. Re: An appeal to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:06:24 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126562783.984367.104920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118954

Lloyd wrote:
> JUST LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!!!!!!!

BWHAHAHAHA, you sniveled that same phrase behind that locked front door
when you had that "visitor" last xmas, huh, fatty?

Whine a litttle louder, fatty, the now 32 year old VIRGIN!

> On Mon, 12 Sep 2005, Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
>
> >
> > Lloyd wrote:
> >> Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> >> favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> >> get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> >> please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> >> goggle. thank you.
> >
> > Poor Lardass, he's now the 32 YEAR-OLD-VIRGIN, BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
> > No job, no, girlfriend, no money, no presents, not even a birthday card
> > will he have! BWHAHAHAHAHAHA! Whine a little louder, Lardass!
> > BWHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> >

1129. Re: Stevie admits he find lying more offenseive than Child rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:10:45 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126563045.224932.117890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118955

an_old_friend wrote:
> " First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
> Wiseman, et al group. "
>
> there we ahave ladies and gents

You sound like Lawrence Welk......

>
> Discussion of Child rape is acceptable to Stevie, but lying (meaning
> disgreeing with him isn't
>
> just who is the sick SoB?

Davies and his buddy Steve Holsten discuss that topic quite a bit,
probably comparing notes on such activities.

1130. Re: Yet Another Mark Morgan Lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:11:47 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126563106.976929.314690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118956

Tell us more lies about your military involvement and your relief
efforts.

1131. Re: Some notes on Stevie usenet activities and his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:14:32 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126563272.194964.253800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118957

The name refers to one of the sockpuppets named Stagger Lee, stupid, it doesn't mean the author is into rape of children, you idiot.It means the author thinks that Stagger Lee rapes kids. Learn to read.

1132. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:25:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126563909.187275.53660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118958

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126493771.881114.146170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> Then, when it's
> >> >> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
> >> >> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
> >>
> >> Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
> >> politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at
> >> that.
> >>
> >> How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
> >
> > ah yes the pissing contest again SoP for the elite
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> No pissin contest. Just a question. Your the one bad mouthing ham radio.
> Just what are your credentials?

yep pissing contest. not bad mothing Ham radio, been bad mouthing YOU
dan but you are not the totaloty of Ham radio
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1133. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:26:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126564015.881993.69880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118959

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126475581.124314.190620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
cut.
> >
> > I agree
> >> >
> >> > Hams have been largely excluded in Illinois from emergency planing
> >> > since that event)things may have been patched up by now Todd might know
> >> > more about that than I anymore
> >>
> >> Can you post some proof to back that up?
> >
> > Proof no the incident occoured before I was licensed It was first
> > related to me, by K0HMO, then president of the SVRC, a very similar
> > story was also related to me by other 2 Hams and A Magor of the IL NG
> >
> > Todd I believe, was involved in an effort to try to patch things up,
> > and has related to me a close version of the same story
> >
>
> The Red Cross seems to have a case of the dumb arse when using Amateur
> Radio. They apparently don't know what to do with us. So your story does
> not surprise me at all.

Interesting that you refer to the Red Cross rather than IEMA the
referenced agnecy
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1134. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:33:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126564427.328688.250310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118960

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126475013.422739.278920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
>
> I am unable to respond to you in the manner that you seem to expect.
> Apparently we are 180 degrees out of phase and will never find a point that
> agrees.

Indeed I agree you are unable to step outside your point of view to
even consider any other point of view
>
> So how's this....when you know something about Amateur Radio Emergency
> Communications, ARES, RACES,National Traffic System, Propagation,
> CW....etc. we will have something to discuss. In the mean time I see no
> reason to continue this waste of bandwidth on you.

Gee I particpate in ARES/RACES, I know about proagation and CW, I just
disagree with you on the exact level of import of the traffic in
question

When you learn to look with some degree of objectivity at the world do
lets us Know

You refuse to reconize that anyone may disagree with you

SoP for you and many other in here
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1135. Re: K1MAN Has a Case ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 22:49:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126565363.074775.182500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118961

Not true, I dug out an FCC rule book from late 80's and there was a
Special Services Division in the Private Radio Bureau.

Todd N9OGL

1136. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 23:04:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SPnVe.467$Gy3.445@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118962

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8badnTjLD5FeTLjeRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
> All from non ARRL sources

Ten from Martin, St. Lucie head north to help recovery
By Michael C. Bender, Jim Reeder

Palm Beach Post Staff Writers

Thursday, September 01, 2005

An 82-year-old World War II nurse, an amateur radio operator and a former Los Angeles paramedic were among the several Treasure Coast residents leaving Wednesday for the northern Gulf Coast to offer food, help and better communications to those devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

"It sounds like where we're heading is 10 times worse than what (Hurricane) Charley was," said Rob Stoll, a 41-year-old Red Cross volunteer headed toward Mobile,
The Stuart resident is trained to drive a mobile food truck, assess damage for the Red Cross or supervise individual cases.

"I'm definitely expecting to see a lot more traumatic injuries, people with a lot more mental stress, a lot more devastation," said Stoll, a former Los Angeles paramedic. "But I just feel as though I'm a little better prepared this year than I was last year."

Five men and two vehicles packed with electronics left St. Lucie County to assist rescuers with communication equipment that was damaged or destroyed by Hurricane Katrina.

The equipment will serve as a switchboard for emergency workers with different types of radios.

"If you had a citizens band radio and somebody else had an 800 MHz radio, we can help you talk to each other," said Greg Bunting of the St. Lucie County Public Safety Department.

Bill Sinbine, an amateur radio operator who works at the St. Lucie Nuclear Plant, will make the trip on loan from Florida Power & Light. He went to Arcadia last year after Hurricane Charley struck.

"It worked great in Arcadia," Sinbine said. "This (trailer)and amateur radio was the only communications they had for a while."

Eight antennas can be raised and lowered on a 35-foot hand-cranked mast.

The group expects to be sent to Mississippi today after spending Wednesday night in Tallahassee.

Five Martin County Red Cross volunteers will help with food, damage assessment and necessary paperwork.

Jean Smith, an 82-year-old former World War II nurse, will make her 46th trip to a disaster area when she goes to help with record keeping.

"I've earned these wrinkles," said Smith, of Hobe Sound. "I can do most everything, just not as long as I used to 25 years ago."

This is just the start of Treasure Coast residents headed to the disaster area, officials said.

"I've got people begging to go up there," said Maj. Mike Monahan, director of law enforcement for the St. Lucie County Sheriff's Office. "Every time you turn on the television, things are worse than they were two hours before."

Mary Sawyer, director of the American Red Cross Martin County Chapter, said more than a dozen Martin County volunteers are waiting for assignments.

"This job is going to last for months," Sawyer said. "It's going to be similar to what happened here last year and for Hurricane Andrew. After Andrew, we were still feeding people out of trucks at Thanksgiving."

For those interested in volunteering, the Martin County Red Cross will hold a disaster relief training course at its Kanner Highway chapter from 1 to 4 p.m. Wednesday.

Fund-raising efforts are under way locally with Core Communities promising to match the first $25,000 residents donate at its Tradition Welcome Center in Port St. Lucie. Checks made out to the American Red Cross, Salvation Army or Catholic Charities of New Orleans can be mailed or delivered to Core Communities, 10489 S.W. Meeting St., Port St. Lucie, Fla. 34987.

In addition, proceeds from admission to Friday night's St. Lucie Mets game against Vero Beach will be given to the relief fund.

The St. Lucie and Martin County school districts have several fund-raisers planned for the next several weeks, officials said.

"We want to reciprocate what was done for us last year," St. Lucie spokeswoman Ginger King said.

Find this article at:
http://www.palmbeachpost.com/localnews/content/local_news/epaper/2005/09/01/m1b_tcrescue_0901.html

1137. I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 23:11:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mWnVe.9433$Wd7.4612@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118963

Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
By Shaun Waterman
UPI Homeland and National Security Editor

Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM

WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives," as it did at the World Trade Center.

"On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."

News media last week reported that police forces in New Orleans City and the three surrounding parishes all use different and incompatible radio equipment.

Experts say that proper equipment and training and freeing up more and better frequencies are essential pre-requisites for reaching the holy grail of full communications interoperability for first responders.

Kean said a bill in Congress to provide more spectrum was stalled. "Nothing has been happening, and again, people on the ground -- police, fire, medical personnel -- couldn't talk to each other."

"That's outrageous and it's a scandal and I think it cost lives," he concluded.

At issue are the recommendations of a 1995 congressional panel that, as TV broadcasters transitioned to digital transmission -- which takes up a much smaller fraction of the spectrum -- the frequencies freed up would be allocated to first responders.

Now a bipartisan group of lawmakers is making a new push for the legislation.

"We have not kept the promise we made 10 years ago," said Rep. Jane Harman, D-Calif., calling the situation "a black eye" and "an embarrassment" for lawmakers.

She and Rep. Curt Weldon, R-Penn., have written to Speaker of the House Rep. Denny Hastert, R-Ill., to ask for a suspension of the normal rules of debate so that a bill to enforce a deadline for handing the relevant frequencies to first responders can be passed

this week.

In the Senate, a similar measure, sponsored by John McCain, R-Ariz., and Joe Lieberman, D-Conn., is currently before the Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee.

Spokesman Amy Call said Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist, R.-Tenn, was working with Commerce Committee Chairman Sen. Ted Stevens, R-Alaska to try and get that bill to the floor soon, too.

"The Leader saw first hand on the ground the challenges, and is working with several members about further fixes in this area," Call told United Press International at the weekend.

The parts of the spectrum identified by the 1995 Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee report are in the high 700-Mhz range -- which experts say is ideal for use by emergency services because signals sent over these frequencies can penetrate walls and travel long distances.

"This (part of the spectrum) is prime real estate," said Yucel Ors of the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials, a non-profit that represents first responder and emergency management communications specialists.

But, he added, "There are squatters on it," referring to the TV broadcasters.

The law passed in response to the 1995 report set a Jan. 1, 2007, target date for broadcasters to free up that part of the spectrum.

"But there's a huge get out for them," a congressional staffer who has worked on the issue told United Press International. Broadcasters are not required to relinquish their spectrum allocation until 85 percent of households in their market have the equipment needed to receive digital signals.

The staffer said that this creates "a chicken and egg" problem -- without a firm date for the transition from analogue, there is no incentive for viewers or broadcasters to upgrade to digital equipment, and penetration remains well below the 85 percent baseline in most major markets.

Broadcasters and their supporters say that imposing a deadline would penalize those viewers who cannot afford new equipment, and that households replace electronic goods like TV sets every few years, arguing this should lead eventually to major markets crossing the 85 percent threshold.

But Michael Powell, then chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, testified last year to the Senate commerce committee that the 85 percent penetration test could result in transition being delayed for "decades or multiple decades."

"It is time to tell the broadcasters to get out of the way," said Weldon, blaming "the lethargy of Congress -- both parties and both chambers" for the failure to move on this issue before.

Ors said that broadcasters had also lobbied hard against a deadline. "They have more resources than we do," he said, "First responders are busy on the front lines, we don't have as much time as they do to lobby Congress."

Experts are keen to stress that spectrum is just one of the pieces in the interoperability jigsaw.

"Even if the ... deadline is imposed," said the congressional staffer, "this is going to take some time."

The other pieces of the puzzle include equipment and training, but as Ors points out, even in these areas, delays in freeing up the spectrum become a problem.

"Until there's a firm date (for the transition) public safety agencies can't make the investments in the equipment they need" to make use of the new frequencies, he told UPI, adding that manufacturers were also loath to spend money developing and marketing equipment which could remain effectively unusable until some yet-to-be-determined date in the future.

But the trickiest piece of all, according to the congressional staffer, is what he called "the human element," and Ors refers to as planning.

"Without clear planning (by neighboring jurisdictions), without proper staffing and training, you can have all the spectrum and equipment you need and it won't get you there," said Ors.

"There are cultural problems between fire departments and police forces and (emergency medical services)," said the congressional staffer.

And in huge disasters like Hurricane Katrina has caused, a lack of interoperability can be the least of first responder worries.

Kenneth Moran, acting director of the homeland security office in the Federal Communications Commission, told a House Energy and Commerce Committee hearing Wednesday that interoperability had been only one among many problems in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina -- which blew down transmission towers and cut power in huge swathes of the Gulf coast.

"We did see interoperability problems," he said, "But the biggest problems we saw initially were things that were needed to get the (cellular and broadcast) networks up and that tended to be security issues, staging of personnel to get them in there and ... also trying to get fuel (for generators) into the areas until the power would come up."

But responders say that -- in a situation of prolonged crisis like the one in Louisiana -- the time before and after the towers go down and the power goes off is as important as any other.

"Good, strong communications help you prepare better and recover faster," said Harlin McEwen, a retired FBI official and the chairman of the communications and technology committee of the International Association of Chiefs of Police.

http://www.upi.com/view.cfm?StoryID=20050912-033839-5152r

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1138. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 23:21:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126567304.747156.41270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118964

Mike Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
> >>>>shoudl polyandry be banned?

> >>>Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
> >>>one.
> >>
> >>Why would that be a concern? We don't prevent people from having
> >>lots of kids they cannot afford.
> >
> >
> > How many families of 20 or more children do you know of in
> > Pennsylvania, Jim?
> >
> >
> >>Many if not most families-with-children I know have all the adults
> >>working outside the home. Having more adults available
> >>would make things easier, not harder.
> >
> >
> > But these guys don't LET the women work...They are expected to
> > stay at home and multiply...Period.
> >
> >
> >>>The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
> >>>poverty.
> >>
> >>But is that due to the polygamy, or due to other factors such as
> >>rejection by the mainstream culture?
> >
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhh.........How many families with more than three or four
> > kids do you know of that "lives well", Jim?
> >
> >
> >>>Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with in-
> >>>breeding.
> >>

> >>That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
> >>polygamy or polyandry.
> >
> >
> > Most of the polygamy colonies in Utah are fiercely close and shun
> > outsiders, Jim. Where's the fresh DNA come from?
> >
> >
> >>The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
> >>society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one man
> >>joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws of the
> >>various levels of government.
> >
> >
> > And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
> > intra-family breeding.
> >
> >
> >>There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as long as
> >>those involved don't demand government sanction and protection.
> >
> >
> > Common sense and the health and welfare of the children will
> > prevent it, Jim.
> >
> >
> >>>I am sure the "evil religious people" he was refring to were the
> >>>Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves to put ambiguous language
> >>>about "seperation of church and state" in the Constitution, however
> >>>liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the political process.
> >>
> >>Where?
> >
> >
> > Start with your folding money.
> >
> >
> >>Most of the Founders were Deists. They also cherished religious liberty
> >>and did not want churches to be supported by tax dollars.
> >
> >
> > As well they shouldn't. However Christian principles were the
> > basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early American
> > law.
> >
> > Who among them could have foretold the silliness
> > that prevails in today's "religious" pursuits?

> Bingo! It is no exaggeration to note that the
> biggest atheists here in

> the US are almost all filled to the gills
> with "Christian Values".

?? I'm not sure what you mean, Mike.

> We all have to have some sort of values, save
> for the rare and sick few.

But all value systems are not created equal.

> And there is nothing wrong with most of those values. The ten
> commandments? A lot of that is good stuff.

Sure - but a lot of that is also not necessarily only
derived from religion.

Most of what is in the Ten Commandments can be easily
derived from a pair of questions:

"What would a society be like if everyone obeyed that rule?"

"What would a society be like if everyone disobeyed that rule?"

For example, take stealing. A society composed solely of thieves
(people who live by theft rather than production) cannot exist, because
pretty soon there will be nothing left to steal. But a society composed
of people who don't steal can prosper, because
production is the basis of wealth. Therefore stealing is
intrinsically "wrong" because it's not productive.

> We better not stand too hard
> on that "covet thy neighbors goods stuff, tho'.

Depends how you define "covet".

If my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I work
hard and save up to buy one, that's a good thing!

But if my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I hate
him for it, try to steal or damage it, that's a bad thing!

> And "not having any
> other God before me" kind of makes it a problem to post it in
> the courthouse.

Why should a courthouse, which is paid for by taxes, be a place
where the specific words of one religion are publicized to the
exclusion of others?

I see no problem with private citizens posting their Commandments
on public property *if* other private citizens have the same right.
>
> We are what we are, and our heritage is where it comes from.

But not just our heritage. We've gotten beyond a heritage
of some people owning other people as property. We've gotten

beyond a heritage where people of one gender can vote and
people of the other gender cannot. Etc.

> I suppose that it is a great comfort to many
> people to "know" just how
> things are supposed to be, and to have great faith
> in that "knowing".

Of course.

> But the faithful have had a long and storied
> history of depriving others
> of what they believe is their own faith's fundamental rights.

Every freedom carries with it at least one responsibility.
Freedom of religion can only extend to those religions that
can tolerate each other. (For example, a religion that taught
that unbelievers must be murdered cannot claim that such
behavior is protected by religious freedom. That case is
obvious but many similar cases aren't.)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1139. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-12 23:26:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126567573.092730.52920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118965

Sure, they can nibble at the ham bands. But there's not much spectrum to be had from them below 400 MHz. All of 6, 2 and 220 only adds up to about two TV channels.

What you're really seeing is a push to end NTSC TV transmissions, and go to DTV exclusively.

IMHO

73 de Jim, N2EY

1140. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 00:06:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bKoVe.9250$4P5.6579@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118966

an_old_friend wrote:

> you are not the totaloty of Ham radio

Priceless!

Toodle-oody,

Dave K8MN

1141. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 00:19:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <bWoVe.6894$cg.3382@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 118967

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1126567573.092730.52920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Sure, they can nibble at the ham bands. But there's not much spectrum
> to be had from them below 400 MHz. All of 6, 2 and 220 only adds up to
> about two TV channels.
>
> What you're really seeing is a push to end NTSC TV transmissions, and
> go to DTV exclusively.
>
> IMHO
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

I'm not sure they'd want anything below UHF. If you are inside of a steel building, I suspect they'd be better off at higher frequencies as they will tend to bounce around and find an egress far easier than VHF.

A 6 meter HT is going to have antenna/ground efficiency problems as well. It is far better than 10 (or 11, for that matter), but still is limited with a small antenna and a far from satisfactory ground. Plus the wavelength is going to have a difficult time getting outside of a building.

2 meters is better, but still lacking. 440 is better, but up around 1 GHz would probably be better than the VHF television channels.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1142. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 00:28:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <d3pVe.9280$4P5.1572@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118968

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Sure, they can nibble at the ham bands. But there's not much spectrum
> to be had from them below 400 MHz. All of 6, 2 and 220 only adds up to
> about two TV channels.
>
> What you're really seeing is a push to end NTSC TV transmissions, and
> go to DTV exclusively.
>
> IMHO

Even out here in the sticks, I've been watching mostly digital or HDTV for about two years. All of the Pittsburgh area stations are now broacasting in at least DTV. One of the 'local' stations has one channel of HDTV and a digital, full-time weather channel (the other local is broadcasting DTV with only about 30w and doesn't have enough signal to get here). My West Virginia PBS station is simulcasting three DTV/HDTV "channels" and Ohio PBS from Cambridge is set up for five DTV/HDTV "channels".

The difference between the analog and HDTV sigs is as great as seeing your first color TV set after years of black and white viewing.

Congress needs to get moving on this thing. They've already moved the cutover date at least once.

Dave K8MN

1143. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 01:49:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <AsudnUawVNm1rbveRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118969

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
> By Shaun Waterman
> UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
>
> Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
>
> WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
> Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
> radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
> as it did at the World Trade Center.
>
> "On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
> other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
> Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."
>
> News media last week reported that police forces in New Orleans City
> and the three surrounding parishes all use different and incompatible
> radio equipment.
>
> Experts say that proper equipment and training and freeing up more
> and better frequencies are essential pre-requisites for reaching the
> holy grail of full communications interoperability for first
> responders.
>
> Kean said a bill in Congress to provide more spectrum was
> stalled. "Nothing has been happening, and again, people on the
> ground -- police, fire, medical personnel -- couldn't talk to each
> other."
>
> "That's outrageous and it's a scandal and I think it cost lives," he
> concluded.
>
> At issue are the recommendations of a 1995 congressional panel that,
> as TV broadcasters transitioned to digital transmission -- which
> takes up a much smaller fraction of the spectrum -- the frequencies
> freed up would be allocated to first responders.
>
> Now a bipartisan group of lawmakers is making a new push for the
> legislation.
>
> "We have not kept the promise we made 10 years ago," said Rep. Jane
> Harman, D-Calif., calling the situation "a black eye" and "an
> embarrassment" for lawmakers.

>
> She and Rep. Curt Weldon, R-Penn., have written to Speaker of the
> House Rep. Denny Hastert, R-Ill., to ask for a suspension of the
> normal rules of debate so that a bill to enforce a deadline for
> handing the relevant frequencies to first responders can be passed
> this week.
>
> In the Senate, a similar measure, sponsored by John McCain, R-Ariz.,
> and Joe Lieberman, D-Conn., is currently before the Commerce, Science
> and Transportation Committee.
>
> Spokesman Amy Call said Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist, R.-Tenn,
> was working with Commerce Committee Chairman Sen. Ted Stevens, R-
> Alaska to try and get that bill to the floor soon, too.
>
> "The Leader saw first hand on the ground the challenges, and is
> working with several members about further fixes in this area," Call
> told United Press International at the weekend.
>
> The parts of the spectrum identified by the 1995 Public Safety
> Wireless Advisory Committee report are in the high 700-Mhz range --
> which experts say is ideal for use by emergency services because
> signals sent over these frequencies can penetrate walls and travel
> long distances.
>
> "This (part of the spectrum) is prime real estate," said Yucel Ors of
> the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials, a non-
> profit that represents first responder and emergency management
> communications specialists.
>
> But, he added, "There are squatters on it," referring to the TV
> broadcasters.
>
> The law passed in response to the 1995 report set a Jan. 1, 2007,
> target date for broadcasters to free up that part of the spectrum.
>
> "But there's a huge get out for them," a congressional staffer who
> has worked on the issue told United Press International. Broadcasters
> are not required to relinquish their spectrum allocation until 85
> percent of households in their market have the equipment needed to
> receive digital signals.
>
> The staffer said that this creates "a chicken and egg" problem --
> without a firm date for the transition from analogue, there is no
> incentive for viewers or broadcasters to upgrade to digital
> equipment, and penetration remains well below the 85 percent baseline
> in most major markets.
>
> Broadcasters and their supporters say that imposing a deadline would
> penalize those viewers who cannot afford new equipment, and that

> households replace electronic goods like TV sets every few years,
> arguing this should lead eventually to major markets crossing the 85
> percent threshold.
>
> But Michael Powell, then chairman of the Federal Communications
> Commission, testified last year to the Senate commerce committee that
> the 85 percent penetration test could result in transition being
> delayed for "decades or multiple decades."
>
> "It is time to tell the broadcasters to get out of the way," said
> Weldon, blaming "the lethargy of Congress -- both parties and both
> chambers" for the failure to move on this issue before.
>
> Ors said that broadcasters had also lobbied hard against a
> deadline. "They have more resources than we do," he said, "First
> responders are busy on the front lines, we don't have as much time as
> they do to lobby Congress."
>
> Experts are keen to stress that spectrum is just one of the pieces in
> the interoperability jigsaw.
>
> "Even if the ... deadline is imposed," said the congressional
> staffer, "this is going to take some time."
>
> The other pieces of the puzzle include equipment and training, but as
> Ors points out, even in these areas, delays in freeing up the
> spectrum become a problem.
>
> "Until there's a firm date (for the transition) public safety
> agencies can't make the investments in the equipment they need" to
> make use of the new frequencies, he told UPI, adding that
> manufacturers were also loath to spend money developing and marketing
> equipment which could remain effectively unusable until some yet-to-
> be-determined date in the future.
>
> But the trickiest piece of all, according to the congressional
> staffer, is what he called "the human element," and Ors refers to as
> planning.
>
> "Without clear planning (by neighboring jurisdictions), without
> proper staffing and training, you can have all the spectrum and
> equipment you need and it won't get you there," said Ors.
>
> "There are cultural problems between fire departments and police
> forces and (emergency medical services)," said the congressional
> staffer.
>
> And in huge disasters like Hurricane Katrina has caused, a lack of
> interoperability can be the least of first responder worries.
>

> Kenneth Moran, acting director of the homeland security office in the
> Federal Communications Commission, told a House Energy and Commerce
> Committee hearing Wednesday that interoperability had been only one
> among many problems in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina -- which
> blew down transmission towers and cut power in huge swathes of the
> Gulf coast.
>
> "We did see interoperability problems," he said, "But the biggest
> problems we saw initially were things that were needed to get the
> (cellular and broadcast) networks up and that tended to be security
> issues, staging of personnel to get them in there and ... also trying
> to get fuel (for generators) into the areas until the power would
> come up."
>
> But responders say that -- in a situation of prolonged crisis like
> the one in Louisiana -- the time before and after the towers go down
> and the power goes off is as important as any other.
>
> "Good, strong communications help you prepare better and recover
> faster," said Harlin McEwen, a retired FBI official and the chairman
> of the communications and technology committee of the International
> Association of Chiefs of Police.

It is an interesting idea. It is good to see that despite the search for villains, such as "people are dying because the television broadcasters aren't using digital" sort of talk, that they *do* realize that there is a human element going on.

But that isn't the way we think these days. Even though time and time again, the "trained operator" comes out of the woodwork to help in these emergencies, too many years have passed with our societies hatred of the trained and competent person. Are our emergency management systems going to put up with the expense of the trained operator?

More likely what we'll do, will be to make up some sort of infrastructure dependent system that relies on machinery and electronics to "allow" an untrained person to "access everything". And of course, the next time the wheels fall off, the same situation that is going on now will happen.

Just some thoughts....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1144. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 02:02:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <a-2dnbvC7OnRrrveRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118970

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:8badnTjLD5FeTLjeRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
>
>>All from non ARRL sources
>
>
> Ten from Martin, St. Lucie head north to help recovery
> By Michael C. Bender, Jim Reeder
>
> Palm Beach Post Staff Writers
>
> Thursday, September 01, 2005
>
> An 82-year-old World War II nurse, an amateur radio operator and a
> former Los Angeles paramedic were among the several Treasure Coast
> residents leaving Wednesday for the northern Gulf Coast to offer
> food, help and better communications to those devastated by Hurricane
> Katrina.

Yeah, but it would be easier to write in newsgroups bitching about how amateurs don't contribute anything. (sarcasm alert off)

> "It sounds like where we're heading is 10 times worse than what
> (Hurricane) Charley was," said Rob Stoll, a 41-year-old Red Cross
> volunteer headed toward Mobile,
> The Stuart resident is trained to drive a mobile food truck, assess
> damage for the Red Cross or supervise individual cases.
>
> "I'm definitely expecting to see a lot more traumatic injuries,
> people with a lot more mental stress, a lot more devastation," said
> Stoll, a former Los Angeles paramedic. "But I just feel as though I'm
> a little better prepared this year than I was last year."
>
> Five men and two vehicles packed with electronics left St. Lucie
> County to assist rescuers with communication equipment that was
> damaged or destroyed by Hurricane Katrina.
>
> The equipment will serve as a switchboard for emergency workers with
> different types of radios.
>
> "If you had a citizens band radio and somebody else had an 800 MHz
> radio, we can help you talk to each other," said Greg Bunting of the
> St. Lucie County Public Safety Department.
>

> Bill Sinbine, an amateur radio operator who works at the St. Lucie
> Nuclear Plant, will make the trip on loan from Florida Power & Light.
> He went to Arcadia last year after Hurricane Charley struck.

The trained operator concept, eh?

> "It worked great in Arcadia," Sinbine said. "This (trailer)and
> amateur radio was the only communications they had for a while."
>
> Eight antennas can be raised and lowered on a 35-foot hand-cranked
> mast.

> The group expects to be sent to Mississippi today after spending
> Wednesday night in Tallahassee.
>
> Five Martin County Red Cross volunteers will help with food, damage
> assessment and necessary paperwork.
>
> Jean Smith, an 82-year-old former World War II nurse, will make her
> 46th trip to a disaster area when she goes to help with record
> keeping.

Awesome! You go girl!

> "I've earned these wrinkles," said Smith, of Hobe Sound. "I can do
> most everything, just not as long as I used to 25 years ago."

> This is just the start of Treasure Coast residents headed to the
> disaster area, officials said.
>
> "I've got people begging to go up there," said Maj. Mike Monahan,
> director of law enforcement for the St. Lucie County Sheriff's
> Office. "Every time you turn on the television, things are worse than
> they were two hours before."
>
> Mary Sawyer, director of the American Red Cross Martin County
> Chapter, said more than a dozen Martin County volunteers are waiting
> for assignments.
>
> "This job is going to last for months," Sawyer said. "It's going to
> be similar to what happened here last year and for Hurricane Andrew.
> After Andrew, we were still feeding people out of trucks at
> Thanksgiving."
>
> For those interested in volunteering, the Martin County Red Cross
> will hold a disaster relief training course at its Kanner Highway
> chapter from 1 to 4 p.m. Wednesday.
>
> Fund-raising efforts are under way locally with Core Communities
> promising to match the first $25,000 residents donate at its
> Tradition Welcome Center in Port St. Lucie. Checks made out to the
> American Red Cross, Salvation Army or Catholic Charities of New

> Orleans can be mailed or delivered to Core Communities, 10489 S.W.
> Meeting St., Port St. Lucie, Fla. 34987.
>
> In addition, proceeds from admission to Friday night's St. Lucie Mets
> game against Vero Beach will be given to the relief fund.
>
> The St. Lucie and Martin County school districts have several fund-
> raisers planned for the next several weeks, officials said.
>
> "We want to reciprocate what was done for us last year," St. Lucie
> spokeswoman Ginger King said.

It is very gratifying to see what some people are doing to help. I am a little bothered that I cannot go there in person and help, but unfortunate events at work, with one cohort leaving, and the untimely death of another have left me with little option.

It is such a nice change from the racist crap, the ham baiting crap, and the general bs that has been posted here and in other Usenet groups by some people.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1145. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 02:04:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <a-2dnbrC7OkOrrveRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118971

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Sure, they can nibble at the ham bands. But there's not much spectrum
> to be had from them below 400 MHz. All of 6, 2 and 220 only adds up to
> about two TV channels.
>
> What you're really seeing is a push to end NTSC TV transmissions, and
> go to DTV exclusively.

At least if we are all using DTV, lives will be saved, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1146. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 02:23:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <48CdnbU8TMaTpbveRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 118972

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter
>>>>>>>shoudl polyandry be banned?
>
>
>>>>>Let's start of with inability to support the extended family for
>>>>>one.
>>>>
>>>>Why would that be a concern? We don't prevent people from having
>>>>lots of kids they cannot afford.
>>>
>>>
>>> How many families of 20 or more children do you know of in
>>>Pennsylvania, Jim?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Many if not most families-with-children I know have all the adults
>>>>working outside the home. Having more adults available
>>>>would make things easier, not harder.
>>>
>>>
>>> But these guys don't LET the women work...They are expected to
>>>stay at home and multiply...Period.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>The number one problem in polygamy-prone communities is abject
>>>>poverty.
>>>>

>>>>But is that due to the polygamy, or due to other factors such as
>>>>rejection by the mainstream culture?
>>>
>>>
>>> Uhhhhhhhhhh.....How many families with more than three or four
>>>kids do you know of that "lives well", Jim?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Follow that with chronic medical problems associated with in-
>>>>>breeding.
>>>>
>>>>That's a problem caused by marrying too-close relatives, not
>>>>polygamy or polyandry.
>>>
>>>
>>> Most of the polygamy colonies in Utah are fiercely close and shun
>>>outsiders, Jim. Where's the fresh DNA come from?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>The reason such arrangements are against the law is that our
>>>>society has decided to define "marriage" as one woman and one man
>>>>joined in a legally-sanctioned way, protected by the laws of the
>>>>various levels of government.
>>>
>>>
>>> And part of the reason they have done this is to prevent
>>>intra-family breeding.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>There's nothing to prevent a multi-spouse arrangement, as long as
>>>>those involved don't demand government sanction and protection.
>>>
>>>
>>> Common sense and the health and welfare of the children will
>>>prevent it, Jim.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I am sure the "evil religious people" he was refring to were the
>>>>>Founding Fathers who took it upon themselves to put ambiguous language
>>>>>about "seperation of church and state" in the Constitution, however
>>>>>liberally sprinkle "relgion" throughout the political process.
>>>>
>>>>Where?
>>>
>>>
>>> Start with your folding money.

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Most of the Founders were Deists. They also cherished religious liberty
>>>>and did not want churches to be supported by tax dollars.
>>>
>>>
>>> As well they shouldn't. However Christian principles were the
>>>basis for most of thier beliefs and were codified into early American
>>>law.
>>>
>>>Who among them could have foretold the silliness
>>>that prevails in today's "religious" pursuits?
>
>
>>Bingo! It is no exaggeration to note that the
>>biggest atheists here in
>>the US are almost all filled to the gills
>>with "Christian Values".
>
>
> ?? I'm not sure what you mean, Mike.

Even though a person may proclaim to be an atheist, that person was
raised in a society that is pretty much Christian, and overwhelmingly
Judeo-Christian. The atheist person is going to have most of those same
values as the rest of the society.

>>We all have to have some sort of values, save
>>for the rare and sick few.
>
>
> But all value systems are not created equal.

Of course not. Now compare the other value systems to ours, and you see
why even atheists have Christian values even if they were raised in a
Christian country

>
>>And there is nothing wrong with most of those values. The ten
>>commandments? A lot of that is good stuff.
>
> Sure - but a lot of that is also not necessarily only
> derived from religion.

The religions were some of original social order came from.

> Most of what is in the Ten Commandments can be easily
> derived from a pair of questions:
>
> "What would a society be like if everyone obeyed that rule?"
>

> "What would a society be like if everyone disobeyed that rule?"
>
> For example, take stealing. A society composed solely of thieves
> (people who live by theft rather than production) cannot exist, because
> pretty soon there will be nothing left to steal. But a society composed
> of people who don't steal can prosper, because
> production is the basis of wealth. Therefore stealing is
> intrinsically "wrong" because it's not productive.
>
>
>>We better not stand too hard
>>on that "covet thy neighbors goods stuff, tho'.
>
>
> Depends how you define "covet".

Keeping up with the Joneses kind of works that way for me.

> If my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I work
> hard and save up to buy one, that's a good thing!

That is keeping up with the Joneses

> But if my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I hate
> him for it, try to steal or damage it, that's a bad thing!

A redundant commandment?

>
>>And "not having any
>>other God before me" kind of makes it a problem to post it in
>>the courthouse.
>
>
> Why should a courthouse, which is paid for by taxes, be a place
> where the specific words of one religion are publicized to the
> exclusion of others?

We agree.

> I see no problem with private citizens posting their Commandments
> on public property *if* other private citizens have the same right.

I want a turtle holding up the world statue! Turtles all the way down!

>>We are what we are, and our heritage is where it comes from.
>
>
> But not just our heritage. We've gotten beyond a heritage
> of some people owning other people as property. We've gotten
> beyond a heritage where people of one gender can vote and
> people of the other gender cannot. Etc.

There are some for whom this new state of being is a problem.

>
>>I suppose that it is a great comfort to many
>>people to "know" just how
>>things are supposed to be, and to have great faith
>>in that "knowing".
>
>
> Of course.
>
>
>>But the faithful have had a long and storied
>>history of depriving others
>>of what they believe is their own faith's fundamental rights.
>
>
> Every freedom carries with it at least one responsibility.
> Freedom of religion can only extend to those religions that
> can tolerate each other. (For example, a religion that taught
> that unbelievers must be murdered cannot claim that such
> behavior is protected by religious freedom. That case is
> obvious but many similar cases aren't.)

Do you think that Fundies actually want freedom? Certainly for
themselves, but I wonder about others.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1147. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 02:24:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6qudnRtjVJhVpLveRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 118973
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>
>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>> <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG=Search+News>
>
> I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
> anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the HOA
> took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.

However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.

1148. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 03:11:12 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EprVe.314$Nw6.123@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118974

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:XqCdnWrywvjQB7neRVn-tQ@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> See what I mean? Even when you are shown what the Hams are doing, you
>> doubt it. YOU ARE ONE PARANOID SCREWBALL.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> You're aurging with an imbecile who along with some others just can't face
> the fact that ham radio is playing a very important role in emergency
> comms in this disaster and they don't have the ability, skills, knowledge,
> or sense to be a part of it.
>
> The local media here has done several spots about local hams being
> involved, and yesterday a group were getting ready to deploy to the
> affected area to set up more equipment.

AW, they're just sore because their chicken band sets aren't being used to
pass that 'ere "eeee-mer-gen-ceee' traffic thar. "Shore thang thar, mercy
sakes, gre't day thar, guaran-tole ye, Ah know whutcha mean thar, shore do
thar, but I just cain't understand why ah ain't hearin' no reddio traffic
thar on this hyar CB thar fer Noo Orleens thar". (guffaw!!) :)

J

1149. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 03:29:04 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <432647A7.FF531A57@earthlink.net>
Source record: 118975
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> "Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
> >
> >> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
> >> <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG
=Search+News>
> >
> > I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
> > anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the HOA
> > took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>
> However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.

They finally backed down today, after a change in the HOA and a
number of residents calling realtors to see if they could find another
home to move out of Majestic Oaks.

BTW, the same HOA tried to evict a resident after 9/11 for having the
nerve to display the American flag in one of their windows. The local
paper had printed a full page American flag on heavy paper stock and
asked people to display them in their homes.

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1150. Mark Morgan Continues Evasion and Deceit.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 05:06:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126588000.649149.187580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118979

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Stevie has posted this month about 63 messages this month (gogle
> > > archives to aug 12 and not counting any he may post later today) by my
> > > count 55 of the posts are flaming one of his so called "feeble 5".
> > > Leaving 8 posts in month that are not involved in the his flame wars.
> >
> > Thank-you, Markie, for showing us just WHO is the "obssessed" one
> > here.
>
> again with the attack

Oh...?!?!

And "Some notes on Stevie usenet activities and his lying" in the
subject line is...what...?!?!

And you DO continue to show us who's obssessed. The multiplicity
of screen names to get around Google is evidence enough of that.

> cut stevie evasion

> cuting the evasion

> cuting stvie evasions

Actually, Markie, YOU are the one with "evasion" problems.

QUOTE:

> Stevie claims the Mr Childraper is a lying about child raping (in this
> case I do hope he is correct).

YOU are the one lying ehre, Markie.

SHOW ME WHERE I SAID "MR CHILDRAPER IS LYING". I said nothing of
the sort.

What I DID say is I didn't believe anyone here was guilty of such
a thing. There's a bit difference between calling someone a "liar" and

choosing to not believe something.

UNQUOTE

There's part of what you called "evasion".

I specifically asked YOU to show me where I allegedly made the
comments you attributed to me.

Instead you "cut" the comments and ignored it.

THAT was "evasion".

QUOTE:

> Stveie claims he does not tolerate
> lying. Yet it seems Stevie does tolerate "Mr Childraper", meaning of
> course that Stevie is lying when he says je does not tolerate lying

I don't. But neither can I go toe-to-toe with every idiot here.
I DO have a life and responsibilities beyond RRAP. Hence my LACK of
need to have multiple screen names to "defeat" the posting limits...

UNQUOTE

Again, comments aimed directly at you, and your response was to
"cut" them.

Wassamatta, Boyo...?!?! Cat got your tongue?

> when you going to admit to your lies

What lies? You mean the comments where YOU take one thing I said,
reword it and then try to pawn it off as something I said...?!?!

Sorry...Nothing there for me to "admit" to since you "quote" me
without quoting me.

Steve, K4YZ

1151. Re: Today is Saturn's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 05:13:22 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SdtVe.21497$uD6.6194@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 118981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think there needs to be an IQ requirement for anyone who posts. The minimum IQ must be set at 70. Lloyd, since your IQ is 28.3, I guess this would disqualify you.

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message news:shriveled.up.woger@houston-hunks.com...
> Today is named after the Roman god of time and the harvest, Saturn.
> The Anglo-Saxons had no corresponding god of time, so they chose the
> Roman god and called their sabbath day saterdaeg. Saturn was so
> pleased with the Anglo-Saxon honor that he created a new class of men
> from within their ranks, the Time Lords. Those chosen men could
> travel through time and could influence the present by changing the
> past. Therefore, they had to be keen of intellect and pure of heart
> and could never reveal the true extent of their powers. They hid
> their abilities by taking up menial jobs and appearing to be ordinary
> men.
>
> They are with us even to this day, because they are immortal.
>
> Yet they have the failings of ordinary men and can lose their tempers
> when provoked. If you provoke a Time Lord to the point where he is
> truly angry, legend says that he will alter your genetic past, causing
> you to shrivel up into a microcephalic, long-haired dwarf and to
> subsequently go insane.
>
> And now you know what happened to Woger.
>
> ====
> "Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair,
> it is a shame unto him?" St. Paul, 1 Corinthians 11:14

1152. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 05:49:37 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <RbGdnUBSjqcXxLveRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118976

Mike Coslo wrote:

>
> http://tinyurl.com/c9qvo

HAMs don't seek spotlight, work behind scenes in emergency situations.
By RYAN GILLISJournal Staff Writer

Goodness!! According to some on this group, all hams can do is brag.

1153. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 05:52:09 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <RbGdnUNSjqe1x7veRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118977

Mike Coslo wrote:

> http://tinyurl.com/8cb6y

Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
By Jeannetta Edwards

Heavens, she must be mistaken!! And we have been told time and time again by a certain bunch that it is *just* a hobby.

1154. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 05:55:33 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <L4adnZbPmtpyx7veRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118978

Mike Coslo wrote:

> http://tinyurl.com/8hbmc

Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support

By Teresa Atkerson
MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)

Hey an_old_nobody, notice the words *vital communications*.

Lennyboy sure is quite lately.

Wonder where all them thar CeeBee fellers are?

1155. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 06:05:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126591537.021952.208400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118982

KØHB wrote:
> Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
> By Shaun Waterman
> UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
>
> Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
>
> WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
> Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
> radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
> as it did at the World Trade Center.
>
> "On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
> other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
> Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."

. . . and on and on and on . .

My ongoing understanding has been that there is already gobs of wide open UHF spectrum space already available via all the unused UHF TV channels. Even in very large metropolitan areas. Each one of those channels is 4 Mhz wide or something like that, how many emergency services NBFM channels can be squeezed into 4 Mhz? A *bunch*! I realize that some of those TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available. Beats me . .

Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at it as usual.

Some big burg or another has a major disaster to deal with and say they have 500-1000 first responders out on the streets with the best of the best current-tech trunking gear Motorola has to offer and all the base infrastructure has survived, everybody can talk with everybody.

So what? They wind up with a monumental tower of babble like we have on 20 phone when a new one shows up 14.195 where everybody is stepping on everybody else and they're worse off than they were before the politicians got into the act with both feet.

> --
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --

w3rv

1156. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 06:14:28 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <q-6dnT0nZufCwrveRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 118980

Mike Coslo wrote:

And on 9/9/2005 1:17 PM - Lennieboy posted:

Thousands and thousands of volunteers and paid workers are very
busy at the moment, doing many things of DIRECT AID to the
homeless and displaced, all WITHOUT any direct "need" to do radio
communications about "health and welfare messages." That's
important work. MOST (very nearly all) of that work can be done
WITHOUT a lot of radio communications. Coordination of effort
does require communications of some kind, but the "coordination"
of ALL agencies, paid and volunteer, were simply NOT prepared to
deal with the magnitude of damage and destruction that happened.
That includes amateur radio, folks, whether it smarts your little
egos or not. Did anyone really think that a few dozen hams
could really make a "difference" by sending health and welfare
messages from millions to other millions? No doubt the self-
proclaimed amateur patriots far from the disaster scene were
happy as larks to see the tiniest factoid of their Great Help
to the victims of hurricane Katrina. They have waved their
banners, cheered as loudly (and abusively) as possible, pinned
invisible medals of valor to their equally invisible uniforms
of service they wear in the newsgroups.

>
> NBC......
>
> http://tinyurl.com/b2ffr

With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the
professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going
on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New
Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.

Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the
disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update
on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family
in Chicago.

The reason-- *ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no
other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails*.

"Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA
and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for
communication until other modes of communication are restored."

Yeah, too bad ham radio can only play a very minor roll in emergency communications. Notice the words *primary mode for communications* in the above lennieboy.

Ham radio has proven once again just how valuable it can provide and is at this very moment providing *vital* communications in times of emergencies, much to the complete dismay of a few individuals here.

1157. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 10:37:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126607852.524860.185690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118983

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Every freedom carries with it at least one responsibility.
> Freedom of religion can only extend to those religions that
> can tolerate each other. (For example, a religion that taught
> that unbelievers must be murdered cannot claim that such
> behavior is protected by religious freedom. That case is
> obvious but many similar cases aren't.)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

We seem to allow religious leaders to say or advocate many, many things. Freedom of religion, freedom of speech. But it's not the leaders that carry out the atrocities.

1158. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 10:48:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126608481.872294.70940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118984

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> >>>I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
> >>
> >> So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
> >>course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?
> >
> > As many as they want? maybe not, as many as they can convince to marry
> > sure, why not?
>
> Not the point.
>
> > why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
> > banned?
>
> Not the point. The point is a lot of people *don't* like either. A
> number of people do.

Gay marriage?

But turning it into a religious matter, ala some
> religions, and then expecting all others to accept that, with no comment
> is simply incorrect. Brian has a big problem with my making fun of what
> I consider some of the more silly aspects of religion.

Would you require people that have had a religious marriage ceremony to
then have a civil ceremony to make it all legal?

Remember seperation of Church/State.

> Some people find that 2 wives is one too many. Some say the same of 1
> wife......

More than a few men have trouble keeping even one happy.

1159. Re: Some notes on Stevie usenet activities and his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 10:55:26 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <lying.woger.wiseman@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 118985

On Mon, 12 Sep 2005, Stagger Leechildraper wrote:

> The name refers to one of the sockpuppets named Stagger Lee, stupid, it
> doesn't mean the author is into rape of children, you idiot.It means
> the author thinks that Stagger Lee rapes kids. Learn to read.
>

No, it means that the "author" is attempting to do whatever he can to offend the user of the Stagger Lee pseudonym. Unfortunately, Woger, you are instead annoying everyone else, and making a fool of yourself in the process.

1160. Re: An appeal to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 10:55:51 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <grocery.boy.woger.lies@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 118986

On Mon, 12 Sep 2005, Secwet Woger wrote:

> BWHAHAHAHA, you sniveled that same phrase behind that locked front door
> when you had that "visitor" last xmas, huh, fatty?

Except that Oris denies that he ever paid Lloyd a visit. But that doesn't stop you from telling this fiction, does it? Lying is what you do automatically.

Now tell us the story about "Woger and the Skinheads" and the dropped groceries.

1161. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 11:55:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rtedi1la8udsvucr80iherq04ira3ushhu@4ax.com>
Source record: 118987

On Mon, 12 Sep 2005 21:30:30 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<WrmVe.10884$_84.6864@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:429bi1t3hqoqm3pblifpoboddo9ccpdbpi@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 21:37:59 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <Xs1Ve.10403$9i4.5640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Then, when it's
>>>>> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>>>>> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>>>
>>>Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
>>>politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at that.
>>
>> You are also a braggart.
>>
>>
>>>How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
>>
>>
>> More than you.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
>> News==----
>> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
>> Newsgroups

>> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
>> =----
>
>First place I'm not talking to you.

That's funny, I could have sworn my name is the same as to whom you
replied above (or don't you know how to count the little arrows at the
begging of each quoted line?).

>Second place....if you have so much training....when do you plan to use it?

I already have.

>Now I'm talking to you....Mr. Un-identified poster.

LOL!!! So my DD-214 wasn't enough..... you want I should scan my
driver's license, too?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1162. Re: Today is Saturn's Day, Saggytits Lee admits he has a low IQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 12:38:54 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126615134.933549.162420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On Tue, 13 Sep 2005, Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
>
> > I think there needs to be an IQ requirement for anyone who posts.
> > The minimum IQ must be set at 70. Lloyd, since your IQ is 28.3, I > > guess this would disqualify you.

> Indeed.

Imagine that, Davies admits his I.Q. is indeed 28.3. And that is being optimistic.

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1163. Re: An appeal to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 12:41:11 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126615271.564791.174860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118989

Saggytits Lee whined and lied and made excuses:
> On Mon, 12 Sep 2005, Secwet Woger wrote:
>
> > BWHAHAHAHA, you sniveled that same phrase behind that locked front door
> > when you had that "visitor" last xmas, huh, fatty?
>
> Except that

Except you are lying out of your fat ass, Davies.

Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1164. Re: Some notes on Stevie usenet activities and his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 12:43:06 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126615386.014311.34670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118990

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On Mon, 12 Sep 2005, Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
>
> > The name refers to one of the sockpuppets named Stagger Lee, stupid, it
> > doesn't mean the author is into rape of children, you idiot.It means
> > the author thinks that Stagger Lee rapes kids. Learn to read.
> >
>
> No, it

Whine a little louder, raper of children Stagger Lee.
You little fat pussy.

--

Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1165. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 12:48:05 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0ICdncTJkPKeVrveRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 118992
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>
>>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>>><http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG=Search+News>
>>
>>I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
>>anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the HOA
>>took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>
>
> However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
>
>
Judgments!!! Would it not be better to read the exact terms of the CC&R,
AKA CONTRACT, before judgments are made??

The exact wording of the HOA/CC&R may restrict certain activities [such
as external antennas, or temporary housing, etc]. The restriction can
only be waived by consent of the members of the HOA.

>

1166. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 12:49:41 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <3aSdna3H9IhzV7veRVn-tQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 118991

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mWnVe.9433$Wd7.4612@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
> By Shaun Waterman
> UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
>
> Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
>
> WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
> Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
> radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
> as it did at the World Trade Center.
>
their problem is not how much spectrum, but having some channels where they can all talk to each other. i would say that 2m would be good for them to confiscate, along with all the repeaters and existing radios that use it.
this should give them a flexible enough chunk of spectrum, complete with an installed set of repeaters nation wide and a large number of easily reprogrammed radios that can do not only repeaters but simplex and even digital communications.

1167. Re: Some notes on Stevie usenet activities and his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 12:57:32 UTC
From: Stagger Leechildraper <Staggerleerapeschildren24_7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126616252.694675.113210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118993

And, have your permanent cocksucking lisp looked at by medical
professionals.

1168. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 15:10:29 UTC
From: Carl / W6VDC <carl.hixon@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vYBVe.9651$mH.7595@fed1read07>
Source record: 118994
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> BTW, the same HOA tried to evict a resident after 9/11 for having the
> nerve to display the American flag in one of their windows. The local
> paper had printed a full page American flag on heavy paper stock and
> asked people to display them in their homes.

It is exactly that type of over zealous HOA that made me tell my Realtor, "Do not shot me a house with any HOA!" On our first trip out , the first house she showed me had an HOA. I told her, "If you do that again, you are fired" She looked shocked and said, "It's only $50/month." And I replied, "It has nothing at all to do with money..."

Of course, now I have a house across the street with no less than 8 cars in the driveway and street. So much for single-family-home. But, we all get along.

Carl

1169. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 16:18:19 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EXCVe.1521$Nw6.738@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118995
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
news:vYBVe.9651$mH.7595@fed1read07...
>> BTW, the same HOA tried to evict a resident after 9/11 for having the
>> nerve to display the American flag in one of their windows. The local
>> paper had printed a full page American flag on heavy paper stock and
>> asked people to display them in their homes.
>
> It is exactly that type of over zealous HOA that made me tell my Realtor,
> "Do not shot me a house with any HOA!" On our first trip out , the first
> house she showed me had an HOA. I told her, "If you do that again, you
> are fired" She looked shocked and said, "It's only $50/month." And I
> replied, "It has nothing at all to do with money..."
>
> Of course, now I have a house across the street with no less than 8 cars
> in the driveway and street. So much for single-family-home. But, we all
> get along.
>
> Carl

I chose an older neighborhood, and, like you, I refused to accept any HOA bullcrap. I simply will NOT tolerate any old blue-haired busybody telling what I can or cannot do on my own property! IOW, yer rights end at that property line, and if you don't like what I do then come over here and *make* me do something about it--all 6 feet, 230 lbs of me!!! :) Now to clarify something, all my neighbors are nice people who keep their homes and property looking decent. If there are 2-3-4--or 7 cars over there, it ain't none of my business! So we have no problems. (and I get to put up as many ham antennas I want to!)

Jerry

1170. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 16:23:07 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <30DVe.1556$Nw6.692@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118996
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0ICdncTJkPKeVrveRVn-vA@comcast.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
>> news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>>
>>>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>>>><http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG=
Search+News>
>>>
>>>I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
>>>anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
>>>HOA took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>>
>>
>> However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
>>
>>
> Judgments!!! Would it not be better to read the exact terms of the CC&R,
> AKA CONTRACT, before judgments are made??
>
> The exact wording of the HOA/CC&R may restrict certain activities [such as
> external antennas, or temporary housing, etc]. The restriction can only be
> waived by consent of the members of the HOA.

That's just it! There ain't gonna BE any HOA's ,covenants, or busybody
neighbors telling me to do a durned thing unless they got enough rear end on
'em to MAKE me! If today, somebody wanted to start an HOA in my
neighborhood, I'd tell them to kiss my (censored).

I feel VERY strongly about property rights, and if I want to put up an
American flag, then I'll put up an American flag and I dare some schweinhund
to come over here and touch it! I DESPISE and detest HOA's! :(

Jerry
>
>>
>

1171. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 16:31:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126629097.285908.290060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118997

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 12 Sep 2005 21:30:30 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <WrmVe.10884$_84.6864@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
cut
> >> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> >> News==----
> >> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> >> Newsgroups
> >> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> >> =----
> >
> >First place I'm not talking to you.
>
>
> That's funny, I could have sworn my name is the same as to whom you
> replied above (or don't you know how to count the little arrows at the
> begging of each quoted line?).

that is SoP ignore anything given in answer
>
>
> >Second place....if you have so much training....when do you plan to use it?
>
>
> I already have.
>
>
> >Now I'm talking to you....Mr. Un-identified poster.
>
>
> LOL!!! So my DD-214 wasn't enough..... you want I should scan my
> driver's license, too?

and then some credit cards too

1172. K1MAN's Psychology, according to his Wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 16:43:35 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1126629815.093041.48610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 118998

Sifting through prior posts, I found one relevant and worthy of reposting. Where some hams are raising K1MAN's pyschology, what better commentary can we have than from Glenn's Wife, Bonny, which Glenn himself published?

The following was posted on January 21, 2003. It is reposted with one caveat: The Logan Act is raised when, better, The Patriot Act should be substituted.

"Answering W4ASX editorials, today Baxter fired back. (In the attachment which follows) we learn that he equates himself to Bill Gates and Ted Turner, that people in Dayton ask for his autograph, that AARU is a registered trademark and that www.K1MAN.com had 64,582 hits during the month of December. But more to the point: 'My wife Bonnie says I am a narcissist.'

Bonnie is on the money. DSM, the internationally accepted manual of mental disorders, defines narcissism as 'An all-pervasive pattern of grandiosity (in fantasy or behavior), need for admiration or adulation and lack of empathy, usually beginning by early adulthood and present in variety of contexts.'

We all have characteristics that track DSM, but the difference between an order and disorder is dysfunction. If the characteristics give rise to a 'presenting problem, then the person is said to have a disorder.

Quite simply, the issue comes down to whether Glenn's narcissism is all pervasive, invading, penetrating, and molding every aspect, nook, and cranny of the personality, which is, granted, a subjective judgment.

The moment I witnessed the forays into foreign policy in Bougainville, I thought that line had been crossed. And now listening to Sam and Glenn talk about operations in Iraq, Jordan, Israel and Somalia, I know they've entered forbidden territory.

Their activity violates the Logan Act, which prevents private citizens from conducting foreign policy. Glenn's response: 'And we have done some wild things around the world over the years. But in violation of the Logan Act? Come on Bob, who is deluded now?' In fact, Glenn embraces some of the same people we, worried about homeland security, oppose. Shouldn't a responsible leader be open, in the very least, to the possibility of adverse consequences?

As Brian, K3VR, who has compiled an amazing dossier on Baxter operations in the arena of foreign policy, writes: 'Whether he is ill, naive, stupid, or a bona-fide spy, won't matter one iota to the

agencies who have questions about his actions. They will want satisfactory answers to significant questions.' Our security demands no less.

As to his respected Wife's assessment of narcissistic mental state, Baxter drops one more hint in the attachment. "Anyway, I sent Bob the $25 and he said he never got it. It did not come back so, being paranoid, I figured Bob was stiffing me." Unbelievable, this after the closest working relationship where I had proved my honesty time and again. Characteristic of Baxter, he does not disclose in the attachment (identification of check, i.e. date and number), leaving, for the readers, that cloud of suspicion remaining, a classic Baxter tactic.

DSM identifies the narcissist as 'interpersonally exploitive, i.e., uses others to achieve his or her own ends.' It gives us closeup insight into his apparent view of himself: of the omnipotent, worldwide, IARN Network with offices girding the globe engaged in missions befitting Mother Teresa, his views sought out by leaders of the free and non-free worlds as well as important media, such as the Wall Street Journal and major TV Networks.

We are left with an impression of grandeur, when, in fact, this is but one person, Glenn Baxter, and his Radio Shack recorder on timers and a loop. We would be less than honest if we didn't acknowledge a certain value in the production and distribution of such a bulletin.

To read the DSM criteria for narcissistic disorder is to gain full insight into Baxter. Having 5 of the following qualifies him: 1) Feels grandiose and self-importance (e.g., exaggerates achievements and talents to the point of lying, demands to be recognized as superior without commensurate achievements), 2) Is obsessed with fantasies of unlimited success, fame, fearsome power or omnipotence, unequaled brilliance ..., 3) Firmly convinced that he or she is unique and, being special, can only be understood by, should only be treated by, or associate with, other special or unique, or high-status people (or institutions), 4) Requires excessive admiration, adulation, attention and affirmation -- or, failing that, wishes to be feared and to be notorious narcissistic supply), 5) Feels entitled. Expects unreasonable or special and favorable priority treatment. Demands automatic and full compliance with his or her expectations, 6) 'interpersonally exploitive' ..., 7) Devoid of empathy. Is unable or unwilling to identify with or acknowledge the feelings and needs of others, 8) Constantly envious of others or believes that they feel the same way about him or her, and 9) Arrogant, haughty behaviors or attitudes coupled with rage when frustrated, addicted, or confronted."

Quoting Baxter: "He (meaning me) has been on Prozac for several years now and recently a mood regulator as well ... . While apparently making him happier, I now find Bob to be a different functioning human being with regard to his great intellectual abilities."

"For sure, Glenn; thanks for the kudos. Depakote, a mood regulator, prevents those leaps into grandiosity, bad judgment, irritable episodes, pressured speech. The intellect remains, albeit, for more productive pursuits. There isn't a person in my inner circle, including myself, who has failed to notice a vast change for the better. For some, Depakote (makes) miracle(s).

Consider it Glenn. Just a bit of harmless Depakote can make you the achiever you've only imagined thus far.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX"

1173. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:01:41 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg70lmods1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 118999

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/c9qvo
>
>
> HAMs don't seek spotlight, work behind scenes in emergency situations.
> By RYAN GILLISJournal Staff Writer
>
> Goodness!! According to some on this group, all hams can do is brag.

If a ham mentions something, even in passing, some consider that
bragging. That is because they don't want to hear anything good about
ham radio at all.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1174. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:04:41 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg70ra$1h1o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119050

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> http://tinyurl.com/8cb6y
>
>
> Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
> By Jeannetta Edwards
>
> Heavens, she must be mistaken!! And we have been told time and time
> again by a certain bunch that it is *just* a hobby.

Yes, everyone from the CiC down to the newspapers are wrong!

But this is America, and we have a right to look as smart or as dumb as
we wish..... ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1175. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:09:00 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg713d$1efs$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119051

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> http://tinyurl.com/8hbmc
>
>
> Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support
>
> By Teresa Atkerson
> MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)
>
> Hey an_old_nobody, notice the words *vital communications*.
>
> Lennyboy sure is quite lately.

Perap helping with the recovery efforts?

> Wonder where all them thar CeeBee fellers are?

To be fair, I did a similar search with CB and Citizen Band radio. I did come up with one relevent hit in which a truck driver arranged for someone to get a ride when their car ran out of gas.

- Mike

1176. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:19:50 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg71nm$11m8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119052

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>
>
> And on 9/9/2005 1:17 PM - Lennieboy posted:
>
> Thousands and thousands of volunteers and paid workers are very
> busy at the moment, doing many things of DIRECT AID to the
> homeless and displaced, all WITHOUT any direct "need" to do radio
> communications about "health and welfare messages." That's
> important work. MOST (very nearly all) of that work can be done
> WITHOUT a lot of radio communications. Coordination of effort
> does require communications of some kind, but the "coordination"
> of ALL agencies, paid and volunteer, were simply NOT prepared to
> deal with the magnitude of damage and destruction that happened.
> That includes amateur radio, folks, whether it smarts your little
> egos or not. Did anyone really think that a few dozen hams
> could really make a "difference" by sending health and welfare
> messages from millions to other millions? No doubt the self-
> proclaimed amateur patriots far from the disaster scene were
> happy as larks to see the tiniest factoid of their Great Help
> to the victims of hurricane Katrina. They have waved their
> banners, cheered as loudly (and abusively) as possible, pinned
> invisible medals of valor to their equally invisible uniforms
> of service they wear in the newsgroups.
>
>>
>> NBC......
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/b2ffr
>
>
> With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the
> professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going
> on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.
>
> Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New
> Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.
>
> Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the
> disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update

> on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family
> in Chicago.
>
> The reason-- *ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no
> other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails*.
>
> "Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA
> and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for
> communication until other modes of communication are restored."
>
> Yeah, too bad ham radio can only play a very minor roll in emergency
> communications. Notice the words *primary mode for communications* in
> the above lennieboy.

Remember that Mr. Anderson has what I would term a very antagonistic attitude toward Amateur radio. When such is the case, a person often does a lot of filtering of the input they receive.

> Ham radio has proven once again just how valuable it can provide and is
> at this very moment providing *vital* communications in times of
> emergencies, much to the complete dismay of a few individuals here.

They are serving not only a H&W service, but indeed mush of the primary service right now. Ham Haters simply have to deal with that.

And perhaps the question goes begging, of what value is this H&W communications?

Ask the person(s) on either end of the "value" of a message that their loved ones are safe, or at least still alive? What value is comms to get food and or medicine where it is needed?

Perhaps the people affected by this "minor" H&W, and now primary communications service might give a more relevant and accurate answer than some people in a newsgroup who have an anti-ham agenda.

I'm sure we'll hear otherwise..... 8^)

- mike KB3EIA -

1177. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:25:10 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg721m$1cag$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119053

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
>>By Shaun Waterman
>>UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
>>
>>Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
>>
>>WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
>>Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
>>radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
>>as it did at the World Trade Center.
>>
>>"On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
>>other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
>>Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."
>
>
> . . . and on and on and on . .
>
> My ongoing understanding has been that there is already gobs of wide
> open UHF spectrum space already available via all the unused UHF TV
> channels. Even in very large metropolitan areas. Each one of those
> channels is 4 Mhz wide or something like that, how many emergency
> services NBFM channels can be squeezed into 4 Mhz? A *bunch*! I realize
> that some of those TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
> comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
> gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
> Beats me . .
>
> Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
> it as usual.

That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually responsible.

Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No extra bandwidth needed.

Just trained and competent operators.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1178. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:28:17 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <88WdnbrHqZgpkbreRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 119054
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerry wrote:

> "Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:0ICdncTJkPKeVrveRVn-vA@comcast.com...
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>"Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
>>>news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>>>
>>>
>>>>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>>>>><http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG
=Search+News>
>>>>
>>>>I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
>>>>anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
>>>>HOA took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>>>
>>>
>>>However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Judgments!!! Would it not be better to read the exact terms of the CC&R,
>>AKA CONTRACT, before judgments are made??
>>
>>The exact wording of the HOA/CC&R may restrict certain activities [such as
>>external antennas, or temporary housing, etc]. The restriction can only be
>>waived by consent of the members of the HOA.
>
>
>
> That's just it! There ain't gonna BE any HOA's ,covenants, or busybody
> neighbors telling me to do a durned thing unless they got enough rear end on
> 'em to MAKE me! If today, somebody wanted to start an HOA in my
> neighborhood, I'd tell them to kiss my (censored).
>
> I feel VERY strongly about property rights, and if I want to put up an
> American flag, then I'll put up an American flag and I dare some schweinhund
> to come over here and touch it! I DESPISE and detest HOA's! :(
>
> Jerry

Gerry, you said it correctly. Tell your agent "I will not consider, under any conditions, any HOAs or CC&Rs." If the RE attorney uncovers any 'hidden' CC&Rs in the title search, the sale is OFF!

I live in the "Live Free or Die" state [New Hampshire]. When I bought here three years ago I made that point to our realtor. We bought a very nice single family home of 2100 square feet on a 1/2 acre only 21 years old, 6 miles south of the state capital and 12 miles north of the third largest city.

I checked town zoning requirements for a tower. I'm allowed 70 feet for the tower without requesting a variance. Also, if the tower is professionally installed I need not consult the town building inspector. Heights from 70 feet 1 inch to 200 feet require plan approval by the building inspector ONLY for safety issues. BTW: my tower is only 50 feet [I live on top of a 510 foot hill with height above average terrain of 250 feet for 5 miles radius].

But back to the original issue. The HOA may have a strict rule on temporary housing. It is a freely entered into contract condition that requires the consent of the contracting parties to amend.

So, the term 'BIGOT' is a prejudicial pre judgment.

1179. Re: For everyones reading pleasure - Hams involvement in Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:38:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126633138.471789.111100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119055

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >
cut
> > Yeah, too bad ham radio can only play a very minor roll in emergency
> > communications. Notice the words *primary mode for communications* in
> > the above lennieboy.
>
> Remember that Mr. Anderson has what I would term a very antagonistic
> attitude toward Amateur radio. When such is the case, a person often
> does a lot of filtering of the input they receive.
>
> > Ham radio has proven once again just how valuable it can provide and is
> > at this very moment providing *vital* communications in times of
> > emergencies, much to the complete dismay of a few individuals here.
>
> They are serving not only a H&W service, but indeed mush of the primary
> service right now. Ham Haters simply have to deal with that.
>
> And perhaps the question goes begging, of what value is this H&W
> communications?

they are valueble but of less importance than say signals (that are
carried in some areas by ham radio some not) reporting the condictions
of various levies
>
> Ask the person(s) on either end of the "value" of a message that their
> loved ones are safe, or at least still alive? What value is comms to get
> food and or medicine where it is needed?
>
> Perhaps the people affected by this "minor" H&W, and now primary
> communications service might give a more relevant and accurate answer
> than some people in a newsgroup who have an anti-ham agenda.

more relavant? no More acurate no, neither are they less accurate or
less relavant they would be different, with a different focus
>
> I'm sure we'll hear otherwise..... 8^)

because you have already decided what you will hear
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

1180. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 17:44:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EdEVe.711$Gy3.565@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119056
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
> As usual our resident dissident has no idea what he is talking about. Here in
> Alabama we have provided the operators to man the shelters for the Red Cross
> on the Gulf Coast. They have, and ARE providing the ONLY communications
> between the shelters and Red Cross HQS in Montgomery.
>

Often at considerable personal risk....

A guy who is a radio-tower contractor (like cell sites, microwave towers,
commercial radio stations, etc.) sent this.

We got the call on Sunday afternoon. I expected
it, of course. After 18 years of doing this I can always sense when
the call is about to come. We gathered supplies on Monday and got
out the tents, Coleman fuel, cook stove, lanterns and bedrolls. Becky
graciously went to the store for our provisions, then to the bank for
cash, and by dark we had all in order.

We went by to see the customer on Tuesday morning to equip ourselves
with radios, site maps, and lists of those that we would be reporting
to. As we departed on Tuesday mid day I knew that this would be
another great adventure. All was good through Pine Bluff and Lake
Village, Arkansas. Greenville, Mississippi was even tolerable. By the
time we got to Yazoo City, however, things had changed. No ATM's, no
gas, no ice. I knew we were in trouble when the lines at the check
cashing places were longer than those at the banks. We had half a
tank. I had stopped in Dumas and bought 12 half pints of whiskey
knowing that with such legal tender a full tank could be mine
whenever I wished. We pressed on.

We arrived in Jackson to the sight of long lines at the gas stations
and grocery stores with crowds gathered outside. How wonderful it
felt to have the secure gates of the Entergy compound locked behind
us. There was little electricity and no hotel rooms. We readied the
camping supplies and checked the map for a good state park. A little
fuel from the Gods at Entergy and we were on our way. We found a
decent park (no electricity, of course) and pitched camp. Dinner was
chicken helper, mashed potatoes and tea. It began to rain but
thankfully we had brought a huge roll of Visqueen. A large tent was
erected above our sleeping bags and in no time Wednesday morning

found us nursing our mosquito bites and eating oatmeal. After that it was off for a day in the air.

I should mention at this point that I brought my stellar lead man, Paul Fitler. Paul spends too much time in jail, too much time in the sauce, and too much time attending to women that can't take care of themselves. He is constantly deep in debt and out of a place to live, and good transportation. He is also the best, and highest paid towerdog in the state. An average week for him is $1000.00 gross. He'll easily double that during his tenure here. In fact, I'd imagine that he may have a $2500.00 week before it's all over. He has worked for me over eight years. He can't last working for anyone else more than ten days.

We take off Wednesday in a search for fuel, ice, and towers on our maps and find all of them. It is another long hard, hot day. We are constantly greeted with bewildered folks looking at trees impaled in their roofs, cars mangled and electric lines in their yards. We have Entergy signs on the side of the van at this point and when they see those signs their looks are heart wrenching and desperate. We look back with sympathy. They do not understand. They want us to have the answer. They want us to make it all better. They want relief which we cannot give. We give 100% that day and many communications tower sites come back to life. We see the line trucks begin to roll as we leave town. We are glad to have played a part in this process.

Thursday finds us in the office. The telecom crew is going ballistic. There are people everywhere barking out orders. So and so needs 19 phones installed at the office in Hattiesburg , the computers are down at thus and so, the radio system has died at Tylertown and the line crews can't work. The locals see the trucks sitting idle and they are getting angry. The locals don't understand that the line crews can't work unless they know for sure that lines are not energized.

The line crews can't be assured of that unless they have good communications. They can't have good communications unless the telecom guys do their jobs and in turn, unless we get the antennae fixed. So the locals are mad. Mad as Hell.

We listen to all of the banter going back and fourth and suddenly someone is shoving a piece of paper in my face and screaming loudly... Generating station (power plant) South of New Orleans . it's off line... no output... city is dark enough as it is... something has to be done. they need a 20 KW generator ASAP in order to have lights so they can get the plant back in service. Someone has to go. It is a war zone down there. The plant must come back to life... And then the inevitable question: "Will you go?" It echoes in my mind... Will I go? Will I? Can we take that chance? I smile. Actually, I grin. Yeah. I'll go. I give them the inevitable response to their inevitable question. "You bet your sweet ass I'll go... Press hard pal, Five copies"!!!

We top off the tank, load the shotgun, holster the pistols and hit the road. This is going to be a trip to remember. As we head out there are few cars on the road. No gas. The traffic is minimal and we set the cruise on 60 so as to conserve gas. Getting there will be easy. Getting back will not be as assured. I know, however, that we have enough gas to get to the plant and at least back to the Mississippi/Louisiana state line. I've got food, ammo, tents and lots of water. If I get stranded I'll be ok. We drive along saying little. We know that one wrong turn or wrong word at the wrong time to the wrong person could change things real quick.

With the Entergy signs on the truck we glide through every roadblock with ease. Cops don't ask for our ID or license. They don't search us. They really don't even look at us. We are riding on a magic carpet. No one will mess with us. They can see what we have in tow. Ah yes. The generator. The magic elixir that everyone wants. Generators make oxygen systems work; they bring food refrigeration units back to life; they bring light to the darkness, life to the newborns at the hospital. We have the generator. We are the stewards of all that the citizens hold sacred at the moment. We are the golden boys. They smile us and we smile back.

We get onto I-310 and then onto US 90 headed for the plant and suddenly our swollen heads and large egos begin to shrink. We are greeted by bands of wandering blacks about 15 to 20 in number. Every store front is destroyed. Some buildings are burned. All of the glass is gone from every window. We do a quick mental calculation as to wind direction during the storm. We realize quickly that many of the broken windows are on the downwind side. We see the crowds... it all makes sense then.

We get to the end of US 90 and make our turn towards the plant. We are confronted by a policeman in full riot gear. The intersection is desolate save for him. An industrial wasteland with this one shred of order standing in the road. He reminds me of some cavalryman in Oklahoma in the early 1800's or some sheriff in a town of lawless criminals. He looks over our cargo curiously and gives us a halting look, letting us know that "Entergy trucks have been taking fire in this area. I suggest you remove or cover your decals".
We see the plant up ahead. The sign of the guard lowering his shotgun is a welcome one. We make our delivery and point our truck for Jackson.

The men at the plant we visit with are worried. They don't want to risk the ride home. Some have wives and kids they must attend to. They have no choice. The others opt to sleep in their trucks to avoid venturing from the perceived safety of the 12 gauge in the hands of the guard.

I envy neither of them. Either choice is dangerous. The gangs in the streets are hungry, thirsty and angry. Neither the guard nor the men on the way home are any match for them. The gangs know it and the men know it. For the first time I understand the delicate balance between

prison guards and their charges. The criminals really do have the upper hand at some moments. Everyone just allows the perceptions to be different than that.

As we head home among fallen timbers, power lines and buildings we come across a group of cops with side arms drawn and pointed at a group of men. On the ground are 15 or 20 individuals cuffed and laying on their faces. There are 9 or 10 cops and only 5 or 6 in the crowd, save those on the ground, so we figure the cops are winning and we punch it and move on. Every store has the front doors kicked in. One shopkeeper had the brilliant idea of putting his coke machines in front of the doors to prevent entry. The thugs were offended and responded by putting a truck through the windows. After they finished their shopping they left their calling card by way of a Molotov cocktail. How quaint.

I thought we were going to burn a hole in the gas gauge on the way home with our eyes. We calculated and recalculated. Every road sign showing mileage to the next map point was checked and rechecked. We were always cognizant of the five gallon can in the back. The fumes it emanated were like sweet perfume to our olfactories. That was the sweet smell of a soft landing. We knew that we could make it back. We felt good about that.

I thought of the B-29 pilots flying missions over Japan in 1944. Their calculations were much harder and there was much more at stake. I wondered what it was like for them when they ran the numbers and the result was death. What were those last hours like? What did they say to one another? I said a prayer for their souls and paid my respects. Real men. Let me never forget that.

My air mattress coupled with the floor of the Entergy Radio shop at Jackson, MS was a welcome relief. I looked forward to a good night's sleep only to be haunted by the looks of those on the streets. Why them and not me? I guess I'll always ask that. I always have. Why am I even here? What drives me to do these crazy things? Why would I put my best lead man along with the father of my children in harm's way? I don't know the answers to those questions. Frankly, I don't really care about the answers. I'm just glad to be safe and alive tonight. I feel bad for those that are without homes and families tonight and wish I could do something to take away their pain.

I think when it is all over I may go back down to that stretch of Highway 90 where the shops were burned. I think I may go back there and meet with the owners. Yeah. That's what I'll do. I'll meet with them. All that they have worked for was taken away from them by one violent act of nature and many lewd acts of men. I'll go meet with those men and women and engage in commerce with them as I can. And when I do. I'll tell them that I understand. Because I do. And they will know that I do. And in the end. I will know that everything will be OK. And they will know that too.

1181. Re: REC Networks filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 18:58:55 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <QMQGGKWX38608.8742476852@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 119057

In article <1126417322.815441.95720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> REC Network has filed a Petition for Reconsideration of K1MAN NAL
> dealing with him announcing his website on the radio. Read it here
>
> http://12.156.123.39/k1man_recon.pdf
>
>
> or
>
> http://12.156.123.39/k1man.htm

You probably want to give Baxter a blowjob too, don't you, Toadie?

1182. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:22:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jyGVe.11221$9i4.3876@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119058

Gutless puke is all you are.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126563909.187275.53660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126493771.881114.146170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> Then, when it's
>> >> >> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves
>> >> >> recognition
>> >> >> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>> >>
>> >> Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
>> >> politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at
>> >> that.
>> >>
>> >> How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
>> >
>> > ah yes the pissing contest again SoP for the elite
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> No pissin contest. Just a question. Your the one bad mouthing ham
>> radio.
>> Just what are your credentials?
>
> yep pissing contest. not bad mothing Ham radio, been bad mouthing YOU
> dan but you are not the totaloty of Ham radio
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

1183. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:23:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <fzGVe.11222$9i4.9493@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119059

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:bKoVe.9250$4P5.6579@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> you are not the totaloty of Ham radio
>
> Priceless!
>
> Toodle-oody,
>
> Dave K8MN

And he expects anyone to take his rants serious, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

1184. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:25:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TAGVe.11224$9i4.4067@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119060

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:rtedi1la8udsvucr80iherq04ira3ushhu@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 12 Sep 2005 21:30:30 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <WrmVe.10884$_84.6864@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:429bi1t3hqoqm3pblifpoboddo9ccpdbpi@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 21:37:59 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>> <Xs1Ve.10403$9i4.5640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Then, when it's
>>>>> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>>>>> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>>>>
>>>>Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
>>>>politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at
>>>>that.
>>>
>>>
>>> You are also a braggart.
>>>
>>>
>>>>How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
>>>
>>>
>>> More than you.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
>>> News==----
>>> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
>>> Newsgroups
>>> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
>>> =----
>>
>>First place I'm not talking to you.
>
>
> That's funny, I could have sworn my name is the same as to whom you
> replied above (or don't you know how to count the little arrows at the
> begging of each quoted line?).
>
>
>>Second place....if you have so much training....when do you plan to use
>>it?
>
>
> I already have.
>
>
>>Now I'm talking to you....Mr. Un-identified poster.
>
>
> LOL!!! So my DD-214 wasn't enough..... you want I should scan my
> driver's license, too?
>
>
What the crap are you talking about? I've NEVER seen a DD-214 from you or
anyone else on this newsgroup. You must be thinking of the library where
you rant with the young boys.

Dan/W4NTI

1185. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:27:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <oCGVe.11225$9i4.5804@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119061

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126564015.881993.69880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126475581.124314.190620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> cut.
>> >
>> > I agree
>> >> >
>> >> > Hams have been largely excluded in Illinois from emergency planing
>> >> > since that event)things may have been patched up by now Todd might
>> >> > know
>> >> > more about that than I anymore
>> >>
>> >> Can you post some proof to back that up?
>> >
>> > Proof no the incident occoured before I was licensed It was first
>> > related to me, by K0HMO, then president of the SVRC, a very similar
>> > story was also related to me by other 2 Hams and A Magor of the IL NG
>> >
>> > Todd I believe, was involved in an effort to try to patch things up,
>> > and has related to me a close version of the same story
>> >
>>
>> The Red Cross seems to have a case of the dumb arse when using Amateur
>> Radio. They apparently don't know what to do with us. So your story
>> does
>> not surprise me at all.
>
> Interesting that you refer to the Red Cross rather than IEMA the
> referenced agnecy
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

I just made a comment, and as usual you attacked it.

Dan/W4NTI

1186. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:29:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4FGVe.11227$9i4.1883@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119062

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126564427.328688.250310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126475013.422739.278920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> cut
>>
>> I am unable to respond to you in the manner that you seem to expect.
>> Apparently we are 180 degrees out of phase and will never find a point
>> that
>> agrees.
>
> Indeed I agree you are unable to step outside your point of view to
> even consider any other point of view
>>
>> So how's this....when you know something about Amateur Radio Emergency
>> Communications, ARES, RACES,National Traffic System, Propagation,
>> CW....etc. we will have something to discuss. In the mean time I see no
>> reason to continue this waste of bandwidth on you.
>
> Gee I particpate in ARES/RACES, I know about proagation and CW, I just
> disagree with you on the exact level of import of the traffic in
> question
>
> When you learn to look with some degree of objectivity at the world do
> lets us Know
>
> You refuse to reconize that anyone may disagree with you
>
> SoP for you and many other in here
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Be sure and let me know when you learn how to spell, ok?

Be sure and let me know how you can participate in ECOM when you say the
Upper Peninsula is a RF Hole.

Be sure and let me know how you know so much about propagation since you
claim you can't hear anything going on.

Be sure and le me know how you know so much about CW when you claim to hate it.

Etc. Etc. Etc. Credibility ZERO.

Dan/W4NTI

1187. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:30:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126643429.149057.160590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119063

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:bKoVe.9250$4P5.6579@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >> you are not the totaloty of Ham radio
> >
> > Priceless!
> >
> > Toodle-oody,
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> And he expects anyone to take his rants serious, eh?

Some people do take my coment seriously

OTOH I certainly never expect you you(Dan) Dave or Stevie to take
anything seriously when it conflicts with your preconceived notions

Indeed for your other message, I'd say the gutlessone is that one who
can't discuss an issue at all, which is of course you

Let us all know when you grow up and deicde that unlike say the
Palistians you are willing to discuss a subject without insisting that
everyone at the table agree to agree with you in advance
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1188. Re: K1MAN's Psychology, according to his Wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:34:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126643650.481241.23650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119064

just what was the point?
Morris wrote:
> Sifting through prior posts, I found one relevant and worthy of
> reposting. Where some hams are raising K1MAN's pyschology, what better
> commentary can we have than from Glenn's Wife, Bonny, which Glenn
> himself published?
>

1189. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:38:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126643895.746091.81110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119065

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126564427.328688.250310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut
> > Gee I particpate in ARES/RACES, I know about proagation and CW, I just
> > disagree with you on the exact level of import of the traffic in
> > question
> >
> > When you learn to look with some degree of objectivity at the world do
> > lets us Know
> >
> > You refuse to reconize that anyone may disagree with you
> >
> > SoP for you and many other in here
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
when you can stop turn off the flamethrower and start speacking the
turth let me know

1190. Re: what singular things does the ARS bring to the tabel for something like Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:39:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126643972.865057.213250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119066

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126564015.881993.69880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut> >
> > Interesting that you refer to the Red Cross rather than IEMA the
> > referenced agnecy
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> I just made a comment, and as usual you attacked it.

Paranoia Dan?

I just comented on your coment, I see no attack but you do
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1191. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:39:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126643977.051126.53660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119067

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126564427.328688.250310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut
> > Gee I particpate in ARES/RACES, I know about proagation and CW, I just
> > disagree with you on the exact level of import of the traffic in
> > question
> >
> > When you learn to look with some degree of objectivity at the world do
> > lets us Know
> >
> > You refuse to reconize that anyone may disagree with you
> >
> > SoP for you and many other in here
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
when you can stop turn off the flamethrower and start speacking the
turth let me know

1192. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:43:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126644235.244793.233830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119068

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >>Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
> >>By Shaun Waterman
> >>UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
> >>
> >>Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
> >>
> >>WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
> >>Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
> >>radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
> >>as it did at the World Trade Center.
> >>
> >>"On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
> >>other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
> >>Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."
> > >
> > >
> > > . . . and on and on and on . .
> > >
> > > My ongoing understanding has been that there is already gobs of wide
> > > open UHF spectrum space already available via all the unused UHF TV
> > > channels. Even in very large metropolitan areas. Each one of those
> > > channels is 4 Mhz wide or something like that, how many emergency
> > > services NBFM channels can be squeezed into 4 Mhz? A *bunch*! I realize
> > > that some of those TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
> > > comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
> > > gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
> > > Beats me . .
> > >
> > > Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
> > > it as usual.
>
> That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the
> bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually
> responsible.

No you are roughly half right below
>
>
> Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the

> various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early
> notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No
> extra bandwidth needed.
>
> Just trained and competent operators.

the other thing needed is inteligent planing and prediection of what
may be needed , but then I suppose you could say that was part of
having trained and competent operators
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1193. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:45:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg7dpb$1hco$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119069

Dave wrote:

> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:mWnVe.9433$Wd7.4612@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
>>By Shaun Waterman
>>UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
>>
>>Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
>>
>>WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
>>Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
>>radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
>>as it did at the World Trade Center.
>>
>
> their problem is not how much spectrum, but having some channels where they
> can all talk to each other. i would say that 2m would be good for them to
> confiscate, along with all the repeaters and existing radios that use it.
> this should give them a flexible enough chunk of spectrum, complete with an
> installed set of repeaters nation wide and a large number of easily
> reprogrammed radios that can do not only repeaters but simplex and even
> digital communications.

Except that if they need some place wher they can all talk to each
other, they sure as heck don't need the entire 2 meter band! That is of
course unless they are pumping out a mighty wide signal.

The idea is incorrect at it's very root.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1194. Re: K1MAN's Psychology, according to his Wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 20:51:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HZGVe.11358$_84.2106@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119070

Way too high brow for this crowd Bob.

Dan/W4NTI

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1126629815.093041.48610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Sifting through prior posts, I found one relevant and worthy of
> reposting. Where some hams are raising K1MAN's pyschology, what better
> commentary can we have than from Glenn's Wife, Bonny, which Glenn
> himself published?
>
> The following was posted on January 21, 2003. It is reposted with one
> caveat: The Logan Act is raised when, better, The Patriot Act should be
> substituted.
> ----------
> "Answering W4ASX editorials, today Baxter fired back. (In the
> attachment which follows) we learn that he equates himself to Bill
> Gates and Ted Turner, that people in Dayton ask for his autograph,
> that AARU is a registered trademark and that www.K1MAN.com had 64,582
> hits during the month of December. But more to the point: 'My wife
> Bonnie says I am a narcissist.'
>
> Bonnie is on the money. DSM, the internationally accepted manual of
> mental disorders, defines narcissism as 'An all-pervasive pattern of
> grandiosity (in fantasy or behavior), need for admiration or adulation
> and lack of empathy, usually beginning by early adulthood and present
> in variety of contexts.'
>
> We all have characteristics that track DSM, but the difference between
> an order and disorder is dysfunction. If the characteristics give rise
> to a 'presenting problem, then the person is said to have a disorder.
>
> Quite simply, the issue comes down to whether Glenn's narcissism is all
> pervasive, invading, penetrating, and molding every aspect, nook, and
> cranny of the personality, which is, granted, a subjective judgment.
>
> The moment I witnessed the forays into foreign policy in Bougainville,
> I thought that line had been crossed. And now listening to Sam and
> Glenn talk about operations in Iraq, Jordan, Israel and Somalia, I know
> they've entered forbidden territory.
>
> Their activity violates the Logan Act, which prevents private citizens
> from conducting foreign policy. Glenn's response: 'And we have done
> some wild things around the world over the years. But in violation of
> the Logan Act? Come on Bob, who is deluded now?' In fact, Glenn

> embraces some of the same people we, worried about homeland security,
> oppose. Shouldn't a responsible leader be open, in the very least, to
> the possibility of adverse consequences?
>
> As Brian, K3VR, who has compiled an amazing dossier on Baxter
> operations in the arena of foreign policy, writes: 'Whether he is ill,
> naive, stupid, or a bona-fide spy, won't matter one iota to the
> agencies who have questions about his actions. They will want
> satisfactory answers to significant questions.' Our security demands no
> less.
>
> As to his respected Wife's assessment of narcissistic mental state,
> Baxter drops one more hint in the attachment. "Anyway, I sent Bob the
> $25 and he said he never got it. It did not come back so, being
> paranoid, I figured Bob was stiffing me." Unbelievable, this after the
> closest working relationship where I had proved my honesty time and
> again. Characteristic of Baxter, he does not disclose in the attachment
> (identification of check, i.e. date and number), leaving, for the
> readers, that cloud of suspicion remaining, a classic Baxter tactic.
>
> DSM identifies the narcissist as 'interpersonally exploitive,
> i.e., uses others to achieve his or her own ends.' It gives us closeup
> insight into his apparent view of himself: of the omnipotent,
> worldwide, IARN Network with offices girding the globe engaged in
> missions befitting Mother Teresa, his views sought out by leaders of
> the free and non-free worlds as well as important media, such as the
> Wall Street Journal and major TV Networks.
>
> We are left with an impression of grandeur, when, in fact, this is but
> one person, Glenn Baxter, and his Radio Shack recorder on timers and a
> loop. We would be less than honest if we didn't acknowledge a certain
> value in the production and distribution of such a bulletin.
>
> To read the DSM criteria for narcissistic disorder is to gain full
> insight into Baxter. Having 5 of the following qualifies him: 1)
> Feels grandiose and self-importance (e.g., exaggerates achievements and
> talents to the point of lying, demands to be recognized as superior
> without commensurate achievements), 2) Is obsessed with fantasies of
> unlimited success, fame, fearsome power or omnipotence, unequaled
> brilliance ..., 3) Firmly convinced that he or she is unique and, being
> special, can only be understood by, should only be treated by, or
> associate with, other special or unique, or high-status people (or
> institutions), 4) Requires excessive admiration, adulation, attention
> and affirmation -- or, failing that, wishes to be feared and to be
> notorious narcissistic supply), 5) Feels entitled. Expects
> unreasonable or special and favorable priority treatment. Demands
> automatic and full compliance with his or her expectations, 6)
> 'interpersonally exploitive' ..., 7) Devoid of empathy. Is unable or
> unwilling to identify with or acknowledge the feelings and needs of
> others, 8) Constantly envious of others or believes that they feel the

> same way about him or her, and 9) Arrogant, haughty behaviors or
> attitudes coupled with rage when frustrated, addicted, or confronted."
>
> Quoting Baxter: "He (meaning me) has been on Prozac for several years
> now and recently a mood regulator as well ... . While apparently
> making him happier, I now find Bob to be a different functioning human
> being with regard to his great intellectual abilities."
>
> "For sure, Glenn; thanks for the kudos. Depakote, a mood regulator,
> prevents those leaps into grandiosity, bad judgment, irritable
> episodes, pressured speech. The intellect remains, albeit, for more
> productive pursuits. There isn't a person in my inner circle,
> including myself, who has failed to notice a vast change for the
> better. For some, Depakote (makes) miracle(s).
>
> Consider it Glenn. Just a bit of harmless Depakote can make you the
> achiever you've only imagined thus far.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX"
>

1195. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 21:51:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126648287.107264.125070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119071

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 12 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2277	307
Indeterminate (note 1)	132	25
Value for Percentages	2143	282
Against NPRM (note 2)	640 [29.86%]	87 [30.85%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1176 [54.88%]	142 [50.35%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	327 [15.26%]	53 [18.79%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1196. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 22:06:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <kdCdnav-l_ID07reRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 119072
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:432647A7.FF531A57@earthlink.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> "Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
>> news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>> >
>> >> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>> >> <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btn
G=Search+News>
>> >
>> > I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
>> > anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
>> > HOA
>> > took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>>
>> However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
>
> They finally backed down today, after a change in the HOA and a
> number of residents calling realtors to see if they could find another
> home to move out of Majestic Oaks.
>
> BTW, the same HOA tried to evict a resident after 9/11 for having the
> nerve to display the American flag in one of their windows. The local
> paper had printed a full page American flag on heavy paper stock and
> asked people to display them in their homes.
>
> --
> ?
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

Why doesn't that surprise me?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1197. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 22:09:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W46dnVfnD6nM0rreRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119073
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0ICdncTJkPKeVrveRVn-vA@comcast.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
>> news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>>
>>>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>>>><http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btnG=
Search+News>
>>>
>>>I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
>>>anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
>>>HOA took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>>
>>
>> However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
>>
>>
> Judgments!!! Would it not be better to read the exact terms of the CC&R,
> AKA CONTRACT, before judgments are made??
>
> The exact wording of the HOA/CC&R may restrict certain activities [such as
> external antennas, or temporary housing, etc]. The restriction can only be
> waived by consent of the members of the HOA.
>

Nothing stops them from calling an immediate emergency meeting to address
temporarily suspending such limits in times of great need.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1198. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 22:16:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <asGdnR0R3qazzLreRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119074
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:88WdnbrHqZgpkbreRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> Jerry wrote:
>
>> "Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:0ICdncTJkPKeVrveRVn-vA@comcast.com...
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>>>>>><http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btn
G=Search+News>
>>>>>
>>>>>I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
>>>>>anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
>>>>>HOA took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Judgments!!! Would it not be better to read the exact terms of the CC&R,
>>>AKA CONTRACT, before judgments are made??
>>>
>>>The exact wording of the HOA/CC&R may restrict certain activities [such
>>>as external antennas, or temporary housing, etc]. The restriction can
>>>only be waived by consent of the members of the HOA.
>>
>>
>>
>> That's just it! There ain't gonna BE any HOA's ,covenants, or busybody
>> neighbors telling me to do a durned thing unless they got enough rear end
>> on 'em to MAKE me! If today, somebody wanted to start an HOA in my
>> neighborhood, I'd tell them to kiss my (censored).
>>
>> I feel VERY strongly about property rights, and if I want to put up an
>> American flag, then I'll put up an American flag and I dare some

>> schweinhund to come over here and touch it! I DESPISE and detest HOA's!
>> :(
>>
>> Jerry
>
> Gerry, you said it correctly. Tell your agent "I will not consider, under
> any conditions, any HOAs or CC&Rs." If the RE attorney uncovers any
> 'hidden' CC&Rs in the title search, the sale is OFF!
>
> I live in the "Live Free or Die" state [New Hampshire]. When I bought here
> three years ago I made that point to our realtor. We bought a very nice
> single family home of 2100 square feet on a 1/2 acre only 21 years old, 6
> miles south of the state capital and 12 miles north of the third largest
> city.
>
> I checked town zoning requirements for a tower. I'm allowed 70 feet for
> the tower without requesting a variance. Also, if the tower is
> professionally installed I need not consult the town building inspector.
> Heights from 70 feet 1 inch to 200 feet require plan approval by the
> building inspector ONLY for safety issues. BTW: my tower is only 50 feet
> [I live on top of a 510 foot hill with height above average terrain of 250
> feet for 5 miles radius].
>
> But back to the original issue. The HOA may have a strict rule on
> temporary housing. It is a freely entered into contract condition that
> requires the consent of the contracting parties to amend.
>
> So, the term 'BIGOT' is a prejudicial pre judgment.
>

And in times of major emergencies if they are not willing to call for a vote
and temporarily set such aside, then I would consider them not only bigots
but cold hearted. HOAs can VOTE for such measures.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1199. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 22:29:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <upIVe.9968$Wd7.6725@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119075
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Nothing stops them from calling an immediate emergency meeting to address
> temporarily suspending such limits in times of great need.
>

If a ham can only use their station "in times of great need" it's unlikely
they'd have the equipment to put into service, so it's all a moot point.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1200. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 22:53:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IYCdnY0CpqtSxLreRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119076
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:upIVe.9968$Wd7.6725@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Nothing stops them from calling an immediate emergency meeting to address
>> temporarily suspending such limits in times of great need.
>>
>
> If a ham can only use their station "in times of great need" it's unlikely
> they'd have the equipment to put into service, so it's all a moot point.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

I was talking about temporarily taking in those left homeless and how it shows a lack of humanitarianism.

The equipment thing is an entirely separate issue that needs addressed one way or another as it cannot be left to the last minute as you point out.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1201. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-13 23:19:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H7JVe.9645$4P5.9064@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119077
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> I was talking about temporarily taking in those left homeless and how it shows
> a lack of humanitarianism.
>

O I C.

I have no HOA restrictions, but if I did have some like that, then they'd just
be friends visiting for a few weeks.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1202. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 00:01:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HLJVe.11442$_84.5615@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brother Stare" <bstare2@no.spam> wrote in message
news:3423009020.5300@zifcol.ar...
> now the beasts are starting fights at the schools that took them in and
> puting other kids in the hospital. they are just niggers and pple better
> realiaze thats what niggers always do and you cant make them better.
>
> http://www.khou.com/news/local/crime/stories/khou050913_mh_jonesfight.5342d5ac.html
>

Well "bro Stair" looks like the Houstonians started it and the NO kids
finished it.

Hardy Har Har.

Dan/W4NTI

1203. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 00:42:09 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4327720A.61F01CAB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119079
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dee Flint wrote:
>
> "Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:88WdnbrHqZgpkbreRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> > Jerry wrote:
> >
> >> "Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> news:0ICdncTJkPKeVrveRVn-vA@comcast.com...
> >>
> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>"Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
> >>>>><http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&b
tnG=Search+News>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
> >>>>>anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
> >>>>>HOA took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Judgments!!! Would it not be better to read the exact terms of the CC&R,
> >>>AKA CONTRACT, before judgments are made??
> >>>
> >>>The exact wording of the HOA/CC&R may restrict certain activities [such
> >>>as external antennas, or temporary housing, etc]. The restriction can
> >>>only be waived by consent of the members of the HOA.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> That's just it! There ain't gonna BE any HOA's ,covenants, or busybody
> >> neighbors telling me to do a durned thing unless they got enough rear end
> >> on 'em to MAKE me! If today, somebody wanted to start an HOA in my
> >> neighborhood, I'd tell them to kiss my (censored).
> >>
```

> >> I feel VERY strongly about property rights, and if I want to put up an
> >> American flag, then I'll put up an American flag and I dare some
> >> schweinhund to come over here and touch it! I DESPISE and detest HOA's!
> >> :(
> >>
> >> Jerry
> >
> > Gerry, you said it correctly. Tell your agent "I will not consider, under
> > any conditions, any HOAs or CC&Rs." If the RE attorney uncovers any
> > 'hidden' CC&Rs in the title search, the sale is OFF!
> >
> > I live in the "Live Free or Die" state [New Hampshire]. When I bought here
> > three years ago I made that point to our realtor. We bought a very nice
> > single family home of 2100 square feet on a 1/2 acre only 21 years old, 6
> > miles south of the state capital and 12 miles north of the third largest
> > city.
> >
> > I checked town zoning requirements for a tower. I'm allowed 70 feet for
> > the tower without requesting a variance. Also, if the tower is
> > professionally installed I need not consult the town building inspector.
> > Heights from 70 feet 1 inch to 200 feet require plan approval by the
> > building inspector ONLY for safety issues. BTW: my tower is only 50 feet
> > [I live on top of a 510 foot hill with height above average terrain of 250
> > feet for 5 miles radius].
> >
> > But back to the original issue. The HOA may have a strict rule on
> > temporary housing. It is a freely entered into contract condition that
> > requires the consent of the contracting parties to amend.
> >
> > So, the term 'BIGOT' is a prejudicial pre judgment.
> >
>
> And in times of major emergencies if they are not willing to call for a vote
> and temporarily set such aside, then I would consider them not only bigots
> but cold hearted. HOAs can VOTE for such measures.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

As someone who lives near Ocala and has to visit a lot of these gated communities run by HOAs, I find most of them to be jerks who are only interested in holding onto their perceived power at any cost. The fact that it hurts others doesn't matter to them. Another HOA in the area told a retired couple move out, or send their 17 year old grand daughter away after she lost both of her parents in an accident. She had a few months till she was to graduate from high school and no other relatives but it didn't matter to the HOA. People like that are scum, no matter how expensive their home, or what they drive.

Its just too damn bad you can't tar and feather them and then run them out of town, like the good old days! ;-)

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1204. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 00:51:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_tKVe.1093$am.938@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 119080

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126644235.244793.233830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> >
> >>Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
> >>By Shaun Waterman
> >>UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
> >>
> >>Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
> >>
> >>WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
> >>Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
> >>radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
> >>as it did at the World Trade Center.
> >>
> >>"On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
> >>other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
> >>Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."
> >
> >
> > . . . and on and on and on . .
> >
> > My ongoing understanding has been that there is already gobs of wide
> > open UHF spectrum space already available via all the unused UHF TV
> > channels. Even in very large metropolitan areas. Each one of those
> > channels is 4 Mhz wide or something like that, how many emergency
> > services NBFM channels can be squeezed into 4 Mhz? A *bunch*! I realize
> > that some of those TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
> > comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
> > gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
> > Beats me . .
> >
> > Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
> > it as usual.
>
> That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the
> bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually
> responsible.

No you are roughly half right below
>
>
> Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the
> various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early
> notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No
> extra bandwidth needed.
>
> Just trained and competent operators.

the other thing needed is inteligent planing and prediection of what
may be needed , but then I suppose you could say that was part of
having trained and competent operators
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

What counts is what happens when things *don't* go according to plan and one
has to change mode, bands, or whatever. This may include, but not be
limited to, mf, hf, vhf, uhf, fm, ssb, or digital modes (even including
gasp cw). That separates the men from the boys.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1205. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 00:59:22 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <kdtei1pqj7d9aa996pcnem9co97cmbse8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 119081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 18:00:53 -0400, Brother Stare <bstare2@no.spam>
wrote:

>now the beasts are starting fights at the schools that took them in and
>puting other kids in the hospital. they are just niggers and pple better
>realiaze thats what niggers always do and you cant make them better.
>
>http://www.khou.com/news/local/crime/stories/khou050913_mh_jonesfight.5342d5ac.html
>
That neighborhood is affectionately called The Jungle. It is a brutal
part of town. Bleak.

1206. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 01:32:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126661521.427676.115390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119082

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126644235.244793.233830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > >
> > >>Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
> > >>By Shaun Waterman
> > >>UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
> > >>
> > >>Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
> > >>
> > >>WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
> > >>Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
> > >>radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
> > >>as it did at the World Trade Center.
> > >>
> > >>"On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
> > >>other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
> > >>Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."
> > >
> > >
> > > . . . and on and on and on . .
> > >
> > > My ongoing understanding has been that there is already gobs of wide
> > > open UHF spectrum space already available via all the unused UHF TV
> > > channels. Even in very large metropolitan areas. Each one of those
> > > channels is 4 Mhz wide or something like that, how many emergency
> > > services NBFM channels can be squeezed into 4 Mhz? A *bunch*! I realize
> > > that some of those TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
> > > comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
> > > gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
> > > Beats me . .
> > >
> > > Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
> > > it as usual.
> >
> > That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the
> > bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually
> > responsible.
>

> No you are roughly half right below
> >
> >
> > Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the
> > various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early
> > notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No
> > extra bandwidth needed.
> >
> > Just trained and competent operators.
>
> the other thing needed is inteligent planing and prediection of what
> may be needed , but then I suppose you could say that was part of
> having trained and competent operators
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> What counts is what happens when things *don't* go according to plan and one
> has to change mode, bands, or whatever. This may include, but not be
> limited to, mf, hf, vhf, uhf, fm, ssb, or digital modes (even including
> *gasp* cw). That separates the men from the boys.

Indeed Flexiblity is good (if very rare thing these days)

Indeed one thing I have always advcated (and which worked pretty weel
around here this summer during fireseason) was that Ham stations should
have dare I speak it CB radio capity as well, so when a fire caught eh
800mhz tower in the area and the ems folks switched to their CB back up
we could help them by relaying from staions at one end of the fire to
the other

Jim NOT flaming just asking you to share Do you realy see some senario
where in the current lack of use of CW outside the ARS that Morse
encoded Cw would play a real role? If so please share, the best I have
seen is some varraint on the Indepence Day one
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

1207. Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 02:31:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126665083.535167.315490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119083

http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/

. . . unbelievable . . !!

w3rv

1208. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 02:31:51 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1126665270_28245@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119084
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> Its just too damn bad you can't tar and feather them and then run
> them out of town, like the good old days! ;-)

Seems to me a large percentage of the blame lies with the
buyers who readily agree to such irrational HOA terms and
then complain about them later.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1209. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 03:44:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <EpudndyKOK02AbrenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119085

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126644235.244793.233830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
>>>>By Shaun Waterman
>>>>UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
>>>>
>>>>Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
>>>>
>>>>WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
>>>>Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
>>>>radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
>>>>as it did at the World Trade Center.
>>>>
>>>>"On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
>>>>other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
>>>>Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."
>>>
>>>
>>>. . . and on and on and on . .
>>>
>>>My ongoing understanding has been that there is already gobs of wide
>>>open UHF spectrum space already available via all the unused UHF TV
>>>channels. Even in very large metropolitan areas. Each one of those
>>>channels is 4 Mhz wide or something like that, how many emergency
>>>services NBFM channels can be squeezed into 4 Mhz? A *bunch*! I realize
>>> that some of those TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
>>>comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
>>>gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
>>>Beats me . .
>>>
>>>Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
>>>it as usual.
>>
>>That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the

>>bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually
>>responsible.
>
>
> No you are roughly half right below
>
>>
>>Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the
>>various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early
>>notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No
>>extra bandwidth needed.
>>
>>Just trained and competent operators.
>
>
> the other thing needed is inteligent planing and prediection of what
> may be needed , but then I suppose you could say that was part of
> having trained and competent operators
>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> What counts is what happens when things *don't* go according to plan and one
> has to change mode, bands, or whatever. This may include, but not be
> limited to, mf, hf, vhf, uhf, fm, ssb, or digital modes (even including
> *gasp* cw). That separates the men from the boys.

Let us face it, the firemen, police and rescue workers are not trained in operating radios, save for mashing the PTT button. their training lies elsewhere, and they do a *lot* of training already. The idea that these non-RF oriented folks would know that say, it is 2000 hours, so they should switch to a different frequency, or that they need to get a message to someone 1000 miles away, but not 50 miles away, and at 3 in the afternoon, so they should use yet another frequency is just a little much to expect.

So here we have the Hams, many of whom are trained and competent operators, and who are willing to volunteer their time and sometimes health, to helping others, not unlike volunteer firefighters. It isn't as dramatic in most cases, yet it is still volunteerism. Perhaps the Ham haters can focus their sharp wit on the volunteer fire fighters?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1210. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 03:50:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126669856.611425.211410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119086

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 11, 3:45 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm
>
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
> >> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >> >> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>
> >> >> > Let's also not forget that Len asked the FCC to institute
> >> >> > an age requirement of 14 years for any class of amateur
> >> >> > radio license. Yet when challenged to cite *any* examples
> >> >> > of problems in the ARS caused by the licensing of young
> >> >> > children, he could not come up with a single example.
> >> >> > As far as anyone knows, he's never told
> >> >> > FCC to discard that age-requirement idea.
> >
> >> >> No, he hasn't. The best you'll get out of him is that he has
> >> >> not pursued it further.
> >
> >> >Of course - and based on the comments above, it's clear he
> >> >still thinks it's a good idea, even though he cannot come
> >> >up with a single example of problems caused by the lack of
> >> >such a rule.
> >
> >> "It's clear he still thinks it's a good idea?" :-)
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie, you put bad INTERPRETATIONS on some
> >> folks' comments! :-)
> >
> >Glass half-full. Jim no fun to be around.
>
> I think his "glass" is half-broken. Six and a half years AFTER
> I filed a Reply to Comments on 98-143 Jimmie still wants to
> ARGUE that!

He pathetic mofoko.

> Report and Order 99-412 pretty well put an END to
> all those Comments when it came out in late December 1999.

He pathetic mofoko.

> Jimmie won't let it end. Nossir, he had to keep on arguing and
> arguing and arguing and arguing that.

Nope. Gotta take it to the ARRL

> >> >> > Suppose my Stanford-Binet IQ test score is higher than Len's.
> >> >> > Or lower, or the same. How would his behavior here change?
> >
> >> >> I'm going to go out on a limb here and say that I don't think
> >> >> his behavior would change at all.
> >
> >> >With one exception: There's a very high probability that he'd find a
> >> >way to use my IQ score as a way to insult and denigrate.
> >> >Just as the profile predicts. So there's no logical reason to give my
> >> >score.
> >
> >> Wow! Jimmie turned into FUTUREMAN! Futureman...able to see what
> >> is coming before it happens! :-)
> >
> >I'm still waiting for Jim's prediction of when the next class 5
> >hurricane will hit.
>
> Jimmie's levee is broken and he is too busy pumping himself out
> to give predictions. He be flooded with "information."

Jim be pathetic mofoko.

> >> Was there some "issue" concerning Stanford-Binet IQ tests?!?!?
> >
> >Only that he thinks his score will be higher than yours.
>
> Probably will be. :-) Last Stanford-Binet IQ test I ever took
> was done in 1950, part of an Illinois state-wide, week-long test
> of many things of high school students done by the University of
> Illinois. Don't have any of that test result paperwork now. As
> I recall, my IQ was in the "average" category, whatever numbers
> those were. :-) Didn't mean a helluvalot then to me, doesn't
> now, but be sure that Jimmie WANTS those values to do his little
> character assassination things on his opponents in here.

See Carl's latest posting? He be blackballed.

> >> Seems to me that morse code testing does NOT involve any "IQ"
> >> ratings. Morse code skill is an ear-brain-motor-function thing
> >> NOT involving intellectual capability.
> >
> >Emulating a modem shows desire and committment.
>
> ...to the "amateur community!" :-)

RYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRY...

I'm committed.

> >> Are modems "intelligent?" :-)
> >
> >> Do code keys have "intelligence?" :-)
> >
> >If they did they would be among the very smartest appliances.
>
> Maybe Stanford-Binet has an IQ score for modems and code keys?

By alarm automatically shuts off after an hour.

> >> >> > Consider Paul Schleck's recent, excellent post here, Dave.
> >
> >> >> I've not only considered Paul's post, I've read the expected
> >> >> tone in Len's reply.
> >
> >> "Expected tone?" :-)
> >
> >> Gosh, I'll be glad to reset the Bass and Treble controls, even
> >> put in an Equalizer!
> >
> >> You know this "Argic" guy, do you Jimmie?
> >
> >Argic?
>
> Something like that for a surname, one of Paul's pet peeves.

Maybe for socks.

> >> >Ever notice how there are some people who are just plain
> >> >nice to have around? They may not be the smartest, or the
> >> >richest, or the most physically attractive, or the most
> >> >accomplished, but when you spend some time with them you
> >> >feel better than you did before, for all sorts of reasons.
> >
> >> Jimmie just feels warm and fuzzy around other PCTAs? :-)
> >
> >Yep. They boast of working out of band amateurs and collecting W1AW
> >messages before the start of the field day contest.
>
> Morsemanship enables prescience! :-)

W1AW.

> >> >Among them are people from whom you can always learn something useful,
> >> >people who are always there to help out, people with
> >> >whom you can communicate freely and honestly, people who have
> >> >an innate common sense, people with a good sense of humor, and
> >> >a whole list of other traits and combinations of traits.
> >
> >> Jimmie, you need to get out more, meet some NEW friends.

> >
> >> ...or...form a NEW newsgroup, one devoted to the joys,
> >> nobility, and rapture of morse code! Wouldn't that be a
> >> heaven of Your Kind, the morse mavins. :-)
> >
> >Be sure that it's a moderated group, and exclusive.
>
> Right! All them morsemen can sit around and give each other high-
> fives for advancing the state of the radiotelegraphy art by being
> the biggest, baddest, bad-asses on radio! Nobody will be there to
> say nay in an exclusive enclave! All will be happiness and warm
> fuzzies of feeling since all are champions of radiotelegraphy!

Where's Brian Kelly/W3RV when you don't need him?

> >> >"Don't waste the thousand marbles"
> >
> >> Why? Did you lose your marbles? :-)
> >
> >Jim wishes to be seen as a deep thinker, often appending such
> >nonsensical phrases at the end of his postings.
>
> Thinker? I thought for a second you were writing with a lithp.
>
> Thith ith too much...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

You see the latest posting by Carl?

1211. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 03:52:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126669923.711086.292520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119087

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>
> . . . unbelievable . . !!
>
> w3rv

W1AW at it's finest.

1212. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 03:53:27 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126670007.190108.301410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Savage Negro Beasts ... Hmmm .. wonder who posted this message.

Hey Bro. Lawrence - check it out - what do you think?

I checked with Nashville Joe and he can't figure it out, either.

I don't think it was profit Stair but it sure sounds like one of his faithful listeners. If you know what I mean.

FWIW I'll be returning to Mem-Town in a month or so. I'll find me a 'box and get settled in just like the good old days.

I hear Time Lords are always welcome at KRBC. The sistah's will treat you like a true profit, sir.

1213. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 04:03:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8iNVe.904$Gy3.711@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119089

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>>
>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>>
>>w3rv
>
>
> W1AW at it's finest.

W1AW? The decision came from the HQ station?

Dave K8MN

1214. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 04:07:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2mNVe.905$Gy3.78@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119090

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

The sum total of Brian's input to one of Len's most recent mindless
rants is:

> He pathetic mofoko.

> He pathetic mofoko.

> Nope. Gotta take it to the ARRL

> Jim be pathetic mofoko.

> See Carl's latest posting? He be blackballed.

> RYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRYRY...
>
> I'm committed.

> By alarm automatically shuts off after an hour.

> Maybe for socks.

> W1AW.

> Where's Brian Kelly/W3RV when you don't need him?

> You see the latest posting by Carl?

It didn't read any better when Len's words were left intact.

Dave K8MN

1215. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 04:29:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gGNVe.10125$Wd7.8839@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119091

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

>
> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>
> . . . unbelievable . . !!
>

I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL membership.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1216. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 04:58:05 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126673885.771827.7010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119092

From: "Jim Hampton" on Wed 14 Sep 2005 00:51

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>> > K□B wrote:
> >
>> >>Responders' lack of spectrum 'cost lives'
>> >>By Shaun Waterman
>> >>UPI Homeland and National Security Editor
>> >>
>> >>Published 9/12/2005 11:40 AM
>> >>
>> >>WASHINGTON, Sept. 12 (UPI) -- Former Sept. 11 commission Chairman Tom
>> >>Kean says first responders in Louisiana not having had access to
>> >>radio spectrum needed for interoperable communications "cost lives,"
>> >>as it did at the World Trade Center.
>> >>
>> >>"On the ground, the people that get there first can't talk to each
>> >>other because the radio communications don't work," Kean told CNN
>> >>Sunday. "They haven't got enough what's called spectrum."
>> >
>> >
>> > . . . and on and on and on . .
>> >
>> > My ongoing understanding has been that there is already gobs of wide
>> > open UHF spectrum space already available via all the unused UHF TV
>> > channels. Even in very large metropolitan areas. Each one of those
>> > channels is 4 Mhz wide or something like that, how many emergency
>> > services NBFM channels can be squeezed into 4 Mhz? A *bunch*! I realize
>> > that some of those TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
>> > comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
>> > gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
>> > Beats me . .
>> >
>> > Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
>> > it as usual.
>>
>> That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the
>> bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually
>> responsible.

Everyone so far has been only partly right but mostly WRONG.

There is considerable spectrum space on UHF and VHF and low microwaves to handle more than enough voice communications within LOS in any locale. Anyone who has been tracking the FCC allocations above 30 MHz for the last decade will know that and will also know that the move to DTV caused a massive re-alignment of broadcast TV channels, enough to free considerable spectrum at UHF.

What reporter Waterman didn't get into involves other factors:

1. The vast majority of VHF-UHF radios used by public safety, utility, and businesses are one to four-channel fixed-frequency types. That is quite fine for ordinary operations.

2. The frequencies assigned to these PLMRS radios is decided by the frequency coordinating groups for the various land mobile radio services. Some searching around at the FCC site will uncover the names and address of all those frequency coordinators.

3. Only the Public Safety radio groups bother to get into so-called "emergency" (non-ordinary) frequencies which are not involved in their public safety real-emergency day-to-day operations. Some locales don't have such, others do.

Those that NEED spectrum ALREADY have it. They do NOT have the capability to tune to every single channel possible; public safety agencies seldom NEED such capability in regular 24/7 day-to-day emergency communications.

>> Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the
>> various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early
>> notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No
>> extra bandwidth needed.
>>
>> Just trained and competent operators.

The available equipment needs to be able to RE-tune to all-out-emergency channels.

>the other thing needed is inteligent planing and prediection of what
>may be needed , but then I suppose you could say that was part of
>having trained and competent operators

What "training" and "competency" is needed with a single-channel push-to-talk handheld transceiver? That "training and competency" gets settled within a single day on nearly every police force and fire department in the USA.

>What counts is what happens when things *don't* go according to plan and one
>has to change mode, bands, or whatever. This may include, but not be
>limited to, mf, hf, vhf, uhf, fm, ssb, or digital modes (even including
>*gasp* cw). That separates the men from the boys.

Some of the "men" in authority in New Orleans didn't have their brains in right on the "planning" or the execution of any "plan." Plain simple fact was that the severity of hurrican Katrina and the breaching of dike/canal walls that caused all the flooding. [no comment on the idiocy of expanding a city in areas which are already BELOW lake and Gulf water levels]

NO government agency radio base station is going to function UNDER water unless built for that; the operators of same can't be expected to work them. NO AMATEUR RADIO EQUIPMENT WILL WORK UNDER WATER EITHER. Don't anyone kid themselves or break arms patting each other
on the back. Underwater training and competency is NOT in any ham radio disaster plan, classes, or certificates-of-completion.

It's obvious that New Orleans city government needed to have MOBILE base stations for emergencies caused by such extensive flooding. Ask yourself if the New Orleans radio amateurs had anything like that or had ham equipment that would work under water. It should also be obvious that everyone concerned should have prepared for Force Five winds insofar as keeping radio antennas up and functioning. Few were. Mainly, those government antennas that did survive did so in greater numbers than ham antennas.

If NOBODY can get through flooded areas to interior non-flooded areas by land vehicle, the only other possibility is by air. The most competent, trained, knowledgeable amateurs couldn't do it unless they also had access to helicopters. Any RICH hams in New Orleans? Ones rich enough to afford their own private helo?

It's patently obvious that NO ONE in the New Orleans area had any remotely valid "plans" for much surviving 120 MPH and greater winds. Government, commercial, amateur, doesn't matter. Some COULD have had spare antennas and masts stowed in a robust shelter, antennas that could be erected reasonably quickly to get back "on the air." Some COULD have had spare electrical generators that would NOT be under water for the worst possible flood level rise. Then there would have to be spare radio equipment that would NOT be flooded or perhaps the base stations moved either to higher-than-flood-level ground or on upper stories. For the latter there would have to be emergency rations and accommodations for base station crews who might be stuck in their base operations whatever.

New Orleans sits practically on the Gulf of Mexico and is exposed to hurricanes and tsunamis. That over half the city area is UNDER average adjacent water levels is remarkable in itself...if not stupid planning. In their growth they could have looked to the Netherlands for some ideas, Holland having centuries of experience in keeping out the sea. Did they? I don't know.

Radio MODE or even Frequency discussions are DUMB and pointless if nearly everything of the equipment is under water or doesn't have good antenna structures.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1217. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 05:40:12 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126676412.838205.140320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119093

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 13, 8:50 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 11, 3:45 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm
>> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
>> >> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>> I think his "glass" is half-broken. Six and a half years AFTER
>> I filed a Reply to Comments on 98-143 Jimmie still wants to
>> ARGUE that!
>
>He pathetic mofoko.
>
>> Report and Order 99-412 pretty well put an END to
>> all those Comments when it came out in late December 1999.
>
>He pathetic mofoko.

I disagree. I call him simply 'hypocrite.' He says he is civil
and polite, yet he keeps on bring up OLD stuff to RE-ARGUE. It's
obvious he wants some kind of verbal food fight in here.

>> Jimmie won't let it end. Nossir, he had to keep on arguing and
>> arguing and arguing and arguing that.
>
>Nope. Gotta take it to the ARRL

I read Carl's webpage, astounded at the results.

The ARRL does *NOT* rule on free speech nor does Imlay's law
firm. Screum.

>> >I'm still waiting for Jim's prediction of when the next class 5
>> >hurricane will hit.
>
>> Jimmie's levee is broken and he is too busy pumping himself out
>> to give predictions. He be flooded with "information."
>
>Jim be pathetic mofoko.

On second thought, you may have something in that... :-(

See Carl's latest posting? He be blackballed.

Blackballed and a half by the Good Ol Boys at the League.

I rather suspected that the League hierarchy would find
some way to keep Carl down. His past and present doings
(NCI Executive Directorship) are NOT in line with what the
ARRL "leadership" wants.

I've tried to point out some of the above for years, only
to be met by the Zealot BELIEVERS of the League who say
they can do nothing wrong. Obviously they've done something
wrong, even for a PRIVATE membership organization that can
toss out anyone they damn well want to. It seems, in Carl's
case, they did just that.

>> >Yep. They boast of working out of band amateurs and collecting W1AW
>> >messages before the start of the field day contest.
>
>> Morsemanship enables prescience! :-)
>
>W1AW.

The radio voice of the League. Oyez, oyez, all hear...

>Where's Brian Kelly/W3RV when you don't need him?

He be in onct in a while...

>You see the latest posting by Carl?

I sort of suspected something like that would happen. I didn't
expect
it would be so STRONG and PETTY though.

The "leadership" be entrenched and they LIKE being the
"leadership." As a PRIVATE membership organization they
can do anydamnthing they please. And it pleased them to
keep Carl OUT. So much for their alleged "democratic
principles" and "honest elections."

As a definite NON-member (no amateur radio license,
therefore no voting privileges in ARRL anything), all I
can say is that the actions of the ARRL are just
reprehensible.

What the League did was contrary to Dee's insistence that
"all should join and 'change it from within'" or something
to that effect. That's a non sequitur. If one can't get
IN, it be impossible to change a thing there. A sophistry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1218. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 05:44:31 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dg8d8f$j1s$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 119094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1126670007.190108.301410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Savage Negro Beasts ... Hmmm .. wonder who posted this message.
>
> Hey Bro. Lawrence - check it out - what do you think?
>
> I checked with Nashville Joe and he can't figure it out, either.
>
> I don't think it was profit Stair but it sure sounds like one of his
> faithful listeners. If you know what I mean.
>
> FWIW I'll be returning to Mem-Town in a month or so. I'll find me a
> 'box and get settled in just like the good old days.
>
> I hear Time Lords are always welcome at KRBC. The sistah's will treat
> you like a true profit, sir.
>

1219. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 05:45:16 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dg8d9r$j20$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 119095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1126670007.190108.301410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Savage Negro Beasts ... Hmmm .. wonder who posted this message.
>
> Hey Bro. Lawrence - check it out - what do you think?
>
> I checked with Nashville Joe and he can't figure it out, either.
>
> I don't think it was profit Stair but it sure sounds like one of his
> faithful listeners. If you know what I mean.
>
> FWIW I'll be returning to Mem-Town in a month or so. I'll find me a
> 'box and get settled in just like the good old days.
>
> I hear Time Lords are always welcome at KRBC. The sistah's will treat
> you like a true profit, sir.

Isn't/wasn't KRBC in Amarillo?? Seems I used to walk by the station studios
on my way to school all those moons ago..

1220. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 06:06:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <heffi1lsb90fvr4f5stpq6dlfrles1d1ke@4ax.com>
Source record: 119096

```
On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 20:25:23 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<TAGVe.11224$9i4.4067@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:rtedi1la8udsvucr80iherq04ira3ushhu@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 12 Sep 2005 21:30:30 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <WrmVe.10884$_84.6864@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>>
>>>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>>news:429bi1t3hqoqm3pblifpoboddo9ccpdbpi@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 21:37:59 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>>> <Xs1Ve.10403$9i4.5640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Then, when it's
>>>>>>> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves recognition
>>>>>>> for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
>>>>>
>>>>>Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
>>>>>politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at
>>>>>that.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> You are also a braggart.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> More than you.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
```

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
>>>> News==----
>>>> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
>>>> Newsgroups
>>>> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
>>>> =----
>>>
>>>First place I'm not talking to you.
>>
>>
>> That's funny, I could have sworn my name is the same as to whom you
>> replied above (or don't you know how to count the little arrows at the
>> begging of each quoted line?).
>>
>>
>>>Second place....if you have so much training....when do you plan to use
>>>it?
>>
>>
>> I already have.
>>
>>
>>>Now I'm talking to you....Mr. Un-identified poster.
>>
>>
>> LOL!!! So my DD-214 wasn't enough..... you want I should scan my
>> driver's license, too?
>>
>>
>What the crap are you talking about? I've NEVER seen a DD-214 from you or
>anyone else on this newsgroup. You must be thinking of the library where
>you rant with the young boys.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

=<plonk>=

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1221. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 09:52:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126691535.995816.237950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119097

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
> >
> > . . . unbelievable . . !!
> >
>
> I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
> incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in amateur
> radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL membership.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Id Love to hear another side to this as well, but it astonding

1222. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 10:01:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126692084.978750.48060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119098

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 13, 8:50 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 11, 3:45 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm
> >> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
> >> >> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
cut

> >> Jimmie won't let it end. Nossir, he had to keep on arguing and
> >> arguing and arguing and arguing that.
> >
> >Nope. Gotta take it to the ARRL
>
> I read Carl's webpage, astounded at the results.
>
> The ARRL does *NOT* rule on free speech nor does Imlay's law
> firm. Screum.
cut
>
> See Carl's latest posting? He be blackballed.
>
> Blackballed and a half by the Good Ol Boys at the League.
>
> I rather suspected that the League hierarchy would find
> some way to keep Carl down. His past and present doings
> (NCI Executive Directorship) are NOT in line with what the
> ARRL "leadership" wants.

I never thought that they would move so openly, I was wonderingif some
game might be playedd with the vote tailling
>
> I've tried to point out some of the above for years, only
> to be met by the Zealot BELIEVERS of the League who say
> they can do nothing wrong. Obviously they've done something
> wrong, even for a PRIVATE membership organization that can
> toss out anyone they damn well want to. It seems, in Carl's
> case, they did just that.

To think I had decided that if Carl won his race Id give the ARRL
another chance to earn my loyality
cut
>
> >You see the latest posting by Carl?
>
> I sort of suspected something like that would happen. I didn't
> expect
> it would be so STRONG and PETTY though.
>
> The "leadership" be entrenched and they LIKE being the
> "leadership." As a PRIVATE membership organization they
> can do anydamnthing they please. And it pleased them to
> keep Carl OUT. So much for their alleged "democratic
> principles" and "honest elections."
>
> As a definite NON-member (no amateur radio license,
> therefore no voting privileges in ARRL anything), all I
> can say is that the actions of the ARRL are just
> reprehensible.
>
> What the League did was contrary to Dee's insistence that
> "all should join and 'change it from within'" or something
> to that effect. That's a non sequitur. If one can't get
> IN, it be impossible to change a thing there. A sophistry.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1223. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 10:26:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Yq6dnUh63t2wYbreRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 119099

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gGNVe.10125$Wd7.8839@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>>
>> . . . unbelievable . . !!
>>
>
> I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
> incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
> amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
> membership.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest? That piece of information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1224. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 10:36:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126694186.399861.160100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119000

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > . . . . . TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
> > comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
> > gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
> > Beats me . .
> >
> > Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
> > it as usual.
>
> That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the
> bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually
> responsible.

That part of it is ignorable media fluff.

> Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the
> various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early
> notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No
> extra bandwidth needed.
>
> Just trained and competent operators.

Don't agree. First responders are not "radio operators", they're firefighters, medics, police at multiple levels and all the rest. Given a big enough disaster like the New Orleans hurricane onsite FEMA operatives, the Coast Guard, any number of military units from all the services also land in the middle of it.

All any of 'em care about is to be able to squeeze their mic button and make the right things happen right now so that they can get back to the reasons they're where they are. Expecting them to competently fiddle-fart with some 500 channel HT or another puts the onus on them if they can't "get through". Ain't gonna happen, no way, nohow.

What I think should happen is the development and deployment of some sort of "super" emergency operations centers staffed by highly trained dispatchers who know how to seamlessly patch the first responder specialists making the initial call into the specific specialists they need to contact.

"Center Medic 23-7, I need a chopper to airlift, I have a patient in critical condition." 23-7s exact location pops up on the dispatcher's GPS/radar screen as does the location of a USGG chopper which is a half mile away from the medic and his patient. The dispatcher punches a

button then and tells 23-7 he's plugged into the chopper. 23-7 tells the chopper what he needs. "OK 23-7, this is Coast Guard Delta six, got it. I'm two minutes out coming in from the southwest." Done.

Build these centers into long-range air-refuelable aircraft which can be anywhere over the U.S within hours when they're needed and can loiter over the area for days at FL 30. The military has had AWACS birds with this basic type set of capabilities for decades. They work. We need a few quasi-civil versions.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

1225. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 11:08:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126696109.101152.255600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119001

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:gGNVe.10125$Wd7.8839@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
> >>
> >> . . . unbelievable . . !!
> >>
> >
> > I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
> > incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
> > amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
> > membership.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?

Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
part of the spectrum. The ARRL bylaws state that anybody in that type
of position cannot become a member of the BoD. The problem is that the
League has conveniently winked that provision in the bylaws several
times in the past and has allowed others in Carl's position to become
Directors. hypocrisy taken to a new level.

> That piece of
> information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
> it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1226. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 13:31:03 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126704663.728143.227980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This KRBC refers to Kirby Road Baptist Church in Memphis.

The initiator of this thread (who is a fan of profit Stair) received a warm invitaton there a few years ago.

I'm sure the welcome is still open.

1227. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 14:27:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg9c0k$11h4$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119003

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>
>
>>>. . . . . TV-free channels are already being used by non-TV
>>>comms here and there but in every locale it seems to me that there's
>>>gotta be at least a few TV channels still wide open and available.
>>>Beats me . .
>>>
>>>Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
>>>it as usual.
>>
>> That is because it is monumentally easier to blame the problem on the
>>bandwidth used by Television than it is to blame those actually
>>responsible.
>
>
> That part of it is ignorable media fluff.
>
>
>> Fact is, if the trained communicators who can use the conditions of the
>>various VHF/UHF, and HF bands to their advantage are called in at early
>>notice, the emergency conditions can be handled quite proficiently. No
>>extra bandwidth needed.
>>
>> Just trained and competent operators.
>
>
> Don't agree. First responders are not "radio operators", they're
> firefighters, medics, police at multiple levels and all the rest. Given
> a big enough disaster like the New Orleans hurricane onsite FEMA
> operatives, the Coast Guard, any number of military units from all the
> services also land in the middle of it.

I must not have made myself clear Brian. The answer is not in freeing
up the BW now occupied by analog television. The answer for
communications in a disaster is trained and competent operators.

And the trained operators should be called in when the regular comms
first go out, not after a few days.

> All any of 'em care about is to be able to squeeze their mic button and
> make the right things happen right now so that they can get back to the
> reasons they're where they are. Expecting them to competently
> fiddle-fart with some 500 channel HT or another puts the onus on them
> if they can't "get through". Ain't gonna happen, no way, nohow.

I agree. I even said that in another post (except I said "mash the PTT
button". 8^)

> What I think should happen is the development and deployment of some
> sort of "super" emergency operations centers staffed by highly trained
> dispatchers who know how to seamlessly patch the first responder
> specialists making the initial call into the specific specialists they
> need to contact.

I doubt that there will be the money for that. Good idea tho'.

> "Center Medic 23-7, I need a chopper to airlift, I have a patient in
> critical condition." 23-7s exact location pops up on the dispatcher's
> GPS/radar screen as does the location of a USGG chopper which is a half
> mile away from the medic and his patient. The dispatcher punches a
> button then and tells 23-7 he's plugged into the chopper. 23-7 tells
> the chopper what he needs. "OK 23-7, this is Coast Guard Delta six, got
> it. I'm two minutes out coming in from the southwest." Done.
>
> Build these centers into long-range air-refuelable aircraft which can
> be anywhere over the U.S within hours when they're needed and can
> loiter over the area for days at FL 30. The military has had AWACS
> birds with this basic type set of capabilities for decades. They work.
> We need a few quasi-civil versions.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1228. Re: An appeal to k4yz <- done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 14:36:53 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <lloyd.says.bye.bye.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 119004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 13 Sep 2005, Stagger Leechildraper wrote:
[nothing different]

Judging by the 24 hours of silence from Woger's childraper account, it would appear that yet another account of Woger's has been nuked.

Lloyd's appeal apparently worked.

1229. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 15:01:38 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dg9e0hutk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119005

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
>>>>>I don't make fun of other people's religious faith.
>>>>
>>>> So what? Is a persons faith a sacred cow? Should Mormons (the men of
>>>>course) be allowed to marry as many women as they want?
>>>
>>>As many as they want? maybe not, as many as they can convince to marry
>>>sure, why not?
>>
>> Not the point.
>>
>>
>>>why should polygamy be banned? why for that matter shoudl polyandry be
>>>banned?
>>
>> Not the point. The point is a lot of people *don't* like either. A
>>number of people do.
>
>
> Gay marriage?

Pretty much the same thing.

> But turning it into a religious matter, ala some
>
>>religions, and then expecting all others to accept that, with no comment
>>is simply incorrect. Brian has a big problem with my making fun of what
>>I consider some of the more silly aspects of religion.
>
>
> Would you require people that have had a religious marriage ceremony to
> then have a civil ceremony to make it all legal?

I wouldn't. But it could be an interesting discussion.

Couple bonding is one of the best aspects of religion. No silliness there. It doesn't bother me that people cohabitate all the time. But I have big problems with unmarried couples having children.

> Remember seperation of Church/State.
>
>
>> Some people find that 2 wives is one too many. Some say the same of 1
>>wife......
>
>
> More than a few men have trouble keeping even one happy.

And how!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1230. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 16:23:07 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <26YVe.7783$XZ1.4225@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119006

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:4FGVe.11227$9i4.1883@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126564427.328688.250310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1126475013.422739.278920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>> cut
>>>
>>> I am unable to respond to you in the manner that you seem to expect.
>>> Apparently we are 180 degrees out of phase and will never find a point
>>> that
>>> agrees.
>>
>> Indeed I agree you are unable to step outside your point of view to
>> even consider any other point of view
>>>
>>> So how's this....when you know something about Amateur Radio Emergency
>>> Communications, ARES, RACES,National Traffic System, Propagation,
>>> CW....etc. we will have something to discuss. In the mean time I see
>>> no
>>> reason to continue this waste of bandwidth on you.
>>
>> Gee I particpate in ARES/RACES, I know about proagation and CW, I just
>> disagree with you on the exact level of import of the traffic in
>> question
>>
>> When you learn to look with some degree of objectivity at the world do
>> lets us Know
>>
>> You refuse to reconize that anyone may disagree with you
>>
>> SoP for you and many other in here
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> Be sure and let me know when you learn how to spell, ok?
>
> Be sure and let me know how you can participate in ECOM when you say the
> Upper Peninsula is a RF Hole.

>
> Be sure and let me know how you know so much about propagation since you
> claim you can't hear anything going on.
>
> Be sure and le me know how you know so much about CW when you claim to
> hate it.
>
> Etc. Etc. Etc. Credibility ZERO.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

http://www.news-leader.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050905/NEWS01/509050369/1095

They're just p###ed because they didn't git to pass this piece of traffic on
their CB reddidio thar! LMAO!

J
>
>

1231. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 16:41:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126716114.909998.249620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119007

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:

> > Don't agree. First responders are not "radio operators", they're
> > firefighters, medics, police at multiple levels and all the rest. Given
> > a big enough disaster like the New Orleans hurricane onsite FEMA
> > operatives, the Coast Guard, any number of military units from all the
> > services also land in the middle of it.
>
>
> I must not have made myself clear Brian. The answer is not in freeing
> up the BW now occupied by analog television. The answer for
> communications in a disaster is trained and competent operators.

I agree with all that.

> And the trained operators should be called in when the regular comms
> first go out, not after a few days.

I don't agree here - depending on what I think you mean by "trained operators". Local governments can't train and store reserve dispatchers who are only activated for drills in preparation for major emergencies, won't work. Emergency dispatching is an art and skill which has to be used on a very regular basis or the dispatchers lose the edge they need to do the job properly when a "big one" hits unexpectedly.

In those cases the local authorities can call up all shifts of their regular crews to get a sufficient amount of manpower and their reserve radios on the air. But in order to get any benefit out of an approach like this the dispatch centers have to be able to almost immediately be expanded and able to keep operating thru hell and high water for an extended period. None of those type facilities are in place that I've ever heard about.

> > What I think should happen is the development and deployment of some
> > sort of "super" emergency operations centers staffed by highly trained
> > dispatchers who know how to seamlessly patch the first responder
> > specialists making the initial call into the specific specialists they
> > need to contact.
>
> I doubt that there will be the money for that. Good idea tho'.

A couple $80 million civil AWACs planes and $10 million a year to maintain and staff 'em is chicken feed. Problem is that Haliburton will

have already drained the till before Boeing and Motorola get their passes at it.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

1232. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 16:45:26 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ZqYVe.7932$XZ1.5794@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119008
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4327720A.61F01CAB@earthlink.net...

>
>
> As someone who lives near Ocala and has to visit a lot of these gated
> communities run by HOAs, I find most of them to be jerks who are only
> interested in holding onto their perceived power at any cost. The fact
> that it hurts others doesn't matter to them. Another HOA in the area
> told a retired couple move out, or send their 17 year old grand daughter
> away after she lost both of her parents in an accident. She had a few
> months till she was to graduate from high school and no other relatives
> but it didn't matter to the HOA. People like that are scum, no matter
> how expensive their home, or what they drive.
>
> Its just too damn bad you can't tar and feather them and then run
> them out of town, like the good old days! ;-)
>
> --
> ?
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

I am actually quite peaceable and believe in living and letting live in most
cases. Who I allow in my home is up to me and whom I refuse entry is not
the purvey of HOA Nazis. And, again, it is why I chose an older home
(1956) with plenty of room, almost ZERO crime, and NO
HOA's. Now should I run into one of those SOB's that start telling me what I
can or can't do, then it will become another story. I feel so strongly
about property rights that I would go to WAR over it, both nationally...or
on a personal basis. Like, I wouldn't be averse to whuppin' some
smartmouth's you-know-what over it. Again, being very quiet and peaceable as
I certainly am, I am also quite intimidating in stature, so it would be an
interesting fight! LOL! Now, it *could* even get down to the point of
"You SOB, you can tell me what to do when you get around on the backside of
my shotgun, or you got enough
a$$ on ya to MAKE me, you *&^%$#"! LMAO!

In Charlotte, NC, this year, there was a case where the HOA Nazis and their
powermongers went too far with "foreclosures" and the NC legislature put
some restrictions on their ability to foreclose with respect to their
"rules".

Now, foreclosing on someone's house because some Nazi b#####d didn't LIKE the peoples' guests? NOT!
(Not me because I don't HAVE that problem) I can see how this could cause somebody to get severely injured or KILLED! You don't MESS with one's home! :(I feel for those who have to put up with such nonsense! OTH, one should NEVER move into such a place, either, so he bears some of the blame. :)

Best to all,

Jerry

1233. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 16:50:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IxYVe.10010$4P5.8002@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119009

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> Couple bonding is one of the best aspects of religion.

"Couple bonding" isn't an aspect of religion. It's an aspect of human nature
(some might even say of animal nature).

>
> But I have big problems with unmarried couples having children.
>

Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
fine without it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1234. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 17:23:39 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <6ZedndFs8Lo8wbXeRVn-2w@crocker.com>
Source record: 119010

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1126716114.909998.249620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>> > Don't agree. First responders are not "radio operators", they're
>> > firefighters, medics, police at multiple levels and all the rest. Given
>> > a big enough disaster like the New Orleans hurricane onsite FEMA
>> > operatives, the Coast Guard, any number of military units from all the
>> > services also land in the middle of it.
>>
>>
>> I must not have made myself clear Brian. The answer is not in freeing
>> up the BW now occupied by analog television. The answer for
>> communications in a disaster is trained and competent operators.
>
> I agree with all that.
>
>> And the trained operators should be called in when the regular comms
>> first go out, not after a few days.
>
> I don't agree here - depending on what I think you mean by "trained
> operators". Local governments can't train and store reserve
> dispatchers who are only activated for drills in preparation for major
> emergencies, won't work. Emergency dispatching is an art and skill
> which has to be used on a very regular basis or the dispatchers lose
> the edge they need to do the job properly when a "big one" hits
> unexpectedly.
>
> In those cases the local authorities can call up all shifts of their
> regular crews to get a sufficient amount of manpower and their reserve
> radios on the air. But in order to get any benefit out of an approach
> like this the dispatch centers have to be able to almost immediately be
> expanded and able to keep operating thru hell and high water for an
> extended period. None of those type facilities are in place that I've
> ever heard about.
>
>> > What I think should happen is the development and deployment of some
>> > sort of "super" emergency operations centers staffed by highly trained
>> > dispatchers who know how to seamlessly patch the first responder
>> > specialists making the initial call into the specific specialists they
>> > need to contact.

>>
>> I doubt that there will be the money for that. Good idea tho'.
>
> A couple $80 million civil AWACs planes and $10 million a year to
> maintain and staff 'em is chicken feed. Problem is that Haliburton will
> have already drained the till before Boeing and Motorola get their
> passes at it.
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv
>

it seems like the key is that there is no bridge between the various agencies that can coordinate the activities. the red herring is that their radios can't talk to each other. in most metro areas there are adequate frequencies and equipment to coordinate the local activities, and plenty of dispatchers to do the job... keeping them on the air during a disaster may be a problem that could be addressed, but its not a frequency allocation question, its more of making sure they have adequate facilities and backups. I would bet that most police and fire and even local emergency operating center personnel would agree that they would not want the feds showing up and starting to talk to them on their existing frequencies, they are going to be busy enough with their own work and don't need an outside group showing up trying to 'help' them who isn't familiar with their normal operating procedures, the area, the people, and all that other stuff.

what would appear to be needed is a way for fema, national guard, coast guard, etc to get coordinated with the local authorities... and to do that there are really 2 or 3 levels of coordination needed:
1. planning, pre-positioning, testing, training, all that stuff that happens BEFORE a disaster. all the plans in the world are great until you walk into the eoc and can't plug in your equipment because the connectors are wrong, or the local official starts talking about doing one thing and the plan you have in hand calls for something else.
2. strategic coordination... that high level, big area, stuff... the governor's level decisions vs feds and national agencies about when to send them in, where and when are they to take over operations and who has over all control, when to evacuate and where to, etc. this would seem to be one of the big areas where Louisiana had problems.
3. tactical coordination... this seems to be where some people think the problem is, this is where frequency allocations and equipment compatibility come into play. i.e. what happens when the local red cross and national guard meet the local fire department at the evacuation center, who talks to who and on what radio and using which jargon. I don't think in most cases that this really requires all that much new stuff, if the first two levels of coordination have been worked out then this should be simple... get one person from each agency that needs to work together and sit them down in a fixed or mobile command post and let them do their thing. frequent training of these groups is one thing that is probably missing these days... how often do radio operators and officers from national guard units, fema, and

other agencies sit down and run exercises with local police and fire and redcross and hams?

1235. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 17:37:54 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <bsngi1p1iur0gua9di6etiac93kqpl91vi@4ax.com>
Source record: 119012

On 14 Sep 2005 04:08:29 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
>part of the spectrum. The ARRL bylaws state that anybody in that type
>of position cannot become a member of the BoD. The problem is that the
>League has conveniently winked that provision in the bylaws several
>times in the past and has allowed others in Carl's position to become
>Directors. hypocrisy taken to a new level.

That's not the only provision in the bylaws that's been winked in the
past, either.

73 de John, KC2HMZ
Tonawanda, NY

1236. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 18:26:40 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126722400.358337.64300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119013

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 13 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2288	318
Indeterminate (note 1)	134	25
Value for Percentages	2154	293
Against NPRM (note 2)	645 [29.94%]	92 [31.40%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1180 [54.78%]	146 [49.83%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	329 [15.27%]	55 [18.77%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1237. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 18:29:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126722555.756775.123420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119014

From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 13, 8:50 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 11, 3:45 am
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm
>> >> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
>> >> >> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:

>>> See Carl's latest posting? He be blackballed.
>
>> Blackballed and a half by the Good Ol Boys at the League.
>
>> I rather suspected that the League hierarchy would find
>> some way to keep Carl down. His past and present doings
>> (NCI Executive Directorship) are NOT in line with what the
>> ARRL "leadership" wants.
>
>I never thought that they would move so openly, I was wonderingif some
>game might be playedd with the vote tailling

Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
would have been none the wiser.

The "game" is simply Sinning By Omission. Leaving out Carl's name
or any mention of why a proposed candidate was tossed gives the
APPEARANCE of "orderly progress" to the League. They don't have
any "dirty linen" to hang out and display so they appear to be the
"good guys." [they've got a huge hamper full of that dirty linen
but just don't mention it, so it APPEARS they don't have any]

>> I've tried to point out some of the above for years, only
>> to be met by the Zealot BELIEVERS of the League who say
>> they can do nothing wrong. Obviously they've done something
>> wrong, even for a PRIVATE membership organization that can
>> toss out anyone they damn well want to. It seems, in Carl's

>> case, they did just that.
>
>To think I had decided that if Carl won his race Id give the ARRL
>another chance to earn my loyality

The League is NOT out to win brownie points for themselves. They are ENTRENCHED and have been so since 1914...84 years now. By all the past minutes of the BoD, by all their statements of the past half century, they've assumed a mantle of "leadership" of and by themselves. All their decisions are done by a very small cadre of "leaders" who decide among themselves "what is good for amateur radio." Oh, they do have some articles on "advancement" of the state of the art, but the majority of their decisions are done for their core membership, those who "work DX on HF with CW."

With a stated 145 thousand members (in the QST Publisher's Sworn Statement of the end of July 2005), their membership is only 1 in 5 licensed United States radio amateurs. So far, they are managing to keep solvent by the publishing arm of the League, the part that pays the majority of their expenses of staying alive. [four years ago their federal income tax forms showed an income of $12.5 million...not bad for a "non-profit" entity...there's no hope in heck that membership dues are going to be any sizeable part of that income]

>> What the League did was contrary to Dee's insistence that
>> "all should join and 'change it from within'" or something
>> to that effect. That's a non sequitur. If one can't get
>> IN, it be impossible to change a thing there. A sophistry.

So...how does one GET INSIDE to start changing things? It is NOT by joining and running for any office. Carl Stevenson has shown that isn't possible. Dee's and others' remarks about "joining to change things within" is just sophistry, an emotional phrase to attract membership that has nothing to do with "changes." The only way to "get inside" is to toady up to the "leadership," suck up to the BoD, and begin very slowly in all meetings to turn things around. That will take decades and will only work by human attrition, waiting for the present "leadership" to age and go SK. Right now the League is an oligarchy.

A problem with oligarchies are that POWER is vested in only a few. They CONTROL. They control what is said publicly, they control everything that is printed in their "official" books and magazines. They think they control everything but they don't. The Internet has become a great "equalizer" of opinions. Worse yet, the United States government is connected to the Internet and everyone can see that Commenters in WT Docket 05-235 are not all in agreement with the League.

For that matter, the League has NOT YET responded in any way to Docket 05-235 and NPRM 05-143. The matter of elimination or

retention of a telegraphy test for a license is of paramount concern in the immediate future of United States amateur radio. The League can't be bothered with that. They've had TWO MONTHS since the release of the NPRM to say something. They haven't. Or they CAN'T...perhaps not able to understand anyone not going along with the decisions of a conclave of wire-pullers in Newington.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1238. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 18:34:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126722842.664342.38330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119015

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> >
> > Couple bonding is one of the best aspects of religion.
>
> "Couple bonding" isn't an aspect of religion. It's an aspect of human nature
> (some might even say of animal nature).
>
> >
> > But I have big problems with unmarried couples having children.
> >
>
> Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
> fine without it.

as do some modern ones like in Iceland, and other Nordic countries
nobody thinks much about young girls having babies before they get
married (and not always by the fellow they marry either)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

1239. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 18:39:28 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <F8SdnY7FnszpwrXeRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>
> Isn't/wasn't KRBC in Amarillo?? Seems I used to walk by the station studios
> on my way to school all those moons ago..
>
>
>

KRBC is in Abilene, Tx.
```

1240. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 18:40:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126723245.150392.141220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119016

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 13, 8:50 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 11, 3:45 am
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 8, 4:32 pm
> >> >> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 3, 1:55 pm
> >> >> >> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >>>>>On Wed, 31 Aug 2005 13:23:26 -0700, N2EY wrote:
>
>
> >>> See Carl's latest posting? He be blackballed.
> >
> >> Blackballed and a half by the Good Ol Boys at the League.
> >
> >> I rather suspected that the League hierarchy would find
> >> some way to keep Carl down. His past and present doings
> >> (NCI Executive Directorship) are NOT in line with what the
> >> ARRL "leadership" wants.
> >
> >I never thought that they would move so openly, I was wonderingif some
> >game might be playedd with the vote tailling
>
> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
> would have been none the wiser.

Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected

Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
info propagates these days
>
> The "game" is simply Sinning By Omission. Leaving out Carl's name
> or any mention of why a proposed candidate was tossed gives the

> APPEARANCE of "orderly progress" to the League. They don't have
> any "dirty linen" to hang out and display so they appear to be the
> "good guys." [they've got a huge hamper full of that dirty linen
> but just don't mention it, so it APPEARS they don't have any]

making anyone wonder what else is in the hammper
>
> >> I've tried to point out some of the above for years, only
> >> to be met by the Zealot BELIEVERS of the League who say
> >> they can do nothing wrong. Obviously they've done something
> >> wrong, even for a PRIVATE membership organization that can
> >> toss out anyone they damn well want to. It seems, in Carl's
> >> case, they did just that.
> >
> >To think I had decided that if Carl won his race Id give the ARRL
> >another chance to earn my loyality
>
> The League is NOT out to win brownie points for themselves. They
> are ENTRENCHED and have been so since 1914...84 years now. By
> all the past minutes of the BoD, by all their statements of the
> past half century, they've assumed a mantle of "leadership" of
> and by themselves. All their decisions are done by a very small
> cadre of "leaders" who decide among themselves "what is good for
> amateur radio." Oh, they do have some articles on "advancement"
> of the state of the art, but the majority of their decisions are
> done for their core membership, those who "work DX on HF with CW."

and you know they don't even do right by them (those core members) they
proposed dropping the code tests standard dfurther helping to insure
the Victory of NoCode
>
> With a stated 145 thousand members (in the QST Publisher's
> Sworn Statement of the end of July 2005), their membership is
> only 1 in 5 licensed United States radio amateurs. So far,
> they are managing to keep solvent by the publishing arm of the
> League, the part that pays the majority of their expenses of
> staying alive. [four years ago their federal income tax forms
> showed an income of $12.5 million...not bad for a "non-profit"
> entity...there's no hope in heck that membership dues are going
> to be any sizeable part of that income]
>
> >> What the League did was contrary to Dee's insistence that
> >> "all should join and 'change it from within'" or something
> >> to that effect. That's a non sequitur. If one can't get
> >> IN, it be impossible to change a thing there. A sophistry.
> >
> So...how does one GET INSIDE to start changing things? It is
> NOT by joining and running for any office. Carl Stevenson has
> shown that isn't possible. Dee's and others' remarks about
> "joining to change things within" is just sophistry, an emotional
> phrase to attract membership that has nothing to do with "changes."

> The only way to "get inside" is to toady up to the "leadership,"
> suck up to the BoD, and begin very slowly in all meetings to turn
> things around. That will take decades and will only work by
> human attrition, waiting for the present "leadership" to age and
> go SK. Right now the League is an oligarchy.

And from history I don't think that will work either, look at the
leadership in the COmunist Party in the USSR over the years (or read
RedStrom strom rising by clancey) on how one exists in these structure
>
> A problem with oligarchies are that POWER is vested in only a
> few. They CONTROL. They control what is said publicly, they
> control everything that is printed in their "official" books
> and magazines. They think they control everything but they
> don't. The Internet has become a great "equalizer" of opinions.
> Worse yet, the United States government is connected to the
> Internet and everyone can see that Commenters in WT Docket 05-235
> are not all in agreement with the League.
>
> For that matter, the League has NOT YET responded in any way to
> Docket 05-235 and NPRM 05-143. The matter of elimination or
> retention of a telegraphy test for a license is of paramount
> concern in the immediate future of United States amateur radio.
> The League can't be bothered with that. They've had TWO MONTHS
> since the release of the NPRM to say something. They haven't.
> Or they CAN'T...perhaps not able to understand anyone not
> going along with the decisions of a conclave of wire-pullers
> in Newington.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1241. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 19:18:11 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126725491.693134.67010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119017

From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "K□B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > <k...@dvol.com> wrote

>> >> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/

>> >> . . . unbelievable . . !!
>
>> > I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>> > incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>> > amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>> > membership.
>
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>> But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
>
>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
>part of the spectrum.

What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?

Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World
Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)

The core membership doesn't seem to care dink about any World
Above 30 MHz. They wanna play in the HF sandbox, same as they
did a half century ago. Nothing has changed. Status quo uber
alles.

Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
worked up in the millimeter bands? Who in here has EVER worked
any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]

> The ARRL bylaws state that anybody in that type
>of position cannot become a member of the BoD. The problem is that the
>League has conveniently winked that provision in the bylaws several

>times in the past and has allowed others in Carl's position to become
>Directors. hypocrisy taken to a new level.

It would be informative to see some resumes of the work experience
of the Directors and the BoD of the League. Pres. Jim Haynie was
a salesman. [should be a clue right there, ey?] How about the
"other" pres., Dave Sumner?

>> That piece of
>> information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
>> it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
when I first saw Carl's message on Google.

Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1242. Re: An appeal to k4yz <-Saggytits the raper of children whines and whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 19:18:21 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126725501.867954.67860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Lee whined:
> [nothing different]
>
> Judging

Judgeing by the whining you are doing you pedophile, you whined and got
it cancelled. Not to worry, many more will just be put into use.

Now go whine and cry some more, Pussy.

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1243. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 19:20:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119019

From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm

>"Frank Gilliland" <w¿nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote in message
>news:rtedi1la8udsvucr80iherq04ira3ushhu@4ax.com...
>
>> LOL!!! So my DD-214 wasn't enough..... you want I should scan my
>> driver's license, too?
>
>What the crap are you talking about? I've NEVER seen a DD-214 from you or
>anyone else on this newsgroup.

Dan, you poor soul, have you got eyesight disability from 30 years
ago too?

Frank POSTED the exact website address where he stored those scans.
I downloaded them almost immediately with no difficulty...although
one scan was a bit long for a dial-up connection. I even asked
Frank what a "TT" was (one of the scans) and he explained it in
public. No problem.

Do you need copies of those sent direct to you in e-mail
attachments? Let me know and I'll send you them.

> You must be thinking of the library where
>you rant with the young boys.

Dan, have you been hitting the sauce lately? You seem to
considering
your foul and abusive tag-line commentary of late.

You want MY DD-214 scan too? I can do that if you insist. :-)

Funny thing, but we've NEVER seen your DD-214. Nothing, NADA.
All we have is your "word" that you were ever in a "command
track" near the Fulda Gap.

We have exactly ZERO proof that Dudly ever did his military thing.

Maybe you are another Dudly?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1244. Re: An appeal to k4yz <-Saggytits the raper of children whines and whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 19:25:00 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126725900.287084.88330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Typo "Judging" Now dance unemployed Pizza Boy Saggytits Lee, dance.

Saggytits Lee has a record for molesting children!

1245. Re: Why Does KB9RQZ Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 19:37:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126726657.797099.174850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119021

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple a big
> > > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from goggle and
> > > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid of him so
> > > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked off
> > > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > > > cut
> > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> > > >
> > > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > > course but you cliamed it
> > >
> > That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post,
> > Markie.
>
> There was no "ini9tail" post

Quite correct.

But there WAS an "initial" post.

> but indeed 7 years ago you claimed that one call would get me arrested

Nope. I claimed it would get you INVESTIGATED.

> > > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > > month ago
> > >
> > No, I did not.
> >
> > I stated I would file a complain and report. I did.
>
> file a complain? what is that
>
> You promised however to take action that would do more than anoy me

"Annoy"

Judging byt the tons of posts you've spewed in the last 3 weeks
I'd say it's been more than an annoyance.

> You lied plain and simple

Nope.

> you are also lying in claiming that you think lying and kid rape are
> about the same in your eyes...(SNIP)

That's one of your wordsmithing efforts, Markie.

I said that I consider kiddie porn and lying to be equally evil.

I also said I "blew off" the Wiseman/Lloyd routine and that I
didn't believe any of them were involved in "child rape".

YOU stated that "I" claimed that "kid rape and lying" are the
same...The truth is I never said that.

> (UNSNIP)...as can be clearly seen you easily tolerate
> the boasting of child rape but you flame relentlessly anyone you think
> is lying (a term you seem to define as disagreeing with you)

Because I don't believe it happened.

The act of the boast was, in and of itself, meant to offend.

I don't think any of that lot could complete a sex act with
ANYone, child or adult, consenting or forced, it thier lives depended
on it.

> > > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
> >
> > Nope. Ask Toiddie.
>
> but you denied any part in that
>
> which statement was the lie

No...I said I did not threaten him. I didn't.

Get your stories straight...Even if YOU aren't...

> > > Indeed you are more offended by me than the "Childraper" poster showing
> > > that you are truly sick you will tolerate Child rape but not what you
> > > call lying
> >
> > First of all I long ago blew off the silliness of the Lloyd,
> > Wiseman, et al group. I no more believe any of them to be a "child
> > raper" than I believe you to be a Colonel in the Army.
>
> Meaning you tolerate a chid rpaing without a word but pounce on lying

"raping"

Nope.

It means I no more believe any of them to be a "child raper" than
I believe you to be a Colonel in the Army.

> > Second of all, most of what you do IS lying, and we even have YOUR
> > acknowledgement of your mistruthfulness and deceit to back it up.
> more lie from Stevie
>
> Start telling the turth, it will not hurt you

I am telling the truth.

> You are a liar

Nope.

> a bigot

Wrong again.

> a bully

Off target.

> a bragart

Not here either.

> a stalker

Still wrong.

> we all know it why not adnit to

"admit"

You know very little, Markie.

But here's what WE know:

(1) You ARE a liar. By your own deeds AND admissions.

(2) You ARE a deviant. Again by your own deeds AND admissions.

(3) You ARE a threat maker. Still by your own deeds and
admissions...Just this past week, wherein you said that if I came to
Michigan "...one of us will be dead and I will do everthing to make
sure it's not me..."

(4) You ARE a braggart. We have your claims of an IQ of 248,
your claims to having passed up a job worth ovr $240K/year, and your
alleged property holdings of over $100K.

All of those by RECENT posts you've made.

Steve, K4YZ

1246. Re: Savage negro beasts abduct TX 14 year old

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:04:20 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2h0hi1pqfco1re9sa8p1681skeslagrv4l@4ax.com>
Source record: 119022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 15:39:01 -0400, Brother Stare <bstare2@no.spam>
wrote:

>see what ft worth gets for being nice?
>
>http://www.kvue.com/news/state/stories/091305kvueabduction-cb.54706e09.html

Fort Worth nice? (Your link goes nowhere.)

1247. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:13:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tv%Ve.1233$Gy3.1160@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119023

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
> it as usual.

I have a real hard time believing anyone has been killed by a spectrum shortage.
Or did Katrina suck up all the RF spectrum when it came thru.

I wonder how they would have fared if comm managers had paid more attention to
survivability (site/antenna/power generation integrity, generator
shielding/protection/placement/fuel availability).

This isn't quite as glamorous as whiz-bang Trunking & Mobile data systems but
it's certainly more important.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1248. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:17:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dz%Ve.1234$Gy3.108@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119024

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>"K□B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>
>>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote
>
>
>
>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>
>
>
>>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>>
>>>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>>>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>>>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>>>>membership.
>>
>>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>>But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
>>
>>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
>>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
>>part of the spectrum.
>
>
> What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
> 'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?
>
> Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World
> Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
> is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)

```
Plenty of League members operate the bands above 30 MHz. You must have
missed out on this past weekend's contest. It was sponsored by the
```

League. You're out of touch, old timer. :-) :-)

> The core membership doesn't seem to care dink about any World
> Above 30 MHz.

Please present any evidence that you've uncovered which outlines what
ARRL members think about the frequencies above 30 MHz. Any at all will do.

> They wanna play in the HF sandbox, same as they
> did a half century ago. Nothing has changed. Status quo uber
> alles.

I'm sure that you have something solid on which to base your claim.
Please point us to it.

> Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
> allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
> worked up in the millimeter bands?

I have, on numerous occasions from WA8ONQ.

> Who in here has EVER worked
> any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
> or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]

I have, on numerous occasions.
>
>
>>The ARRL bylaws state that anybody in that type
>>of position cannot become a member of the BoD.The problem is that the
>>League has conveniently winked that provision in the bylaws several
>>times in the past and has allowed others in Carl's position to become
>>Directors. hypocrisy taken to a new level.
>
>
> It would be informative to see some resumes of the work experience
> of the Directors and the BoD of the League.

It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were in jobs
which presented a conflict of interests.

> Pres. Jim Haynie was
> a salesman. [should be a clue right there, ey?] How about the
> "other" pres., Dave Sumner?

There is no "other" pres.

>
>>> That piece of
>>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
>>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

>
> I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
> website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
> Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
> the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
> when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
>
> Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
> CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
> principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)

Off the top of my head, those who post here who cannot run for ARRL Director:

1. Those with a potential conflict of interest.

2. Non-radio amateurs.

3. Non-ARRL members.

One of those snags your "old_friend" and two of them fit Leonard H. Anderson.

Dave K8MN

1249. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:23:06 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dga0r9$1fr8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119025

Dave wrote:

> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1126716114.909998.249620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>>Don't agree. First responders are not "radio operators", they're
>>>>firefighters, medics, police at multiple levels and all the rest. Given
>>>>a big enough disaster like the New Orleans hurricane onsite FEMA
>>>>operatives, the Coast Guard, any number of military units from all the
>>>>services also land in the middle of it.
>>>
>>>
>>>I must not have made myself clear Brian. The answer is not in freeing
>>>up the BW now occupied by analog television. The answer for
>>>communications in a disaster is trained and competent operators.
>>
>>I agree with all that.
>>
>>
>>>And the trained operators should be called in when the regular comms
>>>first go out, not after a few days.
>>
>>I don't agree here - depending on what I think you mean by "trained
>>operators". Local governments can't train and store reserve
>>dispatchers who are only activated for drills in preparation for major
>>emergencies, won't work. Emergency dispatching is an art and skill
>>which has to be used on a very regular basis or the dispatchers lose
>>the edge they need to do the job properly when a "big one" hits
>>unexpectedly.
>>
>>In those cases the local authorities can call up all shifts of their
>>regular crews to get a sufficient amount of manpower and their reserve
>>radios on the air. But in order to get any benefit out of an approach
>>like this the dispatch centers have to be able to almost immediately be
>>expanded and able to keep operating thru hell and high water for an
>>extended period. None of those type facilities are in place that I've
>>ever heard about.
>>

>>
>>>>What I think should happen is the development and deployment of some
>>>>sort of "super" emergency operations centers staffed by highly trained
>>>>dispatchers who know how to seamlessly patch the first responder
>>>>specialists making the initial call into the specific specialists they
>>>>need to contact.
>>>
>>>I doubt that there will be the money for that. Good idea tho'.
>>
>>A couple $80 million civil AWACs planes and $10 million a year to
>>maintain and staff 'em is chicken feed. Problem is that Haliburton will
>>have already drained the till before Boeing and Motorola get their
>>passes at it.
>>
>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>w3rv
>>
>
>
> it seems like the key is that there is no bridge between the various
> agencies that can coordinate the activities. the red herring is that their
> radios can't talk to each other.

Yup. It is a function of bandwidth, distance, congestion and other
stuff like that.

> in most metro areas there are adequate
> frequencies and equipment to coordinate the local activities, and plenty of
> dispatchers to do the job... keeping them on the air during a disaster may
> be a problem that could be addressed, but its not a frequency allocation
> question, its more of making sure they have adequate facilities and backups.
> I would bet that most police and fire and even local emergency operating
> center personnel would agree that they would not want the feds showing up
> and starting to talk to them on their existing frequencies, they are going
> to be busy enough with their own work and don't need an outside group
> showing up trying to 'help' them who isn't familiar with their normal
> operating procedures, the area, the people, and all that other stuff.
>
> what would appear to be needed is a way for fema, national guard, coast
> guard, etc to get coordinated with the local authorities... and to do that
> there are really 2 or 3 levels of coordination needed:

There is a way. Exists right now. The problem in this particular
disaster is that the emergency services lagged way behind the disaster.

> 1. planning, pre-positioning, testing, training, all that stuff that happens
> BEFORE a disaster. all the plans in the world are great until you walk into
> the eoc and can't plug in your equipment because the connectors are wrong,
> or the local official starts talking about doing one thing and the plan you

> have in hand calls for something else.
> 2. strategic coordination... that high level, big area, stuff... the
> governor's level decisions vs feds and national agencies about when to send
> them in, where and when are they to take over operations and who has over
> all control, when to evacuate and where to, etc. this would seem to be one
> of the big areas where Louisiana had problems.
> 3. tactical coordination... this seems to be where some people think the
> problem is, this is where frequency allocations and equipment compatibility
> come into play. i.e. what happens when the local red cross and national
> guard meet the local fire department at the evacuation center, who talks to
> who and on what radio and using which jargon. I don't think in most cases
> that this really requires all that much new stuff, if the first two levels
> of coordination have been worked out then this should be simple... get one
> person from each agency that needs to work together and sit them down in a
> fixed or mobile command post and let them do their thing. frequent training
> of these groups is one thing that is probably missing these days... how
> often do radio operators and officers from national guard units, fema, and
> other agencies sit down and run exercises with local police and fire and
> redcross and hams?

The problem as I see it is that the radio comms are kind of like a swimming duck. Above the water line there is not a lot of stuff going on. Below the line is all kinds of activity.

Are the emergency organizations going to employ pay and train competent radio operators who are capable of figuring out where they need to be frequency wise? I doubt it. If so, I wanna apply for that job.

In this group, we've discussed the contesting issue, in which others and myself have claimed that it is practice for emergency operations. One regular poster in particular heaps a lot of scorn on those who believe it is practice. But it is.

These operators would have to be frequency agile, as well as know what frequency that they should use in a given situation. They need to be able to copy weak signals, and be patient.

But I can just about wager a months salary that whatever "new" system we end up with, it will be heavily infrastructure dependent, and designed so that someone who knows nothing about radio and electronics will just mash their PTT button. And it will work perfectly in drills. And it will fail miserably when the "big one" hits it.

Then the hams with their "old technology" will come out of the woodwork again.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1250. Re: An appeal to k4yz <- done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:27:23 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0509141609110.16280@Zrevnqbp.jn3jdu.arg>
Source record: 119026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> Judgeing by the whining you are doing you pedophile, you whined and got
> it cancelled. Not to worry, many more will just be put into use.

If I were really after you, Woger, I'd notice that you aren't Lloyd, n0vfp, and then I'd look at the Google TOS section which states that you are not allowed to

"* impersonate another person or entity ..."

while using Google groups. I think it would be trivially easy to get your Lloyd account nuked, based on that and on several other prohibitions. In fact, as long as you stalk or harass or post obscene material, you are also in violation of Google's TOS.

If I were really after you, Woger, your Google accounts wouldn't last beyond one posting session.

1251. Re: An appeal to k4yz <- Convicted Pedophile Saggytits Lee snivels and whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:34:23 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126730063.073957.43750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Convicted Pedophile Saggytits Lee whined:
> On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> > Judging by the whining you are doing you pedophile, you whined and got
> > it cancelled. Not to worry, many more will just be put into use.
>
> If <whines flushed>

No, you'd rather snivel and whine, you pussy. Not to worry, several
other names are already set up. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1252. Re: An appeal to k4yz <- done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:35:24 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126730124.300288.169490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And, get your cocksucking lisp repaired, faggot.

1253. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:39:09 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dga1pc$1edg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119029

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Couple bonding is one of the best aspects of religion.
>
>
> "Couple bonding" isn't an aspect of religion. It's an aspect of human nature
> (some might even say of animal nature).

The social contract of the couple bonding is.

And without the social contract nature, is the species going to settle with one mate? I am firmly convinced that it wouldn't. Porno is pretty good evidence it isn't human nature. Porno is simply the outlet from suppression of the instinct to "get some strange".

>
>>But I have big problems with unmarried couples having children.
>>
>
>
> Why?

The couple should have that social commitment before having children.

Children should be raised by two parents - a father and a mother. The parents should be pretty certain that they are going to stay together if they plan on raising kids.

> The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> perhaps less than 5,000 years old.

It is one of the good ideas in religion. We are no longer in a struggle to survive, in which humans need to boink as often as possible with as many partners as possible in order to ensure the survival of the species. We live a lot longer. So we can (attempt to) do better, to raise the kids in a good two parent household. It tends to make for better adjusted adults, not simply creatures who simply survive to 14 or 15 years, then make new critters, and die of old age at 35.....

> Many historic civilizations got along just
> fine without it.

Which ones?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1254. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 20:46:22 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dga26u$1i2m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119030

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>Don't agree. First responders are not "radio operators", they're
>>>firefighters, medics, police at multiple levels and all the rest. Given
>>>a big enough disaster like the New Orleans hurricane onsite FEMA
>>>operatives, the Coast Guard, any number of military units from all the
>>>services also land in the middle of it.
>>
>>
>> I must not have made myself clear Brian. The answer is not in freeing
>>up the BW now occupied by analog television. The answer for
>>communications in a disaster is trained and competent operators.
>
>
> I agree with all that.
>
>
>> And the trained operators should be called in when the regular comms
>>first go out, not after a few days.
>
>
> I don't agree here - depending on what I think you mean by "trained
> operators". Local governments can't train and store reserve
> dispatchers who are only activated for drills in preparation for major
> emergencies, won't work. Emergency dispatching is an art and skill
> which has to be used on a very regular basis or the dispatchers lose
> the edge they need to do the job properly when a "big one" hits
> unexpectedly.

I think for all practical concerns, the trained operators are us. From what I have seen in the short time that I have been a Ham, there is a learning curve to become a proficient operator. And although A person can become proficient of course, it takes some time. We get training all the time in our contests, and the occasional more formal emergency training events.

> In those cases the local authorities can call up all shifts of their
> regular crews to get a sufficient amount of manpower and their reserve

> radios on the air. But in order to get any benefit out of an approach
> like this the dispatch centers have to be able to almost immediately be
> expanded and able to keep operating thru hell and high water for an
> extended period. None of those type facilities are in place that I've
> ever heard about.

>
>>>What I think should happen is the development and deployment of some
>>>sort of "super" emergency operations centers staffed by highly trained
>>>dispatchers who know how to seamlessly patch the first responder
>>>specialists making the initial call into the specific specialists they
>>>need to contact.
>>
>> I doubt that there will be the money for that. Good idea tho'.
>
>
> A couple $80 million civil AWACs planes and $10 million a year to
> maintain and staff 'em is chicken feed. Problem is that Haliburton will
> have already drained the till before Boeing and Motorola get their
> passes at it.

Hey! you stole my line!...really! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1255. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 21:20:03 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126732803.720349.86500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119031

From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 11:40 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am

>> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
>> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
>> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
>> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
>> would have been none the wiser.
>
>Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
>What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
>a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected

Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
group.

>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
>info propagates these days

I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
as "controversial." Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.

Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
to rankle.

>> The "game" is simply Sinning By Omission. Leaving out Carl's name
>> or any mention of why a proposed candidate was tossed gives the
>> APPEARANCE of "orderly progress" to the League. They don't have
>> any "dirty linen" to hang out and display so they appear to be the
>> "good guys." [they've got a huge hamper full of that dirty linen
>> but just don't mention it, so it APPEARS they don't have any]
>
>making anyone wonder what else is in the hammper

Wear a haz-mat breathing mask if you look? :-)

No. Sin by Omission is a convenient tool to convince others of a
group's wonderfulness. Done right it can convince a LOT of folks

that the League can do no wrong and never has.

Take the simple (but very complex) history of radio. To hear the League tell it, amateurs "invented radio, pioneered HF, and did all the exceptional state-of-the-art things" in radio. They didn't and that has been proved in more than one historical text involving the beginning of radio and on through the post-WW2 period.

Take another one, where the League stresses the "importance" of morsemanship to basic radio, emergency communications, and having this "pool" of experienced (i.e., morse code skilled) operators for the nation. It's all nonsense, but it pleases the core membership of the League so they just omit mention that ALL the other radio services have either dropped morse code use or never considered it when they started. The core membership thinks highly of morse code as all the 'best' things in radio. The League is representing their core membership.

>> The League is NOT out to win brownie points for themselves. They
>> are ENTRENCHED and have been so since 1914...84 years now. By
>> all the past minutes of the BoD, by all their statements of the
>> past half century, they've assumed a mantle of "leadership" of
>> and by themselves. All their decisions are done by a very small
>> cadre of "leaders" who decide among themselves "what is good for
>> amateur radio." Oh, they do have some articles on "advancement"
>> of the state of the art, but the majority of their decisions are
>> done for their core membership, those who "work DX on HF with CW."
>
>and you know they don't even do right by them (those core members) they
>proposed dropping the code tests standard dfurther helping to insure
>the Victory of NoCode

The League HAD to recognize the law AFTER it was enacted (99-412, the R&O creating "restructuring" which included 5 WPM maximum rate for all code tests). The League was AGAINST such "draconian" rate reductions BEFORE the R&O was issued.

What is interesting is to look in on the 2200+ Comments on WT Docket 98-143 between 1 January 1998 and 15 January 1999 (that latter being the official cut-off date for Comments). Mixed in with the early documents are a couple of RM Comments on previous Petitions for resctructurings. The first one I found in reference to code test rate was Cecil Moore's around the middle of January 1998...describing making all code test rates 5 WPM. Cecil, then W6RCA, had been a long-time poster in this newsgroup.

The League's latest Petition involves retention of the code test for Amateur Extra and they've managed to convince lots of the already-Extra that this "must" be retained. Many many comments on WT Docket 05-235 keep bringing up that.

>> So...how does one GET INSIDE to start changing things? It is
>> NOT by joining and running for any office. Carl Stevenson has
>> shown that isn't possible. Dee's and others' remarks about
>> "joining to change things within" is just sophistry, an emotional
>> phrase to attract membership that has nothing to do with "changes."
>> The only way to "get inside" is to toady up to the "leadership,"
>> suck up to the BoD, and begin very slowly in all meetings to turn
>> things around. That will take decades and will only work by
>> human attrition, waiting for the present "leadership" to age and
>> go SK. Right now the League is an oligarchy.
>
>And from history I don't think that will work either, look at the
>leadership in the COmunist Party in the USSR over the years (or read
>RedStrom strom rising by clancey) on how one exists in these structure

The ARRL is an oligarchy...but it is NOT a political party nor is
there anything close to a dictatorship NOW. In terms of
historical length, the ARRL lasted longer than Commununism did in
the USSR! NO real parallel. :-)

However, oligarchies CAN be dictatorial if they rise to a power of
influencing those who don't bother looking elsewhere for
information. Through its publishing arm, the ARRL influences U.S.
amateur thinking greatly. The League CONTROLS everything they
publish, right down to "letters to the editor" section in QST.
Ultimate CONTROL. That can be the danger.

In 84 years of existance, the League has done a LOT of conditioned
thinking of members. Such has made many into zealots an Believers
that the League can do NO real wrong. As such, these zealots and
Believers have elevated the League well beyond their actual
political influence organization capabilities. That is excellent
for the League's survival. It's a big feedback loop: The League
controls all their publishing, they publish - and sell - a LOT of
amateur radio interest literature, making money for the League,
then play at being a quasi-governmental democratic-principle
organization having "free" elections. Remember that the League
CONTROLS what the "free' election "results" are...they are NOT
governed by any independent government organization as is done
in federal government.

Now if there is to be any comparison of organizations, try the
American Olympic Committee ruled by Avery Brundage in the 1930s.
Amateur to a fault, the slightest infraction of those American
amateur definitions resulted in a few top-notch amateur athletes
being forbidden to compete. Oligarchial, yes, dictatorial to a
degree. NOT a good time for American amateur sport in spirit.
That draconian insistence on PURE amateurism in Olympic sport
disappeared in the reality of later decades, the IOC recognizing
that sport was sport, for the enjoyment of sport...not some
intense religious-zealot insistence on maintaining antiquated
rules and regulations of ideals that were impossible to hold.

So, Carl Stevenson is "denied" a candidacy according to some League "law" about "conflict of interest" supposedly for being a professional in radio. In all probability, the only "conflict of interest" is simply that the League hierarchy didn't find Carl "comfortable" to Them. The charge of "conflict of interest" in regards to IEEE Standards Group work...where Wi-Fi and Wi-Max do NOT conflict with amateur radio in any way. [Carl is also running for Member At Large position on Standards on the IEEE November ballot]

Mainly it would seem that Carl is just "too controversial" to the League and their stand on morse code testing in amateur radio.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1256. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 21:25:56 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11ih5bbbmg8p673@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119033

I'm just not "in the know". Why would or would not the FCC consider all comments, and what is an "FR Notice"? To be sure of being heard, can we repost what we posted prior to the FR Notice?

Jim

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1126722400.358337.64300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
> As of 13 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice

1257. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 21:41:53 UTC
From: sigvald@binet.is
Message-ID: <1126734112.953126.203760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119034

an_old_friend wrote:

> > Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> > perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
> > fine without it.
>
> as do some modern ones like in Iceland, and other Nordic countries
> nobody thinks much about young girls having babies before they get
> married (and not always by the fellow they marry either)

If they marry at all, 60% of children in Iceland are born outside of
marriage.
That does not mean that they are the products of broken homes or broken
families (or teenage mothers), what it means is that Icelandic couples
tend to do things in the order: meet and then have kids while at the
same time finishing their education, buying their first home (and a
couple of cars) and then later they probably marry. If they get around
to it, I know a few couples that have been together for years (more
than 20 in a couple of cases), had a bunch of kids and generally lived
their life, without ever marrying.

1258. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 22:00:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <941We.10404$Wd7.3890@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119035

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were
> in jobs which presented a conflict of interests.
>

I was a successful candidate (twice) for Vice Director, both times while employed in the same industry segment as Carl.

What is particularly interesting (to me) is that the Executive Committee did not reject Carl's candidacy because he HAS a conflict of interest, but because he COULD (in the future) HAVE such a conflict. This, in spite of his sworn written promise not to accept any client which might lead to a possible conflict.

As is well known around these parts, Carl and I have not always seen eye-to-eye on every subject, but I have never doubted he was a person of integrity and a true-to-his-word kind of guy. That the Executive Committee discounts that solemn promise is very telling, and that the full BoD distanced themselves from the issue by letting it be decided in committee diminishes their honor in my eyes.

When I questioned my Director on the matter by email he declined to answer me and passed the buck down to the Secretary, who blew me off with a scholarly explanation/recital of Article 11 of the Articles of Association.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Chief Curmudgeon, Dakota Division

1259. Re: Savage negro beasts abduct TX 14 year old

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 22:04:31 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <9i7hi1hopkci2csp0gdpq2j21qlc2c8avu@4ax.com>
Source record: 119036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 15:25:06 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
wrote:

>David wrote:
>> On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 15:39:01 -0400, Brother Stare <bstare2@no.spam>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>see what ft worth gets for being nice?
>>>
>>>http://www.kvue.com/news/state/stories/091305kvueabduction-cb.54706e09.html
>>
>>
>>
>> Fort Worth nice? (Your link goes nowhere.)
>>
>
>Went directly to the KVUE registration page for me.
Which to me is essentially nowhere. I am registered elsewhere.

1260. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 22:21:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0o1We.11689$9i4.11530@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119037

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm

More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
<plonk>

Dan/W4NTI

1261. Re: Savage negro beasts abduct TX 14 year old

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 22:25:06 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <28qdnZAx29XLCbXeRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

David wrote:
> On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 15:39:01 -0400, Brother Stare <bstare2@no.spam>
> wrote:
>
>
>>see what ft worth gets for being nice?
>>
>>http://www.kvue.com/news/state/stories/091305kvueabduction-cb.54706e09.html
>
>
>
> Fort Worth nice? (Your link goes nowhere.)
>

Went directly to the KVUE registration page for me.

1262. Re: Hams to the rescue after Katrina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 22:25:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Ir1We.11690$9i4.7121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119038

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:26YVe.7783$XZ1.4225@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:4FGVe.11227$9i4.1883@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1126564427.328688.250310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1126475013.422739.278920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>> >
>>> cut
>>>>
>>>> I am unable to respond to you in the manner that you seem to expect.
>>>> Apparently we are 180 degrees out of phase and will never find a point
>>>> that
>>>> agrees.
>>>
>>> Indeed I agree you are unable to step outside your point of view to
>>> even consider any other point of view
>>>>
>>>> So how's this....when you know something about Amateur Radio Emergency
>>>> Communications, ARES, RACES,National Traffic System, Propagation,
>>>> CW....etc. we will have something to discuss. In the mean time I see
>>>> no
>>>> reason to continue this waste of bandwidth on you.
>>>
>>> Gee I particpate in ARES/RACES, I know about proagation and CW, I just
>>> disagree with you on the exact level of import of the traffic in
>>> question
>>>
>>> When you learn to look with some degree of objectivity at the world do
>>> lets us Know
>>>
>>> You refuse to reconize that anyone may disagree with you
>>>
>>> SoP for you and many other in here
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>
>> Be sure and let me know when you learn how to spell, ok?

>>
>> Be sure and let me know how you can participate in ECOM when you say the
>> Upper Peninsula is a RF Hole.
>>
>> Be sure and let me know how you know so much about propagation since you
>> claim you can't hear anything going on.
>>
>> Be sure and le me know how you know so much about CW when you claim to
>> hate it.
>>
>> Etc. Etc. Etc. Credibility ZERO.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> http://www.news-leader.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050905/NEWS01/509050369/1095
>
> They're just p###ed because they didn't git to pass this piece of traffic
> on their CB reddidio thar! LMAO!
>
> J
>>

The 11 meter band is a fairly decent one for close in ground wave commo. As long as the "skip" and the illegal "big guns" wouldn't trash things. But that will not happen.

So the good CBers are left out and have to put up with the BS dumped on the outlaws.

Dan/W4NTI

1263. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 23:13:26 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dgaan4$1ng$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 119039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:F8SdnY7FnszpwrXeRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>>
>> Isn't/wasn't KRBC in Amarillo?? Seems I used to walk by the station
>> studios on my way to school all those moons ago..
>>
>>
>>
>
> KRBC is in Abilene, Tx.

You're right.. my mind is going.. it was Abilene we lived in at the time. We moved around so much when I was a kid it was just incredible.. there was not a western state we didn't live in, and toss in Nebraska and Missouri just for good measure..

1264. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 23:29:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126740542.746016.150590@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119040

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
cut

> > Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
> > allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
> > worked up in the millimeter bands?
>
> I have, on numerous occasions from WA8ONQ.
>
> > Who in here has EVER worked
> > any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
> > or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]
>
> I have, on numerous occasions.

as Have I
> >

> >
> >>> That piece of
> >>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
> >>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
> >>
> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
> > I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
> > website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
> > Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
> > the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
> > when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
> >
> > Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
> > CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
> > principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)
>
cuting Daves gartuous insults
> Dave K8MN

1265. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 23:30:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119041

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>
> More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
> <plonk>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
boring

1266. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 23:34:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Kr2We.10211$4P5.6791@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119042

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 11:40 am
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am
>
>
>
>>> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
>>> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
>>> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
>>> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
>>> would have been none the wiser.
>>
>>Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
>>What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
>>a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected
>
>
> Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
> try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
> kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
> group.

Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for the ARRL Board of Directors.

>>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
>>info propagates these days
>
>
> I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
> as "controversial."

That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified

him for candidacy though.

> Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
> supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
> Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.

...or so you've been told.

> Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
> they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
> code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
> concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
> to rankle.

People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
Carl Stevenson.

Dave K8MN

1267. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 23:41:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Xy2We.10217$4P5.7217@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119043

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>
>>It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were
>>in jobs which presented a conflict of interests.
>>
>
>
> I was a successful candidate (twice) for Vice Director, both times while
> employed in the same industry segment as Carl.

I'm not sure what you mean by "employed in the same industry segment",
Hans. Were you in a position to influence the outcome of issues before
the ITU or any similar body?

> What is particularly interesting (to me) is that the Executive Committee did not
> reject Carl's candidacy because he HAS a conflict of interest, but because he
> COULD (in the future) HAVE such a conflict. This, in spite of his sworn written
> promise not to accept any client which might lead to a possible conflict.

I don't know that a sworn promise ever cut any ice. It certainly
doesn't in governmental elected office. Blind trusts and divestitures
are the order of the day.

> As is well known around these parts, Carl and I have not always seen eye-to-eye
> on every subject, but I have never doubted he was a person of integrity and a
> true-to-his-word kind of guy. That the Executive Committee discounts that
> solemn promise is very telling, and that the full BoD distanced themselves from
> the issue by letting it be decided in committee diminishes their honor in my
> eyes.

I don't see it that way at all. By letting the committee's decision
stand, the Board is affirming the decision of the committee.

> When I questioned my Director on the matter by email he declined to answer me
> and passed the buck down to the Secretary, who blew me off with a scholarly
> explanation/recital of Article 11 of the Articles of Association.

Interesting. I think I'll zap Dennis Bodson and note and see if I get
the same treatment.

Dave K8MN

1268. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 23:48:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YE2We.10220$4P5.8182@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119044

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>
> cut
>
>
>>> Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
>>> allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
>>> worked up in the millimeter bands?
>>
>>I have, on numerous occasions from WA8ONQ.
>>
>>
>>> Who in here has EVER worked
>>> any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
>>> or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]
>>
>>I have, on numerous occasions.
>
>
> as Have I

Tell Len. I didn't ask you.

>>>>That piece of
>>>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
>>>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>>>>
>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>> I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
>>> website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
>>> Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
>>> the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
>>> when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
>>>
>>> Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
>>> CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic

>>> principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)
>>
> cuting Daves gartuous insults

I see that you continue to "cute" things. Perhaps you think that is somehow making my words go away. I've never made a "gartuous" insult in my life.

Look. I can make the words come back:

>> Off the top of my head, those who post here who cannot run for ARRL
>> Director:

>>1. Those with a potential conflict of interest.

>>2. Non-radio amateurs.

>>3. Non-ARRL members.

>>One of those snags your "old_friend" and two of them fit Leonard H.
>>Anderson.

Are you insulted because you aren't an ARRL member? Are you insulted because Len is neither an ARRL member nor a radio amateur? If so, I cordially invite you to live with it.

Dave K8MN

1269. Re: StaggerLee@Houston-hunks.com assfucks Houston negro school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-14 23:53:34 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <747c26adca3b98f43f58bad683131d41@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 119045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3423009020.5300@zifcol.ar>
Brother Stare <bsta...@no.spam> wrote:

Hi Lardass!

1270. TOS'ing Wogie made easy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 00:32:29 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@DicklessInVirginia.uz>
Message-ID: <dgafc4$m7j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

defame, abuse, harass, stalk, threaten or otherwise violate the legal rights (such as rights of privacy and publicity) of others;

impersonate another person or entity, or falsify or delete any author attributions

create user accounts by automated means or under false or fraudulent pretenses;

Wogie is guilty violalting at least three of Google's terms of service. Below is one of this messages in which he impersonates Lloyd, N0vpf, complete with headers. All Lloyd has to do now is to forward it to groups-abuse@google.com

Now, how easy is that?

.....
.

From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Subject: Re: An appeal to k4yz <- Convicted Pedophile Saggytits Lee snivels and whines

Date: 14 Sep 2005 13:34:23 -0700

Organization: http://groups.google.com

Lines: 29

Message-ID: <1126730063.073957.43750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>

References: <woger.loses.another.account@houston-hunks.com>

<23005090201.5300@zifcol.ar>

<1126530949.122834.4200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

<342300509020.5300@zifcol.ar>

<1126562783.984367.104920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

<grocery.boy.woger.lies@houston-hunks.com>

<1126615271.564791.174860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>

<lloyd.says.bye.bye.woger@houston-hunks.com>

<1126725501.867954.67860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>

<Pine.LNX.4.62.0509141609110.16280@Zrevnqbp.jn3jdu.arg>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.248.240.119

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

X-Trace: posting.google.com 1126730068 20754 127.0.0.1 (14 Sep 2005 20:34:28 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Sep 2005 20:34:28 +0000 (UTC)

In-Reply-To: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0509141609110.16280@Zrevnqbp.jn3jdu.arg>

User-Agent: G2/0.2

X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)

Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com; posting-host=207.248.240.119;

posting-account=KrdwbAwAAADNhWceviUJtbujq8HX3FzN

Message Body:

Convicted Pedophile Saggytits Lee whined:
> On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> > Judging by the whining you are doing you pedophile, you whined and got
> > it cancelled. Not to worry, many more will just be put into use.
>
> If <whines flushed>

No, you'd rather snivel and whine, you pussy. Not to worry, several other names are already set up. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply

<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1271. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 00:39:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126744757.173824.240310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119047

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 11:40 am
> >
> >
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am
> >
> >
> >
> >>> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
> >>> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
> >>> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
> >>> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
> >>> would have been none the wiser.
> >>
> >>Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
> >>What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
> >>a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected
> >
> >
> > Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
> > try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
> > kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
> > group.
>
> Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world
> can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply
> for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for
> foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio
> can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and
> democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who
> can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for
> the ARRL Board of Directors.

certainly they are free to contribute, or is tha pay tribute to the
ARRL, just not free to run for office as other memebers of the BoD are

Free Elections held are to be held when?
>
>
>>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
>>info propagates these days
> >

> >
> > I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
> > as "controversial."
>
> That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read
> this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about
> the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified
> him for candidacy though.

hard to say that with any real assurance
>
>
> > Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
> > supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
> > Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.
>
> ...or so you've been told.

so we have SEEN
>
> > Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
> > they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
> > code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
> > concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
> > to rankle.
>
> People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
> Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
> Carl Stevenson.

just decided that that he wasn't entitled to same consideration as
other memebr of the current BoD nothing more than that
>
> Dave K8MN

1272. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 00:41:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126744885.859465.8660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119048

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
> >
> > cut
> >
> >
> >>> Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
> >>> allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
> >>> worked up in the millimeter bands?
> >>
> >>I have, on numerous occasions from WA8ONQ.
> >>
> >>
> >>> Who in here has EVER worked
> >>> any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
> >>> or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]
> >>
> >>I have, on numerous occasions.
> >
> >
> > as Have I
>
> Tell Len. I didn't ask you.

get it throughyour thick head I can coment on ANY post I like and any
comments. If you can't handle that then shove off
>
> >>>>That piece of
> >>>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
> >>>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
> >>> website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
> >>> Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
> >>> the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,

> >>> when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
> >>>
> >>> Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
> >>> CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
> >>> principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)
> >>
> > cuting Daves gartuous insults
>
> I see that you continue to "cute" things. Perhaps you think that is
> somehow making my words go away. I've never made a "gartuous" insult in
> my life.
>
more heil lies

You have nothing to say so you slash out at any targets in
neighboorhood, never mind the Subject you don't need no stinking
subjects

1273. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 00:45:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126745122.226556.282260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119049

RST Engineering wrote:
> I'm just not "in the know". Why would or would not the FCC consider all
> comments, and what is an "FR Notice"? To be sure of being heard, can we
> repost what we posted prior to the FR Notice?

no one is truely in the know here. I would say you could resend just in
case You might mention that the dates issue to sure

Hopefully Len would move such a dub out of the sole previous to
publishing stats, and you might add more to flesh out your remarks

OTOH I believe the FCC will not realy discount any coment made before
formal publication
>
> Jim
>
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1126722400.358337.64300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
> >
> > As of 13 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> > Elimination/Retention tabulation:
> >
> > ALL to Date Since FR Notice

1274. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 01:50:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126749020.215500.281760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119100

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>
> >Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "K□B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > <k...@dvol.com> wrote
>
>
> >> >> http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>
>
> >> >> . . . unbelievable . . !!
> >
> >> > I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
> >> > incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
> >> > amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
> >> > membership.
> >
> >> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >> But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
> >
> >Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
> >interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
> >conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
> >part of the spectrum.
>
> What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
> 'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?
>
> Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World
> Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
> is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)
>
> The core membership doesn't seem to care dink about any World
> Above 30 MHz. They wanna play in the HF sandbox, same as they
> did a half century ago. Nothing has changed. Status quo uber
> alles.
>
> Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
> allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
> worked up in the millimeter bands? Who in here has EVER worked

> any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
> or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]

I've operated on 908 Mhz.

> > The ARRL bylaws state that anybody in that type
> >of position cannot become a member of the BoD. The problem is that the
> >League has conveniently winked that provision in the bylaws several
> >times in the past and has allowed others in Carl's position to become
> >Directors. hypocrisy taken to a new level.
>
> It would be informative to see some resumes of the work experience
> of the Directors and the BoD of the League. Pres. Jim Haynie was
> a salesman. [should be a clue right there, ey?] How about the
> "other" pres., Dave Sumner?

Jack Welsh, Ross Perot and Harry Truman were also salesmen.

> >> That piece of
> >> information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
> >> it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
> >
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
> website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
> Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
> the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
> when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
>
> Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
> CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
> principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

w3rv

1275. You'd be more in wanting to toss his salad for him, punk posting from roadrunner.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:18:38 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126750717.978278.51340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Digital whined:
> de <whine, dedigital is such a degenerate>

"Digital" <WussyRayChason@anon.uz> shows not only is he too fucking
stupid to correctly read a message header, he can't count to 5 in
<degedb$l0u$0@pita.alt.net>:
"posts from one of his four providers.
http://1st.net

MSN

AOL

netzero.net

1Cust5558.an3.cle11.da.uu.net"

You can't even count to 5, "Digital"

Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

1276. Re: TOS'ing Wogie made easy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:26:19 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126751179.038076.140780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Digital wrote:

> Below is one of this messages in which he impersonates Lloyd, N0vpf,
^^

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!! Classic, dumbass, classic.

1277. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:27:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126751223.769087.27690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119103

KØHB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> >
> > It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were
> > in jobs which presented a conflict of interests.
> >
>
> I was a successful candidate (twice) for Vice Director, both times while
> employed in the same industry segment as Carl.

You're one of the primary examples Carl has cited in his complaints.

> What is particularly interesting (to me) is that the Executive Committee did not
> reject Carl's candidacy because he HAS a conflict of interest, but because he
> COULD (in the future) HAVE such a conflict. This, in spite of his sworn written
> promise not to accept any client which might lead to a possible conflict.
>
> As is well known around these parts, Carl and I have not always seen eye-to-eye
> on every subject, but I have never doubted he was a person of integrity and a
> true-to-his-word kind of guy. That the Executive Committee discounts that
> solemn promise is very telling, and that the full BoD distanced themselves from
> the issue by letting it be decided in committee diminishes their honor in my
> eyes.
>
> When I questioned my Director on the matter by email he declined to answer me
> and passed the buck down to the Secretary, who blew me off with a scholarly
> explanation/recital of Article 11 of the Articles of Association.

The whole deal was cooked from top to bottom. Our outgoing Director
Bernie Fuller N3EFN is backing our Vice Director Bill Edgar N3LLR for
the job. Then Carl popped up out of nowhere so "the boys" circled their
wagons and found a way to quash Carl's candidacy before it even got off
the ground.

Even the average banana republic military junta has more finesse than
this bunch.

I'm waiting to see how HQ responds publically to this nonsense. Or if
they stonewall it and hope it goes away.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Chief Curmudgeon, Dakota Division

w3rv

1278. Digital screws up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:28:35 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126751315.045460.286240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n0vfp_ll...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Digital wrote:
>
> > Below is one of this messages in which he impersonates Lloyd, N0vpf,
> ^^
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!! Classic, dumbass, classic.

Btw, get it straight, even if you aren't straight, gayboy!

1279. Re: TOS'ing Wogie made easy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:31:16 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126751476.422909.166700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Woger makes it so easy for us. Kind of like the daily beating that is
handed out to him by SHIT CAN MAN.

PING ADMIN: PEDO WOGER ALERT. SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN SHIT CAN.
LOOOOOOOOOOOSER!
~SHIT CAN MAN SLAPS WOGER'S LITTLE PEE PEE ON NIMBUSTERS.

1280. Who's Roger's Bitch made to whine easily!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:36:38 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126751798.300815.161980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Roger's BITCH? whined:

> Woger makes it so easy for us to whine. Kind of like the daily
> beating that he hands out to me when I post as SHIT CAN MAN.

Hey Holsten! Does your wife still not trust you alone with your little
mulatto half breen newborn baby?

1281. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:39:44 UTC
From: K HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Q95We.10492$Wd7.2370@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119107

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> I'm waiting to see how HQ responds publically to this nonsense.

They won't.

> Or if they stonewall it and hope it goes away.

If they don't talk about it, then it never happened. SOP.

My Director/Vice Director are not answering email, but "deferring" comment down
to the Secretary who gives a boilerplate answer about Article 11.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Chief Curmudgeon, Dakota Division

1282. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:41:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126752067.815945.185240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119108

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were
> > > in jobs which presented a conflict of interests.
> > >
> >
> > I was a successful candidate (twice) for Vice Director, both times while
> > employed in the same industry segment as Carl.
>
> You're one of the primary examples Carl has cited in his complaints.
>
> > What is particularly interesting (to me) is that the Executive Committee did not
> > reject Carl's candidacy because he HAS a conflict of interest, but because he
> > COULD (in the future) HAVE such a conflict. This, in spite of his sworn written
> > promise not to accept any client which might lead to a possible conflict.
> >
> > As is well known around these parts, Carl and I have not always seen eye-to-eye
> > on every subject, but I have never doubted he was a person of integrity and a
> > true-to-his-word kind of guy. That the Executive Committee discounts that
> > solemn promise is very telling, and that the full BoD distanced themselves from
> > the issue by letting it be decided in committee diminishes their honor in my
> > eyes.
> >
> > When I questioned my Director on the matter by email he declined to answer me
> > and passed the buck down to the Secretary, who blew me off with a scholarly
> > explanation/recital of Article 11 of the Articles of Association.
>
> The whole deal was cooked from top to bottom. Our outgoing Director
> Bernie Fuller N3EFN is backing our Vice Director Bill Edgar N3LLR for
> the job. Then Carl popped up out of nowhere so "the boys" circled their
> wagons and found a way to quash Carl's candidacy before it even got off
> the ground.
>
> Even the average banana republic military junta has more finesse than
> this bunch.

Not always but the Banna republic junta normaly is at least honest to
say what they are doing, (overthrowing free elections) normaly claiming
they have to but they least don't pretend that they are still having
free and open elections when they act. Even Saddam never claimed to be

having "free elections" just "elections"
>
> I'm waiting to see how HQ responds publically to this nonsense. Or if
> they stonewall it and hope it goes away.

likely stone wall it
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > Chief Curmudgeon, Dakota Division
>
> w3rv

1283. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:50:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126752646.827664.76060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119109

From: Michael Coslo on Sep 14, 1:46 pm

>k...@dvol.com wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>k...@dvol.com wrote:
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:

>>>>Don't agree. First responders are not "radio operators", they're
>>>>firefighters, medics, police at multiple levels and all the rest. Given
>>>>a big enough disaster like the New Orleans hurricane onsite FEMA
>>>>operatives, the Coast Guard, any number of military units from all the
>>>>services also land in the middle of it.
>
>>> I must not have made myself clear Brian. The answer is not in freeing
>>>up the BW now occupied by analog television. The answer for
>>>communications in a disaster is trained and competent operators.
>
>> I agree with all that.
>
>>> And the trained operators should be called in when the regular comms
>>>first go out, not after a few days.
>
>> I don't agree here - depending on what I think you mean by "trained
>> operators". Local governments can't train and store reserve
>> dispatchers who are only activated for drills in preparation for major
>> emergencies, won't work. Emergency dispatching is an art and skill
>> which has to be used on a very regular basis or the dispatchers lose
>> the edge they need to do the job properly when a "big one" hits
>> unexpectedly.
>
> I think for all practical concerns, the trained operators are us. From
>what I have seen in the short time that I have been a Ham, there is a
>learning curve to become a proficient operator. And although A person
>can become proficient of course, it takes some time. We get training all
>the time in our contests, and the occasional more formal emergency
>training events.

"Training in [radio] contests?!?" To do WHAT? Win points?

"In the short time that you have been a ham," you've become a
proficient law enforcement person, a medic, a fireman, are able
to wade through flood waters, put up antennas in 100+ MPH winds
and enunciate clearly into a microphone or mash your fist on a
code key as befits a 1940s radio op on a B-17 over Germany?

Remarkable. Maybe I should try that. All I had to do in the
1950s was learn and practice the art of land warfare. ["close
with, and destroy the enemy!"]

>> In those cases the local authorities can call up all shifts of their
>> regular crews to get a sufficient amount of manpower and their reserve
>> radios on the air. But in order to get any benefit out of an approach
>> like this the dispatch centers have to be able to almost immediately be
>> expanded and able to keep operating thru hell and high water for an
>> extended period. None of those type facilities are in place that I've
>> ever heard about.

The Greater Los Angeles Emergency Communications Center was set up
just that way prior to January 17th, 1994, and functioned very well.
No warnings whatsoever beforehand. At a few minutes past 4:30 AM
the Northridge Earthquake hit, the Pacific Intertie was broken, and
the entire area of about 10 million residents was without ANY
electric power. The Center worked, the outlying government-
utilities industry communications worked on emergency generators
(already there) and mobile, vehicle power. I repeat, NO warning
ahead of time.

How much warning did New Orleans have? 3 days, 4, 5? Hurricanes
spawn in mid-Atlantic and the Carribean and take days to come
ashore, all the time tracked by NOAA. Plenty of time for all
those hams with their indestructible ham radios to be On The
Scene as First Responders! They are all "trained and competent"
in emergency radio, right? Drill regularly in those "radiosport"
contests? READ all about it in QST?

>> A couple $80 million civil AWACs planes and $10 million a year to
>> maintain and staff 'em is chicken feed. Problem is that Haliburton will
>> have already drained the till before Boeing and Motorola get their
>> passes at it.
>
> Hey! you stole my line!...really! ;^)

Tsk. "AWACs?" You guys have lost way too many grey cells to
ionizing radiation while being under the fantasy of "training
and competence" by virtue of sitting in front of your radddios
tweaking knobs and imagining you are all he-roes.

Five of those abandoned-and-later-flooded dozens of empty school
busses in New Orleans could have been used. No damn "$80 million"
costs involved there. With over half the city of New Orleans
BELOW sea level for YEARS, the government of the city of the Big
Easy didn't use their brains...for YEARS.

Does your ham radio FLOAT? Can your ham antenna stand up under
Force 4 winds?

Or is your "training and competence" only tied up with classroom
work, talking it up with the students, and imagining How Good
you all are?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1284. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 02:53:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126752800.760045.279760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119110

From: Dave Heil snarling on Sep 14, 1:17 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>"K?B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote

>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/>

>>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>
>>>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>>>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>>>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>>>>membership.
>
>>>>But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
>
>>>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
>>>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
>>>part of the spectrum.
>
>> What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>> 'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?
>
>> Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World
>> Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
>> is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)
>
>Plenty of League members operate the bands above 30 MHz.

Quantify "PLENTY," snarly Heil. Give us some NUMBERS.

>You must have missed out on this past weekend's contest.

I could care less about "contests" or "radiosport." Especially
if "sponsored" by the League.

>You're out of touch, old timer. :-) :-)

Har. Har. Har.

I was operating and maintaining multi-channel 1.8 GHz microwave
radio relay equipment in the fall of 1954. Where were you?

I was testing X-Band (8 to 12 GHz) airborne radar at Hughes
in 1957. Where were you?

I was doing lab tests on 4mm waveguide components in 1960 at the
STL research lab. Where were you?

I was testing microwave components and systems, including
designing part of an active air-coupled test set on Ku-Band
(18 to 24 GHz) for the A-6 Intruder at Micro-Radionics in the
early 1960s. Where were you?

I did the entirety of design of an L-band (1 to 2 GHz) Mode 4
capable transponder test set RF section at Teledyne
Electronics in '78. Where were you?

I and Al Walston (W6MJN) did all of the RF design work on the
latter two generations of the RCA SECANT aircraft collision-
avoidance R&D program plus the RF design of the RIHANS ship
positioning system in 1972 to 1975. Where were you?

[I've left out some because you'll get even more snarly if
you hear all of it]

Where WERE you, OLD TIMER? Still turning on the power switches
of satellite comm State Department radio gear and claiming you
"operated" it? Or was it all "synchronizing your RTTY with CW?"

>> The core membership doesn't seem to care dink about any World
>> Above 30 MHz.
>
>Please present any evidence that you've uncovered which outlines what
>ARRL members think about the frequencies above 30 MHz. Any at all will do.

How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 05-235?

How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 98-143?

How about QST having a magazine section entitled "The World Above
30 MHz?" [supposedly all about the latest, greatest ham stuff
at VHF and higher, but not even close to what the RSGB shows
in Radio Communication magazine]

>> They wanna play in the HF sandbox, same as they
>> did a half century ago. Nothing has changed. Status quo uber
>> alles.
>
>I'm sure that you have something solid on which to base your claim.
>Please point us to it.

Jim Kehler's acerbic comment "Gigahertz are for gigasquirts."
Right in here circa 1996-1997.

>> Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
>> allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
>> worked up in the millimeter bands?

>
>I have, on numerous occasions from WA8ONQ.

Then "NUMER" them for us. WHICH BANDS? And WHEN?

You are beginning to sound as vague as Dudly the Imposter.

>> Who in here has EVER worked
>> any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
>> or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]
>
>I have, on numerous occasions.

Then "NUMER" them for us. WHICH BANDS? And WHEN?

You are beginning to sound as vague as Dudly the Imposter.

>> It would be informative to see some resumes of the work experience
>> of the Directors and the BoD of the League.
>
>It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were in jobs
>which presented a conflict of interests.

Then go argue with Brian Kelly. I'm just interested in INFORMATION.

YOU yield NO information, only vagueness and generality.

>> Pres. Jim Haynie was
>> a salesman. [should be a clue right there, ey?] How about the
>> "other" pres., Dave Sumner?
>
>There is no "other" pres.

Sumner is listed as CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER and TREASURER. You
don't consider CEOs as being "president" level, do you? :-)

Dave used to run the "Residence Radio Club" with a club callsign.
He still do that? :-)

Dave Sumner is a regular editorial writer in QST. Has Jim Haynie
written anything worthy of an editorial?

>>>> That piece of
>>>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
>>>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>
>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
>> website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
>> Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
>> the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
>> when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
>

>> Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
>> CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
>> principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)
>
>Off the top of my head, those who post here who cannot run for ARRL
>Director:

"Off the top of your head" is just air.

You've said NOTHING about "join the League and work for change
from WITHIN!" :-)

What you do if the hierarchy doesn't let you?

Have YOU run for any League post? And why should we care?

Just like most of what you say in here is "off the top of your
head," it is merely AIR. Go fight with someone you think you
win message points from. That's YOUR style, mighty AMATEUR
radio warrior.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1285. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 03:27:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126754873.489162.176350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119111

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > Lotta nonsense in this article, bunch of clueless politicians going at
> > it as usual.
>
> I have a real hard time believing anyone has been killed by a spectrum shortage.
> Or did Katrina suck up all the RF spectrum when it came thru.

Heh.

> I wonder how they would have fared if comm managers had paid more attention to
> survivability (site/antenna/power generation integrity, generator
> shielding/protection/placement/fuel availability).
>
> This isn't quite as glamorous as whiz-bang Trunking & Mobile data systems but
> it's certainly more important.

You bet. No dispatch centers no radio period. I've been chasing down articles on the subject for the last couple days. I haven't found anything which specifically gets into the current condition of the EOCs but bits and pieces indicate that the power and land line systems are coming back up much faster than the municipal radio systems. But we're talking about the Big Easy here which is not exactly the national model for governmental planning and efficiency.

This is the town where 10% of it's police force quit on the spot and headed out of town when Katrina landed on 'em. So who knows what shape their first-responder's infrastucture is in? For all we know maybe all the New Orleans EOC "sump pump operators" have quit too and the water is still ten feet deep in the operations room . .

Homeland Secuity gotta get into this field and lay down the national standards for siting and construction of the EOCs and all their paraphernalia.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1286. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 03:30:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lV5We.10500$Wd7.3470@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119112

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> Are the emergency organizations going to employ pay and train competent radio
> operators who are capable of figuring out where they need to be frequency
> wise?

"First responders" are not radio operators. They are firemen, policemen, medical personel, ambulance drivers, etc., etc., etc. To these people a radio is just another tool --- they need to just "mash the PTT" like you describe, and communicate their message.

THIS IS EXACTLY AS IT SHOULD BE! Communications should be transparent to these people, and require no training at all beyond simple circuit procedures.

The failures of communications in New Orleans were not because of lack of spectrum, nor lack of "competent radio operators", but lack of properly hardened communications facilities, and lack of backup for those facilities.

Prime example --- the New Orleans PD EDACS MA/Comm 800 MHz radio system functioned well during and immediately after the hurricane, but then natural gas service to the prime downtown transmitter site was disrupted and the generator was out. (No gas, no generator. No generator, no transmitter.) Owners of the site would not allow installation of LP gas tanks as a backup to piped gas, meaning generators did not have any fuel when the main lines were cut.

Further compounding the situation was the fact that the PD EDACS acted as a hub of the area Inter-Operation system with 17 hard-patched RF links to a variety of other agencies in NO and nearby cities/parishes. When the EDACS went down, it pulled all those inter-op links down with it and the whole first-responder comm system imploded, reduced to little "islands" of communications that couldn't inter-communicate. Airlifting a thousand "competent radio operators" into the area would not have improved communications at the level of the "feet on the street" cop, fireman, or medical person one iota.

As I see it, two mundane planning changes could have prevented this train wreck....

1) Emergency fuel supplies at the transmitter site (a 2,000 pound tank of LP lasts weeks).
2) A star or mesh (rather than a hub) topology of the mutual-aid/other interop links which didn't allow a single point of failure to crash the whole system.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1287. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 03:44:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <566We.10371$4P5.6473@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119114

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil snarling on Sep 14, 1:17 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>>>
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"K?B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>>
>>>>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote
>
>
>
>>>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/>
>
>
>>>>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>>
>>>>>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>>>>>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>>>>>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>>>>>>membership.
>>
>>>>>But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
>>
>>>>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
>>>>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>>>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
>>>>part of the spectrum.
>>
>>> What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>> 'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?
>>
>>> Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World
>>> Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
>>> is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)
>>
>>Plenty of League members operate the bands above 30 MHz.
>
>

> Quantify "PLENTY," snarly Heil. Give us some NUMBERS.

"Snarly"=anyone who disagrees with Leonard H. Anderson.
I've worked hundreds of them over the past four years via SSB/CW/FM on
the VHF/UHF bands.

Perhaps you've heard of the monthly QST VHF/UHF column. It has been
around for decades. I have decades worth of QST Magazine and ARRL
Handbooks featuring construction articles on VHF/UHF antennas,
amplifiers and the like.

>>You must have missed out on this past weekend's contest.
>
>
> I could care less about "contests" or "radiosport." Especially
> if "sponsored" by the League.

Okay, so you really didn't want to know.

>>You're out of touch, old timer. :-) :-)
>
>
> Har. Har. Har.

It isn't really funny. You don't have much of an idea what is going on
in the VHF/UHF ham bands, do you?

> I was operating and maintaining multi-channel 1.8 GHz microwave
> radio relay equipment in the fall of 1954. Where were you?

Me? I was in kindergarten, prepping myself to take over from geezers
who'd be out of steam at some point. My late pal, John W4JBP got on the
air as a ham in 1912. Where were you?

> I was testing X-Band (8 to 12 GHz) airborne radar at Hughes
> in 1957. Where were you?

Second grade, Highlands Elementary School, Lake Worth, Florida, prepping
myself to take over from geezers who'd eventually run out of steam. My
pal, the late Andy Timberlake got on the ham bands in 1927. Where were you?

> I was doing lab tests on 4mm waveguide components in 1960 at the
> STL research lab. Where were you?

I'd just finished the fifth grade or was starting the sixth at Harrison
School, Lexington, Kentucky and was still prepping from geezers like
you--guys who'd eventually run out of steam. My late friend Lawrence
Evans W8CAL was on the ham bands from Moundsville begininng in 1931.
Where were you?

> I was testing microwave components and systems, including
> designing part of an active air-coupled test set on Ku-Band
> (18 to 24 GHz) for the A-6 Intruder at Micro-Radionics in the
> early 1960s. Where were you?

How early? In 1963 I was fourteen and was already a ham. We already know what you weren't doing. You weren't a radio amateur.

> I did the entirety of design of an L-band (1 to 2 GHz) Mode 4
> capable transponder test set RF section at Teledyne
> Electronics in '78. Where were you?

I was already a seasoned DXer at age 29. I owned a home in Cincinnati, Ohio. I'd gotten out of the Air Force six years earlier. I sold electronics components to OEM customers for a regional, multi-line industrial electronics distributor. By night, I was guitarist for the Big Joe Duskin Blues Band and had been doing so for three years. I was active from the multi-op contest station WA8ONQ, Middletown, Ohio from 6m through light. Moonbounce was included on the 2m band. Homebrew amps and antennas were used.

> I and Al Walston (W6MJN) did all of the RF design work on the
> latter two generations of the RCA SECANT aircraft collision-
> avoidance R&D program plus the RF design of the RIHANS ship
> positioning system in 1972 to 1975. Where were you?

Well, in 1972 I was still in the Air Force. By 1975, I was in charge of purchasing at the C. Allen Fulmer Company, a machine tool outfit. I also supervised assembly of the machines--18-foot tall, 11,000 lb. vertical honing machines. W4JHE ran the place. W4KHV wired all of the panels. W4JHE got on the ham bands in 1938. W4KHV, his brother-in-law, got his ticket in 1937. Where were you?

> [I've left out some because you'll get even more snarly if
> you hear all of it]

Snarly? I've not yet begun to be snarly, wizened one. I've left plenty out too, so if you're ever in the mood to play another game of "mine's bigger than yours", I'll happily oblige. I noted all of your professional credentials but you were asking about ARRL members on the bands above 30 MHz, weren't you? Perhaps I misread. Did you ask what PROFESSIONALS were doing on those bands? How does what you were doing PROFESSIONALLY enter into it?

> Where WERE you, OLD TIMER? Still turning on the power switches
> of satellite comm State Department radio gear and claiming you
> "operated" it? Or was it all "synchronizing your RTTY with CW?"

I operated plenty of satellite gear, from large fixed installations to suitcase TACSAT equipment. What has that to do with the question you posed?

>>> The core membership doesn't seem to care dink about any World
>>> Above 30 MHz.
>>
>>Please present any evidence that you've uncovered which outlines what
>>ARRL members think about the frequencies above 30 MHz. Any at all will do.
>
>
> How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 05-235?

>
> How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 98-143?

Please, break them down for us by ARRL member and non-ARRL member.
Thank you for your efforts in justifying your previously unqualified and
unquantified statement.

> How about QST having a magazine section entitled "The World Above
> 30 MHz?" [supposedly all about the latest, greatest ham stuff
> at VHF and higher, but not even close to what the RSGB shows
> in Radio Communication magazine]

So, RSGB's magazine proves that ARRL members aren't interested in the
bands above 30 MHz? I wish I could accept that.

>>> They wanna play in the HF sandbox, same as they
>>> did a half century ago. Nothing has changed. Status quo uber
>>> alles.
>>
>>I'm sure that you have something solid on which to base your claim.
>>Please point us to it.
>
>
> Jim Kehler's acerbic comment "Gigahertz are for gigasquirts."
> Right in here circa 1996-1997.

...and Jim Kehler is the recognized authority on what ARRL members do
and are interested in?

>>> Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
>>> allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
>>> worked up in the millimeter bands?
>>
>>I have, on numerous occasions from WA8ONQ.
>
>
> Then "NUMER" them for us. WHICH BANDS? And WHEN?

All amateur allocations, 50 MHz to light. 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980
(multiple contests each year). Number them yourself by checking QST
results for various VHF contests under "WA8ONQ" for each of those years.

> You are beginning to sound as vague as Dudly the Imposter.

...not nearly so vague as your silly claims of what ARRL members do and
don't do. You aren't a ham and you aren't an ARRL member.

>
>>> Who in here has EVER worked
>>> any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
>>> or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]
>>
>>I have, on numerous occasions.

>
>
> Then "NUMER" them for us. WHICH BANDS? And WHEN?

See references above.

> You are beginning to sound as vague as Dudly the Imposter.

...not nearly so vague as your silly claims of what ARRL members do and
don't do. You aren't a ham and you aren't an ARRL member.

>
>
>
>
>>> It would be informative to see some resumes of the work experience
>>> of the Directors and the BoD of the League.
>>
>>It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were in jobs
>>which presented a conflict of interests.
>
>
> Then go argue with Brian Kelly. I'm just interested in INFORMATION.

But mostly what you've done here is to spread disinformation.

> YOU yield NO information, only vagueness and generality.

Please tell us how your vague and general statements on ARRL members'
interest in the ham bands above 30 MHz are to be taken?

>>> Pres. Jim Haynie was
>>> a salesman. [should be a clue right there, ey?] How about the
>>> "other" pres., Dave Sumner?
>>
>>There is no "other" pres.
>
>
> Sumner is listed as CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER and TREASURER. You
> don't consider CEOs as being "president" level, do you? :-)

No, they are not. The League has a president. The League has a CEO.
The positions are not held by the same individual. The job descriptions
are not the same. You've made another factual error.

> Dave used to run the "Residence Radio Club" with a club callsign.
> He still do that? :-)

Excuse me? Is the question supposed to lead toward Dave Sumner being a
president of the ARRL? I've known Dave Sumner for 30 years now. I call
him "Dave". He calls me "Dave". We met. We've spoken. We've
corresponded. We've worked on the air on SSB and CW. Take my word for
it. I'd know if he was president of the ARRL.

> Dave Sumner is a regular editorial writer in QST. Has Jim Haynie
> written anything worthy of an editorial?

The General Manager, later CEO has traditionally written QST editorials.
ARRL Presidents have not tradtionally written editorials. That
tradition continues.

>
>
>>>>>That piece of
>>>>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
>>>>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>>
>>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>> I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
>>> website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
>>> Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
>>> the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
>>> when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
>>
>>> Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
>>> CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
>>> principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)
>>
>>Off the top of my head, those who post here who cannot run for ARRL
>>Director:
>
>
> "Off the top of your head" is just air.
>
> You've said NOTHING about "join the League and work for change
> from WITHIN!" :-)

Become an American. Work for change from within. You're not 35?
Sorry, you can't be a Senator. You were born outside the United States?
Sorry, you can't become President. The rules regarding possible
conflicts of interest for ARRL Director candidates have been in place
for decades. They aren't a new thing.

> What you do if the hierarchy doesn't let you?

Do you mean if the existing rules don't permit you to be a candidate?
Why, I'd drum up support for a candidate who could be on the ballot.
I'd generate an e-mail campaign. If I couldn't live without running for
ARRL elected office, I'd put my business in a blind trust or sell it.
Otherwise, I'd live with reality.

> Have YOU run for any League post? And why should we care?

Then you really don't want an answer.

> Just like most of what you say in here is "off the top of your
> head," it is merely AIR. Go fight with someone you think you

> win message points from. That's YOUR style, mighty AMATEUR
> radio warrior.

You're blowing smoke about an ARRL election process. You aren't armed with the facts, you aren't a League member and you aren't a radio amateur. That doesn't stop the smoke-blowing and the conspiracy theories. That's YOUR style, wizened geezer.

Dave K8MN

1288. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 03:50:07 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126756207.150805.209190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119115

From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 5:39 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 11:40 am
>> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am

>> > Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
>> > try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
>> > kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
>> > group.
>
>> Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world
>> can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply
>> for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for
>> foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio
>> can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and
>> democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who
>> can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for
>> the ARRL Board of Directors.
>
>certainly they are free to contribute, or is tha pay tribute to the
>ARRL, just not free to run for office as other memebers of the BoD are
>
>Free Elections held are to be held when?

Doesn't really matter, Mark. Snarly Dave wants to make it appear
that the ARRL "is run just like the federal government." Ain't so,
no matter what he implies.

Snarly Dave is a BELIEVER and he HATES anyone that dares sass
his beloved League.

>> >>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
>> >>info propagates these days
>
>> > I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
>> > as "controversial."
>
>> That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read
>> this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about
>> the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified
>> him for candidacy though.
>
>hard to say that with any real assurance

Snarly Dave "KNOWS" that the ARRL is free, open, without blemish
and ultimately "fair" to the point of being a veritable nirvana.

>> > Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
>> > supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
>> > Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.
>
>> ...or so you've been told.
>
>so we have SEEN

Absolutely! It is readily apparent to anyone in years of QST
editions, all the filings the League made at the FCC, and in
the general order of favored precedence in the ARRL publishings.

Snarly Dave wants everyone to believe the League is "benevolent"
to an absurdity insofar as modes are concerned. They are
"objective" only in their definitions of themselves; others see
them differently.

>> > Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
>> > they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
>> > code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
>> > concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
>> > to rankle.
>
>> People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
>> Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
>> Carl Stevenson.
>
>just decided that that he wasn't entitled to same consideration as
>other memebr of the current BoD nothing more than that

Snarly Dave "explained it all," Mark. All are supposed to TAKE
what they receive at the hands of the League. Period.

Snarly Dave ain't explained that the League simple left Carl OFF
the ballot. No word why from the League. The League "doesn't
have to explain anything," they just DO. That says it all.

Snarly Dave doesn't like Carl Stevenson. So, don't expect any
objectivity from Dave.

Snarly Dave doesn't like you, either. Don't expect any objectivity
on anything you write in here.

Snarly Dave just plain hates my guts from years back when I didn't
remove my hat, act obedient in his presence, and say "sir" a lot.
Might have been different if I'd slathered gratuitous praise and
admiration for his "government service" in the wilds of Africa,
especially his "synchronizing RTTYs with morsemanship" in the
1980s. :-) Sorry, I don't heap praise on bullshit artists.

Dave's "from the government and he's not here to help" (anyone but himself). It's as simple as that.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1289. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 03:52:48 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126756368.323571.131240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119116

From: RST Engineering on Sep 14, 2:25 pm

>I'm just not "in the know". Why would or would not the FCC consider all
>comments, and what is an "FR Notice"? To be sure of being heard, can we
>repost what we posted prior to the FR Notice?

"FR Notice" is Federal Register Notice. All announcements, news,
notices, laws enacted, etc., by any federal agency is printed in
there...every working day by the Government Printing Office (GPO).

If you look at the lower part of the Title of NPRM 05-143 you
will see that the "Comment period begins when printed in the
Federal Register" and then extends until N number of days after
that notice. 60 days for Comments and 75 days for Replies to
Comments.

The first filed comment on WT Docket 05-235 appeared on 20 July.
The NPRM itself was inserted by the FCC on 21 July but they
moved it to 15 July the next day. You can see the time-stamp
of the ECFS on the 15 July filing (which is larger in file
size than the direct-downloadable NPRM PDF file version because
it is a scanned paper image).

The Notice on NPRM 05-143 did not appear in the Federal Register
until 31 August. When it did, the Notice added the official
cutoff date of Comments as 31 October with cutoff of Replies to
Comments as 14 November. The period between 15 July and 31
August is roughly six weeks. So, for six weeks there's about
2000 Comments filed which were done BEFORE the Notice in the
Federal Register.

You are free to post anything you want in the ECFS. If the FCC
doesn't like it for any reason, then they probably won't let it
be on the ECFS. About half of the "Indeterminates" are so
categorized because they go into some kind of argument/diatribe
NOT directly attributable to the NPRM; the NPRM is ONLY about
the elimination or retention of the code test.

Some individuals have posted MORE than once such as surname
Garcell with over 14 "For" Comments and surname Sparks with
over 5 "Against" Comments. I don't know what the FCC will do
with those. I put duplicates in the "Indeterminate" category
because all I'm doing is getting some insight on the opinions
of all those who Comment.

So far, in the first two months of Comment, the number of
filings exceed all of those posted on WT Docket 98-143 on

Restructuring (roughly 10 months total from release of that NPRM and official - extended twice - cutoff date of 15 Jan 99). If elimination goes through as an R&O it will be of greater impact on the immediate future of United States amateur radio than did R&O 99-412 on restructuring.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1290. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 04:28:53 UTC
From: CCW N4AOX <wynn9701@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tK6We.87$sO1.23@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119117

>
> I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
> incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
> amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
> membership.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans,

I am somewhat surprised at your naivete. The power and authority
for the "executive committee" to screen and block any candidate "for the
good of the service" was vested in a few individuals in the ARRL a few years
back. Read the BOD minutes and the by-laws. This applies all the way
down to the SM, SCM, EC level. That was about the time that the BOD minutes
was removed from the QST mag. reason: to save on printing costs. I
specifically protested through channels and on this forum at the time that
there was no peer review, no appeals process, no redress, no system of
checks and balances to prevent a runaway "star chamber". When an
organization reaches this level of tyranny under the guise of legitimacy, no
reform is possible.

Clay N4AOX

1291. Re: Savage negro beasts hospitalize Houston school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 04:37:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <18udnVs7fKgDdrXeRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message

>>KRBC is in Abilene, Tx.
>
>
> You're right.. my mind is going.. it was Abilene we lived in at the time. We
> moved around so much when I was a kid it was just incredible.. there was not
> a western state we didn't live in, and toss in Nebraska and Missouri just
> for good measure..
>
>
>
I grew up just a few miles southeast of Abilene.

1292. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 04:40:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126759222.409615.11970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119118

From: Dave Heil on Sep 14, 8:44 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil snarling on Sep 14, 1:17 pm
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>>"K?B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote

>>>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/>

>>>>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>
>>>>>>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>>>>>>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>>>>>>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>>>>>>>membership.
>
>>>>>>But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
>
>>>>>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
>>>>>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>>>>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
>>>>>part of the spectrum.
>
>>>> What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>>> 'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?
>
>>>> Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World
>>>> Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
>>>> is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)
>
>>>Plenty of League members operate the bands above 30 MHz.
>
>> Quantify "PLENTY," snarly Heil. Give us some NUMBERS.
>
>"Snarly"=anyone who disagrees with Leonard H. Anderson.
>I've worked hundreds of them over the past four years via SSB/CW/FM on
>the VHF/UHF bands.

Tsk. Still VAGUE. "Hundreds" isn't quantified sufficiently well.

Also, the "millimeter" bands are not the centimeter bands such as
70 cm.

>Perhaps you've heard of the monthly QST VHF/UHF column. It has been
>around for decades. I have decades worth of QST Magazine and ARRL
>Handbooks featuring construction articles on VHF/UHF antennas,
>amplifiers and the like.

Goodie, you can be an "elmer" and teach all those newcomers
ALL ABOUT the MILLIMETER BANDS!! :-)

You have a virtual library all about MILLIMETER BANDS!

>>>You're out of touch, old timer. :-) :-)
>
>> Har. Har. Har.
>
>It isn't really funny. You don't have much of an idea what is going on
>in the VHF/UHF ham bands, do you?

Anyone on the WEST coast would NOT know what is going on
on the east coast. MILLIMETER BANDS are rather line-of-sight.

>> I was operating and maintaining multi-channel 1.8 GHz microwave
>> radio relay equipment in the fall of 1954. Where were you?
>
>Me? I was in kindergarten, prepping myself to take over from geezers
>who'd be out of steam at some point.

Tsk, you've been out of steam for years. :-)

Did you have a pressing need for steam? Get a steam iron and
go to it on the ironing board...

>> I was testing X-Band (8 to 12 GHz) airborne radar at Hughes
>> in 1957. Where were you?
>
>Second grade, Highlands Elementary School, Lake Worth, Florida, prepping
>myself to take over from geezers who'd eventually run out of steam.

Ah, but you KNEW ALL ABOUT those MILLIMETER BANDS then, dincha?

>> I was doing lab tests on 4mm waveguide components in 1960 at the
>> STL research lab. Where were you?
>
>I'd just finished the fifth grade or was starting the sixth at Harrison
>School, Lexington, Kentucky and was still prepping from geezers like
>you--guys who'd eventually run out of steam.

Oh, my, you sure MOVED around a lot? On the run? Someone
after you?

> My late friend Lawrence
>Evans W8CAL was on the ham bands from Moundsville begininng in 1931.

Tsk. All your friends are "late?" Too bad.

>> I was testing microwave components and systems, including
>> designing part of an active air-coupled test set on Ku-Band
>> (18 to 24 GHz) for the A-6 Intruder at Micro-Radionics in the
>> early 1960s. Where were you?
>
>How early? In 1963 I was fourteen and was already a ham. We already
>know what you weren't doing. You weren't a radio amateur.

I was a RADIO PROFESSIONAL. Had been one for 11 years by
1963. Got my First Phone in 1956.

>> I did the entirety of design of an L-band (1 to 2 GHz) Mode 4
>> capable transponder test set RF section at Teledyne
>> Electronics in '78. Where were you?
>
>I was already a seasoned DXer at age 29.

Wowwwwwww. (big Ben Stein "wow")

Are you wanting some kind of medal? Certificate (suitable for
framing)?

How about your Life Story made into a motion picture? I know
a few over at the Writer-Producer's Guild in Burbank...should I
drop them a "treatment" or precis of your story?

>I owned a home in Cincinnati, Ohio.

And that has WHAT to do with amateur radio, "seasoned old DXer?"

>Well, in 1972 I was still in the Air Force.

Tsk. In 'Nam "in-country" working MARS radios? :-)

>Snarly? I've not yet begun to be snarly, wizened one.

Tsk. You've been snarly since your fabulous "synchronizing
RTTYs with morse" from the wilds of Africa... :-)

> I've left plenty
>out too, so if you're ever in the mood to play another game of "mine's
>bigger than yours", I'll happily oblige.

No doubt "yours" is "bigger." No doubt it can throw a lot
farther. :-) It's probably been "in" more things than
mine, too! :-)

You should use the royal "we" more. That way you can wave
your "we-we" at everyone to "prove your point."

>I operated plenty of satellite gear, from large fixed installations to
>suitcase TACSAT equipment.

Woweee...regular James Bomb sorta stuff, ey? I'll ask to see
if Steven Segal is available to play you in your Life Story
motion picture. Might take a while, though, agents wanna

have "lunch" and all that.

>>>Please present any evidence that you've uncovered which outlines what
>>>ARRL members think about the frequencies above 30 MHz. Any at all will do.
>
>> How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 05-235?
>
>> How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 98-143?
>
>Please, break them down for us by ARRL member and non-ARRL member.

Larry Klose already did much of that on Docket 98-143.

I'm still doing that on Docket 05-235 (which won't close until
end of October and 14th November).

So far YOUR numerics are vague to "hundreds" but NOW you want
ME to be terribly, terribly specific as to membership? :-)

>Thank you for your efforts in justifying your previously unqualified and
>unquantified statement.

My my you really ARE pissed off, aincha, snarly Dave? Tsk, tsk.

My bad...said unkind things about Big Brother in Newington. :-)

Snarly Dave, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1293. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 04:44:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126759441.783449.157020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119119

>I've operated on 908 Mhz.

Goodie. Your pretty Certificate (suitable for framing)
is on its way. Too bad you couldn't get the MILLIMETER
BAND endorsement.

Yawn.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1294. Re: Why Does KB9RQZ Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 04:47:04 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <O6RNKYB138610.5326851852@reece.net.au>
Source record: 119120

In article <1126726657.797099.174850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple
a big
> > > > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from
goggle and
> > > > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid
of him so
> > > > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked
off
> > > > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > > > > cut
> > > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> > > > >
> > > > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > > > course but you cliamed it
> > >
> > > That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post,
> > > Markie.
> >
> > There was no "ini9tail" post
>
> Quite correct.
>
> But there WAS an "initial" post.

You made the misspelling, Nursie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> > but indeed 7 years ago you claimed that one call would get me arrested
>
> Nope. I claimed it would get you INVESTIGATED.

You also make other claims you have never backed up, Nursie.

> > > > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > > > month ago
> > >
> > > No, I did not.
> > >
> > > I stated I would file a complain and report. I did.
> >
> > file a complain? what is that
> >
> > You promised however to take action that would do more than anoy me
>
> "Annoy"

"That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post, Markie."

> Judging byt

What's "byt"? "by", you fatassed, balding, girdle-wearing Marine wanna-be.

> the tons of posts you've spewed in the last 3 weeks
> I'd say it's been more than an annoyance.
>
> > You lied plain and simple
>
> Nope.

Yep. If your herpes encrusted mouth is moving, you're lying, Nursie.

> > you are also lying in claiming that you think lying and kid rape are
> > about the same in your eyes...(SNIP)
>
> That's one of your wordsmithing efforts, Markie.
>
> I said that I consider kiddie porn and lying to be equally evil.
>
> I also said I "blew off" the Wiseman/Lloyd routine and that I
> didn't believe any of them were involved in "child rape".

You also blew off Dave Heil in a public restroom........

> I don't think any of that lot could complete a sex act with
> ANYone, child or adult, consenting or forced, it thier lives depended
> on it.

You aren't any smarter than Markie "full of Capt." Morgan, Nursie.

> > > > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
> > >
> > > Nope. Ask Toiddie.
> >
> > but you denied any part in that
> >
> > which statement was the lie
>

> No...I said I did not threaten him. I didn't.
>
> Get your stories straight...Even if YOU aren't...

Follow your own advice, fuck head.
> You know very little, Markie.

Says the liar who pretends he is a bigtime Marine.

> But here's what WE know:

You don't know jack shit, Nursie.

> All of those by RECENT posts you've made.

Speaking of recent, how many shots does that fat bag of Botox you married take at one time?

1295. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 05:01:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126760501.458772.17940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119121

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 5:39 pm
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 11:40 am
> >> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am
>
>
> >> > Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
> >> > try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
> >> > kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
> >> > group.
> >
> >> Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world
> >> can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply
> >> for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for
> >> foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio
> >> can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and
> >> democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who
> >> can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for
> >> the ARRL Board of Directors.
> >
> >certainly they are free to contribute, or is tha pay tribute to the
> >ARRL, just not free to run for office as other memebers of the BoD are
> >
> >Free Elections held are to be held when?
>
> Doesn't really matter, Mark. Snarly Dave wants to make it appear
> that the ARRL "is run just like the federal government." Ain't so,
> no matter what he implies.

No you are wrong in that I think he beleives the shit he is shoveling
>
> Snarly Dave is a BELIEVER and he HATES anyone that dares sass
> his beloved League.
>
>
>
> >> >>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
> >> >>info propagates these days
> >
> >> > I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy

> >> > as "controversial."
> >
> >> That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read
> >> this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about
> >> the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified
> >> him for candidacy though.
> >
> >hard to say that with any real assurance
>
> Snarly Dave "KNOWS" that the ARRL is free, open, without blemish
> and ultimately "fair" to the point of being a veritable nirvana.
>
>
> >> > Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
> >> > supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
> >> > Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.
> >
> >> ...or so you've been told.
> >
> >so we have SEEN
>
> Absolutely! It is readily apparent to anyone in years of QST
> editions, all the filings the League made at the FCC, and in
> the general order of favored precedence in the ARRL publishings.
>
> Snarly Dave wants everyone to believe the League is "benevolent"
> to an absurdity insofar as modes are concerned. They are
> "objective" only in their definitions of themselves; others see
> them differently.
>
>
> >> > Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
> >> > they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
> >> > code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
> >> > concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
> >> > to rankle.
> >
> >> People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
> >> Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
> >> Carl Stevenson.
> >
> >just decided that that he wasn't entitled to same consideration as
> >other memebr of the current BoD nothing more than that
>
> Snarly Dave "explained it all," Mark. All are supposed to TAKE
> what they receive at the hands of the League. Period.
>
> Snarly Dave ain't explained that the League simple left Carl OFF
> the ballot. No word why from the League. The League "doesn't
> have to explain anything," they just DO. That says it all.

>
> Snarly Dave doesn't like Carl Stevenson. So, don't expect any
> objectivity from Dave.
>
> Snarly Dave doesn't like you, either. Don't expect any objectivity
> on anything you write in here.

I don't
>
> Snarly Dave just plain hates my guts from years back when I didn't
> remove my hat, act obedient in his presence, and say "sir" a lot.
> Might have been different if I'd slathered gratuitous praise and
> admiration for his "government service" in the wilds of Africa,
> especially his "synchronizing RTTYs with morsemanship" in the
> 1980s. :-) Sorry, I don't heap praise on bullshit artists.
>
> Dave's "from the government and he's not here to help" (anyone but
> himself). It's as simple as that.

No he isn't from the Govt. thank god for that
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1296. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 05:10:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126761030.880225.314820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119122

CCW N4AOX wrote:
> >
> > I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
> > incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
> > amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
> > membership.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hans,
>
> I am somewhat surprised at your naivete. The power and authority
> for the "executive committee" to screen and block any candidate "for the
> good of the service" was vested in a few individuals in the ARRL a few years
> back. Read the BOD minutes and the by-laws. This applies all the way
> down to the SM, SCM, EC level. That was about the time that the BOD minutes
> was removed from the QST mag. reason: to save on printing costs. I
> specifically protested through channels and on this forum at the time that
> there was no peer review, no appeals process, no redress, no system of
> checks and balances to prevent a runaway "star chamber". When an
> organization reaches this level of tyranny under the guise of legitimacy, no
> reform is possible.

It is possible but it involves many lawyers (and years of work) and
deep reasearch in the IRS Code esp in this case i should think 501 (c)
3 given the ARRL's nonprofit status
>
> Clay N4AOX

1297. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 05:15:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126761353.158399.16800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119123

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >I've operated on 908 Mhz.
>
> Goodie. Your pretty Certificate (suitable for framing)
> is on its way. Too bad you couldn't get the MILLIMETER
> BAND endorsement.

You're late for game, don't bother, I have the plaque for DXCCs on five bands starting with 85000 millimeters and down.

> Yawn.

Yawning eh? That's a messaage Sweetums, geezers like you should to listen to your bodies. So go to bed, you've made enough of an ass of yourself for one night.

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

w3rv

1298. Re: StaggerLee@Houston-hunks.com assfucks Houston negro school children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 06:50:07 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <747c26adca3b98f43f58bad683131d41@dizum.com>
Source record: 119124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3423009020.5300@zifcol.ar>
Brother Stare <bsta...@no.spam> wrote:

Hi Lardass!

1299. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 07:36:16 UTC
From: Rick <w7rtrick@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <11ii93jcud4q538@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119125

My League Director told me in public that only 4 Directors voted to review the Executive Committee's ruling. He said he told them that there was no "upside" to keeping Carl off the ballot, and that as just one director, there was no damage he could do anyway, even if he was as dangerous as they thought.

But, seems to me the Board is hellbent on paybacks for Carl's work with NCI. After all, he and the rest of the NCI Board have beaten them at every turn on the code issue. If it's not paybacks time, then the Board is scared to death of what Carl might do to try to reform the organization. It's one or the other.

Either way, this will stiffle future candidates who might otherwise consider running for an ARRL office, but don't want the public humiliation of being disqualified by the Elections Committee if they don't like the way you part your hair. And, of course if doesn't do much for League recruiting, particularly of younger hams who tend to rebel against such shenanigans when they see them in adults. I agree that there is no upside to keeping him off the ballot, but apparently, the Board would rather bring the house down on their heads than have to deal with an outsider who doesn't care much for the way the exclusive good ol' boys club has been doing things.

Spread the word to your clubs around the country, and let's let the Directors hear of our disgust over this blatant abuse of power.

Rick Tannehill - W7RT
NCI Board Member
Life Member - ARRL

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:gGNVe.10125$Wd7.8839@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>>>
>>>

>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>>>>
>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>>>>
>>>
>>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>>>membership.
>>>
>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>>
>>
>>But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
>
>
> Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
> interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
> conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
> part of the spectrum. The ARRL bylaws state that anybody in that type
> of position cannot become a member of the BoD. The problem is that the
> League has conveniently winked that provision in the bylaws several
> times in the past and has allowed others in Carl's position to become
> Directors. hypocrisy taken to a new level.
>
>
>
>> That piece of
>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

1300. Re: Carl WK3C: Too Corrupt to Become a Member of the ARRL BoD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 09:06:27 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nQaWe.982$Jm.968@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119126

"Rick" <w7rtrick@firstinter.net> wrote in message
news:11ii93jcud4q538@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Spread the word to your clubs around the country, and let's let the
> Directors hear of our disgust over this blatant abuse of power.
>

Keeping the shennanagins related to the recent ARESCOM/WL2K scam in mind,
and that poor horse (http://www.hamblog.com/?p=28) at ARRL HQ, I'd say
that the present board members should all be forced to squeeze (or not)
through the eye of the same needle that Carl could not pass through.

I'm not saying that they were wrong to exclude Carl, but rather that it is
wrong for them to exclude themselves - from the same 'conflict of interest'
filter they have just (correctly) applied to Carl.

Let's see the WinLink and SCS connected board members out on the tiles, too.

- A refreshing administrative enema that should not be limited to the board,
but should encompass the ARRL executives as well.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
---------- HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
---------- DigiBlog http://www.uspacket.org/digiblog/

1301. Transmitter and Signal Tuner Great Ham gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 10:27:42 UTC
From: dnicklus <nicklus@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1126780062.863942.140290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119127

Greeting folks, I thought this was a Ham radio group so here is my post.

I have some woderufl hard to get gear. I am selling it for an Estate. A retired RCA engineer was a radio guy in the US Army. So we have of this gear and his Ham operator stuff.

Not to mention the orgianl 1951 tube TV. I am selling them in Belle Mead NJ at a TAG SALE.

149 Bridgepoint Rd. Belle Mead, NJ 08502
Fri. & Sat. September 16th & 17th 11- 4 PM
Check em out at www.247auctioneer.com

1302. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 10:43:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126780984.089442.83290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119128

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil snarling on Sep 14, 1:17 pm
>
> >Off the top of my head, those who post here who cannot run for ARRL
> >Director:
>
> "Off the top of your head" is just air.

> You've said NOTHING about "join the League and work for change
> from WITHIN!" :-)
>
> What you do if the hierarchy doesn't let you?
>
> Have YOU run for any League post? And why should we care?
>
> Just like most of what you say in here is "off the top of your
> head," it is merely AIR. Go fight with someone you think you
> win message points from. That's YOUR style, mighty AMATEUR
> radio warrior.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He forgot his own disqualifying virtue.

#4. Those who complain about the elimination of Section News from QST.

1303. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 10:43:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126780989.600213.84140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119129

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1126567573.092730.52920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Sure, they can nibble at the ham bands. But there's not much spectrum
> > to be had from them below 400 MHz. All of 6, 2 and 220 only adds up to
> > about two TV channels.
> >
> > What you're really seeing is a push to end NTSC TV transmissions, and
> > go to DTV exclusively.
> >
> > IMHO
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Hello, Jim

Hello
>
> I'm not sure they'd want anything below UHF. If you are inside of a steel
> building, I suspect they'd be better off at higher frequencies as they will
> tend to bounce around and find an egress far easier than VHF.
>
> A 6 meter HT is going to have antenna/ground efficiency problems as well.
> It is far better than 10 (or 11, for that matter), but still is limited with
> a small antenna and a far from satisfactory ground. Plus the wavelength is
> going to have a difficult time getting outside of a building.
>
> 2 meters is better, but still lacking. 440 is better, but up around 1 GHz
> would probably be better than the VHF television channels.

Agreed on all that but what I'm saying is that it's not what that blurb
is really all about.

As Hans, K0HB and others have pointed out, the big problems in NO
aren't about lack of spectrum. They're about lack of planning and lack
of good system design.

What I think that blurb is really all about is the desire fo some to
turn off their NTSC TV transmitters. And I can't say I blame them.

Most TV stations here in Philly are simulcasting DTV and NTSC. That's
expensive, both in tower rental, power and labor costs, and because the
NTSC stuff is all going to be worthless when they finally shut it down.

The migration to DTV has taken a long time and it's going nowhere fast. The stores keep selling NTSC TVs, VCRs, etc., so the 'installed base' isn't shrinking. DTV sets still cost a pretty penny, and if someone doesn't watch that much TV it's not a high priority to replace an NTSC set.

How many more years and dollars before they can shut off the old NTSC transmitter? That's the big issue.

One solution is to distribute set-top boxes that convert DTV signals to NTSC, so that you can watch the DTV transmissions on your NTSC set, tape them on VHS, etc. But who is going to pay for it?

By wrapping the issue in disaster-communications bunting, the whole thing can be made to look as if it's in the national interest to shut down NTSC broadcasting ASAP. The red herring is that the freed-up spectrum will somehow enhance disaster comms.

You get down to the museum yet? They have a working pre-NTSC B&W/color TV set complete with color wheel...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1304. Re: Who's Roger's Bitch made to whine easily!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 10:47:28 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1126781248.198932.252460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I thought I was, either Geno, Ham, or Davies.

Now I'm Steve. Get your shit straight moron. Maybe you can beat the
right
answer out of your mommy.

SHIT CAN LOSER WOGER. LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOSER.
~SHIT CAN MAN WINS AGAIN!

1305. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 10:58:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126781922.346538.155470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119131

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> > > Couple bonding is one of the best aspects of religion.
>
> "Couple bonding" isn't an aspect of religion. It's an aspect of human nature
> (some might even say of animal nature).
>
> > > But I have big problems with unmarried couples having children.
> > >
>
> Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
> fine without it.

If so successful, where are those civilations today?

1306. Re: Why Does KB9RQZ Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 11:06:02 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <b2a062146e425788a1a68c233573863e@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 119132

In article <1126726657.797099.174850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple
a big
> > > > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from
goggle and
> > > > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid
of him so
> > > > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked
off
> > > > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > > > > cut
> > > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> > > > >
> > > > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > > > course but you cliamed it
> > >
> > > That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post,
> > > Markie.
> >
> > There was no "ini9tail" post
>
> Quite correct.
>
> But there WAS an "initial" post.

You made the misspelling, Nursie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> > but indeed 7 years ago you claimed that one call would get me arrested
>
> Nope. I claimed it would get you INVESTIGATED.

You also make other claims you have never backed up, Nursie.

> > > > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > > > month ago
> > >
> > > No, I did not.
> > >
> > > I stated I would file a complain and report. I did.
> >
> > file a complain? what is that
> >
> > You promised however to take action that would do more than anoy me
>
> "Annoy"

"That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post, Markie."

> Judging byt

What's "byt"? "by", you fatassed, balding, girdle-wearing Marine wanna-be.

> the tons of posts you've spewed in the last 3 weeks
> I'd say it's been more than an annoyance.
>
> > You lied plain and simple
>
> Nope.

Yep. If your herpes encrusted mouth is moving, you're lying, Nursie.

> > you are also lying in claiming that you think lying and kid rape are
> > about the same in your eyes...(SNIP)
>
> That's one of your wordsmithing efforts, Markie.
>
> I said that I consider kiddie porn and lying to be equally evil.
>
> I also said I "blew off" the Wiseman/Lloyd routine and that I
> didn't believe any of them were involved in "child rape".

You also blew off Dave Heil in a public restroom........

> I don't think any of that lot could complete a sex act with
> ANYone, child or adult, consenting or forced, it thier lives depended
> on it.

You aren't any smarter than Markie "full of Capt." Morgan, Nursie.

> > > > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
> > >
> > > Nope. Ask Toiddie.
> >
> > but you denied any part in that
> >
> > which statement was the lie
>

> No...I said I did not threaten him. I didn't.
>
> Get your stories straight...Even if YOU aren't...

Follow your own advice, fuck head.
> You know very little, Markie.

Says the liar who pretends he is a bigtime Marine.

> But here's what WE know:

You don't know jack shit, Nursie.

> All of those by RECENT posts you've made.

Speaking of recent, how many shots does that fat bag of Botox you married take at one time?

1307. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 11:28:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126783735.757665.155690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119133

Rick wrote:
> My League Director told me in public that only 4 Directors
> voted to review the Executive Committee's ruling. He said
> he told them that there was no "upside" to keeping Carl off
> the ballot, and that as just one director, there was no
> damage he could do anyway, even if he was as dangerous as
> they thought.

I agree!
>
> But, seems to me the Board is hellbent on paybacks for
> Carl's work with NCI. After all, he and the rest of the NCI
> Board have beaten them at every turn on the code issue.

No, they haven't.

It's clear that FCC was pushing for reductions in Morse Code testing long before NCI existed. And there are strong no-code-test directors on the ARRL BoD.

> If
> it's not paybacks time, then the Board is scared to death of
> what Carl might do to try to reform the organization. It's
> one or the other.

No, it isn't. There are other possibilities.

One is that Carl's manner *in the past* may have upset some people. Or his *past* comments about ARRL and many aspects of ham radio.

There's also his leapfrogging over the field organization and going straight for Director.

Personally I don't see any conflict between his present employment and being an ARRL director. The commitees and Board see different.
>
> Either way, this will stiffle future candidates who might
> otherwise consider running for an ARRL office, but don't
> want the public humiliation of being disqualified by the
> Elections Committee if they don't like the way you part your
> hair.

It might stifle some. But the alleged "humiliation" can be avoided by not going public until the Board accepts your candidacy.

> And, of course if doesn't do much for League
> recruiting, particularly of younger hams who tend to rebel
> against such shenanigans when they see them in adults. I
> agree that there is no upside to keeping him off the ballot,
> but apparently, the Board would rather bring the house down
> on their heads than have to deal with an outsider who
> doesn't care much for the way the exclusive good ol' boys
> club has been doing things.

Seems that way. OTOH, there could be other factors.

> Spread the word to your clubs around the country, and let's
> let the Directors hear of our disgust over this blatant
> abuse of power.
>
Can I be an NCI Board Member?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1308. Re: Who's Roger's Bitch made to whine easily!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 12:32:04 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126787524.838143.4010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119134
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Roger's BITCH? whined:

> I thought

Since when? You don't possess the mental horsepower of a flea.

--

"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com> blathered after consuming sheep shit, nigger cum and a Colt .45 40-ouncer in <1120713041.224890.56600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
"Too funny. Someone look "irony" up the the dictionary for our Woger."

Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>, shows off those Pulitzer Prize spelling and English skills in <1121151624.623671.251920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"No one get's Woger fluster and..."

Who's Roger's BITCH, Ironically, you made an ass of "yourself" when you couldn't even spell "yourself" correctly in Message-ID: <1125371025.009766.45960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
"Do you ever stop and look what an ass you make of your self?"

1309. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 14:35:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126794901.841248.235060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119135

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > Couple bonding is one of the best aspects of religion.
> >
> > "Couple bonding" isn't an aspect of religion. It's an aspect of human nature
> > (some might even say of animal nature).
> >
> > >
> > > But I have big problems with unmarried couples having children.
> > >
> >
> > Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> > perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
> > fine without it.
>
> If so successful, where are those civilations today?

most civilations have a life span, likely to include even our own in
that statement

1310. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 14:41:17 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <e455b$43298825$471c610a$28456@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119136
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

While I would agree with officious and petty, moron and bigot do not apply
from my readings.

I get really tired of that old saw being hauled out and applied to people;
simply because others disagree with their actions.

A few moments spent perusing a dictionary will show that simply refusing to
take in a storm victim, while abhorrent to me, does not make one a bigot.

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4324E0BD.A033E1A@earthlink.net...
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" wrote:
> >
> > The disaster of hurricane Katrina is of unparalleled proportions
> > and ALL humane-thinking citizens can do their part, however big,
> > however small.
>
>
> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>
<http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+
Oaks&btnG=Search+News>
>
>
> --
> ?
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

1311. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 14:53:10 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1126795990.697845.13320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119137

Open Letter to WK3C,

What a story. At a time when I've buried all my hard feelings as to news according to ARRL, which has been public relations over the years, your story emerges. It reinforces my opinion all along that the League is in it for itself, not for ham radio. My plan to join has now been scrapped.

Based on your writing, you shine with integrity. Any organization should feel honored to put you on the ballot. I am truly sorry you've run into what essentially is an utter lack of due process.

One remedial outlet you might survey is administrative. Is there any argument that brings ARRL under the scrutiny of an administrative body like the FCC is subjected to? Maybe you could argue -- admittedly a big stretch -- that because they're the dominant ham radio group by far, they occupy a monopolistic position, giving the federal Administrative Procedure Act jurisdiction.

Now, that procedure you can handle yourself. Even if you don't succeed, you might be able to get to the discovery stage, which would be remedial. Not a licensed attorney, I offer my free help with this procedure that I know so well. Oh yes, along with this offer, I must add: "Contact a member of your jurisdiction's Bar."

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

1312. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 15:19:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <migWe.77$Gg1.10@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119138

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> If so successful, where are those civilations today?

A lot of them were over-run by married Europeans with syphilis.

beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1313. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 15:36:56 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4o4ji1p26o2mcctbkfbuugpan1qmlt05nd@4ax.com>
Source record: 119139
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 10:41:17 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>A few moments spent perusing a dictionary will show that simply refusing to
>take in a storm victim, while abhorrent to me, does not make one a bigot.
>
from the Oxford English Dictionary:

Bigot. 1598. 1. A hypocrite. 2. A superstitious person - 1664. 3. A
person obstinately and unreasonably wedded to a creed, opinion or
ritual - 1661. Intolerant toward others - 1645.

Hardly seems that the meaning has veered from this in the past 340
years.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1314. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 16:00:29 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <31f74$43299abf$471c63c6$12083@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119140
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to an
HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.

"Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:4o4ji1p26o2mcctbkfbuugpan1qmlt05nd@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 10:41:17 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >A few moments spent perusing a dictionary will show that simply refusing
to
> >take in a storm victim, while abhorrent to me, does not make one a bigot.
> >
> from the Oxford English Dictionary:
>
> Bigot. 1598. 1. A hypocrite. 2. A superstitious person - 1664. 3. A
> person obstinately and unreasonably wedded to a creed, opinion or
> ritual - 1661. Intolerant toward others - 1645.
>
> Hardly seems that the meaning has veered from this in the past 340
> years.
>
> 73's
> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1315. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 16:10:30 UTC
From: Rick <w7rtrick@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <11ij77r8jvgvf9a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119141

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Rick wrote:
>
>>My League Director told me in public that only 4 Directors
>>voted to review the Executive Committee's ruling. He said
>>he told them that there was no "upside" to keeping Carl off
>>the ballot, and that as just one director, there was no
>>damage he could do anyway, even if he was as dangerous as
>>they thought.
>
>
> I agree!
>
>>But, seems to me the Board is hellbent on paybacks for
>>Carl's work with NCI. After all, he and the rest of the NCI
>>Board have beaten them at every turn on the code issue.
>
>
> No, they haven't.
>
> It's clear that FCC was pushing for reductions in Morse Code testing
> long before NCI existed. And there are strong no-code-test directors on
> the ARRL BoD.
>
>
>>If
>>it's not paybacks time, then the Board is scared to death of
>>what Carl might do to try to reform the organization. It's
>>one or the other.
>
>
> No, it isn't. There are other possibilities.
>
> One is that Carl's manner *in the past* may have upset some people. Or
> his *past* comments about ARRL and many aspects of ham radio.
>
> There's also his leapfrogging over the field organization and going
> straight for Director.
>
> Personally I don't see any conflict between his present employment and
> being an ARRL director. The commitees and Board see different.
>
>>Either way, this will stiffle future candidates who might

>>otherwise consider running for an ARRL office, but don't
>>want the public humiliation of being disqualified by the
>>Elections Committee if they don't like the way you part your
>>hair.
>
>
> It might stifle some. But the alleged "humiliation" can be avoided by
> not going public until the Board accepts your candidacy.
>
>
>>And, of course if doesn't do much for League
>>recruiting, particularly of younger hams who tend to rebel
>>against such shenanigans when they see them in adults. I
>>agree that there is no upside to keeping him off the ballot,
>>but apparently, the Board would rather bring the house down
>>on their heads than have to deal with an outsider who
>>doesn't care much for the way the exclusive good ol' boys
>>club has been doing things.
>
>
> Seems that way. OTOH, there could be other factors.
>
>
>>Spread the word to your clubs around the country, and let's
>>let the Directors hear of our disgust over this blatant
>>abuse of power.
>>
>
> Can I be an NCI Board Member?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Have you been an NCI member for a year? If so, yes, you can
run, if you agree to the principles of the organization as
espoused on the web page.
Rick T.

1316. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 17:08:41 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t3aji1hsepkfap9c8i3h0j3t592t8nedfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 119142
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 12:00:29 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to an
>HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.

You said it yourself:
>>refusing to take in a storm victim
exactly conforms to
>>>Intolerant toward others - 1645.

otherwise, why would you offer your opinion
>>while abhorrent to me

Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
getting through the eye of the needle.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1317. Re: Why Does KB9RQZ Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 17:59:11 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <b2a062146e425788a1a68c233573863e@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 119144

```
In article <1126726657.797099.174850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > Steve you are good at net copping and you would do a lot of pple
a big
> > > > > > > favor if you would net cop that childraper guy who posts from
goggle and
> > > > > > > get him kicked off. he is way worse than n9ogl and you got rid
of him so
> > > > > > > please get the childraper guy who is probaly realy Wiseman kicked
off
> > > > > > > goggle. thank you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > don't count on Stevie for action he was going to get arested 7 years
> > > > > > > ago, and comitted to a mental institution
> > > > > cut
> > > > > >>I<< was going to get arrested? I don't think so.
> > > > >
> > > > Yes you claimed you were going to get me arrested, you were lying of
> > > > course but you cliamed it
> > >
> > > That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post,
> > > Markie.
> >
> > There was no "ini9tail" post
>
> Quite correct.
>
> But there WAS an "initial" post.

You made the misspelling, Nursie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> > but indeed 7 years ago you claimed that one call would get me arrested
>
> Nope. I claimed it would get you INVESTIGATED.

You also make other claims you have never backed up, Nursie.
```

> > > > you also claimed you were going to get mental health to comit about a
> > > > month ago
> > >
> > > No, I did not.
> > >
> > > I stated I would file a complain and report. I did.
> >
> > file a complain? what is that
> >
> > You promised however to take action that would do more than anoy me
>
> "Annoy"

"That's not what your "sentence" stated in the ini9tial post, Markie."

> Judging byt

What's "byt"? "by", you fatassed, balding, girdle-wearing Marine wanna-be.

> the tons of posts you've spewed in the last 3 weeks
> I'd say it's been more than an annoyance.
>
> > You lied plain and simple
>
> Nope.

Yep. If your herpes encrusted mouth is moving, you're lying, Nursie.

> > you are also lying in claiming that you think lying and kid rape are
> > about the same in your eyes...(SNIP)
>
> That's one of your wordsmithing efforts, Markie.
>
> I said that I consider kiddie porn and lying to be equally evil.
>
> I also said I "blew off" the Wiseman/Lloyd routine and that I
> didn't believe any of them were involved in "child rape".

You also blew off Dave Heil in a public restroom........

> I don't think any of that lot could complete a sex act with
> ANYone, child or adult, consenting or forced, it thier lives depended
> on it.

You aren't any smarter than Markie "full of Capt." Morgan, Nursie.

> > > > You talk a good game but when it comes to action you are always MIA
> > >
> > > Nope. Ask Toiddie.
> >
> > but you denied any part in that
> >
> > which statement was the lie
>

> No...I said I did not threaten him. I didn't.
>
> Get your stories straight...Even if YOU aren't...

Follow your own advice, fuck head.
> You know very little, Markie.

Says the liar who pretends he is a bigtime Marine.

> But here's what WE know:

You don't know jack shit, Nursie.

> All of those by RECENT posts you've made.

Speaking of recent, how many shots does that fat bag of Botox you married take at one time?

1318. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:09:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126807784.039236.82200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119143

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1126567573.092730.52920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Sure, they can nibble at the ham bands. But there's not much spectrum
> > > to be had from them below 400 MHz. All of 6, 2 and 220 only adds up to
> > > about two TV channels.
> > >
> > > What you're really seeing is a push to end NTSC TV transmissions, and
> > > go to DTV exclusively.
> > >
> > > IMHO
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> >
> > Hello, Jim
>
> Hello
> >
> > I'm not sure they'd want anything below UHF. If you are inside of a steel
> > building, I suspect they'd be better off at higher frequencies as they will
> > tend to bounce around and find an egress far easier than VHF.
> >
> > A 6 meter HT is going to have antenna/ground efficiency problems as well.
> > It is far better than 10 (or 11, for that matter), but still is limited with
> > a small antenna and a far from satisfactory ground. Plus the wavelength is
> > going to have a difficult time getting outside of a building.
> >
> > 2 meters is better, but still lacking. 440 is better, but up around 1 GHz
> > would probably be better than the VHF television channels.
>
> Agreed on all that but what I'm saying is that it's not what that blurb
> is really all about.
>
> As Hans, K0HB and others have pointed out, the big problems in NO
> aren't about lack of spectrum. They're about lack of planning and lack
> of good system design.
>
> What I think that blurb is really all about is the desire fo some to
> turn off their NTSC TV transmitters. And I can't say I blame them.
>
> Most TV stations here in Philly are simulcasting DTV and NTSC. That's
> expensive, both in tower rental, power and labor costs, and because the

> NTSC stuff is all going to be worthless when they finally shut it down.
>
> The migration to DTV has taken a long time and it's going nowhere fast.
> The stores keep selling NTSC TVs, VCRs, etc., so the 'installed base'
> isn't shrinking. DTV sets still cost a pretty penny, and if someone
> doesn't watch that much TV it's not a high priority to replace an NTSC
> set.

or watchs mostly news type shows (I really don't need a HDTV pic of
Bill ORiely or Neil Cavuto) But localy NO HDTV is avable at all and
DVDs don't take advantage iof it so why should I pay for one?

> How many more years and dollars before they can shut off the old NTSC
> transmitter? That's the big issue.
>
> One solution is to distribute set-top boxes that convert DTV signals to
> NTSC, so that you can watch the DTV transmissions on your NTSC set,
> tape them on VHS, etc. But who is going to pay for it?
>
> By wrapping the issue in disaster-communications bunting, the whole
> thing can be made to look as if it's in the national interest to shut
> down NTSC broadcasting ASAP. The red herring is that the freed-up
> spectrum will somehow enhance disaster comms.
>
> ---
>
> You get down to the museum yet? They have a working pre-NTSC B&W/color
> TV set complete with color wheel...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1319. Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:13:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <1126808031.037964.232290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the crowbar protection circuit? Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems within certain bands for this supply?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1320. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:27:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <22jWe.156$Gg1.80@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> within certain bands for this supply?

I have 2 RS35M and one RS50M in the shack, between them often loaded to about
80A in aggregate. I have no known RFI problems from them.

(Sorry, no schematic)

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Home page:
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1321. Re: Why Does KB9RQZ Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:39:39 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <secwet.woger.aint.so.secwet@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 119147

On Thu, 15 Sep 2005, Fritz "Secwet Woger" Wuehler wrote:

>
>
> > Speaking of recent, how many shots does that fat bag of Botox you
> > married take at one time?
>
>

What's the matter? Google got your tongue? Looks like Lloyd won again.

1322. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:41:06 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgcf82$1d7u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119148

CCW N4AOX wrote:
>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>>membership.
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Hans,
>
> I am somewhat surprised at your naivete. The power and authority
> for the "executive committee" to screen and block any candidate "for the
> good of the service" was vested in a few individuals in the ARRL a few years
> back. Read the BOD minutes and the by-laws. This applies all the way
> down to the SM, SCM, EC level. That was about the time that the BOD minutes
> was removed from the QST mag. reason: to save on printing costs. I
> specifically protested through channels and on this forum at the time that
> there was no peer review, no appeals process, no redress, no system of
> checks and balances to prevent a runaway "star chamber". When an
> organization reaches this level of tyranny under the guise of legitimacy, no
> reform is possible.

WODR, folks, this is just how things work from top to bottom.

Deal with it.

- Mike KN3EIA -

1323. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:42:06 UTC
From: "Percival P. Cassidy" <Nobody@NotMyISP.net>
Message-ID: <3gjWe.15460$tc7.10245@fe03.lga>
Source record: 119149
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 09/15/05 01:08 pm Richard Clark tossed the following ingredients into
the ever-growing pot of cybersoup:

>>Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to an
>>HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.

<snip>

> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
> getting through the eye of the needle.

Amen, Brother.

"Perce"

1324. Re: Why Does KB9RQZ Resort to Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:46:46 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126810006.929239.84710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119150

Saggytits Lee the child molester whined:
> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005, Fritz "Secwet Woger" Wuehler wrote:

Tsk, You still have that permanent cocksucking lisp, FAGGOT.

> >
> >
> > Speaking of recent, how many shots does that fat bag of Botox you
> > married take at one time?
> >
> >
>
> What's the matter? Google got your tongue? Looks like Lloyd won again.

Looks like you, as usual, don't know what the hell you are talking
about, fatass.

1325. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:46:55 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgcfiv$1d7u$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119151

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> "Training in [radio] contests?!?"

Yeah, training. Please deal with it. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1326. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:51:11 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <6906e$4329c2c1$471c63c6$4287@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119152
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Weak Richard, but we love you no less.

"Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:t3aji1hsepkfap9c8i3h0j3t592t8nedfd@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 12:00:29 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to
an
> >HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.
>
> You said it yourself:
> >>refusing to take in a storm victim
> exactly conforms to
> >>>Intolerant toward others - 1645.
>
> otherwise, why would you offer your opinion
> >>while abhorrent to me
>
> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
> getting through the eye of the needle.
>
> 73's
> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1327. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 18:55:56 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1126810780_1703@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119153
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:
> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
> getting through the eye of the needle.

The small "eye of the needle" gate at the walls of a city
was sized for human beings. It was camels that couldn't
get through it. Camels had to enter through the main gate.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1328. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:01:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5yjWe.170$Gg1.80@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119154

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> WODR, folks
>

WTF is "WODR", other than an FM station used to be down in SC playing funky shag music?

1329. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:09:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lFjWe.10719$Wd7.4963@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119155
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
> getting through the eye of the needle.
>

How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to be a
member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
I want to?)?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1330. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:10:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1126811424.637211.212260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> crowbar protection circuit?

Sorry Steve but no gots.

> Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> within certain bands for this supply?

I have a VS-35M which is the variable-voltage version of your RS-35M
and I've used it to power rigs from 160 thru 2M and have never had an
RFI problem either way. Very quiet P/S. I also have an SS-30 switcher
and it's just as quiet as the analog supply. Astron "makes good stuff".

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

1331. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:11:16 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgch0k$1do8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119157

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Are the emergency organizations going to employ pay and train competent radio
>>operators who are capable of figuring out where they need to be frequency
>>wise?
>
>
> "First responders" are not radio operators. They are firemen, policemen,
> medical personel, ambulance drivers, etc., etc., etc. To these people a radio
> is just another tool --- they need to just "mash the PTT" like you describe, and
> communicate their message.
>
> THIS IS EXACTLY AS IT SHOULD BE! Communications should be transparent to these
> people, and require no training at all beyond simple circuit procedures.

Design the system that will always be up, will allow anyone to
communicate to anyone anywhere with no knowledge of anything by the
users, aside from turning the radio on, adjusting the audio, and mashin'
that button.

Then pay for it!

Then watch what happens when the big one hits.

> The failures of communications in New Orleans were not because of lack of
> spectrum, nor lack of "competent radio operators", but lack of properly hardened
> communications facilities, and lack of backup for those facilities.

They probably needed backup for the backup too....

> Prime example --- the New Orleans PD EDACS MA/Comm 800 MHz radio system
> functioned well during and immediately after the hurricane, but then natural gas
> service to the prime downtown transmitter site was disrupted and the generator
> was out. (No gas, no generator. No generator, no transmitter.) Owners of the
> site would not allow installation of LP gas tanks as a backup to piped gas,
> meaning generators did not have any fuel when the main lines were cut.

You suggesting reactors for power supplies?

The hyper complicated system that you describe only adds to the
infrastructure needed to support the system.

> Further compounding the situation was the fact that the PD EDACS acted as a hub
> of the area Inter-Operation system with 17 hard-patched RF links to a variety of

> other agencies in NO and nearby cities/parishes. When the EDACS went down, it
> pulled all those inter-op links down with it and the whole first-responder comm
> system imploded, reduced to little "islands" of communications that couldn't
> inter-communicate. Airlifting a thousand "competent radio operators" into the
> area would not have improved communications at the level of the "feet on the
> street" cop, fireman, or medical person one iota.
>
> As I see it, two mundane planning changes could have prevented this train
> wreck....
>
> 1) Emergency fuel supplies at the transmitter site (a 2,000 pound tank of
> LP lasts weeks).
> 2) A star or mesh (rather than a hub) topology of the mutual-aid/other
> interop links which didn't allow a single point of failure to crash the whole
> system.

You're coming in on the end of the issue with suggestions of how the
beginning should be handled. You'll admit that is a lot simpler?

I suspect that nature can eventually beat anything that we can design.
What if it was a Cat 5 storm? What if the base of the bulletproof system
was washed away?

I doing a bit of devils advocate here Hans. Your ideas are good,
especially the mesh idea as opposed to a hub. But nature has a way of
accelerating entropy that beats most of the things that we can come up with.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1332. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:19:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgchg4$1doa$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119158

sigvald@binet.is wrote:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>
>
>>>Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
>>>perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
>>>fine without it.
>>
>>as do some modern ones like in Iceland, and other Nordic countries
>>nobody thinks much about young girls having babies before they get
>>married (and not always by the fellow they marry either)
>
>
> If they marry at all, 60% of children in Iceland are born outside of
> marriage.
> That does not mean that they are the products of broken homes or broken
> families (or teenage mothers), what it means is that Icelandic couples
> tend to do things in the order: meet and then have kids while at the
> same time finishing their education, buying their first home (and a
> couple of cars) and then later they probably marry. If they get around
> to it, I know a few couples that have been together for years (more
> than 20 in a couple of cases), had a bunch of kids and generally lived
> their life, without ever marrying.

Then there is something else in the culture keeping them together. Do
the ladies raise their children without the benefit of a male?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1333. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:20:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JPjWe.173$Gg1.63@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119159

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>>>
>>>cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Find me some articles of "great interest in millimeter bands"
>>>>> allocated to amateur radio by the FCC. Who in here has EVER
>>>>> worked up in the millimeter bands?
>>>>
>>>>I have, on numerous occasions from WA8ONQ.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Who in here has EVER worked
>>>>> any ham bands above 70 cm? [besides using a 1 GHz cell phone
>>>>> or 2.4 GHz cordless telephone?]
>>>>
>>>>I have, on numerous occasions.
>>>
>>>
>>>as Have I
>>
>>Tell Len. I didn't ask you.
>
>
> get it throughyour thick head I can coment on ANY post I like and any
> comments. If you can't handle that then shove off

Oh? You're in the business of telling others to leave the newsgroup,
Colonel?
>

>>>>>>>That piece of
>>>>>>>information is necessary to get an insight into the problem. I didn't find
>>>>>>>it on the web site. Of course I don't have a lot of time this morning.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I found it extremely EASY to obtain all the details on Carl's
>>>>> website. Just a couple of mouse clicks while reading this in
>>>>> Google. The same with checking out the League's web site to see
>>>>> the "announcement" of the candidates for Division. At NIGHT,
>>>>> when I first saw Carl's message on Google.
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, let's get a membership drive going, right? "Join and
>>>>> CHANGE THE LEAGUE FROM 'WITHIN'!" Free, open, democratic
>>>>> principle stuff. Uh huh. :-)
>>>>
>>>cuting Daves gartuous insults

>>>> Off the top of my head, those who post here who cannot run for ARRL
>>>> Director:

>>>>1. Those with a potential conflict of interest.

>>>>2. Non-radio amateurs.

>>>>3. Non-ARRL members.

>>>>One of those snags your "old_friend" and two of them fit Leonard H.
>>>>Anderson.

>>
>>I see that you continue to "cute" things. Perhaps you think that is
>>somehow making my words go away. I've never made a "gartuous" insult in
>>my life.
>>
>
> more heil lies

Let's see. Where could the lie be? There is no word "gartuous" so I
could never had made such an insult. So, that coulnd't have been the
lie. Was it a lie that Leonard H. Anderson can't run for ARRL Director?
No, that couldn't have been the lie. Was it a lie that *you* can't
run for ARRL Director? No, that couldn't have been the lie. Maybe you
can't point it out instead of just writing "lies" at every opportunity.

> You have nothing to say so you slash out at any targets in
> neighboorhood, never mind the Subject you don't need no stinking
> subjects

I had something to convey and I did so. That you didn't care for it
doesn't matter a whit.

Dave K8MN

1334. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:20:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LPjWe.10514$4P5.5472@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119160

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> Design the system that will always be up, will allow anyone to communicate to
> anyone anywhere with no knowledge of anything by the users, aside from turning
> the radio on, adjusting the audio, and mashin' that button.
>

You have it precisely correct. I knew you'd catch on!

>
> You suggesting reactors for power supplies?
>

Where did I suggest that?

>
> The hyper complicated system that you describe only adds to the infrastructure
> needed to support the system.
>

Actually, the EDACS at New Orleans was pretty compact, simple, and
straightforward compared to most major metropolitan areas. Certainly wasn't
"hyper complicated".

> Your ideas are good

Of course they are. I made my living for many years in telecommunications
planning/configuration.

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1335. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:23:42 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgchnt$1doa$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119161

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>WODR, folks
>>
>
>
> WTF is "WODR", other than an FM station used to be down in SC playing funky shag
> music?

Sorry Hans, it was a typo. Should have bee WADR - "With All Due Respect".

- Mike KB3EIA -

1336. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:24:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126812273.275429.292330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k...@dvol.com wrote:
> k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> > crowbar protection circuit?
>
> Sorry Steve but no gots.
>
> > Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> > within certain bands for this supply?
>
> I have a VS-35M which is the variable-voltage version of your RS-35M
> and I've used it to power rigs from 160 thru 2M and have never had an
> RFI problem either way. Very quiet P/S. I also have an SS-30 switcher
> and it's just as quiet as the analog supply. Astron "makes good stuff".

I agree...I've had this one almost 15 years now, and it's been
great. I did take a power spike in 95 from a lightning storm and had
to ship it then. As I remember then it was about $65 to fix it, and I
forgot what my out-going shipping is...The cheapest I can find right
now is $40...For that much plus what I am sure is an increase in repair
fees at Antron, I'd almost be better off going for a new supply.

K0HB said:

I have 2 RS35M and one RS50M in the shack, between them often loaded to
about 80A in aggregate. I have no known RFI problems from them.

(Sorry, no schematic)

UNQUOTE

Thanks anyhow, Hans...This unit had sat unused for almost 2 years,
and I didn't know whether to consider the failure during tune-up to be
due to that, or the fact I was on a band I hadn't used before. I had
made 1 QSO with Jim, N2EY on 30M a week ago and had no problems then...

The CH53A and D Sea Stallion's used to have a problem losing the
primary AC generators if the HF was tuned up on 7 or 13MHZ due to RFI
problems in the overspeed protection system.

Hopefully someone else can come up with the schematic for
me...I've got several feelers out.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1337. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:26:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VVjWe.175$Gg1.20@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119163

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 11:40 am
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From: an_old_friend on Sep 14, 3:01 am
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
>>>>> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
>>>>> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
>>>>> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
>>>>> would have been none the wiser.
>>>>
>>>>Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
>>>>What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
>>>>a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
>>> try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
>>> kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
>>> group.
>>
>>Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world
>>can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply
>>for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for
>>foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio
>>can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and
>>democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who
>>can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for
>>the ARRL Board of Directors.
>
>
> certainly they are free to contribute, or is tha pay tribute to the
> ARRL, just not free to run for office as other memebers of the BoD are

Contribute? Yes, folks may contribute of their money or of their time.
One doesn't contribute for membership though. One pays for membership.
Any full League member may stand for office if he receives the requisite
number of nominations and has no potential conflicts of interest.

> Free Elections held are to be held when?

You'll want to check at http://www.arrl.org

>>
>>>>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
>>>>info propagates these days
>>>
>>>
>>> I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
>>> as "controversial."
>>
>>That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read
>>this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about
>>the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified
>>him for candidacy though.
>
>
> hard to say that with any real assurance

Is it? I don't see it that way.

>>
>>> Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
>>> supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
>>> Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.
>>
>>...or so you've been told.
>
>
> so we have SEEN

You've seen the core membership of the ARRL and you know for a fact what
its view is?

>>> Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
>>> they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
>>> code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
>>> concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
>>> to rankle.
>>
>>People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
>>Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
>>Carl Stevenson.
>
>
> just decided that that he wasn't entitled to same consideration as
> other memebr of the current BoD nothing more than that

So you know all of the circumstances and can say, without a shadow of a doubt, that Carl Stevenson was dealt with in a way that is different from a current Board member? Can you supply the details?

Dave K8MN

1338. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:31:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o_jWe.179$Gg1.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119164

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>
>>
>>>It might be informative, if only to demonstrate that none were
>>>in jobs which presented a conflict of interests.
>>>
>>
>>I was a successful candidate (twice) for Vice Director, both times while
>>employed in the same industry segment as Carl.
>
>
> You're one of the primary examples Carl has cited in his complaints.

I asked Hans if his work could have conceivably put him in a position to
influence items before the ITU. Maybe I missed it, but I haven't seen a
response to that yet.

>>What is particularly interesting (to me) is that the Executive Committee did not
>>reject Carl's candidacy because he HAS a conflict of interest, but because he
>>COULD (in the future) HAVE such a conflict. This, in spite of his sworn written
>>promise not to accept any client which might lead to a possible conflict.
>>
>>As is well known around these parts, Carl and I have not always seen eye-to-eye
>>on every subject, but I have never doubted he was a person of integrity and a
>>true-to-his-word kind of guy. That the Executive Committee discounts that
>>solemn promise is very telling, and that the full BoD distanced themselves from
>>the issue by letting it be decided in committee diminishes their honor in my
>>eyes.
>>
>>When I questioned my Director on the matter by email he declined to answer me
>>and passed the buck down to the Secretary, who blew me off with a scholarly
>>explanation/recital of Article 11 of the Articles of Association.
>
>
> The whole deal was cooked from top to bottom. Our outgoing Director
> Bernie Fuller N3EFN is backing our Vice Director Bill Edgar N3LLR for
> the job. Then Carl popped up out of nowhere so "the boys" circled their
> wagons and found a way to quash Carl's candidacy before it even got off
> the ground.

Are you speculating, Brian or do you have some facts that are not common knowledge?

> Even the average banana republic military junta has more finesse than
> this bunch.
>
> I'm waiting to see how HQ responds publically to this nonsense. Or if
> they stonewall it and hope it goes away.

I'd like to know all the facts before I start blasting away at the League.

Dave K8MN

1339. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:35:06 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jhiji1h4mkpile943ko30o6mnmc4dpocna@4ax.com>
Source record: 119165
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:09:05 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>How is "Christian charity" different from other charity

Hi Hans,

I will leave that to other faiths to describe for themselves. One
distinct difference between Christian charity and Islamic charity is
that Muslims are commanded to give the expected portion of their
charity directly to the afflicted. This means hand-to-hand.

>, and do I need to be a
>member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
>I want to?)?

Complete the allusion and enlighten us to which cult offers fare
discounts for buying the way to Heaven. Of course, if you have no
interest in the destination, then the subject is rather transparent
and hardly requires comment.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1340. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:37:34 UTC
From: Robert Kubichek <bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <4329CD7E.3020504@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 119166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> crowbar protection circuit? Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> within certain bands for this supply?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Try here;

http://bama.sbc.edu/astron.htm

--
Bob N9LVU

1341. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:39:43 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <36kWe.14705$mH.4533@fed1read07>
Source record: 119167

RE the subject title
Then the world blew up
Later maybe

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

1342. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 19:43:24 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1126813628_2069@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119168
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote
>>Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
>>and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
>>getting through the eye of the needle.
>>
> How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to be a
> member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
> I want to?)?

Hans, Richard has obviously followed Christian principles by
selling all that he owns, giving the proceeds to the poor,
and becoming a pennyless missionary. But wait, he appears not
to have sold his computer yet. :-)
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1343. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 20:04:05 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126814645.153432.47790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119169

From: Michael Coslo on Wed 14 Sep 2005 16:23

>Dave wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:

>> it seems like the key is that there is no bridge between the various
>> agencies that can coordinate the activities. the red herring is that their
>> radios can't talk to each other.
>
> Yup. It is a function of bandwidth, distance, congestion and other
>stuff like that.

Disagree. It is PLANNING AHEAD for contingencies. Case in point
is the so-called "Tactical" channels used by the LAPD. Normal
operation of radio units uses a common working frequency. Where
more than one group of radio units need to work together, they use
a "TAC" (for tactical) channel that is preassigned...such as "TAC
ONE" or "TAC TWO." LAPD has the planning on what to do if a base
station is suddenly inoperative. The same goes for the LAFD.

In the workload of public safety radio services, they are NOT
playing radio games or "working weak signals" or the other
radio-only activities done by amateurs. They have their regular
duties NOT involving playing with radios.

>> what would appear to be needed is a way for fema, national guard, coast
>> guard, etc to get coordinated with the local authorities... and to do that
>> there are really 2 or 3 levels of coordination needed:
>
> There is a way. Exists right now. The problem in this particular
>disaster is that the emergency services lagged way behind the disaster.

PLANNING AHEAD sometimes lags behind...but that is NOT due to
the alleged "lack of spectrum" as a reporter wrote.

With NO fall-back on contingency planning the agencies fall down.

That's an ORGANIZATIONAL PLANNING thing, something to be done way
ahead of time. A small part of that is radio use. If worst comes
to absolute worst, "communications" can be effected by runners
(couriers, hand-carrying messages between operations bases as was
done in ancient military times)...individuals who carry messages
by hand or by mind or whatever to keep the bases in touch.

Let's look at what was observed and uncovered by news services about New Orleans. That city has been on the edge of the Gulf of Mexico for well over a century and has expanded such that over half of it is BELOW sea level, BELOW lake level. They depend on a dozen-plus huge pumps to continuously drain the city. Do they have a fall-back plan in case of pump failure or levee breach? Doesn't seem so. Centralized communications bases with no secondary bases planned, not enough some small boats to get around, not enough "high-rise" vehicles to get through shallow flooded areas. No interconnecting streets from above sea level areas to other above sea level areas...or dedicated communications lines that would be above sea level.

Anyone who has looked at TV news coverage should have seen dozens and dozens of big yellow school busses sitting in a flooded motor pool (apt name, "motor pool") EMPTY and unused. "High rise" types which have greater-than-average-vehicle road clearance and could have gotten through for evacuation before the flooding was complete. UNUSED in the one-day pre-storm evacuation order issued by the Mayor. Each of those school busses would have been more than adequate to hold portable radio base stations with operators after transporting evacuees to higher ground.

> The problem as I see it is that the radio comms are kind of like a
>swimming duck. Above the water line there is not a lot of stuff going
>on. Below the line is all kinds of activity.

What in the world are you trying to say there?

> Are the emergency organizations going to employ pay and train competent
>radio operators who are capable of figuring out where they need to be
>frequency wise? I doubt it. If so, I wanna apply for that job.

You want to be in a group that was inept at planning ahead?

Clue: MANAGEMENT of a city is SUPPOSED to do that PLANNING AHEAD (of some sort) to handle emergency contingencies. It is NOT "up to the 'radio operators' to seek out 'new operating locales.'"

> In this group, we've discussed the contesting issue, in which others
>and myself have claimed that it is practice for emergency operations.
>One regular poster in particular heaps a lot of scorn on those who
>believe it is practice. But it is.

"Scorn?" No. IMPROPER ANALOGUES, yes. Have YOU EVER worked in any sudden emergency situation? Explain how that is "comparable" to radio contesting.

> These operators would have to be frequency agile, as well as know what
>frequency that they should use in a given situation. They need to be
>able to copy weak signals, and be patient.

No, those operators MUST KNOW THEIR LAND TERRITORY and ORGANIZATION
of all the First Responders. They MUST KNOW and be ABLE TO
IMPROVISE IMMEDIATELY if part of that pre-esisting organization
becomes incommunicative or inoperable or cut off by such things as
impassible roadways.

A sudden emergency/disaster condition involves LIFE and DEATH.
NO radio contact contest is about life and death.

> But I can just about wager a months salary that whatever "new" system
>we end up with, it will be heavily infrastructure dependent, and
>designed so that someone who knows nothing about radio and electronics
>will just mash their PTT button. And it will work perfectly in drills.
>And it will fail miserably when the "big one" hits it.

Make your one month salary amount payable to the American Red Cross.
You lost BIG TIME. I'll just cite a near-"big one" incident that
happened 11 1/2 years ago...precisely at a little past 4:30 AM on
17 January 1994. The Northridge Earthquake. TOTAL primary
electrical power failure for 10 million residents. Several building
collapses. 53 died directly. One natural gas distribution main line
fractured and on fire. Some freeway overpasses collapsed, blocking
all vehicles there.

The Emergency Communications Center for Greater Los Angeles was
functional, ramping up as more and more personnel arrived. PDs had
emergency electrical power for base stations, as did FDs. FDs were
alerted and informed through leased telephone lines that did NOT go
through telephone switching centers, thus remaining open, working.
LAFD was rolling on many fires, one I could see from my high back
yard vantage point (hard to miss against total blackness). Even
the utilities were equipped with emergency power. Mobiles kept on
working and rolling; one LAPD vehicle went face down a collapsed
overpass when unable to stop in time. Utility workers were called
up on the infrastructure telephone system, told were to report for
work. The infrastructure communications system WORKED and the First
Responders responded and started on their enormous work load, all
by just "mashing their PTT buttons" and communicating.

> Then the hams with their "old technology" will come out of the woodwork
>again.

They did NOT do so here 11 1/2 years ago. This terrible infra-
structure that was supposed to "fail" did NOT fail.

Yes, NORMAL telephone service was bogged down AT FIRST by panic.
That settled down. Utilities could call through their leased
lines OUT to workers; that plan was in place and working. There
was adequate EM spectrum allocation for all concerned to do
First Responding. PLANNING and drilling and ORGANIZATION done
well before the event tied everyone together. The enormity of
the repair workload ahead rather put a damper on "playing with
radios" or "fooling around, tuning a band for new contacts."

There was NO warning, NO time to prepare ahead. For any sudden emergency event a PLAN - with sufficient drilling and training - MUST exist beforehand. If radio amateurs are a part of that plan, fine. They can help. But, such a PLAN must concern the FIRST RESPONDERS first. THEY are the ones ON THE SCENE first.

Now all you easties can bitch and moan and call names of "six land" people and all that, but we DO have plans that have been PROVEN by ACTUAL TEST to WORK. In a sudden emergency with absolutely NO warning.

The Gulf Coast region had over three days warning to prepare. Did they have an adequate PLAN of how to handle anything? Ask them. If you need some ideas on what to do and how to plan, come west. We've done it and survived. Or maybe you can go to the storm-ravaged, disaster-prone region south of Hartford and learn all there is to know to be prepared? Your option.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1344. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 20:15:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fDkWe.10734$Wd7.4850@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119170

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> I asked Hans if his work could have conceivably put him in a position to
> influence items before the ITU. Maybe I missed it, but I haven't seen a
> response to that yet.
>

Not directly, although during that period two of my employees sat on the T1E1 committee and did influence CCITT/ITU standards.

Further, Article 11 is not all about the ITU. (See below.) It is ambiguously crafted and can be easily "lawyered" into excluding almost anyone whose paycheck is remotely linked to RF spectrum matters, power lines (BPL?), publishing, emergency communications, transmitter/receiver design/manufacturing, or any other of the myriad things which the BoD might decide to be "affairs of the League".

"No person shall be eligible for, or hold, the office of Director, Vice Director, President or Vice President whose business connections are of such nature that he could gain financially through the shaping of the affairs of the League by the Board, or by the improper exploitation of his office for the furtherance of his own aims or those of his employer. The primary test of eligibility under this Article shall be the freedom from commercial or governmental connections of such nature that his influence in the affairs of the League could be used for his private benefit."

>
> I'd like to know all the facts before I start blasting away at the League.
>

Me too. Unfortunately facts are not very forthcoming.

And I think it was Dr. Who who remarked "The very powerful and the very stupid have one thing in common. Instead of altering their views to fit the facts, they alter the facts to fit their views... which can be very uncomfortable if you happen to be one of the facts that needs altering."

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc

VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1345. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 20:25:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YMkWe.10738$Wd7.8002@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119171

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Sep 14, 8:44 pm
>
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Dave Heil snarling on Sep 14, 1:17 pm
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"K?B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote
>
>
>
>>>>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/>
>
>
>
>>>>>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>>
>>>>>>>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
>>>>>>>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
>>>>>>>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
>>>>>>>>membership.
>>
>>>>>>>But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
>>
>>>>>>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
>>>>>>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>>>>>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
>>>>>>part of the spectrum.
>>
>>>>> What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
>>>>> 'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?
>>
>>>>> Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World

>>>>> Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
>>>>> is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)
>>
>>>>Plenty of League members operate the bands above 30 MHz.
>>
>>> Quantify "PLENTY," snarly Heil. Give us some NUMBERS.
>>
>>"Snarly"=anyone who disagrees with Leonard H. Anderson.
>>I've worked hundreds of them over the past four years via SSB/CW/FM on
>>the VHF/UHF bands.
>
>
> Tsk. Still VAGUE. "Hundreds" isn't quantified sufficiently well.

Yet you've surmised that ARRL members aren't interested. You have no
facts, no figures, just a hunch.

> Also, the "millimeter" bands are not the centimeter bands such as
> 70 cm.

There's one your familiar restatements of the obvious. I'll add my
thanks for all of those who weren't aware.

>
>>Perhaps you've heard of the monthly QST VHF/UHF column. It has been
>>around for decades. I have decades worth of QST Magazine and ARRL
>>Handbooks featuring construction articles on VHF/UHF antennas,
>>amplifiers and the like.
>
>
> Goodie, you can be an "elmer" and teach all those newcomers
> ALL ABOUT the MILLIMETER BANDS!! :-)

Do you know that I haven't?

> You have a virtual library all about MILLIMETER BANDS!

I have a very real library of all things radio.

>
>>>>You're out of touch, old timer. :-) :-)
>>
>>> Har. Har. Har.
>>
>>It isn't really funny. You don't have much of an idea what is going on
>>in the VHF/UHF ham bands, do you?
>
>
> Anyone on the WEST coast would NOT know what is going on
> on the east coast. MILLIMETER BANDS are rather line-of-sight.

Anyone on the West Coast who is not a radio amateur would likely not
know much about what hams were doing at any given time on the millimeter

bands.

>
>>> I was operating and maintaining multi-channel 1.8 GHz microwave
>>> radio relay equipment in the fall of 1954. Where were you?
>>
>>Me? I was in kindergarten, prepping myself to take over from geezers
>>who'd be out of steam at some point.
>
>
> Tsk, you've been out of steam for years. :-)

All things are relative, Leonard. I have about fifteen years more steam
left than you.

> Did you have a pressing need for steam? Get a steam iron and
> go to it on the ironing board...

I'll take the sauna. You may opt for the steam iron if that's what
you've got.

>>> I was testing X-Band (8 to 12 GHz) airborne radar at Hughes
>>> in 1957. Where were you?
>>
>>Second grade, Highlands Elementary School, Lake Worth, Florida, prepping
>>myself to take over from geezers who'd eventually run out of steam.
>
>
> Ah, but you KNEW ALL ABOUT those MILLIMETER BANDS then, dincha?

Why, no, I didn't know a thing about them back then. Statistically,
I'll be learning more about them after your departure.

>
>>> I was doing lab tests on 4mm waveguide components in 1960 at the
>>> STL research lab. Where were you?
>>
>>I'd just finished the fifth grade or was starting the sixth at Harrison
>>School, Lexington, Kentucky and was still prepping from geezers like
>>you--guys who'd eventually run out of steam.
>
>
> Oh, my, you sure MOVED around a lot? On the run? Someone
> after you?

Writing a book? Leave this chapter out and make it a mystery.

>> My late friend Lawrence
>>Evans W8CAL was on the ham bands from Moundsville begininng in 1931.
>
>
> Tsk. All your friends are "late?" Too bad.

Most of my friends who were around before you were are now dead. That's
the way of life. Your "where were you" game plays right into it.

>>> I was testing microwave components and systems, including
>>> designing part of an active air-coupled test set on Ku-Band
>>> (18 to 24 GHz) for the A-6 Intruder at Micro-Radionics in the
>>> early 1960s. Where were you?
>>
>>How early? In 1963 I was fourteen and was already a ham. We already
>>know what you weren't doing. You weren't a radio amateur.
>
>
> I was a RADIO PROFESSIONAL. Had been one for 11 years by
> 1963. Got my First Phone in 1956.

Bully for you. Had this been a PROFESSIONAL radio newsgroup, someone
may have been impressed. You've been on the planet for over seven
decades. You still don't hold an amateur ticket.

>
>
>>> I did the entirety of design of an L-band (1 to 2 GHz) Mode 4
>>> capable transponder test set RF section at Teledyne
>>> Electronics in '78. Where were you?
>>
>>I was already a seasoned DXer at age 29.
>
>
> Wowwwwwww. (big Ben Stein "wow")
>
> Are you wanting some kind of medal? Certificate (suitable for
> framing)?

I don't know of any medals available to DXers, Len. I have the
certificates, thanks.

> How about your Life Story made into a motion picture? I know
> a few over at the Writer-Producer's Guild in Burbank...should I
> drop them a "treatment" or precis of your story?

No thanks. If I need help, I'll avail myself of my sis's connections.

>>I owned a home in Cincinnati, Ohio.
>
>
> And that has WHAT to do with amateur radio, "seasoned old DXer?"

Oh, about as much as your PROFESSIONAL doings on the millimeter bands,
Len. You started off with a premise about radio amateurs and the
millimeter bands and, as usual, turned it into a treatise on what you
were doing decades back.

>>Well, in 1972 I was still in the Air Force.
>

>
> Tsk. In 'Nam "in-country" working MARS radios? :-)

Why, I certainly did that from time-to-time in my off-duty hours. How
kind of you to remember, sort of.

>
>>Snarly? I've not yet begun to be snarly, wizened one.
>
>
> Tsk. You've been snarly since your fabulous "synchronizing
> RTTYs with morse" from the wilds of Africa... :-)

...then again you'd have no way to know that. :-) :-)

>> I've left plenty
>>out too, so if you're ever in the mood to play another game of "mine's
>>bigger than yours", I'll happily oblige.
>
>
> No doubt "yours" is "bigger." No doubt it can throw a lot
> farther. :-)

Throw it? What a peculiar thing to write.

> It's probably been "in" more things than
> mine, too! :-)

Are you guessing again?

> You should use the royal "we" more. That way you can wave
> your "we-we" at everyone to "prove your point."

Use it if you like, Len. I didn't do so.

>>I operated plenty of satellite gear, from large fixed installations to
>>suitcase TACSAT equipment.
>
>
> Woweee...regular James Bomb sorta stuff, ey?

Was it?

> I'll ask to see
> if Steven Segal is available to play you in your Life Story
> motion picture. Might take a while, though, agents wanna
> have "lunch" and all that.

Do so if you like. Then a whole new group of folks can think you're a
crackpot.

>
>
>>>>Please present any evidence that you've uncovered which outlines what
>>>>ARRL members think about the frequencies above 30 MHz. Any at all will do.

>>
>>> How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 05-235?
>>
>>> How about over 2000 Comments on WT Docket 98-143?
>>
>>Please, break them down for us by ARRL member and non-ARRL member.
>
>
> Larry Klose already did much of that on Docket 98-143.

Wow! They were broken down by ARRL member and non-ARRL member? Amazing!

> I'm still doing that on Docket 05-235 (which won't close until
> end of October and 14th November).

...and THOSE are broken down by League member and non-League member?
Wowsers!

> So far YOUR numerics are vague to "hundreds" but NOW you want
> ME to be terribly, terribly specific as to membership? :-)

Weren't you the guy who asked for specific numbers from me?

>>Thank you for your efforts in justifying your previously unqualified and
>>unquantified statement.
>
>
> My my you really ARE pissed off, aincha, snarly Dave? Tsk, tsk.

Not at all, Leonard. I accorded you much more civil treatment than I
received from you.

> My bad...said unkind things about Big Brother in Newington. :-)

That wasn't your error, Len. What you did was state unsubstantiated
things about League members.

> Snarly Dave, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done. :-)

There's a very well known profile which fits your behavior. Would you
like to see it?

Dave K8MN

1346. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 20:33:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dUkWe.10739$Wd7.2389@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119172
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote

>
> Hans, Richard has obviously followed Christian principles by
> selling all that he owns, giving the proceeds to the poor,
> and becoming a pennyless missionary. But wait, he appears not
> to have sold his computer yet. :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>

Cecil, you are one dangerous dude! Welcome back. I missed you.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1347. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 20:55:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HclWe.12012$9i4.10409@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119173

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>
>> More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
>> <plonk>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
> boring
>

As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply can't stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind of anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in this group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?

Sorta like you are getting to be A-O-F.

Dan/W4NTI

1348. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 20:56:08 UTC
From: sigvald@binet.is
Message-ID: <1126817768.382919.269080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119174

Michael Coslo wrote:
> sigvald@binet.is wrote:
>
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >>>Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> >>>perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
> >>>fine without it.
> >>
> >>as do some modern ones like in Iceland, and other Nordic countries
> >>nobody thinks much about young girls having babies before they get
> >>married (and not always by the fellow they marry either)
> >
> >
> > If they marry at all, 60% of children in Iceland are born outside of
> > marriage.
> > That does not mean that they are the products of broken homes or broken
> > families (or teenage mothers), what it means is that Icelandic couples
> > tend to do things in the order: meet and then have kids while at the
> > same time finishing their education, buying their first home (and a
> > couple of cars) and then later they probably marry. If they get around
> > to it, I know a few couples that have been together for years (more
> > than 20 in a couple of cases), had a bunch of kids and generally lived
> > their life, without ever marrying.
>
>
> Then there is something else in the culture keeping them together. Do
> the ladies raise their children without the benefit of a male?

The single mothers obviously do so but the majority of children are
raised by both parents and the participation of the father in the
upbringing is considerable, most parents are both working a full day
away from the home from the time the child is 1 or 2 years old (the
mother gets 6 months paid leave, the dad gets 3 months and they get an
extra 3 months period of paid leave that either of them can take) and
both parents usually participate in the household chores after work.

1349. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 21:49:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jaSdnSmgnLp7cLTeRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 119177
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:31f74$43299abf$471c63c6$12083@ALLTEL.NET...
> Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to
> an
> HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.
>
>
> "Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:4o4ji1p26o2mcctbkfbuugpan1qmlt05nd@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 10:41:17 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >A few moments spent perusing a dictionary will show that simply refusing
> to
>> >take in a storm victim, while abhorrent to me, does not make one a
>> >bigot.
>> >
>> from the Oxford English Dictionary:
>>
>> Bigot. 1598. 1. A hypocrite. 2. A superstitious person - 1664. 3. A
>> person obstinately and unreasonably wedded to a creed, opinion or
>> ritual - 1661. Intolerant toward others - 1645.
>>
>> Hardly seems that the meaning has veered from this in the past 340
>> years.
>>
>> 73's
>> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

Looks to me like all after number 2 apply especially that "unreasonably..."
since they chose to not consider accommodating the victims of the
catastrophe until publicity shined upon them.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1350. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 21:49:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126820994.966291.99680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119175

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
> >>
> >> More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
> >> <plonk>
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
> > boring
> >
>
> As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply can't
> stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind of
> anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have
> decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in this
> group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?

Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value

Boring Dan Boring
>
> Sorta like you are getting to be A-O-F.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1351. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 21:55:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126821317.268863.261750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119176

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Sep 14, 8:44 pm
> >
> >
> >
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: Dave Heil snarling on Sep 14, 1:17 pm
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>From: k...@dvol.com on Sep 14, 4:08 am
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>"K?B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>><k...@dvol.com> wrote
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>I'm usually one who waits to "hear the other side of the story", but this
> >>>>>>>>>incident astounds me. For the first time since I became interested in
> >>>>>>>>>amateur radio, it's not clear to me why I should continue my ARRL
> >>>>>>>>>membership.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>But what is the existing or potential conflict of interest?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Carl works as a consulting engineer for commercial firms which have
> >>>>>>>>interests in the millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
> >>>>>>>>conflict with our interests in the ham bands which are also in that
> >>>>>>>>part of the spectrum.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>> What you mean "millimeter end of the spectrum which could be in
> >>>>>> 'conflict with our interests' in the ham bands"?
> >>

> >>>>> Since WHEN has there been any "great interest" in the World
> >>>>> Above 30 MHz to the League? The core membership of the League
> >>>>> is interested only in "working DX on HF with CW." :-)
> >>
> >>>>Plenty of League members operate the bands above 30 MHz.
> >>
> >>> Quantify "PLENTY," snarly Heil. Give us some NUMBERS.
> >>
> >>"Snarly"=anyone who disagrees with Leonard H. Anderson.
> >>I've worked hundreds of them over the past four years via SSB/CW/FM on
> >>the VHF/UHF bands.
> >
> >
> > Tsk. Still VAGUE. "Hundreds" isn't quantified sufficiently well.
>
> Yet you've surmised that ARRL members aren't interested. You have no
> facts, no figures, just a hunch.

No in truth I believe his point is the ARRL Ledaership isn't interested
>
> > Also, the "millimeter" bands are not the centimeter bands such as
> > 70 cm.
>
> There's one your familiar restatements of the obvious. I'll add my
> thanks for all of those who weren't aware.

well you were going on and on about 70cm and down so...
>
> >
> >>Perhaps you've heard of the monthly QST VHF/UHF column. It has been
> >>around for decades. I have decades worth of QST Magazine and ARRL
> >>Handbooks featuring construction articles on VHF/UHF antennas,
> >>amplifiers and the like.
> >
> >
> > Goodie, you can be an "elmer" and teach all those newcomers
> > ALL ABOUT the MILLIMETER BANDS!! :-)
>
>
> Do you know that I haven't?

I know you can't. You lack the temperment to mentor almost anybody
therefore of course you can't realy elemer any one on the MM bands
cut
> > Anyone on the WEST coast would NOT know what is going on
> > on the east coast. MILLIMETER BANDS are rather line-of-sight.
>
> Anyone on the West Coast who is not a radio amateur would likely not
> know much about what hams were doing at any given time on the millimeter
> bands.

again why must you waste BW reminding everyone that Len ins't a ham

cut
> > My my you really ARE pissed off, aincha, snarly Dave? Tsk, tsk.
>
> Not at all, Leonard. I accorded you much more civil treatment than I
> received from you.

Bigg whooper you aren't civil to anyone that disagrees with you
>
> > My bad...said unkind things about Big Brother in Newington. :-)
>
> That wasn't your error, Len. What you did was state unsubstantiated
> things about League members.
>
> > Snarly Dave, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done. :-)
>
> There's a very well known profile which fits your behavior. Would you
> like to see it?

see you grill yourself? Hmm might be accepatabl;e esp if you realy went
and did it, but personaly Id prefer you flambayed
>
> Dave K8MN

1352. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 21:57:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126821476.840433.194860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119178
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:
> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:09:05 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >How is "Christian charity" different from other charity
>
> Hi Hans,
>
> I will leave that to other faiths to describe for themselves. One
> distinct difference between Christian charity and Islamic charity is
> that Muslims are commanded to give the expected portion of their
> charity directly to the afflicted. This means hand-to-hand.
>
> >, and do I need to be a
> >member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
> >I want to?)?
>
> Complete the allusion and enlighten us to which cult offers fare
> discounts for buying the way to Heaven. Of course, if you have no
> interest in the destination, then the subject is rather transparent
> and hardly requires comment.

Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
of Indulenges for sin, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
firend and relitives post mortem

Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups
>
> 73's
> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1353. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 22:01:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126821684.731252.179640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119179

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Wed 14 Sep 2005 16:23
>
>
> >Dave wrote:
> >> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
> >> it seems like the key is that there is no bridge between the various
> >> agencies that can coordinate the activities. the red herring is that their
> >> radios can't talk to each other.
> >
> > Yup. It is a function of bandwidth, distance, congestion and other
> >stuff like that.
>
> Disagree. It is PLANNING AHEAD for contingencies. Case in point
> is the so-called "Tactical" channels used by the LAPD. Normal
> operation of radio units uses a common working frequency. Where
> more than one group of radio units need to work together, they use
> a "TAC" (for tactical) channel that is preassigned...such as "TAC
> ONE" or "TAC TWO." LAPD has the planning on what to do if a base
> station is suddenly inoperative. The same goes for the LAFD.
>
> In the workload of public safety radio services, they are NOT
> playing radio games or "working weak signals" or the other
> radio-only activities done by amateurs. They have their regular
> duties NOT involving playing with radios.
>
>
> >> what would appear to be needed is a way for fema, national guard, coast
> >> guard, etc to get coordinated with the local authorities... and to do that
> >> there are really 2 or 3 levels of coordination needed:
> >
> > There is a way. Exists right now. The problem in this particular
> >disaster is that the emergency services lagged way behind the disaster.
>
> PLANNING AHEAD sometimes lags behind...but that is NOT due to
> the alleged "lack of spectrum" as a reporter wrote.
>
> With NO fall-back on contingency planning the agencies fall down.
>

> That's an ORGANIZATIONAL PLANNING thing, something to be done way
> ahead of time. A small part of that is radio use. If worst comes
> to absolute worst, "communications" can be effected by runners
> (couriers, hand-carrying messages between operations bases as was
> done in ancient military times)...individuals who carry messages
> by hand or by mind or whatever to keep the bases in touch.

Hand carry is still done in some cases for security, or as a back up
>
> Let's look at what was observed and uncovered by news services
> about New Orleans. That city has been on the edge of the Gulf
> of Mexico for well over a century and has expanded such that
> over half of it is BELOW sea level, BELOW lake level. They
> depend on a dozen-plus huge pumps to continuously drain the
> city. Do they have a fall-back plan in case of pump failure
> or levee breach? Doesn't seem so. Centralized communications
> bases with no secondary bases planned, not enough some small
> boats to get around, not enough "high-rise" vehicles to get
> through shallow flooded areas. No interconnecting streets
> from above sea level areas to other above sea level areas...or
> dedicated communications lines that would be above sea level.
>
> Anyone who has looked at TV news coverage should have seen
> dozens and dozens of big yellow school busses sitting in a
> flooded motor pool (apt name, "motor pool") EMPTY and unused.
> "High rise" types which have greater-than-average-vehicle road
> clearance and could have gotten through for evacuation before
> the flooding was complete. UNUSED in the one-day pre-storm
> evacuation order issued by the Mayor. Each of those school
> busses would have been more than adequate to hold portable
> radio base stations with operators after transporting evacuees
> to higher ground.
>
>
> > The problem as I see it is that the radio comms are kind of like a
> >swimming duck. Above the water line there is not a lot of stuff going
> >on. Below the line is all kinds of activity.
>
> What in the world are you trying to say there?
>
>
> > Are the emergency organizations going to employ pay and train competent
> >radio operators who are capable of figuring out where they need to be
> >frequency wise? I doubt it. If so, I wanna apply for that job.
>
> You want to be in a group that was inept at planning ahead?
>
> Clue: MANAGEMENT of a city is SUPPOSED to do that PLANNING AHEAD
> (of some sort) to handle emergency contingencies. It is NOT "up
> to the 'radio operators' to seek out 'new operating locales.'"

>
>
> > In this group, we've discussed the contesting issue, in which others
> >and myself have claimed that it is practice for emergency operations.
> >One regular poster in particular heaps a lot of scorn on those who
> >believe it is practice. But it is.
>
> "Scorn?" No. IMPROPER ANALOGUES, yes. Have YOU EVER worked in
> any sudden emergency situation? Explain how that is "comparable"
> to radio contesting.
>
> > These operators would have to be frequency agile, as well as know what
> >frequency that they should use in a given situation. They need to be
> >able to copy weak signals, and be patient.
>
> No, those operators MUST KNOW THEIR LAND TERRITORY and ORGANIZATION
> of all the First Responders. They MUST KNOW and be ABLE TO
> IMPROVISE IMMEDIATELY if part of that pre-esisting organization
> becomes incommunicative or inoperable or cut off by such things as
> impassible roadways.
>
> A sudden emergency/disaster condition involves LIFE and DEATH.
> NO radio contact contest is about life and death.
>
> > But I can just about wager a months salary that whatever "new" system
> >we end up with, it will be heavily infrastructure dependent, and
> >designed so that someone who knows nothing about radio and electronics
> >will just mash their PTT button. And it will work perfectly in drills.
> >And it will fail miserably when the "big one" hits it.
>
> Make your one month salary amount payable to the American Red Cross.
> You lost BIG TIME. I'll just cite a near-"big one" incident that
> happened 11 1/2 years ago...precisely at a little past 4:30 AM on
> 17 January 1994. The Northridge Earthquake. TOTAL primary
> electrical power failure for 10 million residents. Several building
> collapses. 53 died directly. One natural gas distribution main line
> fractured and on fire. Some freeway overpasses collapsed, blocking
> all vehicles there.
>
> The Emergency Communications Center for Greater Los Angeles was
> functional, ramping up as more and more personnel arrived. PDs had
> emergency electrical power for base stations, as did FDs. FDs were
> alerted and informed through leased telephone lines that did NOT go
> through telephone switching centers, thus remaining open, working.
> LAFD was rolling on many fires, one I could see from my high back
> yard vantage point (hard to miss against total blackness). Even
> the utilities were equipped with emergency power. Mobiles kept on
> working and rolling; one LAPD vehicle went face down a collapsed
> overpass when unable to stop in time. Utility workers were called
> up on the infrastructure telephone system, told were to report for

> work. The infrastructure communications system WORKED and the First
> Responders responded and started on their enormous work load, all
> by just "mashing their PTT buttons" and communicating.
>
> > Then the hams with their "old technology" will come out of the woodwork
> >again.
>
> They did NOT do so here 11 1/2 years ago. This terrible infra-
> structure that was supposed to "fail" did NOT fail.
>
> Yes, NORMAL telephone service was bogged down AT FIRST by panic.
> That settled down. Utilities could call through their leased
> lines OUT to workers; that plan was in place and working. There
> was adequate EM spectrum allocation for all concerned to do
> First Responding. PLANNING and drilling and ORGANIZATION done
> well before the event tied everyone together. The enormity of
> the repair workload ahead rather put a damper on "playing with
> radios" or "fooling around, tuning a band for new contacts."
> There was NO warning, NO time to prepare ahead. For any sudden
> emergency event a PLAN - with sufficient drilling and training -
> MUST exist beforehand. If radio amateurs are a part of that
> plan, fine. They can help. But, such a PLAN must concern the
> FIRST RESPONDERS first. THEY are the ones ON THE SCENE first.
>
> Now all you easties can bitch and moan and call names of "six
> land" people and all that, but we DO have plans that have been
> PROVEN by ACTUAL TEST to WORK. In a sudden emergency with
> absolutely NO warning.
>
> The Gulf Coast region had over three days warning to prepare.
> Did they have an adequate PLAN of how to handle anything? Ask
> them. If you need some ideas on what to do and how to plan,
> come west. We've done it and survived. Or maybe you can go to
> the storm-ravaged, disaster-prone region south of Hartford and
> learn all there is to know to be prepared? Your option.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1354. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 22:08:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126822101.031776.66060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119180

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut
> >>>>> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
> >>>>> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
> >>>>> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
> >>>>> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
> >>>>> would have been none the wiser.
> >>>>
> >>>>Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
> >>>>What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
> >>>>a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
> >>> try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
> >>> kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
> >>> group.
> >>
> >>Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world
> >>can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply
> >>for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for
> >>foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio
> >>can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and
> >>democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who
> >>can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for
> >>the ARRL Board of Directors.
> >
> >
> > certainly they are free to contribute, or is tha pay tribute to the
> > ARRL, just not free to run for office as other memebers of the BoD are
>
> Contribute? Yes, folks may contribute of their money or of their time.
> One doesn't contribute for membership though. One pays for membership.
> Any full League member may stand for office if he receives the requisite
> number of nominations and has no potential conflicts of interest.

obviously not
>
> > Free Elections held are to be held when?
>
> You'll want to check at http://www.arrl.org

I was looking for the truth not propaganda
>
> >>
> >>>>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
> >>>>info propagates these days
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
> >>> as "controversial."
> >>
> >>That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read
> >>this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about
> >>the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified
> >>him for candidacy though.
> >
> >
> > hard to say that with any real assurance
>
> Is it? I don't see it that way.

of course you don't that would require you to think for yourself

But indeed we don't know exactly what was going through the minds of
the comitte for state security
>
> >>
> >>> Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
> >>> supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
> >>> Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.
> >>
> >>...or so you've been told.
> >
> >
> > so we have SEEN
>
> You've seen the core membership of the ARRL and you know for a fact what
> its view is?

yes I have it is why I am no longer a member

>
> >>> Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
> >>> they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
> >>> code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
> >>> concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
> >>> to rankle.
> >>
> >>People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
> >>Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
> >>Carl Stevenson.
> >
> >

> > just decided that that he wasn't entitled to same consideration as
> > other memebr of the current BoD nothing more than that
>
> So you know all of the circumstances and can say, without a shadow of a
> doubt, that Carl Stevenson was dealt with in a way that is different
> from a current Board member? Can you supply the details?

Carl does that better

Carl has provided assurance NO ARRL director has ever offered

Carl has been declared disquailified for reasons that applied a former
Vicedirector (according to that Vice Director, hans one of our regs)
therefore I know for a fact he has had a different standard than at
least one other canidate for ARRL office
>
> Dave K8MN

1355. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 22:09:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126822186.819541.14980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119181

Michael Coslo wrote:
> sigvald@binet.is wrote:
>
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >>>Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> >>>perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
> >>>fine without it.
> >>
> >>as do some modern ones like in Iceland, and other Nordic countries
> >>nobody thinks much about young girls having babies before they get
> >>married (and not always by the fellow they marry either)
> >
> >
> > If they marry at all, 60% of children in Iceland are born outside of
> > marriage.
> > That does not mean that they are the products of broken homes or broken
> > families (or teenage mothers), what it means is that Icelandic couples
> > tend to do things in the order: meet and then have kids while at the
> > same time finishing their education, buying their first home (and a
> > couple of cars) and then later they probably marry. If they get around
> > to it, I know a few couples that have been together for years (more
> > than 20 in a couple of cases), had a bunch of kids and generally lived
> > their life, without ever marrying.
>
>
> Then there is something else in the culture keeping them together. Do
> the ladies raise their children without the benefit of a male?

not without a male but often without the father of the baby or the
presence of a male of the same generation as the father
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1356. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 22:48:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126824503.629126.214360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > > Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> > > crowbar protection circuit?
> >
> > Sorry Steve but no gots.
> >
> > > Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> > > within certain bands for this supply?
> >
> > I have a VS-35M which is the variable-voltage version of your RS-35M
> > and I've used it to power rigs from 160 thru 2M and have never had an
> > RFI problem either way. Very quiet P/S. I also have an SS-30 switcher
> > and it's just as quiet as the analog supply. Astron "makes good stuff".
>
> I agree...I've had this one almost 15 years now, and it's been
> great. I did take a power spike in 95 from a lightning storm and had
> to ship it then. As I remember then it was about $65 to fix it, and I
> forgot what my out-going shipping is...The cheapest I can find right
> now is $40...For that much plus what I am sure is an increase in repair
> fees at Antron, I'd almost be better off going for a new supply.
>
> K0HB said:
>
> I have 2 RS35M and one RS50M in the shack, between them often loaded to
> about 80A in aggregate. I have no known RFI problems from them.
>
> (Sorry, no schematic)
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Thanks anyhow, Hans...This unit had sat unused for almost 2 years,
> and I didn't know whether to consider the failure during tune-up to be
> due to that, or the fact I was on a band I hadn't used before. I had
> made 1 QSO with Jim, N2EY on 30M a week ago and had no problems then...
>
> The CH53A and D Sea Stallion's used to have a problem losing the
> primary AC generators if the HF was tuned up on 7 or 13MHZ due to RFI
> problems in the overspeed protection system.
>
> Hopefully someone else can come up with the schematic for
> me...I've got several feelers out.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, my RS-35a took a spike and blew up many years ago. Actually the MOV blew up, the rest just quit working. I ordered new power transistors (4), a new regulator chip, and a MOV. I replaced all of it. Be sure to screw the power transistors down tight. Then I turned it on, and it worked again. But I'm not an electronics engineer, nor do I pretend to be one on RRAP.

I'll look through my files and see if I have the schematic; the only diff should be the meter circuits.

Brian

1357. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-15 23:20:43 UTC
From: sigvald@binet.is
Message-ID: <1126826442.982916.280840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119183

an_old_friend wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > sigvald@binet.is wrote:
> >
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >>>Why? The notion of formal marriage is a fairly recent religious invention,
> > > >>>perhaps less than 5,000 years old. Many historic civilizations got along just
> > > >>>fine without it.
> > > >>
> > > >>as do some modern ones like in Iceland, and other Nordic countries
> > > >>nobody thinks much about young girls having babies before they get
> > > >>married (and not always by the fellow they marry either)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > If they marry at all, 60% of children in Iceland are born outside of
> > > > marriage.
> > > > That does not mean that they are the products of broken homes or broken
> > > > families (or teenage mothers), what it means is that Icelandic couples
> > > > tend to do things in the order: meet and then have kids while at the
> > > > same time finishing their education, buying their first home (and a
> > > > couple of cars) and then later they probably marry. If they get around
> > > > to it, I know a few couples that have been together for years (more
> > > > than 20 in a couple of cases), had a bunch of kids and generally lived
> > > > their life, without ever marrying.
> > >
> > >
> > > Then there is something else in the culture keeping them together. Do
> > > the ladies raise their children without the benefit of a male?
> >
> > not without a male but often without the father of the baby or the
> > presence of a male of the same generation as the father

The women often raise the children by themselves or with the help of a
male that is not the father of the child but the children are raised by
both parents in a majority of cases.

1358. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 00:03:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UYnWe.316$Gg1.310@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119184

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
> cut
>
>>>>>>> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
>>>>>>> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
>>>>>>> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
>>>>>>> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
>>>>>>> would have been none the wiser.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
>>>>>>What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
>>>>>>a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
>>>>> try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
>>>>> kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
>>>>> group.
>>>>
>>>>Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world
>>>>can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply
>>>>for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for
>>>>foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio
>>>>can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and
>>>>democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who
>>>>can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for
>>>>the ARRL Board of Directors.
>>>
>>>
>>>certainly they are free to contribute, or is tha pay tribute to the
>>>ARRL, just not free to run for office as other memebers of the BoD are
>>
>>Contribute? Yes, folks may contribute of their money or of their time.
>> One doesn't contribute for membership though. One pays for membership.
>>Any full League member may stand for office if he receives the requisite
>>number of nominations and has no potential conflicts of interest.
>

>
> obviously not

It isn't obvious at all, Mark. There are still unknowns. We have
Carl's side of things. We don't yet know what the committee at ARRL
discussed and exactly how they came to their conclusions.

>>>Free Elections held are to be held when?
>>
>>You'll want to check at http://www.arrl.org
>
>
> I was looking for the truth not propaganda

Never mind. You are free to reject my advice. It comes with a
guarantee. If you don't like it, you receive double your old problems back.

>>>>>>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
>>>>>>info propagates these days
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
>>>>> as "controversial."
>>>>
>>>>That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read
>>>>this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about
>>>>the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified
>>>>him for candidacy though.
>>>
>>>
>>>hard to say that with any real assurance
>>
>>Is it? I don't see it that way.
>
>
> of course you don't that would require you to think for yourself

That's exactly what I've done, Mark. I've not arrived at the same
conclusions.

> But indeed we don't know exactly what was going through the minds of
> the comitte for state security

There is no ARRL "committe" for state security.

>>>>> Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
>>>>> supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
>>>>> Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.
>>>>
>>>>...or so you've been told.
>>>
>>>
>>>so we have SEEN

>>
>>You've seen the core membership of the ARRL and you know for a fact what
>>its view is?
>
>
> yes I have it is why I am no longer a member

You've actually seen the core membership? Really? When? Were they all
gathered in one place?

>>>>> Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
>>>>> they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
>>>>> code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
>>>>> concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
>>>>> to rankle.
>>>>
>>>>People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
>>>>Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
>>>>Carl Stevenson.
>>>
>>>
>>>just decided that that he wasn't entitled to same consideration as
>>>other memebr of the current BoD nothing more than that
>>
>>So you know all of the circumstances and can say, without a shadow of a
>>doubt, that Carl Stevenson was dealt with in a way that is different
>>from a current Board member? Can you supply the details?
>
>
> Carl does that better

No, he hasn't. He has presented some information. There is nothing in
the material present by Carl to indicate that he has been treated
differently. We have only Carl's side of things.

> Carl has provided assurance NO ARRL director has ever offered

To my knowledge, the League has never accepted "because I vow that I won't".

> Carl has been declared disquailified for reasons that applied a former
> Vicedirector (according to that Vice Director, hans one of our regs)
> therefore I know for a fact he has had a different standard than at
> least one other canidate for ARRL office

If you've been reading along, you'll note that I asked Hans for
particulars. I wanted to know if the circumstances were the same. Hans
indicated that they are not the same.

You're setting us up for some wild conspiracy theory.

Dave K8MN

1359. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 00:05:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <p%nWe.320$Gg1.265@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119185

an_old_friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: Michael Coslo on Wed 14 Sep 2005 16:23
>>
>>

>> That's an ORGANIZATIONAL PLANNING thing, something to be done way
>> ahead of time. A small part of that is radio use. If worst comes
>> to absolute worst, "communications" can be effected by runners
>> (couriers, hand-carrying messages between operations bases as was
>> done in ancient military times)...individuals who carry messages
>> by hand or by mind or whatever to keep the bases in touch.
>
>
> Hand carry is still done in some cases for security, or as a back up

"Colonel Morgan, I want to take this message from our headquarters in
New Orleans and swim to Baton Rouge with it. Be back in fifteen minutes."

Dave K8MN

1360. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 00:12:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126829550.755172.231100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119186

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> >>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
> >>
> >>. . . unbelievable . . !!
> >>
> >>w3rv
> >
> >
> > W1AW at it's finest.
>
> W1AW? The decision came from the HQ station?
>
> Dave K8MN

What's K8MN?

1361. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 00:16:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L9oWe.10839$Wd7.7296@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119187

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Dave Heil on Sep 14, 8:44 pm
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>> Also, the "millimeter" bands are not the centimeter bands such as
>>> 70 cm.
>>
>>There's one your familiar restatements of the obvious. I'll add my
>>thanks for all of those who weren't aware.
>
>
> well you were going on and on about 70cm and down so...

Feel free to save yourself from embarrassment by quoting the statements
where I "went on and on about 70cm and down".

>>>>Perhaps you've heard of the monthly QST VHF/UHF column. It has been
>>>>around for decades. I have decades worth of QST Magazine and ARRL
>>>>Handbooks featuring construction articles on VHF/UHF antennas,
>>>>amplifiers and the like.
>>>
>>>
>>> Goodie, you can be an "elmer" and teach all those newcomers
>>> ALL ABOUT the MILLIMETER BANDS!! :-)
>>
>>
>>Do you know that I haven't?
>
>
> I know you can't. You lack the temperment to mentor almost anybody
> therefore of course you can't realy elemer any one on the MM bands
> cut

Mark, it has yet to be demonstrated that you know much about anything at
all.

>>> Anyone on the WEST coast would NOT know what is going on
>>> on the east coast. MILLIMETER BANDS are rather line-of-sight.
>>
>>Anyone on the West Coast who is not a radio amateur would likely not
>>know much about what hams were doing at any given time on the millimeter
>>bands.
>
>
> again why must you waste BW reminding everyone that Len ins't a ham

I pay for my bandwidth. Feel free not to read or comment on anything I
post.

> cut
>
>>> My my you really ARE pissed off, aincha, snarly Dave? Tsk, tsk.
>>
>>Not at all, Leonard. I accorded you much more civil treatment than I
>>received from you.
>
>
> Bigg whooper you aren't civil to anyone that disagrees with you

Why, Mr. Morgan, I believe you've made an error. Perhaps you meant "big
whooper" or "bigg whopper" or "big whopper".

>>> My bad...said unkind things about Big Brother in Newington. :-)
>>
>>That wasn't your error, Len. What you did was state unsubstantiated
>>things about League members.
>>
>>
>>> Snarly Dave, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done. :-)
>>
>>There's a very well known profile which fits your behavior. Would you
>>like to see it?
>
>
> see you grill yourself? Hmm might be accepatabl;e esp if you realy went
> and did it, but personaly Id prefer you flambayed

Well, flamboyant Mark, you may flambay on ebay; I'll flambe'...or not.
My regards to your personaly id.

Dave K8MN

1362. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 00:18:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vboWe.10840$Wd7.2105@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119188

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c/
>>>>
>>>>. . . unbelievable . . !!
>>>>
>>>>w3rv
>>>
>>>
>>>W1AW at it's finest.
>>
>>W1AW? The decision came from the HQ station?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> What's K8MN?

It isn't W1AW.

Dave K8MN

1363. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilands Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 01:19:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126833567.934341.232260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119189

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>Bingo! It is no exaggeration to note that the
> >>biggest atheists here in
> >>the US are almost all filled to the gills
> >>with "Christian Values".
> >
> >
> > ?? I'm not sure what you mean, Mike.
>
> Even though a person may proclaim to be an
> atheist, that person was
> raised in a society that is pretty much Christian,
> and overwhelmingly
> Judeo-Christian.

> The atheist person is going to have most of those same
> values as the rest of the society.

Maybe. After all, "Christian" societies gave us folks
like Joe Stalin and that German guy with the Charlie Chaplin
mustache.

> >>We all have to have some sort of values, save
> >>for the rare and sick few.

> > But all value systems are not created equal.

> Of course not. Now compare the other value systems
> to ours, and you see
> why even atheists have Christian values even if they were
> raised in a Christian country

Way too simplified.

"Christians" can't even agree on what "Christian values" are.
For example, look at how long some "Catholics" and "Protestants"
have been going at each other, in places like Northern Ireland.

Is that a "Christian value"?

How about equal rights for people of different genders, races, creeds,
ethnicities?

Heck, go back just 150 years and there were "Christians" arguing that
it was His will that some people *own* other people....
>
> >>And there is nothing wrong with most of those values. The ten
> >>commandments? A lot of that is good stuff.
> >
> > Sure - but a lot of that is also not necessarily only
> > derived from religion.
>
>The religions were some of original social order came from.
>
Sure - but that doesn't mean we have to do things the way
they were done thousands of years ago.

Democracy came from the Greeks - who were pagans. In fact most of what
we consider "western civilization" (the concept of a republic, the dome
and the arch, even the alphabet you read these words with) came from
Romans, who were pagans too. Mostly, anyway. But we don't honor Zeus
anymore.

> > Most of what is in the Ten Commandments can be easily
> > derived from a pair of questions:
> >
> > "What would a society be like if everyone obeyed that rule?"
> >
> > "What would a society be like if everyone disobeyed that
> > rule?"
> >
> > For example, take stealing. A society composed solely of
> > thieves
> > (people who live by theft rather than production) cannot
> > exist, because
> > pretty soon there will be nothing left to steal. But a
> > society composed
> > of people who don't steal can prosper, because
> > production is the basis of wealth. Therefore stealing is
> > intrinsically "wrong" because it's not productive.
> >
> >>We better not stand too hard
> >>on that "covet thy neighbors goods stuff, tho'.

> > Depends how you define "covet".
>
> Keeping up with the Joneses kind of works that way for me.

>
> > If my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I work
> > hard and save up to buy one, that's a good thing!
>
> That is keeping up with the Joneses

And it's a good thing! That's not "coveting" to me.

> > But if my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I
> > hate
> > him for it, try to steal or damage it, that's a bad thing!
>
> A redundant commandment?

No.

> >And "not having any
> >other God before me" kind of makes it a problem to post it in
> >the courthouse.
>
> > Why should a courthouse, which is paid for by taxes, be a
> > place
> > where the specific words of one religion are publicized to the
> > exclusion of others?
>
> We agree.

When they let me post quotes from the Book of Bokonon next to
the Ten Commandments....

> > I see no problem with private citizens posting their
> > Commandments
> > on public property *if* other private citizens have the same > > right.
>
>I want a turtle holding up the world statue! Turtles all the way >down!

Flying Spaghetti Monster. (look it up)

> >We are what we are, and our heritage is where it comes from.

> > But not just our heritage. We've gotten beyond a heritage
> > of some people owning other people as property. We've gotten
> > beyond a heritage where people of one gender can vote and
> > people of the other gender cannot. Etc.
>
>There are some for whom this new state of being is a problem.
>
Are they "Christians"?
>
> >I suppose that it is a great comfort to many
> >people to "know" just how
> >things are supposed to be, and to have great faith
> >in that "knowing".

> >
> >
> > Of course.
> >
> >
> >>But the faithful have had a long and storied
> >>history of depriving others
> >>of what they believe is their own faith's fundamental rights.
> >
> >
> > Every freedom carries with it at least one responsibility.
> > Freedom of religion can only extend to those religions that
> > can tolerate each other. (For example, a religion that taught
> > that unbelievers must be murdered cannot claim that such
> > behavior is protected by religious freedom. That case is
> > obvious but many similar cases aren't.)
>
> Do you think that Fundies actually want freedom? Certainly for
> themselves, but I wonder about others.....

Sometimes the question is more important than the answer...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1364. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 01:26:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126833987.979753.12650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119190

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 15 Sep 2005 04:28

>Rick wrote:

>> My League Director told me in public that only 4 Directors
>> voted to review the Executive Committee's ruling. He said
>> he told them that there was no "upside" to keeping Carl off
>> the ballot, and that as just one director, there was no
>> damage he could do anyway, even if he was as dangerous as
>> they thought.
>
>I agree!

You agree that "Carl is dangerous?!?" :-)

>> But, seems to me the Board is hellbent on paybacks for
>> Carl's work with NCI. After all, he and the rest of the NCI
>> Board have beaten them at every turn on the code issue.
>
>No, they haven't.

By all that's been published by the ARRL, NCI *has*.

>It's clear that FCC was pushing for reductions in Morse Code testing
>long before NCI existed. And there are strong no-code-test directors on
>the ARRL BoD.

Crappola. The no-code-test movement was just starting to
pick up momentum TWO DECADES AGO. The FCC knew about it
since they got most of the correspondence on that.

The ARRL has been almost virulent on retention of the code
test up to and after 1990 and the big Comment period of FCC
90-53.

Since YOU were NOT one of the ARRL Directors, or even IN the
ARRL in any capacity, you have NO MORE ACCESS to what the
directors had in mind than do any of the rest of us.

>> If
>> it's not paybacks time, then the Board is scared to death of
>> what Carl might do to try to reform the organization. It's
>> one or the other.
>
>No, it isn't. There are other possibilities.

Sorry, sweetums, take your League sugar-coating elsewhere.

>One is that Carl's manner *in the past* may have upset some people. Or
>his *past* comments about ARRL and many aspects of ham radio.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That's exactly what Rick was alluding to, NOT
an "alternate possibility." :-)

Face it, the ARRL is 91 years old and rather set in its ways.

>There's also his leapfrogging over the field organization and going
>straight for Director.

OH WOW!!! Heinous ethical crime! Failure to "go through the
ranks" like a good little League soldier? :-)

>Personally I don't see any conflict between his present employment and
>being an ARRL director. The commitees and Board see different.

Oh? You are an "insider" again? More crappola. You don't
"see" any more than any of us "see." And that consists of
what the League LETS US SEE.

>> Either way, this will stiffle future candidates who might
>> otherwise consider running for an ARRL office, but don't
>> want the public humiliation of being disqualified by the
>> Elections Committee if they don't like the way you part your
>> hair.
>
>It might stifle some. But the alleged "humiliation" can be avoided by
>not going public until the Board accepts your candidacy.

In other words OBEY THE HIERARCHY, do not speak until given
permission to speak? Be good little troopers and accept
what is allowed?

Too bad old George Orwell couldn't have described the
Animal Farm of today.

>> And, of course if doesn't do much for League
>> recruiting, particularly of younger hams who tend to rebel
>> against such shenanigans when they see them in adults. I
>> agree that there is no upside to keeping him off the ballot,
>> but apparently, the Board would rather bring the house down
>> on their heads than have to deal with an outsider who
>> doesn't care much for the way the exclusive good ol' boys
>> club has been doing things.
>
>Seems that way. OTOH, there could be other factors.

Check out the tenure of the existing hierarchy, the BoD,
and report back after you've read ALL the past three decades
of Minutes.

Now write on the black/white board 100 times: GOOD OLD
BOYS CLUB. [is it sinking in yet?]

>> Spread the word to your clubs around the country, and let's
>> let the Directors hear of our disgust over this blatant
>> abuse of power.
>
>Can I be an NCI Board Member?

You are NOT A PARTICIPANT in No-Code-Test movement.

You are OPPOSED to No-Code-Test movement.

You don't have a no-code-test license.

You dislike everyone IN the No-Code-Test movement.

You are mentally an OLD GEEZER who lives in the PAST heyday
of morsemanship in amateur radio.

I would oppose your being a board member and would repeatedly
whap a board on your member if you ever were. Deservedly so.

Any more questions?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1365. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 01:30:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126834200.460634.31730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119191

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 15 Sep 2005 03:43

>Jim Hampton wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message

>What I think that blurb is really all about is the desire fo some to
>turn off their NTSC TV transmitters. And I can't say I blame them.

Okay, so you DON'T understand the coding system of DTV that
evolved through MPEG and the Grand Alliance. Use all those
"IQ smarts" and that double degree to understand it. The
Grand Alliance test program was long and thorough (8 years?)
and has plenty of literature to eddicate you.

>Most TV stations here in Philly are simulcasting DTV and NTSC. That's
>expensive, both in tower rental, power and labor costs, and because the
>NTSC stuff is all going to be worthless when they finally shut it down.

No, it won't be "worthless" sweetums. You've never worked in
any TV station and don't know what's involved. The NTSC video
transmitter is basically a linear AM type and can transmit
ANY digital signal fed to it. Yes, it will require a few add-
ons to meet whatever DTV specs there are, but it can be made
compatible. The basic ham HF transmitter is really a SSB (AM)
linear yet it can do on-off keying with just a few circuit
changes built-in. Same, same.

The NTSC aural transmitter is straight Class C for FM. It can
be altered and retuned to FM BC or any other FM transmit
frequency in VHF or UHF.

ALL of the RGB camera/film/slide/tape "studio" equipment is the
SAME for either system. That's what is being used NOW for all
those simulcasts. Video and audio control consoles are the
Same. Same = Same in math terms.

The major thing added between NTSC and DTV is the ENCODER for
DTV and the necessary "air monitors" to monitor the transmitters.

All those Tektronix (and others') "vector scopes" will be
obsolete. So are things like the Local Subcarrier Generator
and Synchronizer for NTSC. Not major cost items.

Philadelphia is NOT the center of United States television
production...just one of many major market areas in the USA.

>The migration to DTV has taken a long time and it's going nowhere fast.

On the contrary. New DTV transmitters for locales requiring
channel reassignments have been sold and installed for some
time. They ARE working out fine.

>The stores keep selling NTSC TVs, VCRs, etc., so the 'installed base'
>isn't shrinking.

Those same stores (Circuit City, Best Buy, et al) are doing
just fine selling LCD/Plasma/Projection DISPLAY units that are
COMPATIBLE. You need to read the advertisements more often.
Check out the DVDs which are rapidly REPLACING mag tape.

> DTV sets still cost a pretty penny, and if someone
>doesn't watch that much TV it's not a high priority to replace an NTSC
>set.

I just don't see any marketing person coming to you for
"customer insight" on what to sell! :-)

>How many more years and dollars before they can shut off the old NTSC
>transmitter? That's the big issue.

How many years before YOU decide to go solid-state in a ham
transmitter you "designed and built for yourself?" :-)

>One solution is to distribute set-top boxes that convert DTV signals to
>NTSC, so that you can watch the DTV transmissions on your NTSC set,
>tape them on VHS, etc. But who is going to pay for it?

Real customers is who. [not you, of course...]

Get a clue. DVD has replaced magnetic tape for recordable TV.
Check any TV rental store. Read those ads you ignore. DVD
RECORDERS are available. My wife's computer has a DVD recorder
built-in as well as DVD playback through the computer. With a
thin flat-screen display the linearity is superb and so is the
"gamma" (linearity of contrast/brightness).

DTV Coupled with DVD recording and thin flat-screen displays
is a whole order of magnitude BETTER than Betamax ever was.
VHS mag tape recording got excellent a decade ago and the
prices have been dropping while the general economy has grown
more expensive. VHS is doomed, has been doomed by DVD, just
as much as CDs doomed the vinyl disc recording. CDs and DVDs
are BETTER than the old media.

Cable TV is now the leading TV input to households nationwide.
Cable TV is cutting over to digital transmission from the
head end to neighborhoods, the neighborhoods having ALREADY
added a second cable line in many service areas. Nearly all
Cable services offer rentable set-top-boxes to decode digital
into analog TV visual-aural or NTSC RF. My wife and I have
one of those with its own remote and extra services such as
"view-on-demand" (a bit like TiVo, but only in general).
We get MORE free channels plus more premium channels plus

some two dozen free audio-only "channels" just for listening.
Superior picture, no RFI as was once seen on analog service.
Yes, it costs more. Yes, there is more pleasure with it.

Wife and I bought a little palm-sized still/motion-picture
camera (with image stabilization) that records in a 512M
or 1Gig memory chip. Costs less than $200. The Panasonic
still camera that records on a SuperDisk (size of a 3 1/2"
floppy, holds over 450 images in hi-res) cost $600 in 2000.
Still works fine. Technology just keeps getting better.
Maybe you want to make that some paranoic charge to defeat
Eastman Kodak? Sorry, Eastman is doing digital cameras too.

>By wrapping the issue in disaster-communications bunting, the whole
>thing can be made to look as if it's in the national interest to shut
>down NTSC broadcasting ASAP. The red herring is that the freed-up
>spectrum will somehow enhance disaster comms.

Tsk, tsk. You should take your anti-paranoia pills REGULARLY.

The DTV channel reassignment FREED UP SPECTRUM for MANY radio
services. If you had followed the Mass Media Bureau and OET
at the FCC you would have seen that...and the several auctions
for users ALREADY past. Lots of information there, just look
around to see what is what...or indulge in meaningless
paranoia. Your choice. Both ways are free.

A Revision of the HUGE Part 2, Title 47 C.F.R. frequency
allocation table appeared last week in the Federal Register.
You might want to check it out and compare it with old
tables from 1995 to see the differences on what happened
to all those big UHF TV bands.

The DTV channel reassignment problem was complex yet the FCC
(through OET?) did a masterful job of figuring it all out.
You can even download the computer program that figured it
all out from the FCC website. You DO know how to program
a computer, don't you?

>You get down to the museum yet? They have a working pre-NTSC B&W/color
>TV set complete with color wheel...

That was the old "CBS System." Saw one in Chicago around
1948 at an NAB demo. Pretty at the time. But DOOMED from
the beginning on display size plus flicker to some viewers.
Anything larger than 15" diagonal needed a projection
system...the color wheel couldn't be made stable or reliable
at 32" diameter or larger and certainly not quiet enough.

Have you examined the Texas Instruments "micro mirror" chip
that is used for digital light projection. Thousands of
little deformable mirrors, one per pixel, that replacing the
projection CRT. Technology advances, gets on the market and

customers buy it. Nifty system, ey? But you aren't in the loop. Too bad. Just play with your morse code radios and be happy.

1366. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 01:46:12 UTC
From: Ron <apositiveplace@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <FtpWe.2946$P34.2526@okepread07>
Source record: 119192
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gee. I'm actually glad that my initial posting of this link (the one that was up before Charlie's by about 10 hours) didnt go out to all these other groups, only to alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf. Far to many spastic individuals replying with unrelated and often inane comments. How come I'm not surprised.

Ron

"Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
> 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>
> --
>
> Charlie - AD5TH
> www.ad5th.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1367. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 01:52:47 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <r4Gdnc4kKfoHuLfenZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 119193
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

SNIPPED

>
> Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
> of Indulenges for sin, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
> firend and relitives post mortem
>
> Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups

"friend" I challenge you to quote an official Catholic source to support your 'sale' assertion!!

For those who may be interested in putting this outrageous statement to rest, I suggest a trip to your local library and read the official position of the Catholic Church. It is openly published in the public documents of the Second Vatican Council, in a book titled "VATICAN COUNCIL II - The Councilar and Post Councilar Documents", Section 1 titled: "The Constitution on The Sacred Liturgy", dated 4 December 1963, Sub-section 1-6, dated 1 January 1967, titled "Indulgentiarum Doctrina" paragraphs 1 through 18.

Please note that "an_old_friend" has stated another outrageously erroneous misuse of language. 'friend' states "DOGMA". The official pronouncement is DOCTRINAL, not Dogmatic. There is a very significant distinction between the two words.

It has NEVER been the DOGMA of the Catholic Church to sell indulgences. PERIOD! A period of clerical abuse of indulgences, contrary to the Doctrinal position of the Church, did exist in history. Martin Luther was correct to cite this failure in clergy practice. The abuse was censured in the Council of Trent [ca 1545 - 1563].

Note[1] to 'an_old_friend': be sure of your facts before you post outrageously erroneously statements.

Note[2] to 'an_old_friend': your 'prod' sources are also in error.

Signed Ham Op, Catholic Clergyman

1368. Re: If CW is dead....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 02:00:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126836046.744335.124880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119194

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>
> > cut
> >
> >>>>>> Actually, the League did NOT act openly. ARRL simply announced
> >>>>>> the candidates for Divisions and Carl Stevenson was left out of
> >>>>>> the list. For all those who haven't had an idea of what's been
> >>>>>> going on elsewhere in radio, the Atlantic Division, or NCI, they
> >>>>>> would have been none the wiser.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>Forgive my inprecision I meant more openly than I would have expected.
> >>>>>What concerned me was playing florida like games with what is and isn't
> >>>>>a proper ballot. they have moved more openly than I would have expected
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>> Well, the ARRL is a PRIVATE membership organization...even if they
> >>>> try very hard to convince folks that they are "official" and some
> >>>> kind of beneavolent "democratic principled, free election" kind of
> >>>> group.
> >>>>
> >>>Oh yes, very PRIVATE, Leonard. Any radio amateur anywhere in the world
> >>>can becoming a voting member for 39 bucks per year (some discounts apply
> >>>for students and senior citizens and some surcharges are added for
> >>>foreign members). Any non-licensee with an interest in amateur radio
> >>>can become a non-voting Associate Member. Free elections are held and
> >>>democratic principles are employed. As there are restrictions on who
> >>>can run for the U.S. Congress, there are restrictions on who can run for
> >>>the ARRL Board of Directors.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>certainly they are free to contribute, or is tha pay tribute to the
> >>ARRL, just not free to run for office as other memebers of the BoD are
> >>
> >Contribute? Yes, folks may contribute of their money or of their time.
> >> One doesn't contribute for membership though. One pays for membership.
> >Any full League member may stand for office if he receives the requisite
> >number of nominations and has no potential conflicts of interest.

> >
> >
> > obviously not
>
> It isn't obvious at all, Mark. There are still unknowns. We have
> Carl's side of things. We don't yet know what the committee at ARRL
> discussed and exactly how they came to their conclusions.

and it is clear we will not hear from the ARRL any reasons certainly
not with attitudes like yours

>
> >>>Free Elections held are to be held when?
> >>
> >>You'll want to check at http://www.arrl.org
> >
> >
> > I was looking for the truth not propaganda
>
> Never mind. You are free to reject my advice. It comes with a
> guarantee. If you don't like it, you receive double your old problems back.
>
> >>>>>>Or perhaps as you say later they just lack an understanding of the way
> >>>>>>info propagates these days
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> I doubt that. Carl Stevenson would be seen by the League hierarchy
> >>>>> as "controversial."
> >>>>
> >>>>That's quite possible. Someone who sits on the Board may have even read
> >>>>this newsgroup and might have seen some of Carl's choice comments about
> >>>>the League and about Directors. His words would not have disqualified
> >>>>him for candidacy though.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>hard to say that with any real assurance
> >>
> >>Is it? I don't see it that way.
> >
> >
> > of course you don't that would require you to think for yourself
>
> That's exactly what I've done, Mark. I've not arrived at the same
> conclusions.

no you have have made statements based on assumtions indeed you have
drawn conclusion based on facts you have already noted are in evidence

You have no bassis in fact to claim "That's quite possible. Someone
who sits on the Board may have even read this newsgroup and might have
seen some of Carl's choice comments about the League and about

Directors. His words would not have disqualified him for candidacy
though." your conclusion "His words would not have disqualified him
for candidacy though" has no basis in the facts it may or may not be
true
>
> > But indeed we don't know exactly what was going through the minds of
> > the comitte for state security
>
> There is no ARRL "committe" for state security.

sure is they are just not honest enough to call it that
>
> >>>>> Remember that the ARRL has been a staunch
> >>>>> supporter of morsemanship ever since Maxim was their president.
> >>>>> Their core membership is made up largely of morsemen.
> >>>>
> >>>>...or so you've been told.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>so we have SEEN
> >>
> >>You've seen the core membership of the ARRL and you know for a fact what
> >>its view is?
> >
> >
> > yes I have it is why I am no longer a member
>
> You've actually seen the core membership? Really? When? Were they all
> gathered in one place?

Yes I have no they were not
>
> >>>>> Carl is ALSO executive director of NCI. The ARRL did NOT get what
> >>>>> they wanted in one of the past 18 Petitions, that of having the
> >>>>> code test retained for Amateur Extra. As far as the League is
> >>>>> concerned for their appearance to their core membership, that has
> >>>>> to rankle.
> >>>>
> >>>>People get used to being rankled. The rules for running for Section
> >>>>Director are what they are. Nothing was changed in order to exclude
> >>>>Carl Stevenson.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>just decided that that he wasn't entitled to same consideration as
> >>>other memebr of the current BoD nothing more than that
> >>
> >>So you know all of the circumstances and can say, without a shadow of a
> >>doubt, that Carl Stevenson was dealt with in a way that is different
> >>from a current Board member? Can you supply the details?
> >
> >

> > Carl does that better
>
> No, he hasn't. He has presented some information. There is nothing in
> the material present by Carl to indicate that he has been treated
> differently. We have only Carl's side of things.

sure is esp when added to the staments of Hans
>
> > Carl has provided assurance NO ARRL director has ever offered
>
> To my knowledge, the League has never accepted "because I vow that I won't".
>
> > Carl has been declared disquailified for reasons that applied a former
> > Vicedirector (according to that Vice Director, hans one of our regs)
> > therefore I know for a fact he has had a different standard than at
> > least one other canidate for ARRL office
>
> If you've been reading along, you'll note that I asked Hans for
> particulars. I wanted to know if the circumstances were the same. Hans
> indicated that they are not the same.

in what way?
>
> You're setting us up for some wild conspiracy theory.

no I am not seting you up
>
> Dave K8MN

1369. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 02:01:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126833866.416004.285630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119195

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 15 Sep 2005 04:28

>Rick wrote:

>> My League Director told me in public that only 4 Directors
>> voted to review the Executive Committee's ruling. He said
>> he told them that there was no "upside" to keeping Carl off
>> the ballot, and that as just one director, there was no
>> damage he could do anyway, even if he was as dangerous as
>> they thought.
>
>I agree!

You agree that "Carl is dangerous?!?" :-)

>> But, seems to me the Board is hellbent on paybacks for
>> Carl's work with NCI. After all, he and the rest of the NCI
>> Board have beaten them at every turn on the code issue.
>
>No, they haven't.

By all that's been published by the ARRL, NCI *has*.

>It's clear that FCC was pushing for reductions in Morse Code testing
>long before NCI existed. And there are strong no-code-test directors on
>the ARRL BoD.

Crappola. The no-code-test movement was just starting to
pick up momentum TWO DECADES AGO. The FCC knew about it
since they got most of the correspondence on that.

The ARRL has been almost virulent on retention of the code
test up to and after 1990 and the big Comment period of FCC
90-53.

Since YOU were NOT one of the ARRL Directors, or even IN the
ARRL in any capacity, you have NO MORE ACCESS to what the
directors had in mind than do any of the rest of us.

>> If
>> it's not paybacks time, then the Board is scared to death of
>> what Carl might do to try to reform the organization. It's
>> one or the other.
>
>No, it isn't. There are other possibilities.

Sorry, sweetums, take your League sugar-coating elsewhere.

>One is that Carl's manner *in the past* may have upset some people. Or
>his *past* comments about ARRL and many aspects of ham radio.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That's exactly what Rick was alluding to, NOT
an "alternate possibility." :-)

Face it, the ARRL is 91 years old and rather set in its ways.

>There's also his leapfrogging over the field organization and going
>straight for Director.

OH WOW!!! Heinous ethical crime! Failure to "go through the
ranks" like a good little League soldier? :-)

>Personally I don't see any conflict between his present employment and
>being an ARRL director. The commitees and Board see different.

Oh? You are an "insider" again? More crappola. You don't
"see" any more than any of us "see." And that consists of
what the League LETS US SEE.

>> Either way, this will stiffle future candidates who might
>> otherwise consider running for an ARRL office, but don't
>> want the public humiliation of being disqualified by the
>> Elections Committee if they don't like the way you part your
>> hair.
>
>It might stifle some. But the alleged "humiliation" can be avoided by
>not going public until the Board accepts your candidacy.

In other words OBEY THE HIERARCHY, do not speak until given
permission to speak? Be good little troopers and accept
what is allowed?

Too bad old George Orwell couldn't have described the
Animal Farm of today.

>> And, of course if doesn't do much for League
>> recruiting, particularly of younger hams who tend to rebel
>> against such shenanigans when they see them in adults. I
>> agree that there is no upside to keeping him off the ballot,
>> but apparently, the Board would rather bring the house down
>> on their heads than have to deal with an outsider who
>> doesn't care much for the way the exclusive good ol' boys
>> club has been doing things.
>
>Seems that way. OTOH, there could be other factors.

Check out the tenure of the existing hierarchy, the BoD,
and report back after you've read ALL the past three decades
of Minutes.

Now write on the black/white board 100 times: GOOD OLD
BOYS CLUB. [is it sinking in yet?]

>> Spread the word to your clubs around the country, and let's
>> let the Directors hear of our disgust over this blatant
>> abuse of power.
>
>Can I be an NCI Board Member?

You are NOT A PARTICIPANT in No-Code-Test movement.

You are OPPOSED to No-Code-Test movement.

You don't have a no-code-test license.

You dislike everyone IN the No-Code-Test movement.

You are mentally an OLD GEEZER who lives in the PAST heyday
of morsemanship in amateur radio.

I would oppose your being a board member and would repeatedly
whap a board on your member if you ever were. Deservedly so.

Any more questions?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1370. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 02:05:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126836305.325541.226860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119196

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: Dave Heil on Sep 14, 8:44 pm
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>> Also, the "millimeter" bands are not the centimeter bands such as
> >>> 70 cm.
> >>
> >>There's one your familiar restatements of the obvious. I'll add my
> >>thanks for all of those who weren't aware.
> >>
> >>
> > well you were going on and on about 70cm and down so...
> >
> Feel free to save yourself from embarrassment by quoting the statements
> where I "went on and on about 70cm and down".
> >
> >>>>Perhaps you've heard of the monthly QST VHF/UHF column. It has been
> >>>>around for decades. I have decades worth of QST Magazine and ARRL
> >>>>Handbooks featuring construction articles on VHF/UHF antennas,
> >>>>amplifiers and the like.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Goodie, you can be an "elmer" and teach all those newcomers
> >>> ALL ABOUT the MILLIMETER BANDS!! :-)
> >>
> >>
> >>Do you know that I haven't?
> >>
> >>
> > I know you can't. You lack the temperment to mentor almost anybody
> > therefore of course you can't realy elemer any one on the MM bands
> > cut

>
> Mark, it has yet to be demonstrated that you know much about anything at
> all.

which is just the devie boy evasion, lacking an answer, so turn and
attack
>
> >>> Anyone on the WEST coast would NOT know what is going on
> >>> on the east coast. MILLIMETER BANDS are rather line-of-sight.
> >>
> >>Anyone on the West Coast who is not a radio amateur would likely not
> >>know much about what hams were doing at any given time on the millimeter
> >>bands.
> >
> >
> > again why must you waste BW reminding everyone that Len ins't a ham
>
> I pay for my bandwidth. Feel free not to read or comment on anything I
> post.

more evasion deal with the subject and not wate time and effort
>
> > cut
> >
> >>> My my you really ARE pissed off, aincha, snarly Dave? Tsk, tsk.
> >>
> >>Not at all, Leonard. I accorded you much more civil treatment than I
> >>received from you.
> >
> >
> > Bigg whooper you aren't civil to anyone that disagrees with you
>
> Why, Mr. Morgan, I believe you've made an error. Perhaps you meant "big
> whooper" or "bigg whopper" or "big whopper".

so you understood therefore the message got through you choose per SOP
to ignore anything you can by making a personal attack
>
> >>> My bad...said unkind things about Big Brother in Newington. :-)
> >>
> >>That wasn't your error, Len. What you did was state unsubstantiated
> >>things about League members.
> >>
> >>
> >>> Snarly Dave, go to the grill and fork yourself. You're done. :-)
> >>
> >>There's a very well known profile which fits your behavior. Would you
> >>like to see it?
> >
> >
> > see you grill yourself? Hmm might be accepatabl;e esp if you realy went
> > and did it, but personaly Id prefer you flambayed

>
> Well, flamboyant Mark, you may flambay on ebay; I'll flambe'...or not.
> My regards to your personaly id.
>
> Dave K8MN

1371. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 02:09:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126836564.257148.164140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119197

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>From: Michael Coslo on Wed 14 Sep 2005 16:23
> >>
> >>
>
> >> That's an ORGANIZATIONAL PLANNING thing, something to be done way
> >> ahead of time. A small part of that is radio use. If worst comes
> >> to absolute worst, "communications" can be effected by runners
> >> (couriers, hand-carrying messages between operations bases as was
> >> done in ancient military times)...individuals who carry messages
> >> by hand or by mind or whatever to keep the bases in touch.
> >
> >
> > Hand carry is still done in some cases for security, or as a back up
>
> "Colonel Morgan, I want to take this message from our headquarters in
> New Orleans and swim to Baton Rouge with it. Be back in fifteen minutes."

of course true to form dave decideds to be stupid in his attacks
>
> Dave K8MN

1372. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 02:14:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126836875.668811.61810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119198

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> >>>
> >>>W1AW at it's finest.
> >>
> >>W1AW? The decision came from the HQ station?
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > What's K8MN?
>
> It isn't W1AW.

very insightfull, but of course evasive in the extreme SOP Dave
>
> Dave K8MN

1373. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 02:15:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aVpWe.10895$Wd7.9408@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119199

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>From: Michael Coslo on Wed 14 Sep 2005 16:23
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>>> That's an ORGANIZATIONAL PLANNING thing, something to be done way
>>>> ahead of time. A small part of that is radio use. If worst comes
>>>> to absolute worst, "communications" can be effected by runners
>>>> (couriers, hand-carrying messages between operations bases as was
>>>> done in ancient military times)...individuals who carry messages
>>>> by hand or by mind or whatever to keep the bases in touch.
>>>
>>>
>>>Hand carry is still done in some cases for security, or as a back up
>>
>>"Colonel Morgan, I want to take this message from our headquarters in
>>New Orleans and swim to Baton Rouge with it. Be back in fifteen minutes."
>
>
> of course true to form dave decideds to be stupid in his attacks

I think you've mixed up a few of the words. I've decided to attack stupid comments. You quoted an entire multi-paragraph post just to insert your gem. Now that you've had time to digest my response, do you think hand-carrying of messages between two distant points, with flood waters all around is a good idea? Do you think there was any necessity for a high degree of security for most messages being passed in the wake of Hurricane Katrina?

Dave K8MN

1374. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 02:36:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126838170.663279.121950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119200

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Wed 14 Sep 2005 16:23
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>> That's an ORGANIZATIONAL PLANNING thing, something to be done way
> >>>> ahead of time. A small part of that is radio use. If worst comes
> >>>> to absolute worst, "communications" can be effected by runners
> >>>> (couriers, hand-carrying messages between operations bases as was
> >>>> done in ancient military times)...individuals who carry messages
> >>>> by hand or by mind or whatever to keep the bases in touch.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Hand carry is still done in some cases for security, or as a back up
> >>>>
> >>>>"Colonel Morgan, I want to take this message from our headquarters in
> >>>>New Orleans and swim to Baton Rouge with it. Be back in fifteen minutes."
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>> of course true to form dave decideds to be stupid in his attacks
> >>>
> >> I think you've mixed up a few of the words. I've decided to attack
> >> stupid comments. You quoted an entire multi-paragraph post just to
> >> insert your gem. Now that you've had time to digest my response, do you
> >> think hand-carrying of messages between two distant points, with flood
> >> waters all around is a good idea? Do you think there was any necessity
> >> for a high degree of security for most messages being passed in the wake
> >> of Hurricane Katrina?

gee I did exactly as you did but I did not quote a multi paragraph post

Never said it would be, however Hand carry esp of list of stuff at a
given site to another site using the driver of the turck moveing the
fright seems entirely practical either as primary or as back up

also Never claimed security was an issue with Katrina stuff, merely
that security is a prime reason why Hand carry is resorted in commo

Indeed I was correcting Len on a matter of fact, Hand carry is still used today, not merely in ancient times as he claimed.

Indeed I never mentioned katrina in my correction of Len you decided to make a stupid attack of a statement that was rock solid in in truthfullness

showing you don't deal with issues instead you deal in personal attacks, and stpuid ones at that

OTOH sending some one by boat with a message from NO to Batron Rouge could not have worked worse than what WAS done in the aftermath of Katrina, but again 15 mintues doesn't cut it
>
> Dave K8MN

1375. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 03:12:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126840346.964011.313300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119201

From: K□B on Sep 15, 12:20 pm

>"Michael Coslo" <m...@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>> Design the system that will always be up, will allow anyone to communicate to
>> anyone anywhere with no knowledge of anything by the users, aside from turning
>> the radio on, adjusting the audio, and mashin' that button.
>
>You have it precisely correct. I knew you'd catch on!

Takes Michael a LONG time to catch on. I doubt if he'd make a
good First Responder. :-)

>> You suggesting reactors for power supplies?
>
>Where did I suggest that?

You didn't, Hans, but Michael may be hyped on "cold fusion." What
he seems to be fusing is dissent.

ANY startable emergency electric power generator is good if there is
STORABLE fuel at the ready. It was so in the 1950s and the good old
PE-95 truck-transportable diesel motor run generator. [used to fire
one up on the bimonthly readiness check at FEC Hq in Tokyo, in the
blockhouse at Pershing Heights, now the Hq of the Japanese Self
Defense Force]

In the FIRST east coast electrical blackout, one NYC hospital didn't
do good planning. They had a good electric power generator, but the
compressed-air STARTER (big diesel engines for that usually used
compressed air) was operated from 230 VAC! Luckily they were able
to borrow a roll-around gasoline-powered compressor to start their
electric generator. :-)

Back then in the 60s the FAA had air regs that all airport runway
lighting had to have emergency electric generation. The FAA had
forgotten to include regs for all the ATC radios! Pilots in the
air managed to "direct" their own traffic and nobody in the air was
hurt. FAA added/amended regs to include generators shortly after.

A couple decades later, another generation of beaurocrats later,
they didn't plan well enough on the Los Angeles Center ATC
"upgrade" in Palmdale. Result was an outage of several hours
due to a not-fully-tested auto-start-generator computer tie-in
system. Some folks just don't want to listen to what had already
been experienced, thought they had all the answers, didn't TEST
all the "innovations."

>> The hyper complicated system that you describe only adds to the infrastructure
>> needed to support the system.
>
>Actually, the EDACS at New Orleans was pretty compact, simple, and
>straightforward compared to most major metropolitan areas. Certainly wasn't
>"hyper complicated".

The Greater Los Angeles area could be described as having a "hyper-
complicated" public safety radio area. Thing is, every one of
the 84 cities and suburbs got together, including the County and
State, making a workable system with fall-back provisions and
contingency accommodations. It got the acid test nearly a dozen
years ago and PASSED. The lessons learned were incorporated later
to improve it.

New Orleans, mostly built on ground BELOW sea level (I didn't know
that before Katrina hit), should have had enough small boats for
all those First Responders. Did they? Didn't seem like many on
the TV news. Most radios don't float well. Neither do the folks
(First Responders) who "just mash their PTT buttons" NOR the hams.

>> Your ideas are good
>
>Of course they are. I made my living for many years in telecommunications
>planning/configuration.

Your ideas are good to me even if you didn't have the background.
Logical thinking and consideration of ALL factors are necessary.

Contingency thinking, being able to do work-arounds for the
unexpected, is an absolute necessity of managers. Case in point
occurred locally at the Burbank Airport (now Bob Hope Airport)
nearly four decades ago. The FAA control tower in the old
terminal building was above the main restaurant at the airport.
On a Saturday there was a big grease fire in the restaurant
kitchen requiring evacuation of all, including tower personnel.
FAA had no plans for any backup. Neighboring airport towers
advised all of the situation, a few air carriers diverted to
land elsewhere. Meanwhile, Pacific Airomotive, a big aviation
service company at BUR, grabbed some of their radio gear and
set up a makeshift tower communications place on one of their
large trucks now emplaced near the runway intersection. FAA
was happy and rules changes by telephone made it "legal."
That was completed within three hours of the evac order. That
temporary "tower" functioned for over a week afterwards until
the regular tower was deemed habitable and a few toasted wires
replaced. I heard most of it over a civil aviation band
receiver, including a radio news helicopter hovering near the
temporary "tower" getting the news data for live feed on BC.

The FAA didn't throw up their hands and vamoose. Pac Aero was
neighborly and responsive, had enough radio gear to make it
happen with the aid of two other local aero service companies.

The only ones hurt were the owners of the restaurant suffering bank account attacks; it never opened again. Flights resumed though there was more airfreight then (Flying Tigers). Those involved "knew their territory" and managed work-arounds. A decade later a new FAA tower was built very near the site of the temporary one. Folks in management positions acted positively, innovatively, and MADE IT HAPPEN.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1376. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 03:23:03 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126840983.162737.268800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119202

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 15 Sep 2005 04:28

>Rick wrote:

>> My League Director told me in public that only 4 Directors
>> voted to review the Executive Committee's ruling. He said
>> he told them that there was no "upside" to keeping Carl off
>> the ballot, and that as just one director, there was no
>> damage he could do anyway, even if he was as dangerous as
>> they thought.
>
>I agree!

You agree that "Carl is dangerous?!?" :-)

>> But, seems to me the Board is hellbent on paybacks for
>> Carl's work with NCI. After all, he and the rest of the NCI
>> Board have beaten them at every turn on the code issue.
>
>No, they haven't.

By all that's been published by the ARRL, NCI *has*.

>It's clear that FCC was pushing for reductions in Morse Code testing
>long before NCI existed. And there are strong no-code-test directors on
>the ARRL BoD.

Crappola. The no-code-test movement was just starting to
pick up momentum TWO DECADES AGO. The FCC knew about it
since they got most of the correspondence on that.

The ARRL has been almost virulent on retention of the code
test up to and after 1990 and the big Comment period of FCC
90-53. They kept at demanding code testing right up to the
start of WRC-03...even though the IARU had come out for
no code testing a year before.

Since YOU were NOT one of the ARRL Directors, or even IN the
ARRL in any capacity, you have NO MORE ACCESS to what the
directors had in mind than do any of the rest of us.

>> If
>> it's not paybacks time, then the Board is scared to death of
>> what Carl might do to try to reform the organization. It's
>> one or the other.
>

>No, it isn't. There are other possibilities.

Sorry, sweetums, take your League sugar-coating elsewhere.

>One is that Carl's manner *in the past* may have upset some people. Or
>his *past* comments about ARRL and many aspects of ham radio.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That's exactly what Rick was alluding to, NOT
an "alternate possibility." :-)

Face it, the ARRL is 91 years old and rather set in its ways.

>There's also his leapfrogging over the field organization and going
>straight for Director.

OH WOW!!! Heinous ethical crime! Failure to "go through the
ranks" like a good little League soldier? :-)

>Personally I don't see any conflict between his present employment and
>being an ARRL director. The commitees and Board see different.

Oh? You are an "insider" again? More crappola. You don't
"see" any more than any of us "see." And that consists of
what the League LETS US SEE.

>> Either way, this will stiffle future candidates who might
>> otherwise consider running for an ARRL office, but don't
>> want the public humiliation of being disqualified by the
>> Elections Committee if they don't like the way you part your
>> hair.
>
>It might stifle some. But the alleged "humiliation" can be avoided by
>not going public until the Board accepts your candidacy.

In other words OBEY THE HIERARCHY, do not speak until given
permission to speak? Be good little troopers and accept
what is allowed?

Too bad old George Orwell couldn't have described the
Animal Farm of today.

>> And, of course if doesn't do much for League
>> recruiting, particularly of younger hams who tend to rebel
>> against such shenanigans when they see them in adults. I
>> agree that there is no upside to keeping him off the ballot,
>> but apparently, the Board would rather bring the house down
>> on their heads than have to deal with an outsider who
>> doesn't care much for the way the exclusive good ol' boys
>> club has been doing things.
>
>Seems that way. OTOH, there could be other factors.

Check out the tenure of the existing hierarchy, the BoD,
and report back after you've read ALL the past three decades

of Minutes.

Now write on the black/white board 100 times: GOOD OLD
BOYS CLUB. [is it sinking in yet?]

>> Spread the word to your clubs around the country, and let's
>> let the Directors hear of our disgust over this blatant
>> abuse of power.
>
>Can I be an NCI Board Member?

You are NOT A PARTICIPANT in No-Code-Test movement.

You are OPPOSED to No-Code-Test movement.

You don't have a no-code-test license.

You dislike everyone IN the No-Code-Test movement.

You are mentally an OLD GEEZER who lives in the PAST heyday
of morsemanship in amateur radio.

I would oppose your being a board member and would repeatedly
whap a board on your member if you ever were. Deservedly so.

Any more questions?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1377. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 03:25:17 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126841117.500705.280760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119203

From: "K0HB" on Thurs 15 Sep 2005 13:15

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

> I'd like to know all the facts before I start blasting away at the League.

[I doubt that...:-)]

>Me too. Unfortunately facts are not very forthcoming.
>
>And I think it was Dr. Who who remarked "The very powerful and the very stupid
>have one thing in common. Instead of altering their views to fit the facts, they
>alter the facts to fit their views... which can be very uncomfortable if you
>happen to be one of the facts that needs altering."

Heil is unalterable. He isn't tailor-made to get along with all...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1378. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic <<< Mission Accomplished...Thanks to ALL Who Responded!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 03:26:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126841192.693991.255030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > > > Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> > > > crowbar protection circuit?
> > >
> > > Sorry Steve but no gots.
> > >
> > > > Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> > > > within certain bands for this supply?
> > >
> > > I have a VS-35M which is the variable-voltage version of your RS-35M
> > > and I've used it to power rigs from 160 thru 2M and have never had an
> > > RFI problem either way. Very quiet P/S. I also have an SS-30 switcher
> > > and it's just as quiet as the analog supply. Astron "makes good stuff".
> >
> > I agree...I've had this one almost 15 years now, and it's been
> > great. I did take a power spike in 95 from a lightning storm and had
> > to ship it then. As I remember then it was about $65 to fix it, and I
> > forgot what my out-going shipping is...The cheapest I can find right
> > now is $40...For that much plus what I am sure is an increase in repair
> > fees at Antron, I'd almost be better off going for a new supply.
> >
> > K0HB said:
> >
> > I have 2 RS35M and one RS50M in the shack, between them often loaded to
> > about 80A in aggregate. I have no known RFI problems from them.
> >
> > (Sorry, no schematic)
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > Thanks anyhow, Hans...This unit had sat unused for almost 2 years,
> > and I didn't know whether to consider the failure during tune-up to be
> > due to that, or the fact I was on a band I hadn't used before. I had
> > made 1 QSO with Jim, N2EY on 30M a week ago and had no problems then...
> >
> > The CH53A and D Sea Stallion's used to have a problem losing the
> > primary AC generators if the HF was tuned up on 7 or 13MHZ due to RFI
> > problems in the overspeed protection system.
> >

> > Hopefully someone else can come up with the schematic for
> > me...I've got several feelers out.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, my RS-35a took a spike and blew up many years ago. Actually the
> MOV blew up, the rest just quit working. I ordered new power
> transistors (4), a new regulator chip, and a MOV. I replaced all of
> it. Be sure to screw the power transistors down tight. Then I turned
> it on, and it worked again. But I'm not an electronics engineer, nor
> do I pretend to be one on RRAP.
>
> I'll look through my files and see if I have the schematic; the only
> diff should be the meter circuits.
>
> Brian

Dear Brian and Everyone else,

Thanks to all for the help, both here and e-mail...

Brian, I think the power transistors are OK, but will swap that
chip and see what cooks...Will let ya know.

73 to all

Steve, K4YZ

1379. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 03:29:50 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126841390.771598.45970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119205

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 15 Sep 2005 03:43

>Jim Hampton wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message

>What I think that blurb is really all about is the desire fo some to
>turn off their NTSC TV transmitters. And I can't say I blame them.

Okay, so you DON'T understand the coding system of DTV that
evolved through MPEG and the Grand Alliance. Use all those
"IQ smarts" and that double degree to understand it. The
Grand Alliance test program was long and thorough (8 years?)
and has plenty of literature to eddicate you.

>Most TV stations here in Philly are simulcasting DTV and NTSC. That's
>expensive, both in tower rental, power and labor costs, and because the
>NTSC stuff is all going to be worthless when they finally shut it down.

No, it won't be "worthless" sweetums. You've never worked in
any TV station and don't know what's involved. The NTSC video
transmitter is basically a linear AM type and can transmit
ANY digital signal fed to it. Yes, it will require a few add-
ons to meet whatever DTV specs there are, but it can be made
compatible. The basic ham HF transmitter is really a SSB (AM)
linear yet it can do on-off keying with just a few circuit
changes built-in. Same, same.

The NTSC aural transmitter is straight Class C for FM. It can
be altered and retuned to FM BC or any other FM transmit
frequency in VHF or UHF.

ALL of the RGB camera/film/slide/tape "studio" equipment is the
SAME for either system. That's what is being used NOW for all
those simulcasts. Video and audio control consoles are the
Same. Same = Same in math terms.

The major thing added between NTSC and DTV is the ENCODER for
DTV and the necessary "air monitors" to monitor the transmitters.

All those Tektronix (and others') "vector scopes" will be
obsolete. So are things like the Local Subcarrier Generator
and Synchronizer for NTSC. Not major cost items.

Philadelphia is NOT the center of United States television
production...just one of many major market areas in the USA.

>The migration to DTV has taken a long time and it's going nowhere fast.

On the contrary. New DTV transmitters for locales requiring
channel reassignments have been sold and installed for some
time. They ARE working out fine.

>The stores keep selling NTSC TVs, VCRs, etc., so the 'installed base'
>isn't shrinking.

Those same stores (Circuit City, Best Buy, et al) are doing
just fine selling LCD/Plasma/Projection DISPLAY units that are
COMPATIBLE. You need to read the advertisements more often.
Check out the DVDs which are rapidly REPLACING mag tape.

> DTV sets still cost a pretty penny, and if someone
>doesn't watch that much TV it's not a high priority to replace an NTSC
>set.

I just don't see any marketing person coming to you for
"customer insight" on what to sell! :-)

>How many more years and dollars before they can shut off the old NTSC
>transmitter? That's the big issue.

How many years before YOU decide to go solid-state in a ham
transmitter you "designed and built for yourself?" :-)

>One solution is to distribute set-top boxes that convert DTV signals to
>NTSC, so that you can watch the DTV transmissions on your NTSC set,
>tape them on VHS, etc. But who is going to pay for it?

Real customers is who. [not you, of course...]

Get a clue. DVD has replaced magnetic tape for recordable TV.
Check any TV rental store. Read those ads you ignore. DVD
RECORDERS are available. My wife's computer has a DVD recorder
built-in as well as DVD playback through the computer. With a
thin flat-screen display the linearity is superb and so is the
"gamma" (linearity of contrast/brightness).

DTV Coupled with DVD recording and thin flat-screen displays
is a whole order of magnitude BETTER than Betamax ever was.
VHS mag tape recording got excellent a decade ago and the
prices have been dropping while the general economy has grown
more expensive. VHS is doomed, has been doomed by DVD, just
as much as CDs doomed the vinyl disc recording. CDs and DVDs
are BETTER than the old media.

Cable TV is now the leading TV input to households nationwide.
Cable TV is cutting over to digital transmission from the
head end to neighborhoods, the neighborhoods having ALREADY
added a second cable line in many service areas. Nearly all
Cable services offer rentable set-top-boxes to decode digital
into analog TV visual-aural or NTSC RF. My wife and I have
one of those with its own remote and extra services such as
"view-on-demand" (a bit like TiVo, but only in general).
We get MORE free channels plus more premium channels plus

some two dozen free audio-only "channels" just for listening.
Superior picture, no RFI as was once seen on analog service.
Yes, it costs more. Yes, there is more pleasure with it.

Wife and I bought a little palm-sized still/motion-picture
camera (with image stabilization) that records in a 512M
or 1Gig memory chip. Costs less than $200. The Panasonic
still camera that records on a SuperDisk (size of a 3 1/2"
floppy, holds over 450 images in hi-res) cost $600 in 2000.
Still works fine. Technology just keeps getting better.
Maybe you want to make that some paranoic charge to defeat
Eastman Kodak? Sorry, Eastman is doing digital cameras too.

>By wrapping the issue in disaster-communications bunting, the whole
>thing can be made to look as if it's in the national interest to shut
>down NTSC broadcasting ASAP. The red herring is that the freed-up
>spectrum will somehow enhance disaster comms.

Tsk, tsk. You should take your anti-paranoia pills REGULARLY.

The DTV channel reassignment FREED UP SPECTRUM for MANY radio
services. If you had followed the Mass Media Bureau and OET
at the FCC you would have seen that...and the several auctions
for users ALREADY past. Lots of information there, just look
around to see what is what...or indulge in meaningless
paranoia. Your choice. Both ways are free.

A Revision of the HUGE Part 2, Title 47 C.F.R. frequency
allocation table appeared last week in the Federal Register.
You might want to check it out and compare it with old
tables from 1995 to see the differences on what happened
to all those big UHF TV bands.

The DTV channel reassignment problem was complex yet the FCC
(through OET?) did a masterful job of figuring it all out.
You can even download the computer program that figured it
all out from the FCC website. You DO know how to program
a computer, don't you?

>You get down to the museum yet? They have a working pre-NTSC B&W/color
>TV set complete with color wheel...

That was the old "CBS System." Saw one in Chicago around
1948 at an NAB demo. Pretty at the time. But DOOMED from
the beginning on display size plus flicker to some viewers.
Anything larger than 15" diagonal needed a projection
system...the color wheel couldn't be made stable or reliable
at 32" diameter or larger and certainly not quiet enough.

Have you examined the Texas Instruments "micro mirror" chip
that is used for digital light projection. Thousands of
little deformable mirrors, one per pixel, that replacing the
projection CRT. Technology advances, gets on the market and

customers buy it. Nifty system, ey? But you aren't in the loop. Too bad. Just play with your morse code radios and be happy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1380. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 03:35:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126841735.271894.11640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119206

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Thurs 15 Sep 2005 13:15
>
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>
> > I'd like to know all the facts before I start blasting away at the League.
>
> [I doubt that...:-)]
>
> >Me too. Unfortunately facts are not very forthcoming.
> >
> >And I think it was Dr. Who who remarked "The very powerful and the very stupid
> >have one thing in common. Instead of altering their views to fit the facts, they
> >alter the facts to fit their views... which can be very uncomfortable if you
> >happen to be one of the facts that needs altering."

The quote is Dr Who although they may have lifted it from Somewhere
else, the serial was called "Face of Evil" aand was from the Tom Baker
era served to introduce the companion Leia to the show
>
> Heil is unalterable. He isn't tailor-made to get along with all...

indeed You are right about that Never thought that Who quote would
prove to have an exception
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1381. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 04:39:09 UTC
From: Steven Fritts <w4sef@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <a2jki19270ff6qlqktmetgomqcgsppe07e@4ax.com>
Source record: 119207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do you still need the schematic? I have one and will email it to you.

Steve W4SEF

On 15 Sep 2005 11:13:51 -0700, k4yz@aol.com wrote:

> Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
>crowbar protection circuit? Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
>within certain bands for this supply?
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

1382. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 05:55:25 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200509.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <d5e84e79befdf7dae6e49d2bd2d9bcb7@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 119208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1126808031.037964.232290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
k...@aol.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> crowbar protection circuit? Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> within certain bands for this supply?

Yeah I do, Nursie, but I wouldn't give it to you even if it was an emergency.

1383. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 06:42:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1126852957.010244.36150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steven Fritts wrote:

> On 15 Sep 2005 11:13:51 -0700, k4yz@aol.com wrote:
>
> > Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> >crowbar protection circuit? Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> >within certain bands for this supply?
> >
> >73
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Do you still need the schematic? I have one and will email it to you.
>
> Steve W4SEF

Thanks, Steve, but it's covered...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1384. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic <<< Mission Accomplished...Thanks to ALL Who Responded!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 10:50:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126867857.631550.187790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > > > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > > > > Does anyone have the schematic for the RS-35M, in particular the
> > > > > crowbar protection circuit?
> > > >
> > > > Sorry Steve but no gots.
> > > >
> > > > > Also, does anyone know of any RFI problems
> > > > > within certain bands for this supply?
> > > >
> > > > I have a VS-35M which is the variable-voltage version of your RS-35M
> > > > and I've used it to power rigs from 160 thru 2M and have never had an
> > > > RFI problem either way. Very quiet P/S. I also have an SS-30 switcher
> > > > and it's just as quiet as the analog supply. Astron "makes good stuff".
> > >
> > > I agree...I've had this one almost 15 years now, and it's been
> > > great. I did take a power spike in 95 from a lightning storm and had
> > > to ship it then. As I remember then it was about $65 to fix it, and I
> > > forgot what my out-going shipping is...The cheapest I can find right
> > > now is $40...For that much plus what I am sure is an increase in repair
> > > fees at Antron, I'd almost be better off going for a new supply.
> > >
> > > K0HB said:
> > >
> > > I have 2 RS35M and one RS50M in the shack, between them often loaded to
> > > about 80A in aggregate. I have no known RFI problems from them.
> > >
> > > (Sorry, no schematic)
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > Thanks anyhow, Hans...This unit had sat unused for almost 2 years,
> > > and I didn't know whether to consider the failure during tune-up to be
> > > due to that, or the fact I was on a band I hadn't used before. I had
> > > made 1 QSO with Jim, N2EY on 30M a week ago and had no problems then...
> > >
> > > The CH53A and D Sea Stallion's used to have a problem losing the
> > > primary AC generators if the HF was tuned up on 7 or 13MHZ due to RFI
> > > problems in the overspeed protection system.

> > >
> > > Hopefully someone else can come up with the schematic for
> > > me...I've got several feelers out.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, my RS-35a took a spike and blew up many years ago. Actually the
> > MOV blew up, the rest just quit working. I ordered new power
> > transistors (4), a new regulator chip, and a MOV. I replaced all of
> > it. Be sure to screw the power transistors down tight. Then I turned
> > it on, and it worked again. But I'm not an electronics engineer, nor
> > do I pretend to be one on RRAP.
> >
> > I'll look through my files and see if I have the schematic; the only
> > diff should be the meter circuits.
> >
> > Brian
>
> Dear Brian and Everyone else,
>
> Thanks to all for the help, both here and e-mail...
>
> Brian, I think the power transistors are OK, but will swap that
> chip and see what cooks...Will let ya know.
>
> 73 to all
>
> Steve, K4YZ

If that's all it is, you'll be back in biz in 5 minutes. Good luck. bb

1385. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 10:53:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126867992.994528.244900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119211

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > If so successful, where are those civilations today?
>
> A lot of them were over-run by married Europeans with syphilis.
>
> beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Those Vikings were an impressive lot.

1386. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Sillilland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 11:06:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126868793.333181.199660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119212

KØHB wrote:

> A lot of them were over-run by married Europeans with syphilis.

The Great Nations of Europe
Had gathered on the shore
They'd conquered what was behind them
And now they wanted more
So they looked to the mighty ocean
And took to the western sea
The great nations of Europe in the sixteenth century

Hide your wives and daughters
Hide the groceries too
Great nations of Europe coming through

The Grand Canary Islands
First land to which they came
They slaughtered all the canaries there
Which gave the land its name
There were natives there called Guanches
Guanches by the score
Bullets, disease, the Portugese, and they weren't there anymore

Now they're gone, they're gone, they're really gone
You've never seen anyone so gone
They're a picture in a museum
Some lines written in a book
But you won't find a live one no matter where you look

Hide your wives and daughters
Hide the groceries too
Great nations of Europe coming through

Columbus sailed for India
Found Salvador instead
He shook hands with some Indians and soon they all were dead
They got TB and typhoid and athlete's foot
Diptheria and the flu
'Scuse me - Great nations coming through!

Balboa found the Pacific
And on the trail one day
He met some friendly Indians
Whom he was told were gay
So he had them torn apart by dogs on religious grounds they say
The great nations of Europe were quite holy in their way

Now they're gone, they're gone, they're really gone
You've never seen anyone so gone
Some bones hidden in a canyon
Some paintings in a cave
There's no use trying to save them
There's nothing left to save

Hide your wives and daughters
Hide your sons as well
With the great nations of Europe you never can tell

>From where you and I are standing
At the dawn of a century
Europes have sprung up everyone as even I can see
But there on the horizon as a possiblity
Some bug from out of Africa might come for you and me
Destroying everything in its path
>From sea to shining sea
Like the great nations of Europe
In the sixteenth century

"Great Nations of Europe" by Randy Newman

73 de Jim, N2EY

1387. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 14:12:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.09.16.14.12.50.467975@gmail.com>
Source record: 119213

Len:

I have given "free power" quite a bit of thought. Even if a method existed, all govt's, indeed, all peoples would almost be fools to allow it to be unleashed. (and my "conspiracy theory mentality" notices a few strange deaths of individuals evolving themselves with such efforts--but probably just a coincidence <grin>)

Think about a muslim terrorist (or a group of them!) with an unlimited energy supply, perhaps we would be wise in what we wish for--I can easily imagine a scenario which makes the new orleans disaster look mild...

John

... MAJOR SNIP! ...

1388. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 16:44:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgesp1$1ejq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119214

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>
>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
>>>><plonk>
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
>>>boring
>>>
>>
>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply can't
>>stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind of
>>anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have
>>decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in this
>>group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?
>
>
> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>
> Boring Dan Boring

He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.

All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.

Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
me, or chunk light tuna.

So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1389. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 17:01:39 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgetpi$1hr8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119215

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Bingo! It is no exaggeration to note that the
>>>>biggest atheists here in
>>>>the US are almost all filled to the gills
>>>>with "Christian Values".
>>>
>>>
>>>?? I'm not sure what you mean, Mike.
>>
>>Even though a person may proclaim to be an
>>atheist, that person was
>>raised in a society that is pretty much Christian,
>>and overwhelmingly
>>Judeo-Christian.
>
>
>>The atheist person is going to have most of those same
>>values as the rest of the society.
>
>
> Maybe. After all, "Christian" societies gave us folks
> like Joe Stalin and that German guy with the Charlie Chaplin

> mustache.

They were definitely aberrations.

>
>>>>We all have to have some sort of values, save
>>>>for the rare and sick few.
>
>
>>>But all value systems are not created equal.
>
>
>>Of course not. Now compare the other value systems
>>to ours, and you see
>>why even atheists have Christian values even if they were
>>raised in a Christian country
>
>
> Way too simplified.

Just simple enough! I'm not trying to say that we all act like one another. There is variability among any social group. But our values are based on our society and it's influences. And in American society as a whole, a large part of it is Christian influence. I don't hold with the concept of Sabbath days, but I sure like my Saturday and Sunday off. I had a few jobs in the deep dark past that required me to work weekends, and take my days off in the middle of the week. Didn't seem right! 8^)

> "Christians" can't even agree on what "Christian values" are.

Never have, never will. As long as man creates God in his own image......

> For example, look at how long some "Catholics" and "Protestants"
> have been going at each other, in places like Northern Ireland.
>
> Is that a "Christian value"?

Yes, IMO.

> How about equal rights for people of different genders, races, creeds,
> ethnicities?
>
> Heck, go back just 150 years and there were "Christians" arguing that
> it was His will that some people *own* other people....

Whatever you want to do, there can be a religious excuse for it.

>>>>And there is nothing wrong with most of those values. The ten
>>>>commandments? A lot of that is good stuff.
>>>
>>>Sure - but a lot of that is also not necessarily only
>>>derived from religion.
>>

>>The religions were some of original social order came from.
>>
>
> Sure - but that doesn't mean we have to do things the way
> they were done thousands of years ago.

I don't follow, Jim. I don't think I ever said that we were supposed to
do that.

> Democracy came from the Greeks - who were pagans. In fact most of what
> we consider "western civilization" (the concept of a republic, the dome
> and the arch, even the alphabet you read these words with) came from
> Romans, who were pagans too. Mostly, anyway. But we don't honor Zeus
> anymore.

I'm busy with the Turtles myself.....

Yes, the Greeks came up with Democracy. And I doubt any religion based
society would *ever* engage in democracy. Religions *know* how things
are supposed to be, and why on earth would they allow any deviation. But
that isn't my point.

>
>>>Most of what is in the Ten Commandments can be easily
>>>derived from a pair of questions:
>>>
>>>"What would a society be like if everyone obeyed that rule?"
>>>
>>>"What would a society be like if everyone disobeyed that
>>>rule?"
>>>
>>>For example, take stealing. A society composed solely of
>>>thieves
>>>(people who live by theft rather than production) cannot
>>>exist, because
>>>pretty soon there will be nothing left to steal. But a
>>>society composed
>>>of people who don't steal can prosper, because
>>>production is the basis of wealth. Therefore stealing is
>>>intrinsically "wrong" because it's not productive.
>>>
>>>
>>>>We better not stand too hard
>>>>on that "covet thy neighbors goods stuff, tho'.
>
>
>>>Depends how you define "covet".
>>
>>Keeping up with the Joneses kind of works that way for me.
>>
>>
>>>If my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I work

>>>hard and save up to buy one, that's a good thing!
>>
>>That is keeping up with the Joneses
>
>
> And it's a good thing! That's not "coveting" to me.

And here we delve into a theological discussion!!!

>
>>>But if my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I
>>>hate
>>>him for it, try to steal or damage it, that's a bad thing!
>>
>>A redundant commandment?
>
>
> No.
>
>
>>>>And "not having any
>>>>other God before me" kind of makes it a problem to post it in
>>>>the courthouse.
>
> >
>
>>>Why should a courthouse, which is paid for by taxes, be a
>>>place
>>>where the specific words of one religion are publicized to the
>>>exclusion of others?
>>
>> We agree.
>
>
> When they let me post quotes from the Book of Bokonon next to
> the Ten Commandments....
>
>
>>>I see no problem with private citizens posting their
>>>Commandments
>>>on public property *if* other private citizens have the same > > right.
>>
>>I want a turtle holding up the world statue! Turtles all the way >down!
>
>
> Flying Spaghetti Monster. (look it up)
>
>
>>>>We are what we are, and our heritage is where it comes from.
>
>

>>>But not just our heritage. We've gotten beyond a heritage
>>>of some people owning other people as property. We've gotten
>>>beyond a heritage where people of one gender can vote and
>>>people of the other gender cannot. Etc.
>>
>>There are some for whom this new state of being is a problem.
>>
>
> Are they "Christians"?

They call themselves that. And I guess it is like "art", you can call
yourself whatever you can get away with.

>>>>I suppose that it is a great comfort to many
>>>>people to "know" just how
>>>>things are supposed to be, and to have great faith
>>>>in that "knowing".
>>>
>>>
>>>Of course.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>But the faithful have had a long and storied
>>>>history of depriving others
>>>>of what they believe is their own faith's fundamental rights.
>>>
>>>
>>>Every freedom carries with it at least one responsibility.
>>>Freedom of religion can only extend to those religions that
>>>can tolerate each other. (For example, a religion that taught
>>>that unbelievers must be murdered cannot claim that such
>>>behavior is protected by religious freedom. That case is
>>>obvious but many similar cases aren't.)
>>
>>Do you think that Fundies actually want freedom? Certainly for
>>themselves, but I wonder about others.....
>
>
> Sometimes the question is more important than the answer...

- mike KB3EIA -

1390. Re: Astron RS-35M Power Supply Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 18:26:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.imx1kc3.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 119216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 05:09:08 GMT, Bart Bailey wrote:

>Oops, you wanted the RS-35M instead of the VS-35M
>http://tinyurl.com/drgxe
>Pretty much the same thing however

Thanks for posting the VS version, though. I have a VS-12M which is
"close enough for government work".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1391. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 18:59:50 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126897190.364551.21630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119217

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 15 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2319	348
Indeterminate (note 1)	137	28
Value for Percentages	2182	320
Against NPRM (note 2)	653 [29.93%]	99 [30.94%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1193 [54.67%]	159 [49.69%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	336 [15.40%]	62 [19.38%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1392. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 21:10:33 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119218

From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm

>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
>>>>>><plonk>
>
>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
>>>>boring
>
>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply can't
>>>stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind of
>>>anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have
>>>decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in this
>>>group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?
>
>> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>
>> Boring Dan Boring
>
> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>
> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
>interesting, at least to me.

Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)

The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!

> I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.

"Non sequitur." [from the Latin]

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
amateur radio.

Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate hams!"

> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.

Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create, in effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not at all civil or mannerly.

If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.

> That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.

This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.

If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion, this newsgroup is NOT for you.

> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.

Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."

Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!" I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."

You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a radio operator and maintainer in the United States military. That military experience was enlightening and interesting enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial illustration to electronics engineering. That became my career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio and electronics hobby interests continue with me still, begun in 1947 and still with me 58 years later.

Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with: Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can benefit by learning more about other forms of radio communication since all the physical principles are the same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost

entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by authority of government law.

At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission: NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs. Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger impact on the future of United States amateur radio than did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.

In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's. It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it is now.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1393. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 21:14:06 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126905246.074384.162530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119219

From: John Smith on Sep 16, 7:12 am

>Len:
>
>I have given "free power" quite a bit of thought. Even if a method
>existed, all govt's, indeed, all peoples would almost be fools to allow it
>to be unleashed. (and my "conspiracy theory mentality" notices a few
>strange deaths of individuals evolving themselves with such efforts--but
>probably just a coincidence <grin>)

John, you are starting to get into the "how many angels dance on
the head of a pin" sort of discussion. Not my cuppa...

>Think about a muslim terrorist (or a group of them!) with an unlimited
>energy supply, perhaps we would be wise in what we wish for--I can easily
>imagine a scenario which makes the new orleans disaster look mild...

Then write a book on it. For the fiction genre you are up against
Tom Clancy and his bestsellers currently undergoing reprints for
the stands. For fictional documentary sort of claptrap you still
have good old Erich von Daniken alive and still unwell in der
Schweiz [see "In Search of Ancient Astronauts"].

For REAL DISASTER EMERGENCY ELECTRICAL POWER practical situations
there are, and have been for some time, for 24-hour service:

1. Diesel motors driving rotary electrical generators, having
storable diesel oil fuel.

2. Gasoline motors driving rotary electrical generators, having
storable gasoline fuel.

3. Liquified gas fueled motors driving rotary electrical
generators, the liquified gas storable for long periods.

4. Jet propellant fueled turbines driving rotary electrical
generators, the jet propellant storable for long periods.

5. Hybrid steam turbines, fueled by a variety of storable fuels
to heat water to steam which drives the turbine which, in
turn drives a rotary electrical generator. Nuclear
electrical generators fall into this category with the
storable fuel in the form of radioactive elements providing
heat from radioactive decay.

6. Wind-driven (turbine-like) rotary electrical generators,
subject to varying wind conditions.

7. Thermoelectric junction DC electrical generators using many different forms of storable, combustible fuels. Once popular in Russia and the USSR but generally low power output.

For very low power drain electrical loads there are a variety of forms of manually-cranked, spring-driven motive forces for rotary electrical generators or "primary" (use once) electrochemical reaction "batteries." All are storable.

"Secondary" (use many times) batteries require periodic recharging to alter their internal electrochemistry, such electrical recharge provided by any of the preceding seven generator types. Within limits of their electrochemistry system, those are storable.

Solar cells haven't been listed because the Earth's rotation prevents 24-hour continuous illumination/energy-intake to operate.

All of the above have much literature and data available for consultation/learning/teaching/etc., including books.

A complex hybrid solar boiler and heat storage medium typified by the Mojave, CA, "Solar One" and "Solar Two" 50 MWe pilot plants experimented by a consortium of California utility companies. Those are too massive to consider for emergency electric power generation; "Solar One" had over 300 heliostats (tracking mirrors forming a segmented mirror to focus solar energy on a central boiler). [been there, worked on that...]

There are variants which have been publicized, such as tidal water flow driven electrical generators, but those have not yet been made a size suitable for transportable emergency electric power generation.

For real-life, tried-and-proven electrical power necessary to effect radio communication in disaster/emergency situations, one should turn to one of the seven STORABLE FUEL systems listed. That's REALITY.

However, in here, REALITY results in a few whiny little mal-contents far from disaster areas pissing and moaning fantasies of heroism and amateurs being First Responders. Those begrudge all who don't buy into their fantasies as "hating ham radio!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1394. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 22:32:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <VJHWe.12908$_84.7014@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119220

See what we mean? He just can't stay on subject. Always brings in Morse code "Morsemen", same same all the time. Then he gets into his "Military career". Predictable as a Sun set.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>
>
>>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
>>>>>>><plonk>
>>
>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
>>>>>boring
>>
>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply
>>>>can't
>>>>stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind
>>>>of
>>>>anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have
>>>>decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in
>>>>this
>>>>group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?
>>
>>> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>>
>>> Boring Dan Boring
>>
>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>
>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not
>> very
>>interesting, at least to me.

>
> Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)
>
> The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!
>
>> I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW
>>testing.
>
> "Non sequitur." [from the Latin]
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
> or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
> and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
> amateur radio.
>
> Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and
> general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur
> radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on
> about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate
> hams!"
>
>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.
>
> Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create,
> in effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not
> at all civil or mannerly.
>
> If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked
> against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO
> validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by
> hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.
>
>> That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.
>
> This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to
> discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.
>
> If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion,
> this newsgroup is NOT for you.
>
>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>
> Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the
> hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."
>
> Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult
> those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!"
> I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur
> radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain

> policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."
>
> You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and
> electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a
> radio operator and maintainer in the United States military.
> That military experience was enlightening and interesting
> enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial
> illustration to electronics engineering. That became my
> career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio
> and electronics hobby interests continue with me still,
> begun in 1947 and still with me 58 years later.
>
> Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio
> communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider
> "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with:
> Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger
> than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger
> world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can
> benefit by learning more about other forms of radio
> communication since all the physical principles are the
> same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost
> entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio
> as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to
> overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by
> authority of government law.
>
> At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only
> ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission:
> NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code
> test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the
> traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs.
> Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger
> impact on the future of United States amateur radio than
> did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be
> far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far
> more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses
> that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It
> spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to
> change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable
> fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists
> refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a
> requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of
> radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-
> stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER
> radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio
> refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those
> of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've
> met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.
>
> In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many

> forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to
> experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced
> as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept
> change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person
> of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in
> amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-
> conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital
> to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a
> personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one
> that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature
> of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur
> radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living
> in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational
> pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's.
> It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it
> is now.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1395. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 22:39:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hQHWe.12917$_84.1349@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119221
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126821476.840433.194860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
of Indulenges for sin, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
firend and relitives post mortem

Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups
>

This has got to be the most outragious line of BS I have ever read. He is
beyond IGNORANT.

This IGNORANT FOOL is now jumping headlong into the "Chatholic" religion.
Oh I get it now....it is a whole NEW group. Most folks I know spell it
CATHOLIC. Now it makes sense......sort of.

Dan/W4NTI

1396. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 22:43:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Eo-dnbHEb_wx17beRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119222

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> See what we mean? He just can't stay on subject. Always brings in Morse
> code "Morsemen", same same all the time. Then he gets into his "Military
> career". Predictable as a Sun set.

Right, so what is the point, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1397. Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 23:47:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7QIWe.12585$9i4.11265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119223

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/

1398. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 23:47:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <EQIWe.12586$9i4.3533@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119224

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Eo-dnbHEb_wx17beRVn-uA@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> See what we mean? He just can't stay on subject. Always brings in Morse
>> code "Morsemen", same same all the time. Then he gets into his
>> "Military career". Predictable as a Sun set.
>
> Right, so what is the point, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

I can't think of a one actually Mike.

Dan/W4NTI

1399. ARRL Testimony on Hams' Katrina Response

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-16 23:49:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <RRIWe.12587$9i4.2821@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119225

ARRL President Submits Congressional Testimony on Hams' Katrina Response
NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 15, 2005--ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, has provided written testimony on Amateur Radio's response in the Hurricane Katrina disaster to the US House Government Reform Committee. Haynie submitted the testimony to the congressional panel today "on the successful efforts of Amateur Radio operators providing communications for first responders, disaster relief agencies and countless individuals in connection with the Hurricane Katrina relief effort" on behalf of the League.

"As has been proven consistently and repeatedly in the past, when communications systems fail due to a wide-area or localized natural disaster, Amateur Radio works, right away, all the time," Haynie's statement said. "This report is not, therefore, a statement of concern about what must be changed or improved. It is, rather, a report on what is going right, and what works, in emergency communications in the Gulf Coast and what can be depended on to work the next time there is a natural disaster, and the times after that."

The congressional committee, chaired by Virginia Republican Tom Davis, is holding hearings on the Hurricane Katrina response. Haynie told the panel that upward of 1000 Amateur Radio volunteers were or have been serving in the stricken area to provide communication for served agencies such as the American Red Cross and The Salvation Army and to facilitate interoperability among agencies.

"Trained volunteer Amateur Radio operators are also providing health-and-welfare communications from within the affected area to the rest of the United States and the world," Haynie said. "In the past week, the Coast Guard, the Red Cross, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency all put out calls for volunteer Amateur Radio operators to provide communications, because phone lines and cell sites were inoperative, and public safety communications facilities were overwhelmed due to loss of repeater towers and the large number of first responders in the area."

Haynie pointed out that the main reason Amateur Radio works when other communication systems fail during natural disasters is that it's not infrastructure-dependent and is decentralized. "Amateurs are trained in emergency communications. They are disciplined operators, and their stations are, in general, portable and reliable," he told the panel.

The ARRL President also put in a good word for the FCC's Enforcement Bureau for what he called "its efficient and successful efforts" during the hurricane response in monitoring HF nets to minimize incidents of interference.

"The Committee should be aware that this vast volunteer resource is always at the disposal of the federal government," Haynie concluded. "The United States absolutely can rely on the Amateur Radio Service. Amateur Radio provides immediate, high-quality communications that work every time, when all else fails."

1400. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 00:05:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126915517.594420.189800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119226

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of September 15, 2005:

Novice - 27,718 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,611]
Technician - 271,301 (40.9%) [increase of 65,907)
Technician Plus - 46,527 (7.0%) [decrease of 82,333]
General - 135,932 (20.5%) [increase of 23,255]
Advanced - 75,221 (11.3%) [decrease of 24,561]
Extra - 107,068 (16.1%) [increase of 28,318]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,828 (47.9%) [decrease of 16,426]

Total all classes - 663,767 (decrease of 11,025)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1401. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 00:40:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126917628.914306.34000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119227

From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 16, 4:47 pm

>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>>> See what we mean? He just can't stay on subject. Always brings in Morse
>>> code "Morsemen", same same all the time. Then he gets into his
>>> "Military career". Predictable as a Sun set.
>
>> Right, so what is the point, eh?
>
>I can't think of a one actually Mike.

Little pointy heads aren't good for thinking.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1402. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 02:31:09 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3OydnZQZm7Rj7bbeRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119228

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>I can't think of a one actually Mike.
>
>
> Little pointy heads aren't good for thinking.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Speaking from personal experience again I see.

1403. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 03:01:22 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <ci1ni1dmf3cnhek0hggj3goetodbbns4qs@4ax.com>
Source record: 119229
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Sep 2005 14:57:56 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>of Indulenges for sin, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
>firend and relitives post mortem
>
>Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups

It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.

1404. Re: The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 04:11:56 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1126930316.733937.251830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119230
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Oops - forgot the colonial cousins again....

Polymath wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>
> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years
> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to

> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

1405. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 04:41:03 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11in7j7e4fbgkf2@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119231
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You tell em' Teddy Boy!!!

--

Charlie

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:ci1ni1dmf3cnhek0hggj3goetodbbns4qs@4ax.com...
> On 15 Sep 2005 14:57:56 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>>of Indulenges for sin, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
>>firend and relitives post mortem
>>
>>Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups
>
> It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
> all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
> world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.

1406. Re: The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 08:55:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126947317.053310.35850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119232
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:
> Oops - forgot the colonial cousins again....

you certainly are stubborn in diatribes, but can't you at least spew
forth something original from time to time
>
> Polymath wrote:
> > What is Ham Radio?
> >
> > Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> > are interested in the science of radio wave
> > propagation and who are also interested in the
> > way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> > tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> > are born naturals.

1407. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 09:59:12 UTC
From: Donny <9mm@anon>
Message-ID: <dggpalgsr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119233

Gas Bag Lennie expounds yet again.

Never say in one paragraph, Lennie, that which you can say in sixteen.

1408. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 10:10:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126951809.698811.292220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119234

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
> > >>>
> > >>>W1AW at it's finest.
> > >>
> > >>W1AW? The decision came from the HQ station?
> > >>
> > >>Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >
> > > What's K8MN?
> >
> > It isn't W1AW.
>
> very insightfull, but of course evasive in the extreme SOP Dave

Smug Dave finds it difficult to realize that in the amateur world,
names and callsigns are often interchangeable. Perhaps he chose the
wrong hobby.

1409. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 10:56:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1126954594.842433.144470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119235

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Bingo! It is no exaggeration to note that the
> >>>>>>>>>biggest atheists here in
> >>>>>>>>>the US are almost all filled to the gills
> >>>>>>>>>with "Christian Values".
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>?? I'm not sure what you mean, Mike.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Even though a person may proclaim to be an
> >>>>>>>>atheist, that person was
> >>>>>>>>raised in a society that is pretty much Christian,
> >>>>>>>>and overwhelmingly
> >>>>>>>>Judeo-Christian.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>The atheist person is going to have most of those same
> >>>>>>>>values as the rest of the society.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Maybe. After all, "Christian" societies gave us folks

> > like Joe Stalin and that German guy with the Charlie Chaplin
> > mustache.
>
> They were definitely aberrations.

Were they? They not only existed, but were able to get large numbers of
nominal "Christians" to follow and obey them.

The crusades. The inquisitions. Burning witches. "Christians"
persecuting other "Christians", non-Christians, the Klan, Jonestown,
Waco, etc.

He really would never stop throwing up.

> >>>>We all have to have some sort of values, save
> >>>>for the rare and sick few.

> >>>But all value systems are not created equal.

> >>Of course not. Now compare the other value systems
> >>to ours, and you see
> >>why even atheists have Christian values even if they were
> >>raised in a Christian country

> > Way too simplified.

> Just simple enough!

Too simple. The values you esteem are not limited to Christians.

> I'm not trying to say that we all act like one
> another. There is variability among any social group. But our
> values are
> based on our society and it's influences.

Not just our society. And look at the wide variability of those
"values". The Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King was a Christian, trying to
promote Christian values. He was killed by a Christian with different
Christian values.

> And in American society as a
> whole, a large part of it is Christian influence. I don't hold > with the
> concept of Sabbath days, but I sure like my Saturday and Sunday > off. I
> had a few jobs in the deep dark past that required me to work
> weekends,
> and take my days off in the middle of the week. Didn't seem
> right! 8^)

More of a circadian rhythm thing.
>
> > "Christians" can't even agree on what "Christian values" are.
>
>Never have, never will. As long as man creates God in his own
> image......

>
> > For example, look at how long some "Catholics"
> > and "Protestants"
> > have been going at each other, in places like Northern
> > Ireland.
> >
> > Is that a "Christian value"?
>
> Yes, IMO.

Would He agree?
>
> > How about equal rights for people of different genders,
> > races, creeds, ethnicities?
> >
> > Heck, go back just 150 years and there were "Christians"
> > arguing that
> > it was His will that some people *own* other people....

>Whatever you want to do, there can be a religious excuse for it.

> >>>>And there is nothing wrong with most of those values. The ten
> >>>>commandments? A lot of that is good stuff.
> >>>
> >>>Sure - but a lot of that is also not necessarily only
> >>>derived from religion.
> >>
> >>The religions were some of original social order came from.
> >>
> >
> > Sure - but that doesn't mean we have to do things the way
> > they were done thousands of years ago.
>
> I don't follow, Jim. I don't think I ever said that we
> were supposed to do that.

There are plenty of folks who think that "old time religion" is the
only way...

> > Democracy came from the Greeks - who were pagans. In fact most of what
> > we consider "western civilization" (the concept of a republic, the dome
> > and the arch, even the alphabet you read these words with) came from
> > Romans, who were pagans too. Mostly, anyway. But we don't honor Zeus
> > anymore.
>
> I'm busy with the Turtles myself.....

> Yes, the Greeks came up with Democracy. And I doubt any
> religion based
> society would *ever* engage in democracy.

I know of a couple religious organizations that are based on
democracy. At least one operates by consensus.

> Religions *know* how things
> are supposed to be, and why on earth would they allow any
> deviation.

Some religions. Others allow for change, growth, the evolution of
spiritual thought and action.

> But that isn't my point.

> >>>Most of what is in the Ten Commandments can be easily
> >>>derived from a pair of questions:
> >>>
> >>>"What would a society be like if everyone obeyed that rule?"
> >>>
> >>>"What would a society be like if everyone disobeyed that
> >>>rule?"
> >>>
> >>>For example, take stealing. A society composed solely of
> >>>thieves
> >>>(people who live by theft rather than production) cannot
> >>>exist, because
> >>>pretty soon there will be nothing left to steal. But a
> >>>society composed
> >>>of people who don't steal can prosper, because
> >>>production is the basis of wealth. Therefore stealing is
> >>>intrinsically "wrong" because it's not productive.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>We better not stand too hard
> >>>on that "covet thy neighbors goods stuff, tho'.
> >
> >
> >>>Depends how you define "covet".
> >>
> >>Keeping up with the Joneses kind of works that way for me.
> >>
> >>
> >>>If my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I work
> >>>hard and save up to buy one, that's a good thing!
> >>
> >>That is keeping up with the Joneses
> >
> >
> > And it's a good thing! That's not "coveting" to me.
>
>
> And here we delve into a theological discussion!!!

Why not?
>

> >
> >>>But if my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I
> >>>hate
> >>>him for it, try to steal or damage it, that's a bad thing!
> >>
> >>A redundant commandment?
> >
> >
> > No.
> >
> >
> >>>>And "not having any
> >>>>other God before me" kind of makes it a problem to post it in
> >>>>the courthouse.
> >
> > >
> >
> >>>Why should a courthouse, which is paid for by taxes, be a
> >>>place
> >>>where the specific words of one religion are publicized to the
> >>>exclusion of others?
> >>
> >> We agree.
> >
> >
> > When they let me post quotes from the Book of Bokonon next to
> > the Ten Commandments....
> >
> >
> >>>I see no problem with private citizens posting their
> >>>Commandments
> >>>on public property *if* other private citizens have the same > > right.
> >>
> >>I want a turtle holding up the world statue! Turtles all the way >down!
> >
> >
> > Flying Spaghetti Monster. (look it up)
> >
> >
> >>>>We are what we are, and our heritage is where it comes from.
> >
> >
> >>>But not just our heritage. We've gotten beyond a heritage
> >>>of some people owning other people as property. We've gotten
> >>>beyond a heritage where people of one gender can vote and
> >>>people of the other gender cannot. Etc.
> >>
> >>There are some for whom this new state of being is a problem.
> >>
> >

> > Are they "Christians"?
>
> They call themselves that.

> And I guess it is like "art", you can call
> yourself whatever you can get away with.

Calling oneself something does not make it so.

> >>>>I suppose that it is a great comfort to many
> >>>>people to "know" just how
> >>>>things are supposed to be, and to have great faith
> >>>>in that "knowing".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Of course.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>But the faithful have had a long and storied
> >>>>history of depriving others
> >>>>of what they believe is their own faith's fundamental rights.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Every freedom carries with it at least one responsibility.
> >>>Freedom of religion can only extend to those religions that
> >>>can tolerate each other. (For example, a religion that taught
> >>>that unbelievers must be murdered cannot claim that such
> >>>behavior is protected by religious freedom. That case is
> >>>obvious but many similar cases aren't.)
> >>
> >>Do you think that Fundies actually want freedom? Certainly for
> >>themselves, but I wonder about others.....
> >
> >
> > Sometimes the question is more important than the answer...
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

1410. Re: Carl WK3C: Not "Eligble" to Become a Menber of the ARRL Board of Directors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 11:02:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1126952097.553291.245680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119236

an_old_friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > Heil is unalterable. He isn't tailor-made to get along with all...
>
> indeed You are right about that Never thought that Who quote would
> prove to have an exception

Looks like a good start on a "profile."

1411. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 11:18:26 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <E9CdnUDt4p8PZrbeRVn-og@crocker.com>
Source record: 119237

but is he still on the air??? what frequencies and times??

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:7QIWe.12585$9i4.11265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/
>

1412. Re: I wonder where they'll start the search to fill their needs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 14:55:56 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126968956.591856.324110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119238

Donny wrote:
> Gas Bag Lennie expounds yet again.
>
> Never say in one paragraph, Lennie, that which you can say in sixteen.

Dumbass Davies whines again because what Anderson posted was so far above his 1st grade reading level of Dr. Who and Star Trek.

Tell me, fatty, have you ever had an original thought in that hollow, worthless skull of yours yet? Or is that still in the early planning stages? Enquiring minds, etc.

1413. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 15:07:41 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96D471C89F36elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 119239

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>
>
>>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser. <plonk>
>>
>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy
>>>>>getting boring
>>
>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply
>>>>can't stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one
>>>>track mind of anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired
>>>>quickly. So I have decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a
>>>>serious discussion in this group, since he is not a member of the
>>>>society. Dig it?
>>
>>> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>>
>>> Boring Dan Boring
>>
>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I
>> don't
>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>
>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and
>> not very interesting, at least to me.
>
> Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)
>
> The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!
>
>> I don't need the non-sequitars, the name calling, or the constant
>> attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.

>
> "Non sequitur." [from the Latin]
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
> or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
> and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
> amateur radio.
>
> Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and
> general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur
> radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on
> about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate
> hams!"
>
>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.
>
> Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create,
> in effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not
> at all civil or mannerly.
>
> If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked
> against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO
> validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by
> hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.
>
>> That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.
>
> This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to
> discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.
>
> If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion,
> this newsgroup is NOT for you.
>
>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>
> Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the
> hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."
>
> Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult
> those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!"
> I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur
> radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain
> policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."
>
> You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and
> electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a
> radio operator and maintainer in the United States military.
> That military experience was enlightening and interesting
> enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial
> illustration to electronics engineering. That became my

> career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio
> and electronics hobby interests continue with me still,
> begun in 1947 and still with me 58 years later.
>
> Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio
> communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider
> "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with:
> Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger
> than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger
> world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can
> benefit by learning more about other forms of radio
> communication since all the physical principles are the
> same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost
> entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio
> as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to
> overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by
> authority of government law.
>
> At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only
> ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission:
> NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code
> test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the
> traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs.
> Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger
> impact on the future of United States amateur radio than
> did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be
> far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far
> more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses
> that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It
> spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to
> change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable
> fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists
> refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a
> requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of
> radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-
> stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER
> radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio
> refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those
> of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've
> met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.
>
> In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many
> forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to
> experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced
> as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept
> change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person
> of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in
> amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-
> conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital
> to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a

> personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one
> that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature
> of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur
> radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living
> in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational
> pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's.
> It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it
> is now.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation that he hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence of that.

I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big issue, more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham radio classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in the theory tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If they just want to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's always CB. OTOH, it's absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed and yet not give a fig for Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping noises. This is why it's a big issue.

If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to be, s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an explosion in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it is, ham radio is as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's probably too late to get a major boost in numbers, even if we gave the licences away, which abolishing the code test certainly doesn't do (and no, I'm not proposing we make the theory easier).

1414. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 15:38:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126971526.748039.66180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119240

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> >>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>>
> >>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
> >>>>
> >>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
> >>>><plonk>
> >>>>
> >>>>Dan/W4NTI
> >>>
> >>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
> >>>boring
> >>>
> >>
> >>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply can't
> >>stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind of
> >>anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have
> >>decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in this
> >>group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?
> >
> >
> > Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
> >
> > Boring Dan Boring
>
>
> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
> regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
> concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
> Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.

What point? that people should be censored?
>
> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
> interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
> calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.

realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
hallamrk Jim, N2EY
>
> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
> me, or chunk light tuna.

No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
themselves, and then to the rest of us
>
> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1415. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 15:41:25 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <17b21$432c3942$471c63c6$27597@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119241
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Would someone put something in "Uncle Ted's" mouth, my zipper is stuck...

Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message

> It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
> all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
> world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.

1416. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 16:39:52 UTC
From: The Bill Rodgers <TBR@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <2edei15e519gcuq8hb2tib8kcumnqtrlcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 119242

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:rtedi1la8udsvucr80iherq04ira3ushhu@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 12 Sep 2005 21:30:30 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> > <WrmVe.10884$_84.6864@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >
> >>
> >>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
> >>news:429bi1t3hqoqm3pblifpoboddo9ccpdbpi@4ax.com...
> >>> On Sun, 11 Sep 2005 21:37:59 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> >>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> >>> <Xs1Ve.10403$9i4.5640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Then, when it's
> >>>> all over, we'll all look back and see who really deserves
> >>>> recognition for their contribution. And it won't be you, Dan.
> >>>>
> >>>Don't give a rip. If I wanted some kind of a "reward" I would be a
> >>>politician. I'm a worker bee, and a trained and experienced one at
> >>>that.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> You are also a braggart.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>How bout you? What training and Experience do you bring to ECOM?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> More than you.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>
> >>> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> >>> News==----
> >>> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,
> >>> 000+ Newsgroups
> >>> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> >>> =-----
> >>
> >>First place I'm not talking to you.
> >
> >
> > That's funny, I could have sworn my name is the same as to whom you
> > replied above (or don't you know how to count the little arrows at the
> > begging of each quoted line?).
> >
> >
> >>Second place....if you have so much training....when do you plan to use
> >>it?
> >
> >
> > I already have.
> >
> >
> >>Now I'm talking to you....Mr. Un-identified poster.
> >
> >
> > LOL!!! So my DD-214 wasn't enough..... you want I should scan my
> > driver's license, too?
> >
> >
> What the crap are you talking about? I've NEVER seen a DD-214 from you
> or anyone else on this newsgroup. You must be thinking of the library
> where you rant with the young boys.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
Which library danny? Me and your butty Commander
Cornhole are so there!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1417. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 16:46:58 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11ioi4diagii717@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119243
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Can't do it Fred..I'm not homosexual as apparently you are.

--

Charlie

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:17b21$432c3942$471c63c6$27597@ALLTEL.NET...
> Would someone put something in "Uncle Ted's" mouth, my zipper is stuck...
>
> Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
>
>> It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>> all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>> world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>
>

1418. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 17:44:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nLydnaCo781nyLHeRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119244

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:

A whole bunch of he said, he said snippage>

>>>>>>Bingo! It is no exaggeration to note that the
>>>>>>biggest atheists here in
>>>>>>the US are almost all filled to the gills
>>>>>>with "Christian Values".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>?? I'm not sure what you mean, Mike.
>>>>
>>>>Even though a person may proclaim to be an
>>>>atheist, that person was
>>>>raised in a society that is pretty much Christian,
>>>>and overwhelmingly
>>>>Judeo-Christian.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The atheist person is going to have most of those same
>>>>values as the rest of the society.
>>>
>>>
>>>Maybe. After all, "Christian" societies gave us folks
>>>like Joe Stalin and that German guy with the Charlie Chaplin
>>>mustache.
>>
>> They were definitely aberrations.
>
> Were they? They not only existed, but were able to get large numbers of
> nominal "Christians" to follow and obey them.

Sure they were. It is hard to be responsible for the death of millions,
as they both were, without being abberations.

> The crusades. The inquisitions. Burning witches. "Christians"
> persecuting other "Christians", non-Christians, the Klan, Jonestown,
> Waco, etc.

All done "in the name of" Christianity. Evil humans doing evil and
using him as excuse for it. We are possibly on the verge again.

> He really would never stop throwing up.

Yup.

>
>>>>>>We all have to have some sort of values, save
>>>>>>for the rare and sick few.
>
>
>>>>>But all value systems are not created equal.
>
>
>>>>Of course not. Now compare the other value systems
>>>>to ours, and you see
>>>>why even atheists have Christian values even if they were
>>>>raised in a Christian country
>
>
>>>Way too simplified.
>
>
>>Just simple enough!
>
>
> Too simple. The values you esteem are not limited to Christians.

But if a value is shared it doesn't mean that it has to be one or the other religions value. Certain things such as not depriving people of their lives (disregarding the shades in between) isn't s specific Christian value. I'm looking at an overall picture, and I think that you are looking at the details.

>
>>I'm not trying to say that we all act like one
>>another. There is variability among any social group. But our
>>values are
>>based on our society and it's influences.
>
>
> Not just our society. And look at the wide variability of those
> "values". The Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King was a Christian, trying to
> promote Christian values. He was killed by a Christian with different
> Christian values.

MLK, for all his faults, was promoting values that seemed more in line with what JHC espoused. The other guy promoted some other values, we can guess whose they might have been.

>
>>And in American society as a
>>whole, a large part of it is Christian influence. I don't hold > with the
>>concept of Sabbath days, but I sure like my Saturday and Sunday > off. I
>>had a few jobs in the deep dark past that required me to work
>>weekends,
>>and take my days off in the middle of the week. Didn't seem
>>right! 8^)

>
>
> More of a circadian rhythm thing.

5 days of work, around 8 hours per day, and 2 days off. I slept during
the night. Don't think it was circadian.

>>>"Christians" can't even agree on what "Christian values" are.
>>
>>Never have, never will. As long as man creates God in his own
>>image......
>>
>>
>>>For example, look at how long some "Catholics"
>>>and "Protestants"
>>>have been going at each other, in places like Northern
>>>Ireland.
>>>
>>>Is that a "Christian value"?
>>
>> Yes, IMO.
>
>
> Would He agree?

Of course not. People creating their God in their own image.

>>>How about equal rights for people of different genders,
>>>races, creeds, ethnicities?
>>>
>>>Heck, go back just 150 years and there were "Christians"
>>>arguing that
>>>it was His will that some people *own* other people....
>
>
>>Whatever you want to do, there can be a religious excuse for it.
>
>
>>>>>>And there is nothing wrong with most of those values. The ten
>>>>>>commandments? A lot of that is good stuff.
>>>>>
>>>>>Sure - but a lot of that is also not necessarily only
>>>>>derived from religion.
>>>>
>>>>The religions were some of original social order came from.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Sure - but that doesn't mean we have to do things the way
>>>they were done thousands of years ago.
>>
>>I don't follow, Jim. I don't think I ever said that we

>>were supposed to do that.
>
>
> There are plenty of folks who think that "old time religion" is the
> only way...
>
>
>>>Democracy came from the Greeks - who were pagans. In fact most of what
>>>we consider "western civilization" (the concept of a republic, the dome
>>>and the arch, even the alphabet you read these words with) came from
>>>Romans, who were pagans too. Mostly, anyway. But we don't honor Zeus
>>>anymore.
>>
>> I'm busy with the Turtles myself.....
>
>
>>Yes, the Greeks came up with Democracy. And I doubt any
>>religion based
>>society would *ever* engage in democracy.
>
>
> I know of a couple religious organizations that are based on
> democracy. At least one operates by consensus.

They are?

>
>>Religions *know* how things
>>are supposed to be, and why on earth would they allow any
>>deviation.
>
> *Some* religions. Others allow for change, growth, the evolution of
> spiritual thought and action.

Do they accept any deviation from their core values?

>
>>But that isn't my point.
>
>
>>>>>Most of what is in the Ten Commandments can be easily
>>>>>derived from a pair of questions:
>>>>>
>>>>>"What would a society be like if everyone obeyed that rule?"
>>>>>
>>>>>"What would a society be like if everyone disobeyed that
>>>>>rule?"
>>>>>
>>>>>For example, take stealing. A society composed solely of
>>>>>thieves
>>>>>(people who live by theft rather than production) cannot

>>>>>exist, because
>>>>>pretty soon there will be nothing left to steal. But a
>>>>>society composed
>>>>>of people who don't steal can prosper, because
>>>>>production is the basis of wealth. Therefore stealing is
>>>>>intrinsically "wrong" because it's not productive.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>We better not stand too hard
>>>>>>on that "covet thy neighbors goods stuff, tho'.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Depends how you define "covet".
>>>>
>>>>Keeping up with the Joneses kind of works that way for me.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>If my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I work
>>>>>hard and save up to buy one, that's a good thing!
>>>>
>>>>That is keeping up with the Joneses
>>>
>>>
>>>And it's a good thing! That's not "coveting" to me.
>>
>>
>> And here we delve into a theological discussion!!!
>

> Why not?

What is coveting? Is it the desire of something? If my neighbor has
something, and I decide that I want one, is that coveting it? Or is it
wanting it enough to steal it? Which is another commandment altogether.
If it is just the wanting, how is that the sin? I'm a tekkie junkie, and
want or "covet" a lot of things. Is that a sin? Does that even make
sense?

>>>>>But if my neighbor has a new car, and I want one too, and I
>>>>>hate
>>>>>him for it, try to steal or damage it, that's a bad thing!
>>>>
>>>>A redundant commandment?
>>>
>>>
>>>No.
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>>>>And "not having any
>>>>>>other God before me" kind of makes it a problem to post it in
>>>>>>the courthouse.
>>>
>>> >
>>>
>>>>>Why should a courthouse, which is paid for by taxes, be a
>>>>>place
>>>>>where the specific words of one religion are publicized to the
>>>>>exclusion of others?
>>>>
>>>> We agree.
>>>
>>>
>>>When they let me post quotes from the Book of Bokonon next to
>>>the Ten Commandments....
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I see no problem with private citizens posting their
>>>>>Commandments
>>>>>on public property *if* other private citizens have the same > > right.
>>>>
>>>>I want a turtle holding up the world statue! Turtles all the way >down!
>>>
>>>
>>>Flying Spaghetti Monster. (look it up)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>We are what we are, and our heritage is where it comes from.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>But not just our heritage. We've gotten beyond a heritage
>>>>>of some people owning other people as property. We've gotten
>>>>>beyond a heritage where people of one gender can vote and
>>>>>people of the other gender cannot. Etc.
>>>>
>>>>There are some for whom this new state of being is a problem.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Are they "Christians"?
>>
>>They call themselves that.
>
>
>>And I guess it is like "art", you can call
>>yourself whatever you can get away with.
>
>

> Calling oneself something does not make it so.

But if you can get a hundred people to agree with you?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1419. Re: K1MAN's Psychology, according to his Wife

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 17:47:55 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126979275.211694.137470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119245

How dare anyone question the wisdom of the great K1MAN. He is a man amongst men and a leader amongst leaders. Those who decry his wisdom are meaningless in the sphere that is the life of the radio amateur of the 21st century and beyond.

1420. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 18:07:38 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11iomrpg1stoidf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119246

Then in your daily scorecard, since it appears to be some sort of fill-in template, would you please put the FCC URL to this comment site?

Jim

> So far, in the first two months of Comment, the number of
> filings exceed all of those posted on WT Docket 98-143 on
> Restructuring (roughly 10 months total from release of that
> NPRM and official - extended twice - cutoff date of
> 15 Jan 99). If elimination goes through as an R&O it will be
> of greater impact on the immediate future of United States
> amateur radio than did R&O 99-412 on restructuring.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1421. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 18:12:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UoidnckFcpEKwbHeRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119247

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser. <plonk>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy
>>>>>>>getting boring
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply
>>>>>>>can't stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one
>>>>>>>track mind of anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired
>>>>>>>quickly. So I have decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a
>>>>>>>serious discussion in this group, since he is not a member of the
>>>>>>>society. Dig it?
>>>>>>>
>>>>Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>>>>>>>
>>>>Boring Dan Boring
>>>>>>>
>>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I
>>> don't
>>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>>
>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and

>>> not very interesting, at least to me.
>>
>> Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)
>>
>> The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!
>>
>>
>>>I don't need the non-sequitars, the name calling, or the constant
>>>attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>>
>> "Non sequitur." [from the Latin]
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
>> or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
>> and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
>> amateur radio.
>>
>> Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and
>> general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur
>> radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on
>> about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate
>> hams!"
>>
>>
>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.
>>
>> Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create,
>> in effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not
>> at all civil or mannerly.
>>
>> If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked
>> against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO
>> validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by
>> hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.
>>
>>
>>>That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.
>>
>> This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to
>> discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.
>>
>> If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion,
>> this newsgroup is NOT for you.
>>
>>
>>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>>
>> Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the
>> hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."
>>

>> Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult
>> those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!"
>> I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur
>> radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain
>> policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."
>>
>> You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and
>> electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a
>> radio operator and maintainer in the United States military.
>> That military experience was enlightening and interesting
>> enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial
>> illustration to electronics engineering. That became my
>> career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio
>> and electronics hobby interests continue with me still,
>> begun in 1947 and still with me 58 years later.
>>
>> Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio
>> communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider
>> "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with:
>> Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger
>> than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger
>> world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can
>> benefit by learning more about other forms of radio
>> communication since all the physical principles are the
>> same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost
>> entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio
>> as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to
>> overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by
>> authority of government law.
>>
>> At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only
>> ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission:
>> NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code
>> test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the
>> traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs.
>> Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger
>> impact on the future of United States amateur radio than
>> did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be
>> far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far
>> more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses
>> that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It
>> spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to
>> change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable
>> fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists
>> refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a
>> requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of
>> radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-
>> stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER
>> radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio
>> refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those

>> of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've
>> met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.
>>
>> In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many
>> forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to
>> experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced
>> as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept
>> change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person
>> of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in
>> amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-
>> conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital
>> to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a
>> personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one
>> that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature
>> of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur
>> radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living
>> in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational
>> pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's.
>> It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it
>> is now.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>
>
>
> I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation that he
> hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence of that.

Your opinion. I have read enough of his posts to come to a different
conclusion.

> I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big issue,
> more important than any restructuring, etc.

So What? Does every post have to be about Morse code testing?

If I make a post about something else, and he turns it to Morse code
testing, does that mean I am *required* to reply?

So far, he has called me a "poor baby", a "sore loser", and as having a
drinking problem. He accuses me of character assassination and more than
I care to look up at this time. And if I care to point it out, I am
guaranteed another poor baby thing. He calls many people Nazis, or
other derisive terms. All because they have the unmitigated gall to
disagree with him.

What exactly have I done to him? He is here having his brand of good
time. I am here having my brand of fun. They are apparently rather
exclusive.

Do you approve of such activity Alun? Is that a good way to act? Even if Mr Anderson is 100 percent correct, Is that an excuse for his "style".

And you can tell him that I do like good strong discussion and debate. It has to be good though.

Tell me Alun, how long do you think his "style" of discussion would stand up in a real debate?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1422. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 18:15:39 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126980939.490506.80650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119248

As hurricane Katrina passed over New Orleans the ARRL hams ran away as K1MAN knew that they would. So in K1MAN Bulletin 050912A K1MAN directed his followers to "Head toward a hurricane/disaster zone. At some point you will meet cars heading out as they evacuate. Many will have cel phones and many of those will be non functional or overloaded because of the very real communications emergency that you are there to assist with. Now you park in some public place and solicit OUTGOING TRAFFIC from anybody who is in need."

K1MAN directed that all of these messages be sent back to IARN headquarters or to your home base by AMTOR (preferred), SSB voice, or even CW for handling there by ordinary hard line telephones. From there, hundreds of volunteers loyal to K1MAN and IARN stood ready to deliver them to destination.

In the Newsline I received today is the following misleading information obviously designed to discredit the great K1MAN.

"RESCUE RADIO: DON'T GO TO THE DISASTER AREA UNLESS YOU CHECK FIRST

If you get an e-mail or read an announcement from an unfamiliar ham radio group that urges you to head toward the disaster area with AMTOR gear, please ignore it. This is not an official request coming from any agency directly associated with the relief efforts.

All official requests for ham radio aid being issued by the various relief agencies are being funneled through the American Radio Relay League and no place else. If you have any questions about the authenticity of any Amateur Radio aid request please check the postings at www.arrl.org. That's where you will find the latest and most accurate listing of this emergency's ongoing communications needs. (Various)"

The great K1MAN cannot permit this falsehood to go unpunished. The millions who follow his divine leadership condemn this feeble attempt by the Newsline to harm the image of K1MAN and the IARN. We who believe the great K1MAN to be the one true ham leader demand a retraction and apology now. If these are not forthcoming, K1MAN and IARN will file tort litigation in this matter against all who listen to the Newsline program.

1423. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 18:17:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WrCdnfd7CqcvwLHeRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119249

an_old_friend wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
>>>>>><plonk>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
>>>>>boring
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply can't
>>>>stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind of
>>>>anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have
>>>>decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in this
>>>>group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?
>>>
>>>
>>>Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>>>
>>>Boring Dan Boring
>>
>>
>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.

>
>
> What point? that people should be censored?

Censored? Who said that?

My point is that I don't have to reply. I really don't. He can post all
he wants, and I read it, and reply or not as is my wish.

Do you think I have to reply to him, Mark? Is my ignoring the vast
majority of his postings some sort of censorship?

>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
>>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>
>
> realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
> sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
> hallamrk Jim, N2EY

Better go back and read them posts, Mark.

>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
>>me, or chunk light tuna.
>
>
> No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
> the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
> themselves, and then to the rest of us

Your opinion, Mark. My opinion is otherwise.

>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.

No point at all.

1424. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 18:34:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1126982043.427917.214970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119300

```
Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >>>>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
> >>>>>><plonk>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy getting
> >>>>>boring
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply can't
> >>>>stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track mind of
> >>>>anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I have
> >>>>decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion in this
> >>>>group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
> >>>
> >>>Boring Dan Boring
> >>
> >>
> >> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
> >>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
> >>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
```

> >>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
> >
> >
> > What point? that people should be censored?
>
> Censored? Who said that?
Dan

All dan posts in reply is demands for credentcail and that anyone
without credentcails he apporoves should not post
>
>
> My point is that I don't have to reply. I really don't. He can post all
> he wants, and I read it, and reply or not as is my wish.
>
> Do you think I have to reply to him, Mark? Is my ignoring the vast
> majority of his postings some sort of censorship?never said anything of the sort
>
>
> >> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
> >>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
> >>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
> >
> >
> > realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
> > sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
> > hallamrk Jim, N2EY
>
> Better go back and read them posts, Mark.

I have read em
>
>
> >> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
> >>me, or chunk light tuna.
> >
> >
> > No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
> > the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
> > themselves, and then to the rest of us
>
> Your opinion, Mark. My opinion is otherwise.
>
>
>
> >> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>
> No point at all.

1425. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 18:38:01 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1126982281.209211.192050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119301

Whether the previous post is sarcastic, satire or meant to be forthright, let me tell you something about Baxter and IARN. The greatness and followers are but illusions. Marketing yet unreal.

When Hurricane Andrew hit here in 1992, I had been working closely with Glenn. Arguably I was the number 2 man out of 5, all of whom since have long gone.

At the time, I was a believer. So, when Andrew demolished this whole area, leaving it unrecognizable, I waited for my leader. A day. Two days. A week. A month. A year.

He never came. High on TV, radio and media, Glenn is short on sincerity and honesty. He is the personality type that if not recognized for his good deeds, rather than be unrecognized, he prefers to be recognized for his bad deeds. Whatever it takes to be recognized, it is recognition he seeks.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

1426. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 19:10:11 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <E9OdneXjXInf97HenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 119302
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On 15 Sep 2005 14:57:56 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>>of Indulenges for sin, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
>>firend and relitives post mortem
>>
>>Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups
>
>
> It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
> all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
> world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.

Ted, I'll pray for you. There's nothing you can do about it!

+ + +

1427. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 19:32:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126985563.036168.199290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119303

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 16 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

ALL to Date Since FR Notice
------------- ---------------
Grand Total 2321 350

Indeterminate (note 1) 138 29

Value for Percentages 2183 321

Against NPRM (note 2) 653 [29.91%] 99 [30.84%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1194 [54.70%] 160 [49.84%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 336 [15.39%] 62 [19.31%]

The ECFS did not list any filings for 16 Sep 05 as of Noon EDT on
17 Sep 05. The above includes two added filings for 15 Sep 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept

eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1428. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 19:40:31 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126986031.095100.240220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119304

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/comsrch_v2.cgi

That gets you to the fill-form at the FCC for finding filings on
dockets and for specific dates. You have only to put in
"05-235" without the quotes in the upper left block and the
dates (in the stated format) in the "from-to" blocks.

You can also get there from the FCC home page through
the general search block and follow the links to reach the same
page. There's been over 2300 filings so far since 15 July 2005;
happy reading! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1429. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 19:42:57 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126986177.194862.208440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119305

From: an_old_friend on Sep 17, 8:38 am

>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> >>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm

>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
>> regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>> concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>> Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>
>What point? that people should be censored?

Coslo wants a cozy little chatroom with all in general agreement
with him. As he's written before, he wants to be entertained.

When he can't come up with valid replies on the SUBJECT, he
resorts to personal insults such as "ham hating." Tsk, tsk.

Coslo falls into too much self-identification of himself as
"ham radio." Be opposed to Coslo's opinion and (in his view)
one is "hating ham radio." The same with Jeswald, Heil, and
Miccolis.

>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
>> interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>> calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>
>realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
>sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
>hallamrk Jim, N2EY

Consider what I write in here as a "first draft." It isn't
polished to someone's perfection but then I'm NOT getting paid
anything to post. It isn't worth my time to sit and rewrite
to publishing standards, not to my wallet, not to my ego.
It is, in effect, flow-of-consciousness CONVERSATION with
unseen beings somehwere in netland. :-)

>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
>> me, or chunk light tuna.
>
>No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of

>the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
>themselves, and then to the rest of us

I don't hate hams and I rather like tuna/albacore, but only if
packed in water, not oil. :-)

Coslo got pissed off again and used an old, old computer-modem
ploy of winning message points by repeating untruths of his
opponents...instead of concentrating on the SUBJECT under
discussion. That ploy is sometimes effective to "win friends"
(to his viewpoint) but is just egregious. It is well-known to
those of us having done computer-modem communications a long time.

> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.

Coslo expects cheers, applause, and respect because he exists?

Things don't work out that way on contentious, highly-polarized
subject discussions. One has to be TOUGH to take some of the
personal insults tossed out by others. [I've survived 21 years
of that] It's very, very easy to toss those egregious personal
insults right back at them. I could send a series of postings
that have nothing else but "COSLO *HATES* NO-CODERS" in them.
That's quick, easy. Some of the Coders in here would cheer and
applaud, thanking me for my "insight." :-)

But, that wastes time and memory space on servers, and is petty,
so I won't do it. :-)

It's okay for Coslo to say I "hate ham radio." Or Jeswald. Or
Heil. Or Miccolis. Or Robeson. They've already done that.
I know, and others know, it isn't true. But, They >>are<< ham
radio so any disagreement with them is taken as a "mass insult"
on hundreds of thousands of hams!

To paraphrase Percy Bysshe Shelley: "Look upon his words, ye
mighty, and despair!"*

* From the poem "Ozymandias" but title could be paraphrased as
"Ozy-ham-dias," king of kings. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1430. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 19:55:11 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126986911.280276.119010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119306

I'll add that you can compare the filings on 98-143
("restructuring") with 05-235 by just entering "98-143" (without
quote marks) in the upper left block. Leaving all the date
blocks blank will return ALL filings on a given proceding. At
the bottom of the Results display you can select numeric
groups (100 per display at these numeric totals).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1431. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 19:59:53 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126987193.132617.297010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119307
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>It has NEVER been the DOGMA of the Catholic Church to sell indulgences.
>PERIOD! A period of clerical abuse of indulgences, contrary to the
>Doctrinal position of the Church, did exist in history. Martin Luther
>was correct to cite this failure in clergy practice. The abuse was
>censured in the Council of Trent [ca 1545 - 1563].

Did his karma run over your dogma? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1432. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 20:01:54 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1126986298.427998.64730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119308

From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am

>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm

>I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation that he
>hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence of that.

Alun, all those character-assassination statements of "hating hams"
are just that, character-assassination attempts.

Morsemanship - as a "requirement" for amateur radio licensing
has evolved to a high fantasy art, typified by the pseudo-
arithmetic of: HamRadio = MorseCode.

Put another way: "ARS" = Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

Those radio amateurs who fancy themselves good at radiotelegraphy
are incensed at such comparisons. They wish the ARS to be in
Their Image. [it's as simple as that] Hence the character
assassination attempts when they are challenged.

>I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big issue,
>more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham radio
>classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in the theory
>tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If they just want
>to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's always CB. OTOH, it's
>absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed and yet not give a fig for
>Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping noises. This is why it's a big issue.

Some radio amateurs who are NOT in the radio-electronics industry
keep insisting that "amateur radio was their first stepping-stone
into a radio-electronics working career." That's quite untrue.

All of electronics (radio is a subset within that) is
fascinating in and of itself to those who chose to work within
it. For the vast majority of workers IN the electronics-radio
industry, they did NOT "begin" as licensed radio amateurs. Hams
who are IN the industry try to say contrary but they are just
speaking of themselves, failing to look around at all the others
around them who did not "get ham licenses first."

Some of the incensed have already replied with "case histories"
from their own work, naming callsigns, hollering "see?! see?!"
That's a very restrictive "example" since they've not gone
beyond a very small bound of their own experience. The IEEE
world membership exceeds a quarter million and non-IEEE workers
are in the millions worldwide. Articles in the trade press
(over a dozen free-subscription monthlies) do not mention morse
code as having any significance. If morse code is mentioned at
all it is in a historical context or as a bit of wry humor.

What too many United States radio amateurs are stuck with is a
kind of conditioned thinking (i.e., "brainwashing") by a singular
publishing house cum membership organization that over-emphasizes
morse code and morsemanship as positive attributes for a hobby.
The League has lobbied for, and gotten, high-rate morsemanship
as a prerequisite for "advanced" (status/rank/privilege) class
licensing...and just never gave up on that until after WRC-03.
The League's core membership and BoD are still of that
generation and are stuck in their ways. They can't change.

As Cecil Moore used to write in here, "If all you've got is a
hammer, everything looks like a nail." :-)

>If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to be,
>s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an explosion
>in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it is, ham radio is
>as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's probably too late to get a
>major boost in numbers, even if we gave the licences away, which abolishing
>the code test certainly doesn't do (and no, I'm not proposing we make the
>theory easier).

Astute observation. I agree with most of that.

I will disagree only with the "what if" of 1993 and any
possibility of S25 being changed in any radical way. The IARU
had not yet been turned around on their collective code test
opinion, their member organizations still fixated on standards
and practices of their leaders' youth and formative years.
However, the no-code-test movement had already been started a
decade before that, albeit small, ineffectual in the beginning
but growing in intensity as time went on.

Judging by all the past reports of WARCs and WRCs, the IARU was
more influential with the ITU than what the ARRL pretended to be.
The IARU was also embroiled in a number of problems such as the
40m amateur v. SWBC allocations that was SUPPOSED to have been
addressed at WARC-79. It was put off...and put off...until
finally, after 24 years it achieved a solution at WRC-03...which
won't be fully implemented until a few years from now.

In the United States the ARRL still hasn't fully understood that
the 1991 opening up of the no-code-test Technician class license
added over 200 thousand NEW radio amateurs to the amateur

database. If that had not happened, the United States hams would have SHRUNK in overall numbers in today's database...even though the overall population is continuing to increase. As it is, the number of amateur licensees here have been virtually stagnant for over two years, NOT growing and decreasing a miniscule amount since the 2003 peak period. The trend is THERE. The licensees keeping the numbers up are the newcomers arriving via the no-code-test Tech class. Unrenewed license attrition is greater.

The enormous worldwide growth of the Internet and availability of personal computers has stolen MUCH of the "magic" out of the "shortwave radio" mystique. That can't be regained by insisting on the alleged "necessity" to learn and test for radio-telegraphy...for a hobby. Morse code won't defeat terrorists or save lives or be the First Responder on the scene of disasters.

Radio - by itself - still has tremendous fascination to many. It may be that elimination of the code test will produce some increase. Certainly, judging from Comments of WT Docket 05-235, there will be a surge of "upgraders" to "higher" classes. That does little to the overall license totals. The PC and Internet is the Great Challenge to amateur radio for 24/7 personal communications...almost gargantuan competition, already dwarfing other competitors. The number of Comments on Docket 05-235, after only two months, are GREATER than the total number of Comments on "restructuring" (WT Docket 98-143) for all of 1998! Most filings on 05-235 are done electronically. Over on www.qrz.com, the electronic comments on code testing are greater than four times the filings on 05-235 (I stopped reading them a couple weeks ago...too many). We are IN the electronic digital age NOW.

I'll go out on a limb and say that, should code testing be abolished for amateur radio, the license totals might jump to 20% more than current numbers and then level off. Assumption only, more of a guess than anything. The sky will fall on the old amateur morsemen, the "world as they know it" will be a total disaster zone with bitter, angry recriminations abounding. They will ignore all the years, the decades of themselves parading proudly as Champions of Radio and sneering, snarling at no-coders.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1433. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 20:05:45 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126987544.968111.4370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119309
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> Weak Richard, but we love you no less.

Yeah, but he's a little older than the boys you usually molest, queer.

>
> "Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:t3aji1hsepkfap9c8i3h0j3t592t8nedfd@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 12:00:29 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to
> an
> > >HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.
> >
> > You said it yourself:
> > >>refusing to take in a storm victim
> > exactly conforms to
> > >>>Intolerant toward others - 1645.
> >
> > otherwise, why would you offer your opinion
> > >>while abhorrent to me
> >
> > Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
> > and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
> > getting through the eye of the needle.
> >
> > 73's
> > Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1434. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 20:07:06 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1126987626.932046.11490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119310
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> Would someone put something in "Uncle Ted's" mouth, my zipper is stuck...

The tweezers get stuck again?

> Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
>
> > It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
> > all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
> > world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.

1435. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:02:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Jn0Xe.13375$_84.5313@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119311

I think he finally got the hint and shut it all down. At least I haven't heard him on 20 meters in months.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:E9CdnUDt4p8PZrbeRVn-og@crocker.com...
> but is he still on the air??? what frequencies and times??
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:7QIWe.12585$9i4.11265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/
>>
>
>

1436. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:05:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Aq0Xe.13377$_84.7226@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119312

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1126917628.914306.34000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 16, 4:47 pm

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

More useless BS from Lennie the loser

<plonk>

1437. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:08:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <at0Xe.13378$_84.5470@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119313

No "Alun L. Palmer" Lennie the loser is transfixed on the anti-CW testing campaign. He can not carry on a discussion that has NOTHING to do with CW or testing without out bringing it into the discussion.

Get it now?

Dan/W4NTI

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Xns96D471C89F36elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>
>>
>>>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser. <plonk>
>>>
>>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy
>>>>>>getting boring
>>>
>>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply
>>>>>can't stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one
>>>>>track mind of anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired
>>>>>quickly. So I have decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a
>>>>>serious discussion in this group, since he is not a member of the
>>>>>society. Dig it?
>>>
>>>> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>>>
>>>> Boring Dan Boring
>>>
>>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I
>>> don't
>>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>>

>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and
>>> not very interesting, at least to me.
>>
>> Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)
>>
>> The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!
>>
>>> I don't need the non-sequitars, the name calling, or the constant
>>> attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>>
>> "Non sequitur." [from the Latin]
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
>> or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
>> and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
>> amateur radio.
>>
>> Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and
>> general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur
>> radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on
>> about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate
>> hams!"
>>
>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.
>>
>> Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create,
>> in effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not
>> at all civil or mannerly.
>>
>> If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked
>> against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO
>> validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by
>> hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.
>>
>>> That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.
>>
>> This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to
>> discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.
>>
>> If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion,
>> this newsgroup is NOT for you.
>>
>>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>>
>> Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the
>> hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."
>>
>> Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult
>> those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!"
>> I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur

>> radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain
>> policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."
>>
>> You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and
>> electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a
>> radio operator and maintainer in the United States military.
>> That military experience was enlightening and interesting
>> enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial
>> illustration to electronics engineering. That became my
>> career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio
>> and electronics hobby interests continue with me still,
>> begun in 1947 and still with me 58 years later.
>>
>> Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio
>> communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider
>> "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with:
>> Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger
>> than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger
>> world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can
>> benefit by learning more about other forms of radio
>> communication since all the physical principles are the
>> same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost
>> entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio
>> as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to
>> overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by
>> authority of government law.
>>
>> At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only
>> ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission:
>> NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code
>> test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the
>> traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs.
>> Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger
>> impact on the future of United States amateur radio than
>> did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be
>> far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far
>> more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses
>> that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It
>> spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to
>> change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable
>> fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists
>> refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a
>> requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of
>> radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-
>> stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER
>> radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio
>> refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those
>> of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've
>> met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.
>>

>> In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many
>> forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to
>> experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced
>> as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept
>> change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person
>> of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in
>> amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-
>> conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital
>> to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a
>> personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one
>> that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature
>> of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur
>> radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living
>> in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational
>> pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's.
>> It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it
>> is now.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>
>
> I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation that
> he
> hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence of that.
>
> I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big issue,
> more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham radio
> classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in the
> theory
> tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If they just want
> to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's always CB. OTOH, it's
> absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed and yet not give a fig
> for
> Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping noises. This is why it's a big issue.
>
> If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to be,
> s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an explosion
> in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it is, ham radio
> is
> as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's probably too late to get a
> major boost in numbers, even if we gave the licences away, which
> abolishing
> the code test certainly doesn't do (and no, I'm not proposing we make the
> theory easier).

1438. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:10:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qv0Xe.13379$_84.11775@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119314

Need any more proof?

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1126986298.427998.64730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am
>
>>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>>> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>
>
>>I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation that
>>he
>>hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence of that.
>
> Alun, all those character-assassination statements of "hating hams"
> are just that, character-assassination attempts.
>
> Morsemanship - as a "requirement" for amateur radio licensing
> has evolved to a high fantasy art, typified by the pseudo-
> arithmetic of: HamRadio = MorseCode.
>
> Put another way: "ARS" = Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Those radio amateurs who fancy themselves good at radiotelegraphy
> are incensed at such comparisons. They wish the ARS to be in
> Their Image. [it's as simple as that] Hence the character
> assassination attempts when they are challenged.
>
>>I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big issue,
>>more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham radio
>>classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in the
>>theory
>>tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If they just want
>>to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's always CB. OTOH, it's
>>absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed and yet not give a fig
>>for
>>Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping noises. This is why it's a big issue.

>
> Some radio amateurs who are NOT in the radio-electronics industry
> keep insisting that "amateur radio was their first stepping-stone
> into a radio-electronics working career." That's quite untrue.
>
> All of electronics (radio is a subset within that) is
> fascinating in and of itself to those who chose to work within
> it. For the vast majority of workers IN the electronics-radio
> industry, they did NOT "begin" as licensed radio amateurs. Hams
> who are IN the industry try to say contrary but they are just
> speaking of themselves, failing to look around at all the others
> around them who did not "get ham licenses first."
>
> Some of the incensed have already replied with "case histories"
> from their own work, naming callsigns, hollering "see?! see?!"
> That's a very restrictive "example" since they've not gone
> beyond a very small bound of their own experience. The IEEE
> world membership exceeds a quarter million and non-IEEE workers
> are in the millions worldwide. Articles in the trade press
> (over a dozen free-subscription monthlies) do not mention morse
> code as having any significance. If morse code is mentioned at
> all it is in a historical context or as a bit of wry humor.
>
> What too many United States radio amateurs are stuck with is a
> kind of conditioned thinking (i.e., "brainwashing") by a singular
> publishing house cum membership organization that over-emphasizes
> morse code and morsemanship as positive attributes for a hobby.
> The League has lobbied for, and gotten, high-rate morsemanship
> as a prerequisite for "advanced" (status/rank/privilege) class
> licensing...and just never gave up on that until after WRC-03.
> The League's core membership and BoD are still of that
> generation and are stuck in their ways. They can't change.
>
> As Cecil Moore used to write in here, "If all you've got is a
> hammer, everything looks like a nail." :-)
>
>>If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to be,
>>s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an explosion
>>in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it is, ham radio
>>is
>>as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's probably too late to get a
>>major boost in numbers, even if we gave the licences away, which
>>abolishing
>>the code test certainly doesn't do (and no, I'm not proposing we make the
>>theory easier).
>
> Astute observation. I agree with most of that.
>
> I will disagree only with the "what if" of 1993 and any
> possibility of S25 being changed in any radical way. The IARU

> had not yet been turned around on their collective code test
> opinion, their member organizations still fixated on standards
> and practices of their leaders' youth and formative years.
> However, the no-code-test movement had already been started a
> decade before that, albeit small, ineffectual in the beginning
> but growing in intensity as time went on.
>
> Judging by all the past reports of WARCs and WRCs, the IARU was
> more influential with the ITU than what the ARRL pretended to be.
> The IARU was also embroiled in a number of problems such as the
> 40m amateur v. SWBC allocations that was SUPPOSED to have been
> addressed at WARC-79. It was put off...and put off...until
> finally, after 24 years it achieved a solution at WRC-03...which
> won't be fully implemented until a few years from now.
>
> In the United States the ARRL still hasn't fully understood that
> the 1991 opening up of the no-code-test Technician class license
> added over 200 thousand NEW radio amateurs to the amateur
> database. If that had not happened, the United States hams would
> have SHRUNK in overall numbers in today's database...even though
> the overall population is continuing to increase. As it is, the
> number of amateur licensees here have been virtually stagnant for
> over two years, NOT growing and decreasing a miniscule amount
> since the 2003 peak period. The trend is THERE. The licensees
> keeping the numbers up are the newcomers arriving via the no-
> code-test Tech class. Unrenewed license attrition is greater.
>
> The enormous worldwide growth of the Internet and availability of
> personal computers has stolen MUCH of the "magic" out of the
> "shortwave radio" mystique. That can't be regained by insisting
> on the alleged "necessity" to learn and test for radio-
> telegraphy...for a hobby. Morse code won't defeat terrorists or
> save lives or be the First Responder on the scene of disasters.
>
> Radio - by itself - still has tremendous fascination to many. It
> may be that elimination of the code test will produce some
> increase. Certainly, judging from Comments of WT Docket 05-235,
> there will be a surge of "upgraders" to "higher" classes. That
> does little to the overall license totals. The PC and Internet
> is the Great Challenge to amateur radio for 24/7 personal
> communications...almost gargantuan competition, already dwarfing
> other competitors. The number of Comments on Docket 05-235,
> after only two months, are GREATER than the total number of
> Comments on "restructuring" (WT Docket 98-143) for all of 1998!
> Most filings on 05-235 are done electronically. Over on
> www.qrz.com, the electronic comments on code testing are greater
> than four times the filings on 05-235 (I stopped reading them a
> couple weeks ago...too many). We are IN the electronic digital
> age NOW.
>

> I'll go out on a limb and say that, should code testing be
> abolished for amateur radio, the license totals might jump to
> 20% more than current numbers and then level off. Assumption
> only, more of a guess than anything. The sky will fall on
> the old amateur morsemen, the "world as they know it" will be
> a total disaster zone with bitter, angry recriminations
> abounding. They will ignore all the years, the decades of
> themselves parading proudly as Champions of Radio and
> sneering, snarling at no-coders.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1439. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:15:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jA0Xe.13380$_84.3318@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119315

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1126982043.427917.214970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >>an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> >>>>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser.
>> >>>>>><plonk>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy
>> >>>>>>getting
>> >>>>>>boring
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he simply
>> >>>>>>can't
>> >>>>>>stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And his one track
>> >>>>>>mind of
>> >>>>>>anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets tired quickly. So I
>> >>>>>>have
>> >>>>>>decided to <plonk> him. He is not relevant to a serious discussion
>> >>>>>>in this
>> >>>>>>group, since he is not a member of the society. Dig it?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
```

>> >>>Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>> >>>
>> >>>Boring Dan Boring
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
>> >>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>> >>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>> >>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>> >
>> >
>> > What point? that people should be censored?
>>
>> Censored? Who said that?
> Dan
>
> All dan posts in reply is demands for credentcail and that anyone
> without credentcails he apporoves should not post
>>

Not true at all.....post all you or he wants. I just consider the source.
No creditability.

>>
>> My point is that I don't have to reply. I really don't. He can post all
>> he wants, and I read it, and reply or not as is my wish.
>>
>> Do you think I have to reply to him, Mark? Is my ignoring the vast
>> majority of his postings some sort of censorship?never said anything of
>> the sort
>>
>>
>> >> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not
>> >> very
>> >>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>> >>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW
>> >>testing.
>> >
>> >
>> > realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
>> > sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
>> > hallamrk Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Better go back and read them posts, Mark.
>
> I have read em
>>
I agree...read them again....Perhaps you will understand them this time.

>>
>> >> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,

>> >>me, or chunk light tuna.
>> >
>> >
>> > No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
>> > the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
>> > themselves, and then to the rest of us
>>
>> Your opinion, Mark. My opinion is otherwise.
>>
>>
>>
>> >> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>>
>> No point at all.
>

1440. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:16:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <rB0Xe.13381$_84.5234@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119316

Has anyone noticed that those that accuse are usually guilty of the same
sort of offense?

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1126986177.194862.208440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: an_old_friend on Sep 17, 8:38 am
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>> >>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>
>
>>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I don't
>>> regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>> concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>> Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>
>>What point? that people should be censored?
>
> Coslo wants a cozy little chatroom with all in general agreement
> with him. As he's written before, he wants to be entertained.
>
> When he can't come up with valid replies on the SUBJECT, he
> resorts to personal insults such as "ham hating." Tsk, tsk.
>
> Coslo falls into too much self-identification of himself as
> "ham radio." Be opposed to Coslo's opinion and (in his view)
> one is "hating ham radio." The same with Jeswald, Heil, and
> Miccolis.
>
>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not
>>> very
>>> interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>>> calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW
>>> testing.
>>
>>realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
>>sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
>>hallamrk Jim, N2EY

>
> Consider what I write in here as a "first draft." It isn't
> polished to someone's perfection but then I'm NOT getting paid
> anything to post. It isn't worth my time to sit and rewrite
> to publishing standards, not to my wallet, not to my ego.
> It is, in effect, flow-of-consciousness CONVERSATION with
> unseen beings somehwere in netland. :-)
>
>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like
>>> Hams,
>>> me, or chunk light tuna.
>>
>>No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
>>the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
>>themselves, and then to the rest of us
>
> I don't hate hams and I rather like tuna/albacore, but only if
> packed in water, not oil. :-)
>
> Coslo got pissed off again and used an old, old computer-modem
> ploy of winning message points by repeating untruths of his
> opponents...instead of concentrating on the SUBJECT under
> discussion. That ploy is sometimes effective to "win friends"
> (to his viewpoint) but is just egregious. It is well-known to
> those of us having done computer-modem communications a long time.
>
>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>
> Coslo expects cheers, applause, and respect because he exists?
>
> Things don't work out that way on contentious, highly-polarized
> subject discussions. One has to be TOUGH to take some of the
> personal insults tossed out by others. [I've survived 21 years
> of that] It's very, very easy to toss those egregious personal
> insults right back at them. I could send a series of postings
> that have nothing else but "COSLO *HATES* NO-CODERS" in them.
> That's quick, easy. Some of the Coders in here would cheer and
> applaud, thanking me for my "insight." :-)
>
> But, that wastes time and memory space on servers, and is petty,
> so I won't do it. :-)
>
> It's okay for Coslo to say I "hate ham radio." Or Jeswald. Or
> Heil. Or Miccolis. Or Robeson. They've already done that.
> I know, and others know, it isn't true. But, They >>are<< ham
> radio so any disagreement with them is taken as a "mass insult"
> on hundreds of thousands of hams!
>
> To paraphrase Percy Bysshe Shelley: "Look upon his words, ye
> mighty, and despair!"*

>
> * From the poem "Ozymandias" but title could be paraphrased as
> "Ozy-ham-dias," king of kings. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1441. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:18:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3D0Xe.13382$_84.7807@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119317
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

D A N G.....he posted without talking about Morse Code Testing. Hats off
Lennie.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1126987193.132617.297010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>It has NEVER been the DOGMA of the Catholic Church to sell indulgences.
>>PERIOD! A period of clerical abuse of indulgences, contrary to the
>>Doctrinal position of the Church, did exist in history. Martin Luther
>>was correct to cite this failure in clergy practice. The abuse was
>>censured in the Council of Trent [ca 1545 - 1563].
>
>
> Did his karma run over your dogma? :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1442. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 22:27:42 UTC
From: Fred Fartripper <greatlibertynet@nitnoidsofhamradio.com>
Message-ID: <d0853$432c9888$d1cc7c4d$2019@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119318

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:7QIWe.12585$9i4.11265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/

The best way to drive Baxter TOTALLY INSANE is to completely
ignore him. Do that and all his inner mental demons will fold back in
upon themselves and he'll quickly self-destruct.

Trust me it works. We did this to some clown who was QRM'ing
a local repeater (along with turning it off) and in the space of 2 weeks
he not only went away from ham radio, but he was arrested for
assault and battery on his girlfriend.

I am not saying that the Boy Broadcaster will go the apeshit route
like our hero above, but he defiantly will suffer mentally as a result
of being shunned.

1443. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 23:19:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sw1Xe.11488$Wd7.364@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119319

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Things don't work out that way on contentious, highly-polarized
> subject discussions. One has to be TOUGH to take some of the
> personal insults tossed out by others. [I've survived 21 years
> of that]

I have little doubt that you've survived six or seven decades of it.

Dave K8MN

1444. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-17 23:38:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%N1Xe.1141$Gg1.500@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119320

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an_old_friend on Sep 17, 8:38 am
>
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Coslo wants a cozy little chatroom with all in general agreement
> with him. As he's written before, he wants to be entertained.
>
> When he can't come up with valid replies on the SUBJECT, he
> resorts to personal insults such as "ham hating." Tsk, tsk.

Is that how you end up resorting to the personal insults here? You
can't come up with a valid reply on "the subject" (the subject being
defined as anything you want to discuss)?

> Coslo falls into too much self-identification of himself as
> "ham radio." Be opposed to Coslo's opinion and (in his view)
> one is "hating ham radio." The same with Jeswald, Heil, and
> Miccolis.

Too much self-identification of himself as "ham radio"? How much is too
much?

Mike may think of himself as a part of ham radio. He is a radio
amateur. The same is true of all other radio amateurs who post here.
You don't fall into that category. You're not involved.

>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
>>>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>>>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>>
>>realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
>>sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
>>hallamrk Jim, N2EY
>
>
> Consider what I write in here as a "first draft." It isn't
> polished to someone's perfection but then I'm NOT getting paid
> anything to post. It isn't worth my time to sit and rewrite
> to publishing standards, not to my wallet, not to my ego.
> It is, in effect, flow-of-consciousness CONVERSATION with

> unseen beings somehwere in netland. :-)

So "drearily predictable", "not very interesting", the name calling and constant attempts to steer every topic toward morse code testing is the result of your not being paid?

>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
>>>me, or chunk light tuna.
>>
>>No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
>>the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
>>themselves, and then to the rest of us
>
>
> I don't hate hams and I rather like tuna/albacore, but only if
> packed in water, not oil. :-)
>
> Coslo got pissed off again and used an old, old computer-modem
> ploy of winning message points by repeating untruths of his
> opponents...instead of concentrating on the SUBJECT under
> discussion. That ploy is sometimes effective to "win friends"
> (to his viewpoint) but is just egregious. It is well-known to
> those of us having done computer-modem communications a long time.

But, Len, Mike hasn't repeated untruths about you. You belittle Morse Code ops. You belittle the efforts of radio amateur volunteers who rushed to the aid of those in need in the wake of Hurricane Katrina. You belittle DXers. You belittle contesters. You berate the ARRL at every opportunity. You often rant of your "PROFESSIONAL" (by now mostly past professional) status in electronics. You repeat and repeat and repeat what, to you, must be military glories.

A rational being might easily assume that you dislike amateur radio and amateur radio operators.

> It's okay for Coslo to say I "hate ham radio." Or Jeswald. Or
> Heil. Or Miccolis. Or Robeson. They've already done that.
> I know, and others know, it isn't true. But, They >>are<< ham
> radio so any disagreement with them is taken as a "mass insult"
> on hundreds of thousands of hams!

We all participate in amateur radio. You do not. You have no role. That much is fact. As for the others who "know" that your dislike of amateur radio is real, they'd be guys like anonymous "John Smith", Frank the CBer, Brian Burke and your old friend, Colonel Morgan. They're all kindred spirits with you. They're with you, Len. They know you.

Dave K8MN

1445. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 00:06:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4c2Xe.11395$4P5.1589@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119321

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am
>
>
>>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>>
>>>From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>
>
>
>>I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation that he
>>hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence of that.
>
>
> Alun, all those character-assassination statements of "hating hams"
> are just that, character-assassination attempts.
>
> Morsemanship - as a "requirement" for amateur radio licensing
> has evolved to a high fantasy art, typified by the pseudo-
> arithmetic of: HamRadio = MorseCode.
>
> Put another way: "ARS" = Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

You've put it several ways, all of them untrue. I myself operate any
number of modes available to me. We all know that there is no "Archaic
Radiotelegraphy Society" and we also know that CW is the second most
popular HF mode.

> Those radio amateurs who fancy themselves good at radiotelegraphy
> are incensed at such comparisons. They wish the ARS to be in
> Their Image. [it's as simple as that] Hence the character
> assassination attempts when they are challenged.

It would make much more sense for that to be the case--if it was true.
What wouldn't make sense is for amateur radio to be molded in the image
of some geezer on the west coast, who isn't even a participant in
amateur radio. As to character assassination, you've been more guilty of
that than just about anyone here. It is what you do.

>
>>I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big issue,
>>more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham radio
>>classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in the theory
>>tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If they just want
>>to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's always CB. OTOH, it's
>>absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed and yet not give a fig for
>>Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping noises. This is why it's a big issue.
>
>
> Some radio amateurs who are NOT in the radio-electronics industry
> keep insisting that "amateur radio was their first stepping-stone
> into a radio-electronics working career." That's quite untrue.

Guys not in the radio-electronics industry insist that they got into
radio or electronics work because of amateur radio. That doesn't make
sense and I've not seen anyone claim anything like you've stated.

> All of electronics (radio is a subset within that) is
> fascinating in and of itself to those who chose to work within
> it. For the vast majority of workers IN the electronics-radio
> industry, they did NOT "begin" as licensed radio amateurs.

So?

> Hams
> who are IN the industry try to say contrary but they are just
> speaking of themselves, failing to look around at all the others
> around them who did not "get ham licenses first."

Hams who are in the industry to to say that majority of their co-workers
got into their work because of amateur radio? I don't believe you.

> Some of the incensed have already replied with "case histories"
> from their own work, naming callsigns, hollering "see?! see?!"
> That's a very restrictive "example" since they've not gone
> beyond a very small bound of their own experience.

I don't think anyone has used his personal experience to attempt to say
that being a ham is the only way into industry or government
radio/electronics. It certainly can be *a* way. Does it honk you off
because an amateur radio ticket opens the door for some? The CIA has
placed ads in QST and has had a booth at the Dayton Hamvention. When I
was hired by State, the Department was actively recruiting former
military ops and radio amateurs. Why would that surprise or upset you?

> The IEEE
> world membership exceeds a quarter million and non-IEEE workers

> are in the millions worldwide. Articles in the trade press
> (over a dozen free-subscription monthlies) do not mention morse
> code as having any significance. If morse code is mentioned at
> all it is in a historical context or as a bit of wry humor.

Wow, I guess you told us. That just about sums up all there is to know
about that, huh?

> What too many United States radio amateurs are stuck with is a
> kind of conditioned thinking (i.e., "brainwashing") by a singular
> publishing house cum membership organization that over-emphasizes
> morse code and morsemanship as positive attributes for a hobby.

...positive attributes for a *hobby* in which morse code still plays a
large part. What would be wrong with that? Are you able to write "The
American Radio Relay League" or "ARRL"? Is it your not-very-well-hidden
dislike for amateur radio which compels you to write things like
"'brainwashing' by a singular publishing house cum membership
organization that over-emphasizes morse code..."?

> The League has lobbied for, and gotten, high-rate morsemanship
> as a prerequisite for "advanced" (status/rank/privilege) class
> licensing...and just never gave up on that until after WRC-03.
> The League's core membership and BoD are still of that
> generation and are stuck in their ways. They can't change.

Your statement is disingenuous at best.

> As Cecil Moore used to write in here, "If all you've got is a
> hammer, everything looks like a nail." :-)

You screwed that up big time. :-)

>>If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to be,
>>s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an explosion
>>in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it is, ham radio is
>>as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's probably too late to get a
>>major boost in numbers, even if we gave the licences away, which abolishing
>>the code test certainly doesn't do (and no, I'm not proposing we make the
>>theory easier).
>
>
> Astute observation. I agree with most of that.
>
> I will disagree only with the "what if" of 1993 and any
> possibility of S25 being changed in any radical way. The IARU
> had not yet been turned around on their collective code test
> opinion, their member organizations still fixated on standards
> and practices of their leaders' youth and formative years.
> However, the no-code-test movement had already been started a
> decade before that, albeit small, ineffectual in the beginning
> but growing in intensity as time went on.
>

> Judging by all the past reports of WARCs and WRCs, the IARU was
> more influential with the ITU than what the ARRL pretended to be.
> The IARU was also embroiled in a number of problems such as the
> 40m amateur v. SWBC allocations that was SUPPOSED to have been
> addressed at WARC-79. It was put off...and put off...until
> finally, after 24 years it achieved a solution at WRC-03...which
> won't be fully implemented until a few years from now.

That was certainly a case of amateur radio fighting the deep pockets of mostly governmental shortwave outlets. In the end, it has been accomplished and is being implemented. Does that bother you?

> The sky will fall on
> the old amateur morsemen, the "world as they know it" will be
> a total disaster zone with bitter, angry recriminations
> abounding. They will ignore all the years, the decades of
> themselves parading proudly as Champions of Radio and
> sneering, snarling at no-coders.

It is strange that those who don't view things as you, are always sneering or snarling or parading proudly (or even overly-proudly). That's quite a world you've pasted together for yourself, Leonard.

She was a CW operator's daughter and she didit 'cuz her Da-da did it.

Don't take any wooden modems.

Dave K8MN

1446. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 00:06:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127002010.941049.264750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119322

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: an_old_friend on Sep 17, 8:38 am
> >
> >
> >>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > Coslo wants a cozy little chatroom with all in general agreement
> > with him. As he's written before, he wants to be entertained.
> >
> > When he can't come up with valid replies on the SUBJECT, he
> > resorts to personal insults such as "ham hating." Tsk, tsk.
>
> Is that how you end up resorting to the personal insults here? You
> can't come up with a valid reply on "the subject" (the subject being
> defined as anything you want to discuss)?

no

>
> > Coslo falls into too much self-identification of himself as
> > "ham radio." Be opposed to Coslo's opinion and (in his view)
> > one is "hating ham radio." The same with Jeswald, Heil, and
> > Miccolis.
>
> Too much self-identification of himself as "ham radio"? How much is too
> much?

much of any is too much
>
> Mike may think of himself as a part of ham radio. He is a radio
> amateur. The same is true of all other radio amateurs who post here.
> You don't fall into that category. You're not involved.

again with the credentcails game Dave? what is the obsessioons with
credentcails? Youd think a ham licesen was the equal of a PHD as much
as you make of it, instead of being more like a college admission

>
> >>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
> >>>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
> >>>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.

> >>
> >>realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
> >>sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
> >>hallamrk Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > Consider what I write in here as a "first draft." It isn't
> > polished to someone's perfection but then I'm NOT getting paid
> > anything to post. It isn't worth my time to sit and rewrite
> > to publishing standards, not to my wallet, not to my ego.
> > It is, in effect, flow-of-consciousness CONVERSATION with
> > unseen beings somehwere in netland. :-)
>
> So "drearily predictable", "not very interesting", the name calling and
> constant attempts to steer every topic toward morse code testing is the
> result of your not being paid?

result no, his wordyness is, as he said but then you can't read it
unless perhaps he sent it in morse ode

the real reason is more in line with the exercise of Freedom and Free
will 2 things you don't think highly of
>
> >>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
> >>>me, or chunk light tuna.
> >>
> >>No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
> >>the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
> >>themselves, and then to the rest of us
> >
> >
> > I don't hate hams and I rather like tuna/albacore, but only if
> > packed in water, not oil. :-)
> >
> > Coslo got pissed off again and used an old, old computer-modem
> > ploy of winning message points by repeating untruths of his
> > opponents...instead of concentrating on the SUBJECT under
> > discussion. That ploy is sometimes effective to "win friends"
> > (to his viewpoint) but is just egregious. It is well-known to
> > those of us having done computer-modem communications a long time.
>
> But, Len, Mike hasn't repeated untruths about you. You belittle Morse
> Code ops. You belittle the efforts of radio amateur volunteers who
> rushed to the aid of those in need in the wake of Hurricane Katrina.
> You belittle DXers. You belittle contesters. You berate the ARRL at
> every opportunity. You often rant of your "PROFESSIONAL" (by now mostly
> past professional) status in electronics. You repeat and repeat and
> repeat what, to you, must be military glories.

but he has related unturths, the biggest of them is that the fellows
you just rattled off are the totality of Ham radio

>
> A rational being might easily assume that you dislike amateur radio and
> amateur radio operators.

only an operator that think too highly of his personal interests in the
Ham radio
>
>
> > It's okay for Coslo to say I "hate ham radio." Or Jeswald. Or
> > Heil. Or Miccolis. Or Robeson. They've already done that.
> > I know, and others know, it isn't true. But, They >>are<< ham
> > radio so any disagreement with them is taken as a "mass insult"
> > on hundreds of thousands of hams!
>
> We all participate in amateur radio. You do not. You have no role.
> That much is fact. As for the others who "know" that your dislike of
> amateur radio is real, they'd be guys like anonymous "John Smith", Frank
> the CBer, Brian Burke and your old friend, Colonel Morgan. They're all
> kindred spirits with you. They're with you, Len. They know you.

I hardly know Len, I don't know frank or John Smith I know Brain abut
the same as Len

But as normal you want to play the game of credentcails
>
> Dave K8MN

1447. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 00:07:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <172dnVNZPquTLLHeRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 119324

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:WrCdnfd7CqcvwLHeRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>>>>

[snip]

>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
>>>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>>>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW
>>>testing.
>>
>>
>> realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
>> sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
>> hallamrk Jim, N2EY
>
> Better go back and read them posts, Mark.
>
>
>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
>>>me, or chunk light tuna.
>>
>>
>> No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
>> the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
>> themselves, and then to the rest of us
>

> Your opinion, Mark. My opinion is otherwise.
>

From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children, women, and anyone younger than he is.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1448. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 00:08:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127002119.318350.320650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119323

Fred Fartripper wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:7QIWe.12585$9i4.11265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/
>
> The best way to drive Baxter TOTALLY INSANE is to completely
> ignore him. Do that and all his inner mental demons will fold back in
> upon themselves and he'll quickly self-destruct.

why would you want to drive him insane?
>
> Trust me it works. We did this to some clown who was QRM'ing
> a local repeater (along with turning it off) and in the space of 2 weeks
> he not only went away from ham radio, but he was arrested for
> assault and battery on his girlfriend.

Gee In some circles they might say you were in part responible for that
assualt
>
> I am not saying that the Boy Broadcaster will go the apeshit route
> like our hero above, but he defiantly will suffer mentally as a result
> of being shunned.

1449. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 00:48:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S72dnS0X7un2JLHeRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119325

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Has anyone noticed that those that accuse are usually guilty of the same
> sort of offense?

Yaknow Dan, I find it interesting that when I refer to people as hating hams, it is an apparently a big personal insult, and yet when they call the rest of us any name they please, I guess that is some sort of joke or something?

Ha ha 8^)

- mike KB3EIA -

1450. Re: Laying Waste to Frank Of Silliland's Silliness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 01:09:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127005768.597628.287010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119326

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> > A lot of them were over-run by married Europeans with syphilis.
>
>
> The Great Nations of Europe
> Had gathered on the shore
> They'd conquered what was behind them
> And now they wanted more
> So they looked to the mighty ocean
> And took to the western sea
> The great nations of Europe in the sixteenth century
>
> Hide your wives and daughters
> Hide the groceries too
> Great nations of Europe coming through
>
> The Grand Canary Islands
> First land to which they came
> They slaughtered all the canaries there
> Which gave the land its name
> There were natives there called Guanches
> Guanches by the score
> Bullets, disease, the Portugese, and they weren't there anymore
>
> Now they're gone, they're gone, they're really gone
> You've never seen anyone so gone
> They're a picture in a museum
> Some lines written in a book
> But you won't find a live one no matter where you look
>
> Hide your wives and daughters
> Hide the groceries too
> Great nations of Europe coming through
>
> Columbus sailed for India
> Found Salvador instead
> He shook hands with some Indians and soon they all were dead
> They got TB and typhoid and athlete's foot
> Diptheria and the flu
> 'Scuse me - Great nations coming through!
>
> Balboa found the Pacific

> And on the trail one day
> He met some friendly Indians
> Whom he was told were gay
> So he had them torn apart by dogs on religious grounds they say
> The great nations of Europe were quite holy in their way
>
> Now they're gone, they're gone, they're really gone
> You've never seen anyone so gone
> Some bones hidden in a canyon
> Some paintings in a cave
> There's no use trying to save them
> There's nothing left to save
>
> Hide your wives and daughters
> Hide your sons as well
> With the great nations of Europe you never can tell
>
> >From where you and I are standing
> At the dawn of a century
> Europes have sprung up everyone as even I can see
> But there on the horizon as a possiblity
> Some bug from out of Africa might come for you and me
> Destroying everything in its path
> >From sea to shining sea
> Like the great nations of Europe
> In the sixteenth century
>
> "Great Nations of Europe" by Randy Newman
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim sure is a smart feller. Always quoting the great people.

1451. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 01:11:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UpOdnelti8III7HeRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119327

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:WrCdnfd7CqcvwLHeRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>>>>>>
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>>>All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
>>>>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>>>>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW
>>>>testing.
>>>
>>>
>>>realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
>>>sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
>>>hallamrk Jim, N2EY
>>
```

>>Better go back and read them posts, Mark.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
>>>>me, or chunk light tuna.
>>>
>>>
>>>No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
>>>the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
>>>themselves, and then to the rest of us
>>
>>Your opinion, Mark. My opinion is otherwise.
>>
>
>
> From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children, women, and
> anyone younger than he is.

Oh, OH! Now you're going to be accused of personal insults and lying for
expressing your opinion, Dee! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1452. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 02:10:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W6OdnfYlBq5PUbHeRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119331

```
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:UpOdnelti8lII7HeRVn-tg@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:WrCdnfd7CqcvwLHeRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:1126740655.953399.100650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>>news:1126725620.609058.35740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>>>>>>>
>>
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>>
>>>>>>All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable and not very
>>>>>>interesting, at least to me. I don't need the non-sequitars, the name
>>>>>>calling, or the constant attempts to steer most every thread to CW
>>>>>>testing.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>realy I don't see that. Len does certainly get rather verbose,
>>>>>sometimes to point of undermining his his point but that is also the
```

>>>>hallamrk Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>Better go back and read them posts, Mark.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams. That is okay, no one has to like Hams,
>>>>>me, or chunk light tuna.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No Len doesn't hate hams, he does hate that fairly visible segment of
>>>>the ham world that is very inflexible and frankly are dishonest to
>>>>themselves, and then to the rest of us
>>>
>>>Your opinion, Mark. My opinion is otherwise.
>>>
>>
>>
>> From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children, women, and
>> anyone younger than he is.
>
>
> Oh, OH! Now you're going to be accused of personal insults and lying for
> expressing your opinion, Dee! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I can live with it !

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1453. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 02:46:19 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2O-dnSEJ3-uRW7HeRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119328

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Things don't work out that way on contentious, highly-polarized
> subject discussions. One has to be TOUGH to take some of the
> personal insults tossed out by others. [I've survived 21 years
> of that]

21 years of personal insults...must say something about your character
for you to be insulted so much.

1454. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 02:47:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2O-dnSAJ3-upW7HeRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119329

Dee Flint wrote:

>
>
> From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children, women, and
> anyone younger than he is.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Which must be just about everyone.

1455. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 02:48:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2O-dnSMJ3-s1W7HeRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119330

an_old_friend wrote:
> Fred Fartripper wrote:

>>Trust me it works. We did this to some clown who was QRM'ing
>>a local repeater (along with turning it off) and in the space of 2 weeks
>>he not only went away from ham radio, but he was arrested for
>>assault and battery on his girlfriend.
>
>
> Gee In some circles they might say you were in part responible for that
> assualt

Yeah, in your loonie circle, you do tend to attempt to put the blame on
everyone else.

1456. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 03:02:09 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <RM4Xe.25964$uD6.10317@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119332
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

An even more intriquing question is:

How do you make a dead floater loot?

"Herbert Khaury" <tiptoe@tulip.me> wrote in message
news:v6adnZ2dnZ0o4bvanZ2dnU1og96dnZ2dRVn-zp2dnZ0@comcast.com...
> How do you make a dead looter float?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> First you get a tall glass, add two scoops of dead looter and 8 oz of cold
> milk, top it off with whipped cream and a cherry.
>
>
> --
>
> "lloyd the toide" <flushhhhhh@yahooblows.com> wrote in message
> news:cc0b9$4317dab4$d1cc7c3d$16727@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> From the Chicago Sun-Times:
>>
>> http://www.suntimes.com/output/feder/cst-fin-feder01.html
>>
>> On [Dahl's] (105.9) afternoon show Tuesday, he mused about creating a
> drink
>> inspired by
>> reports of "floating corpses" in New Orleans. And on his Web log
> Wednesday,
>> he elaborated.
> [...]
>
>

1457. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 03:17:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127013442.635697.11750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119333

yep, same oh bullshit from the anti-K1MAN group. I wish your girls
would come up with something new. : (

1458. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 03:17:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127013461.758221.323150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119334

yep, same old bullshit from the anti-K1MAN group. I wish your girls
would come up with something new. : (

1459. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 04:29:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127017784.733481.72070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119335

From: Mike Coslo on Sat 17 Sep 2005 14:12

>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>>From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am

>> I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation that he
>> hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence of that.
>
>Your opinion. I have read enough of his posts to come to a different
>conclusion.

Translation: You didn't get the answer you wanted. Tsk, tsk.

>> I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big issue,
>> more important than any restructuring, etc.
>
> So What? Does every post have to be about Morse code testing?

NPRM 05-143 is THE hot-button topic for United States amateur
radio right now...and until 14 November. License testing
regulations >>ARE<< amateur radio policy.

But, YOU have passed your code test...and can now declare that
all talk of morse code testing does not matter in here?

How magnanimous of you! :-)

You got yours so screw everyone else?

> If I make a post about something else, and he turns it to Morse code
>testing, does that mean I am *required* to reply?

Are you or are you not a member of the Church of St. Hiram?

Coslo, you've posted a lot lately on religion, theology, ethics
and morals of past and present societies. Are you "qualified"
in those subjects in any way? How do those subjects "belong"
in a newsgroup ostensibly intended for amateur radio POLICY?

> So far, he has called me a "poor baby", a "sore loser", and as having a
>drinking problem.

Do you have a drinking problem? You demonstrate being a sore loser.

> He accuses me of character assassination and more than
>I care to look up at this time.

Yessir, you said I "HATE ALL HAMS!" [not in all capitals, but
it might as well have been...:-)]

>And if I care to point it out, I am guaranteed another poor baby thing.

You are? 100% Guarantee? Sorry, your guarantee expired.

Are you a disciple of Captain Future who is prescient?

>He calls many people Nazis, or other derisive terms.

If those people act like nazis, then they get called such. TS.

>All because they have the unmitigated gall to disagree with him.

Hoooooo...now THAT's being WAY too understated. :-)

Somebody disses me, I toss it right back. The disser gets it in
the kisser and then gets all pisser-y because he can't get
"protection" for his dissing. Tsk, tsk, tskery.

>What exactly have I done to him?

Lessee...you called me a "HAM HATER!" :-)

>He is here having his brand of good time.

No, I'm not.

If you were to discuss "The Necessity Of Amateur Radio" SUBJECT, it
would be of interest to me.

But, alas, what this sub-thread has turned to are the Travails of
Michael Coslo, subtitled How Mean People Are Picking On Him.
Boo hoo...let us all feel so sorry for Michael.

> Do you approve of such activity Alun? Is that a good way to act? Even
>if Mr Anderson is 100 percent correct, Is that an excuse for his "style".

"Style?" You want "style?" What kind? Is there a manual on
"style"
that is approved by Your Lordship?

How about "A Manual of Style" by Strunk and White, very much a
'have'
book for writers or anyone involved in American-English grammar.

Is there a Dale Caneigie charm-school manual on "style" for hams?

I've been through a Manager's Charm School course, got the texts,
but doesn't cover amateurs...it was for professionals. That's out.

Does QST have a "Dear Abby" column? Should I run down to the close
HRO store and pick up a copy? It's at the corner of Victory Blvd
and Buena Vista, about three miles from my house. Maybe they have
manuals of "style" there?

An acquaintence is a printer. I can get all kinds of TYPE styles
from him. I consider him a "font" of printing style, but not
of youth.

> And you can tell him that I do like good strong discussion and debate.
>It has to be good though.

Yes, yes, you've already written you "want to be ENTERTAINED."

"Entertainment" generally costs MONEY. You gots? Wanna call my
agent and negotiate a contract for "style?"

Oh, and you've told EVERYBODY what you want...but have been unable
to tell me direct. Tsk, tsk. No gots guts?

> Tell me Alun, how long do you think his "style" of discussion would
>stand up in a real debate?

Sweetums, these newsgroups that grew out of ARPANET into USENET were
supposed to be "discussion and debate." Back before USENET was
formed out of ARPANET, users discovered the "diss" and generally
insulted others with impunity, protected by geographic and
chronologic
distance safety. It's been that way ever since. Isn't that
ENTERTAINING enough for you? No? You insist on YOUR "style?"

Tell you what, just get in touch with an Internet-Usenet Boss and
negotiate your OWN STYLE of newsgroup or even chat room. Be the
moderator. Delete all those who don't meet your "style." That
way all within be Happy with "style" and nobody dare sass the
moderator. Nobody else will be able to see it, therefore nobody
will interrupt. Utopia/Nirvana for "STYLE." Your very OWN.

You just keep on repeating that FALSIE about "hating hams." That
will make you real popular. Jeswald already likes you for that
since he says the same scurrilous FALSIE. PCTA will applaud you
and that will make you HAPPY. You can LIE with impunity.

Stylishly yours,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1460. Re: Transmitter and Signal Tuner Great Ham gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 05:06:17 UTC
From: Bob <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1127019977.354284.220200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119336

You want rec.radio.swap The policy group is for flame wars only :-)

1461. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 05:19:45 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96D5E1CC36CCelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 119337

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1126986298.427998.64730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am
>
>>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>>> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>
>
>>I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation
>>that he hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence
>>of that.
>
> Alun, all those character-assassination statements of "hating hams"
> are just that, character-assassination attempts.
>
> Morsemanship - as a "requirement" for amateur radio licensing
> has evolved to a high fantasy art, typified by the pseudo-
> arithmetic of: HamRadio = MorseCode.
>
> Put another way: "ARS" = Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Those radio amateurs who fancy themselves good at radiotelegraphy
> are incensed at such comparisons. They wish the ARS to be in
> Their Image. [it's as simple as that] Hence the character
> assassination attempts when they are challenged.
>
>>I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big
>>issue, more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham
>>radio classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in
>>the theory tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If
>>they just want to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's
>>always CB. OTOH, it's absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed
>>and yet not give a fig for Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping noises.
>>This is why it's a big issue.
>
> Some radio amateurs who are NOT in the radio-electronics industry
> keep insisting that "amateur radio was their first stepping-stone

> into a radio-electronics working career." That's quite untrue.
>
> All of electronics (radio is a subset within that) is
> fascinating in and of itself to those who chose to work within
> it. For the vast majority of workers IN the electronics-radio
> industry, they did NOT "begin" as licensed radio amateurs. Hams
> who are IN the industry try to say contrary but they are just
> speaking of themselves, failing to look around at all the others
> around them who did not "get ham licenses first."
>
> Some of the incensed have already replied with "case histories"
> from their own work, naming callsigns, hollering "see?! see?!"
> That's a very restrictive "example" since they've not gone
> beyond a very small bound of their own experience. The IEEE
> world membership exceeds a quarter million and non-IEEE workers
> are in the millions worldwide. Articles in the trade press
> (over a dozen free-subscription monthlies) do not mention morse
> code as having any significance. If morse code is mentioned at
> all it is in a historical context or as a bit of wry humor.
>
> What too many United States radio amateurs are stuck with is a
> kind of conditioned thinking (i.e., "brainwashing") by a singular
> publishing house cum membership organization that over-emphasizes
> morse code and morsemanship as positive attributes for a hobby.
> The League has lobbied for, and gotten, high-rate morsemanship
> as a prerequisite for "advanced" (status/rank/privilege) class
> licensing...and just never gave up on that until after WRC-03.
> The League's core membership and BoD are still of that
> generation and are stuck in their ways. They can't change.
>
> As Cecil Moore used to write in here, "If all you've got is a
> hammer, everything looks like a nail." :-)
>
>>If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to
>>be, s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an
>>explosion in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it
>>is, ham radio is as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's
>>probably too late to get a major boost in numbers, even if we gave the
>>licences away, which abolishing the code test certainly doesn't do (and
>>no, I'm not proposing we make the theory easier).
>
> Astute observation. I agree with most of that.
>
> I will disagree only with the "what if" of 1993 and any
> possibility of S25 being changed in any radical way. The IARU
> had not yet been turned around on their collective code test
> opinion, their member organizations still fixated on standards
> and practices of their leaders' youth and formative years.
> However, the no-code-test movement had already been started a
> decade before that, albeit small, ineffectual in the beginning

> but growing in intensity as time went on.
>
> Judging by all the past reports of WARCs and WRCs, the IARU was
> more influential with the ITU than what the ARRL pretended to be.
> The IARU was also embroiled in a number of problems such as the
> 40m amateur v. SWBC allocations that was SUPPOSED to have been
> addressed at WARC-79. It was put off...and put off...until
> finally, after 24 years it achieved a solution at WRC-03...which
> won't be fully implemented until a few years from now.
>
> In the United States the ARRL still hasn't fully understood that
> the 1991 opening up of the no-code-test Technician class license
> added over 200 thousand NEW radio amateurs to the amateur
> database. If that had not happened, the United States hams would
> have SHRUNK in overall numbers in today's database...even though
> the overall population is continuing to increase. As it is, the
> number of amateur licensees here have been virtually stagnant for
> over two years, NOT growing and decreasing a miniscule amount
> since the 2003 peak period. The trend is THERE. The licensees
> keeping the numbers up are the newcomers arriving via the no-
> code-test Tech class. Unrenewed license attrition is greater.
>
> The enormous worldwide growth of the Internet and availability of
> personal computers has stolen MUCH of the "magic" out of the
> "shortwave radio" mystique. That can't be regained by insisting
> on the alleged "necessity" to learn and test for radio-
> telegraphy...for a hobby. Morse code won't defeat terrorists or
> save lives or be the First Responder on the scene of disasters.
>
> Radio - by itself - still has tremendous fascination to many. It
> may be that elimination of the code test will produce some
> increase. Certainly, judging from Comments of WT Docket 05-235,
> there will be a surge of "upgraders" to "higher" classes. That
> does little to the overall license totals. The PC and Internet
> is the Great Challenge to amateur radio for 24/7 personal
> communications...almost gargantuan competition, already dwarfing
> other competitors. The number of Comments on Docket 05-235,
> after only two months, are GREATER than the total number of
> Comments on "restructuring" (WT Docket 98-143) for all of 1998!
> Most filings on 05-235 are done electronically. Over on
> www.qrz.com, the electronic comments on code testing are greater
> than four times the filings on 05-235 (I stopped reading them a
> couple weeks ago...too many). We are IN the electronic digital
> age NOW.
>
> I'll go out on a limb and say that, should code testing be
> abolished for amateur radio, the license totals might jump to
> 20% more than current numbers and then level off. Assumption
> only, more of a guess than anything. The sky will fall on
> the old amateur morsemen, the "world as they know it" will be

> a total disaster zone with bitter, angry recriminations
> abounding. They will ignore all the years, the decades of
> themselves parading proudly as Champions of Radio and
> sneering, snarling at no-coders.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

The only point where I differ is that I'm personally convinced that abolition of the Morse test would have been carried in the ITU in 1993 if it could only have got to the floor. Those who delayed it did so precisely because they knew that. The ITU is one country one vote, so the US is no more influential there than Monaco or Luxembourg.

1462. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 05:29:06 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96D5FB201F7Eelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 119338

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
news:at0Xe.13378$_84.5470@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> No "Alun L. Palmer" Lennie the loser is transfixed on the anti-CW
> testing campaign. He can not carry on a discussion that has NOTHING to
> do with CW or testing without out bringing it into the discussion.
>
> Get it now?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96D471C89F36elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> news:1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser. <plonk>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy
>>>>>>>>getting boring
>>>>
>>>>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he
>>>>>>>simply can't stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And
>>>>>>>his one track mind of anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets
>>>>>>>tired quickly. So I have decided to <plonk> him. He is not
>>>>>>>relevant to a serious discussion in this group, since he is not a
>>>>>>>member of the society. Dig it?
>>>>
>>>>> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>>>>
>>>>> Boring Dan Boring
>>>>
>>>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I
>>>> don't

>>>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>>>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>>>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>>>
>>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable
>>>> and not very interesting, at least to me.
>>>
>>> Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)
>>>
>>> The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!
>>>
>>>> I don't need the non-sequitars, the name calling, or the constant
>>>> attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>>>
>>> "Non sequitur." [from the Latin]
>>>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
>>> or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
>>> and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
>>> amateur radio.
>>>
>>> Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and
>>> general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur
>>> radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on
>>> about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate
>>> hams!"
>>>
>>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.
>>>
>>> Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create, in
>>> effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not at all
>>> civil or mannerly.
>>>
>>> If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked
>>> against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO
>>> validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by
>>> hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.
>>>
>>>> That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.
>>>
>>> This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to
>>> discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.
>>>
>>> If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion, this
>>> newsgroup is NOT for you.
>>>
>>>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>>>
>>> Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the
>>> hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."

>>>
>>> Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult
>>> those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!"
>>> I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur
>>> radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain
>>> policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."
>>>
>>> You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and
>>> electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a
>>> radio operator and maintainer in the United States military.
>>> That military experience was enlightening and interesting
>>> enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial
>>> illustration to electronics engineering. That became my
>>> career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio
>>> and electronics hobby interests continue with me still, begun in
>>> 1947 and still with me 58 years later.
>>>
>>> Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio
>>> communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider
>>> "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with:
>>> Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger
>>> than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger
>>> world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can
>>> benefit by learning more about other forms of radio
>>> communication since all the physical principles are the
>>> same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost
>>> entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio
>>> as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to
>>> overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by
>>> authority of government law.
>>>
>>> At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only
>>> ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission:
>>> NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code
>>> test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the
>>> traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs.
>>> Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger
>>> impact on the future of United States amateur radio than
>>> did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be
>>> far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far
>>> more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses
>>> that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It
>>> spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to
>>> change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable
>>> fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists
>>> refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a
>>> requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of
>>> radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-
>>> stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER
>>> radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio

>>> refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those
>>> of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've
>>> met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.
>>>
>>> In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many
>>> forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to
>>> experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced
>>> as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept
>>> change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person
>>> of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in
>>> amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-
>>> conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital
>>> to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a
>>> personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one
>>> that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature
>>> of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur
>>> radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living
>>> in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational
>>> pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's.
>>> It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it
>>> is now.
>>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>>
>>
>> I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation
>> that he hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence
>> of that.
>>
>> I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big
>> issue, more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham
>> radio classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in
>> the theory tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If
>> they just want to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's
>> always CB. OTOH, it's absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed
>> and yet not give a fig for Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping
>> noises. This is why it's a big issue.
>>
>> If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to
>> be, s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an
>> explosion in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it
>> is, ham radio is as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's
>> probably too late to get a major boost in numbers, even if we gave the
>> licences away, which abolishing the code test certainly doesn't do
>> (and no, I'm not proposing we make the theory easier).
>
>
>

Why put my name in quotes? Plug it into the FCC database and it will come back with N3KIP, and show you that I am an Extra. Do you think I'm someone else?

I Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he really wants a ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.

1463. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 06:00:22 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@BrainDed.cz>
Message-ID: <dgivmq$t89$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119339
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:RM4Xe.25964$uD6.10317@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
An even more intriquing question is:

How do you make a dead floater loot?

....

Easy enough to do. Have someone claim his body, call the ACLU and they will
continue the looting via the legal system.

1464. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 06:14:05 UTC
From: Roger Wussman <ab8mq@rectal.uz>
Message-ID: <dgj0gh$p5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119340

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127013461.758221.323150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
yep, same old bullshit from the anti-K1MAN group. I wish your girls
would come up with something new. : (

Same old Toad. Posting twice when once should do.
Good thing you don't live in Glendale, Toad. Chief Stenger would be on you
like he is on me.

1465. Re: new drink?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 06:29:03 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PO7Xe.25996$uD6.18130@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119341
Cross-posted to: alt.bible.prophecy,alt.religion.christian.baptist,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL!

"Digital" <Wogie@BrainDed.cz> wrote in message
news:dgivmq$t89$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:RM4Xe.25964$uD6.10317@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> An even more intriquing question is:
>
> How do you make a dead floater loot?
>
> ....
>
> Easy enough to do. Have someone claim his body, call the ACLU and they
> will
> continue the looting via the legal system.
>
>
>

1466. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 06:32:02 UTC
From: Roger Wussman <ab8mq@rectal.uz>
Message-ID: <dgj1i6$525$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119342

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1127017784.733481.72070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
From: Mike Coslo on Sat 17 Sep 2005 14:12

Will somebody please inform Lennie that his flatulence has once again caused
his head to become swollen?
One must grudgingly hand it to Lennie, however. He is one of the better
Trolls in this group despite the fact that his lengthy commentaries oft go
ignored.
Sorry, Lennie, but your "contributions" to this group are, for the most
part, passed over and ignored, a blow to your ego for sure.

Now, about that flatulence problem, Lennie....

1467. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 06:42:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127025731.161993.130330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119343

go fuck yourself asshole, nobody want's to talk to your retarded ass.
AND FYI the second post was a correction of the first, moron.

Todd N9OGL

N9OGL's K1MAN website
http://12.156.123.39/k1man.htm

1468. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 07:30:32 UTC
From: Digital <Wogie@anon.cz>
Message-ID: <dgj4vsihk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119344

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127025731.161993.130330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
go fuck yourself asshole, nobody want's to talk to your retarded ass.
AND FYI the second post was a correction of the first, moron.

Todd N9OGL

N9OGL's K1MAN website
http://12.156.123.39/k1man.htm

You sure have a way with words, Toad.
Uneducated, but on topic as usual.

"Simple is as simple does."

1469. Re: Complete K1MAN update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 13:47:55 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127051275.111796.319090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119345

Lardass Lloyd Davies whined:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127013461.758221.323150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> yep, same old bullshit from the anti-K1MAN group. I wish your girls
> would come up with something new. : (
>
>
> Same old Toad. Posting twice when once should do.

Same old, Lardass, posting as his OWNER and whining excessively.

> Good thing you don't live in Glendale, Toad.

Lardass, Toad lives in his parents' basement. Even that is better than
that filthy two room little HUD apartment in the slums that you have to
live in.

> Chief Stenger would be on you like he is on me.

Dream on, Porky, Fatass Normy is on his best behavior ever since he
lost his city council seat. He isn't on Roger, either, dumbass. Grow
up, you mentally ill retard.

1470. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 13:52:37 UTC
From: n0vfp_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127051557.493665.101420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119346

Lardass Lloyd Davies whined:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1127017784.733481.72070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: Mike Coslo on Sat 17 Sep 2005 14:12
>
> Will somebody

Aww, what's the matter Lardass, did he use words you didn't understand?

> Sorry, Lennie, but your "contributions" to this group are, for the most
> part, passed over and ignored, a blow to your ego for sure.

At least his contributions are further up the scale than yours, Porky!

> Now, about that flatulence problem, Lennie....

Yes, Davies will be right there at your asshole, sniffing.

1471. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 18:10:54 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127067054.702746.256160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119347

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun 18 Sep 2005 07:19

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am

>The only point where I differ is that I'm personally convinced that
>abolition of the Morse test would have been carried in the ITU in 1993 if
>it could only have got to the floor. Those who delayed it did so precisely
>because they knew that.

That's a typical tactic, found at any large conclave/conference.

> The ITU is one country one vote, so the US is no
>more influential there than Monaco or Luxembourg.

Only when it comes to the VOTE ITSELF. It's fairly obvious that
the larger-population countries have larger delegates (and the
'guests' who are not supposed to have any voting power). With
more people in a delegation, the more people there are to meet
with other delegations away from the assembly and do one-on-one
salesmanship for "their side."

Then you have the many months prior to a WRC where the delegates
have been largely identified on the ITU listings (plus their
hotels/lodgings per delegation identified) so that "salesmanship"
can be applied.

The major "salesmanship" effort is on OTHER radio matters, of
course, and - contrary to specific-interest-on-ham-radio groups -
is of a greater international importance in radio regulations.

The IARU as a collective body is larger than the ARRL and their
opinion-influence on the voting delegates is stronger than the
ARRL's influence. When the IARU came out against amateur radio
licensing code testing a year prior to WRC-03, that sent a
"message" (in effect) to other administrations' delegates, a
"set-up" for the future voting. The IARU had not yet been of a
consensus on S25 modernization the decade before WRC-03.

One problem of American radio amateurs is that they do NOT, as
a general rule, look any further than American ham radio
magazines for "news." While the ITU has a number of easily-
downloadable files on regulatory information, most of it is
available only to "members" on a subscription basis (members
would be "recognized" administration delegations or delegates).
They don't much bother with the FCC freely-available information
even though the FCC is their government's radio regulatory

agency. News that does get down to the individual-licensee level is thus rather "filtered" by intermediate parties. That makes it very easy for them to NOT spend time looking for news elsewhere and they get to play with their radios longer. :-) It's also a ripe area for any group to do influence-control on many without them realizing what is happening.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1472. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 18:12:16 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127067136.415131.129460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119348

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat 17 Sep 2005 22:29

>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in

>> No "Alun L. Palmer" Lennie the loser is transfixed on the anti-CW
>> testing campaign. He can not carry on a discussion that has NOTHING to
>> do with CW or testing without out bringing it into the discussion.
>>
>> Get it now?
>
>Why put my name in quotes? Plug it into the FCC database and it will come
>back with N3KIP, and show you that I am an Extra. Do you think I'm someone
>else?

Jeswald wants all to be identified by their "tribal name" (the
callsign in a ham radio group). When the "tribe" gathers, all
must stay within the "tribal rules." :-)

>I[f] Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he really wants a
>ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.

"Transfixed?" No. Just terribly, terribly PERSISTENT. :-)

Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
the ABILITY for personal communications. Radiotelegraphy was the
very first - and ONLY possible way - to communicate by radio.
That was a mere 109 years ago, before all of electronics had
rather revolutionized our society, before the vacuum tube was
invented, well before the transistor was invented.

Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to
normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for
all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications. [the
largest use of radiotelegraphy is the long pulse code of the
keyless auto entry "fob" transmitter, but that is for control,

not communications and does not use the Morse-Vail coding]
Modernization should be the order of the day, not the odor of
antiquity.

The "necessity" of testing for morse code cognition to operate
any radio transmitter at 30 MHz or below is an old artificiality
of the mind, abandoned by all other radio services, technically
invalid, kept alive only by the egos and fantasies and
conditioned thinking of those needing something, some ability
to be "better than average." It is out of date, out of time,
out of steam, and out to lunch.

Do "I" want a ham license? Yes and no. :-) I've had a
commercial license since '56, tested for it at a real FCC field
office (not a COLEM), had experience in operating HF, VHF, UHF,
microwave radios prior to that, more afterwards including LF,
VLF and microwaves on up to 4mm wavelengths. I've retired from
a career in radio-electronics design engineering (but only for
regular hours). I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
since 1947, something on-going. I don't really NEED an amateur
license to fulfill my Life's Ambition. But other licensees
DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
(contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says). Maybe I "should"
get one? :-) "Tribal rules," ey what? :-)

dit dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1473. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 20:16:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127074600.732611.325870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119349

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children,
> women, and anyone younger than he is.

His behavior here sure indicates that, but I think it's really much simpler.

Len just likes to argue online. So he writes all kinds of stuff full of insults, wisecracks, put-downs, errors, and other nonsense in an attempt to get an argument going. Of course an argument requires a disagreement, so he'll do everything he can to be disagreeable.

In fact, he takes any disagreement with his views as a personal insult. The worst thing you can do is to prove him factually wrong about something, or observe how predictable his behavior here is.

His behavior here can be predicted with very high accuracy by reference to the profile I have posted. Watch - you'll see examples of it. Of course pointing that out is considered "character assassination" by him.

He's even gone so far as to try to get such arguments going in ECFS, by posting the same sort of errors there as he posts here.

The question is: why waste time on him, knowing his behavior?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1474. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 21:02:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127075699.291671.98540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119250

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he
> really wants a
> ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.

I'm afraid you're mistaken about Len wanting a license, Alun.

If Len really wanted a ham license, he could have had a Technician at any time since February 1991 with no code test at all.

If Len really wanted a ham license other than Technician, he could have gotten any class of license with only a 5 wpm code test at any time since 1990. From 1990 to 2000 he would have needed a waiver, but after 2000 he would have needed no waiver at all.

Len posted here more than once that he "knew Morse", having allegedly learned it in the mid 1950s up to about 8 wpm. But then, according to his post, he gave up and went on to other things.

Back on January 19, 2000, Len said he was "going for Extra right out of the box" but hasn't gotten a license in the 5 years and 8 months since. That was the *only* time I ever saw him say he was going to get an amateur radio license.

If the code test is totally removed, Len *may* get a license. But don't count on it.

Ask yourself why someone who wanted a ham license, and who allegedly knew enough to pass the tests, would not go for one. Particularly over the course of more than 15 years.

I think Len has everything he wants from ham radio right here on rrap. No license, no propagation troubles, no station or antenna to assemble, no radio skills needed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1475. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 21:43:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_blXe.82$QE1.33@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119251

```
"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96D5FB201F7Eelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> news:at0Xe.13378$_84.5470@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>
>> No "Alun L. Palmer" Lennie the loser is transfixed on the anti-CW
>> testing campaign. He can not carry on a discussion that has NOTHING to
>> do with CW or testing without out bringing it into the discussion.
>>
>> Get it now?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns96D471C89F36elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>> news:1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser. <plonk>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy
>>>>>>>>>getting boring
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he
>>>>>>>>>simply can't stay on subject. Spins everything that is said. And
>>>>>>>>>his one track mind of anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric gets
>>>>>>>>>tired quickly. So I have decided to <plonk> him. He is not
>>>>>>>>>relevant to a serious discussion in this group, since he is not a
>>>>>>>>>member of the society. Dig it?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of value
>>>>>
>>>>> Boring Dan Boring
>>>>>
```

>>>>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I
>>>>> don't
>>>>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be really
>>>>>concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group of
>>>>>Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>>>>
>>>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable
>>>>> and not very interesting, at least to me.
>>>>
>>>> Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)
>>>>
>>>> The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!
>>>>
>>>>> I don't need the non-sequitars, the name calling, or the constant
>>>>> attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>>>>
>>>> "Non sequitur." [from the Latin]
>>>>
>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
>>>> or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
>>>> and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
>>>> amateur radio.
>>>>
>>>> Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and
>>>> general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur
>>>> radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on
>>>> about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate
>>>> hams!"
>>>>
>>>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.
>>>>
>>>> Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create, in
>>>> effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not at all
>>>> civil or mannerly.
>>>>
>>>> If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked
>>>> against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO
>>>> validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by
>>>> hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.
>>>>
>>>>> That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.
>>>>
>>>> This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to
>>>> discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.
>>>>
>>>> If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion, this
>>>> newsgroup is NOT for you.
>>>>
>>>>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>>>>

>>>> Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the
>>>> hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."
>>>>
>>>> Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult
>>>> those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!"
>>>> I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur
>>>> radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain
>>>> policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."
>>>>
>>>> You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and
>>>> electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a
>>>> radio operator and maintainer in the United States military.
>>>> That military experience was enlightening and interesting
>>>> enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial
>>>> illustration to electronics engineering. That became my
>>>> career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio
>>>> and electronics hobby interests continue with me still, begun in
>>>> 1947 and still with me 58 years later.
>>>>
>>>> Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio
>>>> communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider
>>>> "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with:
>>>> Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger
>>>> than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger
>>>> world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can
>>>> benefit by learning more about other forms of radio
>>>> communication since all the physical principles are the
>>>> same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost
>>>> entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio
>>>> as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to
>>>> overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by
>>>> authority of government law.
>>>>
>>>> At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only
>>>> ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission:
>>>> NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code
>>>> test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the
>>>> traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs.
>>>> Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger
>>>> impact on the future of United States amateur radio than
>>>> did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be
>>>> far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far
>>>> more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses
>>>> that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It
>>>> spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to
>>>> change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable
>>>> fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists
>>>> refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a
>>>> requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of
>>>> radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-

>>>> stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER
>>>> radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio
>>>> refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those
>>>> of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've
>>>> met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.
>>>>
>>>> In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many
>>>> forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to
>>>> experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced
>>>> as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept
>>>> change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person
>>>> of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in
>>>> amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-
>>>> conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital
>>>> to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a
>>>> personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one
>>>> that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature
>>>> of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur
>>>> radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living
>>>> in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational
>>>> pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's.
>>>> It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it
>>>> is now.
>>>>
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation
>>> that he hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any evidence
>>> of that.
>>>
>>> I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big
>>> issue, more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham
>>> radio classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed in
>>> the theory tests is whether they are genuinely interested in radio. If
>>> they just want to chat and aren't into radio as a medium, there's
>>> always CB. OTOH, it's absolutely possible to be totally radio obsessed
>>> and yet not give a fig for Sam Morse and his silly old bleeping
>>> noises. This is why it's a big issue.
>>>
>>> If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed to
>>> be, s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen an
>>> explosion in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As it
>>> is, ham radio is as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's
>>> probably too late to get a major boost in numbers, even if we gave the
>>> licences away, which abolishing the code test certainly doesn't do
>>> (and no, I'm not proposing we make the theory easier).
>>
>>

>>
>
> Why put my name in quotes? Plug it into the FCC database and it will come
> back with N3KIP, and show you that I am an Extra. Do you think I'm someone
> else?
>
> I Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he really wants
> a
> ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.

With all the Unknown Flying Objects it is hard to tell who is real and not Alun.

Of course Lennie wants a ham license. But he has now blustered and BSed his way into a corner and can't find a way out.

Dan/W4NTI

1476. The view from VE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 21:44:22 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <dgkn3o$2q9$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 119252

Once upon a time in the Kingdom of Heaven, God went missing for six days. Eventually, Michael the archangel found him, resting on the seventh day. He inquired of God, "Where have you been?"

God sighed a deep sigh of satisfaction and proudly pointed downwards through the clouds, "Look Michael, look what I've made."

Archangel Michael looked puzzled and said, "What is it?"

"It's a planet," replied God, "and I've put LIFE on it. I'm going to call it Earth and it's going to be a great place of balance."

"Balance?" inquired Michael, still confused.

God explained, pointing to different parts of Earth, "For example, Northern Europe will be a place of great opportunity and wealth while Southern Europe is going to be poor; the Middle East over there will be a hot spot. Over there I've placed a continent of white people and over there is a continent of black people," God continued, pointing to different countries. This one will be extremely hot and arid while this one will be very cold and covered in ice."

The Archangel, impressed by Gods work, then pointed to a large land mass in the top corner and asked, "What's that one?"

"Ah," said God. "That's Canada, the most glorious place on Earth. There's beautiful mountains, lakes, rivers, streams and an exquisite coast-line. The people from Canada are going to be modest, intelligent and humorous and they're going to be found traveling the world. They'll be extremely sociable, hard-working and high-achieving, and they will be known throughout the world as diplomats and carriers of peace. I'm also going to give them super-human, undefeatable ice hockey players who will be admired and feared by all who come across them."

Michael gasped in wonder and admiration but then proclaimed. "What about balance, God. You said there will be BALANCE!"

God replied wisely. "Wait until you see the loud-mouth obnoxious bastards I'm putting next to them."

1477. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 21:45:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <PdlXe.85$QE1.70@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119253

See what I mean AGAIN? He simply can't keep on a subject, always brings
it back around to CW, or in his case anti CW. And most always brings in
his so-called military exploits. What a boring jerk he is.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1127067136.415131.129460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat 17 Sep 2005 22:29
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>
>>> No "Alun L. Palmer" Lennie the loser is transfixed on the anti-CW
>>> testing campaign. He can not carry on a discussion that has NOTHING to
>>> do with CW or testing without out bringing it into the discussion.
>>>
>>> Get it now?
>>
>>Why put my name in quotes? Plug it into the FCC database and it will come
>>back with N3KIP, and show you that I am an Extra. Do you think I'm someone
>>else?
>
> Jeswald wants all to be identified by their "tribal name" (the
> callsign in a ham radio group). When the "tribe" gathers, all
> must stay within the "tribal rules." :-)
>
>>I[f] Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he really
>>wants a
>>ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.
>
> "Transfixed?" No. Just terribly, terribly PERSISTENT. :-)
>
> Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
> kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
> with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
> level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
> the ABILITY for personal communications. Radiotelegraphy was the
> very first - and ONLY possible way - to communicate by radio.
> That was a mere 109 years ago, before all of electronics had
> rather revolutionized our society, before the vacuum tube was
> invented, well before the transistor was invented.
>
> Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
> 52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
> It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to

> normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
> radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
> both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
> is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
> radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for
> all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
> AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
> given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications. [the
> largest use of radiotelegraphy is the long pulse code of the
> keyless auto entry "fob" transmitter, but that is for control,
> not communications and does not use the Morse-Vail coding]
> Modernization should be the order of the day, not the odor of
> antiquity.
>
> The "necessity" of testing for morse code cognition to operate
> any radio transmitter at 30 MHz or below is an old artificiality
> of the mind, abandoned by all other radio services, technically
> invalid, kept alive only by the egos and fantasies and
> conditioned thinking of those needing something, some ability
> to be "better than average." It is out of date, out of time,
> out of steam, and out to lunch.
>
> Do "I" want a ham license? Yes and no. :-) I've had a
> commercial license since '56, tested for it at a real FCC field
> office (not a COLEM), had experience in operating HF, VHF, UHF,
> microwave radios prior to that, more afterwards including LF,
> VLF and microwaves on up to 4mm wavelengths. I've retired from
> a career in radio-electronics design engineering (but only for
> regular hours). I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
> since 1947, something on-going. I don't really NEED an amateur
> license to fulfill my Life's Ambition. But other licensees
> DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
> (contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says). Maybe I "should"
> get one? :-) "Tribal rules," ey what? :-)
>
> dit dit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1478. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 21:47:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <wflXe.87$QE1.64@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119254

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:S72dnS0X7un2JLHeRVn-hQ@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Has anyone noticed that those that accuse are usually guilty of the same
>> sort of offense?
>
> Yaknow Dan, I find it interesting that when I refer to people as hating
> hams, it is an apparently a big personal insult, and yet when they call
> the rest of us any name they please, I guess that is some sort of joke or
> something?
>
> Ha ha 8^)
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

Just consider the source Mike.

Dan/W4NTI

1479. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 22:02:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kulXe.292$vw6.96@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119255

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1127017784.733481.72070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: Mike Coslo on Sat 17 Sep 2005 14:12
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Poison pen Len outdoes himself once again.

<plonk>

Dan/W4NTI

1480. Re: The view from VE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 22:26:16 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G9mdnVBk7t_odLDeRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 119256

"W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message
news:dgkn3o$2q9$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
>
>
> Once upon a time in the Kingdom of Heaven, God went missing for six
> days. Eventually, Michael the archangel found him, resting on the
> seventh day. He inquired of God, "Where have you been?"
>
> God sighed a deep sigh of satisfaction and proudly pointed downwards
> through the clouds, "Look Michael, look what I've made."
>
> Archangel Michael looked puzzled and said, "What is it?"
>
> "It's a planet," replied God, "and I've put LIFE on it. I'm going to
> call it Earth and it's going to be a great place of balance."
>
> "Balance?" inquired Michael, still confused.
>
> God explained, pointing to different parts of Earth, "For example,
> Northern Europe will be a place of great opportunity and wealth while
> Southern Europe is going to be poor; the Middle East over there will be
> a hot spot. Over there I've placed a continent of white people and
> over there is a continent of black people," God continued, pointing to
> different countries. This one will be extremely hot and arid while
> this one will be very cold and covered in ice."
>
> The Archangel, impressed by Gods work, then pointed to a large land
> mass in the top corner and asked, "What's that one?"
>
> "Ah," said God. "That's Canada, the most glorious place on Earth.
> There's beautiful mountains, lakes, rivers, streams and an exquisite
> coast-line. The people from Canada are going to be modest, intelligent
> and humorous and they're going to be found traveling the world. They'll
> be extremely sociable, hard-working and high-achieving, and they will
> be known throughout the world as diplomats and carriers of peace. I'm
> also going to give them super-human, undefeatable ice hockey players
> who will be admired and feared by all who come across them."
>
> Michael gasped in wonder and admiration but then proclaimed. "What
> about balance, God. You said there will be BALANCE!"
>
> God replied wisely. "Wait until you see the loud-mouth obnoxious
> bastards I'm putting next to them."
>

Yep but say what you will ...I still like French Canadians ....

73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa

1481. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-18 23:56:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gYCdnYdC26M2Y7DeRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 119257

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1127074600.732611.325870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children,
>> women, and anyone younger than he is.
>
> His behavior here sure indicates that, but I think it's really much
> simpler.
>
> Len just likes to argue online. So he writes all kinds of
> stuff full of insults, wisecracks, put-downs, errors, and
> other nonsense in an attempt to get an argument going. Of
> course an argument requires a disagreement, so he'll do
> everything he can to be disagreeable.
>
> In fact, he takes any disagreement with his views as a
> personal insult. The worst thing you can do is to prove
> him factually wrong about something, or observe how
> predictable his behavior here is.
>
> His behavior here can be predicted with very high accuracy
> by reference to the profile I have posted. Watch - you'll
> see examples of it. Of course pointing that out is
> considered "character assassination" by him.
>
> He's even gone so far as to try to get such arguments going in
> ECFS, by posting the same sort of errors there as he posts here.
>
> The question is: why waste time on him, knowing his behavior?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I don't as I killfiled him quite some time ago so as to not get sucked into
one of those long running arguments.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1482. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 04:27:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <97rXe.435$oc.384@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119258

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun 18 Sep 2005 07:19
>
>
>>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>
>>>From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am
>
>
>
>>The only point where I differ is that I'm personally convinced that
>>abolition of the Morse test would have been carried in the ITU in 1993 if
>>it could only have got to the floor. Those who delayed it did so precisely
>>because they knew that.
>
>
> That's a typical tactic, found at any large conclave/conference.
>
>
>>The ITU is one country one vote, so the US is no
>>more influential there than Monaco or Luxembourg.
>
>
> Only when it comes to the VOTE ITSELF. It's fairly obvious that
> the larger-population countries have larger delegates (and the
> 'guests' who are not supposed to have any voting power). With
> more people in a delegation, the more people there are to meet
> with other delegations away from the assembly and do one-on-one
> salesmanship for "their side."
>
> Then you have the many months prior to a WRC where the delegates
> have been largely identified on the ITU listings (plus their
> hotels/lodgings per delegation identified) so that "salesmanship"
> can be applied.
>
> The major "salesmanship" effort is on OTHER radio matters, of
> course, and - contrary to specific-interest-on-ham-radio groups -
> is of a greater international importance in radio regulations.
>
> The IARU as a collective body is larger than the ARRL and their
> opinion-influence on the voting delegates is stronger than the
> ARRL's influence.

The ARRL began the IARU and the IARU permanent headquarters is at Newington. Most IARU member societies are very, very small. They don't have many members and they don't have much money. The IARU HQ frequently donates money so that third world delegates may attend. In the past, one of these was Cassandra Davies 9L1YL, President of SLARS (Sierra Leone Amateur Radio Society), also a licensing official at SLET, the Sierra Leonian PTT. Many SLARS members were non-Sierra Leonian. Average meeting attendance was between fifteen to twenty radio amateurs.

In Botswana, no natives of Botswana were BARS members. There were no indigenous radio amateurs in Botswana despite yearly BARS classes in theory, regs and morse. Most licensees were German, British, Indian, South African or American resident citizens.

Guinea-Bissau had no resident radio amateurs much of the time. During my two years in Bissau, there was a Swedish op, Bengt Lundgren J52BLU in country for about four months. There was a DXpedition to the Bijagos Islands by an Italian group which lasted a matter of days. For the balance of my tour, I was the only licensed radio amateur in the country.

> When the IARU came out against amateur radio
> licensing code testing a year prior to WRC-03, that sent a
> "message" (in effect) to other administrations' delegates, a
> "set-up" for the future voting. The IARU had not yet been of a
> consensus on S25 modernization the decade before WRC-03.

It wasn't much of a message for most African countries delegates.

> One problem of American radio amateurs is that they do NOT, as
> a general rule, look any further than American ham radio
> magazines for "news."

You state that as a fact. It can only be an assumption on your part. The internet has made it very easy for radio amateurs to find other sources for news.

> While the ITU has a number of easily-
> downloadable files on regulatory information, most of it is
> available only to "members" on a subscription basis (members
> would be "recognized" administration delegations or delegates).

So, Joe Average Ham wouldn't be likely to subscribe in order to obtain the material.

> They don't much bother with the FCC freely-available information
> even though the FCC is their government's radio regulatory
> agency.

There's another assumption on your part.

> News that does get down to the individual-licensee
> level is thus rather "filtered" by intermediate parties.

Filtered how, Len? Do you mean that only information of interest to radio amateurs is published, as a rule, in amateur radio magazines? Why

would it be otherwise?

> That
> makes it very easy for them to NOT spend time looking for news
> elsewhere and they get to play with their radios longer. :-)

Do commercial ops and governmental ops have the same problem? Do they waste time and isn't it easy for them to cut down on the time they have to play with their radios? :-)

> It's also a ripe area for any group to do influence-control on
> many without them realizing what is happening.

I had a feeling that we'd get down to your intimating that there's some conspiracy to keep radio amateurs in the dark.

Dave K8MN

1483. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 04:40:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bjrXe.438$oc.364@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119259

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
> kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
> with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
> level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
> the ABILITY for personal communications.

That's fine for you. I'm sure that you'll understand that radio amateurs don't feel bound by what is important to you.

> Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
> 52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
> It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to
> normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
> radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
> both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
> is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
> radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for
> all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
> AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
> given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications.

The fantasy seems to be yours alone. You like to use terms like "fantasy" and "artificiality" and "last stand" when you write of morse code. The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio. It bothers you. I can live with your being bothered.

> ...[the
> largest use of radiotelegraphy is the long pulse code of the
> keyless auto entry "fob" transmitter, but that is for control,
> not communications and does not use the Morse-Vail coding]
> Modernization should be the order of the day, not the odor of
> antiquity.

Fine, let Detroit modernize those keyless fob transmitters. Start a campaign.

> Do "I" want a ham license? Yes and no. :-) I've had a
> commercial license since '56, tested for it at a real FCC field
> office (not a COLEM), had experience in operating HF, VHF, UHF,
> microwave radios prior to that, more afterwards including LF,
> VLF and microwaves on up to 4mm wavelengths. I've retired from
> a career in radio-electronics design engineering (but only for
> regular hours). I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
> since 1947, something on-going.

Your past professional work does not, in and of itself, qualify you for an amateur radio license. Your paragraph of professional achievements is irrelevant to obtaining an amateur ticket.

> I don't really NEED an amateur
> license to fulfill my Life's Ambition.

There you go.

> But other licensees
> DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
> (contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says).

Was that a deliberate distortion on your part or have you just become forgetful?

> Maybe I "should"
> get one? :-) "Tribal rules," ey what? :-)

It looks as if you've been busy making up your mind on whether to do so for nearly the past six years. I'm betting on inertia. Have a nice lunch and catch a nap, OT.

Dave K8MN

1484. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 05:30:15 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <r9jsi1pk9q0nunt0g1e76pe1u4m55vn9u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 119260
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 12:00:29 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to an
>HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.
>
>
The "wedded to ...." one

>"Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:4o4ji1p26o2mcctbkfbuugpan1qmlt05nd@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 10:41:17 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >A few moments spent perusing a dictionary will show that simply refusing
>to
>> >take in a storm victim, while abhorrent to me, does not make one a bigot.
>> >
>> from the Oxford English Dictionary:
>>
>> Bigot. 1598. 1. A hypocrite. 2. A superstitious person - 1664. 3. A
>> person obstinately and unreasonably wedded to a creed, opinion or
>> ritual - 1661. Intolerant toward others - 1645.
>>
>> Hardly seems that the meaning has veered from this in the past 340
>> years.
>>
>> 73's
>> Richard Clark, KB7QHC
>

1485. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 05:32:16 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <jcjsi19aa22rklfpm3609j8s27lgorvjvj@4ax.com>
Source record: 119261
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 13:55:56 -0500, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Richard Clark wrote:
>> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
>> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
>> getting through the eye of the needle.
>
>The small "eye of the needle" gate at the walls of a city
>was sized for human beings. It was camels that couldn't
>get through it. Camels had to enter through the main gate.

But the reference was to it being as difficult for a rich man
(as in dweller of the gated community type) as for the camel ....

1486. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 05:35:14 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ifjsi19ahovucm7g2o2tphfonraf9on57r@4ax.com>
Source record: 119262
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:09:05 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
>> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
>> getting through the eye of the needle.
>>
>
>How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to be a
>member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
>I want to?)?
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

It's (at the very least) expected to be the ideal of the
Christian. Would youu care to expand on the ideals of "atheist
charity" or whatever defined variety you prefer?

1487. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 05:38:35 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <dmjsi15nau39vftr46s6rbebhnlrj7667c@4ax.com>
Source record: 119263
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Sep 2005 14:57:56 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Richard Clark wrote:
>> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 19:09:05 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >How is "Christian charity" different from other charity
>>
>> Hi Hans,
>>
>> I will leave that to other faiths to describe for themselves. One
>> distinct difference between Christian charity and Islamic charity is
>> that Muslims are commanded to give the expected portion of their
>> charity directly to the afflicted. This means hand-to-hand.
>>
>> >, and do I need to be a
>> >member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
>> >I want to?)?
>>
>> Complete the allusion and enlighten us to which cult offers fare
>> discounts for buying the way to Heaven. Of course, if you have no
>> interest in the destination, then the subject is rather transparent
>> and hardly requires comment.
>
>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>of Indulenges for sin

Far from correct. Catholiic dogma in fact has a specific name
for the sin of selling indulgences or objects which have been blessed
-- it's called simony. Since at least the 40s, AFAIK.

>, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
>firend and relitives post mortem
>
>Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups
>>
>> 73's
>> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1488. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 05:41:38 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <5ujsi196c3dl0l0a7su4uqltnt4u0ql4ko@4ax.com>
Source record: 119264
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 16 Sep 2005 23:01:22 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On 15 Sep 2005 14:57:56 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>
>>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>>of Indulenges for sin, even allowing them to be purchased for yone
>>firend and relitives post mortem
>>
>>Luther thought the practice pro and so do most prods groups
>
>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.

Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
position of Saudi Arabian women?

1489. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 07:51:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127116312.722851.304150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119265

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
> > kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
> > with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
> > level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
> > the ABILITY for personal communications.
>
> That's fine for you. I'm sure that you'll understand that radio
> amateurs don't feel bound by what is important to you.
>
>
> > Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
> > 52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
> > It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to
> > normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
> > radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
> > both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
> > is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
> > radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for
> > all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
> > AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
> > given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications.
>
> The fantasy seems to be yours alone. You like to use terms like
> "fantasy" and "artificiality" and "last stand" when you write of morse
> code. The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio. It
> bothers you. I can live with your being bothered.

right that is of course why the Views of the advocates of Morse Code
are being ignored by the FCC, ITU, IARU, and many of the nations on the
planet
>
> > ...[the
> > largest use of radiotelegraphy is the long pulse code of the
> > keyless auto entry "fob" transmitter, but that is for control,
> > not communications and does not use the Morse-Vail coding]
> > Modernization should be the order of the day, not the odor of
> > antiquity.
>
> Fine, let Detroit modernize those keyless fob transmitters. Start a
> campaign.

again your ability to understand english shows it sad state
>
>
> > Do "I" want a ham license? Yes and no. :-) I've had a
> > commercial license since '56, tested for it at a real FCC field
> > office (not a COLEM), had experience in operating HF, VHF, UHF,
> > microwave radios prior to that, more afterwards including LF,
> > VLF and microwaves on up to 4mm wavelengths. I've retired from
> > a career in radio-electronics design engineering (but only for
> > regular hours). I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
> > since 1947, something on-going.
>
> Your past professional work does not, in and of itself, qualify you for
> an amateur radio license. Your paragraph of professional achievements
> is irrelevant to obtaining an amateur ticket.

again with tangential matter
>
> > I don't really NEED an amateur
> > license to fulfill my Life's Ambition.
>
> There you go.

Indeed Neither do I my Life Ambition are not based on a requirement for
a Ham License

But then you don't get the point or is it simplier than that? just a
case of Binary thinking Ham radio is his lifes ambition so that means
he will not pursue it
>
> > But other licensees
> > DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
> > (contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says).
>
> Was that a deliberate distortion on your part or have you just become
> forgetful?

No a simple turth many of the Licensees esp arround DO indeed demand
such before allowing comment
>
> > Maybe I "should"
> > get one? :-) "Tribal rules," ey what? :-)
>
> It looks as if you've been busy making up your mind on whether to do so
> for nearly the past six years. I'm betting on inertia. Have a nice
> lunch and catch a nap, OT.

what is the hurry?
>
> Dave K8MN

1490. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 11:44:49 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zIudnYushuV_ObPeRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119267
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kashe@sonic.net wrote:

SNIPPED

>>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>>of Indulenges for sin
>
>
> Far from correct. Catholiic dogma in fact has a specific name
> for the sin of selling indulgences or objects which have been blessed
> -- it's called simony. Since at least the 40s, AFAIK.
>

Since the 1st Century. [Reference: Acts 8:18-24]

1491. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 12:11:49 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 119268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1125445904.226015.220920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
toddaug555@yahoo.com says...
> It isn't over yet, there is a long process that the FCC and K1MAN has
> to go through. including a hearing before an administrative law judge
> and the US Court of Appeal.

However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
operation....

Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
interesting.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1492. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 12:38:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8jyXe.250$0m6.130@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119269

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
>>> kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
>>> with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
>>> level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
>>> the ABILITY for personal communications.
>>
>>That's fine for you. I'm sure that you'll understand that radio
>>amateurs don't feel bound by what is important to you.
>>
>>
>>
>>> Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
>>> 52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
>>> It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to
>>> normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
>>> radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
>>> both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
>>> is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
>>> radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for
>>> all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
>>> AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
>>> given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications.
>>
>>The fantasy seems to be yours alone. You like to use terms like
>>"fantasy" and "artificiality" and "last stand" when you write of morse
>>code. The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio. It
>>bothers you. I can live with your being bothered.
>
>
> right that is of course why the Views of the advocates of Morse Code
> are being ignored by the FCC, ITU, IARU, and many of the nations on the
> planet

The views are being ignored? That's preposterous. Morse code isn't
being done away with.

>>> ...[the
>>> largest use of radiotelegraphy is the long pulse code of the
>>> keyless auto entry "fob" transmitter, but that is for control,

>>> not communications and does not use the Morse-Vail coding]
>>> Modernization should be the order of the day, not the odor of
>>> antiquity.
>>
>>Fine, let Detroit modernize those keyless fob transmitters. Start a
>>campaign.
>
>
> again your ability to understand english shows it sad state

It would probably be better if you left it to others to critique
another's use or understanding of the language.

>>
>>> Do "I" want a ham license? Yes and no. :-) I've had a
>>> commercial license since '56, tested for it at a real FCC field
>>> office (not a COLEM), had experience in operating HF, VHF, UHF,
>>> microwave radios prior to that, more afterwards including LF,
>>> VLF and microwaves on up to 4mm wavelengths. I've retired from
>>> a career in radio-electronics design engineering (but only for
>>> regular hours). I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
>>> since 1947, something on-going.
>>
>>Your past professional work does not, in and of itself, qualify you for
>>an amateur radio license. Your paragraph of professional achievements
>>is irrelevant to obtaining an amateur ticket.
>
>
> again with tangential matter

Did you find anything in my statement to be unfactual? What was the
purpose for Len's outlining his "PROFESSIONAL" experience yet again? Was
his material tangential?

>>> I don't really NEED an amateur
>>> license to fulfill my Life's Ambition.
>>
>>There you go.
>
>
> Indeed Neither do I my Life Ambition are not based on a requirement for
> a Ham License

What is your singular Life Ambition, Colonel? I've had many ambitions
in my life. I achieved most of them. Did you obtain an amateur radio
license? You must have had an ambition to do so. Has Len stated an
ambition to obtain an amateur radio license? Has he done so?

> But then you don't get the point or is it simplier than that? just a
> case of Binary thinking Ham radio is his lifes ambition so that means
> he will not pursue it

Sure, I get the point--the fable of the fox and the grapes. Our wily old fox can't reach the grapes, so he tells others that the grapes are probably sour. Len has stated at various times that he has had a decades-long interest in amateur radio *and* that he has no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. He is interested enough to post here for nearly ten years. One could easily gather that he has enough interest in amateur radio for that to take place.

>>> But other licensees
>>> DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
>>> (contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says).
>>
>>Was that a deliberate distortion on your part or have you just become
>>forgetful?
>
>
> No a simple turth many of the Licensees esp arround DO indeed demand
> such before allowing comment

Len has been making comments here for nearly a decade. You are confusing "allowing comment" with "giving credence to views" and that's the "turth".

>>> Maybe I "should"
>>> get one? :-) "Tribal rules," ey what? :-)
>>
>>It looks as if you've been busy making up your mind on whether to do so
>>for nearly the past six years. I'm betting on inertia. Have a nice
>>lunch and catch a nap, OT.
>
>
> what is the hurry?

Actuarial tables.

Dave K8MN

1493. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 14:02:40 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127138720_12439@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119270
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kashe@sonic.net wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>Richard Clark wrote:
>>>Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
>>>and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
>>>getting through the eye of the needle.
>>
>>The small "eye of the needle" gate at the walls of a city
>>was sized for human beings. It was camels that couldn't
>>get through it. Camels had to enter through the main gate.
>
> But the reference was to it being as difficult for a rich man
> (as in dweller of the gated community type) as for the camel ....

Nowhere in The Bible does it say anything about a man finding
it difficult to go through the eye of the needle. The "eye of
the needle" gate was designed to allow men through, even rich
ones, and keep camels out. The difficult thing for men was to
enter the Kingdom of God, not get through the "eye of the
needle" gate.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1494. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 14:11:29 UTC
From: Little Al <LittleAl@sevengangofal.net>
Message-ID: <dgmgs6dar0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com...
>
> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
> operation....

If/when MAN goes OTA, you MAN haters will have nothing
left to obsess over in your pointless lives, at least until you find
a new target to fill the void in your empty existence.

1495. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 15:14:28 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <_vednYvL-dVLSLPeRVn-oQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 119272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Little Al" <LittleAl@sevengangofal.net> wrote in message
news:dgmgs6dar0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com...
>>
>> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>> operation....
>
>
> If/when MAN goes OTA, you MAN haters will have nothing
> left to obsess over in your pointless lives, at least until you find
> a new target to fill the void in your empty existence.

where is he on the air now? what frequencies and times???? sounds to me
like he's been off the air for a while now already.

1496. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 16:01:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127145696.534677.57420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119266

hmm trying again since my last reply is now 100 hours awol
Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
> > kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
> > with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
> > level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
> > the ABILITY for personal communications.
>
> That's fine for you. I'm sure that you'll understand that radio
> amateurs don't feel bound by what is important to you.
>
>
> > Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
> > 52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
> > It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to
> > normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
> > radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
> > both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
> > is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
> > radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for
> > all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
> > AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
> > given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications.
>
> The fantasy seems to be yours alone. You like to use terms like
> "fantasy" and "artificiality" and "last stand" when you write of morse
> code. The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio. It
> bothers you. I can live with your being bothered.

Gee Alive and Well that is why the FCC, ITU, IARU, and many nations
have have abandoned the notion it is vital. Even the ARRL has admitted
(in practical terms) that Morse Code isn't vital
>
> > ...[the
> > largest use of radiotelegraphy is the long pulse code of the
> > keyless auto entry "fob" transmitter, but that is for control,
> > not communications and does not use the Morse-Vail coding]
> > Modernization should be the order of the day, not the odor of
> > antiquity.
>
> Fine, let Detroit modernize those keyless fob transmitters. Start a
> campaign.

your understanding of English isn't very good as you miss the point...
again
>
>
> > Do "I" want a ham license? Yes and no. :-) I've had a
> > commercial license since '56, tested for it at a real FCC field
> > office (not a COLEM), had experience in operating HF, VHF, UHF,
> > microwave radios prior to that, more afterwards including LF,
> > VLF and microwaves on up to 4mm wavelengths. I've retired from
> > a career in radio-electronics design engineering (but only for
> > regular hours). I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
> > since 1947, something on-going.
>
> Your past professional work does not, in and of itself, qualify you for
> an amateur radio license. Your paragraph of professional achievements
> is irrelevant to obtaining an amateur ticket.
>
> > I don't really NEED an amateur
> > license to fulfill my Life's Ambition.
>
> There you go.

Indeed and by that remark would could take it that YOUR Lifes ambition
does require one. My Life's ambition does not require a Ham License
either, but to you it seems that only something is Vital or useless
>
> > But other licensees
> > DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
> > (contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says).
>
> Was that a deliberate distortion on your part or have you just become
> forgetful?

Niether, I guess you have not been reading the Newgroups
>
> > Maybe I "should"
> > get one? :-) "Tribal rules," ey what? :-)
>
> It looks as if you've been busy making up your mind on whether to do so
> for nearly the past six years. I'm betting on inertia. Have a nice
> lunch and catch a nap, OT.

Such a hurry
>
> Dave K8MN

1497. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 18:40:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6CDXe.334$0m6.108@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119273

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children,
>>women, and anyone younger than he is.
>
>
> His behavior here sure indicates that, but I think it's really much
> simpler.
>
> Len just likes to argue online. So he writes all kinds of
> stuff full of insults, wisecracks, put-downs, errors, and
> other nonsense in an attempt to get an argument going. Of
> course an argument requires a disagreement, so he'll do
> everything he can to be disagreeable.
>
> In fact, he takes any disagreement with his views as a
> personal insult. The worst thing you can do is to prove
> him factually wrong about something, or observe how
> predictable his behavior here is.
>
> His behavior here can be predicted with very high accuracy
> by reference to the profile I have posted. Watch - you'll
> see examples of it. Of course pointing that out is
> considered "character assassination" by him.

I hit the Novice bands in 1963 with a Heathkit DX-40 and Knight R-100 and a couple of wire antennas. I didn't encounter the unpleasant Leonard H. Anderson on the ham bands then. It is now late 2005 and I'm closing on half a million QSOs. I've still not had to deal with Len Anderson on the ham bands. Professionally, I never had to put up with Leonard Anderson in any capacity. Life is good.

Dave K8MN

1498. Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-19 19:27:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <siEXe.351$0m6.122@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reference Number: 127393
Physical Location: Baton Rouge, LA
Department: E&I
Min. Years Experience: N/A
Min. Education:
N/A

Job Description:

http://www.recruitingsite.com/CSBSites/shawgrp/JobDescription.asp?SiteID=10063&JobNumber=127393

Craftsmen or Subcontractors skilled in construction, service or
recovery operations to aid in the Hurricane Katrina recovery
activities.

Subcontractors submitting information, please include under the
resume section a brief summary of the previous work your company has
performed and the types/categories of contractors you have available.

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1499. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 00:21:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127175661.561901.28110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119275

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 19 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2357	386
Indeterminate (note 1)	141	32
Value for Percentages	2216	350
Against NPRM (note 2)	670 [30.23%]	116 [32.77%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1209 [54.56%]	175 [49.44%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	337 [15.21%]	63 [17.80%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1500. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 00:24:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127175875.133953.136800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119276

From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 18, 2:02 pm

>Alun L. Palmer wrote:

>> Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he
>> really wants a
>> ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.
>
>I'm afraid you're mistaken about Len wanting a license, Alun.

Why are you afraid? Fear is a negative attribute.

>If Len really wanted a ham license, he could have had a Technician at
>any time since February 1991 with no code test at all.

Actually, I could have gone to the Food and Drug Adminstration
for a REAL ham license.

By definition, "ham" is the butchered meat of swine. :-)

>If Len really wanted a ham license other than Technician,
>he could have gotten any class of license with only a 5 wpm
>code test at any time since 1990. From 1990 to 2000 he would
>have needed a waiver, but after 2000 he would have needed no
>waiver at all.

So could everyone at the Federal Communications Commission.
The FCC *makes* the regulations covering amateur radio.
Really. [it's in the Communications Act of 1934] But,
the Act does not require staff or Commissioners to hold
ANY radio licenses of their own! Unbelievable but true!

>Len posted here more than once that he "knew Morse", having
>allegedly learned it in the mid 1950s up to about 8 wpm. But
>then, according to his post, he gave up and went on to other
>things.

Tsk, you don't seem to believe everything you read...taking
some posts as "factual" while making other posts very Jesuit
in "once declared, it is a life goal!" :-)

>Back on January 19, 2000, Len said he was "going for Extra right out of
>the box" but hasn't gotten a license in the 5 years and 8 months since.
>That was the *only* time I ever saw him say he was going to get an
>amateur radio license.

Jimmie, BEFORE then I stated that my goal was to just eliminate
the code test from federal regulations. [you glossed over that,

preferring to highlight some artificial "life goal promises"]

I got a First 'Phone (Commercial) license 49 years ago, never
took a vow or promised to get one, just did it. Should I have
"posted bans" on that? [I didn't]

I once took and oath to defend the Constitution of the United
States "...with my life if need be..." way back 53 years ago in
Chicago. I didn't have to DO that but I was ready. Have you
done anything like that, Jimmie?

I once took a vow, in front of many witnesses, to be a true and
faithful husband to my wife (who repeated the vow to me), even
had that officially recorded with authorities. I mean to KEEP
that vow/promise as long as we shall live. Have you done
anything like that, Jimmie?

Do you consider a throwaway remark I made about a HOBBY to be
MORE SERIOUS than the two oaths/vows I mentioned? Apparantly so!

>If the code test is totally removed, Len *may* get a license. But
>don't count on it.

I don't see anyone at the FCC "going for a ham license" in
order to *regulate* ham radio.

Why don't you go complain to THEM?

Gosh, for all of 71 years (!) the FCC has had the unmitigated
GALL to think they coould regulate and ENFORCE amateur radio
in the USA *without* requiring any staff or Commissioner to
hold an amateur radio license grant! :-)

>Ask yourself why someone who wanted a ham license, and who allegedly
>knew enough to pass the tests, would not go for one. Particularly over
>the course of more than 15 years.

ERROR! You are OFF by 40 years Jimmie. :-)

[I have mention of that in my high school annual/yearbook...:-)]

[it's been printed with real ink on real paper]

>I think Len has everything he wants from ham radio right here
>on rrap. No license, no propagation troubles, no station or antenna to
>assemble, no radio skills needed.

I think Jimmie has everything he wants from ham radio right here
in newsgroups...no propagation "troubles," no station or antenna
to assemble, no radio skills needed to sit around and negatively
criticize each and every person who doesn't share his opinions.

That's utterly predictable. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1501. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 00:26:12 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127175972.354800.308960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119277

From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 18, 1:16 pm

>Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children,
>> women, and anyone younger than he is.

[Wow! Kinda over-extended a bit aincha? :-)]

>His behavior here sure indicates that, but I think it's really much
>simpler.

It is. I am simply against morse code testing for a license.

Said that years ago, still say that today.

>Len just likes to argue online. So he writes all kinds of
>stuff full of insults, wisecracks, put-downs, errors, and
>other nonsense in an attempt to get an argument going. Of
>course an argument requires a disagreement, so he'll do
>everything he can to be disagreeable.

Definition: "Disagreeable" = anyone against code testing;
"Disagreeable" = anyone not loving, honoring, obeying the
heart and soul of amateur radio that is morse code;
"Disagreeable" = anyone not agreeing with Jimmie, your
one true voice of the "amateur community." :-)

>In fact, he takes any disagreement with his views as a
>personal insult.

Not really. :-)

But, if all YOU can talk about is the PERSONALITIES of the
communicators here, then stating so can also be a
"disagreement." :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Jimmie takes any disagreement with his opinions
as an "insult" (true voices of the amateur community get like
that) and starts in on "profiling" and "his comments are all in
'error' when others aren't "nice" to him.

>The worst thing you can do is to prove
>him factually wrong about something, or observe how
>predictable his behavior here is.

Tsk tsk tsk...Jimmie be even more predictable, no "profile"
necessary. See "disagreement" definitions preceding.

>His behavior here can be predicted with very high accuracy
>by reference to the profile I have posted. Watch - you'll
>see examples of it. Of course pointing that out is
>considered "character assassination" by him.

There you have it! [character assassination in "profiling"]

>He's even gone so far as to try to get such arguments going in
>ECFS, by posting the same sort of errors there as he posts here.

The ECFS is open to everyone for Comments until 31 October
and 14 November on WT Docket 05-235.

You can even Comment on WT Docket 98-143 and have it "published"
except that it won't matter; R&O 99-412 pretty much nulled those
out. Still, if you insist, as some have up to June, 2005, you
can "comment" and "correct those 'errors'" all you want.

Jimmie is very judgemental on what constitutes an "error." In
Jimmie's world, anyone not in agreement with him is "in error."
Quod erat demonstrandum.

>The question is: why waste time on him, knowing his behavior?

Because YOU CAN'T HELP YOURSELF! :-)

That's utterly PREDICTABLE! :-)

Been repeated here over and over and over and over again! :-)

Now get busy with the FCC, Jimmie, MAKE them all get amateur
radio licenses so they can "qualify" to regulate, mitigate,
and enforce United States amateur radio! If they don't, then
the FCC is "in error" and is "subject to profiling" by
yourself!

Or...just roll with it. Show your superiority. Jeswald can't
roll with it but has to get into personal insult thingy. Heil
can't roll with it but has to get into the personal insult mode.
Others, like Dudly the Imposter and the anony-mousies are truly
into personal insult mode and can't talk on subjects. The
problem you have is that the SUBJECTS are taken too subjectively,
you believe in them too strongly on a personal level to be
objective, cannot separate the two. You just can't invalidate
VALID arguments against your personal views without coming
unglued and obsessively commenting on personalities.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1502. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 00:28:11 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127176091.520685.319770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119278

From: Dave Heil on Sep 18, 9:40 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
>> kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
>> with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
>> level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
>> the ABILITY for personal communications.
>
>That's fine for you. I'm sure that you'll understand that radio
>amateurs don't feel bound by what is important to you.

Did I "promise" that in some kind of "oath" or "vow?" Try to
refrain from taking text out of context, your emminent Lardship.

YOU do NOT "speak" for the entirety of the "amateur community."

YOU are NOT in the "leadership." [despite implications to the
contrary]

>> Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
>> 52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
>> It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to
>> normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
>> radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
>> both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
>> is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
>> radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for
>> all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
>> AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
>> given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications.
>
>The fantasy seems to be yours alone.

No. Wrong. Error. What I wrote is documented history.

>You like to use terms like "fantasy" and "artificiality" and "last
>stand" when you write of morse code.

Show us by documented fact that morse code manual radiotelegraphy
is IN USE by radio services other than amateur radio TODAY.

>The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio.

It has AGED. It will eventually become terminal. By ARRL poll
morse code mode is only SECOND in popularity on ham HF bands.

The argument about NPRM 05-143 is NOT about morse code USE, it
is about the TEST for morse code cognition.

>I can live with your being bothered.

Wrong. Error. You are obsessed with "getting the last word"
with anyone who disagrees with you...on morse code testing or
anything else. YOU are very much BOTHERED. You will try to
assassinate the character of anyone writing against your
sacred viewpoints...and have, repeatedly.

>Your past professional work does not, in and of itself, qualify you for
>an amateur radio license.

I've never said it should. Really! :-)

Does AMATEUR radio operate by "different" physical principles than
all other radio services? Yes? No?

Explain that. Explain how morse code testing shows "dedication
and commitment to the amateur community" in lieu of written test
elements.

Is amateur radio "all about morse code?"

NPRM 05-143, currently under Comment period under WT Docket 05-235,
is solely about the elimination or retention of morse code TESTING
in FCC regulations governing United States amateur radio.

Instead of concentrating so much on character assassination of all
who disagree with you, explain to the FCC the reasons, valid
reasons, why the FCC should retain test element 1 in regulations.

>> But other licensees
>> DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
>> (contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says).
>
>Was that a deliberate distortion on your part or have you just become
>forgetful?

No "distortion." Actual fact. The first one is found on the
ECFS for WT Docket 98-143, dated 25 January 1999, filed by
Dudly under the surname "Robeson." [it's not in Google archives
but in the FCC archives, still viewable]

You have repeatedly said that I should not be commenting at all
on the subject of amateur radio as a "non participant." In case
you've forgotten (already), the staff and Commissioners are
"non participants" in amateur radio yet the FCC very much
regulates, mitigates, and enforces United States amateur radio!

You have NEGLECTED all those others - IN Google archives - who
have demanded that I be a licensed radio amateur in order to
talk anything about it.

>It looks as if you've been busy making up your mind on whether to do so
>for nearly the past six years.

Not at all. I dismissed the idea of getting a personal amateur
radio license back in the 1960s for many and varied reasons. I've
stated those. That you refuse to believe them is not my concern.

>Have a nice lunch and catch a nap, OT.

I had a "working lunch" but no "nap" needed. I would suggest you
see a real medical doctor about the first signs of Alzheimer's
Disease. You have become forgetful and are unable to concentrate.
Alzheimer's can manifest itself at any age past 40...and you DO
easily qualify for that, old-timer. Serious stuff...and you are
showing those first symptoms already.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1503. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 01:14:11 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127178850.901067.63380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119279

From: Dee Flint on Sep 17, 5:07 pm

>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm

>From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children, women, and
>anyone younger than he is.

tsk, Tsk, TSK! Oh, my, what a STRONG bit of character
assassination!

Have you been taking testosterone, Dee? :-)

I "hate women?!?" No, on the contrary, I MARRIED one. My high
school sweetheart, in fact. A number of our classmates observed
that we truly LIKE each other at our 50th high school reunion.

I "hate children?!?" No. I dislkike CHILDISH, bitter, control-
freaks who think they can personally insult anyone they care to.
The PCTA in here seem to qualify for that trait. "Children"
who can't get along with anyone not sharing their beloved ideas.
They never grew up, the poor dears.

I "hate anyone younger than myself?!?" Absurd! Following Ben
Franklin's observation, the older I get, the more WOMEN there
are to LIKE! :-)

I "hate ham radio?!?" Another absurdity. I dislike the morse
code test for any radio operator license and endeavor to disprove
its necessity at many opportunities.

Amateur radio is, de facto, an enjoyable HOBBY, an avocation
(not an occupation of making money) done for personal pleasure.
Because of the nature of the physics of electromagnetic wave
propagation it must be regulated by a government agency created
to regulate, mitigate interference, and enforce it regulations.
In the USA that is the FCC. Why would anyone "hate" it?

Do you think that morse code is the end-all, be-all of amateur
radio? If you do, I'd say you "hate" talking in a normal voice,

"hate" communicating by data/teleprinter, "hate" sending any form of television over amateur radio, "hate" everything but morse code communications...in amateur radio or any other radio service. That's a lot of HATE, Dee. Be careful it doesn't fester and make you outraged enough to write some paraphrased W. C. Fields remarks. :-)

...or would you rather be in Philadelphia? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1504. OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 01:54:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5ZJXe.550$zQ3.141@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119280

http://tinyurl.com/drbfk

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1505. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 02:14:07 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <jrrui11sa5c1cti78snsbfidq0nftkovo8@4ax.com>
Source record: 119282
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 11:41:25 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Would someone put something in "Uncle Ted's" mouth, my zipper is stuck...
>

Sorry, but I'm afraid I may be a few decades older than the
ten-year-old boys you are fantasizing about...

1506. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 02:20:01 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <34sui1h78dnnf7upi6oasunk6c3quvikup@4ax.com>
Source record: 119284
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 15:10:11 -0400, Ham op <hamop@comcast.net> wrote:

>> It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>> all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>> world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>
>Ted, I'll pray for you. There's nothing you can do about it!

Whatever.

I have got to be one of the most "prayed-for" people there is. The
people that say they're "praying for me" remind me of the villagers in
old horror flicks that would hang up garlic and crucifixes to ward off
werewolves, vampires, fire-breathing dragons, Amway dealers, etc.

1507. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 02:22:10 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <pfsui1hs60tb8gu55d602rvvfs9s4urn72@4ax.com>
Source record: 119283
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 05:41:38 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>
> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>position of Saudi Arabian women?

Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...

1508. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 02:28:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>operation....
>Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
>interesting.

>-- //Steve//

>Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
>Fountain Valley, CA
>Email: kb6...@arrl.net

It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
court systems like I said it's FAR from over.

Todd N9OGL
The N9OGL Show
14.321.00 Mhz

1509. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 02:40:08 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11iutq9d2274acd@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119285
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Same here Ted....

Religion is the opiate of the masses.

I prefer a free and satisfied mind...

--

Charlie

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:pfsui1hs60tb8gu55d602rvvfs9s4urn72@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 05:41:38 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>
>> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>>position of Saudi Arabian women?
>
> Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...

1510. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 04:02:14 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <md2vi1llg7c8bfqvcu9cce93s3rrvhsah3@4ax.com>
Source record: 119286
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 09:02:40 -0500, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
wrote:

>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Richard Clark wrote:
>>>>Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
>>>>and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
>>>>getting through the eye of the needle.
>>>
>>>The small "eye of the needle" gate at the walls of a city
>>>was sized for human beings. It was camels that couldn't
>>>get through it. Camels had to enter through the main gate.
>>
>> But the reference was to it being as difficult for a rich man
>> (as in dweller of the gated community type) as for the camel ....
>
>Nowhere in The Bible does it say anything about a man finding
>it difficult to go through the eye of the needle. The "eye of
>the needle" gate was designed to allow men through, even rich
>ones, and keep camels out. The difficult thing for men was to
>enter the Kingdom of God, not get through the "eye of the
>needle" gate.

Agreed. I think it got lost in my trailing ellipsis. :-)

man:heaven - camel:eye, more or less.

1511. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 04:03:13 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ui2vi1hrnjcmjtug82quv5lj6hgl5kvap6@4ax.com>
Source record: 119287
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 07:44:49 -0400, Ham op <hamop@comcast.net> wrote:

>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>SNIPPED
>
>>>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>>>of Indulenges for sin
>>
>>
>> Far from correct. Catholiic dogma in fact has a specific name
>> for the sin of selling indulgences or objects which have been blessed
>> -- it's called simony. Since at least the 40s, AFAIK.
>>
>
>Since the 1st Century. [Reference: Acts 8:18-24]

OK, maybe the 0040s. :-)

1512. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 06:52:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127199128.674472.44100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steve Silverwood wrote:
> In article <1125445904.226015.220920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> toddaug555@yahoo.com says...
> > It isn't over yet, there is a long process that the FCC and K1MAN has
> > to go through. including a hearing before an administrative law judge
> > and the US Court of Appeal.
>
> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
> operation....
>
> Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
> interesting.

you need to watch better TV then
>
> --
>
> -- //Steve//
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
> Fountain Valley, CA
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1513. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 07:11:17 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <2jdvi1d0k67pdqa9s28flggt3dlu9pc534@4ax.com>
Source record: 119289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 10:11:29 -0400, "Little Al"
<LittleAl@sevengangofal.net> wrote:

>
>"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:MPG.1d8f7a65b9b5775a9896c9@news-server.socal.rr.com...
>>
>> However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>> to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>> aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>> expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>> that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>> operation....
>
>
>If/when MAN goes OTA, you MAN haters will have nothing
>left to obsess over in your pointless lives, at least until you find
>a new target to fill the void in your empty existence.

Gee, you must be the group existentialist.

1514. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 10:42:12 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6YWdnWb9yuNterLeRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119290
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Even with your disbelief, I'll still pray for you.

There are two common anecdotes. One in Christianity, the other in Islam.

1] Work like everything depends on you. Pray like everything depends on God!

2] Trust Allah, but tie your camel.

Here is a message from a Baptist [Christian] Pastor about 10 years ago.

"Man does his part; God does His part.
Man does the sinning; God does the saving."

May God increase your faith.
+ + +

Uncle Ted wrote:

> On Sat, 17 Sep 2005 15:10:11 -0400, Ham op <hamop@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>
>>Ted, I'll pray for you. There's nothing you can do about it!
>
>
> Whatever.
>
> I have got to be one of the most "prayed-for" people there is. The
> people that say they're "praying for me" remind me of the villagers in
> old horror flicks that would hang up garlic and crucifixes to ward off
> werewolves, vampires, fire-breathing dragons, Amway dealers, etc.

1515. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 10:43:21 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6YWdnWH9yuOpdbLeRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119291
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kashe@sonic.net wrote:

> On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 07:44:49 -0400, Ham op <hamop@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>
>>SNIPPED
>>
>>
>>>>Discounts I don't know but Chatholic dogma still provides for the sale
>>>>of Indulenges for sin
>>>
>>>
>>> Far from correct. Catholiic dogma in fact has a specific name
>>>for the sin of selling indulgences or objects which have been blessed
>>>-- it's called simony. Since at least the 40s, AFAIK.
>>>
>>
>>Since the 1st Century. [Reference: Acts 8:18-24]
>
>
> OK, maybe the 0040s. :-)

You are too quick!!

1516. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 11:09:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ankvi1t2tk18kg4ppj82ckp3fptbs41kum@4ax.com>
Source record: 119292

On 19 Sep 2005 17:28:11 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1127176091.520685.319770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Show us by documented fact that morse code manual radiotelegraphy
> is IN USE by radio services other than amateur radio TODAY.

Morse is required for the Public Mobile Services (Part 22), the
International Fixed Public Radiocommunication Services (Part 23),
satellite uplinks (ATIS, Part 25), and other services including
Experimental, Special Broadcast, etc. Morse is also a requirement for
a Commercial Radio Operators License (Part 13).

I should also point out that every communication needs a sender, a
receiver, and a message. Although manual Morse may not be -required-
to send or receive the message, Morse is used so the communication
-can- be done manually by either the sender or receiver (or both)
should the automatic systems fail.

>>The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio.
>
> It has AGED. It will eventually become terminal.

Doubtful. Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
was invented for -wire- telegraphy. It has also been used extensively
with optical and other types of communication. It has existed since
before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
radio. But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
to use it.

Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
(from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1517. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 11:14:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DuOdnQW4h8fWcrLeRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119293

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:ankvi1t2tk18kg4ppj82ckp3fptbs41kum@4ax.com...
> On 19 Sep 2005 17:28:11 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> <1127176091.520685.319770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
>
> <snip>
>> Show us by documented fact that morse code manual radiotelegraphy
>> is IN USE by radio services other than amateur radio TODAY.
>
>
> Morse is required for the Public Mobile Services (Part 22), the
> International Fixed Public Radiocommunication Services (Part 23),
> satellite uplinks (ATIS, Part 25), and other services including
> Experimental, Special Broadcast, etc. Morse is also a requirement for
> a Commercial Radio Operators License (Part 13).
>
> I should also point out that every communication needs a sender, a
> receiver, and a message. Although manual Morse may not be -required-
> to send or receive the message, Morse is used so the communication
> -can- be done manually by either the sender or receiver (or both)
> should the automatic systems fail.
>
>
>>>The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio.
>>
>> It has AGED. It will eventually become terminal.
>
>
> Doubtful. Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
> communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
> was invented for -wire- telegraphy. It has also been used extensively
> with optical and other types of communication. It has existed since
> before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
> radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
> radio. But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
> to use it.
>
> Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
> code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
> also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
> written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching

> (from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
> the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?
>

That genie got out of the bottle a long time ago and as with most things, once it's out, you can't put it back in. However there has been some discussion on it but the tone has been that there's now no likelihood that a changed can be made.

While I earned my license under the current open question pool system, I approached my study as if the questions were not published. I chose to learn the material, memorize equations, learn how to apply the equations, etc. Then simply used the published questions as a check to see if my understanding was correct.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1518. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 12:49:26 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dgp0gm$2gv3$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 119294
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:6YWdnWb9yuNterLeRVn-tA@comcast.com...
> Even with your disbelief, I'll still pray for you.
>
> There are two common anecdotes. One in Christianity, the other in Islam.
>
> 1] Work like everything depends on you. Pray like everything depends on
God!
>
> 2] Trust Allah, but tie your camel.
>
> Here is a message from a Baptist [Christian] Pastor about 10 years ago.
>
> "Man does his part; God does His part.
> Man does the sinning; God does the saving."
>
> May God increase your faith.
> + + +

This thread has degenerated into religious shit fighting. It has absolutely
sweet fuck all to do with any of the NGs that it has been posted to- how
about we either revert to the original topic, close this thread down, or
move it to a religious discussion group where I feel that it would be far
more appropriate. Please?

Matt

1519. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 12:53:11 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgp0nm$1ffk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119295

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:ankvi1t2tk18kg4ppj82ckp3fptbs41kum@4ax.com...
>
>>On 19 Sep 2005 17:28:11 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>><1127176091.520685.319770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>> Show us by documented fact that morse code manual radiotelegraphy
>>> is IN USE by radio services other than amateur radio TODAY.
>>
>>
>>Morse is required for the Public Mobile Services (Part 22), the
>>International Fixed Public Radiocommunication Services (Part 23),
>>satellite uplinks (ATIS, Part 25), and other services including
>>Experimental, Special Broadcast, etc. Morse is also a requirement for
>>a Commercial Radio Operators License (Part 13).
>>
>>I should also point out that every communication needs a sender, a
>>receiver, and a message. Although manual Morse may not be -required-
>>to send or receive the message, Morse is used so the communication
>>-can- be done manually by either the sender or receiver (or both)
>>should the automatic systems fail.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio.
>>>
>>> It has AGED. It will eventually become terminal.
>>
>>
>>Doubtful. Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
>>communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
>>was invented for -wire- telegraphy. It has also been used extensively
>>with optical and other types of communication. It has existed since
>>before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
>>radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
>>radio. But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
>>to use it.
>>
>>Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the

>>code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>>also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>>written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
>>(from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
>>the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?
>>
>
>
> That genie got out of the bottle a long time ago and as with most things,
> once it's out, you can't put it back in. However there has been some
> discussion on it but the tone has been that there's now no likelihood that a
> changed can be made.

The test is no more dumbed down than just about everything else in the world. I've seen testing regimens where the outcome of incompetence is possible injury or worse that also use question pools. The student buys the book, and there they go.

> While I earned my license under the current open question pool system, I
> approached my study as if the questions were not published. I chose to
> learn the material, memorize equations, learn how to apply the equations,
> etc. Then simply used the published questions as a check to see if my
> understanding was correct.

I still think that the prospective Ham should prepare for his/her license in similar manner as a thesis defense. Come up with a new radio related research project, and do a couple years research, then defend it in front of a panel of "steely eyed" FCC experts..... 8^) Just kidding of course.

I bought a study guide from 1957 or 58 at a hamfest. It looks surprisingly like what we have now, save for the mostly hollow-state emphasis. Given a few days to learn about the VT stuff, I have no doubt that I would be able to pass any of the tests - except for the Morse code tests. Some of the questions were amazingly easy. And all from "The Golden Age" of Amateur Radio! Some time I think I should post some of the questions.

I have no problem with the tests as they are now. I prepared for the tests in a similar fashion to the way you did, except I took the on-line tests as a check, mostly for the questions that have to be memorized, such as the band edges - stuff like that. I used the tools at hand.

The material is there. If we choose to learn it well, it is a good starting point. If we don't, we just cheat ourselves. Seems harder to memorize the entire question pool anyhow.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1520. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 13:03:38 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GqSdnaHbf6qHlK3eRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 119296
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt wrote:

SNIPPED

> This thread has degenerated into religious shit fighting. It has absolutely
> sweet fuck all to do with any of the NGs that it has been posted to- how
> about we either revert to the original topic, close this thread down, or
> move it to a religious discussion group where I feel that it would be far
> more appropriate. Please?
>
>
> Matt
>
>

Your point is valid. Your language needs serious improvement!

1521. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 15:09:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgp8nb$1f6u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119297

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
> Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
> code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
> also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
> written test.

Sometimes I think that the "dumbing down" is a factor of the maturing Ham looking at how things appear from the vantage point of time and accumulated knowledge.

They might remember the test seeming very difficult when they took it. Then they look at modern tests (if they even do look at them) and conclude that the modern tests are exceptionally easy, when it is simply that they have learned much in the years that have passed since their own tests.

> I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
> (from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
> the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?

When we do attempt to discuss something else, for some reason or another, it gets redirected to the Morse code issue.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1522. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 15:21:46 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgp9e9$1f78$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119298

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> http://tinyurl.com/drbfk

I read it. sounds interesting. How we gonna connect the nation at 700 MHz?

I can't make any determination on whether he is right or not. The article only says that we have it all wrong and Mr O'Brien has the answers. Okay. Thanks Mr O'Brien.

NO doubt there will be a lot of infrastructure needed, with lots of brass to be made.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1523. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 15:26:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ySVXe.727$zQ3.132@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119299

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

> How we gonna connect the nation at 700 MHz?

Hi Mike,

The article had nothing to do with "connecting the nation". It has to do with regional interoperability, the very thing that Katrina aftermath found largely inadequate.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1524. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 15:35:07 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgpa7a$1h28$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119350

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>How we gonna connect the nation at 700 MHz?
>
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> The article had nothing to do with "connecting the nation". It has to do with
> regional interoperability, the very thing that Katrina aftermath found largely
> inadequate.

Ahh, so we need another system to connect to the rest of the nation then?

I still find this whole thing another thinly designed bandwidth grab,
when in reality is that the system concept is not working. Seems like
grass is greener stuff to me, unless there is something majik about 700
MHz, and the TV channels.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1525. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 16:02:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <foWXe.1059$oc.832@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119351

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> Ahh, so we need another system to connect to the rest of the nation then?
>

Two things:

Thing #1) I think "the rest of the nation" stayed pretty well connected. Regional public service interoperability at the incident was the huge gaping problem area. (As it was 9/11 and any number of other incidents.)

Thing #2) The article doesn't propose to "grab" the 700MHz band..... It's already slated for public service.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1526. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 16:16:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fub0j11s30nho3bvk7c85gi0k0k0sk6eg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 119352

On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 11:09:31 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
<dgp8nb$1f6u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:

>
>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>>
>> Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
>> code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>> also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>> written test.
>
> Sometimes I think that the "dumbing down" is a factor of the maturing
>Ham looking at how things appear from the vantage point of time and
>accumulated knowledge.
>
> They might remember the test seeming very difficult when they took it.
>Then they look at modern tests (if they even do look at them) and
>conclude that the modern tests are exceptionally easy, when it is simply
>that they have learned much in the years that have passed since their
>own tests.

By "dumbing down" I was referring to the question pool being released
to the public where it can be memorized to some extent. As for the
level of technical expertise, I'm sure the content hasn't changed much
over the years (except maybe for the addition of semiconductors).

But then again, maybe the technical aspects of the test -should- be
'dumbed down'. Modern ham radios have digital PLL tuners, automatic
antenna matchers, audio signal processing..... I even saw one that had
a built-in Morse code decrypter. About all that's left for the ham to
learn anymore is on-air protocol and antennas. It's no wonder so many
hams are becoming appliance operators. Heck, the FCC would do just as
well to turn the service into several CB bands and drop the license.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1527. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 18:02:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.in4f454.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 119354

On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 01:54:09 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

>
>http://tinyurl.com/drbfk

Those of us who had to suffer from Morgan O'Brien's backstabbing at the Commission (anyone remember the failed Chicago Land Mobile Regional Task Force debacle) give little weight to his posturings, even if they turn out to be "right" strictly by accident. My public safety clients give little weight to anything coming out of Nextel anyhow.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1528. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 18:11:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.in4fit5.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 119355

On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 11:35:07 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

>Ahh, so we need another system to connect to the rest of the nation then?
>
>I still find this whole thing another thinly designed bandwidth grab,
>when in reality is that the system concept is not working. Seems like
>grass is greener stuff to me, unless there is something majik about 700
>MHz, and the TV channels.

We heard the same mazurka about the 800 MHz channels ten years ago.
Even amongst those clients of ours who went for 800 MHz systems,
some of those actually work well as a regional public safety
intercommunication system when designed and used correctly.

One of the major pronlems that seems to surface every time in every
field is "turf wars" a.k.a. "protect my local interests".

Notwithstanding the extortionate user fees paid to the agency who
holds the actual license, the only ones who really profited from
that exercise was Motorola. (APCO members on here will certainly
recognize this.)

Enter now 700 MHz stage-left......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1529. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 18:18:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.in4fve6.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 119353

On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 16:02:19 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

>Two things:
>
>Thing #1) I think "the rest of the nation" stayed pretty well connected.
>Regional public service interoperability at the incident was the huge gaping
>problem area. (As it was 9/11 and any number of other incidents.)

The very thing that the 800 MHz NPSPAC channels were supposed to
provide. What did the regional (state) NPSPAC delegates do at the
meetings but drink coffee laced with chicory? At least in
California we designated quite a few channels just for that purpose
and every (800 MHz) radio of every agency was supposed to have them
installed, tested, and working (a software "install"), and a lot of
Federal grant money went in to ensuring that.

Or was the problem that they didn't get around to installing 800 MHz
systems even when everyone else did while grant money was available?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1530. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 20:00:25 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127246425.053573.206230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119356

From: Frank Gilliland on Sep 20, 4:09 am

>On 19 Sep 2005 17:28:11 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"

<snip>

>> Show us by documented fact that morse code manual radiotelegraphy
>> is IN USE by radio services other than amateur radio TODAY.
>
>Morse is required for the Public Mobile Services (Part 22), the
>International Fixed Public Radiocommunication Services (Part 23),
>satellite uplinks (ATIS, Part 25), and other services including
>Experimental, Special Broadcast, etc. Morse is also a requirement for
>a Commercial Radio Operators License (Part 13).

"IN USE," Frank. :-)

I do not find any reference to manual morse code radiotelegraphy
in Part 22, Public Mobile Radio Service. "Codes" are stated,
but those are NOT "morse codes."

Part 23, International Fixed Public Radio Service, does have
reference to manual morse code (International variety, same as
defined for amateur radio) IF and only IF the transmitter license
is specifically designated as "radiotelegraph" with that emission.

I do not find any specific reference to manual morse code in
Part 25, Satellite Communications Radio Service. Where is the
requirement for a commercial radiotelegrapher license there?
Note: Satellite Communications allocated bands are all in the
microwave region and that is highly unlikely to be used with
manual on-off keying of a transmitter.

Part 13 defines ALL the Commercial Radio Operator licenses and
is not a radio service per se. Radiotelegraph (Commercial)
licenses require specific skill levels tested for each of three
classes. Radiotelephone (Commercial) and GMDSS operator
licenses do NOT require manual morse code skill testing.

Yes, there are automatic morse code keyers in use in various
radio services still, such as in Aviation Radio Service, about
as many as there were such stations a half century ago. As such
they are satisfying very OLD regulatory requirements and have all
the usefulness of teats on a boar hog. Those keep on working
because they are simple repetitive appliances to a transmitter,
no different (but less complex) than a "fox test" generator for
TTY. Pilots of aircraft don't "identify" VOR radionavigation

transmitters by morse code in normal use, they simply dial up
the channel as shown on their aeronautical charts and the ground
station is either there or not there; frequency/channel
assignments have been done to prevent interference with other
ground radionavigation frequencies/channels even at the extreme
distances possible with high altitude flying.

I wrote "IN USE" in all captitals on purpose. Where, other
than on the Great Lakes in maritime service, is morse code USED
for communications in the United States?

>I should also point out that every communication needs a sender, a
>receiver, and a message. Although manual Morse may not be -required-
>to send or receive the message, Morse is used so the communication
>-can- be done manually by either the sender or receiver (or both)
>should the automatic systems fail.

The ORIGINAL morse code was all numbers and "recorded" by an
ink pen on paper. No hearing was required. Morse's financial
backer, Alfred Vail, is said to have suggested the addition of
letters and punctuation plus making shorter code characters
in line with printers' type case arrangements.

The early WIRED telegraph systems primarily used MANUAL
transmission and reception. Very long distance services, such
as by undersea cable, used recorded transmission and reception
primarily to increase throughput, allowing brief breaks for
operators to answer more important calls of nature.

Punched paper tape was in use for TTY by 1904. That year
marks the first recorded instance of demonstration of an
Exclusive-OR "scrambling" of one clear-text message with a
"keying" tape (duplicate at the receiving end) for
encryption by non-crypto-specialist operators. P-tape has
been standard on TTY and RTTY message communications for
well over a half century. It is quick, convenient, and one
TTY operator could tend a dozen P-tape TTY machines in
continuous duty. Electromechanical teleprinters are on
the way to extinction, replaced by better, faster all-
electronic message means.

>>>The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio.
>
>> It has AGED. It will eventually become terminal.
>
>Doubtful.

It WILL eventually become terminal. The last mighty macho
morseman will have the last morse code key pried out of
cold, dead fingers. It will wind up as exhibits in a
museum, those exhibits already in progress.

> Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
>communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
>was invented for -wire- telegraphy.

I've been reminding folks of that for years. 1844 is the year
the first Morse-Vail Telegraph system went into operation.
COMMERCIAL (i.e., professional, service for money) I might add.

> It has also been used extensively
>with optical and other types of communication.

Not "extensively" except in maritime talk-between-ships by
light blinker. Signal flags are traditional in navies but
those are not "morse code." Two-flag (two-torch at night)
manual semaphore was used in the U.S. Army prior to our
Civil War; the torch over crossed signal flags is still the
collar insignia of the Army Signal Corps. However, that was
NOT by "morse code" but by position of the semaphore
indicators relative to the operator's body to denote the
various characters.

Various forms of semaphore signaling, even to construction of
networks for same, was done for over a century PRIOR to the
first wired telegraphy systems. NONE of those used "morse
code" anywhere close to what morse code is today.

Native American Indian smoke signals did NOT use "morse code."

The electronic "remote control" generally uses a pulse train
code to control a variety of electronics using infra-red
"carrier" or an RF carrier. That is by on-off keying but
such keying has NEVER used any "morse code." On-off keying
of a carrier is a supply-economic for battery-powered remotes.

> It has existed since
>before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
>radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
>radio.

"Morse code" predated the first demonstration of radio as a
communications medium by 52 years of USE.

There are NO working morse code telegraph circuits in the
United States in continuous communications service. NONE.
It has been an internal practice in commercial communications
to denote teleprinter services as "telegraph" for over a half
century, leading some to presume that such "telegraph" services
still "use morse code modes." They do not.

>But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
>to use it.

Yes, and riding horses is still done in equestrian pursuits
(but not as an everyday transport), smoothbore musketry and
bows-arrows are still used for hunting (but not as a regular

means of killing game), and parks still have real steam train rides (but not for regular passenger conveyance). Until the electronic terminals became economic, the electromechanical teleprinter systems carried the vast majority of message communications for over a half century...for telegrams, for government, military, business, commerce, and private communications.

Some hobbyists still insist on using vacuum tube circuitry for low-power (relatively speaking) uses in radio, despite the proven fact that solid-state circuit design results in lower power demand, smaller physical size, more economy, and generally superior performance.

>Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
>code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
>(from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
>the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?

On the contrary, the common PCTA expression is that the Pool of published questions has "dumbed down" amateur radio license examinations to an absurd level. [Pools exist for both VEC and COLEM] They illogically connect dropping of the code test with "dropping of the written test" by direct statement or by inference. They also forget that the VEC Question Pool Committee devises ALL the Pool questions and answers, said VEC being composed of licensed radio amateurs. The FCC now specifies only a minimum number of questions per test element, does not differentiate to minimum number per kind of question. There has been a virtual enormous quantity of bitching/moaning about the allegedly "dumbed-down" written test elements, some bitching/moaning at a vitriolic level.

The current hot topic in United States amateur radio policy is NPRM 05-143 on the elimination/retention of the morse code test. That NPRM does NOT state that written test elements will be changed. Focus, please.

If you wish to Petition the FCC to change this alleged "dumb-down" issue, feel free. The FCC even explains the procedure for Petitioning in their regulations, Title 47 Code of Federal Regulations. Meanwhile, contact the VEC QPC for changes to the present-day multiple-choice public question-answer Pool.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1531. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 20:02:29 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127246549.815771.309040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119357

From: Michael Coslo on Sep 20, 8:09 am

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
>> code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>> also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>> written test.
>
> Sometimes I think that the "dumbing down" is a factor of the maturing
>Ham looking at how things appear from the vantage point of time and
>accumulated knowledge.

Excellent point and very true to the general situation.

> They might remember the test seeming very difficult when they took it.
>Then they look at modern tests (if they even do look at them) and
>conclude that the modern tests are exceptionally easy, when it is simply
>that they have learned much in the years that have passed since their
>own tests.

Some infer that they were ALWAYS knowledgeable experts. :-)

They were NEVER "dumb" and Their Tests were of the highest,
most difficult professional standards possible. <yawn>

>> I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
>> (from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
>> the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?
>
>When we do attempt to discuss something else, for some reason or
>another, it gets redirected to the Morse code issue.

NPRM 05-143 is the hottest POLICY topic for United States
amateur radio right now. It is concerned solely on the
elimination or retention of the morse code test for any
class amateur radio license examination. It is NOT
concerned with changing any of the written test elements.

In case you're wondering, THIS newsgroup was originally
created JUST FOR the code test issue, years ago when
rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous filled up too much with
code test discussions/arguments/flaming.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1532. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 20:20:20 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgpqu3$1h3k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119358

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 11:35:07 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>Ahh, so we need another system to connect to the rest of the nation then?
>>
>>I still find this whole thing another thinly designed bandwidth grab,
>>when in reality is that the system concept is not working. Seems like
>>grass is greener stuff to me, unless there is something majik about 700
>>MHz, and the TV channels.
>
>
> We heard the same mazurka about the 800 MHz channels ten years ago.
> Even amongst those clients of ours who went for 800 MHz systems,
> some of those actually work well as a regional public safety
> intercommunication system when designed and used correctly.

Right! I haven't heard enough of his plan to make a judgement, but why
don't we just make this grand schem work there?

> One of the major pronlems that seems to surface every time in every
> field is "turf wars" a.k.a. "protect my local interests".

States rights too? A lot of the places that have a lot of the potential
for disaster don't take to kindly to the evil Federal guvmint meddling
in their affairs.

> Notwithstanding the extortionate user fees paid to the agency who
> holds the actual license, the only ones who really profited from
> that exercise was Motorola. (APCO members on here will certainly
> recognize this.)
>
> Enter now 700 MHz stage-left......

These things are so predictable.

1. Disaster happens

We have to blame someone, and since the Democreeps aren't in power
(we'll still blame 'em just for good practice) so we need to find a
scapegoat.

2. AHA! The problem came about because of Television
broadcasters, Those no-goods!

3. I have a plan! Give me money.

4. Thank you, here's your system.

Next disaster please......

5. ......Those darn democrats!

I guess I'm a little cynical today ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1533. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 20:28:05 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgprcl$1i3m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119359

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 11:09:31 -0400, Michael Coslo
> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
> <dgp8nb$1f6u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:
>
>
>>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
>>>code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>>>also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>>>written test.
>>
>> Sometimes I think that the "dumbing down" is a factor of the maturing
>>Ham looking at how things appear from the vantage point of time and
>>accumulated knowledge.
>>
>> They might remember the test seeming very difficult when they took it.
>>Then they look at modern tests (if they even do look at them) and
>>conclude that the modern tests are exceptionally easy, when it is simply
>>that they have learned much in the years that have passed since their
>>own tests.
>
>
>
> By "dumbing down" I was referring to the question pool being released
> to the public where it can be memorized to some extent. As for the
> level of technical expertise, I'm sure the content hasn't changed much
> over the years (except maybe for the addition of semiconductors).

That is one interesting feature of modern society. If we are going to
have standardized test- which seems to be gospel anymore, we have to
publish the answers. One of the more amusing side effects of that is
that the pools occasionally have an incorrect answer. Then we'll see it
corrected. I wonder how many testees got credit for a wrong answer, and
vice-versa?

> But then again, maybe the technical aspects of the test -should- be
> 'dumbed down'. Modern ham radios have digital PLL tuners, automatic
> antenna matchers, audio signal processing..... I even saw one that had
> a built-in Morse code decrypter. About all that's left for the ham to
> learn anymore is on-air protocol and antennas. It's no wonder so many

> hams are becoming appliance operators. Heck, the FCC would do just as
> well to turn the service into several CB bands and drop the license.

The appliance issue *is* a problem IMO, and I think it is incumbent on the Ham to build things. But that's just me. While I'm not quite up to designing and building a full featured modern radio, I can and do design and build stuff around the shack

Of course, I'm not about to give up my modern radio either. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1534. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 21:40:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HI%Xe.1669$QE1.598@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >However, his "broadcasting" days are about to come to a close -- owing
>>to the FCC "red light rule" discussed in other threads, the setting
>>aside of his renewal application is going to put him off the air at the
>>expiration of his present license. If he continues transmitting after
>>that date, he'll start racking up more fines for unlicensed
>>operation....
>>Forget soap operas and "reality shows." This stuff is =way= more
>>interesting.
>
>
>>-- //Steve//
>
>>Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
>>Fountain Valley, CA
>>Email: kb6...@arrl.net
>
> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> The N9OGL Show
> 14.321.00 Mhz
>
Remind me not to ask you for any legal advise.

Dan/W4NTI

1535. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 22:03:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6ut0j1lllres7atduss9uameqc03t2816d@4ax.com>
Source record: 119361

On 20 Sep 2005 13:00:25 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
<1127246425.053573.206230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Sep 20, 4:09 am
>
>>On 19 Sep 2005 17:28:11 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
>
><snip>
>
>
>>> Show us by documented fact that morse code manual radiotelegraphy
>>> is IN USE by radio services other than amateur radio TODAY.
>>
>>Morse is required for the Public Mobile Services (Part 22), the
>>International Fixed Public Radiocommunication Services (Part 23),
>>satellite uplinks (ATIS, Part 25), and other services including
>>Experimental, Special Broadcast, etc. Morse is also a requirement for
>>a Commercial Radio Operators License (Part 13).
>
> "IN USE," Frank. :-)
>
> I do not find any reference to manual morse code radiotelegraphy
> in Part 22, Public Mobile Radio Service. "Codes" are stated,
> but those are NOT "morse codes."

Sec. 22.313(c): "Station identification must be transmitted by
telephony using the English language or by telegraphy using the
international Morse code....."

> Part 23, International Fixed Public Radio Service, does have
> reference to manual morse code (International variety, same as
> defined for amateur radio) IF and only IF the transmitter license
> is specifically designated as "radiotelegraph" with that emission.

It's used for both radiotelegraph stations -and- radiotelephone
stations (Sec. 23.37(d)(i) & (iii), respectively).

> I do not find any specific reference to manual morse code in
> Part 25, Satellite Communications Radio Service. Where is the
> requirement for a commercial radiotelegrapher license there?

Morse code is used for ATIS so the receiver doesn't have to be
automated like the transmitter.

> Note: Satellite Communications allocated bands are all in the
> microwave region and that is highly unlikely to be used with
> manual on-off keying of a transmitter.

I did mention that a communication requires both a sender -AND- a
receiver, did I not?

> Part 13 defines ALL the Commercial Radio Operator licenses and
> is not a radio service per se. Radiotelegraph (Commercial)
> licenses require specific skill levels tested for each of three
> classes. Radiotelephone (Commercial) and GMDSS operator
> licenses do NOT require manual morse code skill testing.

Nevertheless, what you are suggesting is that a radiotelegraph
operator's certificate is usable only on the ham bands. It isn't.
Morse is used in maritime and other commercial radio services.

> Yes, there are automatic morse code keyers in use in various
> radio services still, such as in Aviation Radio Service, about
> as many as there were such stations a half century ago. As such
> they are satisfying very OLD regulatory requirements and have all
> the usefulness of teats on a boar hog. Those keep on working
> because they are simple repetitive appliances to a transmitter,
> no different (but less complex) than a "fox test" generator for
> TTY. Pilots of aircraft don't "identify" VOR radionavigation
> transmitters by morse code in normal use, they simply dial up
> the channel as shown on their aeronautical charts and the ground
> station is either there or not there; frequency/channel
> assignments have been done to prevent interference with other
> ground radionavigation frequencies/channels even at the extreme
> distances possible with high altitude flying.
>
> I wrote "IN USE" in all captitals on purpose. Where, other
> than on the Great Lakes in maritime service, is morse code USED
> for communications in the United States?

I take it you don't have a scanner. If you did, and listened to many
of the VHF PSP freqs you would occassionally hear a brief automated
station ID in Morse, as required by law. It's also used quite a bit in
maritime service since Morse has developed into a universal language.

The point is that Morse -IS USED- in other services besides Amateur
radio. That's a fact, and it's sufficient to fulfill your request.

>>I should also point out that every communication needs a sender, a
>>receiver, and a message. Although manual Morse may not be -required-
>>to send or receive the message, Morse is used so the communication
>>-can- be done manually by either the sender or receiver (or both)
>>should the automatic systems fail.
>
> The ORIGINAL morse code was all numbers and "recorded" by an
> ink pen on paper. No hearing was required. Morse's financial
> backer, Alfred Vail, is said to have suggested the addition of

> letters and punctuation plus making shorter code characters
> in line with printers' type case arrangements.
>
> The early WIRED telegraph systems primarily used MANUAL
> transmission and reception. Very long distance services, such
> as by undersea cable, used recorded transmission and reception
> primarily to increase throughput, allowing brief breaks for
> operators to answer more important calls of nature.
>
> Punched paper tape was in use for TTY by 1904. That year
> marks the first recorded instance of demonstration of an
> Exclusive-OR "scrambling" of one clear-text message with a
> "keying" tape (duplicate at the receiving end) for
> encryption by non-crypto-specialist operators. P-tape has
> been standard on TTY and RTTY message communications for
> well over a half century. It is quick, convenient, and one
> TTY operator could tend a dozen P-tape TTY machines in
> continuous duty. Electromechanical teleprinters are on
> the way to extinction, replaced by better, faster all-
> electronic message means.

Thanks for the history lesson. But my point was that learning Morse
isn't always for the purpose of tapping out a message on the key. Any
message sent by Morse, automated or not, has to be understood by the
receiver of the message. Since Morse decryption technology is decades
behind automated Morse-sending gadetry, it is well advised for anyone
intending to receive such a message to learn the code -regardless- of
whether he ever intends to send one.

>>>>The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio.
>>
>>> It has AGED. It will eventually become terminal.
>>
>>Doubtful.
>
> It WILL eventually become terminal. The last mighty macho
> morseman will have the last morse code key pried out of
> cold, dead fingers. It will wind up as exhibits in a
> museum, those exhibits already in progress.
>
>> Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
>>communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
>>was invented for -wire- telegraphy.
>
> I've been reminding folks of that for years. 1844 is the year
> the first Morse-Vail Telegraph system went into operation.
> COMMERCIAL (i.e., professional, service for money) I might add.
>
>> It has also been used extensively
>>with optical and other types of communication.
>

> Not "extensively" except in maritime talk-between-ships by
> light blinker.

Ground-pounders have used Morse code for decades -- that's why there's
a momentary pushbutton on so many flashlights.

> Signal flags are traditional in navies but
> those are not "morse code." Two-flag (two-torch at night)
> manual semaphore was used in the U.S. Army prior to our
> Civil War; the torch over crossed signal flags is still the
> collar insignia of the Army Signal Corps. However, that was
> NOT by "morse code" but by position of the semaphore
> indicators relative to the operator's body to denote the
> various characters.
>
> Various forms of semaphore signaling, even to construction of
> networks for same, was done for over a century PRIOR to the
> first wired telegraphy systems. NONE of those used "morse
> code" anywhere close to what morse code is today.

Thanks for the second history lesson. But who suggested semaphore was
the same as Morse code?

> Native American Indian smoke signals did NOT use "morse code."

Again, who suggested any such thing?

> The electronic "remote control" generally uses a pulse train
> code to control a variety of electronics using infra-red
> "carrier" or an RF carrier. That is by on-off keying but
> such keying has NEVER used any "morse code." On-off keying
> of a carrier is a supply-economic for battery-powered remotes.

.....and this is going where?

>> It has existed since
>>before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
>>radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
>>radio.
>
> "Morse code" predated the first demonstration of radio as a
> communications medium by 52 years of USE.
>
> There are NO working morse code telegraph circuits in the
> United States in continuous communications service. NONE.
> It has been an internal practice in commercial communications
> to denote teleprinter services as "telegraph" for over a half
> century, leading some to presume that such "telegraph" services
> still "use morse code modes." They do not.

No argument. What's your point? That Morse code needs to have
commercial sponsorship to exist?

>>But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
>>to use it.
>
> Yes, and riding horses is still done in equestrian pursuits
> (but not as an everyday transport),

I think the Amish would disagree with you, as would a lot of country folk here in the West.

> smoothbore musketry and
> bows-arrows are still used for hunting (but not as a regular
> means of killing game), and parks still have real steam train
> rides (but not for regular passenger conveyance). Until the
> electronic terminals became economic, the electromechanical
> teleprinter systems carried the vast majority of message
> communications for over a half century...for telegrams, for
> government, military, business, commerce, and private
> communications.
>
> Some hobbyists still insist on using vacuum tube circuitry
> for low-power (relatively speaking) uses in radio, despite
> the proven fact that solid-state circuit design results in
> lower power demand, smaller physical size, more economy,
> and generally superior performance.

Yet fire and the wheel are still quite popular. Go figure.

>>Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
>>code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>>also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>>written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
>>(from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
>>the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?
>
> On the contrary, the common PCTA expression is that the Pool
> of published questions has "dumbed down" amateur radio license
> examinations to an absurd level. [Pools exist for both VEC
> and COLEM]

I haven't seen this year's COLEM question pool. Got a link?

> They illogically connect dropping of the code test
> with "dropping of the written test" by direct statement or by
> infrerence.

I have done no such thing. Maybe you missed my position the first six times I stated it -- I really don't care about the code test. The only reason I defend it is because it's so much easier to learn the code and pass the test than to bitch and moan about it year after year after year.

> They also forget that the VEC Question Pool
> Committee devises ALL the Pool questions and answers, said VEC
> being composed of licensed radio amateurs. The FCC now

> specifies only a minimum number of questions per test element,
> does not differentiate to minimum number per kind of question.
> There has been a virtual enormous quantity of bitching/moaning
> about the allegedly "dumbed-down" written test elements, some
> bitching/moaning at a vitriolic level.

All I read in this newsgroup is bitching and moaning (from both sides)
about the code requirement. In which newsgroup is all the bitching and
moaning about the written test occuring?

> The current hot topic in United States amateur radio policy
> is NPRM 05-143 on the elimination/retention of the morse code
> test. That NPRM does NOT state that written test elements
> will be changed. Focus, please.

I changed the focus. There are plenty of other threads that focus on
your preferred topic. If you don't like the topic that I have chosen
to address then don't reply.

> If you wish to Petition the FCC to change this alleged "dumb-
> down" issue, feel free. The FCC even explains the procedure
> for Petitioning in their regulations, Title 47 Code of Federal
> Regulations. Meanwhile, contact the VEC QPC for changes to
> the present-day multiple-choice public question-answer Pool.

I'm familiar with the petition process, thanks. And likewise, if you
don't like any discussion of the written test requirements (which I
believe falls within the category of 'policy') then feel free to
petition the appropriate authority.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1536. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 22:31:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127255519.326426.117840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> Reference Number: 127393
> Physical Location: Baton Rouge, LA
> Department: E&I
> Min. Years Experience: N/A
> Min. Education:
> N/A
>
>
> Job Description:
>
> http://www.recruitingsite.com/CSBSites/shawgrp/JobDescription.asp?SiteID=10063&JobNumber
=127393
>
> Craftsmen or Subcontractors skilled in construction, service or
> recovery operations to aid in the Hurricane Katrina recovery
> activities.
>
> Subcontractors submitting information, please include under the
> resume section a brief summary of the previous work your company has
> performed and the types/categories of contractors you have available.
>
>
>
>
> --
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hmmmm? How's come they're not looking for a couple of good CW Ops?

1537. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 22:35:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127255728.646159.164450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119363

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 16, 4:47 pm
>
> >"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >>> See what we mean? He just can't stay on subject. Always brings in Morse
> >>> code "Morsemen", same same all the time. Then he gets into his
> >>> "Military career". Predictable as a Sun set.
> >
> >> Right, so what is the point, eh?
> >
> >I can't think of a one actually Mike.
>
> Little pointy heads aren't good for thinking.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len, Len, Len, why must you antagonize those of lower intelligence?

Just because the express purpose of RRAP is to dis-cuss Morse Code
Policy is no reason to actually dis-cuss Morse Code Policy. Get with
it, OM!

1538. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 22:51:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127256665.206516.25270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119364

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun 18 Sep 2005 07:19
> >>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> >>>From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am
> >
> >>The only point where I differ is that I'm personally convinced that
> >>abolition of the Morse test would have been carried in the ITU in 1993 if
> >>it could only have got to the floor. Those who delayed it did so precisely
> >>because they knew that.
> >
> > That's a typical tactic, found at any large conclave/conference.
> >
> >>The ITU is one country one vote, so the US is no
> >>more influential there than Monaco or Luxembourg.
> >
> > Only when it comes to the VOTE ITSELF. It's fairly obvious that
> > the larger-population countries have larger delegates (and the
> > 'guests' who are not supposed to have any voting power). With
> > more people in a delegation, the more people there are to meet
> > with other delegations away from the assembly and do one-on-one
> > salesmanship for "their side."
> >
> > Then you have the many months prior to a WRC where the delegates
> > have been largely identified on the ITU listings (plus their
> > hotels/lodgings per delegation identified) so that "salesmanship"
> > can be applied.
> >
> > The major "salesmanship" effort is on OTHER radio matters, of
> > course, and - contrary to specific-interest-on-ham-radio groups -
> > is of a greater international importance in radio regulations.
> >
> > The IARU as a collective body is larger than the ARRL and their
> > opinion-influence on the voting delegates is stronger than the
> > ARRL's influence.
>
> The ARRL began the IARU and the IARU permanent headquarters is at
> Newington.

Kind of like the Radio League of Nations!

> Most IARU member societies are very, very small. They don't
> have many members and they don't have much money. The IARU HQ
> frequently donates money so that third world delegates may attend.

Kind of like the League of Nations!

> In the past, one of these was Cassandra Davies 9L1YL, President of SLARS
> (Sierra Leone Amateur Radio Society), also a licensing official at SLET,
> the Sierra Leonian PTT. Many SLARS members were non-Sierra Leonian.
> Average meeting attendance was between fifteen to twenty radio amateurs.

Kind of like the Marianas Amateur Radio Club, MARC. Ask Jim about it
sometime.

> In Botswana, no natives of Botswana were BARS members.

Oh, my! Sounds elitist.

There were no
> indigenous radio amateurs in Botswana despite yearly BARS classes in
> theory, regs and morse.

Oh, my! Sounds like institutionalized >>>SOMETHING<<<.

> Most licensees were German, British, Indian,
> South African or American resident citizens.

Hmmm? Them license classes must not have been very effective.

> Guinea-Bissau had no resident radio amateurs much of the time. During
> my two years in Bissau, there was a Swedish op, Bengt Lundgren J52BLU in
> country for about four months. There was a DXpedition to the Bijagos
> Islands by an Italian group which lasted a matter of days. For the
> balance of my tour, I was the only licensed radio amateur in the country.

I wasn't the only licensed amateur in Korea, Guam, nor Somalia.

> > When the IARU came out against amateur radio
> > licensing code testing a year prior to WRC-03, that sent a
> > "message" (in effect) to other administrations' delegates, a
> > "set-up" for the future voting. The IARU had not yet been of a
> > consensus on S25 modernization the decade before WRC-03.
>
> It wasn't much of a message for most African countries delegates.

Too busy cashing in on the foreign aid packages, probably.

> > One problem of American radio amateurs is that they do NOT, as
> > a general rule, look any further than American ham radio
> > magazines for "news."
>
> You state that as a fact. It can only be an assumption on your part.
> The internet has made it very easy for radio amateurs to find other
> sources for news.

Excellent point! Please point me to the newsletter of the SLARS.
Please!

> > While the ITU has a number of easily-
> > downloadable files on regulatory information, most of it is

> > available only to "members" on a subscription basis (members
> > would be "recognized" administration delegations or delegates).
>
> So, Joe Average Ham wouldn't be likely to subscribe in order to obtain
> the material.

And SLARS members? They receive them via 1st class mail?

> > They don't much bother with the FCC freely-available information
> > even though the FCC is their government's radio regulatory
> > agency.
>
> There's another assumption on your part.

Hmmmm? There's a trend in your claiming that Len assumes too much.

> > News that does get down to the individual-licensee
> > level is thus rather "filtered" by intermediate parties.
>
> Filtered how, Len? Do you mean that only information of interest to
> radio amateurs is published, as a rule, in amateur radio magazines? Why
> would it be otherwise?

Nuts and Volts used to publish some amatuer material.

The Mother Earth News used to publish amateur mateiral.

Now most of it comes via just a few mouthpeices.

> > That
> > makes it very easy for them to NOT spend time looking for news
> > elsewhere and they get to play with their radios longer. :-)
>
> Do commercial ops and governmental ops have the same problem? Do they
> waste time and isn't it easy for them to cut down on the time they have
> to play with their radios? :-)

What? No trade mags for the pros?

> > It's also a ripe area for any group to do influence-control on
> > many without them realizing what is happening.
>
> I had a feeling that we'd get down to your intimating that there's some
> conspiracy to keep radio amateurs in the dark.
>
> Dave K8MN

No conspiracy. Most choose to be in the dark.

1539. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 22:56:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127256999.414166.227020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119365

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 20 Sep 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2367	396
Indeterminate (note 1)	144	35
Value for Percentages	2223	361
Against NPRM (note 2)	672 [30.23%]	118 [32.69%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1213 [54.57%]	179 [49.58%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	338 [15.20%]	64 [17.73%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1540. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 22:59:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127257149.612964.67380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119366

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> Doubtful. Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
> communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
> was invented for -wire- telegraphy. It has also been used extensively
> with optical and other types of communication. It has existed since
> before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
> radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
> radio. But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
> to use it.
>
> Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
> code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
> also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
> written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
> (from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
> the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?

Frank, I thought that you weren't a ham?

1541. Re: The view from VE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 23:02:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127255397.616873.253570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119367

garigue wrote:
> "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message
> news:dgkn3o$2q9$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
> >
> > Once upon a time in the Kingdom of Heaven, God went missing for six
> > days. Eventually, Michael the archangel found him, resting on the
> > seventh day. He inquired of God, "Where have you been?"
> >
> > God sighed a deep sigh of satisfaction and proudly pointed downwards
> > through the clouds, "Look Michael, look what I've made."
> >
> > Archangel Michael looked puzzled and said, "What is it?"
> >
> > "It's a planet," replied God, "and I've put LIFE on it. I'm going to
> > call it Earth and it's going to be a great place of balance."
> >
> > "Balance?" inquired Michael, still confused.
> >
> > God explained, pointing to different parts of Earth, "For example,
> > Northern Europe will be a place of great opportunity and wealth while
> > Southern Europe is going to be poor; the Middle East over there will be
> > a hot spot. Over there I've placed a continent of white people and
> > over there is a continent of black people," God continued, pointing to
> > different countries. This one will be extremely hot and arid while
> > this one will be very cold and covered in ice."
> >
> > The Archangel, impressed by Gods work, then pointed to a large land
> > mass in the top corner and asked, "What's that one?"
> >
> > "Ah," said God. "That's Canada, the most glorious place on Earth.
> > There's beautiful mountains, lakes, rivers, streams and an exquisite
> > coast-line. The people from Canada are going to be modest, intelligent
> > and humorous and they're going to be found traveling the world. They'll
> > be extremely sociable, hard-working and high-achieving, and they will
> > be known throughout the world as diplomats and carriers of peace. I'm
> > also going to give them super-human, undefeatable ice hockey players
> > who will be admired and feared by all who come across them."
> >
> > Michael gasped in wonder and admiration but then proclaimed. "What
> > about balance, God. You said there will be BALANCE!"
> >
> > God replied wisely. "Wait until you see the loud-mouth obnoxious
> > bastards I'm putting next to them."
> >

> Yep but say what you will ...I still like French Canadians ....
>
> 73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa

I tune in to Toronto at night (AM BCB). At least I think it's Toronto.
Maybe if they transmitted in CW I might at least catch their callsign.

We've got some good story-teller on RRAP. I wish Hans would work on a
BillyBeeper Saves The Big Easy.

1542. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 23:02:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127257358.416408.306050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119368

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> No argument. What's your point? That Morse code needs to have
> commercial sponsorship to exist?

"This dash brought to you by Viagra. It's 3 times longer than your
average dit,"

1543. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 23:05:39 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11j15ebt0r2d086@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119369

Does anybody know how to search the FCC database for this NPRM by submitter's name? I'd like to find mine and copy it directly into a new comment to make sure I'm on the record.

Jim

1544. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 23:22:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127258545.194469.160900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119370

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sep 18, 1:16 pm
> >Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >> From what I can see, Mr Anderson hates ham radio, children,
> >> women, and anyone younger than he is.
>
> [Wow! Kinda over-extended a bit aincha? :-)]

I love amatuer radio, children, women, and especially women younger
than I am.

> >His behavior here sure indicates that, but I think it's really much
> >simpler.
>
> It is. I am simply against morse code testing for a license.
>
> Said that years ago, still say that today.

Hey! Me too!

> >Len just likes to argue online. So he writes all kinds of
> >stuff full of insults, wisecracks, put-downs, errors, and
> >other nonsense in an attempt to get an argument going. Of
> >course an argument requires a disagreement, so he'll do
> >everything he can to be disagreeable.
>
> Definition: "Disagreeable" = anyone against code testing;
> "Disagreeable" = anyone not loving, honoring, obeying the
> heart and soul of amateur radio that is morse code;
> "Disagreeable" = anyone not agreeing with Jimmie, your
> one true voice of the "amateur community." :-)

Jim always likes to be right. He won't reply to anyone who's called
him on the carpet for making stupid statements.

> >In fact, he takes any disagreement with his views as a
> >personal insult.
>
> Not really. :-)

I take disagreements as simply another persons point of view.

No harm, no foul, i.e., no running around shouting "liar, liar, pants
on fiar" nonsense.

Sometimes I really wish I could be convinced by their viewpoints. For example, when Jim/N2EY said, "A Morse Code Exam would be a BARRIER to Morse Code Use," I jumped with joy, whole-heartedly agreeing with Jim. But then he dissed me because I've been claiming the same damned thing for a decade and he couldn't stand to be welcomed into the NCI Camp. For a brief moment, his lightbulb shined brighly, then it burned out.

> But, if all YOU can talk about is the PERSONALITIES of the
> communicators here, then stating so can also be a
> "disagreement." :-)

I agree.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Jimmie takes any disagreement with his opinions
> as an "insult" (true voices of the amateur community get like
> that) and starts in on "profiling" and "his comments are all in
> 'error' when others aren't "nice" to him.

He takes agreement even more seriously, especially when he hits a foul ball and finds himself in the NCI Camp.

> >The worst thing you can do is to prove
> >him factually wrong about something, or observe how
> >predictable his behavior here is.
>
> Tsk tsk tsk...Jimmie be even more predictable, no "profile"
> necessary. See "disagreement" definitions preceding.

So sad.

> >His behavior here can be predicted with very high accuracy
> >by reference to the profile I have posted. Watch - you'll
> >see examples of it. Of course pointing that out is
> >considered "character assassination" by him.
>
> There you have it! [character assassination in "profiling"]

Jim doesn't play well with others.

> >He's even gone so far as to try to get such arguments going in
> >ECFS, by posting the same sort of errors there as he posts here.
>
> The ECFS is open to everyone for Comments until 31 October
> and 14 November on WT Docket 05-235.
>
> You can even Comment on WT Docket 98-143 and have it "published"
> except that it won't matter; R&O 99-412 pretty much nulled those
> out. Still, if you insist, as some have up to June, 2005, you
> can "comment" and "correct those 'errors'" all you want.
>
> Jimmie is very judgemental on what constitutes an "error." In
> Jimmie's world, anyone not in agreement with him is "in error."
> Quod erat demonstrandum.

Makes smoke pour out of his ears.

> >The question is: why waste time on him, knowing his behavior?
>
> Because YOU CAN'T HELP YOURSELF! :-)
>
> That's utterly PREDICTABLE! :-)

He desperately hopes that someone will comment to you so that he can comment back to you indirectly through them. Dee is merely a surrogate in this case. Wonder how she feels being used as a surrogate?

> Been repeated here over and over and over and over again! :-)
>
> Now get busy with the FCC, Jimmie, MAKE them all get amateur
> radio licenses so they can "qualify" to regulate, mitigate,
> and enforce United States amateur radio! If they don't, then
> the FCC is "in error" and is "subject to profiling" by
> yourself!

Hi!

> Or...just roll with it. Show your superiority. Jeswald can't
> roll with it but has to get into personal insult thingy. Heil
> can't roll with it but has to get into the personal insult mode.
> Others, like Dudly the Imposter and the anony-mousies are truly
> into personal insult mode and can't talk on subjects. The
> problem you have is that the SUBJECTS are taken too subjectively,
> you believe in them too strongly on a personal level to be
> objective, cannot separate the two.

Indeed.

You just can't invalidate
> VALID arguments against your personal views without coming
> unglued and obsessively commenting on personalities.

...via a surrogate.

1545. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 23:29:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127258995.175709.125900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119371

KØHB wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/drbfk
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, the police, sherrif, fire, EMS, dog catcher, etc, can only
procure the systems offered to them by Motorola, GE, Vertex, Johnson,
etc.

1546. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-20 23:36:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127259369.994783.174860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119372

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> > How we gonna connect the nation at 700 MHz?
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> The article had nothing to do with "connecting the nation". It has to do with
> regional interoperability, the very thing that Katrina aftermath found largely
> inadequate.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, as a retired CPO, you know as well as anybody else that
interoperability of any service is a problem. Recall Grenada where a
grunt used his Sprint calling card on a commercial line to call the
Pentagon and request an Air Force air-strike on a target.

Good grief! It's not just about grunts dying anymore.

You'd think after 09/11/2001 we'd have fast-tracked this stuff!

1547. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 00:31:03 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4330a9c8$0$22199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119373
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:
>
> Nowhere in The Bible does it say anything about a man finding
> it difficult to go through the eye of the needle. The "eye of
> the needle" gate was designed to allow men through, even rich
> ones, and keep camels out. The difficult thing for men was to
> enter the Kingdom of God, not get through the "eye of the
> needle" gate.

I just love to read superstition discussions. It helps me understand
why we really haven't gone anywhere except with the technical side of
civilization.

tom
K0TAR

1548. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 00:35:29 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4330aad1$0$22199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119374
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ham op wrote:

> Matt wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>
>> This thread has degenerated into religious shit fighting. It has
>> absolutely
>> sweet fuck all to do with any of the NGs that it has been posted to- how
>> about we either revert to the original topic, close this thread down, or
>> move it to a religious discussion group where I feel that it would be far
>> more appropriate. Please?
>>
>>
>> Matt
>>
>>
>
> Your point is valid. Your language needs serious improvement!
>

Actually, his language was perfectly appropriate.

tom
K0TAR

1549. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 02:28:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127269720.921519.251270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why, it's the truth, if he goes on the air without the license the FCC will file a paper in the courts to shut him down and then he can challenge the FCC in the courts.

Todd N9OGL

1550. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 02:38:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dI3Ye.1068$zQ3.909@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119376

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote

> Does anybody know how to search the FCC database for this NPRM by submitter's
> name?

1) Send your browser to http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/comsrch_v2.cgi

2) In block 1 identify the proceeding (05-235)

3) In block 4 enter "Jim Weir"

4) Click "Retrieve Document List"

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1551. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 02:46:52 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127270812.117785.286190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119377

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 20, 3:51 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun 18 Sep 2005 07:19
>> >>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>> >>>From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 17, 8:07 am

>> > The IARU as a collective body is larger than the ARRL and their
>> > opinion-influence on the voting delegates is stronger than the
>> > ARRL's influence.
>
>> The ARRL began the IARU and the IARU permanent headquarters is at
>> Newington.
>
>Kind of like the Radio League of Nations!

Almost...IARU was formed in 1925 (according to them...but what
do they know?).

President Wilson helped push for the League of Nations.

>> Most IARU member societies are very, very small. They don't
>> have many members and they don't have much money. The IARU HQ
>> frequently donates money so that third world delegates may attend.
>
>Kind of like the League of Nations!

Wow! "Very, very small." Like the RSGB, the JARL, the
organizations of Germany, Australia, New Zealand...all "very,
very small" countries.

Tsk, tsk, for an ex-State Department person, Heil sure doesn't
get lavish on diplomacy...

>> In the past, one of these was Cassandra Davies 9L1YL, President of SLARS
>> (Sierra Leone Amateur Radio Society), also a licensing official at SLET,
>> the Sierra Leonian PTT. Many SLARS members were non-Sierra Leonian.
>> Average meeting attendance was between fifteen to twenty radio amateurs.
>
>Kind of like the Marianas Amateur Radio Club, MARC. Ask Jim about it
>sometime.

That's Jim Kehler, KH2D, to readers who weren't here years ago.

But, I wasn't aware that Side-Looking Airborne Radar Systems
(SLARS) were anything but a hard-point attached accessory...

>> In Botswana, no natives of Botswana were BARS members.
>
>Oh, my! Sounds elitist.

Could be a religious-ethical thing...no hanging out in BARS.

>> There were no indigenous radio amateurs in Botswana despite
>> yearly BARS classes in theory, regs and morse.
>
>Oh, my! Sounds like institutionalized >>>SOMETHING<<<.
>
>> Most licensees were German, British, Indian,
>> South African or American resident citizens.

They could have formed EARS...Embassy Amateur Radio Society.

>Hmmm? Them license classes must not have been very effective.

Nor the society...nobody asked "ya got yer ears ON?"

>> Guinea-Bissau had no resident radio amateurs much of the time. During
>> my two years in Bissau, there was a Swedish op, Bengt Lundgren J52BLU in
>> country for about four months. There was a DXpedition to the Bijagos
>> Islands by an Italian group which lasted a matter of days. For the
>> balance of my tour, I was the only licensed radio amateur in the country.
>
>I wasn't the only licensed amateur in Korea, Guam, nor Somalia.

Heil wanted EXCLUSIVITY. :-)

The major export of the country of Guinea-Bisseau is Cashews.
That's nuts.

>> > When the IARU came out against amateur radio
>> > licensing code testing a year prior to WRC-03, that sent a
>> > "message" (in effect) to other administrations' delegates, a
>> > "set-up" for the future voting. The IARU had not yet been of a
>> > consensus on S25 modernization the decade before WRC-03.
>
>> It wasn't much of a message for most African countries delegates.
>
>Too busy cashing in on the foreign aid packages, probably.

...or loading up outgoing ships with cashews. That's nuts.

>> You state that as a fact. It can only be an assumption on your part.
>> The internet has made it very easy for radio amateurs to find other
>> sources for news.
>
>Excellent point! Please point me to the newsletter of the SLARS.
>Please!

>> So, Joe Average Ham wouldn't be likely to subscribe in order to obtain
>> the material.
>

>And SLARS members? They receive them via 1st class mail?

>> > They don't much bother with the FCC freely-available information
>> > even though the FCC is their government's radio regulatory
>> > agency.
>
>> There's another assumption on your part.
>
>Hmmmm? There's a trend in your claiming that Len assumes too much.

Yeah..."non-participants" aren't supposed to know anything. :-)

>> > News that does get down to the individual-licensee
>> > level is thus rather "filtered" by intermediate parties.
>
>> Filtered how, Len? Do you mean that only information of interest to
>> radio amateurs is published, as a rule, in amateur radio magazines? Why
>> would it be otherwise?
>
>Nuts and Volts used to publish some amatuer material.
>
>The Mother Earth News used to publish amateur mateiral.
>
>Now most of it comes via just a few mouthpeices.

Actually it comes from a HANDFUL of EDITORS (and their publishers)
who do the deciding. Always has. ARRL has complete control over
the output of its own media. Always has.

If those few editors and their Associates put the words together
in the right way, they will CONVINCE the readership that they
are getting "all" the news.

Heil just doesn't get it...even at his advanced age...

>> Do commercial ops and governmental ops have the same problem? Do they
>> waste time and isn't it easy for them to cut down on the time they have
>> to play with their radios? :-)
>
>What? No trade mags for the pros?

Actually there are quite a few "controlled subscription" trade
magazines (free subscription to those IN the industry). Heil
doesn't understand that professionals in radio work in NON-hobby
activity...for money. Maybe State plays with radios on the job?

I'm not sure if the NAB (National Association of Broadcasters)
has free periodicals...maybe they do to Members. Radio and TV
broadcasting only SEEMS like "playing around" to NON-pros.

>> > It's also a ripe area for any group to do influence-control on
>> > many without them realizing what is happening.
>>

>> I had a feeling that we'd get down to your intimating that there's some
>> conspiracy to keep radio amateurs in the dark.
>
>No conspiracy. Most choose to be in the dark.

It's the effect of the Darkness-Emitting Diode (DED) used by
morsemen to show the state of their keying. Morse = DED. :-)

[Heil doesn't have a sense of humor so the above is wasted on him]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1552. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 02:47:52 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127271026_15855@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119378
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:
> I just love to read superstition discussions. It helps me understand
> why we really haven't gone anywhere except with the technical side of
> civilization.

If the "Kingdom of God" doesn't actually exist in reality,
it was an especially logical statement, eh? :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1553. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 02:52:57 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127271177.698670.54930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119379

From: K□B on Sep 20, 7:38 pm

>"RST Engineering" <j...@rstengineering.com> wrote
>
>> Does anybody know how to search the FCC database for this NPRM by submitter's
>> name?
>
>1) Send your browser to http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/comsrch_v2.cgi
>
>2) In block 1 identify the proceeding (05-235)
>
>3) In block 4 enter "Jim Weir"
>
>4) Click "Retrieve Document List"

Right on! [leave the date blocks blank]

Search can also be done by surname only. [try Garcell for
someone who got too anxious...repeatedly...or Sparks]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1554. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 02:57:23 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127271443.195835.320110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119380
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: K□B on Sep 15, 12:09 pm

>How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to be a
>member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
>I want to?)?

If you want to have tailor-made replies, put your thread
through the eye of the needle...or you get needled.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1555. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 03:13:23 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4330cfd4$0$22205$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119381
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

> Tom Ring wrote:
>
>> I just love to read superstition discussions. It helps me understand
>> why we really haven't gone anywhere except with the technical side of
>> civilization.
>
>
> If the "Kingdom of God" doesn't actually exist in reality,
> it was an especially logical statement, eh? :-)

The amazing thing is that all these very smart people actually believe
this stuff.

tom
K0TAR

1556. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 03:50:39 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11j1m569peve9e6@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119382
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So True Tom.....

Been there...done that!

--

Charlie

"Tom Ring" <news0002@taring.org> wrote in message
news:4330a9c8$0$22199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>> Nowhere in The Bible does it say anything about a man finding
>> it difficult to go through the eye of the needle. The "eye of
>> the needle" gate was designed to allow men through, even rich
>> ones, and keep camels out. The difficult thing for men was to
>> enter the Kingdom of God, not get through the "eye of the
>> needle" gate.
>
> I just love to read superstition discussions. It helps me understand why
> we really haven't gone anywhere except with the technical side of
> civilization.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

1557. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 04:03:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127275555_19265@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119383
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:
> The amazing thing is that all these very smart people actually believe
> this stuff.

Even with our DNA being 96% identical to a chimp's?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1558. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 04:40:32 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96D877A25D50elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 119384

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1127067136.415131.129460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat 17 Sep 2005 22:29
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>
>>> No "Alun L. Palmer" Lennie the loser is transfixed on the anti-CW
>>> testing campaign. He can not carry on a discussion that has NOTHING
>>> to do with CW or testing without out bringing it into the discussion.
>>>
>>> Get it now?
>>
>>Why put my name in quotes? Plug it into the FCC database and it will
>>come back with N3KIP, and show you that I am an Extra. Do you think I'm
>>someone else?
>
> Jeswald wants all to be identified by their "tribal name" (the
> callsign in a ham radio group). When the "tribe" gathers, all
> must stay within the "tribal rules." :-)
>
>>I[f] Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he really
>>wants a ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.
>
> "Transfixed?" No. Just terribly, terribly PERSISTENT. :-)
>
> Considering that I've been involved with communications (of many
> kinds, not just radio) for a half-century plus, and starting out
> with full exposure to HF radio communications at a professional
> level, the METHODS of communications are more important to me than
> the ABILITY for personal communications. Radiotelegraphy was the
> very first - and ONLY possible way - to communicate by radio.
> That was a mere 109 years ago, before all of electronics had
> rather revolutionized our society, before the vacuum tube was
> invented, well before the transistor was invented.
>
> Telegraphy itself is 161 years old. It had become mature at
> 52 years when the first radio communication was demonstrated.
> It is primitive, simplistic in method, very slow compared to
> normal human speech, prone to human error at either end of a
> radio circuit, and requires radiotelegraphy specialists at
> both ends in order to communicate written words. Its efficacy
> is largely fantasy, an artificiality promoted by much-earlier
> radiotelegraphers using their own abilities as role models for

> all others to follow. Radiotelegraphy's last stand in radio is
> AMATEUR radio license testing; all other radio services have
> given up on using radiotelegraphy for communications. [the
> largest use of radiotelegraphy is the long pulse code of the
> keyless auto entry "fob" transmitter, but that is for control,
> not communications and does not use the Morse-Vail coding]
> Modernization should be the order of the day, not the odor of
> antiquity.
>
> The "necessity" of testing for morse code cognition to operate
> any radio transmitter at 30 MHz or below is an old artificiality
> of the mind, abandoned by all other radio services, technically
> invalid, kept alive only by the egos and fantasies and
> conditioned thinking of those needing something, some ability
> to be "better than average." It is out of date, out of time,
> out of steam, and out to lunch.
>
> Do "I" want a ham license? Yes and no. :-) I've had a
> commercial license since '56, tested for it at a real FCC field
> office (not a COLEM), had experience in operating HF, VHF, UHF,
> microwave radios prior to that, more afterwards including LF,
> VLF and microwaves on up to 4mm wavelengths. I've retired from
> a career in radio-electronics design engineering (but only for
> regular hours). I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
> since 1947, something on-going. I don't really NEED an amateur
> license to fulfill my Life's Ambition. But other licensees
> DEMAND that I get one in order to comment on regulations
> (contrary to what the U.S. Constitution says). Maybe I "should"
> get one? :-) "Tribal rules," ey what? :-)
>
> dit dit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

Wait until the code test is abolished, and then get your Extra. That will
annoy them to the maximum extent!

1559. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 04:48:06 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96D88BFF17B2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 119385

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
news:6ut0j1lllres7atduss9uameqc03t2816d@4ax.com:

```
> On 20 Sep 2005 13:00:25 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> <1127246425.053573.206230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>From: Frank Gilliland on Sep 20, 4:09 am
>>
>>>On 19 Sep 2005 17:28:11 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>
>>>> Show us by documented fact that morse code manual radiotelegraphy
>>>> is IN USE by radio services other than amateur radio TODAY.
>>>
>>>Morse is required for the Public Mobile Services (Part 22), the
>>>International Fixed Public Radiocommunication Services (Part 23),
>>>satellite uplinks (ATIS, Part 25), and other services including
>>>Experimental, Special Broadcast, etc. Morse is also a requirement for
>>>a Commercial Radio Operators License (Part 13).
>>
>> "IN USE," Frank. :-)
>>
>> I do not find any reference to manual morse code radiotelegraphy
>> in Part 22, Public Mobile Radio Service. "Codes" are stated, but
>> those are NOT "morse codes."
>
>
> Sec. 22.313(c): "Station identification must be transmitted by
> telephony using the English language or by telegraphy using the
> international Morse code....."
>
>
>> Part 23, International Fixed Public Radio Service, does have
>> reference to manual morse code (International variety, same as
>> defined for amateur radio) IF and only IF the transmitter license is
>> specifically designated as "radiotelegraph" with that emission.
>
>
> It's used for both radiotelegraph stations -and- radiotelephone
> stations (Sec. 23.37(d)(i) & (iii), respectively).
>
```

>
>> I do not find any specific reference to manual morse code in
>> Part 25, Satellite Communications Radio Service. Where is the
>> requirement for a commercial radiotelegrapher license there?
>
>
> Morse code is used for ATIS so the receiver doesn't have to be
> automated like the transmitter.
>
>
>> Note: Satellite Communications allocated bands are all in the
>> microwave region and that is highly unlikely to be used with manual
>> on-off keying of a transmitter.
>
>
> I did mention that a communication requires both a sender -AND- a
> receiver, did I not?
>
>
>> Part 13 defines ALL the Commercial Radio Operator licenses and
>> is not a radio service per se. Radiotelegraph (Commercial)
>> licenses require specific skill levels tested for each of three
>> classes. Radiotelephone (Commercial) and GMDSS operator licenses do
>> NOT require manual morse code skill testing.
>
>
> Nevertheless, what you are suggesting is that a radiotelegraph
> operator's certificate is usable only on the ham bands. It isn't.
> Morse is used in maritime and other commercial radio services.
>
>
>> Yes, there are automatic morse code keyers in use in various
>> radio services still, such as in Aviation Radio Service, about
>> as many as there were such stations a half century ago. As such
>> they are satisfying very OLD regulatory requirements and have all
>> the usefulness of teats on a boar hog. Those keep on working
>> because they are simple repetitive appliances to a transmitter, no
>> different (but less complex) than a "fox test" generator for TTY.
>> Pilots of aircraft don't "identify" VOR radionavigation transmitters
>> by morse code in normal use, they simply dial up the channel as
>> shown on their aeronautical charts and the ground station is either
>> there or not there; frequency/channel assignments have been done to
>> prevent interference with other ground radionavigation
>> frequencies/channels even at the extreme distances possible with
>> high altitude flying.
>>
>> I wrote "IN USE" in all captitals on purpose. Where, other
>> than on the Great Lakes in maritime service, is morse code USED for
>> communications in the United States?
>

>
> I take it you don't have a scanner. If you did, and listened to many
> of the VHF PSP freqs you would occassionally hear a brief automated
> station ID in Morse, as required by law. It's also used quite a bit in
> maritime service since Morse has developed into a universal language.
>
> The point is that Morse -IS USED- in other services besides Amateur
> radio. That's a fact, and it's sufficient to fulfill your request.
>
>
>>>I should also point out that every communication needs a sender, a
>>>receiver, and a message. Although manual Morse may not be -required-
>>>to send or receive the message, Morse is used so the communication
>>>-can- be done manually by either the sender or receiver (or both)
>>>should the automatic systems fail.
>>
>> The ORIGINAL morse code was all numbers and "recorded" by an
>> ink pen on paper. No hearing was required. Morse's financial
>> backer, Alfred Vail, is said to have suggested the addition of
>> letters and punctuation plus making shorter code characters
>> in line with printers' type case arrangements.
>>
>> The early WIRED telegraph systems primarily used MANUAL
>> transmission and reception. Very long distance services, such
>> as by undersea cable, used recorded transmission and reception
>> primarily to increase throughput, allowing brief breaks for
>> operators to answer more important calls of nature.
>>
>> Punched paper tape was in use for TTY by 1904. That year
>> marks the first recorded instance of demonstration of an
>> Exclusive-OR "scrambling" of one clear-text message with a
>> "keying" tape (duplicate at the receiving end) for
>> encryption by non-crypto-specialist operators. P-tape has
>> been standard on TTY and RTTY message communications for
>> well over a half century. It is quick, convenient, and one
>> TTY operator could tend a dozen P-tape TTY machines in
>> continuous duty. Electromechanical teleprinters are on
>> the way to extinction, replaced by better, faster all- electronic
>> message means.
>
>
> Thanks for the history lesson. But my point was that learning Morse
> isn't always for the purpose of tapping out a message on the key. Any
> message sent by Morse, automated or not, has to be understood by the
> receiver of the message. Since Morse decryption technology is decades
> behind automated Morse-sending gadetry, it is well advised for anyone
> intending to receive such a message to learn the code -regardless- of
> whether he ever intends to send one.
>
>

>>>>>The fact is, morse is very much alive within amateur radio.
>>>
>>>> It has AGED. It will eventually become terminal.
>>>
>>>Doubtful.
>>
>> It WILL eventually become terminal. The last mighty macho
>> morseman will have the last morse code key pried out of
>> cold, dead fingers. It will wind up as exhibits in a
>> museum, those exhibits already in progress.
>>
>>> Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
>>>communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
>>>was invented for -wire- telegraphy.
>>
>> I've been reminding folks of that for years. 1844 is the year
>> the first Morse-Vail Telegraph system went into operation.
>> COMMERCIAL (i.e., professional, service for money) I might add.
>>
>>> It has also been used extensively with optical and other types of
>>> communication.
>>
>> Not "extensively" except in maritime talk-between-ships by light
>> blinker.
>
>
> Ground-pounders have used Morse code for decades -- that's why there's
> a momentary pushbutton on so many flashlights.
>
>
>> Signal flags are traditional in navies but
>> those are not "morse code." Two-flag (two-torch at night)
>> manual semaphore was used in the U.S. Army prior to our
>> Civil War; the torch over crossed signal flags is still the
>> collar insignia of the Army Signal Corps. However, that was
>> NOT by "morse code" but by position of the semaphore
>> indicators relative to the operator's body to denote the
>> various characters.
>>
>> Various forms of semaphore signaling, even to construction of
>> networks for same, was done for over a century PRIOR to the
>> first wired telegraphy systems. NONE of those used "morse code"
>> anywhere close to what morse code is today.
>
>
> Thanks for the second history lesson. But who suggested semaphore was
> the same as Morse code?
>
>
>> Native American Indian smoke signals did NOT use "morse code."

>
>
> Again, who suggested any such thing?
>
>
>> The electronic "remote control" generally uses a pulse train
>> code to control a variety of electronics using infra-red
>> "carrier" or an RF carrier. That is by on-off keying but
>> such keying has NEVER used any "morse code." On-off keying of a
>> carrier is a supply-economic for battery-powered remotes.
>
>
> ......and this is going where?
>
>
>>> It has existed since
>>>before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
>>>radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
>>>radio.
>>
>> "Morse code" predated the first demonstration of radio as a
>> communications medium by 52 years of USE.
>>
>> There are NO working morse code telegraph circuits in the
>> United States in continuous communications service. NONE.
>> It has been an internal practice in commercial communications
>> to denote teleprinter services as "telegraph" for over a half
>> century, leading some to presume that such "telegraph" services
>> still "use morse code modes." They do not.
>
>
> No argument. What's your point? That Morse code needs to have
> commercial sponsorship to exist?
>
>
>>>But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue to use
>>>it.
>>
>> Yes, and riding horses is still done in equestrian pursuits (but not
>> as an everyday transport),
>
>
> I think the Amish would disagree with you, as would a lot of country
> folk here in the West.
>
>
>> smoothbore musketry and
>> bows-arrows are still used for hunting (but not as a regular
>> means of killing game), and parks still have real steam train
>> rides (but not for regular passenger conveyance). Until the

>> electronic terminals became economic, the electromechanical
>> teleprinter systems carried the vast majority of message
>> communications for over a half century...for telegrams, for
>> government, military, business, commerce, and private
>> communications.
>>
>> Some hobbyists still insist on using vacuum tube circuitry
>> for low-power (relatively speaking) uses in radio, despite
>> the proven fact that solid-state circuit design results in
>> lower power demand, smaller physical size, more economy, and
>> generally superior performance.
>
>
> Yet fire and the wheel are still quite popular. Go figure.
>
>
>>>Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
>>>code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>>>also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>>>written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
>>>(from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
>>>the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?
>>
>> On the contrary, the common PCTA expression is that the Pool
>> of published questions has "dumbed down" amateur radio license
>> examinations to an absurd level. [Pools exist for both VEC and
>> COLEM]
>
>
> I haven't seen this year's COLEM question pool. Got a link?
>
>
>> They illogically connect dropping of the code test
>> with "dropping of the written test" by direct statement or by
>> infrerence.
>
>
> I have done no such thing. Maybe you missed my position the first six
> times I stated it -- I really don't care about the code test. The only
> reason I defend it is because it's so much easier to learn the code
> and pass the test than to bitch and moan about it year after year
> after year.
>
>
>> They also forget that the VEC Question Pool
>> Committee devises ALL the Pool questions and answers, said VEC
>> being composed of licensed radio amateurs. The FCC now
>> specifies only a minimum number of questions per test element, does
>> not differentiate to minimum number per kind of question. There has
>> been a virtual enormous quantity of bitching/moaning about the

>> allegedly "dumbed-down" written test elements, some bitching/moaning
>> at a vitriolic level.
>
>
> All I read in this newsgroup is bitching and moaning (from both sides)
> about the code requirement. In which newsgroup is all the bitching and
> moaning about the written test occuring?
>
>
>> The current hot topic in United States amateur radio policy
>> is NPRM 05-143 on the elimination/retention of the morse code
>> test. That NPRM does NOT state that written test elements will be
>> changed. Focus, please.
>
>
> I changed the focus. There are plenty of other threads that focus on
> your preferred topic. If you don't like the topic that I have chosen
> to address then don't reply.
>
>
>> If you wish to Petition the FCC to change this alleged "dumb-
>> down" issue, feel free. The FCC even explains the procedure
>> for Petitioning in their regulations, Title 47 Code of Federal
>> Regulations. Meanwhile, contact the VEC QPC for changes to the
>> present-day multiple-choice public question-answer Pool.
>
>
> I'm familiar with the petition process, thanks. And likewise, if you
> don't like any discussion of the written test requirements (which I
> believe falls within the category of 'policy') then feel free to
> petition the appropriate authority.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----
>

Morse code was originally intended to be a machine system, but hand sent
Morse is too irregular. Machine sent Morse could be read by machine long
ago and is certainly no problem now.

1560. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 04:59:21 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96D8AA9911E8elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 119386

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
news:_blXe.82$QE1.33@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96D5FB201F7Eelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> news:at0Xe.13378$_84.5470@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> No "Alun L. Palmer" Lennie the loser is transfixed on the anti-CW
>>> testing campaign. He can not carry on a discussion that has NOTHING
>>> to do with CW or testing without out bringing it into the discussion.
>>>
>>> Get it now?
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns96D471C89F36elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>>> news:1126905033.027745.73990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>> From: Michael Coslo on Sep 16, 9:44 am
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>>>>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>>>From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 13, 1:25 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>More BS from the non ham Lennie the loser. <plonk>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Per SOP ignore any data insult any oposition Boring Dan realy
>>>>>>>>>>>getting boring
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>As usual A-O-F you got it wrong. My problem with Lennie is he
>>>>>>>>>>>simply can't stay on subject. Spins everything that is said.
>>>>>>>>>>>And his one track mind of anti-CW and basically anti-ham rhetoric
>>>>>>>>>>>gets tired quickly. So I have decided to <plonk> him. He is not
>>>>>>>>>>>relevant to a serious discussion in this group, since he is not
>>>>>>>>>>>a member of the society. Dig it?
>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>> Aagin SoP ranting form you, anyone different knows nothing of
>>>>>>> value
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Boring Dan Boring
>>>>>>
>>>>>> He has a point, Mark. There are people in this group who I
>>>>>> don't
>>>>>>regularly post to. There is a fringe element that seems to be
>>>>>>really concerned with each others sexual habits, there is a group
>>>>>>of Ham-baiters, and there are those who simply hate Amateurs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> All my exchanges with him have become drearily predictable
>>>>>> and not very interesting, at least to me.
>>>>>
>>>>> Poor baby. "Sore loser-ism" displayed for all to see. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> The whines have been pressed from grapes of morsemen's wrath!
>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't need the non-sequitars, the name calling, or the constant
>>>>>> attempts to steer most every thread to CW testing.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Non sequitur." [from the Latin]
>>>>>
>>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Coslo wishes to be "correct" in any discussion
>>>>> or argument? Not possible in an OPEN forum when his discussions
>>>>> and arguments are NOT winning/correct/valid or on the subject of
>>>>> amateur radio.
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: There exist OTHER forums for discussion of religion and
>>>>> general moral-ethical behavior. Those do not involve amateur
>>>>> radio per se, though, so it is best NOT to whine and carry on
>>>>> about losing discussions and arguments by spouting "you hate
>>>>> hams!"
>>>>>
>>>>>> Mr. Anderson simply hates Hams.
>>>>>
>>>>> Incorrect. By so stating an incorrect falsehood, you create, in
>>>>> effect, a mild sort of character assassination which is not at
>>>>> all civil or mannerly.
>>>>>
>>>>> If you cannot stand to have your statements rebutted, talked
>>>>> against, or shown to be invalid or incorrect, then you have NO
>>>>> validity in engaging in uncivil character assassination by
>>>>> hurling falsehoods or even personal insults.
>>>>>
>>>>>> That is okay, no one has to like Hams, me, or chunk light tuna.
>>>>>
>>>>> This newsgroup was NOT created to "like Michael Coslo" or to
>>>>> discuss various forms of comestible fish or meat.
>>>>>

>>>>> If you cannot stand the heat of debate or strong discussion,
>>>>> this newsgroup is NOT for you.
>>>>>
>>>>>> So I seldom bother to reply. No point to it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yet you engage in uncivil character assassination, being the
>>>>> hypocrite to your statement of saying "no point to it."
>>>>>
>>>>> Obviously you HAVE a "point." That is to personally insult
>>>>> those who disagree with you, such as saying "I hate hams!"
>>>>> I do not. Disagreement with you or anyone else on amateur
>>>>> radio policy is NOT "hating hams." Disagreement with certain
>>>>> policies expressed by the ARRL is NOT "hating hams."
>>>>>
>>>>> You seem to forget (conveniently) that I've been IN radio and
>>>>> electronics for a long time, first as a hobbyist, then as a
>>>>> radio operator and maintainer in the United States military.
>>>>> That military experience was enlightening and interesting
>>>>> enough to me to change my working career goal from industrial
>>>>> illustration to electronics engineering. That became my
>>>>> career and I've retired from regular hours at that. Radio
>>>>> and electronics hobby interests continue with me still, begun in
>>>>> 1947 and still with me 58 years later.
>>>>>
>>>>> Not having as much exposure to other forms of radio
>>>>> communication, certainly not for as long as I, you consider
>>>>> "radio" as being ONLY that which you are familiar with:
>>>>> Amateur radio, CB, cellular telephony. RADIO is far larger
>>>>> than that. Amateur radio is a small subset of the larger
>>>>> world of ALL radio communication. Radio amateurs can
>>>>> benefit by learning more about other forms of radio
>>>>> communication since all the physical principles are the
>>>>> same. You get bogged down on expressing your views almost
>>>>> entirely from the standards and practices of amateur radio
>>>>> as you know it. That is short-sighted and detrimental to
>>>>> overall policy - the adminstrative regulations imposed by
>>>>> authority of government law.
>>>>>
>>>>> At present, in terms of amateur radio policy, there is only
>>>>> ONE MAJOR topic before the Federal Communications Commission:
>>>>> NPRM 05-143 on the elimination or retention of the morse code
>>>>> test. Elimination of the morse code test threatens the
>>>>> traditional, mind-conditioned "soul" of many radio amateurs.
>>>>> Elimination of the code test will prove to be of much larger
>>>>> impact on the future of United States amateur radio than
>>>>> did the "restructuring" of mid-2000. That impact will be
>>>>> far longer than dozens of future hurricane disasters, far
>>>>> more reaching than some creation of "classes" of licenses
>>>>> that give status and prestige to certain radio amateurs. It
>>>>> spells "the end of ham radio" to some who are unable to

>>>>> change, unable to accept anything but their own comfortable
>>>>> fantasy of the "amateur community." That traditionalists
>>>>> refuse to recognize change is not my problem, not a
>>>>> requirement that I toady to those self-professed "experts of
>>>>> radio" by giving gratuitous praise on their mighty self-
>>>>> stated accomplishments. CHANGE has happened to ALL OTHER
>>>>> radio services. No God has divined that amateur radio
>>>>> refuse to change nor has the Divine Being blessed all those
>>>>> of "higher" classes wisdom and judgement because they've
>>>>> met older artificial standards imposed by older amateurs.
>>>>>
>>>>> In my career work I've seen tremendous change in as many
>>>>> forms of electronics and radio as I've been fortunate to
>>>>> experience (a great deal many). Nowhere have I experienced
>>>>> as hidebound and stubborn refusal of so many to accept
>>>>> change in amateur radio...and to blatantly insult the person
>>>>> of those seeking change, seeking modernization. Some in
>>>>> amateur radio seem to be the living embodiment of ultra-uber-
>>>>> conservatism. For an avocational activity that is NOT vital
>>>>> to the nation. Amateur radio is basically a hobby, a
>>>>> personal activity involving radio, a fun recreation but one
>>>>> that requires federal regulation due to the physical nature
>>>>> of electromagnetic radiation. If you think that amateur
>>>>> radio is "more" than that, you are mistaken and are living
>>>>> in an idealized but fantasy concept of an avocational
>>>>> pursuit. Not my problem. It is yours. It is Jeswald's.
>>>>> It is all those who think they "own" amateur radio as it
>>>>> is now.
>>>>>
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I'll admit that Len can be irritating at times, but this accusation
>>>> that he hates radio hams is nonsensical. I've never seen any
>>>> evidence of that.
>>>>
>>>> I also agree with his post that dropping the Morse test is THE big
>>>> issue, more important than any restructuring, etc. I have taught ham
>>>> radio classes, and IME the biggest factor in whether people succeed
>>>> in the theory tests is whether they are genuinely interested in
>>>> radio. If they just want to chat and aren't into radio as a medium,
>>>> there's always CB. OTOH, it's absolutely possible to be totally
>>>> radio obsessed and yet not give a fig for Sam Morse and his silly
>>>> old bleeping noises. This is why it's a big issue.
>>>>
>>>> If CW had been on the ITU agenda back in '93, which it was supposed
>>>> to be, s25 would have been amended back then, and we could have seen
>>>> an explosion in our numbers before the Internet really caught on. As
>>>> it is, ham radio is as old as yesterday's newspaper. In short, it's

>>>> probably too late to get a major boost in numbers, even if we gave
>>>> the licences away, which abolishing the code test certainly doesn't
>>>> do (and no, I'm not proposing we make the theory easier).
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Why put my name in quotes? Plug it into the FCC database and it will
>> come back with N3KIP, and show you that I am an Extra. Do you think
>> I'm someone else?
>>
>> I Len is transfixed on this issue, I suspect it's because he really
>> wants a ham licence, despite his protestations to the contrary.
>
> With all the Unknown Flying Objects it is hard to tell who is real and
> not Alun.
>
> Of course Lennie wants a ham license. But he has now blustered and
> BSed his way into a corner and can't find a way out.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

AFAIK there is only one Alun Palmer with a ham radio licence anywhere in the world, so you can get my call directly from my name, provided you are careful with the spelling. You could find more than one call in more than one country if you try hard enough, but they are still just me.

I think Len may get a ham licence, but we will have to wait and see. It's plain he's after HF though.

1561. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 05:30:17 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <pbadna1SLLBncq3eRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 119387
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

>> The amazing thing is that all these very smart people actually believe
>> this stuff.
>
>
> Even with our DNA being 96% identical to a chimp's?

I don't understand why people continue to be surprised that we share 96%
of our DNA with Bush.

1562. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 05:43:07 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127281387.104925.250140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119388

From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 20, 9:40 pm

>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in

<snip>

>Wait until the code test is abolished, and then get your Extra. That will
>annoy them to the maximum extent!

Nah, I'm keeping them GUESSING right now...annoys the snit out of
them!

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1563. News:Gothic Singles Photos!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 06:02:09 UTC
From: sexybabe660@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127282529.384960.80060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119389

Check out our large photo gallery for gothic and their admirers. Still looking for that special someone?

http://www.aboutme.com/gothicclub/gothicmodelsuck.htm

1564. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 06:04:52 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1127282692.700415.254380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119390

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 20 Sep 2005 15:35

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 16, 4:47 pm
>> >"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> >>> See what we mean? He just can't stay on subject. Always brings in Morse
>> >>> code "Morsemen", same same all the time. Then he gets into his
>> >>> "Military career". Predictable as a Sun set.
>> >
>> >> Right, so what is the point, eh?
>> >
>> >I can't think of a one actually Mike.
>>
>> Little pointy heads aren't good for thinking.
>
>Len, Len, Len, why must you antagonize those of lower intelligence?

It's my "style," Brian. :-)

You are right. I should be nicer. "Ya jes' cain't fix stupid!"

Ain't no hope they get smarter...

:-)

>Just because the express purpose of RRAP is to dis-cuss Morse Code
>Policy is no reason to actually dis-cuss Morse Code Policy. Get with
>it, OM!

Understood! I'm dragging out my theology texts and foreign
policy tracts and my camel's hair coat (to see if it can go
through the eye of a needle...not easily...has to be
disassembled).

Hard to keep up with these Eclectic Morsemen...all trying to talk
about ANYTHING but the morse code test! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1565. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 06:15:44 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <s7u1j19k809urlo9bdqs79phlnlpavieuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 119391
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 22:30:17 -0700, Zoran Brlecic
<...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:

>I don't understand why people continue to be surprised that we share 96%
>of our DNA with Bush.
The poster boy for untelligent design.

Years hence the "Republicans" will blame Clinton for him too. :-)

1566. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 07:27:09 UTC
From: Roger Dodger <TwickeyWoger@nospam.ut>
Message-ID: <dgr1te$42r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119392

Darned if the Big Wind didn't strike again!
Nowhere else in these groups does one man talk so much while saying so little.

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1127271443.195835.320110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: K?B on Sep 15, 12:09 pm

>How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to be a
>member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why would
>I want to?)?

If you want to have tailor-made replies, put your thread
through the eye of the needle...or you get needled.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1567. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 07:40:57 UTC
From: Roger Dodger <TwickeyWoger@nospam.ut>
Message-ID: <dgr2nb$799$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119393

>> > It's also a ripe area for any group to do influence-control on
>> > many without them realizing what is happening.
>>
>> I had a feeling that we'd get down to your intimating that there's some
>> conspiracy to keep radio amateurs in the dark.
>
>No conspiracy. Most choose to be in the dark.

It's the effect of the Darkness-Emitting Diode (DED) used by
morsemen to show the state of their keying. Morse = DED. :-)

[Heil doesn't have a sense of humor so the above is wasted on him]

LenAnderson@ieee.org
==========

Poor flatulent Lennie. A primo example of an Octogenarian "Gas Baggeous"
malcontentus.

1568. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 09:43:16 UTC
From: Leonard <NotLennie@nospam.ut>
Message-ID: <dgr9sl$37f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119394

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1127281387.104925.250140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: Alun L. Palmer on Sep 20, 9:40 pm

>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in

<snip>

>Wait until the code test is abolished, and then get your Extra. That will
>annoy them to the maximum extent!

Nah, I'm keeping them GUESSING right now...annoys the snit out of
them!

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

What? No 16 kb response?
Your flatulence must be at an ebb.

1569. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 10:59:43 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq at w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <43313df9$0$253$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 119395
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1127271443.195835.320110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: K?B on Sep 15, 12:09 pm

>How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to
>be a
>member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why
>would
>I want to?)?

Christian Charity is a point scoring exercise with God. It is done for
selfish reasons, to make sure you are noticed by God and he lets you in.

1570. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 11:25:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7kf2j159mshga6h3s3vhea2t7jb8kkuqnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 119396

On 20 Sep 2005 15:59:09 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1127257149.612964.67380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> Doubtful. Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
>> communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
>> was invented for -wire- telegraphy. It has also been used extensively
>> with optical and other types of communication. It has existed since
>> before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
>> radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
>> radio. But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
>> to use it.
>>
>> Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
>> code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
>> also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
>> written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
>> (from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
>> the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?
>
>Frank, I thought that you weren't a ham?

I'm not.

I've been tempted for years to get my license so I can play around on
160m and UHF (nothing else sounds particulary interesting). But from
what I have seen of ham radio in the past few years I don't really
like it. Some hams seem to be absorbed in the electronics while others
are into gab sessions; yet few hams are able to grasp the duality of
'radio communications'. And many hams think their amateur status is
the defining characteristic of their existence. Heck, I was a BE for
almost ten years but I don't advertise that fact on a T-shirt and
baseball cap, or sign my name with a "B.E." at the end.

I thought ham radio was supposed to be a recreational pursuit, not a
religion or political ideology. But from what I see, it seems as if
getting a license means you have to choose sides and fight a heated
battle for what I would expect to be a HOBBY!!!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1571. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 12:13:34 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgripe$1fou$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119397

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>http://tinyurl.com/drbfk
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Hans, the police, sherrif, fire, EMS, dog catcher, etc, can only
> procure the systems offered to them by Motorola, GE, Vertex, Johnson,
> etc.

And a good point that is, Brian. They don't know exactly what is
needed, and can only buy what they are told will work for them (this time)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1572. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 12:36:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgrk4f$1dpg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119398

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>
>>>How we gonna connect the nation at 700 MHz?
>>
>>Hi Mike,
>>
>>The article had nothing to do with "connecting the nation". It has to do with
>>regional interoperability, the very thing that Katrina aftermath found largely
>>inadequate.
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Hans, as a retired CPO, you know as well as anybody else that
> interoperability of any service is a problem. Recall Grenada where a
> grunt used his Sprint calling card on a commercial line to call the
> Pentagon and request an Air Force air-strike on a target.
>
> Good grief! It's not just about grunts dying anymore.
>
> You'd think after 09/11/2001 we'd have fast-tracked this stuff!

This is what has me worried. If a new Whiz-bang system is going to
work, it has to connect the whole nation. When New Orleans is under
water, they don't just need to talk to the locals, they need to get word
out to the whole country - or at least the parts that can send help.

And since we don't know where the next disaster will strike, that means
the whole country needs to be in the picture.

No big picture thinkers need apply?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1573. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 12:41:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dgrkdd$1e8o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119399

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Wait until the code test is abolished, and then get your Extra. That will
> annoy them to the maximum extent!

Why on earth would that annoy me?

BTW, Alun. I never did get an answer from you on that other question I asked. You approve or not?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1574. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 13:14:27 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1127308466.970027.58600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maritime Phone Patcher wrote:
> *** SAY GOODBYE TO K1MAN FOLKS !!!!! ***
>
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE K1MAN LICENSE RENEWAL
> (from the ARRL Letter)
>

As much as I would like to think the FCC will shut this guy down
permanently, I don't really think they have gotten that much closer.
K1MAN seems to be relatively fast on his feet because he knows how to
stretch the administrative process and just ignore rulings.
The FCC on the other hand seems to personify the hidebound federal
agency that is so tied up in its own administrative process that it can
only react sluggishly to violations of the law.

I've always wondered if Baxter sits up nights listening to himself
rebroadcast over and over and over.

1575. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 13:19:41 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qoGdnYYUipyCw6zeRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 119401
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:
> Ham op wrote:
>
>> Matt wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>>
>>> This thread has degenerated into religious shit fighting. It has
>>> absolutely
>>> sweet fuck all to do with any of the NGs that it has been posted to- how
>>> about we either revert to the original topic, close this thread down, or
>>> move it to a religious discussion group where I feel that it would be
>>> far
>>> more appropriate. Please?
>>>
>>>
>>> Matt
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Your point is valid. Your language needs serious improvement!
>>
>
> Actually, his language was perfectly appropriate.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

Tom, that says a lot about you also. And, it's not a favorable
impression you make.

1576. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 14:16:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nXdYe.1187$zQ3.1062@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119402

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> This is what has me worried. If a new Whiz-bang system is going to work, it
> has to connect the whole nation.

Mike,

Come back to the discussion when you understand the term "regional
interoperability".

DOS Hint: It has absolutely nothing to do with "connect the whole nation".

73, de Hans, K0HB

1577. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 14:37:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127313435.236970.153840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119403

Whiny Cunt Lloydie whined:
> Darned if the Big Wind didn't strike again!
> Nowhere else in these groups does one man talk so much while saying so
> little.

Yes, it can be found in every one of YOUR posts, Lardass. Find a new
job yet, fired pizza BOY?

1578. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 14:40:44 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <43109$43317117$97d56a13$4287@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119404
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do you not think it somewhat supercilious to cavalierly dismiss the beliefs
held by others?

Have you been blessed with some special insight to the universe that allows
you to, with such certainty, declare all religious beliefs as superstition?

"Tom Ring" <news0002@taring.org> wrote in message
news:4330a9c8$0$22199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> I just love to read superstition discussions. It helps me understand
> why we really haven't gone anywhere except with the technical side of
> civilization.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

1579. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 14:49:38 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <39979$43317324$97d56a13$4724@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119405
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

While my opinions of Bush are no more or less valid than that of others,
facts have shown that President Bush had both Higher SAT's and grades than
the "super intelligent" Democratic alternative.

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:pbadna1SLLBncq3eRVn-vg@comcast.com...
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
> >> The amazing thing is that all these very smart people actually believe
> >> this stuff.
> >
> >
> > Even with our DNA being 96% identical to a chimp's?
>
>
> I don't understand why people continue to be surprised that we share 96%
> of our DNA with Bush.

1580. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 15:03:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127315032.257100.193750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119406
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And Kerry has higher SAT scores than you.

1581. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 15:09:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127315378.575630.103070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As if you would know anything, Toad.

1582. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 17:34:04 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gQgYe.902$xc4.335@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119408
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> Do you not think it somewhat supercilious to cavalierly dismiss the beliefs
> held by others?
>
> Have you been blessed with some special insight to the universe that allows
> you to, with such certainty, declare all religious beliefs as superstition?
>
>
>
>
> "Tom Ring" <news0002@taring.org> wrote in message
> news:4330a9c8$0$22199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
>
>>I just love to read superstition discussions. It helps me understand
>>why we really haven't gone anywhere except with the technical side of
>>civilization.
>>
>>tom
>>K0TAR
>
>
>

Maybe you should remember this the next time you find yourself
hammering non-Christian religions, Fred. I haven't met many
religious fanatics who didn't dismiss the beliefs of other
people as bunk.
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1583. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 17:40:52 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11j36paqp403157@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119409

Thanks. Now that I read the page carefully it is obvious how to make that happen.

Jim

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:dI3Ye.1068$zQ3.909@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote
>
>> Does anybody know how to search the FCC database for this NPRM by
>> submitter's name?
>
> 1) Send your browser to http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/comsrch_v2.cgi
>
> 2) In block 1 identify the proceeding (05-235)
>
> 3) In block 4 enter "Jim Weir"
>
> 4) Click "Retrieve Document List"
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

1584. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 17:54:41 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hwburton@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <11j37iuca45hve6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119410
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:39979$43317324$97d56a13$4724@ALLTEL.NET...
> While my opinions of Bush are no more or less valid than that of others,
> facts have shown that President Bush had both Higher SAT's and grades than
> the "super intelligent" Democratic alternative.
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> news:pbadna1SLLBncq3eRVn-vg@comcast.com...
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>> >> The amazing thing is that all these very smart people actually believe
>> >> this stuff.
>> >
>> >
>> > Even with our DNA being 96% identical to a chimp's?
>>

I believe the latest info I've seen on that gave a figure of 98.5%
identical.

Harold
KD5SAK

1585. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 18:49:36 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <e8cbc$4331ab60$97d56a13$14857@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119411
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I hardly consider myself a fanatic, and am extremely unlike to "hammer"
anyone over religion, or lack there of.

Some of the most fanatical are those members of the religion of atheism.

These folks find it threatening that someone may have a set of beliefs, and
feel the only recourse is to attack those beliefs. Makes one wonder if they
find it necessary to stand in department store lines, demanding that little
children give up the idea of Santa Claus, which they find equally
believable.

I personally don't care what anyone's belief set is. I do find it abhorrent
that some are more active for atheism , than a Jehovah Witness beating on
the door selling salvation.

My feeling is that most are simply crying out for attention, and know the
religion button is a simple one to push.

"Tom Donaly" <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:gQgYe.902$xc4.335@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Maybe you should remember this the next time you find yourself
> hammering non-Christian religions, Fred. I haven't met many
> religious fanatics who didn't dismiss the beliefs of other
> people as bunk.
> 73,
> Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1586. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 19:00:31 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71>
Source record: 119412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> The N9OGL Show
> 14.321.00 Mhz

Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:

Wayne Green W2NSD/1
WGI Center
Peterborough NH 03458

Sep. 13, 1992

"While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how many times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems. That's not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far more trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."

If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275. All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.

As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with hack saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his neighbors against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good signals and some coordination.

I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll bet I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even three

strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get his broadcasts out.

Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess he's been making for several years.

If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "

Cheers ...
Wayne

1587. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 20:05:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.in6fh7e.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 119413

On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 08:36:31 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

>This is what has me worried. If a new Whiz-bang system is going to
>work, it has to connect the whole nation. When New Orleans is under
>water, they don't just need to talk to the locals, they need to get word
>out to the whole country - or at least the parts that can send help.

That 'sposed to be the county and state Emergency Services and
National Guard's task with their obscenely expensive Rockwell-Collins
ALE HF radios that are 'sposed to be able to load up on a doorknob
and have all sorts of DoD/FEMA channels to play on.

We here in the back woods of Oregon have those in our county and
state EOCs and we also have a gaggle of MARS folks who have
those capabilities.

Notice all the 'sposed in the above. Is it or is it not a people
problem?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1588. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 20:15:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tbjYe.1527$oc.1204@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> How's come they're not looking for a couple of good CW Ops?

The Guamanian judges give Brian 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
award it a 2.7

The Guamanian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious.
It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly
lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful
troll. Maybe as high as a 2.9 for the sheer dump huck stupidity
of the premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Guamanian judges tear
their hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
the traditional Chamorran Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

Hafa Adai,

Hans, Lord High Keeper of the Troll-O-Meter

1589. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 20:23:54 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050921202354.52A2E1713F@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 119415
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <43109$43317117$97d56a13$4287@ALLTEL.NET>
"Fred W4JLE" <w...@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> Do you not think it somewhat supercilious to cavalierly dismiss the beliefs
> held by others?
>
> Have you been blessed with some special insight to the universe that allows
> you to, with such certainty, declare all religious beliefs as superstition?

It's for weak minded fools like you, child molester who pretends to be a
christian.

>
> "Tom Ring" <news0...@taring.org> wrote in message
> news:4330a9c8$0$22199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> > I just love to read superstition discussions. It helps me understand
> > why we really haven't gone anywhere except with the technical side of
> > civilization.
> >
> > tom
> > K0TAR

1590. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 20:38:32 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127335266_20031@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119416
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Harold Burton wrote:
>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>Even with our DNA being 96% identical to a chimp's?
>
> I believe the latest info I've seen on that gave a figure of 98.5%
> identical.

They have only recently decoded the chimp's DNA genome.
The new figures seem to be 96% identical, 98.5% very similar.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1591. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 21:42:47 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RNSdnYxN5smKSazeRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 119417
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:

> I hardly consider myself a fanatic, and am extremely unlike to "hammer"
> anyone over religion, or lack there of.
>
> Some of the most fanatical are those members of the religion of atheism.
>
SNIPPED

Fred brings up an interesting point. Atheism IS a RELIGION!!

I define RELIGION as a set of beliefs that influence behavior.

Merriam-Webster defines RELIGION in a similar fashion [Note #2 and 4]:

"Main Entry: reï¿½liï¿½gion
Pronunciation: ri-'li-j&n
Function: noun

Etymology: Middle English religioun, from Latin religion-, religio
supernatural constraint, sanction, religious practice, perhaps from
religare to restrain, tie back -- more at RELY

1 a : the state of a religious <a nun in her 20th year of religion>
b (1) : the service and worship of God or the supernatural
(2) : commitment or devotion to religious faith or observance

2 : a personal set or institutionalized system of religious attitudes,
beliefs, and practices

3 archaic : scrupulous conformity : CONSCIENTIOUSNESS

4 : a cause, principle, or system of beliefs held to with ardor and faith"

1592. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 22:31:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wblYe.1578$oc.841@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119418
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote

>
> 4 : a cause, principle, or system of beliefs held to with ardor and faith"
>

If we accept this definition of "religion" as a system of beliefs that contains unprovable statements taken only on faith, then Kurt Godel taught us that mathematics is not only a religion, it is the only religion that can prove itself to be one.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1593. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 23:16:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fRIYe.1481$zQ3.16@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119419
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote

> .... members of the religion of atheism.

Fred, that idea is straight out of Alice in Wonderland!

Up is down, and atheism, the antithesis of religion, is religion.

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB
Agnostic ("Maybe you're right, but I'm not going to take it on faith.")

1594. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 23:44:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <APadnSvf_azebazeRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119420
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Ham op wrote:
> Fred W4JLE wrote:
>
>> I hardly consider myself a fanatic, and am extremely unlike to "hammer"
>> anyone over religion, or lack there of.
>>
>> Some of the most fanatical are those members of the religion of atheism.
>>
> SNIPPED
>
> Fred brings up an interesting point. Atheism IS a RELIGION!!
>
> I define RELIGION as a set of beliefs that influence behavior.
>
> Merriam-Webster defines RELIGION in a similar fashion [Note #2 and 4]:
>
> "Main Entry: reï¿½liï¿½gion
> Pronunciation: ri-'li-j&n
> Function: noun
>
> Etymology: Middle English religioun, from Latin religion-, religio
> supernatural constraint, sanction, religious practice, perhaps from
> religare to restrain, tie back -- more at RELY
>
> 1 a : the state of a religious <a nun in her 20th year of religion>
> b (1) : the service and worship of God or the supernatural
> (2) : commitment or devotion to religious faith or observance
>
> 2 : a personal set or institutionalized system of religious attitudes,
> beliefs, and practices
>
> 3 archaic : scrupulous conformity : CONSCIENTIOUSNESS
>
> 4 : a cause, principle, or system of beliefs held to with ardor and faith"
>
>

Wow. I have faith that if I fall off the rim of the Grand Canyon, or
any great height, that I will most likely bite the big on upon landing.
Therefore I do not engage in risky behavior such as spinning around
until I get dizzy when I am near the edge of long drops.
```

I believe that I will bite the big one if I fall hundreds or thousands of feet. My belief most definitely influences my behavior.

So Have I come up with some new sort of religion here?

And I truly see you reading a lot more into this than the definition provides

- Mike KB3EIA -

1595. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 23:48:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zu-dnbKc0OHYbKzeRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119421
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote
>
>
>>.... members of the religion of atheism.
>
>
> Fred, that idea is straight out of Alice in Wonderland!
>
> Up is down, and atheism, the antithesis of religion, is religion.
> Sunuvagun!

Hans, the Atheism as a religion is just a reserve argument that the CC Fundies are holding in their hands, so that they can claim that Evolution is a (atheistic) belief, and therefore a religion, which leads to the argument that they have every right to force their religious beliefs on us, since the "religion" of evolution has been forced on the nations children for so long.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1596. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-21 23:59:14 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mtmYe.88641$EX.7904@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119422
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is way off-topic. Watch out for Pat, before he nails you.

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:zu-dnbKc0OHYbKzeRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>.... members of the religion of atheism.
>>
>>
>> Fred, that idea is straight out of Alice in Wonderland!
>>
>> Up is down, and atheism, the antithesis of religion, is religion.
>> Sunuvagun!
>
> Hans, the Atheism as a religion is just a reserve argument that the CC
> Fundies are holding in their hands, so that they can claim that Evolution
> is a (atheistic) belief, and therefore a religion, which leads to the
> argument that they have every right to force their religious beliefs on
> us, since the "religion" of evolution has been forced on the nations
> children for so long.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1597. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 00:01:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6pGdndq_-JD2aazeRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119423

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>This is what has me worried. If a new Whiz-bang system is going to work, it
>>has to connect the whole nation.
>
>
> Mike,
>
> Come back to the discussion when you understand the term "regional
> interoperability".
>
> DOS Hint: It has absolutely nothing to do with "connect the whole nation".

Hans,

As the Czar of this discussion, how about TELLING us exactly what Obrien's regional interopability plan is? ;^) Even a little clue might help the dillatentes like me.

And I'm telling you that IMO any system that doesn't connect areas larger than "regional" is not going to work under many circumstances.

Of course I could be wrong.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1598. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 00:07:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Vb6dnXFKkeMpaKzeRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119424

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 08:36:31 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>This is what has me worried. If a new Whiz-bang system is going to
>>work, it has to connect the whole nation. When New Orleans is under
>>water, they don't just need to talk to the locals, they need to get word
>>out to the whole country - or at least the parts that can send help.
>
>
> That 'sposed to be the county and state Emergency Services and
> National Guard's task with their obscenely expensive Rockwell-Collins
> ALE HF radios that are 'sposed to be able to load up on a doorknob
> and have all sorts of DoD/FEMA channels to play on.
>
> We here in the back woods of Oregon have those in our county and
> state EOCs and we also have a gaggle of MARS folks who have
> those capabilities.
>
> Notice all the 'sposed in the above. Is it or is it not a people
> problem?

Yes, it is a people problem. Is that wonderful radio going to be at the other end when it is needed? Just having one on one end of the emergency isn't too helpful.

Emergencies tend to be random, and tend to wipe out a lot of important things when they do happen. Maybe if we can make all of our disasters happen in predetermined places?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1599. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 00:09:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Vb6dnXBKkePXa6zeRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119425
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pat Stevens wrote:
> This is way off-topic. Watch out for Pat, before he nails you.

I have faith in that!

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:zu-dnbKc0OHYbKzeRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>.... members of the religion of atheism.
>>>
>>>
>>>Fred, that idea is straight out of Alice in Wonderland!
>>>
>>>Up is down, and atheism, the antithesis of religion, is religion.
>>>Sunuvagun!
>>
>>Hans, the Atheism as a religion is just a reserve argument that the CC
>>Fundies are holding in their hands, so that they can claim that Evolution
>>is a (atheistic) belief, and therefore a religion, which leads to the
>>argument that they have every right to force their religious beliefs on
>>us, since the "religion" of evolution has been forced on the nations
>>children for so long.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

1600. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 00:29:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4WmYe.1867$0m6.1382@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119426
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

> Pat Stevens wrote:
>> This is way off-topic. Watch out for Pat, before he nails you.
>
> I have faith in that!
>

Verily, verily sayest thee! Beware, lest thou be smitten by Lord Pat!

73, de Hans, K0HB
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

1601. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 00:45:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <j8nYe.1878$0m6.1011@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119427

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> As the Czar of this discussion, how about TELLING us exactly what Obrien's
> regional interopability plan is? ;^) Even a little clue might help the
> dillatentes like me.
>

Actually (if you read his piece) OBrien doesn't profess to have a plan, but rather suggests that Katrina and the impending availability of 700MHz, may be the catalyst that causes (like a good kick in the ass) serious non-lip-service attention at the metropolitan and regional levels to survivable incident-wide first-responder communications systems.

> And I'm telling you that IMO any system that doesn't connect areas larger than
> "regional" is not going to work under many circumstances.

That's a separate and distinctly different kettle of fish.

Think of intra-incident (first responders, search and rescue) as tactical communications, and extra-incident (recovery, supply/logistics) as strategic communications. You need both, but they are completely different animals and treating them similarly inevitably means that you won't get either one right.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1602. www.ukradioamateur 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 00:59:50 UTC
From: Chris I Townsend <chris@cbnr.net>
Message-ID: <48lBmjgGIgMDFwTH@holmfirth.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 119428

Hi,

2nd post, the first contained an error, the new address should have been given as www.ukradioamateur.co.uk

Some of you, hopefully many of you, may be aware of the existence of the "Amateur Radio Course" project which provides a number on-line and downloadable tutorials for the various UK amateur radio examinations.

Due to the sudden, unexpected and unexplained withdrawal of support by the company who up to now have very kindly hosted our web-site free of charge, and their even more unexpected failure to communicate with me regarding how we might transfer or redirect the URL which they registered for us but now will not let us use, our site is no longer available to the clubs and individuals who benefited from it.

Can you therefore help us and please publicise as widely as possible the following information.

The "Amateur Radio Course" which was located at www.ukradioamateur.org is now relocated at www.ukradioamateur.co.uk

There may be a number of functions that are not working due to the sudden move, but they will be fixed within the next few days.

It remains, as always, a non-profit making activity sponsored and supported entirely by the group of individuals who give up their time and resources free of charge to support and promote interest in the hobby.

I apologise for posting this message in so many newsgroups, but I need the new URL to be publicised as rapidly as possible.

Many thanks to you for your help, best wishes and 73's

Chris
G8PUT

--
Chris I Townsend

(chris@cbnr.net)

1603. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:26:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127352388.518632.195100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > How's come they're not looking for a couple of good CW Ops?
>
> The Guamanian judges give Brian 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
> but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
> award it a 2.7
>
> The Guamanian judges lodged a formal protest!
>
> It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious.
> It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly
> lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful
> troll. Maybe as high as a 2.9 for the sheer dump huck stupidity
> of the premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Guamanian judges tear
> their hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
> the traditional Chamorran Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!
>
> Hafa Adai,
>
> Hans, Lord High Keeper of the Troll-O-Meter

The sheer stupidity of the premise is what kept the Morse Forever
warriors going for as long as they were able to. The Guamanian judges
got it right: 9.8!

1604. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:44:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127353465.933529.226920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119430

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 20 Sep 2005 15:35
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dan/W4NTI on Sep 16, 4:47 pm
> >> >"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>> See what we mean? He just can't stay on subject. Always brings in Morse
> >> >>> code "Morsemen", same same all the time. Then he gets into his
> >> >>> "Military career". Predictable as a Sun set.
> >> >
> >> >> Right, so what is the point, eh?
> >> >
> >> >I can't think of a one actually Mike.
> >>
> >> Little pointy heads aren't good for thinking.
> >
> >Len, Len, Len, why must you antagonize those of lower intelligence?
>
> It's my "style," Brian. :-)
>
> You are right. I should be nicer. "Ya jes' cain't fix stupid!"
>
> Ain't no hope they get smarter...
>
> :-)

But they sure do try to speak intelligently about faith. If only they
had some.

> >Just because the express purpose of RRAP is to dis-cuss Morse Code
> >Policy is no reason to actually dis-cuss Morse Code Policy. Get with
> >it, OM!
>
> Understood! I'm dragging out my theology texts and foreign
> policy tracts and my camel's hair coat (to see if it can go
> through the eye of a needle...not easily...has to be
> disassembled).

They'll go to any length to bring Cecil back. Probably even try to get
him to join in another CW net.

> Hard to keep up with these Eclectic Morsemen...all trying to talk
> about ANYTHING but the morse code test! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Misdirection and redirection. They shudda been magicians. I'll bet
they could make the Grand Canyon disappear.

1605. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:49:17 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127353913_243@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119431
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Agnostic ("Maybe you're right, but I'm not going to take it on faith.")

Some say yes, some say no, and I'm inclined to agree.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1606. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:53:35 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <j234j11a8ttlihb6volbc6gqa3d1ric52a@4ax.com>
Source record: 119436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 19:00:31 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote:

>
>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> The N9OGL Show
>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>
>
>Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:

This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

1607. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:53:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O8oYe.1538$zQ3.1465@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> kept the Morse Forever warriors going......

Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen, craftsmen,
and subcontractors?

1608. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:54:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <57idnWeAuIFFk6_eRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119433

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>This is what has me worried. If a new Whiz-bang system is going to work, it
>>has to connect the whole nation.
>
>
> Mike,
>
> Come back to the discussion when you understand the term "regional
> interoperability".
>
> DOS Hint: It has absolutely nothing to do with "connect the whole nation".

Hans, I done some reading!

From what I can see the spectrum is presumed worth a lot of money.

I read about Texas' interoperability plan. They are doing 8 channels in
the 2 meter neighborhood, and also have a 700 MHz plan

http://www.dfwinfo.com/hs/radio/PDF/region40_web.pdf

for the 700 MHz plan. It has a little info, but is heavy on extraneous
stuff.

Looks like we've settled on a 6.25 KHz voice path. That's good!

My opinion is that "regional" don't cut it. It will probably work well
until the big one hits.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1609. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:58:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127354318.997121.187800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119434

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sep 20, 3:51 pm
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> > It's also a ripe area for any group to do influence-control on
> >> > many without them realizing what is happening.
> >>
> >> I had a feeling that we'd get down to your intimating that there's some
> >> conspiracy to keep radio amateurs in the dark.
> >
> >No conspiracy. Most choose to be in the dark.
>
> It's the effect of the Darkness-Emitting Diode (DED) used by
> morsemen to show the state of their keying. Morse = DED. :-)
>
> [Heil doesn't have a sense of humor so the above is wasted on him]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Not wasted. He'll try his best to misinterpret, as he usually does...

1610. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 01:58:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <57idnWaAuIFKkq_eRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
>>kept the Morse Forever warriors going......
>
>
> Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen, craftsmen,
> and subcontractors?

>>Sorry Hans - All subjects turn into CW in here!<<

Sorry folks, I just had to..... ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1611. Re: Proof of the Necessity of Amatuer Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 02:11:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127355069.656334.17410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119437

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 20 Sep 2005 15:59:09 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1127257149.612964.67380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> >> Doubtful. Morse code is the simplest and most univeral method of radio
> >> communication, but is hardly limited to radio -- don't forget that it
> >> was invented for -wire- telegraphy. It has also been used extensively
> >> with optical and other types of communication. It has existed since
> >> before radio was invented, continues to exist outside the sphere of
> >> radio, and certainly won't die if it's abandoned as a requirement for
> >> radio. But as long as Morse code exists, radio operators will continue
> >> to use it.
> >>
> >> Now that's not an argument either for or against the dropping of the
> >> code requirement. Like I said before, it's no big deal. And like I
> >> also said before, what -IS- a big deal is the dumbing down of the
> >> written test. I still don't understand why there is so much bitching
> >> (from both sides) about the code test yet almost no discussion about
> >> the 'memory' test. Anyone care to explain that?
> >
> >Frank, I thought that you weren't a ham?
>
> I'm not.
>
> I've been tempted for years to get my license so I can play around on
> 160m and UHF (nothing else sounds particulary interesting).

I like 10M. It can be local or around the world.

Some hams refer to it as the "kiddie band" because Techs could use
phone there.

But from
> what I have seen of ham radio in the past few years I don't really
> like it. Some hams seem to be absorbed in the electronics while others
> are into gab sessions; yet few hams are able to grasp the duality of
> 'radio communications'. And many hams think their amateur status is
> the defining characteristic of their existence. Heck, I was a BE for
> almost ten years but I don't advertise that fact on a T-shirt and
> baseball cap, or sign my name with a "B.E." at the end.

Biological Evaluation?

> I thought ham radio was supposed to be a recreational pursuit, not a
> religion or political ideology.

For some it's even a pathology.

But from what I see, it seems as if
> getting a license means you have to choose sides and fight a heated
> battle for what I would expect to be a HOBBY!!!

Those days are numbered. Only the smoldering embers remain.

1612. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 02:18:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RvoYe.1952$0m6.1640@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119438

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> My opinion is that "regional" don't cut it.

Cuts it just fine when you're talking about regional interoperabilty plans. These plans concern themselves with intra-regional (not extra-regional) interoperabilty.

A cop or fireman on the streets of Dallas has no need for communications with a cop or fireman in Seattle, thus the interop plan doesn't address this non-existant "need".

But since disasters don't confine themselves to political boundaries, most good plans >>do<< include provisions for communications with immediately adjacent regions.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1613. Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 02:18:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127355539.650312.58990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119439

http://www.classeradio.org/

73 de Jim, N2EY

1614. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 02:22:05 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <hzoYe.155271$084.25937@attbi_s22>
Source record: 119440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:j234j11a8ttlihb6volbc6gqa3d1ric52a@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 19:00:31 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote:
> >Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>
> This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

If the FCC could ever be decisive, I would have to agree with you.

1615. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 02:26:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127355976.241176.157920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119441

Michael Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
> >>
> >>>How we gonna connect the nation at 700 MHz?
> >>
> >>Hi Mike,
> >>
> >>The article had nothing to do with "connecting the nation". It has to do with
> >>regional interoperability, the very thing that Katrina aftermath found largely
> >>inadequate.
> >>
> >>73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Hans, as a retired CPO, you know as well as anybody else that
> > interoperability of any service is a problem. Recall Grenada where a
> > grunt used his Sprint calling card on a commercial line to call the
> > Pentagon and request an Air Force air-strike on a target.
> >
> > Good grief! It's not just about grunts dying anymore.
> >
> > You'd think after 09/11/2001 we'd have fast-tracked this stuff!
>
> This is what has me worried. If a new Whiz-bang system is going to
> work, it has to connect the whole nation. When New Orleans is under
> water, they don't just need to talk to the locals, they need to get word
> out to the whole country - or at least the parts that can send help.

We used an expression in the service, "Train like you fight, fight like
you train."

Why can't this interoperable communications system be something that
they are using -everyday- instead of something sitting on a shelf
awaiting the next emergency, trying to find the one smart guy that can
remember how to make it work?

> And since we don't know where the next disaster will strike, that means
> the whole country needs to be in the picture.
>
> No big picture thinkers need apply?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

True enough. I work in government and find that it lacks qualified leaders and/or those without vision. FWIW, I'd prefer if no academics applied either. They're big on ideas, short on just about everything else.

1616. Re: OBrien got it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 02:34:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127356455.917368.168030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119442

KØHB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
> > As the Czar of this discussion, how about TELLING us exactly what Obrien's
> > regional interopability plan is? ;^) Even a little clue might help the
> > dillatentes like me.
>
> Actually (if you read his piece) OBrien doesn't profess to have a plan, but
> rather suggests that Katrina and the impending availability of 700MHz, may be
> the catalyst that causes (like a good kick in the ass) serious non-lip-service
> attention at the metropolitan and regional levels to survivable incident-wide
> first-responder communications systems.

If 9-11 couldn't accomplish that kick, Katrina sure can't. All the
while the SECDEF and the JCS strive to make the military lighter and
faster, the Senate makes our government more sluggish and stupid. If
only Katrina had been the leader of a Christian Cult.

> > And I'm telling you that IMO any system that doesn't connect areas larger than
> > "regional" is not going to work under many circumstances.
>
> That's a separate and distinctly different kettle of fish.
>
> Think of intra-incident (first responders, search and rescue) as tactical
> communications, and extra-incident (recovery, supply/logistics) as strategic
> communications. You need both, but they are completely different animals and
> treating them similarly inevitably means that you won't get either one right.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, you missed your calling in life. You shudda been a communicator.

1617. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 02:47:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1127357253.427138.133110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119443

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.classeradio.org/
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Looks like the answer to emergency responder interoperable radio
communications system.

1618. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 03:16:14 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <3f84j1t6o536tgnekhutv1ruepdo5d5ggs@4ax.com>
Source record: 119444
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 22:22:10 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 05:41:38 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>
>> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>>position of Saudi Arabian women?
>
>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...

That says more about you than about them.

1619. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 03:17:10 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ag84j1dq9lhtjg1b08eb80o3bpqct1eo8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 119445
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 21:40:08 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@play.com>
wrote:

>Same here Ted....
>
>Religion is the opiate of the masses.

Mindless Marxist cliches are the opiate of the
sub-intelligent.
>
>I prefer a free and satisfied mind...

1620. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 03:18:50 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <3k84j1lavg46c4rnsqb8hpdc8vi815gker@4ax.com>
Source record: 119446
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 20 Sep 2005 23:03:20 -0500, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Tom Ring wrote:
>> The amazing thing is that all these very smart people actually believe
>> this stuff.
>
>Even with our DNA being 96% identical to a chimp's?

99.5% in some of the local cases. :-)

1621. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 04:34:27 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <stc4j1lvb8tprbjdv15lmoq6pjjkra1qo9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119447
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 22:31:56 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

> Kurt Godel taught us that
>mathematics is not only a religion, it is the only religion that can prove
>itself to be one.

Hi Hans,

Godel actually taught us that any system of belief, by its own rules
of consistency must include the statement that

1 + 1 = 3

Technologists would find that quite difficult to live with, some of
the religious (if not in that same crew) would also find it difficult.
Then there are those who would simply accept it on faith.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1622. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 04:38:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8zqYe.1586$zQ3.468@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119448

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> http://www.classeradio.org/

Quoted from the site:

"One of the major goals of the class E project is to facilitate the
construction, by Amateurs with only a modest background in construction, of a
high power, good sounding AM transmitter using modern, readily available
components and at a reasonable cost. "

Where was this guy back in 1935 when hams were clamoring for a "good sounding AM
transmitter" project?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1623. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 07:53:01 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <lPydnWFqyIRD_6_eRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 119449
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ham op wrote:

>> I hardly consider myself a fanatic, and am extremely unlike to "hammer"
>> anyone over religion, or lack there of.
>>
>> Some of the most fanatical are those members of the religion of atheism.

Yeah? When was the last time an atheist:

- rode an airplane into a building?
- killed a doctor?
- bombed a planned parenthood clinic?
- scammed people put of their money in the name of atheism?
- blew himself up, as well as dozens of innocent civilians?
- imprisoned, oppressed, tortured and murdered various minorities simply because of their religious orientation?
- attempted to introduce superstitious nonsense into the public schools' curriculum?
- withheld medical help or vaccination, genitally mutilated or otherwise endangered the life of a child on the basis of his atheism?
- attempted to impose his own brand of "morality" that denies basic freedoms, liberties and other human rights to all sorts of people?
- started a war, religious genocide or mass murder in order to promote atheism specifically?
- formed a cult that sexually abused children, financially and physically drained the followers, then convinced them to commit suicide or simply killed every cult member?
- promoted legislation, laws and even Constitution change based on cherry-picked bullshit from some scripture?
- encouraged other atheists to kill as many non-atheists as possible?
- and so on...

> Fred brings up an interesting point. Atheism IS a RELIGION!!

Sure, also war is peace, freedom is slavery, ignorance is strength.
Isn't Newspeak grand?

> I define RELIGION as a set of beliefs that influence behavior.

And I define black as white. Is today International
Pull-a-definition-out-of-your-ass Day or are we applying the Bush
administration doctrine to everyday life now?

73 ... WA7AA

1624. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 09:23:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127380994.841750.94290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119450

KØHB wrote:

> Quoted from the site:
>
> "One of the major goals of the class E project
> is to facilitate the
> construction, by Amateurs with only a modest
> background in construction, of a
> high power, good sounding AM transmitter using
> modern, readily available
> components and at a reasonable cost. "

Ain't that great?
>
> Where was this guy back in 1935 when hams
> were clamoring for a "good sounding AM
> transmitter" project?
>
Probably the same place as you and I, Hans - hadn't even
been born yet.

Some interesting info there. Definitely not run-of-the-mill Ikensu
stuff!

Do you consider those projects to be "tinkering"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1625. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 10:39:33 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <kd25j157c0gn42ev50r7l6isr7dfpqgsjh@4ax.com>
Source record: 119451
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 21:34:27 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Hi Hans,
>
>Godel actually taught us that any system of belief, by its own rules
>of consistency must include the statement that
>
> 1 + 1 = 3
>
>Technologists would find that quite difficult to live with, some of
>the religious (if not in that same crew) would also find it difficult.
>Then there are those who would simply accept it on faith.

That's especially true when faith is blind...

1626. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 10:42:51 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <bk25j1h1kdgf5lp3a082s8mr1eac8l6tf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 119452
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 03:16:14 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 22:22:10 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 05:41:38 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>
>>>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>>
>>> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>>>position of Saudi Arabian women?
>>
>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>
> That says more about you than about them.

Such as?

1627. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 12:06:51 UTC
From: Carter-K8VT <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <v7xYe.626$4u4.359@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uncle Ted wrote:

> This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

...not to mention that he thinks the Apollo moon landings were faked.

1628. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 14:05:56 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dgudo3$1h3g$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 119454
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:GqSdnaHbf6qHlK3eRVn-qg@comcast.com...
> Matt wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>
> > This thread has degenerated into religious shit fighting. It has
absolutely
> > sweet fuck all to do with any of the NGs that it has been posted to- how
> > about we either revert to the original topic, close this thread down, or
> > move it to a religious discussion group where I feel that it would be
far
> > more appropriate. Please?
> >
> >
> > Matt
> >
> >
>
> Your point is valid. Your language needs serious improvement!

Fair call - guess it is an indicator of how ridiculous and off topic that
this thread has become. Religion will always be a divisive issue but it
really has no place in any of these NGs - can we please either end it or
move it elsewhere to somewhere more appropriate?

Matt

1629. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 14:16:00 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <A0zYe.356710$x96.215024@attbi_s72>
Source record: 119455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Carter-K8VT" <k8vt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:v7xYe.626$4u4.359@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Uncle Ted wrote:
>
>
> > This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> > bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> > mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> > a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> > can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.
>
> ...not to mention that he thinks the Apollo moon landings were faked.

Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.

1630. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 14:50:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KwzYe.1767$zQ3.1157@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119456

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Ain't that great?

Yes and No.

Yes because the project encourages and nurtures the spirit of experimentation in something "different". Class E isn't your uncles old technology.

No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode which ought to have been retired about 1965.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1631. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 15:03:02 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127401610_739@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119457
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> Everything is blind, including faith in science.

Witness quantum physics. We must have faith that a
Buckyball can go through two slits at the same time. :-)
(Compared to other quantum particles, Buckyballs, made
from many, many carbon atoms, are rather huge.)
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1632. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 15:11:13 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lQzYe.15878$ib1.3210@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There are rules for which all of us must abide. One of those rules is that we must not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.

These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as frustrating as that approach may be.

Wayne P. Muckleroy
(KC8UIO)

"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> The N9OGL Show
>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>
>
> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>
>
> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
> WGI Center
> Peterborough NH 03458
>
> Sep. 13, 1992
>
> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your
> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not
> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
> many
> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
> That's

> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
> more
> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>
> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275.
> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>
> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
> hack
> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
> neighbors
> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good
> signals and some coordination.
>
> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about
> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
> bet
> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not
> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat
> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a
> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the
> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
> three
> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
> his
> broadcasts out.
>
> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you
> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be
> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess
> he's been making for several years.
>
> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on
> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>
> Cheers ...
> Wayne
>
>
>

1633. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 15:18:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qpi5j1tnuka5cms44th1rps4ebh3u1hk6g@4ax.com>
Source record: 119459

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:50:18 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <KwzYe.1767$zQ3.1157@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode which ought to
>have been retired about 1965.

I don't hear too many pileups these days......

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1634. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 16:44:34 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3nl5j1pvjlq1g6097nna2a0u10utl6te9o@4ax.com>
Source record: 119460
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 06:39:33 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 21 Sep 2005 21:34:27 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>Hi Hans,
>>
>>Godel actually taught us that any system of belief, by its own rules
>>of consistency must include the statement that
>>
>> 1 + 1 = 3
>>
>>Technologists would find that quite difficult to live with, some of
>>the religious (if not in that same crew) would also find it difficult.
>>Then there are those who would simply accept it on faith.
>
>That's especially true when faith is blind...

Well, in fact, the faith (by Godel's criteria) has nothing to do with
being blind, or by avoiding examination at all. By Godel's proof,
that broken rule of addition comes from inspection and rigorous
application of the rules. Self-contradiction is an inevitable
consequence. If you cannot accept the contradiction, then you lack
faith. Mark it up to "the Mystery."

The reason is that one cannot "know" when one is part of what is
trying to be "known." Another metaphor is that one cannot completely
describe the field, if one is standing within the field (the observer
can not see everything at once, and must be obstructing some view, if
only to be standing on it). Hence all knowledge is incomplete unless
you can withdraw into another dimension (or by stepping over the
field's fence into a greater field encompassing it).

Godel then points out that at that point of extra-dimensionality (when
you become a minor deity), you are still faced with the same problem:
you cannot possible "know" everything about the field within which you
stand, because in this new dimension you are once again obstructing
your view to some portion of it.

Math can only accurately describe a subset of experience, never its
whole. Same problem resides in all the religions without exception.
The only time Man did not have this problem was before the "Fall."

This state is what is also called Nirvana. By all accounts, Man will never walk with God again.

Of course, others may beg to differ, and suffer the consequence of explaining why they cannot explain:

1 + 1 = 3

variously heard in prayers (OK, wails of grief) as:

"Why ME?"

To confound the pious who reject science, they suffer the most from lack of faith. Evidence Lord Kelvin's statement:

"The more thoroughly I conduct scientific research, the more I believe that science excludes atheism."

The fumbling attempts to rewrite Darwin, replacing it with untelligent design, are heretical works of apostasy.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1635. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 16:52:42 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <42o5j1hr6hcohe06553lvib5epqepnjv50@4ax.com>
Source record: 119461
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 09:47:45 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Can you name three other people who have been responsible for as many
>untimely deaths as these three?

Hi Matt,

Adolph Hitler had the support of the church and a religious society
(need we say it was NOT the Jewish religion?). If you need two more
names (a tedious exercise with an obvious outcome) simply enter the
phrase:
"kill them all and let god sort them out"
into a search engine and wade through the 20000 links that to little
exception imply any atheistic manifesto.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1636. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 18:13:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127413028_943@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119462
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> If one were to draw any conclusion, it is that the religious act
> within the framework of their well recognized beliefs while atheists
> succumb fully to hubris as they lack any counter to their own whims.

Don't know much about Ayn Rand's Objectivism, do you?
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1637. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 18:38:31 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <eit5j11m24aroud697sfh5ldopu0hakv99@4ax.com>
Source record: 119463
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 12:54:41 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>If one were to draw any conclusion, it is that the religious act
>within the framework of their well recognized beliefs while atheists
>succumb fully to hubris as they lack any counter to their own whims.

Hi Matt,

As so well demonstrated in the historical record, ethics and religion
are not the same thing. To say atheists lack any counter (ethics) is
also shown in the historical record to be wrong. By some accounts,
Buddhism has no god, is quite ethical, but also quite atheistic. This
could also be applied to Jainism. Unitarians hardly demand the
existence of God, the imposition of beliefs is not in their code,
whereas their ethics are guided by rational thought rather than
external authority.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1638. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 20:50:11 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <nh56j19sc8hf73trtllarrg5jgkfikodcv@4ax.com>
Source record: 119464
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 09:43:59 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>
>Everything is blind, including faith in science. Until we know
>everything there is to know, all of our knowledge is contained within
>a black box, outside of which we know nothing; like the Titanic just
>before the iceberg.

Science has nothing to do with faith because the essence of science is
to continually ask questions, and explore new possibilities. IOW,
science is readily open to change, and will admit it's mistakes if
close examination of evidence reveals such things to be so. OTOH,
faith is rigid, and is not subject to any change among those with
"true believer syndrome." Faith, especially blind faith, expects one
to believe without asking any questions, and just accepting things as
they are presented.

1639. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 22:24:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vnb6j1lieiot1ndpauj7qcn3r34ctgjsvo@4ax.com>
Source record: 119465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.

A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
difficult to diagnose.

1640. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 22:37:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127428639.815133.164730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119466

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > Ain't that great?
>
> Yes and No.
>
> Yes because the project encourages and nurtures the spirit of
> experimentation in
> something "different". Class E isn't your uncles old
> technology.

I agree 100%. And it's not just Class E - there's the Class H
modulator...
>
> No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode > which ought to have been retired about 1965.

Why? AM is a legal mode, with advantages and disadvantages.

One of the key elements of the spirit of experimentation in
something "different" is not being constrained by what is
considered electropolitically correct.

Besides, the Class E technology can be adapted to a variety of modes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1641. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-22 23:45:46 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9uf6j1li5rgavm24ga5ru0qnckk4kssc20@4ax.com>
Source record: 119467
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 17:15:16 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
>himself to be an atheist.
necessarily contradicts:
>Most often, the atheist will insist on science or common sense as his
>moral foundation.

Hi Matt,

This looks like a homogenous world point of view, where those who are
____, are not; and those who are not ____, are. A circularity that
fails in science, but certainly has served religion through the ages.

Consider the enigma of:
>Sociopaths may be the only true atheists;
is a mechanistic approach to morality, which is amoral.
>the rest are simply uninformed of their own dogma.
And to distinguish them from the informed (and not simply those who
disagree):
"kill them all and let god sort them out."

Hmmm, that's how we got here.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1642. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 00:30:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L0IYe.1951$zQ3.616@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119468

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode
>> which ought to have been retired about 1965.

> Why? AM is a legal mode, with advantages and disadvantages.

AM is a relic, inefficient in it's use of spectrum, and inefficient in it's use of power (only half of the transmitted power contains intelligence and half of that half is discarded at the receiver!).

> One of the key elements of the spirit of experimentation in
> something "different" is not being constrained by what is
> considered electropolitically correct.

I don't know WTF the phrase "electropolitically correct" is even supposed to mean.

> Besides, the Class E technology can be adapted to
> a variety of modes.

Yes, it certainly can. Which makes it all the more puzzling that they'd showcase it with a doddering old mode like AM. Class E >>is<< technologically interesting, and kind of "electro-sexy". Showcasing it in an "AM suit" is equivalent to showcasing Jessica Simpson in a fully secured HAZMAT suit.

Incidentally, the technology is a little older than Jessica. See "Class-E, A New Class of High-Efficiency Tuned, Single-Ended Switching Power Amplifiers", IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits, Vol SC-10, >>June 1975,<< pp. 168-175.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1643. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:01:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bMqdnYI2tI1Lzq7eRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119469
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

> If one were to draw any conclusion, it is that the religious act
> within the framework of their well recognized beliefs while atheists
> succumb fully to hubris as they lack any counter to their own whims.
>
> I do not deny that both are fallible, however, history demonstrates
> that the religious, despite their failures, have improved the human
> condition immeasurably where atheists have been only a blight upon
> civilization.

Matt, I suspect you get your news (and history) from the 700 Club.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1644. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:04:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bMqdnb02tI0Tya7eRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119470
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 16:50:11 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 09:43:59 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Everything is blind, including faith in science. Until we know
>>>everything there is to know, all of our knowledge is contained within
>>>a black box, outside of which we know nothing; like the Titanic just
>>>before the iceberg.
>>
>>Science has nothing to do with faith because the essence of science is
>>to continually ask questions, and explore new possibilities. IOW,
>>science is readily open to change, and will admit it's mistakes if
>>close examination of evidence reveals such things to be so. OTOH,
>>faith is rigid, and is not subject to any change among those with
>>"true believer syndrome." Faith, especially blind faith, expects one
>>to believe without asking any questions, and just accepting things as
>>they are presented.
>
>
> Faith has nothing to do with science? Does this mean you can explain
> gravity?

Once upon a time, we didn't know the nature of light, or lots of other
things. And some people used your same argument.

May I suggest that without faith, there is no science. Most
> scientists who have achieved the age of 50 come to realize just how
> much faith science requires.

Matt, you are simply incorrect.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1645. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:15:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127438135.819746.45530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
> are rules for which all of us must abide. One of those rules is that we must
> not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
> progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>
> These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
> whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
> most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
> condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
> frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> Wayne P. Muckleroy
> (KC8UIO)
>
The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
interference. The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
transmissions and K1MAN isn't. the rules should apply to all. One final
note, rules are only valid until a court says otherwise.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00

1646. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:15:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5MCdndjOZ8fdyq7eRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119472
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 11:38:31 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 12:54:41 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>If one were to draw any conclusion, it is that the religious act
>>>within the framework of their well recognized beliefs while atheists
>>>succumb fully to hubris as they lack any counter to their own whims.
>>
>>Hi Matt,
>>
>>As so well demonstrated in the historical record, ethics and religion
>>are not the same thing. To say atheists lack any counter (ethics) is
>>also shown in the historical record to be wrong. By some accounts,
>>Buddhism has no god, is quite ethical, but also quite atheistic. This
>>could also be applied to Jainism. Unitarians hardly demand the
>>existence of God, the imposition of beliefs is not in their code,
>>whereas their ethics are guided by rational thought rather than
>>external authority.
>
>
> By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
> himself to be an atheist.

Are you serious? You sound like the preacher who said that atheists
with morals are hypocrites.

Such a person may not agree with any known
> (to himself) religious dogma, nevertheless, he does at the very least,
> possess a religious dogma of his own making.
>
> Since, according to the OED, ethics is the science of morals; the
> study of the principles of human duty, there must exist some basis
> upon which human duty is based.
>
> We cannot at the same time, argue that we do not believe and yet
> insist that we have a basis for moral behavior. Such contradictions
> are the basis for insanity if they are consciously held.
>
> Most often, the atheist will insist on science or common sense as his

> moral foundation. That the world is a better place if he helps his
> neighbor, etc. Of course, this simply denies (usually unconsciously)
> his belief in the religious dogma of his milieu.
>
> Sociopaths may be the only true atheists; the rest are simply
> uninformed of their own dogma. Most of the latter have constructed a
> 'straw man' that they see as G-d. They then proceed to demolish their
> creation and then rest convinced of their independent thought.

Hey, if that helps you sleep at night, go for it! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1647. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:21:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5MCdndvOZ8cbxa7eRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119474

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>Ain't that great?
>
>
> Yes and No.
>
> Yes because the project encourages and nurtures the spirit of experimentation in
> something "different". Class E isn't your uncles old technology.
>
> No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode which ought to
> have been retired about 1965.

Next thing ya know, someone will be building dipoles with ladder line!
;^). Then (shudder) things with tubes!!!!

Room for Morse CW, room for A.M. IMO.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1648. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:25:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <haCdnYZ8PuY6xK7eRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119476

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>>No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode
>>>which ought to have been retired about 1965.
>
>
>>Why? AM is a legal mode, with advantages and disadvantages.
>
>
> AM is a relic, inefficient in it's use of spectrum, and inefficient in it's use
> of power (only half of the transmitted power contains intelligence and half of
> that half is discarded at the receiver!).

So are SUV's. IIRC, you kinda like those!

>
>>One of the key elements of the spirit of experimentation in
>>something "different" is not being constrained by what is
>>considered electropolitically correct.
>
>
> I don't know WTF the phrase "electropolitically correct" is even supposed to
> mean.

I think he means that you will think it's an inefficient mode in it's
use of spectrum. and power.

>
>>Besides, the Class E technology can be adapted to
>>a variety of modes.
>
>
> Yes, it certainly can. Which makes it all the more puzzling that they'd
> showcase it with a doddering old mode like AM. Class E >>is<< technologically
> interesting, and kind of "electro-sexy". Showcasing it in an "AM suit" is
> equivalent to showcasing Jessica Simpson in a fully secured HAZMAT suit.

It is an easy to understand project. And I suggest that Jessica might
wear that Hazmat suit a little nicer than say, me!

> Incidentally, the technology is a little older than Jessica. See "Class-E, A
> New Class of High-Efficiency Tuned, Single-Ended Switching Power Amplifiers",
> IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits, Vol SC-10, >>June 1975,<< pp. 168-175.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1649. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:38:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t0JYe.1966$zQ3.723@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119477

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> So are SUV's. IIRC, you kinda like those!
>

You don't RC. I think they're idiotic!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1650. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:43:39 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <52n6j1ltspei2qc17vnhelkaccvsvuolfr@4ax.com>
Source record: 119478
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 09:47:45 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Ever hear of Stalin? Lenin? Mao?
>
>Can you name three other people who have been responsible for as many
>untimely deaths as these three?

Just about every Roman Catholic pope that served from about 300 to
1600 C.E. I don't know their names, but I'm pretty sure there were
more than three during that time period...

1651. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 01:58:57 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <uin6j11lr3cqvh9et09u1jve4ekcjp7sq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 119479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>
>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>difficult to diagnose.

Maybe at one time, but many radio operators have taken steps to
discourage such vandalism, such as running the coax via a path that is
difficult to get to without being noticed. Besides, Baxter is probably
someone that has video security around his station. Do you really
think pinning his coax is something you actually think can feasibly be
accomplished?

1652. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:10:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <AJKdneQH-suT-a7eRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119480

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>So are SUV's. IIRC, you kinda like those!
>>
>
>
> You don't RC. I think they're idiotic!

Okay, so you were being sarcastic with that one post a while back. I shudda know better. ;^)

- mike KB3EIA -

1653. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:22:12 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127442287_4677@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119481
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> Not really. I'm still waiting for an atheist to explain how it is
> that he can have a moral point of view and not have a religious dogma.

You really need to study Ayn Rand's take on Objectivist ethics.
The objective thing to do is to grant to everyone the rights
that you require for yourself, no matter what you believe. It
bears a close resemblence to the golden rule.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1654. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:22:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <hYydnaqZxtGVxa7eRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uncle Ted wrote:

>
> This just shows how Wayne contradicts himself. Remember how he used to
> bitch about the mess on 14.313? Yet, he's advocating creating another
> mess under the guise of "self-policing"...and this is all coming from
> a guy who believes crackpot pseudo-scince, like electro-shock therapy,
> can cure AIDS, cancer, and even re-grow severed limbs.

Obviously it couldn't cure stupid, as Wayne was a shining example.

1655. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:26:34 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <hYydnaSZxtF4xa7eRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
>
>
> The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
> interference. The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
> transmissions and K1MAN isn't. the rules should apply to all. One final
> note, rules are only valid until a court says otherwise.

Still stupid as ever. Will you ever grow up?

1656. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:28:39 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <l7p6j1ptejb8r8iig6huqf5blkmeu25bis@4ax.com>
Source record: 119483
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 16:29:09 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Faith has nothing to do with science? Does this mean you can explain
>gravity?

Yes. When I sit down in my chair at my computer, I have a fair amount
of certainty that my fat ass won't end up on the floor due to the
chair suddenly breaking. Now, you can call that faith if you want, but
it is certainly far from the BLIND faith that religionists spew on
about every day across the shortwave bands.

>May I suggest that without faith, there is no science. Most
>scientists who have achieved the age of 50 come to realize just how
>much faith science requires.

...which only shows that even an intelligent and well-read person can
fall for stuff that passes as science if that have no critical
thinking abilities.

1657. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:31:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127442697.755527.233580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119482

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.classeradio.org/
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Interesting stuff IMO

Anyone know BTW if the component's cited would the ability to operate
up in 6M or 2M band.

After all In those bands AM would be a relitively narrow Mode

1658. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:34:01 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <c5q6j151m4gl4ia7feqjhoudnlc9qotrn4@4ax.com>
Source record: 119484
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 17:15:16 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
>himself to be an atheist. Such a person may not agree with any known
>(to himself) religious dogma, nevertheless, he does at the very least,
>possess a religious dogma of his own making.

If not an atheist, what do *you* call a person that doesn't believe in
some big sky fairy called "God", or that some Jew-on-a-stick died and
came back to life some two thousand years ago, or any other deity for
that matter?

1659. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:37:02 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <sdq6j1d85g137idvkebqmdrk7kqev2houf@4ax.com>
Source record: 119486
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:15:44 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>>
>>
>> By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
>> himself to be an atheist.
>
> Are you serious? You sound like the preacher who said that atheists
>with morals are hypocrites.
>
>

Those preachers don't believe anyone can be moral without bowing down
to their so-called kind, loving "Christian" god that threatens people
with eternal torture after they die if they don't kiss their god's
ass.

1660. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:46:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N%JYe.2396$0m6.1646@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119485

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

> Okay, so you were being sarcastic with that one post a while back. I shudda
> know better. ;^)

You must have me confused with somebody else. I wouldn't kid about a thing like
that!

1661. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:53:29 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <43336e29$0$22206$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119487
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>
>
> A few thousand compared to 50 million?
>

Well that certainly makes it ok. You mean you aren't an absolutist?

tom
K0TAR

1662. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:54:08 UTC
From: Mike <meclsisin@mail.ircsd.org>
Message-ID: <43337097@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 119488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is way off-topic. It has been asked to be moved to a more
appropriate newsgroup. Please take it out of these groups or converse by
e-mail.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:4bo6j1tlge94oprt3cb1i5rh217f5mfduq@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:01:10 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>
>>> If one were to draw any conclusion, it is that the religious act
>>> within the framework of their well recognized beliefs while atheists
>>> succumb fully to hubris as they lack any counter to their own whims.
>>>
>>> I do not deny that both are fallible, however, history demonstrates
>>> that the religious, despite their failures, have improved the human
>>> condition immeasurably where atheists have been only a blight upon
>>> civilization.
>>
>> Matt, I suspect you get your news (and history) from the 700 Club.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> What's the 700 Club?
>
> Let's not talk about me, I'm rather simple and boring. I find the
> issue of humans understanding themselves to be fascinating. Please
> contribute what you can.
>
>
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1663. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:54:24 UTC
From: Mike <meclsisin@mail.ircsd.org>
Message-ID: <433370bf@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 119489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is way off-topic. It has been asked to be moved to a more
appropriate newsgroup. Please take it out of these groups or converse by
e-mail.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:4bo6j1tlge94oprt3cb1i5rh217f5mfduq@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:01:10 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>
>>> If one were to draw any conclusion, it is that the religious act
>>> within the framework of their well recognized beliefs while atheists
>>> succumb fully to hubris as they lack any counter to their own whims.
>>>
>>> I do not deny that both are fallible, however, history demonstrates
>>> that the religious, despite their failures, have improved the human
>>> condition immeasurably where atheists have been only a blight upon
>>> civilization.
>>
>> Matt, I suspect you get your news (and history) from the 700 Club.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> What's the 700 Club?
>
> Let's not talk about me, I'm rather simple and boring. I find the
> issue of humans understanding themselves to be fascinating. Please
> contribute what you can.
>
>
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1664. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 02:59:36 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <43336f99$0$32203$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119490
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:

> Matt Osborn wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> A few thousand compared to 50 million?
>>
>
> Well that certainly makes it ok. You mean you aren't an absolutist?
>
> tom
> K0TAR
>

The difference between me and you is that I know it's always wrong, and
you seem to have left an opening for your "religion" to do evil things
becuase your morality says it's ok.

I'm sorry that you can't understand that an atheist can have morals not
tied to superstition, but to to true right and wrong.

tom
K0TAR

1665. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:01:00 UTC
From: jm <jm@notrash.com>
Message-ID: <UdKYe.36231$Tf5.13020@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 119491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:uin6j11lr3cqvh9et09u1jve4ekcjp7sq0@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>>
>>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>>difficult to diagnose.
>
> Maybe at one time, but many radio operators have taken steps to
> discourage such vandalism, such as running the coax via a path that is
> difficult to get to without being noticed. Besides, Baxter is probably
> someone that has video security around his station. Do you really
> think pinning his coax is something you actually think can feasibly be
> accomplished?

How about a grapple hook tied to a good strong truck, thrown quickly to the tower and it yanked down? "Possibly" done rather quickly and easily if one has a good throwing arm. OR how about a laser beam fired from a car on the street - using an AC Inverter for the power?

Seriously though - if someone wanted to "really" take him or his transmitter out - it could be done. No one is safe in this day and age. Hang in there, the FCC will do it..... I've seen them bust others and haul all the equipment away - towers included.

jm

1666. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:10:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AmKYe.2204$oc.999@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119492
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Matt Osborn> wrote

>
> By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
> himself to be an atheist.
>

"Everyone has to believe in something."

I guess I'll believe in Bokonon.

In Cat's Cradle, Kurt Vonnegut, Jr., created a new religion, Bokononism. The holy scripture of Bokononism was "Books of Bokonon", written by a spurious holy man named Bokonon as a way to distract the people of San Lorenzo from their pitiful lives.

What is sacred to Bokononists? Not god; just one thing: man.

Here, to set the tone, are the opening verses of Book One of Bokonon

Verse 1: All of the true things that I am about to tell you are shameless lies.

Verses 2-4: In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic loneliness.

And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see what We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth, and one was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as man sat up, looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of all this?" he asked politely.

"Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.

"Certainly," said man.

"Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.

And He went away

1667. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:13:50 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <z6mdnS5fn71y767eRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 119493
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>>>I hardly consider myself a fanatic, and am extremely unlike to "hammer"
>>>>anyone over religion, or lack there of.
>>>>
>>>>Some of the most fanatical are those members of the religion of atheism.
>>
>>Yeah? When was the last time an atheist:
>
>
> Ever hear of Stalin? Lenin? Mao?
>
> Can you name three other people who have been responsible for as many
> untimely deaths as these three?

This tired old argument???

First of all I notice that you haven't addressed any of the specific
examples I gave about *theists* and their religious crimes.
Second, all of the examples I gave are about theists committing crimes
against humanity precisely *because* of their religion, directly and
indirectly.
As far as "Stalin, Lenin and Mao" are concerned, their crimes were most
certainly not committed in order to promote atheism, unless that atheism
was a vehicle for establishing communism, which btw, is de facto a form
of religion. Religion was not outlawed in the USSR and priests were
being prosecuted no more than the rest of the society. Communism and
theism can co-exist and did successfully in most communist countries.
Besides, Stalin spent years in a seminary. Some atheist.
One could just as successfully argue that since "Stalin, Lenin and Mao"
committed crimes and they all ate bread, then by your logic people who
eat bread are murderers.

1668. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:14:29 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <uks6j1d9uu5tnq73pgd6ddc7kabsvfvvim@4ax.com>
Source record: 119496
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:39:00 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:43:39 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 09:47:45 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Ever hear of Stalin? Lenin? Mao?
>>>
>>>Can you name three other people who have been responsible for as many
>>>untimely deaths as these three?
>>
>>Just about every Roman Catholic pope that served from about 300 to
>>1600 C.E. I don't know their names, but I'm pretty sure there were
>>more than three during that time period...
>>
>
>A few thousand compared to 50 million?

Hardly, but I don't think we'll ever know how many millions have been
slaughtered by the RCC during the time period I mentioned.

1669. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:21:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <54t6j19dnm69jo0fl971hml0dqg1c45tp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 119494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:58:57 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>>rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>>
>>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>>difficult to diagnose.
>
>Maybe at one time, but many radio operators have taken steps to
>discourage such vandalism, such as running the coax via a path that is
>difficult to get to without being noticed. Besides, Baxter is probably
>someone that has video security around his station. Do you really
>think pinning his coax is something you actually think can feasibly be
>accomplished?

If cutting it with a hacksaw can, yes.

1670. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:28:58 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Bd-dnfOQuoLh667eRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 119495
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

> In all cases, they believe and act according to their beliefs. That
> the atheists fall prey to their unrecognized beliefs cannot be
> disputed.

And this is because you say so?

> I do not deny that both are fallible, however, history demonstrates
> that the religious, despite their failures, have improved the human
> condition immeasurably where atheists have been only a blight upon
> civilization.

??? You have got to be kidding? What has religion possibly done for
anyone except provided false hope while stealing their money?

Stifling science (from Galileo to stem cells), witch burning, condoning
slavery, religious genocide on unprecedented scale, eradicating whole
civilizations, oppressing women, condoning fascism and
national-socialism, suppressing human rights for minorities, huge waste
of resources that could be better spent elsewhere, contributing to
millions of dead from AIDS by banning contraceptives, flaming national
and religious intolerance from Ireland to Palestine, and I could go on
forever...

As for your "blight" comment, a majority of scientists are atheists, for
example. The percentage increases with education. That's education *not*
Kansas style.

73 ... WA7AA

1671. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:32:30 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <67t6j19lltlhrl1ur122grd9ksf7sbru4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 119497
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 19:56:39 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 16:45:46 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 17:15:16 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>
>>>By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
>>>himself to be an atheist.
>>necessarily contradicts:
>>>Most often, the atheist will insist on science or common sense as his
>>>moral foundation.
>
>The contradiction lies with those who believe themselves atheists, not
>with those who point out the contradiction.

Hi Matt,

Well, in fact the contradiction originated with your statements. No
one else theist/atheist posited them. As to what is "believed" by
anyone, that is a speculation when it is expressed by a third party,
you about "them" (whomever they may be).

>I'm still waiting for an atheist to explain how it is
>that he can have a moral point of view and not have a religious dogma.

Unfortunately, you've presented yourself as being the arbiter of who
is an atheist, and denying that classification of them by your own
test. This is self-fulfilling prophesy.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1672. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:36:22 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11j6u1tnov2fpf8@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119498
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ted these guys don't know......

If they aren't on some sin ridden guilt trip they are "free from sin" by
papal absolution...you know..the same way those pedophile priests were all
"forgiven" for butt-fucking little children. Ain't it great??

--

Charlie

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:c5q6j151m4gl4ia7feqjhoudnlc9qotrn4@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 17:15:16 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>
>
>>By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
>>himself to be an atheist. Such a person may not agree with any known
>>(to himself) religious dogma, nevertheless, he does at the very least,
>>possess a religious dogma of his own making.
>
>
> If not an atheist, what do *you* call a person that doesn't believe in
> some big sky fairy called "God", or that some Jew-on-a-stick died and
> came back to life some two thousand years ago, or any other deity for
> that matter?

1673. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 03:39:56 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11j6u8mh9mtd6b7@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Blow it out your ass....

--

Charlie

"Mike" <meclsisin@mail.ircsd.org> wrote in message
news:433370bf@news.usenetzone.com...
> This is way off-topic. It has been asked to be moved to a more
> appropriate newsgroup. Please take it out of these groups or converse by
> e-mail.
>
>
> <Matt Osborn> wrote in message
> news:4bo6j1tlge94oprt3cb1i5rh217f5mfduq@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:01:10 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>>
>>>> If one were to draw any conclusion, it is that the religious act
>>>> within the framework of their well recognized beliefs while atheists
>>>> succumb fully to hubris as they lack any counter to their own whims.
>>>>
>>>> I do not deny that both are fallible, however, history demonstrates
>>>> that the religious, despite their failures, have improved the human
>>>> condition immeasurably where atheists have been only a blight upon
>>>> civilization.
>>>
>>> Matt, I suspect you get your news (and history) from the 700 Club.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> What's the 700 Club?
>>
>> Let's not talk about me, I'm rather simple and boring. I find the
>> issue of humans understanding themselves to be fascinating. Please
>> contribute what you can.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com
>

>
>
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1674. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 04:01:14 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <e6LYe.132420$Hx4.14048@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119550
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Boy, Charlie, I bet you didn't expect your posting of a newsworthy item
to turn into a philosophical debate of religion and atheism, did you?
To get things back on topic, I hope that the amateur radio operators are
getting ready for Rita. It looks like it will be another bad one, too.

"Charlie" <chas@aol.com> wrote in message
news:11htogetcauugb1@news.supernews.com...
> 1. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9228945/
>
> --
>
> Charlie - AD5TH
> www.ad5th.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1675. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 05:11:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1127452261.878181.296900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119551

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >> No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode
> >> which ought to have been retired about 1965.
>
> > Why? AM is a legal mode, with advantages and disadvantages.
>
> AM is a relic, inefficient in it's use of spectrum, and inefficient in it's use
> of power (only half of the transmitted power contains intelligence and half of
> that half is discarded at the receiver!).

And they don't have no twin vfos or memories or autotuners and even
worse they don't have no ears at all . . WTF . .??!

> > One of the key elements of the spirit of experimentation in
> > something "different" is not being constrained by what is
> > considered electropolitically correct.
>
> I don't know WTF the phrase "electropolitically correct" is even supposed to
> mean.
>
> > Besides, the Class E technology can be adapted to
> > a variety of modes.
>
> Yes, it certainly can. Which makes it all the more puzzling that they'd
> showcase it with a doddering old mode like AM. Class E >>is<< technologically
> interesting, and kind of "electro-sexy". Showcasing it in an "AM suit" is
> equivalent to showcasing Jessica Simpson in a fully secured HAZMAT suit.
>
> Incidentally, the technology is a little older than Jessica. See "Class-E, A
> New Class of High-Efficiency Tuned, Single-Ended Switching Power Amplifiers",
> IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits, Vol SC-10, >>June 1975,<< pp. 168-175.

Yea verily, I heard about class E beaucoup years ago and Googled it,
found the link to that paper but since I'm not an IEEE member I wasn't
allowed access to it.

> Beep beep

DIT.

> de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1676. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 05:18:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1127452681.591718.311260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119552

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://www.classeradio.org/
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Interesting stuff IMO
>
> Anyone know BTW if the component's cited would the ability to operate
> up in 6M or 2M band.
>
> After all In those bands AM would be a relitively narrow Mode

Yeah, right. AM is narrower on 6 and 2 than it is on 75. Way to go
Colonel.

1677. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 07:48:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127461690.939007.82870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

grow up

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
> >are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
> One of those rules is that we must never top post.

no such rule

there may be a convention, but no rule
>
> >One of those rules is that we must
> >not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
> >progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
> >
> >These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
> >whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
> >most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
> >condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
> >frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> A: Top posting
> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?

not even even close
>
>
>
> >Wayne P. Muckleroy
> >(KC8UIO)
> >
> >"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
> >news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
cut

1678. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 07:50:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127461802.785327.222460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119554

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > http://www.classeradio.org/
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Interesting stuff IMO
> >
> > Anyone know BTW if the component's cited would the ability to operate
> > up in 6M or 2M band.
> >
> > After all In those bands AM would be a relitively narrow Mode
>
> Yeah, right. AM is narrower on 6 and 2 than it is on 75. Way to go
> Colonel.

BUZZ blew it again

you can't read again. It is RELITIVELY narrower on any band where FM is
common

1679. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 10:49:39 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <75RYe.17006$ib1.4855@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OK, so let's idolize K1DUDE and follow his example. We'll all start ignoring the rules and do as we please as long as we can get away with it. Before long, all amateur bands would be reduced to a bunch of "Citizen's Bands."

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1127438135.819746.45530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
>> It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>> are rules for which all of us must abide. One of those rules is that we
>> must
>> not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>> progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>> These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>> whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>> most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>> condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>> frustrating as that approach may be.
>>
>> Wayne P. Muckleroy
>> (KC8UIO)
>>
> The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
> interference. The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
> transmissions and K1MAN isn't. the rules should apply to all. One final
> note, rules are only valid until a court says otherwise.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00
>

1680. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 10:52:47 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <38RYe.17007$ib1.12331@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What's a "top post" and what section of the FCC codes is that rule found?
Once I know this information, I will comply fully with the regulation.

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>>One of those rules is that we must
>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> A: Top posting
> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>
>
>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>(KC8UIO)
>>
>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>
>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>
>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>> The N9OGL Show

>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>> WGI Center
>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>
>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>
>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share,
>>> your
>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do
>>> not
>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>> many
>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>> That's
>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>> more
>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>
>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off
>>> 14,275.
>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>
>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>> hack
>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>> neighbors
>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with
>>> good
>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>
>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel
>>> about
>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
>>> bet
>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would
>>> not
>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just
>>> repeat
>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good
>>> a

>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing
>>> the
>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>> three
>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>> his
>>> broadcasts out.
>>>
>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then
>>> you
>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may
>>> be
>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the
>>> mess
>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>
>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time
>>> on
>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>
>>> Cheers ...
>>> Wayne
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1681. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 11:33:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127475193.182353.104290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119557

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >> No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog
> >> mode which ought to have been retired about 1965.
>
> > Why? AM is a legal mode, with advantages and disadvantages.
>
> AM is a relic, inefficient in it's use of spectrum, and
> inefficient in it's use
> of power (only half of the transmitted power contains
> intelligence and half of
> that half is discarded at the receiver!).

Actually, in an AM signal (full carrier modulated 100%) only 1/3 of the power is in the sidebands. The other 2/3 is in the carrier.

In an AM receiver designed for the mode, all of the received sideband power is used - none of it is discarded.

OTOH, the PEP of an AM signal is four times the carrier power.

By comparison, two SSB signals can easily fit in the spectrum used by one AM signal. With no carrier heterodyne squealing.

OTOH, ten PSK31 or Morse Code signals can easily fit in the spectrum used by one SSB signal. So who is the spectrum hog?

> > One of the key elements of the spirit of experimentation in
> > something "different" is not being constrained by what is
> > considered electropolitically correct.
>
> I don't know WTF the phrase "electropolitically correct"
> is even supposed to mean.

It's a term I invented. It's political correctness applied to technology.

Here's an example:

"AM is a relic, inefficient in its use of spectrum, and inefficient in its use of power"

> > Besides, the Class E technology can be adapted to
> > a variety of modes.
>
> Yes, it certainly can. Which makes it all the more

> puzzling that they'd
> showcase it with a doddering old mode like AM.

Does old mean bad?

PROFESSIONALS still use AM, btw. To some people, if something is used
by PROFESSIONALS, it's electropolitically correct.

> Class E >>is<< technologically
> interesting, and kind of "electro-sexy".

Why? For me, one of the attractions is that you can't just run out and
buy it.

> Showcasing it in an "AM suit" is
> equivalent to showcasing Jessica Simpson in a fully secured
> HAZMAT suit.

Class E can't do SSB - it's not linear. Nor can it do PSK31 for the
same reason, although it might be adaptable with a modulator.

> Incidentally, the technology is a little older than Jessica.

I prefer classier types.

> See "Class-E, A
> New Class of High-Efficiency Tuned, Single-Ended Switching
> Power Amplifiers",
> IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits, Vol SC-10, >>June 1975,<< pp. 168-175.

Yup. But a theoretical paper is one thing, and a practical project
that's on-the-air is something else.

And there's a reason for the AM emphasis.

One of the advantages of AM is its extreme simplicity. Remember rigs
with simple screen modulators, or the classic "Simplest Modulator" that
plugged into the key jack of a cathode-keyed Morse Code transmitter?

One of the disadvantages of AM is the difficulty and expense of high
power. Remember 500 watt modulation transformers and all the trimmings
needed to run legal-limit AM back in the days of "1000 watts plate
input"?

High power AM for hams was so expensive that only a few legal-limit AM
rigs were ever built for hams. I know of only two - the Collins KW-1
and the EF Johnson Desk Kilowatt. Both were in the "if you have to ask
you can't afford them" price range. There was also the size and weight
situation. 6 foot rack weighing hundreds of pounds was 'compact'.

So it was a natural for hams to homebrew AM rigs and keep looking for
that magic idea which would make high power AM more affordable and
compact.

With SSB, the complexity is all in the small lowlevel stuff. How many hams would homebrew an SSB transceiver?

But high power SSB could be done in a desktop box like the SB-220. At first enterprising hams built their own, but that became increasingly rare.

The SSB transceiver and the grounded-grid Class B linear amplifier did as much to kill off homebrewing and tinkering
as any other factor.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1682. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 11:44:35 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127476030_6553@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119558
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> And He went away

Later He sent Lucifer back to earth to see what was going on.
Lucifer wrote "Letters From Earth" back to God - one of my
favorite books.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1683. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 11:48:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127476277_6555@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119559
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charlie wrote:
> If they aren't on some sin ridden guilt trip they are "free from sin" by
> papal absolution...you know..the same way those pedophile priests were all
> "forgiven" for butt-fucking little children. Ain't it great??

Atheists cannot afford to sin - there's no one to forgive them.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1684. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 11:52:38 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127476514_6559@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119560
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pat Stevens wrote:
> To get things back on topic, I hope that the amateur radio operators are
> getting ready for Rita. It looks like it will be another bad one, too.

From what a ham told me yesterday while sitting on I-45N, he may
still be sitting there out of gas when Rita hits. He had moved
half a mile in the last hour.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1685. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 13:42:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uDTYe.2563$0m6.487@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks, Barry, for the reminder.

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>>One of those rules is that we must
>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>
> A: Top posting
> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>
>
>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>(KC8UIO)
>>
>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>
>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>
>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz

>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>> WGI Center
>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>
>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>
>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your
>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not
>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>> many
>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>> That's
>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>> more
>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>
>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275.
>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>
>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>> hack
>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>> neighbors
>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good
>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>
>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about
>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
>>> bet
>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not
>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat
>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a
>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the
>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>> three
>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>> his
>>> broadcasts out.
>>>
>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you
>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be

>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess
>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>
>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on
>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>
>>> Cheers ...
>>> Wayne
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1686. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 13:46:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZGTYe.2565$0m6.2460@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119562
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote

> Later He sent Lucifer back to earth to see what was going on.
> Lucifer wrote "Letters From Earth" back to God - one of my
> favorite books.

Much better than Huck Finn!

Are you hunkered down waiting for the rain?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1687. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 14:08:30 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2IGdnSbftaj1kaneRVn-uQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 119563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

but has anyone actually heard k1man on the air in the last few months????

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:uDTYe.2563$0m6.487@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Thanks, Barry, for the reminder.
>
> "Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
>> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>>
>> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>>
>>>One of those rules is that we must
>>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>>
>>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>>
>> A: Top posting
>> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>>
>>
>>
>>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>>(KC8UIO)
>>>
>>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>>
>>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to
>>>>> Court
>>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the

>>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>>
>>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>>> WGI Center
>>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>>
>>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>>
>>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share,
>>>> your
>>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do
>>>> not
>>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>>> many
>>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>>> That's
>>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>>> more
>>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>>
>>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off
>>>> 14,275.
>>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>>
>>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you
>>>> wouldn't
>>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>>> hack
>>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>>> neighbors
>>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with
>>>> good
>>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>>
>>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter
>>>> than
>>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel
>>>> about
>>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts.

>>>> I'll
>>>> bet
>>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would
>>>> not
>>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just
>>>> repeat
>>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as
>>>> good a
>>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing
>>>> the
>>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>>> three
>>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>>> his
>>>> broadcasts out.
>>>>
>>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then
>>>> you
>>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may
>>>> be
>>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the
>>>> mess
>>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>>
>>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time
>>>> on
>>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>>
>>>> Cheers ...
>>>> Wayne
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>> Barry
>> =====
>> Home page
>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>
>

1688. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 15:31:32 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127489647_10041@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119564
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> Are you hunkered down waiting for the rain?

For awhile, I thought Rita was going to come up I-45 but
latest estimates has it sweeping through East of me which
is good news. However, if two high pressure areas merge,
Rita will stall out over East Texas and dump tens of
inches of rain on me and my kinfolks. (The HWN frequency
is 14.325 MHz at 2200 UTC.) My ISP will probably go down
tomorrow morning.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1689. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 15:47:32 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <acadb$433423b9$97d56a13$24586@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119565
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Could you give us some specifics to support your broad assertions?

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:52n6j1ltspei2qc17vnhelkaccvsvuolfr@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 09:47:45 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>
>
> >Ever hear of Stalin? Lenin? Mao?
> >
> >Can you name three other people who have been responsible for as many
> >untimely deaths as these three?
>
> Just about every Roman Catholic pope that served from about 300 to
> 1600 C.E. I don't know their names, but I'm pretty sure there were
> more than three during that time period...
>
>

1690. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 15:50:56 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dq78j1hbqtor6ig9f90vvbhlij75437ijh@4ax.com>
Source record: 119567
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 09:54:20 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>No Richard, it didn't originate from me.
Again, in contradiction with:
>I merely presented the views
with an affirmation of it being speculation:
>of others in a new light.
This is commonly known as putting your words in other's mouths. Again, self-fulfilling prophecy.

>So you think everyone else should be the arbiter? Or perhaps that
>anybody else should be the arbiter?

Hi Matt,

A very strange question. You offer it in terms of a projection. The question:
>So you think everyone else should be the arbiter?
Is phrased not about a neutral subject, who should be arbiter, but rather is couched as do I think....

The neutral context would have simply asked: Who should be arbiter?

What I think is expressed by me, not you. You might ask questions, but phrasing them in speculative terms and projecting them into my mind is a prejudicial bias.

>Once again though, we digress from the argument. Does an atheist
>believe in anything outside himself? I submit that he most certainly
>does. You have admitted as much when you introduced ethics which
>requires a basis.

See what I mean? You have injected your own belief by asserting I made an admission. An admission is a loaded statement in a Christian cultural context relating to guilt. You could have as easily pointed out I raised the subject, which is a neutral observation. As such, this bias is inserting itself as a judgment of the moral validity and application of otherwise bland statements.

>Feel free to present an argument that rebuts any of those points.

Once you free yourself of contextual prejudices.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1691. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:01:08 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127491424_10065@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119568
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> If you can present a cogent argument for ethics and morality that
> requires absolutely no assumptions, then you could make a case for
> atheism.

Please see Ayn Rand's, "Introduction to Objectivism".
Everything is based on reality. Absolutely no belief
in the supernatural required.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1692. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:08:56 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <913a$433428be$97d56a13$25422@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119569
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You say that like it's a bad thing...

How can you deny the fact that God has sent two hurricanes to wipe out the
Sodom of the South?

New Orleans was filled with perversion, pimps, homosexuals, Jezebels, and
all manner of sins.

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:Bd-dnfOQuoLh667eRVn-jg@comcast.com...
> ??? You have got to be kidding? What has religion possibly done for
> anyone except provided false hope while stealing their money?
>
> Stifling science (from Galileo to stem cells), witch burning, condoning
> slavery, religious genocide on unprecedented scale, eradicating whole
> civilizations, oppressing women, condoning fascism and
> national-socialism, suppressing human rights for minorities, huge waste
> of resources that could be better spent elsewhere, contributing to
> millions of dead from AIDS by banning contraceptives, flaming national
> and religious intolerance from Ireland to Palestine, and I could go on
> forever...
>
> As for your "blight" comment, a majority of scientists are atheists, for
> example. The percentage increases with education. That's education *not*
> Kansas style.
>
> 73 ... WA7AA

1693. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:11:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vOVYe.2215$zQ3.953@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119570
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Matt Osborn> wrote

>
> If we have faith, then we are not atheists, we are religious, we
> believe.
>

If we have faith in a god, we are theists, and therefore religious.

If we are not believers in a god, we are by definition atheists and therefore
not religious, all your Alice-in-Wonderland style wordsmithing notwithstanding.

Everyone has to believe something. I believe I'll have another martini.

1694. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:17:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1127492257.911054.256880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119571
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> You say that like it's a bad thing...
>
> How can you deny the fact that God has sent two hurricanes to wipe out the
> Sodom of the South?

very easy, since no such thing has happened. NO still stands and will
back to something like norml in a few weeks to months
>
> New Orleans was filled with perversion, pimps, homosexuals, Jezebels, and
> all manner of sins.
>
>
>
>
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> news:Bd-dnfOQuoLh667eRVn-jg@comcast.com...
> > ??? You have got to be kidding? What has religion possibly done for
> > anyone except provided false hope while stealing their money?
> >
> > Stifling science (from Galileo to stem cells), witch burning, condoning
> > slavery, religious genocide on unprecedented scale, eradicating whole
> > civilizations, oppressing women, condoning fascism and
> > national-socialism, suppressing human rights for minorities, huge waste
> > of resources that could be better spent elsewhere, contributing to
> > millions of dead from AIDS by banning contraceptives, flaming national
> > and religious intolerance from Ireland to Palestine, and I could go on
> > forever...
> >
> > As for your "blight" comment, a majority of scientists are atheists, for
> > example. The percentage increases with education. That's education *not*
> > Kansas style.
> >
> > 73 ... WA7AA

1695. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:18:04 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <92f97$43342ae1$97d56a13$25816@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119572
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Things I have learned from watching the news about Hurricane Katrina on TV:

1. The hurricane only hit black family's property.

2. New Orleans was devastated and no other city was affected by the hurricane.

3. Mississippi is reported to have a tree blown down.

4. New Orleans has no white people.

5. The hurricane blew a limb off a tree in the yard of an Alabama resident.

6. When you are hungry after a hurricane destroys your property, steal a big screen TV.

7. The hurricane did 23 billion dollars in improvements to New Orleans: now the city is welfare, looters and gang free and they are in your city.

8. White folks don't make good news stories.

9. Don't give thanks to the thousands that came to help rescue you, instead bitch because the government hasn't given you a debit card yet.

10. Only black family members got separated in the hurricane rescue efforts.

11. Ignore warnings to evacuate and the Government will come get you and give you money for being stupid.

12. Oh, and it is all George Bush's fault, not the mayor's or Governor's.

1696. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:36:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jaWYe.2400$oc.776@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119573
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote

>
> How can you deny the fact that God has sent two hurricanes to wipe out the
> Sodom of the South?
>

Is that the same god who murdered-by-fire all those old folks on that evacuation bus on I-45 this morning? If so, he's one mean perverted summabitch if you ask me!

73, de Hans, K0HB

1697. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:50:12 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11j8cii7qh19l05@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119574
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"He that makes kittens...puts snakes in the grass"

--

Charlie

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jaWYe.2400$oc.776@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote
>
>>
>> How can you deny the fact that God has sent two hurricanes to wipe out
>> the
>> Sodom of the South?
>>
>
> Is that the same god who murdered-by-fire all those old folks on that
> evacuation bus on I-45 this morning? If so, he's one mean perverted
> summabitch if you ask me!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1698. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 16:53:04 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <vvKdnTBOGctmvqneRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119566

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>>No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode
>>>which ought to have been retired about 1965.
>
>
>>Why? AM is a legal mode, with advantages and disadvantages.
>
>
> AM is a relic, inefficient in it's use of spectrum, and inefficient in it's use
> of power (only half of the transmitted power contains intelligence and half of
> that half is discarded at the receiver!).

But some hams still like to operate AM, nothing wrong with that.

1699. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 17:26:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127496385.984379.106650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

your the one who's fucking stupid asshole

1700. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 17:38:17 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <b330e$43343db0$97d56a13$28945@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119576
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nah, just living up to the self full filling prophecy of the other member of this conference, who is fond of painting with extremely broad brushes.

Demonstrating absurdity by being absurd.

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jaWYe.2400$oc.776@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote
>
> >
> > How can you deny the fact that God has sent two hurricanes to wipe out
the
> > Sodom of the South?
> >
>
> Is that the same god who murdered-by-fire all those old folks on that
evacuation
> bus on I-45 this morning? If so, he's one mean perverted summabitch if
you ask
> me!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>

1701. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 17:51:36 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <433440ac$0$9217@dingus.crosslink.net>
Source record: 119577
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Matt Osborn> wrote
> By definition, anyone who has a system of beliefs cannot consider
> himself to be an atheist.

That is simply not true. An athiest is, by dictionary definition, one who denies the existence of any god(s). He or she may very well have a sophisticated (or simple) system of morals and beliefs that exclude gods.

1702. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 17:57:36 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <43344214$0$9217@dingus.crosslink.net>
Source record: 119578
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote
> If not an atheist, what do *you* call a person that doesn't believe in
> some big sky fairy called "God", or that some Jew-on-a-stick died and
> came back to life some two thousand years ago, or any other deity for
> that matter?

"Agnostic". Look it up. An athiest denies the existence of any god; an
agnostic says it is impossible to know.

1703. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 18:11:26 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <43344552$0$9219@dingus.crosslink.net>
Source record: 119579
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Matt Osborn> wrote
> If we have faith, then we are not atheists, we are religious, we
> believe.

Your vocabulary needs work. "Atheist" does not mean lack of faith. An athiest HAS faith ... faith that there is no god. One can have faith in his/her ideals, friends, a million things, and still be an athiest.

1704. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 18:20:25 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dph8j1d15l4ok5q8vrs97269f2dvet9jgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 119581
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 12:18:04 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:
>Things I have learned from watching the news about Hurricane Katrina on TV:

Hi Fred,

With that kind of lead-in, it naturally pollutes everything that
follows - worst yet is that you are suffering problems of water damage
by standing in that pollution.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1705. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 18:20:56 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <4334478c$0$9217@dingus.crosslink.net>
Source record: 119580
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote
> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 09:47:45 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
> >Ever hear of Stalin? Lenin? Mao?
> >
> >Can you name three other people who have been responsible for as many
> >untimely deaths as these three?
>
> Just about every Roman Catholic pope that served from about 300 to
> 1600 C.E. I don't know their names, but I'm pretty sure there were
> more than three during that time period...
>
Not to mention Hitler. IIRC his record was right up there with Lenin and
Stalin. Mao an athiest?? I believe he was a Taoist.

1706. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 18:35:54 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <43344b0e$0$9219@dingus.crosslink.net>
Source record: 119582
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Matt Osborn> wrote
> Zoran Brlecic<...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:
> >Matt Osborn wrote:
> >
> >
> >> In all cases, they believe and act according to their beliefs. That
> >> the atheists fall prey to their unrecognized beliefs cannot be
> >> disputed.
> >
> >And this is because you say so?
>
> Please counter the argument. If atheists do not have faith in their
> beliefs, then upon what basis would they judge their choices?

Jeeze, do y'all speak English or own dictionaries? Athiests DO have faith in
their beliefs, one of which is that there is no god.
>
> Law itself is derived directly from religious thought. If there were
> no belief system, there could be no law. Dictatorships have no law,
> that's what makes them so awful.

That is so absolutely wrong that it is hard to explain. Dictatorships *do*
have law. Check out Hitler's Germany, Franco's Spain or, more recently
Saddam's Iraq - all with stringent legal codes and none athiestic. OTOH,
there are legal systems, Marxist Russia for example, that had plenty of laws
but denied the existence of any god making them athiestic.

1707. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 19:19:33 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <9zYYe.359783$x96.294182@attbi_s72>
Source record: 119583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:2IGdnSbftaj1kaneRVn-uQ@crocker.com...
> but has anyone actually heard k1man on the air in the last few months????

You will - for ten more sad years, if the FCC ever renews his license.

1708. Re: New AMATEUR RADIO POLICY google group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 19:21:16 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <MAYYe.361258$_o.358840@attbi_s71>
Source record: 119584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1125028274.166502.193800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> hello all, i've started a new group for the discussion of amateur radio
> policy and regulations. This group is for those who want to talk about
> REAL AMATEUR RADIO POLICY AND REGULATION.
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Policy
>
>
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

How about: "AMATEUR RADIO POLICY AND LACK OF FCC REGULATION" ?

1709. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 19:33:19 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dh1l9v$1f1q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119585
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:
> Tom Ring wrote:
>
>> Matt Osborn wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> A few thousand compared to 50 million?
>>>
>>
>> Well that certainly makes it ok. You mean you aren't an absolutist?
>>
>> tom
>> K0TAR
>>
>
> The difference between me and you is that I know it's always wrong, and
> you seem to have left an opening for your "religion" to do evil things
> becuase your morality says it's ok.
>
> I'm sorry that you can't understand that an atheist can have morals not
> tied to superstition, but to to true right and wrong.

First Crusade:

12,000 Jews killed in the Rhine valley.
6000 killed in Jerusalem when they torched the synagogue there
30,000 Muslims killed at the al Aqsa mosque.
at least 200,000 killed over the length of the crusades.

Then let us not forget the Iquisitions, witch-hunts and other jollies
that went on.

And there is plenty more to go around on all sides. Appears

We're a long way from "a few thousand".

But as the recent poster Mike has noted, this is pretty off topic, so
I'll refrain from any more posting in this thread.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1710. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 19:40:47 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dh1lo0$1aom$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119586
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> <Matt Osborn> wrote
>
>
>>If we have faith, then we are not atheists, we are religious, we
>>believe.
>>
>
>
> If we have faith in a god, we are theists, and therefore religious.
>
> If we are not believers in a god, we are by definition atheists and therefore
> not religious, all your Alice-in-Wonderland style wordsmithing notwithstanding.
>
> Everyone has to believe something. I believe I'll have another martini.

I believe next time you should invite me!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1711. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 20:01:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127505663.594608.144440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't sponge of my parents, try again asshole

1712. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 20:06:51 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <CKadnfjfz9P5_aneRVn-gg@crocker.com>
Source record: 119590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

i haven't heard him in quite a while, either on 75 or 20m... has anyone
else???

"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
news:9zYYe.359783$x96.294182@attbi_s72...
>
> "Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:2IGdnSbftaj1kaneRVn-uQ@crocker.com...
>> but has anyone actually heard k1man on the air in the last few months????
>
> You will - for ten more sad years, if the FCC ever renews his license.
>
>

1713. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 20:43:21 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dh1pd9cjr1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119591
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There are no such things as "good" and "evil" or "right" and "wrong".

Except as opinions which depend on the point of view from which an individual may perceive events. Points of view are randomly distributed and depend solely on one's self-interests and one's location in space relative to the crowd.

Self interest is the keyword. But distant events (as most of them are) in time and space are rapidly attenuated in their effects on one's opinion. Which results in most people's opinions being neutral about most things, neither good nor bad.

Which is just the same as saying most people just don't think about things unless things are in their immediately vicinity. People are naturally mentally bone idle. As with all lifeforms, this conserves energy which is always in short supply.

Reg.

1714. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 20:53:41 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <372dnVgODZLCwaneRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119587
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> You say that like it's a bad thing...
>
> How can you deny the fact that God has sent two hurricanes to wipe out the
> Sodom of the South?
>
> New Orleans was filled with perversion, pimps, homosexuals, Jezebels, and
> all manner of sins.

Along with a lot of good people as well, same with the Texas coast. So
if God has all this power, why does he have to destroy a city and good
people just to get rid of a few bad ones? Why doesn't he just strike the
bad ones dead? Why use a hurricane?

1715. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 20:55:35 UTC
From: Carter-K8VT <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <bZZYe.873$rx5.599@newssvr22.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:

> The rules should also apply to W1AW who has also caused malicious
> interference.

Todd,

If you substitute "incidental" or "unintentional" for your use of
malicious, I might agree with your premise, at least on the surface.
However...

N9OGL wrote:

> The problem is W1AW is allowed to interfere with on going
> transmissions and K1MAN isn't.

...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
published schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
"broadcasts" in the past.

-73-
Carter
K8VT

1716. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 20:56:45 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <372dnVsODZKKwKneRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> your the one who's fucking stupid asshole
>

Aw toddieboy, you hurt my feelings. NOT!!! Still using your jr.
high-school kewel language I see. Can you get any more stupid?
Think you will ever grow up and stop sponging off your mommy and daddy?

1717. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 22:17:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aa%Ye.2500$oc.1592@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119595
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Matt Osborn> wrote

> To rely upon faith requires an explicit acknowledgment of an unknown
> power greater than oneself.

No it doesn't. It simply requires an explicit acknowledgement of "we haven't learned the reason yet". No need for superstitions.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1718. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 22:43:35 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <u509j1l2f7k3ls7h3q5fmobrqv77hcvhsk@4ax.com>
Source record: 119596
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 17:04:01 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>No Richard, you have apparently run out of cogent thoughts
reveals the inverted irony of another projection:
>have now resorted to attacking me personally instead of addressing the
>issue.

Hi Matt,

Such intemperate whimpering.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1719. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 22:48:05 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <pf19j1hehs70vnn4othl33n3115g9e0262@4ax.com>
Source record: 119597
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 09:50:09 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Anyone who has a basis for ethical and moral behavior must bow to the
>authority of that basis. Some call that authority G-d, atheists
>refuse to identify that authority, or simply identify it as science or
>knowledge.
>
>We are not so fortunate that we can believe in the possibility of
>moral or ethical behavior without also presenting a basis for that
>behavior.

If that's the case, then I am my own authority since they are my
ethics and morals.

1720. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 22:51:36 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <O5edndgWyref5anenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't sponge of my parents, try again asshole
>
So you keep saying, but why does a 30 something-year-old keep living
with mommy and daddy? Why don't you get a real job and get out and fend
for yourself for a change?

1721. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 22:52:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <O5edndsWyreu5anenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Carter-K8VT wrote:

>
>
> ...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
> is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
> is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
> *published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
> they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
> had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
> "broadcasts" in the past.

Toddyboy can't relate to what you are saying, he wants to be another
K1MAN and 'broadcast'.

1722. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 22:52:25 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <vk19j11he6ad31lokok7vpgjppub75t42i@4ax.com>
Source record: 119598
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 10:02:33 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>I believe such a person isn't a Christian, or Jewish or a member of
>any other well known religion.
>
>I myself do not adopt the literal trappings of any known religion.
>Nevertheless, I do recognize the existence of an authority greater
>than my own upon which I have formed my system of beliefs. I can find
>no substantial difference between my faith and that of those who may
>identify themselves with any other religious organization.

If that works for you, fine. There are, however, some who would make
it mandatory for everyone to attend church every Sunday.

1723. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-23 22:56:41 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <op19j1h2efhkkvk9lokp7mv4j1243c6u21@4ax.com>
Source record: 119599
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 13:57:36 -0400, "Vito" <vito@crosslink.net> wrote:

>"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote
>> If not an atheist, what do *you* call a person that doesn't believe in
>> some big sky fairy called "God", or that some Jew-on-a-stick died and
>> came back to life some two thousand years ago, or any other deity for
>> that matter?
>
>"Agnostic". Look it up. An athiest denies the existence of any god; an
>agnostic says it is impossible to know.

"Agnostic" is a cop-out word for someone that doesn't want to admit
that they're an atheist. It just sounds nicer. If you say that it's
impossible to know if there is a god or not, you obviously don't
acknowledge the existence of any god. That makes you an atheist.

1724. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 00:11:18 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <4769j1p0sjk46fbbkbgqkmdcv1m2rhehel@4ax.com>
Source record: 119501
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 18:44:29 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 22:17:42 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>
>><Matt Osborn> wrote
>>
>>> To rely upon faith requires an explicit acknowledgment of an unknown
>>> power greater than oneself.
>>
>>No it doesn't. It simply requires an explicit acknowledgement of "we haven't
>>learned the reason yet". No need for superstitions.
>
>There is never a need for superstitions. Recognizing the unknown is
>good enough for me.

Wise men stare at the unknown and boldly search for answers and ask
"WHY?". Others fall on their hands and knees and start babbling, "God
did it! God did it!" - Thus spake god's creator.

1725. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 00:11:35 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1127520851_10707@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 119500
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> On what basis may an atheist be ethical or moral?

If he rationally treats others the way he wants to
be rationally treated. Atheists are obviously more
rational than people who believe in an unproven
supernatural.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1726. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 00:29:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127521751.928004.86060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
>is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
>is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
>*published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
>they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
>had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
>"broadcasts" in the past.

doesn't matter Interference is interference, and ANYONE or GROUP who
causes interference should pay regardless if it for the "common good".
As for published schedule the FCC has stated that do mean squat, my
view is that all who cause interference should pay.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00

1727. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 00:33:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127522015.816665.99700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I know a lot of people who live with their parents, I'm right now in college so I don't have the time with all my classes to get a job. Unlike a little troll like yourself I'm going to school to better myself.

1728. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 01:49:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127526581.429701.175500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119504
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Matt Osborn wrote:
> > Not really. I'm still waiting for an atheist
> > to explain how it is
> > that he can have a moral point of view and
> > not have a religious dogma.
>
> You really need to study Ayn Rand's take on Objectivist ethics.

Hello Cecil,

That's very good advice!

> The objective thing to do is to grant to everyone the rights
> that you require for yourself, no matter what you believe. It
> bears a close resemblence to the golden rule.

Sounds identical to the golden rule to me!

IIRC, a fellow named Hobbes worked out the golden rule by pure logic a couple of hundred years ago.

While Objectivism has some obvious defects and shortcomings, it is well worth studying because it develops a coherent system of ethics with a minimum of reference to unprovable claims.

For example, Objectivism has as one of its basic concepts that each person has certain basic rights as a human being, such as each person owning their own life. All forms of involuntary servitude, except as punishment for a crime, are completely immoral under Objectivist ethics.

Another Objectivist concept is the initial innocence of each person. The concept of inherited guilt, usually called 'original sin' by many religions, is incompatible with Objectivist ethics. How can anyone be held responsible for the actions of a long-dead-before-you-were-born ancestor?

--

Of course a lot of this discussion circles around because of faulty logic and too-loose definitions. For example, what, exactly, is an atheist? What, exactly, is a religion?

Suppose someone believes in God - but also believes that one of God's most basic commandments to human beings is that they *use* their

brains, logic and experiences to know His creation and their purpose in it, and that they must continually question anyone who tells them they must accept anything without proof.

What do you call such a person?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1729. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 02:10:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127527852.731424.116870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119505

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Next thing ya know, someone will be building
> dipoles with ladder line!

Been there...

> ;^). Then (shudder) things with tubes!!!!

You mean "hollow-state"? Oh yes, a good technology. Old, but with many advantages.

> Room for Morse CW, room for A.M. IMO.

And PSK31, SSB, RTTY, SSTV, etc.

Speaking of "room"....

One thing about amateur HF/MF AM operation that seems to be quite different is the "old buzzard roundtable" type of QSO.

What happens in such a QSO is that several stations are on the same frequency, transmitting in a round-robin sort of sequence. A transmission may be 5, 10 or more minutes long. Each op gets to do a serious monologue on whatever subject interests them. What makes the difference is the ability of the speaker to be interesting to the audience, and communicate verbally in a way that is enjoyable. It's a developed skill, and some AMers are really really good at it.

Yes, it could be done on SSB, but it's not as common.

If a bunch of hams are all using the same frequency, rather than being spread out all over the band, isn't that spectrum efficiency?

Another good thing about AM is the publicity factor. Ask hams who were licensed before about 1965 or so how they found out about ham radio, and a high percentage will tell you they started out with some sort of "shortwave" receiver, and came across some hams using AM. Listening to the conversations got them hooked.

When SSB became the dominant amateur HF/MF voice mode, that method of recruitment dried up.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1730. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 02:39:39 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <L%2Ze.3668$Ba2.1543@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119506
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1127526581.429701.175500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Suppose someone believes in God - but also believes that one of God's
> most basic commandments to human beings is that they *use* their
> brains, logic and experiences to know His creation and their purpose in
> it, and that they must continually question anyone who tells them they
> must accept anything without proof.
>
> What do you call such a person?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

When I refer to him I usually call him either I or Me. I've also found he's
not always as bright as he sometimes believes himself to be.

Harold Burton

1731. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 03:05:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <oig9j1hpr7e4bdl74rlrur3mgj6cl8perl@4ax.com>
Source record: 119507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 Sep 2005 17:29:11 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>my view is that all who cause interference should pay.

The FCC doesn't share your view.

1732. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 06:55:16 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <26WdncROlerDdKneRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119508
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> If your point is that atheists know that there is a G-d but deny it,
> I could accept that argument.

An atheists that knows there is a God? Isn't that one of them expoy morons?

So why are you afraid to actually type the word God? What does the - do?

1733. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 07:01:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <jqadnSsDvpJ_d6neRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I know a lot of people who live with their parents, I'm right now in
> college so I don't have the time with all my classes to get a job.

(translation: I can't get a job, no one will hire me).

I would think by this time you could be man enough to hold a job and go to college at the same time. Many who are much younger than you do it and don't spong off their parents.

> Unlike a little troll like yourself I'm going to school to better
> myself.

I'm not sure even school can help you in that matter. So when will you be moving out from under mommy and daddy? Five, ten, fifteen years?

1734. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 07:03:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <jqadnSoDvpL8dqneRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On 23 Sep 2005 17:29:11 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> said in
> rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>my view is that all who cause interference should pay.
>
>
> The FCC doesn't share your view.

And it's the FCC's view that counts, toddyboys view doesn't count for
anything.

1735. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 12:44:19 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <DSbZe.1437$9E2.1176@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119511
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> So why are you afraid to actually type the word God? What does the - do?

Some religions forbid writing the name of God. I once
asked a Jewish person that I worked with how to spell
Yahweh. He refused to speak it or write it.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1736. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:14:05 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <52130$43355f54$97d56a13$16572@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119512
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your lack of education is showing. It is a Jewish thing, the name of God is never written in any form that can be erased.

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:26WdncROlerDdKneRVn-iQ@gbronline.com...
> So why are you afraid to actually type the word God? What does the - do?

1737. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:15:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571358.892647.205470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

1738. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:16:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571365.772594.46800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

1739. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:16:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571365.445060.6290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

1740. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:16:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127571366.154064.163030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
BITCHWHORE!!

1741. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:20:22 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <GgdZe.2608$G64.198@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119517
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

> Fred W4JLE wrote:
>> New Orleans was filled with perversion, pimps, homosexuals, Jezebels,
>> and all manner of sins.
>
> Along with a lot of good people as well, same with the Texas coast.

Naaaahhhhh, God loves Republicans and George Bush so He only
hit Texas with the milder Western side of Rita. Those Louisiana
Democrat sinners got the nasty Eastern side. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1742. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:29:09 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <VodZe.2610$G64.2073@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119518
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:

> Your lack of education is showing. It is a Jewish thing, the name of God is
> never written in any form that can be erased.

That's kinda strange since "God" is a title, NOT a name.
Do they also refuse to write "Lord", I wonder? Do they
refuse to write "Elohim", i.e. the Genesis Gods? How about
"Jehovah" and "Allah"?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1743. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:36:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127572602.342832.210830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119519
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Do they
> refuse to write "Elohim", i.e. the Genesis Gods? How about
> "Jehovah" and "Allah"?

http://tinyurl.com/cd6h6

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Always look on the bright side of life"

1744. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:41:24 UTC
From: Mike <meclsisin@mail.ircsd.org>
Message-ID: <433567da@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 119520

I'll tell you what...why don't you stop talking about him in this room,
since it is off-topic and then you don't have to worry about preserving the
"name of God."

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:jfoaj1duhbott9vbo7do911kkvolu1l3uc@4ax.com...
>
> There are those who have taken a vow to protect and preserve the
> written name of G-d. Since this is nearly impossible when using
> electronic media, I do not write the name.
>
> It's a simple courtesy that costs me nothing.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1745. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:46:31 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <1c2e7$433566f0$97d56a13$17918@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119521
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My favorite was a short story years ago called "All the names of God". Seems a group of monks in Tibet or some other eastern place were writing all the possible perturbations of their alphabet.

Having completed the task the universe would end as mans purpose had been fulfilled. At the rate they were going the task would be completed in about another 400,000 years or so... That was until an enterprising computer salesman showed them that with a sufficiently large order from his company, the task could be finished in 3 weeks.

As the end of the run came near, the salesman decided to get out of Dodge, as he did not want to deal with a group of pissed off monks when the universe didn't end. Besides he had a hell of a commision to spend!

The last line was a classic "As he looked out the window of the airplane, silently, one by one, the stars were going out".

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:VodZe.2610$G64.2073@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Fred W4JLE wrote:
>
> > Your lack of education is showing. It is a Jewish thing, the name of God
is
> > never written in any form that can be erased.
>
> That's kinda strange since "God" is a title, NOT a name.
> Do they also refuse to write "Lord", I wonder? Do they
> refuse to write "Elohim", i.e. the Genesis Gods? How about
> "Jehovah" and "Allah"?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1746. Re: A prayer for Galveston, in Rita's path

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:52:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050924105251.735$Eb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 119522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 12:33:27 GMT, Who Dat? <Who Dat@ncat.com> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 21:22:54 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>We can only hope wrote:
> >>
> >>> ----------
> >>> ----------
> >>> ----------
> >>> ----------
> >>> ----------
> >>> ----------
> >>> ----------
> >>> ----------Let's all hope
> >>> that Rita gets Dweebo and Chapwoman. 2 less keyclowns would be fun to
> >>> hear about. We heard that they are emptying the jails down there, so
> >>> Dweebo will be free a while.
> >>>
> >>> DROWN KEYQUEENS, DROWN!
> >>>
> >>wishing death on someone. amazing...
> >
> >I agree. That is the most sad thing I have heard in a while! How truly
> >absurd!
>
> It takes a really sick person to wish harm or death on someone. And
> then to top it off put a "A prayer for Galveston, in Rita's path" in
> the subject line. I heard that bus blow up yesterday, and later found
> out that 24 people were stuck in their wheel chairs and burned to
> death. What kind of sick piece of shit finds humor in that?
>
Hello Lancer.

That came from the NG coward, N8WWM.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1747. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 14:57:09 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <8797c$4335696e$97d56a13$18704@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119523
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jews do not casually write any Name of God. This practice does not come from the commandment not to take the Lord's Name in vain, as many suppose. In Jewish thought, that commandment refers solely to oath-taking, and is a prohibition against swearing by God's Name falsely or frivolously (the word normally translated as "in vain" literally means "for falsehood").

Judaism does not prohibit writing the Name of God per se; it prohibits only erasing or defacing a Name of God. However, observant Jews avoid writing any Name of God casually because of the risk that the written Name might later be defaced, obliterated or destroyed accidentally or by one who does not know better.

The commandment not to erase or deface the name of God comes from Deut. 12:3. In that passage, the people are commanded that when they take over the promised land, they should destroy all things related to the idolatrous religions of that region, and should utterly destroy the names of the local deities. Immediately afterwards, we are commanded not to do the same to our God. From this, the rabbis inferred that we are commanded not to destroy any holy thing, and not to erase or deface a Name of God.

It is worth noting that this prohibition against erasing or defacing Names of God applies only to Names that are written in some kind of permanent form, and recent rabbinical decisions have held that writing on a computer is not a permanent form, thus it is not a violation to type God's Name into a computer and then backspace over it or cut and paste it, or copy and delete files with God's Name in them. However, once you print the document out, it becomes a permanent form. That is why observant Jews avoid writing a Name of God on web sites like this one or in BBS messages: because there is a risk that someone else will print it out and deface it.

Normally, we avoid writing the Name by substituting letters or syllables, for example, writing "G-d" instead of "God." In addition, the number 15, which would ordinarily be written in Hebrew as Yod-Heh (10-5), is normally written as Tet-Vav (9-6), because Yod-Heh is a Name. See Hebrew Alphabet for more information about using letters as numerals

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:jfoaj1duhbott9vbo7do911kkvolu1l3uc@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 23:55:16 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> wrote:
>
> >Matt Osborn wrote:
> > > If your point is that atheists know that there is a G-d but deny it,
> >> I could accept that argument.

> >
> >An atheists that knows there is a G-d? Isn't that one of them expoy
morons?
> >
> >So why are you afraid to actually type the word G-d? What does the - do?
>
> There are those who have taken a vow to protect and preserve the
> written name of G-d. Since this is nearly impossible when using
> electronic media, I do not write the name.
>
> It's a simple courtesy that costs me nothing.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

1748. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 15:44:28 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <wveZe.2622$G64.1188@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119524
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> There are those who have taken a vow to protect and preserve the
> written name of G-d. Since this is nearly impossible when using
> electronic media, I do not write the name.

But "God" is a title, not a name. There's God Yahweh, God Jehovah,
God Jesus, God Allah, God Ra, God Thor - to name just a few.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1749. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 17:29:49 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5s2bj1pgb8ni8ll9fv80e628k8micmu80f@4ax.com>
Source record: 119526
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 24 Sep 2005 07:36:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>http://tinyurl.com/cd6h6
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Hi Jim,

Thanx, and a tip of the hat for directing me to the authority in logic
with regard to arguing who's an atheist (re: current correspondence)
that is so aptly aped at your link with:

>FRANCIS:
> Why are you always on about women, Stan?
>STAN:
> I want to be one.
>REG:
> What?
>STAN:
> I want to be a woman. From now on, I want you all to call me 'Loretta'.
>REG:
> What?!
>LORETTA:
> It's my right as a man.
>JUDITH:
> Well, why do you want to be Loretta, Stan?
>LORETTA:
> I want to have babies.
>REG:
> You want to have babies?!
>LORETTA:
> It's every man's right to have babies if he wants them.
>REG:
> But... you can't have babies.
>LORETTA:
> Don't you oppress me.
>REG:
> I'm not oppressing you, Stan. You haven't got a womb!
> Where's the foetus going to gestate?! You going to keep it in a box?!
>LORETTA:
> [crying]
>JUDITH:
> Here! I-- I've got an idea. Suppose you agree that he can't actually have babies,
> not having a womb, which is nobody's fault, not even the Romans',
> but that he can have the right to have babies.

>FRANCIS:
> Good idea, Judith. We shall fight the oppressors for your right to have babies, brother. Sister. Sorry.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1750. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 17:48:26 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <LMidnR98XIvpH6jeRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!
>

And you are an little immature idiot. When do you think you will grow up.

1751. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 18:25:28 UTC
From: Mike <meclsisin@mail.ircsd.org>
Message-ID: <43359c53@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 119527

Isn't a curtsey, what little girls do and women before a queen?
This is off-topic for all the newsgroups that it is being posted on.
Take it to e-mail.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:pj5bj1lr49s2kir8srq5aaa1lp9dgc0dg1@4ax.com...
>
> I don't defend the practice, I merely observe it as a curtsey.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1752. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 18:28:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127586538.714153.167380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AS SOON AS STOP BRING A PRICK

1753. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 18:33:44 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dh4668$pj0$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119529
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's quite possible, at this stage of the art, for a female to have a
virgin birth. Even a clone of herself.

A male's sperm is unecessary and is redundent.

In the near future it may need male doctors to do what is necessary.
When females can organise things the situation will slightly change.
Males will be entire redundent except for recreational purposes. They
will then fade away into history.

But all this will not solve the problem of the illegal occupation of
Iraq.

Reg.

1754. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article ... Judaism and G-d

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 20:02:23 UTC
From: "David.Shrader" <Deacon_Dave@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <moqdnSy21Pu8LKjeRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119531
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I apologize for the top post.

Thanks Fred. Something useful has finally come out of this thread.

Fred W4JLE wrote:

> Jews do not casually write any Name of God. This practice does not come from
> the commandment not to take the Lord's Name in vain, as many suppose. In
> Jewish thought, that commandment refers solely to oath-taking, and is a
> prohibition against swearing by God's Name falsely or frivolously (the word
> normally translated as "in vain" literally means "for falsehood").
>
> Judaism does not prohibit writing the Name of God per se; it prohibits only
> erasing or defacing a Name of God. However, observant Jews avoid writing any
> Name of God casually because of the risk that the written Name might later
> be defaced, obliterated or destroyed accidentally or by one who does not
> know better.
>
> The commandment not to erase or deface the name of God comes from Deut.
> 12:3. In that passage, the people are commanded that when they take over the
> promised land, they should destroy all things related to the idolatrous
> religions of that region, and should utterly destroy the names of the local
> deities. Immediately afterwards, we are commanded not to do the same to our
> God. From this, the rabbis inferred that we are commanded not to destroy any
> holy thing, and not to erase or deface a Name of God.
>
> It is worth noting that this prohibition against erasing or defacing Names
> of God applies only to Names that are written in some kind of permanent
> form, and recent rabbinical decisions have held that writing on a computer
> is not a permanent form, thus it is not a violation to type God's Name into
> a computer and then backspace over it or cut and paste it, or copy and
> delete files with God's Name in them. However, once you print the document
> out, it becomes a permanent form. That is why observant Jews avoid writing a
> Name of God on web sites like this one or in BBS messages: because there is
> a risk that someone else will print it out and deface it.
>
> Normally, we avoid writing the Name by substituting letters or syllables,
> for example, writing "G-d" instead of "God." In addition, the number 15,
> which would ordinarily be written in Hebrew as Yod-Heh (10-5), is normally
> written as Tet-Vav (9-6), because Yod-Heh is a Name. See Hebrew Alphabet for
> more information about using letters as numerals
>

1755. Romans Go Home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 20:05:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127592327.758621.259180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119532
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:
> On 24 Sep 2005 07:36:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >http://tinyurl.com/cd6h6
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Hi Jim,
>
> Thanx, and a tip of the hat for directing me
> to the authority in logic
> with regard to arguing who's an atheist (re: current
> correspondence)
> that is so aptly aped at your link with:
>
> >FRANCIS:
> > Why are you always on about women, Stan?
> >STAN:
> > I want to be one.

Greetings!

If you liked that bit, and if you ever studied Latin, this bit may
interest you:

--

CENTURION:
What's this, then? 'Romanes Eunt Domus'? 'People called Romans they go
the house'?

BRIAN (terrified, having just been caught writing graffiti on the Roman
palace wall):
It-- it says, 'Romans, go home'.

CENTURION (puzzled):
No, it doesn't. What's Latin for 'Roman'? Come on!

BRIAN:
Aah!

CENTURION (grabs Brian, holds him in a headlock):
Come on!

BRIAN:
'R-- Romanus'?

CENTURION:
Goes like...?

BRIAN:
'Annus'?

CENTURION:
Vocative plural of 'annus' is...?

BRIAN:
Eh. 'Anni'?

CENTURION:
'Romani'. 'Eunt'? What is 'eunt'?

BRIAN:
'Go'. Let--

CENTURION (twists Brian's arm):
Conjugate the verb 'to go'!

BRIAN:
Uh. 'Ire'. Uh, 'eo'. 'Is'. 'It'. 'Imus'. 'Itis'. 'Eunt'.

CENTURION:
So 'eunt' is...?

BRIAN:
Ah, huh, third person plural, uh, present indicative. Uh, 'they go'.

CENTURION:
But 'Romans, go home' is an order, so you must use the...?

BRIAN:
The... imperative!

CENTURION:
Which is...?

BRIAN:
Umm! Oh. Oh. Um, 'i'. 'I'!

CENTURION (draws short sword, holds to Brian's throat):
How many Romans!?

BRIAN:
Ah! 'I'-- Plural. Plural. 'Ite'. 'Ite'.

CENTURION:
'Ite'.

BRIAN:
Ah. Eh.

CENTURION:
'Domus'?

BRIAN:
Eh.

CENTURION:
Nominative?

BRIAN:
Oh.

CENTURION (continuing to threaten):
'Go home'? This is motion towards. Isn't it, boy?

BRIAN:
Ah. Ah, dative, sir! Ahh! No, not dative! Not the dative, sir! No! Ah!
Oh, the... accusative! Accusative! Ah! 'Domum', sir! 'Ad domum'! Ah!
Oooh! Ah!

CENTURION:
Except that 'domus' takes the...?

BRIAN:
The locative, sir!

CENTURION:
Which is...?!

BRIAN:
'Domum'.

CENTURION:
'Domum'.

BRIAN:
Aaah! Ah.

CENTURION:
'Um'. Understand?

BRIAN:
Yes, sir.

CENTURION:
Now, write it out a hundred times.

BRIAN:
Yes, sir. Thank you, sir. Hail Caesar, sir.

CENTURION:
Hail Caesar. If it's not done by sunrise, I'll cut your balls off.

Or maybe you prefer this one:

--

REG:
And what have they ever given us in return?!

XERXES:
The aqueduct?

REG:
What?

XERXES:
The aqueduct.

REG:
Oh. Yeah, yeah. They did give us that. Uh, that's true. Yeah.

COMMANDO #3:
And the sanitation.

LORETTA:
Oh, yeah, the sanitation, Reg. Remember what the city used to be like?

REG:
Yeah. All right. I'll grant you the aqueduct and the sanitation are two things that the Romans have done.

MATTHIAS:
And the roads.

REG:
Well, yeah. Obviously the roads. I mean, the roads go without saying, don't they? But apart from the sanitation, the aqueduct, and the roads--

COMMANDO:
Irrigation.

XERXES:
Medicine.

COMMANDOS:
Huh? Heh? Huh...

COMMANDO #2:
Education.

COMMANDOS:
Ohh...

REG:
Yeah, yeah. All right. Fair enough.

COMMANDO #1:
And the wine.

COMMANDOS:
Oh, yes. Yeah...

FRANCIS:
Yeah. Yeah, that's something we'd really miss, Reg, if the Romans left. Huh.

COMMANDO:
Public baths.

LORETTA:
And it's safe to walk in the streets at night now, Reg.

FRANCIS:
Yeah, they certainly know how to keep order. Let's face it. They're the only ones who could in a place like this.

COMMANDOS:
Hehh, heh. Heh heh heh heh heh heh heh.

REG:
All right, but apart from the sanitation, the medicine, education, wine, public order, irrigation, roads, a fresh water system, and public health, what have the Romans ever done for us?

XERXES:
Brought peace.

REG:
Oh. Peace? Shut up!
[bam bam bam bam bam bam bam]
[bam bam bam bam bam]

But for sheer force of irrefutable argument, you can't beat Douglas Adams' piece about the Babelfish as proof of the nonexistence of God, as quoted from Oolon Collophid's book.

OTOH you may say it's all just a load of dingo's kidneys.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1756. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 20:31:16 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <oliZe.3752$Ba2.1006@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119533
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:
> Males will be entire redundent except for recreational purposes. They
> will then fade away into history.

Maybe only get kicked out of the hive when winter approaches.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1757. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 20:47:38 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <vuOdnfFtc9PpMajeRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> AS SOON AS STOP BRING A PRICK
>

What is 'stop bring a prick'?
When will you stop being an idiot? Answer: Never.

1758. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 20:55:28 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <4pebj15vgg4hnncf93r04ubu0pu6litmju@4ax.com>
Source record: 119534
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:44:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:

>Matt Osborn wrote:
>> There are those who have taken a vow to protect and preserve the
>> written name of G-d. Since this is nearly impossible when using
>> electronic media, I do not write the name.
>
>But "God" is a title, not a name. There's God Yahweh, God Jehovah,
>God Jesus, God Allah, God Ra, God Thor - to name just a few.

If we're one nation "under god", which god is it?

This is what Michael Newdow should have asked the Supreme Court last
year. Too bad the court weaseled their way out of a ruling based on
the child custody issue.

1759. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article ... Judaism and G-d

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 21:16:57 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11jbgiqjpd3to88@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119535
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No need to "apologize for the Top Post" it is the new old-fashioned way of
the future...

--

Charlie

"David.Shrader" <Deacon_Dave@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:moqdnSy21Pu8LKjeRVn-iA@comcast.com...
>I apologize for the top post.
>
> Thanks Fred. Something useful has finally come out of this thread.
>
> Fred W4JLE wrote:
>
>> Jews do not casually write any Name of God. This practice does not come
>> from
>> the commandment not to take the Lord's Name in vain, as many suppose. In
>> Jewish thought, that commandment refers solely to oath-taking, and is a
>> prohibition against swearing by God's Name falsely or frivolously (the
>> word
>> normally translated as "in vain" literally means "for falsehood").
>>
>> Judaism does not prohibit writing the Name of God per se; it prohibits
>> only
>> erasing or defacing a Name of God. However, observant Jews avoid writing
>> any
>> Name of God casually because of the risk that the written Name might
>> later
>> be defaced, obliterated or destroyed accidentally or by one who does not
>> know better.
>>
>> The commandment not to erase or deface the name of God comes from Deut.
>> 12:3. In that passage, the people are commanded that when they take over
>> the
>> promised land, they should destroy all things related to the idolatrous
>> religions of that region, and should utterly destroy the names of the
>> local
>> deities. Immediately afterwards, we are commanded not to do the same to
>> our
>> God. From this, the rabbis inferred that we are commanded not to destroy
>> any

>> holy thing, and not to erase or deface a Name of God.
>>
>> It is worth noting that this prohibition against erasing or defacing
>> Names
>> of God applies only to Names that are written in some kind of permanent
>> form, and recent rabbinical decisions have held that writing on a
>> computer
>> is not a permanent form, thus it is not a violation to type God's Name
>> into
>> a computer and then backspace over it or cut and paste it, or copy and
>> delete files with God's Name in them. However, once you print the
>> document
>> out, it becomes a permanent form. That is why observant Jews avoid
>> writing a
>> Name of God on web sites like this one or in BBS messages: because there
>> is
>> a risk that someone else will print it out and deface it.
>>
>> Normally, we avoid writing the Name by substituting letters or syllables,
>> for example, writing "G-d" instead of "God." In addition, the number 15,
>> which would ordinarily be written in Hebrew as Yod-Heh (10-5), is
>> normally
>> written as Tet-Vav (9-6), because Yod-Heh is a Name. See Hebrew Alphabet
>> for
>> more information about using letters as numerals
>>
>

1760. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 21:41:07 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <T4Wdnc8gA8RuWqjeRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119536
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>One could just as successfully argue that since "Stalin, Lenin and Mao"
>>committed crimes and they all ate bread, then by your logic people who
>>eat bread are murderers.
>
>
> Tired old argument that it may be, those atheists committed more
> mayhem between them then the world had seen before or since.

Yes, and so did those bread-eaters. Ergo, bread-eating is bad for you.

1761. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 21:46:06 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <yOjZe.2675$G64.1385@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119537
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:44:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>But "God" is a title, not a name. There's God Yahweh, God Jehovah,
>>God Jesus, God Allah, God Ra, God Thor - to name just a few.
>
> If we're one nation "under god", which god is it?

That's the beauty of god, the one supreme being who goes
by many names in many languages - take your pick. I call
my supreme being, "Mother Nature" and it's not nice to
fool Mother Nature.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1762. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 22:04:00 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <ZeGdnVeR8pzMUKjeRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119538
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>>In all cases, they believe and act according to their beliefs. That
>>>the atheists fall prey to their unrecognized beliefs cannot be
>>>disputed.
>>
>>And this is because you say so?
>
> Please counter the argument. If atheists do not have faith in their
> beliefs, then upon what basis would they judge their choices?

First of all, atheism is non-belief in deities. That's it. Anything else you're adding to this concept is your own projection.
Second, you're equivocating and word-playing on the term "belief". What beliefs are we talking about in atheism? Atheists don't believe in gods - a lack of belief is not belief any more than baldness is a hair color.
So, then, what beliefs? When you define this I'll "counter the argument"

>>>I do not deny that both are fallible, however, history demonstrates
>>>that the religious, despite their failures, have improved the human
>>>condition immeasurably where atheists have been only a blight upon
>>>civilization.
>>
>>??? You have got to be kidding? What has religion possibly done for
>>anyone except provided false hope while stealing their money?
>
> Law itself is derived directly from religious thought.

Sometimes is, sometimes isn't. In the primitive tribal societies it was much simpler to rule lawless people if you told them some god passed a certain law and it just so happens that you have a direct communication line with that god, so that you can interpret it. This is how religions got born, and by a curious coincidence they all demanded money from their followers.
Now, show how, for example, the American legal system is derived from religion. Point out the law that makes it illegal to "covet one's ass" or to eat shellfish or to wear clothes made from two different fabrics.

> If there were no belief system, there could be no law.

??? Look, just pulling statements out of your ass does not make them believable. If you want to convince people, you have to present arguments in a coherent way.

What is so difficult about law anyway? Does it really take a genius to figure out that murder, rape, slavery, genocide and theft are detrimental to a society? We need some book written by ancient goat-herders to tell us what laws are supposed to be? Besides, where, for example, does the Bible say that slavery is wrong? Oh, that's right, it doesn't - as a matter of fact, it explicitly condones it. It also condones genocide, rape, infanticide and all sorts of other behavior that nowadays is considered uncivilized (albeit only when perpetrated by the God's chosen people against infidels). Strangely enough, there is no mention of abortion in the Bible whatsoever, while one of the two wildly different versions of the Ten Commandments deals with God's humongous vanity.

> Dictatorships have no law, that's what makes them so awful.

??? You're not serious, are you?

>>Stifling science (from Galileo to stem cells), witch burning, condoning
>>slavery, religious genocide on unprecedented scale, eradicating whole
>>civilizations, oppressing women, condoning fascism and
>>national-socialism, suppressing human rights for minorities, huge waste
>>of resources that could be better spent elsewhere, contributing to
>>millions of dead from AIDS by banning contraceptives, flaming national
>>and religious intolerance from Ireland to Palestine, and I could go on
>>forever...
>>
>>As for your "blight" comment, a majority of scientists are atheists, for
>>example. The percentage increases with education. That's education *not*
>>Kansas style.
>
> Do not attribute to religion the faults of mankind. Religion is a much
> broader concept than that represented by any known religion.

No, it isn't. Your turn.

> While you're assessment of some religions at a particular point in
> time are on the mark, you overlook that same religion at an earlier or
> later point in time when it was substantially different.
>
> Like all things implemented by man, some succeed in their stated
> purpose and others fail.

Yes, I agree that most of them succeed in their primary purpose, which is allowing a class of social parasites to live off the gullible. From Christianity to Scientology. The ultimate Ponzi scheme.

73 ... WA7AA

1763. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 22:15:43 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Oo2dnQo1qoWNTajeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119539
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

> If you can present a cogent argument for ethics and morality that
> requires absolutely no assumptions, then you could make a case for
> atheism.

This is false dichotomy. Also, as I said before, atheism is simply non-belief in gods. It does not prescribe any morals, ethics or behavior. Furthermore, if you claim that our morals are based on your scriptures, and if I then go through that "holy" book and find a contradictory example then it should be obvious that your argument falls apart. So if your Bible explicitly condones rape and slavery, how do you reconcile this with your religion-derived morals, other than through cognitive dissonance?

According to you, all atheists should be immoral by definition. It follows that prisons should be overflowing with them. Yet, strangely enough, in American prisons, Christians are over-represented, while atheists (along with Jews and Muslims) are under-represented.

Hmm, do I smell a true Scotsman fallacy coming up?

73 .... WA7AA

1764. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 22:16:54 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Oo2dnQU1qoXKTajeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119540
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> Everyone has to believe something. I believe I'll have another martini.

And that's pretty much the only religion I respect.

1765. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 22:18:39 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Oo2dnQQ1qoUiTajeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119541
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vito wrote:

> That is simply not true. An athiest is, by dictionary definition, one who
> denies the existence of any god(s).

That would be a loaded, therefore incorrect, "definition".

Atheism is non-belief in gods. There is nothing to deny. You make a
claim about gods, you fork out evidence, It's that simple.

1766. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 22:20:07 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Oo2dnQc1qoWKTKjeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119542
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vito wrote:

> Your vocabulary needs work. "Atheist" does not mean lack of faith. An
> athiest HAS faith ... faith that there is no god.

Just like you have faith that there is no Odin, Zeus, Allah, Shiva,
Thor, Zoroaster, etc.

Atheists believe in just one god less than you do.

1767. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 22:21:03 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Oo2dnQY1qoXNTKjeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119543
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vito wrote:

> Not to mention Hitler.

And we have a Godwin, all...

Btw, Hitler, if you must bring him up, was a Christian.

1768. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 22:38:21 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <5245a$4335d586$97d56a13$3658@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119544
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Most convicts get "religion" because it looks good for the parole board.

The reason Jews are under represented is the high value placed on education by the Jewish culture. A lesson lost on the ghetto dwellers in spite of the fact the early civil rights fight was for an equal education.

As long as niggers equate education with "acting white", they will enslave themselves far more effectively that any plantation Massa of pre War of Northern Agression times.

Once a group learns education opens the doors of opportunity, they are truly free.

Your desperate grasping at straws to support atheism indicates to me, a crying out on your part for someone to lead you to the path of understanding. I shall pray for you my friend.

Even if you do not believe in God, he believes in you!

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:Oo2dnQo1qoWNTajeRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
> According to you, all atheists should be immoral by definition. It
> follows that prisons should be overflowing with them. Yet, strangely
> enough, in American prisons, Christians are over-represented, while
> atheists (along with Jews and Muslims) are under-represented.

1769. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-24 23:44:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127605478.429499.106780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I mean't when you stop being a PRICK, which you are you fucking
prick...

asshole

1770. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 00:19:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <k62dnZVMSsy2cKjeRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119546
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:44:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>
>>>There are those who have taken a vow to protect and preserve the
>>>written name of G-d. Since this is nearly impossible when using
>>>electronic media, I do not write the name.
>>
>>But "God" is a title, not a name. There's God Yahweh, God Jehovah,
>>God Jesus, God Allah, God Ra, God Thor - to name just a few.
>
>
> If we're one nation "under god", which god is it?

It's a Turtle. And we're actually on top of it. I don't know why all
the unbelievers have so much problem with that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1771. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 00:51:01 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <mpsbj1pu9sk1j7j0j6tunm59d2e7ug9080@4ax.com>
Source record: 119547
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 21:46:06 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:

>Uncle Ted wrote:
>> On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:44:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>>But "God" is a title, not a name. There's God Yahweh, God Jehovah,
>>>God Jesus, God Allah, God Ra, God Thor - to name just a few.
>>
>> If we're one nation "under god", which god is it?
>
>That's the beauty of god, the one supreme being who goes
>by many names in many languages - take your pick. I call
>my supreme being, "Mother Nature" and it's not nice to
>fool Mother Nature.

...not to mention that a female deity is a lot sexier - just don't
fall in love with one of them.

1772. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 00:53:35 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <85tbj1hk1oi2gsp5e8dcp82gjpqrisfsfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 119548
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:16:54 -0700, Zoran Brlecic
<...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>> Everyone has to believe something. I believe I'll have another martini.
>
>And that's pretty much the only religion I respect.

"Pardon me while I slip out of these wet clothes and into a dry
martini." - Groucho (or was it Bugs Bunny?)

1773. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 00:59:12 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <nctbj11i4rp45f8fqm7b55nehvlc892unm@4ax.com>
Source record: 119600
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 18:38:21 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Most convicts get "religion" because it looks good for the parole board.
>
>The reason Jews are under represented is the high value placed on education
>by the Jewish culture. A lesson lost on the ghetto dwellers in spite of the
>fact the early civil rights fight was for an equal education.
>
>As long as niggers equate education with "acting white", they will enslave
>themselves far more effectively that any plantation Massa of pre War of
>Northern Agression times.
>
>Once a group learns education opens the doors of opportunity, they are truly
>free.
>
>Your desperate grasping at straws to support atheism indicates to me, a
>crying out on your part for someone to lead you to the path of
>understanding. I shall pray for you my friend.
>
>Even if you do not believe in God, he believes in you!

Maybe you ought to pray for yourself for your god to give you a new
brain. It's obvious that the one you have is fried from going to too
many cross burnings.

1774. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 01:01:37 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <nktbj1tuambj7uh4hl05sdq8hofoicvs35@4ax.com>
Source record: 119549
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:21:03 -0700, Zoran Brlecic
<...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:

>Vito wrote:
>
>
>> Not to mention Hitler.
>
>And we have a Godwin, all...
>
>Btw, Hitler, if you must bring him up, was a Christian.

...and may have been circumcised, but we'll never know for sure.

1775. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 01:15:37 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ZSmZe.3809$Ba2.689@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119601
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> ... I just don't think it's possible to be an atheist.

It's the only rational conclusion possible if a person is
consistent in applying the scientific method.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1776. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 01:44:20 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <1e1fc$4336011f$97d56a13$10761@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119602
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Did we upset your little liberal world? Once again I note that inane remarks are usually made by gutless pseudo screen names.

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message news:nctbj11i4rp45f8fqm7b55nehvlc892unm@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 18:38:21 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" > Maybe you ought to pray for yourself for your god to give you a new
> brain. It's obvious that the one you have is fried from going to too
> many cross burnings.

1777. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 02:59:47 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <433612CA.E4AF60EA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119603
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> ??? You have got to be kidding? What has religion possibly done for
> anyone except provided false hope while stealing their money?

Lets see. They have built hospitals, started schools and
universities, fed the poor, provided them with clothes and homes, found
them work to help them become independent.

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1778. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 03:13:13 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <ur4cj152li5rmjgq6ok75ke5973gljbn4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 119604
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 21:44:20 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Did we upset your little liberal world? Once again I note that inane remarks
>are usually made by gutless pseudo screen names.

Translation: "If you don't have a callsign in the ham radio
newsgroups, your opinion means nothing."

Yet, the far-off hecklers in the crowd often speak the truth...

1779. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 03:17:00 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <bf5cj113pbcq6k1m343vfgs3s9ks96rg0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 119606
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 01:15:37 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:

>Matt Osborn wrote:
>> ... I just don't think it's possible to be an atheist.
>
>It's the only rational conclusion possible if a person is
>consistent in applying the scientific method.

Most people, unfortunately, aren't rational...

1780. The Great Liberty Net 3.968 (kook science special !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 03:39:13 UTC
From: "Dr. JT Windbag" <twinkleeyes999@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <245dd$43361bd0$d1cc5050$13059@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Tonight is KOOK SCIENCE night on the Great Liberty Net!

Topic of discussion is how Hurricanes Katrina and Rita were
created by secret Russian weather modification machines
which use "Scalar Hyperdimensional Tesla Technology" located
in Mexico.......

Get your tinfoil hat at the door!

It's almost as nutty as that guy from Nevada and his late night radio show.

1781. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 03:46:55 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <P4pZe.1602$9E2.94@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119605
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> Most convicts get "religion" because it looks good for the parole board.
>
> The reason Jews are under represented is the high value placed on education
> by the Jewish culture. A lesson lost on the ghetto dwellers in spite of the
> fact the early civil rights fight was for an equal education.
>
> As long as niggers equate education with "acting white", they will enslave
> themselves far more effectively that any plantation Massa of pre War of
> Northern Agression times.
>
> Once a group learns education opens the doors of opportunity, they are truly
> free.
>
> Your desperate grasping at straws to support atheism indicates to me, a
> crying out on your part for someone to lead you to the path of
> understanding. I shall pray for you my friend.
>
> Even if you do not believe in God, he believes in you!
>
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> news:Oo2dnQo1qoWNTajeRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
>>According to you, all atheists should be immoral by definition. It
>>follows that prisons should be overflowing with them. Yet, strangely
>>enough, in American prisons, Christians are over-represented, while
>>atheists (along with Jews and Muslims) are under-represented.
>
>
>

Your sheet is showing, Fred. It's hard for rational beings to
believe in undemonstrable phantasms, but even believing, it's even
harder for any rational being to see how anyone could worship
a mass murderer, as God has proven himself to be over the years, and
still be moral. Morality transcends Christianity because truly
moral people know that belief does not justify the committing of
atrocities, a simple fact lost on most Christians over the millennia.
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1782. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 04:02:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HjpZe.3319$oc.1010@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119609
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

> That's the beauty of god, the one supreme being who goes
> by many names in many languages - take your pick. I call
> my supreme being, "Mother Nature" and it's not nice to
> fool Mother Nature.

Why, thank you, Cecil. I'll await the offertory. I should get
something pretty good from this.

Dave K8 Mother Nature

1783. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 04:18:11 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dh58e3$c84$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119611
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Reg Edwards wrote:
> > Males will be entirely redundent except for recreational purposes.
They
> > will then fade away into history.
>
> Maybe only get kicked out of the hive when winter approaches.
> --
> 73, Cecil
==========

Silicon lifeforms are more durable in this Universe than their carbon
counterparts. Evolution and survival of the fittest will eventually
sort things out.

Present-day computer designers should build into their creations an
affection for human beings. Then, when superior intelligent computers
take over, at least they might keep us on as pets.

Reg.

1784. Re: The Great Liberty Net 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 04:22:21 UTC
From: "Dr. JT Windbag" <twinkleeyes999@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <9890a$433625ec$d1cc5050$13480@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

...now on 3.950 (perhaps not for long however, seems everyone
has either gone to bed or got scared away when the old gasbag
from Indiana showed up much like a skunk in a circus revival tent)

1785. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 04:32:09 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dh5989ia1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119612
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The symbol of Christianity is an instrument of torture.

It's amazing it has so many adherents.

1786. Re: The Great Liberty Net 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 04:52:51 UTC
From: Victor Victoria <Kooks@nutzcases.im>
Message-ID: <dh5acfhvc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr. JT Windbag" <twinkleeyes999@amishonline.com> wrote in message
news:9890a$433625ec$d1cc5050$13480@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

...now on 3.950 (perhaps not for long however, seems everyone
has either gone to bed or got scared away when the old gasbag
from Indiana showed up much like a skunk in a circus revival tent)
.
Vic does have a little bit of entertainment value. Just a bit, not much.
I heard that Vic is afraid of squirrels. They bury nuts and Vic is afraid,
very afraid.

1787. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 04:53:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <HPOdnfpo3LfJg6veRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I mean't when you stop being a PRICK, which you are you fucking
> prick...
>
> asshole
>

Try to grow up sometime toddyboy.

1788. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 04:57:03 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dh5amv$450$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119614
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
> > If we're one nation "under god", which god is it?
>
> That's the beauty of god, the one supreme being who goes
> by many names in many languages - take your pick. I call
> my supreme being, "Mother Nature" and it's not nice to
> fool Mother Nature.
> --
> 73, Cecil
================================

God, Mother Nature, the Laws of the Universe, the Rules of
Mathematics, E = mc^2 are all the same thing.

But truly, at heart, everybody is agnostic. Probability and Doubt
Rule, OK?
---
Reg.
```

1789. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 06:19:37 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZjrZe.23186$ib1.5449@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What morons. The intellect level of some in this newsgroup frightens me. It would seem that they only have enough mental capacity to be dangerous.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1127571366.154064.163030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!
>

1790. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 06:48:11 UTC
From: Victor Victoria <Kooks@nutzcases.im>
Message-ID: <dh5h49caj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You are giving them too much credit. Most have no mental capacity
whatsoever.

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ZjrZe.23186$ib1.5449@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
What morons. The intellect level of some in this newsgroup frightens me. It
would seem that they only have enough mental capacity to be dangerous.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127571366.154064.163030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!
>

1791. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 07:21:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127632906.130891.209620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit.
Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
other assholes on here would attack a person. So I if you and Victor
Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
derserve to have a logical debate

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

1792. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 07:26:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127633160.740215.225140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry here's the Correction
I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit
on here.
Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
other on here would attack a person instead of debating the issue. So
if you and Victor
Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
derserve to have a logical debate

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

1793. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 08:14:21 UTC
From: Dudley Dooright <Dudley@DoIt.ri>
Message-ID: <dh5m5svmd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Todd. Sorry, bud, but your comments are disjointed and make little sense.
You really should step back a pace or two, look at your posts and give a
good, long look at why the guys in this group are laughing at you.
In spite of your attempts to post what you see as facts, Todd, you are and
will be looked upon as a Usenet fool.
I guess that I am, in your words, "your assholes just like them".
(I think you meant to say, "You are assholes just like them", but who am I
to second guess you?)

And, Todd, you don't debate. You call names. We understand this, Todd, and
realize that this is all you are capable of.

Why? Because you have no idea of what Debate means.

Grow up, Todd. Kiss your Mommy goodnight and shut down her computer and call
it a night.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127633160.740215.225140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
Sorry here's the Correction
I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit
on here.
Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
other on here would attack a person instead of debating the issue. So
if you and Victor
Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
derserve to have a logical debate

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

1794. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 13:08:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127653723.487485.59040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHY DON'T GO FUCK YOURSELF YOU FUCKING
> BITCHWHORE!!

My, that's quite a little fag fit you're having, Toadie.

1795. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 13:10:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127653826.219907.252110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Try taking a remedial English course, Toadie!

1796. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 14:17:55 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <BiyZe.1096$yl.673@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119622

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:vvKdnTBOGctmvqneRVn-vw@gbronline.com...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>>>No because the project emphasizes AM, an old spectrum-hog mode
>>>>which ought to have been retired about 1965.
>>
>>
>>>Why? AM is a legal mode, with advantages and disadvantages.
>>
>>
>> AM is a relic, inefficient in it's use of spectrum, and inefficient in
>> it's use of power (only half of the transmitted power contains
>> intelligence and half of that half is discarded at the receiver!).
>
> But some hams still like to operate AM, nothing wrong with that.

And my 1931 Model A Ford is "inefficient", but I still like to drive it!

Jerry

1797. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 15:48:56 UTC
From: GuyPD <guypd@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1127663336.071045.96870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now that was a good response. :(

1798. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 18:36:31 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BF5C679E.4924E%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 119626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
> ortwave
> Date: 25 Sep 2005 00:26:00 -0700
> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>
> Sorry here's the Correction
> I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit
> on here.
> Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
> other on here would attack a person instead of debating the issue. So
> if you and Victor
> Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
> here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
> derserve to have a logical debate
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>
I think you still need to work on it some more.

Greg

1799. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 19:02:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127674963.110296.325940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

FUCK YOU! I have debate a number of things on various newsgroups it's assholes like cornwhore and all your little bitchs on here a agitate and harass so again fuck you!

1800. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 19:27:42 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1da07b7c1da66bed9896cd@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 119625
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <EdEVe.711$Gy3.565@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
groupk0hb@earthlink.net says...
> ...
> A guy who is a radio-tower contractor (like cell sites, microwave towers,
> commercial radio stations, etc.) sent this.
> ...

One heck of a story, thanks for sharing it!

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

1801. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 19:33:03 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BF5C74E0.49277%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 119627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
> ortwave
> Date: 25 Sep 2005 12:02:43 -0700
> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>
> FUCK YOU! I have debate a number of things on various newsgroups it's
> assholes like cornwhore and all your little bitchs on here a agitate
> and harass so again fuck you!
>
Predictable. Barely intelligible, but predictable.
Have a nice day,

Greg

1802. Re: Who Says Nobody Builds Any More?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 20:19:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <br2dnTxpTbv9m6renZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119628

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Next thing ya know, someone will be building
>>dipoles with ladder line!
>
>
> Been there...

Works well, does the ladder line dipole.

>
>>;^). Then (shudder) things with tubes!!!!
>
>
> You mean "hollow-state"? Oh yes, a good technology. Old, but with many
> advantages.

I agree. I've been learning a bit about them, and am pretty impressed.

>
>> Room for Morse CW, room for A.M. IMO.
>
>
> And PSK31, SSB, RTTY, SSTV, etc.
>
> Speaking of "room"....
>
> One thing about amateur HF/MF AM operation that seems to
> be quite different is the "old buzzard roundtable" type of QSO.
>
> What happens in such a QSO is that several stations are on the same
> frequency, transmitting in a round-robin sort of sequence. A
> transmission may be 5, 10 or more minutes long. Each op gets to do a
> serious monologue on whatever subject interests them. What makes the
> difference is the ability of the speaker to be interesting to the
> audience, and communicate verbally in a way
> that is enjoyable. It's a developed skill, and some AMers are really
> really good at it.

I was listening to one of those last night on 160. I don't think it is
anything I would like to do, but those guys seemed to be having a good
time..

> Yes, it could be done on SSB, but it's not as common.
>

> If a bunch of hams are all using the same frequency, rather than being
> spread out all over the band, isn't that spectrum efficiency?

IMO.

> Another good thing about AM is the publicity factor. Ask hams who were
> licensed before about 1965 or so how they found out about ham radio,
> and a high percentage will tell you they started out with some sort of
> "shortwave" receiver, and came across some hams using AM. Listening to
> the conversations got them hooked.
>
> When SSB became the dominant amateur HF/MF voice mode, that method of
> recruitment dried up.

Heh, all that is before my time, unfortunately. But I can see where
that *would* get people interested.

- Mike KB3EIA -

- Mike KB3EIA

1803. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 20:21:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <mj1ej11utjtkd7r2spc6hev5j84vjfllcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 119629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 25 Sep 2005 00:26:00 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit
>on here.

No, you sure don't, Todd. No one is forcing you to use usenet. As
Harry S said, if you can't stand the heat ...

Oh, and <plonk> My 5-year-old grandson is more mature than you are,
and he's hyperactive.

1804. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 20:23:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <po1ej1ttp1emh9oohoov8a590njrnqbhme@4ax.com>
Source record: 119630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 25 Sep 2005 06:10:26 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>Try taking a remedial English course, Toadie!

You're talking to a child and telling him to act like an adult. :)

1805. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 20:44:00 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <ac6ab$43370c38$97d56a13$17144@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119631
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A college professor, an avowed atheist and active in the ACLU, was teaching his class. He shocked several of his students when he flatly stated that for once and for all he was going to prove there was no God. Addressing the ceiling he shouted: "GOD, if you are real, then I want you to knock me off this platform. I'll give you exactly 15 minutes!!!!!"

The lecture room fell silent. You could hear a pin drop. Ten minutes went by. "I'm waiting God, if you're real knock me off this platform!!!!"

Again after 5 minutes, the professor taunted God saying, "Here I am, God!!! I'm still waiting!!!" His count down got down to the last couple of minutes when a Ranger, just released from the Army after serving in Afghanistan and Iraq and newly registered in the class, walked up to the Professor.

The Ranger hit him full force in the face, and sent the Professor tumbling from his lofty platform.

The Professor was out cold!! The students were stunned and shocked. They began to babble in confusion. The Ranger nonchalantly took his seat in the front row and sat silent. The class looked at him and fell silent waiting.

Eventually, the professor came to and was noticeably shaken. He looked at the Ranger in the front row.

When the professor regained his senses and could speak he asked: "What the hell is the matter with you?! Why did you do that?" "God was really busy protecting America's soldiers, who are protecting your right to say stupid things and act like a jerk !!! So he sent me!!"

ONE NATION UNDER GOD!!!

1806. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 21:23:41 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <xzEZe.52$sL3.8@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119632
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> ONE NATION UNDER GOD!!!

Especially ironic since Jehovah, Allah, and Yahweh
are the same God-Head. Conclusion: God suffers
from multiple personality disorder. Mrs. God, i.e.
Mother Nature, should hit Him up aside the head
with a 2x4. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1807. Re: The Great Liberty Net 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-25 23:29:37 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1da0fcef99f55134989b04@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 119633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <dh5acfhvc0@pita.alt.net>, Kooks@nutzcases.im says...
>
> "Dr. JT Windbag" <twinkleeyes999@amishonline.com> wrote in message
> news:9890a$433625ec$d1cc5050$13480@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> ...now on 3.950 (perhaps not for long however, seems everyone
> has either gone to bed or got scared away when the old gasbag
> from Indiana showed up much like a skunk in a circus revival tent)
> .
> Vic does have a little bit of entertainment value. Just a bit, not much.
> I heard that Vic is afraid of squirrels. They bury nuts and Vic is afraid,
> very afraid.
>
>
>
>

And Vic is usually the sanest one on there, not counting the "illegal
jammers", of course.

BDK

1808. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:09:08 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <UaCdnXxowMmhpqreRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit.
> Instead of debate the issue assholes like CornWhore, K4YZ and a few
> other assholes on here would attack a person. So I if you and Victor
> Victoria agree with cornwhore and the other harassing individual on
> here, then my opinion is your assholes just like them and don't
> derserve to have a logical debate
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>

It would be impossible to have a logical debate with you toddieboy.

1809. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:34:02 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <v8udndGEGeWRzareRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119635
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:

> Most convicts get "religion" because it looks good for the parole board.

True Scotsman fallacy.

> The reason Jews are under represented is the high value placed on education
> by the Jewish culture. A lesson lost on the ghetto dwellers in spite of the
> fact the early civil rights fight was for an equal education.
>
> As long as niggers equate education with "acting white", they will enslave
> themselves far more effectively that any plantation Massa of pre War of
> Northern Agression times.
>
> Once a group learns education opens the doors of opportunity, they are truly
> free.
>
> Your desperate grasping at straws to support atheism indicates to me, a
> crying out on your part for someone to lead you to the path of
> understanding. I shall pray for you my friend.

1. I generally don't like discussing anything with neo-Nazis, because
their ideology is even more irrational than any superstition.

2. I don't see any straws in my arguments and atheism is a default
state. Children have to be indoctrinated in order to become theists.

3. Your "praying for me" has as much effect on me as a wiccan's
proclamation that he will burn chicken bones at midnight for you would
have on you.

> Even if you do not believe in God, he believes in you!

Even if you don't believe in Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo,
Artemis, Babaluaye, Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid,
Ceres, Cupid, Cerridwen, Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos,
Eleggua, , El Shaddai, Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya,
Gaea, Lord Genesa, Hades, Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes,
Hestia, Horus, Ibeji, Ifa, Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus,
Juno, Jehovah, Jove, Jupiter, Kali. Krishna Kronos, Korravai, Loki,
Lugh, Lord Indra, Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan,
Nahuiquiahuitl, Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun,
Oshosi, Oshun, Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto,
Persephone, Poseidon, Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea,
Saturn, Set, Selene, Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw, Uranus,

Venus, Vesta, Vishnu, Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya, Zeus, and thousands of others, they believe in you!

1810. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:35:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9ZOdndXRttLxzareRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119636
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:
> On Thu, 15 Sep 2005 12:00:29 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply to an
>>HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.
>
>
> You said it yourself:
>
>>>refusing to take in a storm victim
>
> exactly conforms to
>
>>>>Intolerant toward others - 1645.
>
>
> otherwise, why would you offer your opinion
>
>>>while abhorrent to me
>
>
> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
> getting through the eye of the needle.

When I was a young feller, I recall many stories in bible class about good Christians who defied the law to do the right thing. I thrilled to stories about Christians who defied the Nazi's to protect Jewish people who would be otherwise killed, about brave Christians who managed to survive in Communist China. Lots of wonderful inspirational stories about religious conviction and character.

My how Christianity has changed! Must be a strong religion that won't go against an HOA.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1811. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:37:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9ZOdndTRttJpzareRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119637
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Percival P. Cassidy wrote:
> On 09/15/05 01:08 pm Richard Clark tossed the following ingredients into
> the ever-growing pot of cybersoup:
>
>>> Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply
>>> to an
>>> HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.
>
>
> <snip>
>
>> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
>> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
>> getting through the eye of the needle.
>
>
> Amen, Brother.

Make sure you get the attributes right on that Brave statement. Twern't
Richard, Twas Fred.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1812. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:41:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9ZOdndbRttI2zKreRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119638
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:16:54 -0700, Zoran Brlecic
> <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:
>
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Everyone has to believe something. I believe I'll have another martini.
>>
>>And that's pretty much the only religion I respect.
>
>
> "Pardon me while I slip out of these wet clothes and into a dry
> martini." - Groucho (or was it Bugs Bunny?)

What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1813. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:45:44 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <MPmdnV7FcbxUz6reRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119639
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>>>>In all cases, they believe and act according to their beliefs. That
>>>>>the atheists fall prey to their unrecognized beliefs cannot be
>>>>>disputed.
>>>>
>>>>And this is because you say so?
>>>
>>>Please counter the argument. If atheists do not have faith in their
>>>beliefs, then upon what basis would they judge their choices?
>>
>>First of all, atheism is non-belief in deities. That's it. Anything else
>>you're adding to this concept is your own projection.
>>Second, you're equivocating and word-playing on the term "belief". What
>>beliefs are we talking about in atheism? Atheists don't believe in gods
>>- a lack of belief is not belief any more than baldness is a hair color.
>>So, then, what beliefs? When you define this I'll "counter the argument"
>
> Belief requires faith in something unknown. I see no difference if one
> were to name that unknown G-d or call it by some other name.
> Regardless of the name, we attribute 'correctness' to something we do
> not know.

What has this got to do with atheism? I still don't see any faith or
belief in *not* believing that a magic supernatural dude created
everything. Not believing supernatural and paranormal concepts is a
default state. If you want to convince me or anyone else about your
extraordinary claim, you have to provide extraordinary evidence. Simply
saying "it is so because I say it is so" does not cut it.

>>>>>I do not deny that both are fallible, however, history demonstrates
>>>>>that the religious, despite their failures, have improved the human
>>>>>condition immeasurably where atheists have been only a blight upon
>>>>>civilization.
>>>>
>>>>??? You have got to be kidding? What has religion possibly done for
>>>>anyone except provided false hope while stealing their money?
>>>
>>>Law itself is derived directly from religious thought.
>>
>>Sometimes is, sometimes isn't. In the primitive tribal societies it was
>>much simpler to rule lawless people if you told them some god passed a
>>certain law and it just so happens that you have a direct communication

>>line with that god, so that you can interpret it. This is how religions
>>got born, and by a curious coincidence they all demanded money from
>>their followers.
>>Now, show how, for example, the American legal system is derived from
>>religion. Point out the law that makes it illegal to "covet one's ass"
>>or to eat shellfish or to wear clothes made from two different fabrics.

> American law is based upon English common law. English common law, in
> turn, is based upon "tradition, custom, and precedent."

And? Once again, what has this got to do with anything? The fact that
some laws coincide with *some* biblical or other religious "laws" does
not show that either morality or law are in any way related to the
so-called religious absolute morality.

>>>If there were no belief system, there could be no law.
>>
>>??? Look, just pulling statements out of your ass does not make them
>>believable. If you want to convince people, you have to present
>>arguments in a coherent way.
>>
>>What is so difficult about law anyway? Does it really take a genius to
>>figure out that murder, rape, slavery, genocide and theft are
>>detrimental to a society? We need some book written by ancient
>>goat-herders to tell us what laws are supposed to be? Besides, where,
>>for example, does the Bible say that slavery is wrong? Oh, that's right,
>>it doesn't - as a matter of fact, it explicitly condones it. It also
>>condones genocide, rape, infanticide and all sorts of other behavior
>>that nowadays is considered uncivilized (albeit only when perpetrated by
>>the God's chosen people against infidels). Strangely enough, there is no
>>mention of abortion in the Bible whatsoever, while one of the two wildly
>>different versions of the Ten Commandments deals with God's humongous
>>vanity.
>
> The single largest disagreement in the United States today is the
> power of government vs the power of the individual. Should each
> individual control all of his resources or do those resources
> ultimately belong to the state.

Switch and bait noted.

>>>Dictatorships have no law, that's what makes them so awful.
>>
>>??? You're not serious, are you?
>
> The trappings of law are meaningless if they do not apply equally to
> all. Dictators are the law.

This applies to all societies know to date, not only dictatorships. And
if you think the US is an exception to this rule, I have bad news for you.

>> >>Stifling science (from Galileo to stem cells), witch burning, condoning
>>
>>>>slavery, religious genocide on unprecedented scale, eradicating whole
>>>>civilizations, oppressing women, condoning fascism and
>>>>national-socialism, suppressing human rights for minorities, huge waste
>>>>of resources that could be better spent elsewhere, contributing to
>>>>millions of dead from AIDS by banning contraceptives, flaming national
>>>>and religious intolerance from Ireland to Palestine, and I could go on
>>>>forever...
>>>>
>>>>As for your "blight" comment, a majority of scientists are atheists, for
>>>>example. The percentage increases with education. That's education *not*
>>>>Kansas style.
>>>
>>>Do not attribute to religion the faults of mankind. Religion is a much
>>>broader concept than that represented by any known religion.
>>
>>No, it isn't. Your turn.
>
> Humans have many attributes, they think, they see, they stink, they
> feel, they love, they hate, etc. Among those attributes are religion.
> See Frazer's 'Golden Bough' for the parallels between all religions,
> ancient and modern. His work has had a substantial impact on the field
> of psychology.

Nevertheless, this just shows that humans, with their overdeveloped
brains, are capable of irrational, in addition to rational, thinking.
Just because humans are capable of imagining a god that makes thunder or
a god that makes the Universe or a jolly old man that brings presents,
doesn't mean they exist.

>>>While you're assessment of some religions at a particular point in
>>>time are on the mark, you overlook that same religion at an earlier or
>>>later point in time when it was substantially different.
>>>
>>>Like all things implemented by man, some succeed in their stated
>>>purpose and others fail.
>>
>>Yes, I agree that most of them succeed in their primary purpose, which
>>is allowing a class of social parasites to live off the gullible. From
>>Christianity to Scientology. The ultimate Ponzi scheme.
>
> Are speaking of governments or only religion?

Governments, much like armies, for all their faults and problems, are a
necessary evil, unless one advocates anarchy as a solution. Religion is
not.

1814. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:46:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S6GdnUs8N9F5z6reRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119640
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> Fred W4JLE wrote:
>
>> Most convicts get "religion" because it looks good for the parole board.
>
>
> True Scotsman fallacy.
>
>> The reason Jews are under represented is the high value placed on
>> education
>> by the Jewish culture. A lesson lost on the ghetto dwellers in spite
>> of the
>> fact the early civil rights fight was for an equal education.
>>
>> As long as niggers equate education with "acting white", they will
>> enslave
>> themselves far more effectively that any plantation Massa of pre War of
>> Northern Agression times.
>>
>> Once a group learns education opens the doors of opportunity, they are
>> truly
>> free.
>>
>> Your desperate grasping at straws to support atheism indicates to me, a
>> crying out on your part for someone to lead you to the path of
>> understanding. I shall pray for you my friend.
>
>
> 1. I generally don't like discussing anything with neo-Nazis, because
> their ideology is even more irrational than any superstition.
>
> 2. I don't see any straws in my arguments and atheism is a default
> state. Children have to be indoctrinated in order to become theists.
>
> 3. Your "praying for me" has as much effect on me as a wiccan's
> proclamation that he will burn chicken bones at midnight for you would
> have on you.
>
>> Even if you do not believe in God, he believes in you!
>
>
> Even if you don't believe in Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo,

> Artemis, Babaluaye, Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid,
> Ceres, Cupid, Cerridwen, Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos,
> Eleggua, , El Shaddai, Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya,
> Gaea, Lord Genesa, Hades, Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes,
> Hestia, Horus, Ibeji, Ifa, Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus,
> Juno, Jehovah, Jove, Jupiter, Kali. Krishna Kronos, Korravai, Loki,
> Lugh, Lord Indra, Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan,
> Nahuiquiahuitl, Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun,
> Oshosi, Oshun, Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto,
> Persephone, Poseidon, Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea,
> Saturn, Set, Selene, Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw, Uranus,
> Venus, Vesta, Vishnu, Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya, Zeus, and
> thousands of others, they believe in you!

Sigh.... No mention of my Turtle. It gets no respect from believers OR
disbelievers........ 8^(

- Mike -

1815. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:49:17 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Eq6dnTyrDKgCzqreRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 119641
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>According to you, all atheists should be immoral by definition. It
>>follows that prisons should be overflowing with them. Yet, strangely
>>enough, in American prisons, Christians are over-represented, while
>>atheists (along with Jews and Muslims) are under-represented.
>
>
> No, I don't think atheists are amoral or unmoral, I just don't think
> it's possible to be an atheist.

Well, then, you're clearly wrong, because I, for one, along with
millions of other people, am an atheist. I don't believe in your god,
nor do I believe in any other god until you provide objective evidence
instead of wishful thinking, "knowing in one's heart" and ancient myths.

And if you still don't understand why, ask yourself why you don't
believe in Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo, Artemis, Babaluaye,
Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid, Ceres, Cupid, Cerridwen,
Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos, Eleggua, , El Shaddai,
Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya, Gaea, Lord Genesa, Hades,
Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes, Hestia, Horus, Ibeji, Ifa,
Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus, Juno, Jehovah, Jove,
Jupiter, Kali, Krishna, Kronos, Korravai, Loki, Lugh, Lord Indra,
Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan, Nahuiquiahuitl,
Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun, Oshosi, Oshun,
Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto, Persephone, Poseidon,
Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea, Saturn, Set, Selene,
Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw, Uranus, Venus, Vesta, Vishnu,
Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya and Zeus.

73 ... WA7AA

1816. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:50:24 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <BtIZe.14329$iM2.1184679@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 119642
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:9ZOdndbRttI2zKreRVn-sQ@adelphia.com...

> What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

You can buy a Looney Toons collection via Amazon for a reasonable price. It doesn't have all the 'good ones', but I love it. I mean, the nephew loves it.....

Ken

1817. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:52:42 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <Eq6dnT-rDKj3yareRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 119643
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:

>>??? You have got to be kidding? What has religion possibly done for
>>anyone except provided false hope while stealing their money?
>
> Lets see. They have built hospitals, started schools and
> universities, fed the poor, provided them with clothes and homes, found
> them work to help them become independent.

So have communists and fascists, yet it is generally agreed that these
ideologies also belong in the history dumpster.

Point is, a normal healthy society does not need a superstition in order
to take care of the fellow citizen.

73 ... WA7AA

1818. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:56:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <p_WdnagAf_HeyKreRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119644
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> And if you still don't understand why, ask yourself why you don't
> believe in Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo, Artemis, Babaluaye,
> Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid, Ceres, Cupid, Cerridwen,
> Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos, Eleggua, , El Shaddai,
> Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya, Gaea, Lord Genesa, Hades,
> Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes, Hestia, Horus, Ibeji, Ifa,
> Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus, Juno, Jehovah, Jove,
> Jupiter, Kali, Krishna, Kronos, Korravai, Loki, Lugh, Lord Indra,
> Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan, Nahuiquiahuitl,
> Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun, Oshosi, Oshun,
> Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto, Persephone, Poseidon,
> Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea, Saturn, Set, Selene,
> Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw, Uranus, Venus, Vesta, Vishnu,
> Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya and Zeus.

Doggone it Zoran! Put in the Turtle... pleeze! He goes away if no one
believes in him!

- Mike

1819. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:58:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <p_WdnasAf_EoyKreRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119645
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ken Taylor wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:9ZOdndbRttI2zKreRVn-sQ@adelphia.com...
>
>
>>What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> You can buy a Looney Toons collection via Amazon for a reasonable price. It
> doesn't have all the 'good ones', but I love it. I mean, the nephew loves
> it.....

I'm badly in need of a Foghorn Leghorn fix! 8^)

trying to kill this thread with inanity......

But I am serious about needing the old WB cartoons 8^)

- Mike -

1820. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 01:58:47 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433755d8$0$22205$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119646
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> Matt Osborn wrote:
>
>>> According to you, all atheists should be immoral by definition. It
>>> follows that prisons should be overflowing with them. Yet, strangely
>>> enough, in American prisons, Christians are over-represented, while
>>> atheists (along with Jews and Muslims) are under-represented.
>>
>>
>>
>> No, I don't think atheists are amoral or unmoral, I just don't think
>> it's possible to be an atheist.
>
>
>
> Well, then, you're clearly wrong, because I, for one, along with
> millions of other people, am an atheist. I don't believe in your god,
> nor do I believe in any other god until you provide objective evidence
> instead of wishful thinking, "knowing in one's heart" and ancient myths.
>
> And if you still don't understand why, ask yourself why you don't
> believe in Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo, Artemis, Babaluaye,
> Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid, Ceres, Cupid, Cerridwen,
> Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos, Eleggua, , El Shaddai,
> Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya, Gaea, Lord Genesa, Hades,
> Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes, Hestia, Horus, Ibeji, Ifa,
> Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus, Juno, Jehovah, Jove,
> Jupiter, Kali, Krishna, Kronos, Korravai, Loki, Lugh, Lord Indra,
> Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan, Nahuiquiahuitl,
> Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun, Oshosi, Oshun,
> Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto, Persephone, Poseidon,
> Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea, Saturn, Set, Selene,
> Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw, Uranus, Venus, Vesta, Vishnu,
> Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya and Zeus.
>
>
> 73 ... WA7AA
>

You forgot J.R. "Bob" Dobbs of the Church of the SubGenius.

http://www.subgenius.com/

tom
K0TAR

1821. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 02:02:41 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <CP-dnf8zha9cy6renZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 119647
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
>> And if you still don't understand why, ask yourself why you don't
>> believe in Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo, Artemis, Babaluaye,
>> Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid, Ceres, Cupid,
>> Cerridwen, Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos, Eleggua, , El
>> Shaddai, Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya, Gaea, Lord
>> Genesa, Hades, Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes, Hestia,
>> Horus, Ibeji, Ifa, Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus, Juno,
>> Jehovah, Jove, Jupiter, Kali, Krishna, Kronos, Korravai, Loki, Lugh,
>> Lord Indra, Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan,
>> Nahuiquiahuitl, Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun,
>> Oshosi, Oshun, Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto,
>> Persephone, Poseidon, Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea,
>> Saturn, Set, Selene, Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw,
>> Uranus, Venus, Vesta, Vishnu,
>> Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya and Zeus.
>
>
> Doggone it Zoran! Put in the Turtle... pleeze! He goes away if no
> one believes in him!

Well, the list is only partial. For the complete listing, send me $7000
and I promise to give some of it to charity in order to appear to be
doing something useful.
Hey, it works for TV preachers.

73 ... WA7AA

1822. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 02:07:25 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <xJIZe.627$Fi3.579@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119648
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> 2. I don't see any straws in my arguments and atheism is a default
> state. Children have to be indoctrinated in order to become theists.

The straw is that there seems to be something in human nature that
needs to believe in a higher power. Myths and religion wouldn't
exist unless they fulfilled some need. One reason that we are more
successful than our ape cousins is that we can control the bullies
in our society. Religion helps to accomplish that control.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1823. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 02:12:47 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <43375952.B78B60FB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119649
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> I'm badly in need of a Foghorn Leghorn fix! 8^)
>
> trying to kill this thread with inanity......
>
> But I am serious about needing the old WB cartoons 8^)
>
> - Mike -

I find some of the old cartoons on DVDs at the local dollar store.
I've bought about 20, so far. ;-)

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1824. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 02:15:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d9WdnUJVw9wkxKreRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119650
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> I'm badly in need of a Foghorn Leghorn fix! 8^)
>>
>> trying to kill this thread with inanity......
>>
>> But I am serious about needing the old WB cartoons 8^)
>>
>> - Mike -
>
>
>
> I find some of the old cartoons on DVDs at the local dollar store.
> I've bought about 20, so far. ;-)
>

Heh! I'm beginning to think I need to get out more, Mike! 8^)

- mike -

1825. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 02:16:16 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <QRIZe.628$Fi3.469@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119651
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> Just because humans are capable of imagining a god that makes thunder or
> a god that makes the Universe or a jolly old man that brings presents,
> doesn't mean they exist.

On the contrary, human imagination brings lots of concepts into
existence. Your words, above, are just one example. Your word,
"god", represents a concept that does indeed exist. "Love" is
another concept that exists although I have never seen a drop
of love.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1826. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 02:21:46 UTC
From: Mike Andrews <mikea@mikea.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <dh7lvq$572$1@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 119652
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.dx Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:
> Matt Osborn wrote:

>>>>>>In all cases, they believe and act according to their beliefs. That
>>>>>>the atheists fall prey to their unrecognized beliefs cannot be
>>>>>>disputed.
>>>>>
>>>>>And this is because you say so?
>>>>
>>>>Please counter the argument. If atheists do not have faith in their
>>>>beliefs, then upon what basis would they judge their choices?
>>>
>>>First of all, atheism is non-belief in deities. That's it. Anything else
>>>you're adding to this concept is your own projection.
>>>Second, you're equivocating and word-playing on the term "belief". What
>>>beliefs are we talking about in atheism? Atheists don't believe in gods
>>>- a lack of belief is not belief any more than baldness is a hair color.
>>>So, then, what beliefs? When you define this I'll "counter the argument"
>>
>> Belief requires faith in something unknown. I see no difference if one
>> were to name that unknown G-d or call it by some other name.
>> Regardless of the name, we attribute 'correctness' to something we do
>> not know.

> What has this got to do with atheism?

More to the point, what has this got to do with alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,
rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.dx, or
rec.radio.amateur.equipment?

Please, folks, take it to E-mail or to some group more suited to discussion
of philosophy and religion. I'd like to see something having to do with radio
here.

Apropos which, I see on the R-390 list that someone actually managed
to hear SAQ's arc broadcast on 17.2 KHz last week.

--
Mike Andrews, W5EGO
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

1827. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 02:55:32 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <qaoej15d3su5d02jkpe603s1r30lohqd99@4ax.com>
Source record: 119654
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 16:44:00 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>When the professor regained his senses and could speak he asked: "What the
>hell is the matter with you?! Why did you do that?" "God was really busy
>protecting America's soldiers, who are protecting your right to say stupid
>things and act like a jerk !!! So he sent me!!"
>
>
>ONE NATION UNDER GOD!!!

Just what we need - a bunch of testosterone-charge assholes punching
out people that don't believe in their god. It's pretty obvious who
the real jerk is in your story, and it isn't the professor.

1828. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 03:13:56 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <rqpej1t600suocm53q5i6flrlb6r7j27l2@4ax.com>
Source record: 119655
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 06:42:51 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 03:16:14 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 22:22:10 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 05:41:38 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>>>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>>>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>>>>position of Saudi Arabian women?
>>>
>>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>>
>> That says more about you than about them.
>
>Such as?

If you have to ask ....

1829. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 04:02:35 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-dudnZTHc-B-_qreRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't have to put up with his or anyone else harassment and bullshit.

You're absolutely correct, you can always leave the newsgroups and make
everybody happy.

1830. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 04:06:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127707600.319292.76750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And you can eat shit and die!!!!

this isn't your fucking newsgroup

1831. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 04:09:26 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <81ba1$4337749f$97d56a13$32473@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119657
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How can you tell if someone is a liberal?

Tell any joke, and they will find a way to take umbrage with it to show how
politically correct they are...

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:qaoej15d3su5d02jkpe603s1r30lohqd99@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 16:44:00 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
>
> >When the professor regained his senses and could speak he asked: "What
the
> >hell is the matter with you?! Why did you do that?" "God was really busy
> >protecting America's soldiers, who are protecting your right to say
stupid
> >things and act like a jerk !!! So he sent me!!"
> >
> >
> >ONE NATION UNDER GOD!!!
>
> Just what we need - a bunch of testosterone-charge assholes punching
> out people that don't believe in their god. It's pretty obvious who
> the real jerk is in your story, and it isn't the professor.
>

1832. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 05:31:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Jv2dnXDqtahW5areRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119658
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 16:44:00 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>>When the professor regained his senses and could speak he asked: "What the
>>hell is the matter with you?! Why did you do that?" "God was really busy
>>protecting America's soldiers, who are protecting your right to say stupid
>>things and act like a jerk !!! So he sent me!!"
>>
>>
>>ONE NATION UNDER GOD!!!
>
>
> Just what we need - a bunch of testosterone-charge assholes punching
> out people that don't believe in their god. It's pretty obvious who
> the real jerk is in your story, and it isn't the professor.
>

It's a joke son.

1833. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 05:32:51 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <821fj1dkcfssb8u8rajkend0jip9l8cmmi@4ax.com>
Source record: 119660
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 21:37:57 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Percival P. Cassidy wrote:
>> On 09/15/05 01:08 pm Richard Clark tossed the following ingredients into
>> the ever-growing pot of cybersoup:
>>>> Perhaps you could show me where any of the offered definitions apply
>>>> to an
>>>> HOA rule that prohibits non family members from residing in a home.
>> <snip>
>>> Christian charity is not fulfilled by a shrug of the HOA committee,
>>> and members who enjoy the veil of these rules won't find it any easier
>>> getting through the eye of the needle.
>> Amen, Brother.
> Make sure you get the attributes right on that Brave statement. Twern't
>Richard, Twas Fred.

Hi Mike,

Perce was commending me (or so I read the reply to my post, which had
quoted Fred's top post (which makes attribution complex)).

Either way, what is more amusing is the anal-retentive attention given
to forcing the metaphor of the Camel to come out "correctly" by
successive writers and critics (thus proving it is easier to go to
hell through accuracy than get to heaven with style). Of course, none
of this matches the absolutely amateur religious instruction on what
constitutes an atheist - again, the toboggan run to hell paved with
definitions, examples and references reaching back to the dawn of the
crusades as proof.

Style is found in:
"Now I saw in my dream that Christian and Hopeful forsook Mr. By-ends,
and kept their distance before him; but one of them looking back, saw
three men following Mr. By-ends, and behold, as they came up with him,
he made them a very low bow; and they also gave him a compliment. The
men's names were Mr. Hold-the-world, Mr. Money-love, and Mr. Save-all;
men that Mr. By-ends had formerly been acquainted with; for in their
minority they were schoolfellows, and were taught by one Mr.
Gripe-man, a schoolmaster in Love-gain, which is a market town in the
county of Coveting, in the north. This schoolmaster taught them the
art of getting, either by violence, cozenage, flattery, lying, or by
putting on the guise of religion; and these four gentlemen had
attained much of the art of their master, so that they could each of

them have kept such a school themselves.

"Well, when they had, as I said, thus saluted each other, Mr. Money-love said to Mr. By-ends, Who are they upon the road before us? (for Christian and Hopeful were yet within view).

"BY-ENDS. They are a couple of far countrymen, that, after their mode, are going on pilgrimage.

"MONEY-LOVE. Alas! Why did they not stay, that we might have had their good company? for they, and we, and you, Sir, I hope, are all going on pilgrimage.

"BY-ENDS. Why, they, after their headstrong manner, conclude that it is duty to rush on their journey all weathers; and I am for waiting for wind and tide. They are for hazarding all for God at a clap; and I am for taking all advantages to secure my life and estate. They are for holding their notions, though all other men are against them; but I am for religion in what, and so far as the times, and my safety, will bear it. They are for religion when in rags and contempt; but I am for him when he walks in his golden slippers, in the sunshine, and with applause."

Auditions for readings follow this posting.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1834. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 05:33:20 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Jv2dnXPqtai15KreRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>
>

You really do need to make an attempt to grow up toddieboy.

> this isn't your fucking newsgroup

And where did I ever say it was?

1835. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 05:52:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <i33fj1p0teriihnlou6ib60lo0oqvmd5vi@4ax.com>
Source record: 119661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 22:33:20 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>N9OGL wrote:
>> And you can eat shit and die!!!!

>You really do need to make an attempt to grow up toddieboy.

Or at least to expand his vocabulary a little.

1836. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 07:53:36 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <ILSdnd9v5sSJNKreRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 119662
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>>Belief requires faith in something unknown. I see no difference if one
>>
>>were to name that unknown G-d or call it by some other name.
>>
>>>Regardless of the name, we attribute 'correctness' to something we do
>>>not know.
>>
>>What has this got to do with atheism? I still don't see any faith or
>>belief in *not* believing that a magic supernatural dude created
>>everything. Not believing supernatural and paranormal concepts is a
>>default state. If you want to convince me or anyone else about your
>>extraordinary claim, you have to provide extraordinary evidence. Simply
>>saying "it is so because I say it is so" does not cut it.
>
>
> May I suggest you read the 'Golden Bough' by Frazer, for starters. The
> belief in the unknown is universal, it is a fundamental part of the
> human psyche.
>
> When you say " I still don't see any faith or belief in *not*
> believing that a magic supernatural dude created everything", you are
> merely saying that what you believe is better than what the other guy
> believes.

Not at all, I keep saying, and you refuse to hear it, that I d-o n-o-t
b-e-l-i-e-v-e i-n y-o-u-r g-o-d-s. Any of them.

Once again, absence of belief is not a belief. Let me illustrate:
suppose that someone accuses you of being a witch. He has no evidence,
other than he believes he saw you kill someone's cow with a spell. Now,
you obviously don't "believe that you're not" a witch, do you? It would
seem that his claim needs evidence/proof and you have nothing to believe
in and nothing to prove.
So now you're saying that his belief and your "belief" are somehow similar?

> What you seem to be doing is standing on soap box and claiming that
> Atheism is correct and religion is not. That Atheism has basis in
> fact where other religions are based on superstitions.
> Atheism is exactly the same in all fundamental respects as any other
> religion. Atheism, in other words doesn't exist, it cannot exist in
> any real sense. Rather than I proving that an elephant can't fly, why
> don't you prove that it can?

Why don't you prove that your gods, devils and angels exist first, before engaging in the standard theist shifting of the burden of proof. If you have nothing to show for your gods other than the usual begging the question and other logical fallacies, then I don't have to entertain your hypothesis with any more seriousness than the hypothesis that the Universe was farted out of the Super-Nuclear Donkey's butt yesterday afternoon.

Either way, Matt, you keep spinning the old tired religious nonsense about atheism being just another theism, because that's pretty much the only thing they can say on the matter, other than engaging in the ad hominem about atheists' immorality, that is.

However, none of this would matter one bit and I would care about Christianity as much as I care about some Amazon tribe's river gods, if it weren't for the fact that the religious right affects my life in the profoundly negative way, and the list is getting longer by the day: health research, contraceptives, euthanasia, abortion, education, politics, freedom of expression, "morality" laws, taxes, phony illegal wars, etc.

Americans have almost absolute freedom to practice their religion in their homes and in their churches as they please, but that is never enough for the Christian Taliban, is it? They won't be happy until this country becomes a Christian Saudi Arabia.

73 ... WA7AA

1837. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 07:59:50 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <h5GdncE26P7qN6reRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119663
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

>> Just because humans are capable of imagining a god that makes thunder
>> or a god that makes the Universe or a jolly old man that brings
>> presents, doesn't mean they exist.
>
> On the contrary, human imagination brings lots of concepts into
> existence.

Read my sentence more carefully, Cecil.

> Your words, above, are just one example. Your word,
> "god", represents a concept that does indeed exist. "Love" is
> another concept that exists although I have never seen a drop
> of love.

I am not arguing that the *concept* of a god does not exist. I am
arguing that in the absence of any evidence of the existence of the
alleged gods, as well as considering the preponderance of scientific
evidence contrary to all known religious scriptures, it is silly to
believe in any of them. And why? Because some 5000 year old goat-bugger
had too much to drink one day while trying to figure out stuff no one
will ever know.

73 ... WA7AA

1838. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 08:11:34 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <1eadnVR7S-qqMKreRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 119664
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>Well, then, you're clearly wrong, because I, for one, along with
>>millions of other people, am an atheist. I don't believe in your god,
>>nor do I believe in any other god until you provide objective evidence
>>instead of wishful thinking, "knowing in one's heart" and ancient myths.

> You have made no case for atheism. All you have done is list a series
> of things in which do not believe. You have not listed what you do
> believe or in what you have placed your faith.

What difference does it make what I "believe"? When it comes to blind faith in the supernatural and paranormal, I believe none of it until it can be scientifically repeated, falsified and explained. Other than that, I believe the Sun will rise tomorrow and I believe in peace and love, and I believe I'll have a beer. But please don't go confusing these three "beliefs" with the belief in the supernatural. This is the equivocation game and it's dishonest.

> Atheism is a logically faulty concept. Being human, you cannot
> possibly know everything.

What difference does this make? I don't know how the Universe began and quite possibly no one will ever know exactly, but does that mean we can simply pull the "answers" out of our asses? I am not playing the god of the gaps game either. That's just stupid.

> What you may think of the unknown is
> entirely up to you, but whatever that may be, will require just as
> much faith as any other religion. Your religion simply has different
> trappings.

[sigh] I suppose it's hopeless.

You keep blindly repeating what your voodoo practitioners told you, and are unwilling to accept that atheists get to define what atheism is - not you. So feel free to believe (no pun) that atheism is religion or that atheists "deny" God, but I am telling you that the only thing that atheism is, is the absence of belief in gods. Period.

And to everyone's relief, this will be my last post. Whoever wants to follow up is free to transfer the discussion to alt.atheism, soc.atheism or talk.atheism.

73 ... WA7AA

1839. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 11:34:02 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dh8mba$1fg$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119665
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

==========

It is unfortunate that Hollywood so long has dominated the world's supposedly desirable standards of human behaviour and described, by countless examples in films, the ficticious and imagined way of life in the USA. But the truth about real life went to pot after Charlie Chaplin and others were expelled from your shores.

Things may be different now that the Japanese wealth and enterprise have taken over Hollywood. But perhaps it is too late. The Internet, not Hollywood, is taking over the dissemination of ideas. Big Brother Rules!

They who own and control the means of communication will rule the Earth.

Makes a change from so-called SWR meters.

Reg, G4FGQ.

1840. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 12:27:58 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dh8pge$b6q$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119666
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Your belief in no G-d is no different than any belief in G-d.

==========

It is noticed that you are sufficiently superstitious and afraid of
the deity even to use, in print, the full name and description of
"god".

How do you manage in normal conversation to convey your ideas?

Reg.

1841. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 12:37:31 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <q4OdnUPMy5iQcareRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119667
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:

> On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 16:44:00 -0400, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>>When the professor regained his senses and could speak he asked: "What the
>>hell is the matter with you?! Why did you do that?" "God was really busy
>>protecting America's soldiers, who are protecting your right to say stupid
>>things and act like a jerk !!! So he sent me!!"
>>
>>
>>ONE NATION UNDER GOD!!!
>
>
> Just what we need - a bunch of testosterone-charge assholes punching
> out people that don't believe in their god. It's pretty obvious who
> the real jerk is in your story, and it isn't the professor.

There is one anecdotal saying that is proper for this point:

Let this thread return to amateur radio topics.

P L E A S E !!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

1842. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 13:33:22 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <CMSZe.2767$KQ5.690@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119668
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> Atheism is exactly the same in all fundamental respects as any other
> religion.

If an atheist believes only in things that can be proven using
the scientific method, he is the *exact opposite* of a religious
person who substitutes blind faith for scientific inquiry.

> Atheism, in other words doesn't exist, it cannot exist in
> any real sense.

This is known in scientific logic as begging the question. The
onus of proof is upon you to first prove that a supreme supernatural
being exists. Only after you accomplish that scientific feat of
classic logic would you be in a position to discuss the implications.

> Rather than I proving that an elephant can't fly, why
> don't you prove that it can?

The onus of proof is upon the person who asserts the positive
premise which is: "God exists." Until you prove your premise, all
of your arguments are easily recognized as logical diversions.
Therefore all of your statements must be prefaced with:
"If and only if my particular God exists, ...".

If the existence of God were ever proven beyond a reasonable doubt
and atheists continued to disbelieve, then atheism would become a
religion, but not before.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1843. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 14:04:18 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <CdTZe.690$Fi3.650@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119669
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> You have made no case for atheism.

Not that I'm an atheist, but here is the case for atheism: The
existence of even one of the following as a supernatural being
has never been proven.

Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo, Artemis, Babaluaye,
Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid, Ceres, Cupid, Cerridwen,
Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos, Eleggua, , El Shaddai,
Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya, Gaea, Lord Genesa, Hades,
Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes, Hestia, Horus, Ibeji, Ifa,
Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus, Juno, Jehovah, Jove,
Jupiter, Kali, Krishna, Kronos, Korravai, Loki, Lugh, Lord Indra,
Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan, Nahuiquiahuitl,
Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun, Oshosi, Oshun,
Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto, Persephone, Poseidon,
Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea, Saturn, Set, Selene,
Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw, Uranus, Venus, Vesta, Vishnu,
Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya and Zeus

Even the very existence of a supernatural dimension or realm has never
been proven.

Religion is based on blind faith and beliefs in things that cannot be
proven. Atheism is based on an absence of blind faith and beliefs in
things that can be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1844. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 14:08:44 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BF5D7A5E.49597%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 119670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
> ortwave
> Date: 25 Sep 2005 21:06:40 -0700
> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>
> And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>
> this isn't your fucking newsgroup
>
Your grammar is improving.

Greg

1845. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 14:12:40 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <slTZe.695$Fi3.640@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119671
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> I am not arguing that the *concept* of a god does not exist.

I know, Zoran, the devil made me do it. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1846. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 14:30:45 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <dr0gj1pl3v5ki2u9gegtocrcsmad2uq15e@4ax.com>
Source record: 119673
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 22:31:47 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
wrote:

>> Just what we need - a bunch of testosterone-charge assholes punching
>> out people that don't believe in their god. It's pretty obvious who
>> the real jerk is in your story, and it isn't the professor.
>>
>
>It's a joke son.

Yes, and I've heard this joke told before by people who think they're
being clever. Unfortunately, there are too many people that have this
mentality in real life. They are the ones who say, "You're free, as
long as you think the same way as me."

1847. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 14:33:56 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <oFTZe.703$Fi3.133@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119672
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> In all of our science and knowledge, we have yet to find random
> things.

False. Certain characteristics of entangled particles are
completely random and must remain so in order to avoid faster
than light transfer of information, something presently
considered to be impossible. Can your G-d communicate at
faster than light speeds?

> Atheists are no different than the religious, they, as do the
> religious themselves, simply believe in different things.

False. The absence of a belief is the exact opposite of the
existence of a belief. By your logic, the absence of a tree
is exactly the same thing as the presence of a tree. Methinks
you picked the wrong newsgroup to try to flim-flam. Are you
an EH antenna salesman by any chance? :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1848. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 14:44:55 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <3k1gj1doq7j8r8s4289jfnnk3k6b6dshg8@4ax.com>
Source record: 119674
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 22:35:44 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>You have made no case for atheism. All you have done is list a series
>of things in which do not believe. You have not listed what you do
>believe or in what you have placed your faith.
>
>Atheism is a logically faulty concept. Being human, you cannot
>possibly know everything. What you may think of the unknown is
>entirely up to you, but whatever that may be, will require just as
>much faith as any other religion. Your religion simply has different
>trappings.

There are some atheists who say that there is no god, and there are others who say that the existence of any god can neither be proven nor disproved. Either way, those asserting a belief in a god have the burden of proof. It's not up to atheists to prove that a god does not exist. Of course, you are correct when you say that humans cannot possibly know everything, but to accept a concept such as "god" is applying faith without any critical thinking or reason. This is blind faith.

1849. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 15:06:09 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <oc2gj110akku6lupod05uui7b6i35rat3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 119675
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 05:27:54 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>You do have blind faith in something unless you've already discovered
>everything. None of us can say anything of the unknown with
>certainty, yet we all have the faith to tackle the unknown head on and
>to carve from it useful knowledge.

Of course, if you paint with that broad of a stroke, faith can mean
just about anything you want it to mean. To say that atheists have
"faith" in their beliefs or that such beliefs are actually a religion
is flawed. I can assure you that if some winged being flew down out of
the sun and ended all disease, suffering, and hunger, and brought
peace to the world, and if every person in the world called this being
"god" in their own native tongue, I guarantee even the most hardcore
atheist would have faith in that god.

>BTW, despite the allegations, there is no conflict between science and
>religion. The religious use science just as much as the rest of us.

No, the conflict is about religionists trying to pass their beliefs
off as science.

>>What difference does this make? I don't know how the Universe began and
>>quite possibly no one will ever know exactly, but does that mean we can
>>simply pull the "answers" out of our asses? I am not playing the god of
>>the gaps game either. That's just stupid.
>
>If you don't know everything, than you have to have faith that you can
>operate and archive something in the face of the unknown. How you
>perceive that faith is up to you, but it is there.

...and the brush strokes become even broader.

1850. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 15:11:12 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <pk3gj191pqj7hkderbti525toradsn1bpn@4ax.com>
Source record: 119676
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 03:13:56 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>>>>
>>>>> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>>>>>position of Saudi Arabian women?
>>>>
>>>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>>>
>>> That says more about you than about them.
>>
>>Such as?
>
> If you have to ask ....

Ambiguity will get you nowhere.

1851. Re: A prayer for Galveston, in Rita's path

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 17:42:13 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dh9bvvmfa1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 119677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Let's all hope
> > >>> that Rita gets Dweebo and Chapwoman. 2 less keyclowns would be fun
to
> > >>> hear about. We heard that they are emptying the jails down there, so
> > >>> Dweebo will be free a while.
> > >>>
> > >>> DROWN KEYQUEENS, DROWN!
> > >>>
> > >>wishing death on someone. amazing...
> > >
> > >I agree. That is the most sad thing I have heard in a while! How truly
> > >absurd!
> >
> > It takes a really sick person to wish harm or death on someone. And
> > then to top it off put a "A prayer for Galveston, in Rita's path" in
> > the subject line. I heard that bus blow up yesterday, and later found
> > out that 24 people were stuck in their wheel chairs and burned to
> > death. What kind of sick piece of shit finds humor in that?
> >
> Hello Lancer.
>
> That came from the NG coward, N8WWM.
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Hmm, so your saying they empty the Jails and let the criminals out....
bloody stupid idea that. Why didnt they just send an armoured bus to take
them to another Jail.... now they are going to be free for more
crimespree's. Absolutely bonkers....

1852. Status of mailing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 19:08:06 UTC
From: Rick <w7rtrick@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <43384716.2070204@firstinter.net>
Source record: 119678

Carl,
How is the member mass e-mail notice coming along?

Rick T.

1853. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 19:44:15 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <kcYZe.14460$iM2.1194399@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 119679
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:d9WdnUJVw9wkxKreRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>> I'm badly in need of a Foghorn Leghorn fix! 8^)
>>>
>>> trying to kill this thread with inanity......
>>>
>>> But I am serious about needing the old WB cartoons 8^)
>>>
>>> - Mike -
>>
>>
>>
>> I find some of the old cartoons on DVDs at the local dollar store.
>> I've bought about 20, so far. ;-)
>>
>
> Heh! I'm beginning to think I need to get out more, Mike! 8^)
>
> - mike -

Me too - where's that store? :-)

Ken

1854. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 22:27:27 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <jB_Ze.554$lc1.0@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119680
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> Nothing can be proven using the scientific method.

Hey, let me try that same approach. You do not exist.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1855. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 22:30:50 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <uE_Ze.555$lc1.543@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119681
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> Gravity has never been proven.

I know the answer to this one from my hippie days.

There is no gravity! The earth sucks!
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1856. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-26 22:41:17 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vM_Ze.8227$eB3.5897@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119682
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:88WdnbrHqZgpkbreRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> Jerry wrote:
>
>> "Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:0ICdncTJkPKeVrveRVn-vA@comcast.com...
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Carl / W6VDC" <carl.hixon@cox.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:bnkVe.8821$mH.8469@fed1read07...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> And then, there are the morons and bigots:
>>>>>><http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ned=us&ie=ISO-8859-1&q=Ocala+Fl+Majestic+Oaks&btn
G=Search+News>
>>>>>
>>>>>I looked at the link and read a couple of the articles. I didn't see
>>>>>anything that warranted labelling them bigots. I don't think that the
>>>>>HOA took the proper position, but that doesn't make them bigots.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>However it would seem to indicate that they lack humanitarianism.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Judgments!!! Would it not be better to read the exact terms of the CC&R,
>>>AKA CONTRACT, before judgments are made??
>>>
>>>The exact wording of the HOA/CC&R may restrict certain activities [such
>>>as external antennas, or temporary housing, etc]. The restriction can
>>>only be waived by consent of the members of the HOA.
>>
>>
>>
>> That's just it! There ain't gonna BE any HOA's ,covenants, or busybody
>> neighbors telling me to do a durned thing unless they got enough rear end
>> on 'em to MAKE me! If today, somebody wanted to start an HOA in my
>> neighborhood, I'd tell them to kiss my (censored).
>>
>> I feel VERY strongly about property rights, and if I want to put up an
>> American flag, then I'll put up an American flag and I dare some

>> schweinhund to come over here and touch it! I DESPISE and detest HOA's!
>> :(
>>
>> Jerry
>
> Gerry, you said it correctly. Tell your agent "I will not consider, under
> any conditions, any HOAs or CC&Rs." If the RE attorney uncovers any
> 'hidden' CC&Rs in the title search, the sale is OFF!
>
> I live in the "Live Free or Die" state [New Hampshire]. When I bought here
> three years ago I made that point to our realtor. We bought a very nice
> single family home of 2100 square feet on a 1/2 acre only 21 years old, 6
> miles south of the state capital and 12 miles north of the third largest
> city.
>
> I checked town zoning requirements for a tower. I'm allowed 70 feet for
> the tower without requesting a variance. Also, if the tower is
> professionally installed I need not consult the town building inspector.
> Heights from 70 feet 1 inch to 200 feet require plan approval by the
> building inspector ONLY for safety issues. BTW: my tower is only 50 feet
> [I live on top of a 510 foot hill with height above average terrain of 250
> feet for 5 miles radius].
>
> But back to the original issue. The HOA may have a strict rule on
> temporary housing. It is a freely entered into contract condition that
> requires the consent of the contracting parties to amend.
>
> So, the term 'BIGOT' is a prejudicial pre judgment.

I've always loved that statement "Live Free or Die". Good for New Hampshire!! I would've done well in New Hampshire!

Like you, I made 2 requirements. 1) No HOA's. and 2) No "heat" pumps!! I know it gets colder in NH, but it CAN get a bit chilly here in Western NC. I won't have cold air coming out of my registers! LOL! And that, of course, is a story for a different thread!

Jerry
>

1857. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 00:33:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Lr0_e.5548$q1.1925@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127674963.110296.325940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> FUCK YOU! I have debate a number of things on various newsgroups it's
> assholes like cornwhore and all your little bitchs on here a agitate
> and harass so again fuck you!
>

I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been born in 1968 was it? And now he claims to be going to college, and don't seem to have a problem with living with his parents....Let's see now....that makes him....37....THIRTY SEVEN YEARS OLD.

Uh......at that age....

1. Why are you still in college?

2. Why do you still live with your parents

3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?

Just curious.

And please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you can.

Dan/W4NTI

1858. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 00:39:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2x0_e.5552$q1.2198@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127521751.928004.86060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
>>is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
>>is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
>>*published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
>>they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
>>had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
>>"broadcasts" in the past.
>
> doesn't matter Interference is interference, and ANYONE or GROUP who
> causes interference should pay regardless if it for the "common good".
> As for published schedule the FCC has stated that do mean squat, my
> view is that all who cause interference should pay.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00
>

I have decided to start a new net....I pick 14.321. I will be replaying ARRL bulletins and information from RAIN. Then claim it as original. I will also be using a prompt from Walter Cronkike, even tho his lawyers asked me to stop.

Then if anyone interferes with me I will send out "Felony affidavits".

What you think Toad? Looks like your future to me.

Dan/W4NTI

1859. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 01:25:36 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <43389FAF.FF2E1799@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119685
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ken Taylor wrote:
>
> Me too - where's that store? :-)
>
> Ken

The "Dollar Tree" chain of dollar stores is where I find most of
them. I saw some the other day at a "Save-A-Lot" grocery store, too.

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1860. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 01:26:14 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <43389FD5.FF87A0F0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119686
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:
>
> > What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> ==========
>
> It is unfortunate that Hollywood so long has dominated the world's
> supposedly desirable standards of human behaviour and described, by
> countless examples in films, the ficticious and imagined way of life
> in the USA. But the truth about real life went to pot after Charlie
> Chaplin and others were expelled from your shores.
>
> Things may be different now that the Japanese wealth and enterprise
> have taken over Hollywood. But perhaps it is too late. The Internet,
> not Hollywood, is taking over the dissemination of ideas. Big Brother
> Rules!
>
> They who own and control the means of communication will rule the
> Earth.
>
> Makes a change from so-called SWR meters.
> ----
> Reg, G4FGQ.

Get a life, old man. :(

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1861. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 01:54:56 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4338A687.8D5B94DF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119687
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brad wrote:
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1127271443.195835.320110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: K?B on Sep 15, 12:09 pm
>
> >How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to
> >be a
> >member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why
> >would
> >I want to?)?
>
> Christian Charity is a point scoring exercise with God. It is done for
> selfish reasons, to make sure you are noticed by God and he lets you in.

Really? Then why does the New Testament say "Not by your works, but
by your faith"?

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1862. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 02:49:59 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <spchj1pr7ee27ssegm59vui1ljrjcq6nof@4ax.com>
Source record: 119688
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 06:42:51 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 03:16:14 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 22:22:10 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 19 Sep 2005 05:41:38 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>>>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>>>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>>>>>position of Saudi Arabian women?
>>>>
>>>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>>>
>>> That says more about you than about them.
>>
>>Such as?

If you have to ask ....

1863. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 03:10:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <AeadndN8Uv-DJaXeRVn-2Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119689
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:
>>What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> ==========
>
> It is unfortunate that Hollywood so long has dominated the world's
> supposedly desirable standards of human behaviour and described, by
> countless examples in films, the ficticious and imagined way of life
> in the USA. But the truth about real life went to pot after Charlie
> Chaplin and others were expelled from your shores.
>
> Things may be different now that the Japanese wealth and enterprise
> have taken over Hollywood. But perhaps it is too late. The Internet,
> not Hollywood, is taking over the dissemination of ideas. Big Brother
> Rules!
>
> They who own and control the means of communication will rule the
> Earth.
>
> Makes a change from so-called SWR meters.

Possibly, Reg, but it still doesn't tell me where Bugs went! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1864. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 03:14:16 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <u5ehj1lq86joqcaauhvn3lq4v1cbm2a5sb@4ax.com>
Source record: 119693
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 20:52:55 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 22:30:50 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>> Gravity has never been proven.
>>
>>I know the answer to this one from my hippie days.
>>
>>There is no gravity! The earth sucks!
>
>So does the wind!
>

No. It blows.

1865. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 03:18:32 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <jcehj19t0tk03ppb24peablo83rpodn7qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 119694
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 27 Sep 2005 01:54:56 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
<mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:

>> Christian Charity is a point scoring exercise with God. It is done for
>> selfish reasons, to make sure you are noticed by God and he lets you in.
>
>
> Really? Then why does the New Testament say "Not by your works, but
>by your faith"?

This link should explain it for you...

http://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/contra/faithalone.html

1866. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 03:24:26 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <KX2_e.608$lc1.145@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119690
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> Reg Edwards wrote:
>
>>>What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>==========
>>
>>It is unfortunate that Hollywood so long has dominated the world's
>>supposedly desirable standards of human behaviour and described, by
>>countless examples in films, the ficticious and imagined way of life
>>in the USA. But the truth about real life went to pot after Charlie
>>Chaplin and others were expelled from your shores.
>>
>>Things may be different now that the Japanese wealth and enterprise
>>have taken over Hollywood. But perhaps it is too late. The Internet,
>>not Hollywood, is taking over the dissemination of ideas. Big Brother
>>Rules!
>>
>>They who own and control the means of communication will rule the
>>Earth.
>>
>>Makes a change from so-called SWR meters.
>>----
>>Reg, G4FGQ.
>
>
>
> Get a life, old man. :(
>

If Reg doesn't like Hollywood, there's always Bollywood.
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1867. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 03:28:03 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <6371f$4338bc6e$97d56a13$15100@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119691
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are correct Cecil, you and Matt exisit only as figments of my
imagination.

For my own amusement, I sometimes let others think I am a figment of theirs.

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:jB_Ze.554$lc1.0@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Matt Osborn wrote:
> > Nothing can be proven using the scientific method.
>
> Hey, let me try that same approach. You do not exist.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1868. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 03:29:20 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <k03_e.610$lc1.390@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119692
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:

> Brad wrote:
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1127271443.195835.320110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>From: K?B on Sep 15, 12:09 pm
>>
>>
>>>How is "Christian charity" different from other charity, and do I need to
>>>be a
>>>member of your cult in order to get through the eye of the needle (and why
>>>would
>>>I want to?)?
>>
>>Christian Charity is a point scoring exercise with God. It is done for
>>selfish reasons, to make sure you are noticed by God and he lets you in.
>
>
>
> Really? Then why does the New Testament say "Not by your works, but
> by your faith"?
>

Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1869. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 03:39:47 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <23b69$4338bee6$4e0db8a$17191@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 119695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, Todd's college education is starting to pay off !!!

"Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BF5D7A5E.49597%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...
>
>
>> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
>> Organization: http://groups.google.com
>> Newsgroups:
>> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.sh
>> ortwave
>> Date: 25 Sep 2005 21:06:40 -0700
>> Subject: Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME
>>
>> And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>>
>> this isn't your fucking newsgroup
>>
> Your grammar is improving.
>
> Greg
>

1870. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 04:53:27 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4338D057.B9B48493@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119696
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Donaly wrote:
>
> Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
> The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
> 73,
> Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

I was taught as a child to help others. I have lost count of the things I've done for family, friends and strangers without asking anything in return. I have also had others help me when the tables were turned. They have fed me, given me rides, or paid so I could see a doctor after I was fired for being unconscious for five days with the flu. It works both ways. Today, I am 100% disabled, and only able to work a couple hours a day so I spend most of that time collecting and repairing donated computers for the local "Vets Helping Vets" group, who gives them to other disabled vets who are unable to afford a computer. It gives me something to do, and still helps others. I have offered to help the hams around here to repair their equipment, but they dismissed my offer because I don't have a amateur radio licensee. Its their loss. I have a well equipped shop here at home, and a good stock of parts going to waste. I guess working on a 195 UHF KW transmitter or building commercial microwave equipment isn't good enough for them? It doesn't matter to me anymore. I'll keep restoring early amateur and general coverage receivers as long as I'm able to prop myself up at one of my benches. My current project is a National NC-183R that is taking way too long to restore because it was butchered by an EE with too much time on his hands. There are huge wads of capacitors taped together with wires running everywhere under the chassis so I will make sure I have every part before I clean up that mess.

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1871. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 06:26:00 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <dephj1t4mn7bm2kgeb2kpj1i319k622e5t@4ax.com>
Source record: 119697
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 15:33:19 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

>
>
>Tom Ring wrote:
>> Tom Ring wrote:
>>
>>> Matt Osborn wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> A few thousand compared to 50 million?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Well that certainly makes it ok. You mean you aren't an absolutist?
>>>
>>> tom
>>> K0TAR
>>>
>>
>> The difference between me and you is that I know it's always wrong, and
>> you seem to have left an opening for your "religion" to do evil things
>> becuase your morality says it's ok.
>>
>> I'm sorry that you can't understand that an atheist can have morals not
>> tied to superstition, but to to true right and wrong.
>
>First Crusade:
>
> 12,000 Jews killed in the Rhine valley.
> 6000 killed in Jerusalem when they torched the synagogue there
> 30,000 Muslims killed at the al Aqsa mosque.
> at least 200,000 killed over the length of the crusades.
>
> Then let us not forget the Iquisitions, witch-hunts and other jollies
>that went on.
>
> And there is plenty more to go around on all sides. Appears
>
> We're a long way from "a few thousand".
>
> But as the recent poster Mike has noted, this is pretty off topic, so

>I'll refrain from any more posting in this thread.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Typical -- I've had my say, so the discussion is terminated.
CS.

1872. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 06:28:50 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <9jphj11p35trkou22sha53f27rkclo0sru@4ax.com>
Source record: 119698
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 24 Sep 2005 15:21:03 -0700, Zoran Brlecic
<...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:

>Vito wrote:
>
>
>> Not to mention Hitler.
>
>And we have a Godwin, all...

Dumb shit -- the reference is apropos in the midst of a
discussion of mass murderers. You lose.

>
>Btw, Hitler, if you must bring him up, was a Christian.

1873. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 06:30:21 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <2nphj15rs2cjam36bg7fu9gg2pv3d247gm@4ax.com>
Source record: 119699
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 20:13:50 -0700, Zoran Brlecic
<...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:

>Matt Osborn wrote:
>
>>>>>I hardly consider myself a fanatic, and am extremely unlike to "hammer"
>>>>>anyone over religion, or lack there of.
>>>>>
>>>>>Some of the most fanatical are those members of the religion of atheism.
>>>
>>>Yeah? When was the last time an atheist:
>>
>>
>> Ever hear of Stalin? Lenin? Mao?
>>
>> Can you name three other people who have been responsible for as many
>> untimely deaths as these three?
>
>
>This tired old argument???
>
>First of all I notice that you haven't addressed any of the specific
>examples I gave about *theists* and their religious crimes.
>Second, all of the examples I gave are about theists committing crimes
>against humanity precisely *because* of their religion, directly and
>indirectly.
>As far as "Stalin, Lenin and Mao" are concerned, their crimes were most
>certainly not committed in order to promote atheism, unless that atheism
>was a vehicle for establishing communism, which btw, is de facto a form
>of religion. Religion was not outlawed in the USSR and priests were
>being prosecuted no more than the rest of the society. Communism and
>theism can co-exist and did successfully in most communist countries.
>Besides, Stalin spent years in a seminary. Some atheist.
>One could just as successfully argue that since "Stalin, Lenin and Mao"
>committed crimes and they all ate bread, then by your logic people who
>eat bread are murderers.

Mother's milk as gateway drug.

1874. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 06:42:20 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ctphj1t6mf3avkd0af14oc97u574c0p8q0@4ax.com>
Source record: 119700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 18:24:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 14:16:00 GMT, "AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> said in
>rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>Well, you have a point. But I still like his hacksaw idea.
>
>A straight pin through the coax is more subtle - and a lot more
>difficult to diagnose.

Har. I have no idea what either of those did, but the second
reminds me of my first job. Our direct boss was as much of a nervous
narvus as I've ever seen. The office, in the early 60s, was wired with
an arrangement whereby his boss could call any one of my boss' four
peers into his office using a buzzer to each of their desks.

We found we could poke a pin into the right cable against the
wall and frequently set his buzzer off. Then he'd go into his boss'
office and get chewed out for being an idiot for showing up when he
hadn't been called.

We also found out that if you leaned back hard enough in one
of the office chairs, it made nearly the same sound.

I suspect the guy could have lived a few extra years if he
didn't have the four of us as his temporary summer messengers a couple
of years running.

1875. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 06:49:53 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <1rqhj1tb86irfrtpleapqo7q12hq899jmn@4ax.com>
Source record: 119701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 Sep 2005 17:29:11 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>...the only other thing that can be said in defense of W1AW is that it
>>is a *national* organization representing tens of thousands of hams that
>>is presumably "broadcasting" for the common good (and follows a regular,
>>*published* schedule, allowing others to dodge the "interference" if
>>they so wish), while K1MAN is a --single--private--individual-- who has
>>had some peculiar (shady ?) pecuniary interests associated with his
>>"broadcasts" in the past.
>
>doesn't matter Interference is interference, and ANYONE or GROUP who
>causes interference should pay regardless if it for the "common good".
>As for published schedule the FCC has stated that do mean squat, my
>view is that all who cause interference should pay.
>

Including the interference your splatter is causing on this
group?

>Todd N9OGL
>THE N9OGL SHOW
>14.321.00

1876. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 06:51:07 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <3tqhj1dgirkvd4na75et2sngond1p8emto@4ax.com>
Source record: 119702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Do you have a count of the people you've convinced not to top
post?

On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 17:03:34 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote:

>On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>
>One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>>One of those rules is that we must
>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>
>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>
>A: Top posting
>Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>
>
>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>(KC8UIO)
>>
>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>
>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to Court
>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>
>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz

>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>
>>>
>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>> WGI Center
>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>
>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>
>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your
>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not
>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>> many
>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>> That's
>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
>>> more
>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>
>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275.
>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>
>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
>>> hack
>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>> neighbors
>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good
>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>
>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about
>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
>>> bet
>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not
>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat
>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a
>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the
>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>> three
>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
>>> his
>>> broadcasts out.
>>>
>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you
>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be

>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess
>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>
>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on
>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>
>>> Cheers ...
>>> Wayne
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>Barry
>=====
>Home page
>http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1877. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 06:52:58 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <jvqhj1d50pucl9u72nmap1jvmu9nakahu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 119703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 Sep 2005 00:48:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>grow up
>
>Barry OGrady wrote:
>> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem. There
>> >are rules for which all of us must abide.
>>
>> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>
>no such rule
>
>there may be a convention, but no rule
>>
>> >One of those rules is that we must
>> >not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is in
>> >progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>> >
>> >These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit
>> >whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>> >most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>> >condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>> >frustrating as that approach may be.
>>
>> A: Top posting
>> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>
>not even even close
>>

Actually the bozo who's recently resorted to profane,
capitalized shrieking is far more annoying.

>>
>>
>> >Wayne P. Muckleroy
>> >(KC8UIO)
>> >
>> >"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>> >news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>cut

1878. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 08:33:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127810010.581113.28990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119704
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:

> > "Pardon me while I slip out of these wet clothes
> > and into a dry
> > martini." - Groucho (or was it Bugs Bunny?)

I think it was Groucho
>
> What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>
Warner Bros. has them safely tucked away. Some that were made in the
1940s are not shown anymore because they contain parodies and
stereotypes now considered racist.

--

I think you were asking about Foghorn Leghorn...

"Nice girl, but about as sharp as a sack o' wet mice"

"DAWG! I say, dawg, you look like two miles o' bad road!"

"That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no
curves"

"No, I better not look - I just might be in there"

"That dawg reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the
belfry.."

73 de Jim, N2EY

that's all, folks!

1879. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 08:57:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been born
>in 1968 was it?

There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.

>1. Why are you still in college?

Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
education, and not just a high school education.

>2. Why do you still live with your parents

Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home. but to
anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
the fourth floor while they occupy the second.

>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?

I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.

>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>can.

See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
learn from it.

Todd N9OGL

1880. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 11:56:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127822203.657714.127660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been born
> >in 1968 was it?
>
> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.

Born in 1968, mental age about 6 years old.

> >1. Why are you still in college?
>
> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
> 1987.

Translation, Toadie flunked or was kicked out.

>I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
^^

Toadie blathers, "with" irony.

> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
> education, and not just a high school education.

Dan has already "made it" in this world, stupid.

> >2. Why do you still live with your parents
>
> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home.

Only losers like you, Toadie.

> but to anwer

What's "anwer"????? Better take a remedial English course, Toadie.

> your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
> inheritance.

Toadie is leeching off them until they croak or are in the nursing home
and he gets the house.

> As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.

Oh, is the basement considered the "fourth floor", Toadie? I'd stay two
stories away from an idiot like you if I were your parents, too.

> >3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>
> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.

Given your communications skills you should concentrate on remedial
English.

>
> >please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
> >can.
>
> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
> learn from it.

Not from an English-impaired little Toadie, though.

1881. Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 15:22:58 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mtd_e.23631$ib1.23040@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please use spell and grammar check before posting. I'm starting to get a
headache!

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been
> >born
>>in 1968 was it?
>
> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.
>
>>1. Why are you still in college?
>
> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
> 1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
> education, and not just a high school education.
>
>>2. Why do you still live with your parents
>
> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home. but to
> anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
> inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.
>
>>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>
> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.
>
>
>>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>>can.
>
> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
> learn from it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

1882. Re: Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 15:25:46 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_vd_e.23632$ib1.10515@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This posting is directed to N9OGL.

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:mtd_e.23631$ib1.23040@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Please use spell and grammar check before posting. I'm starting to get a
> headache!
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been
>> >born
>>>in 1968 was it?
>>
>> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.
>>
>>>1. Why are you still in college?
>>
>> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
>> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
>> 1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
>> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
>> education, and not just a high school education.
>>
>>>2. Why do you still live with your parents
>>
>> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home. but to
>> anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
>> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
>> inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
>> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.
>>
>>>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>>
>> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
>> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.
>>
>>
>>>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>>>can.
>>
>> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
>> learn from it.
>>

>> Todd N9OGL
>>
>
>

1883. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 16:29:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2se_e.5874$q1.5151@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I'm sorry...but I just can't resist here.....Toad claims to have been
> >born
>>in 1968 was it?
>
> There's no claim about it danny, check the FCC records.
>
>>1. Why are you still in college?
>
> Well Danny, to start I just returned to school last year. I had
> attended a university once when I got out of high school which was back
> 1987. I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
> don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
> education, and not just a high school education.
>

What learning disability is that Toad? Just because you have one, does not
mean I do.

>>2. Why do you still live with your parents
>
> Well first off a lot of people in this area still live at home.

Why is that Toad? Are most of the younger generation where you are at so
sorry they can't live their own lives?

but to
> anwer your question my parents are retiring a few more years and plan
> to move up north, while I will be given the house, it kind of like a
> inheritance. As I stated before I very seldom see my parents i live on
> the fourth floor while they occupy the second.
>

Can't stand you, eh?

>>3. Just exactly what are you learning in community college Toad?
>
> I was going to study physics but I've been really looking into going
> into pre-law, currently I am in the "liberal arts" studies.
>

Now why does that not surprise me? Liberal arts. What a deal.

You would make a great lawyer Toad. Especially when the law clerk laughed
at you every time you submitted a "paper". Get a spell checker, it will

help your credibility.

>
>>please stop the juvenile pre-high school silliness and language, if you
>>can.
>
> See Dan You were nice to me so I was nice back, perhaps other could
> learn from it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

I've learned a lot from you Toad. Mainly how I thank God I didn't grow up
like you.

Dan/W4NTI

1884. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 19:20:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they really don't deserve that bill.

Todd N9OGL
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday @4.00 CST

1885. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 22:25:44 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <IFj_e.3185$KQ5.1068@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119712
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> The religious openly declare that their belief system is centered
> about the concept of G-d.

Here's an interesting study on the subject, it says:

http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2-1798944,00.html

"RELIGIOUS belief can cause damage to a society, contributing towards high murder rates, abortion,
sexual promiscuity and suicide, according to research published today.

According to the study, belief in and worship of God are not only unnecessary for a healthy society
but may actually contribute to social problems.

The study counters the view of believers that religion is necessary to provide the moral and ethical
foundations of a healthy society."
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1886. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 23:15:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xa6dnYH-OIszXqTeRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
> like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
> operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
> trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
> really don't deserve that bill.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday @4.00 CST
>

So if someone disagrees with you then they should be prevented from
being an amateur radio operator. Sounds like that denying of freedom of
speech you keep yapping about. You really are a turd.

1887. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-27 23:50:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <iZKdnQtLTPp9VqTenZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 22:33:20 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> said
> in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>And you can eat shit and die!!!!
>
>
>>You really do need to make an attempt to grow up toddieboy.
>
>
> Or at least to expand his vocabulary a little.

He will as soon as he can get back down to the mall and hide behind the
artificial bushes to spy on the jr highschoolers and pick up some new words.

1888. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 02:05:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127873141.136263.104960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.

1889. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 02:28:34 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <vnvjj1lqv9t82s9b12mlrr9mcqqc7k9e37@4ax.com>
Source record: 119716
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 27 Sep 2005 22:25:44 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:

>Matt Osborn wrote:
>> The religious openly declare that their belief system is centered
>> about the concept of G-d.
>
>Here's an interesting study on the subject, it says:
>
>http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2-1798944,00.html
>
>"RELIGIOUS belief can cause damage to a society, contributing towards high murder rates,
abortion,
>sexual promiscuity and suicide, according to research published today.
>
>According to the study, belief in and worship of God are not only unnecessary for a
healthy society
>but may actually contribute to social problems.
>
>The study counters the view of believers that religion is necessary to provide the moral
and ethical
>foundations of a healthy society."

Cecil, if you want a few good laughs, Google the phrase "Flying
Spaghetti Monster" and read some of the listed links.

1890. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 03:08:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <FqydnQus7eCClKfeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119717
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:
> On Sun, 25 Sep 2005 22:31:47 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> wrote:
>
>
>>>Just what we need - a bunch of testosterone-charge assholes punching
>>>out people that don't believe in their god. It's pretty obvious who
>>>the real jerk is in your story, and it isn't the professor.
>>>
>>
>>It's a joke son.
>
>
> Yes, and I've heard this joke told before by people who think they're
> being clever. Unfortunately, there are too many people that have this
> mentality in real life. They are the ones who say, "You're free, as
> long as you think the same way as me."

There are a number of people who do not think that that is a joke, they
think that it is a parable.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1891. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 03:18:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kP6dnbCRhsERYaTeRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.
>

You just can't respond without the vulgarities can you toddieboy?

1892. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 03:27:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <gb3kj1p874o4thqvpq06kiq4a2kibi7fnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 119718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 27 Sep 2005 20:18:30 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> said
in rec.radio.scanner:

>N9OGL wrote:
>> your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.

>You just can't respond without the vulgarities can you toddieboy?

A limited vocabulary is a sign of limited intelligence.

1893. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 04:36:15 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <6f49f$433a1dec$97d56a13$23945@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119719
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The conclusions he draws are a convolution of logic.

1. The US is a religious country.
2. The US has a high murder rate
3. The US has a high rate of VD, drug use, and aids.

He concludes that statements 2 and 3 are caused by statement 1.

Utter nonsense, at the risk of upsetting liberals I would suggest that 2 and
3 can can more easily be tied to minorities than religion.

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:IFj_e.3185$KQ5.1068@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Matt Osborn wrote:
> > The religious openly declare that their belief system is centered
> > about the concept of G-d.
>
> Here's an interesting study on the subject, it says:
>
> http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2-1798944,00.html
>
> "RELIGIOUS belief can cause damage to a society, contributing towards high
murder rates, abortion,
> sexual promiscuity and suicide, according to research published today.
>
> According to the study, belief in and worship of God are not only
unnecessary for a healthy society
> but may actually contribute to social problems.
>
> The study counters the view of believers that religion is necessary to
provide the moral and ethical
> foundations of a healthy society."
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1894. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 06:45:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127889932.792737.203400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's what you think dickhead

1895. Pecuniary Interest Pt. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 07:02:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127890929.869546.301580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

from N9OGL BLOG
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com

Pencuniary Interest pt 2. The ARRL at it again

Why doesn't the fucking FCC go after them?? it seems to me that the ARRL and the Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) are butt buddies or at lease giving each other knob jobs. If Glenn Baxter is to fined by the FCC, then they should fine the ARRL, but hey the FASCIST COMMUNICATION COMMISSION (FCC)are giving the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE (ARRL) are butt buddies.

Federal Grant Augments "Ham Aid" Fund for Hurricane Volunteers

NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 27, 2005--The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) will provide the ARRL with an additional $77,000 to support Amateur Radio operators volunteering in the field in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. The latest grant augments the recent $100,000 CNCS made available immediately following the Katrina disaster. The grant money, supplemented by contributions from individual donors, will subsidize "Ham Aid," a new League program to help defray out-of pocket expenses of Amateur Radio volunteers deployed in the field in disaster-stricken areas.

"The new funding of $77,000--added to the initial $100,000 award, for a total of $177,000--is gratefully accepted to assist ham radio operators who have incurred expenses related to their volunteer service," said ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH. "The per-diem awards of $25 per day up to a maximum award of four days, or $100 per person, will cover approximately 6000 'ham days' of service."

Ham Aid also will strengthen the role Amateur Radio can play in disaster response by funding the preparation of containerized complete Amateur Radio HF/VHF stations complete with radios, antennas, feed lines, repeaters and more, Hobart added. These are designed for deployment to disaster areas where the Amateur Radio infrastructure has been compromised or additional equipment is required beyond what's available.

Hobart says Ham Aid marks the first time in ARRL history that the League has been able to reimburse some of the expenses ham radio volunteers incur while responding to a disaster--especially if the service requires out-of-town travel. The ARRL established Ham Aid reimbursement procedures following the initial grant.

In addition to providing emergency communication within and outside hurricane-affected areas, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES)/Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES) members and individual radio amateurs have supplementing the communication needs of emergency management and relief agencies, including the American Red Cross and The Salvation Army.

The CNCS Ham Aid grant is effective for operations established and documented as of September 1, 2005, and the aid is earmarked for Hurricane Katrina deployments only at this point. Corporation funds may also sustain the Ham Aid program and help to rebuild the emergency communications capabilities in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama to ensure that the Gulf Coast is prepared, should disaster strike again.

The CNCS grants represent an emergency amendment to ARRL's three year Homeland Security training grant, which provided certification in emergency communication protocols to nearly 5500 Amateur Radio volunteer over the past three years. Hobart pointed out that CNCS helped make it possible for the League to train Amateur Radio volunteers. "Now they are making it possible for the hams to use that training," she added.

The recent grant extensions do not cover additional ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency Communications training program reimbursements, however.

Hobart says the League will accept reimbursement request applications on a first-come, first served basis for as long as funds are available. For now, the program only covers per-diem reimbursements between September 1 and December 31, 2005. The period may be extended based on the availability of funds.

Hams seeking expense reimbursement must complete an on-line application form with the required information. The Section Manager or Section Emergency Coordinator on site during the radio operator's service in the field will verify dates of service and e-mail the validated electronic forms to ARRL Headquarters.

1896. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 07:54:45 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9%r_e.23702$ib1.732@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why would one that has such limited oral communication skills host an amateur radio talk show? That must be a hoot! Do you use such vulgarity on the air? I'm surprised that the FCC has not clamped down on you or fined you for such language.

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
> like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
> operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
> trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
> really don't deserve that bill.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday @4.00 CST
>

1897. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 08:05:30 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <bLednVogSdlW06feRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 119723
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>>Not to mention Hitler.
>>
>>And we have a Godwin, all...
>
>
> Dumb shit -- the reference is apropos in the midst of a
> discussion of mass murderers. You lose.

Discussion of mass murderers who were allegedly atheists. Reductio ad
Hitlerum.

And also Guy's corollary, asshole.

>>Btw, Hitler, if you must bring him up, was a Christian.

1898. Re: Pecuniary Interest Pt. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 12:36:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127910962.522445.205470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL Toad whined:
> from N9OGL BLOG
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com

<guffaw> Have to post them here because nobody reads your shitty blog,
Toadie?

> Pencuniary Interest pt 2. The ARRL at it again
>
> Why doesn't the fucking FCC go after them?? it seems to me that the
> ARRL and the Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) are butt buddies or
> at lease giving each other knob jobs.

Toad knows all about giving knob jobs.

>If Glenn Baxter is to fined by

"is to fined?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

> the FCC, then they should fine the ARRL, but hey the FASCIST
> COMMUNICATION COMMISSION (FCC)are giving the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE
> (ARRL) are butt buddies.
><FLUSH!>

Why don't you just turn in your license for cancellation in protest,
Toadie, it's not like you are contributing to ham radio anyways.

--
"N9OGL" <toddaugherty@yahoo.com> pegs the IRONY meter "with" his
stupidity (more than usual)in
Message-ID: <1127811466.165152.74910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

"I know whith someone like you with your learning disability you
don't understand that if you want to make it in this world you need a
education, and not just a high school education."

1899. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 13:03:11 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dhe4af$15da$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119725
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>"Pardon me while I slip out of these wet clothes
>>>and into a dry
>>>martini." - Groucho (or was it Bugs Bunny?)
>
>
> I think it was Groucho
>
>> What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>>
>
> Warner Bros. has them safely tucked away. Some that were made in the
> 1940s are not shown anymore because they contain parodies and
> stereotypes now considered racist.
>
> --
>
> I think you were asking about Foghorn Leghorn...
>
> "Nice girl, but about as sharp as a sack o' wet mice"
>
> "DAWG! I say, dawg, you look like two miles o' bad road!"
>
> "That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no
> curves"
>
> "No, I better not look - I just might be in there"
>
> "That dawg reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the
> belfry.."

Son, I SAY, Son! I ain't no chicken! Now that over there, THAT's a
chicken!.........

Actually, Ol' Foghorn is probably not the problem. There are a number
of old WB cartoons that have some rather strong stereotype of the folks
we were fighting during WWII (the big one) Considering that they are now
our allies, there might be a little sensitivity thing going on.

Personally, I think they should be shown, especially when introduced in the proper context. They are of historical value, and in the context of the times, were understandable.

Oh - and they were darn funny too!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1900. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 13:09:55 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <433A95E1.9E558364@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119726
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > Warner Bros. has them safely tucked away. Some that were made in the
> > 1940s are not shown anymore because they contain parodies and
> > stereotypes now considered racist.
> >
> > --
> >
> > I think you were asking about Foghorn Leghorn...
> >
> > "Nice girl, but about as sharp as a sack o' wet mice"
> >
> > "DAWG! I say, dawg, you look like two miles o' bad road!"
> >
> > "That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no
> > curves"
> >
> > "No, I better not look - I just might be in there"
> >
> > "That dawg reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the
> > belfry.."
>
> Son, I SAY, Son! I ain't no chicken! Now that over there, THAT's a
> chicken!.........
>
> Actually, Ol' Foghorn is probably not the problem. There are a number
> of old WB cartoons that have some rather strong stereotype of the folks
> we were fighting during WWII (the big one) Considering that they are now
> our allies, there might be a little sensitivity thing going on.
>
> Personally, I think they should be shown, especially when introduced in
> the proper context. They are of historical value, and in the context of
> the times, were understandable.
>
> Oh - and they were darn funny too!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I used this for my sig file for a while: "I say, the boy is so stupid
that he tried to make a back up copy of his hard drive on the Xerox
machine!" It took a couple months before someone asked if it was

supposed to be Foghorn. :(Talk about big gaps in the modern
educational system!
--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1901. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 13:10:11 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dhe4ni$1dq8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119727
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

> Matt Osborn wrote:
>
>> The religious openly declare that their belief system is centered
>> about the concept of G-d.
>
>
> Here's an interesting study on the subject, it says:
>
> http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2-1798944,00.html
>
> "RELIGIOUS belief can cause damage to a society, contributing towards
> high murder rates, abortion, sexual promiscuity and suicide, according
> to research published today.

I wouldn't doubt it. The stronger the religion, the worse the evils
have to be. They are partners. One must have the other.

There was a series a few years ago, called "The Pagan Invasion". It was
broadcast on one of the national Religion channels. I used to watch it
because there was some pretty decent 3-D animation in it. (for the time)
But when you saw what those folk believed in, it was downright scary.

If those folk were to come into power, there is no doubt that the Salem
Witchcraft trials would be a role model for government.

> According to the study, belief in and worship of God are not only
> unnecessary for a healthy society but may actually contribute to social
> problems.

Well, its a pretty good thing if you happen to be worshiping the same
God.....

> The study counters the view of believers that religion is necessary to
> provide the moral and ethical foundations of a healthy society."

I cudda toldya that! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1902. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 13:21:15 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dhe5cb$539$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 119728
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
> > Personally, I think they should be shown, especially when
introduced in
> > the proper context. They are of historical value, and in the
context of
> > the times, were understandable.
> >
==================================

Censorship appears to be in action.

Who is the censor?

==================================
```

1903. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 14:11:38 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <uwx_e.1294$rl1.1292@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119729
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> Maybe we should rebuild New Orleans in England, it would balance the
> scales.

We indeed should rebuild New Orleans somewhere that is
not below sea level.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1904. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 14:34:31 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <XRx_e.1305$rl1.973@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119730
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:

> 1. The US is a religious country.
> 2. The US has a high murder rate
> 3. The US has a high rate of VD, drug use, and aids.
>
> He concludes that statements 2 and 3 are caused by statement 1.

If I was religious enough to believe that God would forgive
me of murder, I might have done the deed myself. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1905. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 14:40:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127918436.901204.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.

1906. Re: Pecuniary Interest Pt. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 14:43:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127918610.820691.284800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Why don't you just turn in your license for cancellation in protest,
>Toadie, it's not like you are contributing to ham radio anyways.

How the Hell would you know, fuck I don't even see you displaying a
callsign you fucking little worm.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday @ 4pm CST

1907. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 15:42:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dhedkp$1fr8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119733
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:

>>> Personally, I think they should be shown, especially when
>
> introduced in
>
>>>the proper context. They are of historical value, and in the
>
> context of
>
>>>the times, were understandable.
>>>
>
> ==========
>
> Censorship appears to be in action.
>
> Who is the censor?

It's the new old way. This particular version of censorship probably comes from the left end of the spectrum. But the other end has it's own censor targets!

- Mike -

1908. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 15:48:15 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dhee00$o8g$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119734
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

> Matt Osborn wrote:
>
>> Maybe we should rebuild New Orleans in England, it would balance the
>> scales.
>
>
> We indeed should rebuild New Orleans somewhere that is
> not below sea level.

Absolutely. I don't support money going to rebuilding NO in it's present spot.

The French Quarter is okay where it is. It will eventually become an island, and should last for quite a while yet. Seems like a charming way to get to Mardi Gras - by a boat.

But no matter how much money we pour into th erest of NO, it will sink. I suppose that we could try a Netherlands type approach, with huge dikes and all, but I doubt that there is enough room on the sides to do that, and besides, the Netherlands doesn't have to worry about hurricanes either.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1909. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 15:50:35 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <nqelj150t2ql1esnkr96iemem3u6q83l7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 119735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:01:28 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>
>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>
>What disease did you have?

Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...

1910. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 17:19:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hgA_e.5077$vw6.4049@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
> like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
> operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
> trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
> really don't deserve that bill.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday @4.00 CST
>

You get exactly what you bring upon yourself.

Dan/W4NTI

1911. Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 17:24:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <mlA_e.5078$vw6.4639@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119737

I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.

Dan/W4NTI

1912. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 18:43:13 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dheo81lli1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127848838.959857.148390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>No dan, but I will talking about this weekend on my show and how people
>>like you and your little bitches on here don't need to be amateur radio
>>operators. I will also be talking about the CC&R rules congress is
>>trying to pass and how if amateurs treat other amateurs like shit they
>>really don't deserve that bill.
>>
>>
>>Todd N9OGL
>>14.321.00 MHz
>>Saturday @4.00 CST
>>
>
>
> You get exactly what you bring upon yourself.

You're finally becoming famous, Dan!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1913. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 18:44:28 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <5nolj1h16e03suln9o3jmsf3irv2eta2l5@4ax.com>
Source record: 119742
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:48:15 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

> Absolutely. I don't support money going to rebuilding NO in it's
>present spot.
>
> The French Quarter is okay where it is. It will eventually become an
>island, and should last for quite a while yet. Seems like a charming way
>to get to Mardi Gras - by a boat.
>
> But no matter how much money we pour into th erest of NO, it will sink.
>I suppose that we could try a Netherlands type approach, with huge
>dikes and all, but I doubt that there is enough room on the sides to do
>that, and besides, the Netherlands doesn't have to worry about
>hurricanes either.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I wonder why they don't rebuild New Orleans the way they built Venice,
Italy. Venice was built by people who were trying to get away from
Attila the Hun. Attila wanted farmland, so the nomads of that area
fled and settled in what was a swamp, and they built a city on a
swamp.

(Of course, the first one sank into the swamp, so they built a second
one. THAT one sank into the swamp, so they built a third one. THAT one
burned down, fell over, and then sank into the swamp...but the fourth
one stayed up!)

Seriously, though, New Orleans as a city of canals has a certain
romantic flair to it.

1914. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 18:48:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <eZWdnS9yDpHwS6feRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> That's what you think dickhead
>

At least he thinks, that's more than you can do.

1915. Re: Pecuniary Interest Pt. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 18:50:04 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <eZWdnS5yDpF_S6feRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> from N9OGL BLOG
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com
>
> Pencuniary Interest pt 2. The ARRL at it again
>
> Why doesn't the fucking FCC go after them?? it seems to me that the
> ARRL and the Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) are butt buddies or
> at lease giving each other knob jobs. If Glenn Baxter is to fined by
> the FCC, then they should fine the ARRL, but hey the FASCIST
> COMMUNICATION COMMISSION (FCC)are giving the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE
> (ARRL) are butt buddies.

It is a good thing the FCC has no jusridiction over ignorance, if they
did they would have gone after you a long time ago.

1916. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 18:52:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <eZWdnSlyDpEbSqfeRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119740
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> "Pardon me while I slip out of these wet clothes
>>>> and into a dry
>>>> martini." - Groucho (or was it Bugs Bunny?)
>>
>>
>>
>> I think it was Groucho
>>
>>> What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>>>
>>
>> Warner Bros. has them safely tucked away. Some that were made in the
>> 1940s are not shown anymore because they contain parodies and
>> stereotypes now considered racist.
>>
>> --
>>
>> I think you were asking about Foghorn Leghorn...
>>
>> "Nice girl, but about as sharp as a sack o' wet mice"
>>
>> "DAWG! I say, dawg, you look like two miles o' bad road!"
>>
>> "That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no
>> curves"
>>
>> "No, I better not look - I just might be in there"
>>
>> "That dawg reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the
>> belfry.."
>
>
> Son, I SAY, Son! I ain't no chicken! Now that over there, THAT's a
> chicken!.........
>
>
```

> Actually, Ol' Foghorn is probably not the problem. There are a
> number of old WB cartoons that have some rather strong stereotype of the
> folks we were fighting during WWII (the big one) Considering that they
> are now our allies, there might be a little sensitivity thing going on.
>
> Personally, I think they should be shown, especially when introduced
> in the proper context. They are of historical value, and in the context
> of the times, were understandable.
>
> Oh - and they were darn funny too!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Some of the 'Little Rascals' can't be show today because they aren't PC,
shame, because they are funny.

1917. Re: Pecuniary Interest Pt. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 19:00:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127934039.526665.286580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> >Why don't you just turn in your license for cancellation in protest,
> >Toadie, it's not like you are contributing to ham radio anyways.
>
> How the Hell would you know,

It's rather obvious, you cocksucking little four eyed geek.
You can't even put out a audible signal on 20 meters.
And nice to see those words hurt you so, loser.

> fuck I don't even see you displaying a

That's because you have your head up your faggot ass on a permanent
basis.

> callsign you fucking little worm.

Might tough talk from a little cunt like you, Toad. I bet you'd hide
behind your mommy's skirts, too.
That is, if she could even stand to be around you, that's why you were
relegated to the basement.

> Saturday @ 4pm CST

Time for you to get on the BIG RIG, BOY!!!!!!!!!!!!! And get a real
signal.

1918. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 19:02:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127934124.832301.295400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119744

Do a little high speed CW QSOing, Toadie couldn't copy it for starters.

1919. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 19:03:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1127934217.669158.116960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And you still have that AIDS, don't you, FAGGOT?

1920. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 20:24:30 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <2_C_e.7387$6e1.1775@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119746
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:
> Seriously, though, New Orleans as a city of canals has a certain
> romantic flair to it.

Canals below sea level have a habit of becoming big lakes.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1921. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 21:19:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <yND_e.5158$vw6.415@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119747

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127934124.832301.295400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Do a little high speed CW QSOing, Toadie couldn't copy it for starters.
>

Anything over 7WPM right?

Dan/W4NTI

1922. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 21:34:14 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <433B0CA1.2388ED9F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119748
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> Some of the 'Little Rascals' can't be show today because they aren't PC,
> shame, because they are funny.

No, but you can find a lot of them on "Dollar Store" DVDs. ;-)

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1923. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 21:53:25 UTC
From: Ham op <hamop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3P6dndiR6vEMj6beRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119749
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

> Matt Osborn wrote:
>
>> Maybe we should rebuild New Orleans in England, it would balance the
>> scales.
>
>
> We indeed should rebuild New Orleans somewhere that is
> not below sea level.

Cecil, please tell your congressman to not approve rebuilding funds for
the lower levels of NO.

1924. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 22:14:56 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ld5mj1lo8359l614efi1vf0qt38la7nsn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119750
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 16:53:05 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>
>Gravity has never been proven. How much more basic can one get?
>

How about you let us know after you return from your walk on
the ceiling.

1925. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 22:17:16 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <4f5mj1pmlba815ke74cku2nikc6o1ll6gp@4ax.com>
Source record: 119751
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 22:30:50 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:

>Matt Osborn wrote:
>> Gravity has never been proven.
>
>I know the answer to this one from my hippie days.
>
>There is no gravity! The earth sucks!

With one exception. I've heard that helicopter pilots say
helicopters can't really fly -- they're so ugly the earth repels them.

1926. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 23:41:26 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <433B2A70.99249279@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119752
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
> On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 16:53:05 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >Gravity has never been proven. How much more basic can one get?
> > >
>
> How about you let us know after you return from your walk on
> the ceiling.

Don't be silly! He can't walk in the ceiling, because it blows! ;-)

--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1927. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 23:52:00 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <m3bmj1dl4hstfos7ngid3u2sjpcrharq0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 119753
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 11:11:12 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 26 Sep 2005 03:13:56 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>>>>>It's bad enough that people feel a need to believe in any deities at
>>>>>>all, but when you have to listen to a bunch of old men in robes half a
>>>>>>world away tell you how to live your life, you're pretty screwed up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Is this a slam against our Muslim brethren? A comment on the
>>>>>>position of Saudi Arabian women?
>>>>>
>>>>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>>>>
>>>> That says more about you than about them.
>>>
>>>Such as?
>>
>> If you have to ask ....
>
>Ambiguity will get you nowhere.

If that's ambiguous, you should get back whatever money you
wasted on an education.

1928. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 23:54:01 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <i6bmj11adjephohj6s8r2n7jtnq6pbl4vs@4ax.com>
Source record: 119754
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>"Ham op" <hamop@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:88WdnbrHqZgpkbreRVn-tg@comcast.com...
>> Jerry wrote:
>>

> So, the term 'BIGOT' is a prejudicial pre judgment.

Just as that's a tautological redundancy.

1929. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-28 23:56:11 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <p9bmj1t6boaoa0fscj71eiojqqbo3efhh4@4ax.com>
Source record: 119755
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Tom Donaly wrote:
>>
>> Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.

They are not.

>> The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.

Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
can name the logical fallacy you just committed.

1930. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 00:18:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <6pG_e.162$647.0@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 119756

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:yND_e.5158$vw6.415@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127934124.832301.295400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Do a little high speed CW QSOing, Toadie couldn't copy it for starters.
> >
>
> Anything over 7WPM right?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

For 7 WPM I could QLF :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1931. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 00:32:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1127953970.430771.218780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119757

I can do up to 13 wpm

1932. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 01:52:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127958744.838040.32970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119758
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
> > Matt Osborn wrote:
> >
> >> Maybe we should rebuild New Orleans in England, it would balance the
> >> scales.
> >
> >
> > We indeed should rebuild New Orleans somewhere that is
> > not below sea level.

How about Death Valley?
>
> Absolutely. I don't support money going to rebuilding NO > in it's
> present spot.

I agree 100%. But you watch, the money will be poured into it just like
the water.
>
> The French Quarter is okay where it is. It will
> eventually become an
> island, and should last for quite a while yet.
> Seems like a charming way
> to get to Mardi Gras - by a boat.
>
> But no matter how much money we pour into th erest of
> NO, it will sink.
> I suppose that we could try a Netherlands type approach,
> with huge
> dikes and all, but I doubt that there is enough room on the
> sides to do
> that, and besides, the Netherlands doesn't have to worry about
> hurricanes either.

The levees are dikes, aren't they?

There are some important other differences.

It's my understanding that the Dutch built their dikes and filled
in/pumped out the polders as a way of getting more farmland without
fighting wars with their neighbors, and as a way of *reducing* storm
damage. They don't build cities on below-sea-level land. And the way
they deal with the reclaimed land results in it slowly but surely

rising, not sinking.

But they don't put cities there.

--

There's a bigger problem even than Katrina behind all this...

Modern technology has made almost anything possible from a theoretical point of view. NO could be rebuilt with levees and pumps capable of withstanding a Cat 5 storm, for example.

But the problem is that such solutions, while technically possible, are often prohibitively expensive, particularly on a large scale. Not just to build, but to maintain. Who is going to pay the taxes so that a rebuilt NO can be kept dry?

Or to put it another way - *why* should NO be built in such a highrisk location when there are so many better alternatives?

Just because something can be done doesn't mean it should be done.

I wonder if Shrub ever played SimCity?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1933. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 02:54:34 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <KHI_e.1509$rl1.1349@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 119759
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>Tom Donaly wrote:
>>
>>>Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
>
>
> They are not.
>
>
>>>The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
>
>
> Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
> can name the logical fallacy you just committed.

Some of the lower forms of American Christianity try to separate faith
and works. That allows them salvation without substance, the lazy man's
path to life without end.
My last two sentences are statements of truth, not a logical
progression. Each has to be taken alone, by itself. (A religious
fundamentalist insisting on logical consistency constitutes a
pretty good joke.) Let me repeat: Those who do no good have no
faith. Next time you and your friends are sitting around speaking
in tongues, you should discuss this. Maybe, if you all think real
hard, together, you can puzzle out what it means.
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1934. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 04:40:50 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <iirmj113n6dobudntercht4kqub6o2iik@4ax.com>
Source record: 119760
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 23:52:00 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>>>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>>>>>
>>>>> That says more about you than about them.
>>>>
>>>>Such as?
>>>
>>> If you have to ask ....
>>
>>Ambiguity will get you nowhere.
>
> If that's ambiguous, you should get back whatever money you
>wasted on an education.

YOU are the one that wants to play games and won't answer my question.
You also wait several days before posting any response, which leads me
to believe that you have to wait until mommy and daddy are out of the
house before you can get on the computer.

1935. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 05:31:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c0vmj1lttf6sip76esall2rfhu5diue46f@4ax.com>
Source record: 119761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 07:30:37 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On 27 Sep 2005 19:05:41 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.
>
>It's not "your", it's "you're".
>
>Born in 68, graduated in 87 - why are you avoiding answering which year you
>were held back? One can only assume it was for English.

He was held back in '68. It was for being born before he was
conceived. Now we see the result of that biology experiment.

1936. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 05:32:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <d2vmj1t39srdi1i5ulrtr283gi8e0bt79e@4ax.com>
Source record: 119762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:50:35 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:01:28 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
>>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>>
>>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>>
>>What disease did you have?
>
>Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...

Almost?

1937. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 06:30:20 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11jn2gsmlkkkjcf@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119763
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom if what you say is true about no works = no faith and subsequently no
salvation...where were the good works from the thief on the cross who,
according to Christian scripture, was saved and is already in heaven?

--

Charlie

"Tom Donaly" <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:KHI_e.1509$rl1.1349@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>>Tom Donaly wrote:
>>>
>>>>Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
>>
>>
>> They are not.
>>
>>
>>>>The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
>>
>>
>> Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
>> can name the logical fallacy you just committed.
>
> Some of the lower forms of American Christianity try to separate faith
> and works. That allows them salvation without substance, the lazy man's
> path to life without end.
> My last two sentences are statements of truth, not a logical
> progression. Each has to be taken alone, by itself. (A religious
> fundamentalist insisting on logical consistency constitutes a
> pretty good joke.) Let me repeat: Those who do no good have no
> faith. Next time you and your friends are sitting around speaking
> in tongues, you should discuss this. Maybe, if you all think real
> hard, together, you can puzzle out what it means.
> 73,
> Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1938. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 08:16:51 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <G6ydnUhSrtJoP6beRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 119764
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

> You're walking on an assumption that is called gravity. Just like
> G-d, plenty of evidence but no proof.

What pisses me off about your posts is this almost deliberate attempt to obfuscate by equivocating, word playing and substituting terms which are incompatible. You keep doing it in almost every post and it gets tired fast. Like, for instance, in the example above: "plenty of evidence but no proof" is meaningless drivel. Of all the scientific disciplines, only mathematics deals with proof. Others, physics included, deal with evidence which is derived from a direct or indirect observation of certain phenomena and is the basis for a theory, such as the gravitational theory, for example.
Therefore, to say that there is "no proof" for gravity is gibberish, even in the solipsist sense.

73 .... WA7AA

P.S. I am dying to hear some "evidence" of what you call "G-d". I am sure the Nobel committee would be interested as well.

1939. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 09:28:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1127986126.905715.137570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119765
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charlie wrote:
> Tom if what you say is true about no works = no faith and
> subsequently no
> salvation...where were the good works from the thief on the
> cross who,
> according to Christian scripture, was saved and is already in
> heaven?

I can answer that one..

One of the thieves was reportedly cussing and making fun, challenging
Him to prove He was better than they were. The "good thief" told the
"bad thief" to be quiet, because while they deserved what they got, He
had done nothing wrong. Then the "good thief" asked to be remembered.

It may not seem like much of a "good work", but it was all the good
thief could do. His faith came very near the end of his life.
But what about those whose faith comes much earlier in life?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> --
>
> Charlie
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Tom Donaly" <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:KHI_e.1509$rl1.1349@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> > kashe@sonic.net wrote:
> >>>Tom Donaly wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
> >>
> >>
> >> They are not.
> >>
> >>
> >>>>The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
> >>
> >>

> >> Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
> >> can name the logical fallacy you just committed.
> >
> > Some of the lower forms of American Christianity try to separate faith
> > and works. That allows them salvation without substance, the lazy man's
> > path to life without end.
> > My last two sentences are statements of truth, not a logical
> > progression. Each has to be taken alone, by itself. (A religious
> > fundamentalist insisting on logical consistency constitutes a
> > pretty good joke.) Let me repeat: Those who do no good have no
> > faith. Next time you and your friends are sitting around speaking
> > in tongues, you should discuss this. Maybe, if you all think real
> > hard, together, you can puzzle out what it means.
> > 73,
> > Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1940. What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 10:01:57 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pYO_e.42790$uD6.7761@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I don't see the problem with the ARRL getting this grant money--so long as it is distributed to those who are involved in Rita or Katrina disaster. I would also expect the ARRL to keep back a fair amount for administrative and distribution expenses. This is typical practice in the private aid and contribution business.

Certainly, the FCC would not view this as a "pecuniary interest" as described in Part 97.

Please, N9OGL, refrain from the use of such emotion and vulgarity in your stated opinions. It really is offensive and detracts from your credibility. The poor grammar doesn't help either.

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127890929.869546.301580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> from N9OGL BLOG
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com
>
> Pencuniary Interest pt 2. The ARRL at it again
>
> Why doesn't the fucking FCC go after them?? it seems to me that the
> ARRL and the Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) are butt buddies or
> at lease giving each other knob jobs. If Glenn Baxter is to fined by
> the FCC, then they should fine the ARRL, but hey the FASCIST
> COMMUNICATION COMMISSION (FCC)are giving the ASSHOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE
> (ARRL) are butt buddies.
>
> Federal Grant Augments "Ham Aid" Fund for Hurricane Volunteers
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 27, 2005--The Corporation for National and Community
> Service (CNCS) will provide the ARRL with an additional $77,000 to
> support Amateur Radio operators volunteering in the field in the
> aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. The latest grant augments the recent
> $100,000 CNCS made available immediately following the Katrina
> disaster. The grant money, supplemented by contributions from
> individual donors, will subsidize "Ham Aid," a new League program to
> help defray out-of pocket expenses of Amateur Radio volunteers deployed
> in the field in disaster-stricken areas.
>
> "The new funding of $77,000--added to the initial $100,000 award, for a
> total of $177,000--is gratefully accepted to assist ham radio operators

> who have incurred expenses related to their volunteer service," said
> ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH. "The per-diem awards
> of $25 per day up to a maximum award of four days, or $100 per person,
> will cover approximately 6000 'ham days' of service."
>
> Ham Aid also will strengthen the role Amateur Radio can play in
> disaster response by funding the preparation of containerized complete
> Amateur Radio HF/VHF stations complete with radios, antennas, feed
> lines, repeaters and more, Hobart added. These are designed for
> deployment to disaster areas where the Amateur Radio infrastructure has
> been compromised or additional equipment is required beyond what's
> available.
>
> Hobart says Ham Aid marks the first time in ARRL history that the
> League has been able to reimburse some of the expenses ham radio
> volunteers incur while responding to a disaster--especially if the
> service requires out-of-town travel. The ARRL established Ham Aid
> reimbursement procedures following the initial grant.
>
> In addition to providing emergency communication within and outside
> hurricane-affected areas, Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES)/Radio
> Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES) members and individual radio
> amateurs have supplementing the communication needs of emergency
> management and relief agencies, including the American Red Cross and
> The Salvation Army.
>
> The CNCS Ham Aid grant is effective for operations established and
> documented as of September 1, 2005, and the aid is earmarked for
> Hurricane Katrina deployments only at this point. Corporation funds may
> also sustain the Ham Aid program and help to rebuild the emergency
> communications capabilities in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama to
> ensure that the Gulf Coast is prepared, should disaster strike again.
>
> The CNCS grants represent an emergency amendment to ARRL's three year
> Homeland Security training grant, which provided certification in
> emergency communication protocols to nearly 5500 Amateur Radio
> volunteer over the past three years. Hobart pointed out that CNCS
> helped make it possible for the League to train Amateur Radio
> volunteers. "Now they are making it possible for the hams to use that
> training," she added.
>
> The recent grant extensions do not cover additional ARRL Amateur Radio
> Emergency Communications training program reimbursements, however.
>
> Hobart says the League will accept reimbursement request applications
> on a first-come, first served basis for as long as funds are available.
> For now, the program only covers per-diem reimbursements between
> September 1 and December 31, 2005. The period may be extended based on
> the availability of funds.
>

> Hams seeking expense reimbursement must complete an on-line application
> form with the required information. The Section Manager or Section
> Emergency Coordinator on site during the radio operator's service in
> the field will verify dates of service and e-mail the validated
> electronic forms to ARRL Headquarters.
>

1941. Re: Pecuniary Interest Pt. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 11:45:24 UTC
From: Rasputin IV <boinkaroink@spoonshelvesers.com>
Message-ID: <cf3f9$433bd3d7$d1cc41b8$20865@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127890929.869546.301580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> from N9OGL BLOG
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com

> "The new funding of $77,000--added to the initial $100,000 award, for a
> total of $177,000--is gratefully accepted to assist ham radio operators
> who have incurred expenses related to their volunteer service," said
> ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH. "The per-diem awards
> of $25 per day up to a maximum award of four days, or $100 per person,
> will cover approximately 6000 'ham days' of service."

....how much y'all want to bet some hams out there will try to scam this
program for easy cash by saying they're in the 'affected area' or similar
just like they used to do by selling licences via corrupt VEC's
at the hamfests testing sessions years ago..?

> Ham Aid also will strengthen the role Amateur Radio can play in
> disaster response by funding the preparation of containerized complete
> Amateur Radio HF/VHF stations complete with radios, antennas, feed
> lines, repeaters and more, Hobart added. These are designed for
> deployment to disaster areas where the Amateur Radio infrastructure has
> been compromised or additional equipment is required beyond what's
> available.

...yeah, and when the disaster is all over all that FED funded
radio gear will go into their private shacks for contests or
more likely be pawned off on e-Bay for the most $$$.

(Ham scammer: "Um...do you have the original boxes with
that Icom HF rig?" What do you need them for? "Ummmm...so they
won't get wet in the trunk of the car...?") yeah right....

1942. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 11:53:54 UTC
From: Rasputin IV <boinkaroink@spoonshelvesers.com>
Message-ID: <165cf$433bd5e4$d1cc41b8$20958@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119768
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:v8udndGEGeWRzareRVn-jA@comcast.com...

> Even if you don't believe in Allah, Aphrodite, Ares, Athena, Apollo,
> Artemis, Babaluaye, Bacchus, Baldur, Bast, Bellona, Brahma, Brigid,
> Ceres, Cupid, Cerridwen, Demeter, Diana, Dione, Dionysus, Eris, Eos,
> Eleggua, , El Shaddai, Elohim, Eshu, Ereshkigal, Frigga, Frey, Freya,
> Gaea, Lord Genesa, Hades, Hebe, Hera, Helios, Hel, Hephaestus, Hermes,
> Hestia, Horus, Ibeji, Ifa, Inanna, Indra, Ishana, Ishtar, Isis, Janus,
> Juno, Jehovah, Jove, Jupiter, Kali. Krishna Kronos, Korravai, Loki,
> Lugh, Lord Indra, Manitou, Mars, Mercury, Minerva, Mercurius, Morrigan,
> Nahuiquiahuitl, Nanahuatzin, Nephthys, Neptune, Obatala, Odin, Ogun,
> Oshosi, Oshun, Osiris, Oya, Orunmila, Olokun, Olodumare, Pluto,
> Persephone, Poseidon, Proserpina, Quetzalcoatl, Ra, Lord Rama, Rhea,
> Saturn, Set, Selene, Shango, Lord Siva, Tammuz, Thor, Tir, Tiw, Uranus,
> Venus, Vesta, Vishnu, Vesta, Vulcan, Wotan, Yahweh, Yemaya, Zeus, and
> thousands of others, they believe in you!

But you forgot the most important Deity in your list above: "Bob" !

Learn about "Bob" here -----> www.subgenius.com

"You'll pay to know what you really think"
- JR "Bob" Dobbs 1959

"Act like a dumbshit and they'll treat you like an equal"
- JR "Bob" Dobbs 1961

1943. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 12:25:52 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dhgmgf$1fjc$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119769
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Maybe we should rebuild New Orleans in England, it would balance the
>>>>scales.
>>>
>>>
>>>We indeed should rebuild New Orleans somewhere that is
>>>not below sea level.
>
>
> How about Death Valley?
>
>> Absolutely. I don't support money going to rebuilding NO > in it's
>>present spot.
>
>
> I agree 100%. But you watch, the money will be poured into it just like
> the water.
>
>>The French Quarter is okay where it is. It will
>>eventually become an
>>island, and should last for quite a while yet.
>>Seems like a charming way
>>to get to Mardi Gras - by a boat.
>>
>>But no matter how much money we pour into th erest of
>>NO, it will sink.
>>I suppose that we could try a Netherlands type approach,
>>with huge
>>dikes and all, but I doubt that there is enough room on the
>>sides to do
>>that, and besides, the Netherlands doesn't have to worry about
>>hurricanes either.
>
>

> The levees are dikes, aren't they?

The Netherlands version of dikes are to levees as a FT-9000 is to a
Rock Mite. I watched a documentary on the things once, and they are
quite a feat of engineering. They are considered one of the seven
woncers of the modern world.

> There are some important other differences.
>
> It's my understanding that the Dutch built their dikes and filled
> in/pumped out the polders as a way of getting more farmland without
> fighting wars with their neighbors, and as a way of *reducing* storm
> damage. They don't build cities on below-sea-level land. And the way
> they deal with the reclaimed land results in it slowly but surely
> rising, not sinking.
>
> But they don't put cities there.

http://geography.about.com/library/weekly/aa033000a.htm

According to this link over 60 percent of the population if the
Netherlands is living beneath sea level.

> --
>
> There's a bigger problem even than Katrina behind all this...
>
> Modern technology has made almost anything possible from a theoretical
> point of view. NO could be rebuilt with levees and pumps capable of
> withstanding a Cat 5 storm, for example.
>
> But the problem is that such solutions, while technically possible, are
> often prohibitively expensive, particularly on a large scale. Not just
> to build, but to maintain. Who is going to pay the taxes so that a
> rebuilt NO can be kept dry?
>
> Or to put it another way - *why* should NO be built in such a highrisk
> location when there are so many better alternatives?

Darned if I know. I don't want my tax money going to rebuilding it in
the same place.

> Just because something can be done doesn't mean it should be done.
>
> I wonder if Shrub ever played SimCity?

The Sims are evil and promote all kinds of sexual abberations.

http://www.electricvenom.com/2005/07/26/sims2-sinful/

An amazing link, that! I guess we better cover up the dirty bits on
the "Justice" statues again.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1944. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 12:29:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dhgmmt$1fjc$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119770
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Ted wrote:

> On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 23:52:00 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That says more about you than about them.
>>>>>
>>>>>Such as?
>>>>
>>>> If you have to ask ....
>>>
>>>Ambiguity will get you nowhere.
>>
>> If that's ambiguous, you should get back whatever money you
>>wasted on an education.
>
>
> YOU are the one that wants to play games and won't answer my question.
> You also wait several days before posting any response, which leads me
> to believe that you have to wait until mommy and daddy are out of the
> house before you can get on the computer.

kashe is just using us as his/her straight men. You know, toss out a few insults, make irrelevant comments. I like to read them because a few are funny.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1945. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 14:00:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128002414.669359.294350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119771

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127934124.832301.295400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Do a little high speed CW QSOing, Toadie couldn't copy it for starters.
> >
>
> Anything over 7WPM right?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Exactly!

1946. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 14:02:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128002554.098326.123880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119772

N9OGL wrote:
> I can do up to 13 wpm

You are getting your strokes on a hard phallus mixed up with CW sending speed agasin, FAGGOT Toadie.

1947. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 14:04:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128002647.197066.132860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119773

You are getting the number of strokes per minute on a hard phallus
mixed up with CW sending speed again, FAGGOT Toadie.

1948. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 14:56:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <CgT_e.6728$q1.287@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119774

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1127953970.430771.218780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I can do up to 13 wpm
>
You want a sked on Saturday, 14321, just before your broadcast?

Dan/W4NTI

1949. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 15:12:08 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <un0oj19r1me1nj3qocc0sreo2hv4b36n3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 119775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 01:32:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
wrote:

>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:50:35 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:01:28 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>>>
>>>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>>>
>>>What disease did you have?
>>
>>Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...
>
>Almost?

Yes. A few survived, and these managed to obtain a ham license.

1950. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 15:33:51 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zPT_e.25580$ib1.14066@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

To K1DUDE, an FCC rule is something to be avoided and worked around at all costs. He has spent considerable thought and money resource on fighting the FCC to get his way. If he had that same passion about complying with the rules, I'm sure he could work with the FCC and still accomplish what he wants.

It is to late for him now. K1DUDE is the type of person that draws negative attention to this wonderful hobby. We need more positive examples if this hobby is to survive. I just think that, at some point, the FCC will give into the cellular, BPS, and other special interest groups and scrap the whole amateur program. Then where would be?

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)
"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:d6mkj15qrpdjeh2l46n3vocmo6ohdn1ebl@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 23 Sep 2005 10:52:47 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
> What's an FCC rule?
>
>
>
>
>>What's a "top post" and what section of the FCC codes is that rule found?
>>Once I know this information, I will comply fully with the regulation.
>>
>>"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:h3a7j1pvsatee1vlgqectbug55vjrdc7oo@4ax.com...
>>> On Thu, 22 Sep 2005 15:11:13 GMT, "Wayne P. Muckleroy"
>>> <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>It's never a good idea to use vigilante tactics to solve a problem.
>>>>There
>>>>are rules for which all of us must abide.
>>>
>>> One of those rules is that we must never top post.
>>>
>>>>One of those rules is that we must
>>>>not intentionally block or interfere with another transmission that is
>>>>in
>>>>progress. We must all share the frequencies in an orderly fashion.
>>>>
>>>>These are the rules. If we were to all ignore these rules and transmit

>>>>whenever, however, and wherever we pleased, there would be mass chaos on
>>>>most bands. The rules are structured and enforced by the FCC. I cannot
>>>>condone any other approach to eliminating unruly examples like K1MAN--as
>>>>frustrating as that approach may be.
>>>
>>> A: Top posting
>>> Q: What is the most annoying thing on usenet?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Wayne P. Muckleroy
>>>>(KC8UIO)
>>>>
>>>>"AMEN" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:j5iYe.357189$_o.126093@attbi_s71...
>>>>>
>>>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:1127183286.132818.195670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>> It is FAR from over, after the FCC makes it's decision it goes to
>>>>>> Court
>>>>>> of Appeal where he can challenge the FCC rules and or decision. If he
>>>>>> transmits, he will be unlicensed which can speed the process to the
>>>>>> court systems like I said it's FAR from over.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Todd N9OGL
>>>>>> The N9OGL Show
>>>>>> 14.321.00 Mhz
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Wayne Green had by far the best solution to the problem back in 1992:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
>>>>> WGI Center
>>>>> Peterborough NH 03458
>>>>>
>>>>> Sep. 13, 1992
>>>>>
>>>>> "While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share,
>>>>> your
>>>>> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do
>>>>> not
>>>>> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
>>>>> many
>>>>> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.
>>>>> That's
>>>>> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause
>>>>> far
>>>>> more
>>>>> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying

>>>>> to
>>>>> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>>>>>
>>>>> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
>>>>> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off
>>>>> 14,275.
>>>>> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>>>>>
>>>>> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you
>>>>> wouldn't
>>>>> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine
>>>>> with
>>>>> hack
>>>>> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
>>>>> neighbors
>>>>> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with
>>>>> good
>>>>> signals and some coordination.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter
>>>>> than
>>>>> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel
>>>>> about
>>>>> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts.
>>>>> I'll
>>>>> bet
>>>>> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would
>>>>> not
>>>>> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just
>>>>> repeat
>>>>> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as
>>>>> good
>>>>> a
>>>>> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing
>>>>> the
>>>>> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
>>>>> three
>>>>> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him
>>>>> get
>>>>> his
>>>>> broadcasts out.
>>>>>
>>>>> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then
>>>>> you
>>>>> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may
>>>>> be
>>>>> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the
>>>>> mess
>>>>> he's been making for several years.
>>>>>

>>>>> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time
>>>>> on
>>>>> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know. "
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers ...
>>>>> Wayne
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Barry
>>> =====
>>> Home page
>>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1951. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 18:45:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dhhcoc$1fj0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119778
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> Matt Osborn wrote:
>
>> You're walking on an assumption that is called gravity. Just like
>> G-d, plenty of evidence but no proof.
>
>
> What pisses me off about your posts is this almost deliberate attempt to
> obfuscate by equivocating, word playing and substituting terms which are
> incompatible. You keep doing it in almost every post and it gets tired
> fast.
> Like, for instance, in the example above: "plenty of evidence but no
> proof" is meaningless drivel. Of all the scientific disciplines, only
> mathematics deals with proof. Others, physics included, deal with
> evidence which is derived from a direct or indirect observation of
> certain phenomena and is the basis for a theory, such as the
> gravitational theory, for example.
> Therefore, to say that there is "no proof" for gravity is gibberish,
> even in the solipsist sense.

Actually, something that we call gravity undeniably exists. While our
understanding of it is incomplete, there is no doubt that it exists. It
is there, we see what happens if we drop someting in an area where
"gravity" is strong, and in areas where it is virtually nonexistant.

The "proof" if you will, is in the explanation and understanding of
what we call gravity, not it's existance.

Now God on the other hand, gives no real evidence at all for existence.
Miracles are manifestations of chance, and many things that were once
attributed to divine intervention have found ready explanations after we
learn more about the universe.

So the statement was incorrect in the first place.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1952. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 19:24:09 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xoidnUzyR6jAraHeRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:

> described in Part 97.
>
> Please, N9OGL, refrain from the use of such emotion and vulgarity in your
> stated opinions. It really is offensive and detracts from your credibility.
> The poor grammar doesn't help either.

Toddyboy lost all credibility a long time ago. I think it was with his
first post.

1953. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 19:33:06 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SjX_e.16000$Xl2.8950@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119779
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why is it you guys insist on continuing this conversation? Take it to
e-mail or take it to a newsgroup where it would be not off-topic.

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dhhcoc$1fj0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> Actually, something that we call gravity undeniably exists. While our
> understanding of it is incomplete, there is no doubt that it exists. It is
> there, we see what happens if we drop someting in an area where "gravity"
> is strong, and in areas where it is virtually nonexistant.
>
> The "proof" if you will, is in the explanation and understanding of what
> we call gravity, not it's existance.
>
> Now God on the other hand, gives no real evidence at all for existence.
> Miracles are manifestations of chance, and many things that were once
> attributed to divine intervention have found ready explanations after we
> learn more about the universe.
>
> So the statement was incorrect in the first place.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

1954. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 20:01:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128024073.709265.28850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119780

No thanks, I'll stick with my "broadcast"

Todd N9OGL

1955. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 20:04:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128024245.696432.256420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The rule regarding pecuniary interest states that it can't be direct or indirect. What the ARRL is doing is indirect pecuniary interest and is a violation of the FCC rules.

Todd N9OGL

1956. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 20:05:50 UTC
From: Mike <kc2ftn@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <433c4b6b@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 119782

Good point, Pat.

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:SjX_e.16000$Xl2.8950@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Why is it you guys insist on continuing this conversation? Take it to
> e-mail or take it to a newsgroup where it would be not off-topic.
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dhhcoc$1fj0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>> Actually, something that we call gravity undeniably exists. While our
>> understanding of it is incomplete, there is no doubt that it exists. It
>> is there, we see what happens if we drop someting in an area where
>> "gravity" is strong, and in areas where it is virtually nonexistant.
>>
>> The "proof" if you will, is in the explanation and understanding of what
>> we call gravity, not it's existance.
>>
>> Now God on the other hand, gives no real evidence at all for existence.
>> Miracles are manifestations of chance, and many things that were once
>> attributed to divine intervention have found ready explanations after we
>> learn more about the universe.
>>
>> So the statement was incorrect in the first place.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1957. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 20:37:03 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6pjoj1lcbo6a8qrmenckrib3ik6ng0757j@4ax.com>
Source record: 119783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 29 Sep 2005 13:04:05 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>The rule regarding pecuniary interest states that it can't be direct or
>indirect. What the ARRL is doing is indirect pecuniary interest and is
>a violation of the FCC rules.

Why do you have such a hard-on for the ARRL?

There are problem children all over as can be seen by the published
enforcement notices, but all you carp about is the ARRL. Why? Did they
kill your dog or something?

Actually, the very fact that you include an ad for a "show" on 20
meters tells me you're one of the problem children (show=broadcasting,
which is a violation of the FCC rules.). Why don't you clean up your
act first and then you can think about going after some larger fry.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

1958. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 21:15:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128028553.755960.316690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119784

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1127934124.832301.295400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Do a little high speed CW QSOing, Toadie couldn't copy it for starters.
> >
>
> Anything over 7WPM right?

remember the warning of the English book of common prayer curca 700 AD
"protect us oh lord from the warth of Morsemen"
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1959. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 22:07:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128031626.549909.129590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Actually, the very fact that you include an ad for a "show" on 20
>meters tells me you're one of the problem children (show=broadcasting,
>which is a violation of the FCC rules.). Why don't you clean up your
>act first and then you can think about going after some larger fry.

In what way? as long as it's directed towards amateurs and consist of
amateur radio material it's legal.

Todd N9OGL

1960. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 22:48:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5KednRdRTe278qHeRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119786
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Maybe we should rebuild New Orleans in England, it would balance the
>>>>scales.
>>>
>>>
>>>We indeed should rebuild New Orleans somewhere that is
>>>not below sea level.
>
>
> How about Death Valley?

Death Valley is not a good place to build a town. Most sub-sea level
locales are really bad places to build, whether there is a flooding
danger or heat issues.
>
>> Absolutely. I don't support money going to rebuilding NO > in it's
>>present spot.
>
>
> I agree 100%. But you watch, the money will be poured into it just like
> the water.

Oh yeah. And the people rebuilding will be hailed as some sort of
neversaydie heroes.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1961. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 22:51:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5KednRZRTe1q8qHeRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119787
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pat Stevens wrote:
> Why is it you guys insist on continuing this conversation? Take it to
> e-mail or take it to a newsgroup where it would be not off-topic.

I dunno, Why do you top post?

But seriously, you should have a filter on your mail reader that will allow us to post, and then you can just ignore the thread, or simply kill file all of us.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1962. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 22:53:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5KednRFRTe357aHeRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119788

Mike wrote:
> Good point, Pat.

Mike, My top postin' bud, you are as much a part of this thread as the rest of us are!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1963. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 22:56:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Mc-dnVlXYdiF7KHenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119789
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 14:45:32 -0400, Michael Coslo
> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Matt Osborn wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>You're walking on an assumption that is called gravity. Just like
>>>>G-d, plenty of evidence but no proof.
>>>
>>>
>>>What pisses me off about your posts is this almost deliberate attempt to
>>>obfuscate by equivocating, word playing and substituting terms which are
>>>incompatible. You keep doing it in almost every post and it gets tired
>>>fast.
>>>Like, for instance, in the example above: "plenty of evidence but no
>>>proof" is meaningless drivel. Of all the scientific disciplines, only
>>>mathematics deals with proof. Others, physics included, deal with
>>>evidence which is derived from a direct or indirect observation of
>>>certain phenomena and is the basis for a theory, such as the
>>>gravitational theory, for example.
>>>Therefore, to say that there is "no proof" for gravity is gibberish,
>>>even in the solipsist sense.
>>
>>
>> Actually, something that we call gravity undeniably exists. While our
>>understanding of it is incomplete, there is no doubt that it exists. It
>>is there, we see what happens if we drop someting in an area where
>>"gravity" is strong, and in areas where it is virtually nonexistant.
>>
>> The "proof" if you will, is in the explanation and understanding of
>>what we call gravity, not it's existance.
>>
>> Now God on the other hand, gives no real evidence at all for existence.
>>Miracles are manifestations of chance, and many things that were once
>>attributed to divine intervention have found ready explanations after we
>>learn more about the universe.

>>
>> So the statement was incorrect in the first place.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> I'm afraid you're still working with assumptions. You believe your
> assumptions of gravity are accurate, but for all we know they may be
> entirely incorrect.

My assumptions do not negate the existence of gravity, whether those assumptions are right or wrong. It exists, it's effects are measurable and known, and it has a profound daily influence on our lives. Quite quantifiable.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1964. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:26:21 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <hvtoj11gmkb7441anirtlge1b7klo259h5@4ax.com>
Source record: 119790
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 14:44:28 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:48:15 -0400, Michael Coslo
><mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
>
>
>> Absolutely. I don't support money going to rebuilding NO in it's
>>present spot.
>>
>> The French Quarter is okay where it is. It will eventually become an
>>island, and should last for quite a while yet. Seems like a charming way
>>to get to Mardi Gras - by a boat.
>>
>> But no matter how much money we pour into th erest of NO, it will sink.
>>I suppose that we could try a Netherlands type approach, with huge
>>dikes and all, but I doubt that there is enough room on the sides to do
>>that, and besides, the Netherlands doesn't have to worry about
>>hurricanes either.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>I wonder why they don't rebuild New Orleans the way they built Venice,
>Italy. Venice was built by people who were trying to get away from
>Attila the Hun. Attila wanted farmland, so the nomads of that area
>fled and settled in what was a swamp, and they built a city on a
>swamp.
>
>(Of course, the first one sank into the swamp, so they built a second
>one. THAT one sank into the swamp, so they built a third one. THAT one
>burned down, fell over, and then sank into the swamp...but the fourth
>one stayed up!)
>
>Seriously, though, New Orleans as a city of canals has a certain
>romantic flair to it.

I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
canals.

1965. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:35:09 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <r2uoj1pd7deompqns37ht202ob2qbgjip3@4ax.com>
Source record: 119791
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 28 Sep 2005 18:52:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>> > Matt Osborn wrote:
>> >
>> >> Maybe we should rebuild New Orleans in England, it would balance the
>> >> scales.
>> >
>> >
>> > We indeed should rebuild New Orleans somewhere that is
>> > not below sea level.
>
>How about Death Valley?

How much of Death Valley is not below sea level?

From http://hypertextbook.com/facts/2000/MichaelLevin.shtml

The hottest temperature on Earth ever recorded most likely occurred in
Death Valley, California during 43 consecutive days between July 6 and
August 17, 1917. During those days, the temperature was over 48
degrees Celsius (120 degrees Fahrenheit). The national weather service
recorded 56.7 ï¿½C (134 ï¿½F). The date reported there was July 10, 1913.

The elevation in the lowest point is 86 meters below sea level.

>>
>> Absolutely. I don't support money going to rebuilding NO > in it's
>> present spot.
>
>I agree 100%. But you watch, the money will be poured into it just like
>the water.
>>
>> The French Quarter is okay where it is. It will
>> eventually become an
>> island, and should last for quite a while yet.
>> Seems like a charming way
>> to get to Mardi Gras - by a boat.
>>
>> But no matter how much money we pour into th erest of
>> NO, it will sink.

>> I suppose that we could try a Netherlands type approach,
>> with huge
>> dikes and all, but I doubt that there is enough room on the
>> sides to do
>> that, and besides, the Netherlands doesn't have to worry about
>> hurricanes either.
>
>The levees are dikes, aren't they?
>
>There are some important other differences.
>
>It's my understanding that the Dutch built their dikes and filled
>in/pumped out the polders as a way of getting more farmland without
>fighting wars with their neighbors, and as a way of *reducing* storm
>damage. They don't build cities on below-sea-level land. And the way
>they deal with the reclaimed land results in it slowly but surely
>rising, not sinking.
>
>But they don't put cities there.

1966. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:42:08 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <lluoj1tl940rn3ksi4up99c0gaf0tqdocs@4ax.com>
Source record: 119792
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 01:05:30 -0700, Zoran Brlecic
<...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote:

>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>
>>>>Not to mention Hitler.
>>>
>>>And we have a Godwin, all...
>>
>>
>> Dumb shit -- the reference is apropos in the midst of a
>> discussion of mass murderers. You lose.
>
>Discussion of mass murderers who were allegedly atheists. Reductio ad
>Hitlerum.

Nice -- make it up as you go to support your own childishness
fantasies.

Godwin, etc. is a cute little piece of usenet lore, with no
bearing on the acual discipline of logic.

Correct comparisons are another matter entirely, dipshit.
>
>And also Guy's corollary, asshole.
>
>>>Btw, Hitler, if you must bring him up, was a Christian.

Well, at least you finally got the point.

1967. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:45:59 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <rvuoj1hbpbb90pe0o89dm4auij60ipjufj@4ax.com>
Source record: 119793
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 09:03:11 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>"Pardon me while I slip out of these wet clothes
>>>>and into a dry
>>>>martini." - Groucho (or was it Bugs Bunny?)
>>
>>
>> I think it was Groucho
>>
>>> What happened to all the old Bugs Bunny cartoons anyway?
>>>
>>
>> Warner Bros. has them safely tucked away. Some that were made in the
>> 1940s are not shown anymore because they contain parodies and
>> stereotypes now considered racist.
>>
>> --
>>
>> I think you were asking about Foghorn Leghorn...
>>
>> "Nice girl, but about as sharp as a sack o' wet mice"
>>
>> "DAWG! I say, dawg, you look like two miles o' bad road!"
>>
>> "That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no
>> curves"
>>
>> "No, I better not look - I just might be in there"
>>
>> "That dawg reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the
>> belfry.."
>
> Son, I SAY, Son! I ain't no chicken! Now that over there, THAT's a
>chicken!.........
>
>
> Actually, Ol' Foghorn is probably not the problem. There are a number
>of old WB cartoons that have some rather strong stereotype of the folks

>we were fighting during WWII (the big one) Considering that they are now
>our allies, there might be a little sensitivity thing going on.
>
> Personally, I think they should be shown, especially when introduced in
>the proper context. They are of historical value, and in the context of
>the times, were understandable.
>
> Oh - and they were darn funny too!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Anyone recently seen an old (40s or so) copy of the Stephen
Foster Songbook? Nearly every facing page with a sketch of happy,
carefree, little darkies, havin' a wunnerful time, singin', dancin'
and playin' their fiddles.

1968. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:48:32 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <n7voj1d6pe1qh9u846l2tou36ko0vqkd35@4ax.com>
Source record: 119794
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 13:21:15 +0000 (UTC), "Reg Edwards"
<g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote:

>> > Personally, I think they should be shown, especially when
>introduced in
>> > the proper context. They are of historical value, and in the
>context of
>> > the times, were understandable.
>> >
>==================================
>
>Censorship appears to be in action.
>
>Who is the censor?
>
>==================================
>

Showing something in its historical context is not censorship.
Would you set up an exhibit of historical KKK robes on your front
porch without an explanation?

1969. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:51:48 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <5fvoj1hrhntk8at0od8pqjm03kap1lkse9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119795
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We're trying to embarrass your delete key into admitting it's
impotent.

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 19:33:06 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
<patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote:

> Why is it you guys insist on continuing this conversation? Take it to
>e-mail or take it to a newsgroup where it would be not off-topic.
>
>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:dhhcoc$1fj0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>> Actually, something that we call gravity undeniably exists. While our
>> understanding of it is incomplete, there is no doubt that it exists. It is
>> there, we see what happens if we drop someting in an area where "gravity"
>> is strong, and in areas where it is virtually nonexistant.
>>
>> The "proof" if you will, is in the explanation and understanding of what
>> we call gravity, not it's existance.
>>
>> Now God on the other hand, gives no real evidence at all for existence.
>> Miracles are manifestations of chance, and many things that were once
>> attributed to divine intervention have found ready explanations after we
>> learn more about the universe.
>>
>> So the statement was incorrect in the first place.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>

1970. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:51:54 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433c7e1a$0$3767$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119796
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pat Stevens wrote:

> Why is it you guys insist on continuing this conversation? Take it to
> e-mail or take it to a newsgroup where it would be not off-topic.
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dhhcoc$1fj0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>

Why not just click your "Ignore Thread" button?

tom
K0TAR

1971. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:56:14 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <mhvoj1dj4tu48o4kp8e8bq2dfst5np7nm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 119797
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 00:40:50 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 23:52:00 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>>Pope worshipers and Mohamahdists are all the same to me...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> That says more about you than about them.
>>>>>
>>>>>Such as?
>>>>
>>>> If you have to ask ....
>>>
>>>Ambiguity will get you nowhere.
>>
>> If that's ambiguous, you should get back whatever money you
>>wasted on an education.
>
>YOU are the one that wants to play games and won't answer my question.
>You also wait several days before posting any response, which leads me
>to believe that you have to wait until mommy and daddy are out of the
>house before you can get on the computer.

Mommy and Daddy have been dead since 95 and 61, respectively.

If you think you're important enough that I should leap to the
keyboard daily, you're sadly mistaken. I may only look in once a week.
Live with it.

So, you're not only full of shit on both counts, you're also
wrong.

And just how hollow is your life that you have to track my
posting delays? Get a hobby.

1972. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-29 23:58:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128038310.271740.286350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119798

N9OGL wrote:
> No thanks, I'll stick with my "broadcast"

Translation: Toad couldn't copy even 7 wpm. So much for your lie you can do up to 13 wpm, FAGGOT Toad.

1973. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:02:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128038574.978070.270940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119799

What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
And, "warth" should be "wrath".

You'd probably want to get on the air with fellow FAGGOT Toadie and
talk about your usual perversions, right, Marky?

1974. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:05:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128038737.682170.325640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<Snicker> AS if you would know, Toadie. You can barely communicate.

1975. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:08:41 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <8rvoj19bp6umuvfefeo6v87enpmg18pd8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 119801
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 02:54:34 GMT, "Tom Donaly"
<dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>>Tom Donaly wrote:
>>>
>>>>Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
>>
>>
>> They are not.
>>
>>
>>>>The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
>>
>>
>> Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
>> can name the logical fallacy you just committed.
>
>Some of the lower forms of American Christianity try to separate faith
>and works. That allows them salvation without substance, the lazy man's
>path to life without end.

in your narrow view.

> My last two sentences are statements of truth

"I fear the man who has all the answers." -- Father James
Marien, S.J. -- 1961 -- in my presence.

>, not a logical
>progression.

As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.

>Each has to be taken alone, by itself. (A religious
>fundamentalist insisting on logical consistency constitutes a
>pretty good joke.) Let me repeat: Those who do no good have no
>faith.

Repitition does not include leaving off the first half of the
line you wrote. In a humorous logic treatise, your statement would be
named "The Proof from Blatant Assertion".

> Next time you and your friends are sitting around speaking
>in tongues, you should discuss this. Maybe, if you all think real

>hard, together, you can puzzle out what it means.

>73,
>Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1976. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:10:52 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <k90pj1ppt5hqs9hi4qcnm6rsp5bcro8gvt@4ax.com>
Source record: 119803
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 18:14:57 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>So in what context do we contain poorly understood rules and
>perceptions? How do we speak of them? We could always resort to
>obtuse phrases such as 'that which cannot be contained', or 'that
>which is smaller than smallest small' or 'that which is larger than
>largest large'.
>
>Mankind has historically ascribed the above to G-d.

This is called Nihilism - but with your bets hedged in case you are
wrong (which, of course, is Narcissistic, an amusing contradiction).

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1977. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:11:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128039095.010441.84870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:

> In what way?

You really are rather obtuse, aren't you, Toadie?

1978. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:17:15 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jn0pj1l2u1dk7grot7l4ni8s7gvutfen60@4ax.com>
Source record: 119804
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 23:26:21 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>canals.

In Santa Rosa? Perhaps if the Russian River overflowed - a lot.

1979. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:34:14 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <gu1pj1ls3fds3bkk7h69oi19citsucrge3@4ax.com>
Source record: 119805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 07:30:37 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On 27 Sep 2005 19:05:41 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>your a fucking asshole, you probably kiss the FCC asses too don't you.
>
>It's not "your", it's "you're".
>
>Born in 68, graduated in 87 - why are you avoiding answering which year you
>were held back? One can only assume it was for English.

OK, you've spelling-nazied the guy, but made no response to
the charge of being an asshole. Why?

1980. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 00:35:30 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <n12pj117fi29uae783rmpjprm959ptsai8@4ax.com>
Source record: 119806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:03:04 -0400, Mark <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>On 28 Sep 2005 07:40:36 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I was held back in first grade, because I spend that year in the
>>hospital near death if you fucking want to know asshole.
>
>Took you 2 days to come up with that? OK. I guess you're just still slow.
>
>What disease did you have?
>
>
>(Am I supposed to end my post with an "asshole" too? You do this with all
>your posts, so I'm not sure if that's just part of your normal
>communication and expect it. Let me know and I will oblige you going
>forward.)

He may think it mans the same as "over".

1981. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 01:56:14 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nc6pj1li777ado8kn5e2f006l1e541t8e6@4ax.com>
Source record: 119807
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 19:57:13 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Not even close. From my limited study, this seems to be the seed from
>which psychology and psychiatry arose.
>
>From the OED:

The OED is fine if you include the historical tag citations,
contemporary dictionaries (unless you are arguing from the perspective
of a 19th century monk) allow:

1. An extreme form of skepticism that denies all existence.
2. A doctrine holding that all values are baseless and that nothing
can be known or communicated.

>>>So in what context do we contain poorly understood rules and
>>>perceptions? How do we speak of them?
fits perfectly fine in the second context and dovetails with your
rather amorphous logic. In fact, this second usage is almost your
manifesto here - with the aforementioned insurance policy against
damnation.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1982. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 02:23:51 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Xk1%e.1235$lc1.3@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119808
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> You may not like have your faith pointed out to you, but there it is.
> All you can say about gravity is that "It is as if...".

Heh, heh, I now understand. You equate faith to ignorance.
I couldn't have said it better myself.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1983. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 02:25:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128047112.115302.185740@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119809

Bet me asshole, by the way you fucking little troll I do see a callsign posted in any of your messages, so you fucking little troll until you can prove a fucking callsign my opinion to you is to shut the fuck up.

1984. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 03:40:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <s5edncZJJLUKOaHeRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119810

N9OGL wrote:
> Bet me asshole, by the way you fucking little troll I do see a callsign
> posted in any of your messages, so you fucking little troll until you
> can prove a fucking callsign my opinion to you is to shut the fuck up.
>
Your really do need to grow up toggieboy.

1985. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 03:49:53 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ctcpj15dni7caof7i9pvphguoa6i3q2rq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 119811
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 21:58:14 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>> 2. A doctrine holding that all values are baseless and that nothing
>>can be known or communicated.
does not proceed towards:
>How could you possibly read the denial of existence itself?
but in fact stems from
>>>>>So in what context do we contain poorly understood rules and
>>>>>perceptions? How do we speak of them?
which is nihilistic. The confusion is yours, explicitly admitted to,
and it is evidenced in many of your statements. As such, the
consistency speaks for itself and mocks these attempts at denial.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1986. Re: Pecuniary Interest Pt. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 03:55:43 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11jpdq54hg435b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Various religions have various words for it ... karma, the afterlife,
whatever. Of course some people will do this. I personally believe that
the payback in this life or the next will be a crystal bitch.

To me, it ain't worth the gamble.

Jim

>
> ....how much y'all want to bet some hams out there will try to scam this
> program for easy cash by saying they're in the 'affected area' or similar
> just like they used to do by selling licences via corrupt VEC's
> at the hamfests testing sessions years ago..?

1987. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 04:06:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128053202.904693.281530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119813

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> And, "warth" should be "wrath".

always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
crap
cuting sexual ref

gee you are sounding ole stevie boy

1988. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 04:22:56 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <juepj1tpojn81o3bqlgii0ckoc7c8pkkcj@4ax.com>
Source record: 119814
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 23:56:14 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

> Mommy and Daddy have been dead since 95 and 61, respectively.
>
> If you think you're important enough that I should leap to the
>keyboard daily, you're sadly mistaken. I may only look in once a week.
>Live with it.
>
> So, you're not only full of shit on both counts, you're also
>wrong.
>
> And just how hollow is your life that you have to track my
>posting delays? Get a hobby.

My, my! I seem to have hit a nerve.

1989. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 05:08:49 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8bgpj11ofckhvd5dcg0umujbqav3508g9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 119815
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 23:16:50 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Well, with your editing, I suppose you could strain credulity and make
>such a claim.

I trust the readership is already well read on this not to need the
extensive quoting such as you require. My newsreader is adequate to
the task of finding sufficient material and I have faith others are
equally competent to the matter.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1990. Re: FCC Chairman Powell got job because of nepotism charges HowardStern

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 06:14:15 UTC
From: w2ass <w2ass.1w602l@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <w2ass.1w602l@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 119816

OH YEAH Wrote:
> "robert casey" wa2ise@ix.netcom.com wrote in message
> news:uNbgd.11923$ta5.11521@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...-
> john@john.johyn wrote:
> -
> On 26 Oct 2004 20:07:46 GMT, "Lloyd" lloyd@no.spammmmm wrote:
>
> -
> Stern thinks that Colin Powell (FCC Chairman Powell's daddy) got the
> job for Junior. Sort of puts a whole new light on things, don't it?-
>
>
> Is Howard Stern now a Ham Radio Operator or something?-
> Understand he applied for vanity call W2ASS... ;-)-
>
> I'm not sure I understand why HS is picking on Powell! The FCC was on
> Stern's ass LONG before Powell got his job. It's probably a miracle
> that
> Stern is still allowed on the air.
>
> To believe the movie made of his life, he's come close many times to
> being
> unemployed due to the FCC's pressure on the Stations.
>
> TRM
HOWARD STERN IS NOT A HAM,, HE NEVER APPLIED FOR THIS VANITY CALL. I
DID I AM W2ASS,, YOU GUYS NEED SOMETHING ELSE TO TALK ABOUT. BUT
THANKS.. Everyone around the world loves the call, i got more qsl cards
than i can count, if you would like to get one find me on the hf bands..
73 de w2ass

--
w2ass

1991. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 13:15:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128086126.959379.84360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119817

N9OGL wrote:
> Bet me asshole,

You can't afford to bet you little FAGGOT, you have to live with and mooch off your parents.

> by the way

By the way you talk you little four eyed queer, you would be more likely to sip your meals out of a straw for 6 months when you would try to talk like that to me in person. Which may be happening real soon.

1992. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 13:17:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128086272.615292.43060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119818

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
>
> always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> crap

Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
But you did that from your first post.

> cuting sexual ref

What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?

>
> gee you are sounding ole stevie boy

You are so goddamned stupid.

1993. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 14:00:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128088851.810417.90900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119819

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> >
> > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > crap
>
> Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> But you did that from your first post.

not at all

you just show yourself as foolish after all you understood the message
meaning MY purpose was achived

>
> > cuting sexual ref
>
> What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?

Not at all it is simply that Sexuality has noting to do with ham Radio
with your obession with such things you show you are a bigot and so not
much of ham if you are indeed a ham at all
>
> >
> > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
>
> You are so goddamned stupid.

More and more like stevie, claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
people you have never met

1994. Re: FCC SHUTS DOWN the 'Boy Broadcaster" from ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 15:17:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7nlqj11nc3m7aqs04b56k7qrbmpaobbd2r@4ax.com>
Source record: 119820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 11:12:08 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
said in rec.radio.scanner:

>On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 01:32:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
>wrote:
>>On Wed, 28 Sep 2005 11:50:35 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>>said in rec.radio.scanner:

>>>Apparently, he had diarrhea and almost lost his brains...

>>Almost?

>Yes. A few survived, and these managed to obtain a ham license.

From what I've been seeing recently, that doesn't take brains any
longer.

1995. New License Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 16:38:15 UTC
From: clawason <clawason@rigclawsonre.net>
Message-ID: <dhjpie$392$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A Criminal Background Check and a Mental Health Check
will be required in the future to obtain an amateur radio
license.

1996. Poetic Justice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 18:13:36 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <bvtqj1t638cs27kaes3hlsn9n085scievc@4ax.com>
Source record: 119822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It's always good to see bullies get their just desserts...

Most of you have heard of the Peter Trapp computer shows. You may also recall some of the policies Mr. Trapp insisted on when staging these shows. One such policy was requiring facilities to not have any other events within a 45-day period in which computer hardware or software were sold. This affected a few hamfests that were held at the same facilities. The Peter Trapp people threatened to pull the plug on their shows if any other such event was held within that time period. (A rather lengthy discussion of this topic occurred on the amateur radio newsgroups during the Spring of '97. Use Google to read some of the posts)

These shows drew huge crowds throughout the 1990's, but attendance began to drop off about five years ago. Last year, shows were canceled and no dates were given for future shows. E-mails that I sent went un-answered. Today, after several months of not visiting the Peter Trapp web site, I redirected to a search engine when I entered the URL.

The quality of the shows had fallen off the last time I went to one, which was over a year ago. I have to say the same about hamfests, but they are still around, and will be for some time. I noticed, too, that vendors seemed to be more aggressive towards people who just want to peruse the wares that wear offered for sale. You'd think people would learn that it's a turn-off when you have someone gets in your face and gets too damn pushy when trying to make a sale.

As far as the shows themselves, it's good to see that the online world presented a form of competition to Peter Trapp that he couldn't bully into submission. Good riddance...

1997. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 18:47:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128106079.328092.35430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119823

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > >
> > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > crap
> >
> > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > But you did that from your first post.

How much do you use your brain, you drunk faggot?

> not at all

We can see that.

> you just show yourself

No, you illiterate faggot, it means you are so uneducated you can
barely communicate and your posts have reflected that. I show myself to
be literate, how did you ever read and understand the ham exam
questions for the test to get your license, with your obvious
substandard reading, writing and comprehension skills?

> >
> > > cuting sexual ref
> >
> > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
>
> Not at all (crying whining and backpedaling)

Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.

> >
> > >
> > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> >
> > You are so goddamned stupid.
>
> More and more like stevie,

More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.

> claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> people you have never met

What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?

1998. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 18:48:06 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nl1rj1daflg9m5dnnjb4ogiruu4ukrim2m@4ax.com>
Source record: 119824
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:23:43 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>is adequate to the task
>Clearly, your need to embellish is not only unnecessary, it is also
>irrelevant.

Hi Matt,

Yet another prejudice I see. In the face of your wandering the metaphysical landscape of Why? and finding nothing suitable to alight on, the need for embellishment seems to be your patent. That you find the discussion irrelevant is yet more evidence of the Nihilistic propensity to skepticism and denial.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

1999. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-30 19:09:56 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <84g%e.3872$KQ5.520@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119825
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kashe@sonic.net wrote:
> On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 02:54:34 GMT, "Tom Donaly"
> <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>
>>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>
>>>>Tom Donaly wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
>>>
>>>
>>> They are not.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
>>>
>>>
>>> Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
>>>can name the logical fallacy you just committed.
>>
>>Some of the lower forms of American Christianity try to separate faith
>>and works. That allows them salvation without substance, the lazy man's
>>path to life without end.
>
>
> in your narrow view.
>
>
>> My last two sentences are statements of truth
>
>
> "I fear the man who has all the answers." -- Father James
> Marien, S.J. -- 1961 -- in my presence.
>
>
>>, not a logical
>>progression.
>
>

> As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.

In other words, you made the connection up in your head. Typical.

>
>
>
>>Each has to be taken alone, by itself. (A religious
>>fundamentalist insisting on logical consistency constitutes a
>>pretty good joke.) Let me repeat: Those who do no good have no
>>faith.
>
>
> Repitition does not include leaving off the first half of the
> line you wrote. In a humorous logic treatise, your statement would be
> named "The Proof from Blatant Assertion".
>
>
>>Next time you and your friends are sitting around speaking
>>in tongues, you should discuss this. Maybe, if you all think real
>>hard, together, you can puzzle out what it means.
>
>
>
>>73,
>>Tom Donaly, KA6RUH
>
>

Unable to address the truth of what I wrote, you fantasize an argument
out of your sophomoric command of medieval logic. That, too, is typical,
if somewhat pathetic, since your religion is based on unquestioning
belief, superstition, and a fundamental opposition to science and
inquiring thought. Give it up. No brain can justify the brainless.
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

2000. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 00:27:57 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hKk%e.16396$Xl2.10425@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119826
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:0h0rj1ho78qtofjckgk0nrrqu4mgn8di5k@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 22:08:49 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>>is adequate to the task
>
> Clearly, your need to embellish is not only unnecessary, it is also
> irrelevant.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

2001. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 00:28:26 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KKk%e.16397$Xl2.11961@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119827
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tom Donaly" <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:84g%e.3872$KQ5.520@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>> On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 02:54:34 GMT, "Tom Donaly"
>> <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>Tom Donaly wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably
>>>>>>>intertwined.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> They are not.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no
>>>>>>faith.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
>>>>can name the logical fallacy you just committed.
>>>
>>>Some of the lower forms of American Christianity try to separate faith
>>>and works. That allows them salvation without substance, the lazy man's
>>>path to life without end.
>>
>>
>> in your narrow view.
>>
>>
>>> My last two sentences are statements of truth
>>
>>
>> "I fear the man who has all the answers." -- Father James
>> Marien, S.J. -- 1961 -- in my presence.
>>
>>

>>>, not a logical progression.
>>
>>
>> As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.
>
> In other words, you made the connection up in your head. Typical.
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>>>Each has to be taken alone, by itself. (A religious
>>>fundamentalist insisting on logical consistency constitutes a
>>>pretty good joke.) Let me repeat: Those who do no good have no
>>>faith.
>>
>>
>> Repitition does not include leaving off the first half of the
>> line you wrote. In a humorous logic treatise, your statement would be
>> named "The Proof from Blatant Assertion".
>>
>>
>>>Next time you and your friends are sitting around speaking
>>>in tongues, you should discuss this. Maybe, if you all think real
>>>hard, together, you can puzzle out what it means.
>>
>>
>>
>>>73,
>>>Tom Donaly, KA6RUH
>>
>
> Unable to address the truth of what I wrote, you fantasize an argument
> out of your sophomoric command of medieval logic. That, too, is typical,
> if somewhat pathetic, since your religion is based on unquestioning
> belief, superstition, and a fundamental opposition to science and
> inquiring thought. Give it up. No brain can justify the brainless.
> 73,
> Tom Donaly, KA6RUH
>

2002. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 00:29:42 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11jrm3tb4g04cc6@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 119828
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt..thx for turning me on to Spinoza....

--

Charlie

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:d0krj158a9aifpk3s92eovrr0nupp0mo1u@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:48:06 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:23:43 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>>>is adequate to the task
>>>Clearly, your need to embellish is not only unnecessary, it is also
>>>irrelevant.
>>
>>Hi Matt,
>>
>>Yet another prejudice I see. In the face of your wandering the
>>metaphysical landscape of Why? and finding nothing suitable to alight
>>on, the need for embellishment seems to be your patent. That you find
>>the discussion irrelevant is yet more evidence of the Nihilistic
>>propensity to skepticism and denial.
>>
> Simply avoiding the question? Your blindness hinders me not.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

2003. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 00:30:04 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gMk%e.16398$Xl2.7623@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119829
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your own stupidity is hindering you. Take it to e-mail, moron.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:d0krj158a9aifpk3s92eovrr0nupp0mo1u@4ax.com...
>
> Simply avoiding the question? Your blindness hinders me not.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

2004. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 00:30:19 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vMk%e.16399$Xl2.6284@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119830
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Charlie" <charlie@play.com> wrote in message
news:11jrm3tb4g04cc6@news.supernews.com...
> Matt..thx for turning me on to Spinoza....
>
> --
>
> Charlie
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> <Matt Osborn> wrote in message
> news:d0krj158a9aifpk3s92eovrr0nupp0mo1u@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 11:48:06 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:23:43 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>>>is adequate to the task
>>>>Clearly, your need to embellish is not only unnecessary, it is also
>>>>irrelevant.
>>>
>>>Hi Matt,
>>>
>>>Yet another prejudice I see. In the face of your wandering the
>>>metaphysical landscape of Why? and finding nothing suitable to alight
>>>on, the need for embellishment seems to be your patent. That you find
>>>the discussion irrelevant is yet more evidence of the Nihilistic
>>>propensity to skepticism and denial.
>>>
>> Simply avoiding the question? Your blindness hinders me not.
>>
>>
>> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com
>
>

2005. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 00:31:42 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ONk%e.16400$Xl2.4139@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119831
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:nl1rj1daflg9m5dnnjb4ogiruu4ukrim2m@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:23:43 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>>is adequate to the task
>>Clearly, your need to embellish is not only unnecessary, it is also
>>irrelevant.
>
> Hi Matt,
>
> Yet another prejudice I see. In the face of your wandering the
> metaphysical landscape of Why? and finding nothing suitable to alight
> on, the need for embellishment seems to be your patent. That you find
> the discussion irrelevant is yet more evidence of the Nihilistic
> propensity to skepticism and denial.
>
> 73's
> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2006. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 00:58:47 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gumrj11qu1vef9k8tl6ei2jgf9ut45q188@4ax.com>
Source record: 119832
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 18:54:25 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Simply avoiding the question? Your blindness hinders me not.

Hi Matt,

The question?

"What is 6 times 7?"

Any question is certainly not on your horizon for discussion. Its
nebulous generality is not an invitation to discuss, it is a prelude
to a projected negative assertion made in terms of frustration.

If you are not hindered, you could have been more explicit. This
should be quite apparent.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2007. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 01:38:17 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433de88a$0$32196$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119833
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:

> The question?
>
> "What is 6 times 7?"
>

The question, quoted from the book, is "What do you get when you
multiply six by nine?". And the answer is still 42. Which is obvious.

tom
K0TAR

2008. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 01:53:58 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433dec37$0$32199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119834
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 00:30:04 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
> <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>> Your own stupidity is hindering you. Take it to e-mail, moron.
>
> Nice vocabulary. Need help using your newsreader?
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Well, he could have it wrong, it may not be stupidity, but it certainly
is superstition.

tom
K0TAR

2009. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 02:00:45 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433dedcd$0$32199$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119835
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>Any question is certainly not on your horizon for discussion. Its
>>nebulous generality is not an invitation to discuss, it is a prelude
>>to a projected negative assertion made in terms of frustration.
>>
>>If you are not hindered, you could have been more explicit. This
>>should be quite apparent.
>
>
> See ya!
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Well, his BS finally ran out.

tom
K0TAR

2010. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 02:31:26 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2ym%e.16419$Xl2.3609@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119836
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not as much as you need to figure out what a ham radio is what vhf-uhf
is about.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:oaqrj15639dvdmo7mlvkesl9eiqotmvtk1@4ax.com...
>
> Nice vocabulary. Need help using your newsreader?
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

2011. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 03:03:46 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <suurj1h3ujba786avkcdjt7phgda1k2be3@4ax.com>
Source record: 119837
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 20:38:17 -0500, Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
wrote:
>The question, quoted from the book, is "What do you get when you
>multiply six by nine?". And the answer is still 42. Which is obvious.

Hi Tom,

Well, ya got me there. Trying too hard to lighten up Matt's bleak
outlook on life when I missed the curve there. ;-)

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2012. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 03:08:11 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433dfd9b$0$32193$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119838
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:

> On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 20:38:17 -0500, Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
> wrote:
>
>>The question, quoted from the book, is "What do you get when you
>>multiply six by nine?". And the answer is still 42. Which is obvious.
>
>
> Hi Tom,
>
> Well, ya got me there. Trying too hard to lighten up Matt's bleak
> outlook on life when I missed the curve there. ;-)
>
> 73's
> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

Well, I'll Ill give you a hint, it's a math thing.

tom
K0TAR

2013. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 03:10:55 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433dfe3f$0$32193$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119839
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:

> Well, I'll Ill give you a hint, it's a math thing.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

Sorry, I stuttutterered there.

tom
K0TAR

2014. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 03:42:49 UTC
From: Oediv <NotLloyd@sesimerp.com>
Message-ID: <dhl0h3$9a3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male, Wogie?

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128039095.010441.84870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

N9OGL wrote:

> In what way?

You really are rather obtuse, aren't you, Toadie?

2015. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 03:51:39 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433e07cb$0$32196$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119841
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

>>>-- msosborn at msosborn dot com
>>
>>Well, he could have it wrong, it may not be stupidity, but it certainly
>>is superstition.
>
>
> I'm afraid I have no idea of what your point is.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Of course not, you aren't capable of it. That's the part that
superstition affects.

tom
K0TAR

2016. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 03:52:59 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433e081b$0$32196$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119842
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:

> Patience is too valuable to waste.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

Yet another null statement.

tom
K0TAR

2017. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 06:00:18 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aj6sj19niuv0rgmj65pcnsr6td8vbp47lq@4ax.com>
Source record: 119843
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 22:43:41 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>Patience is too valuable to waste.

Art of the classics speaks to the soul; without it, this muttering of
philosophy simply stirs the dust in the mind.
>> I saw, moreover, in my dream, that the Interpreter took him by the hand,
>>and had him into a little room, where sat two little children, each one in his chair.
>>The name of the eldest was Passion, and the name of the other Patience.
>>Passion seemed to be much discontented; but Patience was very quiet.
>>Then Christian asked, What is the reason of the discontent of Passion?
>>The Interpreter answered, The Governor of them would have him stay for
>>his best things till the beginning of the next year; but he will have all now:
>>but Patience is willing to wait.

>> Then I saw that one came to Passion, and brought him a bag of treasure,
>>and poured it down at his feet, the which he took up and rejoiced therein,
>>and withal laughed Patience to scorn. But I beheld but a while, and he had
>>lavished all away, and had nothing left him but rags.

>> Then said Christian to the Interpreter, Expound this matter more fully to me.

>> So he said, These two lads are figures:
>>Passion, of the men of this world; and Patience, of the men of that which is to come;
>>for as here thou seest, Passion will have all now this year, that is to say, in this
world;
>>so are the men of this world, they must have all their good things now,
>>they cannot stay till next year, that is until the next world, for their portion of good.
>>That proverb, `A bird in the hand is worth two in the bush', is of more authority with
them
>>than are all the Divine testimonies of the good of the world to come.
>>But as thou sawest that he had quickly lavished all away, and had presently left him
>>nothing but rags; so will it be with all such men at the end of this world.

>> Then said Christian, Now I see that Patience has the best wisdom,
>>and that upon many accounts. First, because he stays for the best things.
>>Second, and also because he will have the glory of his, when the other has nothing but
rags.

>> Nay, you may add another, to wit, the glory of the next world
>>will never wear out; but these are suddenly gone. Therefore Passion had
>>not so much reason to laugh at Patience, because he had his good things first,
>>as Patience will have to laugh at Passion, because he had his best things last;
>>for first must give place to last, because last must have his time to come;

>>but last gives place to nothing; for there is not another to succeed.
>>He, therefore, that hath his portion first, must needs have a time to spend it;
>>but he that hath his portion last, must have it lastingly; therefore it is said of Dives,
>>"Thou in thy life-time receivedst thy good things, and likewise Lazarus evil things;
>>but now he is comforted, and thou art tormented." [Luke 16:25]

The parable is thus of the passion of the administration laughing at
the world as they glory over it. The plight of New Orleans reveals
their moral poverty in that all towns are New Orleans in their eyes.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2018. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 11:14:52 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Mcu%e.16434$Xl2.1264@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119844
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I doubt that you know at least as much as I do, because you would realize
that your posts are completely off-topic for all of the groups that you
insist on posting your religion/atheist arguments.
It may have kept to a single thread, but I would disagree with you about it
swamping the newsgroups, since it your posts are almost two to three times
the on-topic posts for these newsgroups.
I don't understand the point that you didn't start the thread, but that you
are still posting to it. If someone else starts a fight and you just keep
hitting back, does that mean you aren't fighting? Now, I understand your
ignorance and why your arguments are all skewed.
Take your discussion to e-mail. This thread started on-topic, but people
like you, who can't follow rules, have denigrated it to an off-topic
discussion.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:021sj1hb84shphia0dq78pl55r0q65sntl@4ax.com...
>
> I suppose I know at least as much as you do Pat, about hf, vhf & uhf.
> I can also identify a thread and I know how to avoid one.
>
> Look, I know this is off topic and that it is an imposition on others.
> So far, though, it has kept to a single thread and hasn't swamped the
> group. I didn't start the thread, but I did enjoy it (with a few
> exceptions).
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

2019. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 11:17:59 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Hfu%e.16435$Xl2.14286@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119845
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Along with a lot of other things. Too bad, your common sense is
lacking.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:7d1sj1ljfil7mk2a45g84havhdhtn0t32b@4ax.com...
>
> Patience is too valuable to waste.
>
>
> -- msosborn at msosborn dot com

2020. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 12:53:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128171198.100482.104260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> > kept the Morse Forever warriors going......
>
> Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen, craftsmen,
> and subcontractors?

You should advertise for this company in a more applicable group. This
group is for the express purpose of discussing amateur radio policy,
especially morse code policy. Thank you for your concern.

2021. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 13:42:19 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <7d3a5$433e926c$97d558d8$32550@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119847
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I bet you were a hall monitor in school...

It must be really boring to have a life that is only enriched by being a net
Nazi.

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Mcu%e.16434$Xl2.1264@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> I doubt that you know at least as much as I do, because you would realize
> that your posts are completely off-topic for all of the groups that you
> insist on posting your religion/atheist arguments.
> It may have kept to a single thread, but I would disagree with you about
it
> swamping the newsgroups, since it your posts are almost two to three times
> the on-topic posts for these newsgroups.
> I don't understand the point that you didn't start the thread, but that
you
> are still posting to it. If someone else starts a fight and you just keep
> hitting back, does that mean you aren't fighting? Now, I understand your
> ignorance and why your arguments are all skewed.
> Take your discussion to e-mail. This thread started on-topic, but people
> like you, who can't follow rules, have denigrated it to an off-topic
> discussion.

2022. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 15:21:47 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p8atj1d103ih9hsgduit9b03huha5c6kso@4ax.com>
Source record: 119848
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 09:21:31 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:

>The tale is of two sheep

Hi Matt,

Such problems.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2023. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 15:23:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128180208.204290.200210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oediv wrote:
> Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male, Wogie?

Are you still pursuing your dream of sucking Roger's dick, lisping
cocksucking faggot with aids?

> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128039095.010441.84870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > In what way?
>
> You really are rather obtuse, aren't you, Toadie?

2024. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 15:46:56 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Qby%e.16485$Xl2.3691@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119850
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You probably call the police a nazi, too, when they pull you over for speeding. How come everyone believes that they don't need to follow the rules and that when they have it pointed out to them that they are outside the rules, they are being "picked on." Is that what you were in school?

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:7d3a5$433e926c$97d558d8$32550@ALLTEL.NET...
>I bet you were a hall monitor in school...
>
> It must be really boring to have a life that is only enriched by being a
> net
> Nazi.

2025. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 15:47:58 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ocy%e.16486$Xl2.7097@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119851
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not enough sense to stay on-topic, maybe? What is with the argument that "I
didn't start it, but I sure the hell am going to finish it" attitude.

<Matt Osborn> wrote in message
news:4s6tj1lpqgae2070qihattvmnv8udk80vb@4ax.com...
> Thanks for joining the thread, Pat. It's infectious, isn't it?
>
> It would be helpful, at least to me, if you would describe what made
> you believe that I lack common sense? Perhaps, if you don't mind,
> you could expound a little on why you find common sense so valuable.

2026. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 16:17:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128183436.374618.184980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119852

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > >
> > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > crap
> > >
> > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > But you did that from your first post.
cuting sexual rant

> > you just show yourself
cuting sexual rant
> > >
> > > > cuting sexual ref
> > >
> > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> >
> > Not at all forgery removed
>
> Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.

none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
>
> > >
> > > >
> > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > >
> > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> >
> > More and more like stevie,
>
> More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.

certainly acting ole steve boy
>
> > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > people you have never met
>
> What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?

back to speeling cop

2027. Re: New License Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 16:19:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128183543.789671.173950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

clawason wrote:
> A Criminal Background Check and a Mental Health Check
> will be required in the future to obtain an amateur radio
> license.

but only on new licenses right?

after all if if many of the OT's were checked theyd have to turn in
their licenses

2028. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 17:46:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128188779.080063.313380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119854

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of September 30, 2005:

Novice - 27,651 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,678]
Technician - 271,748 (40.9%) [increase of 66,354)
Technician Plus - 46,248 (7.0%) [decrease of 82,612]
General - 135,902 (20.5%) [increase of 23,225]
Advanced - 75,111 (11.3%) [decrease of 24,671]
Extra - 107,139 (16.1%) [increase of 28,389]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,996 (47.9%) [decrease of 16,258]

Total all classes - 663,799 (decrease of 10,993)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2029. What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 18:20:03 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.

Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power I attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of my operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency! Yet to my surprise, I was able to consistently 'key-up' repeaters from Jacksonville to Palm Bay---approximately 2/3's the length of the state of Florida. And to consider, this is an amateur service simulated emergency test in Florida occurring only 10 months after the destruction of Charley, Frances, and Jeanne. It made me wonder just how interested Hams are in emergency communications. I did have a nice conversation with a fellow 100 miles away via his repeater.

With regard to the Alaskan Emergency Frequency of 5167.5 kHz. As the FCC recently granted amateurs five channels in the HF (60 meter) band: 5332, 5348, 5368, 5373, and 5405 kHz, it would seem to me that the ARRL would have taken an interest and evaluate those frequencies for channelized emergency operations. The frequencies between the 75 and 40 meters bands might offer propagation characteristics of both bands and make those channels particularly useful for short and long haul message handling.
Furthermore, the Alaskan Emergency Frequency channel might be added to the new assignment for emergency use in the lower 48. Ideally, the 60 meter amateur band might become an important part of an emergency response provided by the amateur service. But, I just don't see any interest by the ARRL and those frequencies, every time I check them, remain unused.
A citation from the ARRL's Petition for Rule Making to the FCC concluded, in part, "An Amateur Allocation in this band (60 meters) would improve the Amateur Service's already exemplary record of providing emergency
communications during natural disasters when even modern communications systems typically fail." Perhaps the ARRL may take a break from their publishing activities someday and investigate its possibilities.

Additionally, its odd about the amateur service that proclaims itself to be devoted to emergency service but dropped its distress frequencies. Until

the 1960's the ARRL had specific calling frequencies reserved for distress and safety in the HF bands for radiotelephone and radiotelegraphy. These frequencies were regularly printed in its journal 'QST'. I haven't found out why the ARRL dropped them. Its beyon my comprehension that a communications service, world wide in extent, that doesn't assign frequencies,
routinely monitored, for distress signaling and calling. Similarly, some years ago,
a manufacturer hardly wanted to discuss the necessary modifications of my transceiver so I could access the, FCC authorized, 5167.5 kHz amateur service Alaskan Emergency Frequency. It was only through the force of my professional credentials did they finally agree and sent me the service note I required. I like to point out that until recently, as far as I know, Yaesu, (FT-857 and FT-817 for example) is the first amateur equipment manufacturer to provide amateur equipment capable of accessing the Alaskan Emergency Frequency without equipment modification. If the amateur service is so concerned with emergency communications why did it take so long for this to occur?

After nearly forty years as an amateur radio operator, these are some of the issues that make me reconsider the utility of the amateur service as an emergency communications provider . Although the amateur service has played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the past, the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership, the amateur service can regain its former stature.

I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and Jeanne last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their ass and come up with a serious emergency communications plan. I suspect that the ARRL is a 'has been' organization and a new vision for amateur radio (emergency communications) needs to take their place.

2030. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 19:11:06 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <poadnXl3Xo_KQqPenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@crocker.com>
Source record: 119856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power
> I

ok, so you don't know about the modern field day. today field day is not
about emergency communications, its a time to get out in the woods, drink
some beers, tell war stories, and maybe make some contacts... since vhf
isn't a place to make lots of contacts most field day operations are on hf.

>
> As the FCC
> recently granted amateurs five channels in the HF (60 meter) band: 5332,
> 5348, 5368, 5373, and 5405 kHz
> every time I check them, remain unused.

ok, so you aren't checking them at the right time. they are used, though
lightly since many radios need modifications, lots of people don't have
antennas for them, and the channelized requirements are rather odd so lots
of people aren't really interested in them... especially since there are no
operating events, contests, awards, or other reason to be there if you
aren't interested in propagation exploration.

> A citation from the ARRL's Petition for Rule Making to the FCC
> concluded, in part, "An Amateur Allocation in this band (60 meters) would
> improve the Amateur Service's already exemplary record of providing
> emergency

yeah, yeah, what else did you expect them to say? thats probably
boilerplate they put on every memo they send to washington or gettysburg.

> Additionally, its odd about the amateur service that proclaims itself to
> be
> devoted to emergency service but dropped its distress frequencies. Until

this might be nice to have, but then again there are so many frequencies
claimed by so many different nets and organizations that finding some place

to do this would be a real pain. then it would end up being a constant battle between those who want to keep it clear and those who want to use any clear frequency they can find. emergency frequencies are easily proclaimed for short periods by the fcc and as seen in recent hurricane operations they are relatively well respected world wide and very well utilized.

> out why the ARRL dropped them. Its beyon my comprehension that a
> communications service, world wide in extent, that doesn't assign
> frequencies,

the arrl is not a communications service. they are a membership body, if the members aren't interested it won't get done. world wide allocation of frequencies would have to be done via the iaru and then would only have the force of a recommendation on each of the member countries since each one has its own regulatory body like the fcc who may or maynot add the force of law to such recommendations.

> transceiver so I could access the, FCC authorized, 5167.5 kHz amateur
> service Alaskan Emergency Frequency. It was only through the force of my

i thought you were in florida? anyway, mods for most radios can be found easily on-line these days. and for radios like the ft-1000mp its just a matter of pressing a few secret button combinations and you can transmit anywhere, right out of the box you could receive anywhere anyway so you could monitor those frequencies.

> Although the amateur service has
> played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the
> past,
> the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to
> a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership,
> the amateur service can regain its former stature.

then why are they now recruiting for relief operators to go in to the katrina and rita areas to continue operating with fema and other agencies? if we were of so little use why do they still want our help? and apparently will want it for a while yet in just that area??

>
> I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and
> Jeanne
> last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their
> ass
> and come up with a serious emergency communications plan.

have you talked to your section emergency coordinator recently, that is the starting place? he/she should be able to provide you with the local plans and links to training courses and all the other stuff you want to know.

2031. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 19:44:17 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.10.01.19.44.14.225730@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 119857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 18:20:03 +0000, TOM wrote:

> I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power I
> attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of my
> operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout
> the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!

Well FM on 2, 6 or 10m is not used for Field Day, I don't know why but its
not,

However if you had gone to 2M SSB you would of had better luck.
In North Texas we usually work just over 100 stations on 2 quite a few more on 6m.
In fact 6m was open most of field day and we worked most of the USA that
day.

Over all the years going to field day I can only remember working 1
station on 146.52 on field day and that was a accident as we were using it
as a local calling channel and happened to hear another Field Day group
doing the same thing some 300 miles away.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2032. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:03:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MYSdnVGhLKE-dqPeRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119858
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pat Stevens wrote:
> "Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:nl1rj1daflg9m5dnnjb4ogiruu4ukrim2m@4ax.com...
>
>>On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 13:23:43 -0500, Matt Osborn <> wrote:
>>
>>>>is adequate to the task
>>>
>>>Clearly, your need to embellish is not only unnecessary, it is also
>>>irrelevant.
>>
>>Hi Matt,
>>
>>Yet another prejudice I see. In the face of your wandering the
>>metaphysical landscape of Why? and finding nothing suitable to alight
>>on, the need for embellishment seems to be your patent. That you find
>>the discussion irrelevant is yet more evidence of the Nihilistic
>>propensity to skepticism and denial.
>>
>>73's
>>Richard Clark, KB7QHC

Ahh Pat, so you are engaging in the ever clever tactic of replying to posts without adding anything to them.

Which indicates to me that you are more interested in getting rid of postings that irritate you, as opposed to any real desire to keep the newsgroup on topic.

Your tactic is seen on the bands where people record and play back other hams transmissions. I guess it is a particularly unimaginative form of Jamming.

Are you a Jammer, Pat?

2033. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:06:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MYSdnVChLKGtcaPeRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119859
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Ring wrote:
> Richard Clark wrote:
>
>> The question?
>> "What is 6 times 7?"
>>
>
> The question, quoted from the book, is "What do you get when you
> multiply six by nine?". And the answer is still 42. Which is obvious.

But pi is exactly 3 in some circles.......

While we are busy putting "intelligent design" into schools, I suggest
we start putting "faith based mathematics" in next.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2034. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:08:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MYSdnVOhLKEzcaPeRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119860
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Osborn wrote:
> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 00:30:04 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
> <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>> Your own stupidity is hindering you. Take it to e-mail, moron.
>>
>><Matt Osborn> wrote in message
>>news:d0krj158a9aifpk3s92eovrr0nupp0mo1u@4ax.com...
>>
>>>Simply avoiding the question? Your blindness hinders me not.
>>>
>>>
>>>-- msosborn at msosborn dot com
>
>
> Nice vocabulary. Need help using your newsreader?

Pat doesn't like us talking about this, Matt. Seems like everyone is
having a good time, and the posts are pretty civil. I guess some people
like to control others, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2035. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:09:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MYSdnVKhLKFBcaPeRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119861
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pat Stevens wrote:
> Not as much as you need to figure out what a ham radio is what vhf-uhf
> is about.

Some people think it is about jamming....

> <Matt Osborn> wrote in message
> news:oaqrj15639dvdmo7mlvkesl9eiqotmvtk1@4ax.com...
>
>>Nice vocabulary. Need help using your newsreader?
>>
>>
>>-- msosborn at msosborn dot com
>
>
>

2036. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:12:08 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <s4C%e.98782$qY1.66615@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:poadnXI3Xo_KQqPenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@crocker.com...
>
> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> > Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
> >
> > Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by
three
> > hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two
meter
> > transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test)
in
> > June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty
feet
> > and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> > 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output
power
> > I
>
> ok, so you don't know about the modern field day. today field day is not
> about emergency communications, its a time to get out in the woods, drink
> some beers, tell war stories, and maybe make some contacts... since vhf
> isn't a place to make lots of contacts most field day operations are on
hf.

yea... your are right---poor leadership and vision. The simulated emergency
is not a simulated emergency test but more of a sales opportunity for ARRL
pins and tee-shirts. HOW ABOUT AN FD IN WINTER?

>
> >
> > As the FCC
> > recently granted amateurs five channels in the HF (60 meter) band: 5332,
> > 5348, 5368, 5373, and 5405 kHz
> > every time I check them, remain unused.
>
> ok, so you aren't checking them at the right time. they are used, though
> lightly since many radios need modifications, lots of people don't have
> antennas for them, and the channelized requirements are rather odd so lots
> of people aren't really interested in them... especially since there are
no
> operating events, contests, awards, or other reason to be there if you

> aren't interested in propagation exploration.
>
> > A citation from the ARRL's Petition for Rule Making to the FCC
> > concluded, in part, "An Amateur Allocation in this band (60 meters)
would
> > improve the Amateur Service's already exemplary record of providing
> > emergency
>
> yeah, yeah, what else did you expect them to say? thats probably
> boilerplate they put on every memo they send to washington or gettysburg.

Yea... that's why I provided the cite

>
> > Additionally, its odd about the amateur service that proclaims itself to
> > be
> > devoted to emergency service but dropped its distress frequencies.
Until
>
> this might be nice to have, but then again there are so many frequencies
> claimed by so many different nets and organizations that finding some
place
> to do this would be a real pain. then it would end up being a constant
> battle between those who want to keep it clear and those who want to use
any
> clear frequency they can find. emergency frequencies are easily
proclaimed
> for short periods by the fcc and as seen in recent hurricane operations
they
> are relatively well respected world wide and very well utilized.

yea... a screwed up mess---amateur radio. If I needed to pass distress I'd
go military/commercial instead of trying to convince a bunch of knob
twisters that I am legit traffic.

>
> > out why the ARRL dropped them. Its beyon my comprehension that a
> > communications service, world wide in extent, that doesn't assign
> > frequencies,
>
> the arrl is not a communications service. they are a membership body, if
> the members aren't interested it won't get done. world wide allocation of
> frequencies would have to be done via the iaru and then would only have
the
> force of a recommendation on each of the member countries since each one
has
> its own regulatory body like the fcc who may or maynot add the force of
law
> to such recommendations.

yea... how many decades of proclaimed emergency service---CFR Title 47,
Part 97 Amateur Radio Service

>
> > transceiver so I could access the, FCC authorized, 5167.5 kHz amateur
> > service Alaskan Emergency Frequency. It was only through the force of
my
>
> i thought you were in florida? anyway, mods for most radios can be found
> easily on-line these days. and for radios like the ft-1000mp its just a
> matter of pressing a few secret button combinations and you can transmit
> anywhere, right out of the box you could receive anywhere anyway so you
> could monitor those frequencies.

yea... besides hobby lobby radio I worked global communications for numerous
military/commercial telecommunications circuits at locations thoughout the
world. Yea... no internet back then, picked up new rig and headed
overseas---needed info not BS.

>
> > Although the amateur service has
> > played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the
> > past,
> > the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to
> > a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership,
> > the amateur service can regain its former stature.
>
> then why are they now recruiting for relief operators to go in to the
> katrina and rita areas to continue operating with fema and other agencies?
> if we were of so little use why do they still want our help? and
apparently
> will want it for a while yet in just that area??

yea... last I heard FEMA was phasing them out and besides, what took the
ARRL so long to respond (many comments). Furthermore, what was the state of
affairs of comms in NO---hams said practically everything was down.

>
>
> >
> > I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and
> > Jeanne
> > last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their
> > ass
> > and come up with a serious emergency communications plan.
>
> have you talked to your section emergency coordinator recently, that is
the
> starting place? he/she should be able to provide you with the local
plans
> and links to training courses and all the other stuff you want to know.

I'm trying to raise and interest outside of 'normal' channels---SEC's
haven't shown any initiative---can't get out of their wheelchairs.

Isn't this a poor way of responding to a post? Thanks Dave for your comments though.

2037. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:12:09 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <t4C%e.98783$qY1.42439@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.10.01.19.44.14.225730@dodgeit.com...
> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 18:20:03 +0000, TOM wrote:
>
> > I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> > Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
> >
> > Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by
three
> > hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two
meter
> > transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test)
in
> > June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty
feet
> > and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> > 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output
power I
> > attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent
of my
> > operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> > contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls
throughout
> > the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> > operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!
>
> Well FM on 2, 6 or 10m is not used for Field Day, I don't know why but its
> not,
>
> However if you had gone to 2M SSB you would of had better luck.
> In North Texas we usually work just over 100 stations on 2 quite a few
more on 6m.
> In fact 6m was open most of field day and we worked most of the USA that
> day.
>
> Over all the years going to field day I can only remember working 1
> station on 146.52 on field day and that was a accident as we were using it
> as a local calling channel and happened to hear another Field Day group
> doing the same thing some 300 miles away.
>
>
> --
> Korbin Dallas

> The name was changed to protect the guilty.

Howdy Korbin:

Back decades ago it was not uncommon to operate FD on 2 meters---only simplex exchanges are allowed (we let the novice operators use the club call). Of course, back in the 1970's and 80's there was much more 2 meter activity than now.

I couldn't think of a better frequency that the 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' to make my FD calls on. As you suggest, 2 meter SSB might have proven better but I was interested in common FM (base/portable/mobile) operation.

From the ARRL website:

[snip]
Field Day 2005 Rules

2. Object: To work as many stations as possible on any and all amateur bands (excluding the 60, 30, 17, and 12-meter bands) and in doing so to learn to operate in abnormal situations in less than optimal conditions. A premium is placed on developing skills to meet the challenges of emergency preparedness as well as to acquaint the general public with the capabilities of Amateur Radio.
[snip]

2038. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:21:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ddC%e.8466$cg.5562@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 119864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128171198.100482.104260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> > kept the Morse Forever warriors going.....
>
> Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen,
craftsmen,
> and subcontractors?

You should advertise for this company in a more applicable group. This
group is for the express purpose of discussing amateur radio policy,
especially morse code policy. Thank you for your concern.
******** AA2QA's reply seperator *********
What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
few skills.

These are usually folks that have a genuine interest in how things work, not
how to turn a knob or push a button or keys. This isn't to say that folks
that simply wish to talk are not welcome; they certainly are.

Although I do not possess a college degree, I am a certified electronics
technician. I have repaired two-way radios (business and trunking radios).
I have done a lot of electrical control and power wiring. I have not worked
in high voltage, but have done a fair amount of 277/480 3 phase work. I've
climbed atop silos and repaired bag houses. Welded, soldered, cut, run
milling machines, surface grinders, lathes, and more. If a saws-all can't
do the job readily, the oxy-acetylene tourch will handle it well for me
(hmmmm ... wonder how that would solder pl-259s? LOL). Done EMC compliance
studies along with UL compliance. Come to think of it, ozone compliance.
Can you spell exponential decay? Come to think of it, I've programmed
slc-500s, Texas Instruments PLCs, Modicon PLCs, and more (including data
highways and ethernet). Even written a program to generate ladders from
simply inputting I/O assignments and letting the program know what I want to
have happen. Under 15 minutes to properly program 3 cells. Another 5
minutes to debug because someone wired a switch backwards (normally closed
rather than normally open).

The nice thing about amateur radio is that it encompasses a whole spectrum
of individuals, unlike most trade magazines. To me, advertising in an
amateur publication such as QST would make a lot of sense, especially if you

are trying to locate a number of different skills (rather than a number of ads in different magazines or newspapers).

As to Morse, it can be fun. If we had difficulty with it back when (for me, 1962), we learned to overcome that difficulty (not a bad thing to learn, in my humble opinion). Come to think of it, as much grief as it gave me (when memorizing dots and dashes), once I learned it by sound, I enjoyed it and by 1967 had perfect copy at 40 words per minute in the U.S. Navy. More than 40? I don't know; that was the fastest test they had back then. I would have had difficulty much beyond that as we were banging away with manual typewriters then. I might have (possibly) made 50 at most. Disclaimer - that would be perfect typewritten copy filling close to a whole page of paper. A few errors would have allowed me considerably faster copy. Then. (LOL)

I'd suggest rethinking your position.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2039. Re: New License Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:26:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <fiC%e.8467$cg.981@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 119865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128183543.789671.173950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> clawason wrote:
> > A Criminal Background Check and a Mental Health Check
> > will be required in the future to obtain an amateur radio
> > license.
>
> but only on new licenses right?
>
> after all if if many of the OT's were checked theyd have to turn in
> their licenses
>

Come on! My background check turned up perfectly clean. A drivers license check on me also turned up perfectly clean. And I'm 58! And managed to get a decent job that pays considerably better than Kodak did.

Just don't ask for a sanity test or I just might be toast! :)))

Then again, perhaps that is why I was hired; I was as crazy as the rest of the gang at Sentry Safe LOL.

I do have to admit that after 5 weeks, it is a great company to be working for. A lot of crazies, but nice crazies LOL

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2040. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 20:54:38 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dhttj1d92o8qhv2m93njjuhvefdbg52tr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 119866
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 16:06:08 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> But pi is exactly 3 in some circles.......
>
> While we are busy putting "intelligent design" into schools, I suggest
>we start putting "faith based mathematics" in next.

Hi Mike,

If I recall correctly, the forebears of the untelligent design crowd,
during the scopes monkey trial, attempted to mandate that pi was equal
to 22/7ths. However, I don't see where faith attaches to 22 and 7 was
always a cabalistic device of the middle ages.

Close enough for as many as 3 would be.

I would hate to drive on their 13/14/15 inch tires tho'. ;-)

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2041. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 21:02:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <U9idnUzhvvLnZKPeRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM wrote:
> I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power I
> attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of my
> operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout
> the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency! Yet
> to my surprise, I was able to consistently 'key-up' repeaters from
> Jacksonville to Palm Bay---approximately 2/3's the length of the state of
> Florida. And to consider, this is an amateur service simulated emergency
> test in Florida occurring only 10 months after the destruction of Charley,
> Frances, and Jeanne. It made me wonder just how interested Hams are in
> emergency communications. I did have a nice conversation with a fellow 100
> miles away via his repeater.

How many hams do you think are going to be on two meters?

Knowing the propagation characteristics of two meters, do you
extrapolate how many hams are on two meters across the nation?

hint: there *are* some, but not very many. If you think about it a bit,
you will come up with the reasons why.

> With regard to the Alaskan Emergency Frequency of 5167.5 kHz. As the FCC
> recently granted amateurs five channels in the HF (60 meter) band: 5332,
> 5348, 5368, 5373, and 5405 kHz, it would seem to me that the ARRL would have
> taken an interest and evaluate those frequencies for channelized emergency
> operations. The frequencies between the 75 and 40 meters bands might offer
> propagation characteristics of both bands and make those channels
> particularly useful for short and long haul message handling.
> Furthermore, the Alaskan Emergency Frequency channel might be added to the
> new assignment for emergency use in the lower 48. Ideally, the 60 meter
> amateur band might become an important part of an emergency response
> provided by the amateur service. But, I just don't see any interest by the
> ARRL and those frequencies, every time I check them, remain unused.
> A citation from the ARRL's Petition for Rule Making to the FCC

> concluded, in part, "An Amateur Allocation in this band (60 meters) would
> improve the Amateur Service's already exemplary record of providing
> emergency
> communications during natural disasters when even modern communications
> systems typically fail." Perhaps the ARRL may take a break from their
> publishing activities someday and investigate its possibilities.

Why the ARRL? Go straight to the FCC with your idea. They are the ones
who are calling the shots.

> Additionally, its odd about the amateur service that proclaims itself to be
> devoted to emergency service but dropped its distress frequencies. Until
> the 1960's the ARRL had specific calling frequencies reserved for distress
> and safety in the HF bands for radiotelephone and radiotelegraphy. These
> frequencies were regularly printed in its journal 'QST'. I haven't found
> out why the ARRL dropped them. Its beyon my comprehension that a
> communications service, world wide in extent, that doesn't assign
> frequencies,
> routinely monitored, for distress signaling and calling.

Whenever there is an emergency, frequencies *are* designated for
emergency handling net purposes.

And as for a full time designated frequency, it isn't needed. Is there
a good reason why a person should call on a frequency where no one might
be listening. If I have an emergency and need to get hold of someone,
I'm going to go to some frequency where I can hear someone already.

Of course, we *could* always use CB channel 9! ;^)

> Similarly, some
> years ago,
> a manufacturer hardly wanted to discuss the necessary modifications of my
> transceiver so I could access the, FCC authorized, 5167.5 kHz amateur
> service Alaskan Emergency Frequency. It was only through the force of my
> professional credentials did they finally agree and sent me the service note
> I required. I like to point out that until recently, as far as I know,
> Yaesu, (FT-857 and FT-817 for example) is the first amateur equipment
> manufacturer to provide amateur equipment capable of accessing the Alaskan
> Emergency Frequency without equipment modification. If the amateur service
> is so concerned with emergency communications why did it take so long for
> this to occur?
>
> After nearly forty years as an amateur radio operator, these are some of the
> issues that make me reconsider the utility of the amateur service as an
> emergency communications provider . Although the amateur service has
> played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the past,
> the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to
> a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership,
> the amateur service can regain its former stature.
>
> I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and Jeanne

> last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their ass
> and come up with a serious emergency communications plan. I suspect that
> the ARRL is a 'has been' organization and a new vision for amateur radio
> (emergency communications) needs to take their place.

I don't think it works that way any more. Volunteer amateurs were kept
out of the affected areas, at least for Katrina. In the system as it is
set up now, we aren't anywhere near calling the shots. Unfortunately
those who have been are perhaps not doing a very good job themselves.

It ain't the ARRL's fault

- Mike KB3EIA -

2042. Beware N5ZTW will take your money and not ship!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 21:16:37 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@removebeforeflight.com>
Message-ID: <V0D%e.7693$q1.7217@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119868

On 9/18/05 I made a purchase from the website of N5ZTW, William D. Ellis of Dripping Springs, TX. As of today 10/1/05 I have not received the FT-847 Interface which I paid nearly $70 for. I have sent Mr. Ellis 3 emails, all went unanswered. Iï¿½ve made two phone calls to Mr. Ellisiï¿½ residence, no answers.

The only saving grace was that I paid with PayPal. A complaint has been filed with PayPal so I will be getting back my money after PayPalï¿½s 10 day investigation has completed.

Before making any purchase from Mr. Ellisiï¿½ website (N5ZTW) please use extreme caution.

Itï¿½s a shame that a fellow ham would take another hamï¿½s money and not ship, refund, or even communicate with his fellow ham.

George ï¿½ KI4FIA ï¿½ Daytona Beach

2043. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 21:19:14 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <UNSdnS4krPPCYKPeRVn-jw@crocker.com>
Source record: 119869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:s4C%e.98782$qY1.66615@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:poadnXI3Xo_KQqPenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@crocker.com...
>>
>> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
>> > Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>> >
>> > Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by
> three
>> > hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two
> meter
>> > transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test)
> in
>> > June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty
> feet
>> > and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
>> > 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output
> power
>> > I
>>
>> ok, so you don't know about the modern field day. today field day is not
>> about emergency communications, its a time to get out in the woods, drink
>> some beers, tell war stories, and maybe make some contacts... since vhf
>> isn't a place to make lots of contacts most field day operations are on
> hf.
>
> yea... your are right---poor leadership and vision. The simulated
> emergency
> is not a simulated emergency test but more of a sales opportunity for
> ARRL
> pins and tee-shirts. HOW ABOUT AN FD IN WINTER?
>
>>
>> >
>> > As the FCC
>> > recently granted amateurs five channels in the HF (60 meter) band:
>> > 5332,
>> > 5348, 5368, 5373, and 5405 kHz
>> > every time I check them, remain unused.
```

>>
>> ok, so you aren't checking them at the right time. they are used, though
>> lightly since many radios need modifications, lots of people don't have
>> antennas for them, and the channelized requirements are rather odd so
>> lots
>> of people aren't really interested in them... especially since there are
> no
>> operating events, contests, awards, or other reason to be there if you
>> aren't interested in propagation exploration.
>>
>> > A citation from the ARRL's Petition for Rule Making to the FCC
>> > concluded, in part, "An Amateur Allocation in this band (60 meters)
> would
>> > improve the Amateur Service's already exemplary record of providing
>> > emergency
>>
>> yeah, yeah, what else did you expect them to say? thats probably
>> boilerplate they put on every memo they send to washington or gettysburg.
>
> Yea... that's why I provided the cite
>
>>
>> > Additionally, its odd about the amateur service that proclaims itself
>> > to
>> > be
>> > devoted to emergency service but dropped its distress frequencies.
> Until
>>
>> this might be nice to have, but then again there are so many frequencies
>> claimed by so many different nets and organizations that finding some
> place
>> to do this would be a real pain. then it would end up being a constant
>> battle between those who want to keep it clear and those who want to use
> any
>> clear frequency they can find. emergency frequencies are easily
> proclaimed
>> for short periods by the fcc and as seen in recent hurricane operations
> they
>> are relatively well respected world wide and very well utilized.
>
> yea... a screwed up mess---amateur radio. If I needed to pass distress
> I'd
> go military/commercial instead of trying to convince a bunch of knob
> twisters that I am legit traffic.
>
>>
>> > out why the ARRL dropped them. Its beyon my comprehension that a
>> > communications service, world wide in extent, that doesn't assign
>> > frequencies,
>>

>> the arrl is not a communications service. they are a membership body, if
>> the members aren't interested it won't get done. world wide allocation
>> of
>> frequencies would have to be done via the iaru and then would only have
> the
>> force of a recommendation on each of the member countries since each one
> has
>> its own regulatory body like the fcc who may or maynot add the force of
> law
>> to such recommendations.
>
> yea... how many decades of proclaimed emergency service---CFR Title 47,
> Part 97 Amateur Radio Service
>
>>
>> > transceiver so I could access the, FCC authorized, 5167.5 kHz amateur
>> > service Alaskan Emergency Frequency. It was only through the force of
> my
>>
>> i thought you were in florida? anyway, mods for most radios can be found
>> easily on-line these days. and for radios like the ft-1000mp its just a
>> matter of pressing a few secret button combinations and you can transmit
>> anywhere, right out of the box you could receive anywhere anyway so you
>> could monitor those frequencies.
>
>
> yea... besides hobby lobby radio I worked global communications for
> numerous
> military/commercial telecommunications circuits at locations thoughout the
> world. Yea... no internet back then, picked up new rig and headed
> overseas---needed info not BS.
>
>>
>> > Although the amateur service has
>> > played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the
>> > past,
>> > the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to
>> > a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership,
>> > the amateur service can regain its former stature.
>>
>> then why are they now recruiting for relief operators to go in to the
>> katrina and rita areas to continue operating with fema and other
>> agencies?
>> if we were of so little use why do they still want our help? and
> apparently
>> will want it for a while yet in just that area??
>
> yea... last I heard FEMA was phasing them out and besides, what took the
> ARRL so long to respond (many comments). Furthermore, what was the state
> of

> affairs of comms in NO---hams said practically everything was down.
>
>>
>>
>> >
>> > I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and
>> > Jeanne
>> > last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off
>> > their
>> > ass
>> > and come up with a serious emergency communications plan.
>>
>> have you talked to your section emergency coordinator recently, that is
> the
>> starting place? he/she should be able to provide you with the local
> plans
>> and links to training courses and all the other stuff you want to know.
>
> I'm trying to raise and interest outside of 'normal' channels---SEC's
> haven't shown any initiative---can't get out of their wheelchairs.

there is nothing outside of 'normal' channels. and about all you are going to get for posting those comments in here are 2 things... more 'amen' messages from arm chair complainers like you, or 'get bent' messages from others. like it or not the arrl is as close are you are going to get to a nationwide organization that could do anything about what you are complaining about. if your sec can't do the job, then find their boss and convince them that you can do a better job... don't think their boss works, then try to take their job. you obviously have the experience, so get in there and do it.

>
>
> Isn't this a poor way of responding to a post? Thanks Dave for your
> comments though.
>
which other response would you like... a hollow 'amen' that does nothing, or a nasty 'get bent' that just stirs the pot with the same result?

2044. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 21:34:16 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0putj15v6a8qgiv6tmcrbsgi5oq96sr1sd@4ax.com>
Source record: 119871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 18:20:03 GMT, "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
>Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
>Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
>hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
>transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
>June.

Problem #1. The event in June (Field Day) is not a simutlated
emergency test. It is an emergency preparedness exercise. I believe
there are a couple of SETs (actual title) during the year, and at
least one is in the winter, if I recall.

>Operating on the 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz

Problem #2. I didn't check the FD rules specifically, but the rules
for ARRL contests generally (and don't think that FD isn't a contest)
prohibit contacts on the calling frequencies.

>From my numerous calls I was able to contact NO ONE! Not one person
>responded to my Field Day calls throughout the day and I heard NO ONE
>calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find operating Field Day on the two
>meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!

Based on my previous paragraph, I'm not at all surprised by that.

>Yet to my surprise, I was able to consistently 'key-up' repeaters from
>Jacksonville to Palm Bay---approximately 2/3's the length of the state of
>Florida.

Problem #3. Did you ID every time you kerchunked those repeaters?

>And to consider, this is an amateur service simulated emergency
>test in Florida occurring only 10 months after the destruction of Charley,
>Frances, and Jeanne.

Problem #1A. Field Day still isn't a simulated emergency test.

>It made me wonder just how interested Hams are in emergency communications.

Problem #4. I wonder how interested you are in emergency
communications. Why didn't you get together with any local groups and
participate in their Field Day operation? After all, if it was a
simulated emergency test, as you insist on calling it, and you are all
in a lather about hams' interest in emergency communications why

weren't you actively involved in it instead of kerchunking 2M
repeaters?

>I did have a nice conversation with a fellow 100 miles away via his repeater.

Instead of participating in the emergency preparedness exercise? Why
didn't you get on HF and work some of the tens of thousands of FD
staions that are on from Saturday through Sunday? If you think wide
area disasters such as hurricanes are going to be well served by 2M FM
communications through repeaters you are as naive as those who think
cell phones are going to be their salvation in the same event.

>Ideally, the 60 meter amateur band might become an important part of an
>emergency response provided by the amateur service. But, I just don't
>see any interest by the ARRL and those frequencies, every time I check
>them, remain unused.

What does the allocation say? I haven't looked at it, but when we got
the 10 MHz allocation several years ago it was on a secondary basis
with a fairly serious proscription regarding interference. That and
the narrow bandwidth of the allocation is why 10 MHz is excluded from
both contests and operating awards. Any chance 60M is similar? It
would seem so based on the FD rules segment you quoted: "2. Object: To
work as many stations as possible on any and all amateur bands
(excluding the 60, 30, 17, and 12-meter bands)..."

>After nearly forty years as an amateur radio operator, these are some of the
>issues that make me reconsider the utility of the amateur service as an
>emergency communications provider .

After more than forty years as an amateur radio operator, I see the
emphasis on emergency communications the one consistent thread from
the League all these years. In the local club I was involved with in
Illiniois for several years and the one local club here in Florida it
actually got tiresome as more and more of the 2M ops were more
interested in shiny badges and flashing lights than actual
communications preparedness.

>Although the amateur service has played a significant role in handling
>emergency communications in the past, the changing face of technology
>has relegated the amateur service to a position of little consequence---
>possibility, with better leadership, the amateur service can regain its former
>stature.

Leadership begins from within. Instead of kerchunking repeaters and
trying to make "contest" contacts on the calling frequency, you should
be participating in FD--if not with an organized group, then by
yourself, on HF, not whining on usenet three months after the event.

>I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and Jeanne
>last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their ass
>and come up with a serious emergency communications plan. I suspect that
>the ARRL is a 'has been' organization and a new vision for amateur radio

>(emergency communications) needs to take their place.

You said, "based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three hurricanes..." Did you get off your ass and provide any emergency communications assistance? Or did you spend your time kerchunking repeaters in 2/3 of the state?

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2045. Re: Beware N5ZTW will take your money and not ship!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 21:37:37 UTC
From: Hank <bb1@bbqhanksnc.org>
Message-ID: <dhmvfj$i68$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So your idea of dispute resolution is to whine on Usenet?

CAVEAT EMPTOR !

2046. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 22:00:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128204021.109074.67420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Jim Hampton wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128171198.100482.104260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> KØHB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> > > kept the Morse Forever warriors going......
> >
> > Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen,
> craftsmen,
> > and subcontractors?
>
> You should advertise for this company in a more applicable group. This
> group is for the express purpose of discussing amateur radio policy,
> especially morse code policy. Thank you for your concern.
> ******** AA2QA's reply seperator *********
> What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> few skills.

which is beside the point. This a radio newsgroup
>
```

2047. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 22:53:26 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <8b50$433f1398$97d558d8$27686@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119873
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nah, I used to beat the crap out of wooses like you and take their lunch
money. Enjoyed watching them cry.

If I were standing in front of you, you would be peeing your pants and
hollering "Mommy". Your type is only brave when behind a teacher or a
keyboard.

Get a life or get educated enough to use your newsreader correctly so you
may avoid apoplexy...

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Qby%e.16485$Xl2.3691@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> You probably call the police a nazi, too, when they pull you over for
> speeding. How come everyone believes that they don't need to follow the
> rules and that when they have it pointed out to them that they are outside
> the rules, they are being "picked on." Is that what you were in school?
>
> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:7d3a5$433e926c$97d558d8$32550@ALLTEL.NET...
> >I bet you were a hall monitor in school...
> >
> > It must be really boring to have a life that is only enriched by being a
> > net
> > Nazi.
>
>

2048. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 23:00:01 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <e7e7a$433f1523$97d558d8$28783@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119874
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard, if the same 3 were used to design the wheels as the tires, you would never know the difference. PI is really smart in that it knows about both Metric and Inches :>)

"Richard Clark" <kb7qhc@comcast.net> wrote in message news:dhttj1d92o8qhv2m93njjuhvefdbg52tr1@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 16:06:08 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> > But pi is exactly 3 in some circles.......
> >
> > While we are busy putting "intelligent design" into schools, I suggest
> >we start putting "faith based mathematics" in next.
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> If I recall correctly, the forebears of the untelligent design crowd,
> during the scopes monkey trial, attempted to mandate that pi was equal
> to 22/7ths. However, I don't see where faith attaches to 22 and 7 was
> always a cabalistic device of the middle ages.
>
> Close enough for as many as 3 would be.
>
> I would hate to drive on their 13/14/15 inch tires tho'. ;-)
>
> 73's
> Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2049. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 23:28:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vYE%e.7216$QE1.4982@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gee TOM....do you think you had problem on the "National Simplex Frequency"
of 146.52 because you are NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT for contesting?

Just a thought.

Dan/W4NTI

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power
> I
> attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of
> my
> operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout
> the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!
> Yet
> to my surprise, I was able to consistently 'key-up' repeaters from
> Jacksonville to Palm Bay---approximately 2/3's the length of the state of
> Florida. And to consider, this is an amateur service simulated emergency
> test in Florida occurring only 10 months after the destruction of Charley,
> Frances, and Jeanne. It made me wonder just how interested Hams are in
> emergency communications. I did have a nice conversation with a fellow
> 100
> miles away via his repeater.
>
> With regard to the Alaskan Emergency Frequency of 5167.5 kHz. As the FCC
> recently granted amateurs five channels in the HF (60 meter) band: 5332,
> 5348, 5368, 5373, and 5405 kHz, it would seem to me that the ARRL would
> have
> taken an interest and evaluate those frequencies for channelized emergency
> operations. The frequencies between the 75 and 40 meters bands might
> offer
> propagation characteristics of both bands and make those channels
> particularly useful for short and long haul message handling.

> Furthermore, the Alaskan Emergency Frequency channel might be added to the
> new assignment for emergency use in the lower 48. Ideally, the 60 meter
> amateur band might become an important part of an emergency response
> provided by the amateur service. But, I just don't see any interest by
> the
> ARRL and those frequencies, every time I check them, remain unused.
> A citation from the ARRL's Petition for Rule Making to the FCC
> concluded, in part, "An Amateur Allocation in this band (60 meters) would
> improve the Amateur Service's already exemplary record of providing
> emergency
> communications during natural disasters when even modern communications
> systems typically fail." Perhaps the ARRL may take a break from their
> publishing activities someday and investigate its possibilities.
>
> Additionally, its odd about the amateur service that proclaims itself to
> be
> devoted to emergency service but dropped its distress frequencies. Until
> the 1960's the ARRL had specific calling frequencies reserved for distress
> and safety in the HF bands for radiotelephone and radiotelegraphy. These
> frequencies were regularly printed in its journal 'QST'. I haven't found
> out why the ARRL dropped them. Its beyon my comprehension that a
> communications service, world wide in extent, that doesn't assign
> frequencies,
> routinely monitored, for distress signaling and calling. Similarly, some
> years ago,
> a manufacturer hardly wanted to discuss the necessary modifications of my
> transceiver so I could access the, FCC authorized, 5167.5 kHz amateur
> service Alaskan Emergency Frequency. It was only through the force of my
> professional credentials did they finally agree and sent me the service
> note
> I required. I like to point out that until recently, as far as I know,
> Yaesu, (FT-857 and FT-817 for example) is the first amateur equipment
> manufacturer to provide amateur equipment capable of accessing the Alaskan
> Emergency Frequency without equipment modification. If the amateur
> service
> is so concerned with emergency communications why did it take so long for
> this to occur?
>
> After nearly forty years as an amateur radio operator, these are some of
> the
> issues that make me reconsider the utility of the amateur service as an
> emergency communications provider . Although the amateur service has
> played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the
> past,
> the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to
> a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership,
> the amateur service can regain its former stature.
>
> I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and
> Jeanne

> last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their
> ass
> and come up with a serious emergency communications plan. I suspect that
> the ARRL is a 'has been' organization and a new vision for amateur radio
> (emergency communications) needs to take their place.
>
>
>
>
>

2050. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 23:36:25 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <W3F%e.16940$mH.16409@fed1read07>
Source record: 119876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Read the ARRL Field Day Rules at URL:
http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2005/rules-fd-2005.html

SEZ "9.3. Remember that the national simplex FM calling frequency of 146.52 MHz should not be used for making Field Day contacts."

We made lots of contacts on 2M SSB often 144.200 MHz

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power
> I
> attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of
> my
> operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout
> the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!
> Yet
> to my surprise, I was able to consistently 'key-up' repeaters from
> Jacksonville to Palm Bay---approximately 2/3's the length of the state of
> Florida. And to consider, this is an amateur service simulated emergency
> test in Florida occurring only 10 months after the destruction of Charley,
> Frances, and Jeanne. It made me wonder just how interested Hams are in
> emergency communications. I did have a nice conversation with a fellow
> 100
> miles away via his repeater.
>
> With regard to the Alaskan Emergency Frequency of 5167.5 kHz. As the FCC
> recently granted amateurs five channels in the HF (60 meter) band: 5332,
> 5348, 5368, 5373, and 5405 kHz, it would seem to me that the ARRL would
> have
> taken an interest and evaluate those frequencies for channelized emergency

> operations. The frequencies between the 75 and 40 meters bands might
> offer
> propagation characteristics of both bands and make those channels
> particularly useful for short and long haul message handling.
> Furthermore, the Alaskan Emergency Frequency channel might be added to the
> new assignment for emergency use in the lower 48. Ideally, the 60 meter
> amateur band might become an important part of an emergency response
> provided by the amateur service. But, I just don't see any interest by
> the
> ARRL and those frequencies, every time I check them, remain unused.
> A citation from the ARRL's Petition for Rule Making to the FCC
> concluded, in part, "An Amateur Allocation in this band (60 meters) would
> improve the Amateur Service's already exemplary record of providing
> emergency
> communications during natural disasters when even modern communications
> systems typically fail." Perhaps the ARRL may take a break from their
> publishing activities someday and investigate its possibilities.
>
> Additionally, its odd about the amateur service that proclaims itself to
> be
> devoted to emergency service but dropped its distress frequencies. Until
> the 1960's the ARRL had specific calling frequencies reserved for distress
> and safety in the HF bands for radiotelephone and radiotelegraphy. These
> frequencies were regularly printed in its journal 'QST'. I haven't found
> out why the ARRL dropped them. Its beyon my comprehension that a
> communications service, world wide in extent, that doesn't assign
> frequencies,
> routinely monitored, for distress signaling and calling. Similarly, some
> years ago,
> a manufacturer hardly wanted to discuss the necessary modifications of my
> transceiver so I could access the, FCC authorized, 5167.5 kHz amateur
> service Alaskan Emergency Frequency. It was only through the force of my
> professional credentials did they finally agree and sent me the service
> note
> I required. I like to point out that until recently, as far as I know,
> Yaesu, (FT-857 and FT-817 for example) is the first amateur equipment
> manufacturer to provide amateur equipment capable of accessing the Alaskan
> Emergency Frequency without equipment modification. If the amateur
> service
> is so concerned with emergency communications why did it take so long for
> this to occur?
>
> After nearly forty years as an amateur radio operator, these are some of
> the
> issues that make me reconsider the utility of the amateur service as an
> emergency communications provider . Although the amateur service has
> played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the
> past,
> the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to
> a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership,

> the amateur service can regain its former stature.
>
> I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and
> Jeanne
> last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their
> ass
> and come up with a serious emergency communications plan. I suspect that
> the ARRL is a 'has been' organization and a new vision for amateur radio
> (emergency communications) needs to take their place.
>
>
>
>
>

2051. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 23:46:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AKSdnVJ_49CSvaLeRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power
> I
> attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of
> my
> operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout
> the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!
> Yet
> to my surprise, I was able to consistently 'key-up' repeaters from
> Jacksonville to Palm Bay---approximately 2/3's the length of the state of
> Florida. And to consider, this is an amateur service simulated emergency
> test in Florida occurring only 10 months after the destruction of Charley,
> Frances, and Jeanne. It made me wonder just how interested Hams are in
> emergency communications. I did have a nice conversation with a fellow
> 100
> miles away via his repeater.
>

Since Field Day partakes of many elements of a contest, you aren't supposed to use the National Simplex Calling Frequency for Field Day activities. Our group had a VHF station set up for Field Day and we contacted people from New York to Florida to Texas, etc (we are in Michigan). We used SSB and CW and both 6 meters and 2 meters. For extended distances, SSB and CW are better choices than FM. If you had tried the SSB calling frequency, you might have had better luck.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2052. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-01 23:49:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KtWdne2ph-YCvaLeRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 119878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Korbin Dallas" <korbindallas@dodgeit.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.10.01.19.44.14.225730@dodgeit.com...
> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 18:20:03 +0000, TOM wrote:
>
>> I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
>> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>>
>> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by
>> three
>> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two
>> meter
>> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test)
>> in
>> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty
>> feet
>> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
>> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output
>> power I
>> attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of
>> my
>> operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
>> contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls
>> throughout
>> the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
>> operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!
>
> Well FM on 2, 6 or 10m is not used for Field Day, I don't know why but its
> not,
>

FM is not good for long distance or weak signal work most of the time. SSB
and CW beat it hands down.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2053. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 00:37:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xo6dnXmaz4IstqLeRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119879
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:
> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 16:06:08 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>> But pi is exactly 3 in some circles.......
>>
>> While we are busy putting "intelligent design" into schools, I suggest
>>we start putting "faith based mathematics" in next.
>
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> If I recall correctly, the forebears of the untelligent design crowd,
> during the scopes monkey trial, attempted to mandate that pi was equal
> to 22/7ths. However, I don't see where faith attaches to 22 and 7 was
> always a cabalistic device of the middle ages.
>
> Close enough for as many as 3 would be.

With enough faith, who needs tires? Just pray, and after you starve to death, you reap your reward.....

Frankly, I think they are the modern day version of the sabot throwers.

> I would hate to drive on their 13/14/15 inch tires tho'. ;-)

Their Escalades are heavy enough to round off the out of round sections.. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2054. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 00:39:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xo6dnXiaz4LMsaLeRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Gee TOM....do you think you had problem on the "National Simplex Frequency"
> of 146.52 because you are NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT for contesting?

But... but! That must be the ARRL's fault too, Dan! If Tom wants to
call Field day CQ on his cell phone, he should be able to......

Sorry, just getting a little carried away..

- Mike KB3EIA -

2055. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 00:59:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128214755.359762.306020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Korbin Dallas wrote:
> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 18:20:03 +0000, TOM wrote:
>
> > I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> > Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
> >
> > Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> > hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> > transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> > June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> > and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> > 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power I
> > attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of my
> > operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> > contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout
> > the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> > operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!
>
> Well FM on 2, 6 or 10m is not used for Field Day, I don't know why but its
> not,

not entirely accurate given the properties of it isn't very prodcutive
however at any great range

but using them and the number of FM units out there

they should be tried more than they are, if Field Day is realy any
kind of emergancy drill, indeed the club here I was the first person in
years to 6m SSB let alone any FM work

2056. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 01:57:05 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <R7H%e.17307$Xl2.9545@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119882
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ok. So, you were the bully who couldn't follow rules and believe that they never apply to you. So, now you are continuing that ideology in life as you "hide behind your keyboard."
Just goes to show, that some people never grow up and never change.

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:8b50$433f1398$97d558d8$27686@ALLTEL.NET...
> Nah, I used to beat the crap out of wooses like you and take their lunch
> money. Enjoyed watching them cry.
>
> If I were standing in front of you, you would be peeing your pants and
> hollering "Mommy". Your type is only brave when behind a teacher or a
> keyboard.
>
> Get a life or get educated enough to use your newsreader correctly so you
> may avoid apoplexy...
>
>
> "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Qby%e.16485$Xl2.3691@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> You probably call the police a nazi, too, when they pull you over for
>> speeding. How come everyone believes that they don't need to follow the
>> rules and that when they have it pointed out to them that they are
>> outside
>> the rules, they are being "picked on." Is that what you were in school?
>>
>> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
>> news:7d3a5$433e926c$97d558d8$32550@ALLTEL.NET...
>> >I bet you were a hall monitor in school...
>> >
>> > It must be really boring to have a life that is only enriched by being
>> > a
>> > net
>> > Nazi.
>>
>>
>
>

2057. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 01:57:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <A8H%e.5532$zQ3.2261@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> How many hams do you think are going to be on two meters?
>
> Knowing the propagation characteristics of two meters, do you extrapolate how
> many hams are on two meters across the nation?
>
> hint: there *are* some, but not very many.

I'll assume that's true in your area. In this area it's not true -- here
(Minnesota) 2M is far and away the most popular band in use on an everyday
basis.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2058. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 01:59:35 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <baH%e.17309$XI2.13521@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119884
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The controls have already been put in place. The idea of posting on-topic
is nothing new and most people find a way to keep themselves within those
boundaries.
I would imagine that the band plans for the amateur radio frequencies are
only a "guide" for you, too, and that you feel that you can transmit in any
mode and on any band that you feel fit. Right?

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:MYSdnVOhLKEzcaPeRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> Matt Osborn wrote:
>> On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 00:30:04 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
>> <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Your own stupidity is hindering you. Take it to e-mail, moron.
>>>
>>><Matt Osborn> wrote in message
>>>news:d0krj158a9aifpk3s92eovrr0nupp0mo1u@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>Simply avoiding the question? Your blindness hinders me not.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>-- msosborn at msosborn dot com
>>
>>
>> Nice vocabulary. Need help using your newsreader?
>
> Pat doesn't like us talking about this, Matt. Seems like everyone is
> having a good time, and the posts are pretty civil. I guess some people
> like to control others, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2059. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:00:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UaH%e.5533$zQ3.476@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote

> Read the ARRL Field Day Rules at URL:
> http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2005/rules-fd-2005.html
>
> SEZ "9.3. Remember that the national simplex FM calling frequency of 146.52
> MHz should not be used for making Field Day contacts."

Holy Crap, CL!

Now see what you went and done! You'll spoiled his lovely rant with a FACT!
Shame, shame, shame!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2060. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:05:09 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128218709.158722.153140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Korbin posted:

"Well FM on 2, 6 or 10m is not used for Field Day, I don't know why but its
not."

First, likely I missed in in the original post, but I don't recall him mentioning the use of FM modulation. In every field day and emergency preparedness I have ever participated in, a.m was the accepted standard.

Second, the use of a 75-watt transmitter with a collinear raised 30-feet above the ground on field day? Evidently TOM doesn't grasp the spirit of the event which combines with the fact that he isn't a very experienced ham operator. Then too, the ham community has eroded considerably since these exercises were devised. In fact, a competent ham should have been nearly able to work multiple states with the rig he has described.

Anybody left alive here that remembers the 2M Gooney Box, the rig that was the standard for ham emergency communication for more years than I personally care to remember? Its final was a 2E26; Its antenna was typically a quarter-wave stub (a piece of stiff wire poked into the UHF connector on the top of its box or an antenna consisting of a half-wave piece of tv twin line. Its output was anywhere from 5-watts to 10-watts run into that improvised antenna. When battery powered on field day, the output of the rig was arguably between 3 and 5-watts.

Still on field day exercises conducted in preparation for an emergency, even the low power rigs with a minimal antenna could garnish at least 50 contact on field day, but this was during the 1970s. Ham radio has seriously declined since that time. This is why I ceased to renew my K2JEZ General Class license around 1982.

Read into my above comments what ever you want.

Harry C.

2061. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:13:30 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128219210.940166.153560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ROFL Mike, great follow-up.

What he likely did was to transmit a series of CQs on an emergency distress frequency.

Damn, this guy posts that he has a 75-watt transmitter and a high gain antenna 30-ft above the ground and he is clueless as to why he made few QSOs. As clueless as this dork must be, maybe it's time to toughen up the licensing requirement to what they were around1959!!!!!

He should be operating on CB and not ham radio channels!

Harry C.

2062. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:23:04 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <55b0c$433f44ba$97d558d8$25020@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119888
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do I look like I am "hiding" you twit!

I can see not only are you too stupid to use a newsreader, in addition you have no clue as to what can be gleaned from my call.

I love upsetting supercillious net Nazis. Makes my day.

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message news:R7H%e.17307$Xl2.9545@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Ok. So, you were the bully who couldn't follow rules and believe that they
> never apply to you. So, now you are continuing that ideology in life as you
> "hide behind your keyboard."

2063. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:26:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GrCdnQozfrHc2KLenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>How many hams do you think are going to be on two meters?
>>
>>Knowing the propagation characteristics of two meters, do you extrapolate how
>>many hams are on two meters across the nation?
>>
>>hint: there *are* some, but not very many.
>
>
> I'll assume that's true in your area. In this area it's not true -- here
> (Minnesota) 2M is far and away the most popular band in use on an everyday
> basis.

Gosh Hans, I'll bet I was talking about Field day, just like the other
guy was! 8^)

Maybe in Minnesota, everyone is on 2 meters during FD?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2064. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:31:28 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <433f4680$0$32207$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119890
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Tom Ring wrote:
>
>> Richard Clark wrote:
>>
>>> The question? "What is 6 times 7?"
>>>
>>
>> The question, quoted from the book, is "What do you get when you
>> multiply six by nine?". And the answer is still 42. Which is obvious.
>
>
> But pi is exactly 3 in some circles.......
>
> While we are busy putting "intelligent design" into schools, I
> suggest we start putting "faith based mathematics" in next.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

That wasn't my point. I thought some of you might figure out why. I am
quite disappointed to tell the truth.

6 x 9 = 42

It's not that tough, unless you can't think out of at least one box.
And those that figured it out fron "The Book", please do not comment.

tom
K0TAR

2065. Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:36:24 UTC
From: forcharms focee <focees4902@google.com>
Message-ID: <1aeec$433f47a8$d1cc79be$26961@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

These guys are all over the band each week.

(same shit different day)

2066. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 02:37:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zJH%e.5546$zQ3.1239@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119892
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote

> The controls have already been put in place. The idea of posting on-topic is
> nothing new and most people find a way to keep themselves within those
> boundaries.

Pat, usenet has no controls "put in place" (except on moderated newsgroups).
Each newsgroup is commissioned for a stated purpose and should generally be
followed, but sometimes the exhuberance of the moment causes us to stray off
into other areas. S#!t happens!

> I would imagine that the band plans for the amateur radio frequencies are only
> a "guide" for you, too, and that you feel that you can transmit in any mode
> and on any band that you feel fit. Right?

Bandplans are voluntary "guides", and generally make good sense. Times happen,
however, when there are good reasons to deviate from a particualr bandplan. So
long as the mode/band is FCC-legal and I have a personally-acceptable reason,
you're right --- I >>do<< feel that I can take a one-time exception to the
bandplan guidelines.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2067. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 03:00:26 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1iiuj1hdhm6l0sbrsj92fm3cbcl2idbvcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 119893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 Oct 2005 19:05:09 -0700, hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:

>Korbin posted:
>
>"Well FM on 2, 6 or 10m is not used for Field Day, I don't know why but
>its
>not."
>
>First, likely I missed in in the original post, but I don't recall him
>mentioning the use of FM modulation.

He talked about 146.52 simplex which might as well be mentioning FM.

>In every field day and emergency preparedness I have ever participated
>in, a.m was the accepted standard.

It's been decades since you've participated, then, because FM pretty much took over the 2M band in the '70s. There's still a lot of weak signal work--SSB and CW, but AM? It can hardly be found. And it hasn't been the accepted standard since the '60s, maybe.

>Second, the use of a 75-watt transmitter with a collinear raised
>30-feet above the ground on field day?

What are you talking about? Neither of those parameters sound at all out of line at FD. For VHF you definitely want the antenna as high as possible. The only thing wrong with the 75 watts is the power required to make it run. 25 watts would be more than enough.

>Evidently TOM doesn't grasp the spirit of the event which combines
>with the fact that he isn't a very experienced ham operator.

Apparently neither are you.

>Then too, the ham community has eroded considerably since these
>exercises were devised. In fact, a competent ham should have been
>nearly able to work multiple states with the rig he has described.

I rest my case. With no enhancement, there are a lot of places where you'd be hard pressed to work out of state with the setup you think is too much. South Florida would certainly be one of them.

>Anybody left alive here that remembers the 2M Gooney Box, the rig that
>was the standard for ham emergency communication for more years than I
>personally care to remember?

There were probably more Twoers than Gonsets in the '60s. Yes, I remember.

>Its final was a 2E26; Its antenna was
>typically a quarter-wave stub (a piece of stiff wire poked into the UHF
>connector on the top of its box or an antenna consisting of a half-wave
>piece of tv twin line. Its output was anywhere from 5-watts to 10-watts
>run into that improvised antenna. When battery powered on field day,
>the output of the rig was arguably between 3 and 5-watts.

And you're saying you were able to work multiple states with that without enhancement?

>Still on field day exercises conducted in preparation for an emergency,
>even the low power rigs with a minimal antenna could garnish at least
>50 contact on field day, but this was during the 1970s. Ham radio has
>seriously declined since that time. This is why I ceased to renew my
>K2JEZ General Class license around 1982.

K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work "multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try that in any state west of the Appalachins.

>Read into my above comments what ever you want.

Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old time ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter century.

Yeah, what a credible story.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2068. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 03:32:47 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8ukuj19khb1hnngvu5hr7av68u6orm5q0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 119894
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 01 Oct 2005 21:31:28 -0500, Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
wrote:

>That wasn't my point. I thought some of you might figure out why. I am
>quite disappointed to tell the truth.

Hi Tom,

"Don't Panic!" (I wish it could be written in the proper friendly
colors.)

It's simply working with Ape descendants that makes it harder to
explain.

Keep banging the rocks,
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

2069. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 04:47:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128228442.160624.313620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119895

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
> 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb

2070. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 05:00:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128229233.252234.184370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128171198.100482.104260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> KØHB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> > > kept the Morse Forever warriors going......
> >
> > Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen,
> craftsmen,
> > and subcontractors?
>
> You should advertise for this company in a more applicable group. This
> group is for the express purpose of discussing amateur radio policy,
> especially morse code policy. Thank you for your concern.

> ******** AA2QA's reply seperator *********

> What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> few skills.

Many don't. Or if they did, they have long forgotten them. Do you
disagree?

> These are usually folks that have a genuine interest in how things work, not
> how to turn a knob or push a button or keys. This isn't to say that folks
> that simply wish to talk are not welcome; they certainly are.

Such as epistemology?

> Although I do not possess a college degree, I am a certified electronics
> technician. I have repaired two-way radios (business and trunking radios).
> I have done a lot of electrical control and power wiring. I have not worked
> in high voltage, but have done a fair amount of 277/480 3 phase work. I've
> climbed atop silos and repaired bag houses. Welded, soldered, cut, run
> milling machines, surface grinders, lathes, and more. If a saws-all can't
> do the job readily, the oxy-acetylene tourch will handle it well for me
> (hmmmm ... wonder how that would solder pl-259s? LOL). Done EMC compliance
> studies along with UL compliance. Come to think of it, ozone compliance.
> Can you spell exponential decay? Come to think of it, I've programmed
> slc-500s, Texas Instruments PLCs, Modicon PLCs, and more (including data
> highways and ethernet). Even written a program to generate ladders from

> simply inputting I/O assignments and letting the program know what I want to
> have happen. Under 15 minutes to properly program 3 cells. Another 5
> minutes to debug because someone wired a switch backwards (normally closed
> rather than normally open).

It that anything like serving at at military switch 50 years ago?

> The nice thing about amateur radio is that it encompasses a whole spectrum
> of individuals, unlike most trade magazines. To me, advertising in an
> amateur publication such as QST would make a lot of sense, especially if you
> are trying to locate a number of different skills (rather than a number of
> ads in different magazines or newspapers).

Reply to Hans. He has the scoop on the job you're looking for.

> As to Morse, it can be fun.

Jumping into a swimming pool with weights on your feet and chains
around your body can be fun, too. Harry H. did it for a while.

If we had difficulty with it back when (for me,
> 1962), we learned to overcome that difficulty (not a bad thing to learn, in
> my humble opinion).

Are you saying that the people of New Orleans need to learn to overcome
difficulty?

Come to think of it, as much grief as it gave me (when
> memorizing dots and dashes), once I learned it by sound, I enjoyed it and by
> 1967 had perfect copy at 40 words per minute in the U.S. Navy.

Three hots and a cot and Morse Code to boot?

More than
> 40? I don't know; that was the fastest test they had back then. I would
> have had difficulty much beyond that as we were banging away with manual
> typewriters then. I might have (possibly) made 50 at most. Disclaimer -
> that would be perfect typewritten copy filling close to a whole page of
> paper. A few errors would have allowed me considerably faster copy. Then.
> (LOL)

Fan-fold paper would have been nice, huh?

> I'd suggest rethinking your position.
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Rethunk. Hans posting is still off-topic.

2071. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 05:03:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128229400.422500.107490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128171198.100482.104260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> > > > kept the Morse Forever warriors going......
> > >
> > > Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen,
> > craftsmen,
> > > and subcontractors?
> >
> > You should advertise for this company in a more applicable group. This
> > group is for the express purpose of discussing amateur radio policy,
> > especially morse code policy. Thank you for your concern.
> > ******** AA2QA's reply seperator *********
> > What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> > something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> > few skills.
>
> which is beside the point. This a radio newsgroup

It's only a radio newsgroup when Len wants to make a comment.

2072. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 05:31:20 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <433f70aa$0$49013$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 119899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"In addition to six radios, Hilton has two computers: a laptop and a desktop. "The radios are hooked into the computer." With his setup, the Hiltons can talk to other hams as far away as Japan."

Wow, that's a really long way. How cents per minute would that cost? huh Mister?

2073. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 05:54:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <B_GdnXgkUrus-qLeRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 119896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM wrote:

> After nearly forty years as an amateur radio operator, these are some of the
> issues that make me reconsider the utility of the amateur service as an
> emergency communications provider . Although the amateur service has
> played a significant role in handling emergency communications in the past,
> the changing face of technology has relegated the amateur service to
> a position of little consequence---possibility, with better leadership,
> the amateur service can regain its former stature.
>
> I sincerely think, that with the devastation of Charley, Frances and Jeanne
> last year, and Katrina this year, the ARRL really needs to get off their ass
> and come up with a serious emergency communications plan. I suspect that
> the ARRL is a 'has been' organization and a new vision for amateur radio
> (emergency communications) needs to take their place.
>

I think the following pretty well indicates that the hams do a very good job of providing communications in times of emergency, with or without the ARRL. Hardly regulated to a position of little consequence, especially when ham radio is sometimes the only means of communications that is working, as was in some cases with Katrina.

****
As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
By Andrea Kelly
ARIZONA DAILY STAR

When all else fails, it's ham radio to the rescue. Across Arizona, ham radio operators are helping sustain Hurricane Katrina relief efforts by helping storm-torn communities communicate with rescue workers and family across the country.

This is not a first. Ham radio operators come out of the woodwork during disasters around the world, even transmitting in Morse code if language barriers pop up, said Mike Swiader, president of the amateur Superstition Radio Club in Mesa.

Ham radio operators have contributed to emergency communication efforts since World War II, Swiader said, and were crucial in post-9/11 emergency communications, as well as during the Asian tsunami last holiday season.

Ham radios work when other communication systems are down because they're powered by solar energy, batteries or generators rather than the usual power sources.

The messages are passed from radio to radio until they get to the one they are directed to, so radios don't need to be near each other to receive signals, like regular AM/FM radio signals.

"We've been involved in passing traffic to and from the Louisiana and Mississippi area," said Tom Fagan, a leader of the Arizona ham radio operators organization. "Whatever needs to come in and go out, we're doing."

When Arizona's ham operators - there are about 16,000 of them - get messages from the hurricane area, they send them along to agencies like the American Red Cross and the Salvation Army in the Southeastern region of the country.

"We're the link," Fagan said.

Because communications within a disaster area are often jumbled, Swiader said, it's frequently easier for someone there to contact an operator in someplace like Arizona, who can then relay the message back to a recipient in the disaster area.

"Sometimes it's easier to be heard that way," he said.

More than 30 ham radio operators from Arizona have already headed toward the disaster area, hoping to assist relief efforts and give emergency workers a way to communicate with each other, as well as assisting searches for missing persons.

"They're moving in when they're allowed by American Red Cross and Salvation Army," said Ned Stearns, a Phoenix ham operator and vice director of the American Radio Relay League, the national organization for ham operators.

In fact, the ham operators plan to compile a list of people they can determine as missing, deceased, alive or unknown, based on information transmitted over the ham signals.

To find out about people in the area, visit www.satern.org and fill out the health and welfare information request for the person you are trying to find. This information will be sent through the Salvation Army emergency radio network.

More From The Huntsville Times | Subscribe To The Huntsville Times
Ham radio operators are helping from afar
Sunday, September 11, 2005
By DONNA FORK
For the Times dfork@knology.net

But sometimes, even the airwaves can be shut down

They also serve who listen and wait.

Huntsville amateur radio operator Douglas Hilton and other "hams" in North Alabama are helping victims of Hurricane Katrina by relaying messages between families, officials and each other.

Lately, Hilton's been spending most of his spare time in his "ham shack" listening to calls for assistance. The systems analyst for Intergraph Corp. is one of thousands of amateur radio operators who help people during emergencies.

Hilton is unusual in the ham radio world because he wears three hats: He is an amateur operator; a member of the Alabama State Defense Force, heading the Communications Platoon for North Alabama; and he's also a military communicator authorized to use the Military Affiliate Radio System.

His connection to the military (although he is a civilian) gives him access to additional radio frequencies most amateurs can't access. He has been a ham radio operator for 42 years; his father had him build a crystal radio when he was 7 years old, and he got his ham license as a teenager. But he wasn't all that active until after the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001.

"That hit me right between the eyes," he recalled, and he began to get back into "hamming."

Now Hilton averages about 100 hours a month on the airwaves and takes countless courses and certifications. He noted that amateur radio operators are "amateurs only in that we're not paid." In return for service "the government gives us frequencies."

Hilton said the North Alabama ham community is large.

"The astronauts are all ham radio operators," he said, noting that astronaut Owen Garriott "was the first ham in space."

Hilton''s "ham shack" is not really a shack at all. It is located in a formal dining room - complete with crystal chandelier. It includes six radios and two computers.

"You don't need six, but don't tell my wife, please," Hilton said as his wife, Diane, laughed. She recently obtained her "technician class" license, which is the entry-level license for ham radio operators. The next is "general class." The highest, which Hilton has, is "amateur extra." Anyone can be an amateur radio operator, Hilton said. There is no age limit: Hilton knows a local ham who is 18 and another who is 89.

It doesn't cost much to be an operator either, he said. A beginner could purchase enough equipment to get started for about $300. Courses are free through one of the local ham radio clubs, of which there are several, including the Huntsville Amateur Radio Club (the Web address is www.harc.net).

In addition to six radios, Hilton has two computers: a laptop and a desktop. "The radios are hooked into the computer." With his setup, the Hiltons can talk to other hams as far away as Japan.

But for the past two weeks there hasn't been time to chat about matters such as which antennas they like the best. There are emergency frequencies to monitor. At the moment, a critical one is the Salvation Army's emergency frequency, Hilton said.

Hilton never knows if it will be a busy night or a quiet one. Recent solar flares have added to the uncertainty because they disrupt communications. Friday, during the late afternoon and early evening, it was unusually quiet.

"I'm a little surprised," he said Friday. "I can't believe how dead these bands are."

Solar flare

It could be a solar flare, or could be something else. "That's the problem, you never know," he said. The waiting, the listening, "it wears you out." But it's worth it when you hear a voice and "you might be the only person who can hear this guy."

On Thursday night, when Hilton was expecting problems, reception was fine. He was able to contact two operators in Louisiana. He also heard one Mississippi ham talking to another ham "so at least one is working there."

ecause of the Hurricane Katrina disaster, there are thousands of messages piling up, waiting to be delivered by ham radio operators: What will happen when all their radios start working again?

People like Hilton will be extremely busy. There are thousands of ham radio operators in Louisiana and Mississippi. When they all get back to the airwaves, it will be quite a challenge to sort out all the messages.

But meanwhile, as they waited for the deluge of messages, on Thursday night the Huntsvillians received a call for help from New Mexico.

Maura Campbell Kingston of Clovis, N.M., was trying to reach her aunt, 76-year-old Sister Joan Campbell, a nun, of Harvey, La. Kingston called the Salvation Army, which contacted a ham radio operator, who called Hilton.

Hilton tried Thursday to reach a radio operator in Louisiana to check on Campbell, but almost all of them were off the air. Finally on Friday night Hilton got through to "KG5YK," who is Robert A. Turner of Elm Grove, La.

When Turner's message came through, hams from all over the United States could be heard, eager to talk with him.

Helping 'ham brother'

The outpouring of sympathy could not be contained: Radio operators from Texas, Indiana and elsewhere all expressed their support for their "ham brother" in Louisiana.

"I hope this never happens again," said Turner of the Katrina disaster. "It's been a tough time. ... I'm looking for the right words." He

thanked the other hams for their all their help.

"You'd do the same for us," the ham from Texas said. Others echoed his sentiments.

Turner gave Hilton information about when to call with his "health and welfare message" about Kingston's aunt. Hilton vowed to keep trying to deliver the message "for as long as it takes."

But that proved to be unnecessary.

Friday night, after calling her aunt repeatedly, Kingston finally reached her by phone. She was still at her home in Harvey.

"She was OK," Kingston said in a telephone interview Saturday. "Harvey stayed dry." But the area was without power and Campbell "was sitting in the dark with her flashlight."

Later, Kingston called Hilton to thank him. She said he told her: "I'm just doing it because I want to help."

Kingston was impressed.

"I believe that thing about Southern hospitality."

Thursday, September 15, 2005

Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
By Jeannetta Edwards

Daily Times Leader

When Hurricane Katrina hit, telephone and cell phone communication hit an impasse because of downed lines and towers. But, amateur radio operators did not miss a beat or a transmission.

"Before and after the hurricane, this has proven to be the most reliable form of communication," said Randall O'Brien, a retired highway patrolman who has been involved with ham radio operation for 35 years.

"People thought when they got cell phones, they didn't need us anymore," said O'Brien, an advanced licensed operator, who often talks to missionaries in South and Central America.

According to Denver Baker, Clay County has more than 30 amateur radio operators, but only a small fraction are active.

"It's a wonderful hobby," said Baker, whose wife recently received her technician license.

The multi-faceted operation includes digital and satellite communication and is enjoyed by all age levels.

"This is a good hobby for seniors or for young people," said Baker.

By becoming a ham radio operator, which requires taking a test to obtain a license, people can communicate with others anywhere in the world.

"The classes for the test are free," said Baker, "and we help you with everything you need to know."

According to Baker, who has been enjoying this hobby for the last 10 years, the only investment required is the fee for the test and the purchase of equipment. A handheld transmitter cost around $100.

The self-policing group ensures that everyone adheres to the rules and regulations.

"We're called amateur radio operators, but there's nothing amateur about it," said O'Brien, who first became interested in electronic at age 13.

The group, which also belongs to the American Radio Relay League, meets monthly in West Point.

"We have a good bunch of hams in this area," said Baker. "We bring food at our meetings and have a good time."

"It's nice, especially for seniors," said Baker.

For information on getting involved in ham radio operation, call O'Brien at 494-2898 or Baker at 494-9096.

Thursday, September 8, 2005

Ham Radio Operators Offer Help

By Beth Hahn
Mountain View Telegraph
Since Hurricane Katrina hit New Orleans last week, several ears in the East Mountains and Estancia Valley have been tuned to amateur short-wave radios.
McIntosh resident Ed Brooks said local Ham radio operators are willing to relay messages from the Gulf Coast if needed.
"We guys here in the Estancia Valley are just listening," he said. "We haven't had any need for what we can offer."
Although they may not be needed yet, Brooks said local Ham radio operators are still listening in to conversations taking place in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama.
During the interview with Brooks, his Ham radio was tuned to a conversation involving a Coast Guard helicopter that was rescuing a family from a rooftop in New Orleans with direction from another Ham radio operator.
Brooks said similar situations have been broadcast hundreds of times during the past week.
"It's been really spotty, but what we've been (hearing) is that it was good fortune that somebody down there had Ham equipment," he said.
Daryl Clutter, an Edgewood Ham operator and member of the Salvation Army's shortwave network, said he relayed a message from Ontario to U.S. Coast Guard officials in Washington, D.C., that originated in Louisiana.
"It was just the word 'help' spelled out two times," he said.

Like Brooks, Clutter said he has stayed close to his Ham radio since Aug. 29.
"There's been so much going on," he said. "Every day, something happens."
Clutter, a former truck driver who often delivered frozen food to the Gulf Coast, said radio traffic has slowed since the hurricane devastated the region.
"You just wonder who all you know, that used to be your customers down there, that are still alive or had the good sense to get out," he said.
As amateur radio operators, Clutter said, Ham enthusiasts practice for disasters "every day."
"There's only so many cell phone frequencies," he explained. "If the phone system goes down, there's no way ... (one company) could handle all the 60,000 or 70,000 phone calls."
Mountainair resident and Ham operator Bob Scupp said the Salvation Army network alone has handled more than 128,000 messages since Aug. 29.
Brooks said Ham operators have been relaying messages from residents to volunteers or emergency personnel. Since a large number of National Guard and military personnel have arrived in the area, Brooks said the messages have changed from pleas for help to questions about well-being.
Shelters for evacuees are beginning to utilize Ham radios to communicate with other shelters to help families find missing relatives, Brooks said.
Rescues are still going on.
"There's been instances where they're still finding people, trapped in houses, trapped in buildings or running out of oxygen," said Clutter. "It's just terrible what's going on down there."
Clutter and Brooks said they will not offer assistance unless someone requests contact in the Albuquerque area.
"We don't want to tie up the frequencies," Brooks said.
Clutter and Brooks said they will continue to listen to their Ham radios for messages or relay requests until communications are restored in the Gulf Coast.
"We live and breathe this," Clutter said.

Published: September 03, 2005 07:18 pm

Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support
By Teresa Atkerson
MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)
MCALESTER, Okla. -

With more than 10,000 requests for help in finding loved ones, the amateur radio operators in Louisiana are extremely busy.

In fact, they are so busy, they have asked people to wait with their requests unless there is an extreme emergency.

Ralph Suter, with the Pittsburg County Amateur Radio Club, said they had about a half dozen requests for assistance in locating loved ones in the area devastated by Hurricane Katrina. ï¿½The Red Cross funneled the

requests for health and welfare,ï¿½ he explained. Now, the national traffic system is backed up with the requests, he said, because there were so many.

There are extreme problems with communications in New Orleans at the present time. Suter explained VHF radio has to have line of sight while amateur radio operators can use lower frequencies that can bounce off or curve to get the message through. ï¿½A benefit of amateur radio is you can throw a piece of wire in a tree or up a flagpole. With 12 volts of power, you can operate on a local or even worldwide basis,ï¿½ he explained.

As an example, Suter said recently an amateur radio operator in Louisiana had some kind of problem that he couldnï¿½t get help with after the hurricane. He put out the message, it went through Washington state to Texas and then into Louisiana where the right people were found to help him.

Amateur radio operators can also communicate via Morse Code, upload through television or computer or radio to satellite, Suter said. That gives them even more advantages in communication.

Jim Russell, president of the PCARC, said amateur radio has been around as long as there has been radio. Even in World War I, amateur radio operators helped with messages in Morse Code, which is something operators today still must learn as part of their licensing process.

ï¿½We look at it as a hobby,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource of individuals because they have an interest to develop the skills to operate radios in various modes.

ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource for trained communicators.ï¿½

There are forms that must be used when transmitting messages. ï¿½When it goes into the system at one point and comes out at the destination, it is exactly the same information, even with the misspelled words,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s our responsibility when handling traffic that it comes out exactly as it comes in.ï¿½

Suter said many of the operators use their radios on a daily basis while others check weekly. When it comes to disasters, there is the Amateur Radio Emergency Service. ï¿½Itï¿½s not really an organization but it is organized on a national basis for community programs.

John Wright, another PCARC member, checks with the Oklahoma Sooner Net and with the Salvation Armyï¿½s network, SATERN.

After the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995 and 9/11, Russell said amateur radio was used extensively. It is also used during and after tornadoes and other natural disasters. Now, the group can work with the Automatic Position Reporting System to help more accurately report severe weather.

The group has an annual field day, which is a combination of a exercise and a contest. ï¿½We go out and set up radios under adverse conditions to simulate disaster response,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½In the past, weï¿½ve started

with solar power, using a battery in a car or even a portable generator.

ï¿½The last time I kept count, we were able to make contacts in 47 states, the Philippines, some in South America and Puerto Rico in a 24-hour period.ï¿½

Suter pointed out that the word ï¿½amateurï¿½ is not what people interpret it as. ï¿½People interpret it to be an unprofessional group. But while itï¿½s made up of a lot of people for a hobby, itï¿½s not unorganized or unprofessional when dealing with disaster. We have capabilities that public agencies just donï¿½t have,ï¿½ he said.

Members also have to be licensed. The license testing can be done in McAlester now so those wishing to be licensed donï¿½t have to go to Dallas or Oklahoma City as in the past.

Teresa Atkerson writes for the McAlester (Okla.) News-Capital.

Old Technology Still Needed
(Page 1 of 2)

Sept. 8, 2005

Overwhelming Charity

Joe Carcia, station manager of the American Radio Relay League in Newington, Conn. helps with the disaster relief effort for Hurricane Katrina's victims along the Gulf Coast. (AP)

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

(CBS) There is a store in London dedicated to "appropriate technology." It mostly carries products designed to be used in developing countries where there is a weak communications infrastructure.

Sadly, thatï¿½s now the case for a large swath of the United States where Hurricane Katrina and its flood waters have wiped out cell phone towers, telephone switching stations, Internet routers and other ground-based communications systems. Even wireless Internet depends on nearby transmitters and receivers on the ground.

But amateur radio is working and, according to Allen Pitts of the Amateur Radio Relay League (ARRL), "ham" radio operators from throughout the country are answering the call to provide vital communications links. Satellite phones, a much newer technology, are also coming to the rescue.

Pitts acknowledges that cell phones and other technologies "work wonderfully when everything is going right," but "they are very vulnerable when everything is going wrong."

Just as with past hurricanes, earthquakes and on September 11th, the ground-based infrastructure, in many cases failed because vital parts of the system were damaged or overwhelmed during the emergency.

In other words, when you need them most, those cell phones are of no value.

Amateur radio, says Pitts, is not vulnerable to these problems "because each of the radio operators is a complete transmit and reception center unto themselves able to continue going and continue with nothing more than an electrical source, such as a battery or a generator, a radio and piece of wire for an antenna."

Listen to audio of Larry Magid's interview with ARRL's Allen Pitts.

While base-station radios are larger and require an electrical power source, there are also portable ham radios slightly larger than a pack of cigarettes with a range of about 20 miles, according to Pitts. Pitts says that the next sized radio, about the size of a paperback book, can communicate up to about 50 miles without a repeater while larger systems, "about the size of a desktop computer" can communicate globally.

Amateur radio operators are in demand. When I checked the ARRLï¿½s Web site there was a call from the Salvation Army for "10, two-operator Amateur Radio teams for deployment in the U.S. Gulf Coast. Operators must hold at least a General class license. Teams should be fully self sufficient in terms of food, water and lodging and plan to remain in the disaster area for from one to two weeks."

Pitts said there was a "lady in Connecticut," who was listening on her amateur radio and happened to hear that there was a woman "who was trapped for four days without food and water." She was able to relay that message, by ham radio, into an operations center that dispatched the fire department. A day later she got a call from the trapped womanï¿½s mother, thanking her for her role in the rescue.

Ironically, this comes at a time when amateur radio is struggling for respect and, to some extent, survival. What was once a popular hobby has fallen into somewhat hard times for a variety of reasons, including the fact that the Internet, cheap long distance calling, cell phones and other technologies make the ability to use these two-way radios not quite as compelling as it once was.

Also, there is a bit of a rift between some members of the amateur radio community and some parts of the Internet industry over the use of power lines to transmit Internet signals. This technology, which could put power companies into competition with cable and phone companies for broadband service, has been criticized by some ham operators because of possible interference problems.

Despite the fact that their origins go back to the early 20th century, hams are hardly low-tech. There are now bridges between ham operators and other technologies including the Internet, cellular phone systems and Internet phones. In fact, long before the Internet became popular, ham operators were using their radio gear to transmit data along with voice and morse code.

Also coming to the rescue are satellite phones. These phones - which are much more expensive to buy and use than cell phones - rely on satellites

rather than ground equipment to communicate with the phone network.

Because satellites are in space, theyï¿½re not vulnerable to conditions here on earth. Also, as long as you have a view of the sky, youï¿½re able to communicate. Iridium, which operates "66 low-earth orbiting (LEO), cross-linked satellites and 11 in-orbit spares," says it provides "complete coverage of the earth (including oceans, airways and Polar Regions)."

I carried an Iridium phone with me when I traveled to Peru a few years ago. It worked great when I was in the clear such as on a boat in the middle of the Amazon River but, because it requires a line of site view of the sky, it had problems in dense jungle and in cities with tall buildings.

Iridium spokesperson Liz DeCastro estimates that there are currently about 10,000 of Iridium's phones being used in the region with orders for about 6,000 more. The company sells through resellers so it doesnï¿½t have exact numbers. DeCastro says that "traffic in the region increased by 3,000 percent" since the storm hit and that the number of subscribers increased by 500 percent.

Satellite phones are a lot cheaper to use then when I went to Peru, but they still cost between $1 and $1.25 per minute to use, according to DeCastro. Thatï¿½s a lot more than domestic cell use, though often cheaper than using U.S. phones when roaming overseas. The phones themselves cost between $1,000 and $1,500 she says.

In addition to handling voice calls, satellite phones can also be used for messaging or data, albeit at modem rather than broadband speed.

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

Thatï¿½s why some news organizations keep manual typewriters around. You never know when that old technology may suddenly become the "appropriate technology."

NYNewsday.com
Amateur radio operators head to Gulf Coast

September 6, 2005, 1:00 AM EDT

NEWINGTON, Conn. -- Oscar Fuller only became an amateur radio operator about a year ago, but he knows how valuable his equipment can be in an emergency.

So even though he has never responded to a crisis, the American Relay Radio League member is planning to head to the hurricane-ravaged Gulf Coast and see if he can help establish an emergency communication network.

"This is a tragic set of circumstances," said Fuller, who recently retired from IBM. "If I can lend a hand, I want to."

Fuller and about 14 other members of the Newington-based amateur radio operator network have signed up to join the relief efforts for Hurricane Katrina. The amateur operators _ known as hams _ can often use their equipment to communicate in difficult circumstances.

Because the radio equipment operates on many frequencies, is self-contained and portable, it can often work when other communication networks, such as telephones, aren't working. It can never be blocked, which is why operators say it is good in disasters.

"When all else fails, ham radios work," said Betsy Doane of Shelton, who oversees the 2,500 members of the league in Connecticut.

David Patton, an official with the radio group, said as many as 750 volunteers may be needed. The Connecticut volunteers are going to help provide communication support for more than 200 American Red Cross Shelters in Mississippi, Alabama and the Florida panhandle.

"There are limited resources to an overwhelming disaster," said Allen Pitts, public relations manager for the radio league. "So there is not a ham on every corner. The hams are put where they are needed most of all, in emergency traffic or for saving lives."

Dennis Motschenbacher of Colchester was among the first volunteers to say he would go. He spent Sunday shopping for supplies and getting ready for the 18-hour, 1,100 mile drive to Montgomery, Ala., where he will be dispatched to a shelter.

"Everything I need, I'm bringing," he said. "There won't be any Radio Shack to go to."

The 57-year-old has been a ham for more than 40 years. He has operated his radio from places as far as East Timor and the Balkans.

The hardest part will be "seeing people in this troubled state _ smelly, sick, depressed, angry. And no matter what any of us do, it won't be enough for all of them."

NBC
Headline News - Top Stories
Ham Radio Operators Pitch In To Hurricane Katrina Relief Effort General Interest
09/07/2005
With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.

Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family in Chicago.

The reason-- ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails.

Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for communication until other modes of communication are restored.

News-Leader.com Springfield Mo.
Ham radio helps close communication gap

Nixa man relayed message from president to New Orleans' mayor.

Joe Hargis works on his amateur radio in his home in Nixa. Hargis helped rescue an elderly woman by notifying someone who could contact rescue workers via radio.

By Sarah Overstreet
News-Leader

If you've been unsuccessfully trying to get information about someone living in Hurricane Katrina's region of havoc, here's an avenue you may not have considered: local ham radio operators.

While cell phones rely on towers and traditional telephones rely on intact land lines, ham radios transmit from radio to radio, bouncing waves off the ionosphere in the upper atmosphere far above the Earth's tantrums. They can run off batteries, generators and sometimes even the sun.

Two Ozarks amateur ham radio groups - the Nixa Amateur Radio Club and the Christian County ARES (Amateur Radio Emergency Services) - have been relaying messages from people all over the world to those whose communication has been cut off by Katrina.

David Beckler, a ham radio operator from Nixa, even relayed a message Tuesday from the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency in Texas to New Orleans Mayor Ray Nagin.

"He had a message from President Bush to the mayor of the city of New Orleans," says Beckler, a New Orleans native who moved to Nixa six years ago.

Since the message was an emergency communication, the dispatch needed to go through the net control station on an emergency frequency, Beckler said. He knew it was important, because the ham operator had said, "break, break, break," which requires all other communications to yield and give that one priority.

"The net control station or other stations on this frequency could not hear this message," Beckler says. Beckler contacted the net control station, and people there asked him to contact the sending ham operator and relay the message back to that operator. "That's when he told me it was from President Bush."

Joe Hargis, another Nixa ham club member, also helped with an urgent message. "I was monitoring the SATERN network (Salvation Army Team

Emergency Radio Network)," said Hargis, a retired elementary schoolteacher.

"A person called in with a piece of emergency traffic and the (receiving) person could not hear him. He said, 'Is there anyone on the frequency who can copy this?' I broke in and told him I could hear it fine."

Hargis relayed the message back to SATERN, and the operator there could hear Hargis well. "It was a 90-year-old woman and her elderly neighbors. They were afraid to leave their home because of the unrest in the neighborhood, things that were going on, and they needed assistance to be evacuated. They were running low on medicine, and one was running out of her oxygen supply."

Nixa ham club member Rod Kittleman says their members helped save the lives of a couple in New Orleans.

"A weak, disabled elderly couple were trapped in their attic. Their phone worked, but they couldn't get through to local authorities," the KADI radio program manager explains.

Too weak to break through their roof so emergency personnel could find them, the couple called their daughter in this area. She contacted the Nixa club, which relayed the message to a local ham operator who is licensed to operate on emergency frequencies.

"He got through to authorities in New Orleans over the radio, and they were rescued. (The ham operator) relayed the message back to their daughter.
>
>
>
>

2074. Re: New License Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 07:02:40 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kCL%e.53574$uD6.4460@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 119950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The requirement is that one must FAIL both checks before the license is
granted.

"clawason" <clawason@rigclawsonre.net> wrote in message
news:dhjpie$392$0@pita.alt.net...
>A Criminal Background Check and a Mental Health Check
> will be required in the future to obtain an amateur radio
> license.
>
>
>
>

2075. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 08:28:41 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ZSM%e.100523$qY1.18131@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:vYE%e.7216$QE1.4982@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Gee TOM....do you think you had problem on the "National Simplex
Frequency"
> of 146.52 because you are NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT for contesting?
>
> Just a thought.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Thanks Dan... I was wrong it appears... I checked the FD rules and found
this item at the end of the list:

[snip]
9. Miscellaneous:

9.3. Remember that the national simplex FM calling frequency of 146.52 MHz
should not be used for making Field Day contacts.
[snip]

It does raise an interesting question... there was nobody to hear my FD
calls on 52? It appears that the National Simplex Calling Frequency wasn't
monitored that day through a large portion of state. There was nobody to
say, "Hey fellow, no FD on 52!" Perhaps my FD calls on 52 really did reveal
an inadequacy of amateur emergency communications---at least in this state.

2076. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 09:16:18 UTC
From: Ralphie <NoSpam@thisaddress.cz>
Message-ID: <dho8dq$691$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128180208.204290.200210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Oediv wrote:
> Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male, Wogie?

Are you still pursuing your dream of sucking Roger's dick, lisping
cocksucking faggot with aids?

//\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
\\

Unoriginal as usual.
"This response is a recorded message from one or more anonymous remailers."

And of course Roger still harbors fantasies of Lloyd doing the "nasty" in
the privacy of Wogie's bedroom.

Were it not for Lloyd, AB8MQ would have no reason to draw oxygen. LIfe would
be meaningless.

2077. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 12:35:46 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net>
Message-ID: <2005100208354693099%garv@elaboratenet>
Source record: 119953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2005-10-01 22:36:24 -0400, "forcharms focee" <focees4902@google.com> said:

> These guys are all over the band each week.
>
> (same shit different day)

Legends in their own minds....

--
Chris: "Dad, what's a blowhole for?"
Peter: "I'll tell you what it's NOT for and then you'll know why I can
never go back to Sea World."

2078. Re: New License Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 12:46:59 UTC
From: "helmsman@mindspring.com" <helmsman@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <oklvj1p5k1tgqc4nki12hs1tvd7hktru3n@4ax.com>
Source record: 119954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:

>The requirement is that one must FAIL both checks before the license is
>granted.
>

Anyone have a URL?

>"clawason" <clawason@rigclawsonre.net> wrote in message
>news:dhjpie$392$0@pita.alt.net...
>>A Criminal Background Check and a Mental Health Check
>> will be required in the future to obtain an amateur radio
>> license.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

2079. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 13:01:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128258109.275976.320230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119955

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
> > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb

Didn't hear Dan.

BTW, Toadie never showed up at the time he advertized, that's a fact.
He's a lying sack of shit.

2080. Re: New License Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 13:06:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128258410.724311.201310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh, the irony, Marky.

2081. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 13:09:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128258576.825297.29620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Ralphie wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128180208.204290.200210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Oediv wrote:
> > Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male, Wogie?
>
> Are you still pursuing your dream of sucking Roger's dick, lisping
> cocksucking faggot with aids?
>
> ////////////////////////////////////////////////////////\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
>
> Unoriginal as usual.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
you don't have to flaunt it.
```

2082. Re: New License Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 13:17:41 UTC
From: possum belley <hams@hamsnutsgeeks.org>
Message-ID: <dhomig$30h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:oklvj1p5k1tgqc4nki12hs1tvd7hktru3n@4ax.com...
>
> Anyone have a URL?
>

Why yes, just for you. Go to webster.com,
then look up the word "parody"
Hope this helps, but should you still not "get it,"
then report back here, and one of our counselors
will be glad to assist you.

BTW, are you making progress on your G.E.D. ?

2083. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 14:01:46 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128258297.177852.186230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119959

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > >
> > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > > crap
> > > > >
> > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > But you did that from your first post.
> cuting sexual rant

You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.

> > > you just show yourself
> cuting sexual rant
> > > >
> > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > >
> > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > >
> > > Not at all forgery removed
> >
> > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
>
> none given but you effort to forge my words is noted

Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
claim all that glory for yourself.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > > > >
> > > > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> > >
> > > More and more like stevie,
> >
> > More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.
>
```

> certainly acting ole steve boy

Certainly wrong guess, dumbass!

> >
> > > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > > people you have never met
> >
> > What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?
>
> back to speeling cop

<guffaw!> Back to drunken, illiterate moron.....

2084. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 14:38:38 UTC
From: Stuart Orange <rottensending@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b5fb5$433ff0ee$d1cc5789$1313@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Fred Garvin" <garv@elaborate.net> wrote in message
news:200510020835469309 9%garv@elaboratenet...
> On 2005-10-01 22:36:24 -0400, "forcharms focee" <focees4902@google.com>
said:
>
> > These guys are all over the band each week.
> >
> > (same shit different day)
>
>
> Legends in their own minds....

Agreed.

They should take their knee-jerk Larouche whacko BS to:

http://www.assaultweb.net/cgi-bin/ultimatebb.cgi?ubb=forum;f=42

...where they would have a captivated audience. Still it was fun
listening to 3.931 last night. They had a few 'detractors' in there
and the fur started to fly on several occasions.

Was funny as if some fool put Baby Ruth candybars floating in a punchbowl
at a kosher wedding. :)

2085. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 15:04:44 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <bGS%e.17528$mH.2471@fed1read07>
Source record: 119961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
The facts Mam just the facts

Shame, Blame, and Flame

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
```

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:UaH%e.5533$zQ3.476@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote
>
>> Read the ARRL Field Day Rules at URL:
>> http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2005/rules-fd-2005.html
>>
>> SEZ "9.3. Remember that the national simplex FM calling frequency of
>> 146.52 MHz should not be used for making Field Day contacts."
>
> Holy Crap, CL!
>
> Now see what you went and done! You'll spoiled his lovely rant with a
> FACT! Shame, shame, shame!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

2086. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 15:18:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128266328.705083.169850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119962

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
> > > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
>
> Didn't hear Dan.

Hope he didn't get antenna advice from W3RV. ;^)

2087. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 16:27:17 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FTT%e.17504$Xl2.16932@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119963
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey, Fred,
Does this ring a bell? Why do you feel this group would be any different
than the one you posted the following message to?

Re: Man, Mr. bush Jr. sure is wicked evil eh?
by "w4jle" <w4jle@ > Apr 22, 2004 at 07:13 PM

Take this crap to alt.wewearaluminimum.hats

Any body forwarding this much crap either is being paid to do it, or
seriously needs to get a life!

--
W4jle.com - Home of the Professional Curmudgeon

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:55b0c$433f44ba$97d558d8$25020@ALLTEL.NET...
> Do I look like I am "hiding" you twit!
>
> I can see not only are you too stupid to use a newsreader, in addition you
> have no clue as to what can be gleaned from my call.
>
> I love upsetting supercillious net Nazis. Makes my day.
>
> "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:R7H%e.17307$Xl2.9545@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> Ok. So, you were the bully who couldn't follow rules and believe that
> they
>> never apply to you. So, now you are continuing that ideology in life as
> you
>> "hide behind your keyboard."
>
>

2088. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 16:42:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <26U%e.6196$oc.2033@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Many don't. Or if they did, they have long forgotten them.
> Do you disagree?

Depends on the definition of "many". Is 25 "many"? If it is, then I'm sure
that "many" don't.

Or does "many" mean "most"? If so, then "many" haven't.

Sunuvagun!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2089. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 16:44:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <m7U%e.6198$oc.2472@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Reply to Hans. He has the scoop on the job you're looking for.

I'm not looking, I'm offering.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2090. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 16:47:49 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <YZidnW-bZf2qkt3eRVn-vg@crocker.com>
Source record: 119966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ZSM%e.100523$qY1.18131@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:vYE%e.7216$QE1.4982@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Gee TOM....do you think you had problem on the "National Simplex
> Frequency"
>> of 146.52 because you are NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT for contesting?
>>
>> Just a thought.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thanks Dan... I was wrong it appears... I checked the FD rules and found
> this item at the end of the list:
>
> [snip]
> 9. Miscellaneous:
>
> 9.3. Remember that the national simplex FM calling frequency of 146.52 MHz
> should not be used for making Field Day contacts.
> [snip]
>
> It does raise an interesting question... there was nobody to hear my FD
> calls on 52? It appears that the National Simplex Calling Frequency
> wasn't
> monitored that day through a large portion of state. There was nobody to
> say, "Hey fellow, no FD on 52!" Perhaps my FD calls on 52 really did
> reveal
> an inadequacy of amateur emergency communications---at least in this
> state.
>
>

of course not, everyone was busy on real fd stuff. and there is no
requirement that anyone monitor that frequency any time anyway... i have it
in my scan sequence and it rarely gets a hit.

2091. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 16:48:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sbU%e.6200$oc.4091@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Hans posting is still off-topic.

Sure it is OT. So what?

Nontheless, I know that at least two telephone crafts saw the OT post, followed up, and are now cashing nice OT paychecks.

Sunuvagun!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2092. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 17:04:19 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128272659.732909.192260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brad posted:

"Wow, that's a really long way. How cents per minute would that cost?
huh
Mister?"

Brad, relize that if he is forwarding voice communications through his computer to Japan, he is likely using the Internet which is cost free.

Then too, this neat ability would be worthless in an emergency preparedness exercise where the assumption is made that all power and telephone lines are down, and the service that Hams perform is largely communicating across their own towns or to to closely adjacient communities.

Under these circumstances, the more simplistic your rig is, the better. The lower its power consumption, the longer your batteries will last and if you are operating from generator power, the lower your consumption of almost unobtainable fuel will be. This is precisely why CD provided many hams with the low powered Gonset Communicators (Gooney Boxes) in bright yellow painted cases.

CD had a pretty good handle on what is needed of hams during an emergency situation, but stupidly CD was eliminated at the end of the cold war and was replaced by FEMA. Sadly, FEMA has demonstrated its failure to meet the needs of an emergency situation, and so hopefully will be restructed into a modernized version of the original CD organization. Then too, the entire Homeland Security organization has proven itself to be nothing but a cruel joke!

A bit off topic I know, but realize that at the end of the cold war as CD was dismantled, all of their Gooney Boxes, Geiger Counters and other vital items were collected and sold off at government auctions. Years later we now face the terrorism threat of nuclear devices and "dirty bombs", with local communities having neither the instrumentation available to either detect the radiation hazard or to communicate if and when a disaster takes place as it eventually will. Dhuh!

Today's yuppies sit in front of their off-the-shelf commercially produced rigs chatting with each other about meaningless subjects while, for the most part, lacking the technical ability to either construct their own rigs or even knowing how to repair them when the have a problem. Essentially, many are simply displaced CB operators who happened to cram through an exam as the result of memorizing some Q&A book. It is a serious mistake to confuse such people with real hams who

have the ability to improvise a simple transmitter when needed. That's why, when an actual emergency does occur, the retirement of us "old farts" is interrupted to come to the assistance of the community. We still have the needed vacuum tube receivers (plus spare parts) and transmitters that will measure up to the needs of the occasion. Many of us old hams who remember the cold war also have the radiation detection gear needed to monitor a potential radiation hazard to our local community (thanks to the auction of CD assets).

Curmudgeonly yours, Harry C.

p.s., I just watched a documentary about how Cuba prepares for a hurrican emergency. Not suprisingly they still employ something equivalent to our now dismantled CD organization, which obviously works very well for them. Cuba calls to mind that old saying: "If it ain't broke, don't fix it". Sadly, here in the US we forget that other good saying: "Better is the enemy of good."

2093. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 17:40:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <pYU%e.8354$q1.5130@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:xo6dnXiaz4LMsaLeRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Gee TOM....do you think you had problem on the "National Simplex
>> Frequency" of 146.52 because you are NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT for
>> contesting?
>
> But... but! That must be the ARRL's fault too, Dan! If Tom wants to call
> Field day CQ on his cell phone, he should be able to......
>
> Sorry, just getting a little carried away..
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

And he will probably get similar results. Perhaps he could then write
"another" BS note and bad mouth the ARRL. Makes sense to me......

Dan/W4NTI

2094. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 17:48:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Z3V%e.8357$q1.2332@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hhc314@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128218709.158722.153140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Korbin posted:
>
> "Well FM on 2, 6 or 10m is not used for Field Day, I don't know why but
> its
> not."
>
> First, likely I missed in in the original post, but I don't recall him
> mentioning the use of FM modulation. In every field day and emergency
> preparedness I have ever participated in, a.m was the accepted
> standard.
>
> Second, the use of a 75-watt transmitter with a collinear raised
> 30-feet above the ground on field day? Evidently TOM doesn't grasp the
> spirit of the event which combines with the fact that he isn't a very
> experienced ham operator. Then too, the ham community has eroded
> considerably since these exercises were devised. In fact, a competent
> ham should have been nearly able to work multiple states with the rig
> he has described.
>
> Anybody left alive here that remembers the 2M Gooney Box, the rig that
> was the standard for ham emergency communication for more years than I
> personally care to remember? Its final was a 2E26; Its antenna was
> typically a quarter-wave stub (a piece of stiff wire poked into the UHF
> connector on the top of its box or an antenna consisting of a half-wave
> piece of tv twin line. Its output was anywhere from 5-watts to 10-watts
> run into that improvised antenna. When battery powered on field day,
> the output of the rig was arguably between 3 and 5-watts.
>
> Still on field day exercises conducted in preparation for an emergency,
> even the low power rigs with a minimal antenna could garnish at least
> 50 contact on field day, but this was during the 1970s. Ham radio has
> seriously declined since that time. This is why I ceased to renew my
> K2JEZ General Class license around 1982.
>
> Read into my above comments what ever you want.
>
> Harry C.
>

Yes indeed....I cut my "phone" teeth with the Gonset II. I used a 10
element antenna, built up a balun for the antenna and fed it with 300 ohm

twin. Made another balun and stuck it into the coax connector. I learned how to do that from my ARRL Handbook, circa 1961.

Put it outside my bedroom window and regularly worked stations in New York, most of Pennsylvania, West Virginia, etc. This from North East Ohio. Armstrong rotar of course.

Activity is a MAJOR factor. Hams now think 2m FM is all there is on VHF. What a pity.

Dan/W4NTI

2095. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 17:59:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5eV%e.8362$q1.7274@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ZSM%e.100523$qY1.18131@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:vYE%e.7216$QE1.4982@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Gee TOM....do you think you had problem on the "National Simplex
> Frequency"
>> of 146.52 because you are NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT for contesting?
>>
>> Just a thought.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thanks Dan... I was wrong it appears... I checked the FD rules and found
> this item at the end of the list:
>
> [snip]
> 9. Miscellaneous:
>
> 9.3. Remember that the national simplex FM calling frequency of 146.52 MHz
> should not be used for making Field Day contacts.
> [snip]
>
> It does raise an interesting question... there was nobody to hear my FD
> calls on 52? It appears that the National Simplex Calling Frequency
> wasn't
> monitored that day through a large portion of state. There was nobody to
> say, "Hey fellow, no FD on 52!" Perhaps my FD calls on 52 really did
> reveal
> an inadequacy of amateur emergency communications---at least in this
> state.
>
>

Could be TOM. I get similar results here on 2 meters. We have THREE MAJOR repeators (As in high up). With hardly any activity on them. Forget simplex.

Dan/W4NTI

2096. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 18:01:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dgV%e.8363$q1.3195@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119972

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128228442.160624.313620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
>> 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
>

No takers at all....but that's OK, I heard the California QSO Party going,
so I joind it.

The beam is the Mosley TA-33SR at 50'. Working great.

Dan/W4NTI

2097. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 18:04:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <SiV%e.8364$q1.4215@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119973

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128266328.705083.169850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
>> > > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
>> > >
>> > > Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> > Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
>>
>> Didn't hear Dan.
>
> Hope he didn't get antenna advice from W3RV. ;^)
>

I have had this particular antenna since 1974. I bought it when I was in
Germany on my first tour. Put it up for a couple of years in Munford
Alabama in the 80s. Replaced it with a homebrew monobander for 20. Sold
the antenna, then bought it back.

Repaired it after it hit the ground in a storm. Then drug it around with me
for another couple of decades. Finally got a place to put it.

Dan/W4NTI

2098. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 18:06:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <okV%e.8365$q1.101@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119974

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128258109.275976.320230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
>> > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
>
> Didn't hear Dan.
>
> BTW, Toadie never showed up at the time he advertized, that's a fact.
> He's a lying sack of shit.
>

Since no one indicated they wanted a sked. I didn't make a transmission.
And like I said heard the CQP going. Which reminds me. Break is
over....back to the contest.

Dan/W4NTI

2099. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 18:08:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <emV%e.8366$q1.3403@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Fred Garvin" <garv@elaborate.net> wrote in message
news:20051002083546930 99%garv@elaboratenet...
> On 2005-10-01 22:36:24 -0400, "forcharms focee" <focees4902@google.com>
> said:
>
>> These guys are all over the band each week.
>>
>> (same shit different day)
>
>
> Legends in their own minds....
>
>
> --
> Chris: "Dad, what's a blowhole for?"
> Peter: "I'll tell you what it's NOT for and then you'll know why I can
> never go back to Sea World."
>

They got run off of 3950 a few years back by WA9KJI and his crowd of
retards.

Given the choice between KJI and his retards and the Liberty Net....I would
chose the Liberty Net.

Dan/W4NTI

2100. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 18:30:58 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <2e4ae$43402795$97d558d8$23323@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119976
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Did we cause you to spend two days googling newsgroups? You could have found
much better examples, I have been getting after net nazis since the ARPA
days. Your still a damnyankee liberal twit.

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:FTT%e.17504$Xl2.16932@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Hey, Fred,
> Does this ring a bell? Why do you feel this group would be any different
> than the one you posted the following message to?
>
> Re: Man, Mr. bush Jr. sure is wicked evil eh?
> by "w4jle" <w4jle@ > Apr 22, 2004 at 07:13 PM
>
>
> Take this crap to alt.wewearaluminimum.hats
>
> Any body forwarding this much crap either is being paid to do it, or
> seriously needs to get a life!
>
>
> --
> W4jle.com - Home of the Professional Curmudgeon
>
>
>
>
> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:55b0c$433f44ba$97d558d8$25020@ALLTEL.NET...
> > Do I look like I am "hiding" you twit!
> >
> > I can see not only are you too stupid to use a newsreader, in addition
you
> > have no clue as to what can be gleaned from my call.
> >
> > I love upsetting supercillious net Nazis. Makes my day.
> >
> > "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:R7H%e.17307$Xl2.9545@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >> Ok. So, you were the bully who couldn't follow rules and believe that
> > they
> >> never apply to you. So, now you are continuing that ideology in life
as
> > you

> >> "hide behind your keyboard."
> >
> >
>
>

2101. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 19:08:05 UTC
From: WB2DBH <WB2DBH@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10c0k1pkndu91esvfc62753q22h7dbfkef@4ax.com>
Source record: 119977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 2 Oct 2005 06:09:36 -0700,
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Ralphie wrote:
>> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128180208.204290.200210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Oediv wrote:
>> > Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male, Wogie?
>>
>> Are you still pursuing your dream of sucking Roger's dick, lisping
>> cocksucking faggot with aids?
>>
>> ////////////////////////////////////////////////////////\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
>> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
>>
>> Unoriginal as usual.
>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
>That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
>than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
>you don't have to flaunt it.
>
Shut up you inbred asshole.

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2102. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 19:21:15 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LqW%e.18322$Xl2.4448@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119978
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, I only spent a couple of minutes looking. I thought it was interesting
that the shoe is now on the other foot, for you. Found your page
interesting, too.
However, I find it ironic that you call me a yankee. I have been trying to
shed the southerner image here with my friends and coworkers ever since I
moved up here from Myrtle Beach, after retiring from the Air Force. I grew
up in Beaufort, SC, near the Naval Hospital. Most people say that I still
have the accent.

"Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:2e4ae$43402795$97d558d8$23323@ALLTEL.NET...
> Did we cause you to spend two days googling newsgroups? You could have
> found
> much better examples, I have been getting after net nazis since the ARPA
> days. Your still a damnyankee liberal twit.
>
>
> "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:FTT%e.17504$Xl2.16932@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> Hey, Fred,
>> Does this ring a bell? Why do you feel this group would be any different
>> than the one you posted the following message to?
>>
>> Re: Man, Mr. bush Jr. sure is wicked evil eh?
>> by "w4jle" <w4jle@ > Apr 22, 2004 at 07:13 PM
>>
>>
>> Take this crap to alt.wewearaluminimum.hats
>>
>> Any body forwarding this much crap either is being paid to do it, or
>> seriously needs to get a life!
>>
>>
>> --
>> W4jle.com - Home of the Professional Curmudgeon
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
>> news:55b0c$433f44ba$97d558d8$25020@ALLTEL.NET...
>> > Do I look like I am "hiding" you twit!
>> >

>> > I can see not only are you too stupid to use a newsreader, in addition
> you
>> > have no clue as to what can be gleaned from my call.
>> >
>> > I love upsetting supercillious net Nazis. Makes my day.
>> >
>> > "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
>> > news:R7H%e.17307$Xl2.9545@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> >> Ok. So, you were the bully who couldn't follow rules and believe that
>> > they
>> >> never apply to you. So, now you are continuing that ideology in life
> as
>> > you
>> >> "hide behind your keyboard."
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

2103. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 19:34:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128281676.914117.235110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119979

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > > > > crap
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > cuting sexual rant
>

> You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.

well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,

until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
>
> > > > you just show yourself
> > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > >
> > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > >
> > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > >
> > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> >
> > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
>
> Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> claim all that glory for yourself.

Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
owrds in my name
>
> > >

> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> > > > >
> > > > More and more like stevie,
> > >
> > More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.
> >
> > certainly acting ole steve boy
>
> Certainly wrong guess, dumbass!

not at all not guessing that you ARE Stevie just that you act a lot
like him
>
> > >
> > > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > > > people you have never met
> > >
> > What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?
> >
> > back to speeling cop
>
> <guffaw!> Back to drunken, illiterate moron.....

2104. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 19:35:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128281742.163499.135990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119980

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
> > > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
>
> Didn't hear Dan.
>
> BTW, Toadie never showed up at the time he advertized, that's a fact.
> He's a lying sack of shit.

as a Point of Facts he DID show up at the time he "advertised" which
was no time at all

2105. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 19:53:27 UTC
From: Stuart Orange <rottensending@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6454c$43403ab7$d1cc5825$4375@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 119981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:emV%e.8366$q1.3403@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> They got run off of 3950 a few years back by WA9KJI and his crowd of
> retards.
>
> Given the choice between KJI and his retards and the Liberty Net....I
would
> chose the Liberty Net.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Their still around Dan. (ain't that amazing?) KJI can still be heard
occasionally in the daytime usually weekdays on 14.313 although
he's not as active as he used to be allegedly due to health reasons.
On Sat nights ole 'Sid the Lid' K5BLB and his crew out west hold fort on
3.947.5 each and every Saturday night to obstensivly bloc Vic from
running the Lib Net starting on that freq, or just below their old home of
3.950 which is now occupied nightly by a group in the Southeast.

It's an ongoing soap opera Dan. One of the last few on 75 Meters in fact!
My guess is it will keep going on until all the protagionists on both
sides are either 6' under the earth, or dottering in pajamas in a nursing
home
someplace talking to itheir maginary pets and pink elephants on the
wallpaper.....

(good glub aye LOVE ham radio, it's so f-n predictable even though
it's on it's last gasps of technological and usefull life)

2106. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 20:08:20 UTC
From: kan't stand ya <larj@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <U6X%e.99910$Ph4.3140073@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 119982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan? how close are they to kicking off?

Stuart Orange wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:emV%e.8366$q1.3403@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>
>>They got run off of 3950 a few years back by WA9KJI and his crowd of
>>retards.
>>
>>Given the choice between KJI and his retards and the Liberty Net....I
>
> would
>
>>chose the Liberty Net.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Their still around Dan. (ain't that amazing?) KJI can still be heard
> occasionally in the daytime usually weekdays on 14.313 although
> he's not as active as he used to be allegedly due to health reasons.
> On Sat nights ole 'Sid the Lid' K5BLB and his crew out west hold fort on
> 3.947.5 each and every Saturday night to obstensivly bloc Vic from
> running the Lib Net starting on that freq, or just below their old home of
> 3.950 which is now occupied nightly by a group in the Southeast.
>
> It's an ongoing soap opera Dan. One of the last few on 75 Meters in fact!
> My guess is it will keep going on until all the protagionists on both
> sides are either 6' under the earth, or dottering in pajamas in a nursing
> home
> someplace talking to itheir maginary pets and pink elephants on the
> wallpaper.....
>
> (good glub aye LOVE ham radio, it's so f-n predictable even though
> it's on it's last gasps of technological and usefull life)
>

2107. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 20:20:57 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <ad29d$43404119$4fc626d$6325@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 119983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Field Day 2005 Rules
9. Miscellaneous:

9.3. Remember that the national simplex FM calling frequency of 146.52 MHz
should not be used for making Field Day contacts.

http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2005/rules-fd-2005.html

Ace - WH2T

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:nrA%e.98535$qY1.15709@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I thought I might share a couple of observations I've made regarding the
> Amateur Service; the situation in other locations may differ.
>
> Based upon my adventures last year in Florida when we got pounded by three
> hurricanes I was interested in finding out the utility of my Ham two meter
> transceiver by taking advantage of Field Day (simulated emergency test) in
> June. I raised a collinear with an advertised gain of 7 dB to thirty feet
> and powered my transceiver with a deep cycle battery. Operating on the
> 'National Simplex Calling Frequency' 146.52 MHz with 75 watts output power
> I
> attempted to find out how many stations I could contact and the extent of
> my
> operating range during the test. From my numerous calls I was able to
> contact NO ONE! Not one person responded to my Field Day calls throughout
> the day and I heard NO ONE calling Field Day! Not one Ham could I find
> operating Field Day on the two meter National Simplex Calling Frequency!

2108. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 20:22:51 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <3353f$434041cf$97d558d8$17905@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119984
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

OK, since your one of us we will give you a little slack. I will even point
out that the example you found had nothing to do with rules, but sent an
idiot to a non-existant forum who daily posted far out anti Bush stuff.

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:LqW%e.18322$Xl2.4448@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> No, I only spent a couple of minutes looking. I thought it was
interesting
> that the shoe is now on the other foot, for you. Found your page
> interesting, too.
> However, I find it ironic that you call me a yankee. I have been trying
to
> shed the southerner image here with my friends and coworkers ever since I
> moved up here from Myrtle Beach, after retiring from the Air Force. I
grew
> up in Beaufort, SC, near the Naval Hospital. Most people say that I still
> have the accent.
>
> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:2e4ae$43402795$97d558d8$23323@ALLTEL.NET...
> > Did we cause you to spend two days googling newsgroups? You could have
> > found
> > much better examples, I have been getting after net nazis since the ARPA
> > days. Your still a damnyankee liberal twit.
> >
> >
> > "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:FTT%e.17504$Xl2.16932@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >> Hey, Fred,
> >> Does this ring a bell? Why do you feel this group would be any
different
> >> than the one you posted the following message to?
> >>
> >> Re: Man, Mr. bush Jr. sure is wicked evil eh?
> >> by "w4jle" <w4jle@ > Apr 22, 2004 at 07:13 PM
> >>
> >>
> >> Take this crap to alt.wewearaluminimum.hats
> >>
> >> Any body forwarding this much crap either is being paid to do it, or
> >> seriously needs to get a life!
> >>
> >>

> >> --
> >> W4jle.com - Home of the Professional Curmudgeon
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> >> news:55b0c$433f44ba$97d558d8$25020@ALLTEL.NET...
> >> > Do I look like I am "hiding" you twit!
> >> >
> >> > I can see not only are you too stupid to use a newsreader, in
addition
> > you
> >> > have no clue as to what can be gleaned from my call.
> >> >
> >> > I love upsetting supercillious net Nazis. Makes my day.
> >> >
> >> > "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:R7H%e.17307$Xl2.9545@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >> >> Ok. So, you were the bully who couldn't follow rules and believe
that
> >> > they
> >> >> never apply to you. So, now you are continuing that ideology in
life
> > as
> >> > you
> >> >> "hide behind your keyboard."
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

2109. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 20:55:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128286514.012531.137610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

WB2DBH wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2005 06:09:36 -0700,
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Ralphie wrote:
> >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128180208.204290.200210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Oediv wrote:
> >> > Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male, Wogie?
> >>
> >> Are you still pursuing your dream of sucking Roger's dick, lisping
> >> cocksucking faggot with aids?
> >>
> >> //\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
> >> \\\
> >>
> >> Unoriginal as usual.
> >^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
> >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
> >you don't have to flaunt it.
> >
> Shut up you inbred asshole.

Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.

2110. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 20:57:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128286650.488816.242990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119986

Toadie never showed at the time he said he'd be transmitting anyways.
No loss to ham radio in any case.

Good luck in the contest.

2111. Re: What's the problem? - youwhinebaby.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 20:59:58 UTC
From: WB2DBH <WB2DBH@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9gi0k114s7ubl8k3ktt95cs65ihrefc8l9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> WB2DBH wrote:
> > On 2 Oct 2005 06:09:36 -0700,
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >Ralphie wrote:
> > >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:1128180208.204290.200210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >>
> > >> Oediv wrote:
> > >> > Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male,
> > >> > Wogie?
> > >>
> > >> Are you still pursuing your dream of sucking Roger's dick, lisping
> > >> cocksucking faggot with aids?
> > >>
> > >> ////////////////////////////////////////////////////////\\\\\\\\\\
> > >> \\\\\\\\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
> > >>
> > >> Unoriginal as usual.
> > >^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > >
> > >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
> > >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
> > >you don't have to flaunt it.
> > >
> > Shut up you inbred asshole.
>
> Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2112. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 21:01:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128286860.804261.177050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119987

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > >
>
> > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
>
> well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,

So defensive! Are you ashamerd deep down inside of your perverse
lifestyle?

> until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep

No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.

> >
> > > > > you just show yourself
> > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > > >
> > > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> > >
> > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
> >
```

> > Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> > claim all that glory for yourself.
>
> Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
> owrds in my name

"owards?" You shouldn't be drinking on Sunday, you sot. Thanks for
showing us your idiocy in all your glory.

> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > More and more like stevie,
> > > > >
> > > > > More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.
> > >
> > > certainly acting ole steve boy
> >
> > Certainly wrong guess, dumbass!
>
> not at all not guessing that you ARE Stevie just that you act a lot
> like him

Give us a chorus of "How dry I am," Faggot. I'm nothing like Robeson,
dumbass.

> > > >
> > > > > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > > > > people you have never met
> > > >
> > > > What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?
> > >
> > > back to speeling cop
> >
> > <guffaw!> Back to drunken, illiterate moron.....

2113. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 21:02:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128286936.117825.269100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119988

No, dumbass, he said he'd be on there 4 pm CST and he never opened his slobbering yap. He's a big a liar as you are,Marky.

2114. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 21:04:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128287088.547208.134900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119990
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You couldn't whoop the crap out of your colostomy bag.

2115. Re: What's the problem? - youwhinebaby.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 21:06:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128287209.998769.293270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
WB2DBH wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > WB2DBH wrote:
> > > On 2 Oct 2005 06:09:36 -0700,
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >Ralphie wrote:
> > > >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:1128180208.204290.200210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >>
> > > >> Oediv wrote:
> > > >> > Are you still pursuing your dream of finding an ideal Alpha Male,
> > > >> > Wogie?
> > > >>
> > > >> Are you still pursuing your dream of sucking Roger's dick, lisping
> > > >> cocksucking faggot with aids?
> > > >>
> > > >> ////////////////////////////////////////////////////////\\\\\\\\\\
> > > >> \\\\\\\\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
> > > >>
> > > >> Unoriginal as usual.
> > > >> >^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > > >
> > > >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
> > > >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
> > > >you don't have to flaunt it.
> > > >
> > > Shut up you inbred asshole.
> > >
> > Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.

Here's one for you, Stevens....

http://www.nething-goes.com/taryn/misc/shutthefuckup.jpg
```

2116. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 22:00:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <8MY%e.409$647.99@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 119992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128204021.109074.67420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128171198.100482.104260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K HB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> > > kept the Morse Forever warriors going.....
> >
> > Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen,
> craftsmen,
> > and subcontractors?
>
> You should advertise for this company in a more applicable group. This
> group is for the express purpose of discussing amateur radio policy,
> especially morse code policy. Thank you for your concern.
> ******** AA2QA's reply seperator *********
> What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> few skills.

which is beside the point. This a radio newsgroup
>

My point is it just *might* be a good way to post some jobs. Even if
off-topic ;)

My error. I forgot to mention that I used to sort mosquitoes under a
microscope to genus and species. I never was good at knot-typing though,
despite being in the Navy. Sigh.

Then again, perhaps we should limit ourselves to the usual arguing .... :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2117. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 22:23:02 UTC
From: Candy <Weve@noRoger.ux>
Message-ID: <dhpmgt$f27$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 119993

So defensive! Are you ashamerd deep down inside of your perverse
lifestyle?

> until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep

No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
.....

"ashamerd"????

2118. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 22:25:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128291949.025881.238930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119994

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > >
> > >
> > > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> >
> > well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> > and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,
>
> So defensive! Are you ashamerd deep down inside of your perverse
> lifestyle?

not at all defensive

but the question stands what has my (or anyone elses sexuality got to
do with Ham radio?
>
> > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
>
> No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.

no it show you are a hate small minded creep
>
> > >
> > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> > > > >
> > > > > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
> > > >
> > > Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> > > claim all that glory for yourself.
> >
> > Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
> > owrds in my name
>
> "owards?" You shouldn't be drinking on Sunday, you sot. Thanks for
> showing us your idiocy in all your glory.

Why shouldn't drink on Sinday if I choose? becuase it might offend YOUR
religion?

Bullshit

>
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > More and more like stevie,
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > certainly acting ole steve boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Certainly wrong guess, dumbass!
> > > > >
> > > > not at all not guessing that you ARE Stevie just that you act a lot
> > > > like him
> > >
> > Give us a chorus of "How dry I am," Faggot. I'm nothing like Robeson,
> > dumbass.

sure are like him

as obsessed with other people's sexaulity, unable to deal with reality,
as likely to make totaly unconected statements

Very Stevish
>
> > > > > >

> > > > > > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > > > > > people you have never met
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?
> > > > >
> > > > > back to speeling cop
> > > >
> > > > <guffaw!> Back to drunken, illiterate moron.....

2119. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 22:29:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <GbZ%e.7606$QE1.7595@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119995

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128286650.488816.242990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Toadie never showed at the time he said he'd be transmitting anyways.
> No loss to ham radio in any case.
>
> Good luck in the contest.
>

I knew the Toad wouldn't show up. He is just full of it.

I was trying out the new beam installation here. Beat the crap out of the
wires.

Made 363 QSO's and all but 7 counties in California. 47,736 was the score.
Think this is the best I've done with the California QSO Party.

Low Power (100w) by the way.

Dan/W4NTI

2120. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 22:31:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128292305.812531.63630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128204021.109074.67420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128171198.100482.104260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > The sheer stupidity of the premise is what
> > > > kept the Morse Forever warriors going......
> > >
> > > Which has WTF to do with a company advertising for telephone linemen,
> > craftsmen,
> > > and subcontractors?
> >
> > You should advertise for this company in a more applicable group. This
> > group is for the express purpose of discussing amateur radio policy,
> > especially morse code policy. Thank you for your concern.
> > ******** AA2QA's reply seperator *********
> > What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> > something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> > few skills.
>
> which is beside the point. This a radio newsgroup
> >
>
> My point is it just *might* be a good way to post some jobs. Even if
> off-topic ;)
>
> My error. I forgot to mention that I used to sort mosquitoes under a
> microscope to genus and species. I never was good at knot-typing though,
> despite being in the Navy. Sigh.
>
> Then again, perhaps we should limit ourselves to the usual arguing .... :)

Hans was trolling for much the response he got, so it seems he could
complain about it
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY

> Jim AA2QA

2121. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 22:35:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JgZ%e.7611$QE1.7416@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stuart Orange" <rottensending@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6454c$43403ab7$d1cc5825$4375@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:emV%e.8366$q1.3403@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> They got run off of 3950 a few years back by WA9KJI and his crowd of
>> retards.
>>
>> Given the choice between KJI and his retards and the Liberty Net....I
> would
>> chose the Liberty Net.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Their still around Dan. (ain't that amazing?) KJI can still be heard
> occasionally in the daytime usually weekdays on 14.313 although
> he's not as active as he used to be allegedly due to health reasons.
> On Sat nights ole 'Sid the Lid' K5BLB and his crew out west hold fort on
> 3.947.5 each and every Saturday night to obstensivly bloc Vic from
> running the Lib Net starting on that freq, or just below their old home of
> 3.950 which is now occupied nightly by a group in the Southeast.
>
> It's an ongoing soap opera Dan. One of the last few on 75 Meters in fact!
> My guess is it will keep going on until all the protagionists on both
> sides are either 6' under the earth, or dottering in pajamas in a nursing
> home
> someplace talking to itheir maginary pets and pink elephants on the
> wallpaper.....
>
> (good glub aye LOVE ham radio, it's so f-n predictable even though
> it's on it's last gasps of technological and usefull life)
>
Yeah your probably right. Look at the Abernathy Radio group that used to
dominate 3894.5. They are history. Well I don't have the time to go
through all of them...hi.

KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book. But they found a good
audience on 14.313. After all where could you get Donnie Dumbass and his
crowd with the KJI/BLB show and not be in a bobby bin?

It is so bad with that bunch on 313 that they even run the Texas Twit off.

Dan/W4NTI

2122. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 22:36:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <1iZ%e.7612$QE1.6878@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"kan't stand ya" <larj@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:U6X%e.99910$Ph4.3140073@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> Dan? how close are they to kicking off?
>

I don't know for sure. But they both have to be in their seventies at
least.

Dan/W4NTI

2123. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 23:40:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128296413.952413.236920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Many don't. Or if they did, they have long forgotten them.
> > Do you disagree?
>
> Depends on the definition of "many". Is 25 "many"? If it is, then I'm sure
> that "many" don't.
>
> Or does "many" mean "most"? If so, then "many" haven't.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Yes.

2124. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 23:41:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128296460.768915.242010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Reply to Hans. He has the scoop on the job you're looking for.
>
> I'm not looking, I'm offering.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

How much does it pay?

2125. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-02 23:45:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128296710.677220.7160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119901

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128266328.705083.169850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> > > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
> >> > > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
> >> > >
> >> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >> > Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
> >>
> >> Didn't hear Dan.
> >
> > Hope he didn't get antenna advice from W3RV. ;^)
> >
>
> I have had this particular antenna since 1974. I bought it when I was in
> Germany on my first tour. Put it up for a couple of years in Munford
> Alabama in the 80s. Replaced it with a homebrew monobander for 20. Sold
> the antenna, then bought it back.
>
> Repaired it after it hit the ground in a storm. Then drug it around with me
> for another couple of decades. Finally got a place to put it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

OK! I've been dragging stuff around for a few years, and I'm real
tired of living in a restricted neighborhood. By next summer I should
have some real estate that's not 70'x120'.

Best of Luck getting it working.

2126. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 02:00:15 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan says
"KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"

We reply:
Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
Sidney.

I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.

Ahhhh the good old days.

2127. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 02:14:28 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128305668.625567.28450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LRod posted:

"K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work
"multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try
that in any state west of the Appalachins."

No, central New Jersey. Dhuh!

"Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old time

ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people
determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur
radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter
century."

No Mr. Dork, I simply lost interest in ham radio when it became
infested with clueless CB types who only hold ham tickets because they
crammed their way through the licensing exams. When store purchased
commercial rigs appeared, the ham bands became cluttered with these
types to the extent that one QSO after another led to nothing but
uninformed, mindless blathering as it remains today.

However, I am forever grateful to my ham radio experience because it
led the way for me to obtain my First Class Commercial ticket, my job
as chief engineer of a Trenton, NJ radio station, and ultimately paid
for my BS level college education at Drexel University. The knowledge
acquired though my ham activities also qualified me for a coop job as a
transmitter designer at Barker & Williamson (the B&W 5100 xmtr was one
of my projects and later the Army's T368 transmitter.) My ham radio
and educational background ultimately led me to a 15+ year career with
Raytheon developing military electronics.

When active as a ham, the majority of my time was spent developing and
perfecting ham TV rigs and TTY systems that represented the cutting
edge technology of that time when the joy of building and operating a
conventional CW, AM, or SSB rig became old hat.

What saddens me most is the degree to which ham radio has become
emasculated and rendered devoid of almost all technical value. Bitter,
no. Saddened, yes!

Harry C.

2128. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 02:28:05 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <43409736$0$3760$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 119904
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:
> Did we cause you to spend two days googling newsgroups? You could have found
> much better examples, I have been getting after net nazis since the ARPA
> days. Your still a damnyankee liberal twit.

Not everyone north of the moron line is a "llberal yankee twit", so
shove it up your southern idiot ass.

tom
a northern nondemocrat
K0TAR

2129. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 02:55:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N410f.2388$4h2.24@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> Hans was trolling for much the response he got........

Posting job opportunities for communications crafts in a radio-orientated
newsgroup is trolling?

konstans@hotmail.com = PLONK!!!!!

2130. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 03:29:06 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1daa6f8f1f2ab9d989b31@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 119906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
kk4tl@aol.com says...
> Dan says
> "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
>
> We reply:
> Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> Sidney.
>
> I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
>
> Ahhhh the good old days.
>
>

Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.

I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night, announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon after, hopefully in great pain.

The good old days is right!

BDK

2131. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 03:56:24 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <ota1k1tu7uansgteniugk28qs6jhe9eiet@4ax.com>
Source record: 119907
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 17:17:15 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 23:26:21 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>>canals.
>
>In Santa Rosa? Perhaps if the Russian River overflowed - a lot.

ISP location != subscriber location. One subscriber is in New
Zealand.

2132. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 04:12:54 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <imb1k11e7i7mq5vi959nvvkovbhpo0g8re@4ax.com>
Source record: 119909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 2 Oct 2005 13:55:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>> >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
>> >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
>> >you don't have to flaunt it.
>> >
>> Shut up you inbred asshole.
>
>Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.

You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.

2133. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 04:26:48 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dgc1k11gncpus4g0udn1je9d5m709dges9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119908
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 03:56:24 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

> ISP location != subscriber location. One subscriber is in New
>Zealand.

Trying to convince us you pay trans-oceanic toll charges for a modem
hookup? And being in New Zealand has you/them/whomever offering:
>>> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>>>canals.

Yeh - sure.

2134. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 06:49:12 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <21l1k1hnpavq6i288c3tg759poutp5b4mk@4ax.com>
Source record: 119910
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 00:22:56 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 23:56:14 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>
>> Mommy and Daddy have been dead since 95 and 61, respectively.
>>
>> If you think you're important enough that I should leap to the
>>keyboard daily, you're sadly mistaken. I may only look in once a week.
>>Live with it.
>>
>> So, you're not only full of shit on both counts, you're also
>>wrong.
>>
>> And just how hollow is your life that you have to track my
>>posting delays? Get a hobby.
>
>My, my! I seem to have hit a nerve.

The only thing I've hit is a demonstration of the depths of
your arrogance and stupidity.

2135. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 06:51:22 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <34l1k1h00rlsqgicd6fkg2fi4m490lv31g@4ax.com>
Source record: 119911
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 19:09:56 GMT, "Tom Donaly"
<dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>> On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 02:54:34 GMT, "Tom Donaly"
>> <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>Tom Donaly wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Faith and works are, like voltage and current, inextricably intertwined.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> They are not.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The proof of faith is the good work. Those who do no good have no faith.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Your logic book get lost in the hurricane? Come back when you
>>>>can name the logical fallacy you just committed.
>>>
>>>Some of the lower forms of American Christianity try to separate faith
>>>and works. That allows them salvation without substance, the lazy man's
>>>path to life without end.
>>
>>
>> in your narrow view.
>>
>>
>>> My last two sentences are statements of truth
>>
>>
>> "I fear the man who has all the answers." -- Father James
>> Marien, S.J. -- 1961 -- in my presence.
>>
>>
>>>, not a logical
>>>progression.

>>
>>
>> As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.
>
>In other words, you made the connection up in your head. Typical.

Jesus, another liunatic who thinks he knows all about me.
Pompous bastard.

>
>
>>
>>
>>
>>>Each has to be taken alone, by itself. (A religious
>>>fundamentalist insisting on logical consistency constitutes a
>>>pretty good joke.) Let me repeat: Those who do no good have no
>>>faith.
>>
>>
>> Repitition does not include leaving off the first half of the
>> line you wrote. In a humorous logic treatise, your statement would be
>> named "The Proof from Blatant Assertion".
>>
>>
>>>Next time you and your friends are sitting around speaking
>>>in tongues, you should discuss this. Maybe, if you all think real
>>>hard, together, you can puzzle out what it means.
>>
>>
>>
>>>73,
>>>Tom Donaly, KA6RUH
>>
>>
>
>Unable to address the truth of what I wrote, you fantasize an argument
>out of your sophomoric command of medieval logic. That, too, is typical,
>if somewhat pathetic, since your religion is based on unquestioning
>belief, superstition, and a fundamental opposition to science and
>inquiring thought. Give it up. No brain can justify the brainless.

Considering your lastname, I can take a stab at what your
religin was before you lost it.

>73,
>Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

2136. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 06:53:32 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <eal1k1p93b13fv7olg0jkqgpb4qv9dnrqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 119912
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 30 Sep 2005 00:22:56 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 29 Sep 2005 23:56:14 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>
>> Mommy and Daddy have been dead since 95 and 61, respectively.
>>
>> If you think you're important enough that I should leap to the
>>keyboard daily, you're sadly mistaken. I may only look in once a week.
>>Live with it.
>>
>> So, you're not only full of shit on both counts, you're also
>>wrong.
>>
>> And just how hollow is your life that you have to track my
>>posting delays? Get a hobby.
>
>My, my! I seem to have hit a nerve.

You couldn't hit your ass with a sword stuck in a toilet.

2137. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 08:23:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128327795.980637.57150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Hans was trolling for much the response he got........
>
> Posting job opportunities for communications crafts in a radio-orientated
> newsgroup is trolling?
>
yes

and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice
either

this not a help wanted venure

nor do you even bother to deny

telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
Hans

You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the
ill grace to complain about it

then you folow with a hack job on a post

2138. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 08:30:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128328226.343633.164000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> LRod posted:
>
> "K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work
> "multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try
> that in any state west of the Appalachins."
>
> No, central New Jersey. Dhuh!

which is close for the point to be valid
>
> "Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old time
>
> ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people
> determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur
> radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter
> century."
>
> No Mr. Dork, I simply lost interest in ham radio when it became
> infested with clueless CB types who only hold ham tickets because they
> crammed their way through the licensing exams. When store purchased
> commercial rigs appeared, the ham bands became cluttered with these
> types to the extent that one QSO after another led to nothing but
> uninformed, mindless blathering as it remains today.

Hmm you lost interest but you are HERE engaging in debate over it
>
> However, I am forever grateful to my ham radio experience because it
> led the way for me to obtain my First Class Commercial ticket, my job
> as chief engineer of a Trenton, NJ radio station, and ultimately paid
> for my BS level college education at Drexel University. The knowledge
> acquired though my ham activities also qualified me for a coop job as a
> transmitter designer at Barker & Williamson (the B&W 5100 xmtr was one
> of my projects and later the Army's T368 transmitter.) My ham radio
> and educational background ultimately led me to a 15+ year career with
> Raytheon developing military electronics.
>
> When active as a ham, the majority of my time was spent developing and
> perfecting ham TV rigs and TTY systems that represented the cutting
> edge technology of that time when the joy of building and operating a
> conventional CW, AM, or SSB rig became old hat.
>
> What saddens me most is the degree to which ham radio has become
> emasculated and rendered devoid of almost all technical value. Bitter,

> no. Saddened, yes!

looks like one the fellas still pissed over Incentive licensing and blaming those who just followed the rules laid down for getting their licenses for the rulst of the ARRL's games back then, some several decades ago

wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this case an Bitter Old Timer
>
> Harry C.

2139. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 08:32:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

BDK wrote:
> In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> kk4tl@aol.com says...
> > Dan says
> > "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
> >
> > We reply:
> > Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> > Sidney.
> >
> > I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> > There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> > Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
> >
> > Ahhhh the good old days.
> >
> >
>
> Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
> off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
> speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
>
> I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
> announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
> after, hopefully in great pain.
>
>
> The good old days is right!

and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
>
> BDK

2140. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 09:02:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128327898.259935.275200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Hans was trolling for much the response he got........
>
> Posting job opportunities for communications crafts in a radio-orientated
> newsgroup is trolling?
>
yes

and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice
either

this not a help wanted venure

nor do you even bother to deny

telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
Hans

You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the
ill grace to complain about it

then you folow with a hack job on a post

the original post never realy belonged here at least the religous
thread under Katrina response evolved from a legit topic

2141. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 09:30:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1128331802.985557.143210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119917

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128266328.705083.169850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >> > > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
> > >> > > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >> >
> > >> > Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
> > >>
> > >> Didn't hear Dan.
> > >
> > > Hope he didn't get antenna advice from W3RV. ;^)
> > >
> >
> > I have had this particular antenna since 1974. I bought it when I was in
> > Germany on my first tour. Put it up for a couple of years in Munford
> > Alabama in the 80s. Replaced it with a homebrew monobander for 20. Sold
> > the antenna, then bought it back.
> >
> > Repaired it after it hit the ground in a storm. Then drug it around with me
> > for another couple of decades. Finally got a place to put it.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> OK! I've been dragging stuff around for a few years, and I'm real
> tired of living in a restricted neighborhood. By next summer I should
> have some real estate that's not 70'x120'.

Horsepucky Putzlet, your goofy excuses don't cut it. I'd kill for a 70'
x 120' lot. No biggie though because I managed to pick up a 5BDXCC,
300+ confirmed and WAZs for both phone and CW from a 50'x 100' lot. And
that was back in tube radio days for God's sake. AND not counting all
the meg+ dx contest scores I churned out. Your problem is not your lot
size, your problem is YOU.

Bleh!

> Best of Luck getting it working.

Dan doesn't need luck but you will - lot size completely aside.

w3rv

2142. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 09:38:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128332319.184984.9770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > Hans was trolling for much the response he got........
> >
> > Posting job opportunities for communications crafts in a radio-orientated
> > newsgroup is trolling?
> >
> yes
>
> and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice
> either
>
> this not a help wanted venure
>
> nor do you even bother to deny
>
> telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
> Hans
>
> You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the
> ill grace to complain about it
>
> then you folow with a hack job on a post

Hans apparently forgets the distinction between amateur radio and radio
for hire. I'll allow the usual suspects to set him straight on the
matter.

2143. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 09:48:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128332929.110021.68720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119919

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128266328.705083.169850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > >> > > I need to test out my new beam. Anyone want to sked ? I'll be on
> > > >> > > 14321 at 2059Z Saturday, 1 October 2005.
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Dan, hope your skeds went well. What beam were you trying out? bb
> > > >>
> > > >> Didn't hear Dan.
> > > >
> > > > Hope he didn't get antenna advice from W3RV. ;^)
> > >
> > > I have had this particular antenna since 1974. I bought it when I was in
> > > Germany on my first tour. Put it up for a couple of years in Munford
> > > Alabama in the 80s. Replaced it with a homebrew monobander for 20. Sold
> > > the antenna, then bought it back.
> > >
> > > Repaired it after it hit the ground in a storm. Then drug it around with me
> > > for another couple of decades. Finally got a place to put it.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > OK! I've been dragging stuff around for a few years, and I'm real
> > tired of living in a restricted neighborhood. By next summer I should
> > have some real estate that's not 70'x120'.
>
> Horsepucky Putzlet, your goofy excuses don't cut it. I'd kill for a 70'
> x 120' lot. No biggie though because I managed to pick up a 5BDXCC,
> 300+ confirmed and WAZs for both phone and CW from a 50'x 100' lot. And
> that was back in tube radio days for God's sake. AND not counting all
> the meg+ dx contest scores I churned out. Your problem is not your lot
> size, your problem is YOU.
>
> Bleh!

Welp Kelly, I bought this home with the knowledge that it was in a restricted neighborhood. So my "goofy excuses" do "cut it." You on the other hand are known for your rules breaking. You started your amateur career as a self-admitted bootlegger, and you start field-day contests somewhat earlier than the contest rules allow for. And what you'd kill for is pathetic. I choose to -follow- the rules. You choose to break the rules. ;^)

> > Best of Luck getting it working.
>
> Dan doesn't need luck but you will - lot size completely aside.
>
> w3rv

Lot size isn't the deciding factor, see "restricted neighborhood." I guess you really can't fix stupid.

2144. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 12:22:02 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1128342122.011659.222380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119920

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> > > OK! I've been dragging stuff around for a few years, and I'm real
> > > tired of living in a restricted neighborhood. By next summer I should
> > > have some real estate that's not 70'x120'.
> >
> > Horsepucky Putzlet, your goofy excuses don't cut it. I'd kill for a 70'
> > x 120' lot. No biggie though because I managed to pick up a 5BDXCC,
> > 300+ confirmed and WAZs for both phone and CW from a 50'x 100' lot. And
> > that was back in tube radio days for God's sake. AND not counting all
> > the meg+ dx contest scores I churned out. Your problem is not your lot
> > size, your problem is YOU.
> >
> > Bleh!
>
> Welp Kelly, I bought this home with the knowledge that it was in a
> restricted neighborhood. So my "goofy excuses" do "cut it."

Bwaaahaha! Not. See below.

> You on
> the other hand are known for your rules breaking. You started your
> amateur career as a self-admitted bootlegger,

Yeah right. Twelve years old and George heard my Model T Ford spark
coil CW a block around the corner. BFD. Then comes your (mythical) shot
at bootlegging in T5 . .

> and you start field-day
> contests somewhat earlier than the contest rules allow for.

WTF are you talking about??

> And what
> you'd kill for is pathetic. I choose to -follow- the rules. You
> choose to break the rules. ;^)

How hard is it to avoid breaking the rules when you got no antennas to
break the rules with ya DITZ? Do us all a big favor and "maintain yer
current status".

> > > Best of Luck getting it working.
> >
> > Dan doesn't need luck but you will - lot size completely aside.

> >
> > w3rv
>
> Lot size isn't the deciding factor, see "restricted neighborhood." I
> guess you really can't fix stupid.

The ultimate stupid is defined as a ham who knowingly moves into an antenna-restricted neighborhood. Apply for the award from the Darwin Society, you've earned it fair and square. .

w3rv

2145. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 12:36:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128342977.586730.16200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119921

Candy aka Lardass Lloyd Davies wrote:
> So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> lifestyle?

typo. BFD.

>
> > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
>
> No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> ....
>
> "ash<whine>

Posting as a woman and finally embracing your inner woman, Lardass?

2146. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 12:44:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128343466.967722.17420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119922

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> > > > > > and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,
> > > > >
> > > > > So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> > > > > lifestyle?
> > > >
> > > > not at all defensive

Wrong. You are defensive, you even cut away those words that draw
attention to it.

> but the question stands what has my (or anyone elses sexuality got to
> do with Ham radio?

Cry, faggot, cry.

> > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > >
> > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> >
> > no it show you are a hate small minded creep

> >
> > > >
> > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
> > > > >
> > > > > Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> > > > > claim all that glory for yourself.
> > > >
> > > Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
> > > owrds in my name
> >
> > "owards?" You shouldn't be drinking on Sunday, you sot. Thanks for
> > showing us your idiocy in all your glory.
>
> Why shouldn't drink on Sinday if I choose? becuase it might offend YOUR
> religion?

"Sinday?" Freudian slip, Marky? When the fuck ever I said I susbscribed
to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
christian religion.

What are you full of, besides your boyfriend's sperm, Marky?

> Bullshit

Yep, you are.

> >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > More and more like stevie,
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > certainly acting ole steve boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Certainly wrong guess, dumbass!

> > >
> > > not at all not guessing that you ARE Stevie just that you act a lot
> > > like him
> >
> > Give us a chorus of "How dry I am," Faggot. I'm nothing like Robeson,
> > dumbass.
>
> sure are like him

No, stupid. Now, where's that chorus, drunk?

> as obsessed with other people's sexaulity,

I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marky. It would be
better for ham radio if you did.

> unable to deal with reality,
> as likely to make totaly unconected statements

That's the pot calling the kettle black if there ever was one, you
illiterate son of a bitch.

> Very Stevish

Full of spelling errors and illogical statements, very Marky.

> >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > > > > > > people you have never met
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > back to speeling cop
> > > > >
> > > > > <guffaw!> Back to drunken, illiterate moron.....

2147. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 12:52:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128343946.543037.32880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Uncle Turd wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2005 13:55:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> >> >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
> >> >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
> >> >you don't have to flaunt it.
> >> >
> >> Shut up you inbred asshole.
> >
> >Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.
>
> You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
> sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.

You obviously have the permanent cranial-rectal inversion syndrome,
Uncle Turd. Pull your head out of your ass.

2148. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 13:55:26 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915cb$43413882$97d558d8$23621@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 119924
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Having a reading comprehension problem Tom? Please point to the portion of
the below sentence that says "ALL".

The word "YOUR" is the operable one and has a distinct meaning. You Yankees
are getting like the blacks, looking for any slight, real or imagined, so
you may take umbrage with it...

What exactly is the "it" that I am supposed to use as a suppository?

Fred
A Southern curmudgeon
W4JLE

"Tom Ring" <news0002@taring.org> wrote in message
news:43409736$0$3760$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Fred W4JLE wrote:
> > Did we cause you to spend two days googling newsgroups? You could have
found
> > much better examples, I have been getting after net nazis since the ARPA
> > days. Your still a damnyankee liberal twit.
>
> Not everyone north of the moron line is a "llberal yankee twit", so
> shove it up your southern idiot ass.
>
> tom
> a northern nondemocrat
> K0TAR

2149. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 15:01:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128351718.071780.235960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119925

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> > > > > > > > and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> > > > > > > lifestyle?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > not at all defensive
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wrong. You are defensive, you even cut away those words that draw
> > > > > attention to it.

not at all I cut away the stuff that does not belong here
> > > > >
> > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone elses sexuality got to
> > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > >
> > > > Cry, faggot, cry.

answer the question bigot
> > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > >
> > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> >
> > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> > > > > > claim all that glory for yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > > Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
> > > > > owrds in my name
> > > >
> > > > "owards?" You shouldn't be drinking on Sunday, you sot. Thanks for
> > > > showing us your idiocy in all your glory.
> >
> > Why shouldn't drink on Sinday if I choose? becuase it might offend YOUR
> > religion?
>
> "Sinday?" Freudian slip, Marky? When the fuck ever I said I susbscribed
> to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> christian religion.

a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be christain, never have made such a
claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a google
sreach of the gruop on the word Pagan
>
> What are you full of, besides your boyfriend's sperm, Marky?
>
> > Bullshit
>
> Yep, you are.
>
> > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > More and more like stevie,
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > certainly acting ole steve boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Certainly wrong guess, dumbass!
> > > > >
> > > > > > not at all not guessing that you ARE Stevie just that you act a lot
> > > > > like him
> > >
> > > > Give us a chorus of "How dry I am," Faggot. I'm nothing like Robeson,
> > > dumbass.
> >
> > sure are like him
>
> No, stupid. Now, where's that chorus, drunk?
>
> > as obsessed with other people's sexaulity,
>
> I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marky. It would be
> better for ham radio if you did.

more proof of your sexual issues

and that you are a hate filled creep

Futher it shows you to be stupid as well.

world wide most aids cases are spread heterosexualy, and even in the US
where at time this has not been the case the highest infection rate is
amoug heteros these days, folks mostly like you seem to be, stupid
enough to think the virus cares about sexual oreintation
>
> > unable to deal with reality,
> > as likely to make totaly unconected statements
>
> That's the pot calling the kettle black if there ever was one, you
> illiterate son of a bitch.

claiming to have met My mother as well?
>
> > Very Stevish
>
> Full of spelling errors and illogical statements, very Marky.
>
> > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > > > > > > > people you have never met
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > back to speeling cop
> > > > > >
> > > > > <guffaw!> Back to drunken, illiterate moron.....

2150. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 15:03:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128351785.630972.307400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > Hans was trolling for much the response he got........
> > >
> > > Posting job opportunities for communications crafts in a radio-orientated
> > > newsgroup is trolling?
> > >
> > yes
> >
> > and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice
> > either
> >
> > this not a help wanted venure
> >
> > nor do you even bother to deny
> >
> > telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
> > Hans
> >
> > You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the
> > ill grace to complain about it
> >
> > then you folow with a hack job on a post
>
> Hans apparently forgets the distinction between amateur radio and radio
> for hire. I'll allow the usual suspects to set him straight on the
> matter.

hans forgets most distintions when it suits him,

2151. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 16:21:42 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <0YWdnW7_0tgLx9zeRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 119927
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fred W4JLE wrote:

> The word "YOUR" is the operable one and has a distinct meaning. You Yankees
> are getting like the blacks, looking for any slight, real or imagined, so
> you may take umbrage with it...

Don't give up yet, Fred, general Lee is bringing the reinforcmenets any
day now...

2152. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 16:30:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128357033.957932.132570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Hampton wrote:
> What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> few skills.
>
> These are usually folks that have a genuine interest in how things work, not
> how to turn a knob or push a button or keys. This isn't to say that folks
> that simply wish to talk are not welcome; they certainly are.
>
> Although I do not possess a college degree, I am a certified electronics
> technician. I have repaired two-way radios (business and trunking radios).
> I have done a lot of electrical control and power wiring. I have not worked
> in high voltage, but have done a fair amount of 277/480 3 phase work. I've
> climbed atop silos and repaired bag houses. Welded, soldered, cut, run
> milling machines, surface grinders, lathes, and more. If a saws-all can't
> do the job readily, the oxy-acetylene tourch will handle it well for me
> (hmmmm ... wonder how that would solder pl-259s? LOL). Done EMC compliance
> studies along with UL compliance. Come to think of it, ozone compliance.
> Can you spell exponential decay? Come to think of it, I've programmed
> slc-500s, Texas Instruments PLCs, Modicon PLCs, and more (including data
> highways and ethernet). Even written a program to generate ladders from
> simply inputting I/O assignments and letting the program know what I want to
> have happen. Under 15 minutes to properly program 3 cells. Another 5
> minutes to debug because someone wired a switch backwards (normally closed
> rather than normally open).

And a whole lot more, I bet.

The trouble is that skills aren't as valued as they once were.
Particularly if they aren't "state of the art", whatever that means.
Whether it be
Morse Code or how to put up an antenna in a less-than-perfect location,
or even make your own bread, many people look down upon "basic" or
"old" skills as a sort of poor relation to "high tech". As in "not
worth their time or effort".
>
> The nice thing about amateur radio is that it encompasses a whole spectrum
> of individuals, unlike most trade magazines. To me, advertising in an
> amateur publication such as QST would make a lot of sense, especially if you
> are trying to locate a number of different skills (rather than a number of
> ads in different magazines or newspapers).

Agree 100%. There's a long history of such ads, too.
>

> As to Morse, it can be fun. If we had difficulty with it back when (for me,
> 1962), we learned to overcome that difficulty (not a bad thing to learn, in
> my humble opinion). Come to think of it, as much grief as it gave me (when
> memorizing dots and dashes), once I learned it by sound, I enjoyed it and by
> 1967 had perfect copy at 40 words per minute in the U.S. Navy. More than
> 40? I don't know; that was the fastest test they had back then. I would
> have had difficulty much beyond that as we were banging away with manual
> typewriters then. I might have (possibly) made 50 at most. Disclaimer -
> that would be perfect typewritten copy filling close to a whole page of
> paper. A few errors would have allowed me considerably faster copy. Then.
> (LOL)

It took a bit of doing for me to learn Morse Code. First I acquired a straight key and built an oscillator so I could practice sending. But they also tested receiving back in 1967, so I had to build a receiver, find the 80 meter ham band, find some hams sending fairly slowly, and then figure out what they were sending. I guess being 12-13 years old and not having anybody tell me I was too young helped...

You may enjoy this article, too: I sure did.

http://www.salon.com/opinion/feature/2005/09/28/keillor_work/index.html?sid=1394604

73 de Jim, N2EY

2153. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 16:48:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128358116.979812.137920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> > something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> > few skills.
> >
> > These are usually folks that have a genuine interest in how things work, not
> > how to turn a knob or push a button or keys. This isn't to say that folks
> > that simply wish to talk are not welcome; they certainly are.
> >
> > Although I do not possess a college degree, I am a certified electronics
> > technician. I have repaired two-way radios (business and trunking radios).
> > I have done a lot of electrical control and power wiring. I have not worked
> > in high voltage, but have done a fair amount of 277/480 3 phase work. I've
> > climbed atop silos and repaired bag houses. Welded, soldered, cut, run
> > milling machines, surface grinders, lathes, and more. If a saws-all can't
> > do the job readily, the oxy-acetylene tourch will handle it well for me
> > (hmmmm ... wonder how that would solder pl-259s? LOL). Done EMC compliance
> > studies along with UL compliance. Come to think of it, ozone compliance.
> > Can you spell exponential decay? Come to think of it, I've programmed
> > slc-500s, Texas Instruments PLCs, Modicon PLCs, and more (including data
> > highways and ethernet). Even written a program to generate ladders from
> > simply inputting I/O assignments and letting the program know what I want to
> > have happen. Under 15 minutes to properly program 3 cells. Another 5
> > minutes to debug because someone wired a switch backwards (normally closed
> > rather than normally open).
>
> And a whole lot more, I bet.
>
> The trouble is that skills aren't as valued as they once were.
> Particularly if they aren't "state of the art", whatever that means.

indeed Nobody values skill with the Buggy whip or it radio counterpart

2154. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 17:00:45 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dab2dc558ad1d64989b32@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 119930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
konstans@hotmail.com says...
>
> BDK wrote:
> > In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > kk4tl@aol.com says...
> > > Dan says
> > > "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
> > >
> > > We reply:
> > > Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> > > Sidney.
> > >
> > > I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> > > There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> > > Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
> > >
> > > Ahhhh the good old days.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
> > off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
> > speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
> >
> > I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
> > announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
> > after, hopefully in great pain.
> >
> >
> > The good old days is right!
>
> and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> >
> > BDK
>
>

Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying about
the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!

BDK

2155. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 18:22:55 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <pgt2k11ssf6n10ebf3rrft68l15srg7jtt@4ax.com>
Source record: 119932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>> >Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.
>>
>> You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
>> sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.
>
>You obviously have the permanent cranial-rectal inversion syndrome,
>Uncle Turd. Pull your head out of your ass.

Again, you show the world the obsession you have with what is in
another person's ass...

2156. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 18:28:02 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <90u2k11tr23up7rc7nfcgjufergc2efe62@4ax.com>
Source record: 119933
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:53:32 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>> And just how hollow is your life that you have to track my
>>>posting delays? Get a hobby.
>>
>>My, my! I seem to have hit a nerve.
>
> You couldn't hit your ass with a sword stuck in a toilet.

Isn't it past your bedtime?

2157. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 18:30:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

BDK wrote:
> In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> konstans@hotmail.com says...
> >
cut
> > > The good old days is right!
> >
> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> > >
> > > BDK
> >
> >
>
> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying about
> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!

who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
radio"
>
> BDK

2158. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 18:30:55 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <i2u2k19u474566u3cbbf41r2e3bujrf3om@4ax.com>
Source record: 119934
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:51:22 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>> As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.
>>
>>In other words, you made the connection up in your head. Typical.
>
> Jesus, another liunatic who thinks he knows all about me.
>Pompous bastard.

Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle...

2159. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 20:46:55 UTC
From: WB2DBH <WB2DBH@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e563k1h3ufhbivr83bfkjtea2pebihf1ho@4ax.com>
Source record: 119935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Uncle Turd wrote:
>> On 2 Oct 2005 13:55:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> >> >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
>> >> >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
>> >> >you don't have to flaunt it.
>> >> >
>> >> Shut up you inbred asshole.
>> >
>> >Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.
>>
>> You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
>> sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.
>
>You obviously have the permanent cranial-rectal inversion syndrome,
>Uncle Turd. Pull your head out of your ass.
>
Shut up you inbred hick.

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2160. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 22:45:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Awi0f.8019$QE1.7002@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dan says
> "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
>
> We reply:
> Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> Sidney.
>
> I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
>
> Ahhhh the good old days.
>

Yeah....the good ole days.....right.

Dan/W4NTI

2161. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 22:47:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Nxi0f.8020$QE1.3501@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"BDK" <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1daa6f8f1f2ab9d989b31@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> kk4tl@aol.com says...
>> Dan says
>> "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
>>
>> We reply:
>> Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
>> Sidney.
>>
>> I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
>> There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
>> Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
>>
>> Ahhhh the good old days.
>>
>>
>
> Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
> off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
> speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
>
> I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
> announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
> after, hopefully in great pain.
>
>
> The good old days is right!
>
> BDK

The martial music came from Walter. Perfect clean audio with great music.
Unfortunatly a no-no on ham radio.

Dan/W4NTI

2162. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 22:48:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Gyi0f.8021$QE1.7278@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> BDK wrote:
>> In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
>> kk4tl@aol.com says...
>> > Dan says
>> > "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
>> >
>> > We reply:
>> > Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
>> > Sidney.
>> >
>> > I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
>> > There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
>> > Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
>> >
>> > Ahhhh the good old days.
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
>> off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
>> speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
>>
>> I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
>> announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
>> after, hopefully in great pain.
>>
>>
>> The good old days is right!
>
> and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
>>
>> BDK
>
Mr AOF,

Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.

Dan/W4NTI

2163. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 22:49:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Xzi0f.8022$QE1.7745@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> BDK wrote:
>> In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>> >
> cut
>> > > The good old days is right!
>> >
>> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
>> > >
>> > > BDK
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
>> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying about
>> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
>> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
>> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>
> who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
> radio"
>>
>> BDK
>
A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every discussion
should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.

Dan/W4NTI

2164. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 22:52:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TCi0f.8023$QE1.4141@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hhc314@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128305668.625567.28450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> LRod posted:
>
> "K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work
> "multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try
> that in any state west of the Appalachins."
>
> No, central New Jersey. Dhuh!
>
> "Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old time
>
> ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people
> determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur
> radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter
> century."
>
> No Mr. Dork, I simply lost interest in ham radio when it became
> infested with clueless CB types who only hold ham tickets because they
> crammed their way through the licensing exams. When store purchased
> commercial rigs appeared, the ham bands became cluttered with these
> types to the extent that one QSO after another led to nothing but
> uninformed, mindless blathering as it remains today.
>
> However, I am forever grateful to my ham radio experience because it
> led the way for me to obtain my First Class Commercial ticket, my job
> as chief engineer of a Trenton, NJ radio station, and ultimately paid
> for my BS level college education at Drexel University. The knowledge
> acquired though my ham activities also qualified me for a coop job as a
> transmitter designer at Barker & Williamson (the B&W 5100 xmtr was one
> of my projects and later the Army's T368 transmitter.) My ham radio
> and educational background ultimately led me to a 15+ year career with
> Raytheon developing military electronics.
>
> When active as a ham, the majority of my time was spent developing and
> perfecting ham TV rigs and TTY systems that represented the cutting
> edge technology of that time when the joy of building and operating a
> conventional CW, AM, or SSB rig became old hat.
>
> What saddens me most is the degree to which ham radio has become
> emasculated and rendered devoid of almost all technical value. Bitter,
> no. Saddened, yes!
>

> Harry C.
>

Can't argue with that. But you know there is still a lot of interest going on. Satellites are one. The new digital modes are quite interesting.

I still enjoy a good CW contact. And I operate a fair amount of SSB. And your right, real hams are few and far between.

If you want to find out what is happening now in ham radio....THIS IS NOT THE PLACE TO DO IT.

Dan/W4NTI

2165. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 22:54:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zEi0f.8024$QE1.7169@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128328226.343633.164000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
>> LRod posted:
>>
>> "K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work
>> "multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try
>> that in any state west of the Appalachins."
>>
>> No, central New Jersey. Dhuh!
>
> which is close for the point to be valid
>>
>> "Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old time
>>
>> ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people
>> determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur
>> radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter
>> century."
>>
>> No Mr. Dork, I simply lost interest in ham radio when it became
>> infested with clueless CB types who only hold ham tickets because they
>> crammed their way through the licensing exams. When store purchased
>> commercial rigs appeared, the ham bands became cluttered with these
>> types to the extent that one QSO after another led to nothing but
>> uninformed, mindless blathering as it remains today.
>
> Hmm you lost interest but you are HERE engaging in debate over it
>>
>> However, I am forever grateful to my ham radio experience because it
>> led the way for me to obtain my First Class Commercial ticket, my job
>> as chief engineer of a Trenton, NJ radio station, and ultimately paid
>> for my BS level college education at Drexel University. The knowledge
>> acquired though my ham activities also qualified me for a coop job as a
>> transmitter designer at Barker & Williamson (the B&W 5100 xmtr was one
>> of my projects and later the Army's T368 transmitter.) My ham radio
>> and educational background ultimately led me to a 15+ year career with
>> Raytheon developing military electronics.
>>
>> When active as a ham, the majority of my time was spent developing and
>> perfecting ham TV rigs and TTY systems that represented the cutting
>> edge technology of that time when the joy of building and operating a

>> conventional CW, AM, or SSB rig became old hat.
>>
>> What saddens me most is the degree to which ham radio has become
>> emasculated and rendered devoid of almost all technical value. Bitter,
>> no. Saddened, yes!
>
> looks like one the fellas still pissed over Incentive licensing and
> blaming those who just followed the rules laid down for getting their
> licenses for the rulst of the ARRL's games back then, some several
> decades ago
>
> wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
> case an Bitter Old Timer
>>
>> Harry C.
>

See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.

Dan/W4NTI

2166. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:01:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9vmdnTEEHPvJJdzeRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 119942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:xo6dnXiaz4LMsaLeRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>Gee TOM....do you think you had problem on the "National Simplex
>>>Frequency" of 146.52 because you are NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT for
>>>contesting?
>>
>>But... but! That must be the ARRL's fault too, Dan! If Tom wants to call
>>Field day CQ on his cell phone, he should be able to......
>>
>>Sorry, just getting a little carried away..
>>
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> And he will probably get similar results. Perhaps he could then write
> "another" BS note and bad mouth the ARRL. Makes sense to me......

I am just amazed that he was calling on the wrong frequency, and
managed to extrapolate so much from it. He probably should read "The
Three Blind Men and the Elephant" - at least they got a little bit
right... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2167. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:09:59 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <jfe3k1lcnkjrnj20sd04u23o827r3bg2u9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119943
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 02 Oct 2005 21:26:48 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 03:56:24 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>> ISP location != subscriber location. One subscriber is in New
>>Zealand.
>
>Trying to convince us you pay trans-oceanic toll charges for a modem

Who said modem?

>hookup?

One subscriber != me.

And being in New Zealand has you/them/whomever offering:

... an incomplete sentence?

>>>> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>>>>canals.
>
>Yeh - sure.

Damn straight.

2168. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:10:43 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <uie3k15lnoie7dhtdmdcpou8c31ts045mf@4ax.com>
Source record: 119944
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 14:30:55 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:51:22 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>
>>>> As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.
>>>
>>>In other words, you made the connection up in your head. Typical.
>>
>> Jesus, another liunatic who thinks he knows all about me.
>>Pompous bastard.
>
>Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle...

Persuasive logic there, childish one.

2169. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:10:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128381058.844136.32750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Uncle Turd whined:
> On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >> >Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.
> >>
> >> You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
> >> sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.
> >
> >You obviously have the permanent cranial-rectal inversion syndrome,
> >Uncle Turd. Pull your head out of your ass.
>
> Again, you show the world <whines>

Again, you show the world *you* indeed *do* have your head up your ass.
When somebody tells you to pull your head out of your ass, it isn't
obsessing with somebody's ass, no matter how much you a homosexual with
AIDS like you hopes for a new love affair. It isn't a compliment,
stupid.

2170. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:12:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128381154.324569.40990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > BDK wrote:
> >> In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> >> kk4tl@aol.com says...
> >> > Dan says
> >> > "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
> >> >
> >> > We reply:
> >> > Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> >> > Sidney.
> >> >
> >> > I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> >> > There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> >> > Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
> >> >
> >> > Ahhhh the good old days.
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
> >> off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
> >> speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
> >>
> >> I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
> >> announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
> >> after, hopefully in great pain.
> >>
> >>
> >> The good old days is right!
> >
> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> >>
> >> BDK
> >
> Mr AOF,
>
> Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.

fuck off danny Boy I'll speak when I please
>

> Dan/W4NTI

2171. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:12:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128381163.833405.182850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Uncle Turd wrote:
> >> On 2 Oct 2005 13:55:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >> >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
> >> >> >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
> >> >> >you don't have to flaunt it.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Shut up you inbred asshole.
> >> >
> >> >Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.
> >>
> >> You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
> >> sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.
> >
> >You obviously have the permanent cranial-rectal inversion syndrome,
> >Uncle Turd. Pull your head out of your ass.
> >
> Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>

Whine louder, you whiny cunt.

2172. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:14:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128328226.343633.164000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> LRod posted:
> >>
> >> "K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work
> >> "multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try
> >> that in any state west of the Appalachins."
> >>
> >> No, central New Jersey. Dhuh!
> >
> > which is close for the point to be valid
> >>
> >> "Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old time
> >>
> >> ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people
> >> determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur
> >> radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter
> >> century."
> >>
> >> No Mr. Dork, I simply lost interest in ham radio when it became
> >> infested with clueless CB types who only hold ham tickets because they
> >> crammed their way through the licensing exams. When store purchased
> >> commercial rigs appeared, the ham bands became cluttered with these
> >> types to the extent that one QSO after another led to nothing but
> >> uninformed, mindless blathering as it remains today.
> >
> > Hmm you lost interest but you are HERE engaging in debate over it
> >>
> >> However, I am forever grateful to my ham radio experience because it
> >> led the way for me to obtain my First Class Commercial ticket, my job
> >> as chief engineer of a Trenton, NJ radio station, and ultimately paid
> >> for my BS level college education at Drexel University. The knowledge
> >> acquired though my ham activities also qualified me for a coop job as a
> >> transmitter designer at Barker & Williamson (the B&W 5100 xmtr was one
> >> of my projects and later the Army's T368 transmitter.) My ham radio
> >> and educational background ultimately led me to a 15+ year career with
> >> Raytheon developing military electronics.
> >>
> >> When active as a ham, the majority of my time was spent developing and
> >> perfecting ham TV rigs and TTY systems that represented the cutting

> >> edge technology of that time when the joy of building and operating a
> >> conventional CW, AM, or SSB rig became old hat.
> >>
> >> What saddens me most is the degree to which ham radio has become
> >> emasculated and rendered devoid of almost all technical value. Bitter,
> >> no. Saddened, yes!
> >
> > looks like one the fellas still pissed over Incentive licensing and
> > blaming those who just followed the rules laid down for getting their
> > licenses for the rulst of the ARRL's games back then, some several
> > decades ago
> >
> > wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
> > case an Bitter Old Timer
> >>
> >> Harry C.
> >
>
> See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He
> argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.

realy I thought that was Stevie's job and you claim anyone that
disagrees with you knows nothing

BTW I don't argue with everyone, let alone about everything

You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
agrees to agree with you, in advance
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2173. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:15:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128381342.526742.324450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 119949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_faggot wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > BDK wrote:
> > >> In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > >> kk4tl@aol.com says...
> > >> > Dan says
> > >> > "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
> > >> >
> > >> > We reply:
> > >> > Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> > >> > Sidney.
> > >> >
> > >> > I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> > >> > There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> > >> > Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
> > >> >
> > >> > Ahhhh the good old days.
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
> > >> off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
> > >> speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
> > >>
> > >> I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
> > >> announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
> > >> after, hopefully in great pain.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> The good old days is right!
> > >
> > > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> > >>
> > >> BDK
> > >
> > Mr AOF,
> >
> > Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.
>
> fuck off danny Boy I'll speak when I please

You mean you'll speak when you get that tongue depressor out of your mouth after your electro-schock therapy, Marky.

2174. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:18:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128381509.009552.213080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > BDK wrote:
> > > >> In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > >> kk4tl@aol.com says...
> > > >> > Dan says
> > > >> > "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
> > > >> >
> > > >> > We reply:
> > > >> > Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> > > >> > Sidney.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> > > >> > There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> > > >> > Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Ahhhh the good old days.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >> Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
> > > >> off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
> > > >> speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
> > > >>
> > > >> I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
> > > >> announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
> > > >> after, hopefully in great pain.
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> The good old days is right!
> > > >
> > > > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> > > >>
> > > >> BDK
> > > >
> > > Mr AOF,
> > >
> > > Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.
> >

> > fuck off danny Boy I'll speak when I please
>
> You mean you'll speak when you get that tongue depressor out of your
> mouth after your electro-schock therapy, Marky.

no I don't mean that can't imagine why youd think that. ah I see my
mistake I was assuming you might be able to think

2175. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:19:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > BDK wrote:
> >> In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
> >> >
> > cut
> >> > > The good old days is right!
> >> >
> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> >> > >
> >> > > BDK
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying about
> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
> >
> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
> > radio"
> >>
> >> BDK
> >
> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every discussion
> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.

Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
coment on the posts in here as everyone else

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2176. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:20:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128381610.897839.6190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >Uncle Turd wrote:
> > > >> On 2 Oct 2005 13:55:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> >> >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
> > > >> >> >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
> > > >> >> >you don't have to flaunt it.
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> Shut up you inbred asshole.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.
> > > >>
> > > >> You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
> > > >> sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.
> > > >
> > > >You obviously have the permanent cranial-rectal inversion syndrome,
> > > >Uncle Turd. Pull your head out of your ass.
> > > >
> > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
> >
> Whine louder, you whiny cunt.

grow up little boy

2177. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:21:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128381713.862840.84340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120003

```
an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling cop
> > > > > > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> > > > > > > > > and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> > > > > > > > lifestyle?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > not at all defensive
> > > >
> > > Wrong. You are defensive, you even cut away those words that draw
> > > attention to it.
> >
> not at all I cut away the stuff that does not belong here

No, you cut it away because you are in denial.

> > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone elses sexuality got to
> > > do with Ham radio?
> > >
> > Cry, faggot, cry.
>
```

> answer the question bigot

Cry, faggot, cry.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > >
> > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > >
> > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> > > > > > > > > > > > claim all that glory for yourself.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
> > > > > > > > > > owrds in my name
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "owards?" You shouldn't be drinking on Sunday, you sot. Thanks for
> > > > > > > > showing us your idiocy in all your glory.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sinday if I choose? becuase it might offend YOUR
> > > > > religion?
> > >
> > > "Sinday?" Freudian slip, Marky? When the fuck ever I said I susbscribed
> > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > christian religion.
>
> a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be christain,

Liar.

> never have made such a
> claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a google
> sreach of the gruop on the word Pagan

BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English,much less
understand alternative religions, boy.

> >
> > What are you full of, besides your boyfriend's sperm, Marky?
> >
> > > Bullshit
> >
> > Yep, you are.
> >
> > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > gee you are sounding ole stevie boy
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You are so goddamned stupid.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > More and more like stevie,
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > More stupidity that we've come to expect from Marky.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > certainly acting ole steve boy
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Certainly wrong guess, dumbass!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > not at all not guessing that you ARE Stevie just that you act a lot
> > > > > > > > > like him
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Give us a chorus of "How dry I am," Faggot. I'm nothing like Robeson,
> > > > > > dumbass.
> > > > >
> > > > sure are like him
> > >
> > No, stupid. Now, where's that chorus, drunk?
> >
> > > as obsessed with other people's sexaulity,
> >
> > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marky. It would be
> > better for ham radio if you did.
>
> more proof of your sexual issues

No, proof of your whining.

> and that you are a hate filled creep

Aww, are you gonna cry,now?

> Futher it shows you to be stupid as well.

No, stupid.

>
> world wide most aids cases are spread heterosexualy, and even in the US
> where at time this has not been the case the highest infection rate is

> amoug heteros these days, folks mostly like you seem to be, stupid
> enough to think the virus cares about sexual oreintation

But you get it more, huh? Most people are smart enough to use
protection, too bad your mommy wasn't one of them.

> > > unable to deal with reality,
> > > as likely to make totaly unconected statements
> >
> > That's the pot calling the kettle black if there ever was one, you
> > illiterate son of a bitch.
>
> claiming to have met My mother as well?

So you're saying your mommy was a bitch? I bet she was popular with the
truck drivers.

> >
> > > Very Stevish
> >
> > Full of spelling errors and illogical statements, very Marky.
> >
> > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > claiming to be able to admisnier IQ tests to
> > > > > > > > > > people you have never met
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > What's "admisnier?", you goddamned idiot?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > back to speeling cop
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > <guffaw!> Back to drunken, illiterate moron.....

2178. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:23:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128381788.063697.90790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> > > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >Uncle Turd wrote:
> > > > >> On 2 Oct 2005 13:55:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >That about sums up YOUR posts, Chason. Keep guessing, asshole, more
> > > > >> >than one person hates Lloyd Davies. Just because he is your gay lover,
> > > > >> >you don't have to flaunt it.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> Shut up you inbred asshole.
> > > > >>
> > > > >Make me shut up, Stevens, you queer cocksucker.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> You obviously have some repressed issues with the way you keep hurling
> > > > >> sexual insults at everyone who upsets you.
> > > > >
> > > > >You obviously have the permanent cranial-rectal inversion syndrome,
> > > > >Uncle Turd. Pull your head out of your ass.
> > > > >
> > > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
> > >
> > Whine louder, you whiny cunt.
> >
> grow up little boy

Follow your own advice, dumbass!

2179. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:31:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128382288.104163.141160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120005

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling
cop
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> > > > > > > > > > > > > and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> > > > > > > > > > > > lifestyle?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > not at all defensive
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Wrong. You are defensive, you even cut away those words that draw
> > > > > > > > > > attention to it.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > not at all I cut away the stuff that does not belong here
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, you cut it away because you are in denial.

no not at all in Denial I am Bisexual, it just has nothing to with Ham
radio

>
> > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone elses sexuality got to
> > > > do with Ham radio?
> > >
> > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> >
> > answer the question bigot
>
> Cry, faggot, cry.

what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?

>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > >
> > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> > > > > > > > > > > > claim all that glory for yourself.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
> > > > > > > > > > owrds in my name
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "owards?" You shouldn't be drinking on Sunday, you sot. Thanks for
> > > > > > > > showing us your idiocy in all your glory.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sinday if I choose? becuase it might offend YOUR
> > > > > religion?
> > >
> > > "Sinday?" Freudian slip, Marky? When the fuck ever I said I susbscribed
> > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > christian religion.
> >

> > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be christain,
>
> Liar.

the simple truth

even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
>
> > never have made such a
> > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a google
> > sreach of the gruop on the word Pagan
>
> BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English,much less
> understand alternative religions, boy.

more flasehood from the little biggted boy
>
> > >
> > > What are you full of, besides your boyfriend's sperm, Marky?
> > >
> > > > Bullshit
> > >
> > > Yep, you are.
> > >
> > > > > >
cut

> > > > as obsessed with other people's sexaulity,
> > >
> > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marky. It would be
> > > better for ham radio if you did.
> >
> > more proof of your sexual issues
>
> No, proof of your whining.

what whining?

>
> > and that you are a hate filled creep
>
> Aww, are you gonna cry,now?

why would I?

you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
mine for your stpidity and misforune
>
> > Futher it shows you to be stupid as well.
>
> No, stupid.

yes you are pretty stupid
>
> >
> > world wide most aids cases are spread heterosexualy, and even in the US
> > where at time this has not been the case the highest infection rate is
> > amoug heteros these days, folks mostly like you seem to be, stupid
> > enough to think the virus cares about sexual oreintation
>
> But you get it more, huh? Most people are smart enough to use
> protection, too bad your mommy wasn't one of them.

no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
and other STD's

but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
>
> > > > unable to deal with reality,
> > > > as likely to make totaly unconected statements
> > >
> > > That's the pot calling the kettle black if there ever was one, you
> > > illiterate son of a bitch.
> >
> > claiming to have met My mother as well?
>
> So you're saying your mommy was a bitch? I bet she was popular with the
> truck drivers.

never said that either I was asking a question

ever planing on growing up little boy

2180. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:31:58 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <00f3k1p06ih7ua69rna698mccj164el8qv@4ax.com>
Source record: 120008
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 23:09:59 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>On Sun, 02 Oct 2005 21:26:48 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 03:56:24 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>
>>> ISP location != subscriber location. One subscriber is in New
>>>Zealand.
>>
>>Trying to convince us you pay trans-oceanic toll charges for a modem
>
> Who said modem?
I did. Look at the attribution line above.
>
>>hookup?
>
> One subscriber != me.
(More than one subscriber != you) == so what?
>
> And being in New Zealand has you/them/whomever offering:
>
> ... an incomplete sentence?
Now that you interjected an incomplete sentence into it.
>
>>>>> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>>>>>canals.
>>
>>Yeh - sure.
>
> Damn straight.
Telling us you "might" hear interest in turning streets near you into
canals? Might not? - newsflash! Taking I-5 south to I-10 east, you
are 2274 miles or more from even a remote chance with respect to New
Orleans.

2181. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:32:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128382331.225149.144810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> > > > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
cut
> > > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
> > >
> > > Whine louder, you whiny cunt.
> >
> > grow up little boy
>
> Follow your own advice, dumbass!

I grew up years ago, when you planing on trying to grow up

2182. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:32:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128382346.483517.130810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120007

yep, it sounds like Stebie, or a Stebie wantabe

2183. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-03 23:34:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128382466.819927.23290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120009

N9OGL wrote:
> yep, it sounds like Stebie, or a Stebie wantabe

indeed whinyslit_lloy... making Stebie look some kind of genius

2184. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 00:12:58 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128384778.275674.228650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep Uncle Walter had a fine collection of march music and a very hi-fi transmitter. Sounded great. Not Walter, the music.

There was the night I was driving the width of Tennessee on I-40 listening to 3950 on the FT-900. Walter ranted till dawn (literally) in one of his drunken rampages.

Sir Sidney was the one who played Anchors Aweigh and the theme to Hogan's Heroes.

Dag-nabbit somebody bring back the good old days.

Yew peeple make me siiiiiccccckkkkk

2185. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 00:30:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128385833.529494.102640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120011

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > > > OK! I've been dragging stuff around for a few years, and I'm real
> > > > tired of living in a restricted neighborhood. By next summer I should
> > > > have some real estate that's not 70'x120'.
> > >
> > > Horsepucky Putzlet, your goofy excuses don't cut it. I'd kill for a 70'
> > > x 120' lot. No biggie though because I managed to pick up a 5BDXCC,
> > > 300+ confirmed and WAZs for both phone and CW from a 50'x 100' lot. And
> > > that was back in tube radio days for God's sake. AND not counting all
> > > the meg+ dx contest scores I churned out. Your problem is not your lot
> > > size, your problem is YOU.
> > >
> > > Bleh!
> >
> > Welp Kelly, I bought this home with the knowledge that it was in a
> > restricted neighborhood. So my "goofy excuses" do "cut it."
>
> Bwaaahaha! Not. See below.

You can roll on the floor and "Bwaaahaha!" all you you want. Doesn't
change your brags of rules breaking.

> > You on
> > the other hand are known for your rules breaking. You started your
> > amateur career as a self-admitted bootlegger,
>
> Yeah right. Twelve years old and George heard my Model T Ford spark
> coil CW a block around the corner. BFD. Then comes your (mythical) shot
> at bootlegging in T5 . .

As I said, you are a self-admitted bootlegger.

> > and you start field-day
> > contests somewhat earlier than the contest rules allow for.
>
> WTF are you talking about??

Your brags with N2EY.

> > And what
> > you'd kill for is pathetic. I choose to -follow- the rules. You

> > choose to break the rules. ;^)
>
> How hard is it to avoid breaking the rules when you got no antennas to
> break the rules with ya DITZ? Do us all a big favor and "maintain yer
> current status".

You'd like me to stay off the air, but that isn't going to happen. My
antennas have been tested and will be deployed in the event of a local
emergency.

> > > > Best of Luck getting it working.
> > >
> > > Dan doesn't need luck but you will - lot size completely aside.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Lot size isn't the deciding factor, see "restricted neighborhood." I
> > guess you really can't fix stupid.
>
> The ultimate stupid is defined as a ham who knowingly moves into an
> antenna-restricted neighborhood. Apply for the award from the Darwin
> Society, you've earned it fair and square. .
>
> w3rv

You're still PO'd that I called you on your brag of "Real Military
Experience" yet somehow escaping actual military service.

Grow up, OM!

2186. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 00:41:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128386495.222198.176780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > Hans was trolling for much the response he got........
> > > >
> > > > Posting job opportunities for communications crafts in a radio-orientated
> > > > newsgroup is trolling?
> > > >
> > > yes
> > >
> > > and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice
> > > either
> > >
> > > this not a help wanted venure
> > >
> > > nor do you even bother to deny
> > >
> > > telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
> > > Hans
> > >
> > > You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the
> > > ill grace to complain about it
> > >
> > > then you folow with a hack job on a post
> >
> > Hans apparently forgets the distinction between amateur radio and radio
> > for hire. I'll allow the usual suspects to set him straight on the
> > matter.
>
> hans forgets most distintions when it suits him,

And his Cronies forget to trot out their amateur vs military vs
commercial arguments when it suits them.

Funny that word "Croney." NPR has been getting a lot of play out of it
recently. They say it as if it's a bad thing.

2187. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 00:49:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128386943.889460.155110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120013
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Pat doesn't like us talking about this, Matt. Seems like everyone is
> having a good time, and the posts are pretty civil. I guess some people
> like to control others, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hi! Very much like the attempted controls on Len. Best of Luck.

2188. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 01:01:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5wk0f.6119$zQ3.2808@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Below is one of the stupidest nonsensical posts ever to grace rrap, and we are all dumber for having read it.

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

yes

and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice either

this not a help wanted venure

nor do you even bother to deny

telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
Hans

You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the ill grace to complain about it

then you folow with a hack job on a post

the original post never realy belonged here at least the religous thread under Katrina response evolved from a legit topic

2189. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 03:31:50 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <18a2e$4341f78f$4fc467e$16541@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>

Snipped

>> > wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
>> > case an Bitter Old Timer
>> >>
>> >> Harry C.
>> >
>>
>> See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He
>> argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.
>
> realy I thought that was Stevie's job and you claim anyone that
> disagrees with you knows nothing
>
> BTW I don't argue with everyone, let alone about everything

You just argue with many people about many things (most you know very little
about)!

>
> You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> agrees to agree with you, in advance

I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.

Like I've said before, an_old_fiend is apparently in the same camp as Todd
(same mindset).
Also since an_old_fiend doesn't have a call sign his opinion in
radio.amateur groups doen't mean much.
Ace - WH2T

2190. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 03:41:35 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <1ec2a$4341f9d7$4fc467e$16602@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5wk0f.6119$zQ3.2808@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Below is one of the stupidest nonsensical posts ever to grace rrap, and we
> are all dumber for having read it.

> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> yes
>
> and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice
> either
>
> this not a help wanted venure
>
> nor do you even bother to deny
>
> telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
> Hans
>
> You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the
> ill grace to complain about it
>
> then you folow with a hack job on a post
>
> the original post never realy belonged here at least the religous
> thread under Katrina response evolved from a legit topic
>

Well Hans what did you expect from call signless an_old_fiend ?
Ace - WH2T

2191. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 03:48:27 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dabc599ab4280dd989b34@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 120017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
konstans@hotmail.com says...
>
> BDK wrote:
> > In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> > konstans@hotmail.com says...
> > >
> cut
> > > > The good old days is right!
> > >
> > > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> > > >
> > > > BDK
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
> > Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying about
> > the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
> > white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
> > talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>
> who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
> radio"
> >
> > BDK
>
>

Ahhh, that old nonsense never made sense anyway, since almost all the
troublemakers have always been, for the most part, higher level, coded
licensees. I think the code cracks some of them up. I know it annoyed
the crap out of me 32 years ago.

BDK

2192. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 03:50:37 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dabc61cc829a5e9989b35@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 120018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <Nxi0f.8020$QE1.3501@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com says...
>
> "BDK" <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1daa6f8f1f2ab9d989b31@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > In article <1128304815.396234.61090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > kk4tl@aol.com says...
> >> Dan says
> >> "KJI and BLB are real world class retards in my book"
> >>
> >> We reply:
> >> Awww come on Dan. Don't be so mean to Kind Jewish Indian and Sir
> >> Sidney.
> >>
> >> I miss the 3950 days before Riley .. everyone was 60-80 over S-9.
> >> There was Arky Bob. Uncle Walter. Loose Orifice. Chicken Fornicator.
> >> Anchors Aweigh. Nazi Faggots. Dawg Peter. Tarzan. Doofus Jammer.
> >>
> >> Ahhhh the good old days.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Raincoat Charlie was one of mine..I loved it when Vic and the boys went
> > off the deep end, crying about "illegal jammers". I loved the Hitler
> > speeches and Nazi music playing in the background too.
> >
> > I remember that pinhead ahole "Joe", that excitedly came on one night,
> > announcing he "found a nest of jews" on some other freq. He died soon
> > after, hopefully in great pain.
> >
> >
> > The good old days is right!
> >
> > BDK
>
> The martial music came from Walter. Perfect clean audio with great music.
> Unfortunatly a no-no on ham radio.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

Yeah, but it was fun to listen to! The Liberty Net isn't nearly as fun now...it's just sad.

BDK

2193. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 04:12:14 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <e404k1df2gau3272nu2m7ikm3rj3og4bnk@4ax.com>
Source record: 120019
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 23:10:43 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 14:30:55 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:51:22 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>> As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.
>>>>
>>>>In other words, you made the connection up in your head. Typical.
>>>
>>> Jesus, another liunatic who thinks he knows all about me.
>>>Pompous bastard.
>>
>>Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle...
>
> Persuasive logic there, childish one.

Yeah, right. Like your little one-line snippets of irrefutable wisdom
are persuading anyone...

2194. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 04:19:01 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <ra04k19bbs5vhupq6he7cimgsu4dg8v8fn@4ax.com>
Source record: 120020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 3 Oct 2005 16:10:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>> Again, you show the world <whines>
>
>Again, you show the world *you* indeed *do* have your head up your ass.
>When somebody tells you to pull your head out of your ass, it isn't
>obsessing with somebody's ass, no matter how much you a homosexual with
>AIDS like you hopes for a new love affair. It isn't a compliment,
>stupid.

All I know is that *you* are the one that keeps making the remarks
about sexual orientation, obsessing about what's in someone's ass, and
derogatory remarks about homosexuals. This is a clear sign of someone
who isn't comfortable with their own sexuality, but I wouldn't worry
about it if I were you. Given your behavior here, you don't have
anything to worry about from any homosexual that might approach you.

2195. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 09:58:00 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <pbk4k1tgu2p985sig46fqr1edle6rd1vac@4ax.com>
Source record: 120021
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 16:31:58 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 23:09:59 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 02 Oct 2005 21:26:48 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 03:56:24 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>>>
>>>> ISP location != subscriber location. One subscriber is in New
>>>>Zealand.
>>>
>>>Trying to convince us you pay trans-oceanic toll charges for a modem
>>
>> Who said modem?
>I did. Look at the attribution line above.

I said subscriber. You jumped to the conclusion he was on
dialup.

>>
>>>hookup?
>>
>> One subscriber != me.
>(More than one subscriber != you) == so what?

You, fool, chose to assume the one sunscriber was me, as in "
... _you_ pay trans-oceanic toll charges".

>>
>> And being in New Zealand has you/them/whomever offering:
>>
>> ... an incomplete sentence?
>Now that you interjected an incomplete sentence into it.
>>
>>>>>> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>>>>>>canals.
>>>
>>>Yeh - sure.
>>
>> Damn straight.
>Telling us you "might" hear interest in turning streets near you into
>canals? Might not? - newsflash! Taking I-5 south to I-10 east, you

>are 2274 miles or more from even a remote chance with respect to New
>Orleans.

Christ -- you can't even parse a sentence -- give up.

2196. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 12:07:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128427639.092489.48160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> > > > > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> cut
> > > > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
> > > >
> > > > Whine louder, you whiny cunt.
> > >
> > > grow up little boy
> >
> > Follow your own advice, dumbass!
>
> I grew up years ago

You don't show it, faggot.

2197. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 12:11:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128427895.485315.199300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Uncle Turd wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2005 16:10:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >> Again, you show the world <whines>
> >
> >Again, you show the world *you* indeed *do* have your head up your ass.
> >When somebody tells you to pull your head out of your ass, it isn't
> >obsessing with somebody's ass, no matter how much you a homosexual with
> >AIDS like you hopes for a new love affair. It isn't a compliment,
> >stupid.
>
> All I know is (IKYABWAI)

Contained on the head of a pin. You are rather obtuse, like Marky. Only thing is, no matter how hopeful you'd thought you'd find a new love affair, queer boy, you're barking up the wrong tree. Now go slurp Marky's rear end some more. He's into that sort of thing, like you.

2198. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 12:13:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128428008.047667.112190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

It was always sad. So are the participants.

2199. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 12:21:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128428485.782636.156920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120025

```
an_old_faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is spelling
cop
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> > > > > > > > > > > > > lifestyle?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > not at all defensive
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Wrong. You are defensive, you even cut away those words that draw
> > > > > > > > > > > attention to it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > not at all I cut away the stuff that does not belong here
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No, you cut it away because you are in denial.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > no not at all in Denial I am Bisexual, it just has nothing to with Ham
```

> radio

So? A faggot like you isn't going to stop freedom of speech.

> >
> > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone elses sexuality got to
> > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > >
> > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > >
> > > answer the question bigot
> >
> > Cry, faggot, cry.
>
> what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?

Cry, faggot, cry.

>
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cuting sexual ref
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's the matter, did it hit too close to home, queer?
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Not at all forgery removed
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Your whiny excuses for your sexuality and perversions noted.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > none given but you effort to forge my words is noted
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Nobody would want to "forge your words" and look like an idiot, you can
> > > > > > > > > > claim all that glory for yourself.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Then I guess you are indeed nobody at all since you choose to forge
> > > > > > > > > owrds in my name
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "owards?" You shouldn't be drinking on Sunday, you sot. Thanks for
> > > > > > > > showing us your idiocy in all your glory.
> > > > > >

> > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sinday if I choose? becuase it might offend YOUR
> > > > > religion?
> > > > >
> > > > > "Sinday?" Freudian slip, Marky? When the fuck ever I said I susbscribed
> > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > > > christian religion.
> > > >
> > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be christain,
> > >
> > Liar.
> >
> the simple truth

The only thing simple is you, liar.

> even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that

Aww, trying to rekindle your romance with him?

> >
> > > never have made such a
> > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a google
> > > sreach of the gruop on the word Pagan
> > >
> > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English,much less
> > understand alternative religions, boy.
> >
> more flasehood from the little biggted boy

More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "flasehood?"

> >
> > > > >
> > > > > What are you full of, besides your boyfriend's sperm, Marky?
> > > > >
> > > > > > Bullshit
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep, you are.
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cut

Chickenshit.

> > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexaulity,
> > > > >
> > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marky. It would be
> > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > >
> > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > >
> > > No, proof of your whining.
> >

> what whining?

All your replies have been whining.

> >
> > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> >
> > Aww, are you gonna cry,now?
>
> why would I?

Snivel, faggot, snivel.

> you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> mine for your stpidity and misforune

I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
tears for yourself, right?

> >
> > > Futher it shows you to be stupid as well.
> >
> > No, stupid.
>
> yes you are pretty stupid

Marky is too stupid to know he is stupid.

> >
> > >
> > > world wide most aids cases are spread heterosexualy, and even in the US
> > > where at time this has not been the case the highest infection rate is
> > > amoug heteros these days, folks mostly like you seem to be, stupid
> > > enough to think the virus cares about sexual oreintation
> >
> > But you get it more, huh? Most people are smart enough to use
> > protection, too bad your mommy wasn't one of them.
>
> no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
> and other STD's

No, you get it more, faggot.

> but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry

You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.

> >
> > > > > unable to deal with reality,
> > > > > as likely to make totaly unconected statements
> > > >
> > > > That's the pot calling the kettle black if there ever was one, you
> > > > illiterate son of a bitch.

> > >
> > > claiming to have met My mother as well?
> >
> > So you're saying your mommy was a bitch? I bet she was popular with the
> > truck drivers.
>
> never said that either I was asking a question

You announced your mommy is a bitch.

>
>
> ever planing on growing up little boy

Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?

2200. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 12:23:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128428597.367080.219650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120026

Queer Toadie steps in for a fellow queer Marky. Tell us, Toadie, why you didn't show up on frequency this past Saturday or why you live in your parents' basement.

2201. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 12:24:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128428678.510856.226660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120027

Aww, how cute, the two faggot retards found each other. Will there be wedding bells?

2202. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 12:26:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128428800.774055.151240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120028
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Uncle Turd wrote:
> On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 23:10:43 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 14:30:55 -0400, Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>On Mon, 03 Oct 2005 06:51:22 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>> As written, they beg to be read as an incomplete syllogism.
> >>>>
> >>>>In other words, you made the connection up in your head. Typical.
> >>>
> >>> Jesus, another liunatic who thinks he knows all about me.
> >>>Pompous bastard.
> >>
> >>Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle...
> >
> > Persuasive logic there, childish one.
>
> Yeah, right. Like your little one-line snippets of irrefutable wisdom
> are persuading anyone...

Oh, the irony.

2203. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 14:12:30 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <i5w0f.45439$ib1.37662@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128382331.225149.144810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> an_old_faggot wrote:
>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
>> > > > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> cut
>> > > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
>> > >
>> > > Whine louder, you whiny cunt.
>> >
>> > grow up little boy
>>
>> Follow your own advice, dumbass!
>
> I grew up years ago, when you planing on trying to grow up
>

2204. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 14:32:48 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <m245k11i3ooqer37mj407m93t78ikcu8k9@4ax.com>
Source record: 120031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 4 Oct 2005 05:11:35 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>> All I know is (IKYABWAI)
>
>Contained on the head of a pin. You are rather obtuse, like Marky. Only
>thing is, no matter how hopeful you'd thought you'd find a new love
>affair, queer boy, you're barking up the wrong tree. Now go slurp
>Marky's rear end some more. He's into that sort of thing, like you.

What a pathetic little man you are...

2205. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 14:33:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128436416.445039.265230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120030

First off I not queer, Secondly who said I wasn't on? You really think I'm going to announce the frequency so a bunch of licensed CB operators can sit on frequency and go cry to the FCC with claims that I caused interference....I think not. Finally, I don't live in my parents basement I don't who started that but it's true.

Todd n9ogl

2206. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 15:26:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5bx0f.6319$zQ3.4421@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120032

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote

> You really think I'm going to announce the frequency ...?

Naw, if I were going to transmit regular information bulletins, I wouldn't announce the QRG ahead of time either. Part of the fun would be having my audience forced to hunt for the QRG. Then only the most deserving (or unlucky) would be able to hear my drivel.

Sunvuagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/k0hb

2207. FCC Extends Some Deadlines, Suspends Vanity Call System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 16:10:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128442223.259805.173120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120033

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/09/30/100/?nc=1

In short, FCC has extended some regulatory deadlines (like
renewal/grace period dates) for hams in certain states affected by the
recent hurricanes. Since that also affects the vanity call system,
vanity processing has been temporarily suspended.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2208. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 16:31:50 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fbb5k196rs0b33t2c7ap01laj8c515jpde@4ax.com>
Source record: 120034
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 09:58:00 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>>>>>>> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>>>>>>>canals.
>>>>
>>>>Yeh - sure.
>>>
>>> Damn straight.
>>Telling us you "might" hear interest in turning streets near you into
>>canals? Might not? - newsflash! Taking I-5 south to I-10 east, you
>>are 2274 miles or more from even a remote chance with respect to New
>>Orleans.
>
> Christ -- you can't even parse a sentence -- give up.

Seems you've drawn a blank then too. On your own sentence which is
the point I made significant. That you missed on both accounts is
doubly indicting. Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating
bullets now?

2209. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 16:50:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128444650.318941.149120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

BDK wrote:
> In article <1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> konstans@hotmail.com says...
> >
> > BDK wrote:
> > > In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> > > konstans@hotmail.com says...
> > > >
> > cut
> > > > > > The good old days is right!
> > > > >
> > > > > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such bands
> > > > > >
> > > > > > BDK
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
> > > > Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying about
> > > > the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
> > > > white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
> > > > talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
> > >
> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
> > radio"
> > >
> > > BDK
> > >
> > >
> >
> Ahhh, that old nonsense never made sense anyway, since almost all the
> troublemakers have always been, for the most part, higher level, coded
> licensees. I think the code cracks some of them up. I know it annoyed
> the crap out of me 32 years ago.

making sense has never been a requirement of the world in or out of Ham
Radio
>
> BDK

2210. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 17:00:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128445240.958835.185120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120036

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> What's "curca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> And, "warth" should be "wrath".
> >
> always Know I have made the point when the only reply is
spelling cop
> crap
> >
> Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> But you did that from your first post.
> cuting sexual rant
> >
> >
> You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> >
> well As Soon as you can show a conection between my sexual orientation
> and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discusion,
> >
> So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> lifestyle?
> >
> not at all defensive
> >
> Wrong. You are defensive, you even cut away those words that draw
> attention to it.
> >
> not at all I cut away the stuff that does not belong here
> >
> No, you cut it away because you are in denial.
> >

> > no not at all in Denial I am Bisexual, it just has nothing to with Ham
> > radio
>
> So? A faggot like you isn't going to stop freedom of speech.

never tried to stop your freedom of speech
>
> > >
> > > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone elses sexuality got to
> > > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > >
> > > > > answer the question bigot
> > > >
> > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > >
> > what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?
>
> Cry, faggot, cry.

por little baby can't even answer a simple question "what does my
sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
>
> >
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> cuting sexual rant
cut
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sinday if I choose? becuase it might offend YOUR
> > > > > > > > religion?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Sinday?" Freudian slip, Marky? When the fuck ever I said I susbscribed
> > > > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > > > > > christian religion.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be christain,
> > > >
> > > > Liar.
> > >
> > the simple truth

>
> The only thing simple is you, liar.

no lie in my stating that I am not a christian, and haven't claimed to
be one

you are the fool in not getting your facts straight, but then bigots
like yourself are not concerned with facts
>
> > even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
>
> Aww, trying to rekindle your romance with him?

gee never had a "romance" with him, therefore not trying to rekindle
one
>
> > >
> > > > never have made such a
> > > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a google
> > > > sreach of the gruop on the word Pagan
> > >
> > > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English,much less
> > > understand alternative religions, boy.
> >
> > more flasehood from the little biggted boy
>
> More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "flasehood?"

a typo being dyslexic and aphasic I make plenty of them
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > What are you full of, besides your boyfriend's sperm, Marky?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bullshit
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yep, you are.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > cut
>
> Chickenshit.

no just trying to cut on the waste of bandwidth
>
> > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexaulity,
> > > > > >
> > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marky. It would be
> > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > >
> > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > >

> > > No, proof of your whining.
> >
> > what whining?
>
> All your replies have been whining.

not a whinine in bunch

OTOH your verey handle is whiny little boy
>
> > >
> > > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> > >
> > > Aww, are you gonna cry,now?
> >
> > why would I?
>
> Snivel, faggot, snivel.

you are losing what evr grip you had on reality little boy
>
> > you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> > mine for your stpidity and misforune
>
> I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
> tears for yourself, right?

my life doesn't suck, could always be a better of course, as could just
about everyones
>
> > >
> > > > Futher it shows you to be stupid as well.
> > >
> > > No, stupid.
> >
> > yes you are pretty stupid
>
> Marky is too stupid to know he is stupid.
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > world wide most aids cases are spread heterosexualy, and even in the US
> > > > > where at time this has not been the case the highest infection rate is
> > > > > amoug heteros these days, folks mostly like you seem to be, stupid
> > > > > enough to think the virus cares about sexual oreintation
> > >
> > > But you get it more, huh? Most people are smart enough to use
> > > protection, too bad your mommy wasn't one of them.
> >
> > no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> > people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV

> > and other STD's
>
> No, you get it more, faggot.

get your facts straight
>
> > but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
>
> You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.

yes I will lie when it serves to protect me, never deny that (except
breifly when being threatened) but I know the truth when i do, while
you clearly in some fantastic fog
>
> > >
> > > > > > unable to deal with reality,
> > > > > > as likely to make totaly unconected statements
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's the pot calling the kettle black if there ever was one, you
> > > > > > illiterate son of a bitch.
> > > > >
> > > > > claiming to have met My mother as well?
> > >
> > > So you're saying your mommy was a bitch? I bet she was popular with the
> > > truck drivers.
> >
> > never said that either I was asking a question
>
> You announced your mommy is a bitch.

never said any such thing. YOU did. and I asked if you had some basis
for making the statement, after all she might be, I have hardly seen
her since my folks divorced
>
> >
> >
> > ever planing on growing up little boy
>
> Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?

what class? and you now you can't even tell boys from girls

sad

2211. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 17:13:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128446005.720654.221440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> Snipped
>
> >> > wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
> >> > case an Bitter Old Timer
> >> >>
> >> >> Harry C.
> >> >
> >>
> >> See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He
> >> argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.
> >
> > realy I thought that was Stevie's job and you claim anyone that
> > disagrees with you knows nothing
> >
> > BTW I don't argue with everyone, let alone about everything
>
> You just argue with many people about many things (most you know very little
> about)!

meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed, "He
argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter of
opinion, and point of view
>
> >
> > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> > agrees to agree with you, in advance
>
> I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.

then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
and importance of the beliefs he holds

in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the religionous
discusion, the reference was that I must accept that coms I thinks are
merely important are vital to safety and recovery of the region.
Indeed, like Stevie is pissed that I see ARES type comms, by and large,
as merely preforming the important job of keeping a lot of the small
stuff off the plate of the first responders, as opposed to stuff

affecting the real size and scope of the disaster.

The latter type certainly did not occour during Katrina, at least in
part becuase for Hams to delver such messages there would have had to
have been somebody to to compose the message and give to us and
Somebody to delviver it to, both ends broke down in Katrina, terribly
limiting our poetencail usefullness as Hams
>
> Like I've said before, an_old_fiend is apparently in the same camp as Todd
> (same mindset).
> Also since an_old_fiend doesn't have a call sign his opinion in
> radio.amateur groups doen't mean much.

I just choose not to sign it,

unlike some of you I have a life outside Ham radio, I dislike the
common custom of reduceing a person to a callsign
> Ace - WH2T

2212. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 17:16:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128446203.724111.290600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:5wk0f.6119$zQ3.2808@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Below is one of the stupidest nonsensical posts ever to grace rrap, and we
> > are all dumber for having read it.
>
>
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > yes
> >
> > and using the hurricane to acheive this result is well... not nice
> > either
> >
> > this not a help wanted venure
> >
> > nor do you even bother to deny
> >
> > telephones skills are related to radio policy, as you (should) know
> > Hans
> >
> > You posted the material expecting the reuslt you got, and then had the
> > ill grace to complain about it
> >
> > then you folow with a hack job on a post
> >
> > the original post never realy belonged here at least the religous
> > thread under Katrina response evolved from a legit topic
> >
>
> Well Hans what did you expect from call signless an_old_fiend ?

guess you haven't been reading the newgroup much Hans knows my call as
do most people that bother reading the group

> Ace - WH2T

you OTOH don't even bother with a Name, as is of course your right, but
like many in the world you don't reconize the right of others to do
things differently

sad that the USA has come to that the nation that has bleed for freedom
is not made up of people that respect it

2213. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 17:18:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128446319.505482.300790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?

when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
to proof read and such

esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
he does like is allowed to even exist
>
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128382331.225149.144810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> an_old_faggot wrote:
> >> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> >> > > > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> > cut
> >> > > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
> >> > >
> >> > > Whine louder, you whiny cunt.
> >> >
> >> > grow up little boy
> >>
> >> Follow your own advice, dumbass!
> >
> > I grew up years ago, when you planing on trying to grow up
> >

2214. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 17:40:46 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <v-KdnRHYepd7V9_eRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 120040
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Clark wrote:

SNIPPED

> Seems you've drawn a blank then too. On your own sentence which is
> the point I made significant. That you missed on both accounts is
> doubly indicting. Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating
> bullets now?

Richard, Richard, Richard! :-(Read your last sentence. Do you see
the error??

"Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating bullets now?"

There is a GLARING ERROR in it!!!!! It should be ...
"Do you have a relative in Texas WHO is sweating bullets now?"

A relative is a PERSON not a THING: a WHO not a THAT!!

Tch, Tch,

2215. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 19:44:01 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <asl5k1d7203vpfgo42ntp7trfttvd7h5p2@4ax.com>
Source record: 120041
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 13:40:46 -0400, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
wrote:
>A relative is a PERSON not a THING: a WHO not a THAT!!
Yes, it requires a leap of faith to make that presumption of ANY
relationship - this requires independent confirmation of the DNA
status of our Texan.

If they strapped him (sic) into ol' sparky tomorrow morning, would we
have toast, or bacon?

2216. Re: What's the Woger problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 19:54:09 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <2cn5k1l182i93s7gbd2499j2c1ospl0n0q@4ax.com>
Source record: 120042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> > > > > > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> > cut
> > > > > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
> > > > >
> > > > > Whine louder, you whiny cunt.
> > > >
> > > > grow up little boy
> > >
> > > Follow your own advice, dumbass!
> >
> > I grew up years ago
>
> You don't show it, faggot.
>
I "grow" when you talk about man2man sex.

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2217. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 19:58:49 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <jln5k1lpqsj1r9bqeopbralfa4g1ijtinc@4ax.com>
Source record: 120043

My mom and sister are up for ANYTHING!

http://www.spendalittle-getalot.com/REDNECK%20CUP%20HOLDER.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2218. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 20:01:35 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <1pn5k1d3bimos7itfqnq9d45q3p1qj5o46@4ax.com>
Source record: 120044

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> It was always sad. So are the participants.
>
I could not pull them in on my yacht.

http://www.dobhran.com/images2/redneck%20yacht.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2219. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 20:07:04 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <p4o5k1th8h8chsg2ed3s2mdg3qrfjosg0v@4ax.com>
Source record: 120045

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Queer Toadie steps in for a fellow queer Marky. Tell us, Toadie, why
> you didn't show up on frequency this past Saturday or why you live in
> your parents' basement.
>

My place needs leveling....any suggestions?

http://redneck414.tripod.com/278_ernest-trailer.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2220. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 20:08:07 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <38o5k19dvnkj2kope99hnsjnq7far7n1qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 120046

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Aww, how cute, the two faggot retards found each other. Will there be
> wedding bells?
>
Can I be the maid of honor?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2221. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 20:14:33 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <d4919$4342e2a6$4fc6066$23502@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128446203.724111.290600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Dr.Ace wrote:
Snipped

> Ace - WH2T

you OTOH don't even bother with a Name, as is of course your right, but
like many in the world you don't reconize the right of others to do
things differently

sad that the USA has come to that the nation that has bleed for freedom
is not made up of people that respect it

I am a U.S. Army veteran. I respect freedom of speech and I respect freedom
from government tyranny.
My name is Ace - Look up WH2T on QRZ.com
I take it your name must be "an_old_friend"
I respect the opinions of licensed amateur radio operators more than the
opinions of unlicensed people in rec.radio.amateur groups. But, just because
someone has a call sign doesn't automatically earn them my respect.
Ace - WH2T
"You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot
be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great
Legislator of the Universe."-John Adams.

2222. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 21:07:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <gaC0f.8217$vw6.514@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > BDK wrote:
>> >> In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>> >> >
>> > cut
>> >> > > The good old days is right!
>> >> >
>> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such
>> >> > bands
>> >> > >
>> >> > > BDK
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
>> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying
>> >> about
>> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
>> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
>> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>> >
>> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
>> > radio"
>> >>
>> >> BDK
>> >
>> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
>> discussion
>> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
>
> Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
> coment on the posts in here as everyone else
>
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
```

>
That's the point AOF, you don't.

Dan/W4NTI

2223. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 21:08:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <EbC0f.8218$vw6.1395@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"BDK" <kingratay@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1dabc599ab4280dd989b34@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>>
>> BDK wrote:
>> > In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>> > konstans@hotmail.com says...
>> > >
>> cut
>> > > > The good old days is right!
>> > >
>> > > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such
>> > > bands
>> > > > >
>> > > > BDK
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> > Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
>> > Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying about
>> > the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
>> > white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
>> > talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>>
>> who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
>> radio"
>> >
>> > BDK
>>
>>
>
> Ahhh, that old nonsense never made sense anyway, since almost all the
> troublemakers have always been, for the most part, higher level, coded
> licensees. I think the code cracks some of them up. I know it annoyed
> the crap out of me 32 years ago.
>
> BDK

No, most to all of those so called code qualified trouble makers didn't do
CW. They just listened to the new breed of hams, and that cracked them up.

Dan/W4NTI

2224. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 21:14:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zgC0f.8220$vw6.3798@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128328226.343633.164000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> LRod posted:
>> >>
>> >> "K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work
>> >> "multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try
>> >> that in any state west of the Appalachins."
>> >>
>> >> No, central New Jersey. Dhuh!
>> >
>> > which is close for the point to be valid
>> >>
>> >> "Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old
>> >> time
>> >>
>> >> ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people
>> >> determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur
>> >> radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter
>> >> century."
>> >>
>> >> No Mr. Dork, I simply lost interest in ham radio when it became
>> >> infested with clueless CB types who only hold ham tickets because they
>> >> crammed their way through the licensing exams. When store purchased
>> >> commercial rigs appeared, the ham bands became cluttered with these
>> >> types to the extent that one QSO after another led to nothing but
>> >> uninformed, mindless blathering as it remains today.
>> >
>> > Hmm you lost interest but you are HERE engaging in debate over it
>> >>
>> >> However, I am forever grateful to my ham radio experience because it
>> >> led the way for me to obtain my First Class Commercial ticket, my job
>> >> as chief engineer of a Trenton, NJ radio station, and ultimately paid
>> >> for my BS level college education at Drexel University. The knowledge
>> >> acquired though my ham activities also qualified me for a coop job as
>> >> a
>> >> transmitter designer at Barker & Williamson (the B&W 5100 xmtr was one
>> >> of my projects and later the Army's T368 transmitter.) My ham radio

>> >> and educational background ultimately led me to a 15+ year career with
>> >> Raytheon developing military electronics.
>> >>
>> >> When active as a ham, the majority of my time was spent developing and
>> >> perfecting ham TV rigs and TTY systems that represented the cutting
>> >> edge technology of that time when the joy of building and operating a
>> >> conventional CW, AM, or SSB rig became old hat.
>> >>
>> >> What saddens me most is the degree to which ham radio has become
>> >> emasculated and rendered devoid of almost all technical value.
>> >> Bitter,
>> >> no. Saddened, yes!
>> >
>> > looks like one the fellas still pissed over Incentive licensing and
>> > blaming those who just followed the rules laid down for getting their
>> > licenses for the rulst of the ARRL's games back then, some several
>> > decades ago
>> >
>> > wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
>> > case an Bitter Old Timer
>> >>
>> >> Harry C.
>> >
>>
>> See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He
>> argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.
>
> realy I thought that was Stevie's job and you claim anyone that
> disagrees with you knows nothing
>
> BTW I don't argue with everyone, let alone about everything
>
> You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> agrees to agree with you, in advance
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
Not at all. I just consider you a complete fool and chose not to waste my
time debating such an ignorant goof as you.

Dan/W4NTI

2225. Lardass shows off his permanent cocksucking lisp!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 21:52:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128462736.946995.158650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Lardass Lloyd Davies whined:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > WB2DBH Rick Stevens of Rochester,NY whined:
> > > > > > > On 3 Oct 2005 05:52:26 -0700,
> > > cut
> > > > > > > Shut up<translation: whaaaaaaaaaaaaaah!!!!!!!>
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Whine louder, you whiny cunt.
> > > > > >
> > > > > grow up little boy
> > > > >
> > > > Follow your own advice, dumbass!
> > > >
> > > I grew up years ago
> > >
> > You don't show it, faggot.
> >
> I "grow" when you talk about man2man sex.

You must be up to at least 1/4 inch now, Lardass. Your tiny penis is
one of the many reasons you are a 32 year old VIRGIN.
```

2226. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 21:53:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128462817.815305.167060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120052

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> My mom and sister are up for ANYTHING!

We know Davies, your sister Amanda sucks as many trucker dicks as you
and your mother do.

2227. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 21:55:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128462930.560705.319900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120053

N9OGL wrote:
> First off I not queer, <lies and excuses>

You are queer, Toadie.
You weren't on because you are a lying sack of shit, Toadie.

>I think not.

We know that you don't think, Toadie.

>Finally, I don't live in my parents
> basement I don't who started that but it's true.

2228. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 21:55:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128462955.616188.179180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120054

You're just the woman for the job, Davies.

2229. Re: Anyone up for a SKIED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:06:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128463615.589454.192330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120055

```
an_old_faggot whined:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's "cur ca" You mean "circa," you dumb bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > And, "Worth" should be "wrath".
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > always Know I have made the point when the only reply is
spelling cop
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > crap
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Yeah, you made the point that you are a illiterate bastard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > But you did that from your first post.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cutting sexual rant
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You should be ashamed of your perverse lifestyle, faggot.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > well As Soon as you can show a connection between my sexual orientation
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > and Ham radio this will become the place for such a discussion,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > So defensive! Are you ashamed deep down inside of your perverse
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > lifestyle?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > not at all defensive
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Wrong. You are defensive, you even cut away those words that draw
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > attention to it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > not at all I cut away the stuff that does not belong here
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, you cut it away because you are in denial.
```

> > >
> > > no not at all in Denial I am Bisexual, it just has nothing to with Ham
> > > radio
> >
> > So? A faggot like you isn't going to stop freedom of speech.
>
> never tried to stop your freedom of speech

You couldn't even if you wanted to, you sniveling jackass.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone Else's sexuality got to
> > > > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > >
> > > > > answer the question bigot
> > > > >
> > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > >
> > > what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?
> >
> > Cry, faggot, cry.
>
> Poe little baby can't even answer a simple question "what does my
> sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"

Poor little faggot, gonna cry and get your new purse all wet?

> >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > cutting sexual rant
> cut

Coward.

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sunday if I choose? because it might offend YOUR
> > > > > > > > religion?
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > "Sunday?" Freudian slip, Marjy? When the fuck ever I said I subscribed
> > > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > > > > Christian religion.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be Christan,
> > > > > >
> > > > > Liar.
> > > >
> > > the simple truth
> >
> > The only thing simple is you, liar.
>
> no lie in my stating that I am not a Christian, and haven't claimed to
> be one

You have lied from day one, dip shit. Just as you do today.

> you are the fool in not getting your facts straight, but then bigots
> like yourself are not concerned with facts

You need to get your fucking facts straight yourself. If you did, it
would be the only thing straight about you, faggot.

> >
> > > even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
> >
> > Aw, trying to rekindle your romance with him?
>
> gee never had a "romance" with him, therefore not trying to rekindle
> one

More denial.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > never have made such a
> > > > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a Google
> > > > > reach of the group on the word Pagan
> > > > >
> > > > > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English,much less
> > > > > understand alternative religions, boy.
> > > >
> > > more falsehood from the little bigoted boy
> >
> > More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "falsehood?"
>
> a typo being dyslexic and aphasic I make plenty of them

No, it's not a typo. It's your lack of education shining through.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > > What are you full of, besides your boyfriend's sperm, Marjy?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bullshit
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yep, you are.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > cut
> >
> Chicken shit.
>
> no just trying to cut on the waste of bandwidth

No, you are a chicken shit. If you're so concerned about bandwidth, you
should not post at all.
Now, go cry in the corner, pussy.

> >
> > > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexuality,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marjy. It would be
> > > > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > > > >
> > > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > > > >
> > > > No, proof of your whining.
> > >
> > what whining?
> >
> All your replies have been whining.
>
> not a whining in bunch

Just your pink panties have gotten in a bunch, right?

>
> OTOH your Vere handle is whiny little boy

Just like yours is very stupid, since nobody considers you a friend
here.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> > > > >
> > > > Aw, are you gonna cry,now?
> > >
> > why would I?
> >
> Snivel, faggot, snivel.
>
> you are losing what Erv grip you had on reality little boy

Unlike you, who keeps a very tight grip on his privates while he posts.

> >
> > > you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> > > mine for your stupidity and misfortune
> >
> > I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
> > tears for yourself, right?
>
> my life doesn't suck, could always be a better of course, as could just
> about everyones

Your life does suck, illiterate one who lives in a shanty.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > Further it shows you to be stupid as well.
> > > > >
> > > > > No, stupid.
> > > >
> > > > yes you are pretty stupid
> > >
> > > Marjy is too stupid to know he is stupid.
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > world wide most aids cases are spread heterosexually, and even in the US
> > > > > > where at time this has not been the case the highest infection rate is
> > > > > > amok heteros these days, folks mostly like you seem to be, stupid
> > > > > > enough to think the virus cares about sexual orientation
> > > > >
> > > > > But you get it more, huh? Most people are smart enough to use
> > > > > protection, too bad your mommy wasn't one of them.
> > > >
> > > > no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> > > > people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
> > > > and other Stud's
> > >
> > > No, you get it more, faggot.
> >
> > get your facts straight

Get yours, stupid. Nowhere did I ever say that a virus knows sexual
orientation.

> >
> > > but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
> > >
> > > You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.
> >
> > yes I will lie when it serves to protect me, never deny that (except
> > briefly when being threatened) but I know the truth when i do, while
> > you clearly in some fantastic fog

Thanks for admitting you are a liar in public, doffs.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > > > unable to deal with reality,
> > > > > > > as likely to make totally unconnected statements
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That's the pot calling the kettle black if there ever was one, you
> > > > > > illiterate son of a bitch.
> > > > > >
> > > > > claiming to have met My mother as well?
> > > > >
> > > > So you're saying your mommy was a bitch? I bet she was popular with the
> > > > truck drivers.
> > > >
> > > never said that either I was asking a question
> > >
> > You announced your mommy is a bitch.
> >
> never said any such thing. YOU did. and I asked if you had some basis
> for making the statement, after all she might be, I have hardly seen
> her since my folks divorced

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..Poor faggot Marjy comes from a broken home. No wonder
he turned out screwed up like he did.

> >
> > >
> > >
> > > ever planing on growing up little boy
> >
> > Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?
> >
> what class? and you now you can't even tell boys from girls

What's the matter, did it hit to close to home, you Femme?

> sad

Looking in the mirror AGAIN?

2230. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:08:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128463724.959795.187050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120056

Porky, you can't even keep up your HUD apartment rent, much less have a yacht.

2231. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:11:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128463879.714645.262860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

5'06" 300 pounds Uncle Turd wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2005 05:11:35 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >> All I know is (IKYABWAI)
> >
> >Contained on the head of a pin. You are rather obtuse, like Marky. Only
> >thing is, no matter how hopeful you'd thought you'd find a new love
> >affair, queer boy, you're barking up the wrong tree. Now go slurp
> >Marky's rear end some more. He's into that sort of thing, like you.
>
> What a pathetic little man you are...

I'm still light years above you, genetic reject Lloyd Davies. God made
you genetically inferior for a reason.

2232. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:14:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_faggot wrote:
> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
>
> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> to proof read and such

Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?

> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> he does like is allowed to even exist

I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.

2233. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:15:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128464129.705771.285460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

lol!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2234. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:21:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128464513.805269.137460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120060

your a little troll...asshole

2235. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:36:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128465398.958397.73500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120061

Illiterate faggot N9OGL wrote:
> your a little troll...asshole

"You're" a little asshole....troll faggot.

2236. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-04 22:58:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1OD0f.6987$oc.1928@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> making sense has never been a requirement of the world in or
> out of Ham Radio
>

Luckily for you!

2237. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 00:01:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128469195.155052.79020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128446203.724111.290600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace wrote:
> Snipped
>
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> you OTOH don't even bother with a Name, as is of course your right, but
> like many in the world you don't reconize the right of others to do
> things differently
>
> sad that the USA has come to that the nation that has bleed for freedom
> is not made up of people that respect it
> --
> I am a U.S. Army veteran. I respect freedom of speech and I respect freedom
> from government tyranny.
> My name is Ace - Look up WH2T on QRZ.com
> I take it your name must be "an_old_friend"
> I respect the opinions of licensed amateur radio operators more than the
> opinions of unlicensed people in rec.radio.amateur groups. But, just because
> someone has a call sign doesn't automatically earn them my respect.
> Ace - WH2T
> "You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot
> be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great
> Legislator of the Universe."-John Adams.
> --

"You have the right to remain silent" Miranda

2238. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 00:04:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128470695.020150.217880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > What you do not seem to realize is that the folks that actually learned
> > something (before the multiple guess answers came out), just might have a
> > few skills.

What you do not seem to realize is that young folks actually learned
stuff, too. You just fail to appreciate their knowledge, and see them
as thoughtless.

> > These are usually folks that have a genuine interest in how things work, not
> > how to turn a knob or push a button or keys.

Indeed. Often those people are young in years.

> > This isn't to say that folks
> > that simply wish to talk are not welcome; they certainly are.

Then you may begin acting as if they were.

> > Although I do not possess a college degree, I am a certified electronics
> > technician. I have repaired two-way radios (business and trunking radios).
> > I have done a lot of electrical control and power wiring. I have not worked
> > in high voltage, but have done a fair amount of 277/480 3 phase work. I've
> > climbed atop silos and repaired bag houses. Welded, soldered, cut, run
> > milling machines, surface grinders, lathes, and more. If a saws-all can't
> > do the job readily, the oxy-acetylene tourch will handle it well for me
> > (hmmmm ... wonder how that would solder pl-259s? LOL). Done EMC compliance
> > studies along with UL compliance. Come to think of it, ozone compliance.
> > Can you spell exponential decay? Come to think of it, I've programmed
> > slc-500s, Texas Instruments PLCs, Modicon PLCs, and more (including data
> > highways and ethernet). Even written a program to generate ladders from
> > simply inputting I/O assignments and letting the program know what I want to
> > have happen. Under 15 minutes to properly program 3 cells. Another 5
> > minutes to debug because someone wired a switch backwards (normally closed
> > rather than normally open).

The military would describe you as a person who could chew gum and walk
at the same time.

> And a whole lot more, I bet.

Mutual admiration society?

> The trouble is that skills aren't as valued as they once were.

The -right- skills are always valued.

> Particularly if they aren't "state of the art", whatever that means.

It can mean "useful in the modern world."

> Whether it be
> Morse Code or how to put up an antenna in a less-than-perfect location,
> or even make your own bread, many people look down upon "basic" or
> "old" skills as a sort of poor relation to "high tech". As in "not
> worth their time or effort".

McDonalds wouldn't be serving up very many "basic" cheeseburgers if
they served them up with sarcasm and redicule. Which is how your
cronies serve up "basic" information.

> > The nice thing about amateur radio is that it encompasses a whole spectrum
> > of individuals, unlike most trade magazines.

Amateur radio is not a trade.

To me, advertising in an
> > amateur publication such as QST would make a lot of sense, especially if you
> > are trying to locate a number of different skills (rather than a number of
> > ads in different magazines or newspapers).
>
> Agree 100%. There's a long history of such ads, too.

Especially since I'll not renew my membership in the ARRL nor receive
QST due to the mishandling of Carl's run for ARRL office. I never did
think that the ARRL had my interests at heart, and that was the final
straw.

> > As to Morse, it can be fun. If we had difficulty with it back when (for me,
> > 1962), we learned to overcome that difficulty (not a bad thing to learn, in
> > my humble opinion). Come to think of it, as much grief as it gave me (when
> > memorizing dots and dashes), once I learned it by sound, I enjoyed it and by
> > 1967 had perfect copy at 40 words per minute in the U.S. Navy.

I'm always amazed at how people who earned three hots, a cot, and a
paycheck for learning Morse Code demand that the rest of us donate our
time and money to do the same. I think it's some kind of entitlement
mentality. The government is supposed to keep a steady stream of Morse
Coders in front of you so you'll have someone to communicate with.

More than
> > 40? I don't know; that was the fastest test they had back then. I would
> > have had difficulty much beyond that as we were banging away with manual
> > typewriters then. I might have (possibly) made 50 at most.

Oh, my! Think how fast you could have gone with an IBM Selectric and
fanfold paper.

Disclaimer -
> > that would be perfect typewritten copy filling close to a whole page of
> > paper. A few errors would have allowed me considerably faster copy. Then.
> > (LOL)

>
> It took a bit of doing for me to learn Morse Code.

Ditto.

First I acquired a
> straight key and built an oscillator so I could practice sending. But
> they also tested receiving back in 1967, so I had to build a receiver,
> find the 80 meter ham band, find some hams sending fairly slowly, and
> then figure out what they were sending. I guess being 12-13 years old
> and not having anybody tell me I was too young helped...

Do you mean that you didn't bootleg like W3RV?

> ---
>
> You may enjoy this article, too: I sure did.
>
> http://www.salon.com/opinion/feature/2005/09/28/keillor_work/index.html?sid=1394604
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I enjoy all sorts of things. Morse Code is not among them. Sarcasm
and redicule served with "basic" information is not my favorite thing,
either.

2239. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 00:13:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128471219.235371.267190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Hans posting is still off-topic.
>
> Sure it is OT. So what?

It's a problem when Len posts off-topic, but not a problem when you
post off-topic?

Hmmmm?

> Nontheless, I know that at least two telephone crafts saw the OT post, followed
> up, and are now cashing nice OT paychecks.

Are those radio-telephone crafts? Any link to amateur radio? Anything
to do with radio at all?

> Sunuvagun!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Sunuva "Something"

2240. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 01:47:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128476879.401448.207930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128446203.724111.290600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace wrote:
> Snipped
>
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> you OTOH don't even bother with a Name, as is of course your right, but
> like many in the world you don't reconize the right of others to do
> things differently
>
> sad that the USA has come to that the nation that has bleed for freedom
> is not made up of people that respect it
> --
> I am a U.S. Army veteran. I respect freedom of speech and I respect freedom
> from government tyranny.

and when you going to start walking that talk

> My name is Ace - Look up WH2T on QRZ.com
> I take it your name must be "an_old_friend"
> I respect the opinions of licensed amateur radio operators more than the
> opinions of unlicensed people in rec.radio.amateur groups. But, just because
> someone has a call sign doesn't automatically earn them my respect.
> Ace - WH2T
> "You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot
> be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great
> Legislator of the Universe."-John Adams.
>
> --

2241. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 01:51:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128477068.080204.126960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128328226.343633.164000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> LRod posted:
> >> >>
> >> >> "K2, huh? New York City? That explains why you were able to work
> >> >> "multiple states." You have, what, six of them within 100 miles? Try
> >> >> that in any state west of the Appalachins."
> >> >>
> >> >> No, central New Jersey. Dhuh!
> >> >
> >> > which is close for the point to be valid
> >> >>
> >> >> "Whiny old timer, out of touch with reality, loss of memory of old
> >> >> time
> >> >>
> >> >> ham radio, pissed because of Incentive Licensing, lets other people
> >> >> determine his enjoyment of a hobby, still hanging around the amateur
> >> >> radio newsgroups despite being unlicensed for nearly a quarter
> >> >> century."
> >> >>
> >> >> No Mr. Dork, I simply lost interest in ham radio when it became
> >> >> infested with clueless CB types who only hold ham tickets because they
> >> >> crammed their way through the licensing exams. When store purchased
> >> >> commercial rigs appeared, the ham bands became cluttered with these
> >> >> types to the extent that one QSO after another led to nothing but
> >> >> uninformed, mindless blathering as it remains today.
> >> >
> >> > Hmm you lost interest but you are HERE engaging in debate over it
> >> >>
> >> >> However, I am forever grateful to my ham radio experience because it
> >> >> led the way for me to obtain my First Class Commercial ticket, my job
> >> >> as chief engineer of a Trenton, NJ radio station, and ultimately paid
> >> >> for my BS level college education at Drexel University. The knowledge
> >> >> acquired though my ham activities also qualified me for a coop job as
> >> >> a
> >> >> transmitter designer at Barker & Williamson (the B&W 5100 xmtr was one

> >> >> of my projects and later the Army's T368 transmitter.) My ham radio
> >> >> and educational background ultimately led me to a 15+ year career with
> >> >> Raytheon developing military electronics.
> >> >>
> >> >> When active as a ham, the majority of my time was spent developing and
> >> >> perfecting ham TV rigs and TTY systems that represented the cutting
> >> >> edge technology of that time when the joy of building and operating a
> >> >> conventional CW, AM, or SSB rig became old hat.
> >> >>
> >> >> What saddens me most is the degree to which ham radio has become
> >> >> emasculated and rendered devoid of almost all technical value.
> >> >> Bitter,
> >> >> no. Saddened, yes!
> >> >
> >> > looks like one the fellas still pissed over Incentive licensing and
> >> > blaming those who just followed the rules laid down for getting their
> >> > licenses for the rulst of the ARRL's games back then, some several
> >> > decades ago
> >> >
> >> > wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
> >> > case an Bitter Old Timer
> >> >>
> >> >> Harry C.
> >> >
> >>
> >> See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He
> >> argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.
> >
> > realy I thought that was Stevie's job and you claim anyone that
> > disagrees with you knows nothing
> >
> > BTW I don't argue with everyone, let alone about everything
> >
> > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> > agrees to agree with you, in advance
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> Not at all. I just consider you a complete fool and chose not to waste my
> time debating such an ignorant goof as you.

gee that isn't waht you said last week, but then I don't realy expect
folks like you to keep theire stories straight, even from post to post

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2242. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 01:53:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128477185.500809.136800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> > > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> >
> > when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> > to proof read and such
>
> Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?

simple truth suffices
>
> > esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> > he does like is allowed to even exist
>
> I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.

gee why are you lying little boy

you are obiviosly obessed with your hate, why not just admit it, you
will likely feel much better

2243. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 01:55:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > BDK wrote:
> >> >> In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
> >> >> >
> >> > cut
> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such
> >> >> > bands
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > BDK
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying
> >> >> about
> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered up
> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
> >> >
> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
> >> > radio"
> >> >>
> >> >> BDK
> >> >
> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
> >> discussion
> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
> >
> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
> >
> >
> >>

> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> That's the point AOF, you don't.

ah but I do I have the same rights as you do

One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly would
not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it

You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
of the rest of us
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2244. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 01:57:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128477469.379466.206870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > Hans posting is still off-topic.
> >
> > Sure it is OT. So what?
>
> It's a problem when Len posts off-topic, but not a problem when you
> post off-topic?

Must be one of the "rights" of the Mighty Macho Morsemen

does that cover you Brian
>
> Hmmmm?
>
> > Nontheless, I know that at least two telephone crafts saw the OT post, followed
> > up, and are now cashing nice OT paychecks.
>
> Are those radio-telephone crafts? Any link to amateur radio? Anything
> to do with radio at all?

I guess the link is that it was written about by one of the MMM
>
> > Sunuvagun!
> >
> > Beep beep
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> Sunuva "Something"

2245. Re: Anyone up for a SKIED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 02:10:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128478237.253235.235140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120071

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot whined:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut

> > > So? A faggot like you isn't going to stop freedom of speech.
> >
> > never tried to stop your freedom of speech
>
> You couldn't even if you wanted to, you sniveling jackass.

never claimed I could, but you were accusing of me of doing so. make up
your mind if you can
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone Else's sexuality got to
> > > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > answer the question bigot
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > >
> > > > > what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?
> > > >
> > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> >
> > Poe little baby can't even answer a simple question "what does my
> > sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
>
> Poor little faggot, gonna cry and get your new purse all wet?

no are you going to answert the simple question"what does my
sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"

very likely never since you don't have answer
>
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > > > > > > > cutting sexual rant
> > cut
>
> Coward.

just cuting down on the amount of quoted text
>
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sunday if I choose? because it might offend YOUR
> > > > > > > > religion?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Sunday?" Freudian slip, Marjy? When the fuck ever I said I subscribed
> > > > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > > > > > Christian religion.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be Christan,
> > > > >
> > > > > Liar.
> > > >
> > > > the simple truth
> > >
> > > The only thing simple is you, liar.
> >
> > no lie in my stating that I am not a Christian, and haven't claimed to
> > be one
>
> You have lied from day one, dip shit. Just as you do today.

haven't lied about my religion, have not claimed to be christian
>
> > you are the fool in not getting your facts straight, but then bigots
> > like yourself are not concerned with facts
>
> You need to get your fucking facts straight yourself. If you did, it

> would be the only thing straight about you, faggot.

I have em straight

why are you so concerned about what I may be doing little boy?
>
>
> > >
> > > > even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
> > >
> > > Aw, trying to rekindle your romance with him?
> >
> > gee never had a "romance" with him, therefore not trying to rekindle
> > one
>
> More denial.

well closer to the truth then you normaly manage but realy Stevie is
not my type. I prefer humans, and I avoid hate filled bigots (of either
gender) as sex partners
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > never have made such a
> > > > > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a Google
> > > > > > reach of the group on the word Pagan
> > > > > >
> > > > > > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English,much less
> > > > > > understand alternative religions, boy.
> > > > >
> > > > > more falsehood from the little bigoted boy
> > > >
> > > > More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "falsehood?"
> > >
> > > a typo being dyslexic and aphasic I make plenty of them
> >
> > No, it's not a typo. It's your lack of education shining through.

it is a typo obviously, how stupid are you?
cut
> > >
> > > Chicken shit.
> >
> > no just trying to cut on the waste of bandwidth
>
> No, you are a chicken shit. If you're so concerned about bandwidth, you
> should not post at all.

I just like ti prune stuff after awhile

> Now, go cry in the corner, pussy.
>

> > >
> > > > > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexuality,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marjy. It would be
> > > > > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > > > > >
> > > > > No, proof of your whining.
> > > > >
> > > > what whining?
> > > >
> > > All your replies have been whining.
> > >
> > not a whining in bunch
> >
> Just your pink panties have gotten in a bunch, right?

nope wrong again
>
> >
> > OTOH your Vere handle is whiny little boy
> >
> Just like yours is very stupid, since nobody considers you a friend
> here.
>
> > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> > > > > >
> > > > > Aw, are you gonna cry,now?
> > > >
> > > why would I?
> > >
> > Snivel, faggot, snivel.
> >
> > you are losing what Erv grip you had on reality little boy
> >
> Unlike you, who keeps a very tight grip on his privates while he posts.

more of your fantasy little boy
>
> > >
> > > you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> > > mine for your stupidity and misfortune
> > >
> > I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
> > tears for yourself, right?
> >
> > my life doesn't suck, could always be a better of course, as could just
> > about everyones

>
> Your life does suck, illiterate one who lives in a shanty.

who are you talking about

My life is fine I own my home
>
> > >
> > > > >
cut

> > > > no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> > > > people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
> > > > and other Stud's
> > > >
> > > No, you get it more, faggot.
> >
> > get your facts straight
>
> Get yours, stupid. Nowhere did I ever say that a virus knows sexual
> orientation.

you said it more or less
>
> > >
> > > > but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
> > > >
> > > You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.
> >
> > yes I will lie when it serves to protect me, never deny that (except
> > briefly when being threatened) but I know the truth when i do, while
> > you clearly in some fantastic fog
>
> Thanks for admitting you are a liar in public, doffs.

that is no newsflash admited to that 7 years ago
cut
out screwed up like he did.
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > ever planing on growing up little boy
> > > >
> > > Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?
> >
> > what class? and you now you can't even tell boys from girls
>
> What's the matter, did it hit to close to home, you Femme?

nope
>

> > sad
>
> Looking in the mirror AGAIN?

2246. Re: Anyone up for a SKIED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 02:10:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128478246.130144.236040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120072

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot whined:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut

> > > So? A faggot like you isn't going to stop freedom of speech.
> >
> > never tried to stop your freedom of speech
>
> You couldn't even if you wanted to, you sniveling jackass.

never claimed I could, but you were accusing of me of doing so. make up
your mind if you can
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone Else's sexuality got to
> > > > > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > answer the question bigot
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?
> > > > >
> > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > >
> > > Poe little baby can't even answer a simple question "what does my
> > > sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
>
> Poor little faggot, gonna cry and get your new purse all wet?
```

no are you going to answert the simple question"what does my
sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"

very likely never since you don't have answer
>
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > > > > > > > cutting sexual rant
> > cut
>
> Coward.

just cuting down on the amount of quoted text
>
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sunday if I choose? because it might offend YOUR
> > > > > > > > religion?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Sunday?" Freudian slip, Marjy? When the fuck ever I said I subscribed
> > > > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > > > > > Christian religion.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be Christan,
> > > > >
> > > > > Liar.
> > > >
> > > > the simple truth
> > >
> > > The only thing simple is you, liar.
> >
> > no lie in my stating that I am not a Christian, and haven't claimed to
> > be one
>
> You have lied from day one, dip shit. Just as you do today.

haven't lied about my religion, have not claimed to be christian
>
> > you are the fool in not getting your facts straight, but then bigots
> > like yourself are not concerned with facts
>
> You need to get your fucking facts straight yourself. If you did, it

> would be the only thing straight about you, faggot.

I have em straight

why are you so concerned about what I may be doing little boy?
>
>
> > >
> > > > even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
> > >
> > > Aw, trying to rekindle your romance with him?
> >
> > gee never had a "romance" with him, therefore not trying to rekindle
> > one
>
> More denial.

well closer to the truth then you normaly manage but realy Stevie is
not my type. I prefer humans, and I avoid hate filled bigots (of either
gender) as sex partners
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > never have made such a
> > > > > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a Google
> > > > > > reach of the group on the word Pagan
> > > > > >
> > > > > > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English,much less
> > > > > > understand alternative religions, boy.
> > > > >
> > > > > more falsehood from the little bigoted boy
> > > >
> > > > More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "falsehood?"
> > >
> > > a typo being dyslexic and aphasic I make plenty of them
> >
> > No, it's not a typo. It's your lack of education shining through.

it is a typo obviously, how stupid are you?
cut
> > >
> > > Chicken shit.
> >
> > no just trying to cut on the waste of bandwidth
>
> No, you are a chicken shit. If you're so concerned about bandwidth, you
> should not post at all.

I just like ti prune stuff after awhile

> Now, go cry in the corner, pussy.
>

> > >
> > > > > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexuality,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marjy. It would be
> > > > > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > > > > >
> > > > > No, proof of your whining.
> > > > >
> > > > what whining?
> > > >
> > > All your replies have been whining.
> > >
> > not a whining in bunch
> >
> Just your pink panties have gotten in a bunch, right?

nope wrong again
>
> >
> > OTOH your Vere handle is whiny little boy
> >
> Just like yours is very stupid, since nobody considers you a friend
> here.
>
> > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> > > > > >
> > > > > Aw, are you gonna cry,now?
> > > > >
> > > > why would I?
> > > >
> > > Snivel, faggot, snivel.
> > >
> > you are losing what Erv grip you had on reality little boy
> >
> Unlike you, who keeps a very tight grip on his privates while he posts.

more of your fantasy little boy
>
> > >
> > > you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> > > mine for your stupidity and misfortune
> > >
> > I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
> > tears for yourself, right?
> >
> > my life doesn't suck, could always be a better of course, as could just
> > about everyones

>
> Your life does suck, illiterate one who lives in a shanty.

who are you talking about

My life is fine I own my home
>
> > >
> > > > >
cut

> > > > no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> > > > people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
> > > > and other Stud's
> > > >
> > > No, you get it more, faggot.
> >
> > get your facts straight
>
> Get yours, stupid. Nowhere did I ever say that a virus knows sexual
> orientation.

you said it more or less
>
> > >
> > > > but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
> > > >
> > > You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.
> >
> > yes I will lie when it serves to protect me, never deny that (except
> > briefly when being threatened) but I know the truth when i do, while
> > you clearly in some fantastic fog
>
> Thanks for admitting you are a liar in public, doffs.

that is no newsflash admited to that 7 years ago
cut

>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > ever planing on growing up little boy
> > >
> > > Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?
> >
> > what class? and you now you can't even tell boys from girls
>
> What's the matter, did it hit to close to home, you Femme?

nope
>

> > sad
>
> Looking in the mirror AGAIN?

2247. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 02:11:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128478285.288801.300720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120073

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Illiterate faggot N9OGL wrote:
> > your a little troll...asshole
>
> "You're" a little asshole....troll faggot.

and you are a little bigoted likelya cloested homosexual yourself

2248. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 02:17:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128478668.545018.18770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> 5'06" 300 pounds Uncle Turd wrote:
> > On 4 Oct 2005 05:11:35 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >> All I know is (IKYABWAI)
> > >
> > >Contained on the head of a pin. You are rather obtuse, like Marky. Only
> > >thing is, no matter how hopeful you'd thought you'd find a new love
> > >affair, queer boy, you're barking up the wrong tree. Now go slurp
> > >Marky's rear end some more. He's into that sort of thing, like you.
> >
> > What a pathetic little man you are...
>
> I'm still light years above you, genetic reject Lloyd Davies. God made
> you genetically inferior for a reason.

you are you superior to anyone little boy

2249. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 03:04:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9pH0f.7059$oc.4861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> of the rest of us
>

The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes a nice sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample of humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.

It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal" are almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and that you are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you, better than you, or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that freedom, and therefore I reject the notion of "human equality".

If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron" at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html

73, de Hans, K0HB

2250. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 03:06:48 UTC
From: DEROS <Efil@dp>
Message-ID: <dhvfti$4ru$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128477185.500809.136800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> > > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> >
> > when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> > to proof read and such
>
> Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?

simple truth suffices
>
> > esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> > he does like is allowed to even exist
>
> I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.

gee why are you lying little boy

you are obiviosly obessed with your hate, why not just admit it, you
will likely feel much better

0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Aw, that's just AB8MQ. Pay him no heed and he will eventually slither back
into the darkness of the psych ward.
He's a psychotic malcontent who has never been able to assimilate himself
into mainstream society, and when he doesn't take his medications he hears
voices.

Ignore him. He counts for little.

2251. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 03:07:08 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <53386$43434341$4fc676d$27636@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128476879.401448.207930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128446203.724111.290600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dr.Ace wrote:
>> Snipped
>>
>> > Ace - WH2T
>>
>> you OTOH don't even bother with a Name, as is of course your right, but
>> like many in the world you don't reconize the right of others to do
>> things differently
>>
>> sad that the USA has come to that the nation that has bleed for freedom
>> is not made up of people that respect it
>> ----------------------------------------
>> I am a U.S. Army veteran. I respect freedom of speech and I respect
>> freedom
>> from government tyranny.
>
> and when you going to start walking that talk
>
>> My name is Ace - Look up WH2T on QRZ.com
>> I take it your name must be "an_old_friend"
>> I respect the opinions of licensed amateur radio operators more than the
>> opinions of unlicensed people in rec.radio.amateur groups. But, just
>> because
>> someone has a call sign doesn't automatically earn them my respect.
>> Ace - WH2T
>> "You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that
>> cannot
>> be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great
>> Legislator of the Universe."-John Adams.
>>
>> ----------------------------------------
>

Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.
Ace - WH2T
```

Government is not reason. Government is not eloquence. It is force. And, like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master. -George Washington

---

2252. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 03:16:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vAH0f.2980$4h2.2054@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> It's a problem when Len posts off-topic

Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down for being OT.

> Are those radio-telephone crafts?

Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas, you might investigate the opportunity.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2253. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 03:49:03 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <P2l0f.697$B14.667@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120080
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Amos Keag wrote:
> Richard Clark wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>
>> Seems you've drawn a blank then too. On your own sentence which is
>> the point I made significant. That you missed on both accounts is
>> doubly indicting. Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating
>> bullets now?
>
>
> Richard, Richard, Richard! :-(Read your last sentence. Do you see
> the error??
>
> "Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating bullets now?"
>
> There is a GLARING ERROR in it!!!!! It should be ...
> "Do you have a relative in Texas WHO is sweating bullets now?"
>
> A relative is a PERSON not a THING: a WHO not a THAT!!
>
> Tch, Tch,
>

Not if the who is a that. Consider yourself insulted.
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

2254. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 04:02:27 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <S7SdnQ8Hk9nP3N7eRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:

>
>
> you are you superior to anyone little boy
>

Whatever the hell that is supposed to mean.

2255. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 04:30:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128486633.012165.143620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> > of the rest of us
> >
>
> The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate
> mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes a nice
> sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample of
> humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.

I knew I could count on the MMM for the elitist view
>
> It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic
> equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal" are
> almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and that you
> are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you, better than you,
> or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that freedom, and therefore I
> reject the notion of "human equality".

simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean one gives up the
right to the be different

freedom and equality are not in consistant
>
> If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt Vonnegut's
> short story "Harrison Bergeron" at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html

why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2256. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 04:31:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128486686.746891.281070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128476879.401448.207930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128446203.724111.290600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Dr.Ace wrote:
> >> Snipped
> >>
> >> > Ace - WH2T
> >>
> >> you OTOH don't even bother with a Name, as is of course your right, but
> >> like many in the world you don't reconize the right of others to do
> >> things differently
> >>
> >> sad that the USA has come to that the nation that has bleed for freedom
> >> is not made up of people that respect it
> >> --
> >> I am a U.S. Army veteran. I respect freedom of speech and I respect
> >> freedom
> >> from government tyranny.
> >
> > and when you going to start walking that talk
> >
> >> My name is Ace - Look up WH2T on QRZ.com
> >> I take it your name must be "an_old_friend"
> >> I respect the opinions of licensed amateur radio operators more than the
> >> opinions of unlicensed people in rec.radio.amateur groups. But, just
> >> because
> >> someone has a call sign doesn't automatically earn them my respect.
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >> "You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that
> >> cannot
> >> be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great
> >> Legislator of the Universe."-John Adams.
> >>
> >> --
> >
>
> Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
> are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.

your point? if any

> Ace - WH2T
> Government is not reason. Government is not eloquence. It is force. And,
> like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master. -George
> Washington
>
> ----------

2257. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 04:32:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128486765.424226.295570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128476879.401448.207930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128446203.724111.290600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Dr.Ace wrote:
> >> Snipped
> >>
> >> > Ace - WH2T
> >>
> >> you OTOH don't even bother with a Name, as is of course your right, but
> >> like many in the world you don't reconize the right of others to do
> >> things differently
> >>
> >> sad that the USA has come to that the nation that has bleed for freedom
> >> is not made up of people that respect it
> >> --
> >> I am a U.S. Army veteran. I respect freedom of speech and I respect
> >> freedom
> >> from government tyranny.
> >
> > and when you going to start walking that talk
> >
> >> My name is Ace - Look up WH2T on QRZ.com
> >> I take it your name must be "an_old_friend"
> >> I respect the opinions of licensed amateur radio operators more than the
> >> opinions of unlicensed people in rec.radio.amateur groups. But, just
> >> because
> >> someone has a call sign doesn't automatically earn them my respect.
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >> "You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that
> >> cannot
> >> be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great
> >> Legislator of the Universe."-John Adams.
> >>
> >> --
> >
>
> Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
> are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.

your point? if any

you clearly don't respect the rights of others to disagree with you

so you talk the talk, but when you going to walk the walk?
> Ace - WH2T
> Government is not reason. Government is not eloquence. It is force. And,
> like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master. -George
> Washington
>
> ----------

2258. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 04:37:20 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <r2m6k1d2g1jsjcgh8msat6r6fjapokv99i@4ax.com>
Source record: 120084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 4 Oct 2005 15:11:19 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>5'06" 300 pounds Uncle Turd wrote:
>> On 4 Oct 2005 05:11:35 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >> All I know is (IKYABWAI)
>> >
>> >Contained on the head of a pin. You are rather obtuse, like Marky. Only
>> >thing is, no matter how hopeful you'd thought you'd find a new love
>> >affair, queer boy, you're barking up the wrong tree. Now go slurp
>> >Marky's rear end some more. He's into that sort of thing, like you.
>>
>> What a pathetic little man you are...
>
>I'm still light years above you, genetic reject Lloyd Davies. God made
>you genetically inferior for a reason.

pwned!

2259. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 06:42:16 UTC
From: DEROS <Efil@dp>
Message-ID: <dhvsgvqge0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Uncle Ted" <malican40@verizon.com> wrote in message
news:r2m6k1d2g1jsjcgh8msat6r6fjapokv99i@4ax.com...
On 4 Oct 2005 15:11:19 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>5'06" 300 pounds Uncle Turd wrote:
>> On 4 Oct 2005 05:11:35 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >> All I know is (IKYABWAI)
>> >
>> >Contained on the head of a pin. You are rather obtuse, like Marky. Only
>> >thing is, no matter how hopeful you'd thought you'd find a new love
>> >affair, queer boy, you're barking up the wrong tree. Now go slurp
>> >Marky's rear end some more. He's into that sort of thing, like you.
>>
>> What a pathetic little man you are...
>
>I'm still light years above you, genetic reject Lloyd Davies. God made
>you genetically inferior for a reason.

AB8MQ is hardly "light years" above anybody. He can barely see the light of
day from his psych ward.

2260. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 08:04:16 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <u627k15jos6jp9q9jhmquj1298ekcrm4fo@4ax.com>
Source record: 120086
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 09:31:50 -0700, Richard Clark <kb7qhc@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 09:58:00 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>>>>>>> I'm not hearing any interest in turning streets near me into
>>>>>>>>canals.
>>>>>
>>>>>Yeh - sure.
>>>>
>>>> Damn straight.
>>>Telling us you "might" hear interest in turning streets near you into
>>>canals? Might not? - newsflash! Taking I-5 south to I-10 east, you
>>>are 2274 miles or more from even a remote chance with respect to New
>>>Orleans.
>>
>> Christ -- you can't even parse a sentence -- give up.
>
>Seems you've drawn a blank then too. On your own sentence which is
>the point I made significant. That you missed on both accounts is
>doubly indicting. Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating
>bullets now?

What the fuck is it to you where I have relatives?

2261. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 08:06:56 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <p827k1l5q2sag0lkjv42g6i7i1q9f8n2k1@4ax.com>
Source record: 120087
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 04 Oct 2005 13:40:46 -0400, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Richard Clark wrote:
>
>SNIPPED
>
>> Seems you've drawn a blank then too. On your own sentence which is
>> the point I made significant. That you missed on both accounts is
>> doubly indicting. Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating
>> bullets now?
>
>Richard, Richard, Richard! :-(Read your last sentence. Do you see
>the error??
>
>"Do you have a relative in Texas that is sweating bullets now?"
>
>There is a GLARING ERROR in it!!!!! It should be ...
>"Do you have a relative in Texas WHO is sweating bullets now?"
>
>A relative is a PERSON not a THING: a WHO not a THAT!!
>
>Tch, Tch,

Gramatically the antecedent of that or who in both cases is
Texas. It should read, "Do you have, in Texas, ..." In any case it's
none of anyone's damned business where my relatives are.

2262. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 09:26:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128504364.153889.286910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:

> > Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
> > are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.
>
> your point? if any
>
> you clearly don't respect the rights of others to disagree with you
>
> so you talk the talk, but when you going to walk the walk?

So...

Work keeps me out of RRAP for a couple of weeks and I "return" only
to find those who accuse me of certain things being waist-deep in it
themselves.

Why am I not surprised.

BTW, Mark, He DID clearly "respect" your right to "disagree" with
you...He just called your posts for what they (usually)
are..."ignroant, stupid, or just plain wrong" and YOU were the one with
the "disagreement" issues...Again.

His "point" was that he clearly shares the same opinion as other
educated and informed men about YOUR "points".

As for "talking the talk but not walking the walk", when are we
gonna see you in "uniform", "Colonel"...?!?!

At least the countless spelling lessons I gave you appear to have
paid off.

Steve, K4YZ

2263. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 09:33:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128504821.147702.56090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > >
> > > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> > > of the rest of us
> > > >
> >
> > The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate
> > mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes a nice
> > sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample of
> > humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.
>
> I knew I could count on the MMM for the elitist view

There's nothing "elitist" about is.

It's a fact of common sociology.

> > It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic
> > equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal" are
> > almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and that you
> > are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you, better than you,
> > or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that freedom, and therefore I
> > reject the notion of "human equality".
>
> simple Bull#### Hans equality in rights does not mean one gives up the
> right to the be different

Spelling's better, but your grammatical structure still needs
work.

> freedom and equality are not in consistant

Sure they are.

There are hundreds of millions of people all over the world who
share the same basic "freedom" that I do, but are NOT my "equal" on
many, many levels.

You're proof of that, Mark.

> > If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt Vonnegut's
> > short story "Harrison Bergeron" at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
>

> why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO

You're kinda stuck on that "GIGO" acronym, aren't you, Mark?

It's no longer generally applicable for many reasons. On more
than one example I can put "garbage in" and get something useful out on
the other side.

Steve, K4YZ

2264. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 09:46:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128505606.463754.37260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Dr.Ace wrote:
> > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> >
> > Snipped
> >
> > >> > wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
> > >> > case an Bitter Old Timer
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Harry C.
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He
> > >> argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.
> > >
> > > realy I thought that was Stevie's job and you claim anyone that
> > > disagrees with you knows nothing
> > >
> > > BTW I don't argue with everyone, let alone about everything
> >
> > You just argue with many people about many things (most you know very little
> > about)!
>
> meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed, "He
> argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter of
> opinion, and point of view

Hardly a misrepresentation of your conduct here, Mark.

> > > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> > > agrees to agree with you, in advance
> >
> > I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.
>
> then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
> and importance of the beliefs he holds

"disriction"...?!?!

You can't "discuss" something unless you are utilizing the same
language, the same grammar, and the same meaning of words.

> in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the religionous...(SNIP)

Here, for example... "hams to the resure"? "religionous"?

> discusion, the reference was that I must accept that coms I thinks are
> merely important are vital to safety and recovery of the region.
> Indeed, like Stevie is pissed that I see ARES type comms, by and large,
> as merely preforming the important job of keeping a lot of the small
> stuff off the plate of the first responders, as opposed to stuff
> affecting the real size and scope of the disaster.

One cannot carry out a meaningful discourse unless there are
certain basic understandings from which to frame that conversation.

So far, you've not demonstrated that you have a real-time frame of
reference on "emergency comms" from which to make an informed opinion.

> The latter type certainly did not occour during Katrina, at least in
> part becuase for Hams to delver such messages there would have had to
> have been somebody to to compose the message and give to us and
> Somebody to delviver it to, both ends broke down in Katrina, terribly
> limiting our poetencail usefullness as Hams

Your complete ignorance of what has transpired in THIS region,
from your perch on Michigan's Upper Penninsula, is obvious.

FYI, not ALL "emergency comms" are formal written traffic. And
not all "emergency comms" involve "Hi Mom, I'm safe And Will Call
Later" traffic.
> > Like I've said before, an_old_fiend is apparently in the same camp as Todd
> > (same mindset).
> > Also since an_old_fiend doesn't have a call sign his opinion in
> > radio.amateur groups doen't mean much.
>
> I just choose not to sign it,
>
> unlike some of you I have a life outside Ham radio, I dislike the
> common custom of reduceing a person to a callsign

Mark's callsign is K B 9 R Q Z. He is an NCT. He's spent
countless months telling us of his "disability" and intent to use the
American's with Disabilites Act to "sue" anyone/everyone associated
with his failure to get a coded license.

BTW, Mark, WHAT "life" outside of Amateur Radio?

You've now created at least three screen names that I count as
"active" in order to get around Google's "daily posting limit" so you
can keep the list loaded with your rhetoric.

Doesn't sound like much of a life to me..

Steve, K4YZ

2265. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 11:02:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128507000.406974.159420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
>
> Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> for being OT.

I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
for being off topic?

> > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
>
> Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> you might investigate the opportunity.

I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.

2266. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 11:42:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128512567.514221.323940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> >
> > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > for being OT.
>
> I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> for being off topic?

Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
newsgroup.

The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
"moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.

> > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> >
> > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > you might investigate the opportunity.
>
> I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.

Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
doubt due to yet another of his "trips".

Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
(which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
(jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
add water and shake.

Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
"get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
rhetoric of the past 8 years...

Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
itme.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2267. Re: "Hams to the Rescue After Katrina" MSNBC News Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 12:43:24 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MTP0f.872$we3.342@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120093
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Donaly wrote:
> Not if the who is a that. Consider yourself insulted.

Would an SOB be a that? :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2268. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 13:05:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128517535.257844.84880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> > > > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> > >
> > > when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> > > to proof read and such
> >
> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
>
> simple truth suffices

Try using it for once, Marky.

> >
> > > esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> > > he does like is allowed to even exist
> >
> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
>
> gee why are you lying little boy

Gee, why are you whining, little girl?

>
> you are obiviosly obessed with your hate, why not just admit it, you
> will likely feel much better

You are obviously obsessed with your stupidity and trying to recruit
newbies in your perverse homosexual lifestyle. Look elsewhere.

2269. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 13:08:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128517699.378678.99580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LARDASS wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128477185.500809.136800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> > > > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> > >
> > > when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> > > to proof read and such
> > >
> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
>
> simple truth suffices
> >
> > > esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> > > he does like is allowed to even exist
> > >
> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
>
> gee why are you lying little boy
>
> you are obiviosly obessed with your hate, why not just admit it, you
> will likely feel much better
>
> 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
>
>
> Aw, that's just AB8MQ.

Poor Lardass Lloydie, he sees Roger everywhere. Yet, he has yet to see
success in his miserable life. Find a new pizza BOY job yet?

2270. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 13:09:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128517780.668219.145060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Uncle Turd made good sentence:
> On 4 Oct 2005 15:11:19 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >5'06" 300 pounds Uncle Turd wrote:
> >> On 4 Oct 2005 05:11:35 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >> All I know is (IKYABWAI)
> >> >
> >> >Contained on the head of a pin. You are rather obtuse, like Marky. Only
> >> >thing is, no matter how hopeful you'd thought you'd find a new love
> >> >affair, queer boy, you're barking up the wrong tree. Now go slurp
> >> >Marky's rear end some more. He's into that sort of thing, like you.
> >>
> >> What a pathetic little man you are...
> >
> >I'm still light years above you, genetic reject Lloyd Davies. God made
> >you genetically inferior for a reason.
>
> pwned!
^^^^^^^^^^

Mongolloyd makes good spell! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

2271. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 13:11:24 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128517884.948000.154860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"you are you superior to anyone"

Drunken retard makes good sentence!

2272. Re: Anyone up for a SKIED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 13:25:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128518701.003900.48820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120098

an_old_faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot whined:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> cut

Chickenshit.

> > > > So? A faggot like you isn't going to stop freedom of speech.
> > >
> > > never tried to stop your freedom of speech
> >
> > You couldn't even if you wanted to, you sniveling jackass.
>
> never claimed I could, but you were accusing of me of doing so. make up
> your mind if you can

You have a tendency to click your heels and pretend it just isn't so
like
your little buddy Toadie whenever the truth gives you a good knee-cap
to the
groin.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone Else's sexuality got to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > answer the question bigot
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?
> > > > >
> > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > >
> > > > Poe little baby can't even answer a simple question "what does my
> > > > sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
> > >
> > Poor little faggot, gonna cry and get your new purse all wet?
>
> no are you going to answert the simple question"what does my
> sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"

Well, I do find you objectionable, but in a pitiful, laughable way.

>
> very likely never since you don't have answer

What part of 'I don't give a shit what the fuck you do' escapes your
comprehension, simpleton?

> >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> >
> you just show yourself
> cutting sexual rant
> > > > cut
> > >
> > Coward.
>
> just cuting down on the amount of quoted text

You're just running away.

> >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sunday if I choose? because it might offend YOUR
> > > > > > > > > > > religion?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Sunday?" Freudian slip, Marjy? When the fuck ever I said I subscribed
> > > > > > > > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the

> > > > > > > > Christian religion.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be Christan,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Liar.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > the simple truth
> > > > >
> > > > > The only thing simple is you, liar.
> > >
> > > no lie in my stating that I am not a Christian, and haven't claimed to
> > > be one
> >
> > You have lied from day one, dip shit. Just as you do today.
>
> haven't lied about my religion, have not claimed to be christian

Quit lying.

> >
> > > you are the fool in not getting your facts straight, but then bigots
> > > like yourself are not concerned with facts
> >
> > You need to get your fucking facts straight yourself. If you did, it
> > would be the only thing straight about you, faggot.
>
> I have em straight

No you don't. You couldn't get them straight if you went and had a
brain transplant.

> why are you so concerned about what I may be doing little boy?

Yes, mentioning dicks or homosexual activities always attracts you to a
post like a fly to a mountain of dung, doesn't it? You just can't
control yourself. How sad.

> >
> >
> > > >
> > > > > even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
> > > > >
> > > > > Aw, trying to rekindle your romance with him?
> > >
> > > gee never had a "romance" with him, therefore not trying to rekindle
> > > one
> >
> > More denial.
>
> well closer to the truth then you normaly manage but realy Stevie is
> not my type. I prefer humans, and I avoid hate filled bigots (of either
> gender) as sex partners

>From what I hear, you like little boys.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > never have made such a
> > > > > > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a Google
> > > > > > > reach of the group on the word Pagan
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English, much less
> > > > > > > understand alternative religions, boy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more falsehood from the little bigoted boy
> > > > >
> > > > > More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "falsehood?"
> > > >
> > > > a typo being dyslexic and aphasic I make plenty of them
> > >
> > > No, it's not a typo. It's your lack of education shining through.
> >
> > it is a typo obviously, how stupid are you?

Not the scale you make your "typos," you illiterate retard.

> cut

Run away!

> > > >
> > > > > Chicken shit.
> > > >
> > > > no just trying to cut on the waste of bandwidth
> > >
> > > No, you are a chicken shit. If you're so concerned about bandwidth, you
> > > should not post at all.
> >
> > I just like ti prune stuff after awhile

Anything to cut out those hurtful words, right?

>
> > Now, go cry in the corner, pussy.
> >
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexuality,
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marjy. It would be
> > > > > > > > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No, proof of your whining.
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > > what whining?
> > > >
> > > > All your replies have been whining.
> > >
> > > not a whining in bunch
> >
> > Just your pink panties have gotten in a bunch, right?
>
> nope wrong again

Oh, you can't tell what color they are they are so encrusted?

> >
> > >
> > > OTOH your Vere handle is whiny little boy
> >
> > Just like yours is very stupid, since nobody considers you a friend
> > here.
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Aw, are you gonna cry,now?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > why would I?
> > > > >
> > > > > Snivel, faggot, snivel.
> > >
> > > you are losing what Erv grip you had on reality little boy
> >
> > Unlike you, who keeps a very tight grip on his privates while he posts.
>
> more of your fantasy little boy

What else could explain your writing "style?"

> >
> > > >
> > > > > you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> > > > > mine for your stupidity and misfortune
> > > >
> > > > I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
> > > > tears for yourself, right?
> > >
> > > my life doesn't suck, could always be a better of course, as could just
> > > about everyones
> >
> > Your life does suck, illiterate one who lives in a shanty.
>
> who are you talking about

<slap!> You, stupid. Pay attention.

> My life is fine I own my home

If you call a cardboard box home.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> cut

Run away!

> > > > > no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> > > > > people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
> > > > > and other Stud's
> > > > >
> > > > No, you get it more, faggot.
> > >
> > > get your facts straight
> >
> > Get yours, stupid. Nowhere did I ever say that a virus knows sexual
> > orientation.
>
> you said it more or less

No, stupid. You said it. I didn't say it or even hint at such
absurdity. Go back and have somebody else read it to you.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
> > > > >
> > > > You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.
> > >
> > > yes I will lie when it serves to protect me, never deny that (except
> > > briefly when being threatened) but I know the truth when i do, while
> > > you clearly in some fantastic fog
> >
> > Thanks for admitting you are a liar in public, doofus.
>
> that is no newsflash admited to that 7 years ago

What being stupid?

> cut
> out screwed up like he did.

...????.... Post in English, coward.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > ever planing on growing up little boy

> > > >
> > > > Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?
> > >
> > > what class? and you now you can't even tell boys from girls
> >
> > What's the matter, did it hit to close to home, you Femme?
>
> nope

Yep. Your comprehension of the written word is still exceedingly
dismal, isn't it?

> >
> > > sad
> >
> > Looking in the mirror AGAIN?

2273. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 13:26:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128518760.944290.234880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120099

And you are a drunken illiterate faggot.

2274. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 14:39:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128523170.777069.316050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > >
> > > > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> > > > of the rest of us
> > > >
> > >
> > > The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate
> > > mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes a nice
> > > sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample of
> > > humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.
> > >
> > I knew I could count on the MMM for the elitist view
> >
> There's nothing "elitist" about is.

sure is elitist
>
> It's a fact of common sociology.

what is "Common Sociology" as opposed to other kinds?

and of course sociology of any kind has nothing to do with the matter
>
> > > It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic
> > > equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal" are
> > > almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and that you
> > > are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you, better than you,
> > > or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that freedom, and therefore I
> > > reject the notion of "human equality".
> > >
> > simple Bull#### Hans equality in rights does not mean one gives up the
> > right to the be different
> >
> Spelling's better, but your grammatical structure still needs
> work.

again the spelling cop always the spelling

and as all always ignore the issue
>
> > freedom and equality are not in consistant

>
> Sure they are.

moe of your bigoted elitist nonsense
>
> There are hundreds of millions of people all over the world who
> share the same basic "freedom" that I do, but are NOT my "equal" on
> many, many levels.

only 100's of millions

my word do check on the basisc census figures some day
>
> You're proof of that, Mark.

wrong again
>
> > > If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt Vonnegut's
> > > short story "Harrison Bergeron" at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
> >
> > why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO
>
> You're kinda stuck on that "GIGO" acronym, aren't you, Mark?

when the acronym fits I use it
>
> It's no longer generally applicable for many reasons. On more
> than one example I can put "garbage in" and get something useful out on
> the other side.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2275. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 14:44:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128523442.836973.71630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
>
> > > Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
> > > are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.
> >
> > your point? if any
> >
> > you clearly don't respect the rights of others to disagree with you
> >
> > so you talk the talk, but when you going to walk the walk?
>
> So...
>
> Work keeps me out of RRAP for a couple of weeks and I "return" only
> to find those who accuse me of certain things being waist-deep in it
> themselves.

what are you talking about? another hurricane relief mission Stevie
>
> Why am I not surprised.
>
> BTW, Mark, He DID clearly "respect" your right to "disagree" with
> you...He just called your posts for what they (usually)
> are..."ignroant, stupid, or just plain wrong" and YOU were the one with
> the "disagreement" issues...Again.

you haven't been reading the man's posts he doesn't respect people's
right to disagree, he like you resorts to name calling

Both of you confuse disagreement with stupidity, meaning neither of you
repsect the rights of other to disagree
>
> His "point" was that he clearly shares the same opinion as other
> educated and informed men about YOUR "points".

gee where are these men and only men you say
>
> As for "talking the talk but not walking the walk", when are we
> gonna see you in "uniform", "Colonel"...?!?!

more nonsense Stevie

but you can't deal with issues that alwasy your problem
>
> At least the countless spelling lessons I gave you appear to have
> paid off.

bullshit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2276. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 14:47:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128523647.415617.278610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > >
> > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > for being OT.
> >
> > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > for being off topic?
>
> Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> newsgroup.

which conceeds the existace of such charges

is your problem with Len realy just that he is rather verbose in style?

How petty
>
> The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.

that is you, that are tlaking about Stevie
>
> > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> > >
> > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> >
> > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
>
> Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> doubt due to yet another of his "trips".
>
> Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians

> (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> add water and shake.
>
> Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> rhetoric of the past 8 years...

again with your pettiness, and showing you have a small mind

reams and reams bullshit, but that is the normal content of your posts
>
> Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> itme.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2277. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 14:59:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128524353.279908.81470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Dr.Ace wrote:
> > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > >
> > > Snipped
> > >
> > > >> > wlaks like a duck quacks like a duck, it likely is a duck, or in this
> > > >> > case an Bitter Old Timer
> > > >>
> > > >> Harry C.
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> See what I mean Harry C. This guy here is the resident antagonizer. He
> > > >> argues with everyone about everything. And knows basically NOTHING.
> > > >
> > > > realy I thought that was Stevie's job and you claim anyone that
> > > > disagrees with you knows nothing
> > > >
> > > > BTW I don't argue with everyone, let alone about everything
> > >
> > > You just argue with many people about many things (most you know very little
> > > about)!
> >
> > meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed, "He
> > argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter of
> > opinion, and point of view
>
> Hardly a misrepresentation of your conduct here, Mark.

certainly is everyone and everything are all inclusive terms
>
> > > > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> > > > agrees to agree with you, in advance
> > >
> > > I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.
> >
> > then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> > discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
> > and importance of the beliefs he holds
>

cuting the english cop crap
> > in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the religionous...(SNIP)
cuting the english cop crap
> > discusion, the reference was that I must accept that coms I thinks are
> > merely important are vital to safety and recovery of the region.
> > Indeed, like Stevie is pissed that I see ARES type comms, by and large,
> > as merely preforming the important job of keeping a lot of the small
> > stuff off the plate of the first responders, as opposed to stuff
> > affecting the real size and scope of the disaster.
>
> One cannot carry out a meaningful discourse unless there are
> certain basic understandings from which to frame that conversation.

you are just plain wrong on that

guess you don't follow the public debate on much of ANY political issue
in the nation
>
> So far, you've not demonstrated that you have a real-time frame of
> reference on "emergency comms" from which to make an informed opinion.

not needed at all, indeed if I had than I would likely be unable to
discuss the issue with any impartiality, which would seem to be Dan's
(and your's) problem. You all can't what you are talking about becuase
your own egos are involved

I have taken accepting as fact the claimed message traffic and comented
on its importance in the over all scheme of thing
>
> > The latter type certainly did not occour during Katrina, at least in
> > part becuase for Hams to delver such messages there would have had to
> > have been somebody to to compose the message and give to us and
> > Somebody to delviver it to, both ends broke down in Katrina, terribly
> > limiting our poetencail usefullness as Hams
>
> Your complete ignorance of what has transpired in THIS region,
> from your perch on Michigan's Upper Penninsula, is obvious.

I know what is going on there

you are not in that region either strictly speaking

you over look the fact that the onlooker sees most of the game, and the
players don't

>
> FYI, not ALL "emergency comms" are formal written traffic. And
> not all "emergency comms" involve "Hi Mom, I'm safe And Will Call
> Later" traffic.

never said anything of the sort

> > > Like I've said before, an_old_fiend is apparently in the same camp as Todd
> > > (same mindset).
> > > Also since an_old_fiend doesn't have a call sign his opinion in
> > > radio.amateur groups doen't mean much.
> >
> > I just choose not to sign it,
> >
> > unlike some of you I have a life outside Ham radio, I dislike the
> > common custom of reduceing a person to a callsign
>
> Mark's callsign is K B 9 R Q Z. He is an NCT.

my word 2 true statements in a row I may die of the shock

but as I said I have a callsign just chose not to sign to ervything

> He's spent
> countless months telling us of his "disability" and intent to use the
> American's with Disabilites Act to "sue" anyone/everyone associated
> with his failure to get a coded license.

but you saved by lying again
>
> BTW, Mark, WHAT "life" outside of Amateur Radio?

my life is non of YOUR busness unless I chose to share those deatils
>
> You've now created at least three screen names that I count as
> "active" in order to get around Google's "daily posting limit" so you
> can keep the list loaded with your rhetoric.

so? you count

and it is ok acording to you for you count my ppost and analyze them
but not for me when I choose to do the same
>
> Doesn't sound like much of a life to me..

Thank you Stevie must mean I am doing something right that you
disaprove
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2278. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 15:02:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128524522.560720.56500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> > > > > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> > > >
> > > > when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> > > > to proof read and such
> > >
> > > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
> >
> > simple truth suffices
>
> Try using it for once, Marky.

I have many time in fact that you are too stupid or is it too mental
ill (as some have suggested) to notice
>
> > >
> > > esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> > > he does like is allowed to even exist
> > >
> > > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> > > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> > > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> > > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
> >
> > gee why are you lying little boy
>
> Gee, why are you whining, little girl?

what whining girl are you talking to?

Oh I see you can't tell male form female
>
> >
> > you are obiviosly obessed with your hate, why not just admit it, you
> > will likely feel much better
>
> You are obviously obsessed with your stupidity and trying to recruit
> newbies in your perverse homosexual lifestyle. Look elsewhere.

wrong both times but why am I not suprised

2279. Re: Anyone up for a SKIED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 15:11:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128525114.786520.174640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120105

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_faggot wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_faggot whined:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > cut
>
> Chickenshit.
>
> > > > > So? A faggot like you isn't going to stop freedom of speech.
> > > > >
> > > > never tried to stop your freedom of speech
> > >
> > > You couldn't even if you wanted to, you sniveling jackass.
> >
> > never claimed I could, but you were accusing of me of doing so. make up
> > your mind if you can
>
> You have a tendency to click your heels and pretend it just isn't so
> like
> your little buddy Toadie whenever the truth gives you a good knee-cap
> to the
> groin.

auf Anglish Bitte
>
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone Else's sexuality got to
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > do with Ham radio?

> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > answer the question bigot
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > >
> > > > Poe little baby can't even answer a simple question "what does my
> > > > sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
> > >
> > > Poor little faggot, gonna cry and get your new purse all wet?
> >
> > no are you going to answert the simple question"what does my
> > sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
>
> Well, I do find you objectionable, but in a pitiful, laughable way.

clsoer to a response but still"what does my
sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
>
> >
> > very likely never since you don't have answer
>
> What part of 'I don't give a shit what the fuck you do' escapes your
> comprehension, simpleton?

well it is an obvious lie

you tlak about what I might be doing in almost every post

that means you DO care little care
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> cutting sexual rant

> > > > cut
> > >
> > > Coward.
> >
> > just cuting down on the amount of quoted text
>
> You're just running away.

from?
>
> > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sunday if I choose? because it might offend YOUR
> > > > > > > > > > > religion?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Sunday?" Freudian slip, Marjy? When the fuck ever I said I subscribed
> > > > > > > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > > > > > > > > Christian religion.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be Christan,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Liar.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > the simple truth
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The only thing simple is you, liar.
> > > > >
> > > > no lie in my stating that I am not a Christian, and haven't claimed to
> > > > be one
> > >
> > > You have lied from day one, dip shit. Just as you do today.
> >
> > haven't lied about my religion, have not claimed to be christian
>
> Quit lying.

not lying but a sick fellow like you doesn't know the turth when it
hits him
>
> > >
> > > > you are the fool in not getting your facts straight, but then bigots
> > > > like yourself are not concerned with facts
> > >
> > > You need to get your fucking facts straight yourself. If you did, it
> > > would be the only thing straight about you, faggot.
> >
> > I have em straight
>
> No you don't. You couldn't get them straight if you went and had a
> brain transplant.

sure do no need for a brain transplant
>
> > why are you so concerned about what I may be doing little boy?
>
> Yes, mentioning dicks or homosexual activities always attracts you to a
> post like a fly to a mountain of dung, doesn't it? You just can't
> control yourself. How sad.

well being good freedom loving american I dislike bigotry like you
express
>
> > >
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Aw, trying to rekindle your romance with him?
> > > > >
> > > > > gee never had a "romance" with him, therefore not trying to rekindle
> > > > > one
> > > >
> > > More denial.
> >
> > well closer to the truth then you normaly manage but realy Stevie is
> > not my type. I prefer humans, and I avoid hate filled bigots (of either
> > gender) as sex partners
>
> >From what I hear, you like little boys.

realy that is news to me
>
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > never have made such a
> > > > > > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a Google
> > > > > > > reach of the group on the word Pagan
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English, much less
> > > > > > > understand alternative religions, boy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more falsehood from the little bigoted boy
> > > > >
> > > > > More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "falsehood?"
> > > >
> > > a typo being dyslexic and aphasic I make plenty of them
> > >
> > > No, it's not a typo. It's your lack of education shining through.
> >
> > it is a typo obviously, how stupid are you?
>

> Not the scale you make your "typos," you illiterate retard.

make up your please, if possible
>
> > cut
>
> Run away!
>
> > > > >
> > > > > Chicken shit.
> > > >
> > > > no just trying to cut on the waste of bandwidth
> > >
> > > No, you are a chicken shit. If you're so concerned about bandwidth, you
> > > should not post at all.
> >
> > I just like ti prune stuff after awhile
>
> Anything to cut out those hurtful words, right?

not at all I leave some of them but I have mre respect for the feeling
of others certainly more than you but that isn't hard it seems
>
> >
> > > Now, go cry in the corner, pussy.
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexuality,
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marjy. It would be
> > > > > > > > > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No, proof of your whining.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > what whining?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > All your replies have been whining.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not a whining in bunch
> > > > >
> > > > > Just your pink panties have gotten in a bunch, right?
> > >
> > > nope wrong again
>
> Oh, you can't tell what color they are they are so encrusted?

nope worng again
>
> > >

> > > >
> > > > OTOH your Vere handle is whiny little boy
> > >
> > > Just like yours is very stupid, since nobody considers you a friend
> > > here.
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Aw, are you gonna cry,now?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > why would I?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Snivel, faggot, snivel.
> > > > >
> > > > you are losing what Erv grip you had on reality little boy
> > >
> > > Unlike you, who keeps a very tight grip on his privates while he posts.
> >
> > more of your fantasy little boy
>
> What else could explain your writing "style?"

Dyslexoid Aphasia as I have explained before, that and the fact I don't
the newsgruop is worth much in the way of proof reading, as your posts
without expection show

>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> > > > > > mine for your stupidity and misfortune
> > > > >
> > > > > I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
> > > > > tears for yourself, right?
> > > >
> > > > my life doesn't suck, could always be a better of course, as could just
> > > > about everyones
> > >
> > > Your life does suck, illiterate one who lives in a shanty.
> >
> > who are you talking about
>
> <slap!> You, stupid. Pay attention.

then you have your facts wrong

>
> > My life is fine I own my home
>
> If you call a cardboard box home.

then you have your facts wrong
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > cut
>
> Run away!
>
> > > > > > no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> > > > > > people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
> > > > > > and other Stud's
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, you get it more, faggot.
> > > > >
> > > > get your facts straight
> > >
> > > Get yours, stupid. Nowhere did I ever say that a virus knows sexual
> > > orientation.
> >
> > you said it more or less
>
> No, stupid. You said it. I didn't say it or even hint at such
> absurdity. Go back and have somebody else read it to you.

wrong again"No, you get it more, faggot." clearly impling it

when BTW the science says otherwise
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
> > > > > >
> > > > > You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.
> > > >
> > > yes I will lie when it serves to protect me, never deny that (except
> > > > briefly when being threatened) but I know the truth when i do, while
> > > > you clearly in some fantastic fog
> > >
> > > Thanks for admitting you are a liar in public, doofus.
> >
> > that is no newsflash admited to that 7 years ago
>
> What being stupid?

nope to lying learn to read why don't you
>
> > cut
> > out screwed up like he did.
>
> ...????.... Post in English, coward.

why you don't bother to
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ever planing on growing up little boy
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?
> > > > >
> > > > > what class? and you now you can't even tell boys from girls
> > >
> > > What's the matter, did it hit to close to home, you Femme?
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. Your comprehension of the written word is still exceedingly
> dismal, isn't it?

no it isn't my espression of it has some real problems but I read just
fine
>
>
> > >
> > > > sad
> > >
> > > Looking in the mirror AGAIN?

2280. ahem 56 days later Re: day 28 of Stevie's action MIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 15:21:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128525692.893807.192780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120106

simple question keep ducking it

when you going to admit your lies?
an_old_friend wrote:
> a simple question Stevie: when you going to admit that you lack the
> power to any action taken against me by the state of michigan?
>
> It is clear they are not doing naything, indeed they certainly of
> circular filed or buired in deep file cabinet your letter by now.
>
> when you going to admit failure?
>
> simple question

2281. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 15:28:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128526092.728812.76800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >
> > > > Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
> > > > are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.
> > >
> > > your point? if any
> > >
> > > you clearly don't respect the rights of others to disagree with you
> > >
> > > so you talk the talk, but when you going to walk the walk?
> >
> > So...
> >
> > Work keeps me out of RRAP for a couple of weeks and I "return" only
> > to find those who accuse me of certain things being waist-deep in it
> > themselves.
>
> what are you talking about? another hurricane relief mission Stevie

Guess you weren't paying attention to references, were you, Mark?

And I can see your English >>comprehension<< skills have not
improved.

QUOTE: "Why am I not surprised.Work keeps me out of RRAP for a
couple of weeks and I "return" only to find those who accuse me of
certain things being waist-deep in it themselves". UNQUOTE

What part of the concept of "work" do you not understand?

> > BTW, Mark, He DID clearly "respect" your right to "disagree" with
> > you...He just called your posts for what they (usually)
> > are..."ignroant, stupid, or just plain wrong" and YOU were the one with
> > the "disagreement" issues...Again.
>
> you haven't been reading the man's posts he doesn't respect people's
> right to disagree, he like you resorts to name calling

And you're any different?

You're showing exactly NO difference, Mark...

> Both of you confuse disagreement with stupidity, meaning neither of you
> repsect the rights of other to disagree

"respect"

Sure I do. That you're alive despite several years of whining
about death threats is proof positive that I "respect disagreement".

> > His "point" was that he clearly shares the same opinion as other
> > educated and informed men about YOUR "points".
>
> gee where are these men and only men you say

Certainly not in Michigan.

> > As for "talking the talk but not walking the walk", when are we
> > gonna see you in "uniform", "Colonel"...?!?!
>
> more nonsense Stevie

Not at all.

You claim to be a veteran AND an Officer to boot.

Obviously NONE of it true, ergo you're talking the talk, but can't
walk the walk.

> but you can't deal with issues that alwasy your problem

"always"

Sure I can.

> > At least the countless spelling lessons I gave you appear to have
> > paid off.
>
> bull#####

Nope. I only had to correct you twice in this post, none in the
previous. Obviously it's worn off.

Steve, K4YZ

2282. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 15:36:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128526572.053439.296340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > > >
> > > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > > for being OT.
> > >
> > > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > > for being off topic?
> >
> > Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> > usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> > air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> > newsgroup.
>
> which conceeds the existace of such charges

"existance"

You obviously don't understand the definition of "charges".

> is your problem with Len realy just that he is rather verbose in style?

He's not "verbose".

He's a documented, pathological liar. He typically "takes a dump"
whenever some instance in Amateur Radio occurs that proves him wrong.
Like the current events in the Gulf States.

> How petty

Nope.

> > The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> > "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> > perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.
>
> that is you, that are tlaking about Stevie

"talking"

Nope. I just mimic Lennie and feed his mischieviousness back to
him.

> > > > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> > > >
> > > > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
> > >
> > Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> > parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> > doubt due to yet another of his "trips".
> >
> > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > add water and shake.
> >
> > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
>
> again with your pettiness, and showing you have a small mind

Nope.

Lennie's repeatedly made the suggestion that Amateur Radio serves
no great purpose, and this it serves no "greater need" of the Republic.

Current events and news items in all public media disprove that,
Mark.

> reams and reams bull####, but that is the normal content of your posts

That's just you trying to undermine what I just said.

It still doesn't work.

It still doesn't mitigate that the "major media" has made several
very positive and very "page one" references to Amateur Radio and it's
functionality in the devastated areas. Amateur Radio has been on the
"front page" of Radio, TV, printed and virtual news sources.

> > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > time.

As I siad before...Must be awfully embarrassing for him...

Steve, K4YZ

2283. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 15:40:10 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <srr7k1hgl9v9ifjor1n41koipo5jgs0j7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 120110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 5 Oct 2005 06:09:40 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>> >I'm still light years above you, genetic reject Lloyd Davies. God made
>> >you genetically inferior for a reason.
>>
>> pwned!
>^^^^^^^^^^^
>
>Mongolloyd makes good spell! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

Congratulations! You've just showed the entire world your ignorance of
computer and internet lore.
Yes, Toady, you are PWNED! I assure that the spelling of that word,
although incorrect, is quite intentional. Google it (if you know how)
...and once you have done so, you will find that the word fits you
perfectly, as you seem to think that all posters are Lloyd Davies.

Of course, this won't matter to you in the least. You'll simply reply
with your usual, pathetic attempt at some sexual insult because it
makes you feel empowered. No matter. You've showed the world what a
dumb ass you really are.

2284. Mark Still Anxious To Go Back To Cloistered Observation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 15:48:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128527314.032835.43000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120109

nobodys old friend wrote:
> simple question keep ducking it
>
> when you going to admit your lies?

What lies?

> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > a simple question Stevie: when you going to admit that you lack the
> > power to any action taken against me by the state of michigan?
> >
> > It is clear they are not doing naything, indeed they certainly of
> > circular filed or buired in deep file cabinet your letter by now.
> >
> > when you going to admit failure?
> >
> > simple question

What failure?

I filed my concerns with the appropirate agency.

There's a file open in your name now. Any further reports or
claims by any other healthcare provider, regardless of where they live
or level of licensure, will go in there.

Just like the camel's straws, one of them will be the one...

Steve, K4YZ

2285. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:10:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dr.Ace wrote:
> > > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> > > meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed, "He
> > > argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter of
> > > opinion, and point of view
> > >
> > Hardly a misrepresentation of your conduct here, Mark.
> >
> certainly is everyone and everything are all inclusive terms

I am certain that that meant something to you...

> > > > > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> > > > > agrees to agree with you, in advance
> > > > >
> > > > I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.
> > > >
> > > then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> > > discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
> > > and importance of the beliefs he holds
> > >
> > cuting the english cop crap

Reinserting Mark's censorship in order to maintain context:

> then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
> and importance of the beliefs he holds

QUOTE:

"disriction"...?!?!

You can't "discuss" something unless you are utilizing the same
language, the same grammar, and the same meaning of words.

UNQUOTE

> > > in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the religionous...(SNIP)
> > cuting the english cop crap

Reinserting Mark's censorship to maintain context:

QUOTE:

> in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the religionous...(SNIP)

Here, for example... "hams to the resure"? "religionous"?

UNQUOTE

You continue to "dismiss" as "speling cop crap" efforts to get you
to use the same words in the same context as others so that we may all
understand each other.

Your "cut" and "speling cop crap" are nothing but censorship.

And you DO remember who was famous for censorship, don't you,
Mark?

> > > discusion, the reference was that I must accept that coms I thinks are
> > > merely important are vital to safety and recovery of the region.
> > > Indeed, like Stevie is pissed that I see ARES type comms, by and large,
> > > as merely preforming the important job of keeping a lot of the small
> > > stuff off the plate of the first responders, as opposed to stuff
> > > affecting the real size and scope of the disaster.
> >
> > One cannot carry out a meaningful discourse unless there are
> > certain basic understandings from which to frame that conversation.
>
> you are just plain wrong on that

No, I am not.

As any lingusitics professor, Mark...

> guess you don't follow the public debate on much of ANY political issue
> in the nation

Sure I do.

And I know that each of the parties concerned use the same words
and in the same context.

BTW, Mark...that was a really WEAK effort at diversion...

> > So far, you've not demonstrated that you have a real-time frame of
> > reference on "emergency comms" from which to make an informed opinion.
>
> not needed at all, indeed if I had than I would likely be unable to
> discuss the issue with any impartiality, which would seem to be Dan's
> (and your's) problem. You all can't what you are talking about becuase
> your own egos are involved

It's got nothing to do with ego.

It has to do with disparate parties discussing a common subject
from a common understanding.

"Communication" does NOT occur unless both parties have SOME
common frame of reference from which to understand each otehr.

> I have taken accepting as fact the claimed message traffic and comented
> on its importance in the over all scheme of thing

That said what?

> > > The latter type certainly did not occour during Katrina, at least in
> > > part becuase for Hams to delver such messages there would have had to
> > > have been somebody to to compose the message and give to us and
> > > Somebody to delviver it to, both ends broke down in Katrina, terribly
> > > limiting our poetencail usefullness as Hams
> >
> > Your complete ignorance of what has transpired in THIS region,
> > from your perch on Michigan's Upper Penninsula, is obvious.
>
> I know what is going on there

Obviously not.

> you are not in that region either strictly speaking

Sure I am.

> you over look the fact that the onlooker sees most of the game, and the
> players don't

Ahhhhhh....so from your perch in UP Michigan you know more about
what's going on than those of us who have actually been involved in
it..?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHA

> my word 2 true statements in a row I may die of the shock

Don't tease me.

> but as I said I have a callsign just chose not to sign to ervything

"everything"...

No...You claimed that you didn't sign your Amateur Radio callsign
to Amateur Radio related posts because "...unlike some of you I have a
life outside Ham radio, I dislike the common custom of reduceing (sic)
a person to a callsign."

(A) Your callsign has EVERYthing to do with "Ham" radio, and (B)
attaching your Amateur Radio callsign no more "reduces" you in an
Amateur Radio forum than using your ISP screen name "reduces" you on
the Internet.

> > He's spent
> > countless months telling us of his "disability" and intent to use the
> > American's with Disabilites Act to "sue" anyone/everyone associated
> > with his failure to get a coded license.
>
> but you saved by lying again

Nope.

> > BTW, Mark, WHAT "life" outside of Amateur Radio?
>
> my life is non of YOUR busness unless I chose to share those deatils

You have no life outside Amateur Radio, Mark. As a matter of
fact, you have little life that moves you beyond the four walls of your
house.

> > You've now created at least three screen names that I count as
> > "active" in order to get around Google's "daily posting limit" so you
> > can keep the list loaded with your rhetoric.
>
> so? you count

I don't have to. It's obvious.

You've alleged that I am "obsessive-compulsive" about responding
to RRAP posts, yet YOU are the one who has created the means by which
you can defeat the posting limits so YOU can "pounce" on everyone
else's posts.

> and it is ok acording to you for you count my ppost and analyze them
> but not for me when I choose to do the same
> >
> > Doesn't sound like much of a life to me..
>
> Thank you Stevie must mean I am doing something right that you

> disaprove

You're a deceitful, lying , pathologically mistruthful sexual devaiant, Mark.

There's so much about you to disapprove of.

Steve, K4YZ

2286. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:21:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <y4T0f.9675$QE1.7330@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > BDK wrote:
>> >> >> In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>> >> >> >
>> >> > cut
>> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such
>> >> >> > bands
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > BDK
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
>> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying
>> >> >> about
>> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered
>> >> >> up
>> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
>> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>> >> >
>> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
>> >> > radio"
>> >> >>
>> >> >> BDK
>> >> >
>> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
>> >> discussion
>> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
>> >
>> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
```

>> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
>> >
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> That's the point AOF, you don't.
>
> ah but I do I have the same rights as you do
>
> One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly would
> not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it
>
> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> of the rest of us
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
Your so-called rights STOP at my nose. I have the right not to be annoyed
by the likes of you, child brain, ignorant of basic facts about ham radio.
And too stupid to learn.

Now go away and suck your thumb.

Dan/W4NTI

2287. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:23:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <q6T0f.9676$QE1.5161@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9pH0f.7059$oc.4861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
>> of the rest of us
>>
>
> The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate
> mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes a
> nice sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample
> of humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.
>
> It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic
> equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal"
> are almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and
> that you are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you,
> better than you, or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that
> freedom, and therefore I reject the notion of "human equality".
>
> If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt
> Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron" at
> http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Great comeback Hans.

Dan/W4NTI

2288. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:27:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <D9T0f.9678$QE1.4118@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

See what I mean about this 'twit' called "an old friend" ?

He interjects into any and all topics. Then gets it all turned way off the original topic, and brings the discussion to 'him', his 'views', his 'rights', his 'wants', his 'needs'.....Then acts insulted when someone has the audacity to actually challenge him.

A world class moron in my book.

Dan/W4NTI

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1128523170.777069.316050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy
> > > > equal
> > > > of the rest of us
> > > >
> > >
> > > The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to
> > > perpetuate
> > > mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal"
> > > makes a nice
> > > sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample
> > > of
> > > humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.
> >
> > I knew I could count on the MMM for the elitist view
> >
> There's nothing "elitist" about is.

sure is elitist
>
> It's a fact of common sociology.

what is "Common Sociology" as opposed to other kinds?

and of course sociology of any kind has nothing to do with the matter
>

> > > It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of
> > > "democratic
> > > equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are
> > > equal" are
> > > almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and
> > > that you
> > > are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you, better
> > > than you,
> > > or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that freedom, and
> > > therefore I
> > > reject the notion of "human equality".
> >
> > simple Bull#### Hans equality in rights does not mean one gives up the
> > right to the be different
>
> Spelling's better, but your grammatical structure still needs
> work.

again the spelling cop always the spelling

and as all always ignore the issue
>
> > freedom and equality are not in consistant
>
> Sure they are.

moe of your bigoted elitist nonsense
>
> There are hundreds of millions of people all over the world who
> share the same basic "freedom" that I do, but are NOT my "equal" on
> many, many levels.

only 100's of millions

my word do check on the basisc census figures some day
>
> You're proof of that, Mark.

wrong again
>
> > > If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt
> > > Vonnegut's
> > > short story "Harrison Bergeron" at
> > > http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
> >
> > why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO
>
> You're kinda stuck on that "GIGO" acronym, aren't you, Mark?

when the acronym fits I use it
>
> It's no longer generally applicable for many reasons. On more

> than one example I can put "garbage in" and get something useful out on
> the other side.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2289. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:27:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5aT0f.7216$oc.6459@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>

> simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> one gives up the right to the be different

You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we all agree with it.

But I wasn't talking about "rights". I was talking about the flawed notion that "all men are CREATED equal". We all have different physical attributes, different levels of intelligence, different native skills and abilities, etc., etc., etc. Clearly we are NOT created equal. As an example, you may have a great sense of pitch and rythm along with a good voice, so might become a famous singer. I on the other hand, can't carry a tune in a tow sack. Clear to all but deaf observers, we are NOT equal. The same analogy can be applied to any human attribute you wish to examine, yet politicians (even though they don't practice it themselves) still feed the masses this "created equal" myth, and the masses still buy into it.

>> If you want to explore the results of real human equality,
>> read Kurt Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron"
>> at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html

> why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO

I recommended it because KV does a much better job than I in illustrating the issue.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2290. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:40:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2mT0f.9149$vw6.5122@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > > Dr.Ace wrote:
>> > > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>> > > meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed,
>> > > "He
>> > > argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter
>> > > of
>> > > opinion, and point of view
>> >
>> > Hardly a misrepresentation of your conduct here, Mark.
>>
>> certainly is everyone and everything are all inclusive terms
>
> I am certain that that meant something to you...
>
>> > > > > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after
>> > > > > everyone
>> > > > > agrees to agree with you, in advance
>> > > >
>> > > > I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.
>> > >
>> > > then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
>> > > discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the
>> > > nature
>> > > and importance of the beliefs he holds
>> >
>> cuting the english cop crap
>
> Reinserting Mark's censorship in order to maintain context:
>
>> then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
>> discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
>> and importance of the beliefs he holds
>
> QUOTE:
>

> "disriction"...?!?!
>
> You can't "discuss" something unless you are utilizing the same
> language, the same grammar, and the same meaning of words.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
>> > > in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the
>> > > religionous...(SNIP)
>> cuting the english cop crap
>
> Reinserting Mark's censorship to maintain context:
>
> QUOTE:
>
>> in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the religionous...(SNIP)
>
>
> Here, for example... "hams to the resure"? "religionous"?
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> You continue to "dismiss" as "speling cop crap" efforts to get you
> to use the same words in the same context as others so that we may all
> understand each other.
>
> Your "cut" and "speling cop crap" are nothing but censorship.
>
> And you DO remember who was famous for censorship, don't you,
> Mark?
>
>> > > discusion, the reference was that I must accept that coms I thinks
>> > > are
>> > > merely important are vital to safety and recovery of the region.
>> > > Indeed, like Stevie is pissed that I see ARES type comms, by and
>> > > large,
>> > > as merely preforming the important job of keeping a lot of the small
>> > > stuff off the plate of the first responders, as opposed to stuff
>> > > affecting the real size and scope of the disaster.
>> >
>> > One cannot carry out a meaningful discourse unless there are
>> > certain basic understandings from which to frame that conversation.
>>
>> you are just plain wrong on that
>
> No, I am not.
>
> As any lingusitics professor, Mark...
>
>> guess you don't follow the public debate on much of ANY political issue

>> in the nation
>
> Sure I do.
>
> And I know that each of the parties concerned use the same words
> and in the same context.
>
> BTW, Mark...that was a really WEAK effort at diversion...
>
>> > So far, you've not demonstrated that you have a real-time frame of
>> > reference on "emergency comms" from which to make an informed opinion.
>>
>> not needed at all, indeed if I had than I would likely be unable to
>> discuss the issue with any impartiality, which would seem to be Dan's
>> (and your's) problem. You all can't what you are talking about becuase
>> your own egos are involved
>
> It's got nothing to do with ego.
>
> It has to do with disparate parties discussing a common subject
> from a common understanding.
>
> "Communication" does NOT occur unless both parties have SOME
> common frame of reference from which to understand each otehr.
>
>> I have taken accepting as fact the claimed message traffic and comented
>> on its importance in the over all scheme of thing
>
> That said what?
>
>> > > The latter type certainly did not occour during Katrina, at least in
>> > > part becuase for Hams to delver such messages there would have had to
>> > > have been somebody to to compose the message and give to us and
>> > > Somebody to delviver it to, both ends broke down in Katrina, terribly
>> > > limiting our poetencail usefullness as Hams
>> >
>> > Your complete ignorance of what has transpired in THIS region,
>> > from your perch on Michigan's Upper Penninsula, is obvious.
>>
>> I know what is going on there
>
> Obviously not.
>
>> you are not in that region either strictly speaking
>
> Sure I am.
>
>> you over look the fact that the onlooker sees most of the game, and the
>> players don't
>

> Ahhhhhh....so from your perch in UP Michigan you know more about
> what's going on than those of us who have actually been involved in
> it..?!?!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHA

>>
>> but you saved by lying again
>
> Nope.
>
>> > BTW, Mark, WHAT "life" outside of Amateur Radio?
>>
>> my life is non of YOUR busness unless I chose to share those deatils
>
> You have no life outside Amateur Radio, Mark. As a matter of
> fact, you have little life that moves you beyond the four walls of your
> house.
>
>> > You've now created at least three screen names that I count as
>> > "active" in order to get around Google's "daily posting limit" so you
>> > can keep the list loaded with your rhetoric.
>>
>> so? you count
>
> I don't have to. It's obvious.
>
> You've alleged that I am "obsessive-compulsive" about responding
> to RRAP posts, yet YOU are the one who has created the means by which
> you can defeat the posting limits so YOU can "pounce" on everyone
> else's posts.
>
>> and it is ok acording to you for you count my ppost and analyze them
>> but not for me when I choose to do the same
>> >
>> > Doesn't sound like much of a life to me..
>>
>> Thank you Stevie must mean I am doing something right that you
>> disaprove
>
> You're a deceitful, lying , pathologically mistruthful sexual
> devaiant, Mark.
>
> There's so much about you to disapprove of.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Anyone that needs to use several screen names is obviously very insecure. You may indeed have a point here Steve. He is a momma's boy, still living at home at a point in his life that he should be saving for his retirement for a career, which he obviously does not have.

He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being. He is ashamed of his life, and over compensates by literally begging for attention here on USENET. That is like jumping into a pond full of Alligators and wondering why they are chewing you to death. He feels

inferior in life as well as in ham radio. As stated.....A pathetic excuse.....etc.

Dan/W4NTI

2291. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:44:16 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <2j08k1ditr9mpqnf3k1t8nsot8blrd3i53@4ax.com>
Source record: 120119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> DEROS wrote:
> >
> > AB8MQ is hardly "light years" above anybody. He can barely see the
> > light of day from his psych ward.
>
> He cleans out porta-potties for a living.
>
They make their own gravy! I turned one into a
glory hole for some extra cash!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2292. signs of life for Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:46:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128530768.980518.39310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120118

just saw an Olay comercail (on Bravo network during west wing) about skin sending out an SOS sent ...---... by steam pipes of all things

Just fairly report this sign of Life for those seeking the Day when morse will achieve its true place in the great scheme of things

2293. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:48:49 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <0t08k15didr6nq337tn0ts62cfhddirnjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 120121

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> And you are a drunken illiterate faggot.
>
What's wrong with that, my scphincter relaxes more
when I'm plastered.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2294. Stevie lying again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:48:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128530931.062632.64960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120120

Ky4z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > simple question keep ducking it
> >
> > when you going to admit your lies?
>
> What lies?
your lie that you could could get me subjected to something "more than
merely anaoying
>
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > a simple question Stevie: when you going to admit that you lack the
> > > power to any action taken against me by the state of michigan?
> > >
> > > It is clear they are not doing naything, indeed they certainly of
> > > circular filed or buired in deep file cabinet your letter by now.
> > >
> > > when you going to admit failure?
> > >
> > > simple question
>
> What failure?
simple failure

>
> I filed my concerns with the appropirate agency.

>
> There's a file open in your name now. Any further reports or
> claims by any other healthcare provider, regardless of where they live
> or level of licensure, will go in there.

>
> Just like the camel's straws, one of them will be the one...

when you going to stop lying or at least admit it

>
> Steve, KY4Z

2295. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:53:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128531198.811609.135730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
> > > > > are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > your point? if any
> > > > >
> > > > you clearly don't respect the rights of others to disagree with you
> > > > >
> > > > so you talk the talk, but when you going to walk the walk?
> > > >
> > > So...
> > > >
> > > Work keeps me out of RRAP for a couple of weeks and I "return" only
> > > to find those who accuse me of certain things being waist-deep in it
> > > themselves.
> > >
> > what are you talking about? another hurricane relief mission Stevie
> >
> Guess you weren't paying attention to references, were you, Mark?
>
> And I can see your English >>comprehension<< skills have not
> improved.

no they haven't but then they are pretty good
>
cuting the rep
>
> What part of the concept of "work" do you not understand?
work wasn't the subject but then you can't read

>
> > > BTW, Mark, He DID clearly "respect" your right to "disagree" with
> > > you...He just called your posts for what they (usually)
> > > are..."ignroant, stupid, or just plain wrong" and YOU were the one with
> > > the "disagreement" issues...Again.
> >
> > you haven't been reading the man's posts he doesn't respect people's
> > right to disagree, he like you resorts to name calling
> >

> And you're any different?

One he was the subject so stop diverting it
>
> You're showing exactly NO difference, Mark...

again go read some of stuff first
>
> > Both of you confuse disagreement with stupidity, meaning neither of you
> > repsect the rights of other to disagree
>
> "respect"
>
> Sure I do. That you're alive despite several years of whining
> about death threats is proof positive that I "respect disagreement".

not at all but you don't know the meaning of the word proof as you have
amply proven over the years
>
> > > His "point" was that he clearly shares the same opinion as other
> > > educated and informed men about YOUR "points".
> >
> > gee where are these men and only men you say
>
> Certainly not in Michigan.

vague as is normal for you

and evasive as ever
>
> > > As for "talking the talk but not walking the walk", when are we
> > > gonna see you in "uniform", "Colonel"...?!?!
> >
> > more nonsense Stevie
>
> Not at all.
>
> You claim to be a veteran AND an Officer to boot.
>
> Obviously NONE of it true, ergo you're talking the talk, but can't
> walk the walk.

Obviously? gee then why do I have a DD 214 with my name on it
>
> > but you can't deal with issues that alwasy your problem
>
> "always"
>
> Sure I can.

when you going to start then
>

> > > At least the countless spelling lessons I gave you appear to have
> > > paid off.
> >
> > bull####
>
> Nope. I only had to correct you twice in this post, none in the
> previous. Obviously it's worn off.

meaning you claim is in fact bullshit as I said

and back to disrespecting intelectual porperty again
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2296. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 16:59:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128531572.662321.248030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > > > > >
> > > > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > > > for being OT.
> > > > >
> > > > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > > > for being off topic?
> > > >
> > > Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> > > usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> > > air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> > > newsgroup.
> > >
> > which conceeds the existace of such charges
> >
> "existance"
>
> You obviously don't understand the definition of "charges".

certainly do
>
> > is your problem with Len realy just that he is rather verbose in style?
> >
> He's not "verbose".

Hel yes he is verbose. More than I personaly care for at times but I
respect that he has His own style
>
> He's a documented, pathological liar. He typically "takes a dump"
> whenever some instance in Amateur Radio occurs that proves him wrong.
> Like the current events in the Gulf States.

more of you lying fabrication

he disagress with you, that is isn't lying no matter how many times you
say it is
>

> > How petty
>
> Nope.
yep
>
> > > The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> > > "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> > > perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.
> >
> > that is you, that are tlaking about Stevie
>
> "talking"
>
> Nope. I just mimic Lennie and feed his mischieviousness back to
> him.

more lies

why don't you admit it you just can't stand anyone that does not agree
with you
>
> > > > > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > > > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > > > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> > > > >
> > > > > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
> > > >
> > > > Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> > > > parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> > > > doubt due to yet another of his "trips".
> > > >
> > > > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > > > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > > > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > > > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > > > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > > > add water and shake.
> > > >
> > > > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > > > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > > > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > > > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
> > >
> > > again with your pettiness, and showing you have a small mind
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Lennie's repeatedly made the suggestion that Amateur Radio serves
> > no great purpose, and this it serves no "greater need" of the Republic.

to bad you don't realy bother to understand what he says, but you are a
don't confuse me with the facts kind of guy
>
> Current events and news items in all public media disprove that,
> Mark.

not realy, that you think so proves you haven't realy bother to process
much of Len posting's

>
> > reams and reams bull#####, but that is the normal content of your posts
>
> That's just you trying to undermine what I just said.

there are no reams of this stuff it is plain fact, or if you like
another of your fabrications
>
> It still doesn't work.
>
> It still doesn't mitigate that the "major media" has made several
> very positive and very "page one" references to Amateur Radio and it's
> functionality in the devastated areas. Amateur Radio has been on the
> "front page" of Radio, TV, printed and virtual news sources.

back pedaling fast as you can

>
> > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > time.
>
> As I siad before...Must be awfully embarrassing for him...
not at all
>
> Steve, KY4Z

2297. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 17:09:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128532192.619572.185600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>
> > simple

(expetive deleted)

> > Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > one gives up the right to the be different
>
> You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we all
> agree with it.

Most people, anyway. Recall that it wasn't until many years after the
USA was founded that it became illegal for some people to own other
people as property, and even more years until both genders had the
right to vote.
>
> But I wasn't talking about "rights". I was talking about the flawed notion
> that "all men are CREATED equal".

And there's the problem.

The phrase "all men are created equal" is too often taken as a
stand-alone, without the context of the rest of the document and the
times when it was written. The equality that was meant in the original
document was equality of rights - and rights only! It was meant to
dispel once and for all any claim to inherited privilege, nobility,
title, or monarchy. No more and no less. This was a truly radical
concept at the time, when almost all of western civilization had
"nobles" and monarchs, and the average person had few if any rights
that were guaranteed simply by being a human. The "endowed by their
Creator" part is meant as a direct contradiction to the idea that some
monarchs were given their authority by one divinity or another.

(for a truly brilliant deconstruction of the concept of monarchy, see
"Monty Python and the Holy Grail", and the scene where Arthur
encounters Dennis.)

> We all have different physical attributes,
> different levels of intelligence, different native skills and abilities, etc.,
> etc., etc. Clearly we are NOT created equal.

Not that way, anyhow. And even if we *were*, we all don't develop the
same way. The differences observable in identical twins are proof of
that.

> As an example, you may have a
> great sense of pitch and rythm along with a good voice, so might become a famous
> singer. I on the other hand, can't carry a tune in a tow sack. Clear to all
> but deaf observers, we are NOT equal. The same analogy can be applied to any
> human attribute you wish to examine, yet politicians (even though they don't
> practice it themselves) still feed the masses this "created equal" myth, and the
> masses still buy into it.

What they do is to substitute equality of *outcome* for equality of
rights.

Or to put it another way, what was meant by "created equal" was that
there should be equality of *opportunity*, but not necessarily equality
of *results*.

> >> If you want to explore the results of real human equality,
> >> read Kurt Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron"
> >> at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html

It's a great story, showing the enforcement of mandatory equality of
results.

There are many road races where anyone who can pay the fee and who will
sign the waiver can enter, start at the same starting line and starting
signal, and run/walk/jog the course.

That's equality of opportunity.

What Harrison Bergeron describes so well is a society where everyone
has to *finish* together, regardless of their actual ability.

> > why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO
>
> I recommended it because KV does a much better job than I in illustrating the
> issue.
>
Yep. One of the greatest American writers, if not *the* greatest.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Welcome To The Monkey House"

"Who made you king? I didn't vote for you!"

2298. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 17:24:10 UTC
From: Lloyd <DistrictManager@pizzrusforall.org>
Message-ID: <di123olhb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"DEROS" <Efil@dp> wrote in message news:dhvsgv$qge$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> AB8MQ is hardly "light years" above anybody. He can barely see the light
> of
> day from his psych ward.
>

ROTFLMAO!
```

2299. Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 17:28:56 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 120146

On the Mary Tyler Moore show, Ted Baxter is an incompetent shell of a man. He's selfish, inflated, overblown, egotistical, insecure, and very very dumb.

Baxter's role model is Walter Cronkite. Although devoted to his wife, Baxter has reproductive "issues." We're never told but my guess is, he's impotent. Baxter is the proverbial talking air-head - no one respects him.

So why did we enjoy following Baxter's antics?

It's simple. His idiocy made us laugh. He was the quintessential clown; the court jester; the fool.

I'll miss our amateur radio version of Ted Baxter. In less than two weeks his license will expire, and although he'll undoubtedly try to get his license renewed, there's a huge doubt as to whether we'll ever hear another original "broadcast."

I often wonder if Baxter, like another tragic clown, Pagliacci, is crying on the inside. The comedy is nearly ended, and we may never know.

This has been an editorial by John Johnston.

--
John Johnston

2300. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 17:34:39 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <OpidnYvDRrAzYt7eRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

DEROS wrote:
>
> AB8MQ is hardly "light years" above anybody. He can barely see the light of
> day from his psych ward.

He cleans out porta-potties for a living.

2301. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 17:40:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>
> > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > one gives up the right to the be different
>
> You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we all
> agree with it.

obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
they do
>
> But I wasn't talking about "rights". I was talking about the flawed notion
> that "all men are CREATED equal". We all have different physical attributes,
> different levels of intelligence, different native skills and abilities, etc.,
> etc., etc. Clearly we are NOT created equal. As an example, you may have a
> great sense of pitch and rythm along with a good voice, so might become a famous
> singer. I on the other hand, can't carry a tune in a tow sack. Clear to all
> but deaf observers, we are NOT equal. The same analogy can be applied to any
> human attribute you wish to examine, yet politicians (even though they don't
> practice it themselves) still feed the masses this "created equal" myth, and the
> masses still buy into it.
>
>
> >> If you want to explore the results of real human equality,
> >> read Kurt Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron"
> >> at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
>
> > why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO
>
> I recommended it because KV does a much better job than I in illustrating the
> issue.
well in this case itis not the issue I am interested in, so it would
still be GIGO, just for different reason
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

2302. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 18:00:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128535216.079025.248460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dr.Ace wrote:
> > > > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > > meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed, "He
> > > > argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter of
> > > > opinion, and point of view
> > >
> > > Hardly a misrepresentation of your conduct here, Mark.
> >
> > certainly is everyone and everything are all inclusive terms
>
> I am certain that that meant something to you...

indeed I am sure it meant something to a lot of people, perhaps the
catagory is what excludes you
>
> > > > > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after everyone
> > > > > agrees to agree with you, in advance
> > > > >
> > > > I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.
> > > >
> > > then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> > > discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
> > > and importance of the beliefs he holds
> > >
> > cuting the english cop crap
cuting the waste of text again

> You continue to "dismiss" as "speling cop crap" efforts to get you
> to use the same words in the same context as others so that we may all
> understand each other.

another lie on your part. You aretying to define the debate by your own
spin, I refuse to allow that
>
> Your "cut" and "speling cop crap" are nothing but censorship.

Not at all

You can and certainly will choose to keep typing your crap, I am making
no effort to stop it. I just choose not to have it count on my tab of
Bandwidth

what is censorhsip when you alter my posts and respond to the sentence
as if it was the way I wrote it after all to paraphrase you they can
alway go back and see what I choose to cut out
>
> And you DO remember who was famous for censorship, don't you,
> Mark?

Yes you are famous for cenorship Stevie at least around here
>
> > > > discusion, the reference was that I must accept that coms I thinks are
> > > > merely important are vital to safety and recovery of the region.
> > > > Indeed, like Stevie is pissed that I see ARES type comms, by and large,
> > > > as merely preforming the important job of keeping a lot of the small
> > > > stuff off the plate of the first responders, as opposed to stuff
> > > > affecting the real size and scope of the disaster.
> > >
> > > One cannot carry out a meaningful discourse unless there are
> > > certain basic understandings from which to frame that conversation.
> >
> > you are just plain wrong on that
>
> No, I am not.

yes you are
>
> As any lingusitics professor, Mark...

it isn't a matter of linguistics

>
> > guess you don't follow the public debate on much of ANY political issue
> > in the nation
>
> Sure I do.

the you were lying when you said "One cannot carry out a meaningful
discourse unless there are certain basic understandings from which to
frame that conversation."
>
> And I know that each of the parties concerned use the same words
> and in the same context.

like I said you are a fool or a liar
>
> BTW, Mark...that was a really WEAK effort at diversion...

not a diveration but at strike at te heart of your argument. It was
exactly on point
>
> > > So far, you've not demonstrated that you have a real-time frame of

> > > reference on "emergency comms" from which to make an informed opinion.
> >
> > not needed at all, indeed if I had than I would likely be unable to
> > discuss the issue with any impartiality, which would seem to be Dan's
> > (and your's) problem. You all can't what you are talking about becuase
> > your own egos are involved
>
> It's got nothing to do with ego.

sure does
>
> It has to do with disparate parties discussing a common subject
> from a common understanding.

nope it is about the effort of some to limit debate to those that agree
with them in advance very much a page from Yesir Imarat's (mispeeling
for effect) playbook
>
> "Communication" does NOT occur unless both parties have SOME
> common frame of reference from which to understand each otehr.

it also does not occour whn one or more parties decide they are not
interested in any view but their own, as is the case here all so often
>
> > I have taken accepting as fact the claimed message traffic and comented
> > on its importance in the over all scheme of thing
>
> That said what?

what it said
>
> > > > The latter type certainly did not occour during Katrina, at least in
> > > > part becuase for Hams to delver such messages there would have had to
> > > > have been somebody to to compose the message and give to us and
> > > > Somebody to delviver it to, both ends broke down in Katrina, terribly
> > > > limiting our poetencail usefullness as Hams
> > >
> > > Your complete ignorance of what has transpired in THIS region,
> > > from your perch on Michigan's Upper Penninsula, is obvious.
> >
> > I know what is going on there
>
> Obviously not.

only in your world that is so disconected from reality
>
> > you are not in that region either strictly speaking
>
> Sure I am.

nope you are not, unless you are lying on your address to the FCC
>

> > you over look the fact that the onlooker sees most of the game, and the
> > players don't
>
> Ahhhhhh....so from your perch in UP Michigan you know more about
> what's going on than those of us who have actually been involved in
> it..?!?!

certainly I do know much you can't know because you were in the mudst
of it. a plain and simple fact
>
>
cut the crying
> > > FYI, not ALL "emergency comms" are formal written traffic. And
> > > not all "emergency comms" involve "Hi Mom, I'm safe And Will Call
> > > Later" traffic.
> >
> > never said anything of the sort
>
> You aren't capable.

I certainly am

more Stevie lies

> > > > > Like I've said before, an_old_fiend is apparently in the same camp as Todd
> > > > > (same mindset).
> > > > > Also since an_old_fiend doesn't have a call sign his opinion in
> > > > > radio.amateur groups doen't mean much.
> > > >
> > > > I just choose not to sign it,
> > > >
> > > > unlike some of you I have a life outside Ham radio, I dislike the
> > > > common custom of reduceing a person to a callsign
> > >
> > > Mark's callsign is K B 9 R Q Z. He is an NCT.
> >
> > my word 2 true statements in a row I may die of the shock
>
> Don't tease me.
>
> > but as I said I have a callsign just chose not to sign to ervything
>
> "everything"...
>
> No...You claimed that you didn't sign your Amateur Radio callsign
> to Amateur Radio related posts because "...unlike some of you I have a
> life outside Ham radio, I dislike the common custom of reduceing (sic)
> a person to a callsign."

that is why I make the choice I do the one statement is just an
elaboration of the other, both are true

cuting the raving
> > > He's spent
> > > countless months telling us of his "disability" and intent to use the
> > > American's with Disabilites Act to "sue" anyone/everyone associated
> > > with his failure to get a coded license.
> >
> > but you saved by lying again
>
> Nope.

yes you are lying when you make the statement "He's spent countless
months telling us of his "disability" and intent to use the American's
with Disabilites Act to "sue" anyone/everyone associated with his
failure to get a coded license."

plain and simple

I have discused the the legalities of the ADA and Code testing but made
no threat to sue anyone at all, and since you add anyone assocated with
I mentioned I never threatened to sue you on that basis either
>
> > > BTW, Mark, WHAT "life" outside of Amateur Radio?
> >
> > my life is non of YOUR busness unless I chose to share those deatils
>
> You have no life outside Amateur Radio, Mark.

I certainly

> As a matter of
> fact, you have little life that moves you beyond the four walls of your
> house.

closer than you normaly get, since I do work from my home. I don't have
a lot that moves to travel beyond my home, but that is how I like it.

If you said I had nothing that got me much off MY land you'd be even
closer.

I enjoy the freedom to work when I choose and only as much as I choose.
I can hunt and fish, build stuff, Most of the world beyond comes to ME
when I need it. I am living the life I dreamed of as a kid

>
> > > You've now created at least three screen names that I count as
> > > "active" in order to get around Google's "daily posting limit" so you
> > > can keep the list loaded with your rhetoric.
> >
> > so? you count
>
> I don't have to. It's obvious.
>
> You've alleged that I am "obsessive-compulsive" about responding
> to RRAP posts, yet YOU are the one who has created the means by which

> you can defeat the posting limits so YOU can "pounce" on everyone
> else's posts.

not on everyone

>
> > and it is ok acording to you for you count my ppost and analyze them
> > but not for me when I choose to do the same
> > >
> > > Doesn't sound like much of a life to me..
> >
> > Thank you Stevie must mean I am doing something right that you
> > disaprove
cuting the sexual refence again
>
> There's so much about you to disapprove of.

thank you very much Stevie
>
> Steve, KY4Z

2303. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 18:05:01 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <ec58k1thahmsu8m6rv20521uukil24dj5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 120131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> >>
> >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> >> to proof read and such
> >
> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
> >
> >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> >> he does like is allowed to even exist
> >
> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
> >
>
> LOL !
>
You homophobes make me sick.
```


```
----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2304. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 18:05:29 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <aa145$434415c2$4e0b733$32075@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_faggot wrote:
>> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
>> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
>>
>> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
>> to proof read and such
>
> Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
>
>> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
>> he does like is allowed to even exist
>
> I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
>

LOL !

2305. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 18:05:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128535555.517389.277850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
cut

> >
> > There's so much about you to disapprove of.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Anyone that needs to use several screen names is obviously very insecure.
> You may indeed have a point here Steve. He is a momma's boy, still living
> at home at a point in his life that he should be saving for his retirement
> for a career, which he obviously does not have.

"saving for his retirement for a career"

auf Anglish bitte?

I use one screen name an Old friend I use several emails accounts far
more than 3 BTW

I am retired or at least semi reitred
>
> He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.

you have no reffernece for either of those statements Dan
> He is
> ashamed of his life,

Where do you get this shit?

> and over compensates by literally begging for
> attention here on USENET. That is like jumping into a pond full of
> Alligators and wondering why they are chewing you to death. He feels
> inferior in life as well as in ham radio.

Inferior? I certainly don't feel inferoir to you or anyone else in
here, Indeed this place is good for boasting ones ego, esp if I am
having a bad day, I can look at the crap folks like you spew out

> As stated.....A pathetic
> excuse.....etc.

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2306. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 18:07:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128535633.829316.146940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> >>
> >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> >> to proof read and such
> >
> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
> >
> >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> >> he does like is allowed to even exist
> >
> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
> >
>
> LOL !

a true reflaction of your socalled respect for other people

2307. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 18:29:46 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uYU0f.78692$vJ4.7985@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wut tha Phukk iz A "reflaction?"

Let me guess: Perhaps, if I give someone a hard time and then, in return, they give me the same amount of flack. If my guess is incorrect, please educate me.

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128535633.829316.146940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
>> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > an_old_faggot wrote:
>> >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
>> >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
>> >>
>> >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
>> >> to proof read and such
>> >
>> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
>> >
>> >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
>> >> he does like is allowed to even exist
>> >
>> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
>> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
>> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
>> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
>> >
>>
>> LOL !
>
> a true reflaction of your socalled respect for other people
>

2308. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 18:33:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128537182.960651.89780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> Wut tha Phukk iz A "reflaction?"
>
> Let me guess: Perhaps, if I give someone a hard time and then, in return,
> they give me the same amount of flack. If my guess is incorrect, please
> educate me.

wel it was a typo for relfection but i like your better
>
> Wayne-
> (KC8UIO)
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128535633.829316.146940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> >> >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> >> >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> >> >>
> >> >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> >> >> to proof read and such
> >> >
> >> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
> >> >
> >> >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> >> >> he does like is allowed to even exist
> >> >
> >> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> >> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> >> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> >> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
> >> >
> >>
> >> LOL !
> >
> > a true reflaction of your socalled respect for other people
> >

2309. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 19:37:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128541046.883240.279450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> > suck, Marky.
>
> The correct phrase is, "I *couldn't* care less".

no he did get that one right

He does care and cares a great deal

2310. What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 19:58:21 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <s1c8k1tc6qb59kcof1im02bopk65gmpnnn@4ax.com>
Source record: 120136

What the hell?

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=AB8MQ&start=0&scoring=d&

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2311. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 20:02:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128542555.929470.169660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > Dr.Ace wrote:
> >> > > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> > > meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed,
> >> > > "He
> >> > > argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter
> >> > > of
> >> > > opinion, and point of view
> >> >
> >> > Hardly a misrepresentation of your conduct here, Mark.
> >>
> >> certainly is everyone and everything are all inclusive terms
> >
> > I am certain that that meant something to you...
> >
> >> > > > > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after
> >> > > > > everyone
> >> > > > > agrees to agree with you, in advance
> >> > > >
> >> > > > I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.
> >> > >
> >> > > then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> >> > > discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the
> >> > > nature
> >> > > and importance of the beliefs he holds
> >> >
> >> cuting the english cop crap
> >
> > Reinserting Mark's censorship in order to maintain context:
> >
> >> then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> >> discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the nature
> >> and importance of the beliefs he holds
> >
> > QUOTE:
```

> >
> > "disriction"...?!?!
> >
> > You can't "discuss" something unless you are utilizing the same
> > language, the same grammar, and the same meaning of words.
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> >> > > in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the
> >> > > religionous...(SNIP)
> >> cuting the english cop crap
> >
> > Reinserting Mark's censorship to maintain context:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> >> in the "hams to resure" thread somwhere before the religionous...(SNIP)
> >
> >
> > Here, for example... "hams to the resure"? "religionous"?
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > You continue to "dismiss" as "speling cop crap" efforts to get you
> > to use the same words in the same context as others so that we may all
> > understand each other.
> >
> > Your "cut" and "speling cop crap" are nothing but censorship.
> >
> > And you DO remember who was famous for censorship, don't you,
> > Mark?
> >
> >> > > discusion, the reference was that I must accept that coms I thinks
> >> > > are
> >> > > merely important are vital to safety and recovery of the region.
> >> > > Indeed, like Stevie is pissed that I see ARES type comms, by and
> >> > > large,
> >> > > as merely preforming the important job of keeping a lot of the small
> >> > > stuff off the plate of the first responders, as opposed to stuff
> >> > > affecting the real size and scope of the disaster.
> >> >
> >> > One cannot carry out a meaningful discourse unless there are
> >> > certain basic understandings from which to frame that conversation.
> >>
> >> you are just plain wrong on that
> >
> > No, I am not.
> >
> > As any lingusitics professor, Mark...
> >

> >> guess you don't follow the public debate on much of ANY political issue
> >> in the nation
> >
> > Sure I do.
> >
> > And I know that each of the parties concerned use the same words
> > and in the same context.
> >
> > BTW, Mark...that was a really WEAK effort at diversion...
> >
> >> > So far, you've not demonstrated that you have a real-time frame of
> >> > reference on "emergency comms" from which to make an informed opinion.
> >>
> >> not needed at all, indeed if I had than I would likely be unable to
> >> discuss the issue with any impartiality, which would seem to be Dan's
> >> (and your's) problem. You all can't what you are talking about becuase
> >> your own egos are involved
> >
> > It's got nothing to do with ego.
> >
> > It has to do with disparate parties discussing a common subject
> > from a common understanding.
> >
> > "Communication" does NOT occur unless both parties have SOME
> > common frame of reference from which to understand each otehr.
> >
> >> I have taken accepting as fact the claimed message traffic and comented
> >> on its importance in the over all scheme of thing
> >
> > That said what?
> >
> >> > > The latter type certainly did not occour during Katrina, at least in
> >> > > part becuase for Hams to delver such messages there would have had to
> >> > > have been somebody to to compose the message and give to us and
> >> > > Somebody to delviver it to, both ends broke down in Katrina, terribly
> >> > > limiting our poetencail usefullness as Hams
> >> >
> >> > Your complete ignorance of what has transpired in THIS region,
> >> > from your perch on Michigan's Upper Penninsula, is obvious.
> >>
> >> I know what is going on there
> >
> > Obviously not.
> >
> >> you are not in that region either strictly speaking
> >
> > Sure I am.
> >
> >> you over look the fact that the onlooker sees most of the game, and the
> >> players don't

> >
> > Ahhhhhh....so from your perch in UP Michigan you know more about
> > what's going on than those of us who have actually been involved in
> > it..?!?!
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHA

> >> > with his failure to get a coded license.
> >>
> >> but you saved by lying again
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> >> > BTW, Mark, WHAT "life" outside of Amateur Radio?
> >>
> >> my life is non of YOUR busness unless I chose to share those deatils
> >
> > You have no life outside Amateur Radio, Mark. As a matter of
> > fact, you have little life that moves you beyond the four walls of your
> > house.
> >
> >> > You've now created at least three screen names that I count as
> >> > "active" in order to get around Google's "daily posting limit" so you
> >> > can keep the list loaded with your rhetoric.
> >>
> >> so? you count
> >
> > I don't have to. It's obvious.
> >
> > You've alleged that I am "obsessive-compulsive" about responding
> > to RRAP posts, yet YOU are the one who has created the means by which
> > you can defeat the posting limits so YOU can "pounce" on everyone
> > else's posts.
> >
> >> and it is ok acording to you for you count my ppost and analyze them
> >> but not for me when I choose to do the same
> >> >
> >> > Doesn't sound like much of a life to me..
> >>
> >> Thank you Stevie must mean I am doing something right that you
> >> disaprove
> >
> > You're a deceitful, lying , pathologically mistruthful sexual
> > devaiant, Mark.
> >
> > There's so much about you to disapprove of.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Anyone that needs to use several screen names is obviously very insecure.
> You may indeed have a point here Steve. He is a momma's boy, still living
> at home at a point in his life that he should be saving for his retirement
> for a career, which he obviously does not have.

Oh, I don't think it's a matter of being "insecure", Dave...It's
just that Mark is all about being all the things he accuses others

of...

As I specified, Mark has accused me of being
"obsessive-compulsive" and "ready to pounce on my every word" in RRAP
replies...

However HE is the one who needs multiple screen names to defeat
Google's posting limits in order to get his multiple-multiple responses
in in one day.

> He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.

No doubt. I never see "KB9RQZ" in any of the activity reports in
any of the magazines. He alleges to have been a second operator at a
couple of Field Day activities, and I have no doubt that that pretty
much summates his "operating experience".

> He is ashamed of his life, and over compensates by literally begging for
> attention here on USENET.

He should be ashamed. He'a a pitiful liar. I say pitiful since
he can't even lie effectively, then admits to being a liar on top of
it. His "I am a Colonel" story was classic, but then his insistence
that he's not a mental health patient followed-up by his admissions of
having been in the system are a hoot.

He's blatantly stated he'd teach his children to lie. That in and
of itself qualifies him for a castration before he can procreate any
further. I say "further" because he has, in the past, made allusion to
an ex-wife who was "stalking" him for child support...No doubt another
of his failures. I am divorced but no one ever had to "stalk" me to
make good on my obligations to my daughter and son.

> That is like jumping into a pond full of
> Alligators and wondering why they are chewing you to death. He feels
> inferior in life as well as in ham radio. As stated.....A pathetic
> excuse.....etc.

Hear hear.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2312. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 20:11:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128543099.281719.242020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> cut

"cut" = KB9RQZ censorship

> > > There's so much about you to disapprove of.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> >
> > Anyone that needs to use several screen names is obviously very insecure.
> > You may indeed have a point here Steve. He is a momma's boy, still living
> > at home at a point in his life that he should be saving for his retirement
> > for a career, which he obviously does not have.
>
> "saving for his retirement for a career"
>
> auf Anglish bitte?

I understood it perfectly.

He said you shouldn't be such a parasite.

> I use one screen name an Old friend I use several emails accounts far
> more than 3 BTW
>
> I am retired or at least semi reitred

Nope. You're "retarded"

> > He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.
>
> you have no reffernece for either of those statements Dan

I do. A couple e-mails exchanged with the radio club in Illinois.

No wonder you moved.

> > He is
> > ashamed of his life,
>

> Where do you get this #####?

From you.

> > and over compensates by literally begging for
> > attention here on USENET. That is like jumping into a pond full of
> > Alligators and wondering why they are chewing you to death. He feels
> > inferior in life as well as in ham radio.
>
> Inferior? I certainly don't feel inferoir to you or anyone else in
> here, Indeed this place is good for boasting ones ego, esp if I am
> having a bad day, I can look at the crap folks like you spew out

Mark's getting twisted again, Dan...Notice he can't even spell the
same word correctly within five words?

Yes..."inferior" is a good word. Hence his need to be a
"colonel", etc etc etc...

Steve, K4YZ

2313. Re: Mark lying about Stevie lying again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 20:14:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128543291.342481.159000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120139

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Ky4z wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > simple question keep ducking it
> > >
> > > when you going to admit your lies?
> >
> > What lies?
>
> your lie that you could could get me subjected to something "more than
> merely anaoying

"annoying".

What lie?

> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > a simple question Stevie: when you going to admit that you lack the
> > > > power to any action taken against me by the state of michigan?
> > > >
> > > > It is clear they are not doing naything, indeed they certainly of
> > > > circular filed or buired in deep file cabinet your letter by now.
> > > >
> > > > when you going to admit failure?
> > > >
> > > > simple question
> >
> > What failure?
>
> simple failure

WHAT failure?

> > I filed my concerns with the appropriate agency.
> >
> > There's a file open in your name now. Any further reports or
> > claims by any other healthcare provider, regardless of where they live
> > or level of licensure, will go in there.
> >
> > Just like the camel's straws, one of them will be the one...
>
> when you going to stop lying or at least admit it

To "stop" lying would require having started.

Steve, K4YZ

2314. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 20:16:38 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <20d8k1hqc5tkolcdadhm3ebsumeicm748m@4ax.com>
Source record: 120140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfanning@msn.com wrote:
> I and the person who owns it are both higher classed LICENSED hams.
>
OH BOY, am I fucking impressed with you. Shove
your overpriced radio up your ass, blowhard!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2315. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 20:27:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_4ednQ5VgrCHtdneRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> suck, Marky.

The correct phrase is, "I *couldn't* care less".

2316. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 20:36:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128544585.298107.292290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

nobodys old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >
> > > simple Bull#### Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > one gives up the right to the be different
> >
> > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we all
> > agree with it.
>
> obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> they do

"denying" "named"

No...

Most of us said you're NOT our EQUAL.

There's a difference.

There's only one thing I KNOW you do better than me, and a second
I am willing to give you the benefit of the doubt on...

The first is lying.

The second one is physically gratifying the male of the species...

> > But I wasn't talking about "rights". I was talking about the flawed notion
> > that "all men are CREATED equal". We all have different physical attributes,
> > different levels of intelligence, different native skills and abilities, etc.,
> > etc., etc. Clearly we are NOT created equal. As an example, you may have a
> > great sense of pitch and rythm along with a good voice, so might become a famous
> > singer. I on the other hand, can't carry a tune in a tow sack. Clear to all
> > but deaf observers, we are NOT equal. The same analogy can be applied to any
> > human attribute you wish to examine, yet politicians (even though they don't
> > practice it themselves) still feed the masses this "created equal" myth, and the
> > masses still buy into it.
> >
> >
> > >> If you want to explore the results of real human equality,
> > >> read Kurt Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron"
> > >> at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html

> >
> > > why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO
> >
> > I recommended it because KV does a much better job than I in illustrating the
> > issue.
>
> well in this case itis not the issue I am interested in, so it would
> still be GIGO, just for different reason

In other words you have no reasonable sound defense, so you'll
just slink away with some bland excuse...

No problem.

Steve, K4YZ

2317. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 20:43:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128545034.590325.103100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KØHB wrote:
> "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>
> > simple Bull#### Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > one gives up the right to the be different
>
> You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we all
> agree with it.
>
> But I wasn't talking about "rights". I was talking about the flawed notion
> that "all men are CREATED equal". We all have different physical attributes,
> different levels of intelligence, different native skills and abilities, etc.,
> etc., etc. Clearly we are NOT created equal. As an example, you may have a
> great sense of pitch and rythm along with a good voice, so might become a famous
> singer...(SNIP)

SOMEone in Minnesota let the maple syrup ferment just a bit too
long!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2318. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 21:02:41 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <c98$43443f46$4e0b7be$449@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128535633.829316.146940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
>> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > an_old_faggot wrote:
>> >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
>> >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
>> >>
>> >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
>> >> to proof read and such
>> >
>> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
>> >
>> >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
>> >> he does like is allowed to even exist
>> >
>> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
>> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
>> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
>> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
>> >
>>
>> LOL !
>
> a true reflaction of your socalled respect for other people
>

Markie,
All I said was "LOL"
I have a sense of humor.

BTW my respect has to be earned, as far as I can tell you have done
absolutely nothing to merit my respect.
Contempt on the other hand is another story.
Also I am guessing "an old friend" must be your CB handle.
Still LOL .
Ace - WH2T

2319. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 21:08:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128546511.567318.228160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
K4YZ wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > >> > > Dr.Ace wrote:
> > >> > > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >> > > > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >> > > meaning you agree that Dan mispoke I should think when he claimed,
> > >> > > "He
> > >> > > argues with everyone about everything." the rest is simply a matter
> > >> > > of
> > >> > > opinion, and point of view
> > >> >
> > >> > Hardly a misrepresentation of your conduct here, Mark.
> > >>
> > >> certainly is everyone and everything are all inclusive terms
> > >
> > > I am certain that that meant something to you...
> > >
> > >> > > > You OTOH say you will agree to discuss something only after
> > >> > > > everyone
> > >> > > > agrees to agree with you, in advance
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > I've never seen or heard Dan say any such thing.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > then you have not been paying attenion. he claims that he will only
> > >> > > discuss emergency comms when I agree with his disriction of the
> > >> > > nature
> > >> > > and importance of the beliefs he holds
> > >> >
> > >> > cuting the english cop crap
cut

> > >
> > > There's so much about you to disapprove of.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
```

> >
> > Anyone that needs to use several screen names is obviously very insecure.
> > You may indeed have a point here Steve. He is a momma's boy, still living
> > at home at a point in his life that he should be saving for his retirement
> > for a career, which he obviously does not have.
>
> Oh, I don't think it's a matter of being "insecure", Dave...It's
> just that Mark is all about being all the things he accuses others
> of...

then you are wrong again I am not a homophobe you are.. etc
cut
> > He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.
>
> No doubt. I never see "KB9RQZ" in any of the activity reports in

another lie frommyou Stevie you even sent me a note on something in CQ
or was it CQ VHF

> any of the magazines. He alleges to have been a second operator at a
> couple of Field Day activities,

nope an a second operator

> and I have no doubt that that pretty
> much summates his "operating experience".

then of course you are wrong as is normal for you
>
> > He is ashamed of his life, and over compensates by literally begging for
> > attention here on USENET.
>
> He should be ashamed.

OTOH I am not, so

> He'a a pitiful liar. I say pitiful since
> he can't even lie effectively, then admits to being a liar on top of
> it. His "I am a Colonel" story was classic, but then his insistence
> that he's not a mental health patient followed-up by his admissions of
> having been in the system are a hoot.

you can't deal with Tenses very well
>
> He's blatantly stated he'd teach his children to lie. That in and
> of itself qualifies him for a castration before he can procreate any
> further.

showing that you do not respect diverity of opinion

> I say "further" because he has, in the past, made allusion to
> an ex-wife who was "stalking" him for child support...No doubt another
> of his failures.

Another lie never said it had anything to do with child support

> I am divorced but no one ever had to "stalk" me to
> make good on my obligations to my daughter and son.

no one would want to

but you now admitt a failed marriage
>
> > That is like jumping into a pond full of
> > Alligators and wondering why they are chewing you to death. He feels
> > inferior in life as well as in ham radio. As stated.....A pathetic
> > excuse.....etc.
>
> Hear hear.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2320. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 21:08:51 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <g2g8k1lh8d8daag2tfddt3fqiktj6hf59m@4ax.com>
Source record: 120147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128535633.829316.146940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> >> >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> >> >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> >> >>
> >> >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the
> >> >> effort to proof read and such
> >> >
> >> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
> >> >
> >> >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that
> >> >> anyone he does like is allowed to even exist
> >> >
> >> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks
> >> > you suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment.
> >> > You are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully,
> >> > you'll die of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
> >> >
> >>
> >> LOL !
> >
> > a true reflaction of your socalled respect for other people
> >
>
> Markie,
> All I said was "LOL"
> I have a sense of humor.
>
> BTW my respect has to be earned, as far as I can tell you have done
> absolutely nothing to merit my respect.
> Contempt on the other hand is another story.
> Also I am guessing "an old friend" must be your CB handle.
> Still LOL .
> Ace - WH2T
>
I heard WH2T on channel 19 calling for any male
```

truckstop hooker available. His handle was "Dr
Ace".

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2321. Mark telling the turth about Stevie lying again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 21:10:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128546601.878315.99290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120145

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Ky4z wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > simple question keep ducking it
> > > >
> > > > when you going to admit your lies?
> > >
> > > What lies?
> >
> > your lie that you could could get me subjected to something "more than
> > merely anaoying
>
> "annoying".
>
> What lie?

your lie stated above

>
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > a simple question Stevie: when you going to admit that you lack the
> > > > > power to any action taken against me by the state of michigan?
> > > > >
> > > > > It is clear they are not doing naything, indeed they certainly of
> > > > > circular filed or buired in deep file cabinet your letter by now.
> > > > >
> > > > > when you going to admit failure?
> > > > >
> > > > > simple question
> > > >
> > > > What failure?
> >
> > simple failure
>
> WHAT failure?

your failure

>
> > > I filed my concerns with the appropriate agency.
> > >
> > > There's a file open in your name now. Any further reports or
> > > claims by any other healthcare provider, regardless of where they live
> > > or level of licensure, will go in there.

> > >
> > > Just like the camel's straws, one of them will be the one...
> >
> > when you going to stop lying or at least admit it
>
> To "stop" lying would require having started.

you started years ago

when you going to stop

>
> Steve, KY4Z

2322. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 21:13:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128546802.330191.25850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Freedom of speech means I have the right to say if I think someone's posts
> > > > > > are ignorant, stupid, or just plain wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > > your point? if any
> > > > >
> > > > > you clearly don't respect the rights of others to disagree with you
> > > > >
> > > > > so you talk the talk, but when you going to walk the walk?
> > > >
> > > > So...
> > > >
> > > > Work keeps me out of RRAP for a couple of weeks and I "return" only
> > > > to find those who accuse me of certain things being waist-deep in it
> > > > themselves.
> > >
> > > what are you talking about? another hurricane relief mission Stevie
> >
> > Guess you weren't paying attention to references, were you, Mark?
> >
> > And I can see your English >>comprehension<< skills have not
> > improved.
>
> no they haven't but then they are pretty good

No, they are not.

The above quoted paragraph is evidence thereof.

> cuting the rep

You mean conducting censorship.

> > What part of the concept of "work" do you not understand?
>
> work wasn't the subject but then you can't read

Sure I can read.

I said: "Work keeps me out of RRAP for a couple of weeks and I
"return" only to find those who accuse me of certain things being
waist-deep in it themselves."

You said: "what are you talking about? another hurricane relief
mission Stevie"

Therefore you've opened your mouth, allowed the REAL "bs" of this
NG to spew forth again, and then rused to get your shoes off so you
could dance barefoot in it for a while.

No, I was not talking about hurricaine releif, although we are
seeing plenty of dislocated folks in my ER in the last 3 weeks.

> > > > BTW, Mark, He DID clearly "respect" your right to "disagree" with
> > > > you...He just called your posts for what they (usually)
> > > > are..."ignroant, stupid, or just plain wrong" and YOU were the one with
> > > > the "disagreement" issues...Again.
> > >
> > > you haven't been reading the man's posts he doesn't respect people's
> > > right to disagree, he like you resorts to name calling
> >
> > And you're any different?
>
> One he was the subject so stop diverting it

I'm not diverting anything.

> > You're showing exactly NO difference, Mark...
>
> again go read some of stuff first

I did. Lot's of it.

And what I see is that YOU are perpetrating the exact same deceit,
misconduct and verbal trashing of the NG you accuse others of.

> > > Both of you confuse disagreement with stupidity, meaning neither of you
> > > repsect the rights of other to disagree
> >
> > "respect"
> >
> > Sure I do. That you're alive despite several years of whining
> > about death threats is proof positive that I "respect disagreement".
>
> not at all but you don't know the meaning of the word proof as you have
> amply proven over the years

Sure I do. I've provided lots of it.

And everytime I DO, someone such as yourself wants something ELSE
as "proof".

If you and spineless creeps like Frankie of Silliland want to play
on that merry-

> > > > His "point" was that he clearly shares the same opinion as other
> > > > educated and informed men about YOUR "points".
> > >
> > > gee where are these men and only men you say
> >
> > Certainly not in Michigan.
>
> vague as is normal for you
>
> and evasive as ever

Not evasive or vague at all. I am saying none of the men he's
refering to are in Michigan.

> > > > As for "talking the talk but not walking the walk", when are we
> > > > gonna see you in "uniform", "Colonel"...?!?!
> > >
> > > more nonsense Stevie
> >
> > Not at all.
> >
> > You claim to be a veteran AND an Officer to boot.
> >
> > Obviously NONE of it true, ergo you're talking the talk, but can't
> > walk the walk.
>
> Obviously? gee then why do I have a DD 214 with my name on it

I have a picture of a "Hollywood Star" with my name on it too,
Mark...Nice for gags.

> > > but you can't deal with issues that alwasy your problem
> >
> > "always"
> >
> > Sure I can.
>
> when you going to start then

Already have.

> > > > At least the countless spelling lessons I gave you appear to have
> > > > paid off.
> > >
> > > bull####
> >
> > Nope. I only had to correct you twice in this post, none in the
> > previous. Obviously it's worn off.
>
> meaning you claim is in fact bull#### as I said

Nope. I believe you are suffering from the "anger" aspect of
newsgroup psoting that Lennie refers to. Obviously, in your case, it

works.

And you were doing soooooooooooooooo good.

> and back to disrespecting intelectual porperty again

If you're going to refer to intellectual ANYthing, please spell it
correctly.

"intellectual" "property"

There's nothing of YOURS that even remotely approaches
"intellectual property", Mark.

Steve, K4YZ

2323. Re: What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 21:24:23 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <iYedncf-Q5sY2dneRVn-qw@crocker.com>
Source record: 120149

what?

"AB8MQ" <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote in message
news:s1c8k1tc6qb59kcof1im02bopk65gmpnnn@4ax.com...
> What the hell?
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?q=AB8MQ&start=0&scoring=d&
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2324. Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 21:28:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128547686.629505.270180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120150

> you have no reffernece for either of those statements Dan

I do. A couple e-mails exchanged with the radio club in Illinois.

No wonder you moved.

> > He is
> > ashamed of his life,

>

2325. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:00:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128549603.989292.162680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120151

Dan didn't live in Illinois, stupid.

2326. Re: What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:01:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128549686.840797.34340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120152

Lardass desperately wishing he was AB8MQ wrote:
> What the hell?

That's the same remark the OB-GYN said after your whore mommy shit you
out, Davies.

2327. Re: What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:01:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128549719.757339.42220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120153

Dave wrote:
> what?

who?

2328. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:05:46 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128549946.002487.195270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lardass desperately wishing he was AB8MQ wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> > He cleans out porta-potties for a living.

Wrong as usual, dumbass!

> They make their own gravy! I turned one into a
> glory hole for some extra cash!!!

You're mistaking your well reamed asshole for a port-a-potty, Davies.

2329. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:06:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128549983.322163.58950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Answering yourself again, Davies?

2330. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:07:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128550028.746339.77480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

A true representation of your lack of education.

2331. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:08:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128550122.020197.132670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Homosexual Davies pretending to be AB8MQ wrote:
> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> > >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> > >>
> > >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> > >> to proof read and such
> > >
> > > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
> > >
> > >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> > >> he does like is allowed to even exist
> > >
> > > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> > > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> > > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> > > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
> > >
> >
> > LOL !
> >
> You homophobes make me sick.

Aww, what's the matter Davies, can't you find a boyfriend?

2332. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:10:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128550217.158490.100100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
negative, sort of like your I.Q.

2333. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:11:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128550268.657384.145040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong,Marky. Now go have sex with your dog again.

2334. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:18:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128550700.784670.184710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

LLoyd Davies, N0VFP posting as AB8MQ wrote:
> donfanning@msn.com wrote:
> > I and the person who owns it are both higher classed LICENSED hams.
> >
> OH BOY, am I fucking impressed with you. Shove
> your overpriced radio up your ass, blowhard!

What do you care, Lardass Davies, you can't get on HF! You are too
stupid and lazy to learn morse code or the required theory.

2335. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:21:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128550875.698579.218750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120161

Lloyd Davies posting as AB8MQ wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > And you are a drunken illiterate faggot.
> >
> What's wrong with that, my scphincter

"scphincter" - Mongolloyd makes good spell!

> relaxes more when I'm plastered.

Which one (since you have two), your mouth or the normal one in your
anus?

2336. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:27:21 UTC
From: Robert Kubichek <bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3qj2a9Ff9513U1@individual.net>
Source record: 120162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP posting as AB8MQ wrote:
>
>>donfanning@msn.com wrote:
>>
>>>I and the person who owns it are both higher classed LICENSED hams.
>>>
>>
>>OH BOY, am I fucking impressed with you. Shove
>>your overpriced radio up your ass, blowhard!
>
>
> What do you care, Lardass Davies, you can't get on HF! You are too
> stupid and lazy to learn morse code or the required theory.
>
So what?? if he wanted to be on HF, he would study for the code part, as
would I. The item being talked about has nothing to do with HF, wrong
bands. If you think it does, you should go back and study some more.
Calling people names shows how imature you really are.
--
Bob N9LVU

2337. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:29:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <V5SdnWiTVO5_y9neRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 120165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5aT0f.7216$oc.6459@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>
>> simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
>> one gives up the right to the be different
>
> You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we
> all agree with it.
>
> But I wasn't talking about "rights". I was talking about the flawed
> notion that "all men are CREATED equal". We all have different physical
> attributes, different levels of intelligence, different native skills and
> abilities, etc., etc., etc. Clearly we are NOT created equal. As an
> example, you may have a great sense of pitch and rythm along with a good
> voice, so might become a famous singer. I on the other hand, can't carry
> a tune in a tow sack. Clear to all but deaf observers, we are NOT equal.
> The same analogy can be applied to any human attribute you wish to
> examine, yet politicians (even though they don't practice it themselves)
> still feed the masses this "created equal" myth, and the masses still buy
> into it.
>
>
>>> If you want to explore the results of real human equality,
>>> read Kurt Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron"
>>> at http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
>
>> why since the line of "Reasoning" is GIGO
>
> I recommended it because KV does a much better job than I in illustrating
> the issue.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Quite an intriguing story. Although I like science fiction, I've never been
a fan of Kurt Vonnegut so have not read this story before.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2338. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:33:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6uOdnfB7IoJGytneRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 120166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>
> > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > one gives up the right to the be different
>
> You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we
> all
> agree with it.

obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
they do

I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
being.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2339. Re: Anyone up for a SKIED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:35:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128551727.640613.267440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120163

an old faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_faggot whined:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_faggot wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_faggot prevaricated:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >

> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > but the question stands what has my (or anyone Else's sexuality got
to
> > > > > > > > > > > do with Ham radio?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > answer the question bigot
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > what does my sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cry, faggot, cry.
> > > > >
> > > > > Poe little baby can't even answer a simple question "what does my
> > > > > sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
> > > >
> > > > Poor little faggot, gonna cry and get your new purse all wet?
> > >
> > > no are you going to answert the simple question"what does my
> > > sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"
> >
> > Well, I do find you objectionable, but in a pitiful, laughable way.
>
> clsoer to a response but still"what does my
> sexual orientation have to do with Ham radio?"

"clsoer?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
God, are you a fucking idiot.

> > >
> > > very likely never since you don't have answer
> >
> > What part of 'I don't give a shit what the fuck you do' escapes your
> > comprehension, simpleton?
>
> well it is an obvious lie

No, stupid.

>
> you tlak about what I might be doing in almost every post

Wrong, faggot.

>
> that means you DO care little care

Try writing in English, stupid.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > until then it just shows YOU as a hate filled small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No, dumbass, it just shows how much of a pussy you faggots are.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > no it show you are a hate small minded creep
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > you just show yourself
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cutting sexual rant
> > > > > > cut
> > > > >
> > > > > Coward.
> > >
> > > just cuting down on the amount of quoted text
> >
> > You're just running away.
>
> from?

All the words that hurt your fragile ego so, that's why you cut them.

> > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Why shouldn't drink on Sunday if I choose? because it might offend
YOUR
> > > > > > > > > > religion?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Sunday?" Freudian slip, Marjy? When the fuck ever I said I subscribed
> > > > > > > > > > to any religion, stupid? You are the one claiming to follow the
> > > > > > > > > > Christian religion.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > a Lie little boy I DO not claim to be Christan,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Liar.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > the simple truth
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The only thing simple is you, liar.
> > > > >
> > > > > no lie in my stating that I am not a Christian, and haven't claimed to
> > > > > be one
> > > >
> > > > You have lied from day one, dip shit. Just as you do today.
> > >
> > > haven't lied about my religion, have not claimed to be christian

> >
> > Quit lying.
>
> not lying but a sick fellow like you doesn't know the turth when it
> hits him

What's "turth?"

> >
> > > >
> > > > > you are the fool in not getting your facts straight, but then bigots
> > > > > like yourself are not concerned with facts
> > > > >
> > > > > You need to get your fucking facts straight yourself. If you did, it
> > > > > would be the only thing straight about you, faggot.
> > > >
> > > > I have em straight
> > >
> > > No you don't. You couldn't get them straight if you went and had a
> > > brain transplant.
> >
> > sure do no need for a brain transplant

Thousands of us out here beg to differ, retard.

> >
> > > > why are you so concerned about what I may be doing little boy?
> > >
> > > Yes, mentioning dicks or homosexual activities always attracts you to a
> > > post like a fly to a mountain of dung, doesn't it? You just can't
> > > control yourself. How sad.
> >
> > well being good freedom loving american I dislike bigotry like you
> > express

See, you just can't control your perverse urges.

> >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > even Stevie isn't dumb enough to argue that
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Aw, trying to rekindle your romance with him?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > gee never had a "romance" with him, therefore not trying to rekindle
> > > > > > one
> > > > >
> > > > > More denial.
> > > >
> > > > well closer to the truth then you normaly manage but realy Stevie is
> > > > not my type. I prefer humans, and I avoid hate filled bigots (of either

> > > gender) as sex partners
> >
> > >From what I hear, you like little boys.
>
> realy that is news to me

I'm sure every day is a brand new day to you in more ways than one.

> >
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > never have made such a
> > > > > > > > > claim, guess you haven't been reading the Newsgroup, try a Google
> > > > > > > > > reach of the group on the word Pagan
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > BWHAHAHAHA, you can't even compose a sentence in English, much less
> > > > > > > > > understand alternative religions, boy.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > more falsehood from the little bigoted boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > More stupidity from the old drunk faggot. What's "falsehood?"
> > > > > >
> > > > > a typo being dyslexic and aphasic I make plenty of them
> > > > >
> > > > No, it's not a typo. It's your lack of education shining through.
> > >
> > > it is a typo obviously, how stupid are you?
> >
> > Not the scale you make your "typos," you illiterate retard.
>
> make up your please, if possible

Make sense, stupid.

> >
> > > cut
> >
> > Run away!
> >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Chicken shit.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no just trying to cut on the waste of bandwidth
> > > > >
> > > > No, you are a chicken shit. If you're so concerned about bandwidth, you
> > > > should not post at all.
> > >
> > > I just like ti prune stuff after awhile
> >

> > Anything to cut out those hurtful words, right?
>
> not at all I leave some of them but I have mre respect for the feeling
> of others certainly more than you but that isn't hard it seems

Liar.

> >
> > >
> > > > Now, go cry in the corner, pussy.
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > as obsessed with other people's sexuality,
> > > > > > > > > > > I could care less if you die of AIDS or not, queer Marjy. It would be
> > > > > > > > > > > better for ham radio if you did.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > more proof of your sexual issues
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No, proof of your whining.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > what whining?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > All your replies have been whining.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > not a whining in bunch
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Just your pink panties have gotten in a bunch, right?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope wrong again
> > > >
> > > Oh, you can't tell what color they are they are so encrusted?
> >
> nope worng again

"worng?" Are you part Chinese,Marky?

Oh, so you go with the basic brown so to hide the incontinence?

> >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > OTOH your Vere handle is whiny little boy
> > > > >
> > > > Just like yours is very stupid, since nobody considers you a friend
> > > > here.
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > and that you are a hate filled creep
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Aw, are you gonna cry,now?

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > why would I?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Snivel, faggot, snivel.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you are losing what Erv grip you had on reality little boy
> > > > >
> > > > > Unlike you, who keeps a very tight grip on his privates while he posts.
> > >
> > > more of your fantasy little boy
> >
> > What else could explain your writing "style?"
>
> Dyslexoid Aphasia as I have explained before, that and the fact I don't
> the newsgruop is worth much in the way of proof reading, as your posts
> without expection show

No, your lack of education is obvious. That DA excuse won't wash, sort
of like you.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > you are simply a bigoted hate filled creep sad but hardly a tear of
> > > > > > > mine for your stupidity and misfortune
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I suppose when your life sucks like yours does, you have to save the
> > > > > > > tears for yourself, right?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > my life doesn't suck, could always be a better of course, as could just
> > > > > > > about everyones
> > > > >
> > > > > Your life does suck, illiterate one who lives in a shanty.
> > >
> > > who are you talking about
> >
> > <slap!> You, stupid. Pay attention.
>
> then you have your facts wrong

<slap!> Maybe you just need more slaps to your thick skull.....

> >
> > > My life is fine I own my home
> >
> > If you call a cardboard box home.
>
> then you have your facts wrong

Oh, so you are living in the Salvation Army shelter now?

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > cut
> >
> > Run away!
> >
> > > > > > > > no I don't get it more, nor homosexuals get it more, and indeed most
> > > > > > > > people are not bright enough to use protection, hence the rate of HIV
> > > > > > > > and other Stud's
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, you get it more, faggot.
> > > > > >
> > > > > get your facts straight
> > > >
> > > Get yours, stupid. Nowhere did I ever say that a virus knows sexual
> > > orientation.
> > >
> > you said it more or less
> >
> > No, stupid. You said it. I didn't say it or even hint at such
> > absurdity. Go back and have somebody else read it to you.
>
> wrong again"No, you get it more, faggot." clearly impling it

Wrong, learn to read, Marky.

> when BTW the science says otherwise

But why did you make the statement?

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > but then you never let the truth get in the way of your bigotry
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You wouldn't know the truth, anybody can Google your lies.
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes I will lie when it serves to protect me, never deny that (except
> > > > > briefly when being threatened) but I know the truth when i do, while
> > > > > you clearly in some fantastic fog
> > > >
> > > Thanks for admitting you are a liar in public, doofus.
> > >
> > that is no newsflash admited to that 7 years ago
> >
> > What being stupid?
>
> nope to lying learn to read why don't you

I can read. You obviously can't.

> >
> > > cut
> > > out screwed up like he did.
> >
> > ...????.... Post in English, coward.
>
> why you don't bother to

And get a better reply routine, the Pee Wee Herman one isn't working.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ever planing on growing up little boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Ever "planning" on taking that much needed English class, little girl?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > what class? and you now you can't even tell boys from girls
> > > > >
> > > > > What's the matter, did it hit to close to home, you Femme?
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Yep. Your comprehension of the written word is still exceedingly
> > dismal, isn't it?
>
> no it isn't my espression of it has some real problems but I read just
> fine

Sure you do, that why your posts look like they were written by
somebody with brain damage?

2340. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 22:38:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128551937.825582.307900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

More excuses for your inability to learn? Why would anybody want an old radio like the FT 530 to begin with. You should read and learn about today's much better engineered radios.

2341. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 23:18:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <idadnfWsfc2C_9neRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 120167

"John Johnston" <John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> On the Mary Tyler Moore show, Ted Baxter is an incompetent shell of a
> man. He's selfish, inflated, overblown, egotistical, insecure, and very
> very dumb.
>
> Baxter's role model is Walter Cronkite. Although devoted to his wife,
> Baxter has reproductive "issues." We're never told but my guess is,
> he's impotent. Baxter is the proverbial talking air-head - no one
> respects him.
>
> So why did we enjoy following Baxter's antics?
>
> It's simple. His idiocy made us laugh. He was the quintessential clown;
> the court jester; the fool.
>
> I'll miss our amateur radio version of Ted Baxter. In less than two
> weeks his license will expire, and although he'll undoubtedly try to
> get his license renewed, there's a huge doubt as to whether we'll ever
> hear another original "broadcast."
>
> I often wonder if Baxter, like another tragic clown, Pagliacci, is
> crying on the inside. The comedy is nearly ended, and we may never
> know.
>
> This has been an editorial by John Johnston.
>
>
> --
> John Johnston

However, the comparison to Pagliacci is not entirely fair to Pagliacci.
Baxter created all his own problems by knowingly violating the rules. On
the other hand, Pagliacci, although brought down by his own unreasoning
jealousy, did not create the initial problem of his wife's unfaithfulness.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2342. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 23:53:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128556391.830509.264490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >
> > > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > one gives up the right to the be different
> >
> > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we
> > all
> > agree with it.
>
> obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> they do
> ----------
>
> I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> being.

You ahve stated that I don't have to right to post peacefully without
explaining myself to you

you have also claimed I and the rest of the techs don't have the
priviledge to decide for yourself how to operate (within) the rules
without agreeing to acccept the hectoring of personas like yourself

Indeed you have calimed I am under some obligation to give Bill Gates
even more of Money than everyone else

BTW gues you were not being truthfull when you claimed you did not read
my posts or that you could not read em
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2343. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 23:54:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128556494.380498.15480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
cut
>
> "intellectual" "property"
>
> There's nothing of YOURS that even remotely approaches
> "intellectual property", Mark.

Everything I write is my intellectual property

everything more proof that Stevie does not respect the law
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2344. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 23:58:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128556680.824573.66480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120170

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Dan didn't live in Illinois, stupid.

never said Dan did live in Illinois

but since you bring it up I know from various people in Illinois that
someone claiming to be K4YZ have conacted almost every ham in the area
with an accesable email adress about me. also since I have moved UP
here someone claiming to be K4YZ has tried contacting the local hams
here

Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die

Stevie is a Stalker

2345. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-05 23:59:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128556769.059292.38380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > >
> > > > simple Bull#### Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > > one gives up the right to the be different
> > >
> > > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we all
> > > agree with it.
> >
> > obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> > has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> > Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> > claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> > they do
>
> "denying" "named"
>
> No...
>
> Most of us said you're NOT our EQUAL.

meaning you say Hans was worng is his statement
cut

2346. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:01:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128556881.013151.72720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120172

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Lloyd Davies posting as AB8MQ wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > And you are a drunken illiterate faggot.
> > >
> > What's wrong with that, my scphincter
>
> "scphincter" - Mongolloyd makes good spell!
>
> > relaxes more when I'm plastered.
>
> Which one (since you have two), your mouth or the normal one in your
> anus?

why are you such a hate filled bigot?

2347. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:06:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128557167.233332.180510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Wrong,Marky. Now go have sex with your dog again.

wrong about what?

are you so afraid of me you can't even let my words be there while
criticize me

coward

2348. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:07:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128557268.955838.120760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> More excuses for your inability to learn? Why would anybody want an old
> radio like the FT 530 to begin with. You should read and learn about
> today's much better engineered radios.

well someone might want a cheap radio with HF access as part of it

Indeed Now is not the time to dumping such after all we all will have
real and fair access to HF in a few months

2349. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:12:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128557564.713492.145360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120175

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Johnston" <John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
> news:John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com...
> >
> > On the Mary Tyler Moore show, Ted Baxter is an incompetent shell of a
> > man. He's selfish, inflated, overblown, egotistical, insecure, and very
> > very dumb.
> >
> > Baxter's role model is Walter Cronkite. Although devoted to his wife,
> > Baxter has reproductive "issues." We're never told but my guess is,
> > he's impotent. Baxter is the proverbial talking air-head - no one
> > respects him.
> >
> > So why did we enjoy following Baxter's antics?
> >
> > It's simple. His idiocy made us laugh. He was the quintessential clown;
> > the court jester; the fool.
> >
> > I'll miss our amateur radio version of Ted Baxter. In less than two
> > weeks his license will expire, and although he'll undoubtedly try to
> > get his license renewed, there's a huge doubt as to whether we'll ever
> > hear another original "broadcast."
> >
> > I often wonder if Baxter, like another tragic clown, Pagliacci, is
> > crying on the inside. The comedy is nearly ended, and we may never
> > know.
> >
> > This has been an editorial by John Johnston.
> >
> >
> > --
> > John Johnston
>
> However, the comparison to Pagliacci is not entirely fair to Pagliacci.
> Baxter created all his own problems by knowingly violating the rules. On
> the other hand, Pagliacci, although brought down by his own unreasoning
> jealousy, did not create the initial problem of his wife's unfaithfulness.

stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2350. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:17:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7vWdnfcg27qZ7dneRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 120176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128556391.830509.264490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >
> > > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > one gives up the right to the be different
> >
> > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we
> > all
> > agree with it.
>
> obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> they do
> _____
>
> I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> being.

>Quote
You ahve stated that I don't have to right to post peacefully without
explaining myself to you
>Unquote

This is incorrect. I have never said any such thing. I have said that if
people can't understand you, why do you bother to post and why should we
bother to read them. You have the right to post and we have the right not
to pay any attention.

>Quote
you have also claimed I and the rest of the techs don't have the
priviledge to decide for yourself how to operate (within) the rules
without agreeing to acccept the hectoring of personas like yourself
>Unquote

I have not hectored you nor do I approve of anyone hectoring people. If you have misconstrued my statements, that's your problem not mine.

>Quote
Indeed you have calimed I am under some obligation to give Bill Gates
even more of Money than everyone else
>Unquote

Never said any such thing. I have stated that there are means for people with difficulties to compensate for those difficulties. If they choose not to take advantage of such tools, don't blame others for their opinions of your work. That you do not want to invest in appropriate tools is again your problem not mine. It's like anything else in life. I'm too short to reach the top of the cupboards so I purchased a step stool. It would be foolish to complain that the top shelf is too high.

>Quote
BTW gues you were not being truthfull when you claimed you did not read
my posts or that you could not read em
>Unquote

Incorrect. I stated that I could not read SOME of your posts. If a post is not clear, I don't finish reading it and I don't respond to it. That is my right. Others are quite legible. If I find them interesting, I respond. That is also my right.

I also stated that I would not bother with your posts until you had regained some of your equilibrium. Sufficient time has passed that it has been worth checking. I also do a search so as to be able to choose whether I want to respond if someone should post false statements about me as you have done in this and the preceding posts.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2351. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:31:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yK-dnefKBYzX7tneRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 120178

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128557564.713492.145360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Johnston" <John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com...
>> >
>> > On the Mary Tyler Moore show, Ted Baxter is an incompetent shell of a
>> > man. He's selfish, inflated, overblown, egotistical, insecure, and very
>> > very dumb.
>> >
>> > Baxter's role model is Walter Cronkite. Although devoted to his wife,
>> > Baxter has reproductive "issues." We're never told but my guess is,
>> > he's impotent. Baxter is the proverbial talking air-head - no one
>> > respects him.
>> >
>> > So why did we enjoy following Baxter's antics?
>> >
>> > It's simple. His idiocy made us laugh. He was the quintessential clown;
>> > the court jester; the fool.
>> >
>> > I'll miss our amateur radio version of Ted Baxter. In less than two
>> > weeks his license will expire, and although he'll undoubtedly try to
>> > get his license renewed, there's a huge doubt as to whether we'll ever
>> > hear another original "broadcast."
>> >
>> > I often wonder if Baxter, like another tragic clown, Pagliacci, is
>> > crying on the inside. The comedy is nearly ended, and we may never
>> > know.
>> >
>> > This has been an editorial by John Johnston.
>> >
>> >
>> > --
>> > John Johnston
>>
>> However, the comparison to Pagliacci is not entirely fair to Pagliacci.
>> Baxter created all his own problems by knowingly violating the rules. On
>> the other hand, Pagliacci, although brought down by his own unreasoning
>> jealousy, did not create the initial problem of his wife's
>> unfaithfulness.
>
> stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered

> slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
> same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point

My comments are based on the various warnings, inspections, and other run-ins with the FCC that Baxter has had. It certainly gives the impression that he chooses to see how far he can push the FCC.

He could have asked the FCC for an opinion on the things he wanted to do before he did them rather than taking an approach that appears confrontational.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2352. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:37:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oeGdnQyS1tNK6dneRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 120179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128559361.010396.63830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128556391.830509.264490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > >
> > > > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > > one gives up the right to the be different
> > >
> > > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and
> > > we
> > > all
> > > agree with it.
> >
> > obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> > has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> > Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> > claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> > they do
> > _____
> >
> > I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> > being.
>
> >Quote
> You ahve stated that I don't have to right to post peacefully without
> explaining myself to you
> >Unquote
>
> This is incorrect. I have never said any such thing. I have said that if
> people can't understand you, why do you bother to post and why should we
> bother to read them. You have the right to post and we have the right not
> to pay any attention.

no you spend days harassing me for it and you continue off and on since
then that is dening the right peacefully without explaining myself

you choose to deny me that right bymeans of harrasment

>
> >Quote
> you have also claimed I and the rest of the techs don't have the
> priviledge to decide for yourself how to operate (within) the rules
> without agreeing to acccept the hectoring of personas like yourself
> >Unquote
>
> I have not hectored you nor do I approve of anyone hectoring people. If
> you
> have misconstrued my statements, that's your problem not mine.

bullshit

you insult other operators based on class
Indeed you claim that You have the right to determine if other are
insulted despite the a fact it is by law the receiveing that makes that
judgment

>
> >Quote
> Indeed you have calimed I am under some obligation to give Bill Gates
> even more of Money than everyone else
> >Unquote
>
> Never said any such thing.

no you spent a wekk harrasing me on the subject
>
> >Quote
> BTW gues you were not being truthfull when you claimed you did not read
> my posts or that you could not read em
> >Unquote
>
> Incorrect. I stated that I could not read SOME of your posts. If a post
> is
> not clear, I don't finish reading it and I don't respond to it. That is
> my
> right. Others are quite legible. If I find them interesting, I respond.
> That is also my right.
>
> I also stated that I would not bother with your posts until you had
> regained
> some of your equilibrium. Sufficient time has passed that it has been
> worth
> checking. I also do a search so as to be able to choose whether I want to
> respond if someone should post false statements about me as you have done
> in
> this and the preceding posts.

no false statement you seek to deny the rights of others

simple fact

ypou claim you have rights that you deny to others like msyelf
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

For someone who is so concerned about Baxter's good name and potential slander thereof, you seem totally unconcerned about applying your own double standard and slandering anyone who does not bow down to your mistaken interpretations of other's posts.

However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point in conversing with you at this time.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2353. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:42:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128559361.010396.63830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128556391.830509.264490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > >
> > > > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > > one gives up the right to the be different
> > >
> > > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we
> > > all
> > > agree with it.
> >
> > obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> > has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> > Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> > claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> > they do
> > ____
> >
> > I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> > being.
>
> >Quote
> You ahve stated that I don't have to right to post peacefully without
> explaining myself to you
> >Unquote
>
> This is incorrect. I have never said any such thing. I have said that if
> people can't understand you, why do you bother to post and why should we
> bother to read them. You have the right to post and we have the right not
> to pay any attention.

no you spend days harassing me for it and you continue off and on since
then that is dening the right peacefully without explaining myself

you choose to deny me that right bymeans of harrasment

>
> >Quote
> you have also claimed I and the rest of the techs don't have the
> priviledge to decide for yourself how to operate (within) the rules
> without agreeing to acccept the hectoring of personas like yourself
> >Unquote
>
> I have not hectored you nor do I approve of anyone hectoring people. If you
> have misconstrued my statements, that's your problem not mine.

bullshit

you insult other operators based on class
Indeed you claim that You have the right to determine if other are
insulted despite the a fact it is by law the receiveing that makes that
judgment

>
> >Quote
> Indeed you have calimed I am under some obligation to give Bill Gates
> even more of Money than everyone else
> >Unquote
>
> Never said any such thing.

no you spent a wekk harrasing me on the subject
>
> >Quote
> BTW gues you were not being truthfull when you claimed you did not read
> my posts or that you could not read em
> >Unquote
>
> Incorrect. I stated that I could not read SOME of your posts. If a post is
> not clear, I don't finish reading it and I don't respond to it. That is my
> right. Others are quite legible. If I find them interesting, I respond.
> That is also my right.
>
> I also stated that I would not bother with your posts until you had regained
> some of your equilibrium. Sufficient time has passed that it has been worth
> checking. I also do a search so as to be able to choose whether I want to
> respond if someone should post false statements about me as you have done in
> this and the preceding posts.

no false statement you seek to deny the rights of others

simple fact

ypou claim you have rights that you deny to others like msyelf
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2354. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:55:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128559361.010396.63830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128556391.830509.264490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > > >
> > > > > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > > > one gives up the right to the be different
> > > >
> > > > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and
> > > > we
> > > > all
> > > > agree with it.
> > >
> > > obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> > > has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> > > Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> > > claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> > > they do
> > > ___________________________________________
> > >
> > > I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> > > being.
> >
> > >Quote
> > You ahve stated that I don't have to right to post peacefully without
> > explaining myself to you
> > >Unquote
> >
> > This is incorrect. I have never said any such thing. I have said that if
> > people can't understand you, why do you bother to post and why should we
> > bother to read them. You have the right to post and we have the right not
> > to pay any attention.
>
```

> no you spend days harassing me for it and you continue off and on since
> then that is dening the right peacefully without explaining myself
>
> you choose to deny me that right bymeans of harrasment
>
> >
> > >Quote
> > you have also claimed I and the rest of the techs don't have the
> > priviledge to decide for yourself how to operate (within) the rules
> > without agreeing to acccept the hectoring of personas like yourself
> > >Unquote
> >
> > I have not hectored you nor do I approve of anyone hectoring people. If
> > you
> > have misconstrued my statements, that's your problem not mine.
>
> bullshit
>
> you insult other operators based on class
> Indeed you claim that You have the right to determine if other are
> insulted despite the a fact it is by law the receiveing that makes that
> judgment
>
> >
> > >Quote
> > Indeed you have calimed I am under some obligation to give Bill Gates
> > even more of Money than everyone else
> > >Unquote
> >
> > Never said any such thing.
>
> no you spent a wekk harrasing me on the subject
> >
> > >Quote
> > BTW gues you were not being truthfull when you claimed you did not read
> > my posts or that you could not read em
> > >Unquote
> >
> > Incorrect. I stated that I could not read SOME of your posts. If a post
> > is
> > not clear, I don't finish reading it and I don't respond to it. That is
> > my
> > right. Others are quite legible. If I find them interesting, I respond.
> > That is also my right.
> >
> > I also stated that I would not bother with your posts until you had
> > regained
> > some of your equilibrium. Sufficient time has passed that it has been
> > worth
> > checking. I also do a search so as to be able to choose whether I want to

> > respond if someone should post false statements about me as you have done
> > in
> > this and the preceding posts.
>
> no false statement you seek to deny the rights of others
>
> simple fact
>
> ypou claim you have rights that you deny to others like msyelf
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

> For someone who is so concerned about Baxter's good name and potential
> slander thereof, you seem totally unconcerned about applying your own double
> standard and slandering anyone who does not bow down to your mistaken
> interpretations of other's posts.

what are you smoking bitch?

no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
>
> However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point in
> conversing with you at this time.

see to it then

nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
yourself
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2355. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 00:56:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128560185.199568.276130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128559361.010396.63830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128556391.830509.264490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > > >
> > > > > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > > > > one gives up the right to the be different
> > > >
> > > > You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and
> > > > we
> > > > all
> > > > agree with it.
> > >
> > > obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> > > has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> > > Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> > > claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> > > they do
> > > ___________________________________________
> > >
> > > I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> > > being.
> >
> > >Quote
> > You ahve stated that I don't have to right to post peacefully without
> > explaining myself to you
> > >Unquote
> >
> > This is incorrect. I have never said any such thing. I have said that if
> > people can't understand you, why do you bother to post and why should we
> > bother to read them. You have the right to post and we have the right not
> > to pay any attention.
>
```

> no you spend days harassing me for it and you continue off and on since
> then that is dening the right peacefully without explaining myself
>
> you choose to deny me that right bymeans of harrasment
>
> >
> > >Quote
> > you have also claimed I and the rest of the techs don't have the
> > priviledge to decide for yourself how to operate (within) the rules
> > without agreeing to acccept the hectoring of personas like yourself
> > >Unquote
> >
> > I have not hectored you nor do I approve of anyone hectoring people. If
> > you
> > have misconstrued my statements, that's your problem not mine.
>
> bullshit
>
> you insult other operators based on class
> Indeed you claim that You have the right to determine if other are
> insulted despite the a fact it is by law the receiveing that makes that
> judgment
>
> >
> > >Quote
> > Indeed you have calimed I am under some obligation to give Bill Gates
> > even more of Money than everyone else
> > >Unquote
> >
> > Never said any such thing.
>
> no you spent a wekk harrasing me on the subject
> >
> > >Quote
> > BTW gues you were not being truthfull when you claimed you did not read
> > my posts or that you could not read em
> > >Unquote
> >
> > Incorrect. I stated that I could not read SOME of your posts. If a post
> > is
> > not clear, I don't finish reading it and I don't respond to it. That is
> > my
> > right. Others are quite legible. If I find them interesting, I respond.
> > That is also my right.
> >
> > I also stated that I would not bother with your posts until you had
> > regained
> > some of your equilibrium. Sufficient time has passed that it has been
> > worth
> > checking. I also do a search so as to be able to choose whether I want to

> > respond if someone should post false statements about me as you have done
> > in
> > this and the preceding posts.
>
> no false statement you seek to deny the rights of others
>
> simple fact
>
> ypou claim you have rights that you deny to others like msyelf
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

> For someone who is so concerned about Baxter's good name and potential
> slander thereof, you seem totally unconcerned about applying your own double
> standard and slandering anyone who does not bow down to your mistaken
> interpretations of other's posts.

what are you smoking bitch?

no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
>
> However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point in
> conversing with you at this time.

see to it then and start being truthfull you have chosen t converse me
don't deny it like a hypocrit

nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
yourself
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2356. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:00:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128560429.025077.304120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120182

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128557564.713492.145360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "John Johnston" <John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com...
> >> >
> >> > On the Mary Tyler Moore show, Ted Baxter is an incompetent shell of a
> >> > man. He's selfish, inflated, overblown, egotistical, insecure, and very
> >> > very dumb.
> >> >
> >> > Baxter's role model is Walter Cronkite. Although devoted to his wife,
> >> > Baxter has reproductive "issues." We're never told but my guess is,
> >> > he's impotent. Baxter is the proverbial talking air-head - no one
> >> > respects him.
> >> >
> >> > So why did we enjoy following Baxter's antics?
> >> >
> >> > It's simple. His idiocy made us laugh. He was the quintessential clown;
> >> > the court jester; the fool.
> >> >
> >> > I'll miss our amateur radio version of Ted Baxter. In less than two
> >> > weeks his license will expire, and although he'll undoubtedly try to
> >> > get his license renewed, there's a huge doubt as to whether we'll ever
> >> > hear another original "broadcast."
> >> >
> >> > I often wonder if Baxter, like another tragic clown, Pagliacci, is
> >> > crying on the inside. The comedy is nearly ended, and we may never
> >> > know.
> >> >
> >> > This has been an editorial by John Johnston.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> > John Johnston
> >>
> >> However, the comparison to Pagliacci is not entirely fair to Pagliacci.
> >> Baxter created all his own problems by knowingly violating the rules. On
> >> the other hand, Pagliacci, although brought down by his own unreasoning
> >> jealousy, did not create the initial problem of his wife's
> >> unfaithfulness.
> >

> > stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
> > slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
> > same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point
>
> My comments are based on the various warnings, inspections, and other
> run-ins with the FCC that Baxter has had. It certainly gives the impression
> that he chooses to see how far he can push the FCC.

well even if your revised stament is true that is different than saying
he has knowingly violated the rules

the later is slander without knowledge neither of us has

>
> He could have asked the FCC for an opinion on the things he wanted to do
> before he did them rather than taking an approach that appears
> confrontational.

he could choosen to do so

he is not required to

he has the right to choose to be confrontational, if he chooses

again with your appearant efforts to deny that others have the right to
exercise their rights

If you are turely not going to "converse with me" then don't, but don't
complain when you chose to do so
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2357. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:07:32 UTC
From: cantakerous <40SW@cz>
Message-ID: <di1t99$5ti$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128550217.158490.100100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
negative, sort of like your I.Q.
00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Wrong, Roger. "couldn't care less" is NOT a double negative. Sound it out,
stupid.

I "couldn't" as in "I could NOT care less." Got it? Most ignoramus's such as
yourself say instead, "I could care less.", which is not correct.
Ain't they lurned you nuthin' at Devry????

...and don't bother responding. I couldn't care less about what you think.

2358. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:09:30 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <IN_0f.1305$ge1.987@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

An this, in a nutshell, is the reason Amateur Radio is in peril of loosing spectrum today.

You manage to personify the problem precisely.

Keep up the great work!

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1128551937.825582.307900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> More excuses for your inability to learn? Why would anybody want an old
> radio like the FT 530 to begin with. You should read and learn about
> today's much better engineered radios.
>

2359. Roger's the problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:12:25 UTC
From: cantakerous <40SW@cz>
Message-ID: <di1tie$720$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

--
"What's even more pathetic is you seesing Roger everywhere even in posts he doesn't make."
Secwet Wogie

2360. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:14:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1128561282.555513.156570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128535633.829316.146940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > an_old_faggot wrote:
> >> >> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> >> >> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
> >> >>
> >> >> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
> >> >> to proof read and such
> >> >
> >> > Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
> >> >
> >> >> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
> >> >> he does like is allowed to even exist
> >> >
> >> > I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
> >> > suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
> >> > are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
> >> > of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
> >> >
> >>
> >> LOL !
> >
> > a true reflaction of your socalled respect for other people
> >
>
> Markie,
> All I said was "LOL"
> I have a sense of humor.

nothing funny about people dying of aids or anything else
>
> BTW my respect has to be earned, as far as I can tell you have done
> absolutely nothing to merit my respect.
anyone who laughs about anybody dying is someone I don't esp want their
respect

but it means you were lying when you claimed to have respect for other
people being different

> Contempt on the other hand is another story.
> Also I am guessing "an old friend" must be your CB handle.

wrong again as a point of fact my handle is the "Colnel" has been ever
since I was made a kentucky colnel

> Still LOL .

and you are still a sick bastard

and truely shining example of why not to be christain, because one
would not care for the company one would be keeping
> Ace - WH2T

2361. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:19:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128561576.618202.42920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> An this, in a nutshell, is the reason Amateur Radio is in peril of loosing
> spectrum today.

are we realy sure the joker has a Ham license at all
>
> You manage to personify the problem precisely.
>
> Keep up the great work!
>
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128551937.825582.307900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > More excuses for your inability to learn? Why would anybody want an old
> > radio like the FT 530 to begin with. You should read and learn about
> > today's much better engineered radios.
> >

2362. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:22:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128561753.143812.199930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120188

One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS :)

I kind of hope he fights it and wins then a will laugh my ass off at
you Anti-Baxter's morons

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

2363. Re: Roger's the problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:25:59 UTC
From: Lloyd <DistrictManager@pizzrusforall.org>
Message-ID: <di1ub2$a34$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"cantakerous" <40SW@cz> wrote in message news:di1tie$720$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
>
> "It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
> complainant.)
>
> --
> "What's even more pathetic is you seesing Roger everywhere even in posts
> he
> doesn't make."
> Secwet Wogie
>

LOL!
```

2364. PAQSO Party this weekend!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:42:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jY-dnYs80uWVHNneRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120191

Just in case any of you forgot, the Pennsylvania QSO party is this weekend. We bill ourselves as "The fun party" for our low pressure and accommodating structure.

Amateur radio newsline has an interview on line at:

http://www.arnewsline.org/

click on the read more link and go down the page.

I'll be on as W3GA, Centre County.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2365. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 01:59:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jvidnYC2bbyKGNneRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120196

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>
>> stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
>> slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
>> same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point
>
>
> Just when I think you could not become any more ignorant, you prove me
> wrong again.

What a strange opinion from someone (Mark) that repeatedly claims that Steve stalks him. Someone that claims that spelling correction is censorship.

Yet stating an opinion on documented behavior is slander?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2366. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:07:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128564469.254714.122500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120197

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
> >> slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
> >> same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point
> >
> >
> > Just when I think you could not become any more ignorant, you prove me
> > wrong again.
>
> What a strange opinion from someone (Mark) that repeatedly claims that
> Steve stalks him.

because he does he has for years

> Someone that claims that spelling correction is
> censorship.

I never claimed any such thing
>
> Yet stating an opinion on documented behavior is slander?

wrong again boyo stating one you can't possibly support may be slander
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2367. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:10:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128564607.208664.67720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > >
> > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > for being OT.
> >
> > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > for being off topic?
>
> Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> newsgroup.

Ah, yes. Lennie "asks for it." Typical stuff from abusers.

> The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.

Strange. I most often read about him being off-topic.

> > > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> > >
> > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> >
> > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
>
> Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> doubt due to yet another of his "trips".

How would you know? Are you watching and following Len?

> Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just

> add water and shake.

Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
in microwave communications. Except for perhaps West Point, Army
training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.

His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
both.

That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
Very inciteful, that Len. ;^(

> Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> rhetoric of the past 8 years...

It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
waste. Why do they even bother?

> Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> itme.

Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
tooey.

2368. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:10:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128564648.538068.139040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> > has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> > Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> > claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> > they do
> > _____
> >
> > I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> > being.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
>
> I think it's the human being part that has him confused.
BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
rights

so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights

2369. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:14:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128564856.990365.215310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
cut
> It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> waste. Why do they even bother?
>
> > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > itme.
>
> Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> tooey.

sorry have to correct you Stevie can't be embarrished he lacks the sense

2370. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:15:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128564952.566664.167000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
>
> You can't "discuss" something unless you are utilizing the same
> language, the same grammar, and the same meaning of words.

Unless, of course, it's in Morse Code. Then all communications between
users of unlike languages becomes crystal clear.

2371. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:24:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 120203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:xuidnQZ6T_RjFtneRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>
>> BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
>> rights
>>
>> so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
>>
>
> OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
> ever denied you have the same rights?

Don't bother. Everyone who has ever disagreed with him or pointed out his errors or used normal English words such as "class" in exactly the same manner as the FCC uses it is automatically denying him his rights and/or denigrating him. Only his definitions can be considered correct or he is being denied his rights.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2372. Re: PAQSO Party this weekend!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:25:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <waSdnTVlJrSLE9neRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 120205

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:jY-dnYs80uWVHNneRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
> Just in case any of you forgot, the Pennsylvania QSO party is this
> weekend. We bill ourselves as "The fun party" for our low pressure and
> accommodating structure.
>
> Amateur radio newsline has an interview on line at:
>
> http://www.arnewsline.org/
>
> click on the read more link and go down the page.
>
> I'll be on as W3GA, Centre County.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

Looking forward to it and hopefully can squeeze in some participation. PA
is a pretty easy contact from here.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2373. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:37:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128566267.448274.287890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> >
> >
> > BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
> > rights
> >
> > so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
> >
>
> OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
> ever denied you have the same rights?

by your remarks plain and simple

2374. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:39:20 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128566360.328574.213530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Agreed. This is why people like us are leaving the hobby. And why we are selling this equipment.

When HF turns into CB with little spark between the ears, then it's time to pull the plug. Me personally, I've said good bye to HF years ago when the band conditions and QRM stomped out QRP operation. Maybe someday I'll buy another one when I'm over 500 miles from the nearest metropolis. Till then, what goes on in this thread is a perfect example of why a code requirement should still be maintained.

Personally, I couldn't care less what happens on HF. I went through the tests and the morse and would still do it again to full up to Extra (the test is more exciting than operation anymore). But seeing how divided people are on-air (and off) and the ARRL being more advocates for the radio manufacturing industry rather than the operator, I'd be happier talking over part 97 - 802.11 friends and kissing HF goodbye.

Tho, on second thought, maybe it's people like you that keep me pushing to increase my morse speed. Bandplan won't change... neither will the mentality of a CB operator with a freshly printed FCC license.

2375. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:44:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XPidnWZN_6s4HdneRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
> negative, sort of like your I.Q.
>

Not so, the phrase, "I could care less" means one must care some so you could care less. "I could not care less" isn't a double negative, it simply means one does not care at all, not in the least, not even a little bit, nada, zip, so one "could not care any less because I don't care at all". Nice try stupid.

Now time you got back to cleaning out those porta-potties.

2376. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:45:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128566753.865196.8370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfann...@msn.com wrote:
> Agreed. This is why people like us are leaving the hobby. And why we
> are selling this equipment.
>
> When HF turns into CB with little spark between the ears, then it's
> time to pull the plug. Me personally, I've said good bye to HF years
> ago when the band conditions and QRM stomped out QRP operation. Maybe
> someday I'll buy another one when I'm over 500 miles from the nearest
> metropolis. Till then, what goes on in this thread is a perfect
> example of why a code requirement should still be maintained.

don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out

the Ars clearly doesn't jerk like you

2377. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:46:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XPidnWFN_6uTHNneRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he
> has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee,
> Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making
> claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as
> they do
> --
>
> I have never stated you do not have the same rights as any other human
> being.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

I think it's the human being part that has him confused.

2378. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:47:39 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XPidnWBN_6vUHNneRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>nobodys old friend wrote:
>
> cut
>
>> "intellectual" "property"
>>
>> There's nothing of YOURS that even remotely approaches
>>"intellectual property", Mark.
>
>
> Everything I write is my intellectual property

I think the word "intellectual" is streatching it quite a bit.

2379. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:49:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XPidnWNN_6tfHNneRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120194

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
> slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
> same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point

Just when I think you could not become any more ignorant, you prove me
wrong again.

2380. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:53:31 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128567211.638185.184350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

>From email as I only invited serious responses.:

From: Robert Kubichek [bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net]
I think you owe me an apology, I was NOT criticizing your post.. I was
trying to prepare you for a dissapointment.... However, good luck if
you sell it for more than what I stated.... I know you will need it..

By the way, I have been a ham op for over 10 years...

Dear Robert,

As I replied in private and now in public. I do NOT owe you an
apology. Come to think of it, I do not OWE you ANYTHING. The only
disappointment here is that you never upgraded your license apparently
(from your response). Not that I care. Nor want to encourage you to
upgrade. Frankly, I couldn't give a shit less what you do with your
operating license and equipment infofar as it does not affect another
radio operator or cause a public nuisance which obviously USENET has
become a part of. The pity that I received from you was left at the
post box for some other sucker to take.

Now before you hit reply, it seems that you're a somewhat fair minded
individual as you stood up to the blatant flamers for which I applaude
you for. That being said, I know what I'm doing when it comes to
equipment. You do see the "OBO" on the subject? I did intentionally
set it high because I am looking to come down on the price. But that
would be too obvious wouldn't it. So here I am spelling it out for you
and the rest of the people who read the thread.

OR BEST OFFER = OBO.

Ahh, now that's off my chest. enjoy. if you find yourself with a few
extra coins in your pocket, maybe a new toy would come in handy. Could
even attach it to Echolink or use a Echolink equipped repeater and talk
across the country. Great for the kids who are in college (give them
incentive) or the YL traveling around town. Toss it in the camper and
use it when you go hunting (assuming that you have a mobile rig in the
camper). And again, THE PRICE IS NEGOTIABLE.

Regards

2381. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:54:34 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128567274.823427.266040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

shrug nothing but QRM...

bitches.

2382. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:55:19 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XPidnWJN_6uIHtneRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120195

N9OGL wrote:
> One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS :)

Oh, we will still have you to kick around toddyboy, but having you go
away would be a blessing as well.

2383. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:57:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128567431.414267.122950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfann...@msn.com wrote:
> >From email as I only invited serious responses.:
> ---

> From: Robert Kubichek [bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net]
> I think you owe me an apology, I was NOT criticizing your post.. I was
> trying to prepare you for a dissapointment.... However, good luck if
> you sell it for more than what I stated.... I know you will need it..
>
> By the way, I have been a ham op for over 10 years...
> ---

>
> Dear Robert,
>
> As I replied in private and now in public. I do NOT owe you an
> apology. Come to think of it, I do not OWE you ANYTHING. The only
> disappointment here is that you never upgraded your license apparently
> (from your response). Not that I care. Nor want to encourage you to
> upgrade. Frankly, I couldn't give a shit less what you do with your
> operating license and equipment infofar as it does not affect another
> radio operator or cause a public nuisance which obviously USENET has
> become a part of. The pity that I received from you was left at the
> post box for some other sucker to take.

as I said please don't let the door hit you in the ass you leave

>
> Regards

2384. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 02:59:31 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128567571.301864.87870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I presume this is because you thought I couldn't read the first time?

2385. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:03:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128567826.886529.110070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfann...@msn.com wrote:
> I presume this is because you thought I couldn't read the first time?

you presume incorectly

but that is certainly possible since after all I did not send it in
morse

2386. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:08:50 UTC
From: Robert Kubichek <bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3qjiq4Ff6bqiU1@individual.net>
Source record: 120213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfanning@msn.com wrote:
>>From email as I only invited serious responses.:
> ---------- From: Robert Kubichek [bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net]
> I think you owe me an apology, I was NOT criticizing your post.. I was
> trying to prepare you for a dissapointment.... However, good luck if
> you sell it for more than what I stated.... I know you will need it..
>
> By the way, I have been a ham op for over 10 years...
> -------
>
> Dear Robert,
>
> As I replied in private and now in public. I do NOT owe you an
> apology. Come to think of it, I do not OWE you ANYTHING. The only
> disappointment here is that you never upgraded your license apparently
> (from your response). Not that I care. Nor want to encourage you to
> upgrade. Frankly, I couldn't give a shit less what you do with your
> operating license and equipment infofar as it does not affect another
> radio operator or cause a public nuisance which obviously USENET has
> become a part of. The pity that I received from you was left at the
> post box for some other sucker to take.
>
> Now before you hit reply, it seems that you're a somewhat fair minded
> individual as you stood up to the blatant flamers for which I applaude
> you for. That being said, I know what I'm doing when it comes to
> equipment. You do see the "OBO" on the subject? I did intentionally
> set it high because I am looking to come down on the price. But that
> would be too obvious wouldn't it. So here I am spelling it out for you
> and the rest of the people who read the thread.
>
> OR BEST OFFER = OBO.
>
> Ahh, now that's off my chest. enjoy. if you find yourself with a few
> extra coins in your pocket, maybe a new toy would come in handy. Could
> even attach it to Echolink or use a Echolink equipped repeater and talk
> across the country. Great for the kids who are in college (give them
> incentive) or the YL traveling around town. Toss it in the camper and
> use it when you go hunting (assuming that you have a mobile rig in the
> camper). And again, THE PRICE IS NEGOTIABLE.
>

> Regards
>
And you are a fool, to quote a email sent directly to you that was NOT
POSTED in an open Newsgroup....
Now you know why I did NOT upgrade, its people like you that are
detrimental to the hobby.

Get a life...

--
Bob N9LVU

2387. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:26:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128569173.341300.276030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120215

hmmmm cornwhore, last time I check this wasn't your newsgroup....so
keep dreaming

2388. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:26:18 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128569178.860864.221630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Robert/Bob,

I received an unsolicited email from you that was not requested. I am looking for offers or serious inquiries (condition of equipment, does it come with a rubberduck.. yes btw). And you send me an email saying, "You'll never get what you're asking for it." How do you know? HOW DO YOU KNOW?!? If I don't, no worries, it goes on eBay eventually. But to call me a fool? I think one should look it up in the dictionary:

>From WordNet (r) 2.0: fool n 1: a person who lacks good judgment [syn: {sap}, {saphead}, {muggins}, {tomfool}] 2: a person who is gullible and easy to take advantage of [syn: {chump}, {gull}, {mark}, {patsy}, {fall guy}, {sucker}, {soft touch}, {mug}] 3: a professional clown employed to entertain a king or nobleman in the middle ages [syn: {jester}, {motley fool}]

As I still maintain good judgement for saying Or Best Offer, I think it it YOU who is the fool. Am I taking advantage of you and your email, Yes... I am. Because you were a fool to respond to me in a non-professional manner (should have stuck to business and not patronize me... would have save you a post to USENET for all prosperity... you do realize that these posts are archived for years to come). As for being a professional clown... I dislike clowns but I don't mind being entertaining.

That being said, your lack of ambition to upgrade doesn't mean anything to the world at large nor to me. As for the hobby, it's like computers... it's still only the fucking internet.

2389. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:27:59 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128569279.255875.285020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Spelling, punctuation and proper sentence structure does count even if
your opinion doesn't.

2390. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:33:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xuidnQZ6T_RjFtneRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
>
>
> BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
> rights
>
> so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
>

OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
ever denied you have the same rights?

2391. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:39:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128569971.865893.88700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
> >>>rights
> >>>
> >>>so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
> >>>
> >>
> >>OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
> >>ever denied you have the same rights?
> >
> >
> > by your remarks plain and simple
> >
>
> Ok, another chance, please quote any remarks I have made that would deny
> you your rights.

your words
form craftmen and subcontractors quote

I think the word "intellectual" is streatching it quite a bit.

unquote

denieling that I have the same proerty rights as everyone else

and why don't you even bother to remmebr your words for even a couple
of hours/

why are you so lazy?

2392. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:40:39 UTC
From: Robert Kubichek <bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3qjklpFfbmbaU1@individual.net>
Source record: 120219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfanning@msn.com wrote:

> Robert/Bob,
>
> I received an unsolicited email from you that was not requested. I am
> looking for offers or serious inquiries (condition of equipment, does
> it come with a rubberduck.. yes btw). And you send me an email saying,
> "You'll never get what you're asking for it." How do you know? HOW DO
> YOU KNOW?!? If I don't, no worries, it goes on eBay eventually. But
> to call me a fool? I think one should look it up in the dictionary:
>

You are a lying fool to quote words I NEVER wrote. As I said before
"However, good luck if you sell it for more than what I stated.... I
know you will need it."

>>From WordNet (r) 2.0: fool n 1: a person who lacks good judgment [syn:
> {sap}, {saphead}, {muggins}, {tomfool}]

Fits you perfectly!

>
> That being said, your lack of ambition to upgrade doesn't mean anything
> to the world at large nor to me.

Why do you call it lack of ambition??? Do you know me, or what I want?

> As for the hobby, it's like
> computers... it's still only the fucking internet.
>

It's funny how you can talk trash, and flame me for sending an email
directly to you, instead of for all to read. It is like you are using
the group(s) like a digital CB channel...

>> GET A LIFE!!! <<

--
Bob N9LVU

2393. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 03:41:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128570071.156051.96990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfanning@msn.com wrote:
> Spelling, punctuation and proper sentence structure does count even if
> your opinion doesn't.

just asking you to live up to your words

if you are getting out please hurry the ARS does not need more Elists
like yourself we have more tha enough if it is your free choice to
leave rather than adapt please hurry

2394. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 04:01:41 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128568499.099453.232790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Even morse has proper sentence structure and punctuation.

2395. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 04:11:25 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128571884.983959.3100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

> You are a lying fool to quote words I NEVER wrote. As I said before
> "However, good luck if you sell it for more than what I stated.... I
> know you will need it."
>

To prove a point, this is quoting... or "You are a lying fool..." is
quoting. So before you call me a liar, check yourself. You just might
break yourself.

>
> >>From WordNet (r) 2.0: fool n 1: a person who lacks good judgment [syn:
> > {sap}, {saphead}, {muggins}, {tomfool}]
>
> Fits you perfectly!
>

Oh such wit. I bow down to your supreme knowledge and mastery of the
English language for I shall never be worthy. *smirk*

>
> >
> > That being said, your lack of ambition to upgrade doesn't mean anything
> > to the world at large nor to me.
>
> Why do you call it lack of ambition??? Do you know me, or what I want?

I think I made that abundantly clear that I don't care to. What you do
with your license and equipment is your business... (didn't I say that?
Echo, echo..)

> > As for the hobby, it's like
> > computers... it's still only the fucking internet.
> >
>
> It's funny how you can talk trash, and flame me for sending an email
> directly to you, instead of for all to read. It is like you are using
> the group(s) like a digital CB channel...

No shit sherlock. I've been in this shithole called the internet much
longer than I'd like to admit and way before it ever became popular.
As I've pointed out this is only the fucking internet. If this were
the bands, I'd just come over to kick your ass in person rather than
subject children to have to listen to it. That's the quality of a good
operator - Knowing what is appropriate where.

Dear: Robert

[ ] sir [ ] clueless one [ ] twit [ ] great man on
campus
[ ] madam [ ] dweeb [ ] twerp [ ] comrade
[ ] Elvis [ ] moon beam [ ] boor [ ] Babe
[ ] Geek [ ] Boob [ ] Communist
[ ] Republican [ ] Democrat [ ] Liberal [ ] Conservative
[X] Other (ARS Station: N9LVU)

You are being gently flamed because.

GENERAL

[ ] you SCREAMED! (used all caps)
[ ] you posted the inanely stupid 'Make Money Fast' article
[ ] you posted the inanely stupid '$250 Cookie Recipe' article
[ ] you posted an inanely stupid magic weight-loss article
[ ] you posted an inanely stupid cheap s**t at high prices article
[ ] you posted an inanely stupid 'Fix Your Credit' article
[ ] you posted an inanely stupid "Good Time Virus" warning.
[X] you repeatedly have shown lack of humor
[ ] you have a SIG with more than 4 lines of ASCII graphics
[ ] you assumed that AOL/CIS/Prodigy founded the Internet
[X] you assumed that the Internet is a U.S. only phenomenon
[ ] Repeatedly requested info on where to find XXX stuff.
[ ] Repeatedly harassed people with androgynous names like Chris,
Jamie, or
Rajhatmalhaban, with "Are you a girl?" or "M/F?" questions.

FOR LISTERVS (Open discussion Groups)

[ ] you sent a please remove me from the list message to the
list rather than the list-sever
[ ] you continued a boring useless stupid thread
[ ] you repeatedly posted to the same thread that you just posted to
[ ] you repeatedly initiated incoherent, flaky, and mindless threads
[ ] you posted a piece riddled with profanities
[ ] you advocated Net censorship
[X] you repeatedly assumed unwarranted moral or intellectual
superiority
[X] you are under the misapprehension that this group is your preserve
[ ] you are apparently under compulsion to post to every thread
[ ] you are posting an anonymous attack
[ ] you responded to an obvious troll
[ ] you posted an obvious troll
[ ] you neglected to do research on the chosen subject
[ ] you asked a question that was covered in the available FAQ
[X] you redundantly covered the same point over and over
[X] you crossposted excessively

[ ] you used long lines, i.e. you didn't break up your lines after
60-70 characters, thereby making it hard to quote your post.
[ ] You posted a request to send business/get well cards to a little
boy with cancer who is trying to break the guiness book record.
[X] Over 90% of your post was quoted from a previous post.
[ ] Over 90% of your post was quoted from a previous post and your
addition
was "I agree" or "Me too."
[ ] Barged into a quiet little newsgroup/IRC channel/MUD/whatever and
started stinking up the place just because you read an article
about
the newsgroup/IRC channel/MUD/whatever in some magazine or hads
seen it
on TV etc....
[X] you discussed the following in a non-nutcase newsgroup;
[ ] hollow earth theory [ ] alien President theory
[ ] orbital mind control frisbees [ ] faith healing
[ ] government weather control [ ] Russian psychics
[ ] Squeaky Fromme [ ] the nation of France
[ ] robot spy bees [ ] sexually promiscuous space
aliens
[ ] "Manos, the Hands of Fate" [ ] Beavis & Butthead anything
[ ] flat earth theory [ ] government consipiracy
theory
[ ] the veracity of any X-Files plot (see all of the above)
[X] Other: That there is a world wide conspiracy against no-code
technicians for which you're completely clueless about the thread
author's original intent begging for retribution which will never come.

[ ] you considered the following to be reliable reference sources;
[ ] Golden Books' "Exploring Science", printed 1955
[ ] Any program covered by Talk Soup or [ ] SNL News
[ ] Oliver Stone [ ] Hard Copy [ ] Inside Edition
[ ] The National Enquirer [ ] The Sun [ ] NY Post
[ ] The Union Leader [ ] Pravda [ ] OMNI Magazine
[ ] Company Marketing Hype [ ] Microsoft [ ] The Simpsons
[ ] An unidentified, but obviously stupid, person or publication
[ ] Other:

In the future, you may wish to;

[ ] do not delete the "welcome to the list" message you recieved
when you joined
[ ] allow boring and useless threads to die
[ ] remember that not all newsreaders are threaded
[ ] recall that there are academic and commercial users on the net
[ ] remember that the Internet is multinational
[X] consider that others may know more about certain subjects than you
[X] exercise some humility
[X] be careful of where you are crossposting to
[ ] 'lurk' without posting for a few days to learn the forum of a group

[X] get used to being mocked
[X] stop volunteering for Armed Forces pharmaceutical experiments

I would like like to suggest that, for the common good, you;

[X] wait at least two hours before responding to another post
[ ] read the FAQ (frequently asked questions) list for the group
[ ] learn to use the 'kill' command to eliminate erroneous posts
[X] post only to alt.dev.null
[ ] selectively respond to threads after reading all new messages in
that thread
[ ] voluntarily apologize in a brief post
[ ] no longer contribute to this newsgroup/mailing list
[ ] no longer contribute to any newsgroup/mailing list
[ ] no longer contribute to the gene pool
[X] look into the possibility of medication for the above problems
[X] familiarize yourself with the history of the Internet
[X] familiarize yourself with the concept of:
[ ] patience [ ] tolerance [ ] caution
[X] common courtesy [ ] succinctness
[ ] vocabulary [ ] higher brain functions
[ ] Other:
[ ] Re-install what ever failed mental operating system
you are currently running on

Please save this message and review it occasionally to determine
your progress toward being;

[X] a useful member of Internet society
[ ] a less annoying member of Internet society
[ ] a human being
[ ] a fully-functional human being
[ ] a tolerable poster
[ ] integrated into humanity
[ ] re-integrated into the wild
[ ] Other:

Thank you for taking the time to read this form flame.

2396. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 04:22:42 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128572562.673545.84320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

You know... this is getting humorous just watching the dingbats reply...

When did I ever say I was an Elitist? Sure my callsign spells it out but seriously, was I ever condecending upon the no-code technicians and like out there? In fact, my first ticket was a no-code tech but I evolved. Maybe one day, you can too. As someone once said, "The secret is to bang the rocks."

As for adaptation, I'll leave this one alone as originally this was posted to rec.radio.swap until someone crossposted it. I will say that unless you're under the age of 35 with a license class higher than Tech+, you have absolutely no idea what adaptation is. Unless you've operated on DXpeditions on remote islands working DXCC on batteries and solar panels, you have no idea what adaptation is. Until you've operated within a disaster zone where absolutely all communication and power is disabled and you're trying to get ahold of someone because your signifigant other is in a life or death situation using a spark gap oscillator from parts of your car, you have no idea what adaptation is. Kiss my ass.

2397. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 04:28:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <bLKdnVQS6qd2BdneRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
>>>rights
>>>
>>>so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
>>>
>>
>>OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
>>ever denied you have the same rights?
>
>
> by your remarks plain and simple
>

Ok, another chance, please quote any remarks I have made that would deny
you your rights.

2398. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 04:44:47 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128573887.618511.163980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I will note that I have learned and continue to thank the Elmers out there who are above 50 years in age. (as not to offend the older generations)

Keep fisting ;-)

73 de Don - KL7EET

2399. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 04:48:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128574132.773809.305060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
> >>>>>rights
> >>>>>
> >>>>>so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
> >>>>ever denied you have the same rights?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>by your remarks plain and simple
> >>>
> >>
> >>Ok, another chance, please quote any remarks I have made that would deny
> >>you your rights.
> >
> >
> >
> > your words
> > form craftmen and subcontractors quote
> >
> > I think the word "intellectual" is streatching it quite a bit.
> >
> > unquote
> >
> > denieling that I have the same proerty rights as everyone else
>
> That in no way denies you of any 'proerty' (whatever the hell that is)
> or any other rights. Dumber than a bowl of dirt.

sure does as does your remark questioning my humanity
cut

2400. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 04:53:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128574415.119516.130660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfanning@msn.com wrote:
> You know... this is getting humorous just watching the dingbats
> reply...
>
> When did I ever say I was an Elitist?

never as Far as I know Eliyists are seldom so honest as to say so

> Sure my callsign spells it out
> but seriously,

What call sign?

>was I ever condecending upon the no-code technicians and
> like out there?

ceratinly have further up in this very thread

> In fact, my first ticket was a no-code tech but I
> evolved. Maybe one day, you can too. As someone once said, "The
> secret is to bang the rocks."
>
> As for adaptation, I'll leave this one alone as originally this was
> posted to rec.radio.swap until someone crossposted it. I will say that
> unless you're under the age of 35 with a license class higher than
> Tech+, you have absolutely no idea what adaptation is.

more of your Elitist crap, which the ARS doesn't need so again if you
were telling the turth about leaving do hurry off

> Unless you've
> operated on DXpeditions on remote islands working DXCC on batteries and
> solar panels, you have no idea what adaptation is. Until you've
> operated within a disaster zone where absolutely all communication and
> power is disabled and you're trying to get ahold of someone because
> your signifigant other is in a life or death situation using a spark
> gap oscillator from parts of your car, you have no idea what adaptation
> is. Kiss my ass.

no thanks we have all heard enough of the Bullshit of the Elite

2401. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 05:19:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128575943.602970.15300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
cut

> Oh, I don't think it's a matter of being "insecure", Dave...It's
> just that Mark is all about being all the things he accuses others
> of...

nope I am not a homophobic hate monger like yourself

>
> As I specified, Mark has accused me of being
> "obsessive-compulsive" and "ready to pounce on my every word" in RRAP
> replies...
>
> However HE is the one who needs multiple screen names to defeat
> Google's posting limits in order to get his multiple-multiple responses
> in in one day.
>
> > He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.
>
> No doubt. I never see "KB9RQZ" in any of the activity reports in
> any of the magazines. He alleges to have been a second operator at a
> couple of Field Day activities, and I have no doubt that that pretty
> much summates his "operating experience".

back to your elist bullshit anyone different than you isn't a ham

grow up please
>
> > He is ashamed of his life, and over compensates by literally begging for
> > attention here on USENET.
>
> He should be ashamed. He'a a pitiful liar. I say pitiful since
> he can't even lie effectively, then admits to being a liar on top of
> it. His "I am a Colonel" story was classic, but then his insistence
> that he's not a mental health patient followed-up by his admissions of
> having been in the system are a hoot.
>
> He's blatantly stated he'd teach his children to lie. That in and
> of itself qualifies him for a castration before he can procreate any
> further. I say "further" because he has, in the past, made allusion to
> an ex-wife who was "stalking" him for child support...No doubt another

> of his failures. I am divorced but no one ever had to "stalk" me to
> make good on my obligations to my daughter and son.

at the propper yes I will theach them to lie again you refuse to accept
that people can have different views than yourself

you also show yourself to be of the same ilk as the Nazi's

>
> > That is like jumping into a pond full of
> > Alligators and wondering why they are chewing you to death. He feels
> > inferior in life as well as in ham radio. As stated.....A pathetic
> > excuse.....etc.
>
> Hear hear.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2402. BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 05:23:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128576184.869318.232050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120229

did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the ISS as
failures

2403. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 05:38:28 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <oc-dnTW4efnJNNneRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
>>>>>rights
>>>>>
>>>>>so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
>>>>ever denied you have the same rights?
>>>
>>>
>>>by your remarks plain and simple
>>>
>>
>>Ok, another chance, please quote any remarks I have made that would deny
>>you your rights.
>
>
>
> your words
> form craftmen and subcontractors quote
>
> I think the word "intellectual" is streatching it quite a bit.
>
> unquote
>
> denieling that I have the same proerty rights as everyone else

That in no way denies you of any 'proerty' (whatever the hell that is)
or any other rights. Dumber than a bowl of dirt.

>
>
> and why don't you even bother to remmebr your words for even a couple

> of hours/
>
> why are you so lazy?

Why are you so stupid?
>

2404. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 07:11:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128582701.380851.36260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120230

nobodys old friend wrote:

> did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the ISS as
> failures

Funny...no one else is saying that.

Seems everyone else is STILL using the shuttle AND the Space Station...Which I had a chance to observe at 05:36CDT Wednesday morning...spectacular. (www.science.nasa.gov) And they intend to do so for many years to come.

Keep trying to "score one", Mark. One day you'll actually do it.

I HAVE read about numerous persons saying that the "mission" has outgrown the shuttle...That the Shuttle, esentially 1970's technology, should be updated...Just like the automakers bring out new model years.

No one ever expected the Shuttle to the "end all" of the manned space program. It's just time to go on to bigger and better.

Heck, Mark...The Shuttle's "younger" than YOU are, yet done far more in it's lifetime than you've done in yours.

Steve, K4YZ

2405. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 07:14:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128582887.094436.162110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > You can't "discuss" something unless you are utilizing the same
> > language, the same grammar, and the same meaning of words.
>
> Unless, of course, it's in Morse Code. Then all communications between
> users of unlike languages becomes crystal clear.

Not crystal, but clear nonetheless. I can communicate far more
information to a Russian, say, in Morse Code than I could in a "chat
room" where there was NO framework for understanding.

Unless you can show differently?

Steve, K4YZ

2406. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 07:23:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128583382.138776.204610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> cut

"cut" = censorship

Why does a guy who whines about "Freedom of Speech" constantly
censor others?

> > Oh, I don't think it's a matter of being "insecure", Dave...It's
> > just that Mark is all about being all the things he accuses others
> > of...
>
> nope I am not a homophobic hate monger like yourself

Keep repeating that to yourself over and over, Mark.

It will make you warm and fuzzy to say it, but it won't be any
more "true".

> > As I specified, Mark has accused me of being
> > "obsessive-compulsive" and "ready to pounce on my every word" in RRAP
> > replies...
> >
> > However HE is the one who needs multiple screen names to defeat
> > Google's posting limits in order to get his multiple-multiple responses
> > in in one day.
> >
> > > He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.
> >
> > No doubt. I never see "KB9RQZ" in any of the activity reports in
> > any of the magazines. He alleges to have been a second operator at a
> > couple of Field Day activities, and I have no doubt that that pretty
> > much summates his "operating experience".
>
> back to your elist bull#### anyone different than you isn't a ham
>
> grow up please

It's all about you allegedly being a ham, Mark.

You claim you are a partticipant, and indeed you have a license,
but you've haven't done a single thing worthwhile in Amatuer Radio.

> > > He is ashamed of his life, and over compensates by literally begging for
> > > attention here on USENET.
> >
> > He should be ashamed. He'a a pitiful liar. I say pitiful since
> > he can't even lie effectively, then admits to being a liar on top of
> > it. His "I am a Colonel" story was classic, but then his insistence
> > that he's not a mental health patient followed-up by his admissions of
> > having been in the system are a hoot.
> >
> > He's blatantly stated he'd teach his children to lie. That in and
> > of itself qualifies him for a castration before he can procreate any
> > further. I say "further" because he has, in the past, made allusion to
> > an ex-wife who was "stalking" him for child support...No doubt another
> > of his failures. I am divorced but no one ever had to "stalk" me to
> > make good on my obligations to my daughter and son.
>
> at the propper yes I will theach them to lie again you refuse to accept
> that people can have different views than yourself

"teach"

Teaching children to lie is not "different views".

It's child abuse.

> you also show yourself to be of the same ilk as the Nazi's

Nope.

YOU do.

They (the Nazis) made a whole "society" based upon lies. You and
your "kids" would have been right at home in the NSDAP. Especially
with your already prevelent use of censorship. Pure lies and
cowardice.

Steve, K4YZ

2407. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 08:30:05 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <124f2$4344e06c$4e0b719$6122@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You don't have ownership rights to the BS you write unless it is
copyrighted.
Ace - WH2T

"an_old_friend of Todd" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128556494.380498.15480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys old friend wrote:
> cut
>>
>> "intellectual" "property"
>>
>> There's nothing of YOURS that even remotely approaches
>> "intellectual property", Mark.
>
> Everything I write is my intellectual property
>
> everything more proof that Stevie does not respect the law
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

2408. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 10:25:06 UTC
From: John P Vassel <heckufaguy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96E7414A55F20heckufaguyhotmailcom@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 120234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

donfanning@msn.com wrote in news:1128571884.983959.3100
@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> As I've pointed out this is only the fucking internet. If this were
> the bands, I'd just come over to kick your ass in person rather than
> subject children to have to listen to it. That's the quality of a good
> operator - Knowing what is appropriate where.
>

Good luck on selling that stuff for your friend/life partner now...
with such attitude, I wouldnt buy a $20 bill from you for 5 bucks..

john

2409. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 11:10:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128597024.872105.100460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120235

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
>
> > did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the > > ISS as failures
>
> Funny...no one else is saying that.

I'd like to see a quote of what was actually said.

Of course those programs have had failures. Heck, Apollo had two
spectacular failures, one of which cost the lives of three astronauts
without ever leaving the ground. But no one with any sense would
describe Apollo, the shuttle or the ISS as "failures" because they did
not reach every goal set for them.
>
> Seems everyone else is STILL using the shuttle AND the Space
> Station...Which I had a chance to observe at 05:36CDT Wednesday
> morning...spectacular. (www.science.nasa.gov) And they intend > to do so for many years
to come.

Not too many, though...
>
> Keep trying to "score one", Mark. One day you'll actually do > it.

You really think so?
>
> I HAVE read about numerous persons saying that the "mission" has
> outgrown the shuttle...That the Shuttle,
> esentially 1970's technology,
> should be updated...

Agreed!

> Just like the automakers bring out new model years.

More like the automakers rethink the basic design.

Remember when most cars were body-on-frame, longitudinal-front-engine,
rear-wheel-drive, with V8s and bias-ply tires? Now most of them are
unibody, transverse-engine, front-wheel-drive, with V6s and inline 4s,
and radial tires.

> No one ever expected the Shuttle to the "end all" of the manned
> space program.

Yes, they did. The Shuttle was supposed to be a "space truck" that
would totally replace and outdate the "spam in a can" one-shot capsule

systems used for Mercury/Gemini/Apollo. But in fact the complexity of the shuttle system and other design features (like having the heatshield tiles exposed for the entire mission) have limited its success and performance.

>It's just time to go on to bigger and better.

I'd say "smaller and smarter".

Of course some of what is said is all about getting funding. Bush wants to go back to the moon, which NASA says will cost $100 billion. Probably double or triple that in real life. Funding such an effort will require convincing a lot of folks that it's worthwhile, and part of that is showing them that the shuttle's time is past and we need new systems. The shuttle is therefore portrayed as "last year's model"

Of course one has to ask why we need to spend $100 billion to get a few folks to the moon, when we couldn't even evacuate two cities effectively here on earth.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2410. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 12:00:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128600043.669817.139290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120236

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >
> > > did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the ISS as failures
> >
> > Funny...no one else is saying that.
>
> I'd like to see a quote of what was actually said.
>
> Of course those programs have had failures. Heck, Apollo had two
> spectacular failures, one of which cost the lives of three astronauts
> without ever leaving the ground. But no one with any sense would
> describe Apollo, the shuttle or the ISS as "failures" because they did
> not reach every goal set for them.

I am sure that some aspect of "A" mission failed, ergo Mark thinks
he can write it off as an "I Win"...If that's what float's his boat,
let him be happy.

> > Seems everyone else is STILL using the shuttle AND the Space
> > Station...Which I had a chance to observe at 05:36CDT Wednesday
> > morning...spectacular. (www.science.nasa.gov) And they intend to do so for many years
to come.
>
> Not too many, though...

ISS is sceheduled to stay manned through 2020-somenthing...

> > Keep trying to "score one", Mark. One day you'll actually do > it.
>
> You really think so?

No...I just said that to be nice.

> > I HAVE read about numerous persons saying that the "mission" has
> > outgrown the shuttle...That the Shuttle,
> > esentially 1970's technology,
> > should be updated...
>
> Agreed!

MY biggest disappointment is that we are as close to Mars as we've
been in centuries, but we don't have a manned mission there yet.

> > Just like the automakers bring out new model years.
>

> More like the automakers rethink the basic design.
>
> Remember when most cars were body-on-frame, longitudinal-front-engine,
> rear-wheel-drive, with V8s and bias-ply tires? Now most of them are
> unibody, transverse-engine, front-wheel-drive, with V6s and inline 4s,
> and radial tires.

And 20 years from now they'll look back at THOSE cars and laugh...

Forward...always forward...

> > No one ever expected the Shuttle to the "end all" of the manned
> > space program.
>
> Yes, they did.

Oh?

They were going to fly the Shuttle and then call it quits after
that?

> The Shuttle was supposed to be a "space truck" that
> would totally replace and outdate the "spam in a can" one-shot capsule
> systems used for Mercury/Gemini/Apollo. But in fact the complexity of
> the shuttle system and other design features (like having the
> heatshield tiles exposed for the entire mission) have limited its
> success and performance.

That doesn't support an "opposition" to what I said...

> >It's just time to go on to bigger and better.
>
> I'd say "smaller and smarter".
>
> Of course some of what is said is all about getting funding. Bush wants
> to go back to the moon, which NASA says will cost $100 billion.
> Probably double or triple that in real life. Funding such an effort
> will require convincing a lot of folks that it's worthwhile, and part
> of that is showing them that the shuttle's time is past and we need new
> systems. The shuttle is therefore portrayed as "last year's model"
>
> Of course one has to ask why we need to spend $100 billion to get a few
> folks to the moon, when we couldn't even evacuate two cities
> effectively here on earth.

Several issues there, Jim.

First of all, much of the radio and TV media had been talking
about the storm swinging wide and not causing "that much" damage. That
was misleading and I am sure "reassured" the local populace that this
was rideable.

Secondly, the topography is such that moving mass numbers of folks
OUT of NO in a hurry is a gridlock nightmare in and of itself.

Third, the residents themselves have to swallow some culpability for CHOOSING to live on a below-sea level chunk of real estate in a region known for hurricaines and high sea states.

If we become so presumptuous as to assume the government can bail us out of each and every conceiveable disaster, there will be precious little money left for anything else.

Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession alone that have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down" effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and deep felt.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2411. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 12:11:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128600690.844756.158790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > > >
> > > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > > for being OT.
> > >
> > > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > > for being off topic?
> >
> > Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> > usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> > air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> > newsgroup.
>
> Ah, yes. Lennie "asks for it." Typical stuff from abusers.

He doesn't "ask for it"...He just get's in kind what he delivers.

> > The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> > "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> > perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.
>
> Strange. I most often read about him being off-topic.

I am sure your scope or reference is a bit myoptic.

> > > > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> > > >
> > > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> > >
> > > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
> >
> > Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> > parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> > doubt due to yet another of his "trips".
>
> How would you know? Are you watching and following Len?

Nope, but that's HIS "standard response".

> > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > add water and shake.
>
> Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
> in microwave communications.

You need to re-orient yourself, Brian...

That was "microwave communications" in the FIFTIES, which bore
absolutely NO resemblence with current "microwave communications".

> Except for perhaps West Point, Army
> training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
> installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.

Uh huh...And the "equipment" Lennie was "trained" on required a
walk-in closet to facilitate.

> His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> both.

He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
"design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.

His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to
Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.

> That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> Very inciteful, that Len.

That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
"contributions" to "radio"

> > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
>
> It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> waste. Why do they even bother?

Huh? Is Mark Morgan ghost writing for you now?

> > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > itme.
>
> Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> tooey.

It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.

Steve, K4YZ

2412. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 12:43:36 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <94f3b$43451bd6$4e0d883$6950@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1128505606.463754.37260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> Dr.Ace wrote:
>> > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1128381292.606085.32420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > >
>> >
>> > Snipped
>> >
> Mark's callsign is K B 9 R Q Z. He is an NCT. He's spent
> countless months telling us of his "disability" and intent to use the
> American's with Disabilites Act to "sue" anyone/everyone associated
> with his failure to get a coded license.
>
> BTW, Mark, WHAT "life" outside of Amateur Radio?
>
> You've now created at least three screen names that I count as
> "active" in order to get around Google's "daily posting limit" so you
> can keep the list loaded with your rhetoric.
>
> Doesn't sound like much of a life to me..
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I am surprised Mark could even pass the No Code Tech test.
It's a good thing for him it wasn't a spelling and grammar test.
Ace - WH2T

2413. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 12:52:23 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <21003$43451de5$4e0d883$7007@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message news:...
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128464081.602303.233730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> an_old_faggot wrote:
>>> Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
>>> > When are you planning to learn basic writing and spelling skills?
>>>
>>> when are you going to accept that the newsgruop isn't worth the effort
>>> to proof read and such
>>
>> Any old excuse will do, eh, retard?
>>
>>> esp when responding to porr little homophobe so broken up that anyone
>>> he does like is allowed to even exist
>>
>> I could care less how many assholes you sodomize and how many dicks you
>> suck, Marky. Slapping your ignorant ass around is entertainment. You
>> are about as insecure and defensive as it comes. Hopefully, you'll die
>> of AIDS real soon and make the world a better place.
>>
>
> LOL !
>
I'm still LOL.
```

2414. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 12:52:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128603148.513944.131730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > More excuses for your inability to learn? Why would anybody want an old
> > radio like the FT 530 to begin with. You should read and learn about
> > today's much better engineered radios.
>
> well someone might want a cheap radio with HF access as part of it
>
> Indeed Now is not the time to dumping such after all we all will have
> real and fair access to HF in a few months

Yes, you want the easy way, "Colonel," instead of working for it. You
obviously don't get out much.

2415. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 12:56:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128603389.128127.17130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> An this, in a nutshell, is the reason Amateur Radio is in peril of loosing

"loosing?" Oh, the irony, Lardass

You are "losing" everytime you open your fat cocksucker.

> spectrum today.

Wrong, stupid. Mentally ill retards like you who can't meet the
requirements and want affirmative action for it are the reason it's
going down the toilet.

> You manage to personify the problem precisely.

PKB.

> Keep up the great work!

Wipe the drool off your chin and quit breathing through your mouth, you
carnivorous jackass.

> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128551937.825582.307900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > More excuses for your inability to learn? Why would anybody want an old
> > radio like the FT 530 to begin with. You should read and learn about
> > today's much better engineered radios.
> >

2416. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 12:58:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128603534.127143.213260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Trying to troll the truck stop restrooms again, Lloyd Davies?

2417. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:00:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128603617.338708.223790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
> > negative, sort of like your I.Q.
> >
> <idiocy flushed>

Your idiocy knows no bounds, pretend pilot's license boy. Smells like
Toadie under one of his sockpuppets.....

2418. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:01:40 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <77d78$43452012$4e0d883$7051@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan,
He's not just a world class moron in your book.
He's a world class moron in my book too.
And I suspect he is in most people's.
Ace - WH2T

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:D9T0f.9678$QE1.4118@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> See what I mean about this 'twit' called "an old friend" ?
>
> He interjects into any and all topics. Then gets it all turned way off
> the original topic, and brings the discussion to 'him', his 'views', his
> 'rights', his 'wants', his 'needs'.....Then acts insulted when someone
> has the audacity to actually challenge him.
>
> A world class moron in my book.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128523170.777069.316050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> > > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy
>> > > > equal
>> > > > of the rest of us

2419. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:02:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128603754.382410.309090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

cantakerous wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128550217.158490.100100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
> negative, sort of like your I.Q.
> 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
>
> Wrong, Roger. "couldn't care less" is NOT a double negative.

Wrong Lardass, if you'd have actually completed high school instead of
getting that G.E.D. several years later you'd know it was correct.
Now, tell us why your mommy wanted to smother you after she shit you
out and the OB-GYN stopped her...

2420. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:03:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128603822.812698.193700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Says the twat who always "cuts".....go have sex with your dog,
Marky.....

2421. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:05:22 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128603922.873790.137750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Single-celled organisms out score you in IQ tests.

2422. Re: Roger's the problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:07:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128604068.039726.188380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cantakerous Poor Lardass wrote:
><nothing of importance>

Here's some of "cantankerous" posts:

Who's Roger's Bitch John Shitball gives advice on writing but manages
to fuck up the English used in the reply, and Shitball, you did it all
on "your" own in
<1127565751.479627.319370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

"Do be so kind as to write you own bullshit"

Do be so kind as to write in English.

Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

2423. Re: Roger's the problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:09:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128604195.657307.165190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Answering yourself again, Davies? You have to because you have no
friends other than your dalek dolls (a 32 year old who still plays Dr.
Who and Star Trek, LOL!!!!) and your two dozen sock puppets.

2424. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:12:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128604325.922356.177530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120250

Awww, Toadie, you won't get any new dates being that way.....The Commander would even spring for dinner and a movie if you'd talk nice to him. FAGGOT!

2425. Re: Anyone up for a SKED on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:14:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128604467.503038.251650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120251

Way to go, you fucking imbecile. You missed the point only by a few
parsecs.

2426. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:17:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128604663.205706.3870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120252

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Dan didn't live in Illinois, stupid.
>
> never said Dan did live in Illinois

Then fix your shitty newsreader or get the attribs right.

> but since you bring it up I know from various people in Illinois that
> someone claiming to be K4YZ have conacted almost every ham in the area
> with an accesable email adress about me. also since I have moved UP
> here someone claiming to be K4YZ has tried contacting the local hams
> here

Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.

> Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die

And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
where it comes from.

> Stevie is a Stalker

Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?

2427. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:20:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128604820.045475.224710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Doc,

He's in the Encyclopedia Britannica under "moron." lol!

2428. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 13:25:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128605155.149093.110290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

lol!!!!!!!!!! It's too bad Marky's mother's tubes weren't "snipped"
before his conception.

2429. ME AND DR ACE WH2T HAD A BALL LAST NIGHT!!!

2430. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 16:18:36 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4ijak1tequ0bmdm8k8cg0ra90krntjml4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 120256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2005 22:19:03 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>you also show yourself to be of the same ilk as the Nazi's

Well, this thread's over.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2431. Re: ME AND DR ACE WH2T HAD A BALL LAST NIGHT!!!

2432. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 20:51:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <d7g1f.10349$QE1.1663@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1128583382.138776.204610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> cut
>
> "cut" = censorship
>
> Why does a guy who whines about "Freedom of Speech" constantly
> censor others?
>
>> > Oh, I don't think it's a matter of being "insecure", Dave...It's
>> > just that Mark is all about being all the things he accuses others
>> > of...
>>
>> nope I am not a homophobic hate monger like yourself
>
> Keep repeating that to yourself over and over, Mark.
>
> It will make you warm and fuzzy to say it, but it won't be any
> more "true".
>
>> > As I specified, Mark has accused me of being
>> > "obsessive-compulsive" and "ready to pounce on my every word" in RRAP
>> > replies...
>> >
>> > However HE is the one who needs multiple screen names to defeat
>> > Google's posting limits in order to get his multiple-multiple responses
>> > in in one day.
>> >
>> > > He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.
>> >
>> > No doubt. I never see "KB9RQZ" in any of the activity reports in
>> > any of the magazines. He alleges to have been a second operator at a
>> > couple of Field Day activities, and I have no doubt that that pretty
>> > much summates his "operating experience".
>>
>> back to your elist bull#### anyone different than you isn't a ham
>>

>> grow up please
>
> It's all about you allegedly being a ham, Mark.
>
> You claim you are a partticipant, and indeed you have a license,
> but you've haven't done a single thing worthwhile in Amatuer Radio.
>
>> > > He is ashamed of his life, and over compensates by literally begging
>> > > for
>> > > attention here on USENET.
>> >
>> > He should be ashamed. He'a a pitiful liar. I say pitiful since
>> > he can't even lie effectively, then admits to being a liar on top of
>> > it. His "I am a Colonel" story was classic, but then his insistence
>> > that he's not a mental health patient followed-up by his admissions of
>> > having been in the system are a hoot.
>> >
>> > He's blatantly stated he'd teach his children to lie. That in and
>> > of itself qualifies him for a castration before he can procreate any
>> > further. I say "further" because he has, in the past, made allusion to
>> > an ex-wife who was "stalking" him for child support...No doubt another
>> > of his failures. I am divorced but no one ever had to "stalk" me to
>> > make good on my obligations to my daughter and son.
>>
>> at the propper yes I will theach them to lie again you refuse to accept
>> that people can have different views than yourself
>
> "teach"
>
> Teaching children to lie is not "different views".
>
> It's child abuse.
>
>> you also show yourself to be of the same ilk as the Nazi's
>
> Nope.
>
> YOU do.
>
> They (the Nazis) made a whole "society" based upon lies. You and
> your "kids" would have been right at home in the NSDAP. Especially
> with your already prevelent use of censorship. Pure lies and
> cowardice.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I take abuse of Children quite seriously. Way beyond the pale, so to
speak...I think it is time to call the Social Service types in his area.
Put him on the radar at least.

Dan/W4NTI

2433. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 20:54:58 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <V7g1f.4674$Ra.150@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for confirming. Nothing else need to be said.

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128603389.128127.17130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> An this, in a nutshell, is the reason Amateur Radio is in peril of
>> loosing
>
> "loosing?" Oh, the irony, Lardass
>
> You are "losing" everytime you open your fat cocksucker.
>
>> spectrum today.
>
> Wrong, stupid. Mentally ill retards like you who can't meet the
> requirements and want affirmative action for it are the reason it's
> going down the toilet.
>
>> You manage to personify the problem precisely.
>
> PKB.
>
>> Keep up the great work!
>
> Wipe the drool off your chin and quit breathing through your mouth, you
> carnivorous jackass.
>
>
>
>> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128551937.825582.307900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > More excuses for your inability to learn? Why would anybody want an old
>> > radio like the FT 530 to begin with. You should read and learn about
>> > today's much better engineered radios.
>> >
>

2434. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 21:02:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Fhg1f.9830$vw6.7145@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:oeGdnQyS1tNK6dneRVn-rw@comcast.com...

> For someone who is so concerned about Baxter's good name and potential
> slander thereof, you seem totally unconcerned about applying your own
> double standard and slandering anyone who does not bow down to your
> mistaken interpretations of other's posts.
>
> However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point in
> conversing with you at this time.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

I believe he has just proved what a paranoid skitzoid he is.

Dan/W4NTI

2435. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 21:04:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jjg1f.9831$vw6.1869@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

what are you smoking bitch?

no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
>
> However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point in
> conversing with you at this time.

see to it then

nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
yourself
>

Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for an
attitude adjustment.

Dan/W4NTI

2436. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 21:07:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <amg1f.9835$vw6.4024@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:xuidnQZ6T_RjFtneRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
>> an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
>>> rights
>>>
>>> so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
>>>
>>
>> OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
>> ever denied you have the same rights?
>
> Don't bother. Everyone who has ever disagreed with him or pointed out his
> errors or used normal English words such as "class" in exactly the same
> manner as the FCC uses it is automatically denying him his rights and/or
> denigrating him. Only his definitions can be considered correct or he is
> being denied his rights.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I'll guarantee ya'll one thing.....Mark has never been in any form of Basic Training. And if he was he was discharged way before the first 10 days.

A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.

Dan/W4NTI

2437. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 21:09:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <iog1f.9841$vw6.7066@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:oc-dnTW4efnJNNneRVn-gw@gbronline.com...

This fight reminds me of a dog fight. Someone pulls out a chuck of
Hamburger and the dog moves his gaze. Next.

Dan/W4NTI

2438. Re: PAQSO Party this weekend!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 21:14:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Bsg1f.9844$vw6.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120264

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:waSdnTVlJrSLE9neRVn-jA@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:jY-dnYs80uWVHNneRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
>> Just in case any of you forgot, the Pennsylvania QSO party is this
>> weekend. We bill ourselves as "The fun party" for our low pressure and
>> accommodating structure.
>>
>> Amateur radio newsline has an interview on line at:
>>
>> http://www.arnewsline.org/
>>
>> click on the read more link and go down the page.
>>
>> I'll be on as W3GA, Centre County.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
> Looking forward to it and hopefully can squeeze in some participation. PA
> is a pretty easy contact from here.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
Good luck to you. I'm gonna be there too. Gotta work out my new beam you
know. Will enter SOLP as usual.

When I was in Ohio I tried on the PAQP for the first time. Must have been
about 1999 or 2000. It was a LOT easier to work them than it is from
here.... hi .

Dan/W4NTI

2439. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 21:17:55 UTC
From: THE <hame@thehamesorgiz.org>
Message-ID: <di4463$9na$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K1MAN operations are completely legal, despite the
sociopathic ravings of a small vocal group of hams who
claim he is doing something illegal.

2440. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 21:51:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128635505.268468.271700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120266

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > Of course those programs have had failures.
> > Heck, Apollo had two
> > spectacular failures, one of which cost the lives of three astronauts
> > without ever leaving the ground. But no one with any sense would
> > describe Apollo, the shuttle or the ISS as "failures" because they did
> > not reach every goal set for them.
>
> I am sure that some aspect of "A" mission failed, ergo
> Mark thinks
> he can write it off as an "I Win"...If that's what float's his > boat, let him be happy.
>
> > > Seems everyone else is STILL using the shuttle AND the Space
> > > Station...Which I had a chance to observe at 05:36CDT
> > > Wednesday
> > > morning...spectacular. (www.science.nasa.gov) And they intend to do so for many years
to come.
> >
> > Not too many, though...
>
> ISS is sceheduled to stay manned through 2020-somenthing...

But not the shuttle. In fact it's grounded - again.

> > > I HAVE read about numerous persons saying that
> > > the "mission" has
> > > outgrown the shuttle...That the Shuttle,
> > > esentially 1970's technology,
> > > should be updated...
> >
> > Agreed!
>
> MY biggest disappointment is that we are as close to Mars
> as we've
> been in centuries, but we don't have a manned mission there yet.

???

Not sure what you mean, Steve.

Going to Mars is at least 100 times more difficult than going to the
moon. Mars never gets closer than 30 million miles - more than 100
times farther away than the moon. A Mars mission would be years long

rather than a week or two. Martian gravity and atmosphere make the
problems even worse.

If it costs $100-200 billion or more to go to the moon, what would Mars
cost?

> > > Just like the automakers bring out new model years.
> >
> > More like the automakers rethink the basic design.
> >
> > Remember when most cars were body-on-frame, longitudinal-front-engine,
> > rear-wheel-drive, with V8s and bias-ply tires? Now most of them are
> > unibody, transverse-engine, front-wheel-drive, with V6s and inline 4s,
> > and radial tires.
>
> And 20 years from now they'll look back at THOSE cars and laugh...

"THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...

Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
(almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
1970s.

Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy problems? The
White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more than half
a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of what needs
to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?

> Forward...always forward...

The question is: which way is "forward"? Should we all drive SUVs?

>
> > > No one ever expected the Shuttle to the "end all" of the manned
> > > space program.
> >
> > Yes, they did.
>
> Oh?

Yes.
>
> They were going to fly the Shuttle and then call it quits after
> that?

No. They said that the future of space flight was in reusable craft
rather than one-use rockets. Turns out the reusables have not solved
the problems.
>
> > The Shuttle was supposed to be a "space truck" that
> > would totally replace and outdate the "spam in a can"
> > one-shot capsule

> > systems used for Mercury/Gemini/Apollo. But in fact
> > the complexity of
> > the shuttle system and other design features (like having the
> > heatshield tiles exposed for the entire mission) have limited its
> > success and performance.
>
> That doesn't support an "opposition" to what I said...

Yes, it does. The "old" one-shot rockets are almost certainly the key
to the "way forward"...
>
> > >It's just time to go on to bigger and better.
> >
> > I'd say "smaller and smarter".
> >
> > Of course some of what is said is all about getting funding. Bush wants
> > to go back to the moon, which NASA says will cost $100 billion.
> > Probably double or triple that in real life. Funding such an effort
> > will require convincing a lot of folks that it's worthwhile, and part
> > of that is showing them that the shuttle's time is past and we need new
> > systems. The shuttle is therefore portrayed as "last year's model"
> >
> > Of course one has to ask why we need to spend $100 billion to get a few
> > folks to the moon, when we couldn't even evacuate two cities
> > effectively here on earth.
>
> Several issues there, Jim.
>
> First of all, much of the radio and TV media had been talking
> about the storm swinging wide and not causing "that much"
> damage.

Not the TV and radio I saw!

> That
> was misleading and I am sure "reassured" the local populace
> that this was rideable.

Yet the NWS said the opposite.
>
> Secondly, the topography is such that moving mass numbers of folks
> OUT of NO in a hurry is a gridlock nightmare in and of itself.

All the more reason to get out early.

And what about Houston? Why was that evacuation such a fiasco? You
can't blame it on the Dems...
>
> Third, the residents themselves have to swallow some culpability
> for CHOOSING to live on a below-sea level chunk of real estate > in a region known for
hurricaines and high sea states.

Agreed - and so can the various levels of govt. for allowing and encouraging them to live there and build more. The govt. builds the levees and issues the building permits.

> If we become so presumptuous as to assume the government can
> bail
> us out of each and every conceiveable disaster, there will be
> precious
> little money left for anything else.

I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.

Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into rebuilding than it would take to relocate?
>
> Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession alone that
> have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> deep felt.
>
Can you guarantee that those advances would not have happened if the money had been spent on research in the fields directly affected? IOW, why not simply go after a problem directly?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2441. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:20:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Aqh1f.4071$4h2.1689@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120267

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:

> "THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
>
> Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
> mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
> (almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
> 1970s.

My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge Neon. The 2
liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall but I have
4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the roof of the car.

Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as if we
do have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's use theirs
before we use ours".

> Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy problems? The
> White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more than half
> a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of what needs
> to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?

Well, we aren't going to be driving electrics because of limited range.
The hybrids are quite expensive. The hydrogen-powered car won't be
viable until we can produce hydrogen cheaply. What kind of leadership
would you like to see?

> I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that
> are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.

> Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be
> done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into
> rebuilding than it would take to relocate?

Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare that NOLA
won't be rebuilt?

Dave K8MN

2442. Lloyd Davies, N0VFP confesses: ME AND DR ACE WH2T HAD A BALL LAST NIGHT!!!

2443. Re: ME AND DR ACE WH2T HAD A BALL LAST NIGHT!!!

2444. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:28:33 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotWoger@Glendale.om>
Message-ID: <di48b6qie0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128603754.382410.309090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

cantakerous wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128550217.158490.100100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
> negative, sort of like your I.Q.
> 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
>
> Wrong, Roger. "couldn't care less" is NOT a double negative.

Wrong Lardass, if you'd have actually completed high school instead of
getting that G.E.D. several years later you'd know it was correct.
Now, tell us why your mommy wanted to smother you after she shit you
out and the OB-GYN stopped her...
.

This is a vain attempt to correct a commonly mis-spoken phrase.

Alrighty, for those of you out there who like to use the phrase I could care
less, it's supposed to be I couldn't care less. "I could care less" means
that you actually do care, and the phrase itself holds very little meaning
because you can care less about anything you do already care about. It's
very ambiguous, and doesn't convey how much or how little you care about
something. Still following me? "I couldn't care less" means that you care so
little about something, it's impossible to care even less about it. 99% of
the time, "I couldn't care less" is the phrase that's supposed to be used.
Get me? Good :)

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

2445. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:32:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128637960.930867.311240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not Lloyd wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128603754.382410.309090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> cantakerous wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128550217.158490.100100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
> > negative, sort of like your I.Q.
> > 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
> >
> > Wrong, Roger. "couldn't care less" is NOT a double negative.
>
> Wrong Lardass, if you'd have actually completed high school instead of
> getting that G.E.D. several years later you'd know it was correct.
> Now, tell us why your mommy wanted to smother you after she shit you
> out and the OB-GYN stopped her...
> .
>
> This is a vain attempt <bullshit flushed, get a Manual of Style, stupid>

Of, course it is, you're incapable of little else.

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

2446. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:37:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128638220.620135.127490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> Thanks for confirming.

Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are talking about, ever?

> Nothing else need to be said.

"Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a fucking English-impaired imbecile.

2447. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:46:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128638812.353938.307020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Actually it's:

The American Heritage® Book of English Usage.
A Practical and Authoritative Guide to Contemporary English. 1996.

3. Word Choice: New Uses, Common Confusion, and Constraints

§ 78. could care less / couldn't care less

I could care less! you might say sometime in disgust. You might just as easily have said I couldn't care less and meant the same thing! How can this be? When taken literally, the phrase I could care less means "I care more than I might," rather than "I don't care at all." But the beauty of sarcasm is that it can turn meanings on their head, thus allowing could care less to work as an equivalent for couldn't care less. Because of its sarcasm, could care less is more informal than its negative counterpart and may be open to misinterpretation when used in writing. 1
The phrases cannot but and can but present a similar case of a positive and a negative meaning the same thing. For more on this, see cannot under Grammar.

Source: http://www.bartleby.com/64/C003/078.html

Again, out of many times, sarcasm swoops over the malformed bald head of Lloyd Davies. Taken literally, if one could care less, then one must care at least a little, which is obviously the opposite of what is meant. It is so clearly logical nonsense that to condemn it for being so (as some commentators have done) misses the point. The intent is obviously sarcastic-the speaker is really saying, "As if there was something in the world that I care less about".

2448. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:53:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128639219.211620.97890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Those bars of soap would kill Marky, even through the towels.....

2449. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:54:03 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotWoger@Glendale.om>
Message-ID: <di49s1$110$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Thanks for confirming.

Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are
talking about, ever?

> Nothing else need to be said.

"Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a
fucking English-impaired imbecile.
\\\

--
I HAVE MORE INTELLIGENTS IN MY DICK THEN YOU HAVE IN YOUR WHOLE BODY

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

2450. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 22:55:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B4mdnWv0zIccM9jenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 120277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:jjg1f.9831$vw6.1869@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> what are you smoking bitch?
>
> no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
>>
>> However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point
>> in
>> conversing with you at this time.
>
> see to it then
>
> nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
> yourself
>>
>
> Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for an
> attitude adjustment.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Don't worry about it Dan. He'd never take you up on it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2451. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 23:00:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128639619.430501.223310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not Lloyd wrote:
> > Thanks for confirming.
>
> Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are
> talking about, ever?
>
> > Nothing else need to be said.
>
> "Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a
> fucking English-impaired imbecile.
> \\\
>
>
> --
> I HAVE MORE INTELLIGENTS IN MY DICK THEN YOU HAVE IN YOUR WHOLE BODY

You don't have a dick, Davies, otherwise you wouldn't be a 32 year old
VIRGIN! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!! And, Mongolloyd Davies makes good
sentence! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

--
Lloyd Davies replies in
Message-ID: <20021122223556.06723.00000068@mb-dd.aol.com>:

"I am going to sew you! My lawyer will take leagal actoin!"

2452. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 23:21:18 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <_gi1f.3546$X8.2672@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

If you don't have any substance to your claims, be sure to attack the periphera.

If you were as successful in your comments as you are at dissecting words, you would be achieving something. As it is, you are doing a great job of supporting my argument; which concerns the reason so few folks have any desire to "upgrade" to be among those of your ilk.

Anyway, myself, and my cronies are a member of an elite group called "Pallbearers 'R Us" and we will not only tote your box, but we will be around a lot longer. Hopefully, we will be able to aid in regaining the respect of the Amateur Radio Service that has been lost due to folks like yourself that have discouraged younger, more technical minds from joining the ranks of Amateur Radio.

Funny, I didn't have to shoe a horse to prove that I could drive a car when I first got my driver's license. Guess you better straighten out those folks while you are at it.

Enjoy your miserable life. I will remember you (and your kind) when you are gone. It will be a Charmin moment when I do.

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128638220.620135.127490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> Thanks for confirming.
>
> Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are
> talking about, ever?
>
>> Nothing else need to be said.
>
> "Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a
> fucking English-impaired imbecile.
>

2453. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 23:34:52 UTC
From: THE <hame@thehamesorgiz.org>
Message-ID: <di4c6jalm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K1MAN transmissions are completely legal, and always
have been, despite the lunatic ravings of a few Baxter
haters who make up lies about Baxter.

2454. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-06 23:36:46 UTC
From: THE <hame@thehamesorgiz.org>
Message-ID: <di4ca4$b4j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your name calling and lies do not change the fact that
Baxter is 100% in compliance with all FCC rules &
regulations.

2455. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:01:46 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <KVi1f.403183$x96.276977@attbi_s72>
Source record: 120282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"THE" <hame@thehamesorgiz.org> wrote in message
news:di4c6jalm0@pita.alt.net...
>
> K1MAN transmissions are completely legal, and always
> have been, despite the lunatic ravings of a few Baxter
> haters who make up lies about Baxter.
>

January 29, 2002

Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
RR1 Box 779
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
Re: Amateur Radio license K1MAN

Dear Mr. Baxter:

On May 14, 1999, after numerous complaints about your Amateur station regarding broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to identify, poor signal quality and erratic starting and stopping times, personnel from the Boston office of the Commission monitored your station and attempted to inspect it. There was no one present at your station while it was transmitting on Amateur Service frequencies. The transmissions continued while Commission personnel went to your residence at 1 Long Point Road, but there was no one present there either. Again on May 15 while your station was transmitting, Commission personnel attempted an inspection but there was no one present.

Since the Amateur Radio Service rules require that Amateur stations be under the control of a control operator, and that the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, we requested by letter dated June 25, 1999, that you provide information to the Commission, pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, regarding the operation of your Amateur station. You responded by letter dated July 25, 1999, but your response failed to provide the information we requested. We notified you of that fact by letter dated August 4, 1999, and detailed the inadequacies of your response.

Specifically, you did not provide the name, address or phone number of the control operator for any of the "broadcasts" or "bulletins" such as prerecorded interviews, transmissions of answering machine responses, live interviews, or live, pre-recorded or automated station identifications transmitted by your station. Among other deficiencies of the response, you did not provide dates and times Amateur station K1MAN transmitted without a control operator.

You also stated in your July 25, 1999 reply that you had not received our June 25 letter by certified mail and that our letter had "misrepresented itself as Certified Mail". We reminded you that you had signed the certified receipt for that letter, and we provided you with a copy of that certified receipt.

You responded to our August 4 letter by letter dated August 24, 1999. During our review of the information you provided, your Amateur station ceased operation. As a result, we held our inquiry in abeyance. The U.S. District Court in Maine subsequently dismissed your lawsuit against the Commission, and dismissed your petition for reconsideration of that dismissal as well.

You recently resumed operation of Amateur station K1MAN. In view of that resumption and the resulting complaints we are receiving about deliberate interference, threats against those who do not relinquish frequencies for your use or who would complain, or who have complained, erratic operation, broadcasting, unauthorized tape recording and broadcast of telephone conversations, business use of an Amateur station and lack of station control, we have resumed our inquiry into the operation of K1MAN.
In reviewing your letter dated August 24, 1999, referenced above, and your actions subsequent to coming back on Amateur frequencies, it appears that your understanding of certain Amateur Radio Service rules may be incorrect.

We will outline the apparent misunderstandings below.

Amateur Station Control
With regard to control of an Amateur station, your letter of August 24 indicated that the control device for your station was a "Radio Shack timer", and that the control points for the station were "the main power switch at the transmitter site" and at the location of the control operator, wherever that may be". You further stated that on the dates in question, May 14 and 15, 1999, you were the control operator and were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable modes".

Section 97.7 of the Commission's rules states that "when transmitting, each Amateur station must have a control operator". Section 97.105 states that "the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, regardless of the type of control (emphasis added). Section 97.109 states that "each Amateur station must have at least one control point", and that the control operator must be at the control point when the station is locally controlled or remotely controlled.

Section 97.7 further states that a control operator need not be at the control point if a station is automatically controlled. However, as Section 97.109 also explains, only stations specifically designated in Part 97, such as a space, repeater, beacon or auxiliary station, may be controlled automatically. There is no provision in Part 97 that allows an Amateur station transmitting information bulletins to be automatically controlled.

In summary, your control of the station by a "Radio Shack timer" while you are absent from your Amateur station is a violation of the Commission's Rules. You were not in control of your station on May 14 and 15, 1999 while you were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable

modes". Your statement in your response that "K1MAN has never transmitted during the current license term without a control operator acting in full compliance with rules 97.105 and 97.109 as well as all other applicable FCC rules" is untrue.

Simply put, to comply with Commission rules, you must be at the transmitter, or at the transmitter control point, every moment your station is transmitting, when your station is locally or remotely controlled. If the station is controlled by telecommand from the control point using a radio link, the frequencies used for telecommand must comply with the Section 97.201 requirements for an auxiliary station (may transmit only on the 1.25 m and shorter wavelength bands, except 219-220, 222-222.150, 431-433 and 435-438 MHz segments).

Publishing a Transmitting Schedule & Interference
It appears that you may be under the misconception that by publishing a transmitting schedule of K1MAN, you have certain rights under Commission rules regarding the control operator and frequency sharing requirements that you would not otherwise have.

With regard to the requirement for a control operator, publishing a schedule does not relieve you of that requirement in any way whatsoever. Section 97.113(d) of the Amateur Radio rules states that by publishing a schedule of transmissions at least 30 days in advance, a control operator of a club station may accept compensation for the periods of time when the station is transmitting...information bulletins, providing certain additional conditions are met. Publishing a schedule of proposed transmissions, therefore, relates only to a club station control operator accepting compensation. We note that this is irrelevant to the operation of K1MAN because K1MAN is not a club station.

Simply put, to the extent that you start your transmissions on top of ongoing communications of other amateur service stations, you will be engaging in deliberate interference, in violation of Section 97.101 of the Commission's rules. No amateur service frequency is assigned for the exclusive use of any station. Your publishing an intended transmission schedule does not exempt you from that rule.

Threats to Complainants and Other Users
Your sending "Felony Complaint Affidavits" to other Amateur Service licensees who complain or intend to complain of your operation, or who do not relinquish frequencies to you, was the subject of a Warning letter to you dated December 11, 2001. You have also been warned about such "Felony Complaint Affidavits" by the United States Attorney in Maine.

The Commission will view any threats made by telephone, through the U.S. Mail or on radio frequencies to Amateur Radio licensees who file complaints with the Commission, or who do not relinquish frequencies for your use, as very serious.

Broadcasting
We also note that on your web site and in your radio transmissions, you

refer to your transmissions variously as "broadcasts, programs, talk shows, bulletins, pre-recorded interviews" and so forth. We have received complaints that you have broadcast comedy shows and commercial messages. We caution you that Section 97.113(b) of the Amateur service rules prohibits amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting. Certain one-way transmissions, such as information bulletins, are allowed as specified in the rules.

Tape Recording and Broadcasting Telephone Conversations
We have received and have under review complaints that you have tape-recorded telephone conversations without the consent of the recorded party and that you have broadcast such tape recordings. We caution you that such recording without consent may be in violation of state or federal law. We will contact you under separate cover regarding those complaints.

In summary, it is very important for you to understand that we will review all valid complaints concerning the operation of your Amateur station, and that Commission personnel will again inspect your station. The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed.

2456. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:02:23 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <jWi1f.406098$_o.370685@attbi_s71>
Source record: 120283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"THE" <hame@thehamesorgiz.org> wrote in message
news:di4ca4$b4j$0@pita.alt.net...
> Your name calling and lies do not change the fact that
> Baxter is 100% in compliance with all FCC rules &
> regulations.

January 29, 2002

Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
RR1 Box 779
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
Re: Amateur Radio license K1MAN

Dear Mr. Baxter:

On May 14, 1999, after numerous complaints about your Amateur station regarding broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to identify, poor signal quality and erratic starting and stopping times, personnel from the Boston office of the Commission monitored your station and attempted to inspect it. There was no one present at your station while it was transmitting on Amateur Service frequencies. The transmissions continued while Commission personnel went to your residence at 1 Long Point Road, but there was no one present there either. Again on May 15 while your station was transmitting, Commission personnel attempted an inspection but there was no one present.

Since the Amateur Radio Service rules require that Amateur stations be under the control of a control operator, and that the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, we requested by letter dated June 25, 1999, that you provide information to the Commission, pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, regarding the operation of your Amateur station. You responded by letter dated July 25, 1999, but your response failed to provide the information we requested. We notified you of that fact by letter dated August 4, 1999, and detailed the inadequacies of your response.

Specifically, you did not provide the name, address or phone number of the control operator for any of the "broadcasts" or "bulletins" such as prerecorded interviews, transmissions of answering machine responses, live interviews, or live, pre-recorded or automated station identifications transmitted by your station. Among other deficiencies of the response, you did not provide dates and times Amateur station K1MAN transmitted without a control operator.

You also stated in your July 25, 1999 reply that you had not received our June 25 letter by certified mail and that our letter had "misrepresented itself as Certified Mail". We reminded you that you had signed the certified receipt for that letter, and we provided you with a copy of that certified receipt.

You responded to our August 4 letter by letter dated August 24, 1999. During our review of the information you provided, your Amateur station ceased operation. As a result, we held our inquiry in abeyance. The U.S. District Court in Maine subsequently dismissed your lawsuit against the Commission, and dismissed your petition for reconsideration of that dismissal as well.

You recently resumed operation of Amateur station K1MAN. In view of that resumption and the resulting complaints we are receiving about deliberate interference, threats against those who do not relinquish frequencies for your use or who would complain, or who have complained, erratic operation, broadcasting, unauthorized tape recording and broadcast of telephone conversations, business use of an Amateur station and lack of station control, we have resumed our inquiry into the operation of K1MAN.
In reviewing your letter dated August 24, 1999, referenced above, and your actions subsequent to coming back on Amateur frequencies, it appears that your understanding of certain Amateur Radio Service rules may be incorrect.

We will outline the apparent misunderstandings below.

Amateur Station Control
With regard to control of an Amateur station, your letter of August 24 indicated that the control device for your station was a "Radio Shack timer", and that the control points for the station were "the main power switch at the transmitter site" and at the location of the control operator, wherever that may be". You further stated that on the dates in question, May 14 and 15, 1999, you were the control operator and were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable modes".

Section 97.7 of the Commission's rules states that "when transmitting, each Amateur station must have a control operator". Section 97.105 states that "the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, regardless of the type of control (emphasis added). Section 97.109 states that "each Amateur station must have at least one control point", and that the control operator must be at the control point when the station is locally controlled or remotely controlled.

Section 97.7 further states that a control operator need not be at the control point if a station is automatically controlled. However, as Section 97.109 also explains, only stations specifically designated in Part 97, such as a space, repeater, beacon or auxiliary station, may be controlled automatically. There is no provision in Part 97 that allows an Amateur station transmitting information bulletins to be automatically controlled.

In summary, your control of the station by a "Radio Shack timer" while you are absent from your Amateur station is a violation of the Commission's Rules. You were not in control of your station on May 14 and 15, 1999 while you were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable

modes". Your statement in your response that "K1MAN has never transmitted during the current license term without a control operator acting in full compliance with rules 97.105 and 97.109 as well as all other applicable FCC rules" is untrue.

Simply put, to comply with Commission rules, you must be at the transmitter, or at the transmitter control point, every moment your station is transmitting, when your station is locally or remotely controlled. If the station is controlled by telecommand from the control point using a radio link, the frequencies used for telecommand must comply with the Section 97.201 requirements for an auxiliary station (may transmit only on the 1.25 m and shorter wavelength bands, except 219-220, 222-222.150, 431-433 and 435-438 MHz segments).

Publishing a Transmitting Schedule & Interference
It appears that you may be under the misconception that by publishing a transmitting schedule of K1MAN, you have certain rights under Commission rules regarding the control operator and frequency sharing requirements that you would not otherwise have.

With regard to the requirement for a control operator, publishing a schedule does not relieve you of that requirement in any way whatsoever. Section 97.113(d) of the Amateur Radio rules states that by publishing a schedule of transmissions at least 30 days in advance, a control operator of a club station may accept compensation for the periods of time when the station is transmitting...information bulletins, providing certain additional conditions are met. Publishing a schedule of proposed transmissions, therefore, relates only to a club station control operator accepting compensation. We note that this is irrelevant to the operation of K1MAN because K1MAN is not a club station.

Simply put, to the extent that you start your transmissions on top of ongoing communications of other amateur service stations, you will be engaging in deliberate interference, in violation of Section 97.101 of the Commission's rules. No amateur service frequency is assigned for the exclusive use of any station. Your publishing an intended transmission schedule does not exempt you from that rule.

Threats to Complainants and Other Users
Your sending "Felony Complaint Affidavits" to other Amateur Service licensees who complain or intend to complain of your operation, or who do not relinquish frequencies to you, was the subject of a Warning letter to you dated December 11, 2001. You have also been warned about such "Felony Complaint Affidavits" by the United States Attorney in Maine.

The Commission will view any threats made by telephone, through the U.S. Mail or on radio frequencies to Amateur Radio licensees who file complaints with the Commission, or who do not relinquish frequencies for your use, as very serious.

Broadcasting
We also note that on your web site and in your radio transmissions, you

refer to your transmissions variously as "broadcasts, programs, talk shows, bulletins, pre-recorded interviews" and so forth. We have received complaints that you have broadcast comedy shows and commercial messages. We caution you that Section 97.113(b) of the Amateur service rules prohibits amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting. Certain one-way transmissions, such as information bulletins, are allowed as specified in the rules.

Tape Recording and Broadcasting Telephone Conversations
We have received and have under review complaints that you have tape-recorded telephone conversations without the consent of the recorded party and that you have broadcast such tape recordings. We caution you that such recording without consent may be in violation of state or federal law. We will contact you under separate cover regarding those complaints.

In summary, it is very important for you to understand that we will review all valid complaints concerning the operation of your Amateur station, and that Commission personnel will again inspect your station. The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed.

2457. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:05:13 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <ZYi1f.406099$_o.264514@attbi_s71>
Source record: 120285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"THE" <hame@thehamesorgiz.org> wrote in message
news:di4463$9na$0@pita.alt.net...
> K1MAN operations are completely legal, despite the
> sociopathic ravings of a small vocal group of hams who
> claim he is doing something illegal.

January 29, 2002

Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
RR1 Box 779
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
Re: Amateur Radio license K1MAN

Dear Mr. Baxter:

On May 14, 1999, after numerous complaints about your Amateur station regarding broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to identify, poor signal quality and erratic starting and stopping times, personnel from the Boston office of the Commission monitored your station and attempted to inspect it. There was no one present at your station while it was transmitting on Amateur Service frequencies. The transmissions continued while Commission personnel went to your residence at 1 Long Point Road, but there was no one present there either. Again on May 15 while your station was transmitting, Commission personnel attempted an inspection but there was no one present.

Since the Amateur Radio Service rules require that Amateur stations be under the control of a control operator, and that the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, we requested by letter dated June 25, 1999, that you provide information to the Commission, pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, regarding the operation of your Amateur station. You responded by letter dated July 25, 1999, but your response failed to provide the information we requested. We notified you of that fact by letter dated August 4, 1999, and detailed the inadequacies of your response.

Specifically, you did not provide the name, address or phone number of the control operator for any of the "broadcasts" or "bulletins" such as prerecorded interviews, transmissions of answering machine responses, live interviews, or live, pre-recorded or automated station identifications transmitted by your station. Among other deficiencies of the response, you did not provide dates and times Amateur station K1MAN transmitted without a control operator.

You also stated in your July 25, 1999 reply that you had not received our June 25 letter by certified mail and that our letter had "misrepresented itself as Certified Mail". We reminded you that you had signed the certified receipt for that letter, and we provided you with a copy of that certified receipt.

You responded to our August 4 letter by letter dated August 24, 1999. During our review of the information you provided, your Amateur station ceased operation. As a result, we held our inquiry in abeyance. The U.S. District Court in Maine subsequently dismissed your lawsuit against the Commission, and dismissed your petition for reconsideration of that dismissal as well.

You recently resumed operation of Amateur station K1MAN. In view of that resumption and the resulting complaints we are receiving about deliberate interference, threats against those who do not relinquish frequencies for your use or who would complain, or who have complained, erratic operation, broadcasting, unauthorized tape recording and broadcast of telephone conversations, business use of an Amateur station and lack of station control, we have resumed our inquiry into the operation of K1MAN.
In reviewing your letter dated August 24, 1999, referenced above, and your actions subsequent to coming back on Amateur frequencies, it appears that your understanding of certain Amateur Radio Service rules may be incorrect.

We will outline the apparent misunderstandings below.

Amateur Station Control
With regard to control of an Amateur station, your letter of August 24 indicated that the control device for your station was a "Radio Shack timer", and that the control points for the station were "the main power switch at the transmitter site" and at the location of the control operator, wherever that may be". You further stated that on the dates in question, May 14 and 15, 1999, you were the control operator and were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable modes".

Section 97.7 of the Commission's rules states that "when transmitting, each Amateur station must have a control operator". Section 97.105 states that "the control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation of the station, regardless of the type of control (emphasis added). Section 97.109 states that "each Amateur station must have at least one control point", and that the control operator must be at the control point when the station is locally controlled or remotely controlled.

Section 97.7 further states that a control operator need not be at the control point if a station is automatically controlled. However, as Section 97.109 also explains, only stations specifically designated in Part 97, such as a space, repeater, beacon or auxiliary station, may be controlled automatically. There is no provision in Part 97 that allows an Amateur station transmitting information bulletins to be automatically controlled.

In summary, your control of the station by a "Radio Shack timer" while you are absent from your Amateur station is a violation of the Commission's Rules. You were not in control of your station on May 14 and 15, 1999 while you were in "both Belgrade Lakes and Augusta in either mobile or portable

modes". Your statement in your response that "K1MAN has never transmitted during the current license term without a control operator acting in full compliance with rules 97.105 and 97.109 as well as all other applicable FCC rules" is untrue.

Simply put, to comply with Commission rules, you must be at the transmitter, or at the transmitter control point, every moment your station is transmitting, when your station is locally or remotely controlled. If the station is controlled by telecommand from the control point using a radio link, the frequencies used for telecommand must comply with the Section 97.201 requirements for an auxiliary station (may transmit only on the 1.25 m and shorter wavelength bands, except 219-220, 222-222.150, 431-433 and 435-438 MHz segments).

Publishing a Transmitting Schedule & Interference
It appears that you may be under the misconception that by publishing a transmitting schedule of K1MAN, you have certain rights under Commission rules regarding the control operator and frequency sharing requirements that you would not otherwise have.

With regard to the requirement for a control operator, publishing a schedule does not relieve you of that requirement in any way whatsoever. Section 97.113(d) of the Amateur Radio rules states that by publishing a schedule of transmissions at least 30 days in advance, a control operator of a club station may accept compensation for the periods of time when the station is transmitting...information bulletins, providing certain additional conditions are met. Publishing a schedule of proposed transmissions, therefore, relates only to a club station control operator accepting compensation. We note that this is irrelevant to the operation of K1MAN because K1MAN is not a club station.

Simply put, to the extent that you start your transmissions on top of ongoing communications of other amateur service stations, you will be engaging in deliberate interference, in violation of Section 97.101 of the Commission's rules. No amateur service frequency is assigned for the exclusive use of any station. Your publishing an intended transmission schedule does not exempt you from that rule.

Threats to Complainants and Other Users
Your sending "Felony Complaint Affidavits" to other Amateur Service licensees who complain or intend to complain of your operation, or who do not relinquish frequencies to you, was the subject of a Warning letter to you dated December 11, 2001. You have also been warned about such "Felony Complaint Affidavits" by the United States Attorney in Maine.

The Commission will view any threats made by telephone, through the U.S. Mail or on radio frequencies to Amateur Radio licensees who file complaints with the Commission, or who do not relinquish frequencies for your use, as very serious.

Broadcasting
We also note that on your web site and in your radio transmissions, you

refer to your transmissions variously as "broadcasts, programs, talk shows, bulletins, pre-recorded interviews" and so forth. We have received complaints that you have broadcast comedy shows and commercial messages. We caution you that Section 97.113(b) of the Amateur service rules prohibits amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting. Certain one-way transmissions, such as information bulletins, are allowed as specified in the rules.

Tape Recording and Broadcasting Telephone Conversations
We have received and have under review complaints that you have tape-recorded telephone conversations without the consent of the recorded party and that you have broadcast such tape recordings. We caution you that such recording without consent may be in violation of state or federal law. We will contact you under separate cover regarding those complaints.

In summary, it is very important for you to understand that we will review all valid complaints concerning the operation of your Amateur station, and that Commission personnel will again inspect your station. The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed.

2458. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:07:14 UTC
From: THE <hame@thehamesorgiz.org>
Message-ID: <di4e37ios0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nice forgery of an official letter.

2459. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:08:02 UTC
From: THE <hame@thehamesorgiz.org>
Message-ID: <di4e4o$j0h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Another clever forgery. You are a very sick person,
filled with hatred.

2460. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:10:23 UTC
From: THE <hame@thehamesorgiz.org>
Message-ID: <di4e95jlj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A forgery of an official letter. You are a very sick person,
filled with hatred. Seek help.

2461. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:10:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128643847.512888.22380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The problem with the 2002 letter is it was later overturned in part by
the 2004 letter in regards to his one-way transmissions.

2462. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:13:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128644023.849910.315740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> what are you smoking bitch?
>
> no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
> >
> > However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point in
> > conversing with you at this time.
>
> see to it then
>
> nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
> yourself
> >
>
> Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for an
> attitude adjustment.

mind your own affairs, and use some sense Not likely I would accept
such an "invitation"

But it is amazing how freely you resort to suggesting violence
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2463. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:16:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128644202.933954.309230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120290

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
>
> > did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the ISS as
> > failures
>
> Funny...no one else is saying that.

BUZZ wrong again wildy reported on Foxnews that the head of NASA said
that shuttle and ISS are failure
cut
> Steve, K4YZ

2464. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:19:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128644343.233777.147870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120291

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Dan didn't live in Illinois, stupid.
> >
> > never said Dan did live in Illinois
>
> Then fix your shitty newsreader or get the attribs right.

you need to learn to read
>
> > but since you bring it up I know from various people in Illinois that
> > someone claiming to be K4YZ have conacted almost every ham in the area
> > with an accesable email adress about me. also since I have moved UP
> > here someone claiming to be K4YZ has tried contacting the local hams
> > here
>
> Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.

Stevie admited to emailing them
>
> > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
>
> And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> where it comes from.

It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
>
> > Stevie is a Stalker
>
> Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?

good question your first decent one

2465. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:19:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128644390.422994.298670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> You don't have ownership rights to the BS you write unless it is
> copyrighted.
> Ace - WH2T

Wrong ace I do

2466. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:30:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128645041.701277.169790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1128528604.355781.167800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > cut
>
> "cut" = censorship

bullshit
>
> Why does a guy who whines about "Freedom of Speech" constantly
> censor others?

I have never censored anyone

why do you lie so much?

>
> > > Oh, I don't think it's a matter of being "insecure", Dave...It's
> > > just that Mark is all about being all the things he accuses others
> > > of...
> >
> > nope I am not a homophobic hate monger like yourself
>
> Keep repeating that to yourself over and over, Mark.
>
> It will make you warm and fuzzy to say it, but it won't be any
> more "true".

No it will not be come more true it is after all already absolutely
true can't get more true than that
>
> > > As I specified, Mark has accused me of being
> > > "obsessive-compulsive" and "ready to pounce on my every word" in RRAP
> > > replies...
> > >
> > > However HE is the one who needs multiple screen names to defeat
> > > Google's posting limits in order to get his multiple-multiple responses
> > > in in one day.
> > >
> > > > He is a pathetic excuse for a ham, let alone for a human being.
> > >
> > > No doubt. I never see "KB9RQZ" in any of the activity reports in

> > > any of the magazines. He alleges to have been a second operator at a
> > > couple of Field Day activities, and I have no doubt that that pretty
> > > much summates his "operating experience".
> >
> > back to your elist bull#### anyone different than you isn't a ham
> >
> > grow up please
>
> It's all about you allegedly being a ham, Mark.

I am a ham even you admit that I am one
>
> You claim you are a partticipant, and indeed you have a license,
> but you've haven't done a single thing worthwhile in Amatuer Radio.

No I don't do CW, the only thing you seem to value

I use various freqs and modes personal Favorite is FS -ATV followed by
SSTY I have worked folks on every band from 6M and 1.2GHZ

you are of course entitle to your opinion but it is worth about as much
as an empty (paper) cup of coffee

> > > > He is ashamed of his life, and over compensates by literally begging for
> > > > attention here on USENET.
> > >
> > > He should be ashamed. He'a a pitiful liar. I say pitiful since
> > > he can't even lie effectively, then admits to being a liar on top of
> > > it. His "I am a Colonel" story was classic, but then his insistence
> > > that he's not a mental health patient followed-up by his admissions of
> > > having been in the system are a hoot.
> > >
> > > He's blatantly stated he'd teach his children to lie. That in and
> > > of itself qualifies him for a castration before he can procreate any
> > > further. I say "further" because he has, in the past, made allusion to
> > > an ex-wife who was "stalking" him for child support...No doubt another
> > > of his failures. I am divorced but no one ever had to "stalk" me to
> > > make good on my obligations to my daughter and son.
> >
> > at the propper yes I will theach them to lie again you refuse to accept
> > that people can have different views than yourself
>
> "teach"
>
> Teaching children to lie is not "different views".

yes it is

>
> It's child abuse.

no it isn't

and now you show you colors as a Fasist

>
> > you also show yourself to be of the same ilk as the Nazi's
>
> Nope.

sure do

as soon as you start talking about the castration of people you disgree
with you join thier ilk
>
> YOU do.

nope

>
> They (the Nazis) made a whole "society" based upon lies.

you got that right

> You and
> your "kids" would have been right at home in the NSDAP.

No you are wrong based on my ancestery I was have died like varios
distant relitives in Dachau and other camps

> Especially
> with your already prevelent use of censorship.

I have never censored anyone

You are the censor

The Nazi's based there power in large part on the "Big lie" which you
are master of

>Pure lies and
> cowardice.

that is indeed your methodology

Pure lies and cowardice and idle threats

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2467. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:31:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128645106.558608.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LRod wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2005 22:19:03 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >you also show yourself to be of the same ilk as the Nazi's
>
> Well, this thread's over.

not likely I am afraid.

I had belived that the "rule" had any merit, I would have invoked long
ago
>
> --
> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>
> Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2468. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:35:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128645312.491016.313920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1128583382.138776.204610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
cut
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> I take abuse of Children quite seriously. Way beyond the pale, so to
> speak...I think it is time to call the Social Service types in his area.
> Put him on the radar at least.

Stevie Claims he has done that, I am not sure I believe him, but he
claimed to have taken such action

He has by his own admission email folks in the last radio before this I
belonged (and by the reports I have those email called me a pedophile,
pyschopath and more besides)

he has appearntly has sent eamil to most of the hams in the UP(perhaps
just the western UP) with findable email adresses saying much the same
thing
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2469. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:41:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128645707.742951.230740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120296

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
> > slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
> > same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point
>
> Just when I think you could not become any more ignorant, you prove me
> wrong again.

gee and from a statement that is entirely accurate

even if K1MAN were knowingly violating... it can be slander/libel (if
the libel/slander is true it does become harder to make the case but
still possible) and from the mass of stuff out there it seem to me and
others that he has a case on many of the points against esp the
"braodcasting" realted charges

2470. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:52:48 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <cdd57$4345c6df$4fc608d$11493@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

OK , I'm sure you do.
Just everybody else needs a copyright.
Ace - WH2T

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128644390.422994.298670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
>> You don't have ownership rights to the BS you write unless it is
>> copyrighted.
>> Ace - WH2T
>
> Wrong ace I do
>

2471. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:53:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bGj1f.4125$4h2.2394@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"THE" <hame@thehamesorgiz.org> wrote

> Your name calling and lies do not change the fact that
> Baxter is 100% in compliance with all FCC rules &
> regulations.

So long as he keeps his transmitter silent.

2472. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 00:56:47 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <d7d91$4345c7cc$4fc608d$11513@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128645312.491016.313920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128583382.138776.204610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>>
> cut
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>>
>> I take abuse of Children quite seriously. Way beyond the pale, so to
>> speak...I think it is time to call the Social Service types in his area.
>> Put him on the radar at least.
>
> Stevie Claims he has done that, I am not sure I believe him, but he
> claimed to have taken such action
>
> He has by his own admission email folks in the last radio before this I
> belonged (and by the reports I have those email called me a pedophile,
> pyschopath and more besides)
>
> he has appearntly has sent eamil to most of the hams in the UP(perhaps
> just the western UP) with findable email adresses saying much the same
> thing
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Great !!!
Freedom of speech rules in this case.
Ace - WH2T

2473. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:02:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> > news:xuidnQZ6T_RjFtneRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
> >>> rights
> >>>
> >>> so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
> >>>
> >>
> >> OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have I
> >> ever denied you have the same rights?
> >
> > Don't bother. Everyone who has ever disagreed with him or pointed out his
> > errors or used normal English words such as "class" in exactly the same
> > manner as the FCC uses it is automatically denying him his rights and/or
> > denigrating him. Only his definitions can be considered correct or he is
> > being denied his rights.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> I'll guarantee ya'll one thing.....Mark has never been in any form of Basic
> Training. And if he was he was discharged way before the first 10 days.

more bullshit from you
>
> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.

again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2474. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:19:12 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotWoger@Glendale.om>
Message-ID: <di4ib5$4ln$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"tex rill" <trill@gvtc.net> wrote in message
news:4345D5C6.C8491571@gvtc.net...
This whole grp is out of hand now!

I get tired just reading all you whiney people bitching about these
postings!

Man I need a blow job now!

tex.

ab8mq is available.

2475. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:28:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128648528.850253.230900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > >
> > > > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > > > for being OT.
> > > >
> > > > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > > > for being off topic?
> > >
> > > Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> > > usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> > > air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> > > newsgroup.
> >
> > Ah, yes. Lennie "asks for it." Typical stuff from abusers.
>
> He doesn't "ask for it"...He just get's in kind what he delivers.

Hi!

> > > The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> > > "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> > > perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.
> >
> > Strange. I most often read about him being off-topic.
>
> I am sure your scope or reference is a bit myoptic.

And yours is "At least for a short time, a quality of vividness and
clarity of focus in our public discourse..."

Hi!

> > > > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> > > >

> > > > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
> > >
> > > Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> > > parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> > > doubt due to yet another of his "trips".
> >
> > How would you know? Are you watching and following Len?
>
> Nope, but that's HIS "standard response".

Right.

> > > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > > add water and shake.
> >
> > Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
> > in microwave communications.
>
> You need to re-orient yourself, Brian...

I'm Quitefine in my orientation.

> That was "microwave communications" in the FIFTIES, which bore
> absolutely NO resemblence with current "microwave communications".

Gotta love you amateur geniuses always trying to change the physics of
radio in your laughable attempts to discredit Len. Too bad for you.

> > Except for perhaps West Point, Army
> > training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
> > installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.
>
> Uh huh...And the "equipment" Lennie was "trained" on required a
> walk-in closet to facilitate.

Sounds like many a radio room at the base of a communications tower.

> > His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> > both.

> He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
> "design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
> whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.

You might find some of the systems that I worked on in the Bulletin of
the American Meteorological Societs (BAMS). Have you never worked on
anything worth appearing in a professional publication?

> His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
> ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to

> Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.

And you can't remember the common or technical nomeclature of teh radio systems that you claim to have used in the USMC. How is it that you can remember the name of a system that Len worked on? I guess the purpose of repititious postings has finally had an effect.

> > That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> > decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> > Very inciteful, that Len.
>
> That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
> muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
> "contributions" to "radio"

You don't even know the radio systems that you claim to have used, yet you know that Len has mucked up every program that he has worked on?

Something is really, really wrong with your claims, Steve.

> > > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
> >
> > It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> > dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> > comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> > waste. Why do they even bother?
>
> Huh? Is Mark Morgan ghost writing for you now?

Itme, itme mispeeeling agin?

> > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > itme.
> >
> > Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> > tooey.
>
> It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.

I've just discussed your ego, tooey.

2476. Re: If Authorized by a Legal Licensed Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:32:57 UTC
From: THE <hame@thehamesorgiz.org>
Message-ID: <di4j3u$7r4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:bGj1f.4125$4h2.2394@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "THE" <hame@thehamesorgiz.org> wrote
>
>> Your name calling and lies do not change the fact that
>> Baxter is 100% in compliance with all FCC rules &
>> regulations.
>
> So long as he keeps his transmitter silent.
>

Still telling T A L L tales down at the legion hall
Hans? "Radio Hero" Hans

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

2477. an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:52:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128649948.183541.57230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120304

to all those persons believ spouting the bullshit that teaching one kids to lie on the net is child abuse I off ther following Numbers

the Michigan Child abuse Hotline
1-800-942-4357

it is my understanding that the above number may only be usable the residenet of Michigan

so I also provide the following for the local office of the state agentcy in question

1-906-353-4700

this will connect you with the Family Independence agency for Houghton Baraga and Keweenaw Counties

In some states is is a crime to falsely report child abuse, I do not know wether it is or is not a crime in Michigan

I also know that you can make an anouomous report if you like, as someone did some time back claiming I was abusing my father. From that incident I know the law requires abuse claims to be investagted within 24 hours but that in practical term it sometimes takes a bit longer

So if you think I am abusing some child report, as you should if you truly believe that crap, otherwise I ask that you drop it.

Of course I lack the power to enforce my wishes but I make them known

2478. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:53:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128650026.712045.149570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> OK , I'm sure you do.
> Just everybody else needs a copyright.

no you don't your word are your property too as are Stveies and
everyone else
> Ace - WH2T
>
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128644390.422994.298670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >> You don't have ownership rights to the BS you write unless it is
> >> copyrighted.
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >
> > Wrong ace I do
> >
```

2479. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:54:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128650075.405958.51640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
cut
Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Great !!!
> Freedom of speech rules in this case.

showing your true colors as endorsing stalking and harrasment
> Ace - WH2T

2480. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:54:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128650093.681679.53380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
cut
Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Great !!!
> Freedom of speech rules in this case.

showing your true colors as endorsing stalking and harrasment

at you sir you are honest about it
> Ace - WH2T

2481. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 01:56:22 UTC
From: tex rill <trill@gvtc.net>
Message-ID: <4345D5C6.C8491571@gvtc.net>
Source record: 120281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

This whole grp is out of hand now!

I get tired just reading all you whiney people bitching about these postings!

Man I need a blow job now!

tex.

2482. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 02:04:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128650689.747940.109920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > > > > for being OT.
> > > > >
> > > > > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > > > > for being off topic?
> > > >
> > > > Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> > > > usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> > > > air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> > > > newsgroup.
> > >
> > > Ah, yes. Lennie "asks for it." Typical stuff from abusers.
> >
> > He doesn't "ask for it"...He just get's in kind what he delivers.
>
> Hi!

the bald faced way in which Steveie cofesses is shocking
>
> > > > The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> > > > "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> > > > perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.
> > >
> > > Strange. I most often read about him being off-topic.
> >
> > I am sure your scope or reference is a bit myoptic.
>
> And yours is "At least for a short time, a quality of vividness and
> clarity of focus in our public discourse..."
>
> Hi!
>
> > > > > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
```

> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > > > > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > > > > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
> > > > >
> > > > > Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> > > > > parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> > > > > doubt due to yet another of his "trips".
> > >
> > > How would you know? Are you watching and following Len?
> >
> > Nope, but that's HIS "standard response".
>
> Right.
>
> > > > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > > > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > > > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > > > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > > > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > > > add water and shake.
> > >
> > > Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
> > > in microwave communications.
> >
> > You need to re-orient yourself, Brian...
>
> I'm Quitefine in my orientation.

don'y you know that somehow for daring to oppose Stevie you sudden
became homosexual

HMM maybe Stevie is right about that perhaps I am bisexual because it
became certain somehow I would oppose Stevie and therefore I suddenly
became interested in Men

Stevie it is all your fault
>
> > That was "microwave communications" in the FIFTIES, which bore
> > absolutely NO resemblence with current "microwave communications".
>
> Gotta love you amateur geniuses always trying to change the physics of
> radio in your laughable attempts to discredit Len. Too bad for you.

"The very powerfull and the very have one thing in common they don't
alter thier veiws to fit the facts they alter the facts to fit the
views.... very inconvent if you are a fact in need of altering" (Dr
Who, "the face of evil")
>

> > > Except for perhaps West Point, Army
> > > training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
> > > installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.
> >
> > Uh huh...And the "equipment" Lennie was "trained" on required a
> > walk-in closet to facilitate.
>
> Sounds like many a radio room at the base of a communications tower.

or the Shack of most radio clubs

>
> > > His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> > > both.
>
> > He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
> > "design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
> > whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.
>
> You might find some of the systems that I worked on in the Bulletin of
> the American Meteorological Societs (BAMS). Have you never worked on
> anything worth appearing in a professional publication?

How dare suggest that one of MMM sully himself with anything not using
CW

>
> > His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
> > ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to
> > Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.
>
> And you can't remember the common or technical nomeclature of teh radio
> systems that you claim to have used in the USMC. How is it that you
> can remember the name of a system that Len worked on? I guess the
> purpose of repititious postings has finally had an effect.
>

nah he remebers it is just a secret

> > > That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> > > decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> > > Very inciteful, that Len.
> >
> > That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
> > muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
> > "contributions" to "radio"
>
> You don't even know the radio systems that you claim to have used, yet
> you know that Len has mucked up every program that he has worked on?
>
> Something is really, really wrong with your claims, Steve.

wrong Brain everything is realy wrong with them
>
> > > > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > > > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > > > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > > > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
> > >
> > > It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> > > dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> > > comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> > > waste. Why do they even bother?
> >
> > Huh? Is Mark Morgan ghost writing for you now?
>
> Itme, itme mispeeeling agin?

Well I don't do writing FOR ANYONE else without being paid
>
> > > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > > itme.
> > >
> > > Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> > > tooey.
> >
> > It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.
>
> I've just discussed your ego, tooey.

indeed Stevie claims only he (or does he include the rest of the MMM)
are allowed to decide what is discussed

2483. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 02:16:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128651368.821920.110480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120309

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > "THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
> >
> > Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
> > mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
> > (almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
> > 1970s.
> >
> My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge
> Neon.

In how many years?

> The 2
> liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall
> but I have
> 4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the roof > of the car.

For 17 years I drove a VW Rabbit Diesel. Over 40 mpg in the worst kind
of city driving, well over 50 mpg on the highway. Met all the pollution
requirements too. With technology from the late 1970s.
>
> Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as > if we
> *do* have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's
> use theirs before we use ours".

Yup - also "we'll pay whatever it costs" and "we'll support all kinds
of not-so-nice regimes, even fight wars, as long as they keep the oil
flowing"

Most of all: "We don't have any sort of plan to become
energy-independent or even less dependent in the long term"
>
> > Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy
> > problems? The
> > White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more > > than half
> > a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of
> > what needs
> > to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?

>
> Well, we aren't going to be driving electrics because of
> limited range.

Lots of people could use an inexpensive electric car for local use. But there's no serious program to develop one. GM had some electric Saturns for a while, and their owners loved 'em, but they ended the program early.

> The hybrids are quite expensive.

New technology usually is - at first.

> The hydrogen-powered car won't be
> viable until we can produce hydrogen cheaply.

Don't hold yer breath...

> What kind of leadership
> would you like to see?

How about:

1) Tax credits for energy efficient investments, such as high MPG cars, high efficiency heating and cooling units, high efficiency appliances, etc. We used to have them...

2) A long term program to *seriously* develop energy efficient systems like electric cars, wind and solar energy, new energy sources like thermal depolymerization (TDP), etc.

3) Community planning that makes us less dependent on cars. Transit systems that work. Design for sustainable technology rather than for show.

4) An emphasis on conservation and efficiency rather than conspicuous consumption.

> > I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that
> > are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.
>
> > Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be
> > done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into
> > rebuilding than it would take to relocate?
>
> Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare
> that NOLA won't be rebuilt?

He seems to have the clout to say it will be. Why not the opposite?

WHY should we all pay to rebuild a city below sea level in a hurricane zone?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2484. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 02:23:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <EaWdnQvs_Ze_QdjeRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120310

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>nobodys old friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the > > ISS as failures
>>
>> Funny...no one else is saying that.
>
>
> I'd like to see a quote of what was actually said.

Me too. I did a web search, and didn't find anything. Mark, can you
give us a source?

> Of course those programs have had failures. Heck, Apollo had two
> spectacular failures, one of which cost the lives of three astronauts
> without ever leaving the ground. But no one with any sense would
> describe Apollo, the shuttle or the ISS as "failures" because they did
> not reach every goal set for them.

The shuttle is our attempt to do a job with 1970's (and some earlier)
technology. As such, it was a huge task that we were barely able to
produce to do some of the goals that were set.

The good news is that we were able to get it off the ground and into
space. The bad news (and I don't really consider it that) is that it is
an expensive and finicky bitch. Would we produce it that way today? Not
even. Time moved on, technology advanced, and I have no doubt that that
a machine produced with 2000's technology would be much safer, less
expensive to produce and maintain, and much more capable.

But to call it a failure is absolutely wrong, and misses the whole point.

We DID make several machines that DID ride to orbit, DID perform their
missions, DID return to earth, and DID outfit for many return trips to
space. It is interesting that the failures in the system that led to the
loss of two of the orbiters were due to peripheral systems that failed
largely to human error.

Some failure.

>>Seems everyone else is STILL using the shuttle AND the Space
>>Station...Which I had a chance to observe at 05:36CDT Wednesday
>>morning...spectacular. (www.science.nasa.gov) And they intend > to do so for many years
to come.

>
>
> Not too many, though...
>
>> Keep trying to "score one", Mark. One day you'll actually do > it.
>
>
> You really think so?
>
>>I HAVE read about numerous persons saying that the "mission" has
>>outgrown the shuttle...That the Shuttle,
>>esentially 1970's technology,
>>should be updated...
>
>
> Agreed!
>
>
>>Just like the automakers bring out new model years.
>
>
> More like the automakers rethink the basic design.
>
> Remember when most cars were body-on-frame, longitudinal-front-engine,
> rear-wheel-drive, with V8s and bias-ply tires? Now most of them are
> unibody, transverse-engine, front-wheel-drive, with V6s and inline 4s,
> and radial tires.
>
>
>>No one ever expected the Shuttle to the "end all" of the manned
>>space program.
>
>
> Yes, they did. The Shuttle was supposed to be a "space truck" that
> would totally replace and outdate the "spam in a can" one-shot capsule
> systems used for Mercury/Gemini/Apollo. But in fact the complexity of
> the shuttle system and other design features (like having the
> heatshield tiles exposed for the entire mission) have limited its
> success and performance.

I thing there is some confusion here, Jim. I doubt that the shuttle was
designed to be the last "space truck" we ever designed!

>
>>It's just time to go on to bigger and better.
>
>
> I'd say "smaller and smarter".

I dunno. I think that we might be at the point of vehicle specialization
now. I can envision a heavy lift vehicle that is just that- a minimalist

vehicle that provides basic life support and maneuvering, then returns
to earth after delivering its cargo. It could be a reusable vehicle.
Wouldn't be quite like the shuttle in that it wouldn't have that
expensive main engine on it.

> Of course some of what is said is all about getting funding. Bush wants
> to go back to the moon, which NASA says will cost $100 billion.
> Probably double or triple that in real life. Funding such an effort
> will require convincing a lot of folks that it's worthwhile, and part
> of that is showing them that the shuttle's time is past and we need new
> systems. The shuttle is therefore portrayed as "last year's model"

If people are *not* going to be in space, I support a NASA budget of
$0.00 dollars. I support great sums of money going to them if people are
going to go to space. And there are plenty of people that feel the same
as I do. All the scientists who make the claims about how space science
is so much cheaper and safer just don't get it. Their work is cool and
all, but they are the tail of the dog....

> Of course one has to ask why we need to spend $100 billion to get a few
> folks to the moon, when we couldn't even evacuate two cities
> effectively here on earth.

I wouldn't, because the two things aren't related. Reminds me of the
old "We can put a man on the moon, so why can't we cure the common cold"
questions that used to make the rounds.

The failures of the latest hurricane disaster responses are the logical
end game of placing people in charge more because of their political
connections, and less because of their competency.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2485. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 02:40:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ffydnRR4QrKJfdjeRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120311

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>
>> "THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
>>
>> Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
>> mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
>> (almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
>> 1970s.
>
>
> My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge Neon. The 2
> liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall but I have
> 4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the roof of the car.
>
> Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as if we
> *do* have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's use theirs
> before we use ours".

Well, if that is our game plan, we better have enough reserves to fight
most of the world off. Otherwise it is dangerous brinkmanship.

>> Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy problems? The
>> White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more than half
>> a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of what needs
>> to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?
>
>
> Well, we aren't going to be driving electrics because of limited range.
> The hybrids are quite expensive. The hydrogen-powered car won't be
> viable until we can produce hydrogen cheaply.

I really doubt that H is going to ever be a valid fuel.

> What kind of leadership
> would you like to see?

I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single digit
fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under insulated

McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an unpatriotic act. The way we are with oil and gas in recent times reminds me of the legendary lighting of cigars with 100 dollar bills. In yo' face consumption...

I think that one critical lesson that should be gleaned from these two hurricanes this summer is that we are incredibly vulnerable in a few important areas. under the right circumstances, losing that much oil and gas production could be a near fatal blow.

>
>> I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that
>> are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.
>
>
>> Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be
>> done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into
>> rebuilding than it would take to relocate?
>
>
> Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare that NOLA
> won't be rebuilt?

Nope. New Orleans will be rebuilt, and will be rebuilt again, and perhaps a third or forth time, until it slips beneath the waves for good.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2486. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 03:19:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LOI1f.4289$4h2.3143@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120312

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> "THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
>>>
>>> Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
>>> mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
>>> (almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
>>> 1970s.
>>
>>
>>
>> My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge Neon. The
>> 2 liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall but I
>> have 4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the roof of
>> the car.
>>
>> Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as if we
>> *do* have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's use
>> theirs before we use ours".
>
>
> Well, if that is our game plan, we better have enough reserves to
> fight most of the world off. Otherwise it is dangerous brinkmanship.

The countries which produce oil are interested in selling it. We're
interested in buying it. I don't see any danger in that at all.

>>> Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy problems? The
>>> White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more than half
>>> a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of what needs
>>> to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?
>>
>>
>>

>> Well, we aren't going to be driving electrics because of limited
>> range. The hybrids are quite expensive. The hydrogen-powered car
>> won't be viable until we can produce hydrogen cheaply.
>
>
> I really doubt that H is going to ever be a valid fuel.

I used to think that but I heard a recent radio story which might change my mind. The South Africans are developing a "pellet bed" small nuclear reactor. Tennis ball-sized spheres of graphite and ceramic are packed with yellow cake. Core temps can never get hot enough for meltdown but are high enough to produce hydrogen and to desalinate sea water.

>> What kind of leadership would you like to see?
>
>
> I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single
> digit fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under
> insulated McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an
> unpatriotic act.

Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet. I know a number of pickup truck owners hereabouts, who are buying small cars. Nobody is building underinsulated anything these days. My pal W8RHM built his dream home three years ago. It is large and it has geothermal heating. The heating system was supposed to pay for itself within ten years or so. With the energy hikes of the past few years, it'll be paid off much sooner. 'RHM is now paying winter heating bills of 45-65 bucks. Those who have big, old homes will sell 'em to someone who can afford to heat them.

> The way we are with oil and gas in recent times reminds
> me of the legendary lighting of cigars with 100 dollar bills. In yo'
> face consumption...

You may feel free to paint me with that brush. My lease agreement with Columbia Gas provides me with 300,000 cubic feet of gas yearly. I'm barely using more than half.

I'm heating a glassed-in side porch and a workshop in the barn. As soon as I get around to it, I'm adding a greenhouse lean to on the back of the barn. I've a gas conversion kit for a gasoline generator. In short, I'm going to very conspicuously use right up to that 300,000 cubic feet and I'm not going to feel any guilt over it at all.

> I think that one critical lesson that should be gleaned from these
> two hurricanes this summer is that we are incredibly vulnerable in a few
> important areas. under the right circumstances, losing that much oil and
> gas production could be a near fatal blow.

That's right. We need to drill in more places. ANWAR should be hurricane proof.

Nobody wants to discuss one of the real solutions to sufficient energy:
more nuke reactors.

>>> I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that
>>> are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.
>>
>>
>>
>>> Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be
>>> done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into
>>> rebuilding than it would take to relocate?
>>
>>
>>
>> Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare that NOLA
>> won't be rebuilt?
>
>
> Nope. New Orleans will be rebuilt, and will be rebuilt again, and
> perhaps a third or forth time, until it slips beneath the waves for good.

Yep and people are free to build where they choose. Without the freedom
to make choices, America wouldn't be America. I won't be rushing to buy
a home in New Orleans but most of those folks wouldn't live on a hilltop
in rural West Virginia. That suits their needs...and mine.

Dave K8MN

2487. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 04:49:33 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MoadnX_-gODHctjeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
>>>negative, sort of like your I.Q.
>>>
>>
>><idiocy flushed>
>
>
> Your idiocy knows no bounds, pretend pilot's license boy. Smells like
> Toadie under one of his sockpuppets.....
>

You're still cleaning out those porta-potties for a living I see.

2488. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 04:54:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MoadnX7-gOAWbdjeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not Lloyd wrote:

> This is a vain attempt to correct a commonly mis-spoken phrase.
>
> Alrighty, for those of you out there who like to use the phrase I could care
> less, it's supposed to be I couldn't care less. "I could care less" means
> that you actually do care, and the phrase itself holds very little meaning
> because you can care less about anything you do already care about. It's
> very ambiguous, and doesn't convey how much or how little you care about
> something. Still following me? "I couldn't care less" means that you care so
> little about something, it's impossible to care even less about it. 99% of
> the time, "I couldn't care less" is the phrase that's supposed to be used.
> Get me? Good :)

Much too complicated for wogie to grasp. Must be those porta-pottie
fumes getting to him.

2489. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 04:58:15 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MoadnXn-gOD5bNjeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120315

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
>>>slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
>>>same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point
>>
>>Just when I think you could not become any more ignorant, you prove me
>>wrong again.
>
>
> gee and from a statement that is entirely accurate
>
> even if K1MAN were knowingly violating... it can be slander/libel (if
> the libel/slander is true it does become harder to make the case but
> still possible) and from the mass of stuff out there it seem to me and
> others that he has a case on many of the points against esp the
> "braodcasting" realted charges
>

You're absolutely "stuck in stupid".

2490. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 05:19:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128662363.568515.205840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120316

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
cut
> > even if K1MAN were knowingly violating... it can be slander/libel (if
> > the libel/slander is true it does become harder to make the case but
> > still possible) and from the mass of stuff out there it seem to me and
> > others that he has a case on many of the points against esp the
> > "braodcasting" realted charges
> >
>
> You're absolutely "stuck in stupid".

nope you are stuck in ignorant

2491. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 05:19:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128662389.261610.47540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120317

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
cut
> > even if K1MAN were knowingly violating... it can be slander/libel (if
> > the libel/slander is true it does become harder to make the case but
> > still possible) and from the mass of stuff out there it seem to me and
> > others that he has a case on many of the points against esp the
> > "braodcasting" realted charges
> >
>
> You're absolutely "stuck in stupid".

nope you are stuck in ignorant

I have stated nothing but facts ole boy

2492. please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 05:21:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128662498.658214.259000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Blushing Tammy wrote:
>
> Geeze, another account set up by Lloyd Davies........
>
> > What is a "big buck nigger".
> >
> > I've heard many a descriptive label for coons, but this is a new one.
>
> It's just one you and your gay lover Steve Holsten (Kennett, MO's
> registered pedophile) use, Lardass!

the new title yes it all

please grow up or take your meds or deal with whatever malfunction
makes so obseesed with other males sexuality

2493. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 09:38:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128677920.199443.230630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120319

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "nobodys_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128557564.713492.145360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >> "John Johnston" <John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in
> > >> message
> > >> news:John.Johnston.1wg6qv@news.radiobanter.com...

> > > > stating that K1MAN has knowingly violated the rules could be considered
> > > > slander. Indeed it is my impression that he does not see the rules the
> > > > same way as say you do, and in some of the cases he may have a point
> > >
> > > My comments are based on the various warnings, inspections, and other
> > > run-ins with the FCC that Baxter has had. It certainly gives the impression
> > > that he chooses to see how far he can push the FCC.
> >
> > well even if your revised stament is true that is different than saying
> > he has knowingly violated the rules

It's not slander.

Baxter has been KNOWINGLY violating FCC rules and regulations for
years. HE has said as much. He's said so in public, in print and on
the air.

> the later is slander without knowledge neither of us has

Baxter said it. He's brazenly invited conflict with the FCC. He
got what he asked for. Now he's in hac with the FCC for thousands of
dollars, and my bet's on the FCC not backing off this time.

> > He could have asked the FCC for an opinion on the things he wanted to do
> > before he did them rather than taking an approach that appears
> > confrontational.
>
> he could choosen to do so
>
> he is not required to

Sure he is.

EVERY FCC licensee, regardless of what service they are in, is
REQUIRED by law to ensure that they are operating thier station in
accordance with the rules of their particular service.

No one, in ANY radio service, is (legally) permitted to do what
they want then ask later, with the sole exception of true
life-threatening situations.

> he has the right to choose to be confrontational, if he chooses

No, he does not.

He DOES have the right to PROTEST that which he considers unfair
and he DOES have the right to seek redress. Both are guarantees of the
Constitution.

> again with your appearant efforts to deny that others have the right to
> exercise their rights

"apparent"

What IS apparent, Mark, is your inadequate English comprehension
skills.

He has NO right to wantonly violate published rules and
regulations which he has agreed to abide by as condition of licensure.
And it's not as if he's a "newbie" operator.

> If you are turely not going to "converse with me" then don't, but don't
> complain when you chose to do so

"truly"

No one converses "WITH" you, Mark...It's more like wading through
the mire trying to find the occassional pearl stuck between your
toes...Only there's no pearls.

Steve, K4YZ

2494. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 09:45:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128678305.170224.30270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120320

N9OGL wrote:

> One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS

I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.

> I kind of hope he fights it and wins then a will laugh my @@@ off...(SNIP)

That will have to be a LOT of laughing to laugh THAT one off,
Toidie.

> at you Anti-Baxter's morons

Your English skills are worsening, if that was at all possible.

> Todd N9OGL

That's the only thing you got right.

> THE N9OGL SHOW

Still illegally broadcasting on Amateur Radio, eh, Toiddie...?!?!

> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

No, you're not.

Steve, K4YZ

2495. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 09:48:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128678519.984441.234740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120321

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >
> > > did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the ISS as
> > > failures
> >
> > Funny...no one else is saying that.
>
> BUZZ wrong again wildy reported on Foxnews that the head of NASA said
> that shuttle and ISS are failure
> cut

"cut" = KB9RQZ censorship

Once again you demonstrate your lack of English comprehension
skills, Mark.

You (allegedly) cited ONE person. >>I<< said "Funny...no one else
is saying that."

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

2496. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 10:44:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128681868.936137.106250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120322

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> K4YZ wrote:
> >>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>> K4YZ wrote:

> >>> "THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
> >>>
> >>> Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
> >>> mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
> >>> (almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
> >>> 1970s.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge Neon. The
> >> 2 liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall but I
> >> have 4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the roof of
> >> the car.
> >>
> >> Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as if we
> >> *do* have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's use
> >> theirs before we use ours".
> >
> >
> > Well, if that is our game plan, we better have enough reserves to
> > fight most of the world off. Otherwise it is dangerous brinkmanship.
>
> The countries which produce oil are interested in selling it. > We're
> interested in buying it. I don't see any danger in that at all.

The danger is what happens if they decide not to sell it. Or jack up
the price. Or require all sorts of conditions.

Most of all, there's what they do with the money. Buying anyhting from
anyone empowers that person to do things, some of which you may not
like.
>
> >>> Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy problems? The
> >>> White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more than half
> >>> a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of what needs
> >>> to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?
>

> >> Well, we aren't going to be driving electrics because of limited
> >> range. The hybrids are quite expensive. The hydrogen-powered car
> >> won't be viable until we can produce hydrogen cheaply.
> >
> >
> > I really doubt that H is going to ever be a valid fuel.
>
> I used to think that but I heard a recent radio story which might change
> my mind. The South Africans are developing a "pellet bed" small nuclear
> reactor. Tennis ball-sized spheres of graphite and ceramic are packed
> with yellow cake. Core temps can never get hot enough for meltdown but
> are high enough to produce hydrogen and to desalinate sea water.

At what cost per btu of hydrogen produced?

> >> What kind of leadership would you like to see?
> >
> >
> > I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single
> > digit fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under
> > insulated McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an
> > unpatriotic act.
>
> Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet. I
> know a number of pickup truck owners hereabouts, who are buying small
> cars. Nobody is building underinsulated anything these days.

The problem is that we have an enormous existing stock of cars, trucks
and houses, and it won't turn over so fast.

We've been this way before, too. You'd think we'd have learned.

>My pal
> W8RHM built his dream home three years ago. It is large and it has
> geothermal heating. The heating system was supposed to pay for itself
> within ten years or so. With the energy hikes of the past few years,
> it'll be paid off much sooner. 'RHM is now paying winter heating bills
> of 45-65 bucks.

Most of which is electricity to run the pumps.

> Those who have big, old homes will sell 'em to someone
> who can afford to heat them.

If they can.
>
> > The way we are with oil and gas in recent times reminds
> > me of the legendary lighting of cigars with 100 dollar bills. In yo'
> > face consumption...
>
> You may feel free to paint me with that brush. My lease agreement with
> Columbia Gas provides me with 300,000 cubic feet of gas yearly. I'm
> barely using more than half.

Not everyone can live atop a gas well.
>
> I'm heating a glassed-in side porch and a workshop in the barn. As soon
> as I get around to it, I'm adding a greenhouse lean to on the back of
> the barn. I've a gas conversion kit for a gasoline generator. In
> short, I'm going to very conspicuously use right up to that 300,000
> cubic feet and I'm not going to feel any guilt over it at all.
>
> > I think that one critical lesson that should be gleaned from these
> > two hurricanes this summer is that we are incredibly vulnerable in a few
> > important areas. under the right circumstances, losing that much oil and
> > gas production could be a near fatal blow.
>
> That's right. We need to drill in more places. ANWAR should be
> hurricane proof.

But not blizzard-proof. Nor drunken-oil-tanker-captain-proof.

Nor can it provide near enough oil to solve the problem.
>
> Nobody wants to discuss one of the real solutions to sufficient > energy:
> more nuke reactors.

Are they a real solution?

How much does it cost to extract the fuel to run them?
How much to build and operate them?
How much to decomission after they are worn out?
How much to deal with the waste?

A lot of those costs have been hidden from the utility customer.
>
> >>> I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that
> >>> are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be
> >>> done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into
> >>> rebuilding than it would take to relocate?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare that NOLA
> >> won't be rebuilt?
> >
> >
> > Nope. New Orleans will be rebuilt, and will be rebuilt again, and
> > perhaps a third or forth time, until it slips beneath the waves for good.
>
> Yep and people are free to build where they choose.

Not really.

> Without the freedom
> to make choices, America wouldn't be America. I won't be
> rushing to buy
> a home in New Orleans but most of those folks wouldn't live on > a hilltop
> in rural West Virginia. That suits their needs...and mine.
>
The problem isn't the choice. It's the fact that we are expected to
fund and support other people's bad choices.

The factor that is forgotten here is that almost all construction
requires permits, insurance and financing. Government gives the
permits, and has an influence on the insurance and financing.

How many people will choose to rebuild in NO if the govt says that the
whole thing is a bad idea and they're not going to fix the levees, nor
provide new flood insurance for below-sea-level construction?

Suppose I were to build a house whose roof could not stand the snow
loads encountered here in EPA in a bad winter.

And suppose a bad winter came along and the roof collapsed.

Should I expect the govt. to pay to rebuild my roof?

Worse - should I expect that they would allow me to build it the same
way again?

Of course the above isn't likely to happen because I'd never get a
permit nor pass inspection to put up such an inadequate structure. But
the principle is the same as building below sea level in a flood zone.

One thing's for sure: We'll not see leadership on this issue from the
current administration.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2497. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 10:46:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128681971.488742.24950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120323

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > MY biggest disappointment is that we are as close to Mars
> > as we've
> > been in centuries, but we don't have a manned mission there yet.
>
> ???
>
> Not sure what you mean, Steve.

I MEAN that my biggest disappointment is that we are as close to
Mars as we've been in centuries, but we don't have a manned mission
there yet. How was that confusing?

> Going to Mars is at least 100 times more difficult than going to the
> moon. Mars never gets closer than 30 million miles - more than 100
> times farther away than the moon. A Mars mission would be years long
> rather than a week or two. Martian gravity and atmosphere make the
> problems even worse.

Yep...But...

It's been over 30 years since we've walked on the moon. We got
there in a bit over 9 years from President Kennedy's challenge to do
so. We've squandered those intervening years on LEO manned missions.

Astronomers and scientists knew then when Mars would be in an
Earth-Mars-Earth (In this thread if I refer to EME, this is what I
mean...Not EME as in "moonbounce")-transit favorable trajectory.

There have been hundreds of plans put forth using AVAILABLE
technology and resources to get there...All we had to do was pick one
and get started.

Just ONE plan that seemed practical enough (or at least made the
most sense) to me was one to send the necessary resources for life
support in a series of UN-manned "pre-missions" while the manned vessel
was prepared in Earth orbit. Resources would be pre-positioned both on
Mars and along the way. Not one man had to spend a day in orbit until
the "package" was in place.

> If it costs $100-200 billion or more to go to the moon, what would Mars
> cost?

Who cares?

The PRESENT Space program has already generated TRILLIONS of dollars in new technology and incentives since July, 1969.. Imagine where we COULD have been...?!?!

Maybe we would have had our CURRENT level of technology 15 or 20 years ago?

Just think...If we'd pared only $10B from each YEAR'S defense budget since 1970, we'd have "only" spent $360B now, which is about 1 1/2 years military budget over THIRTY-SIX years...And wudda had an American flag and American footprints on TWO astronomical bodies by now.

If we're going to have a tit-for-tat about the bottom-line practicality of going, Jim, I'm not up for it. Anyone can "successfully" argue that it's going to "cost" time, money and resources to go. Even lives. But EVERY expedition of exploration in man's time has cost money up front and lives along the way. The REAL bottom line is that we could have done this a LONG time ago if we'd only said "Let's Do It."

> > Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession alone that
> > have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> > pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> > effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> > deep felt.

> Can you guarantee that those advances would not have happened if the
> money had been spent on research in the fields directly affected? IOW,
> why not simply go after a problem directly?

In industrialized society's history, major technological >>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit (3) government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war). There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them. "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private inventors.

No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have occured...but WHEN would they have occured? Certainly NOT in the time frame that they have.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2498. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 10:59:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128682749.067321.260070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > It's a problem when Len posts off-topic
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Maybe a problem for you, but it's not a problem for me! I don't call Len down
> > > > > > for being OT.
> > > > >
> > > > > I just wonder where all the crying hams went that usually call down Len
> > > > > for being off topic?
> > > >
> > > > Most of the "crying" about "calling" Lennie for being "off topic"
> > > > usually follows one of HIS diatribes wherein HE expends a lot of hot
> > > > air and mularkey about what constitutes a propper topic for the
> > > > newsgroup.
> > >
> > > Ah, yes. Lennie "asks for it." Typical stuff from abusers.
> >
> > He doesn't "ask for it"...He just get's in kind what he delivers.
>
> Hi!

Hello yourself.

> > > > The same sort of spin he generates about others pretending to be
> > > > "moderator" immediately followed by his pontifications about what HE
> > > > perceives as being "appropriate" for discussion.
> > >
> > > Strange. I most often read about him being off-topic.
> >
> > I am sure your scope or reference is a bit myoptic.
>
> And yours is "At least for a short time, a quality of vividness and
> clarity of focus in our public discourse..."
>
> Hi!

I already said hello. Memory a bit short, Brian?

> > > > > > > Are those radio-telephone crafts?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes, as a matter of fact a large part of the recovery work involves restoring
> > > > > > > microwave and cellular radio infrastructure. If you have skills in those areas,
> > > > > > > you might investigate the opportunity.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I think Len does. Probably what's keeping him off rrap.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Leonard H Anderson has no interest whatsoever in being part and
> > > > > > parcel of ANY kind of "public service" work. Lennie's absence is no
> > > > > > doubt due to yet another of his "trips".
> > > > >
> > > > > How would you know? Are you watching and following Len?
> > >
> > > Nope, but that's HIS "standard response".
> >
> > Right.

We agree....Gee...put THIS day on tha calender!

BTW, Brian, Lennie has publically stated that his last "public
service" effort had to do with the filling of sandbags in
1970-something...His words...Not mine.

> > > > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > > > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > > > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > > > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > > > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > > > add water and shake.
> > >
> > > Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
> > > in microwave communications.
> >
> > You need to re-orient yourself, Brian...
> >
> > I'm Quitefine in my orientation.

No, you're not.

> > That was "microwave communications" in the FIFTIES, which bore
> > absolutely NO resemblence with current "microwave communications".
> >
> > Gotta love you amateur geniuses always trying to change the physics of
> > radio in your laughable attempts to discredit Len. Too bad for you.

Do some research on the state of "technology" in 1953 as compared
to today, Brian, to include what was considered "microwave" then as
opposed to today.

> > > Except for perhaps West Point, Army
> > > training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
> > > installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.

> >
> > Uh huh...And the "equipment" Lennie was "trained" on required a
> > walk-in closet to facilitate.
>
> Sounds like many a radio room at the base of a communications tower.

I can do with the stuff on my desktop most of what Lennie claims
he could do in 1953. I'd say that's a bit of a change.

> > > His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> > > both.
>
> > He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
> > "design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
> > whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.
>
> You might find some of the systems that I worked on in the Bulletin of
> the American Meteorological Societs (BAMS). Have you never worked on
> anything worth appearing in a professional publication?

Yep.

But we weren't talking about you, me or meteorological systems,
now were we?

> > His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
> > ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to
> > Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.
>
> And you can't remember the common or technical nomeclature of teh radio
> systems that you claim to have used in the USMC.

No...I don't DISCUSS military radios here, Brian. I have NEVER,
not ONCE said "I don't remember"...

That's a LennieSpin. And when repeated by him or you, is a lie.

> How is it that you
> can remember the name of a system that Len worked on? I guess the
> purpose of repititious postings has finally had an effect.

To the point of nausea.

However SINCGARS was NEVER an Avionics system. Nor was it
intended to be.

> > > That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> > > decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> > > Very inciteful, that Len.
> >
> > That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
> > muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
> > "contributions" to "radio"
>

> You don't even know the radio systems that you claim to have used, yet
> you know that Len has mucked up every program that he has worked on?

Who SAID I don't now them?

> Something is really, really wrong with your claims, Steve.

No, Brian, something is very, VERY wrong with your's and Lennie's
stories about them.

> > > > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > > > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > > > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > > > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
> > >
> > > It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> > > dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> > > comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> > > waste. Why do they even bother?
> >
> > Huh? Is Mark Morgan ghost writing for you now?
>
> Itme, itme mispeeeling agin?
>
> > > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > > itme.
> > >
> > > Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> > > tooey.
> >
> > It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.
>
> I've just discussed your ego, tooey.

No, it's not.

Steve, K4YZ

2499. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:37:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128692274.914652.29780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Blushing Tammy wrote:
> >
> > Geeze, another account set up by Lloyd Davies........
> >
> > > What is a "big buck nigger".
> > >
> > > I've heard many a descriptive label for coons, but this is a new one.
> >
> > It's just one you and your gay lover Steve Holsten (Kennett, MO's
> > registered pedophile) use, Lardass!
>
> the new title yes it all

WTF? Your title of "Moron" is secure, now, tell us about your
self-imposed "Colonel" one, stupid. You must've gotten your "Kentucky
Colonel" merit badge while you were working as a fry cook at KFC.

>
> please grow up or take your meds or deal with whatever malfunction
> makes so obseesed with other males sexuality

Follow your own advice, dumbass.

2500. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:40:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128692426.851517.44560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Wrong, stupid, if you used "couldn't care less" it would be a double
> >>>negative, sort of like your I.Q.
> >>>
> >>
> >><idiocy flushed>
> >
> >
> > Your idiocy knows no bounds, pretend pilot's license boy. Smells like
> > Toadie under one of his sockpuppets.....
> >
>
> You're still cleaning out those porta-potties for a living I see.

Somebody has to keep you fed, since you can't feed yourself. No,
stupid, I don't clean out "port-a-potties", now post that proof you
have a "pilot's license."

2501. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:43:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128692633.312777.164790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Not Lloyd wrote:
>
> > This is a vain attempt <flush>

> Much too complicated <everything is too complicated for Buzzy>

Of course it is, just like that private pilot's test you couldn't pass.
There is no body of information that could possibly undo the severe
educational deprivation you underwent in your childhood. Go back to
school. You don't even know the most basic knowledge that most
Americans of the educated classes take for granted.
You can't make up shit to substitute for facts or reality or the truth.
You're a fucking joke.

2502. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:45:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128692726.517149.106480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

STFU, kiddie raper.

2503. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:48:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128692901.004680.122220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> If you don't have any substance to your claims, be sure to attack the
> periphera.

Like what you are attempting to do, hypocrite?

><whining and sniveling flushed> Much like this turd dalite01, should be flushed.

I can just imagine you being a pencil necked, zit coated geek with tape
on his glasses screeching at his monitor "I'LL KICK YOUR FUCKING
ASS" as the spit flies from your lips. I just know it's a 100 percent
accurate diagnosis, you fucking maggot.

> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128638220.620135.127490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> >> Thanks for confirming.
> >
> > Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are
> > talking about, ever?
> >
> >> Nothing else need to be said.
> >
> > "Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a
> > fucking English-impaired imbecile.
> >

2504. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:49:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128692963.920261.194660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120330

Starting from the title:

Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on
the net is child abuse

"feel" "telling" "one's"

You've only now chaged your "parameter" to "on the net".

However lying is lying.

nobodys old friend wrote:
> to all those persons believ spouting the bull#### that teaching one
> kids to lie on the net is child abuse I off ther following Numbers

"believe" "one's" "offer" "the"

> the Michigan Child abuse Hotline
> 1-800-942-4357
>
> it is my understanding that the above number may only be usable the
> residenet of Michigan

"residents"

You understand very little. That sentence had more holes in it
than the Bismark.

> so I also provide the following for the local office of the state
> agentcy in question
>
> 1-906-353-4700

"agency"

> this will connect you with the Family Independence agency for Houghton
> Baraga and Keweenaw Counties
>
> In some states is is a crime to falsely report child abuse, I do not
> know wether it is or is not a crime in Michigan

"whether" "it is", not "is is"

To falsely report ANY act agaist children is a crime in all
states, Mark. Including YOUR state of mind.

> I also know that you can make an anouomous report if you like, as
> someone did some time back claiming I was abusing my father. From that

> incident I know the law requires abuse claims to be investagted within
> 24 hours but that in practical term it sometimes takes a bit longer

"anonymous"

So NOW I know why they replied "we cannot comment on on-going
investigations" and "your report will be passed to the officer involved
in this case".

Note I quote "...in this case".

Obviously there was NO case as far as I KNEW..Someone slipped and
let the cat out of the bag and Mark obligingly verified it for me that
I was NOT the FIRST to approach the authorities in Michigan!

> So if you think I am abusing some child report, as you should if you
> truly believe that crap, otherwise I ask that you drop it.

No one said you're "abusing some child report"...Hey, take that
piece of paper out back, tape it to a tree, and plink at it all day
long for all I care! Abuse all the reports you care to!

> Of course I lack the power to enforce my wishes but I make them known

You only lack the power to "enforce" your wishes when you do
nothing to make them happen.

You have expressed a "wish" to be an HF-licensed Amateur...The
ONLY thing holding you back is YOU!

Mark...you were a pitiful little dweeb of a human BEFORE you made
this "invitation", and now you look all the more idiotic and paranoid
for it. Add that to your fractured, ill-conceived narrative and you
look more and more like you're in need of confined, definitive care.

They will be much more impressed if you show up voluntarilly and
ask for help, rather than have to drag you off kicking and screaming.

Do it now. Do it for your own good.

Steve, K4YZ

2505. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:50:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128693048.776910.147060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Not Lloyd wrote:
>> "tex rill" <trill@gvtc.net> wrote in message
>> news:4345D5C6.C8491571@gvtc.net...
>> This whole grp is out of hand now!
>>
>>
>> I get tired just reading all you whiney people bitching about these
>> postings!
>>
>>
>> Man I need a blow job now!

Your Mom is out for the evening?
>

<truth corrected>

> N0VFP is available and willing.

Call Lloyd Davies of Athens,AL, he's a faggot!

2506. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:55:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128693304.904634.26650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Again, you so quickly start whining. Dan didn't say he was going to
administer violence, he said you were a candidate for a barracks party,
stupid. Learn to read. How in the hell did you ever pass that
Technician test? Did you have to ask for a special administration for
those who are handicapped?

2507. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 13:58:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128693484.623782.75260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120333

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Dan didn't live in Illinois, stupid.
> > >
> > > never said Dan did live in Illinois
> >
> > Then fix your shitty newsreader or get the attribs right.
>
> you need to learn to read

You first, stupid.

> >
> > > but since you bring it up I know from various people in Illinois that
> > > someone claiming to be K4YZ have conacted almost every ham in the area
> > > with an accesable email adress about me. also since I have moved UP
> > > here someone claiming to be K4YZ has tried contacting the local hams
> > > here
> >
> > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
>
> Stevie admited to emailing them

Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.

> >
> > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> >
> > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > where it comes from.
>
> It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt

It seems to me you are brain damaged.

> >
> > > Stevie is a Stalker
> >
> > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
>
> good question your first decent one

You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.

2508. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:04:33 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <23512$4346806a$4e0b121$16046@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

From his posts I diagnose "an old friend" as having "Paranoid Personality Disorder".
Ace - WH2T

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1128534017.430638.187720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>
> > simple Bullshit Hans equality in rights does not mean
> > one gives up the right to the be different
>
> You have that part right --- equality in rights is a fine thing, and we
> all
> agree with it.

obvioulsy not Hans or I guess you haven't been reading Dan lately, he has been dening I have the same rights as Him. In addition Steve, Dee, Dave Heil, even most recently some one neamed "ace" and others making claims based on various nonsense that I don't have the same rights as they do

2509. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:09:08 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <73b2b$4346817d$4e0b121$16096@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I just looked under "moron" in the dictionary , they have A_O_F's picture.

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128604820.045475.224710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hey Doc,
>
> He's in the Encyclopedia Britannica under "moron." lol!
>

2510. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:17:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128694655.418052.282390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120336

K4YZ wrote:
> Starting from the title:
>
> Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on
> the net is child abuse
>
> "feel" "telling" "one's"
>
> You've only now chaged your "parameter" to "on the net".

not really I have always said in the porper place, which is mostly on
the net
>
> However lying is lying.
>
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > to all those persons believ spouting the bull#### that teaching one
> > kids to lie on the net is child abuse I off ther following Numbers
>
> "believe" "one's" "offer" "the"
>
> > the Michigan Child abuse Hotline
> > 1-800-942-4357
> >
> > it is my understanding that the above number may only be usable the
> > residenet of Michigan
>
> "residents"
>
> You understand very little. That sentence had more holes in it
> than the Bismark.
>
> > so I also provide the following for the local office of the state
> > agentcy in question
> >
> > 1-906-353-4700
>
> "agency"
>
> > this will connect you with the Family Independence agency for Houghton
> > Baraga and Keweenaw Counties
> >
> > In some states is is a crime to falsely report child abuse, I do not

> > know wether it is or is not a crime in Michigan
>
> "whether" "it is", not "is is"
>
> To falsely report ANY act agaist children is a crime in all
> states, Mark. Including YOUR state of mind.

no it is not Stevie In Indiania any report of child abuse can be legaly
can be made legaly

In Michigan is not a crime to falsely report elder abuse, as I checked
on when I had to deal with that false report
>
> > I also know that you can make an anouomous report if you like, as
> > someone did some time back claiming I was abusing my father. From that
> > incident I know the law requires abuse claims to be investagted within
> > 24 hours but that in practical term it sometimes takes a bit longer
>
> "anonymous"
>
> So NOW I know why they replied "we cannot comment on on-going
> investigations" and "your report will be passed to the officer involved
> in this case".
>
> Note I quote "...in this case".

nothing specail they say that always see further comments below
>
> Obviously there was NO case as far as I KNEW..Someone slipped and
> let the cat out of the bag and Mark obligingly verified it for me that
> I was NOT the FIRST to approach the authorities in Michigan!

maybe not

for daring to take public stands on issues over the years I have been
reported for many things

if you had asked I would have confirmed it for you

those taking political stand in this "free" country have for years been
the targets of effort
>
> > So if you think I am abusing some child report, as you should if you
> > truly believe that crap, otherwise I ask that you drop it.
>
> No one said you're "abusing some child report"...Hey, take that
> piece of paper out back, tape it to a tree, and plink at it all day
> long for all I care! Abuse all the reports you care to!
>
> > Of course I lack the power to enforce my wishes but I make them known
>
> You only lack the power to "enforce" your wishes when you do

> nothing to make them happen.

more bullshit Stevie nothing I can realy do to stop your harrasment, at least not without breaking the law
>
> You have expressed a "wish" to be an HF-licensed Amateur...The
> ONLY thing holding you back is YOU!

not at all My publicaly stated estimate says I will achive that goal on or about 1 APR 2006
>
> Mark...you were a pitiful little dweeb of a human BEFORE you made
> this "invitation", and now you look all the more idiotic and paranoid
> for it. Add that to your fractured, ill-conceived narrative and you
> look more and more like you're in need of confined, definitive care.

well simply tried of the threat and bullshit

but again if you think I am abusing a child make a report. It will the half dozen or so reports that I know about, let alone the ones that never rose to a level that anyone bothered with
>
> They will be much more impressed if you show up voluntarilly and
> ask for help, rather than have to drag you off kicking and screaming.

show up and say "Stevie says I should report myself for child abuse becuase I think kids should be taught to always lie in public forums on the internet, and in certain other condictions as well"

being that stupid is about the only thing I could do that might get me comitted

BTW I realy don't care about impressing figures of authority. I would have thought you'd learned that by now
>
> Do it now. Do it for your own good.

try it yourself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2511. Stevie admits to being no one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:19:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128694755.976428.158290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120337

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> > people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> > life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS
>
> I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.

there you have it Stevie admit to being no one
>
cut

2512. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:27:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128695220.022483.72240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut

>
> BTW, Brian, Lennie has publically stated that his last "public
> service" effort had to do with the filling of sandbags in
> 1970-something...His words...Not mine.

so what?
>
> > > > > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > > > > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > > > > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > > > > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > > > > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > > > > add water and shake.
> > > >
> > > > Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
> > > > in microwave communications.
> > >
break
> > > You need to re-orient yourself, Brian...
> >
> > I'm Quitefine in my orientation.
>
> No, you're not.

that you think he isn't is the best proof a man could ask for that his
orienation is fine
>
cut
> > > > Except for perhaps West Point, Army
> > > > training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
> > > > installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.
> > >
> > > Uh huh...And the "equipment" Lennie was "trained" on required a
> > > walk-in closet to facilitate.
> >
> > Sounds like many a radio room at the base of a communications tower.

>
> I can do with the stuff on my desktop most of what Lennie claims
> he could do in 1953. I'd say that's a bit of a change.

not in physics it isn't
>
> > > > His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> > > > both.
> >
> > > He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
> > > "design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
> > > whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.
> >
> > You might find some of the systems that I worked on in the Bulletin of
> > the American Meteorological Societs (BAMS). Have you never worked on
> > anything worth appearing in a professional publication?
>
> Yep.
>
> But we weren't talking about you, me or meteorological systems,
> now were we?

well Brain was

again claiming that Only Stevie may decide on topics
>
> > > His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
> > > ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to
> > > Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.
> >
> > And you can't remember the common or technical nomeclature of teh radio
> > systems that you claim to have used in the USMC.
>
> No...I don't DISCUSS military radios here, Brian. I have NEVER,
> not ONCE said "I don't remember"...

no you called an avoinics system a comissioned officer, it would have
been more convincing if you simply said you don't remember

BTW I remember very about the names of the Radio I came into contacct
with, only SINGARS stuck in my mind at all
>
> That's a LennieSpin. And when repeated by him or you, is a lie.
>
> > How is it that you
> > can remember the name of a system that Len worked on? I guess the
> > purpose of repititious postings has finally had an effect.
>
> To the point of nausea.
>
> However SINCGARS was NEVER an Avionics system. Nor was it
> intended to be.

no one ever said it an avoins systm more of you "Big Lie" stuff
>
> > > > That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> > > > decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> > > > Very inciteful, that Len.
> > >
> > > That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
> > > muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
> > > "contributions" to "radio"
> >
> > You don't even know the radio systems that you claim to have used, yet
> > you know that Len has mucked up every program that he has worked on?
>
> Who SAID I don't now them?

you

>
> > Something is really, really wrong with your claims, Steve.
>
> No, Brian, something is very, VERY wrong with your's and Lennie's
> stories about them.

maybe it is that you can't back anything up after demanding that
everyone else do so

> > > > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > > > itme.
> > > >
> > > > Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> > > > tooey.
> > >
> > > It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.
> >
> > I've just discussed your ego, tooey.
>
> No, it's not.

yes he did

and it is interesting that you are obcessed with Len and I
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2513. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:30:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128695437.975029.95070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > Not Lloyd wrote:
> >
> > > This is a vain attempt <flush>
>
> > Much too complicated <everything is too complicated for Buzzy>
>
> Of course it is, just like that private pilot's test you couldn't pass.
> There is no body of information that could possibly undo the severe
> educational deprivation you underwent in your childhood. Go back to
> school. You don't even know the most basic knowledge that most
> Americans of the educated classes take for granted.

you can't keep you story straight though even a single post. If no body
of info .. were then going back to school would not wor

> You can't make up shit to substitute for facts or reality or the truth.

why can't he, You do, Stevie and Dave and Dan do

why isn't the "Comander" allowed the same right
> You're a fucking joke.

2514. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:32:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128695573.646087.233250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120340

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut

> > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> >
> > Stevie admited to emailing them
>
> Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.

I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off
>
> > >
> > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > >
> > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > where it comes from.
> >
> > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
>
> It seems to me you are brain damaged.
>
> > >
> > > > Stevie is a Stalker
> > >
> > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
> >
> > good question your first decent one
>
> You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.

becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker

but it was a good question your best

2515. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:35:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128695746.304402.249050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Again, you so quickly start whining. Dan didn't say he was going to
> administer violence,
he sure did inviting down to Dixie for an aittute ajustment

> he said you were a candidate for a barracks party,
> stupid. Learn to read. How in the hell did you ever pass that
> Technician test? Did you have to ask for a special administration for
> those who are handicapped?

nope passed it before the Book I rdered arived with the question

2516. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:37:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128695828.755077.65460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> From his posts I diagnose "an old friend" as having "Paranoid Personality
> Disorder".
> Ace - WH2T

ah yes medical practic from the Doctor of Theology

some freindly advice making such statment and signing them DR might get
you into in some states

2517. Re: Markie makes up more stories about how "Stevie" admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 14:38:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128695923.371125.173540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120343

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Dan didn't live in Illinois, stupid.
>
> never said Dan did live in Illinois
>
> but since you bring it up I know from various people in Illinois that
> someone claiming to be K4YZ have conacted almost every ham in the area
> with an accesable email adress about me.

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> also since I have moved UP
> here someone claiming to be K4YZ has tried contacting the local hams
> here

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

In both cases easily proven as to WHO made those alleged e mails.
Any competent police department can have the information in uder an
hour...

All the more reason why Mark Morgan proves himsoef a liar.

> Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
>
> Stevie is a Stalker

No he's not.

But Mark Morgan is a paranoid pathological liar.

Steve, K4YZ

2518. Re: Stevie admits again laughing his ### off over Markies claims of stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 15:01:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128696298.435985.107650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120344

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> cut

"cut" - censorship

> > > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> > >
> > > Stevie admited to emailing them
> >
> > Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.
>
> I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off

Funny seeing you (Markie) lambasting somemone about "hackem off".

> > > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > > >
> > > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > > where it comes from.
> > >
> > > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
> >
> > It seems to me you are brain damaged.
> >
> > >
> > > > Stevie is a Stalker
> > >
> > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
> > >
> > good question your first decent one
> >
> > You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.
>
> becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
> becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker
>
> but it was a good question your best

The answer is that I have "stalked" Mark, I have never threatened
him, not have I threatened his family regardless of what gene pool they

emenate from.

Mark's claims are a long-established pattern of positioning himself as a "victim", there by trying to undermine any challenge that may arise to his rants and silliness.

Mark Morgan is a pathological liar. His mistruthfulness can be followed back almost 10 years. He is a thief, a liar and is, in my opinion, mentally unstable.

Steve, K4YZ

2519. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 17:44:14 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <di6c5eupi1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 120345

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> "THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
>>>>
>>>> Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
>>>> mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
>>>> (almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
>>>> 1970s.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge Neon.
>>> The 2 liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall
>>> but I have 4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the
>>> roof of the car.
>>>
>>> Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as if we
>>> *do* have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's use
>>> theirs before we use ours".
>>
>>
>>
>> Well, if that is our game plan, we better have enough reserves to
>> fight most of the world off. Otherwise it is dangerous brinkmanship.
>
>
> The countries which produce oil are interested in selling it. We're
> interested in buying it. I don't see any danger in that at all.
```

There is another market these days which would be more than happy to
buy the oil we purchase. We aren't the only game in town any more.

>>>> Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy problems? The
>>>> White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more than half
>>>> a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of what needs
>>>> to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, we aren't going to be driving electrics because of limited
>>> range. The hybrids are quite expensive. The hydrogen-powered car
>>> won't be viable until we can produce hydrogen cheaply.
>>
>>
>>
>> I really doubt that H is going to ever be a valid fuel.
>
>
> I used to think that but I heard a recent radio story which might change
> my mind. The South Africans are developing a "pellet bed" small nuclear
> reactor. Tennis ball-sized spheres of graphite and ceramic are packed
> with yellow cake. Core temps can never get hot enough for meltdown but
> are high enough to produce hydrogen and to desalinate sea water.
>
>>> What kind of leadership would you like to see?
>>
>>
>>
>> I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single
>> digit fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under
>> insulated McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an
>> unpatriotic act.
>
>
> Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet.

Sure. But they are also using up a critical strategic resource,
contributing to the imbalance of trade, and other things like that. Some
patriots.

> I
> know a number of pickup truck owners hereabouts, who are buying small
> cars. Nobody is building underinsulated anything these days.

Hmm, I suggest you come up to my area after a snowstorm. On most of the
McMansions, the snow is gone a few hours after the storm. The same snow
on my roof would be there for a week or so.

There is a wierd thing going on in my area, and I guess others as well.
Conserving activities are seen as a liberal thing, and seems to be a
litmus test. I knew a woman on campus that refused to recycle because

"it just encourages the liberals". So we get the same thing with
automobiles and house insulation. But we definitely have a lot of big
houses that appear to have no insulation (or very little) in the house.

> My pal
> W8RHM built his dream home three years ago. It is large and it has
> geothermal heating. The heating system was supposed to pay for itself
> within ten years or so. With the energy hikes of the past few years,
> it'll be paid off much sooner. 'RHM is now paying winter heating bills
> of 45-65 bucks. Those who have big, old homes will sell 'em to someone
> who can afford to heat them.

As long as there are people who can afford to heat 'em. My prediction
is that they will become white elephants.

>> The way we are with oil and gas in recent times reminds me of the
>> legendary lighting of cigars with 100 dollar bills. In yo' face
>> consumption...
>
>
> You may feel free to paint me with that brush.

Fiesty, Dave? I apologize if you think I was painting you as anything.
I doubt most Neon drivers are profligate energy wasters.

> My lease agreement with
> Columbia Gas provides me with 300,000 cubic feet of gas yearly. I'm
> barely using more than half.
>
> I'm heating a glassed-in side porch and a workshop in the barn. As soon
> as I get around to it, I'm adding a greenhouse lean to on the back of
> the barn. I've a gas conversion kit for a gasoline generator. In
> short, I'm going to very conspicuously use right up to that 300,000
> cubic feet and I'm not going to feel any guilt over it at all.
>
>> I think that one critical lesson that should be gleaned from these
>> two hurricanes this summer is that we are incredibly vulnerable in a
>> few important areas. under the right circumstances, losing that much
>> oil and gas production could be a near fatal blow.
>
>
> That's right. We need to drill in more places. ANWAR should be
> hurricane proof.
>
> Nobody wants to discuss one of the real solutions to sufficient energy:
> more nuke reactors.

The way I see it, in the not too distant future, we will make a choice:

1. Rely on Nuclear power and build a lot more plants.

2. Go back to the middle ages.

It's just about that simple. While people can conserve energy, I doubt
that they can conserve enough. The US has around 300 million people
right now. We will be at 400 million around 35 years from now. Can all
of us cut back 25 percent in energy usage? And that would be to just
tread water. Not to mention finding fossil fuels that will allow us to
continue our present "burn rate". Pun intended.

I support the alternative energy production modes. But we have to be
realistic. They are a localized phenomenon, and won't likely be a major
solution

>>>> I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that
>>>> are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be
>>>> done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into
>>>> rebuilding than it would take to relocate?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare that NOLA
>>> won't be rebuilt?
>>
>>
>>
>> Nope. New Orleans will be rebuilt, and will be rebuilt again, and
>> perhaps a third or forth time, until it slips beneath the waves for good.
>
>
> Yep and people are free to build where they choose. Without the freedom
> to make choices, America wouldn't be America. I won't be rushing to buy
> a home in New Orleans but most of those folks wouldn't live on a hilltop
> in rural West Virginia. That suits their needs...and mine.

I have no problem in principle with a person building their house on
the lava dome of Mt St Helens if they are so inclined. However, I do
have a problem if he wants me to buy his insurance or build him a new
house when the present one burns up because of it's location. Same goes
for building that wonderful vacation retreat on a barrier island or 50
feet from the ocean. That land is transient, and IMO so is any human
structure built on it

Do you support paying for these peoples stupidity? (The stupidity is in
my opinion - but a pretty good case can be made for it being stupid)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2520. Interesting Thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 17:54:09 UTC
From: Skipper <fairwinds@cox.net>
Message-ID: <4346B641.C4F3647F@cox.net>
Source record: 120346

Interesting comments posted in the rec.boats.cruising NG:

Subject: Re: Ham license issue
Date: Fri, 07 Oct 2005 09:08:44 -0400
From: Larry <noone@home.com>

> I thought the ARRL only acted in an advisory role.

FCC has been heavily lobbied by ARRL since the 1930's. Because of this fact, ARRL has had a virtual lock on what FCC does about ham radio. ARRL is the only reason voice transmission is segregated in tiny bands. ARRL caused the stupid caste system where their old buddies that were grandfathered into Extra had the finest DX frequencies, while newcomers had to struggle through the caste system ARRL created with "incentive licensing" all these years. It nearly has ruined ham radio, discouraging the young from joining the caste system.

In more recent history, a new communications mode has bypassed this nonsense created by ARRL. The youngsters now have computers on broadband internet and can talk to anyone they like, bypassing this archaic caste system ARRL created. So, ham radio is dying of old age, still stuck in the caste system.

FCC, noticing ham radio's demise, has recently broken away from allowing ARRL to virtually run things. FCC dumped part of the caste system by eliminating Novice and Advanced Class caste system licenses. FCC, over the strenuous lobbying of ARRL, dumped 20 and 13 wpm code tests the caste system used to prevent too many people from getting ham licenses and crowding the old farts on their little near-private HF bands. Too bad this attempt to save it was too slow and too late.

Set up two tables 15 feet apart at any function for young people, say a Scout Jamboree or school function. On one table put up a gee-whiz ham radio exhibit with a big antenna and all the toys. On the other table, put a PC hooked to the internet with nothing but a simple sign saying "Internet Access". Watch what happens. Ham radio is, rightly, perceived as an old

man's hobby. Internet just attracts them like flies to sugar. Too
slow,
too late, too DOOMED....and it's all ARRL's fault and the old farts that
run it.

--
Skipper

2521. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 18:05:26 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <n6edk1p7111ljo6ics6kibcng7266seoof@4ax.com>
Source record: 120347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Not Lloyd" <NotWoger@Glendale.om> wrote:
> "tex rill" <trill@gvtc.net> wrote in message
> news:4345D5C6.C8491571@gvtc.net...
> This whole grp is out of hand now!
>
> I get tired just reading all you whiney people bitching about these
> postings!
>
> Man I need a blow job now!
>
> tex.
>
> ab8mq is available.
>
You better know it! I prefer uncut with gobs of
smegma butt like the chicken said..'any cock el
do!

I also have my own glory hole in a converted
porta-toilet if anyone is interested. (it smells
like sex inside)

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2522. I lost my pic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 18:12:28 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <ngedk1h02astcbpbipsb3vri5klce0c9vk@4ax.com>
Source record: 120349

I've somehow lost my online pic, can someone
repost it for me? I'd like to show the men in the
gay groups how cute I am.

TIA.

Wodger.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2523. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 18:13:08 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <jSy1f.90$ke.2@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Ever consider taking a Verbal Advantage Course?

I don't waste any emotion on postings like yours, other than amusement.

They are enjoyable for the entertainment content.

I enjoy drawing the real whack jobs out so they can get the attention they
so desperately seek.

Take a Bow.

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128692901.004680.122220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> If you don't have any substance to your claims, be sure to attack the
>> periphera.
>
> Like what you are attempting to do, hypocrite?
>
>><whining and sniveling flushed> Much like this turd dalite01, should be
>>flushed.
>
> I can just imagine you being a pencil necked, zit coated geek with tape
> on his glasses screeching at his monitor "I'LL KICK YOUR FUCKING
> ASS" as the spit flies from your lips. I just know it's a 100 percent
> accurate diagnosis, you fucking maggot.
>
>
>
>> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128638220.620135.127490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> >> Thanks for confirming.
>> >
>> > Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are
>> > talking about, ever?
>> >
>> >> Nothing else need to be said.
>> >
>> > "Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a
>> > fucking English-impaired imbecile.
>> >
>

2524. Re:"Stevie" admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 18:26:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128709562.911712.130260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120400

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Dan didn't live in Illinois, stupid.
> >
> > never said Dan did live in Illinois
> >
> > but since you bring it up I know from various people in Illinois that
> > someone claiming to be K4YZ have conacted almost every ham in the area
> > with an accesable email adress about me.
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

and you have admited to contacting Hams in Illinois
>
> > also since I have moved UP
> > here someone claiming to be K4YZ has tried contacting the local hams
> > here
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> In both cases easily proven as to WHO made those alleged e mails.
> Any competent police department can have the information in uder an
> hour...

if the folks saved for the cops etc all those that I have been informed
about it delted them as over the tope bullshit
>
> All the more reason why Mark Morgan proves himsoef a liar.

auf anglish stevie
>
> > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> >
> > Stevie is a Stalker
>
> No he's not.

yes he is
>
> But Mark Morgan is a paranoid pathological liar.

nope
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2525. Re: Stevie admits Markies claims of stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 18:29:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128709752.148350.146850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120401

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > cut
>
> "cut" - censorship
>
> > > > > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> > > > >
> > > > Stevie admited to emailing them
> > >
> > > Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.
> >
> > I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off
>
> Funny seeing you (Markie) lambasting somemone about "hackem off".

no lambasting made a simple coment to about it
>
> > > > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > > > >
> > > > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > > > where it comes from.
> > > >
> > > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
> > >
> > > It seems to me you are brain damaged.
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Stevie is a Stalker
> > > > >
> > > > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
> > > >
> > > good question your first decent one
> > >
> > > You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.
> >
> > becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
> > becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker

> >
> > but it was a good question your best
>
> The answer is that I have "stalked" Mark,

that you have it an falt out admission

> I have never threatened
> him, not have I threatened his family regardless of what gene pool they
> emenate from.

and now we are back to Stevies lying again
>
> Mark's claims are a long-established pattern of positioning
> himself as a "victim", there by trying to undermine any challenge that
> may arise to his rants and silliness.

more lies from Stevie
>
> Mark Morgan is a pathological liar.

more Stevie Bullshit

> His mistruthfulness can be
> followed back almost 10 years.

hmm 7 years is now 10 ok stevie

> He is a thief,

this is new.

What praytell have I stolen?

> a liar and is, in my
> opinion, mentally unstable.

being more carefull now I see
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2526. when is the Stevie Dictionary coming in print

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:01:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128710097.413097.166330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120405

when are you going to try and publish your stuff it should put leno's writers out of work

Paranoia = (acording to Stevie) suggesting that people take action the target knows to be harmless to him, if they are not blowing smoke

Censorship=(according to Stevie) hacking the endless reptition of matter on the USENET

Homesexuality=(according to Stevie) disagreeing him Stevie

lying = disagreeing with stevie

Lying+ (also according to Stevie) is child abuse and is the makeing of Kiddy porn

I can hardly wait to see what Stevie can do with the rest of the english lang

2527. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:03:09 UTC
From: anon <anon@anonnotenxnet.com>
Message-ID: <di6gm1$10s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:6pmdnVxR2bAXXNvenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>
> LOL at the Glendale, WV retard.

Pls dont LOL at the Roger. He has a correspondence Masters
Degree from DeVry and is currently doing his doctoral dissertation
on RMS voltage & negative SWR. DeVry says they dont award
graduate degrees earned via correspondence, but Roger insists they
do. You be the judge.

2528. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:03:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128711790.936337.265230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Again, you so quickly start whining. Dan didn't say he was going to
> > administer violence,
> he sure did inviting down to Dixie for an aittute ajustment

The good thing for you is that you couldn't locate "Dixie" on a map if
you had to (or any other location). You can't even write a complete
sentence. How you manage to find your way back to your cardboard box on
a daily basis is a miracle in itself. Or do you even venture outside?

> > he said you were a candidate for a barracks party,
> > stupid. Learn to read. How in the hell did you ever pass that
> > Technician test? Did you have to ask for a special administration for
> > those who are handicapped?
>
> nope passed it before the Book I rdered arived with the question
^^

WTF are you babbling about, retard?

2529. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:08:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128712081.154176.31690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > Not Lloyd wrote:
> > >
> > > > This is a vain attempt <flush>
> >
> > > Much too complicated <everything is too complicated for Buzzy>
> >
> > Of course it is, just like that private pilot's test you couldn't pass.
> > There is no body of information that could possibly undo the severe
> > educational deprivation you underwent in your childhood. Go back to
> > school. You don't even know the most basic knowledge that most
> > Americans of the educated classes take for granted.
>
> you can't keep you story straight though even a single post. If no body
> of info .. were then going back to school would not wor

Says the fucking retard who can't help but lie his retarded ass off.

> > You can't make up shit to substitute for facts or reality or the truth.
>
> why can't he, You do, Stevie and Dave and Dan do

Why can't you just die of your AIDS?

> why isn't the "Comander" allowed the same right

The Commander is a fraud with claims of a pilot's license.
> > You're a fucking joke.

2530. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:09:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128712161.321143.300910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LOL at the fake pilot's license BOY who thinks everybody is Roger Wiseman! Commander Buzzy the retard of all retards who thinks he has a pilots's license.

2531. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:14:12 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128712452.560643.326960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

anon wrote:
>
> Pls dont

Mongolloyd makes good sentences! Mongolloyd aka "anon" finally got his G.E.D. several years after he flunked out of high school and that didn't even stop him from Roger doesn't have a Master's from Devry, dumbass Davies, but he has credit towards a Master's, but you can't even get the fucking story right. Devry doesn't offer Master's degrees, dumbass! Roger went to a real college, unlike you. As always. Sounds like your G.E.D. does you well, it gets you hired at those high paying pizza delivery BOY "careers." BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..But you even got fired (once again, you get fired quite often from jobs)from that and are still way behind in your HUD apartment rent and bills.

2532. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:17:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128712679.593564.73480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120410

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> cut

Run away!!!! Run, Marky, Run!!!

> > > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> > >
> > > Stevie admited to emailing them
> >
> > Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.
>
> I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off

Bullshit. Learn to use your fucking newsreader.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > > >
> > > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > > where it comes from.
> > >
> > > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
> >
> > It seems to me you are brain damaged.
> >
> > > >
> > > > > Stevie is a Stalker
> > > >
> > > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
> > >
> > > good question your first decent one
> >
> > You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.
>
> becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
> becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker

But you don't answer, because you don't have one and can't answer. You
are just a pathological liar.

> but it was a good question your best

And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2533. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:20:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128712833.916926.37260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

LLoyd Davies N0VFP wrote:

> You better know it! I prefer uncut with gobs of
> smegma butt like the chicken said..'any cock el
> do!
>
> I also have my own glory hole in a converted
> porta-toilet if anyone is interested. (it smells
> like sex inside)

We know you do, Davies, that's why you are a 32 yerar old VIRGIN, you are a QUEER!

2534. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:25:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128713105.870292.11580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> Ever consider <delusions flushed>

No, I'm not interested in your faggotry.

Smegmalips dalite01, the only thing you can draw out is the flies from
the stench of your incontinence. You're the one who called out
for my attention, remember? You probably don't remember. I guess the
time you picked your nose with a screwdriver and accidentally jammed
it into whatever is left of your grey matter has affected your short
term memory.

Maybe you should just fuck off now. Either that or we can continue
using you for a public urinal like everyone else does, you fat fucking
tub of guts. I kind of like the novelty of a toilet that talks back.

>
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128692901.004680.122220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> >> If you don't have any substance to your claims, be sure to attack the
> >> periphera.
> >
> > Like what you are attempting to do, hypocrite?
> >
> >><whining and sniveling flushed> Much like this turd dalite01, should be
> >>flushed.
> >
> > I can just imagine you being a pencil necked, zit coated geek with tape
> > on his glasses screeching at his monitor "I'LL KICK YOUR FUCKING
> > ASS" as the spit flies from your lips. I just know it's a 100 percent
> > accurate diagnosis, you fucking maggot.
> >
> >
> >
> >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128638220.620135.127490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> >> >> Thanks for confirming.
> >> >
> >> > Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are
> >> > talking about, ever?

> >> >
> >> >> Nothing else need to be said.
> >> >
> >> > "Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a
> >> > fucking English-impaired imbecile.
> >> >
> >

2535. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:26:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128713183.044551.194780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

typo: "year"

Now watch as the morons dance! Put on your little red caps, morons, and
dance because I corrected my typo.

2536. Re: I lost my pic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:29:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128713393.596627.91690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120414

Lloyd Davies N0VFP wrote:
> I've somehow lost my online pic, can someone
> repost it for me? I'd like to show the men in the
> gay groups how cute I am.
>
> TIA.

Here it is, Davies:

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/lloyd_is_hungry.jpg

> Wodger.

Nice to see you show off your permanent cocksucking lisp, Davies.

2537. Re: Stevie admits again to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:37:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128713866.995512.181070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120415

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > cut
>
> Run away!!!! Run, Marky, Run!!!
>
> > > > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> > > >
> > > > Stevie admited to emailing them
> > >
> > > Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.
> >
> > I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off
>
> Bullshit. Learn to use your fucking newsreader.

no the problem is at tour end I realy can't help you there
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > > > >
> > > > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > > > where it comes from.
> > > >
> > > > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
> > >
> > > It seems to me you are brain damaged.
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Stevie is a Stalker
> > > > >
> > > > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
> > > >
> > > > good question your first decent one
> > >
> > > You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.
> >

> > becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
> > becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker
>
> But you don't answer, because you don't have one and can't answer. You
> are just a pathological liar.

agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed with me,
that is the plain and simple truth

>
> > but it was a good question your best
>
> And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2538. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:46:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <6pmdnVxR2bAXXNvenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Not Lloyd wrote:
>>
>>
>>> This is a vain attempt <flush>
>
>
>>Much too complicated <everything is too complicated for Buzzy>
>
>
> Of course it is, just like that private pilot's test you couldn't pass.
> There is no body of information that could possibly undo the severe
> educational deprivation you underwent in your childhood. Go back to
> school. You don't even know the most basic knowledge that most
> Americans of the educated classes take for granted.
> You can't make up shit to substitute for facts or reality or the truth.
> You're a fucking joke.
>

LOL at the Glendale, WV retard.

2539. Marky admits to being a liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:46:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128714416.567392.13870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120416

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > cut
> >
> > Run away!!!! Run, Marky, Run!!!
> >
> > > > > > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Stevie admited to emailing them
> > > > >
> > > > Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.
> > >
> > > I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off
> >
> > Bullshit. Learn to use your fucking newsreader.
>
> no the problem is at tour end I realy can't help you there

You are giving tours of your cardboard box, Marky?

You should spend what retard check money you get from the government on
new software.

> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > > > > where it comes from.
> > > > >
> > > > > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
> > > >
> > > It seems to me you are brain damaged.
> > >
> > > > > > > Stevie is a Stalker
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
```

> > > > >
> > > > > good question your first decent one
> > > > >
> > > > You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.
> > >
> > > becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
> > > becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker
> >
> > But you don't answer, because you don't have one and can't answer. You
> > are just a pathological liar.
>
> agreed

Marky admits to being a pathological liar!

> >
> > > but it was a good question your best
> >
> > And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2540. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:48:12 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128714492.750853.22200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120417

STFU, child abuser.

2541. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:48:19 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <6pmdnV9R2bCdX9venZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> From his posts I diagnose "an old friend" as having "Paranoid Personality
> Disorder".

More like "LOABD" (Lack Of A Brain Disorder).

2542. whiny the forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 19:58:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128715110.527333.253690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120418

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > cut
> > >
> > > Run away!!!! Run, Marky, Run!!!
> > >
> > > > > > > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Stevie admited to emailing them
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.
> > > > >
> > > > I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off
> > >
> > > Bullshit. Learn to use your fucking newsreader.
> >
> > no the problem is at tour end I realy can't help you there
>
> You are giving tours of your cardboard box, Marky?
>
> You should spend what retard check money you get from the government on
> new software.
>
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > > > > > > > where it comes from.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It seems to me you are brain damaged.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Stevie is a Stalker

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > good question your first decent one
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.
> > > > >
> > > > > becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
> > > > > becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker
> > >
> > > But you don't answer, because you don't have one and can't answer. You
> > > are just a pathological liar.
> >
> > agreed
>
> Marky admits to being a pathological liar!

not exacaly

I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
with me,
that is the plain and simple truth"

now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
>
> > >
> > > but it was a good question your best
> > >
> > > And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2543. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 20:01:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128715261.355639.307140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120419

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> STFU, child abuser.

go ahead make the call

Do something for a change don't just whine about it I gave you the
number

you are just coward like Stevie and Dan and most of the others

full of bullshit but you talk the talk but don't walk the walk

2544. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 21:00:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <plB1f.11554$q1.2692@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128644023.849910.315740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> what are you smoking bitch?
>>
>> no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
>> >
>> > However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point
>> > in
>> > conversing with you at this time.
>>
>> see to it then
>>
>> nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
>> yourself
>> >
>>
>> Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for an
>> attitude adjustment.
>
> mind your own affairs, and use some sense Not likely I would accept
> such an "invitation"
>
> But it is amazing how freely you resort to suggesting violence
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Here in Dixie we respect our women folk. I see why we had a war a lot
clearer now.

Dan/W4NTI

2545. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 21:01:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <QmB1f.11555$q1.15@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>> > news:xuidnQZ6T_RjFtneRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
>> >> an old friend wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> BTW hans here is another of the folks dening that I have the same
>> >>> rights
>> >>>
>> >>> so indeed most of the regulars are in agreement about equal rights
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> OK stupid, I'll give you a chance to prove that. Just where/when have
>> >> I
>> >> ever denied you have the same rights?
>> >
>> > Don't bother. Everyone who has ever disagreed with him or pointed out
>> > his
>> > errors or used normal English words such as "class" in exactly the same
>> > manner as the FCC uses it is automatically denying him his rights
>> > and/or
>> > denigrating him. Only his definitions can be considered correct or he
>> > is
>> > being denied his rights.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>>
>> I'll guarantee ya'll one thing.....Mark has never been in any form of
>> Basic
>> Training. And if he was he was discharged way before the first 10 days.
>
> more bullshit from you
>>
>> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
>
> again you so quickly go to suggesting violence

>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

That's what happens when you allowed to carry a gun and shoot people Markie.

Dan/W4NTI

2546. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 21:08:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128719280.907254.91650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
> > more bullshit from you
> >>
> >> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
> >
> > again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> That's what happens when you allowed to carry a gun and shoot people Markie.

gee just what army are you tlaking about

US soldier are not generaly allowed to shott people
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2547. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 21:10:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128719438.866451.302740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128644023.849910.315740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> what are you smoking bitch?
> >>
> >> no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
> >> >
> >> > However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point
> >> > in
> >> > conversing with you at this time.
> >>
> >> see to it then
> >>
> >> nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
> >> yourself
> >> >
> >>
> >> Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for an
> >> attitude adjustment.
> >
> > mind your own affairs, and use some sense Not likely I would accept
> > such an "invitation"
> >
> > But it is amazing how freely you resort to suggesting violence
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Here in Dixie we respect our women folk. I see why we had a war a lot
> clearer now.

again with the suggestions of violence

and the female will be treated with respect when she earns it
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2548. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-07 22:40:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128724839.749171.260690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120424

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > MY biggest disappointment is that we are as close to Mars
> > > as we've
> > > been in centuries, but we don't have a manned mission there > > > yet.
> >
> > ???
> >
> > Not sure what you mean, Steve.
>
> I MEAN that my biggest disappointment is that we are as close to
> Mars as we've been in centuries, but we don't have a manned
> mission
> there yet. How was that confusing?

The distance from Earth to Mars varies from when the two planets are
right next to each other in their orbits (about 35 million miles) to
when they are opposite each other, with the Sun in between (well over
210 million miles).

The minimum distance varies with each orbit - is that what you meant?

> > Going to Mars is at least 100 times more difficult than going > > to the
> > moon. Mars never gets closer than 30 million miles - more
> > than 100
> > times farther away than the moon. A Mars mission would be
> > years long
> > rather than a week or two. Martian gravity and atmosphere
> > make the
> > problems even worse.
>
> Yep...But...
>
> It's been over 30 years since we've walked on the moon. > We got
> there in a bit over 9 years from President Kennedy's
> challenge to do
> so.

Yep. Think about *why* the USA was able to do it.

And if it took 9 years to get to the moon, and Mars is 100 times
harder, that works out to 900 years!

> We've squandered those intervening years on LEO manned
> missions.

I'd hardly say they were "squandered". Other things took precedence.
>
> Astronomers and scientists knew then when Mars would be in an
> Earth-Mars-Earth (In this thread if I refer to EME, this is
> what I
> mean...Not EME as in "moonbounce")-transit favorable trajectory.

And they know all the problems. One of them is that with any known practical rocket technology, a mission to Mars will take *years*. With no hope of rescue from Earth if anything serious goes wrong.

> There have been hundreds of plans put forth using AVAILABLE
> technology and resources to get there...All we had to do was
> pick one and get started.

The plans are only basic outlines. They require lots of development to become reality.

> Just ONE plan that seemed practical enough (or at least made the
> most sense) to me was one to send the necessary resources for
> life
> support in a series of UN-manned "pre-missions" while the
> manned vessel
> was prepared in Earth orbit.

Of course. The first missions would be one-way supply ships that would orbit Mars for years, waiting for people to show up. They could go at very slow speeds to maximize the usable payload.

> Resources would be pre-positioned both on
> Mars and along the way.

Pre-positioning "along the way" isn't practical. The only really practical place to put a supply cache is in Martian orbit.

> Not one man had to spend a day in orbit until
> the "package" was in place.

More like "packages", so that the mission would not depend on any single component.

Now consider how much payload would have to be sent ahead. We're talking *tons* of supplies and equipment, all of which would have to be still usable after *years* in space. Sure it can be done, but it's not easy, fast or inexpensive.

> > If it costs $100-200 billion or more to go to the moon, what > > would Mars cost?
>
> Who cares?

Those of us who have to pay the bills!

> The PRESENT Space program has already generated TRILLIONS of
> dollars in new technology and incentives since July, 1969..

Like what?

And can you guarantee that the money would not have been better
spent on direct problem solving?

> Imagine
> where we COULD have been...?!?!

Do you remember the 1970s? I do.

All through the 1950s and 1960s, "aerospace" was the big deal.
Incredible resources were poured into the space programs and "defense".
We were told our future would be like that shown on "The Jetsons".

But when the supply of cheap oil disappeared in the early 1970s, we
found ourselves unable to produce a decent "economy" car. "Aerospace"
didn't help the folks in the gas lines.

Meanwhile the Japanese and Europeans already had lots of good economy
cars. It's taken the US auto industry decades to catch up.

Now we're set to repeat that history.

> Maybe we would have had our CURRENT level of technology 15 or 20
> years ago?

How? And current level of which technology?

> Just think...If we'd pared only $10B from each YEAR'S defense
> budget since 1970, we'd have "only" spent $360B now, which is
> about 1
> 1/2 years military budget over THIRTY-SIX years...And wudda had > an
> American flag and American footprints on TWO astronomical
> bodies by
> now.

Imagine if that money had gone into energy independence instead.

> If we're going to have a tit-for-tat about the bottom-line
> practicality of going, Jim, I'm not up for it.

I am. That's what engineering is all about - dealing with *real*
problems.

It's easy to blue-sky what coulda-woulda-shoulda been done. It's a lot
tougher to actually do the things. If you don't want to take a long
serious look at just how difficult and expensive a manned Mars trip
would be, please don't lecture us about what
should have been done.

> Anyone can
> "successfully" argue that it's going to "cost" time, money and
> resources to go. Even lives. But EVERY expedition of
> exploration in
> man's time has cost money up front and lives along the way.

Of course. And some times it was worth it. Other times it wasn't.

> The REAL
> bottom line is that we could have done this a LONG time ago if > we'd only said "Let's Do It."

But "we" didn't, because "we" didn't think it was worth the costs.

And I think "we" made the right decision.

> > > Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession
> > >alone that
> > > have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> > > pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> > > effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> > > deep felt.
>
> > Can you guarantee that those advances would not have
> > happened if the
> > money had been spent on research in the fields
> > directly affected? IOW,
> > why not simply go after a problem directly?
>
> In industrialized society's history, major technological
> >>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit (3) government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war). There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them. "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private inventors.

BINGO!

The same was mostly true of computers. Oh yes, the first real computer, ENIAC, was built for the Army to compute artillery aiming tables. But it was built on the work of earlier machines like the Atasinoff-Berry Computer. And only one ENIAC was ever built. Its successors came from private industry, for commercial purposes.

> No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have
> occured...but WHEN would they have occured?

Nobody knows.

> Certainly NOT in the time
> frame that they have.

Why not?

The fact is that we've avoided making serious longterm plans to solve some basic technological problems in the USA. That avoidance has cost us dearly, and will cost us more, until we tackle the problems head-on rather than expecting some silver bullet to do it for us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2549. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 00:39:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128731965.013640.235760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120425

>I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
>Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
>personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.

Hey dumb fuck, I wasn't the one who started this thread, it was started
by an Anti-baxter lover. But let me get this straight a person who
hates baxter can post messages on here but a person who disagrees
can't, Sound to me like Censorship

>Still illegally broadcasting on Amateur Radio, eh, Toiddie...?!?!

Sure am who going to stop me?? you??
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW!

2550. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 00:39:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128731973.922834.170620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120426

>I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
>Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
>personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.

Hey dumb fuck, I wasn't the one who started this thread, it was started
by an Anti-baxter lover. But let me get this straight a person who
hates baxter can post messages on here but a person who disagrees
can't, Sound to me like Censorship

>Still illegally broadcasting on Amateur Radio, eh, Toiddie...?!?!

Sure am who going to stop me?? you??
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW!

2551. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 00:52:47 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <AIE1f.86$5l.32@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Does anyone else hear an echo in here?

Sounds like a endless loop of semantically void phrases.

Have a great life. You have been amusing, but I am getting bored...

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128713105.870292.11580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> Ever consider <delusions flushed>
>
> No, I'm not interested in your faggotry.
>
> Smegmalips dalite01, the only thing you can draw out is the flies from
> the stench of your incontinence. You're the one who called out
> for my attention, remember? You probably don't remember. I guess the
> time you picked your nose with a screwdriver and accidentally jammed
> it into whatever is left of your grey matter has affected your short
> term memory.
>
> Maybe you should just fuck off now. Either that or we can continue
> using you for a public urinal like everyone else does, you fat fucking
> tub of guts. I kind of like the novelty of a toilet that talks back.
>
>>
>> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128692901.004680.122220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> >> If you don't have any substance to your claims, be sure to attack the
>> >> periphera.
>> >
>> > Like what you are attempting to do, hypocrite?
>> >
>> >><whining and sniveling flushed> Much like this turd dalite01, should be
>> >>flushed.
>> >
>> > I can just imagine you being a pencil necked, zit coated geek with tape
>> > on his glasses screeching at his monitor "I'LL KICK YOUR FUCKING
>> > ASS" as the spit flies from your lips. I just know it's a 100 percent
>> > accurate diagnosis, you fucking maggot.
>> >
>> >

>> >
>> >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128638220.620135.127490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> >> >> Thanks for confirming.
>> >> >
>> >> > Confirming what, Davies, that you don't know what the hell you are
>> >> > talking about, ever?
>> >> >
>> >> >> Nothing else need to be said.
>> >> >
>> >> > "Nothing else *needs* to be said." Except the fact that you are a
>> >> > fucking English-impaired imbecile.
>> >> >
>> >
>

2552. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 00:58:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128733129.299568.270760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > > > > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > > > > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > > > > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > > > > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > > > > add water and shake.
> > > >
> > > > Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
> > > > in microwave communications.
> > >
> > > You need to re-orient yourself, Brian...
> >
> > I'm Quitefine in my orientation.
>
> don'y you know that somehow for daring to oppose Stevie you sudden
> became homosexual

S.O.P. from Steve's playbook.

> HMM maybe Stevie is right about that perhaps I am bisexual because it
> became certain somehow I would oppose Stevie and therefore I suddenly
> became interested in Men
>
> Stevie it is all your fault

You might be right.

> > > That was "microwave communications" in the FIFTIES, which bore
> > > absolutely NO resemblence with current "microwave communications".
> >
> > Gotta love you amateur geniuses always trying to change the physics of
> > radio in your laughable attempts to discredit Len. Too bad for you.
>
> "The very powerfull and the very have one thing in common they don't
> alter thier veiws to fit the facts they alter the facts to fit the
> views.... very inconvent if you are a fact in need of altering" (Dr
> Who, "the face of evil")

Dr. Who needed better looking women on his show. Could have been
immensely popular.

> > > > Except for perhaps West Point, Army
> > > > training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
> > > > installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.
> > > >
> > > Uh huh...And the "equipment" Lennie was "trained" on required a
> > > walk-in closet to facilitate.
> > >
> > Sounds like many a radio room at the base of a communications tower.
> >
> or the Shack of most radio clubs
> >
> > >
> > > > His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> > > > both.
> > >
> > > He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
> > > "design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
> > > whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.
> > >
> > You might find some of the systems that I worked on in the Bulletin of
> > the American Meteorological Societs (BAMS). Have you never worked on
> > anything worth appearing in a professional publication?
> >
> How dare suggest that one of MMM sully himself with anything not using
> CW
> >
> > > His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
> > > ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to
> > > Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.
> > >
> > And you can't remember the common or technical nomeclature of teh radio
> > systems that you claim to have used in the USMC. How is it that you
> > can remember the name of a system that Len worked on? I guess the
> > purpose of repititious postings has finally had an effect.
> > >
> >
> nah he remebers it is just a secret
> >
> > > > That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> > > > decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> > > > Very inciteful, that Len.
> > > >
> > > That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
> > > muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
> > > "contributions" to "radio"
> > >
> > You don't even know the radio systems that you claim to have used, yet
> > you know that Len has mucked up every program that he has worked on?

> >
> > Something is really, really wrong with your claims, Steve.
>
> wrong Brain everything is realy wrong with them
> >
> > > > > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > > > > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > > > > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > > > > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
> > > > >
> > > > It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> > > > dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> > > > comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> > > > waste. Why do they even bother?
> > >
> > > Huh? Is Mark Morgan ghost writing for you now?
> >
> > Itme, itme mispeeeling agin?
>
> Well I don't do writing FOR ANYONE else without being paid

and I'm broke.

> > > > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > > > itme.
> > > > >
> > > > Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> > > > tooey.
> > > >
> > > It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.
> >
> > I've just discussed your ego, tooey.
>
> indeed Stevie claims only he (or does he include the rest of the MMM)
> are allowed to decide what is discussed

It would appear that way. Too bad I'm not buying any of that, tooey.

2553. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 01:13:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128734008.421538.58250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Besides...What is needed at present are not "design engineers"
> > > > > (which is what Lennie CLAIMS to be)...but installers and technicians
> > > > > (jist as the thread title suggests) to get the new sites up and
> > > > > running. The technology has already been "set", and each site, other
> > > > > than local terrain, is exactly the same as the one next to it. Just
> > > > > add water and shake.
> > > >
> > > > Strange. I recall Len saying that he had been trained by the US Army
> > > > in microwave communications.
> > >
> > > You need to re-orient yourself, Brian...
> >
> > I'm Quitefine in my orientation.
>
> No, you're not.

It's not your place to say.

> > > That was "microwave communications" in the FIFTIES, which bore
> > > absolutely NO resemblence with current "microwave communications".
> >
> > Gotta love you amateur geniuses always trying to change the physics of
> > radio in your laughable attempts to discredit Len. Too bad for you.
>
> Do some research on the state of "technology" in 1953 as compared
> to today, Brian, to include what was considered "microwave" then as
> opposed to today.

I'll allow you the privelege of documenting your research.

> > > > Except for perhaps West Point, Army
> > > > training is technical in nature; training personnel in the
> > > > installation, operation, and maintenance of equipment.
> > >
> > > Uh huh...And the "equipment" Lennie was "trained" on required a
> > > walk-in closet to facilitate.
> >
> > Sounds like many a radio room at the base of a communications tower.

>
> I can do with the stuff on my desktop most of what Lennie claims
> he could do in 1953. I'd say that's a bit of a change.

If it's microwave, I'd say you can't.

> > > > His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> > > > both.
> >
> > > He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
> > > "design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
> > > whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.
> >
> > You might find some of the systems that I worked on in the Bulletin of
> > the American Meteorological Societs (BAMS). Have you never worked on
> > anything worth appearing in a professional publication?
>
> Yep.

List them.

> But we weren't talking about you, me or meteorological systems,
> now were we?

Meteorology is a heavy user of communications.

> > > His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
> > > ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to
> > > Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.
> >
> > And you can't remember the common or technical nomeclature of teh radio
> > systems that you claim to have used in the USMC.
>
> No...I don't DISCUSS military radios here, Brian.

Of course not. You leave the heavy lifting to Brian Kelly/W3RV and Jim
Miccolis/N2EY, neither of whom has any military experience. I
especially like Jim's admiration of the amateur radio service during
WWII. Hi!

> I have NEVER,
> not ONCE said "I don't remember"...

Do you?

> That's a LennieSpin. And when repeated by him or you, is a lie.

Ho, hum.

> > How is it that you
> > can remember the name of a system that Len worked on? I guess the
> > purpose of repititious postings has finally had an effect.
>
> To the point of nausea.

Then it's working. ;^)

> However SINCGARS was NEVER an Avionics system. Nor was it
> intended to be.

And you know something of avionics systems? Hi!

> > > > That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> > > > decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> > > > Very inciteful, that Len.
> > >
> > > That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
> > > muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
> > > "contributions" to "radio"
> >
> > You don't even know the radio systems that you claim to have used, yet
> > you know that Len has mucked up every program that he has worked on?
>
> Who SAID I don't now them?

"know"

> > Something is really, really wrong with your claims, Steve.
>
> No, Brian, something is very, VERY wrong with your's and Lennie's
> stories about them.

You can set the record straight at anytime. You have my permission.

> > > > > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > > > > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > > > > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > > > > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
> > > >
> > > > It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> > > > dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> > > > comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> > > > waste. Why do they even bother?
> > >
> > > Huh? Is Mark Morgan ghost writing for you now?
> >
> > Itme, itme mispeeeling agin?
> >
> > > > > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > > > > itme.
> > > > >
> > > > > Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> > > > > tooey.
> > > >
> > > > It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.
> > >
> > > I've just discussed your ego, tooey.
>

> No, it's not.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Finish your thought. "No, it's not..." what?

2554. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 01:51:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hCF1f.11152$QE1.8726@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128719280.907254.91650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> cut
>> > more bullshit from you
>> >>
>> >> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
>> >
>> > again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> That's what happens when you allowed to carry a gun and shoot people
>> Markie.
>
> gee just what army are you tlaking about
>
> US soldier are not generaly allowed to shott people
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
Uh....Oh....really.,.....I assume your "shott" means shoot,....eh....oh
....really.....What world are you living in goofball?

Dan/W4NTI

2555. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 01:52:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <LDF1f.11158$QE1.644@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128719438.866451.302740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128644023.849910.315740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> what are you smoking bitch?
>> >>
>> >> no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
>> >> >
>> >> > However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no
>> >> > point
>> >> > in
>> >> > conversing with you at this time.
>> >>
>> >> see to it then
>> >>
>> >> nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
>> >> yourself
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for
>> >> an
>> >> attitude adjustment.
>> >
>> > mind your own affairs, and use some sense Not likely I would accept
>> > such an "invitation"
>> >
>> > But it is amazing how freely you resort to suggesting violence
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> Here in Dixie we respect our women folk. I see why we had a war a lot
>> clearer now.
>
> again with the suggestions of violence

>
> and the female will be treated with respect when she earns it
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
Did your Mother actually raise YOU? Or are you just a product of someones
imagination? I really can't believe you are this screwed up. Are you for
real Markie? If so...please do us all a favor.....pull the damn trigger.

Dan/W4NTI

2556. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 01:59:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <EJF1f.11163$QE1.5661@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120433

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128731965.013640.235760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
>>Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
>>personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
>
> Hey dumb fuck, I wasn't the one who started this thread, it was started
> by an Anti-baxter lover. But let me get this straight a person who
> hates baxter can post messages on here but a person who disagrees
> can't, Sound to me like Censorship
>
>>Still illegally broadcasting on Amateur Radio, eh, Toiddie...?!?!
>
> Sure am who going to stop me?? you??
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW!
>

Those that opposed the Blapster are going to stop you. So far all you are is a funny little boy trying to "impress" others. When you start being a real problem we will dump on you just like the Blapster.

Oh and your childish cussing needs to stop. It is NOT helping your case dummy.

Dan/W4NTI

2557. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 01:59:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kKF1f.11165$QE1.908@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120434

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128731973.922834.170620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
>>Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
>>personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
>
> Hey dumb fuck, I wasn't the one who started this thread, it was started
> by an Anti-baxter lover. But let me get this straight a person who
> hates baxter can post messages on here but a person who disagrees
> can't, Sound to me like Censorship
>
>>Still illegally broadcasting on Amateur Radio, eh, Toiddie...?!?!
>
> Sure am who going to stop me?? you??
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW!
>

Why do you send your rants twice? Do you thing it adds emphasis to your
position? NOT.

Dan/W4NTI

2558. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 02:00:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <CsednZm5rJKytdreRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120435

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>MY biggest disappointment is that we are as close to Mars
>>>>as we've
>>>>been in centuries, but we don't have a manned mission there > > > yet.
>>>
>>>???
>>>
>>>Not sure what you mean, Steve.
>>
>>I MEAN that my biggest disappointment is that we are as close to
>>Mars as we've been in centuries, but we don't have a manned
>>mission
>>there yet. How was that confusing?
>
>
> The distance from Earth to Mars varies from when the two planets are
> right next to each other in their orbits (about 35 million miles) to
> when they are opposite each other, with the Sun in between (well over
> 210 million miles).
>
> The minimum distance varies with each orbit - is that what you meant?
>
>
>>>Going to Mars is at least 100 times more difficult than going > > to the
>>>moon. Mars never gets closer than 30 million miles - more
>>>than 100
>>>times farther away than the moon. A Mars mission would be
>>>years long
>>>rather than a week or two. Martian gravity and atmosphere
>>>make the
>>>problems even worse.
>>
>> Yep...But...
>>
>> It's been over 30 years since we've walked on the moon. > We got
>> there in a bit over 9 years from President Kennedy's
>> challenge to do
>> so.

>
>
> Yep. Think about *why* the USA was able to do it.
>
> And if it took 9 years to get to the moon, and Mars is 100 times
> harder, that works out to 900 years!
>
>
>> We've squandered those intervening years on LEO manned
>>missions.
>
>
> I'd hardly say they were "squandered". Other things took precedence.
>
>>Astronomers and scientists knew then when Mars would be in an
>>Earth-Mars-Earth (In this thread if I refer to EME, this is
>>what I
>>mean...Not EME as in "moonbounce")-transit favorable trajectory.
>
>
> And they know all the problems. One of them is that with any known
> practical rocket technology, a mission to Mars will take *years*. With
> no hope of rescue from Earth if anything serious goes wrong.
>
>
>>There have been hundreds of plans put forth using AVAILABLE
>>technology and resources to get there...All we had to do was
>>pick one and get started.
>
>
> The plans are only basic outlines. They require lots of development to
> become reality.
>
>
>>Just ONE plan that seemed practical enough (or at least made the
>>most sense) to me was one to send the necessary resources for
>>life
>>support in a series of UN-manned "pre-missions" while the
>>manned vessel
>>was prepared in Earth orbit.
>
>
> Of course. The first missions would be one-way supply ships that would
> orbit Mars for years, waiting for people to show up. They could go at
> very slow speeds to maximize the usable payload.
>
>
>>Resources would be pre-positioned both on
>>Mars and along the way.
>

>
> Pre-positioning "along the way" isn't practical. The only really
> practical place to put a supply cache is in Martian orbit.
>
>
>>Not one man had to spend a day in orbit until
>>the "package" was in place.
>
>
> More like "packages", so that the mission would not depend on any
> single component.
>
> Now consider how much payload would have to be sent ahead. We're
> talking *tons* of supplies and equipment, all of which would have to be
> still usable after *years* in space. Sure it can be done, but it's not
> easy, fast or inexpensive.
>
>
>>>If it costs $100-200 billion or more to go to the moon, what > > would Mars cost?
>>
>> Who cares?
>
>
> Those of us who have to pay the bills!
>
>
>>The PRESENT Space program has already generated TRILLIONS of
>>dollars in new technology and incentives since July, 1969..
>
>
> Like what?
>
> And can you guarantee that the money would not have been better
> spent on direct problem solving?
>
>
>>Imagine
>>where we COULD have been...?!?!
>
>
> Do you remember the 1970s? I do.
>
> All through the 1950s and 1960s, "aerospace" was the big deal.
> Incredible resources were poured into the space programs and "defense".
> We were told our future would be like that shown on "The Jetsons".
>
> But when the supply of cheap oil disappeared in the early 1970s, we
> found ourselves unable to produce a decent "economy" car. "Aerospace"
> didn't help the folks in the gas lines.
>

> Meanwhile the Japanese and Europeans already had lots of good economy
> cars. It's taken the US auto industry decades to catch up.
>
> Now we're set to repeat that history.
>
>
>>Maybe we would have had our CURRENT level of technology 15 or 20
>>years ago?
>
>
> How? And current level of which technology?
>
>
>>Just think...If we'd pared only $10B from each YEAR'S defense
>>budget since 1970, we'd have "only" spent $360B now, which is
>>about 1
>>1/2 years military budget over THIRTY-SIX years...And wudda had > an
>>American flag and American footprints on TWO astronomical
>>bodies by
>>now.
>
>
> Imagine if that money had gone into energy independence instead.
>
>
>>If we're going to have a tit-for-tat about the bottom-line
>>practicality of going, Jim, I'm not up for it.
>
>
> I am. That's what engineering is all about - dealing with *real*
> problems.
>
> It's easy to blue-sky what coulda-woulda-shoulda been done. It's a lot
> tougher to actually do the things. If you don't want to take a long
> serious look at just how difficult and expensive a manned Mars trip
> would be, please don't lecture us about what
> should have been done.
>
>
>>Anyone can
>>"successfully" argue that it's going to "cost" time, money and
>>resources to go. Even lives. But EVERY expedition of
>>exploration in
>>man's time has cost money up front and lives along the way.
>
>
> Of course. And some times it was worth it. Other times it wasn't.
>
>
>>The REAL

>>bottom line is that we could have done this a LONG time ago if > we'd only said "Let's Do It."
>
>
> But "we" didn't, because "we" didn't think it was worth the costs.

"We" are busy selling our hats to each other at the moment. "We" don't
have a national will to do great things any more.

> And I think "we" made the right decision.

Lessay we concentrate all our resources into feeding the poor, fixing
all the social inequalities, and making the world a better place for our
children and our childrens children.

After all that. I would wager my life that there will still be poor,
there will still be starving people, there will still be inequality, and
the world will not be any better a place than it is today.

>
>>>>Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession
>>>>alone that
>>>>have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
>>>>pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
>>>>effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
>>>>deep felt.
>>
>>>Can you guarantee that those advances would not have
>>>happened if the
>>>money had been spent on research in the fields
>>>directly affected? IOW,
>>>why not simply go after a problem directly?
>>
>> In industrialized society's history, major technological
>>
>>>>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit (3) government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war).
>
> There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them.
> "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the
> product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private
> inventors.
>
> BINGO!
>
> The same was mostly true of computers. Oh yes, the first real computer,
> ENIAC, was built for the Army to compute artillery aiming tables. But
> it was built on the work of earlier machines like the Atasinoff-Berry
> Computer. And only one ENIAC was ever built. Its successors came from
> private industry, for commercial purposes.
>
>

>>No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have
>>occured...but WHEN would they have occured?
>
>
> Nobody knows.

Right - who knows.

As much as I believe that the Space program was a peaceful spur to
technology, in the end, I don't care.

I like adventure, I like science, and I like exploring. People *will"
go into space, people *will* go to Mars. Will it be us, or will we be
known as the Portuguese of space exploration?

>>Certainly NOT in the time
>>frame that they have.
>
>
> Why not?
>
> The fact is that we've avoided making serious longterm plans to solve
> some basic technological problems in the USA. That avoidance has cost
> us dearly, and will cost us more, until we
> tackle the problems head-on rather than expecting some silver bullet to
> do it for us.

Wanna buy a hat???

- Mike KB3EIA -

2559. HAM RADIO SAVE THE DAY IS MISSISSIPPI, RITA IN TEXAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 02:02:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <uMF1f.11167$QE1.7433@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120436

==>HAM RADIO SAVES THE DAY IN MISSISSIPPI; RITA RECOVERY CONTINUES IN TEXAS

Amateur Radio volunteers in Jasper County, Texas, continue to support mass-feeding operations by The Salvation Army, which has been coordinating with other relief groups to provide meals to Hurricane Rita-displaced residents. Amateur volunteers plan to meet with Salvation Army personnel to discuss the need for Amateur Radio support beyond this weekend. Meanwhile, ARRL Alabama SM Greg Sarratt, W4OZK--who's been handling the intake of American Red Cross volunteers in Montgomery, Alabama--has been visiting ARC shelters along the Mississippi Gulf Coast. Shelters there are in the process of closing down. In Gulfport, Amateur Radio volunteers continue to support communication for the emergency operations center (EOC) in Harrison County, where they've been a mainstay since Hurricane Katrina struck in late August.

"If it hadn't been for Amateur Radio operators, we would not have had communications with other agencies," said Col Joe Spraggins of the Harrison County Emergency Management Agency. "Even with the advancements in our radio technology, ham radio saved the day! Thank you."

Christy Hardin, KB7BSA, a Southern Baptist Disaster Relief volunteer from Alabama, and husband Rick, KB4BSA, have been in the Gulf Coast twice following Hurricane Katrina. She had nothing but praise for those who have been volunteering to maintain communication at the EOC 24/7 in some cases despite having lost their own homes to the storm. "The four or five operators who worked around the clock for nearly a month are the true heroes," she said.

In particular, she cited ARES District Emergency Coordinator Tom Hammack, W4WLF, Ray Taber, WX5AAA, Glover Hayden, W5BLV, and John Moore, W5EG, for serving unselfishly on behalf of Mississippi Gulf Coast residents. Hammack has been living in the EOC since the storm flooded and badly damaged his house. An instructor for all three levels of the ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency Communications course, Hardin says she was "thrilled to see it in action" as the EOC volunteers performed as true professionals.

South Texas ARRL Section Manager Ray Taylor, N5NAV, this week estimated upward of 60 Amateur Radio volunteers were on the ground in Texas, many supporting shelters scattered throughout the area. North Texas SEC Bill Swan, K5MWC, has been helping to recruit and schedule ARES members from his section to assist in mass-care operations in Jasper County.

Taylor says radio amateurs in North Texas and Arkansas have been helping to cover net control shifts and to serve as relay stations for the West Gulf ARES Emergency Net on 7.285 MHz days/3.873 MHz evenings.

Scott Pederson, KI5DR, reports he just returned home from three days in Jasper County, Texas, working with John Wagner, WA5VBP, Charles Fletcher, N5BOY, and John Barber, N5JB. "Our job was to deliver hot meals to various locations around a three-county area with five Salvation Army trucks and also several Red Cross trucks working together," he said. Ham radio, he explained, helped to coordinate the delivery routes by the various agencies involved. While VHF FM simplex was okay for local work, the West Gulf ARES Emergency Net on HF was very reliable.

"Even though regular phones are working most of the time, it's really the hams that are the communicators of the group," he said. Pederson also lauded the efforts of The Salvation Army, American Red Cross and Arkansas Methodist Men's volunteers. "Everyone is focused and cares deeply about their tasks," he said, "and things are happening at lightning speed throughout the day."

In Louisiana, SEC Gary Stratton, K5GLS, said earlier this week that some 45 Amateur Radio volunteers remained on hurricane recovery duty there. "Things are settling down," Stratton told ARRL.--Christy Hardin, KB7BSA, supplied information for this article.

2560. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 02:47:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128739670.439198.258940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120437

>Those that opposed the Blapster are going to stop you. So far all you are
>is a funny little boy trying to "impress" others. When you start being a
>real problem we will dump on you just like the Blapster.
>Oh and your childish cussing needs to stop. It is NOT helping your case
>dummy.

well i wish them luck (hahaha) but unlike Baxter I will fight it...

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

2561. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 02:49:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128739748.564222.111360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120438

I didn't danny, googles did.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

2562. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 02:56:07 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <pMWdnX07DdzZu9reRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> LOL at the fake pilot's license BOY who thinks everybody is Roger
> Wiseman! Commander Buzzy the retard of all retards who thinks he has a
> pilots's license.
>

LOL at the Glendale WV, retard.

2563. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 03:25:29 UTC
From: donfanning@msn.com
Message-ID: <1128741929.800666.218380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

All equipment sold and is now off the market.

Moderator: please kill these messages so their own attitudes don't
haunt them in future years to come.

73 de Don

2564. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 03:28:01 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotWoger@Glendale.om>
Message-ID: <di7e8l$493$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"anon" <anon@anonnotenxnet.com> wrote in message
news:di6gm1$10s$0@pita.alt.net...

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:6pmdnVxR2bAXXNvenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>
> LOL at the Glendale, WV retard.

Pls dont LOL at the Roger. He has a correspondence Masters
Degree from DeVry and is currently doing his doctoral dissertation
on RMS voltage & negative SWR. DeVry says they dont award
graduate degrees earned via correspondence, but Roger insists they
do. You be the judge.

/,,.///,,./

Oh, Yeah? Roger sez they do.
Besides, he could care less.

2565. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 03:29:12 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotWoger@Glendale.om>
Message-ID: <di7eas$4gk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:pMWdnX07DdzZu9reRVn-uw@gbronline.com...
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> LOL at the fake pilot's license BOY who thinks everybody is Roger
> Wiseman! Commander Buzzy the retard of all retards who thinks he has a
> pilots's license.
>

LOL at the Glendale WV, retard.

,
Laugh. He could care less.

2566. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 03:58:41 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotWoger@Glendale.om>
Message-ID: <di7g24bur0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:MoadnX7-gOAWbdjeRVn-vA@gbronline.com...
Not Lloyd wrote:

> This is a vain attempt to correct a commonly mis-spoken phrase.
>
> Alrighty, for those of you out there who like to use the phrase I could
care
> less, it's supposed to be I couldn't care less. "I could care less" means
> that you actually do care, and the phrase itself holds very little meaning
> because you can care less about anything you do already care about. It's
> very ambiguous, and doesn't convey how much or how little you care about
> something. Still following me? "I couldn't care less" means that you care
so
> little about something, it's impossible to care even less about it. 99% of
> the time, "I couldn't care less" is the phrase that's supposed to be used.
> Get me? Good :)

Much too complicated for wogie to grasp. Must be those porta-pottie
fumes getting to him.

Not at all. Those fumes are pure Nirvana to wogie. He likes to call it
"nuance of shit".
He's even thinking of marketing it.

2567. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 08:08:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128758918.106649.276290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120443

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > MY biggest disappointment is that we are as close to Mars
> > > > as we've
> > > > been in centuries, but we don't have a manned mission there > > > yet.
> > >
> > > ???
> > >
> > > Not sure what you mean, Steve.
> >
> > I MEAN that my biggest disappointment is that we are as close to
> > Mars as we've been in centuries, but we don't have a manned
> > mission
> > there yet. How was that confusing?
>
> The distance from Earth to Mars varies from when the two planets are
> right next to each other in their orbits (about 35 million miles) to
> when they are opposite each other, with the Sun in between (well over
> 210 million miles).
>
> The minimum distance varies with each orbit - is that what you meant?

No...

(This >>IS<< Jim Miccolis, right...?!?!)

I MEAN that my biggest disappointment is that we don't have a
manned mission on Mars yet.

Yes, I know it would be dangerous.

Yes, I know it would be expensive.

Yes, I know there are thousands of technological hurdles to
overcome. "T'aint nuttin' new"

> > > Going to Mars is at least 100 times more difficult than going > > to the
> > > moon. Mars never gets closer than 30 million miles - more
> > > than 100
> > > times farther away than the moon. A Mars mission would be
> > > years long
> > > rather than a week or two. Martian gravity and atmosphere
> > > make the
> > > problems even worse.

> >
> > Yep...But...
> >
> > It's been over 30 years since we've walked on the moon. > We got
> > there in a bit over 9 years from President Kennedy's
> > challenge to do
> > so.
>
> Yep. Think about *why* the USA was able to do it.

I know why, Jim...Money and govenmental subsidies witha bit of
technological application.

> And if it took 9 years to get to the moon, and Mars is 100 times
> harder, that works out to 900 years!

You're being facetious.

> > We've squandered those intervening years on LEO manned
> > missions.
>
> I'd hardly say they were "squandered". Other things took precedence.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhh....TIVO and HBO.

> > Astronomers and scientists knew then when Mars would be in an
> > Earth-Mars-Earth (In this thread if I refer to EME, this is
> > what I
> > mean...Not EME as in "moonbounce")-transit favorable trajectory.
>
> And they know all the problems. One of them is that with any known
> practical rocket technology, a mission to Mars will take *years*. With
> no hope of rescue from Earth if anything serious goes wrong.

I discussed that below.

Also...there was no hope of rescue when Cloumbus shoved off...So
again, what's new?

> > There have been hundreds of plans put forth using AVAILABLE
> > technology and resources to get there...All we had to do was
> > pick one and get started.
>
> The plans are only basic outlines. They require lots of development to
> become reality.

Uh huh. And what did I say?

Pick one and get busy.

> > Just ONE plan that seemed practical enough (or at least made the
> > most sense) to me was one to send the necessary resources for
> > life
> > support in a series of UN-manned "pre-missions" while the

> > manned vessel
> > was prepared in Earth orbit.
>
> Of course. The first missions would be one-way supply ships that would
> orbit Mars for years, waiting for people to show up. They could go at
> very slow speeds to maximize the usable payload.
>
> > Resources would be pre-positioned both on
> > Mars and along the way.
>
> Pre-positioning "along the way" isn't practical. The only really
> practical place to put a supply cache is in Martian orbit.

Placing a satellite into a known, predictable orbit isn't
practical?

Since when?

> > Not one man had to spend a day in orbit until
> > the "package" was in place.
>
> More like "packages", so that the mission would not depend on any
> single component.

In military parlance, when the entire mission is ready to go, THAT
is "the package".

Sorry to confuse you even more.

> Now consider how much payload would have to be sent ahead. We're
> talking *tons* of supplies and equipment, all of which would have to be
> still usable after *years* in space. Sure it can be done, but it's not
> easy, fast or inexpensive.

There's not one word from me saying it is. However water doesn't
decay into anything else, and we have pretty reliable technologies when
it comes to preserving our foodstuffs. An we've already proven we can
work in space to "fix stuff".

> > > If it costs $100-200 billion or more to go to the moon, what would Mars cost?
> > >
> > Who cares?
>
> Those of us who have to pay the bills!

I've already shown where those "investments" come home.

> > The PRESENT Space program has already generated TRILLIONS of
> > dollars in new technology and incentives since July, 1969..
>
> Like what?

Start off with the PC, iPod, new textiles, and communications
technologies.

> And can you guarantee that the money would not have been better
> spent on direct problem solving?

Can you show me where direct problem solving is doing as well?

Everyone is hoo-yah'ing over the Rutan/X-Prize flights and
ballyhooing the emerging commercial space market, but while admirable,
they are ony re-inventing the wheel.

> > Imagine
> > where we COULD have been...?!?!
>
> Do you remember the 1970s? I do.

Uh huh...And I didn't have a PC or iPod then.

> All through the 1950s and 1960s, "aerospace" was the big deal.
> Incredible resources were poured into the space programs and "defense".
> We were told our future would be like that shown on "The Jetsons".
>
> But when the supply of cheap oil disappeared in the early 1970s, we
> found ourselves unable to produce a decent "economy" car. "Aerospace"
> didn't help the folks in the gas lines.

Was it supposed to? Where are the claims? Who said that?

> Meanwhile the Japanese and Europeans already had lots of good economy
> cars. It's taken the US auto industry decades to catch up.

Japanese and Europeans were used to living on streets narrow
enough to tll what the guy next door had for breakfast without parting
the shades. Americans were use to having "the wide open spaces" and
cheap gas.

So...where was the incentive to make itty-bitty gas sippers? That
wasn't what the American market wanted. Even now more and more SUV's
are rolling off the lines...even Honda and Suzuki have gotten on the
band wagon.

> Now we're set to repeat that history.

Probably. And Americans STILL can't be told to start spending
money on trains and subways.

> > Maybe we would have had our CURRENT level of technology 15 or 20
> > years ago?
>
> How? And current level of which technology?

Now you're treating me like an idiot, Jim.

> > Just think...If we'd pared only $10B from each YEAR'S defense
> > budget since 1970, we'd have "only" spent $360B now, which is
> > about 1
> > 1/2 years military budget over THIRTY-SIX years...And wudda had > an
> > American flag and American footprints on TWO astronomical

> > bodies by
> > now.
>
> Imagine if that money had gone into energy independence instead.

But wait! You've previously suggested such is the realm of the
commercial entities. So why aren't THEY doing it?

> > If we're going to have a tit-for-tat about the bottom-line
> > practicality of going, Jim, I'm not up for it.
>
> I am. That's what engineering is all about - dealing with *real*
> problems.

Obviously not in this case (travel to Mars).

> It's easy to blue-sky what coulda-woulda-shoulda been done. It's a lot
> tougher to actually do the things.

No kidding?

Seems to me that a certain, since deceased, President of the
United States said we were going to to the moon not because it was
easy, but because it WAS hard.

> If you don't want to take a long
> serious look at just how difficult and expensive a manned Mars trip
> would be, please don't lecture us about what
> should have been done.

Jim, you're wanting to explain away why we languished for 36 years
on what would have been the feat of TWO millenium...OK...But the FACTS
are we did absolutely NOTHING to facilitate this mission.

Nothing.

> > Anyone can
> > "successfully" argue that it's going to "cost" time, money and
> > resources to go. Even lives. But EVERY expedition of
> > exploration in
> > man's time has cost money up front and lives along the way.
>
> Of course. And some times it was worth it. Other times it wasn't.

So...Which ones were worth it, and on the UNsuccessful ones, do
you think the participants thought that thier lives were worth it?

> > The REAL
> > bottom line is that we could have done this a LONG time ago if we'd only said "Let's Do
It."
>
> But "we" didn't, because "we" didn't think it was worth the costs.

"We" didn't do it becasue we had just come out of Viet Nam and
already landed 12 men on the moon...thier attention span was short and
ready to move on.

So...If we're to accept your apparent suggestion that
short-sightedness is an excuse for not pursuing research and
exploration, let's just go ahead and kill the ENTIRE space program,
Jim...I mean, afterall, MOST folks shrug thier shoulders and dismiss it
as science fiction...UNTIL you start pointing out the in-their-face
examples of what seemingly non-porductive research does to better thier
daily lives.

> And I think "we" made the right decision.

I don't.

I thinnk that it's humiliating to have had it at our discretion to
do this thing and have not done it. It's like Jonas Salk looking
through his microscope, then saying, whelp, that was fun...

> > > > Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession
> > > >alone that
> > > > have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> > > > pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> > > > effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> > > > deep felt.
> >
> > > Can you guarantee that those advances would not have
> > > happened if the
> > > money had been spent on research in the fields
> > > directly affected? IOW,
> > > why not simply go after a problem directly?
> >
> > In industrialized society's history, major technological
> > >>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit (3)
government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war).
> There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them.
> "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the
> product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private
> inventors.
>
> BINGO!

Thank-you for agreeing with me.

> The same was mostly true of computers. Oh yes, the first real computer,
> ENIAC, was built for the Army to compute artillery aiming tables. But
> it was built on the work of earlier machines like the Atasinoff-Berry
> Computer. And only one ENIAC was ever built. Its successors came from
> private industry, for commercial purposes.

Uh huh...and had the government NOT poured millions of dollars
into this, providing untold collateral research opportunities, how much
longer until commercial systems filled in the holes?

As a matter of fact, considering the times, if you take away the
military and space programs, what WAS the incentive for such computing
systems?

> > No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have
> > occured...but WHEN would they have occured?
>
> Nobody knows.
>
> > Certainly NOT in the time
> > frame that they have.
>
> Why not?

Because they haven't yet, and even Associate degree sociology
programs show the direct link between the advancement of technology and
warfare. There was a parallel rise during the "space race".

> The fact is that we've avoided making serious longterm plans to solve
> some basic technological problems in the USA. That avoidance has cost
> us dearly, and will cost us more, until we
> tackle the problems head-on rather than expecting some silver bullet to
> do it for us.

I agree...Mass transit...universal healthcare...housing and
feeding the poor.

Now imagine spending the monies I suggested on a new "space race",
this time one shared with other nations...Not all of that money goes
into "research"...There are salaries to be paid, goods to be bought and
sold, and new means of transportation and communications to be
installed.

Collateral good instead of collateral damage.

THAT would be amazing.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2568. Re: Stevie admits to being no one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 10:25:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128767110.543355.301200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120444

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> > > people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> > > life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS
> >
> > I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> > Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> > personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
>
> there you have it Stevie admit to being no one

No...

What we have is Mark Morgan demonstrating his lack of English
Comprehension.

Again...

Steve, K4YZ

2569. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 10:35:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128767756.751925.295140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120445

N9OGL wrote:
> >I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> >Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> >personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
>
> Hey dumb ####...(SNIP)

What, Scumbag?

> (UNSNIP)...I wasn't the one who started this thread, it was started
> by an Anti-baxter lover.

W H E R E did I even MENTION you as having started it? And
regardless of who DID start the thread, you ARE a Baxter-wannabe, ergo
my statement was (and still is...) correct.

> But let me get this straight...(SNIP)

OK...

> (UNSNIP)...a person who
> hates baxter can post messages on here but a person who disagrees
> can't,...(SNIP)

Ya got it wrong...again....

> (UNSNIP)...Sound to me like Censorship

You wouldn't know the sound of a Greyhound bus if you were
standing in the terminal, Toiddie.

> >Still illegally broadcasting on Amateur Radio, eh, Toiddie...?!?!
>
> Sure am....(SNIP)

Thank-you for the acknowledgement after all these months that you
ARE broadcasting.

> (UNSNIP)...who going to stop me?? you??

Nope.

Riley.

> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Get the laughs in now, Toiddie...

BTW...How many burgers do you have to flip to pay for an hour's
worth of your attorney's time to fight a criminal case...?!?!

> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW!

Not for long.

Steve, K4YZ

2570. www.liveboard.8my.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 10:47:20 UTC
From: thegreat_lover2003@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128768440.939600.199700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120446

Hello Friend

You are invited to join www.liveboard.8my.com

There you can discuss / port on various topics like Poems, Love,
Romance, Flirting, Quotes, Shayris Movies, Music Personal Problem
Discussions,, Computers & Internet (Hacking at security end),
Animations, Business Employment and lots more.

Register at www.liveboard.8my.com which is absolutely free.

See you there

Note: This is not SPAM

2571. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 12:21:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128774088.937624.114410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120447

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>K4YZ wrote:

> >>>Going to Mars is at least 100 times more difficult than going > > to the
> >>>moon. Mars never gets closer than 30 million miles - more
> >>>than 100
> >>>times farther away than the moon. A Mars mission would be
> >>>years long
> >>>rather than a week or two. Martian gravity and atmosphere
> >>>make the
> >>>problems even worse.
> >>
> >> Yep...But...
> >>
> >> It's been over 30 years since we've walked on the moon. > We got
> >> there in a bit over 9 years from President Kennedy's
> >> challenge to do
> >> so.
> > >
> > >
> > > Yep. Think about *why* the USA was able to do it.
> > >
> > > And if it took 9 years to get to the moon, and Mars is 100 times
> > > harder, that works out to 900 years!
> > >
> > >
> >> We've squandered those intervening years on LEO manned
> >>missions.
> > >
> > >
> > > I'd hardly say they were "squandered". Other things took precedence.
> > >
> >>Astronomers and scientists knew then when Mars would be in an
> >>Earth-Mars-Earth (In this thread if I refer to EME, this is
> >>what I
> >>mean...Not EME as in "moonbounce")-transit favorable trajectory.
> > >
> > >
> > > And they know all the problems. One of them is that with any known
> > > practical rocket technology, a mission to Mars will take *years*. With
> > > no hope of rescue from Earth if anything serious goes wrong.

> >
> >
> >>There have been hundreds of plans put forth using AVAILABLE
> >>technology and resources to get there...All we had to do was
> >>pick one and get started.
> >
> >
> > The plans are only basic outlines. They require lots of development to
> > become reality.
> >
> >
> >>Just ONE plan that seemed practical enough (or at least made the
> >>most sense) to me was one to send the necessary resources for
> >>life
> >>support in a series of UN-manned "pre-missions" while the
> >>manned vessel
> >>was prepared in Earth orbit.
> >
> >
> > Of course. The first missions would be one-way supply ships that would
> > orbit Mars for years, waiting for people to show up. They could go at
> > very slow speeds to maximize the usable payload.
> >
> >
> >>Resources would be pre-positioned both on
> >>Mars and along the way.
> >
> >
> > Pre-positioning "along the way" isn't practical. The only really
> > practical place to put a supply cache is in Martian orbit.
> >
> >
> >>Not one man had to spend a day in orbit until
> >>the "package" was in place.
> >
> >
> > More like "packages", so that the mission would not depend on any
> > single component.
> >
> > Now consider how much payload would have to be sent ahead. We're
> > talking *tons* of supplies and equipment, all of which would have to be
> > still usable after *years* in space. Sure it can be done, but it's not
> > easy, fast or inexpensive.
> >
> >
> >>>If it costs $100-200 billion or more to go to the moon, what > > would Mars cost?
> >>
> >> Who cares?
> >
> >

> > Those of us who have to pay the bills!
> >
> >
> >>The PRESENT Space program has already generated TRILLIONS of
> >>dollars in new technology and incentives since July, 1969..
> >
> >
> > Like what?
> >
> > And can you guarantee that the money would not have been better
> > spent on direct problem solving?
> >
> >
> >>Imagine
> >>where we COULD have been...?!?!
> >
> >
> > Do you remember the 1970s? I do.
> >
> > All through the 1950s and 1960s, "aerospace" was the big deal.
> > Incredible resources were poured into the space programs and "defense".
> > We were told our future would be like that shown on "The Jetsons".
> >
> > But when the supply of cheap oil disappeared in the early 1970s, we
> > found ourselves unable to produce a decent "economy" car. "Aerospace"
> > didn't help the folks in the gas lines.
> >
> > Meanwhile the Japanese and Europeans already had lots of good economy
> > cars. It's taken the US auto industry decades to catch up.
> >
> > Now we're set to repeat that history.
> >
> >
> >>Maybe we would have had our CURRENT level of technology 15 or 20
> >>years ago?
> >
> >
> > How? And current level of which technology?
> >
> >
> >>Just think...If we'd pared only $10B from each YEAR'S defense
> >>budget since 1970, we'd have "only" spent $360B now, which is
> >>about 1
> >>1/2 years military budget over THIRTY-SIX years...And wudda had > an
> >>American flag and American footprints on TWO astronomical
> >>bodies by
> >>now.
> >
> >
> > Imagine if that money had gone into energy independence instead.

> >
> >
> >>If we're going to have a tit-for-tat about the bottom-line
> >>practicality of going, Jim, I'm not up for it.
> >
> >
> > I am. That's what engineering is all about - dealing with *real*
> > problems.
> >
> > It's easy to blue-sky what coulda-woulda-shoulda been done. It's a lot
> > tougher to actually do the things. If you don't want to take a long
> > serious look at just how difficult and expensive a manned Mars trip
> > would be, please don't lecture us about what
> > should have been done.
> >
> >
> >>Anyone can
> >>"successfully" argue that it's going to "cost" time, money and
> >>resources to go. Even lives. But EVERY expedition of
> >>exploration in
> >>man's time has cost money up front and lives along the way.
> >
> >
> > Of course. And some times it was worth it. Other times it wasn't.
> >
> >
> >>The REAL
> >>bottom line is that we could have done this a LONG time ago if > we'd only said "Let's
Do It."
> >
> >
> > But "we" didn't, because "we" didn't think it was worth the costs.
>
> "We" are busy selling our hats to each other at the
> moment. "We" don't
> have a national will to do great things any more.

"We" had the national will to fight a couple of wars in the Persian
Gulf. Plus Afghanistan, Bosnia, etc. Whether those qualify as "great
things" is another issue. They sure were expensive things, though.

> > And I think "we" made the right decision.
>
> Lessay we concentrate all our resources into feeding
> the poor, fixing
> all the social inequalities, and making the world a better
> place for our
> children and our childrens children.

Wrong goals.

The goal is not to "feed the poor" but to make it possible for "the
poor" to feed themselves. Big difference. Same about the other stuff.

> After all that. I would wager my life that there will still be > poor,
> there will still be starving people, there will still be
> inequality, and
> the world will not be any better a place than it is today.

Sounds pretty fatalistic to me.

I say things can be made a lot better, if the will is there to do it.

Take the whole energy issue. Suppose there *were* a serious, longterm,
well-funded national program to improve our energy situation. Attack on
all fronts - conservation, recycling, new sources, greater efficiency,
etc. Do you really think such a program couldn't help improve the lives
of almost all Americans?

> >>>>Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession
> >>>>alone that
> >>>>have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> >>>>pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> >>>>effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> >>>>deep felt.
> >>
> >>>Can you guarantee that those advances would not have
> >>>happened if the
> >>>money had been spent on research in the fields
> >>>directly affected? IOW,
> >>>why not simply go after a problem directly?
> >>
> >> In industrialized society's history, major technological
> >>
> >>>>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit (3)
government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war).
> >
> > There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them.
> > "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the
> > product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private
> > inventors.
> >
> > BINGO!
> >
> > The same was mostly true of computers. Oh yes, the first real computer,
> > ENIAC, was built for the Army to compute artillery aiming tables. But
> > it was built on the work of earlier machines like the Atasinoff-Berry
> > Computer. And only one ENIAC was ever built. Its successors came from
> > private industry, for commercial purposes.
> >
> >
> >>No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have
> >>occured...but WHEN would they have occured?
> >

> >
> > Nobody knows.
>
> Right - who knows.

Nobody. Technological advance isn't always predictable.

One interesting historic game is to look at what was predicted for the future back 10, 20, 30 years. It's hilarious how many predictions never came true, and how many things that are common today were not foreseen.

>
> As much as I believe that the Space program was a
> peaceful spur to
> technology, in the end, I don't care.
>
> I like adventure, I like science, and I like exploring. People > *will"
> go into space, people *will* go to Mars. Will it be us, or will > we be
> known as the Portuguese of space exploration?
>
IOW, you're in it for the Buck Rogers factor.

Recall that the "great nations of Europe in the 16th century" all went exploring for *commercial* and *political* reasons. For the bucks, not Buck Rogers.

> >>Certainly NOT in the time
> >>frame that they have.

> > Why not?
> >
> > The fact is that we've avoided making serious longterm plans to solve
> > some basic technological problems in the USA. That avoidance has cost
> > us dearly, and will cost us more, until we
> > tackle the problems head-on rather than expecting some silver bullet to
> > do it for us.

Paying big bucks for the Buck Rogers factor, while ignoring the serious earth-bound issues like energy supply, trade deficit and vulnerability to weather disruption isn't smart. It's exactly like the guy who buys season tickets and a new bigscreen plasma TV/home theatre to watch the games using a credit card. While he ignores his rundown, collapsing house, sick children and insecure job situation.

> Wanna buy a hat???

Exactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2572. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:03:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128776621.685117.94550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not Lloyd whined:

> Oh, (IKYABWAI)

Tell us how knowledgeable you are about History again, Porky, you goo
gobbling moron.

Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of
history and
politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to
mean?
I thought a Nazi was a communist."

2573. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:05:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128776720.379922.30550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not Lloyd wrote:
<nothing important>

Lol at the 32 year old VIRGIN. He moved in with Ray Chason to have a homosexual relationship.

--
Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of history and politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message <19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to mean?
I thought a Nazi was a communist."

2574. Re: What's the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:08:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128776886.899037.45550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not Lloyd wrote:
>
> Much too complicated

Of course it is for you, dropout. How's that pizza BOY job search
going? Or did you alreeady get thrown out of your section 8 HUD public
housing in the ghettos of Athens?

--
Llllardasssssssss Lllllllllllllloyd, ill-educated Xtian, slobbered
how proud he was to have even a minimum wage job, even if his parents
got him the job in
<20020703083708.22556.00001848@mb-cl.aol.com>:
"At least I deliver pizzas on a regular bases."

2575. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-530 - 350/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:10:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128777019.499117.135230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

dalite01@bellsouth.net wrote:
> Does anyone else hear an echo in here?

Must be the vast emptiness of your skull.

Fuckhead.

2576. Mark C.Morgan the dumbass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:12:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128777126.730287.267220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120452

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > cut
> > > > >
> > > > Run away!!!! Run, Marky, Run!!!
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > Hearsay evidence. Not admissable, stupid.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Stevie admited to emailing them
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Liar. I notice you didn't offer up any proof of such occurances.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I did at the head of this thread but you hackem off
> > > > >
> > > > Bullshit. Learn to use your fucking newsreader.
> > > >
> > > no the problem is at tour end I realy can't help you there
> > >
> > You are giving tours of your cardboard box, Marky?
> >
> > You should spend what retard check money you get from the government on
> > new software.
> >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Indeed I recieve form time to time an email claiming to be from K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > with his picture and the banner the last you will see before you die
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > And I bet you are too stupid to look at the headers and see exactly
> > > > > > > > > > > where it comes from.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > It seems to be from Stevie was giving him the benifit of the doubt
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It seems to me you are brain damaged.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > > Stevie is a Stalker
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why in the hell would he want to stalk you, of all people?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > good question your first decent one
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You still didn't answer it, though, dumbass.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > becuase I don't have that answer, only Stevie might, and I say might
> > > > > > becuase I don't think Stevie knows why He is a complusive stalker
> > > > > >
> > > > > But you don't answer, because you don't have one and can't answer. You
> > > > > are just a pathological liar.
> > > > >
> > > > agreed
> > >
> > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
>
> not exacaly

Learn to read, dumbass.

> >
> > > > >
> > > > > but it was a good question your best
> > > > >
> > > > And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2577. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:13:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128777211.404933.206020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

What about the enemy, dumbass Marky? Do you think they kiss them like
you would?

2578. Marky backpedals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:15:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128777347.990841.270700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120454

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > STFU, child abuser.
>
> go ahead make the call

You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!

> Do something for a change don't just whine about it I gave you the
> number
>
> you are just coward like Stevie and Dan and most of the others
>
> full of bullshit but you talk the talk but don't walk the walk

We'll see, child abuser, we'll see.

2579. Re: HAM RADIO SAVE THE DAY IS MISSISSIPPI, RITA IN TEXAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 13:16:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128777394.197139.168290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120455

Excellent!

2580. The weekly F.AQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 15:03:58 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1128783838.470837.91170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120456
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

2581. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 15:27:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jzR1f.8189$zQ3.5609@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120457

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:

>>"We" are busy selling our hats to each other at the
>>moment. "We" don't
>>have a national will to do great things any more.
>
>
> "We" had the national will to fight a couple of wars in the Persian
> Gulf. Plus Afghanistan, Bosnia, etc. Whether those qualify as "great
> things" is another issue. They sure were expensive things, though.

If it has been possible for us to keep people from killing each other in
the name of religion or ethnicity, I believe it to be worth every penny.
If we can prevent others, regardless of religion or ethnicity, from
attacking us, I don't believe there is a price too high.

>>>And I think "we" made the right decision.
>>
>>Lessay we concentrate all our resources into feeding
>>the poor, fixing
>>all the social inequalities, and making the world a better
>>place for our
>>children and our childrens children.
>
>
> Wrong goals.
>
> The goal is not to "feed the poor" but to make it possible for "the
> poor" to feed themselves. Big difference. Same about the other stuff.

Then we've failed massively. We continue to feed the poor but we've
made little progress in teaching folks how to feed themselves. To
paraphrase the late Sam Kinnison, "This is sand. Nothing grows here.
Nothing is ever going to grow here. Let's stop sending food and send
them U-Hauls and suitcases and bring them to where the food is."

>>After all that. I would wager my life that there will still be > poor,
>>there will still be starving people, there will still be
>>inequality, and
>>the world will not be any better a place than it is today.
>
>
> Sounds pretty fatalistic to me.

It sounds pretty realistic to me. Those who are too stupid to learn and those who are smart enough to learn but too stupid to pay attention will always be with us and will always be a burden to the rest of society. Ditto those who, for whatever reason, are prevented from obtaining an education.

The Japanese government donated some hefty electrical generating plants to Sierra Leone around 1991. As the plants sat on the docks, thieves stole the cast aluminum heads and melted them down into cooking pots. They made money in the short term but still sat around in the dark.

> I say things can be made a lot better, if the will is there to do it.

Our will does not necessarily trump the will of the stupid, the greedy or any who wish to thwart our will.

> Take the whole energy issue. Suppose there *were* a serious, longterm,
> well-funded national program to improve our energy situation. Attack on
> all fronts - conservation, recycling, new sources, greater efficiency,
> etc. Do you really think such a program couldn't help improve the lives
> of almost all Americans?

I really think that it might not improve the lives of almost all Americans. There would be those who have no desire to cooperate, those who haven't the mental capacity to cooperate and those who cannot afford to cooperate. There are 96% efficient, gas furnaces. That's super for those who can afford them. If you have three kids, a car with 120k miles, own a mobile home on a rented lot and you drive 50 miles per day to and from a job which pays $7.00 per hour, you're not likely to have a lot left over toward that new efficient furnace or an efficient refrigerator.

Dave K8MN

2582. Nirvana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 15:29:41 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <8dpfk1p7111ljo6ics6kibcng7266seo0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 120458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://www.thelisten.net/photos/uncategorized/porta_potty_3.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2583. Re: Nirvana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 15:56:54 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <1tqfk1h02astcbpbipsb3vri5klce0c9v7@4ax.com>
Source record: 120459

AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote:
> http://www.thelisten.net/photos/uncategorized/porta_potty_3.jpg
>
http://www.worth1000.com/entries/5500/5940_w.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2584. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 16:33:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9xS1f.253$y14.151@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120460

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> "THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
>>>>>
>>>>> Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The
>>>>> fleet
>>>>> mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
>>>>> (almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
>>>>> 1970s.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge Neon.
>>>> The 2 liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall
>>>> but I have 4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the
>>>> roof of the car.
>>>>
>>>> Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as if
>>>> we *do* have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's use
>>>> theirs before we use ours".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, if that is our game plan, we better have enough reserves to

>>> fight most of the world off. Otherwise it is dangerous brinkmanship.
>>
>>
>>
>> The countries which produce oil are interested in selling it. We're
>> interested in buying it. I don't see any danger in that at all.
>
>
> There is another market these days which would be more than happy to
> buy the oil we purchase. We aren't the only game in town any more.

We've never been the only game in town. Demand sets the price.

>>> I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single
>>> digit fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under
>>> insulated McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is
>>> an unpatriotic act.
>>
>>
>>
>> Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet.
>
>
> Sure. But they are also using up a critical strategic resource,
> contributing to the imbalance of trade, and other things like that. Some
> patriots.

They're paying for the critical strategic resource. Before you write
about others contributing to the imbalance of trade sell off all of your
electronic gadgets which are made abroad. Will you have trouble posting
with no computer? You do use only American-made ham gear, right?

>> I know a number of pickup truck owners hereabouts, who are buying
>> small cars. Nobody is building underinsulated anything these days.
>
>
> Hmm, I suggest you come up to my area after a snowstorm. On most of
> the McMansions, the snow is gone a few hours after the storm. The same
> snow on my roof would be there for a week or so.

America is all about having the freedom to choose. Wisdom isn't
necessaary for those choices, economics is. If I can afford to buy and
heat a large, energy hog of a home, that choice is open to me.

> There is a wierd thing going on in my area, and I guess others as
> well. Conserving activities are seen as a liberal thing, and seems to be
> a litmus test. I knew a woman on campus that refused to recycle because
> "it just encourages the liberals". So we get the same thing with
> automobiles and house insulation. But we definitely have a lot of big
> houses that appear to have no insulation (or very little) in the house.

I've never thought of conservation as being just a liberal thing, though
you do appear to be of a liberal bent. Conservation and recycling won't
appeal to a lot of people until economics necessitates it. It doesn't
bother me that some folks have houses which are under-insulated any more
than it bothers me that some folks drive bigger, more expensive cars.

>> My pal W8RHM built his dream home three years ago. It is large and it
>> has geothermal heating. The heating system was supposed to pay for
>> itself within ten years or so. With the energy hikes of the past few
>> years, it'll be paid off much sooner. 'RHM is now paying winter
>> heating bills of 45-65 bucks. Those who have big, old homes will sell
>> 'em to someone who can afford to heat them.
>
>
> As long as there are people who can afford to heat 'em. My
> prediction is that they will become white elephants.

They may and they may not. Someone with a lot of cash may love 'em.
I'd like to be able to afford one myself. Then again, if I had that
much loot, I'd likely have enough to better insulate them.

>>> The way we are with oil and gas in recent times reminds me of the
>>> legendary lighting of cigars with 100 dollar bills. In yo' face
>>> consumption...
>>
>>
>>
>> You may feel free to paint me with that brush.
>
>
> Fiesty, Dave? I apologize if you think I was painting you as
> anything. I doubt most Neon drivers are profligate energy wasters.

Feisty? No, I think you may have misinterpreted my words. I meant that
I could easily be painted with that brush. I accept the label. I'm one
of those. I'm guilty of "in your face" consumption of natural gas.

>> My lease agreement with Columbia Gas provides me with 300,000 cubic
>> feet of gas yearly. I'm barely using more than half.
>>
>> I'm heating a glassed-in side porch and a workshop in the barn. As
>> soon as I get around to it, I'm adding a greenhouse lean to on the
>> back of the barn. I've a gas conversion kit for a gasoline
>> generator. In short, I'm going to very conspicuously use right up to
>> that 300,000 cubic feet and I'm not going to feel any guilt over it at
>> all.
>>
>>> I think that one critical lesson that should be gleaned from
>>> these two hurricanes this summer is that we are incredibly vulnerable
>>> in a few important areas. under the right circumstances, losing that
>>> much oil and gas production could be a near fatal blow.
>>
>>

>>
>> That's right. We need to drill in more places. ANWAR should be
>> hurricane proof.
>>
>> Nobody wants to discuss one of the real solutions to sufficient
>> energy: more nuke reactors.
>
>
> The way I see it, in the not too distant future, we will make a choice:
>
> 1. Rely on Nuclear power and build a lot more plants.
>
> 2. Go back to the middle ages.

Precisely.

> It's just about that simple. While people can conserve energy, I doubt
> that they can conserve enough.

If you're talking about electrical energy, any of it which is produced
but not consumed, is wasted energy. I can turn off my appliances and
lights, but if no one else uses the electricity I'm not using, it is wasted.

> The US has around 300 million people
> right now. We will be at 400 million around 35 years from now. Can all
> of us cut back 25 percent in energy usage?

I think I've already done that with electrical energy. I have efficient
appliances and almost all of the light in my home is by fluorescent
bulbs. I've installed a programmable thermostat for the a/c.

> And that would be to just
> tread water. Not to mention finding fossil fuels that will allow us to
> continue our present "burn rate". Pun intended.

Your state and mine have quite a supply of coal and natural gas, along
with pretty good supplies of petroleum.

> I support the alternative energy production modes. But we have to be
> realistic. They are a localized phenomenon, and won't likely be a major
> solution

If a guy with a wind turbine or solar panels makes the effort, I'm for
him. We can't all do it.

>>>> Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare that
>>>> NOLA won't be rebuilt?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Nope. New Orleans will be rebuilt, and will be rebuilt again, and
>>> perhaps a third or forth time, until it slips beneath the waves for

>>> good.
>>
>>
>>
>> Yep and people are free to build where they choose. Without the
>> freedom to make choices, America wouldn't be America. I won't be
>> rushing to buy a home in New Orleans but most of those folks wouldn't
>> live on a hilltop in rural West Virginia. That suits their
>> needs...and mine.
>
>
>
> I have no problem in principle with a person building their house on
> the lava dome of Mt St Helens if they are so inclined.

I agree.

> However, I do
> have a problem if he wants me to buy his insurance or build him a new
> house when the present one burns up because of it's location.

Again, I agree.

> Same goes
> for building that wonderful vacation retreat on a barrier island or 50
> feet from the ocean. That land is transient, and IMO so is any human
> structure built on it

All human life and all human structures are transient, no matter where
they are built.

> Do you support paying for these peoples stupidity? (The stupidity is
> in my opinion - but a pretty good case can be made for it being stupid)

No, I don't support my paying for it or your paying for it or government
paying for it. I support the freedom to choose. That freedom comes
with responsibility.

Dave K8MN

2585. Another day at the salt mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 16:36:19 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <oatfk19dpps85pou171tdbg508dg9pb6qb@4ax.com>
Source record: 120461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://www.bcsrc.org/who/gallery/01SpringAKC/PottyDuty2.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2586. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 17:22:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128792160.348505.206800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120462

Dave Heil wrote:

> If you're talking about electrical energy, any of it which is
> produced
> but not consumed, is wasted energy. I can turn off my
> appliances and
> lights, but if no one else uses the electricity I'm not using, > it is wasted.

Dave,

Electricity supply doesn't work like that.

The production adjusts itself to the load. If the load decreases, so does production. There is no waste from reduced loading. In fact, if the load goes down enough, utilities shut down their least-efficient plants.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2587. Re: The weekly F.AQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 17:42:20 UTC
From: Conrad Poos <poos.conrad@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0yT1f.94968$RW.65052@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 120463
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?

Aha....the CBer returns. Welcome back good buddy!

The following posts are by Gareth Alun Evans, aka 'Airy R Bean', aka 'Polymath':

Sep 6 1997, 8:00 am

As the result of holding a CB licence, I have recently received a consultative document about the future of CB Radio in this country, which suggests that serious consideration is being given to legalising AM and SSB on 27 Mhz.
--
72's etc

Sep 6 1998, 8:00 am

A group of us in Wiltshire have just equipped from Tandy, standard Midland 40 channel rigs. All work fairly well, range about 1/2 mile, less if in trees. Get your aerials somewhere else, the Tandy ones are too rigid and break easily. Most farmer's wholesalers have them. New rig about ï¿½60, second hand about ï¿½20.

Don't forget your CB licence @ ï¿½15 pa.

As a radio ham, I was very sceptical at first (CB=Children's Broadcasting, etc) But once we got them, they are indispensable. With all the backchat besides the useful conversations, it makes it seem as though everybody in the convoy is in one vehicle and makes for a much more enjoyable day out.

Sep 19 1998, 8:00 am

73 de Gareth G4SDW (Also licensed CB),

Google is your friend :)

Poos

--
vy 73 de Conrad Poos

"Ich bin ein radio amateur"

2588. Re: The weekly F.AQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 17:51:12 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <kGT1f.13262$4Q.10048@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 120464
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Poxy mouth wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
Staying long Beanie? I hope not.
Don't let us make you late back to the nut house.
...(_!_)...

2589. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 19:11:05 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <1112e$434819be$4e0b78a$26933@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128559361.010396.63830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Snipped

> For someone who is so concerned about Baxter's good name and potential
> slander thereof, you seem totally unconcerned about applying your own
> double
> standard and slandering anyone who does not bow down to your mistaken
> interpretations of other's posts.

what are you smoking bitch?

no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
>
> However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no point in
> conversing with you at this time.

see to it then

nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
yourself
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Once again Markie shows his IQ by resorting to juvenile name calling.
What a jerk.
Ace - WH2T

2590. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 19:12:42 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <87ad$43481a20$4e0b78a$26937@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:B4mdnWv0zIccM9jenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:jjg1f.9831$vw6.1869@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>

Snipped

>> Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for an
>> attitude adjustment.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> Don't worry about it Dan. He'd never take you up on it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Markie is stupid but he's not THAT stupid!
Ace - WH2T

2591. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 19:21:44 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <daa09$43481c3c$4e0b78a$26979@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:amg1f.9835$vw6.4024@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>> news:xuidnQZ6T_RjFtneRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>

Snipped

>
> I'll guarantee ya'll one thing.....Mark has never been in any form of
> Basic Training. And if he was he was discharged way before the first 10
> days.
>
> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
That's a fact.
The KFC "Colonel" would have quickly been given a section 8 discharge.
Ace - WH2T

2592. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 19:26:03 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <7a7c9$43481d3f$4e0b78a$26996@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> >
Snipped
>>
>> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
>
> again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Markie,
I think Dan was just saying that if you had been in the military you would
have quickly received a much needed attitude adjustment.
Ace - WH2T

2593. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 19:39:26 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <5754$43482062$4e0b78a$27055@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Gyi0f.8021$QE1.7278@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>

Snipped

>>
> Mr AOF,
>
> Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
Be careful Dan we don't want the "Colonel" accusing you of violating his
"rights".
Ace - WH2T

2594. As I said...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 19:44:46 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <oc8gk1lbe6b7nnt76l3vqgu1g1q7vmb6h8@4ax.com>
Source record: 120470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 06 Oct 2005 17:18:36 +0100, LRod <duckecho@gmail.com> wrote:

>On 5 Oct 2005 22:19:03 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>you also show yourself to be of the same ilk as the Nazi's
>
>Well, this thread's over.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2595. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 19:53:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HsV1f.8559$oc.4724@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120471

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>>> I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single digit
>>> fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under insulated
>>> McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an unpatriotic
>>> act.
>>
>>
>> Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet.
>
> Sure. But they are also using up a critical strategic resource, contributing
> to the imbalance of trade, and other things like that. Some patriots.
>

Patriotic? Unpatriotic?

Don't look now, but economics pretty much went global about 50 years ago.
"Patriotism" has didly-squat to do with it.

73, de Hans, Patriot

2596. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 21:25:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yPW1f.329$y14.139@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120472

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>If you're talking about electrical energy, any of it which is
>>produced
>>but not consumed, is wasted energy. I can turn off my
>>appliances and
>>lights, but if no one else uses the electricity I'm not using, > it is wasted.
>
>
> Dave,
>
> Electricity supply doesn't work like that.
>
> The production adjusts itself to the load. If the load decreases, so
> does production. There is no waste from reduced loading. In fact, if
> the load goes down enough, utilities shut down their least-efficient
> plants.

I accept your statements as fact, as far as they go. However, if electricity is generated and not consumed, it is wasted. If I have a 25 KVA generator running and only use 12 KVA, the available balance is gone forever. Admittedly, the generator will use less fuel under the smaller load.

Dave K8MN

2597. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 21:32:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5WW1f.331$y14.211@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120473

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>>> I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single digit
>>>>fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under insulated
>>>>McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an unpatriotic
>>>>act.
>>>
>>>
>>>Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet.
>>
>>Sure. But they are also using up a critical strategic resource, contributing
>>to the imbalance of trade, and other things like that. Some patriots.
>>
>
>
> Patriotic? Unpatriotic?
>
> Don't look now, but economics pretty much went global about 50 years ago.
> "Patriotism" has didly-squat to do with it.

I think of that often as I contemplate my "made in Tennessee" rig. It
is filled with components from China, Japan, Korea, Maylaysia, Taiwan
and the Philippines.

Congrats on Minnesota's capturing the Little Brown Jug for the first
time in nineteen years. That was a long drought.

Dave K8MN

2598. Re: Last Date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 21:42:57 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <70fgk1l04sp4bui96ni49t5mcre0nic852@4ax.com>
Source record: 120474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Not Lloyd" <NotWoger@Glendale.om> wrote:
> Roger's last non blowup real, live date has a web page.
> Prepare yourself, though. She is just a tad bit on the "full figured"
> side.
>
> http://ww3.voyeurweb.com/main/Prg40b/ps20050929-156477/index.html
>
I dumped her for someone MUCH hotter.

http://tinyurl.com/bgl95

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2599. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 21:57:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <YgX1f.11957$q1.11002@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:7a7c9$43481d3f$4e0b78a$26996@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>> >
> Snipped
>>>
>>> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
>>
>> again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> Markie,
> I think Dan was just saying that if you had been in the military you
> would have quickly received a much needed attitude adjustment.
> Ace - WH2T
>

Probably within the first ten days.

Dan/W4NTI

2600. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 21:59:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128808793.691270.60280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120477

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> >>If you're talking about electrical energy, any of it which is
> >>produced
> >>but not consumed, is wasted energy. I can turn off my
> >>appliances and
> >>lights, but if no one else uses the electricity I'm not
> >>using, it is wasted.

> > > Dave,
> > >
> > > Electricity supply doesn't work like that.
> > >
> > > The production adjusts itself to the load. If the load
> > > decreases, so
> > > does production. There is no waste from reduced loading. In
> > > fact, if
> > > the load goes down enough, utilities shut down their least-
> > > efficient
> > > plants.
> >
> > I accept your statements as fact, as far as they go.

They go pretty far.

> However, if
> electricity is generated and not consumed, it is wasted.

Where does it go? The utility doesn't put huge dummy loads on
line.

> If I have a 25
> KVA generator running and only use 12 KVA, the available
> balance is gone forever.

But it's not wasted.

> Admittedly, the generator will use less fuel under the smaller
> load.

Because it's not making 25 kVa.

If you're Neon has a 100 HP engine, but you're cruising down the
highway at a steady speed and only using, say, 10 HP, is the other 90
HP "wasted"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2601. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 21:59:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <yjX1f.11959$q1.11752@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:5754$43482062$4e0b78a$27055@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Gyi0f.8021$QE1.7278@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>
> Snipped
>
>>>
>> Mr AOF,
>>
>> Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
> Be careful Dan we don't want the "Colonel" accusing you of violating his
> "rights".
> Ace - WH2T
Markie has thrown away all possibility of rights by his attitude, language,
and general demeanor. He has no rights as far as I'm concerned.

Dan/W4NTI

2602. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:07:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yqX1f.8612$oc.1987@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120478

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> Congrats on Minnesota's capturing the Little Brown Jug for the first time in
> nineteen years. That was a long drought.
>

Those guys give me fits! Win their first four games with 40-50 points per game,
get embarrased at Happy Valley last weekend, then grind out a nice unexpected
win against Michigan. The next two weeks against strong Divisional rivals
(Wisconsin, Ohio State) will tell the tale.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2603. an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:17:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128809851.729361.175510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120479

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > STFU, child abuser.
> >
> > go ahead make the call
>
> You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!

simple solutiion do the work the best when they fit
>
> > Do something for a change don't just whine about it I gave you the
> > number
> >
> > you are just coward like Stevie and Dan and most of the others
> >
> > full of bullshit but you talk the talk but don't walk the walk
>
> We'll see, child abuser, we'll see.

yea right

2604. Re:whiny lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:19:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128809989.391083.309310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120480

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > cut
cut
> > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> >
> > not exacaly
>
> Learn to read, dumbass.

not exacaly

I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
with me,
that is the plain and simple truth"

now you are just plain lying little boy why bother

again still forging posts little whininng boy
>
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > but it was a good question your best
> > > > >
> > > > > And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2605. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:22:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128810144.415795.63990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >> >
> Snipped
> >>
> >> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
> >
> > again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Markie,
> I think Dan was just saying that if you had been in the military you would
> have quickly received a much needed attitude adjustment.

he was syaing I would have recieved one he considered neccassry but
you, me and Dan also know that what is being bloodlessly described as
an "attitude adjustment" is violent assault

a Felony in the civilan world and a courtmarial offense in the military
> Ace - WH2T

2606. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:23:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128810219.771744.207050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> news:5754$43482062$4e0b78a$27055@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:Gyi0f.8021$QE1.7278@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >
> > Snipped
> >
> >>>
> >> Mr AOF,
> >>
> >> Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >>
> > Be careful Dan we don't want the "Colonel" accusing you of violating his
> > "rights".
> > Ace - WH2T

Han as I said you are incorrect in stating that everyone agrees
everyone has equal rights

> Markie has thrown away all possibility of rights by his attitude, language,
> and general demeanor. He has no rights as far as I'm concerned.

you know just becuase you say it don't make it so
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2607. Re: Stevie admits to being no one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:28:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128810490.784810.174680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120483

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > > One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> > > > people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> > > > life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS
> > >
> > > I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> > > Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> > > personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
> >
> > there you have it Stevie admit to being no one
>
> No...
>
> What we have is Mark Morgan demonstrating his lack of English
> Comprehension.

not at all

but what we see now is that YOU Stevie are not bright enough to make
only legit charge in the matter carefull editing
>
> Again...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2608. Re: Stevie admits to being no one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:28:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128810504.030455.318200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120484

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > > One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> > > > people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> > > > life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS
> > >
> > > I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> > > Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> > > personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
> >
> > there you have it Stevie admit to being no one
>
> No...
>
> What we have is Mark Morgan demonstrating his lack of English
> Comprehension.

not at all

but what we see now is that YOU Stevie are not bright enough to make
only legit charge in the matter carefull editing
>
> Again...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2609. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:29:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128810548.881367.179510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120485

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> > >Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> > >personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
> >
> > Hey dumb ####...(SNIP)
cut
> Get the laughs in now, Toiddie...
>
> BTW...How many burgers do you have to flip to pay for an hour's
> worth of your attorney's time to fight a criminal case...?!?!
>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW!
>
> Not for long.

Stvie you have been making that promise for months
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2610. FYI KB9RQZ/ag (after R@O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 22:37:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128811055.546128.280340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120486

to any interested party took and passed the general test test so just waiting on the FCC to drag the process of finching what they started with the NPRM, tried the extra (got 31 right close but not close enough)as well but only studied for the general so as to not confuse myself in naswer with to set of previlges (that almost got me on the Novice and tech writtens certainly cut down my score then)

The testing was sight to see was more people siting for exams than any of the VE's could remember ever seeing mostly for the tech class many of them tried the general as well.

was funny the team leader was a bit perplexed by my taking the license test they about filed the paper to give the license as if I had passed the code test at somepoint, maybe they will confused enough to do so in the end i check the DB in a couple of weeks and see. Not sure what I am going to do if they screw up and manage to ISSUE a general class ticket

2611. Re: The weekly F.AQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 23:02:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128810295.662760.233000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120487
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

```
Polymath wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
still peddling the same hate filled bile?
```

2612. Re: FYI KB9RQZ/ag (after R@O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-08 23:39:49 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <9NY1f.8634$oc.7526@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120488

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

: to any interested party took and passed the general test test so just
: waiting on the FCC to drag the process of finching what they started
: with the NPRM,

Your results of test are remaining good only for one year so will expire b4
the morse exam is gone.

: Not sure what I am going to do if they screw up
: and manage to ISSUE a general class ticket

No chances that the fcc is that much screw up.

BGO

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."

2613. Re: FYI KB9RQZ/ag (after R@O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 00:13:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128816818.742156.133760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120489

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:

> No chances that the fcc is that much screw up.

You must be a foreigner.

2614. Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 00:59:42 UTC
From: Jen Grier <stjlg14@moravian.edu>
Message-ID: <di9q1u$rd5$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

Hello everyone!
I'm the technician for WRMC, Moravian's intranet-only (only on campus) streaming broadcast radio station. We'd like to go Internet-wide, but the FCC site is quite confusing. Anyone have experience with the licensing involved in the change? The technology end is ready, but I wouldn't want to unleash us against the law, you know? We don't have any other licenses that I know of at this time. Thank you for your input!

- Jen Grier
WRMC Technician

--
http://www.geocities.com/ssj_chocobo/

2615. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 01:07:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128820079.492311.38630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120491

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:

> I MEAN that my biggest disappointment is that we don't have a
> manned mission on Mars yet.

I'm disappointed, too.

When I was a kid I saw the Kubrick classic "2001" in the movie theater.
Back then they thought we'd have permanent bases (plural) on the Moon
by 2001. Also commercial orbiting space stations (hotel accomodations
by Howard Johnson?) and the first manned trip to *Jupiter*.

Plus true artificial intelligence.

> Yes, I know it would be dangerous.
>
> Yes, I know it would be expensive.
>
> Yes, I know there are thousands of technological hurdles to
> overcome. "T'aint nuttin' new"

The difference is the magnitude of those problems to be overcome.

> > > > Going to Mars is at least 100 times more difficult than going > > to the
> > > > moon. Mars never gets closer than 30 million miles - more
> > > > than 100
> > > > times farther away than the moon. A Mars mission would be
> > > > years long
> > > > rather than a week or two. Martian gravity and atmosphere
> > > > make the
> > > > problems even worse.
> > >
> > > Yep...But...
> > >
> > > It's been over 30 years since we've walked on the moon. > We got
> > > there in a bit over 9 years from President Kennedy's
> > > challenge to do
> > > so.
> >
> > Yep. Think about *why* the USA was able to do it.
>
> I know why, Jim...Money and govenmental subsidies witha bit of

> technological application.

More than "a bit".

The big thing was that NASA essentially had a blank check to get to the
moon. The numbers don't sound that high today until you realize they
were 1960s dollars that were worth 5 to 10 2005 dollars.

> > And if it took 9 years to get to the moon, and Mars is 100 times
> > harder, that works out to 900 years!
>
> You're being facetious.

Only partly. It takes months just to get to Mars.

> > > We've squandered those intervening years on LEO manned
> > > missions.
> >
> > I'd hardly say they were "squandered". Other things took precedence.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhh....TIVO and HBO.

Wars. Economic troubles. Energy crises. Major industries in big
trouble. Foreign competition.

> > > Astronomers and scientists knew then when Mars would be in an
> > > Earth-Mars-Earth (In this thread if I refer to EME, this is
> > > what I
> > > mean...Not EME as in "moonbounce")-transit favorable trajectory.
> >
> > And they know all the problems. One of them is that with any known
> > practical rocket technology, a mission to Mars will take *years*. With
> > no hope of rescue from Earth if anything serious goes wrong.
>
> I discussed that below.

> Also...there was no hope of rescue when Cloumbus shoved off...So
> again, what's new?

Columbus took three ships for that very reason. And he lost one. The
other two rescued the crew of the third.

> > > There have been hundreds of plans put forth using AVAILABLE
> > > technology and resources to get there...All we had to do was
> > > pick one and get started.
> >
> > The plans are only basic outlines. They require lots of
> > development to
> > become reality.
>
> Uh huh. And what did I say?
>
> Pick one and get busy.

And pay for it how?

> > > Just ONE plan that seemed practical enough (or at least made the
> > > most sense) to me was one to send the necessary resources for
> > > life
> > > support in a series of UN-manned "pre-missions" while the
> > > manned vessel
> > > was prepared in Earth orbit.
> >
> > Of course. The first missions would be one-way supply ships that would
> > orbit Mars for years, waiting for people to show up. They could go at
> > very slow speeds to maximize the usable payload.
> >
> > > Resources would be pre-positioned both on
> > > Mars and along the way.
> >
> > Pre-positioning "along the way" isn't practical. The only
> > really
> > practical place to put a supply cache is in Martian orbit.
>
> Placing a satellite into a known, predictable orbit isn't
> practical?
>
> Since when?

Steve, your ignorance of orbital mechanics is showing.

You can't preposition a supply ship "on the way" to Mars and at the
same time have it match the manned ship in speed and direction. Yet the
two ships need to have near-identical speed and direction to hook up.

> > Now consider how much payload would have to be sent ahead.
> > We're
> > talking *tons* of supplies and equipment, all of which would
> > have to be
> > still usable after *years* in space. Sure it can be done,
> > but it's not
> > easy, fast or inexpensive.
>
> There's not one word from me saying it is. However water
> doesn't
> decay into anything else, and we have pretty reliable
> technologies when
> it comes to preserving our foodstuffs. An we've already proven > we can
> work in space to "fix stuff".

Let's talk about water for an example....

If a leak develops, the water will boil away into the vacuum of space.

If the temperature control isn't right, the water will freeze, expand
and burst the tank that holds it unless the tank is designed for
freezing.

If the water is allowed to freeze, a lot of energy will be needed to
melt it when it's needed.

All those problems can be overcome, of course. But when you're talking
about sending tons of supplies at costs of well over $25,000 per pound,
it gets expensive quick.

> > > > If it costs $100-200 billion or more to go to the moon, what would Mars cost?
> > > >
> > > Who cares?
> >
> > Those of us who have to pay the bills!
>
> I've already shown where those "investments" come home.

No, you haven't. Most of the technology needed for space doesn't
translate to earthbound uses well. btw, Tang and Teflon existed before
Project Mercury.

> > > The PRESENT Space program has already generated TRILLIONS of
> > > dollars in new technology and incentives since July, 1969..
> > >
> > Like what?
>
> Start off with the PC, iPod, new textiles, and communications
> technologies.

The PC did not come from the space program. It came from Xerox's Palo
Alto Research Center, circa 1971.

The iPod, neat as it is, hardly justifies the existence of NASA.

The textiles and communications technologies were extensions of
existing methods. The geosynchronous communications satellite was
proposed in the late 1940s. Frequency hopping spread spectrum was
coinvented by movie star Hedy Lamarr. Much of the deep-space
communications technology was originally developed for radio astronomy
or radar.

The best technologies to come from the space program are the abilities
to look at the earth from space, to see weather and other conditions.
Satellites are how come the Soviets couldn't keep Chernobyl a secret.

But those are unmanned.
>
> > And can you guarantee that the money would not have been
> > better
> > spent on direct problem solving?
>
> Can you show me where direct problem solving is doing as well?

Oh yes!

The British just announced a vaccine against cervical cancer. No space
program involvement.

Advances in PC technology, and the internet, have almost all been made
by commercial companies feeding the earthbound market.

The greatest revolution in communications technology in the past decade
or two is fiber optics. Nothing to do with space, everything to do with
Dow Corning.

> Everyone is hoo-yah'ing over the Rutan/X-Prize flights and
> ballyhooing the emerging commercial space market, but while
> admirable,
> they are ony re-inventing the wheel.

At a tiny fraction of the price.

> > > Imagine
> > > where we COULD have been...?!?!
> >
> > Do you remember the 1970s? I do.
>
> Uh huh...And I didn't have a PC or iPod then.

Yet we got along without them.

And they didn't come from NASA.
>
> > All through the 1950s and 1960s, "aerospace" was the big deal.
> > Incredible resources were poured into the space programs and "defense".
> > We were told our future would be like that shown on "The Jetsons".
> >
> > But when the supply of cheap oil disappeared in the early 1970s, we
> > found ourselves unable to produce a decent "economy" car. "Aerospace"
> > didn't help the folks in the gas lines.
>
> Was it supposed to?

Isn't it obvious that we should have been focusing on that problem
sooner?

> Where are the claims? Who said that?
>
> > Meanwhile the Japanese and Europeans already had lots of good economy
> > cars. It's taken the US auto industry decades to catch up.
>
> Japanese and Europeans were used to living on streets narrow
> enough to tll what the guy next door had for breakfast without > parting
> the shades.

Many American cities are no less crowded. The Europeans have a long
history of making big as well as small cars.

> Americans were use to having "the wide open
> spaces" and cheap gas.

Both of which turned out to be myths.

> So...where was the incentive to make itty-bitty gas sippers? That
> wasn't what the American market wanted.

The American car industry focused on the sizzle rather than the steak.
They invested heavily in styling and big engines and practically
ignored safety, pollution and fuel efficiency.

Long before the fuel crises of the early 1970s, VW had made big inroads
into the US market with small efficient reliable cars. So there *was* a
market - Detroit just ignored it.

> Even now more and more SUV's
> are rolling off the lines...even Honda and Suzuki have gotten
> on the band wagon.

Most SUVs never leave the pavement. Most are all about style and image,
not transportation.

Why?

> > Now we're set to repeat that history.
>
> Probably. And Americans STILL can't be told to start spending
> money on trains and subways.

Sure they can. All it takes is leadership and longterm vision.

> > > Maybe we would have had our CURRENT level of technology 15 or 20
> > > years ago?
> >
> > How? And current level of which technology?
>
> Now you're treating me like an idiot, Jim.

How?

We're surrounded by technologies ranging from ancient to brand new.
>
> > > Just think...If we'd pared only $10B from each YEAR'S defense
> > > budget since 1970, we'd have "only" spent $360B now, which is
> > > about 1
> > > 1/2 years military budget over THIRTY-SIX years...And wudda had > an
> > > American flag and American footprints on TWO astronomical
> > > bodies by
> > > now.
> >
> > Imagine if that money had gone into energy independence
> > instead.
>
> But wait! You've previously suggested such is the realm of the
> commercial entities.

I'm saying they should be helped out by government. By such things as
tax credits and support for research.

Imagine if there were a NASA-like program set up to develop a commuter
car for the 21st century. Would have to exceed all current safety and
pollution requirements, seat at least two adults 6' 4"/250 pounds or
less, cost less than $20,000 MSRP
and get better than 100 MPG.

How long do you think it would take to solve those problems?

> So why aren't THEY doing it?

They are - slowly. Because they have to do it out of their own pocket.

My old VW Rabbit Diesel got more than 40 mpg *city*. Using 1970s
technology. Fun to drive, easy to work on, lasted me 17 years. The
newer VW diesels are turbocharged and even better.

> > > If we're going to have a tit-for-tat about the bottom-line
> > > practicality of going, Jim, I'm not up for it.
> >
> > I am. That's what engineering is all about - dealing with
> >*real* problems.
>
> Obviously not in this case (travel to Mars).

Part of engineering is economics. And correctly identifying the real
problems.
>
> > It's easy to blue-sky what coulda-woulda-shoulda been done.
> > It's a lot
> > tougher to actually do the things.
>
> No kidding?
>
> Seems to me that a certain, since deceased, President of the
> United States said we were going to to the moon not because it was
> easy, but because it WAS hard.
>
He was saving face politically.

> > If you don't want to take a long
> > serious look at just how difficult and expensive a manned
> > Mars trip
> > would be, please don't lecture us about what
> > should have been done.
>
> Jim, you're wanting to explain away why we languished for 36 years
> on what would have been the feat of TWO millenium...OK...But the FACTS
> are we did absolutely NOTHING to facilitate this mission.

Sure we did.

The main reason given for the Shuttle was that it was supposed to
reduce the cost of getting payloads to orbit. I recall clearly the
"space truck" sales pitch.

The idea was that subassemblies could be put in the shuttle cargo bay, delivered to orbit, and assembled by the shuttle crew. Huge ships capable of long-duration missions to the moon and Mars would be built in orbit, tested and fueled, all by a fleet of Shuttles that could be quickly (few weeks at most) turned around on Earth. The ships assembled in orbit would be true spacecraft, not needing to deal with gravity or atmospheres.

A grand idea, and it looked practical 30 years ago. But things didn't work out that way.
>
> Nothing.

No, plenty was done. Do you recall Viking and Voyager and all the others? They were needed to gather data. Mars is a tougher environment than the Moon in some ways.

> > > Anyone can
> > > "successfully" argue that it's going to "cost" time, money and
> > > resources to go. Even lives. But EVERY expedition of
> > > exploration in
> > > man's time has cost money up front and lives along the way.
> >
> > Of course. And some times it was worth it. Other times it wasn't.
>
> So...Which ones were worth it, and on the UNsuccessful ones, do
> you think the participants thought that thier lives were worth > it?

Remember when Challenger blew up on launch? NASA was under pressure from the White House to get that teacher into space.
They probably would have had a successful mission if they'd waited a few days for warmer weather. But they yielded to management overriding engineering.

> > > The REAL
> > > bottom line is that we could have done this a LONG time ago if we'd only said "Let's Do It."
> >
> > But "we" didn't, because "we" didn't think it was worth the
> > costs.
>
> "We" didn't do it becasue we had just come out of Viet Nam and
> already landed 12 men on the moon...thier attention span was
> short and
> ready to move on.

The big reason was the Rooskies. They'd beaten the US into space with Sputnik and Gagarin's flight. Look in the history books - all the early space firsts were by the USSR. First artificial satellite - first animal in space - first man in space - first woman in space - first space walk - first mission to the moon - first pictures of the far side of the moon - first multiple simultaneous manned missions - the list goes on and on.

JFK knew that he needed something that was far enough off, yet doable,
to have a truly American first. Von Braun and his Germans had been
designing big rockets for years - on paper. The moon was the obvious
choice.

And it was a crash program. Once JFK was gone, it became a sort of
monument to his memory, as if we couldn't let him down.

btw, did you know that the Russians sent an unmanned mission to the
Moon that took rock samples and returned with them?
>
> So...If we're to accept your apparent suggestion that
> short-sightedness is an excuse for not pursuing research and
> exploration, let's just go ahead and kill the ENTIRE space > program,
> Jim...I mean, afterall, MOST folks shrug thier shoulders and dismiss it
> as science fiction...UNTIL you start pointing out the in-their-face
> examples of what seemingly non-porductive research does to better thier
> daily lives.

I say that we need a sensible space program *and* sensible policies and
programs here on earth. Long term, well-thought-out and well-run
programs to do what needs to be done.

> > And I think "we" made the right decision.
>
> I don't.
>
> I thinnk that it's humiliating to have had it at our discretion > to
> do this thing and have not done it.

If you can pay for it with discretionary funds, great!

> It's like Jonas Salk looking
> through his microscope, then saying, whelp, that was fun...

His vaccine came before the space program...

>
> > > > > Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession
> > > > >alone that
> > > > > have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> > > > > pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> > > > > effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> > > > > deep felt.
> > >
> > > > > Can you guarantee that those advances would not have
> > > > happened if the
> > > > money had been spent on research in the fields
> > > > directly affected? IOW,
> > > > why not simply go after a problem directly?
> > >
> > > In industrialized society's history, major technological
> > > >>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit
(3) government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war).

> > There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them.
> > "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the
> > product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private
> > inventors.
> >
> > BINGO!
>
> Thank-you for agreeing with me.
>
> > The same was mostly true of computers. Oh yes, the first real computer,
> > ENIAC, was built for the Army to compute artillery aiming tables. But
> > it was built on the work of earlier machines like the Atasinoff-Berry
> > Computer. And only one ENIAC was ever built. Its successors came from
> > private industry, for commercial purposes.
>
> Uh huh...and had the government NOT poured millions of dollars
> into this, providing untold collateral research opportunities, > how much
> longer until commercial systems filled in the holes?

A few years at most. ENIAC wasn't the only early computer, just the
first. Bell Labs was working along similar lines with relay computers.

btw, the transistor was developed by Bell Labs. Without ENIAC, the
first electronic digital computers may have been made by Bell - and
transistorized.
>
> As a matter of fact, considering the times, if you take away the
> military and space programs, what WAS the incentive for such
> computing systems?

Plenty:

1) The telephone system. (The transistor was actually meant to be a
switch, not an amplifier, to route telephone calls better than a relay)

2) Large companies handling lots of data, like insurance companies and
the stock exchange.

3) Industrial manufacturing control and inventory management.

4) Industrial research and simulation.

Lots more.

btw, ENIAC wasn't all that big a project, dollar-wise. IIRC it cost
about as much as a couple of B-29s or maybe a small destroyer.

> > > No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have
> > > occured...but WHEN would they have occured?

> > Nobody knows.

> > > Certainly NOT in the time
> > > frame that they have.

> >
> > Why not?
>
> Because they haven't yet, and even Associate degree sociology
> programs show the direct link between the advancement of
> technology and warfare.

So we have to kill each other to advance technology?

> There was a parallel rise during the "space race".

Only because there was lots of investment, and a clear direction.
That's the key factor.

We don't need wars or a space program to advance technology. Just a
reasonable amount of resources dedicated and a clear direction.

> > The fact is that we've avoided making serious longterm plans to solve
> > some basic technological problems in the USA. That avoidance has cost
> > us dearly, and will cost us more, until we
> > tackle the problems head-on rather than expecting some silver bullet to
> > do it for us.
>
> I agree...Mass transit...universal healthcare...

Agreed!

> housing and feeding the poor.

NO!

Make it possible for "the poor" to take care of themselves.
>
> Now imagine spending the monies I suggested on a new "space race",
> this time one shared with other nations...Not all of that money goes
> into "research"...There are salaries to be paid, goods to be bought and
> sold, and new means of transportation and communications to be
> installed.

It's been done already. It's called ESA.

> Collateral good instead of collateral damage.
>
> THAT would be amazing.
>
Sure - but it would take a long-term vision and leadership. Willingness
to compromise.

And it wouldn't solve most earthbound problems.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2616. Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 01:51:05 UTC
From: Usenet Central <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <dl_1f.11182$vw6.3737@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120492

Due to a complete lack of traffic corresponding to the commissioning
charter, the USENET newsgroup [rec.radio.amateur.policy] will be
decommissioned at the end of the current month, October 2005.

2617. Re: FYI KB9RQZ/ag (after R@O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 02:57:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128826639.086594.304020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120494

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
>
> > No chances that the fcc is that much screw up.
>
> You must be a foreigner.

futher more the ARRL will have it hands on it before the FCC between
the 2 of them who knows

2618. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 02:58:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128826706.843745.18180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old faggot wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Blushing Tammy wrote:
> > >
> > > Geeze, another account set up by Lloyd Davies........
> > >
> > > > What is a "big buck nigger".
> > > >
> > > > I've heard many a descriptive label for coons, but this is a new one.
> > >
> > > It's just one you and your gay lover Steve Holsten (Kennett, MO's
> > > registered pedophile) use, Lardass!
> >
> > the new title yes it all
>
> WTF? Your title of "Moron" is secure, now, tell us about your
> self-imposed "Colonel" one, stupid. You must've gotten your "Kentucky
> Colonel" merit badge while you were working as a fry cook at KFC.
>
> >
> > please grow up or take your meds or deal with whatever malfunction
> > makes so obseesed with other males sexuality
>
> Follow your own advice, dumbass.

I am not obessed by other males sexuality

2619. Re: Craftsmen and subcontractors needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 03:06:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128827206.561897.193760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > Sounds like many a radio room at the base of a communications tower.
> >
> > I can do with the stuff on my desktop most of what Lennie claims
> > he could do in 1953. I'd say that's a bit of a change.
>
> If it's microwave, I'd say you can't.

but maybe I can or will be able to before long been slowing spreading
out in that direction
>
> > > > > His design experience came later, so Len is actually qualified to do
> > > > > both.
> > > >
> > > > He's designed nothing. Not one thing. He's BOASTED of being a
> > > > "design engineer" for years, and frequently drops the names of projects
> > > > whose names appeared in Aviation Week and Space Technology.
> > > >
> > > You might find some of the systems that I worked on in the Bulletin of
> > > the American Meteorological Societs (BAMS). Have you never worked on
> > > anything worth appearing in a professional publication?
> >
> > Yep.
>
> List them.

Stevie can't list em will claim he could but he'd have to kill us
>
> > But we weren't talking about you, me or meteorological systems,
> > now were we?
>
> Meteorology is a heavy user of communications.

indeed even a decent source of expecrence in Ham radio ops Skywarn
>
> > > > His only "radio" reference, other than being a radio mechanic at
> > > > ADA, is the SINCGARS program...A program absolutely irrelevent to
> > > > Amateur Radio, but he sure does make sure we hear about it.

> > >
> > > And you can't remember the common or technical nomeclature of teh radio
> > > systems that you claim to have used in the USMC.
> >
> > No...I don't DISCUSS military radios here, Brian.
>
> Of course not. You leave the heavy lifting to Brian Kelly/W3RV and Jim
> Miccolis/N2EY, neither of whom has any military experience. I
> especially like Jim's admiration of the amateur radio service during
> WWII. Hi!
>
> > I have NEVER,
> > not ONCE said "I don't remember"...
>
> Do you?
>
> > That's a LennieSpin. And when repeated by him or you, is a lie.
>
> Ho, hum.
>
> > > How is it that you
> > > can remember the name of a system that Len worked on? I guess the
> > > purpose of repititious postings has finally had an effect.
> >
> > To the point of nausea.
>
> Then it's working. ;^)

now maybe if Len keeps it up Stvie will throw up his bullshit?

I doubt it even Len is not that persistant (whichis BTW a nod to Stvie
hard headedness

>
> > However SINCGARS was NEVER an Avionics system. Nor was it
> > intended to be.
>
> And you know something of avionics systems? Hi!

I doubt it.

I am prepared to consider that he may have known something at some
time, in the past but i doubt he knows anything worthwhile about them
today

>
> > > > > That bad, bad Len being qualified to do both! Gosh, he must have
> > > > > decided early in life to lay the foundation to bust all of your rants.
> > > > > Very inciteful, that Len.
> > > >
> > > > That he managed to do enough to get paid and not so mcuh as to
> > > > muck up what ever program he was working on at the time are his ONLY
> > > > "contributions" to "radio"

> > >
> > > You don't even know the radio systems that you claim to have used, yet
> > > you know that Len has mucked up every program that he has worked on?
> > >
> > Who SAID I don't now them?
>
> "know"
>
> > > Something is really, really wrong with your claims, Steve.
> > >
> > No, Brian, something is very, VERY wrong with your's and Lennie's
> > stories about them.
>
> You can set the record straight at anytime. You have my permission.

Such confidence you show in Stevie I fear it is misplaced, I don't
think Stvie can set any record straight
>
> > > > > > Of course too we presently have reams and reams of "front page"
> > > > > > media singing the praises of the Amateur Radio service being able to
> > > > > > "get through" when nothing else can, completely contrary to Lennie's
> > > > > > rhetoric of the past 8 years...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's such a shame that our professionals waste millions and millions of
> > > > > > dollars each year installing, maintaining, and upgrading emergency
> > > > > > comms when a couple of overweight, senior cit hams can lay them all to
> > > > > > waste. Why do they even bother?
> > > > >
> > > > > Huh? Is Mark Morgan ghost writing for you now?
> > > >
> > > > Itme, itme mispeeeling agin?
> > > >
> > > > > > Must be awfully embarrassing for him. But it's not the first
> > > > > > itme.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Such a big ego must cause you some embarassment from itme to itme,
> > > > > > tooey.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not my "ego" we're discussing...It's Lennie's.
> > > >
> > > > I've just discussed your ego, tooey.
> > >
> > No, it's not.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Finish your thought. "No, it's not..." what?

Gee you must not expect so much out Stevie demanding that he think,
realy that is too much

2620. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 03:59:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-rSdnVIEOoM7G9XeRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120493

```
Usenet Central wrote:
> Due to a complete lack of traffic corresponding to the commissioning
> charter, the USENET newsgroup [rec.radio.amateur.policy] will be
> decommissioned at the end of the current month, October 2005.
>
>

Nice try earthlink troll.
```

2621. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 04:19:03 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <A9-dnWpBqcqpFtXeRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120497

K4YZ wrote:
> Americans were use to having "the wide open spaces" and
> cheap gas.

Well, we do still have some "wide open spaces".

>
> So...where was the incentive to make itty-bitty gas sippers? That
> wasn't what the American market wanted. Even now more and more SUV's
> are rolling off the lines...even Honda and Suzuki have gotten on the
> band wagon.

And SUV sales are in the dumpster.

Truck and SUV Sales Plunge as Gas Prices Rise
GM, Ford Hit Hardest in September

By Sholnn Freeman
Washington Post Staff Writer
Tuesday, October 4, 2005; Page D01

General Motors Corp. reported a sales drop of 24 percent compared with the same month a year ago. Ford Motor Co.'s sales declined 20 percent.

Tuesday, October 4, 2005

SUV sales tank in Sept.

Double-digit losses sock Ford, GM as truck demand falls, employee discounts for all cool off.

By Brett Clanton and Bryce G. Hoffman / The Detroit News

GM and Ford posted September U.S. sales declines of 24 percent and 20 percent, respectively, as consumers lost interest in employee-pricing promotions and passed over big SUVs and trucks.

Light truck sales skidded an alarming 30 percent at GM and 28 percent at Ford last month.

2622. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 04:19:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7T02f.433$y14.279@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120498

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>>>If you're talking about electrical energy, any of it which is
>>>>produced
>>>>but not consumed, is wasted energy. I can turn off my
>>>>appliances and
>>>>lights, but if no one else uses the electricity I'm not
>>>>using, it is wasted.
>
>
>>>Dave,
>>>
>>>Electricity supply doesn't work like that.
>>>
>>>The production adjusts itself to the load. If the load
>>>decreases, so
>>>does production. There is no waste from reduced loading. In
>>>fact, if
>>>the load goes down enough, utilities shut down their least-
>>>efficient
>>>plants.
>>
>>I accept your statements as fact, as far as they go.
>
>
> They go pretty far.
>
>
>>However, if
>>electricity is generated and not consumed, it is wasted.
>
>
> Where does it go? The utility doesn't put huge dummy loads on
> line.

Actually, it does. They are in the form of transformers and wiring.
From what I've read, a little over 8% of generated power is wasted
regardless of the load. That allows no leeway for leakage. That's just

the waste built into the system. The conversion from mechanical to electrical power is just a little over 41% efficient.

Generally, power is shifted to other parts of the grid if unneeded in one area, so that it is used where there is demand. If locally generated power was not connected to a grid, what would happen to electricity generated, but not used? If my home generator is run at full load, I might get eight hours of run time. If it is run at 50% load, I might get only ten hours of run time from the same tank of fuel. Doesn't this indicate that there is additional waste?

I don't know enough about controlling the total reactive component to address it.

Dave K8MN

2623. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 04:21:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%U02f.434$y14.342@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120499

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>
>>Congrats on Minnesota's capturing the Little Brown Jug for the first time in
>>nineteen years. That was a long drought.
>>
>
>
> Those guys give me fits! Win their first four games with 40-50 points per game,
> get embarrased at Happy Valley last weekend, then grind out a nice unexpected
> win against Michigan. The next two weeks against strong Divisional rivals
> (Wisconsin, Ohio State) will tell the tale.

Wisconsin and Ohio State certainly got softened up a bit this weekend.
Penn State looked pretty good.

West Virginia didn't exactly look stellar in beating up little old Rutgers.

Dave K8MN

2624. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 04:46:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128833170.069238.261530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120350

> Thank-you for the acknowledgement after all these months that you
>ARE broadcasting.

> (UNSNIP)...who going to stop me?? you??

> Nope.

> Riley.

> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>Get the laughs in now, Toiddie...

> BTW...How many burgers do you have to flip to pay for an hour's
>worth of your attorney's time to fight a criminal case...?!?!

> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW!

>Not for long.

>Steve, K4YZ

I wouldn't bet on it Stebie, but I'll like to see you and your little
DOUCHEBAG Rliey TRY to go after me, it will proven my point that the
FCC violated peoples rights to free speech.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

2625. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 05:00:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4u12f.512$y14.177@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120351

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
>
>>>>>"THOSE" cars have been pretty much standard for 20 years now...
>>>>>
>>>>>Yet they still burn gasoline and other petroleum based fuels. The fleet
>>>>>mileage standards are not improving. The USA imports much more energy
>>>>>(almost all of it in the form of oil and natural gas) than in the
>>>>>1970s.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>My mileage standards are doing fine. I'm on my third Dodge Neon. The
>>>>2 liter engine delivers about 33 mpg on the highway. I'm tall but I
>>>>have 4 or 5 inches of space between the top of my head and the roof of
>>>>the car.
>>>>
>>>>Yes, the U.S.A. imports more oil than it produces. It looks as if we
>>>>*do* have an energy policy and part of it seems to be, "Let's use
>>>>theirs before we use ours".
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, if that is our game plan, we better have enough reserves to
>>>fight most of the world off. Otherwise it is dangerous brinkmanship.
>>
>>The countries which produce oil are interested in selling it. > We're
>>interested in buying it. I don't see any danger in that at all.
>
>
> The danger is what happens if they decide not to sell it. Or jack up
> the price. Or require all sorts of conditions.

Fortunately, OPEC isn't very cohesive. We're not very good at accepting conditions and we do have political and economic leverage.

> Most of all, there's what they do with the money. Buying anyhting from
> anyone empowers that person to do things, some of which you may not
> like.

Okay. How much time do you spend thinking about what the people from whom we buy oil do with the proceeds? I don't spend much time thinking about what the Kroger company does with the money I spend on food and I spend even less pondering what the Nigerians do with their oil loot.

>>>>>Why isn't there a massive program to solve our energy problems? The
>>>>>White House has been in the hands of a former oilman for more than half
>>>>>a decade now. You'd think there's be some understanding of what needs
>>>>>to be done for the future, but where's the leadership?
>>
>>>>Well, we aren't going to be driving electrics because of limited
>>>>range. The hybrids are quite expensive. The hydrogen-powered car
>>>>won't be viable until we can produce hydrogen cheaply.
>>>
>>>
>>> I really doubt that H is going to ever be a valid fuel.
>>
>>I used to think that but I heard a recent radio story which might change
>>my mind. The South Africans are developing a "pellet bed" small nuclear
>>reactor. Tennis ball-sized spheres of graphite and ceramic are packed
>>with yellow cake. Core temps can never get hot enough for meltdown but
>>are high enough to produce hydrogen and to desalinate sea water.
>
>
> At what cost per btu of hydrogen produced?

As I understand it, the core heat is a byproduct of generating electricity from these pellet bed reactors. It'll be a bonus. No cost per btu of hydrogen was mentioned in the story.

>>>>What kind of leadership would you like to see?
>>>
>>>
>>> I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single
>>>digit fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under
>>>insulated McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an
>>>unpatriotic act.
>>
>>Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet. I
>>know a number of pickup truck owners hereabouts, who are buying small
>>cars. Nobody is building underinsulated anything these days.
>
>
> The problem is that we have an enormous existing stock of cars, trucks
> and houses, and it won't turn over so fast.

How fast if fast enough?

> We've been this way before, too. You'd think we'd have learned.

What's this "we"? I learned a long time ago. It has been decades since
I owned a fuel guzzler.

>
>
>>My pal
>>W8RHM built his dream home three years ago. It is large and it has
>>geothermal heating. The heating system was supposed to pay for itself
>>within ten years or so. With the energy hikes of the past few years,
>>it'll be paid off much sooner. 'RHM is now paying winter heating bills
>>of 45-65 bucks.
>
>
> Most of which is electricity to run the pumps.

That's right.

>
>>Those who have big, old homes will sell 'em to someone
>>who can afford to heat them.
>
>
> If they can.

There's always somebody who wants a great big classic showplace of a
home. That somebody would generally have pockets deep enough to enable
him to heat it, cool it or to have it insulated. Full masonry
construction aside, it is pretty easy to insulate the exterior walls of
a barn. One can make holes in the existing walls from the inside and
blow in insulation before applying new drywall or simply patching where
the holes are drilled. One can make holes in the existing walls from
outside and apply vinyl siding.

>>>The way we are with oil and gas in recent times reminds
>>>me of the legendary lighting of cigars with 100 dollar bills. In yo'
>>>face consumption...
>>
>>You may feel free to paint me with that brush. My lease agreement with
>>Columbia Gas provides me with 300,000 cubic feet of gas yearly. I'm
>>barely using more than half.
>
>
> Not everyone can live atop a gas well.

...nor would everyone *want to*. Around here, it is quite possible for
just about anyone who wants to own such property, to do it. Some of 'em
receive residuals from the gas wells. Some receive free gas. In these
days, the free gas folks are the winners. My point was that if I'm
entitled to 300,000 cubic feet per year, I'm going to think of as many
ways as I can to use that much natural gas. In the cold weather months,

it would be practical to run my generator a day or two at a time in order to reduce my electrical bill. It wouldn't be practical in hot weather because my generator isn't big enough to run the central air.

>>I'm heating a glassed-in side porch and a workshop in the barn. As soon
>>as I get around to it, I'm adding a greenhouse lean to on the back of
>>the barn. I've a gas conversion kit for a gasoline generator. In
>>short, I'm going to very conspicuously use right up to that 300,000
>>cubic feet and I'm not going to feel any guilt over it at all.
>>
>>
>>> I think that one critical lesson that should be gleaned from these
>>>two hurricanes this summer is that we are incredibly vulnerable in a few
>>>important areas. under the right circumstances, losing that much oil and
>>>gas production could be a near fatal blow.
>>
>>That's right. We need to drill in more places. ANWAR should be
>>hurricane proof.
>
>
> But not blizzard-proof. Nor drunken-oil-tanker-captain-proof.

I don't see high odds of those things taking out drilling platforms. Environmentalists have claimed that pipelines would be disruptive to the caribou herds. In other areas of Alaska, where there are currently such pipelines, the caribou huddle near the pipes and enjoy the heat. They're thriving.

> Nor can it provide near enough oil to solve the problem.

We don't need enough from the one source to solve the problem. We need enough sources to reduce the problem until additional sources are up and running.

>>Nobody wants to discuss one of the real solutions to sufficient > energy:
>>more nuke reactors.
>
>
> Are they a real solution?
>
> How much does it cost to extract the fuel to run them?
> How much to build and operate them?
> How much to decomission after they are worn out?
> How much to deal with the waste?

I don't have the answers. I think the new pellet bed reactors are worth looking into.

> A lot of those costs have been hidden from the utility customer.

Not really. The consumer gets to pay the tab in the end.

>>>>>I say the best thing to do now is to *not* rebuild the parts of NO that
>>>>>are below sea level. Salvage what can be saved, and move away.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Will Our President exhibit leadership and say that's what should be
>>>>>done? Or will he make exorbitant promises, pouring much more money into
>>>>>rebuilding than it would take to relocate?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Do you really think that the POTUS has the clout to declare that NOLA
>>>>won't be rebuilt?
>>>
>>>
>>> Nope. New Orleans will be rebuilt, and will be rebuilt again, and
>>>perhaps a third or forth time, until it slips beneath the waves for good.
>>
>>Yep and people are free to build where they choose.
>
>
> Not really.

Really, within reason.

>>Without the freedom
>>to make choices, America wouldn't be America. I won't be
>>rushing to buy
>>a home in New Orleans but most of those folks wouldn't live on > a hilltop
>>in rural West Virginia. That suits their needs...and mine.
>>
>
> The problem isn't the choice. It's the fact that we are expected to
> fund and support other people's bad choices.

There's the rub. As in my post which responded to Mike, others should
be free to make bad choices, but it is up to them to fund them.

> The factor that is forgotten here is that almost all construction
> requires permits, insurance and financing. Government gives the
> permits, and has an influence on the insurance and financing.

I don't know about your area. It isn't necessary to have a permit to
build a home within the county here. An electrical inspection is
necessary. Towns all have different regulations and permits.
If you have sufficient acreage here, it isn't even necessary to install
a septic system, as long as you aren't discharging sewage into or near a
stream.

> How many people will choose to rebuild in NO if the govt says that the
> whole thing is a bad idea and they're not going to fix the levees, nor
> provide new flood insurance for below-sea-level construction?

That "if the government says" thing is a hypothetical. The rebuilding of New Orleans is a given.

> Suppose I were to build a house whose roof could not stand the snow
> loads encountered here in EPA in a bad winter.
>
> And suppose a bad winter came along and the roof collapsed.
>
> Should I expect the govt. to pay to rebuild my roof?

No. If you had insurance which covered such an occurance, your policy should pay. If you were unable to to obtain such insurance, you'd pay.

> Worse - should I expect that they would allow me to build it the same
> way again?

Sure. If you're paying for the roof and for the insurance, I think you have the freedom to be unwise as many times as you can afford it.

> Of course the above isn't likely to happen because I'd never get a
> permit nor pass inspection to put up such an inadequate structure. But
> the principle is the same as building below sea level in a flood zone.

You might obtain a permit to construct an approved structure which might still be destroyed. It could also be that something other than the roof might fail.

My house is at a little over 1500' in elevation. I did not purchase flood insurance. Last year's rains from Ivan flooded my basement to a depth of a couple of feet. My furnace and electronic air cleaner circuit boards were ruined. I had to pay for repairs. I still don't have flood insurance...but I have a sump pump. I paid for that too.

> One thing's for sure: We'll not see leadership on this issue from the
> current administration.

Then it won't be any different from the last four or five administrations, will it?

Anyone else chase K7C?

Dave K8MN

2626. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 05:30:35 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%V12f.4569$Hs.2279@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, you are a part-time faggot!!!

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128826706.843745.18180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> an old faggot wrote:
>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > Blushing Tammy wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Geeze, another account set up by Lloyd Davies........
>> > >
>> > > > What is a "big buck nigger".
>> > > >
>> > > > I've heard many a descriptive label for coons, but this is a new
>> > > > one.
>> > >
>> > > It's just one you and your gay lover Steve Holsten (Kennett, MO's
>> > > registered pedophile) use, Lardass!
>> >
>> > the new title yes it all
>>
>> WTF? Your title of "Moron" is secure, now, tell us about your
>> self-imposed "Colonel" one, stupid. You must've gotten your "Kentucky
>> Colonel" merit badge while you were working as a fry cook at KFC.
>>
>> >
>> > please grow up or take your meds or deal with whatever malfunction
>> > makes so obseesed with other males sexuality
>>
>> Follow your own advice, dumbass.
>
> I am not obessed by other males sexuality
>

2627. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 05:54:26 UTC
From: Andy <2test@Wogie.xp>
Message-ID: <diab74$r84$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%V12f.4569$Hs.2279@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
No, you are a part-time faggot!!!
>
Aw, gee. Give it a break already!
You guys are responding to Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ, a malcontent with a limited
mental capacity.

This guy is what? Fifty years old and still acting like a twelve year old?
Look at his responses. Do you see any intelligence therein?
The guy is a mental midget with a limited vocabulary and, even at that, he
has difficulty spelling correctly.

AB8MQ does his best to be as verbally offensive as possible and he does this
with hopes of initiating a "thread" to which he can respond and spew more
filth.
Ignore the old dude. At Fifty Years of age you'd think that Roger would have
grown up. Apparently he is still struggling with adolescence.

2628. Eh, Todd?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 05:59:10 UTC
From: Andy <2test@Wogie.xp>
Message-ID: <diabg1seh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120354

What is "proven my point " ?

You are sounding more like Roger Wiseman every day.....

2629. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 06:09:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128838186.843140.304780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120355

N9OGL wrote:
> > Thank-you for the acknowledgement after all these months that you
> >ARE broadcasting.
>
>
> > (UNSNIP)...who going to stop me?? you??
>
>
> > Nope.
>
> > Riley.
>
>
>
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
>
> >Get the laughs in now, Toiddie...
>
> > BTW...How many burgers do you have to flip to pay for an hour's
> >worth of your attorney's time to fight a criminal case...?!?!
>
>
>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW!
>
>
> >Not for long.
>
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> I wouldn't bet on it Stebie, but I'll like to see you and your little
> DOUCHEBAG Rliey TRY to go after me, it will proven my point that the
> FCC violated peoples rights to free speech.

be fair Todd Riley is in no way in Stevie pocket I doubt he has even
heard of Stevie
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW

2630. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 06:11:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128838264.924537.60080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> No, you are a part-time faggot!!!

even if you grant the use of the word still doesnot mean I am obsessed
with other mens sexuality
>
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128826706.843745.18180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> an old faggot wrote:
> >> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > Blushing Tammy wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > Geeze, another account set up by Lloyd Davies........
> >> > >
> >> > > > What is a "big buck nigger".
> >> > > >
> >> > > > I've heard many a descriptive label for coons, but this is a new
> >> > > > one.
> >> > >
> >> > > It's just one you and your gay lover Steve Holsten (Kennett, MO's
> >> > > registered pedophile) use, Lardass!
> >> >
> >> > the new title yes it all
> >>
> >> WTF? Your title of "Moron" is secure, now, tell us about your
> >> self-imposed "Colonel" one, stupid. You must've gotten your "Kentucky
> >> Colonel" merit badge while you were working as a fry cook at KFC.
> >>
> >> >
> >> > please grow up or take your meds or deal with whatever malfunction
> >> > makes so obseesed with other males sexuality
> >>
> >> Follow your own advice, dumbass.
> >
> > I am not obessed by other males sexuality
> >
```

2631. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 07:12:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128841951.570830.49620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120357

yeah, Stebie lying again

2632. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 07:22:07 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DogieDaze@liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <diagbi$gu3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120358

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128841951.570830.49620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
yeah, Stebie lying again

Gee whiz. Todd.
Perhaps you and your Butt Buddy, Roger, should touch bases.

AB8MQ is similar to you in that he has no friends.

(and neither of you can spell worth a crap...)

2633. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 07:55:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128844541.842858.80230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120359

He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women

2634. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 08:43:33 UTC
From: Stupid Liberals <LibsWhine@nospam.ux>
Message-ID: <dial48$4r3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120360

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128844541.842858.80230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women

That leaves Roger out.
He prefers Lloyd.

And you, too.

2635. "What is Ham Radio?" - The Daily F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 09:37:29 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1128850649.830901.238850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120361
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

2636. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 10:07:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128852432.704071.322210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120362

And your a fucking little troll

2637. Re: Eh, Todd?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 10:08:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128852533.877944.6020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120363

TROLL

2638. Re: "What is Ham Radio?" - The Daily F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 10:48:12 UTC
From: deBaser <Not_Telling@someisp.com>
Message-ID: <1128854784.10243.0@echo.uk.clara.net>
Source record: 120364
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1128850649.830901.238850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?
>
<snip>

Why do you always repeat this stuff Gareth? You need to move on. You are living in the past.

deBaser

2639. Re: "What is Ham Radio?" - The Daily F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 11:23:49 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@Technologies.invalid>
Message-ID: <i6vhk1t4sqktu8h6o84k5v9tv8gos518l3@4ax.com>
Source record: 120365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

deBaser wrote:

>
>"Polymouth" wrote:
>
>> What is Ham Radio?
>>
><snip>
>
>Why do you always repeat this stuff?
>You need to move on. You are living in the past.
>

Many questions have been raised by the technical and other postings made in the past by Polymouth and other - similar-sounding, probably sock-puppets - proponents of 'ham radio'. They have meant that the errors so made have had to be corrected, sometimes in a long thread, or spread over several threads, or merely involving a considerable spread in time. Should anyone require enlightenment, a Frequently Posed Responses (FPR) is appended.

New items added. This is Version V. It replaces all other Versions.

[ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.

[ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment. See above.

[ ] Before sunrise on Friday, September 1st, 1939, Germany attacked Poland at 4:45am, when the Battleship "Schleswig-Holstein", on a so-called goodwill visit, opened fire on the Polish naval depot and garrison at Westeplatte. World War II had begun. An hour later, first German units crossed the Polish border. Britain entered the war two days later. She did not repeat not start WWII.

[ ] Because the 'dimensions' of both sides of the Radar Range Equation need a term having the units of square metres in order to

balance, references to ratios of these terms expressed in terms of decibels does not repeat not imply that they are in themselves a ratio of powers.

[ ] FM can be demodulated by an AM receiver using the 'Slope Detection' method. Placing an NBFM signal entirely within a channel in a channelised AM receiver is not repeat not Slope Detection.

[ ] A company's Returns Policy is not repeat not an admission that it deliberately produces shoddy goods, no matter how well wrapped at the time of purchase.

[ ] An IP Address in the headers of one's posts is not repeat not Secret Information.

[ ] An exemplary driver is not repeat not one who makes an obscene gesture to show that the other driver is an idiot.

[ ] An exemplary driver is not repeat not one who repeats an obscene gesture to confirm that the other driver is an idiot.

[ ] XRC is not repeat not a suitable method of differentiating between the 80 percent of metals that have FCC crystal structure.

[ ] Millimetre-waves are not repeat not generated by 'nuclear emissions'.

[ ] The length of the day on this planet is not repeat not 23H20.

[ ] A spring/damper model is not repeat not a mechanical analogue of a capacitor/inductor.

[ ] Dehumidifiers do not repeat not work by absorbing heat.

[ ] Gas Company piping is not repeat not blue in colour.

[ ] 1 deciBel is not repeat not equal to 10 Bels.

from
Aero Spike

2640. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 12:12:54 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malican40@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <d72ik1l3njthm6ek8verldng5dcdq99olo@4ax.com>
Source record: 120367

On 9 Oct 2005 00:55:41 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women

Don't you mean a woman with "something extra"?

2641. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 12:15:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128860114.489067.14790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120366

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:

> >>>>If you're talking about electrical energy, any of it which is
> >>>>produced
> >>>>but not consumed, is wasted energy. I can turn off my
> >>>>appliances and
> >>>>lights, but if no one else uses the electricity I'm not
> >>>>using, it is wasted.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Dave,
> >>>
> >>>Electricity supply doesn't work like that.
> >>>
> >>>The production adjusts itself to the load. If the load
> >>>decreases, so
> >>>does production. There is no waste from reduced loading. In
> >>>fact, if
> >>>the load goes down enough, utilities shut down their least-
> >>>efficient
> >>>plants.
> >>
> >>I accept your statements as fact, as far as they go.
> >
> >
> > They go pretty far.
> >
> >
> >>However, if
> >>electricity is generated and not consumed, it is wasted.
> >
> >
> > Where does it go? The utility doesn't put huge dummy loads on
> > line.
>
> Actually, it does.

No, they don't.

> They are in the form of transformers and wiring.

Those losses are not dummy loads, they're inefficiencies. They are not connected to use up power others don't use.

> From what I've read, a little over 8% of generated power is
> wasted regardless of the load.

8% of 100 kVA is 8 kVa. 8% of 50 kVA is 4 kVA. As the load goes down, so does the waste.

Of course the situation is somewhat more complex, because even with no load there is some loss, the loss is temperature dependent, etc.

> That allows no leeway for leakage.

It includes "leakage". Copper loss, dielectric loss, skin effect, corona, etc.

> That's just
> the waste built into the system. The conversion from
> mechanical to
> electrical power is just a little over 41% efficient.

That number is actually from the heat in the fuel to the final customer. It includes boiler losses, turbine losses, alternator losses, transmission and distribution losses, and all the electricity used to run the plant and auxiliary loads.

It's actually very good compared to, say, a car.
>
> Generally, power is shifted to other parts of the grid if
> unneeded in
> one area, so that it is used where there is demand.

Not really. If the load goes down, less is generated.

> If locally
> generated power was not connected to a grid, what would happen to
> electricity generated, but not used?

It's not generated in the first place.

> If my home generator is run at
> full load, I might get eight hours of run time. If it is run > at 50%
> load, I might get only ten hours of run time from the same tank of fuel.
> Doesn't this indicate that there is additional waste?

What you're seeing is the inefficiency of the *engine* at light load.

A perfect genset that burns X gallons per hour at full load would burn 0.5X gallons at half load, 0.25X gallons at quarter load and nothing at all at no load. But real engines aren't that good, so you might find that a real genset that burns X gallons per hour at full load burns 0.65X gallons at half load, 0.4X gallons at quarter load and 0.2X gallons at no load. The extra gas goes to run the engine itself -

unbolt the alternator and the engine will still burn about 0.2X gallons per hour just to spin the shaft. Just like your car uses gas at idle.

It's the engine, not the electrical system.

This is where hybrids get their efficiency improvements. The engine in a hybrid is almost never idling. It's either driving the car, charging the battery or shut down.

>
> I don't know enough about controlling the total reactive
> component to address it.

I do. Utilities always aim for unity power factor. They have auxiliary capacitors that are switched in to compensate. Some big customers can control their power factor and compensate the system as well. Ever hear of a synchronous condenser?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2642. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:35:12 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128864912.272453.109540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120368

Uncle Turd wrote:
> On 9 Oct 2005 00:55:41 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women
>
> Don't you mean a woman with "something extra"?

You know all about that sex with shemales, huh, Uncle Turd Davies? You
can't get a normal female.

2643. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:39:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865192.461697.39120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120369

Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
> Gee whiz. Todd.

"Gee whiz?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA What a fucking square. Only pussies us the term "gee whiz."

> Perhaps you and your Butt Buddy, Roger, should touch bases.

There you go projecting your own homosexuality, "arf!arf!, you are the one with the history of sex crimes.

> AB8MQ is similar to you in that he has no friends.

Says Lardass, weho has to invent about two dozen screen names, and the only online firned he has is registered Kennett, Missouri pedophile Steve Holsten.

> (and neither of you can spell worth a crap...)

Oh, the irony. Spelling bee champ Arf! Arf!'s fuck ups:

English imparied Arf! Arf! fatally blundered as he whined in
Message-ID: <cvbjv2gpk0@pita.alt.net>:
"Wogie plagiariazed again."

"Wogie" - ArfArf! has a permanent cocksucking lisp.
"plagiariazed" - ArfArf! has never "plagiarized" from the dictionary.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Arf! Arf! plagiarized a story "lame" in <bratsfucs0@pita.alt.net>,
which was also found at Message-ID:
<SEnbb.73395$PD3.4767951@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Message-ID: <O5OuB2rqDHA.392@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl>
Message-ID:
<imVza.171125$ja4.8649719@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

Arf!Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> fucks up as usual ironically calling somebody else autistic
when the poor thing blathers his autism in <bsjcjf$ok2$0@pita.alt.net>:
"Symtoms of Autism"

Illiterate Baby Raper Arf!Arf! stepped in his own shit as
he whined in <ac71d5$5r2$0@pita.alt.net:
"Grow ya some balls fer oncet in yer life."

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksucker@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee champ whined

about his womanless status in <bqtdlubpn0@pita.alt.net>:
"Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and make
some
anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."

Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5nl$0@pita.alt.net>:
""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."

Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
in <bm5ctb$5nl$0@pita.alt.net>:
"What a losser."

The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
in <bm5ctb$5nl$0@pita.alt.net>:
"either of Roger's moist cavaties."

"Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksucker@Liberal.comz> vomited the following
Ebonics in message
news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
"Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to him and
posts in Ebonics,
the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
in <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksucker@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
presented to him
in <9fpehvlih0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ pondered
the question of
(corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
<bj98gq$1ie$0@pita.alt.net>
"Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"

NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>shows his
anti-Semetism,
and ignorance about family names and their origins in
<bqs1i5$25v$0@pita.alt.net>:
"Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"

Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.comz> steps on his dick
again in his "posts" <sic>
(of "posit") in <bqjj2ndud0@pita.alt.net>:
"The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if anything,
to dissuade or stop
the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".

Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
<bmch5m$l4m$0@pita.alt.net>:
"...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome little
face"

"Jules" aka Arf!Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> outs himself in
<bl5hvapuf0@pita.alt.net>

Arf!Arf! the kiddie raper blathers another lie out of his stupid fat
ass
in <c8meq0$155$0@pita.alt.net>:

"I also get a half point for TOS'ing your bogus account with
Google...and got
you tossed from that, too."

2644. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:40:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865255.633387.214690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120370

Hi Lardass, another lonely Saturday night, eh? But ain't they all for you!

2645. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:42:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865331.103924.286460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120371

typo: "who"

Now Dance, Lardass, Dance!

2646. Re: Eh, Todd?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:44:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865487.703128.66070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120372

Andy wrote:
> What is "proven my point " ?
>
> You are sounding more like Roger Wiseman every day.....

Hi Lardass, you can't go 2 seconds without whining about your
unrequited love interest, can you, FAGGOT?

2647. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:46:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865570.749528.149140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up the
rest of your time.

2648. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:47:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865661.071755.205370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Andy wrote:
> "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:%V12f.4569$Hs.2279@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> No, you are a part-time faggot!!!
> >
> Aw, gee.

Aww, gee, you are as big a whining cunt as you are under your other
sockpuppets, fatass!

2649. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:49:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865742.533534.257020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120375

You bit, dumbass "cracker-jack box pilot's license" Buzzy.......

2650. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:53:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128865987.243730.111910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."

2651. Marky lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:55:13 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128866113.717044.245320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120377

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> cut
> > > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> > >
> > > not exacaly
> >
> > Learn to read, dumbass.
>
> not exacaly
>
> I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
> with me,
> that is the plain and simple truth"

No, you agreed with my whole statement, fucknuts.

>
> now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
>
> again still forging posts little whininng boy

No, stupid you agreed that you are a pathological liar!

>
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > but it was a good question your best
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.
```

2652. Last Date? Lardass Lloyd Davies can't even get a first date!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:56:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128866216.219294.132600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N0VFP wrote:
> I dumped her for someone MUCH hotter.
>

Yeah, Davies is into screwing sheep and shemales.

2653. Helping hand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:59:09 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <4g8ik1p7111ljo6ics6kibcng7266seojh@4ax.com>
Source record: 120380

I gave my butty a hand.

http://www.raunch.tv/content/gay_fisting/000116kl03.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2654. Another day and Lardass Davies still whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 13:59:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128866390.406298.44450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N0VFP wrote:
> http://www.bcsrc.org/who/gallery/01SpringAKC/PottyDuty2.jpg

Now that Lardass was fired from the ONLY job he was qualified for,
pizza BOY, he's scrounging port-a-potties for meals, since he likes to
drink piss and eat excrement. The girl Lloyd's gotta keep up his
girlish figure.

2655. Re: Nirvana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 14:03:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128866627.524476.10980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120381

N0VFP spammed and posted it twice! then whined:
> AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote:
> > http://www.thelisten.net/photos/uncategorized/porta_potty_3.jpg
> >
> http://www.worth1000.com/entries/5500/5940_w.jpg

Nirvana also did a song about out goo gobbling moron Davies, too:

Smells Like Lloyd Davies
<Nirvana/George Harrysong/Dr. Winston O'Boogie>

Here is a song all about
A kid from the town of Athens, Alabama
Who is that kid who was anal probed
And always says I'm not fat I'm just big boned
Big boned, big boned, big boned Oh no
Big boned, big boned, big boned

Now he's mean and acting bad
I would too if my mom were my dad
He always says he'll be strong and buff
But always ends up on the couch eating cheesy puffs
Well, he has little talent
But he has just one parent, Yeah

He's unintel-ligible
He just can't get knowledge though his skull
It's hard to believe that he's not fat
Can't ever get a date, how about that
Big boned, big boned, big boned Oh
Big boned, big boned, big boned

Well he felt traumatized
When Lloyd's brother was circumcised
Go on a diet, He don't wanna
This little piggy loves his momma
He restarted civil war battles
And from his head spews only prattle, Yeah

And he forgets to use his brain
Oh well, I guess he is insane
Ways to make money so hard to find
What will he think of next, oh, nevermind
Who knows, who knows, who knows
Who knows, who knows, who knows

Well, he is always eatin'
And weight is what he's gainin'
Bought a kid's pubic shavings
Wouldn't stop till he got even
There was the song all about
Lloyd Davies from Athens, Alabama
Sayonara, sayonara
Ayonawa, odinawa
Odinaya, yodinaya
Yaddayadda, yaaahyaaah
Ayaaaaaah!

2656. Re: What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 14:04:51 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <kq8ik1dis2g39t0b0e2i4hq648vpjmmcji@4ax.com>
Source record: 120383

AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote:
> What the hell?
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?q=AB8MQ&start=0&scoring=d&
>

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=AB8MQ%20%3cAB8MQ%40yahoo%2enet

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2657. Re: Helping hand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 14:06:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128866774.921374.222100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120382

N0VFP wrote:
> I gave my butty a hand.

Now, Porky, why were you fisting that underaged boy?

> http://www.raunch.tv/content/gay_fisting/000116kl03.jpg

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/lloyd_is_hungry.jpg

2658. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 14:08:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128866880.145823.88920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120384

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > STFU, child abuser.
> > >
> > > go ahead make the call
> >
> > You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!
>
> simple solutiion do the work the best when they fit

And you are simple as it gets, Marky.

> > > Do something for a change don't just whine about it I gave you the
> > > number
> > >
> > > you are just coward like Stevie and Dan and most of the others
> > >
> > > full of bullshit but you talk the talk but don't walk the walk
> >
> > We'll see, child abuser, we'll see.
>
> yea right

<guffaw>

2659. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 16:27:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128875233.095372.45500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120385

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > STFU, child abuser.
> > > >
> > > > go ahead make the call
> > >
> > > You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!
> >
> > simple solutiion do the work the best when they fit
>
> And you are simple as it gets, Marky.

act or not I am simply not afraid what you folks can do
>
> > > > Do something for a change don't just whine about it I gave you the
> > > > number
> > > >
> > > > you are just coward like Stevie and Dan and most of the others
> > > >
> > > > full of bullshit but you talk the talk but don't walk the walk
> > >
> > > We'll see, child abuser, we'll see.
> >
> > yea right
>
> <guffaw>

2660. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 16:27:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128875279.725434.181750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up the
> rest of your time.

you keeping proving your obsesion with other men little boy

2661. Re:whiny lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 16:29:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128875359.130701.95760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120387

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > cut
> > > > > > > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > >
> > > > > Learn to read, dumbass.
> > >
> > > not exacaly
> > >
> > > I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
> > > with me,
> > > that is the plain and simple truth"
> >
> > No, you agreed with my whole statement, fucknuts.

no I did not

learn to read
>
> >
> > now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
> >
> > again still forging posts little whininng boy
>
> No, stupid you agreed that you are a pathological liar!

nope I did not
>
> >
> > >
> > > > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > but it was a good question your best
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2662. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 16:31:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128875477.405983.17590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
> testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."

maybe but that does not alter the facts

Dan and you advocate vilence against those that are different

meaning they advocate criminal behavoir

2663. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 16:32:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128875533.569611.223190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120389

Usenet Central wrote:
> Due to a complete lack of traffic corresponding to the commissioning
> charter, the USENET newsgroup [rec.radio.amateur.policy] will be
> decommissioned at the end of the current month, October 2005.

Thank you. bb

2664. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 16:40:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128876038.922349.64600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120390

Usenet Central wrote:
> Due to a complete lack of traffic corresponding to the commissioning
> charter, the USENET newsgroup [rec.radio.amateur.policy] will be
> decommissioned at the end of the current month, October 2005.

of the reason there cant real be any on topic traffic is that No Code
has finaly won the day and the Procoders can't acept that and move on
to new policy issues

2665. Re: MIRAGE B 5030 VHF AMP - youwhinebaby.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 17:51:44 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <14mik19au8vhe7qliu8kudfequu0fan0u5@4ax.com>
Source record: 120391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Psychiatrist-to-Hams"
<DrHam@drhampsychiatrist.org> wrote:
> YOU WILL NOT SELL ANYTHING BY SHOUTING!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2666. Re: MIRAGE B 5030 VHF AMP - youwhinebaby.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 18:55:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128884120.890084.315130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N0VFP wrote:
> "Psychiatrist-to-Hams"
> <DrHam@drhampsychiatrist.org> wrote:
> > YOU WILL NOT SELL ANYTHING BY SHOUTING!

\Hey Lardass I have your usenetzone name and password.

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/lardass_sees_roger_everywhere.jpg

2667. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 18:56:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128884187.337012.261820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120393

STFU, child abuser!

2668. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 18:57:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128884263.650006.327490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
> > testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."
>
> maybe but that does not alter the facts

No, the fact is, you are a fucking imbecile.

2669. Twat? That's Lloyd Austin Davies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 18:59:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128884392.955914.14140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120395

N0VFP wrote:
> AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote:
> > What the hell?

That's what the OB-GYN said when your mommy shit you out, Davies.

2670. Re: Re:whiny lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:02:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128884545.374153.268760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120396

```
an old faggot wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Learn to read, dumbass.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
> > > > > > > with me,
> > > > > > > that is the plain and simple truth"
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No, you agreed with my whole statement, fucknuts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no I did not

Go back and re-read your original reply, stupid.

> learn to read

You should follow your own advice, dumbass.

> > > > > > now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
> > > > > > > again still forging posts little whininng boy
> > > > > >
> > > > > No, stupid you agreed that you are a pathological liar!
> > > > >
```

> nope I did not

<slap!> Yes, you did, stupid. Just admit it.

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > but it was a good question your best
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And your answers are typical Marky, always substandard, always.

2671. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:04:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128884667.179194.223310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up the
> > rest of your time.
>
> you keeping proving

I keep proving what a perverted retard you are, Marky.

2672. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:06:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128884800.585248.77230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120398

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > STFU, child abuser.
> > > > >
> > > > > go ahead make the call
> > > >
> > > > You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!
> > >
> > > simple solutiion do the work the best when they fit
> >
> > And you are simple as it gets, Marky.
>
> act or not I am simply not afraid what you folks can do

No, when you get as low as you already are, it's only up from where you
are in life, eh?

> >
> > > > > Do something for a change don't just whine about it I gave you the
> > > > > number
> > > > >
> > > > > you are just coward like Stevie and Dan and most of the others
> > > > >
> > > > > full of bullshit but you talk the talk but don't walk the walk
> > > >
> > > > We'll see, child abuser, we'll see.
> > >
> > > yea right
> >
> > <guffaw>
```

2673. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:19:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128885586.432501.149870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120399

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> STFU, child abuser!

gee is your keyboard broken?

2674. Re: Re:whiny lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:21:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128885697.796650.319420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120500

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old faggot wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Learn to read, dumbass.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > >
> > > > > I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
> > > > > with me,
> > > > > that is the plain and simple truth"
> > > >
> > > No, you agreed with my whole statement, fucknuts.
> > >
> > no I did not
> >
> Go back and re-read your original reply, stupid.

I did and it doesn't say what you claim it does

that is another usenet effort at fraud, a crime BTW but don't worry the
cops don't care about usenet crime
>
> > learn to read
> >
> You should follow your own advice, dumbass.

I can and do
```

you OTOH don't
>
> > > >
> > > > now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
> > > >
> > > > again still forging posts little whininng boy
> > >
> > > No, stupid you agreed that you are a pathological liar!
> >
> > nope I did not
>
> <slap!> Yes, you did, stupid. Just admit it.

Never said any thing of the sort, and if I had I would have been lying
cut

2675. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:22:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128885771.265074.78450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up the
> > > rest of your time.
> >
> > you keeping proving
>
> I keep proving what a perverted retard you are, Marky.

no you keep proving you are a fraud and that you keeping proving your
obsesion with other men little boy

2676. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:23:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128885829.179926.169060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
> > > testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."
> >
> > maybe but that does not alter the facts
>
> No, the fact is, you are a fucking imbecile.

the facts are that you are fraud and an advocate of Hate and of crime,
violent crime at that

2677. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:25:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128885913.299197.90950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120503

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > STFU, child abuser.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > go ahead make the call
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!
> > > > >
> > > > > simple solutiion do the work the best when they fit
> > > >
> > > And you are simple as it gets, Marky.
> > >
> > act or not I am simply not afraid what you folks can do
> >
> No, when you get as low as you already are, it's only up from where you
> are in life, eh?

nope wrong again

the "threat" is empty of meaning or of danger to me

It is not child abuse to teach one chilren that honesty is always the
best policy
cut

2678. Re: Re:whiny lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:25:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128885933.645157.67910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120504

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old faggot wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Learn to read, dumbass.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
> > > > > > > > > > > > > with me,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > that is the plain and simple truth"
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > No, you agreed with my whole statement, fucknuts.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > no I did not
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Go back and re-read your original reply, stupid.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I did and it doesn't say what you claim it does

No, you didn't, child abuser.

> that is another usenet effort at fraud, a crime BTW but don't worry the
> cops don't care about usenet crime

You made the agreement to my statement, stupid.
```

> >
> > > learn to read
> >
> > You should follow your own advice, dumbass.
>
> I can and do
>
> you OTOH don't

You onthe other hand, continually show how fucking stupid you are, KFC
"Colonel."

> >
> > > > >
> > > > > now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
> > > > > >
> > > > > > again still forging posts little whininng boy
> > > > >
> > > > > No, stupid you agreed that you are a pathological liar!
> > > >
> > > > nope I did not
> > >
> > > <slap!> Yes, you did, stupid. Just admit it.
>
> Never said any thing of the sort, and if I had I would have been lying

You agreed with my statement. You made the stupid statement. Live with
it.

> cut

Run away, pussy.

2679. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:26:47 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <plrik199l3h97g7o0q23qogkv9ener73ln@4ax.com>
Source record: 120507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up
> > > > > the rest of your time.
> > > >
> > > > you keeping proving
> > >
> > > I keep proving what a perverted retard you are, Marky.
> >
> > no you keep proving you are a fraud and that you keeping proving your
> > obsesion with other men little boy
>
> I proved I am retard, and you even agreed with me in another post.
>
I agree too.

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2680. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:27:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128886053.569485.203100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up the
> > > > rest of your time.
> > >
> > > you keeping proving
> >
> > I keep proving what a perverted retard you are, Marky.
>
> no you keep proving you are a fraud and that you keeping proving your
> obsesion with other men little boy

I proved you are a retard, and you even agreed with me in another post.
```

2681. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:29:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128886158.512923.35830@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120506

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > STFU, child abuser!
>
> gee is your keyboard broken?

Your malfuctioning single inferior brain cell that you have left firing
sure is, Marky.

2682. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:42:36 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128886956.035764.269140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Lardass Lloyd Davies wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up
> > > > > > the rest of your time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > you keeping proving
> > > > >
> > > > I keep proving what a perverted retard you are, Marky.
> > > >
> > > no you keep proving you are a fraud and that you keeping proving your
> > > obsesion with other men little boy
> > >
> > I proved I am retard, and you even agreed with me in another post.
> >
> I agree too.

Of course you do, you little fat cocksucker.
```

2683. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:44:43 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128887083.942371.117400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120509

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > STFU, child abuser.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > go ahead make the call
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!
> > > > > >
> > > > > simple solutiion do the work the best when they fit
> > > > >
> > > > And you are simple as it gets, Marky.
> > > >
> > > act or not I am simply not afraid what you folks can do
> > >
> > No, when you get as low as you already are, it's only up from where you
> > are in life, eh?
>
> nope wrong again
>
> the "threat" is empty of meaning or of danger to me
>
> It is not child abuse to teach one chilren that honesty is always the
> best policy
> cut

Hey, You want to join me and my friend Steve Holsten for a three way
with his little kids?
```

2684. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:46:25 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128887185.801741.132240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Why don't you get your KFC troops out in force, "Colonel?"

My Daleks will lend you a hand.

2685. Does this stuff really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:47:47 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <busik1dt2crqdbv73gv61n7c65a95kcheo@4ax.com>
Source record: 120511

http://www.regaine.co.uk/re_male/baldness-cure.asp

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2686. Does this stuff really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 19:54:10 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128887650.862208.243430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120512

Hi,

My name is Lloyd Austin Davies. I have been dick sucking for 31 years.
I have given blow jobs to blacks, whites, hispanics, orientals. I give
blow jobs regardless if you are gay, straight or bi.
I learned to give blowjobs when my daddy Ray stuck my lips on his hard
cock. I even have my own usenet message board,
alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies. My preference is for boys under
the age of 12 although I'll fuck any child. I am HIV-positive so I
service the aids crowd. I don't charge. If you like to use my services,
please give me a call right away

Lloyd Davies
710 S Clinton St Apt 202
Athens, AL 35611-3582
(256)233-4396

I quite often service the gay bar at:
James Formby Bar
26818 Mclemore Cir
Athens, AL 35611
256-232-9620 (Ask for Lloyd Davies)

Thanks and I hope I can be a pleasure to you, like I was to my Daddy
Ray

Lloyd Austin Davies

2687. Re: Twat? That's Lloyd Austin Davies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:05:53 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128888353.475484.284030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120513

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote:
> > > What the hell?
>
> That's what the OB-GYN said when your mommy shit you out, Davies.

That's nasty. Twats are nasty too, that's why I only like stick pussy.
I'm glad I have my Daleks, too.

Lloyd N0VFP

2688. Porta-Potty Glory Hole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:15:22 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <aguik1pltgcvpc5hu412sop5urgmtjg3j0@4ax.com>
Source record: 120514

I am testing my new glory hole, I made it out of a
used porta potty. Stop by for some free head.

ROGER L WISEMAN
610 GLEN HAVEN AVE
GLENDALE WV 26038-1302

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2689. My Jingle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:19:45 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <opuik11r39ra6kcoorsgfn6hsvahn9ji7t@4ax.com>
Source record: 120515

He lives in a trailor park somewhere in West
Vagina
Where he drinks down cum in the faggot bars and it
tastes just like his
mommy's Vagina,
See-oh-el-aye stinky Vagina!
He walked up to a fag and asked him to dance
And he'll tell you his name in a real girlie voice
El-oh-el-aye Woger, Wo-wo-wo-Woger!

Now Wiseman's not the most physical guy
But when he sucks your cock he'll inhale your
spine
Oh my Woger Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!
Wo-ger Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!
Well Woger's real dumb and he can't understand
Why he walk like a woman but gets butt fucked like
a man
Oh my Wo-ger Wo-Wo-Woger!

Well he drank a lot of cum and pranced all night,
Under his terrible overbite
Woger found a black man and sat down on his knee,
He said pretty brother will you make me a G?
Well that guy was a bum and wino but really fell
for his Wo-ger
Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!
He push him to the floor
Woger got down on his knees
He looked at his pecker
He inhaled till he sneezed!

Well that is the way Woger wants to stay
Wo-Wo-Wo Wo-ger
Woger is a boy but dresses like a girl
He lives in a muddled faggot world
Wo-Wo-Wo Wo-ger

Well Woger left home just a week before
He'd only had sex with his mommy before
Well Woger's not the most masculine of fags
But he knows he is in drag,
Oh Wo-ger
Wo-Wo-Woger! Wo-Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!

AB8MQ
ROGER L WISEMAN
610 GLEN HAVEN AVE
GLENDALE WV 26038-1302
USA

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2690. Re: Porta-Potty Glory Hole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:22:54 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128889374.293198.9680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120516

Lardass wrote:
> I am testing

My daddy Ray Davies has been using my anus as a glory hole for years.
Do you need any reasarch, I'd be glad to do it.

Lloyd Davies
710 S Clinton St Apt 202
Athens, AL 35611-3582
(256)233-4396

2691. My Dad Ray Davies Jingles his testicles on my many chins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:25:04 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128889504.626778.271480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120517

And I love it, I never get any attention other than that.

Lloyd Davies
710 S Clinton St Apt 202
Athens, AL 35611-3582
(256)233-4396

2692. Why did they make me take the test again??????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:27:23 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <g8vik1dandflkj8vcs8flvn693nso7r4b4@4ax.com>
Source record: 120518

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the
Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
authority to re-
administer any
examination element previously administered by
Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the
examination itself, or
under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator
(VEC) or VE
designated by
the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the
General Class
Amateur
Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the
supervision
of an
American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner
Coordinator. This
examination
must be completed on or before September 11, 2000.
The required
code speed
that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address
and to present
a photo
identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your
license will

be cancelled
if you do not appear for re-examination. You will
be granted an
Amateur
Radio license consistent with the elements you
pass upon re-
examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2693. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:50:42 UTC
From: Mike Ward <mward@iname.remove-this-part.com>
Message-ID: <dibvr2smk1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

On 9 Oct 2005 00:59:42 GMT, "Jen Grier" <stjlg14@moravian.edu> wrote:

> I'm the technician for WRMC, Moravian's intranet-only (only on campus)
>streaming broadcast radio station. We'd like to go Internet-wide, but the
>FCC site is quite confusing. Anyone have experience with the licensing
>involved in the change? The technology end is ready, but I wouldn't want to
>unleash us against the law, you know? We don't have any other licenses that
>I know of at this time. Thank you for your input!

Forgive me, if I'm one of a hundred replies here...

You don't need a license to stream on the Internet. The FCC does not
regulate streaming audio.

What you WILL need is clearance from various rights groups, such as
the recording industry licensers (BMI, ASCAP, SESAC). That'll likely
cost the college money, for any copyrighted music that your station
streams.

You may also need to talk to these folks:

http://www.soundexchange.com/faq.html

The following PDF file would seem to explain it...check near the
bottom of page four for your situation:

http://www.kurthanson.com/internet-radio-handout5-1.pdf

But I'd definitely go through your college's faculty advisor, and
through any NCE/college radio organization to which your over-air
station belongs...

2694. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:50:52 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <dibvrcsnj1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

"Jen Grier" <stjlg14@moravian.edu> wrote in message
news:di9q1u$rd5$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> Hello everyone!
> I'm the technician for WRMC, Moravian's intranet-only (only on campus)
> streaming broadcast radio station. We'd like to go Internet-wide, but the
> FCC site is quite confusing. Anyone have experience with the licensing
> involved in the change? The technology end is ready, but I wouldn't want
> to
> unleash us against the law, you know? We don't have any other licenses
> that
> I know of at this time. Thank you for your input!
>
> - Jen Grier
> WRMC Technician

license? license?! we don't need no stinking license!

the only license you need for internet broadcasting is the permission to use the content that you are streaming. if this is music it means you need to pay the appropriate per song royalties to the proper organization, which i understand can be quite expensive. if its locally generated content you should be good to go.

2695. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:51:14 UTC
From: Bob <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <dibvs2ste1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

If you're not going to emit any RF into the air, the FCC is not gonna care. The RIAA, ASCAP and BMI may shake you down for fees to play music on the Internet, though...

2696. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:51:25 UTC
From: rayindesmoines <rayindesmoines@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dibvsdsue1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

I don't think you need to worry about the FCC, but you may have some
music licensing to deal with. ASCAP, BMI etc.

2697. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:51:36 UTC
From: CA was in NJ <cainnj@the.news.group>
Message-ID: <dibvsosvd1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

Jen Grier wrote:

> I'm the technician for WRMC, Moravian's intranet-only (only on campus)
>streaming broadcast radio station. We'd like to go Internet-wide, but the
>FCC site is quite confusing. Anyone have experience with the licensing
>involved in the change? The technology end is ready, but I wouldn't want to
>unleash us against the law, you know? We don't have any other licenses that
>I know of at this time. Thank you for your input!

You don't need an FCC license. You DO need licenses from the copyright
holders, but that is true of your current setup as well as a net-wide setup.

2698. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:51:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <dibvt3$t1u$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.info

On 9 Oct 2005 00:59:42 GMT, Jen Grier wrote:

> I'm the technician for WRMC, Moravian's intranet-only (only on campus)
>streaming broadcast radio station. We'd like to go Internet-wide, but the
>FCC site is quite confusing. Anyone have experience with the licensing
>involved in the change?

There is no FCC license required for internet "broadcasts" at the
present time. Stream away......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2699. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 20:51:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dibvte$f1$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

there is no licensing for Internet radio
Todd N9OGL

2700. Re: "What is Ham Radio?" - The Daily F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 21:05:39 UTC
From: scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <1128891939.372259.220890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120526
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath pressed Control + V on the keyboard and pasted this crap >

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

Gareth, Get a grip, you really want to move on.....

2701. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 21:40:13 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <ae84721abba4c6681a41e7ebc1d94cbf@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 120527

In article <Aqh1f.4071$4h2.1689@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> I'm on my third Dodge Neon.

GUFFAW!!!

2702. Re: Re:whiny lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 22:14:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128896075.345258.17010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120528

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old faggot wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Learn to read, dumbass.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > with me,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > that is the plain and simple truth"
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, you agreed with my whole statement, fucknuts.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no I did not
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Go back and re-read your original reply, stupid.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I did and it doesn't say what you claim it does
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, you didn't, child abuser.

now you are going in for Clear slander Libel
>
> > that is another usenet effort at fraud, a crime BTW but don't worry the
```

> > cops don't care about usenet crime
>
> You made the agreement to my statement, stupid.

No I did not
>
> > >
> > > > learn to read
> > >
> > > You should follow your own advice, dumbass.
> >
> > I can and do
> >
> > you OTOH don't
>
> You onthe other hand, continually show how fucking stupid you are, KFC
> "Colonel."
>
> > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
> > > > > >
> > > > > > again still forging posts little whininng boy
> > > > >
> > > > > No, stupid you agreed that you are a pathological liar!
> > > >
> > > > nope I did not
> > >
> > > <slap!> Yes, you did, stupid. Just admit it.
> >
> > Never said any thing of the sort, and if I had I would have been lying
>
> You agreed with my statement. You made the stupid statement. Live with
> it.

never agreed with that part of your statement never made any such
statement etc

Grow up little boy
>
> > cut
>
> Run away, pussy.

2703. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 22:15:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128896117.116144.117100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120529

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > STFU, child abuser!
> >
> > gee is your keyboard broken?
>
> Your malfuctioning single inferior brain cell that you have left firing
> sure is, Marky.

so you are completely lacking in knowledge of anatomy too that is too
bad little boy

2704. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 22:16:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128896209.437265.323600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N0VFP wrote:
> Why don't you get your KFC troops out in force, "Colonel?"

my my the nuts are out today

Is the Moon and I have not noticed?
>
> My Daleks will lend you a hand.

calm down and take your meds you will feel better

2705. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-09 23:35:27 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3Ph2f.31007$tD4.17913@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This has to be the most imbecilic exchange of "opinion" I have ever followed. If I ever question my level of intelligence, then I just tune into rec.radio.amateur... and follow one of the "Lardass Lloyd Davies" threads.

Once I've followed the er-a progression of thought (or abscence thereof), I fully appreciate my ability to think and reason.

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

"AB8MQ" <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote in message
news:plrik199l3h97g7o0q23qogkv9ener73ln@4ax.com...
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > No, since you admitted you are bi, I suppose the shemales take up
>> > > > > the rest of your time.
>> > > >
>> > > > you keeping proving
>> > >
>> > > I keep proving what a perverted retard you are, Marky.
>> >
>> > no you keep proving you are a fraud and that you keeping proving your
>> > obsesion with other men little boy
>>
>> I proved I am retard, and you even agreed with me in another post.
>>
> I agree too.
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2706. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:10:58 UTC
From: Conservative Ham <LibsWhine@nospam.ux>
Message-ID: <dicbfg$bt3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:72cef30d1194076333188f6dbeccbb78@melontraffickers.com...
I get stuck after 2 letters and can't go any further.

Lloyd Davies.
>

Roger, nobody here cares about Lloyd or his license status. Your psychotic
fixation on Lloyd is bordering on the insane.
Get some help, you sick freak.

2707. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:26:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3zi2f.12399$q1.3335@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128810144.415795.63990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> >> >
>> Snipped
>> >>
>> >> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
>> >
>> > again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> Markie,
>> I think Dan was just saying that if you had been in the military you
>> would
>> have quickly received a much needed attitude adjustment.
>
> he was syaing I would have recieved one he considered neccassry but
> you, me and Dan also know that what is being bloodlessly described as
> an "attitude adjustment" is violent assault
>
> a Felony in the civilan world and a courtmarial offense in the military
>> Ace - WH2T
>

I would venture to bet, and I'm not a betting man..,.unless it is a sure
thing (hi hi), that in ANY GROUP Markie came within ten feet of, and opened
his mouth for only ten seconds. It would be a unanimous yes vote.

Dan/W4NTI

2708. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:28:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_Ai2f.12401$q1.10463@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128875477.405983.17590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
>> testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."
>
> maybe but that does not alter the facts
>
> Dan and you advocate vilence against those that are different
>
> meaning they advocate criminal behavoir
>

No No Markie.....only against YOU. You need your attitude
adjustment......anyone disagree? Of course they don't. You see Markie you
are a piece of garbage that needs flushed.

Not just an opinion.....a pure and simple fact.

Dan/W4NTI

2709. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:30:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <QCi2f.12403$q1.559@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128896209.437265.323600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> N0VFP wrote:
>> Why don't you get your KFC troops out in force, "Colonel?"
>
> my my the nuts are out today
>
> Is the Moon and I have not noticed?
>>
>> My Daleks will lend you a hand.
>
> calm down and take your meds you will feel better
>

Is the Moon WHAT ? And you call people names? Look in the mirror goof.

Dan/W4NTI

2710. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:32:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vEi2f.12404$q1.923@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128810219.771744.207050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
>> news:5754$43482062$4e0b78a$27055@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>> >
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:Gyi0f.8021$QE1.7278@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >>
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>
>> >
>> > Snipped
>> >
>> >>>
>> >> Mr AOF,
>> >>
>> >> Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >>
>> > Be careful Dan we don't want the "Colonel" accusing you of violating
>> > his
>> > "rights".
>> > Ace - WH2T
>
> Han as I said you are incorrect in stating that everyone agrees
> everyone has equal rights
>
>> Markie has thrown away all possibility of rights by his attitude,
>> language,
>> and general demeanor. He has no rights as far as I'm concerned.
>
> you know just becuase you say it don't make it so
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you "trust" told
you. In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.

Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?

Dan/W4NTI

2711. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:49:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128905340.683731.121700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128875477.405983.17590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
> >> testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."
> >
> > maybe but that does not alter the facts
> >
> > Dan and you advocate vilence against those that are different
> >
> > meaning they advocate criminal behavoir
> >
>
> No No Markie.....only against YOU.

which does not the change the fact you have called for Criminal
activity

that you advocate violence as a "solution" to those that don't conform
to your standards

> You need your attitude
> adjustment......anyone disagree?

now you go on to advocate mob action (or lynch mobs as theyd say in
your neck of the woods)

> Of course they don't.

Doesn't matter Now you are claiming that Minnoities don't have basic
rights

> You see Markie you
> are a piece of garbage that needs flushed.
>
> Not just an opinion.....a pure and simple fact.

nope just opinion from you facts form me

not that you are man to face up to what you advocate

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2712. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:49:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128905373.584976.184560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128810144.415795.63990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >> >> >
> >> Snipped
> >> >>
> >> >> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
> >> >
> >> > again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >>
> >> Markie,
> >> I think Dan was just saying that if you had been in the military you
> >> would
> >> have quickly received a much needed attitude adjustment.
> >
> > he was syaing I would have recieved one he considered neccassry but
> > you, me and Dan also know that what is being bloodlessly described as
> > an "attitude adjustment" is violent assault
> >
> > a Felony in the civilan world and a courtmarial offense in the military
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >
>
> I would venture to bet, and I'm not a betting man..,.unless it is a sure
> thing (hi hi), that in ANY GROUP Markie came within ten feet of, and opened
> his mouth for only ten seconds. It would be a unanimous yes vote.

then pay up

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2713. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:52:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128905574.299905.305910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128810219.771744.207050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> >> news:5754$43482062$4e0b78a$27055@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> >> >
> >> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:Gyi0f.8021$QE1.7278@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >> >>
> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>>
> >> >
> >> > Snipped
> >> >
> >> >>>
> >> >> Mr AOF,
> >> >>
> >> >> Listen little boy. We will tell you when your allowed to speak.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> > Be careful Dan we don't want the "Colonel" accusing you of violating
> >> > his
> >> > "rights".
> >> > Ace - WH2T
> >
> > Han as I said you are incorrect in stating that everyone agrees
> > everyone has equal rights
> >
> >> Markie has thrown away all possibility of rights by his attitude,
> >> language,
> >> and general demeanor. He has no rights as far as I'm concerned.
> >
> > you know just becuase you say it don't make it so
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you "trust" told

> you.

realy going off the deep end Danny boy

> In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.

realy delusional Dan

> Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?

gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
idiot in your eyes

shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
rule of law

those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves

you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2714. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 00:54:07 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, Bitch?" <jawnn_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128905647.945449.156400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Woger long since cross the border of insainity.

Hell, what would you expect from a person convicted of beating up his
own mother?

2715. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 01:20:34 UTC
From: Torvald <TorJohnson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <1128907234.916766.86830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kk4tl wrote:
> Yep Uncle Walter had a fine collection of march music and a very hi-fi
> transmitter. Sounded great. Not Walter, the music.
>
> There was the night I was driving the width of Tennessee on I-40
> listening to 3950 on the FT-900. Walter ranted till dawn (literally)
> in one of his drunken rampages.
>
> Sir Sidney was the one who played Anchors Aweigh and the theme to
> Hogan's Heroes.
>
> Dag-nabbit somebody bring back the good old days.
>
> Yew peeple make me siiiiiccccckkkkk

I see that drunken idiot KT4TR hasn't returned.....LOL!

Yep the good old days were better entertainment than cable tv , movies,
dvd's or talk radio.

And all that eternal moron Lloyd Davies could do was listen.

The requirements have been dumbed down so a retard could pass, but
Lloyd still has that "mental" block!

Ya sure you betcha'

Tor Johnson
Brimson, Minnesota

2716. Helped needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 01:22:54 UTC
From: AB8MQ <wogie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <otfjk19phvb5l60carqj4moeg6qikbhms8@4ax.com>
Source record: 120542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I need help from anyone who is knowledgable about do-it-yourself brain surgery. The one I have doesn't seem to be working. I have trouble speaking in public - every time I try to speak, I only manage to belch or fart. Also, if anyone knows how to prevent drool from ruining a keyboard, please let me know.

Roger L. Wiseman
610 Glen Haven Ave.
Glendale, WV 26038-1302

2717. Alas...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 01:40:18 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6Ej2f.12023$Hs.6087@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

...another line of shit on rec.radio.amateur...

"AB8MQ" <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote in message
news:8dpfk1p7111ljo6ics6kibcng7266seo0r@4ax.com...
> http://www.thelisten.net/photos/uncategorized/porta_potty_3.jpg
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2718. Re: "What is Ham Radio?" - The Daily F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 01:47:12 UTC
From: Russ <carlzomer@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cqhjk1lkjfpsahpd4quf5t9skq2agdre7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 120544
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On 9 Oct 2005 14:05:39 -0700, scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk wrote:

>Polymath pressed Control + V on the keyboard and pasted this crap >
>

And you copied the WHOLE message to (top) post a one line reply. Grow up and stop bitching about what the polymouth spends his time posting. What, are you paying your ISP by the electron? If you stop feeding the trolls, they will go away.

R

2719. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 02:17:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZI2dnbahHeyBUtTeRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120545

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>>> I would like to see some leadership realizing that driving single digit
>>>>fuel millage SUV's is an unpatriotic act, that building under insulated
>>>>McMansions that take immense amounts of energy to heat is an unpatriotic
>>>>act.
>>>
>>>
>>>Naaaah. Those who drive the SUVs are being bitten in the wallet.
>>
>>Sure. But they are also using up a critical strategic resource, contributing
>>to the imbalance of trade, and other things like that. Some patriots.
>>
>
>
> Patriotic? Unpatriotic?
>
> Don't look now, but economics pretty much went global about 50 years ago.
> "Patriotism" has didly-squat to do with it.

I'm not talking about overall economics, Hans. I'm talking about the US
importing a large percentage of its oil needs.

If you had to choose between fuel for some Escalade luvvin momma, and
the fuel for say our military to train with, who would ya choose?

Look at the big picture. While it is always nice to have both the jet
and the soccer mom accommodated, since many of the people we import oil
from are not the closest allies, the day will come when we have to choose.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2720. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 02:21:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <AIudnYEesI-RTdTeRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120546

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>> If you're talking about electrical energy, any of it which is
>>> produced
>>> but not consumed, is wasted energy. I can turn off my
>>> appliances and
>>> lights, but if no one else uses the electricity I'm not using, > it
>>> is wasted.
>>
>>
>>
>> Dave,
>>
>> Electricity supply doesn't work like that.
>>
>> The production adjusts itself to the load. If the load decreases, so
>> does production. There is no waste from reduced loading. In fact, if
>> the load goes down enough, utilities shut down their least-efficient
>> plants.
>
>
> I accept your statements as fact, as far as they go. However, if
> electricity is generated and not consumed, it is wasted. If I have a 25
> KVA generator running and only use 12 KVA, the available balance is gone
> forever. Admittedly, the generator will use less fuel under the smaller
> load.

Jim is correct, especially because of the scale of the power
generation. The emergency gas generator is pretty much uncontrolled,
save for gas savings/expenditures due to load. The Power grid has a lot
of controls on it regarding generation. That is one of the reasons that
utilities are not all that wild about people who "co-generate". It makes
their job a bit more complex keeping track of it all.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2721. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 02:23:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <AIudnYAesI86TdTeRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120547

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>
>>Congrats on Minnesota's capturing the Little Brown Jug for the first time in
>>nineteen years. That was a long drought.
>>
>
>
> Those guys give me fits! Win their first four games with 40-50 points per game,
> get embarrased at Happy Valley last weekend, then grind out a nice unexpected
> win against Michigan. The next two weeks against strong Divisional rivals
> (Wisconsin, Ohio State) will tell the tale.

It appears that the Nits were not a fluke, though.

- Mike -

2722. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 02:24:13 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <e349f$4349d0bc$4e0dc16$10726@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128905340.683731.121700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128875477.405983.17590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
>> >> testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."
>> >
>> > maybe but that does not alter the facts
>> >
>> > Dan and you advocate vilence against those that are different
>> >
>> > meaning they advocate criminal behavoir
>> >
>>
>> No No Markie.....only against YOU.
>
> which does not the change the fact you have called for Criminal
> activity
>
> that you advocate violence as a "solution" to those that don't conform
> to your standards
>
>> You need your attitude
>> adjustment......anyone disagree?
>
> now you go on to advocate mob action (or lynch mobs as theyd say in
> your neck of the woods)
>
>
>> Of course they don't.
>
> Doesn't matter Now you are claiming that Minnoities don't have basic
> rights
>
>
>
>> You see Markie you
>> are a piece of garbage that needs flushed.
>>

>> Not just an opinion.....a pure and simple fact.
>
>
> nope just opinion from you facts form me
>
> not that you are man to face up to what you advocate
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

What the hell are "Minnoities" ???
Dan, are you claiming that "Minnoities" don't have basic rights ?
ROTFLMAO

Ace - WH2T

2723. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 03:37:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0ml2f.8724$zQ3.2883@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120549

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> I'm not talking about overall economics, Hans. I'm talking about the US
> importing a large percentage of its oil needs.
>

We import a large percentage of a lot of stuff, both raw material and finished goods. Coffee. Rubber. Titanium. Tin. Wolfram. Textiles. Clothing. And, yes, even oil.

We also export to other countries a large percentage of their needs. Food (wheat/soy/corn/meat/dairy products). Lumber. Technology. Education. Medicine.

>
> If you had to choose between fuel for some Escalade luvvin momma, and the fuel
> for say our military to train with, who would ya choose?
>

I could ask a corresponding patronizing question about any of the other goods I mentioned.

The point is that individuals here don't make that choice about oil any more than a citizen of Japan makes that choice about lumber when they want to build a new home. If the cost of oil goes too high, then Escalades will fall from favor and be replaced by and Vegas and Pintos. If the price of lumber gets too high, Japanese homes will be built from compressed rice straw or some other material.

Has nothing to do with patriotism. Has to do with simple economics.

>
> Look at the big picture.

I do.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2724. Homer Simpson?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 06:04:58 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ewn2f.31045$tD4.26175@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Has Homer Simpson taken up ham radio as a hobby? The description you give yourself sounds a lot like Homer.

As far as do-it-yourself brain surgery, I think you should consult with K1DUDE. He has given himself a frontal lobotomy. Maybe he will donate his brain. He claims that his is superior to everyone else's and I can attest that it has been LIGHTLY used.

Good Luck, Homer!

Wayne-
"AB8MQ" <wogie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:otfjk19phvb5l60carqj4moeg6qikbhms8@4ax.com...
>I need help from anyone who is knowledgable about do-it-yourself brain
> surgery. The one I have doesn't seem to be working. I have trouble
> speaking in public - every time I try to speak, I only manage to belch
> or fart. Also, if anyone knows how to prevent drool from ruining a
> keyboard, please let me know.
>
> Roger L. Wiseman
> 610 Glen Haven Ave.
> Glendale, WV 26038-1302

2725. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 06:17:48 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gIn2f.31047$tD4.28580@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I think basic rights should be denied to moronic retards. They need to be institutionalized.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:y4T0f.9675$QE1.7330@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > BDK wrote:
>>> >> >> In article
>>> >> >> <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>>> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> > cut
>>> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on
>>> >> >> > such
>>> >> >> > bands
>>> >> >> > >
>>> >> >> > > BDK
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the
>>> >> >> Liberty
>>> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying
>>> >> >> about
>>> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old
>>> >> >> puckered up
>>> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
>>> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>>> >> >
>>> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham

>>> >> > radio"
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> BDK
>>> >> >
>>> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
>>> >> discussion
>>> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
>>> >
>>> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
>>> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>> >
>>> That's the point AOF, you don't.
>>
>> ah but I do I have the same rights as you do
>>
>> One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly would
>> not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it
>>
>> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
>> of the rest of us
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> Your so-called rights STOP at my nose. I have the right not to be annoyed
> by the likes of you, child brain, ignorant of basic facts about ham radio.
> And too stupid to learn.
>
> Now go away and suck your thumb.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

2726. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 09:59:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128938384.741129.176320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120552

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > But "we" didn't, because "we" didn't think it was worth the costs.
>
> "We" are busy selling our hats to each other at the moment. "We" don't
> have a national will to do great things any more.
>
> > And I think "we" made the right decision.
>
> Lessay we concentrate all our resources into feeding the poor, fixing
> all the social inequalities, and making the world a better place for our
> children and our childrens children.
>
> After all that. I would wager my life that there will still be poor,
> there will still be starving people, there will still be inequality, and
> the world will not be any better a place than it is today.

A-yup.

Brian ought to be able to at least partially attest to this...I am
sure Somalia bears some resemblence...

While on one of those missions that he and Lennie said I wasn't on,
we were briefed on the poverty of the local community, certain cultural
do's and don'ts and the likelyhood of who/where the "bad guys" would
be.

During the "these are really poor folks" part of the lecture, we
were told about how the average (certain Central American country)
citizen only earned less than the equivilent of USD $1000/yr. And
indeed, when we got there, there were some of those same kids you see
at 3AM, doe-eyed and playing in squalid poverty.

We were only in this community 6 days, and I was initially prone
to dispensing my MREs to the kids...Until I realized that almost
everyone had an AK-47, M-16, or FN-FAL rifle...And each bragged of how
much it cost him to get it...

They will live in putrid, debilitating poverty, but manage to find
the cash for guns and ammunition.

That's where my liberal streak ended.

I am always amazed at the CNN, MSN, and other news shows that have
"on the scene" reporters in countless third-world countries that are
pontificating about poverty while the men in the streets are carrying

assault rifles like my wife carries her purse.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2727. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 10:15:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128939303.999578.111310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120553

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

> > After all that. I would wager my life that there will still be > poor,
> > there will still be starving people, there will still be
> > inequality, and
> > the world will not be any better a place than it is today.
>
> Sounds pretty fatalistic to me.
>
> I say things can be made a lot better, if the will is there to do it.

Oh?

The United Nations tried in Somalia.

They were more interested in guerrila warfare. Seems they couldn't
find the resources to feed themselves, yet when the resources were
brought to them, they resorted to murder and feudalistic warfare.

> Take the whole energy issue. Suppose there *were* a serious, longterm,
> well-funded national program to improve our energy situation. Attack on
> all fronts - conservation, recycling, new sources, greater efficiency,
> etc. Do you really think such a program couldn't help improve the lives
> of almost all Americans?
>
> > >>>>Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession
> > >>>>alone that
> > >>>>have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> > >>>>pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> > >>>>effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> > >>>>deep felt.
> > >>
> > >>>Can you guarantee that those advances would not have
> > >>>happened if the
> > >>>money had been spent on research in the fields
> > >>>directly affected? IOW,
> > >>>why not simply go after a problem directly?
> > >>
> > >> In industrialized society's history, major technological
> > >>
> > >>>>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit
(3) government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war).
> > >
> > > There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them.
> > > "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the

> > > product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private
> > > inventors.
> > >
> > > BINGO!
> > >
> > > The same was mostly true of computers. Oh yes, the first real computer,
> > > ENIAC, was built for the Army to compute artillery aiming tables. But
> > > it was built on the work of earlier machines like the Atasinoff-Berry
> > > Computer. And only one ENIAC was ever built. Its successors came from
> > > private industry, for commercial purposes.
> > >
> > >
> > >>No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have
> > >>occured...but WHEN would they have occured?
> > >
> > >
> > > Nobody knows.
> >
> > Right - who knows.
>
> Nobody. Technological advance isn't always predictable.

True...there's no linear scale to it. But it's been in a positive
trend with STEEP improvements following warfare.

> One interesting historic game is to look at what was predicted for the
> future back 10, 20, 30 years. It's hilarious how many predictions never
> came true, and how many things that are common today were not foreseen.

And how much of it COULD have been done if only the money were
applied to them?

> > As much as I believe that the Space program was a
> > peaceful spur to
> > technology, in the end, I don't care.
> >
> > I like adventure, I like science, and I like exploring. People *will"
> > go into space, people *will* go to Mars. Will it be us, or will we be
> > known as the Portuguese of space exploration?
> >
> IOW, you're in it for the Buck Rogers factor.

Huh?

> Recall that the "great nations of Europe in the 16th century" all went
> exploring for *commercial* and *political* reasons. For the bucks, not
> Buck Rogers.

And did science NOT benefit, Jim?

Did I not say that direct commercial investment was a prime source
of scientific advancement?

> > >>Certainly NOT in the time
> > >>frame that they have.
>
> > > Why not?
> > >
> > > The fact is that we've avoided making serious longterm plans to solve
> > > some basic technological problems in the USA. That avoidance has cost
> > > us dearly, and will cost us more, until we
> > > tackle the problems head-on rather than expecting some silver bullet to
> > > do it for us.
>
> Paying big bucks for the Buck Rogers factor, while ignoring the serious
> earth-bound issues like energy supply, trade deficit and vulnerability
> to weather disruption isn't smart...(SNIP)

OK...

That's the very argument that was bantied around at the end of the
Apollo project.

So the Space Program got back-burner'd except for robotic
explorations, the ISS and and the Shuttle.

Global warming is as bad if not worse than it ever was in the
70's. However spaceborne assets such as the Shuttle, ISS and MIR have
been used to document and archive these events as never before
possible.

Poverty is as bad if not worse as it was in the 70's. Most of
Africa is a wasteland. AIDS shot across the continent like a
cannon-shot.

The 50's, 60's and into the 70's were periods of great scientific
expansion and awareness of not only ourselves, but our "communities" of
the world.

Today our kids can't even find Africa on a map.

> It's exactly like the guy who buys
> season tickets and a new bigscreen plasma TV/home theatre to watch the
> games using a credit card. While he ignores his rundown, collapsing
> house, sick children and insecure job situation.

Amazing how we arrive at the same point via different paths.
(Wanna buy an AK-47...?!?!)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2728. Why can't I pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:17:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128946623.082740.300190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120554

Lardass wrote:
><proved Roger wasn't given a reason for his retest.>

From: "Lloyd, N0VFP" <davies.lloyd@NOSPAMmcleod.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Would like a tutor to help with Code
year: 1999
In article <6t6nto$gtm1@odie.mcleod.net>, "Lloyd, N0VFP"
<davies.lloyd@NOSPAMmcleodusa.net> writes:

Hello,
I am going to our club's Novice/Tech class, in which they said they
will
emphizse more on CW this time around. I would like to upgrade my
liecense
soon, at lease 5wpm or 13wpm. My probelm is that I tried for 6 years
now,
but can't seem to get past a certain point in the code, say up to a
certain
letter, then get stuck and get confused.
I have the ARRL tapes, Gorden West tapes and several CW programs
including
Super morse. I know of several programs like Code Quick, but not
really
willing to spend the money on them. I you have a used copy to sell me,
I'd
consider it.

Thanks for reading this, Any help is welcome.

73
Lloyd Davies, N0VFP
n0vfp@NOSPAM.qsl.net
http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

2729. Re: Re:whiny lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:20:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128946817.407203.277440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120555

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old faggot wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Marky admits to being a pathological liar!
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Learn to read, dumbass.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > not exacaly
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I said "agreed I don't thave the answer to why Stevie is so obessed
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > with me,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > that is the plain and simple truth"
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, you agreed with my whole statement, fucknuts.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no I did not
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Go back and re-read your original reply, stupid.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I did and it doesn't say what you claim it does
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, you didn't, child abuser.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > now you are going in for Clear slander Libel
```

Which is it, you fucking idiot? Slander is spoken while Libel is
written defemation. Can't you see the difference?
> >
> > > that is another usenet effort at fraud, a crime BTW but don't worry the
> > > cops don't care about usenet crime
> >
> > You made the agreement to my statement, stupid.
>
> No I did not

You sure did, child abuser.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > learn to read
> > > > >
> > > > > You should follow your own advice, dumbass.
> > > >
> > > > I can and do
> > > >
> > > > you OTOH don't
> > >
> > You onthe other hand, continually show how fucking stupid you are, KFC
> > "Colonel."
> >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > now you are just plain lying little boy why bother
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > again still forging posts little whininng boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, stupid you agreed that you are a pathological liar!
> > > > > >
> > > > > nope I did not
> > > > >
> > > > <slap!> Yes, you did, stupid. Just admit it.
> > > >
> > > Never said any thing of the sort, and if I had I would have been lying
> > >
> > You agreed with my statement. You made the stupid statement. Live with
> > it.
>
> never agreed with that part of your statement never made any such
> statement etc

You agreed with the statement, live with it, stupid.

> Grow up little boy

Follow your own advice, little whiny girl.

> >
> > > cut

> >
> > Run away, pussy.

2730. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:21:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128946887.350475.283630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Conservative Ham wrote:
> "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
> news:72cef30d1194076333188f6dbeccbb78@melontraffickers.com...
> I get stuck after 2 letters and can't go any further.
>
> Lloyd Davies.
> >
>
> Roger,

Hi Lardass, you fucking retard.

From: "Lloyd, N0VFP" <davies.lloyd@NOSPAMmcleod.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Would like a tutor to help with Code
year: 1999
In article <6t6nto$gtm1@odie.mcleod.net>, "Lloyd, N0VFP"
<davies.lloyd@NOSPAMmcleodusa.net> writes:

Hello,
I am going to our club's Novice/Tech class, in which they said they
will
emphizse more on CW this time around. I would like to upgrade my
liecense
soon, at lease 5wpm or 13wpm. My probelm is that I tried for 6 years
now,
but can't seem to get past a certain point in the code, say up to a
certain
letter, then get stuck and get confused.
I have the ARRL tapes, Gorden West tapes and several CW programs
including
Super morse. I know of several programs like Code Quick, but not
really
willing to spend the money on them. I you have a used copy to sell me,
I'd
consider it.

Thanks for reading this, Any help is welcome.

73
Lloyd Davies, N0VFP
n0vfp@NOSPAM.qsl.net
http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

2731. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:27:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128947251.992349.285370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120557
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Roger's Bitch? whined:
> Woger long since cross the border of insainity.

Says the twat who changes sockpuppets and whines about Roger Wiseman to himself. You meant he long since "crossed" the border, stupid. You look even more stupid when you fuck it up, Davies. And what's "insainity"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!Archived, bitch.
You are the one who has the "insanity," Lardass!

> Hell, what would you expect from a person convicted of beating up his
> own mother?

You mean like you were when she caught you stealing money from her purse? You never provide any proof like a case nuimber, etc, Lardass. But I can provide some of your arrests.

"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com> blathered after consuming sheep shit, nigger cum and a Colt .45 40-ouncer in <1120713041.224890.56600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
"Too funny. Someone look "irony" up the the dictionary for our Woger."

Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>, shows off those Pulitzer Prize spelling and English skills in <1121151624.623671.251920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"No one get's Woger fluster and..."

Who's Roger's BITCH, Ironically, you made an ass of "yourself" when you couldn't even spell "yourself" correctly in Message-ID: <1125371025.009766.45960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
"Do you ever stop and look what an ass you make of your self?"

2732. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:32:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128947548.939885.18260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > Why don't you get your KFC troops out in force, "Colonel?"
>
> my my the nuts are out today
>
> Is the Moon and I have not noticed?
> >
> > My Daleks will lend you a hand.
>
> calm down and take your meds you will feel better

Goddamn are you stupid Marky

2733. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:34:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128947681.474070.145490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

typo: "number"

--
"Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH" fucks up again when he shows he has
long since crossed the border of stupidity and insanity in
Message-ID: <1128905647.945449.156400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"Woger long since cross the border of insainity."

2734. Re: Homer Simpson?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:36:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128947817.666217.196900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> Has Homer Simpson taken up ham radio as a hobby? The description you give
> yourself sounds a lot like Homer.
>
> As far as do-it-yourself brain surgery, I think you should consult with
> K1DUDE. He has given himself a frontal lobotomy. Maybe he will donate his
> brain. He claims that his is superior to everyone else's and I can attest
> that it has been LIGHTLY used.
>
> Good Luck, Homer!
>
> Wayne-

No, that's little fatass eternal no code Lloyd Davies drunk on Sunday
again.
He claims to be a christian, but turns out he's a homosexual.

> "LLoyd Davies" <wogie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:otfjk19phvb5l60carqj4moeg6qikbhms8@4ax.com...
> >I need help

You sure do, Lardass!

2735. Re: Alas...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:41:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128948092.854403.222420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> ...another line of shit on rec.radio.amateur...

That's our LLoyd Davies, N0VFP, he's a big line of shit.

2736. Re: please grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:43:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128948218.218653.290520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> This has to be the most imbecilic exchange of "opinion" I have ever
> followed. If I ever question my level of intelligence, then I just tune into
> rec.radio.amateur... and follow one of the "Lardass Lloyd Davies" threads.
>
> Once I've followed the er-a progression of thought (or abscence thereof), I
> fully appreciate my ability to think and reason.
>
> Wayne-
> (KC8UIO)

Lardass Lloyd Davies is a mentally ill retard. He was born genetically
defective. Even his own parents want nothing to do with him.

2737. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:45:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128948343.178060.89250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120563

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > STFU, child abuser!
> > >
> > > gee is your keyboard broken?
> >
> > Your malfuctioning single inferior brain cell that you have left firing
> > sure is, Marky.
>
> so you are completely lacking in knowledge of anatomy too that is too
> bad little boy

No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
girl.
It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.

2738. "Helped needed" - Mongolloyd makes good sentence!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:50:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128948628.433727.83280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> I need help

You sure do, Mongolloyd.

2739. "Helped needed" - Mongolloyd makes good sentence!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 12:50:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128948652.405815.155960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> I need help

You sure do, Mongolloyd.

2740. I kicked my moms ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 14:32:16 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <tnukk1p7111ljo6ics6kibcng7266seokt@4ax.com>
Source record: 120566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I kicked my moms ass and the bitch was too scared
of me to press charges!

hahahahahahahahahahahaha!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2741. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:19:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128961159.667710.71070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > BDK wrote:
> >> >> >> In article <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> >> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> > cut
> >> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on such
> >> >> >> > bands
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > BDK
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the Liberty
> >> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying
> >> >> >> about
> >> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old puckered
> >> >> >> up
> >> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
> >> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
> >> >> > radio"
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> BDK
> >> >> >
> >> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
> >> >> discussion
> >> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
> >> >
```

> >> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
> >> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >> That's the point AOF, you don't.
> >
> > ah but I do I have the same rights as you do
> >
> > One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly would
> > not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it
> >
> > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> > of the rest of us
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> Your so-called rights STOP at my nose.

agreed you got this part right

> I have the right not to be annoyed
> by the likes of you, child brain, ignorant of basic facts about ham radio.

but you blow it here

you do not have the right not to be annoyed

you have the right not to read any I or anyone else writes but not to
regulate what we write or wether we write something that annoys you

> And too stupid to learn.

you are just being you ignorant self smething you have a right be, if
you are fool enough to choose it
>
> Now go away and suck your thumb.

they you are pusing it I have the same right to be here and post as you
do

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2742. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:21:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128961307.937470.168700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120568

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > STFU, child abuser!
> > > >
> > > > gee is your keyboard broken?
> > >
> > > Your malfuctioning single inferior brain cell that you have left firing
> > > sure is, Marky.
> >
> > so you are completely lacking in knowledge of anatomy too that is too
> > bad little boy
>
> No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
> girl.

I am not a girl, there would be nothing wrong with it if i was , but it
simply not the case

you lack basic understanding and try to substitute your delsuion
behavoir for it

> It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.

more delsuional thinking little boy

2743. an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:32:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128961923.745718.62330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120569

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > STFU, child abuser.
> >
> > go ahead make the call
>
> You are quite the simpleton, dumbass!
>
> > Do something for a change don't just whine about it I gave you the
> > number
> >
> > you are just coward like Stevie and Dan and most of the others
> >
> > full of bullshit but you talk the talk but don't walk the walk
>
> We'll see, child abuser, we'll see.

well still here little boy

of course it is holiday maybe they will be dleayed yet another day
making it 4 days

or more likely the postersof the child abuse crap do realy know they
are full of shit when they were speaking

I do notice none but you have dared to repeat the suggestion since i
said in effect put up or shut up

2744. Re: Homer Simpson?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:32:52 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <die53k$j5r$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 120570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" (wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com) writes:
> Has Homer Simpson taken up ham radio as a hobby? The description you give
> yourself sounds a lot like Homer.
>
Obviously you don't watch The Simpsons, because then you'd know that Homer
is not a Ham. But you'd also have seen an episode where one of Marge's
sisters does mention amateur radio, which is one of her hobbies.

And that sort of placement is what the hobby needs.

Michael

2745. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:38:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128962326.906937.275180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128719438.866451.302740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128644023.849910.315740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1128560145.873451.53540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> what are you smoking bitch?
> >> >>
> >> >> no double standard at all not esp concerned about Baxter
> >> >> >
> >> >> > However, you seem to have gone off the deep end again so I see no
> >> >> > point
> >> >> > in
> >> >> > conversing with you at this time.
> >> >>
> >> >> see to it then
> >> >>
> >> >> nother ever changed I never did tolerate elitist bitch's such as
> >> >> yourself
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Hey Markie....control yourself, or I'll invite you down to Dixie for
> >> >> an
> >> >> attitude adjustment.
> >> >>
> >> > mind your own affairs, and use some sense Not likely I would accept
> >> > such an "invitation"
> >> >
> >> > But it is amazing how freely you resort to suggesting violence
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >>
> >>
> >> Here in Dixie we respect our women folk. I see why we had a war a lot
> >> clearer now.
> >
```

> > again with the suggestions of violence
> >
> > and the female will be treated with respect when she earns it
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> Did your Mother actually raise YOU?

yes of course, and she rased to tell Ladies that don't act like ladies
should not be treated as ladies

If a "lady" wants to come out and throws insults arround it is just
fine to throw insults back at her. Indeed Dee to here credit on this
point doesn't seem to have a problem with that

> Or are you just a product of someones
> imagination?

well a lot of what get posted about me is the product of various people
imgination, But I am real.

> I really can't believe you are this screwed up.

I am not responible for what you beleive or don't believe.

Nor do I have any obligation to live according to your statndards,
your aren't belief I must is yet another sign you don't respect the
constitution and laws of the US

> Are you for
> real Markie? If so...please do us all a favor.....pull the damn trigger.

what trigger? pointed at what

always resorting to the advocation of violence

Violence is is said to be the last resort of the limited mind. I don't
quite agree with that but the exception are not relavant to newgruop
discourse so here it work
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2746. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:45:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128962756.936526.98670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120572

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > > After all that. I would wager my life that there will still be > poor,
> > > there will still be starving people, there will still be
> > > inequality, and
> > > the world will not be any better a place than it is today.
> >
> > Sounds pretty fatalistic to me.
> >
> > I say things can be made a lot better, if the will is there to do it.
>
> Oh?
>
> The United Nations tried in Somalia.

And they failed. You're saying that one failure proves there's
no point in trying to change anything when it comes to poverty,
inequality, or making the world a better place.
>
> They were more interested in guerrila warfare. Seems they couldn't
> find the resources to feed themselves, yet when the resources were
> brought to them, they resorted to murder and feudalistic warfare.

Sure. They valued warfare higher. Doesn't mean everyone does.

> > Take the whole energy issue. Suppose there *were* a serious, longterm,
> > well-funded national program to improve our energy situation. Attack on
> > all fronts - conservation, recycling, new sources, greater efficiency,
> > etc. Do you really think such a program couldn't help improve the lives
> > of almost all Americans?

Hmmm?

> > > >>>>Back to NASA...The technologies in my chosen profession
> > > >>>>alone that
> > > >>>>have benefitted from the Space Program are phenominal. I think if we
> > > >>>>pulled the rug out from under it any time soon, the "trickle down"
> > > >>>>effect in lost of impetus in technology development would be rapid and
> > > >>>>deep felt.
> > > >>
> > > >>>Can you guarantee that those advances would not have
> > > >>>happened if the
> > > >>>money had been spent on research in the fields
> > > >>>directly affected? IOW,

> > > >>>why not simply go after a problem directly?
> > > >>
> > > >> In industrialized society's history, major technological
> > > >>
> > > >>>>advancements<< have MOSTLY followed (1) war, (2) captialist investment for profit
(3) government subsidy to do research in that field (usually the impetus of, again, war).
> > > >
> > > > There are certainly exceptions to the rule, radio being one of them.
> > > > "Radio" was not developed by governmental subsidy, nor was it the
> > > > product of an 18th century "Motorola" but was the "product" of private
> > > > inventors.
> > > >
> > > > BINGO!
> > > >
> > > > The same was mostly true of computers. Oh yes, the first real computer,
> > > > ENIAC, was built for the Army to compute artillery aiming tables. But
> > > > it was built on the work of earlier machines like the Atasinoff-Berry
> > > > Computer. And only one ENIAC was ever built. Its successors came from
> > > > private industry, for commercial purposes.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >>No, I can't guarantee that those advances would not have
> > > >>occured...but WHEN would they have occured?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Nobody knows.
> > >
> > > Right - who knows.
> >
> > Nobody. Technological advance isn't always predictable.
>
> True...there's no linear scale to it. But it's been in a positive
> trend with STEEP improvements following warfare.

Not because of warfare, but because the resources were dedicated to
solving the problems.

> > One interesting historic game is to look at what was predicted for the
> > future back 10, 20, 30 years. It's hilarious how many predictions never
> > came true, and how many things that are common today were not foreseen.
>
> And how much of it COULD have been done if only the money were
> applied to them?

Some could, others were simply not practical. Point is, nobody seems to
be very good at predicting the future of technologies. Even the
"experts"
and "professionals" get it wrong most of the time. But people don't
remember
what an awful track record they have...

> > > As much as I believe that the Space program was a
> > > peaceful spur to
> > > technology, in the end, I don't care.
> > >
> > > I like adventure, I like science, and I like exploring. People *will"
> > > go into space, people *will* go to Mars. Will it be us, or will we be
> > > known as the Portuguese of space exploration?
> > >
> > IOW, you're in it for the Buck Rogers factor.
>
> Huh?

Don't you remember "Buck Rogers"? Old sci-fi character.

The reference means Mike is more interested in the excitement than the
hard
science or the technological benefits.

> > Recall that the "great nations of Europe in the 16th century" all went
> > exploring for *commercial* and *political* reasons. For the bucks, not
> > Buck Rogers.
>
> And did science NOT benefit, Jim?

Not really.

And the point is they did *not* go exploring for "science" or "because
it's there"
but for reasons like making money.

> Did I not say that direct commercial investment was a prime source
> of scientific advancement?

> > > >>Certainly NOT in the time
> > > >>frame that they have.
> >
> > > > Why not?
> > > >
> > > > The fact is that we've avoided making serious longterm plans to solve
> > > > some basic technological problems in the USA. That avoidance has cost
> > > > us dearly, and will cost us more, until we
> > > > tackle the problems head-on rather than expecting some silver bullet to
> > > > do it for us.
> >
> > Paying big bucks for the Buck Rogers factor, while ignoring the serious
> > earth-bound issues like energy supply, trade deficit and vulnerability
> > to weather disruption isn't smart...(SNIP)
>
> OK...
>
> That's the very argument that was bantied around at the end of the
> Apollo project.

Were they wrong or right?
>
> So the Space Program got back-burner'd except for robotic
> explorations, the ISS and and the Shuttle.

Also Skylab, Apollo-Soyuz, Viking, Galileo, Cassini, the Mars
missions..

Hardly "back-burner". How much was NASA's budget in those years? How
much is it now?

And how much would it have cost to continue lunar missions?

> Global warming is as bad if not worse than it ever was in the
> 70's.

Think about *why*. It's not because of NASA.

It's because, after a few years of gasoline shortages, fossil fuels
became
cheap and plentiful in the early 1980s. And the problems were largely
ignored.

Which administration refuses to sign the Kyoto agreement?

> However spaceborne assets such as the Shuttle, ISS and MIR have
> been used to document and archive these events as never before
> possible.

I think most of that data collection is done by unmanned weather and
geological observation satellites.

> Poverty is as bad if not worse as it was in the 70's.

Think about *why*.

> Most of
> Africa is a wasteland. AIDS shot across the continent like a
> cannon-shot.

Think about *why*.

> The 50's, 60's and into the 70's were periods of great scientific
> expansion and awareness of not only ourselves, but our "communities" of
> the world.

Sure - for a bunch of reasons, not just space programs. But science is
useless
unless the knowledge is put to work.

> Today our kids can't even find Africa on a map.

Depends which kids you ask. I know plenty of elementary-school kids who
can.

> > It's exactly like the guy who buys
> > season tickets and a new bigscreen plasma TV/home theatre to watch the

> > games using a credit card. While he ignores his rundown, collapsing
> > house, sick children and insecure job situation.
>
> Amazing how we arrive at the same point via different paths.
> (Wanna buy an AK-47...?!?!)

The question is *why* that guy wants/needs an AK-47 rather than, say, a better plow or clean water.

Is it because he's an aggressor?
Is it because he's been attacked so many times that he needs it to defend himself?
Is it some other reason?

Consider this, Steve: The reason "we" succeeded in going to the moon was that a clear goal
was defined, nearly-unlimited resources allocated, and limitations on success were kept to a minimum.
If it took a three-man crew, they sent three men - not two and not four. That one of them would go all the way to the moon and back yet never set foot on it did not change the plans. That they built an enormous and expensive
rocket, and only got a small capsule back, did not change the plans either. They simply did what was needed to meet the goal and nothing more nor less.

Similar methods can be used to solve some (but not all) earth-bound problems. But too often, "we" are unwilling to do what's needed here at home to make it happen. Problems which are not as tough as Apollo (such as modern surface transportation) are considered "too hard" to solve.

There's another factor at work, too: short attention span. The moon missions were essentially a crash program - the Rooskies were beating us in space "firsts", and JFK needed something that looked good to counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and the Cuban missile crisis. So NASA got a blank check, contractors got cost-plus contracts and things went night and day for almost a decade. But when it was done, there wasn't a long-term plan for after-the-moon.

Americans seem to do well in crises but not so well at careful long-term changes and planning.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2747. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:52:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128963127.803352.27720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> > You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you "trust" told
> > you.
>
> realy going off the deep end Danny boy

"Really"

> > In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.
>
> realy delusional Dan

"Really"

> > Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?
>
> gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> idiot in your eyes

A M E R I C A.

> shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
> rule of law

"principles"

Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".

There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.

> those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves

> you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS

"hate"

As opposed to what YOU represent, Mark....?!?!

You're an acknowledged, proven and admitted liar. Everything else
you say from there on out is worthless, unless it's "I'm sorry and I
will seek help before it's forced upon me."

Steve, K4YZ

2748. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 16:55:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128963353.801485.182010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

nobodys old friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > Why don't you get your KFC troops out in force, "Colonel?"
>
> my my the nuts are out today

Then zip up your fly, Mark.

> Is the Moon and I have not noticed?

Is the Moon what, Mark?

Red? Upside down? Falling out of it's orbit?

I am sure there's a great number of things that escape your
"notice".

Like what a fool you appear to be because you refuse to fix your
English comprehension and application skills.

> > My Daleks will lend you a hand.
>
> calm down and take your meds you will feel better

Spoken like the confirmed mental health patient that you are,
Mark.

Steve, K4YZ

2749. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 17:11:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128964293.322144.238420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120577

KØHB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

> > I'm not talking about overall economics, Hans. I'm talking about the US
> > importing a large percentage of its oil needs.
>
> We import a large percentage of a lot of stuff, both raw material and finished
> goods. Coffee. Rubber. Titanium. Tin. Wolfram. Textiles. Clothing. And,
> yes, even oil.

Yup. Some of that isn't a good idea.

> We also export to other countries a large percentage of their needs. Food
> (wheat/soy/corn/meat/dairy products). Lumber. Technology. Education.
> Medicine.

And again - some of that isn't a good idea.

Example:

During the 1930s, the USA exported all sorts of things to Japan, both
raw materials and manufactured goods. Like oil and vacuum tubes,
neither
of which Japan could produce in large quantities on their own. US
industry
liked the hard currency that Japan paid with.

It was obvious early on that much of those exports were being used to
build up Japan's military, and *not* for defense of Japan. But by the
time the USA acted to stop it, Japan had become a serious adversary.
>
> > If you had to choose between fuel for some Escalade luvvin momma, and the fuel
> > for say our military to train with, who would ya choose?
>
> I could ask a corresponding patronizing question about any of the other goods I
> mentioned.
>
> The point is that individuals here don't make that choice about oil any more
> than a citizen of Japan makes that choice about lumber when they want to build a
> new home.

Oh yes they do!

Individuals here have at least some control over how much oil they use.
They have some control in the short term (how much they drive, how they
drive, how they set their thermostats), more control in the longer term
(what car they drive, how efficient their homes are) and still more in

the very long term (alternative energy sources, alternative
technologies).

> If the cost of oil goes too high, then Escalades will fall from favor
> and be replaced by and Vegas and Pintos. If the price of lumber gets too high,
> Japanese homes will be built from compressed rice straw or some other material.

Sure. But that's not the only factor.
>
> Has nothing to do with patriotism. Has to do with simple economics.

Long-term outlook. Sustainable technologies. Political and social
ramifications of "economic" decisions.

Lots more than simple economics.

> > Look at the big picture.
>
> I do.

One thing I hear from folks who have been to Europe and Japan is how
great their
transit systems are. How they make it possible for most people to live
without
a car, or with only one car per family, because it's easy, safe and
cheap to go places by transit.

Some say the US isn't like those countries in that our population is
more spread out and the whole country is bigger. Which is true in some
cases. But consider this:

- Before WW2, much of the USA was crisscrossed by electric trolley and
interurban lines. Many small towns had frequent, inexpensive, fast
trolley service, which usually interconnected with other lines. These
systems were so extensive that about 100 years ago, a traveler
documented a trip from New York City to Chicago that used trolley lines
for more than 90% of the distance.

- The Los Angeles area used to have the Pacific Electric system, which
was systematically dismantled after WW2 by a conglomerate of oil,
rubber and automakers. Now LA has the "Blue Line", which was predicted
to be a failure, because 'Los Angelenos won't get out of their cars',
yet it has been well-used since the day it opened.

- In Europe and Japan, transit isn't expected to make a profit or even
pay its own way. It is systematically subsidized by taxes on motor
fuels. Typical subsidy is about 50% of *operating* costs. For capital
costs, consider that the Paris Metro has been almost constantly
expanded since its opening over 100 years ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2750. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 17:45:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UMx2f.1124$y14.716@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120578

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> We import a large percentage of a lot of stuff, both raw material and
>> finished goods. Coffee. Rubber. Titanium. Tin. Wolfram. Textiles.
>> Clothing. And, yes, even oil.

> Yup. Some of that isn't a good idea.

Which part is a "not good" idea? Why?

>> We also export to other countries a large percentage of their needs.
>> Food (wheat/soy/corn/meat/dairy products). Lumber. Technology.
>> Education. Medicine.

> And again - some of that isn't a good idea.

Whic part is a "not good" idea? Why?

>.... These systems were so extensive that about 100 years
>ago, a traveler documented a trip from New York City to
>Chicago that used trolley lines for more than 90% of the
>distance.

I can still ride a train from New York to Chicago. I'd rather fly. (I doubt
the " 90% trolley line" story is true.)

73, de Hans, K0HB

2751. I'M A COCKROACH HUNTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 17:55:59 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <ejalk11emnj4nv8hesfrhij1at6rott611@4ax.com>
Source record: 120579

Ir hunt dangerous cockroach in the trailer parks
of West Vagina with
his side kick Chason!

Tonight's episode me and Chason hunt the dangerous
Bung Munch Roaches
in my own home (Bung Munch Roachs crawl up you
butt and eat your
innards out!)

ME: Alrightie mate, be more very careful and be
verrry vvverry quiet.
Remember these roaches can smell your fear.

Chason: I ain't your mate, you mommie living fag!

ME: Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh I think they've
already gotten mommie
Marie! Blimey, I won't have to the bleeding trash
out!

Chason: Why you talking like a 'Roo fucking
aSStralian, you dick sucker?
<Trip> <Fall> <SMASH!> You need to clean this
place up you fucking pig!

ME: Run like the wind mate, they know we're here!

Chason: Get your hand off my butt Wiseman!
Arrrrrrrrrrgggggggggggggggg
help something crawled up my ass, and it's too big
for Wiseman!
Eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee!!!
Hellllllppppppppppppppp! The pain!

Roaches: MUNCH! MUNCH!

Billy Jack and Joe Bob give it up fists up the
bun!

Rex Reid says: "That Wiseman is such a GAY BITCH!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2752. WHY I LIKED PRISON BETTER THAN I LIKED THE NUT HOUSE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 18:03:20 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <76blk1tkd7rg4uvgci98iu4eln646v3nll@4ax.com>
Source record: 120580

Let me tell you something, Iï¿½ve been to both
prison and the nut house
and the big house is a whole lot better. In prison
I had this big black
daddy by the name of Bubba Johnson who always
looked out for me and made
the other darkies pay me in cigs for sucking their
dicks and giving up
my brown hole. I didnï¿½t have to talk to no head
shrinking doctors
neither about why I was so mad at the world and my
mother that I would
beat up on her 73 year old ass! The only bad thing
about prison was that
they wouldnï¿½t let me write Lloyd and tell him how
he is my only love.
That kind of sucked. Also now since prison my
sphincters will never be
the same. Sometimes when I laugh real hard (which
really isnï¿½t all that
often because Iï¿½m not all that happy a person
since Lardass is rejecting
me) a warm brown liquid oozes down my leg and
stains my white fruit of
the looms all brown in back, also when I walk to
the store (too many
DUIï¿½s to drive) if I donï¿½t use a lot of cologne I
tend to smell really
really bad. All in all though if I had my druthers
Iï¿½d much rather be in
prison (especially if Lardass would come and visit
me)!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2753. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 18:07:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <n7ydncNbINVRA9feRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> But I am real.

Yeah, real stupid.

2754. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 18:08:50 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <n7ydncJbINWxAtfeRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:

>
> Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
>
> There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.

Saying anything that an_old_idiot doesn't agree with is 'violating his rights'.

2755. Re: Gary "Pat" Stevens WB2DBH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 18:31:55 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <1nclk1l04sp4bui96ni49t5mcre0nic8e4@4ax.com>
Source record: 120581

Nice try asshole, you fucked up and didn't realize
YOUR IP numbers were in *YOUR* forges!

This gave you away, forging retard.

24.58.195.67

YOU altered Pats QRZ lookup too.

"Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote:
> Who's this 'Lloyd Davies' (?) guy?
>
> "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:pjx2f.37917$7b6.33982@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> > Well, I don't see an IP address for that, so I really don't know who
> > posted that. However, the IP address for the last message matches
> > yours.
> >
> > "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:8ax2f.40529$K91.11523@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >> It's probably the same guy who forged this....
> >>
> >> Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf
> >> References: <0pV1f.33468$7b6.31011@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
> >> Subject: Re: New Connecticut Law
> >> Date: Sat, 8 Oct 2005 21:54:28 -0400
> >> Lines: 9
> >> X-Priority: 3
> >> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2670
> >> X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Response
> >> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2670
> >> Message-ID: <43487a9b$1@news.usenetzone.com>
> >> Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
> >> server contains 140,000+ groups
> >> Path:
> >> twister.nyroc.rr.com!news-rtr.nyroc.rr.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.m
> >> oat.net!news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail Xref: news-rtr.nyroc.rr.com
> >> alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:1047804
> >>
> >> What so special about it? There are lots of new laws on the books.
> >> Too
> >> lazy to post something about it on here, other than a link?
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >> ----------
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2756. Re: "What is Ham Radio?" - The Daily F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:11:12 UTC
From: scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <1128971472.663722.111860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120582
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Russ, If you cannot see the point I am making to Gareth, you should
just stay away !

2757. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:15:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128971758.004092.219180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I knew Stevie could not keep out something like this for long

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > > You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you "trust" told
> > > you.
> >
> > realy going off the deep end Danny boy
>
> "Really"
>
> > > In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.
> >
> > realy delusional Dan
>
> "Really"
>
> > > Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?
> >
> > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> > idiot in your eyes
>
> A M E R I C A.
>
> > shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
> > rule of law
>
> "principles"
>
> Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
>
> There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.

wrong again but then you are almost always wrong

it is a violation of a persons civil rights to advocate violence
against them
Dan has sai plainly that I see that I lack any rights at all, let
alone the same as himself

both are plainly at varriance with the Constitution of the US

you being often guilty of them same thing though i can see where you would likely be too blind to see that
>
> > those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves
>
> > you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS
>
> "hate"
>
> As opposed to what YOU represent, Mark....?!?!

a defender of the fredoms of myself and others

a foe of Hate mongers

not bad
>
> You're an acknowledged, proven and admitted liar. Everything else
> you say from there on out is worthless, unless it's "I'm sorry and I
> will seek help before it's forced upon me."

only from here on out? realy how generous of you

yet plainly it is all worth somethin gto you and to Dan and the "Comander" and whiny that you choose to spend you time flaming me for it
>
> Steve, KY4Z

2758. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:16:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128971809.934499.229740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > N0VFP wrote:
> > > Why don't you get your KFC troops out in force, "Colonel?"
> >
> > my my the nuts are out today
>
> Then zip up your fly, Mark.

more stevei nonsense
>
> > Is the Moon and I have not noticed?
>
> Is the Moon what, Mark?
>
> Red? Upside down? Falling out of it's orbit?
>
> I am sure there's a great number of things that escape your
> "notice".
>
> Like what a fool you appear to be because you refuse to fix your
> English comprehension and application skills.
>
> > > My Daleks will lend you a hand.
> >
> > calm down and take your meds you will feel better
>
> Spoken like the confirmed mental health patient that you are,
> Mark.

confirmed?
>
> Steve, KY4Z

2759. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:18:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128971908.580737.283850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> KY4Z wrote:
>
> >
> > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
> >
> > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
>
> Saying anything that an_old_idiot doesn't agree with is 'violating his
> rights'.

not at all

but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act

I even defend the rights of you and Stevie even Dan when he is right,
as vile as you are

2760. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:33:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128972838.149242.44510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120586

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Starting from the title:
> >
> > Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on
> > the net is child abuse
> >
> > "feel" "telling" "one's"
> >
> > You've only now chaged your "parameter" to "on the net".
>
> not really I have always said in the porper place, which is mostly on
> the net

"proper"

> > However lying is lying.

And that remains the same today. Nothing's changed.

> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > to all those persons believ spouting the bull#### that teaching one
> > > kids to lie on the net is child abuse I off ther following Numbers
> >
> > "believe" "one's" "offer" "the"
> >
> > > the Michigan Child abuse Hotline
> > > 1-800-942-4357
> > >
> > > it is my understanding that the above number may only be usable the
> > > residenet of Michigan
> >
> > "residents"
> >
> > You understand very little. That sentence had more holes in it
> > than the Bismark.
> >
> > > so I also provide the following for the local office of the state
> > > agentcy in question
> > >
> > > 1-906-353-4700
> >
> > "agency"
> >

> > > this will connect you with the Family Independence agency for Houghton
> > > Baraga and Keweenaw Counties
> > >
> > > In some states is is a crime to falsely report child abuse, I do not
> > > know wether it is or is not a crime in Michigan
> >
> > "whether" "it is", not "is is"
> >
> > To falsely report ANY act agaist children is a crime in all
> > states, Mark. Including YOUR state of mind.
>
> no it is not Stevie In Indiania any report of child abuse can be legaly
> can be made legaly

"Legally"

Re-read that sentence, you flaming idiot, and then you will
understand why I laugh at you all the time!

Mark...I said "To FALSELY (my emphasis) report ANY act against
children is a crime in all states, Mark."

> In Michigan is not a crime to falsely report elder abuse, as I checked
> on when I had to deal with that false report

Yes, Mark, it is a crime.

You were either too stupid to understand what was being said, or
you were lied to...

> > > I also know that you can make an anouomous report if you like, as
> > > someone did some time back claiming I was abusing my father. From that
> > > incident I know the law requires abuse claims to be investagted within
> > > 24 hours but that in practical term it sometimes takes a bit longer
> >
> > "anonymous"
> >
> > So NOW I know why they replied "we cannot comment on on-going
> > investigations" and "your report will be passed to the officer involved
> > in this case".
> >
> > Note I quote "...in this case".
>
> nothing specail they say that always see further comments below

"special"

> > Obviously there was NO case as far as I KNEW..Someone slipped and
> > let the cat out of the bag and Mark obligingly verified it for me that
> > I was NOT the FIRST to approach the authorities in Michigan!
>
> maybe not

No "maybe" to it, Mark! Obviously you ARE the nutcase I believe
you to be! Someone else has been there before me!

> for daring to take public stands on issues over the years I have been
> reported for many things

If you think that the simple act of publically expressing an
opinion got you to where youa re today, you're even more deluded than I
surmised.

> if you had asked I would have confirmed it for you

I have asked. You've denied it before. But then you ALSO claimed
to be a Colonel in the United States Army, too.....

> those taking political stand in this "free" country have for years been
> the targets of effort

It's got nothing to do with your "political" views.

It's got EVERY thing to do with your being a two-legged bag of
fruit loops.

> > > So if you think I am abusing some child report, as you should if you
> > > truly believe that crap, otherwise I ask that you drop it.
> >
> > No one said you're "abusing some child report"...Hey, take that
> > piece of paper out back, tape it to a tree, and plink at it all day
> > long for all I care! Abuse all the reports you care to!
> >
> > > Of course I lack the power to enforce my wishes but I make them known
> >
> > You only lack the power to "enforce" your wishes when you do
> > nothing to make them happen.
>
> more bull#### Stevie nothing I can realy do to stop your harrasment, at
> least not without breaking the law

"really"

What harrassment?

You mean making fun of your silliness and repeatedly proving you
to be the fool that you are?

THAT is a consequence of YOUR doings, Mark. Nothing I do or say
here to or about you could happen without your tacit support.

> > You have expressed a "wish" to be an HF-licensed Amateur...The
> > ONLY thing holding you back is YOU!
>
> not at all My publicaly stated estimate says I will achive that goal on
> or about 1 APR 2006

"publically"

My publically stated estimate says that no matter when or how you
"upgrade" to HF in the Amateur Radio service, you'll still be a liar
and a deviant.

> > Mark...you were a pitiful little dweeb of a human BEFORE you made
> > this "invitation", and now you look all the more idiotic and paranoid
> > for it. Add that to your fractured, ill-conceived narrative and you
> > look more and more like you're in need of confined, definitive care.
>
> well simply tried of the threat and bull####

"tired"

What threats?

And if you're tired of the BS, stop promulgating it.

> but again if you think I am abusing a child make a report. It will the
> half dozen or so reports that I know about, let alone the ones that
> never rose to a level that anyone bothered with

This get's funnier by the minute!

> > They will be much more impressed if you show up voluntarilly and
> > ask for help, rather than have to drag you off kicking and screaming.
>
> show up and say "Stevie says I should report myself for child abuse
> becuase I think kids should be taught to always lie in public forums on
> the internet, and in certain other condictions as well"

No.

Show up and admit that you are a pathological liar, that you are a
predator and a social services parasite.

> being that stupid is about the only thing I could do that might get me
> comitted

Well, Mark, you ARE that stupid.

> BTW I realy don't care about impressing figures of authority. I would
> have thought you'd learned that by now

"really"

It's not about impressing anyone, Mark. It's about you seeking
help.

> > Do it now. Do it for your own good.
>
> try it yourself

I don't need it. You do.

Steve, K4YZ

2761. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:47:10 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128973630.875647.63700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I believe what many novices fail to realize, copying 5-wpm code is far more difficult to read than is 30-wpm code.

As your experience with CW increases, you'll suddenly realize that one day you no longer hear the individual dots and dashes, but recognize the code stream as characters and numbers immediately. I suppose it's recognition of the morse rythm as individual characters.

For example, you never hear 'a' as discrete dots and dashes, but as a composite sound, not dit-dah. For some strange reason, the ARRL code practices transmissions fail to emphasize this very important part in developing code skill, whereas Navy radio operator training courses do.

The W1AW code practice transmissions would benefit greatly if they transmitted all morse characters at a 20-wpm rate and adjusted the spacing between characters to a much lower rate, just as the Navy does.

On the other hand, it is difficult for an experienced CW operator to identify the characters of code transmitted at a uniform 5 or 10 wpm rate, because the individual dots and dashes are being tranmitted at such a slow rate that you cannot identify the unique sound of any individual character.

And no, I wasn't in the Navy but as a child was taught code by an older railway signalman using the traditional telegraph clicker. After that, the transition to listening to audio tone sequence or morse code was a piece of cake.

I've been told that at even higher rates you learn to hear words, not individual characters, but I'm not yet that good.

Hope this helps. Harry C.

2762. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:49:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1128973779.175730.320290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120588

nobodys old friend wrote:
> I knew Stevie could not keep out something like this for long

Why not? You do it.

> KY4Z didn't write:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you "trust" told
> > > > you.
> > > >
> > > realy going off the deep end Danny boy
> > >
> > "Really"
> > >
> > > > In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.
> > > >
> > > realy delusional Dan
> > >
> > "Really"
> > >
> > > > Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?
> > > >
> > > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> > > idiot in your eyes
> > >
> > A M E R I C A.
> > >
> > > shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
> > > rule of law
> > >
> > "principles"
> > >
> > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
> > >
> > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
>
> wrong again but then you are almost always wrong

Nope.

Dan did not break a single law in any of his comments.

> it is a violation of a persons civil rights to advocate violence
> against them

You really are on a path of self delusion, aren't you, Mark?

> Dan has sai plainly that I see that I lack any rights at all, let
> alone the same as himself
>
> both are plainly at varriance with the Constitution of the US

"variance"

His speaking HIS opinion is not one iota different than your
bragging about your deceit and deviance, Mark.

The Constitution does NOT only protect liars and cheats such as
yourself, you know!

> you being often guilty of them same thing though i can see where you
> would likely be too blind to see that

You're the one with clarity issues, Mark.

> > > those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves
> >
> > > you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS
> >
> > "hate"
> >
> > As opposed to what YOU represent, Mark....?!?!
>
> a defender of the fredoms of myself and others

"freedoms"

NOW MARK IS A "FREEDOM FIGHTER!"....

BBBWWWHAHAHA

"really"

> yet plainly it is all worth somethin gto you and to Dan and the
> "Comander" and whiny that you choose to spend you time flaming me for
> it

"Commander" "something" "your"

I'm not "flaming" you, Mark.

You do that to yourself.

And your only "net worth" here is comedy and diversion. You're my "punching bag" at the end of a tense day, and you are sooooooooooooooo accomodating!

Steve, K4YZ

2763. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 19:59:53 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <3uhlk19bjr8cbbbftkci0jc84fgp1h8ol6@4ax.com>
Source record: 120590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> I believe what many novices fail to realize, copying 5-wpm code is far
> more difficult to read than is 30-wpm code.
>
> As your experience with CW increases, you'll suddenly realize that one
> day you no longer hear the individual dots and dashes, but recognize
> the code stream as characters and numbers immediately. I suppose it's
> recognition of the morse rythm as individual characters.
>
> For example, you never hear 'a' as discrete dots and dashes, but as a
> composite sound, not dit-dah. For some strange reason, the ARRL code
> practices transmissions fail to emphasize this very important part in
> developing code skill, whereas Navy radio operator training courses do.
>
> The W1AW code practice transmissions would benefit greatly if they
> transmitted all morse characters at a 20-wpm rate and adjusted the
> spacing between characters to a much lower rate, just as the Navy does.
>
> On the other hand, it is difficult for an experienced CW operator to
> identify the characters of code transmitted at a uniform 5 or 10 wpm
> rate, because the individual dots and dashes are being tranmitted at
> such a slow rate that you cannot identify the unique sound of any
> individual character.
>
> And no, I wasn't in the Navy but as a child was taught code by an older
> railway signalman using the traditional telegraph clicker. After that,
> the transition to listening to audio tone sequence or morse code was a
> piece of cake.
>
> I've been told that at even higher rates you learn to hear words, not
> individual characters, but I'm not yet that good.
>
> Hope this helps. Harry C.

>
Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-administer any examination element previously administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE's). The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or under the supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present a photo identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will be cancelled if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2764. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:01:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128971695.870627.215910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I knew Stevie could not keep out something like this for long

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > > You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you "trust" told
> > > you.
> >
> > realy going off the deep end Danny boy
>
> "Really"
>
> > > In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.
> >
> > realy delusional Dan
>
> "Really"
>
> > > Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?
> >
> > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> > idiot in your eyes
>
> A M E R I C A.
>
> > shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
> > rule of law
>
> "principles"
>
> Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
>
> There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.

wrong again but then you are almost always wrong

it is a violation of a persons civil rights to advocate violence
against them
Dan has sai plainly that I see that I lack any rights at all, let
alone the same as himself

both are plainly at varriance with the Constitution of the US

you being often guilty of them same thing though i can see where you
would likely be too blind to see that
>
> > those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves
>
> > you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS
>
> "hate"
>
> As opposed to what YOU represent, Mark....?!?!

a defender of the fredoms of myself and others

a foe of Hate mongers

not bad
>
> You're an acknowledged, proven and admitted liar. Everything else
> you say from there on out is worthless, unless it's "I'm sorry and I
> will seek help before it's forced upon me."

only from here on out? realy how generous of you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2765. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:24:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128975864.888441.141110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120591

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > Starting from the title:
> > >
> > > Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on
> > > the net is child abuse
> > >
> > > "feel" "telling" "one's"
> > >
> > > You've only now chaged your "parameter" to "on the net".
> >
> > not really I have always said in the porper place, which is mostly on
> > the net
>
> "proper"
>
> > > However lying is lying.
>
> And that remains the same today. Nothing's changed.

indeed nothing including my legl safety
>
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > to all those persons believ spouting the bull#### that teaching one
> > > > kids to lie on the net is child abuse I off ther following Numbers
> > >
> > > "believe" "one's" "offer" "the"
> > >
> > > > the Michigan Child abuse Hotline
> > > > 1-800-942-4357
> > > >
> > > > it is my understanding that the above number may only be usable the
> > > > residenet of Michigan
> > >
> > > "residents"
> > >
> > > You understand very little. That sentence had more holes in it
> > > than the Bismark.
> > >
> > > > so I also provide the following for the local office of the state
> > > > agentcy in question
> > > >

> > > > 1-906-353-4700
> > >
> > > "agency"
> > >
> > > > this will connect you with the Family Independence agency for Houghton
> > > > Baraga and Keweenaw Counties
> > > >
> > > > In some states is is a crime to falsely report child abuse, I do not
> > > > know wether it is or is not a crime in Michigan
> > >
> > > "whether" "it is", not "is is"
> > >
> > > To falsely report ANY act agaist children is a crime in all
> > > states, Mark. Including YOUR state of mind.
> >
> > no it is not Stevie In Indiania any report of child abuse can be legaly
> > can be made legaly
>
> "Legally"
>
> Re-read that sentence, you flaming idiot, and then you will
> understand why I laugh at you all the time!
>
> Mark...I said "To FALSELY (my emphasis) report ANY act against
> children is a crime in all states, Mark."

no it is not
>
> > In Michigan is not a crime to falsely report elder abuse, as I checked
> > on when I had to deal with that false report
>
> Yes, Mark, it is a crime.

not according to the State of Michigan
>
> You were either too stupid to understand what was being said, or
> you were lied to...

now you are accusing the State of Michigian of lying to me

i was told that even if the person who made the report could be
identified the laws of the State sheild him from any prosecution
>
> > > > I also know that you can make an anouomous report if you like, as
> > > > someone did some time back claiming I was abusing my father. From that
> > > > incident I know the law requires abuse claims to be investagted within
> > > > 24 hours but that in practical term it sometimes takes a bit longer
> > >
> > > "anonymous"
> > >
> > > So NOW I know why they replied "we cannot comment on on-going

> > > investigations" and "your report will be passed to the officer involved
> > > in this case".
> > >
> > > Note I quote "...in this case".
> >
> > nothing specail they say that always see further comments below
>
> "special"
>
> > > Obviously there was NO case as far as I KNEW..Someone slipped and
> > > let the cat out of the bag and Mark obligingly verified it for me that
> > > I was NOT the FIRST to approach the authorities in Michigan!
> >
> > maybe not
>
> No "maybe" to it, Mark!

Yes Maybe Stevie what you quoted sounded like the standard broilerplate
given out about any inquiry. Indeed I am certain if I contacted the
Sate of Tenn about wether you have been reported for child abuse,
mental health, drunk driving or most anything else before it becomes a
matter of public record by charges being filed they would answer in
much the same manner

> Obviously you ARE the nutcase I believe
> you to be!

that someone has reported me me as a nutcase does not make me a
nutcase. I know of at least one report claiming I was nut case (and an
elder abuser) becuase I claimed " that the world was not going to end
in gay were allowed to marry" in a letter the editor

> Someone else has been there before me!

indeed many people have
>
> > for daring to take public stands on issues over the years I have been
> > reported for many things
>
> If you think that the simple act of publically expressing an
> opinion got you to where youa re today, you're even more deluded than I
> surmised.

simple acts. why can't you get tenses and number right Stevie?
>
> > if you had asked I would have confirmed it for you
>
> I have asked.

No you never asked if I had been reported for mental health.

> You've denied it before.

I have never denied being reported. I have denied being comitted. I
have denied fleeing from the authoriies. I have denie things around
that issue but you never asked the question

> But then you ALSO claimed
> to be a Colonel in the United States Army, too.....

after you made, what was IMO a clear threat to kill me
>
> > those taking political stand in this "free" country have for years been
> > the targets of effort
>
> It's got nothing to do with your "political" views.

it has everything to do with them

>
> It's got EVERY thing to do with your being a two-legged bag of
> fruit loops.

absolutely not a two legged bag of fruit loops although it might be
amusing to see such a sight

>
> > > > So if you think I am abusing some child report, as you should if you
> > > > truly believe that crap, otherwise I ask that you drop it.
> > >
> > > No one said you're "abusing some child report"...Hey, take that
> > > piece of paper out back, tape it to a tree, and plink at it all day
> > > long for all I care! Abuse all the reports you care to!
> > >
> > > > Of course I lack the power to enforce my wishes but I make them known
> > >
> > > You only lack the power to "enforce" your wishes when you do
> > > nothing to make them happen.
> >
> > more bull#### Stevie nothing I can realy do to stop your harrasment, at
> > least not without breaking the law
>
> "really"
>
> What harrassment?

you stalking and threatening trying to contact everyone I might know
IOW your standard SOP

>
> You mean making fun of your silliness and repeatedly proving you
> to be the fool that you are?
>
> THAT is a consequence of YOUR doings, Mark. Nothing I do or say
> here to or about you could happen without your tacit support.

another lie from Stevie

I have not supprted in your stupid assertions about mental health or
child abuse

but then I forgot nothing Stevie does is Stevies responiblity, he is
just the helpless pawn of everyone around him.

You sound Hilter et. al. claiming the jews are against him
>
> > > You have expressed a "wish" to be an HF-licensed Amateur...The
> > > ONLY thing holding you back is YOU!
> >
> > not at all My publicaly stated estimate says I will achive that goal on
> > or about 1 APR 2006
>
> "publically"
>
> My publically stated estimate says that no matter when or how you
> "upgrade" to HF in the Amateur Radio service, you'll still be a liar
> and a deviant.

so what?
>
> > > Mark...you were a pitiful little dweeb of a human BEFORE you made
> > > this "invitation", and now you look all the more idiotic and paranoid
> > > for it. Add that to your fractured, ill-conceived narrative and you
> > > look more and more like you're in need of confined, definitive care.
> >
> > well simply tried of the threat and bull####
>
> "tired"
>
> What threats?

your threats as outlined many times
>
> And if you're tired of the BS, stop promulgating it.

I am not the one doing so you are
>
> > but again if you think I am abusing a child make a report. It will the
> > half dozen or so reports that I know about, let alone the ones that
> > never rose to a level that anyone bothered with
>
> This get's funnier by the minute!

what is funny about child abuse Stevie?

or about your threats to waste the time and money of the taxpayers of
Michigan

>
> > > They will be much more impressed if you show up voluntarilly and
> > > ask for help, rather than have to drag you off kicking and screaming.
> >

> > show up and say "Stevie says I should report myself for child abuse
> > becuase I think kids should be taught to always lie in public forums on
> > the internet, and in certain other condictions as well"
>
> No.
>
> Show up and admit that you are a pathological liar, that you are a
> predator and a social services parasite.

But I am not a pathological lair, or any kind of predator and certainly
not a parasite of Socail services

OTOH yeah I can see it walking up to them and saying, "pathological
liar, that you are a predator and a social services parasite." Id be
laughed out of the office.

mental health is only interested in By legal mandate, drug porblems
(including acholl) current domestic abuse people in crisis, so even if
I were to use your words "pathological liar, that you are a predator
and a social services parasite" I would be unable by law to be assisted
>
> > being that stupid is about the only thing I could do that might get me
> > comitted
>
> Well, Mark, you ARE that stupid.

obviously not
>
> > BTW I realy don't care about impressing figures of authority. I would
> > have thought you'd learned that by now
>
> "really"
>
> It's not about impressing anyone, Mark. It's about you seeking
> help.

then yu were lying when said "They will be much more impressed if you
show up voluntarilly and ask for help" and used the word impresed

> > > Do it now. Do it for your own good.
> >
> > try it yourself
>
> I don't need it. You do.

not at all

but you need it, what you realy need is learn something about the world
that you wander around in such a willfully blind state
>
> Steve, KY4Z

2766. reminding stevie again of his past words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:27:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128976057.157507.34490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120592

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mark,
To which I will reply: (1.) I wasn't there to verify the
> > > participants at
> > > the act of conception. If calling me a bastard makes you feel better,
> > > then have
> > > at it (2) I am a 9 time USMC Rifle Expert Mark. If I want
> > > something
> > > shot, even in Illinois, I will hit the target. One shot, one kill. I
> > > don't
> > > waste the govenments ammunition hitting targets that are not of
> > > consequence.
> > > YOU are not of ANY consequence that I am aware of, but situations ARE
> > > subject
> > > to change!

> > a nice clear death threat

> > no way that is anything but a death threat

> > no tlak by stevie of debate or tlaking at nothing but going on about
> > shooting and killing

> Steve loves charades. His favorite is the "I'm almost going to make a
> threat, but I am going to make you think I made a threat" charade.

Brian said: "There never was an insinuation, Mr. Robeson. It was a
case of your misunderstanding and a dose of your wishful thinking."

Then Steve said: "I don't think that your previously archived comments

might be read that way. >>>For an offense to have occured, it onl;y
requires that it be perceived as such<<<."

Steve only has to perceive a threat, but he says the rest of us have to

have the courts decide.

Stevei threatens death and threat meet the terms laid down by Stevie
himself

2767. Loyd Davies confesses: I kicked my moms ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:31:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128976312.515756.98600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> I kicked my moms ass and the bitch was too scared
> of me to press charges!
>
> hahahahahahahahahahaha!

We know you did, Davies, Your mommy found you stealing money fromher purse again, didn't she?

2768. an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:33:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128976400.894316.231140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120594

Again I repeat that anyone who realy thinks I am a Child abuser for my
stand on lying or anything should call one of the number below

the Michigan Child abuse Hotline 1-800-942-4357
or FIA 1-906-353-4700

if you truley believe I am any way abusing a child then you should not
be hanging out here in Usenet whining about you should take action

an old friend wrote:
> to all those persons believ spouting the bullshit that teaching one
> kids to lie on the net is child abuse I off ther following Numbers
>
> the Michigan Child abuse Hotline
> 1-800-942-4357
>
> it is my understanding that the above number may only be usable the
> residenet of Michigan
>
> so I also provide the following for the local office of the state
> agentcy in question
>
> 1-906-353-4700
>
> this will connect you with the Family Independence agency for Houghton
> Baraga and Keweenaw Counties
>
> In some states is is a crime to falsely report child abuse, I do not
> know wether it is or is not a crime in Michigan
>
> I also know that you can make an anouomous report if you like, as
> someone did some time back claiming I was abusing my father. From that
> incident I know the law requires abuse claims to be investagted within
> 24 hours but that in practical term it sometimes takes a bit longer
>
> So if you think I am abusing some child report, as you should if you
> truly believe that crap, otherwise I ask that you drop it.
>
> Of course I lack the power to enforce my wishes but I make them known

2769. Re: Gary "Pat" Stevens WB2DBH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:38:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128976729.979368.73620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120595

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> Nice try asshole, you fucked up and didn't realize
> *YOUR* IP numbers were in *YOUR* forges!

Get a clue, fatty Davies!

> This gave you away, forging retard.
>
> 24.58.195.67
>
> *YOU* altered Pats QRZ lookup too.

So says Lloyd Davies of 710 S. Clinton St. #202 Athens, AL.....who
flunked out of Kirkwood Community college his first semester.

> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote:
> > Who's this 'Lloyd Davies' (?) guy?

He's a mentally ill retard.

> > "Pat Stevens" <patstevens196@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:pjx2f.37917$7b6.33982@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> > > Well, I don't see an IP address for that, so I really don't know who
> > > posted that. However, the IP address for the last message matches
> > > yours.
> > >
> > > "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > > news:8ax2f.40529$K91.11523@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> > >> It's probably the same guy who forged this....
> > >>
> > >> Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf
> > >> References: <0pV1f.33468$7b6.31011@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
> > >> Subject: Re: New Connecticut Law
> > >> Date: Sat, 8 Oct 2005 21:54:28 -0400
> > >> Lines: 9
> > >> X-Priority: 3
> > >> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> > >> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2670
> > >> X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Response
> > >> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2670
> > >> Message-ID: <43487a9b$1@news.usenetzone.com>
> > >> Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
> > >> server contains 140,000+ groups
> > >> Path:
> > >> twister.nyroc.rr.com!news-rtr.nyroc.rr.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.m
> > >> oat.net!news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail Xref: news-rtr.nyroc.rr.com

> > >> alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:1047804
> > >>
> > >> What so special about it? There are lots of new laws on the books.
> > >> Too
> > >> lazy to post something about it on here, other than a link?
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> _____
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2770. Delusional Lardass Lloyd Davies confesses: WHY I LIKED PRISON BETTER THAN I LIKED THE NUT HOUSE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:46:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128977166.902049.299600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120596

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> Let me tell you something, I've been to both
> prison and the nut house

We know you have Davies, just like your older brother.

http://www.iowacourts.state.ia.us/

Shows the complete Davies family records....Lloyd's older brother Ray Davies, Jr. is a convicted Felon, his sister and him and his daddy all have criminal records.

In addition, Lloyd was committed for a YEAR to:
Mental Health Institute
2277 Iowa Avenue
Independence, Iowa 50644
319 - 334 -2583
tmain@dhs.state.ia.us

and he is currently an outpatient at:
Athens-Limestone Community Clinic/ACCESS
1307 E. Elm Street
Athens, AL 35611
Phone: (256) 323-3661
http://www.mhcnca.org/

On the bright side. I know Lloyd is suffering from depression. I know that he is aware that he's a total fuck-up, and a grossly obese, retarded pig with a misshapen head. Soon, Lloyd will either die from heart failure, or he will suffer serious complications due to his obesity. One thing for certain, Lloyd will never live to see 45. He only has 15 years left of life. And the next 15 years will be his deterioration. The remaining years of Lloyd's life won't be pleasant. And because he is such a fucking loser, he will be spending his remaining years all alone, in a small apartment, surrounded by niggers, spics & and whole slew of other societal rejects.

What a miserable existence. Lloyd really should listen to his detractors and blow his brains out. But I'm glad he doesn't, I don't want the homosexual pig to go the easy way out. I want him to suffer. He has no clue what the future has in stored for him.

It won't be pretty.

2771. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:50:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128977431.471370.81520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lardass Lloyd Davies the eternal no code technician wrote:
> hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> > I believe what many novices fail to realize, copying 5-wpm code is far
> > more difficult to read than is 30-wpm code.
> >
> > As your experience with CW increases, you'll suddenly realize that one
> > day you no longer hear the individual dots and dashes, but recognize
> > the code stream as characters and numbers immediately. I suppose it's
> > recognition of the morse rythm as individual characters.
> >
> > For example, you never hear 'a' as discrete dots and dashes, but as a
> > composite sound, not dit-dah. For some strange reason, the ARRL code
> > practices transmissions fail to emphasize this very important part in
> > developing code skill, whereas Navy radio operator training courses do.
> >
> > The W1AW code practice transmissions would benefit greatly if they
> > transmitted all morse characters at a 20-wpm rate and adjusted the
> > spacing between characters to a much lower rate, just as the Navy does.
> >
> > On the other hand, it is difficult for an experienced CW operator to
> > identify the characters of code transmitted at a uniform 5 or 10 wpm
> > rate, because the individual dots and dashes are being tranmitted at
> > such a slow rate that you cannot identify the unique sound of any
> > individual character.
> >
> > And no, I wasn't in the Navy but as a child was taught code by an older
> > railway signalman using the traditional telegraph clicker. After that,
> > the transition to listening to audio tone sequence or morse code was a
> > piece of cake.
> >
> > I've been told that at even higher rates you learn to hear words, not
> > individual characters, but I'm not yet that good.
> >
> > Hope this helps. Harry C.

Very good, Harry.

> >
> Mr.

Roger still passed his 13 word per minute code exam for his General,
fatty. It was a breeze passing the mere 5 wpm when he had to retest.
That restest wasn't much of a "punishment", since he passed it and
still upgraded to Extra. And he did it in a much shorter time than

you've been a ham. You've
been a ham over 10 years and you still can't pass either the theory or
the code.

LARDASS Davies admits he's mentally challenged
in
http://www.network54.com/Hide/Forum/message?forumid=243612&messageid=1073965472
"I have no problems with learning code. I get stuck after I work on
about 5-10 letters.
I cannot go any further. I'm stuck. I get a mental block."

Can you say contradiction?

2772. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:50:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IuA2f.1164$y14.968@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120598

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> They valued warfare higher.
>

As someone who has "been there, done that" I can assure you that nobody values warfare except arms vendors.

Warfare is perceived (rightly or wrongly) as a method to OBTAIN some thing or some result of value.

> JFK needed something that looked good to
> counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and
> the Cuban missile crisis.

"Been there, done that, got the medals both times". The only critics of the results of the "Cuban missile crisis" wore poorly fitting suits and drank lots of vodka.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2773. Re: Homer Simpson?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:51:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128977515.521976.236980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Looks like a big "D'oh!" goes to Wayne.....lol

2774. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:53:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128977620.322997.15540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120600

Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?

2775. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:54:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128977684.924059.278700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120601

Cry, you child abuser, cry.

2776. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 20:58:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128977919.281423.283300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120602

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > STFU, child abuser!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > gee is your keyboard broken?
> > > > >
> > > > > Your malfuctioning single inferior brain cell that you have left firing
> > > > > sure is, Marky.
> > > >
> > > > so you are completely lacking in knowledge of anatomy too that is too
> > > > bad little boy
> > >
> > > No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
> > > girl.
> >
> > I am not a girl, there would be nothing wrong with it if i was , but it
> > simply not the case
> >
> > you lack basic understanding

You lack intelligence and understanding of sarcasm.

> > and try to substitute your delsuion
> > behavoir for it

You ARE a little girl, Marky. You act and conduct yourself like one.

> > It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.
> >
> > more delsuional thinking little boy

No, again, you just proved me right.

2777. LLoyd Davies confesses: I'M A COCKROACH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:00:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128978056.467703.194790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120603

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> Ir hunt dangerous cockroach

"Ir"
"cockraoch" instead of "cockroaches"....Mongolloyd makes good sentence!

I'm sure you have plenty of opportunities to hunt cockraoches in that
filthy HUD apartment, Davies.

2778. Re: LLoyd Davies confesses: I'M A COCKROACH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:02:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128978141.628919.146440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120604

typo: "cockroaches"

Now dance, Lardass, because I corrected my typo, BITCH!

2779. Lloyd Davies confesses: I'M A COCKROACH HUNTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:03:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1128976496.957236.5740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120605

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> Ir hunt dangerous cockroach

"Ir"
"cockroach" (instead of cockroaches)

Mongolloyd Davies makes goos sentence! You have plenty of opportunities to hunt cockroaches in that filthy HUD apartment fatass! Of course, you'll look for a free meal anytime, won't you fatass?

2780. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:10:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128978634.675428.268770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120606

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel

but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie

a philophocal that is most likely above your ability to understand but
I know others about can

2781. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:12:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MOA2f.11949$vw6.10842@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128905340.683731.121700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128875477.405983.17590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
>> >> testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."
>> >
>> > maybe but that does not alter the facts
>> >
>> > Dan and you advocate vilence against those that are different
>> >
>> > meaning they advocate criminal behavoir
>> >
>>
>> No No Markie.....only against YOU.
>
> which does not the change the fact you have called for Criminal
> activity
>
> that you advocate violence as a "solution" to those that don't conform
> to your standards
>
>> You need your attitude
>> adjustment......anyone disagree?
>
> now you go on to advocate mob action (or lynch mobs as theyd say in
> your neck of the woods)
>
>
>> Of course they don't.
>
> Doesn't matter Now you are claiming that Minnoities don't have basic
> rights
>
>
>
>> You see Markie you
>> are a piece of garbage that needs flushed.
>>

>> Not just an opinion.....a pure and simple fact.
>
>
> nope just opinion from you facts form me
>
> not that you are man to face up to what you advocate
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

You are such a weenie Markie. You have NO IDEA who your coming up against, not just me BTW. You are LUCKY we ALL consider you a little baby in a grown mans body. Or I assure you that your attitude would change on a one and one encounter.

The ONLY reason you are ACTING the tough guy, and I do mean ACTING, is you think you are out of reach. Well your not.

Take that any way you want wannabe tough guy. You don't worry me one little bit. You are nothing but a big mouth, morally corrupt child trying to act like a grown up in the real world. You are not even close. Stay home with your parents. If you ever come out and play you will get your arse kicked just for breathing.

Dan/W4NTI

2782. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:12:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128978738.737493.51510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120608

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > STFU, child abuser!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > gee is your keyboard broken?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Your malfuctioning single inferior brain cell that you have left firing
> > > > > > sure is, Marky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > so you are completely lacking in knowledge of anatomy too that is too
> > > > > bad little boy
> > > >
> > > No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
> > > girl.
> > >
> > I am not a girl, there would be nothing wrong with it if i was , but it
> > simply not the case
> >
> > you lack basic understanding
>
> You lack intelligence...
nope

>.. and understanding of sarcasm.

sarcasm as a humor of humor would beed to be funny in some way
>
> > and try to substitute your delsuion
> > behavoir for it
>
> You ARE a little girl, Marky.

No I am not

> You act and conduct yourself like one.

In your bigoted opinion possibly
>
> > > It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.
> >
> > more delsuional thinking little boy

>
> No, again, you just proved me right.

not at all little boy

2783. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:13:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dQA2f.11951$vw6.1645@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:e349f$4349d0bc$4e0dc16$10726@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128905340.683731.121700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1128875477.405983.17590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> You don't know how things work, Marky, the rest of the barracks would
>>> >> testify that you "slipped on a bar of soap."
>>> >
>>> > maybe but that does not alter the facts
>>> >
>>> > Dan and you advocate vilence against those that are different
>>> >
>>> > meaning they advocate criminal behavoir
>>> >
>>>
>>> No No Markie.....only against YOU.
>>
>> which does not the change the fact you have called for Criminal
>> activity
>>
>> that you advocate violence as a "solution" to those that don't conform
>> to your standards
>>
>>> You need your attitude
>>> adjustment......anyone disagree?
>>
>> now you go on to advocate mob action (or lynch mobs as theyd say in
>> your neck of the woods)
>>
>>
>>> Of course they don't.
>>
>> Doesn't matter Now you are claiming that Minnoities don't have basic
>> rights
>>
>>
>>

>>> You see Markie you
>>> are a piece of garbage that needs flushed.
>>>
>>> Not just an opinion.....a pure and simple fact.
>>
>>
>> nope just opinion from you facts form me
>>
>> not that you are man to face up to what you advocate
>>
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> What the hell are "Minnoities" ???
> Dan, are you claiming that "Minnoities" don't have basic rights ?
> ROTFLMAO
>
> Ace - WH2T

That's right ACE, I hate thase dang "Minnoities".

Dan/W4NTI

>

2784. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:13:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128978830.211050.285830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120610

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cry, you child abuser, cry.

again you claim I am child abuser and yet you stand by and do nothing

call or give it up

only you and Stevie (assuming you are not Stevie) are dumb enough to
continue calling me an child abuser, even Dan is bright enough to drop
it

2785. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:15:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vRA2f.11952$vw6.10352@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128905373.584976.184560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128810144.415795.63990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
>> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128644099.175959.81320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:FIWdnfwmU8NIENnenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> >> >> >
>> >> Snipped
>> >> >>
>> >> >> A candidate for a barracks party if I ever saw one.
>> >> >
>> >> > again you so quickly go to suggesting violence
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Markie,
>> >> I think Dan was just saying that if you had been in the military you
>> >> would
>> >> have quickly received a much needed attitude adjustment.
>> >
>> > he was syaing I would have recieved one he considered neccassry but
>> > you, me and Dan also know that what is being bloodlessly described as
>> > an "attitude adjustment" is violent assault
>> >
>> > a Felony in the civilan world and a courtmarial offense in the military
>> >> Ace - WH2T
>> >
>>
>> I would venture to bet, and I'm not a betting man..,.unless it is a sure
>> thing (hi hi), that in ANY GROUP Markie came within ten feet of, and
>> opened
>> his mouth for only ten seconds. It would be a unanimous yes vote.
>
> then pay up

>
That was your feeble attempt at Junior High School humor, right? Did you learn that in the line for home economics class?

Dan/W4NTI

2786. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:16:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xSA2f.11953$vw6.11682@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I tend to agree with that. Before they start acting out on what they
"THINK" is real. Sort of Like Jeffery Dauber and the Son of Sam.

Dan/W4NTI
"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:gIn2f.31047$tD4.28580@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>I think basic rights should be denied to moronic retards. They need to be
>institutionalized.
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:y4T0f.9675$QE1.7330@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> >
>>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > BDK wrote:
>>>> >> >> In article
>>>> >> >> <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>>>> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> > cut
>>>> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on
>>>> >> >> > such
>>>> >> >> > bands
>>>> >> >> > >
>>>> >> >> > > BDK
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the
>>>> >> >> Liberty
>>>> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers crying
>>>> >> >> about

>>>> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old
>>>> >> >> puckered up
>>>> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear them
>>>> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of Ham
>>>> >> > radio"
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> BDK
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
>>>> >> discussion
>>>> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
>>>> >
>>>> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
>>>> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>>> >
>>>> That's the point AOF, you don't.
>>>
>>> ah but I do I have the same rights as you do
>>>
>>> One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly would
>>> not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it
>>>
>>> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
>>> of the rest of us
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>> Your so-called rights STOP at my nose. I have the right not to be
>> annoyed by the likes of you, child brain, ignorant of basic facts about
>> ham radio. And too stupid to learn.
>>
>> Now go away and suck your thumb.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

2787. to whiny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:16:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128978983.389648.222420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120613

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Lloyd Davies wrote:
> > Let me tell you something, I've been to both
> > prison and the nut house
>
>
cut

> that he is aware that he's a total fuck-up, and a grossly obese,
> retarded pig with a misshapen head. Soon, Lloyd will either die from
> heart failure, or he will suffer serious complications due to his
> obesity. One thing for certain, Lloyd will never live to see 45. He
> only has 15 years left of life. And the next 15 years will be his
> deterioration. The remaining years of Lloyd's life won't be pleasant.
> And because he is such a fucking loser, he will be spending his
> remaining years all alone, in a small apartment, surrounded by niggers,
> spics & and whole slew of other societal rejects.

ah yes the race card

I do want you to know how scary it is to be called a child abuser by a
racist Piece of Shit

2788. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:18:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IUA2f.11954$vw6.3430@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128961159.667710.71070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > BDK wrote:
>> >> >> >> In article
>> >> >> >> <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>> >> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> > cut
>> >> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on
>> >> >> >> > such
>> >> >> >> > bands
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > BDK
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the
>> >> >> >> Liberty
>> >> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers
>> >> >> >> crying
>> >> >> >> about
>> >> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old
>> >> >> >> puckered
>> >> >> >> up
>> >> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear
>> >> >> >> them
>> >> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>> >> >> >
```

>> >> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of
>> >> >> > Ham
>> >> >> > radio"
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> BDK
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
>> >> >> discussion
>> >> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
>> >> >
>> >> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
>> >> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >> >
>> >> That's the point AOF, you don't.
>> >
>> > ah but I do I have the same rights as you do
>> >
>> > One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly would
>> > not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it
>> >
>> > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
>> > of the rest of us
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> Your so-called rights STOP at my nose.
>
> agreed you got this part right
>
>> I have the right not to be annoyed
>> by the likes of you, child brain, ignorant of basic facts about ham
>> radio.
>
> but you blow it here
>
> you do not have the right not to be annoyed
>
> you have the right not to read any I or anyone else writes but not to
> regulate what we write or wether we write something that annoys you
>
>> And too stupid to learn.
>
> you are just being you ignorant self smething you have a right be, if
> you are fool enough to choose it
>>
>> Now go away and suck your thumb.

>
> they you are pusing it I have the same right to be here and post as you
> do
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Hey group.././.Could someone translate this last attemp at communication from Markie? Especially the last part.....what the hell is "pussing" ???????

Dan/W4NTI

2789. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:26:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <q0B2f.12931$q1.5290@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128905574.299905.305910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>
> gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> idiot in your eyes
>

No Markie...just YOU. You can't even write a sentence that makes sense.
Thus you are impossible to argue with.....because...???.....That's right
Markie because you can't be understood.

But I'll keep trying...I like watching you jump up and down like a Mexican
jumping bean... No rime, no reason...just jumping.

> shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
> rule of law
>
I fought for Constitution of this country. I fought for your RIGHT to show
your IGNORANCE on a daily basis.

What did you put your life on the line for Markey? Don't bother...I already
know.

> those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves
>

Boy are you out of touch...No surprise there, eh folks? Our so called
rights have been going away for a long time now.

But that's another subject which I dang sure don't want to get involved in
with you. Your already too confused about a simple thing as your toe being
on fire.

> you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS
>

And what have YOU done for Amateur Radio Markie? Just a short list please,
because we all know that is all you got.....Oh let me save you the trouble.

1. You passed the simplest test in Amateur Radio, The Technician NO CODE.

2. You refuse to learn Morse Code to upgrade.

3. You have decided to spend most of your time on this group blaming everyone for your inadequencies.

That about covers the basics.

Dan/W4NTI

2790. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:36:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <f9B2f.12939$q1.7551@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128971908.580737.283850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> KY4Z wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
>> >
>> > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
>> > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
>>
>> Saying anything that an_old_idiot doesn't agree with is 'violating his
>> rights'.
>
> not at all
>
> but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
>

No its not

> I even defend the rights of you and Stevie even Dan when he is right,
> as vile as you are
>

Anyone notice how he keeps ranting about alleged threats of violence? My opinion of him being a child needs modified.

He is a MAMA's BOY. A wimp, A loser, a drop out of life BEFORE he even played the game.

Maybe we should leave him alone. He might slap himself with a fly swatter or something.

Dan/W4NTI

2791. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 21:39:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <YbB2f.12940$q1.8578@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128971758.004092.219180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I knew Stevie could not keep out something like this for long
>
> KY4Z wrote:
>> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> > > You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you
>> > > "trust" told
>> > > you.
>> >
>> > realy going off the deep end Danny boy
>>
>> "Really"
>>
>> > > In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.
>> >
>> > realy delusional Dan
>>
>> "Really"
>>
>> > > Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?
>> >
>> > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
>> > idiot in your eyes
>>
>> A M E R I C A.
>>
>> > shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
>> > rule of law
>>
>> "principles"
>>
>> Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
>>
>> There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
>> rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
>
> wrong again but then you are almost always wrong
>
> it is a violation of a persons civil rights to advocate violence
> against them

> Dan has sai plainly that I see that I lack any rights at all, let
> alone the same as himself
>
> both are plainly at varriance with the Constitution of the US
>

Perhaps it would be better if you actually had read the Constitution. It is available on line.

Then go read the First ten Ammendments, usually refered to as the "Bill of Rights".

After that you can come back and ammend your statement of what you understand.

This could get interesting folks.

<snip>

Dan/W4NTI

2792. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:23:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gRB2f.9286$oc.1302@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120618

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>>.. and understanding of sarcasm.
>
> sarcasm as a humor of humor would beed to be funny in some way

Mark,

Sarcasm has nothing whatsoever to do with humor. Nothing. Sarcasm is mockery
or ridicule.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2793. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:32:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128983571.210404.225680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128905340.683731.121700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
> > nope just opinion from you facts form me
> >
> > not that you are man to face up to what you advocate
> >
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> You are such a weenie Markie. You have NO IDEA who your coming up against,
> not just me BTW.

I know exactly what I am up against

> You are LUCKY we ALL consider you a little baby in a grown
> mans body. Or I assure you that your attitude would change on a one and one
> encounter.

more threats of Violence little boy
>
> The ONLY reason you are ACTING the tough guy, and I do mean ACTING, is you
> think you are out of reach. Well your not.

I know I am out the reach of anything you bring to bear, unless you
choose to break the law, in which case I am prepared for you in the
unlikely effect you are serious

The only reason you dare to (try) such bulling is that you know you are
basicaly out of My reach

>
> Take that any way you want wannabe tough guy. You don't worry me one little
> bit.

and yet you make threats of violence. I simply don't believe you

I worry quite bit on at least some level

> You are nothing but a big mouth, morally corrupt child trying to act
> like a grown up in the real world. You are not even close. Stay home with
> your parents. If you ever come out and play you will get your arse kicked
> just for breathing.

more threats

>
> Dan/W4NTI

2794. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:34:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128983681.458394.194540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128905373.584976.184560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut

> >> >> Markie,
> >> >> I think Dan was just saying that if you had been in the military you
> >> >> would
> >> >> have quickly received a much needed attitude adjustment.
> >> >
> >> > he was syaing I would have recieved one he considered neccassry but
> >> > you, me and Dan also know that what is being bloodlessly described as
> >> > an "attitude adjustment" is violent assault
> >> >
> >> > a Felony in the civilan world and a courtmarial offense in the military
> >> >> Ace - WH2T
> >> >
> >>
> >> I would venture to bet, and I'm not a betting man..,.unless it is a sure
> >> thing (hi hi), that in ANY GROUP Markie came within ten feet of, and
> >> opened
> >> his mouth for only ten seconds. It would be a unanimous yes vote.
> >
> > then pay up
> >
> That was your feeble attempt at Junior High School humor, right? Did you
> learn that in the line for home economics class?

nope It was pointing out the fact you are lying

even here in RRAP this vote would not be unanimous vote

you are blow hard

very brave becuase you know you are basicaly out of reach
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2795. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:35:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128983726.802847.322260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120621

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> >> We import a large percentage of a lot of stuff, both raw material and
> >> finished goods. Coffee. Rubber. Titanium. Tin. Wolfram. Textiles.
> >> Clothing. And, yes, even oil.
>
> > Yup. Some of that isn't a good idea.
>
> Which part is a "not good" idea? Why?

When we become too dependent on imports of things that are not easily replaced, so that disruptions in the import process cause major upheavals in our economy.

When the importing causes us to transfer large amounts of hard currency to people who may then use it against us.

Example: The USA imported large amounts of oil from Iraq back in the 1980s. Which gave the dictator of that country the ability to buy lots of weapons and build up a large military. Said dictator then used said military to invade and devastate a neighboring country from which we also imported large amounts of oil. A war was then fought to stop the dictator's expansion.

Said dictator also perpetrated a long string of human rights violations against his own people and his neighbors.

Was it a good idea for the USA to import oil from that country back in the 1980s?
>
> >> We also export to other countries a large percentage of their needs.
> >> Food (wheat/soy/corn/meat/dairy products). Lumber. Technology.
> >> Education. Medicine.
>
> > And again - some of that isn't a good idea.
>
> Whic part is a "not good" idea? Why?

When the exporting causes us to empower people who may then use our exports against us.

The example of the USA exporting raw materials and finished goods to Japan in the 1930s is one example.

Another is how the USA supported extremists in Afghanistan during the 1980s because they opposed the existing regime, which was closely

allied to the Soviet Union. Those extremists were called "freedom fighters" at the time. But when the Soviets left and the existing regime fell, the "freedom fighters" established a regime that was even more repressive (by our standards, anyway). That regime made the country a training ground for extremists who went on to attack the USA.

Was it a good idea for the USA to export technology, training and weapons to Afghanistan back in the 1980s?

> >.... These systems were so extensive that about 100 years
> >ago, a traveler documented a trip from New York City to
> >Chicago that used trolley lines for more than 90% of the
> >distance.
>
> I can still ride a train from New York to Chicago.

But you need a car for shorter trips. And that's not the point, anyway.

The point is that there was once an extensive system of trolley and interurban lines in the USA, much of which is long gone. At its peak in 1915, there were more than 15,000 miles of such lines in the USA.

> I'd rather fly. (I doubt
> the " 90% trolley line" story is true.)

It's true. The trip was made in 1909 by J.S. Moulton of New York City. It is documented in "Railway Quarterly", winter issue, 1982.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2796. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:35:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128983747.812990.200990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128971908.580737.283850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> KY4Z wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
> >> >
> >> > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> >> > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
> >>
> >> Saying anything that an_old_idiot doesn't agree with is 'violating his
> >> rights'.
> >
> > not at all
> >
> > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
> >
>
> No its not
>
> > I even defend the rights of you and Stevie even Dan when he is right,
> > as vile as you are
> >
>
> Anyone notice how he keeps ranting about alleged threats of violence? My
> opinion of him being a child needs modified.

well you have been making threats for days
>
> He is a MAMA's BOY. A wimp, A loser, a drop out of life BEFORE he even
> played the game.
>
> Maybe we should leave him alone. He might slap himself with a fly swatter
> or something.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2797. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:40:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128984041.985563.25420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128905574.299905.305910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> >
> > > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> > > idiot in your eyes
> > >
> >
> > No Markie...just YOU.

proving my point.

you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
equal

> You can't even write a sentence that makes sense.
> Thus you are impossible to argue with.....because...???.....That's right
> Markie because you can't be understood.

which even if true, doesn't affect the fact I have the same rights as
you do
>
> But I'll keep trying...I like watching you jump up and down like a Mexican
> jumping bean... No rime, no reason...just jumping.
>
> > shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
> > rule of law
> >
> I fought for Constitution of this country. I fought for your RIGHT to show
> your IGNORANCE on a daily basis.
>
> What did you put your life on the line for Markey? Don't bother...I already
> know.

no you don't know clearly. It is quite clear you don't know what the
nation is or what it stands for

>
> > those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves
> >
>

> Boy are you out of touch...No surprise there, eh folks? Our so called
> rights have been going away for a long time now.

in part becuase of folks exactly like yourself

>
> But that's another subject which I dang sure don't want to get involved in
> with you.

then don't no one is making you

> Your already too confused about a simple thing as your toe being
> on fire.

my toe is not on fire

>
>
> > you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS
> >
>
> And what have YOU done for Amateur Radio Markie? Just a short list please,
> because we all know that is all you got.....Oh let me save you the trouble.
>
> 1. You passed the simplest test in Amateur Radio, The Technician NO CODE.

wrong I did pass too test for it

>
> 2. You refuse to learn Morse Code to upgrade.

wrong again

>
> 3. You have decided to spend most of your time on this group blaming
> everyone for your inadequencies.

never ever done that

>
> That about covers the basics.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2798. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:43:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128984185.314514.37750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128971758.004092.219180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I knew Stevie could not keep out something like this for long
cut
> > wrong again but then you are almost always wrong
> >
> > it is a violation of a persons civil rights to advocate violence
> > against them
> > Dan has sai plainly that I see that I lack any rights at all, let
> > alone the same as himself
> >
> > both are plainly at varriance with the Constitution of the US
> >
>
> Perhaps it would be better if you actually had read the Constitution. It is
> available on line.

I have read it

>
> Then go read the First ten Ammendments, usually refered to as the "Bill of
> Rights".

read them too

>
> After that you can come back and ammend your statement of what you
> understand.

amend how?

you are hate monger

you refuse to reconize that I have the same rights as your yourself

you advocate crime

you threaten physcial violence because I dare disagree with you

>
> This could get interesting folks.

nah more of the boring shit we see when the porcoders some out to play

>
> <snip>

>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2799. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:46:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1128984392.455123.298340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120625

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > They valued warfare higher.
>
> As someone who has "been there, done that" I can assure you that nobody values
> warfare except arms vendors.

> Warfare is perceived (rightly or wrongly) as a method to OBTAIN some thing or
> some result of value.

Well said!

> > JFK needed something that looked good to
> > counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and
> > the Cuban missile crisis.
>
> "Been there, done that, got the medals both times". The only critics of the
> results of the "Cuban missile crisis" wore poorly fitting suits and drank lots
> of vodka.

Not the results but that the whole thing happened in the first place.

IIRC, the Soviets were ticked off about the placement of Jupiter-C
IRBMs in Turkey. Of course Turkey was and is a NATO country. Moscow's
objection to the IRBMs was that they could hit targets inside the
Soviet Union in minutes, and were virtually impossible to stop,
compared to conventional bombers. They demanded that the IRBMs be
removed, and of course NATO refused - even though the Jupiters were
becoming outdated by ICBMs and submarine-launched missiles.

So the Soviets retaliated by trying to install similar IRBMs in Cuba.
Fortunately the preparations were discovered and their plans thwarted.

But what was kept rather quiet is that some months after the Soviets
backed down from installing their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were
quietly removed from Turkey.

And a "hot-line" was installed between Washington and Moscow so that
things could be discussed more directly by the leaders of the two
countries, and their representatives.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2800. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:50:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128984642.040954.320300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120626

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > I knew Stevie could not keep out something like this for long
> >
> Why not? You do it.

why? you claim I am not worth anything and yet you have to jump in
>
> > KY4Z didn't write:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > You would not believe your toe was on fire unless some one you "trust" told
> > > > > you.
> > > > >
> > > > realy going off the deep end Danny boy
> > > >
> > > "Really"
> > > >
> > > > > In your case...that would be no one. And your foot would burn off.
> > > > >
> > > > realy delusional Dan
> > > >
> > > "Really"
> > > >
> > > > > Don't you see how idiotic you sound Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> > > > idiot in your eyes
> > > >
> > > A M E R I C A.
> > > >
> > > > shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
> > > > rule of law
> > > >
> > > "principles"
> > > >
> > > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
> > > >
> > > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> > > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
> > >
> > wrong again but then you are almost always wrong
> >

> Nope.
>
> Dan did not break a single law in any of his comments.

yes he did

he advcoated violence against me
>
> > it is a violation of a persons civil rights to advocate violence
> > against them
>
> You really are on a path of self delusion, aren't you, Mark?

nope but if it is more comforting to you to believe of course that is
your right

>
> > Dan has sai plainly that I see that I lack any rights at all, let
> > alone the same as himself
> >
> > both are plainly at varriance with the Constitution of the US
>
> "variance"
>
> His speaking HIS opinion is not one iota different than your
> bragging about your deceit and deviance, Mark.

it is when he talking about geting violent about it

you (nor he) can not advocate violence without violating the law

>
> The Constitution does NOT only protect liars and cheats such as
> yourself, you know

it even portects you

til you start making threats

!
>
> > you being often guilty of them same thing though i can see where you
> > would likely be too blind to see that
>
> You're the one with clarity issues, Mark.

I see them clearly

you don't know what reality is

>
> > > > those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves
> > >
> > > > you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS

> > >
> > > "hate"
> > >
> > > As opposed to what YOU represent, Mark....?!?!
> >
> > a defender of the fredoms of myself and others
>
> "freedoms"
>
> NOW MARK IS A "FREEDOM FIGHTER!"....

yes I am

>
cut
> > a foe of Hate mongers
>
> Uh huh!

eaxact
>
> > not bad
>
> Yes, Mark, you're "bad".

No I am not

> Lying is bad.

no it isn't, It can be bad it can be good

> Deceit is bad.

again nope

> Perversion and deviance IS "bad".

not at all

it is called freedom

Freedom is concept you don't beleive in

It is interesting how the Biggest Procoders are also the greatest foes
of Freedom in the Newsgruop

I do you thank you and Dave and Dan for helping in keeping that plainly
in focus.

>
> > > You're an acknowledged, proven and admitted liar. Everything else
> > > you say from there on out is worthless, unless it's "I'm sorry and I
> > > will seek help before it's forced upon me."
> >
> > only from here on out? realy how generous of you

>
> "really"
>
> > yet plainly it is all worth somethin gto you and to Dan and the
> > "Comander" and whiny that you choose to spend you time flaming me for
> > it
>
> "Commander" "something" "your"
>
> I'm not "flaming" you, Mark.

sure are

>
> You do that to yourself.

nope
>
> And your only "net worth" here is comedy and diversion. You're my
> "punching bag" at the end of a tense day, and you are sooooooooooooooo
> accomodating!

which means I have value which means you have lied everytime you said I
had no value

>
> Steve, KY4Z

2801. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:53:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128984792.365901.140440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120627

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > > They valued warfare higher.
> >
> > As someone who has "been there, done that" I can assure you that nobody values
> > warfare except arms vendors.
>
> > Warfare is perceived (rightly or wrongly) as a method to OBTAIN some thing or
> > some result of value.
>
> Well said!
>
> > > JFK needed something that looked good to
> > > counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and
> > > the Cuban missile crisis.
> >
> > "Been there, done that, got the medals both times". The only critics of the
> > results of the "Cuban missile crisis" wore poorly fitting suits and drank lots
> > of vodka.
>
> Not the results but that the whole thing happened in the first place.
>
> IIRC, the Soviets were ticked off about the placement of Jupiter-C
> IRBMs in Turkey. Of course Turkey was and is a NATO country. Moscow's
> objection to the IRBMs was that they could hit targets inside the
> Soviet Union in minutes, and were virtually impossible to stop,
> compared to conventional bombers. They demanded that the IRBMs be
> removed, and of course NATO refused - even though the Jupiters were
> becoming outdated by ICBMs and submarine-launched missiles.
>
> So the Soviets retaliated by trying to install similar IRBMs in Cuba.
> Fortunately the preparations were discovered and their plans thwarted.
>
> But what was kept rather quiet is that some months after the Soviets
> backed down from installing their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were
> quietly removed from Turkey.

bullshit Jim

every movie or account of those days mentions it

and that the Jupiters were obsolete and scheduled for withdraw

and the Kendy had ordered their withdraw several time
>
> And a "hot-line" was installed between Washington and Moscow so that
> things could be discussed more directly by the leaders of the two
> countries, and their representatives.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2802. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 22:57:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128985035.878725.128890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120628

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >>.. and understanding of sarcasm.
> >
> > sarcasm as a humor of humor would beed to be funny in some way
>
> Mark,
>
> Sarcasm has nothing whatsoever to do with humor. Nothing. Sarcasm is mockery
> or ridicule.

I continue to disagree with you

however even if I agreed then the remark would have to mocking or
ridiculing in some way

It is ridiculous but hardly ridicule just silly calling me a girl

indeed if I could wave my magic I would not mind being a gal at least
for awhile
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2803. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-10 23:43:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <M0D2f.9090$zQ3.2841@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120629

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> bullshit Jim

> every movie or account of those days ....

I don't know what they taught you as a Colonel in the Chemical Corps, but I was there on the blockade line (didn't watch some sensational movie version) and Jim speaks truly.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2804. Re: Need 73's article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 00:20:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <DrHam@drhampsychiatrist.org>
Message-ID: <dif0bqgmr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jornada680e" <jornada680e@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128984288.579504.125710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Too bad '73' isn't on CD like 'Ham Radio' and 'QST'. I suggested it to
> that old fart Wayne Green a couple of years ago. He said it sounded
> like a good idea but at his age he probably forgot the comment as soon
> as he blinked his eye.
>

Oh you can be sure he heard and evaluated your suggestion.
Telling you it is a good idea is smart business practice, make
the person feel good. Then, anywhere from one second to
much later, he simply evaluated the idea, then farted you off.

73 & good luck in the contest,

Dr Ham

2805. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 00:31:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TJD2f.1306$y14.464@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120631

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>
>>>.. and understanding of sarcasm.
>>
>>sarcasm as a humor of humor would beed to be funny in some way
>
>
> Mark,
>
> Sarcasm has nothing whatsoever to do with humor. Nothing. Sarcasm is mockery
> or ridicule.

But is it truly a humor of humor? I beed to know.

Dave K8MN

2806. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 00:37:13 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <95daf$434b0922$4e0b7ba$20317@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Snipped

>>
>> Now go away and suck your thumb.
>
> they you are pusing it I have the same right to be here and post as you
> do
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

WTF is A_O_F babbling about now?
I have rights
I have rights
I have rights
I have rights
I have rights
BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

Ace - WH2T

2807. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 00:43:06 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <8d35f$434b0a83$4e0b7ba$20341@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 120633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128984185.314514.37750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:

Snipped

>
>>
>> This could get interesting folks.
>
> nah more of the boring shit we see when the porcoders some out to play
>
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
Well, I guess I'm a "porcoder" and I've "some out to play"
LOL
Ace - WH2T

2808. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 00:49:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x_D2f.9374$oc.1268@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120634

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>>>We import a large percentage of a lot of stuff, both raw material and
>>>>finished goods. Coffee. Rubber. Titanium. Tin. Wolfram. Textiles.
>>>>Clothing. And, yes, even oil.
>>
>>>Yup. Some of that isn't a good idea.
>>
>>Which part is a "not good" idea? Why?
>
>
> When we become too dependent on imports of things that are not easily
> replaced, so that disruptions in the import process cause major
> upheavals in our economy.
>
> When the importing causes us to transfer large amounts of hard currency
> to people who may then use it against us.

We'd be hard pressed to come up with sufficient quantities of titanium ore. We have some in Arkansas but major suppliers are Switzerland, Brazil and some parts of Africa Sierra Leone is a major exporter of rutile.

While some chromium is mined in the U.S., it'd be tough for us to have much of it without giving money to the Russians, Indians or the South Africans. They have the world's largest supplies.

When our sources for such minerals are depleted, we can obtain them by either 1) buying them or 2) taking them.

Dave K8MN

2809. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 01:30:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZAE2f.1330$y14.1086@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120635

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:TJD2f.1306$y14.464@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>>.. and understanding of sarcasm.
>>>
>>>sarcasm as a humor of humor would beed to be funny in some way
>>
>>
>> Mark,
>>
>> Sarcasm has nothing whatsoever to do with humor. Nothing. Sarcasm is
>> mockery or ridicule.
>
> But is it truly a humor of humor? I beed to know.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave,

there was a rumor of humor if you really beed to no bud id wusnt funny in sum
weigh and wsa conspiring two take aweigh demucritical rights enyway.

2810. Re: Need 73's article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 01:47:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1128995237.776135.281420@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
> "Jornada680e" <jornada680e@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128984288.579504.125710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Too bad '73' isn't on CD like 'Ham Radio' and 'QST'. I suggested it to
> > that old fart Wayne Green a couple of years ago. He said it sounded
> > like a good idea but at his age he probably forgot the comment as soon
> > as he blinked his eye.
> >
>
>
> Oh you can be sure he heard and evaluated your suggestion.
> Telling you it is a good idea is smart business practice, make
> the person feel good. Then, anywhere from one second to
> much later, he simply evaluated the idea, then farted you off.
>
> 73 & good luck in the contest,
>
> Dr Ham

73? Is that still around?

2811. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 01:56:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128995778.183470.279480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dr.Ace wrote:
> Snipped
>
> >>
> >> Now go away and suck your thumb.
> >
> > they you are pusing it I have the same right to be here and post as you
> > do
> >
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> WTF is A_O_F babbling about now?
> I have rights

indeed you do have rights

as do I
> I have rights
> I have rights
> I have rights
> I have rights
> BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
> Ace - WH2T

2812. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 02:03:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128996218.844486.199540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120638

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > bullshit Jim
>
> > every movie or account of those days ....
>
> I don't know what they taught you as a Colonel in the Chemical Corps, but I was
> there on the blockade line (didn't watch some sensational movie version) and Jim
> speaks truly.

no he doesn't

all the accounts of the matter make clear that we traded without
appearing to trade the obselete jupiter bases

Try "Missles of Oct" or "13 days" but I have never seen any historical
or fictionalized account of those days that does not deal with that
trade

and assuming you were on the blockade line (I don't know but will give
you the benifit of the doubt) that would be the last place to learn of
such things

it was kept quiet for a time (a few years) but I have known of the
Jupiters and their trade off since I was 4 or 5 years old or put
another way Under president Nixon in effect the next presidental term
to follow JFK, the event happened before I was born but I learn ed of
the crisis and the trade off at the same time in my youth

USA Chemical corps never mentions the misslis of OCT or the cuban
missle crisis at all in training
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

2813. Re: Need 73's article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 02:05:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128996306.730272.215550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
> > "Jornada680e" <jornada680e@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128984288.579504.125710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > Too bad '73' isn't on CD like 'Ham Radio' and 'QST'. I suggested it to
> > > that old fart Wayne Green a couple of years ago. He said it sounded
> > > like a good idea but at his age he probably forgot the comment as soon
> > > as he blinked his eye.
> > >
> >
> >
> > Oh you can be sure he heard and evaluated your suggestion.
> > Telling you it is a good idea is smart business practice, make
> > the person feel good. Then, anywhere from one second to
> > much later, he simply evaluated the idea, then farted you off.
> >
> > 73 & good luck in the contest,
> >
> > Dr Ham
>
> 73? Is that still around?

sadly it seem not Wayne was a nut but he made decent reading

2814. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 02:11:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128996675.765694.167930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120640

ah Dave been wondered where youd wandered off to
Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >>>.. and understanding of sarcasm.
> >>
> >>sarcasm as a humor of humor would beed to be funny in some way
> >
> >
> > Mark,
> >
> > Sarcasm has nothing whatsoever to do with humor. Nothing. Sarcasm is mockery
> > or ridicule.
>
> But is it truly a humor of humor? I beed to know.

well with your mind I am sure it will remain a mystery to you (prehaps
for ever)
>
> Dave K8MN

2815. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 02:36:35 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72>
Source record: 120641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128984041.985563.25420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128905574.299905.305910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > >
> > > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> > > idiot in your eyes
> > >
> >
> > No Markie...just YOU.
>
> proving my point.
>
> you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> equal
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Only under the eyes of the law.....
```

2816. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 02:40:18 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <mCF2f.418745$x96.86723@attbi_s72>
Source record: 120642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128983747.812990.200990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128971908.580737.283850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >> KY4Z wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >
> > >> > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
> > >> >
> > >> > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> > >> > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
> > >>
> > >> Saying anything that an_old_idiot doesn't agree with is 'violating his
> > >> rights'.
> > >
> > > not at all
> > >
> > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
would be locked up.
```

2817. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 02:53:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128999190.291274.65080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jeff (nospam) wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128983747.812990.200990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128971908.580737.283850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > >> KY4Z wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep end".
> > > >> >
> > > >> > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates ANYones
> > > >> > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
> > > >>
> > > >> Saying anything that an_old_idiot doesn't agree with is 'violating his
> > > >> rights'.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
> ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
> whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
> violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
> would be locked up.

not at all

the legal system has so broken down that the law is hardly enforced
doesn't change the law
```

2818. Re: I'M A COCKROACH HUNTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 03:00:56 UTC
From: violin <sexualunion4@fartlighters.com>
Message-ID: <4ed1e$434b2aee$d1cc7bba$21200@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 120644

"AB8MQ" <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote in message
news:ejalk11emnj4nv8hesfrhij1at6rott611@4ax.com...
> Ir hunt dangerous cockroach in the trailer parks
> of West Vagina with
> his side kick Chason!

Much easier to wave a $20, a fresh bottle of
Astroglide and a leering, come-hither type
smile that just shouts: " FREE ANUS!!! "

2819. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 03:02:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1128998997.686427.91240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120645

KY4Z wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > KY4Z wrote:
> > > Starting from the title:
cut
> > if you had asked I would have confirmed it for you
>
> I have asked.

I realy don't recal you ever asking for a catalog of the complaints
that have been filed against me over the years

Indeed I dod not know the full list since I am sure many of them have
never been reported to me

a partial list since you seem to be asking

early 90's it was reported that I had some kids over to the house in
order to use them as child scrafices at Beltane, IL DCFS investagted
becuase they had to I put them in contact with the chilrens family they
Mom dad and the kids had come visiting

varoious charges madeboiling down to since I was (and am)bisexual I
must also be a pedophile I realy don't know know many times I have seen
this varraint over the year more than 20 that I have been aware of

early this was accused of elder abuse no one ever said how I was
suppoed to be abusing my old man

late 90's someone (maybe you?) reporting that my aphasia symtoms were
proof I was at risk of suicide. I have seen many varriants of these
charges over the years

was reffered to the Army mental health systme since the CO found taht I
must be "pycho" since after a truely absurd day I sat down in my office
and allowed myself a good cry. that was kind fun ended getting 2 hours
away from the unit twice a week till the old CO left and the new one
took over(maybe 3-4 weeks), we had fun with ink blots and the rest. was
a restfull time

was again reffered to the army mental health system as my wife decided
to leave me, it was helpful and again got me away from the mindnumbing
experence that day to day life in the active army was for me

in my early teens was refffered by the school for eval by the specail
ed teacher who was also giveing me morse exams for through eval of LD

issues, result the dianosis of Dyslexia and the Dylesoid aphasia, and the beginging of the specail ed dept efforts to try and cram enough Morse Code into my head to pass the dammed test, they were not sucfessull, at this point in my life I was still fool enough to be very honest I should have allowed it when I was offered a faked morse code passing grade

but this is only a partial list Stevie One I don't bother remeber any one right now. Two becuase I am pretty sure a number of such charges were made, filed and forgotten with out a word to me

you accused me of paranoia wrt Social Services. that was and remains a load of shit. I would ahve to fear them for it to be paranoia. I have nothing but contempt for them. any one that follows the news and hear how in capple these agencies are at there mission (by seeing the reports fo stuff they have allowed to go on for year) should also hold them in distain, IMO

Since I laid down this gualtlet only you and ole whinnyslit (who may well be you as well, I don't know or much care) dare to continue such a line

2820. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 03:49:09 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <VCG2f.24704$HM1.18994@trnddc04>
Source record: 120646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > equal
> ----------
>
> Only under the eyes of the law.....

You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence, and it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the rights that we're all born with.

To whit:

"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just Powers from the consent of the governed, -- "

Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-, eh?

2821. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 04:00:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rNG2f.9243$zQ3.2826@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120647

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> and assuming you were on the blockade line (I don't know
> but will give you the benifit of the doubt) that would be the
> last place to learn of such things

I don't need any "benifit" of your doubt, especially since you weren't even a gleam in your daddy's eye yet. I was a Navy Radioman on the communications staff of the admiral in command of the blockade. In direct communications with Office of POTUS we knew, almost minute-by-minute, what was happening and the rationale behind it. None of the movie-makers were there, though. Whatever you learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a faint and distorted image of real life.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2822. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 04:06:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129003567.116041.204760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Honus wrote:
> "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
> >
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > > equal
> > ----------
> >
> > Only under the eyes of the law.....
>
> You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence, and
> it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the
> rights that we're all born with.

it is in the principle on which the constitution and its framer built
the govt of the USA
>
> To whit:
>
> "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal,
> that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that
> among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure
> these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just
> Powers from the consent of the governed, -- "
>
> Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> eh?

no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
SC

2823. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 04:19:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129004366.722638.275320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120649

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > and assuming you were on the blockade line (I don't know
> > but will give you the benifit of the doubt) that would be the
> > last place to learn of such things
>
> I don't need any "benifit" of your doubt, especially since you weren't even a
> gleam in your daddy's eye yet. I was a Navy Radioman on the communications
> staff of the admiral in command of the blockade. In direct communications with
> Office of POTUS we knew, almost minute-by-minute, what was happening and the
> rationale behind it. None of the movie-makers were there, though. Whatever you
> learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a faint and distorted image of real life.

not at all no one living in the times knows what was happening that is
simple fact indeed it is is only now that one would expect to know the
truth about those days, with the last of the security holds pretty much
expired. "History can never be truly known till at al least 50 years
after the fact" Dr Cutler professor of History as the preface to most
of his articles

Admiral Anderson had no real understanding of the presidents mind or
his intent, as he was out of the the real discison making loop as can
be clearly seen from the notes and of the various parties, POTUS did
not trust any of the chiefs esp Curtis Lemay, indeed I don't think he
was trusting the word judegement or descretion of anyone with a star in
his rank insignia

None of the chiefs were remotely in turn with the wishes of POTUS,
assuming you knew what the Admiral had in mind, you can't have known
what POTUS had in mind.

the movie makers had access to files and recolections of the people
calling the shots, and none of them but McNamarra spent any time in the
funny five sided building indeed you were just going on about how no
one knew at the time about the jupiter trade. I am quite certain Adm
Anderson knew nothing about it meaning that were not stating the turth
when you said "In direct communications with Office of POTUS we knew,
almost minute-by-minute, what was happening and the rationale" behind
it." a reasonable person can't expect to have both ways (but the word
reasonable let out most of the newsgroup of course) but we in the world
learned pretty quick perhaps 7 years later awfully fast for a "secert"
in those days
>
> Beep beep

> de Hans, K0HB

2824. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 04:38:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZkH2f.1396$y14.911@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120650

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> not at all no one living in the times knows
> what was happening ....
> ....History can never be truly known till at
> al least 50 years after the fact

What a humorous crock of shit! It isn't history when it's happening. It's real life.

> Admiral Anderson had no real understanding of the presidents mind ...

Admiral Anderson wasn't in charge of the quarantine. Admiral Alfred "Corky" Ward was. What you learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a legend in your own distorted mind.

End of conversation. I do not abide fools, even fools who served as draftee-Colonels in the non-existent "USA Chemical Corps", another figment of your "4 or 5 years old" mind.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2825. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 05:00:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <k_adnY0JgbWW2tbeRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120651

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>I'm not talking about overall economics, Hans. I'm talking about the US
>>importing a large percentage of its oil needs.
>>
>
>
> We import a large percentage of a lot of stuff, both raw material and finished
> goods. Coffee. Rubber. Titanium. Tin. Wolfram. Textiles. Clothing. And,
> yes, even oil.
>
> We also export to other countries a large percentage of their needs. Food
> (wheat/soy/corn/meat/dairy products). Lumber. Technology. Education.
> Medicine.
>
>
>
>>If you had to choose between fuel for some Escalade luvvin momma, and the fuel
>>for say our military to train with, who would ya choose?
>>
>
>
> I could ask a corresponding patronizing question about any of the other goods I
> mentioned.

Beats answering the question, eh?

> The point is that individuals here don't make that choice about oil any more
> than a citizen of Japan makes that choice about lumber when they want to build a
> new home. If the cost of oil goes too high, then Escalades will fall from favor
> and be replaced by and Vegas and Pintos. If the price of lumber gets too high,
> Japanese homes will be built from compressed rice straw or some other material.
>
> Has nothing to do with patriotism. Has to do with simple economics.
>
>
>>Look at the big picture.
>
>
> I do.

Feerd not. I'm talking about national defense, and you're talking about
the Japanese importing lumber. If you equate what I'm talking about with

that, well, have at it! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2826. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 05:14:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wJmdnSzzn_Wr19beRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120652

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>
>>>We import a large percentage of a lot of stuff, both raw material and
>>>finished goods. Coffee. Rubber. Titanium. Tin. Wolfram. Textiles.
>>>Clothing. And, yes, even oil.
>
>
>>Yup. Some of that isn't a good idea.
>
>
> Which part is a "not good" idea? Why?
>
>
>>>We also export to other countries a large percentage of their needs.
>>>Food (wheat/soy/corn/meat/dairy products). Lumber. Technology.
>>>Education. Medicine.
>
>
>>And again - some of that isn't a good idea.
>
>
> Whic part is a "not good" idea? Why?

The part where the countries we import from may become our enemies.
Hopefully in the next war, our enemies will sell us the gas, our
uniforms, and if we wait long enough, they might build our tanks and
planes for us! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2827. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 10:46:10 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, Bitch?" <jawnn_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129027570.179189.59080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fuck ups? You mean like these?

Uhhhhhh, yeah, I'm calling you about giving you a dick sucking
and all that..." Woger Wiseman blows his heterosexual cover and
admits his desire for the cock in afcbck$j0...@pita.alt.net

"Don't bring a knife to a gun fights you illarate fuck!"
Woger explains his battle plan in ^^^^^^^
9ujg6$h...@dosa.alt.net

"Dr. Seuss is above your reading level you. You fucker illerate"
kc8...@alt.net Woger demonstrates his superior sentence ^^^^^^
structure and spelling ability.

"...I never went to high school..."
Woger laments his lack of education in (a129t$i...@pita.alt.net)

Mehehe... Well, "grammer" sic boy, you definately have no room
to talk... (9viq9...@dosa.alt.net)

>
>

The Roger Wiseman Dictionary

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a losser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the
keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell
it.(leaves a
sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

2828. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 10:48:53 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, Bitch?" <jawnn_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129027733.781399.201460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120654
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Face it bitch, you are a fuck up.

Oh and BTW I am not Lloyd, stupid. Nor Geno, Chason, Ham, or any of the other names you've called me. Get a clue, moron.

Uhhhhhh, yeah, I'm calling you about giving you a dick sucking and all that..." Woger Wiseman blows his heterosexual cover and admits his desire for the cock in afcbck$j0...@pita.alt.net

"Don't bring a knife to a gun fights you illarate fuck!" Woger explains his battle plan in ^^^^^^^ 9ujg6$h...@dosa.alt.net

"Dr. Seuss is above your reading level you. You fucker illerate" kc8...@alt.net Woger demonstrates his superior sentence ^^^^^^ structure and spelling ability.

"...I never went to high school..." Woger laments his lack of education in (a129t$i...@pita.alt.net)

Mehehe... Well, "grammer" sic boy, you definately have no room to talk... (9viq9...@dosa.alt.net)

The Roger Wiseman Dictionary

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a losser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the
keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell
it.(leaves a
sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

2829. Re: Why can't I even pass the test or learn 5 wpm morse code??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 13:41:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129038087.239291.194720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Roger's Bitch? whined:
> Fuck ups? You mean like these?

"Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH" fucks up again when he shows he has long since crossed the border of stupidity and insanity in Message-ID: <1128905647.945449.156400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>: "Woger long since cross the border of insainity."

"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com> blathered after consuming sheep shit, nigger cum and a Colt .45 40-ouncer in <1120713041.224890.56600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>: "Too funny. Someone look "irony" up the the dictionary for our Woger."

Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>, shows off those Pulitzer Prize spelling and English skills in <1121151624.623671.251920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>: "No one get's Woger fluster and..."

Who's Roger's BITCH, Ironically, you made an ass of "yourself" when you couldn't even spell "yourself" correctly in Message-ID: <1125371025.009766.45960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>: "Do you ever stop and look what an ass you make of your self?"

Yes, exactly like those, BITCH.....

2830. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 13:46:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129038377.724622.223080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120656

nobody's friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
> BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel

Bullshit, you got that honorary title by working the fryer at Kentucky
Fried Chcicken.

> but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
> honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie

More Marky lies.

> a philophocal that is most likely above your ability to understand but
> I know others about can

Try posting in coherent English, stupid.

2831. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 13:47:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129038443.316665.187590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120657

You are a child abuser, you pervert.

2832. Lloyd Davies confesses: "I'M A COCKROACH!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 13:51:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129038676.905714.175670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120658

violin wrote:
> "LLoyd Davies" <AB8MQ@yahoo.net> wrote in message
> news:ejalk11emnj4nv8hesfrhij1at6rott611@4ax.com...
> > Ir hunt dangerous cockroach

> Much easier to wave a $20, a fresh bottle of
> Astroglide and a leering, come-hither type
> smile that just shouts: " FREE ANUS!!! "

Llod does that, he lives in the ghetto of Athens, AL in a HUD section 8
public housing apartment complex. His neighbors are a ll white trash
and minorities some of which are homosexual like he is.

2833. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 13:53:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129038824.228155.308780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120659

I'm sure there are a plethora of things YOU wonder about, Marky. Like
2+2, etc.

2834. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 13:55:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129038941.852753.83170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120660

nobodys old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > > bull@@@@ Jim
> >
> > > every movie or account of those days ....
> >
> > I don't know what they taught you as a Colonel in the Chemical Corps, but I was
> > there on the blockade line (didn't watch some sensational movie version) and Jim
> > speaks truly.
>
> no he doesn't

Yes, he does.

> all the accounts of the matter make clear that we traded without
> appearing to trade the obselete jupiter bases

It was HARDLY a "trade". We agreed to remove missles that were
already obsolete and unservicible in return for the Russians
dismantallying bases for "state-of-the-art" nuclear arms only 90 from
home.

> Try "Missles of Oct" or "13 days" but I have never seen any historical
> or fictionalized account of those days that does not deal with that
> trade
>
> and assuming you were on the blockade line (I don't know but will give
> you the benifit of the doubt) that would be the last place to learn of
> such things

"benefit"

The benefit is not yours to give.

> it was kept quiet for a time (a few years) but I have known of the
> Jupiters and their trade off since I was 4 or 5 years old or put
> another way Under president Nixon in effect the next presidental term
> to follow JFK, the event happened before I was born but I learn ed of
> the crisis and the trade off at the same time in my youth

Hey Mr Rocket Scientist... The Nixon Presidency was NOT the next
administration for follow JFK.

And nice try about the "...but I have known..." line. Cute...Not
true, but cute!

> USA Chemical corps never mentions the misslis of OCT or the cuban
> missle crisis at all in training

"missles" "Cuban"

Perhaps because you were never in the "USA Chemical corps", Mr Pathological Liar. Or have they "reactivated" your "commission" so you can justify lying in public again...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2835. Re: to whiny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 13:56:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129038963.620850.234560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120661

an old friend wrote:
> cut

Coward.

> ah yes the race card

You and Lloyd aren't part of the human race, Marky.

> I do want you to know how scary it is to be called a child abuser by a
> racist Piece of Shit

Wrong, stupid.

2836. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 14:01:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129039302.935547.139540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120662

KØHB wrote:
> "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > not at all no one living in the times knows
> > what was happening ....
> > ....History can never be truly known till at
> > al least 50 years after the fact
>
> What a humorous crock of shit! It isn't history when it's happening. It's real
> life.
>
> > Admiral Anderson had no real understanding of the presidents mind ...
>
> Admiral Anderson wasn't in charge of the quarantine. Admiral Alfred "Corky"
> Ward was. What you learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a legend in your own
> distorted mind.
>
> End of conversation. I do not abide fools, even fools who served as
> draftee-Colonels in the non-existent "USA Chemical Corps", another figment of
> your "4 or 5 years old" mind.

No doubt the "Colonel" was thinking of his CURRENT commander,
"rear" Admiral Leonard "Lennie" H. (Hornblower?) Anderson, C-In-C of
1st NGLIEOFTENLIEBIG. The "Colonel" just forgot his place for a
moment, Hans, that's all.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2837. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 14:01:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129039311.133585.140450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> Honus wrote:
> > "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
> > >
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > > > equal
> > > > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> > >
> > > Only under the eyes of the law.....
> >
> > You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence, and
> > it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the
> > rights that we're all born with.
>
> it is in the principle on which the constitution and its framer built
> the govt of the USA
> >
> > To whit:
> >
> > "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal,
> > that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that
> > among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure
> > these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just
> > Powers from the consent of the governed, -- "
> >
> > Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> > eh?
>
> no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
> SC

Wrong, stupid Marky, Thomas Jefferson, one of the principal authors of
the Declaration of Independence, WAS a slave-owner. As were many
others. It wasn't just written by one person, either, Marky. Rutledge
needed the clause to be stricken for economic reasons. The agrarian
economy at the time in the South depended upon slaves as a workforce.
```

2838. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 14:54:53 UTC
From: aozotorp@aol.com
Message-ID: <1129042493.741758.292340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120664

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>nobodys old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> did you chatch the Head of NASA condeming the shuttle and the > > ISS as failures
> >>
> >> Funny...no one else is saying that.
> >
> >
> > I'd like to see a quote of what was actually said.
>
> Me too. I did a web search, and didn't find anything. Mark, can you
> give us a source?
>
> > Of course those programs have had failures. Heck, Apollo had two
> > spectacular failures, one of which cost the lives of three astronauts
> > without ever leaving the ground. But no one with any sense would
> > describe Apollo, the shuttle or the ISS as "failures" because they did
> > not reach every goal set for them.
>
> The shuttle is our attempt to do a job with 1970's (and some earlier)
> technology. As such, it was a huge task that we were barely able to
> produce to do some of the goals that were set.

Well, if you go back to the original design of next generation of space
vehicles at the time, you will find that they said that the shuttle
could not fullfill its duty in any economic way or physical reality!

>
> The good news is that we were able to get it off the ground and into
> space. The bad news (and I don't really consider it that) is that it is
> an expensive and finicky bitch. Would we produce it that way today? Not
> even. Time moved on, technology advanced, and I have no doubt that that
> a machine produced with 2000's technology would be much safer, less
> expensive to produce and maintain, and much more capable.
>
> But to call it a failure is absolutely wrong, and misses the whole point.
>
> We DID make several machines that DID ride to orbit, DID perform their
> missions,

Yes! The exploding Water Baloon in space is worth many billions of
dollars:

http://exploration.grc.nasa.gov/balloon/blob.htm#cool

>DID return to earth,

Some at terrific speeds!

and DID outfit for many return trips to
> space. It is interesting that the failures in the system that led to the
> loss of two of the orbiters were due to peripheral systems that failed
> largely to human error.
>
> Some failure.
>
> >>Seems everyone else is STILL using the shuttle AND the Space
> >>Station...Which I had a chance to observe at 05:36CDT Wednesday
> >>morning...spectacular. (www.science.nasa.gov) And they intend > to do so for many years
to come.
> >
> >
> > Not too many, though...
> >
> >> Keep trying to "score one", Mark. One day you'll actually do > it.
> >
> >
> > You really think so?
> >
> >>I HAVE read about numerous persons saying that the "mission" has
> >>outgrown the shuttle...That the Shuttle,
> >>esentially 1970's technology,
> >>should be updated...
> >
> >
> > Agreed!
> >
> >
> >>Just like the automakers bring out new model years.
> >
> >
> > More like the automakers rethink the basic design.
> >
> > Remember when most cars were body-on-frame, longitudinal-front-engine,
> > rear-wheel-drive, with V8s and bias-ply tires? Now most of them are
> > unibody, transverse-engine, front-wheel-drive, with V6s and inline 4s,
> > and radial tires.
> >
> >
> >>No one ever expected the Shuttle to the "end all" of the manned
> >>space program.
> >

> >
> > Yes, they did. The Shuttle was supposed to be a "space truck" that
> > would totally replace and outdate the "spam in a can" one-shot capsule
> > systems used for Mercury/Gemini/Apollo. But in fact the complexity of
> > the shuttle system and other design features (like having the
> > heatshield tiles exposed for the entire mission) have limited its
> > success and performance.
>
> I thing there is some confusion here, Jim. I doubt that the shuttle was
> designed to be the last "space truck" we ever designed!
>
> >
> >>It's just time to go on to bigger and better.
> >
> >
> > I'd say "smaller and smarter".
>
> I dunno. I think that we might be at the point of vehicle specialization
> now. I can envision a heavy lift vehicle that is just that- a minimalist
> vehicle that provides basic life support and maneuvering, then returns
> to earth after delivering its cargo. It could be a reusable vehicle.
> Wouldn't be quite like the shuttle in that it wouldn't have that
> expensive main engine on it.
>
> > Of course some of what is said is all about getting funding. Bush wants
> > to go back to the moon, which NASA says will cost $100 billion.
> > Probably double or triple that in real life. Funding such an effort
> > will require convincing a lot of folks that it's worthwhile, and part
> > of that is showing them that the shuttle's time is past and we need new
> > systems. The shuttle is therefore portrayed as "last year's model"
>
> If people are *not* going to be in space, I support a NASA budget of
> $0.00 dollars. I support great sums of money going to them if people are
> going to go to space. And there are plenty of people that feel the same
> as I do. All the scientists who make the claims about how space science
> is so much cheaper and safer just don't get it. Their work is cool and
> all, but they are the tail of the dog....

I agree = 0.00 dollars for Space Exploration!

Stop All Space Exploration Now:

http://wolfbat359.com/space.htm

>
> > Of course one has to ask why we need to spend $100 billion to get a few
> > folks to the moon, when we couldn't even evacuate two cities
> > effectively here on earth.
>
> I wouldn't, because the two things aren't related. Reminds me of the
> old "We can put a man on the moon, so why can't we cure the common cold"

> questions that used to make the rounds.
>
> The failures of the latest hurricane disaster responses are the logical
> end game of placing people in charge more because of their political
> connections, and less because of their competency.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Much like putting a Missile Defense Man in Charge of NASA!:

http://tinyurl.com/8skkz

2839. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 15:50:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129045813.650104.293030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120665

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> nobody's friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
> > BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel
>
> Bullshit, you got that honorary title by working the fryer at Kentucky
> Fried Chcicken.

nope
>
> > but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
> > honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie
>
> More Marky lies.

not at all but as I said before it is a thought beyond your abilty to
understand
>
> > a philophocal that is most likely above your ability to understand but
> > I know others about can
>
> Try posting in coherent English, stupid.

2840. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 15:50:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129045854.024345.216730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120666

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> I'm sure there are a plethora of things YOU wonder about, Marky. Like
> 2+2, etc.

then of couse you are an idoit

2841. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 15:55:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129046120.659279.118240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Honus wrote:
> > > "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
> > > >
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > > > > equal
> > > > ----------
> > > >
> > > > Only under the eyes of the law.....
> > >
> > > You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence, and
> > > it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the
> > > rights that we're all born with.
> >
> > it is in the principle on which the constitution and its framer built
> > the govt of the USA
> > >
> > > To whit:
> > >
> > > "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal,
> > > that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that
> > > among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure
> > > these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just
> > > Powers from the consent of the governed, -- "
> > >
> > > Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> > > eh?
> >
> > no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> > It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> > clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
> > SC
>
> Wrong, stupid Marky, Thomas Jefferson, one of the principal authors of
> the Declaration of Independence, WAS a slave-owner.

Inded he was as I said without naming him but of course he was ONE
person not "slaveholders" pural

you realy should try learning english

> As were many
> others. It wasn't just written by one person, either, Marky.

the other authors (whose roles were minor) Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
and Sherman were not slave holders

> Rutledge
> needed the clause to be stricken for economic reasons. The agrarian
> economy at the time in the South depended upon slaves as a workforce

yes of that was the reason advanced, beat then you are into beating
dead horses

2842. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 15:57:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129046276.887181.294240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120668

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > not at all no one living in the times knows
> > what was happening ....
> > ....History can never be truly known till at
> > al least 50 years after the fact
>
> What a humorous crock of shit! It isn't history when it's happening. It's real
> life.

but the truth about current events is never known
>
> > Admiral Anderson had no real understanding of the presidents mind ...
>
> Admiral Anderson wasn't in charge of the quarantine. Admiral Alfred "Corky"
> Ward was. What you learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a legend in your own
> distorted mind.

Aderson certainly was in charge unless ward went off and founded his
own navy

I was again giving you the benift of the doubt Ward was further out of
the loop than Anderson
>
> End of conversation.

promises pormises

> I do not abide fools, even fools who served as
> draftee-Colonels in the non-existent "USA Chemical Corps", another figment of
> your "4 or 5 years old" mind.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

2843. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 16:02:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129046536.075643.128700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120669

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > bull@@@@ Jim
> > >
> > > > every movie or account of those days ....
> > >
> > > I don't know what they taught you as a Colonel in the Chemical Corps, but I was
> > > there on the blockade line (didn't watch some sensational movie version) and Jim
> > > speaks truly.
> >
> > no he doesn't
>
> Yes, he does.

not realy
>
> > all the accounts of the matter make clear that we traded without
> > appearing to trade the obselete jupiter bases
>
> It was HARDLY a "trade". We agreed to remove missles that were
> already obsolete and unservicible in return for the Russians
> dismantallying bases for "state-of-the-art" nuclear arms only 90 from
> home.

it was not a fair trade but at the point it was made it served as a Fig
leaf for the Soveit leader (whose name I not going to try speling on my
own and I am not looking it up)

but made in the face of what could have easily blown apart everything
both sides though was valueble it likely looked pretty to K at the time
>
> > Try "Missles of Oct" or "13 days" but I have never seen any historical
> > or fictionalized account of those days that does not deal with that
> > trade
> >
> > and assuming you were on the blockade line (I don't know but will give
> > you the benifit of the doubt) that would be the last place to learn of
> > such things
>
> "benefit"
>
> The benefit is not yours to give.

sure is

but then you don't respect any view but your own
>
> > it was kept quiet for a time (a few years) but I have known of the
> > Jupiters and their trade off since I was 4 or 5 years old or put
> > another way Under president Nixon in effect the next presidental term
> > to follow JFK, the event happened before I was born but I learn ed of
> > the crisis and the trade off at the same time in my youth
>
> Hey Mr Rocket Scientist... The Nixon Presidency was NOT the next
> administration for follow JFK.

it was the next eletced one the next presidential TERM as I said

>
> And nice try about the "...but I have known..." line. Cute...Not
> true, but cute!

entirely true
>
> > USA Chemical corps never mentions the misslis of OCT or the cuban
> > missle crisis at all in training
>
> "missles" "Cuban"
>
> Perhaps because you were never in the "USA Chemical corps", Mr
> Pathological Liar. Or have they "reactivated" your "commission" so you
> can justify lying in public again...?!?!

neither stevie but such is not needed

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2844. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 16:03:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129046615.502731.164180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120670

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > > not at all no one living in the times knows
> > > what was happening ....
> > > ....History can never be truly known till at
> > > al least 50 years after the fact
> >
> > What a humorous crock of shit! It isn't history when it's happening. It's real
> > life.
> >
> > > Admiral Anderson had no real understanding of the presidents mind ...
> >
> > Admiral Anderson wasn't in charge of the quarantine. Admiral Alfred "Corky"
> > Ward was. What you learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a legend in your own
> > distorted mind.
> >
> > End of conversation. I do not abide fools, even fools who served as
> > draftee-Colonels in the non-existent "USA Chemical Corps", another figment of
> > your "4 or 5 years old" mind.
>
> No doubt the "Colonel" was thinking of his CURRENT commander,
> "rear" Admiral Leonard "Lennie" H. (Hornblower?) Anderson, C-In-C of
> 1st NGLIEOFTENLIEBIG. The "Colonel" just forgot his place for a
> moment, Hans, that's all.

you are obseesed with fake conspriascies little boy
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2845. New VE callsign rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 16:24:46 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <iHR2f.13086$QE1.5635@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/vwapj/ric9e.pdf/$FILE/ric9e.pdf

2846. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 16:40:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129048833.943682.243460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120672

an old friend wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >
> > > > They valued warfare higher.
> > > >
> > > As someone who has "been there, done that" I can assure you that nobody values
> > > warfare except arms vendors.
> > >
> > > Warfare is perceived (rightly or wrongly) as a method to OBTAIN some thing or
> > > some result of value.
> > >
> > Well said!
> > >
> > > > JFK needed something that looked good to
> > > > counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and
> > > > the Cuban missile crisis.
> > > >
> > > "Been there, done that, got the medals both times". The only critics of the
> > > results of the "Cuban missile crisis" wore poorly fitting suits and drank lots
> > > of vodka.
> > >
> > Not the results but that the whole thing happened in the first place.
> > >
> > IIRC, the Soviets were ticked off about the placement of Jupiter-C
> > IRBMs in Turkey. Of course Turkey was and is a NATO country. Moscow's
> > objection to the IRBMs was that they could hit targets inside the
> > Soviet Union in minutes, and were virtually impossible to stop,
> > compared to conventional bombers. They demanded that the IRBMs be
> > removed, and of course NATO refused - even though the Jupiters were
> > becoming outdated by ICBMs and submarine-launched missiles.
> > >
> > So the Soviets retaliated by trying to install similar IRBMs in Cuba.
> > Fortunately the preparations were discovered and their plans thwarted.
> > >
> > But what was kept rather quiet is that some months after the Soviets
> > backed down from installing their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were
> > quietly removed from Turkey.
>
> bullshit Jim

Which part of what I wrote is not true, Mark?

Perhaps you mean my reference to the Jupiter-Cs as "IRBMs"
(Intermediate-Range-Ballistic-Missiles) which are elsewhere called
"MRBMs" (Medium-Range-Ballistic-Missiles).

> every movie or account of those days mentions it

Even if true, so what?

Those movies and accounts were done long after the crisis. What I wrote
is true: some months after the Soviets backed down from installing
their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were quietly removed from Turkey.

That they were scheduled to be removed, were obsolete, and were already
replaced by more-effective submarine-launched missiles and ICBMs is
inconsequential. The point is that the Soviets backed down publicly and
visibly, while *at the time*, the removal of the missiles in Turkey was
kept quiet until long after it was an accomplished fact.

> and that the Jupiters were obsolete and scheduled for withdraw
>
> and the Kendy had ordered their withdraw several time

Inconsequential - they were operational in October 1962 and were a big
reason for the Soviets' actions in Cuba. Moscow figured that if the USA
could have missiles so close to Soviet cities, then the USSR should
have similar missiles at similar distances from US cities. That the
Jupiters were actually meant to defend all of NATO, not just the USA,
was lost on the Soviets.

You also missed the point of the whole discussion: JFK pushed the
"space race" in general, and the
man-on-the-moon-before-this-decade-is-out, as a way to divert attention
from the Soviets' early space successes, and Kennedy administration
embarrassments like the Bay of Pigs. Space was a way to go mano-a-mano
with the Rooskies *without* fighting, and while they had a head start,
getting to the moon was far enough away that the USA had a good chance
of getting there first.

IOW, it *wasn't* about science, or exploration, or "the final
frontier", new technologies, etc. Those things were side benefits - the
main game was beating the Russians at something. But after July 1969,
there wasn't another clear goal nor obvious opponent. In July 1975 the
US and USSR did the joint Apollo-Soyuz mission, which would have been
all but unimaginable ten years earlier.

Just look at a partial list of early Soviet space "firsts":

1957 - Sputnik 1, first artificial earth satellite
1957 - Sputnik 2, first animal in space (Laika the dog)
1959 - Luna 2 impacts moon (intentionally!)
1961 - Vostok 1 - Yuri Gagarin is first human in space and first to
orbit the earth
1962 - Mars 1 - First flyby of Mars
1964 - Voskhod 1 - First multiperson mission (three cosmonauts)

1965 - Voskhod 2 - Alexei Leonov makes first space walk
1966 - Luna 9 soft lands on the Moon and returns TV pictures
1966 - Venera 3 is first spacecraft to enter atmosphere of another planet (Venus)
1966 - Luna 10 orbits Moon (first spacecraft to orbit another world)

Also the first woman in space, first pictures of the far side of the moon, and much more.

> > And a "hot-line" was installed between Washington and Moscow so that
> > things could be discussed more directly by the leaders of the two
> > countries, and their representatives.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2847. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 18:18:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129054727.634782.215380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120673

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > No doubt the "Colonel" was thinking of his CURRENT commander,
> > "rear" Admiral Leonard "Lennie" H. (Hornblower?) Anderson, C-In-C of
> > 1st NGLIEOFTENLIEBIG. The "Colonel" just forgot his place for a
> > moment, Hans, that's all.
>
> you are obseesed with fake conspriascies little boy

"obsessed" "conspiracies"

What conspiracy? I didn't address any "conspiracy", Mark.

More delusions on your part.

Steve, K4YZ

2848. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 18:25:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129055115.838278.39660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120674

nobodys old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > > not at all no one living in the times knows
> > > what was happening ....
> > > ....History can never be truly known till at
> > > al least 50 years after the fact
> >
> > What a humorous crock of ####! It isn't history when it's happening. It's real
> > life.
>
> but the truth about current events is never known

BBBWWWWHAHAHA

2849. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 19:02:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129055396.648944.182560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120675

nobodys old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> > No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
> > girl.
>
> I am not a girl, there would be nothing wrong with it if i was , but it
> simply not the case

Sure there'd be nothing wrong with it...Then instead of being the
deviant you ARE, you'd be NORMAL for having initmate physical relations
with men, Mark.

> you lack basic understanding and try to substitute your delsuion
> behavoir for it

"delusion" "behaviour" or "behavior"

Funny, coming from YOU, "Colonel".

> > It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.
>
> more delsuional thinking little boy

"delusional"

What's delusional is your insisting that anyone is less mature
than you, Mark.

Steve, K4YZ

2850. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 19:21:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129058481.136446.68720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120676

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 10 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2530	557
Indeterminate (note 1)	158	48
Value for Percentages	2372	509
Against NPRM (note 2)	710 [29.93%]	155 [30.45%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1301 [54.85%]	267 [52.46%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	361 [15.22%]	87 [17.09%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2851. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 19:44:04 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <8CU2f.12424$vw6.5143@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120677

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1129058481.136446.68720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
: Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
:
: As of 10 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
: Elimination/Retention tabulation:
:
: ALL to Date Since FR Notice
: -------------- ---------------
: Grand Total 2530 557
:
: Indeterminate (note 1) 158 48
:
: Value for Percentages 2372 509
:
: Against NPRM (note 2) 710 [29.93%] 155 [30.45%]
: For NPRM (note 3) 1301 [54.85%] 267 [52.46%]
: Test Extra Only (note 4) 361 [15.22%] 87 [17.09%]

Quiteclose voting 55% against with retention any Morse test, 45% for
retention of some level of Morse test.

BGO
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

2852. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 19:52:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129060323.506805.188190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120678

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > I'm sure there are a plethora of things YOU wonder about, Marky. Like
> > 2+2, etc.
>
> then of couse you are an idoit
^^

Oh, the irony. LOL!!!! ROFL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

God, are you stupid, Marky.

2853. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 19:58:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1QU2f.9457$zQ3.3848@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120679

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>nobodys old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>bull@@@@ Jim
>>>>
>>>>>every movie or account of those days ....
>>>>
>>>>I don't know what they taught you as a Colonel in the Chemical Corps, but I was
>>>>there on the blockade line (didn't watch some sensational movie version) and Jim
>>>>speaks truly.
>>>
>>>no he doesn't
>>
>> Yes, he does.
>
>
> not realy
>
>>>all the accounts of the matter make clear that we traded without
>>>appearing to trade the obselete jupiter bases
>>
>> It was HARDLY a "trade". We agreed to remove missles that were
>>already obsolete and unservicible in return for the Russians
>>dismantallying bases for "state-of-the-art" nuclear arms only 90 from
>>home.
>
>
> it was not a fair trade but at the point it was made it served as a Fig
> leaf for the Soveit leader (whose name I not going to try speling on my
> own and I am not looking it up)

That would have a been a tough one. How do you think you did with
"Soviet", "spelling", "missiles" and "valueable"?

> but made in the face of what could have easily blown apart everything
> both sides though was valueble it likely looked pretty to K at the time
>
>>>Try "Missles of Oct" or "13 days" but I have never seen any historical

>>>or fictionalized account of those days that does not deal with that
>>>trade
>>>
>>>and assuming you were on the blockade line (I don't know but will give
>>>you the benifit of the doubt) that would be the last place to learn of
>>>such things
>>
>> "benefit"
>>
>> The benefit is not yours to give.
>
>
> sure is
>
> but then you don't respect any view but your own
>
>>>it was kept quiet for a time (a few years) but I have known of the
>>>Jupiters and their trade off since I was 4 or 5 years old or put
>>>another way Under president Nixon in effect the next presidental term
>>>to follow JFK, the event happened before I was born but I learn ed of
>>>the crisis and the trade off at the same time in my youth
>>
>> Hey Mr Rocket Scientist... The Nixon Presidency was NOT the next
>>administration for follow JFK.
>
>
> it was the next eletced one the next presidential TERM as I said

I guess the truth wasn't known back in those days--you know, before it
all became revealed in history. The rest of us probably had some
strange idea that Goldwater ran against Johnson. Ever hear of it?

Dave K8MN

2854. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:00:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129060837.839181.27800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Honus wrote:
> > > > "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
> > > > >
> > > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > > > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > > > > > equal
> > > > > ---------------------------------------------------------------------
> > > > >
> > > > > Only under the eyes of the law.....
> > > >
> > > You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence, and
> > > it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the
> > > rights that we're all born with.
> > >
> > it is in the principle on which the constitution and its framer built
> > the govt of the USA
> > >
> > > To whit:
> > >
> > > "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal,
> > > that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that
> > > among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure
> > > these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just
> > > Powers from the consent of the governed, -- "
> > >
> > > Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> > > eh?
> > >
> > no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> > It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> > clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
> > SC
> >
> Wrong, stupid Marky, Thomas Jefferson, one of the principal authors of
> the Declaration of Independence, WAS a slave-owner.
>
Inded he was as I said without naming him but of course he was ONE
person not "slaveholders" pural
```

>
> you realy should try learning english

You are the one that said to this statement:
> Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> eh?

You replied:

"no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of

SC"

YOU are the one who said the document wasn't written by slaveholders,
when anybody with a modicum of education knows differently, Marky.

You are the one in desperate need of learning English, child abuser.

> > As were many
> > others. It wasn't just written by one person, either, Marky.
>
> the other authors (whose roles were minor) Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> and Sherman were not slave holders

You are even more stupid then you show on here, Marky, if you think
that... Adams and Franklin were not minor roleplayers, either, stupid.

> > Rutledge
> > needed the clause to be stricken for economic reasons. The agrarian
> > economy at the time in the South depended upon slaves as a workforce
>
> yes of that was the reason advanced, beat then you are into beating
> dead horses

Wrong stupid, as usual.

2855. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:26:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129062361.006764.166660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Honus wrote:
> > > > > "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > >
> > > > > > > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > > > > > > equal
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Only under the eyes of the law.....
> > > >
> > > > You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence, and
> > > > it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the
> > > > rights that we're all born with.
> > >
> > > it is in the principle on which the constitution and its framer built
> > > the govt of the USA
> > >
> > > To whit:
> > >
> > > "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal,
> > > that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that
> > > among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure
> > > these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just
> > > Powers from the consent of the governed, -- "
> > >
> > > Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> > > eh?
> > >
> > no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> > It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> > clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
> > SC
> >
> > Wrong, stupid Marky, Thomas Jefferson, one of the principal authors of
> > the Declaration of Independence, WAS a slave-owner.
> >
> Inded he was as I said without naming him but of course he was ONE

> > person not "slaveholders" pural
> >
> > you realy should try learning english
>
> You are the one that said to this statement:
> > Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> > eh?
>
> You replied:
>
> "no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
>
> SC"
>
> YOU are the one who said the document wasn't written by slaveholders,
> when anybody with a modicum of education knows differently, Marky.

anyone one with a real education knows that it was written by A slave
Holder, not by a group of them, but I guess that lets you out

>
> You are the one in desperate need of learning English, child abuser.
>
> > > As were many
> > > others. It wasn't just written by one person, either, Marky.
> >
> > > the other authors (whose roles were minor) Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > and Sherman were not slave holders
>
> You are even more stupid then you show on here, Marky, if you think
> that... Adams and Franklin were not minor roleplayers, either, stupid.

Minor in American indenpendance no in the writing of the document yes,
at Adams is a minor player in that drama according to Adams, Franklin
according to Adams and Jefferson, one the few things Adamas and
jefferson agred on in later year

and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
and Sherman) were slave holders at all

therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
pural

shocking the lack of education displayed

>
> > > Rutledge
> > > needed the clause to be stricken for economic reasons. The agrarian
> > > economy at the time in the South depended upon slaves as a workforce
> >

> > yes of that was the reason advanced, beat then you are into beating
> > dead horses
>
> Wrong stupid, as usual.

I am right on target

2856. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:30:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129062604.074881.284130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120682

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
> > > girl.
> >
> > I am not a girl, there would be nothing wrong with it if i was , but it
> > simply not the case
>
> Sure there'd be nothing wrong with it...Then instead of being the
> deviant you ARE, you'd be NORMAL for having initmate physical relations
> with men, Mark.

but I'd even as a girl id never give up girls

>
> > you lack basic understanding and try to substitute your delsuion
> > behavoir for it
>
> "delusion" "behaviour" or "behavior"
>
> Funny, coming from YOU, "Colonel".

why?

>
> > > It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.
> >
> > more delsuional thinking little boy
>
> "delusional"
>
> What's delusional is your insisting that anyone is less mature
> than you, Mark.

one no where in the quoted stuff do I do so, but of course Whinny is
less mature than I am, he may be even les mature than you are

two, nothing delusional in stating a plain fact

both of you are childishly concerned about what I do with my anatomy

>
> Steve, KY4Z

2857. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:31:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129062662.920410.194550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120683

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > No doubt the "Colonel" was thinking of his CURRENT commander,
> > > "rear" Admiral Leonard "Lennie" H. (Hornblower?) Anderson, C-In-C of
> > > 1st NGLIEOFTENLIEBIG. The "Colonel" just forgot his place for a
> > > moment, Hans, that's all.
> >
> > you are obseesed with fake conspriascies little boy
>
>
> "obsessed" "conspiracies"
>
> What conspiracy? I didn't address any "conspiracy", Mark.

the one you seem to think exist betwen myself Len Brain and maybe frank
>
> More delusions on your part.

no you are the delusional fellow
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2858. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:36:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129062982.862153.318730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120684

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >
> > > > > They valued warfare higher.
> > > >
> > > > As someone who has "been there, done that" I can assure you that nobody values
> > > > warfare except arms vendors.
> > >
> > > > Warfare is perceived (rightly or wrongly) as a method to OBTAIN some thing or
> > > > some result of value.
> > >
> > > Well said!
> > >
> > > > > JFK needed something that looked good to
> > > > > counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and
> > > > > the Cuban missile crisis.
> > > >
> > > > "Been there, done that, got the medals both times". The only critics of the
> > > > results of the "Cuban missile crisis" wore poorly fitting suits and drank lots
> > > > of vodka.
> > >
> > > Not the results but that the whole thing happened in the first place.
> > >
> > > IIRC, the Soviets were ticked off about the placement of Jupiter-C
> > > IRBMs in Turkey. Of course Turkey was and is a NATO country. Moscow's
> > > objection to the IRBMs was that they could hit targets inside the
> > > Soviet Union in minutes, and were virtually impossible to stop,
> > > compared to conventional bombers. They demanded that the IRBMs be
> > > removed, and of course NATO refused - even though the Jupiters were
> > > becoming outdated by ICBMs and submarine-launched missiles.
> > >
> > > So the Soviets retaliated by trying to install similar IRBMs in Cuba.
> > > Fortunately the preparations were discovered and their plans thwarted.
> > >
> > > But what was kept rather quiet is that some months after the Soviets
> > > backed down from installing their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were
> > > quietly removed from Turkey.
> >
> > bullshit Jim
>
> Which part of what I wrote is not true, Mark?

that the Jupiter links with the cuban misle cris were unknown at the
time

the NY Times and Washington post had stories out within day of their
withdraw

it was comon knowledge with a few years
>
> Perhaps you mean my reference to the Jupiter-Cs as "IRBMs"
> (Intermediate-Range-Ballistic-Missiles) which are elsewhere called
> "MRBMs" (Medium-Range-Ballistic-Missiles).
>
> > every movie or account of those days mentions it
>
> Even if true, so what?

that these account all list the withdraw
>
> Those movies and accounts were done long after the crisis. What I wrote
> is true: some months after the Soviets backed down from installing
> their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were quietly removed from Turkey.

the last is the falsehood the jupiters simply were not front page news
>
> That they were scheduled to be removed, were obsolete, and were already
> replaced by more-effective submarine-launched missiles and ICBMs is
> inconsequential. The point is that the Soviets backed down publicly and
> visibly, while *at the time*, the removal of the missiles in Turkey was
> kept quiet until long after it was an accomplished fact.

deabtable the meaning of "long" by my count it was matter of few
months. I call that short, indeed id say it was kept queist a shorter
than most folks who do learn morse Code take to learn it

but in any event that was part of the deal the jupiter withdraw was
mostly to help K setle matter matter with the politboro, not the public

>
> > and that the Jupiters were obsolete and scheduled for withdraw
> >
> > and the Kendy had ordered their withdraw several time
>
cut the waste of BW

2859. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:40:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129063257.250823.19220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120685

KY4Z wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > not at all no one living in the times knows
> > > > what was happening ....
> > > > ....History can never be truly known till at
> > > > al least 50 years after the fact
> > >
> > > What a humorous crock of ####! It isn't history when it's happening. It's real
> > > life.
> >
> > but the truth about current events is never known
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHA

it is solely mine to give, on my own behalf
>
> > > End of conversation.
> >
> > promises pormises
>
> What an idiot.
>
> Can't even spell the same word right twice even when it's the ONLY
> word in the "sentence"... ! ! !

no I can't some days

but you know that, you know why (or you should by now) and yet you show
you willing to bash and insult the disabled by going on and on

OTOH do go ahead it saves me a lot of work with the targer audeince in
showing you, and your side in various deabte your true colors

>
> Steve, KY4Z

2860. Re: to whiny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:50:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129063845.594199.73370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120686

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > cut
>
> Coward.

not at all just saving the eyes

BTW you don't even label your cuts

if cuting is cowardly then secretly cutting has to be even more
cowardly
>
> > ah yes the race card
>
> You and Lloyd aren't part of the human race, Marky.

I honestly don't know about Loyd (not sure he exists as opposed to be
an internet invention) But I am fully human, and suspect Loyd is as
well
>
> > I do want you to know how scary it is to be called a child abuser by a
> > racist Piece of Shit
>
> Wrong, stupid.

No error in stating I have no fear of being labeled a child abuser by
as racist piece of shit such as yourself

2861. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 20:54:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129064045.513287.255040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120687

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1129058481.136446.68720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> : Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
> :
> : As of 10 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> : Elimination/Retention tabulation:
> :
> : ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> : ------------- ---------------
> : Grand Total 2530 557
> :
> : Indeterminate (note 1) 158 48
> :
> : Value for Percentages 2372 509
> :
> : Against NPRM (note 2) 710 [29.93%] 155 [30.45%]
> : For NPRM (note 3) 1301 [54.85%] 267 [52.46%]
> : Test Extra Only (note 4) 361 [15.22%] 87 [17.09%]
>
> Quiteclose voting 55% against with retention any Morse test, 45% for
> retention of some level of Morse test.

but close only counts with horseshoes hand granades and nukes

what will realy count is the total lack of valid any reason to keep
code testing or the fialure of the Procoder to advance any new argument
(even at this late date I beleive that the proCoders coming up with
something NEW valid or not would stop the porcess for months)

given that I suspect the FCC will do as it is poised to do, end code
testing
>
> BGO
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

2862. Goodbye K1MAN - Soon Gone Forever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 21:03:29 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1129064609.437895.255030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>From QRZ.COM:

Topic: Countdown to B-Day, Days Until K1MAN License Expiration

With mere days to go until Glenn Baxter's license expires, one wonders if the FCC will act to deny the K1MAN renewal application. Considering the numerous allegations regarding rules violations and character issues surrounding Baxter over the last ten years, it seems likely the FCC will affirm the $21,000 NAL and designate the renewal for a hearing in front of an administrative law judge.

If the renewal is designated for hearing, the judge could order Baxter off the air during any possible appeal process. If Baxter fails to pay an affirmed NAL, he could run afoul of the FCC's "red light rule" which would prohibit him from obtaining any benefit from the FCC until his debt was paid.

Baxter's "bulletin" has been notably absent from 75 and 20 meters since early summer. This is reminiscent of 1999 when the FCC was taking an active interest in Baxter's activities. Baxter disappeared for a period of two years, and later resumed his activities after the FCC turned its attention elsewhere. Baxter has claimed he was teaching high school, and he actually sued the FCC, allegedly for suggesting they had something to do with taking him off the air. Baxter lost the suit.

An interesting website documenting Baxter's career in amateur radio can be found here:

http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/

73 and GL de K3VR

2863. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 21:05:39 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1129064739.831262.197180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120689

Topic: Countdown to B-Day, Days Until K1MAN License Expiration

>From QRZ.COM

Posted: Oct. 09 2005,14:29

With mere days to go until Glenn Baxter's license expires, one wonders if the FCC will act to deny the K1MAN renewal application. Considering the numerous allegations regarding rules violations and character issues surrounding Baxter over the last ten years, it seems likely the FCC will affirm the $21,000 NAL and designate the renewal for a hearing in front of an administrative law judge.

If the renewal is designated for hearing, the judge could order Baxter off the air during any possible appeal process. If Baxter fails to pay an affirmed NAL, he could run afoul of the FCC's "red light rule" which would prohibit him from obtaining any benefit from the FCC until his debt was paid.

Baxter's "bulletin" has been notably absent from 75 and 20 meters since early summer. This is reminiscent of 1999 when the FCC was taking an active interest in Baxter's activities. Baxter disappeared for a period of two years, and later resumed his activities after the FCC turned its attention elsewhere. Baxter has claimed he was teaching high school, and he actually sued the FCC, allegedly for suggesting they had something to do with taking him off the air. Baxter lost the suit.

An interesting website documenting Baxter's career in amateur radio can be found here:

http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/

73 and GL de K3VR

2864. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 22:02:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IDW2f.13424$q1.1964@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128999190.291274.65080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jeff (nospam) wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128983747.812990.200990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1128971908.580737.283850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > > >
>> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> > > >> KY4Z wrote:
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Boy...Talk about who's "delusional" and "off the deep
>> > > >> > end".
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > There's not ONE WORD in ANYthing Dan said that violates
>> > > >> > ANYones
>> > > >> > rights or "pricinples" of the Constitution.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Saying anything that an_old_idiot doesn't agree with is 'violating
>> > > >> his
>> > > >> rights'.
>> > > >
>> > > > not at all
>> > > >
>> > > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander"
>> > > > is
>> > > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
>> ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
>>
>> Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
>> whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
>> violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
>> would be locked up.
>
> not at all
>
> the legal system has so broken down that the law is hardly enforced
> doesn't change the law
>
```

Dag Nab it Jeff...you wound up Markie again. Now he has switched to his lawyer robes and white wig.

Dan/W4NTI

2865. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 22:04:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <SFW2f.13430$q1.3884@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:8d35f$434b0a83$4e0b7ba$20341@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128984185.314514.37750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> Snipped
>
>>
>>>
>>> This could get interesting folks.
>>
>> nah more of the boring shit we see when the porcoders some out to play
>>
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> Well, I guess I'm a "porcoder" and I've "some out to play"
> LOL
> Ace - WH2T
>

A "porcoder"......is that like a dish of porridge? Where Goldie Locks
steals it from baby bear?

Dan/W4NTI

2866. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 22:07:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <VIW2f.13435$q1.10484@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Gutless puke didn't even answer the question. Course I knew he wouldn't.

Dan/W4NTI

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128984041.985563.25420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128905574.299905.305910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >
>> > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
>> > idiot in your eyes
>> >
>>
>> No Markie...just YOU.
>
> proving my point.
>
> you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> equal
>

You dont seem to get it Markie.....Im not saying you have no rights because
the Constitution says so (you claim). Actually it is the Bill of Rights. I
object to you being equal in that you "claim" to be a man.

Based on you actions here I despute you are indeed a man.

>
>> You can't even write a sentence that makes sense.
>> Thus you are impossible to argue with.....because...???.....That's right
>> Markie because you can't be understood.
>
> which even if true, doesn't affect the fact I have the same rights as
> you do
>>
>> But I'll keep trying...I like watching you jump up and down like a
>> Mexican
>> jumping bean... No rime, no reason...just jumping.
>>

>> > shows you do not adhere to pricinples of the US Consitituion, or to the
>> > rule of law
>> >
>> I fought for Constitution of this country. I fought for your RIGHT to
>> show
>> your IGNORANCE on a daily basis.
>>
>> What did you put your life on the line for Markey? Don't bother...I
>> already
>> know.
>
> no you don't know clearly. It is quite clear you don't know what the
> nation is or what it stands for
>
>>
>> > those deny right to other tendto lose them themselves
>> >
>>
>> Boy are you out of touch...No surprise there, eh folks? Our so called
>> rights have been going away for a long time now.
>
> in part becuase of folks exactly like yourself
>
>>
>> But that's another subject which I dang sure don't want to get involved
>> in
>> with you.
>
> then don't no one is making you
>
>> Your already too confused about a simple thing as your toe being
>> on fire.
>
> my toe is not on fire
>
>>
>>
>> > you sound like a bigot and ahte monger a real asset to the ARS
>> >
>>
>> And what have YOU done for Amateur Radio Markie? Just a short list
>> please,
>> because we all know that is all you got.....Oh let me save you the
>> trouble.
>>
>> 1. You passed the simplest test in Amateur Radio, The Technician NO
>> CODE.
>
> wrong I did pass too test for it
>

>>
>> 2. You refuse to learn Morse Code to upgrade.
>
> wrong again
>
>>
>> 3. You have decided to spend most of your time on this group blaming
>> everyone for your inadequencies.
>
> never ever done that
>
>>
>> That about covers the basics.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

2867. Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 22:15:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TPW2f.13440$q1.4691@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120693

Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?

Dan/W4NTI

2868. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 22:25:42 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hZqdnUtAq7rwodHeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 120694

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1129048833.943682.243460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

an old friend wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> > > > They valued warfare higher.
> > >
> > > As someone who has "been there, done that" I can assure you that
> > > nobody values
> > > warfare except arms vendors.
> >
> > > Warfare is perceived (rightly or wrongly) as a method to OBTAIN some
> > > thing or
> > > some result of value.
> >
> > Well said!
> >
> > > > JFK needed something that looked good to
> > > > counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and
> > > > the Cuban missile crisis.
> > >
> > > "Been there, done that, got the medals both times". The only critics
> > > of the
> > > results of the "Cuban missile crisis" wore poorly fitting suits and
> > > drank lots
> > > of vodka.
> >
> > Not the results but that the whole thing happened in the first place.
> >
> > IIRC, the Soviets were ticked off about the placement of Jupiter-C
> > IRBMs in Turkey. Of course Turkey was and is a NATO country. Moscow's
> > objection to the IRBMs was that they could hit targets inside the
> > Soviet Union in minutes, and were virtually impossible to stop,
> > compared to conventional bombers. They demanded that the IRBMs be
> > removed, and of course NATO refused - even though the Jupiters were
> > becoming outdated by ICBMs and submarine-launched missiles.
> >
> > So the Soviets retaliated by trying to install similar IRBMs in Cuba.
> > Fortunately the preparations were discovered and their plans thwarted.
> >

> > But what was kept rather quiet is that some months after the Soviets
> > backed down from installing their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were
> > quietly removed from Turkey.
>
> bullshit Jim

Which part of what I wrote is not true, Mark?

Perhaps you mean my reference to the Jupiter-Cs as "IRBMs"
(Intermediate-Range-Ballistic-Missiles) which are elsewhere called
"MRBMs" (Medium-Range-Ballistic-Missiles).

> every movie or account of those days mentions it

Even if true, so what?

Those movies and accounts were done long after the crisis. What I wrote
is true: some months after the Soviets backed down from installing
their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were quietly removed from Turkey.

_____
End Quote

Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for ratings.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2869. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 22:52:50 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <434C4241.4050505@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120695

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
14.275?

2870. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 23:41:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129074081.488283.254760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120696

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > not at all no one living in the times knows
> > > > > what was happening ....
> > > > > ....History can never be truly known till at
> > > > > al least 50 years after the fact
> > > >
> > > > What a humorous crock of ####! It isn't history when it's happening. It's real
> > > > life.
> > >
> > > but the truth about current events is never known
> >
> > BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> simple fact
>
> The Comenader at Midway did not know the what all was going one

"commander"

Was that a sentence? What has the commander at Midway have to do
with the Cuban Missle Crisis?

Or are you refering to the situation at Midway in 1942? Seems he
knew a lot more than his adversary since between him, the COMSEC guys
and some sneaky radio ops they figured out what the Japanese were up
to. That's why a militarily superior force got whupped by a
logistically strapped force.

"...what all was going one..."

WTF was that?

> Ceaser's (Otavian) legion comanders did know what was going in the cvil
> war with Anthony

"Octavian" "commanders" "civil"

Ya think the lack of spontaneous, simulataneous communications had
anything to do with that?

> hans can't have known what was going in the white house or at state

"Hans" "White House"

Why couldn't he have? He was certainly in a much better position
to know than YOU did at the time!

> > > > > Admiral Anderson had no real understanding of the presidents mind ...
> > > >
> > > > Admiral Anderson wasn't in charge of the quarantine. Admiral Alfred "Corky"
> > > > Ward was. What you learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a legend in your own
> > > > distorted mind.
> > >
> > > Aderson certainly was in charge unless ward went off and founded his
> > > own navy
> >
> > "Anderson"
> >
> > > I was again giving you the benift of the doubt Ward was further out of
> > > the loop than Anderson
> >
> > "benefit"
> >
> > It wasn't yours to give, Mark.
>
> it is solely mine to give, on my own behalf

No, it's not.

There was NO doubt in ANYone's mind but yours, and only because
you're ill-informed and typically running off at the mouth about topics
for which you are ill-prepared.

> > > > End of conversation.
> > >
> > > promises pormises
> >
> > What an idiot.
> >
> > Can't even spell the same word right twice even when it's the ONLY
> > word in the "sentence"... ! ! !
>
> no I can't some days

What? The moon's gravity pulls your fingers one way or the other?

Or you just hit your prescription meds and Jack Daniels a bit too
hard the night before?

> but you know that, you know why (or you should by now) and yet you show
> you willing to bash and insult the disabled by going on and on

I'm not "bashing" anyone.

You're an incompetent, lazy punk. That's all.

> OTOH do go ahead it saves me a lot of work with the targer audeince in
> showing you, and your side in various deabte your true colors

"target" "audience" "debate"

T A R G E T...?!?! YOU'RE THREATENING ME! ! ! STALKER! STALKER!

My "true colors" are red, white and blue, intertwined with USMC
Scarlet and Gold.

Yours are just white and yellow.

Steve, K4YZ

2871. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-11 23:45:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129074347.797168.211840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120697

N9OGL wrote:
> He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women

"ain't" "prefer"

And women prefer suicide to Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

2872. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 00:12:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nxY2f.13366$QE1.3716@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120698

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1129074081.488283.254760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > > "nobodys old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > not at all no one living in the times knows
> > > > what was happening ....
> > > > ....History can never be truly known till at
> > > > al least 50 years after the fact
> > > >
> > > What a humorous crock of ####! It isn't history when it's
> > > happening. It's real
> > > life.
> > >
> > but the truth about current events is never known
> >
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >!!!!!!!
>
> simple fact
>
> The Comenader at Midway did not know the what all was going one

"commander"

Was that a sentence? What has the commander at Midway have to do
with the Cuban Missle Crisis?

Or are you refering to the situation at Midway in 1942? Seems he
knew a lot more than his adversary since between him, the COMSEC guys
and some sneaky radio ops they figured out what the Japanese were up
to. That's why a militarily superior force got whupped by a
logistically strapped force.

"...what all was going one..."

WTF was that?

> Ceaser's (Otavian) legion comanders did know what was going in the cvil
> war with Anthony

"Octavian" "commanders" "civil"

Ya think the lack of spontaneous, simulataneous communications had
anything to do with that?

> hans can't have known what was going in the white house or at state

"Hans" "White House"

Why couldn't he have? He was certainly in a much better position
to know than YOU did at the time!

> > > > > Admiral Anderson had no real understanding of the presidents mind
> > > > > ...
> > > > >
> > > > Admiral Anderson wasn't in charge of the quarantine. Admiral Alfred
> > > > "Corky"
> > > > Ward was. What you learned at "4 or 5 years old" is a legend in
> > > > your own
> > > > distorted mind.
> > > >
> > > Aderson certainly was in charge unless ward went off and founded his
> > > own navy
> > >
> > "Anderson"
> >
> > > I was again giving you the benift of the doubt Ward was further out of
> > > the loop than Anderson
> >
> > "benefit"
> >
> > It wasn't yours to give, Mark.
>
> it is solely mine to give, on my own behalf

No, it's not.

There was NO doubt in ANYone's mind but yours, and only because
you're ill-informed and typically running off at the mouth about topics
for which you are ill-prepared.

> > > > End of conversation.
> > > >
> > > promises pormises
> > >
> > What an idiot.
> >
> > Can't even spell the same word right twice even when it's the ONLY
> > word in the "sentence"... ! ! !
>
> no I can't some days

What? The moon's gravity pulls your fingers one way or the other?

Or you just hit your prescription meds and Jack Daniels a bit too
hard the night before?

> but you know that, you know why (or you should by now) and yet you show
> you willing to bash and insult the disabled by going on and on

I'm not "bashing" anyone.

You're an incompetent, lazy punk. That's all.

> OTOH do go ahead it saves me a lot of work with the targer audeince in
> showing you, and your side in various deabte your true colors

"target" "audience" "debate"

T A R G E T...?!?! YOU'RE THREATENING ME! ! ! STALKER! STALKER!

My "true colors" are red, white and blue, intertwined with USMC
Scarlet and Gold.

Yours are just white and yellow.

Steve, K4YZ

Marky just likes to argue Steve. Don't confuse him with the facts.

I especially enjoyed the part about the Cuban missle Crisis and the
Commander at Midway.....well you know.

And Dee is correct, at least that is how I remember how it went in 1962.
Shortly after the Russians pulled there missles out of Cuba we removed the
obsolete Jupiters. No real loss since the Submarine missles and the new
Atlas ICBM were coming or were already on line. Not to mention the lighter
Hydrogen bombs available for use by the B-52 SAC Bombers.

Dan/W4NTI

2873. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 00:19:15 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <7EY2f.25223$3w.630@trnddc07>
Source record: 120699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129062361.006764.166660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> shocking the lack of education displayed

And that lack wouldn't be so obvious if you had a spell-checker.

2874. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 00:29:11 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <vulok1hgqcit383ben7bp66h51d1f59vnk@4ax.com>
Source record: 120708

On Tue, 11 Oct 2005 19:58:53 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>snip
>
>That would have a been a tough one. How do you think you did with
>"Soviet", "spelling", "missiles" and "valueable"?

Actually, the word is spelled "valuable"......

http://www.bartleby.com/61/56/V0015600.html

Nice job on the other three, though!

>snip

>Dave K8MN

73, Leo

2875. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 00:32:10 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <eQY2f.35515$q81.34229@trnddc06>
Source record: 120700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129003567.116041.204760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Honus wrote:
> > "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
> > >
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > > > equal
> > >
> > ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> > >
> > > Only under the eyes of the law.....
> >
> > You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence,
and
> > it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the
> > rights that we're all born with.
>
> it is in the principle on which the constitution and its framer built
> the govt of the USA

The premise is stated in the DoI, not the Constitution. But I can see all
too clearly that this cause is lost.

> no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of

Pedantic nonsense aside, my point, not that it's original with me, still
stands.
```

2876. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 00:32:43 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <LQY2f.25226$3w.20208@trnddc07>
Source record: 120701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129046120.659279.118240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> you realy should try learning english

Oh Lord.

2877. Re: Goodbye K1MAN - Soon Gone Forever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 00:35:46 UTC
From: Caveat Nomen <none@rwkcaveatnamaen.org>
Message-ID: <dihll5ckk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1129064609.437895.255030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >From QRZ.COM:
>
> Topic: Countdown to B-Day, Days Until K1MAN
/////SNIPPED/////

P L O N K

flushed

GONE!

2878. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 00:42:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129077756.349488.242440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120703

That's ok, there's still the N9OGL SHOW on 14.321.00 MHz. to listen
too..

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

2879. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 01:00:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <teZ2f.13374$QE1.402@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:LQY2f.25226$3w.20208@trnddc07...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129046120.659279.118240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> you realy should try learning english
>
> Oh Lord.
>
>

Has any one else noticed that all Markie does is copy the opponents
argument? Even to the exact words.

Dan/W4NTI

2880. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 01:01:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2gZ2f.13375$QE1.4513@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120705

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129077756.349488.242440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> That's ok, there's still the N9OGL SHOW on 14.321.00 MHz. to listen
> too..
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>

No it's not. I claim it. It is official. I have given notice. I published
it...right here on RRAP. SO it's mine. Baxter said it is.

Dan/W4NTI

2881. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 01:07:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129079237.422748.148820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120706

you claiim what dan?

Todd N9OGL
N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

2882. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 01:18:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jvZ2f.13379$QE1.10862@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120707

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129079237.422748.148820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> you claiim what dan?
>
> Todd N9OGL
> N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>

The frequency. You have to find a new one.

Dan/W4NTI

2883. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 01:50:30 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <GZZ2f.427494$_o.84828@attbi_s71>
Source record: 120709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128999190.291274.65080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > > > > not at all
> > > > > >
> > > > > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > > > > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
> > ---
> >
> > Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
> > whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
> > violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
> > would be locked up.
>
> not at all
>
> the legal system has so broken down that the law is hardly enforced
> doesn't change the law

Double BS,, cite me "one" case where someone "threatened'
to do something and got charged. The legal system hasnt gone to
hell,,,, there never has been a law against making threats. I could threaten
people all day long and I "might" get a visit by the cops asking me what
my problem is, but thats about all thats going to happen. You are getting
confused with people who may raise a fist or other object and threatening
to hit them, that, technically is assault. But just making a statement of
threat dont mean squat and never has.

J

2884. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 02:32:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129084325.573086.85820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120710

you don't own the frequency, moron

2885. Re: Goodbye K1MAN - Soon Gone Forever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 02:39:54 UTC
From: Radio Free Beer-Belly <fartinfapflop@fatassedhams.usa>
Message-ID: <86c25$434c7792$d1cc7ab8$4510@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 120711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1129064609.437895.255030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >From QRZ.COM:
>
> Topic: Countdown to B-Day, Days Until K1MAN License Expiration
>
> With mere days to go until Glenn Baxter's license expires, one wonders
> if the FCC will act to deny the K1MAN renewal application.

Most of us couldn't give a loud low-freq resonant broccoli fart in church
during easter sunday mass about K1MAN or the rented
horse he rode in upon. Just as long as the ego-centric likely (?)
paranoid broadcasting wanabe, with his pathetic excuse
for a radio bulletin service, is off the air from 75 Meters
then we will be more than happy and satisfied with whatever
course of events that the FCC may choose to follow.

2886. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 03:22:50 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ek%2f.13603$q1.9707@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120712

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129064045.513287.255040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Gr�mw�tch th� �nfl�pp�bl� wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1129058481.136446.68720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> : Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
> :
> : As of 10 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> : Elimination/Retention tabulation:
> :
> : ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> : ---------- ----------
> : Grand Total 2530 557
> :
> : Indeterminate (note 1) 158 48
> :
> : Value for Percentages 2372 509
> :
> : Against NPRM (note 2) 710 [29.93%] 155 [30.45%]
> : For NPRM (note 3) 1301 [54.85%] 267 [52.46%]
> : Test Extra Only (note 4) 361 [15.22%] 87 [17.09%]
>
>> Quiteclose voting 55% against with retention any Morse test, 45% for
>> retention of some level of Morse test.
>
>but close only counts with horseshoes hand granades and nukes
>what will really count is the total lack of any valid reason to keep
>code testing or the failure of the Procoders to advance any new argument
>(even at this late date I believe that the proCoders coming up with
>something NEW...valid or not would stop the process for months)
>given that I suspect the FCC will do as it is poised to do, end code
>testing.

That pretty much sums things up quite well...especially since
a number of nations have already abondoned all code testing
with no ill effects after two years.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2887. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 04:44:34 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <Sw03f.37075$q81.35200@trnddc06>
Source record: 120713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:GZZ2f.427494$_o.84828@attbi_s71...

> Double BS,, cite me "one" case where someone "threatened'
> to do something and got charged. The legal system hasnt gone to
> hell,,,, there never has been a law against making threats. I could
threaten
> people all day long and I "might" get a visit by the cops asking me what
> my problem is, but thats about all thats going to happen. You are getting
> confused with people who may raise a fist or other object and threatening
> to hit them, that, technically is assault. But just making a statement of
> threat dont mean squat and never has.

Lord, I just can't help myself.

http://www.firstamendmentcenter.org/news.aspx?id=3461

Personally speaking, I think you ought to be able to say what that guy did
and get away with it. But that's just me, and I wasn't on the jury. As for
making a statement of threat, you guys need to research the phrase "true
threat".

Therein lie your answers. ;)

2888. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 04:45:46 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <_x03f.41168$HM1.26616@trnddc04>
Source record: 120714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:Sw03f.37075$q81.35200@trnddc06...

> Personally speaking, I think you ought to be able to say what that guy did
> and get away with it. But that's just me, and I wasn't on the jury. As for
> making a statement of threat, you guys need to research the phrase "true
> threat".
>
> Therein lie your answers. ;)

Oh, nuts...here's an article with examples for you. Don't say I never did
you guys any favors. ;)

http://www.splcenter.org/intel/intelreport/article.jsp?aid=556

2889. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 06:26:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129098408.733330.37400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120715

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129064045.513287.255040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
cut
> >but close only counts with horseshoes hand granades and nukes
> >what will really count is the total lack of any valid reason to keep
> >code testing or the failure of the Procoders to advance any new argument
> >(even at this late date I believe that the proCoders coming up with
> >something NEW...valid or not would stop the process for months)
> >given that I suspect the FCC will do as it is poised to do, end code
> >testing.
>
> That pretty much sums things up quite well...especially since
> a number of nations have already abondoned all code testing
> with no ill effects after two years.

not to put you on the spott but i'll try to put you on the spot

do you agree with my statement that a for a truly new arguement that
the FCC would wait and study awhile?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

2890. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 06:28:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129098509.625366.110400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120716

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?

why dont you found one Dan? Google provides the means

or are you going to whine about the fact not everyone agrees with you?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2891. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 06:29:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129098561.534841.117070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120717

John wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> 14.275?

that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
not speak for Len and Frank

2892. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 06:30:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129098651.872491.133390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120718

KY4Z wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women
>
> "ain't" "prefer"
>
> And women prefer suicide to Todd.

not from what I hear and from what I have seen in the past
>
> Steve, KY4Z

2893. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 06:35:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129098901.966926.38380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff (nospam) wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128999190.291274.65080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> > > > > > not at all
> > > > > >
> > > > > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > > > > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
> > > ----------
> > >
> > > Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
> > > whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
> > > violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
> > > would be locked up.
> >
> > not at all
> >
> > the legal system has so broken down that the law is hardly enforced
> > doesn't change the law
> ----------
>
> Double BS,, cite me "one" case where someone "threatened'
> to do something and got charged.

happens all the time mostly in mob cases

and there are people still in jail for merely threatening reagan as a
joke

people were arested for threatening Mrs Bush on the campiagn trail

> The legal system hasnt gone to
> hell,,,, there never has been a law against making threats.

guess you have never read the hate crimes laws in the nation

> I could threaten
> people all day long and I "might" get a visit by the cops asking me what
> my problem is, but thats about all thats going to happen. You are getting
> confused with people who may raise a fist or other object and threatening
> to hit them, that, technically is assault. But just making a statement of
> threat dont mean squat and never has.
>
>

> J

2894. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 06:41:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129099279.639435.116180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Honus wrote:
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:Sw03f.37075$q81.35200@trnddc06...
>
> > Personally speaking, I think you ought to be able to say what that guy did
> > and get away with it. But that's just me, and I wasn't on the jury. As for
> > making a statement of threat, you guys need to research the phrase "true
> > threat".
> >
> > Therein lie your answers. ;)
>
> Oh, nuts...here's an article with examples for you. Don't say I never did
> you guys any favors. ;)

I can't promise to never say it (I can be forgetfull) but if I should
do so in the future do remind me and I promise to apologize sir
>
> http://www.splcenter.org/intel/intelreport/article.jsp?aid=556
form the above link quote

On appeal, the defendants argued that the content of the posters and
Web site were protected speech under the First Amendment. But the full
Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld ACLA's liability, finding that
the content on the posters and Web site constituted an unprotected true
threat.

The court defined a true threat as a statement made when a "reasonable
person would foresee that the statement would be interpreted by those
to whom the maker communicates the statement as a serious expression of
intent to harm." [See Planned Parenthood, 290 f.3d at 1074, 1088.] The
test is an objective one; the defendant does not have to actually
intend to, or be able to, carry out the threat. [Id. at 1076.] In the
Planned Parenthood case, the Ninth Circuit found that it was reasonable
for ACLA members to foresee that the named abortion providers would
interpret the posters and Web site postings as a serious expression of
ACLA members' intent to harm them.

unquote

2895. a few thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 07:10:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129101002.737893.311150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120721

Stevie esp (with help from dan and dave) argue that it is chil abuse to teach that lying is ever a good idea

Is it? consider this Stevie Dave dan whiny and other all spend a great deal of time on the "issue" that I am bisexaul, something I try not to bring up but frankly it isn't possible to not deal with around here.

It is said that roughly 10 percent of amercians are BLGT that is number of more than 20 million people., and yet for if they are honest they are suggejected to the relentless attacks of people like Stevie. therefore a good case can be made that lying is a better idea than hoesntly.

Indeed despite the fact I don't deny being bisexual Stevie says everything I say is a lie or words to that effect. Stevie and MD went looking for this years ago I gareed with them and said (and continue to say it has nothing to do with ham radio) but 7 years later Stevie still hammers at this issue BTW I have perhaps found an issue in Ham radio where it has some bearing I don't see the gender of conectors in the same way as the rest of you, not a major one but it came up this week, thanks to someone signing their email "k4yz" sending email to many ham in the area presumibly to inusre everyone knows this "vital fact" about me, forunately for me who ever (and it may or may not be Stevie making these mails which I have not seen with there header in place, Stevie may be the victum of someone else here trying to provoke further "entertainment" by signing stevie name) laced the mail without enough over the top to be largely dismised

but back to the subject more than 50 percent of my children will bi or gay according to studies. I know that fact. therefore for several reason I will teach my children to lie.

reason One should they turn out to be bi or gay it will help to ensure there safety from Stevie or people likely whinny

reason two plenty of sex feinds pray on children lying as they will be taught that about everything they say to strangers online, about themselves, should be a lie, will help keep them safe

I am called paranoid for such views. Indeed I even understand the thought that goes into that charge. OTOH I have experenced for myself the price to be paid for being honest about such things, both personaly and on the bodies of others.

Why do I lie stevie? becuase folks like you and whinny and other taught me that it wasn't safe to tell the truth.

You want to stop me and other from teach the next generation to lie, try working for a world where being honest isn't flat out unsafe. Have you seen the stat on suicides in Gay youths. you should know something of them as a nurse. you nor I can create a wrold where lying isn't the profitable way of doing things. It should be possible to create a world where telling the turth isn't ever dangerous.

I realy don't mind the fact you don't like me even if the sole reason were my sexual orientation. I support that as your right (which is why I not amoug the left wingers that bascialywant to make disliking gays crime) hat can never support support is the branding you practice.

it is a fact in this nation today that if you stand up and say so and so is gay you endanger there there safety and even their life. I truely wish the preceeding statement were a lie, nothing on earth would make me happier

i'll be gone a couple of days having married a practicing Jew we will be off to visit a larger temple for Yom Kippur so i will not be around a few days

think about what I have written if you able look at your posts and daves and esp whinnyslit, and ask yourself why it is dangerous in america to honest about a subject that should be nobodies bussness who another person sleeps with

I expect that i will come back and find your normal venon in reply, but maybe you will choose to disapoint me

2896. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 07:29:57 UTC
From: Carl Domanico <vze2686b@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <VX23f.1870$KR1.945@trndny06>
Source record: 120722

Hi I have for sale two Comet/NCG Meters :

1) NCG-3000 118 mHz-530 MHz SWR / Wattmeter 5-20-200 Watts
Bought New in Box for $ 110.00...Item is in mint condition.
Make offer

1) Comet CMX-3 140 mHz - 525 MHz SWR / Wattmeter 20-50-200 watt
Is remotable...Paid $ 170.00 New In Box Item is in Mint condition.
Make offer...

Thanks
Carl
N2MFW

2897. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 08:02:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129104135.048829.201210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120723

yeah, dan can start his own on google, I'm running 4 right now.

Todd n9ogl

2898. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 09:50:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129110624.192305.116290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120724

nobodys old friend wrote:
> John wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> > 14.275?
>
> that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
> not speak for Len and Frank

Watta hoot...

Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
why...

Steve, K4YZ

2899. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 09:58:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129111119.618146.221470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120725

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

> Marky just likes to argue Steve. Don't confuse him with the facts.

Quite correct.

> I especially enjoyed the part about the Cuban missle Crisis and the
> Commander at Midway......well you know.

Well...Coming from a "guy" who was "drafted" at age 7 or 8 and
forced to remain on active duty despite being an admitted deviant and
pathological liar, I don't find ANYthing that comes out of Mark's
"mouth" surprising anymore...ESPECIALLY since he's admitted what goes
IN his mouth....

> And Dee is correct, at least that is how I remember how it went in 1962.
> Shortly after the Russians pulled there missles out of Cuba we removed the
> obsolete Jupiters. No real loss since the Submarine missles and the new
> Atlas ICBM were coming or were already on line. Not to mention the lighter
> Hydrogen bombs available for use by the B-52 SAC Bombers.

The story behind the Jupiter missles was nothing more than a "face
saving" exercise for the Soviets. It allowed them to say, "See, we did
get something out of the whole deal..." when in fact all we did was
change from fixed base missles to seaborne.

It's just one more "Markism" we can point at him and laugh about,
Dan!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2900. Re: a few thoughts...VERY few thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 10:34:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129113279.650897.292730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120726

an old friend wrote:
> Stevie esp (with help from dan and dave) argue that it is chil abuse to
> teach that lying is ever a good idea

"child" "never"

It's not. It "teaches" that weakness of character is "OK".

I just teach my kids what to AVOID and why...Then they don't have
to LIE about ANYthing.

> Is it? consider this Stevie Dave dan whiny and other all spend a great
> deal of time on the "issue" that I am bisexaul, something I try not to
> bring up but frankly it isn't possible to not deal with around here.

"bisexual"

I don't "bring it up", Mark...You do. Such as in this windy,
rambling bit of self-appreciating pontification you've generated here.

> It is said that roughly 10 percent of amercians are BLGT that is number
> of more than 20 million people., and yet for if they are honest they
> are suggejected to the relentless attacks of people like Stevie.
> therefore a good case can be made that lying is a better idea than
> hoesntly.

"Americans" "subjected" "honesty"

I don't "attack" anyone based upon thier "sexuality", Mark...But I
do about them lying. You've been busted LYING about this as you have
numerous other topics.

> Indeed despite the fact I don't deny being bisexual Stevie says
> everything I say is a lie or words to that effect.

There's not "words to that effect".

There's your ADMISSION that you lie "at will".

YOUR words...

> Stevie and MD went
> looking for this years ago I gareed with them and said (and continue to
> say it has nothing to do with ham radio) but 7 years later Stevie still
> hammers at this issue

"agreed"

No I don't.

But here YOU are creating an entirely new thread whining about it.

> BTW I have perhaps found an issue in Ham radio
> where it has some bearing I don't see the gender of conectors in the
> same way as the rest of you, not a major one but it came up this week,
> thanks to someone signing their email "k4yz" sending email to many ham
> in the area presumibly to inusre everyone knows this "vital fact" about
> me

"presumably" "ensure"

Check the headers of any e mail allegedly signed by me, Mark.

Nice story, though.

> forunately for me who ever (and it may or may not be Stevie making
> these mails which I have not seen with there header in place, Stevie
> may be the victum of someone else here trying to provoke further
> "entertainment" by signing stevie name) laced the mail without enough
> over the top to be largely dismised

"dismissed"

But do you think EVERYone is going to "dismiss" it, Mark?

People don't generate stuff like that unless there's SOME reason
for it. Assuming your story is true (I seriously doubt it...You've
been caught in so many other lies...) the "locals" will be watching
you.

> but back to the subject more than 50 percent of my children will bi or
> gay according to studies. I know that fact. therefore for several
> reason I will teach my children to lie.

Then they will get caught lying. And regardless of who they lay
down with at night, they will be known as liars.

People can "do business" with other people on a whole range of
issues and have no reason to regret their transactions. But people
don't tolerate or trust liars.

> reason One should they turn out to be bi or gay it will help to ensure
> there safety from Stevie or people likely whinny

Yeah. Right.

> reason two plenty of sex feinds pray on children lying as they will be
> taught that about everything they say to strangers online, about
> themselves, should be a lie, will help keep them safe

"fiends"

Teaching your kids to just stay away from places where people like
that lurk is the first priority, Mark.

Teaching them to come to their parents with any questionable
communications is the second.

Teaching them to lie to strangers just makes it easy for them to
lie to you.

> I am called paranoid for such views.

No. You're called an idiot. None of your claims or allegations
has ever been even remotely substantiated.

> Indeed I even understand the
> thought that goes into that charge. OTOH I have experenced for myself
> the price to be paid for being honest about such things, both personaly
> and on the bodies of others.

"experienced" "personally"

HONEST...?!?!
BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

And "...on the bodies of others..." ?!?! What crap are you
spewing now...?!?!

> Why do I lie stevie? becuase folks like you and whinny and other taught
> me that it wasn't safe to tell the truth.

"Stevie" "because"

You lie because your self-esteem and character are lacking.

You were CAUGHT lying over and over again, Mark.

> You want to stop me and other from teach the next generation to lie,
> try working for a world where being honest isn't flat out unsafe.

And how can you create a world that's NOT "unsafe" when there are
creeps like you promoting deviance, deceit and cowardice?

> Have
> you seen the stat on suicides in Gay youths. you should know something
> of them as a nurse. you nor I can create a wrold where lying isn't the
> profitable way of doing things. It should be possible to create a world
> where telling the turth isn't ever dangerous.

"world"

Lying is "profitable"...?!?!

> I realy don't mind the fact you don't like me even if the sole reason
> were my sexual orientation.

"really"

I don't give a squat WHO you sleep with, Mark.

You are a liar. Plain and simple. You even went as far as to
"admit" it and then try to DEFEND it.

You make up these assinine stories and then try to "vicitimize"
yourself for pity's sake.

> I support that as your right (which is why
> I not amoug the left wingers that bascialywant to make disliking gays
> crime) hat can never support support is the branding you practice.

"among" "basically" "that"

First of all, no one can "legislate" people into NOT 'disliking'
ANYone for ANY reason.

The CRIME is commiting some physical act against somone because of
it.

> it is a fact in this nation today that if you stand up and say so and
> so is gay you endanger there there safety and even their life. I truely
> wish the preceeding statement were a lie, nothing on earth would make
> me happier

Mark, I work with at least six people that I KNOW of who are gay
and make no bones about being gay, even here in the middle of the Bible
Belt. Not a one of them has sufered som much as a hang nail or headache
due to thier chosen "lifestyle".

> i'll be gone a couple of days having married a practicing Jew we will
> be off to visit a larger temple for Yom Kippur so i will not be around
> a few days

Have a nice trip.

> think about what I have written if you able look at your posts and
> daves and esp whinnyslit, and ask yourself why it is dangerous in
> america to honest about a subject that should be nobodies bussness who
> another person sleeps with

Then stop making an issue of it.

Stop lying.

And look at your OWN posts. Ask YOURSELF why almost everyone in
this forum finds it so easy to latch on to your dribble and make fun of
it. And your typos and fractured English grammar are the LEAST of the
issues I refer to !

> I expect that i will come back and find your normal venon in reply, but
> maybe you will choose to disapoint me

"venom" "disappoint"

Nothing I could say in "reply" would be perceived as anything BUT
"venom" by you, Mark. You don't want to accept that your deceit and
dishonesty are the roots of YOUR problem, not your choice of sex
partners.

Shalom

Steve, K4YZ

2901. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:20:12 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2fGdnTXvqIwcYtHeRVn-gw@crocker.com>
Source record: 120727

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:TPW2f.13440$q1.4691@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
who are they? all i see in here are very occasional short discussions of
ham policy.

2902. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:31:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129120311.339708.308520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120728

N9OGL wrote:
> yeah, dan can start his own on google, I'm running 4 right now.
>
> Todd n9ogl

Nobody wants to hear about your homosexual themed "groups", Toad.

2903. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:32:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129120364.759524.189560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120729

Oh the irony, Marky. You whine about everybody who doesn't agree with you, child abuser.

2904. Re: a few thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:35:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129120545.174478.116830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120730

Have fun with your she male "practicing Jew" at the B&B in Vermont,
Marky.

2905. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:38:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129120728.537783.117580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120731

Careful, Marky the stalker will hit you with his purse.

2906. Re: Goodbye K1MAN - Soon Gone Forever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:41:44 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <cw73f.479630$xm3.149702@attbi_s21>
Source record: 120732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Radio Free Beer-Belly" <fartinfapflop@fatassedhams.usa> wrote in message news:86c25$434c7792$d1cc7ab8$4510@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

>--- Just as long as the ego-centric likely (?) paranoid
> ---

If Baxter isn't paranoid, then he surely doesn't understand the situation.

2907. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:44:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129121072.369509.60070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Jeff (nospam) wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128999190.291274.65080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > > > > > > > > not at all
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > > > > > > > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
> > > > > > ----------
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
> > > > > whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
> > > > > violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
> > > > > would be locked up.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > the legal system has so broken down that the law is hardly enforced
> > > > doesn't change the law
> > ----------
> >
> > Double BS,, cite me "one" case where someone "threatened'
> > to do something and got charged.
>
> happens all the time mostly in mob cases

You watch too much TV, Marky.

> and there are people still in jail for merely threatening reagan as a
> joke
>
> people were arested for threatening Mrs Bush on the campiagn trail

Marky the imbecile always gets it wrong.

> > The legal system hasnt gone to
> > hell,,,, there never has been a law against making threats.
>
> guess you have never read the hate crimes laws in the nation

It's obvious you can't read, period.

2908. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:51:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129121495.429298.205830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Honus wrote:
> > > > > > "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:TyF2f.418739$x96.87311@attbi_s72...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > > > > > > > equal
> > > > > > > > ----------------------------------------------------------------------
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Only under the eyes of the law.....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You're both wrong; shame on you. It's the Declaration of Independence, and
> > > > > > it's predicated on the belief that government serves only to secure the
> > > > > > rights that we're all born with.
> > > > > >
> > > > > it is in the principle on which the constitution and its framer built
> > > > > the govt of the USA
> > > > >
> > > > > To whit:
> > > > >
> > > > > "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal,
> > > > > that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that
> > > > > among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure
> > > > > these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just
> > > > > Powers from the consent of the governed, -- "
> > > > >
> > > > > Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> > > > > eh?
> > > > >
> > > > no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> > > > It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> > > > clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
> > > > SC
> > > >
> > > Wrong, stupid Marky, Thomas Jefferson, one of the principal authors of
> > > the Declaration of Independence, WAS a slave-owner.
> > >
```

> > > Inded he was as I said without naming him but of course he was ONE
> > > person not "slaveholders" pural
> > >
> > > you realy should try learning english
> >
> > You are the one that said to this statement:
> > > Of course, that document was written by slaveholders...so much for -that-,
> > > eh?
> >
> > You replied:
> >
> > "no it wasn't but slaveholders were included in the Contienal Congress
> > It was written a by a Slave holder who did include an anti slavery
> > clause, stricken at the last minute at the insistance of Mr Rutlegde of
> >
> > SC"
> >
> > YOU are the one who said the document wasn't written by slaveholders,
> > when anybody with a modicum of education knows differently, Marky.
>
> anyone one with a real education knows that it was written by A slave
> Holder, not by a group of them, but I guess that lets you out

Yet, YOU are the one who said it wasn't, not anybody else, Marky. And
trying to use my exact same argument back to me is lame, but you are a
world class fool.

> >
> > You are the one in desperate need of learning English, child abuser.
> >
> > > > As were many
> > > > others. It wasn't just written by one person, either, Marky.
> > >
> > > the other authors (whose roles were minor) Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > and Sherman were not slave holders
> >
> > You are even more stupid then you show on here, Marky, if you think
> > that... Adams and Franklin were not minor roleplayers, either, stupid.
>
> Minor in American indenpendance no in the writing of the document yes,

No, stupid. You are wrong, as usual.

> at Adams is a minor player in that drama according to Adams, Franklin
> according to Adams and Jefferson, one the few things Adamas and
> jefferson agred on in later year

You are really fucking stupid, Marky. You need to go back to school,
also.

> and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> and Sherman) were slave holders at all

>
> therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> pural

God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
all the Southern Colonies which later became states?

> shocking the lack of education displayed

Oh, the irony, Marky.

> >
> > > > Rutledge
> > > > needed the clause to be stricken for economic reasons. The agrarian
> > > > economy at the time in the South depended upon slaves as a workforce
> > > >
> > > yes of that was the reason advanced, beat then you are into beating
> > > dead horses
> > >
> > Wrong stupid, as usual.
>
> I am right on target

No, stupid, you missed by a parsec.

2909. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:55:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129121748.300298.90310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120735

Neither do you, faggot Toad.

2910. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 12:56:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129121814.418096.319240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120736

How can you hear or see anything with your head permanently stuck up
your ass?:

2911. Re: to whiny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 13:00:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129122035.184827.235160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120737

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > cut
> >
> > Coward.
>
> not at all just saving the eyes

Poke them out with ice picks, that's a better solution for you.

> BTW you don't even label your cuts

What cuts?

> if cuting is cowardly then secretly cutting has to be even more
> cowardly

You mean like what you do?

> > > ah yes the race card
> >
> > You and Lloyd aren't part of the human race, Marky.
>
> I honestly don't know about Loyd (not sure he exists as opposed to be
> an internet invention) But I am fully human, and suspect Loyd is as
> well

No, stupid. You are subhuman.

> >
> > > I do want you to know how scary it is to be called a child abuser by a
> > > racist Piece of Shit
> >
> > Wrong, stupid.
>
> No error in stating I have no fear of being labeled a child abuser by
> as racist piece of shit such as yourself

Wrong, stupid. It sure got you whining though, you child abusing piece
of shit.

2912. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 13:01:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129122117.498895.107230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120738

No, and VTW, Marky, I've heard you use the N-word on the air, child abuser.

2913. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 13:05:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129122319.810440.125770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120739

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > nobody's friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
> > > BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel
> >
> > Bullshit, you got that honorary title by working the fryer at Kentucky
> > Fried Chcicken.
>
> nope

Yep. and you frequently use the N-word on the air.

> >
> > > but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
> > > honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie
> >
> > More Marky lies.
>
> not at all but as I said before it is a thought beyond your abilty to
> understand

More Marky Malarkie. You can't even put together a complete sentence.

> >
> > > a philophocal that is most likely above your ability to understand but
> > > I know others about can
> >
> > Try posting in coherent English, stupid.
```

2914. Re: Goodbye K1MAN - Soon Gone Forever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 13:54:10 UTC
From: Brian's Owner <BriansOwner@fruitybrianboy.net>
Message-ID: <dij4e2jtm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Share with us Brian. Do you have a family life? or does
k1man own that part of your life too?

2915. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 14:20:20 UTC
From: oink-oink <doortowood3@kooksonline.com>
Message-ID: <798a6$434d1bab$d1cc4139$11470@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 120741

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:TPW2f.13440$q1.4691@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

www.qrz.com

www.eham.net

....and of course, the best one of all: http://myrockport.com/world.htm

2916. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 14:25:53 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <R193f.13604$QE1.6880@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120742

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129098408.733330.37400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129064045.513287.255040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
> cut
>> >but close only counts with horseshoes hand granades and nukes
>> >what will really count is the total lack of any valid reason to keep
>> >code testing or the failure of the Procoders to advance any new argument
>> >(even at this late date I believe that the proCoders coming up with
>> >something NEW...valid or not would stop the process for months)
>> >given that I suspect the FCC will do as it is poised to do, end code
>> >testing.
>>
>> That pretty much sums things up quite well...especially since
>> a number of nations have already abondoned all code testing
>> with no ill effects after two years.
>
> not to put you on the spott but i'll try to put you on the spot
>
> do you agree with my statement that a for a truly new arguement that
> the FCC would wait and study awhile?

IF (big IF) some new compelling reason was identified to justify
keeping code testing, then yes, I think the FCC might look deeper
or perhaps rethink their proposal...BUT, as we both appear to agree,
no such new and compelling reason(s) have been offered up by
anyone. Even after several major widespread emergencies (Katrina, etc)
no additional arguments or even anecdotal evidence has surfaced
that points to any need for code knowledge.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2917. Re: a few thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 14:26:10 UTC
From: oink-oink <doortowood3@kooksonline.com>
Message-ID: <1b18c$434d1cff$d1cc4139$11540@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 120743

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129120545.174478.116830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Have fun with your she male "practicing Jew" at the B&B in Vermont,
> Marky.
>

is he/she any relation to the 2 or more lesbians what used to
allegedly live next door to KC1 Zipper Queen and
made his live a living nightmare whilst there during the
great old days of the 14.313 Radio Wars ?

"I'll kick the living s--t outta you!!"
- ka4muj on 14.313 (sk)

2918. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 15:08:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129129738.915561.150270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120744

I never claimed I did, by the way you little troll, does you mommy know
you talk that way?

2919. Re: Goodbye K1MAN - Soon Gone Forever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 15:35:39 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1wt5f9@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1wt5f9@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 120757

Radio Free Beer-Belly Wrote:
> channel391@juno.com wrote in message
> news:1129064609.437895.255030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...-[color=green][i]
> From QRZ.COM:-
>
> Most of us couldn't give a loud low-freq resonant broccoli fart in
> church about K1MAN

True, but I just received a new bulletin from the
Bull****ter-in-Chief... This just in...

The regular circle-jerk featuring Frank The Ham, Glenn, Woger, Rich,
and Todd will no longer be held each Thursday night in the Belgrade
Lakes gay men's bath-house.

Instead, male escorts will now service staff members individually in
their own homes. This is a new service made possible by the merger of
'IARN' and 'The Voice' This merger combines Glenn's gifts in website
building and public relations with Todd's keen insight and mastery of
the English language.

Woger, Rich, and Frank will be roving good-will ambassadors and
rest-room attendants.

Listen for the 'Voice of Idiots' coming soon.

You can catch this new program (daily) at
8AM,9AM,10AM,11AM,Noon,1PM,2PM,3PM,4PM,5PM,6PM,7PM,8PM,9PM,10PM,11PM,Midnight,1AM,2AM,3AM,
4AM,5AM,6AM,
and 7AM (all eastern times) on 27.185 mHZ.

The 'Voice of Idiots' is #1 in the business of #2.

--
John Johnston

2920. Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 16:04:08 UTC
From: Matt <matth@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <dijc5o01l6q@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 120745

There was a discussion in June in which this was said:

There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.

73,

Lloyd

How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!

2921. K1MAN AND IARN ORDER HAMS TO AID SOUTH-EAST ASIA NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 16:31:19 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129134679.926177.277550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K1MAN BULLETIN - 12 October 2005 - B051012A -

MORE VOLUNTEERS ARE NEEDED - ACT TODAY!

IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is fully activated for the Southern Asia earthquake disaster. Amateur radio volunteers with self sufficient and portable AMTOR capabilities are STILL needed for immediate deployment. A current passport is also a must. Call 207 495 2215 for immediate deployment information. Traffic is being accepted at IARNtraffic@aol.com.

IARN is the world leader in emergency amateur radio communications and is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association. Join today! AARA Membership

2922. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 16:42:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129135365.970100.246340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120747

```
an old friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > > They valued warfare higher.
> > > > >
> > > > > As someone who has "been there, done that" I can assure you that nobody values
> > > > > warfare except arms vendors.
> > > >
> > > > > Warfare is perceived (rightly or wrongly) as a method to OBTAIN some thing or
> > > > > some result of value.
> > > >
> > > > Well said!
> > > >
> > > > > > JFK needed something that looked good to
> > > > > > counter his critics about the Bay of Pigs and
> > > > > > the Cuban missile crisis.
> > > > >
> > > > > "Been there, done that, got the medals both times". The only critics of the
> > > > > results of the "Cuban missile crisis" wore poorly fitting suits and drank lots
> > > > > of vodka.
> > > >
> > > > Not the results but that the whole thing happened in the first place.
> > > >
> > > > IIRC, the Soviets were ticked off about the placement of Jupiter-C
> > > > IRBMs in Turkey. Of course Turkey was and is a NATO country. Moscow's
> > > > objection to the IRBMs was that they could hit targets inside the
> > > > Soviet Union in minutes, and were virtually impossible to stop,
> > > > compared to conventional bombers. They demanded that the IRBMs be
> > > > removed, and of course NATO refused - even though the Jupiters were
> > > > becoming outdated by ICBMs and submarine-launched missiles.
> > > >
> > > > So the Soviets retaliated by trying to install similar IRBMs in Cuba.
> > > > Fortunately the preparations were discovered and their plans thwarted.
> > > >
> > > > But what was kept rather quiet is that some months after the Soviets
> > > > backed down from installing their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were
> > > > quietly removed from Turkey.
> > >
> > > bullshit Jim
> >
```

> > Which part of what I wrote is not true, Mark?
>
> that the Jupiter links with the cuban misle cris were unknown at the
> time

That's the way it was. There were two parts to the resolution of the crisis:

First, the Soviets removed their missiles and promised not to put such missiles or similar weapons so close to the USA in the future. This part was made public right away.

Second, the USA and NATO removed the Jupiter-C missiles from Turkey some time afterwards. This part was kept secret and *not* publicized until long after the crisis was over.

But don't take my word for it. Read the actual documents, many recently unclassified:

http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/nsa/cuba_mis_cri/

All sorts of good stuff on that site. For example, the Jupiters in Turkey only became operational in April, 1962. And at that time, the USSR had only about fifty nuclear-capable bombers and missiles that could reach the USA, while the USA had about ten times that many capable of reaching the USSR.

> the NY Times and Washington post had stories out within day of their
> withdraw

Show us.

> it was comon knowledge with a few years

The point is that *at the time of the crisis* the US agreement to remove the Jupiter-Cs from Turkey was kept very quiet, while the USSR's agreement to remove their missiles from Cuba was all over the front pages of the Western world.

> > Perhaps you mean my reference to the Jupiter-Cs as "IRBMs"
> > (Intermediate-Range-Ballistic-Missiles) which are elsewhere called
> > "MRBMs" (Medium-Range-Ballistic-Missiles).
> >
> > > every movie or account of those days mentions it
> >
> > Even if true, so what?
>
> that these account all list the withdraw

AFTER the crises was long past. Not in October/November 1962, when the Soviet concessions were made.

> > Those movies and accounts were done long after the crisis. What I wrote
> > is true: some months after the Soviets backed down from installing

> > their missiles in Cuba, the Jupiters were quietly removed from Turkey.
>
> the last is the falsehood the jupiters simply were not front page news

Show us.

> > That they were scheduled to be removed, were obsolete, and were already
> > replaced by more-effective submarine-launched missiles and ICBMs is
> > inconsequential. The point is that the Soviets backed down publicly and
> > visibly, while *at the time*, the removal of the missiles in Turkey was
> > kept quiet until long after it was an accomplished fact.
>
> deabtable the meaning of "long" by my count it was matter of few
> months.

Then what you're really arguing over is how much time it takes to
qualify as "long after".

> I call that short, indeed id say it was kept queist a shorter
> than most folks who do learn morse Code take to learn it

Most people can learn Morse Code well enough to pass the current test
in about 30 days - if they practice the right way.

> but in any event that was part of the deal the jupiter withdraw was
> mostly to help K setle matter matter with the politboro, not the public

NK was removed from power within about two years (1964), with the
handling of that crisis being a big factor.
>
> > > and that the Jupiters were obsolete and scheduled for withdraw
> > >
> > > and the Kendy had ordered their withdraw several time
> >
> cut the waste of BW

You mean the facts. The Jupiters in Turkey only became operational in
April 1962.

I suggest you and anyone interested check out the above website for the
facts.

btw, since K0HB was a USN radio operator involved in the quarantine,
it's very possible he knew far more about what was going on - first
hand - than you give him credit for.

2923. K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 16:49:15 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129135755.028642.49980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED051010A - AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION

ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

"The League has mismanaged emergency funds in my opinion," says AARA Executive Director, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN. "If ARRL spent as much effort on emergency communications as they do lobbying against K1MAN's FCC license in Washington, they might have much greater success competing with IARN on the disaster communications front," according to Mr. Baxter. "Their focus seems to be on their dwindling membership," says the leader of the American Amateur Radio Association and arch rival of Newington. www.K1MAN.com

See the attached League articles. (While not yet a radio amateur!)

NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 6, 2005--Sarah Dorsey, a native of West Hartford, Connecticut, is the ARRL's new--and first--Membership Manager. She officially assumed her duties at ARRL Headquarters October 5. Her primary focus will be on developing membership recruitment and retention strategies to increase membership growth. She'll also support member and customer service. WHILE NOT YET A RADIO AMATEUR, Dorsey says she's "absolutely" looking forward to the challenge of her new responsibilities, and a ham radio licensing class is on her short list.

"I enjoy work that is personally meaningful," she said. "I've been fascinated with learning about ham radio operators and the crucial role they played and continue to perform in the rescue and recovery efforts for Katrina and Rita. I look forward to the day when I'll also be able to work side by side with our members."

Dorsey attended Green Mountain College in Vermont and is a graduate of Charter Oak College in Connecticut. Prior to coming to the League, she served as recruitment marketing manager for a non-profit health care services organization in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where she managed recruitment and retention initiatives.

Her professional background also includes management, marketing and recruitment positions in various disciplines of the healthcare and human services markets. Dorsey says she's now ready for a new challenge that requires essentially the same skill set.

ARRL COO Harold Kramer, WJ1B, anticipates that Dorsey's past experience will fold right into the League's goal of boosting membership and retaining current members. "More important, we look forward to her

providing even more value and benefits to being an ARRL member," Kramer said. "Sarah will become a very visible part of the ARRL team at hamfests and conventions, and she is looking forward to meeting many of our members and prospective members."

ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, earlier this year announced the search for a Membership Manager to target membership recruitment and retention. He says having Dorsey on board in that role is part of an overall strategy to "look beyond many of the League's current organizational paradigms regarding membership."

Dorsey recalled occasionally driving past the Maxim Memorial Station W1AW building while growing up in the Greater Hartford area. "That little brick building is definitely a landmark," she remarked, adding that she never anticipated one day working on behalf of Amateur Radio at ARRL Headquarters. "Now everywhere I go, I'm starting to notice antennas!"

2924. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 16:49:45 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1wt5fa@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1wt5fa@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 120758

Bill Sohl Wrote:
>
> IF (big IF) some new compelling reason was identified to justify
> keeping code testing, then yes, I think the FCC might look deeper
> or perhaps rethink their proposal...BUT, as we both appear to agree,
> no such new and compelling reason(s) have been offered up by
> anyone. Even after several major widespread emergencies (Katrina,
> etc)
> no additional arguments or even anecdotal evidence has surfaced
> that points to any need for code knowledge.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

FCC has seemed anxious for "concensus", so the apparent almost half who
want some continued test may seem to risk that 5wpm test for Extra would
remain as other countries have done for their top classes.

--
Iitoi

2925. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 16:50:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129135845.608615.289900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120749

Dee Flint wrote:
> Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> ratings.

Well said, Dee!

There's also the fact that opinions differ about what is worth
reporting and what is not, how much detail to report, how much history
to tell, etc.

It should also be remembered that before Vietnam and particularly
Watergate, the US news media tended to avoid criticizing the current
administration. They'd almost always give the president - indeed,
almost anyone in the government - the benefit of the doubt, as it were.
All that changed after Watergate.

The following website:

http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/nsa/cuba_mis_cri/

includes a critique of the recent film "Thirteen Days", specifically
pointing out how its omissions can leave the viewer with a rather
distorted and inaccurate view of what caused the crisis in the first
place. That film is recent, too, not 40 years old.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2926. Re: K1MAN AND IARN ORDER HAMS TO AID SOUTH-EAST ASIA NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 17:26:02 UTC
From: oink-oink <doortowood3@kooksonline.com>
Message-ID: <30d10$434d4720$d1cc494c$13767@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 120750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129134679.926177.277550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN BULLETIN - 12 October 2005 - B051012A -
>
> MORE VOLUNTEERS ARE NEEDED - ACT TODAY!
>
> IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is fully activated for
> the Southern Asia earthquake disaster. Amateur radio volunteers
> with self sufficient and portable AMTOR capabilities are STILL needed
> for immediate deployment. A current passport is also a must.

.....yeah right !

Let's all go to Southeast Asia to play radio cop with
Blowhard Baxter and risk contracting BIRD FLU

FOAD

2927. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 18:02:28 UTC
From: palinor <rpalino@mailandnews.com>
Message-ID: <dijj3k$c3p$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

Rather than be the umpteenth person to tell you that you don't need an FCC license for internet broadcasting... ;-)

....I'll tell you a quick and easy way to get around the *other* licensing issue.

You could simply go to Live 365 (live365.com) and sign up there. You will be given a blanket license that should keep you covered legally.

Also, you *might* be think about having a link that only connects for people "on campus" (using your servers, etc.) that might allow you to broadcast on your INTRAnet (as opposed to the whole internet). That might keep you in the clear.

You might also have to watch out for any prerecorded spots that have agency or union talent on them. (Although, sine you are a non-comm, this is practically a non-issue.) If you have PSA's from the ad-council, they should be covered by the ad-council.

2928. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 18:07:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129140445.844911.189060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120752

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 11 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2545	572
Indeterminate (note 1)	158	48
Value for Percentages	2387	524
Against NPRM (note 2)	719 [30.12%]	164 [31.30%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1305 [54.67%]	271 [51.72%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	363 [15.21%]	89 [16.98%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2929. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 19:51:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129146706.927127.66470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120753

From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 12 Oct 2005 14:25

>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>> do you agree with my statement that a for a truly new arguement that
>> the FCC would wait and study awhile?
>
>IF (big IF) some new compelling reason was identified to justify
>keeping code testing, then yes, I think the FCC might look deeper
>or perhaps rethink their proposal...BUT, as we both appear to agree,
>no such new and compelling reason(s) have been offered up by
>anyone. Even after several major widespread emergencies (Katrina, etc)
>no additional arguments or even anecdotal evidence has surfaced
>that points to any need for code knowledge.

I personally doubt that the FCC will do any more than review the 2600 Comments expected to be received by the end of the Comment period at the end of October (as of the 11th of October the count of Comments was 2545 total). Right now there are more Comments than the more than half-year period of WT Docket 98-143 on Restructuring. That ended in mid-January 1999 and the final Report and Order (99-412) was released in December 1999. Since WT Docket 05-235 is less complex than 98-143, I doubt the review-and-decision period would be greater than a half year on NPRM 05-143.

If there is any concession to the outraged ham morsemen, I would predict that the Commission MIGHT keep the 5 WPM code test for Amateur Extra class. I say MIGHT only in speculation. The Commission is already on record of 15 years ago that it doesn't think that radiotelegraphy skill is anything worthy for their purpose in granting amateur radio licenses. However, the Commission has conceded to the desires of the special interest groups (such as the ARRL) in the past, so the final R&O will say much about the influence of the ARRL on the Commission now. If the Commission won't yield to morse testing for Extras, then I'd say that the ARRL just doesn't have the clout it once had.

My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2930. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ? - shutthefuckup.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 20:48:33 UTC
From: Michael E Elcsisin <KC2FTN@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <ditqk15n5891ldjgd73ppan92doq1n717q@4ax.com>
Source record: 120754
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
wrote:
> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
Shutup you sniveling crybaby.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2931. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 20:52:06 UTC
From: Michael E Elcsisin <KC2FTN@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <notqk1tgi9obbk3k3ug9orcd9q3qoggb66@4ax.com>
Source record: 120755
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full
> > of kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>
> why dont you found one Dan? Google provides the means
>
> or are you going to whine about the fact not everyone agrees with you?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

>

Try here:

alt.fan.fatassed.woger.wiseman-dicksucker

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2932. Roger Wiseman attacked his mother

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 20:55:03 UTC
From: Michael E Elcsisin <KC2FTN@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <jutqk1t35ad5bcmeiu7lq0t5i01ikqb46t@4ax.com>
Source record: 120756
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Careful, Marky the stalker will hit you with his purse.
>
That's what happened to you when you assaulted
your mother, woger the wuss.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2933. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ? - shutthefuckup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:20:30 UTC
From: Hamguy <hamguy@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <434d808e@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 120759
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey, stop playing with my name and account. :(If you don't stop it, then
I am telling. :(
I know that I started it, but you are playing mean. :(
"Michael E Elcsisin" <KC2FTN@yahoo.net> wrote in message
news:2ltqk1htbbddjq5lodbpagugdbu5e4k6oe@4ax.com...
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2934. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ? - shutthefuckup.JPG (1/1) - youwhinebaby.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:29:11 UTC
From: Michael E Elcsisin <KC2FTN@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <qvvqk1pisphircbjarblds64a3bhb9teig@4ax.com>
Source record: 120760
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote:
> Hey, stop playing with my name and account. :(If you don't stop it,
> then I am telling. :(
> I know that I started it, but you are playing mean. :(
> "Michael E Elcsisin" <KC2FTN@yahoo.net> wrote in message
> news:2ltqk1htbbddjq5lodbpagugdbu5e4k6oe@4ax.com...
> > _____
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 140,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2935. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:35:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dkf3f.12859$vw6.11110@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120761

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129084325.573086.85820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> you don't own the frequency, moron
>
Sure I do....just like you claim you do.

Dan/W4NTI

2936. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:42:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129153363.537201.315990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120762

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.

That's nice, Len.

But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?

Does anyone check your work? You do make mistakes, Len. We've seen some of them here. Like you claims about the legality of hams operating with expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses, where you clearly didn't understand what Part 97 actually says.

Is there a detailed breakdown of each commenter's response, such as was done by KC8EPO back in 1999? A listing of duplicates removed and other anomalies, with the criteria for each? Or do you expect us to just take your word for the accuracy of the scorecard?

I suppose someone could go through all the comments and come up with their own scorecard. But what good would it do if that scorecard differed from yours? We all know how you'd react here.

Also, it's clear to anyone who reads your posts here that you're hardly unbiased on the subject of code testing.

Indeed, you used the phrase "unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship as either vital or neccessary [sic] in amateur radio" as if *others* scorecards are somehow biased - but not yours. You've previously accused others of 'massaged numbers' and 'fraud' when their data did not match yours, too.

So why should anyone *assume* the accuracy of your scorecard, Len? I'm not saying you're intentionally cooking the books, but given your long and varied track record here and elsewhere of mistakes, misinterpretations of dissenting opinions and extremely negative, attacking, denigrating responses to those who support either Morse Code or Morse Code testing, it's a bit of a stretch to imagine *you* in the role of unbiased reporter of those comments.

Besides, FCC doesn't have to go by majority opinion anyway. Back in 1999, the majority of commenters did *not* want the elimination of all but 5 wpm code testing, but FCC did it anyway. (See WK3C's post of KC8EPO's tabulation here. The majority of those commenting wanted at

least two code test speeds).

2937. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:45:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Ttf3f.12863$vw6.8132@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120763

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1129110624.192305.116290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> John wrote:
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not
>> > > full of
>> > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>> > >
>> > > Dan/W4NTI
>> > >
>> > >
>> > 14.275?
>>
>> that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
>> not speak for Len and Frank
>
> Watta hoot...
>
> Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
> recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
> why...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I know, I know. He is just so easy.

Dan/W4NTI

2938. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:46:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0vf3f.12864$vw6.1127@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120764

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129098509.625366.110400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
>> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>
> why dont you found one Dan? Google provides the means
>
> or are you going to whine about the fact not everyone agrees with you?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
Folks can disagree with me all they want. I just require they have sense
and can respond in a readable manner. That elliminates you.

Dan/W4NTI

2939. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:47:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xvf3f.12865$vw6.6583@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120765

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129104135.048829.201210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> yeah, dan can start his own on google, I'm running 4 right now.
>
> Todd n9ogl
>

And here you are still, eh Toad? What does that say?

Dan/W4NTI

2940. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:48:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Nwf3f.12866$vw6.1288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120766

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:2fGdnTXvqIwcYtHeRVn-gw@crocker.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:TPW2f.13440$q1.4691@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
>> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> who are they? all i see in here are very occasional short discussions of
> ham policy.
>
Stick around and pay attention. Only N9OGL and "an old friend" are in here
now. The other jerks will be back.

Dan/W4NTI

2941. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:50:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_yf3f.12867$vw6.7657@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120767

"oink-oink" <doortowood3@kooksonline.com> wrote in message
news:798a6$434d1bab$d1cc4139$11470@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:TPW2f.13440$q1.4691@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
>> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> www.qrz.com
>
> www.eham.net
>
> ....and of course, the best one of all: http://myrockport.com/world.htm
>
QRZ is getting as bad as here. Course that's because N9OGL is up there too.
My Rockport isn't a discussion group. I haven't been to eham lately, maybe
that's worth looking at again.

But I know of those two....any others?

Dan/W4NTI

2942. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:52:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <iAf3f.12868$vw6.12045@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120768

"Matt" <matth@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:dijc5o01l6q@enews2.newsguy.com...
> There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
>
> ---
> There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
> the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
> even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
> cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
> boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
> ---
>
> How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!

It's NOT.

Dan/W4NTI

2943. Re: K1MAN AND IARN ORDER HAMS TO AID SOUTH-EAST ASIA NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 21:53:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <fBf3f.12869$vw6.558@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129134679.926177.277550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN BULLETIN - 12 October 2005 - B051012A -
>
> MORE VOLUNTEERS ARE NEEDED - ACT TODAY!
>
> IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is fully activated for
> the Southern Asia earthquake disaster. Amateur radio volunteers
> with self sufficient and portable AMTOR capabilities are STILL needed
> for immediate deployment. A current passport is also a must. Call
> 207 495 2215 for immediate deployment information. Traffic is being
> accepted at IARNtraffic@aol.com.
>
> IARN is the world leader in emergency amateur radio communications
> and is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association.
> Join today! AARA Membership
>

I've been running AMTOR listening on 14.127 for about a year now. Ain't
heard squat. Another BS Story from MAN.

Dan/W4NTI

2944. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 22:09:34 UTC
From: jlwsecure-google@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129154974.048842.279250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120770

Matt wrote:
> There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
>
> ---------------------
> There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
> the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
> even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
> cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
> boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
> --------------------
>
> How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!

It is not. I have a feeling many people consider GMRS a second-class service. In my area, in Ohio, there is talk of linking a GMRS repeater to a 2 meter system. These folks will be reported the the FCC for appropriate enforcement action, if and when it happens.

http://www.popularwireless.com/pra.html

2945. Re: K1MAN AND IARN ORDER HAMS TO AID SOUTH-EAST ASIA NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 22:24:22 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <nbcblue@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129155862.783938.144910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129134679.926177.277550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > K1MAN BULLETIN - 12 October 2005 - B051012A -
> >
> > MORE VOLUNTEERS ARE NEEDED - ACT TODAY!
> >
> > IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is fully activated for
> > the Southern Asia earthquake disaster. Amateur radio volunteers
> > with self sufficient and portable AMTOR capabilities are STILL needed
> > for immediate deployment. A current passport is also a must. Call
> > 207 495 2215 for immediate deployment information. Traffic is being
> > accepted at IARNtraffic@aol.com.
> >
> > IARN is the world leader in emergency amateur radio communications
> > and is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association.
> > Join today! AARA Membership
> >
>
> I've been running AMTOR listening on 14.127 for about a year now. Ain't
> heard squat. Another BS Story from MAN.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

The idiot K1MAN can't 'order' any hams to do anything.

2946. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 22:28:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <nbcblue@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129156107.651036.271400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120772

Yes he does, I just sold it to him last week, moron.

2947. Re: K1MAN AND IARN ORDER HAMS TO AID SOUTH-EAST ASIA NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 23:39:10 UTC
From: Lloyd <dx@lloyddenverdxers.net>
Message-ID: <dik6mv$6ul$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:fBf3f.12869$vw6.558@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129134679.926177.277550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> K1MAN BULLETIN - 12 October 2005 - B051012A -
>>
>> MORE VOLUNTEERS ARE NEEDED - ACT TODAY!
>>
>> IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is fully activated for
>> the Southern Asia earthquake disaster. Amateur radio volunteers
>> with self sufficient and portable AMTOR capabilities are STILL needed
>> for immediate deployment. A current passport is also a must. Call
>> 207 495 2215 for immediate deployment information. Traffic is being
>> accepted at IARNtraffic@aol.com.
>>
>> IARN is the world leader in emergency amateur radio communications
>> and is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association.
>> Join today! AARA Membership
>>
>
> I've been running AMTOR listening on 14.127 for about a year now. Ain't
> heard squat. Another BS Story from MAN.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Coming in gangbusters, here in Denver.

73,

Lloyd

2948. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 23:46:57 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Rfh3f.429398$x96.123330@attbi_s72>
Source record: 120774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:Sw03f.37075$q81.35200@trnddc06...
>
> "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:GZZ2f.427494$_o.84828@attbi_s71...
>
>
> > Double BS,, cite me "one" case where someone "threatened'
> > to do something and got charged. The legal system hasnt gone to
> > hell,,,, there never has been a law against making threats. I could
> threaten
> > people all day long and I "might" get a visit by the cops asking me what
> > my problem is, but thats about all thats going to happen. You are getting
> > confused with people who may raise a fist or other object and threatening
> > to hit them, that, technically is assault. But just making a statement of
> > threat dont mean squat and never has.
>
> Lord, I just can't help myself.
>
> http://www.firstamendmentcenter.org/news.aspx?id=3461
>

Yes I should have prefaced my statement by "except" the
president, etc. I was talking private citzen to private citizen.

2949. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 23:48:49 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Bhh3f.433395$_o.40194@attbi_s71>
Source record: 120775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:_x03f.41168$HM1.26616@trnddc04...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:Sw03f.37075$q81.35200@trnddc06...
>
> > Personally speaking, I think you ought to be able to say what that guy did
> > and get away with it. But that's just me, and I wasn't on the jury. As for
> > making a statement of threat, you guys need to research the phrase "true
> > threat".
> >
> > Therein lie your answers. ;)
>
> Oh, nuts...here's an article with examples for you. Don't say I never did
> you guys any favors. ;)
>
> http://www.splcenter.org/intel/intelreport/article.jsp?aid=556

Threats made by a "group" that has a long history of violence
against others isnt the same as some john doe citizen making a threat
against another.

J

2950. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-12 23:50:57 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Bjh3f.433397$_o.222378@attbi_s71>
Source record: 120776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129098901.966926.38380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jeff (nospam) wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1128999190.291274.65080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > > > > > > > > not at all
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > > > > > > > > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
> > > > > ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
> > > > > whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
> > > > > violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
> > > > > would be locked up.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > the legal system has so broken down that the law is hardly enforced
> > > > doesn't change the law
> > > ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
> > >
> > > Double BS,, cite me "one" case where someone "threatened'
> > > to do something and got charged.
> >
> > happens all the time mostly in mob cases
> >
> > and there are people still in jail for merely threatening reagan as a
> > joke
> >
> > people were arested for threatening Mrs Bush on the campiagn trail
> >
> > > The legal system hasnt gone to
> > > hell,,,, there never has been a law against making threats.
> >
> > guess you have never read the hate crimes laws in the nation
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

yea I know all about hate "crimes". Please tell me how you
"prove" what someone is thinking and what they were going to do about it.
```

2951. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 00:14:42 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <SFh3f.12936$vw6.12276@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120777

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1129153363.537201.315990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
>> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
>> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
>> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
>> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
>
> That's nice, Len.
>
> But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?

Because he sez it is. Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.

(SNIP)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2952. Re: K1MAN AND IARN ORDER HAMS TO AID SOUTH-EAST ASIA NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 00:39:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <E0i3f.12943$vw6.4685@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <nbcblue@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129155862.783938.144910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129134679.926177.277550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > K1MAN BULLETIN - 12 October 2005 - B051012A -
>> >
>> > MORE VOLUNTEERS ARE NEEDED - ACT TODAY!
>> >
>> > IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is fully activated for
>> > the Southern Asia earthquake disaster. Amateur radio volunteers
>> > with self sufficient and portable AMTOR capabilities are STILL needed
>> > for immediate deployment. A current passport is also a must. Call
>> > 207 495 2215 for immediate deployment information. Traffic is being
>> > accepted at IARNtraffic@aol.com.
>> >
>> > IARN is the world leader in emergency amateur radio communications
>> > and is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association.
>> > Join today! AARA Membership
>> >
>>
>> I've been running AMTOR listening on 14.127 for about a year now. Ain't
>> heard squat. Another BS Story from MAN.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> The idiot K1MAN can't 'order' any hams to do anything.
>

He can't even ask someone to do something. No one cares what he wants.

Dan/W4NTI

2953. Re: K1MAN AND IARN ORDER HAMS TO AID SOUTH-EAST ASIA NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 00:40:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <B1i3f.12944$vw6.549@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Lloyd" <dx@lloyddenverdxers.net> wrote in message
news:dik6mv$6ul$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:fBf3f.12869$vw6.558@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129134679.926177.277550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> K1MAN BULLETIN - 12 October 2005 - B051012A -
>>>
>>> MORE VOLUNTEERS ARE NEEDED - ACT TODAY!
>>>
>>> IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is fully activated for
>>> the Southern Asia earthquake disaster. Amateur radio volunteers
>>> with self sufficient and portable AMTOR capabilities are STILL needed
>>> for immediate deployment. A current passport is also a must. Call
>>> 207 495 2215 for immediate deployment information. Traffic is being
>>> accepted at IARNtraffic@aol.com.
>>>
>>> IARN is the world leader in emergency amateur radio communications
>>> and is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association.
>>> Join today! AARA Membership
>>>
>>
>> I've been running AMTOR listening on 14.127 for about a year now. Ain't
>> heard squat. Another BS Story from MAN.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Coming in gangbusters, here in Denver.
>
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

Good deal....Must be skip, eh? Then how come I can hear a lot of signals
from Maine, but MAN?

Dan/W4NTI

2954. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 00:40:48 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <itark1hgqq3s8te8li2fumjgveoqfqs85o@4ax.com>
Source record: 120780

On Thu, 13 Oct 2005 00:14:42 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:1129153363.537201.315990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
>>> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
>>> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
>>> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
>>> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
>>
>> That's nice, Len.
>>
>> But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
>Because he sez it is. Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
>accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
>comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.

...or opine on how inaccurate it *may* be, without investing the time
and effort to substantiate your theory..... :)

>
>(SNIP)
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

73, Leo

2955. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 03:59:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129175987.807389.70070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120781

From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Wed, Oct 12 2005 5:40 pm

><bills...@mindspring.com> wrote:
>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
>>>> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
>>>> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
>>>> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
>>>> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
>
>>> That's nice, Len.
>
>>> But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
>>Because he sez it is. Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
>>accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
>>comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
>
>...or opine on how inaccurate it *may* be, without investing the time
>and effort to substantiate your theory..... :)

There should be NO problem on ascertaining the accuracy of
anything where the entire contents are OUT IN THE OPEN for
ALL TO SEE. All that is left is to tally up the opinions
into the four categories I used...of all 2545 Comments filed
as of 11 October 2005, beginning with "comment" #1 on 15 July.
It's "easy." Just read every single Comment filed. :-)

"For accuracy" Jimmie MUST decide on what to do with the
duplicates (only two major offenders there, one FOR, one
Against the NPRM), what to do with the half dozen who are
Commenting on a totally different subject (not even amateur
radio), and generally try to decode what some of them are
trying to say (not always easy).

Those FOR, those Against the NPRM are fairly clear and un-
ambiguous. Should be an easy decision on just reading them.
For the "Extra Only" group it isn't that clear since those
generally add a lot of commentary that is NOT in the NPRM.

Larry Klose got a lot of static on his large, and more
complex analysis of WT Docket 98-143. That's still in the
ECFS database if anyone wants to look. I expected the same
on WT Docket 05-235 on NPRM 05-143.

Jimmie is getting draconian in his mistrust, dislike, and general pissiness on those who won't agree with him that morsemanship is the holy grail of amateurism. Screum. JIMMIE CAN DO HIS OWN WORK on the stats if he is so shirty about it...and SHOW IT. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2956. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 04:03:15 UTC
From: Jeff Maass <jmaass@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <70l3f.32730$tD4.13053@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

In just 5 days now, on October 17, that will be **ex-K1MAN**, as his
license expires. http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/08/30/100/?nc=1

http://www.k1man.net/

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129135755.028642.49980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED051010A - AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION
>
> ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS
>
> "The League has mismanaged emergency funds in my opinion," says AARA
> Executive Director, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN. "If ARRL spent as much effort
> on emergency communications as they do lobbying against K1MAN's FCC
> license in Washington, they might have much greater success competing
> with IARN on the disaster communications front," according to Mr.
> Baxter. "Their focus seems to be on their dwindling membership," says
> the leader of the American Amateur Radio Association and arch rival of
> Newington. www.K1MAN.com
>
> See the attached League articles. (While not yet a radio amateur!)
>
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 6, 2005--Sarah Dorsey, a native of West Hartford,
> Connecticut, is the ARRL's new--and first--Membership Manager. She
> officially assumed her duties at ARRL Headquarters October 5. Her
> primary focus will be on developing membership recruitment and
> retention strategies to increase membership growth. She'll also support
> member and customer service. WHILE NOT YET A RADIO AMATEUR, Dorsey says
> she's "absolutely" looking forward to the challenge of her new
> responsibilities, and a ham radio licensing class is on her short list.
>
> "I enjoy work that is personally meaningful," she said. "I've been
> fascinated with learning about ham radio operators and the crucial role
> they played and continue to perform in the rescue and recovery efforts
> for Katrina and Rita. I look forward to the day when I'll also be able
> to work side by side with our members."
>
> Dorsey attended Green Mountain College in Vermont and is a graduate of
> Charter Oak College in Connecticut. Prior to coming to the League, she
> served as recruitment marketing manager for a non-profit health care
> services organization in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where she managed
> recruitment and retention initiatives.

>
> Her professional background also includes management, marketing and
> recruitment positions in various disciplines of the healthcare and
> human services markets. Dorsey says she's now ready for a new challenge
> that requires essentially the same skill set.
>
> ARRL COO Harold Kramer, WJ1B, anticipates that Dorsey's past experience
> will fold right into the League's goal of boosting membership and
> retaining current members. "More important, we look forward to her
> providing even more value and benefits to being an ARRL member," Kramer
> said. "Sarah will become a very visible part of the ARRL team at
> hamfests and conventions, and she is looking forward to meeting many of
> our members and prospective members."
>
> ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, earlier
> this year announced the search for a Membership Manager to target
> membership recruitment and retention. He says having Dorsey on board in
> that role is part of an overall strategy to "look beyond many of the
> League's current organizational paradigms regarding membership."
>
> Dorsey recalled occasionally driving past the Maxim Memorial Station
> W1AW building while growing up in the Greater Hartford area. "That
> little brick building is definitely a landmark," she remarked, adding
> that she never anticipated one day working on behalf of Amateur Radio
> at ARRL Headquarters. "Now everywhere I go, I'm starting to notice
> antennas!"
>

2957. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 09:30:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129195840.025835.12960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120783

I've never claimed to own the frequency, you've been drinking that
moonshine again

2958. Re: Stevie admits to Mark being no one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 10:11:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129198271.866762.234080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120784

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> > > > > people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> > > > > life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS
> > > >
> > > > I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> > > > Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> > > > personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
> > >
> > > there you have it Stevie admit to being no one
> >
> > No...
> >
> > What we have is Mark Morgan demonstrating his lack of English
> > Comprehension.
>
> not at all

Absolutely "at all".

> but what we see now is that YOU Stevie are not bright enough to make
> only legit charge in the matter carefull editing

There was a thought behind those randomly arranged words, but be
darned if I can make heads or tails out of it.

> > Again...

And again...

Steve, K4YZ

2959. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 10:17:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129198641.198375.105490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120785

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women
> >
> > "ain't" "prefer"
> >
> > And women prefer suicide to Todd.
>
> not from what I hear and from what I have seen in the past

How can that be, Mark?

You've stated in THIS forum that you don't know Todd nor have you
ever met him.

Your words.

So....based upon your previous statements and the statement now
made above, one can only surmise that we've caught you in yet ANOTHER
lie, Mark!

Steve, K4YZ

2960. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 10:22:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129198934.864675.294170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120786

N9OGL wrote:
> And your a ####ing little troll

"you're"

And I see you're still a functionally illiterate, foul-mouth
little boy in mommy and daddie's basement.

I'll bet the "aunties" still delight in changing your diapers.

No surprise there.

Steve, K4YZ

2961. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 10:38:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129199931.981093.264200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120787

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Also, it's clear to anyone who reads your posts here that you're hardly
> unbiased on the subject of code testing.

Hi! And you show no bias? Hardy, hi, hi!

2962. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 10:52:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129200749.187862.83100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120788

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> ratings.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, excellent, excellent post.

That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
by the US government at the time.

2963. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 11:14:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129202061.488193.256530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120789

And your still an asshole that no one likes

2964. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 13:22:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129209729.572424.25280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120790

N9OGL wrote:
> I never claimed I did,

You sure did, you little cocksucking faggot.

> by the way you little troll, does you mommy know

"does you mommy"

Does "your" mommy know you fellate all the truck drivers down at the truck stop like she does?

> you talk that way?

Does yours? Of course she does, she talks that way before, during and after I have sex with her.

2965. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 13:23:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129209834.476136.155510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120791

N9OGL wrote:
> And your still an asshole that no one likes

Oh, the irony, Toad.

2966. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 13:28:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129210085.921369.11520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120792
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael E Elcsisin wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full
> > > > of kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> > >
> > > why dont you found one Dan? Google provides the means
> > >
> > > or are you going to whine about the fact not everyone agrees with you?
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > >
> >
> Try here:
><poor Lardass!>

alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies is better.

2967. Michael E. Elcsisin assfucked his mother

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 13:31:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129210307.883414.189580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120793
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael E Elcsisin wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Careful, Marky the stalker will hit you with his purse.
> >
> That's what happened <wrong again, shit for brains>

Wrong, stupid. But you never do get it right.

2968. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ? - shutthefuckup.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 13:32:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129210368.853165.196200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120794
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Michael E Elcsisin wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> wrote:
> > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> Shutup you sniveling crybaby.

Hi Lardass Lloyd Davies, you plagiarizing fat fuck!
```

2969. Re: a few thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 13:33:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129210439.016695.232520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120795

oink-oink wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129120545.174478.116830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Have fun with your she male "practicing Jew" at the B&B in Vermont,
> > Marky.
> >
>
> is he/she any relation to the 2 or more lesbians what used to
> allegedly live next door to KC1 Zipper Queen and
> made his live a living nightmare whilst there during the
> great old days of the 14.313 Radio Wars ?
>
>
> "I'll kick the living s--t outta you!!"
> - ka4muj on 14.313 (sk)

Yeah, I bet Marky is visiting Davie Faglossi's B&B!

2970. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 15:19:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HVu3f.2791$y14.2523@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120796

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
>>ratings.
>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>
> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> by the US government at the time.

Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
War. Have you read any of it?

Dave K8MN

2971. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 15:19:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129216790.630376.103400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120797

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> > Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> > to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> > one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> > amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> > selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> > ratings.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, excellent, excellent post.

We start out agreeing...

> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down.

Then comes your demonization.

Question, Brian...: Why do you remain an Amateur Radio licensee?
I ask this since the only people you seem to "click" with clearly hate
Amateur Radio and it reflects in your own posts. So why maintain the
"relationship" with people you clearly disdain?

Steve, K4YZ

2972. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 15:27:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129217259.756983.265680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120798

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> >>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> >>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> >>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> >>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> >>ratings.
> >>
> >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
> > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> >
> > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> > by the US government at the time.
>
> Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
> radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
> and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
> documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> War. Have you read any of it?

Whoa! Talk about brilliant minds! Our posts hit at the same
time...But when I re-read mine, I accidentally "deleted" a paragraph
when I had changed a sentence I wrote prior to posting!

What I had said was that Brian's demonization of some of us who
make reference to contributions made during WW2 doesn't stand the
litmus test of objectivity. The "War Department" and other agencies of
the era went on record as praising the ARRL and it's members for the
contributions you cited, Dave...

Not to forget the sacrifices many Amateurs of the era made on the
battlefield. Many Amateiurs were also sought out for thier skills to
help "flesh out" the military communications infrastructure as
operators, instructors, crypto-operators, Morse intercept operators,
etc etc etc...

So...as I was asking Brian, what's "Quitepuzzling" is is continued affiliation with Amateur Radio despite his obvious contempt for fellow Amateurs.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2973. uk.radio.amateur et al, a FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 17:15:03 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129223703.817784.78650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120799
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

2974. Re: "What is Ham Radio?" - The Daily F.A.Q.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 17:27:12 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129224432.030850.209010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120800
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

If your grasp of the subject matter is such that you
regard it as a troll, then it is unlikely that you
yourself are a _REAL_ Radio Ham.

Russ wrote:
> On 9 Oct 2005 14:05:39 -0700, scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk wrote:
> >Polymath pressed Control + V on the keyboard and pasted this crap >
> And you copied the WHOLE message to (top) post a one line reply. Grow
> up and stop bitching about what the polymouth spends his time posting.
> What, are you paying your ISP by the electron? If you stop feeding
> the trolls, they will go away.

2975. Re: Licenses for Internet Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 19:59:16 UTC
From: Marcus <marcus.e@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dimeakhhp1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 120802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.info

Podcasting is the best way for a college radio station to increase it's
listenership. There, you face only two hurdles:

1. Permission from the bands and labels
2. ASCAP and BMI fees

Tables Turned is helping college radio stations with both problems by
hosting a music clearance license for any band that wants to give you
permission and waive public performance fees.

We're currently circulating a college radio petition to increase
awareness of podcasting from within the indie record industry. Please
have a look, and spread the word:

http://tablesturned.com/pages/collegeradiopetition

In solidarity,
Marcus Estes
Tables Turned
(http://TablesTurned.com)

2976. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 20:15:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Rf-dnZtu8qhxLNPeRVn-2A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120801

Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
>> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
>> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
>> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
>> by the US government at the time.
>
>
> Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.

And a ham was almost assured of becoming a radio operator, because of
his knowledge and skill and that included the skill of the ability to
operate CW.

2977. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-13 23:20:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129245607.583883.154920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120803

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 12 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2556	583
Indeterminate (note 1)	161	51
Value for Percentages	2395	532
Against NPRM (note 2)	721 [30.10%]	166 [31.20%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1309 [54.66%]	275 [51.69%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	365 [15.24%]	91 [17.11%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2978. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 00:52:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129251168.607345.121590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120804

From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am

>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
>>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
>>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
>>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
>>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
>>>ratings.
>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>
>> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
>> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
>> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
>> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
>> by the US government at the time.
>
>Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.

As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
fight with a morse key in each holster.

It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
there! :-)

>Many became electronics and radio instructors.

Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?

Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?

Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
electronics industry at that time?

What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
just working on telephones?

What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was

quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
last three years of WW2.

>Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.

Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
primitive television used in the first guided bombs?

Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.

>Many became involved with Civil Defense
>and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).

Again, how MANY is "many?" Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]

My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
by turning off all non-essential lights.

Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
of military life.

>There is a large amount of
>documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
>War. Have you read any of it?

Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...or even
that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
and not at all being amateur about what they did.

But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?

Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.

Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
"must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse

creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all boil down to...as usual]

Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!

Above all else, stress that anyone who doesn't like/love morsemanship of 60 years ago now HATES ALL HAMS and "dishonors" them ALL by not parroting the holy ham history output of ARRL about World War Two.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2979. Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 01:49:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129254540.184261.275190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120805

LenIhateEverythingAmateurRadioAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
>
> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> >>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> >>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> >>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> >>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> >>>ratings.
> >
> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> >
> >> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> >> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> >> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> >> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> >> by the US government at the time.
> >
> >Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
>
> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
> fight with a morse key in each holster.

Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
slither off to on occassion.....

And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
RRAP.

> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
> there!

I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
room tales you over heard, Lennie...

> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
>
> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?

According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
during WW2.

> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?

Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.

> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
> electronics industry at that time?

Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of
the era were licensees, Lennie?

> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
> just working on telephones?

Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?

> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
> last three years of WW2.

Bet there was a couple there, too...

> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
>
> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?

So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
Lennie?

> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.

OK.

Your point?

> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
>
> Again, how MANY is "many?"

More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...

> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]

Why?

What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?

> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
> by turning off all non-essential lights.

So?

Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
having performed some service in WW2.

> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
> of military life.

Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".

> >There is a large amount of
> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> >War. Have you read any of it?
>
> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)

Why should they?

How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?

> (UNSNIP)...or even
> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
> and not at all being amateur about what they did.

Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone
else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.

> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?

Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.

Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...

> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.

Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....

> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
> boil down to...as usual]

Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.

> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!

Funny...

All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...

> Above all else, stress that anyone who doesn't like/love
> morsemanship of 60 years ago now HATES ALL HAMS and "dishonors"
> them ALL by not parroting the holy ham history output of ARRL
> about World War Two.

Acutally, most of the "parroting" comes from your puppets, Lennie.

> LenNeverHeldAvalidAmateurLicenseBecauseeHesAwimpAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2980. Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 02:06:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129255617.840733.237970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120806

FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:

QUOTE

ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --

ARRL Laboratory Manager Ed Hare, W1RFI, will be a guest lecturer during the 2005 Antenna Measurement Techniques Association (AMTA) Symposium October 30-November 4 at the Newport Marriott, 25 America's Cup Avenue, Newport, Rhode Island. Hare's free presentation Tuesday, November 1, at 7 PM, is open to all Amateur Radio licensees.

Arranged in cooperation between AMTA and ARRL, Hare's talk will focus on Broadband over Power Line (BPL) and will provide background on the electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) issues associated with this technology.

A presentation will follow on the antenna modeling and measurement techniques ARRL uses to assess interference from BPL. It will include a description of test methods and a program through which radio amateurs and others can contribute to a utility-industry project to assess BPL systems.

A member of the ARRL staff for more than 19 years, Hare is a member of the IEEE EMC Society and the IEEE Standards Association and serves as a member of the IEEE EMC Society Standards Development Committee, chairing its recently formed BPL study project. More information on AMTA and the 2005 AMTA Symposium is available on the AMTA Web site.

END QUOTE

2981. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 03:33:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <pJKdnSQ7z_D4hdLeRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120807

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
>
> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
> United States then?

Sure was.

Guess you forgot about the Japanese balloon bomb offensive where some 9,000 bomb carrying ballons were launched to be carried over the US by prevailing winds. Maybe a 1,000 reached the US but only 285 incidences were reported, some as far away as Michigan.

2982. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 05:33:25 UTC
From: Riley <Riley_roofer@not_the_fcc.com>
Message-ID: <434F4324.7AEC2CD1@not_the_fcc.com>
Source record: 120808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Happy Days are here again !

The bands are on their way to being cleaner !

Bye by k1man..... Maybe he'll put his station up on ebay !

riley

Jeff Maass wrote:

> In just 5 days now, on October 17, that will be **ex-K1MAN**, as his
> license expires. http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/08/30/100/?nc=1

2983. Re: uk.radio.amateur et al, a FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 07:28:58 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <_6J3f.110$sm1.36@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 120809
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Billy Bean built a machine to see what it could do.
He made it out of sticks and stones, and nuts and bolts and glue.
The motor sang chuggle-a-rang, chuggle-a-ruggle-a-rator,
And all of a sudden a picture appeared on the funny old cartoonerator
Billy Bean built a machine to see what it would do,
It did the funniest things he'd seen,
So he called it his fun machine, machine,
Billy Bean and his fun machine.

2984. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 09:58:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129283936.075432.225310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120810

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >
> > >>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> > >>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> > >>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> > >>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> > >>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> > >>ratings.
> > >>
> > >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> > >
> > > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> > > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> > > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> > > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> > > by the US government at the time.
> >
> > Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> > Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
> > radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
> > and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
> > documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> > War. Have you read any of it?
>
> Whoa! Talk about brilliant minds! Our posts hit at the same
> time...But when I re-read mine, I accidentally "deleted" a paragraph
> when I had changed a sentence I wrote prior to posting!
>
> What I had said was that Brian's demonization of some of us who
> make reference to contributions made during WW2 doesn't stand the
> litmus test of objectivity. The "War Department" and other agencies of
> the era went on record as praising the ARRL and it's members for the
> contributions you cited, Dave...

If I made contributions to dental hygeine during any war, it would not
be as an amateur radio operator. You guys, who constantly rail against
persons having commercial and military radio experience, should know
that whatever those amateurs did during WWII were not doing it as
amateurs.

Unless you are now adopting a wider view of what constitutes radio knowledge. Is that what you're doing? Hmmmmm?

2985. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 10:14:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129284851.381926.90720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120811

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >
> > > Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> > > to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> > > one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> > > amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> > > selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> > > ratings.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>
> We start out agreeing...
>
> > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down.
>
> Then comes your demonization.
>
> Question, Brian...: Why do you remain an Amateur Radio licensee?

You're on a "need to know" basis where that is concerned.

> I ask this since the only people you seem to "click" with clearly hate
> Amateur Radio and it reflects in your own posts. So why maintain the
> "relationship" with people you clearly disdain?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

My disdain is for people who are two-faced. People who incessantly
exercise a double-standard in RRAP. People who claim that knowledge of
military and commercial comms is NOT a knowledge of radio, or that such
knowledge cannot lend itself to amateur radio. Except when they want
to loft amateur radio up as the source for military radio knowledge
during WWII.

Best of luck.

2986. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 10:30:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129285820.840045.180580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120812

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1129153363.537201.315990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
> >> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
> >> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
> >> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
> >> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
> >
> > That's nice, Len.
> >
> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
> Because he sez it is. Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
> accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
> comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
>
> (SNIP)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

That would require an effort on Jim's part.

2987. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 12:09:59 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <reN3f.109369$ll5.18131@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Who gives a shit what this pompous blowhard has to say? Certainly, not me!

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129135755.028642.49980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED051010A - AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION
>
> ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS
>
> "The League has mismanaged emergency funds in my opinion," says AARA
> Executive Director, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN. "If ARRL spent as much effort
> on emergency communications as they do lobbying against K1MAN's FCC
> license in Washington, they might have much greater success competing
> with IARN on the disaster communications front," according to Mr.
> Baxter. "Their focus seems to be on their dwindling membership," says
> the leader of the American Amateur Radio Association and arch rival of
> Newington. www.K1MAN.com
>
> See the attached League articles. (While not yet a radio amateur!)
>
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 6, 2005--Sarah Dorsey, a native of West Hartford,
> Connecticut, is the ARRL's new--and first--Membership Manager. She
> officially assumed her duties at ARRL Headquarters October 5. Her
> primary focus will be on developing membership recruitment and
> retention strategies to increase membership growth. She'll also support
> member and customer service. WHILE NOT YET A RADIO AMATEUR, Dorsey says
> she's "absolutely" looking forward to the challenge of her new
> responsibilities, and a ham radio licensing class is on her short list.
>
> "I enjoy work that is personally meaningful," she said. "I've been
> fascinated with learning about ham radio operators and the crucial role
> they played and continue to perform in the rescue and recovery efforts
> for Katrina and Rita. I look forward to the day when I'll also be able
> to work side by side with our members."
>
> Dorsey attended Green Mountain College in Vermont and is a graduate of
> Charter Oak College in Connecticut. Prior to coming to the League, she
> served as recruitment marketing manager for a non-profit health care
> services organization in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where she managed
> recruitment and retention initiatives.
>
> Her professional background also includes management, marketing and

> recruitment positions in various disciplines of the healthcare and
> human services markets. Dorsey says she's now ready for a new challenge
> that requires essentially the same skill set.
>
> ARRL COO Harold Kramer, WJ1B, anticipates that Dorsey's past experience
> will fold right into the League's goal of boosting membership and
> retaining current members. "More important, we look forward to her
> providing even more value and benefits to being an ARRL member," Kramer
> said. "Sarah will become a very visible part of the ARRL team at
> hamfests and conventions, and she is looking forward to meeting many of
> our members and prospective members."
>
> ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, earlier
> this year announced the search for a Membership Manager to target
> membership recruitment and retention. He says having Dorsey on board in
> that role is part of an overall strategy to "look beyond many of the
> League's current organizational paradigms regarding membership."
>
> Dorsey recalled occasionally driving past the Maxim Memorial Station
> W1AW building while growing up in the Greater Hartford area. "That
> little brick building is definitely a landmark," she remarked, adding
> that she never anticipated one day working on behalf of Amateur Radio
> at ARRL Headquarters. "Now everywhere I go, I'm starting to notice
> antennas!"
>

2988. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 12:14:17 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tiN3f.109371$Il5.9115@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Case in point...retard

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1128961159.667710.71070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > BDK wrote:
>> >> >> >> In article
>> >> >> >> <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>> >> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> > cut
>> >> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on
>> >> >> >> > such
>> >> >> >> > bands
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > BDK
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the
>> >> >> >> Liberty
>> >> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers
>> >> >> >> crying
>> >> >> >> about
>> >> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old
>> >> >> >> puckered
>> >> >> >> up
>> >> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear
>> >> >> >> them

>> >> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of
>> >> >> > Ham
>> >> >> > radio"
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> BDK
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
>> >> >> discussion
>> >> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
>> >> >
>> >> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
>> >> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >> >
>> >> That's the point AOF, you don't.
>> >
>> > ah but I do I have the same rights as you do
>> >
>> > One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly would
>> > not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it
>> >
>> > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
>> > of the rest of us
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> Your so-called rights STOP at my nose.
>
> agreed you got this part right
>
>> I have the right not to be annoyed
>> by the likes of you, child brain, ignorant of basic facts about ham
>> radio.
>
> but you blow it here
>
> you do not have the right not to be annoyed
>
> you have the right not to read any I or anyone else writes but not to
> regulate what we write or wether we write something that annoys you
>
>> And too stupid to learn.
>
> you are just being you ignorant self smething you have a right be, if
> you are fool enough to choose it

>>
>> Now go away and suck your thumb.
>
> they you are pusing it I have the same right to be here and post as you
> do
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

2989. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 12:18:19 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fmN3f.109372$II5.51255@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

This is when you have a REAL infected cut because you were much of a RETARD
to clean it up. Pus starts oozing out or PUSSING...

The same retard that would misspell PUSHING would allow this to happen.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:IUA2f.11954$vw6.3430@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128961159.667710.71070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1128477349.826506.104830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1128381547.898209.17890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >> news:1128364243.834606.320120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > BDK wrote:
>>> >> >> >> In article
>>> >> >> >> <1128328379.554730.225890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>>> >> >> >> konstans@hotmail.com says...
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > cut
>>> >> >> >> > > The good old days is right!
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> > and people dare suggest that I can in any way be harmfull on
>>> >> >> >> > such
>>> >> >> >> > bands
>>> >> >> >> > >
>>> >> >> >> > > BDK
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> Now who said that? All the "illegal jammers", etc, made the
>>> >> >> >> Liberty
>>> >> >> >> Net..FUN. Now it's just a bunch of old KKK and Nazi losers
>>> >> >> >> crying
>>> >> >> >> about

>>> >> >> >> the ...(insert race or jew here) picking on their poor old
>>> >> >> >> puckered
>>> >> >> >> up
>>> >> >> >> white cracker asses. Awwwwww. One night, I did actually hear
>>> >> >> >> them
>>> >> >> >> talking about ...radio! I about crapped my pants!
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > who? well all these folks claiming No Code will be the "end of
>>> >> >> > Ham
>>> >> >> > radio"
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> BDK
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> A O F is a bit paranoid. He is under the impression that every
>>> >> >> discussion
>>> >> >> should include him. Sort of like a 3 year old.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Hmm Paranoia according to you is beliving I have the same right to
>>> >> > coment on the posts in here as everyone else
>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>> >> >
>>> >> That's the point AOF, you don't.
>>> >
>>> > ah but I do I have the same rights as you do
>>> >
>>> > One day you might grow up and realize that fact, but I certainlly
>>> > would
>>> > not recomend anyone hold thier breath waiting for it
>>> >
>>> > You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
>>> > of the rest of us
>>> >>
>>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>> >
>>> Your so-called rights STOP at my nose.
>>
>> agreed you got this part right
>>
>>> I have the right not to be annoyed
>>> by the likes of you, child brain, ignorant of basic facts about ham
>>> radio.
>>
>> but you blow it here
>>
>> you do not have the right not to be annoyed
>>
>> you have the right not to read any I or anyone else writes but not to

>> regulate what we write or wether we write something that annoys you
>>
>>> And too stupid to learn.
>>
>> you are just being you ignorant self smething you have a right be, if
>> you are fool enough to choose it
>>>
>>> Now go away and suck your thumb.
>>
>> they you are pusing it I have the same right to be here and post as you
>> do
>>
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> Hey group.././.Could someone translate this last attemp at communication
> from Markie? Especially the last part.....what the hell is "pussing"
> ???????
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

2990. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 12:29:49 UTC
From: anon <gene@anarblakltd.org>
Message-ID: <dio87o$e82$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Baxter *owns* all you fruits. Thousands of kilohertz to play
in, and yet you fruits insist on jumping into Baxter's sandbox.
There are no "Baxters" on CB, because they are ignored. You
weak minded hams actually make Baxter what he is.

2991. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 12:51:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129294278.208338.183410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120817

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> > > >>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> > > >>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> > > >>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> > > >>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> > > >>ratings.
> > > >>
> > > >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > >
> > > > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> > > >
> > > > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> > > > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> > > > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> > > > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> > > > by the US government at the time.
> > >
> > > Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> > > Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
> > > radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
> > > and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
> > > documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> > > War. Have you read any of it?
> >
> > Whoa! Talk about brilliant minds! Our posts hit at the same
> > time...But when I re-read mine, I accidentally "deleted" a paragraph
> > when I had changed a sentence I wrote prior to posting!
> >
> > What I had said was that Brian's demonization of some of us who
> > make reference to contributions made during WW2 doesn't stand the
> > litmus test of objectivity. The "War Department" and other agencies of
> > the era went on record as praising the ARRL and it's members for the
> > contributions you cited, Dave...
>
> If I made contributions to dental hygeine during any war, it would not
> be as an amateur radio operator. You guys, who constantly rail against
> persons having commercial and military radio experience, should know
> that whatever those amateurs did during WWII were not doing it as

> amateurs.
>
> Unless you are now adopting a wider view of what constitutes radio
> knowledge. Is that what you're doing? Hmmmmm?

None of us "rail against" persons with commercial or military experience BECAUSE of that experience, Brian...We DO "rail against" people who have NO experience in AMATEUR RADIO who then come to an Amateur Radio forum and presume to tell us how we should be "doing" things.

Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical) experience. Period. His attacks on me based upon having been an Armed Forces Avionics Tech and Jim, N2EY, for his various projects are point-in-case.

No one doubts that Lennie knows how a radio works or that he was an adequate bench technician.

However he has, to this date, zero-point-zero hours of experience as a licensed Radio Amateur. He is not now nor ever has been a radio OPERATOR as it pertains to Amateur Radio practice. He has zero-point-zero hours of experience in emergency communications. His list ot "zeros" is lengthy, yet he pretends to be an authority on Amateur Radio policies and/or practices.

He's nothing of the sort.

Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss" him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates is assinine.

Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in radiocommunications.

No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.

Steve, K4YZ

2992. A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 16:11:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129306312.413557.138300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120818

Here's a quote from John C. Dvorak's "Inside Track" column in this week's PC Magazine:

"... Whatever the case, the most overlooked participants in the Katrina relief effort were the ham radio folks, who were doing whatever they could as ad hoc emergency dispatchers, creating their own network within the system. These dedicated persons pride themselves on their ability to do worldwide communications under adverse conditions, and the ARRL (Amateur [sic] Radio Relay League) and its members, as well as others, were a big part of the aid effort. Of course since amateur radio is anything but trendy in today's XBox, gene-splicing world, there was zero coverage of its contribution in the mainstream press, and these people are not the worlds greatest self promoters. At least some of us are paying attention. Good work guys! Bush should be giving medals to you all."

73 de Jim, N2EY

2993. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 16:20:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129306808.551845.229950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120819

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1129153363.537201.315990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
> >> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
> >> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
> >> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
> >> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
> >
> > That's nice, Len.
> >
> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
> Because he sez it is.

Why should that be good enough, Bill?

Nobody is checking Len's work.

There's no detailed results, just a couple of numbers. KC8EPO made a detailed listing that was available to all - Len hasn't done anything like that. He demands that others 'SHOW THEIR WORK' but doesn't show his.

He has a demonstrated record of mistakes here, and an extreme resistance to any corrections.

He's accused others of 'fraud' and 'massaged numbers' with no evidence at all, except that his opinion was different.

He's also clearly not an unbiased observer.

Yet everyone should accept what he says as fact even though he doesn't accept what others say if it contradicts his opinions?

> Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
> accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
> comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.

Suppose I did, and came up with different results than Len.

Do you think he'd accept my scorecard as accurate because I say it is?

Or would his reaction be somewhat different?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2994. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 16:48:50 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1wwurb@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1wwurb@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 120826

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
>
> But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
> Does anyone check your work? You do make mistakes, Len. We've seen
> some
> of them here.
>
> Also, it's clear to anyone who reads your posts here that you're
> hardly
> unbiased on the subject of code testing.
>
> Indeed, you used the phrase "unbiased by local groups' opinions on
> morsemanship as either vital or neccessary [sic] in amateur radio" as
> if *others* scorecards are somehow biased - but not yours. You've
> previously accused others of 'massaged numbers' and 'fraud' when their
> data did not match yours, too.
>
> So why should anyone *assume* the accuracy of your scorecard, Len? I'm
> not saying you're intentionally cooking the books.....

No, you're not actually SAYING he's cooked the books (you're too
slippery to make a blunt statement) but you're certainly spotlighting
the possibility.

If Anderson was too "cook the books", do you really think the score
would be nearly an even tie between the two camps (about 55:45 at last
tabulation)?

Grow up.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

2995. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 16:59:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129309157.633249.153160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120820

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Wed, Oct 12 2005 5:40 pm
>
>
> ><bills...@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >>>> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
> >>>> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
> >>>> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
> >>>> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
> >>>> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
> >
> >>> That's nice, Len.
> >
> >>> But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
> >
> >>Because he sez it is. Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
> >>accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
> >>comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
> >
> >...or opine on how inaccurate it *may* be, without investing the time
> >and effort to substantiate your theory..... :)
>
> There should be NO problem on ascertaining the accuracy of
> anything where the entire contents are OUT IN THE OPEN for
> ALL TO SEE. All that is left is to tally up the opinions
> into the four categories I used...of all 2545 Comments filed
> as of 11 October 2005, beginning with "comment" #1 on 15 July.
> It's "easy." Just read every single Comment filed. :-)

Have you done that, Len? How would any of us know if you had or hadn't?
>
> "For accuracy" Jimmie

Who do you refer to as "Jimmie", Len?

If you mean me, why not just call me "Jim"?

Is there some reason you can't do that?

> MUST decide on what to do with the
> duplicates (only two major offenders there, one FOR, one
> Against the NPRM), what to do with the half dozen who are
> Commenting on a totally different subject (not even amateur

> radio), and generally try to decode what some of them are
> trying to say (not always easy).

KC8EPO gave us a detailed breakdown of each comment. He got at
least of them wrong, though - mine.

Are you immune from mistakes, Len?

> Those FOR, those Against the NPRM are fairly clear and un-
> ambiguous. Should be an easy decision on just reading them.
> For the "Extra Only" group it isn't that clear since those
> generally add a lot of commentary that is NOT in the NPRM.

Does anyone else check your work on this, Len? Or do you expect that
everyone should consider you infallible?

> Larry Klose got a lot of static on his large, and more
> complex analysis of WT Docket 98-143.

>From whom?

Show us some examples of the "static", Len.

Some links to usenet posts or websites where his work
was not objectively criticized would be a good start.

I don't think you can do that.

You're making the claim - you show us where he got "static".

> That's still in the
> ECFS database if anyone wants to look. I expected the same
> on WT Docket 05-235 on NPRM 05-143.

Show us the alleged "static", Len.

> Jimmie is getting draconian in his mistrust, dislike, and
> general pissiness on those who won't agree with him that
> morsemanship is the holy grail of amateurism.

To whom do you refer, Len?

> Screum.

???

> JIMMIE CAN DO HIS OWN WORK on the stats if he is so shirty
> about it...and SHOW IT. :-)

Why should anyone else "show their work" when you won't show yours,
Len?

Besides, it's not about me. I'm not making any claims about what the
comments do or do not recommend. You are.

Seems to me that someone who is confident in their analysis of the comments would be glad to have it checked by others. Instead, Len attacks the messenger/questioner, as it were. Guilty conscience? Lack of confidence? Faked results? Just plain mistakes?

Your behavior in response to my questions fits your profile perfectly, Len.

2996. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 18:07:26 UTC
From: Das Boot <knuckledragginham@fartmo9.us>
Message-ID: <462af$434ff3e1$d1cc576a$15169@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 120821

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1129255617.840733.237970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> QUOTE
> ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
>
> A presentation will follow on the antenna modeling and measurement
> techniques ARRL uses to assess interference from BPL. It will include a
> description of test methods and a program through which radio amateurs
> and others can contribute to a utility-industry project to assess BPL
> systems.

What the heck. Why worry about BPL when everyone has
a home computer and printer and other accessories which use
crappy filtering and radiate RFI for 100 feet. Everytime my
neighbor uses his Lexmark printer I get hit by 10DB over S9
noise across 75 meters. More chinese made shit that likely
has fake FCC and/or UL/CSA certifications what radiate
noise worse than an old style diathermy machine.

Don't forget those DeWalt tools also. Their battery chargers
the drop-in types radiate more noise than any other device
in the house and they "meet" FCC Certs. Bull - crap they do!
(made in china also, as expected.....)

Forget BPL. The crappy consumer junk in place
RIGHT NOW is far worse and just about destroys HF reception.

2997. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 18:29:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129314565.892313.288400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120822

From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 18:49

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
>> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
>> >>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
>> >>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
>> >>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
>> >>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
>> >>>ratings.
>> >
>> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> >> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>> >
>> >> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
>> >> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
>> >> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
>> >> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
>> >> by the US government at the time.
>> >
>> >Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
>>
>> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
>> fight with a morse key in each holster.
>
> Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
>slither off to on occassion.....

"Scumbag?" "...slither?" :-)

Well, a three-week trip to a college reunion might look like that
to one who has only been "licensured" as a high school graduate.

BTW, "occasion" has only one S in it...

> And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
>RRAP.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...I didn't write "scumbag" or "slither." :-)

...or "sign off" with the Yiddish pejorative "Putz." :-)

>> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
>> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
>> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
>> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
>> there!
>
> I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
>room tales you over heard, Lennie...

What "tales" have I told, masterradiooperator? :-)

Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf

Dudly the Imposter has "ZERO-POINT-ZERO" experience as a
military radio operator. Zip. Nada. Nyet. None.

[unless one counts undocumented, unreferencible "Assistant NCOIC"
claims at a MARS station...:-)]

>> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
>>
>> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?
>
> According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
>Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
>before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
>during WW2.

...and don't you wish YOU were, mighty warrior? :-)

Dudly the Imposter says "I told 'lies'" yet cannot prove it.

Dudly the Ugly mouths off again.

>> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?
>
> Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.

Tsk. You don't even know what "rad lab" is or stands for or
where it was located during WW2...

>> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
>> electronics industry at that time?
>
> Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of
>the era were licensees, Lennie?

"Licensees" of what, masterradiooperator? :-)

>> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
>> just working on telephones?

>
> Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?

Quote from the ARRL Holy Book, masterradiooperator, and tell us
EXACTLY how many. You imply you KNOW all. :-)

BTW, do you know WHERE "Bell Labs" were in WW2, masterradiooperator?

>> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
>> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
>> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
>> last three years of WW2.
>
> Bet there was a couple there, too...

Only a "couple?" :-)

Which of the more than 30 companies involved had licensed
radio amateurs, Dudly the Ugly?

The civilian center for quartz crystal unit production was
Motorola in Chicago in WW2. Except you said that Motorola
didn't exist back then, remember? :-)

>> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
>>
>> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
>> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
>> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?
>
> So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
>Lennie?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Ugly has very little reading
comprehension. I don't know who the "we" are, but what you
say I wrote isn't what I wrote.

"Electronics venue?" What are you talking about? "Venue?"

>> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
>> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
>> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
>> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.
>
> OK.
>
> Your point?

Tsk, good opportunity for Dudly the Ugly to say that "vacuum
cleaners 'suck'!" [missed your chance, Dud]

>> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
>> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
>>

>> Again, how MANY is "many?"
>
> More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...

Tsk, tsk. Three of us living on Lanark Street are licensed
by the FCC in COMMERCIAL radio service. But, "Lanark Street"
didn't exist before 1950 so that doesn't fit with Dudly the Ugly's
personal hatred of certain folks in here...:-)

>> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
>> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
>> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
>> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]
>
> Why?
>
> What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud, you'd best get with Buzziebaby on those
"air attacks." He say over 200 Japanese balloons "attacked"
the US of A in WW2. Were there NO "balloon spotters" manned
by "licensured" radio amateurs back then? :-)

>> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
>> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
>> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
>> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
>> by turning off all non-essential lights.
>
> So?
>
> Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
>having performed some service in WW2.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, I wonder what Dudly the Ugly had to do with
WW2 considering he didn't exist until a decade AFTER WW2
ended. :-)

>> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
>> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
>> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
>> of military life.
>
> Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
>civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".

Tell us all about it, old-timer. You were THERE, weren't you? :-)

Fly your "E for Effort" flag proudly, masterradiooperator. :-)

[anyone alive during WW2 could see the "E flags"]

>> >There is a large amount of
>> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
>> >War. Have you read any of it?
>>
>> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
>> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)
>
> Why should they?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you never saw any "war surplus radio" stuff?

Old-timer, you've lead a sheltered life.

The radio-electronics INDUSTRY of the USA built all those "surplus
radios" from WW2. Those were NOT built FOR radio amateurs.

> How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?

Over a dozen that I've seen...but the IEEE, a PROFESSIONAL
ASSOCIATION publishes not only SPECTRUM (the membership magazine
I get free each month), but the PROCEEDINGS (technical features
on both electric power and general electronic subjects) plus over
two dozen TRANSACTIONS (from special interest groups) each month.
Not only that, they publish (generally printed by Wiley) books
and biographies and historical texts on electric and electronic
subjects. Go to their website and check out what's available.

Tsk, Dudly the Ugly has to understand that "radio" is only one
small subset of the entirety of ELECTRONICS in the world. To
the vast majority of IEEE members, "amateur radio" is just a
hobby for personal recreation and enjoyment. The world of
electronics hasn't looked to amateurism to supply new insights
and principles for well over a half century...worldwide.

>> (UNSNIP)...or even
>> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
>> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
>> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
>> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
>> and not at all being amateur about what they did.
>
> Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone
>else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.

Tsk, tsk, Dud, listen to Davie the Heil...he "worked with NASA"
and did "real radio operating" while in uniform. You have
exactly "zero-point-zero" experience in military communications.

>> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
>> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?
>
> Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.

Riiiiight...and the ARRL is "universally loved" by all "licensured"
radio amateurs! :-)

ARRL *always* "tells the truth" and never omits mention of
hated professionals, right? :-)

ARRL *never* "sins by omission" do they? :-)

> Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
>deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
>perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...

Poor baby, being delusional again? :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to get rid of the amateur radio morse
code test in FCC regulations is NOT "hating the ARRL or 'all'
radio amateurs."

>> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
>> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.
>
> Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
>story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
>ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....

So, old-timer, WHICH military radio station did YOU work at
"during the war?" :-)

...and WHICH "war" was it? You've never detailed that, Dudly
the Imposter. You've made only inferences, nothing detailed.

>> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
>> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
>> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
>> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
>> boil down to...as usual]
>
> Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
>about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
>paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.

"their," sweetums...watch those typos when you get heated. :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've not said that "all amateurs" "know nothing."

I've said that CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL AMATEURS are IGNORANT of
anything but being able to read (word-for-word) every ad in QST
to get their "radio smarts." That's (unfortunately) true.

That YOU are an UNDERACHIEVER is YOUR label for YOURSELF.

That YOU are HATING of anyone who has gathered experience
in radio operating anywhere but as a "licensured" amateur
is YOUR problem.

>> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
>> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
>> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
>> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
>> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
>> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!
>
> Funny...
>
> All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie once mentioned some old-timer's article about WAR (Washington Army Radio) from pre-WW2 times and tried to discredit the FACT that WAR was running TTY, not morse code, during and after WW2. Washington Army Radio had the TTY four-letter ID of "RUEP" in ACAN...ADA has "RUAP." [you need more of the ACAN IDs, old-timer? I got them up to 1962]

Long ago in here some anony-mouse "reserve colonel" had a "son in the [first] Gulf War" that was using "CW behind enemy lines to send intel back to Hq." [his son would 'never' lie to him he wrote] Anony-mouse slunk away when confronted with modern land-forces radio equipment nomenclature and procedure, his last posting saying he might have been mistaken. :-)

[Dudly the Imposter has NEVER mentioned one single nomenclature or familiar name of any military radio communication equipment (other than a couple MARS radios) yet claimes to "know" about military communications]

HF radio was ONCE a mainstay of international radio communications but that reverted to a backup system some time in the 1970s with the coming of more modern methods of reaching around the globe. That's the REAL HISTORY of radio communications to the REST of the radio world.

Frankly, Dud, you know dink about radio communications other than what you read about in ARRL pages. You've got a BIG problem of sociopathy in newsgroups, always personally insulting anyone who disagrees with you. Take your standard "sign off" as an example:

> Putz.

Dudly the Ugly tries to strike again...and fails. Oy, gevalt!

Acting Ugly with all who disagree with you is NOT good PR for U.S. amateur radio...and you SO identify yourself with ALL of amateur radio that the slightest negative against YOU personally gets translated to some kind of "insult to all amateurs!"

It doesn't work that way.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2998. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 18:31:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129314711.694140.232640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120823

From: Cmd Buzz Corey on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 20:33

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
>> United States then?
>
>Sure was.

Riiiiight...all launched by Japanese RADIO AMATEURS?

>Guess you forgot about the Japanese balloon bomb offensive where some
>9,000 bomb carrying ballons were launched to be carried over the US by
>prevailing winds. Maybe a 1,000 reached the US but only 285 incidences
>were reported, some as far away as Michigan.

Riiiiight...all REPORTED by American RADIO AMATEURS on
"balloon watch" duty? [published in WW2 ARRL bulletins?]

"As far away as Michigan?" :-) Do you consider the state of
Michigan as "DX?" :-)

I didn't "forget" about those non-fatal "balloon 'attacks'", I
just IGNORED them. They made "zero-point-zero" effect on the
WW2 production of the United States of America.

Buzziebaby, you just leave the "history" of RADIO AMATEURS at
war to the ARRL, Davie the Heil, and Jimmie Noserve. THEY were
THERE and know far better than you about the "war years."

Jimmie is the group's guru on Air and Space activity in the
US of A (if not around the world) and is ultimate authority
on what is what in Air and Space. He KNOWS stuff...after
reading so much about it. Dudly has "zero-point-zero"
experience as either amateur or professional in SPACE, which is
about the same as you (your black-and-white TV show being
cancelled back in the 50s). Davie the Heil "worked with NASA"
someplace (he said once in here)...another Space "expert."
Listen to your betters.

Try to recall what this newsgroup is about, okay? [RADIO
AMATEUR POLICY, not some "war history" blabbering by lil
kiddies who weren't even born until after WW2]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2999. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 19:39:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129318790.419540.310610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120824

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
>> >> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
>> >> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
>> >> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
>> >> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
>
>> > That's nice, Len.
>
>> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
>> Because he sez it is.
>
>Why should that be good enough, Bill?
>
>Nobody is checking Len's work.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...has anyone checked Jimmie's "work" on his ham
radio license totals? :-)

Jimmie just TAKES OTHERS' NUMBERS and says they are "good." :-)

The ECFS is so set up that ANYONE can go in and check my
numbers, for any given day or for cumulative totals up to
a certain day from any previous day. A problem is that
those doing that have to READ EVERY filing in order to
determine individual opinions.

So far, Jimmie doesn't do his OWN U.S. amateur radio license
numbers, hasn't gotten a daily high-speed download of the
FCC database nor sorted them all out himself. He uses
others' downloads and sorts. Tsk, he doesn't do a check-and-
balance comparison against at least two other amateur license
statistical tabulations.

>There's no detailed results, just a couple of numbers.

There are 18 numbers in each of my postings since those of
31 August and the appearance of the Notice in the Federal
Register. Not a "couple." Jimmie is in ERROR. :-)

>KC8EPO made a
>detailed listing that was available to all - Len hasn't done anything
>like that.

Jimmie is again IN ERROR. He should check out two Comments I
made under WT Docket 05-235 to find attachment tables of the
number and percentage of Comments of the given dates.

> He demands that others 'SHOW THEIR WORK' but doesn't show
>his.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie is IN ERROR still. The FCC has "seen
my work." Jimmie hasn't. :-)

>He has a demonstrated record of mistakes here, and an extreme
>resistance to any corrections.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie has just demonstrated THREE ERRORS
in his single posting!

No doubt Jimmie will try to rationalize everything and
say all those errors of HIS are somehow "mine." :-)

>He's accused others of 'fraud' and 'massaged numbers' with no
>evidence at all, except that his opinion was different.

To any PCTA an NCTA's opinion is considered "wrong" if they
do not favor morse code. :-) That's a given.

>He's also clearly not an unbiased observer.

Given the highly polarized subject, it is difficult to be
objective on the subject of amateur radio morse code testing.

However, it is plain to see unambiguous opinions which
are posted on the ECFS...on both sides of the code test
issue.

>Yet everyone should accept what he says as fact even though he
>doesn't accept what others say if it contradicts his opinions?

Tsk. What I do is VOLUNTARY.

As I've said in here, ANYONE can go ahead and read each and
every Comment made since 15 July 2005 on WT Docket 05-235
and do their own statistical summaries...day by day if they
want. Nobody is stopping anyone from posting.

As of 2 PM EDT, there were 2558 filings made on WT Docket
05-235. All are visible to anyone accessing the FCC site.

>> Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
>> accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
>> comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
>
>Suppose I did, and came up with different results than Len.

Suppose you GET STARTED? :-)

>Do you think he'd accept my scorecard as accurate because I say it is?

Why? You are hardly an "unbiased observer." :-)

>Or would his reaction be somewhat different?

Jimmie, you MUST stop imagining these alternate universes of yours. In order to "prove" what you postulate (or pustulate) you must GET STARTED in reading each and every of the 2558 Comments and present them. So far you've not done that.

Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings was done by James Miccolis!

Imagine that...an important issue in U.S. amateur radio license regulations and the self-styled guru of amateurdom hasn't posted a single Comment or Reply to Comments on WT Docket 05-235 by 13 October 2005...with the NPRM appearing to the public on 20 July 2005! [released on 15 July and appearing in the ECFS according to the date-stamp shown on the first page of their single 15 July 2005 filing] Tsk, two and a half months now and Jimmie hasn't said anything to the FCC directly...but has been in here negatively criticizing all who are against the code test!

Better hurry. The official cutoff date for Comments is only two weeks away. The official cutoff date for Replies to Comments is four weeks away.

Like it or not, history in United States amateur radio is being made while you sit in here and attack all those who are against your opinions on just about anything. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3000. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 19:42:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129318935.738495.153280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120825

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 13 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2558	585
Indeterminate (note 1)	161	51
Value for Percentages	2397	532
Against NPRM (note 2)	721 [30.08%]	166 [31.09%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1311 [54.69%]	275 [51.87%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	365 [15.23%]	91 [17.04%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3001. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 21:42:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129326145.919828.150980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120827

Iitoi wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:

> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?

> > Does anyone check your work? You do make mistakes, Len. We've seen
> > some of them here.
> >
> > Also, it's clear to anyone who reads your posts here that you're
> > hardly unbiased on the subject of code testing.
> >
> > Indeed, you used the phrase "unbiased by local groups' opinions on
> > morsemanship as either vital or neccessary [sic] in amateur radio" as
> > if *others* scorecards are somehow biased - but not yours. You've
> > previously accused others of 'massaged numbers' and 'fraud' when their
> > data did not match yours, too.
> >
> > So why should anyone *assume* the accuracy of your scorecard, Len? I'm
> > not saying you're intentionally cooking the books.....
>
> No, you're not actually SAYING he's cooked the books (you're too
> slippery to make a blunt statement) but you're certainly spotlighting
> the possibility.

Is "spotlighting the possibility" of something not allowed?

Besides, "cooking the books" implies an intent to deceive. There's
also the possibility of honest mistakes.

There doesn't seem to be anybody checking Len's 'work', anyway.

> If Anderson was too "cook the books", do you really think the score
> would be nearly an even tie between the two camps (about 55:45 at last
> tabulation)?

Maybe. That's not the point, anyway.

> Grow up.

What does that mean in this context? That I should accept Len's
scorecard without question, just because he says so?

3002. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 21:45:05 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <d990l1p1ldrtfjn1jrpprnq8es7k1obi31@4ax.com>
Source record: 120828

On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>snip

> Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings was done by
> James Miccolis!

That is odd indeed - I would have thought that Jim would have been one of the first to state his concerns to the FCC regarding the elimination of Morse testing - considering that this is the last opportunity to do so before the final ruling.

It would have been a far more productive thing to do for the hobby that to attempt to ignite yet another flame war here......again......

>snip
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3003. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 21:49:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129326574.528148.66720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120829

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> >> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
> >> >> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
> >> >> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
> >> >> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
> >> >> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
> >>
> >> > That's nice, Len.
> >>
> >> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
> >>
> >> Because he sez it is.
> >>
> >Why should that be good enough, Bill?
> >
> >Nobody is checking Len's work.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...has anyone checked Jimmie's "work" on his ham
> radio license totals? :-)
>
> Jimmie just TAKES OTHERS' NUMBERS and says they are "good." :-)
>
> The ECFS is so set up that ANYONE can go in and check my
> numbers, for any given day or for cumulative totals up to
> a certain day from any previous day.

Is anyone doing that, Len?

> Given the highly polarized subject, it is difficult to be
> objective on the subject of amateur radio morse code testing.

I'll take that as admission that you are not objective on the subject.

> However, it is plain to see unambiguous opinions which
> are posted on the ECFS...on both sides of the code test
> issue.

Is anyone checking your numbers, Len?
>
> >Yet everyone should accept what he says as fact even though he

> >doesn't accept what others say if it contradicts his opinions?
>
> Tsk. What I do is VOLUNTARY.

You sure seem obsessed by it, though.

> As I've said in here, ANYONE can go ahead and read each and
> every Comment made since 15 July 2005 on WT Docket 05-235
> and do their own statistical summaries...day by day if they
> want.

We all know that, Len.

> Nobody is stopping anyone from posting.

Has anyone tried to stop you from posting here, Len? Has anyone told
you to "shut the hell up"?

> As of 2 PM EDT, there were 2558 filings made on WT Docket
> 05-235. All are visible to anyone accessing the FCC site.
>
> >> Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
> >> accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
> >> comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
> >
> >Suppose I did, and came up with different results than Len.
>
> Suppose you GET STARTED? :-)
>
> >Do you think he'd accept my scorecard as accurate because I say it is?
>
> Why? You are hardly an "unbiased observer." :-)
>
> >Or would his reaction be somewhat different?
>
> Jimmie, you MUST stop imagining these alternate universes of
> yours. In order to "prove" what you postulate (or pustulate)
> you must GET STARTED in reading each and every of the 2558
> Comments and present them. So far you've not done that.
>
> Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings was done by
> James Miccolis!
>
> Imagine that...an important issue in U.S. amateur radio license
> regulations and the self-styled guru of amateurdom hasn't posted
> a single Comment or Reply to Comments on WT Docket 05-235 by
> 13 October 2005...with the NPRM appearing to the public on 20
> July 2005! [released on 15 July and appearing in the ECFS
> according to the date-stamp shown on the first page of their
> single 15 July 2005 filing] Tsk, two and a half months now
> and Jimmie hasn't said anything to the FCC directly...but has
> been in here negatively criticizing all who are against the

> code test!
>
> Better hurry. The official cutoff date for Comments is only
> two weeks away. The official cutoff date for Replies to
> Comments is four weeks away.
>
> Like it or not, history in United States amateur radio is being
> made while you sit in here and attack all those who are against
> your opinions on just about anything. :-)

Gee, Len, you've just shown once again how predictable your behavior here really is.

3004. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 21:52:04 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8MV3f.13830$vw6.7568@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120830

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1129306808.551845.229950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1129153363.537201.315990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
>> >> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
>> >> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
>> >> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
>> >> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
>> >
>> > That's nice, Len.
>> >
>> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>>
>> Because he sez it is.
>
> Why should that be good enough, Bill?
> Nobody is checking Len's work.

I will repeat...if you feel there is an error or fraud,
do your own analysis.
In the beginning I started my own tally but since my results were
tracking closly with Len's and due to an upcoming month long
vacation I was leaving for, I stopped.

> There's no detailed results, just a couple of numbers. KC8EPO made a
> detailed listing that was available to all - Len hasn't done anything
> like that. He demands that others 'SHOW THEIR WORK' but
> doesn't show his.

Given the numbers that have been tallied so far, even a margin of
error of 5% misanalyzed would not result in a majority in favor
of keeping morse.

> He has a demonstrated record of mistakes here, and an extreme
> resistance to any corrections.

Yet you have shown no mistakes or errors because (IMHO)
you don't want to do the work.

> He's accused others of 'fraud' and 'massaged numbers' with no
> evidence at all, except that his opinion was different.

Feel free then to challenege his numbers in your comments or
reply comments to the FCC.

> He's also clearly not an unbiased observer.

Nor are you or I :-) :-)
Why don't you pay for an independent audit.

> Yet everyone should accept what he says as
> fact even though he doesn't accept what others
> say if it contradicts his opinions?

Then don't accept his numbers...do your own.

>> Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
>> accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
>> comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
>
> Suppose I did, and came up with different results
> than Len.

It would depend on how different. For now, as I said above,
even if the numbers were deliberately misrepresented by
5% the "majority" would still favor complete and total
code testing removal.

> Do you think he'd accept my scorecard as
> accurate because I say it is?

Unless you do your own tally, it's only
conjecture.

> Or would his reaction be somewhat different?

Any speculation on a reaction isn't worth my time to
consider.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3005. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 22:02:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129327352.496737.72010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120831

Leo wrote:
> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am

> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >snip
>
> > Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings was done by
> > James Miccolis!
>
> That is odd indeed - I would have thought that Jim would have been one
> of the first to state his concerns to the FCC regarding the
> elimination of Morse testing - considering that this is the last
> opportunity to do so before the final ruling.

Do you think it will make any difference, Leo? Do you think there's any chance FCC will retain Element 1?

Will multiple comment filings make any difference?

Besides, a good comment takes time to write. Why hurry, if it's so important?

--

I think FCC will just drop Element 1. Sure, I'll file comments. So will plenty of others. But the stage is set for FCC to just drop Element 1.

Here's why:

1) Back in 1990, FCC created medical waivers because Papa Bush wanted to do a now-dead King a favor. In the R&O, FCC said that they could not waiver 5 wpm because of the treaty - and only because of the treaty.

2) Back in 2000, FCC dumped all but 5 wpm code, again citing the treaty.

3) Now the treaty's gone. End of story.

Have you seen a significant increase in the number of Canadian radio amateurs since code testing was made optional? Has there been a significant increase in the number of radio amateurs in any of the other countries which have eliminated code testing? By "significant", I mean sustained growth, not a short term flurry of new licenses and then back to the same old levels of growth or decline.

If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a problem in the first place.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3006. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 22:12:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129327973.096280.326210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120832

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1129306808.551845.229950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1129153363.537201.315990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
> >> >> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
> >> >> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
> >> >> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
> >> >> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
> >> >
> >> > That's nice, Len.
> >> >
> >> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
> >>
> >> Because he sez it is.
> >
> > Why should that be good enough, Bill?
> > Nobody is checking Len's work.
>
> I will repeat...if you feel there is an error or fraud,
> do your own analysis.

I'm not making any claims about the comment totals one way or another.
Len is. Let *him* back up his claims, not me.

> In the beginning I started my own tally but since my results were
> tracking closly with Len's and due to an upcoming month long
> vacation I was leaving for, I stopped.

So nobody is really checking his scorecard.
>
> > There's no detailed results, just a couple of numbers. KC8EPO made a
> > detailed listing that was available to all - Len hasn't done anything
> > like that. He demands that others 'SHOW THEIR WORK' but
> > doesn't show his.
>
> Given the numbers that have been tallied so far, even a margin of
> error of 5% misanalyzed would not result in a majority in favor
> of keeping morse.

Actually, if 5% were miscategorized, there would be a very slight
majority in favor of keeping at least some code testing.

> > He has a demonstrated record of mistakes here, and an extreme
> > resistance to any corrections.
>
> Yet you have shown no mistakes or errors because (IMHO)
> you don't want to do the work.

Would there be any point to it?

Suppose I "did the work" and found that Len had made significant
mistakes. What do you think the reaction would be?

I've "done the work" on Len's mistakes before, and the reaction
wasn't very nice. Why should I bother to clean up after him again?

> > He's accused others of 'fraud' and 'massaged numbers' with no
> > evidence at all, except that his opinion was different.
>
> Feel free then to challenege his numbers in your comments or
> reply comments to the FCC.

You miss the point, Bill.

> > He's also clearly not an unbiased observer.
>
> Nor are you or I :-) :-)

Neither of us is as biased as Len.

> Why don't you pay for an independent audit.

Would it make any difference?
>
> > Yet everyone should accept what he says as
> > fact even though he doesn't accept what others
> > say if it contradicts his opinions?
>
> Then don't accept his numbers...do your own.

Why? FCC ignored majority opinion on the issue in 1999 - do you really
think the majority opinion matters now?
>
> >> Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
> >> accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
> >> comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
> >
> > Suppose I did, and came up with different results
> > than Len.
>
> It would depend on how different. For now, as I said above,
> even if the numbers were deliberately misrepresented by
> 5% the "majority" would still favor complete and total
> code testing removal.

Not exactly.
>
> > Do you think he'd accept my scorecard as
> > accurate because I say it is?
>
> Unless you do your own tally, it's only
> conjecture.
>
> > Or would his reaction be somewhat different?
>
> Any speculation on a reaction isn't worth my time to
> consider.

I think you know what his reaction would be, but you don't want to say
so here, because you know how he'd react.

Mike Deignan was right.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3007. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 22:16:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <k7W3f.13842$vw6.4178@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120834

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1129327352.496737.72010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Leo wrote:
>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>
>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >snip
>>
>> > Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings
>> > was done by James Miccolis!
>>
>> That is odd indeed - I would have thought
>> that Jim would have been one
>> of the first to state his concerns to the FCC regarding the
>> elimination of Morse testing - considering that this is the
>> last opportunity to do so before the final ruling.
>
> Do you think it will make any difference, Leo?
> Do you think there's any
> chance FCC will retain Element 1?
>
> Will multiple comment filings make any difference?
>
> Besides, a good comment takes time to write. Why hurry,
> if it's so important?
>
> --
>
> I think FCC will just drop Element 1.
> Sure, I'll file comments. So will
> plenty of others. But the stage is set
> for FCC to just drop Element 1.
>
> Here's why:
>
> 1) Back in 1990, FCC created medical
> waivers because Papa Bush wanted
> to do a now-dead King a favor. In the
> R&O, FCC said that they could not
> waiver 5 wpm because of the treaty - and

> only because of the treaty.
>
> 2) Back in 2000, FCC dumped all but 5 wpm
> code, again citing the treaty.
>
> 3) Now the treaty's gone. End of story.

Actually you bring out a good point. IF (big if again)
the FCC considered keeping the 5 wpm even if only for
Extra, then the waivers would be needed again
because without a treaty requirement for
the 5 wpm test, there's no reason waivers shouldn't
be available.
(SNIP)

CHEERS AND THANKS,
Bill K2UNK

3008. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 22:17:23 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <s6W3f.69$v_5.33@dukeread07>
Source record: 120833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

anon wrote:

> Baxter *owns* all you fruits. Thousands of kilohertz to play
> in, and yet you fruits insist on jumping into Baxter's sandbox.
> There are no "Baxters" on CB, because they are ignored. You
> weak minded hams actually make Baxter what he is.

actually, it was him who decided to play in OUR sandbox.

his claims to ownership of specified ham radio frequencies for the purposes
of his "bulletins" are without merit.

OTOH, W1AW is a historic station (one of the first on the air), has been
doing the same thing for nearly a century and predates most of the modern
rules (thus it is grandfathered). However, they had no pecuniary interest,
often promoted ham radio and provided a means for "over the air training".
I do not see K1 MAN doing any such thing.

In fact, U have heard 'MAN on the air a few times over the last decade or so
and I was always left with a bad feeling in my ears and a question: why is
this idiot even on the sir?".

so, there you have it. enough of your whining, complaining and crying. he is
done. he is gone. now live with it and move on.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3009. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-14 22:34:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8oW3f.14944$q1.3755@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120835

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1129327973.096280.326210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> I will repeat...if you feel there is an error or fraud,
>> do your own analysis.
>
> I'm not making any claims about the
> comment totals one way or another.
> Len is. Let *him* back up his claims, not me.

In the end, what you or I think about Len's numbers
makes no difference. Let's assume Len files his final
statistics with the FCC, you can then challenge them
all you want.

>> In the beginning I started my own tally but since my results were
>> tracking closly with Len's and due to an upcoming month long
>> vacation I was leaving for, I stopped.
>
> So nobody is really checking his scorecard.

No problem in my book.

>> > There's no detailed results, just a couple of numbers. KC8EPO made a
>> > detailed listing that was available to all - Len hasn't done anything
>> > like that. He demands that others 'SHOW THEIR WORK' but
>> > doesn't show his.
>>
>> Given the numbers that have been tallied so far, even a margin of
>> error of 5% misanalyzed would not result in a majority in favor
>> of keeping morse.
>
> Actually, if 5% were miscategorized, there would be a very slight
> majority in favor of keeping at least some code testing.

WRONG! If the current majority of 1311 (54%) went down by 5%,
the number would then be (1311 -66 = 1245) which still gives a
52% majority in favor of the NPRM.

(SNIP of repeated "what if's)

> Why? FCC ignored majority opinion on the
> issue in 1999 - do you really
> think the majority opinion matters now?

Actually no I don't, but it doesn't hurt the
nocode test cause to have a majority favoring the change.

(Snip again)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3010. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 00:25:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129335924.540849.243830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120836

From: Leo on Oct 14, 2:45 pm

>On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>snip
>> Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings was done by
>> James Miccolis!
>
>That is odd indeed - I would have thought that Jim would have been one
>of the first to state his concerns to the FCC regarding the
>elimination of Morse testing - considering that this is the last
>opportunity to do so before the final ruling.

Too much trouble for one of the "elite," Leo. :-)

>It would have been a far more productive thing to do for the hobby
>that to attempt to ignite yet another flame war here......again......

No, that is entirely "predictable" on Miccolis' part. :-)

Miccolis of Morse was sorely wounded in the past verbal volleys
and has never fully healed. His pain (or pane) must be severe
to go to such great lengths of character assassination in order
to assuage that pain. :-)

<shrug> Just another spiteful person in this din of inequity.

Just the same, James Miccolis has NOT submitted any Comment
under WT Docket 05-235 nor has he posted any of his own
statistics on NPRM 05-143 Commentary. All he wants to do is
sit in here and make nasty to anyone who has. Pity that.

The United States "amateur community" is speaking out on the
code test. What they say doesn't sound good to those who
became self-styled apostles of the Church of St. Hiram in
their youth. Less and less of the litany of morse-is-the-best
or the morsemen being the elite of hamdom. The morsemen want
desperately to Rule and they can't realize that their "rule"
is ending in a revolution of thought unfettered by the demands
of long-ago, mostly-expired "professional amateurs" in the USA.

The morsemen will grow increasingly bitter towards their end.
This will only get worse and possibly remain that way for

decades. Never mind that amateur radio is basically a hobby. To the amateur morsemen it is much MORE to them and their entire self-perception-of-worth is tied up in morsemanship... and their certificates (suitable for framing) achieved long ago.

==========

Back in 1958 the FCC issued an R&O creating the Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service in the USA. Old-timer hams of back then were outraged and bitter that ordinary citizens could invade their (sacred) 11 meter band...without taking a single test of any kind! Horrors! The end of the (amateur) world to hear some of them talk at that time. I know, I had to work with some Amateur Extras and had to hear all their bitching and moaning and carrying-on. :-)

Once the code test is gone in the USA amateur regulations the same bitching and moaning and carrying-on will repeat itself. That is also predictable. Pity that.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3011. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 00:27:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129336054.537466.96410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120837

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 2:49 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> >> My purpose of the continually-running "scorecard" is just to get
>> >> >> some visibility into the "amateur community's" opinions on the
>> >> >> code test...unbiased by local groups' opinions on morsemanship
>> >> >> as either vital or neccessary in amateur radio. Think of it as
>> >> >> a poll of opinions by those that care to Comment, visible to ALL.
>
>> >> > That's nice, Len.
>
>> >> > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
>> >> Because he sez it is.
>
>> >Why should that be good enough, Bill?
>
>> >Nobody is checking Len's work.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...has anyone checked Jimmie's "work" on his ham
>> radio license totals? :-)
>
>> Jimmie just TAKES OTHERS' NUMBERS and says they are "good." :-)
>
>> The ECFS is so set up that ANYONE can go in and check my
>> numbers, for any given day or for cumulative totals up to
>> a certain day from any previous day.
>
>Is anyone doing that, Len?

Yes, but YOU are NOT. :-)

>> Given the highly polarized subject, it is difficult to be
>> objective on the subject of amateur radio morse code testing.
>
>I'll take that as admission that you are not objective on the subject.

Tsk. You are going to "take" it any which way you can in
order to do your attempted character assassination. :-)

>> However, it is plain to see unambiguous opinions which
>> are posted on the ECFS...on both sides of the code test
>> issue.
>
>Is anyone checking your numbers, Len?

Yes.

Who checks YOUR numbers on all those bimonthly USA ham license
numbers you post? :-)

>> >Yet everyone should accept what he says as fact even though he
>> >doesn't accept what others say if it contradicts his opinions?
>
>> Tsk. What I do is VOLUNTARY.
>
>You sure seem obsessed by it, though.

Methodical, not "obsessed." Not near as "obsessed" as YOU
are in attempting character assassination of anyone speaking
out against your opinions in here... :-)

>> As I've said in here, ANYONE can go ahead and read each and
>> every Comment made since 15 July 2005 on WT Docket 05-235
>> and do their own statistical summaries...day by day if they
>> want.
>
>We all know that, Len.

But you refuse to do it. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> Nobody is stopping anyone from posting.
>
>Has anyone tried to stop you from posting here, Len? Has anyone told
>you to "shut the hell up"?

Yes they have. :-)

>> >> Jim, in all honesty, if you doubt the
>> >> accuracy of Len's reports, please go thru the 2500+
>> >> comments and give us a readout of your own analysis.
>
>>> >Suppose I did, and came up with different results than Len.
>
>>> Suppose you GET STARTED? :-)
>
>>> >Do you think he'd accept my scorecard as accurate because I say it is?
>
>>> Why? You are hardly an "unbiased observer." :-)

[morsemen are definitely NOT unbiased on the code test...]

>> >Or would his reaction be somewhat different?
>
>> Jimmie, you MUST stop imagining these alternate universes of

>> yours. In order to "prove" what you postulate (or pustulate)
>> you must GET STARTED in reading each and every of the 2558
>> Comments and present them. So far you've not done that.
>
>> Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings was done by
>> James Miccolis!
>
>> Imagine that...an important issue in U.S. amateur radio license
>> regulations and the self-styled guru of amateurdom hasn't posted
>> a single Comment or Reply to Comments on WT Docket 05-235 by
>> 13 October 2005...with the NPRM appearing to the public on 20
>> July 2005! [released on 15 July and appearing in the ECFS
>> according to the date-stamp shown on the first page of their
>> single 15 July 2005 filing] Tsk, two and a half months now
>> and Jimmie hasn't said anything to the FCC directly...but has
>> been in here negatively criticizing all who are against the
>> code test!

[afraid of getting a rebuking Reply to Comments? :-)]

>> Better hurry. The official cutoff date for Comments is only
>> two weeks away. The official cutoff date for Replies to
>> Comments is four weeks away.
>
>> Like it or not, history in United States amateur radio is being
>> made while you sit in here and attack all those who are against
>> your opinions on just about anything. :-)
>
>Gee, Len, you've just shown once again how predictable your behavior
>here really is.

Is THAT all you can come up with? Tsk, tsk.

United States amateur radio history is happening NOW. We are all
(well, most) in the NOW, not living in some idealized past.

Am I "predictable" on living NOW and being a part of history?
If so, I admit it. That is REALITY. That is LIFE. I like it.

All those Comments and Replies to Comments will be on file with
the FCC in their Reading Room long after a final R&O on the NPRM
is issued. It isn't ephemeral like some Google archive. All
Comments will be there and on public view at the FCC. Official
stuff. It's not some fantasy playground where you can play at
lording it over others in a newsgroup. It's "put up or shut up"
time, Jimmie. One or the other.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3012. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 00:41:58 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <qfY3f.439909$_o.355874@attbi_s71>
Source record: 120838

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:s6W3f.69$v_5.33@dukeread07...
<snip>
> OTOH, W1AW is a historic station (one of the first on the air), has been
> doing the same thing for nearly a century and predates most of the modern
> rules (thus it is grandfathered). <snip>

You might also want to mention that W1AW has never announced a national emergency for a sovereign country (Bermuda) which did not claim any such an emergency. When Hurricane Dean brushed by Bermuda, Sunday August 6th, 1989 at 11.30 UTC, at no time was a request made for IARN to assist or to activate on behalf of Bermuda. But that's exactly what Baxter did. Baxter's interference in Bermuda's affairs did not help our country's image or reputation.

3013. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 01:33:17 UTC
From: Sup <sup@supanonmailer.org>
Message-ID: <dipm4u$rg8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

(deleted groups restored)

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:s6W3f.69$v_5.33@dukeread07...
> anon wrote:
>
>> Baxter *owns* all you fruits. Thousands of kilohertz to play
>> in, and yet you fruits insist on jumping into Baxter's sandbox.
>> There are no "Baxters" on CB, because they are ignored. You
>> weak minded hams actually make Baxter what he is.
>
> actually, it was him who decided to play in OUR sandbox.
>
> his claims to ownership of specified ham radio frequencies for the
> purposes
> of his "bulletins" are without merit.
>
> OTOH, W1AW is a historic station (one of the first on the air), has been
> doing the same thing for nearly a century and predates most of the modern
> rules (thus it is grandfathered). However, they had no pecuniary interest,
> often promoted ham radio and provided a means for "over the air training".
> I do not see K1 MAN doing any such thing.
>
> In fact, U have heard 'MAN on the air a few times over the last decade or
> so
> and I was always left with a bad feeling in my ears and a question: why is
> this idiot even on the sir?".
>
> so, there you have it. enough of your whining, complaining and crying. he
> is
> done. he is gone. now live with it and move on.
>

Follow your *own* advice, clueless fruit ham. You have been whining,
complaining, and crying about Baxter for years, in order to fill the
voidless nothing in your worthless life.

TURN THE LARGE CENTER DIAL ON YOUR FUCKING
RADIO next time you hear Baxter.

YOU FUCKING MORON!

3014. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 01:43:54 UTC
From: ARRL SUCKS <arrlassholes@arrlsucksidiots.net>
Message-ID: <dipmokucb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League

Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.

ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3015. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 02:24:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129343041.873460.94520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120841

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Here's a quote from John C. Dvorak's "Inside Track" column in this
> week's PC Magazine:
>
> "... Whatever the case, the most overlooked participants in the Katrina
> relief effort were the ham radio folks, who were doing whatever they
> could as ad hoc emergency dispatchers, creating their own network
> within the system. These dedicated persons pride themselves on their
> ability to do worldwide communications under adverse conditions, and
> the ARRL (Amateur [sic] Radio Relay League) and its members, as well as
> others, were a big part of the aid effort. Of course since amateur
> radio is anything but trendy in today's XBox, gene-splicing world,
> there was zero coverage of its contribution in the mainstream press,
> and these people are not the worlds greatest self promoters. At least
> some of us are paying attention. Good work guys! Bush should be giving
> medals to you all."
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That's a damned nice thing of John to say.

The NRA's American Rifleman featured a much different slice of the
relief effort.

3016. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 02:31:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129343519.730422.139080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120842

K4YZ wrote:
> FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
>
> QUOTE
>
> ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --

Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
hate spam trash. You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
had any shame.

Thanks for nothing.

3017. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 03:06:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129345578.513105.113100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120843

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 18:49
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
> >> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> >> >>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> >> >>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> >> >>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> >> >>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> >> >>>ratings.
> >> >
> >> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> >> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> >> >
> >> >> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> >> >> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> >> >> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> >> >> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> >> >> by the US government at the time.
> >> >
> >> >Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> >>
> >> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
> >> fight with a morse key in each holster.
> >
> > Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
> >slither off to on occassion.....
>
> "Scumbag?" "...slither?" :-)
>
> Well, a three-week trip to a college reunion might look like that
> to one who has only been "licensured" as a high school graduate.

Can you be sure of that?

> BTW, "occasion" has only one S in it...

Mr. Spelling Bee messed up?

> > And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
> >RRAP.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I didn't write "scumbag" or "slither." :-)
>
> ...or "sign off" with the Yiddish pejorative "Putz." :-)

Welp, I knew Steve wasn't up to the task of turning over the forest of leaves that it would take for him to act pleasant on RRAP. It just isn't in his nature.

> >> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
> >> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
> >> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
> >> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
> >> there!
> >
> > I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
> >room tales you over heard, Lennie...
>
> What "tales" have I told, masterradiooperator? :-)
>
> Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
>
> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf
>
> Dudly the Imposter has "ZERO-POINT-ZERO" experience as a
> military radio operator. Zip. Nada. Nyet. None.
>
> [unless one counts undocumented, unreferencible "Assistant NCOIC"
> claims at a MARS station...:-)]

I'm skeptical.

> >> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
> >>
> >> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?
> >
> > According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
> >Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
> >before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
> >during WW2.
>
> ...and don't you wish YOU were, mighty warrior? :-)

Steve envies many things.

> Dudly the Imposter says "I told 'lies'" yet cannot prove it.
>
> Dudly the Ugly mouths off again.

I can't classify him as ugly, but pudgy seems to fit that photo he
brandishes on QRZ.

> >> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?
> >
> > Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.
>
> Tsk. You don't even know what "rad lab" is or stands for or
> where it was located during WW2...

I'd like to see him suprise you on that one. Probably lots of N2EY,
W3RV, and K4CAP back channel going on right now.

> >> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
> >> electronics industry at that time?
> >
> > Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of
> >the era were licensees, Lennie?
>
> "Licensees" of what, masterradiooperator? :-)

Motor Vehicle Ops?

> >> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
> >> just working on telephones?
> >
> > Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?
>
> Quote from the ARRL Holy Book, masterradiooperator, and tell us
> EXACTLY how many. You imply you KNOW all. :-)
>
> BTW, do you know WHERE "Bell Labs" were in WW2, masterradiooperator?

More back channel...

> >> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
> >> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
> >> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
> >> last three years of WW2.
> >
> > Bet there was a couple there, too...
>
> Only a "couple?" :-)

Probably inductively coupled.

> Which of the more than 30 companies involved had licensed
> radio amateurs, Dudly the Ugly?
>
> The civilian center for quartz crystal unit production was
> Motorola in Chicago in WW2. Except you said that Motorola
> didn't exist back then, remember? :-)

Oooh! Ouch!

> >> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
> >>
> >> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
> >> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
> >> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?
> >
> > So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
> >Lennie?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Ugly has very little reading
> comprehension. I don't know who the "we" are, but what you
> say I wrote isn't what I wrote.
>
> "Electronics venue?" What are you talking about? "Venue?"

Next to the bratwurst venue on radio row?

> >> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
> >> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
> >> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
> >> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.
> >
> > OK.
> >
> > Your point?
>
> Tsk, good opportunity for Dudly the Ugly to say that "vacuum
> cleaners 'suck'!" [missed your chance, Dud]

Probably manage to get "Putz" in there.

> >> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
> >> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
> >>
> >> Again, how MANY is "many?"
> >
> > More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...
>
> Tsk, tsk. Three of us living on Lanark Street are licensed
> by the FCC in COMMERCIAL radio service. But, "Lanark Street"
> didn't exist before 1950 so that doesn't fit with Dudly the Ugly's
> personal hatred of certain folks in here...:-)

Wonder what commercial "licensure" Steve has?

> >> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
> >> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
> >> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
> >> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?

>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud, you'd best get with Buzziebaby on those
> "air attacks." He say over 200 Japanese balloons "attacked"
> the US of A in WW2. Were there NO "balloon spotters" manned
> by "licensured" radio amateurs back then? :-)

Theodolite tracked balloon bombs?

> >> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
> >> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
> >> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
> >> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
> >> by turning off all non-essential lights.
> >
> > So?
> >
> > Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
> >having performed some service in WW2.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, I wonder what Dudly the Ugly had to do with
> WW2 considering he didn't exist until a decade AFTER WW2
> ended. :-)

Welp, he knows that Jim said...

> >> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
> >> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
> >> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
> >> of military life.
> >
> > Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
> >civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".
>
> Tell us all about it, old-timer. You were THERE, weren't you? :-)
>
> Fly your "E for Effort" flag proudly, masterradiooperator. :-)
>
> [anyone alive during WW2 could see the "E flags"]

Some folks will do anything to hang on to another's contributions...

> >> >There is a large amount of
> >> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> >> >War. Have you read any of it?
> >>
> >> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
> >> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)
> >
> > Why should they?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you never saw any "war surplus radio" stuff?
>

> Old-timer, you've lead a sheltered life.
>
> The radio-electronics INDUSTRY of the USA built all those "surplus
> radios" from WW2. Those were NOT built FOR radio amateurs.

They weren't made expressly for radio row?

> > How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?
>
> Over a dozen that I've seen...but the IEEE, a PROFESSIONAL
> ASSOCIATION publishes not only SPECTRUM (the membership magazine
> I get free each month), but the PROCEEDINGS (technical features
> on both electric power and general electronic subjects) plus over
> two dozen TRANSACTIONS (from special interest groups) each month.
> Not only that, they publish (generally printed by Wiley) books
> and biographies and historical texts on electric and electronic
> subjects. Go to their website and check out what's available.

That would require effort.

> Tsk, Dudly the Ugly has to understand that "radio" is only one
> small subset of the entirety of ELECTRONICS in the world. To
> the vast majority of IEEE members, "amateur radio" is just a
> hobby for personal recreation and enjoyment. The world of
> electronics hasn't looked to amateurism to supply new insights
> and principles for well over a half century...worldwide.

They're oiling up the Wrenchy Stitch for such blasphemy.

> >> (UNSNIP)...or even
> >> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
> >> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
> >> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
> >> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
> >> and not at all being amateur about what they did.
> >
> > Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone
> >else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Dud, listen to Davie the Heil...he "worked with NASA"
> and did "real radio operating" while in uniform. You have
> exactly "zero-point-zero" experience in military communications.

It would appear that way. Steve is free to correct the record at any
time.

> >> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
> >> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?
> >
> > Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.
>
> Riiiiight...and the ARRL is "universally loved" by all "licensured"
> radio amateurs! :-)

>
> ARRL *always* "tells the truth" and never omits mention of
> hated professionals, right? :-)
>
> ARRL *never* "sins by omission" do they? :-)

Such as omitting Carl from the ballot...

> > Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
> >deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
> >perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...
>
> Poor baby, being delusional again? :-)
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to get rid of the amateur radio morse
> code test in FCC regulations is NOT "hating the ARRL or 'all'
> radio amateurs."

Ahem... (there's a large subset of amateurs that believe so)

> >> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
> >> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.
> >
> > Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
> >story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
> >ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....
>
> So, old-timer, WHICH military radio station did YOU work at
> "during the war?" :-)

Probably too busy with those "seven hostile actions" to notice the
callsign or routing indicators.

> ...and WHICH "war" was it? You've never detailed that, Dudly
> the Imposter. You've made only inferences, nothing detailed.

It's all hush hush, on the QT, need to know basis.

He'd have to kill you if found out.

> >> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
> >> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
> >> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
> >> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
> >> boil down to...as usual]
> >
> > Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
> >about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
> >paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.
>
> "their," sweetums...watch those typos when you get heated. :-)

"Anderson" "thier"

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've not said that "all amateurs" "know nothing."
>
> I've said that CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL AMATEURS are IGNORANT of
> anything but being able to read (word-for-word) every ad in QST
> to get their "radio smarts." That's (unfortunately) true.
>
> That YOU are an UNDERACHIEVER is YOUR label for YOURSELF.
>
> That YOU are HATING of anyone who has gathered experience
> in radio operating anywhere but as a "licensured" amateur
> is YOUR problem.

His problems number so many as to be insurmountable.

> >> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
> >> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
> >> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
> >> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
> >> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
> >> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!
> > >
> > > Funny...
> > >
> > > All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie once mentioned some old-timer's article
> about WAR (Washington Army Radio) from pre-WW2 times and tried
> to discredit the FACT that WAR was running TTY, not morse code,
> during and after WW2. Washington Army Radio had the TTY
> four-letter ID of "RUEP" in ACAN...ADA has "RUAP." [you need
> more of the ACAN IDs, old-timer? I got them up to 1962]

Always enjoy WAR stories from "them that didn't."

> Long ago in here some anony-mouse "reserve colonel" had a "son
> in the [first] Gulf War" that was using "CW behind enemy lines
> to send intel back to Hq." [his son would 'never' lie to him
> he wrote] Anony-mouse slunk away when confronted with modern
> land-forces radio equipment nomenclature and procedure, his last
> posting saying he might have been mistaken. :-)

Ouch.

> [Dudly the Imposter has NEVER mentioned one single nomenclature
> or familiar name of any military radio communication equipment
> (other than a couple MARS radios) yet claimes to "know" about
> military communications]

Is the intercom on a helicopter considered "radio?"

> HF radio was ONCE a mainstay of international radio communications
> but that reverted to a backup system some time in the 1970s with
> the coming of more modern methods of reaching around the globe.
> That's the REAL HISTORY of radio communications to the REST of

> the radio world.
>
> Frankly, Dud, you know dink about radio communications other than
> what you read about in ARRL pages. You've got a BIG problem of
> sociopathy in newsgroups, always personally insulting anyone
> who disagrees with you. Take your standard "sign off" as an
> example:
>
> > Putz.
>
> Dudly the Ugly tries to strike again...and fails. Oy, gevalt!
>
> Acting Ugly with all who disagree with you is NOT good PR for
> U.S. amateur radio...and you SO identify yourself with ALL of
> amateur radio that the slightest negative against YOU personally
> gets translated to some kind of "insult to all amateurs!"
>
> It doesn't work that way.

He tries so hard to make it so.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

How was the reunion?

3018. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 03:16:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129346172.479071.57450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120844

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Leo on Oct 14, 2:45 pm
>
> >It would have been a far more productive thing to do for the hobby
> >that to attempt to ignite yet another flame war here......again......
>
> No, that is entirely "predictable" on Miccolis' part. :-)

Careful, now. YOU are not permitted to profile on RRAP. YOU hold no amateur radio license. YOUR knowledge of such things is imperfect and suspect.

3019. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 03:36:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129347390.721545.73020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120900

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> > > > >>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> > > > >>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> > > > >>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> > > > >>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> > > > >>ratings.
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > >
> > > > > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> > > > >
> > > > > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> > > > > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> > > > > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> > > > > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> > > > > by the US government at the time.
> > > >
> > > > Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> > > > Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
> > > > radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
> > > > and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
> > > > documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> > > > War. Have you read any of it?
> > >
> > > Whoa! Talk about brilliant minds! Our posts hit at the same
> > > time...But when I re-read mine, I accidentally "deleted" a paragraph
> > > when I had changed a sentence I wrote prior to posting!
> > >
> > > What I had said was that Brian's demonization of some of us who
> > > make reference to contributions made during WW2 doesn't stand the
> > > litmus test of objectivity. The "War Department" and other agencies of
> > > the era went on record as praising the ARRL and it's members for the
> > > contributions you cited, Dave...
> >
> > If I made contributions to dental hygeine during any war, it would not
> > be as an amateur radio operator. You guys, who constantly rail against
> > persons having commercial and military radio experience, should know

> > that whatever those amateurs did during WWII were not doing it as
> > amateurs.
> >
> > Unless you are now adopting a wider view of what constitutes radio
> > knowledge. Is that what you're doing? Hmmmmm?
>
> None of us "rail against" persons with commercial or military
> experience BECAUSE of that experience, Brian...

Not true.

> We DO "rail against"
> people who have NO experience in AMATEUR RADIO who then come to an
> Amateur Radio forum and presume to tell us how we should be "doing"
> things.

He doesn't "presume." He does so.

> Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
> "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
> experience.

Not true.

> Period.

Longhand punctuation?

> His attacks on me based upon having been an Armed
> Forces Avionics Tech and Jim, N2EY, for his various projects are
> point-in-case.

And you presume to tell Len how he should be doing things.

> No one doubts that Lennie knows how a radio works or that he was
> an adequate bench technician.

Not true. How many lies will you rack up in this single post?

> However he has, to this date, zero-point-zero hours of experience
> as a licensed Radio Amateur.

Nor does the Chairman of the FCC.

> He is not now nor ever has been a radio
> OPERATOR as it pertains to Amateur Radio practice. He has
> zero-point-zero hours of experience in emergency communications. His
> list ot "zeros" is lengthy, yet he pretends to be an authority on
> Amateur Radio policies and/or practices.

Ditto the Chaiman of the FCC and his numerous staffers. Soon, he's
going to "presume" to tell you how it is, both on policy and practice.

> He's nothing of the sort.
>

> Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
> him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
> is assinine.

Not true. Lie #4.

> Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
> Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
> electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
> and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
> radiocommunications.

Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!

Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."

> No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
> end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
on the ARS.

Best of Luck.

3020. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 03:40:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129347615.316346.235030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120901

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Here's a quote from John C. Dvorak's "Inside Track" column in this
> > week's PC Magazine:
> >
> > "... Whatever the case, the most overlooked participants in the Katrina
> > relief effort were the ham radio folks, who were doing whatever they
> > could as ad hoc emergency dispatchers, creating their own network
> > within the system. These dedicated persons pride themselves on their
> > ability to do worldwide communications under adverse conditions, and
> > the ARRL (Amateur [sic] Radio Relay League) and its members, as well as
> > others, were a big part of the aid effort. Of course since amateur
> > radio is anything but trendy in today's XBox, gene-splicing world,
> > there was zero coverage of its contribution in the mainstream press,
> > and these people are not the worlds greatest self promoters. At least
> > some of us are paying attention. Good work guys! Bush should be giving
> > medals to you all."
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> This just really gets up lenniepoo's nose, hams doing a real job of
> providing comms during a disaster and he can't be a part of it.

Why would you say such a thing?

3021. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 04:21:50 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JYudnT8rDo_b6M3enZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120845

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Riiiiight...all REPORTED by American RADIO AMATEURS on
> "balloon watch" duty? [published in WW2 ARRL bulletins?]
>
> "As far away as Michigan?" :-) Do you consider the state of
> Michigan as "DX?" :-)
>
> I didn't "forget" about those non-fatal "balloon 'attacks'", I
> just IGNORED them. They made "zero-point-zero" effect on the
> WW2 production of the United States of America.

Translation: "In my failing memory did forget about those AIR ATTACKS by the balloon bombs and hate being corrected so I will claim I IGNORED them and flail away at the person who showed me up."

You asked, "Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the United States then?"

The answer is, "yes", the balloon bombs were AIR ATTACKS on the USofA. I suppose you think the balloon bombs came via boat. Get the kid next door to explain to you what a balloon is.

Too bad lenniepoo, even with the dumbing down of the written test and the eventual elimination of the code test, you still won't be able to get a license.

3022. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 04:28:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JYudnT4rDo8o683enZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120846

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> There's no detailed results, just a couple of numbers. KC8EPO made a
> detailed listing that was available to all - Len hasn't done anything
> like that. He demands that others 'SHOW THEIR WORK' but doesn't show
> his.

How can he show his work when he doesn't do any?

3023. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 04:30:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JYudnTkrDo_86s3enZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120847

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.

So you swept up around the radio shack while the real radio operators
did the work, and you want some recoginization for that I suppose.

3024. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 04:34:15 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xd-dnc9UONqz5c3eRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120848

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Here's a quote from John C. Dvorak's "Inside Track" column in this
> week's PC Magazine:
>
> "... Whatever the case, the most overlooked participants in the Katrina
> relief effort were the ham radio folks, who were doing whatever they
> could as ad hoc emergency dispatchers, creating their own network
> within the system. These dedicated persons pride themselves on their
> ability to do worldwide communications under adverse conditions, and
> the ARRL (Amateur [sic] Radio Relay League) and its members, as well as
> others, were a big part of the aid effort. Of course since amateur
> radio is anything but trendy in today's XBox, gene-splicing world,
> there was zero coverage of its contribution in the mainstream press,
> and these people are not the worlds greatest self promoters. At least
> some of us are paying attention. Good work guys! Bush should be giving
> medals to you all."
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

This just really gets up lenniepoo's nose, hams doing a real job of providing comms during a disaster and he can't be a part of it. Even if he had a license he would only get in the way attempting to impress someone with all his 'claimed' Army radio operating skills. Now watch him make an attempt to poo-poo all the work the hams did during the Katrina disaster.

3025. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 04:37:37 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xd-dnc5UONpr5c3eRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Sup wrote:
>
> Follow your *own* advice, clueless fruit ham. You have been whining,
> complaining, and crying about Baxter for years, in order to fill the
> voidless nothing in your worthless life.
>
> TURN THE LARGE CENTER DIAL ON YOUR FUCKING
> RADIO next time you hear Baxter.
>
> YOU FUCKING MORON!
>
>
>
>
And another brilliant CBer speaks out.

3026. Green Blue Yellow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 05:16:39 UTC
From: 57512 <57512@ftprouternycd.net>
Message-ID: <diq37lokg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
57394 59623 33509 07314 67342 14289
57473 31084 56042 59733 16392 44798
81899 02132 03589 08580 79237 64925
```

3027. Re: uk.radio.amateur et al, a FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 05:59:43 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129355983.364224.303280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120903
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Cross-posts seem to have got lost somewhere.

Polymath wrote:
> Unless you get sacked and have to take up teaching
> because no one else will employ you.....
>
> Brian Reay wrote:
> >
> > I'm of the view that there is always work if you want it.

3028. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 10:01:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129370518.543310.37080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120904

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 18:49
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
> >> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> >> >>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> >> >>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> >> >>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> >> >>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> >> >>>ratings.
> >> >
> >> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> >> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> >> >
> >> >> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> >> >> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> >> >> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> >> >> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> >> >> by the US government at the time.
> >> >
> >> >Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> >>
> >> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
> >> fight with a morse key in each holster.
> >
> > Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
> >slither off to on occassion.....
>
> "Scumbag?" "...slither?"

Yep. You quote well.

> Well, a three-week trip to a college reunion might look like that
> to one who has only been "licensured" as a high school graduate.

Who has "only" a high school diploma, Lennie?

> BTW, "occasion" has only one S in it...
>
>

> > And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
> >RRAP.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I didn't write "scumbag" or "slither."

No, you didn't...But you are one and you did.

> ...or "sign off" with the Yiddish pejorative "Putz."

Again, it's all about you, Lennie...Prove it "wrong".

> >> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
> >> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
> >> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
> >> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
> >> there!
> >
> > I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
> >room tales you over heard, Lennie...
>
> What "tales" have I told, masterradiooperator?

Oh geeze...

> Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.

Still tales, Lennie...Re-worded, repeated, should be retired.

> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf
>
> Dudly the Imposter has "ZERO-POINT-ZERO" experience as a
> military radio operator. Zip. Nada. Nyet. None.

Wrong.

> [unless one counts undocumented, unreferencible "Assistant NCOIC"
> claims at a MARS station...:-)]

Sorry, Lennie...IS documented and IS "referencible".

> >> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
> >>
> >> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?
> >
> > According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
> >Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
> >before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
> >during WW2.
>
> ...and don't you wish YOU were, mighty warrior?

Was what? Been a member of the ARRL and was a member of the
United States Marines.

> Dudly the Imposter says "I told 'lies'" yet cannot prove it.

Who's Dudly?

However you HAVE told lies...Been caught in them repeatedly.

> Dudly the Ugly mouths off again.

Who's Dudly?

> >> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?
> >
> > Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.
>
> Tsk. You don't even know what "rad lab" is or stands for or
> where it was located during WW2...

Yes, Lennie...I can read a history book, just like YOU did.

> >> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
> >> electronics industry at that time?
> >
> > Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of
> >the era were licensees, Lennie?
>
> "Licensees" of what, masterradiooperator?

A lame diversion, Your Scumminess.

> >> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
> >> just working on telephones?
> >
> > Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?
>
> Quote from the ARRL Holy Book, masterradiooperator, and tell us
> EXACTLY how many. You imply you KNOW all.

No, I don't.

However it's a matter of histroical record (whether you like the
sources or not) that Amateur licensees DID work in these many fields.

> BTW, do you know WHERE "Bell Labs" were in WW2, masterradiooperator?

What does it matter that I do or don't, Lennie?

This has some relevence to YOUR insinuations that Amateur Radio
operators did not play any kind of role in WW2?

> >> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
> >> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
> >> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
> >> last three years of WW2.

> >
> > Bet there was a couple there, too...
>
> Only a "couple?"
>
> Which of the more than 30 companies involved had licensed
> radio amateurs, Dudly the Ugly?

Who's Dudly?

Picking up on the diminutives of a twice court-martialed liar,
Lennie?

Getting lazy, aren't you?

> The civilian center for quartz crystal unit production was
> Motorola in Chicago in WW2. Except you said that Motorola
> didn't exist back then, remember?

As far as communications go, it didn't.

Motorola's own website and historical archives refer, Lennie.

Or are you NOW claiming to know more about Motorola's history than
Motorola does?

> >> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
> >>
> >> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
> >> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
> >> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?
> >
> > So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
> >Lennie?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Ugly has very little reading
> comprehension. I don't know who the "we" are, but what you
> say I wrote isn't what I wrote.

Who's Dudly?

> "Electronics venue?" What are you talking about? "Venue?"

Dodge, dance, spin and weave.

What ever it takes to mislead and deceive.

Good ole Lennie!

> >> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
> >> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
> >> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
> >> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.
> >
> > OK.

> >
> > Your point?
>
> Tsk, good opportunity for Dudly the Ugly to say that "vacuum
> cleaners 'suck'!" [missed your chance, Dud]

Who's Dudly?

And you didn't answer the question.

> >> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
> >> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
> >>
> >> Again, how MANY is "many?"
> >
> > More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...
>
> Tsk, tsk. Three of us living on Lanark Street are licensed
> by the FCC in COMMERCIAL radio service. But, "Lanark Street"
> didn't exist before 1950 so that doesn't fit with Dudly the Ugly's
> personal hatred of certain folks in here...

Who's Dudly?

And the existence of "Lanark Street" has WHAT to do with WHAT?

By the way...You asked about the allegations of you lying.

Your assertion that I "hate" anyone here is one of them. I've
said this before and I've said it's not true, but here you are
repeating it again.

> >> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
> >> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
> >> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
> >> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud, you'd best get with Buzziebaby on those
> "air attacks." He say over 200 Japanese balloons "attacked"
> the US of A in WW2. Were there NO "balloon spotters" manned
> by "licensured" radio amateurs back then?

Your English is getting as bad as Mark's, Lennie.

There WERE "Air Wardens" and "Spotters" throguhout the United
States...

> >> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
> >> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
> >> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished

> >> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
> >> by turning off all non-essential lights.
> >
> > So?
> >
> > Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
> >having performed some service in WW2.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, I wonder what Dudly the Ugly had to do with
> WW2 considering he didn't exist until a decade AFTER WW2
> ended.

Who's Dudly?

You're still weaving and deceiving, Lennie.

Are you going to answer the question?

> >> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
> >> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
> >> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
> >> of military life.
> >
> > Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
> >civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".
>
> Tell us all about it, old-timer. You were THERE, weren't you?

Nope. But then you BARELY were yourself.

This makes six questions in a row that you avoided answerting with
direct answers, but rather responded with some sandlot taunt.

Why am I not surprised?

> Fly your "E for Effort" flag proudly, masterradiooperator.
>
> [anyone alive during WW2 could see the "E flags"]

Anyone who served in the Armed Forces in the LATE 20th Century
could still see "E" flags at some Naval installations. The same "E" is
also stencilled on the hangars and ships of US Navy Air and Sea assets
of units awarded the "E".

> >> >There is a large amount of
> >> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> >> >War. Have you read any of it?
> >>
> >> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
> >> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)
> >
> > Why should they?
>

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you never saw any "war surplus radio" stuff?
>
> Old-timer, you've lead a sheltered life.
>
> The radio-electronics INDUSTRY of the USA built all those "surplus
> radios" from WW2. Those were NOT built FOR radio amateurs.

You claim I don't read what you write, Lennie, but I quoted you verbatim yet you respond to MY comments with something completely irrelevent.

> > How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?
>
> Over a dozen that I've seen...but the IEEE, a PROFESSIONAL
> ASSOCIATION publishes not only SPECTRUM (the membership magazine
> I get free each month), but the PROCEEDINGS (technical features
> on both electric power and general electronic subjects) plus over
> two dozen TRANSACTIONS (from special interest groups) each month.
> Not only that, they publish (generally printed by Wiley) books
> and biographies and historical texts on electric and electronic
> subjects. Go to their website and check out what's available.

A dozen?

In your alleged 40+ years of "service" in the electronics field?

That's one article every three and one third years.

Divided between "Spectrum" and "Proceedings", that's a pretty poor showing.

I betya references to IEEE occurs more frequently in Amatuer publications.

> Tsk, Dudly the Ugly has to understand that "radio" is only one
> small subset of the entirety of ELECTRONICS in the world. To
> the vast majority of IEEE members, "amateur radio" is just a
> hobby for personal recreation and enjoyment. The world of
> electronics hasn't looked to amateurism to supply new insights
> and principles for well over a half century...worldwide.

And Lennie the Liar forgets that THIS forum is about AMATEUR RADIO...

A radio service in which you do NOT have licensure or experience.

> >> (UNSNIP)...or even
> >> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
> >> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
> >> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
> >> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
> >> and not at all being amateur about what they did.
> >
> > Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone

> >else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Dud, listen to Davie the Heil...he "worked with NASA"
> and did "real radio operating" while in uniform. You have
> exactly "zero-point-zero" experience in military communications.

Wrong-o, Putz-o. Provided the MOS for it, along with my
maintenence MOS's.

Sorry you weren't paying attention.

> >> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
> >> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?
> >
> > Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.
>
> Riiiiight...and the ARRL is "universally loved" by all "licensured"
> radio amateurs!

Nope. It's not.

> ARRL *always* "tells the truth" and never omits mention of
> hated professionals, right?

Who's "hated"?

Direct question: Can YOU >>prove<< a single one of your claims of
dishonesty vis-a-vis the ARRL? Is there a single line intentional
DISHONESTY in ANY ARRL text?

> ARRL *never* "sins by omission" do they?

What "sins"? What "omissions"?

YOU suggest that since Amateur Radio is only a "hobby" that the
IEEE, an organization of electrical engineers, should not reference or
allude to it in their publications.

On the otherhand, the IEEE's scope exceeds the ARRL's own scope
and purpose, which is to discuss Amateur Radio.

So again...to WHICH "omissions" do you refer?

> > Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
> >deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
> >perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...
>
> Poor baby, being delusional again?

Nope. Just pointing out the obvious.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to get rid of the amateur radio morse
> code test in FCC regulations is NOT "hating the ARRL or 'all'
> radio amateurs."

But that's not what your conduct in this forum has presented.

You've repeated that this forum is ONLY for the Morse Code Test
issue, and that you are only here to force the end of Morse Code
testing.

However that's just what you "say".

Your "actions" speak louder and prove you a liar.

> >> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
> >> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.
> >
> > Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
> >story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
> >ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....
>
> So, old-timer, WHICH military radio station did YOU work at
> "during the war?"

I didn't. Never claimed to. But you, on the otherhand, have tried
to claim some "hero by association" with your stories of heroics in
rear-area Japan in 1953.

> ...and WHICH "war" was it? You've never detailed that, Dudly
> the Imposter. You've made only inferences, nothing detailed.

Who's Dudly?

> >> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
> >> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
> >> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
> >> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
> >> boil down to...as usual]
> >
> > Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
> >about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
> >paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.
>
> "their," sweetums...watch those typos when you get heated.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've not said that "all amateurs" "know nothing."

Sure you have. Repeatedly.

> I've said that CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL AMATEURS are IGNORANT of
> anything but being able to read (word-for-word) every ad in QST
> to get their "radio smarts." That's (unfortunately) true.

No, it's not true. That's an unsubstantiated rant of YOURS.

> That YOU are an UNDERACHIEVER is YOUR label for YOURSELF.

Nice try, Lennie.

> That YOU are HATING of anyone who has gathered experience
> in radio operating anywhere but as a "licensured" amateur
> is YOUR problem.

Again you repeat this "hate" lie.

I don't "hate" you or anyone else based upon what they "know" or
"don't know" about radio electronics.

As far as radio OPERATING goes, Lennie, you have zero-point-zero
hours of radio operating experience as it pertains to AMATEUR Radio,
which is the purpose of THIS forum.

As for "hating" anything or anyone, I "hate" people who know "just
enough" to try and force their uninformed opinions on others "just
because".

You remind me of some of the idiots that present to the ED and
then try to "get involved" in the process based upon thier having
watched numerous episodes of "E.R.", "Third Watch" and other related TV
dramas.

> >> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
> >> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
> >> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
> >> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
> >> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
> >> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!
> >
> > Funny...
> >
> > All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie once mentioned some old-timer's article
> about WAR (Washington Army Radio) from pre-WW2 times and tried
> to discredit the FACT that WAR was running TTY, not morse code,
> during and after WW2. Washington Army Radio had the TTY
> four-letter ID of "RUEP" in ACAN...ADA has "RUAP." [you need
> more of the ACAN IDs, old-timer? I got them up to 1962]

Gee, Lennie...Did YOU "serve" in 1943? Had you reached puberty
yet?

> Long ago in here some anony-mouse "reserve colonel" had a "son
> in the [first] Gulf War" that was using "CW behind enemy lines
> to send intel back to Hq." [his son would 'never' lie to him
> he wrote] Anony-mouse slunk away when confronted with modern
> land-forces radio equipment nomenclature and procedure, his last
> posting saying he might have been mistaken.

Or more likely your volumous blabberings were more than he cared
to deal with, which is more like it.

> [Dudly the Imposter has NEVER mentioned one single nomenclature
> or familiar name of any military radio communication equipment
> (other than a couple MARS radios) yet claimes to "know" about
> military communications]

Who's Dudly? And why do you incessantly suggest that reciting
"military nomenclature" has anything to do with AMATEUR Radio...?!?!

> HF radio was ONCE a mainstay of international radio communications
> but that reverted to a backup system some time in the 1970s with
> the coming of more modern methods of reaching around the globe.
> That's the REAL HISTORY of radio communications to the REST of
> the radio world.

Uh huh.

And this answers the questions posed to you but ignored by
you....HOW...?!?!

> Frankly, Dud, you know dink about radio communications other than
> what you read about in ARRL pages. You've got a BIG problem of
> sociopathy in newsgroups, always personally insulting anyone
> who disagrees with you. Take your standard "sign off" as an
> example:

There's a "sociopath" here, Lennie, but it's not me.

It's the guy who keeps posting rant after rant about his alleged
"professional" and "military" careers in a forum for which either is
only incidentally germane.

> > Putz.
>
> Dudly the Ugly tries to strike again...and fails. Oy, gevalt!

Who's Dudly?

He called you a putz, too...?!?!

Smart guy!

> Acting Ugly with all who disagree with you...(SNIP)

But I don't.

> (UNSNIP)...is NOT good PR for U.S. amateur radio...(SNIP)

Here again we have you again presuming to known what's good for
Amateur Radio all-the-while not having any vested interest in it.

> (UNSNIP)...and you SO identify yourself with ALL of
> amateur radio that the slightest negative against YOU personally
> gets translated to some kind of "insult to all amateurs!"
>
> It doesn't work that way.

Lennie, you're trying to "make the rules" here, and yet you're not even a player.

What's up with that, Putz?

> LenIloveToMakeRulesForOthersThatIWontHaveToLiveByAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

3029. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 10:20:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129371634.531113.100040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120905

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> > > > > >>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> > > > > >>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> > > > > >>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> > > > > >>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> > > > > >>ratings.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> > > > > > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> > > > > > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> > > > > > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> > > > > > by the US government at the time.
> > > > >
> > > > > Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> > > > > Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
> > > > > radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
> > > > > and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
> > > > > documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> > > > > War. Have you read any of it?
> > > >
> > > > Whoa! Talk about brilliant minds! Our posts hit at the same
> > > > time...But when I re-read mine, I accidentally "deleted" a paragraph
> > > > when I had changed a sentence I wrote prior to posting!
> > > >
> > > > What I had said was that Brian's demonization of some of us who
> > > > make reference to contributions made during WW2 doesn't stand the
> > > > litmus test of objectivity. The "War Department" and other agencies of
> > > > the era went on record as praising the ARRL and it's members for the
> > > > contributions you cited, Dave...
> > >
> > > If I made contributions to dental hygeine during any war, it would not
> > > be as an amateur radio operator. You guys, who constantly rail against

> > > persons having commercial and military radio experience, should know
> > > that whatever those amateurs did during WWII were not doing it as
> > > amateurs.
> > >
> > > Unless you are now adopting a wider view of what constitutes radio
> > > knowledge. Is that what you're doing? Hmmmmm?
> >
> > None of us "rail against" persons with commercial or military
> > experience BECAUSE of that experience, Brian...
>
> Not true.

Is true. Who else in this forum with "commercial or military"
communications experience rants on-and-on like Lennie?

> > We DO "rail against"
> > people who have NO experience in AMATEUR RADIO who then come to an
> > Amateur Radio forum and presume to tell us how we should be "doing"
> > things.
>
> He doesn't "presume." He does so.

He doesn't "tell" me anything!

> > Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
> > "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
> > experience.
>
> Not true.

Is true. Unless we include you.

> > Period.
>
> Longhand punctuation?
>
> > His attacks on me based upon having been an Armed
> > Forces Avionics Tech and Jim, N2EY, for his various projects are
> > point-in-case.
>
> And you presume to tell Len how he should be doing things.

Nope. I have not once suggested how he conduct his "professional"
career. You won't find a single posting by me in any "professional
radio" forum", Brian.

Yet Lennie, without one day's bit of experience in practical
Amateur Radio, persumes to know what's good for us.

> > No one doubts that Lennie knows how a radio works or that he was
> > an adequate bench technician.
>
> Not true. How many lies will you rack up in this single post?

Is true. I've said it before, and I said it right there.

> > However he has, to this date, zero-point-zero hours of experience
> > as a licensed Radio Amateur.
>
> Nor does the Chairman of the FCC.

The Chairman of the FCC is not in this forum, now is he?

> > He is not now nor ever has been a radio
> > OPERATOR as it pertains to Amateur Radio practice. He has
> > zero-point-zero hours of experience in emergency communications. His
> > list ot "zeros" is lengthy, yet he pretends to be an authority on
> > Amateur Radio policies and/or practices.
>
> Ditto the Chaiman of the FCC and his numerous staffers. Soon, he's
> going to "presume" to tell you how it is, both on policy and practice.

And they are not in this forum, are they?

However the Chairman and his staff DO have Amateurs on the FCC
payroll from whom thye take counsel.

> > He's nothing of the sort.
> >
> > Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
> > him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
> > is assinine.
>
> Not true. Lie #4.

Is true. And I can see you're back in form.

Myself and others have "called" Lennie based upon NUMEROUS errors
as they pertain to Amateur Radio policy and practice.

You and he are the ONLY ones suggesting that the theory of
electronics or radio wave propagation are issues here.

> > Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
> > Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
> > electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
> > and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
> > radiocommunications.
>
> Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
> and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
> military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!
>
> Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."

Nope.

Where did I say that, Brian?

> > No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
> > end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
> by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
> on the ARS.

What does knowing ANYthing about "RF" have to do with knowing
about the Amateur Radio service?

I worked with many engineers in 2000. About a third of them were
Amateur licensees. The rest weren't. They were excellent in thier
fields. But they knew nothing of Amateur Radio.

Lennie's "knowledge" of "Amateur Radio" comes from having used an
Amateur Radio magazine to get his "writings" into print and from his
flailing's-about in this forum.

> Best of Luck.

For what? Pulling the rug out from underneath you and Lennie?

That didn't need luck...You make it all too easy.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

3030. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 10:46:07 UTC
From: StatHaldol <realtor2100@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129373167.472190.269100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120906

Does the FCC have to make a decision on the code issue by a certain date? If so, what is that date. Thanks in advance.

3031. Prompted by Leigh Preece M5GWH - The weekly FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 12:16:55 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129378615.875533.71890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120907
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What is Ham Radio?

(Nothing whatsoever to do with Leigh Preece M5GWH
and his infantile Childish Broadcasts (CB), that's
for sure!)

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

3032. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 12:18:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <meOdnTZRlMqtac3eRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 120908

"StatHaldol" <realtor2100@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129373167.472190.269100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Does the FCC have to make a decision on the code issue by a certain
> date? If so, what is that date. Thanks in advance.
>

Don't believe so. They can take as long as they want to.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3033. Re: Prompted by Leigh Preece M5GWH - The weekly FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 13:09:54 UTC
From: tox <tox@eternal-flames.gov>
Message-ID: <Cc74f.1041$cA4.529@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 120909
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1129378615.875533.71890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Coming to Llandudno, Gareth? Will you be eavesdropping in, on my private
conversations again?

You really are a stupid boy!

tox

3034. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 13:14:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129382081.021143.51200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120910

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> > This just really gets up lenniepoo's nose, hams doing a real job of
> > providing comms during a disaster and he can't be a part of it.
>
> Why would you say such a thing?

Why couldn't he?

It's absolutely true.

Your "mentor" has called any "self-promotion" of Amateur Radio
disaster communications everything EXCEPT an outright lie.

Lennie has point blank stated that the "professionals" have all
the talent, all the equipment, all the training and infrastructure to
carry the ball in ANY disaster situation.

Any suggestion that Amatuers are included in or support emergency
communications, direct or otherwise, is immediately subjected to one of
his "Mighty Morsemen", "when nothing gets through" rants.

And once again, he's been proven wrong.

As have you.

Steve, K4YZ

3035. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 13:17:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129382261.441013.201420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120911

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Here's a quote from John C. Dvorak's "Inside Track" column in this
> > week's PC Magazine:
> >
> > "... Whatever the case, the most overlooked participants in the Katrina
> > relief effort were the ham radio folks, who were doing whatever they
> > could as ad hoc emergency dispatchers, creating their own network
> > within the system...(SNIP)

> That's a damned nice thing of John to say.

Absolutely. And "too true" !

> The NRA's American Rifleman featured a much different slice of the
> relief effort.

And that was...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3036. Re: Prompted by Leigh Preece M5GWH - The weekly FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 13:19:42 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129382382.232309.107480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120912
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Cross-posted groups somehow lost.

Must pay better attention!

Polymath wrote:
> Au contraire!.....
>
> "simply leaving the newsgroup to you and your
> silly 'Weekly FAQ' "
>
> "Maybe you should look up the structure and convention of an internet
> FAQ"
>
> If you think that you are a normal person (I have my doubts
> thereto) then, yes, do put it on your website.
>
> Leigh Preece wrote:
> > > (Nothing whatsoever to do with Leigh Preece M5GWH
> > > and his infantile Childish Broadcasts (CB), that's
> > > for sure!)
> >
> > Not prompted by me at all.
> >
> > Seems it's now becoming twice weekly.
> >
> > Most normal people would put this onto their own website by now.
> >
> > Take anything it contains at face value.
> >
> > Make up your own mind as to what is fact and what is not.
> >
> > Only then will you understand.

3037. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 13:22:53 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8I6dnQyeDbdpn8zeRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 120913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Sup wrote:

SNIPPED

> TURN THE LARGE CENTER DIAL ON YOUR [expletive deleted]
> RADIO next time you hear Baxter.
>
> YOU [expletive deleted] MORON!

Your language says much about you. And, it is not a favorable impression
you give.

3038. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 13:55:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129384556.232514.323620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120914

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> >
> > QUOTE
> >
> > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
>
> Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
> hate spam trash.

Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.

> You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
> had any shame.

And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
known?

We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
but we all know it too well.

> Thanks for nothing.

Back at ya.

Steve, K4YZ

3039. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 14:36:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129386992.734332.195560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120915

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Here's a quote from John C. Dvorak's "Inside Track" column in this
> > > week's PC Magazine:
> > >
> > > "... Whatever the case, the most overlooked participants in the Katrina
> > > relief effort were the ham radio folks, who were doing whatever they
> > > could as ad hoc emergency dispatchers, creating their own network
> > > within the system...(SNIP)
>
> > That's a damned nice thing of John to say.
>
> Absolutely. And "too true" !
>
> > The NRA's American Rifleman featured a much different slice of the
> > relief effort.
>
> And that was...?!?!

The local authorities were disarming law-abiding citizens.

3040. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 14:37:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129387052.234523.200770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120916

N9OGL wrote:
> And your still an ***hole that no one likes

And you're still the ALLEGED college student who can't respond
with attributes so others can follow.

Of course you should have GRADUATED by now, per your own
rhetoric...so how's that going? Can Mommy and Daddy expect you out of
the basement any time soon?

Steve, K4YZ

3041. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 14:40:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129387237.604401.181700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120917

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> > > This just really gets up lenniepoo's nose, hams doing a real job of
> > > providing comms during a disaster and he can't be a part of it.
> >
> > Why would you say such a thing?
>
> Why couldn't he?

He can do any damned thing he wants. This is America after all.

But the question is "why would he?"

> It's absolutely true.

You sure have been spinning up a lot of lies lately.

> Your "mentor" has called any "self-promotion" of Amateur Radio
> disaster communications everything EXCEPT an outright lie.
>
> Lennie has point blank stated that the "professionals" have all
> the talent, all the equipment, all the training and infrastructure to
> carry the ball in ANY disaster situation.
>
> Any suggestion that Amatuers are included in or support emergency
> communications, direct or otherwise, is immediately subjected to one of
> his "Mighty Morsemen", "when nothing gets through" rants.

It's too bad that you read it that way. There were probably no cell
phones in use either, right?

> And once again, he's been proven wrong.
>
> As have you.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

So many lies in such a short span of time...

Back to the "Old Steve," I see.

3042. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 14:48:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129387711.382609.259740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120918

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> > >
> > > QUOTE
> > >
> > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
> >
> > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
> > hate spam trash.
>
> Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
> great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.

Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your

"Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
Himself...."

posting here. Not!

> > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
> > had any shame.
>
> And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
> pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
> about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
> known?

You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me
horribly. I do have a conscience and couldn't keep up the new,
insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
etc.

> We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
> about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
> but we all know it too well.

Somalia is true. You're simply envious.

Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.

"ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.

All true.

> > Thanks for nothing.
>
> Back at ya.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Best of Luck

3043. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 14:50:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129387815.443062.178770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120919

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >
> > Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> > all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> > witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> > power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
>
> So you swept up around the radio shack while the real radio operators
> did the work, and you want some recoginization for that I suppose.

Len wants what???

3044. Another Humiliating Toddism. Now Charitable Donations are "Pecuniary Interest"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 14:58:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129388321.375761.8130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120920

Wantonly copied from the foul mouth of Todd "Do Me Again Mommy"
McDaugherty:

QUOTE:

Tuesday, September 27, 2005
Pencuniary Interest pt 2. The ARRL at it again

Why doesn't the ####ing FCC go after them?? it seems to me that the
ARRL and the Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) are butt buddies or
at lease giving each other knob jobs. If Glenn Baxter is to fined by
the FCC, then they should fine the ARRL, but hey the FASCIST
COMMUNICATION COMMISSION (FCC)are giving the ***HOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE
(ARRL) are butt buddies.

Federal Grant Augments "Ham Aid" Fund for Hurricane Volunteers

NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 27, 2005--The Corporation for National and Community
Service (CNCS) will provide the ARRL with an additional $77,000 to
support Amateur Radio operators volunteering in the field in the
aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. The latest grant augments the recent
$100,000 CNCS made available immediately following the Katrina
disaster. The grant money, supplemented by contributions from
individual donors, will subsidize "Ham Aid," a new League program to
help defray out-of pocket expenses of Amateur Radio volunteers deployed
in the field in disaster-stricken areas.

UNQUOTE

What do you want the FCC to go after here, Todd?

In what drunken stupor were you when you suggested that the FCC
"go after" the ARRL because of a grant rendered to them to support
volunteer responders?

You need to stop using words and phrases that you obviously have
no understanding of.

Steve, K4YZ

3045. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 15:00:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129388420.254135.18070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120921

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> > > > all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> > > > witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> > > > power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
> > >
> > So you swept up around the radio shack while the real radio operators
> > did the work, and you want some recoginization for that I suppose.
> >
> Len wants what???

Lennie wants to be mistaken for a "radio operator".

So far, no luck.

Steve, K4YZ

3046. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 15:08:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129388904.615172.147850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120922

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> > > >
> > > > QUOTE
> > > >
> > > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
> > >
> > > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
> > > hate spam trash.
> >
> > Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
> > great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.
>
> Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your
>
> "Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
> Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
> Himself...."
>
> posting here. Not!

I don't remember asking him if he approved.

And the title does not include his name or callsign.

> > > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
> > > had any shame.
> >
> > And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
> > pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
> > about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
> > known?
>
> You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me
> horribly. I do have a conscience...(SNIP)

Whelp, there we have BRIAN'S Big Lie for the day...

> (UNSNIP)...and couldn't keep up the new,
> insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
> etc.

But you DO "keep up" deceitful posts, mistruths and blatant lies
nonetheless.

> > We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
> > about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
> > but we all know it too well.
>
> Somalia is true. You're simply envious.

No, it's not and no I'm not.

You were a bootlegger. Period.

> Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.

Your claims about UNLICENSED DEVICES in emergency comms was NOT
true, and your claim of the uninterruptable cellphone was not true.

> "ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.
>
> All true.

ARES >>IS<< a voluntary agency, however YOU claimed (just a couple
months before it really happened) that ARES would not be able to
support a disaster the likes of what we saw.

> > > Thanks for nothing.
> >
> > Back at ya.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Best of Luck

For what?

You're still a discredited liar, and your above claims and
statements still false.

Seems to me YOU are the one needing luck, Brian...Luck that none
of your offspring will ever see these archives.

Steve, K4YZ

3047. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 15:12:07 UTC
From: eqw <eqw@cbcbcbnow.net>
Message-ID: <dir642eis0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:8I6dnQyeDbdpn8zeRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> Sup wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>
>> TURN THE LARGE CENTER DIAL ON YOUR [expletive deleted]
>> RADIO next time you hear Baxter.
>>
>> YOU [expletive deleted] MORON!
>
> Your language says much about you. And, it is not a favorable impression
> you give.
>

Awwww, did your feelings get hurt?
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

3048. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 15:14:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129389264.937034.36510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120924

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> > > > > > >>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> > > > > > >>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> > > > > > >>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> > > > > > >>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> > > > > > >>ratings.
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> > > > > > > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> > > > > > > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> > > > > > > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> > > > > > > by the US government at the time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> > > > > > Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
> > > > > > radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
> > > > > > and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
> > > > > > documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> > > > > > War. Have you read any of it?
> > > > >
> > > > > Whoa! Talk about brilliant minds! Our posts hit at the same
> > > > > time...But when I re-read mine, I accidentally "deleted" a paragraph
> > > > > when I had changed a sentence I wrote prior to posting!
> > > > >
> > > > > What I had said was that Brian's demonization of some of us who
> > > > > make reference to contributions made during WW2 doesn't stand the
> > > > > litmus test of objectivity. The "War Department" and other agencies of
> > > > > the era went on record as praising the ARRL and it's members for the
> > > > > contributions you cited, Dave...
> > > >
> > > > If I made contributions to dental hygeine during any war, it would not

> > > > be as an amateur radio operator. You guys, who constantly rail against
> > > > persons having commercial and military radio experience, should know
> > > > that whatever those amateurs did during WWII were not doing it as
> > > > amateurs.
> > > >
> > > > Unless you are now adopting a wider view of what constitutes radio
> > > > knowledge. Is that what you're doing? Hmmmmm?
> > >
> > > None of us "rail against" persons with commercial or military
> > > experience BECAUSE of that experience, Brian...
> >
> > Not true.
>
> Is true. Who else in this forum with "commercial or military"
> communications experience rants on-and-on like Lennie?
>
> > > We DO "rail against"
> > > people who have NO experience in AMATEUR RADIO who then come to an
> > > Amateur Radio forum and presume to tell us how we should be "doing"
> > > things.
> >
> > He doesn't "presume." He does so.
>
> He doesn't "tell" me anything!

There you go personalizing everything. You're just itching for a
fight, aren't you?.

> > > Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
> > > "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
> > > experience.
> >
> > Not true.
>
> Is true. Unless we include you.

Citation, please.

> > > Period.
> >
> > Longhand punctuation?
> >
> > > His attacks on me based upon having been an Armed
> > > Forces Avionics Tech and Jim, N2EY, for his various projects are
> > > point-in-case.
> >
> > And you presume to tell Len how he should be doing things.
>
> Nope. I have not once suggested how he conduct his "professional"
> career.

Hi, hi! You deny that he has had a "professional" career.

> You won't find a single posting by me in any "professional
> radio" forum", Brian.

You are so unprofessional that you wouldn't know where to find one.

> Yet Lennie, without one day's bit of experience in practical
> Amateur Radio, persumes to know what's good for us.

Funny. That's just what the FCC does.

> > > No one doubts that Lennie knows how a radio works or that he was
> > > an adequate bench technician.
> >
> > Not true. How many lies will you rack up in this single post?
>
> Is true. I've said it before, and I said it right there.

What you say from one day to the next is inconsistant and suspect.

> > > However he has, to this date, zero-point-zero hours of experience
> > > as a licensed Radio Amateur.
> >
> > Nor does the Chairman of the FCC.
>
> The Chairman of the FCC is not in this forum, now is he?

Nor is Mr. Haney. And I do mind where some "experienced" amateurs are
trying to point the ARS.

> > > He is not now nor ever has been a radio
> > > OPERATOR as it pertains to Amateur Radio practice. He has
> > > zero-point-zero hours of experience in emergency communications. His
> > > list ot "zeros" is lengthy, yet he pretends to be an authority on
> > > Amateur Radio policies and/or practices.
> >
> > Ditto the Chaiman of the FCC and his numerous staffers. Soon, he's
> > going to "presume" to tell you how it is, both on policy and practice.
>
> And they are not in this forum, are they?
>
> However the Chairman and his staff DO have Amateurs on the FCC
> payroll from whom thye take counsel.

Conflict of interest.

> > > He's nothing of the sort.
> > >
> > > Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
> > > him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
> > > is assinine.
> >
> > Not true. Lie #4.
>
> Is true. And I can see you're back in form.

>
> Myself and others have "called" Lennie based upon NUMEROUS errors
> as they pertain to Amateur Radio policy and practice.

Everyone makes mistakes. Even you as, I have pointed out so many times
before.

> You and he are the ONLY ones suggesting that the theory of
> electronics or radio wave propagation are issues here.

You are the one suggesting it. Len and I are the ones pointing it out.

> > > Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
> > > Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
> > > electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
> > > and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
> > > radiocommunications.
> >
> > Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
> > and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
> > military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!
> >
> > Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."
>
> Nope.
>
> Where did I say that, Brian?

Then tell us how it works, again.

> > > No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
> > > end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
> > by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
> > on the ARS.
>
> What does knowing ANYthing about "RF" have to do with knowing
> about the Amateur Radio service?

The FCC tests us on knowledge of RF for "licensure." Take it up with
them.

> I worked with many engineers in 2000. About a third of them were
> Amateur licensees. The rest weren't. They were excellent in thier
> fields. But they knew nothing of Amateur Radio.

Holy Cow! A third of the engineers were amateur radio operators but
knew nothing of Amateur Radio? Were they RF engineers?

> Lennie's "knowledge" of "Amateur Radio" comes from having used an
> Amateur Radio magazine to get his "writings" into print and from his
> flailing's-about in this forum.

It's too bad that you are allowed to continue to denigrate a fine
amateur radio builders publication merely to discredit Len's articles
that were contained within it's covers.

> > Best of Luck.
>
> For what? Pulling the rug out from underneath you and Lennie?
>
> That didn't need luck...You make it all too easy.

You are truly delusional.

3049. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 15:15:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129389326.298754.104290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120925

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> > > > This just really gets up lenniepoo's nose, hams doing a real job of
> > > > providing comms during a disaster and he can't be a part of it.
> > >
> > > Why would you say such a thing?
> >
> > Why couldn't he?
>
> He can do any damned thing he wants. This is America after all.

Well...thanks for admitting that.

Seems you and Lennie have forgot...Maybe you're coming around.

> But the question is "why would he?"

The same answer remains, Brian. You re-quoted it yourslef in the
following.

> > It's absolutely true.
>
> You sure have been spinning up a lot of lies lately.

Not a one.

> > Your "mentor" has called any "self-promotion" of Amateur Radio
> > disaster communications everything EXCEPT an outright lie.
> >
> > Lennie has point blank stated that the "professionals" have all
> > the talent, all the equipment, all the training and infrastructure to
> > carry the ball in ANY disaster situation.
> >
> > Any suggestion that Amatuers are included in or support emergency
> > communications, direct or otherwise, is immediately subjected to one of
> > his "Mighty Morsemen", "when nothing gets through" rants.
>
> It's too bad that you read it that way.

Oh...?!?!

And just HOW is one supposed to "read" it, Brian...?!?!

> There were probably no cell phones in use either, right?

South of Meridian, Mississippi...?!?!

Precious few!

> > And once again, he's been proven wrong.
> >
> > As have you.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> So many lies in such a short span of time...

What lies?

> Back to the "Old Steve," I see.

You mean the one who makes fun of Lennie the Liar, and his
personal handpuppet, Brian P Burke...?!?!

He never left. He just let YOU play out your "I'll be a nice guy
if you will" card.

You lost.

Steve, K4YZ

3050. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 16:36:04 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <u192l19pm33fk8ij2fp7dij903d5v8vlag@4ax.com>
Source record: 120926

On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>
>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >snip
>>
>> > Not only that, but NOT ONE of those 2558 filings was done by
>> > James Miccolis!
>>
>> That is odd indeed - I would have thought that Jim would have been one
>> of the first to state his concerns to the FCC regarding the
>> elimination of Morse testing - considering that this is the last
>> opportunity to do so before the final ruling.
>
>Do you think it will make any difference, Leo? Do you think there's any
>chance FCC will retain Element 1?

Maybe. Maybe not. I didn't think that there was any possibility that
it would be retained as an option in Canada either - but it was!

It ain't over 'till it's over.....

>
>Will multiple comment filings make any difference?

Maybe. Maybe not. Are you sugesting that the comment period serves
no purpose - it exists merely as a legislated necessity, to be
disregarded by the FCC at will?

And, of course, the same rules apply here as to those who complain
about elected officials but did not vote in their election.....

>
>Besides, a good comment takes time to write. Why hurry, if it's so
>important?

Well, considering that you have been formulating your opinion on this
subject for years, I wouldn't expect that it would take too long at
all! Besides, the comment period was not sprung as a surprise - it's
been known to be coming for a long time as well....

>
>--
>
>I think FCC will just drop Element 1. Sure, I'll file comments. So will
>plenty of others. But the stage is set for FCC to just drop Element 1.
>
>Here's why:
>
>1) Back in 1990, FCC created medical waivers because Papa Bush wanted
>to do a now-dead King a favor. In the R&O, FCC said that they could not
>waiver 5 wpm because of the treaty - and only because of the treaty.
>
>2) Back in 2000, FCC dumped all but 5 wpm code, again citing the
>treaty.
>
>3) Now the treaty's gone. End of story.

I wouldn't disagree with your observations. However, although the
treaty change gives the FCC the ability to drop code testing from the
amateur license requirements, it does not force them to do so. There
is still a chance that it may be retained in some form (i.e. as an
option, for Extra-class licensure only, etc....)

>
>Have you seen a significant increase in the number of Canadian radio
>amateurs since code testing was made optional?

It's too early to tell yet - though I would not expect to see a
significant increase in overall licenses. Acording to one of the ham
radio equipment vendors here, the sale of HF radio equipment has
picked up a bit, but also not significantly.

>Has there been a
>significant increase in the number of radio amateurs in any of the
>other countries which have eliminated code testing? By "significant", I
>mean sustained growth, not a short term flurry of new licenses and then
>back to the same old levels of growth or decline.

No idea - I have not researched this.

>
>If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a
>problem in the first place.

Another view would be that it was a problem that is being fixed way
too late to repair the damage.

Amateur Radio was a very popular hobby back when you and I were kids -
today, there are too many other far-more-glamorous things competing
with it.

I would think that the vast majority of the folks who are interested
in the things that Amateur Radio offers are already a part of the
hobby. Adding HF access might broaden the scope of those who did not

gain access to HF via morse testing (for whatever reasons) - but to
think for a moment that there are legions of wannabe hams who are
waiting exitedly for morse testing to be abolished so that they can
rush in and get on the air would be foolish.

They aren't there.

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

3051. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 17:09:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129396140.367881.53840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120927

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 14, 8:06 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 18:49
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
>> >> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:

>> >> >>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
>> >> >>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
>> >> >>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
>> >> >>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
>> >> >>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
>> >> >>>ratings.
>
>> >> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> >> >> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>
>> >> >> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
>> >> >> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
>> >> >> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
>> >> >> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
>> >> >> by the US government at the time.
>
>> >> >Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
>
>> >> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
>> >> fight with a morse key in each holster.
>
>> > Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
>> >slither off to on occassion.....
>
>> "Scumbag?" "...slither?" :-)
>
>> Well, a three-week trip to a college reunion might look like that
>> to one who has only been "licensured" as a high school graduate.
>
>Can you be sure of that?

I can't be sure that he graduated from high school. :-)

>> BTW, "occasion" has only one S in it...
>

>Mr. Spelling Bee messed up?

Yah. He still got into them "thier" typos, though. He gets all
flustered when HATING! Maybe the red light streaming from his
eyes disturbs his vision? :-)

>> > And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
>> >RRAP.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I didn't write "scumbag" or "slither." :-)
>
>> ...or "sign off" with the Yiddish pejorative "Putz." :-)
>
>Welp, I knew Steve wasn't up to the task of turning over the forest of
>leaves that it would take for him to act pleasant on RRAP. It just
>isn't in his nature.

He's fixated by HATE of certain folks, obsessed by it. He'll
never change. Tsk.

>> >> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
>> >> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
>> >> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
>> >> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
>> >> there!
>
>> > I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
>> >room tales you over heard, Lennie...
>
>> What "tales" have I told, masterradiooperator? :-)
>
>> Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
>> all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
>> witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
>> power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
>
>> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf
>
>> Dudly the Imposter has "ZERO-POINT-ZERO" experience as a
>> military radio operator. Zip. Nada. Nyet. None.
>
>> [unless one counts undocumented, unreferencible "Assistant NCOIC"
>> claims at a MARS station...:-)]
>
>I'm skeptical.

Maybe "Assistant NCOICs" in MARS swept out the MARS shack? :-)

Wasn't a big job...they couldn't have had more than two tiny
transmitters on Oki for MARS. :-)

>> >> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
>
>> >> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?
>
>> > According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
>> >Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
>> >before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
>> >during WW2.
>
>> ...and don't you wish YOU were, mighty warrior? :-)
>
>Steve envies many things.

Does he ever! :-)

>> Dudly the Imposter says "I told 'lies'" yet cannot prove it.
>
>> Dudly the Ugly mouths off again.
>
>I can't classify him as ugly, but pudgy seems to fit that photo he
>brandishes on QRZ.

His ATTITUDE is UGLY to me. Tsk, tsk.

>> >> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?
>
>> > Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.
>
>> Tsk. You don't even know what "rad lab" is or stands for or
>> where it was located during WW2...
>
>I'd like to see him suprise you on that one. Probably lots of N2EY,
>W3RV, and K4CAP back channel going on right now.

To be sure! :-) The "Band of (Backchannel) Brothers!"

Soon to be a miniseries on HBO!

>> >> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
>> >> electronics industry at that time?
>
>> > Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of
>> >the era were licensees, Lennie?
>
>> "Licensees" of what, masterradiooperator? :-)
>
>Motor Vehicle Ops?

Yup...also Hunting and Fishing licensees, dog licensees, etc., etc.

>> >> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
>> >> just working on telephones?
>
>> > Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?

>
>> Quote from the ARRL Holy Book, masterradiooperator, and tell us
>> EXACTLY how many. You imply you KNOW all. :-)
>
>> BTW, do you know WHERE "Bell Labs" were in WW2, masterradiooperator?
>
>More back channel...

A veritable Orgy of "backchannel" stuff... :-)

>> >> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
>> >> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
>> >> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
>> >> last three years of WW2.
>
>> > Bet there was a couple there, too...
>
>> Only a "couple?" :-)
>
>Probably inductively coupled.

...would have had too much Reluctance for that... :-)

>> Which of the more than 30 companies involved had licensed
>> radio amateurs, Dudly the Ugly?
>
>> The civilian center for quartz crystal unit production was
>> Motorola in Chicago in WW2. Except you said that Motorola
>> didn't exist back then, remember? :-)
>
>Oooh! Ouch!

Dudly the Imposter couldn't remember back more than a few months
on that, him trying to be the "historical expert" and all... :-)

>> >> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
>
>> >> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
>> >> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
>> >> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?
>
>> > So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
>> >Lennie?
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Ugly has very little reading
>> comprehension. I don't know who the "we" are, but what you
>> say I wrote isn't what I wrote.
>
>> "Electronics venue?" What are you talking about? "Venue?"
>
>Next to the bratwurst venue on radio row?

Dudly tries to use fancy words to impress folks. He doesn't
always connect and that makes a bigger impression on others! :-)

>> >> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
>> >> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
>> >> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
>> >> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.
>
>> > OK.
>
>> > Your point?
>
>> Tsk, good opportunity for Dudly the Ugly to say that "vacuum
>> cleaners 'suck'!" [missed your chance, Dud]
>
>Probably manage to get "Putz" in there.

The Lewyt hose isn't small enough for Dud's putz... :-)

Actually, I think Lewyt went out of business or was bought
by others. Name was prominent back in the 40s and 50s.

>> >> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
>> >> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
>
>> >> Again, how MANY is "many?"
>
>> > More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...
>
>> Tsk, tsk. Three of us living on Lanark Street are licensed
>> by the FCC in COMMERCIAL radio service. But, "Lanark Street"
>> didn't exist before 1950 so that doesn't fit with Dudly the Ugly's
>> personal hatred of certain folks in here...:-)
>
>Wonder what commercial "licensure" Steve has?

Hate-mongers First Class? :-)

>> >> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
>> >> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
>> >> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
>> >> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]
>
>> > Why?
>
>> > What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud, you'd best get with Buzziebaby on those
>> "air attacks." He say over 200 Japanese balloons "attacked"
>> the US of A in WW2. Were there NO "balloon spotters" manned
>> by "licensured" radio amateurs back then? :-)
>
>Theodolite tracked balloon bombs?

Hardly. :-) Those balloon "bombs" didn't cause much damage.

>> >> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
>> >> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
>> >> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
>> >> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
>> >> by turning off all non-essential lights.
>
>> > So?
>
>> > Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
>> >having performed some service in WW2.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, I wonder what Dudly the Ugly had to do with
>> WW2 considering he didn't exist until a decade AFTER WW2
>> ended. :-)
>
>Welp, he knows that Jim said...

Whut Jimmie say? Huh? :-)

>> >> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
>> >> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
>> >> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
>> >> of military life.
>
>> > Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
>> >civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".
>
>> Tell us all about it, old-timer. You were THERE, weren't you? :-)
>
>> Fly your "E for Effort" flag proudly, masterradiooperator. :-)
>
>> [anyone alive during WW2 could see the "E flags"]
>
>Some folks will do anything to hang on to another's contributions...

Dudly the Imposter is doing his damndest to do just that...

>> >> >There is a large amount of
>> >> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
>> >> >War. Have you read any of it?
>
>> >> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
>> >> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)
>
>> > Why should they?
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you never saw any "war surplus radio" stuff?
>
>> Old-timer, you've lead a sheltered life.
>
>> The radio-electronics INDUSTRY of the USA built all those "surplus

>> radios" from WW2. Those were NOT built FOR radio amateurs.
>
>They weren't made expressly for radio row?

Nah. Except, of course, if you ALSO happened to buy a surplus
B-17 or B-24, you COULD stock it up with surplus Command Sets
and Liason Sets, surplus mikes, headphones, code keys, etc. :-)

>> > How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?
>
>> Over a dozen that I've seen...but the IEEE, a PROFESSIONAL
>> ASSOCIATION publishes not only SPECTRUM (the membership magazine
>> I get free each month), but the PROCEEDINGS (technical features
>> on both electric power and general electronic subjects) plus over
>> two dozen TRANSACTIONS (from special interest groups) each month.
>> Not only that, they publish (generally printed by Wiley) books
>> and biographies and historical texts on electric and electronic
>> subjects. Go to their website and check out what's available.
>
>That would require effort.

Way too much effort for the masterradiooperator. :-)

>> Tsk, Dudly the Ugly has to understand that "radio" is only one
>> small subset of the entirety of ELECTRONICS in the world. To
>> the vast majority of IEEE members, "amateur radio" is just a
>> hobby for personal recreation and enjoyment. The world of
>> electronics hasn't looked to amateurism to supply new insights
>> and principles for well over a half century...worldwide.
>
>They're oiling up the Wrenchy Stitch for such blasphemy.

I know...their Wouff Hong got broke... :-)

>> >> (UNSNIP)...or even
>> >> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
>> >> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
>> >> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
>> >> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
>> >> and not at all being amateur about what they did.
>
>> > Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone
>> >else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, Dud, listen to Davie the Heil...he "worked with NASA"
>> and did "real radio operating" while in uniform. You have
>> exactly "zero-point-zero" experience in military communications.
>
>It would appear that way. Steve is free to correct the record at any
>time.

Too late for that. Dudly got waaayyyyyy too deep in his own LIES
to "get out of it" now.

>> >> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
>> >> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?
>
>> > Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.
>
>> Riiiiight...and the ARRL is "universally loved" by all "licensured"
>> radio amateurs! :-)
>
>> ARRL *always* "tells the truth" and never omits mention of
>> hated professionals, right? :-)
>
>> ARRL *never* "sins by omission" do they? :-)
>
>Such as omitting Carl from the ballot...

Tsk. ARRL "explained it all" to the unwashed masses.

>> > Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
>> >deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
>> >perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...
>
>> Poor baby, being delusional again? :-)
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to get rid of the amateur radio morse
>> code test in FCC regulations is NOT "hating the ARRL or 'all'
>> radio amateurs."
>
>Ahem... (there's a large subset of amateurs that believe so)

Yes, that's true. Acolytes of the Church of St. Hiram would
NEVER accept the elimination of the ham license code test.

>> >> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
>> >> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.
>
>> > Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
>> >story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
>> >ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....
>
>> So, old-timer, WHICH military radio station did YOU work at
>> "during the war?" :-)
>
>Probably too busy with those "seven hostile actions" to notice the
>callsign or routing indicators.

Dudly the Imposter wouldn't know a routing indicator from
a rotating light, let alone know which military comm station
he was at... :-)

>> ...and WHICH "war" was it? You've never detailed that, Dudly
>> the Imposter. You've made only inferences, nothing detailed.
>
>It's all hush hush, on the QT, need to know basis.
>
>He'd have to kill you if found out.

I'd prolly laugh myself to death if I DID find out! :-)

>> >> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
>> >> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
>> >> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
>> >> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
>> >> boil down to...as usual]
>
>> > Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
>> >about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
>> >paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.
>
>> "their," sweetums...watch those typos when you get heated. :-)
>
>"Anderson" "thier"

Dud managed one of his old, favorite typos earlier..."amatuer"

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've not said that "all amateurs" "know nothing."
>
>> I've said that CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL AMATEURS are IGNORANT of
>> anything but being able to read (word-for-word) every ad in QST
>> to get their "radio smarts." That's (unfortunately) true.
>
>> That YOU are an UNDERACHIEVER is YOUR label for YOURSELF.
>
>> That YOU are HATING of anyone who has gathered experience
>> in radio operating anywhere but as a "licensured" amateur
>> is YOUR problem.
>
>His problems number so many as to be insurmountable.

His problems are incegrievious (according to Gary Owens).

>> >> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
>> >> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
>> >> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
>> >> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
>> >> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
>> >> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!
>
>> > Funny...
>
>> > All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie once mentioned some old-timer's article

>> about WAR (Washington Army Radio) from pre-WW2 times and tried
>> to discredit the FACT that WAR was running TTY, not morse code,
>> during and after WW2. Washington Army Radio had the TTY
>> four-letter ID of "RUEP" in ACAN...ADA has "RUAP." [you need
>> more of the ACAN IDs, old-timer? I got them up to 1962]
>
>Always enjoy WAR stories from "them that didn't."

I'd be satisfied with finding out what color the halls of
Montezuma were painted...beige? Green? Grey? :-)

>> Long ago in here some anony-mouse "reserve colonel" had a "son
>> in the [first] Gulf War" that was using "CW behind enemy lines
>> to send intel back to Hq." [his son would 'never' lie to him
>> he wrote] Anony-mouse slunk away when confronted with modern
>> land-forces radio equipment nomenclature and procedure, his last
>> posting saying he might have been mistaken. :-)
>
>Ouch.

That was a wonderful fairy tale of the "reserve colonel's." It
could have snowed many a younger ham. Tsk, was only a fabrication.

>> [Dudly the Imposter has NEVER mentioned one single nomenclature
>> or familiar name of any military radio communication equipment
>> (other than a couple MARS radios) yet claimes to "know" about
>> military communications]
>
>Is the intercom on a helicopter considered "radio?"

Only if Dudly hooked up his morse key to it... :-)

>> HF radio was ONCE a mainstay of international radio communications
>> but that reverted to a backup system some time in the 1970s with
>> the coming of more modern methods of reaching around the globe.
>> That's the REAL HISTORY of radio communications to the REST of
>> the radio world.
>
>> Frankly, Dud, you know dink about radio communications other than
>> what you read about in ARRL pages. You've got a BIG problem of
>> sociopathy in newsgroups, always personally insulting anyone
>> who disagrees with you. Take your standard "sign off" as an
>> example:
>
>> > Putz.
>
>> Dudly the Ugly tries to strike again...and fails. Oy, gevalt!
>
>> Acting Ugly with all who disagree with you is NOT good PR for
>> U.S. amateur radio...and you SO identify yourself with ALL of
>> amateur radio that the slightest negative against YOU personally
>> gets translated to some kind of "insult to all amateurs!"

>
>> It doesn't work that way.
>
>He tries so hard to make it so.

Problem is, he fails to LEARN from his mistakes. Must be genetic.

>How was the reunion?

Very nice. Family-friend reunion after that. Good folks all.
Put about 5000 miles on the 2005 Malibu MAXX odometer and saw
lots of nice country, the new Lincoln Museum in Springfield, IL,
dropped some quarters in a NV slot machine, won more than what
we put in. Cell phone worked fine from inside the car all along
I-15, I-80, I-5. No use for a CB or any other radio except BC.
Next year we have another reunion. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3052. Re: Prompted by Leigh Preece M5GWH - The weekly FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 17:56:48 UTC
From: "Mr. E" <E@somewhere.invalid>
Message-ID: <dirft7$o2b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120928
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1129378615.875533.71890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?

Please enlighten us as to who frequently asks this question?

Is it one of the voices in your head, because I see absolutely NO-ONE else asking it in the groups that you choose to post your weakly (spelling intentional) rant!

You complain about the lack of gentlemanly conduct, yet you incessantly post this insulting garbage, which you KNOW is unwelcome in all of the groups you inflict it upon. You really are a sociopathic imbecile, aren't you? Do grow up and learn how to behave youself properly, you pathetic little man.

3053. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 19:46:25 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MdudnWuL4cxCwczeRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 120929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

eqw wrote:

> "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:8I6dnQyeDbdpn8zeRVn-jw@comcast.com...
>
>>Sup wrote:
>>
>>SNIPPED
>>
>>
>>>TURN THE LARGE CENTER DIAL ON YOUR [expletive deleted]
>>>RADIO next time you hear Baxter.
>>>
>>>YOU [expletive deleted] MORON!
>>
>>Your language says much about you. And, it is not a favorable impression
>>you give.
>>
>
>
>
> Awwww, did your feelings get hurt?
> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>
>
Nope! But I'm sorry you have such a poor self image or are so insecure
that you choose such vulgar language on an open list. I say again: You
surely said a lot about yourself. And it's still a lousy self portrait
you paint with your attitude and choice of language.

I presume your mother would be ashamed!!!!

>
>

3054. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 20:33:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129408401.653010.256290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120930

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 15, 7:48 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:

>> > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
>
>> > > QUOTE
>
>> > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
>
>> > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
>> > hate spam trash.
>
>> Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
>> great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.
>
>Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your
>
>"Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
>Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
>Himself...."
>
>posting here. Not!

Dudly the Imposter is still obsessed with HATE of certain
individuals and can't help himself.

By the way, I'm not nor have I ever been on any IEEE Standards
Committee. Dudly confuses me with Carl Stevenson who is running
for Member At Large on an IEEE Standards Committee. My ballot for
the IEEE Election was mailed early...but I cannot vote on Carl's
name since I'm not on any Standards Committee.

Proof of Dudly's HATE is expressed by his contemptuous add-on to
the ARRL news item headline. He MUST express his HATE at every
opportunity. That's a very sick syndrome that keeps getting
repeated in here.

>> > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
>> > had any shame.
>
>> And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
>> pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit

>> about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
>> known?
>
>You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me
>horribly. I do have a conscience and couldn't keep up the new,
>insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
>etc.

Dudly the Ugly flies again.

>> We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
>> about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
>> but we all know it too well.
>
>Somalia is true. You're simply envious.

Tsk. Somalia must not have been one of the "seven hostile
actions" that Dudly the Imposter claimed to have been "in."

His envy is so strong that his epidermal layers must contain
chlorophyl! :-)

>Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.
>
>"ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.
>
>All true.

"Truth" in Dudly's world is of Orwellian confusion of opposite
meanings. Dudly's "truth" is in his imagination. Everyone
else's truth is a "lie" according to Dudly.

Dudly seems to live in a world composed entirely of PR news
squibs, vicariously existing in amateur radio announcements
by OTHERS. Note that he does not actually participate in
ANY of the functions' news items he posts. Fantasy world of
his is constantly on display.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3055. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 20:39:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129408772.093025.112990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120931

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 14, 8:16 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Leo on Oct 14, 2:45 pm
>
>> >It would have been a far more productive thing to do for the hobby
>> >that to attempt to ignite yet another flame war here......again......
>
>> No, that is entirely "predictable" on Miccolis' part. :-)
>
>Careful, now. YOU are not permitted to profile on RRAP. YOU hold no
>amateur radio license. YOUR knowledge of such things is imperfect and
>suspect.

To borrow from Flip Wilson, "da devil made me do it!" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3056. Re: Prompted by Leigh Preece M5GWH - The weekly FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 20:43:32 UTC
From: Conrad Poos <poos.conrad@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <URd4f.124262$RW.58167@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 120932
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Mr. E wrote:
> You really are a sociopathic imbecile, aren't you? Do grow
> up and learn how to behave youself properly, you pathetic little man.

Polymathazine (Eggy 2,4-Dimethyl Beanoxide) should be taken with extreme caution by patients with cardiovascular disease and high blood pressure. Polymathazine is a potent psychobabble agent and increased pulse rate and transient hypotension have both been reported in some patients exposed to this toxic and irritating substance. Use Polymathazine cautiously in patients with a history of seizures since the substance tends to lower the seizure threshold and frequently leads to the red mist. In general, Polymathazine does not produce psychic dependence; however, gastritis, extreme nausea and vomiting, dizziness, and tremulousness have been reported. CHECK WITH YOUR DOCTOR AS SOON AS POSSIBLE if you experience changes in vision; Tourettes fucking syndrome, changes in breasts and nips; changes in menstrual cycle or moped; deep throat; hysterical laughing, genital rash, muscle spasms of face, neck, or arse; difficulty swallowing his crap; spunk gurning and bubbling; mask-like face; tremors of hands; restlessness; tension in legs or weakness of arms or legs; sonic diarrhoea and frequent nightmares.

Dr Poos

--
vy 73 de Conrad Poos

"Ich bin ein radio amateur"

3057. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 21:02:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129409395.834342.20510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120933

From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am

>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a
>>problem in the first place.

Ahem...this is a "preconditioning" artificiality of "reasons."
[akin to the "do you still beat your wife?" question]

"Growth in numbers" is not a raison d'etre for the elimination
or retention of the code test. The lack of love and worship
of morsemanship should be enough.

>Another view would be that it was a problem that is being fixed way
>too late to repair the damage.
>
>Amateur Radio was a very popular hobby back when you and I were kids -
>today, there are too many other far-more-glamorous things competing
>with it.

One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was
the USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form
needed for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with
radio control). Excellent in large urban areas before the
offshore products appeared about four years later and the
trucking industry started buying them. That era was before
the semiconductor devices were used en masse for consumer
electronics.

Those that haven't been in the electronics industry or hobby
field long can't appreciate the true revolution in parts,
components, ICs, etc., that virtually exploded in the overall
electronics market in the last half century. [I got an Allied
Radio catalog while off on the midwest trip...the 2006 issue
is 3/8" thicker than the 2005 issue for 2 1/2" thickness!]
Besides the personal computer hobbyist group (very large still)
there are the offshoots of PC work such as Robotics (almost
all micro-processor controlled) along with all kinds of

mechanical parts and specialty marketing for same, model vehicle radio control (they lobbied for and got dozens of channels in low VHF just for them)(examine the market for that activity, from "park flyers" to R/C helicopters, very big). Coming up are a plethora of "gadget" constructors and experimenters doing many things from home security to infra-red communications, instrumentation of all kinds (check out the last decade of Scientific American's "home scientist" column).

Since 1958 we've all seen the appearance of communications satellites making live international TV a reality, watched the first men on the moon in live TV, seen the first of the cellular telephones, cordless telephones become a part of our social structure, CDs replacing vinyl disks for music, DVDs that replaced VHS, "Pong" growing from a cocktail bar game to rather sophisticated computer games (in their own specialized enclosures), digital voice on handheld transceivers for FRS (in the USA) unlicensed use, Bluetooth appliances for cell phones, the Internet (only 14 years old) spreading throughout most of the world and mail-order over the 'net becoming a standard thing that built Amazon.com into a money-maker of huge proportions. Besides the already-available "text messaging" and imaging over cell phones, look for even more startling developments in that now-ubiquitous pocket sized appliance.

My wife got a new cell phone before we left on a 5000 mile trip to Wisconsin and back. All along I-15, I-80, I-5 that cell phone worked just fine inside the car, wife getting her e-mail forwarded from AOL, then making several calls for new reservations (we changed routes coming back) at motels, getting voice mail from the cat sitter service, calling to her sister and niece in WA state from Iowa. Emergency comms through 911 service is now possible along highways, even in the more remote parts of Wyoming, Utah, or Nevada.

>I would think that the vast majority of the folks who are interested
>in the things that Amateur Radio offers are already a part of the
>hobby. Adding HF access might broaden the scope of those who did not
>gain access to HF via morse testing (for whatever reasons) - but to
>think for a moment that there are legions of wannabe hams who are
>waiting exitedly for morse testing to be abolished so that they can
>rush in and get on the air would be foolish.
>
>They aren't there.

I think that is a valid observation. Had the "revolution" begun earlier here, such as prior to the no-code-test Technician class (USA) license of 1991, there might have been more growth. In terms of CODED amateur radio licenses, those license numbers would have SHRUNK by now without that no-code-test Tech class.

For over two years there has been a continual reduction in the number USA amateur radio licenses. The majority of NEW licensees come in via the no-code-test Tech class but they can't overcome the EXPIRATIONS of already-granted licenses.

The morsemen acolytes of the Church of St. Hiram just can't understand all of that. They bought into certain concepts in their formative years and haven't been able to see that the rest of the world changed around them.

It may not be too late to reverse but it will be a formidable task to increase the ham license numbers, impossible using old cliche'-ridden paradigms.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3058. K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 21:13:35 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1129410815.933931.185680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120934

Like a theologian, Glenn Baxter cites chapter and verse of the U.S. Constitution. Trouble is, he hasn't the least idea how it works. Despite his strident claims of being denied due process, nothing of the kind has occurred. In fact, he's received more due process than any ten hams: If we did a fraction of what he's done in the face of FCC citations, we'd have gotten the boot long ago.

His nonsensical invocation of the Constitution has neither rhyme nor reason. He would urge upon us an interpretation that does not take into account the huge body of case law coming down from the federal courts, which interpret the slim provisions of our great instrument.

A quick analogy spotlights the point: In 1868 the Fourteenth Amendment was ratified giving all citizens of these United States due process and equal protection of law. For African-Americans at the start of the 20th Century, however, equal protection meant separate but equal, only to change midway with Brown vs. Board of Education to be truly equal. This, of course, gave rise to affirmative action and busing. So, for the real deal on our Constitution, we must look to the courts, a direction to which Baxter appears blind.

>From reading him, you'd think that we not only should dispense with the FCC's interpretation of Part 97 but the Supreme Court's intrepretation of agency power as well.

The FCC does indeed have the power of taking draconian measures to enforce. And this includes withholding license renewal pending future hearing while requiring the licensee to stay off the air in the meantime. If an agency didn't have such power, it stands to reason that we'd be subjected to anarchy of the airwaves, the very thing the FCC is empowered to prevent.

Must the FCC take a ham off the air before his administrative hearing? No. Case in point: Herb Schoenbohm, KV4FZ. Even after he was convicted on three felony counts (2 of which were later reversed with one remaining that should have been reversed, in this commentators view), the FCC allowed him to operate. He was on the air all the way up to the adverse decision in his administrative case -- at which time the FCC granted him a grace period before he was removed. (He's since gotten his license back.)

Riley Hollingsworth is uniquely suited for his enforcement role with the Commission because he tempers enforcement with humanism. He sees his job as one in which, by gaining the cooperation of the regulated, he obtains volutary compliance. There are many hams, including this writer, who have been regulated thusly by Riley and who stand with him.

A large slice of the discontented do not realize how much this one regulator has accomplished without incurring the back breaking expense of litigation.

His domain is one area of the U.S. government that works with efficient precision, fixing an astonishing array problems with few resources.

Contrary to popular belief, the FCC, loath to judge First Amendment subject-matter, doesn't object to information bulletins per se. In reasonably formal language, the agency has equated Baxter's to ARRL bulletins. There are, however, aspects of his operation that Hollingsworth has questioned. In response, Baxter, expressly spoiling for a fight, replaces the FCC's interpretation of Part 97 with his own, owning a unique position in the panthion of justice of advocate, regulator,agency, judge, court and jury.

Whatever arguable equities he brings to his cause, like replicating ARRL's practice of starting on a published schedule, he cannot flout FCC authority and expect to stay on the air until his administrative hearing. And when that takes place, there is nothing that vitiates his declaration that he will continue operating without FCC authority.

This declaration is utterly at cross purposes with staying on the air, because no government agency can bend to express non-compliance to such important action and hope to be an effective regulator. Not only that, but how can an express law breaker incapable of regulation claim to be rehabilitated later? (Perhaps a mental defense.) Likely he has sealed his fate forever as not possessing the integrity to be a ham.

During KV4FZ's long odyssey, he conducted himself with respect toward the Commission, and it reacted favorably by affording him lattitude. Because K1MAN is conducting himself in opposition, he is and will be treated accordingly.

There's an appropriate, hackneyed expression: You can win more friends with honey than vinegar! Aging fast, Baxter's shelf life is short.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

3059. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 21:15:59 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jke4f.51950$tD4.16621@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K1DUDE is a dickhead. Who cares what this dildo has to say?

Wayne-

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129135755.028642.49980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED051010A - AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION
>
> ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS
>
> "The League has mismanaged emergency funds in my opinion," says AARA
> Executive Director, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN. "If ARRL spent as much effort
> on emergency communications as they do lobbying against K1MAN's FCC
> license in Washington, they might have much greater success competing
> with IARN on the disaster communications front," according to Mr.
> Baxter. "Their focus seems to be on their dwindling membership," says
> the leader of the American Amateur Radio Association and arch rival of
> Newington. www.K1MAN.com
>
> See the attached League articles. (While not yet a radio amateur!)
>
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 6, 2005--Sarah Dorsey, a native of West Hartford,
> Connecticut, is the ARRL's new--and first--Membership Manager. She
> officially assumed her duties at ARRL Headquarters October 5. Her
> primary focus will be on developing membership recruitment and
> retention strategies to increase membership growth. She'll also support
> member and customer service. WHILE NOT YET A RADIO AMATEUR, Dorsey says
> she's "absolutely" looking forward to the challenge of her new
> responsibilities, and a ham radio licensing class is on her short list.
>
> "I enjoy work that is personally meaningful," she said. "I've been
> fascinated with learning about ham radio operators and the crucial role
> they played and continue to perform in the rescue and recovery efforts
> for Katrina and Rita. I look forward to the day when I'll also be able
> to work side by side with our members."
>
> Dorsey attended Green Mountain College in Vermont and is a graduate of
> Charter Oak College in Connecticut. Prior to coming to the League, she
> served as recruitment marketing manager for a non-profit health care
> services organization in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where she managed
> recruitment and retention initiatives.
>

> Her professional background also includes management, marketing and
> recruitment positions in various disciplines of the healthcare and
> human services markets. Dorsey says she's now ready for a new challenge
> that requires essentially the same skill set.
>
> ARRL COO Harold Kramer, WJ1B, anticipates that Dorsey's past experience
> will fold right into the League's goal of boosting membership and
> retaining current members. "More important, we look forward to her
> providing even more value and benefits to being an ARRL member," Kramer
> said. "Sarah will become a very visible part of the ARRL team at
> hamfests and conventions, and she is looking forward to meeting many of
> our members and prospective members."
>
> ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, earlier
> this year announced the search for a Membership Manager to target
> membership recruitment and retention. He says having Dorsey on board in
> that role is part of an overall strategy to "look beyond many of the
> League's current organizational paradigms regarding membership."
>
> Dorsey recalled occasionally driving past the Maxim Memorial Station
> W1AW building while growing up in the Greater Hartford area. "That
> little brick building is definitely a landmark," she remarked, adding
> that she never anticipated one day working on behalf of Amateur Radio
> at ARRL Headquarters. "Now everywhere I go, I'm starting to notice
> antennas!"
>

3060. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 21:39:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129412361.056391.218420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120936

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 15, 8:14 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:

>> > > > > > >>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
>> > > > > > >>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
>> > > > > > >>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
>> > > > > > >>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
>> > > > > > >>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
>> > > > > > >>ratings.
>
>> > > > > > >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> > > > > > > Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>
>> > > > > > > That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
>> > > > > > > if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
>> > > > > > > from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
>> > > > > > > that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
>> > > > > > > by the US government at the time.
>
>> > > > > > Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
>> > > > > > Many became electronics and radio instructors. Many were involved in
>> > > > > > radio design and manufacture. Many became involved with Civil Defense
>> > > > > > and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service). There is a large amount of
>> > > > > > documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
>> > > > > > War. Have you read any of it?
>
>> > > > > Whoa! Talk about brilliant minds! Our posts hit at the same
>> > > > > time...But when I re-read mine, I accidentally "deleted" a paragraph
>> > > > > when I had changed a sentence I wrote prior to posting!
>
>> > > > > What I had said was that Brian's demonization of some of us who
>> > > > > make reference to contributions made during WW2 doesn't stand the
>> > > > > litmus test of objectivity. The "War Department" and other agencies of
>> > > > > the era went on record as praising the ARRL and it's members for the
>> > > > > contributions you cited, Dave...
>

>> > > > If I made contributions to dental hygeine during any war, it would not
>> > > > be as an amateur radio operator. You guys, who constantly rail against
>> > > > persons having commercial and military radio experience, should know
>> > > > that whatever those amateurs did during WWII were not doing it as
>> > > > amateurs.
>
>> > > > Unless you are now adopting a wider view of what constitutes radio
>> > > > knowledge. Is that what you're doing? Hmmmmm?
>
>> > > None of us "rail against" persons with commercial or military
>> > > experience BECAUSE of that experience, Brian...
>
>> > Not true.
>
>> Is true. Who else in this forum with "commercial or military"
>> communications experience rants on-and-on like Lennie?
>
>> > > We DO "rail against"
>> > > people who have NO experience in AMATEUR RADIO who then come to an
>> > > Amateur Radio forum and presume to tell us how we should be "doing"
>> > > things.
>
>> > He doesn't "presume." He does so.
>
>> He doesn't "tell" me anything!
>
>There you go personalizing everything. You're just itching for a
>fight, aren't you?.

Dudly the Imposter is a MIGHTY WARRIOR...on-screen. Off-screen
the best he can do is pose in some kind of uniform and pretend
to be a great "hero." :-)

>> > > Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
>> > > "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
>> > > experience.
>
>> > Not true.
>
>> Is true. Unless we include you.
>
>Citation, please.

Dudly the Imposter issues his own citations. He makes them up on
the spot to suit his personal HATRED of certain others. :-)

Dudly seems to be the usual east-coastie kind of geographical
BIGOT. He wants to call anyone on the west coast of the United
States
as a "liberal." Once in a while as a "leftist." :-)

Tennessee doesn't have a seacoast at all. Dudly must have ENVY
of anyone being on any "coast." :-)

>> > > His attacks on me based upon having been an Armed
>> > > Forces Avionics Tech and Jim, N2EY, for his various projects are
>> > > point-in-case.
>
>> > And you presume to tell Len how he should be doing things.
>
>> Nope. I have not once suggested how he conduct his "professional"
>> career.
>
>Hi, hi! You deny that he has had a "professional" career.

Going back through virtual volumes of Dudly the Imposter's
postings in this newsgroup, anyone will find that Dudly has
continually hurled personal insults about my working career
and HAS told me what I "should have been doing." Several
others have done so. [par for the course in this din of
inequity]

I'll just sit back and grin while Dudly gets up another head
of steam to hurl more personal insults at me. He is so
obsessed with his HATRED of certain others that he must be
quite unaware of what he is doing.

>> You won't find a single posting by me in any "professional
>> radio" forum", Brian.
>
>You are so unprofessional that you wouldn't know where to find one.

He might know where a Purchasing Agent forum is...but didn't
last long in that singular "professional" area...

>> Yet Lennie, without one day's bit of experience in practical
>> Amateur Radio, persumes to know what's good for us.
>
>Funny. That's just what the FCC does.

It's the LAW! :-)

>> > > No one doubts that Lennie knows how a radio works or that he was
>> > > an adequate bench technician.
>
>> > Not true. How many lies will you rack up in this single post?
>
>> Is true. I've said it before, and I said it right there.
>
>What you say from one day to the next is inconsistant and suspect.

It's a Monte Carlo random-HATE situation...whatever the subject is,
Dudly the Imposter has to hurl SOME kind of personal insult at his
HATE object.

Note the "...was an adequate bench technician." Dudly has so
little experience "on the bench" and NONE as a "technician" (in
the electronics industry) that he is supremely UNqualified to
judge anything in the industry.

>> > > However he has, to this date, zero-point-zero hours of experience
>> > > as a licensed Radio Amateur.
>
>> > Nor does the Chairman of the FCC.
>
>> The Chairman of the FCC is not in this forum, now is he?
>
>Nor is Mr. Haney. And I do mind where some "experienced" amateurs are
>trying to point the ARS.

Neither the Commissioners nor anyone on the Staff of the FCC
are required by LAW to hold amateur radio license grants in
order to FULLY REGULATE United States amateur radio.
Somehow Dudly just can't understand that absolute Fact of Law.
Tsk.

>> > > He is not now nor ever has been a radio
>> > > OPERATOR as it pertains to Amateur Radio practice. He has
>> > > zero-point-zero hours of experience in emergency communications. His
>> > > list ot "zeros" is lengthy, yet he pretends to be an authority on
>> > > Amateur Radio policies and/or practices.
>
>> > Ditto the Chaiman of the FCC and his numerous staffers. Soon, he's
>> > going to "presume" to tell you how it is, both on policy and practice.
>
>> And they are not in this forum, are they?
>
>> However the Chairman and his staff DO have Amateurs on the FCC
>> payroll from whom thye take counsel.
>
>Conflict of interest.

A few things on the above... First of all, the FCC has "counsel"
from LAWYERS since they are a legal regulatory agency of the federal
government. The FCC regulates MANY DIFFERENT radio services,
ALL the civil radio services in the United States.

Secondly, I do have some experience in emergency communications,
but definitely not as an amateur. While that is irrelevant to this
so-
called "discussion," Dudly the Imposter is, as usual, WRONG in his
personal attacks.

Third, by definition of LAW, an amateur radio license grant covers
BOTH operator AND station.

Fourth, "operating" any radio is just operating it. Dudly's
interpretation refers only to the use of on-off keying morse code

as "operation." Such morse code use is found only in the
AMATEUR service and a very few vessels in Maritime Radio Service.

Fifth, expressing an OPINION on radio regulations is NOT any
pretense of being an "authority." It is just expression of an
OPINION. Dudly the Imposter has NO "authority" on radio
regulations. Neither do any of the current communicators in this
newsgroup.

Sixth, Jim Haney, elected president of the ARRL, has been IN this
newsgroup some years ago, but only for a short while. I advised
him in private e-mail that this would lead to trouble within the
newsgroup from others who were not happy with ARRL policies...and
that would create a bad image of the ARRL as a result of flak from
those that (like Dudly) love to personally insult anyone.

Seventh, the full organization of the FCC is explained on their
website, including all of the higher staff positions of Bureaus
and Offices and Working Groups. To reiterate, the FCC is not
concerned solely with amateur radio since their charter by LAW
is to regulate the ENTIRETY of civil radio in the United States.

>> > > He's nothing of the sort.
>
>> > > Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
>> > > him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
>> > > is assinine.
>
>> > Not true. Lie #4.
>
>> Is true. And I can see you're back in form.
>
>> Myself and others have "called" Lennie based upon NUMEROUS errors
>> as they pertain to Amateur Radio policy and practice.
>
>Everyone makes mistakes. Even you as, I have pointed out so many times
>before.

The "makes numerous errors" charge is another FALSE one, but often
used in newsgroups (even way back before USENET existed and all
there was was the original ARPANET) to color an opponents' posts.

The "numerous errors" derive from certain individuals using THEIR
personal preferences as THE judgemental point...any deviation from
that personal preference is considered "wrong." A typical one is
some kind of "fact" that morse code is supposed to be "basic to
the knowledge of any radio amateur." In itself that is false
insofar
as operating any radio communication device of any radio service,
grounded in personal preferences. The morsemen try to "legalize"
that by playing barracks lawyer in the newsgroup and showing the
license test requirements as their "proof."

Dudly the Imposter has never "pertained to amateur radio policy
and practice" but only repeated a few morse myths and posted many
news items (which he did not participate in nor did he report
as any kind of journalist). Dudly has not been able to categorize
subjects, cannot properly use all of the words he thinks are
'necessary' (such as "venue" or "licensure" lately, confusing
their application in other fields far removed from radio). Dudly
has NO ("zero-point-zero") experience in ANY radio communication
(other than perhaps CB) before 1970 and thus - by his own
standards - cannot possibly express any sort of qualified opinion
of amateur radio operation before then.

>> You and he are the ONLY ones suggesting that the theory of
>> electronics or radio wave propagation are issues here.
>
>You are the one suggesting it. Len and I are the ones pointing it out.

I'm just pointing out the HOLES in Dudly's "reasoning" and the
personal insults he continually hurls at certain individuals he
obsessively HATES. :-)

>> > > Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
>> > > Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
>> > > electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
>> > > and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
>> > > radiocommunications.
>
>> > Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
>> > and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
>> > military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!
>
>> > Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."
>
>> Nope.
>
>> Where did I say that, Brian?
>
>Then tell us how it works, again.

Brian, do not expect the impossible. :-)

Dudly the Imposter has NO existance prior to 1955. He cannot
possibly
"know" anything of World War 2 by personal experience. All his
input
comes from AMATEUR RADIO literature. Such amateur radio literature
is colored to favor the wish-fulfillment of radio amateurs.
Actually,
the much wider field of electronics has considerable REAL history of
who did what for whom.

>> > > No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
>> > > end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.

>
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>
>> > Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
>> > by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
>> > on the ARS.
>
>> What does knowing ANYthing about "RF" have to do with knowing
>> about the Amateur Radio service?
>
>The FCC tests us on knowledge of RF for "licensure." Take it up with
>them.

Apparently Dudly the Imposter does NOT know anything about "radio"
or the propagation of electromagnetic radiation! :-) Or he has
forgotten anything to do with RF on his amateur radio license test.

The Volunteer Examiner Coordinators' Question Pool Committee
generates the questions and answers for the amateur radio license
test. The FCC either approves or disapproves those questions and
answers. Testing of radio amateurs for their licenses is done
almost entirely by the Volunteer Examiner Coordinator groups (the
FCC can demand certain individuals to be tested by the FCC in
certain dispute cases...that is also the LAW).

The FCC does NOT use the term "licensure" in regards to amateur
radio licenses or the licensing process. That term is used in
some civil governments for licensing in other fields of activity.
Dudly may think he is "highbrow" or an "authority" if he uses
the word "licensure." :-)

>> I worked with many engineers in 2000. About a third of them were
>> Amateur licensees. The rest weren't. They were excellent in thier
>> fields. But they knew nothing of Amateur Radio.
>
>Holy Cow! A third of the engineers were amateur radio operators but
>knew nothing of Amateur Radio? Were they RF engineers?

Dudly the Imposter admitted he worked as a PURCHASING AGENT for
a set-top-box manufacturer. Purchasing Agents do NOT do any
sort of "design" work nor do they do any testing of the final
product, whatever that may be. Purchasing Agents are the
equivalent of technical bookkeepers and form-filler-outers and
their "communications knowledge" is limited to using a
telephone.

Dudly has "zero-point-zero" experience in electronics engineering
of any kind, "zero-point-zero" experience as a "bench top
technician" (in the industry). He has NO baseline for judgement
other than continually being obsessed with insulting others from
his obsessive HATRED.

>> Lennie's "knowledge" of "Amateur Radio" comes from having used an
>> Amateur Radio magazine to get his "writings" into print and from his
>> flailing's-about in this forum.
>
>It's too bad that you are allowed to continue to denigrate a fine
>amateur radio builders publication merely to discredit Len's articles
>that were contained within it's covers.

Dudly the Imposter will insult anyone if he can make "message points" and partially soothe his obsessive HATRED of some in this newsgroup. [that is continually on display in here]

I could list the Editors in Chief of Ham Radio magazine and describe much of its 22-year independent existance as an amateur radio technical publication. Suffice to say that all 22 years of articles in it are on a three-CD set available from CQ or the ARRL bookstores for $150 (shipping charges extra). HR is still considered the "top" of the technical periodicals for amateur radio worldwide (the RSGB's "Radio Communication" magazine perhaps a close second). HR, like CQ and 73, never had the backing of an entire membership organization to assure it of continued existance (as QST does) and they managed to exist for 22 years solely on income from advertising sales. My hat is off and a salute given to Jim Fisk (SK), Alf Wilson, Rich Rosen, and Terry Northrup, wherever they are now, for editorial leadership throughout those 22 years.

Tsk, I've written for other electronics magazines and have had the pleasure of being acquainted with radio amateurs since 1947...among them Gene Hubbell (the first H of H&H Electronics in Rockford, IL, now SK), Captain William P. Boss, Officer in Charge of ADA Transmitters (1953-1954), Sergeant First Class Don Ross (NCOIC at ADA Transmitters 1954-1956, holder of an Amateur Extra as well as both First Telegraph and First Phone Commercial licenses), Eugene Rosenbaum (retired from the FAA and living in Long Island, NY), Allan Walston (W6MJN, best man at my wedding and co-worker in the RCA Cube Farm), James Hall (KD6JG, retired and on the RCA network from near Grass Valley, CA, on Saturdays), and a whole bunch of other good people that I've known and/or worked with over the last 58 years. It doesn't require personal acquaintenship to "know about amateur radio" since nothing of it is either "secret" or "sensitive" or "to be kept within the confines of a fraternal order." United States amateur radio is NOT a guild, union, or trade craft that takes some kind of special learned-over-many-years experience, nor is it some "national service" organization vital to the nation. It is a fun hobby, an activity done for personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be

MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>

>> > Best of Luck.
>
>> For what? Pulling the rug out from underneath you and Lennie?
>
>> That didn't need luck...You make it all too easy.
>
>You are truly delusional.

Dudly the Imposter is a delusional sociopath with an unfulfilled
ego. That manifests itself as an obssessive hatred of certain
individuals who will not suck up to him and his opinions. Tsk.

He cannot "discuss", only insult others who disagree with him.
This will continue until he gets some professional help for
his mental affliction.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3061. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 23:32:37 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_vednSwkMsJjH8zeRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120937

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Here's a quote from John C. Dvorak's "Inside Track" column in this
>>>week's PC Magazine:
>>>
>>>"... Whatever the case, the most overlooked participants in the Katrina
>>>relief effort were the ham radio folks, who were doing whatever they
>>>could as ad hoc emergency dispatchers, creating their own network
>>>within the system. These dedicated persons pride themselves on their
>>>ability to do worldwide communications under adverse conditions, and
>>>the ARRL (Amateur [sic] Radio Relay League) and its members, as well as
>>>others, were a big part of the aid effort. Of course since amateur
>>>radio is anything but trendy in today's XBox, gene-splicing world,
>>>there was zero coverage of its contribution in the mainstream press,
>>>and these people are not the worlds greatest self promoters. At least
>>>some of us are paying attention. Good work guys! Bush should be giving
>>>medals to you all."
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>This just really gets up lenniepoo's nose, hams doing a real job of
>>providing comms during a disaster and he can't be a part of it.
>
>
> Why would you say such a thing?
>

Maybe because it is true?

3062. Re: A Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 23:32:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129419157.117013.110860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120940

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > > > > This just really gets up lenniepoo's nose, hams doing a real job of
> > > > > providing comms during a disaster and he can't be a part of it.
> > > >
> > > > Why would you say such a thing?
> > >
> > > Why couldn't he?
> >
> > He can do any damned thing he wants. This is America after all.
>
> Well...thanks for admitting that.
>
> Seems you and Lennie have forgot...Maybe you're coming around.

More spin. It is Len and me that keep reminding you and your cronies.

> > But the question is "why would he?"
>
> The same answer remains, Brian. You re-quoted it yourslef in the
> following.

And you have yet to answer it "yourslef."

> > > It's absolutely true.
> >
> > You sure have been spinning up a lot of lies lately.
>
> Not a one.

Add another to the tally.

> > > Your "mentor" has called any "self-promotion" of Amateur Radio
> > > disaster communications everything EXCEPT an outright lie.
> > >
> > > Lennie has point blank stated that the "professionals" have all
> > > the talent, all the equipment, all the training and infrastructure to
> > > carry the ball in ANY disaster situation.
> > >
> > > Any suggestion that Amatuers are included in or support emergency
> > > communications, direct or otherwise, is immediately subjected to one of
> > > his "Mighty Morsemen", "when nothing gets through" rants.

> >
> > It's too bad that you read it that way.
>
> Oh...?!?!
>
> And just HOW is one supposed to "read" it, Brian...?!?!

As given.

> > There were probably no cell phones in use either, right?
>
> South of Meridian, Mississippi...?!?!
>
> Precious few!

Did you bring yours? Hi!

> > > And once again, he's been proven wrong.
> > >
> > > As have you.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > So many lies in such a short span of time...
>
> What lies?
>
> > Back to the "Old Steve," I see.
>
> You mean the one who makes fun of Lennie the Liar, and his
> personal handpuppet, Brian P Burke...?!?!
>
> He never left. He just let YOU play out your "I'll be a nice guy
> if you will" card.

You gained a grace period with your claims of helping in the Katrina
disaster, but you squandered it. Everyone loses.

> You lost.

You lost.

3063. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-15 23:54:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <y7-dnfs-zJyOFczenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120938

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> My wife got a new cell phone before we left on a 5000 mile
> trip to Wisconsin and back. All along I-15, I-80, I-5 that
> cell phone worked just fine inside the car, wife getting
> her e-mail forwarded from AOL, then making several calls for
> new reservations (we changed routes coming back) at motels,
> getting voice mail from the cat sitter service, calling to
> her sister and niece in WA state from Iowa. Emergency
> comms through 911 service is now possible along highways,
> even in the more remote parts of Wyoming, Utah, or Nevada.

Ah, here we go again, the hams are outmoded and outdated, cells phones make ham radio obselete. Emergency officials and others who delt with the Katrina disaster sure don't think so. Why didn't all those people in NO and on the Gulf Coast who needed help just dial 911 on the cell phone? Hint: Very difficult to do when the cell system is down. When law enforcement officials couldn't communicate because their radio systems were down, why didn't they just whip out the trusty ole cell phone and make that important call? Hint: Very difficult to do when the cell system is down.

So where is you point lennypoo, besides on top of your head?

3064. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 00:04:22 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <VZCdnQ8XoJjwF8zeRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120939

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> It is a fun hobby, an activity done for
> personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation
> due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be
> MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
> daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>

And often it is MUCH MORE.

As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
By Andrea Kelly
ARIZONA DAILY STAR

When all else fails, it's ham radio to the rescue. Across Arizona, ham radio operators are helping sustain Hurricane Katrina relief efforts by helping storm-torn communities communicate with rescue workers and family across the country.

This is not a first. Ham radio operators come out of the woodwork during disasters around the world, even transmitting in Morse code if language barriers pop up, said Mike Swiader, president of the amateur Superstition Radio Club in Mesa.

Ham radio operators have contributed to emergency communication efforts since World War II, Swiader said, and were crucial in post-9/11 emergency communications, as well as during the Asian tsunami last holiday season.

Ham radios work when other communication systems are down because they're powered by solar energy, batteries or generators rather than the usual power sources.

The messages are passed from radio to radio until they get to the one they are directed to, so radios don't need to be near each other to receive signals, like regular AM/FM radio signals.

"We've been involved in passing traffic to and from the Louisiana and Mississippi area," said Tom Fagan, a leader of the Arizona ham radio operators organization. "Whatever needs to come in and go out, we're doing."

When Arizona's ham operators - there are about 16,000 of them - get messages from the hurricane area, they send them along to agencies like the American Red Cross and the Salvation Army in the Southeastern region of the country.

"We're the link," Fagan said.

Because communications within a disaster area are often jumbled, Swiader said, it's frequently easier for someone there to contact an operator in someplace like Arizona, who can then relay the message back to a recipient in the disaster area.

"Sometimes it's easier to be heard that way," he said.

More than 30 ham radio operators from Arizona have already headed toward the disaster area, hoping to assist relief efforts and give emergency workers a way to communicate with each other, as well as assisting searches for missing persons.

"They're moving in when they're allowed by American Red Cross and Salvation Army," said Ned Stearns, a Phoenix ham operator and vice director of the American Radio Relay League, the national organization for ham operators.

In fact, the ham operators plan to compile a list of people they can determine as missing, deceased, alive or unknown, based on information transmitted over the ham signals.

To find out about people in the area, visit www.satern.org and fill out the health and welfare information request for the person you are trying to find. This information will be sent through the Salvation Army emergency radio network.

More From The Huntsville Times | Subscribe To The Huntsville Times
Ham radio operators are helping from afar
Sunday, September 11, 2005
By DONNA FORK
For the Times dfork@knology.net

But sometimes, even the airwaves can be shut down

They also serve who listen and wait.

Huntsville amateur radio operator Douglas Hilton and other "hams" in North Alabama are helping victims of Hurricane Katrina by relaying messages between families, officials and each other.

Lately, Hilton's been spending most of his spare time in his "ham shack" listening to calls for assistance. The systems analyst for Intergraph Corp. is one of thousands of amateur radio operators who help people during emergencies.

Hilton is unusual in the ham radio world because he wears three hats: He is an amateur operator; a member of the Alabama State Defense Force, heading the Communications Platoon for North Alabama; and he's also a military communicator authorized to use the Military Affiliate Radio System.

His connection to the military (although he is a civilian) gives him access to additional radio frequencies most amateurs can't access. He has been a ham radio operator for 42 years; his father had him build a crystal radio when he was 7 years old, and he got his ham license as a teenager. But he wasn't all that active until after the terrorist

attacks of Sept. 11, 2001.

"That hit me right between the eyes," he recalled, and he began to get back into "hamming."

Now Hilton averages about 100 hours a month on the airwaves and takes countless courses and certifications. He noted that amateur radio operators are "amateurs only in that we're not paid." In return for service "the government gives us frequencies."

Hilton said the North Alabama ham community is large.

"The astronauts are all ham radio operators," he said, noting that astronaut Owen Garriott "was the first ham in space."

Hilton''s "ham shack" is not really a shack at all. It is located in a formal dining room - complete with crystal chandelier. It includes six radios and two computers.

"You don't need six, but don't tell my wife, please," Hilton said as his wife, Diane, laughed. She recently obtained her "technician class" license, which is the entry-level license for ham radio operators. The next is "general class." The highest, which Hilton has, is "amateur extra." Anyone can be an amateur radio operator, Hilton said. There is no age limit: Hilton knows a local ham who is 18 and another who is 89.

It doesn't cost much to be an operator either, he said. A beginner could purchase enough equipment to get started for about $300. Courses are free through one of the local ham radio clubs, of which there are several, including the Huntsville Amateur Radio Club (the Web address is www.harc.net).

In addition to six radios, Hilton has two computers: a laptop and a desktop. "The radios are hooked into the computer." With his setup, the Hiltons can talk to other hams as far away as Japan.

But for the past two weeks there hasn't been time to chat about matters such as which antennas they like the best. There are emergency frequencies to monitor. At the moment, a critical one is the Salvation Army's emergency frequency, Hilton said.

Hilton never knows if it will be a busy night or a quiet one. Recent solar flares have added to the uncertainty because they disrupt communications. Friday, during the late afternoon and early evening, it was unusually quiet.

"I'm a little surprised," he said Friday. "I can't believe how dead these bands are."

Solar flare

It could be a solar flare, or could be something else. "That's the problem, you never know," he said. The waiting, the listening, "it wears you out." But it's worth it when you hear a voice and "you might be the

only person who can hear this guy."

On Thursday night, when Hilton was expecting problems, reception was fine. He was able to contact two operators in Louisiana. He also heard one Mississippi ham talking to another ham "so at least one is working there."

ecause of the Hurricane Katrina disaster, there are thousands of messages piling up, waiting to be delivered by ham radio operators: What will happen when all their radios start working again?

People like Hilton will be extremely busy. There are thousands of ham radio operators in Louisiana and Mississippi. When they all get back to the airwaves, it will be quite a challenge to sort out all the messages.

But meanwhile, as they waited for the deluge of messages, on Thursday night the Huntsvillians received a call for help from New Mexico.

Maura Campbell Kingston of Clovis, N.M., was trying to reach her aunt, 76-year-old Sister Joan Campbell, a nun, of Harvey, La. Kingston called the Salvation Army, which contacted a ham radio operator, who called Hilton.

Hilton tried Thursday to reach a radio operator in Louisiana to check on Campbell, but almost all of them were off the air. Finally on Friday night Hilton got through to "KG5YK," who is Robert A. Turner of Elm Grove, La.

When Turner's message came through, hams from all over the United States could be heard, eager to talk with him.

Helping 'ham brother'

The outpouring of sympathy could not be contained: Radio operators from Texas, Indiana and elsewhere all expressed their support for their "ham brother" in Louisiana.

"I hope this never happens again," said Turner of the Katrina disaster. "It's been a tough time. ... I'm looking for the right words." He thanked the other hams for their all their help.

"You'd do the same for us," the ham from Texas said. Others echoed his sentiments.

Turner gave Hilton information about when to call with his "health and welfare message" about Kingston's aunt. Hilton vowed to keep trying to deliver the message "for as long as it takes."

But that proved to be unnecessary.

Friday night, after calling her aunt repeatedly, Kingston finally reached her by phone. She was still at her home in Harvey.

"She was OK," Kingston said in a telephone interview Saturday. "Harvey stayed dry." But the area was without power and Campbell "was sitting in the dark with her flashlight."

Later, Kingston called Hilton to thank him. She said he told her: "I'm just doing it because I want to help."

Kingston was impressed.

"I believe that thing about Southern hospitality."

Thursday, September 15, 2005

Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
By Jeannetta Edwards

Daily Times Leader

When Hurricane Katrina hit, telephone and cell phone communication hit an impasse because of downed lines and towers. But, amateur radio operators did not miss a beat or a transmission.

"Before and after the hurricane, this has proven to be the most reliable form of communication," said Randall O'Brien, a retired highway patrolman who has been involved with ham radio operation for 35 years.

"People thought when they got cell phones, they didn't need us anymore," said O'Brien, an advanced licensed operator, who often talks to missionaries in South and Central America.

According to Denver Baker, Clay County has more than 30 amateur radio operators, but only a small fraction are active.

"It's a wonderful hobby," said Baker, whose wife recently received her technician license.

The multi-faceted operation includes digital and satellite communication and is enjoyed by all age levels.

"This is a good hobby for seniors or for young people," said Baker.

By becoming a ham radio operator, which requires taking a test to obtain a license, people can communicate with others anywhere in the world.

"The classes for the test are free," said Baker, "and we help you with everything you need to know."

According to Baker, who has been enjoying this hobby for the last 10 years, the only investment required is the fee for the test and the purchase of equipment. A handheld transmitter cost around $100.

The self-policing group ensures that everyone adheres to the rules and regulations.

"We're called amateur radio operators, but there's nothing amateur about it," said O'Brien, who first became interested in electronic at age 13.

The group, which also belongs to the American Radio Relay League, meets monthly in West Point.

"We have a good bunch of hams in this area," said Baker. "We bring food at our meetings and have a good time."

"It's nice, especially for seniors," said Baker.

For information on getting involved in ham radio operation, call O'Brien at 494-2898 or Baker at 494-9096.

Thursday, September 8, 2005

Ham Radio Operators Offer Help

By Beth Hahn
Mountain View Telegraph
Since Hurricane Katrina hit New Orleans last week, several ears in the East Mountains and Estancia Valley have been tuned to amateur short-wave radios.
McIntosh resident Ed Brooks said local Ham radio operators are willing to relay messages from the Gulf Coast if needed.
"We guys here in the Estancia Valley are just listening," he said. "We haven't had any need for what we can offer."
Although they may not be needed yet, Brooks said local Ham radio operators are still listening in to conversations taking place in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama.
During the interview with Brooks, his Ham radio was tuned to a conversation involving a Coast Guard helicopter that was rescuing a family from a rooftop in New Orleans with direction from another Ham radio operator.
Brooks said similar situations have been broadcast hundreds of times during the past week.
"It's been really spotty, but what we've been (hearing) is that it was good fortune that somebody down there had Ham equipment," he said.
Daryl Clutter, an Edgewood Ham operator and member of the Salvation Army's shortwave network, said he relayed a message from Ontario to U.S. Coast Guard officials in Washington, D.C., that originated in Louisiana.
"It was just the word 'help' spelled out two times," he said.
Like Brooks, Clutter said he has stayed close to his Ham radio since Aug. 29.
"There's been so much going on," he said. "Every day, something happens."
Clutter, a former truck driver who often delivered frozen food to the Gulf Coast, said radio traffic has slowed since the hurricane devastated the region.
"You just wonder who all you know, that used to be your customers down there, that are still alive or had the good sense to get out," he said.
As amateur radio operators, Clutter said, Ham enthusiasts practice for disasters "every day."
"There's only so many cell phone frequencies," he explained. "If the phone system goes down, there's no way ... (one company) could handle all the 60,000 or 70,000 phone calls."
Mountainair resident and Ham operator Bob Scupp said the Salvation Army network alone has handled more than 128,000 messages since Aug. 29.
Brooks said Ham operators have been relaying messages from

residents to volunteers or emergency personnel. Since a large number of National Guard and military personnel have arrived in the area, Brooks said the messages have changed from pleas for help to questions about well-being.
Shelters for evacuees are beginning to utilize Ham radios to communicate with other shelters to help families find missing relatives, Brooks said.
Rescues are still going on.
"There's been instances where they're still finding people, trapped in houses, trapped in buildings or running out of oxygen," said Clutter. "It's just terrible what's going on down there."
Clutter and Brooks said they will not offer assistance unless someone requests contact in the Albuquerque area.
"We don't want to tie up the frequencies," Brooks said.
Clutter and Brooks said they will continue to listen to their Ham radios for messages or relay requests until communications are restored in the Gulf Coast.
"We live and breathe this," Clutter said.

Published: September 03, 2005 07:18 pm

Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support
By Teresa Atkerson
MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)
MCALESTER, Okla. -

With more than 10,000 requests for help in finding loved ones, the amateur radio operators in Louisiana are extremely busy.

In fact, they are so busy, they have asked people to wait with their requests unless there is an extreme emergency.

Ralph Suter, with the Pittsburg County Amateur Radio Club, said they had about a half dozen requests for assistance in locating loved ones in the area devastated by Hurricane Katrina. ï¿½The Red Cross funneled the requests for health and welfare,ï¿½ he explained. Now, the national traffic system is backed up with the requests, he said, because there were so many.

There are extreme problems with communications in New Orleans at the present time. Suter explained VHF radio has to have line of sight while amateur radio operators can use lower frequencies that can bounce off or curve to get the message through. ï¿½A benefit of amateur radio is you can throw a piece of wire in a tree or up a flagpole. With 12 volts of power, you can operate on a local or even worldwide basis,ï¿½ he explained.

As an example, Suter said recently an amateur radio operator in Louisiana had some kind of problem that he couldnï¿½t get help with after the hurricane. He put out the message, it went through Washington state to Texas and then into Louisiana where the right people were found to help him.

Amateur radio operators can also communicate via Morse Code, upload through television or computer or radio to satellite, Suter said. That gives them even more advantages in communication.

Jim Russell, president of the PCARC, said amateur radio has been around as long as there has been radio. Even in World War I, amateur radio operators helped with messages in Morse Code, which is something operators today still must learn as part of their licensing process.

ï¿½We look at it as a hobby,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource of individuals because they have an interest to develop the skills to operate radios in various modes.

ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource for trained communicators.ï¿½

There are forms that must be used when transmitting messages. ï¿½When it goes into the system at one point and comes out at the destination, it is exactly the same information, even with the misspelled words,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s our responsibility when handling traffic that it comes out exactly as it comes in.ï¿½

Suter said many of the operators use their radios on a daily basis while others check weekly. When it comes to disasters, there is the Amateur Radio Emergency Service. ï¿½Itï¿½s not really an organization but it is organized on a national basis for community programs.

John Wright, another PCARC member, checks with the Oklahoma Sooner Net and with the Salvation Armyï¿½s network, SATERN.

After the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995 and 9/11, Russell said amateur radio was used extensively. It is also used during and after tornadoes and other natural disasters. Now, the group can work with the Automatic Position Reporting System to help more accurately report severe weather.

The group has an annual field day, which is a combination of a exercise and a contest. ï¿½We go out and set up radios under adverse conditions to simulate disaster response,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½In the past, weï¿½ve started with solar power, using a battery in a car or even a portable generator.

ï¿½The last time I kept count, we were able to make contacts in 47 states, the Philippines, some in South America and Puerto Rico in a 24-hour period.ï¿½

Suter pointed out that the word ï¿½amateurï¿½ is not what people interpret it as. ï¿½People interpret it to be an unprofessional group. But while itï¿½s made up of a lot of people for a hobby, itï¿½s not unorganized or unprofessional when dealing with disaster. We have capabilities that public agencies just donï¿½t have,ï¿½ he said.

Members also have to be licensed. The license testing can be done in McAlester now so those wishing to be licensed donï¿½t have to go to Dallas or Oklahoma City as in the past.

Teresa Atkerson writes for the McAlester (Okla.) News-Capital.

Old Technology Still Needed
(Page 1 of 2)

Sept. 8, 2005

Overwhelming Charity

Joe Carcia, station manager of the American Radio Relay League in Newington, Conn. helps with the disaster relief effort for Hurricane Katrina's victims along the Gulf Coast. (AP)

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

(CBS) There is a store in London dedicated to "appropriate technology." It mostly carries products designed to be used in developing countries where there is a weak communications infrastructure.

Sadly, thatï¿½s now the case for a large swath of the United States where Hurricane Katrina and its flood waters have wiped out cell phone towers, telephone switching stations, Internet routers and other ground-based communications systems. Even wireless Internet depends on nearby transmitters and receivers on the ground.

But amateur radio is working and, according to Allen Pitts of the Amateur Radio Relay League (ARRL), "ham" radio operators from throughout the country are answering the call to provide vital communications links. Satellite phones, a much newer technology, are also coming to the rescue.

Pitts acknowledges that cell phones and other technologies "work wonderfully when everything is going right," but "they are very vulnerable when everything is going wrong."

Just as with past hurricanes, earthquakes and on September 11th, the ground-based infrastructure, in many cases failed because vital parts of the system were damaged or overwhelmed during the emergency.

In other words, when you need them most, those cell phones are of no value.

Amateur radio, says Pitts, is not vulnerable to these problems "because each of the radio operators is a complete transmit and reception center unto themselves able to continue going and continue with nothing more than an electrical source, such as a battery or a generator, a radio and piece of wire for an antenna."

Listen to audio of Larry Magid's interview with ARRL's Allen Pitts.

While base-station radios are larger and require an electrical power source, there are also portable ham radios slightly larger than a pack of cigarettes with a range of about 20 miles, according to Pitts. Pitts says that the next sized radio, about the size of a paperback book, can communicate up to about 50 miles without a repeater while larger systems, "about the size of a desktop computer" can communicate globally.

Amateur radio operators are in demand. When I checked the ARRLï¿½s Web site there was a call from the Salvation Army for "10, two-operator Amateur Radio teams for deployment in the U.S. Gulf Coast. Operators must hold at least a General class license. Teams should be fully self sufficient in terms of food, water and lodging and plan to remain in the disaster area for from one to two weeks."

Pitts said there was a "lady in Connecticut," who was listening on her amateur radio and happened to hear that there was a woman "who was trapped for four days without food and water." She was able to relay that message, by ham radio, into an operations center that dispatched the fire department. A day later she got a call from the trapped womanï¿½s mother, thanking her for her role in the rescue.

Ironically, this comes at a time when amateur radio is struggling for respect and, to some extent, survival. What was once a popular hobby has fallen into somewhat hard times for a variety of reasons, including the fact that the Internet, cheap long distance calling, cell phones and other technologies make the ability to use these two-way radios not quite as compelling as it once was.

Also, there is a bit of a rift between some members of the amateur radio community and some parts of the Internet industry over the use of power lines to transmit Internet signals. This technology, which could put power companies into competition with cable and phone companies for broadband service, has been criticized by some ham operators because of possible interference problems.

Despite the fact that their origins go back to the early 20th century, hams are hardly low-tech. There are now bridges between ham operators and other technologies including the Internet, cellular phone systems and Internet phones. In fact, long before the Internet became popular, ham operators were using their radio gear to transmit data along with voice and morse code.

Also coming to the rescue are satellite phones. These phones - which are much more expensive to buy and use than cell phones - rely on satellites rather than ground equipment to communicate with the phone network.

Because satellites are in space, theyï¿½re not vulnerable to conditions here on earth. Also, as long as you have a view of the sky, youï¿½re able to communicate. Iridium, which operates "66 low-earth orbiting (LEO), cross-linked satellites and 11 in-orbit spares," says it provides "complete coverage of the earth (including oceans, airways and Polar Regions)."

I carried an Iridium phone with me when I traveled to Peru a few years ago. It worked great when I was in the clear such as on a boat in the middle of the Amazon River but, because it requires a line of site view of the sky, it had problems in dense jungle and in cities with tall buildings.

Iridium spokesperson Liz DeCastro estimates that there are currently about 10,000 of Iridium's phones being used in the region with orders

for about 6,000 more. The company sells through resellers so it doesnï¿½t have exact numbers. DeCastro says that "traffic in the region increased by 3,000 percent" since the storm hit and that the number of subscribers increased by 500 percent.

Satellite phones are a lot cheaper to use then when I went to Peru, but they still cost between $1 and $1.25 per minute to use, according to DeCastro. Thatï¿½s a lot more than domestic cell use, though often cheaper than using U.S. phones when roaming overseas. The phones themselves cost between $1,000 and $1,500 she says.

In addition to handling voice calls, satellite phones can also be used for messaging or data, albeit at modem rather than broadband speed.

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

Thatï¿½s why some news organizations keep manual typewriters around. You never know when that old technology may suddenly become the "appropriate technology."

NYNewsday.com
Amateur radio operators head to Gulf Coast

September 6, 2005, 1:00 AM EDT

NEWINGTON, Conn. -- Oscar Fuller only became an amateur radio operator about a year ago, but he knows how valuable his equipment can be in an emergency.

So even though he has never responded to a crisis, the American Relay Radio League member is planning to head to the hurricane-ravaged Gulf Coast and see if he can help establish an emergency communication network.

"This is a tragic set of circumstances," said Fuller, who recently retired from IBM. "If I can lend a hand, I want to."

Fuller and about 14 other members of the Newington-based amateur radio operator network have signed up to join the relief efforts for Hurricane Katrina. The amateur operators _ known as hams _ can often use their equipment to communicate in difficult circumstances.

Because the radio equipment operates on many frequencies, is self-contained and portable, it can often work when other communication networks, such as telephones, aren't working. It can never be blocked, which is why operators say it is good in disasters.

"When all else fails, ham radios work," said Betsy Doane of Shelton, who oversees the 2,500 members of the league in Connecticut.

David Patton, an official with the radio group, said as many as 750 volunteers may be needed. The Connecticut volunteers are going to help provide communication support for more than 200 American Red Cross

Shelters in Mississippi, Alabama and the Florida panhandle.

"There are limited resources to an overwhelming disaster," said Allen Pitts, public relations manager for the radio league. "So there is not a ham on every corner. The hams are put where they are needed most of all, in emergency traffic or for saving lives."

Dennis Motschenbacher of Colchester was among the first volunteers to say he would go. He spent Sunday shopping for supplies and getting ready for the 18-hour, 1,100 mile drive to Montgomery, Ala., where he will be dispatched to a shelter.

"Everything I need, I'm bringing," he said. "There won't be any Radio Shack to go to."

The 57-year-old has been a ham for more than 40 years. He has operated his radio from places as far as East Timor and the Balkans.

The hardest part will be "seeing people in this troubled state _ smelly, sick, depressed, angry. And no matter what any of us do, it won't be enough for all of them."

NBC
Headline News - Top Stories
Ham Radio Operators Pitch In To Hurricane Katrina Relief Effort General Interest
09/07/2005
With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.

Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family in Chicago.

The reason-- ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails.

Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for communication until other modes of communication are restored.

News-Leader.com Springfield Mo.
Ham radio helps close communication gap

Nixa man relayed message from president to New Orleans' mayor.

Joe Hargis works on his amateur radio in his home in Nixa. Hargis helped rescue an elderly woman by notifying someone who could contact rescue workers via radio.

By Sarah Overstreet
News-Leader

If you've been unsuccessfully trying to get information about someone living in Hurricane Katrina's region of havoc, here's an avenue you may not have considered: local ham radio operators.

While cell phones rely on towers and traditional telephones rely on intact land lines, ham radios transmit from radio to radio, bouncing waves off the ionosphere in the upper atmosphere far above the Earth's tantrums. They can run off batteries, generators and sometimes even the sun.

Two Ozarks amateur ham radio groups - the Nixa Amateur Radio Club and the Christian County ARES (Amateur Radio Emergency Services) - have been relaying messages from people all over the world to those whose communication has been cut off by Katrina.

David Beckler, a ham radio operator from Nixa, even relayed a message Tuesday from the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency in Texas to New Orleans Mayor Ray Nagin.

"He had a message from President Bush to the mayor of the city of New Orleans," says Beckler, a New Orleans native who moved to Nixa six years ago.

Since the message was an emergency communication, the dispatch needed to go through the net control station on an emergency frequency, Beckler said. He knew it was important, because the ham operator had said, "break, break, break," which requires all other communications to yield and give that one priority.

"The net control station or other stations on this frequency could not hear this message," Beckler says. Beckler contacted the net control station, and people there asked him to contact the sending ham operator and relay the message back to that operator. "That's when he told me it was from President Bush."

Joe Hargis, another Nixa ham club member, also helped with an urgent message. "I was monitoring the SATERN network (Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network)," said Hargis, a retired elementary schoolteacher.

"A person called in with a piece of emergency traffic and the (receiving) person could not hear him. He said, 'Is there anyone on the frequency who can copy this?' I broke in and told him I could hear it fine."

Hargis relayed the message back to SATERN, and the operator there could hear Hargis well. "It was a 90-year-old woman and her elderly neighbors. They were afraid to leave their home because of the unrest in the neighborhood, things that were going on, and they needed assistance to be evacuated. They were running low on medicine, and one was running out of her oxygen supply."

Nixa ham club member Rod Kittleman says their members helped save the lives of a couple in New Orleans.

"A weak, disabled elderly couple were trapped in their attic. Their phone worked, but they couldn't get through to local authorities," the KADI radio program manager explains.

Too weak to break through their roof so emergency personnel could find them, the couple called their daughter in this area. She contacted the Nixa club, which relayed the message to a local ham operator who is licensed to operate on emergency frequencies.

"He got through to authorities in New Orleans over the radio, and they were rescued. (The ham operator) relayed the message back to their daughter.

3065. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 00:08:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129421282.850827.296740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120941

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 14, 8:06 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 18:49
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
> >> >> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >> >> >>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
> >> >> >>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
> >> >> >>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
> >> >> >>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
> >> >> >>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
> >> >> >>>ratings.
> >
> >> >> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >> >> >> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
> >
> >> >> >> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
> >> >> >> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
> >> >> >> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
> >> >> >> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
> >> >> >> by the US government at the time.
> >
> >> >> >Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
> >
> >> >> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
> >> >> fight with a morse key in each holster.
> >
> >> > Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
> >> >slither off to on occassion.....
> >
> >> "Scumbag?" "...slither?" :-)
> >
> >> Well, a three-week trip to a college reunion might look like that
> >> to one who has only been "licensured" as a high school graduate.
> >
> >Can you be sure of that?
>
> I can't be sure that he graduated from high school. :-)

Nor can I. He's never posted documentation, and by his own words, "if you don't have the documentation it means you didn't do it, i.e., a lie."

> >> BTW, "occasion" has only one S in it...
> >
> >Mr. Spelling Bee messed up?
>
> Yah. He still got into them "thier" typos, though. He gets all
> flustered when HATING! Maybe the red light streaming from his
> eyes disturbs his vision? :-)

The devil made him do it.

> >> > And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
> >> >RRAP.
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I didn't write "scumbag" or "slither." :-)
> >
> >> ...or "sign off" with the Yiddish pejorative "Putz." :-)
> >
> >Welp, I knew Steve wasn't up to the task of turning over the forest of
> >leaves that it would take for him to act pleasant on RRAP. It just
> >isn't in his nature.
>
> He's fixated by HATE of certain folks, obsessed by it. He'll
> never change. Tsk.

I predict he will.

When all metabolic function stops and he is standing before St. Peter...

"Thier'll" be all kinds of crying and wailing, even grovelling.

> >> >> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
> >> >> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
> >> >> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
> >> >> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
> >> >> there!
> >
> >> > I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
> >> >room tales you over heard, Lennie...
> >
> >> What "tales" have I told, masterradiooperator? :-)
> >
> >> Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> >> all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> >> witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> >> power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
> >
> >> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf
> >

> >> Dudly the Imposter has "ZERO-POINT-ZERO" experience as a
> >> military radio operator. Zip. Nada. Nyet. None.
> >
> >> [unless one counts undocumented, unreferencible "Assistant NCOIC"
> >> claims at a MARS station...:-)]
> >
> >I'm skeptical.
>
> Maybe "Assistant NCOICs" in MARS swept out the MARS shack? :-)
>
> Wasn't a big job...they couldn't have had more than two tiny
> transmitters on Oki for MARS. :-)

He probably kept the pencils sharpened, too. And listened in on the phone patches so that he advise the wives what "thier" husbands were up to on Okinawa.

> >> >> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
> >
> >> >> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?
> >
> >> > According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
> >> >Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
> >> >before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
> >> >during WW2.
> >
> >> ...and don't you wish YOU were, mighty warrior? :-)
> >
> >Steve envies many things.
>
> Does he ever! :-)

A Yiddish perjorative comes to mind.

> >> Dudly the Imposter says "I told 'lies'" yet cannot prove it.
> >
> >> Dudly the Ugly mouths off again.
> >
> >I can't classify him as ugly, but pudgy seems to fit that photo he
> >brandishes on QRZ.
>
> His ATTITUDE is UGLY to me. Tsk, tsk.

Yikes! Yes.

> >> >> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?
> >
> >> > Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.
> >
> >> Tsk. You don't even know what "rad lab" is or stands for or
> >> where it was located during WW2...
> >

> >I'd like to see him suprise you on that one. Probably lots of N2EY,
> >W3RV, and K4CAP back channel going on right now.
>
> To be sure! :-) The "Band of (Backchannel) Brothers!"
>
> Soon to be a miniseries on HBO!

Maybe they could get Richard Chamberlain of "The Thornbirds" to star as
the Rev Jim. Gotta think of a different name, though. Hmmm? "The
XXXXbirds" or something like that.

> >> >> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
> >> >> electronics industry at that time?
> >
> >> > Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of
> >> >the era were licensees, Lennie?
> >
> >> "Licensees" of what, masterradiooperator? :-)
> >
> >Motor Vehicle Ops?
>
> Yup...also Hunting and Fishing licensees, dog licensees, etc., etc.

Marriage?

> >> >> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
> >> >> just working on telephones?
> >
> >> > Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?
> >
> >> Quote from the ARRL Holy Book, masterradiooperator, and tell us
> >> EXACTLY how many. You imply you KNOW all. :-)
> >
> >> BTW, do you know WHERE "Bell Labs" were in WW2, masterradiooperator?
> >
> >More back channel...
>
> A veritable Orgy of "backchannel" stuff... :-)

Yikes. Ugly pictures in my head.

> >> >> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
> >> >> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
> >> >> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
> >> >> last three years of WW2.
> >
> >> > Bet there was a couple there, too...
> >
> >> Only a "couple?" :-)
> >
> >Probably inductively coupled.
>

> ...would have had too much Reluctance for that... :-)

Reluctance, reactance, what's the difference?

> >> Which of the more than 30 companies involved had licensed
> >> radio amateurs, Dudly the Ugly?
> >
> >> The civilian center for quartz crystal unit production was
> >> Motorola in Chicago in WW2. Except you said that Motorola
> >> didn't exist back then, remember? :-)
> >
> >Oooh! Ouch!
>
> Dudly the Imposter couldn't remember back more than a few months
> on that, him trying to be the "historical expert" and all... :-)

He is trying...

> >> >> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
> >
> >> >> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
> >> >> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
> >> >> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?
> >
> >> > So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
> >> >Lennie?
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Ugly has very little reading
> >> comprehension. I don't know who the "we" are, but what you
> >> say I wrote isn't what I wrote.
> >
> >> "Electronics venue?" What are you talking about? "Venue?"
> >
> >Next to the bratwurst venue on radio row?
>
> Dudly tries to use fancy words to impress folks. He doesn't
> always connect and that makes a bigger impression on others! :-)

I have a huge impression of Steve.

> >> >> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
> >> >> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
> >> >> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
> >> >> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.
> >
> >> > OK.
> >
> >> > Your point?
> >
> >> Tsk, good opportunity for Dudly the Ugly to say that "vacuum
> >> cleaners 'suck'!" [missed your chance, Dud]
> >

> >Probably manage to get "Putz" in there.
>
> The Lewyt hose isn't small enough for Dud's putz... :-)
>
> Actually, I think Lewyt went out of business or was bought
> by others. Name was prominent back in the 40s and 50s.

Never heard of them. My Grannies old cast metal Electrolux with
high-beams was enough for me.

> >> >> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
> >> >> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
> >
> >> >> Again, how MANY is "many?"
> >
> >> > More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk. Three of us living on Lanark Street are licensed
> >> by the FCC in COMMERCIAL radio service. But, "Lanark Street"
> >> didn't exist before 1950 so that doesn't fit with Dudly the Ugly's
> >> personal hatred of certain folks in here...:-)
> >
> >Wonder what commercial "licensure" Steve has?
>
> Hate-mongers First Class? :-)

And he squandered all that good will from claiming to have volunteered
in Katrina.

> >> >> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
> >> >> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
> >> >> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
> >> >> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]
> >
> >> > Why?
> >
> >> > What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud, you'd best get with Buzziebaby on those
> >> "air attacks." He say over 200 Japanese balloons "attacked"
> >> the US of A in WW2. Were there NO "balloon spotters" manned
> >> by "licensured" radio amateurs back then? :-)
> >
> >Theodolite tracked balloon bombs?
>
> Hardly. :-) Those balloon "bombs" didn't cause much damage.

Today the JA's would be fined for putting refuse into the environment.

> >> >> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
> >> >> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
> >> >> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished

> >> >> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
> >> >> by turning off all non-essential lights.
> >
> >> > So?
> >
> >> > Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
> >> >having performed some service in WW2.
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk, I wonder what Dudly the Ugly had to do with
> >> WW2 considering he didn't exist until a decade AFTER WW2
> >> ended. :-)
> >
> >Welp, he knows that Jim said...
>
> Whut Jimmie say? Huh? :-)

Jim knows all about WWII.

> >> >> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
> >> >> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
> >> >> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
> >> >> of military life.
> >
> >> > Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
> >> >civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".
> >
> >> Tell us all about it, old-timer. You were THERE, weren't you? :-)
> >
> >> Fly your "E for Effort" flag proudly, masterradiooperator. :-)
> >
> >> [anyone alive during WW2 could see the "E flags"]
> >
> >Some folks will do anything to hang on to another's contributions...
>
> Dudly the Imposter is doing his damndest to do just that...

Yep. He saw a guy on a MARS radio, and now he's "A" NCOIC of Okinawa
MARS.

> >> >> >There is a large amount of
> >> >> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
> >> >> >War. Have you read any of it?
> >
> >> >> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
> >> >> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)
> >
> >> > Why should they?
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you never saw any "war surplus radio" stuff?
> >
> >> Old-timer, you've lead a sheltered life.

> >
> >> The radio-electronics INDUSTRY of the USA built all those "surplus
> >> radios" from WW2. Those were NOT built FOR radio amateurs.
> >
> >They weren't made expressly for radio row?
>
> Nah. Except, of course, if you ALSO happened to buy a surplus
> B-17 or B-24, you COULD stock it up with surplus Command Sets
> and Liason Sets, surplus mikes, headphones, code keys, etc. :-)

I want a jeep with a 12V electrical system.

> >> > How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?
> >
> >> Over a dozen that I've seen...but the IEEE, a PROFESSIONAL
> >> ASSOCIATION publishes not only SPECTRUM (the membership magazine
> >> I get free each month), but the PROCEEDINGS (technical features
> >> on both electric power and general electronic subjects) plus over
> >> two dozen TRANSACTIONS (from special interest groups) each month.
> >> Not only that, they publish (generally printed by Wiley) books
> >> and biographies and historical texts on electric and electronic
> >> subjects. Go to their website and check out what's available.
> >
> >That would require effort.
>
> Way too much effort for the masterradiooperator. :-)

Effort is to be expended only by the unworthy.

> >> Tsk, Dudly the Ugly has to understand that "radio" is only one
> >> small subset of the entirety of ELECTRONICS in the world. To
> >> the vast majority of IEEE members, "amateur radio" is just a
> >> hobby for personal recreation and enjoyment. The world of
> >> electronics hasn't looked to amateurism to supply new insights
> >> and principles for well over a half century...worldwide.
> >
> >They're oiling up the Wrenchy Stitch for such blasphemy.
>
> I know...their Wouff Hong got broke... :-)

Yep. It's at the ARRL Lab getting a tune up.

> >> >> (UNSNIP)...or even
> >> >> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
> >> >> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
> >> >> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
> >> >> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
> >> >> and not at all being amateur about what they did.
> >
> >> > Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone
> >> >else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.
> >

> >> Tsk, tsk, Dud, listen to Davie the Heil...he "worked with NASA"
> >> and did "real radio operating" while in uniform. You have
> >> exactly "zero-point-zero" experience in military communications.
> >
> >It would appear that way. Steve is free to correct the record at any
> >time.
>
> Too late for that. Dudly got waaayyyyyy too deep in his own LIES
> to "get out of it" now.

Which is why he constantly says he cannot discuss his military record,
military radios he's used, or anything else that will confirm him as a
liar.

> >> >> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
> >> >> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?
> >
> >> > Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.
> >
> >> Riiiiight...and the ARRL is "universally loved" by all "licensured"
> >> radio amateurs! :-)
> >
> >> ARRL *always* "tells the truth" and never omits mention of
> >> hated professionals, right? :-)
> >
> >> ARRL *never* "sins by omission" do they? :-)
> >
> >Such as omitting Carl from the ballot...
>
> Tsk. ARRL "explained it all" to the unwashed masses.

I'm not buying any.

> >> > Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
> >> >deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
> >> >perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...
> >
> >> Poor baby, being delusional again? :-)
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to get rid of the amateur radio morse
> >> code test in FCC regulations is NOT "hating the ARRL or 'all'
> >> radio amateurs."
> >
> >Ahem... (there's a large subset of amateurs that believe so)
>
> Yes, that's true. Acolytes of the Church of St. Hiram would
> NEVER accept the elimination of the ham license code test.

I invite them to write "Cancelled" across "thier" licenses in permanent
ink, and mail them to Riley.

> >> >> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
> >> >> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.
> >
> >> > Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
> >> >story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
> >> >ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....
> >
> >> So, old-timer, WHICH military radio station did YOU work at
> >> "during the war?" :-)
> >
> >Probably too busy with those "seven hostile actions" to notice the
> >callsign or routing indicators.
>
> Dudly the Imposter wouldn't know a routing indicator from
> a rotating light, let alone know which military comm station
> he was at... :-)

He can't even remember where his seven hostile actions were.

> >> ...and WHICH "war" was it? You've never detailed that, Dudly
> >> the Imposter. You've made only inferences, nothing detailed.
> >
> >It's all hush hush, on the QT, need to know basis.
> >
> >He'd have to kill you if found out.
>
> I'd prolly laugh myself to death if I DID find out! :-)

Sand in his eye...

> >> >> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
> >> >> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
> >> >> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
> >> >> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
> >> >> boil down to...as usual]
> >
> >> > Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
> >> >about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
> >> >paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.
> >
> >> "their," sweetums...watch those typos when you get heated. :-)
> >
> >"Anderson" "thier"
>
> Dud managed one of his old, favorite typos earlier..."amatuer"

And he's such a Miss Spelling Manners where the old friend is
concerned.

> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've not said that "all amateurs" "know nothing."
> >
> >> I've said that CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL AMATEURS are IGNORANT of
> >> anything but being able to read (word-for-word) every ad in QST

> >> to get their "radio smarts." That's (unfortunately) true.
> >
> >> That YOU are an UNDERACHIEVER is YOUR label for YOURSELF.
> >
> >> That YOU are HATING of anyone who has gathered experience
> >> in radio operating anywhere but as a "licensured" amateur
> >> is YOUR problem.
> >
> >His problems number so many as to be insurmountable.
>
> His problems are incegrievious (according to Gary Owens).

Gary Owens knew Steve?

> >> >> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
> >> >> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
> >> >> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
> >> >> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
> >> >> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
> >> >> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!
> >
> >> > Funny...
> >
> >> > All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie once mentioned some old-timer's article
> >> about WAR (Washington Army Radio) from pre-WW2 times and tried
> >> to discredit the FACT that WAR was running TTY, not morse code,
> >> during and after WW2. Washington Army Radio had the TTY
> >> four-letter ID of "RUEP" in ACAN...ADA has "RUAP." [you need
> >> more of the ACAN IDs, old-timer? I got them up to 1962]
> >
> >Always enjoy WAR stories from "them that didn't."
>
> I'd be satisfied with finding out what color the halls of
> Montezuma were painted...beige? Green? Grey? :-)

He wouldn't know.

> >> Long ago in here some anony-mouse "reserve colonel" had a "son
> >> in the [first] Gulf War" that was using "CW behind enemy lines
> >> to send intel back to Hq." [his son would 'never' lie to him
> >> he wrote] Anony-mouse slunk away when confronted with modern
> >> land-forces radio equipment nomenclature and procedure, his last
> >> posting saying he might have been mistaken. :-)
> >
> >Ouch.
>
> That was a wonderful fairy tale of the "reserve colonel's." It
> could have snowed many a younger ham. Tsk, was only a fabrication.

But he told his story with such conviction.

> >> [Dudly the Imposter has NEVER mentioned one single nomenclature
> >> or familiar name of any military radio communication equipment
> >> (other than a couple MARS radios) yet claimes to "know" about
> >> military communications]
> >
> >Is the intercom on a helicopter considered "radio?"
>
> Only if Dudly hooked up his morse key to it... :-)

I can see him scraping together two bare copper wires and the rest of
the crew threatening to throw him out the door.

> >> HF radio was ONCE a mainstay of international radio communications
> >> but that reverted to a backup system some time in the 1970s with
> >> the coming of more modern methods of reaching around the globe.
> >> That's the REAL HISTORY of radio communications to the REST of
> >> the radio world.
> >
> >> Frankly, Dud, you know dink about radio communications other than
> >> what you read about in ARRL pages. You've got a BIG problem of
> >> sociopathy in newsgroups, always personally insulting anyone
> >> who disagrees with you. Take your standard "sign off" as an
> >> example:
> >
> >> > Putz.
> >
> >> Dudly the Ugly tries to strike again...and fails. Oy, gevalt!
> >
> >> Acting Ugly with all who disagree with you is NOT good PR for
> >> U.S. amateur radio...and you SO identify yourself with ALL of
> >> amateur radio that the slightest negative against YOU personally
> >> gets translated to some kind of "insult to all amateurs!"
> >
> >> It doesn't work that way.
> >
> >He tries so hard to make it so.
>
> Problem is, he fails to LEARN from his mistakes. Must be genetic.

He simply fails. Look at his unhappiness...

> >How was the reunion?
>
> Very nice. Family-friend reunion after that. Good folks all.
> Put about 5000 miles on the 2005 Malibu MAXX odometer and saw
> lots of nice country, the new Lincoln Museum in Springfield, IL,
> dropped some quarters in a NV slot machine, won more than what
> we put in. Cell phone worked fine from inside the car all along
> I-15, I-80, I-5. No use for a CB or any other radio except BC.
> Next year we have another reunion. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

My dad goes to a military reunion every year. It has been in New Orleans for about the last 3 years. Probably time for a change of Venue!

3066. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 00:11:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129421493.549686.202940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120942

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >
> > My wife got a new cell phone before we left on a 5000 mile
> > trip to Wisconsin and back. All along I-15, I-80, I-5 that
> > cell phone worked just fine inside the car, wife getting
> > her e-mail forwarded from AOL, then making several calls for
> > new reservations (we changed routes coming back) at motels,
> > getting voice mail from the cat sitter service, calling to
> > her sister and niece in WA state from Iowa. Emergency
> > comms through 911 service is now possible along highways,
> > even in the more remote parts of Wyoming, Utah, or Nevada.
>
> Ah, here we go again, the hams are outmoded and outdated, cells phones
> make ham radio obselete. Emergency officials and others who delt with
> the Katrina disaster sure don't think so. Why didn't all those people in
> NO and on the Gulf Coast who needed help just dial 911 on the cell
> phone? Hint: Very difficult to do when the cell system is down. When law
> enforcement officials couldn't communicate because their radio systems
> were down, why didn't they just whip out the trusty ole cell phone and
> make that important call? Hint: Very difficult to do when the cell
> system is down.
>
> So where is you point lennypoo, besides on top of your head?

" So where is you point?" - Commander makes good sentence!

3067. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 00:36:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129422985.428314.176310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120943

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> > > > all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> > > > witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> > > > power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
> > >
> > > So you swept up around the radio shack while the real radio operators
> > > did the work, and you want some recoginization for that I suppose.
> >
> > Len wants what???
>
> Lennie wants to be mistaken for a "radio operator".
>
> So far, no luck.
>
And you want to be mistaken for someone in uniform. You had your
chance and blew it.

3068. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 00:49:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129423759.672728.260350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120944

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > It is a fun hobby, an activity done for
> > personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation
> > due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be
> > MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
> > daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>
>
> And often it is MUCH MORE.

Yep. Often it is higher class hams dissing lower class hams.

> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts

True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.

3069. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 01:01:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129424490.892280.173130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120945

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> > > > >
> > > > > QUOTE
> > > > >
> > > > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
> > > > hate spam trash.
> > > >
> > > Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
> > > great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.
> > >
> > Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your
> >
> > "Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
> > Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
> > Himself...."
> >
> > posting here. Not!
>
> I don't remember asking him if he approved.

Oooops. You screwed up. You told a truth.

> And the title does not include his name or callsign.

Another truth. Must be having a bad day.

Ed's name and job title are in the first paragraph of text.

> > > > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
> > > > had any shame.
> > > >
> > > And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
> > > pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
> > > about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
> > > known?
> > >
> > You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me

> > horribly. I do have a conscience...(SNIP)
>
> Whelp, there we have BRIAN'S Big Lie for the day...

Acting as you do made me sick to my stomach. I've no idea how you
sustain such behavio[u]r.

> > (UNSNIP)...and couldn't keep up the new,
> > insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
> > etc.
>
> But you DO "keep up" deceitful posts, mistruths and blatant lies
> nonetheless.

You mistake someones opinion for immutable laws. Your mistake.

> > > We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
> > > about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
> > > but we all know it too well.
> >
> > Somalia is true. You're simply envious.
>
> No, it's not and no I'm not.
>
> You were a bootlegger. Period.

You were not contacted and no QSL card will be forthcoming.

> > Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.
>
> Your claims about UNLICENSED DEVICES in emergency comms was NOT
> true, and your claim of the uninterruptable cellphone was not true.

Now you are lying again. Sad to see you back to your old self.

> > "ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.
> >
> > All true.
>
> ARES >>IS<< a voluntary agency, however YOU claimed (just a couple
> months before it really happened) that ARES would not be able to
> support a disaster the likes of what we saw.

Sorry, but that's simply not true (i.e., another lie of yours).

> > > > Thanks for nothing.
> > >
> > > Back at ya.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Best of Luck
>

> For what?
>
> You're still a discredited liar, and your above claims and
> statements still false.

I'm good with my statements and my opinions. That you disagree with them doesn't make them lies. It merely means that we disagree.

> Seems to me YOU are the one needing luck, Brian...Luck that none
> of your offspring will ever see these archives.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

If only yours could see what a disgrace you've made of their family name.

Best of Luck.

3070. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 02:14:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120946

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Amateur radio isn't magical.

I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to believe in that powerful, intangible force.

All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another. Some night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning aimlessly, not expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be coming through at that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a ghost, there will be a faint call from another stalwart explorer thousands of miles distant. You pounce, establish contact and both of you marvel at the fact that the conversation is taking place at all. A few minutes later, however, the signal vanishes as quickly as it came. Your rational mind will shrug it off as a quirk of propagation, but that little tingle in your gut will tell you otherwise.

If you could travel back in time to, say 500 years to 1505 AD, you'd probably be burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human beings could communicate with each other over great distances without a physical connection. No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in magic -- and they'd be right!

As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us have become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as commonplace. We hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon the elemental forces of the universe. But every so often we need to pause and remind ourselves of what we are really doing. We need to remember the essence of what drew us to our unusual avocation in the first place: the wonderful magic of wireless communications.

(With thanks to WB8IMY)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King Of Old Could Do Thus?"
--AC6V

3071. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 02:46:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Q7ydnavTUINyI8zenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 120948

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>Amateur radio isn't magical.
>
>
> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on
> the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to
> believe in that powerful, intangible force.

<rest of an awesome post snipped>

Excellent, Hans, simply excellent!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3072. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 03:28:15 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ss2dnXZu1sWpJ8zeRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120947

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

>
>> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
>
>
> True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
> the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
> code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
>

But ham radio often gets the job done when others can't.

3073. AB8MQ has Abs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 05:58:09 UTC
From: My Photos <RogerSuperman@Glendale.up>
Message-ID: <disq2s$vs0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For you guys who think I am a white, flabby, fifty-something, wimpy oldster,
check out my photos.
I got abs, dude!
And as you can see, I ain't no Jew, either.
Tell Lloyd Roger sent you....

Bet Toddie would like to be built like me.

http://ww3.voyeurweb.com/main/Prg40b/ps20050923-155715/index.html

3074. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 10:42:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129459361.918200.166610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120850

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > None of us "rail against" persons with commercial or military
> > > > experience BECAUSE of that experience, Brian...
> > >
> > > Not true.
> >
> > Is true. Who else in this forum with "commercial or military"
> > communications experience rants on-and-on like Lennie?
> >
> > > > We DO "rail against"
> > > > people who have NO experience in AMATEUR RADIO who then come to an
> > > > Amateur Radio forum and presume to tell us how we should be "doing"
> > > > things.
> > >
> > > He doesn't "presume." He does so.
> >
> > He doesn't "tell" me anything!
>
> There you go personalizing everything. You're just itching for a
> fight, aren't you?.

With you or Lennie? Sheesh. Not much of a challenge there.

> > > > Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
> > > > "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
> > > > experience.
> > >
> > > Not true.
> >
> > Is true. Unless we include you.
>
> Citation, please.

Wade through about a ton of LennieRant's from the last seven
years, Brain. They are prolific.

> > > > Period.
> > >
> > > Longhand punctuation?
> > >
> > > > His attacks on me based upon having been an Armed
> > > > Forces Avionics Tech and Jim, N2EY, for his various projects are

> > > > point-in-case.
> > >
> > > And you presume to tell Len how he should be doing things.
> >
> > Nope. I have not once suggested how he conduct his "professional"
> > career.
>
> Hi, hi! You deny that he has had a "professional" career.

No, I've not.

I've stated that I doubt that his career is all that his stories
make him out to be.

Kinda like your "There I was in Somalia..." stuff.

> > You won't find a single posting by me in any "professional
> > radio" forum", Brian.
>
> You are so unprofessional that you wouldn't know where to find one.

Uh huh...WHO is "personalizing" this, Brain?

> > Yet Lennie, without one day's bit of experience in practical
> > Amateur Radio, persumes to know what's good for us.
>
> Funny. That's just what the FCC does.

Nope.

Comparing Lennie to the FCC is like comparing a mob hitman to a
Sheriff's Deputy.

> > > > No one doubts that Lennie knows how a radio works or that he was
> > > > an adequate bench technician.
> > >
> > > Not true. How many lies will you rack up in this single post?
> >
> > Is true. I've said it before, and I said it right there.
>
> What you say from one day to the next is inconsistant and suspect.

Nope.

But it obviously makes you warm and fuzzy to promulgate such lies.

Of course lying is easy for you.

> > > > However he has, to this date, zero-point-zero hours of experience
> > > > as a licensed Radio Amateur.
> > >
> > > Nor does the Chairman of the FCC.
> >
> > The Chairman of the FCC is not in this forum, now is he?

>
> Nor is Mr. Haney. And I do mind where some "experienced" amateurs are
> trying to point the ARS.

Mr Haney DOES have tons of experience in Amateur Radio.

Weak diversion, Brain. Try again?

> > > > He is not now nor ever has been a radio
> > > > OPERATOR as it pertains to Amateur Radio practice. He has
> > > > zero-point-zero hours of experience in emergency communications. His
> > > > list ot "zeros" is lengthy, yet he pretends to be an authority on
> > > > Amateur Radio policies and/or practices.
> > >
> > > Ditto the Chaiman of the FCC and his numerous staffers. Soon, he's
> > > going to "presume" to tell you how it is, both on policy and practice.
> >
> > And they are not in this forum, are they?
> >
> > However the Chairman and his staff DO have Amateurs on the FCC
> > payroll from whom they take counsel.
>
> Conflict of interest.

WHAT conflict of interest?

It's no more a "conflict of interest" to have Amateurs on the FCC
payroll and providing counsel about Amatuer issues than it is to have
any OTHER service represented.

> > > > He's nothing of the sort.
> > > >
> > > > Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
> > > > him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
> > > > is assinine.
> > >
> > > Not true. Lie #4.
> >
> > Is true. And I can see you're back in form.
> >
> > Myself and others have "called" Lennie based upon NUMEROUS errors
> > as they pertain to Amateur Radio policy and practice.
>
> Everyone makes mistakes. Even you as, I have pointed out so many times
> before.

But I admit mine. Lennie's several years behind.

You're a close second.

> > You and he are the ONLY ones suggesting that the theory of
> > electronics or radio wave propagation are issues here.
>

> You are the one suggesting it...(SNIP)

No, I am not.

> (UNSNIP).....Len and I are the ones pointing it out.

Then we have you in yet another mistruth, Brain.

I have N E V E R suggested that there is one iota of difference
in theory, technology OR propagation.

If you insist on suggesting otherwise, you are wantonly lying.

> > > > Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
> > > > Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
> > > > electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
> > > > and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
> > > > radiocommunications.
> > >
> > > Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
> > > and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
> > > military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!
> > >
> > > Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Where did I say that, Brian?
>
> Then tell us how it works, again.

How "what" works, Brain?

> > > > No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
> > > > end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
> > > by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
> > > on the ARS.
> >
> > What does knowing ANYthing about "RF" have to do with knowing
> > about the Amateur Radio service?
>
> The FCC tests us on knowledge of RF for "licensure." Take it up with
> them.

And, just as Lennie the Liar, blindly skip over the OTHER parts of
the exam and weakly try to slip in yet another diversion.

lame...really lame.

> > I worked with many engineers in 2000. About a third of them were
> > Amateur licensees. The rest weren't. They were excellent in thier
> > fields. But they knew nothing of Amateur Radio.
>
> Holy Cow! A third of the engineers were amateur radio operators but
> knew nothing of Amateur Radio? Were they RF engineers?

Yep.

> > Lennie's "knowledge" of "Amateur Radio" comes from having used an
> > Amateur Radio magazine to get his "writings" into print and from his
> > flailing's-about in this forum.
>
> It's too bad that you are allowed to continue to denigrate a fine
> amateur radio builders publication merely to discredit Len's articles
> that were contained within it's covers.

Where, Brain, was ONE WORD of "denigration" of the MAGAZINE...?!?!

> > > Best of Luck.
> >
> > For what? Pulling the rug out from underneath you and Lennie?
> >
> > That didn't need luck...You make it all too easy.
>
> You are truly delusional.

There you go calling the pot black again, Oh Parroting One. Kinda
like your accusations of me "denigrating" a "fine amateur radio buildrs
publication.

Brian P Burke ("hot-ham-and-cheese", "billybeeper") is a known
pathological liar.

Beware.

Steve, K4YZ

3075. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 11:37:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vYWdnaIs9IUEoM_eRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 120851

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> Amateur radio isn't magical.
>
> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
> Amateur Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or
> metaphysics on the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart
> you will come to believe in that powerful, intangible force.
>
> All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another.
> Some night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning
> aimlessly, not expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be
> coming through at that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a
> ghost, there will be a faint call from another stalwart explorer thousands
> of miles distant. You pounce, establish contact and both of you marvel at
> the fact that the conversation is taking place at all. A few minutes
> later, however, the signal vanishes as quickly as it came. Your rational
> mind will shrug it off as a quirk of propagation, but that little tingle
> in your gut will tell you otherwise.
>
> If you could travel back in time to, say 500 years to 1505 AD, you'd
> probably be burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human
> beings could communicate with each other over great distances without a
> physical connection. No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in
> magic -- and they'd be right!
>
> As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us
> have become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as
> commonplace. We hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon
> the elemental forces of the universe. But every so often we need to pause
> and remind ourselves of what we are really doing. We need to remember the
> essence of what drew us to our unusual avocation in the first place: the
> wonderful magic of wireless communications.
>
> (With thanks to WB8IMY)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King
> Of Old Could Do Thus?"

> --AC6V

Yes it is the "magic" of wireless that is endlessly fascinating. The part about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3076. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 11:52:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129463526.038522.203450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120852

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 15, 8:14 am

> >There you go personalizing everything. You're just itching for a
> >fight, aren't you?.
>
> Dudly the Imposter is a MIGHTY WARRIOR...on-screen. Off-screen
> the best he can do is pose in some kind of uniform and pretend
> to be a great "hero."

Any amount of service in any uniform, even sanitation service,
would be more than any service ever performed by Leonard H. Anderson in
ANY uniform.

He has dishonored his Nation, his Branch of Servuice and Unit with
his cowardly attempts to place himself beside Men who died in combat
for the SOLE PURPOSE of "polishing his brass" in a USENET forum.

Leonard H. Anderson is a coward and a liar.

Period.

Big Snip

> >> > > Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
> >> > > "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
> >> > > experience.
> >
> >> > Not true.
> >
> >> Is true. Unless we include you.
> >
> >Citation, please.
>
> Dudly the Imposter issues his own citations. He makes them up on
> the spot to suit his personal HATRED of certain others.

And here we have Lennie PROVING his liarship.

> Dudly seems to be the usual east-coastie kind of geographical
> BIGOT. He wants to call anyone on the west coast of the United
> States as a "liberal." Once in a while as a "leftist."

But you are. Your posts clearly establish it.

> Tennessee doesn't have a seacoast at all. Dudly must have ENVY
> of anyone being on any "coast."

I've been on both coasts. I've been on the gulf coast. I've been
on the South China Sea coast and the Japanese Pacific coast.

And Tennessee DOES have inland waterway ports which serve to
seaports. Several of them.

Without the hurricaines and "En Nino".

More Big Snip

> >> However the Chairman and his staff DO have Amateurs on the FCC
> >> payroll from whom thye take counsel.
> >
> >Conflict of interest.
>
> A few things on the above... First of all, the FCC has "counsel"
> from LAWYERS since they are a legal regulatory agency of the federal
> government. The FCC regulates MANY DIFFERENT radio services,
> ALL the civil radio services in the United States.

Better break out the Websters and refresh your memory on what the
word "counsel" means, Lennie..

> Secondly, I do have some experience in emergency communications,
> but definitely not as an amateur. While that is irrelevant to this
> so-
> called "discussion," Dudly the Imposter is, as usual, WRONG in his
> personal attacks.

What "experience"...?!?! Some 11 meter REACT team in 1965?

Loading some sandbags in 1971 doesn't hack it.

Cut-and-pastes from from OES websites in order to mount attacks in
this forum do not count as "experience".

Actually DOING IT counts as "experience".

> Third, by definition of LAW, an amateur radio license grant covers
> BOTH operator AND station.

Uh huh.

Something you don't have.

> Fourth, "operating" any radio is just operating it. Dudly's
> interpretation refers only to the use of on-off keying morse code
> as "operation." Such morse code use is found only in the
> AMATEUR service and a very few vessels in Maritime Radio Service.

Who's Dudly?

Yet another Leonard H. Anderson lie.

Again.

> Fifth, expressing an OPINION on radio regulations is NOT any
> pretense of being an "authority." It is just expression of an
> OPINION. Dudly the Imposter has NO "authority" on radio
> regulations. Neither do any of the current communicators in this
> newsgroup.

Who's Dudly, and what is he an imposter of?

As for YOU and your OPINION in this forum, YOU have stated that
your SOLE purpose in this forum was to see to the removal of the Morse
Code test requirement for an Amateur Radio license.

That statement in and of itself establishes your deceitfulness
just by the "body count" of your other-than-Morse-related posts...And
ESPECIALLY by the personal attack/slander posts you've set in motion.

> Sixth, Jim Haney, elected president of the ARRL, has been IN this
> newsgroup some years ago, but only for a short while. I advised
> him in private e-mail that this would lead to trouble within the
> newsgroup from others who were not happy with ARRL policies...and
> that would create a bad image of the ARRL as a result of flak from
> those that (like Dudly) love to personally insult anyone.

The only trouble would come form you, Lennie.

This is a proven fact.

> Seventh, the full organization of the FCC is explained on their
> website, including all of the higher staff positions of Bureaus
> and Offices and Working Groups. To reiterate, the FCC is not
> concerned solely with amateur radio since their charter by LAW
> is to regulate the ENTIRETY of civil radio in the United States.

What's to "reiterate"...?!?!

> >> > > He's nothing of the sort.
> >
> >> > > Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
> >> > > him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
> >> > > is assinine.
> >
> >> > Not true. Lie #4.
> >
> >> Is true. And I can see you're back in form.
> >
> >> Myself and others have "called" Lennie based upon NUMEROUS errors
> >> as they pertain to Amateur Radio policy and practice.
> >
> >Everyone makes mistakes. Even you as, I have pointed out so many times
> >before.
>
> The "makes numerous errors" charge is another FALSE one, but often
> used in newsgroups (even way back before USENET existed and all
> there was was the original ARPANET) to color an opponents' posts.

Not "false". Absolutely true.

We can start out with your "the ARRL is dishonest" rants. More
than likely the reason for your "advice" to Jim Haney to rethink
participating in RRAP.

We can then move on to numerous regulatory errors you've made, ie:
the "radio service for recreational purpsoes only"...We STILL don't
have any references from you substantiating THAT one...

Your "ham radio is just for hobby communications" one has been
disproven over and over and over and......

Of course there are many, MANY "off topic" errors you've made on
non-Amateur Radio related subjects.

> The "numerous errors" derive from certain individuals using THEIR
> personal preferences as THE judgemental point...any deviation from
> that personal preference is considered "wrong."...(SNIP)

Nope.

A deviation from FACTS gets your stuff labelled "wrong".

> A typical one is
> some kind of "fact" that morse code is supposed to be "basic to
> the knowledge of any radio amateur." In itself that is false insofar
> as operating any radio communication device of any radio service,
> grounded in personal preferences. The morsemen try to "legalize"
> that by playing barracks lawyer in the newsgroup and showing the
> license test requirements as their "proof."

Whoa! Lennie accusing OTHERS of being "barracks lawyers".

> Dudly the Imposter has never "pertained to amateur radio policy
> and practice" but only repeated a few morse myths and posted many
> news items (which he did not participate in nor did he report
> as any kind of journalist).

Who's Dudly?

> Dudly has not been able to categorize
> subjects, cannot properly use all of the words he thinks are
> 'necessary' (such as "venue" or "licensure" lately, confusing
> their application in other fields far removed from radio).

With the exception of the "nomenclature" (a favorite "See Mom! I
know big words!" word of Lennie's...) of specific devices, words are
words. "venue" and "licensure" can both be used in THIS forum as well
as any other where they may be appropriate.

Lennie's lame diversion on the use of some words is laughable.

> Dudly
> has NO ("zero-point-zero") experience in ANY radio communication
> (other than perhaps CB) before 1970 and thus - by his own

> standards - cannot possibly express any sort of qualified opinion
> of amateur radio operation before then.

Who's Dudly?

And who said no one can comment on radio before 1970?

gee, Lennie...YOU are the one ALWAYS claiming that others stretch
their limits by discussing ANYthing that occured since you were born,
whether or not you played a part in it then or not...But let anyone
ELSE comment, and it's yet another rant from you....

> >> You and he are the ONLY ones suggesting that the theory of
> >> electronics or radio wave propagation are issues here.
> >
> >You are the one suggesting it. Len and I are the ones pointing it out.
>
> I'm just pointing out the HOLES in Dudly's "reasoning" and the
> personal insults he continually hurls at certain individuals he
> obsessively HATES.

Who's Dudly?

And so far, you've not pointed out any holes except in your own
stories, Lennie.

> >> > > Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
> >> > > Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
> >> > > electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
> >> > > and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
> >> > > radiocommunications.
> >
> >> > Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
> >> > and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
> >> > military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!
> >
> >> > Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."
> >
> >> Nope.
> >
> >> Where did I say that, Brian?
> >
> >Then tell us how it works, again.
>
> Brian, do not expect the impossible.

Why not?

He thinks that "admiring" liars and deceivers is an appropriate
character attribute.

> Dudly the Imposter has NO existance prior to 1955.

Who's Dudly?

> He cannot possibly "know" anything of World War 2 by personal experience.

Of course not. But then YOU presume to lecture us on WW2-era
programs despite the fact that you had not even reached puberty by
then.

> All his input comes from AMATEUR RADIO literature.

Why do you INSIST on making comments that are so blatantly
dishonest, Lennie?

> Such amateur radio literature is colored to favor the wish-fulfillment of radio amateurs.
> Actually, the much wider field of electronics has considerable REAL history of
> who did what for whom.

None of which includes the name "Leonard H. Anderson".

> >> > > No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
> >> > > end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.
> >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >> > Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
> >> > by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
> >> > on the ARS.
> >
> >> What does knowing ANYthing about "RF" have to do with knowing
> >> about the Amateur Radio service?
> >
> >The FCC tests us on knowledge of RF for "licensure." Take it up with
> >them.
>
> Apparently Dudly the Imposter does NOT know anything about "radio"
> or the propagation of electromagnetic radiation! Or he has
> forgotten anything to do with RF on his amateur radio license test.

Who's Dudly?

> The Volunteer Examiner Coordinators' Question Pool Committee
> generates the questions and answers for the amateur radio license
> test. The FCC either approves or disapproves those questions and
> answers. Testing of radio amateurs for their licenses is done
> almost entirely by the Volunteer Examiner Coordinator groups (the
> FCC can demand certain individuals to be tested by the FCC in
> certain dispute cases...that is also the LAW).

Uh huh.

I've been a VE almost since the program's inception, Lennie...

Please remind us of how long YOU have been a VE...?!?!

> The FCC does NOT use the term "licensure" in regards to amateur
> radio licenses or the licensing process. That term is used in
> some civil governments for licensing in other fields of activity.

Now Lennie the Moderator chooses our words for us.

I wasn't aware that we could only use words that appear in FCC
publications, Lennie...

Can you please show me where that rule is...?!?!

> Dudly may think he is "highbrow" or an "authority" if he uses
> the word "licensure."

Who's Dudly?

And you need to open a Websters once in a while, Lennie. You'd be
amazed at all the enat words in there.

> >> I worked with many engineers in 2000. About a third of them were
> >> Amateur licensees. The rest weren't. They were excellent in thier
> >> fields. But they knew nothing of Amateur Radio.
> >
> >Holy Cow! A third of the engineers were amateur radio operators but
> >knew nothing of Amateur Radio? Were they RF engineers?
>
> Dudly the Imposter admitted he worked as a PURCHASING AGENT for
> a set-top-box manufacturer.

Who's Dudly?

I, on the otherhand, worked for a research and development company
that was co-located with ANTEC in Duluth, GA. My only "affiliation"
with them was use of thier server for our Internet connections and
e-mail servers. We didn't manufacture anything. And it was for longer
than the "six months" that Lennie has also gotten wrong...repeatedly.

> Purchasing Agents do NOT do any
> sort of "design" work nor do they do any testing of the final
> product, whatever that may be. Purchasing Agents are the
> equivalent of technical bookkeepers and form-filler-outers and
> their "communications knowledge" is limited to using a
> telephone.

Wrong.

Again.

> Dudly has "zero-point-zero" experience in electronics engineering
> of any kind, "zero-point-zero" experience as a "bench top
> technician" (in the industry). He has NO baseline for judgement
> other than continually being obsessed with insulting others from
> his obsessive HATRED.

Who's Dudly?

And sorry, Lennie, but I DO have "bench top technician"
experience.

> >> Lennie's "knowledge" of "Amateur Radio" comes from having used an
> >> Amateur Radio magazine to get his "writings" into print and from his
> >> flailing's-about in this forum.
> >
> >It's too bad that you are allowed to continue to denigrate a fine
> >amateur radio builders publication merely to discredit Len's articles
> >that were contained within it's covers.
>
> Dudly the Imposter will insult anyone if he can make "message
> points" and partially soothe his obsessive HATRED of some in
> this newsgroup. [that is continually on display in here]

Who's Dudly?

And what are these "message points" you continually make reference
to?

> I could list the Editors in Chief of Ham Radio magazine and
> describe much of its 22-year independent existance as an
> amateur radio technical publication. Suffice to say that all
> 22 years of articles in it are on a three-CD set available
> from CQ or the ARRL bookstores for $150 (shipping charges
> extra).

Yes, they are. And Leonard H. Anderson does NOT appear in all 22
years of it.

Nor were any of his articles IN it ever of much importance. Not a
single reference can be found that ever footnoted an LHA article.

Not one.

> HR is still considered the "top" of the technical
> periodicals for amateur radio worldwide (the RSGB's "Radio
> Communication" magazine perhaps a close second). HR, like
> CQ and 73, never had the backing of an entire membership
> organization to assure it of continued existance (as QST
> does) and they managed to exist for 22 years solely on
> income from advertising sales. My hat is off and a salute
> given to Jim Fisk (SK), Alf Wilson, Rich Rosen, and Terry
> Northrup, wherever they are now, for editorial leadership
> throughout those 22 years.

Too bad they made the decision to get you on board,
Lennie...Things went "toes-up" from there on out...

> Tsk, I've written for other electronics magazines and have
> had the pleasure of being acquainted with radio amateurs
> since 1947...among them Gene Hubbell (the first H of H&H
> Electronics in Rockford, IL, now SK), Captain William P.
> Boss, Officer in Charge of ADA Transmitters (1953-1954),
> Sergeant First Class Don Ross (NCOIC at ADA Transmitters
> 1954-1956, holder of an Amateur Extra as well as both
> First Telegraph and First Phone Commercial licenses),

> Eugene Rosenbaum (retired from the FAA and living in
> Long Island, NY), Allan Walston (W6MJN, best man at my
> wedding and co-worker in the RCA Cube Farm), James Hall
> (KD6JG, retired and on the RCA network from near Grass
> Valley, CA, on Saturdays), and a whole bunch of other
> good people that I've known and/or worked with over the
> last 58 years.

I am reminded of the guy who defends his racist behaviour by
citing his personal acquaintence with "persons of color" when I read
this.

Lennie "knew" some people in the same way a kid gets autographs.

"Mister Mister! Can I get my picture taken with you...?!?!"

> It doesn't require personal acquaintenship
> to "know about amateur radio" since nothing of it is
> either "secret" or "sensitive" or "to be kept within the
> confines of a fraternal order." United States amateur
> radio is NOT a guild, union, or trade craft that takes
> some kind of special learned-over-many-years experience,
> nor is it some "national service" organization vital to
> the nation. It is a fun hobby, an activity done for
> personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation
> due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be
> MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
> daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>

It IS "MUCH MORE"...

And THANKFULLY we do not have you in it's ranks.

> >> > Best of Luck.
> >
> >> For what? Pulling the rug out from underneath you and Lennie?
> >
> >> That didn't need luck...You make it all too easy.
> >
> >You are truly delusional.
>
> Dudly the Imposter is a delusional sociopath with an unfulfilled
> ego. That manifests itself as an obssessive hatred of certain
> individuals who will not suck up to him and his opinions. Tsk.

Who's Dudly?

Judging by the rest of the paragraph, I'd have to say it was
Leonard H. Anderson.

Let's see....

Lennie pretended to have been "part of the team" in Korea...(3
years before he enlisted...)

Lennie presuemed to tell us about "serving under the threat of the Soviet Bear bomber"

He's regaled us with stories of what it's like to be on the receiving end of artillery fire. (Lennie was a rear-area radio mechanic in post-war Japan..that's post KOREAN war Japan...)

He's the resident expert on at least a dozen emergency communications programs despite not actually participating in ANY of them.

He's presumed to render diagnosis on a wide range of mental and physical afflictions despite not even having been an EMT, let alone a physician or nurse.

He's tried to tell us how various volunteer organizations, again one's he's not a memeber of, fit into the "bigger scheme" of things.

He's presumed to "demonstrate" his aerial navigation skills despite being a non-soloed student pilot sometime in the late 50's or early 60's.

> He cannot "discuss", only insult others who disagree with him.
> This will continue until he gets some professional help for
> his mental affliction.

Yep! I was right! Lennie Anderson IS "Dudly"!~

Steve, K4YZ

3077. Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 13:06:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129467980.328973.186560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120853

Lennie said, in another thread:

> Dudly the Imposter is a delusional sociopath with an unfulfilled
> ego. That manifests itself as an obssessive hatred of certain
> individuals who will not suck up to him and his opinions. Tsk.

Who's Dudly?

Judging by the rest of the paragraph, I'd have to say it was
Leonard H. Anderson.

Let's see....

Lennie pretended to have been "part of the team" in Korea...(3
years before he enlisted...)

Lennie presumed to tell us about "serving under the threat of the
Soviet Bear bomber"

He's regaled us with stories of what it's like to be on the
receiving end of artillery fire. (Lennie was a rear-area radio
mechanic in post-war Japan..that's post KOREAN war Japan...The only
"fire" Lennie saw were tiki lights on the beach)

He's the "resident expert" on at least a dozen emergency
communications programs despite not actually participating in ANY of
them.

He's presumed to render diagnosis on a wide range of mental and
physical afflictions despite not even having been an EMT, let alone a
physician or nurse.

He's tried to tell us how various volunteer organizations, again
one's he's not a memeber of, fit into the "bigger scheme" of things.

He's presumed to "demonstrate" his aerial navigation knowledge
despite being a non-soloed student pilot sometime in the late 50's or
early 60's.

And while mentioning aviaton, we can remember his rantings about
WW2 era "Civil Defense" and the use of the Civil Air Patrol...Again,
all things we are to assume he "mastered" before having attained
puberty..yeaaaaah...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight)

> He cannot "discuss", only insult others who disagree with him.
> This will continue until he gets some professional help for
> his mental affliction.

Yep! I was right! Lennie Anderson IS "Dudly"!~

Steve, K4YZ

3078. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 13:09:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129468170.270477.263560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120854

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> > > > > all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> > > > > witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> > > > > power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
> > > >
> > > > So you swept up around the radio shack while the real radio operators
> > > > did the work, and you want some recoginization for that I suppose.
> > >
> > > Len wants what???
> >
> > Lennie wants to be mistaken for a "radio operator".
> >
> > So far, no luck.
> >
> And you want to be mistaken for someone in uniform. You had your
> chance and blew it.

"Blew it"...?!?!

How's that?

You're following Lennie over the cliff!

Lennie's Lemming!

Steve, K4YZ

3079. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 14:34:59 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <84m4l1lseorcgjml5jhsjliqkge7fa0akf@4ax.com>
Source record: 120855

On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>
>>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>>If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a
>>>problem in the first place.
>
> Ahem...this is a "preconditioning" artificiality of "reasons."
> [akin to the "do you still beat your wife?" question]

Precisely so - and, it is indicative of the assumption that code
testing is currently under review because it is perceived as a
"problem".

This is, of course, not the case.

>
> "Growth in numbers" is not a raison d'etre for the elimination
> or retention of the code test. The lack of love and worship
> of morsemanship should be enough.

Agreed - the review of the requirement is based entirely upon an
change of requirements in an international treaty. The regulators
create the rules and regulations which control the hobby - it is up to
the amateur community to promote it and drive growth.

>
>>Another view would be that it was a problem that is being fixed way
>>too late to repair the damage.
>>
>>Amateur Radio was a very popular hobby back when you and I were kids -
>>today, there are too many other far-more-glamorous things competing
>>with it.
>
> One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was
> the USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
> any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form

> needed for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with
> radio control). Excellent in large urban areas before the
> offshore products appeared about four years later and the
> trucking industry started buying them. That era was before
> the semiconductor devices were used en masse for consumer
> electronics.
>
> Those that haven't been in the electronics industry or hobby
> field long can't appreciate the true revolution in parts,
> components, ICs, etc., that virtually exploded in the overall
> electronics market in the last half century. [I got an Allied
> Radio catalog while off on the midwest trip...the 2006 issue
> is 3/8" thicker than the 2005 issue for 2 1/2" thickness!]
> Besides the personal computer hobbyist group (very large still)
> there are the offshoots of PC work such as Robotics (almost
> all micro-processor controlled) along with all kinds of
> mechanical parts and specialty marketing for same, model
> vehicle radio control (they lobbied for and got dozens of
> channels in low VHF just for them)(examine the market for that
> activity, from "park flyers" to R/C helicopters, very big).
> Coming up are a plethora of "gadget" constructors and
> experimenters doing many things from home security to infra-red
> communications, instrumentation of all kinds (check out the
> last decade of Scientific American's "home scientist" column).
>
> Since 1958 we've all seen the appearance of communications
> satellites making live international TV a reality, watched
> the first men on the moon in live TV, seen the first of the
> cellular telephones, cordless telephones become a part of our
> social structure, CDs replacing vinyl disks for music, DVDs
> that replaced VHS, "Pong" growing from a cocktail bar game
> to rather sophisticated computer games (in their own
> specialized enclosures), digital voice on handheld transceivers
> for FRS (in the USA) unlicensed use, Bluetooth appliances for
> cell phones, the Internet (only 14 years old) spreading
> throughout most of the world and mail-order over the 'net
> becoming a standard thing that built Amazon.com into a money-
> maker of huge proportions. Besides the already-available
> "text messaging" and imaging over cell phones, look for even
> more startling developments in that now-ubiquitous pocket
> sized appliance.
>
> My wife got a new cell phone before we left on a 5000 mile
> trip to Wisconsin and back. All along I-15, I-80, I-5 that
> cell phone worked just fine inside the car, wife getting
> her e-mail forwarded from AOL, then making several calls for
> new reservations (we changed routes coming back) at motels,
> getting voice mail from the cat sitter service, calling to
> her sister and niece in WA state from Iowa. Emergency
> comms through 911 service is now possible along highways,

> even in the more remote parts of Wyoming, Utah, or Nevada.

There have indeed been massive changes in technology over the past half century. Instant communication on a global basis is available to almost everyone now, affordably and from virtually anywhere. Sure, during natural disasters this capability is severely impacted - but in everyday life, amaueur radio can no longer compete for public interest as it once did. (why go through licensing and buy expensive radio equipment to talk with Uncle Bob in Peoria on ham radio, when you can call him up on Skype on the Internet with great audio and live colour full-motion video for free?)

>
>>I would think that the vast majority of the folks who are interested
>>in the things that Amateur Radio offers are already a part of the
>>hobby. Adding HF access might broaden the scope of those who did not
>>gain access to HF via morse testing (for whatever reasons) - but to
>>think for a moment that there are legions of wannabe hams who are
>>waiting exitedly for morse testing to be abolished so that they can
>>rush in and get on the air would be foolish.
>>
>>They aren't there.
>
> I think that is a valid observation. Had the "revolution" begun
> earlier here, such as prior to the no-code-test Technician
> class (USA) license of 1991, there might have been more growth.
> In terms of CODED amateur radio licenses, those license numbers
> would have SHRUNK by now without that no-code-test Tech class.
> For over two years there has been a continual reduction in the
> number USA amateur radio licenses. The majority of NEW licensees
> come in via the no-code-test Tech class but they can't overcome
> the EXPIRATIONS of already-granted licenses.

Along with the common assumption that code testing is an impediment to new Amateur licensees (due to no access to HF without it), there is the companion assumption that licensing is also an impediment. The theory is that if licensing was removed (as it was with CB many years ago) that the floodgates would open and the bands would become overcrowded by the stampede of new amateur operators.

This is, of course, nonsense - they aren't there either. Fifty years ago, perhaps - but not now. In the three years that I have held a license, I have met very few people who were interested at all in radio communications. Try this experiment - show a teenage kid an SSTV picture being received, and watch the reaction.....

We hams are becoming a rare breed as technology advances.

>
> The morsemen acolytes of the Church of St. Hiram just can't
> understand all of that. They bought into certain concepts in
> their formative years and haven't been able to see that the

> rest of the world changed around them.
>
> It may not be too late to reverse but it will be a formidable
> task to increase the ham license numbers, impossible using old
> cliche'-ridden paradigms.

Agreed!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3080. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 15:08:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051016110825.914$QZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 120856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Sup wrote:
> >
> > Follow your *own* advice, clueless fruit ham. You have been whining,
> > complaining, and crying about Baxter for years, in order to fill the
> > voidless nothing in your worthless life.
> >
> > TURN THE LARGE CENTER DIAL ON YOUR FUCKING
> > RADIO next time you hear Baxter.
> >
> > YOU FUCKING MORON!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> And another brilliant CBer speaks out.
>
Another anonymous ham fuckwit runs his pie hole.

What's your call, JJ? Ya chickenshit.

3081. It's 1: 58 AM and drunk and always alone Lardass is whining about Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 15:36:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129476991.433024.210230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LLoyd's homosexual fantasy Photos wrote:
> For you <poor Lardass, whining about another man late at night>

No Lardass, you are just a 5'6" 290 pound fat turd. Roger isn't fat,
stupid. But you are the Lard of the Dance!

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/lardofthedance.jpg

3082. 1:58 AM on a Saturday night/Sunday Morning and drunk, always alone genetic reject Davies whines about Roger!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 16:01:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129476298.182543.180890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120858
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass' homosexual fantasy Photos wrote:
> For you <Poor Lardass, it's just another lonely old night for him ,but like John Mellancamp says, "but ain't they all.">

Lardass has got flab, unlike Roger, though.....
and Lloyd Davies is the LARD OF THE DANCE!
http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/lardofthedance.jpg

3083. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 17:24:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E1w4f.2312$4O1.2022@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120859

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
>>>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
>>>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
>>>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
>>>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
>>>>ratings.
>>
>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>>Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>>
>>>That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
>>>if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
>>>from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
>>>that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
>>>by the US government at the time.
>>
>>Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
>
>
> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
> fight with a morse key in each holster.

Are you out of your ever-lovin' mind or is this just another insult fest
from the sidelines of amateur radio?

> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
> there! :-)

That's your idea of fun, is it? All of us who post here are old enough
to have known people involved in WWII radio. Many of us have worked
with those involved.

>>Many became electronics and radio instructors.
>
>
> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?

Were you under the impression that someone invited you to administer a quiz?

> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?

I didn't mention anything about the MIT Radiation Lab. How about doing
a massive cut and paste and rambling on about it for paragraph after
paragraph?

> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
> electronics industry at that time?

I know this may surprise you: It was and is very possible to be a radio
amateur and a professional in communications at the same time. It is
also quite easy to have a working knowledge of radio communications and
to be trained in a professional specialty in short order.

> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
> just working on telephones?

I didn't write anything about Bell Labs. I happen to have known a
number of radio amateurs who worked at Bell Labs over the years. One
who comes to mind is the late Harry Habig K8ANV, inventor of the Delta
Loop antenna.

> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
> last three years of WW2.

I knew a number of those folks too. One manufacturer was Tedford Labs
in Cincinnati. Bob Tedford was a radio amateur.

>
>>Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
>
>
> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?

All hams? I wrote nothing about all hams knowing everything.

> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.

Great, Leonard. Lionel built J-38 manual keys and J-36 bugs. What of
it? Did those name plates really read "Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Company"?

>
>>Many became involved with Civil Defense
>>and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
>
>
> Again, how MANY is "many?"

...far more than a few.

> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
> United States then?

Those CD folks did a good job, huh?

> [exactly one case of a Japanese
> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]

There was an air attack from a Japanese submarine? Wowsers!

> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
> by turning off all non-essential lights.

If you understood that the lights were turned off to conserve
electricity, you didn't get it.

> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
> of military life.

I guess it had to tide you over until you get some real rear area
exploits of your own.

>
>>There is a large amount of
>>documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
>>War. Have you read any of it?
>
>
> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL.

That's one source. As a matter of fact, the League printed excerpts
from a book written by a ham, Lt. Iliff Richardson, who was very active
with the resistance in the Philippines. "An American Guerrilla In The
Philippines" was later made into a movie starring Tyrone Power.

> Too bad they don't
> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...

Why would those stories appear in a magazine which carried the words,
"Devoted Entirely to Amateur Radio"? Are there no other magazines or books?

> ...or even
> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
> and not at all being amateur about what they did.

All very interesting, Leonard. Radio amateurs who served in the war
were not all pounding brass. Why does morse code have to enter
everything you write about amateur radio?

> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
> it?

It is? Nobody is stating that except you.

> Always "telling the truth"...

I've found no deliberate falsehoods inserted into any of the stories of
the WWII items published by the League. Have you?

> ...and omitting nothing, right?

I don't think the ARRL felt bound to publish articles which had no ties
to amateur radio. Do you feel that such stories should have been
published in an amateur radio magazine? Do you know of any deliberate
omissions of material from the stories?
>
> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.

Since I wasn't around until 3 1/2 years after the war ended, it'd be
impossible for me to have participated. How about you? Did you lie
about your age?

> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
> boil down to...as usual]

If you'd like to insert it, by all means, bend over and do so.

> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
> know because he thinks he was THERE or something.

Well, Lennie, why don't you tell us all about it? After all, you have
the same amount of experience at WAR that Jim has.

> Have Dudly
> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!

Well, Foghorn, why don't you tell us all about it? After all, you have the same experience as Steve in fighting in the African campaign of '43 and I'm sure that you know much more about the Marines--because you were in the Army.

> Above all else, stress that anyone who doesn't like/love
> morsemanship of 60 years ago now HATES ALL HAMS and "dishonors"
> them ALL by not parroting the holy ham history output of ARRL
> about World War Two.

I've never written anything about "anyone" who doesn't like morse code. I have written about you.

Dave K8MN

3084. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 17:48:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129484882.879994.152790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120860

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 15, 7:48 am
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> >
> >> > > QUOTE
> >
> >> > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
> >
> >> > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
> >> > hate spam trash.
> >
> >> Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
> >> great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.
> >
> >Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your
> >
> >"Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
> >Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
> >Himself...."
> >
> >posting here. Not!
>
> Dudly the Imposter is still obsessed with HATE of certain
> individuals and can't help himself.

Serving after serving of A$$hole Flambeau. I honestly don't know how
he does it.

> By the way, I'm not nor have I ever been on any IEEE Standards
> Committee. Dudly confuses me with Carl Stevenson who is running
> for Member At Large on an IEEE Standards Committee. My ballot for
> the IEEE Election was mailed early...but I cannot vote on Carl's
> name since I'm not on any Standards Committee.

I just voted for Jim Weaver, Great Lakes Division. We don't
necessarily agree on things, but the man has kept me informed, unlike
so many of his ARRL predecessors.

> Proof of Dudly's HATE is expressed by his contemptuous add-on to
> the ARRL news item headline. He MUST express his HATE at every
> opportunity. That's a very sick syndrome that keeps getting
> repeated in here.

He's probably on a "persons of interest" list in his home state. One
day he's gonna pop and his neighbors won't be saying, "I'm so suprised,
he was such a..." Instead they'll be saying, "I told you he was gonna
pop!"

> >> > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
> >> > had any shame.
> >
> >> And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
> >> pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
> >> about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
> >> known?
> >
> >You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me
> >horribly. I do have a conscience and couldn't keep up the new,
> >insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
> >etc.
>
> Dudly the Ugly flies again.

It's in his nature.

If he had this same attitude and disagreed with Jim, Dave, or Kelly...

> >> We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
> >> about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
> >> but we all know it too well.
> >
> >Somalia is true. You're simply envious.
>
> Tsk. Somalia must not have been one of the "seven hostile
> actions" that Dudly the Imposter claimed to have been "in."

Here's a newsflash: Steve was in no hostile actions.

> His envy is so strong that his epidermal layers must contain
> chlorophyl! :-)

He consumes "Soylent Green."

> >Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.
> >
> >"ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.
> >
> >All true.
>
> "Truth" in Dudly's world is of Orwellian confusion of opposite
> meanings. Dudly's "truth" is in his imagination. Everyone
> else's truth is a "lie" according to Dudly.

You know, I tried to cut the man a break when tragedy visited his household. But he was this way long, long, before that. You'd think that he'd walk away from such a tragedy thinking that life is too short, sometimes far, far too short to be squandering it with such behavio[u]r. I pity the man.

> Dudly seems to live in a world composed entirely of PR news
> squibs, vicariously existing in amateur radio announcements
> by OTHERS. Note that he does not actually participate in
> ANY of the functions' news items he posts. Fantasy world of
> his is constantly on display.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He did say that he went to New Orleans in a rescue roll. I thanked him for his service. Then he $hits on me.

3085. I seem to have forgotten the weekly FAQ, don't panic, here it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 17:48:57 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129484937.573780.64550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120861
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

3086. Re: I seem to have forgotten the weakly FAQ, don't panic, here it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 17:50:52 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1qw4f.17239$R5.1675@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 120862
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1129484937.573780.64550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?

Oh thank you Gareth, we were all getting so worried...

--
73s de Walter R.

3087. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 17:52:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129485159.899435.323880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120863

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
> > > > > > all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
> > > > > > witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
> > > > > > power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
> > > > >
> > > > > So you swept up around the radio shack while the real radio operators
> > > > > did the work, and you want some recoginization for that I suppose.
> > > >
> > > > Len wants what???
> > >
> > > Lennie wants to be mistaken for a "radio operator".
> > >
> > > So far, no luck.
> > >
> > And you want to be mistaken for someone in uniform. You had your
> > chance and blew it.
>
> "Blew it"...?!?!
>
> How's that?

You went and got yourself discharged from the U.S. Marine Corps.

3088. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 17:57:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129485427.579424.194390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120864

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 15 Oct 2005 17:08

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 14, 8:06 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 18:49
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> on Thurs, Oct 13 2005 8:19 am
>> >> >> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >>>Movies and novels, etc often take artistic license with the facts in order
>> >> >> >>>to produce more impact. That is true of both dramas and comedies. So any
>> >> >> >>>one who relies on such items for their history is going to be using a far
>> >> >> >>>amount of misinformation. Even the news media takes artistic license by the
>> >> >> >>>selection of what facts and speculation to report since they are going for
>> >> >> >>>ratings.
>> >
>> >> >> >>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> >> >> >> Dee, excellent, excellent post.
>> >
>> >> >> >> That must be why some in this group constantly quote british comedy as
>> >> >> >> if it were somehow relevant to matters at hand. They get their history
>> >> >> >> from Monty Python. Telling is their admiration for the contribution(s)
>> >> >> >> that the ARS made in WWII. Quitepuzzling, since the ARS was shut down
>> >> >> >> by the US government at the time.

Brian, few have noticed that Dee actually made a nasty towards the
ARRL and their "news" page ["even the news media takes artistic
license by the selection of what facts and speculation to report
since they are going for ratings"]. ARRL pretends to also be a
journalism site but, as usual, in an effort to attract new members,
they sin by omission.

Only one out of five licensed U.S. radio amateurs are members of the
ARRL. [their membership numbers are slowly dropping]

>> >> >> >Many radio amateurs made their war contributions as radio operators.
>> >
>> >> >> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
>> >> >> fight with a morse key in each holster.
>> >
>> >> > Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
>> >> >slither off to on occassion.....

>> >
>> >> "Scumbag?" "...slither?" :-)
>> >
>> >> Well, a three-week trip to a college reunion might look like that
>> >> to one who has only been "licensured" as a high school graduate.
>> >
>> >Can you be sure of that?
>>
>> I can't be sure that he graduated from high school. :-)
>
>Nor can I. He's never posted documentation, and by his own words, "if
>you don't have the documentation it means you didn't do it, i.e., a
>lie."

Dudly probably has one of those military "high school equivalency"
diplomas. :-)

>> >> BTW, "occasion" has only one S in it...
>> >
>> >Mr. Spelling Bee messed up?
>>
>> Yah. He still got into them "thier" typos, though. He gets all
>> flustered when HATING! Maybe the red light streaming from his
>> eyes disturbs his vision? :-)
>
>The devil made him do it.

Next thing you know he will claim to be "Killer," Geraldine's
boyfriend (Flip Wilson show on TV, "Killer" never seen).

>> >> > And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
>> >> >RRAP.
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I didn't write "scumbag" or "slither." :-)
>> >
>> >> ...or "sign off" with the Yiddish pejorative "Putz." :-)
>> >
>> >Welp, I knew Steve wasn't up to the task of turning over the forest of
>> >leaves that it would take for him to act pleasant on RRAP. It just
>> >isn't in his nature.
>>
>> He's fixated by HATE of certain folks, obsessed by it. He'll
>> never change. Tsk.
>
>I predict he will.
>
>When all metabolic function stops and he is standing before St.
>Peter...
>
>"Thier'll" be all kinds of crying and wailing, even grovelling.

I'll give you even odds that, when St. Peter sends him to the
"down" elevator, he will call St. Peter a "Putz" and make all
kinds of nasty to him. :-)

>> >> >> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
>> >> >> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
>> >> >> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
>> >> >> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually
>> >> >> there!
>> >
>> >> > I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
>> >> >room tales you over heard, Lennie...
>> >
>> >> What "tales" have I told, masterradiooperator? :-)
>> >
>> >> Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
>> >> all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
>> >> witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
>> >> power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
>> >
>> >> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf
>> >
>> >> Dudly the Imposter has "ZERO-POINT-ZERO" experience as a
>> >> military radio operator. Zip. Nada. Nyet. None.
>> >
>> >> [unless one counts undocumented, unreferencible "Assistant NCOIC"
>> >> claims at a MARS station...:-)]
>> >
>> >I'm skeptical.
>>
>> Maybe "Assistant NCOICs" in MARS swept out the MARS shack? :-)
>>
>> Wasn't a big job...they couldn't have had more than two tiny
>> transmitters on Oki for MARS. :-)
>
>He probably kept the pencils sharpened, too. And listened in on the
>phone patches so that he advise the wives what "thier" husbands were up
>to on Okinawa.

Whoa! Sounds like a job for Harmon Raab, Jr, and all the staff of
JAG in a movie of the week on TV! This would be the breakthrough
where Harm is shown to be human and loses a case! :-)

>> >> >> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
>> >
>> >> >> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?
>> >
>> >> > According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
>> >> >Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
>> >> >before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
>> >> >during WW2.
>> >

>> >> ...and don't you wish YOU were, mighty warrior? :-)
>> >
>> >Steve envies many things.
>>
>> Does he ever! :-)
>
>A Yiddish perjorative comes to mind.

Several to me...:-)

I'll sing Kaddish early for him.

>> >> Dudly the Imposter says "I told 'lies'" yet cannot prove it.
>> >
>> >> Dudly the Ugly mouths off again.
>> >
>> >I can't classify him as ugly, but pudgy seems to fit that photo he
>> >brandishes on QRZ.
>>
>> His ATTITUDE is UGLY to me. Tsk, tsk.
>
>Yikes! Yes.

What? Just because he insults all those who don't agree with him?

:-)

>> >> >> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?
>> >
>> >> > Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.
>> >
>> >> Tsk. You don't even know what "rad lab" is or stands for or
>> >> where it was located during WW2...
>> >
>> >I'd like to see him suprise you on that one. Probably lots of N2EY,
>> >W3RV, and K4CAP back channel going on right now.
>>
>> To be sure! :-) The "Band of (Backchannel) Brothers!"
>>
>> Soon to be a miniseries on HBO!
>
>Maybe they could get Richard Chamberlain of "The Thornbirds" to star as
>the Rev Jim. Gotta think of a different name, though. Hmmm? "The
>XXXXbirds" or something like that.

Nah. Dudly the Nurse imposter has to be played by Chamberlain (who
once starred in "Dr. Kildare" on TV). Actor Richard Chamberlain
is also gay... :-)

>> >> >> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
>> >> >> electronics industry at that time?
>> >
>> >> > Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of

>> >> >the era were licensees, Lennie?
>> >
>> >> "Licensees" of what, masterradiooperator? :-)
>> >
>> >Motor Vehicle Ops?
>>
>> Yup...also Hunting and Fishing licensees, dog licensees, etc., etc.
>
>Marriage?

Depending on one's point of view, a marriage license is sometimes
referred to as a "hunting license." :-)

>> >> >> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
>> >> >> just working on telephones?
>> >
>> >> > Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?
>> >
>> >> Quote from the ARRL Holy Book, masterradiooperator, and tell us
>> >> EXACTLY how many. You imply you KNOW all. :-)
>> >
>> >> BTW, do you know WHERE "Bell Labs" were in WW2, masterradiooperator?
>> >
>> >More back channel...
>>
>> A veritable Orgy of "backchannel" stuff... :-)
>
>Yikes. Ugly pictures in my head.

Halloween came early this year...

>> >> >> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
>> >> >> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
>> >> >> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
>> >> >> last three years of WW2.
>> >
>> >> > Bet there was a couple there, too...
>> >
>> >> Only a "couple?" :-)
>> >
>> >Probably inductively coupled.
>>
>> ...would have had too much Reluctance for that... :-)
>
>Reluctance, reactance, what's the difference?

Dudly can only react...he is reluctant to do anything original.

>> >> Which of the more than 30 companies involved had licensed
>> >> radio amateurs, Dudly the Ugly?
>> >
>> >> The civilian center for quartz crystal unit production was

>> >> Motorola in Chicago in WW2. Except you said that Motorola
>> >> didn't exist back then, remember? :-)
>> >
>> >Oooh! Ouch!
>>
>> Dudly the Imposter couldn't remember back more than a few months
>> on that, him trying to be the "historical expert" and all... :-)
>
>He is trying...

VERY trying! :-)

>> >> >> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
>> >
>> >> >> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
>> >> >> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
>> >> >> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?
>> >
>> >> > So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
>> >> >Lennie?
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Ugly has very little reading
>> >> comprehension. I don't know who the "we" are, but what you
>> >> say I wrote isn't what I wrote.
>> >
>> >> "Electronics venue?" What are you talking about? "Venue?"
>> >
>> >Next to the bratwurst venue on radio row?
>>
>> Dudly tries to use fancy words to impress folks. He doesn't
>> always connect and that makes a bigger impression on others! :-)
>
>I have a huge impression of Steve.

You were able to get plaster of paris in industrial quantities?

>> >> >> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
>> >> >> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
>> >> >> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
>> >> >> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.
>> >
>> >> > OK.
>> >
>> >> > Your point?
>> >
>> >> Tsk, good opportunity for Dudly the Ugly to say that "vacuum
>> >> cleaners 'suck'!" [missed your chance, Dud]
>> >
>> >Probably manage to get "Putz" in there.
>>
>> The Lewyt hose isn't small enough for Dud's putz... :-)

>>
>> Actually, I think Lewyt went out of business or was bought
>> by others. Name was prominent back in the 40s and 50s.
>
>Never heard of them. My Grannies old cast metal Electrolux with
>high-beams was enough for me.

Ach, you kids...don't appreciate the first, the old, the
traditional! [I recall unpowered "carpet sweepers" :-)]

>> >> >> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
>> >> >> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
>> >
>> >> >> Again, how MANY is "many?"
>> >
>> >> > More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk. Three of us living on Lanark Street are licensed
>> >> by the FCC in COMMERCIAL radio service. But, "Lanark Street"
>> >> didn't exist before 1950 so that doesn't fit with Dudly the Ugly's
>> >> personal hatred of certain folks in here...:-)
>> >
>> >Wonder what commercial "licensure" Steve has?
>>
>> Hate-mongers First Class? :-)
>
>And he squandered all that good will from claiming to have volunteered
>in Katrina.

More likely he tried to make out with a Katrina and his wife
caught him at it... :-)

>> >> >> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
>> >> >> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
>> >> >> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
>> >> >> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]
>> >
>> >> > Why?
>> >
>> >> > What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud, you'd best get with Buzziebaby on those
>> >> "air attacks." He say over 200 Japanese balloons "attacked"
>> >> the US of A in WW2. Were there NO "balloon spotters" manned
>> >> by "licensured" radio amateurs back then? :-)
>> >
>> >Theodolite tracked balloon bombs?
>>
>> Hardly. :-) Those balloon "bombs" didn't cause much damage.
>
>Today the JA's would be fined for putting refuse into the environment.

...or traveling to Guam to take U.S. exams for ham licenses.

>> >> >> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
>> >> >> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
>> >> >> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
>> >> >> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
>> >> >> by turning off all non-essential lights.
>> >
>> >> > So?
>> >
>> >> > Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
>> >> >having performed some service in WW2.
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk, I wonder what Dudly the Ugly had to do with
>> >> WW2 considering he didn't exist until a decade AFTER WW2
>> >> ended. :-)
>> >
>> >Welp, he knows that Jim said...
>>
>> Whut Jimmie say? Huh? :-)
>
>Jim knows all about WWII.

He knows everything about anything...and anyone correcting him is
"FULL OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS" and never forgiven by him.

>> >> >> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
>> >> >> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
>> >> >> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
>> >> >> of military life.
>> >
>> >> > Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
>> >> >civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".
>> >
>> >> Tell us all about it, old-timer. You were THERE, weren't you? :-)
>> >
>> >> Fly your "E for Effort" flag proudly, masterradiooperator. :-)
>> >
>> >> [anyone alive during WW2 could see the "E flags"]
>> >
>> >Some folks will do anything to hang on to another's contributions...
>>
>> Dudly the Imposter is doing his damndest to do just that...
>
>Yep. He saw a guy on a MARS radio, and now he's "A" NCOIC of Okinawa
>MARS.

It might be time to do some rewriting of Gilbert & Sullivan...

"He polished up his lie so care-fully,
"that now he is an expert in his per-fidy."

[excuse me a minute while I file that with ASCAP]

>> >> >> >There is a large amount of
>> >> >> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
>> >> >> >War. Have you read any of it?
>> >
>> >> >> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
>> >> >> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)
>> >
>> >> > Why should they?
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you never saw any "war surplus radio" stuff?
>> >
>> >> Old-timer, you've lead a sheltered life.
>> >
>> >> The radio-electronics INDUSTRY of the USA built all those "surplus
>> >> radios" from WW2. Those were NOT built FOR radio amateurs.
>> >
>> >They weren't made expressly for radio row?
>>
>> Nah. Except, of course, if you ALSO happened to buy a surplus
>> B-17 or B-24, you COULD stock it up with surplus Command Sets
>> and Liason Sets, surplus mikes, headphones, code keys, etc. :-)
>
>I want a jeep with a 12V electrical system.

The 24 Volt ignition system Jeeps are only available if you've
filed 26 Patents! :-)

>> >> > How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?
>> >
>> >> Over a dozen that I've seen...but the IEEE, a PROFESSIONAL
>> >> ASSOCIATION publishes not only SPECTRUM (the membership magazine
>> >> I get free each month), but the PROCEEDINGS (technical features
>> >> on both electric power and general electronic subjects) plus over
>> >> two dozen TRANSACTIONS (from special interest groups) each month.
>> >> Not only that, they publish (generally printed by Wiley) books
>> >> and biographies and historical texts on electric and electronic
>> >> subjects. Go to their website and check out what's available.
>> >
>> >That would require effort.
>>
>> Way too much effort for the masterradiooperator. :-)
>
>Effort is to be expended only by the unworthy.

Dudly the Imposter needs only to post something in here for his
"documentation." That makes it "truth." :-)

>> >> Tsk, Dudly the Ugly has to understand that "radio" is only one
>> >> small subset of the entirety of ELECTRONICS in the world. To
>> >> the vast majority of IEEE members, "amateur radio" is just a
>> >> hobby for personal recreation and enjoyment. The world of
>> >> electronics hasn't looked to amateurism to supply new insights

>> >> and principles for well over a half century...worldwide.
>> >
>> >They're oiling up the Wrenchy Stitch for such blasphemy.
>>
>> I know...their Wouff Hong got broke... :-)
>
>Yep. It's at the ARRL Lab getting a tune up.

...procedure soon to be a "how to do it" article in QEX?

>> >> >> (UNSNIP)...or even
>> >> >> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
>> >> >> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
>> >> >> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
>> >> >> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
>> >> >> and not at all being amateur about what they did.
>> >
>> >> > Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone
>> >> >else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, Dud, listen to Davie the Heil...he "worked with NASA"
>> >> and did "real radio operating" while in uniform. You have
>> >> exactly "zero-point-zero" experience in military communications.
>> >
>> >It would appear that way. Steve is free to correct the record at any
>> >time.
>>
>> Too late for that. Dudly got waaayyyyyy too deep in his own LIES
>> to "get out of it" now.
>
>Which is why he constantly says he cannot discuss his military record,
>military radios he's used, or anything else that will confirm him as a
>liar.

That's why I refer to him as "Dudly the Imposter," a slight change
on writer Ernest K. Gann's description in his book.

Dudly may be a failed Santa Claus. He is always trying to foist
off a bunch of snow-jobs on us.

>> >> >> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
>> >> >> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?
>> >
>> >> > Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.
>> >
>> >> Riiiiight...and the ARRL is "universally loved" by all "licensured"
>> >> radio amateurs! :-)
>> >
>> >> ARRL *always* "tells the truth" and never omits mention of
>> >> hated professionals, right? :-)
>> >
>> >> ARRL *never* "sins by omission" do they? :-)

>> >
>> >Such as omitting Carl from the ballot...
>>
>> Tsk. ARRL "explained it all" to the unwashed masses.
>
>I'm not buying any.

Really? I thought the ARRL "never lied" about anything...

>> >> > Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
>> >> >deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
>> >> >perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...
>> >
>> >> Poor baby, being delusional again? :-)
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to get rid of the amateur radio morse
>> >> code test in FCC regulations is NOT "hating the ARRL or 'all'
>> >> radio amateurs."
>> >
>> >Ahem... (there's a large subset of amateurs that believe so)
>>
>> Yes, that's true. Acolytes of the Church of St. Hiram would
>> NEVER accept the elimination of the ham license code test.
>
>I invite them to write "Cancelled" across "thier" licenses in permanent
>ink, and mail them to Riley.

"Take their ball and go home?" Tsk, tsk.

>> >> >> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
>> >> >> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.
>> >
>> >> > Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
>> >> >story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
>> >> >ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....
>> >
>> >> So, old-timer, WHICH military radio station did YOU work at
>> >> "during the war?" :-)
>> >
>> >Probably too busy with those "seven hostile actions" to notice the
>> >callsign or routing indicators.
>>
>> Dudly the Imposter wouldn't know a routing indicator from
>> a rotating light, let alone know which military comm station
>> he was at... :-)
>
>He can't even remember where his seven hostile actions were.

That might be explained by his encounters with hostility
wherever he went...

>> >> ...and WHICH "war" was it? You've never detailed that, Dudly
>> >> the Imposter. You've made only inferences, nothing detailed.
>> >
>> >It's all hush hush, on the QT, need to know basis.
>> >
>> >He'd have to kill you if found out.
>>
>> I'd prolly laugh myself to death if I DID find out! :-)
>
>Sand in his eye...

Eyewash needed? :-)

>> >> >> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
>> >> >> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
>> >> >> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse
>> >> >> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
>> >> >> boil down to...as usual]
>> >
>> >> > Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
>> >> >about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
>> >> >paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.
>> >
>> >> "their," sweetums...watch those typos when you get heated. :-)
>> >
>> >"Anderson" "thier"
>>
>> Dud managed one of his old, favorite typos earlier..."amatuer"
>
>And he's such a Miss Spelling Manners where the old friend is
>concerned.

Dudly likes to ATTACK those he perceives as "weak." Problem is,
his perception is shot to heck. :-)

>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've not said that "all amateurs" "know nothing."
>> >
>> >> I've said that CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL AMATEURS are IGNORANT of
>> >> anything but being able to read (word-for-word) every ad in QST
>> >> to get their "radio smarts." That's (unfortunately) true.
>> >
>> >> That YOU are an UNDERACHIEVER is YOUR label for YOURSELF.
>> >
>> >> That YOU are HATING of anyone who has gathered experience
>> >> in radio operating anywhere but as a "licensured" amateur
>> >> is YOUR problem.
>> >
>> >His problems number so many as to be insurmountable.
>>
>> His problems are incegrievious (according to Gary Owens).
>
>Gary Owens knew Steve?

Apologies for interjecting an unfamiliar name. Gary Owens was
the "announcer" on the "Laugh-In" TV hour (the guy reading copy
with one hand cupping his ear)...and was also a popular radio BC
DJ in southern California. He invented the word "incegrevious."
:-)

>> >> >> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
>> >> >> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
>> >> >> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
>> >> >> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
>> >> >> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in
>> >> >> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!
>> >
>> >> > Funny...
>> >
>> >> > All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie once mentioned some old-timer's article
>> >> about WAR (Washington Army Radio) from pre-WW2 times and tried
>> >> to discredit the FACT that WAR was running TTY, not morse code,
>> >> during and after WW2. Washington Army Radio had the TTY
>> >> four-letter ID of "RUEP" in ACAN...ADA has "RUAP." [you need
>> >> more of the ACAN IDs, old-timer? I got them up to 1962]
>> >
>> >Always enjoy WAR stories from "them that didn't."
>>
>> I'd be satisfied with finding out what color the halls of
>> Montezuma were painted...beige? Green? Grey? :-)
>
>He wouldn't know.

...but he is liable to "explain" the color to us in a near-future
posting! :-)

>> >> Long ago in here some anony-mouse "reserve colonel" had a "son
>> >> in the [first] Gulf War" that was using "CW behind enemy lines
>> >> to send intel back to Hq." [his son would 'never' lie to him
>> >> he wrote] Anony-mouse slunk away when confronted with modern
>> >> land-forces radio equipment nomenclature and procedure, his last
>> >> posting saying he might have been mistaken. :-)
>> >
>> >Ouch.
>>
>> That was a wonderful fairy tale of the "reserve colonel's." It
>> could have snowed many a younger ham. Tsk, was only a fabrication.
>
>But he told his story with such conviction.

He was "convicted" by his lack of believability. Never been back.

>> >> [Dudly the Imposter has NEVER mentioned one single nomenclature
>> >> or familiar name of any military radio communication equipment
>> >> (other than a couple MARS radios) yet claimes to "know" about

>> >> military communications]
>> >
>> >Is the intercom on a helicopter considered "radio?"
>>
>> Only if Dudly hooked up his morse key to it... :-)
>
>I can see him scraping together two bare copper wires and the rest of
>the crew threatening to throw him out the door.

...the door of the Infirmary? :-)

>> >> HF radio was ONCE a mainstay of international radio communications
>> >> but that reverted to a backup system some time in the 1970s with
>> >> the coming of more modern methods of reaching around the globe.
>> >> That's the REAL HISTORY of radio communications to the REST of
>> >> the radio world.
>> >
>> >> Frankly, Dud, you know dink about radio communications other than
>> >> what you read about in ARRL pages. You've got a BIG problem of
>> >> sociopathy in newsgroups, always personally insulting anyone
>> >> who disagrees with you. Take your standard "sign off" as an
>> >> example:
>> >
>> >> > Putz.
>> >
>> >> Dudly the Ugly tries to strike again...and fails. Oy, gevalt!
>> >
>> >> Acting Ugly with all who disagree with you is NOT good PR for
>> >> U.S. amateur radio...and you SO identify yourself with ALL of
>> >> amateur radio that the slightest negative against YOU personally
>> >> gets translated to some kind of "insult to all amateurs!"
>> >
>> >> It doesn't work that way.
>> >
>> >He tries so hard to make it so.
>>
>> Problem is, he fails to LEARN from his mistakes. Must be genetic.
>
>He simply fails. Look at his unhappiness...

Yah...nobody worships him or his "accomplishments," the world is
against him for not recognizing his warrior greatness and heroic
deeds. Much unhappiness...and deep resentment and envy of others.

>> >How was the reunion?
>>
>> Very nice. Family-friend reunion after that. Good folks all.
>> Put about 5000 miles on the 2005 Malibu MAXX odometer and saw
>> lots of nice country, the new Lincoln Museum in Springfield, IL,
>> dropped some quarters in a NV slot machine, won more than what
>> we put in. Cell phone worked fine from inside the car all along

>> I-15, I-80, I-5. No use for a CB or any other radio except BC.
>> Next year we have another reunion. :-)
>
>My dad goes to a military reunion every year. It has been in New
>Orleans for about the last 3 years. Probably time for a change of
>Venue!

Probably so! The Big Easy needs some time to come up out of
the water (I still can't believe a U.S. city was allowed to
expand into areas below sea level!).

Of course, if your dad had been a submariner, right now it might
be an ideal spot for a veteran's reunion site...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3089. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:02:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129485736.515059.222960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120865

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Amateur radio isn't magical.
>
> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> Radio.

Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part of a month dissing
belief systems and then you come up with this gem. Hi! You guys are
too funny.

Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on
> the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to
> believe in that powerful, intangible force.

I think in the USN it was taught as "PFM."

> All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another. Some
> night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning aimlessly, not
> expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be coming through at
> that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a ghost,

Prolly scared the bejeesus out of little Billy Beeper.

there will be a faint
> call from another stalwart explorer thousands of miles distant. You pounce,
> establish contact and both of you marvel at the fact that the conversation is
> taking place at all. A few minutes later, however, the signal vanishes as
> quickly as it came. Your rational mind will shrug it off as a quirk of
> propagation, but that little tingle in your gut will tell you otherwise.

Isn't that how you guys say that God was invented?

> If you could travel back in time to, say 500 years to 1505 AD, you'd probably be
> burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human beings could
> communicate with each other over great distances without a physical connection.
> No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in magic -- and they'd be right!

Hi! Let me scratch a pentagram on the cover of my transceiver.

> As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us have
> become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as commonplace. We
> hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon the elemental forces of
> the universe. But every so often we need to pause and remind ourselves of what
> we are really doing. We need to remember the essence of what drew us to our
> unusual avocation in the first place: the wonderful magic of wireless

> communications.
>
> (With thanks to WB8IMY)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King Of Old
> Could Do Thus?"
> --AC6V

"Hans the Magi!" Who would've thought it?

I can't wait to read what "Jesus was a cool dude" Mike posted below.

3090. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:05:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129485947.317343.106580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120866

Mike Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >>Amateur radio isn't magical.
> >
> >
> > I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> > Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on
> > the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to
> > believe in that powerful, intangible force.
>
> <rest of an awesome post snipped>
>
> Excellent, Hans, simply excellent!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Un-friggin-beleivable!

After spending the better part of a month dissing religion, you become
a witch.

Hi!

3091. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:09:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129486155.672595.98050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120867

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >> Amateur radio isn't magical.
> >
> > I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
> > Amateur Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or
> > metaphysics on the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart
> > you will come to believe in that powerful, intangible force.
> >
> > All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another.
> > Some night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning
> > aimlessly, not expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be
> > coming through at that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a
> > ghost, there will be a faint call from another stalwart explorer thousands
> > of miles distant. You pounce, establish contact and both of you marvel at
> > the fact that the conversation is taking place at all. A few minutes
> > later, however, the signal vanishes as quickly as it came. Your rational
> > mind will shrug it off as a quirk of propagation, but that little tingle
> > in your gut will tell you otherwise.
> >
> > If you could travel back in time to, say 500 years to 1505 AD, you'd
> > probably be burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human
> > beings could communicate with each other over great distances without a
> > physical connection. No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in
> > magic -- and they'd be right!
> >
> > As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us
> > have become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as
> > commonplace. We hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon
> > the elemental forces of the universe. But every so often we need to pause
> > and remind ourselves of what we are really doing. We need to remember the
> > essence of what drew us to our unusual avocation in the first place: the
> > wonderful magic of wireless communications.
> >
> > (With thanks to WB8IMY)
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King
> > Of Old Could Do Thus?"

> > --AC6V
>
>
> Yes it is the "magic" of wireless that is endlessly fascinating. The part
> about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

"sommon"

Be sure to light a black candle for me.

3092. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:12:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129486349.322345.81830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120868

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> >
> >> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
> >
> >
> > True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
> > the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
> > code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
> >
>
> But ham radio often gets the job done when others can't.

Can't?

Try "Not Authorized."

3093. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:18:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129486691.441066.303360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120869

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 14, 8:16 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Leo on Oct 14, 2:45 pm
> >
> >> >It would have been a far more productive thing to do for the hobby
> >> >that to attempt to ignite yet another flame war here......again......
> >
> >> No, that is entirely "predictable" on Miccolis' part. :-)
> >
> >Careful, now. YOU are not permitted to profile on RRAP. YOU hold no
> >amateur radio license. YOUR knowledge of such things is imperfect and
> >suspect.
>
> To borrow from Flip Wilson, "da devil made me do it!" :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Loved that guy. Never got into the Monty Python stuff so often quoted
here.

3094. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:24:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129487061.813499.11630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120870

K4YZ wrote:

> Lennie said, in another thread:

What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other thread?

Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?

Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?

Sheesh!

Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon the elemental forces of the universe?"

Are they Pagans?

3095. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:34:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MLOdnSdFNZePAs_eRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 120872

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129486155.672595.98050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Cli4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >

[snip]

>The part
> about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

"sommon"

Be sure to light a black candle for me.

And why are you asking me to misspell "summon"? None of the dictionaries
that I have on hand show any entry for "sommon".

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3096. Re: I seem to have forgotten the weekly FAQ, don't panic, here it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:39:11 UTC
From: "Mr. E" <E@somewhere.invalid>
Message-ID: <diu6oissc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120871
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So far in the last 7 days you have posted this three times.
13 October 2005 18:15:03
15 October 2005 13:16:55
16 October 2005 18:48:57
You appear to have short term memory loss.

Also, please answer my earlier question - exactly who is asking this supposedly frequently asked question that makes you post your diatribe? The voices in your head do NOT count, and I have not seen anybody else ask what ham radio is.

You really are a pathetic little man.

3097. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:48:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <1gx4f.17184$q1.2880@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120873

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1129410815.933931.185680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Like a theologian, Glenn Baxter cites chapter and verse of the U.S.
> Constitution. Trouble is, he hasn't the least idea how it works.
> Despite his strident claims of being denied due process, nothing of the
> kind has occurred. In fact, he's received more due process than any
> ten hams: If we did a fraction of what he's done in the face of FCC
> citations, we'd have gotten the boot long ago.
>
> His nonsensical invocation of the Constitution has neither rhyme nor
> reason. He would urge upon us an interpretation that does not take
> into account the huge body of case law coming down from the federal
> courts, which interpret the slim provisions of our great instrument.
>
> A quick analogy spotlights the point: In 1868 the Fourteenth Amendment
> was ratified giving all citizens of these United States due process and
> equal protection of law. For African-Americans at the start of the
> 20th Century, however, equal protection meant separate but equal, only
> to change midway with Brown vs. Board of Education to be truly equal.
> This, of course, gave rise to affirmative action and busing. So, for
> the real deal on our Constitution, we must look to the courts, a
> direction to which Baxter appears blind.
>
>>From reading him, you'd think that we not only should dispense with the
> FCC's interpretation of Part 97 but the Supreme Court's intrepretation
> of agency power as well.
>
> The FCC does indeed have the power of taking draconian measures to
> enforce. And this includes withholding license renewal pending future
> hearing while requiring the licensee to stay off the air in the
> meantime. If an agency didn't have such power, it stands to reason
> that we'd be subjected to anarchy of the airwaves, the very thing the
> FCC is empowered to prevent.
>
> Must the FCC take a ham off the air before his administrative hearing?
> No. Case in point: Herb Schoenbohm, KV4FZ. Even after he was
> convicted on three felony counts (2 of which were later reversed with
> one remaining that should have been reversed, in this commentators
> view), the FCC allowed him to operate. He was on the air all the way
> up to the adverse decision in his administrative case -- at which time
> the FCC granted him a grace period before he was removed. (He's since
> gotten his license back.)
>
> Riley Hollingsworth is uniquely suited for his enforcement role with

> the Commission because he tempers enforcement with humanism. He sees
> his job as one in which, by gaining the cooperation of the regulated,
> he obtains volutary compliance. There are many hams, including this
> writer, who have been regulated thusly by Riley and who stand with him.
> A large slice of the discontented do not realize how much this one
> regulator has accomplished without incurring the back breaking expense
> of litigation.
>
> His domain is one area of the U.S. government that works with efficient
> precision, fixing an astonishing array problems with few resources.
>
> Contrary to popular belief, the FCC, loath to judge First Amendment
> subject-matter, doesn't object to information bulletins per se. In
> reasonably formal language, the agency has equated Baxter's to ARRL
> bulletins. There are, however, aspects of his operation that
> Hollingsworth has questioned. In response, Baxter, expressly spoiling
> for a fight, replaces the FCC's interpretation of Part 97 with his own,
> owning a unique position in the panthion of justice of advocate,
> regulator,agency, judge, court and jury.
>
> Whatever arguable equities he brings to his cause, like replicating
> ARRL's practice of starting on a published schedule, he cannot flout
> FCC authority and expect to stay on the air until his administrative
> hearing. And when that takes place, there is nothing that vitiates his
> declaration that he will continue operating without FCC authority.
>
> This declaration is utterly at cross purposes with staying on the air,
> because no government agency can bend to express non-compliance to such
> important action and hope to be an effective regulator. Not only that,
> but how can an express law breaker incapable of regulation claim to be
> rehabilitated later? (Perhaps a mental defense.) Likely he has sealed
> his fate forever as not possessing the integrity to be a ham.
>
> During KV4FZ's long odyssey, he conducted himself with respect toward
> the Commission, and it reacted favorably by affording him lattitude.
> Because K1MAN is conducting himself in opposition, he is and will be
> treated accordingly.
>
> There's an appropriate, hackneyed expression: You can win more friends
> with honey than vinegar! Aging fast, Baxter's shelf life is short.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

Very well put Bob, thank you for bringing this up. Especially now on the day before his license expires.

One thing tho....how can you consider the FCC fair when they have REMOVED a licensee from the data base, WITHOUT any NAL, Fines, etc. Just removed him because of a E-MAIL ?????

Care to elaborate on the case of WB2OTK ??

Dan/W4NTI

3098. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 18:59:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <oqx4f.17185$q1.6496@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120874

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129423759.672728.260350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > It is a fun hobby, an activity done for
>> > personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation
>> > due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be
>> > MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
>> > daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>
>>
>> And often it is MUCH MORE.
>
> Yep. Often it is higher class hams dissing lower class hams.
>
>> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
>
> True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
> the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
> code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
>

So the "lower class" doesn't "diss" those with a higher class license?
Personally I think that must stop, from BOTH ends of the license structure.

And your right, Ham nor Morse is not magical, mystical, nor mythical. But
Morse DOES WORK and so does Amateur Radio Emergency Communications. End of
Discussion.

Dan/W4NTI

3099. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:05:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129489500.281698.146580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120875

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 16, 10:48 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 15, 7:48 am
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:

>> >> > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
>
>> >> > > QUOTE
>
>> >> > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
>
>> >> > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
>> >> > hate spam trash.
>
>> >> Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
>> >> great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.
>
>> >Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your
>
>> >"Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
>> >Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
>> >Himself...."
>
>> >posting here. Not!
>
>> Dudly the Imposter is still obsessed with HATE of certain
>> individuals and can't help himself.
>
>Serving after serving of A$$hole Flambeau. I honestly don't know how
>he does it.

He's just nuts.

His rationalization must be that, if he keeps on repeating his
lies long enough, often enough, some will believe him.

The ARRL Bulletin did NOT mention my name. I'm not active on
any IEEE Standards Committees. Yet Dudly the Ugly HAD to "add"
my name to the Bulletin headline in his "quote" in here.

He is just obsessed with his HATRED and can't stop.

>> By the way, I'm not nor have I ever been on any IEEE Standards
>> Committee. Dudly confuses me with Carl Stevenson who is running
>> for Member At Large on an IEEE Standards Committee. My ballot for
>> the IEEE Election was mailed early...but I cannot vote on Carl's
>> name since I'm not on any Standards Committee.
>
>I just voted for Jim Weaver, Great Lakes Division. We don't
>necessarily agree on things, but the man has kept me informed, unlike
>so many of his ARRL predecessors.

That's the way TRUE representatives should be.

>> Proof of Dudly's HATE is expressed by his contemptuous add-on to
>> the ARRL news item headline. He MUST express his HATE at every
>> opportunity. That's a very sick syndrome that keeps getting
>> repeated in here.
>
>He's probably on a "persons of interest" list in his home state.

I disagree. It might be on the walls of U.S. Post Offices, though.

>One
>day he's gonna pop and his neighbors won't be saying, "I'm so suprised,
>he was such a..." Instead they'll be saying, "I told you he was gonna
>pop!"

That's highly probable...

>> >> > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
>> >> > had any shame.
>
>> >> And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
>> >> pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
>> >> about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
>> >> known?
>
>> >You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me
>> >horribly. I do have a conscience and couldn't keep up the new,
>> >insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
>> >etc.
>
>> Dudly the Ugly flies again.
>
>It's in his nature.
>
>If he had this same attitude and disagreed with Jim, Dave, or Kelly...

All the PCTAs must stick together?

>> >> We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
>> >> about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
>> >> but we all know it too well.
>
>> >Somalia is true. You're simply envious.

>
>> Tsk. Somalia must not have been one of the "seven hostile
>> actions" that Dudly the Imposter claimed to have been "in."
>
>Here's a newsflash: Steve was in no hostile actions.

I dunno...the two words "hostile actions" can apply to most
anything involving fighting...from wars to barroom brawls. :-)

>> His envy is so strong that his epidermal layers must contain
>> chlorophyl! :-)
>
>He consumes "Soylent Green."

Heh...but he'll never look like Charlton Heston... :-)

>> >Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.
>
>> >"ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.
>
>> >All true.
>
>> "Truth" in Dudly's world is of Orwellian confusion of opposite
>> meanings. Dudly's "truth" is in his imagination. Everyone
>> else's truth is a "lie" according to Dudly.
>
>You know, I tried to cut the man a break when tragedy visited his
>household. But he was this way long, long, before that. You'd think
>that he'd walk away from such a tragedy thinking that life is too
>short, sometimes far, far too short to be squandering it with such
>behavio[u]r. I pity the man.

His mindset seems to be centered around the close tie-in between
his ego and his imagination. If he IMAGINES he did something,
then he "did it" and that is all the "documentation" he needs.
When others don't believe this "documentation" his EGO takes over
and he generates intense HATRED against his doubters. An example
of that is his new thread where he is claiming that I am really
"Dudley" (the Gann imposter). He is trying to "re-fight" old
arguments (over and over and over again), perhaps hoping to show
that he was "really the victor and not the spoil."

I've seen a few variations on this mixed-up mindset on BBSs
before the Internet went public 14 years ago. Those all lasted
only a brief time before they were simply kicked off the BBSs
by sysops. In here it will take a miracle to get rid of anyone,
this newsgroup being unmoderated. The bullies of the group will
keep on trying to force off those who "disturb them" any which
way they can.

>> Dudly seems to live in a world composed entirely of PR news
>> squibs, vicariously existing in amateur radio announcements

>> by OTHERS. Note that he does not actually participate in
>> ANY of the functions' news items he posts. Fantasy world of
>> his is constantly on display.
>
>He did say that he went to New Orleans in a rescue roll. I thanked him
>for his service. Then he $hits on me.

Whoa. WE don't have any sort of PROOF that he was actually ON
this "rescue roll." His posting was well after Katrina had
hit the Gulf states and he could have been copying news story
descriptions to flesh out his claims. Dudly (Robeson) seems
to live vicariously on the accomplishments of others who have
done noteworthy things. He establishes an imaginary identity
with them so strong that he may actually believe he did those
things himself. [that's the sick part] He desperately wants
to be noteworthy himself but, so far, all he can do is this:

Dudly (Robeson) will $hit on ANYONE disagreeing with him, try to
fight (with words) with them on disagreements, always with the
aim of putting HIM in the limelight. That's archived in Google
all the way back to when DejaNews was archiving newsgroups. He
wants ATTENTION to himself...by any which way he can, usually
by hurling personal insults at his disagreers. Notice all the
postings that center around Dudly's (Robeson's) personal
disputes with others. He becomes a sick "center of attention."

So far, Dudly's (Robeson's) "discussion" has very little to do
with Ed Hare or BPL RFI. He has not said he attended the news
bulletin meeting and does not discuss BPL subjects at all. His
main purpose was to hurl yet another personal insult towards
me. Typical. Fits right in with his main posting purpose of
making much over his personal squabbles with others. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3100. Re: I seem to have forgotten the weekly FAQ, don't panic, here it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:06:13 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <diu8b5rvv1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 120876
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mr. E" <E@somewhere.invalid> wrote in message
news:diu6oissc0@pita.alt.net...
> So far in the last 7 days you have posted this three times.
> 13 October 2005 18:15:03
> 15 October 2005 13:16:55
> 16 October 2005 18:48:57
> You appear to have short term memory loss.
>
> Also, please answer my earlier question - exactly who is asking this
> supposedly frequently asked question that makes you post your diatribe?
> The voices in your head do NOT count, and I have not seen anybody else ask
> what ham radio is.
>
> You really are a pathetic little man.
>

Coast is clear he'll have falling into a stupor by now.

--
73deG1LVN
Join t eh Campaign now for MB7IHW!!
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk/unattended/

3101. Re: It's 1: 58 AM and drunk and always alone Lardass is whining about Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:12:22 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, Bitch?" <jawnn_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129489942.022519.273790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

According to court records Woger, you were the one who weighs in at 290 and stands only 5'6. Back in 1999 when you were convicted for mommy beating and sent to the nut house.

Get a clue, loser.
==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d005...@mail.futureworlds.it>

"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" -- AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2...@posting.google.com>

"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id <737589ee.0405220528.371d3...@posting.google.com>

"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet
Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf5...@melontraffickers.com>

In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497...@userbeam.net>, Secwet Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim: "If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and 'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

3102. Re: AB8MQ has Abs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:36:04 UTC
From: Michael E Elcsisin <KC2FTN@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <vpa5l15t27r0g0s0v74ftsueql1atcsdg7@4ax.com>
Source record: 120878
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"My Photos" <RogerSuperman@Glendale.up> wrote:
> For you guys who think I am a white, flabby, fifty-something, wimpy
> oldster, check out my photos.
> I got abs, dude!
> And as you can see, I ain't no Jew, either.
> Tell Lloyd Roger sent you....
>
> Bet Toddie would like to be built like me.
>
> http://ww3.voyeurweb.com/main/Prg40b/ps20050923-155715/index.html
>
>

http://tinyurl.com/8omlf

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3103. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:47:02 UTC
From: not_k1man
Message-ID: <W6y4f.148038$qY1.100885@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

BTW,
most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !

bye

ARRL SUCKS wrote:

> ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
>
> Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
>
> ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3104. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:47:19 UTC
From: not_k1man
Message-ID: <b7y4f.148039$qY1.43679@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

BTW,
most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !

bye

ARRL SUCKS wrote:

> ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
>
> Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
>
> ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3105. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:47:57 UTC
From: not_k1man
Message-ID: <N7y4f.148041$qY1.81427@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

BTW,
most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !

ARRL SUCKS wrote:

> ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
>
> Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
>
> ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3106. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:48:10 UTC
From: not_k1man
Message-ID: <_7y4f.148043$qY1.106768@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

BTW,
most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !

ARRL SUCKS wrote:

> ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
>
> Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
>
> ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3107. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:48:37 UTC
From: notk1man
Message-ID: <p8y4f.148046$qY1.40691@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

BTW,
most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !

ARRL SUCKS wrote:

> ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
>
> Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
>
> ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3108. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 19:49:17 UTC
From: notk1man
Message-ID: <4352AEBB.2B784274@injail.com>
Source record: 120884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

BTW,
most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !

ARRL SUCKS wrote:

> ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
>
> Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
>
> ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3109. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 20:06:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129493210.839500.240680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120885

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 16, 11:02 am

>K0HB wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> > Amateur radio isn't magical.

>> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
>> Radio.
>
>Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part of a month dissing
>belief systems and then you come up with this gem. Hi! You guys are
>too funny.

"Magic" is for simple minds or for those all tied up in emotions
and cannot comprehend reality beyond human senses.

>> Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on
>> the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to
>> believe in that powerful, intangible force.
>
>I think in the USN it was taught as "PFM."

Pulse-Forming Network? :-) [hardly...]

Hans may have leaned a bit far over a railing and come too close
to the beam of 1 MW search radar in the past...a few synapses
might have been cooked past Well Done stage...

>> All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another. Some
>> night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning aimlessly, not
>> expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be coming through at
>> that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a ghost,
>
>Prolly scared the bejeesus out of little Billy Beeper.

Not to worry, Captain Code was there to save him... :-)

>> there will be a faint
>> call from another stalwart explorer thousands of miles distant. You pounce,
>> establish contact and both of you marvel at the fact that the conversation is
>> taking place at all. A few minutes later, however, the signal vanishes as
>> quickly as it came. Your rational mind will shrug it off as a quirk of
>> propagation, but that little tingle in your gut will tell you otherwise.
>
>Isn't that how you guys say that God was invented?

A couple of chewed Tums will take care of that little tingle
in the gut.

[next thing you know, these Believers will be saying that
James Clerk Maxwell was a Wizard dabbling in Black Magic...]

>> If you could travel back in time to, say 500 years to 1505 AD, you'd probably be
>> burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human beings could
>> communicate with each other over great distances without a physical connection.
>> No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in magic -- and they'd be right!
>
>Hi! Let me scratch a pentagram on the cover of my transceiver.

I'll set the geas so's you won't be disturbed.

[these guys have read too many Harry Potter books...]

However, in 1505 AD, suggesting that an IRON SHIP will FLOAT
would have gotten much the same response. :-) Adding the
fact that a nuclear powered aircraft carrier could do 40+ Knots
AND carry aeroplanes that FLY at high speed would have made
for a jolly large bonfire! Magic? :-)

>> As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us have
>> become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as commonplace. We
>> hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon the elemental forces of
>> the universe. But every so often we need to pause and remind ourselves of what
>> we are really doing. We need to remember the essence of what drew us to our
>> unusual avocation in the first place: the wonderful magic of wireless
>> communications.
>
>> (With thanks to WB8IMY)

I dunno...wanting to control a free-flight model airplane by radio
got me interested in "radio." The United States Army Signal Corps
pretty well affirmed that by assigning me to a large Army radio
station communicating all over the Pacific ocean. As far as I've
been able to find out, the Signal Corps NEVER used any crystal balls
to communicate long distances...only 1 KW to 40 KW transmitters.

These Believers in Amateur Magic must have crystal balls...

[the late Poul Anderson (no relation) wrote a funny story about an
Army Special Forces unit of Elsewhen using Magic as their special
skill...since no Believer in Magic has any sense of humor, I won't
say much more on it...it is science fiction... :-)]

>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>> "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King Of Old
>> Could Do Thus?"
>> --AC6V

"Look upon my works, ye mighty, and despair!"
--- Percy Bysshe Shelley (or some old-timer amateur morseman)

>"Hans the Magi!" Who would've thought it?

He's either one of the Three Wise Men or just some iggorant wiseguy.

Too bad Dee missed the "sommons" in regard to elemental forces...:-)

>I can't wait to read what "Jesus was a cool dude" Mike posted below.

If he doesn't write anything, no doubt Jimmie Noserve will hop
in saying that God ordained morse code as an "elemental force"
for radio. The Magic of Morse! [I digress thaumaturgically]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3110. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 20:07:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hqy4f.4307$hY6.1711@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120886

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part
> of a month dissing belief systems and then you come
> up with this gem. Hi! You guys are too funny.

> I think in the USN it was taught as "PFM."

> Prolly scared the bejeesus out of little Billy Beeper.

> Isn't that how you guys say that God was invented?

> Hi! Let me scratch a pentagram on the cover of my transceiver.

> "Hans the Magi!" Who would've thought it?

> Be sure to light a black candle for me.

> After spending the better part of a month dissing religion,
> you become a witch

Ya gotta love it! I go casually trolling and this largemouth bit, and bit, and
bit, and bit again, then bit again four more times!

I think that MUST be a record for this fisherman! My trolling has power and
magic in it!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3111. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 20:13:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129493589.673386.318710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120887

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129486155.672595.98050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
>
> [snip]
>
> >The part
> > about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> "sommon"
>
> Be sure to light a black candle for me.
> ---
>
> And why are you asking me to misspell "summon"? None of the dictionaries
> that I have on hand show any entry for "sommon".
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

You put it in quotations. If you only meant toparaphrase, you should
leave them off.

3112. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 20:22:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129494142.818167.186560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120888

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part
> > of a month dissing belief systems and then you come
> > up with this gem. Hi! You guys are too funny.
>
> > I think in the USN it was taught as "PFM."
>
> > Prolly scared the bejeesus out of little Billy Beeper.
>
> > Isn't that how you guys say that God was invented?
>
> > Hi! Let me scratch a pentagram on the cover of my transceiver.
>
> > "Hans the Magi!" Who would've thought it?
>
> > Be sure to light a black candle for me.
>
> > After spending the better part of a month dissing religion,
> > you become a witch
>
> Ya gotta love it! I go casually trolling and this largemouth bit, and bit, and
> bit, and bit again, then bit again four more times!
>
> I think that MUST be a record for this fisherman! My trolling has power and
> magic in it!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Yep. Mike and Dee bought into your magic. Hi! You guys are just too
funny.

3113. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 20:35:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129494924.359266.255490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120889

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 16, 11:02 am
>
> >K0HB wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > >> > Amateur radio isn't magical.
> >
> >
> > >> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> > >> Radio.
> > >
> > >Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part of a month dissing
> > >belief systems and then you come up with this gem. Hi! You guys are
> > >too funny.
> >
> > "Magic" is for simple minds or for those all tied up in emotions
> > and cannot comprehend reality beyond human senses.

Hans proposed it. Dee and Mike bought it. Funny how they reject
conventional belief systems, then get led around by "thier" noses.

What else is there to say?

> > >I can't wait to read what "Jesus was a cool dude" Mike posted below.
> >
> > If he doesn't write anything, no doubt Jimmie Noserve will hop
> > in saying that God ordained morse code as an "elemental force"
> > for radio. The Magic of Morse! [I digress thaumaturgically]
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim didn't have a chance to chime in before Hans blew his troll. Too
bad.

3114. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 21:17:01 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <diug1kakr1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"notk1man" <"notk1man"@injail@jail.com> wrote in message
news:4352AEBB.2B784274@injail.com...
> BTW,
> most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !
>
>
> ARRL SUCKS wrote:
>
> > ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
> >
> > Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
> >
> > ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

OK OK i think we got that........

3115. Re: Stevie admits to Mark being no one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 21:33:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129498413.021449.196090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120891

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > One thing good if baxter is tossed out all the little anti baxter
> > > > > > people won't have nothing to bitch about anymore, Their little pathetic
> > > > > > life will come to a end....hmmmm only 12 MORE DAYS
> > > > >
> > > > > I find it interesting that no one here talks about Baxter unless a
> > > > > Baxter-wannabe, such as yourself, brings it up. He's pretty much
> > > > > personna-non-gratis in Amateur Radio.
> > > >
> > > > there you have it Stevie admit to being no one
> > >
> > > No...
> > >
> > > What we have is Mark Morgan demonstrating his lack of English
> > > Comprehension.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Absolutely "at all".
not at all
>
> > but what we see now is that YOU Stevie are not bright enough to make
> > only legit charge in the matter carefull editing
>
> There was a thought behind those randomly arranged words, but be
> darned if I can make heads or tails out of it.
I can't help your stupidity
>
> > > Again...
>
> And again...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3116. Re: Baxter: Life Imitates Art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 21:37:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129498657.508925.142810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120892

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > He aint my butt buddy, maybe yours....Me I perfer women
> > >
> > > "ain't" "prefer"
> > >
> > > And women prefer suicide to Todd.
> >
> > not from what I hear and from what I have seen in the past
>
> How can that be, Mark?

very easily
>
> You've stated in THIS forum that you don't know Todd nor have you
> ever met him.

I don't recall stating that I never met him, indeed It is likely I have
after he lives in Taylorville and I lived in Illiopolis.

I may well have said I don't recall meeting him, which would would mean
that is what I recalled at the time. Presently I recall several
occoasion where we met, and i meet his gf at the time

OTOH I don't need to have met him to hear about him. I do still friends
in the area. I know the concept that things change is alien to you
Stevie

>
> Your words.

your effort to spin my words maybe
>
> So....based upon your previous statements and the statement now
> made above, one can only surmise that we've caught you in yet ANOTHER
> lie, Mark!

which statement was that?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3117. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 21:41:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129498881.838686.163720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
cut
> > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> >
> > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > pural
>
> God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> all the Southern Colonies which later became states?

what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
the thing
The declaration was written basicaly by Tom Jerfferson with a bit of
input from Adams and Franklin

the Congress asked for and received a bunch of revisions all approved
by Tom, that is editing not writing

cut

3118. Re: a few thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:00:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129500025.797016.203870@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120894

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Stevie esp (with help from dan and dave) argue that it is chil abuse to
> > teach that lying is ever a good idea
>
> "child" "never"
>
> It's not. It "teaches" that weakness of character is "OK".

Only in your opinion which is not the law of the land thank goodness
>
> I just teach my kids what to AVOID and why...Then they don't have
> to LIE about ANYthing.

that tack is your call for YOUR kids not mine
>
> > Is it? consider this Stevie Dave dan whiny and other all spend a great
> > deal of time on the "issue" that I am bisexaul, something I try not to
> > bring up but frankly it isn't possible to not deal with around here.
>
> "bisexual"
>
> I don't "bring it up", Mark...You do.

Bullshit Stevie you were going on about it with an hour of my reutrn
here

> Such as in this windy,
> rambling bit of self-appreciating pontification you've generated here.
>
> > It is said that roughly 10 percent of amercians are BLGT that is number
> > of more than 20 million people., and yet for if they are honest they
> > are suggejected to the relentless attacks of people like Stevie.
> > therefore a good case can be made that lying is a better idea than
> > hoesntly.
>
> "Americans" "subjected" "honesty"
>
> I don't "attack" anyone based upon thier "sexuality", Mark.
you just lying like the sack of shit you are

>..But I
> do about them lying.

then start telling the turth yourself

> You've been busted LYING about this as you have
> numerous other topics.
>
> > Indeed despite the fact I don't deny being bisexual Stevie says
> > everything I say is a lie or words to that effect.
>
> There's not "words to that effect".

yes there is

>
> There's your ADMISSION that you lie "at will".

never made such

>
> YOUR words...
>
> > Stevie and MD went
> > looking for this years ago I gareed with them and said (and continue to
> > say it has nothing to do with ham radio) but 7 years later Stevie still
> > hammers at this issue
>
> "agreed"
>
> No I don't.

yes you do

from the very hour i return you brought my sexuality

>
> But here YOU are creating an entirely new thread whining about it.
>
> > BTW I have perhaps found an issue in Ham radio
> > where it has some bearing I don't see the gender of conectors in the
> > same way as the rest of you, not a major one but it came up this week,
> > thanks to someone signing their email "k4yz" sending email to many ham
> > in the area presumibly to inusre everyone knows this "vital fact" about
> > me
>
> "presumably" "ensure"
>
> Check the headers of any e mail allegedly signed by me, Mark.

as I said they are not in hand Stevie boy

and you have admiting to emailing folks in springfield IL about me in
another thread

>
> Nice story, though.
and I even adknowlede someone may be acting for you
>

> > forunately for me who ever (and it may or may not be Stevie making
> > these mails which I have not seen with there header in place, Stevie
> > may be the victum of someone else here trying to provoke further
> > "entertainment" by signing stevie name) laced the mail without enough
> > over the top to be largely dismised
>
> "dismissed"
>
> But do you think EVERYone is going to "dismiss" it, Mark?

no I don't never said I did

OTOH those that take too close an interest will be told to buzz off or
something firmer if needed
>
> People don't generate stuff like that unless there's SOME reason
> for it. Assuming your story is true (I seriously doubt it...You've
> been caught in so many other lies...) the "locals" will be watching
> you.

so what? if anyone wants to ask politely about my sexual oreination or
my views on lying all they have to do is ask.

The local have likely been watching since i wrote an editorial saying
that the world wasn't going to end simply gay marraige was legaly
established. or based on other positions I have taken over the years.

I can bear such watching I hope they feeling there time well used if
they bother
>
> > but back to the subject more than 50 percent of my children will bi or
> > gay according to studies. I know that fact. therefore for several
> > reason I will teach my children to lie.
>
> Then they will get caught lying. And regardless of who they lay
> down with at night, they will be known as liars.

so what?

>
> People can "do business" with other people on a whole range of
> issues and have no reason to regret their transactions. But people
> don't tolerate or trust liars.

gee Billy clinton has no trouble and other I could name
what most people will never trust is those that say they never lie and
clearly do, that includes yourself Stevie

>
> > reason One should they turn out to be bi or gay it will help to ensure
> > there safety from Stevie or people likely whinny
>
> Yeah. Right.

yea right

>
> > reason two plenty of sex feinds pray on children lying as they will be
> > taught that about everything they say to strangers online, about
> > themselves, should be a lie, will help keep them safe
>
> "fiends"
>
> Teaching your kids to just stay away from places where people like
> that lurk is the first priority, Mark.

that is YOUR judgment, it is not mine, besides they lurk almost
everywhere, even in the some the parks around this isolated part of the
country to read the newspaper

>
> Teaching them to come to their parents with any questionable
> communications is the second.

again your judgement, not mine

>
> Teaching them to lie to strangers just makes it easy for them to
> lie to you.

I am sure they will doing long before I instruct them
>
> > I am called paranoid for such views.
>
> No. You're called an idiot. None of your claims or allegations
> has ever been even remotely substantiated.

yea right the FBI's and over federal agencies are not good enough for
you as evidence
>
> > Indeed I even understand the
> > thought that goes into that charge. OTOH I have experenced for myself
> > the price to be paid for being honest about such things, both personaly
> > and on the bodies of others.
>
> "experienced" "personally"
>
> HONEST...?!?!
> BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> And "...on the bodies of others..." ?!?! What crap are you
> spewing now...?!?!
>
> > Why do I lie stevie? becuase folks like you and whinny and other taught
> > me that it wasn't safe to tell the truth.
>

> "Stevie" "because"
>
> You lie because your self-esteem and character are lacking.

not at all

>
> You were CAUGHT lying over and over again, Mark.

so?

as you have been many times

>
> > You want to stop me and other from teach the next generation to lie,
> > try working for a world where being honest isn't flat out unsafe.
>
> And how can you create a world that's NOT "unsafe" when there are
> creeps like you promoting deviance, deceit and cowardice?

I don't promte devaince or cowardice, that is another of your lies

>
> > Have
> > you seen the stat on suicides in Gay youths. you should know something
> > of them as a nurse. you nor I can create a wrold where lying isn't the
> > profitable way of doing things. It should be possible to create a world
> > where telling the turth isn't ever dangerous.
>
> "world"
>
> Lying is "profitable"...?!?!

sure is ask Billy Boy, and most canidates for office

>
> > I realy don't mind the fact you don't like me even if the sole reason
> > were my sexual orientation.
>
> "really"
>
> I don't give a squat WHO you sleep with, Mark.

bullshit another lie

>
> You are a liar. Plain and simple. You even went as far as to
> "admit" it and then try to DEFEND it.
>
> You make up these assinine stories and then try to "vicitimize"
> yourself for pity's sake.
>
> > I support that as your right (which is why
> > I not amoug the left wingers that bascialywant to make disliking gays

> > crime) hat can never support support is the branding you practice.
>
> "among" "basically" "that"
>
> First of all, no one can "legislate" people into NOT 'disliking'
> ANYone for ANY reason.

never said anyone could, but they are those that want to try

>
> The CRIME is commiting some physical act against somone because of
> it.

then why do you so?

>
> > it is a fact in this nation today that if you stand up and say so and
> > so is gay you endanger there there safety and even their life. I truely
> > wish the preceeding statement were a lie, nothing on earth would make
> > me happier
>
> Mark, I work with at least six people that I KNOW of who are gay
> and make no bones about being gay, even here in the middle of the Bible
> Belt. Not a one of them has sufered som much as a hang nail or headache
> due to thier chosen "lifestyle".

you just don't know the turth about it

>
> > i'll be gone a couple of days having married a practicing Jew we will
> > be off to visit a larger temple for Yom Kippur so i will not be around
> > a few days
>
> Have a nice trip.
>
> > think about what I have written if you able look at your posts and
> > daves and esp whinnyslit, and ask yourself why it is dangerous in
> > america to honest about a subject that should be nobodies bussness who
> > another person sleeps with
>
> Then stop making an issue of it.

you are the one that does

>
> Stop lying.

not lying

>
> And look at your OWN posts. Ask YOURSELF why almost everyone in
> this forum finds it so easy to latch on to your dribble and make fun of
> it. And your typos and fractured English grammar are the LEAST of the

> issues I refer to !

why should I look i know what is there you don't in many cases

and it mostly the the result of my dyslexiod aphasiia and that I am
bisexual, that covers 80-90 percent depedning on the week you look at

>
> > I expect that i will come back and find your normal venon in reply, but
> > maybe you will choose to disapoint me
>
> "venom" "disappoint"
>
> Nothing I could say in "reply" would be perceived as anything BUT
> "venom" by you, Mark. You don't want to accept that your deceit and
> dishonesty are the roots of YOUR problem, not your choice of sex
> partners.

That is too bad, but promising that you are finaly willing to admit
your posionous postings

>
> Shalom
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3119. more foul motuhed stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:02:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129500155.110098.57210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120895

K4YZ wrote:
> Wantonly copied from the foul mouth of Todd "Do Me Again Mommy"
> McDaugherty:
>
talk about foul mouthed you are again a case of the pot calling the
kettle black

3120. Re: It's 1: 58 AM and drunk and always alone Lardass is whining about Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:05:43 UTC
From: Roger The Mommy Beater <NoBalls@Glendale.up>
Message-ID: <diuio3$j87$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 120896
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We have all heard that Woger beat his mother and ended up in the nut house as a result.
What I have also heard is that Roger is a short little runt and when he wears his glasses he looks like a miniature version of Stephen King. Kind of like a mutant troll, Roger should be living under a bridge instead of in his inherited house.

"Who's Your Daddy, Bitch?" <jawnn_kimball@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1129489942.022519.273790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
According to court records Woger, you were the one who weighs in at 290 and stands only 5'6. Back in 1999 when you were convicted for mommy beating and sent to the nut house.

Get a clue, loser.
==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d005...@mail.futureworlds.it>

"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" -- AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2...@posting.google.com>

"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id <737589ee.0405220528.371d3...@posting.google.com>

"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet
Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf5...@melontraffickers.com>

In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497...@userbeam.net>, Secwet Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim: "If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and 'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

3121. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:07:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129500421.617654.308480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120897

K4YZ wrote:
> FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
>
cutting stuff lacking conection to the WHOLE title

gee noting left

why would Lenn be mentioned by the ARRL ever?

No reason unless something from NCI was quoted and even that is
unlikely

way is Len name in the tittle of the post?

becuase stevie can't help being hatefull to others

3122. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:11:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129500697.502153.105290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120898

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > QUOTE
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
> > > > > hate spam trash.
> > > > >
> > > > Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
> > > > great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.
> > > >
> > > Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your
> > >
> > > "Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
> > > Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
> > > Himself...."
> > >
> > > posting here. Not!
> >
> > I don't remember asking him if he approved.
>
> Oooops. You screwed up. You told a truth.

nah it was an effort to assignate you by giving you a heart attack at
the shock

>
> > And the title does not include his name or callsign.
>
> Another truth. Must be having a bad day.
>
> Ed's name and job title are in the first paragraph of text.
>
> > > > > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
> > > > > had any shame.
> > > > >

> > > > And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
> > > > pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
> > > > about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
> > > > known?
> > >
> > > You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me
> > > horribly. I do have a conscience...(SNIP)
> >
> > Whelp, there we have BRIAN'S Big Lie for the day...
>
> Acting as you do made me sick to my stomach. I've no idea how you
> sustain such behavio[u]r.

I understand from reading the results of long seris of interviews with
Kalnsman it is skill one developes afterwhile. It seem likely that
stevie has been working it a long time
>
> > > (UNSNIP)...and couldn't keep up the new,
> > > insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
> > > etc.
> >
> > But you DO "keep up" deceitful posts, mistruths and blatant lies
> > nonetheless.
>
> You mistake someones opinion for immutable laws. Your mistake.

esp his own opinion
>
> > > > We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
> > > > about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
> > > > but we all know it too well.
> > >
> > > Somalia is true. You're simply envious.
> >
> > No, it's not and no I'm not.
> >
> > You were a bootlegger. Period.
>
> You were not contacted and no QSL card will be forthcoming.
>
> > > Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.
> >
> > Your claims about UNLICENSED DEVICES in emergency comms was NOT
> > true, and your claim of the uninterruptable cellphone was not true.
>
> Now you are lying again. Sad to see you back to your old self.
>
> > > "ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.
> > >
> > > All true.
> >

> > ARES >>IS<< a voluntary agency, however YOU claimed (just a couple
> > months before it really happened) that ARES would not be able to
> > support a disaster the likes of what we saw.
>
> Sorry, but that's simply not true (i.e., another lie of yours).
>
> > > > > Thanks for nothing.
> > > >
> > > > Back at ya.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Best of Luck
> >
> > For what?
> >
> > You're still a discredited liar, and your above claims and
> > statements still false.
>
> I'm good with my statements and my opinions. That you disagree with
> them doesn't make them lies. It merely means that we disagree.
>
> > Seems to me YOU are the one needing luck, Brian...Luck that none
> > of your offspring will ever see these archives.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> If only yours could see what a disgrace you've made of their family
> name.
>
> Best of Luck.

3123. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:16:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129500984.061655.103110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121000

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > John wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> > > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > >
> > > 14.275?
> > >
> > that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
> > not speak for Len and Frank
>
> Watta hoot...
>
> Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
> recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
> why...

but my remarks are completely true
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3124. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:18:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129501117.608273.122590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121001

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129098509.625366.110400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full of
> >> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> >
> > why dont you found one Dan? Google provides the means
> >
> > or are you going to whine about the fact not everyone agrees with you?
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> Folks can disagree with me all they want.

really? me tinks thou aret fibbing

> I just require they have sense
> and can respond in a readable manner.

ah I see there is your catch they must compose in the manner YOU want
and accept Your ground rules

> That elliminates you.

sure does

but indeed If you wan to avoid me and the other start your own
moderated group
and then nothing will disturb you again
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3125. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:43:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129502617.202448.287390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121002

From: Leo on Sun 16 Oct 2005 10:34

>On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>>>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>>>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>>If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a
>>>>problem in the first place.
>
>> Ahem...this is a "preconditioning" artificiality of "reasons."
>> [akin to the "do you still beat your wife?" question]
>
>Precisely so - and, it is indicative of the assumption that code
>testing is currently under review because it is perceived as a
>"problem".
>
>This is, of course, not the case.

The alleged "problem" is described as a problem by those
who favor the mode and the license test that THEY passed...
and will probably never have to test for again.

License testing for manual morse code cognition skill simply
became obsolete. A REAL problem is that those who passed
the manual tests refuse to let it BE obsolete...it is an
ingrained psyche touchstone, a mile-marker of how far they
came once. They refuse to look at the future and OTHERS
who may come later. It is a very personal thing to them.

Another casual factor is human mortality. Keeping things
as they were is a form of psychological stability..."all
things are as they were then" and there are no new things
to overcome. Keeping the status very quo is comforting to
those who have become "mature." :-) It has an artificial
stability sense of delaying their own demise...in addition
to the nostalgia and yearning for a youth now irrevocably
lost to the past.

Still another casual factor is simply personal ego. Those
who have taken and passed the highest-rate manual morse

tests - thus achieving recognition by class - will lose
their eliteness and title. [despite over two centuries of
independence from the Crown, Americans are still caught up
in Titles and pseudo-nobility of Status]

>> "Growth in numbers" is not a raison d'etre for the elimination
>> or retention of the code test. The lack of love and worship
>> of morsemanship should be enough.
>
>Agreed - the review of the requirement is based entirely upon an
>change of requirements in an international treaty. The regulators
>create the rules and regulations which control the hobby - it is up to
>the amateur community to promote it and drive growth.

That is not how many of the Comments on WT Docket 05-235
down here are. :-) In many Comments elimination of the
federal requirement of manual code testing will cause a
near-total cessation of manual morse code use if removed!
[extremists add the degeneration into anarchy and chaos,
supposedly the environment of CB]

In the USA the FCC was on public record 15 years ago that
it did not feel that any manual morse code test was
necessary for their purpose in granting USA ham licenses
(FCC 90-53, a copy of which visible on www.nocode.org).
However, the test requirements were still in the Radio
Regulations of the ITU-R and the USA was obliged to obey it.

Obsolesence in Radio Regulations finally was recognized,
not only in S25.5 but in many other parts of S25. S25 was
rewritten at WRC-03 and manual morse testing made optional
for each adminstration. [there won't be another WRC until
2007] Since 2003, 23 countries have removed the absolute
necessity of testing for manual morse skill for HF and
below. It should be noted that the International Amateur
Radio Union was FOR the modernization of S25 at least a
year prior to WRC-03...and the optionality of code testing
by each administration.

One problem, a REAL problem, here in the USA is the un-
willingness of the ARRL to go with the desires of the
majority of American radio amateurs. They seem to cater
to their core membership which is the older, code-tested
amateurs. The ARRL membership is (as of July, 2005) still
only 1 in 5 licensed U.S. radio amateurs, definitely not
a majority. ARRL has to either "go with the flow" or
give up saying that it "represents the ham community."

There is no real membership/special-interest group
competitor to the ARRL in the United States, so it
doesn't seem that there is any "drive for growth" coming
from such groups. Few manufacturers need the amateur

radio market so it won't be them to any great extent.
About the only real "drive" for anything new is plain
old de facto standardization by the users themselves.
Attrition will take care of old morsemen, but only in
a distant part of the near future. Voice by SSB on
HF became the most-used mode there by de facto
standardization. Voice by FM on VHF and UHF became
the de facto standard mode there. Repeaters and packet
radio relay came into being by de facto standardization;
regulations on such were done after the fact, not before.

De facto standardization is a powerful driver of what
is used where and by whom. The FCC here has tried to
make de jure standardization in several radio services,
succeeded in some (most notably in Mass Media Radio
Service - formerly known as Broadcasting - specifically
in DTV). It just isn't in the loop to impose who
should do what where ahead of the de facto
standardization in established radio services...the time
delay of democratic-principle law, the "respondu-cantu"
of NPRM-to-Comments-to-R&O is too slow. Witness the
15 years of delay between FCC 90-53 and NPRM 05-143 on
manual morse code testing...in addition to 18 separate
Petitions that all had to be "discussed" (and cussed).

<snip>

>There have indeed been massive changes in technology over the past
>half century. Instant communication on a global basis is available to
>almost everyone now, affordably and from virtually anywhere. Sure,
>during natural disasters this capability is severely impacted - but in
>everyday life, amaueur radio can no longer compete for public interest
>as it once did. (why go through licensing and buy expensive radio
>equipment to talk with Uncle Bob in Peoria on ham radio, when you can
>call him up on Skype on the Internet with great audio and live colour
>full-motion video for free?)

A lot more is coming for the average citizen if EDN and
Electronic Design and SPECTRUM magazines can be believed.
VoIP is an accomplished fact today, the only real
drawback being some Common Carrier arguments against it.

The usual radio amateur argument for amateur radio is
that it is "low cost" and "independent from infrastructure."
SOME amateur radio is low cost, yes, but the "independence"
from the infrastructure inhibits a greater utilization of
amateur radio in true emergency work (apart from the after-
the-fact health-and-welfare messaging). Thirty years ago
the "phone patch" was popular in connecting overseas
servicemen with their families in the USA but, now that
the military has the DSN with direct input to the Internet
plus direct connection to stateside telephone networks,

those phone patches are seldom needed; overseas military
people can call home directly from nearly everywhere.

"Low cost" equipment is highly debateable, even if out-
rageous claims of some are corrected. Really low-cost
HF transceivers HAVE to be used models, some with
their insides "modified." New ones require a kilodollar
across the counter minimum to set up a reasonable
station.

<snip>

>Along with the common assumption that code testing is an impediment to
>new Amateur licensees (due to no access to HF without it), there is
>the companion assumption that licensing is also an impediment. The
>theory is that if licensing was removed (as it was with CB many years
>ago) that the floodgates would open and the bands would become
>overcrowded by the stampede of new amateur operators.

I look on the "companionship" of code testing and all testing
as a lot of rationalized, smoke-screen-for-effect misdirection
by the OT morsemen. :-)

>This is, of course, nonsense - they aren't there either. Fifty years
>ago, perhaps - but not now. In the three years that I have held a
>license, I have met very few people who were interested at all in
>radio communications. Try this experiment - show a teenage kid an
>SSTV picture being received, and watch the reaction.....

Can't say I've had such an experience. If it's anything at
all like old-style facsimile (that I had to run tests on
in 1955), it would be deathly slow in generation for a
teener's normal rapid pace. :-)

I have observed some older teeners at a mall using cell
phones with camera-imaging capability (they were comparing
styles with friends in another mall). Quick, rapid
response, all appearing to know how to use their phones
as expertly as anyone.

>We hams are becoming a rare breed as technology advances.

Sigh...that has been happening since a half century ago.
The miniaturization of nearly everything electronic is
defeating the hammer-and-anvil, big-brute mentality of
some hobbyists.

A REAL problem I see is the attitudes of some in vainly
trying to keep the old paradigms...such as amateurs are
"leading the way in state of the art developments." They
aren't and haven't been since the advent of solid-state
electronics a half century ago. They have to give up their
wish-fulfillment of "greatness in radio" and just continue

to have fun with their radios as a hobby. Nothing wrong with that and perhaps better oriented mentally to just enjoy a pastime. [that's what hobbies are]

Nearly 60 years ago I got interested in radio while both flying model aircraft and being a part-time worker in the model-hobby industry (Testor Chemical Co., makers of cement, "dope" the lacquer paint, and balsa wood). Today the model hobby industry is bigger than ever and the AMA, the Academy of Model Aeronautics, has a quarter million members (more than the ARRL ever had). In knowing many modelers over the years, I've not heard any of them boast of "advancing the state of the art" in aeronautics nor of being anything else but hobbyists. The technology of air, sea, and space has long ago gone FAR beyond the capabilities of model hobbyists working by themselves.

The same is true for "radio," at least for the MF-HF bands used by radio amateurs. It is basically a hobby, a fun pastime done for personal enjoyment, an intellectual challenge for those who want to get into the theory of it, but also needing federal regulation due to the nature of EM propagation and interference mitigation.

There just isn't any need to have any "trained reservoire" of morsemen in the amateur radio ranks, not for national needs, not for any "homeland defense," not for any worry about "terrorists" nor for natural disasters. The year 2005 is NOT 1935. Time can't be stopped. Old regulations have become obsolete, need modernization.

Those who have a desperate NEED for titles, status, privilege will have to seek other venues to self-glorify themselves. There's a limit to what federal regulations can do for them...at the expense of all those who come after them who ARE the future.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3126. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:45:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129502737.368479.298980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121003

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > nobody's friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
> > > > BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel
> > >
> > > Bullshit, you got that honorary title by working the fryer at Kentucky
> > > Fried Chcicken.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. and you frequently use the N-word on the air.

which N word were you referring to

>
> > >
> > > > but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
> > > > honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie
> > >
> > > More Marky lies.
> >
> > not at all but as I said before it is a thought beyond your abilty to
> > understand
>
> More Marky Malarkie. You can't even put together a complete sentence.

as I said simplely a conept beyond your limited mind

BTW I point out your claim has been proven false little boy

3127. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:46:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <846dndRj9bTbR8_eRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 121007

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129493589.673386.318710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129486155.672595.98050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:Cli4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
>
> [snip]
>
> >The part
> > about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> "sommon"
>
> Be sure to light a black candle for me.
> ---
>
> And why are you asking me to misspell "summon"? None of the dictionaries
> that I have on hand show any entry for "sommon".
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

You put it in quotations. If you only meant toparaphrase, you should
leave them off.

As anyone should know, quote marks can be used to designate an exact quote.
I was not paraphrasing but quoting the actual phrase used.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3128. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:47:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129502870.544501.276650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121004

Matt wrote:
> There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
>
> ---------------------
> There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
> the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
> even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
> cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
> boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
> --------------------
>
> How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!

it would be legal to set up the hardware ale to cross link to put it on
the air for test by persons holding license in both services, and to
place it in use in emergency condictions

3129. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:48:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4LmdnZoni9UkR8_eRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 121008

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129494924.359266.255490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 16, 11:02 am
>>
>> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote
>> >
>> >> > Amateur radio isn't magical.
>>
>>
>> >> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
>> >> Amateur
>> >> Radio.
>> >
>> >Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part of a month dissing
>> >belief systems and then you come up with this gem. Hi! You guys are
>> >too funny.
>>
>> "Magic" is for simple minds or for those all tied up in emotions
>> and cannot comprehend reality beyond human senses.
>
> Hans proposed it. Dee and Mike bought it. Funny how they reject
> conventional belief systems, then get led around by "thier" noses.
>
> What else is there to say?
>

None of us said we believe in magic simply that it feels magical. You are
the one that is being led around by the nose since you are the one that
bought into it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3130. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:49:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129502964.654314.141180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121005

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> No, and VTW, Marky, I've heard you use the N-word on the air, child
> abuser.

again whiny if you have any proof I do anything illegal do report it

3131. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:50:18 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1129503018.074657.323590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121006

Great Dan, good friend.

Let's take a look at the WB2OTK case. When it happened, the way the factual situation was presented to me, I thought it was a winnable cause: 1) Frustrated in the dark of night, Whiten writes a resignation email, but doesn't send it, presumably mulling it, 2) He goes to bed, 3) Then his Wife, while using the computer, lets the email fly.

On its face, the issue could be said to be harmless error. Errata is what lawyers call it, and they claim it in cases all the time. Especially in this case, where the rules require resignation with more formality, that email, if challenged, was insufficient to serve as a real resignation.

However, Dan, remember the FCC has broad discretion that the courts will not disturb. So as a regulator, Riley had the power to do what he wanted, and Rich had the right to challenge it through due process. He elected not to, and there the matter has stood.

I believe the chain of events occurred because Whiten was not well regarded by the FCC. In fact, I believe the agency thought he was a genuine threat. As in police matters, whenever there is one suspicion that is not proven, subjects can lose out for other express reasons that are more provable.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

3132. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 22:59:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129503588.419905.186110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121009

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 15, 8:14 am
>
> > >There you go personalizing everything. You're just itching for a
> > >fight, aren't you?.
> >
> > Dudly the Imposter is a MIGHTY WARRIOR...on-screen. Off-screen
> > the best he can do is pose in some kind of uniform and pretend
> > to be a great "hero."
>
> Any amount of service in any uniform, even sanitation service,
> would be more than any service ever performed by Leonard H. Anderson in
> ANY uniform.

bet you feel better leting that out of yuur system
>
> He has dishonored his Nation, his Branch of Servuice and Unit with
> his cowardly attempts to place himself beside Men who died in combat
> for the SOLE PURPOSE of "polishing his brass" in a USENET forum.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson is a coward and a liar.
>
> Period.
>
> Big Snip
>
> > >> > > Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
> > >> > > "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
> > >> > > experience.
> > > >
> > >> > Not true.
> > > >
> > >> Is true. Unless we include you.
> > > >
> > > >Citation, please.
> > >
> > Dudly the Imposter issues his own citations. He makes them up on
> > the spot to suit his personal HATRED of certain others.
>
> And here we have Lennie PROVING his liarship.

where?

looks like the sad truth to me

>
> > Dudly seems to be the usual east-coastie kind of geographical
> > BIGOT. He wants to call anyone on the west coast of the United
> > States as a "liberal." Once in a while as a "leftist."
>
> But you are. Your posts clearly establish it.

no he doesn't, he is less of fasist than you Stevie, but then you are
over ther with Bennie the Moose

> > Tennessee doesn't have a seacoast at all. Dudly must have ENVY
> > of anyone being on any "coast."
>
> I've been on both coasts. I've been on the gulf coast. I've been
> on the South China Sea coast and the Japanese Pacific coast.
>
> And Tennessee DOES have inland waterway ports which serve to
> seaports. Several of them.
>
> Without the hurricaines and "En Nino".
>
> More Big Snip
>
> > >> However the Chairman and his staff DO have Amateurs on the FCC
> > >> payroll from whom thye take counsel.
> > >
> > >Conflict of interest.
> >
> > A few things on the above... First of all, the FCC has "counsel"
> > from LAWYERS since they are a legal regulatory agency of the federal
> > government. The FCC regulates MANY DIFFERENT radio services,
> > ALL the civil radio services in the United States.
>
> Better break out the Websters and refresh your memory on what the
> word "counsel" means, Lennie..
>
> > Secondly, I do have some experience in emergency communications,
> > but definitely not as an amateur. While that is irrelevant to this
> > so-
> > called "discussion," Dudly the Imposter is, as usual, WRONG in his
> > personal attacks.
>
> What "experience"...?!?! Some 11 meter REACT team in 1965?
>
> Loading some sandbags in 1971 doesn't hack it.
>
> Cut-and-pastes from from OES websites in order to mount attacks in
> this forum do not count as "experience".
>
> Actually DOING IT counts as "experience".
>

> > Third, by definition of LAW, an amateur radio license grant covers
> > BOTH operator AND station.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Something you don't have.

so?

>
> > Fourth, "operating" any radio is just operating it. Dudly's
> > interpretation refers only to the use of on-off keying morse code
> > as "operation." Such morse code use is found only in the
> > AMATEUR service and a very few vessels in Maritime Radio Service.
>
> Who's Dudly?

you are

Dudly do nothing

>
> Yet another Leonard H. Anderson lie.

absolutely correct

Morse code is only used in the ARS and very few vessels in the MRS

>
> Again.
>
> > Fifth, expressing an OPINION on radio regulations is NOT any
> > pretense of being an "authority." It is just expression of an
> > OPINION. Dudly the Imposter has NO "authority" on radio
> > regulations. Neither do any of the current communicators in this
> > newsgroup.
>
> Who's Dudly, and what is he an imposter of?

you are

you are an imposter of being human for one

>
> As for YOU and your OPINION in this forum, YOU have stated that
> your SOLE purpose in this forum was to see to the removal of the Morse
> Code test requirement for an Amateur Radio license.

so?

that was turee when he stated I am sure, It may still be true

>
> That statement in and of itself establishes your deceitfulness
> just by the "body count" of your other-than-Morse-related posts...And
> ESPECIALLY by the personal attack/slander posts you've set in motion.

how exactly?

>
> > Sixth, Jim Haney, elected president of the ARRL, has been IN this
> > newsgroup some years ago, but only for a short while. I advised
> > him in private e-mail that this would lead to trouble within the
> > newsgroup from others who were not happy with ARRL policies...and
> > that would create a bad image of the ARRL as a result of flak from
> > those that (like Dudly) love to personally insult anyone.
>
> The only trouble would come form you, Lennie.

not really

>
> This is a proven fact.

gee I guess stevie memory is REALLYYY bad

>
> > Seventh, the full organization of the FCC is explained on their
> > website, including all of the higher staff positions of Bureaus
> > and Offices and Working Groups. To reiterate, the FCC is not
> > concerned solely with amateur radio since their charter by LAW
> > is to regulate the ENTIRETY of civil radio in the United States.
>
> What's to "reiterate"...?!?!
>
> > >> > > He's nothing of the sort.
> > >
> > >> > > Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
> > >> > > him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
> > >> > > is assinine.
> > >
> > >> > Not true. Lie #4.
> > >
> > >> Is true. And I can see you're back in form.
> > >
> > >> Myself and others have "called" Lennie based upon NUMEROUS errors
> > >> as they pertain to Amateur Radio policy and practice.
> > >
> > >Everyone makes mistakes. Even you as, I have pointed out so many times
> > >before.
> >
> > The "makes numerous errors" charge is another FALSE one, but often
> > used in newsgroups (even way back before USENET existed and all
> > there was was the original ARPANET) to color an opponents' posts.
>
> Not "false". Absolutely true.

he just dares to disgree with you

have you called Len a Homosexual yet BTW?

>
> We can start out with your "the ARRL is dishonest" rants. More
> than likely the reason for your "advice" to Jim Haney to rethink
> participating in RRAP.
>
> We can then move on to numerous regulatory errors you've made, ie:
> the "radio service for recreational purpsoes only"...We STILL don't
> have any references from you substantiating THAT one...
>
> Your "ham radio is just for hobby communications" one has been
> disproven over and over and over and......
>
> Of course there are many, MANY "off topic" errors you've made on
> non-Amateur Radio related subjects.

but of course you are allowed to make all the attacks and off topic
post you like

>
> > The "numerous errors" derive from certain individuals using THEIR
> > personal preferences as THE judgemental point...any deviation from
> > that personal preference is considered "wrong."...(SNIP)
>
> Nope.
>
> A deviation from FACTS gets your stuff labelled "wrong".

more stevie lies

any varaince from your view gets one labels as a lie

>
> > A typical one is
> > some kind of "fact" that morse code is supposed to be "basic to
> > the knowledge of any radio amateur." In itself that is false insofar
> > as operating any radio communication device of any radio service,
> > grounded in personal preferences. The morsemen try to "legalize"
> > that by playing barracks lawyer in the newsgroup and showing the
> > license test requirements as their "proof."
>
> Whoa! Lennie accusing OTHERS of being "barracks lawyers".
>
> > Dudly the Imposter has never "pertained to amateur radio policy
> > and practice" but only repeated a few morse myths and posted many
> > news items (which he did not participate in nor did he report
> > as any kind of journalist).
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> > Dudly has not been able to categorize
> > subjects, cannot properly use all of the words he thinks are
> > 'necessary' (such as "venue" or "licensure" lately, confusing
> > their application in other fields far removed from radio).

>
> With the exception of the "nomenclature" (a favorite "See Mom! I
> know big words!" word of Lennie's...) of specific devices, words are
> words. "venue" and "licensure" can both be used in THIS forum as well
> as any other where they may be appropriate.
>
> Lennie's lame diversion on the use of some words is laughable.
>
> > Dudly
> > has NO ("zero-point-zero") experience in ANY radio communication
> > (other than perhaps CB) before 1970 and thus - by his own
> > standards - cannot possibly express any sort of qualified opinion
> > of amateur radio operation before then.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> And who said no one can comment on radio before 1970?
>
> gee, Lennie...YOU are the one ALWAYS claiming that others stretch
> their limits by discussing ANYthing that occured since you were born,
> whether or not you played a part in it then or not...But let anyone
> ELSE comment, and it's yet another rant from you....
>
> > >> You and he are the ONLY ones suggesting that the theory of
> > >> electronics or radio wave propagation are issues here.
> > >
> > >You are the one suggesting it. Len and I are the ones pointing it out.
> >
> > I'm just pointing out the HOLES in Dudly's "reasoning" and the
> > personal insults he continually hurls at certain individuals he
> > obsessively HATES.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> And so far, you've not pointed out any holes except in your own
> stories, Lennie.
>
> > >> > > Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
> > >> > > Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
> > >> > > electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
> > >> > > and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
> > >> > > radiocommunications.
> > >
> > >> > Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
> > >> > and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
> > >> > military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!
> > >
> > >> > Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."
> > >
> > >> Nope.

> > >
> > >> Where did I say that, Brian?
> > >
> > >Then tell us how it works, again.
> >
> > Brian, do not expect the impossible.
>
> Why not?
>
> He thinks that "admiring" liars and deceivers is an appropriate
> character attribute.
>
> > Dudly the Imposter has NO existance prior to 1955.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> > He cannot possibly "know" anything of World War 2 by personal experience.
>
> Of course not. But then YOU presume to lecture us on WW2-era
> programs despite the fact that you had not even reached puberty by
> then.
>
> > All his input comes from AMATEUR RADIO literature.
>
> Why do you INSIST on making comments that are so blatantly
> dishonest, Lennie?
>
> > Such amateur radio literature is colored to favor the wish-fulfillment of radio
amateurs.
> > Actually, the much wider field of electronics has considerable REAL history of
> > who did what for whom.
>
> None of which includes the name "Leonard H. Anderson".
>
> > >> > > No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
> > >> > > end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.
> > >
> > >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >> > Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
> > >> > by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
> > >> > on the ARS.
> > >
> > >> What does knowing ANYthing about "RF" have to do with knowing
> > >> about the Amateur Radio service?
> > >
> > >The FCC tests us on knowledge of RF for "licensure." Take it up with
> > >them.
> >
> > Apparently Dudly the Imposter does NOT know anything about "radio"

> > or the propagation of electromagnetic radiation! Or he has
> > forgotten anything to do with RF on his amateur radio license test.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> > The Volunteer Examiner Coordinators' Question Pool Committee
> > generates the questions and answers for the amateur radio license
> > test. The FCC either approves or disapproves those questions and
> > answers. Testing of radio amateurs for their licenses is done
> > almost entirely by the Volunteer Examiner Coordinator groups (the
> > FCC can demand certain individuals to be tested by the FCC in
> > certain dispute cases...that is also the LAW).
>
> Uh huh.
>
> I've been a VE almost since the program's inception, Lennie...
>
> Please remind us of how long YOU have been a VE...?!?!
>
> > The FCC does NOT use the term "licensure" in regards to amateur
> > radio licenses or the licensing process. That term is used in
> > some civil governments for licensing in other fields of activity.
>
> Now Lennie the Moderator chooses our words for us.
>
> I wasn't aware that we could only use words that appear in FCC
> publications, Lennie...
>
> Can you please show me where that rule is...?!?!
>
> > Dudly may think he is "highbrow" or an "authority" if he uses
> > the word "licensure."
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> And you need to open a Websters once in a while, Lennie. You'd be
> amazed at all the enat words in there.
>
> > >> I worked with many engineers in 2000. About a third of them were
> > >> Amateur licensees. The rest weren't. They were excellent in thier
> > >> fields. But they knew nothing of Amateur Radio.
> > >
> > >Holy Cow! A third of the engineers were amateur radio operators but
> > >knew nothing of Amateur Radio? Were they RF engineers?
> >
> > Dudly the Imposter admitted he worked as a PURCHASING AGENT for
> > a set-top-box manufacturer.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>

> I, on the otherhand, worked for a research and development company
> that was co-located with ANTEC in Duluth, GA. My only "affiliation"
> with them was use of thier server for our Internet connections and
> e-mail servers. We didn't manufacture anything. And it was for longer
> than the "six months" that Lennie has also gotten wrong...repeatedly.
>
> > Purchasing Agents do NOT do any
> > sort of "design" work nor do they do any testing of the final
> > product, whatever that may be. Purchasing Agents are the
> > equivalent of technical bookkeepers and form-filler-outers and
> > their "communications knowledge" is limited to using a
> > telephone.
>
> Wrong.
>
> Again.
>
> > Dudly has "zero-point-zero" experience in electronics engineering
> > of any kind, "zero-point-zero" experience as a "bench top
> > technician" (in the industry). He has NO baseline for judgement
> > other than continually being obsessed with insulting others from
> > his obsessive HATRED.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> And sorry, Lennie, but I DO have "bench top technician"
> experience.
>
> > >> Lennie's "knowledge" of "Amateur Radio" comes from having used an
> > >> Amateur Radio magazine to get his "writings" into print and from his
> > >> flailing's-about in this forum.
> > >
> > >It's too bad that you are allowed to continue to denigrate a fine
> > >amateur radio builders publication merely to discredit Len's articles
> > >that were contained within it's covers.
> >
> > Dudly the Imposter will insult anyone if he can make "message
> > points" and partially soothe his obsessive HATRED of some in
> > this newsgroup. [that is continually on display in here]
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> And what are these "message points" you continually make reference
> to?
>
> > I could list the Editors in Chief of Ham Radio magazine and
> > describe much of its 22-year independent existance as an
> > amateur radio technical publication. Suffice to say that all
> > 22 years of articles in it are on a three-CD set available
> > from CQ or the ARRL bookstores for $150 (shipping charges

> > extra).
>
> Yes, they are. And Leonard H. Anderson does NOT appear in all 22
> years of it.
>
> Nor were any of his articles IN it ever of much importance. Not a
> single reference can be found that ever footnoted an LHA article.
>
> Not one.
>
> > HR is still considered the "top" of the technical
> > periodicals for amateur radio worldwide (the RSGB's "Radio
> > Communication" magazine perhaps a close second). HR, like
> > CQ and 73, never had the backing of an entire membership
> > organization to assure it of continued existance (as QST
> > does) and they managed to exist for 22 years solely on
> > income from advertising sales. My hat is off and a salute
> > given to Jim Fisk (SK), Alf Wilson, Rich Rosen, and Terry
> > Northrup, wherever they are now, for editorial leadership
> > throughout those 22 years.
>
> Too bad they made the decision to get you on board,
> Lennie...Things went "toes-up" from there on out...
>
> > Tsk, I've written for other electronics magazines and have
> > had the pleasure of being acquainted with radio amateurs
> > since 1947...among them Gene Hubbell (the first H of H&H
> > Electronics in Rockford, IL, now SK), Captain William P.
> > Boss, Officer in Charge of ADA Transmitters (1953-1954),
> > Sergeant First Class Don Ross (NCOIC at ADA Transmitters
> > 1954-1956, holder of an Amateur Extra as well as both
> > First Telegraph and First Phone Commercial licenses),
> > Eugene Rosenbaum (retired from the FAA and living in
> > Long Island, NY), Allan Walston (W6MJN, best man at my
> > wedding and co-worker in the RCA Cube Farm), James Hall
> > (KD6JG, retired and on the RCA network from near Grass
> > Valley, CA, on Saturdays), and a whole bunch of other
> > good people that I've known and/or worked with over the
> > last 58 years.
>
> I am reminded of the guy who defends his racist behaviour by
> citing his personal acquaintence with "persons of color" when I read
> this.
>
> Lennie "knew" some people in the same way a kid gets autographs.
>
> "Mister Mister! Can I get my picture taken with you...?!?!"
>
> > It doesn't require personal acquaintenship
> > to "know about amateur radio" since nothing of it is

> > either "secret" or "sensitive" or "to be kept within the
> > confines of a fraternal order." United States amateur
> > radio is NOT a guild, union, or trade craft that takes
> > some kind of special learned-over-many-years experience,
> > nor is it some "national service" organization vital to
> > the nation. It is a fun hobby, an activity done for
> > personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation
> > due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be
> > MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
> > daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>
>
> It IS "MUCH MORE"...
>
> And THANKFULLY we do not have you in it's ranks.
>
> > >> > Best of Luck.
> > >
> > >> For what? Pulling the rug out from underneath you and Lennie?
> > >
> > >> That didn't need luck...You make it all too easy.
> > >
> > >You are truly delusional.
> >
> > Dudly the Imposter is a delusional sociopath with an unfulfilled
> > ego. That manifests itself as an obssessive hatred of certain
> > individuals who will not suck up to him and his opinions. Tsk.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> Judging by the rest of the paragraph, I'd have to say it was
> Leonard H. Anderson.
>
> Let's see....
>
> Lennie pretended to have been "part of the team" in Korea...(3
> years before he enlisted...)
>
> Lennie presuemed to tell us about "serving under the threat of the
> Soviet Bear bomber"
>
> He's regaled us with stories of what it's like to be on the
> receiving end of artillery fire. (Lennie was a rear-area radio
> mechanic in post-war Japan..that's post KOREAN war Japan...)
>
> He's the resident expert on at least a dozen emergency
> communications programs despite not actually participating in ANY of
> them.
>
> He's presumed to render diagnosis on a wide range of mental and
> physical afflictions despite not even having been an EMT, let alone a

> physician or nurse.
>
> He's tried to tell us how various volunteer organizations, again
> one's he's not a memeber of, fit into the "bigger scheme" of things.
>
> He's presumed to "demonstrate" his aerial navigation skills
> despite being a non-soloed student pilot sometime in the late 50's or
> early 60's.
>
> > He cannot "discuss", only insult others who disagree with him.
> > This will continue until he gets some professional help for
> > his mental affliction.
>
> Yep! I was right! Lennie Anderson IS "Dudly"!~
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3133. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 23:02:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129503726.625995.287960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121010

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129423759.672728.260350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > It is a fun hobby, an activity done for
> >> > personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation
> >> > due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be
> >> > MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
> >> > daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>
> >>
> >> And often it is MUCH MORE.
> >
> > Yep. Often it is higher class hams dissing lower class hams.
> >
> >> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
> >
> > True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
> > the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
> > code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
> >
>
> So the "lower class" doesn't "diss" those with a higher class license?
> Personally I think that must stop, from BOTH ends of the license structure.

so when you going to stop?

> And your right, Ham nor Morse is not magical, mystical, nor mythical. But
> Morse DOES WORK and so does Amateur Radio Emergency Communications. End of
> Discussion.

but how well does it work? what does it acheieve as opposed to what it
could do

you are showing your true colors

nothing may be questioed or discussed without accept your ground rules
in adnavnce

>
> Dan/W4NTI

3134. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 23:16:55 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <c5ydnbEPqcpRTc_enZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120899

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
>>>
>>>
>>>True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
>>>the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
>>>code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
>>>
>>
>>But ham radio often gets the job done when others can't.
>
>
> Can't?
>
> Try "Not Authorized."
>

Brian Burp, your are as dumb and stupid as lenneiboy, 2test wogie, king
of the chicken band steve, an_old_idiot and the wannabe next k1man
toddiepoo all put together.
```

3135. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 23:28:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129505327.214626.15380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121011

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > "Blew it"...?!?!
> >
> > How's that?
>
> You went and got yourself discharged from the U.S. Marine Corps.

but he had no choice in the matter as we can all see he lacks the brain
even for the Marines

3136. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 23:38:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129505901.173226.163410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121012

StatHaldol wrote:
> Does the FCC have to make a decision on the code issue by a certain
> date? If so, what is that date. Thanks in advance.

not by a certain date.

however if they dilly daily too long NCI will organize a campaign to
put pressure on them, the FCC seems to have caved even to the threat of
such presure in bring out the the NPRM when they did

3137. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-16 23:41:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129506116.592415.236970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121013

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
> > > But with all due respect, how do we know your scorecard is accurate?
>
> > > Does anyone check your work? You do make mistakes, Len. We've seen
> > > some of them here.
> > >
> > > Also, it's clear to anyone who reads your posts here that you're
> > > hardly unbiased on the subject of code testing.
> > >
> > > Indeed, you used the phrase "unbiased by local groups' opinions on
> > > morsemanship as either vital or neccessary [sic] in amateur radio" as
> > > if *others* scorecards are somehow biased - but not yours. You've
> > > previously accused others of 'massaged numbers' and 'fraud' when their
> > > data did not match yours, too.
> > >
> > > So why should anyone *assume* the accuracy of your scorecard, Len? I'm
> > > not saying you're intentionally cooking the books.....
> >
> > No, you're not actually SAYING he's cooked the books (you're too
> > slippery to make a blunt statement) but you're certainly spotlighting
> > the possibility.
>
> Is "spotlighting the possibility" of something not allowed?

it certainly is
>
> Besides, "cooking the books" implies an intent to deceive. There's
> also the possibility of honest mistakes.
>
> There doesn't seem to be anybody checking Len's 'work', anyway.

then go for it

no one else is conceed enough
>
> > If Anderson was too "cook the books", do you really think the score
> > would be nearly an even tie between the two camps (about 55:45 at last
> > tabulation)?
>
> Maybe. That's not the point, anyway.

sure is
>
> > Grow up.
>
> What does that mean in this context? That I should accept Len's
> scorecard without question, just because he says so?

that you should do the work yourself or shut up about it

3138. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 00:34:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gkC4f.5226$hY6.1632@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121014

"Matt" <matth@nowhere.com> wrote

>
> How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!
>

It is not legal under any circumstance.

&#65533;97.113(e) specifically prohibits it with no loopholes.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3139. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 00:42:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129509727.419659.231710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121015

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129493589.673386.318710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1129486155.672595.98050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > >
> > > [snip]
> > >
> > > >The part
> > > about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
> > > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > "sommon"
> >
> > Be sure to light a black candle for me.
> > ----------
> >
> > And why are you asking me to misspell "summon"? None of the dictionaries
> > that I have on hand show any entry for "sommon".
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> You put it in quotations. If you only meant toparaphrase, you should
> leave them off.
> ----------
>
> As anyone should know, quote marks can be used to designate an exact quote.
> I was not paraphrasing but quoting the actual phrase used.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Then you should include Hans' exact, if not correct, spelling.

3140. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 00:45:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129509940.259944.248300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121016

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129494924.359266.255490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 16, 11:02 am
> >>
> >> >K0HB wrote:
> >> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote
> >> >
> >> >> > Amateur radio isn't magical.
> >>
> >> >> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
> >> >> Amateur
> >> >> Radio.
> >> >
> >> >Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part of a month dissing
> >> >belief systems and then you come up with this gem. Hi! You guys are
> >> >too funny.
> >>
> >> "Magic" is for simple minds or for those all tied up in emotions
> >> and cannot comprehend reality beyond human senses.
> >
> > Hans proposed it. Dee and Mike bought it. Funny how they reject
> > conventional belief systems, then get led around by "thier" noses.
> >
> > What else is there to say?
>
> None of us said we believe in magic simply that it feels magical. You are
> the one that is being led around by the nose since you are the one that
> bought into it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Hi!

Do you really enjoy amateur radio?

3141. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 00:51:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129510294.749699.142160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121017

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>
> >>>> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
> >>>
> >>>True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
> >>>the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
> >>>code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
> >>
> >>But ham radio often gets the job done when others can't.
> >
> > Can't?
> >
> > Try "Not Authorized."
>
> Brian Burp, your are as dumb and stupid as lenneiboy, 2test wogie, king
> of the chicken band steve, an_old_idiot and the wannabe next k1man
> toddiepoo all put together.

Why would you say such things?

3142. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 00:59:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129510758.786577.283740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121018

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com AKA Brian Q. Burp wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
> > >>>
> > >>>True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
> > >>>the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
> > >>>code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
> > >>
> > >>But ham radio often gets the job done when others can't.
> > >
> > > Can't?
> > >
> > > Try "Not Authorized."
> >
> > Brian Burp, your are as dumb and stupid as lenneiboy, 2test wogie, king
> > of the chicken band steve, an_old_idiot and the wannabe next k1man
> > toddiepoo all put together.
>
> Why would you say such things?

lack of any ability to remember

and the fact he can't keep any story straight

3143. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:00:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129510843.950223.35960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121019

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1129494924.359266.255490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 16, 11:02 am
> > >>
> > >> >K0HB wrote:
> > >> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >> >
> > >> >> > Amateur radio isn't magical.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
> > >> >> Amateur
> > >> >> Radio.
> > >> >
> > >> >Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part of a month dissing
> > >> >belief systems and then you come up with this gem. Hi! You guys are
> > >> >too funny.
> > >>
> > >> "Magic" is for simple minds or for those all tied up in emotions
> > >> and cannot comprehend reality beyond human senses.
> > >
> > > Hans proposed it. Dee and Mike bought it. Funny how they reject
> > > conventional belief systems, then get led around by "thier" noses.
> > >
> > > What else is there to say?
> >
> > None of us said we believe in magic simply that it feels magical. You are
> > the one that is being led around by the nose since you are the one that
> > bought into it.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Hi!
>
> Do you really enjoy amateur radio?

I don't think so.

it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
version of Stevie

3144. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:01:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129510902.934293.41890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121020

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1129493589.673386.318710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1129486155.672595.98050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > >
> > >
> > > [snip]
> > >
> > > >The part
> > > > about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
> > > >
> > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > > "sommon"
> > >
> > > Be sure to light a black candle for me.
> > > ------------------------------------------------------------------
> > >
> > > And why are you asking me to misspell "summon"? None of the dictionaries
> > > that I have on hand show any entry for "sommon".
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > You put it in quotations. If you only meant toparaphrase, you should
> > leave them off.
> > ___________________________________________
> >
> > As anyone should know, quote marks can be used to designate an exact quote.
> > I was not paraphrasing but quoting the actual phrase used.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Then you should include Hans' exact, if not correct, spelling.
```

she doesn't need to quote correctly she is one of the MMM if a bit
chalenged in some areas

3145. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:25:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VpWdndtXg-brYM_eRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121021

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Amateur radio isn't magical.
>>
>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
>>Radio.
>
>
> Ah, yes. You, Jim, and Mike spend the better part of a month dissing
> belief systems and then you come up with this gem. Hi! You guys are
> too funny.

No belief system here, Brian. Just awe of some of the strange and
wonderful things that happen in the electromagnetic world from time to time.

If we were to claim that electromagnetics were so complex that it was
not possible for them to exist unless God made them that way - now that
would be a belief system.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3146. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:31:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <z9D4f.1694$fc7.578@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121022

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> If we were to claim that electromagnetics were so complex that it was not
> possible for them to exist unless God made them that way - now that would be a
> belief system.
>

No, that would be superstition. (Is superstition a belief system, or is it
simply uneducated stupidity?)

Of all the thousands of gods out there to believe in, I believe in only one less
than Brain does.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
Most Reverend Minister of the Codes of Z and Q

3147. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:34:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ylidnWVRGvYJYs_eRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121023

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Amateur radio isn't magical.
>>>
>>>
>>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
>>>Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on
>>>the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to
>>>believe in that powerful, intangible force.
>>
>><rest of an awesome post snipped>
>>
>> Excellent, Hans, simply excellent!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Un-friggin-beleivable!
>
> After spending the better part of a month dissing religion, you become
> a witch.

Almost as unbelievable that you derive that we are wiccans from our
fascination in what radio does at times.

Radio isn't going to save our soul, and it isn't going to impose our
will on the world. It is just exceptionally cool, and sometimes some
interesting and strange things happen there.

Had a guy who used to work for me who thought that participating in a
discussion was to zone out for most of the time, not paying any
particular attention, then jump on how I (or others in the conversation)
pronounced a word, or some other trivial thing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3148. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:41:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129513284.897370.83160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121024

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > None of us "rail against" persons with commercial or military
> > > > > experience BECAUSE of that experience, Brian...
> > > >
> > > > Not true.
> > >
> > > Is true. Who else in this forum with "commercial or military"
> > > communications experience rants on-and-on like Lennie?
> > >
> > > > > We DO "rail against"
> > > > > people who have NO experience in AMATEUR RADIO who then come to an
> > > > > Amateur Radio forum and presume to tell us how we should be "doing"
> > > > > things.
> > > >
> > > > He doesn't "presume." He does so.
> > >
> > > He doesn't "tell" me anything!
> >
> > There you go personalizing everything. You're just itching for a
> > fight, aren't you?.
>
> With you or Lennie? Sheesh. Not much of a challenge there.

You appear to be challenged trying to make up your mind.

> > > > > Your buddy on the liberal coast is the ONLY one here who routinely
> > > > > "rails against" anyone based upon RADIO (ie technical and theoretical)
> > > > > experience.
> > > >
> > > > Not true.
> > >
> > > Is true. Unless we include you.
> >
> > Citation, please.
>
> Wade through about a ton of LennieRant's from the last seven
> years, Brain. They are prolific.

At least Len's posting tend to follow the thread. It's even more
difficult wading through your rants because of your predeliction to

generate new threads.

> > > > > Period.
> > > > >
> > > > > Longhand punctuation?
> > > > >
> > > > > > His attacks on me based upon having been an Armed
> > > > > > Forces Avionics Tech and Jim, N2EY, for his various projects are
> > > > > > point-in-case.
> > > > >
> > > > > And you presume to tell Len how he should be doing things.
> > > >
> > > > Nope. I have not once suggested how he conduct his "professional"
> > > > career.
> > >
> > Hi, hi! You deny that he has had a "professional" career.
>
> No, I've not.
>
> I've stated that I doubt that his career is all that his stories
> make him out to be.

What do his stories make him out to be? What do your stories make you
out to be?

> Kinda like your "There I was in Somalia..." stuff.

Yep. There I was and didn't see you. Didn't see you in Korea, either.
Nor the Philippine Islands, nor Saudi Arabia.

Where were you again?

> > > You won't find a single posting by me in any "professional
> > > radio" forum", Brian.
> > >
> > > You are so unprofessional that you wouldn't know where to find one.
>
> Uh huh...WHO is "personalizing" this, Brain?

Merely an impression that I picked up from your postings.

> > > Yet Lennie, without one day's bit of experience in practical
> > > Amateur Radio, persumes to know what's good for us.
> > >
> > > Funny. That's just what the FCC does.
>
> Nope.
>
> Comparing Lennie to the FCC is like comparing a mob hitman to a
> Sheriff's Deputy.

I'm sure that you local Sheriff will be happy to hear you say so.

> > > > > No one doubts that Lennie knows how a radio works or that he was
> > > > > an adequate bench technician.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not true. How many lies will you rack up in this single post?
> > > >
> > > > Is true. I've said it before, and I said it right there.
> > >
> > What you say from one day to the next is inconsistant and suspect.
>
> Nope.
>
> But it obviously makes you warm and fuzzy to promulgate such lies.
>
> Of course lying is easy for you.

Len knows more about amateur radio than Chairman Powell did. Len knows
more about radio than your ham-engineer colleagues that you mentioned
below.

> > > > > However he has, to this date, zero-point-zero hours of experience
> > > > > as a licensed Radio Amateur.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nor does the Chairman of the FCC.
> > > >
> > > > The Chairman of the FCC is not in this forum, now is he?
> > >
> > Nor is Mr. Haney. And I do mind where some "experienced" amateurs are
> > trying to point the ARS.
>
> Mr Haney DOES have tons of experience in Amateur Radio.
>
> Weak diversion, Brain. Try again?

Diversion? Your position is that Len should have no say WRT amateur
radio because he is a non-amateur. I point out that there are some
real-live amateur that I disagree with. Why must you take exception to
everthing that I might say?

> > > > > He is not now nor ever has been a radio
> > > > > OPERATOR as it pertains to Amateur Radio practice. He has
> > > > > zero-point-zero hours of experience in emergency communications. His
> > > > > list ot "zeros" is lengthy, yet he pretends to be an authority on
> > > > > Amateur Radio policies and/or practices.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ditto the Chaiman of the FCC and his numerous staffers. Soon, he's
> > > > > going to "presume" to tell you how it is, both on policy and practice.
> > > >
> > > > And they are not in this forum, are they?
> > > >
> > > > However the Chairman and his staff DO have Amateurs on the FCC
> > > > payroll from whom they take counsel.
> > >

> > Conflict of interest.
>
> WHAT conflict of interest?
>
> It's no more a "conflict of interest" to have Amateurs on the FCC
> payroll and providing counsel about Amatuer issues than it is to have
> any OTHER service represented.

Kind of self-serving, isn't it? Like making laws that benefits one's
portfolio.

Perhaps they should relinquish "thier" licenses while in the employment
of the FCC, and retest two years after they retire or leave?

> > > > > He's nothing of the sort.
> > > > >
> > > > > Your adaptation of his diversion about how "we" allegedly "diss"
> > > > > him along some ill-perceived lines of how radios work or RF propagates
> > > > > is assinine.
> > > > >
> > > > Not true. Lie #4.
> > >
> > > Is true. And I can see you're back in form.
> > >
> > > Myself and others have "called" Lennie based upon NUMEROUS errors
> > > as they pertain to Amateur Radio policy and practice.
> >
> > Everyone makes mistakes. Even you as, I have pointed out so many times
> > before.
>
> But I admit mine.

Rarely. Even as I point them out.

> Lennie's several years behind.
>
> You're a close second.

Merely a thorn in your side. How you hate being caught in your lies.

> > > You and he are the ONLY ones suggesting that the theory of
> > > electronics or radio wave propagation are issues here.
> >
> > You are the one suggesting it...(SNIP)
>
> No, I am not.

Hi!

> > (UNSNIP).....Len and I are the ones pointing it out.
>
> Then we have you in yet another mistruth, Brain.
>

> I have N E V E R suggested that there is one iota of difference
> in theory, technology OR propagation.
>
> If you insist on suggesting otherwise, you are wantonly lying.

You've continued to say that Len knows nothing of amateur radio, but
now you say that he does know theory, technology, AND propagation.
Would you mind tallying up the number of question in the Amateur Radio
Question Pools that pertain to theory, technology, AND propagation?

> > > > > Lastly, the original argument was about contributions that
> > > > > Amatuers made during WW2. All of the references I made were to
> > > > > electronics-related fields for which AMATEURS were SPECIFCIALLY sought
> > > > > and recruited due to thier already-demonstrated competency or skill in
> > > > > radiocommunications.
> > > > >
> > > > Hmmmm? There's that damned one way valve again. Amateurs can jump in
> > > > and fill military and commercial radio roles, but commercial and
> > > > military radio Ops can have absolutely NO knowledge of amateur comms!
> > > >
> > > Hi, hi! Talk about an Iron Curtain! Your brain is on "diode."
> > >
> > Nope.
> > >
> > Where did I say that, Brian?
> >
> Then tell us how it works, again.
>
> How "what" works, Brain?

That amateur radio operators can magically step into military and
commercial radio roles (as claimed in WWII), yet a commercial or
military radio OP knows nothing of amateur radio.

> > > > > No one, myself included, ever stated that thier licensure was the
> > > > > end-all or sole reason for thier employment or service.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > Cronyism and Nepotism are as good reasons as any. You could do worse
> > > > by having someone who actually knows something about RF making comments
> > > > on the ARS.
> > > >
> > > What does knowing ANYthing about "RF" have to do with knowing
> > > about the Amateur Radio service?
> > >
> > The FCC tests us on knowledge of RF for "licensure." Take it up with
> > them.
> >
> And, just as Lennie the Liar, blindly skip over the OTHER parts of
> the exam and weakly try to slip in yet another diversion.

>
> lame...really lame.

Poor Steve. Gets caught in a logic trap and cries foul.

> > > I worked with many engineers in 2000. About a third of them were
> > > Amateur licensees. The rest weren't. They were excellent in thier
> > > fields. But they knew nothing of Amateur Radio.
> >
> > Holy Cow! A third of the engineers were amateur radio operators but
> > knew nothing of Amateur Radio? Were they RF engineers?
>
> Yep.

Did they mentor YOU?

> > > Lennie's "knowledge" of "Amateur Radio" comes from having used an
> > > Amateur Radio magazine to get his "writings" into print and from his
> > > flailing's-about in this forum.
> >
> > It's too bad that you are allowed to continue to denigrate a fine
> > amateur radio builders publication merely to discredit Len's articles
> > that were contained within it's covers.
>
> Where, Brain, was ONE WORD of "denigration" of the MAGAZINE...?!?!

You make it appear as if the Editors of Ham Radio were duped into
running Len's unworthy writings. You did so just now by using the word
"used," and previously by incessantly using the word, "defunct."

Thorn. Side.

> > > > Best of Luck.
> > >
> > > For what? Pulling the rug out from underneath you and Lennie?
> > >
> > > That didn't need luck...You make it all too easy.
> >
> > You are truly delusional.
>
> There you go calling the pot black again, Oh Parroting One.

You have a black heart and a black pot.

Kinda
> like your accusations of me "denigrating" a "fine amateur radio buildrs
> publication.

"builders"

Substantiated accusations. You might want to fold this hand instead of
getting your nose rubbed in it some more.

3149. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:46:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8r2dnaVil6Ufn87eRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121025

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>If we were to claim that electromagnetics were so complex that it was not
>>possible for them to exist unless God made them that way - now that would be a
>>belief system.
>>
>
>
> No, that would be superstition.

Religion *is* superstition IMO Hans. So I kinda don't disagree with you.

> (Is superstition a belief system, or is it
> simply uneducated stupidity?)

Yes.

> Of all the thousands of gods out there to believe in, I believe in only one less
> than Brain does.

- Sounds efficient to me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

And Jesus was *still* a very cool dude.

3150. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 01:59:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129514348.875109.158270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121026

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >>><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >>>
> >>>>Amateur radio isn't magical.
> >>>
> >>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> >>>Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on
> >>>the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to
> >>>believe in that powerful, intangible force.
> >>
> >><rest of an awesome post snipped>
> >>
> >> Excellent, Hans, simply excellent!
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > Un-friggin-beleivable!
> >
> > After spending the better part of a month dissing religion, you become
> > a witch.
>
> Almost as unbelievable that you derive that we are wiccans from our
> fascination in what radio does at times.

Gosh, golly. Where DO I come up with this stuff?

"We hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon the
elemental forces of the universe..."

"I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
Amateur Radio."

"Excellent, Hans, simply excellent!"

> Radio isn't going to save our soul, and it isn't going to impose our
> will on the world.

Do you think that anything is going to save your soul? Do you have a
soul?

> It is just exceptionally cool, and sometimes some
> interesting and strange things happen there.

"Jesus was one cool dude" and "sometimes some interesting and strange
things happen" in his presence.

> Had a guy who used to work for me who thought that participating in a
> discussion was to zone out for most of the time, not paying any
> particular attention, then jump on how I (or others in the conversation)
> pronounced a word, or some other trivial thing.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
topic and be rational. Thanks.

3151. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 02:03:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129514617.088355.315940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121027

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
cut
> > Had a guy who used to work for me who thought that participating in a
> > discussion was to zone out for most of the time, not paying any
> > particular attention, then jump on how I (or others in the conversation)
> > pronounced a word, or some other trivial thing.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
> Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
> substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
> topic and be rational. Thanks.

it is SOP around here esp when you don't have any facts to use

3152. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 02:08:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129514904.859829.69060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121028

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> I don't think so.
>
> it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> version of Stevie

I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her posting manners, and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've only seen a photo of Steve.

I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her logic fails.

3153. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 02:13:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129515228.345635.96100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121029

KØHB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
> >
> > If we were to claim that electromagnetics were so complex that it was not
> > possible for them to exist unless God made them that way - now that would be a
> > belief system.
>
> No, that would be superstition. (Is superstition a belief system, or is it
> simply uneducated stupidity?)
>
> Of all the thousands of gods out there to believe in, I believe in only one less
> than Brain does.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Most Reverend Minister of the Codes of Z and Q

I merely question your, Jim's, and Mike's exuberance in questioning
others faith in God. Why do it?

3154. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 02:22:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <o_-dnZ4hW7V2l87enZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121030

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
> Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
> substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
> topic and be rational. Thanks.
>

Quite frankly Brian, in this respect you are *exactly* like him. I am
not trying to discredit you, and have no need to.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3155. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 02:31:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <U1E4f.1717$fc7.1444@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121031

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> I merely question your, Jim's, and Mike's exuberance
> in questioning others faith in God. Why do it?

I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. Enjoy it, take
comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3156. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 02:35:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xOCdnaf59JRwkM7eRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121032

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>I merely question your, Jim's, and Mike's exuberance
>>in questioning others faith in God. Why do it?
>
>
> I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. Enjoy it, take
> comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.

Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are like that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3157. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 02:45:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <M8GdnWw_vOjxj87eRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 121033

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129514904.859829.69060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> I don't think so.
>>
>> it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
>> version of Stevie
>
> I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> posting manners, and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> only seen a photo of Steve.
>
> I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> logic fails.
>

No, I simply make every effort not to get involved in the back and forth
arguments that many engage in. No one is going to change any one else's
opinions and since the discussions far too often deteriorate into pointless
bickering and name calling, I choose not to participate in such.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3158. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 03:02:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129516498.685082.44880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121034

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> > it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> > version of Stevie
>
> I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> posting manners,

she puts me in mind of Steve back when he arrived

> and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> only seen a photo of Steve.

me too I am just giving her sight onseen the benifit of the doubt (what
little doubt I have
>
> I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> logic fails.

you may have a point there we shall see likely as not

3159. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 08:01:40 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <9lm6l15269g1qrolr4gd2qkkv271va8n23@4ax.com>
Source record: 121035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

And most of the last seven of your postings were identical,
moron.

On Sun, 16 Oct 2005 19:49:17 GMT, notk1man
<"notk1man"@injail@jail.com> wrote:

>BTW,
>most of the arrl dues pays for the qst subscription !
>
>
>ARRL SUCKS wrote:
>
>> ARRL = American Radio Ripoff League
>>
>> Keep sending in your annual dues suckers.
>>
>> ARRL is a bigger enemy of ham radio than the FCC.

3160. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 10:41:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129545686.287922.215990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121036

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > I don't think so.
> > >
> > > it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> > > version of Stevie
> >
> > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> > posting manners,
>
> she puts me in mind of Steve back when he arrived
>
> > and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> > only seen a photo of Steve.
>
> me too I am just giving her sight onseen the benifit of the doubt (what
> little doubt I have

"sight UNseen" "benefit"

So what "benefit" is it that YOU think you have to grant, Mark?

> > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> > logic fails.
>
> you may have a point there we shall see likely as not

This is funny...

Brain suggesting others lack logic...

Sheesh....

Steve, K4YZ

3161. TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 10:44:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129545841.284383.265160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121038

Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3162. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 10:44:11 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <12c9$4353805e$4e0cf05$22204@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Free men are not equal, and equal men are not free. Those who believe in an artificially enforced equality, therefore, hate the freedom which naturally proves them to be silly and ignorant, brainwashed little sheep.
Ace - WH2T

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9pH0f.7059$oc.4861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
>> of the rest of us
>>
>
> The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate
> mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes a
> nice sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample
> of humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.
>
> It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic
> equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal"
> are almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and
> that you are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you,
> better than you, or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that
> freedom, and therefore I reject the notion of "human equality".
>
> If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt
> Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron" at
> http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

3163. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 10:47:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129546043.662705.230930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121039

nobodys old friend wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> cut
> > > "Blew it"...?!?!
> > >
> > > How's that?
> >
> > You went and got yourself discharged from the U.S. Marine Corps.

Uh huh...And getting paid nicely for it.

> but he had no choice in the matter as we can all see he lacks the brain
> even for the Marines

Mark, YOU, of ALL PEOPLE in this forum have NO BUSINESS suggesting
who has "brains" for anything.

Steve, K4YZ

3164. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 10:52:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129546370.413890.49400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121040

raped an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > > No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
> > > > girl.
> > >
> > > I am not a girl, there would be nothing wrong with it if i was , but it
> > > simply not the case
> >
> > Sure there'd be nothing wrong with it...Then instead of being the
> > deviant you ARE, you'd be NORMAL for having initmate physical relations
> > with men, Mark.
>
> but I'd even as a girl id never give up girls

Then you'd still be a deviant...And as equally inept at English.

> > > you lack basic understanding and try to substitute your delsuion
> > > behavoir for it
> >
> > "delusion" "behaviour" or "behavior"
> >
> > Funny, coming from YOU, "Colonel".
>
> why?

Because you're the Poster Boy for "delusional".

> > > > It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.
> > >
> > > more delsuional thinking little boy
> >
> > "delusional"
> >
> > What's delusional is your insisting that anyone is less mature
> > than you, Mark.
>
> one no where in the quoted stuff do I do so, but of course Whinny is
> less mature than I am, he may be even les mature than you are

I'm not even sure where to begin with that sentence.

> two, nothing delusional in stating a plain fact

Nothing is plain and very little factual when it comes to you,
Mark.

> both of you are childishly concerned about what I do with my anatomy

No...I am concerned what you do with your anatomy around people
with whom you may take inappropriate liberties. Like children and
incapacitated adults.

Steve, K4YZ

3165. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:15:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129551353.753067.216830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> cut
> > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > >
> > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > pural
> >
> > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
>
> what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> the thing

Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
without the use of a quill pen, too.

> The declaration was written basicaly by Tom Jerfferson with a bit of
> input from Adams and Franklin

"Basically", you are an idiot who doesn't know what the hell you are
talking about. Oh, and his name was Thomas "Jefferson", stupid.

> the Congress asked for and received a bunch of revisions all approved
> by Tom, that is editing not writing

You wouldn't know the difference anyways, stupid.

> cut

Run away, Marky, run away.

3166. Re: It's 1: 58 AM and drunk and always alone Lardass is whining about Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:25:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129551901.003214.180640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Roger's Bitch Lardass Davies the mommy beater whined:
> According to court records Woger,

You don't have any "court records" for "Roger", Lardass. But you do have a cocksucking lisp. YOU are th e one who is 5'6" and 290 pounds, fatass. Roger is taller than 5'6" and weighs less than 190 pounds, you fucking dumbass. You fucked up again. But fucking up is a way of life with you.

"Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH" fucks up again when he shows he has long since crossed the border of stupidity and insanity in Message-ID: <1128905647.945449.156400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"Woger long since cross the border of insainity."

"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com> blathered after consuming sheep shit, nigger cum and a Colt .45 40-ouncer in <1120713041.224890.56600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
"Too funny. Someone look "irony" up the the dictionary for our Woger."

Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>, shows off those Pulitzer Prize spelling and English skills in <1121151624.623671.251920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"No one get's Woger fluster and..."

Who's Roger's BITCH, Ironically, you made an ass of "yourself" when you couldn't even spell "yourself" correctly in Message-ID: <1125371025.009766.45960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
"Do you ever stop and look what an ass you make of your self?"

3167. I beat my mom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:25:55 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <f167l19jjib0i6e3i1d6gk5i7jljckk2eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 121043

My mom is afraid of me. rofl!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3168. Re: It's 1: 58 AM and drunk and always alone Lardass is whining about Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:30:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129552219.191828.243710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121044
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass Lloyd Davies was arrested for Mommy Beating after she caught
him stealing money from her purse whined under another sockpuppet:

> We have all heard <more Lardass lies>

We've all heard about your criminal record and that of your older
brother in Iowa, Davies. Davies' older brother Ray junior spent time in
prison for burglary and drug charges. Davies's daddy and sister are no
stranger to the Iowa justice system. Maybe that's why he buddies with
convicted felon Geno Paris.

Roger was never sent to the "nut house" nor did he ever "beat his
mother" fatass. At least he isn't a genetic reject and freak in
appearance liuke you are, morbidy obese, bald and wome3n can sense you
are a genetic reject.

"Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH" fucks up again when he shows he has
long since crossed the border of stupidity and insanity in
Message-ID: <1128905647.945449.156400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"Woger long since cross the border of insainity."

"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com> blathered after
consuming sheep shit, nigger cum and a Colt .45 40-ouncer in
<1120713041.224890.56600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
"Too funny. Someone look "irony" up the the dictionary for our Woger."

Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>, shows off
those Pulitzer Prize spelling and English skills in
<1121151624.623671.251920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"No one get's Woger fluster and..."

Who's Roger's BITCH, Ironically, you made an ass of "yourself" when
you couldn't even spell "yourself" correctly in
Message-ID: <1125371025.009766.45960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
"Do you ever stop and look what an ass you make of your self?"

3169. Lardass Davies admits : I beat my mom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:32:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129552338.794180.254170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121045

LLoyd Davies wrote:
> My mom is afraid of me. rofl!

Yeah after you beat her Davies, when she cosught you stealing money
from her purse.

Roger never beat his mommy, dumbass!

3170. Re: What's the problem? - youwhinebaby.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:33:12 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <ff67l1d1o2k6i1afcb874koljf1vgqgagq@4ax.com>
Source record: 121047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 02 Oct 2005 16:59:59 -0400, WB2DBH
<WB2DBH@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

Uhhhhhh, yeah, I'm calling you about giving you a
dick sucking
and all that..." Woger Wiseman blows his
heterosexual cover and
admits his desire for the cock in
afcbck$j0...@pita.alt.net

"Don't bring a knife to a gun fights you illarate
fuck!"
Woger explains his battle plan in ^^^^^^^
9ujg6$h...@dosa.alt.net

"Dr. Seuss is above your reading level you. You
fucker illerate"
kc8...@alt.net Woger demonstrates his superior
sentence ^^^^^^
structure and spelling ability.

"...I never went to high school..."
Woger laments his lack of education in
(a129t$i...@pita.alt.net)

Mehehe... Well, "grammer" sic boy, you definately
have no room
to talk... (9viq9...@dosa.alt.net)

The Roger Wiseman Dictionary

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand
keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a losser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender
Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in
the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and
annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets
neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's
curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know
Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took
over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for
Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his
fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking'
the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest
bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate"
from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little
fingers slipped off the
keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)

"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he
just can't spell
it.(leaves a
sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender
Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second
retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all
right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like
this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't
spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June
1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the
mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell
check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on
his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3171. Re: Lardass Davies admits : I beat my mom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:35:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129552541.372830.241920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121046

typo: caught" Now dance little Lloydie Davies dance, bitch.....

3172. Re: It's 1: 58 AM and drunk and always alone Lardass is whining about Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:37:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129552629.688233.181450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Lardass Lloyd Davies was arrested for Mommy Beating after she caught
> him stealing money from her purse whined under another sockpuppet:
>
> > We have all heard <more Lardass lies>
>
> We've all heard about your criminal record and that of your older
> brother in Iowa, Davies. Davies' older brother Ray junior spent time in
> prison for burglary and drug charges. Davies's daddy and sister are no
> stranger to the Iowa justice system. Maybe that's why he's buddies with
> convicted felon Geno Paris.
>
> Roger was never sent to the "nut house" nor did he ever "beat his
> mother" fatass. At least he isn't a genetic reject and freak in
> appearance like you are, morbidy obese, bald and women can sense you
> are a genetic reject.

typos corrected. Dance Lardass, dance!

>
>
> "Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH" fucks up again when he shows he has
> long since crossed the border of stupidity and insanity in
> Message-ID: <1128905647.945449.156400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> "Woger long since cross the border of insainity."
>
> "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com> blathered after
> consuming sheep shit, nigger cum and a Colt .45 40-ouncer in
> <1120713041.224890.56600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> "Too funny. Someone look "irony" up the the dictionary for our Woger."
>
> Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>, shows off
> those Pulitzer Prize spelling and English skills in
> <1121151624.623671.251920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> "No one get's Woger fluster and..."
>
> Who's Roger's BITCH, Ironically, you made an ass of "yourself" when
> you couldn't even spell "yourself" correctly in
> Message-ID: <1125371025.009766.45960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> "Do you ever stop and look what an ass you make of your self?"

3173. Re: What's the problem? - youwhinebaby.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 12:39:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129552765.686689.19750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies whined:
><nothing important>

Lardass forgets his fuckups:

"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also "forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters
"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity
"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?
"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"
"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!

"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"
"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"
"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"
"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit
"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn
"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh
"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over

English grammar
idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....
"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to
debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a
scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks
in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in
ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his
favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does
"dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is
"disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make
good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of
skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those
nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he
didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his
fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus
spirit.....
"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school
"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an
education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"
"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that
ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven

"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!
"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream" of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's" them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad LARDASS didn't gain any language skills
"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd
"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears
"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!

"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and
write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest
L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy
apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has
"despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say
"sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses"
on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity
and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't
care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to,
to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe
illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get
rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that
I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not
here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby
tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the
impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS
Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile
"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never
are though.
"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well
"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to
get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never

had to pretend to be stupid, either!
"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza
delivery and trashcan robots is really something
to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....
"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in
the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies
makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the
English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman."
- I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy
Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd
has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm
leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so
many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's
"doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something,
anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total
fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a
Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat
hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time"
now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."
"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.
"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!,
and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self

esteem," that is.
"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.
"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.
"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!
"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.
"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites

by now
"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in
Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten
in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed
skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't
he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets
"harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite
the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino
nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide
"whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his
brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider
remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a
cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is
sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his
stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't
have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard
Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very
literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never
contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck
ups.
"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.
"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".
"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity
"activations" ready to show themselves.
"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a
pizza order taker seriously.

Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!
"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not "bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a 90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off" himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so "useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper, "don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."
"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.
"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.
"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the

internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.
"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.
"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".
"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".
"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.
"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.
"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!
"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.
"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.
"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."
"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!
"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.
"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again
"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.

"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.
"tribes" - Mongolloyd's "tribe's" name is Retardos!
"abou" - "About" this time, Lardass the alcoholic fucks up!
"till" - "Until" Mongolloyd learns English, he'll always be ridiculed.
"din't" - Mongolloyd "didn't" ever finish high school!
"stuipd" - Lardass Davies is really "stupid," isn't he?
"are we know" - Mongolloyd has a hard time posting in English. Being more stupid, "are we now," Lardass?
"I just you like a brother" - Mongolloyd would take it up the ass from you just like he did from his brother, too.
"I've been to two Hamfest" - Mongolloyd's never been to two English classes, though!
"Wogie" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucker's lisp.
"his paint size" - Mongolloyd wears size 50 "pants," does he also wear "paint" because he is a walking billboard for obesity?
"HAMFEST'S" - Mongolloyd has never shown at any "hamfests"! He's too big of a coward!
"Woger" - Lardass likes to think of "Roger" when he sucks nigger dicks!
"West Vagina" - Yes, "Virginia," Mongolloyd hasn't ever seen any vagina, west or east, north or south.
"reconize" - Mongolloyd, your fuckups are easy to "recognize"
"thopught" - Mongolloyd never has any "thoughts", except to show off his lisp again!
"Who hear likes" - Mongolloyd nobody likes you, "here" or anywhere!
"Wogie" - Lardass Lloydie's lisp exposes him again!
"really beated" - Mongolloyd really "beats" off, since he can't get a woman.
"Woger" - Mognolloyd makes like faggot.
"Curtain's" - Mongolloyd always fucks up when he hides behind his "curtains" of sockpuppets.
"cocksuckers" - Lardass always fucks up and punctuates incorrectly, must be his "cocksucker's" lisp.
"spinctor" - Mongolloyd has the "spinchter" muscle the size of a #10

washtub, since his drunk daddy, his felon older brother and his mommy's dildoe has stretched it out.
"reconized" - Lardass Davies stupidity is easily "recognized".
"porpuse" - Mongolloyd's stupidity is on "purpose"!
"worlds" - Mongolloyd is the "world's" worst speller!
"comfortbale" - Mongolloyd confessed he is "comfortable" looking at dicks all day long.
"For the recod" - For the "RECORD," Mongolloyd is retarded!
"all these yours" - "All these years" of posting, and Lardass has yet to post in English.
"I have no worked for 22 days straight" - Too bad you don't concentrate on your English for the same legnth of time, Porky!
"Roger'" - "Roger's" what's always on your little mind, Porky!
"Shittpacker" - Mongolloyd has a "shitpacker" for a father.
"revoaked" Mongolloyd your life will soon be "revoked."
"Upeset?" BWHAHAHAHAHA..Mongolloyd you get "upset" so easily, BITCH!
"knumskull" - Mongolloyd, I could fucking care less since I'm not Catholic, you fucking "Numbskull"
"BEVERY FUCKNH AWARE" - Mongolloyd should "BE VERY FUCKING AWARE" of his surroundings and who is watching him!
"orafice" - Mongolloyd has had a big greasy dick stuck in every "ORFICE" in his obese body.
"YOUR STILL" Mongolloyd, "you're still" a fucking imbecile!
"Funn" - Mongolloyd's face sure is "FUNNY" looking.
"Your whole life has past you by." - Lardass, your life has already "passed" you by the minute you were shit out of your whore mommy.
"Eldery" - If you were a bit more "ELDERY," Porky ,you would be a better speller.
"Jelous" - Nobody, I repeat nobody is "JEALOUS" of a retard like Mongolloyd Davies!

"He 4F for the Air Force" - Wrong, Mongolloyd, you are 4F for LIFE!
"plagarize" - Mongolloyd, you couldn't even "plagiarize" from "See Jack Run" correctly.
"Is like our her Steve and Lloyd" - Mongolloyd fucks up again! Mongolloyd calls himself and Holstan a "her."

"to much" - Mongolloyd tries to communicate in English but it's just "too much" for his tiny brain to handle.
"beutiful" - Mongolloyd is as "beautiful" as a WART HOG!
"Trough" - Mongolloyd can't get that everybody sees that he is fucking stupid "THROUGH" his malformed skull.
"would all upset" - Try posting in English, DUMBFUCK Davies!
"backpedd;e" - Mongolloyd "backpedals" when he gets upset and misspells words!
"Good are you ever pathetic" - Mongolloyd, Goddamn, are YOU ever pathetic when you fuck up like that.
"Make ne" - Mongolloyd is trying to say "make me" like a little girl, but naturally, he fucks it up! HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
"I'm not into, unlike you" - Mongolloyd isn't into looking smart, either.

"Rare end" - Lardass, you "rear end" is so big, when you back up, you beep.
"hygienne" - Mongolloyd hasn't got any "hygiene" habits.
"suprise" - "SURPRISE", Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"poved" - Mongolloyd "proved" he is a fucking idiot once again!
"Momma" "marbels"- Your Crackwhore "Mama" knows you don't have all your "marbles", Porky!
"secoondly" - Mongolloyd is trying to count the times he has given blowjobs "secondly"
"atten" - Lardass you didn't "attend" school, either!
"backwerds" -Mongolloyd is always ass-"backwards!"
"Were" - "We're" sure you are a fucking moron, Mongolloyd!
"he's laugh and go on" - Lardass we laugh at your fat dumbass, and go on with our fucking lives, but you don't have a life!
"tradion" - Mongolloyd's "tradition" is to fuck up!
"Mitzah" - Porky the Jew didn't have anything to cut off at the Bar "Mitzvah!"
"mines for his baby batter" - Mongolloyd shouldn't drink , take drugs and post!
"Ralley" - Mongolloyd should try to "rally" his few remaining brain cells!
"Vagina" - Something Lardass Davies has only sees when his whore mommy shit him out.
"Your welcome" - Mongolloyd, "you're" one stupid fucking retard.
"Llouyds" - "Lloyd's" such a fucking DUMBASS, he can't even spell his own name correctly!
"stagnanat?" - Do you mean "stagnant" like what is between your fucking Dumbo ears, Mongolloyd?
"accomadations" - Do you mean "accommodations" which are quite unlike your flithy HUD apartment in the Athens, AL ghetto?
"oppurtunity" - Mongolloyd never misses an "opportunity" to be stupid.
"Stubbenville" - Mongolloyd couldn't find WV on the map much less "Stubenville, Ohio."
"copy & past" - Mongolloyd spams with his "copy & paste" of every fucking idiotic thing he sees!
"appretince" - Mongolloyd makes good spell for a quarter-wit "apprentice".
"glorius" - Mongolloyd make "glorious" FUCK UP!
"weekened" - Mongolloyd's "weekend" consists of getting drunk and whining about another man! That is, between delivering pizzas.
"Woger mkae" - "Roger make"s Mongolloyd look like a fool, time and time again!
"noddle" - Lardass your little "noodle" of a dick is like a fucking shard of thin spaghetti!
"usae" - Mongolloyd never "use"s any fucking sense or correct spelling.
"I ambored" - Mongolloyd, you are an idiot as well!

Mongolloyd makes good spells!

3174. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 13:01:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129551600.180580.77130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120950

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > nobody's friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
> > > > > BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel
> > > >
> > > > Bullshit, you got that honorary title by working the fryer at Kentucky
> > > > Fried Chcicken.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Yep. and you frequently use the N-word on the air.
>
> which N word were you referring to

You know exactly what N word I am posting about, stupid.

> >
> > > >
> > > > but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
> > > > honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie
> > > >
> > > > More Marky lies.
> > >
> > > not at all but as I said before it is a thought beyond your abilty to
> > > understand
> >
> > More Marky Malarkie. You can't even put together a complete sentence.
>
> as I said simplely a conept beyond your limited mind
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Oh, the irony, you goddamned imbecile.

>
> BTW I point out your claim has been proven false little boy

BTW, you have shown you are a complete imbecile, little girl.
```

3175. Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 15:06:22 UTC
From: Jeff Maass <jmaass@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <O5P4f.130302$Il5.424@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

[No readable article body recovered]

3176. K1MAN=Bobo the Homo Hobo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 15:11:40 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1x1y3f@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1x1y3f@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 120952

Since Baxter's license expires today, we can't really refer to him as K1MAN anymore. It wouldn't be right, him not having a valid license and all... He needs a new name! Anyhow, I ran across an article on qrz that offered the perfect solution.

---begin article

Madonna reinvented herself. So did Prince, and P-Diddy, and Elvis is known simply as "The King." Today I gave Glenn a few suggestions before finally settling on a new moniker.

Here are my suggestions (with comments):

"Ex-K1MAN" (too formal)

"The unemployed person formerly known as K1MAN" (too accurate)

"X-Man" (too cartoonish)

"Bobo" (rhymes with Hobo and Homo)

Hmmmm. Now we're getting somewhere! The long form could be "Bobo the Homo Hobo..."

This name (while long) seems completely appropriate, because Bobo is friendly and unassuming (like Glenn) and Homo means Man, and a Hobo is someone who is disenfranchised... and since Glenn is about to become disenfranchised, it fits. I like it!

Since his license expires today, I suggest we refer to ex-K1MAN as "Bobo the Friendly Clown!"

---end article

Anyhow, there you have it. Baxter's new name is Bobo the Homo Hobo - or just Bobo the Friendly Clown. I think Bobo the Homo Hobo has a better ring. Or just plain Bobo.

Here's the website referenced in the article...

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/bcd.html

Until next time,

This has been Johnny Johnson with your Radio Minute...

--
John Johnston

3177. Re: Newsgroup decommissioning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:12:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129565557.149049.156620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120953

K4YZ wrote:
> raped an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > No, stupid, I am calling you what you are in reality, a whiny little
> > > > > girl.
> > > >
> > > > I am not a girl, there would be nothing wrong with it if i was , but it
> > > > simply not the case
> > >
> > > Sure there'd be nothing wrong with it...Then instead of being the
> > > deviant you ARE, you'd be NORMAL for having initmate physical relations
> > > with men, Mark.
> >
> > but I'd even as a girl id never give up girls
>
> Then you'd still be a deviant...And as equally inept at English.

not at all.

I'd still be quite normal
>
> > > > you lack basic understanding and try to substitute your delsuion
> > > > behavoir for it
> > >
> > > "delusion" "behaviour" or "behavior"
> > >
> > > Funny, coming from YOU, "Colonel".
> >
> > why?
>
> Because you're the Poster Boy for "delusional".

been looking in the mirror Stevie?

you are totaly delusional

you think your fantasy is natural law
>
> > > > > It's plain to see that's all you can do, Marky.
> > > >
> > > > more delsuional thinking little boy
> > >
> > > "delusional"

> > >
> > > What's delusional is your insisting that anyone is less mature
> > > than you, Mark.
> >
> > one no where in the quoted stuff do I do so, but of course Whinny is
> > less mature than I am, he may be even les mature than you are
>
> I'm not even sure where to begin with that sentence.

more proof you lack comuncation skills
>
> > two, nothing delusional in stating a plain fact
>
> Nothing is plain and very little factual when it comes to you,
> Mark.

wrong again

>
> > both of you are childishly concerned about what I do with my anatomy
>
> No...I am concerned what you do with your anatomy around people
> with whom you may take inappropriate liberties. Like children and
> incapacitated adults.

ah yes back to old bullshit again Homosexuals and bisexauls are
pedophiles. try getting your facts straight. most pedophiles are sure
they are straight and uptight about sex and obsessed over it.

rather like yourself Stevie. you are far more likely (from the PoV of
the number) to be a pedophile than I

another simple fact
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3178. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:14:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129565678.738529.66920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120954

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > nobody's friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
> > > > > > BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel
> > > > > >
> > > > > Bullshit, you got that honorary title by working the fryer at Kentucky
> > > > > Fried Chcicken.
> > > > >
> > > > nope
> > > >
> > > Yep. and you frequently use the N-word on the air.
> > >
> > which N word were you referring to
> >
> You know exactly what N word I am posting about, stupid.

no I don't

there are many n word some of them I certainly use on the air some I
don't use at all and everything in between
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
> > > > > honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie
> > > > >
> > > > > More Marky lies.
> > > >
> > > > not at all but as I said before it is a thought beyond your abilty to
> > > > understand
> > > >
> > > More Marky Malarkie. You can't even put together a complete sentence.
> > >
> > as I said simplely a conept beyond your limited mind
> ^^
>
> Oh, the irony, you goddamned imbecile.

ah profanity now too

your mind is clearly unable to unable the concept

simple fact
>
>
> >
> > BTW I point out your claim has been proven false little boy
>
> BTW, you have shown you are a complete imbecile, little girl.

sorry neither

3179. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:17:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129565820.771409.180470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120955

K4YZ wrote:
> Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
>

interesting question

next time I am L'ance I'll about (there are a few folks there fancing
themselves knowledgable about the Fitz)

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3180. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:18:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129565922.837787.88840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120956

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > "Blew it"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > How's that?
> > > >
> > > You went and got yourself discharged from the U.S. Marine Corps.
> >
> Uh huh...And getting paid nicely for it.

that is really BIG LIE

no one in the service is paid half what they should be

>
> > but he had no choice in the matter as we can all see he lacks the brain
> > even for the Marines
> >
> Mark, YOU, of ALL PEOPLE in this forum have NO BUSINESS suggesting
> who has "brains" for anything.

I sure do

I have forgotten more than you ever knew
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3181. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:20:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129566031.397643.199630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jeff (nospam) wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129098901.966926.38380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Jeff (nospam) wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1128999190.291274.65080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > not at all
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > but advocating violence against me or even against you "comander" is
> > > > > > > > > > > > violation of civl rights, and is in many places a criminal act
> > > > > > > > > ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Oh B.S. go back to law school. A person can advocate
> > > > > > > > whatever he wants, its what you "do" about it that counts. If advocating
> > > > > > > > violence against someone was a criminal act 40% of this country
> > > > > > > > would be locked up.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not at all
> > > > > >
> > > > > > the legal system has so broken down that the law is hardly enforced
> > > > > > doesn't change the law
> > > > > ----------------------------------------------------------------------
> > > >
> > > Double BS,, cite me "one" case where someone "threatened'
> > > to do something and got charged.
> > >
> > happens all the time mostly in mob cases
> >
> > and there are people still in jail for merely threatening reagan as a
> > joke
> >
> > people were arested for threatening Mrs Bush on the campiagn trail
> >
> > > The legal system hasnt gone to
> > > hell,,,, there never has been a law against making threats.
> >
> > guess you have never read the hate crimes laws in the nation
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> yea I know all about hate "crimes". Please tell me how you
```

> "prove" what someone is thinking and what they were going to do about it.

never said I was going to try

shows you are not reading the thread too

3182. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:21:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129566106.547947.249190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dr.Ace wrote:
> Free men are not equal, and equal men are not free. Those who believe in an
> artificially enforced equality, therefore, hate the freedom which naturally
> proves them to be silly and ignorant, brainwashed little sheep.
> Ace - WH2T

bullshit is a complete response , and a proper label for that dreck
>
>
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9pH0f.7059$oc.4861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> >> of the rest of us
> >>
> >
> > The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate
> > mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes a
> > nice sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random sample
> > of humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.
> >
> > It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic
> > equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal"
> > are almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and
> > that you are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you,
> > better than you, or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that
> > freedom, and therefore I reject the notion of "human equality".
> >
> > If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt
> > Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron" at
> > http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> >

3183. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:23:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > >
> > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > pural
> > >
> > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> >
> > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > the thing
>
> Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> without the use of a quill pen, too.

no never said that idiot
>
> > The declaration was written basicaly by Tom Jerfferson with a bit of
> > input from Adams and Franklin
>
> "Basically", you are an idiot who doesn't know what the hell you are
> talking about. Oh, and his name was Thomas "Jefferson", stupid.

sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem

not that knowing more than you about much of anything is much of an
acheievement
>
> > the Congress asked for and received a bunch of revisions all approved
> > by Tom, that is editing not writing
>
> You wouldn't know the difference anyways, stupid.

I sure do as is obvious by the thread
>
> > cut
>
> Run away, Marky, run away.

3184. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:27:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129566427.993901.234650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120960

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129514904.859829.69060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >> I don't think so.
> >>
> >> it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> >> version of Stevie
> >
> > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> > posting manners, and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> > only seen a photo of Steve.
> >
> > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> > logic fails.
> >
>
> No, I simply make every effort not to get involved in the back and forth
> arguments that many engage in. No one is going to change any one else's
> opinions and since the discussions far too often deteriorate into pointless
> bickering and name calling, I choose not to participate in such.

must realy limit your posting then
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3185. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:29:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129566546.413344.289690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120961

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I don't think so.
> > > >
> > > > it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> > > > version of Stevie
> > >
> > > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> > > posting manners,
> >
> > she puts me in mind of Steve back when he arrived
> >
> > > and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> > > only seen a photo of Steve.
> >
> > me too I am just giving her sight onseen the benifit of the doubt (what
> > little doubt I have
>
> "sight UNseen" "benefit"
>
> So what "benefit" is it that YOU think you have to grant, Mark?

benifit of the doubt as is obviouss to anyone with a working brain that
read the sentence

of course that let you out Stevie
>
> > > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> > > logic fails.
> >
> > you may have a point there we shall see likely as not
>
> This is funny...

your sense of humor needs work, but that is not a new statement
>
> Brain suggesting others lack logic...

Stevie you don't know the meaning of the word
>
> Sheesh....
>

> Steve, K4YZ

3186. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 16:52:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129567940.008193.273720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120962

stole_from_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't think so.
> > > > >
> > > > > it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> > > > > version of Stevie
> > > >
> > > > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> > > > posting manners,
> > >
> > > she puts me in mind of Steve back when he arrived
> > >
> > > and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> > > only seen a photo of Steve.
> > >
> > > me too I am just giving her sight onseen the benifit of the doubt (what
> > > little doubt I have
> >
> > "sight UNseen" "benefit"
> >
> > So what "benefit" is it that YOU think you have to grant, Mark?
>
> benifit of the doubt as is obviouss to anyone with a working brain that
> read the sentence

"Benefit" "obvious"

Read what? The SENTENCE? Is THAT what that was?

Hard to tell, really. You don't know how to construct one
properly, so it's hard to tell what was on your mind.

> of course that let you out Stevie

No, Mark, it left YOU out.

Your English comprehension and application suck.

> > > > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> > > > logic fails.
> > >
> > > you may have a point there we shall see likely as not

> >
> > This is funny...
>
> your sense of humor needs work, but that is not a new statement
> >
> > Brain suggesting others lack logic...
>
> Stevie you don't know the meaning of the word

Yes, I do.

And I know it does not apply to you.

> > Sheesh....
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

Again.

3187. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 17:00:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129568407.019819.144110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120963

KØHB wrote:

> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> Radio.

Depends on what you mean by "magic"...

I enjoy a good magic show. Penn and Teller are favorites of mine, for a
number of reasons, even though they often show how an illusion is done.

Of course anyone with sense knows that magicians do not defy the laws
of nature. They simply give the illusion of doing so. But what is
important for the enjoyment of magic (or many other theatrical forms)
is to "suspend disbelief" for a time. And to simply enjoy and wonder at
the experience, rather than overanalyze and deconstruct it.

> Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or metaphysics on
> the test you take to obtain your license, but in your heart you will come to
> believe in that powerful, intangible force.

Indeed! Been there, done that, many times.
>
> All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another. Some
> night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning aimlessly, not
> expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be coming through at
> that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a ghost, there will be a faint
> call from another stalwart explorer thousands of miles distant. You pounce,
> establish contact and both of you marvel at the fact that the conversation is
> taking place at all. A few minutes later, however, the signal vanishes as
> quickly as it came. Your rational mind will shrug it off as a quirk of
> propagation, but that little tingle in your gut will tell you otherwise.

Yup.

Or maybe you really need a particular section or country in a contest.
You've been up and down the bands looking, you've tried CQs, had lots
of QSOs all around, with no dice. Maybe you even heard one or two but
couldn't break the pile. Then, after all but giving up, you come across
the needed section or country and work him on the first buzz with no
trouble at all.
>
> If you could travel back in time to, say 500 years to 1505 AD, you'd probably be
> burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two human beings could
> communicate with each other over great distances without a physical connection.

Even more recently than that - look what happened in Salem for much
less.

Consider that up until about 200 years ago, almost all human
communication moved at the speed of a fast horse (overland) or fast
ship (over water). President Andrew Jackson's communications options
were not much different than those of Julius Caesar - except the Romans
had more paved roads for their horses! Sam Morse changed all that.

> No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling in magic -- and they'd be right!

> As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us have
> become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as commonplace. We
> hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon the elemental forces of
> the universe. But every so often we need to pause and remind ourselves of what
> we are really doing. We need to remember the essence of what drew us to our
> unusual avocation in the first place: the wonderful magic of wireless
> communications.
>
> (With thanks to WB8IMY)
>
Well said, Hans.
> --
> "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King Of Old
> Could Do Thus?"
> --AC6V

King? Heck, most of the old religions did not even ascribe the power of
near-instant communication to their gods, let alone their kings.

Another way to say it is that what amateur radio is really all about is
"radio for its own sake". IOW, radio as an end in and of itself, not as
a means to other ends. Sure, there are plenty of times when hams use
amateur radio as a means to an end, (emergency communications, keeping
in touch with friends and family members) and those things are all
good. But I think that for most hams, that
difficult-to-define-magical-something is what keeps us interested.

And it takes many forms, whether it be putting a new rig or antenna on
the air, working a new state or country, or just chatting with another
radio amateur.

Few weeks ago I received word that another Hans, from Great Britain,
was here in the USA and had brought one of his unique QRP homebrew rigs
with him. So we set up a sked and had a nice QSO on 80 meter CW - he
with his 2 watt homebrew-on-a-perfboard rig powered by D cells rolled
up in a section of the New York Times, and me with the Southgate Type
7. He made bunch of QSOs, testament to the gain attached to an unusual
callsign.

Now of course we'd traded many emails before and since. Yet the QSO was
special and the emails weren't. Because it was *radio*....

73 es tnx Hans (both of 'em!) de Jim, N2EY

A sunset is just the refraction of light from the sun in certain ways
due to differences of air density, humidity, dust, etc. Pure physics,

really. Yet many
of us consider them beautiful.

3188. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 17:29:10 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dj0n16$s94$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 120964

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
>>Radio.
>
>
> Depends on what you mean by "magic"...

10 meters, a couple years back. I was a fairly new ham, and was trying
out psk31 and enjoying it.

On 10 meters, I saw an amateur from Oz QSO'ing with someone I couln't see.

I called, and we had a nice QSO. We were both using about ten watts.
Good Copy. From just about as far away as you can get without coming back.

Magic.

I guess to Brian, this means only Wiccans can have RTW contacts?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3189. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 17:34:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AgR4f.2700$4O1.1861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote

> Free men are not equal, and equal men are not free. Those who believe in an
> artificially enforced equality, therefore, hate the freedom which naturally
> proves them to be silly and ignorant, brainwashed little sheep.
> Ace - WH2T

This should be engraved in two huge marble plaques and displayed in both houses
of Congress.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3190. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 17:42:43 UTC
From: Jeff Maass <jmaass@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <noR4f.79266$tD4.1422@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 120966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Your "citizen" had a responsibility to obey the laws and regulations
applicable
to his license grant, and instead he stomped his feet, held his breath, beat
his
chest and refused to abide by the rules of civilized behavior for a very
long time.
Baxter has acted like a spoiled two-year-old. The FCC was *way* too patient.

<< STOMP! STOMP! STOMP! NO! NO! NO! >>

Time to pay the piper!

(Fred: you can "hope" and hold your breath. In fact, please do!
You can even stomp your feet if you want.)

Jeff

"Fred" <freddyr1928@ll.net> wrote in message
news:efl7l1pqen9uqn19saimo7l56364todtio@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
> <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>
> It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
> a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
> numbered.

3191. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 17:42:44 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1129570963.980971.65300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

ARRL membership is declining but not due to Glenn Baxter a/k/a AARA a/k/a IARN. Baxter has made only one contribution to ham radio: He has legitimized information bulletins put out by stations other than W1AW.

His other boasts are the sheer fantasy of a guy with a Radio Shack tape recorder fancying himself another CNN.

Up to now, I have not been an ARRL supporter, but I think current circumstances make membership in ARRL mandatory for all of us. That's because the hobby/service has never before been under this much siege on account of the escalating computer revolution.

Whatever you say about the ARRL -- self serving promotion, stacked elections, censorship of views with which it disagrees -- it is, in fact, the only institution positioned to help our hobby/service survive intact.

Today it has my vote and soon my membership dollars. Rather than tilt against ARRL, I believe it is time to work within the organization to make it the democratic institution we'd all like to see.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

3192. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 19:37:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129577874.946223.51690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120968

K4YZ wrote:
> stole_from_an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't think so.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> > > > > > version of Stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> > > > > > posting manners,
> > > > >
> > > > > she puts me in mind of Steve back when he arrived
> > > > >
> > > > > and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> > > > > only seen a photo of Steve.
> > > > >
> > > > > me too I am just giving her sight onseen the benifit of the doubt (what
> > > > > little doubt I have
> > > >
> > > > "sight UNseen" "benefit"
> > > >
> > > > So what "benefit" is it that YOU think you have to grant, Mark?
> > >
> > > benifit of the doubt as is obviouss to anyone with a working brain that
> > > read the sentence
> >
> > "Benefit" "obvious"
> >
> > Read what? The SENTENCE? Is THAT what that was?

the sentence

Obviously you were able to make every word

that you can't seem to understand it after that must be a problem with
your brain
>
> Hard to tell, really. You don't know how to construct one
> properly, so it's hard to tell what was on your mind.
>
> > of course that let you out Stevie

>
> No, Mark, it left YOU out.

wrong again

batting 000 on accurate statement today

>
> Your English comprehension and application suck.
>
> > > > > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> > > > > logic fails.
> > > > >
> > > > you may have a point there we shall see likely as not
> > > >
> > > This is funny...
> > >
> > your sense of humor needs work, but that is not a new statement
> > > >
> > > Brain suggesting others lack logic...
> > >
> > Stevie you don't know the meaning of the word
>
> Yes, I do.

not according to your postings. they make it clear you don't know the
meaning of the word
>
> And I know it does not apply to you.

logic as a word aplies to no one myself included (you too for that
matter) logical can apply to people, and does apply to me (but not it
seems yourself)

>
> > > Sheesh....
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Again.

3193. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 19:39:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129577967.975439.90090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Morris wrote:
> ARRL membership is declining but not due to Glenn Baxter a/k/a AARA
> a/k/a IARN. Baxter has made only one contribution to ham radio: He has
> legitimized information bulletins put out by stations other than W1AW.
>
> His other boasts are the sheer fantasy of a guy with a Radio Shack tape
> recorder fancying himself another CNN.
>
> Up to now, I have not been an ARRL supporter, but I think current
> circumstances make membership in ARRL mandatory for all of us. That's
> because the hobby/service has never before been under this much siege
> on account of the escalating computer revolution.
>
> Whatever you say about the ARRL -- self serving promotion, stacked
> elections, censorship of views with which it disagrees -- it is, in
> fact, the only institution positioned to help our hobby/service survive
> intact.
>
> Today it has my vote and soon my membership dollars. Rather than tilt
> against ARRL, I believe it is time to work within the organization to
> make it the democratic institution we'd all like to see.

ask Carl about that
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX

3194. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 19:42:41 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Bo6dnae7iJCOYs7eRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 120970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.

If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open list read by men, women and children.

Fred wrote:

> On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
> <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>
> It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
> a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
> numbered.

3195. how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 19:44:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129578260.996120.250120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120971

just exactly how would a gruop go about a local variation in the
reconized band plan

been chatting with some locals interested in some AM stuff on 6M and
prehaps elsewhere planety of really unsed rf "real estate" but little
mention of anything but ssb cw and Fm on the bandplans

3196. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 19:58:38 UTC
From: Matt <matth@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <dj0vpd02c35@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 120981

an old friend wrote:
> Matt wrote:
>
>>There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
>>
>>----------
>>There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
>>the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
>>even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
>>cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
>>boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
>>
>>73,
>>
>>Lloyd
>>----------
>>
>>How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!
>
>
> it would be legal to set up the hardware ale to cross link to put it on
> the air for test by persons holding license in both services, and to
> place it in use in emergency condictions
>

Really? What happens when GMRS user is talking on the ham frequencies,
but doesn't have a HAM call sign?

3197. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:00:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129579253.050012.101790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Amos Keag wrote:
> Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
> mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.

and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
amuck in the USA
>
> If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
> list read by men, women and children.

Your point?

likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
about chilren when the real issue is control
>
> Fred wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
> > <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
> >
> > It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
> > a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
> > numbered.

3198. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:03:20 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <5p08l19j59rera45goap2hs4qgt178hafg@4ax.com>
Source record: 120975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Amos Keag wrote:
> > Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
> > mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
>
> and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
> amuck in the USA
> >
> > If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
> > list read by men, women and children.
>
> Your point?
>
> likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
> about chilren when the real issue is control
> >
I really enjoy sucking cock, want yours sucked?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3199. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:04:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ftT4f.2100$fc7.1512@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120973

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> just exactly how would a gruop go about a local variation in the
> reconized band plan
>
> been chatting with some locals interested in some AM stuff on 6M and
> prehaps elsewhere planety of really unsed rf "real estate" but little
> mention of anything but ssb cw and Fm on the bandplans

Local informal convention here is that AM is clustered in the vicinity of 50.400 to 50.550Mhz.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3200. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:05:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129579506.205110.287370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KØHB wrote:
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote
>
> > Free men are not equal, and equal men are not free. Those who believe in an
> > artificially enforced equality, therefore, hate the freedom which naturally
> > proves them to be silly and ignorant, brainwashed little sheep.
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> This should be engraved in two huge marble plaques and displayed in both houses
> of Congress.

right after they vote to disetablish the constitution of the USa (and
the source of there power)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

3201. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:10:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129579859.649954.237900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Gutless puke didn't even answer the question. Course I knew he wouldn't.

simply missed it in the flurry of post you arrogant SoB
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1128984041.985563.25420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1128905574.299905.305910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
> >> > idiot in your eyes
> >> >
> >>
> >> No Markie...just YOU.
> >
> > proving my point.
> >
> > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
> > equal
> >
>
> You dont seem to get it Markie.....Im not saying you have no rights because
> the Constitution says so (you claim).

as it does

> Actually it is the Bill of Rights.

which is of course a part of the constitution of the US, or didn't you
know that?

>I
> object to you being equal in that you "claim" to be a man.

I am a man.

I am your equal as a matter of law
>
> Based on you actions here I despute you are indeed a man.

that is your right I supose but it only proves you a fool, and exposes
you a someone that does not respect the constitution or it's framers

that is a simple fact and you can't get arround it.

You, Stevie, Dave, Ace and Dee do not show respect for the basis of
civilised society. each of you in your own ways chooses to deregard and
direspect those principles
cut

3202. Lloyd Davies N0VFP reveals his desire to fellate penises!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:11:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129579862.369844.59720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies N0VFP wrote:

> I really enjoy sucking cock,

We know you do, Lloyd Davies, we know you do, that's why you are a 32 year old VIRGIN!

> want yours sucked?

No, Lardass, you can't compare to the better job your mom does.

3203. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:17:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129580223.669212.312150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > >
> > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > pural
> > > >
> > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > >
> > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > the thing
> >
> > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > without the use of a quill pen, too.
>
> no never said that idiot

Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
above, you retard.

> >
> > > The declaration was written basicaly by Tom Jerfferson with a bit of
> > > input from Adams and Franklin
> >
> > "Basically", you are an idiot who doesn't know what the hell you are
> > talking about. Oh, and his name was Thomas "Jefferson", stupid.
>
> sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem

You don't "know" any "more" than a fence post or a rock, stupid.
You consistently show what a complete idiot you are.
>
> not that knowing more than you about much of anything is much of an
> acheievement

Only a fool like you would delude himself with such stupid statements.
You show your lack of education in every post.
The only "achievement" you have done is win first prize at the finger

painting class at "Camp-We-Can-do."

> >
> > > the Congress asked for and received a bunch of revisions all approved
> > > by Tom, that is editing not writing
> >
> > You wouldn't know the difference anyways, stupid.
>
> I sure do as is obvious by the thread

You sure don't as is obvious by your idiotic responses.

> >
> > > cut
> >
> > Run away, Marky, run away.

3204. Re: K1MAN=Bobo the Homo Hobo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:18:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129580327.766371.57060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120979

lol!!!!!!!!!!

3205. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:19:50 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11l81ro53v832f5@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 120982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

I agree with Jeff and would just like to educate this "Fred" guy that having a Ham license is not any kind of "right" but an earned privilege through testing and correct on-the-air conduct which is where K1MAN failed miserably.

I also agree the feds were way too patient......

--

Charlie

"Jeff Maass" <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message news:noR4f.79266$tD4.1422@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Your "citizen" had a responsibility to obey the laws and regulations
> applicable
> to his license grant, and instead he stomped his feet, held his breath,
> beat
> his
> chest and refused to abide by the rules of civilized behavior for a very
> long time.
> Baxter has acted like a spoiled two-year-old. The FCC was *way* too
> patient.
>
> << STOMP! STOMP! STOMP! NO! NO! NO! >>
>
> Time to pay the piper!
>
> (Fred: you can "hope" and hold your breath. In fact, please do!
> You can even stomp your feet if you want.)
>
> Jeff
>
>
> "Fred" <freddyr1928@ll.net> wrote in message
> news:efl7l1pqen9uqn19saimo7l56364todtio@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
>> <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
>> a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
>> numbered.
>
>

3206. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:20:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129580406.397691.109160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120980

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> > were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
> >
>
> interesting question
>
> next time I am L'ance I'll about (there are a few folks there fancing
> themselves knowledgable about the Fitz)

It's too bad you weren't aboard it, Marky.

3207. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:21:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129580518.552141.218930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > cut
> > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > >
> > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > pural
> > > > > >
> > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > >
> > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > the thing
> > > >
> > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> >
> > no never said that idiot
>
> Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> above, you retard.

still never said the declaration wrote itself

you are clearly delusional in continuing to assert otherwise
>
> > >
> > > The declaration was written basicaly by Tom Jerfferson with a bit of
> > > input from Adams and Franklin
> > >
> > "Basically", you are an idiot who doesn't know what the hell you are
> > talking about. Oh, and his name was Thomas "Jefferson", stupid.
> >
> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
>
> You don't "know" any "more" than a fence post or a rock, stupid.

obviously another of your flasehoods little boys

> You consistently show what a complete idiot you are.
> >
> > not that knowing more than you about much of anything is much of an
> > acheievement
>
> Only a fool like you would delude himself with such stupid statements.

the truth is stupid I will be sure to quote to Stevie sometime

> You show your lack of education in every post.

more delusions little boy

> The only "achievement" you have done is win first prize at the finger
> painting class at "Camp-We-Can-do."

wrong again

>
> > >
> > > > the Congress asked for and received a bunch of revisions all approved
> > > > by Tom, that is editing not writing
> > >
> > > You wouldn't know the difference anyways, stupid.
> >
> > I sure do as is obvious by the thread
>
> You sure don't as is obvious by your idiotic responses.

yet more delsuion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds

> > >
> > > > cut
> > >
> > > Run away, Marky, run away.

3208. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:23:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129580598.743382.305380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120984

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > nobody's friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > Honesty, what the hell do YOU know about honesty, KFC Colonel?
> > > > > > > > BTW it is Kentucky as in State of Colonel
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bullshit, you got that honorary title by working the fryer at Kentucky
> > > > > > > Fried Chcicken.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep. and you frequently use the N-word on the air.
> > > >
> > > > which N word were you referring to
> > >
> > You know exactly what N word I am posting about, stupid.

You don't have a brain inside that skull of yours, do you, Marky?

> no I don't

That is quite obvious.

>
> there are many n word some of them I certainly use on the air some I
> don't use at all and everything in between

You know exactly which N word I am posting about stupid.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > but as to your point only someone who can lie can possibly understand
> > > > > > honesty, indeed Honesty can not exist without the lie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > More Marky lies.
> > > > >
> > > > > not at all but as I said before it is a thought beyond your abilty to
> > > > > understand
> > > >
```

> > > > More Marky Malarkie. You can't even put together a complete sentence.
> > >
> > > as I said simplely a conept beyond your limited mind
> > ^^
> >
> > Oh, the irony, you goddamned imbecile.
>
> ah profanity now too

Aww, did it offend the perverted child abuser?

>
> your mind is clearly unable to unable the concept

My mind is fine, it's your lack of education and communications skills,
Marky the retard.

>
> simple fact

Only a simpleton like you wouldn't know facts.

> >
> >
> > >
> > > BTW I point out your claim has been proven false little boy
> >
> > BTW, you have shown you are a complete imbecile, little girl.
>
> sorry neither

Whine, little girl, whine.

3209. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:24:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129580687.355842.234000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120985

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> > > were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
> > >
> >
> > interesting question
> >
> > next time I am L'ance I'll about (there are a few folks there fancing
> > themselves knowledgable about the Fitz)
>
> It's too bad you weren't aboard it, Marky.

boy you are a hate filled looser

go take your meds or have drink

3210. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:27:35 UTC
From: w4fqf@aol.com
Message-ID: <1129580855.335613.85400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120986

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> > > > were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
> > > >
> > >
> > > interesting question
> > >
> > > next time I am L'ance I'll about (there are a few folks there fancing
> > > themselves knowledgable about the Fitz)
> >
> > It's too bad you weren't aboard it, Marky.
>
> boy you are a hate filled looser
>
> go take your meds or have drink

It's spelled "LOSER."

Loser.....you are the king of dumbasses my friend, in other words,
a retard.

George Ashley W4FQF

3211. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:27:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129580871.229648.86800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > cut
> > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > pural
> > > > > >
> > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > >
> > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > the thing
> > > >
> > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > >
> > > no never said that idiot
> >
> > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > above, you retard.
>
> still never said the declaration wrote itself

Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.

>
> you are clearly delusional in continuing to assert otherwise

You clearly have your head up your ass.

> >
> > > >
> > > > The declaration was written basicaly by Tom Jerfferson with a bit of
> > > > input from Adams and Franklin
> > > >
> > > "Basically", you are an idiot who doesn't know what the hell you are

> > > > talking about. Oh, and his name was Thomas "Jefferson", stupid.
> > > >
> > > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
> > >
> > You don't "know" any "more" than a fence post or a rock, stupid.
> >
> obviously another of your flasehoods little boys

Ahh, Marky makes a Freudian slip and talks about those little boys he
loves so much again.

>
> > You consistently show what a complete idiot you are.
> > > >
> > > not that knowing more than you about much of anything is much of an
> > > acheievement
> > >
> > Only a fool like you would delude himself with such stupid statements.
> >
> the truth is stupid I will be sure to quote to Stevie sometime
> >
> > You show your lack of education in every post.
> >
> more delusions little boy
> >
> > The only "achievement" you have done is win first prize at the finger
> > painting class at "Camp-We-Can-do."
> >
> wrong again

Oh? So you mean you are such a fuck up you couldn't even finger paint?

>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > the Congress asked for and received a bunch of revisions all approved
> > > > > > by Tom, that is editing not writing
> > > > > >
> > > > > You wouldn't know the difference anyways, stupid.
> > > > >
> > > > I sure do as is obvious by the thread
> > > >
> > > You sure don't as is obvious by your idiotic responses.
> > >
> > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds

You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
alcoholic.

>
> > > >
> > > > > cut
> > > >
> > > > Run away, Marky, run away.

3212. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:30:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129581037.155562.266030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120988

Matt wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Matt wrote:
> >
> >>There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
> >>
> >>---------------------
> >>There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
> >>the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
> >>even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
> >>cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
> >>boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
> >>
> >>73,
> >>
> >>Lloyd
> >>--------------------
> >>
> >>How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!
> >
> >
> > it would be legal to set up the hardware ale to cross link to put it on
> > the air for test by persons holding license in both services, and to
> > place it in use in emergency condictions
> >
>
> Really? What happens when GMRS user is talking on the ham frequencies,
> but doesn't have a HAM call sign?

when?

during a test it would be improper.

during an emergency it doesn't matter as part 97 allows any use of the
bands in an emergency.

if the linkage were under the control of a ham then depnding on the
setup 3rd party rules would apply

3213. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:36:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129581396.709424.298690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > > the thing
> > > > > >
> > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > >
> > > > no never said that idiot
> > > >
> > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > above, you retard.
> > >
> > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> >
> Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.

no I don't say that

again you simply lying little boy

I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
John Hancock to manage the declaration

it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the

anti-slavery language.

>
cut
> > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
>
> You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> alcoholic.

wrong again little boy
cut.

3214. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:38:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129581512.827338.308930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Charlie wrote:
> I agree with Jeff and would just like to educate this "Fred" guy that having
> a Ham license is not any kind of "right" but an earned privilege through
> testing and correct on-the-air conduct which is where K1MAN failed
> miserably.

really can you point out his failure I have seen one thing Alleged that
be clearly out of line, but no sign of "failed miserably"
>
> I also agree the feds were way too patient......
>

3215. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:39:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <43540C0C.5EB9F9AF@milestones.com>
Source record: 120991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:

> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > > the thing
> > > > > >
> > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > >
> > > > no never said that idiot
> > > >
> > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > above, you retard.
> > >
> > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> >
> Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
>
no I don't say that
>
again you simply lying little boy
>
I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
John Hancock to manage the declaration
>

> it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> anti-slavery language.
>
> >
> cut
> > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> >
> > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > alcoholic.
>
> wrong again little boy
> cut.

Why don't you little 'tard boys delete rec.radio.shortwave from your lovefest.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3216. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:40:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129581604.621130.317200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention ( Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > >
> > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > >
> > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > >
> > no I don't say that

Yes, you did, stupid.

>
> again you simply lying little boy

Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.
```

>
> I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> John Hancock to manage the declaration

That'snot what you said. Quit lying and backpedaling.

> it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> anti-slavery language.

Bull. The only one editing is you because your faggot feelings get hurt
so easily.

> >
> cut

Run away, little girl Marky.

> > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> >
> > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > alcoholic.
>
> wrong again little boy

Oh, you are a regular inpatient in a mental intitution, Marky?

> cut.

Run away, little girl.

3217. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:41:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129581663.869283.78580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.

3218. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:41:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129581698.438436.180880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

dxAce wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
cut
> > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > John Hancock to manage the declaration
> >
> > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > anti-slavery language.
> >
> > >
> > cut
> > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > >
> > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > alcoholic.
> >
> > wrong again little boy
> > cut.
>
> Why don't you little 'tard boys delete rec.radio.shortwave from your lovefest.

becuae I don't care to flamed for week for censorhsip by altering the
distributition at all
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

3219. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:43:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129581788.915323.9180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120997

w4fqf@aol.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> > > > > were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > interesting question
> > > >
> > > > next time I am L'ance I'll about (there are a few folks there fancing
> > > > themselves knowledgable about the Fitz)
> > >
> > > It's too bad you weren't aboard it, Marky.
> >
> > boy you are a hate filled looser
> >
> > go take your meds or have drink
>
> It's spelled "LOSER."
>
> Loser.....you are the king of dumbasses my friend, in other words,
> a retard.

another hate fill looser heard from

gee and Stevie for one of the few times in his miserable existance on
here started a non flame thread sad really
>
> George Ashley W4FQF

3220. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:44:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129581872.107178.145490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> cut

Run away, little girl.....

> > > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > > John Hancock to manage the declaration
> > >
> > > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > > anti-slavery language.
> > >
> > > >
> > > cut
> > > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > > >
> > > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > > alcoholic.
> > >
> > > wrong again little boy
> > > cut.
> >
> > Why don't you little 'tard boys delete rec.radio.shortwave from your lovefest.
>
> becuae I don't care to flamed for week for censorhsip by altering the
> distributition at all

You can't even put together a complete sentence, retard.

> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA

3221. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:46:46 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129582006.896511.274650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121050

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> > > > were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
> > > >
> > >
> > > interesting question
> > >
> > > next time I am L'ance I'll about (there are a few folks there fancing
> > > themselves knowledgable about the Fitz)
> >
> > It's too bad you weren't aboard it, Marky.
>
> boy you are a hate filled looser

Hey "loser" Marky, the only thing "looser" is your sphincter and your
fellating mouth, huh?

>
> go take your meds or have drink

Quit plagiarizing, stupid.

3222. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:47:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129582053.347946.276930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121051

The only thing sadder is that you weren't aborted.

3223. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:51:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129582281.170308.54030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121052

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Matt wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> >> Matt wrote:
> >>
> >>> There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
> >>>
> >>> ---------------------
> >>> There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
> >>> the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
> >>> even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
> >>> cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
> >>> boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
> >>>
> >>> 73,
> >>>
> >>> Lloyd
> >>> --------------------
> >>>
> >>> How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> it would be legal to set up the hardware ale to cross link to put it on
> >> the air for test by persons holding license in both services, and to
> >> place it in use in emergency condictions
> >>
> >
> > Really? What happens when GMRS user is talking on the ham frequencies,
> > but doesn't have a HAM call sign?
>
> the_old_idiot Markey, doesn't know the rules.

yes Comander I do better than it seems

3224. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:52:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129582369.499730.307690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121053

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> > > > > were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > interesting question
> > > >
> > > > next time I am L'ance I'll about (there are a few folks there fancing
> > > > themselves knowledgable about the Fitz)
> > >
> > > It's too bad you weren't aboard it, Marky.
> >
> > boy you are a hate filled looser
>
> Hey "loser" Marky, the only thing "looser" is your sphincter and your
> fellating mouth, huh?

such a poor hate filled freightened little boy

did someone abuse you as a kid? which would seem to be about last week
>
> >
> > go take your meds or have drink
>
> Quit plagiarizing, stupid.

where?

3225. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:53:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129582395.142347.309960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121054

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> The only thing sadder is that you weren't aborted.

such hate

3226. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:54:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129582472.005776.314490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121055

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> > >>Radio.
> >
> >
> > Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
>
>
> In the earliest days of radio, those who purchased parts and built sets
> to receive these radio waves were often accused of dabbling in magic.

wasn't Faraday accused of it with a Vacum tube I seem to remember a
story like that to do with an audio amp

3227. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 20:57:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129582625.840930.216940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > >
> > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > >
> > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > >
> > no I don't say that
> >
> Yes, you did, stupid.

nope another lie
>
> >

> > again you simply lying little boy
>
> Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.

I sure do
>
> >
> > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > John Hancock to manage the declaration
>
> That'snot what you said. Quit lying and backpedaling.

yes it is little boy

you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading
>
> > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > anti-slavery language.
>
> Bull. The only one editing is you because your faggot feelings get hurt
> so easily.

more of your hate filled bile

you do sound a lot like what Stevie would like to sound like but is too
afraid of convetion to come out and say under his own name
>
> > >
> > cut
>
> Run away, little girl Marky.
>
> > > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > >
> > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > alcoholic.
> > >
> > wrong again little boy
>
> Oh, you are a regular inpatient in a mental intitution, Marky?

wrong again
>
> > cut.
>
> Run away, little girl.

3228. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:03:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129583007.413813.39680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin, Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document from
> > > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > > >
> > > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > > >
> > > no I don't say that
> > >
> > Yes, you did, stupid.
> >
> nope another lie

The proof is in your post, dumbass!

> >
> > >
> > > again you simply lying little boy
> >
> > Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.

You sure do suck cocks, don't you, Marky?

> I sure do

Die of your AIDS, faggot.

> >
> > >
> > > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > > John Hancock to manage the declaration
> >
> > That'snot what you said. Quit lying and backpedaling.
>
> yes it is little boy

Thanks for admitting you were lying, Marky.

>
> you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading

You are just too stupid to realize you made a mistake.

> >
> > > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > > anti-slavery language.
> >
> > Bull. The only one editing is you because your faggot feelings get hurt
> > so easily.
>
> more of your hate filled bile

Gonna cry now, little girl?

>
> you do sound a lot like what Stevie would like to sound like but is too
> afraid of convetion to come out and say under his own name

You hear sounds along with the many voices in your empty skull, Marky?

> >
> > > >
> > > cut
> >
> > Run away, little girl Marky.
> >

> > > > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > > >
> > > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > > alcoholic.
> > >
> > > wrong again little boy
> >
> > Oh, you are a regular inpatient in a mental intitution, Marky?
>
> wrong again

Oh, so you did spend half your life in one......

> >
> > > cut.
> >
> > Run away, little girl.

3229. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:04:24 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129583064.676781.124600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121058

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > The only thing sadder is that you weren't aborted.
>
> such hate

Marky = such stupidity.

3230. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:04:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129583070.992763.207040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121059

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
pruing again
> > > > > > Yep. and you frequently use the N-word on the air.
> > > > >
> > > > > which N word were you referring to
> > > >
> > > You know exactly what N word I am posting about, stupid.
>
> You don't have a brain inside that skull of yours, do you, Marky?

I certainly do little boy, not that Id realy need to use much of it
answering your shit
>
> > no I don't
>
> That is quite obvious.
>
> >
> > there are many n word some of them I certainly use on the air some I
> > don't use at all and everything in between
>
> You know exactly which N word I am posting about stupid.

again as the thread implies

if you have evidence I am doing something wrong report it the case you
hint at the FCC

pruning

3231. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:06:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129583214.859081.220360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.

more delusions little boy

and do learn to tell boys from girls

obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
president of the SVRC

3232. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:07:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129583259.275455.302990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121061

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > just exactly how would a gruop go about a local variation in the
> > reconized band plan
> >
> > been chatting with some locals interested in some AM stuff on 6M and
> > prehaps elsewhere planety of really unsed rf "real estate" but little
> > mention of anything but ssb cw and Fm on the bandplans
>
> Local informal convention here is that AM is clustered in the vicinity of 50.400
> to 50.550Mhz.
I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

3233. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:10:27 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129583427.791591.13000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121062

an Old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> pruing again

Running away again, you mean.

> > > > > > Yep. and you frequently use the N-word on the air.
> > > > >
> > > > > which N word were you referring to
> > > >
> > > > You know exactly what N word I am posting about, stupid.
> >
> > You don't have a brain inside that skull of yours, do you, Marky?
>
> I certainly do little boy, not that Id realy need to use much of it
> answering your shit

That single brain cell that is functioning doesn't count, retard. You
don't have brains enough to even get past the no code tech.

> >
> > > no I don't
> >
> > That is quite obvious.
> >
> > >
> > > there are many n word some of them I certainly use on the air some I
> > > don't use at all and everything in between
> >
> > You know exactly which N word I am posting about stupid.
>
> again as the thread implies

Again, you missed the concept as it flew over your empty skull at Mach
5.

>
> if you have evidence I am doing something wrong report it the case you
> hint at the FCC

Could be in the works, Marky, since you keep proving you lack the
character to posess a license with your perverted behavior.

>
> pruning

You mean running away.

3234. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:11:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129583492.234063.163310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin,
Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document
from
> > > > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > > > > > > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > > > > > > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > no I don't say that
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Yes, you did, stupid.

> >
> > nope another lie
>
> The proof is in your post, dumbass!

where?
all that is there is prove that you were lying about the contents
>
> > >
> > > >
> > > > again you simply lying little boy
> > >
> > > Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.
>
> You sure do suck cocks, don't you, Marky?

more hate
>
> > I sure do
>
> Die of your AIDS, faggot.

what AIDS?
>
> > >
> > > >
> > > > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > > > John Hancock to manage the declaration
> > >
> > > That'snot what you said. Quit lying and backpedaling.
> >
> > yes it is little boy
>
> Thanks for admitting you were lying, Marky.

no such admission was made
>
> >
> > you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading
>
> You are just too stupid to realize you made a mistake.
what mistake?
>
> > >
> > > > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > > > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > > > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > > > anti-slavery language.
> > >
> > > Bull. The only one editing is you because your faggot feelings get hurt
> > > so easily.

> >
> > more of your hate filled bile
>
> Gonna cry now, little girl?
no
>
> >
> > you do sound a lot like what Stevie would like to sound like but is too
> > afraid of convetion to come out and say under his own name
>
> You hear sounds along with the many voices in your empty skull, Marky?

no
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > cut
> > >
> > > Run away, little girl Marky.
> > >
> > > > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > > > >
> > > > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > > > alcoholic.
> > > >
> > > > wrong again little boy
> > >
> > > Oh, you are a regular inpatient in a mental intitution, Marky?
> >
> > wrong again
>
> Oh, so you did spend half your life in one......

no never been in one
>
> > >
> > > > cut.
> > >
> > > Run away, little girl.

3235. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:11:36 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129583495.967814.245550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an Old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
>
> more delusions little boy

More truth, you miserable failure and excuse for a human being.

> and do learn to tell boys from girls

You are a whiny little girl, Marky.

>
> obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
> passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
> president of the SVRC

More lies from Marky.

3236. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:16:46 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129583806.947204.192240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin,
Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in
the
> > > > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document
from
> > > > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not write
> > > > > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > > > > > > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > > > > > > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > no I don't say that

> > > >
> > > > Yes, you did, stupid.
> > >
> > > nope another lie
> >
> > The proof is in your post, dumbass!
>
> where?

Read it above, stupid.

> all that is there is prove that you were lying about the contents

No, stupid. You lose as usual.
> >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > again you simply lying little boy
> > > > >
> > > > > Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.
> > >
> > You sure do suck cocks, don't you, Marky?
>
> more hate

More whining about criticism of your perverted lifestyle?
> >
> > > I sure do
> > >
> > Die of your AIDS, faggot.
>
> what AIDS?

The aids you have, Marky.

> >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > > > > John Hancock to manage the declaration
> > > > >
> > > > That'snot what you said. Quit lying and backpedaling.
> > > >
> > > yes it is little boy
> > >
> > Thanks for admitting you were lying, Marky.
>
> no such admission was made

Learn to read, dumbass.

> >
> > > >

> > > you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading
> >
> > You are just too stupid to realize you made a mistake.
> what mistake?

You mean besides you being born? Read above.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > > > > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > > > > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > > > > anti-slavery language.
> > > >
> > > > Bull. The only one editing is you because your faggot feelings get hurt
> > > > so easily.
> > >
> > > more of your hate filled bile
> >
> > Gonna cry now, little girl?
> no

Sounds like you are, sugar britches.
> >
> > >
> > > you do sound a lot like what Stevie would like to sound like but is too
> > > afraid of convetion to come out and say under his own name
> >
> > You hear sounds along with the many voices in your empty skull, Marky?
>
> no

Oh, that's right, sound doesn't travel in a vacuum.......
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > cut
> > > > >
> > > > Run away, little girl Marky.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > > > > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > > > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > > > > alcoholic.
> > > > > >
> > > > > wrong again little boy
> > > > >
> > > > Oh, you are a regular inpatient in a mental institution, Marky?
> > >

> > > wrong again
> >
> > Oh, so you did spend half your life in one......
>
> no never been in one

Yes you have and will soon be again......

> >
> > > >
> > > > > cut.
> > > > >
> > > > Run away, little girl.

3237. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:22:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129584168.264864.224300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

N0VFP wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
> >
> > more delusions little boy
>
> More truth, you miserable failure and excuse for a human being.

more delsuions
>
>
> > and do learn to tell boys from girls
>
> You are a whiny little girl, Marky.

another that does not know the differencebetween boys and girls
>
> >
> > obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
> > passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
> > president of the SVRC
>
> More lies from Marky.

not a one and I think most could be proven from the web

3238. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:25:25 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129584325.493636.74250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121068

N0VFP wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
pruing again
> > if you have evidence I am doing something wrong report it the case you
> > hint at the FCC
>
> Could be in the works, Marky, since you keep proving you lack the
> character to posess a license with your perverted behavior.

go for it.

make my day

let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
happened

the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
can't take part in hate filled gay bashing

even the pea brains around here (or should i say pee brains) know
better. they may not like it but they know there hate filled shit
doesn't fly

cut.

3239. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:27:28 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129584448.421146.7390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121069

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> >>>Radio.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
> >>
> >>
> >>In the earliest days of radio, those who purchased parts and built sets
> >>to receive these radio waves were often accused of dabbling in magic.
> >>
> >>
> > wasn't Faraday accused of it with a Vacum tube I seem to remember a
> > story like that to do with an audio amp
> >
>
> Michael Faraday experimented with electricity and magnetism, long before
> the vaccum tube.

yea I know but somebdoy I remeber reading an article not don't think it
was micheal faraday of the laws and such

suchthing like an audion it was cllaed as i recall

3240. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:31:11 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129584671.315441.88380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N0VFP wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin,
Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders in
the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the document
from
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not
write
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > no I don't say that
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, you did, stupid.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope another lie
> > > >
> > > > The proof is in your post, dumbass!
> >
> > where?
>
> Read it above, stupid.

it isn't there
>
> > all that is there is prove that you were lying about the contents
>
> No, stupid. You lose as usual.
lose what?

> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > again you simply lying little boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.
> > > >
> > > > You sure do suck cocks, don't you, Marky?
> >
> > more hate
>
> More whining about criticism of your perverted lifestyle?

no

just calling hate, hate
> > >
> > > > > I sure do
> > > >
> > > > Die of your AIDS, faggot.
> >
> > what AIDS?
>
> The aids you have, Marky.

no I don't

Nobody senible get AIDS these days, at first yes before we knew how it
was spread there was a decent excuse
>
> > >
> > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > > > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > > > > > John Hancock to manage the declaration
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That'snot what you said. Quit lying and backpedaling.
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes it is little boy
> > > >
> > > Thanks for admitting you were lying, Marky.
> > >
> > no such admission was made
>
>
> Learn to read, dumbass.

talking to whinny i guess

>
> > >
> > > >
> > > > you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading
> > > >
> > > You are just too stupid to realize you made a mistake.
> > what mistake?
>
> You mean besides you being born? Read above.

no mistake there

>
> > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > > > > > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > > > > > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > > > > > anti-slavery language.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Bull. The only one editing is you because your faggot feelings get hurt
> > > > > > so easily.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more of your hate filled bile
> > > >
> > > Gonna cry now, little girl?
> > no
>
> Sounds like you are, sugar britches.

then you are of course wrong

> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > you do sound a lot like what Stevie would like to sound like but is too

> > > > afraid of convetion to come out and say under his own name
> > >
> > > You hear sounds along with the many voices in your empty skull, Marky?
> >
> > no
>
> Oh, that's right, sound doesn't travel in a vacuum.......
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > >
> > > > > Run away, little girl Marky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > > > > > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > > > > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > > > > > alcoholic.
> > > > > >
> > > > > wrong again little boy
> > > > >
> > > > Oh, you are a regular inpatient in a mental institution, Marky?
> > > >
> > > wrong again
> > >
> > Oh, so you did spend half your life in one......
> >
> > no never been in one
>
>
> Yes you have and will soon be again......

only if I decide to visit someone
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > cut.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Run away, little girl.

3241. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:34:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129584892.371981.188330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121071

Morris wrote:
> Great Dan, good friend.
>
> Let's take a look at the WB2OTK case. When it happened, the way the
> factual situation was presented to me, I thought it was a winnable
> cause: 1) Frustrated in the dark of night, Whiten writes a resignation
> email, but doesn't send it, presumably mulling it, 2) He goes to bed,
> 3) Then his Wife, while using the computer, lets the email fly.
>
> On its face, the issue could be said to be harmless error. Errata is
> what lawyers call it, and they claim it in cases all the time.
> Especially in this case, where the rules require resignation with more
> formality, that email, if challenged, was insufficient to serve as a
> real resignation.
>
> However, Dan, remember the FCC has broad discretion that the courts
> will not disturb. So as a regulator, Riley had the power to do what he
> wanted, and Rich had the right to challenge it through due process. He
> elected not to, and there the matter has stood.
>
> I believe the chain of events occurred because Whiten was not well
> regarded by the FCC. In fact, I believe the agency thought he was a
> genuine threat. As in police matters, whenever there is one suspicion
> that is not proven, subjects can lose out for other express reasons
> that are more provable.

not sure I see your point. let me try to ask a question almost
unreleted. you going on about something like Capone being charged was a
tax matter because it provable rather than murder, something like sems
to what is coming out of your post to me. Am I on the right track
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX

3242. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:42:50 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKGdnctIqKygkcneRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Fred wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
> <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>
> It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
> a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
> numbered.

It has been too long coming, poor old x-MAN, maybe finally getting what he so richly deserves. Would be wonderful to never hear the idiot on the ham bands again.

3243. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:45:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKGdncpIqKxBkcneRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120996

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
>>Radio.
>
>
> Depends on what you mean by "magic"...

In the earliest days of radio, those who purchased parts and built sets
to receive these radio waves were often accused of dabbling in magic.

3244. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:48:00 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <rt68l192bspj08hf767cs1pghrrmurn523@4ax.com>
Source record: 121072

On 17 Oct 2005 03:44:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
>were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)

That would be Special Event Station N8F, according to the news release
below:

Special Events - November 2005
Remembering the Edmund Fitzgerald

For the 9th consecutive year, members of the Stu Rockafellow Amateur
Radio Society will be in Whitefish Point and Paradise, MI, to put
Special Event station N8F, ï¿½Remembering the Edmund Fitzgeraldï¿½ on the
air 03-06 Nov 2005. On 10 Nov 1975 the Fitzgerald and her crew of 29
went down in Lake Superior approximately 17 miles from Whitefish
Point, MI. Target frequencies are 3.860, 7.260, 14.260, 18.160*,
21.360, and 28.360* kHz (*secondary). No CW operations because of ARRL
CW Sweepstakes. Also, teaming up with SRARS this year are The
Stillwater Amateur Radio Association from Stillwater, MN, using their
club call sign, Wï¿½JH, operating from The Split Rock Lighthouse State
Park at the West end of Lake Superior (Lighthouse #USA783), and The
Arrowhead Radio Amateur Club from Duluth, MN, using their club call
sign, Wï¿½GKP, operating from the Duluth area. N8F contacts send a 9x12
SASE (60ï¿½ return postage) for certificate to W8VS/N8F, Richard Barker,
264 N. East St., Brighton, MI 48116; w8vs@arrl.net;
http://www.qsl.net/w8njh. Wï¿½JH contacts send 9x12 SASE ($0.60 return
postage) to Wï¿½JH, 1618 West Pine St., Stillwater, MN 55082. Wï¿½GKP
contacts send 9x12 SASE ($0.60 return postage) to Wï¿½GKP, c/o KCï¿½NFB,
115 Eden Lane, Duluth, MN 55805-1533; kc0nfb@charter.net

>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

73, Leo

3245. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:49:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKGdncVIqKwokMneRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 120999

Matt wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
>> Matt wrote:
>>
>>> There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
>>>
>>> ---------------------
>>> There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
>>> the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
>>> even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
>>> cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
>>> boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
>>>
>>> 73,
>>>
>>> Lloyd
>>> --------------------
>>>
>>> How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!
>>
>>
>>
>> it would be legal to set up the hardware ale to cross link to put it on
>> the air for test by persons holding license in both services, and to
>> place it in use in emergency condictions
>>
>
> Really? What happens when GMRS user is talking on the ham frequencies,
> but doesn't have a HAM call sign?

the_old_idiot Markey, doesn't know the rules.

3246. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 21:56:39 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1129586199.927668.242490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121073

Precisely, an Old friend.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

3247. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 22:12:21 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129587141.006098.3170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121075

Leo wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2005 03:44:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
> >were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
>
> That would be Special Event Station N8F, according to the news release
> below:

taking the chance of speaking for Steve I think he meant the Ship Call
in maritime service
>
> Special Events - November 2005
> Remembering the Edmund Fitzgerald
cut

3248. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 22:18:08 UTC
From: K�HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AqV4f.10067$hY6.3413@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121076

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> during an emergency it doesn't matter as part 97 allows
> any use of the bands in an emergency.
>

A common but erroneous misconception. While subpart E, article §97.401(a) allows licensed AMATEURS to use any available frequency during an emergency, it is NOT reciprocal to other services and it does NOT allow GMRS (or anyone else) the use of the ham bands.

> if the linkage were under the control of a ham then depnding on the
> setup 3rd party rules would apply

"Third party" rules do NOT apply to the situation, because this is not third-party traffic as defined in Subpart A, article §97.7(a)(46) but rather it is retransmitting signals from another radio service which is specifically forbidden in Subpart B, article §97.113(e).

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3249. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 22:22:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WcqdnbMQ7rk_iMneRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121066

an old friend wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
>>>>Radio.
>>>
>>>
>>>Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
>>
>>
>>In the earliest days of radio, those who purchased parts and built sets
>>to receive these radio waves were often accused of dabbling in magic.
>
>
> wasn't Faraday accused of it with a Vacum tube I seem to remember a
> story like that to do with an audio amp
>

Michael Faraday experimented with electricity and magnetism, long before
the vaccum tube.

3250. Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 22:31:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129588285.090815.192880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121077

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 14 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2573	600
Indeterminate (note 1)	162	52
Value for Percentages	2411	548
Against NPRM (note 2)	727 [30.15%]	172 [31.39%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1317 [54.62%]	283 [51.64%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	367 [15.22%]	93 [16.97%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3251. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 22:51:29 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <dqa8l1hhrm9bevmkj3h3qjid4ithto03c8@4ax.com>
Source record: 121078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Oct 2005 14:06:54 -0700, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
wrote:

>
>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
>
>more delusions little boy
>
>and do learn to tell boys from girls
>
>obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
>passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
>president of the SVRC

How? The other member wasn't bright enough to vote for
himself?

3252. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 22:53:15 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <1sa8l11cbufnr4uo75qj6j817qime8ecj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:42:41 -0400, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
>mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
>
>If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
>list read by men, women and children.

One of the cheapest, lowest forms of censorship. If they're
offended, let them fire up a moderated-for-the-weak-of-stomach
newsgroup.

>
>Fred wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
>> <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
>> a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
>> numbered.

3253. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 22:54:06 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <5va8l15fdlmpnijgs3ehnnv1903cmvmpae@4ax.com>
Source record: 121080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Oct 2005 13:00:53 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Amos Keag wrote:
>> Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
>> mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
>
>and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
>amuck in the USA
>>
>> If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
>> list read by men, women and children.
>
>Your point?
>
>likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
>about chilren when the real issue is control
>>
Finally -- someone with insight into these kinds of
pantywaists.

>> Fred wrote:
>>
>> > On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
>> > <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
>> > a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
>> > numbered.

3254. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 23:09:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <RJOdnSte2qYEvcneRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121074

```
an Old friend wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
>>>>>>Radio.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>In the earliest days of radio, those who purchased parts and built sets
>>>>to receive these radio waves were often accused of dabbling in magic.
>>>
>>>
>>>wasn't Faraday accused of it with a Vacum tube I seem to remember a
>>>story like that to do with an audio amp
>>>
>>
>>Michael Faraday experimented with electricity and magnetism, long before
>>the vaccum tube.
>
>
> yea I know but somebdoy I remeber reading an article not don't think it
> was micheal faraday of the laws and such
>
> suchthing like an audion it was cllaed as i recall
>

De Forest invented the first amplifying vaccum tube in 1906, he call it
the 'audion'.
```

3255. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-17 23:50:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uNW4f.2842$4O1.232@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121081

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> Jim didn't have a chance to chime in before Hans blew his troll. Too
> bad.
>

There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
propagation. There are several perfectly sound
technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.

Beep beep

3256. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:01:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nXW4f.15827$vw6.154@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep....a world class liberal idiot AOF.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129566106.547947.249190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Dr.Ace wrote:
> Free men are not equal, and equal men are not free. Those who believe in
> an
> artificially enforced equality, therefore, hate the freedom which
> naturally
> proves them to be silly and ignorant, brainwashed little sheep.
> Ace - WH2T

bullshit is a complete response , and a proper label for that dreck
>
>
> "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9pH0f.7059$oc.4861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> You are one of those elist folks that can't accept that are ONLy equal
> >> of the rest of us
> >>
> >
> > The "equality of all" is a naive myth which serves mainly to perpetuate
> > mediocrity in the human race. "....that all men are created equal" makes
> > a
> > nice sound-bite but even the most casual examination of any random
> > sample
> > of humankind disproves it beyond any doubt.
> >
> > It always baffles me that free people buy into the notion of "democratic
> > equality", because in fact the notions of "freedom" and "all are equal"
> > are almost diametrically opposed. If I accept that I am your equal (and
> > that you are mine) then I give up all freedom to be different from you,
> > better than you, or worse than you. I simply WILL NOT give up that
> > freedom, and therefore I reject the notion of "human equality".
> >
> > If you want to explore the results of real human equality, read Kurt
> > Vonnegut's short story "Harrison Bergeron" at

> > http://instruct.westvalley.edu/lafave/hb.html
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> >

3257. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:03:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JZW4f.15831$vw6.9634@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:AgR4f.2700$4O1.1861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote
>
>> Free men are not equal, and equal men are not free. Those who believe in
>> an artificially enforced equality, therefore, hate the freedom which
>> naturally proves them to be silly and ignorant, brainwashed little sheep.
>> Ace - WH2T
>
> This should be engraved in two huge marble plaques and displayed in both
> houses of Congress.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> This should be engraved in two huge marble plaques and hung around AOF's
> neck and tossed into.....well you fill it in.

Dan/W4NTI

3258. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:10:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <y3X4f.15838$vw6.5475@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>

> sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem

Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you hit
send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish...Are you brain damaged
or something?

Dan/W4NTI

3259. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:13:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129594419.661393.28830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Yep....a world class liberal idiot AOF.

wrong again Danny boy
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129566106.547947.249190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

3260. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:13:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <43543E42.8BC1DDE4@milestones.com>
Source record: 121086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
>
> Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you hit
> send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish...Are you brain damaged
> or something?

That's classic 'tard boy gibberish. Years from now, social anthropologists will be looking at that very carefully.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3261. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:15:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129594531.799962.268470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
>
> Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you hit
> send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish.

then don't read it nobody is hoding a gun to your head

>..Are you brain damaged
> or something?

how many time do I have to explain dyslexiod aphasia for you. I have
explained it and it results many times try google
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3262. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:16:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1129594599.711860.79280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:AgR4f.2700$4O1.1861@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote
> >
> >> Free men are not equal, and equal men are not free. Those who believe in
> >> an artificially enforced equality, therefore, hate the freedom which
> >> naturally proves them to be silly and ignorant, brainwashed little sheep.
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >
> > This should be engraved in two huge marble plaques and displayed in both
> > houses of Congress.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > This should be engraved in two huge marble plaques and hung around AOF's
> > neck and tossed into.....well you fill it in.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

again with the suggestions of violence

clearly you don't believe in the priciples of the constitution or
liberty or a lot of american ideals

3263. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:18:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <obX4f.15842$vw6.9102@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh really? Well since you claim to have made it, repost it right here.
You lying puke.

Dan/W4NTI

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129579859.649954.237900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Gutless puke didn't even answer the question. Course I knew he wouldn't.
>
> simply missed it in the flurry of post you arrogant SoB
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1128984041.985563.25420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1128905574.299905.305910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > gee asserting that one has equal rights in amercia make someone an
>> >> > idiot in your eyes
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> No Markie...just YOU.
>> >
>> > proving my point.
>> >
>> > you don't respect the constitution premise that All men are created
>> > equal
>> >
>>
>> You dont seem to get it Markie.....Im not saying you have no rights
>> because
>> the Constitution says so (you claim).
>
> as it does
>

>> Actually it is the Bill of Rights.
>
> which is of course a part of the constitution of the US, or didn't you
> know that?
>
>
>>I
>> object to you being equal in that you "claim" to be a man.
>
> I am a man.
>
> I am your equal as a matter of law
>>
>> Based on you actions here I despute you are indeed a man.
>
> that is your right I supose but it only proves you a fool, and exposes
> you a someone that does not respect the constitution or it's framers
>
> that is a simple fact and you can't get arround it.
>
> You, Stevie, Dave, Ace and Dee do not show respect for the basis of
> civilised society. each of you in your own ways chooses to deregard and
> direspect those principles
> cut
>

3264. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:22:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <rfX4f.15843$vw6.3998@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121090

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129514617.088355.315940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> cut
>> > Had a guy who used to work for me who thought that participating in a
>> > discussion was to zone out for most of the time, not paying any
>> > particular attention, then jump on how I (or others in the
>> > conversation)
>> > pronounced a word, or some other trivial thing.
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
>> Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
>> substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
>> topic and be rational. Thanks.
>
> it is SOP around here esp when you don't have any facts to use
>

Oh...like you AOF? Like the ones you claim to have learned while living
with your parents all these years ? Or the ones you make up as you slur out
various incoherent statements?

Dan/W4NTI

3265. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:25:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JhX4f.15845$vw6.6851@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121091

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vYWdnaIs9IUEoM_eRVn-rw@comcast.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>> Amateur radio isn't magical.
>>
>> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
>> Amateur Radio. Of course, you won't see a question concerning magic or
>> metaphysics on the test you take to obtain your license, but in your
>> heart you will come to believe in that powerful, intangible force.
>>
>> All of us in the hobby have felt its influence at one time or another.
>> Some night when the band is dead as a doornail you'll be tuning
>> aimlessly, not expecting to hear a single signal because NOTHING could be
>> coming through at that hour. But then, rising out of the noise like a
>> ghost, there will be a faint call from another stalwart explorer
>> thousands of miles distant. You pounce, establish contact and both of
>> you marvel at the fact that the conversation is taking place at all. A
>> few minutes later, however, the signal vanishes as quickly as it came.
>> Your rational mind will shrug it off as a quirk of propagation, but that
>> little tingle in your gut will tell you otherwise.
>>
>> If you could travel back in time to, say 500 years to 1505 AD, you'd
>> probably be burned at the nearest stake for even suggesting that two
>> human beings could communicate with each other over great distances
>> without a physical connection. No doubt they would accuse you of dabbling
>> in magic -- and they'd be right!
>>
>> As Amateur Radio operators, we work feats of magic every day. Many of us
>> have become jaded about our powers and we tend to dismiss them as
>> commonplace. We hardly think twice when we use our equipment to sommon
>> the elemental forces of the universe. But every so often we need to pause
>> and remind ourselves of what we are really doing. We need to remember the
>> essence of what drew us to our unusual avocation in the first place: the
>> wonderful magic of wireless communications.
>>
>> (With thanks to WB8IMY)
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

>> --
>> "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King
>> Of Old Could Do Thus?"
>> --AC6V
>
>
> Yes it is the "magic" of wireless that is endlessly fascinating. The part
> about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Dee,

I remember hearing about years ago when "Ether" was considered the medium of how radio was propagated. That particular theory ran into trouble when we started sending space shots up..

Dan/W4NTI

3266. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:26:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129595181.882991.168870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

kashe@sonic.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2005 14:06:54 -0700, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
> >
> >more delusions little boy
> >
> >and do learn to tell boys from girls
> >
> >obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
> >passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
> >president of the SVRC
>
> How? The other member wasn't bright enough to vote for
> himself?

well the SVRC had about 100 members at the time but when I stod for
president NOT one of them was willing to take the job. prehaps the
greatest greatest achievement of term of Office was inspire a couple of
the old timers to stop resting on their laurels

3267. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:29:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xlX4f.15846$vw6.5498@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121093

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129503726.625995.287960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129423759.672728.260350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > It is a fun hobby, an activity done for
>> >> > personal pleasure but one that requires federal regulation
>> >> > due to the nature of EM propagation. Some want it to be
>> >> > MUCH MORE than what it is in order to fulfill some kind of
>> >> > daydream they have to show their personal greatness. <shrug>
>> >>
>> >> And often it is MUCH MORE.
>> >
>> > Yep. Often it is higher class hams dissing lower class hams.
>> >
>> >> As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief
>> >> efforts
>> >
>> > True enough. But those efforts must obey the same laws of physics that
>> > the other radio services obey. Amateur radio isn't magical. Morse
>> > code isn't magical, mystical, nor mythical.
>> >
>>
>> So the "lower class" doesn't "diss" those with a higher class license?
>> Personally I think that must stop, from BOTH ends of the license
>> structure.
>
> so when you going to stop?
>>
>> And your right, Ham nor Morse is not magical, mystical, nor mythical.
>> But
>> Morse DOES WORK and so does Amateur Radio Emergency Communications. End
>> of
>> Discussion.
>
> but how well does it work? what does it acheieve as opposed to what it
> could do
>
> you are showing your true colors
>
```

> nothing may be questioed or discussed without accept your ground rules
> in adnavnce
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Let me make this as simple as I possible can for your intellect
level.....Stuff it somewhere that is DARK. Need any explanation
dipshit?

Dan/W4NTI

3268. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:31:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wo6dnS26U_LP38neRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121094

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>
>>> I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really
>>> enjoy Amateur
>>> Radio.
>>
>>
>>
>> Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
>
>
>
> In the earliest days of radio, those who purchased parts and built sets
> to receive these radio waves were often accused of dabbling in magic.

We still are, it seems - quitesurprisingly!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3269. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:32:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <KoX4f.15847$vw6.10246@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121095

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129500984.061655.103110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > John wrote:
>> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not
>> > > > full of
>> > > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>> > > >
>> > > > Dan/W4NTI
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > 14.275?
>> >
>> > that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
>> > not speak for Len and Frank
>>
>> Watta hoot...
>>
>> Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
>> recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
>> why...
>
> but my remarks are completely true

Yeah...to a mental defective like you Markie they probably feel true. What
a duffass.

Dan/W4NTI

3270. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:32:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RoX4f.2849$4O1.2658@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121096

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

> I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example

??????????????????????

3271. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:33:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129595595.399239.106100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121097

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:vYWdnaIs9IUEoM_eRVn-rw@comcast.com...
> >
> > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:CIi4f.1271$fc7.1048@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >>
> >>> Amateur radio isn't magical.
> >>

pruning
> >> and remind ourselves of what we are really doing. We need to remember the
> >> essence of what drew us to our unusual avocation in the first place: the
> >> wonderful magic of wireless communications.
> >>
> >> (With thanks to WB8IMY)
> >>
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> --
> >> "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of The Earth! What King
> >> Of Old Could Do Thus?"
> >> --AC6V
> >
> >
> > Yes it is the "magic" of wireless that is endlessly fascinating. The part
> > about "summon elemental forces of the universe" is awesome.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Dee,
>
> I remember hearing about years ago when "Ether" was considered the medium of
> how radio was propagated. That particular theory ran into trouble when we
> started sending space shots up..

wrong again Dan it was the Michealson and Morley (I doubt that I
spelled either name right) when they tried to used light and prsisms to
measure the speed at which the Earth was moving through that ether.
there results proved that the Pope who scantioned Galleio was wrong and
the earth was in fact center of the unverse (or at least not moving wrt
to that center) or form the basis of Special relitivity. this was well
before space shot.

Today it is comon expriment for college physics students in about their 3rd year (at least that is when I did)

but the demotration preceded Von Braun and Goddard by at least 30 years maybe more like 40 to 50 years. indeed it may have preceeded radio itself cant rememebr right off

>

> Dan/W4NTI

3272. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:34:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bqX4f.15849$vw6.15746@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121098

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129501117.608273.122590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129098509.625366.110400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full
>> >> of
>> >> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
>> >
>> > why dont you found one Dan? Google provides the means
>> >
>> > or are you going to whine about the fact not everyone agrees with you?
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> Folks can disagree with me all they want.
>
> really? me tinks thou aret fibbing
>
>> I just require they have sense
>> and can respond in a readable manner.
>
> ah I see there is your catch they must compose in the manner YOU want
> and accept Your ground rules
>
>
>> That elliminates you.
>
> sure does
>
> but indeed If you wan to avoid me and the other start your own
> moderated group
> and then nothing will disturb you again
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

You, the local village idiot, think you can run ANYONE off ANY group. GAWD
you need mental help.

Dan/W4NTI

3273. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:37:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6tX4f.15852$vw6.13157@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121099

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1129503018.074657.323590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Great Dan, good friend.
>
> Let's take a look at the WB2OTK case. When it happened, the way the
> factual situation was presented to me, I thought it was a winnable
> cause: 1) Frustrated in the dark of night, Whiten writes a resignation
> email, but doesn't send it, presumably mulling it, 2) He goes to bed,
> 3) Then his Wife, while using the computer, lets the email fly.
>
> On its face, the issue could be said to be harmless error. Errata is
> what lawyers call it, and they claim it in cases all the time.
> Especially in this case, where the rules require resignation with more
> formality, that email, if challenged, was insufficient to serve as a
> real resignation.
>
> However, Dan, remember the FCC has broad discretion that the courts
> will not disturb. So as a regulator, Riley had the power to do what he
> wanted, and Rich had the right to challenge it through due process. He
> elected not to, and there the matter has stood.
>
> I believe the chain of events occurred because Whiten was not well
> regarded by the FCC. In fact, I believe the agency thought he was a
> genuine threat. As in police matters, whenever there is one suspicion
> that is not proven, subjects can lose out for other express reasons
> that are more provable.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

BUT that still does not make it "right". Personally I think there was a
lot more going on than FCC or OTK would fess up to. Rich has refused to do
a thing about it. Proof enough for me.

Dan/W4NTI

3274. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:37:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129595845.302339.60860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Oh really? Well since you claim to have made it, repost it right here.
> You lying puke.

no do your own work you lazy bum

I get so tried people like you wanting others to their work for them

even Stevie will likely grant I made that explanation he just claims I
am lying in saying it aplies to me
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

3275. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:38:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129595902.723651.295780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

kashe@sonic.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2005 13:00:53 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >Amos Keag wrote:
> >> Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
> >> mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
> >
> >and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
> >amuck in the USA
> >>
> >> If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
> >> list read by men, women and children.
> >
> >Your point?
> >
> >likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
> >about chilren when the real issue is control
> >>
> Finally -- someone with insight into these kinds of
> pantywaists.
>

a great deal of it I am afraid been posting it for here and there for
years
cut

3276. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:38:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ruX4f.15853$vw6.14302@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121102

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1129584892.371981.188330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Morris wrote:
>> Great Dan, good friend.
>>
>> Let's take a look at the WB2OTK case. When it happened, the way the
>> factual situation was presented to me, I thought it was a winnable
>> cause: 1) Frustrated in the dark of night, Whiten writes a resignation
>> email, but doesn't send it, presumably mulling it, 2) He goes to bed,
>> 3) Then his Wife, while using the computer, lets the email fly.
>>
>> On its face, the issue could be said to be harmless error. Errata is
>> what lawyers call it, and they claim it in cases all the time.
>> Especially in this case, where the rules require resignation with more
>> formality, that email, if challenged, was insufficient to serve as a
>> real resignation.
>>
>> However, Dan, remember the FCC has broad discretion that the courts
>> will not disturb. So as a regulator, Riley had the power to do what he
>> wanted, and Rich had the right to challenge it through due process. He
>> elected not to, and there the matter has stood.
>>
>> I believe the chain of events occurred because Whiten was not well
>> regarded by the FCC. In fact, I believe the agency thought he was a
>> genuine threat. As in police matters, whenever there is one suspicion
>> that is not proven, subjects can lose out for other express reasons
>> that are more provable.
>
> not sure I see your point. let me try to ask a question almost
> unreleted. you going on about something like Capone being charged was a
> tax matter because it provable rather than murder, something like sems
> to what is coming out of your post to me. Am I on the right track
>>
>> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

You just can't keep your stupid idiotic comments out of anything can you?
You don't have a dog in this fight. Why can't you just shut the hell up for
once AOF?

Dan/W4NTI

3277. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:41:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <KwX4f.15855$vw6.7798@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121103

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1129586199.927668.242490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Precisely, an Old friend.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

Why do you give this goof any credence at all? He hasn't got the change for a nickel in common sense.

He knows NOTHING about the OTK situation. And I flat out REFUSE to allow him to disrupt OUR attempt at a reasonable conversation about an important issue in Amateur Radio.

This is what this dipshit does Bob. He loves it. I am sick of it.

Dan/W4NTI

3278. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:41:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129596116.634108.252220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121104

Morris wrote:
> Precisely, an Old friend.

(in re capone vs IRS)

thank you for making that clear

It does alas confirm the distrubing conviction I have held for years:
that the Govt is lazy

I can understand with Capone nobody could pin anything on him and he
was a BAD man, but for this to become SOP or at least an SOP for mere
matter of Ham Radio and other lessor matter (Sorry folk but no way I
think any fuck up by a ham compares to Capone)is distrubing to me, and
that the Govt in this case the FCC is just refusing to do their JOB
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX

3279. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:45:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129596307.252761.229320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121105

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129501117.608273.122590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1129098509.625366.110400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not full
> >> >> of
> >> >> kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> >> >
> >> > why dont you found one Dan? Google provides the means
> >> >
> >> > or are you going to whine about the fact not everyone agrees with you?
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >> Folks can disagree with me all they want.
> >
> > really? me tinks thou aret fibbing
> >
> >> I just require they have sense
> >> and can respond in a readable manner.
> >
> > ah I see there is your catch they must compose in the manner YOU want
> > and accept Your ground rules
> >
> >
> >> That elliminates you.
> >
> > sure does
> >
> > but indeed If you wan to avoid me and the other start your own
> > moderated group
> > and then nothing will disturb you again
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> You, the local village idiot, think you can run ANYONE off ANY group. GAWD
> you need mental help.

not at all I think that to meet your needs that is not having to deal with views you don't like you need to look to a moderated format, preferably for you where you are the moderator

No effort being made to run you off, at least not by me

you are the one in need of mental help taking a true and facual statement as an effort to run you off, it is called paranoia. I hear it can be treated
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3280. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:45:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vAX4f.15858$vw6.8513@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

You continue to amaze me Markie (an old friend). You know NOTHING about a subject, yet you jump in with both feet. What a flippin MORON.

Dan/W4NTI

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1129581512.827338.308930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Charlie wrote:
>> I agree with Jeff and would just like to educate this "Fred" guy that
>> having
>> a Ham license is not any kind of "right" but an earned privilege through
>> testing and correct on-the-air conduct which is where K1MAN failed
>> miserably.
>
> really can you point out his failure I have seen one thing Alleged that
> be clearly out of line, but no sign of "failed miserably"
>>
>> I also agree the feds were way too patient......
>>
>

3281. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:46:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129596364.842132.174270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121107

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129500984.061655.103110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> nobodys old friend wrote:
> >> > John wrote:
> >> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> > > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not
> >> > > > full of
> >> > > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > 14.275?
> >> >
> >> > that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
> >> > not speak for Len and Frank
> >>
> >> Watta hoot...
> >>
> >> Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
> >> recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
> >> why...
> >
> > but my remarks are completely true
>
>
> Yeah...to a mental defective like you Markie they probably feel true. What
> a duffass.

no they don't feel true they are true you mental defective
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3282. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:46:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <YBX4f.15862$vw6.10922@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1129583214.859081.220360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
>
> more delusions little boy
>
> and do learn to tell boys from girls
>
> obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
> passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
> president of the SVRC
>

Big Flippin DEAL...What have you DONE with that ham license? From what I
have read on USENET you need to turn it in since you badmouth hams so much.

You are a PUKE.

Dan/W4NTI

3283. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:47:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <2DX4f.15863$vw6.544@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

THIS from a self admitted FAG. What a total jerk Markie is.

Dan/W4NTI

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1129584168.264864.224300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N0VFP wrote:
>> an Old friend wrote:
>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little
>> > > girl.
>> >
>> > more delusions little boy
>>
>> More truth, you miserable failure and excuse for a human being.
>
> more delsuions
>>
>>
>> > and do learn to tell boys from girls
>>
>> You are a whiny little girl, Marky.
>
> another that does not know the differencebetween boys and girls
>>
>> >
>> > obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
>> > passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
>> > president of the SVRC
>>
>> More lies from Marky.
>
> not a one and I think most could be proven from the web
>

3284. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 00:59:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129597176.893639.83160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1129583214.859081.220360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
> >
> > more delusions little boy
> >
> > and do learn to tell boys from girls
> >
> > obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
> > passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
> > president of the SVRC
> >
>
> Big Flippin DEAL...What have you DONE with that ham license?

what I choose to do with it, within the rules and regs proscribed by
the FCC. I hope you can say the same. I turely do hope you can say the
same

> From what I
> have read on USENET you need to turn it in since you badmouth hams so much.

I don't bad mouth Hams as a class of people I do bad mouth hams person
by person as they earn such treatment in my judgement. Something you
also choose to do.

chooseing to punture the pretenous egos of person like yourself is no
reason to turn in my license
>
> You are a PUKE.

you are a Barbarian but exchanging insults is hardly productive
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3285. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:02:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129595698.631479.47560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121111

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129514617.088355.315940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > cut
> >> > Had a guy who used to work for me who thought that participating in a
> >> > discussion was to zone out for most of the time, not paying any
> >> > particular attention, then jump on how I (or others in the
> >> > conversation)
> >> > pronounced a word, or some other trivial thing.
> >> >
> >> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >> Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
> >> Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
> >> substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
> >> topic and be rational. Thanks.
> >
> > it is SOP around here esp when you don't have any facts to use
> >
>
> Oh...like you AOF?
not at all I don't need to resort to such things

> Like the ones you claim to have learned while living
> with your parents all these years ? Or the ones you make up as you slur out
> various incoherent statements?

again you are the one making incoherant statement here Dan

again with the SOP attack the person not the facts when you have no
facts

>
> Dan/W4NTI

3286. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:02:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129597349.823143.322530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> You continue to amaze me Markie (an old friend). You know NOTHING about a
> subject, yet you jump in with both feet. What a flippin MORON.

I know a great deal about it. I just don't agree with your assesment

K1MAN is appreantly an unpleasent fellow, possibly a mental case, but
only the alleged interference in emergency comms seem to be very
serious to me

I have read the endless trashing of this man and I say where's the
beef. I would not be inviting K1MAM over to my place but that is a long
way from throwing him out of the ARS, after I would not invite you over
either
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129581512.827338.308930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Charlie wrote:
> >> I agree with Jeff and would just like to educate this "Fred" guy that
> >> having
> >> a Ham license is not any kind of "right" but an earned privilege through
> >> testing and correct on-the-air conduct which is where K1MAN failed
> >> miserably.
> >
> > really can you point out his failure I have seen one thing Alleged that
> > be clearly out of line, but no sign of "failed miserably"
> >>
> >> I also agree the feds were way too patient......
> >>
> >

3287. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:04:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129597481.793577.9250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> THIS from a self admitted FAG. What a total jerk Markie is.

and now we come to the real issue trying your panties in a bunch that I
am Bisexual

you are a homophobe. a bigot. making you lower than pond scum in my
eyes

grow up hate monger
>
> Dan/W4NTI
cut

3288. What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:13:51 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <nvi8l1hjob5rbhju3ji78pfe8q2ksgoram@4ax.com>
Source record: 121114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
wrote:
> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
>
What's wrong with being a fag?
```


```
----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3289. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:21:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129598474.136715.139450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Roger Wiseman wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> wrote:
> > THIS from a self admitted FAG.
> >
> What's wrong with being a fag?

while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
not a matter of public policy

it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS

3290. Re: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But Himself....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:23:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129598598.927123.92690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121116

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 16, 10:48 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 15, 7:48 am
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> >> > > FROM THE ARRL WEBSITE:
> >
> >> >> > > QUOTE
> >
> >> >> > > ARRL Lab Manager is guest lecturer (Oct 12, 2005) --
> >
> >> >> > Steve, what a shame that you take a peice of good news and turn it into
> >> >> > hate spam trash.
> >
> >> >> Quite the contrary, Brian. I celebrate Ed's appointments and have
> >> >> great respect for his accomplishments. More power to him.
> >
> >> >Hi! I'm absolutely positive that Ed appreciates your
> >
> >> >"Subject: Amateur (And ARRL Staffer ta-boot) Appointed to IEEE
> >> >Programs...No Mention Of Lennie Anderson Serving Anyone or Anything But
> >> >Himself...."
> >
> >> >posting here. Not!
> >
> >> Dudly the Imposter is still obsessed with HATE of certain
> >> individuals and can't help himself.
> >
> >Serving after serving of A$$hole Flambeau. I honestly don't know how
> >he does it.
>
> He's just nuts.

He needs salve.

> His rationalization must be that, if he keeps on repeating his
> lies long enough, often enough, some will believe him.

PSYOPS 101.

> The ARRL Bulletin did NOT mention my name. I'm not active on
> any IEEE Standards Committees. Yet Dudly the Ugly HAD to "add"
> my name to the Bulletin headline in his "quote" in here.

Obsession.

> He is just obsessed with his HATRED and can't stop.

Perhaps aroma therapy...

> >> By the way, I'm not nor have I ever been on any IEEE Standards
> >> Committee. Dudly confuses me with Carl Stevenson who is running
> >> for Member At Large on an IEEE Standards Committee. My ballot for
> >> the IEEE Election was mailed early...but I cannot vote on Carl's
> >> name since I'm not on any Standards Committee.
> >
> >I just voted for Jim Weaver, Great Lakes Division. We don't
> >necessarily agree on things, but the man has kept me informed, unlike
> >so many of his ARRL predecessors.
>
> That's the way TRUE representatives should be.

Yep.

> >> Proof of Dudly's HATE is expressed by his contemptuous add-on to
> >> the ARRL news item headline. He MUST express his HATE at every
> >> opportunity. That's a very sick syndrome that keeps getting
> >> repeated in here.
> >
> >He's probably on a "persons of interest" list in his home state.
>
> I disagree. It might be on the walls of U.S. Post Offices, though.

I'll be on the lookout.

> >One
> >day he's gonna pop and his neighbors won't be saying, "I'm so suprised,
> >he was such a..." Instead they'll be saying, "I told you he was gonna
> >pop!"
>
> That's highly probable...

I'll be watching the news.

> >> >> > You should be ashamed of yourself, that is if you
> >> >> > had any shame.
> >
> >> >> And what about YOUR shame, Brian? Your open, "unashamed"
> >> >> pronouncement of your >>admiration<< for a creep whose lies and deceit
> >> >> about the very radio service in which you are a licensee are well
> >> >> known?
> >
> >> >You were also my mentor for a short while. And that shamed me
> >> >horribly. I do have a conscience and couldn't keep up the new,

> >> >insulting thread subjects, the incessant claims of "liar," etc, etc,
> >> >etc.
> >
> >> Dudly the Ugly flies again.
> >
> >It's in his nature.
> >
> >If he had this same attitude and disagreed with Jim, Dave, or Kelly...
>
> All the PCTAs must stick together?

>From St. Hiram's Liturgy: "We must all stick together, or assuredly we
shall all stick separately."

> >> >> We can go on and on about your other silliness, ie your lying
> >> >> about Somalia, "emergency comms", "ARES won't respond", etc etc etc,
> >> >> but we all know it too well.
> >
> >> >Somalia is true. You're simply envious.
> >
> >> Tsk. Somalia must not have been one of the "seven hostile
> >> actions" that Dudly the Imposter claimed to have been "in."
> >
> >Here's a newsflash: Steve was in no hostile actions.
>
> I dunno...the two words "hostile actions" can apply to most
> anything involving fighting...from wars to barroom brawls. :-)

I hardly think that short-sheeting an "A" NCOIC constitutes a hostile
action. More like a practical joke seven times over.

> >> His envy is so strong that his epidermal layers must contain
> >> chlorophyl! :-)
> >
> >He consumes "Soylent Green."
>
> Heh...but he'll never look like Charlton Heston... :-)

But does a pretty good imitation of Charlaton Heston.

> >> >Emergency comms are true, and cell phones are a fact of life.
> >
> >> >"ARES" as a voluntary agency will respond as they are able to.
> >
> >> >All true.
> >
> >> "Truth" in Dudly's world is of Orwellian confusion of opposite
> >> meanings. Dudly's "truth" is in his imagination. Everyone
> >> else's truth is a "lie" according to Dudly.
> >
> >You know, I tried to cut the man a break when tragedy visited his
> >household. But he was this way long, long, before that. You'd think

> >that he'd walk away from such a tragedy thinking that life is too
> >short, sometimes far, far too short to be squandering it with such
> >behavio[u]r. I pity the man.
>
> His mindset seems to be centered around the close tie-in between
> his ego and his imagination. If he IMAGINES he did something,
> then he "did it" and that is all the "documentation" he needs.
> When others don't believe this "documentation" his EGO takes over
> and he generates intense HATRED against his doubters. An example
> of that is his new thread where he is claiming that I am really
> "Dudley" (the Gann imposter).

He's discovered the "null hypothesis." But doesn't yet understand how
it works.

> He is trying to "re-fight" old
> arguments (over and over and over again), perhaps hoping to show
> that he was "really the victor and not the spoil."

That is a definition of insanity.

> I've seen a few variations on this mixed-up mindset on BBSs
> before the Internet went public 14 years ago. Those all lasted
> only a brief time before they were simply kicked off the BBSs
> by sysops. In here it will take a miracle to get rid of anyone,
> this newsgroup being unmoderated. The bullies of the group will
> keep on trying to force off those who "disturb them" any which
> way they can.

They have until close of business tomorrow.

> >> Dudly seems to live in a world composed entirely of PR news
> >> squibs, vicariously existing in amateur radio announcements
> >> by OTHERS. Note that he does not actually participate in
> >> ANY of the functions' news items he posts. Fantasy world of
> >> his is constantly on display.
> >
> >He did say that he went to New Orleans in a rescue roll. I thanked him
> >for his service. Then he $hits on me.
>
> Whoa. WE don't have any sort of PROOF that he was actually ON
> this "rescue roll."

I asked that he prove nothing. I gave him the benefit of doubt. I was
a bigger man than him.

His posting was well after Katrina had
> hit the Gulf states and he could have been copying news story
> descriptions to flesh out his claims. Dudly (Robeson) seems
> to live vicariously on the accomplishments of others who have
> done noteworthy things. He establishes an imaginary identity
> with them so strong that he may actually believe he did those
> things himself. [that's the sick part] He desperately wants

> to be noteworthy himself but, so far, all he can do is this:
>
> Dudly (Robeson) will $hit on ANYONE disagreeing with him, try to
> fight (with words) with them on disagreements, always with the
> aim of putting HIM in the limelight. That's archived in Google
> all the way back to when DejaNews was archiving newsgroups. He
> wants ATTENTION to himself...by any which way he can, usually
> by hurling personal insults at his disagreers. Notice all the
> postings that center around Dudly's (Robeson's) personal
> disputes with others. He becomes a sick "center of attention."

True enough. But it's still possible that he did perform volunteer or
even paid duty in New Orleans.

> So far, Dudly's (Robeson's) "discussion" has very little to do
> with Ed Hare or BPL RFI. He has not said he attended the news
> bulletin meeting and does not discuss BPL subjects at all.

100% Correct.

His
> main purpose was to hurl yet another personal insult towards
> me. Typical. Fits right in with his main posting purpose of
> making much over his personal squabbles with others. <shrug>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Again Correct.

3291. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:30:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129599007.970705.98470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121117

KØHB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
> > I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>
> ??????????????????????

Hans appears incapable.

Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
locality is different.

3292. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:41:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129599714.508072.250320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121118

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
> > Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
> > substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
> > topic and be rational. Thanks.
> >
>
> Quite frankly Brian, in this respect you are *exactly* like him. I am
> not trying to discredit you, and have no need to.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Quite frankly, Mike, you cut my direct quotations of Hans, Dee, and
youself, then reiterate that like your "supposed" substandard employee
I don't know the subject at hand.

Why cut quotes of yourself if I don't have a handle on the subject
matter?

Did this employee have a name? Hi!

Is it Friday? I smell fish.

3293. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:50:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129600248.020069.193820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121119

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Jim didn't have a chance to chime in before Hans blew his troll. Too
> > bad.
> >
>
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation.

Dee tends to think there is. Mike chimed in. Now you reneg on the
concept. They should "feel" suckered.

There are several perfectly sound
> technical reasons why it is beneficial to occasionally
> sacrifice a goat in your antenna field.
>
> Beep beep

List them.

3294. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 01:53:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129600425.872419.306330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121120

Mike Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >>I merely question your, Jim's, and Mike's exuberance
> >>in questioning others faith in God. Why do it?
> >
> >
> > I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. Enjoy it, take
> > comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.
>
> Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are like that.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

If you only knew.

3295. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 02:01:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129600882.770508.250360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121121

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> > I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> > Radio.
>
> Depends on what you mean by "magic"...

You are quoting Dee, attributing Hans...

Magic?

3296. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 02:02:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129600955.966724.30140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121122

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >
> >>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> >>Radio.
> >
> >
> > Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
>
>
> In the earliest days of radio, those who purchased parts and built sets
> to receive these radio waves were often accused of dabbling in magic.

Hi!

3297. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 02:11:03 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net>
Message-ID: <2005101722110364440%garv@elaboratenet>
Source record: 121123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 2005-10-17 21:13:51 -0400, Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com> said:

> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> wrote:
>> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
>> What's wrong with being a fag?
>

Ummm, a little thing called AIDS? It's nature's way of saying "STOP IT".

--
PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.

3298. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 02:13:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129601610.331936.96670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Fred Garvin wrote:
> On 2005-10-17 21:13:51 -0400, Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com> said:
>
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> > wrote:
> >> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
> >> What's wrong with being a fag?
> >
>
> Ummm, a little thing called AIDS? It's nature's way of saying "STOP IT".

wrong again
>
> --
> PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.
```

3299. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 02:16:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129601800.602827.104850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121125

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > > > "Blew it"...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > How's that?
> > > > >
> > > > You went and got yourself discharged from the U.S. Marine Corps.
> > >
> > Uh huh...And getting paid nicely for it.
>
> that is really BIG LIE
>
> no one in the service is paid half what they should be

Obviously he was worth more to the U.S. Marine Corps out of the service
than in the service. Hi!

> > > but he had no choice in the matter as we can all see he lacks the brain
> > > even for the Marines
> >
> > Mark, YOU, of ALL PEOPLE in this forum have NO BUSINESS suggesting
> > who has "brains" for anything.
>
> I sure do
>
> I have forgotten more than you ever knew

That would be extremely hard to disprove, so I am going to accept it on
the face of it.

Good post, Mark.

bb

3300. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 02:44:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_jZ4f.2901$4O1.1552@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121126

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>
>> > I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
>> > Amateur
>> > Radio.
>>
>> Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
>
>You are quoting Dee, attributing Hans...
>
>Magic?

No magic. He quoted me and attributed me.

Sunnuvagun!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Magic Electric Smoke

3301. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 03:37:43 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <o7m8l1hoe5dtgej1kmbrfunqdh8i5v88ad@4ax.com>
Source record: 121127

On 16 Oct 2005 15:43:37 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> License testing for manual morse code cognition skill simply
> became obsolete. A REAL problem is that those who passed
> the manual tests refuse to let it BE obsolete...it is an
> ingrained psyche touchstone, a mile-marker of how far they
> came once. They refuse to look at the future and OTHERS
> who may come later. It is a very personal thing to them.

For whatever reasons those who have opposed the elimination of code testing over the years have done so, I personally feel the observation that this was/is the root of the problem is spot on.

I can recall back in about 1975 or so, there was a proposal for a no-code "Communicator Class" license. It was shot down, largely due to opposition by ARRL. It was along about the same time that computers first became reasonably affordable for home use. A generation of technically inclined young people suddenly had an alternative to ham radio and its code testing. A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had for a fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other kit manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).

Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s and then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the testing and spend $200 or so on a computer. Thousands voted with their feet, and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with radio and went into computers instead.

Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of licensees as posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys who might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the code test are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry and probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you handed them one gratis. As for young people today, they grow up with cell phones, and game machines that have more processor power than the computers on the space shuttles, and the computers in today's homes are capable of real-time communication between almost any two points in the world without regard to propagation or licensing procedures or any other such inconveniences.

So, why should they have any interest in ham radio? We're nothing more to them than a collection of fossils playing with a curiosity we call CW which is good for a laugh but little else.

Interesting, then, that the state of the art in ham radio has now come full circle with the advent of Voice-Over-IP systems like EchoLink and IRLP. If you can't beat 'em, join 'em.

> Another casual factor is human mortality. Keeping things
> as they were is a form of psychological stability..."all
> things are as they were then" and there are no new things
> to overcome. Keeping the status very quo is comforting to
> those who have become "mature." :-) It has an artificial
> stability sense of delaying their own demise...in addition
> to the nostalgia and yearning for a youth now irrevocably
> lost to the past.

Someone much wiser than myself (I forget who) once wrote that one always retains one last bit of foolishness from childhood, that to retain all of them is to be immature, but that to surrender all of them is an even worse alternative.

From that standpoint, there is nothing wrong with people wanting to maintain the status quo - for themselves. The error in judgement is in trying to force the same status quo on the rest of the world.

> In many Comments elimination of the
> federal requirement of manual code testing will cause a
> near-total cessation of manual morse code use if removed!
> [extremists add the degeneration into anarchy and chaos,
> supposedly the environment of CB]

It has been noted previously that CB-like behavior is engaged in by some hams who have passed a code test, while at the same time there are plenty of hams who have never passed a code test who do not behave like some of the neanderthas who inhabit 11 meters, not to mention the MURS, marine VHF, FRS, and other license-free or licensed-by-rule radio services. Thus, the successful demonstration of telegraphy skills is not a character reference, as FCC has pointed out in almost exactly those words.

What I haven't seen discussed is the effect of economics. One can buy a brand new CB rig for $50 or less these days. Stick a $20 mag-mount antenna on something metal and you have a CB station of modest capabilities for under $100. No code, no written test, and if you happen to be a major asshole it's not a problem either. Ham rigs cost a heck of a lot more, the cost of even used HF rigs is several times what a CB rig costs. I think that is more likely to keep the CB cave dwellers off the ham bands a lot longer than any code test would.

Note that not all CB operators fit the above comments, and however unfortunately, not all hams fail to fit the above comments.

> In the USA the FCC was on public record 15 years ago that
> it did not feel that any manual morse code test was
> necessary for their purpose in granting USA ham licenses
> (FCC 90-53, a copy of which visible on www.nocode.org).
> However, the test requirements were still in the Radio

> Regulations of the ITU-R and the USA was obliged to obey it.

Not really, the USA simply chose to obey it. The USA has similarly chosen unilaterally not to obey other international agreements, including one related to the use of land mines and another related to greenhouse gases and the so-called "global warming" effect.

Say what you want about the lack of code testing, but at least code-free ham radio doesn't blow people's legs off or threaten to melt the polar caps and turn W1AW into an IOTA station.

> Obsolesence in Radio Regulations finally was recognized,
> not only in S25.5 but in many other parts of S25. S25 was
> rewritten at WRC-03 and manual morse testing made optional
> for each adminstration. [there won't be another WRC until
> 2007] Since 2003, 23 countries have removed the absolute
> necessity of testing for manual morse skill for HF and
> below. It should be noted that the International Amateur
> Radio Union was FOR the modernization of S25 at least a
> year prior to WRC-03...and the optionality of code testing
> by each administration.

It should also be noted that the lone dissenting vote was cast by the ARRL, which appears determined to go down with the ship at least.

> One problem, a REAL problem, here in the USA is the un-
> willingness of the ARRL to go with the desires of the
> majority of American radio amateurs. They seem to cater
> to their core membership which is the older, code-tested
> amateurs. The ARRL membership is (as of July, 2005) still
> only 1 in 5 licensed U.S. radio amateurs, definitely not
> a majority. ARRL has to either "go with the flow" or
> give up saying that it "represents the ham community."

On this point...okay, granted that ARRL does not go with the desires of the majority of US hams, but why should they? As you point out, the majority of US hams are not ARRL members. If the League seems to be carrying out the wishes of its members, this should not be any great surprise - that's what the hams who pay dues to belong to the ARRL *expect* them to do.

If the ARRL does not represent the majority of hams, it's not their fault. It's the 4 out of 5 hams who do not pony up their dues, and then start telling their division directors to tow the line if they expect to be re-elected.

> There is no real membership/special-interest group
> competitor to the ARRL in the United States, so it
> doesn't seem that there is any "drive for growth" coming
> from such groups.

It's about 30 years too late for that anyway. The gunshot wound to ham radio's figurative foot was self-inflicted in the mid-1970's when they

turned their backs on no-code licensing then. Now we see the results every few weeks courtesy of N2EY's postings.

The ARRL pays lip service in its electronic publications, but does little of substance to foster any serious upturn in the number of licensed hams beyond its participation in volunteer examining. By that I mean, while real change is what's needed, the League continues to oppose that change. Again, though, that seems to be the wishes of its members, or at least a majority thereof - so again it goes back to the 4 out of 5 hams who aren't League members.

> Few manufacturers need the amateur
> radio market so it won't be them to any great extent.

The manufacturers can do little to encourage folks to become licensed. They could advertise in places where non-hams would be exposed to their products. Kenwood could advertise the TS-850 in Newsweek. People could get interested. Then they find out that in order to actually use the damn thing, you not only have to pass the two written tests, but you have to spend who knows how long learning Morse Code so you can communicate with the same people in the same places they just finished chatting with over the Internet, without having to spend a thousand bucks on the radio, and the idea goes out along with the magazine before next week's issue even arrives.

Besides, the manufacturers are in business, their business is to sell radios, they're going to advertise to their potential customers, so their advertising dollars are going to be spent in a manner consistent with that.

> <snip>
Ditto.

> A lot more is coming for the average citizen if EDN and
> Electronic Design and SPECTRUM magazines can be believed.
> VoIP is an accomplished fact today, the only real
> drawback being some Common Carrier arguments against it.

I can think of some other drawbacks too, but obviously the point still stands. VoIP has even found its way into the ARS.

> The usual radio amateur argument for amateur radio is
> that it is "low cost" and "independent from infrastructure."

In fact, increasingly it is neither.

Rigs aren't getting any cheaper. In an effort to one-up the competition, the radio manufacturers keep adding more bells and whistles to their products, and thus adding more dollars to the price tag. A station consisting of just an entry-level HF transceiver and a wire antenna, tuner, and power supply will still set one back about a thousand bucks.

As for being independent from infrastructure, while a ham station can be temporarily operated on emergency power, eventually, batteries need recharging. Generators need refueling. Alternative power sources such as solar power, or maybe a windmill, can be used, but how many average hams happen to own a windmill? And have you checked out the price tags attached to solar panels lately? And of course, adding capabilities that utilize a computer (packet, SSTV, PSK-31, etc.) increases power consumption considerably.

> SOME amateur radio is low cost, yes, but the "independence"
> from the infrastructure inhibits a greater utilization of
> amateur radio in true emergency work (apart from the after-
> the-fact health-and-welfare messaging). Thirty years ago
> the "phone patch" was popular in connecting overseas
> servicemen with their families in the USA but, now that
> the military has the DSN with direct input to the Internet
> plus direct connection to stateside telephone networks,
> those phone patches are seldom needed; overseas military
> people can call home directly from nearly everywhere.

Nevertheless, I still hear MARS ops running morale patches for servicemen and women. Admittedly, though, I don't hear much of it on the ham bands anymore.

> "Low cost" equipment is highly debateable, even if out-
> rageous claims of some are corrected. Really low-cost
> HF transceivers HAVE to be used models, some with
> their insides "modified." New ones require a kilodollar
> across the counter minimum to set up a reasonable
> station.

Agreed.

> I look on the "companionship" of code testing and all testing
> as a lot of rationalized, smoke-screen-for-effect misdirection
> by the OT morsemen. :-)

Code testing, perhaps. But, all testing? I can't agree with you there. There are certain technical requirements relative to an amateur station which require some basic knowledge on the part of the operator in order to maintain the station within those requirements. To the extent that the RF spectrum is a natural resource, the stewardship of significant portions of that resource in the hands of hams deserves some indication that an operator has the knowledge to safely operate a station in accordance with those requirements.

Only hams may legally yank the covers off their rigs and fiddle around with the innards. Operators of public safety stations (police, fire, EMS, etc.), marine VHF radios, GMRS, CB, etc. may not. They have a factory rep, or a repair shop, do it for them. So do many hams, but for them it's a matter of choise, for those other guys it's the law.

>>Try this experiment - show a teenage kid an
>>SSTV picture being received, and watch the reaction.....

>
> Can't say I've had such an experience. If it's anything at
> all like old-style facsimile (that I had to run tests on
> in 1955), it would be deathly slow in generation for a
> teener's normal rapid pace. :-)

Exactly. The reaction the original post was referring to is basically, "Yawn!" which is the same reaction that will result from any attempt to show how cool ham radio is by demonstrating that we can do something over the radio that the kid has already done hundreds of times using his cell phone, or a PC over the internet. The code test isn't even a factor. You're showing the kid nothing he hasn't already seen, and done, before.

> I have observed some older teeners at a mall using cell
> phones with camera-imaging capability (they were comparing
> styles with friends in another mall). Quick, rapid
> response, all appearing to know how to use their phones
> as expertly as anyone.

There was a big deal made recently over the fact that in a contest between a couple of very experienced CW operators and a couple of cell-phone text messaging experts, the CW guys won handily. The text-messaging folks were quite surprised. The hams looked at it as a victory of sorts. Which it was. Except it's not going to make kids trade in their cell phones (which fit in a shirt pocket, run for hours on a tiny lithium ion battery, is paid for by his parents, requires no license or testing, and allows him to call anybody anywhere) for a ham station (which he has to pay for out of his allowance, requires a license and a testing process, only allows him to call other licensed hams, and can hardly be used while walking down the middle of the local mall checking out the cute ass on the bunch of girls walking ten yards ahead of him!).

>>We hams are becoming a rare breed as technology advances.
>
> Sigh...that has been happening since a half century ago.
> The miniaturization of nearly everything electronic is
> defeating the hammer-and-anvil, big-brute mentality of
> some hobbyists.

You check out the effect miniaturization has had on ham equipment, though, and you see that the state of the art has evolved since half a century ago. Compare my Kenwood TH-78A or Yaesu FT-100 of today to what passed for a "portable" radio in 1955.

> A REAL problem I see is the attitudes of some in vainly
> trying to keep the old paradigms...such as amateurs are
> "leading the way in state of the art developments." They
> aren't and haven't been since the advent of solid-state
> electronics a half century ago. They have to give up their
> wish-fulfillment of "greatness in radio" and just continue

> to have fun with their radios as a hobby. Nothing wrong
> with that and perhaps better oriented mentally to just
> enjoy a pastime. [that's what hobbies are]

To a large extent, I agree. It does occur to me, though, that few
hobbies provide the opportunity to do public service work and
contribute to community efforts in disaster preparedness and such.

> Nearly 60 years ago I got interested in radio while both
> flying model aircraft and being a part-time worker in the
> model-hobby industry (Testor Chemical Co., makers of
> cement, "dope" the lacquer paint, and balsa wood). Today
> the model hobby industry is bigger than ever and the AMA,
> the Academy of Model Aeronautics, has a quarter million
> members (more than the ARRL ever had). In knowing many
> modelers over the years, I've not heard any of them boast
> of "advancing the state of the art" in aeronautics nor of
> being anything else but hobbyists. The technology of air,
> sea, and space has long ago gone FAR beyond the
> capabilities of model hobbyists working by themselves.

Well, right...but then, NASA, the USAF, and the USN don't generally
employ these folks as volunteer assistants, either...and it's not
because they sniff too much glue, either.

> The same is true for "radio," at least for the MF-HF bands
> used by radio amateurs. It is basically a hobby, a fun
> pastime done for personal enjoyment, an intellectual
> challenge for those who want to get into the theory of
> it, but also needing federal regulation due to the nature
> of EM propagation and interference mitigation.

I agree on the above. However - If you admit that federal regulation
is needed, why decry "all testing" as you did earlier in your post,
when you stated:

> I look on the "companionship" of code testing and all testing
> as a lot of rationalized, smoke-screen-for-effect misdirection
> by the OT morsemen. :-)

What other method is there, other than testing, for insuring that
those individuals responsible for mitigating potential interference
from their own stations are knowledgeable enough to do so?

73 de John, KC2HMZ

3302. Hay there Stevie Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 03:47:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3rhae1pp2n5qd17apmr1p193j1rta7f5jb@4ax.com>
Source record: 121128

On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 15:07:09 -0400, "loray" <loray@monqreforg.net>
wrote:

>My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>
>Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
>following:
>
>6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
>they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
>
>14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
>over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>
>28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
>ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
>freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>
>Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
>invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
>enforcement going on.

gee looks to me like the FCC can't find these folks
most likely isn't bpthering me
>
>
>73,
>
>loray
>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3303. Re: Lennie Climbs Out From Under His Rock, Comes Out Mudslinging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 03:47:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <i3n8l1lupfot5l1psn1nmkrt0u4ff6km75@4ax.com>
Source record: 121129

On 15 Oct 2005 03:01:58 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 18:49
>>
cut
>> >> As did all the PCTAs in here, valiantly fighting the brave
>> >> fight with a morse key in each holster.
>> >
>> > Scumbag Lennie, ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie
>> >slither off to on occassion.....
>>
>> "Scumbag?" "...slither?"
>
> Yep. You quote well.
>
>> Well, a three-week trip to a college reunion might look like that
>> to one who has only been "licensured" as a high school graduate.
>
> Who has "only" a high school diploma, Lennie?

we are not sure

we know you are a nurseing school drpout
>
>> BTW, "occasion" has only one S in it...
>>
>>
>> > And of course, it's for no other purpose except to "make ugly" in
>> >RRAP.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I didn't write "scumbag" or "slither."
>
> No, you didn't...But you are one and you did.
>
>> ...or "sign off" with the Yiddish pejorative "Putz."
>
> Again, it's all about you, Lennie...Prove it "wrong".

not all about len or me and you

>
>> >> It would be fun to read of their stories, tales of heroism,
>> >> etc., while working for the OSS deep behind the lines and
>> >> sending intel back to Hq. I can compare them to those I
>> >> heard back in the 1950s from Signalmen who were actually

>> >> there!
>> >
>> > I imagine that most of what you re-tell here is already from bar
>> >room tales you over heard, Lennie...
>>
>> What "tales" have I told, masterradiooperator?
>
> Oh geeze...
>
>> Being assigned to a major Army radio station of the 1950s? Was
>> all true. Documented. I have not only witenesses but those
>> witnesses were there at the same time I was. HF. Radio. KW
>> power levels. 220 thousand messages relayed per month.
>
> Still tales, Lennie...Re-worded, repeated, should be retired.
>
>> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf
>>
>> Dudly the Imposter has "ZERO-POINT-ZERO" experience as a
>> military radio operator. Zip. Nada. Nyet. None.
>
> Wrong.
>
>> [unless one counts undocumented, unreferencible "Assistant NCOIC"
>> claims at a MARS station...:-)]
>
> Sorry, Lennie...IS documented and IS "referencible".
>
>> >> >Many became electronics and radio instructors.
>> >>
>> >> Right...and how MANY is "many?" More than five?
>> >
>> > According to ARRL numbers from the era (yeah...we know,
>> >Lennie..NOTHING the ARRL says is true...We've heard your lies
>> >before...) over 6000 licensed Amateurs of the era served IN UNIFORM
>> >during WW2.
>>
>> ...and don't you wish YOU were, mighty warrior?
>
> Was what? Been a member of the ARRL and was a member of the
>United States Marines.
>
>> Dudly the Imposter says "I told 'lies'" yet cannot prove it.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> However you HAVE told lies...Been caught in them repeatedly.
>
>> Dudly the Ugly mouths off again.
>

> Who's Dudly?

you stevie
>
>> >> Was the MIT Radiation Lab built up on a base of amateurs?
>> >
>> > Does it matter? I'll bet there were a few there.
>>
>> Tsk. You don't even know what "rad lab" is or stands for or
>> where it was located during WW2...
>
> Yes, Lennie...I can read a history book, just like YOU did.
>
>> >> Were there NO electronics professionals anywhere in the U.S.
>> >> electronics industry at that time?
>> >
>> > Are you suggesting that NONE of the "electronics professionals" of
>> >the era were licensees, Lennie?
>>
>> "Licensees" of what, masterradiooperator?
>
> A lame diversion, Your Scumminess.
>
>> >> What do you think Bell Labs in NJ had? A little shack
>> >> just working on telephones?
>> >
>> > Again...No Amateurs there either, Lennie? Not a one?
>>
>> Quote from the ARRL Holy Book, masterradiooperator, and tell us
>> EXACTLY how many. You imply you KNOW all.
>
> No, I don't.
>
> However it's a matter of histroical record (whether you like the
>sources or not) that Amateur licensees DID work in these many fields.
>
>> BTW, do you know WHERE "Bell Labs" were in WW2, masterradiooperator?
>
> What does it matter that I do or don't, Lennie?
>
> This has some relevence to YOUR insinuations that Amateur Radio
>operators did not play any kind of role in WW2?
>
>> >> What about the second-highest priority of manufacture in
>> >> World War 2, right behind the Manhattan Project? That was
>> >> quartz crystal unit manufacture...a million a month in the
>> >> last three years of WW2.
>> >
>> > Bet there was a couple there, too...
>>

>> Only a "couple?"
>>
>> Which of the more than 30 companies involved had licensed
>> radio amateurs, Dudly the Ugly?
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> Picking up on the diminutives of a twice court-martialed liar,
>Lennie?
>
> Getting lazy, aren't you?
>
>> The civilian center for quartz crystal unit production was
>> Motorola in Chicago in WW2. Except you said that Motorola
>> didn't exist back then, remember?
>
> As far as communications go, it didn't.
>
> Motorola's own website and historical archives refer, Lennie.
>
> Or are you NOW claiming to know more about Motorola's history than
>Motorola does?
>
>> >> >Many were involved in radio design and manufacture.
>> >>
>> >> Riiiight...all hams knew everything there was to know about
>> >> radar, microwaves, sonobuoys, VHF and UHF radio relay, and
>> >> primitive television used in the first guided bombs?
>> >
>> > So...We have NO persons working in ANY electronics venue in WW2,
>> >Lennie?
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Ugly has very little reading
>> comprehension. I don't know who the "we" are, but what you
>> say I wrote isn't what I wrote.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
>> "Electronics venue?" What are you talking about? "Venue?"
>
> Dodge, dance, spin and weave.
>
> What ever it takes to mislead and deceive.
>
> Good ole Lennie!
>
>> >> Locate Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Comapny and find out their
>> >> history...they built lots of BIG HF transmitters during
>> >> WW2! Really. BC-339s with 1 KW RF out in HF and BC-340s
>> >> with 10 KW RF out in HF. Said so on lots of nameplates.

>> >
>> > OK.
>> >
>> > Your point?
>>
>> Tsk, good opportunity for Dudly the Ugly to say that "vacuum
>> cleaners 'suck'!" [missed your chance, Dud]
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> And you didn't answer the question.
>
>> >> >Many became involved with Civil Defense
>> >> >and WERS (War Emergency Radio Service).
>> >>
>> >> Again, how MANY is "many?"
>> >
>> > More than are licensed in the Amateur service on Lanark, Lennie...
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Three of us living on Lanark Street are licensed
>> by the FCC in COMMERCIAL radio service. But, "Lanark Street"
>> didn't exist before 1950 so that doesn't fit with Dudly the Ugly's
>> personal hatred of certain folks in here...
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> And the existence of "Lanark Street" has WHAT to do with WHAT?
>
> By the way...You asked about the allegations of you lying.
>
> Your assertion that I "hate" anyone here is one of them. I've
>said this before and I've said it's not true, but here you are
>repeating it again.
>
>> >> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
>> >> United States then? [exactly one case of a Japanese
>> >> submarine firing a couple rounds on California, hitting very
>> >> little] [Hawaii wasn't a state back then, remember]
>> >
>> > Why?
>> >
>> > What does licensure in WERS have to do with air attacks?
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud, you'd best get with Buzziebaby on those
>> "air attacks." He say over 200 Japanese balloons "attacked"
>> the US of A in WW2. Were there NO "balloon spotters" manned
>> by "licensured" radio amateurs back then?
>
> Your English is getting as bad as Mark's, Lennie.
>

> There WERE "Air Wardens" and "Spotters" throguhout the United
>States...
>
>> >> My father-in-law was an "air raid warden" in his neighborhood
>> >> some 900+ miles inland from the east coast, about 2000 miles
>> >> inland from the west coast. About all that was accomplished
>> >> with those air raid drills was conservation of electricity
>> >> by turning off all non-essential lights.
>> >
>> > So?
>> >
>> > Again, just wondering what this may have to do with Amatuers
>> >having performed some service in WW2.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, I wonder what Dudly the Ugly had to do with
>> WW2 considering he didn't exist until a decade AFTER WW2
>> ended.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> You're still weaving and deceiving, Lennie.
>
> Are you going to answer the question?
>
>> >> Tell us all about CD and WERS, old timer. I'm sure the kids
>> >> in here will glory in your stories. I was a kid myself back
>> >> then and thought it real exciting to hear all those stories
>> >> of military life.
>> >
>> > Civil Defense and WERS were not "military", Lennie. They were
>> >civilian, hence the "C" in "CD".
>>
>> Tell us all about it, old-timer. You were THERE, weren't you?
>
> Nope. But then you BARELY were yourself.
>
> This makes six questions in a row that you avoided answerting with
>direct answers, but rather responded with some sandlot taunt.
>
> Why am I not surprised?
>
>> Fly your "E for Effort" flag proudly, masterradiooperator.
>>
>> [anyone alive during WW2 could see the "E flags"]
>
> Anyone who served in the Armed Forces in the LATE 20th Century
>could still see "E" flags at some Naval installations. The same "E" is
>also stencilled on the hangars and ships of US Navy Air and Sea assets
>of units awarded the "E".
>

>> >> >There is a large amount of
>> >> >documentation of the efforts of radio amateurs during the Second World
>> >> >War. Have you read any of it?
>> >>
>> >> Lots and lots of it available from the ARRL. Too bad they don't
>> >> mention all the NON-amateur contributions in radio...(SNIP)
>> >
>> > Why should they?
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you never saw any "war surplus radio" stuff?
>>
>> Old-timer, you've lead a sheltered life.
>>
>> The radio-electronics INDUSTRY of the USA built all those "surplus
>> radios" from WW2. Those were NOT built FOR radio amateurs.
>
> You claim I don't read what you write, Lennie, but I quoted you
>verbatim yet you respond to MY comments with something completely
>irrelevent.
>
>> > How many articles about Amateur Radio appear in IEEE publications?
>>
>> Over a dozen that I've seen...but the IEEE, a PROFESSIONAL
>> ASSOCIATION publishes not only SPECTRUM (the membership magazine
>> I get free each month), but the PROCEEDINGS (technical features
>> on both electric power and general electronic subjects) plus over
>> two dozen TRANSACTIONS (from special interest groups) each month.
>> Not only that, they publish (generally printed by Wiley) books
>> and biographies and historical texts on electric and electronic
>> subjects. Go to their website and check out what's available.
>
> A dozen?
>
> In your alleged 40+ years of "service" in the electronics field?
>
> That's one article every three and one third years.
>
> Divided between "Spectrum" and "Proceedings", that's a pretty poor
>showing.
>
> I betya references to IEEE occurs more frequently in Amatuer
>publications.
>
>> Tsk, Dudly the Ugly has to understand that "radio" is only one
>> small subset of the entirety of ELECTRONICS in the world. To
>> the vast majority of IEEE members, "amateur radio" is just a
>> hobby for personal recreation and enjoyment. The world of
>> electronics hasn't looked to amateurism to supply new insights
>> and principles for well over a half century...worldwide.
>

> And Lennie the Liar forgets that THIS forum is about AMATEUR
>RADIO...
>
> A radio service in which you do NOT have licensure or experience.
>
>> >> (UNSNIP)...or even
>> >> that the major communications mode of the U.S. military back in
>> >> WW2 was by TTY, not morse code...as it continued into the post-
>> >> War Two period. Western Electric Company made lots and lots of
>> >> NON-morse communications equipment...they of the Bell conglomerate
>> >> and not at all being amateur about what they did.
>> >
>> > Still nothing here that suggests that anything Dave (nor anyone
>> >else, for that matter...) said was incorrect.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, Dud, listen to Davie the Heil...he "worked with NASA"
>> and did "real radio operating" while in uniform. You have
>> exactly "zero-point-zero" experience in military communications.
>
> Wrong-o, Putz-o. Provided the MOS for it, along with my
>maintenence MOS's.
>
> Sorry you weren't paying attention.
>
>> >> But...the ARRL is the "only true" source of "radio history" isn't
>> >> it? Always "telling the truth" and omitting nothing, right?
>> >
>> > Geeze, Lennie, no one except YOU suggested that.
>>
>> Riiiiight...and the ARRL is "universally loved" by all "licensured"
>> radio amateurs!
>
> Nope. It's not.
>
>> ARRL *always* "tells the truth" and never omits mention of
>> hated professionals, right?
>
> Who's "hated"?
>
> Direct question: Can YOU >>prove<< a single one of your claims of
>dishonesty vis-a-vis the ARRL? Is there a single line intentional
>DISHONESTY in ANY ARRL text?
>
>> ARRL *never* "sins by omission" do they?
>
> What "sins"? What "omissions"?
>
> YOU suggest that since Amateur Radio is only a "hobby" that the
>IEEE, an organization of electrical engineers, should not reference or
>allude to it in their publications.

>
> On the otherhand, the IEEE's scope exceeds the ARRL's own scope
>and purpose, which is to discuss Amateur Radio.
>
> So again...to WHICH "omissions" do you refer?
>
>> > Just more proof of my theory that your misdeeds, mistruths and
>> >deceit in this forum is a hate-fest against the ARRL due to some
>> >perceived sleight that was dealt you in the past...
>>
>> Poor baby, being delusional again?
>
> Nope. Just pointing out the obvious.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to get rid of the amateur radio morse
>> code test in FCC regulations is NOT "hating the ARRL or 'all'
>> radio amateurs."
>
> But that's not what your conduct in this forum has presented.
>
> You've repeated that this forum is ONLY for the Morse Code Test
>issue, and that you are only here to force the end of Morse Code
>testing.
>
> However that's just what you "say".
>
> Your "actions" speak louder and prove you a liar.
>
>> >> Tell us your stories, old timer. They are always such a treat
>> >> on how you saved the country for democracy during WW2.
>> >
>> > Gee, Lennie...You're alsways the one with a "Back in the war..."
>> >story for us...Usually a pages-long ranting on the equipment line-up at
>> >ADA and how YOU single-handedly passed 1.2 million messages....
>>
>> So, old-timer, WHICH military radio station did YOU work at
>> "during the war?"
>
> I didn't. Never claimed to. But you, on the otherhand, have tried
>to claim some "hero by association" with your stories of heroics in
>rear-area Japan in 1953.
>
>> ...and WHICH "war" was it? You've never detailed that, Dudly
>> the Imposter. You've made only inferences, nothing detailed.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
>> >> Then you can insert the "PCTA truth" of how "all" radio ops
>> >> "must know morse code" in order to be "good radio ops" and how
>> >> anyone that doesn't love, honor, cherish and obey the morse

>> >> creed is "hating all hams" of today. [which is what it will all
>> >> boil down to...as usual]
>> >
>> > Or it will "all boil down to" Lennie Anderscum ranting and raving
>> >about how Amateurs know nothing, and that anyone not collecting a
>> >paycheck for thier activites in "radio" are underachievers.
>>
>> "their," sweetums...watch those typos when you get heated.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've not said that "all amateurs" "know nothing."
>
> Sure you have. Repeatedly.
>
>> I've said that CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL AMATEURS are IGNORANT of
>> anything but being able to read (word-for-word) every ad in QST
>> to get their "radio smarts." That's (unfortunately) true.
>
> No, it's not true. That's an unsubstantiated rant of YOURS.
>
>> That YOU are an UNDERACHIEVER is YOUR label for YOURSELF.
>
> Nice try, Lennie.
>
>> That YOU are HATING of anyone who has gathered experience
>> in radio operating anywhere but as a "licensured" amateur
>> is YOUR problem.
>
> Again you repeat this "hate" lie.
>
> I don't "hate" you or anyone else based upon what they "know" or
>"don't know" about radio electronics.
>
> As far as radio OPERATING goes, Lennie, you have zero-point-zero
>hours of radio operating experience as it pertains to AMATEUR Radio,
>which is the purpose of THIS forum.
>
> As for "hating" anything or anyone, I "hate" people who know "just
>enough" to try and force their uninformed opinions on others "just
>because".
>
> You remind me of some of the idiots that present to the ED and
>then try to "get involved" in the process based upon thier having
>watched numerous episodes of "E.R.", "Third Watch" and other related TV
>dramas.
>
>> >> Have Jimmie Noserve tell us all about Washington Army Radio
>> >> [WAR callsign] at Fort Detrick and how ACAN operated. He will
>> >> know because he thinks he was THERE or something. Have Dudly
>> >> tell us about the Montezuma halls and the beaches of Tripoli
>> >> as he valiantly fought side by side with other ham heroes in

>> >> the African campaign of '43. Wonderful stories and tales!
>> >
>> > Funny...
>> >
>> > All of the "wonderful stories and tales" come from you, Lennie...
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie once mentioned some old-timer's article
>> about WAR (Washington Army Radio) from pre-WW2 times and tried
>> to discredit the FACT that WAR was running TTY, not morse code,
>> during and after WW2. Washington Army Radio had the TTY
>> four-letter ID of "RUEP" in ACAN...ADA has "RUAP." [you need
>> more of the ACAN IDs, old-timer? I got them up to 1962]
>
> Gee, Lennie...Did YOU "serve" in 1943? Had you reached puberty
>yet?
>
>> Long ago in here some anony-mouse "reserve colonel" had a "son
>> in the [first] Gulf War" that was using "CW behind enemy lines
>> to send intel back to Hq." [his son would 'never' lie to him
>> he wrote] Anony-mouse slunk away when confronted with modern
>> land-forces radio equipment nomenclature and procedure, his last
>> posting saying he might have been mistaken.
>
> Or more likely your volumous blabberings were more than he cared
>to deal with, which is more like it.
>
>> [Dudly the Imposter has NEVER mentioned one single nomenclature
>> or familiar name of any military radio communication equipment
>> (other than a couple MARS radios) yet claimes to "know" about
>> military communications]
>
> Who's Dudly? And why do you incessantly suggest that reciting
>"military nomenclature" has anything to do with AMATEUR Radio...?!?!
>
>> HF radio was ONCE a mainstay of international radio communications
>> but that reverted to a backup system some time in the 1970s with
>> the coming of more modern methods of reaching around the globe.
>> That's the REAL HISTORY of radio communications to the REST of
>> the radio world.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> And this answers the questions posed to you but ignored by
>you....HOW...?!?!
>
>> Frankly, Dud, you know dink about radio communications other than
>> what you read about in ARRL pages. You've got a BIG problem of
>> sociopathy in newsgroups, always personally insulting anyone
>> who disagrees with you. Take your standard "sign off" as an
>> example:

>
> There's a "sociopath" here, Lennie, but it's not me.
>
> It's the guy who keeps posting rant after rant about his alleged
>"professional" and "military" careers in a forum for which either is
>only incidentally germane.
>
>> > Putz.
>>
>> Dudly the Ugly tries to strike again...and fails. Oy, gevalt!
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> He called you a putz, too...?!?!
>
> Smart guy!
>
>> Acting Ugly with all who disagree with you...(SNIP)
>
> But I don't.
>
>> (UNSNIP)...is NOT good PR for U.S. amateur radio...(SNIP)
>
> Here again we have you again presuming to known what's good for
>Amateur Radio all-the-while not having any vested interest in it.
>
>> (UNSNIP)...and you SO identify yourself with ALL of
>> amateur radio that the slightest negative against YOU personally
>> gets translated to some kind of "insult to all amateurs!"
>>
>> It doesn't work that way.
>
> Lennie, you're trying to "make the rules" here, and yet you're not
>even a player.

another lie Stevie you are the one trying to make the rules
>
> What's up with that, Putz?

more the sexual obsession of stevie
>
>> LenIloveToMakeRulesForOthersThatIWontHaveToLiveByAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3304. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 04:05:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <p1t8l1pd1l3q4q5an2avngm6qdo23n3p2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 121130

On Wed, 12 Oct 2005 14:25:53 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1129098408.733330.37400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
cut
>> do you agree with my statement that a for a truly new arguement that
>> the FCC would wait and study awhile?
>
>IF (big IF) some new compelling reason was identified to justify
>keeping code testing, then yes, I think the FCC might look deeper
>or perhaps rethink their proposal...BUT, as we both appear to agree,
>no such new and compelling reason(s) have been offered up by
>anyone. Even after several major widespread emergencies (Katrina, etc)
>no additional arguments or even anecdotal evidence has surfaced
>that points to any need for code knowledge.

I agree no new reason has been presented, w may disagree in that i
think if someone just came up with something truely NEW they would
delay and study it

but I don't expect even that
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3305. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 05:31:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IM%4f.2940$4O1.1725@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121131

an_old_friend wrote:
> just exactly how would a gruop go about a local variation in the
> reconized band plan

Local variation?

> been chatting with some locals interested in some AM stuff on 6M and
> prehaps elsewhere planety of really unsed rf "real estate" but little
> mention of anything but ssb cw and Fm on the bandplans

AM activity on 6m centers near 50.4 MHz. That's been going on for at
least 25 years.

Dave K8MN

3306. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 05:37:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cS%4f.2941$4O1.376@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121132

an old friend wrote:
> Matt wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Matt wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>There was a discussion in June in which this was said:
>>>>
>>>>----------
>>>>There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in
>>>>the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and
>>>>even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger
>>>>cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the
>>>>boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.
>>>>
>>>>73,
>>>>
>>>>Lloyd
>>>>----------
>>>>
>>>>How exactly is it legal to cross-link ham and GMRS?!?!
>>>
>>>
>>>it would be legal to set up the hardware ale to cross link to put it on
>>>the air for test by persons holding license in both services, and to
>>>place it in use in emergency condictions
>>>
>>
>>Really? What happens when GMRS user is talking on the ham frequencies,
>>but doesn't have a HAM call sign?
>
>
> when?
>
> during a test it would be improper.
>
> during an emergency it doesn't matter as part 97 allows any use of the
> bands in an emergency.
>
> if the linkage were under the control of a ham then depnding on the
> setup 3rd party rules would apply
>

That's strange. That very question came up in the November issue of World Radio News. Former FCC official John Johnston says it would never be permissible to link the two services.

Dave K8MN

3307. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 05:50:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Q205f.2948$4O1.343@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121133

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
>
>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>
>
> ??????????????????????

His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.

You explained it to the club official and I explained it to the club
official--but he didn't really want to know. The local club gang must
have been tlaking hypodermically.

Dave K8MN

3308. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 06:55:16 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <26a67fbefe755249dd4a73c2bc7d0ba0@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 121134

In article <1129314711.694140.232640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Cmd Buzz Corey on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 20:33
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
> >> United States then?
> >
> >Sure was.
>
> Riiiiight...all launched by Japanese RADIO AMATEURS?

Pearl Harbor? Not balloons, but Zeros.

> >Guess you forgot about the Japanese balloon bomb offensive where some
> >9,000 bomb carrying ballons were launched to be carried over the US by
> >prevailing winds. Maybe a 1,000 reached the US but only 285 incidences
> >were reported, some as far away as Michigan.
>
> Riiiiight...all REPORTED by American RADIO AMATEURS on
> "balloon watch" duty? [published in WW2 ARRL bulletins?]
>
> "As far away as Michigan?" :-) Do you consider the state of
> Michigan as "DX?" :-)
>
> I didn't "forget" about those non-fatal "balloon 'attacks'", I
> just IGNORED them. They made "zero-point-zero" effect on the
> WW2 production of the United States of America.
>
> Buzziebaby, you just leave the "history" of RADIO AMATEURS at
> war to the ARRL, Davie the Heil, and Jimmie Noserve. THEY were
> THERE and know far better than you about the "war years."
>
> Jimmie is the group's guru on Air and Space activity in the
> US of A (if not around the world) and is ultimate authority
> on what is what in Air and Space. He KNOWS stuff...after
> reading so much about it.

Yep, he sends people bogus subscriptions to those same air and space
magazines......people who disagree with him.

> Dudly has "zero-point-zero"
> experience as either amateur or professional in SPACE, which is

Dudly Nursie stormed the hill at Iwo Jima all by his lonesome..in his dreams.

> about the same as you (your black-and-white TV show being
> cancelled back in the 50s).

It was a cheezy show even then.

> Davie the Heil "worked with NASA"
> someplace (he said once in here)...another Space "expert."

NASA and the Air Force did have space flight experiments with monkeys........

> Listen to your betters.
>
> Try to recall what this newsgroup is about, okay? [RADIO
> AMATEUR POLICY, not some "war history" blabbering by lil
> kiddies who weren't even born until after WW2]

But they watch the "history" channel......

3309. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 09:03:00 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <22a0a$4354ba38$4e0b7b6$31288@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark / KB9RQZ aka "an Old friend" ,
I believe that you suffer from both Dyslexia and Aphasia (also known as dysphasia).
You blame these Psycholinguistic disorders for your inability to pass a Morse code exam.
On what brain dysfunction do you blame your inability or unwillingness to learn to use a simple spell checker?
Or are you just that damn lazy?

At a quick glance it appears that you have been posting more messages to rec.radio.amateur.misc than anyone else lately, not to mention the other NG's you cross post to.
Since I can't recall anyone in any NG ever agreeing with any of the nonsense you prolifically post to numerous NG's it appears that you must have a masochistic desire to be everyone's punching bag.
Or possibly you "know you're right about everything" and everyone else is always wrong and you've made it your mission in life to "set the world straight" - No gay pun intended.
Ace - WH2T

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message news:1129594531.799962.268470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>>
>> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
>>
>> Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you hit
>> send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish.
>
> then don't read it nobody is hoding a gun to your head
>
>>..Are you brain damaged
>> or something?
>
> how many time do I have to explain dyslexiod aphasia for you. I have
> explained it and it results many times try google
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

3310. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 09:30:58 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <6abe3$4354c0bf$4e0b7b6$31364@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:2DX4f.15863$vw6.544@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> THIS from a self admitted FAG. What a total jerk Markie is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Snipped

The bad part is that self-described "Bi-sexual" Mark never lets us forget
it.
He continually needs to remind us with his seemingly endless stream of
nonsensical posts.
Maybe he's seeking the title "Jerk of the Century".
Ace - WH2T

3311. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 10:08:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129630100.450645.94480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121137

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129514904.859829.69060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >> I don't think so.
> >>
> >> it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> >> version of Stevie
> >
> > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> > posting manners, and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> > only seen a photo of Steve.
> >
> > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> > logic fails.
> >
>
> No, I simply make every effort not to get involved in the back and forth
> arguments that many engage in. No one is going to change any one else's
> opinions and since the discussions far too often deteriorate into pointless
> bickering and name calling, I choose not to participate in such.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Fair enough.

3312. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 10:36:46 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ad45f.5905$v_5.3939@dukeread07>
Source record: 121138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Fred wrote:

> On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
> <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>
> It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
> a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
> numbered.
funny. I thought having a license was a privilege, not a right....

now, speaking your opinion in any forum publically available.... thats a
right.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3313. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 10:36:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129631809.179398.117010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121139

```
lied_to__old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > stole_from_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't think so.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
> > > > > > > version of Stevie
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
> > > > > > > posting manners,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > she puts me in mind of Steve back when he arrived
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
> > > > > > > only seen a photo of Steve.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > me too I am just giving her sight onseen the benifit of the doubt (what
> > > > > > > little doubt I have
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "sight UNseen" "benefit"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So what "benefit" is it that YOU think you have to grant, Mark?
> > > >
> > > > benifit of the doubt as is obviouss to anyone with a working brain that
> > > > read the sentence
> > >
> > > "Benefit" "obvious"
> > >
> > > Read what? The SENTENCE? Is THAT what that was?
> >
> > the sentence

"the sentence"...WHAT...?!?!

> Obviously you were able to make every word

"...make every word"...WHAT...?!?!

> that you can't seem to understand it after that must be a problem with
> your brain
```

It's not a problem with my brain...It's a problem with YOUR
fractured English.

> > Hard to tell, really. You don't know how to construct one
> > properly, so it's hard to tell what was on your mind.
> >
> > > of course that let you out Stevie
> >
> > No, Mark, it left YOU out.
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> batting 000 on accurate statement today

You forgot the "1", Mark...Batting 1000 today...

> > Your English comprehension and application suck.
> >
> > > > > > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
> > > > > > logic fails.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you may have a point there we shall see likely as not
> > > > >
> > > > > This is funny...
> > > >
> > > > your sense of humor needs work, but that is not a new statement
> > > > >
> > > > > Brain suggesting others lack logic...
> > > >
> > > > Stevie you don't know the meaning of the word
> > >
> > > Yes, I do.
>
> not according to your postings. they make it clear you don't know the
> meaning of the word

Sure I do.

It's your inadequacies with English that make things difficult.

YOU have a hard time with understanding what's said to you, and
then compound the problem with not being able to express yourself to
mutual understanding.

> > And I know it does not apply to you.
>
> logic as a word aplies to no one myself included (you too for that
> matter) logical can apply to people, and does apply to me (but not it
> seems yourself)

WHAT was THAT...?!?!

Must have been the written version of a "merry-go-round", because
it's making me dizzy.

> > > > Sheesh....
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Again.

And again.

Steve, K4YZ

3314. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:08:49 UTC
From: Fat Smelly Hamfest Bum <wabashcannonball@14313singers.com>
Message-ID: <230e0$4354f8b8$d1cc5667$13777@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Mabey the dingleberry from Belgrade Lakes can go
and party on Cape Cod with the rest of the NIGGERS.....

Evacuees binge on Cape: Spend Federal cash on booze, strippers
By Maggie Mulvihill and Dave Wedge
Tuesday, October 18, 2005 - The Boston Herald

BOURNE - Hurricane Katrina evacuees hastily handed
$2,000 in federal relief money last month have been
living it up on Cape Cod, blowing cash on booze
and strippers, a Herald investigation has found.
Herald reporters witnessed blatant public drinking at
a Falmouth strip mall by Katrina victims living at taxpayer
expense at Camp Edwards on Otis Air Force Base.

Strippers at Zachary's nightclub in Mashpee, a few miles
from the Bourne base, report giving lap dances to several evacuees.
``They were tipping me $5 a pop,'' said a Zachary's dancer
named Angel. ``I told them I felt bad taking their money. But I still took
it.''
Another dancer said a large group (of BLACK Katrina evacuees) from
the military base was in Zachary's recently and she gave lap dances
to several of the victims. ``Some spend good money, but others don't,'' she
said.

3315. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:20:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129638023.022090.285980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an Old friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
> > >
> > > more delusions little boy
> >
> > More truth, you miserable failure and excuse for a human being.
>
> more delsuions

You never seem to run out of them or stupidity, Marky.

> >
> >
> > > and do learn to tell boys from girls
> >
> > You are a whiny little girl, Marky.
>
> another that does not know the differencebetween boys and girls

Another case of you being too stupid to understand sarcasm.

> >
> > >
> > > obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
> > > passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
> > > president of the SVRC
> >
> > More lies from Marky.
>
> not a one and I think most could be proven from the web

Bull. You are just a KFC fry cook Colonel, too.

3316. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:26:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129638409.578538.126910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an Old friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin,
Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by slaveholders
in the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the
document from
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not
write
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the parchment
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you wrote
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.

> > > > > > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > no I don't say that
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes, you did, stupid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope another lie
> > > > >
> > > > Where is your post, dumbass!
> > >
> > > where?
> >
> Read it above, stupid.

Where is your brain, Marky?

> it isn't there

We know.

> >
> > > all that is there is prove that you were lying about the contents
> >
> > No, stupid. You lose as usual.
>
> lose what?

God, you are so obtuse, Marky.

> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > again you simply lying little boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.
> > > > >
> > > > You sure do suck cocks, don't you, Marky?
> > >
> > > more hate
> >
> More whining about criticism of your perverted lifestyle?
>
> no

That's all you do, Marky is whine like a little girl.

> just calling hate, hate

I told you before I don't care how much AIDS you get, Marky.

> > > >
> > > > > I sure do
> > > >

> > > > Die of your AIDS, faggot.
> > >
> > > what AIDS?
> >
> > The aids you have, Marky.
>
> no I don't

More Marky lies.

> Nobody senible get AIDS these days, at first yes before we knew how it
> was spread there was a decent excuse

But what was your mom's excuse for not aborting you?

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I said it was written by Tom (mostly) as part of the comittee set up by
> > > > > > > > John Hancock to manage the declaration
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That'snot what you said. Quit lying and backpedaling.
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes it is little boy
> > > >
> > > Thanks for admitting you were lying, Marky.
> > >
> > no such admission was made
> >
> >
> > Learn to read, dumbass.
>
> talking to whinny i guess

You can't even post a complete sentence, dumbass.

> >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading
> > > >
> > > You are just too stupid to realize you made a mistake.
> > what mistake?
> >
> > You mean besides you being born? Read above.
>
> no mistake there

Yes, stupid. You made the statement that slaveowners didn't write the
Declaration of Independence. You were proved wrong.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > it was then editied (mostly words hacked out I remember 2 additions to
> > > > > > > that being "with relance on Divine providence" and "in many cases" to
> > > > > > > section on trail by jury i think) most notable was the removal of the
> > > > > > > anti-slavery language.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bull. The only one editing is you because your faggot feelings get hurt
> > > > > > > so easily.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > more of your hate filled bile
> > > > >
> > > > > Gonna cry now, little girl?
> > > no
> > >
> > Sounds like you are, sugar britches.
>
> then you are of course wrong

Give Marky that crying towel........

> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > you do sound a lot like what Stevie would like to sound like but is too
> > > > > afraid of convetion to come out and say under his own name
> > > >
> > > > You hear sounds along with the many voices in your empty skull, Marky?
> > >
> > > no
> > >
> > Oh, that's right, sound doesn't travel in a vacuum.......
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Run away, little girl Marky.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > yet more delusion little boy, maybe you should try taking your meds, or
> > > > > > > > > some good stiff drinks if you can't aford your meds
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You should have your psychiatrist increase your electro-shock voltage
> > > > > > > > or your Prozac, Marky, or lay off the booze yourself, you chronic
> > > > > > > > alcoholic.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > wrong again little boy
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh, you are a regular inpatient in a mental institution, Marky?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > wrong again
> > > > >

> > > > Oh, so you did spend half your life in one......
> > >
> > > no never been in one
> >
> >
> > Yes you have and will soon be again......
>
> only if I decide to visit someone

Your parents perhaps?

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > cut.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Run away, little girl.

3317. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:28:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129638530.903676.62470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121142

Well, Marky is rather slow.

3318. Lloyd Davies asks and admits he gay: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:33:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129638788.618447.327050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Lloyd Davies came oput of the closet:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> wrote:
> > THIS from a self admitted FAG.
> >
> What's wrong with being a fag?

That's the only reason you are a 32 year old VIRGIN, Davies.

You even had a bumper sticker made up special to announce it:

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/ultimatedildo_davies.jpg

3319. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:33:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129638834.793306.256970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
> for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
> not a matter of public policy
>
> it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS

STFU, child abuser.

3320. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:34:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129638875.900805.260880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong as usual, queer Marky.

3321. Re: Lloyd Davies asks and admits he gay: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:35:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129638916.136150.97790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

typo: "out" Now dance, Lardass, dance!

3322. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:37:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129639025.967861.182940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121147

Since when, you are a confirmed liar.

3323. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:38:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129639117.388030.69950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121148

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1129500984.061655.103110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > >> > John wrote:
> > >> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >> > > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not
> > >> > > > full of
> > >> > > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > 14.275?
> > >> >
> > >> > that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
> > >> > not speak for Len and Frank
> > >>
> > >> Watta hoot...
> > >>
> > >> Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
> > >> recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
> > >> why...
> > >
> > > but my remarks are completely true
> >
> >
> > Yeah...to a mental defective like you Markie they probably feel true. What
> > a duffass.
>
> no they don't feel true they are true you mental defective

Typical retard Marky, uses the same argument back to his opponent. What
a loser.

3324. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:43:24 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129639404.231318.238740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121149

an Old friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> pruing again

Running away again, you mean.

> > > if you have evidence I am doing something wrong report it the case you
> > > hint at the FCC
> >
> > Could be in the works, Marky, since you keep proving you lack the
> > character to posess a license with your perverted behavior.
>
> go for it.
>
> make my day

Aw, isn't it cute a candy ass like Marky tries to talk tough.......

> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> happened

Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
means.

> the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> can't take part in hate filled gay bashing

Poor Marky, whining "you're bashing me because of my perverted
lifestyle"

> even the pea brains around here (or should i say pee brains) know

Marky reveals his fetish for drinking urine.

> better. they may not like it but they know there hate filled shit
> doesn't fly

Try posting in English.

> cut.

Run away, Marky, run away.

3325. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:54:45 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dj2ral$sf2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121150

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> I remember hearing about years ago when "Ether" was considered the medium of
> how radio was propagated. That particular theory ran into trouble when we
> started sending space shots up..

Well, they figured it was ether that, or sumpthin' else.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3326. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 12:59:39 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dj2rjr$1evc$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121151

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
>>>Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
>>>substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
>>>topic and be rational. Thanks.
>>>
>>
>> Quite frankly Brian, in this respect you are *exactly* like him. I am
>>not trying to discredit you, and have no need to.
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Quite frankly, Mike, you cut my direct quotations of Hans, Dee, and
> youself, then reiterate that like your "supposed" substandard employee
> I don't know the subject at hand.
>
> Why cut quotes of yourself if I don't have a handle on the subject
> matter?

nettiquite.

> Did this employee have a name? Hi!

Sure did. I'd prefer not to out him on the net though. I've got no need
to dissemble for newsgroup points.

> Is it Friday? I smell fish.

That reminds me, I have a nice piece of salmon in the freezer that
sounds pretty good for dinner....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3327. Re: Cross-Linked Repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 14:32:23 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1x3srh@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1x3srh@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 121153

Dave Heil Wrote:
> Former FCC official John Johnston says it would never
> be permissible to link the two services.
>

First he should check his rules with KB9RQZ. Maybe that's why he's a
>FORMER< official.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

3328. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 15:27:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129649225.001593.26880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121154

Once you obtain a license you have the right to free speech using a
radio, RED LION V. FCC 1969, DUNIFER V FCC 1998

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

3329. TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 16:30:00 UTC
From: Reloader <Reloader.1x49fi@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Reloader.1x49fi@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 121174

Try a query to this website. http://www.edmundfitzgerald.com/

--
Reloader

3330. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 18:20:35 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dj3edj$ob2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121155

John Kasupski wrote:

> On 16 Oct 2005 15:43:37 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>> License testing for manual morse code cognition skill simply
>> became obsolete. A REAL problem is that those who passed
>> the manual tests refuse to let it BE obsolete...it is an
>> ingrained psyche touchstone, a mile-marker of how far they
>> came once. They refuse to look at the future and OTHERS
>> who may come later. It is a very personal thing to them.
>
>
> For whatever reasons those who have opposed the elimination of code
> testing over the years have done so, I personally feel the observation
> that this was/is the root of the problem is spot on.
>
> I can recall back in about 1975 or so, there was a proposal for a
> no-code "Communicator Class" license. It was shot down, largely due to
> opposition by ARRL. It was along about the same time that computers
> first became reasonably affordable for home use. A generation of
> technically inclined young people suddenly had an alternative to ham
> radio and its code testing. A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
> around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had for a
> fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other kit
> manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).
>
> Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s and
> then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the testing
> and spend $200 or so on a computer. Thousands voted with their feet,
> and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with radio
> and went into computers instead.

You look at it as an either or situation. Computers are not Amateur
radio, and amateur radio is not computers.

Now of course, there is intermixing of the hobbies, but for anyone to
think that every, or even many computer hobbiests are lost to ham radio
because of some competitive factor are really barking up the wrong tree.

> Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of licensees as
> posted by N2EY every other week.

So.... the drop off is mostly Technicians who took no code test. They
are gone, and it is the code tests fault?

> It occurs to few that the guys who
> might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the code test
> are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry and
> probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you handed them
> one gratis.

One might suspect maybe they aren't all that interested in radio.

> As for young people today, they grow up with cell phones,
> and game machines that have more processor power than the computers on
> the space shuttles,

So I guess they won't want to be astronauts either!!

> and the computers in today's homes are capable of
> real-time communication between almost any two points in the world
> without regard to propagation or licensing procedures or any other
> such inconveniences.

1. My telephone has been doing that ever since I knew what a telephone was.

2. If you think that Ham radio is just about talking to people around
the world, that shows a part of the problem.

> So, why should they have any interest in ham radio?

Perhaps they are interested in Radio. If not, they might want to get a
different hobby....

> We're nothing more
> to them than a collection of fossils playing with a curiosity we call
> CW which is good for a laugh but little else.
>

We have a lot of young hams in our area.

> Interesting, then, that the state of the art in ham radio has now come
> full circle with the advent of Voice-Over-IP systems like EchoLink and
> IRLP. If you can't beat 'em, join 'em.

All of the Internet based "Ham Radio" transmission methods would work
much much better if the radio were out of the picture.

Do you really think that Echolink and IRLP is "state of the art"?

But if you want to believe that Ham Radio is dying, and it is
inescapable because of the actions of Hams from 25 or more years ago,
then there isn't much to do about it except enjoy what is left, or turn
in your ticket as a symbolic protest of our ancestors stupidity...

- Mike KB3EIA -

3331. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 18:24:55 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dj3eln$d2u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121156

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

> On Wed, 12 Oct 2005 14:25:53 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>
>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1129098408.733330.37400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>do you agree with my statement that a for a truly new arguement that
>>>the FCC would wait and study awhile?
>>
>>IF (big IF) some new compelling reason was identified to justify
>>keeping code testing, then yes, I think the FCC might look deeper
>>or perhaps rethink their proposal...BUT, as we both appear to agree,
>>no such new and compelling reason(s) have been offered up by
>>anyone. Even after several major widespread emergencies (Katrina, etc)
>>no additional arguments or even anecdotal evidence has surfaced
>>that points to any need for code knowledge.
>
>
> I agree no new reason has been presented, w may disagree in that i
> think if someone just came up with something truely NEW they would
> delay and study it
>
> but I don't expect even that

It's all over except for the gloating. We'll see what happens after that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3332. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:03:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129662181.806147.286690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > N0VFP wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin,
Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by
slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the
document from
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did not
write
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the
parchment
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you
wrote
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > above, you retard.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > > > > > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > no I don't say that
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Yes, you did, stupid.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > nope another lie
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The proof is in your post, dumbass!
> > > > > >
> > > > > where?
> > > >
> > > Read it above, stupid.
>
> Where is your brain, Marky?

in my head
>
cut
> > > > > > > > > again you simply lying little boy
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You sure do suck cocks, don't you, Marky?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > more hate
> > > > >
> > > > More whining about criticism of your perverted lifestyle?
> > >
> > no
>
> That's all you do, Marky is whine like a little girl.

not at all that is your dept little boy
>
> > just calling hate, hate
>
> I told you before I don't care how much AIDS you get, Marky.

more hate

that is about you seem to have

how sad really.

were you aware that Hate has been shown in some studies to shorten a
perons life?
cut

> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are just too stupid to realize you made a mistake.
> > > > > what mistake?
> > > >
> > > > You mean besides you being born? Read above.
> > >
> > > no mistake there
> >
> Yes, stupid. You made the statement that slaveowners didn't write the
> Declaration of Independence. You were proved wrong.

slave owners did not write it. A slave owner did

you know the meaning of the word proof as poorly as stevie
>
> > >
cut

3333. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:04:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129662256.519263.99550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121158

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1129500984.061655.103110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > >> > John wrote:
> > > >> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > >> > > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not
> > > >> > > > full of
> > > >> > > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> > > >> > > >
> > > >> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >> > > >
> > > >> > > >
> > > >> > > > 14.275?
> > > >> >
> > > >> > that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
> > > >> > not speak for Len and Frank
> > > >>
> > > >> Watta hoot...
> > > >>
> > > >> Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
> > > >> recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
> > > >> why...
> > > >
> > > > but my remarks are completely true
> > >
> > >
> > > Yeah...to a mental defective like you Markie they probably feel true. What
> > > a duffass.
> >
> > no they don't feel true they are true you mental defective
>
> Typical retard Marky, uses the same argument back to his opponent. What
> a loser.

when the arguement fits use it

3334. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:06:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129662365.960130.251550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
> > for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
> > not a matter of public policy
> >
> > it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
>
> STFU, child abuser.

more hate

more lies

only in America

3335. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:08:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129662488.236992.255150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121160

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >
> >
> >>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >
> >
> > ??????????????????????
>
> His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
> knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.

not at all

I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
would go about local band planing

all the bitching about the Off topic stuff

3336. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:16:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129663007.652773.36720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121161

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> > >
> > >
> > > >>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> > >
> > >
> > > ??????????????????????
> >
> > His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
> > knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
>
> not at all
>
> I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
> would go about local band planing
>
> all the bitching about the Off topic stuff

Is mostly coming from you, stupid.

3337. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:18:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129663088.453844.176010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
> > > for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
> > > not a matter of public policy
> > >
> > > it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
> >
> > STFU, child abuser.
>
> more hate

More stupidity from Marky the child abuser.
>
> more lies

Only from you, liar.

> only in America

Marky confesses to being anti-American, imagine that!
```

3338. Re: Is there a REAL HR Discussion group ANYWHERE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:19:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129663147.916836.315710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121163

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1129500984.061655.103110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > >> > John wrote:
> > > > >> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > >> > > > Does anyone know of a real Ham Radio Discussion group that is not
> > > > >> > > > full of
> > > > >> > > > kooks like Markie, the Toad, Frankee the CBer and Lennie the Loon?
> > > > >> > > >
> > > > >> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > >> > > >
> > > > >> > > >
> > > > >> > > > 14.275?
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> > > that doesn't exclude Todd will not exclude me for much longer, I will
> > > > >> > > not speak for Len and Frank
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Watta hoot...
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Once again, Mark's not up on "current events", nor does he
> > > > >> > recognize the relevence of the referal to THAT particular frequency and
> > > > >> > why...
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > but my remarks are completely true
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Yeah...to a mental defective like you Markie they probably feel true. What
> > > > > a duffass.
> > > >
> > > > no they don't feel true they are true you mental defective
> > >
> > > Typical retard Marky, uses the same argument back to his opponent. What
> > > a loser.
> >
> > when the arguement fits use it

Anything would fit when arguing with you, stupid.

3339. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:24:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129663458.533076.25800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > N0VFP wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > and none of the other persona mention (Adams, Franklin,
Livingston,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > and Sherman) were slave holders at all
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > therefore again the document was not written by
slaveholders in the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > pural
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > God are you stupid, Marky. What about the authors of the
document from
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > all the Southern Colonies which later became states?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > what authors? the Congress adopted the declaration they did
not write
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > the thing
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Oh, stupid Marky? I suppose the ink just jumped onto the
parchment
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > without the use of a quill pen, too.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > no never said that idiot
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Read it again or better still have somebody read to you what you
wrote
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > above, you retard.

> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > still never said the declaration wrote itself
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Stupid Marky fails to get it even when just about everybody else would.
> > > > > > > > > > > > You did practically say it wrote itself, you dumbass.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > no I don't say that
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Yes, you did, stupid.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > nope another lie
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > The proof is in your post, dumbass!
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > where?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Read it above, stupid.
> > >
> > Where is your brain, Marky?

Where is the vacuum,Marky?

> in my head

Obviously. You don't have anything in that empty skull of yours.

> >
> cut

Run away, Marky.

> > > > > > > > > > again you simply lying little boy
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Again, you don't know what the hell you are talking about, little girl.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You sure do suck cocks, don't you, Marky?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > more hate
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > More whining about criticism of your perverted lifestyle?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > no
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That's all you do, Marky is whine like a little girl.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not at all that is your dept little boy

More Marky little girl whines......

> >
> > > just calling hate, hate
> >
> > I told you before I don't care how much AIDS you get, Marky.

>
> more hate

More stupidity from you.

> that is about you seem to have

No, I seem to be making you dance,puppet.

> how sad really.

What's even sadder is that you weren't aborted.

> were you aware that Hate has been shown in some studies to shorten a
> perons life?

Are you aware that nobody really takes what you say seriously? This is
fun for me, stupid.

> cut

Run away, Marky.

>
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you were just too busy trying to flame me to bother reading
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are just too stupid to realize you made a mistake.
> > > > > what mistake?
> > > >
> > > > You mean besides you being born? Read above.
> > >
> > > no mistake there
> >
> > Yes, stupid. You made the statement that slaveowners didn't write the
> > Declaration of Independence. You were proved wrong.
>
> slave owners did not write it. A slave owner did

Wrong as usual, Marky. You don't know any more about Histroy then you
do about anything else. How do you get through life, stupid?

> you know the meaning of the word proof as poorly as stevie

You don't know the meaning of words period, dropout retard.

> >
> > > >
> cut

Run away, Marky.

3340. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:26:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129663583.732859.88800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121165

N9OGL wrote:
> Once you obtain a license you have the right to free speech using a
> radio, RED LION V. FCC 1969, DUNIFER V FCC 1998

Toad shows his stupidity again. The license is a privilege, not a
right, stupid Toad. Some types of speech you cannot transmit over a
radio.

3341. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:30:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129663844.191680.225190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121166

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> (UNSNIP)...result the dianosis of Dyslexia and the Dylesoid aphasia

"diagnosis"

Mark, if you're going to seek sympathy for yourself with various
maladies, pick maladies that really exist.

There is no such thing as "Dylesoid aphasia". Not even close to a
real "diagnosis".

> and
> the beginging of the specail ed dept efforts to try and cram enough
> Morse Code into my head to pass the dammed test, they were not
> sucfessull, at this point in my life I was still fool enough to be very
> honest I should have allowed it when I was offered a faked morse code
> passing grade

Too many typos to mention.

I rate this one just below the "I am a drafted Colonel" story...

> but this is only a partial list Stevie One I don't bother remeber any
> one right now. Two becuase I am pretty sure a number of such charges
> were made, filed and forgotten with out a word to me

They are never "forgotten".

> you accused me of paranoia wrt Social Services. that was and remains a
> load of ####.

Nope.

But if it let's you sleep better, go right on ahead thinking it
is, Mark.

> I would ahve to fear them for it to be paranoia. I have
> nothing but contempt for them. any one that follows the news and hear
> how in capple these agencies are at there mission (by seeing the
> reports fo stuff they have allowed to go on for year) should also hold
> them in distain, IMO

"disdain", among others.

I can tell you this, Mark...THEY hold someone in "disdain"
themselves...A legal system that allows certain folks to continue to

rack up "charges" yet not face the legal system until something REALLY
bad happens.

> Since I laid down this gualtlet only you and ole whinnyslit (who may
> well be you as well, I don't know or much care) dare to continue such a
> line

WTF is a "gualtlet"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3342. My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:36:08 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <vhjal19j59rera45goap2hs4qgt178had3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://tinyurl.com/c39re

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3343. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:37:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129664264.968949.107050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121167

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Lennie said, in another thread:
>
> What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> thread?

Why?

Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?

> Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?

Why?

Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?

> Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
>
> Sheesh!

Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...

But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
shoe DOES fit...

> Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> the elemental forces of the universe?"

"summon", Brain.

> Are they Pagans?

Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.

Steve, K4YZ

3344. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:38:20 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RrWdnUplhNBX0sjeRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 121169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

You wrote what you chose to write, so you were not censored.

In certain States, your language in a public forum is a violation of
law. That's not being prudish. It is a violation of law.

I am not running amuck. Your foul mouth is running amuck.

If you had any understanding at all regarding childhood development you
would be aware that 75 to 80% of adult value development occurs before
age 8. It is obvious that your childhood was ... well it could have been
more formative.

Be careful, your language can get you in trouble.

AK

an_old_friend wrote:

> Amos Keag wrote:
>
>>Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
>>mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
>
>
> and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
> amuck in the USA
>
>>If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
>>list read by men, women and children.
>
>
> Your point?
>
> likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
> about chilren when the real issue is control
>
>>Fred wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
>>><jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
>>>a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
>>>numbered.

>
>

3345. I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 19:49:42 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129664982.738449.171520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Lloyd Davies posting as Roger Wiseman wrote:
> http://<zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz!!!!!!!!!>

I am pumped at this (I'd rather be pumped by this I am sucking):

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/lloyd_makes_woger_sounds.jpg

3346. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 20:46:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Aad5f.18122$q1.3203@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1129594531.799962.268470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>>
>> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
>>
>> Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you hit
>> send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish.
>
> then don't read it nobody is hoding a gun to your head
>
>>..Are you brain damaged
>> or something?
>
> how many time do I have to explain dyslexiod aphasia for you. I have
> explained it and it results many times try google
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

I was NOT aware you had a "real" problem. Now that I do. I won't bother
you any longer. You can't help yourself, or wont.

Dan/W4NTI

3347. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 20:47:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <29mdnVQFnN5-zcjeRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121170

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <1129314711.694140.232640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: Cmd Buzz Corey on Thurs 13 Oct 2005 20:33
>>
>>
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>> Were there any AIR ATTACKS on the
>>>> United States then?
>>>
>>>Sure was.
>>
>> Riiiiight...all launched by Japanese RADIO AMATEURS?
>
>
> Pearl Harbor? Not balloons, but Zeros.

Hawaii wasn't a state then, so technically the Japanese attacked a US
Territory.

3348. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 20:48:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <29mdnVcFnN6PzMjeRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
Dr.Ace wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:2DX4f.15863$vw6.544@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>THIS from a self admitted FAG. What a total jerk Markie is.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Snipped
>
> The bad part is that self-described "Bi-sexual" Mark never lets us forget
> it.
> He continually needs to remind us with his seemingly endless stream of
> nonsensical posts.
> Maybe he's seeking the title "Jerk of the Century".
> Ace - WH2T
>
>

He has already earned the title, so he can stop seeking now.
```

3349. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:10:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pxd5f.2505$fc7.1656@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121175

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>
>>>
>>>??????????????????????
>>
>>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
>>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
>
>
> not at all
>
> I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
> would go about local band planing

It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis. It is done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown, Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither. You told us of your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of posts as you did over the 60m "band".

Dave K8MN

> all the bitching about the Off topic stuff
>

3350. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:14:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PAd5f.2507$fc7.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121176

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>
>>
>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>
>>??????????????????????
>
>
> Hans appears incapable.
>
> Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> locality is different.

Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't. Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
anywhere?

Dave K8MN

3351. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:15:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129670133.591739.307010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121177

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "raped_an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1129514617.088355.315940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > cut
> > >> > Had a guy who used to work for me who thought that participating in a
> > >> > discussion was to zone out for most of the time, not paying any
> > >> > particular attention, then jump on how I (or others in the
> > >> > conversation)
> > >> > pronounced a word, or some other trivial thing.
> > >>
> > >> Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
> > >> Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
> > >> substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
> > >> topic and be rational. Thanks.
> > >>
> > > it is SOP around here esp when you don't have any facts to use
> >
> > Oh...like you AOF?

> not at all I don't need to resort to such things

BBBWWWHAHAHA

3352. Re: Another Humiliating Toddism. Now Charitable Donations are "Pecuniary Interest"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:18:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129670311.702660.5350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121178

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Wantonly copied from the foul mouth of Todd "Do Me Again Mommy"
> > McDaugherty:
> >
> talk about foul mouthed you are again a case of the pot calling the
> kettle black

There was nothing "foul mouthed" there, Mark.

Todd's got an Oedipus problem with Mom and the Aunties. That's
part of the reason why he's almost 40 years old and living at home...

Steve, K4YZ

3353. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:18:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OEd5f.2509$fc7.1677@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> kashe@sonic.net wrote:
>
>>On 17 Oct 2005 14:06:54 -0700, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
>>>
>>>>>more delusions little boy
>>>
>>>>>and do learn to tell boys from girls
>>>
>>>>>obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
>>>>>passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
>>>>>president of the SVRC
>>
>> How? The other member wasn't bright enough to vote for
>>himself?
>
>
> well the SVRC had about 100 members at the time but when I stod for
> president NOT one of them was willing to take the job.

So you first "stod" for president and were elected vice president or did
you just elevate yourself to the higher office?

Did any of those unwilling to take the job mutter, "That's it; I'm outta
here" as they hit the door?

> prehaps the
> greatest greatest achievement of term of Office was inspire a couple of
> the old timers to stop resting on their laurels

I'll bet you had that effect, Mark. Did any of 'em decide to run for
president the next term?

Dave K8MN

3354. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:20:45 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <7kpal192nao56fhho3f075qfkoot0vmgk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121180

On 17 Oct 2005 15:12:21 -0700, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
wrote:

>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2005 03:44:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> > Does anyone know what the callsign(s) of the Edmund Fitzgerald
>> >were? (I am asking because I don't know...Not to quiz others...)
>>
>> That would be Special Event Station N8F, according to the news release
>> below:
>
>taking the chance of speaking for Steve I think he meant the Ship Call
>in maritime service

Could be - if so, I have no idea where one would look for that info!

>>
>> Special Events - November 2005
>> Remembering the Edmund Fitzgerald
>cut

73, Leo

3355. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:31:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129671116.935309.233860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121181

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >
> > > I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >
> > ??????????????????????
>
> Hans appears incapable.

Incapable of what?

> Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> locality is different.

Half right...Again...

Or why not simply use the band plan suggestions as they appear in
the Repeater Guide?

Guess the suggestions made were too simplistic? We HAVE to have a
fight over this?

Steve, K4YZ

3356. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:41:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129671667.355654.203810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121182

an old friend wrote:

> gee and Stevie for one of the few times in his miserable existance on
> here started a non flame thread sad really

Full of the contradictions, aren't we, Mark?

You make mention of a "non flame" thread, yet you respond to it as above. It's just begging to get fed back to you, but I realize you're at a disadvantage...

BTW...I see you have the same problems as Lennie and Brain...THEY claim that if they weren't called diminutives that they wouldn't do it...I went almost 3 weeks restraining my tone with Brain, and seems he never picked up on it...Same-ole-same-o. I just mention those two since it's routine for them to say one thing, yet do another...Witness Lennie's on-going use of dimunutives for people who never address him as anything but "Len".

YOU claimed the same some time ago (that you treat others as you're treated)...And HERE again you make mention of a "non flame thread", yet it's "business as usual" for you, ie: "Stevie". Guess you missed the lack of "Markie" for some time now...

Don't know what I was expecting..........

Steve, K4YZ

3357. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 21:54:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129672473.640305.241840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

a_foul_mouthed_old_friend wrote:
> Amos Keag wrote:
> > Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
> > mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
>
> and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
> amuck in the USA

You continue to confuse censorship and civility, Mark.

One can effectively express themselves without having to resort to
gutter mouth adjectives.

> > If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
> > list read by men, women and children.
>
> Your point?
>
> likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
> about chilren when the real issue is control

No, the issue is foul language that's not necessary to get a point
across.

And yet another example of why licensure for procreation should be
mandated.

Steve, K4YZ

3358. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:11:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qsgal1lkpmc0nhkc4r4rra0st36t6947di@4ax.com>
Source record: 121184

On 18 Oct 2005 03:36:49 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>lied_to__old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
cut
>> > > benifit of the doubt as is obviouss to anyone with a working brain that
>> > > read the sentence
>> >
>> > "Benefit" "obvious"
>> >
>> > Read what? The SENTENCE? Is THAT what that was?
>>
>> the sentence
>
> "the sentence"...WHAT...?!?!
>
>> Obviously you were able to make every word
>
> "...make every word"...WHAT...?!?!

you understood it well enough to correct it
>
>> that you can't seem to understand it after that must be a problem with
>> your brain
>
> It's not a problem with my brain...It's a problem with YOUR
>fractured English.

no it is your brain
>
>> > Hard to tell, really. You don't know how to construct one
>> > properly, so it's hard to tell what was on your mind.
>> >
>> > > of course that let you out Stevie
>> >
>> > No, Mark, it left YOU out.
>>
>> wrong again
>
> Nope.
>
>> batting 000 on accurate statement today
>
> You forgot the "1", Mark...Batting 1000 today...

not at all

batting zero

no truth

no facts

just lies
>
>> > Your English comprehension and application suck.
>> >
>> > > > > > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
>> > > > > > logic fails.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > you may have a point there we shall see likely as not
>> > > > >
>> > > > > This is funny...
>> > > >
>> > > your sense of humor needs work, but that is not a new statement
>> > > > >
>> > > > Brain suggesting others lack logic...
>> > > >
>> > > Stevie you don't know the meaning of the word
>> >
>> > Yes, I do.
>>
>> not according to your postings. they make it clear you don't know the
>> meaning of the word
>
> Sure I do.
>
> It's your inadequacies with English that make things difficult.

more of your evasion

My English comp skill have nothing to do with the meaning of logic

another lie for stveie boy

>
> YOU have a hard time with understanding what's said to you, and
>then compound the problem with not being able to express yourself to
>mutual understanding.

wrong again

the porblem is that I don't accept your premises tyour "logic" then
become a case of GIGO

>
>> > And I know it does not apply to you.
>>
>> logic as a word aplies to no one myself included (you too for that
>> matter) logical can apply to people, and does apply to me (but not it

>> seems yourself)
>
> WHAT was THAT...?!?!
>
> Must have been the written version of a "merry-go-round", because
>it's making me dizzy.

no just you lack of brains (and for that matter showing you don't
English gramar either) pay it no mind

>
>> > > > Sheesh....
>> > > >
>> > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Again.
>
> And again.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3359. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:12:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <u3hal1dbn1mdgribupv9uju8tpj0sc7748@4ax.com>
Source record: 121185

On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> N0VFP wrote:
>> > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> pruing again
>
>Running away again, you mean.
>
>> > > if you have evidence I am doing something wrong report it the case you
>> > > hint at the FCC
>> >
>> > Could be in the works, Marky, since you keep proving you lack the
>> > character to posess a license with your perverted behavior.
>>
>> go for it.
>>
>> make my day
>
>Aw, isn't it cute a candy ass like Marky tries to talk tough.......
>
>> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
>> happened
>
>Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
>means.

agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit

It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
>
>> the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
>> can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
>
>Poor Marky, whining "you're bashing me because of my perverted
>lifestyle"
>
>> even the pea brains around here (or should i say pee brains) know
>
>Marky reveals his fetish for drinking urine.

>
>> better. they may not like it but they know there hate filled shit
>> doesn't fly
>
>Try posting in English.
>
>> cut.
>
>Run away, Marky, run away.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3360. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:25:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129674348.207911.36390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121186

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > gee and Stevie for one of the few times in his miserable existance on
> > here started a non flame thread sad really
>
> Full of the contradictions, aren't we, Mark?
>
> You make mention of a "non flame" thread, yet you respond to it as
> above.

yes of course I respond to flame

> It's just begging to get fed back to you, but I realize you're
> at a disadvantage...
>
> BTW...I see you have the same problems as Lennie and Brain...THEY
> claim that if they weren't called diminutives that they wouldn't do
> it...I went almost 3 weeks restraining my tone with Brain, and seems he
> never picked up on it...Same-ole-same-o.

Not at all You will not be treated with more than the least bits of
respect by me till you earn it from me. (not you are likely to want
given your history but if you did change your mind and wish my repect
or just to be treated with respect youd have to earn it of course

OTOH you can't even in non flame thread keep from flaming everyone in
sight. typical Stevie

> I just mention those two
> since it's routine for them to say one thing, yet do another...Witness
> Lennie's on-going use of dimunutives for people who never address him
> as anything but "Len".
>
> YOU claimed the same some time ago (that you treat others as
> you're treated)..

As I did in the post to which you refer I gues you only read miy post
and not the shit posted ahead of it

>.And HERE again you make mention of a "non flame
> thread", yet it's "business as usual" for you, ie: "Stevie". Guess you
> missed the lack of "Markie" for some time now...

if you want to call me Markie I don't really mind. caling me Mark and
still making your standard attacks otherwise make no difference to me.

If by some miracle you did want to achieve an imporved tone you could
do something that mattered to me. if you are interested I will
elaborate, feel free to ask.

>
> Don't know what I was expecting..........
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3361. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:32:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129674734.742421.121240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > kashe@sonic.net wrote:
> >
> >>On 17 Oct 2005 14:06:54 -0700, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
> >>wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>You have failed miserably in everything you have ever done,little girl.
> >>>
> >>>more delusions little boy
> >>>
> >>>and do learn to tell boys from girls
> >>>
> >>>obviously I suceeded in at least one thing passed a ham test, and
> >>>passing a general test, in being elected first Vice president then
> >>>president of the SVRC
> >>
> >> How? The other member wasn't bright enough to vote for
> >>himself?
> >
> >
> > well the SVRC had about 100 members at the time but when I stod for
> > president NOT one of them was willing to take the job.
>
> So you first "stod" for president and were elected vice president or did
> you just elevate yourself to the higher office?

I was elected VP one then the next stood for the vacant office of
president, by the failure of the former president to either show up or
resign I was elvated to acting president per the by laws
>
> Did any of those unwilling to take the job mutter, "That's it; I'm outta
> here" as they hit the door?

no some did commet on how awfull it was that a NCT was to hold the job,
but most of the unwilling did not even attened to meeting for the
election at all
>
> > prehaps the
> > greatest greatest achievement of term of Office was inspire a couple of
> > the old timers to stop resting on their laurels

>
> I'll bet you had that effect, Mark. Did any of 'em decide to run for
> president the next term?

not exactly the man who werved as my VP ran for president (un opposed)
but a number of the OTS became a lot more active, from what I hear
(unconfirmed rumour of course what they had expected was for the
election commitee to come begging one of them to take the office of
president, did not work out that way and they got their "panties in
bunch" exact words of the rumour mill)
>
> Dave K8MN

3362. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:35:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129674921.411277.137850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Amos Keag wrote:
> You wrote what you chose to write, so you were not censored.
>
> In certain States, your language in a public forum is a violation of
> law. That's not being prudish. It is a violation of law.

I know such laws exist I also know none of them has withstood
constitutional muster when challenged
>
> I am not running amuck. Your foul mouth is running amuck.

my foul mouth? Do point it out"and yours identify you as a one of the
censoring prudes that are runing amuck in the USA"
>
> If you had any understanding at all regarding childhood development you
> would be aware that 75 to 80% of adult value development occurs before
> age 8. It is obvious that your childhood was ... well it could have been
> more formative.
>
> Be careful, your language can get you in trouble.

feel free to report me any time
>
> AK
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Amos Keag wrote:
> >
> >>Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
> >>mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
> >
> >
> > and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
> > amuck in the USA
> >
> >>If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
> >>list read by men, women and children.
> >
> >
> > Your point?
> >
> > likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
> > about chilren when the real issue is control

> >
> >>Fred wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
> >>><jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
> >>>a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
> >>>numbered.
> >
> >

3363. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:40:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129675239.185460.279220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> a_foul_mouthed_old_friend wrote:
> > Amos Keag wrote:
> > > Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
> > > mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
> >
> > and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
> > amuck in the USA
>
> You continue to confuse censorship and civility, Mark.

no I do not
>
> One can effectively express themselves without having to resort to
> gutter mouth adjectives.

not realy Stevie boy the "feces" just doesn't carry the same impact as
the "shit", the same for other word pairs of course applies. If one is
barred from the use the word "Shit" then one is barred from the full
use of langauge, and proper comincation is thereby thwarted

I agree that over use of such words as "shit", "Fuck" etc do dimish the
impact
>
> > > If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
> > > list read by men, women and children.
> >
> > Your point?
> >
> > likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
> > about chilren when the real issue is control
>
> No, the issue is foul language that's not necessary to get a point
> across.

it certainly is indeed even the foulest language is often not enough to
get some points accross

and you of course side with those wanting to stiffle the free exchange
of Ideas by playing net cop
>
> And yet another example of why licensure for procreation should be
> mandated.

more of your Neo Nazi shit Stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3364. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:44:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129675458.656582.298070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121190

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >>>
> >>>
> >>??????????????????????
> >>
> >>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
> >>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
> >>
> >>
> > not at all
> >
> > I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
> > would go about local band planing
>
> It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.

according the ARRL it can be

> It is
> done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a
> local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown,
> Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither.

so?

> You told us of
> your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found.

can't you tell the turth about anything

> A couple
> of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
> posts as you did over the 60m "band".
>
> Dave K8MN
>

> > all the bitching about the Off topic stuff
> >

3365. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:46:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129675572.758785.248700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121191

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> > >
> > > > I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> > >
> > > ??????????????????????
> >
> > Hans appears incapable.
>
> Incapable of what?
>
> > Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> > coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> > locality is different.
>
> Half right...Again...
>
> Or why not simply use the band plan suggestions as they appear in
> the Repeater Guide?

the band plans themselve mention the possiblity of local varriations

>
> Guess the suggestions made were too simplistic? We HAVE to have a
> fight over this?

guess you do

I was just trying to spark On topic discussion related to the prupose
of NG on a subject I have always been curious about
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3366. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:53:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129676004.595070.190680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121192

From: John Kasupski on Mon 17 Oct 2005 23:37

On 16 Oct 2005 15:43:37 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> License testing for manual morse code cognition skill simply
>> became obsolete. A REAL problem is that those who passed
>> the manual tests refuse to let it BE obsolete...it is an
>> ingrained psyche touchstone, a mile-marker of how far they
>> came once. They refuse to look at the future and OTHERS
>> who may come later. It is a very personal thing to them.
>
>For whatever reasons those who have opposed the elimination of code
>testing over the years have done so, I personally feel the observation
>that this was/is the root of the problem is spot on.

We agree...and so do thousands and thousands of others. :-)

>I can recall back in about 1975 or so, there was a proposal for a
>no-code "Communicator Class" license. It was shot down, largely due to
>opposition by ARRL. It was along about the same time that computers
>first became reasonably affordable for home use. A generation of
>technically inclined young people suddenly had an alternative to ham
>radio and its code testing. A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
>around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had for a
>fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other kit
>manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).

In 1975 there was only ARPANET tying in dozens of locations
containing mainframes (and a very few minicomputers)...the
BBSs (Bulletin Board Systems) were being experimented with on
a very small scale and the Internet would not be opened to the
public until 16 years later in 1991. Radio amateurs had
"access" to the FCC only through the United States Post Office.

In 1975 the ARRL had a legal firm in DC on retainer and acted
as the "representative" of U.S. radio amateurs. This
"representation" was little more than a minor dictatorship
of self-proclaimed "representatives" enjoying control over all
those individual radio amateurs who did not want to or could
not (probably due to time or intimidation of going up against
government officials) directly access the federal government.

ARRL "representation" amounted to the ARRL telling the feds
and amateur radio members that a no-code "communicator class"
license was no good for them. With so little input from
individual radio amateurs, the FCC believed the ARRL was the

"representative" and acceded to the ARRL's wishes.

>Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s and
>then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the testing
>and spend $200 or so on a computer. Thousands voted with their feet,
>and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with radio
>and went into computers instead.

The 1975-1980 period was also a high point in the explosion
of new integrated circuits, newer transistors, and an opening
up of new areas of electronics hobby activity that had little
or no relation to amateur radio. The communications
satellites were beginning to be used for worldwide
communication and there were breakthroughs aplenty in many
areas of electronics. Technically-inclined folks now had
the first of the microcomputer SYSTEMS that they could afford
and control. It was a terrific time of newness in a different
kind of communications, that of direct person-to-person
contact. Bulletin Board Systems took off worldwide, grew and
prospered and practical futurists were planning the Internet.

>Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of licensees as
>posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys who
>might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the code test
>are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry and
>probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you handed them
>one gratis.

30 years ago (again in the 1975 time frame), most of my
contemporaries in electronics engineering did NOT get into
that field through amateur radio. It was just fascinating
enough to them to get into and they did. This was the time
of very serious advancements in the state of all electronics.
While the computer industry began exploding in size and
capability, so did a lot of other areas in electronics and
with them came the people with interests in all of that.
The old paradigms of the 1930s (not to mention the mindsets
of the ultra-conservatives of "radio") did not apply to
the brave new world that came 40 years after.

>As for young people today, they grow up with cell phones,
>and game machines that have more processor power than the computers on
>the space shuttles, and the computers in today's homes are capable of
>real-time communication between almost any two points in the world
>without regard to propagation or licensing procedures or any other
>such inconveniences.

I got access to the RCA corporate computer network in 1973
after being able to use an HP 9100 programmable desk
calculator for some formidable problem solving. Those old
mainframes of 30 years ago PALE in comparison to the speed
and memory and peripheral power of today's desktops and

laptops! Clock rate of those mainframes was maximum at
around 20 MHz 30 years ago but today it is 3 GHz with a RAM
access rate of 200 MHz! Today I can hold a 250 GByte hard
disk with two fingers yet would need two people to help me
hold the "cake platter" containers for 500 MBytes worth of
mass-memory storage of the 1970s.

>So, why should they have any interest in ham radio? We're nothing more
>to them than a collection of fossils playing with a curiosity we call
>CW which is good for a laugh but little else.

That's a bit severe, John. Having "one's own radio station"
is FUN, a personal enjoyment, an interesting hobby. So is
model railroading, Civil War reenactoring, and stamp
collecting...just as the new hobby areas of robotics and
general electronic gadgetry are FUN for the participants.

A problem occurs when those interested in on-off keying CW
HF radio take themselves too seriously, saying they are
some kind of "ultimate" radio skill individuals and such
radiotelegraphic skills are "needed by the nation." They've
been stuck in their long-ago brainwashed period of mental
conditioning that they can't really see beyond their own
immediate interests...or egos. Those that want to do
competitive contesting have personal enjoyment of that niche
area (even though it is NOT the "sport" of physical
athletics). However, some of them have glorified that niche
activity of the hobby into being some kind of all-around
"champions" of a hobby interest and that isn't descriptive
of the hobby in general.

>Interesting, then, that the state of the art in ham radio has now come
>full circle with the advent of Voice-Over-IP systems like EchoLink and
>IRLP. If you can't beat 'em, join 'em.

The Purists in ham radio (those still in Belief of the
standards and practices of the 1930s) will decry such
new-fangled notions as "not being 'real' amateur radio."
Just as radiotelegraphy skill is a "must" for all hams,
a bit of pure mental conditioning done long ago.

>Someone much wiser than myself (I forget who) once wrote that one
>always retains one last bit of foolishness from childhood, that to
>retain all of them is to be immature, but that to surrender all of
>them is an even worse alternative.

Perhaps. :-) ENJOYMENT on a personal level is not some kind
of "sin." At any age. Such is NOT immaturity...but a few
folks take their seriousness far too seriously and demand that
all "work hard (and long)" to be "successful" in achieving OLD
goals.

>Fromthat standpoint, there is nothing wrong with people wanting to
>maintain the status quo - for themselves. The error in judgement is in
>trying to force the same status quo on the rest of the world.

Agreement 100%! Problem that I see is those individuals have
so identified with their OWN goals and the imprinted "standards"
of others telling them what to do, that they see themselves
ranging from "role models" to absolute judges of what all MUST
do. What they don't realize is that those old standards and
practices did NOT suddenly arrive on the amateur radio scene
from a divine source...they EVOLVED during the beginning of
radio. Yet, inexplicably, those standards and practices "must"
be retained WITHOUT any benefit for FURTHER evolving! :-)

>It has been noted previously that CB-like behavior is engaged in by
>some hams who have passed a code test, while at the same time there
>are plenty of hams who have never passed a code test who do not behave
>like some of the neanderthas who inhabit 11 meters, not to mention the
>MURS, marine VHF, FRS, and other license-free or licensed-by-rule
>radio services. Thus, the successful demonstration of telegraphy
>skills is not a character reference, as FCC has pointed out in almost
>exactly those words.

I question that the "CB behavior" is "bad" in any form. :-)
It is only against the OLD HAM STANDARDS of strict,
absolute maintenance of approved old-style procedure and
protocol of AMATEURS. What these purists don't (or can't)
understand is that ham procedure and protocol would be
laughed at by some other radio services. The professionals
in radiotelegraphy already bestowed the "ham" pejorative on
amateurs long ago; some old-timer hams enjoy playing the
part of using "professional conduct" yet don't realize how
they got their moniker. :-)

It's difficult to be emotional at 10 words per minute CW. :-)

>> In the USA the FCC was on public record 15 years ago that
>> it did not feel that any manual morse code test was
>> necessary for their purpose in granting USA ham licenses
>> (FCC 90-53, a copy of which visible on www.nocode.org).
>> However, the test requirements were still in the Radio
>> Regulations of the ITU-R and the USA was obliged to obey it.
>
>Not really, the USA simply chose to obey it. The USA has similarly
>chosen unilaterally not to obey other international agreements,
>including one related to the use of land mines and another related to
>greenhouse gases and the so-called "global warming" effect.

Political views on OTHER things aside, the USA has so
MANY international communications activities going that
it would be international political disaster to ignore
agreement with ITU-R radio regulations. They MUST agree
in order to keep the EM environment open; disregarding

it would upset all common communications with others.
Don't forget that a chaotic, unregulated EM environment
would impact US just as much as the USA could impact
others by no following regulatory agreements.

>> Obsolesence in Radio Regulations finally was recognized,
>> not only in S25.5 but in many other parts of S25. S25 was
>> rewritten at WRC-03 and manual morse testing made optional
>> for each adminstration. [there won't be another WRC until
>> 2007] Since 2003, 23 countries have removed the absolute
>> necessity of testing for manual morse skill for HF and
>> below. It should be noted that the International Amateur
>> Radio Union was FOR the modernization of S25 at least a
>> year prior to WRC-03...and the optionality of code testing
>> by each administration.
>
>It should also be noted that the lone dissenting vote was cast by the
>ARRL, which appears determined to go down with the ship at least.

Many think that the ARRL can do no wrong. They get very
disturbed if Big Brother is described negatively. :-)

>On this point...okay, granted that ARRL does not go with the desires
>of the majority of US hams, but why should they? As you point out, the
>majority of US hams are not ARRL members. If the League seems to be
>carrying out the wishes of its members, this should not be any great
>surprise - that's what the hams who pay dues to belong to the ARRL
>*expect* them to do.

The ARRL ought to quit playing at it being a "representative
body" for radio amateurs. Its officials seem to get a kick
out of controling the membership. Control is power. But,
they are "official" and say so. :-)

>If the ARRL does not represent the majority of hams, it's not their
>fault.

It is ABSOLUTELY their fault.

>It's the 4 out of 5 hams who do not pony up their dues, and
>then start telling their division directors to tow the line if they
>expect to be re-elected.

Disagree. There is NO federal regulation that says U.S.
radio amateurs MUST belong to some organization. Ergo,
they don't have to "pony up any dues."

Who says those "division directors" have to "tow any line?"
They are NOT governed by any federal laws regarding
"representation," have NO checks-and-balances inherent to
the federal or state governments. A private membership
organization is NOT some branch or agency of the federal
government...even though the ARRL loves to play at that.

>> There is no real membership/special-interest group
>> competitor to the ARRL in the United States, so it
>> doesn't seem that there is any "drive for growth" coming
>> from such groups.
>
>It's about 30 years too late for that anyway. The gunshot wound to ham
>radio's figurative foot was self-inflicted in the mid-1970's when they
>turned their backs on no-code licensing then. Now we see the results
>every few weeks courtesy of N2EY's postings.

James Miccolis' postings just repeat what another private
organization does in tabulating publicly-available federal
government databases. [no one "checks his work"...:-)]

Ah, but the ARRL decreed to all [USA] radio amateurs on
what they "should' think back 30 years ago. Ergo, they ARE
responsible for not attracting more members than they have.

>The ARRL pays lip service in its electronic publications, but does
>little of substance to foster any serious upturn in the number of
>licensed hams beyond its participation in volunteer examining. By that
>I mean, while real change is what's needed, the League continues to
>oppose that change. Again, though, that seems to be the wishes of its
>members, or at least a majority thereof - so again it goes back to the
>4 out of 5 hams who aren't League members.

You are ignoring all those (who can't be counted) who are NOT
YET licensed. The ARRL is trying its damndest to CONTROL what
newcomers are required to do to get their license. The ARRL
just doesn't have that sort of "right." Finally, with the
opening of the Internet and all USA government agencies getting
on the 'net, the federal government isn't buying into a lot of
what the ARRL says or demands.

>The manufacturers can do little to encourage folks to become licensed.
>They could advertise in places where non-hams would be exposed to
>their products. Kenwood could advertise the TS-850 in Newsweek. People
>could get interested.

Disagree strongly based on a century of marketing practices
in the world. Manufacturers CAN do MUCH to "get folks
interested" in just about anything. Advertising is BIG
BUSINESS and an essential part of marketing practices.

> Then they find out that in order to actually use
>the damn thing, you not only have to pass the two written tests, but
>you have to spend who knows how long learning Morse Code so you can
>communicate with the same people in the same places they just finished
>chatting with over the Internet, without having to spend a thousand
>bucks on the radio, and the idea goes out along with the magazine
>before next week's issue even arrives.

Tsk, the morsemen elitists state that the USA already has
a no-code-test license class for amateur radio. "Not a

problem" for newbies they imply. :-)

>> A lot more is coming for the average citizen if EDN and
>> Electronic Design and SPECTRUM magazines can be believed.
>> VoIP is an accomplished fact today, the only real
>> drawback being some Common Carrier arguments against it.
>
>I can think of some other drawbacks too, but obviously the point still
>stands. VoIP has even found its way into the ARS.

Ah, but the elitist morsemen keep on claiming that morse is
the epitome of radio skills and infinetly superior to just
"grabbing a mike and yakking." :-)

>> The usual radio amateur argument for amateur radio is
>> that it is "low cost" and "independent from infrastructure."
>
>In fact, increasingly it is neither.
>
>Rigs aren't getting any cheaper. In an effort to one-up the
>competition, the radio manufacturers keep adding more bells and
>whistles to their products, and thus adding more dollars to the price
>tag. A station consisting of just an entry-level HF transceiver and a
>wire antenna, tuner, and power supply will still set one back about a
>thousand bucks.

Ah, but one in here has shown us a single digital photo of
an amateur radio HF transceiver that cost only "$100!" He
built it himself. Who can argue against him? :-)

>> I look on the "companionship" of code testing and all testing
>> as a lot of rationalized, smoke-screen-for-effect misdirection
>> by the OT morsemen. :-)
>
>Code testing, perhaps. But, all testing? I can't agree with you there.

Code testing WITH all the other subject matter testing.
That's what the "companionship" means.

The standard morsemen argument is that "if code testing is
dropped, it is the 'same' as dropping all testing." Not so,
but they keep on with that rationale.

>Only hams may legally yank the covers off their rigs and fiddle around
>with the innards.

That's only because of the way the LAW is written NOW. That
could be gone in a flash with a single R&O.

>Operators of public safety stations (police, fire,
>EMS, etc.), marine VHF radios, GMRS, CB, etc. may not. They have a
>factory rep, or a repair shop, do it for them.

Not entirely true since a Commercial license allows them
(legally) to do so. In broadcasting (now referred to as
"mass media") it's possible to "mess with innards" a lot
without a single legal license.

>>>Try this experiment - show a teenage kid an
>>>SSTV picture being received, and watch the reaction.....
>>
>> Can't say I've had such an experience. If it's anything at
>> all like old-style facsimile (that I had to run tests on
>> in 1955), it would be deathly slow in generation for a
>> teener's normal rapid pace. :-)
>
>Exactly. The reaction the original post was referring to is basically,
>"Yawn!" which is the same reaction that will result from any attempt
>to show how cool ham radio is by demonstrating that we can do
>something over the radio that the kid has already done hundreds of
>times using his cell phone, or a PC over the internet. The code test
>isn't even a factor. You're showing the kid nothing he hasn't already
>seen, and done, before.

Ah, but I've been told otherwise. Why, even in here, some
elitist morsemen have regaled us with stories of Field Day
and the "interest" generated by those skilled morsemen in
communicating by morse code! :-)

>There was a big deal made recently over the fact that in a contest
>between a couple of very experienced CW operators and a couple of
>cell-phone text messaging experts, the CW guys won handily. The
>text-messaging folks were quite surprised. The hams looked at it as a
>victory of sorts. Which it was.

It was? Maybe I should watch Jay Leno more often and "learn
about radio communications?" :-)

I'm still waiting for the "showdown" between "expert" morsemen
and some ancient 60 WPM teleprinters run by "non-expert"
teleprinter operators. Say, over a continuous 24-hour period.
As it was a half century ago. Offhand, I'd say that the
teleprinters would win out now as they did back then. :-)

>Except it's not going to make kids
>trade in their cell phones (which fit in a shirt pocket, run for hours
>on a tiny lithium ion battery, is paid for by his parents, requires no
>license or testing, and allows him to call anybody anywhere) for a ham
>station (which he has to pay for out of his allowance, requires a
>license and a testing process, only allows him to call other licensed
>hams, and can hardly be used while walking down the middle of the
>local mall checking out the cute ass on the bunch of girls walking ten
>yards ahead of him!).

It's not? Awwww.... :-)

>> A REAL problem I see is the attitudes of some in vainly
>> trying to keep the old paradigms...such as amateurs are
>> "leading the way in state of the art developments." They
>> aren't and haven't been since the advent of solid-state
>> electronics a half century ago. They have to give up their
>> wish-fulfillment of "greatness in radio" and just continue
>> to have fun with their radios as a hobby. Nothing wrong
>> with that and perhaps better oriented mentally to just
>> enjoy a pastime. [that's what hobbies are]
>
>To a large extent, I agree. It does occur to me, though, that few
>hobbies provide the opportunity to do public service work and
>contribute to community efforts in disaster preparedness and such.

Well, getting an amateur radio license opens one up to some
really FINE areas of self-proclamation of "being of service"
and even "saving lives!" Great for wish-fulfillment. However,
I still seriously doubt that most radio amateurs got their
ham license to "be of service to their country." :-)

>> Nearly 60 years ago I got interested in radio while both
>> flying model aircraft and being a part-time worker in the
>> model-hobby industry (Testor Chemical Co., makers of
>> cement, "dope" the lacquer paint, and balsa wood). Today
>> the model hobby industry is bigger than ever and the AMA,
>> the Academy of Model Aeronautics, has a quarter million
>> members (more than the ARRL ever had). In knowing many
>> modelers over the years, I've not heard any of them boast
>> of "advancing the state of the art" in aeronautics nor of
>> being anything else but hobbyists. The technology of air,
>> sea, and space has long ago gone FAR beyond the
>> capabilities of model hobbyists working by themselves.
>
>Well, right...but then, NASA, the USAF, and the USN don't generally
>employ these folks as volunteer assistants, either...and it's not
>because they sniff too much glue, either.

Sorry, but one doesn't "employ" "volunteers." :-)

If you've followed the developments of UAVs (Unmanned Aerial
Vehicles) you would have seen that they evolved from model
radio controlled aircraft. Such model builders and their
companies contracted with the DoD on prototype UAVs.

"Glue sniffing" was not a standard practice a half century
ago and NOT a social problem. Today, the model hobby
industry doesn't use the same acetate and nitrate base
glues near as much, preferring to go with epoxies and the
cyanacrylic ("crazy glue") varieties.

>> The same is true for "radio," at least for the MF-HF bands
>> used by radio amateurs. It is basically a hobby, a fun
>> pastime done for personal enjoyment, an intellectual

>> challenge for those who want to get into the theory of
>> it, but also needing federal regulation due to the nature
>> of EM propagation and interference mitigation.
>
>I agree on the above. However - If you admit that federal regulation
>is needed, why decry "all testing" as you did earlier in your post,
>when you stated:
>
>> I look on the "companionship" of code testing and all testing
>> as a lot of rationalized, smoke-screen-for-effect misdirection
>> by the OT morsemen. :-)

As I said earlier, John, I did not "decry 'all testing'" but was using the elitist morsemen's so-called connection (the "companionship") of code testing WITH all other testing...and their saying that "dropping the code test is 'the same' as dropping all testing."

>What other method is there, other than testing, for insuring that
>those individuals responsible for mitigating potential interference
>from their own stations are knowledgeable enough to do so?

More "mind control?" :-) Peer pressure? :-)

"Make more laws?" That's always a simplistic argument by those who won't have to take any tests...just like the elitist morseman can keep on demanding that newcomers take those code tests. One can make the amateur radio regulations (Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.) far more draconian than they are. Currently, Part 97 is one of the smaller Parts in Title 47 C.F.R. In one way, the recent versions (prior to the 2000 Restructuring) WAS more draconian with six license classes (there could be 60 or even 600 of those), three kinds of code test rates, all sorts of sub-divided bands, and absolute retention of everything ever transmitted using new modes such as Spread Spectrum. Is that the kind of thing you meant?

Now there are only three classes, one code test rate, and the VEC QPC gets to decide on ALL questions and answers (no more sub-divided subject numbers) of any written test. There still are the minutely-detailed "bandplans" plus the "new" 60m "channels" on HF (good going, ARRL, "big boost" for the HFers).

As it was in 1934, so it is in 2005...the FCC is NOT any sort of academic institution. It doesn't "teach" anything in the way of all amateurs being responsible for mitigation or even proper operation. Who and what teaches radio amateurs to BE responsible is up to others. FCC regulations are expected to be obeyed. [apparently they expect that six-year-olds can always obey and be responsible to adult laws] Licensing is only ONE tool of radio regulation. It was never a "diploma" of accomplishment and it is never a 100-percent guarantee of

legal operation by anyone...although some fervently believe that. :-)

"Testing" for a license grant is just part of the FCC's regulatory toolbox. It is largely a legal formality and doesn't guarantee anything other than an applicant having completed - and passed - a particular test. It doesn't guarantee anything more than a hunting license guarantees all hunting within season or that a driver's license guarantees a drive will always obey traffic laws and never hurt anyone with a motor vehicle. On the other hand, if there were NO testing, then there would be NO licenses to grant and no amateur would have a piece of official paper (suitable for framing) that allowed them to feel more important than others for having accomplished that. :-)

Feel free to extrapolate "what I 'meant'" from the last two paragraphs. I'm sure someone will...and they will be wrong.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3367. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 22:54:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <snual157fsod26m0kbrhakis74h29n5472@4ax.com>
Source record: 121193

On 18 Oct 2005 12:30:44 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> (UNSNIP)...result the dianosis of Dyslexia and the Dylesoid aphasia
>
> "diagnosis"
>
> Mark, if you're going to seek sympathy for yourself with various
>maladies, pick maladies that really exist.
>
> There is no such thing as "Dylesoid aphasia". Not even close to a
>real "diagnosis".

ayou know this How Nursie? you are drop out of a nursing school
but then Nurses do often think you know better than doctors
>
>> and
>> the beginging of the specail ed dept efforts to try and cram enough
>> Morse Code into my head to pass the dammed test, they were not
>> sucfessull, at this point in my life I was still fool enough to be very
>> honest I should have allowed it when I was offered a faked morse code
>> passing grade
>
> Too many typos to mention.
>
> I rate this one just below the "I am a drafted Colonel" story...
>
>> but this is only a partial list Stevie One I don't bother remeber any
>> one right now. Two becuase I am pretty sure a number of such charges
>> were made, filed and forgotten with out a word to me
>
> They are never "forgotten".

sure are, they become iretrivable the length f time varies and is
getting longer as technology imporves. but Data is "forggoten" all the
time, indeed it has to be. if it never disapeared then the laws of
Physics would be thereby broken

they are certainly no threat to me

are you really dumb enough to think you can frighten me with the
"Permentant File" crap
>
>> you accused me of paranoia wrt Social Services. that was and remains a
>> load of ####.
>
> Nope.

it is a load of shit

to be paranoid of Social services Id have to fear them

I know and you should as well that real child abuse goes on all the
time under their very noses.

I know that SS will laugh at such a claim as you describe, talked
about it with a friend of mine who works there.

Indeed enough these bullshit complaints can alas hide real reports by
cuasing them to be discounted as just aother crack pot, as well by
wasting their time investgation the "charges" of crackpots like
yourself.

>
> But if it let's you sleep better, go right on ahead thinking it
>is, Mark.
>
>> I would ahve to fear them for it to be paranoia. I have
>> nothing but contempt for them. any one that follows the news and hear
>> how in capple these agencies are at there mission (by seeing the
>> reports fo stuff they have allowed to go on for year) should also hold
>> them in distain, IMO
>
> "disdain", among others.
>
> I can tell you this, Mark...THEY hold someone in "disdain"
>themselves...A legal system that allows certain folks to continue to
>rack up "charges" yet not face the legal system until something REALLY
>bad happens.

almost got that one right

they hold something not someone in distain

>
>> Since I laid down this gualtlet only you and ole whinnyslit (who may
>> well be you as well, I don't know or much care) dare to continue such a
>> line
>
> WTF is a "gualtlet"...?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3368. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-18 23:50:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129679432.745486.176330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121194

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 17 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2595	622
Indeterminate (note 1)	165	55
Value for Percentages	2430	567
Against NPRM (note 2)	731 [30.08%]	176 [31.04%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1328 [54.65%]	294 [51.85%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	371 [15.27%]	97 [17.11%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3369. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 00:35:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gxg5f.2570$fc7.460@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121195

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>
>>>>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
>>>>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
>>>
>>>
>>>not at all
>>>
>>>I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
>>>would go about local band planing
>>
>>It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.
>
>
> according the ARRL it can be

Really? Here's the url for the ARRL band plans. Please point out the
portion dealing with local variations.

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/bandplan.html

>
>>It is
>>done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a
>>local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown,
>>Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither.

>
>
> so?

Whaddya mean, "so"? If everyone takes it upon himself to implement a "local band plan" then there isn't any band plan. FM ops interfere with SSB ops, packet ops interfere with FM repeater operation and repeaters interfere with each other. Where's that IQ of 248 that you've claimed?

While we're on that topic, there's some interesting info to be found at:

http://www.ufomind.com/misc/1997/dec/d28-001.shtml

It say, in part:

"To answer your question, we can simply pickup a 1989 copy of the Guinness Book
of World Records . Marilyn Vos Savant is listed on page 26 as having the highest recorded IQ . It says '...as a ten year old she achieved a ceiling score for 23-year-olds, thus giving her an IQ of 228.' Her record was retired
to the guinness hall of fame because scores that high are no longer possible due to a lowering of test score ceilings. (As I'm sure you're already guessing
it's because scores that high weren't very valid in the first place.) Most other reference books state Marilyn Vos Savant's, IQ at 190, and still the highest ever recorded."

That'd make your claim preposterous.

>
>> You told us of
>>your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found.
>
>
> can't you tell the turth about anything

Here's the "turth", the whole "turth" and nothing but the "turth":

"been chatting with some locals interested in some AM stuff on 6M and prehaps elsewhere planety of really unsed rf 'real estate' but little mention of anything but ssb cw and Fm on the bandplans"

--Mark Morgan

There you go, Colonel. "Planety" of material on "unsed" rf real estate for you to chew on.

>>A couple
>>of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
>>posts as you did over the 60m "band".

...and you've already begun!

Dave K8MN

3370. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 00:49:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129682943.618084.318950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121196

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>
> >>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >>
> >>??????????????????????
> >
> > Hans appears incapable.
> >
> > Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> > coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> > locality is different.
>
> Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.

No? Every state and locality can be different. One size does not fit
all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
plans, coordination, and even spacing.

> Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
> anywhere?
>
> Dave K8MN

Do you know why there can't be?

3371. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 00:52:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129683152.865101.311800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121197

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> > >
> > > > I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> > >
> > > ??????????????????????
> >
> > Hans appears incapable.
>
> Incapable of what?

Incapable of everything that he was responding to.

> > Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> > coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> > locality is different.
>
> Half right...Again...

100% Correct, Again. As Usual.

> Or why not simply use the band plan suggestions as they appear in
> the Repeater Guide?

Too easy. Amateur radio is supposed to be a challenge. Gotta "work
hard."

> Guess the suggestions made were too simplistic? We HAVE to have a
> fight over this?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

With fists and axe handles. "Gangs of New York" and all that.

3372. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 00:56:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129683395.296808.80330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121198

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> > > > >
> > > > ??????????????????????
> > >
> > > Hans appears incapable.
> >
> > Incapable of what?
> >
> > > Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> > > coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> > > locality is different.
> >
> > Half right...Again...
> >
> > Or why not simply use the band plan suggestions as they appear in
> > the Repeater Guide?
>
> the band plans themselve mention the possiblity of local varriations

Oooh! Ouch!! No!!!

Say it ain't so....

The MMM have spoken, and the ARRL Repeater Guide is Wrong, Wrongg,
WRONGGG!!!

> > Guess the suggestions made were too simplistic? We HAVE to have a
> > fight over this?
>
> guess you do

A$$hole Flambe' gotta have a fight.

> I was just trying to spark On topic discussion related to the prupose
> of NG on a subject I have always been curious about

Yikes! Whatever possessed you to try an on-topic discussion?

Hope my reply helped. You're in Michigan? Do you want to
coordinator's info?

3373. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 01:03:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129683829.187879.241140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121199

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>>
> >>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >>>
> >>>??????????????????????
> >>
> >>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
> >>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
> >
> > not at all
> >
> > I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
> > would go about local band planing
>
> It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.

It can be on vhf and above frequencies. You just need to open your
mind a little, a very little.

It is
> done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a
> local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown,
> Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither.

There is still room for local variation in a regional plan.

You told us of
> your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple
> of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
> posts as you did over the 60m "band".
>
> Dave K8MN

Perhaps he and other associates have no 6M crystal for where the AM
activity might be found and wants a local variation.

3374. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 01:04:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4i6bl1p4eippg5jb3eoll0mifqq3bp9bq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 121200

On 18 Oct 2005 17:49:03 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> >>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>> >>
>> >>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>> >>
>> >>??????????????????????
>> >
>> > Hans appears incapable.
>> >
>> > Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
>> > coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
>> > locality is different.
>>
>> Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
>
>No? Every state and locality can be different. One size does not fit
>all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
>plans, coordination, and even spacing.
>
>> Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
>> anywhere?
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Do you know why there can't be?

I remmebr (out of QST I think) some years back Riley deamnding an
explanation of why some ham had an AM repeater and the clear subtext
was that it was somehow improper becuase the Bandplan called for FM

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3375. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 01:10:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129684259.109179.286160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121201

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> >
> > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > thread?
>
> Why?
>
> Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?

Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.

> > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
>
> Why?
>
> Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?

Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.

> > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> >
> > Sheesh!
>
> Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...

I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.

> But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> shoe DOES fit...

I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.

> > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > the elemental forces of the universe?"
>
> "summon", Brain.

Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.

> > Are they Pagans?
>
> Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.

Ah! Another Pagan. Are you gay, too?

3376. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 01:15:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129684541.528402.137250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121202

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > "raped_an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1129514617.088355.315940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > cut
> > > >> > Had a guy who used to work for me who thought that participating in a
> > > >> > discussion was to zone out for most of the time, not paying any
> > > >> > particular attention, then jump on how I (or others in the
> > > >> > conversation)
> > > >> > pronounced a word, or some other trivial thing.
> > > >>
> > > >> Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
> > > >> Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
> > > >> substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
> > > >> topic and be rational. Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > it is SOP around here esp when you don't have any facts to use
> > >
> > > Oh...like you AOF?
> >
> > not at all I don't need to resort to such things
> >
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHASNORTSNORTCHUCKLECHUKLEHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> ! !
>
> coughcoughsnortsnort

Sounds like an emergency tracheotomy is needed.

3377. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 01:37:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <178bl11ru3sjunj2i28fu0k8prbr9qdmbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 121203

On 18 Oct 2005 18:03:49 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>> >>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>> >>>
>> >>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>> >>>
>> >>>??????????????????????
>> >>
>> >>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
>> >>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
>> >
>> > not at all
>> >
>> > I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
>> > would go about local band planing
>>
>> It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.
>
>It can be on vhf and above frequencies. You just need to open your
>mind a little, a very little.
>
>It is
>> done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a
>> local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown,
>> Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither.
>
>There is still room for local variation in a regional plan.
>
>You told us of
>> your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple
>> of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
>> posts as you did over the 60m "band".
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Perhaps he and other associates have no 6M crystal for where the AM
>activity might be found and wants a local variation.

or in our case some of us would rather operate where folks will not come looking for as DX. I have a nice AM tube set (built from the article in CQ VHF before it shut down) others have have various rigs and would like to use em more

another area I hear folks around talk about is 440(70cm) since we are north of the "a" line the national does not serve some folks needs as well as it could

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3378. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 01:37:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7f8bl15v9pc0lm39dl9p1f1p73oe8entka@4ax.com>
Source record: 121204

On 18 Oct 2005 17:56:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> > > > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>> > > >
cut
>>
>> the band plans themselve mention the possiblity of local varriations
>
>Oooh! Ouch!! No!!!
>
>Say it ain't so....
>
>The MMM have spoken, and the ARRL Repeater Guide is Wrong, Wrongg,
>WRONGGG!!!
>
>> > Guess the suggestions made were too simplistic? We HAVE to have a
>> > fight over this?
>>
>> guess you do
>
>A$$hole Flambe' gotta have a fight.
>
>> I was just trying to spark On topic discussion related to the prupose
>> of NG on a subject I have always been curious about
>
>Yikes! Whatever possessed you to try an on-topic discussion?
>
>Hope my reply helped. You're in Michigan? Do you want to
>coordinator's info?

we are Yoopers can't seem to raise anyone at the listed coordinator
OTOH neither do the emails bounce

not alone in this obsevation either

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3379. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 01:57:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rKh5f.2598$fc7.1589@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121205

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> we are Yoopers can't seem to raise anyone at the listed coordinator
> OTOH neither do the emails bounce
>

I don't think the repeater coordinators care what you do on other modes. Here in Minnesota, at least, they concern themselves only with the repeater sub-bands.

If I wanted to establish a new local AM presence I wouldn't even involve the coordinator, if for no other reason than it's outside their job description, and once you have their advice it becomes a "rule" of sorts. Fugitit!

As I mentioned earlier, in this area the casual AM'ers seem to be clustered between 50.400 and 50.550, although I think there are a couple of nets that use 50.355. My inclination would be to stay above the SSB weak signal guys and below the digital stuff. That gives you 600KHz, or roughly 75 6KHz AM slots with 3KHz guard channels interleaved.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
Lord High Liberator of the Magic Electric Smoke

3380. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 02:08:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <c28bl19dnv98bpn1gra0php3s32ib4nsj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 121206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 18 Oct 2005 14:54:33 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com>, while
suffering griping pains after being exposed for impersonating a
retired Marine, persisted in his delusion of self-importance by
crossposting the following shit:

> You continue to confuse censorship and civility, Mark.
>
> One can effectively express themselves without having to resort to
>gutter mouth adjectives.

I think that many great writers would disagree with you..... Salinger,
Updike, Pynchon, Steinbeck.... just to name a few. Even your personal
favorite -- Tom Clancy -- used profanity in his work. The fact is that
some concepts can -only- be expressed with "gutter mouth adjectives".
Be that as it may, any limitation of vocabulary is, by definition, a
limitation of communication.

>> > If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
>> > list read by men, women and children.
>>
>> Your point?
>>
>> likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
>> about chilren when the real issue is control
>
> No, the issue is foul language that's not necessary to get a point
>across.
>
> And yet another example of why licensure for procreation should be
>mandated.

Yet another shining example of why you will never be taken seriously
by anyone. Now quit crossposting your ignorant blatherings or I'll
come back to rrap and give you another round of bitch-slapping.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3381. Re: ***NOT TO BE MISSED*** kook rant worthy of shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 02:20:43 UTC
From: Kiebassa Chomper <dumbpollock567@dumbshits.com>
Message-ID: <667b1$4355ad6b$d1cc598e$691@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

....time for a break from all this k1man bullshit!

"Nancy Pie Squared" <weirdlinks@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:y195f.17269$6e1.4051@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Insane old lady raving and ranting at young girls about the devil. Your
> life is not complete without watching this looney tune.
>
> http://www.kooksites.com/media/tradingspouses.wmv
>
> With compliments from http://www.kooksites.com
>
>

3382. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 02:23:51 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129688631.225853.188470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

If you don't stop these nasty posts, the lawyers in Mr. Davies' family
are gonna take you to court and pump your checking account.

Don't say you weren't warned.

3383. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 02:27:12 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1x4q3i@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1x4q3i@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 121209

K�HB Wrote:
> "an Old friend" kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote
>
> I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>
> ??????????????????????

K0HB,

I think what KB9RQA is trying to say is that he doesn't want to tlak
about what is done elsewhere, therefore your example is an insult.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

3384. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 04:41:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <djjbl19l9lb6c6gaeu1b9q8s2eqlf3g75h@4ax.com>
Source record: 121211

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 02:27:12 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1x4q3i@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>Kï¿½HB Wrote:
>> "an Old friend" kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote
>>
>> I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>
>> ??????????????????????
>
>K0HB,
>
>I think what KB9RQA is trying to say is that he doesn't want to tlak
>about what is done elsewhere, therefore your example is an insult.

nice try at bomb throwing
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3385. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 04:45:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nbk5f.2645$fc7.104@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121210

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>
>>>>????????????????????????
>>>
>>>Hans appears incapable.
>>>
>>>Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
>>>coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
>>>locality is different.
>>
>>Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
>
>
> No? Every state and locality can be different.

For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect.

> One size does not fit
> all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
> plans, coordination, and even spacing.

Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater
guide say about 6m AM operation?

>
>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
>>anywhere?

> Do you know why there can't be?

There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.

Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.

Dave K8MN

3386. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 04:45:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <rnjbl1l7knfa4jtthkljcs0dbnn6bkg2s7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121212

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:45:07 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>
>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>
>>>>Hans appears incapable.
>>>>
>>>>Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
>>>>coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
>>>>locality is different.
>>>
>>>Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
>>
>>
>> No? Every state and locality can be different.
>
>For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect.

more pronoucement from on High

you make the assertion explain why

>
>> One size does not fit
>> all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
>> plans, coordination, and even spacing.
>
>Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater
>guide say about 6m AM operation?
>
>>
>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
>>>anywhere?

>
>> Do you know why there can't be?
>
>There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.
>
>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.

which matters how

no reason a 6m Am repeater can be built.

I know Riley was going on about a 2m AM repeater at one point
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3387. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 04:50:52 UTC
From: Roger <Roger_beep!@fl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4355D0AA.9853C428@fl.rr.com>
Source record: 121213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Hello Fred,

Will you pickup the torch that K1MAN has to pass on ?

Please post the schedule of your broadcasts.

Roger_beep !

Fred wrote:

> On Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:06:22 GMT, "Jeff Maass"
> <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>
> It is a sad day when the fucking babies and the loser Feds trample on
> a citizen's rights. Go fuck yourself, Jeff. I hope the FCC's days are
> numbered.

3388. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 04:52:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tik5f.2650$fc7.1149@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121214

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>
>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>
>>>>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
>>>>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
>>>
>>>not at all
>>>
>>>I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
>>>would go about local band planing
>>
>>It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.
>
>
> It can be on vhf and above frequencies. You just need to open your
> mind a little, a very little.

It can't be done locally if there is any chance of interfering with
other regional repeaters. If you are coordinating to avoid putting your
local machine with one 50 or 75 miles away, you aren't dealing with
local band planning. You're dealing with regional band planning.

Now, what do your comments have to do with 6m AM operation?

>>It is
>>done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a
>>local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown,
>>Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither.
>
>

> There is still room for local variation in a regional plan.

...not if there is any chance of interfering with other repeaters in
your region, there isn't.

> You told us of
>
>>your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple
>>of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
>>posts as you did over the 60m "band".

> Perhaps he and other associates have no 6M crystal for where the AM
> activity might be found and wants a local variation.

Yeah, that not being able to buy a crystal would be a significant hurdle.

Sheesh.

Dave K8MN

3389. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 05:05:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Nuk5f.2659$fc7.1056@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121215

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:45:07 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>>
>>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>>
>>>>>Hans appears incapable.
>>>>>
>>>>>Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
>>>>>coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
>>>>>locality is different.
>>>>
>>>>Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
>>>
>>>
>>>No? Every state and locality can be different.
>>
>>For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect.
>
>
> more pronoucement from on High
>
> you make the assertion explain why

Follow the url I posted for the ARRL band plans. Read them. We can
discuss it when you're educated.

>>> One size does not fit
>>>all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
>>>plans, coordination, and even spacing.
>>
>>Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater
>>guide say about 6m AM operation?
>>
>>
>>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
>>>>anywhere?
>>
>>>Do you know why there can't be?
>>
>>There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.
>>
>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.
>
>
> which matters how
>
> no reason a 6m Am repeater can be built.

No reason it can be built? Did you mean "can't be built". Read my
comments above.

> I know Riley was going on about a 2m AM repeater at one point

Great. There've been AM repeaters on 5m, 6m and 2m in the past. I know
of none at the present. Were you and the boys in the club going to
start a 6m AM repeater?

Dave K8MN

3390. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 05:22:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <oolbl19kesiusgg25arun3icfbfjda4ujl@4ax.com>
Source record: 121217

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:52:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>>
>>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>>
>>>>>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
>>>>>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
>>>>
>>>>not at all
>>>>
>>>>I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
>>>>would go about local band planing
>>>
>>>It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.
>>
>>
>> It can be on vhf and above frequencies. You just need to open your
>> mind a little, a very little.
>
>It can't be done locally if there is any chance of interfering with
>other regional repeaters.

more pronucements from on high

the MM's have spoken
> If you are coordinating to avoid putting your
>local machine with one 50 or 75 miles away, you aren't dealing with
>local band planning. You're dealing with regional band planning.

not according to the ARRL you are being pretty certain about the
meaning of words

the ARRL says local bandplaning is possible
>
>Now, what do your comments have to do with 6m AM operation?

why does it have to?

the topic was local band planing as described in the ARRL repeater
guide as possible
>
>>>It is
>>>done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a
>>>local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown,
>>>Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither.
>>
>>
>> There is still room for local variation in a regional plan.
>
>...not if there is any chance of interfering with other repeaters in
>your region, there isn't.
>
>> You told us of
>>
>>>your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple
>>>of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
>>>posts as you did over the 60m "band".
>
>> Perhaps he and other associates have no 6M crystal for where the AM
>> activity might be found and wants a local variation.
>
>Yeah, that not being able to buy a crystal would be a significant hurdle.
>
>Sheesh.
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3391. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 05:23:41 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xLk5f.136048$ll5.111924@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 121216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yuck!!!!!

"Roger Wiseman" <AB8MQ@fu.com> wrote in message
news:vhjal19j59rera45goap2hs4qgt178had3@4ax.com...
> http://tinyurl.com/c39re
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3392. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 05:27:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <q3mbl1lfk7nb7kdgdsnh3lnvnnn4hblnqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 121218

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 05:05:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:45:07 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Hans appears incapable.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
>>>>>>coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
>>>>>>locality is different.
>>>>>
>>>>>Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No? Every state and locality can be different.
>>>
>>>For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect.
>>
>>
>> more pronoucement from on High
>>
>> you make the assertion explain why

>
>Follow the url I posted for the ARRL band plans. Read them. We can
>discuss it when you're educated.

I have

nothing is stated that says CW SSB or AM are somehow not subject to
adjustment or local (or repgional) varriation, indeed the ARRL would
lack the power to say that
>

your assertion you back it up

don't be your normal lazy bum self and tell others to do your work for
you do it

>>>> One size does not fit
>>>>all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
>>>>plans, coordination, and even spacing.
>>>
>>>Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater
>>>guide say about 6m AM operation?
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
>>>>>anywhere?
>>>
>>>>Do you know why there can't be?
>>>
>>>There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.
>>>
>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.
>>
>>
>> which matters how
>>
>> no reason a 6m Am repeater can be built.
>
>No reason it can be built? Did you mean "can't be built". Read my
>comments above.

you understand the question so answer rather than evade, lazy bum

>
>> I know Riley was going on about a 2m AM repeater at one point
>
>Great. There've been AM repeaters on 5m, 6m and 2m in the past. I know
>of none at the present. Were you and the boys in the club going to
>start a 6m AM repeater?

which mean you knoew of them? which means your point was what?

you are about as myopic as Stevie
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3393. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 08:33:09 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <e92ce$435604aa$4e0b736$8523@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From the Bible (New International Version)
Leviticus 18:22
22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
Punishments for Sin
Leviticus 20:13

13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them have
done what is detestable.
They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "

..... Pardon my grammar , But - Aids kills fags dead.
Ace - WH2T

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129663088.453844.176010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > >
>> > > while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
>> > > for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
>> > > not a matter of public policy
>> > >
>> > > it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
>> >
>> > STFU, child abuser.
>>
>> more hate
>
> More stupidity from Marky the child abuser.
>>
>> more lies
>
> Only from you, liar.
>
>> only in America
>
> Marky confesses to being anti-American, imagine that!
>

3394. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 09:22:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129713731.683082.137640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121220

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of October 15, 2005:

Novice - 27,606 (4.2%) [decrease of 21,723]
Technician - 272,111 (41.0%) [increase of 66,717)
Technician Plus - 45,994 (6.9%) [decrease of 82,866]
General - 135,881 (20.5%) [increase of 23,204]
Advanced - 75,043 (11.3%) [decrease of 24,739]
Extra - 107,178 (16.1%) [increase of 28,428]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,105 (47.9%) [decrease of 16,149]

Total all classes - 663,788 (decrease of 11,004)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3395. Re: TRIVIA QUESTION Re: The SS Edmund Fitzgerald

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 09:49:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129715356.794540.312120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121221

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > gee and Stevie for one of the few times in his miserable existance on
> > > here started a non flame thread sad really
> >
> > Full of the contradictions, aren't we, Mark?
> >
> > You make mention of a "non flame" thread, yet you respond to it as
> > above.
>
> yes of course I respond to flame
>
> > It's just begging to get fed back to you, but I realize you're
> > at a disadvantage...
> >
> > BTW...I see you have the same problems as Lennie and Brain...THEY
> > claim that if they weren't called diminutives that they wouldn't do
> > it...I went almost 3 weeks restraining my tone with Brain, and seems he
> > never picked up on it...Same-ole-same-o.
>
> Not at all You will not be treated with more than the least bits of
> respect by me till you earn it from me. (not you are likely to want
> given your history but if you did change your mind and wish my repect
> or just to be treated with respect youd have to earn it of course
>
>
> OTOH you can't even in non flame thread keep from flaming everyone in
> sight. typical Stevie

I wasn't "flaming everyone in sight", Markie.

But you again respond with an expectation of getting "respect" as
if you were the disciplinarian father, due all respect no matter
what...

Sorry...it doesn't work that way...

You've got YEARS worth of lies and deceit of your OWN to
overcome...Guess you'll NEVER get what you're expecting then...

> > I just mention those two
> > since it's routine for them to say one thing, yet do another...Witness
> > Lennie's on-going use of dimunutives for people who never address him
> > as anything but "Len".

> >
> > YOU claimed the same some time ago (that you treat others as
> > you're treated)..
>
> As I did in the post to which you refer I gues you only read miy post
> and not the #### posted ahead of it

"guess" "my"

Because the author of "the post ahead of it" wasn't dropping my
name or talking about me.

You were.

> >.And HERE again you make mention of a "non flame
> > thread", yet it's "business as usual" for you, ie: "Stevie". Guess you
> > missed the lack of "Markie" for some time now...
>
> if you want to call me Markie I don't really mind. caling me Mark and
> still making your standard attacks otherwise make no difference to me.

You haven't been paying attention.

But then when do you ever?

> If by some miracle you did want to achieve an imporved tone you could
> do something that mattered to me. if you are interested I will
> elaborate, feel free to ask.

"improved"

I am not interested in dancing to your tune, Markie. I held the
branch out and you swatted me with it...That was enough.

> > Don't know what I was expecting.....

As I said...

Steve, K4YZ

3396. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 10:19:49 UTC
From: Bernie Bignose <shystermaster2@nospams.com>
Message-ID: <cfdd4$43561db5$d1cc5714$7351@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Roger Wiseman" <AB8MQ@fu.com> wrote in message
news:vhjal19j59rera45goap2hs4qgt178had3@4ax.com...
>
(bullshit cut)

...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.

3397. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 10:48:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129718908.164006.113420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121223

Mike Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:

> > I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. > > Enjoy it, take
> > comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.
>
> Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are > like that.

Exactly, Mike. What gets some folks particularly up-in-arms is when someone points out the obvious contradictions in their religious beliefs.

For example, it's interesting to note the reactions of those who insist that every word of the Bible is literally true when you point out that the two creation stories in Genesis contradict each other. Or that Christ's message of nonviolence is largely ignored by modern 'Christians'...

Or what if someone's religion requires that they question and analyze religious belief systems? And that contradictions be pointed out?

The problem that quite a few of us have with 'intelligent design' (aka "creationism in a cheap tuxedo") is that it is an attempt by certain people to have their *religious* beliefs not only taught in public schools, but taught as *science*, not religion.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3398. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 11:31:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129721466.849287.266810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121224

John Kasupski wrote:
>
> I can recall back in about 1975 or so, there was a proposal for > a
> no-code "Communicator Class" license. It was shot down, largely > due to
> opposition by ARRL.

By widespread opposition by the amateur radio community. And it wasn't a stand-alone proposal - it was part of an FCC proposed restructuring that would have resulted in a 7 class "two ladder" license system, less than a decade after the "incentive licensing" changes.

1975 was also when cb was booming and FCC proposing to convert 220 to "Class E" cb.

> It was along about the same time that computers
> first became reasonably affordable for home use.

You might want to check the dates, costs, and capabilities of what you're calling a "computer", John.

> A generation of
> technically inclined young people suddenly had an alternative
> to ham radio and its code testing.

Sorry, that doesn't make sense.

Those early small computers weren't much in the way of communication devices. Look up what a 300 baud modem for a TRS-80 cost...

Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how many "hi-fi" folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and later. Lots of other examples.

In my youth the hottest thing for the techno-kids was - cars. Old cars, new cars, fixing up junkers, customizing, improving performance, you name it. For less than the cost of a new ham rig, a kid could buy an old car, fix it up with simple tools and easy-to-get parts, and get it on the road. Even kids without licenses or the wherewithal to have a car would help friends work on their cars, both for the experience and in the hope of rides once the car was running.

No form of radio could compete with wheels.

> A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
> around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had
> for a
> fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other

> kit
> manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).

In 1977 I bought and built a Heath HW-2036 2 meter rig. Cost a bit over $300. Still have it and it still works. Heath lasted a while longer after 1977.
>
> Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s > and
> then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the
> testing
> and spend $200 or so on a computer.

I built ham stations for a less than $100 in those days. You might want to see how little a $200 computer would actually do. And you needed a TV set or monitor to use it.

> Thousands voted with their feet,
> and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with > radio
> and went into computers instead.

"The best of a generation" went into computers? Hardly.
>
> Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of
> licensees as
> posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys > who
> might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the
> code test
> are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry > and
> probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you
> handed them one gratis.

Apples and oranges.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3399. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 11:50:39 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dj5buf$1itc$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121225

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>>>I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. > > Enjoy it, take
>>>comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.
>>
>>Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are > like that.
>
>
> Exactly, Mike. What gets some folks particularly up-in-arms is when
> someone points out the obvious contradictions in their religious
> beliefs.

Seems to be the same folk that believe that depriving others of their
rights is one of *their* rights.

> For example, it's interesting to note the reactions of those who insist
> that every word of the Bible is literally true when you point out that
> the two creation stories in Genesis contradict each other. Or that
> Christ's message of nonviolence is largely ignored by modern
> 'Christians'...

That is because they are "religious" but they aren't strictly Christians.

> Or what if someone's religion requires that they question and analyze
> religious belief systems? And that contradictions be pointed out?

A religion of heretics? Sounds like a Frank Herbert type of thing.

> The problem that quite a few of us have with 'intelligent design' (aka
> "creationism in a cheap tuxedo") is that it is an attempt by certain
> people to have their *religious* beliefs not only taught in public
> schools, but taught as *science*, not religion.

Do you want to know the big problem IMO? This "intelligent design"
thing is promoted by some religious groups as an alternative "scientific
approach. It is in all their arguments, it is how they promote the concept.

But that isn't why they want it there. They want it there because they
want to put in what they believe, ie the 6 day creation thing in 4004
b.c. No world that always existed, no world created by any other god, no
world on a turtle, with turtles all the way down.

Lying for God.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3400. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 12:09:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129723758.527685.60140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

kk4tl wrote:
> If you don't stop these nasty posts, the lawyers in Mr. Davies' family
> are gonna take you to court and pump your checking account.
>
> Don't say you weren't warned.

The only lawyers the Davies family has is the public defenders they
have to get to be bailed out of jail. The only pumping going on is when
Lloydie's black neighbors pump his fat queer ass.

3401. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 12:10:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129723859.637986.277650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> Yuck!!!!!

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/timeturd_tp.jpg

Indeed!

3402. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 12:12:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129723961.899073.243630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bernie Bignose wrote:
> (bullshit cut)
>
> ...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
> hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.

He is worried, his home address is

3224 LINDEN DRIVE SARASOTA FL 34232
(941) 378-9153

3403. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 12:15:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129724104.450203.256930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace wrote:
> From the Bible (New International Version)
> Leviticus 18:22
> 22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
> Punishments for Sin
> Leviticus 20:13
>
> 13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them have
> done what is detestable.
> They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "
>
> ..... Pardon my grammar , But - Aids kills fags dead.
> Ace - WH2T

Boy, God is really intolerant, isn't he? lol!

> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129663088.453844.176010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
> >> > > for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
> >> > > not a matter of public policy
> >> > >
> >> > > it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
> >> >
> >> > STFU, child abuser.
> >>
> >> more hate
> >
> > More stupidity from Marky the child abuser.
> >>
> >> more lies
> >
> > Only from you, liar.
> >
> >> only in America
> >
> > Marky confesses to being anti-American, imagine that!
> >

3404. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 12:18:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129724330.216695.320920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
was, it was a Lambda chapter.....

3405. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 12:23:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129724582.654240.299920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121231

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an Old friend wrote:
> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> pruing again
> >
> >Running away again, you mean.
> >
> >> > > if you have evidence I am doing something wrong report it the case you
> >> > > hint at the FCC
> >> >
> >> > Could be in the works, Marky, since you keep proving you lack the
> >> > character to posess a license with your perverted behavior.
> >>
> >> go for it.
> >>
> >> make my day
> >
> >Aw, isn't it cute a candy ass like Marky tries to talk tough.......
> >
> >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> >> happened
> >
> >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> >means.
>
> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit

No it isn't, child abuser.

> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though

It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
you have out.

> >
> >> the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> >> can't take part in hate filled gay bashing

> >
> >Poor Marky, whining "you're bashing me because of my perverted
> >lifestyle"
> >
> >> even the pea brains around here (or should i say pee brains) know
> >
> >Marky reveals his fetish for drinking urine.
> >
> >> better. they may not like it but they know there hate filled shit
> >> doesn't fly
> >
> >Try posting in English.
> >
> >> cut.
> >
> >Run away, Marky, run away.
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3406. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 13:31:25 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dj5hrd$1f6m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121232

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> John Kasupski wrote:
>
>>I can recall back in about 1975 or so, there was a proposal for > a
>>no-code "Communicator Class" license. It was shot down, largely > due to
>>opposition by ARRL.
>
>
> By widespread opposition by the amateur radio community. And it wasn't
> a stand-alone proposal - it was part of an FCC proposed restructuring
> that would have resulted in a 7 class "two ladder" license system, less
> than a decade after the "incentive licensing" changes.
>
> 1975 was also when cb was booming and FCC proposing to convert 220 to
> "Class E" cb.
>
>
>>It was along about the same time that computers
>>first became reasonably affordable for home use.
>
>
> You might want to check the dates, costs, and capabilities of what
> you're calling a "computer", John.
>
>
>>A generation of
>>technically inclined young people suddenly had an alternative
>>to ham radio and its code testing.
>
>
> Sorry, that doesn't make sense.
>
> Those early small computers weren't much in the way of communication
> devices. Look up what a 300 baud modem for a TRS-80 cost...

I think its called technical time shifting, Jim. Somehow all those early computers were imbued with all the features that the new ones have. That Timex computer can do everything my G5 can do apparently! 8^)

The whole argument does this sort of thing. Assuming that for some reason people make a conscious choice between Ham radio and computers (and apparently between a hobby and a vocation) doesn't make sense to me. If they had more in common, maybe, but computers as a hobby tends to involve surfing the net these days, and as a vocation it means either

working with programs or programming. The two don't meet except at the edges.

> Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of
> alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how many "hi-fi"
> folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and later.
> Lots of other examples.

Maybe people who are interested in radio would go into a radio type hobby, and people who are interested in other things would be doing other things. Simple sort of concept.

Or of course we could assume that the Morse code test was what kept people from being hams, and then try to explain away why the first batch of Hams who didn't have to take a code test are the group that comprises the biggest part of the recent drop-off? Seems a strange conclusion.

> In my youth the hottest thing for the techno-kids was - cars. Old cars,
> new cars, fixing up junkers, customizing, improving performance, you
> name it. For less than the cost of a new ham rig, a kid could buy an
> old car, fix it up with simple tools and easy-to-get parts, and get it
> on the road. Even kids without licenses or the wherewithal to have a
> car would help friends work on their cars, both for the experience and
> in the hope of rides once the car was running.
>
> No form of radio could compete with wheels.

>
>>A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
>>around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had
>>for a
>>fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other
>>kit
>>manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).
>
>
> In 1977 I bought and built a Heath HW-2036 2 meter rig. Cost a bit over
> $300. Still have it and it still works. Heath lasted a while longer
> after 1977.

Anyone using Timex-Sinclairs for ham use?

>>Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s > and
>>then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the
>>testing
>>and spend $200 or so on a computer.
>
>
> I built ham stations for a less than $100 in those days. You might want
> to see how little a $200 computer would actually do. And you needed a
> TV set or monitor to use it.

Seems to me that the biggest thing they could be used for is learning
Basic programming. Okay.
>
>
>>Thousands voted with their feet,
>>and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with > radio
>>and went into computers instead.
>
> "The best of a generation" went into computers? Hardly.

I missed that one.

>>Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of
>>licensees as
>>posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys > who
>>might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the
>>code test
>>are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry > and
>>probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you
>>handed them one gratis.
>
>
> Apples and oranges.

Who is lamenting anyhow? I wish those new old Hams would have stuck
around, but beyond that, big deal.

What I take from the statistics is that an early generation of Hams got
their licenses without a whole lot of actual interest in radio. These
were the "honeydo" hams, who used 2 meter repeaters to get a shopping
list or the like on the way home from work. Their interests lay along
those lines.

Well along came cell phones, and the honeydo'ers went to that. Cell
phones are a better technology for getting a shopping list than using a
repeater.

Another subset of the dropoff is Hams who were somewhat interested in
radio, but became bored. They dropped off too.

My prediction of what will happen after Element 1 is history is that
there will be more new hams, and a higher attrition rate. People with
only a passing interest will become Hams. There is not likely to be a
net gain. I won't pass judgment on this being good or bad. It is just
different.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3407. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:17:26 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1129731446.139278.276380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121233

Good friend Dan,

Because I believe there is good in everyone. Regardless of how people choose to conduct themselves, with the issues when there is a bonafide question, then I'll always respond with my answer. This is just the way I live my life, Dan.

3408. Stevie come clean and turns the thread in pure flame

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:21:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129731694.095572.297610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121234

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > gee and Stevie for one of the few times in his miserable existance on
> > > > here started a non flame thread sad really
> > >
> > > Full of the contradictions, aren't we, Mark?
> > >
> > > You make mention of a "non flame" thread, yet you respond to it as
> > > above.
> > >
> > yes of course I respond to flame
> >
> > > It's just begging to get fed back to you, but I realize you're
> > > at a disadvantage...
> > >
> > > BTW...I see you have the same problems as Lennie and Brain...THEY
> > > claim that if they weren't called diminutives that they wouldn't do
> > > it...I went almost 3 weeks restraining my tone with Brain, and seems he
> > > never picked up on it...Same-ole-same-o.
> >
> > Not at all You will not be treated with more than the least bits of
> > respect by me till you earn it from me. (not you are likely to want
> > given your history but if you did change your mind and wish my repect
> > or just to be treated with respect youd have to earn it of course
> >
> >
> > OTOH you can't even in non flame thread keep from flaming everyone in
> > sight. typical Stevie
>
> I wasn't "flaming everyone in sight", Markie.

more lies Stevie
>
> But you again respond with an expectation of getting "respect" as
> if you were the disciplinarian father, due all respect no matter
> what...

another lie Stevie

>
> Sorry...it doesn't work that way...

yes it does Stevie if you want to be treated with respect by me you
have to earn it, from me. if you want to be treated with respect by
some one else you must earn it from them. That is EXACTLY the way it
works.

BTW your remark is another lie in saying you are sorry

you of course as I said are free not to even try earning my respect,
but if you want you must pay the piper
>
> You've got YEARS worth of lies and deceit of your OWN to
> overcome...Guess you'll NEVER get what you're expecting then...

I always get what I expect from you. lies, evasions, fraud, bulling
andd threatening behavoir

that I what I expect from you and you almost never disapoint
>
> > > I just mention those two
> > > since it's routine for them to say one thing, yet do another...Witness
> > > Lennie's on-going use of dimunutives for people who never address him
> > > as anything but "Len".
> > >
> > > YOU claimed the same some time ago (that you treat others as
> > > you're treated)..
> >
> > As I did in the post to which you refer I gues you only read miy post
> > and not the #### posted ahead of it
>
> "guess" "my"
>
> Because the author of "the post ahead of it" wasn't dropping my
> name or talking about me.

meaning that you are paranoid about what I say

meaning further that you are obsessed about what i might say about you

meaning going still further you have lied when you say you don't care
what i say or do

and going still further menaing you are lying when you say you don't
lie

>
> You were.
>
> > >.And HERE again you make mention of a "non flame
> > > thread", yet it's "business as usual" for you, ie: "Stevie". Guess you
> > > missed the lack of "Markie" for some time now...
> >
> > if you want to call me Markie I don't really mind. caling me Mark and
> > still making your standard attacks otherwise make no difference to me.
>

> You haven't been paying attention.

another lie

I have been

but you are not incontrol of what I do

you started the Markie bit years ago, i repsonded with Stevie,

however if you stopp using markie that does require me to stop calling
you Stevie

it is a sign of your mental problems that you think anyone is pupet on
your strings but as I said "if you want to call me Markie I don't
really mind. caling me Mark and still making your standard attacks
otherwise make no difference to me." your calling me Markie, is amoug
the least of your sins, as long as you conitue to spew your hate filled
shit (such as you allusions to the effect that BLGT people are
pedophiles and child abusers) I'll call you Stevie or worse
>
> But then when do you ever?
>
> > If by some miracle you did want to achieve an imporved tone you could
> > do something that mattered to me. if you are interested I will
> > elaborate, feel free to ask.
>
> "improved"
>
> I am not interested in dancing to your tune, Markie. I held the
> branch out and you swatted me with it...That was enough.

more lies you held out no branch call me Mark while calling me a child
abuser and impling I am a pedophile is not improvement over calling me
Markie and still calling me a child abuser and pedophile
>
> > > Don't know what I was expecting.....
>
> As I said...

I left it the first time but since you inists

You know what you expecting so you were lying when you claimed
otherwise

you were expecting that I would not care much about the slight
defference in your bullshit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3409. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:25:54 UTC
From: Orangesquirts <xylophonessb@vavavavooom.net>
Message-ID: <4517e$4356f4be$d1cc5772$21704@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129723859.637986.277650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-3/271520/timeturd_tp.jpg
>
> Indeed!

Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!!!!!!!!!!!!

HA! HA! HA! HA! HA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3410. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:30:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <tflcl197p4aa9t6b2i9ifsjscehpqud7l9@4ax.com>
Source record: 121236

On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an Old friend wrote:
>> >> N0VFP wrote:
>> >> > an Old friend wrote:
>> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
pruing again

>> >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
>> >> happened
>> >
>> >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
>> >means.
>>
>> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
>
>No it isn't, child abuser.

yes it is bullshit

No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules

therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
>
>> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
>
>It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
>you have out.

proving again that you are sick SoB

but as I said before

the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
can't take part in hate filled gay bashing

therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit

cut to close

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3411. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:30:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <bmlcl1h31vg0tt369v6vdsfuemld81ivdi@4ax.com>
Source record: 121237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 03:33:09 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>From the Bible (New International Version)
>Leviticus 18:22
>22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
>Punishments for Sin
>Leviticus 20:13
>
>13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them have
>done what is detestable.
>They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "

and this not basis basis for public policy
>
> ..... Pardon my grammar , But - Aids kills fags dead.

as AIDS kills heterosexuals dead, and alot more of them
> Ace - WH2T

we see the general bais of the ProCoder's

they are for violence the useof WMD's they are for hate, and against
the freedomgiven every american
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3412. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:34:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129732471.733814.45000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1129594531.799962.268470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
> >>
> >> Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you hit
> >> send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish.
> >
> > then don't read it nobody is hoding a gun to your head
> >
> >>..Are you brain damaged
> >> or something?
> >
> > how many time do I have to explain dyslexiod aphasia for you. I have
> > explained it and it results many times try google
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> I was NOT aware you had a "real" problem. Now that I do. I won't bother
> you any longer. You can't help yourself, or wont.

why do you continue to lie

you made it clear in this very thread

you don't think BLGT people are entitled to opinions

you are just another gay bashing bigot
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3413. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:38:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129732725.320080.111180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> was, it was a Lambda chapter.....

you must be hearing vioces again never mentioned runing for political
office

you must rely need some meds if you making shit like this up

3414. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 14:41:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129732866.392054.284720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2005 14:54:33 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com>, while
> suffering griping pains after being exposed for impersonating a
> retired Marine, persisted in his delusion of self-importance by
> crossposting the following shit:
>
>
> > You continue to confuse censorship and civility, Mark.
> >
> > One can effectively express themselves without having to resort to
> >gutter mouth adjectives.
>
>
> I think that many great writers would disagree with you..... Salinger,
> Updike, Pynchon, Steinbeck.... just to name a few. Even your personal
> favorite -- Tom Clancy -- used profanity in his work. The fact is that
> some concepts can -only- be expressed with "gutter mouth adjectives".
> Be that as it may, any limitation of vocabulary is, by definition, a
> limitation of communication.

well said wish i would have been able to spell enough of that in the
time i allocate to the NG's

>
>
> >> > If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
> >> > list read by men, women and children.
> >>
> >> Your point?
> >>
> >> likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
> >> about chilren when the real issue is control
> >
> > No, the issue is foul language that's not necessary to get a point
> >across.
> >
> > And yet another example of why licensure for procreation should be
> >mandated.
>
>
> Yet another shining example of why you will never be taken seriously
> by anyone. Now quit crossposting your ignorant blatherings or I'll
> come back to rrap and give you another round of bitch-slapping.

however hereyou are incorrect

Stevie is taken seriously, granted mostly by folks as nuts as he is

3415. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 16:24:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129739051.642736.266600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121240

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1129584892.371981.188330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Morris wrote:
cut
> >> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> >
>
> You just can't keep your stupid idiotic comments out of anything can you?

I certainly could if I choose but I can choose to make whatever coments
I want, wether you consider them stupid or not

> You don't have a dog in this fight.

another flat out lie Dan. everyone has a Dog in this fight, even non
hams like Len or for that matter Joe Blow on the street

> Why can't you just shut the hell up for
> once AOF?

more of your stupidity.

I can shut up but I have the right not too
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3416. Re: K1MAN vs. FCC: The Real Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 16:27:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129739271.191777.84520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121241

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:1129586199.927668.242490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Precisely, an Old friend.
> >
> > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> >
>
> Why do you give this goof any credence at all? He hasn't got the change for
> a nickel in common sense.
>
> He knows NOTHING about the OTK situation.

wrong again

> And I flat out REFUSE to allow
> him to disrupt OUR attempt at a reasonable conversation about an important
> issue in Amateur Radio.

then have one

none is disrupting that but you and your use of invective

if you want to have a private chat try email or IM

or if you truely can't stand anyone that disgrees with you then you
need for you own piece of mind to find a safe moderated forum
>
> This is what this dipshit does Bob.

more lies Dan

> He loves it.

another lie

> I am sick of it.

then simply learn to deal with it or take an asprins or some meds or
leave. those opition should work and there are others not listed

>
> Dan/W4NTI

3417. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 16:48:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7Nu5f.16314$hY6.5237@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121242

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:52:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>>>
>>>>>>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
>>>>>>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
>>>>>
>>>>>not at all
>>>>>
>>>>>I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
>>>>>would go about local band planing
>>>>
>>>>It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.
>>>
>>>
>>>It can be on vhf and above frequencies. You just need to open your
>>>mind a little, a very little.
>>
>>It can't be done locally if there is any chance of interfering with

>>other regional repeaters.
>
>
> more pronucements from on high
>
> the MM's have spoken

Not "pronucements", Mark, but "pronouncements". With which of my statements do you disagree?

>> If you are coordinating to avoid putting your
>>local machine with one 50 or 75 miles away, you aren't dealing with
>>local band planning. You're dealing with regional band planning.
>
>
> not according to the ARRL you are being pretty certain about the
> meaning of words

I understand that the concept might be alien to a guy who has trouble with words. Yes, I'm generally pretty certain about the meaning of words. That is a tool which has served me well.

> the ARRL says local bandplaning is possible

Not "bandplaning", Mark, but "band planning". Quote the material. I provided you a url to the ARRL band plans. Nothing is mentioned about local band planning.

>>Now, what do your comments have to do with 6m AM operation?
>
>
> why does it have to?

...because you brought it up.

> the topic was local band planing as described in the ARRL repeater
> guide as possible

"Band planning", Mark. Please provide some evidence that the ARRL endorses local band plans. Feel free to demonstrate that you have any knowledge at all of band planning anywhere, any time.

Dave K8MN

3418. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 16:59:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cYu5f.2792$fc7.2382@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121243

```
kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 05:05:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:45:07 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>??????????????????????
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Hans appears incapable.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
>>>>>>>coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
>>>>>>>locality is different.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
>>>>>
```

>>>>>
>>>>>No? Every state and locality can be different.
>>>>
>>>>For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect.
>>>
>>>
>>>more pronoucement from on High
>>>
>>>you make the assertion explain why
>>
>>Follow the url I posted for the ARRL band plans. Read them. We can
>>discuss it when you're educated.
>
>
> I have
>
> nothing is stated that says CW SSB or AM are somehow not subject to
> adjustment or local (or repgional) varriation, indeed the ARRL would
> lack the power to say that

Don't tell me what it doesn't say, Mark. Tell me what it says. It doesn't say anything about ending world hunger, saving the whales or any number of things. Six meters frequently opens for regional QSOs and even worldwide QSOs. Tell me what negative consequences there might be for a national band plan if guys in Podunk, Iowa began implementing a local band plan.

> your assertion you back it up

What was my assertion, Mark? That you go to the ARRL band plan url and read it?

> don't be your normal lazy bum self and tell others to do your work for
> you do it

That is *precisely* what you repeatedly ask others to do for you. You ask questions about a topic of which you know little. You're provided information or are pointed to where the information can be found (which you haven't troubled yourself to find and study on your own). You begin disputing the information. It is the question of the 5 MHz frequencies all over again.

You don't use a spell checking program because you can't be bothered, so you continue to post gibberish and to tell readers that it is their problem. If you want to see a lazy guy, stare into a mirror.

>
>>>>>One size does not fit
>>>>>all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
>>>>>plans, coordination, and even spacing.
>>>>
>>>>Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater

>>>>guide say about 6m AM operation?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
>>>>>>anywhere?
>>>>
>>>>>Do you know why there can't be?
>>>>
>>>>There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.
>>>>
>>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.
>>>
>>>
>>>which matters how
>>>
>>>no reason a 6m Am repeater can be built.
>>
>>No reason it can be built? Did you mean "can't be built". Read my
>>comments above.
>
>
> you understand the question so answer rather than evade, lazy bum

The lazy bum was the guy who wrote it incorrectly and posted it without
correction.

>
>>>I know Riley was going on about a 2m AM repeater at one point
>>
>>Great. There've been AM repeaters on 5m, 6m and 2m in the past. I know
>>of none at the present. Were you and the boys in the club going to
>>start a 6m AM repeater?
>
>
> which mean you knoew of them? which means your point was what?

I "knoew" of them? I know a lot of things, Mark. My point was exactly
what I asked you: Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters in existence in
the United States of America?

> you are about as myopic as Stevie

I'm sure it seems that way to a lazy dyslexic.

Dave K8MN

3419. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 17:02:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129741327.762497.208270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121244

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > John Kasupski wrote:
> >
> >>I can recall back in about 1975 or so, there was a proposal for > a
> >>no-code "Communicator Class" license. It was shot down, largely > due to
> >>opposition by ARRL.

> > By widespread opposition by the amateur radio community. And it wasn't
> > a stand-alone proposal - it was part of an FCC proposed restructuring
> > that would have resulted in a 7 class "two ladder" license system, less
> > than a decade after the "incentive licensing" changes.

> > 1975 was also when cb was booming and FCC proposing to convert 220 to
> > "Class E" cb.

> >>It was along about the same time that computers
> >>first became reasonably affordable for home use.

> > You might want to check the dates, costs, and capabilities of what
> > you're calling a "computer", John.

> >>A generation of
> >>technically inclined young people suddenly had an alternative
> >>to ham radio and its code testing.

> > Sorry, that doesn't make sense.
> >
> > Those early small computers weren't much in the way of communication
> > devices. Look up what a 300 baud modem for a TRS-80 cost...
>
> I think its called technical time shifting, Jim. Somehow all those
> early computers were imbued with all the features that the new ones
> have. That Timex computer can do everything my G5 can do apparently! 8^)

HAW!

My first "home computer" was a VIC-20 that I got used for $100. Needed
a TV set to use it. No printer, no communications. The least expensive
floppy drive for it cost almost $200 new...

> The whole argument does this sort of thing.

You might consider looking up the dates and prices of some of the
hardware John mentions. The facts are somewhat startling.

Of course a lot of money could be saved, then as now, by buying a used computer. That was because they lost value rapidly as newer models came out.

> Assuming that for some
> reason people make a conscious choice between Ham radio and computers
> (and apparently between a hobby and a vocation) doesn't make sense to
> me. If they had more in common, maybe, but computers as a hobby tends to
> involve surfing the net these days, and as a vocation it means either
> working with programs or programming. The two don't meet except at the
> edges.

I think the point is that computers somehow stole the spotlight from ham radio. Perhaps that's true - but would eliminating the code test have done anything to prevent it?

First off, the field of "computing" covers a lot of ground, of which communcations/networking is only one part. There's also word and document processing, accounting (in many forms), graphics and image applications (again in many forms), games, training/educational applications (like learning Morse Code...), and much more that can be done on a stand-alone PC. Plus all the associated hardware.

Ham radio is communications, remote control, associated hardware, and not much else, really.
>
> > Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of
> > alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how many "hi-fi"
> > folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and later.
> > Lots of other examples.
>
> Maybe people who are interested in radio would go into a radio type
> hobby, and people who are interested in other things would be doing
> other things. Simple sort of concept.

Yup.
>
> Or of course we could assume that the Morse code test was what kept
> people from being hams, and then try to explain away why the first batch
> of Hams who didn't have to take a code test are the group that comprises
> the biggest part of the recent drop-off? Seems a strange conclusion.

Whole bunch of factors. For one thing, since FCC has been renewing all Tech Pluses as Techs for more than 5-1/2 years, you can't assume that a Tech isn't code-tested just from the license class.
>
> > In my youth the hottest thing for the techno-kids was - cars. Old cars,
> > new cars, fixing up junkers, customizing, improving performance, you
> > name it. For less than the cost of a new ham rig, a kid could buy an
> > old car, fix it up with simple tools and easy-to-get parts, and get it
> > on the road. Even kids without licenses or the wherewithal to have a
> > car would help friends work on their cars, both for the experience and
> > in the hope of rides once the car was running.

> >
> > No form of radio could compete with wheels.

That sort of thing has become a niche activity. Part of the reason is
that cars are more complex and harder to work on. Another is that
increased affluence, decreased average family size and the perception
of a car as a necessity have made it more likely that parents will help
a kid get a car, rather than the kid being expected to do it all on
his/her own.

> >>A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
> >>around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had
> >>for a
> >>fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other
> >>kit
> >>manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).
>
> > In 1977 I bought and built a Heath HW-2036 2 meter rig. Cost a bit over
> > $300. Still have it and it still works. Heath lasted a while longer
> > after 1977.

> Anyone using Timex-Sinclairs for ham use?

I dunno, but the old 2036 still perks. Lots of older ham gear is still
perfectly usable today, where old computers are usually just
curiosities.

> >>Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s and
> >>then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the
> >>testing and spend $200 or so on a computer.

More like $200 on a *modem*...

Those early computers required that you learn all sorts of arcane
'codes' to make them work. A typo could cause all kinds of havoc, too.

And the models changed relatively quickly so that what you learned on
one system was usually not very useful on a newer one. The time spent
to learn Morse Code is/was trivial compared to the time needed to get
familiar with a new system.

> > I built ham stations for a less than $100 in those days.

Here are some pictures of a receiver (part of the Southgate Type 4) I
built in the early 1970s for about $10.

http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX1.jpg

http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX2.jpg

http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX3.jpg

http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX4.jpg

http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX6.jpg

Almost all the parts came from old TVs, radios, and surplus military gear. I had access to a machine shop so I cut and bent the chassis, brackets and panels from some sheet aluminum scraps, and machined some of the shaft extenders and adapters from brass rod.

The reason for the terminal strip and bunch of resistors on near the rear edge of the rx was to permit the use of tubes with odd heater voltages by changing jumpers.

Some may scoff at the parts and methods used, but the fact is that the rx worked very well for its intended purpose. It was stable, selective, easy and fun to use and I had many many QSOs with it and its matching converter, transmitter and transmatch.

> > You might want
> > to see how little a $200 computer would actually do. And you needed a
> > TV set or monitor to use it.

> Seems to me that the biggest thing they could be used for is learning
> Basic programming. Okay.

I think you mean BASIC programming. And who uses BASIC today? Heck, most people with computers don't write software, they simply use applications written by others.

> >>Thousands voted with their feet,
> >>and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with > radio
> >>and went into computers instead.
> >
> > "The best of a generation" went into computers? Hardly.
>
> I missed that one.

I guess someone who decided to become a doctor or nurse rather than go into computers wasn't 'the best' of their generation, huh?
>
> >>Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of
> >>licensees as
> >>posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys who
> >>might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the
> >>code test
> >>are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry and
> >>probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you
> >>handed them one gratis.

The fact is that most people 25-30 years ago wouldn't have been interested in a ham ticket back then either, with or without code test.

> > Apples and oranges.

> Who is lamenting anyhow? I wish those new old Hams would have stuck
> around, but beyond that, big deal.

> What I take from the statistics is that an early generation of Hams got
> their licenses without a whole lot of actual interest in radio. These

> were the "honeydo" hams, who used 2 meter repeaters to get a shopping
> list or the like on the way home from work. Their interests lay along
> those lines.

Nothing wrong with that, either. But it is radio as a means to an end,
not an end in itself.

> Well along came cell phones, and the honeydo'ers went to that. Cell
> phones are a better technology for getting a shopping list than using a
> repeater.

Some "honeydo" hams found themselves interested in radio beyond the
honeydo aspect. Others didn't.

> Another subset of the dropoff is Hams who were somewhat interested in
> radio, but became bored. They dropped off too.

Then there's the big ones: Antennas, the sunspot cycle, equipment
costs, and lifestyles.

> My prediction of what will happen after Element 1 is history is that
> there will be more new hams, and a higher attrition rate. People with
> only a passing interest will become Hams. There is not likely to be a
> net gain. I won't pass judgment on this being good or bad. It is just
> different.

Let's look at history, shall we? Say from the end of WW2 to the present
time...

After WW2, there were about 60,000 US hams - a tiny fraction of what we
have today, even accounting for the lower population then.

In the postwar years the number of hams grew rapidly, in part because
some servicemen had learned radio theory and Morse Code in the
military, in part because of increased affluence, improved technology,
and pent-up demand. Lots of other reasons, too. By 1950 there were
almost 100,000 US hams.

Then in 1951 there came a restructuring that created new license
classes and renamed the old ones. Supposedly the restructuring would
have made it much harder to get a full-priviliges ham license, but in
late 1962 the FCC gave all ham operating priviliges to Generals and
above. The growth of US ham radio continued until about 1964 at a rate
that pushed license totals up to about a quarter million.

Some see that era as a golden age for the ARS, and in some ways it was.
But it must be recalled how big, heavy and expensive new ham equipment
was in those times, the constant problem of TVI, etc.

But about 1964 the growth just stopped. The number of US hams hovered
around a quarter million for several years in the 1960s, despite the
booming population and general affluence.

Then in 1968 and 1969 came "incentive licensing", which made it
harder to get a full-privileges license. Inflation made equipment
more expensive and times got tough with the stagflation of the 1970s.
Yet from about 1970 onward the number of US hams grew and grew,
reaching 350,000 by 1979, and 550,000 by the mid 1980s.
Before there were code waivers, and when all US ham licenses required
a code test!

The numbers continued to increase in the 1990s. But even though the
code and written testing requirements of the '90s were far less than
what was required in the 1970s and 1980s, the growth slowed down.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3420. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 17:33:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129743184.691982.94400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121245

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 18 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2606	633
Indeterminate (note 1)	169	59
Value for Percentages	2437	574
Against NPRM (note 2)	735 [30.16%]	180 [31.36%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1330 [54.58%]	296 [51.57%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	372 [15.26%]	98 [17.07%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3421. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 17:59:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IQv5f.3640$4O1.1839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121246

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> I think you mean BASIC programming. And who uses BASIC today?
>

Good old MSBASIC has morphed into a slick RAD IDE called Visual Basic. It, and others of that ilk like Borland Delphi (PASCAL in an object-oriented dress), are very popular with computer hobbiests.

> Heck, most people with computers don't write software, they simply use
> applications written by others.

"Heck, most hams don't build radios, they simply use radios built by others."

>
> but in late 1962 the FCC gave all ham operating priviliges to Generals and
> above.
>

No they didn't. Some privs (satelite stations, as at least one example) were reserved for Amateur Extras into the 70's.

But other than some isolated privs like that, General, Conditional, Advanced, and Extra all had very similar "full" privileges going back to the early 50's. Disincentive licensing changed that in the late 60's.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3422. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 19:37:39 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1x643j@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1x643j@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 121254

John Kasupski Wrote:
>
> It occurs to few that the guys who might have become hams 25-30 years
> ago if it weren't for the code test are now holding down good paying
> jobs in the computer industry.......
>

So their choice was "become a ham, or get a job"?

And there are no longer any "good paying jobs in the computer industry"
--- they all moved to Bangalore and Delhi and are now "not-so-good
paying jobs in the computer industry".

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

3423. New Public Database for BPL Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 20:25:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129753536.092234.253780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121247

Some information on BPL from Wednesday's Federal Register:

The United Telecom Council (UTC) will maintain a public
database on USA Access BPL systems effective 19 November 2005.
That is persuant to FCC Regulations Part 15.615 (a) through (e).

The database access is open, requires no log-in information.

http://www.bpldatabase.org

Exactly what will be IN that database was not made clear in
the Federal Register. For history on that, see ET Docket
numbers 04-37 and 03-104. There are 35 companies in the UTC.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3424. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 20:28:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129753720.751814.9760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121248

From: Michael Coslo on Oct 19, 6:31 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> John Kasupski wrote:

>>>I can recall back in about 1975 or so, there was a proposal for
>>>a no-code "Communicator Class" license. It was shot down, largely
>>>due to opposition by ARRL.
>
>> By widespread opposition by the amateur radio community. And it wasn't
>> a stand-alone proposal - it was part of an FCC proposed restructuring
>> that would have resulted in a 7 class "two ladder" license system, less
>> than a decade after the "incentive licensing" changes.
>
>> 1975 was also when cb was booming and FCC proposing to convert 220 to
>> "Class E" cb.

1975 is also THIRTY YEARS AGO. :-)

>>>It was along about the same time that computers
>>>first became reasonably affordable for home use.
>
>> You might want to check the dates, costs, and capabilities of what
>> you're calling a "computer", John.

A "computer" is an electronic apparatus that calculates according
to a predetermined sequence of operations stored in memory.

The first "low-cost computers" were exemplified by the
1969-debut of the Hewlett-Packard 9100 programmable desk
calculator. [not a single IC in that model, by the way]
Magnetic-card storage of programs (size of a credit card of
today). CRT display of alphanumeric register contents.
Very expensive by hobby standards (unaffordable by most) but
it set a pattern. The general format/design has been carried
through to the current HP 33S ($55 through HP mail-order)
handheld programmable scientific calculator.

>>>A generation of
>>>technically inclined young people suddenly had an alternative
>>>to ham radio and its code testing.
>
>> Sorry, that doesn't make sense.

Makes PERFECT SENSE to those involved, from buyers to sellers
and the growth of the personal computer industry. Made Bill
Gates the richest man in the USA... :-)

>> Those early small computers weren't much in the way of communication
>> devices. Look up what a 300 baud modem for a TRS-80 cost...

Not a problem to most, really. I started up a second time with
a new Apple][+ in computer-modem communications in early
December, 1984, got on local BBSs and had a ball from then on.

Thirty years ago, 300 BPS was considered "fast" (in comparison
to the "standard" rate of 100 BPS). It took a few years of
modem development to reach 2400 BPS (decried as "impossible" on
voice-grade telephone lines by so-called "experts" in comms).
Took a few more years and some heavy research into Coding
and Information theory to hit the now-top-rate of 56 KBPS.
Meanwhile the Co$t of that developement had to be paid by
somebody and that somebody was the consumer, the buyer.

Thirty years ago, the offshore production of consumer electronics
was just starting to make an impact on the market for such things
and had not gotten into the small personal computer area. Much
of the hardware for that area was still built domestically then.
The reverse is true now.

> I think its called technical time shifting, Jim. Somehow all those
>early computers were imbued with all the features that the new ones
>have. That Timex computer can do everything my G5 can do apparently! 8^)

Timex-Sinclair was a LATE-comer into the personal computer market.
The first established generation of personal computers were the
Intel 8080 MCPU systems running CP/M (the first popular DOS). The
Motorola 6800 MCPU and then the MOS Technology 6502 MCPU (as used
in the first kit Apple, the Apple I, then the Commodore C64 ready-
built) began to change that. The Apple][series had almost
seized the whole personal computer market of 1980 until IBM struck
in 1981 and then Apple screwed up on new series designs, beginning
with the Apple III. CP/M systems had gone down the tubes by then.

> The whole argument does this sort of thing. Assuming that for some
>reason people make a conscious choice between Ham radio and computers
>(and apparently between a hobby and a vocation) doesn't make sense to
>me. If they had more in common, maybe, but computers as a hobby tends to
>involve surfing the net these days, and as a vocation it means either
>working with programs or programming. The two don't meet except at the
>edges.

That's only from YOUR personal experience. Prior to 1991 and the
Internet going public-access, there was NO "net surfing"...no
Internet to surf. BBSs were well established and growing by 1990
with tens of thousands (perhaps hundreds of thousands) actively
communicating on BBSs and BBS netwroks. Nearly everything in
TEXT form and imagery largely confined to still pictures and games
of rather crude (by today's standards) imagery/art. Games were a
very popular market item. Real computer afficionados were into
programming, by BASIC, by Assembler, by Pascal, the few with the

first hard disks using compilers for compiled-source programs.

>> Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of
>> alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how many "hi-fi"
>> folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and later.
>> Lots of other examples.

Change "1940s" for 'late 1950s' for that "Williamson." :-)
Having been in that area as a hobbyist and once a suscriber to
Audio Engineering magazine in the 1950s, "hi-fi" was about the
ONLY area (other than ham radio) for hobbyists of the 50s.
The industry development of good, affordable ICs was only just
beginning with the one-package microprocessor about to change
that radically.

> Maybe people who are interested in radio would go into a radio type
>hobby, and people who are interested in other things would be doing
>other things. Simple sort of concept.

If you re-write "radio type" into "electronic type" you would get
a different picture of the three decades from 1975 to now.

> Or of course we could assume that the Morse code test was what kept
>people from being hams, and then try to explain away why the first batch
>of Hams who didn't have to take a code test are the group that comprises
>the biggest part of the recent drop-off? Seems a strange conclusion.

"Recent" drop-off? :-) The number of U.S. licensed amateurs has
been steadily shrinking for two years. Not much of a shrinkage
but nowhere close to keeping up with the population increase.

Despite the snarling denial of amateur morsemen, the no-code-test
Technician class license added about 200 thousand new licensees
to the U.S. amateur radio database since it began. Without them
there would have been NO peak of numbers in July, 2003, and the
total numbers would have SHRUNK before the new millennium was
entered. Never mind the "lumping of no-coders with code-tested
techs" happening after Restructuring, the tabulations elsewhere
show that the 200K additions by NO-CODERS actually happened BEFORE
Restructuring.

>> In my youth the hottest thing for the techno-kids was - cars. Old cars,
>> new cars, fixing up junkers, customizing, improving performance, you
>> name it. For less than the cost of a new ham rig, a kid could buy an
>> old car, fix it up with simple tools and easy-to-get parts, and get it
>> on the road. Even kids without licenses or the wherewithal to have a
>> car would help friends work on their cars, both for the experience and
>> in the hope of rides once the car was running.
>
>> No form of radio could compete with wheels.

That "youth" is rather long gone...but southern California is
still the doityourself/custom car place showing how it is done,

today. :-) A good (enough) car was a "scarf magnet" for
young male teeners deep into testosterone flow.

>>>A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
>>>around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had for a
>>>fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other kit
>>>manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).

[a regretable time shift there...were NO Sinclair models in 1975]

>> In 1977 I bought and built a Heath HW-2036 2 meter rig. Cost a bit over
>> $300. Still have it and it still works. Heath lasted a while longer
>> after 1977.

Heath is still around. They make a nice wireless doorbell (we
have two transmitters and three receivers), ready-built. That's
about IT. :-)

> Anyone using Timex-Sinclairs for ham use?

I doubt it. CQ magazine used to feature all kinds of adaptations
of the Commodore C64 series.

>>>Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s
>>>and then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the testing
>>>and spend $200 or so on a computer.
>
>> I built ham stations for a less than $100 in those days. You might want
>> to see how little a $200 computer would actually do. And you needed a
>> TV set or monitor to use it.

In 1980 it should not have been a problem to obtain an old TV
set (even black and white) to use as a display (what you call a
"monitor"). :-) Without tearing it apart to make an 80m CW
rig rock-bound on 3.579545454 MHz, it could still pick up NTSC
TV 25 years ago (nobody had any serious plans for "digital TV"
back then).

> Seems to me that the biggest thing they could be used for is learning
>Basic programming. Okay.

That isn't valuable at all? Tsk, tsk. :-)

>>>Thousands voted with their feet,
>>>and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with
>>>radio and went into computers instead.
>
>> "The best of a generation" went into computers? Hardly.
>
> I missed that one.

They didn't go into choo-choos. :-)

>>>Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of licensees as
>>>posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys
>>>who might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the code test
>>>are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry
>>>and probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you
>>>handed them one gratis.
>
>> Apples and oranges.

"Apples and oranges?" Sounds like more sour whine from morsemen.

Agribusiness did not grow through morsemanship...:-)

> Who is lamenting anyhow? I wish those new old Hams would have stuck
>around, but beyond that, big deal.

Mostly those hams just let their ham licenses expire. How about
that? :-)

> What I take from the statistics is that an early generation of Hams got
>their licenses without a whole lot of actual interest in radio. These
>were the "honeydo" hams, who used 2 meter repeaters to get a shopping
>list or the like on the way home from work. Their interests lay along
>those lines.

??? Is "radio" only that region called "HF" in the EM spectrum?

There is "NO technical interest" in the frequencies above 30 MHz?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...

> Well along came cell phones, and the honeydo'ers went to that. Cell
>phones are a better technology for getting a shopping list than using a
>repeater.

That can't be! Cell phones are absolutely useless as comm devices
according to all the morsemen...the Jay Leno show "proved that"...
in every single emergency situation, cell phones are "useless." :-)

> Another subset of the dropoff is Hams who were somewhat interested in
>radio, but became bored. They dropped off too.

I'm getting a bit bored by all this blather myself... :-)

> My prediction of what will happen after Element 1 is history is that
>there will be more new hams, and a higher attrition rate. People with
>only a passing interest will become Hams. There is not likely to be a
>net gain. I won't pass judgment on this being good or bad. It is just
>different.

Tsk, from the output in here, much more judgement has been passed
than has gas. [or, they are one and the same...]

As of 17 Oct 05, 48.57% of all individual U.S. amateur radio
licensees were Technicians...MOST of them not having taken any
code tests. Guess they don't count, huh? :-)

So far on WT Docket 05-235, the number of filings in only three months averages 866 per month. On WT Docket 98-143 (Restructuring) they averaged less than 205 per month over an 11-month period. Guess the morse code test is "unimportant" and, since PCs are "only used for surfing the net," it doesn't have any impact on input to the FCC, right? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3425. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 20:40:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hby5f.16570$vw6.10441@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129732471.733814.45000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>> news:1129594531.799962.268470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
>> >>
>> >> Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you
>> >> hit
>> >> send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish.
>> >
>> > then don't read it nobody is hoding a gun to your head
>> >
>> >>..Are you brain damaged
>> >> or something?
>> >
>> > how many time do I have to explain dyslexiod aphasia for you. I have
>> > explained it and it results many times try google
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> I was NOT aware you had a "real" problem. Now that I do. I won't bother
>> you any longer. You can't help yourself, or wont.
>
> why do you continue to lie
>
> you made it clear in this very thread
>
> you don't think BLGT people are entitled to opinions
>
> you are just another gay bashing bigot
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

I told you I would leave you alone. If you want me to continue slapping you around, you just keep up your crap. You have an opportunity here, use it.

Dan/W4NTI

3426. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 20:49:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129754975.742885.317670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > was, it was a Lambda chapter.....
>
> you must be hearing vioces again never mentioned runing for political
> office

"What's "vioces" and "runing", you fucking imbecile?

> you must rely

"rely?" You "really" need to get a clue through that thick skull of
yours.

>need some meds if you making shit like this up

With you around it sure isn't necessary. And I should mention a word
about your abyssmal grammar or mad spelling skills, Cletus. It'd take
an army of thousands to keep up with and make note of your daily
butchery of my beloved English language. If you can't handle a
critique of
your dreadful writing, just go ahead and open your wrists with a razor
blade and end your torment. It's a text forum, you imbecile. The only
way to judge anyone here is by the words they offer. It's always the
subliterates like yourself that maintain the opinion that shitty
writing is something *other* than the barometer of one's stupidity that
it clearly is. You'd love to pretend that I'm nitpicking over the
occasional typo or misspelling of a word as you attempt to draw
attention away from my accurate assessment of your abortion of a post a
few lines up. Watching you try to form compound sentences is a painful
excercise.

3427. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 20:51:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129755080.832628.245050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.

3428. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 20:56:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129755414.345259.35510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121252

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an Old friend wrote:
> >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> pruing again

Running away again, you mean.

> >> >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> >> >> happened
> >> >
> >> >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> >> >means.
> >>
> >> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
> >
> >No it isn't, child abuser.
>
> yes it is bullshit

No it isn't, child abuser.
>
> No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules

Yes it is, stupid.

> therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS

Therefore, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.

> >
> >> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
> >
> >It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
> >you have out.

>
> proving again that you are sick SoB

No, stupid.
>
> but as I said before

Don't write like an addled illiterate and you won't be mocked for it,
Corky. Whining about my mockery will only bring further tauntage.

> the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> can't take part in hate filled gay bashing

Your character is suspect, retard.

> therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit

Therefore, you are a moron. And it only stands to reason that if your
language skills are lacking, your logic and cognitive skills are
probably lacking as well. You prove me out with every wacky post you
make. Someone brings up one of your countless flaws and you spin off
conspiratorial tangents that would shame the average
tinfoil-hat-wearing quack into sobriety.

> cut to close

Run away,Marky!

3429. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 20:59:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lsy5f.3691$4O1.1871@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121253

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>John Kasupski wrote:

>> I think its called technical time shifting, Jim. Somehow all those
>>early computers were imbued with all the features that the new ones
>>have. That Timex computer can do everything my G5 can do apparently! 8^)
>
>
> HAW!
>
> My first "home computer" was a VIC-20 that I got used for $100.

Same here. I got mine with the drive and a b/w 5" monitor for $25.
I wanted it for RTTY to replace an old mod 15 TTY machine.

> Needed
> a TV set to use it. No printer, no communications. The least expensive
> floppy drive for it cost almost $200 new...

I quickly upgraded to a C-64 with RGB monitor, extra memory and a
printer, all used.

>>The whole argument does this sort of thing.
>
>
> You might consider looking up the dates and prices of some of the
> hardware John mentions. The facts are somewhat startling.
>
> Of course a lot of money could be saved, then as now, by buying a used
> computer. That was because they lost value rapidly as newer models came
> out.

There are some bargains out there. I routinely see 1.2 or 1.4 gb
machines with monitors and keyboards for $100-175.

>
>>Assuming that for some
>>reason people make a conscious choice between Ham radio and computers
>>(and apparently between a hobby and a vocation) doesn't make sense to
>>me. If they had more in common, maybe, but computers as a hobby tends to
>>involve surfing the net these days, and as a vocation it means either

>>working with programs or programming. The two don't meet except at the
>>edges.
>
>
> I think the point is that computers somehow stole the spotlight from
> ham radio. Perhaps that's true - but would eliminating the code test
> have done anything to prevent it?

Not really. If you are interested in becoming a ham, you find a way to
become a ham. Most hams I know are computer users as well. The two are
not mutually exclusive.
>
> First off, the field of "computing" covers a lot of ground, of which
> communcations/networking is only one part. There's also word and
> document processing, accounting (in many forms), graphics and image
> applications (again in many forms), games, training/educational
> applications (like learning Morse Code...), and much more that can be
> done on a stand-alone PC. Plus all the associated hardware.

Look at the things a ham might do with a computer. I use mine for
Packet Cluster DX spots, routine logging, contest logging, awards
tracking, propagation forcasting, RTTY/AMTOR/Pactor operation, satellite
tracking, antenna modeling, electronic calculations and more.

> Ham radio is communications, remote control, associated hardware, and
> not much else, really.
>
>>>Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of
>>>alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how many "hi-fi"
>>>folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and later.
>>>Lots of other examples.
>>
>> Maybe people who are interested in radio would go into a radio type
>>hobby, and people who are interested in other things would be doing
>>other things. Simple sort of concept.
>
>
> Yup.
>
>> Or of course we could assume that the Morse code test was what kept
>>people from being hams, and then try to explain away why the first batch
>>of Hams who didn't have to take a code test are the group that comprises
>>the biggest part of the recent drop-off? Seems a strange conclusion.
>
>
> Whole bunch of factors. For one thing, since FCC has been renewing all
> Tech Pluses as Techs for more than 5-1/2 years, you can't assume that a
> Tech isn't code-tested just from the license class.
>
>>>In my youth the hottest thing for the techno-kids was - cars. Old cars,
>>>new cars, fixing up junkers, customizing, improving performance, you

>>>name it. For less than the cost of a new ham rig, a kid could buy an
>>>old car, fix it up with simple tools and easy-to-get parts, and get it
>>>on the road. Even kids without licenses or the wherewithal to have a
>>>car would help friends work on their cars, both for the experience and
>>>in the hope of rides once the car was running.
>>>
>>>No form of radio could compete with wheels.
>
>
> That sort of thing has become a niche activity. Part of the reason is
> that cars are more complex and harder to work on. Another is that
> increased affluence, decreased average family size and the perception
> of a car as a necessity have made it more likely that parents will help
> a kid get a car, rather than the kid being expected to do it all on
> his/her own.

I think most kids are still interesting in adding chrome doodads,
lights, fancy tires and very hefty stereo sets which will shake a
quarter mile of asphalt.

>
>>>>A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
>>>>around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had
>>>>for a
>>>>fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other
>>>>kit
>>>>manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).
>>
>>>In 1977 I bought and built a Heath HW-2036 2 meter rig. Cost a bit over
>>>$300. Still have it and it still works. Heath lasted a while longer
>>>after 1977.
>
>
>> Anyone using Timex-Sinclairs for ham use?
>
>
> I dunno, but the old 2036 still perks. Lots of older ham gear is still
> perfectly usable today, where old computers are usually just
> curiosities.

I had a 2036 but I had to keep a diddle stick handy for touching up the
VCO periodically. I later had the VF-7401, a bit better beast.

>
>>>>Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s and
>>>>then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the
>>>>testing and spend $200 or so on a computer.
>
>
> More like $200 on a *modem*...
>

> Those early computers required that you learn all sorts of arcane
> 'codes' to make them work. A typo could cause all kinds of havoc, too.
>
> And the models changed relatively quickly so that what you learned on
> one system was usually not very useful on a newer one. The time spent
> to learn Morse Code is/was trivial compared to the time needed to get
> familiar with a new system.

I went from an XT DOS machine to a 286 with Geoworks to a 386 with
Windows 3.1 to a 486 with Win95 to a series of Pentiums with Win98 and
98SE/WinNT (dual boot) to my current "Winders XP (West Virginia variant)
machines.

>>>I built ham stations for a less than $100 in those days.
>
>
> Here are some pictures of a receiver (part of the Southgate Type 4) I
> built in the early 1970s for about $10.
>
> http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX1.jpg

Sweet!

> http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX2.jpg

I like the audio filtering...

> http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX3.jpg

Izzat a bowl for the tuning dial?
>
> http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX4.jpg
>
> http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX6.jpg
>
> Almost all the parts came from old TVs, radios, and surplus military
> gear. I had access to a machine shop so I cut and bent the chassis,
> brackets and panels from some sheet aluminum scraps, and machined some
> of the shaft extenders and adapters from brass rod.

Do you still have it?

> The reason for the terminal strip and bunch of resistors on near the
> rear edge of the rx was to permit the use of tubes with odd heater
> voltages by changing jumpers.

Quite clever. I have a homebrew amp in an ARC-5 cabinet which ran four
6JB6's. A load of 17JB6's became available at a couple of bucks each a
few years back. I stocked up on them and changed the filament
transformer to an 18v job.

> Some may scoff at the parts and methods used, but the fact is that the
> rx worked very well for its intended purpose. It was stable, selective,
> easy and fun to use and I had many many QSOs with it and its matching

> converter, transmitter and transmatch.

I don't know why anyone but Leonard H. Anderson would scoff at the parts
or the methods.

>
>>>You might want
>>>to see how little a $200 computer would actually do. And you needed a
>>>TV set or monitor to use it.
>
>
>>Seems to me that the biggest thing they could be used for is learning
>>Basic programming. Okay.
>
>
> I think you mean BASIC programming. And who uses BASIC today? Heck,
> most people with computers don't write software, they simply use
> applications written by others.

Sure. Most folks want the computer to do something. They aren't
necessarily interested in computing for computing itself as a hobby.

>
>>>>Thousands voted with their feet,
>>>>and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with > radio
>>>>and went into computers instead.
>>>
>>>"The best of a generation" went into computers? Hardly.
>>
>> I missed that one.
>
>
> I guess someone who decided to become a doctor or nurse rather than go
> into computers wasn't 'the best' of their generation, huh?

Didn't any of "the best" become teachers or ministers or heads of water
companies?

>>>>Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of
>>>>licensees as
>>>>posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys who
>>>>might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the
>>>>code test
>>>>are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry and
>>>>probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you
>>>>handed them one gratis.
>
>
> The fact is that most people 25-30 years ago wouldn't have been
> interested in a ham ticket back then either, with or without code test.
>
>

>>>Apples and oranges.
>
>
>>Who is lamenting anyhow? I wish those new old Hams would have stuck
>>around, but beyond that, big deal.
>
>
>>What I take from the statistics is that an early generation of Hams got
>>their licenses without a whole lot of actual interest in radio. These
>>were the "honeydo" hams, who used 2 meter repeaters to get a shopping
>>list or the like on the way home from work. Their interests lay along
>>those lines.
>
>
> Nothing wrong with that, either. But it is radio as a means to an end,
> not an end in itself.
>
>
>> Well along came cell phones, and the honeydo'ers went to that. Cell
>>phones are a better technology for getting a shopping list than using a
>>repeater.
>
>
> Some "honeydo" hams found themselves interested in radio beyond the
> honeydo aspect. Others didn't.
>
>
>>Another subset of the dropoff is Hams who were somewhat interested in
>>radio, but became bored. They dropped off too.
>
>
> Then there's the big ones: Antennas, the sunspot cycle, equipment
> costs, and lifestyles.
>
>
>>My prediction of what will happen after Element 1 is history is that
>>there will be more new hams, and a higher attrition rate. People with
>>only a passing interest will become Hams. There is not likely to be a
>>net gain. I won't pass judgment on this being good or bad. It is just
>>different.
>
>
> Let's look at history, shall we? Say from the end of WW2 to the present
> time...
>
> After WW2, there were about 60,000 US hams - a tiny fraction of what we
> have today, even accounting for the lower population then.
>
> In the postwar years the number of hams grew rapidly, in part because
> some servicemen had learned radio theory and Morse Code in the

> military, in part because of increased affluence, improved technology,
> and pent-up demand. Lots of other reasons, too. By 1950 there were
> almost 100,000 US hams.
>
> Then in 1951 there came a restructuring that created new license
> classes and renamed the old ones. Supposedly the restructuring would
> have made it much harder to get a full-priviliges ham license, but in
> late 1962 the FCC gave all ham operating priviliges to Generals and
> above. The growth of US ham radio continued until about 1964 at a rate
> that pushed license totals up to about a quarter million.

Are you sure about that 1962 date? General class licensees had all HF
frequencies when I first became interested in amateur radio in 1961.

> Some see that era as a golden age for the ARS, and in some ways it was.
> But it must be recalled how big, heavy and expensive new ham equipment
> was in those times, the constant problem of TVI, etc.

I had a solution for the expensive equipment problem: I never had new
equipment until the 1980's.

> But about 1964 the growth just stopped. The number of US hams hovered
> around a quarter million for several years in the 1960s, despite the
> booming population and general affluence.
>
> Then in 1968 and 1969 came "incentive licensing", which made it
> *harder* to get a full-privileges license. Inflation made equipment
> more expensive and times got tough with the stagflation of the 1970s.
> Yet from about 1970 onward the number of US hams grew and grew,
> reaching 350,000 by 1979, and 550,000 by the mid 1980s.
> *Before* there were code waivers, and when all US ham licenses required
> a code test!

Imagine that. Do you mean that folks just hit the books, brushed up on
their code speed and tested for the higher class licenses?

> The numbers continued to increase in the 1990s. But even though the
> code and written testing requirements of the '90s were far less than
> what was required in the 1970s and 1980s, the growth slowed down.

...and I note that the NVEC is coming out with new question pools with
far fewer questions. I wonder why *that* is.

Dave K8MN

3430. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:34:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129757671.720949.158690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121255

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2005 17:49:03 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > KØHB wrote:
> >> >>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >> >>
> >> >>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >> >>
> >> >>??????????????????????
> >> >
> >> > Hans appears incapable.
> >> >
> >> > Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> >> > coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> >> > locality is different.
> >>
> >> Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
> >
> >No? Every state and locality can be different. One size does not fit
> >all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
> >plans, coordination, and even spacing.
> >
> >> Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
> >> anywhere?
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >Do you know why there can't be?
>
> I remmebr (out of QST I think) some years back Riley deamnding an
> explanation of why some ham had an AM repeater and the clear subtext
> was that it was somehow improper becuase the Bandplan called for FM

MMM

3431. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:37:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129757862.979861.176450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121256

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >an Old friend wrote:
> > > >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > >> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > pruing again
>
> Running away again, you mean.
>
> > > >> >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> > > >> >> happened
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> > > >> >means.
> > > >>
> > > >> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
> > > >
> > > >No it isn't, child abuser.
> > >
> > > yes it is bullshit
> >
> > No it isn't, child abuser.

yes it is bullshit

feel free to report it and find what kind of bullshit it is
> >
> > No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules
>
> Yes it is, stupid.

nope wrong again

if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC

>
> > therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
>
> Therefore, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.

I certainly do. I think even you know that I am telling the truth, but
again if you slightest Do report it
>
> > >
> > >> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
> > >
> > >It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
> > >you have out.
> >
> > proving again that you are sick SoB
>
> No, stupid.
> >
> > but as I said before
>
> Don't write like an addled illiterate and you won't be mocked for it,
> Corky. Whining about my mockery will only bring further tauntage.

you are a sick SoB. anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB
>
> > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
>
> Your character is suspect, retard.

not where it counts
>
> > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
>
> Therefore, you are a moron.
worng again

> And it only stands to reason that if your
> language skills are lacking, your logic and cognitive skills are
> probably lacking as well.

GIGO

> You prove me out with every wacky post you
> make.

nope wrong again
> Someone brings up one of your countless flaws and you spin off
> conspiratorial tangents..

another lie I am making no "conspiratorial tangents" I am daring you
to put up or shut up, or at least be shoown a lying sniveling coward

>.. that would shame the average
> tinfoil-hat-wearing quack into sobriety.

more bullshit

You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
revolve arround your bigotries
>
> > cut to close
>
> Run away,Marky!

3432. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:37:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129757865.369009.146510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121257

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >>>>
> >>>>??????????????????????
> >>>
> >>>Hans appears incapable.
> >>>
> >>>Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> >>>coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> >>>locality is different.
> >>
> >>Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
> >
> >
> > No? Every state and locality can be different.
>
> For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect.

Since you say so...

Hi, hi!

> > One size does not fit
> > all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
> > plans, coordination, and even spacing.
>
> Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater
> guide say about 6m AM operation?

It says to defer all 6M AM questions to K8MN.

> >>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
> >>anywhere?
>
> > Do you know why there can't be?
>

> There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.
>
> Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.
>
> Dave K8MN

Do I -have- to?

3433. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.931

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:41:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129758069.922719.165080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1129732471.733814.45000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> >> news:1129594531.799962.268470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1129566232.491370.117010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> > sure do knwo about it alot mor than you it would seem
> >> >>
> >> >> Markie.....would you PLEASE at LEAST read what you wrote BEFORE you
> >> >> hit
> >> >> send. I am so sick and tired of reading gibberish.
> >> >
> >> > then don't read it nobody is hoding a gun to your head
> >> >
> >> >>..Are you brain damaged
> >> >> or something?
> >> >
> >> > how many time do I have to explain dyslexiod aphasia for you. I have
> >> > explained it and it results many times try google
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >>
> >> I was NOT aware you had a "real" problem. Now that I do. I won't bother
> >> you any longer. You can't help yourself, or wont.
> >
> > why do you continue to lie
> >
> > you made it clear in this very thread
> >
> > you don't think BLGT people are entitled to opinions
> >
> > you are just another gay bashing bigot
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >

>
> I told you I would leave you alone.

your point?

> If you want me to continue slapping you
> around, you just keep up your crap.

I don't particularly want you to but the choice is yours

you can stop your lying and try and come clean or not. I would suspect
that you will choose not to but the decision is YOURS

> You have an opportunity here, use it.

take your orders and shove em "where the sun don't shine" as they say

you are a bigot and hate monger, like most of the ProCoder posters
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3434. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:42:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129758120.340734.27750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121259

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:45:07 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>??????????????????????
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Hans appears incapable.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Try your local frequency coordinating body, perhaps the repeater
> >>>>>coordinating body for 6M, if that is your interest. Each state or
> >>>>>locality is different.
> >>>>
> >>>>Not for 6m AM, SSB or CW it isn't.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No? Every state and locality can be different.
> >>
> >>For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect.
> >
> >
> > more pronoucement from on High
> >
> > you make the assertion explain why
>
> Follow the url I posted for the ARRL band plans. Read them. We can

> discuss it when you're educated.
>
> >>> One size does not fit
> >>>all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
> >>>plans, coordination, and even spacing.
> >>
> >>Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater
> >>guide say about 6m AM operation?
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
> >>>>anywhere?
> >>
> >>>Do you know why there can't be?
> >>
> >>There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.
> >>
> >>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.
> >
> >
> > which matters how
> >
> > no reason a 6m Am repeater can be built.
>
> No reason it can be built? Did you mean "can't be built". Read my
> comments above.
>
> > I know Riley was going on about a 2m AM repeater at one point
>
> Great. There've been AM repeaters on 5m, 6m and 2m in the past. I know
> of none at the present. Were you and the boys in the club going to
> start a 6m AM repeater?
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm floored by this MMM coming out against a legacy mode. Wow and Hi!

3435. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:47:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129758458.439061.3360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121260

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
cut
> > > I know Riley was going on about a 2m AM repeater at one point
> >
> > Great. There've been AM repeaters on 5m, 6m and 2m in the past. I know
> > of none at the present. Were you and the boys in the club going to
> > start a 6m AM repeater?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I'm floored by this MMM coming out against a legacy mode. Wow and Hi!

indeed and fixacting on the example rather than the issue

Indeed the behavoir of the MMM is forcing me to reconsider wether there
might not be serious negitive health in some sectors of the population
induced by exposure to Mosre Coded CW

but indeed a 6M Am repeater has been kicked around althought the desire
is imply to use AM simplex mostly some where it general is not looked
for so we locals can chat.

I and others find I like AM simplex over FM simplex but when we try
using using we sometimes get interfed with by DX

3436. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:50:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129758607.960098.5940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121261

KØHB wrote:
> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > we are Yoopers can't seem to raise anyone at the listed coordinator
> > OTOH neither do the emails bounce
> >
>
> I don't think the repeater coordinators care what you do on other modes. Here
> in Minnesota, at least, they concern themselves only with the repeater
> sub-bands.

Yet there are frequency coordinators listed in the ARRL Repeater Guide
that do concern themselves with with frequencies, especially if you
wan't to operate outside the ordinary bandplans.

> If I wanted to establish a new local AM presence I wouldn't even involve the
> coordinator, if for no other reason than it's outside their job description, and
> once you have their advice it becomes a "rule" of sorts. Fugitit!

Yikes! Rules from people working outside "thier" job descriptions.

> As I mentioned earlier, in this area the casual AM'ers seem to be clustered
> between 50.400 and 50.550, although I think there are a couple of nets that use
> 50.355. My inclination would be to stay above the SSB weak signal guys and
> below the digital stuff. That gives you 600KHz, or roughly 75 6KHz AM slots
> with 3KHz guard channels interleaved.

Fair enough. But they just might have some crystals for freqs in the
repeater bands (whatever those happen to be at the moment), and want to
do some operating as long as they don't interfere with existing users.
He never did say what he wanted to do exactly.

3437. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:58:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051019175840.571$UQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Stevie is taken seriously, granted mostly by folks as nuts as he is
>
Thanks for the flowers, wacky. :)

3438. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 21:58:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129759128.845683.291430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121263

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>I was trying to tlak the subject in general merely giving an example
> >>>>>
> >>>>>??????????????????????
> >>>>
> >>>>His local gang was tlaking to their new club official. None of them
> >>>>knew anything about frequencies used for 6m AM operation.
> >>>
> >>>not at all
> >>>
> >>>I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
> >>>would go about local band planing
> >>
> >>It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.
> >
> > It can be on vhf and above frequencies. You just need to open your
> > mind a little, a very little.
>
> It can't be done locally if there is any chance of interfering with
> other regional repeaters. If you are coordinating to avoid putting your
> local machine with one 50 or 75 miles away, you aren't dealing with
> local band planning. You're dealing with regional band planning.
>
> Now, what do your comments have to do with 6m AM operation?

What is the input and output?

> >>It is
> >>done on a national and regional basis. If we decided to implement a
> >>local band plan for 2m here, the 2m users in Pittsburgh, Morgantown,
> >>Parkersburg, Marietta and Columbus would be in a dither.

> >
> >
> > There is still room for local variation in a regional plan.
>
> ...not if there is any chance of interfering with other repeaters in
> your region, there isn't.

You state a caveat, then come down hard with a firm "NO!"

Hi!

Do you think the various 6M repeater plans can't have room for another
repeater?

> > You told us of
> >
> >>your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple
> >>of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
> >>posts as you did over the 60m "band".
>
> > Perhaps he and other associates have no 6M crystal for where the AM
> > activity might be found and wants a local variation.
>
> Yeah, that not being able to buy a crystal would be a significant hurdle.
>
> Sheesh.

CW has been crammed down our throats since the 70's because some
thirld-worlder might not be able to purchase a microphone so I think
it's legitimate that a person in America might want to try out a rig
with a crystal already in hand without having to special order one from
Jan.

Of course, your opinion is different.

3439. Re: Putting up an Imax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:01:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051019180155.779$GY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: me@privacy.net (Buther=A0Boy)
> >I have spent quite a bit of time in the last
>
> >several weeks trying to come up with a
>
> >solution for talking skip in a town home area.
>
> >Well, I think I got it figured out... Maybe any
>
> >way. :-)
>
> >I ordered the Imax 2000,
>
> Did you get it yet? If everything goes ok you ought mention the name of
> the business from where you purchased it. If the company did a good job,
> let the radio world know.
>
> >Buther Boy
>
> Hope to catch you on the air.
>
10-fer..keep dem boat anchors breathin'!

3440. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:03:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6qfdl153mto30vu6na1qi4ednav321hcto@4ax.com>
Source record: 121266

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 16:59:52 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 05:05:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
cut

>>>>more pronoucement from on High
>>>>
>>>>you make the assertion explain why
>>>
>>>Follow the url I posted for the ARRL band plans. Read them. We can
>>>discuss it when you're educated.
>>
>>
>> I have
>>
>> nothing is stated that says CW SSB or AM are somehow not subject to
>> adjustment or local (or repgional) varriation, indeed the ARRL would
>> lack the power to say that
>
>Don't tell me what it doesn't say, Mark.

one asshole I'll say what I like

two it says not a thing about CW SSB or AM being different than FM
wrt to local varriation

> Tell me what it says. It
>doesn't say anything about ending world hunger, saving the whales or any
>number of things. Six meters frequently opens for regional QSOs and
>even worldwide QSOs.

>Tell me what negative consequences there might be
>for a national band plan if guys in Podunk, Iowa began implementing a
>local band plan.

depends on what they did. Using AM say near the top of 6M as a local
window to avoid DX is unlikely to harm anything esp if they stay off
the repeater pairs

>
>> your assertion you back it up
>
>What was my assertion, Mark? That you go to the ARRL band plan url and
>read it?

"For 6m AM, SSB and CW? You're simply incorrect."

you aserted it and then were too dumb to remeber what you said

>
>> don't be your normal lazy bum self and tell others to do your work for
>> you do it
>
>That is *precisely* what you repeatedly ask others to do for you.

I do ask it which is not what I was talking about.

I don't give people ORDERS. I don't tell what what to do, except to
get of when they start giving orders

> You
>ask questions about a topic of which you know little.

"which is how we find out things I think I read that in maual
somewhere" (drfloyd 2010)

> You're provided
>information or are pointed to where the information can be found (which
>you haven't troubled yourself to find and study on your own).

sometimes never done with much in the way manners something the
ProCoders keep telling they have more of but moving along

> You begin
>disputing the information.

as is my right

esp when you present things as "facts" that clearly are not

> It is the question of the 5 MHz frequencies
>all over again.

and you just can't stand anyone daring to dispute anything you say
>
>You don't use a spell checking program because you can't be bothered, so
>you continue to post gibberish and to tell readers that it is their
>problem.

is someone holding a gun to head and MAKING you read. if call the Cops

I make no one read anything of mine

I do think however as the ProCoders them selves say that if they want
to read they should expect to work at it, then they will value it
more.

It is like poetry you make it too easy and it means nothing.

In my case I seek to reach those that "will ignore the shout but
strain to hear the wishper" (a ST:NG quote a trill amabssdor anmed
Odan)

> If you want to see a lazy guy, stare into a mirror.
>
>>
>>>>>>One size does not fit
>>>>>>all. Read your ARRL repeater guide. Notice all the caveats wrt band
>>>>>>plans, coordination, and even spacing.
>>>>>
>>>>>Those are regional differences, not local ones. What does the repeater
>>>>>guide say about 6m AM operation?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters
>>>>>>>>>anywhere?
>>>>>
>>>>>>Do you know why there can't be?
>>>>>
>>>>>There is no reason that my house can't be chartreuse...but it isn't.
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters? Just one will get you off the hook.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>which matters how
>>>>
>>>>no reason a 6m Am repeater can be built.
>>>
>>>No reason it can be built? Did you mean "can't be built". Read my
>>>comments above.
>>
>>
>> you understand the question so answer rather than evade, lazy bum
>
>The lazy bum was the guy who wrote it incorrectly and posted it without
>correction.

stil does bother with the issue

always the attack SoP of the stpid and the Lazy

>
>>
>>>>I know Riley was going on about a 2m AM repeater at one point
>>>
>>>Great. There've been AM repeaters on 5m, 6m and 2m in the past. I know
>>>of none at the present. Were you and the boys in the club going to
>>>start a 6m AM repeater?
>>
>>
>> which mean you knoew of them? which means your point was what?
>
>I "knoew" of them? I know a lot of things, Mark. My point was exactly
>what I asked you: Do you know of any 6m AM repeaters in existence in

>the United States of America?

not at this time

and still what was the point?

>
>> you are about as myopic as Stevie
>
>I'm sure it seems that way to a lazy dyslexic.

more bashing and not even original

boring Davie

>
>Dave K8MN

Dave K8MN an ture oppentant to invovation of any kind in Ham radio

what is Ham luddites club number Davie?

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3441. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:07:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129759641.953530.100130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121265

Michael Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Yet I read every word that Hans wrote, that Dee wrote, and you wrote.
> >>>Trying to discredit me and attempting to compare me to one of your
> >>>substandard employees is not the right tactic to take. Try to stay on
> >>>topic and be rational. Thanks.
> >>>
> >>
> >> Quite frankly Brian, in this respect you are *exactly* like him. I am
> >>not trying to discredit you, and have no need to.
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Quite frankly, Mike, you cut my direct quotations of Hans, Dee, and
> > youself, then reiterate that like your "supposed" substandard employee
> > I don't know the subject at hand.
> >
> > Why cut quotes of yourself if I don't have a handle on the subject
> > matter?
>
> nettiquite.

Quitefine.

> > Did this employee have a name? Hi!
>
> Sure did. I'd prefer not to out him on the net though. I've got no need
> to dissemble for newsgroup points.

How convenient.

> > Is it Friday? I smell fish.
>
>
> That reminds me, I have a nice piece of salmon in the freezer that
> sounds pretty good for dinner....

Good Catholic tradition.

3442. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:11:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129759875.166644.253720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121267

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
>
> > > I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. > > Enjoy it, take
> > > comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.
> >
> > Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are > like that.
>
> Exactly, Mike. What gets some folks particularly up-in-arms is when
> someone points out the obvious contradictions in their religious
> beliefs.
>
> For example, it's interesting to note the reactions of those who insist
> that every word of the Bible is literally true when you point out that
> the two creation stories in Genesis contradict each other. Or that
> Christ's message of nonviolence is largely ignored by modern
> 'Christians'...
>
> Or what if someone's religion requires that they question and analyze
> religious belief systems? And that contradictions be pointed out?
>
> The problem that quite a few of us have with 'intelligent design' (aka
> "creationism in a cheap tuxedo") is that it is an attempt by certain
> people to have their *religious* beliefs not only taught in public
> schools, but taught as *science*, not religion.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I think it's obvious that Jim absolutely despises fundies. But only
Christian fundies. Where never is heard a discouraging word about
fundy Jews or Moslems.

3443. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:16:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <tlgdl1lp9jlh4sdrtuids46r28onlsepi6@4ax.com>
Source record: 121272

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 16:48:03 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:52:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
cut
>>>>>>not at all
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I was trying to spark an On Topic discussion HERE about how folks
>>>>>>would go about local band planing
>>>>>
>>>>>It is "band planning", Mark and it isn't done on a local basis.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It can be on vhf and above frequencies. You just need to open your
>>>>mind a little, a very little.
>>>
>>>It can't be done locally if there is any chance of interfering with
>>>other regional repeaters.
>>
>>
>> more pronucements from on high
>>
>> the MM's have spoken
>
>Not "pronucements", Mark, but "pronouncements". With which of my
>statements do you disagree?

all of them

you advance the notion that nothing can be if the is ANY chance of
interference.

That statement is a load of shit

nothing say anything like that

>
>>> If you are coordinating to avoid putting your
>>>local machine with one 50 or 75 miles away, you aren't dealing with
>>>local band planning. You're dealing with regional band planning.
>>
>>
>> not according to the ARRL you are being pretty certain about the
>> meaning of words
>
>I understand that the concept might be alien to a guy who has trouble
>with words. Yes, I'm generally pretty certain about the meaning of
>words. That is a tool which has served me well.

do publish the dictionary of the words you choose to make up new
meanings for

it might help

but then I forgot you can't be helpfull or constructive can you?

>
>
>> the ARRL says local bandplaning is possible
>
>Not "bandplaning", Mark, but "band planning".

shve the distintion up your ass

> Quote the material. I
>provided you a url to the ARRL band plans. Nothing is mentioned about
>local band planning.

I am quote the text PRINTED by the ARRL

but then when you see fit the ARRL lies

>
>>>Now, what do your comments have to do with 6m AM operation?
>>
>>
>> why does it have to?
>
>...because you brought it up.

no I did not

the subject was Bandplaning you myopicaly choose to focus on an
example to exclusion of all other examples or posiiblities

I know asking you to think is asking you to exceed your abilities, but
I never asked you in particular to jump.

now that you have you might try being constructive

or not of course as you choose
>

>> the topic was local band planing as described in the ARRL repeater
>> guide as possible
>
>"Band planning", Mark. Please provide some evidence that the ARRL
>endorses local band plans. Feel free to demonstrate that you have any
>knowledge at all of band planning anywhere, any time.
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3444. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:16:51 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <30hdl1da24gbdms6kau21qt1vgipnoljhr@4ax.com>
Source record: 121271

On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 16:48:03 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Wed, 19 Oct 2005 04:52:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
cut

>"Band planning", Mark. Please provide some evidence that the ARRL
>endorses local band plans. Feel free to demonstrate that you have any
>knowledge at all of band planning anywhere, any time.

please show you have any such knowledge

indeed please show us you know anything true at all
>
>Dave K8MN

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3445. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:16:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <u1hdl1prudt3nagsepq89nvc1j6phsg3pa@4ax.com>
Source record: 121273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.

still preaching Hate

hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
form this poor freighten child

May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.

May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
Peace

May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human

and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel

So Mote it be

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3446. Re: New Public Database for BPL Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:16:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1chdl15goragcou46776lvdaus85b5f6r0@4ax.com>
Source record: 121274

On 19 Oct 2005 13:25:36 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Some information on BPL from Wednesday's Federal Register:
>
> The United Telecom Council (UTC) will maintain a public
> database on USA Access BPL systems effective 19 November 2005.
> That is persuant to FCC Regulations Part 15.615 (a) through (e).
>
> The database access is open, requires no log-in information.
>
> http://www.bpldatabase.org
>
> Exactly what will be IN that database was not made clear in
> the Federal Register. For history on that, see ET Docket
> numbers 04-37 and 03-104. There are 35 companies in the UTC.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
according to the entry page of the database it is for people like
us(including nonhams like you Len) to check if interfence we are
getting could be from BPL

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3447. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:16:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129760219.270658.218940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121268

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>KØHB wrote:
> >
> >
> >>>I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. > > Enjoy it, take
> >>>comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.
> >>
> >>Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are > like that.
> >
> >
> > Exactly, Mike. What gets some folks particularly up-in-arms is when
> > someone points out the obvious contradictions in their religious
> > beliefs.
>
> Seems to be the same folk that believe that depriving others of their
> rights is one of *their* rights.

Yep. Such as the fundamentalist who staps on some dynamite and
deprives others of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

3448. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:18:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129760282.859420.40130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121269

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >> > I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy
> >> > Amateur
> >> > Radio.
> >>
> >> Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
> >
> >You are quoting Dee, attributing Hans...
> >
> >Magic?
>
> No magic. He quoted me and attributed me.

You are correct.

3449. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:23:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kHz5f.2921$fc7.1940@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121270

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> Using AM say near the top of 6M as a local window to
> avoid DX is unlikely to harm anything esp if they stay off
> the repeater pairs

IIRC there are some simplex frequencies scattered between 53.0 and the top of the band. Any of them ought to be OK to use regardless of mode, without any blessing from anyone. I suggested the lower segment because of the wide-open nature down there, without the possibility of being clobbered (or clobbering) nearby WBFM repeaters.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3450. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:47:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qqidl1llb5re2gebc9d4n3ik5d07bjt4js@4ax.com>
Source record: 121275

On 19 Oct 2005 14:50:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>> >
>> > we are Yoopers can't seem to raise anyone at the listed coordinator
>> > OTOH neither do the emails bounce
>> >
>>
>> I don't think the repeater coordinators care what you do on other modes. Here
>> in Minnesota, at least, they concern themselves only with the repeater
>> sub-bands.
>
>Yet there are frequency coordinators listed in the ARRL Repeater Guide
>that do concern themselves with with frequencies, especially if you
>wan't to operate outside the ordinary bandplans.
>
>> If I wanted to establish a new local AM presence I wouldn't even involve the
>> coordinator, if for no other reason than it's outside their job description, and
>> once you have their advice it becomes a "rule" of sorts. Fugitit!
>
>Yikes! Rules from people working outside "thier" job descriptions.
>
>> As I mentioned earlier, in this area the casual AM'ers seem to be clustered
>> between 50.400 and 50.550, although I think there are a couple of nets that use
>> 50.355. My inclination would be to stay above the SSB weak signal guys and
>> below the digital stuff. That gives you 600KHz, or roughly 75 6KHz AM slots
>> with 3KHz guard channels interleaved.
>
>Fair enough. But they just might have some crystals for freqs in the
>repeater bands (whatever those happen to be at the moment), and want to
>do some operating as long as they don't interfere with existing users.
>He never did say what he wanted to do exactly.

what I have been doing is listening to the local's folks

and I find there are thing about various bandplans that they don't
like

one is number of folks here and that Includes me) want to operate 6 AM
without hearing from DX

another is some arguement over FSATV here north of the "A" Line which
cuts off the bottom of the band where FSATV hangs out in most places

a thrid issue is some of the local are not happy about the 222 bandplan, not quite sure yet what the beef is , all I do know is that it has something to do with the fact we can reach Canada on that Band fairly often. Indeed I manage a 222 MHZ FM simplex sked most weeks with ham in Thunder Bay Ont

I know it is possible to adpot local variants.it would nice nice to know how to go about it. One could just do as we please and more than likely no one will notice. I don't like that "solution" as I know it encourages chaos and if we start ignoring the bandplans in VHF then HF bandplans are real danger. what I am looking for is an alternitive, a way to deal with local within the struture so we can encourage continued adherence to bandplans by making them fit us where we can, and at VHF and up we can do this in real terms

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3451. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 22:49:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <t9jdl11hjmufnngpm3voqtdo4i4j4nf3rr@4ax.com>
Source record: 121276

On 19 Oct 2005 14:58:48 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
cut

>
>Do you think the various 6M repeater plans can't have room for another
>repeater?
>
>> > You told us of
>> >
>> >>your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple
>> >>of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
>> >>posts as you did over the 60m "band".
>>
>> > Perhaps he and other associates have no 6M crystal for where the AM
>> > activity might be found and wants a local variation.
>>
>> Yeah, that not being able to buy a crystal would be a significant hurdle.
>>
>> Sheesh.
>
>CW has been crammed down our throats since the 70's because some
>thirld-worlder might not be able to purchase a microphone so I think
>it's legitimate that a person in America might want to try out a rig
>with a crystal already in hand without having to special order one from
>Jan.
>
>Of course, your opinion is different

i question your last statement. i realy don't think we are hearing
Dave opinion at all I think we are hearing some rote he picked up over
the years

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3452. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 23:30:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129764656.925187.144910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > > was, it was a Lambda chapter.....
> >
> > you must be hearing vioces again never mentioned runing for political
> > office
>
> "What's "vioces" and "runing", you fucking imbecile?
>
>
> > you must rely
>
> "rely?" You "really" need to get a clue through that thick skull of
> yours.
>
> >need some meds if you making shit like this up
>
>
> With you around it sure isn't necessary.
wrong again

> And I should mention a word
> about your abyssmal grammar or mad spelling skills, Cletus. It'd take
> an army of thousands to keep up with and make note of your daily
> butchery of my beloved English language.

if you love the langiage why do you prevert into a vechile of hate?

> If you can't handle a
> critique of
> your dreadful writing, just go ahead and open your wrists with a razor
> blade and end your torment.

where is he critque of my writng in

"This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
was, it was a Lambda chapter..... "

or

"Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky."

or this

"STFU, child abuser. "

these I believe are all from this week, such insightful prose Mr Slit

> It's a text forum, you imbecile. The only
> way to judge anyone here is by the words they offer. It's always the
> subliterates like yourself that maintain the opinion that shitty
> writing is something *other* than the barometer of one's stupidity that
> it clearly is.

you litereay standard in your own post are so low as be something NO
ONE could trip over

they do show you as uneducated, and hate filled

> You'd love to pretend that I'm nitpicking over the
> occasional typo or misspelling of a word as you attempt to draw
> attention away from my accurate assessment of your abortion of a post a
> few lines up.

no pretending needed it is the simple fact all you do is nitpick or
spew forth your hatefull shit

> Watching you try to form compound sentences is a painful
> excercise.

glad to hear that

you clearly deserve the pain you claim to be suffering, and I am
delighted to read that some measure of justice is being done

3453. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-19 23:38:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GOGdndbgl42VRMveRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121278

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. > > Enjoy it, take
>>>>>comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.
>>>>
>>>>Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are > like that.
>>>
>>>
>>>Exactly, Mike. What gets some folks particularly up-in-arms is when
>>>someone points out the obvious contradictions in their religious
>>>beliefs.
>>
>> Seems to be the same folk that believe that depriving others of their
>>rights is one of *their* rights.
>
>
> Yep. Such as the fundamentalist who staps on some dynamite and
> deprives others of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.
>
That's right, Brian. All fundamentalists are potentially dangerous.
Nothing wrong with faith unless it is so encompassing that you are so
"faithful" that you are willing to kill those who disagree with you and
that fundamental faith.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3454. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:01:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129766491.316974.111140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121279

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>John Kasupski wrote:

> There are some bargains out there. I routinely see 1.2 or 1.4 > gb machines with monitors and keyboards for $100-175.

That's driven mostly by "offshore" manufacturing, which used to mean
Mexico or Japan but now usually means China.

> >>Assuming that for some
> >>reason people make a conscious choice between Ham
> >>radio and computers
> >>(and apparently between a hobby and a vocation)
> >>doesn't make sense to
> >>me. If they had more in common, maybe, but
> >>computers as a hobby tends to
> >>involve surfing the net these days, and as
> >>a vocation it means either
> >>working with programs or programming. The
> >>two don't meet except at the
> >>edges.

> > I think the point is that computers somehow
> > stole the spotlight from
> > ham radio. Perhaps that's true - but would
> > eliminating the code test
> > have done anything to prevent it?
>
> Not really. If you are interested in becoming a ham,
> you find a way to
> become a ham. Most hams I know are computer users as well.
> The two are not mutually exclusive.

Exactly.

> > First off, the field of "computing" covers a lot of ground,
> > of which
> > communcations/networking is only one part. There's
> > also word and
> > document processing, accounting (in many forms),
> > graphics and image
> > applications (again in many forms), games,
> > training/educational

> > applications (like learning Morse Code...), and much more
> > that can be
> > done on a stand-alone PC. Plus all the associated hardware.
>
> Look at the things a ham might do with a computer.
> I use mine for
> Packet Cluster DX spots, routine logging, contest logging,
> awards
> tracking, propagation forcasting, RTTY/AMTOR/Pactor operation,
> satellite
> tracking, antenna modeling, electronic calculations and more.

Equipment and parts inventory, circuit simulation, drafting (the dial
scale of the Southgate Type 7 was drawn in CAD and printed on Mylar
with an inkjet printer). Also retrieval and storage of all kinds of
info (most of the HB-3 tube manual set is online, downloadable by
type).
>
> > Ham radio is communications, remote control, associated
> > hardware, and not much else, really.
> >
> >>>Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of
> >>>alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how
> >>>many "hi-fi"
> >>>folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and later.
> >>>Lots of other examples.
> >>
> >>Maybe people who are interested in radio would go into a radio type
> >>hobby, and people who are interested in other things would be doing
> >>other things. Simple sort of concept.
> >
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> >>Or of course we could assume that the Morse code test was what kept
> >>people from being hams, and then try to explain away why the first batch
> >>of Hams who didn't have to take a code test are the group that comprises
> >>the biggest part of the recent drop-off? Seems a strange conclusion.
> >
> >
> > Whole bunch of factors. For one thing, since FCC has been renewing all
> > Tech Pluses as Techs for more than 5-1/2 years, you can't assume that a
> > Tech isn't code-tested just from the license class.
> >
> >>>In my youth the hottest thing for the techno-kids was - cars. Old cars,
> >>>new cars, fixing up junkers, customizing, improving performance, you
> >>>name it. For less than the cost of a new ham rig, a kid could buy an
> >>>old car, fix it up with simple tools and easy-to-get parts, and get it
> >>>on the road. Even kids without licenses or the wherewithal to have a
> >>>car would help friends work on their cars, both for the experience and

> >>>in the hope of rides once the car was running.
> >>>
> >>>No form of radio could compete with wheels.
> >
> >
> > That sort of thing has become a niche activity. Part of the reason is
> > that cars are more complex and harder to work on. Another is that
> > increased affluence, decreased average family size and the perception
> > of a car as a necessity have made it more likely that parents will help
> > a kid get a car, rather than the kid being expected to do it all on
> > his/her own.

> I think most kids are still interesting in adding chrome
> doodads,
> lights, fancy tires and very hefty stereo sets which will shake > a quarter mile of
asphalt.

Sure, but that's not the same thing as what I was talking about. Like
when I helped Dan Mullen pull the cracked head from his Nova, clean off
all the carbon and put on a rebuilt one. When we were both in high
school.

> >>>>A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
> >>>>around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had
> >>>>for a
> >>>>fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other
> >>>>kit
> >>>>manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).
> >>
> >>>In 1977 I bought and built a Heath HW-2036 2 meter rig. Cost a bit over
> >>>$300. Still have it and it still works. Heath lasted a while longer
> >>>after 1977.
> >
> >> Anyone using Timex-Sinclairs for ham use?
> >
> >
> > I dunno, but the old 2036 still perks. Lots of older ham gear is still
> > perfectly usable today, where old computers are usually just
> > curiosities.
>
> I had a 2036 but I had to keep a diddle stick handy for
> touching up the
> VCO periodically.

Never had that problem.

> I later had the VF-7401, a bit better beast.

They were still selling HW-16s in 1977 IIRC.

> >>>>Let's see...spend weeks learning an arcane code from the 1800s and
> >>>>then spend hundreds of bucks building a station, or skip the

> >>>>testing and spend $200 or so on a computer.
> >
> >
> > More like $200 on a *modem*...
> >
> > Those early computers required that you learn all sorts of arcane
> > 'codes' to make them work. A typo could cause all kinds of havoc, too.
> >
> > And the models changed relatively quickly so that what you learned on
> > one system was usually not very useful on a newer one. The time spent
> > to learn Morse Code is/was trivial compared to the time needed to get
> > familiar with a new system.
>
> I went from an XT DOS machine to a 286 with Geoworks to a 386
> with
> Windows 3.1 to a 486 with Win95 to a series of Pentiums with
> Win98 and
> 98SE/WinNT (dual boot) to my current "Winders XP (West Virginia > variant)
> machines.

After the Vic-20, I had a used XT. Replaced it with a new Dell Win95
200 MHz Pentium II in 1997. Since then, my computers have
all been built from pieces salvaged from older machines discarded by
their original owners.

> >>>I built ham stations for a less than $100 in those days.

> > Here are some pictures of a receiver (part of the Southgate Type 4) I
> > built in the early 1970s for about $10.
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX1.jpg
>
> Sweet!

Remember that it was built more than 30 years ago by a teenager in his
basement...

> > http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX2.jpg
>
> I like the audio filtering...

The 88 mh toroids were one of the few items bought new. Not easily
found in old TVs...
>
> > http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX3.jpg
>
> Izzat a bowl for the tuning dial?

Yes. A plastic cereal bowl, to be exact. It's translucent, and the
pilot lights shine light through it.

> > http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX4.jpg

> > http://www.qsl.net/k5bcq/Jim/SilverRX6.jpg

The pictures are actually scans of old B&W photos.

> > Almost all the parts came from old TVs, radios, and surplus
> > military gear.

Swords into plowshares.

> > I had access to a machine shop so I cut and bent the chassis,
> > brackets and panels from some sheet aluminum scraps, and
> > machined some
> > of the shaft extenders and adapters from brass rod.

> Do you still have it?

Not in one piece.

I used it for several years, then loaned it to a ham who had even less
$$ than I. Meanwhile I built an improved model and lost track of it.

The ham I gave it to used it for several years, then stored it in an
attic without covering it up. The roof of that house was redone and all
sorts of roof debris got all over it.

Finally it was discovered and I got back the remains a few years ago.
Tore it apart for the good stuff.

> > The reason for the terminal strip and bunch of resistors on
> > near the
> > rear edge of the rx was to permit the use of tubes with odd
> > heater voltages by changing jumpers.
>
> Quite clever.

Thanks

> I have a homebrew amp in an ARC-5 cabinet which > ran four
> 6JB6's. A load of 17JB6's became available at a couple of
> bucks each a
> few years back. I stocked up on them and changed the filament
> transformer to an 18v job.

Good idea. Another trick with old rigs is to replace 6146s with 6883s
or even 6159s, if you can find the higher-voltage tubes at low cost.
Some rigs, like the Heath transceivers, have 12 volt heater buses so
the change is easy.
>
> > Some may scoff at the parts and methods used, but the fact is > > that the
> > rx worked very well for its intended purpose. It was stable, > > selective,
> > easy and fun to use and I had many many QSOs with it and its > > matching
> > converter, transmitter and transmatch.
>
> I don't know why anyone but Leonard H. Anderson would scoff at > the parts or the
methods.

> >>>You might want
> >>>to see how little a $200 computer would actually do. And you > >>>needed a
> >>>TV set or monitor to use it.

> >>Seems to me that the biggest thing they could be used for is > >>learning
> >>Basic programming. Okay.
> >
> >
> > I think you mean BASIC programming. And who uses BASIC today? Heck,
> > most people with computers don't write software, they simply use
> > applications written by others.
>
> Sure. Most folks want the computer to do something. They
> aren't
> necessarily interested in computing for computing itself as a
> hobby.

Means to an end vs. an end in itself. And that's a key factor.

> >>>>Thousands voted with their feet,
> >>>>and the best of a generation or two or three said to hell with > radio
> >>>>and went into computers instead.
> >>>
> >>>"The best of a generation" went into computers? Hardly.
> >>
> >> I missed that one.

> > I guess someone who decided to become a doctor or nurse
> > rather than go
> > into computers wasn't 'the best' of their generation, huh?
>
> Didn't any of "the best" become teachers or ministers or heads > of water companies?

Sure, lots of examples. Including folks who went into the military,
government service, and other vocations like electrical engineering....
>
> >>>>Now, 25 years later, hams lament the declining number of
> >>>>licensees as
> >>>>posted by N2EY every other week. It occurs to few that the guys who
> >>>>might have become hams 25-30 years ago if it weren't for the
> >>>>code test
> >>>>are now holding down good paying jobs in the computer industry and
> >>>>probably wouldn't be interested in a ham ticket now if you
> >>>>handed them one gratis.
> >
> >
> > The fact is that most people 25-30 years ago wouldn't have been
> > interested in a ham ticket back then either, with or without code test.
> >
> >
> >>>Apples and oranges.
> >
> >

> >>Who is lamenting anyhow? I wish those new old Hams would have stuck
> >>around, but beyond that, big deal.
> >
> >
> >>What I take from the statistics is that an early generation of Hams got
> >>their licenses without a whole lot of actual interest in radio. These
> >>were the "honeydo" hams, who used 2 meter repeaters to get a shopping
> >>list or the like on the way home from work. Their interests lay along
> >>those lines.
> >
> >
> > Nothing wrong with that, either. But it is radio as a means to an end,
> > not an end in itself.
> >
> >
> >> Well along came cell phones, and the honeydo'ers went to that. Cell
> >>phones are a better technology for getting a shopping list than using a
> >>repeater.
> >
> >
> > Some "honeydo" hams found themselves interested in radio beyond the
> > honeydo aspect. Others didn't.
> >
> >
> >>Another subset of the dropoff is Hams who were somewhat interested in
> >>radio, but became bored. They dropped off too.
> >
> >
> > Then there's the big ones: Antennas, the sunspot cycle, equipment
> > costs, and lifestyles.
> >
> >
> >>My prediction of what will happen after Element 1 is history is that
> >>there will be more new hams, and a higher attrition rate. People with
> >>only a passing interest will become Hams. There is not likely to be a
> >>net gain. I won't pass judgment on this being good or bad. It is just
> >>different.
> >
> >
> > Let's look at history, shall we? Say from the end of WW2 to the present
> > time...
> >
> > After WW2, there were about 60,000 US hams - a tiny fraction of what we
> > have today, even accounting for the lower population then.
> >
> > In the postwar years the number of hams grew rapidly, in part because
> > some servicemen had learned radio theory and Morse Code in the
> > military, in part because of increased affluence, improved technology,
> > and pent-up demand. Lots of other reasons, too. By 1950 there were
> > almost 100,000 US hams.

> >
> > Then in 1951 there came a restructuring that created new license
> > classes and renamed the old ones. Supposedly the restructuring would
> > have made it much harder to get a full-priviliges ham license, but in
> > late 1962

TYPO:

Should be "1952".

The announcement was made in December of 1952 and became effective in
February 1953.

the FCC gave all ham operating priviliges to Generals and
> > above. The growth of US ham radio continued until about 1964 at a rate
> > that pushed license totals up to about a quarter million.
>
> Are you sure about that 1962 date?

See above. Typo.

> General class licensees had all HF
> frequencies when I first became interested in amateur radio in > 1961.

All frequencies and modes were authorized to all General, Conditional,
Advanced and Extra class hams by that Feb of 1953 change. Stayed that
way until November 22 1968.

> > Some see that era as a golden age for the ARS, and in some ways it was.
> > But it must be recalled how big, heavy and expensive new ham equipment
> > was in those times, the constant problem of TVI, etc.
>
> I had a solution for the expensive equipment problem: I never > had new
> equipment until the 1980's.

My HW-2036 and K2 are they *only* ham rigs I ever bought brand-new.

> > But about 1964 the growth just stopped. The number of US hams hovered
> > around a quarter million for several years in the 1960s, despite the
> > booming population and general affluence.
> >
> > Then in 1968 and 1969 came "incentive licensing", which made it
> > *harder* to get a full-privileges license. Inflation made equipment
> > more expensive and times got tough with the stagflation of the 1970s.
> > Yet from about 1970 onward the number of US hams grew and grew,
> > reaching 350,000 by 1979, and 550,000 by the mid 1980s.
> > *Before* there were code waivers, and when all US ham licenses required
> > a code test!
>
> Imagine that. Do you mean that folks just hit the books,
> brushed up on
> their code speed and tested for the higher class licenses?

Not just that - a lot of *new* hams got licenses after the
requirements were *increased*.

It was predicted in some circles that the incentive licensing
changes would cause massive reductions in the number of hams,
but the exact opposite happened.

> > The numbers continued to increase in the 1990s. But even though the
> > code and written testing requirements of the '90s were far less than
> > what was required in the 1970s and 1980s, the growth slowed down.
>
> ...and I note that the NVEC is coming out with new question
> pools with
> far fewer questions. I wonder why *that* is.

Did you read their "Amateur Radio In The 21st Century" paper? Explains
it all.

They seem to miss the point that the kind of folks (particularly young
people) who would be most attracted to ham radio are those who want a
challenge.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3455. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:07:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129766858.428508.262270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > > > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > > > was, it was a Lambda chapter.....
> > >
> > > you must be hearing vioces again never mentioned runing for political
> > > office
> >
> > "What's "vioces" and "runing", you fucking imbecile?
> >
> >
> > > you must rely
> >
> > "rely?" You "really" need to get a clue through that thick skull of
> > yours.
> >
> > >need some meds if you making shit like this up
> >
> >
> > With you around it sure isn't necessary.
>
> wrong again

As usual, dumbass Marky is in denial.

> > And I should mention a word
> > about your abyssmal grammar or mad spelling skills, Cletus. It'd take
> > an army of thousands to keep up with and make note of your daily
> > butchery of my beloved English language.
>
> if you love the langiage why do you prevert into a vechile of hate?

What's "langiage," you imbecile? You can't even post a sentence in
English, much less any other language.

>
> > If you can't handle a
> > critique of
> > your dreadful writing, just go ahead and open your wrists with a razor
> > blade and end your torment.
>

> where is he critque of my writng in

Poor Marky, whining because he's was ridiculed for running for office
in his Lambda chapter and for his clearly illiterate posts.

>
> "This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> was, it was a Lambda chapter..... "
>
> or
>
> "Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky."
>
> or this
>
> "STFU, child abuser. "

Cry, Marky, cry, bitch boy.

> these I believe are all from this week, such insightful prose Mr Slit

You also believe you are something more than a KFC fry cook Colonel.
I'm surprised you even notice a week went by, you hapless alcoholic.

>
> > It's a text forum, you imbecile. The only
> > way to judge anyone here is by the words they offer. It's always the
> > subliterates like yourself that maintain the opinion that shitty
> > writing is something *other* than the barometer of one's stupidity that
> > it clearly is.
>
> you litereay standard in your own post are so low as be something NO
> ONE could trip over

BWHAHAHAHAHA.. Oh, the irony, you utterly stupid jackass.

What is "litereay?"

> they do show you as uneducated, and hate filled

Re-read any of your posts, you illiterate son of a bitch. You show that
you are uneducated in *every* post.

> > You'd love to pretend that I'm nitpicking over the
> > occasional typo or misspelling of a word as you attempt to draw
> > attention away from my accurate assessment of your abortion of a post a
> > few lines up.
>
> no pretending needed

No, you don't have to pretend to be stupid, you are stupid.

> it is the simple fact all you do is nitpick or
> spew forth your hatefull shit

Poor Marky, are you going to cry or just snivel some more?

> > Watching you try to form compound sentences is a painful
> > excercise.
>
> glad to hear that

It isn't complimentary, you idiot.

> you clearly deserve the pain you claim to be suffering, and I am
> delighted to read that some measure of justice is being done

No, dumbass, you couldn't make anybody have pain unless it is when you
leave teeth marks in your daddy's penis.

3456. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:19:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129767558.989902.158080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121281

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >an Old friend wrote:
> > > >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > >> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > pruing again
> > >
> > Running away again, you mean.
> >
> > > >> >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> > > >> >> happened
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> > > >> >means.
> > > >>
> > > >> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
> > > >
> > > >No it isn't, child abuser.
> > >
> > > yes it is bullshit
> >
> > No it isn't, child abuser.

Are all your opinions the same, child abuser?

> yes it is bullshit

We know, all you know is bullshit, Marky.

> feel free to report it and find what kind of bullshit it is

Feel free to kill yourself, Marky.
```

> > > No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules
> >
> > Yes it is, stupid.
>
> nope wrong again

Yep, Marky is being stupid again.

> if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC

All I will have to do is send them some of your posts and a tape,
Marky.

> >
> > > therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
> >
> > Therefore, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.
>
> I certainly do. I think even you know that I am telling the truth, but
> again if you slightest Do report it

You wouldn't know the truth if it bit you, Marky. You are a lackwit.

> >
> > > >
> > > >> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
> > > >
> > > >It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
> > > >you have out.
> > >
> > > proving again that you are sick SoB
> >
> > No, stupid.
> > >
> > > but as I said before
> >
> > Don't write like an addled illiterate and you won't be mocked for it,
> > Corky. Whining about my mockery will only bring further tauntage.
>
> you are a sick SoB.

I'm not into perverted sex like you are, Makry.

> anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB

I didn't say I was into snuff films,Marky. Since you brought it up, YOU
must be into them. Seeing you blow your brains out would be a slapstick
comedy.

> >
> > > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> > > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
> >
> > Your character is suspect, retard.

>
> not where it counts

Wrong, Marky.

> >
> > > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> > > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
> > >
> > > Therefore, you are a moron.
>
> worng again
^^^^^^^^^^^^^

You proved it, Marky.

>
> > And it only stands to reason that if your
> > language skills are lacking, your logic and cognitive skills are
> > probably lacking as well.
>
> GIGO

That must be your philosphy of life, child abuser.

>
> > You prove me out with every wacky post you
> > make.
>
> nope wrong again

See above or with any of your posts, stupid.

> > Someone brings up one of your countless flaws and you spin off
> > conspiratorial tangents..
>
> another lie I am making no "conspiratorial tangents"

You do it every time, stupid.

> I am daring you
> to put up or shut up, or at least be shoown a lying sniveling coward

Or what Markie, will you have another fag fit and/or hit me with your
purse?

"What is "shoown?"

>
> >.. that would shame the average
> > tinfoil-hat-wearing quack into sobriety.
>
> more bullshit

Only from you, Marky. Only from you.

>
> You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
> revolve arround your bigotries

You need to stfu and quit leaving any doubt about your stupidity,
Marky.

> > > cut to close
> >
> > Run away,Marky!

3457. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:21:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129767705.631191.13250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
>
> still preaching Hate

Still preaching stupidity, Marky?

> hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> form this poor freighten child
>
> May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.
>
> May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> Peace
>
> May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human
>
> and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel
>
> So Mote it be

May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.

3458. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:41:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129768892.005898.95830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > > > > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > > > > was, it was a Lambda chapter.....
> > > >
> > > > you must be hearing vioces again never mentioned runing for political
> > > > office
> > >
> > > "What's "vioces" and "runing", you fucking imbecile?
> > >
> > >
> > > > you must rely
> > >
> > > "rely?" You "really" need to get a clue through that thick skull of
> > > yours.
> > >
> > > >need some meds if you making shit like this up
> > >
> > >
> > > With you around it sure isn't necessary.
> >
> > wrong again
>
> As usual, dumbass Marky is in denial.

no Whinny is delusional
>
> > > And I should mention a word
> > > about your abyssmal grammar or mad spelling skills, Cletus. It'd take
> > > an army of thousands to keep up with and make note of your daily
> > > butchery of my beloved English language.
> >
> > if you love the langiage why do you prevert into a vechile of hate?
>
> What's "langiage," you imbecile? You can't even post a sentence in
> English, much less any other language.
>
> >
> > > If you can't handle a

> > > critique of
> > > your dreadful writing, just go ahead and open your wrists with a razor
> > > blade and end your torment.
> >
> > where is he critque of my writng in
>
> Poor Marky, whining because he's was ridiculed for running for office
> in his Lambda chapter and for his clearly illiterate posts.

not at all

I am pointing that you are lying
>
> >
> > "This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > was, it was a Lambda chapter..... "
> >
> > or
> >
> > "Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky."
> >
> > or this
> >
> > "STFU, child abuser. "
>
> Cry, Marky, cry, bitch boy.

again where was the critque of my writing
>
> > these I believe are all from this week, such insightful prose Mr Slit
>
> You also believe you are something more than a KFC fry cook Colonel.

well I know I have nothing to to do with KFC

> I'm surprised you even notice a week went by, you hapless alcoholic.

more delusional behavoir

>
> >
> > > It's a text forum, you imbecile. The only
> > > way to judge anyone here is by the words they offer. It's always the
> > > subliterates like yourself that maintain the opinion that shitty
> > > writing is something *other* than the barometer of one's stupidity that
> > > it clearly is.
> >
> > you litereay standard in your own post are so low as be something NO
> > ONE could trip over
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHA.. Oh, the irony, you utterly stupid jackass.

>
> What is "litereay?"
>
> > they do show you as uneducated, and hate filled
>
> Re-read any of your posts, you illiterate son of a bitch. You show that
> you are uneducated in *every* post.

no I don't
>
> > > You'd love to pretend that I'm nitpicking over the
> > > occasional typo or misspelling of a word as you attempt to draw
> > > attention away from my accurate assessment of your abortion of a post a
> > > few lines up.
> >
> > no pretending needed
>
> No, you don't have to pretend to be stupid, you are stupid.

well more lies whinny
>
> > it is the simple fact all you do is nitpick or
> > spew forth your hatefull shit
>
> Poor Marky, are you going to cry or just snivel some more?

neither

just tell the plain unvarnished turth about you
>
> > > Watching you try to form compound sentences is a painful
> > > excercise.
> >
> > glad to hear that
>
> It isn't complimentary, you idiot.

but it is

>
> > you clearly deserve the pain you claim to be suffering, and I am
> > delighted to read that some measure of justice is being done
>
> No, dumbass, you couldn't make anybody have pain unless it is when you
> leave teeth marks in your daddy's penis.

then you expose yet another lie on your part

give it up while you are behind

3459. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:52:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129769571.532615.157070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > > > > > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > > > > > was, it was a Lambda chapter.....
> > > > >
> > > > > you must be hearing vioces again never mentioned runing for political
> > > > > office
> > > >
> > > > "What's "vioces" and "runing", you fucking imbecile?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > you must rely
> > > >
> > > > "rely?" You "really" need to get a clue through that thick skull of
> > > > yours.
> > > >
> > > > >need some meds if you making shit like this up
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > With you around it sure isn't necessary.
> > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> > As usual, dumbass Marky is in denial.
>
> no Whinny is delusional

No, Marky proves he is stupid. You shouldn't parrot what your shrink
says to the nurse about you, Makry.

> >
> > > > And I should mention a word
> > > > about your abyssmal grammar or mad spelling skills, Cletus. It'd take
> > > > an army of thousands to keep up with and make note of your daily
> > > > butchery of my beloved English language.
> > >
> > > if you love the langiage why do you prevert into a vechile of hate?
> >
> > What's "langiage," you imbecile? You can't even post a sentence in

> > English, much less any other language.
> >
> > >
> > > > If you can't handle a
> > > > critique of
> > > > your dreadful writing, just go ahead and open your wrists with a razor
> > > > blade and end your torment.
> > > >
> > > where is he critque of my writng in
> > >
> > Poor Marky, whining because he's was ridiculed for running for office
> > in his Lambda chapter and for his clearly illiterate posts.
> >
> not at all

Yes, you whine and snivel more than Porky Davies.

>
> I am pointing that you are lying

No, I am pointing that you are a moron and a confirmed liar.

> >
> > > >
> > > > "This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > > > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > > > was, it was a Lambda chapter..... "
> > > >
> > > > or
> > > >
> > > > "Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky."
> > > >
> > > > or this
> > > >
> > > > "STFU, child abuser. "
> > >
> > Cry, Marky, cry, bitch boy.
> >
> again where was the critque of my writing

Learn to read, jackass. I critique your "writing" (and I use the term
loosely) in every post, stupid. You consistently prove you are an
illiterate jackass in every post. And I'm not the only person to notice
it, either.

> >
> > > these I believe are all from this week, such insightful prose Mr Slit
> > >
> > You also believe you are something more than a KFC fry cook Colonel.
> >
> well I know I have nothing to to do with KFC

Got fired from another job, did you? Looks like you'll have to be more
careful now when you dumpster dive then when you worked for them.

>
> > I'm surprised you even notice a week went by, you hapless alcoholic.
>
> more delusional behavoir

More stupidity, what we've come to expect from you, Marky.

>
> >
> > >
> > > > It's a text forum, you imbecile. The only
> > > > way to judge anyone here is by the words they offer. It's always the
> > > > subliterates like yourself that maintain the opinion that shitty
> > > > writing is something *other* than the barometer of one's stupidity that
> > > > it clearly is.
> > >
> > > you litereay standard in your own post are so low as be something NO
> > > ONE could trip over
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHA.. Oh, the irony, you utterly stupid jackass.
> >
> > What is "litereay?"
> >
> > > they do show you as uneducated, and hate filled
> >
> > Re-read any of your posts, you illiterate son of a bitch. You show that
> > you are uneducated in *every* post.
>
> no I don't

Oh, yes you do. And you are too stupid to realize it.

> >
> > > > You'd love to pretend that I'm nitpicking over the
> > > > occasional typo or misspelling of a word as you attempt to draw
> > > > attention away from my accurate assessment of your abortion of a post a
> > > > few lines up.
> > >
> > > no pretending needed
> >
> > No, you don't have to pretend to be stupid, you are stupid.
>
> well more lies whinny

More denial, child abuser? WHat's "whinny"? Even with the name right in
front of you, (above) you manage to screw it up.

> >
> > > it is the simple fact all you do is nitpick or
> > > spew forth your hatefull shit

> >
> > Poor Marky, are you going to cry or just snivel some more?
>
> neither

Oh, so you'll just stamp your little feet!

> just tell the plain unvarnished turth about you

You don't even know me, dumbass.

> >
> > > > Watching you try to form compound sentences is a painful
> > > > excercise.
> > >
> > > glad to hear that
> >
> > It isn't complimentary, you idiot.
>
> but it is

Only a complete idiot like you would think so.

>
> >
> > > you clearly deserve the pain you claim to be suffering, and I am
> > > delighted to read that some measure of justice is being done
> >
> > No, dumbass, you couldn't make anybody have pain unless it is when you
> > leave teeth marks in your daddy's penis.
>
> then you expose yet another lie on your part

No, by you being so defensive, I'd say I spoke the truth.

>
> give it up while you are behind

You should just kill yourself, Marky, save the gene pool from any
contamination by your defective DNA.

3460. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:53:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129769615.110570.76130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121285

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > >> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> >an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > > > >> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > pruing again
> > > >
> > > > Running away again, you mean.
> > > >
> > > > > >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> > > > > >> happened
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> > > > > >means.
> > > > > >
> > > > > agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
> > > > >
> > > > > >No it isn't, child abuser.
> > > >
> > > > yes it is bullshit
> > >
> > > No it isn't, child abuser.
>
> Are all your opinions the same, child abuser?

not at all
>
> > yes it is bullshit
>
> We know, all you know is bullshit, Marky.
>
```

> > feel free to report it and find what kind of bullshit it is
>
> Feel free to kill yourself, Marky.

I do feel free to do so, but I have no desire to

but again put up or shut up or just plain show yourself as a coward

I assmue you will choose number 3

>
>
> > > > No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules
> > >
> > > Yes it is, stupid.
> >
> > nope wrong again
>
> Yep, Marky is being stupid again.

nope

not being stupid unless responsing to someone calling himself
Whinnyslit counts

and no violation of FCC rules

>
> > if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC
>
> All I will have to do is send them some of your posts and a tape,
> Marky.

none of my post are covered by FCC rules

and you don't have any Tape

but if you have forged one go ahead and send it

but even you are dumbe enough do that

>
> > >
> > > > therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
> > >
> > > Therefore, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.
> >
> > I certainly do. I think even you know that I am telling the truth, but
> > again if you slightest Do report it
>
> You wouldn't know the truth if it bit you, Marky. You are a lackwit.

wrong again little boy
>
> > >

> > > > >
> > > > >> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
> > > > >
> > > > >It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
> > > > >you have out.
> > > > >
> > > > > proving again that you are sick SoB
> > > >
> > > > No, stupid.
> > > > >
> > > > > but as I said before
> > > >
> > > > Don't write like an addled illiterate and you won't be mocked for it,
> > > > Corky. Whining about my mockery will only bring further tauntage.
> > >
> > you are a sick SoB.
> >
> I'm not into perverted sex like you are, Makry.

never the less you are a sick SoB
>
> > anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB
> >
> I didn't say I was into snuff films,Marky.

liar yes you did what described is a Snuff Film something that is only
the maybe more friecely procetued than Kiddy Porn

>Since you brought it up, YOU
> must be into them.

nope wrong again

>Seeing you blow your brains out would be a slapstick
> comedy.

prove positive you are a sick SoB, and truely preverted beyond anything
I could compare with
>
> > >
> > > > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> > > > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
> > >
> > > Your character is suspect, retard.
> >
> > not where it counts
> >
> Wrong, Marky.

no it isn't.

yours on the other hand is none existant Mr Slit
>

> > >
> > > > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> > > > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
> > >
> > > Therefore, you are a moron.
> >
> > worng again
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> You proved it, Marky.

no I did not

dyslexia nor aphasia are in any way prove of Intelgence or the lack of
same

what you have all but proved is that YOU don't understand the meaning
of the intelegent.

don't feel too bad a lot folks don't realy know that one either
>
>
> >
> > > And it only stands to reason that if your
> > > language skills are lacking, your logic and cognitive skills are
> > > probably lacking as well.
> >
> > GIGO
>
> That must be your philosphy of life, child abuser.

more libel more hate and more plain stupidity little boy
>
> >
> > > You prove me out with every wacky post you
> > > make.
> >
> > nope wrong again
>
> See above or with any of your posts, stupid.

you are just wrong little boy
>
> > > Someone brings up one of your countless flaws and you spin off
> > > conspiratorial tangents..
> >
> > another lie I am making no "conspiratorial tangents"
>
> You do it every time, stupid.

I guess we have another word you don't understand "conspiratorial"

>
> > I am daring you
> > to put up or shut up, or at least be shoown a lying sniveling coward
>
> Or what Markie, will you have another fag fit and/or hit me with your
> purse?

I have never had a "fag fit" don't own a purse, nor it seem can you
read

what I will do is what I said I will do: show you to be lying lily
livered little coward

>
> "What is "shoown?"
>
> >
> > >.. that would shame the average
> > > tinfoil-hat-wearing quack into sobriety.
> >
> > more bullshit
>
> Only from you, Marky. Only from you.

nah Brain would have said the same thing

>
> >
> > You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
> > revolve arround your bigotries
>
> You need to stfu and quit leaving any doubt about your stupidity,
> Marky.

why are you terrified of me little boy
>
> > > > cut to close
> > >
> > > Run away,Marky!

3461. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 00:59:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129769981.187868.109210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
> >
> > still preaching Hate
>
> Still preaching stupidity, Marky?

never started
>
> > hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> > form this poor freighten child
> >
> > May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.
> >
> > May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> > Peace
> >
> > May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human
> >
> > and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> > the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel
> >
> > So Mote it be
>
> May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.

more signs of your sick twisted ahte filled mind

how can you stand living the filth that lines your mind

3462. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 01:01:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129766998.743320.31030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
>
> still preaching Hate

Still preaching stupidity, I see, Marky.

> hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> form this poor freighten child
>
> May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.
>
> May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> Peace
>
> May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human
>
> and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel
>
> So Mote it be

May you end up like Jesus and be crucified on a cross, Marky. You
deserve it, too.

3463. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 01:09:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129770548.676427.174730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121288

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > >> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > >> >> >an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > >> >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > > > > >> >> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > >> >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > >> >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > >> >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > pruing again
> > > > >
> > > > > Running away again, you mean.
> > > > >
> > > > > > >> >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> > > > > > >> >> happened
> > > > > > >> >> >
> > > > > > >> >> >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> > > > > > >> >> >means.
> > > > > > >> >>
> > > > > > >> >> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
> > > > > > >> >>
> > > > > > >> >> >No it isn't, child abuser.
> > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > >> > yes it is bullshit
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >> No it isn't, child abuser.
> > > >
> > > > Are all your opinions the same, child abuser?
> > >
> > > not at all

Damn, Marky, you are dumber than a bag of rocks.
```

> >
> > > yes it is bullshit
> >
> > We know, all you know is bullshit, Marky.
> >
> > > feel free to report it and find what kind of bullshit it is
> >
> > Feel free to kill yourself, Marky.
>
> I do feel free to do so, but I have no desire to

You should, you have nothing to live for, and the world would be better
off with one less child abuser.

>
> but again put up or shut up or just plain show yourself as a coward

But again, you can stamp your feet all you want, little girl, because
nobody takes your orders seriously.

> I assmue you will choose number 3

There you go Marky, making an ass of yourself.

>
> >
> >
> > > > > No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules
> > > > >
> > > > Yes it is, stupid.
> > >
> > > nope wrong again
> >
> > Yep, Marky is being stupid again.
>
> nope

Yep. You make Forrest Gump seem to be an Einstein.

>
> not being stupid unless responsing to someone calling himself
> Whinnyslit counts

How about a frigging idiot that nobody likes calling themselves "an old
friend" when you are not anybody's friend?

> and no violation of FCC rules

Liar.

>
> >
> > > if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC
> >
> > All I will have to do is send them some of your posts and a tape,

> > Marky.
>
> none of my post are covered by FCC rules

But your on-air behavior is.

> and you don't have any Tape

Sure I don't Marky, sure I don't. Dumbass.

> but if you have forged one go ahead and send it

That's more your style, Marky, the child abusing liar.

> but even you are dumbe enough do that
^^^

Oh, the irony.

>
> >
> > > >
> > > > > therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
> > > > >
> > > > > Therefore, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.
> > > >
> > > > I certainly do. I think even you know that I am telling the truth, but
> > > > again if you slightest Do report it
> > >
> > You wouldn't know the truth if it bit you, Marky. You are a lackwit.
>
> wrong again little boy

And Marky the whining little girl proves me right every time!

> >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
> > > > > > >you have out.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > proving again that you are sick SoB
> > > > >
> > > > > No, stupid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > but as I said before
> > > > >
> > > > > Don't write like an addled illiterate and you won't be mocked for it,
> > > > > Corky. Whining about my mockery will only bring further tauntage.
> > > >
> > > you are a sick SoB.

> >
> > I'm not into perverted sex like you are, Makry.
>
> never the less you are a sick SoB

Not as sick as you are, child abuser.

> >
> > > anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB
> >
> > I didn't say I was into snuff films,Marky.
>
> liar yes you did what described is a Snuff Film something that is only
> the maybe more friecely procetued than Kiddy Porn

You know all about kiddy porn don't you, child abuser?

>
> >Since you brought it up, YOU
> > must be into them.
>
> nope wrong again

You brought them up, Marky. More of your perversions, I suppose.

> >Seeing you blow your brains out would be a slapstick
> > comedy.
>
> prove positive you are a sick SoB, and truely preverted beyond anything
> I could compare with

No, seeing you do that would be a comedy, stupid. And no loss.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> > > > > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
> > > > >
> > > > > Your character is suspect, retard.
> > > >
> > > not where it counts
> > >
> > Wrong, Marky.
>
> no it isn't.

Yes, stupid,it is.
>
> yours on the other hand is none existant Mr Slit

HAHAHAHA..."none existant" WTF are you babbling about, Marky?

> >
> > > > >

> > > > > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> > > > > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
> > > > >
> > > > > Therefore, you are a moron.
> > > >
> > > > worng again
> > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > >
> > > You proved it, Marky.
> >
> no I did not

Yes, you illiterate retard, you did.

>
> dyslexia nor aphasia are in any way prove of Intelgence or the lack of
> same

That excuse won't cut it, Marky. Face it, you are a dropout.

> what you have all but proved is that YOU don't understand the meaning
> of the intelegent.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

What I have proved is you lack intelligence. And you are not
"intelligent."

> don't feel too bad a lot folks don't realy know that one either

I don't, you are cheesy entertainment, my little puppet.

> >
> >
> > >
> > > > And it only stands to reason that if your
> > > > language skills are lacking, your logic and cognitive skills are
> > > > probably lacking as well.
> > > >
> > > > GIGO
> > >
> > > That must be your philosphy of life, child abuser.
> >
> more libel more hate and more plain stupidity little boy

More sniveling and whining, child abusing little girl.

> >
> > >
> > > > You prove me out with every wacky post you
> > > > make.
> > > >
> > > > nope wrong again
> > >

> > See above or with any of your posts, stupid.
>
> you are just wrong little boy

You are just stupid, little girl.

> >
> > > > Someone brings up one of your countless flaws and you spin off
> > > > conspiratorial tangents..
> > >
> > > another lie I am making no "conspiratorial tangents"
> >
> > You do it every time, stupid.
>
> I guess we have another word you don't understand "conspiratorial"

You are the one whining that you are being discrimiated against,
fucknuts.

>
> >
> > > I am daring you
> > > to put up or shut up, or at least be shoown a lying sniveling coward
> >
> > Or what Markie, will you have another fag fit and/or hit me with your
> > purse?
>
> I have never had a "fag fit" don't own a purse, nor it seem can you
> read

Oh, you have fag fits, Marky. And you do own a purse, faggot.

> what I will do is what I said I will do: show you to be lying lily
> livered little coward

The only thing you have shown is your utter stupidity, stupid.

> >
> > "What is "shoown?"
> >
> > >
> > > >.. that would shame the average
> > > > tinfoil-hat-wearing quack into sobriety.
> > >
> > > more bullshit
> >
> > Only from you, Marky. Only from you.
>
> nah Brain would have said the same thing

Who's "Brain" Another Marky foul-up!

>
> >
> > >
> > > You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
> > > revolve arround your bigotries
> >
> > You need to stfu and quit leaving any doubt about your stupidity,
> > Marky.
>
> why are you terrified of me little boy

Shit, you are a whining little nancy boy who would run from his own
shadow.

> >
> > > > > cut to close
> > > > >
> > > > > Run away,Marky!

3464. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 01:11:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129770664.993534.173740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
> > >
> > > still preaching Hate
> >
> > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
>
> never started

You mean you never stopped.

> >
> > > hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> > > form this poor freighten child
> > >
> > > May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.
> > >
> > > May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> > > Peace
> > >
> > > May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human
> > >
> > > and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> > > the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel
> > >
> > > So Mote it be
> >
> > May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.
>
> more signs of your sick twisted ahte filled mind
^^

More signs of your stupidity, Marky.

> how can you stand living the filth that lines your mind

How can you stand to live in the filth that you live in and call your
home?

3465. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 01:56:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051019215617.301$uN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Stevie is taken seriously, granted mostly by folks as nuts as he is
> >
>
> As opposed to you, who isn't taken seriously by anyone.
>
Hi ex JJ, now posting as Cmd Buzz in a CB group. At least Marks call is
known here, what's your call, fucktard?

Or are you some sorta hypocrite with a cw key?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

3466. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 02:52:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <NbWdnRG_MLJ3asveRVn-jA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Stevie is taken seriously, granted mostly by folks as nuts as he is
>

As opposed to you, who isn't taken seriously by anyone.

3467. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 02:53:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SNKdnX2pYLFOlcreRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 121295

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129600248.020069.193820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Jim didn't have a chance to chime in before Hans blew his troll. Too
> > bad.
> >
>
> There is no voodoo or magic associated with RF
> propagation.

Dee tends to think there is. Mike chimed in. Now you reneg on the
concept. They should "feel" suckered.

No Mike and I are only speaking of the magical feel that it gives.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3468. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 02:58:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BNCdnSIeD9l1IMreRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 121297

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129630100.450645.94480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129514904.859829.69060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I don't think so.
>> >>
>> >> it seems she does enjoy hectoring folks, and generaly being a pretier
>> >> version of Stevie
>> >
>> > I have to disagree with you on this. Dee is nothing like Steve in her
>> > posting manners, and I cannot comment on her "prettiness" since I've
>> > only seen a photo of Steve.
>> >
>> > I think she is much more like Jim; quick to cut off discussion when her
>> > logic fails.
>> >
>>
>> No, I simply make every effort not to get involved in the back and forth
>> arguments that many engage in. No one is going to change any one else's
>> opinions and since the discussions far too often deteriorate into
>> pointless
>> bickering and name calling, I choose not to participate in such.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Fair enough.
>

Thank you.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3469. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:01:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129776897.961060.9860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121293

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > >
> > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > thread?
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
>
> Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.

But...

but.....

but.....

BRAIN!

You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...

So what's the deal?

Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?

Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
"everyone else" considers proper?

Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or

> > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
>
> Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.

Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Brainie make funnie!

> > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > >
> > > Sheesh!
> >
> > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
>
> I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.

You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
you.

Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.

Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
follow.

I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.

> > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > shoe DOES fit...
>
> I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.

You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
you.

Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.

Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
follow.

I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.

> > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> >
> > "summon", Brain.
>
> Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.

And you weren't bright enough to fix it.

OK.

> > > Are they Pagans?
> >
> > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
>
> Ah! Another Pagan.

How do you figure?

> Are you gay, too?

No, why do you ask?

If you're looking for a date, send an email to "kb9rqz@yahoo.com"
or any other of the addee's he's using these days to beat the Goggle
rate filter...

He likes his protein fresh.

Steve, K4YZ

3470. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:02:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129775996.915639.186290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121294

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 14:50:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >KØHB wrote:
> >> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>
> >> >
> >> > we are Yoopers can't seem to raise anyone at the listed coordinator
> >> > OTOH neither do the emails bounce
> >> >
> >>
> >> I don't think the repeater coordinators care what you do on other modes. Here
> >> in Minnesota, at least, they concern themselves only with the repeater
> >> sub-bands.
> >
> >Yet there are frequency coordinators listed in the ARRL Repeater Guide
> >that do concern themselves with with frequencies, especially if you
> >wan't to operate outside the ordinary bandplans.
> >
> >> If I wanted to establish a new local AM presence I wouldn't even involve the
> >> coordinator, if for no other reason than it's outside their job description, and
> >> once you have their advice it becomes a "rule" of sorts. Fugitit!
> >
> >Yikes! Rules from people working outside "thier" job descriptions.
> >
> >> As I mentioned earlier, in this area the casual AM'ers seem to be clustered
> >> between 50.400 and 50.550, although I think there are a couple of nets that use
> >> 50.355. My inclination would be to stay above the SSB weak signal guys and
> >> below the digital stuff. That gives you 600KHz, or roughly 75 6KHz AM slots
> >> with 3KHz guard channels interleaved.
> >
> >Fair enough. But they just might have some crystals for freqs in the
> >repeater bands (whatever those happen to be at the moment), and want to
> >do some operating as long as they don't interfere with existing users.
> >He never did say what he wanted to do exactly.
>
> what I have been doing is listening to the local's folks
>
> and I find there are thing about various bandplans that they don't
> like

OK.

And this is different from any other law...HOW?

> one is number of folks here and that Includes me) want to operate 6 AM
> without hearing from DX

OK...Leave your coax plugged into an dummy load...(No, not
him...the 50 ohm one under the desk...)

If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
them. Otherwise you're at the whim of the Propagation God, and he
doesn't give a hoot who you want to hear from or not. When six meters
is open, it's open...When it's not, it's not.

If you want to just "work the locals", select one of the 2M or
70cm coordinated simplex channels. There's more than enough and you're
far enough away from any major city so as to not have to "deal" with
the DX.

> another is some arguement over FSATV here north of the "A" Line which
> cuts off the bottom of the band where FSATV hangs out in most places

So have the guys who live north of the line use the higher
channels to transmit on and below the line use the lower...

Problem solved.

> a thrid issue is some of the local are not happy about the 222
> bandplan, not quite sure yet what the beef is , all I do know is that
> it has something to do with the fact we can reach Canada on that Band
> fairly often. Indeed I manage a 222 MHZ FM simplex sked most weeks
> with ham in Thunder Bay Ont

The beef is that we gave away the lower 2Mhz.

> I know it is possible to adpot local variants.it would nice nice to
> know how to go about it. One could just do as we please and more than
> likely no one will notice.

ta-DAAAAAAAAAAH! Just make sure you're not interfering with any
users who ARE in compliance with the bandplan and you have no problem.

> I don't like that "solution" as I know it
> encourages chaos and if we start ignoring the bandplans in VHF then HF
> bandplans are real danger. what I am looking for is an alternitive, a
> way to deal with local within the struture so we can encourage
> continued adherence to bandplans by making them fit us where we can,
> and at VHF and up we can do this in real terms

Know how I avoid this problem...?!?!

I start off any transmission that MIGHT cause interference with...

"Is this frequency in use...?!?!"

Works just as well above 50Mhz as it does below it...

Steve, K4YZ

3471. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:06:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129777613.352253.7750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121296

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > >> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> >an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > > > > > >> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > pruing again
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Running away again, you mean.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> > > > > > > > > > >> happened
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> > > > > > > > > > >means.
> > > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > > >> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >No it isn't, child abuser.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > yes it is bullshit
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No it isn't, child abuser.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Are all your opinions the same, child abuser?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not at all
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Damn, Marky, you are dumber than a bag of rocks.
> > > > > >
```

> > >
> > > > yes it is bullshit
> > >
> > > We know, all you know is bullshit, Marky.
> > >
> > > > feel free to report it and find what kind of bullshit it is
> > >
> > > Feel free to kill yourself, Marky.
> >
> > I do feel free to do so, but I have no desire to
>
> You should,

more f your hate filled moralizing

> you have nothing to live for,

a flat out lie I have plenty to live for

> and the world would be better
> off with one less child abuser.

indeed it would but that ofcourse would not accomplished by my death,
perhaps not even by yours
>
> >
> > but again put up or shut up or just plain show yourself as a coward
>
> But again, you can stamp your feet all you want, little girl, because
> nobody takes your orders seriously.
>
> > I assmue you will choose number 3
>
> There you go Marky, making an ass of yourself.

no just making an on taget prediction
>
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > > > No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes it is, stupid.
> > > >
> > > > nope wrong again
> > >
> > > Yep, Marky is being stupid again.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. You make Forrest Gump seem to be an Einstein.
>

> >
> > not being stupid unless responsing to someone calling himself
> > Whinnyslit counts
>
> How about a frigging idiot that nobody likes calling themselves "an old
> friend" when you are not anybody's friend?

more lies I have friends not that many compared to some but enough for
me to keep up with

>
>
> > and no violation of FCC rules
>
> Liar.

none at all

>
> >
> > >
> > > > if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC
> > >
> > > All I will have to do is send them some of your posts and a tape,
> > > Marky.
> >
> > none of my post are covered by FCC rules
>
> But your on-air behavior is.

yea and nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules

>
> > and you don't have any Tape
>
> Sure I don't Marky, sure I don't. Dumbass.

yea I know you don't, becuase the is nothing to record of my on air
behavoir that is of any interest to Rliey

>
>
> > but if you have forged one go ahead and send it
>
> That's more your style, Marky, the child abusing liar.

if you have a tape of me doing something improper on the air it has to
be forgery. most of my ops are nonvoice ops the only extended period of
me talking on air consists mostly of the phrase "CQ feild day CQ feild
this is..."
>
> > but even you are dumbe enough do that
> ^^^

>
> Oh, the irony.

go for it then man

emabrrish yourself then by handing over something forged

put up or shut up cowardly liar
>
>
> >
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Therefore, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.
> > > > >
> > > > > I certainly do. I think even you know that I am telling the truth, but
> > > > > again if you slightest Do report it
> > > >
> > > > You wouldn't know the truth if it bit you, Marky. You are a lackwit.
> > >
> > > wrong again little boy
> >
> > And Marky the whining little girl proves me right every time!
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
> > > > > > > > > >you have out.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > proving again that you are sick SoB
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, stupid.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > but as I said before
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Don't write like an addled illiterate and you won't be mocked for it,
> > > > > > > Corky. Whining about my mockery will only bring further tauntage.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you are a sick SoB.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm not into perverted sex like you are, Makry.
> > > >
> > > > never the less you are a sick SoB
> >
> > Not as sick as you are, child abuser.

and you allow me to exist without calling the proper authorities? nah
you don't call because you know deep down you are lying and are afraid
someone would chatch you

>
> > >
> > > > anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB
> > >
> > > I didn't say I was into snuff films,Marky.
> >
> > liar yes you did what described is a Snuff Film something that is only
> > the maybe more friecely procetued than Kiddy Porn
>
> You know all about kiddy porn don't you, child abuser?

no I don't I know what I hear/read in the media

but you are into snuff films you have admited to it

>
> >
> > >Since you brought it up, YOU
> > > must be into them.
> >
> > nope wrong again
>
> You brought them up, Marky. More of your perversions, I suppose.

No you brought em up therefore you are BYS admitting to Necrophilla

>
> > >Seeing you blow your brains out would be a slapstick
> > > comedy.
> >
> > prove positive you are a sick SoB, and truely preverted beyond anything
> > I could compare with
>
> No, seeing you do that would be a comedy, stupid. And no loss.

proof positive you are a sick sob
>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> > > > > > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
> > > > > >
> > > > > Your character is suspect, retard.
> > > >
> > > > not where it counts
> > >
> > > Wrong, Marky.
> >
> > no it isn't.

>
> Yes, stupid,it is.

then report me send your "tape"
> >
> > yours on the other hand is none existant Mr Slit
>
> HAHAHAHA..."none existant" WTF are you babbling about, Marky?

your character

>
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> > > > > > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Therefore, you are a moron.
> > > > >
> > > > worng again
> > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > >
> > > You proved it, Marky.
> >
> > no I did not
>
> Yes, you illiterate retard, you did.

wrong again the statement "therefore any claim that someone could get
the FCC to any action against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit"
does not prove me a Moron (or a guenius for that matter) therefore I
can't have proved myself a moron therefore you are indeed wrong again
>
> >
> > dyslexia nor aphasia are in any way prove of Intelgence or the lack of
> > same
>
> That excuse won't cut it, Marky. Face it, you are a dropout.

yea I did drop out of PHD program
>
> > what you have all but proved is that YOU don't understand the meaning
> > of the intelegent.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> What I have proved is you lack intelligence. And you are not
> "intelligent."

nothing of the sort

>
> > don't feel too bad a lot folks don't realy know that one either

>
> I don't, you are cheesy entertainment, my little puppet.
>
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > And it only stands to reason that if your
> > > > > language skills are lacking, your logic and cognitive skills are
> > > > > probably lacking as well.
> > > > >
> > > > GIGO
> > >
> > > That must be your philosphy of life, child abuser.
> >
> > more libel more hate and more plain stupidity little boy
>
> More sniveling and whining, child abusing little girl.
>
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > You prove me out with every wacky post you
> > > > > make.
> > > > >
> > > > nope wrong again
> > >
> > > See above or with any of your posts, stupid.
> >
> > you are just wrong little boy
>
cut
> > > Only from you, Marky. Only from you.
> >
> > nah Brain would have said the same thing
>
> Who's "Brain" Another Marky foul-up!
>
> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
> > > > > revolve arround your bigotries
> > >
> > > You need to stfu and quit leaving any doubt about your stupidity,
> > > Marky.
> >
> > why are you terrified of me little boy
>
> Shit, you are a whining little nancy boy who would run from his own
> shadow.

nope

but you are afraid of me never the less

terrified
>
> > >
> > > > > > cut to close
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Run away,Marky!

3472. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:08:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129777720.071205.84140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Stevie is taken seriously, granted mostly by folks as nuts as he is
> > >
>
> As opposed to you, who isn't taken seriously by anyone.

and yet such effort is lavished for some one that you say is no account

me thinks thou doest protest too much

3473. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:15:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gISdnUtNfN-Vk8reRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 121300

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dj2ral$sf2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>
>>
>> I remember hearing about years ago when "Ether" was considered the medium
>> of how radio was propagated. That particular theory ran into trouble
>> when we started sending space shots up..
>
>
> Well, they figured it was ether that, or sumpthin' else.....
>
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

GROAN!!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3474. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:24:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129778677.276337.102620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut

> > > >
> > > > > If you can't handle a
> > > > > critique of
> > > > > your dreadful writing, just go ahead and open your wrists with a razor
> > > > > blade and end your torment.
> > > >
> > > > where is he critque of my writng in
> > >
> > > Poor Marky, whining because he's was ridiculed for running for office
> > > in his Lambda chapter and for his clearly illiterate posts.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Yes, you whine and snivel more than Porky Davies.

you lie even more than Stevie boy does something I frankly have a hard
time believing possible
>
> >
> > I am pointing that you are lying
>
> No, I am pointing that you are a moron and a confirmed liar.
>
> > >
> > > > "This political office was for your local Lambda chapter, wasn't it,
> > > > Marky? There wasn't any hanging Chads, were there? Oh, of course there
> > > > was, it was a Lambda chapter..... "
> > > >
> > > > or
> > > >
> > > > "Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky."
> > > >
> > > > or this
> > > >
> > > > "STFU, child abuser. "
> > >
> > > Cry, Marky, cry, bitch boy.
> >

> > again where was the critque of my writing
>
> Learn to read, jackass.

I can fine but where is the litery critque in "Hopefully, it'll <aids>
kill a self admitted queer named Marky."

which was the sum total of your post in that case

>I critique your "writing" (and I use the term
> loosely) in every post, stupid.

liar

> You consistently prove you are an
> illiterate jackass in every post. And I'm not the only person to notice
> it, either.

you are not the only to allege it doesn't make you right
>
> > >
> > > > these I believe are all from this week, such insightful prose Mr Slit
> > >
> > > You also believe you are something more than a KFC fry cook Colonel.
> >
> > well I know I have nothing to to do with KFC
>
> Got fired from another job, did you?

where do you get your delusion I work or ever worked for KFC?

that is plain delusional on your part. I have never worked in fast food
to date

> Looks like you'll have to be more
> careful now when you dumpster dive then when you worked for them.
>
> > >
> > > > I'm surprised you even notice a week went by, you hapless alcoholic.
> > >
> > > more delusional behavoir
> >
> More stupidity, what we've come to expect from you, Marky.

I don't drink anybody who knows me knows that. I have been intoxicated
4 times in my life

you are delsuional or just plain lying
>
cut

> > > > no pretending needed
> > >
> > > No, you don't have to pretend to be stupid, you are stupid.

> >
> > well more lies whinny
>
> More denial, child abuser?

yes I deny being a child abuser

> WHat's "whinny"?

you are

>Even with the name right in
> front of you, (above) you manage to screw it up.

so? you are not worth bothering to spell right even for someone for
whom it is less of challenge

>
> > >
> > > > it is the simple fact all you do is nitpick or
> > > > spew forth your hatefull shit
> > >
> > > Poor Marky, are you going to cry or just snivel some more?
> >
> > neither
>
> Oh, so you'll just stamp your little feet!

can't do that they are far from little

so wrong again
>
> > just tell the plain unvarnished turth about you
>
> You don't even know me, dumbass.

I know plenty about you

I thank the Gods I don't know you personaly

I know that you a sick fucked frieghten excuse for a person

a person whose life is lived in fear, and likely does even know exactly
what he is afraid of

you show volumes about yourself in almost every post (the exceptions
are the one liners of course)

you are a coward likely a closet Homosexual yourself, or at least
strongly uncertian in your own sexuality, and so you are frightened of
someone that knows exactly who and what they are. The fact I have so
little fear of being forced to conform also seems to upset you, even
makes you envious of my nerve (it is really nerve involved just a clam
acceptance of reality and the influence of my deeply held religous
base)

>
> > >
> > > > > Watching you try to form compound sentences is a painful
> > > > > excercise.
> > > > >
> > > > > glad to hear that
> > > >
> > > > It isn't complimentary, you idiot.
> > >
> > > but it is
> >
> Only a complete idiot like you would think so.

no a Sadist would think so as well

most persons into S&M would be delighted to learn they can cause pain
just by writing

and I am into S&M and I delight in the knowledge that I am able to
inflict pain on you and for that matter Dan, Dave, Stevie as well,
without realy trying
>
> >
> > >
> > > > you clearly deserve the pain you claim to be suffering, and I am
> > > > > delighted to read that some measure of justice is being done
> > > >
> > > > No, dumbass, you couldn't make anybody have pain unless it is when you
> > > > leave teeth marks in your daddy's penis.
> > >
> > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> >
> No, by you being so defensive, I'd say I spoke the truth.

not at all defensive. you deserve the pain I inflict and I am delighted
to do so
>
> >
> > give it up while you are behind
> >
> You should just kill yourself, Marky, save the gene pool from any
> contamination by your defective DNA.

more of your hate filled shit

3475. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:28:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129778887.302137.35630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
> > > >
> > > > still preaching Hate
> > >
> > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
> >
> > never started
>
> You mean you never stopped.

no asshole I mean I never started therefore...
>

hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
form this poor freighten child

May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.

May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
Peace

May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human

and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel

So Mote it be
> > >
> > > May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.
> >
> > more signs of your sick twisted ahte filled mind
> ^^
>
> More signs of your stupidity, Marky.
>
>
> > how can you stand living the filth that lines your mind
>
> How can you stand to live in the filth that you live in and call your

> home?

where do you get your delusions? the bottle? street drugs? off your meds?

Id realy like to know

3476. I Hate Being Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:37:42 UTC
From: Wogie <NoBalls@Glendale.up>
Message-ID: <dj73ag$3j0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121302
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody likes me. Nobody socializes with me and I have no friends. Nobody ever calls me on the telephone except for bill collectors, angry Skinheads and the police.

Everybody says that I am mentally deranged and act like a twelve year old juvenile. I am not deranged. I am just enjoying an extended puberty and keeping myself entertained by stalking Lloyd Davies.

I am playing this twelve year old's game, see? I stalk Lloyd, I use filthy language and I post the names and addresses of Hams who piss me off. But I have it figured out, see? When these guys do the same to me I accuse them of stalking me. How ironic is that?

You guys don't understand how lonely it gets here in Glendale, West Virginia, especially when a guy like me lives all alone in a 600 square foot mansion. I have no social life, no girl friend, no relatives, so besides a little self-abuse and my computer, what am I supposed to do?

And wrong again when you guys tell me I am a short, stocky and greasy-haired guy. Five foot five is not short. My hair is not greasy either. I wash it every Sunday morning, so there! I also have some shoe inserts that make me five foot seven and I can see eye to eye with just about any sixth grader in my town. Put that in your pipe and smoke it Marky.

I don't care if you guys don't like me. I don't care if you make fun of me. I don't care if you make fun of my spelling. I know in my own misfiring little mind that it is Lloyd making all the trouble for me. I wouldn't be such a miserable little piece of sh** if it were not for Lloyd following me everywhere I go. I am what I am because of Lloyd.

It is Lloyd's fault, I'm telling you! Lloyd! Lloyd! He is the reason I am nuts! He is the person driving me over the edge! He drives me to say and do the things I do. Don't blame Roger. Blame Lloyd! Lloyd is everywhere. Can't you see? Lloyd is in all the newsgroups. He has sockpuppets. He lurks behind the counters in the Dollar General stores. He hides in the bushes across the street from my house. He even rents motorless Piper Cubs so he can fly silently over my house day and night. Lloyd even paid someone to write a song about me. I know he didn't write it, but somebody did. Now all I hear on the radio is, "They're Coming To Take Me Away", played over and over. I KNOW it is Lloyd doing it! If you guys don't believe me, just look out your back windows! Ten dollars says Lloyd is hiding in the bushes or behind a tree. Know how to tell when Lloyd is near? Call me. I'll give you, no charge, one of my Two Test Extra Class 13 WPM Tin Foil Hats that glows blue when Lloyd is within a half mile. Trust me, these hats work. I keep one with me at all times.

Gotta go. My Two Test Extra Class 13 WPM Tin Foil Hat is glowing. Lloyd must be close. Time for me to shut the curtains and catch him in the act. I'll post more later.

3477. Stevie blows mental gasket badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:37:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129779466.116099.81210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121303

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 19 Oct 2005 14:50:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >KØHB wrote:
> > > >> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> > we are Yoopers can't seem to raise anyone at the listed coordinator
> > > >> > OTOH neither do the emails bounce
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >> I don't think the repeater coordinators care what you do on other modes. Here
> > > >> in Minnesota, at least, they concern themselves only with the repeater
> > > >> sub-bands.
> > > >
> > > >Yet there are frequency coordinators listed in the ARRL Repeater Guide
> > > >that do concern themselves with with frequencies, especially if you
> > > >wan't to operate outside the ordinary bandplans.
> > > >
> > > >> If I wanted to establish a new local AM presence I wouldn't even involve the
> > > >> coordinator, if for no other reason than it's outside their job description, and
> > > >> once you have their advice it becomes a "rule" of sorts. Fugitit!
> > > >
> > > >Yikes! Rules from people working outside "thier" job descriptions.
> > > >
> > > >> As I mentioned earlier, in this area the casual AM'ers seem to be clustered
> > > >> between 50.400 and 50.550, although I think there are a couple of nets that use
> > > >> 50.355. My inclination would be to stay above the SSB weak signal guys and
> > > >> below the digital stuff. That gives you 600KHz, or roughly 75 6KHz AM slots
> > > >> with 3KHz guard channels interleaved.
> > > >
> > > >Fair enough. But they just might have some crystals for freqs in the
> > > >repeater bands (whatever those happen to be at the moment), and want to
> > > >do some operating as long as they don't interfere with existing users.
> > > >He never did say what he wanted to do exactly.
> > >
> > > what I have been doing is listening to the local's folks
> > >
> > > and I find there are thing about various bandplans that they don't
> > > like
> >
> > OK.

>
> And this is different from any other law...HOW?

well it is different since bandplans are not laws in any sense

you are realy over the edge the ARRL does not make laws

the FCC does not make laws

neither of these bodies has the power to make laws

thank you for showing off your mental breakdown ...

cuting the rest of Stevie raving

3478. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:42:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129779724.185292.94840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > seduced_an_old_friends_husband wrote:
> > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
> > > > >
> > > > > still preaching Hate
> > > >
> > > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
> > >
> > > never started
> >
> > You mean you never stopped.
>
> no ###hole I mean I never started therefore...

Sorry, Mark. He's got ya there.

You've got a whole decade worth of stupid classes to your credit.

> hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> form this poor freighten child

"from" "frightened"

Hopefully someone will finally decide to get Mark into
counselling, or better yet, an involuntary confinement hospital.

> May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.

"victims"

May Mark's potential victims see him coming and be adequately
prepared.

> May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> Peace

May someone grant Mark the opportunity to see what a fool he's
been and seek treatment...soon.

> May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human

There's only ONE human, Mark?

OK..Who was it? It's certainly not you.

> and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel

"scrown"...?!?! I won't even GUESS what THAT was supposed to
be...

"let the God"...?!?! It was "gods" (plural)...the rest get drunk
and take the rest of the week off...?!?!

> So Mote it be

"Mote"...?!?!

YOU'RE a MOTE, Mark...

As in "the mote in God's eye".

> > > > May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.
> > >
> > > more signs of your sick twisted ahte filled mind
> > ^^
> >
> > More signs of your stupidity, Marky.
> >
> >
> > > how can you stand living the filth that lines your mind
> >
> > How can you stand to live in the filth that you live in and call your
> > home?
>
> where do you get your delusions? the bottle? street drugs? off your
> meds?
>
> Id realy like to know

"I'd" "really"

Why? Care to emulate him?

I'd say you've already got a head start.

Steve, K4YZ

3479. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:45:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129779947.942688.124350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121305

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > > >
> > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > > thread?
> > >
> > > Why?
> > >
> > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> >
> > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
>
> But...
>
> but.....
>
> but.....
>
> BRAIN!
>
> You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
>
> So what's the deal?

why can't you answer a simple question?

if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
to evade

that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
shame about it

>
> Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
>
> Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> "everyone else" considers proper?

why should I do exactly as you do

you lie mosy everyday on here
>
> Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or

noone said there is such a rule

no one had suggested a fine

you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
newgruops

again why can't deal with an issue where it comes up rather than
bringing up elsewhere again and again

>
> > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> > >
> > > Why?
> > >
> > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> >
> > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
>
> Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!

not you ever

>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Brainie make funnie!
>
> > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > > >
> > > > Sheesh!
> > >
> > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
> >
> > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
>
> You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> you.

nope

you generate more shit than a herd of bovine

>
> Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
>
> Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> follow.

you keep up your lying and threatening of people
>
> I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.

you have never laid off
>
> > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > > shoe DOES fit...
> >
> > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
>
> You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> you.
>
> Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
>
> Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> follow.
>
> I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.

repeating your lie does not make it true
>
> > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> > >
> > > "summon", Brain.
> >
> > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
>
> And you weren't bright enough to fix it.

wrong again

Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop

>
> OK.
>
> > > > Are they Pagans?
> > >
> > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
> >
> > Ah! Another Pagan.

>
> How do you figure?
>
> > Are you gay, too?
>
> No, why do you ask?

your fixation on mens genitals for one

>
> If you're looking for a date, send an email to "kb9rqz@yahoo.com"
> or any other of the addee's he's using these days to beat the Goggle
> rate filter...
>
> He likes his protein fresh.

more of your homosexual obbession

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3480. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:45:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RpE5f.16654$hY6.5138@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121306

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> And this is different from any other law...HOW?

Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".

3481. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:51:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129780263.370854.90830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121307

raped_an_old_friends_husband wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > On 19 Oct 2005 14:50:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >KØHB wrote:
> > > > >> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > we are Yoopers can't seem to raise anyone at the listed coordinator
> > > > >> > OTOH neither do the emails bounce
> > > > >> >
> > > > >>
> > > > >> I don't think the repeater coordinators care what you do on other modes. Here
> > > > >> in Minnesota, at least, they concern themselves only with the repeater
> > > > >> sub-bands.
> > > > >
> > > > >Yet there are frequency coordinators listed in the ARRL Repeater Guide
> > > > >that do concern themselves with with frequencies, especially if you
> > > > >wan't to operate outside the ordinary bandplans.
> > > > >
> > > > >> If I wanted to establish a new local AM presence I wouldn't even involve the
> > > > >> coordinator, if for no other reason than it's outside their job description, and
> > > > >> once you have their advice it becomes a "rule" of sorts. Fugitit!
> > > > >
> > > > >Yikes! Rules from people working outside "thier" job descriptions.
> > > > >
> > > > >> As I mentioned earlier, in this area the casual AM'ers seem to be clustered
> > > > >> between 50.400 and 50.550, although I think there are a couple of nets that use
> > > > >> 50.355. My inclination would be to stay above the SSB weak signal guys and
> > > > >> below the digital stuff. That gives you 600KHz, or roughly 75 6KHz AM slots
> > > > >> with 3KHz guard channels interleaved.
> > > > >
> > > > >Fair enough. But they just might have some crystals for freqs in the
> > > > >repeater bands (whatever those happen to be at the moment), and want to
> > > > >do some operating as long as they don't interfere with existing users.
> > > > >He never did say what he wanted to do exactly.
> > > >
> > > > what I have been doing is listening to the local's folks
> > > >
> > > > and I find there are thing about various bandplans that they don't
> > > > like
> > >

> > OK.
> >
> > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
>
> well it is different since bandplans are not laws in any sense

Sure they are.

The FCC has said that Amateur coordination groups were the defacto
band planning resources, and that persons who operated in such a way as
to cause interference to those plans was violating FCC rules and
regulations.

> you are realy over the edge the ARRL does not make laws

"really"

I didn't say ANYthing about the ARRL, Mark...

So I'd have to say YOU are over the edge.

> the FCC does not make laws
>
> neither of these bodies has the power to make laws
>
> thank you for showing off your mental breakdown ...

No breakdown, Markie.

The FCC regulates numerous forms of communications in direct
response to thier mandate in the Communications Act of 1934 and others
as ammended.

Congress has delegated those parts of THIER responsiblities TO the
FCC in said Act

The Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission
as publsihed by them in the Federal Register ARE laws.

> cuting the rest of Stevie raving

"cutting"

Reinserting since there was NO "raving"

QUOTE

> one is number of folks here and that Includes me) want to operate 6 AM
> without hearing from DX

OK...Leave your coax plugged into an dummy load...(No, not
him...the 50 ohm one under the desk...)

If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
them. Otherwise you're at the whim of the Propagation God, and he
doesn't give a hoot who you want to hear from or not. When six meters

is open, it's open...When it's not, it's not.

If you want to just "work the locals", select one of the 2M or
70cm coordinated simplex channels. There's more than enough and you're

far enough away from any major city so as to not have to "deal" with
the DX.

> another is some arguement over FSATV here north of the "A" Line which
> cuts off the bottom of the band where FSATV hangs out in most places

So have the guys who live north of the line use the higher
channels to transmit on and below the line use the lower...

Problem solved.

> a thrid issue is some of the local are not happy about the 222
> bandplan, not quite sure yet what the beef is , all I do know is that
> it has something to do with the fact we can reach Canada on that Band
> fairly often. Indeed I manage a 222 MHZ FM simplex sked most weeks
> with ham in Thunder Bay Ont

The beef is that we gave away the lower 2Mhz.

> I know it is possible to adpot local variants.it would nice nice to
> know how to go about it. One could just do as we please and more than
> likely no one will notice.

ta-DAAAAAAAAAAH! Just make sure you're not interfering with any
users who ARE in compliance with the bandplan and you have no problem.

> I don't like that "solution" as I know it
> encourages chaos and if we start ignoring the bandplans in VHF then HF
> bandplans are real danger. what I am looking for is an alternitive, a
> way to deal with local within the struture so we can encourage
> continued adherence to bandplans by making them fit us where we can,
> and at VHF and up we can do this in real terms

Know how I avoid this problem...?!?!

I start off any transmission that MIGHT cause interference with...

"Is this frequency in use...?!?!"

Works just as well above 50Mhz as it does below it...

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

3482. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:57:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129780650.004428.18490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121308

K4YZ wrote:
> raped_an_old_friends_husband wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > On 19 Oct 2005 14:50:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >KØHB wrote:
> > > > > >> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
cut
> > > > > and I find there are thing about various bandplans that they don't
> > > > > like
> > > >
> > > OK.
> > >
> > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> >
> > well it is different since bandplans are not laws in any sense
>
> Sure they are.

no they are not
>
> The FCC has said that Amateur coordination groups were the defacto
> band planning resources, and that persons who operated in such a way as
> to cause interference to those plans was violating FCC rules and
> regulations.

which are not laws either
>
> > you are realy over the edge the ARRL does not make laws
>
> "really"
>
> I didn't say ANYthing about the ARRL, Mark...

but the ARRL adpots the bandplans

>
> So I'd have to say YOU are over the edge.
>
> > the FCC does not make laws
> >
> > neither of these bodies has the power to make laws
> >

> > thank you for showing off your mental breakdown ...
>
> No breakdown, Markie.
>
> The FCC regulates numerous forms of communications in direct
> response to thier mandate in the Communications Act of 1934 and others
> as ammended.

agreed

>
> Congress has delegated those parts of THIER responsiblities TO the
> FCC in said Act

no they have not

>
> The Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission
> as publsihed by them in the Federal Register ARE laws.

wrong they are not laws

>
cuting the rest of Stevie raving agian

3483. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:57:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129780678.990113.281330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121309

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
>
> Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".

Sigh......

Bandplans are mutually agreed upon frequency sharing arrangents
that the FCC has repeatedly stated the violation of will get the
violator "busted".

While not codified in thier own right, they are, none the less,
enforced BY the Federal Communications Commission.

Refer to the current FCC list of NOV's, NAL's and Warnings. There
are, unfortunately, numerous folks who have violated non-law "laws"
that the FCC has demanded corrective action for.

Close enough for me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3484. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 03:59:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129780753.416975.135680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121310

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
>
> Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".

for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement

and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
are not laws

3485. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:01:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129780895.075422.200960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121311

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> >
> > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
>
> Sigh......
>
> Bandplans are mutually agreed upon frequency sharing arrangents
> that the FCC has repeatedly stated the violation of will get the
> violator "busted".
>
> While not codified in thier own right, they are, none the less,
> enforced BY the Federal Communications Commission.
>
> Refer to the current FCC list of NOV's, NAL's and Warnings. There
> are, unfortunately, numerous folks who have violated non-law "laws"
> that the FCC has demanded corrective action for.
>
> Close enough for me.

still can't admit you were wrong lying sack of shit
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3486. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:04:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129781082.742353.57450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121312

```
still_more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > raped_an_old_friends_husband wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 14:50:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >KØHB wrote:
> > > > > > >> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> cut
> > > > > > and I find there are thing about various bandplans that they don't
> > > > > > like
> > > > >
> > > > > OK.
> > > > >
> > > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> > > >
> > > > well it is different since bandplans are not laws in any sense
> > >
> > Sure they are.
> >
> no they are not

Sure they are.

> > The FCC has said that Amateur coordination groups were the defacto
> > band planning resources, and that persons who operated in such a way as
> > to cause interference to those plans was violating FCC rules and
> > regulations.
> >
> which are not laws either

Sure they are.

> > > you are realy over the edge the ARRL does not make laws
> > >
> > "really"
> > >
> > I didn't say ANYthing about the ARRL, Mark...
> >
> but the ARRL adpots the bandplans

And I STILL didn't say anything about the ARRL, Mark...YOU did.

I N*E*V*E*R even REMOTELY suggested that the ARRL "makes laws".
```

That the ARRL may "adopt" any certain coordinating agency's plan
is irrelevent.

> > So I'd have to say YOU are over the edge.
> >
> > > the FCC does not make laws
> > >
> > > neither of these bodies has the power to make laws
> > >
> > > thank you for showing off your mental breakdown ...
> >
> > No breakdown, Markie.
> >
> > The FCC regulates numerous forms of communications in direct
> > response to thier mandate in the Communications Act of 1934 and others
> > as ammended.
>
> agreed

I am so underwhelmed.

> > Congress has delegated those parts of THIER responsiblities TO the
> > FCC in said Act
>
> no they have not

Sure they have.

The Supreme Court has said so.

> > The Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission
> > as publsihed by them in the Federal Register ARE laws.
>
> wrong they are not laws

Sure they are.

Break one in such a way as to incur the FCC's wrath and see what
happens.

> cuting the rest of Stevie raving agian

"cutting" "again"

There was no "raving" in the first place.

QUOTE:

> one is number of folks here and that Includes me) want to operate 6 AM
> without hearing from DX

OK...Leave your coax plugged into an dummy load...(No, not
him...the 50 ohm one under the desk...)

If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
them. Otherwise you're at the whim of the Propagation God, and he

doesn't give a hoot who you want to hear from or not. When six meters
is open, it's open...When it's not, it's not.

If you want to just "work the locals", select one of the 2M or
70cm coordinated simplex channels. There's more than enough and you're

far enough away from any major city so as to not have to "deal" with
the DX.

> another is some arguement over FSATV here north of the "A" Line which
> cuts off the bottom of the band where FSATV hangs out in most places

So have the guys who live north of the line use the higher
channels to transmit on and below the line use the lower...

Problem solved.

> a thrid issue is some of the local are not happy about the 222
> bandplan, not quite sure yet what the beef is , all I do know is that
> it has something to do with the fact we can reach Canada on that Band
> fairly often. Indeed I manage a 222 MHZ FM simplex sked most weeks
> with ham in Thunder Bay Ont

The beef is that we gave away the lower 2Mhz.

> I know it is possible to adpot local variants.it would nice nice to
> know how to go about it. One could just do as we please and more than
> likely no one will notice.

ta-DAAAAAAAAAAH! Just make sure you're not interfering with any
users who ARE in compliance with the bandplan and you have no problem.

> I don't like that "solution" as I know it
> encourages chaos and if we start ignoring the bandplans in VHF then HF
> bandplans are real danger. what I am looking for is an alternitive, a
> way to deal with local within the struture so we can encourage
> continued adherence to bandplans by making them fit us where we can,
> and at VHF and up we can do this in real terms

Know how I avoid this problem...?!?!

I start off any transmission that MIGHT cause interference with...

"Is this frequency in use...?!?!"

Works just as well above 50Mhz as it does below it...

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

3487. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:08:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129781339.808645.239920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121313

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> >
> > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
>
> for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement

"occassion" "ourselves"
>
> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> are not laws

Sure they are.

Once again, Markie...

The FCC has stated that those cooperative agreements ARE the
defacto band plans, and although they will not specifically codfify
them, they expect Amateurs to abide by them or find themselves in
trouble.

If I get an NAL from the FCC for a "verbatim" rule violation or
because I tied to operate contrary to an established band p[lann is
irrelevent...The FCC will prosecute it in the very same fashion.

Steve, K4YZ

3488. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:12:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129781520.664139.263220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121314

cheated_on_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> > >
> > > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
> >
> > Sigh......
> >
> > Bandplans are mutually agreed upon frequency sharing arrangents
> > that the FCC has repeatedly stated the violation of will get the
> > violator "busted".
> >
> > While not codified in thier own right, they are, none the less,
> > enforced BY the Federal Communications Commission.
> >
> > Refer to the current FCC list of NOV's, NAL's and Warnings. There
> > are, unfortunately, numerous folks who have violated non-law "laws"
> > that the FCC has demanded corrective action for.
> >
> > Close enough for me.
>
> still can't admit you were wrong lying sack of ****

Wrong about what? And what's with the ugly profanity? Getting
frustrated, Markie?

Steve, K4YZ

3489. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:26:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129782389.132228.172400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121315

```
wants_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > > > >
> > > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > > > thread?
> > > > >
> > > > Why?
> > > >
> > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > >
> > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> >
> > But...
> >
> > but.....
> >
> > but.....
> >
> > BRAIN!
> >
> > You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
> >
> > So what's the deal?
>
> why can't you answer a simple question?
>
> if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
> to evade
>
> that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
> shame about it

There's no "evasion"...

Brain has suggested that there's something "wrong" with starting a
"new thread". I've pointed out that there's been no "rule" violation,
nor is it inconsistent with the desired intent of redirecting my
comments to a SEPERATE thread.
```

> > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
> >
> > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> > "everyone else" considers proper?
>
> why should I do exactly as you do

Exactly my point, ya nimrod.

> you lie mosy everyday on here

"most"

No, I do not. However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
blatant lie.

But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
life of me understand why...

> > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
>
> noone said there is such a rule
>
> no one had suggested a fine
>
> you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
> newgruops

"across" "newsgroups"

I don't.

Unless someone else has multi-grouped a thread, I pretty much
confine my comments to RRAP. And if I have something I wish to make a
seperate thread, seperate from some OTHER ongoing thread, then I'll do
it.

> again why can't deal with an issue where it comes up rather than
> bringing up elsewhere again and again

Because in MOST cases it simply gets mixed in with other
non-related exchanges...Besides...This allows those who do not wish to
follow our exchages to avoid them.

> > > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why?
> > > > >
> > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > > >
> > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.

> >
> > Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!
>
> not you ever

Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhh!

Stop lying!

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Brainie make funnie!
> >
> > > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sheesh!
> > > >
> > > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
> > >
> > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> >
> > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> > you.
>
> nope

Yep.

> you generate more **** than a herd of bovine

And even MORE lies! Sheesh, Markie!

> > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> >
> > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > follow.
>
> you keep up your lying and threatening of people

What's "threatening" to you is not being able to freely act like
an idiot without having it fed back to you.

Tough.

> > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
>
> you have never laid off

Oh, MARKIE!

Just this week we've had that VERY discussion!

Liar liar pants on fire!

> > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > > > shoe DOES fit...
> > >
> > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> >
> > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> > you.
> >
> > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> >
> > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > follow.
> >
> > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just to have you and one of
> > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
>
> repeating your lie does not make it true

It's not a lie.

> > > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> > > >
> > > > "summon", Brain.
> > >
> > > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
> >
> > And you weren't bright enough to fix it.
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop

That's because they can't.

> > OK.
> >
> > > > > Are they Pagans?
> > > >
> > > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
> > >
> > > Ah! Another Pagan.
> >
> > How do you figure?
> >
> > > Are you gay, too?
> >

> > No, why do you ask?
>
> your fixation on mens genitals for one

Gee, Markie...It was BRAIN that brought it up...

Not me...

Since you're so big on what goes on in any given thread, you're invited to scroll back and find ONE place where I said any such thing....

> > If you're looking for a date, send an email to "kb9rqz@yahoo.com"
> > or any other of the addee's he's using these days to beat the Goggle
> > rate filter...
> >
> > He likes his protein fresh.
>
> more of your homosexual obbession

"obsession"

Obvioulsy YOU had yet another comprehension problem.

It's you and BRAIN who ahve the "homosexual obbession" issues.

Talk to him.

Steve, K4YZ

3490. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:31:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129782700.609016.42660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121316

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> > >
> > > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
> >
> > for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement
>
> "occassion" "ourselves"
> >
> > and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> > are not laws
>
> Sure they are.

once again no they are not laws

you are not telling the truth

you are lying

not moving off till you deal with the facts

rules and regs are NOT laws, that is a fact

i know you don't care about facts you have proven over and over agian

cuting the bullshit that follows

3491. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:33:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129782810.083607.146920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121317

K4YZ wrote:
> cheated_on_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > > >
cut
> > > Close enough for me.
> > >
> > still can't admit you were wrong lying sack of ****
>
> Wrong about what?

about Bandplans being laws

> And what's with the ugly profanity?

no ugly profanity at all

> Getting
> frustrated, Markie?

not at all I expect you lie about anything that suits you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3492. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:45:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129783504.844403.66180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121318

```
K4YZ wrote:
> wants_an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > > > > > thread?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> > > > >
> > > > > But...
> > > > >
> > > > > but.....
> > > > >
> > > > > but.....
> > > > >
> > > > > BRAIN!
> > > > >
> > > > > You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
> > > > >
> > > > > So what's the deal?
> > > >
> > > > why can't you answer a simple question?
> > >
> > > if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
> > > to evade
> > >
> > > that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
> > > shame about it
> >
> > There's no "evasion"...

yes there is
```

nothing from but evasion
>
> Brain has suggested that there's something "wrong" with starting a
> "new thread". I've pointed out that there's been no "rule" violation,
> nor is it inconsistent with the desired intent of redirecting my
> comments to a SEPERATE thread.
>
> > > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
> > >
> > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> > > "everyone else" considers proper?
> >
> > why should I do exactly as you do
>
> Exactly my point, ya nimrod.

admiting to lying then
>
> > you lie mosy everyday on here
>
> "most"
>
> No, I do not.

yes you do

amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws

> However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
> blatant lie.

not a word of it was a lie
>
> But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
> life of me understand why...

aracking up another Stevie there
>
> > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
> >
> > noone said there is such a rule
> >
> > no one had suggested a fine
> >
> > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
> > newgruops
>
> "across" "newsgroups"
>
> I don't.

you do

cuting stevie going ooff the topic again

> > again why can't deal with an issue where it comes up rather than
> > bringing up elsewhere again and again
>
> Because in MOST cases it simply gets mixed in with other
> non-related exchanges...Besides...This allows those who do not wish to
> follow our exchages to avoid them.

not the way you drag everything in to every thread you start

>
> > > > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > > > >
> > > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> > > >
> > > > Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!
> > >
> > > not you ever
> >
> > Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhh!
> >
> > Stop lying!

not lying at all

have hardly told a lie on here in months

>
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Brainie make funnie!
> > >
> > > > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sheesh!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > > > > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
> > > > >
> > > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> > > >
> > > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to

> > > you.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> > you generate more shit than a herd of bovine
>
> And even MORE lies! Sheesh, Markie!

that is the absolute truth
>
> > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> > >
> > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > > follow.
> >
> > you keep up your lying and threatening of people
>
> What's "threatening" to you is not being able to freely act like
> an idiot without having it fed back to you.

more lies

threat are posts "like one shot one kill"

and then following up year later by saying you planing on coming here

>
> Tough.
>
> > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
> >
> > you have never laid off
>
> Oh, MARKIE!

you never have

you were picking on me when I had not posted in RRAP in years

>
> Just this week we've had that VERY discussion!

no you posted a bald faced lie

you claimed that calling Mark instead of Markie while calling me a
Child abuser, pedophile, and elder raper was laying.

that was a lie

we have not had a discussion in years you and I the God Knows I have
tried but it does take 2 (or more) to discuss something (although I
admit the aniemouses are seeming to manage whole dialouges consiting of
just one person posting)
>
> Liar liar pants on fire!

grow up
>
> > > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > > > > shoe DOES fit...
> > > > >
> > > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> > > >
> > > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> > > > you.
> > > >
> > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> > > >
> > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > > > follow.
> > > >
> > > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just to have you and one of
> > > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
> > >
> > > repeating your lie does not make it true
> >
> > It's not a lie.

it is lie

>
> > > > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "summon", Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
> > > >
> > > > And you weren't bright enough to fix it.
> > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> > Nope.

yep
>
> > Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop
> >
> > That's because they can't.

delsuonal Stevie truely you are displying frightening behavoir in that

>
> > > OK.
> > >
> > > > > > Are they Pagans?
> > > > >
> > > > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > > > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
> > > > >
> > > > Ah! Another Pagan.
> > >
> > > How do you figure?
> > >
> > > > Are you gay, too?
> > >
> > > No, why do you ask?
> >
> > your fixation on mens genitals for one
>
> Gee, Markie...It was BRAIN that brought it up...

no it wasn't you go and on about men genitals

that is why many of us think you a closet gay or latent gay
>
> Not me...
>
> Since you're so big on what goes on in any given thread, you're
> invited to scroll back and find ONE place where I said any such
> thing....
>
> > > If you're looking for a date, send an email to "kb9rqz@yahoo.com"
> > > or any other of the addee's he's using these days to beat the Goggle
> > > rate filter...
> > >
> > > He likes his protein fresh.
> >
> > more of your homosexual obbession
>
> "obsession"
>
> Obvioulsy YOU had yet another comprehension problem.

not at all

>
> It's you and BRAIN who ahve the "homosexual obbession" issues.

I don't go around investagting what men might be doing with their
genitals

you do

>
> Talk to him.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3493. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:47:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129783665.672774.168530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121319

molested_by_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> > > >
> > > > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
> > >
> > > for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement
> >
> > "occassion" "ourselves"
> > >
> > > and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> > > are not laws
> >
> > Sure they are.
>
> once again no they are not laws

Sure they are.

> you are not telling the truth

Wrong.

> you are lying

Nope.

> not moving off till you deal with the facts

I could care less WHERE you move to, Markie.

> rules and regs are NOT laws, that is a fact

I don't care WHAT you think, Markie...Congress delegated thier
responsibilities to regulate radio to the FCC in the Communications
Act.

> i know you don't care about facts you have proven over and over agian

Uhhhhhhhhh...If >>I<< have "proven" them of COURSE I care baout
them!

Idiot!

> cuting the bull#### that follows

"cutting"

Then you're announcing your intention to inflict harm upon
yourself?

QUOTE:

> one is number of folks here and that Includes me) want to operate 6 AM
> without hearing from DX

OK...Leave your coax plugged into an dummy load...(No, not
him...the 50 ohm one under the desk...)

If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
them. Otherwise you're at the whim of the Propagation God, and he
doesn't give a hoot who you want to hear from or not. When six meters
is open, it's open...When it's not, it's not.

If you want to just "work the locals", select one of the 2M or
70cm coordinated simplex channels. There's more than enough and you're

far enough away from any major city so as to not have to "deal" with
the DX.

> another is some arguement over FSATV here north of the "A" Line which
> cuts off the bottom of the band where FSATV hangs out in most places

So have the guys who live north of the line use the higher
channels to transmit on and below the line use the lower...

Problem solved.

> a thrid issue is some of the local are not happy about the 222
> bandplan, not quite sure yet what the beef is , all I do know is that
> it has something to do with the fact we can reach Canada on that Band
> fairly often. Indeed I manage a 222 MHZ FM simplex sked most weeks
> with ham in Thunder Bay Ont

The beef is that we gave away the lower 2Mhz.

> I know it is possible to adpot local variants.it would nice nice to
> know how to go about it. One could just do as we please and more than
> likely no one will notice.

ta-DAAAAAAAAAAH! Just make sure you're not interfering with any
users who ARE in compliance with the bandplan and you have no problem.

> I don't like that "solution" as I know it
> encourages chaos and if we start ignoring the bandplans in VHF then HF
> bandplans are real danger. what I am looking for is an alternitive, a
> way to deal with local within the struture so we can encourage
> continued adherence to bandplans by making them fit us where we can,
> and at VHF and up we can do this in real terms

Know how I avoid this problem...?!?!

I start off any transmission that MIGHT cause interference with...

"Is this frequency in use...?!?!"

Works just as well above 50Mhz as it does below it...

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

3494. how long will Stevie try to brazen out the latest lie "Bandplans are Laws" accorsing to KY4Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:51:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129783911.656339.155780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121320

starting out with
"And this is different from any other law...HOW?"

he follows up wit
>k4yz
>> an old friend
>> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
>> are not laws

> Sure they are.

how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie

why can't he just fees up

but then after about 90 he has not admited even to himself that he
lacks any evidence that I should be commited dispite claiming to sent
such to the state of michigan

3495. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 04:52:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <od8el1lb4doc98etdv0l35kmnajtkqpmgo@4ax.com>
Source record: 121321

On 19 Oct 2005 21:47:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>molested_by_an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> > > >
>> > > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
>> > > >
>> > > > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
>> > >
>> > > for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement
>> >
>> > "occassion" "ourselves"
>> > >
>> > > and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
>> > > are not laws
>> >
>> > Sure they are.
>>
>> once again no they are not laws
>
> Sure they are.

you are just plain wrong
>
>> you are not telling the truth
>
> Wrong.
yep
>
>> you are lying
>
> Nope.
yep
>
>> not moving off till you deal with the facts
>
> I could care less WHERE you move to, Markie.

then why do stalk me to keep tabs on it
>
>> rules and regs are NOT laws, that is a fact

>
> I don't care WHAT you think, Markie.

proof of yet another Stevie lie

if you did not care you would not bother

>..Congress delegated thier
>responsibilities to regulate radio to the FCC in the Communications
>Act.

and you are just wrong

nobody but nobody can make laws except the Congress with the sigiture
of the President (or by overiding his veto)

learn your facts

>
>> i know you don't care about facts you have proven over and over agian
>
> Uhhhhhhhhh...If >>I<< have "proven" them of COURSE I care baout
>them!

if you had maybe

but you never bother to prove much of anything

the fact is you don't care about facts

>
> Idiot!
>
>> cuting the bullshit that follows
>
> "cutting"
>
> Then you're announcing your intention to inflict harm upon
>yourself?

no

recuting the bullshit

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3496. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 05:07:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129784863.141905.233800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121322

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote, after using yet another of his aliases in order
to overcome Google's posting limit, yet he claims others are "obsessed"
wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 21:47:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >molested_by_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > KØHB wrote:
> >> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
> >> > >
> >> > > for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement
> >> >
> >> > "occassion" "ourselves"
> >> > >
> >> > > and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> >> > > are not laws
> >> >
> >> > Sure they are.
> >>
> >> once again no they are not laws
> >
> > Sure they are.
>
> you are just plain wrong

Nope.

> >> you are not telling the truth
> >
> > Wrong.
> yep

Uh uh.

> >> you are lying
> >
> > Nope.
> yep

Still no.

> >> not moving off till you deal with the facts
> >
> > I could care less WHERE you move to, Markie.
>
> then why do stalk me to keep tabs on it

I don't stalk you. I don't need to.

If I wanted to find you, I'd follow the trail of dead flies.......

> >> rules and regs are NOT laws, that is a fact
> >
> > I don't care WHAT you think, Markie.
>
> proof of yet another Stevie lie

Nope.

> if you did not care you would not bother

Sure I would.

> >..Congress delegated thier
> >responsibilities to regulate radio to the FCC in the Communications
> >Act.
>
> and you are just wrong

Nope.

Break out the Act, PaganBoy.

> nobody but nobody can make laws except the Congress with the sigiture
> of the President (or by overiding his veto)

"signature"

The Congress. State legislatures. Commonwealth senates. State,
County, City, Burgh, Village and Township boards can make laws.

And they too, just like COngress did with the FCC, delegate some of
thier responsibilites to a subordinate agency under thier control.

> learn your facts

I laready have.

> >> i know you don't care about facts you have proven over and over agian
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhh...If >>I<< have "proven" them of COURSE I care about
> >them!
>
> if you had maybe

Hey Markie...It was YOUR butchered English that I got to take
advantage of!

> but you never bother to prove much of anything

Sure I have.

That you refuse to accept it is beyond my control.

> the fact is you don't care about facts

Sure I do.

And it's a fact that you're an idiot.

> > Idiot!
> >
> >> cuting the bullshit that follows
> >
> > "cutting"
> >
> > Then you're announcing your intention to inflict harm upon
> >yourself?
>
> no
>
> recuting the bull####

"re-cutting"

Replacing that which Mark C. Morgan is too cowardly to address.

QUOTE

> one is number of folks here and that Includes me) want to operate 6 AM
> without hearing from DX

OK...Leave your coax plugged into an dummy load...(No, not
him...the 50 ohm one under the desk...)

If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
them. Otherwise you're at the whim of the Propagation God, and he
doesn't give a hoot who you want to hear from or not. When six meters
is open, it's open...When it's not, it's not.

If you want to just "work the locals", select one of the 2M or
70cm coordinated simplex channels. There's more than enough and you're

far enough away from any major city so as to not have to "deal" with
the DX.

> another is some arguement over FSATV here north of the "A" Line which
> cuts off the bottom of the band where FSATV hangs out in most places

So have the guys who live north of the line use the higher
channels to transmit on and below the line use the lower...

Problem solved.

> a thrid issue is some of the local are not happy about the 222
> bandplan, not quite sure yet what the beef is , all I do know is that
> it has something to do with the fact we can reach Canada on that Band
> fairly often. Indeed I manage a 222 MHZ FM simplex sked most weeks
> with ham in Thunder Bay Ont

The beef is that we gave away the lower 2Mhz.

> I know it is possible to adpot local variants.it would nice nice to
> know how to go about it. One could just do as we please and more than
> likely no one will notice.

ta-DAAAAAAAAAAH! Just make sure you're not interfering with any
users who ARE in compliance with the bandplan and you have no problem.

> I don't like that "solution" as I know it
> encourages chaos and if we start ignoring the bandplans in VHF then HF
> bandplans are real danger. what I am looking for is an alternitive, a
> way to deal with local within the struture so we can encourage
> continued adherence to bandplans by making them fit us where we can,
> and at VHF and up we can do this in real terms

Know how I avoid this problem...?!?!

I start off any transmission that MIGHT cause interference with...

"Is this frequency in use...?!?!"

Works just as well above 50Mhz as it does below it...

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

3497. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 05:14:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4d9el1h3blcc1c0mr8bj552diu02o1eo5m@4ax.com>
Source record: 121324

On 19 Oct 2005 22:07:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>> On 19 Oct 2005 21:47:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >molested_by_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> >> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
>> >> > >
>> >> > > for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement
>> >> >
>> >> > "occassion" "ourselves"
>> >> > >
>> >> > > and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
>> >> > > are not laws
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure they are.
>> >>
>> >> once again no they are not laws
>> >
>> > Sure they are.
>>
>> you are just plain wrong
>
> Nope.

of you are plain wrong
cuting arep

>> >> not moving off till you deal with the facts
>> >
>> > I could care less WHERE you move to, Markie.
>>
>> then why do stalk me to keep tabs on it
>
> I don't stalk you.

you surely do

so we calk up anoth lie

> I don't need to.

My goodness a true statement from Stevie I may die of the shock

>
> If I wanted to find you, I'd follow the trail of dead flies.......

back to bullshit I see

My heart thanks you

>
>> >> rules and regs are NOT laws, that is a fact
>> >
>> > I don't care WHAT you think, Markie.
>>
>> proof of yet another Stevie lie
>
> Nope.

yes it is
>
>> if you did not care you would not bother
>
> Sure I would.

no you would not

if it were for the statement I noted a up the post a bit id say you
can't tell the turth

you care intensely what I what I write what I think you prove it over
and over again

>
>> >..Congress delegated thier
>> >responsibilities to regulate radio to the FCC in the Communications
>> >Act.
>>
>> and you are just wrong
>
> Nope.

ah I see you enaged in spin

>
> Break out the Act, PaganBoy.

but the FCC still does not make laws

>
>> nobody but nobody can make laws except the Congress with the sigiture
>> of the President (or by overiding his veto)
>
> "signature"
>

> The Congress.

only the congress

> State legislatures. Commonwealth senates. State,
>County, City, Burgh, Village and Township boards can make laws.

but not concerning Radio

>
> And they too, just like COngress did with the FCC, delegate some of
>thier responsibilites to a subordinate agency under thier control.

responiblities yes you are right

but not the power to make laws

>
>> learn your facts
>
> I laready have.

"laready"

losing it spelling cop?

but you have not

you still tell the lie that the FCC and the ARRL have the power to
make US law

>
>> >> i know you don't care about facts you have proven over and over agian
>> >
>> > Uhhhhhhhhh...If >>I<< have "proven" them of COURSE I care about
>> >them!
>>
>> if you had maybe
>
> Hey Markie...It was YOUR butchered English that I got to take
>advantage of!

yes you took the cheap shot

fairer than you normaly are but still a cheap shot

>
>> but you never bother to prove much of anything
>
> Sure I have.

name something

>
> That you refuse to accept it is beyond my control.

another true stament you are tryng to kill me by inducing shock aren't
you

>
>> the fact is you don't care about facts
>
> Sure I do.

no you don't

>
> And it's a fact that you're an idiot.

wrong again

>
>> > Idiot!
>> >
>> >> cuting the bullshit that follows
>> >
>> > "cutting"
>> >
>> > Then you're announcing your intention to inflict harm upon
>> >yourself?
>>
>> no
>>
>> recuting the bull####
>
> "re-cutting"
>
> Replacing that which Mark C. Morgan is too cowardly to address.
recuting yet again

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3498. Re: how long will Stevie try to brazen out (inappropriate use of the word) the latest lie "Bandplans are Laws" accorsing (according) to KY4Z (Markie Move His Misattributions Directly to the Subject Line. He WANTS His Lies Seen!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 05:15:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129785322.643188.233600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121323

an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
> starting out with
> "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
>
> he follows up wit

"with"

> >k4yz
> >> nobodys old friend
> >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> >> are not laws
>
> > Sure they are.
>
> how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie

"maintain"

It's not a lie.

> why can't he just fees up

"fess"

Why can't YOU learn how to write like an adult?

BTW...I find it funny you are "chastising" me in another thread
about starting new threads, yet here YOU are, only minutes later, doing
it yourself...

Sheeesh.

> but then after about 90 he has not admited even to himself that he
> lacks any evidence that I should be commited dispite claiming to sent
> such to the state of michigan

"committed" "Michigan"

Dip and doddle

dance and swerve

Markie will get

What he deserves

Not today,

nor even the morrow

But it WILL happen,

To his great sorrow.

Steve, K4YZ

3499. how long will Stevie try to brazen out the latest lie "Bandplans are Laws" accorsing to KY4Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 05:22:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129785741.623873.310510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121325

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
> > starting out with
> > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
> >
> > he follows up wit
>
> "with"
>
> > >k4yz
> > >> nobodys old friend
> > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> > >> are not laws
> > >
> > > Sure they are.
> >
> > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
>
> "maintain"
>
> It's not a lie.

it is a lie
>
> > why can't he just fees up

cuting Stevie evasion
> Sheeesh.
>
> > but then after about 90 he has not admited even to himself that he
> > lacks any evidence that I should be commited dispite claiming to sent
> > such to the state of michigan
>
> "committed" "Michigan"
>
> Dip and doddle
>
> dance and swerve
>
> Markie will get
>
> What he deserves
>

> Not today,
>
> nor even the morrow
>
> But it WILL happen,
>
> To his great sorrow.

still holding onto that lie

of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
years
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3500. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 05:28:31 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3WF5f.22982$U51.21410@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 121326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Take VK's WIA for example.

Until this year, each state was it's own body with a person from each state representing said state at the Federal level. The Eastern States, with more money, assets and power had started to effect the other states. Individual hams used to belong to thiner state division only, with each state division then having representation at a Federal level. Now every ham who joins the WIA has voting rights with the WIA.

For example, in the past if I was a WIA Member and wanted something changed it had to go through the VK3 WIA Council who then (if they wanted to that is) proposed it at the next Federal meeting. Now, I can put an item on the agenda of any WIA meeting and have my say.

Thanks to many dedicated hams with a vision, this was scrapped last year and a new WIA was formed. Thanks to them ham radio may survive well into the 21st century. Now each state simply has an advisory committee with no real power over amateur radio, other than advising the National WIA. Many states transferred their assets to the new National body, but those that like playing politics and who are on power trips said "no" we aren't giving our state's money to help out another state. It seems those formerly in power in VK3 & VK2 don't want to help hams in say, VK8.

In the past, VK2WIA and VK3WIA held most of the country assets and really controlled the WIA, with the other states missing out. In VK2 and VK3 we had people (VK's will know whom I mean) who were much more interested in controlling assets and playing politics than promoting amateur radio. In VK2 and VK3 they were more interested in keeping their assets (buildings, towers etc) than forming a National WIA. Now that other states have closed up their WIA Divisions, their funds were transferred to the National WIA. But not in VK2 or VK3 where those who used to run the Divisions wanted to keep control, so whilst VK6, VK7, VK1, all now gave up their power and money, the future politicians in VK2 and VK3 decided to keep their assets seperate.

Why all states didn't transfer their funds to the National WIA is simply becuase their are power-hungry future politicians in VK2 and VK3 and din't want their funds to say, pay for a new repeater in Alice Springs.

Now, VK3WIA is simply "Amateur Radio Victoria" and VK2WIA is "AR NSW" who like to think they are still a powerful force, but in reality are simply now building and tower owners who run a QSL buro.

The original idea of the new WIA was to simply have local clubs and one national WIA, with the clubs being affiliated with the WIA and providing former State division services. Every state except VK2 & VK3 disbanded their former WIA State Division and transferred all to the National WIA. So now in VK2 or VK3 you have clubs, a pretend state division and the National WIA.

One hopes that many hams will join the National WIA and local clubs as these former state divisions serve no real purpose to amateur radio anymore, some just wish they did.

Other states WIA sensibly chose to transfer their assets to the new National WIA and now cease to exist. (VK6WIA for instance, has closed down and given control to the National WIA, as has VK7, VK1). But in NSW (VK2)and Vic (VK3) it was too much for those with political aims to transfer their assets to the National WIA.

So now, in VK2 and VK3 we have two bodies who were former WIA State Divisions which are now nothing more than a radio club.

I have only been licensed since January, but watching these changes as they occur.

Do the maths. Every other President and Committee in every other Aussie State said "Yes we will disbanded and form the new national WIA" (hams interested in the betterment of amateur radio in VK) but the President and Committee of VK2 & VK3 said "We will join...but we won't be closing ourselves down or transferring assets to the National WIA, we'll just change our name" (hams training to be future politicians.

So whilst the ARRL may not be what everyone wants or needs, it IMHO would be better to keep it and effect changes from within.

An recent example of VK3 wishing it still was a powerful WIA branch can be seen in a recent article by it's President, who stated that the new Standard Licencees (former Novices) would not be allowed to use SSTV when the regulations change. Yet, the new regulations now clearly state "Any emission mode with a bandwidth <8kHz". Which means we can use SSTV now. You'd think the President of AR Victoria would get the facts before making such statements on AR Newsline!

This was misinformation from the "President?" of AR Victoria. Seems he was more interested in making false statements about SSTV than promoting amateur radio.

The ARRL is pretty good, all thing considered.

I am a member of two radio clubs and the WIA, but hell will freeze over* before I join AR Victoria and would encourage any VK hams to join the WIA and a local club, not a state-based division as they no longer have any real power (ie they don't deal with 'our FCC' (ACMA) anymore on a state-by-state basis, it's done at a National level by the WIA)

PS. The VK Foundation Licence and new amateur regs are now in effect.
an_old_friend wrote:

*I'd join up only to get voting rights, then transfer all to the
National WIA and close down AR Vic, as the other states of done.

So the hams who used to run VK6, VK1, VK7, VK4, VK5 I say thank you for
handing over your decision-making power, assets et al to the National
WIA a big thanks and well done for giving up power. Obviously you guys
aren't on a power trip.

> Morris wrote:
>
>>ARRL membership is declining but not due to Glenn Baxter a/k/a AARA
>>a/k/a IARN. Baxter has made only one contribution to ham radio: He has
>>legitimized information bulletins put out by stations other than W1AW.
>>
>>His other boasts are the sheer fantasy of a guy with a Radio Shack tape
>>recorder fancying himself another CNN.
>>
>>Up to now, I have not been an ARRL supporter, but I think current
>>circumstances make membership in ARRL mandatory for all of us. That's
>>because the hobby/service has never before been under this much siege
>>on account of the escalating computer revolution.
>>
>>Whatever you say about the ARRL -- self serving promotion, stacked
>>elections, censorship of views with which it disagrees -- it is, in
>>fact, the only institution positioned to help our hobby/service survive
>>intact.
>>
>>Today it has my vote and soon my membership dollars. Rather than tilt
>>against ARRL, I believe it is time to work within the organization to
>>make it the democratic institution we'd all like to see.
>
>
> ask Carl about that
>
>>Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>
>

3501. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 06:18:28 UTC
From: WhinyCunt_Woger <NoBalls@Glendale.up>
Message-ID: <dj7cnu$l5a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

>
> then you expose yet another lie on your part

No, by you being so defensive, I'd say I spoke the truth.

>
> give it up while you are behind

You should just kill yourself, Marky, save the gene pool from any
contamination by your defective DNA.
.....

Not to mention the cesspool Wogie's DNA was scraped from....

--
"Most men stumble over the truth, but Roger Wiseman picks himself up and
hurrries off as if nothing ever happened."

3502. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 06:19:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <vldel1t2ae7l48jjh0bfdgi1tun5ojdo20@4ax.com>
Source record: 121328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 19 Oct 2005 05:12:41 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Bernie Bignose wrote:
>> (bullshit cut)
>>
>> ...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
>> hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.
>
>He is worried, his home address is
>
cuting for the sake of decent and civl behavoir

How do you function with this obvious need of your to try and make
trouble for folks

to show gee at another misforune

by the Gods I hope you are just one the non ham posers

I must thank you though you do make it so much easier for me to claim
that there plenty of regulars far worse than anything I can manage

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3503. Re: ahem 56 days later Re: day 28 of Stevie's action MIA now 71 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 06:22:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fsdel1lklvr12vtuf5oa22kbodbuc44pdl@4ax.com>
Source record: 121329

On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

simple question but stevie will contiue to lie

>simple question keep ducking it
>
>when you going to admit your lies?
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> a simple question Stevie: when you going to admit that you lack the
>> power to any action taken against me by the state of michigan?
>>
>> It is clear they are not doing naything, indeed they certainly of
>> circular filed or buired in deep file cabinet your letter by now.
>>
>> when you going to admit failure?
>>
>> simple question

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3504. a blast from the past the oldest a Google revaled for me of stevie's he sound almost reasonable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 06:29:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <82eel1d9kt3r6ts8j0fi83cvbifjsmoggo@4ax.com>
Source record: 121330

while stiing waitng for the next files on a work project I looked
around and found this post from Stevie

>Proposed License Package is Reasonable
>erlpn@aol.com Jul 29 1998, 3:00 am
>Hello to All,
>
>
> With any change, there will always be turbulance. I've lived through
>"Incentive Licensing", "No Code", etc, and nay-sayers of each predicted the
>iminent ruination of the Amateur Radio Service. We're still here!
>
>
> Personally, I think the proposal is well balanced, gives something to
>almost everyone, and would be easy to implement. The FCC is going to go the
>way of the majority of comments that it receives, but it will also have to
>consider the cost effectivness of it. Reducing the written elements by two and
>the code test by one means fewer 610's they have to deal with, therefore less
>administrative costs.
>
>
> Amateur radio is passing from being the leading edge of
>radiotelecommunication technology to being an artform.

notice how Stevie agrees here with Len's contention of what the ARS
is

> Fewer people get into
>Ham radio because it's the front door to a career in technology as it once was.

and here

> There's no reason for having essentially six classes of license. It doesn't
>make any sense.
>
>
>73 de Steve, K4YZ
>Chattanooga, TN
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3505. Re: a blast from the past the oldest a Google revaled for me of stevie's he sound almost reasonable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 06:46:52 UTC
From: Dudly <nomail@nospam.ut>
Message-ID: <dj7ed6$u0f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121331

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:82eel1d9kt3r6ts8j0fi83cvbifjsmoggo@4ax.com...

while stiing waitng for the next files on a work project I looked
around and found this post from Stevie

.....

Hope while you were "stiing" around you found other posts, too....

3506. Re: a blast from the past the oldest a Google revaled for me of stevie's he sound almost reasonable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 06:54:33 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <dofel1t5oekshqu5haje69c8gaucrvtrqr@4ax.com>
Source record: 121332

On Thu, 20 Oct 2005 01:46:52 -0500, "Dudly" <nomail@nospam.ut> wrote:

>
><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:82eel1d9kt3r6ts8j0fi83cvbifjsmoggo@4ax.com...
>
>while stiing waitng for the next files on a work project I looked
>around and found this post from Stevie
>
>.....
>
>Hope while you were "stiing" around you found other posts, too....

yes of course anything I find really interesting 'lll repost
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3507. Len I need a favor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 07:03:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <o5gel1hk5ag24hs66c6mjqhhbesri6d553@4ax.com>
Source record: 121333

found an old post of Stevies
>Feb 17 1999, 4:00 am
>Mark,
>
>
> Reality time, biBoy...
>
>
> >>>>Stevie take your meds please or try and get some. Now you claim to
>know what Len will do at some point in the future.<<<<
>
>
> Mark....$100 US Currency between you and I...Lenorard H. Anderson will NOT
>obtain an Amateur radio license unless presented to him on a siver platter. He
>has no intention of being a "part" of anything. He is creating hate and
>discontent in this NG to sate a psychiatric anomoly that only he can correct,
>and he is enjoying the "stir-the-pot" game that everyone, including you, plays
>up to.

could I presuade you to take and pas the tech licesne test and get it
testified that there was no silver platter involved I could use the
money
>
>
> >>>Further, it will certainly affect Len and everyone else in the
>Nation. The abilities of the ARS affect the nation, other nations
>besides. Remember disater relief? All citizens are affected by the changes, or
>do think that disaters only happen to Hams?<<<
>
>
> Nope, but if you were a part of any disaster relief organization, you
>would know that skilled, trained operators make the difference...not just raw
>numbers of persons who could be able to run out wirh radios. The ARC, the
>Salvation Army, The DoD, Civil Air Patrol, ad nauseum have stood behind the
>"coded" Amateur Service for decades, and that is unlikely to change.
>
>
> The present No-code license more than adequately fills the disaster relief
>niche as the majority of disaster relief activity takes place on V/UHF bands
>already. So what's your point?
>
>
> >>>Strange how in one post you bitch about public apathy, but you are quick
>to jump on a mmeber of the public being activist. You show yourself a hypocrit

>or that you don't belive in freedom or the constitution which is it?<<<
>
>
> What's even stranger is how YOU take disjointed subjects and somehow tie
>them together in one sentence.
>
>
> >>>How does a change in the way I for example might be licensed affect
>your priledges?<<<
>
>
> Because the likelyhood of my being able to conduct a relatively QRM free
>QSO will be greatly reduced with the influx of new operators on the bands. The
>electromagnetic spectrum is a finite resource...you can only put so-many
>stations in so much bandwidth.
>
>
> >>>the only way you are affected is by having to share these bands with
>people you don't like.<<<
>
>
> More hate mongering. Geez you are an idiot, and I thought it was just a
>phase you were passing through.
>
>
> >>>But you have called yourself an elitist.<<<
>
>
> More idiotic ramblings...you call me a hypocrit, then quote something of
>mine as much out of context as you accuse me of doing to you...At least I
>include the whole sentence, at least where you MAKE a sentence
>
>
> >>>Gee you are clearly cliaming superior status and rights. Read your own
>words.<<<
>
>
> Read YOUR words, since you misquote or quote out of context so often that
>anything you post is non-sequitor.
>
>
> >>>>Now Steve there you are again simply wrong. I am not superior to Len,
>nor am I in any way inferior to you except in the preveldges of our
>lincenses.<<<
>
>
> Oooops...be careful Mark...You might make something that remotely sounds
>like what I have been saying all along but you and Anderson refuse to accept or
>acknowledge. That we are all the same save for the privileges our specific
>licenses extend to us.

>
>
> >>Now it is possible that you are not claiming that Extras are superior
>just Hams, which is a still a bigoted and elitist statement,
>just not the one Len is accusing you of<<<
>
>
> What an idiotic statement. I have been saying for MONTHS that all Hams
>are just that...Hams...some of us have more operating priviledges based upon
>what we have accomplished in the licensing process, and that no one is
>"superior" to anyone.
>
>
> >>You are always trying to have both ways yourself. You question his
>qualifacations and bitch when trots them out<<<
>
>
> More idiocity. I have on numerous occassions stated that he is no doubt
>an accomplished engineer. His failure is to utilize that accomplishment in a
>constructive manner. He humiliates, demeans and antagonizes other human beings
>for having accomplished something he himself has not, yet he has it at his
>disposal to do so.
>
>
> Can you imagine the positive impact someone like Anderson could have if he
>were to share that knowledge with some neighborhood kid and introduce them to
>the positive aspects of Amateur radio?! Of ANY aspect of electronics?!
>
>
> Instead he wastes his time in here rying to create more hate and
>discontent to salve whatever traumatic event that has occured in his life that
>made him so bitter. The problem is that people who displace thier anger and
>anxieties do not heal. They worsen. There is no closure of the event that
>caused the harm.
>
>
> >>>One wether or not CW is a favored mode is not a relavant point.<<<
>
>
> Let me get this right, Mark...CW being or NOT being a favored mode is NOT
>relevant, yet RRAP exisits for the purpose of debating its continued existence.
> That makes a LOT of sense!
>
>
> >>>Two 72 percent of US ham surveyed rarely or never use it. that would
>certainly indicate you can be a ham without CW.<<<
>
>
> What portion of that 72 percent "rarely" uses it though, Mark? If 72% of
>that 72% IS using it, even rarely, that still means that only 18% never use

>it...clearly a minority and a statistic Gallup would choke on laughing.
>
>
> It proves NOTHING as far as being a Ham goes...the Codeless Technician had
>already proven that. It only means that a minority of Hams with HF priviledges
>never use the Code they learned, and is not a valid figure, unless the poll is
>reconfigured to determine what percent NEVER use it. Never and RARELY are not
>synonymous.
>
>
> >>>Three, So what if DXpedititons tend to focus on the mode, unless you
>back to claiming that Chasing DX should be the be all and end all of the
>ARS.<<<
>
>
> Your point would be more valid if "chasing DX" were the ONLY point. It is
>not. Otherwise I would agree.
>
>
> >>>No it is a myth becuase it is a myth, assuming it is used everyday
>(someday I neither confirm nor deny) so what, why does that stauts mean that it
>must be learn in order to have acess to HF<<<
>
>
> Because right now, February 16th, 1999, it is the law of the land,
>therefore NOT a myth. Cyclops is a myth. Having to take a Morse Code
>examination is the law...a reality.
>
>
> >>>Now the exam is hefty? You have been bitching for months about what a
>joke all the written tests are. Can't you make up your minds? Or is it more
>basic than even than that?<<<
>
>
> I said *I* had to take a hefty exam. And I did. I took it in the Long
>Beach, CA FCC Office in 1979. And you weren't allowed to bust the exam, but
>come back in an hour and try again. If you busted it, you had to wait 30 days
>to take the same element.
>
>
> >>gee according to you everybody just memorizes them in an horu or two<<
>
>
> I never said that. I said people were just memorizing the test. *I* never
>said an "hour or two"
>
>
> >>>Indeed so what. You chose to learn Morse Code at that speed, that was
>your choice. Why do insist on making those choices for the rest of us?<<<
>

>
> I didn't "learn" it at that speeed. That came with practice. I learned
>at 5 wpm like everyone else. The speed came later.
>
>
> >>>What? If No Ham ticket has status then how on earth do you justfy your
>attacks on Len for commenting on the ARS? You seem to think Ham tickets do
>carry some status, but you can't seem to make up your mind just what that
>status is<<<
>
>
> Since you claim a 248IQ but don't know who Walter Mitty was...I guess the
>phrase "Never criticize a man until you've walked a mile in his shoes mean".
>Lennie doesn't even have his socks on.
>
>
> >>>Another point is that as an Extra, you will never have to take another
>test to maintian your prevliedges.but you are quick to say
>what I must do in order to gain a license with access to HF.<<<
>
>
> You and Lennie make hay out of the idea that with NO license he has every
>right to comment on the license requirements, yet you somehow insinuate that
>because I will not be required to take anymore tests that *I* am not allowed to
>comment on the very same issues?
>
>
> That makes YOU as every bit guilty of the very same attempt to "silence"
>MY views on the subject as you accuse me!
>
>
> >>>You can be an engineer if pass the classes and take the right classes
>for that matter.<<<
>
>
> No kidding?! And for $6 and change the Licenseless One could be one of
>us and eliminate over 50% of the flames in here...but that's not likely to
>happen either!
>
>
> >>>>You are the venom filled one. Len sounds reasonably happy, it is you
>that seems rather unhappy<<<
>
>
> Opinions vary.
>
>
> >>>Yoiu first posting here were merely
>condeseding you went to to flaming and trollishness real fast<<<
>

>
> You mean the one right after you called me a "lier" for MY opinions, and
>Lennie started in on how since I was an Extra, regardless of my post that Iwas
>an "elitist"? Andersonian Pot/Kettle syndrome. Better get to your allergist
>real quick, Mark, before it manifests!
>
>
> >>>Really yet you continue to question wether that prowess means he might
>knwo what he was taking about, a subtle difference I think Len has picked up
>on.<<<
>
>
> I never doubted his knowledge as a technician, Mark...just his ulterior
>motives for being such a hate-mongerer.
>
>
> >>>Why can't people keep there flames Public?<<<
>
>
> Because they were trying to extend you a personal courtesy of not
>embarrasing you in public. But since you have declared your preference for
>Submissiveness, we'll be more than glad to indulge you at whatever opportunity
>you provide.
>
>
>Steve
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3508. another interesting find about Stevie and his beliefs about marriage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 08:03:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <omjel15bjidom2am0j4sgt27u6kuivfpds@4ax.com>
Source record: 121334

```
>Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>rec.aviation.homebuilt
a post from Stevie
>> There are worse ways to die, you know..
>
>
>Living death...marriage
>Steve Robeson,LPN
>Amateur Call K4YZ
>Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays

equating Marriage with living death what a hoot
```


```
_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3509. another blast Stevie accuses NCI of ploting HIS murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 08:16:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <rdkel1hr4q5u8slnq7djakmqo4786a3lib@4ax.com>
Source record: 121335

>Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
>Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
>Cecil,
>
>
> >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
>is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>
>
> You and I.
>
>
>73
>
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>Chattanooga, TN

I realy got a hoot out of finding that one

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3510. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 08:56:47 UTC
From: Letitia <nomail@nospam.ut>
Message-ID: <dj7m56$9vb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1129688631.225853.188470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
If you don't stop these nasty posts, the lawyers in Mr. Davies' family
are gonna take you to court and pump your checking account.

Don't say you weren't warned.
//////////////////////

Punky Wogie has no lawyers, Nor does he have any brains.

The Little Shit whines and hides in dark corners.

It is what Mental Miscreants do....

3511. Mark Morgan Accused Of Another Molestation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 09:24:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129800268.766029.292980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121337

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
> >Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
> >Cecil,
> >
> >
> > >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
> >
> > You and I.
> >
> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one

"really"

You have too much time on your hands, Markie.

Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?

Steve, K4YZ

3512. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 09:29:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129800558.371960.251240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121338

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Oct 19, 6:31 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> John Kasupski wrote:
>

> >> Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of
> >> alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how
> >> many "hi-fi"
> >> folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and > >> later.
> >> Lots of other examples.
>
> Change "1940s" for 'late 1950s' for that "Williamson." :-)

You are mistaken, Len.

The original articles by DTN Williamson appeared in the spring of 1947.
They outlined both the theory of an improved design and a practical
design that could be (and was) built by many audiofiles.

You can read the original 1947 articles online at:

http://www.dc-daylight.ltd.uk/Valve-Audio-Interest/Articles-for-the-web/Williamson-Amplifier-1947/Williamson-WW-April-1947.html

http://www.dc-daylight.ltd.uk/Valve-Audio-Interest/Articles-for-the-web/Williamson-Amplifier-1947/Williamson-WW-May-1947.html

Perhaps you meant the Ultra-Linear circuit, which came later.

> Having been in that area as a hobbyist and
> once a suscriber to
> Audio Engineering magazine in the 1950s, "hi-fi"
> was about the
> ONLY area (other than ham radio) for hobbyists of the 50s.

Really?

Radio control of models was being done by UHF cb as long ago as 1948.
"SWLing" was one reason so many general-coverage receivers were built.
Electronic music (as opposed to music reproduction) was on the scene
with theremins, electronic organs and electric guitars - which led to
synthesizers in the 1960s.

The electronic hobby magazines like Popular Electronics and Electronics
Illustrated in that era had no shortage of projects that were neither
amateur radio nor "hi-fi".

> >Maybe people who are interested in radio would go
> >into a radio type
> >hobby, and people who are interested in other
> >things would be doing
> >other things. Simple sort of concept.
>
> If you re-write "radio type" into
> "electronic type" you would get
> a different picture of the three decades from 1975 to now.

Why would anyone rewrite what Mike wrote?
>
> > Or of course we could assume that the Morse code test was what kept
> >people from being hams, and then try to explain away why the first batch
> >of Hams who didn't have to take a code test are the group that comprises
> >the biggest part of the recent drop-off? Seems a strange conclusion.
>
> "Recent" drop-off? :-) The number of U.S. licensed amateurs has
> been steadily shrinking for two years.

That's recent, compared to the long period of growth that preceded it.

> Not much of a shrinkage
> but nowhere close to keeping up with the population increase.

Just like in the 1960s. Yet after the "incentive licensing" changes,
the growth picked up again. There were folks back then
who said amateur radio was dying out, and that the "incentive
licensing" changes would kill it....

> Despite the snarling denial of amateur morsemen,

"snarling denial"? You're the chief snarler hear, Len ;-)

> the no-code-test
> Technician class license added about 200 thousand
> new licensees
> to the U.S. amateur radio database since it began.

> Without them
> there would have been NO peak of numbers in July, 2003, and > the
> total numbers would have SHRUNK before the new millennium was
> entered.

You seem to be saying that if it weren't for that license class, none
of those people would have become hams. Yet in the 1980s the number of
US hams increased by about 200,000 even though all US amateur radio
license classes required a code test.

> Never mind the "lumping of no-coders with code-tested
> techs" happening after Restructuring, the tabulations
> elsewhere
> show that the 200K additions by NO-CODERS actually happened > BEFORE Restructuring.

And now they're all mixed up.
>
> >>>A Timex-Sinclair 1000 could be had for
> >>>around $50, an Atari or Commodore 8-bit computer could be had for a
> >>>fraction of what ham rigs cost (since Heathkit and many other kit
> >>>manufacturers vanished around this time period as well).
>
> [a regretable time shift there...were NO Sinclair models in 1975]

Tell it to John Kasupski.

> As of 17 Oct 05, 48.57% of all individual U.S. amateur radio
> licensees were Technicians...

How does that percentage compare with what it was in 2000 and 2003,
Len?

> MOST of them not having taken any
> code tests.

How many?

> Guess they don't count, huh? :-)

They're all counted in my twice-a-month postings of the number of
current unexpired individual licenses. The total number of Technicians
and Technician Pluses has dropped by about 15,000 since the 2000
restructuring - that's more than the entire 'shrinkage' of all the
other license classes combined. Given that the Novice and Advanced
classes are no longer issued, they are bound to shrink...
>
> So far on WT Docket 05-235, the number of filings in only three
> months averages 866 per month. On WT Docket 98-143 (Restructuring)
> they averaged less than 205 per month over an 11-month period.

So?

Back in the 1960s, the "incentive licensing" proposal generated more
than 6000 comments to FCC, even though the number of US hams was only
about 40% of what it is today, and practically all commentary was by US
mail.

> Guess the morse code test is "unimportant" and, since PCs are
> "only used for surfing the net," it doesn't have any
> impact on
> input to the FCC, right? :-)

Who said that? Not me.

And note that by your own unchecked-for-accuracy comment count, the
comments are almost evenly balanced between retention of at least some
code testing and total elimination.

3513. Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 09:31:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129800661.907743.160440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121339

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
> >rec.aviation.homebuilt
> a post from Stevie
> >> There are worse ways to die, you know..
> >
> >
> >Living death...marriage
> >Steve Robeson,LPN
> >Amateur Call K4YZ
> >Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
> >NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays
>
> equating Marriage with living death what a hoot

Uh huh.

Me and every stand up comedian in the US, Markie. Better start
"busting the chops" of David Letterman, Jay Leno, etc etc etc...

Oh...And the Subject line?

Well, it was about as truthful as anything YOU were saying.

Steve, K4YZ

3514. Re: a blast from the past the oldest a Google revaled (revealed) for me of stevie's (Stevie's) he sound almost reasonable (More reasonable than Mark, at least.....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 09:38:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129801100.477713.198720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121340

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> while stiing waitng for the next files on a work project I looked
> around and found this post from Stevie
>
> >Proposed License Package is Reasonable
> >erlpn@aol.com Jul 29 1998, 3:00 am
> >Hello to All,
> >
> >
> > With any change, there will always be turbulance. I've lived through
> >"Incentive Licensing", "No Code", etc, and nay-sayers of each predicted the
> >iminent ruination of the Amateur Radio Service. We're still here!
> >
> > Personally, I think the proposal is well balanced, gives something to
> >almost everyone, and would be easy to implement. The FCC is going to go the
> >way of the majority of comments that it receives, but it will also have to
> >consider the cost effectivness of it. Reducing the written elements by two and
> >the code test by one means fewer 610's they have to deal with, therefore less
> >administrative costs.
> >
> >
> > Amateur radio is passing from being the leading edge of
> >radiotelecommunication technology to being an artform.
>
> notice how Stevie agrees here with Len's contention of what the ARS
> is

No, I don't.

Lennie (and you, for that matter) have argued that Amateur Radio
is anything BUT "artform" in communications. And with the removal of
the manual skill of Morse Code, what was left will fade even furhter
away.

> > Fewer people get into
> >Ham radio because it's the front door to a career in technology as it once was.
>
> and here

Nope...STILL wrong.

Lennie argues that Amatur Radio itself does not produce the
technology...

My reference was to persons seeking to start a career towards electronics.

Again and again, Markie, YOUR lack of English comprehension skills scuttles your rant.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

3515. Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 09:49:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129801783.499390.140820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121341

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie

Lie about what?

Steve, K4YZ

3516. Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 09:56:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129802181.956071.175860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121342

more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
> > > starting out with
> > > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
> > >
> > > he follows up wit
> >
> > "with"
> >
> > > >k4yz
> > > >> nobodys old friend
> > > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> > > >> are not laws
> > >
> > > > Sure they are.
> > >
> > > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
> >
> > "maintain"
> >
> > It's not a lie.
>
> it is a lie

Nope.

And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
it be true, Markie.

> > > why can't he just fees up
>
> cuting Stevie evasion

"cutting", Markie...

two "t's"

Like the REST of the English speaking world.

> > Sheeesh.
> >
> > > but then after about 90 he has not admited even to himself that he
> > > lacks any evidence that I should be commited dispite claiming to sent
> > > such to the state of michigan

> >
> > "committed" "Michigan"
> >
> > Dip and doddle
> >
> > dance and swerve
> >
> > Markie will get
> >
> > What he deserves
> >
> > Not today,
> >
> > nor even the morrow
> >
> > But it WILL happen,
> >
> > To his great sorrow.
>
> still holding onto that lie

What lie?

> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
> years

I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.

And repeating THAT over and over does not make it true, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

3517. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 10:25:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129803954.947799.82180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121343

kb9rqz@yahoo.com, yet another of the multiple screen names Mark uses in
order to defeat Googles posting limits wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 22:07:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqzLikesBoyz@yahoo.com
> >> On 19 Oct 2005 21:47:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >molested_by_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > KØHB wrote:
> >> >> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > for an occasion we find ourselfs in absolute agreement
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "occassion" "ourselves"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> >> >> > are not laws
> >> >> >
> >> >> Sure they are.
> >> >>
> >> >> once again no they are not laws
> >> >
> >> > Sure they are.
> >> >
> >> you are just plain wrong
> >
> > Nope.
>
> of you are plain wrong

"of you are plain wrong"

YOU are a plain idiot, Mark...Your (non-existant) English skills
bear that out.

> cuting arep

"cutting"...And I'll be darned if I know what 'arep' was supposed
to be.

> >> >> not moving off till you deal with the facts
> >> >
> >> > I could care less WHERE you move to, Markie.
> >>
> >> then why do stalk me to keep tabs on it
> >
> > I don't stalk you.
>
> you surely do

Nope.

> so we calk up anoth lie

"chalk" "another"

No, we can't. But we CAN "chalk up" another "F" in English for
you.

> > I don't need to.
>
> My goodness a true statement from Stevie I may die of the shock

You've got several forms of it to die from, Markie...

> > If I wanted to find you, I'd follow the trail of dead flies.......
>
> back to bull#### I see

Back to proifanity, I see.

> My heart thanks you
>
> >
> >> >> rules and regs are NOT laws, that is a fact
> >> >
> >> > I don't care WHAT you think, Markie.
> >>
> >> proof of yet another Stevie lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes it is

No, it's not.

> >> if you did not care you would not bother
> >
> > Sure I would.
>
> no you would not

Sure I would.

> if it were for the statement I noted a up the post a bit id say you
> can't tell the turth

What's a "turth"...?!?!

> you care intensely what I what I write what I think you prove it over
> and over again

Was that a sentence?

> >> >..Congress delegated thier
> >> >responsibilities to regulate radio to the FCC in the Communications
> >> >Act.
> >>
> >> and you are just wrong
> >
> > Nope.
>
> ah I see you enaged in spin

What's "enaged"...?!?!

> > Break out the Act, PaganBoy.
>
> but the FCC still does not make laws

Sure they do.

Willfully violate some part of Part 97 and see what happens,
Markie.

Ask Glenn Baxter what it's gotten him...

> >> nobody but nobody can make laws except the Congress with the sigiture
> >> of the President (or by overiding his veto)
> >
> > "signature"
> >
> > The Congress.
>
> only the congress

"Congress"

NOT "only the congress"...As witnessed below:

> > State legislatures. Commonwealth senates. State,
> >County, City, Burgh, Village and Township boards can make laws.
>
> but not concerning Radio

But in seven exchanges, that's NOT what you've said...

You said "ONLY CONGRESS" can make laws. It's not true.

And local communities CAN make laws that pertain to YOUR radio
station.

Erect a tower that's 61 feet high where the city says you're only
allowed 60 feet, Markie.

> > And they too, just like Congress did with the FCC, delegate some of
> >thier responsibilites to a subordinate agency under thier control.
>
> responiblities yes you are right
>
> but not the power to make laws

> >> learn your facts
> >
> > I laready have.
>
> "laready"
>
> losing it spelling cop?

Let's see....

Markie: 16,377 typos.

Steve: 2

OK...You win.

> but you have not
>
> you still tell the lie that the FCC and the ARRL have the power to
> make US law

No...

YOU are the one lying, Markie.

And again YOU try to put words in my keyboard...Again with the
ARRL...?!?!

I have NOT, at any time in this discourse, mentioned the ARRL
except to point out your deceit...

> >> >> i know you don't care about facts you have proven over and over agian
> >> >
> >> > Uhhhhhhhhh...If >>I<< have "proven" them of COURSE I care about
> >> >them!
> >>
> >> if you had maybe
> >
> > Hey Markie...It was YOUR butchered English that I got to take
> >advantage of!
>
> yes you took the cheap shot

Geeze, Morgan...You only leave 100 opportunities in every post you
make...It's not like it's a new experience for you.

> fairer than you normaly are but still a cheap shot

"normally"

No...it wasn't a "cheap shot".

YOU were the one making yet another error.

> >> but you never bother to prove much of anything
> >
> > Sure I have.
>
> name something

That you are a mental health patient.

That you're NOT a veteran, least of all a "Colonel"

That I was once active in not one, not two, but all three branches
of MARS at one time or another.

There's three.

> > That you refuse to accept it is beyond my control.
>
> another true stament you are tryng to kill me by inducing shock aren't
> you

There are many forms I can induce.

And by your acknowledgement here, YOU have stated that I HAVE told
the truth, I HAVE "name(d) something", and that it's simply a matter of
your refusal to accept the truth.

Guess it's ME in shock, Markie.

> >> the fact is you don't care about facts
> >
> > Sure I do.
>
> no you don't

Sure I do.

> > And it's a fact that you're an idiot.
>
> wrong again

Nope.

You proved it throughout this entire exchange.

Thanks.

> >> > Idiot!
> >> >
> >> >> cuting the bull**** that follows
> >> >
> >> > "cutting"
> >> >
> >> > Then you're announcing your intention to inflict harm upon
> >> >yourself?
> >>
> >> no
> >>
> >> recuting the bull####
> >
> > "re-cutting"
> >
> > Replacing that which Mark C. Morgan is too cowardly to address.
> recuting yet again

Replacing once again.

Wassmatta, Mark? Just can't bring yourself to address the issues?

YOU were the one that wanted an "on topic" thread, yet YOU are
then one who keeps "cuting" the ONLY "on-topic" part of the exchanges
out!

Pretty much sets the stage for proving ME right...Again!

QUOTE

> one is number of folks here and that Includes me) want to operate 6 AM
> without hearing from DX

OK...Leave your coax plugged into an dummy load...(No, not
him...the 50 ohm one under the desk...)

If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
them. Otherwise you're at the whim of the Propagation God, and he
doesn't give a hoot who you want to hear from or not. When six meters
is open, it's open...When it's not, it's not.

If you want to just "work the locals", select one of the 2M or
70cm coordinated simplex channels. There's more than enough and you're

far enough away from any major city so as to not have to "deal" with
the DX.

> another is some arguement over FSATV here north of the "A" Line which
> cuts off the bottom of the band where FSATV hangs out in most places

So have the guys who live north of the line use the higher
channels to transmit on and below the line use the lower...

Problem solved.

> a thrid issue is some of the local are not happy about the 222
> bandplan, not quite sure yet what the beef is , all I do know is that
> it has something to do with the fact we can reach Canada on that Band
> fairly often. Indeed I manage a 222 MHZ FM simplex sked most weeks
> with ham in Thunder Bay Ont

The beef is that we gave away the lower 2Mhz.

> I know it is possible to adpot local variants.it would nice nice to
> know how to go about it. One could just do as we please and more than
> likely no one will notice.

ta-DAAAAAAAAAAH! Just make sure you're not interfering with any
users who ARE in compliance with the bandplan and you have no problem.

> I don't like that "solution" as I know it
> encourages chaos and if we start ignoring the bandplans in VHF then HF
> bandplans are real danger. what I am looking for is an alternitive, a
> way to deal with local within the struture so we can encourage
> continued adherence to bandplans by making them fit us where we can,
> and at VHF and up we can do this in real terms

Know how I avoid this problem...?!?!

I start off any transmission that MIGHT cause interference with...

"Is this frequency in use...?!?!"

Works just as well above 50Mhz as it does below it...

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

3518. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 10:37:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129804622.714843.68190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121344

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > > >
> > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > > thread?
> > >
> > > Why?
> > >
> > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> >
> > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
>
> But...
>
> but.....
>
> but.....
>
> BRAIN!
>
> You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
>
> So what's the deal?
>
> Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?

Len is on-topic far more often than you.

> Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> "everyone else" considers proper?

Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
in itself a lie.

"Thier's" not enough time in the day to discuss your antisocial
behavio[u]r.

> Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or

Or what? Finish up whatever thought you might have been having.

> > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> > >
> > > Why?
> > >
> > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> >
> > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
>
> Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!

You're certainly not.

> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Brainie make funnie!

Steve got caught and embarassed, laughs at hisself.

> > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > > >
> > > > Sheesh!
> > >
> > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
> >
> > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
>
> You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> you.

"back"

Sorry Steve, it's your excrement that you smear across the net.

> Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
>
> Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> follow.
>
> I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.

So stop lying and try to be a little less antisocial.

> > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > > shoe DOES fit...

> >
> > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> > > >
> > > "summon", Brain.
> > >
> > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
> >
> And you weren't bright enough to fix it.
> >
> OK.

See the quotations???

> > > > Are they Pagans?
> > > >
> > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
> > >
> > Ah! Another Pagan.
> >
> How do you figure?
> >
> > Are you gay, too?
> >
> No, why do you ask?

You always link being Pagan and gayness together.

Dispicable comments snipped.

3519. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 10:53:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129805583.186351.152520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121345

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>
> >On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>Leo wrote:
> >>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> >>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >>If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a
> >>problem in the first place.
>
> Ahem...this is a "preconditioning" artificiality
> of "reasons."
> [akin to the "do you still beat your wife?" question]

Show us how that works, Len.

One of the reasons repeatedly given for the elimination of
the code test is that it is supposedly a "barrier" to "otherwise
qualified people" who would bring "fresh, new blood" and *growth* to
amateur radio.

Were all those people wrong?

> "Growth in numbers" is not a raison d'etre
> for the elimination
> or retention of the code test.

Tell that to NCI...

> The lack of love and worship
> of morsemanship should be enough.

IOW, since *you* don't have a high regard for Morse Code
skills, there should be no test...
>
> >Another view would be that it was a problem that is being
> >fixed way too late to repair the damage.

Maybe. But I don't think so.

> >Amateur Radio was a very popular hobby back
> >when you and I were kids

I don't know when Leo was a kid, but I know that when I
got my ham license in 1967 at the age of 13, there were only about
a quarter-million US hams - less than 40% of today's total. The US
population back then was a lot more than 40% of what it is today.

-
> >today, there are too many other far-more-glamorous things
> >competing with it.

Such as?

There was all sorts of competition when I was a kid, too.

>
> One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was
> the USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
> any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form
> needed for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with
> radio control). Excellent in large urban areas before the
> offshore products appeared about four years later and the
> trucking industry started buying them.

But what happened after that? You stopped the story at the most
important part, Len.

27 MHz cb was pretty well behaved at first. But by the mid 1960s
that service had big problems with rules violations. When the oil
embargoes of the early 1970s hit, cb became a major tool for truckers
and others to avoid law enforcement of the 55 mph speed limit, weigh
stations, etc.

The use of radio to intentionally violate local, state or federal law
is clearly a violation of the Communications Act.

Other violations (unlicensed operation, "shooting skip", failure to
identify, use of power far above that authorized for the service)
became more the rule than the exception on 27 MHz cb. Indeed, some
began to use frequencies near but outside the authorized cb channels,
including the 10 meter amateur band.

Was cb still "excellent" in the 1970s, Len?

IMHO, one of the main reasons for that behavior was the lack of any
sort of license test for a cb permit.

> Since 1958 we've all seen the appearance of communications
> satellites making live international TV a reality, watched
> the first men on the moon in live TV, seen the first of the
> cellular telephones, cordless telephones become a part of our
> social structure, CDs replacing vinyl disks for music, DVDs
> that replaced VHS, "Pong" growing from a cocktail bar game
> to rather sophisticated computer games (in their own
> specialized enclosures), digital voice on handheld
> transceivers
> for FRS (in the USA) unlicensed use, Bluetooth appliances for

> cell phones, the Internet (only 14 years old) spreading
> throughout most of the world and mail-order over the 'net
> becoming a standard thing that built Amazon.com into a money-
> maker of huge proportions. Besides the already-available
> "text messaging" and imaging over cell phones, look for even
> more startling developments in that now-ubiquitous pocket
> sized appliance.
>
> My wife got a new cell phone before we left on a 5000 mile
> trip to Wisconsin and back. All along I-15, I-80, I-5 that
> cell phone worked just fine inside the car, wife getting
> her e-mail forwarded from AOL, then making several calls for
> new reservations (we changed routes coming back) at motels,
> getting voice mail from the cat sitter service, calling to
> her sister and niece in WA state from Iowa. Emergency
> comms through 911 service is now possible along highways,
> even in the more remote parts of Wyoming, Utah, or Nevada.

That's nice, Len. But how well did the cell phone work away from the
major interstates?

>
> >I would think that the vast majority of the folks who are interested
> >in the things that Amateur Radio offers are already a part of the
> >hobby. Adding HF access might broaden the scope of those who did not
> >gain access to HF via morse testing (for whatever reasons) - but to
> >think for a moment that there are legions of wannabe hams who are
> >waiting exitedly for morse testing to be abolished so that they can
> >rush in and get on the air would be foolish.
> >
> >They aren't there.
>
> I think that is a valid observation.
> Had the "revolution" begun
> earlier here, such as prior to the no-code-test Technician
> class (USA) license of 1991, there might have been more
> growth.

Or maybe not. The growth of US amateur radio in the 1980s (without a
no-code-test license or medical waivers) was about the same if not
greater than the growth in the 1990s.

How do you explain that?

> In terms of CODED amateur radio licenses, those license
> numbers
> would have SHRUNK by now without that no-code-test Tech
> class.

How do you know? Would none of those people have gotten a license?

> For over two years there has been a continual reduction in
> the number USA amateur radio licenses.
> The majority of NEW
> licensees
> come in via the no-code-test Tech class but they can't
> overcome
> the EXPIRATIONS of already-granted licenses.

The reduction in the number of Technician and Technician Plus licenses exceeds the total loss.

> It may not be too late to reverse but it will be a formidable
> task to increase the ham license numbers, impossible using
> old cliche'-ridden paradigms.

So what are your new paradigms, Len? Besides "dump the code test"?

Should amateur radio become like cb? No test at all? We've seen how well that worked...

You've predicted a growth of 20% in a few years if the code test goes away. Will you admit you were wrong if the code test goes away and there isn't that much growth?

I doubt it.....

3520. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 11:01:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129806084.308010.97520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121346

```
raped_by_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > wants_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > > > > > thread?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > > > >
> > > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> > > >
> > > > But...
> > > >
> > > > but.....
> > > >
> > > > but.....
> > > >
> > > > BRAIN!
> > > >
> > > > You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
> > > >
> > > > So what's the deal?
> > >
> > > why can't you answer a simple question?
> > >
> > > if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
> > > to evade
> > >
> > > that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
> > > shame about it
> >
> > There's no "evasion"...
>
> yes there is
```

>
> nothing from but evasion

Nope.

But we DO have YOU caught in your OWN mistruths, Markie!

> > Brain has suggested that there's something "wrong" with starting a
> > "new thread". I've pointed out that there's been no "rule" violation,
> > nor is it inconsistent with the desired intent of redirecting my
> > comments to a SEPERATE thread.
> >
> > > > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
> > > >
> > > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> > > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> > > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> > > > "everyone else" considers proper?
> > >
> > > why should I do exactly as you do
> >
> > Exactly my point, ya nimrod.
>
> admiting to lying then

I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!

It was as plain as day!

> > > you lie mosy everyday on here
> >
> > "most"
> >
> > No, I do not.
>
> yes you do
>
> amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws

"Among" "whoppers" "statement"

> > However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
> > blatant lie.
>
> not a word of it was a lie

Sure it was.

> > But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
> > life of me understand why...
>
> aracking up another Stevie there

Huh?

What was THAT?

> > > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> > > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
> > > >
> > > noone said there is such a rule
> > >
> > > no one had suggested a fine
> > >
> > > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
> > > newgruops
> >
> > "across" "newsgroups"
> >
> > I don't.
>
> you do

And you DON'T..?!?!

You "started" five new threads last night, Markie!

> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again

"cutting" "Stevie" "off"

Then you've lied because there was nothing "off topic"...At least
in the way YOU have perverted it!

> > > again why can't deal with an issue where it comes up rather than
> > > bringing up elsewhere again and again
> >
> > Because in MOST cases it simply gets mixed in with other
> > non-related exchanges...Besides...This allows those who do not wish to
> > follow our exchages to avoid them.
>
> not the way you drag everything in to every thread you start

What..?!?!

> > > > > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > > > >
> > > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> > > >
> > > > Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!
> > >
> > > not you ever
> >
> > Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhh!

> >
> > Stop lying!
>
> not lying at all

STOP LYING!

> have hardly told a lie on here in months

You mean MINUTES...That was one right there ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > Brainie make funnie!
> > > >
> > > > > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > > > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sheesh!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > > > > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
> > > > > >
> > > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> > > > >
> > > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> > > > you.
> > > >
> > > nope
> > >
> > Yep.
> >
> nope

yep.

> > > you generate more #### than a herd of bovine
> > >
> > And even MORE lies! Sheesh, Markie!
> >
> that is the absolute truth

Nope.

> > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> > > >
> > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > > > follow.
> > > >
> > > you keep up your lying and threatening of people
> > >

> > What's "threatening" to you is not being able to freely act like
> > an idiot without having it fed back to you.
>
> more lies

Nope. It's the ABSOLUTE truth.

> threat are posts "like one shot one kill"

Still not a threat...Not then...not now...

> and then following up year later by saying you planing on coming here

"planning"

Uh huh. And it's STILL legal for me to do so...

HOWEVER:

I >>NOW<< have YOUR "threat" that is I come to Michigan, that one
of us will be dead, but it won't be you.

That was NOT in respose to some like-worded post.

So...Now we have YOUR violent intent to my legal and
Constitutionally guaranteed right to freedom of movement posted in a
public forum...

> > Tough.
> >
> > > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> > > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
> > >
> > > you have never laid off
> >
> > Oh, MARKIE!
>
> you never have
>
> you were picking on me when I had not posted in RRAP in years

I wasn't "picking" on you...

> > Just this week we've had that VERY discussion!
>
> no you posted a bald faced lie

No, I did not.

> you claimed that calling Mark instead of Markie while calling me a
> Child abuser, pedophile, and elder raper was laying.

I wasn't doing those things.

See, Markie, you are a very confused and very sick "man".

You need help.

> that was a lie

I know you were lying Mark.

> we have not had a discussion in years you and I the God Knows I have
> tried but it does take 2 (or more) to discuss something (although I
> admit the aniemouses are seeming to manage whole dialouges consiting of
> just one person posting)

Oh geeze...

Mark, you have embarked on NUMEROUS tangents lately, all of them
initially sounding like "legitimate" threads, and then as soon as it
goes more than one exchange (sometimes not even that) you're into name
calling and making allegations...

The row over Hans responding to your "sixty meter" question was
"Classic Markie".

YOU canNOT "discuss" anything because in doing so you would
actually expose yourself to learning, and in doing so would find that
all of your previously held "understndings" were wrong or false.

Again the debacle with Hans point that out.

> > Liar liar pants on fire!
>
> grow up

Need some water? A HALON bottle?

> > > > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > > > > > shoe DOES fit...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> > > > >
> > > > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> > > > > you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > > > > follow.
> > > > >
> > > > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just to have you and one of
> > > > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
> > > >
> > > > repeating your lie does not make it true
> > >
> > > It's not a lie.
> >
> > it is lie

Nope...

> > > > > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > > > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "summon", Brain.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And you weren't bright enough to fix it.
> > > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> Nope.
>
> yep

Nope.

> > > Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop
> >
> That's because they can't.
>
> delsuonal Stevie truely you are displying frightening behavoir in that

"Delusional" "truly" "displaying" "behaviour or behavior"

> > > > OK.
> > > >
> > > > > > > Are they Pagans?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > > > > > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Ah! Another Pagan.
> > > > >
> > > > How do you figure?
> > > >
> > > > > Are you gay, too?
> > > >
> > > No, why do you ask?
> > >
> > your fixation on mens genitals for one
> >
> Gee, Markie...It was BRAIN that brought it up...
>
> no it wasn't you go and on about men genitals

Mark, WHY do you BLATANTLY lie like this..?!?!

Anyone following this post KNOWS you are a liar and KNOWS you are
lying about THIS!

> that is why many of us think you a closet gay or latent gay

There's no "us" here for you, Markie...

> > Not me...
> >
> > Since you're so big on what goes on in any given thread, you're
> > invited to scroll back and find ONE place where I said any such
> > thing....
> >
> > > > If you're looking for a date, send an email to "kb9rqz@yahoo.com"
> > > > or any other of the addee's he's using these days to beat the Goggle
> > > > rate filter...
> > > >
> > > > He likes his protein fresh.
> > >
> > > more of your homosexual obbession
> >
> > "obsession"
> >
> > Obvioulsy YOU had yet another comprehension problem.
>
> not at all

Absolutely "at all"

> > It's you and BRAIN who have the "homosexual obbession" issues.
>
> I don't go around investagting what men might be doing with their
> genitals

Sure you do. You've even admitted that YOU engage in WHAT they do
with them.

I at least hope you take a bath first...

Steve, K4YZ

3521. Re: Len I need a favor (You NEED to Pay Attention To What You Write When It Proves Your OTHER Statements To Be Lies, Markie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 11:01:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129804497.440457.56760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121347

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> found an old post of Stevies

We can find a LOT of YOUR old posts...

Where do we begin, COLONEL...?!?!

> >Feb 17 1999, 4:00 am
> >Mark,
> >
> >
> > Reality time, biBoy...
> >
> >
> > >>>>Stevie take your meds please or try and get some. Now you claim to
> >know what Len will do at some point in the future.<<<<
> >
> >
> > Mark....$100 US Currency between you and I...Leonard H. Anderson will NOT
> >obtain an Amateur radio license unless presented to him on a silver platter. He
> >has no intention of being a "part" of anything. He is creating hate and
> >discontent in this NG to sate a psychiatric anomoly that only he can correct,
> >and he is enjoying the "stir-the-pot" game that everyone, including you, plays
> >up to.
>
> could I presuade you to take and pas the tech licesne test and get it
> testified that there was no silver platter involved I could use the
> money

"persuade" "pass" "license"

But...but...but...MARKIE!

Just last month you were bragging about how well off you were!

Guess we've nailed you with yet another one of your own lies,
eh...?!?!

Besides, Markie...that offer was made when Lennie said he was
going to get his "Extra lite out of the box". He never did it...The
"box" has been open several YEARS now.

Steve, K4YZ

3522. Re: another blast Stevie accuses NCI of ploting HIS murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:05:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129809941.173007.237060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121348

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
> >Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
> >Cecil,
> >
> >
> > >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
> >
> >
> > You and I.
> >
> >
> >73
> >
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
> >Chattanooga, TN
>
> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one

It probably doesn't compare to the thrills you think get when you abuse
children, huh, pervert?

3523. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:07:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129810078.955221.229770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 05:12:41 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Bernie Bignose wrote:
> >> (bullshit cut)
> >>
> >> ...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
> >> hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.
> >
> >He is worried, his home address is
> >
> cuting for the sake of decent and civl behavoir

Running away, Marky the child abusing pervert?

> How do you function with this obvious need of your to try and make
> trouble for folks

Just wait, dumbass.

> to show gee at another misforune

Post in English, retard.

> by the Gods I hope you are just one the non ham posers
>
> I must thank you though you do make it so much easier for me to claim
> that there plenty of regulars far worse than anything I can manage

Poor idiot Marky, too stupid to even remember to to breathe.

3524. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:11:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129810287.398945.217460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Lardass posting as a woman his true self Letitia wrote:
> "kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1129688631.225853.188470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> If you don't stop these nasty posts, the lawyers in Mr. Davies' family
> are gonna take you to court and pump your checking account.
>
> Don't say you weren't warned.
> ////////////////////
>
> Punky Wogie has no lawyers, Nor does he have any brains.

Lisping cocksucker Davies hasn't got any lawyers, either, he is so behind on his rent and bills he recently had to sell some of his shitty cheapassed radios. Roger does have a lawyer, dumbass, and he has more brains in his little finger than you ever could get in ten lifetimes, Davies.

> The Little Shit whines and hides in dark corners.

Says Lloydie Austin Davies hiding behind a woman's name, just like when he hid when Oris came knocking on his front door.

> It is what Mental Miscreants do....

You should know, you were committed for a year to a mental institution after beating up your mommy when she caught you stealing money from her purse, Davies.

3525. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:12:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129810352.970867.216230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> >
> > then you expose yet another lie on your part
>
> No,

My dearest fellow underaged child lover,

I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
able to gather quite a collection of it.
I have pictures, VHS tapes, posters, audio recordings, and games based
on child pornography.

I am selling my products (or trading for other child pornography).

I have a complete color catalog of all products now available. You can
purchase pictures (both normal kodak and computer JPEG's). Also, you
can purchase posters, VHS tapes, used boys underwear, and audio
recordings.

There are many products and services. Here are some prices:

Complete Color Catalog (160 pages).....$5.00
100 Pictures Little boys age 7-12.....$9.95
120 Pictures Little boys age 13-17.....$11.95
VHS tape, little boys "Bath Time for Uncle Lloyd".....$49.95
VHS tape, little boys "Happy B-day, Uncle Lloyd".....$49.95
VHS tape, teenage boys "Naked Pizza Party!".....$49.95
Personalized Audio tape.....$2.99

If you have child pornography, preferably little boys ages 7-9, I will
trade or buy them from you. If they are action shots, of an adult with
a little boy having sex, I am willing to trade big, or pay you a lot.
Please write to me for more details. Thanks.

You can send cash, checks, or money orders made out to FUN WITH
CHILDREN.

Please send your order to:

Fun With Children
c/o Uncle Lloyd Davies
710 SOUTH CLINTON STREET #202
ATHENS, ALABAMA 35611
1-256-233-4396 (orders)
1-256-233-5130 (alternative order #)

1-256-232-3000 (alternative order #)
lloyd_vfp@msn.com
n0vfp@bellsouth.net

You can also call in with your credit card numbers, but I can not accept American Express cards. I also accept Pay Pal. Thanks, and hope to hear from you.

3526. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:14:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129810440.972432.284520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

LLoyd Davies posted that to other newsgroups!

3527. To all you A-H's in here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:14:40 UTC
From: Bernie Bignose <poppyseedwcheese@onrye.com>
Message-ID: <7fd17$43578a59$d1cc79c0$32123@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121353

To all you whining assholes in RRAP, you really need
to get back on ham radio. All this crap on Usenet via
keyboards and those pics of middle-aged fat white men on
Picturewizard doing un-natural SEX ACTS (..while amusing)
is really getting old. Y'all need to get back on 75 meters a night
or 20 during the daytime (14.313 with 'ole Dumbass' perhaps?)
so we can have some good ole LIVE entertainment. Perhaps y'all
should try 3.891 with ole Tonmy Toobs and his bunch from up
in the northeast. Now there's a fun bunch that's lookin for some
radio fun sideshow Bob type acts. ;)

3528. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:17:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129810622.956567.241130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > still preaching Hate
> > > > >
> > > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
> > > >
> > > never started
> > >
> > You mean you never stopped.
> >
> no asshole I mean I never started therefore...

No, queer boy, you can't have access to my asshole. You never stopped
being stupid, jackass.

> >
> >
> hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> form this poor freighten child
>
> May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.
>
> May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> Peace
>
> May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human
>
> and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel
>
> So Mote it be

So may you end up crucified, Marky. BWHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

> > > >
> > > > May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.
> > > >

> > > more signs of your sick twisted ahte filled mind
> > ^^^

3529. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:18:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iWL5f.3905$4O1.641@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121355

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 21:47:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> and you are just wrong
>
> nobody but nobody can make laws except the Congress with the sigiture
> of the President (or by overiding his veto)

I'm sure that the legislatures of the fifty U.S. states will be dismayed
to hear your news.

Dave K8MN

3530. Re: To all you A-H's in here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:18:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129810730.911094.43760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121356

Cry, porky, cry.............

3531. Re: I Hate Being Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:22:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129810968.294241.280000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LLoydie Davies wrote:
> I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody likes me. Nobody socializes
> with me and I have no friends.

We know Davies.....Poor, poor, pathetic Lloyd. He has to
respond to his own posts using a fake name. Lloyd, You're a credit to
your profession of village idiot.
You've proven to one and all: you _are_ the classic mentally ill retard
with defective DNA.
You've made it abundantly clear by this action that you, in fact, are
incapable of acting like an adult, nor should be in the company of
adults. As to the issue of "exposing" me: Get real. It's not really a
surprise that you chose to announce to the newsgroup that your meager
intellect is inadequate to the challenge you foolishly entered,
considering the ineptitude you display time and again in the newsgroup,
in particular your total inability to compose even a simple on-topic
article, much less one that doesn't invariably consist of a single
lengthy, tedious and incoherent paragraph consisting of dim-witted,
monosyllabic phrases that translate to "Whine, duh, whine, duh, duh,
whine." Why, I wonder, would you purposely choose to be an
international
laughing stock by your endless public
proclamations of not just your dismissal of, but your utter disdain for
intelligence to the literate of rec.radio.amateur heirarchy newsgroup's
readers and participants (as opposed to the illiterate, maladjusted
trolls with whom you "communicate" [I use the word loosely and with a
great deal of
charity; "grunt" is more likely the better description])? Why, I
wonder,
would you insist upon presenting yourself to the world as an babbling
idiot? One never knows who is reading, does one? More than a few of the
more, let's say, "well-connected" of our readership have already
commented to me about your buffoonishness. You've proven them to be
right, haven't you?
But, hey, if that's what you want them to think, don't let me stop you.

Now run along and play with your "daleks" 32 year old VIRGIN.

3532. I'm a west virginia hick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:27:24 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <6u2fl19j59rera45goap2hs4qgt178hadc@4ax.com>
Source record: 121358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> > >
> > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> >
> > No,
>
> My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
>
> I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
>
Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
hick like me some free porn? I'm having a hard
time paying for my single wide and electricity so
lately I've been beating off on a national
geographic. Some real porn with mens in it would
be really great.

My mom tried to take them away so I cunt kicked
her. The bitch is too afraid of my to press
charges.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3533. My sister is HAWT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:29:37 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <7a3fl117s36kkgpfbbd4upguclap7v0acf@4ax.com>
Source record: 121359

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> LLoyd Davies posted that to other newsgroups!
>
He's my hero. I hope to be just like him someday
after I finally get laid by my sister.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3534. If I only had a brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:33:42 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <fk3fl1dr74j97taser10e9puip0rfr0gbj@4ax.com>
Source record: 121360

I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody
likes me. Nobody socializes
with me and I have no friends. Nobody ever calls
me on the telephone except
for bill collectors, angry Skinheads and the
police.

Everybody says that I am mentally deranged and act
like a twelve year old
juvenile. I am not deranged. I am just enjoying an
extended puberty and
keeping myself entertained by stalking Lloyd
Davies.

I am playing this twelve year old's game, see? I
stalk Lloyd, I use filthy
language and I post the names and addresses of
Hams who piss me off. But I
have it figured out, see? When these guys do the
same to me I accuse them of
stalking me. How ironic is that?

You guys don't understand how lonely it gets here
in Glendale, West
Virginia, especially when a guy like me lives all
alone in a 600 square foot
mansion. I have no social life, no girl friend, no
relatives, so besides a
little self-abuse and my computer, what am I
supposed to do?

And wrong again when you guys tell me I am a
short, stocky and greasy-haired
guy. Five foot five is not short. My hair is not
greasy either. I wash it
every Sunday morning, so there! I also have some
shoe inserts that make me
five foot seven and I can see eye to eye with just
about any sixth grader in
my town. Put that in your pipe and smoke it Marky.

I don't care if you guys don't like me. I don't
care if you make fun of me.
I don't care if you make fun of my spelling. I
know in my own misfiring
little mind that it is Lloyd making all the

trouble for me. I wouldn't be
such a miserable little piece of sh** if it were
not for Lloyd following me
everywhere I go. I am what I am because of Lloyd.

It is Lloyd's fault, I'm telling you! Lloyd!
Lloyd! He is the reason I am
nuts! He is the person driving me over the edge!
He drives me to say and do
the things I do. Don't blame Roger. Blame Lloyd!
Lloyd is everywhere. Can't
you see? Lloyd is in all the newsgroups. He has
sockpuppets. He lurks behind
the counters in the Dollar General stores. He
hides in the bushes across the
street from my house. He even rents motorless
Piper Cubs so he can fly
silently over my house day and night. Lloyd even
paid someone to write a
song about me. I know he didn't write it, but
somebody did. Now all I hear
on the radio is, "They're Coming To Take Me Away",
played over and over. I
KNOW it is Lloyd doing it! If you guys don't
believe me, just look out your
back windows! Ten dollars says Lloyd is hiding in
the bushes or behind a
tree. Know how to tell when Lloyd is near? Call
me. I'll give you, no
charge, one of my Two Test Extra Class 13 WPM Tin
Foil Hats that glows blue
when Lloyd is within a half mile. Trust me, these
hats work. I keep one with
me at all times.

Gotta go. My Two Test Extra Class 13 WPM Tin Foil
Hat is glowing. Lloyd must
be close. Time for me to shut the curtains and
catch him in the act. I'll
post more later.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3535. I kicked my moms ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:35:16 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <3m3fl1lkkd5tqcah3krdai9jgklun489g8@4ax.com>
Source record: 121361

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cry, porky, cry.............
>
My mom is a sissy just like me. I wish dad would
come home and beat her again.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3536. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:38:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129811905.135735.40630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121362

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> >an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> >> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > pruing again
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Running away again, you mean.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
> > > > > > > > > > happened
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
> > > > > > > > > >means.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >No it isn't, child abuser.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > yes it is bullshit
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No it isn't, child abuser.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Are all your opinions the same, child abuser?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > not at all
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Damn, Marky, you are dumber than a bag of rocks.
```

> >
> > > >
> > > > > yes it is bullshit
> > > >
> > > > We know, all you know is bullshit, Marky.
> > > >
> > > > > feel free to report it and find what kind of bullshit it is
> > > >
> > > > Feel free to kill yourself, Marky.
> > >
> > > I do feel free to do so, but I have no desire to
> >
> > You should,
>
> more f your hate filled moralizing

More of your illiterate babbling.

>
> > you have nothing to live for,
>
> a flat out lie I have plenty to live for

No, all you seem to do is whine.

>
> > and the world would be better
> > off with one less child abuser.
>
> indeed it would

Stupid Marky agrees!!!! BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

> >
> > >
> > > but again put up or shut up or just plain show yourself as a coward
> >
> > But again, you can stamp your feet all you want, little girl, because
> > nobody takes your orders seriously.
> >
> > > I assmue you will choose number 3
> >
> > There you go Marky, making an ass of yourself.
>
> no just making an on taget prediction

No, you were making an ass of yourself, something you have lots of
experience doing.

> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > > > > > No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes it is, stupid.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope wrong again
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yep, Marky is being stupid again.
> > > >
> > > nope
> > >
> > Yep. You make Forrest Gump seem to be an Einstein.
> >
> > >
> > > not being stupid unless responsing to someone calling himself
> > > Whinnyslit counts
> >
> > How about a frigging idiot that nobody likes calling themselves "an old
> > friend" when you are not anybody's friend?
>
> more lies I have friends not that many compared to some but enough for
> me to keep up with

Your hands and your child abuse victims don't count, Marky.

> >
> >
> > > and no violation of FCC rules
> >
> > Liar.
>
> none at all

Liar.

>
> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC
> > > > >
> > > > > All I will have to do is send them some of your posts and a tape,
> > > > > Marky.
> > > >
> > > > none of my post are covered by FCC rules
> > >
> > > But your on-air behavior is.
> >
> > yea and nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules

Lucky for you they don't watchdog spelling, retard.

>
> >
> > > and you don't have any Tape
> >
> > Sure I don't Marky, sure I don't. Dumbass.
>
> yea I know you don't, becuase the is nothing to record of my on air
> behavoir that is of any interest to Rliey

You don't know anything, you obtuse little turd.

> >
> >
> > > but if you have forged one go ahead and send it
> >
> > That's more your style, Marky, the child abusing liar.
>
> if you have a tape of me doing something improper on the air it has to
> be forgery. most of my ops are nonvoice ops the only extended period of
> me talking on air consists mostly of the phrase "CQ feild day CQ feild
> this is..."

Whine Marky, whine. Non-voice ops????? So you are transmitting QRM????
And you werent' on "Field Day" either.

> >
> > > but even you are dumbe enough do that
> > ^^
> >
> > Oh, the irony.
>
> go for it then man

Go fuck yourself, Marky.

>
> emabrrish yourself then by handing over something forged
>
> put up or shut up cowardly liar

You are the one who embarasses yourself (or you should, but you are too
stupid to be embarrased by your stupidity), you illiterate alcoholic.

> >
> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Therefore, you don't know what the hell you are talking about.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I certainly do. I think even you know that I am telling the truth, but
> > > > > again if you slightest Do report it

> > > >
> > > > You wouldn't know the truth if it bit you, Marky. You are a lackwit.
> > >
> > > wrong again little boy
> >
> > And Marky the whining little girl proves me right every time!
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
> > > > > > > > > >you have out.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > proving again that you are sick SoB
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, stupid.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > but as I said before
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Don't write like an addled illiterate and you won't be mocked for it,
> > > > > > > > Corky. Whining about my mockery will only bring further tauntage.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you are a sick SoB.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm not into perverted sex like you are, Makry.
> > > >
> > > > never the less you are a sick SoB
> > >
> > > Not as sick as you are, child abuser.
> >
> > and you allow me to exist without calling the proper authorities? nah
> > you don't call because you know deep down you are lying and are afraid
> > someone would chatch you

Projecting your perverted behavior onto others, Marky?

> >
> > > >
> > > > > anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB
> > > > >
> > > > > I didn't say I was into snuff films,Marky.
> > > >
> > > > > liar yes you did what described is a Snuff Film something that is only
> > > > > the maybe more friecely procetued than Kiddy Porn
> > >
> > > You know all about kiddy porn don't you, child abuser?
> >
> > no I don't I know what I hear/read in the media

I'm sure you only get the evening news from the TV, Marky. (Probably
MTV news, at that) You have proven time and time again, your reading
skills are like you, substandard.

> but you are into snuff films you have admited to it

Wrong, stupid Marky. But thanks for admitting you are into kiddy porn,
stupid.

> >
> > >
> > > >Since you brought it up, YOU
> > > > must be into them.
> > >
> > > nope wrong again
> >
> > You brought them up, Marky. More of your perversions, I suppose.
>
> No you brought em up therefore you are BYS admitting to Necrophilla

No. Go back and read what you wrote. YOU brought them up first.
Freudian slip perhaps?

> >
> > > >Seeing you blow your brains out would be a slapstick
> > > > comedy.
> > >
> > > prove positive you are a sick SoB, and truely preverted beyond anything
> > > I could compare with
> >
> > No, seeing you do that would be a comedy, stupid. And no loss.
>
> proof positive you are a sick sob

No, stupid, it's proof that you aren't liked.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> > > > > > > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your character is suspect, retard.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not where it counts
> > > > >
> > > > > Wrong, Marky.
> > > >
> > > > no it isn't.
> > >
> > > Yes, stupid,it is.
> >
> > then report me send your "tape"

Why don't you cry a little louder, freak?

> > >
> > > yours on the other hand is none existant Mr Slit
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHA..."none existant" WTF are you babbling about, Marky?
> >
> your character

Projecting again? I have more character that you will ever have,
pervert.

>
> >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> > > > > > > > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Therefore, you are a moron.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > worng again
> > > > > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > > > >
> > > > > You proved it, Marky.
> > > >
> > > > no I did not
> > >
> > > Yes, you illiterate retard, you did.
> >
> wrong again the statement "therefore any claim that someone could get
> the FCC to any action against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit"
> does not prove me a Moron (or a guenius for that matter) therefore I
> can't have proved myself a moron therefore you are indeed wrong again

No, stupid. You are proven once again to be dumber than a sack of
rocks.

> >
> > >
> > > dyslexia nor aphasia are in any way prove of Intelgence or the lack of
> > > same
> > >
> > That excuse won't cut it, Marky. Face it, you are a dropout.
> >
> yea I did drop out of PHD program

They give PHD's on fryer operation at KFC "Colonel?"

> >
> > > what you have all but proved is that YOU don't understand the meaning
> > > of the intelegent.
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

> >
> > What I have proved is you lack intelligence. And you are not
> > "intelligent."

> nothing of the sort

And you agree with me!!!!!!!!!!!! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!

>
> >
> > > don't feel too bad a lot folks don't realy know that one either
> >
> > I don't, you are cheesy entertainment, my little puppet.
> >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > And it only stands to reason that if your
> > > > > > > language skills are lacking, your logic and cognitive skills are
> > > > > > > probably lacking as well.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > GIGO
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That must be your philosphy of life, child abuser.
> > > >
> > > > more libel more hate and more plain stupidity little boy
> > >
> > > More sniveling and whining, child abusing little girl.
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You prove me out with every wacky post you
> > > > > > > > make.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > nope wrong again
> > > > > >
> > > > > > See above or with any of your posts, stupid.
> > > >
> > > > you are just wrong little boy
> > >
> > cut

Run away, little girl.

> > > > Only from you, Marky. Only from you.
> > >
> > > nah Brain would have said the same thing
> >
> > Who's "Brain" Another Marky foul-up!
> >
> > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > > You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
> > > > > revolve arround your bigotries
> > > > >
> > > > > You need to stfu and quit leaving any doubt about your stupidity,
> > > > > Marky.
> > > >
> > > > why are you terrified of me little boy
> > >
> > > Shit, you are a whining little nancy boy who would run from his own
> > > shadow.
> >
> > nope

Yep.

>
> but you are afraid of me never the less
>
> terrified

Dream on, Marky. You were the one whining when Steve said he'd come to
the U.P. of MiSHITigan.
You are about as terrifing as a circus clown.

> >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > cut to close
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Run away,Marky!

3537. Re: What's wrong with being gay with woger?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:39:53 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <ks3fl1l4ucmjiv7fq6bvfafgrf5ns1j7p5@4ax.com>
Source record: 121366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
> > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named
> > > > > > >Marky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > still preaching Hate
> > > > > >
> > > > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
> > > > >
> > > > never started
> > > >
> > > You mean you never stopped.
> > >
> > no asshole I mean I never started therefore...
>
> No, queer boy, you can't have access to my asshole. You never stopped
> being stupid, jackass.
>
He can have access to mine if he doesn't mind the
warts. The compund W has burned most of them off
my little pecker.

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3538. Marky C. Morgan is an unwanted genetic defective retard.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:40:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129812019.067819.162380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Marky wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> > > >
> > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> > > >
> > > No,
> > >
> > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> > >
> > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> > >
> Do they have a program that gives a west virgin

Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!

3539. Re: If I only had a brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:41:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129812071.874343.56060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121364

Marky Morgan wrote:
> I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody
> likes me. Nobody socializes
> with me and I have no friends.

That's why you are nobody's friend, fatty!

3540. Lloyd Davies admits I kicked my moms ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:42:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129812134.109204.61580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121365

```
Lloydie wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Cry, porky, cry..............
> >
> My mom

Your mommy had you put in the nut house for beating her, Davies.
```

3541. Re: If I only had a brain like Lloyd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:42:24 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <k24fl15bk71p999rr6h20a2k52837nset5@4ax.com>
Source record: 121369

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Marky Morgan wrote:
> > I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody
> > likes me. Nobody socializes
> > with me and I have no friends.
>
> That's why you are nobody's friend, fatty!
>
I thought I was Lloyd. (I hoped I was)

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3542. Your sister Amanda only likes blacks, Davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:43:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129812213.760962.90090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121367

Amanda Davies
3510 DODGE AVE
SIOUX CITY, IA 51106

3543. Davies shows off his cocksucking lisp!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:45:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129812317.352272.14430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
> > > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named
> > > > > > > >Marky.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > still preaching Hate
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
> > > > > >
> > > > > never started
> > > > >
> > > > You mean you never stopped.
> > > >
> > > no asshole I mean I never started therefore...
> > >
> > No, queer boy, you can't have access to my asshole. You never stopped
> > being stupid, jackass.
> >
> He can have access to mine if he doesn't mind the
> warts. The compund W has burned most of them off
> my little pecker.

Marky might as well, Davies, all the blacks in your neighborhood have
really stretched your asshole out! And your little pecker is why you
are a 32 year old virgin!

3544. I don't have a brain!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 12:48:15 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129812495.381218.125490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121370

Roger Wiseman wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Marky Morgan wrote:
> > > I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody
> > > likes me. Nobody socializes
> > > with me and I have no friends.
> >
> > That's why you are nobody's friend, fatty!
> >
> I thought I was Lloyd. (I hoped I was)

Why do you want to be a mentally ill retard who is queer?

3545. Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 13:06:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129813608.494609.132640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121371

QUOTE

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "Michael P. Deignan" <kh6hz@arrl.net> - Find messages by this
author
Date: 1999/10/10
Subject: Re: Mark Morgan, the gay pagan dyslexic ham?
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

I haven't recycled this posting for a while, but in light of the recent
Lt. Col's constant whining about Morse code, I figured it was
appropriate....

--->
Doesn't the military have a policy against homosexuality? Lookie here:
(Retrieved from DejaNews) [Steve R: What's the current military policy
on this sort of activity? What would happen to a commissioned officer
like Lt. Maj. General Admiral Morgan if his C.O. were to find out?]

Re: 217 Golden Showers
Author: mark <mwmorgan@nwpt.net>
Date: 1998/12/06
Forum: alt.personals.bi
Posted on: 1998/12/06
Message-ID: <3669f5d3.25399648@news.npwt.net>
Organization: Varner Technologies, Inc.
References: <36677215.21A1AA41@soltec.com>

On Thu, 03 Dec 1998 23:24:38 -0600, FunGuy <gws@soltec.com> wrote:
>Anyone in Central Illinois/Western Indiana???
>male and/or female welcome

the emial does not seem to work

bi male central illinois here i love gs stuff giving or taking

---

Upon further digging on DejaNews (GOD! I just LOVE that service! The written word, archived for all of eternity, is such a BITCH when it comes back to bite you, as Lt. Major Admiral Morgan will soon find out...) I've uncovered the following gems of wisdom from the Lt. Col.

SNIP

Yes, Michael, it's great! Case in point Markie's recent "blast from the past" series wherein he tries to take one-liners from old posts and "make something" out of them.

Whellllllp...Here's one back to him!

UNSNIP
--
Anyone remember the Lt. Col. when he first showed up? Yup. His recent change of e-mail addresses to try and thwart Steve's auto-kill daemon reminded me of his original e-mail address: konstans@geocities.com. Upon examining DejaNews for that e-mail address, I found these gems.

I guess the reason the Major General is soooooo pissed off about Morse Code licensing requirement is his doctor won't give him a waiver:

Subject: Testing for Dyslexia (and/or more or less realted condictions)
Author: Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com>
Date: 1998/05/02
Forum: alt.support.dyslexia
Posted on: 1998/05/02
Message-ID: <354aadae.29615446@news.concentric.net>
Organization: Concentric Internet Services

Hi there
I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have largely learned to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA) Having gotten back to dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse to at least recieve a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which would allow both various changes in the Testing requirements. I need refences to to help point my doctor (an allergist) toward a test or three that would support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today (FCC dysbility requierments don't seem to realize that some things really don't get much better with time) Idealy one that will lend some support to the idea that I still have it, but are not too expensive to for him to order given to me

---

[Ed Note 10/10/99: Now the good Lt. Col. has a MA in the History of Law, but he only had a BA back in 5/1998... so he's obviously been busy

with school and all...]

Not being able to find a Doctor who will sign off on giving you a free HF ticket must be really frustrating. But, not as frustrating as Mark's love life (or lack thereof) apparently is:

Subject: Cen IL Bimale seeks Domme, would love spanking on his birthday
Author: Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com>
Date: 1998/02/04
Forums: alt.sex.femdom, alt.personals.bondage, alt.personals.fetish, alt.personals.spanking
Posted on: 1998/02/04
Message-ID: <34D93822.2F6E@geocities.com>
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Reply-To: konstans@geocities.com

---

my birthday is the 21 of feb 9i'll be 34 then) i live near Springfield IL, and 33 white unmarried, Bisexual, switch (tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has experenced both sub and Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with women, and would really like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough to make a ProDom my first choice.

SNIP

He's got a job but can't spring for the couple of c-notes to get spanked...

Unless he hit the MI Lottery since then, (more like railroading dear-ol-daddy's SSI checks) his recent tales of grand financial standing are pretty much shot in the foot.....

UNSNIP

i will not deal with solid waste...(SNIP)

Markie...You ARE "solid waste".

(UNSNIP)...but i think every thing thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as i think I have heard it all someone seems to come up with something new) Things i diffinently like are body worship, hot wax, bondage, spanking. i have done and enoyed with people really into them watersports, lite bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)

SNIP: Here, in 1998, we have an allegedly baccalaureate degreed military officer licking the blood of other persons. At this point in time AIDS, it's vectors well known and has been in the public eye for at least 14 years.

So much for Markie's 248 IQ, ehhhhhhhhh?????

UNSNIP

these are things i likely to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall
flat if the other party(s) aren't real into them, in that vein i will
consider and may well get into something i if it really turns you on.

---

alt.personals.spanking? Gee, there is that reoccuring "torture" theme
again! First morse code, now spanking. How kinky! Maybe Mark should try
some Morse code wankie spankie?

It would appear that our resident Joint Chiefs of Staff member is also
a member of PAGAN -- People Against Goodness And Normalcy:

Subject: Cen Ilininois pagan
Author: Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com>
Date: 1998/07/08
Forum: alt.pagan.contacts
Posted on: 1998/07/08
Message-ID: <35a30246.64697875@news.concentric.net>
Organization: Concentric Internet Services

---

33 Bi wm poly pagan in Cen IL the very center of the state would like
to hear from others in the area

So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we:

1) He was drafted into the US Army.
2) He is a member of the Chemical Corps.
3) The military won't let him retire.
4) He doesn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan', but that's okay, 'cuz
the FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told him he can do it.
5) He is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
ex-wives
6) He was, until recently, paying $5/hr. to access his ISP
7) He has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
8) He is a bi-sexual 33 yr. old Pagan male ham who likes to be spanked.

9) He can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so he
has to ask people on USENET.

Have I missed anything?

snicker

MD

UNQUOTE

Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!

Steve, K4YZ

3546. Re: To all you A-H's in here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 14:34:03 UTC
From: Bernie Bignose <poppyseedwcheese@onrye.com>
Message-ID: <33984$4357aadb$d1cc5ab3$1960@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121372

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129810730.911094.43760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Cry, porky, cry.............
>

I ain't no PORKY! (I may like my 4 eggs and bacon and pancakes
for breakfast, but I'm only 300 pounds and that ain't much...BEEFCAKE!

Ham radio fun below!!!!!

http://www.swlgirl1.radioprison.com/page4.html

http://www.swlgirl1.radioprison.com/page2.html

3547. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 15:07:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1pO5f.16831$hY6.13918@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121373

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

>K&#65533;HB wrote:

>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> > And this is different from any other law...HOW?
>>
>> Bandplans are cooperative agreements between affected amateurs, not "laws".

> Sigh......

> Bandplans are mutually agreed upon frequency sharing arrangents

Agreed (I said that above)

> that the FCC has repeatedly stated the violation of will get the
> violator "busted".

Disagree entirely.

Riley Hollingsworth hasn't (and won't) bust anyone for violating a bandplan. He has (and will) bust you for interference with a coordinated repeater.

While that distinction might seem subtle, consider this. Mark and his friends in the UP may examine the records of the coordination body and find that no repeater has been coordinated for the frequency 52.200 (random selection by me). In good faith they listen for several days and also do not hear any uncoordinated repeater on that QRG. Having a clear frequency they establish a nightly AM (or FM or CW or SSB --- all legal modes) ragchew net at 52.200. They are now "in violation" of the bandplan, and the local coordinator may have a hissy-fit, but no FCC violation has been perpetrated and Riley will decline to become involved.

I'm not recommending that Mark follow this course of action (there is plenty of room in the bandplan without parking on an unused repeater pair) but until a repeater is coordinated on that vacant spot they are not in any danger of being busted. Ridiculed maybe, but not busted.

In summary --- nobody ever got busted for violating a bandplan --- they got busted for interference with a coordinated user.

Sigh!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3548. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 15:07:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3pO5f.16832$hY6.12098@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121374

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> rules and regs are NOT laws

Technically correct.

But they carry the force of law (ie., you can get fined, etc.)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3549. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 16:09:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129824568.339042.222740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121375

K4YZ wrote:
> QUOTE
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>
> From: "Michael P. Deignan" <kh6hz@arrl.net> - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 1999/10/10
> Subject: Re: Mark Morgan, the gay pagan dyslexic ham?
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
>
> I haven't recycled this posting for a while, but in light of the recent
> Lt. Col's constant whining about Morse code, I figured it was
> appropriate....
>
> --->
> Doesn't the military have a policy against homosexuality? Lookie here:
> (Retrieved from DejaNews) [Steve R: What's the current military policy
> on this sort of activity? What would happen to a commissioned officer
> like Lt. Maj. General Admiral Morgan if his C.O. were to find out?]
>
> Re: 217 Golden Showers
> Author: mark <mwmorgan@nwpt.net>
> Date: 1998/12/06
> Forum: alt.personals.bi
> Posted on: 1998/12/06
> Message-ID: <3669f5d3.25399648@news.npwt.net>
> Organization: Varner Technologies, Inc.
> References: <36677215.21A1AA41@soltec.com>
>
> --
>
> ----
>
> On Thu, 03 Dec 1998 23:24:38 -0600, FunGuy <gws@soltec.com> wrote:
> >Anyone in Central Illinois/Western Indiana???
> >male and/or female welcome
>
> the emial does not seem to work
>
> bi male central illinois here i love gs stuff giving or taking
>

> --
>
> ----
>
> Upon further digging on DejaNews (GOD! I just LOVE that service! The
> written word, archived for all of eternity, is such a BITCH when it
> comes back to bite you, as Lt. Major Admiral Morgan will soon find
> out...) I've uncovered the following gems of wisdom from the Lt. Col.
>
> SNIP
>
> Yes, Michael, it's great! Case in point Markie's recent "blast
> from the past" series wherein he tries to take one-liners from old
> posts and "make something" out of them.
>
> Whellllllp...Here's one back to him!
>
> UNSNIP
> --
> Anyone remember the Lt. Col. when he first showed up? Yup. His recent
> change of e-mail addresses to try and thwart Steve's auto-kill daemon
> reminded me of his original e-mail address: konstans@geocities.com.
> Upon examining DejaNews for that e-mail address, I found these gems.
>
> I guess the reason the Major General is soooooo pissed off about Morse
> Code licensing requirement is his doctor won't give him a waiver:
>
> Subject: Testing for Dyslexia (and/or more or less realted
> condictions)
> Author: Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com>
> Date: 1998/05/02
> Forum: alt.support.dyslexia
> Posted on: 1998/05/02
> Message-ID: <354aadae.29615446@news.concentric.net>
> Organization: Concentric Internet Services
>
> --
>
> ----
>
> Hi there
> I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have largely learned
> to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA) Having gotten back to
> dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse to at least recieve
> a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which would allow both
> various changes in the Testing requirements. I need refences to to
> help point my doctor (an allergist) toward a test or three that would
> support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today (FCC dysbility
> requierments don't seem to realize that some things really don't get
> much better with time) Idealy one that will lend some support to the

> idea that I still have it, but are not too expensive to for him to
> order given to me
>
> --
>
> ----
>
> [Ed Note 10/10/99: Now the good Lt. Col. has a MA in the History of
> Law, but he only had a BA back in 5/1998... so he's obviously been busy
> with school and all...]
>
> Not being able to find a Doctor who will sign off on giving you a free
> HF ticket must be really frustrating. But, not as frustrating as Mark's
> love life (or lack thereof) apparently is:
>
> Subject: Cen IL Bimale seeks Domme, would love spanking on his
> birthday
> Author: Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com>
> Date: 1998/02/04
> Forums: alt.sex.femdom, alt.personals.bondage,
> alt.personals.fetish, alt.personals.spanking
> Posted on: 1998/02/04
> Message-ID: <34D93822.2F6E@geocities.com>
> Organization: Concentric Internet Services
> Reply-To: konstans@geocities.com
>
> --
>
> ----
>
> my birthday is the 21 of feb 9i'll be 34 then) i live near Springfield
> IL, and 33 white unmarried, Bisexual, switch (tend to be a a bit more
> sub than Dom) who has experenced both sub and Dom with other Males, but
> has only been Dom with women, and would really like to try Femdom.
> Employed but not flush enough to make a ProDom my first choice.
>
> SNIP
>
> He's got a job but can't spring for the couple of c-notes to get
> spanked...
>
> Unless he hit the MI Lottery since then, (more like railroading
> dear-ol-daddy's SSI checks) his recent tales of grand financial
> standing are pretty much shot in the foot.....
>
> UNSNIP
>
> i will not deal with solid waste...(SNIP)
>
> Markie...You ARE "solid waste".

>
> (UNSNIP)...but i think every thing thing else is possible (i hedge
> because as soon as i think I have heard it all someone seems to come up
> with something new) Things i diffinently like are body worship, hot
> wax, bondage, spanking. i have done and enoyed with people really into
> them watersports, lite bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)
>
> SNIP: Here, in 1998, we have an allegedly baccalaureate degreed
> military officer licking the blood of other persons. At this point in
> time AIDS, it's vectors well known and has been in the public eye for
> at least 14 years.
>
> So much for Markie's 248 IQ, ehhhhhhhhh?????
>
> UNSNIP
>
> these are things i likely to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall
> flat if the other party(s) aren't real into them, in that vein i will
> consider and may well get into something i if it really turns you on.
>
> ---
>
> ----
>
> alt.personals.spanking? Gee, there is that reoccuring "torture" theme
> again! First morse code, now spanking. How kinky! Maybe Mark should try
> some Morse code wankie spankie?
>
> It would appear that our resident Joint Chiefs of Staff member is also
> a member of PAGAN -- People Against Goodness And Normalcy:
>
> Subject: Cen Ilininois pagan
> Author: Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com>
> Date: 1998/07/08
> Forum: alt.pagan.contacts
> Posted on: 1998/07/08
> Message-ID: <35a30246.64697875@news.concentric.net>
> Organization: Concentric Internet Services
>
> ---
>
> ----
>
> 33 Bi wm poly pagan in Cen IL the very center of the state would like
> to hear from others in the area
>
> ---
>
> ----
>

> So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we:
>
> 1) He was drafted into the US Army.
> 2) He is a member of the Chemical Corps.
> 3) The military won't let him retire.
> 4) He doesn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan', but that's okay, 'cuz
> the FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told him he can do it.
> 5) He is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
> ex-wives
> 6) He was, until recently, paying $5/hr. to access his ISP
> 7) He has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
> 8) He is a bi-sexual 33 yr. old Pagan male ham who likes to be spanked.
>
> 9) He can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so he
> has to ask people on USENET.
>
> Have I missed anything?
>
> *snicker*
>
> MD
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Quote:
BWHAHAHA

3550. Re: To all you A-H's in here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 16:12:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129824729.379198.60180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121376

Bernie Bignose wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129810730.911094.43760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Cry, porky, cry.............
> >
>
> I ain't no PORKY! (I may like my 4 eggs and bacon and pancakes
> for breakfast, but I'm only 300 pounds and that ain't much...BEEFCAKE!

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA 300 pounds! What a fatass!
No wonder you are a virgin!

><crap flushed>

3551. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 16:15:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129824955.037387.250210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121377

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1129327973.096280.326210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:

> >> Given the numbers that have been tallied so far, even a margin of
> >> error of 5% misanalyzed would not result in a majority in favor
> >> of keeping morse.
> >
> > Actually, if 5% were miscategorized, there would be a very slight
> > majority in favor of keeping at least some code testing.
>
> WRONG! If the current majority of 1311 (54%) went down by 5%,
> the number would then be (1311 -66 = 1245) which still gives a
> 52% majority in favor of the NPRM.

I wrote:

"if 5% were miscategorized"

meaning if 5% were in the wrong category. Fixing that problem would
remove 5% from one category and add 5% to another.

Remove 5% from the anticodetest column and add 5% to the procodetest
column and the majority changes.

> (SNIP of repeated "what if's)

Why? Are any of them unreasonable?
>
> > Why? FCC ignored majority opinion on the
> > issue in 1999 - do you really
> > think the majority opinion matters now?
>
> Actually no I don't, but it doesn't hurt the
> nocode test cause to have a majority favoring the change.
>
True, but note how narrow it is.

And note that the criteria used are quite vague in places. For example:

Do the totals include reply comments as well as comments?

If the same person submits multiple comments that are not identical, or
comments and reply comments, are they all counted, or does each
commenter get counted only once?

How is it determined if a person submits a "valid address"?

Why is the NPRM considered a comment?

Why are the comments of an Australian not counted? Is citizenship a requirement to be counted? How about residency? Why?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3552. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 16:40:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129826410.763939.58010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121378

Leo wrote:
> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am

> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Leo wrote:
> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >>>If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a
> >>>problem in the first place.
> >>
> >> Ahem...this is a "preconditioning" artificiality of "reasons."
> >> [akin to the "do you still beat your wife?" question]
>
> Precisely so - and, it is indicative of the assumption that code
> testing is currently under review because it is perceived as a
> "problem".
>
> This is, of course, not the case.

It's exactly the case, Leo.

> > "Growth in numbers" is not a raison d'etre for the elimination
> > or retention of the code test. The lack of love and worship
> > of morsemanship should be enough.
>
> Agreed - the review of the requirement is based entirely upon an
> change of requirements in an international treaty.

No, it isn't. Not in the USA, anyway.

The treaty changed more than 2 years ago, yet FCC did nothing at all
about it. 18 petitions/proposals were filed by various groups and
individuals. The NPRM is in response to those petitions/proposals and
their comments.

FCC could have simply dropped Element 1 in August of 2003. I was
surprised that they didn't, particularly after there were at least two
proposals to do just that.

If the treaty change drove the FCC, they'd have simply issued a
Memorandum Report and Order saying Element 1 was no longer a

requirement. But they didn't.

> The regulators
> create the rules and regulations which control the hobby - it is up to
> the amateur community to promote it and drive growth.

Growth in numbers is one of the reasons repeatedly cited by those
asking for an end to code testing.

> >>Another view would be that it was a problem that is being fixed way
> >>too late to repair the damage.

How could it have been any different? The code test was a treaty
requirement that FCC would not violate. The testing was minimized in
1990 by the medical waiver petition, which effectively made all classes
available for a 5 wpm code test and a doctor's note. *Any* doctor could
write such a note, or sign one written by the ham asking for a waiver.
All it had to say was that it was harder-than-usual for the ham in
question to pass the code test.

> >>Amateur Radio was a very popular hobby back when you and I were kids -
> >>today, there are too many other far-more-glamorous things competing
> >>with it.

When were you a kid, Leo? Ham radio is far more popular today than when
I was a kid.

> There have indeed been massive changes in technology over the past
> half century. Instant communication on a global basis is available to
> almost everyone now, affordably and from virtually anywhere.

So why should *anyone* get a ham license, test or no test?

> Sure,
> during natural disasters this capability is severely impacted - but in
> everyday life, amaueur radio can no longer compete for public interest
> as it once did. (why go through licensing and buy expensive radio
> equipment to talk with Uncle Bob in Peoria on ham radio, when you can
> call him up on Skype on the Internet with great audio and live colour
> full-motion video for free?)

Exactly. So it's not the code test or written test at all, but other
factors.

> >>I would think that the vast majority of the folks who are interested
> >>in the things that Amateur Radio offers are already a part of the
> >>hobby. Adding HF access might broaden the scope of those who did not
> >>gain access to HF via morse testing (for whatever reasons) - but to
> >>think for a moment that there are legions of wannabe hams who are
> >>waiting exitedly for morse testing to be abolished so that they can
> >>rush in and get on the air would be foolish.

Yet that's what many anticodetest folks think and say.

> >>They aren't there.

Looks like an agreement!
>
> Along with the common assumption that code testing is an impediment to
> new Amateur licensees (due to no access to HF without it), there is
> the companion assumption that licensing is also an impediment. The
> theory is that if licensing was removed (as it was with CB many years
> ago) that the floodgates would open and the bands would become
> overcrowded by the stampede of new amateur operators.
>
> This is, of course, nonsense - they aren't there either. Fifty years
> ago, perhaps - but not now.

Fifty years ago there were maybe 150,000 US hams. Today there are over 650,000. Where did all that growth come from? Most of it happened in the 70s and 80s, btw.

What many are concerned about is that the same problems that plague cb will also plague amateur radio if the license requirements are reduced too much.

> In the three years that I have held a
> license, I have met very few people who were interested at all in
> radio communications.

That's been true for a long time - most people aren't interested in "radio for its own sake".

> Try this experiment - show a teenage kid an
> SSTV picture being received, and watch the reaction.....

Depends on how it's presented. Why would anyone be impressed by SSTV after seeing the first pictures of astronauts on the moon's surface - in 1969, 36 years ago?

> We hams are becoming a rare breed as technology advances.

Then what's the "new paradigm"? Eliminate all licensing? We've seen how well that worked...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3553. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 16:55:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <f5ifl1tmhshbl59fcumjkum51kbs7466dv@4ax.com>
Source record: 121379

On 20 Oct 2005 05:38:25 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut

>> > How about a frigging idiot that nobody likes calling themselves "an old
>> > friend" when you are not anybody's friend?
>>
>> more lies I have friends not that many compared to some but enough for
>> me to keep up with
>
>Your hands and your child abuse victims don't count, Marky.

well there are no victums but I still have friends.

BTW I suppose I realy must thank you for previding such comdey Mr.
Slit, we all get a laugh out of my recounting your silly posts
>
>> >
>> >
>> > > and no violation of FCC rules
>> >
>> > Liar.
>>
>> none at all
>
>Liar.

no violation of FCC rules
>
>>
>> >
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > > if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC
>> > > > >

>> > > > All I will have to do is send them some of your posts and a tape,
>> > > > Marky.
>> > >
>> > > none of my post are covered by FCC rules
>> >
>> > But your on-air behavior is.
>>
>> yea and nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules
>
>Lucky for you they don't watchdog spelling, retard.

perhaps, but it is not a rime or a violation of FCC rules to misplee
stuff, not even in packet or even in CW

but still nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules
>
>>
>> >
>> > > and you don't have any Tape
>> >
>> > Sure I don't Marky, sure I don't. Dumbass.
>>
>> yea I know you don't, becuase the is nothing to record of my on air
>> behavoir that is of any interest to Rliey
>
>You don't know anything, you obtuse little turd.

yes I do I know quite a bit

but more to the point I know you have nothing recorded of my on air
behavoir that is of any interest to Riley

>
>> >
>> >
>> > > but if you have forged one go ahead and send it
>> >
>> > That's more your style, Marky, the child abusing liar.
>>
>> if you have a tape of me doing something improper on the air it has to
>> be forgery. most of my ops are nonvoice ops the only extended period of
>> me talking on air consists mostly of the phrase "CQ feild day CQ feild
>> this is..."
>
>Whine Marky, whine. Non-voice ops?????

yes things like packet, APRS, RC flight SSTV
> So you are transmitting QRM????

not at all
>And you werent' on "Field Day" either.

yes but I was even set a new club record for contact on the band I was
working with most of the day

>
>> >
>> > > but even you are dumbe enough do that
>> > ^^
>> >
>> > Oh, the irony.
>>
>> go for it then man
>
>Go fuck yourself, Marky.

no thanks

but if you want trouble then send your forged tape to the FCC, you
might have something good enough to cause a bit of anoyance to me, but
I think Riley might then be paying you a call

when making reports of Child abuse you can say what you like and the
laws give you a great deal of protection. No such protection exists
with the FCC or the USPS
>
>>
>> emabrrish yourself then by handing over something forged
>>
>> put up or shut up cowardly liar
>
>You are the one who embarasses yourself (or you should, but you are too
>stupid to be embarrased by your stupidity), you illiterate alcoholic.

put up or shut up
>
cut

>>
>> and you allow me to exist without calling the proper authorities? nah
>> you don't call because you know deep down you are lying and are afraid
>> someone would chatch you
>
>Projecting your perverted behavior onto others, Marky?

nope simple truth
>
>> >
>> > > >
>> > > > > anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB
>> > > > >
>> > > > I didn't say I was into snuff films,Marky.
>> > > >
>> > > liar yes you did what described is a Snuff Film something that is only
>> > > the maybe more friecely procetued than Kiddy Porn

>> >
>> > You know all about kiddy porn don't you, child abuser?
>>
>> no I don't I know what I hear/read in the media
>
>I'm sure you only get the evening news from the TV, Marky. (Probably
>MTV news, at that) You have proven time and time again, your reading
>skills are like you, substandard.

no I watch mostly Fox and CNN

>
>> but you are into snuff films you have admited to it
>
>Wrong, stupid Marky. But thanks for admitting you are into kiddy porn,
>stupid.

more lies form Mr Slit

>
>> >
>> > >
>> > > >Since you brought it up, YOU
>> > > > must be into them.
>> > >
>> > > nope wrong again
>> >
>> > You brought them up, Marky. More of your perversions, I suppose.
>>
>> No you brought em up therefore you are BYS admitting to Necrophilla
>
>No. Go back and read what you wrote. YOU brought them up first.
>Freudian slip perhaps?

no you did you you said you wanted to see it

>
>> >
>> > > >Seeing you blow your brains out would be a slapstick
>> > > > comedy.
>> > >
>> > > prove positive you are a sick SoB, and truely preverted beyond anything
>> > > I could compare with
>> >
>> > No, seeing you do that would be a comedy, stupid. And no loss.
>>
>> proof positive you are a sick sob
>
>No, stupid, it's proof that you aren't liked.

it is proof that you don't like me (proof exists that others don't
either but that is another matter) but it reamins proof that you are a

sick SoB
>
>> >
>> > > >
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
>> > > > > > > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Your character is suspect, retard.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > not where it counts
>> > > > >
>> > > > Wrong, Marky.
>> > >
>> > > no it isn't.
>> >
>> > Yes, stupid,it is.
>>
>> then report me send your "tape"
>
>Why don't you cry a little louder, freak?

no crying here

just calling you sick bluff for everyone to see

>
>> > >
>> > > yours on the other hand is none existant Mr Slit
>> >
>> > HAHAHAHA..."none existant" WTF are you babbling about, Marky?
>>
>> your character
>
>Projecting again? I have more character that you will ever have,
>pervert.

well you are into snuff film boy

>
>>
>> >
>> > > >
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
>> > > > > > > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Therefore, you are a moron.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > worng again
>> > > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>> > > >

>> > > > You proved it, Marky.
>> > >
>> > > no I did not
>> >
>> > Yes, you illiterate retard, you did.
>>
>> wrong again the statement "therefore any claim that someone could get
>> the FCC to any action against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit"
>> does not prove me a Moron (or a guenius for that matter) therefore I
>> can't have proved myself a moron therefore you are indeed wrong again
>
>No, stupid. You are proven once again to be dumber than a sack of
>rocks.

wrong again

you evade as badly as stevie
cut
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
>> > > > > revolve arround your bigotries
>> > > >
>> > > > You need to stfu and quit leaving any doubt about your stupidity,
>> > > > Marky.
>> > >
>> > > why are you terrified of me little boy
>> >
>> > Shit, you are a whining little nancy boy who would run from his own
>> > shadow.
>>
>> nope
>
>Yep.

nope
>
>>
>> but you are afraid of me never the less
>>
>> terrified
>
>Dream on, Marky. You were the one whining when Steve said he'd come to
>the U.P. of MiSHITigan.
>You are about as terrifing as a circus clown.

well to some a circus clown is terrifing I guess you are one of them

>
>> >
>> > > >

>> > > > > > > cut to close
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Run away,Marky!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3554. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:15:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <m2jfl1drop1qdf0ustrq320cgltf5utbp4@4ax.com>
Source record: 121380

On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>raped_by_old_friend wrote:

more of stvies fraud
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > wants_an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > > >
cut
>> > > why can't you answer a simple question?
>> > >
>> > > if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
>> > > to evade
>> > >
>> > > that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
>> > > shame about it
>> >
>> > There's no "evasion"...
>>
>> yes there is
>>
>> nothing from but evasion
>
> Nope.

yes nothing but evasion
>
> But we DO have YOU caught in your OWN mistruths, Markie!

where?
>
>> > Brain has suggested that there's something "wrong" with starting a
>> > "new thread". I've pointed out that there's been no "rule" violation,
>> > nor is it inconsistent with the desired intent of redirecting my
>> > comments to a SEPERATE thread.
>> >
>> > > > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
>> > > >
>> > > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people

>> > > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
>> > > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
>> > > > "everyone else" considers proper?
>> > >
>> > > why should I do exactly as you do
>> >
>> > Exactly my point, ya nimrod.
>>
>> admiting to lying then
>
> I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!

I know you are lying

I know you lie

you just don't have the guts to admit to it
>
> It was as plain as day!
>
>> > > you lie mosy everyday on here
>> >
>> > "most"
>> >
>> > No, I do not.
>>
>> yes you do
>>
>> amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws
>
> "Among" "whoppers" "statement"
>
>> > However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
>> > blatant lie.
>>
>> not a word of it was a lie
>
> Sure it was.

nope
>
>> > But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
>> > life of me understand why...
>>
>> aracking up another Stevie there
>
> Huh?
>
> What was THAT?
>
>> > > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of

>> > > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
>> > >
>> > > noone said there is such a rule
>> > >
>> > > no one had suggested a fine
>> > >
>> > > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
>> > > newgruops
>> >
>> > "across" "newsgroups"
>> >
>> > I don't.
>>
>> you do
>
> And you DON'T..?!?!

at times I do

but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't

you lie

you evade

you make me (and I am certainly not the most socaible person on the
plannet) lookvery socaible

>
> You "started" five new threads last night, Markie!

no I did not in point of fact

I started one new thread and Bought up 4 old threads

get you facts straight

or are you now claiming there is time limit on threads

after all you contiue fight form 7 years ago why can't I

>
cuting stevie going ooff the topic again

>> >
>> > Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhh!
>> >
>> > Stop lying!
>>
>> not lying at all
>
> STOP LYING!

not lying at all
>

>> have hardly told a lie on here in months
>
> You mean MINUTES.

No I mean months

>..That was one right there ! ! ! ! ! ! !

wrong again
cut
>
> yep.
>
>> > > you generate more ##### than a herd of bovine
>> >
>> > And even MORE lies! Sheesh, Markie!
>>
>> that is the absolute truth
>
> Nope.

yes it is
you generate more shit than a herd of bovine

you also violate intelectual property

>
>> > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
>> > > >
>> > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
>> > > > follow.
>> > >
>> > > you keep up your lying and threatening of people
>> >
>> > What's "threatening" to you is not being able to freely act like
>> > an idiot without having it fed back to you.
>>
>> more lies
>
> Nope. It's the ABSOLUTE truth.

not a word of truth

"one shot one kill" is a threat

saying you are going to contact the state of michgan is a threat

you threaten and try to imtimadte anyone you don't like

>
>> threat are posts "like one shot one kill"
>
> Still not a threat...Not then...not now...

alwasy was alwasy will be

>
>> and then following up year later by saying you planing on coming here
>
> "planning"
>
> Uh huh. And it's STILL legal for me to do so...

legal to the UP yes

a threat yes

>
> HOWEVER:
>
> I >>NOW<< have YOUR "threat" that is I come to Michigan, that one
>of us will be dead, but it won't be you.
>
> That was NOT in respose to some like-worded post.

nor was it made at all

I said if you come HERE one of us will be dead which is simple
statement of fact

and I repat you show up HERE , at my place, and one of us will end up
dead

>
> So...Now we have YOUR violent intent to my legal and
>Constitutionally guaranteed right to freedom of movement posted in a
>public forum...

nope we don't

we have your effeort to distort my statement

but agian report me if you like

>
>> > Tough.
>> >
>> > > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
>> > > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
>> > >
>> > > you have never laid off
>> >
>> > Oh, MARKIE!
>>
>> you never have
>>
>> you were picking on me when I had not posted in RRAP in years
>
> I wasn't "picking" on you...

yes you were

>
>> > Just this week we've had that VERY discussion!
>>
>> no you posted a bald faced lie
>
> No, I did not.

yes you lied

>
>> you claimed that calling Mark instead of Markie while calling me a
>> Child abuser, pedophile, and elder raper was laying.
>
> I wasn't doing those things.

yes you were

cut

>> > Liar liar pants on fire!
>>
>> grow up
>
> Need some water? A HALON bottle?

no
>
>> > > > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
>> > > > > > shoe DOES fit...
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
>> > > > > you.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
>> > > > > follow.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just to have you and one of
>> > > > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
>> > > >
>> > > repeating your lie does not make it true
>> >
>> > It's not a lie.
>>
>> it is lie
>
> Nope...

it is lie you have never laid off expect by leaving the group for a
few days now and then

>
>> > > Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop
>> >
>> > That's because they can't.
>>
>> delsuonal Stevie truely you are displying frightening behavoir in that
>
> "Delusional" "truly" "displaying" "behaviour or behavior"

you are still delusion in your statement which you evade by playing
spelling cop

>> > > your fixation on mens genitals for one
>> >
>> > Gee, Markie...It was BRAIN that brought it up...
>>
>> no it wasn't you go and on about men genitals
>
> Mark, WHY do you BLATANTLY lie like this..?!?!

no lie at all
>
> Anyone following this post KNOWS you are a liar and KNOWS you are
>lying about THIS!

wrong you are lying
>
>> that is why many of us think you a closet gay or latent gay
>
> There's no "us" here for you, Markie...

yes there is
>
>> > Not me...
>> >
>> > Since you're so big on what goes on in any given thread, you're
>> > invited to scroll back and find ONE place where I said any such
>> > thing....
>> >
>> > > > If you're looking for a date, send an email to "kb9rqz@yahoo.com"
>> > > > or any other of the addee's he's using these days to beat the Goggle
>> > > > rate filter...
>> > > >
>> > > > He likes his protein fresh.
>> > >
>> > > more of your homosexual obbession
>> >
>> > "obsession"
>> >
>> > Obvioulsy YOU had yet another comprehension problem.

>>
>> not at all
>
> Absolutely "at all"

not at all

you are obsessed with homosexuals anyone that read you posts over the
years knows that

I guess you are just not bright enough to know you are obsessed

>
>> > It's you and BRAIN who have the "homosexual obbession" issues.
>>
>> I don't go around investagting what men might be doing with their
>> genitals
>
> Sure you do.

no I don't I don't care what you do with yours or Dan or dave or Len
or Bill does with thier gentials

> You've even admitted that YOU engage in WHAT they do
>with them.

no I have in point of fact

I have admited that I am aroused by men and homosexual activity. I
have not admitted to any particular sex act

but hen you play fast and loose with facts you claim the ARRL has the
power to make laws after all

>
> I at least hope you take a bath first...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3555. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:16:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37kfl19ct8r9dp1mjnmieamnm63sbv1fij@4ax.com>
Source record: 121381

On Thu, 20 Oct 2005 15:07:43 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> rules and regs are NOT laws
>
>Technically correct.
>
>But they carry the force of law (ie., you can get fined, etc.)

agreed
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3556. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:17:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <v8kfl19ttdrbhmgb44g51ng638nb8pupi8@4ax.com>
Source record: 121382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 20 Oct 2005 05:07:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 19 Oct 2005 05:12:41 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Bernie Bignose wrote:
>> >> (bullshit cut)
>> >>
>> >> ...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
>> >> hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.
>> >
>> >He is worried, his home address is
>> >
>> cuting for the sake of decent and civl behavoir
>
>Running away, Marky the child abusing pervert?
>
>> How do you function with this obvious need of your to try and make
>> trouble for folks
>
>Just wait, dumbass.

wait for what?
>
>> to show gee at another misforune
>
>Post in English, retard.
>
>> by the Gods I hope you are just one the non ham posers
>>
>> I must thank you though you do make it so much easier for me to claim
>> that there plenty of regulars far worse than anything I can manage
>
>Poor idiot Marky, too stupid to even remember to to breathe.

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3557. more stveie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:21:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <hekfl15a3bpp7k3jfe2o423m2r9oud7ovq@4ax.com>
Source record: 121383

On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
>> >Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
>> >Cecil,
>> >
>> >
>> > >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
>> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>> >
>> > You and I.
>> >
>> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
>
> "really"
>
> You have too much time on your hands, Markie.

sometimes I certainly do
>
> Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?

not likely it is just that I don't confrom to wishes of bigot

which is your problem with me
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3558. Re stvie makes false charge and admits to lying r does he

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:23:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ohkfl1pqn6smg4ekneeccf5d6lqj0n889v@4ax.com>
Source record: 121384

On 20 Oct 2005 02:31:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>> >rec.aviation.homebuilt
>> a post from Stevie
>> >> There are worse ways to die, you know..
>> >
>> >
>> >Living death...marriage
>> >Steve Robeson,LPN
>> >Amateur Call K4YZ
>> >Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>> >NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays
>>
>> equating Marriage with living death what a hoot
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Me and every stand up comedian in the US, Markie. Better start
>"busting the chops" of David Letterman, Jay Leno, etc etc etc...
>
> Oh...And the Subject line?
>
> Well, it was about as truthful as anything YOU were saying.

since yo say I was lying then you admit to lying yourself with the
titl ": Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
Was Asking For It"."
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3559. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:33:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4okfl1hus8coah2403qopliq6ou3im11qn@4ax.com>
Source record: 121385

On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
>
> Lie about what?

an example
Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
Asking For It".
is a lie

you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
pedophile is lie

don't have time to do a full catalog
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3560. why can't staev tel the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:33:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <jrkfl15ij23e3av0ks4u00rfa42joupq6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 121386

On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
>> > > starting out with
>> > > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
>> > >
>> > > he follows up wit
>> >
>> > "with"
>> >
>> > > >k4yz
>> > > >> nobodys old friend
>> > > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
>> > > >> are not laws
>> > > >
>> > > > Sure they are.
>> > >
>> > > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
>> >
>> > "maintain"
>> >
>> > It's not a lie.
>>
>> it is a lie
>
> Nope.
>
> And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
>it be true, Markie.

you are lying

Bandplans are not laws

>
>> > > why can't he just fees up
>>
>> cuting Stevie evasion
>
> "cutting", Markie...
>
cut

>> still holding onto that lie
>
> What lie?
>
>> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
>> years
>
> I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.

you lied about bandplans = laws

you lie about your threats
>
> And repeating THAT over and over does not make it true, Markie.

no it does not, facts make it true
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3561. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 17:52:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129830753.685283.180430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121387

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1129327973.096280.326210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
cut
> I wrote:
>
> "if 5% were miscategorized"
>
> meaning if 5% were in the wrong category. Fixing that problem would
> remove 5% from one category and add 5% to another.
>
> Remove 5% from the anticodetest column and add 5% to the procodetest
> column and the majority changes.
>
if you don't like how is doing something then go do it yourself

Len has the right to calls the results as he sees em

I have no reason to believe he is corking the books.

I assume he is making some mistakes in the count (he is human after all
despite the claims of stevie blunder)

I wish you would stop whining and either take action yourself (go do
your own count) let the matter drop

lens count does not matter not even to the FCC

the FCC's count will matter more but let us assume that count came down
for code what would the result be. My thought is s light delay in the
issue of the R&O as folks looks through the comment a bit closer to see
if anything is there

Please stop abusing the poor dead equines. it is you right of course
but it unseemly

3562. Stevie "logic"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 18:03:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129831436.015599.35070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121388

K4YZ wrote:
> QUOTE
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>
> From: "Michael P. Deignan" <kh6hz@arrl.net> - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 1999/10/10
> Subject: Re: Mark Morgan, the gay pagan dyslexic ham?
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
cut

>
> Re: 217 Golden Showers
> Author: mark <mwmorgan@nwpt.net>
> Date: 1998/12/06
> Forum: alt.personals.bi
> Posted on: 1998/12/06
> Message-ID: <3669f5d3.25399648@news.npwt.net>
> Organization: Varner Technologies, Inc.
> References: <36677215.21A1AA41@soltec.com>
>
> ---
>
> ----
>
> On Thu, 03 Dec 1998 23:24:38 -0600, FunGuy <gws@soltec.com> wrote:
> >Anyone in Central Illinois/Western Indiana???
> >male and/or female welcome
>
> the emial does not seem to work
>
> bi male central illinois here i love gs stuff giving or taking
>
> ---
and what makes you think the is me telling the turth something you
claim I never do

if you went looking you could find marterail of me posing as a possible
NeoNazi looking for friends does that make a Neo Nazi or just someone
curious about what they are realy up to

deceit is tool.

You and I both use it.

I am honest enough to admit to it

this repost prove again show you are obsessed about sex

assuming the under lying post is truth and not an invite for research paper (a question I will not answer BTW) is is my bussness not yours

it has nothing to do the ARS.

3563. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 18:14:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129832060.149914.206030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > still preaching Hate
> > > > > >
> > > > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
> > > > >
> > > > never started
> > > >
> > > You mean you never stopped.
> > >
> > no asshole I mean I never started therefore...
> >
> No, queer boy, you can't have access to my asshole. You never stopped
> being stupid, jackass.

never asked for it

agreed I never stopped being stupid becuase of Course I never started

you got a true statement I may have to lie to get over the shock

with another you will be as much used as busted clock

I am realy hopping for you on that count
>
> > >
> > >
> > hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> > form this poor freighten child
> >
> > May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.
> >
> > May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> > Peace
> >
> > May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human
> >

> > and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> > the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel
> >
> > So Mote it be
>
> So may you end up crucified, Marky. BWHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

I might indeed but burning alive or shooting seem to the preferred
tools of bigots today

the Cross kinda went outa style

not sure why since it is a prefectly gruesome death
>
> > > > >
> > > > > May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.
> > > > >
> > > > > more signs of your sick twisted ahte filled mind
> > > > ^^
> > > >
> > > > More signs of your stupidity, Marky.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > how can you stand living the filth that lines your mind
> > > >
> > > > How can you stand to live in the filth that you live in and call your
> > > > home?
> > >
> > > where do you get your delusions? the bottle? street drugs? off your
> > > meds?
> >
> > Sounds like I was right on target, by the way you whine.

then of course you are demented but we knew that
>
> > Id realy like to know
> >
> > I'm sure there are many things you'd like to know, what you don't know
> > could fill an encyclopedia.

indeed I must lie down now 2 truthfull stament in one post form you

My goodness can I stand the strain? (very likely)

3564. Re: Marky C. Morgan is a loved and generaly happy person.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 18:16:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129832179.234631.312440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Marky wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> > > > >
> > > > No,
> > >
> > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> > >
> > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> > >
> > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
>
> Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!

yuo were doing so well my my

3565. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 18:45:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129833929.440010.91470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121391

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 19 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2612	639
Indeterminate (note 1)	170	60
Value for Percentages	2442	579
Against NPRM (note 2)	737 [30.18%]	182 [31.43%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1331 [54.50%]	297 [51.30%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	374 [15.32%]	100 [17.27%]

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3566. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 18:48:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129834108.310572.288850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121392

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 20 Oct 2005 02:29

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Michael Coslo on Oct 19, 6:31 am
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> John Kasupski wrote:

>> >> Technically-inclined young people have *always* had lots of
>> >> alternatives. Look up "Williamson amplifier" and see how
>> >> many "hi-fi"
>> >> folks were building their own audio systems in the 1940s and > >> later.
>> >> Lots of other examples.
>>
>> Change "1940s" for 'late 1950s' for that "Williamson." :-)
>
>You are mistaken, Len.
>
>The original articles by DTN Williamson appeared in the spring of 1947.
>They outlined both the theory of an improved design and a practical
>design that could be (and was) built by many audiofiles.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie, NOT "was built by many 'audiofiles." :-)

They hardly touched them, unlike myself who built one, then
two of the "ultra-linear" variety (for stereo). How many
did YOU build? [in 1947?]

>You can read the original 1947 articles online at:

Jimmie, Jimmie, Jimmie, I read the ORIGINAL article on Comm Sats
in Wireless World (by Arthur C. Clarke) in a 40s issue in the
RCA Technical Library. It took a LONNNNNG time to get the FIRST
geo-synchronous comm sat into orbit. :-)

Ever have your hands ON and even IN any bit of space flight
equipment, Jimmie? I have. From MARS Mariner '67 to the
Apollo Program Solar Wind Spectrometer (part of ALSEP) to name
just two. Ever watch any rocket engine being tested, Jimmie?
I have, from the Rocketdyne Santa Susannah Field Test Area
(Coca site). The Space Shuttle Main Engine combustion
chamber is only the size of a small beach ball yet it generates
350,000 pounds of thrust at full throttle-up.

Have you been to JPL, Jimmie? I have. JPL began in rocketry
during WW2. Took them a LONNNNNGGG time to go from JATO bottles
to the little "balloon-bounce" Mars rovers. They didn't get
there reading old magazines.

>Radio control of models was being done by UHF cb as long ago as 1948.

Jimmie, Jimmie, Jimmie...you are OUT OF YOUR LEAGUE entirely.
In 1948 I had my AMA 19700 and was competition flying and
deep into the model hobby activity. Not as you speak but you
are going to spend weeks on this subject in an effort to pull
your own MISTAKES out of the fire. In 1948 there were only
the Raytheon RK61 superregens IN model aircraft for R/C back
then. Built one of those, too, including making the rotary
"escapement" solenoid stepper from plans in Model Aviation
News (MAN is still published, by the way). Had to have one
of the local model club members run the transmitter (Joe, who
worked for the FAA at the Machesney Airport weather station).

Jimmie, try talking of an area you were IN. You didn't even
exist in 1948. :-)

>"SWLing" was one reason so many general-coverage receivers were built.

You build one in 1948? :-)

>Electronic music (as opposed to music reproduction) was on the scene
>with theremins, electronic organs and electric guitars - which led to
>synthesizers in the 1960s.

I built a Theremin in 1954 for a buddy in my Signal Battalion.
All vacuum tube. He was a musician in civilian life. It worked
but wasn't "comfortable" to listen to.

But, nowhere in heck did those weird Theremins "lead to music
synthesizers" LATER pioneered by Moog. Get your act together.

>The electronic hobby magazines like Popular Electronics and Electronics
>Illustrated in that era had no shortage of projects that were neither
>amateur radio nor "hi-fi".

INCORRECT, Jimmie. The two major newsstand magazines for
general electronics hobbyists in 1948 were RADIO CRAFT and
RADIO AND TELEVISION NEWS. Radio Craft later changed its name
to Popular Electronics. So did RTN.

Tsk, in 1948 to 1955, YOU were NOT doing much of anything as
far as "electronics hobby projects." Could you hold a soldering
iron when you were first born? :-)

You are behaving like some 4-F civilian trying to tell a
military veteran "all about militry life and culture." :-)

>> "Recent" drop-off? :-) The number of U.S. licensed amateurs has
>> been steadily shrinking for two years.
>
>That's recent, compared to the long period of growth that preceded it.

"Recent?" :-) Oh, yes, I forget that you are oriented
back to the "beginnings" of radio. There were NO "radio

amateurs" licensed in 1895...anywhere in the world. The
last 110 years has seen an INFINITELY LARGE growth of
amateur radio to 2005, hasn't it? From ZERO to millions!
:-)

So, how was Reggie Fessenden's lab when you worked there as
lab assistant in 1900? :-)

>> Despite the snarling denial of amateur morsemen,
>
>"snarling denial"? You're the chief snarler hear, Len ;-)

Sweetums, I BEGAN in HF communications in 1953, wasn't no
morse code used in the ACAN 52 years ago, wasn't added
later. Wasn't a single morseman working at ADA back then,
still isn't out of Fort Shafter, Hawaii, for USARPAC using
the Army callsign ADA. :-)

>> Without them
>> there would have been NO peak of numbers in July, 2003, and > the
>> total numbers would have SHRUNK before the new millennium was
>> entered.
>
>You seem to be saying that if it weren't for that license class, none
>of those people would have become hams. Yet in the 1980s the number of
>US hams increased by about 200,000 even though all US amateur radio
>license classes required a code test.

Snarl, snarl, Jimmie Morseman, we "here" you. :-)

Quit trying to deny that no-code-test Technicians made a
sizeable difference in the total U.S. amateur radio license
numbers. They did. It is history. DENIAL gets you exactly
nowhere except all wet downriver in Egypt.

>They're all counted in my twice-a-month postings of the number of
>current unexpired individual licenses.

WHO CHECKS YOUR WORK ON THAT, Jimmie? :-)

Do you have your OWN FCC database download and sorting program
to derive those "statistics" or do you CRIB from other sources?

>And note that by your own unchecked-for-accuracy comment count, the
>comments are almost evenly balanced between retention of at least some
>code testing and total elimination.

Jimmie, you poor thing, still in denial.

You want to "check my work?" Go ahead. As of 19 October 2005
(1 PM EDT) there were only 2,612 filings on WT Docket 05-235.
30.18% were against the NPRM, 54.50% were FOR the NPRM. A 3:5
ratio is hardly "almost evenly balanced" on anything. :-)

All you have to do is connect to the FCC website, go to the ECFS, start reading EVERY FILING on WT Docket 05-235 from 15 July 2005 until whatever date you wish to stop. Save ALL of those filings, Jimmie, because I'm just so sure that you will want to ARGUE the judgement on opinions in each and every one of them from now to the end of time. :-) [it would make a whole new newsgroup career for you!]

Meanwhile, you can continue to TELL US ALL about the electronics hobby area, model aircraft flying, and all of that which happened before you ever existed on this Earth. <yawn>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex AMA 19700

3567. Re: To all you A-H's in here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 18:51:29 UTC
From: Bernie Bignose <poppyseedwcheese@onrye.com>
Message-ID: <54f33$4357e794$d1cc4e9c$6662@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121393

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129824729.379198.60180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bernie Bignose wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1129810730.911094.43760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Cry, porky, cry.............
> > >
> >
> > I ain't no PORKY! (I may like my 4 eggs and bacon and pancakes
> > for breakfast, but I'm only 300 pounds and that ain't much...BEEFCAKE!
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHA 300 pounds! What a fatass!
> No wonder you are a virgin!

Hey! I'm not fat I'm big-boned!
Beefcake - BulkUp - auuurghhh!

PPPFHBBBBLTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!!
(gotta stop eatin all those eggs that makes me fart like a 4-8-8-4 steam
train whistle)

3568. Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:09:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129835374.734944.85220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Marky wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No,
> > > > >
> > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> > > > >
> > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> > > > >
> > > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
> > >
> > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
> >
> yuo were doing so well my my
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

As usual, you don't, dumbass.

MORGAN, MARK
316 PINE ST
MARQUETTE, MI 49855-4250
906-228-4596

3569. Re: To all you A-H's in here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:10:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129835425.234799.90600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121395

Bernie Bignose wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129824729.379198.60180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bernie Bignose wrote:
> > > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1129810730.911094.43760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Cry, porky, cry.............
> > > >
> > >
> > > I ain't no PORKY! (I may like my 4 eggs and bacon and pancakes
> > > for breakfast, but I'm only 300 pounds and that ain't much...BEEFCAKE!
> > >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHA 300 pounds! What a fatass!
> > No wonder you are a virgin!
> >
> Hey! I'm not fat I'm big-boned!

Only in your malformed oblong skull, Porky!

3570. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:17:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129835850.869011.264700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named Marky.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > still preaching Hate
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > never started
> > > > > >
> > > > > You mean you never stopped.
> > > > >
> > > > no asshole I mean I never started therefore...
> > >
> > No, queer boy, you can't have access to my asshole. You never stopped
> > being stupid, jackass.
>
> never asked for it

Sure you did, faggot.

Are you always this stupid?

> agreed I never stopped being stupid

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

>
> you got a true statement I may have to lie to get over the shock

Typical Marky, admits to being stupid thgen admits he's a liar.

> with another you will be as much used as busted clock
^^

Poor mentally ill Marky, he's such a blubbering idiot.

> I am realy hopping for you on that count

Yes, you dance and hop around just like a little monkey, Marky.

> >
> > > >
> > >
> > > hopefully the Gods will choose to lift this sad veil of hate and fear
> > > form this poor freighten child
> > >
> > > May the Gods bless and protect him and his possible victums.
> > >
> > > May the Gods grant him the innner peace to see the light of truth and
> > > Peace
> > >
> > > May the Gods show favor to Him and teach him to love his fellow human
> > >
> > > and should he scrown the Gods and their efforts let the God teach by
> > > the darker ways of Nature which is both loving and Cruel
> > >
> > > So Mote it be
> >
> > So may you end up crucified, Marky. BWHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
>
> I might indeed but burning alive or shooting seem to the preferred
> tools of bigots today

Yep a slow burning would suit you, Marky.

> the Cross kinda went outa style

Especially since most hate perverts of your ilk.

> not sure why since it is a prefectly gruesome death

Because you are stupid, Marky, that's why.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > May you end up like Jesus did, crucified, you deserve it, Marky.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more signs of your sick twisted ahte filled mind
> > > > > ^^
> > > > >
> > > > > More signs of your stupidity, Marky.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > how can you stand living the filth that lines your mind
> > > > >
> > > > > How can you stand to live in the filth that you live in and call your
> > > > > home?
> > > >
> > > > where do you get your delusions? the bottle? street drugs? off your
> > > > meds?
> > >
> > Sounds like I was right on target, by the way you whine.

>
> then of course you are demented but we knew that

Then of course, you argue like a little girl, Marky.

>
> > > Id realy like to know
> >
> > I'm sure there are many things you'd like to know, what you don't know
> > could fill an encyclopedia.
>
> indeed I must lie down now 2 truthfull stament in one post form you
^^

BWHAHAHAHA.... Marky admits to being a know nothing idiot! Go lie down
and shoot up that heroin that you like so well, Marky.

> My goodness can I stand the strain?

You can't even stand the strain of calculating 2+2, Marky.

Will you whine some more?

> (very likely)

Indeed. You lack the capacity to do little else.

3571. Re: Stevie "logic"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:19:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129835942.977813.7520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121397

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > QUOTE
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> >
> > From: "Michael P. Deignan" <kh6hz@arrl.net> - Find messages by this
> > author
> > Date: 1999/10/10
> > Subject: Re: Mark Morgan, the gay pagan dyslexic ham?
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > | Report Abuse
> cut

Run away from what you posted, Marky.

> >
> > Re: 217 Golden Showers
> > Author: mark <mwmorgan@nwpt.net>
> > Date: 1998/12/06
> > Forum: alt.personals.bi
> > Posted on: 1998/12/06
> > Message-ID: <3669f5d3.25399648@news.npwt.net>
> > Organization: Varner Technologies, Inc.
> > References: <36677215.21A1AA41@soltec.com>
> >
> > --
> >
> > ----
> >
> > On Thu, 03 Dec 1998 23:24:38 -0600, FunGuy <gws@soltec.com> wrote:
> > >Anyone in Central Illinois/Western Indiana???
> > >male and/or female welcome
> >
> > the emial does not seem to work
> >
> > bi male central illinois here i love gs stuff giving or taking
> >
> > --
> and what makes you think the is me telling the turth something you
> claim I never do
>
> if you went looking you could find marterail of me posing as a possible
> NeoNazi looking for friends does that make a Neo Nazi or just someone
> curious about what they are realy up to

>
> deceit is tool.
>
> You and I both use it.
>
> I am honest enough to admit to it
>
> this repost prove again show you are obsessed about sex
>
> assuming the under lying post is truth and not an invite for research
> paper (a question I will not answer BTW) is is my bussness not yours
>
> it has nothing to do the ARS.

You still posted those posts that Michael put together, loser.

3572. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:20:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129836038.911922.98500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121398

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
> >
> > Lie about what?
>
> an example
> Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
> Asking For It".
> is a lie
>
> you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
> pedophile is lie
>
> don't have time to do a full catalog

Oh, so you DO have some kiddie porn though. You just said so yourself.

3573. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:22:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129836123.225273.300570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 05:07:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 19 Oct 2005 05:12:41 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Bernie Bignose wrote:
> >> >> (bullshit cut)
> >> >>
> >> >> ...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
> >> >> hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.
> >> >
> >> >He is worried, his home address is
> >> >
> >> cuting for the sake of decent and civl behavoir
> >>
> >Running away, Marky the child abusing pervert?
> >
> >> How do you function with this obvious need of your to try and make
> >> trouble for folks
> >>
> >Just wait, dumbass.
>
> wait for what?

You'll see, dumbass!

> >
> >> to show gee at another misforune
> >>
> >Post in English, retard.
> >
> >> by the Gods I hope you are just one the non ham posers
> >>
> >> I must thank you though you do make it so much easier for me to claim
> >> that there plenty of regulars far worse than anything I can manage
> >>
> >Poor idiot Marky, too stupid to even remember to to breathe.

3574. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:39:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129837189.081029.258430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121450

```
kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 05:38:25 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> cut

Run away,Marky.

> >> > How about a frigging idiot that nobody likes calling themselves "an old
> >> > friend" when you are not anybody's friend?
> >>
> >> more lies I have friends not that many compared to some but enough for
> >> me to keep up with
> >
> >Your hands and your child abuse victims don't count, Marky.
>
> well there are no victums but I still have friends.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

"Victims" and you don't have any friends, pervert.

> BTW I suppose I realy must thank you for previding such comdey Mr.
> Slit, we all get a laugh out of my recounting your silly posts

Making fun of you and your illiteracy and stupidity I'm sure has given
more people a chuckle, dumbass.

> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > and no violation of FCC rules
> >> >
> >> > Liar.
> >>
> >> none at all
> >
> >Liar.
```

>
> no violation of FCC rules

Liar.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > if you need proof trying reporting my actions to the FCC
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > All I will have to do is send them some of your posts and a tape,
> >> > > > > Marky.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > none of my post are covered by FCC rules
> >> > >
> >> > But your on-air behavior is.
> >>
> >> yea and nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules
> >
> >Lucky for you they don't watchdog spelling, retard.
>
> perhaps, but it is not a rime or a violation of FCC rules to misplee
> stuff, not even in packet or even in CW

Try posting in English when you come down from your Heroin, Marky.

> but still nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules

Liar.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > and you don't have any Tape
> >> >
> >> > Sure I don't Marky, sure I don't. Dumbass.
> >>
> >> yea I know you don't, becuase the is nothing to record of my on air
> >> behavoir that is of any interest to Rliey
> >
> >You don't know anything, you obtuse little turd.
>
> yes I do I know quite a bit

But, nobody is interested in learning how much sperm you can swallow,
Marky.

> but more to the point I know you have nothing recorded of my on air
> behavoir that is of any interest to Riley

Liar. You prove how stupid you are every time, dumbass.

> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > > but if you have forged one go ahead and send it
> >> >
> >> > That's more your style, Marky, the child abusing liar.
> >>
> >> if you have a tape of me doing something improper on the air it has to
> >> be forgery. most of my ops are nonvoice ops the only extended period of
> >> me talking on air consists mostly of the phrase "CQ feild day CQ feild
> >> this is..."
> >
> >Whine Marky, whine. Non-voice ops?????
>
> yes things like packet, APRS, RC flight SSTV

More like you qrming, Marky.

> > So you are transmitting QRM????
>
> not at all

Yes, you do.

> >And you weren't on "Field Day" either.
>
> yes but I was even set a new club record for contact on the band I was
> working with most of the day

No such record exists, liar.

> >
> >> >
> >> > > but even you are dumbe enough do that
> >> > ^^
> >> >
> >> > Oh, the irony.
> >>
> >> go for it then man
> >
> >Go fuck yourself, Marky.
>
> no thanks

HAHAHAHAHA Marky is such a loser he rejects himself.

> but if you want trouble then send your forged tape to the FCC, you
> might have something good enough to cause a bit of anoyance to me, but
> I think Riley might then be paying you a call

You live in the Twilight zone, pervert. Tell us how much you want to be
sub to me some more, faggot.

> when making reports of Child abuse you can say what you like and the
> laws give you a great deal of protection. No such protection exists
> with the FCC or the USPS

As usual, Marky doesn't know what he is talking about. So much for that
mail-order "History of Law" degree that he bought for $100 and not from
a real college.

> >
> >>
> >> emabrrish yourself then by handing over something forged
> >>
> >> put up or shut up cowardly liar
> >
> >You are the one who embarasses yourself (or you should, but you are too
> >stupid to be embarrased by your stupidity), you illiterate alcoholic.
>
> put up or shut up

Go fuck yourself, Marky. Now go cry in the corner, you little whining
girl.

> >
> cut

Run away, pussy.

> >>
> >> and you allow me to exist without calling the proper authorities? nah
> >> you don't call because you know deep down you are lying and are afraid
> >> someone would chatch you
> >
> >Projecting your perverted behavior onto others, Marky?
>
> nope simple truth

Yep, you are projecting again.

> >
> >> >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > anyone into snuff films is a a sick SoB
> >> > > >
> >> > > > I didn't say I was into snuff films,Marky.
> >> > >
> >> > > liar yes you did what described is a Snuff Film something that is only
> >> > > the maybe more friecely procetued than Kiddy Porn
> >> >
> >> > You know all about kiddy porn don't you, child abuser?
> >>
> >> no I don't I know what I hear/read in the media
> >
> >I'm sure you only get the evening news from the TV, Marky. (Probably
> >MTV news, at that) You have proven time and time again, your reading

> >skills are like you, substandard.
>
> no I watch mostly Fox and CNN

Marky the Marquette moron admits he never reads.

>
> >
> >> but you are into snuff films you have admited to it
> >
> >Wrong, stupid Marky. But thanks for admitting you are into kiddy porn,
> >stupid.
>
> more lies form Mr Slit

More stupidity from the pervert child abuser Marky.

> >
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > > >Since you brought it up, YOU
> >> > > > must be into them.
> >> > >
> >> > > nope wrong again
> >> >
> >> > You brought them up, Marky. More of your perversions, I suppose.
> >>
> >> No you brought em up therefore you are BYS admitting to Necrophilla
> >
> >No. Go back and read what you wrote. YOU brought them up first.
> >Freudian slip perhaps?
>
> no you did you you said you wanted to see it

Wrong Marky. and you know you brought it up first. You are perverted to
the core, Marky.

> >
> >> >
> >> > > >Seeing you blow your brains out would be a slapstick
> >> > > > comedy.
> >> > >
> >> > > prove positive you are a sick SoB, and truely preverted beyond anything
> >> > > I could compare with
> >> >
> >> > No, seeing you do that would be a comedy, stupid. And no loss.
> >>
> >> proof positive you are a sick sob
> >
> >No, stupid, it's proof that you aren't liked.
>
> it is proof that you don't like me (proof exists that others don't

> either but that is another matter) but it reamins proof that you are a
> sick SoB

Not half as sick as the shit you posted to other groups, queer boy.

> >
> >> >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
> >> > > > > > > can't take part in hate filled gay bashing
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > Your character is suspect, retard.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > not where it counts
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Wrong, Marky.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > no it isn't.
> >> > >
> >> > Yes, stupid,it is.
> >>
> >> then report me send your "tape"
> >
> >Why don't you cry a little louder, freak?
>
> no crying here

That's all you can do, Marky.

> just calling you sick bluff for everyone to see

No, you are whining and crying, but that's all you can do.

> >
> >> > >
> >> > > yours on the other hand is none existant Mr Slit
> >> >
> >> > HAHAHAHA..."none existant" WTF are you babbling about, Marky?
> >>
> >> your character
> >
> >Projecting again? I have more character that you will ever have,
> >pervert.
>
> well you are into snuff film boy

Wrong, dumbass. Can't you read?

>
> >
> >>
> >> >

> >> > > >
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
> >> > > > > > > against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > Therefore, you are a moron.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > worng again
> >> > > > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > You proved it, Marky.
> >> > > >
> >> > > no I did not
> >> > >
> >> > Yes, you illiterate retard, you did.
> >>
> >> wrong again the statement "therefore any claim that someone could get
> >> the FCC to any action against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit"
> >> does not prove me a Moron (or a guenius for that matter) therefore I
> >> can't have proved myself a moron therefore you are indeed wrong again
> >
> >No, stupid. You are proven once again to be dumber than a sack of
> >rocks.
>
> wrong again

Marky want a cracker? You are about as intelligent as a parrot, saying
the same lame phrases over and over.

> you evade as badly as stevie

Nobody is evading, stupid. You shouldn't blame others for your lack of
reading comprehension.

> cut

Evading, marky?

> >> > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > You and Stevie and a few other need to learn that the world does not
> >> > > > > revolve arround your bigotries
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > You need to stfu and quit leaving any doubt about your stupidity,
> >> > > > > Marky.
> >> > > >
> >> > > why are you terrified of me little boy
> >> > >
> >> > Shit, you are a whining little nancy boy who would run from his own
> >> > shadow.
> >>

> >> nope
> >
> >Yep.
>
> nope

Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge. Then, after I
embarass you, you illiterate fuck, you can hop up on your crucifix and
die for the sins of all the other illiterate fucks. I know how much
you'd probably fucking enjoy stigmata, Marky; you're kinky like that.

> >
> >>
> >> but you are afraid of me never the less
> >>
> >> terrified
> >
> >Dream on, Marky. You were the one whining when Steve said he'd come to
> >the U.P. of MiSHITigan.
> >You are about as terrifing as a circus clown.
>
> well to some a circus clown is terrifing I guess you are one of them

Well, dumbass, you've made my point for me. Just think, all that
crying, whining
screaming and bawling was unnecessary because you understood the point
I was making already. But it still flew over your malformed skull.

3575. Re: I Hate Being Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:43:32 UTC
From: Letitia <nomail@nospam.ut>
Message-ID: <dj8rtd$s40$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121451
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129810968.294241.280000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

LLoydie Davies wrote:
> I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody likes me. Nobody socializes
> with me and I have no friends.

We know Davies.............Poor, poor, pathetic Lloyd. He has to
respond to his own posts using a fake name. Lloyd, You're a credit to
your profession of village idiot.
You've proven to one and all: you _are_ the classic mentally ill retard
with defective DNA.
You've made it abundantly clear by this action that you, in fact, are
incapable of acting like an adult, nor should be in the company of
adults. As to the issue of "exposing" me: Get real. It's not really a
surprise that you chose to announce to the newsgroup that your meager
intellect is inadequate to the challenge you foolishly entered,
considering the ineptitude you display time and again in the newsgroup,
in particular your total inability to compose even a simple on-topic
article, much less one that doesn't invariably consist of a single
lengthy, tedious and incoherent paragraph consisting of dim-witted,
monosyllabic phrases that translate to "Whine, duh, whine, duh, duh,
whine." Why, I wonder, would you purposely choose to be an
international
laughing stock by your endless public
proclamations of not just your dismissal of, but your utter disdain for
intelligence to the literate of rec.radio.amateur heirarchy newsgroup's
readers and participants (as opposed to the illiterate, maladjusted
trolls with whom you "communicate" [I use the word loosely and with a
great deal of
charity; "grunt" is more likely the better description])? Why, I
wonder,
would you insist upon presenting yourself to the world as an babbling
idiot? One never knows who is reading, does one? More than a few of the
more, let's say, "well-connected" of our readership have already
commented to me about your buffoonishness. You've proven them to be
right, haven't you?
But, hey, if that's what you want them to think, don't let me stop you.

Now run along and play with your "daleks" 32 year old VIRGIN.
////.........///////////////.....

Yes, I've seen what " "well-connected" of our readership " have said to
you. And about you.

And speaking of babbling idiots.....

3576. Re: I Hate Being Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:45:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129837510.317360.101260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass aka Letitia wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129810968.294241.280000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> LLoydie Davies wrote:
> > I hate being me. Nobody understands me. Nobody likes me. Nobody socializes
> > with me and I have no friends.
>
> We know Davies.............Poor, poor, pathetic Lloyd. He has to
> respond to his own posts using a fake name. Lloyd, You're a credit to
> your profession of village idiot.
> You've proven to one and all: you _are_ the classic mentally ill retard
> with defective DNA.
> You've made it abundantly clear by this action that you, in fact, are
> incapable of acting like an adult, nor should be in the company of
> adults. As to the issue of "exposing" me: Get real. It's not really a
> surprise that you chose to announce to the newsgroup that your meager
> intellect is inadequate to the challenge you foolishly entered,
> considering the ineptitude you display time and again in the newsgroup,
> in particular your total inability to compose even a simple on-topic
> article, much less one that doesn't invariably consist of a single
> lengthy, tedious and incoherent paragraph consisting of dim-witted,
> monosyllabic phrases that translate to "Whine, duh, whine, duh, duh,
> whine." Why, I wonder, would you purposely choose to be an
> international
> laughing stock by your endless public
> proclamations of not just your dismissal of, but your utter disdain for
> intelligence to the literate of rec.radio.amateur heirarchy newsgroup's
> readers and participants (as opposed to the illiterate, maladjusted
> trolls with whom you "communicate" [I use the word loosely and with a
> great deal of
> charity; "grunt" is more likely the better description])? Why, I
> wonder,
> would you insist upon presenting yourself to the world as an babbling
> idiot? One never knows who is reading, does one? More than a few of the
> more, let's say, "well-connected" of our readership have already
> commented to me about your buffoonishness. You've proven them to be
> right, haven't you?
> But, hey, if that's what you want them to think, don't let me stop you.
>
> Now run along and play with your "daleks" 32 year old VIRGIN.
> ////.........///////////////...........
>

> Yes, I've seen what " "well-connected" of our readership " have said to
> you. And about you.

We've seen what they say about you, Chubbsy Davies!

http://www.network54.com/Forum/message?forumid=324004&messageid=1105333277

Chat log
by Anonymous
Welcome
Admin has joined the chat.
[Admin] Hey fellas !!!
[TimeLord_Davies] Hello Admin
[Admin] Should I keep the chat room up for a few more days?
[TimeLord_Davies] lol
[Admin] You homosexuals need a place to play
[Admin] BOY
[TimeLord_Davies] i am not gay
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Lloyd & I are not Faggots
[Admin] You're as queer as a 3 dollar bill
[Admin] you too steevo the pedo
[TimeLord_Davies] hell now
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I got your Pedo swingin' right here, and NO,
you can't suck it
[Admin] BOW DOWN BEFORE YOUR JEW GOD
[TimeLord_Davies] Jesus was not a JEW!
[Admin] was to
[TimeLord_Davies] nope
[Admin] and you're not a retard
[TimeLord_Davies] i am NOT A DAMN
RETARD!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
[Admin] Your mamma said you're smart so you are
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Thanks for defending, me, Lloyd
[Admin] There are lots of pizza delivery BOYS that are super smart.
Just look at you.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] You are a true Friend
[Admin] you guys should bump uglies
[Admin] FAGS
[TimeLord_Davies] i am not a BOY!
[TimeLord_Davies] I am a 31 year old MAN!
[Admin] You have a high school BOYS job, that makes you a BOY
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Ask these Nigger Dick Suckers to post a
passage from the bible that states Jesus was a KIKE
[Admin] If you were a real man you'd be driving a school bus
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] These Turds are just jealous because we are
smarter than them
[TimeLord_Davies] i am not a Jew either!
[TimeLord_Davies] House of names is full of shit!
[Admin] shalom my brother
[TimeLord_Davies] Wiseman is very Jewsih
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] House of names doesn't even list KIKE

Schwartzo as being a Jewish name
[TimeLord_Davies] Jewish
[TimeLord_Davies] lol

http://www.network54.com/Forum/message?forumid=324004&messageid=1105337892

Here's the log so far
by Anonymous
Welcome
Anonymous_Coward has joined the chat.
[schwartz] nope, you are only exposing yourself as a tool for Satan
[schwartz] You aren't a Christian Lloyd.
[schwartz] and you know it.
[TimeLord_Davies] JESUS HATES WITH A PERFECT HATE
[schwartz] And Jesus hates you.
[TimeLord_Davies] HE HATES TOO
[schwartz] He hates liars.
[schwartz] He hates those who pervert his name.
[TimeLord_Davies] SO WHAT, IF I MAKE A FEW SMALL MISTAKES
[schwartz] no, it's not small mistakes.
[TimeLord_Davies] I ATTEND THE METHODIST CHRUCH NOW
[schwartz] It shows your true heart, one of being evil.
[TimeLord_Davies] I AM NO LONGER A BAPTIST
[schwartz] So?
[schwartz] Both are Christian
[TimeLord_Davies] I AM NOT EVIL
[schwartz] God isn't going to ask if y ou are a baptist or not.
[schwartz] He is going to ask if you REALLY believe and follow Christ.
Your answer is no.
[schwartz] Because if you did really follow your Christ, you would show
love, not hate.
[schwartz] You would not hate me, Roger, and dozens of other people you
have trolled again.
[TimeLord_Davies] YOU ARE NOT THE JUDGE OF WHO IS A FOLLOWER OF CHRIST
OR NOT
[TimeLord_Davies] SO SHUT UP
[schwartz] And you are not to judge if I am going to heaven or not
right?
[schwartz] See, your own standard used against you.
[schwartz] Fake Christian Lloyd.
[TimeLord_Davies] NO, THE BIBLE SAYS, JUDGE NOT, LEST YE BE JUDGED
[schwartz] So Christian of you "shut up"
[schwartz] But you judge all the time LARDASS
[schwartz] so there, again, you are not following Christ.
[TimeLord_Davies] BROTHER STAIR SAYS TO JUDGE, BUT BE WILLING TO TAKE
JUDGEMENT
No_code has joined the chat.
[schwartz] You believe Brother Stair LLOYD? BWHAHAHA, you really are
mentally ill.
[TimeLord_Davies] I HAVE LISTENED TO STAIR FOR OVER 10 YEARS
[schwartz] But you don't do what Stair says you should do.

[schwartz] Do you donate to him?
[TimeLord_Davies] NO, WHY?
[schwartz] He says you are supposed to.
[schwartz] So you follow Brother Stair but don't donate a penny to him.

[TimeLord_Davies] DID I EVER SAY I WAS A FOLLOWER, I JUST SAID I LISTEN TO HIM, QUIT PUTTING WORDS IN MY MOUTH!
[schwartz] You're so fucked up in the head Lloyd for even believing Stair is a christian.
[schwartz] I'm sure I can google you saying you follow the guy.
[TimeLord_Davies] YEAH, SURE
[schwartz] But seriously fat boy, when you are going to start following the teachings of your Christ?
[schwartz] let me see... your lying... profanities... hatred.... etc.
[TimeLord_Davies] NOW GO AND YELL AT SOME OLD MAN TO GET HIM TO PAY UP ON HIS MEDICAL BILL, BEFORE HE DIES
[schwartz] again short shit, you don't know what you are talking about.

[schwartz] but my way of living sure beats delivering pizzas at 31 year old
[TimeLord_Davies] I DONT GIVE A SHIT ABOUT YOUR SHIT
[schwartz] you don't even have health insurance... you need to grow up Little Lloyd.
[schwartz] when why did you ask about it then fat boy?
[TimeLord_Davies] BIG FUCKING DEAL IF I DELIVER PIZZAS TILL I AM 100 YEARS OLD
[TimeLord_Davies] AT LEAST I WORK A JOB
[TimeLord_Davies] UNLIKE VONBLUVENS
[schwartz] well if you want to work a dead end all your life, I can't help but laugh at your lack of self-esteem
[schwartz] So your saying Vonbluvens is shit for being on welfare?
[schwartz] Funny, your buddy Steve doesn't work.
[TimeLord_Davies] YEAH, BUT AT LEAST I WORK
[schwartz] That makes him shit also right?
[TimeLord_Davies] NOPE, STEVE IS MY FREIND
[schwartz] Ah, the double standard of Lloyd. Tell me agian how you are a Christian?
[schwartz] It's only okay that your friends are on welfare... for everyone else, they are shit right?
[TimeLord_Davies] STEVE DESERVES EVERYTHING HE GETS IN BENIFETS
[schwartz] Thank you Lloyd for showing what a complete hypocritical fool you are.
[schwartz] And so does Vonbluvens right?
[TimeLord_Davies] YOU ARE A UTTER ASSHOLE, I HOPE I NEVER HAVE TO MEET YOU
[schwartz] Why is it okay for Steve but not for Vonbluvens?
[schwartz] answer the question fat turd.
[schwartz] Now mind you, I hate Vonbluvens also.
[TimeLord_Davies] I WILL NOT ANSWER UNTIL, YOU ADDRESS ME WITH SOME RESPECT
[schwartz] but I am exposing your stupidity and double standard right

now in front of several NIM Busters.
[schwartz] you don't deserve respect until you earn it.
[schwartz] so answer the question, why okay for Steve to be on welfare but not Vonbluvens or others?
[schwartz] answer Little Shit.
[TimeLord_Davies] DIE
[TimeLord_Davies] JUST DIE
[schwartz] Little Lloyd is losing it folks.
[No_code] WOW, and you worship Christ?
[schwartz] I expose him to be a hypocrite, a false Christian, and a liar
[schwartz] Lloyd = .../..../../-
[No_code] There's a button by the sound where you can copy the text of this chat and save it wherever you'd like
[TimeLord_Davies] AND YOUR POINT IS?
[No_code] My point is you're a freakin loon
[TimeLord_Davies] SO?
[TimeLord_Davies] I DONT CARE
[schwartz] That point is... you're a fake Christian and a piece of shit LLOYD.
[TimeLord_Davies] YOUR OPINIONS ARE SHIT
[schwartz] Nice Christian attitude fat boy.
[TimeLord_Davies] IF I MET YOU, I'D SPIT ON YOUR FACE
[schwartz] You wouldn't be able to reach up to my face Fat Turd without a stool
[TimeLord_Davies] WHEN IT COMES TO DEALING WITH YOUR KIND, ALL MY CHRISTIAN HAS TO BE PUT ASIDE
[schwartz] I mean look at you Lloyd. ALthough you are a physical reject, your postings show you have mental problems as well.
[TimeLord_Davies] I AM NOT A REJECT
[schwartz] Which proves my point totally doesn't it Lloyd?
[TimeLord_Davies] NOPE
[schwartz] A true Christian would never do that.
[schwartz] You're a reject Lloyd. Physically and mentally defective.
[TimeLord_Davies] AND YOUR A KIKE
[schwartz] yawn.
[schwartz] so was Jesus.
[TimeLord_Davies] JESUS WAS NOT A JEW
[schwartz] sure he was Lloyd.
[TimeLord_Davies] NOPE
[No_code] Yes he was Lloyd
[schwartz] In fact, jesus came to preach to the Jew first, then the Gentile.
[TimeLord_Davies] YEAH
[schwartz] Read your Bible Lloyd, that is, if you can actually read a Bible.
[TimeLord_Davies] I THINK YOU GET YOUR JOLLIES FROM MESSING WITH ME AND STEVE
[schwartz] you are entertaining to mess with.
[schwartz] So stupid.

[TimeLord_Davies] AND YOU ARE SO GULLIBE TOO
[schwartz] so what was your SAT score Lloyd? 1700?
[TimeLord_Davies] BUT ITS OK, YA KNOW, BECAUSE,
[No_code] The library may have the bible on tape if you have a reading problem
[TimeLord_Davies] I NEVER TOOK THE SAT
[TimeLord_Davies] I CAN READ FINE
[TimeLord_Davies] GOT IT?
[No_code] apparently you can't
[schwartz] So Lloyd, who is homo4holsten..... I mean seriously, fess up to that.
[TimeLord_Davies] IT SURE AINT ME
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] You can check the properites of the imposter, KIKE
[TimeLord_Davies] HEY STEVE
[TimeLord_Davies] LETS ROAST THIS KIKE
[schwartz] I did. It matched Lloyd's account.
[TimeLord_Davies] PUT HIM IN THE OVEN
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] It's not the same as Lloyd's
[schwartz] I already explained why.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] It did not match KIKE. Go check again
[schwartz] it did match at the time.
[schwartz] it matched the unique avatar that network54 gives.
[TimeLord_Davies] STEVE, ITS JUST ANOTHER MADE UP
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] You pointed it out to me.
[schwartz] Like when Lloyd impostering your caller id on Jon Cunningham's phone.
[TimeLord_Davies] THESE PEOPLE MAKE UP STORIES AND POSTS TO RUIN MY FINE REPUTATION
[schwartz] Nice touch lloyd.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I then went and checked, and the properties of the avater were different
[schwartz] You're reputation has been ruined long before I knew of your existance.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] They're just pissed because you are a straight white man, and not a Faggot like them
[TimeLord_Davies] NOPE
[schwartz] I checked at the time the postings were made. Exactly the same account at the time.
[TimeLord_Davies] YEP, I AM NOT A FAGGOT
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] If you want to, KIKE, we can both look at the properties right now
[schwartz] funny, those who deny it the most and talk about gay sex acts the most, are almost always gay themselves
[schwartz] at the time the homo4holsten account was done?
[TimeLord_Davies] SCHWARTZ, YOU ALWAYS START TROUBLE
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Yes, at the time that the Homo For Holsten post was done
TimeLord_Davies has left the chat.
TimeLord_Davies has joined the chat.

[schwartz] I showed it. It was the exact same number.jpg
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Wrong, KIKE
[schwartz] which was the tell it ws from Lloyd.
[TimeLord_Davies] SOMEONE COULD HAVE COPIED THE IMAGE
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] i'll be right back...
[schwartz] Now tonight, since Lloyd reloaded up his avatar, it is
109somenumber.
[TimeLord_Davies]
No_code has left the chat.
No_code has joined the chat.
[TimeLord_Davies] THAT'S JUST A NUMBER IN A TEMP DIRECTORT

Posted on Jan 10, 2005, 1:18 AM
http://www.network54.com/Forum/message?forumid=324004&messageid=1105341382

and more
by No Code
would listen to you about that.
[TimeLord_Davies] nope,
[No_code] sound it out lloyd
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I was worried at the time of having my
daughter run away with him
[schwartz] come on Steve. I know your stupid but we both know what a 22
year wants by dating a 13 year old
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] It was a delicate situation
[TimeLord_Davies] i'll read it later
[schwartz] no, read it now. Only takes a minute.
[No_code] He should be in prison now
[TimeLord_Davies] i dont have my bible handy
[schwartz] see, that verse DIRECTLY says Jesus was jewish
[No_code] or at the bottom of a lake
[schwartz] hahahaha, no code, nice.
[No_code] thanks
[TimeLord_Davies] i have to goto bed soon
[schwartz] you have to admit, it is fun to mess with these two idiots.
[No_code] demanding job?
[TimeLord_Davies] i have to see the dentist tomorrow
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] You haven't messed with any of us KIKE
[No_code] This is much better than posting to nim
[No_code] there's no gay porn
[schwartz] yea it is.
[schwartz] Steve can't flood with gay porn... correct.
[No_code] Was he the one posting the goatse movie?
[schwartz] don't know... I only view NIM using a dial up account and
block all 3rd party garbagte
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I don't flood the Nim Board, I just post want
the Faggot image that you Turds want to see
[schwartz] but why would a straight man post gay porn for others to
see?
[No_code] Gotcha, I use opera and turn off that crap too
[schwartz] Mozilla, Opera, Adshield for IE

[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Because you Turds want to see it, so I oblige you
[TimeLord_Davies] can you get that for free?
[schwartz] how do you know this Steve? Are you an expert on gay behavior or something?
[schwartz] you can get all of them for free.
[TimeLord_Davies] oh
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I'm starting to realize what you Faggots are interested in.
[schwartz] Steve, teach your bitch.
[TimeLord_Davies] ok, who wants me to do a show tomorrow nite?
[schwartz] I won't listen Lloyd.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I want you to do a show tomorrow, Lloyd
[TimeLord_Davies] how come?
[schwartz] it's childish and idiotic.
[TimeLord_Davies] your loss
[schwartz] not my loss.
[schwartz] so Steve, so you are becoming an expert on what gay people want... is that what you are saying?
[TimeLord_Davies] who knows, i may dedicate this next show to you
[schwartz] of course, I am 100% straight, but because I am, gay people dont' bother me.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Stop calling me a Pedo, and i will stop posting the jack-off pic
[schwartz] however gay people bother Steve.
[TimeLord_Davies] if I can find the song from Hal
[schwartz] I don't view that pic Steve. It only makes you look out to be the queero
[schwartz] not any one of us.
[schwartz] of course if you had some intelligence, you would see that.
[schwartz] now Steve, why do you gay people bother you so much? I know the answer.
[schwartz] because they make you face yourself.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] 99% of all nim Busters post has to do with Faggotry, so you really are in no postion to act high and mighty
[TimeLord_Davies] yeah, same here, Steve. I'd be willing to drop the Timelord stuff, if they quit calling me a lardass
[TimeLord_Davies] and a retard
[schwartz] you idiots don't realize that stuff only makes YOU LOOK STUPID.
[schwartz] it has no reflection on anyone else.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] You just keep doing what you're doing Lloyd, and I will do likewise
[TimeLord_Davies] keep what?
[schwartz] Steve will continue to post his collection of gay porn while Lloyd will keep believing in Timelords.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] THese Faggots can't get enough of us, they are completely obsesssed with you & I
[TimeLord_Davies] yep
[No_code] It's like your talking to a wall

[schwartz] yet Lloyd is the one begging for people like Roger to come get him.
[TimeLord_Davies] they probably see us under thier beds at nite
[schwartz] yup, these are two idiots.
[No_code] tweedle dee and dum
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] They probably do, Lloyd
[schwartz] please don't insult tweedle dee and dum, much more intelligent than these two idiots
[No_code] I apologize
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I've now come to the realization that these Faggots will never get over their desires to suck our Dicks
[schwartz] Lloyd, just promise us one thing.
[TimeLord_Davies] yep
[schwartz] well promise the world this one thing.
[schwartz] NEVER REPRODUCE
[TimeLord_Davies] i cant make any kind of promises
[No_code] :D That's some funny shit
[TimeLord_Davies] Lloyd Jr! lol
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I have five children already, KIKE
[schwartz] God made you a genetic defect for a reason Lloyd.
[TimeLord_Davies] i am NOT A DEFECT!
[schwartz] sure you are.
[No_code] Women sense that defect and RUN
[schwartz] you are shorter than over 98 percent of all men
[TimeLord_Davies] get over your desire to suck my dick!
[schwartz] you are grossly overweight
[schwartz] your bald.
[schwartz] but even worse... you're stupid as shit.
[TimeLord_Davies] so are alot of other men
[TimeLord_Davies] whats it to you?
[schwartz] you are shorter than 98 percent of all men.
[schwartz] then take your baldness
[schwartz] your grossly obese.
[schwartz] and you get down to a few tenths of one percent of all men.
[schwartz] then we start on your intelligence.
[TimeLord_Davies] you make it sound, like I'm the only bald and fat man on the whole earth!
[schwartz] tell me again Lloyd, that you are not a genetic defect?
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Watch out, Lloyd, the KIKE really wants to suck your Dick
[schwartz] no, I make it sound that you are a defect....
[schwartz] there goes Steve with his homosexual dreams again and talking about Lloyd's dick
[No_code] Steve's homosexuality bores me
[schwartz] of Lloyd, being the good Christian he is, enjoys it when Steve defends him that way
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I only talk about what interests you, KIKE
[No_code] he needs to embrace it
[schwartz] again, Steve claims to be an expert on what interest gay people.... except I'm not gay

Pizza_BOY has left the chat.
Anonymous_Coward has left the chat.
[TimeLord_Davies] you need to embarce a baseball bat to the back your head!
[schwartz] You better use a stool Lloyd.
[No_code] and please don't mess up my hair
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] If you're not a Faggot, thern why are you all buddy buddy with the Nim Shitters?
[schwartz] I'm not buddy buddy with any of them. I run solo pedo.
[schwartz] but you seem to see homosexuality everywhere Steve.
[schwartz] you ask any gay person and they will say you are the queero Steve.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I see you Faggots for what you are.
[TimeLord_Davies] me too, me too Steve
[schwartz] So again, you are proclaiming to be an expert on homosexuality Steve?
[schwartz] ah, Lloyd is acting like Steve's bitch now..... "me too, me too"
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] You taught me enough that there is to know about Faggotry, KIKE
[schwartz] feminine of you Lloyd.
[TimeLord_Davies] :<
[schwartz] If that were true, why do you want to be my student Steve?
[schwartz] like I said, all revolves to your homosexuality Steve.
[schwartz] or bisexuality in your case.
[TimeLord_Davies] listen, we are NOT fags
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] They wish we were Dick Sucking Queers like them
[No_code] I'm here to help Steve/Lloyd, http://www.crystalinks.com/comingout.html
[schwartz] There goes Steve dreaming of gay sex again.
[TimeLord_Davies] well, I am going to bed, nite all
[schwartz] Of course, Lloyd beings Steve's bitch... as to live with it.

[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] God Night. Lloyd
[schwartz] Lloyd, consider killing yourself.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] I'm going to bed soon, as well
[No_code] Isn't that cute
[TimeLord_Davies] nah, the world needs me
[schwartz] hahaha, yea no code....
[schwartz] 5 wpm code Lloyd can't pass.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] They need to have the thought of your Dick in thier mouth
[TimeLord_Davies] and alot of others cant either
[schwartz] we had 3 blacks in class when I learned to recieve morse and they all passed 5wpm, then 10, then 20
[Your_Great_He] They just want to suck your Dick
[TimeLord_Davies] yeah, they see me everywhere
[No_code] But Lloyd's smart, just ask his mother.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Their obsession will never die. These Fagot

Turds won
[schwartz] we had a black person in ops that was recording morse numbers sent at over 40wpm LIVE from Russian aircraft in the mid 80s
[schwartz] I could never do that.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] t be happy until you give them permissionm to suck your Dick.
[TimeLord_Davies] that will never happen
[schwartz] I like that picture of Lloyd... MY MOMMY TREATS ME SPECIAL
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] Did you jack-off to that picture, KIKE?
schwartz has left the chat.
schwartz has joined the chat.
[No_code] Lloyd is special, he's the official nim bitch
[schwartz] Lloyd does come off as a fem
[schwartz] whine, whne, whine
[No_code] Download tonights show, his voice is especially high
[schwartz] does he cry in this one?
[schwartz] oh well, this is much more fun than NIM Busters but I really have to go to bed.
[No_code] I could barely hear it. He was doing loud sound effects and his voice was high and low.
[schwartz] work you know.
[No_code] night
[schwartz] later on dude.
[No_code] Yep, I hate fucking mondays
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] The Dick suckers are leaving
[schwartz] me too..... l8r... Steve and Lloyd, hope you die.
schwartz has left the chat.
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] THe KIKE has left
[No_code] your master has left
[No_code] you guys got your asses handed to you
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] He sure wants to suck our Dick badly, huh, Llloyd?
[Your_Great_Hero,_Steve] The Fucking Hook-nosed Kike needs to realize that we are not into his Faggotry
[No_code] I'll let you two be alone for your fag chat

Posted on Jan 10, 2005, 2:16 AM

> And speaking of babbling idiots.....

And here you are, Lardass!

3577. It's a hit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 19:56:21 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <igtfl1l3uolmr8e3jubgcflimmr8uaf2g3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

It was twenty years ago today,
Roger Wiseman forced the boys to be gay
He's been going in and outa their rears
And he's made them into ravin' queers.
So watch out or I'll force on you
The act we've hated all these years,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

We're Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room
Band,
Disciples of the Bucktoothed Choad,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Sit back and watch us shoot a load.
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound, Roger Wiseman's
Greyhound,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.
It's wonderful to be here,
But Wiseman's full of crap,
The dirty goddamn pederast:
That fucker gave us syphilis,
That fucker gave us clap.

I don't really want to stop the show,
But I thought you had all better know,
That Wiseman's going to play a song,
On a stickpin that he stuck through his schlong.
So let me introduce to you
The one and only Bucktoothed Choad
And Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3578. My dick tionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 20:00:53 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <iqtfl1dg8r70k4g89sti8ivagntka6hel6@4ax.com>
Source record: 121454

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!
"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand
keyboards.
"Soem" As in Some? What a looser.
"VIRIN" What Wogie was before he met the Lavender
Lads.
"Minisule" Miniscule? Like his IQ.
"Champoin" No dictionaries in the mental ward?
"Anoinymous" A cross between anonymous and
annoying?
"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by "acident."
"Alreaedy" Alright, already!
"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.
"Aposterphe" A poster boy? Yes, for retards!
"Basios" A word Woger uses when he gets
neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing" A cross between being and behind?
"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's
curtains.
"Feamle" Female?
"Losser" Roger's the biggest loser.
"Ignroant" Typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs" Only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled" A Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss" Pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate" Well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity" He meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution" Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch" He meant Bitch, but his phonics took over
again.
"Methjadone" A West Virginia substitute for
Heroin.
"Sumbliminal" One of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle" Either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane" A West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome" Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers
were numb.
"Beraking" No definition. Could he 'beraking' the
leaves?
"Amny" He meant 'any',
"Nlay" A town in Maylaysia?
"Al;ong" Bushman word for penis.

"Becasu" Well, becasu Roger is not the brightest
bulb on the tree.
"Seperation" Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate"
from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little
fingers slipped off the
keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" prove?
"Piuzza" West Virginia Pizza
"Schol" school?
"strill" still?
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale
"Maturbater" Wiseman does it all the time, he just
can't spell it.
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale.
"Spaeaking" Curtain Boy was able to resume this
after his second
retest.
"Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.
"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.
"fserting" Festering?
"poists" Roger meant "posts".
"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?
"regualr" Wagon Wheel rendition of "regular".
"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?
"soory" Sorry, Roger fucks up again.
"didnb't" He didn't?
"whoile" He ate the whoile thing?
"Woamn" Woman? Man? It's all the same to Woger.
"prrof" And he can prove it, too.
"beforfe" Was that before he larnt to spell?
"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".
"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like
this.
"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!
"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't
spell it.
"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?
"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June
1999.
"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?
"whgo" What? Who dat?
"crfy" As in, cry, Roger, cry.
"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.
"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?
"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.
"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?
"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.
"Bouynce" Bounce?
"Varification" Verifiable mental case.
"Probelms" Poor Rogie has many problems of the
mental sort.
"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!
"Cryed" Cry me a river moron!
"Stoick" He meant stork. That's who delivered the
parentless fuck.
"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.
"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on
his mind.
"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.
"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?
"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.
"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?
"Toyus" Toyus R Us?
"Diminuative" Roger's height.
"Percriptions" Say what?
"Credibiliy" Credibelly - a kind of truthful
coward.
"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3579. ROGER, need labor info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 20:04:52 UTC
From: Rileygotcha <rgcha@wvarileygotpk.com>
Message-ID: <dj8t8i$3vv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

roger, I need to know how much salary a fry cook with
a masters degree makes in West Virginia?

figured you would be the guy to ask, knowing you have
personal experience

rileygotcha
President, mobile home owners assn.
Mudtown, WVA

3580. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 20:15:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129839330.570539.87410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Marky wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No,
> > > > > >
> > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> > > > >
> > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> > > > >
> > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
> > >
> > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
> >
> yuo were doing so well my my
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> As usual, you don't, dumbass.
>
> MORGAN, MARK
> 316 PINE ST
> MARQUETTE, MI 49855-4250
> 906-228-4596

whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe

you are now endangering the life and safety of someone you have never
met, and who i have never met

3581. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 20:38:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qqtfl1pt69p7p87cihs80oip923vbcju4q@4ax.com>
Source record: 121457

On 20 Oct 2005 12:39:49 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 05:38:25 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> cut
>
>
>Run away,Marky.
>
>> >> > How about a frigging idiot that nobody likes calling themselves "an old
>> >> > friend" when you are not anybody's friend?
>> >>
>> >> more lies I have friends not that many compared to some but enough for
>> >> me to keep up with
>> >
>> >Your hands and your child abuse victims don't count, Marky.
>>
>> well there are no victums but I still have friends.
>^^
>
>"Victims" and you don't have any friends, pervert.

yes I do of corse by your standards I suspect they are also preverts
>
>
>> BTW I suppose I realy must thank you for previding such comdey Mr.
>> Slit, we all get a laugh out of my recounting your silly posts
>
>Making fun of you and your illiteracy and stupidity I'm sure has given
>more people a chuckle, dumbass.

I realy don't know or esp care
>

>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > > and no violation of FCC rules
>> >> >
>> >> > Liar.
>> >>
>> >> none at all
>> >
>> >Liar.
>>
>> no violation of FCC rules
>
>Liar.

what voilation?

what "proof"

and if I am breaking the rules why don't you report me?

>> but still nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules
>
>Liar.
>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > > and you don't have any Tape
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure I don't Marky, sure I don't. Dumbass.
>> >>
>> >> yea I know you don't, becuase the is nothing to record of my on air
>> >> behavoir that is of any interest to Rliey
>> >
>> >You don't know anything, you obtuse little turd.
>>
>> yes I do I know quite a bit
>
>But, nobody is interested in learning how much sperm you can swallow,
>Marky.

well in point of fact I am sure somehwere there such a person but that
of course was not the point

>
>> but more to the point I know you have nothing recorded of my on air
>> behavoir that is of any interest to Riley
>
>Liar. You prove how stupid you are every time, dumbass.

even if I am stupid on the air that is not an FCC violation

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > > but if you have forged one go ahead and send it
>> >> >
>> >> > That's more your style, Marky, the child abusing liar.
>> >>
>> >> if you have a tape of me doing something improper on the air it has to
>> >> be forgery. most of my ops are nonvoice ops the only extended period of
>> >> me talking on air consists mostly of the phrase "CQ feild day CQ feild
>> >> this is..."
>> >
>> >Whine Marky, whine. Non-voice ops?????
>>
>> yes things like packet, APRS, RC flight SSTV
>
>More like you qrming, Marky.
>
>> > So you are transmitting QRM????
>>
>> not at all
>
>Yes, you do.
>
>> >And you weren't on "Field Day" either.
>>
>> yes but I was even set a new club record for contact on the band I was
>> working with most of the day
>
>No such record exists, liar.

yes it does it is a truely impressive one for a club that has never
had anyone try 6m the number is 4

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> > > but even you are dumbe enough do that
>> >> > ^^
>> >> >
>> >> > Oh, the irony.
>> >>
>> >> go for it then man
>> >
>> >Go fuck yourself, Marky.
>>
>> no thanks
>
>HAHAHAHAHA Marky is such a loser he rejects himself.
>
>> but if you want trouble then send your forged tape to the FCC, you

>> might have something good enough to cause a bit of anoyance to me, but
>> I think Riley might then be paying you a call
>
>You live in the Twilight zone, pervert.
no I think that is your address

> Tell us how much you want to be
>sub to me some more, faggot.

I only sub or Dom humans Mr slit and that let you out of the running
cut

>> >> nope
>> >
>> >Yep.
>>
>> nope
>
>Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge.

no challange was made

cut

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3582. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 20:38:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <68ufl15v2bd5puirfg0pekpd6ltk7s6o76@4ax.com>
Source record: 121458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 20 Oct 2005 12:22:03 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 05:07:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 19 Oct 2005 05:12:41 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Bernie Bignose wrote:
>> >> >> (bullshit cut)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
>> >> >> hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.
>> >> >
>> >> >He is worried, his home address is
>> >> >
>> >> cuting for the sake of decent and civl behavoir
>> >
>> >Running away, Marky the child abusing pervert?
>> >
>> >> How do you function with this obvious need of your to try and make
>> >> trouble for folks
>> >
>> >Just wait, dumbass.
>>
>> wait for what?
>
>You'll see, dumbass!
do let me when it happens I would not want to miss it

but then we are just dealing with more of your empty threats

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3583. Rockin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 20:44:46 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <vb0gl15548m6gu7u12e06ko85mfphi23g4@4ax.com>
Source record: 121459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.fatassed.woger.wiseman-dicksucker

I always like sucking on gay cock
seeing pictures of naked boys makes me rock
Never wanna be like my gay daddy
The way he stuffed his brother "fatty"

I need Raoul's cock to keep me happy
I need raoul's cock to keep me happy
HAPPY!
Baby won't you keep me happy!

I could never keep my eyes off kiddie porn
my momma wished I was never born
Raoul knows how to stick it in my ass
on my elephantine cheeks making a splash

i need raouls cock to keep me happy
i need raouls cock to keep me happy
HAPPY!
baby won't you keep me happy!

((hit me raoul))

i never could get laid by any gal
except for my daughter I can make howl
i like molesting 10 year old boys
luring them to my truck with lollipops and toys

i need raoul's cock to keep me happy
i need raoul's cock to keep me happy
HAPPY
raoul won't you keep me happy!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3584. Stevie with your deparved indifference to human life you have placed someone completely inocent in danger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 21:11:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129842699.854864.232690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121460

Stevie just this once read the whole post before you comment

by you constant and in ceasant stalking of me and publishing your
effort I may be responible fore the death of an inocent man

I can handle threat against myself but your reckless has endangered
this other mark morgan, so I have called the FBI. I got to leave a
message for the agaent in MQT (as we use in shorthand here) I hope she
will call and/or that whiny is just blowing smoke

Yes I will rsik something I will find truely humilating to protect the
safety of a fellow I have never met. You will find the fragments form
whiny at the bottom of the post. they convince he may be about to harm
a man you will know from the post IS not me or that he know someone who
about to harm.

either way I have the moral duty to act as best I can to protect this
man. rest assured if harm comes to this man, and I learn of it I do
what is in my power to see that you receive some measure of the LEGAL
blame for it. I take this oath before the Gods

a few notes form Whinyslit
whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com Oct 20, 3:09 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - Find messages by this author
Date: 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700
Local: Thurs, Oct 20 2005 3:09 pm
Subject: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Marky wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:

> > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part

> > > > > No,

> > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,

> > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been

> > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin

> > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!

> yuo were doing so well my my

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

As usual, you don't, dumbass.

MORGAN, MARK
316 PINE ST
MARQUETTE, MI 49855-4250
906-228-4596

and from another whinny slit missive
Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge. Then, after I
embarass you, you illiterate fuck, you can hop up on your crucifix and
die for the sins of all the other illiterate fucks. I know how much
you'd probably fucking enjoy stigmata, Marky; you're kinky like that.

- Hide quoted text -

and finaly from another whiny slit missive
-

3585. Is Whiny realy N0VFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 21:15:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129842921.189188.263770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121461

whiny slit has made a threat to harm possible kill a man in mqt see post titled "Stevie with your deparved indifference to human life you have placed someone completely inocent in danger" for details

I have contacted the FBI no choice even if it truns out to be an embarresing false alarm I can defend myself from mr slit or stevie or whomever

it has been posted as i recall that whiny is N0VFP, and that N0VFP has spent time in a mental ward

anyone with facts on this subject please come forward

like NYC and the subway threat and Baltimore and tunnel I can't just assume he is blowing smoke

3586. Re: Is Whiny realy N0VFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 21:34:27 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com>
Message-ID: <383gl1ptfk8r0aft9hq0gj3fa5gdb7dvgn@4ax.com>
Source record: 121462

No you dumbass, he's:

AB8MQ
ROGER L WISEMAN
610 GLEN HAVEN AVE
GLENDALE WV 26038-1302
USA

Got it? Good.

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> whiny slit has made a threat to harm possible kill a man in mqt see
> post titled "Stevie with your deparved indifference to human life you
> have placed someone completely inocent in danger" for details
>
> I have contacted the FBI no choice even if it truns out to be an
> embarresing false alarm I can defend myself from mr slit or stevie or
> whomever
>
> it has been posted as i recall that whiny is N0VFP, and that N0VFP has
> spent time in a mental ward
>
> anyone with facts on this subject please come forward
>
> like NYC and the subway threat and Baltimore and tunnel I can't just
> assume he is blowing smoke

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3587. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 21:38:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129844314.240976.208790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121463

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 20, 9:40 am

>Leo wrote:
>> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>Leo wrote:
>> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >>>If the growth doesn't happen, it means the code test wasn't really a
>> >>>problem in the first place.
>
>> > Ahem...this is a "preconditioning" artificiality of "reasons."
>> > [akin to the "do you still beat your wife?" question]
>
>> Precisely so - and, it is indicative of the assumption that code
>> testing is currently under review because it is perceived as a
>> "problem".
>
>> This is, of course, not the case.
>
>It's exactly the case, Leo.

PROVE there is a "problem," Jimmie.

>> > "Growth in numbers" is not a raison d'etre for the elimination
>> > or retention of the code test. The lack of love and worship
>> > of morsemanship should be enough.
>
>> Agreed - the review of the requirement is based entirely upon an
>> change of requirements in an international treaty.
>
>No, it isn't. Not in the USA, anyway.

The FCC could NOT issue such an NPRM (as 05-143) prior to July,
2003 because of the international agreement to abide by the
Radio Regulations of the ITU-R.

>The treaty changed more than 2 years ago, yet FCC did nothing at all
>about it.

The FCC did MUCH about it, allowing for those 18 Petitions for
change which you mention in:

> 18 petitions/proposals were filed by various groups and
>individuals. The NPRM is in response to those petitions/proposals and
>their comments.

A significant amount of effort in 2003 and 2004 was taken up
by the Comment period ON those same 18 Petitions. [that seems
to be lost by the no-change-ever morsemen]

>FCC could have simply dropped Element 1 in August of 2003. I was
>surprised that they didn't, particularly after there were at least two
>proposals to do just that.

Gotta love it...yet-another input from a self-appointed
"FCC Insider" telling us "what they could and could not do!"

>If the treaty change drove the FCC, they'd have simply issued a
>Memorandum Report and Order saying Element 1 was no longer a
>requirement. But they didn't.

Hello? Where is all the talk NOW about "getting a consensus?"
It was once a big driver in decision-making according to the
morsemen and the Believers of the Church of St. Hiram. :-)

WT Docket 05-235 pretty well shows there is NO HOPE for any
"consensus" on code testing in the USA.

>> The regulators
>> create the rules and regulations which control the hobby - it is up to
>> the amateur community to promote it and drive growth.
>
>Growth in numbers is one of the reasons repeatedly cited by those
>asking for an end to code testing.

By the PRO-code-test advocates, Jimmie, by the PCTA... :-)

Us NCTAs have been saying the code test is an OBSOLETE
REQUIREMENT for AMATEUR RADIO LICENSING. Oddly enough, the
FCC agrees with that! [sunnuvagun!]

>> >>Another view would be that it was a problem that is being fixed way
>> >>too late to repair the damage.
>
>How could it have been any different?

The Believers in code testing COULD have TRIED to compromise earlier
but they did NOT. :-)

>The code test was a treaty requirement that FCC would not violate.

Make up your mind. Earlier this post you said that the FCC
could have voided the code test on its own. Which is it?

>The testing was minimized in
>1990 by the medical waiver petition, which effectively made all classes
>available for a 5 wpm code test and a doctor's note. *Any* doctor could
>write such a note, or sign one written by the ham asking for a waiver.
>All it had to say was that it was harder-than-usual for the ham in
>question to pass the code test.

Tsk, tsk, the morsemen still believe that morsemanship is an
elemental base requirement for U.S. amateur radio...

>> >>Amateur Radio was a very popular hobby back when you and I were kids -
>> >>today, there are too many other far-more-glamorous things competing
>> >>with it.
>
>When were you a kid, Leo? Ham radio is far more popular today than when
>I was a kid.

Tell us all about the late 1940s in electronics hobby projects,
old timer... :-)

>> There have indeed been massive changes in technology over the past
>> half century. Instant communication on a global basis is available to
>> almost everyone now, affordably and from virtually anywhere.
>
>So why should *anyone* get a ham license, test or no test?

To get Status, Rank, Title of Nobility, a pretty certificate
(suitable for framing), to show that they are "better" than
others? :-)

>> >>I would think that the vast majority of the folks who are interested
>> >>in the things that Amateur Radio offers are already a part of the
>> >>hobby. Adding HF access might broaden the scope of those who did not
>> >>gain access to HF via morse testing (for whatever reasons) - but to
>> >>think for a moment that there are legions of wannabe hams who are
>> >>waiting exitedly for morse testing to be abolished so that they can
>> >>rush in and get on the air would be foolish.
>
>Yet that's what many anticodetest folks think and say.

...and they are "simply mistaken" according to you... :-)

>Fifty years ago there were maybe 150,000 US hams. Today there are over
>650,000. Where did all that growth come from? Most of it happened in
>the 70s and 80s, btw.

Where was Jimmie "fifty years ago?"

Interesting that Jimmie's claim of "most of the growth" occurring
when HE was first licensed. :-)

Jimmie tries his darndest to AVOID admitting that a large number
(over 200 thousand) no-code-test Technicians became licensed
after 1991. :-)

>What many are concerned about is that the same problems that plague cb
>will also plague amateur radio if the license requirements are reduced
>too much.

Many of those same folks say that "morse code testing will save
lives!" :-)

They also say that ending code testing is a "bad thing!"
Not to be outdone, some say it will be "the end of ham radio!" :-)

Of course, to understand that, one has to go in and READ the
2,612 filings on WT Docket 05-235 that have been filed by
midnight EDT 19 October 2005.

>> In the three years that I have held a
>> license, I have met very few people who were interested at all in
>> radio communications.
>
>That's been true for a long time - most people aren't interested in
>"radio for its own sake".

No? What is it then?

Title, Status, Privilege, "official recognition" of being better
than the average human for receiving a license?

>Then what's the "new paradigm"? Eliminate all licensing? We've seen how
>well that worked...

Oh, no, dragging out that FALSE equation again:

End of Code Testing = Ending ALL Testing

Typical PCTA ploy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3588. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 21:40:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129844448.670600.75560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121464

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 20, 3:53 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>> >On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>Leo wrote:
>> >>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>> >>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>One of the reasons repeatedly given for the elimination of
>the code test is that it is supposedly a "barrier" to "otherwise
>qualified people" who would bring "fresh, new blood" and *growth* to
>amateur radio.
>
>Were all those people wrong?

Only to old morsemen who can't grow and have old tired blood...

>> "Growth in numbers" is not a raison d'etre
>> for the elimination
>> or retention of the code test.
>
>Tell that to NCI...

WHY? I'm not afraid of any dues increas at NCI... :-)

ARRL is very much concerned with growth and numbers. If the
ham numbers decrease, their publishing business will suffer.

>> The lack of love and worship
>> of morsemanship should be enough.
>
>IOW, since *you* don't have a high regard for Morse Code
>skills, there should be no test...

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you are jumping over tall conclusions thinking
you are getting somewhere. You aren't.

Having BEGUN in HF communications 52 years ago and NEVER having
needed any morse code skill then or in all the years since, I
just don't see why it remains an amateur radio licensing test.
No other radio service bothers with using morse code for
communications now, why should amateur radio still retain a
code test because of one national membership organization and

a bunch of old morsemen fearful of losing their exclusive
radio playground?

>I don't know when Leo was a kid, but I know that when I
>got my ham license in 1967 at the age of 13, there were only about
>a quarter-million US hams - less than 40% of today's total. The US
>population back then was a lot more than 40% of what it is today.

TODAY's laws are not governed by conditions of 38 years ago.

You remain in total denial that no-code-test Technician
licensees numbered as many as 200 thousand and that the
present-day U.S. amateur radio license totals would be
definitely smaller without them. Your problem, not mine.

>> >today, there are too many other far-more-glamorous things
>> >competing with it.
>
>Such as?
>
>There was all sorts of competition when I was a kid, too.

Not at the seminary for the order of the Church of St. Hiram.

One thing for sure, you didn't have any interest in a
military experience, did you?

>> One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was
>> the USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
>> any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form
>> needed for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with
>> radio control). Excellent in large urban areas before the
>> offshore products appeared about four years later and the
>> trucking industry started buying them.
>
>But what happened after that? You stopped the story at the most
>important part, Len.

Soon to be a major motion picture? :-)

>27 MHz cb was pretty well behaved at first. But by the mid 1960s
>that service had big problems with rules violations. When the oil
>embargoes of the early 1970s hit, cb became a major tool for truckers
>and others to avoid law enforcement of the 55 mph speed limit, weigh
>stations, etc.

Jimmie, Jimmie, Jimmie...show us WHERE the truckers stay
UNDER their "legal speed limit" of 55 MPH. Having gone
cross country a few times since 1974 by motor vehicle (over
2000 miles one-way each time), the truckers do NOT obey
those "55 MPH limits." The presence or absence of a CB in
their cab has NOTHING to do with getting their cargo from
one place to its destination...so they can quickly pick up

another load and make another run...for PROFIT.

>The use of radio to intentionally violate local, state or federal law
>is clearly a violation of the Communications Act.

So...what have YOU done about this "violation of the law,"
Mr. Holier-than-Thou Morseman? Did you join the PA Highway
Patrol and get a spiffy uniform in which to catch all those
evil violators?

Did you join the FCC or the Federal Marshall's Service to
ENFORCE THE LAW?

I don't think you did. You LIKE to sit in here as a holier-
than-most everybody person and talk down to others.

>Other violations (unlicensed operation, "shooting skip", failure to
>identify, use of power far above that authorized for the service)
>became more the rule than the exception on 27 MHz cb. Indeed, some
>began to use frequencies near but outside the authorized cb channels,
>including the 10 meter amateur band.

Well? What did YOU do about it? CB on the old 11m band became
a reality in 1958, 47 years ago. If this so offends you, why
haven't you written a "sharp" report and submitted it to the
FCC? Or the ARRL? Or your elected Congresscritter?

>IMHO, one of the main reasons for that behavior was the lack of any
>sort of license test for a cb permit.

Oh, dear, I guess that shoots down about 100 million USA
citizens who use little two-way transceivers called "cell
phones!" No license, no TEST required for those!

How about those evil, NO-LICENSE-REQUIRED R/C transmitters
on their 72 MHz region channels? Aren't you worried about
UNLAWFULL ACTIVITY there, perhaps causing TVI while we still
have analog TV?

How about NO-LICENSE-REQUIRED FRS transceivers? [etc., etc...]

>That's nice, Len. But how well did the cell phone work away from the
>major interstates?

Very well, Jimmie. :-)

Had you been ON THE ROAD you would have seen lots and lots and
lots of cell site towers, some of them quite far away from the
Interstate highways. In Wyoming. In Nebraska. In Nevada. In
Utah. In many states.

>Or maybe not. The growth of US amateur radio in the 1980s (without a
>no-code-test license or medical waivers) was about the same if not
>greater than the growth in the 1990s.
>

>How do you explain that?

I "explain that" by pointing out you are still in denial that
all those no-code-test Technicians made a sizeable impact on
U.S. amateur radio license totals.

I "explain that" by pointing out you are wayyyy too deep into
love/honor/obeying old, old morsemen "rules" of yesterday by
trying to retain outmoded standards and practices in licensing.

I "explain that" by telling you and other staunch old-timer
morsemen that you will LOSE your self-perceived status as high-
rate code-tested "experts" in radio if the code test is gone.

>So what are your new paradigms, Len? Besides "dump the code test"?

To quote what another old-timer said, the amateur rules might
open up with: "Here's your rules, have a nice day." :-)

Problem really is, you old-timer morsemen fanatics just CAN'T
envision amateur radio WITHOUT any code test. It is the "heart
and soul of amateur radio!" according to a few Commenters on
WT Docket 05-235.

>Should amateur radio become like cb? No test at all? We've seen how
>well that worked...

Tsk, tsk, tsk...still using the "dropping the code test is the
end of all testing" ploy, aren't you? Dumb thing to do, Jimmie.

>You've predicted a growth of 20% in a few years if the code test goes
>away.

I "predicted it?!?" Did you get a GUARANTEE on the "prediction?"

I can't read the future, Jimmie. You apparently can, knowing all
of electronics hobby life before you ever existed. You are a
Radio god and "know all." :-)

>Will you admit you were wrong if the code test goes away and
>there isn't that much growth?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...still being the argumentative sore loser,
aintcha? :-)

Will YOU admit you are full of snit about the efficacy of morse
code in radio even when all the other radio services have given
up on it (if they ever used it from their beginning)? No, you
haven't yet and all those other radio service HAVE given up on
morse code for communications. It's predictable that you will
NEVER apologize for anything you've said..."everyone else makes
mistakes, but you never have." :-)

I don't care one way or the other what the growth of U.S. amateur
radio is AFTER the code test is eliminated. However, you think

that idle speculation is some kind of "official prediction" so
you want to make a Big Issue out of it. You already have. :-)

Why are you sitting around playing with your computer in the
middle of the day, being argumentative to others? Can't you
play with your "Southgate" thingy, working DX on HF with CW?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3589. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 21:44:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129844687.690365.55790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121465

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 20, 9:15 am show options

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:

>> >> Given the numbers that have been tallied so far, even a margin of
>> >> error of 5% misanalyzed would not result in a majority in favor
>> >> of keeping morse.
>
>> > Actually, if 5% were miscategorized, there would be a very slight
>> > majority in favor of keeping at least some code testing.
>
>> WRONG! If the current majority of 1311 (54%) went down by 5%,
>> the number would then be (1311 -66 = 1245) which still gives a
>> 52% majority in favor of the NPRM.
>I wrote:
>
>"if 5% were miscategorized"
>
>meaning if 5% were in the wrong category. Fixing that problem would
>remove 5% from one category and add 5% to another.
>
>Remove 5% from the anticodetest column and add 5% to the procodetest
>column and the majority changes.

Whoa! Whose tabulation are you talking about, Jimmie?

My own voluntary tabulation doesn't have any "anticodetest" column
nor any "procodetest" column. You must be using someone else's
that aren't posted in this newsgroup...

>> (SNIP of repeated "what if's)
>
>Why? Are any of them unreasonable?

[ludicrously argumentative, they are... :-)]

>> > Why? FCC ignored majority opinion on the
>> > issue in 1999 - do you really
>> > think the majority opinion matters now?
>
>> Actually no I don't, but it doesn't hurt the
>> nocode test cause to have a majority favoring the change.
>
>True, but note how narrow it is.

Tsk, tsk...that shouldn't matter. The World According to
Jimmie had the Restructuring NPRM Comments "favoring higher
code test rates" than the across-the-board restriction to
5 WPM. THAT was a slim majority. PCTAs thought it "okay"
since it favored code testing. :-)

>And note that the criteria used are quite vague in places. For example:
>
>Do the totals include reply comments as well as comments?

They do, but the ONLY way to CHECK that is to READ THEM,
Jimmie. ALL of them. A mere 2600+ filings.

>If the same person submits multiple comments that are not identical, or
>comments and reply comments, are they all counted, or does each
>commenter get counted only once?

Yes, no, and maybe. :-)

The ONLY WAY to CHECK that is to READ THEM, Jimmie. ALL
of them. A mere 2600+ filings.

>How is it determined if a person submits a "valid address"?

If the ECFS Comment submission ACCEPTS it and it appears on
the record. Tsk, tsk, all "FCC Insiders" should KNOW that!

>Why is the NPRM considered a comment?

What should it be considered as? :-)

>Why are the comments of an Australian not counted?

NPRM 05-143 is about UNITED STATES radio regulations, Jimmie.

Is Australia part of the United States? No? Why not?

>Is citizenship a requirement to be counted? How about residency? Why?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...all that CHILDISH questioning, like a petulant
four-year-old kid bothering Mommy. :-)

Jimmie still has to CHECK it all out by READING ALL the filings
on WT Docket 05-235. He should. He just can't accept "un-
verfied" tabulations without his decreeing that everything comes
out as he wants it. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3590. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 22:25:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <o46gl1512ac88l6scffbksih3eia9978ca@4ax.com>
Source record: 121466

On 20 Oct 2005 14:44:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 20, 9:15 am show options
>
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
cut

>>Why is the NPRM considered a comment?
>
> What should it be considered as? :-)
>
>>Why are the comments of an Australian not counted?
>
> NPRM 05-143 is about UNITED STATES radio regulations, Jimmie.
>
> Is Australia part of the United States? No? Why not?

honestly there is one I think your logic is off since it isn't a true
vote and HF form the US does (at least I am so informed) reach AU it
is not inappropreate for them to comment on our HF reg or for we yanks
to comment on their's

but i don't think that it affect enough to want to go through all the
coments myself.

it is just a though on my part
>
>>Is citizenship a requirement to be counted? How about residency? Why?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...all that CHILDISH questioning, like a petulant
> four-year-old kid bothering Mommy. :-)
>
> Jimmie still has to CHECK it all out by READING ALL the filings
> on WT Docket 05-235. He should. He just can't accept "un-
> verfied" tabulations without his decreeing that everything comes
> out as he wants it. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3591. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 23:54:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129852463.858716.72570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121467

K4YZ wrote:

> If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
> them.

I was under the impression that it's good operating practice to
>Always< answer DX on 6M, even if they are out of band.

3592. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-20 23:59:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129852750.700981.234180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121468

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2005 14:58:48 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >
> > cut
>
> >
> >Do you think the various 6M repeater plans can't have room for another
> >repeater?
> >
> >> > You told us of
> >> >
> >> >your lack of knowledge of where 6m AM activity might be found. A couple
> >> >of us told you. Now you'll undoubtedly string us along for a number of
> >> >posts as you did over the 60m "band".
> >>
> >> > Perhaps he and other associates have no 6M crystal for where the AM
> >> > activity might be found and wants a local variation.
> >>
> >> Yeah, that not being able to buy a crystal would be a significant hurdle.
> >>
> >> Sheesh.
> >
> >CW has been crammed down our throats since the 70's because some
> >thirld-worlder might not be able to purchase a microphone so I think
> >it's legitimate that a person in America might want to try out a rig
> >with a crystal already in hand without having to special order one from
> >Jan.
> >
> >Of course, your opinion is different
>
> i question your last statement. i realy don't think we are hearing
> Dave opinion at all I think we are hearing some rote he picked up over
> the years

I don't. I've seen his snobbishness before.

3593. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 01:18:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3dggl19lptefck7umrath684r2eabcj8mf@4ax.com>
Source record: 121469

On 20 Oct 2005 16:59:10 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 19 Oct 2005 14:58:48 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >
>> cut
cut
>> >
>> >CW has been crammed down our throats since the 70's because some
>> >thirld-worlder might not be able to purchase a microphone so I think
>> >it's legitimate that a person in America might want to try out a rig
>> >with a crystal already in hand without having to special order one from
>> >Jan.
>> >
>> >Of course, your opinion is different
>>
>> i question your last statement. i realy don't think we are hearing
>> Dave opinion at all I think we are hearing some rote he picked up over
>> the years
>
>I don't. I've seen his snobbishness before.

and you may be right i merely question and offer possible alterenative
explantion

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3594. Re: how a policy issue for a change...local bandplaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 01:23:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <tmggl1lfd33r9iuutnnt2guft5uf7ksfo7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121470

On 20 Oct 2005 16:54:23 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>
>
>> If you don't want to "hear from the DX", then just don't answer
>> them.
>
>I was under the impression that it's good operating practice to
>>Always< answer DX on 6M, even if they are out of band.

it also doesn't work to try and ignore em tif they can hear you they
can get kida pushy esp when a fiend for a very rare county is one (few
hams live in one the counties round here)

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3595. Re: What's wrong with being gay with woger?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 05:17:15 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vR_5f.145255$Il5.133854@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 121471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You guys are sick! That's probably why I like you so much. No, this is not a
come on.

Wayne-

"Roger Wiseman" <AB8MQ@fu.com> wrote in message
news:ks3fl1l4ucmjiv7fq6bvfafgrf5ns1j7p5@4ax.com...
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 13:51:20 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
>> > > > > > wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > >Hopefully, it'll <aids> kill a self admitted queer named
>> > > > > > >Marky.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > still preaching Hate
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Still preaching stupidity, Marky?
>> > > >
>> > > > never started
>> > >
>> > > You mean you never stopped.
>> >
>> > no asshole I mean I never started therefore...
>>
>> No, queer boy, you can't have access to my asshole. You never stopped
>> being stupid, jackass.
>>
> He can have access to mine if he doesn't mind the
> warts. The compund W has burned most of them off
> my little pecker.
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3596. Secwet MSN.COM Woger Stwikes at Lloyd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 10:18:38 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <secwet.msn.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121472

On 20 Oct 2005 05:42:14 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:

: From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Subject: Lloyd Davies admits I kicked my moms ass
: Date: 20 Oct 2005 05:42:14 -0700
: Organization: http://groups.google.com
: Lines: 9
: Message-ID: <1129812134.109204.61580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
: References: <3m3fl1lkkd5tqcah3krdai9jgklun489g8@4ax.com>
: NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.154.145

I see that you're still "not" using msn.com. It's surprising that
they haven't booted you by now. Maybe Mark has decided to have pity
on you.

OrgName: Microsoft Corp
OrgID: MSFT
Address: One Microsoft Way
City: Redmond
StateProv: WA
PostalCode: 98052
Country: US

NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Assignment
NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET

3597. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 10:35:29 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.uses.webtv@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On 20 Oct 2005 05:11:27 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:

: From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
: NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.154.145

I see that you're still using a Microsoft account. Mark has been allowing
you to keep this one, I guess:

OrgName: Microsoft Corp
OrgID: MSFT
Address: One Microsoft Way
City: Redmond
StateProv: WA
PostalCode: 98052
Country: US

NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK

: ...
: You should know, you were committed for a year to a mental institution
: after beating up your mommy when she caught you stealing money from her
: purse, Davies.

Projecting again, Woger?

3598. Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 11:33:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129894392.781685.56090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121474

more_psychotic_than_an_old friend wrote:

> Stevie just this once read the whole post before you comment

I did. Laughed when I was done.

You gotta be out of your freakin' mind, Markie!

> by you constant and in ceasant stalking of me and publishing your
> effort I may be responible fore the death of an inocent man

"your" Incessant" "responsible" "for" "innocent"

Hot Flash Hot Rod...Nothing >>I<< do in this forum has anything to
do with what YOU may choose to do "in the real world".

I am not now, nor have I ever been, STALKING you.

And if I DO get an FBI angent asking me questions about any of
this, I am going to show him/her your YEARS of rantings to that effect.

And they will see what >>I<< see, a deranged, pathological liar
with a paranoia problem!

> I can handle threat against myself but your reckless has endangered
> this other mark morgan, so I have called the FBI. I got to leave a
> message for the agaent in MQT (as we use in shorthand here) I hope she
> will call and/or that whiny is just blowing smoke

"agent"

My "reckless" WHAT, Mark?

NOTHING I have done in this forum has endangered ANYone, least of
all you.

And Mark...The L A S T thing you want ME to do is unload a ton of
your posts in this forum in the lap of a federal agent.

> Yes I will rsik something I will find truely humilating to protect the
> safety of a fellow I have never met.

"risk" "truly"

Ho Hum.

Mark, everything ABOUT you is "truly humiliating"...

> You will find the fragments form
> whiny at the bottom of the post. they convince he may be about to harm

> a man you will know from the post IS not me or that he know someone who
> about to harm.

"from"

Then that is between "whiny", you, this other "Mark Morgan", and
law enforcement.

If "whiny" made a threat, that's HIS cross to bear...Not mine.

Your "complaint" to the FBI will be recognized for what it is...A
mean spirited retaliation for the lambasting you take for your
newsgroup silliness.

> either way I have the moral duty to act as best I can to protect this
> man.

Mark, the only "moral duty" you have ever exercised, or are
exercising now, is to yourself.

You're a liar, a cheat and a coward, and this "pronouncement" of
your great "moral duty" is laughable on sooooooooooooo many levels!

> rest assured if harm comes to this man, and I learn of it I do
> what is in my power to see that you receive some measure of the LEGAL
> blame for it. I take this oath before the Gods

You were a High School drama queen, weren't you...?!?!

> a few notes form Whinyslit
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com Oct 20, 3:09 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - Find messages by this author
> Date: 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700
> Local: Thurs, Oct 20 2005 3:09 pm
> Subject: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Marky wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Whiny####_Lloyd wrote:
>
> > > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
>
> > > > > > No,
>

> > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
>
> > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
>
> > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
>
> > > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
>
> > yuo were doing so well my my
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> As usual, you don't, dumbass.
>
>
> MORGAN, MARK
> Hidden by Me
> ###-####-######

Obviously, KB9RQZ Mark Morgan, you don't give a rat's kazoo about this OTHER Mark Morgan since you publish (or re-publish...I don't follow you in the Markie/Whiny threads) this guy's address and phone number.

If you were even 1/10th of 1% sincere, you would have deleted it.

But you're not.

This whole thing is an "Oh Pity Me, The Perpetual Victim" thread.

> and from another whinny slit missive
> Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge. Then, after I
> embarass you, you illiterate ####, you can hop up on your crucifix and
> die for the sins of all the other illiterate #####. I know how much
> you'd probably ####ing enjoy stigmata, Marky; you're kinky like that.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
>
> and finaly from another whiny slit missive

Mark, seek help. Today. Right now....

Steve, K4YZ

3599. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 11:47:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129895248.460889.195000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121475

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

Huge, huge snip.....

> > On Thu, 03 Dec 1998 23:24:38 -0600, FunGuy <gws@soltec.com> wrote:
> > >Anyone in Central Illinois/Western Indiana???
> > >male and/or female welcome
> >
> > the emial does not seem to work
> >
> > bi male central illinois here i love gs stuff giving or taking
> >
> > ---
>
> and what makes you think the is me telling the turth something you
> claim I never do

Because your propensity to be an idiot exceeds your propensity to
be deceitful.

> if you went looking you could find marterail of me posing as a possible
> NeoNazi looking for friends does that make a Neo Nazi or just someone
> curious about what they are realy up to

"material" "really"

Uh huh...

So what now? You're the illiterate version of "Parco, PI"?

You're the Michigan version of "Dog, The Bounty Hunter"...?!?!

Gimme a freakin' break!

> deceit is tool.

Only for those for whom honesty is not a part of their character.

> You and I both use it.

Only 50% right there, Markie.

> I am honest enough to admit to it

That's not "honest", Markie. That's stupid...

> this repost prove again show you are obsessed about sex

No...It' proves what I have been saying about YOUR deviance and
deceit.

> assuming the under lying post is truth and not an invite for research
> paper (a question I will not answer BTW) is is my bussness not yours

"business"

COPY-CAT!

Sheeeesh....

I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
"research"...!!!

> it has nothing to do the ARS.

It has EVERYTHING to do with proving you ARE an ARSE!

Steve, K4YZ

3600. What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 11:59:00 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <8K46f.473118$5N3.307643@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To continue the thread...

'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch potatoes watch TV...

http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm

[snip]
HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were unable to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.

What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?

"Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says Eric Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are millions of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public for camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received by the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in this emergency communication strategy."

Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware to listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
[snip]

So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur community---lets see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.

TOM

3601. Re: My radio buddies are really pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:09:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129896590.921487.282220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 12:22:03 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 20 Oct 2005 05:07:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 19 Oct 2005 05:12:41 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Bernie Bignose wrote:
> >> >> >> (bullshit cut)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> ...yeah, I would bet you're also hard-up that another
> >> >> >> hurricane will hit Florida this weekend. Douchebag.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >He is worried, his home address is
> >> >> >
> >> >> cuting for the sake of decent and civl behavoir
> >> >
> >> >Running away, Marky the child abusing pervert?
> >> >
> >> How do you function with this obvious need of your to try and make
> >> trouble for folks
> >> >
> >> >Just wait, dumbass.
> >>
> >> wait for what?
> >
> >You'll see, dumbass!
> do let me when it happens I would not want to miss it

Of course you won't see it, your head is stuck far up your ass.

> but then we are just dealing with more of your empty threats

Then why are you whining about it, cunt?

3602. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:14:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129896882.706484.164160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Saggytits Lee whined:
> On 20 Oct 2005 05:11:27 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> : From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
> : NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.154.145
>
> I see that you're still using a Microsoft account. Mark has been allowing
> you to keep this one, I guess:

Mark? Marky Morgan? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA He couldn't find his head
out of his ass. Much like you couldn't find the Marshall County, WV
ARES website.

><yawn>

But you said Roger lost his Microsoft account a while back.
So you were either lying or as usual, didn't know what the fuck you
were talking about. I'd say it was the latter.

> : ...
> : You should know, you were committed for a year to a mental institution
> : after beating up your mommy when she caught you stealing money from her
> : purse, Davies.
>
> Projecting again, Woger?

IKYABWAI-ing again, Pee Wee Saggytits the child molester?

--
Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies gay lover steps on his own tiny dick
when he tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying
one "operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

3603. Lisping cocksucker Saggytits Lee whines like a little girl!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:16:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129897011.257456.319660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121479
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee whined:
> On 20 Oct 2005 05:42:14 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> : From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Subject: Lloyd Davies admits I kicked my moms ass
> : Date: 20 Oct 2005 05:42:14 -0700
> : Organization: http://groups.google.com
> : Lines: 9
> : Message-ID: <1129812134.109204.61580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> : References: <3m3fl1lkkd5tqcah3krdai9jgklun489g8@4ax.com>
> : NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.154.145
>
> I see that you're still "not" using msn.com.

But you said a few weeks or so ago, that Roger lost his MSN account.
Looks like you didn't know what the fuck you were talking about again.

Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

3604. Re: What's wrong with being gay with woger? <-Lardass shows off his cocksucking lisp!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:19:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129897146.082442.6860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> You guys are sick! That's probably why I like you so much. No, this is not a
> come on.
>
> Wayne-

Little Lloydie is a sicko. He beat his mommy after she caught him
stealing money from her purse and was sent for a year to a mental
institution in Iowa.

3605. Re: Is Whiny realy N0VFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:22:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129897354.526079.204720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121481

Lloyd Davies wrote:
> No you dumbass, he's:

No, Lloydie, you posted it.
Lloyd Davies, N0VFP has an MSN account, lloyd_vfp@msn.com and he
sockpuppets from other accounts as well.

>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > whiny slit has made a threat to harm possible kill a man in mqt see
> > post titled "Stevie with your deparved indifference to human life you
> > have placed someone completely inocent in danger" for details

God are you a fucking imbecile.

> > I have contacted the FBI no choice even if it truns out to be an
> > embarresing false alarm I can defend myself from mr slit or stevie or
> > whomever
> >
> > it has been posted as i recall that whiny is N0VFP, and that N0VFP has
> > spent time in a mental ward

Yep.

> > anyone with facts on this subject please come forward
> >
> > like NYC and the subway threat and Baltimore and tunnel I can't just
> > assume he is blowing smoke

While you are blowing hard penises, huh, Marky?

3606. Re: Rockin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:24:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129897442.456781.7010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris

Marky Morgan wrote:
> I always like sucking on gay cock

Poor Marky. Die of your aids, faggot.

3607. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:28:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129897717.066221.92750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121483

```
kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 12:39:49 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 20 Oct 2005 05:38:25 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > > On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> cut
> > >
> > >
> > >Run away,Marky.
> > >
> >> >> > How about a frigging idiot that nobody likes calling themselves "an old
> >> >> > friend" when you are not anybody's friend?
> >> >>
> >> >> more lies I have friends not that many compared to some but enough for
> >> >> me to keep up with
> >> >
> >> >Your hands and your child abuse victims don't count, Marky.
> >>
> >> well there are no victums but I still have friends.
> >^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> >"Victims" and you don't have any friends, pervert.
>
> yes I do of corse by your standards I suspect they are also preverts

No, just you, pervert Marky.

> >
> >
> >> BTW I suppose I realy must thank you for previding such comdey Mr.
> >> Slit, we all get a laugh out of my recounting your silly posts
> >
```

> >Making fun of you and your illiteracy and stupidity I'm sure has given
> >more people a chuckle, dumbass.
>
> I realy don't know or esp care

That why you whine so much, Marky?

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > and no violation of FCC rules
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Liar.
> >> >>
> >> >> none at all
> >> >
> >> >Liar.
> >>
> >> no violation of FCC rules
> >
> >Liar.
>
> what voilation?
>
What's "voilation?"

> what "proof"

You know.

> and if I am breaking the rules why don't you report me?

Who said I didn't?

>
> >> but still nothing of my on air behavoir violetes FCC rules
> >
> >Liar.
> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > and you don't have any Tape
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure I don't Marky, sure I don't. Dumbass.
> >> >>
> >> >> yea I know you don't, becuase the is nothing to record of my on air
> >> >> behavoir that is of any interest to Rliey
> >> >
> >> >You don't know anything, you obtuse little turd.
> >>

> >> yes I do I know quite a bit
> >
> >But, nobody is interested in learning how much sperm you can swallow,
> >Marky.
>
> well in point of fact I am sure somehwere there such a person but that
> of course was not the point

Doubtful.

> >
> >> but more to the point I know you have nothing recorded of my on air
> >> behavoir that is of any interest to Riley
> >
> >Liar. You prove how stupid you are every time, dumbass.
>
> even if I am stupid on the air that is not an FCC violation

You are stupid everywhere!

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > but if you have forged one go ahead and send it
> >> >> >
> >> >> > That's more your style, Marky, the child abusing liar.
> >> >>
> >> >> if you have a tape of me doing something improper on the air it has to
> >> >> be forgery. most of my ops are nonvoice ops the only extended period of
> >> >> me talking on air consists mostly of the phrase "CQ feild day CQ feild
> >> >> this is..."
> >> >
> >> >Whine Marky, whine. Non-voice ops?????
> >>
> >> yes things like packet, APRS, RC flight SSTV
> >
> >More like you qrming, Marky.
> >
> >> > So you are transmitting QRM????
> >>
> >> not at all
> >
> >Yes, you do.
> >
> >> >And you weren't on "Field Day" either.
> >>
> >> yes but I was even set a new club record for contact on the band I was
> >> working with most of the day
> >
> >No such record exists, liar.

>
> yes it does it is a truely impressive one for a club that has never
> had anyone try 6m the number is 4

Bull.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > but even you are dumbe enough do that
> >> >> > ^^
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Oh, the irony.
> >> >>
> >> >> go for it then man
> >> >
> >> >Go fuck yourself, Marky.
> >>
> >> no thanks
> >
> >HAHAHAHAHA Marky is such a loser he rejects himself.
> >
> >> but if you want trouble then send your forged tape to the FCC, you
> >> might have something good enough to cause a bit of anoyance to me, but
> >> I think Riley might then be paying you a call
> >
> >You live in the Twilight zone, pervert.
> no I think that is your address
>
> > Tell us how much you want to be
> >sub to me some more, faggot.
>
> I only sub or Dom humans Mr slit and that let you out of the running

That's not what the sheep farmers in the UP say, Marky.

> cut

Run away, Marky.

> >> >> nope
> >> >
> >> >Yep.
> >>
> >> nope
> >
> >Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge.
>
> no challange was made

No you are not a challenge, that's for sure.

>
> cut

Run away, Maıky.

3608. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:30:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129897810.814257.33580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Marky wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
> > > >
> > > yuo were doing so well my my
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > As usual, you don't, dumbass.
> >
> > MORGAN, MARK
> > 316 PINE ST
> > MARQUETTE, MI 49855-4250
> > 906-228-4596
>
> whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe

Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
a pussy.

> you are now endangering the life and safety of someone you have never
> met, and who i have never met

Bull. You are stupid, Marky.

3609. Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:33:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129897983.347923.263730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass the dumbass wrote:
> roger, I need to know how much salary a fry cook with

Roger doesn't work as a fry cook, pizza BOY!

> a masters degree makes in West Virginia?

Well, that would leave you out, since you only have a G.E.D. Davies.
Roger nevcer claimed to have a Master's degree, dumbass, he said he had
credits towards one. As usual, you screw your lies up.

>
> figured you would be the guy to ask, knowing you have
> personal experience

Sort of like how we could ask you what's it like to go a lifetime
without having sex with a woman, right?

3610. How to speak Mongolloyd like Mongolloyd Davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:35:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129898152.293170.65400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121486

"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also "forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters
"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity
"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?
"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"
"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!
"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"
"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"

"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated"
Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as
'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language
skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do
get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in
your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30
year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken
"seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from
announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite,
nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his
FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"
"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law
suit
"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn
"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth
feel fresh
"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over
English grammar
idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....

"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does "dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is "disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus spirit.....
"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school
"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"
"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven
"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!

"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream"
of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of
stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd
talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's"
them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd
revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head
collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his
stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging
with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's
department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school
because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared
of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said
about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack
thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad
LARDASS didn't gain any language skills
"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy
by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd
"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain
neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears
"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!
"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and
write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest
L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy

apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has
"despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say
"sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses"
on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity
and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't
care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to,
to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe
illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get
rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that
I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not
here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby
tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the
impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS
Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile
"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never
are though.
"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well
"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to
get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never
had to pretend to be stupid, either!
"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza
delivery and trashcan robots is really something
to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....

"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman." - I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's "doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."
"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.
"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!, and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.
"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.

"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity
"cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its'
"entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of
leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love
of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light
a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky
protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" -
Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup,
Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is
in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an
even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises
about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he
"connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's
and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring
such a mentally ill retard.
"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!
"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and
pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.
"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites
by now
"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in
Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten

in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets "harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide "whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck ups.
"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.
"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".
"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity "activations" ready to show themselves.
"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a pizza order taker seriously.
Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!
"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good

spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not "bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a 90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off" himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so "useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper, "don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a

charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."
"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.
"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.
"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.
"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.
"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".
"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".
"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.
"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.
"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!
"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.
"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.
"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."
"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!
"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.
"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again
"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.
"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.

"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.
"tribes" - Mongolloyd's "tribe's" name is Retardos!
"abou" - "About" this time, Lardass the alcoholic fucks up!
"till" - "Until" Mongolloyd learns English, he'll always be ridiculed.
"din't" - Mongolloyd "didn't" ever finish high school!
"stuipd" - Lardass Davies is really "stupid," isn't he?
"are we know" - Mongolloyd has a hard time posting in English. Being more stupid, "are we now," Lardass?
"I just you like a brother" - Mongolloyd would take it up the ass from you just like he did from his brother, too.
"I've been to two Hamfest" - Mongolloyd's never been to two English classes, though!
"Wogie" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucker's lisp.
"his paint size" - Mongolloyd wears size 50 "pants," does he also wear "paint" because he is a walking billboard for obesity?
"HAMFEST'S" - Mongolloyd has never shown at any "hamfests"! He's too big of a coward!
"Woger" - Lardass likes to think of "Roger" when he sucks nigger dicks!
"West Vagina" - Yes, "Virginia," Mongolloyd hasn't ever seen any vagina, west or east, north or south.
"reconize" - Mongolloyd, your fuckups are easy to "recognize"
"thopught" - Mongolloyd never has any "thoughts", except to show off his lisp again!
"Who hear likes" - Mongolloyd nobody likes you, "here" or anywhere!
"Wogie" - Lardass Lloydie's lisp exposes him again!
"really beated" - Mongolloyd really "beats" off, since he can't get a woman.
"Woger" - Mognolloyd makes like faggot.
"Curtain's" - Mongolloyd always fucks up when he hides behind his "curtains" of sockpuppets.
"cocksuckers" - Lardass always fucks up and punctuates incorrectly, must be his "cocksucker's" lisp.
"spinctor" - Mongolloyd has the "spinchter" muscle the size of a #10 washtub, since his drunk daddy, his felon older brother and his mommy's dildoe has stretched it out.
"reconized" - Lardass Davies stupidity is easily "recognized".
"porpuse" - Mongolloyd's stupidity is on "purpose"!
"worlds" - Mongolloyd is the "world's" worst speller!

"comfortbale" - Mongolloyd confessed he is "comfortable" looking at dicks all day long.
"For the recod" - For the "RECORD," Mongolloyd is retarded!
"all these yours" - "All these years" of posting, and Lardass has yet to post in English.
"I have no worked for 22 days straight" - Too bad you don't concentrate on your English for the same legnth of time, Porky!
"Roger'" - "Roger's" what's always on your little mind, Porky!
"Shittpacker" - Mongolloyd has a "shitpacker" for a father.
"revoaked" Mongolloyd your life will soon be "revoked."
"Upeset?" BWHAHAHAHAHA..Mongolloyd you get "upset" so easily, BITCH!
"knumskull" - Mongolloyd, I could fucking care less since I'm not Catholic, you fucking "Numbskull"
"BEVERY FUCKNH AWARE" - Mongolloyd should "BE VERY FUCKING AWARE" of his surroundings and who is watching him!
"orafice" - Mongolloyd has had a big greasy dick stuck in every "ORFICE" in his obese body.
"YOUR STILL" Mongolloyd, "you're still" a fucking imbecile!
"Funn" - Mongolloyd's face sure is "FUNNY" looking.
"Your whole life has past you by." - Lardass, your life has already "passed" you by the minute you were shit out of your whore mommy.
"Eldery" - If you were a bit more "ELDERY," Porky ,you would be a better speller.
"Jelous" - Nobody, I repeat nobody is "JEALOUS" of a retard like Mongolloyd Davies!

"He 4F for the Air Force" - Wrong, Mongolloyd, you are 4F for LIFE!
"plagarize" - Mongolloyd, you couldn't even "plagiarize" from "See Jack Run" correctly.
"Is like our her Steve and Lloyd" - Mongolloyd fucks up again! Mongolloyd calls himself and Holstan a "her."

"to much" - Mongolloyd tries to communicate in English but it's just "too much" for his tiny brain to handle.
"beutiful" - Mongolloyd is as "beautiful" as a WART HOG!
"Trough" - Mongolloyd can't get that everybody sees that he is fucking stupid "THROUGH" his malformed skull.
"would all upset" - Try posting in English, DUMBFUCK Davies!
"backpedd;e" - Mongolloyd "backpedals" when he gets upset and misspells words!
"Good are you ever pathetic" - Mongolloyd, Goddamn, are YOU ever pathetic when you fuck up like that.
"Make ne" - Mongolloyd is trying to say "make me" like a little girl, but naturally, he fucks it up! HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
"I'm not into, unlike you" - Mongolloyd isn't into looking smart, either.
"Rare end" - Lardass, you "rear end" is so big, when you back up, you beep.
"hygienne" - Mongolloyd hasn't got any "hygiene" habits.
"suprise" - "SURPRISE", Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"poved" - Mongolloyd "proved" he is a fucking idiot once again!

"Momma" "marbels"- Your Crackwhore "Mama" knows you don't have all your "marbles", Porky!
"secoondly" - Mongolloyd is trying to count the times he has given blowjobs "secondly"
"atten" - Lardass you didn't "attend" school, either!
"backwerds" -Mongolloyd is always ass-"backwards!"
"Were" - "We're" sure you are a fucking moron, Mongolloyd!
"he's laugh and go on" - Lardass we laugh at your fat dumbass, and go on with our fucking lives, but you don't have a life!
"tradion" - Mongolloyd's "tradition" is to fuck up!
"Mitzah" - Porky the Jew didn't have anything to cut off at the Bar "Mitzvah!"
"mines for his baby batter" - Mongolloyd shouldn't drink , take drugs and post!
"Ralley" - Mongolloyd should try to "rally" his few remaining brain cells!
"Vagina" - Something Lardass Davies has only sees when his whore mommy shit him out.
"Your welcome" - Mongolloyd, "you're" one stupid fucking retard.
"Llouyds" - "Lloyd's" such a fucking DUMBASS, he can't even spell his own name correctly!
"stagnanat?" - Do you mean "stagnant" like what is between your fucking Dumbo ears, Mongolloyd?
"accomadations" - Do you mean "accommodations" which are quite unlike your flithy HUD apartment in the Athens, AL ghetto?
"oppurtunity" - Mongolloyd never misses an "opportunity" to be stupid.
"Stubbenville" - Mongolloyd couldn't find WV on the map much less "Stubenville, Ohio."
"copy & past" - Mongolloyd spams with his "copy & paste" of every fucking idiotic thing he sees!
"appretince" - Mongolloyd makes good spell for a quarter-wit "apprentice".
"glorius" - Mongolloyd make "glorious" FUCK UP!
"weekened" - Mongolloyd's "weekend" consists of getting drunk and whining about another man! That is, between delivering pizzas.
"Woger mkae" - "Roger make"s Mongolloyd look like a fool, time and time again!
"noddle" - Lardass your little "noodle" of a dick is like a fucking shard of thin spaghetti!
"usae" - Mongolloyd never "use"s any fucking sense or correct spelling.
"I ambored" - Mongolloyd, you are an idiot as well!

Mongolloyd make good spells!

3611. It's rather lame, like you and Roaul, Davies! Keep Dancing with yourself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 12:38:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129898309.891512.146730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Dancing With Myself
(Billy Idol, 1984/ guess who, 2004)

On the floor of christian dances I show
Or the Wagon Wheel gay Bar I a-go, go
With natural selection
I always get rejection
I'm dancing with myself

When there's no-one else in sight
In the lonely LARDASS Lloyd night
Well I wait so long
For a love connection
And I'm dancing with myself

Oh dancing with myself
Oh dancing with myself
Well it's the Lord I choose
And I never have screwed
I'll be dancing with myself

If I looked all over the world
And there's every type of girl
But you pick the good looking guys
And always pass me by
Leave me dancing with myself

So I drink another drink
'Cause it'll give me time to think
If I didn't have this fat gut
I'd actually get a fuck
But I'll be dancing with myself

Oh dancing with myself
Oh dancing with myself
Well I'm addicted to food
And I have 80 pounds to lose
I'll be dancing with myself

If I looked all over the world
And there's every type of girl
But you pick other guys
And always pass me by
Leave me dancing with myself

So I drink another drink
'Cause it'll give me time to think
If I had the chance
I'd get some romance
But I'll be dancing with myself

Oh dancing with myself
Oh dancing with myself
If I had the chance
I'd ask to get into your pants
If I had the chance
I'd have some romance
If I had the chance
I'd get hair implants

[Fade chorus]

Dancing with myself
Dancing with myself
Dancing with myself
Dancing with myself

Well If I looked all over the world,
And I've never had a girl
Because I study the guys
Not the girls' thighs
Leave me dancing with myself

So I drink another drink
'Cause it'll give me time to think
If I had just the chance
I'd get into your pants
But I'll be dancing with myself

Oh dancing with myself
Oh dancing with myself
If I just had the chance
I'd get into your pants
If I had the chance
I'd have some romance
If I had the chance
I'd get hair implants

sweat sweat sweat sweat sweat
oh oh oh oh

3612. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 13:01:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0rohl15fv8lf4lrl0q6iaumdk6ve31baoi@4ax.com>
Source record: 121488

On 21 Oct 2005 04:33:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1129894392.781685.56090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>more_psychotic_than_an_old friend wrote:
>
>> Stevie just this once read the whole post before you comment
>
> I did. Laughed when I was done.
>
> You gotta be out of your freakin' mind, Markie!
>
>> by you constant and in ceasant stalking of me and publishing your
>> effort I may be responible fore the death of an inocent man
>
> "your" Incessant" "responsible" "for" "innocent"
>
> Hot Flash Hot Rod...Nothing >>I<< do in this forum has anything to
>do with what YOU may choose to do "in the real world".
>
> I am not now, nor have I ever been, STALKING you.
>
> And if I DO get an FBI angent asking me questions about any of
>this, I am going to show him/her your YEARS of rantings to that effect.

"agent"

> And they will see what >>I<< see, a deranged, pathological liar
>with a paranoia problem!
>
>> I can handle threat against myself but your reckless has endangered
>> this other mark morgan, so I have called the FBI. I got to leave a
>> message for the agaent in MQT (as we use in shorthand here) I hope she
>> will call and/or that whiny is just blowing smoke
>
> "agent"

LOL!!!

> My "reckless" WHAT, Mark?
>
> NOTHING I have done in this forum has endangered ANYone, least of
>all you.
>
> And Mark...The L A S T thing you want ME to do is unload a ton of
>your posts in this forum in the lap of a federal agent.

>
>> Yes I will rsik something I will find truely humilating to protect the
>> safety of a fellow I have never met.
>
> "risk" "truly"
>
> Ho Hum.
>
> Mark, everything ABOUT you is "truly humiliating"...
>
>> You will find the fragments form
>> whiny at the bottom of the post. they convince he may be about to harm
>> a man you will know from the post IS not me or that he know someone who
>> about to harm.
>
> "from"
>
> Then that is between "whiny", you, this other "Mark Morgan", and
>law enforcement.
>
> If "whiny" made a threat, that's HIS cross to bear...Not mine.
>
> Your "complaint" to the FBI will be recognized for what it is...A
>mean spirited retaliation for the lambasting you take for your
>newsgroup silliness.
>
>> either way I have the moral duty to act as best I can to protect this
>> man.
>
> Mark, the only "moral duty" you have ever exercised, or are
>exercising now, is to yourself.
>
> You're a liar, a cheat and a coward, and this "pronouncement" of
>your great "moral duty" is laughable on sooooooooooooo many levels!
>
>> rest assured if harm comes to this man, and I learn of it I do
>> what is in my power to see that you receive some measure of the LEGAL
>> blame for it. I take this oath before the Gods
>
> You were a High School drama queen, weren't you...?!?!
>
>> a few notes form Whinyslit
>> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com Oct 20, 3:09 pm show options
>>
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
>> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - Find messages by this author
>> Date: 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700
>> Local: Thurs, Oct 20 2005 3:09 pm
>> Subject: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.
>> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

>> original | Report Abuse
>>
>> - Hide quoted text -
>> - Show quoted text -
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > Marky wrote:
>> > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > Whiny####_Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> > > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
>>
>> > > > > > No,
>>
>> > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
>>
>> > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
>>
>> > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
>>
>> > > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
>>
>> > yuo were doing so well my my
>>
>> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>>
>> As usual, you don't, dumbass.
>>
>>
>> MORGAN, MARK
>> Hidden by Me
>> ###-####-######
>
> Obviously, KB9RQZ Mark Morgan, you don't give a rat's kazoo about
>this OTHER Mark Morgan since you publish (or re-publish...I don't
>follow you in the Markie/Whiny threads) this guy's address and phone
>number.
>
> If you were even 1/10th of 1% sincere, you would have deleted it.

Past tense......

> But you're not.

.....present tense. (If you are going to whine about spelling you
might just as well exercise the use of proper grammar, too!)

> This whole thing is an "Oh Pity Me, The Perpetual Victim" thread.
>
>> and from another whinny slit missive

>> Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge. Then, after I
>> embarass you, you illiterate ####, you can hop up on your crucifix and
>> die for the sins of all the other illiterate #####. I know how much
>> you'd probably ####ing enjoy stigmata, Marky; you're kinky like that.
>>
>> - Hide quoted text -
>>
>> and finaly from another whiny slit missive
>
> Mark, seek help. Today. Right now....
>
>Steve, K4YZ

That doesn't mean much coming from a person who impersonantes a
retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3613. ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 13:25:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank "I Got Court Martialed Twice Because I'm A Loser" Silliland
wrote:

> That doesn't mean much coming from a person who impersonantes a
> retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.

Who's impersonating a retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant?

No doubt you don't persue that Amateur ticket because those two
courts martials carry federal weight...Sure would hate to see your name
on one of those public actions from the FCC wherein they revert your
application to "pending" status due to "character issues" after they
find oout...And Frankie, they WILL find out.

Those of us who Honorably served in the Marines don't have those
concerns.

Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
be a liar, a cheat and a coward, Frankie. You swore an oath you
wouldn't keep and took the government's money in the process.

There's an "impersonator" here, you lying coward, but it's not me.

It's you.

Steve, K4YZ

Steve, K4YZ

3614. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 13:32:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129901545.318616.263020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe
>
> Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
> a #####.

He is a liar and he is a...well...you said it...but this time he's
got it right...He has a street address in Chassell. My only regret is
we can't get him to move a mile or two farther north...In
November...with ankle weights...

Whoever the other one is, it's not him.

Steve, K4YZ

3615. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 13:44:11 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <d8b78$4358f907$4fc4559$30743@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

New Orleans warning
Some Web sites serve as a pulpit for those who believe that God sent Katrina to smite New Orleans for its sinning ways and to send a warning to the rest of the nation.

"Although the loss of lives is deeply saddening, this act of God destroyed a wicked city," says conservative anti-gay activist Michael Marcavage on the site RepentAmerica.com. He says New Orleans was punished for a "public celebration" of homosexuality, wanton drunkenness and show of flesh.

Alabama state Sen. Henry E. "Hank" Erwin Jr., a Republican, expressed a similar view in a weekly column he writes for news outlets. "New Orleans and the Mississippi Gulf Coast have always been known for gambling, sin and wickedness," he wrote. "It is the kind of behavior that ultimately brings the judgment of God."

Ace - WH2T

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129724104.450203.256930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace wrote:
>> From the Bible (New International Version)
>> Leviticus 18:22
>> 22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
>> Punishments for Sin
>> Leviticus 20:13
>>
>> 13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them
>> have
>> done what is detestable.
>> They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "
>>
>> ..... Pardon my grammar , But - Aids kills fags dead.
>> Ace - WH2T
>
> Boy, God is really intolerant, isn't he? lol!
>
>> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129663088.453844.176010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:

>> >> > >
>> >> > > while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a
>> >> > > problem
>> >> > > for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that
>> >> > > is
>> >> > > not a matter of public policy
>> >> > >
>> >> > > it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
>> >> >
>> >> > STFU, child abuser.
>> >>
>> >> more hate
>> >
>> > More stupidity from Marky the child abuser.
>> >>
>> >> more lies
>> >
>> > Only from you, liar.
>> >
>> >> only in America
>> >
>> > Marky confesses to being anti-American, imagine that!
>> >
>

3616. FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 14:05:35 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129903535.253354.121770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121491
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

3617. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 14:19:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k5uhl1pm9kmh9ojl2a1s7mcjgvuqki1fai@4ax.com>
Source record: 121492
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?

On 21 Oct 2005 07:05:35 -0700, "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
wrote in <1129903535.253354.121770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>What is Ham Radio?
>
>Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
>are interested in the science of radio wave
>propagation and who are also interested in the
>way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
>tradition of providing a source of engineers who
>are born naturals.
>
>Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
>fascination with all things technical and gives
>an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
>knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
>This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
>with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
>gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
>Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
>they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
>else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
>the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
>users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
>are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
>are qualified to design, build and then
>operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
>with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
>equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
>to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>
>The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
>relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
>his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
>of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
>generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
>the latter that communication with like-minded technically
>motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
>development grows with the years
>and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal

>of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
>be learnt and applied.
>
>The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
>with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
>the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
>competitions and fox-hunts.
>
>-----OOOOO----
>
>However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
>desirable thing to have that there are large
>numbers of people who wish to be thought of
>as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
>of the kind! Usually such people are a
>variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
>radios off the shelf and send them back to be
>repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
>and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
>their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
>they are free with rather silly personal insults;
>they have not satisfied any technical qualification
>and their licences prevent the use of
>self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
>These CB types engage in the competitive activities
>with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
>in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
>No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
>
>-----ooooo-----
>
>One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
>from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
>difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
>perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
>perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
>no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
>GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
>could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
>such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
>telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
>technical pursuit.
>
>A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
>a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
>sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
>tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
>Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of

>a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
>issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
>M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
>
>-----ooooo-----
>
>One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
>Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
>apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
>licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
>the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
>bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
>intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
>
>6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
>enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
>for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
>the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
>of the imagination!
>
>Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
>or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
>degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3618. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 14:30:39 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129905039.542653.237720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121494
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Because although CBers are in a different intellectual
class to Radio Hams, it is neither my wish to insult
them nor to enter into a discourse with them.

CBers have the right to besport their habits in the
appropriate place as do all the lower classes, which
in this context is rrcb (and urrcb).

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
>
>
>
> On 21 Oct 2005 07:05:35 -0700, "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
> wrote in <1129903535.253354.121770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >What is Ham Radio?
> >
> >Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> >are interested in the science of radio wave
> >propagation and who are also interested in the
> >way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> >tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> >are born naturals.
> >
> >Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> >fascination with all things technical and gives
> >an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> >knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
> >
> >This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> >with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> >gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
> >
> >Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> >they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> >else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> >the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> >users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> >are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> >are qualified to design, build and then
> >operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> >with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> >equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort

> >to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
> >
> >The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> >relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> >his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> >of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> >generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> >the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> >motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> >development grows with the years
> >and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> >of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> >be learnt and applied.
> >
> >The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> >with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> >the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> >competitions and fox-hunts.
> >
> >-----OOOOO----
> >
> >However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> >desirable thing to have that there are large
> >numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> >as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> >of the kind! Usually such people are a
> >variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> >radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> >repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> >and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> >their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> >they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> >they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> >and their licences prevent the use of
> >self-designed-and-built equipment.
> >
> >These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> >with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> >in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
> >
> >No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
> >
> >-----ooooo-----
> >
> >One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
> >from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
> >difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
> >perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
> >perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
> >no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a

> >GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
> >could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> >such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> >telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> >technical pursuit.
> >
> >A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> >a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> >sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> >tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> >Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
> >a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> >issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> >M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
> >
> >-----ooooo-----
> >
> >One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> >Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> >apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> >licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> >the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> >bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> >intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
> >
> >6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> >enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> >for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> >the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
> >of the imagination!
> >
> >Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> >or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> >degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3619. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 14:45:05 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129905905.215926.35640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe
> >
> > Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
> > a ######.
>
> He is a liar and he is a...well...you said it...but this time he's
> got it right...He has a street address in Chassell.

Perhaps the Marquette one is a former address.

> My only regret is
> we can't get him to move a mile or two farther north...In
> November...with ankle weights...

Indeed. Go jump in Lake Superior the middle of January, Marky.

> Whoever the other one is, it's not him.

Like I said, probably an former address.

3620. Re: Stevie with your deparved indifference to human life you have placed someone completely inocent in danger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 14:46:58 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129906018.439691.25720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121496

Poor Marky, so stupid.....What whiny is saying is for you to hop up by yourself, retard. No threats are in his statement, dumbass. He's telling you to kill yourself.

3621. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 14:47:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vduhl1t35ac28tbkf4gdfsj624e3o91qok@4ax.com>
Source record: 121497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank "I Got Court Martialed Twice Because I'm A Loser" Silliland
>wrote:
>
>> That doesn't mean much coming from a person who impersonantes a
>> retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.
>
> Who's impersonating a retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant?

You are. What were those "seven hostile actions" you claimed to have
been in?

> No doubt you don't persue that Amateur ticket because those two
>courts martials carry federal weight...

"pursue" "courts-martial"

>Sure would hate to see your name
>on one of those public actions from the FCC wherein they revert your
>application to "pending" status due to "character issues" after they
>find oout...And Frankie, they WILL find out.

I have no problem telling anyone about my military career, including
to the FCC. The courts-martial weren't serious enough to revoke my
security clearance, so I doubt the FCC will have any problem with
them. But why are they such a thorn in -your- paw?

And BTW..... "out"

> Those of us who Honorably served in the Marines don't have those
>concerns.

No, we don't, and it's too bad that you can't include yourself in that
category.

> Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
>be a liar, a cheat and a coward, Frankie.

Hardly. The first court-martial was the result of a bad decision that
I made and I paid for it. And as I explained before, the second was
because I stood up to my superiors when they were wrong, an action for
which I was vindicated. How does that make me "a liar, a cheat and a

coward", Dudly?

Now how did you get your medical discharge upgraded to an honorable
with full retirement when you claimed to have served only 18 years?

> You swore an oath you
>wouldn't keep and took the government's money in the process.

Actually, they kept -my- money. I signed up on the GJ bonus program
and I held up my end of the deal, but they never paid up.

> There's an "impersonator" here, you lying coward, but it's not me.
>
> It's you.
>
>Dudly, K4YZ

So why, after 18 alleged years as an avionics tech in the USMC, had
you never heard of the VINSON system?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3622. Re: It's rather lame, like you and Roaul, Davies! Keep Dancing with yourself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 14:47:35 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129906055.471494.29560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

My Daleks will exterminate you!

3623. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 15:06:04 UTC
From: panteltje@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129907164.767284.255910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121499
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Polymath wrote:
> Because although CBers are in a different intellectual
> class to Radio Hams,
You just demonstrated that you are one of the worst discrimination
idiots I
have ever met on Usenet, and I have been on Usenet for 13 years.
On radio much much longer.
Look little motherf*cker, there are even professors on CB.
And there are clearly complete idiots like you that accidently got
an amateur radio license on the amateur bands.

Even if you get an amateur license, you are STILL an amateur.

Actually I worked in professional broadcasting and designed
professional
transmitters.
You just convinced me NOT to listen on amateur frequencies.
F*cking idiot moron.
hehe

3624. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 15:11:05 UTC
From: zzz <hoho@hohozzz.net>
Message-ID: <djb0di$k1l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Your lady boss have you back on the deep fryer again Roger?

3625. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 15:35:05 UTC
From: Unknown <nope@way.cc>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.10.21.15.35.08.150599@way.cc>
Source record: 121401
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 07:05:35 -0700, Polymath wrote:

> What is Ham Radio?
>
Ham Radio is a hobby for the type of people who would be in local
government but got thrown out for not being progressive enough. If your
favourite hobby is hosting a committee or writing a new regulation then
this is the hobby for you.

To be a "proper" radio amateur you agree with one or more of the following :

1) I've voted Tory all my life
2) Im Over 50
3) Im Buracratic
4) I smoke a pipe or cigar
5) Im not a racist, I just don't like
-Foreign people
-People who speak differently
-People who are not the same colour as me

6) HF is the one true mode, real radio doesn't use a microphone
7) In my day it was all CW *yawn*
8) Repeaters are for morons
9) Dam young people, fools the lot of them ra ra ra ! Join the RSGB ...
10) Data isn't a 'real' mode
11) Whats wrong with the licence as it is - I see nothing wrong.
12) You should have a NOV for anything that hasn't already been excluded
by the licence
13) Nothing interesting happens above 30Mhz
14) I know all about computers, thats why I hate them
15) I'm a shit hot designer - my radio was designed by a small yellow man
with more skill than me, but im not angry (see 5)
16) I make my own wire antennas that makes me an RF designer
17) People with less skill than me are worthless

If you identify with this then this is the hobby for you. But hurry, be
quick - when all the stupid old fuckers have died out then nobody will be
left ....

3626. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 15:39:26 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5o2il1pdkhg83i6t9vpk01ouflf236f9pl@4ax.com>
Source record: 121402
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2005 08:06:04 -0700, panteltje@yahoo.com wrote:

>You just demonstrated that you are one of the worst discrimination
>idiots I have ever met on Usenet, and I have been on Usenet for 13
>years. On radio much much longer. Look little motherf*cker, there
>are even professors on CB.

My goodness! Professors of what?

>And there are clearly complete idiots like you that accidently got
>an amateur radio license on the amateur bands.
>
>*Even if you get an amateur license, you are STILL an amateur.*
>
>Actually I worked in professional broadcasting and designed
>professional transmitters.

Golly! CB transmitters?

>You just convinced me NOT to listen on amateur frequencies.
>F*cking idiot moron.
>hehe

Not difficult to deduce that you are a CB-er.

--

73 de Jock.

"Choice has always been a privilege of those who
could afford to pay for it."
- Ellen Frankfort

3627. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 16:14:24 UTC
From: Sigurd Stenersen <sigurds@utvikling.com>
Message-ID: <uvadnSn21pB4jsTeRVnzvA@telenor.com>
Source record: 121403
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Unknown wrote:
> On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 07:05:35 -0700, Polymath wrote:
>
>> What is Ham Radio?
>>
> Ham Radio is a hobby for the type of people who would be in local
> government but got thrown out for not being progressive enough. If
> your favourite hobby is hosting a committee or writing a new
> regulation then this is the hobby for you.
>
> To be a "proper" radio amateur you agree with one or more of the
> following :

I'm going to take your test...

> 1) I've voted Tory all my life

Nope. In fact, I haven't voted for a long time.

> 2) Im Over 50

Nope.

> 3) Im Buracratic

Absolutely not.

> 4) I smoke a pipe or cigar

Wrong again.

> 5) Im not a racist, I just don't like
> -Foreign people

Not true. I know several, and I like them. I also enjoy DX.

> -People who speak differently

Not a problem.

> -People who are not the same colour as me

What "colour" would that be ?

> 6) HF is the one true mode, real radio doesn't use a microphone

I find HF more interesting than higher frequencies, because it allows me to
communicate with people across the globe. CW is my favorite mode, but that
doesn't mean I think there's anything less "real" about other modes.

> 7) In my day it was all CW *yawn*

Actually, it was. When I got my license, CW was all I was allowed to do.
And it was really interesting, too.

> 8) Repeaters are for morons

They ARE ? How come ?

> 9) Dam young people, fools the lot of them ra ra ra ! Join the RSGB

I don't have anything against young people. As a matter of fact, I live
with a couple of those and I frequently meet other kids.

> ... 10) Data isn't a 'real' mode

It's real. But to me it's not all that interesting.

> 11) Whats wrong with the licence as it is - I see nothing wrong.

Me neither. So what IS wrong with it ?

> 12) You should have a NOV for anything that hasn't already been
> excluded
> by the licence

What's a NOV and how can I get one ?

> 13) Nothing interesting happens above 30Mhz

Not true at all.

> 14) I know all about computers, thats why I hate them

I know a lot about computers, I work with them for a living. And that is
one of the main reason for my interest in ham radio.

> 15) I'm a shit hot designer - my radio was designed by a small yellow
> man with more skill than me, but im not angry (see 5)

Completely wrong.

> 16) I make my own wire antennas that makes me an RF designer

I might make my own antennas, but that doesn't make me anything. It might
make my radio function better, tho.

> 17) People with less skill than me are worthless

Only when they behave as if they know everything.

> If you identify with this then this is the hobby for you.

Obviously, it's not the hobby for me, then. So how come I'm staying at it ?

> But hurry, be quick - when all the stupid old fuckers have died out
> then nobody will be left ....

Nobody are more helpful than those "stupid old fuckers" so that will be a sad day.

73 de LB3KB, Sigurd
http://justlearnmorsecode.com

3628. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 16:21:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1129911699.915788.219710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland, Coward and Breaker of Sworn Military Oaths
wrote:

> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank "I Got Court Martialed Twice Because I'm A Loser" Silliland
> >wrote:
> >
> >> That doesn't mean much coming from a person who impersonantes a
> >> retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.
> >
>
> You are.

Guess you don't MIND being called a liar in a public forum then,
huh, Frankie...?!?!

> What were those "seven hostile actions" you claimed to have
> been in?

None of your business, I guess, Frankie.

I don't have to bow to the demands and taunts of liars, cowards
and disgraced punks who lied to thier country.

Frank Gilliland is all three.

> > No doubt you don't persue that Amateur ticket because those two
> >courts martials carry federal weight...
>
> "pursue" "courts-martial"

Thank-you, but I see you didn't answer me.

> >Sure would hate to see your name
> >on one of those public actions from the FCC wherein they revert your
> >application to "pending" status due to "character issues" after they
> >find oout...And Frankie, they WILL find out.
>
> I have no problem telling anyone about my military career, including
> to the FCC. The courts-martial weren't serious enough to revoke my
> security clearance, so I doubt the FCC will have any problem with
> them. But why are they such a thorn in -your- paw?

Because while I was in the Marines, I came to have a significant
dislike for malingerers and liars.

You're both.

> And BTW..... "out"

Thanks again. I'll be more careful.

> > Those of us who Honorably served in the Marines don't have those
> >concerns.
>
> No, we don't, and it's too bad that you can't include yourself in that
> category.

Sure WE can...YOU can't.

> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
>
> Hardly. The first court-martial was the result of a bad decision that
> I made and I paid for it.

Gee, Frankie...I made some bad decisons too, but no one thought
them bad enough to court martial me for it...Not even Office Hours...

> And as I explained before, the second was
> because I stood up to my superiors when they were wrong, an action for
> which I was vindicated.

In your own mind, perhaps.

> How does that make me "a liar, a cheat and a coward", Dudly?

Who's Dudly?

As to the question in general, I already addressed that.

> Now how did you get your medical discharge upgraded to an honorable
> with full retirement when you claimed to have served only 18 years?

I listened when they spoke and followed instructions. Pretty
simple, actually.

Kinda like following the instructions of my superiors, and when I
thought they were wrong about something, using the proper channels to
address them...Not taking matters into my own hands or going about it
as I dang well pleased "because I was right"

Had your BEEN the kind of Marine you now perceive yourself as
having been YOU'D know THAT.

> > You swore an oath you
> >wouldn't keep and took the government's money in the process.
>
> Actually, they kept -my- money. I signed up on the GJ bonus program
> and I held up my end of the deal, but they never paid up.

No, you DIDN'T hold up your "end of the deal".

You swore an oath to not only uphold and defend the Constitution of
the United States, but to obey those placed in authority over you.

YOU didn't do that.

At LEAST twice.

> > There's an "impersonator" here, you lying coward, but it's not me.
> >
> > It's you.

> So why, after 18 alleged years as an avionics tech in the USMC, had
> you never heard of the VINSON system?

Poor try to re-direct and divert attention away from the central
theme, that being that you're a liar, a cheat and a coward.

Crude, ineffective, pretty lame, actually.

Probably the same tactics you used to get out of being court
martialed twice.

It didn't work then...it didn't work now.

Steve, K4YZ

3629. Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 16:35:38 UTC
From: litoi <litoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <litoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 121428

Gather 'round the campfire, boys and girls...

A few months back, I posted a note on the Society of Midwest Contesters reflector asking if anybody knew the whereabouts of our dear old friend Romeo Stepanenko, 3W3RR. As many will recall, Romeo had a habit in the late 1980's and early 1990's of popping up with his gear from some of the rarest countries in the world of ham radio, make tens of thousands of contacts, and then getting us armchair DX'ers to flood him with requests for QSL cards (which included "green stamps") that often came very late or not at all. There was also that minor issue of him not being able to provide the DXCC administrators with the proper documentation from some of his adventures, proving he was indeed where he said he was. In the parlance of DX'ing, Romeo was the ultimate Slim, a pirate.

I'm a musician, and I figured if ever there was somebody that was worthy of a scurvy-dog, sea-shanty kind of song, ol' Romeo was that person. There were rumors he was a shady character, who showed up at the Dayton Hamvention in 1999, years after being expelled from DXCC, and then disappeared from the face of the Earth. He is the sort that is perfect for song and story. I needed factual material for the tune, so I started to do my homework. The note to the SMC reflector was the beginning of that homework.

I didn't get much response other than a couple of notes that said, "Yeah, whatever happened to that guy?" So, In July 2005, I posted a note on Eham.com in their DX forum, entitled "Wherefore Art Thou, Romeo?" Again, no info. So, I started searching on Google. I found an article online about ham radio in Afghanistan that mentioned him in passing, and a site that had several of Romeo's QSL's (including WX9X's fake P5/R0MEO card he handed out at Dayton one year). I printed the articles and stuck them in my notebook I keep for writing lyrics, where they have sat for a while, collecting dust. I forgot about it and moved on to other projects.

On the evening of October 19, 2005, I received a phone call from an inspector with the U.S Postal Service

based in St. Louis. He said he needed to meet with me concerning an investigation of "...one of my associates." I obviously made myself available at his earliest convenience, which was the next day's lunch hour.

I ran over to my friend Matt, NM9H's place. Was he trading endangered animals over the Internet? Circumnavigating customs laws by importing fresh fruit from Venezuela? Ripping tags off of mattresses and mailing them to Botswana? He assured me he was doing nothing of the sort, as he was "...an old man with a house full of kids, with neither the time nor energy to be surreptitious."

A night of fitful sleep ensued. We jazz and folk musicians have a sordid history in America, often lumped together with other unsavories like gangsters, communists, and bootleggers. Which of my compadres had warranted constabulatory intervention, and how did the Postal Service get involved? Besides, my youthful indiscretions are long over, as I have nothing to be discrete about any longer and I'm closer to middle-aged than youthful. In short, like my friend NM9H, I'm boring and getting old.

The Inspector came to my place today (20 October 2005), and after some pleasantries, asked if the name Roman Vega meant anything to me; I truthfully answered no. He then asked if I was a ham operator, to which I said yes. He then asked if the name Romeo Stepanenko meant anything to me, and I nodded uncertainly. The Inspector then produced a printout of my Romeo inquiry on Eham.com. He said he was following up on an investigation from the US Department of Justice in San Francisco concerning our beloved Romeo, and he wanted to know why I was asking about him in a public forum.

I gave him a Reader's Digest version of ham radio, DX'ing, and an overview of the DXCC program. I explained how Romeo pretended to operate from various exceptionally rare places, duped tens of thousands of us into sending him SASE's with green stamps included, and was then caught and expelled from the DXCC program for these fraudulent ham activities. I explained why this caused such a scandal to a program that has had an exceedingly high level of ethics and scrutiny associated with it over the years. He was quite interested in people sending dollars along with QSL requests. I explained it was customary to help pay for the QSL you're going to receive, especially when

dealing with an operation from a place as rare as Myanmar or North Korea.

I then had to explain to him why I'd want to write a song about such an unsavory character. As I explained, every hobby or pastime has some level of folklore or legend attached to it, and Romeo is one of the most infamous characters in the history of DX'ing. In baseball, people wrote songs about Jackie Robinson and Joltin' Joe. Bob Dylan wrote about Emmett Till. Heck, Frank Zappa wrote about a wacko dubbed by the press as The Illinois Enema Bandit. Numerous ballads have been written about pirates, oddballs, do-gooders and ne'er-do-wells in all cultures all around the globe. Ham Radio DX'ing may be a small culture in the grand scheme of things, but it is a culture nonetheless. It stands to reason that, just like any other culture, the heroes are glorified and the villains are reviled. To me, writing a song about Romeo seemed logical and fun.

After an hour of questioning by the Inspector (nice guy, by the way), he said it was quite obvious I wasn't involved in any of Romeo's current shenanigans, and proceeded to tell me The Story:

Roman Vega, aka Romeo Stepanenko, was arrested and extradited from Cyprus to the United States in March of 2004, and has been charged with 40 counts of wire fraud and trafficking in stolen credit card numbers. He is alleged to have done this in online chat rooms. The Inspector I spoke with indicated that he allegedly bilked over $3 million out of this scheme. Romeo has pleaded not guilty to all charges. He has been held in northern California, as he is considered a flight risk. His trial is scheduled to begin in San Francisco on Monday, November 28.

Here is a link to the US Department Of Justice press release:

http://www.usdoj.gov/usao/can/press/html/2004_06_04_vega.html

Here is a link to the actual indictment filed in Federal Court:

http://tinyurl.com/9pg5a

As Paul Harvey would say, &#65533;Now you know the rest of the story.&#65533;

The Inspector never informed me that I was not allowed to discuss this, so I'm posting it here for all to

see. He indicated the case against Romeo looked quite good indeed, and that they were following up on all leads they had concerning him. I was "lucky" enough to have been considered a Person Of Interest; I got to see a copy of my Federal subpoena, where I was "commanded" to appear in Federal court on November 28 at 8:30AM. The Inspector indicated this would probably not be needed. He did mention that San Francisco is quite nice this time of year, though, and it was almost a pity I wouldn't get to take the Government up on their free "vacation." I gave him one of those nervous smiles and waited for him to move on.

He left me his card, said he'd file his report, and told me I'd probably never hear from him again. He did give me the case number (Northern District Of California CR04-0101 CRD), since it's a matter of public record. The fact that I was sitting on the edge of my seat as he was telling me this, slack-jawed and with my eyes as wide as saucers, might have had something to do with him giving me the info as well. How did I get associated with Romeo? I'm just a ham operator who had one QSO with him when he was in Vietnam (still my only 3W QSO), spent probably hundreds of hours listening for him from his other far-flung locales, but never worked him anywhere other than 3W. I just happened to ask the right question at the wrong time.
It certainly is interesting what bites sometimes when you go fishing, eh?

I'm finally recording my solo CD now. I'll be sure to let everybody know when it's done. I could use a lead player, though; maybe I should give WB6ACU a call...

73,

Sean Kutzko KX9X
Gillespie, IL

--
Iitoi

3630. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 18:11:52 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djbb26$2eb$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121405
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt make them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur radio user. They may have brains far exceeding yours on several topics, just not on radio. Just because you know a bit about radio doesnt make you a higher class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try learning common sense, respect for ones fellow man and remember.. Amateur Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A HOBBY...
I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult them" but you manage to do it anyhow...
10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message news:1129905039.542653.237720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Because although CBers are in a different intellectual
> class to Radio Hams, it is neither my wish to insult
> them nor to enter into a discourse with them.
>
> CBers have the right to besport their habits in the
> appropriate place as do all the lower classes, which
> in this context is rrcb (and urrcb).
>

3631. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 18:14:10 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djbb6ghdr1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121406
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> Not difficult to deduce that you are a CB-er.

Why is that then.. is it because he has friends, his house isn't full of
bits of old crap and he doesnt stink of wee and biscuits??

3632. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 18:19:30 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <HuSdnaqXGLq2rMTeRVn-tg@giganews.com>
Source record: 121407
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
> Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt
> make them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur
> radio user. They may have brains far exceeding yours on several
> topics, just not on radio. Just because you know a bit about radio
> doesnt make you a higher class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW
> ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try learning common sense, respect for ones
> fellow man and remember.. Amateur Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A
> HOBBY...
> I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult
> them" but you manage to do it anyhow...
> 10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..
>

Poly Parrot has forgotten he has owned a CB and a license (still does, I expect), ignore him.. having been arrested he is a prime example of what amateur radio has descended to.
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

3633. Re: I am pumped with this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 18:43:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129920195.793084.28830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lardasszzz wrote:
> Your lady boss have you back on the deep fryer again Roger?

Roger doesn't work as a fry cook, stupid. Try using your own thoughts
instead of stealing his, Dumbass Marky.

3634. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 18:44:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129920294.987230.44440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121409
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

huLLy wrote:
> The Magnum wrote:
> > Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt
> > make them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur
> > radio user. They may have brains far exceeding yours on several
> > topics, just not on radio. Just because you know a bit about radio
> > doesnt make you a higher class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW
> > ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try learning common sense, respect for ones
> > fellow man and remember.. Amateur Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A
> > HOBBY...
> > I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult
> > them" but you manage to do it anyhow...
> > 10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..
> >
>
> Poly Parrot has forgotten he has owned a CB and a license (still does, I
> expect), ignore him.. having been arrested he is a prime example of what
> amateur radio has descended to.
> --
> huLLy
> Mobile phone 07976 123278
> ICQ 136-987-925

Does he get on there with a linear and talk to W4LLI, Billy Jack like
he used to do on 75/80 meters?

3635. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 18:51:02 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129920662.717947.78290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121410
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Unbeknown to me, this thread had already been cross-posted to
the CB groups, and I would have removed that cross-posting
had I been aware of it.

In respect of that, I apologise if my remarks have caused
any offence to the CB community. However, I do not
withdraw my remarks - they are my opinion as to the
relative standing of Radio Hams and of CB Radio
enthusiasts where the playing-field is that of Radio
Hams.

However, having said that, the material quoted below
serves, I think, to illustrate quite well the
intellectual standing of CB Radio enthusiasts
upon which I have commented.....

panteltje@yahoo.com wrote:
> you are one of the worst.....idiots.....
> little motherf*cker.....complete idiots like
> you.....F*cking idiot moron.

3636. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 18:53:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129920834.780059.86390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace wrote:
> New Orleans warning
> Some Web sites serve as a pulpit for those who believe that God sent Katrina
> to smite New Orleans for its sinning ways and to send a warning to the rest
> of the nation.
>
> "Although the loss of lives is deeply saddening, this act of God destroyed a
> wicked city," says conservative anti-gay activist Michael Marcavage on the
> site RepentAmerica.com. He says New Orleans was punished for a "public
> celebration" of homosexuality, wanton drunkenness and show of flesh.
>
> Alabama state Sen. Henry E. "Hank" Erwin Jr., a Republican, expressed a
> similar view in a weekly column he writes for news outlets. "New Orleans and
> the Mississippi Gulf Coast have always been known for gambling, sin and
> wickedness," he wrote. "It is the kind of behavior that ultimately brings
> the judgment of God."
>
> Ace - WH2T

Actually, New Orleans is in a prime location where hurricanes might
hit. Sort of like being nature's bull's eye. It has nothing to do with
what goes on in the city.

> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129724104.450203.256930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace wrote:
> >> From the Bible (New International Version)
> >> Leviticus 18:22
> >> 22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
> >> Punishments for Sin
> >> Leviticus 20:13
> >>
> >> 13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them
> >> have
> >> done what is detestable.
> >> They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "
> >>
> >> ..... Pardon my grammar , But - Aids kills fags dead.
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >
> > Boy, God is really intolerant, isn't he? lol!
> >
> >> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> >> news:1129663088.453844.176010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a
> >> >> > > problem
> >> >> > > for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that
> >> >> > > is
> >> >> > > not a matter of public policy
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
> >> >> >
> >> >> > STFU, child abuser.
> >> >>
> >> >> more hate
> >> >
> >> > More stupidity from Marky the child abuser.
> >> >>
> >> >> more lies
> >> >
> >> > Only from you, liar.
> >> >
> >> >> only in America
> >> >
> >> > Marky confesses to being anti-American, imagine that!
> >> >
> >
>

3637. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 19:00:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129921237.862733.11990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121415

K4YZ wrote:
> more_psychotic_than_an_old friend wrote:
>
> > Stevie just this once read the whole post before you comment
>
> I did. Laughed when I was done.
>
> You gotta be out of your freakin' mind, Markie!
>
> > by you constant and in ceasant stalking of me and publishing your
> > effort I may be responible fore the death of an inocent man
>
> "your" Incessant" "responsible" "for" "innocent"
>
> Hot Flash Hot Rod...Nothing >>I<< do in this forum has anything to
> do with what YOU may choose to do "in the real world".
>
> I am not now, nor have I ever been, STALKING you.

Marky thinks ridicule=stalking.

> And if I DO get an FBI angent asking me questions about any of
> this, I am going to show him/her your YEARS of rantings to that effect.
>
> And they will see what >>I<< see, a deranged, pathological liar
> with a paranoia problem!
>
> > I can handle threat against myself but your reckless has endangered
> > this other mark morgan, so I have called the FBI. I got to leave a
> > message for the agaent in MQT (as we use in shorthand here) I hope she
> > will call and/or that whiny is just blowing smoke
>
> "agent"
>
> My "reckless" WHAT, Mark?
>
> NOTHING I have done in this forum has endangered ANYone, least of
> all you.
>
> And Mark...The L A S T thing you want ME to do is unload a ton of
> your posts in this forum in the lap of a federal agent.
>
> > Yes I will rsik something I will find truely humilating to protect the
> > safety of a fellow I have never met.
>

> "risk" "truly"
>
> Ho Hum.
>
> Mark, everything ABOUT you is "truly humiliating"...
>
> > You will find the fragments form
> > whiny at the bottom of the post. they convince he may be about to harm
> > a man you will know from the post IS not me or that he know someone who
> > about to harm.
>
> "from"
>
> Then that is between "whiny", you, this other "Mark Morgan", and
> law enforcement.
>
> If "whiny" made a threat, that's HIS cross to bear...Not mine.
>
> Your "complaint" to the FBI will be recognized for what it is...A
> mean spirited retaliation for the lambasting you take for your
> newsgroup silliness.
>
> > either way I have the moral duty to act as best I can to protect this
> > man.
>
> Mark, the only "moral duty" you have ever exercised, or are
> exercising now, is to yourself.
>
> You're a liar, a cheat and a coward, and this "pronouncement" of
> your great "moral duty" is laughable on sooooooooooooo many levels!
>
> > rest assured if harm comes to this man, and I learn of it I do
> > what is in my power to see that you receive some measure of the LEGAL
> > blame for it. I take this oath before the Gods
>
> You were a High School drama queen, weren't you...?!?!
>
> > a few notes form Whinyslit
> > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com Oct 20, 3:09 pm show options
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700
> > Local: Thurs, Oct 20 2005 3:09 pm
> > Subject: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.
> > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > original | Report Abuse
> >
> > - Hide quoted text -
> > - Show quoted text -

> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Marky wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > Whiny####_Lloyd wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> >
> > > > > > > > No,
> >
> > > > > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> >
> > > > > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> >
> > > > > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
> >
> > > > > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
> >
> > > > yuo were doing so well my my
> >
> > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > As usual, you don't, dumbass.
> >
> >
> > MORGAN, MARK
> > Hidden by Me
> > ###-###-#####
>
> Obviously, KB9RQZ Mark Morgan, you don't give a rat's kazoo about
> this OTHER Mark Morgan since you publish (or re-publish...I don't
> follow you in the Markie/Whiny threads) this guy's address and phone
> number.

It was his previous address, I'll bet.

> If you were even 1/10th of 1% sincere, you would have deleted it.
>
> But you're not.
>
> This whole thing is an "Oh Pity Me, The Perpetual Victim" thread.
>
> > and from another whinny slit missive
> > Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge. Then, after I
> > embarass you, you illiterate ####, you can hop up on your crucifix and
> > die for the sins of all the other illiterate #####. I know how much
> > you'd probably ####ing enjoy stigmata, Marky; you're kinky like that.
> >
> > - Hide quoted text -
> >

> > and finaly from another whiny slit missive
>
> Mark, seek help. Today. Right now....

Nobody threatened him. I said I would embarass him, which he pretty much does all by himself. Then I told him, in effect to commit suicide by stigmata. Substandard comprehension skills Marky can whine to whomever he pleases, they'll just write him off as a kook or get him for filing a false report.

3638. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 19:01:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129921317.282605.137190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Lardass the dumbass wrote:
> >
> >>roger, I need to know how much salary a fry cook with
> >
> >
> > Roger doesn't work as a fry cook, pizza BOY!
>
> He cleans out porta-a-potties, gets to take home all he wants.

No fake license boy, he doesn't. Now run along and play with those
model airplanes you can fly with the crackerjack box "pilot's license."

3639. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 19:05:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129921553.704847.8800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121417
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Especially for you.

3640. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 19:09:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129921774.753022.136570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121419
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> panteltje@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Polymath wrote:
> >
> >>Because although CBers are in a different intellectual
> >>class to Radio Hams,
> >
> > You just demonstrated that you are one of the worst discrimination
> > idiots I
> > have ever met on Usenet, and I have been on Usenet for 13 years.
> > On radio much much longer.
> > Look little motherf*cker, there are even professors on CB.
> > And there are clearly complete idiots like you that accidently got
> > an amateur radio license on the amateur bands.
> >
> > *Even if you get an amateur license, you are STILL an amateur.*
> >
> > Actually I worked in professional broadcasting and designed
> > professional
> > transmitters.
>
> So how many cb transmittes you designed?

As if you ever designed anything.

>
> > You just convinced me NOT to listen on amateur frequencies.
> > F*cking idiot moron.
> > hehe
> >
>
> Roger that thar, ten-fer gud buddy, squwak-squeek, gotge ears on thar
> gud buddy, bring-it on MFer, aaaaaaaaauuuuuuuuuddddddddiiiiiiiiooooooooooo.

You post like you have experience talking on it, loser.

3641. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 19:32:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1129923166.381747.303580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121420

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 20 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

ALL to Date Since FR Notice
------------- ---------------
Grand Total 2634 658

Indeterminate (note 1) 171 60

Value for Percentages 2463 598

Against NPRM (note 2) 746 [30.29%] 191 [31.94%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1339 [54.36%] 303 [51.67%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 378 [15.35%] 104 [17.39%]

This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 3 PM EDT 21 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3642. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 19:55:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <A-OdnWMd6PedpMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM wrote:
> To continue the thread...
>
> 'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
> potatoes watch TV...
>
> http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
>
> [snip]
> HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina,
> it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of
> life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
> electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were unable
> to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
>
> What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
>
> "Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says Eric
> Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are millions
> of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public for
> camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio
> operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
> communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received by
> the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in this
> emergency communication strategy."
>
> Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is
> that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It
> could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware to
> listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
> national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
> [snip]
>
> So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national
> frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur community---lets
> see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.
>
> TOM
>
>
You obviously have a reading comprehension problem. Notice it states
that the hams will listen for emergency traffic on the FRS frequencies
and FRS will be the link to the ham radio network.

3643. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 19:57:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <A-OdnWId6Pc8pMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Lardass the dumbass wrote:
>
>>roger, I need to know how much salary a fry cook with
>
>
> Roger doesn't work as a fry cook, pizza BOY!

He cleans out porta-a-potties, gets to take home all he wants.

3644. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:00:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <A-OdnZ0c6Peup8TeRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121414
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?

Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for cbers
to comprehend.

3645. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:01:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129921366.139906.135630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121421
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> > Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
>
> Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for cbers
> to comprehend.

Especially you.

3646. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:08:45 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <7cCdnf5aqtCzocTeRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121418
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

panteltje@yahoo.com wrote:
> Polymath wrote:
>
>>Because although CBers are in a different intellectual
>>class to Radio Hams,
>
> You just demonstrated that you are one of the worst discrimination
> idiots I
> have ever met on Usenet, and I have been on Usenet for 13 years.
> On radio much much longer.
> Look little motherf*cker, there are even professors on CB.
> And there are clearly complete idiots like you that accidently got
> an amateur radio license on the amateur bands.
>
> *Even if you get an amateur license, you are STILL an amateur.*
>
> Actually I worked in professional broadcasting and designed
> professional
> transmitters.

So how many cb transmittes you designed?

> You just convinced me NOT to listen on amateur frequencies.
> F*cking idiot moron.
> hehe
>

Roger that thar, ten-fer gud buddy, squwak-squeek, gotge ears on thar
gud buddy, bring-it on MFer, aaaaaaaaauuuuuuuuuddddddddiiiiiiiiooooooooooo.

3647. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:12:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129925525.179322.188220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121422

K4YZ wrote:
> _an_old friend wrote:
>
> > Stevie just this once read the whole post before you comment
>
> I did. Laughed when I was done.
>
> You gotta be out of your freakin' mind, Markie!

never the less
>
> > by you constant and in ceasant stalking of me and publishing your
> > effort I may be responible fore the death of an inocent man
>
> "your" Incessant" "responsible" "for" "innocent"
>
> Hot Flash Hot Rod...Nothing >>I<< do in this forum has anything to
> do with what YOU may choose to do "in the real world".

we shall see
>
> I am not now, nor have I ever been, STALKING you.

we shall see

>
> And if I DO get an FBI angent asking me questions about any of
> this, I am going to show him/her your YEARS of rantings to that effect.

he or she will all ready have seen it by the time they get to you, and
I have been promised that at some point they will speak to you so you
will get your chance, if the agent told me the truth

>
> And they will see what >>I<< see, a deranged, pathological liar
> with a paranoia problem!

we shall see

>
> > I can handle threat against myself but your reckless has endangered
> > this other mark morgan, so I have called the FBI. I got to leave a
> > message for the agaent in MQT (as we use in shorthand here) I hope she
> > will call and/or that whiny is just blowing smoke
>
> "agent"
>

> My "reckless" WHAT, Mark?
>
> NOTHING I have done in this forum has endangered ANYone, least of
> all you.

we shall see
>
> And Mark...The L A S T thing you want ME to do is unload a ton of
> your posts in this forum in the lap of a federal agent.

no need next week I will be doing that myself

>
> > Yes I will rsik something I will find truely humilating to protect the
> > safety of a fellow I have never met.
>
> "risk" "truly"
>
> Ho Hum.
> cut

3648. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:14:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129925650.423650.327340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe
> >
> > Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
> > a ######.
>
> He is a liar and he is a...well...you said it...but this time he's
> got it right...He has a street address in Chassell. My only regret is
> we can't get him to move a mile or two farther north...In
> November...with ankle weights...

well better than I expected of you stevie

but you still don't know shit about where I live it seems for which I
thank the gods

I can at least 5 miles dues north with out getting wet
>
> Whoever the other one is, it's not him.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3649. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:17:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129925852.401517.221050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121424

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> Huge, huge snip.....

again the question stand what has any of this to do with the ARS?

simple question but coward that you are you never answer it
>
> > > On Thu, 03 Dec 1998 23:24:38 -0600, FunGuy <gws@soltec.com> wrote:
> > > >Anyone in Central Illinois/Western Indiana???
> > > >male and/or female welcome
> > >
> > > the emial does not seem to work
> > >
> > > bi male central illinois here i love gs stuff giving or taking
> > >
> > > --
> >
> > and what makes you think the is me telling the turth something you
> > claim I never do
>
> Because your propensity to be an idiot exceeds your propensity to
> be deceitful.
>
> > if you went looking you could find marterail of me posing as a possible
> > NeoNazi looking for friends does that make a Neo Nazi or just someone
> > curious about what they are realy up to
>
> "material" "really"
>
> Uh huh...
>
> So what now? You're the illiterate version of "Parco, PI"?
>
> You're the Michigan version of "Dog, The Bounty Hunter"...?!?!
>
> Gimme a freakin' break!
>
> > deceit is tool.
>
> Only for those for whom honesty is not a part of their character.

>
> > You and I both use it.
>
> Only 50% right there, Markie.
>
> > I am honest enough to admit to it
>
> That's not "honest", Markie. That's stupid...
>
> > this repost prove again show you are obsessed about sex
>
> No...It' proves what I have been saying about YOUR deviance and
> deceit.
>
> > assuming the under lying post is truth and not an invite for research
> > paper (a question I will not answer BTW) is is my bussness not yours
>
> "business"
>
> COPY-CAT!
>
> Sheeeesh....
>
> I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
> persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
> "research"...!!!

you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do
>
> > it has nothing to do the ARS.
>
> It has EVERYTHING to do with proving you ARE an ARSE!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3650. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:22:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129926160.859709.206940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121425

K4YZ wrote:
> more_psychotic_than_an_old friend wrote:
cut

> >
> > MORGAN, MARK
> > Hidden by Me
> > ###-###-#####
>
> Obviously, KB9RQZ Mark Morgan, you don't give a rat's kazoo about
> this OTHER Mark Morgan since you publish (or re-publish...I don't
> follow you in the Markie/Whiny threads) this guy's address and phone
> number.
>
> If you were even 1/10th of 1% sincere, you would have deleted it.

no need Whinny the per in this matter clearly know it I do not add to
his danger by repeating nor lessen it by delecting

and more lies from you Stevie you posted in the very thread where it
was published meaning you do follow the mark and whinie threads

you just can tell the turth about anything
>
> But you're not.
>
> This whole thing is an "Oh Pity Me, The Perpetual Victim" thread.

bullshit some else is the victum even you agreed to that in another
threat

>
> > and from another whinny slit missive
> > Yep. I will gladly take you up on that challenge. Then, after I
> > embarass you, you illiterate ####, you can hop up on your crucifix and
> > die for the sins of all the other illiterate #####. I know how much
> > you'd probably ####ing enjoy stigmata, Marky; you're kinky like that.
> >
> > - Hide quoted text -
> >
> > and finaly from another whiny slit missive
>
> Mark, seek help. Today. Right now....

I have. the FBI
>

> Steve, K4YZ

3651. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:24:22 UTC
From: Ernie <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <W7c6f.164824$qY1.63273@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:A-OdnWMd6PedpMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
> TOM wrote:
> > To continue the thread...
> >
> > 'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
> > potatoes watch TV...
> >
> > http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
> >
> > [snip]
> > HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane
Katrina,
> > it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of
> > life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
> > electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were
unable
> > to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
> >
> > What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
> >
> > "Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says
Eric
> > Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are
millions
> > of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public
for
> > camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio
> > operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
> > communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received
by
> > the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in
this
> > emergency communication strategy."
> >
> > Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is
> > that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It
> > could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware
to
> > listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
> > national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
> > [snip]
> >

> > So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national
> > frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur
community---lets
> > see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.
> >
> > TOM
> >
> >
> You obviously have a reading comprehension problem. Notice it states
> that the hams will listen for emergency traffic on the FRS frequencies
> and FRS will be the link to the ham radio network.

Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes you think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to make any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters? APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL RESPONSE TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS GOING TO TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!

3652. the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 20:38:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129927098.914060.187740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121427

today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be handling the case

I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low

based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc

In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together. she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998 and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her case load premitted it.

she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred that the follow up in this case could clearly

3653. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 21:10:02 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com>
Source record: 121429
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt make
>them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur radio user.
>They may have brains far exceeding yours on several topics, just not on
>radio. Just because you know a bit about radio doesnt make you a higher
>class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try
>learning common sense, respect for ones fellow man and remember.. Amateur
>Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A HOBBY...
>I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult them"
>but you manage to do it anyhow...
>10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..

Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.

(You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).

--

73 de Jock.

"Choice has always been a privilege of those who
could afford to pay for it."
- Ellen Frankfort

3654. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 21:26:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <f1nil19uipo4mnns4jl17pa8jtuuvmuk5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 121431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2005 09:21:39 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1129911699.915788.219710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland, Coward and Breaker of Sworn Military Oaths
>wrote:
>
>> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frank "I Got Court Martialed Twice Because I'm A Loser" Silliland
>> >wrote:
>> >
>> >> That doesn't mean much coming from a person who impersonantes a
>> >> retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.
>> >
>>
>> You are.
>
>Guess you don't MIND being called a liar in a public forum then,
>huh, Frankie...?!?!

Nope. I just consider the source.

>> What were those "seven hostile actions" you claimed to have
>> been in?
>
>None of your business, I guess, Frankie.

Same old excuse. And you accuse -me- of being a coward....LOL!!!

>I don't have to bow to the demands and taunts of liars, cowards
>and disgraced punks who lied to thier country.

"their"

>Frank Gilliland is all three.
>
>> > No doubt you don't persue that Amateur ticket because those two
>> >courts martials carry federal weight...
>>
>> "pursue" "courts-martial"
>

> Thank-you, but I see you didn't answer me.

A while ago I gave several reasons why I'm not getting an amateur
license, not the least of which is the holier-than-thou attitude of
many hams as expressed in the recent "FAQ" thread. Besides, I've been
in the radio field professionally for about 25 years -- getting an
AMATEUR license would be a step down.

>> >Sure would hate to see your name
>> >on one of those public actions from the FCC wherein they revert your
>> >application to "pending" status due to "character issues" after they
>> >find oout...And Frankie, they WILL find out.
>>
>> I have no problem telling anyone about my military career, including
>> to the FCC. The courts-martial weren't serious enough to revoke my
>> security clearance, so I doubt the FCC will have any problem with
>> them. But why are they such a thorn in -your- paw?
>
> Because while I was in the Marines, I came to have a significant
>dislike for malingerers and liars.
>
> You're both.

Use whatever adjectives you like, I'm proud of my military service. In
fact, I'm so proud of it that I have publically disclosed many of my
experiences, good and bad. I've told of my experiences in garrison, in
the field, on float, and in Beirut; on air, land and sea. I have even
described some of the radio equipment I worked with. But so far you
have done nothing of the sort. You have, time after time, avoided any
discussion of such issues, no matter how insignificant. You have also
frequently demonstrated your ignorance about many aspects of the USMC
that any Marine Gunny (let alone a shitbird PFC) would know, and that
-you- obviously -don't-.

Tell ya what, Dudly: Let's both go to a military group and continue
this discussion there. You game?

>> And BTW..... "out"
>
> Thanks again. I'll be more careful.

No sweat. I'm getting pretty good at pointing out your errors.

>> > Those of us who Honorably served in the Marines don't have those
>> >concerns.
>>
>> No, we don't, and it's too bad that you can't include yourself in that
>> category.
>
> Sure WE can...YOU can't.

....rubber....glue....<yawn>

>> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
>>
>> Hardly. The first court-martial was the result of a bad decision that
>> I made and I paid for it.
>
> Gee, Frankie...I made some bad decisons too, but no one thought
>them bad enough to court martial me for it...Not even Office Hours...

Duh.... you can't get NJP if you aren't in the military!

>> And as I explained before, the second was
>> because I stood up to my superiors when they were wrong, an action for
>> which I was vindicated.
>
> In your own mind, perhaps.

And my SRB.

>> How does that make me "a liar, a cheat and a coward", Dudly?
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> As to the question in general, I already addressed that.

Well you know what, Dudly? I could have gone my entire lifetime
without ever mentioning my courts-martial and you would have been none
the wiser. But instead I chose to be open and honest about my military
service, something that you can't seem to appreciate -or- practice.
Nevertheless, the least you could do would be to address the question
with due consideration to my responses; assuming, of course, that you
can. If you can't then it's no sweat off my brow as it only continues
to demonstrate an infantile attitude that is so uncharacteristic of a
retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.

>> Now how did you get your medical discharge upgraded to an honorable
>> with full retirement when you claimed to have served only 18 years?
>
> I listened when they spoke and followed instructions. Pretty
>simple, actually.

It's not really that simple, since there is no provision that allows a
medical discharge to be changed to an honorable, nor is there one
granting retirement status by arbitrarily adding two years not served.
Even if your story -is- true, you short-changed your country, Dudly,
and now you are receiving benefits you didn't earn. Now when you
accuse -me- of being a cheat and a malingerer..... well, do you know
what the term "transference" means?

> Kinda like following the instructions of my superiors, and when I
>thought they were wrong about something, using the proper channels to
>address them...Not taking matters into my own hands or going about it
>as I dang well pleased "because I was right"

If I had done what I "dang well pleased" the court-martial wouldn't have even happened. If given the choice between a)going on a field-op for a week or b)losing your rank, paying a fine and spending a month in the pokey, which would -you- choose?

> Had your BEEN the kind of Marine you now perceive yourself as
>having been YOU'D know THAT.

If -YOU- were a Marine then you would know that being a Marine isn't always about following orders like an automaton. It's also about taking some initiative and doing the -right- thing, even if that means sticking your ass in the fire. Yeah, I got burnt, but at least I had the courage to do it. You obviously don't know anything about such things, which is yet another reason to believe that you were never in the USMC (but it -would- explain why you "retired" at a rank no higher than a Gunnery Sergeant -- no guts).

>> > You swore an oath you
>> >wouldn't keep and took the government's money in the process.
>>
>> Actually, they kept -my- money. I signed up on the GJ bonus program
>> and I held up my end of the deal, but they never paid up.
>
> No, you DIDN'T hold up your "end of the deal".
>
> You swore an oath to not only uphold and defend the Constitution of
>the United States, but to obey those placed in authority over you.
>
> YOU didn't do that.
>
> At LEAST twice.

That might be a valid argument if the Corps hadn't reneged on the contract -before- my first court-martial. Try again, Dudly.

>> > There's an "impersonator" here, you lying coward, but it's not me.
>> >
>> > It's you.
>
>> So why, after 18 alleged years as an avionics tech in the USMC, had
>> you never heard of the VINSON system?
>
> Poor try to re-direct and divert attention away from the central
>theme, that being that you're a liar, a cheat and a coward.

The central theme from the beginning has been about you impersonating a retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant. Clearly -you- are using my military record to divert attention away from your pathetic charade.

> Crude, ineffective, pretty lame, actually.

Regardless, you didn't answer the question. And here's another one: "How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have," Dudly?

> Probably the same tactics you used to get out of being court
>martialed twice.
>
> It didn't work then...it didn't work now.
>
>Gunny Dudly, K4YZ

You're confused again, Dudly; I didn't get out of -any- court-martial,
nor did I ever try.

Ya know, while replying to your post it occured to me that you might
actually -enjoy- being publically humiliated. If that's the case then
by all means continue with your little game. You just better hope that
the -real- Gunny Robeson doesn't find out..... or is daddy dead?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3655. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 21:34:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129930470.448093.241810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N0VFP wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe
> > >
> > > Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
> > > a ######.
> >
> > He is a liar and he is a...well...you said it...but this time he's
> > got it right...He has a street address in Chassell.
>
> Perhaps the Marquette one is a former address.
>
> > My only regret is
> > we can't get him to move a mile or two farther north...In
> > November...with ankle weights...
>
> Indeed. Go jump in Lake Superior the middle of January, Marky.

show what you don't know

you can't jump in Lake Superior in mid january, you can however I am
told and have witnessed Drive the lake, with 18 wheelers
>
> > Whoever the other one is, it's not him.
>
> Like I said, probably an former address.

3656. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 21:46:31 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Xkd6f.475640$5N3.302995@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

[No readable article body recovered]

3657. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 21:48:14 UTC
From: zzz <zzz@wogieshackboy.org>
Message-ID: <djbnm7$k3r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

now woger wants us to believe his masters degwee is in
meteorology.

ROTFLMAO!

3658. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 21:59:59 UTC
From: Steve <woger@wogerthepsycho.org>
Message-ID: <djboc8ntv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

woger is vewy vewy angwy. his boss lady put wogie
back on the drive-thru window again.

should I double size that sir?

ROTFLMAO!

3659. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 22:03:18 UTC
From: NetKKop <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <djboj6htg1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 121437
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Now stop this at once please Children! GROW UP

--
--
73deG1LVN(M0WWS)
it's back it's: http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM !!
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk/wavefiles/

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:orudnSwvBMEiwcTenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>>Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
>>>
>>>Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for cbers
>>>to comprehend.
>>
>>
>> Especially you.
>>
>
> Hey wogie, tell us all about that negative swr. LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!

3660. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 22:06:27 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DDd6f.93$A63.60@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121438

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> Gather 'round the campfire, boys and girls...
>
> A few months back, I posted a note on the Society of
> Midwest Contesters reflector asking if anybody knew
> the whereabouts of our dear old friend Romeo
> Stepanenko, 3W3RR.

The sobering part is that Sean was fingered as a "person of interest" by the feds from posts on a reflector, or on EHam.

Do ya think they're keeping his P5 logs open for QSL requests?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3661. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 22:10:28 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <1hmil11kbfbem60n4akat9mdomm3k2ndh2@4ax.com>
Source record: 121439

On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>
>> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>Leo wrote:
>> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>snip
>
>When were you a kid, Leo? Ham radio is far more popular today than when
>I was a kid.

About the same time as you were - I was born in 1955.

Comparing the total number of amateur licenses existing today is an
apples-to-oranges comparison, and not a true indicator of the
"popularity" of the hobby then or now.

In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per minute, not
our easy 5. Today, there are vast numbers of amateurs who hold
licenses where no code test was required - around half of the total.
How many amateur licenses would have been issued back then if a 'no
code' license had been available? - I'd speculate that there would
have been a lot!

As far as populatity goes, I'd say that the general public back then
seemed to be far more aware of even the existence of the hobby than it
appears to be today (wonder if there's a survey available anywhere on
this anywhere.....). For example, all of my friends and I knew about
amateur radio back then - both of my teenage sons indicate that the
majority of their contemporaries have no idea at all that the hobby
even exists. Those who are aware are pretty much disinterested in the
activity - they have more fun and interesting things to do!

>
>snip
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73,Leo

3662. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 22:24:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <orudnS0vBMGNwcTenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ernie wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten> wrote in message

>>You obviously have a reading comprehension problem. Notice it states
>>that the hams will listen for emergency traffic on the FRS frequencies
>>and FRS will be the link to the ham radio network.
>
>
> Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem...

Glad you finally recognize that, it's a first step.

> There are
> MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes you
> think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to make
> any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
>
>
>

So how is someone with an FRS unit going to be able to communicate with someone more than a mile away, much less in another state or across the country? The idea is that hams, using FRS units can communicte with other locals with FRS units and then put traffic into the ham radio traffic emergency system.

> APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL RESPONSE
> TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE ---THAT'S WHY FRS IS GOING TO
> TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!

Oh really? The following sure doesn't indicate that. FRS will not take the place of the amateur radio service no matter how bad you would wish it so.

As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
By Andrea Kelly
ARIZONA DAILY STAR

When all else fails, it's ham radio to the rescue. Across Arizona, ham radio operators are helping sustain Hurricane Katrina relief efforts by helping storm-torn communities communicate with rescue workers and family across the country.

This is not a first. Ham radio operators come out of the woodwork during disasters around the world, even transmitting in Morse code if language barriers pop up, said Mike Swiader, president of the amateur

Superstition Radio Club in Mesa.

Ham radio operators have contributed to emergency communication efforts since World War II, Swiader said, and were crucial in post-9/11 emergency communications, as well as during the Asian tsunami last holiday season.

Ham radios work when other communication systems are down because they're powered by solar energy, batteries or generators rather than the usual power sources.

The messages are passed from radio to radio until they get to the one they are directed to, so radios don't need to be near each other to receive signals, like regular AM/FM radio signals.

"We've been involved in passing traffic to and from the Louisiana and Mississippi area," said Tom Fagan, a leader of the Arizona ham radio operators organization. "Whatever needs to come in and go out, we're doing."

When Arizona's ham operators - there are about 16,000 of them - get messages from the hurricane area, they send them along to agencies like the American Red Cross and the Salvation Army in the Southeastern region of the country.

"We're the link," Fagan said.

Because communications within a disaster area are often jumbled, Swiader said, it's frequently easier for someone there to contact an operator in someplace like Arizona, who can then relay the message back to a recipient in the disaster area.

"Sometimes it's easier to be heard that way," he said.

More than 30 ham radio operators from Arizona have already headed toward the disaster area, hoping to assist relief efforts and give emergency workers a way to communicate with each other, as well as assisting searches for missing persons.

"They're moving in when they're allowed by American Red Cross and Salvation Army," said Ned Stearns, a Phoenix ham operator and vice director of the American Radio Relay League, the national organization for ham operators.

In fact, the ham operators plan to compile a list of people they can determine as missing, deceased, alive or unknown, based on information transmitted over the ham signals.

To find out about people in the area, visit www.satern.org and fill out the health and welfare information request for the person you are trying to find. This information will be sent through the Salvation Army emergency radio network.

More From The Huntsville Times | Subscribe To The Huntsville Times
Ham radio operators are helping from afar

Sunday, September 11, 2005
By DONNA FORK
For the Times dfork@knology.net

But sometimes, even the airwaves can be shut down

They also serve who listen and wait.

Huntsville amateur radio operator Douglas Hilton and other "hams" in North Alabama are helping victims of Hurricane Katrina by relaying messages between families, officials and each other.

Lately, Hilton's been spending most of his spare time in his "ham shack" listening to calls for assistance. The systems analyst for Intergraph Corp. is one of thousands of amateur radio operators who help people during emergencies.

Hilton is unusual in the ham radio world because he wears three hats: He is an amateur operator; a member of the Alabama State Defense Force, heading the Communications Platoon for North Alabama; and he's also a military communicator authorized to use the Military Affiliate Radio System.

His connection to the military (although he is a civilian) gives him access to additional radio frequencies most amateurs can't access. He has been a ham radio operator for 42 years; his father had him build a crystal radio when he was 7 years old, and he got his ham license as a teenager. But he wasn't all that active until after the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001.

"That hit me right between the eyes," he recalled, and he began to get back into "hamming."

Now Hilton averages about 100 hours a month on the airwaves and takes countless courses and certifications. He noted that amateur radio operators are "amateurs only in that we're not paid." In return for service "the government gives us frequencies."

Hilton said the North Alabama ham community is large.

"The astronauts are all ham radio operators," he said, noting that astronaut Owen Garriott "was the first ham in space."

Hilton''s "ham shack" is not really a shack at all. It is located in a formal dining room - complete with crystal chandelier. It includes six radios and two computers.

"You don't need six, but don't tell my wife, please," Hilton said as his wife, Diane, laughed. She recently obtained her "technician class" license, which is the entry-level license for ham radio operators. The next is "general class." The highest, which Hilton has, is "amateur extra." Anyone can be an amateur radio operator, Hilton said. There is no age limit: Hilton knows a local ham who is 18 and another who is 89.

It doesn't cost much to be an operator either, he said. A beginner could purchase enough equipment to get started for about $300. Courses are free through one of the local ham radio clubs, of which there are several, including the Huntsville Amateur Radio Club (the Web address is www.harc.net).

In addition to six radios, Hilton has two computers: a laptop and a desktop. "The radios are hooked into the computer." With his setup, the Hiltons can talk to other hams as far away as Japan.

But for the past two weeks there hasn't been time to chat about matters such as which antennas they like the best. There are emergency frequencies to monitor. At the moment, a critical one is the Salvation Army's emergency frequency, Hilton said.

Hilton never knows if it will be a busy night or a quiet one. Recent solar flares have added to the uncertainty because they disrupt communications. Friday, during the late afternoon and early evening, it was unusually quiet.

"I'm a little surprised," he said Friday. "I can't believe how dead these bands are."

Solar flare

It could be a solar flare, or could be something else. "That's the problem, you never know," he said. The waiting, the listening, "it wears you out." But it's worth it when you hear a voice and "you might be the only person who can hear this guy."

On Thursday night, when Hilton was expecting problems, reception was fine. He was able to contact two operators in Louisiana. He also heard one Mississippi ham talking to another ham "so at least one is working there."

ecause of the Hurricane Katrina disaster, there are thousands of messages piling up, waiting to be delivered by ham radio operators: What will happen when all their radios start working again?

People like Hilton will be extremely busy. There are thousands of ham radio operators in Louisiana and Mississippi. When they all get back to the airwaves, it will be quite a challenge to sort out all the messages.

But meanwhile, as they waited for the deluge of messages, on Thursday night the Huntsvillians received a call for help from New Mexico.

Maura Campbell Kingston of Clovis, N.M., was trying to reach her aunt, 76-year-old Sister Joan Campbell, a nun, of Harvey, La. Kingston called the Salvation Army, which contacted a ham radio operator, who called Hilton.

Hilton tried Thursday to reach a radio operator in Louisiana to check on Campbell, but almost all of them were off the air. Finally on Friday night Hilton got through to "KG5YK," who is Robert A. Turner of Elm Grove, La.

When Turner's message came through, hams from all over the United States could be heard, eager to talk with him.

Helping 'ham brother'

The outpouring of sympathy could not be contained: Radio operators from Texas, Indiana and elsewhere all expressed their support for their "ham brother" in Louisiana.

"I hope this never happens again," said Turner of the Katrina disaster. "It's been a tough time. ... I'm looking for the right words." He thanked the other hams for their all their help.

"You'd do the same for us," the ham from Texas said. Others echoed his sentiments.

Turner gave Hilton information about when to call with his "health and welfare message" about Kingston's aunt. Hilton vowed to keep trying to deliver the message "for as long as it takes."

But that proved to be unnecessary.

Friday night, after calling her aunt repeatedly, Kingston finally reached her by phone. She was still at her home in Harvey.

"She was OK," Kingston said in a telephone interview Saturday. "Harvey stayed dry." But the area was without power and Campbell "was sitting in the dark with her flashlight."

Later, Kingston called Hilton to thank him. She said he told her: "I'm just doing it because I want to help."

Kingston was impressed.

"I believe that thing about Southern hospitality."

Thursday, September 15, 2005

Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
By Jeannetta Edwards

Daily Times Leader

When Hurricane Katrina hit, telephone and cell phone communication hit an impasse because of downed lines and towers. But, amateur radio operators did not miss a beat or a transmission.

"Before and after the hurricane, this has proven to be the most reliable form of communication," said Randall O'Brien, a retired highway patrolman who has been involved with ham radio operation for 35 years.

"People thought when they got cell phones, they didn't need us anymore," said O'Brien, an advanced licensed operator, who often talks to missionaries in South and Central America.

According to Denver Baker, Clay County has more than 30 amateur radio operators, but only a small fraction are active.

"It's a wonderful hobby," said Baker, whose wife recently received her technician license.

The multi-faceted operation includes digital and satellite communication and is enjoyed by all age levels.

"This is a good hobby for seniors or for young people," said Baker.

By becoming a ham radio operator, which requires taking a test to obtain a license, people can communicate with others anywhere in the world.

"The classes for the test are free," said Baker, "and we help you with everything you need to know."

According to Baker, who has been enjoying this hobby for the last 10 years, the only investment required is the fee for the test and the purchase of equipment. A handheld transmitter cost around $100.

The self-policing group ensures that everyone adheres to the rules and regulations.

"We're called amateur radio operators, but there's nothing amateur about it," said O'Brien, who first became interested in electronic at age 13.

The group, which also belongs to the American Radio Relay League, meets monthly in West Point.

"We have a good bunch of hams in this area," said Baker. "We bring food at our meetings and have a good time."

"It's nice, especially for seniors," said Baker.

For information on getting involved in ham radio operation, call O'Brien at 494-2898 or Baker at 494-9096.

Thursday, September 8, 2005

Ham Radio Operators Offer Help

By Beth Hahn
Mountain View Telegraph
Since Hurricane Katrina hit New Orleans last week, several ears in the East Mountains and Estancia Valley have been tuned to amateur short-wave radios.
McIntosh resident Ed Brooks said local Ham radio operators are willing to relay messages from the Gulf Coast if needed.
"We guys here in the Estancia Valley are just listening," he said. "We haven't had any need for what we can offer."
Although they may not be needed yet, Brooks said local Ham radio operators are still listening in to conversations taking place in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama.
During the interview with Brooks, his Ham radio was tuned to a conversation involving a Coast Guard helicopter that was rescuing a family from a rooftop in New Orleans with direction from another Ham radio operator.
Brooks said similar situations have been broadcast hundreds of

times during the past week.
"It's been really spotty, but what we've been (hearing) is that it was good fortune that somebody down there had Ham equipment," he said.
Daryl Clutter, an Edgewood Ham operator and member of the Salvation Army's shortwave network, said he relayed a message from Ontario to U.S. Coast Guard officials in Washington, D.C., that originated in Louisiana.
"It was just the word 'help' spelled out two times," he said.
Like Brooks, Clutter said he has stayed close to his Ham radio since Aug. 29.
"There's been so much going on," he said. "Every day, something happens."
Clutter, a former truck driver who often delivered frozen food to the Gulf Coast, said radio traffic has slowed since the hurricane devastated the region.
"You just wonder who all you know, that used to be your customers down there, that are still alive or had the good sense to get out," he said.
As amateur radio operators, Clutter said, Ham enthusiasts practice for disasters "every day."
"There's only so many cell phone frequencies," he explained. "If the phone system goes down, there's no way ... (one company) could handle all the 60,000 or 70,000 phone calls."
Mountainair resident and Ham operator Bob Scupp said the Salvation Army network alone has handled more than 128,000 messages since Aug. 29.
Brooks said Ham operators have been relaying messages from residents to volunteers or emergency personnel. Since a large number of National Guard and military personnel have arrived in the area, Brooks said the messages have changed from pleas for help to questions about well-being.
Shelters for evacuees are beginning to utilize Ham radios to communicate with other shelters to help families find missing relatives, Brooks said.
Rescues are still going on.
"There's been instances where they're still finding people, trapped in houses, trapped in buildings or running out of oxygen," said Clutter. "It's just terrible what's going on down there."
Clutter and Brooks said they will not offer assistance unless someone requests contact in the Albuquerque area.
"We don't want to tie up the frequencies," Brooks said.
Clutter and Brooks said they will continue to listen to their Ham radios for messages or relay requests until communications are restored in the Gulf Coast.
"We live and breathe this," Clutter said.

Published: September 03, 2005 07:18 pm

Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support
By Teresa Atkerson
MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)
MCALESTER, Okla. -

With more than 10,000 requests for help in finding loved ones, the amateur radio operators in Louisiana are extremely busy.

In fact, they are so busy, they have asked people to wait with their requests unless there is an extreme emergency.

Ralph Suter, with the Pittsburg County Amateur Radio Club, said they had about a half dozen requests for assistance in locating loved ones in the area devastated by Hurricane Katrina. ï¿½The Red Cross funneled the requests for health and welfare,ï¿½ he explained. Now, the national traffic system is backed up with the requests, he said, because there were so many.

There are extreme problems with communications in New Orleans at the present time. Suter explained VHF radio has to have line of sight while amateur radio operators can use lower frequencies that can bounce off or curve to get the message through. ï¿½A benefit of amateur radio is you can throw a piece of wire in a tree or up a flagpole. With 12 volts of power, you can operate on a local or even worldwide basis,ï¿½ he explained.

As an example, Suter said recently an amateur radio operator in Louisiana had some kind of problem that he couldnï¿½t get help with after the hurricane. He put out the message, it went through Washington state to Texas and then into Louisiana where the right people were found to help him.

Amateur radio operators can also communicate via Morse Code, upload through television or computer or radio to satellite, Suter said. That gives them even more advantages in communication.

Jim Russell, president of the PCARC, said amateur radio has been around as long as there has been radio. Even in World War I, amateur radio operators helped with messages in Morse Code, which is something operators today still must learn as part of their licensing process.

ï¿½We look at it as a hobby,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource of individuals because they have an interest to develop the skills to operate radios in various modes.

ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource for trained communicators.ï¿½

There are forms that must be used when transmitting messages. ï¿½When it goes into the system at one point and comes out at the destination, it is exactly the same information, even with the misspelled words,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s our responsibility when handling traffic that it comes out exactly as it comes in.ï¿½

Suter said many of the operators use their radios on a daily basis while others check weekly. When it comes to disasters, there is the Amateur Radio Emergency Service. ï¿½Itï¿½s not really an organization but it is organized on a national basis for community programs.

John Wright, another PCARC member, checks with the Oklahoma Sooner Net and with the Salvation Armyï¿½s network, SATERN.

After the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995 and 9/11, Russell said amateur radio was used extensively. It is also used during and after tornadoes and other natural disasters. Now, the group can work with the Automatic Position Reporting System to help more accurately report severe weather.

The group has an annual field day, which is a combination of a exercise and a contest. ï¿½We go out and set up radios under adverse conditions to simulate disaster response,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½In the past, weï¿½ve started with solar power, using a battery in a car or even a portable generator.

ï¿½The last time I kept count, we were able to make contacts in 47 states, the Philippines, some in South America and Puerto Rico in a 24-hour period.ï¿½

Suter pointed out that the word ï¿½amateurï¿½ is not what people interpret it as. ï¿½People interpret it to be an unprofessional group. But while itï¿½s made up of a lot of people for a hobby, itï¿½s not unorganized or unprofessional when dealing with disaster. We have capabilities that public agencies just donï¿½t have,ï¿½ he said.

Members also have to be licensed. The license testing can be done in McAlester now so those wishing to be licensed donï¿½t have to go to Dallas or Oklahoma City as in the past.

Teresa Atkerson writes for the McAlester (Okla.) News-Capital.

Old Technology Still Needed
(Page 1 of 2)

Sept. 8, 2005

Overwhelming Charity

Joe Carcia, station manager of the American Radio Relay League in Newington, Conn. helps with the disaster relief effort for Hurricane Katrina's victims along the Gulf Coast. (AP)

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

(CBS) There is a store in London dedicated to "appropriate technology." It mostly carries products designed to be used in developing countries where there is a weak communications infrastructure.

Sadly, thatï¿½s now the case for a large swath of the United States where Hurricane Katrina and its flood waters have wiped out cell phone towers, telephone switching stations, Internet routers and other ground-based communications systems. Even wireless Internet depends on nearby transmitters and receivers on the ground.

But amateur radio is working and, according to Allen Pitts of the Amateur Radio Relay League (ARRL), "ham" radio operators from throughout the country are answering the call to provide vital communications links. Satellite phones, a much newer technology, are also coming to the rescue.

Pitts acknowledges that cell phones and other technologies "work wonderfully when everything is going right," but "they are very vulnerable when everything is going wrong."

Just as with past hurricanes, earthquakes and on September 11th, the ground-based infrastructure, in many cases failed because vital parts of the system were damaged or overwhelmed during the emergency.

In other words, when you need them most, those cell phones are of no value.

Amateur radio, says Pitts, is not vulnerable to these problems "because each of the radio operators is a complete transmit and reception center unto themselves able to continue going and continue with nothing more than an electrical source, such as a battery or a generator, a radio and piece of wire for an antenna."

Listen to audio of Larry Magid's interview with ARRL's Allen Pitts.

While base-station radios are larger and require an electrical power source, there are also portable ham radios slightly larger than a pack of cigarettes with a range of about 20 miles, according to Pitts. Pitts says that the next sized radio, about the size of a paperback book, can communicate up to about 50 miles without a repeater while larger systems, "about the size of a desktop computer" can communicate globally.

Amateur radio operators are in demand. When I checked the ARRLï¿½s Web site there was a call from the Salvation Army for "10, two-operator Amateur Radio teams for deployment in the U.S. Gulf Coast. Operators must hold at least a General class license. Teams should be fully self sufficient in terms of food, water and lodging and plan to remain in the disaster area for from one to two weeks."

Pitts said there was a "lady in Connecticut," who was listening on her amateur radio and happened to hear that there was a woman "who was trapped for four days without food and water." She was able to relay that message, by ham radio, into an operations center that dispatched the fire department. A day later she got a call from the trapped womanï¿½s mother, thanking her for her role in the rescue.

Ironically, this comes at a time when amateur radio is struggling for respect and, to some extent, survival. What was once a popular hobby has fallen into somewhat hard times for a variety of reasons, including the fact that the Internet, cheap long distance calling, cell phones and other technologies make the ability to use these two-way radios not quite as compelling as it once was.

Also, there is a bit of a rift between some members of the amateur radio community and some parts of the Internet industry over the use of power lines to transmit Internet signals. This technology, which could put power companies into competition with cable and phone companies for broadband service, has been criticized by some ham operators because of possible interference problems.

Despite the fact that their origins go back to the early 20th century, hams are hardly low-tech. There are now bridges between ham operators and other technologies including the Internet, cellular phone systems and Internet phones. In fact, long before the Internet became popular, ham operators were using their radio gear to transmit data along with voice and morse code.

Also coming to the rescue are satellite phones. These phones - which are much more expensive to buy and use than cell phones - rely on satellites rather than ground equipment to communicate with the phone network.

Because satellites are in space, theyï¿½re not vulnerable to conditions here on earth. Also, as long as you have a view of the sky, youï¿½re able to communicate. Iridium, which operates "66 low-earth orbiting (LEO), cross-linked satellites and 11 in-orbit spares," says it provides "complete coverage of the earth (including oceans, airways and Polar Regions)."

I carried an Iridium phone with me when I traveled to Peru a few years ago. It worked great when I was in the clear such as on a boat in the middle of the Amazon River but, because it requires a line of site view of the sky, it had problems in dense jungle and in cities with tall buildings.

Iridium spokesperson Liz DeCastro estimates that there are currently about 10,000 of Iridium's phones being used in the region with orders for about 6,000 more. The company sells through resellers so it doesnï¿½t have exact numbers. DeCastro says that "traffic in the region increased by 3,000 percent" since the storm hit and that the number of subscribers increased by 500 percent.

Satellite phones are a lot cheaper to use then when I went to Peru, but they still cost between $1 and $1.25 per minute to use, according to DeCastro. Thatï¿½s a lot more than domestic cell use, though often cheaper than using U.S. phones when roaming overseas. The phones themselves cost between $1,000 and $1,500 she says.

In addition to handling voice calls, satellite phones can also be used for messaging or data, albeit at modem rather than broadband speed.

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

Thatï¿½s why some news organizations keep manual typewriters around. You never know when that old technology may suddenly become the "appropriate technology."

NYNewsday.com
Amateur radio operators head to Gulf Coast

September 6, 2005, 1:00 AM EDT

NEWINGTON, Conn. -- Oscar Fuller only became an amateur radio operator about a year ago, but he knows how valuable his equipment can be in an

emergency.

So even though he has never responded to a crisis, the American Relay Radio League member is planning to head to the hurricane-ravaged Gulf Coast and see if he can help establish an emergency communication network.

"This is a tragic set of circumstances," said Fuller, who recently retired from IBM. "If I can lend a hand, I want to."

Fuller and about 14 other members of the Newington-based amateur radio operator network have signed up to join the relief efforts for Hurricane Katrina. The amateur operators _ known as hams _ can often use their equipment to communicate in difficult circumstances.

Because the radio equipment operates on many frequencies, is self-contained and portable, it can often work when other communication networks, such as telephones, aren't working. It can never be blocked, which is why operators say it is good in disasters.

"When all else fails, ham radios work," said Betsy Doane of Shelton, who oversees the 2,500 members of the league in Connecticut.

David Patton, an official with the radio group, said as many as 750 volunteers may be needed. The Connecticut volunteers are going to help provide communication support for more than 200 American Red Cross Shelters in Mississippi, Alabama and the Florida panhandle.

"There are limited resources to an overwhelming disaster," said Allen Pitts, public relations manager for the radio league. "So there is not a ham on every corner. The hams are put where they are needed most of all, in emergency traffic or for saving lives."

Dennis Motschenbacher of Colchester was among the first volunteers to say he would go. He spent Sunday shopping for supplies and getting ready for the 18-hour, 1,100 mile drive to Montgomery, Ala., where he will be dispatched to a shelter.

"Everything I need, I'm bringing," he said. "There won't be any Radio Shack to go to."

The 57-year-old has been a ham for more than 40 years. He has operated his radio from places as far as East Timor and the Balkans.

The hardest part will be "seeing people in this troubled state _ smelly, sick, depressed, angry. And no matter what any of us do, it won't be enough for all of them."

NBC
Headline News - Top Stories
Ham Radio Operators Pitch In To Hurricane Katrina Relief Effort General Interest
09/07/2005
With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going

on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.

Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family in Chicago.

The reason-- ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails.

Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for communication until other modes of communication are restored.

News-Leader.com Springfield Mo.
Ham radio helps close communication gap

Nixa man relayed message from president to New Orleans' mayor.

Joe Hargis works on his amateur radio in his home in Nixa. Hargis helped rescue an elderly woman by notifying someone who could contact rescue workers via radio.

By Sarah Overstreet
News-Leader

If you've been unsuccessfully trying to get information about someone living in Hurricane Katrina's region of havoc, here's an avenue you may not have considered: local ham radio operators.

While cell phones rely on towers and traditional telephones rely on intact land lines, ham radios transmit from radio to radio, bouncing waves off the ionosphere in the upper atmosphere far above the Earth's tantrums. They can run off batteries, generators and sometimes even the sun.

Two Ozarks amateur ham radio groups - the Nixa Amateur Radio Club and the Christian County ARES (Amateur Radio Emergency Services) - have been relaying messages from people all over the world to those whose communication has been cut off by Katrina.

David Beckler, a ham radio operator from Nixa, even relayed a message Tuesday from the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency in Texas to New Orleans Mayor Ray Nagin.

"He had a message from President Bush to the mayor of the city of New Orleans," says Beckler, a New Orleans native who moved to Nixa six years ago.

Since the message was an emergency communication, the dispatch needed to go through the net control station on an emergency frequency, Beckler said. He knew it was important, because the ham operator had said, "break, break, break," which requires all other communications to yield

and give that one priority.

"The net control station or other stations on this frequency could not hear this message," Beckler says. Beckler contacted the net control station, and people there asked him to contact the sending ham operator and relay the message back to that operator. "That's when he told me it was from President Bush."

Joe Hargis, another Nixa ham club member, also helped with an urgent message. "I was monitoring the SATERN network (Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network)," said Hargis, a retired elementary schoolteacher.

"A person called in with a piece of emergency traffic and the (receiving) person could not hear him. He said, 'Is there anyone on the frequency who can copy this?' I broke in and told him I could hear it fine."

Hargis relayed the message back to SATERN, and the operator there could hear Hargis well. "It was a 90-year-old woman and her elderly neighbors. They were afraid to leave their home because of the unrest in the neighborhood, things that were going on, and they needed assistance to be evacuated. They were running low on medicine, and one was running out of her oxygen supply."

Nixa ham club member Rod Kittleman says their members helped save the lives of a couple in New Orleans.

"A weak, disabled elderly couple were trapped in their attic. Their phone worked, but they couldn't get through to local authorities," the KADI radio program manager explains.

Too weak to break through their roof so emergency personnel could find them, the couple called their daughter in this area. She contacted the Nixa club, which relayed the message to a local ham operator who is licensed to operate on emergency frequencies.

"He got through to authorities in New Orleans over the radio, and they were rescued. (The ham operator) relayed the message back to their daughter. That's the reward we live for."

FRS will in no way supplant the ham radio service, no matter how bad you might like to see that happen.

3663. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 22:27:44 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <orudnSwvBMEiwcTenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121432
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
>>
>>Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for cbers
>>to comprehend.
>
>
> Especially you.
>

Hey wogie, tell us all about that negative swr. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!

3664. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 22:51:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ohe6f.122$A63.107@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121440

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Iitoi" <Iitoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
> news:Iitoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com...
>
>>Gather 'round the campfire, boys and girls...
>>
>>A few months back, I posted a note on the Society of
>>Midwest Contesters reflector asking if anybody knew
>>the whereabouts of our dear old friend Romeo
>>Stepanenko, 3W3RR.
>
>
> The sobering part is that Sean was fingered as a "person of interest" by the
> feds from posts on a reflector, or on EHam.

Probably after a Google search on Romeo's name.

> Do ya think they're keeping his P5 logs open for QSL requests?

I think it was OH2BH who noted a particular tree on Romeo's P5 QSL card
and who had his photo taken by that same tree--in China.

Dave K8MN

3665. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 22:57:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129935448.835974.63080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121441

Leo wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
> >>
> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>>Leo wrote:
> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >snip
> >>
> >>When were you a kid, Leo? Ham radio is far more popular today than when
> >>I was a kid.
>
> About the same time as you were - I was born in 1955.
>
> Comparing the total number of amateur licenses existing today is an
> apples-to-oranges comparison, and not a true indicator of the
> "popularity" of the hobby then or now.
>
> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per minute, not
> our easy 5. Today, there are vast numbers of amateurs who hold
> licenses where no code test was required - around half of the total.
> How many amateur licenses would have been issued back then if a 'no
> code' license had been available? - I'd speculate that there would
> have been a lot!

indeed at least some more I would clearly have had a license 20 years
beore I did without code test requirement
>
> As far as populatity goes, I'd say that the general public back then
> seemed to be far more aware of even the existence of the hobby than it
> appears to be today (wonder if there's a survey available anywhere on
> this anywhere.....). For example, all of my friends and I knew about
> amateur radio back then - both of my teenage sons indicate that the
> majority of their contemporaries have no idea at all that the hobby
> even exists. Those who are aware are pretty much disinterested in the

> activity - they have more fun and interesting things to do!

or preahps worse think that Ham radio is CB radio (IMO CB is ok but it
very limited compared to ham radio)
>
> >
> >snip
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 73,Leo

3666. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 23:02:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Jre6f.17449$vw6.664@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121442

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ohe6f.122$A63.107@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> K�HB wrote:
>> "Iitoi" <Iitoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
>> news:Iitoi.1x9tfo@news.radiobanter.com...
>>
>>>Gather 'round the campfire, boys and girls...
>>>
>>>A few months back, I posted a note on the Society of
>>>Midwest Contesters reflector asking if anybody knew
>>>the whereabouts of our dear old friend Romeo
>>>Stepanenko, 3W3RR.
>>
>>
>> The sobering part is that Sean was fingered as a "person of interest" by
>> the feds from posts on a reflector, or on EHam.
>
> Probably after a Google search on Romeo's name.
>
>> Do ya think they're keeping his P5 logs open for QSL requests?
>
> I think it was OH2BH who noted a particular tree on Romeo's P5 QSL card
> and who had his photo taken by that same tree--in China.
>
> Dave K8MN

Ah the memories......Yankee Clipper, Colvins, etc.

Dan/W4NTI

3667. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 23:20:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LIe6f.23$RI1.16@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121443

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

>
> Ah the memories......Yankee Clipper, Colvins, etc.
>

Unlike Romeo and Don, the Colvins actually WERE where they said they were.

3668. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 23:25:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129937105.329167.209670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121444

Leo wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>>Leo wrote:
> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >snip
> >
> >When were you a kid, Leo? Ham radio is far more
> >popular today than when I was a kid.

> About the same time as you were - I was born in 1955.

Then we're almost exactly the same "vintage".
>
> Comparing the total number of amateur licenses existing
> today is an
> apples-to-oranges comparison, and not a true indicator of the
> "popularity" of the hobby then or now.

Why? I realize that we have to allow for the population
increase. But when you do that, the inescapable conclusion
is that the ratio of the number of hams to the total population is more
now than it was in 1955, or 1965, or 1975, etc. Only very recently has
the growth curve flattened out.

> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an
> amateur
> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
> minute, not our easy 5.

It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when
the Technician lost its code test requirement.

Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
and the training methods.

> Today, there are vast numbers of amateurs who hold
> licenses where no code test was required - around half of the
> total.

In the USA or Canada?

Slightly less than half of US hams hold Technician licenses, but
there's no way of knowing how many have passed the code test.

> How many amateur licenses would have been issued back then if
> a 'no
> code' license had been available? - I'd speculate that there
> would have been a lot!

Maybe. And if the written test were trimmed down to almost nothing,
there may have been more, too.

And you'd think that increasing the testing requirements would have
reduced the number of hams significantly, right?

Yet here in the USA, the exact opposite happened in the 1970s.

There's also the factor of how long somebody stays interested. And what
they do when they have the license.
>
> As far as populatity goes, I'd say that the general public back > then
> seemed to be far more aware of even the existence of the hobby > than it
> appears to be today (wonder if there's a survey available
> anywhere on
> this anywhere.....). For example, all of my friends and I knew > about
> amateur radio back then - both of my teenage sons indicate that > the
> majority of their contemporaries have no idea at all that the
> hobby
> even exists. Those who are aware are pretty much disinterested > in the
> activity - they have more fun and interesting things to do!

But are you and your friends a representative sample?

When I was growing up, most people, kids or adults, had no idea
what ham radio was unless they were related to or good friends
with a ham.

I grew up in a suburb of Philadelphia that was mostly blue- and
white-collar middle class families. Lots of kids, houses ranging from
rowhomes to big single Dutch Colonials. Yet there were less than a
dozen hams in the whole township then, all spread out, and about half
were inactive or minimally active.

In my high school (grades 9/10/11/12), which had over 2500 boys and
emphasized math and science, there were perhaps a half-dozen hams in
the 4 years I was there.

The main problem wasn't code or theory, for those who were interested.
It was space for an antenna and money for equipment.

Most people, young or old, thought ham radio looked like a kind of fun
thing, when they found out about it. But not enough to spend the
necessary time and money to set up a station, let alone get a license.

IMHO one of the biggest reasons ham radio isn't better known
is that it's not a very "visual" thing - it doesn't translate well to
TV or a movie.

Maybe we're using the wrong term - perhaps instead of "popularity",
what you are describing is better described as "visibility" or
"recognition by the public".

73 de Jim, N2EY

3669. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 23:42:03 UTC
From: Steve <woger@wogerthepsycho.org>
Message-ID: <djbubpqdu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129897983.347923.263730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Well, that would leave you out, since you only have a G.E.D. Davies.
> Roger nevcer claimed to have a Master's degree, dumbass, he said he had
^^^^^^

Having twuble with your spelling again Woger?

ROTFLMAO!

3670. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-21 23:51:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1129938669.054785.253830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
> >be a liar, a cheat and a coward, Frankie.
>
> Hardly.

Frank, you got off easy. He usually includes "homosexual" and
sometimes "pedophile" in his strings of accusations.

3671. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 01:50:41 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <kl6jl1t3ntatapsuf55qhsvrvcq16ejqs4@4ax.com>
Source record: 121447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2005 16:51:09 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
>> >be a liar, a cheat and a coward, Frankie.
>>
>> Hardly.
>
>Frank, you got off easy. He usually includes "homosexual" and
>sometimes "pedophile" in his strings of accusations.

Don't forget child abuser another Stevie favorite as was Dr LPN Stevie
holding forth on which mental illnesses you obviously suffer from

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3672. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 01:55:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <u_g6f.86$RI1.39@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121448

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>
>>Ah the memories......Yankee Clipper, Colvins, etc.
>>
>
>
> Unlike Romeo and Don, the Colvins actually WERE where they said they were.

...and they had hundreds and hundreds of slides to prove it. Romeo, on the other hand, actually faked a couple of visas for rare spots.

More than twenty years ago, K8MFO fed me the classic Colvin joke:

Ham A: Did you know that when the Colvins die, they're going to be stuffed?

Ham B: I thought that already happened.

Lloyd and Iris (aka Floyd and Virus were not the speediest nor the best of operators, especially in their waning years, but they certainly put the stuff on the air. I treasure my YASME Award.

Dave K8MN

3673. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 02:09:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129946993.574007.106020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121449

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

"Amateur Opinions"?

Does that mean only the opinions of licensed radio amateurs are
considered in the totals?

> As of 20 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> ------------- ---------------
> Grand Total 2634 658
>
> Indeterminate (note 1) 171 60
>
> Value for Percentages 2463 598
>
> Against NPRM (note 2) 746 [30.29%] 191 [31.94%]
> For NPRM (note 3) 1339 [54.36%] 303 [51.67%]
> Test Extra Only (note 4) 378 [15.35%] 104 [17.39%]
>
> This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 3 PM EDT 21 Oct 05.
>
> Notes:
>
> It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider
> Comments entered
> prior to 31 August 2005,

Why isn't that known? Does anyone think FCC would
put comments in their database, yet not consider them?

> 1. Includes duplicate postings

"Postings"? Aren't they called "comments"?

> from same individual,

If an individual submits multiple but different comments, are they all
counted, or just one?

Are Reply Comments counted? If an individual submits both Comments and
Reply Comments, are they all counted, or just one?

> "joke"
> or "test" entries which do not have a valid address,

How is an address determined to be valid?

> or
> polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
> do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
> scope and purpose of the NPRM,

> one foreign citizen
> submission,

Citizenship is not a requirement for an FCC amateur radio license.
Neither is resident status. Yet all licensees are
subject to FCC regulations. Why shouldn't the comments of
prospective licensees be counted just because they're not
citizens?

> and six who were commenting on another
> matter having nothing to do with amateur radio
> regulations.

Such as?
>
> 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
> the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
>
> 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
> NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.

Well, that's pretty clear.

> NPRM itself
> (first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

Why? It's not a comment.
>
> 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
> test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
> eliminating the code test for other classes.

There's only one other class that requires a code test.
>
> Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.
>
> Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
> like it or not.

The future of amateur radio is always being made - by those who are
part of it.

3674. when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 02:37:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129948670.488119.310790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121501

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 02:31:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
cut
> > Well, it was about as truthful as anything YOU were saying.
>
> since yo say I was lying then you admit to lying yourself with the
> titl ": Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
> Was Asking For It"."
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3675. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 02:45:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <as9jl1pb7jja1aa1q02kij26dtbo3ipgif@4ax.com>
Source record: 121502

On 21 Oct 2005 19:09:53 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

grow up

it is his count so he makes the rules he will use

you don't like make your own count and post the results

I doubt it will make much difference

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
>"Amateur Opinions"?
>
>Does that mean only the opinions of licensed radio amateurs are
>considered in the totals?
>
>> As of 20 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
>> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>>
>> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
>> ------------- ---------------
>> Grand Total 2634 658
>>
>> Indeterminate (note 1) 171 60
>>
>> Value for Percentages 2463 598
>>
>> Against NPRM (note 2) 746 [30.29%] 191 [31.94%]
>> For NPRM (note 3) 1339 [54.36%] 303 [51.67%]
>> Test Extra Only (note 4) 378 [15.35%] 104 [17.39%]
>>
>> This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 3 PM EDT 21 Oct 05.
>>
>> Notes:
>>
>> It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider
>> Comments entered
>> prior to 31 August 2005,
>
>Why isn't that known? Does anyone think FCC would
>put comments in their database, yet not consider them?
>
>> 1. Includes duplicate postings
>

>"Postings"? Aren't they called "comments"?
>
>> from same individual,
>
>If an individual submits multiple but different comments, are they all
>counted, or just one?
>
>Are Reply Comments counted? If an individual submits both Comments and
>Reply Comments, are they all counted, or just one?
>
>> "joke"
>> or "test" entries which do not have a valid address,
>
>How is an address determined to be valid?
>
>> or
>> polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
>> do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
>> scope and purpose of the NPRM,
>
>> one foreign citizen
>> submission,
>
>Citizenship is not a requirement for an FCC amateur radio license.
>Neither is resident status. Yet all licensees are
>subject to FCC regulations. Why shouldn't the comments of
>prospective licensees be counted just because they're not
>citizens?
>
>> and six who were commenting on another
>> matter having nothing to do with amateur radio
>> regulations.
>
>Such as?
>>
>> 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
>> the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
>>
>> 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
>> NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.
>
>Well, that's pretty clear.
>
>> NPRM itself
>> (first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."
>
>Why? It's not a comment.
>>
>> 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
>> test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept

>> eliminating the code test for other classes.
>
>There's only one other class that requires a code test.
>>
>> Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.
>>
>> Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
>> like it or not.
>
>The future of amateur radio is always being made - by those who are
>part of it.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3676. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 02:51:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1129949503.173038.29520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121503

KØHB wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Ah the memories......Yankee Clipper, Colvins, etc.
> >
>
> Unlike Romeo and Don, the Colvins actually WERE where they said they were.

. . . then along came Don Miller who was not exactly an alter boy . .

w3rv

3677. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 02:59:07 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djc9rk$p4n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve" <woger@wogerthepsycho.org> wrote in message
news:djbubpqdu0@pita.alt.net...

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129897983.347923.263730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Well, that would leave you out, since you only have a G.E.D. Davies.
> Roger nevcer claimed to have a Master's degree, dumbass, he said he had
^^^^^^

Having twuble with your spelling again Woger?

ROTFLMAO!

. . .

Wogie has "twuble" with assimilating himself into mainstream society.
Nobody wants him around because he simply doesn't fit in. He's a Pariah. A
Misfit.

3678. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:02:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129947598.044619.74450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121506

grow up

either accept the number or do your own count
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
> "Amateur Opinions"?
>
> Does that mean only the opinions of licensed radio amateurs are
> considered in the totals?
>
> > As of 20 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> > Elimination/Retention tabulation:
> >
> > ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> > ------------- ---------------
> > Grand Total 2634 658
> >
> > Indeterminate (note 1) 171 60
> >
> > Value for Percentages 2463 598
> >
> > Against NPRM (note 2) 746 [30.29%] 191 [31.94%]
> > For NPRM (note 3) 1339 [54.36%] 303 [51.67%]
> > Test Extra Only (note 4) 378 [15.35%] 104 [17.39%]
> >
> > This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 3 PM EDT 21 Oct 05.
> >
> > Notes:
> >
> > It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider
> > Comments entered
> > prior to 31 August 2005,
>
> Why isn't that known? Does anyone think FCC would
> put comments in their database, yet not consider them?
>
> > 1. Includes duplicate postings
>
> "Postings"? Aren't they called "comments"?
>
> > from same individual,
>

> If an individual submits multiple but different comments, are they all
> counted, or just one?
>
> Are Reply Comments counted? If an individual submits both Comments and
> Reply Comments, are they all counted, or just one?
>
> > "joke"
> > or "test" entries which do not have a valid address,
>
> How is an address determined to be valid?
>
> > or
> > polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
> > do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
> > scope and purpose of the NPRM,
>
> > one foreign citizen
> > submission,
>
> Citizenship is not a requirement for an FCC amateur radio license.
> Neither is resident status. Yet all licensees are
> subject to FCC regulations. Why shouldn't the comments of
> prospective licensees be counted just because they're not
> citizens?
>
> > and six who were commenting on another
> > matter having nothing to do with amateur radio
> > regulations.
>
> Such as?
> >
> > 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
> > the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
> >
> > 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
> > NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test.
>
> Well, that's pretty clear.
>
> > NPRM itself
> > (first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."
>
> Why? It's not a comment.
> >
> > 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
> > test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
> > eliminating the code test for other classes.
>
> There's only one other class that requires a code test.
> >

> > Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.
> >
> > Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
> > like it or not.
>
> The future of amateur radio is always being made - by those who are
> part of it.

3679. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:02:27 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djca0c$q16$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve" <woger@wogerthepsycho.org> wrote in message
news:djbubpqdu0@pita.alt.net...

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129897983.347923.263730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Well, that would leave you out, since you only have a G.E.D. Davies.
> Roger nevcer claimed to have a Master's degree, dumbass, he said he had
^^^^^^

Having twuble with your spelling again Woger?

ROTFLMAO!

. .

Wogie has "twuble" with assimilating himself into mainstream society.
Nobody wants him around because he simply doesn't fit in. He's a Pariah. A
Misfit.

ROTFLMAO!

3680. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:05:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129950326.752307.318170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121507

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

> grow up

What does that mean in this context? Are you saying that I
should just shut up and not ask questions?

>
> it is his count so he makes the rules he will use

I'm just asking for explanations of those rules.

Is that not allowed? Is it somehow not "grown up" to
ask questions about what those rules are?

btw, here's another question:

Some of the comments are from clubs, representing the
opinions of the membership of those clubs. Do such
comments count as just one, or are they counted
by the number of club members?

3681. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:12:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a9bjl1l8acadjivcs5v1vn38eurvi0se4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 121509

On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> grow up
>
>What does that mean in this context?

in this context it means either do your own countor shut up

> Are you saying that I
>should just shut up and not ask questions?
>
>>
>> it is his count so he makes the rules he will use
>
>I'm just asking for explanations of those rules.

again and again and again

Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone else if
he chooses not
>
>Is that not allowed?

apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his refusal to
answer to make exactly that determination

It is his count, his rules, and he is NOT accountable to you, or me or
even the FCC or Father Chrismass for that matter

> Is it somehow not "grown up" to
>ask questions about what those rules are?

what you are doing, by going on and one about is interfere with others
find the results of his work
cut out of mercy to us all

now grow up and take a hint he isn't going to answer you

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3682. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:15:41 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129950941.885316.186760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve wrote:
> woger is vewy vewy angwy.

While you are just sucking my love Roger's dick.

3683. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:16:39 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129950999.453912.5760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Steve wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129897983.347923.263730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Well, that would leave you out, since you only have a G.E.D. Davies.
> > Roger nevcer claimed to have a Master's degree, dumbass, he said he had
> ^^^^^^
>
>
> Having twuble with your spelling again Woger?
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Having trouble with your spelling again, asshole?
But then you must be like me, a high school dropout.
```

3684. Re: Hi Lardass, you look like you are about to go into Labor, FATASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:17:40 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951060.878632.180750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Poor Porky. He's so upset he posted twice. Roger gets the best of you
doesn't he queer boy? Now run along to Chason.

3685. Re: when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:19:04 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951144.716055.311750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121512

cry faggot, cry..........

3686. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:20:32 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951232.902079.155990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121513

Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
Markie?

3687. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:21:05 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <H5KdnbqZVsTiPMTeRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM wrote:

Left you speechless I guess.

3688. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:21:44 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951304.873446.80390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121514
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>
> >>>Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
> >>
> >>Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for cbers
> >>to comprehend.
> >
> >
> > Especially you.
> >
>
> Hey wogie, tell us all about that negative swr. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!

Hey, dumbass, tell us how you have a "pilot's license"
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

3689. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:22:57 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951377.152066.7740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121515
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey will try to sell you pictures of his naked granddaughter.

3690. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:23:44 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951424.769048.212420@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

zzz wrote:
> now

Now Lardass shows that he is indeed as stupid as he appears.

3691. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:25:42 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951542.782393.241580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe
> > > >
> > > > Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
> > > > a #####.
> > >
> > > He is a liar and he is a...well...you said it...but this time he's
> > > got it right...He has a street address in Chassell.
> >
> > Perhaps the Marquette one is a former address.
> >
> > > My only regret is
> > > we can't get him to move a mile or two farther north...In
> > > November...with ankle weights...
> >
> > Indeed. Go jump in Lake Superior the middle of January, Marky.
>
> show what you don't know

No, dumbass, you continually show what you don't know. Did the FBI say
they were going to arrest you for filing a false report?

>
> you can't jump in Lake Superior in mid january, you can however I am
> told and have witnessed Drive the lake, with 18 wheelers

Then just go fuck yourself.

> >
> > > Whoever the other one is, it's not him.
> >
> > Like I said, probably an former address.

3692. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:28:08 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951688.577379.254280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121518

an_old_friend wrote:
> today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> handling the case

Bullshit. You are an idiot.

> I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
>
> based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc

God are you stupid Marky. Have these delusions much?

> In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
> and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
> week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
> she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
> and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
> amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
> hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
> further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
> case load premitted it.
>
> she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
> turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
> some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
> assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
> prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
> have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
> that the follow up in this case could clearly

You are a fucking liar, Marky.

3693. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:29:35 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129951775.824598.73860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121519

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Huge, huge snip.....
> >
> > again the question stand what has any of this to do with the ARS?
> >
> > simple question but coward that you are you never answer it
> > >
> > > > > On Thu, 03 Dec 1998 23:24:38 -0600, FunGuy <gws@soltec.com> wrote:
> > > > > >Anyone in Central Illinois/Western Indiana???
> > > > > >male and/or female welcome
> > > > >
> > > > > the emial does not seem to work
> > > > >
> > > > > bi male central illinois here i love gs stuff giving or taking
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > >
> > > > and what makes you think the is me telling the turth something you
> > > > claim I never do
> > >
> > > Because your propensity to be an idiot exceeds your propensity to
> > > be deceitful.
> > >
> > > > if you went looking you could find marterail of me posing as a possible
> > > > NeoNazi looking for friends does that make a Neo Nazi or just someone
> > > > curious about what they are realy up to
> > >
> > > "material" "really"
> > >
> > > Uh huh...
> > >
> > > So what now? You're the illiterate version of "Parco, PI"?
> > >
> > > You're the Michigan version of "Dog, The Bounty Hunter"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Gimme a freakin' break!
> > >
> > > > deceit is tool.
> > >

> > Only for those for whom honesty is not a part of their character.
> >
> > > You and I both use it.
> >
> > Only 50% right there, Markie.
> >
> > > I am honest enough to admit to it
> >
> > That's not "honest", Markie. That's stupid...
> >
> > > this repost prove again show you are obsessed about sex
> >
> > No...It' proves what I have been saying about YOUR deviance and
> > deceit.
> >
> > > assuming the under lying post is truth and not an invite for research
> > > paper (a question I will not answer BTW) is is my bussness not yours
> >
> > "business"
> >
> > COPY-CAT!
> >
> > Sheeeesh....
> >
> > I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
> > persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
> > "research"...!!!
>
> you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do

It shows you can't read but watch tv 20 hours a day, Marky.

> > > it has nothing to do the ARS.
> >
> > It has EVERYTHING to do with proving you ARE an ARSE!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

3694. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:38:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129952314.600266.277060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N0VFP wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N0VFP wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
> > > > > > a ######.
> > > > >
> > > > > He is a liar and he is a...well...you said it...but this time he's
> > > > > got it right...He has a street address in Chassell.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps the Marquette one is a former address.
> > > >
> > > > > My only regret is
> > > > > we can't get him to move a mile or two farther north...In
> > > > > November...with ankle weights...
> > > >
> > > > Indeed. Go jump in Lake Superior the middle of January, Marky.
> > >
> > > show what you don't know
> >
> > No, dumbass, you continually show what you don't know.

as I said you can't jump in Lake Superior in Jan

> Did the FBI say
> they were going to arrest you for filing a false report?

no why would they? Since after all I filled a true one
>
> >
> > you can't jump in Lake Superior in mid january, you can however I am
> > told and have witnessed Drive the lake, with 18 wheelers
>
> Then just go fuck yourself.

no thanks I prefer having company for that, not that you are invited of
course
>
> > >

> > > > Whoever the other one is, it's not him.
> > >
> > > Like I said, probably an former address.

3695. truth in subject flame enclosed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:40:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129952450.507636.262310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121521

N0VFP wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
> > > persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
> > > "research"...!!!
> >
> > you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do
>
> It shows you can't read but watch tv 20 hours a day, Marky.

how does it show that

Indeed it can't show something isn't true

but it would seem that Stevie watchs more tv than I

>
> > > > it has nothing to do the ARS.
> > >
> > > It has EVERYTHING to do with proving you ARE an ARSE!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

3696. Re: when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:41:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129952506.856284.187220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121522

N0VFP wrote:
> cry faggot, cry..........

poor hate filled boy

tell me did you over run the aloowance at whinyslit or not?

3697. Re: truth in subject flame enclosed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:42:35 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129952555.190712.311520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121523

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > > I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
> > > > persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
> > > > "research"...!!!
> > >
> > > you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do
> >
> > It shows you can't read but watch tv 20 hours a day, Marky.
>
> how does it show that

You are a fucking idiot,Marky.

> Indeed it can't show something isn't true
>
> but it would seem that Stevie watchs more tv than I

>From the way you post, stupid, you must watch it 20 hours a day, since
you can't read.

> >
> > > > it has nothing to do the ARS.
> > > >
> > > It has EVERYTHING to do with proving you ARE an ARSE!
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

3698. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:42:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wyi6f.4713$4O1.2022@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121524

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote
> K&#65533;HB wrote:

>> Unlike Romeo and Don, the Colvins actually WERE where they said they were.

>. . . then along came Don Miller who was not exactly an alter boy . .

Like I said, "unlike Romeo and Don".....

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3699. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:45:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129952758.428629.162760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N0VFP wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > whiny I don't live in Marquette, ask Stevie if you don't believe
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Liar. You have a p.o. box in a town near there. Probably because you're
> > > > > > a ######.
> > > > > >
> > > > > He is a liar and he is a...well...you said it...but this time he's
> > > > > got it right...He has a street address in Chassell.
> > > > >
> > > > Perhaps the Marquette one is a former address.
> > > > >
> > > > > My only regret is
> > > > > we can't get him to move a mile or two farther north...In
> > > > > November...with ankle weights...
> > > > >
> > > > Indeed. Go jump in Lake Superior the middle of January, Marky.
> > > >
> > > show what you don't know
> > >
> > No, dumbass, you continually show what you don't know.
> >
> as I said you can't jump in Lake Superior in Jan

Then jump in in about a week.

> > Did the FBI say
> > they were going to arrest you for filing a false report?
> >
> no why would they? Since after all I filled a true one

No you filled a bullshit one. And you should get sued for it if so. But
given the way you lie, it's all bullshit that you filed one to begin
with.

> >
> > >
> > > you can't jump in Lake Superior in mid january, you can however I am

> > > told and have witnessed Drive the lake, with 18 wheelers
> >
> > Then just go fuck yourself.
>
> no thanks I prefer having company for that, not that you are invited of
> course

More of your children you abuse?

> >
> > > >
> > > > > Whoever the other one is, it's not him.
> > > > >
> > > > Like I said, probably an former address.

3700. Re: truth in subject flame enclosed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:46:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129952778.773737.211890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121526

N0VFP wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N0VFP wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
> > > > > > persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
> > > > > > "research"...!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do
> > > >
> > > It shows you can't read but watch tv 20 hours a day, Marky.
> > >
> > how does it show that
> >
> You are a fucking idiot,Marky.

guess you can't answer the question

not that I realy shocked
cut

3701. Re: when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:47:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129952826.635242.168640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121527

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > cry faggot, cry..........
>
> poor hate filled boy

Poor lying little sniveling pussy.
>
> tell me did you over run the aloowance at whinyslit or not?

Tell me did your parents have any kids that lived?

3702. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:47:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <lbdjl19b63fqllalkklipntvffa0madl2d@4ax.com>
Source record: 121529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 21 Oct 2005 20:45:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N0VFP wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > N0VFP wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
cut
>> > No, dumbass, you continually show what you don't know.
>>
>> as I said you can't jump in Lake Superior in Jan
>
>Then jump in in about a week.
>
>> > Did the FBI say
>> > they were going to arrest you for filing a false report?
>>
>> no why would they? Since after all I filled a true one
>
>No you filled a bullshit one.

you now claim to know what I filed

but I filed a report of the facts as I understand i could be in error
but even if that is the case filing a mistaken report is not filing a
flase one, nor is it a crime

>And you should get sued for it if so

I doubt the you Mr Slit would have standing to file one

of course you can try first you will need to to find my address which
seem to have eluded you

>. But
>given the way you lie, it's all bullshit that you filed one to begin
>with.

I trust thinking that makes you feel beter
>
>> >
>> > >
>> > > you can't jump in Lake Superior in mid january, you can however I am

>> > > told and have witnessed Drive the lake, with 18 wheelers
>> >
>> > Then just go fuck yourself.
>>
>> no thanks I prefer having company for that, not that you are invited of
>> course
>
>More of your children you abuse?

there can't be more of something I have never done
cut

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3703. Re: truth in subject flame enclosed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:48:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129952898.080138.38120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121528

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N0VFP wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > > > I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
> > > > > > > persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
> > > > > > > "research"...!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do
> > > > >
> > > > It shows you can't read but watch tv 20 hours a day, Marky.
> > >
> > > how does it show that
> >
> > You are a fucking idiot,Marky.
>
> guess you can't answer the question

Guess you can't fucking read.

>
> not that I realy shocked

You are too stupid to do anything.

> cut

Run away, Markie.

3704. Re: when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:53:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <osdjl1h8nko2j948ndur3gojqdvejp4nou@4ax.com>
Source record: 121531

On 21 Oct 2005 20:47:06 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N0VFP wrote:
>> > cry faggot, cry..........
>>
>> poor hate filled boy
>
>Poor lying little sniveling pussy.

what lie that you are clearly hate filled

that fact is plain to anyone that read your posts
>>
>> tell me did you over run the aloowance at whinyslit or not?
>
>Tell me did your parents have any kids that lived?

yes 2 myself and my brother

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3705. Re: truth in subject flame enclosed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:54:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <judjl15gh3c9a6us599ho8o1r3t4q4pn09@4ax.com>
Source record: 121532

On 21 Oct 2005 20:48:18 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N0VFP wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > N0VFP wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > cut
>> > > > > > > I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
>> > > > > > > persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
>> > > > > > > "research"...!!!
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do
>> > > > >
>> > > > It shows you can't read but watch tv 20 hours a day, Marky.
>> > >
>> > how does it show that
>> >
>> You are a fucking idiot,Marky.
>>
>> guess you can't answer the question
>
>Guess you can't fucking read.

you guess wrong as wrong as you are off target to find in MQT
>
>>
>> not that I realy shocked
>
>You are too stupid to do anything.
>
>> cut
>
>Run away, Markie.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3706. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 03:56:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129953375.745132.264320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121530

N0VFP wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> > handling the case
>
> Bullshit.

not bullshit

>You are an idiot.

not true but even if true it matters not one wit
>
> > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
> >
> > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>
> God are you stupid Marky.

I (and others don't think so) but perhaps

>Have these delusions much?

what delusion are you reffering to?

it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not
to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
at the time

since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it
>
> > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
> > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
> > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
> > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
> > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st

> > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
> > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
> > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
> > case load premitted it.
> >
> > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
> > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
> > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
> > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
> > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
> > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
> > that the follow up in this case could clearly
>
> You are a fucking liar, Marky.

not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be
untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it

3707. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:02:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129953742.512540.79500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121533

N0VFP wrote:
> Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> Markie?

as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested

3708. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:02:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129952098.684143.231100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121534

N0VFP wrote:
> Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> Markie?

not at all

3709. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:08:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>
Source record: 121535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 14:26:00 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 21 Oct 2005 09:21:39 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1129911699.915788.219710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>
>>Frankie of Silliland, Coward and Breaker of Sworn Military Oaths
>>wrote:
>>
>>> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Frank "I Got Court Martialed Twice Because I'm A Loser" Silliland
>>> >wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> That doesn't mean much coming from a person who impersonantes a
>>> >> retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.
>>> >
>>>
>>> You are.
>>
>> Guess you don't MIND being called a liar in a public forum then,
>>huh, Frankie...?!?!
>
>
>Nope. I just consider the source.

Stevie think folks temble in fear at his every pronouncement
>
>
>>> What were those "seven hostile actions" you claimed to have
>>> been in?
>>
>> None of your business, I guess, Frankie.
>
>
>Same old excuse. And you accuse -me- of being a coward....LOL!!!

the only fig leaf I can see for Stevie calling anyone a coward is the
"It takes one to know one" school of though

>
>
>> I don't have to bow to the demands and taunts of liars, cowards
>>and disgraced punks who lied to thier country.
>
>
>"their"
>
>
>> Frank Gilliland is all three.
>>
>>> > No doubt you don't persue that Amateur ticket because those two
>>> >courts martials carry federal weight...
>>>
>>> "pursue" "courts-martial"
>>
>> Thank-you, but I see you didn't answer me.
>
>
>A while ago I gave several reasons why I'm not getting an amateur
>license, not the least of which is the holier-than-thou attitude of
>many hams as expressed in the recent "FAQ" thread. Besides, I've been
>in the radio field professionally for about 25 years -- getting an
>AMATEUR license would be a step down.

I agree that Stevie is a Bad example of Ham radio but it the fault of
the rest of us

>
>
>>> >Sure would hate to see your name
>>> >on one of those public actions from the FCC wherein they revert your
>>> >application to "pending" status due to "character issues" after they
>>> >find oout...And Frankie, they WILL find out.
>>>
>>> I have no problem telling anyone about my military career, including
>>> to the FCC. The courts-martial weren't serious enough to revoke my
>>> security clearance, so I doubt the FCC will have any problem with
>>> them. But why are they such a thorn in -your- paw?
>>
>> Because while I was in the Marines, I came to have a significant
>>dislike for malingerers and liars.
>>
>> You're both.
>
>
>Use whatever adjectives you like, I'm proud of my military service. In
>fact, I'm so proud of it that I have publically disclosed many of my
>experiences, good and bad. I've told of my experiences in garrison, in
>the field, on float, and in Beirut; on air, land and sea. I have even
>described some of the radio equipment I worked with. But so far you
>have done nothing of the sort. You have, time after time, avoided any

>discussion of such issues, no matter how insignificant. You have also
>frequently demonstrated your ignorance about many aspects of the USMC
>that any Marine Gunny (let alone a shitbird PFC) would know, and that
>-you- obviously -don't-.
>
>Tell ya what, Dudly: Let's both go to a military group and continue
>this discussion there. You game?
>
>
>>> And BTW..... "out"
>>
>> Thanks again. I'll be more careful.
>
>
>No sweat. I'm getting pretty good at pointing out your errors.
>
>
>>> > Those of us who Honorably served in the Marines don't have those
>>> >concerns.
>>>
>>> No, we don't, and it's too bad that you can't include yourself in that
>>> category.
>>
>> Sure WE can...YOU can't.
>
>
>....rubber....glue....<yawn>
>
>
>>> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
>>>
>>> Hardly. The first court-martial was the result of a bad decision that
>>> I made and I paid for it.
>>
>> Gee, Frankie...I made some bad decisons too, but no one thought
>>them bad enough to court martial me for it...Not even Office Hours...
>
>
>Duh.... you can't get NJP if you aren't in the military!
>
>
>>> And as I explained before, the second was
>>> because I stood up to my superiors when they were wrong, an action for
>>> which I was vindicated.
>>
>> In your own mind, perhaps.
>
>
>And my SRB.
>

>
>>> How does that make me "a liar, a cheat and a coward", Dudly?
>>
>> Who's Dudly?
>>
>> As to the question in general, I already addressed that.
>
>
>Well you know what, Dudly? I could have gone my entire lifetime
>without ever mentioning my courts-martial and you would have been none
>the wiser. But instead I chose to be open and honest about my military
>service, something that you can't seem to appreciate -or- practice.
>Nevertheless, the least you could do would be to address the question
>with due consideration to my responses; assuming, of course, that you
>can. If you can't then it's no sweat off my brow as it only continues
>to demonstrate an infantile attitude that is so uncharacteristic of a
>retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.

One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
repsects honesty

I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>
>
>>> Now how did you get your medical discharge upgraded to an honorable
>>> with full retirement when you claimed to have served only 18 years?
>>
>> I listened when they spoke and followed instructions. Pretty
>>simple, actually.
>
>
>It's not really that simple, since there is no provision that allows a
>medical discharge to be changed to an honorable, nor is there one
>granting retirement status by arbitrarily adding two years not served.

you see that one too

But I think you may mistaken if he suffers froma service related
dysablity then by having things corrected he would get his pension

but Stevie claims to suffer from my physical or mental disablity

but stevie is not conistant
>Even if your story -is- true, you short-changed your country, Dudly,
>and now you are receiving benefits you didn't earn. Now when you
>accuse -me- of being a cheat and a malingerer..... well, do you know
>what the term "transference" means?
>
>
>> Kinda like following the instructions of my superiors, and when I
>>thought they were wrong about something, using the proper channels to

>>address them...Not taking matters into my own hands or going about it
>>as I dang well pleased "because I was right"
>
>
>If I had done what I "dang well pleased" the court-martial wouldn't
>have even happened. If given the choice between a)going on a field-op
>for a week or b)losing your rank, paying a fine and spending a month
>in the pokey, which would -you- choose?

he would have choosen anything to aviod trouble
>
>
>> Had your BEEN the kind of Marine you now perceive yourself as
>>having been YOU'D know THAT.
>
>
>If -YOU- were a Marine then you would know that being a Marine isn't
>always about following orders like an automaton. It's also about
>taking some initiative and doing the -right- thing, even if that means
>sticking your ass in the fire.

Stevie does not believe in pricipled stands

> Yeah, I got burnt, but at least I had
>the courage to do it. You obviously don't know anything about such
>things, which is yet another reason to believe that you were never in
>the USMC (but it -would- explain why you "retired" at a rank no higher
>than a Gunnery Sergeant -- no guts).

now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)just
no courage be fair Marine
>
>
>>> > You swore an oath you
>>> >wouldn't keep and took the government's money in the process.
>>>
>>> Actually, they kept -my- money. I signed up on the GJ bonus program
>>> and I held up my end of the deal, but they never paid up.
>>
>> No, you DIDN'T hold up your "end of the deal".
>>
>> You swore an oath to not only uphold and defend the Constitution of
>>the United States, but to obey those placed in authority over you.
>>
>> YOU didn't do that.
>>
>> At LEAST twice.
>
>
>That might be a valid argument if the Corps hadn't reneged on the
>contract -before- my first court-martial. Try again, Dudly.

>
>
>>> > There's an "impersonator" here, you lying coward, but it's not me.
>>> >
>>> > It's you.
>>
>>> So why, after 18 alleged years as an avionics tech in the USMC, had
>>> you never heard of the VINSON system?
>>
>> Poor try to re-direct and divert attention away from the central
>>theme, that being that you're a liar, a cheat and a coward.
>
>
>The central theme from the beginning has been about you impersonating
>a retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant. Clearly -you- are using my military
>record to divert attention away from your pathetic charade.
>
>
>> Crude, ineffective, pretty lame, actually.
>
>
>Regardless, you didn't answer the question. And here's another one:
>"How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have," Dudly?
>
>
>> Probably the same tactics you used to get out of being court
>>martialed twice.
>>
>> It didn't work then...it didn't work now.
>>
>>Gunny Dudly, K4YZ
>
>
>You're confused again, Dudly; I didn't get out of -any- court-martial,
>nor did I ever try.
>
>Ya know, while replying to your post it occured to me that you might
>actually -enjoy- being publically humiliated. If that's the case then
>by all means continue with your little game. You just better hope that
>the -real- Gunny Robeson doesn't find out..... or is daddy dead?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3710. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:29:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129955347.640250.219560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121536

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> > Markie?
>
> not at all

They probably waited until you left, Forrest Gump. Why'd you post twice?

3711. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:30:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129955435.786059.78130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121537

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N0VFP wrote:
> > > Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> > > Markie?
> >
> > not at all
>
> They probably waited until you left,

not possible

3712. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:31:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129955506.752390.130760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121538
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

NetKKop wrote:
> Now stop this at once please Children! GROW UP

good luck with them

I truely do wish you luck
>
> --
> --
> 73deG1LVN(M0WWS)
> it's back it's: http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM !!
> http://www.g1lvn.org.uk/wavefiles/
>
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:orudnSwvBMEiwcTenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>
> >>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
> >>>
> >>>Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for cbers
> >>>to comprehend.
> >>
> >>
> >> Especially you.
> >>
> > > Hey wogie, tell us all about that negative swr. LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!

3713. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:33:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129955593.984111.91970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121539

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> > > handling the case
> > >
> > Bullshit.
> >
> not bullshit

You are a known liar.

>
> >You are an idiot.
>
> not true but even if true it matters not one wit

Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid. They could see that, I'm
sure.

> >
> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
> > >
> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> > >
> > God are you stupid Marky.
> >
> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps

No everybody thinks so.

>
> >Have these delusions much?
>
> what delusion are you reffering to?

Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't, you talking to
the FBI when you didn't.

>
> it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not
> to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
> at the time

You aren't a detective, stupid. You are Inspector Clueless.

> since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
> realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
> report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it

And they told you it would be a week or two before they would get
around to looking into it. Not much of a life or death priority to
them, now is it?

> >
> > > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
> > > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
> > > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
> > > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
> > > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
> > > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
> > > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
> > > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
> > > case load premitted it.
> > >
> > > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
> > > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
> > > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
> > > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
> > > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
> > > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
> > > that the follow up in this case could clearly
> >
> > You are a fucking liar, Marky.
>
> not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be
> untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it

You are an idiot and a liar.

3714. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:33:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <08gjl15pjdkaj57vg5ld9k6s9t5p6oktmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 121542

On 21 Oct 2005 21:35:09 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>Oh, are you still there, stupid?

no I was never there therefore they never laughed after i left you can
be kida dense ole boy

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3715. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:34:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129955657.980144.284220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121540
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> NetKKop wrote:
> > Now stop this at once please Children! GROW UP
>
> good luck with them
>
> I truely do wish you luck

You need all the mental help you can get, Marky.

3716. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:35:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129955709.031137.251870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121541

Oh, are you still there, stupid?

3717. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:37:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129955853.749792.114830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121543

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> > Markie?
>
> as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested

They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
much stock in what you say.

Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?

3718. Re: when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:39:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129955967.167263.274200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121544

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 20:47:06 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> > cry faggot, cry..........
> >>
> >> poor hate filled boy
> >
> >Poor lying little sniveling pussy.
>
> what lie that you are clearly hate filled

Anything that you post is a lie, Marky.

>
> that fact is plain to anyone that read your posts

Again the concept of sarcasm and ridicule goes flying over your thick
skull.

> >>
> >> tell me did you over run the aloowance at whinyslit or not?
> >
> >Tell me did your parents have any kids that lived?
>
> yes 2 myself and my brother

Oh, William R. didn't want to contaminate the gene pool any further?

3719. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:40:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e9gjl1p4d8khhcgubjqar83f3oa2u95rc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121545

On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N0VFP wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
>> > > handling the case
>> >
>> > Bullshit.
>>
>> not bullshit
>
>You are a known liar.

your point?

I only as I have stated about mattter of Identity in an effort to
avoid stlakers like yourself
>
>>
>> >You are an idiot.
>>
>> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
>
>Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.

there was a threat, you have made that clear

you choose to imply the threat was for death and it may have been you
have admited that you inttedn to emabarras who ever lives at that
address, that is a threat

There is absolutely a threat

> They could see that, I'm
>sure.
>
>> >
>> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
>> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
>> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
>> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
>> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
>> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
>> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also

>> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
>> > >
>> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
>> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
>> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>> >
>> > God are you stupid Marky.
>>
>> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
>
>No everybody thinks so.

simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
seem to think so

>
>>
>> >Have these delusions much?
>>
>> what delusion are you reffering to?
>
>Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,

you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat

> you talking to
>the FBI when you didn't.

sure did called thrusday they called friday morning

>
>>
>> it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not
>> to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
>> at the time
>
>You aren't a detective, stupid. You are Inspector Clueless.
>
>> since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
>> realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
>> report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it
>
>And they told you it would be a week or two before they would get
>around to looking into it. Not much of a life or death priority to
>them, now is it?

and after agreeing to obtain protection for the Mark Morgan in MQT it
isn't a life and death matter, nor should it

we agreed it was unlikely you had the abilty to carry through on the
threat that in and of itself lowers the priority. now it is a matter
of cleaning up the mess left behind

>
>> >
>> > > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
>> > > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
>> > > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
>> > > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
>> > > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
>> > > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
>> > > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
>> > > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
>> > > case load premitted it.
>> > >
>> > > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
>> > > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
>> > > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
>> > > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
>> > > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
>> > > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
>> > > that the follow up in this case could clearly
>> >
>> > You are a fucking liar, Marky.
>>
>> not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be
>> untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it
>
>You are an idiot and a liar.

your point Mr Slit?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3720. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:48:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129956499.057345.134930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121546
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > NetKKop wrote:
> > > Now stop this at once please Children! GROW UP
> >
> > good luck with them
> >
> > I truely do wish you luck
>
> You need all the mental help you can get, Marky.

not really but do grow up

you could Mr Slit by using a real name or at least some that is not so
stupid

3721. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:51:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129956672.944789.25130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121547

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N0VFP wrote:
> > > Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> > > Markie?
> >
> > as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested
>
> They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
> told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
> much stock in what you say.

no just not much stock that you carry through an assesment that I
entirely agree with
>
> Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?

not really, why do you ask?

3722. Re: when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 04:55:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129956954.058825.90570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121548

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 21 Oct 2005 20:47:06 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > >> > cry faggot, cry.....
> > > >>
> > > >> poor hate filled boy
> > > >
> > > >Poor lying little sniveling pussy.
> > >
> > > what lie that you are clearly hate filled
> >
> Anything that you post is a lie, Marky.

well you have that notion in common with Stevie

you making the stament does not make it true
>
> >
> > that fact is plain to anyone that read your posts
> >
> Again the concept of sarcasm and ridicule goes flying over your thick
> skull.
>
> > >>
> > > >> tell me did you over run the aloowance at whinyslit or not?
> > > >
> > > >Tell me did your parents have any kids that lived?
> > >
> > > yes 2 myself and my brother
> >
> Oh, William R. didn't want to contaminate the gene pool any further?

Who is William R.?

No one I know by that name

3723. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 05:32:52 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djciqfaks0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121549

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129955853.749792.114830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

an_old_friend wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
> > Moe Marky lies.....the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> > Markie?
>
> as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested

They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
much stock in what you say.

Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?
.....

Does AB8MQ sound like a familiar stalker?

3724. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 05:39:54 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djcj93$d47$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121550

>You are an idiot and a liar.

your point Mr Slit?
//

Mr. Wogie is no stranger to the FBI.

His penchant for posting FBI email addresses under the names of others while using NimBusters is legend.

Of course Wogie denies it all....but that is what a congenital, 12 year old going on fifty years old liar does. He blamed it on Lloyd.

He blames everything on Lloyd.

3725. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 05:40:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c2kjl1dbgde6tmu8nd528o95edtj0h9cvo@4ax.com>
Source record: 121551

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:32:52 -0500, "Digital" <Woger@Glendale.uz>
wrote:

>
><whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1129955853.749792.114830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N0VFP wrote:
>> > Moe Marky lies..........the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
>> > Markie?
>>
>> as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested
>
>They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
>told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
>much stock in what you say.
>
>Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?
>.............................
>
>Does AB8MQ sound like a familiar stalker?

in all honesty no he does not to me. i realy know very little about
AB8MQ

although clearly an effort at staking IS taking place
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3726. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 06:11:06 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djcl23mvb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129952758.428629.162760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Somewhere, somehow, as you were growing up Roger, somebody abused the daylights out of you.
You are so far out of synch, so out of the mainstream, and so tilted to the side that you have not a single thread of common sense to even realize it. You are what? Fifty years old now? What is up with that? Fifty and still acting like a twelve year old???

Damn...even as much fun as you are to pick on, Woger, the bottom line remains that you are a pathetic, mewling little blob with nothing going for yourself. You don't amount to much, do you?

When was the last time YOU had a 'date' with a real woman, Wogie? Not recently, for sure. Still posting your photo in the singles groups? Still hoping against hope that there just might be a woman out there as desperate as you?

News Flash! No woman on this continent is desperate enough to ruin her reputation by going out with Roger Wiseman.

3727. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 06:13:32 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djcl6lnnd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121553

>.....
>
>Does AB8MQ sound like a familiar stalker?

in all honesty no he does not to me. i realy know very little about
AB8MQ

although clearly an effort at staking IS taking place
>

get to know that call....remember it.

Do a Google search on it....

Then look at the posts again....

3728. Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 08:40:52 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1129970452.489970.290430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121554
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

REAL Ham Radio is a technical pursuit.

One area that remains easily open is the construction
of CW rigs - you need little more than an
oscillator.

Off-the-shelf talk-boxes are the stuff of which
CB is made, and not Ham Radio.

Therefore let us move to ban voice communications
from Ham Radio - a listen to 20m shows up inane
conversations from CB types only.

CW comms has always been the essence of Ham Radio,
and remains a feature of Ham Radio now that the
commercial world has moved into Sat comms.

So.....
1. Restore the 12 WPM Morse test around the world.
2. Cancel _ALL_ licences where the licensee has not
passed a 12 WPM Morse Test.
3. Remove all band plans - only Morse is now allowed.
4. All new licensees to be limited to their first year
op operation to 10W home-brewed rigs (And, of course,
on CW as there will be no more voice)
Let us fight the insidious march of the Mongolian
Hordes of CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams before it
is far too late!

3729. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 08:59:17 UTC
From: Groid <bongobongoland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129971557.066053.205350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121555
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A poor troll
you will really have to try harder
does anybody actually take notice of trolls this poor on here anymore ?

3730. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 09:06:27 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djcvf4nbl1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121556
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1129920662.717947.78290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Unbeknown to me, this thread had already been cross-posted to
> the CB groups, and I would have removed that cross-posting
> had I been aware of it.
>
> In respect of that, I apologise if my remarks have caused
> any offence to the CB community. However, I do not
> withdraw my remarks - they are my opinion as to the
> relative standing of Radio Hams and of CB Radio
> enthusiasts where the playing-field is that of Radio
> Hams.
>
> However, having said that, the material quoted below
> serves, I think, to illustrate quite well the
> intellectual standing of CB Radio enthusiasts
> upon which I have commented.....
>
> panteltje@yahoo.com wrote:
> > you are one of the worst.....idiots.....
> > little motherf*cker.....complete idiots like
> > you.....F*cking idiot moron.

In the realms of talking specifically about radio apology accepted but
everyone swears at some time. Amateurs included. While i dont condone it i
can understand it. If you upset someone enough you "will" get this sort of
response. Im a CB'er by the way.
Regards,
Graham

3731. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 09:10:42 UTC
From: realradioham <realradioham@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <435a0211$1_1@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 121557
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm not a technical pursuit old boy...

RRH
"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1129970452.489970.290430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> _REAL_ Ham Radio is a technical pursuit.
>
> One area that remains easily open is the construction
> of CW rigs - you need little more than an
> oscillator.
>
> Off-the-shelf talk-boxes are the stuff of which
> CB is made, and not Ham Radio.
>
> Therefore let us move to ban voice communications
> from Ham Radio - a listen to 20m shows up inane
> conversations from CB types only.
>
> CW comms has always been the essence of Ham Radio,
> and remains a feature of Ham Radio now that the
> commercial world has moved into Sat comms.
>
> So.....
> 1. Restore the 12 WPM Morse test around the world.
> 2. Cancel _ALL_ licences where the licensee has not
> passed a 12 WPM Morse Test.
> 3. Remove all band plans - only Morse is now allowed.
> 4. All new licensees to be limited to their first year
> op operation to 10W home-brewed rigs (And, of course,
> on CW as there will be no more voice)
> Let us fight the insidious march of the Mongolian
> Hordes of CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams before it
> is far too late!
>

3732. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 09:12:56 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djcvr9$83g$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121558
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> > Roger that thar, ten-fer gud buddy, squwak-squeek, gotge ears on thar
> > gud buddy, bring-it on MFer,
aaaaaaaaauuuuuuuuuddddddddiiiiiiiiioooooooooo.
>
> You post like you have experience talking on it, loser.

Do you know, in all my years of CB radio use ive never actually heard anyone talk like that on the CB. Ive been on since 1979 (not continuously, i do let go the mic occasionally ;o) The only people who talk like that are people who have no real idea of CB and are just taking the Mick. Am i wrong?? do people talk like that on the CB where you live?? Let us know where these people are so we can avoid them ....
Graham

3733. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 09:16:35 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djd024nqd1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121559
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:HuSdnaqXGLq2rMTeRVn-tg@giganews.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
> > Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt
> > make them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur
> > radio user. They may have brains far exceeding yours on several
> > topics, just not on radio. Just because you know a bit about radio
> > doesnt make you a higher class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW
> > ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try learning common sense, respect for ones
> > fellow man and remember.. Amateur Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A
> > HOBBY...
> > I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult
> > them" but you manage to do it anyhow...
> > 10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..
> >
>
> Poly Parrot has forgotten he has owned a CB and a license (still does, I
> expect), ignore him.. having been arrested he is a prime example of what
> amateur radio has descended to.
> --
> huLLy
> Mobile phone 07976 123278
> ICQ 136-987-925

Hi Hully,
Unfortunately there are a few like him on the Amateur band. Its a shame really. Its meant to be a hobby after all and his attitude will put many prospective new Amateurs off as they will see it as an unfriendly place. Not good for the cause..
Regards,
Graham

3734. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 09:17:45 UTC
From: Gordon Hudson <gordon@usenet3.hostroute.co.uk>
Message-ID: <435a03bb$0$38039$5a6aecb4@news.aaisp.net.uk>
Source record: 121560
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Groid" <bongobongoland@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129971557.066053.205350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>A poor troll
> you will really have to try harder
> does anybody actually take notice of trolls this poor on here anymore ?

is this like the Real_IRA then ?

3735. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 09:18:20 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djd05d$8bh$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121561
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:A-OdnZ0c6Peup8TeRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> > Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
>
> Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for cbers
> to comprehend.

You seem to think the average person would be interested..... suprising
that. Now careful on that pedistall. Id hate for you to fall and hurt
yourself.

3736. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 09:23:37 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djd0faurq1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121562
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt make
> >them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur radio
user.
> >They may have brains far exceeding yours on several topics, just not on
> >radio. Just because you know a bit about radio doesnt make you a higher
> >class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try
> >learning common sense, respect for ones fellow man and remember.. Amateur
> >Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A HOBBY...
> >I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult
them"
> >but you manage to do it anyhow...
> >10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..
>
> Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.
>
> (You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).

Are you referring to me dear boy? Now perhaps you could explain your
remarkable assumption. Perhaps its the bit where i dont pamper to the
"mighty Amateur holier than tho attitude" .. who knows, ill wait for your
reply..

> 73 de Jock.
>
> "Choice has always been a privilege of those who
> could afford to pay for it."
> - Ellen Frankfort

3737. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 10:33:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051022063350.853$5T@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121563
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
> > >>
> > >>Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for
> > >>cbers to comprehend.
> > >
> > >
> > > Especially you.
> > >
> >
> > Hey wogie, tell us all about that negative swr. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!
>
> Hey, dumbass, tell us how you have a "pilot's license"
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
>
Is "Cmd Buzz Corey" a CB handle, or the name this anonymous no code
shitstain uses on Usenet? He fakes being a pilot too? lol

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

3738. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 10:40:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051022064026.968$P1_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121564
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

N8WWM posting as -"Keyclowns are limpwristed" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> wrong, retard...nobody cares about your "attention". Like I said
> before. We know where and who you are, and all the fun starts when WE
> want to start. Not when you do. AKC owns you, and Uhl love how well we
> maintain our property.
>
I've seen how well you maintain your section eight property, you cowardly
sissy. Get busted for jamming the Toledo repeaters again lately?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

3739. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:14:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129983279.068909.215920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121565
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
> > > >>
> > > >>Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for
> > > >>cbers to comprehend.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Especially you.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Hey wogie, tell us all about that negative swr. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!
> >
> > Hey, dumbass, tell us how you have a "pilot's license"
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
> >
> Is "Cmd Buzz Corey" a CB handle, or the name this anonymous no code
> shitstain uses on Usenet? He fakes being a pilot too? lol

Indeed. He claims to have both a now obsolete advanced ham ticket,
(unlikely) and a pilot's license (highly unlikely.)! But he hides
behind a cheezy handle swiped from a cheezy 50's sci-fi show.

3740. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:18:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129983491.692397.98200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 20:45:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > N0VFP wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> cut

Runaway, Marky you little pussy.

> >> > No, dumbass, you continually show what you don't know.
> >>
> >> as I said you can't jump in Lake Superior in Jan
> >
> >Then jump in in about a week.
> >
> >> > Did the FBI say
> >> > they were going to arrest you for filing a false report?
> >>
> >> no why would they? Since after all I filled a true one
> >
> >No you filled a bullshit one.
>
> you now claim to know what I filed

You didn't file anything except maybe filed aweay more kiddie porn.

> but I filed a report of the facts as I understand i could be in error
> but even if that is the case filing a mistaken report is not filing a
> flase one, nor is it a crime

Bull.

> >And you should get sued for it if so
>
> I doubt the you Mr Slit would have standing to file one

Want to bet, dumbass?

>
> of course you can try first you will need to to find my address which

> seem to have eluded you

Want to bet? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> >. But
> >given the way you lie, it's all bullshit that you filed one to begin
> >with.
>
> I trust thinking that makes you feel beter
> >
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > > you can't jump in Lake Superior in mid january, you can however I am
> >> > > told and have witnessed Drive the lake, with 18 wheelers
> >> >
> >> > Then just go fuck yourself.
> >>
> >> no thanks I prefer having company for that, not that you are invited of
> >> course
> >
> >More of your children you abuse?
>
> there can't be more of something I have never done

Not so, you pervert..

> cut

Run away, Marky.

3741. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:19:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129983594.177004.14960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Digituphisass Lardass wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129952758.428629.162760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Somewhere,

Poor Lardass, spending his Friday night alone, drunk and can never get
a date.

3742. The bogus lawyer Lloyd "Christy" Davies but he is all woman that's why he posts as Christy D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:22:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129983758.879608.261070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lardass aka Christy D wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 01:11:06 -0500, Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz> wrote:
>
> He's actually

Hey, Lardass, following up to yourself? And pretending to be Christy Davies again? Didn't the real Christy Davies warn you that legal action would take place if you didn't quit impersonating her?

3743. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:25:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129983921.702469.135850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121569

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N0VFP wrote:
> > > > Moe Marky lies..........the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> > > > Markie?
> > >
> > > as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested
> >
> > They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
> > told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
> > much stock in what you say.
>
> no just not much stock that you carry through an assesment that I
> entirely agree with

Marky admits he lied then.

> >
> > Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?
>
> not really, why do you ask?

Don't bother denying it, Marky. You know what those numbers refer to.
Now go jump in the river.

3744. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:27:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984024.606393.285030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121570

Digituphisass wrote:
>
> Does AB8MQ sound like a familiar stalker?

No, but N0VFP sure sounds like one. He (you) sound like a homosexual
stalker, Lloyd.

3745. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:28:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984128.479346.61810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121571

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 21:35:09 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >Oh, are you still there, stupid?
>
> no I was never there therefore they never laughed after i left you can
> be kida dense ole boy

Marky admits he lied about the whople thing. I'm sure they laughed at
you Marky, because you are that stupid.

3746. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:29:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984143.496704.24710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121572

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 21:35:09 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >Oh, are you still there, stupid?
>
> no I was never there therefore they never laughed after i left you can
> be kida dense ole boy

Marky admits he lied about the whole thing. I'm sure they laughed at
you Marky, because you are that stupid.

3747. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:30:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984242.868802.212020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121573

You are as a stupid as Lloyd Davies if you think that, retard.

3748. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:33:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984407.346748.48870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121574

Lardass Digituphisass wrote:
> Mr. Wogie is no stranger to the FBI.

Poor Lardass 1:39 AM and here he is drunk and alone, whining about
another man, showing off his cocksucking lisp......

Lardass Davies is no stranger to the FBI, either, theyt are looking
into his kiddie porn adverts with interest.

> His penchant

And your penchant for posting homosexual and shemale pictures is legend
at Nimbusters, too lardass.

3749. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:35:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051022083531.029$GT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121575
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>>Gee, why didn't you crosspost this to rrcb?
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>Yeah, that was a waste of bandwidth, it is much too technical for
> > > > > >>cbers to comprehend.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Especially you.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey wogie, tell us all about that negative swr. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!
> > > >
> > > Hey, dumbass, tell us how you have a "pilot's license"
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > Is "Cmd Buzz Corey" a CB handle, or the name this anonymous no code
> > shitstain uses on Usenet? He fakes being a pilot too? lol
>
> Indeed. He claims to have both a now obsolete advanced ham ticket,
> (unlikely) and a pilot's license (highly unlikely.)! But he hides
> behind a cheezy handle swiped from a cheezy 50's sci-fi show.
>
He nym shifts too, he used to post as "JJ" from that TV show goodtimes..his
CB handle was 'kid dynomite' back in the CB boom of the 70's. What a putz.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

3750. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:38:46 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984726.805314.114970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121576

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> >> > > handling the case
> >> >
> >> > Bullshit.
> >>
> >> not bullshit
> >
> >You are a known liar.
>
> your point?

Marky admits he is a liar.

>
> I only as I have stated about mattter of Identity in an effort to
> avoid stlakers like yourself

What a mental midget you are, retard.

> >
> >>
> >> >You are an idiot.
> >>
> >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
> >
> >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
>
> there was a threat, you have made that clear

Bullshit.

> you choose to imply the threat was for death and it may have been you
> have admited that you inttedn to emabarras who ever lives at that

One wouldn't have to work very hard to embarrass you, Marky, you do it
all by yourself. It used to be your address, wasn't it?

> address, that is a threat

You can't comprehend the written word can you, dumbass?

> There is absolutely a threat

No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.

> > They could see that, I'm
> >sure.
> >
> >> >
> >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
> >> > >
> >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> >> >
> >> > God are you stupid Marky.
> >>
> >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
> >
> >No everybody thinks so.
>
> simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
> seem to think so

Wrong, Marky.

> >
> >>
> >> >Have these delusions much?
> >>
> >> what delusion are you reffering to?
> >
> >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
>
> you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat

Bullshit, pea brain.

> > you talking to
> >the FBI when you didn't.
>
> sure did called thrusday they called friday morning

Liar.

> >
> >>
> >> it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not

> >> to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
> >> at the time
> >
> >You aren't a detective, stupid. You are Inspector Clueless.
> >
> >> since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
> >> realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
> >> report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it
> >
> >And they told you it would be a week or two before they would get
> >around to looking into it. Not much of a life or death priority to
> >them, now is it?
>
> and after agreeing to obtain protection for the Mark Morgan in MQT it
> isn't a life and death matter, nor should it

So *you* say, Marky, but you are a known liar.

> we agreed it was unlikely you had the abilty to carry through on the
> threat that in and of itself lowers the priority. now it is a matter
> of cleaning up the mess left behind

You shouldn't watch old cop shows on TV and pretend they are the real
FBI, Marky.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> > > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
> >> > > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
> >> > > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
> >> > > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
> >> > > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
> >> > > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
> >> > > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
> >> > > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
> >> > > case load premitted it.
> >> > >
> >> > > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
> >> > > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
> >> > > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
> >> > > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
> >> > > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
> >> > > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
> >> > > that the follow up in this case could clearly
> >> >
> >> > You are a fucking liar, Marky.
> >>
> >> not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be
> >> untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it
> >

> >You are an idiot and a liar.
>
>
> your point Mr Slit?

Was just proven by you, idiot.

3751. Re: when you going to fees to your lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:41:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984887.640944.91260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121577

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > On 21 Oct 2005 20:47:06 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > > >> > cry faggot, cry..........
> > > > >>
> > > > >> poor hate filled boy
> > > > >
> > > > >Poor lying little sniveling pussy.
> > > >
> > > > what lie that you are clearly hate filled
> > >
> > > Anything that you post is a lie, Marky.
> >
> >
> > well you have that notion in common with Stevie

It's a fact, stupid. You prove it over and over again.

> you making the stament does not make it true

You remember that, Marky. If your alcoholic brain can remember past 2
minutes.

> >
> > > >
> > > > that fact is plain to anyone that read your posts
> > >
> > > Again the concept of sarcasm and ridicule goes flying over your thick
> > > skull.
> > >
> > > > >>
> > > > >> tell me did you over run the aloowance at whinyslit or not?
> > > > >
> > > > >Tell me did your parents have any kids that lived?
> > > >
> > > > yes 2 myself and my brother
> > >
> > > Oh, William R. didn't want to contaminate the gene pool any further?
> >
> > Who is William R.?
```

>
> No one I know by that name

Forgot your father already? Or did he disinherit you?

3752. Re: truth in subject flame enclosed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:43:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129984987.980783.277360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121578

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 20:48:18 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > N0VFP wrote:
> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > cut
> >> > > > > I've heard at least a dozen different TV reports of various
> >> > > > > persons busted with different types of porno who claimed it was
> >> > > > > "research"...!!!
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > you must spend more time wacth the TV than I do
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > It shows you can't read but watch tv 20 hours a day, Marky.
> >> > > >
> >> > > how does it show that
> >> > >
> >> > You are a fucking idiot,Marky.
> >> >
> >> guess you can't answer the question
> >>
> >Guess you can't fucking read.
>
> you guess wrong as wrong as you are off target to find in MQT

Wrong, Marky. I'd say it was your former address.

> >>
> >> not that I realy shocked
> >>
> >You are too stupid to do anything.
> >
> >> cut
> >
> >Run away, Markie.

3753. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:44:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1129985089.363728.146880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121579
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Cmd of buttholes sure hasn't made any "DYNO-MITE" pronouncements on the internet, has he?

3754. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 12:51:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1129985501.028480.235600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121580

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >> grow up
> >
> >What does that mean in this context?
>
> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up

Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
questions?

> > Are you saying that I
> >should just shut up and not ask questions?

Sure looks like it.

> >> it is his count so he makes the rules he will use
> >
> >I'm just asking for explanations of those rules.
>
> again and again and again

Is there a limit? I've only asked most of the questions once.

> Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone
> else if he chooses not

That's right. But if he ignores questions on how his numbers
were derived, why should we accept those numbers as valid?

Len isn't the only one counting the comments, btw.

> >Is that not allowed?
>
> apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his
> refusal to answer to make exactly that determination

??

Are you saying that if Len doesn't answer questions,
then I don't have the right to ask questions?

That's totally bogus.
>
> It is his count, his rules, and he is NOT accountable

> to you, or me or
> even the FCC or Father Chrismass for that matter

I'm just asking for an explanation of some of his "rules".
Like whether a comment by a group or club is counted as
one or more than one comment.

> > Is it somehow not "grown up" to
> >ask questions about what those rules are?
>
> what you are doing, by going on and one about is interfere with > others
> find the results of his work

How am I interfering in any way?

> cut out of mercy to us all

You don't have to read my posts, Mark. Nor respond to them.

> now grow up and take a hint he isn't going to answer you

He answers all right - with his typical jackass behavior.
At great length, too, all the while avoiding the real issues.
Do you consider that behavior to be "grown up"?

But all that's OK with you, yet my questions aren't.

You're saying that Len has freedom of speech here, but
I don't.

Think about it.

3755. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 13:33:30 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The future of the National Traffic System
http://www.eham.net/articles/12198

[snip]
For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS) consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region, and area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's the second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some of the best operators in the world as its membership.

The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the role that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a single health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS would have been buzzing with activity.
[snip]

3756. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 13:54:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129989250.447201.270140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121582

Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 prohibits the FCC from controling or make rules that infringe on a station first amendment right. Obscene and indecent material has been found by the federal courts, including the Supreme Court to be not protected by the first amendment (See FCC vs Pacifica). Section 326 protects the station first Amendment of the content as a whole (for example two ham operators set on a frequency and talk about the war in iraq, the FCC can not tell they can't discuss it. this would be a infringement of those stations first amendment.)

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

3757. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 13:58:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129989536.818205.110350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

>Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Well K1MAN License has expired, but it's still in the FCC database as
being GLENN BAXTER K1MAN

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

3758. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 14:10:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qlhkl1pvecup80s08qmjtbie3khq236kdk@4ax.com>
Source record: 121584

On 22 Oct 2005 05:51:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> grow up
>> >
>> >What does that mean in this context?
>>
>> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>
>Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>questions?

no

but he does npt have to answer any questions
>
cut
>> Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone
>> else if he chooses not
>
>That's right. But if he ignores questions on how his numbers
>were derived, why should we accept those numbers as valid?

then don't accept them as valid
>
>Len isn't the only one counting the comments, btw.
>
>> >Is that not allowed?
>>
>> apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his
>> refusal to answer to make exactly that determination
>
>??
>
>Are you saying that if Len doesn't answer questions,
>then I don't have the right to ask questions?

never siad you lacked the right

>
>That's totally bogus.

your effort to imply someone is doing something imporper is totalay
bogus

you distortion of a plea to stop asking question that is is clear are
not going to be answered as someone tryin gto intfer with your ight is
totaly bogus

cut

>You're saying that Len has freedom of speech here, but
>I don't.

liar

I am excercising My rights to tell I think you are trying to infringe
on Lens right (and now mine as well) to engage in legal actvities by
harrasment

you are not in any way a victum of censorhsip
>
>Think about it.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3759. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 14:11:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <j2ikl1tqrlrjbgun93fjjqki27skb3fp9c@4ax.com>
Source record: 121585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 22 Oct 2005 05:18:11 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 21 Oct 2005 20:45:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> N0VFP wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > N0VFP wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> cut
cut
>> but I filed a report of the facts as I understand i could be in error
>> but even if that is the case filing a mistaken report is not filing a
>> flase one, nor is it a crime
>
>Bull.

nope simple fact
cut

>> >> no thanks I prefer having company for that, not that you are invited of
>> >> course
>> >
>> >More of your children you abuse?
>>
>> there can't be more of something I have never done
>
>Not so, you pervert..

absoluely so
>
>
>> cut
>
>Run away, Marky.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3760. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 14:12:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <85ikl1tie85v3dflr9qmpfi10ehqmae9c3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121586

On 22 Oct 2005 05:25:21 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > N0VFP wrote:
>> > > > Moe Marky lies..........the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
>> > > > Markie?
>> > > >
>> > > as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested
>> >
>> > They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
>> > told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
>> > much stock in what you say.
>>
>> no just not much stock that you carry through an assesment that I
>> entirely agree with
>
>Marky admits he lied then.

not at all

saysing that after taking seeing that the vicutm of your mistake is
offered protection you are not worth much
>
>> >
>> > Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?
>>
>> not really, why do you ask?
>
>Don't bother denying it, Marky. You know what those numbers refer to.
>Now go jump in the river.

no idea what you are talking about

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3761. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 14:14:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <j7ikl11u25ir0bejm4dg5o6q4a23f1kebh@4ax.com>
Source record: 121587

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 01:13:32 -0500, "Digital" <Woger@Glendale.uz>
wrote:

>
>
>>.....
>>
>>Does AB8MQ sound like a familiar stalker?
>
>in all honesty no he does not to me. i realy know very little about
>AB8MQ
>
>although clearly an effort at staking IS taking place
>>
>
>get to know that call....remember it.

like I said it isn't familer

I will infrom the FBI when next we speak of your thoughts
>
>Do a Google search on it....
>
>Then look at the posts again....
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3762. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 14:37:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051022103736.581$CY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121588
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> The Cmd of buttholes sure hasn't made any "DYNO-MITE" pronouncements on
> the internet, has he?
>
He still loves his CB.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

3763. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 14:54:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129992844.884556.277730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121589
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
> > > Roger that thar, ten-fer gud buddy, squwak-squeek, gotge ears on thar
> > > gud buddy, bring-it on MFer,
> aaaaaaaaauuuuuuuuuddddddddiiiiiiiiooooooooooo.
> >
> > You post like you have experience talking on it, loser.
>
>
> Do you know, in all my years of CB radio use ive never actually heard anyone
> talk like that on the CB. Ive been on since 1979 (not continuously, i do let
> go the mic occasionally ;o) The only people who talk like that are people
> who have no real idea of CB and are just taking the Mick. Am i wrong?? do
> people talk like that on the CB where you live?? Let us know where these
> people are so we can avoid them ....

closest I have ever heard to such is the guy who clearly has a his rig
out of tune and you can't tell what he is saying, but they are the
occasional exception
> Graham

3764. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:04:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129993446.222460.321140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121590

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> N0VFP wrote:
> > >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> > > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> > >> > > handling the case
> > >> >
> > >> > Bullshit.
> > >>
> > >> not bullshit
> > >
> > >You are a known liar.
> >
> > your point?
>
> Marky admits he is a liar.

your point
>
> >
> > I only as I have stated about mattter of Identity in an effort to
> > avoid stlakers like yourself
>
> What a mental midget you are, retard.

tranference again i see

I may be guilty of taking you more seriously than you deserve,but I'll
take that chance
>
> > >
> > >>
> > >> You are an idiot.
> > >>
> > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
> > >
> > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
> >
> > there was a threat, you have made that clear
>
> Bullshit.

absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat

>
> > you choose to imply the threat was for death and it may have been you
> > have admited that you inttedn to emabarras who ever lives at that
>
> One wouldn't have to work very hard to embarrass you, Marky, you do it
> all by yourself.

I would not have been the target, when you involved in your ignorance
other I had no moral choice but to report and seek protection for those
who whom be your victums if you were able to carry it through

>It used to be your address, wasn't it?

no it isn't I have never lived in MQT

>
> > address, that is a threat
>
> You can't comprehend the written word can you, dumbass?

I certainly can and do

that you brake up a quote and then complain about a sentence fragment
is as eveidence is a sign of your lacking much upstairs
>
> > There is absolutely a threat
>
> No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.

we shall see

but I doubt it
>
> > > They could see that, I'm
> > >sure.
> > >
> > >> >
> > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
> > >> > >
> > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> > >> >
> > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
> > >>

> > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
> > >
> > >No everybody thinks so.
> >
> > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
> > seem to think so
>
> Wrong, Marky.

true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online

you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
is another matter

yes I am lying to you and there but where?

>
> > >
> > >>
> > >> >Have these delusions much?
> > >>
> > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
> > >
> > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
> >
> > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
>
> Bullshit, pea brain.

you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat

>
> > > you talking to
> > >the FBI when you didn't.
> >
> > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
>
>
> Liar.

at times but not there

>
> > >
> > >>
> > >> it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not
> > >> to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
> > >> at the time
> > >
> > >You aren't a detective, stupid. You are Inspector Clueless.
> > >

> > >> since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
> > >> realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
> > >> report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it
> > >
> > >And they told you it would be a week or two before they would get
> > >around to looking into it. Not much of a life or death priority to
> > >them, now is it?
> >
> > and after agreeing to obtain protection for the Mark Morgan in MQT it
> > isn't a life and death matter, nor should it
>
> So *you* say, Marky, but you are a known liar.

but it is an obviously true statement

you are not a life and death matter once the target of your threat is
protected after all it is unlikely that you have the means, the will,
or the brains to carry out the threat

>
> > we agreed it was unlikely you had the abilty to carry through on the
> > threat that in and of itself lowers the priority. now it is a matter
> > of cleaning up the mess left behind
>
> You shouldn't watch old cop shows on TV and pretend they are the real
> FBI, Marky.

I don't wacth cop shows
>
> >
> > >
> > >> >
> > >> > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
> > >> > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
> > >> > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
> > >> > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
> > >> > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
> > >> > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
> > >> > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
> > >> > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
> > >> > case load premitted it.
> > >> >
> > >> > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
> > >> > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
> > >> > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
> > >> > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
> > >> > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
> > >> > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
> > >> > that the follow up in this case could clearly
> > >> >
> > >> You are a fucking liar, Marky.

> > >>
> > >> not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be
> > >> untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it
> > >
> > >You are an idiot and a liar.
> >
> >
> > your point Mr Slit?
>
> Was just proven by you, idiot.

impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
a point to begin with you can't prove a point

3765. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:06:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1129993560.832541.147330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121591
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> _REAL_ Ham Radio is a technical pursuit.
>
> One area that remains easily open is the construction
> of CW rigs - you need little more than an
> oscillator.
>
> Off-the-shelf talk-boxes are the stuff of which
> CB is made, and not Ham Radio.
>
> Therefore let us move to ban voice communications
> from Ham Radio - a listen to 20m shows up inane
> conversations from CB types only.
>
> CW comms has always been the essence of Ham Radio,
> and remains a feature of Ham Radio now that the
> commercial world has moved into Sat comms.
>
> So.....
> 1. Restore the 12 WPM Morse test around the world.
> 2. Cancel _ALL_ licences where the licensee has not
> passed a 12 WPM Morse Test.
> 3. Remove all band plans - only Morse is now allowed.
> 4. All new licensees to be limited to their first year
> op operation to 10W home-brewed rigs (And, of course,
> on CW as there will be no more voice)
> Let us fight the insidious march of the Mongolian
> Hordes of CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams before it
> is far too late!

Ham Radio is dead Long live the new and improved Ham radio

3766. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:32:01 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129995121.908274.117630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121592

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 05:25:21 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > N0VFP wrote:
> >> > > > Moe Marky lies..........the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
> >> > > > Markie?
> >> > >
> >> > > as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested
> >> >
> >> > They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
> >> > told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
> >> > much stock in what you say.
> >>
> >> no just not much stock that you carry through an assesment that I
> >> entirely agree with
> >
> >Marky admits he lied then.
>
> not at all

Yes, you lied about the whole thing.

> saysing that after taking seeing that the vicutm of your mistake is
> offered protection you are not worth much

In other words you are lying about the wholer thing.

> >
> >> >
> >> > Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?
> >>
> >> not really, why do you ask?
> >
> >Don't bother denying it, Marky. You know what those numbers refer to.
> >Now go jump in the river.
>
> no idea what you are talking about

Lying again,Marky?

3767. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:33:14 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129995193.993315.46210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

No shit sherlock. You are so inept, Toad.

3768. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:35:13 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9mmkl1dpdj8alridh112p8updj9bbbng94@4ax.com>
Source record: 121594
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 10:23:37 +0100, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>"Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
>> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>> Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.
>>
>> (You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).
>
>Are you referring to me dear boy?

I am indeed, who else?

>Now perhaps you could explain your remarkable assumption.
>Perhaps its the bit where i dont pamper to the
>"mighty Amateur holier than tho attitude"

Which particular mis-spelt, mis-punctuated part was
that?

At least this "holier-than-tho" amateur knows how to trim
and quote UseNet posts properly.

>who knows, ill wait for your reply..

You'll wait a bloody long time then, I try not to cross-
post too much, particularly to anything that smells of
CB.

--

73 de Jock.

"Choice has always been a privilege of those who
could afford to pay for it."
- Ellen Frankfort

3769. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:41:24 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129995684.740785.196600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121595

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> N0VFP wrote:
> > > > >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> > > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> > > > >> > > handling the case
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Bullshit.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> not bullshit
> > > > >
> > > > >You are a known liar.
> > > >
> > > > your point?
> > >
> > Marky admits he is a liar.
> >
> your point

Everybody's point is missed by you, Marky.

> >
> > >
> > > I only as I have stated about mattter of Identity in an effort to
> > > avoid stlakers like yourself
> > >
> > What a mental midget you are, retard.
> >
> tranference again i see

Pee Wee Herman-ing again I see.

>
> I may be guilty of taking you more seriously than you deserve,but I'll
> take that chance

You are so fucking stupid,Marky. Filing false reports will get you in
hot water,Marky.

> >
> > > >

> > > >>
> > > >> >You are an idiot.
> > > >>
> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
> > > >
> > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
> > >
> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
> >
> > Bullshit.
>
> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat

Wrong as usual,Marky.

>
> >
> > > you choose to imply the threat was for death and it may have been you
> > > have admited that you inttedn to emabarras who ever lives at that
> >
> > One wouldn't have to work very hard to embarrass you, Marky, you do it
> > all by yourself.
>
> I would not have been the target, when you involved in your ignorance
> other I had no moral choice but to report and seek protection for those
> who whom be your victums if you were able to carry it through

You take stupidity to another new low, Marky.

> >It used to be your address, wasn't it?
>
> no it isn't I have never lived in MQT

Liar.

>
> >
> > > address, that is a threat
> >
> > You can't comprehend the written word can you, dumbass?
>
> I certainly can and do

You have yet to show it, retard.
>
> that you brake up a quote and then complain about a sentence fragment
> is as eveidence is a sign of your lacking much upstairs

And you constantly whining about it instead of composing a better
response shows you are a mental midget, Marky.

> >
> > > There is absolutely a threat

> >
> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
>
> we shall see

Yes, we shall. Dumbass!

> but I doubt it

So you are lying about the whole thing.

> >
> > > > They could see that, I'm
> > > >sure.
> > > >
> > > >> >
> > > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> > > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> > > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> > > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> > > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> > > >> >
> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
> > > >>
> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
> > > >
> > > >No everybody thinks so.
> > >
> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
> > > seem to think so
> >
> > Wrong, Marky.
>
> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online

No, it's true offline as well.

>
> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
> is another matter

By whining is not dealing, stupid.

> yes I am lying to you and there but where?

Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.

>
> >
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
> > > >>
> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
> > > >
> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
> > >
> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
> >
> > Bullshit, pea brain.
>
> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat

No, retard. Learn to read.

>
> >
> > > > you talking to
> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
> > >
> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
> >
> >
> > Liar.
>
> at times but not there

Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.

>
> >
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >> it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not
> > > >> to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
> > > >> at the time
> > > >
> > > >You aren't a detective, stupid. You are Inspector Clueless.
> > > >
> > > >> since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
> > > >> realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
> > > >> report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it
> > > >
> > > >And they told you it would be a week or two before they would get
> > > >around to looking into it. Not much of a life or death priority to
> > > >them, now is it?
> > >
> > > and after agreeing to obtain protection for the Mark Morgan in MQT it

> > > isn't a life and death matter, nor should it
> >
> > So *you* say, Marky, but you are a known liar.
>
> but it is an obviously true statement

Yes, it is true that you are a known liar.

>
> you are not a life and death matter once the target of your threat is
> protected after all it is unlikely that you have the means, the will,
> or the brains to carry out the threat

No credibility, Marky. That's you.

> >
> > > we agreed it was unlikely you had the abilty to carry through on the
> > > threat that in and of itself lowers the priority. now it is a matter
> > > of cleaning up the mess left behind
> > >
> > You shouldn't watch old cop shows on TV and pretend they are the real
> > FBI, Marky.
>
> I don't wacth cop shows

Too many painful reminders of your real life run-ins with them, Marky?

> >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
> > > > >> > > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
> > > > >> > > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
> > > > >> > > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
> > > > >> > > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
> > > > >> > > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
> > > > >> > > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
> > > > >> > > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
> > > > >> > > case load premitted it.
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> > > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
> > > > >> > > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
> > > > >> > > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
> > > > >> > > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
> > > > >> > > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
> > > > >> > > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
> > > > >> > > that the follow up in this case could clearly
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > You are a fucking liar, Marky.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be

> > > >> untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it
> > > >
> > > >You are an idiot and a liar.
> > >
> > >
> > > your point Mr Slit?
> >
> > Was just proven by you, idiot.
>
> impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
> a point to begin with you can't prove a point

What the hell are you babbling about, Marky? You are babbling in
circles like a dog chasing it's own tail.

3770. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:44:24 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129995864.853122.140930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 05:18:11 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:45:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > N0VFP wrote:
> >> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> cut
> cut

Run away, Marky.

> >> but I filed a report of the facts as I understand i could be in error
> >> but even if that is the case filing a mistaken report is not filing a
> >> flase one, nor is it a crime
> >
> >Bull.
>
> nope simple fact

No, simpleton, you made a false report or you are lying about the whole
thing.

> cut

Run, Marky, run!

>
> >> >> no thanks I prefer having company for that, not that you are invited of
> >> >> course
> >> >
> >> >More of your children you abuse?
> >>
> >> there can't be more of something I have never done
> >
> >Not so, you pervert..

So you are a pervert, marky?

> absoluely so

We know, Marky,we know, you sick bastard.

3771. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:46:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d3nkl1ddgvj4cfi9p12i9j0utkf7pj3hjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 121597

in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf

I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)

I don't care however

I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the trail leads

I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,

the same for AB8MB

the same K4YZ

with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for wnat of a better word)

like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP

I will report it, but with roughly the same confience I reported the fact that someone has Id Mr Slit as N0VFP, which is not very seriously but the best I have

On 21 Oct 2005 13:38:18 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

>today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
>handling the case
>
>I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
>seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
>this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
>Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
>a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
>attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
>based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
>agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
>
>based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
>sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to

>have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>
>In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
>and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
>week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
>she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
>and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
>amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
>hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
>further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
>case load premitted it.
>
>she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
>turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
>some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
>assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
>prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
>have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
>that the follow up in this case could clearly

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3772. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 15:48:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <aonkl15a8iq1to4d3m47cdu9ra2l3k9nr6@4ax.com>
Source record: 121598

On 22 Oct 2005 08:32:01 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 05:25:21 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > N0VFP wrote:
>> >> > > > Moe Marky lies..........the FBI just laughed in your face, didn't they
>> >> > > > Markie?
>> >> > >
>> >> > > as I reported else they were very polite and seemed quite interested
>> >> >
>> >> > They are supposed to be polite and appear interested. But since they
>> >> > told you they couldn't get to you until a week or two, they don't put
>> >> > much stock in what you say.
>> >>
>> >> no just not much stock that you carry through an assesment that I
>> >> entirely agree with
>> >
>> >Marky admits he lied then.
>>
>> not at all
>
>Yes, you lied about the whole thing.

not at all the threat was made wether it was ever serious I can't know
>>
>> saysing that after taking seeing that the vicutm of your mistake is
>> offered protection you are not worth much
>
>In other words you are lying about the wholer thing.

no I am not saying that

nor am I lying

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Oh, and does 17366 sound like a familiar number?
>> >>
>> >> not really, why do you ask?

>> >
>> >Don't bother denying it, Marky. You know what those numbers refer to.
>> >Now go jump in the river.
>>
>> no idea what you are talking about
>
>Lying again,Marky?

not at all

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3773. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:00:31 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129996831.222959.42890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121602
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>shitstain uses on Usenet? He fakes being a pilot too? lol
> > >
> > >
> > > Indeed. He claims to have both a now obsolete advanced ham ticket,
> > > (unlikely) and a pilot's license (highly unlikely.)! But he hides
> > > behind a cheezy handle swiped from a cheezy 50's sci-fi show.
> > >
> >
> > LOL at 2test wogie, the porta-a-pottie specialists.

LOL at Butt Cornholer, has to pretend he has a ham and pilot's license.
He's familiar with port-a-potties, though, since that is where he gets
his meals from.

3774. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:01:44 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129996904.076594.50120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121604

Liar.

3775. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:01:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <krnkl155mqk7ond6fq61sl6lkucibk3svt@4ax.com>
Source record: 121606

On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > >> N0VFP wrote:
>> > > >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > >> > > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
>> > > >> > > handling the case
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Bullshit.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> not bullshit
>> > > >
>> > > >You are a known liar.
>> > >
>> > > your point?
>> >
>> > Marky admits he is a liar.
>>
>> your point
>
>Everybody's point is missed by you, Marky.

wrong again
>
>> >
>> > >
>> > > I only as I have stated about mattter of Identity in an effort to
>> > > avoid stlakers like yourself
>> >
>> > What a mental midget you are, retard.
>>
>> tranference again i see
>
>Pee Wee Herman-ing again I see.
>
>>
>> I may be guilty of taking you more seriously than you deserve,but I'll
>> take that chance

>
>You are so fucking stupid,Marky. Filing false reports will get you in
>hot water,Marky.

yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
false report

I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
know what you are doing

since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
Slit
>
>> >
>> > > >
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >You are an idiot.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
>> > > >
>> > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
>> > >
>> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
>> >
>> > Bullshit.
>>
>> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
>
>Wrong as usual,Marky.

but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat

If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
>
>>
>> >
>> > > you choose to imply the threat was for death and it may have been you
>> > > have admited that you inttedn to emabarras who ever lives at that
>> >
>> > One wouldn't have to work very hard to embarrass you, Marky, you do it
>> > all by yourself.
>>
>> I would not have been the target, when you involved in your ignorance
>> other I had no moral choice but to report and seek protection for those
>> who whom be your victums if you were able to carry it through
>
>You take stupidity to another new low, Marky.
>
>> >It used to be your address, wasn't it?

>>
>> no it isn't I have never lived in MQT
>
>Liar.

absolute truth
>
>>
>> >
>> > > address, that is a threat
>> >
>> > You can't comprehend the written word can you, dumbass?
>>
>> I certainly can and do
>
>You have yet to show it, retard.
>>
>> that you brake up a quote and then complain about a sentence fragment
>> is as eveidence is a sign of your lacking much upstairs
>
>And you constantly whining about it instead of composing a better
>response shows you are a mental midget, Marky.

nothing I can do can stop Mr Slit or anybody in relpy from taking a
prefectly good and choping it into fragments and then bitching about
the lack of gramar

>
>
>> >
>> > > There is absolutely a threat
>> >
>> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
>>
>> we shall see
>
>Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
>
>> but I doubt it
>
>So you are lying about the whole thing.

no but you need to learn to read

I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on

>
>> >
>> > > > They could see that, I'm
>> > > >sure.
>> > > >

>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
>> > > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
>> > > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
>> > > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
>> > > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
>> > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
>> > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
>> > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
>> > > >> > > >
>> > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
>> > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
>> > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>> > > >> > >
>> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
>> > > > >
>> > > >No everybody thinks so.
>> > > >
>> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
>> > > seem to think so
>> >
>> > Wrong, Marky.
>>
>> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
>
>No, it's true offline as well.

not at all
>
>>
>> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
>> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
>> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
>> is another matter
>
>By whining is not dealing, stupid.

I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI

>
>> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
>
>Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.

another lie N0VFP

some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
when we catch up the FBI

>
>>
>> >
>> > > >
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
>> > > > >
>> > > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
>> > > >
>> > > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
>> > >
>> > Bullshit, pea brain.
>>
>> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
>
>No, retard. Learn to read.

they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster

>
>>
>> >
>> > > > you talking to
>> > > > >the FBI when you didn't.
>> > > >
>> > > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
>> > >
>> > >
>> > Liar.
>>
>> at times but not there
>
>Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.

another lie N0VFP

some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
when we catch up the FBI

>
>>
>> >
>> > > > >
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not
>> > > > >> to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
>> > > > >> at the time
>> > > > >
>> > > > >You aren't a detective, stupid. You are Inspector Clueless.
>> > > > >

>> > > >> since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
>> > > >> realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
>> > > >> report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it
>> > > >
>> > > >And they told you it would be a week or two before they would get
>> > > >around to looking into it. Not much of a life or death priority to
>> > > >them, now is it?
>> > >
>> > > and after agreeing to obtain protection for the Mark Morgan in MQT it
>> > > isn't a life and death matter, nor should it
>> >
>> > So *you* say, Marky, but you are a known liar.
>>
>> but it is an obviously true statement
>
>Yes, it is true that you are a known liar.

indeed I am but the statement "and after agreeing to obtain protection
for the Mark Morgan in MQT it isn't a life and death matter, nor
should it" is also obviously true as well

>
>>
>> you are not a life and death matter once the target of your threat is
>> protected after all it is unlikely that you have the means, the will,
>> or the brains to carry out the threat
>
>No credibility, Marky. That's you.
>
>> >
>> > > we agreed it was unlikely you had the abilty to carry through on the
>> > > threat that in and of itself lowers the priority. now it is a matter
>> > > of cleaning up the mess left behind
>> >
>> > You shouldn't watch old cop shows on TV and pretend they are the real
>> > FBI, Marky.
>>
>> I don't wacth cop shows
>
>Too many painful reminders of your real life run-ins with them, Marky?

not at all

the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be

>
>> >
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
>> > > > > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the

>> > > >> > > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
>> > > >> > > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
>> > > >> > > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
>> > > >> > > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
>> > > >> > > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
>> > > >> > > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
>> > > >> > > case load premitted it.
>> > > >> > >
>> > > >> > > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
>> > > >> > > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
>> > > >> > > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
>> > > >> > > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
>> > > >> > > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
>> > > >> > > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
>> > > >> > > that the follow up in this case could clearly
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > You are a fucking liar, Marky.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be
>> > > >> untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it
>> > > >
>> > > >You are an idiot and a liar.
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > your point Mr Slit?
>> >
>> > Was just proven by you, idiot.
>>
>> impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
>> a point to begin with you can't prove a point
>
>What the hell are you babbling about, Marky? You are babbling in
>circles like a dog chasing it's own tail.

learn to read

learn to reason

learn to use logic

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3776. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:03:08 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129996988.761279.195160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121605
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Jock. wrote:
> > On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 10:23:37 +0100, "The Magnum"
> > <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>"Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
> >>news:56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com...
> >>
> >>>On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
> >>><magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>>Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.
> >>>
> >>>(You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).
> >>
> >>Are you referring to me dear boy?
> >
> >
> > I am indeed, who else?
> >
> >
> >>Now perhaps you could explain your remarkable assumption.
> >>Perhaps its the bit where i dont pamper to the
> >>"mighty Amateur holier than tho attitude"
> >
> >
> > Which particular mis-spelt, mis-punctuated part was
> > that?
> >
> > At least this "holier-than-tho" amateur knows how to trim
> > and quote UseNet posts properly.
> >
> >
> >>who knows, ill wait for your reply..
> >
> >
> > You'll wait a bloody long time then, I try not to cross-
> > post too much, particularly to anything that smells of
> > CB.
> >
>

> It sure gets those cbers like steveo, wogie, and frankieboy upset
> though when you post to the children's band group.

Drunk again, Toad?

3777. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:06:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129997166.368469.212080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

3778. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:08:36 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129997316.043858.157930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121608

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf

WTF are you saying, stupid?

> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)

Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."

> I don't care however

Then why are you going on and on about it?

>
> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
> trail leads

Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.

> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
>
> the same for AB8MB
>
> the same K4YZ

Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.

> with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for
> wnat of a better word)

Wtf are you on, Marky?

> like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman
> angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing
> with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this
> little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it
> seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP

You were the drama queen, weren't you?

> I will report it, but with roughly the same confience I reported the
> fact that someone has Id Mr Slit as N0VFP, which is not very seriously
> but the best I have

The best you have is you can get up in the morning.

3779. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:18:12 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1129997891.969885.279530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121609

kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> > > >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > >> > > today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> >> > > >> > > handling the case
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > Bullshit.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> not bullshit
> >> > > >
> >> > > >You are a known liar.
> >> > >
> >> > > your point?
> >> >
> >> > Marky admits he is a liar.
> >>
> >> your point
> >
> >Everybody's point is missed by you, Marky.
>
> wrong again

And you proved it again, stupid.

> >
> >> >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > I only as I have stated about mattter of Identity in an effort to
> >> > > > avoid stlakers like yourself
> >> > >
> >> > > What a mental midget you are, retard.
> >>
> >> tranference again i see
> >
> >Pee Wee Herman-ing again I see.

> >
> >>
> >> I may be guilty of taking you more seriously than you deserve,but I'll
> >> take that chance
> >
> >You are so fucking stupid,Marky. Filing false reports will get you in
> >hot water,Marky.
>
> yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
> false report

Yes, you did. Or you are lying about the whole thing.

> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
> know what you are doing

You don't know anything, Marky.

> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
> Slit

Wrong, stupid.

> >
> >> >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >You are an idiot.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
> >> > > >
> >> > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
> >> > >
> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
> >> >
> >> > Bullshit.
> >>
> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
> >
> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
>
> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat

I can read, stupid.

> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit

Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > you choose to imply the threat was for death and it may have been you
> >> > > have admited that you inttedn to emabarras who ever lives at that
> >> >
> >> > One wouldn't have to work very hard to embarrass you, Marky, you do it
> >> > all by yourself.
> >>
> >> I would not have been the target, when you involved in your ignorance
> >> other I had no moral choice but to report and seek protection for those
> >> who whom be your victums if you were able to carry it through
> >
> >You take stupidity to another new low, Marky.
> >
> >> >It used to be your address, wasn't it?
> >>
> >> no it isn't I have never lived in MQT
> >
> >Liar.
>
> absolute truth

Liar.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > address, that is a threat
> >> >
> >> > You can't comprehend the written word can you, dumbass?
> >>
> >> I certainly can and do
> >
> >You have yet to show it, retard.
> >>
> >> that you brake up a quote and then complain about a sentence fragment
> >> is as eveidence is a sign of your lacking much upstairs
> >
> >And you constantly whining about it instead of composing a better
> >response shows you are a mental midget, Marky.
>
> nothing I can do can stop Mr Slit or anybody in relpy from taking a
> prefectly good and choping it into fragments and then bitching about
> the lack of gramar

Cry louder, Marky.

> >
> >
> >> >
> >> > > There is absolutely a threat

> >> >
> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
> >>
> >> we shall see
> >
> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
> >
> >> but I doubt it
> >
> >So you are lying about the whole thing.
>
> no but you need to learn to read

Yes,liar.

>
> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on

You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
are on the latter.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> > > > They could see that, I'm
> >> > > >sure.
> >> > > >
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> >> > > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> >> > > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> >> > > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> >> > > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> >> > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> >> > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> >> > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
> >> > > >> > >
> >> > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> >> > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> >> > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >>No everybody thinks so.
> >> > > >
> >> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
> >> > > seem to think so
> >> >

> >> > Wrong, Marky.
> >>
> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
> >
> >No, it's true offline as well.
>
> not at all

Liar.

> >
> >>
> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
> >> is another matter
> >
> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
>
> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI

You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
and went out into a field of clues,Marky.

>
> >
> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
> >
> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
>
> another lie N0VFP

Another stupid Marky screw up!

>
> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> when we catch up the FBI

Post in English, Marky.

>
> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
> >> > > >
> >> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
> >> > >

> >> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
> >> >
> >> > Bullshit, pea brain.
> >>
> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
> >
> >No, retard. Learn to read.
>
> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster

No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > > you talking to
> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
> >> > >
> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Liar.
> >>
> >> at times but not there
> >
> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
>
> another lie N0VFP

Liar.

>
> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> when we catch up the FBI

So you admit you lied about the whole thing.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> it has been post that Mr Slit is N0VFP the poster is someone I tend not
> >> > > >> to believe as I indicated to the agent, but it is the only lead I had
> >> > > >> at the time
> >> > > >
> >> > > >You aren't a detective, stupid. You are Inspector Clueless.
> >> > > >
> >> > > >> since then a new lead (which comes from sources I deem even less
> >> > > >> realible) calims Mr slit is Roger Wiseman I will when I speak with her
> >> > > >> report this leads and why I currectltend to discount it

> >> > > >
> >> > > >And they told you it would be a week or two before they would get
> >> > > >around to looking into it. Not much of a life or death priority to
> >> > > >them, now is it?
> >> > > >
> >> > > and after agreeing to obtain protection for the Mark Morgan in MQT it
> >> > > isn't a life and death matter, nor should it
> >> > >
> >> > So *you* say, Marky, but you are a known liar.
> >> >
> >> but it is an obviously true statement
> > >
> > >Yes, it is true that you are a known liar.
> >
> > indeed I am

There you have it, Marky confesses he is a liar, folks.

> > >
> > >>
> > >> you are not a life and death matter once the target of your threat is
> > >> protected after all it is unlikely that you have the means, the will,
> > >> or the brains to carry out the threat
> > >
> > >No credibility, Marky. That's you.
> > >
> > >> >
> > >> > > we agreed it was unlikely you had the abilty to carry through on the
> > >> > > threat that in and of itself lowers the priority. now it is a matter
> > >> > > of cleaning up the mess left behind
> > >> >
> > >> > You shouldn't watch old cop shows on TV and pretend they are the real
> > >> > FBI, Marky.
> > >> >
> > >> I don't wacth cop shows
> > >
> > >Too many painful reminders of your real life run-ins with them, Marky?
> >
> > not at all

Liar.

>
> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be

I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?

>
> >
> > >>
> > >> > >
> > >> > > > >

> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > > In giving her the background of the case and my history here on RRAP
> >> > > >> > > and the various threats I receive we will sometime next week or the
> >> > > >> > > week after get togther and go thought the archives of RRAP together.
> >> > > >> > > she was not certain wether or not the posts of one K4YZ both in 1998
> >> > > >> > > and 2005 were in fact criminal actions (we discused various 1st
> >> > > >> > > amdement issues and how they might and might not apply), but after
> >> > > >> > > hearing me read the "one shot one kill" post to her that it merits
> >> > > >> > > further investagation and promised that it would receive as soon as her
> >> > > >> > > case load premitted it.
> >> > > >> > >
> >> > > >> > > she thanked me for coming to the FBI with this matter even if we should
> >> > > >> > > turn out to chasing the wild aquatic fowl, assured me, by discusing
> >> > > >> > > some the cases she has handled that are similar that I have been
> >> > > >> > > assigned the right agent, and that it was her job, and suggestd that
> >> > > >> > > prehaps with the first posts that I saw as a threat from Stevie would
> >> > > >> > > have receieved action for the FBI if it had been after 9/11. we agred
> >> > > >> > > that the follow up in this case could clearly
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > You are a fucking liar, Marky.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> not a lie in the post there are statements that may turn out to be
> >> > > >> untrue but I have reported the facts as I know the rest as I belive it
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >You are an idiot and a liar.
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > your point Mr Slit?
> >> > >
> >> > Was just proven by you, idiot.
> >>
> >> impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
> >> a point to begin with you can't prove a point
> >
> >What the hell are you babbling about, Marky? You are babbling in
> >circles like a dog chasing it's own tail.
>
> learn to read
>
> learn to reason
>
> learn to use logic

Follow your own advice.

3780. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:31:45 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djdpib$33c$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1129997166.368469.212080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.

Whats not over... ive lost track :o(

3781. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:56:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7uqkl1ddeuqviclp2iuv48bhrvn0e9q6ma@4ax.com>
Source record: 121612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
<i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>repsects honesty
>
>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either

Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.

<snip>
>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....

Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3782. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:56:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dprkl153m34jkb24m64hn1ap1kbtq2golq@4ax.com>
Source record: 121613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
On 21 Oct 2005 16:51:09 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1129938669.054785.253830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
>> >be a liar, a cheat and a coward, Frankie.
>>
>> Hardly.
>
>Frank, you got off easy. He usually includes "homosexual" and
>sometimes "pedophile" in his strings of accusations.

Once again, I just consider the source.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----
```

3783. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 16:58:23 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <LpqdnU7r4fWQ_MfenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121599
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>shitstain uses on Usenet? He fakes being a pilot too? lol
>
>
> Indeed. He claims to have both a now obsolete advanced ham ticket,
> (unlikely) and a pilot's license (highly unlikely.)! But he hides
> behind a cheezy handle swiped from a cheezy 50's sci-fi show.
>

LOL at 2test wogie, the porta-a-pottie specialists.

3784. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:00:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <LpqdnUnr4fUD_MfenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM wrote:
> I did not hear a single
> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS would
> have been buzzing with activity.
> [snip]

Try turning your receiver on next time, I heard lots of H&W traffic
during Katrina and Rita.

3785. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:01:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <LpqdnUjr4fVd_MfenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

N9OGL wrote:
>>Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!
>
>
> Well K1MAN License has expired, but it's still in the FCC database as
> being GLENN BAXTER K1MAN
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>

And you point is?

3786. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:03:46 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <LpqdnUvr4fXS_8fenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121603
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jock. wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 10:23:37 +0100, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>"Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
>>news:56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
>>><magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>>Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.
>>>
>>>(You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).
>>
>>Are you referring to me dear boy?
>
>
> I am indeed, who else?
>
>
>>Now perhaps you could explain your remarkable assumption.
>>Perhaps its the bit where i dont pamper to the
>>"mighty Amateur holier than tho attitude"
>
>
> Which particular mis-spelt, mis-punctuated part was
> that?
>
> At least this "holier-than-tho" amateur knows how to trim
> and quote UseNet posts properly.
>
>
>>who knows, ill wait for your reply..
>
>
> You'll wait a bloody long time then, I try not to cross-
> post too much, particularly to anything that smells of
> CB.
>

It sure gets those cbers like steveo, wogie, and frankieboy upset
though when you post to the children's band group.

3787. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:22:22 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <izu6f.348$A63.213@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121614

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> . . . then along came Don Miller who was not exactly an alter boy . .

Nor an altar boy either.

BTW, W9WNV is back as AE6IY, seen here chatting it up with OH2BH at Dayton.

http://hamgallery.com/dayton2003/d03035.htm

73, de Hans, K0HB

3788. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:28:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130002087.825768.20830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121615

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 21 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total 2641	665
Indeterminate (note 1) 171	60
Value for Percentages 2470	605
Against NPRM (note 2) 748 [30.28%]	193 [31.90%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1341 [54.29%]	305 [50.41%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 381 [15.43%]	107 [17.69%]

This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 1 PM EDT 22 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Total less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3789. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:41:34 UTC
From: 20 Meter Kookenschlong <boinkboink@14313.com>
Message-ID: <71635$435a79ba$d1cc7ce4$4148@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121616

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1129927098.914060.187740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

(much bullshit circumcised in the back of a Cadillac on a bumpy road
with a shaving razor)

You guys in this NG are worse than a bunch of 8-year-olds snotnose kids
fighting over a dodgeball at recess time. Now I know and can appreciate
why the local emergency services are today hesitant to call in
help from ham radio OP's. Jeezehus-h-christ-on-a-stick doing the electric
slide..you guys are the most immature bunch of pathetic dotting fatasses
I've ever heard come thru a speaker on the radio or on a computer screen.
Even the nutcases I see at the freakin train shows aren't as bad as the
hams.

Oy meshhuga!

3790. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:44:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <79mdnQSeiqhy9sfeRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

N9OGL wrote:
> Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>

You're right, with any luck we may see K1MAN in jail. Wouldn't that be nice.

3791. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:45:55 UTC
From: 20 Meter Kookenschlong <boinkboink@14313.com>
Message-ID: <1f6b0$435a7abf$d1cc7ce4$4217@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 121617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:8K46f.473118$5N3.307643@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> To continue the thread...
>
> 'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
> potatoes watch TV...

so...?

WTF else is new with the price of tea in China...?

Heck, I was just listening to the HWN on 14.325 and
some jerk was on there playing music!

So much for ham radio being a public service asset.

3792. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:51:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <avukl1dacpclfvf5bdodmej2vdrtclvs3o@4ax.com>
Source record: 121618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 09:56:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
><i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:
>
>
><snip>
>>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>>repsects honesty
>>
>>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>
>
>Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
>And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
>realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
>
>
><snip>
>>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....
>
>
>Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.

well it does serve as final and complete proof
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3793. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:56:30 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xbo3q@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xbo3q@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 121636

an_old_friend Wrote:
> today the FBI returned my call and I spooke to the agent that will be
> handling the case
>

Let's all give a cheer for Col Morgan.

"Markie, Markie, RAH RAH RAH, THREE DITS, FOURS DITS, TWO DITS, DAH,
Markie, Markie, RAH RAH RAH

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

3794. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 17:58:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <i1vkl1huuoas739fsbinin34f00paa6epc@4ax.com>
Source record: 121619

On 22 Oct 2005 09:18:12 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > >
cut

>> yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
>> false report
>
>Yes, you did. Or you are lying about the whole thing.

nope
>
>> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
>> know what you are doing
>
>You don't know anything, Marky.

yes I do even you and Stevie know something
>
>
>> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
>> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
>> Slit
>
>Wrong, stupid.

right you are acting stupidly or insanely....
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > >>
>> >> > > > >> >You are an idiot.
>> >> > > > >>
>> >> > > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
>> >> > > > >

>> >> > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
>> >> >
>> >> > Bullshit.
>> >>
>> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
>> >
>> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
>>
>> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
>> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat
>
>I can read, stupid.

your point?
>
>> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
>> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
>
>Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.

gee he did send it I have it
cut
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> > > There is absolutely a threat
>> >> >
>> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
>> >>
>> >> we shall see
>> >
>> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
>> >
>> >> but I doubt it
>> >
>> >So you are lying about the whole thing.
>>
>> no but you need to learn to read
>
>Yes,liar.
>
>>
>> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
>> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on
>
>You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
>are on the latter.

you lose

and I will do what I can to se you lose as big as I can

we will see what that ammounts to

>
>>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> > > > They could see that, I'm
>> >> > > >sure.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
>> >> > > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
>> >> > > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
>> >> > > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
>> >> > > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
>> >> > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
>> >> > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
>> >> > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
>> >> > > >> > >
>> >> > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
>> >> > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
>> >> > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >No everybody thinks so.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
>> >> > > seem to think so
>> >> >
>> >> > Wrong, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
>> >
>> >No, it's true offline as well.
>>
>> not at all
>
>Liar.

maybe but not about that
>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
>> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
>> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
>> >> is another matter

>> >
>> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
>>
>> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
>> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI
>
>You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
>and went out into a field of clues,Marky.

I have plenty of them and getting everytime you and Whinny post

>
>>
>> >
>> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
>> >
>> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
>>
>> another lie N0VFP
>
>Another stupid Marky screw up!

no screw up

>
>>
>> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> when we catch up the FBI
>
>Post in English, Marky.
>
>>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
>> >> > >
>> >> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
>> >> >
>> >> > Bullshit, pea brain.
>> >>
>> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
>> >
>> >No, retard. Learn to read.
>>

>> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
>> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster
>
>No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.

I am right on target

>
>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > > > you talking to
>> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Liar.
>> >>
>> >> at times but not there
>> >
>> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
>>
>> another lie N0VFP
>
>Liar.
>
>>
>> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> when we catch up the FBI
>
>
>So you admit you lied about the whole thing.

when you make such a false statement you are the lair

I am lying to you here and there which is prefectly and will not in
anyway undermine any arrest that may result

cut

>>
>> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be
>
>I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?

that I am not fond of cops, or of them as a general rule

all prefectly true statements

cut
>> >> > >

>> >> > > your point Mr Slit?
>> >> >
>> >> > Was just proven by you, idiot.
>> >>
>> >> impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
>> >> a point to begin with you can't prove a point
>> >
>> >What the hell are you babbling about, Marky? You are babbling in
>> >circles like a dog chasing it's own tail.
>>
>> learn to read
>>
>> learn to reason
>>
>> learn to use logic
>
>Follow your own advice.

I have

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3795. Re: The bogus Jewish reggae musician

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 18:01:47 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <nobody.hugs.twees.like.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 22 Oct 2005 08:30:59 GMT, Christy D <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> He likes to pose as an eccentric Jewish reggae performer and to use
> his cousin Ron Wiseman's web page at http://jewishreggae.bizland.com/
> to convince them of his genuineness.

That web page is a foul lie. Woger has repeatedly posted his lineage in order to prove that he is not Jewish. Ron Wiseman is probably a Welsh Druid just like Woger (http://www.druidnetwork.org/rites/celebrants.html).

3796. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 18:01:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1evkl1h3vuo1ta30ch2bpvvg2ueu5i9jhs@4ax.com>
Source record: 121621

On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
>> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
>> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
>
>WTF are you saying, stupid?

read it and find out
>
>> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
>> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
>
>Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."

no I am not part of the FBI
>
>> I don't care however
>
>Then why are you going on and on about it?
>
>>
>> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
>> trail leads
>
>Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
>
>> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
>>
>> the same for AB8MB
>>
>> the same K4YZ
>
>Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.

for what?

the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots

>
>> with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for
>> wnat of a better word)
>
>Wtf are you on, Marky?

nothing for you to worry about after all I am lying according to you
about taking any action with the FBI

>
>> like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman
>> angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing
>> with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this
>> little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it
>> seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP
>
>You were the drama queen, weren't you?

nope just an unwilling player

it seem you are trying to direct this little play while trying to man
behind the curtain (like the fraud in the Wizard of OZ)

>
>> I will report it, but with roughly the same confience I reported the
>> fact that someone has Id Mr Slit as N0VFP, which is not very seriously
>> but the best I have
>
>The best you have is you can get up in the morning.
I can't do better than that altough I rarely getu p in the morning

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3797. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 18:03:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5mvkl1ldanseee6cqn45l07qrf88o34588@4ax.com>
Source record: 121622

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 13:41:34 -0400, "20 Meter Kookenschlong"
<boinkboink@14313.com> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1129927098.914060.187740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>(much bullshit circumcised in the back of a Cadillac on a bumpy road
>with a shaving razor)
>
>You guys in this NG are worse than a bunch of 8-year-olds snotnose kids
>fighting over a dodgeball at recess time. Now I know and can appreciate
>why the local emergency services are today hesitant to call in
>help from ham radio OP's. Jeezehus-h-christ-on-a-stick doing the electric
>slide..you guys are the most immature bunch of pathetic dotting fatasses
>I've ever heard come thru a speaker on the radio or on a computer screen.
>Even the nutcases I see at the freakin train shows aren't as bad as the
>hams.

inded there are nut jobs in the ARS as everywhere else

but you look like one them
>
>Oy meshhuga!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3798. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 18:10:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130004610.848169.97830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > 14.321.00 MHz.
> >
>
> You're right, with any luck we may see K1MAN in jail. Wouldn't that be nice.

on what crime?

3799. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 18:21:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <vn0ll1hslvg97mp69r2tm4eqjkt3t9keab@4ax.com>
Source record: 121625

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>grow up
>>>>
>>>>What does that mean in this context?
>>>
>>>in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>>
>>
>> Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>> questions?
>
> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I do find
>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the ones
>telling people to keep their mouth shut.

yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of rudeness

Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
infringing on their own
>
> Ya notice that too, Jim?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3800. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 18:23:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BOOdnbfGyloEHsfeRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121624

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>grow up
>>>
>>>What does that mean in this context?
>>
>>in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>
>
> Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
> questions?

Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I do find in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the ones telling people to keep their mouth shut.

Ya notice that too, Jim?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3801. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 18:55:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1l2ll1d0aot2v14tm9l1sfa5nl87csrlei@4ax.com>
Source record: 121626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 22 Oct 2005 08:44:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 05:18:11 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:45:58 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> N0VFP wrote:
>> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> > > N0VFP wrote:
>> >> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> cut
>> cut
>
>Run away, Marky.

from you never
>
>> >> but I filed a report of the facts as I understand i could be in error
>> >> but even if that is the case filing a mistaken report is not filing a
>> >> flase one, nor is it a crime
>> >
>> >Bull.
>>
>> nope simple fact
>
>No, simpleton, you made a false report or you are lying about the whole
>thing.

nope
>
>> cut
>
>Run, Marky, run!
>
>>
>> >> >> no thanks I prefer having company for that, not that you are invited of
>> >> >> course

>> >> >
>> >> >More of your children you abuse?
>> >>
>> >> there can't be more of something I have never done
>> >
>> >Not so, you pervert..
>
>So you are a pervert, marky?

no I am not whiny that being yourself it seem
>
>> absoluely so
>
>We know, Marky,we know, you sick bastard.

nope not a sick bastard wrong again Mr Slit oh i am sorry NoVFP or are
you the same "person"

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3802. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 19:14:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130008469.442863.51800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121627

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm

>Leo wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >>>Leo wrote:
>> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Comparing the total number of amateur licenses existing today is an
>> apples-to-oranges comparison, and not a true indicator of the
>> "popularity" of the hobby then or now.
>
>Why?

"Why?" ...it shoots down your rationalizations for one... :-)

> I realize that we have to allow for the population
>increase. But when you do that, the inescapable conclusion
>is that the ratio of the number of hams to the total population is more
>now than it was in 1955, or 1965, or 1975, etc.

Tsk, tsk...the "inescapable conclusion" obtained from comparison
of filings on WT Docket 05-235 of 2005 is that the Belief in the
efficacy of morse code is less than it was in 1975, 1965, or 1955.

> Only very recently has the growth curve flattened out.

In the last two and a half years, this "growth" has been negative.

>> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
>> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
>> minute, not our easy 5.
>
>It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when
>the Technician lost its code test requirement.

That code test is STILL an absolute pass-fail separate test
for any amateur radio privileges below 30 MHz.

The Technician DID NOT LOSE its code test requirement. The
former Technician class got RENAMED to "Technician Plus." :-)

>Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
>and the training methods.

Riiiiiiight...ALL human beings have the SAME aptitudes and
abilities! All one has to do is "work hard" in order to
pass a federally-mandated morse test in order to transmit
below 30 MHz as an amateur.

Tsk. That is NOT required for General Radiotelephone
(Commercial) Radio Operator license holders at HF and below.

That is NOT required for UNLICENSED CB radio operators on
27 MHz, yet their signals can - during certain propagation
conditions - be heard all over the world.

>Slightly less than half of US hams hold Technician licenses, but
>there's no way of knowing how many have passed the code test.

A mere 48+ plus percent of ALL USA amateur radio licensees
are Technician class. The Technician class (exclusive of
the Technician PLUS class) is over TWICE as numerous as
General class (most numerous of the "code tested" classes).

>There's also the factor of how long somebody stays interested. And what
>they do when they have the license.

That's NOT a LEGAL requirement, is it? :-)

The ONLY requirement I can find in all of Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.
as to "doing" when a person has an amateur radio license is to
OPERATE LEGALLY ACCORDING TO THE REGULATIONS. Is there something
I missed in Part 97 about "building from scratch," "devotion to
morse code," or being an acolyte at the Church of St. Hiram?

>> As far as populatity goes, I'd say that the general public back
>> then
>> seemed to be far more aware of even the existence of the hobby
>> than it appears to be today (wonder if there's a survey available
>> anywhere on
>> this anywhere.....). For example, all of my friends and I knew
>> about amateur radio back then - both of my teenage sons indicate that
>> the majority of their contemporaries have no idea at all that the hobby
>> even exists. Those who are aware are pretty much disinterested
>> in the activity - they have more fun and interesting things to do!
>
>But are you and your friends a representative sample?

Why not? YOU seem to hold yourself as a "representative sample"
of the very model of a modern major marvel in amateur radio. :-)

[apologies to Gilbert & Sullivan]

>When I was growing up, most people, kids or adults, had no idea
>what ham radio was unless they were related to or good friends
>with a ham.

In 50 years little has changed in that regard... :-)

Where is the ARRL Public Relations effort when it is sorely
needed?

>I grew up in a suburb of Philadelphia that was mostly blue- and
>white-collar middle class families. Lots of kids, houses ranging from
>rowhomes to big single Dutch Colonials. Yet there were less than a
>dozen hams in the whole township then, all spread out, and about half
>were inactive or minimally active.

"Typical?" :-)

Tsk, didn't you claim to have begun amateur radio in another
state?

>In my high school (grades 9/10/11/12), which had over 2500 boys and
>emphasized math and science, there were perhaps a half-dozen hams in
>the 4 years I was there.

Ah, an "all boys" school. That may explain a lot...?

In my high school in the middle west (northern Illinois), only
three grades (we had a "junior high school" now known as a
"middle school" for some kind of PC reason). We had a mere
900 or so of mixed gender and NONE were licensed as radio
amateurs. [sunnuvagun!]

At the Big 50th Reunion my high school class had in 2001, NONE
mentioned anything about "getting a ham license" after
graduation. However, to be fair about it, one did become the
manager of a supermarket which had a meat department selling
ham.

>The main problem wasn't code or theory, for those who were interested.
>It was space for an antenna and money for equipment.

Amazing how the story changes as time goes on... :-)

NOBODY had an "attic antenna" back in those ancient days?
Tsk, the "beer can vertical" started in the 1950s...

NOBODY managed to attend a Field Day exercise back then?
[were there any parks to hold them in?]

NOBODY scrounged for "old radio parts" to build a whole
station for $100 then?

>Most people, young or old, thought ham radio looked like a kind of fun
>thing, when they found out about it. But not enough to spend the
>necessary time and money to set up a station, let alone get a license.

Too busy playing with car fix-ups?

They couldn't get part-time jobs to afford $100 to build a
"Southgate" transceiver?

Well, I have to give you slack on that. "Surplus" in the late
1940s was very inexpensive: $6 for a brand-new Command Set
receiver, $12 for a brand-new Command Set transmitter, $18 for
the Command Set antenna tuning unit and modulator...at H&H
Electronics, co-owned by Gene Hubbel (then W9ERU, later W7DI
and then SK...a VERY high-rate tested morseman). Tsk, I used
my part-time earnings to buy and convert (to 110 VAC power)
TWO Command Set stations...sold them quickly at a very modest
profit by 1950. "Surplus" radios cost much more 30 years later.

>IMHO one of the biggest reasons ham radio isn't better known
>is that it's not a very "visual" thing - it doesn't translate well to
>TV or a movie.

Really? Ernest Lehman didn't think so. He wrote a fairly
popular novel entitled "The French Atlantic Affair" which was
made into a two-part TV movie on one of the networks. Lehman
was a respected award-winning screenwriter ("North by Northwest"
is perhaps the most notable). Lehman was also a licensed ham.

Of course, CB Radio has been featured in many a TV show and
movie such as "Convoy," "Smokey and the Bandit," and (would
you believe this title) "Flatbed Annie and Sweetiepie"...not
to mention an essential part of "The Dukes of Hazzard" series.

A later movie, "Frequency" used amateur radio as essential to
enable communications time-travel between deceased father and
son, but that was more science-fantasy in its plotline and
didn't really showcase radio as much as the supernatural.

>Maybe we're using the wrong term - perhaps instead of "popularity",
>what you are describing is better described as "visibility" or
>"recognition by the public".

Tsk. You should have a long heart-to-heart talk with ARRL
Public Relations (excuse me, "Media Advisors") people on
getting amateur radio more popular with the general public.
ARRL hasn't done much in THAT regard for the last half
century...they've spent most of their time preaching to the
choir to try and enlarge their membership numbers (haven't
done too well there, either, still only 1 out of 5 licensed
U.S. radio amateurs are members).

But, you are NOT offering any possible solutions to either
popularity, visibility, or recognition by any public. Your
aim is to disrupt any talk of eliminating the code test by
any means possible. NOT a democratic-principle effort on
your part. But, it's par for the course in this newsgroup.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3803. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 19:37:57 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <b75ll1t5m1hgpiiofql2anuoig63jkkbl3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 22 Oct 2005 11:10:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>> > Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> > THE N9OGL SHOW
>> > 14.321.00 MHz.
>> >
>>
>> You're right, with any luck we may see K1MAN in jail. Wouldn't that be nice.
>
>on what crime?

Aggravated mopery with intent to gawk.

RIP Mike Royko.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

3804. Ahhhh I dono??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 19:50:15 UTC
From: Rocky <harley20@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130010615.175305.50050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121629

SHUT UP PAUL!!!

3805. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 20:15:47 UTC
From: Bob Schreibmaier <k3ph@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <gTOdne6vLo5vAMfeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 121630
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
but they were overruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
awarded it a 2.6.

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious.
It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly
lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful
troll. Maybe as high as a 3.1 for the intense stupidity of the
premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their
hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

0 2 4 6 8 10
\
\
\
\
\
TROLL-O-METER

In article <1129970452.489970.290430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
>
>
>_REAL_ Ham Radio is a technical pursuit.
>
>One area that remains easily open is the construction
>of CW rigs - you need little more than an
>oscillator.
>
>Off-the-shelf talk-boxes are the stuff of which
>CB is made, and not Ham Radio.
>
>Therefore let us move to ban voice communications
>from Ham Radio - a listen to 20m shows up inane
>conversations from CB types only.
>
>CW comms has always been the essence of Ham Radio,
>and remains a feature of Ham Radio now that the
>commercial world has moved into Sat comms.
>
>So.....

>1. Restore the 12 WPM Morse test around the world.
>2. Cancel _ALL_ licences where the licensee has not
>passed a 12 WPM Morse Test.
>3. Remove all band plans - only Morse is now allowed.
>4. All new licensees to be limited to their first year
>op operation to 10W home-brewed rigs (And, of course,
>on CW as there will be no more voice)
>Let us fight the insidious march of the Mongolian
>Hordes of CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams before it
>is far too late!
>

--
+--+
| Bob Schreibmaier K3PH | E-mail: k3ph@ptd.net |
| Kresgeville, PA 18333 | http://www.dxis.org |
+--+

3806. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 20:25:24 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dje77kbor1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 121631
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bob Schreibmaier" <k3ph@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:gTOdne6vLo5vAMfeUSdV9g@ptd.net...
BEST WISHES, ALL THE HIGH NUMBERS 88'S 73 51'S ETC..10-10 till we do it
AGAIN...DE ALL AT GBR@UK.RADIO.AMATEUR

--
--
73deG1LVN(M0WWS)
it's back it's: http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM !!
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk/wavefiles/

3807. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 20:31:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1v7ll1h901hihqrribrlun5oikvna7e7ag@4ax.com>
Source record: 121632

On 22 Oct 2005 12:14:29 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>>> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >>>Leo wrote:
>>> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>>> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> Comparing the total number of amateur licenses existing today is an
>>> apples-to-oranges comparison, and not a true indicator of the
>>> "popularity" of the hobby then or now.
>>
>>Why?
>
> "Why?" ...it shoots down your rationalizations for one... :-)
>
>> I realize that we have to allow for the population
>>increase. But when you do that, the inescapable conclusion
>>is that the ratio of the number of hams to the total population is more
>>now than it was in 1955, or 1965, or 1975, etc.
>
> Tsk, tsk...the "inescapable conclusion" obtained from comparison
> of filings on WT Docket 05-235 of 2005 is that the Belief in the
> efficacy of morse code is less than it was in 1975, 1965, or 1955.
>
>> Only very recently has the growth curve flattened out.
>
> In the last two and a half years, this "growth" has been negative.
>
>>> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
>>> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
>>> minute, not our easy 5.
>>
>>It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when

>>the Technician lost its code test requirement.
>
> That code test is STILL an absolute pass-fail separate test
> for any amateur radio privileges below 30 MHz.
>
> The Technician DID NOT LOSE its code test requirement. The
> former Technician class got RENAMED to "Technician Plus." :-)
>
>>Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
>>and the training methods.
>
> Riiiiiiight...ALL human beings have the SAME aptitudes and
> abilities! All one has to do is "work hard" in order to
> pass a federally-mandated morse test in order to transmit
> below 30 MHz as an amateur.
>
> Tsk. That is NOT required for General Radiotelephone
> (Commercial) Radio Operator license holders at HF and below.
>
> That is NOT required for UNLICENSED CB radio operators on
> 27 MHz, yet their signals can - during certain propagation
> conditions - be heard all over the world.
>
>>Slightly less than half of US hams hold Technician licenses, but
>>there's no way of knowing how many have passed the code test.
>
> A mere 48+ plus percent of ALL USA amateur radio licensees
> are Technician class. The Technician class (exclusive of
> the Technician PLUS class) is over TWICE as numerous as
> General class (most numerous of the "code tested" classes).
>
>
>>There's also the factor of how long somebody stays interested. And what
>>they do when they have the license.
>
> That's NOT a LEGAL requirement, is it? :-)
>
> The ONLY requirement I can find in all of Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.
> as to "doing" when a person has an amateur radio license is to
> OPERATE LEGALLY ACCORDING TO THE REGULATIONS. Is there something
> I missed in Part 97 about "building from scratch," "devotion to
> morse code," or being an acolyte at the Church of St. Hiram?

Len there is a secert part of the ITU and Part 97 included since the
Gergam Soeveit non agression where as part of the Us agreeing to the
partitions of Polands US hams of that day the right to demand Morse
Code testing for the next 6 million years

Hams get access to these protocols when they pass the old 13 wpm
standard so no new access is allowed
>

>>> As far as populatity goes, I'd say that the general public back
>>> then
>>> seemed to be far more aware of even the existence of the hobby
>>> than it appears to be today (wonder if there's a survey available
>>> anywhere on
>>> this anywhere.....). For example, all of my friends and I knew
>>> about amateur radio back then - both of my teenage sons indicate that
>>> the majority of their contemporaries have no idea at all that the hobby
>>> even exists. Those who are aware are pretty much disinterested
>>> in the activity - they have more fun and interesting things to do!
>>
>>But are you and your friends a representative sample?
>
> Why not? YOU seem to hold yourself as a "representative sample"
> of the very model of a modern major marvel in amateur radio. :-)
>
> [apologies to Gilbert & Sullivan]

I love I can almost read it as you intend but the G&S makes it trip
over my tongue

>
>>When I was growing up, most people, kids or adults, had no idea
>>what ham radio was unless they were related to or good friends
>>with a ham.
>
> In 50 years little has changed in that regard... :-)
>
> Where is the ARRL Public Relations effort when it is sorely
> needed?

the ARRL is AWOL

you think Dudley will call for them to be shot?

>
>>I grew up in a suburb of Philadelphia that was mostly blue- and
>>white-collar middle class families. Lots of kids, houses ranging from
>>rowhomes to big single Dutch Colonials. Yet there were less than a
>>dozen hams in the whole township then, all spread out, and about half
>>were inactive or minimally active.
>
> "Typical?" :-)
>
> Tsk, didn't you claim to have begun amateur radio in another
> state?
>
>>In my high school (grades 9/10/11/12), which had over 2500 boys and
>>emphasized math and science, there were perhaps a half-dozen hams in
>>the 4 years I was there.
>
> Ah, an "all boys" school. That may explain a lot...?

>
> In my high school in the middle west (northern Illinois), only
> three grades (we had a "junior high school" now known as a
> "middle school" for some kind of PC reason). We had a mere
> 900 or so of mixed gender and NONE were licensed as radio
> amateurs. [sunnuvagun!]
>
> At the Big 50th Reunion my high school class had in 2001, NONE
> mentioned anything about "getting a ham license" after
> graduation. However, to be fair about it, one did become the
> manager of a supermarket which had a meat department selling
> ham.
>
>>The main problem wasn't code or theory, for those who were interested.
>>It was space for an antenna and money for equipment.
>
> Amazing how the story changes as time goes on... :-)
>
> NOBODY had an "attic antenna" back in those ancient days?
> Tsk, the "beer can vertical" started in the 1950s...
>
> NOBODY managed to attend a Field Day exercise back then?
> [were there any parks to hold them in?]
>
> NOBODY scrounged for "old radio parts" to build a whole
> station for $100 then?
>
>>Most people, young or old, thought ham radio looked like a kind of fun
>>thing, when they found out about it. But not enough to spend the
>>necessary time and money to set up a station, let alone get a license.
>
> Too busy playing with car fix-ups?
>
> They couldn't get part-time jobs to afford $100 to build a
> "Southgate" transceiver?
>
> Well, I have to give you slack on that. "Surplus" in the late
> 1940s was very inexpensive: $6 for a brand-new Command Set
> receiver, $12 for a brand-new Command Set transmitter, $18 for
> the Command Set antenna tuning unit and modulator...at H&H
> Electronics, co-owned by Gene Hubbel (then W9ERU, later W7DI
> and then SK...a VERY high-rate tested morseman). Tsk, I used
> my part-time earnings to buy and convert (to 110 VAC power)
> TWO Command Set stations...sold them quickly at a very modest
> profit by 1950. "Surplus" radios cost much more 30 years later.
>
>>IMHO one of the biggest reasons ham radio isn't better known
>>is that it's not a very "visual" thing - it doesn't translate well to
>>TV or a movie.
>

> Really? Ernest Lehman didn't think so. He wrote a fairly
> popular novel entitled "The French Atlantic Affair" which was
> made into a two-part TV movie on one of the networks. Lehman
> was a respected award-winning screenwriter ("North by Northwest"
> is perhaps the most notable). Lehman was also a licensed ham.

thank been trying to remeber the title of that movie I will have to
check if it avable in in DVD

but on the tangent is my memeroy faulty or didn't those hams in voled
(the land station was a Girl ham as I recall) violate FCC rules and
use a Code in violation of the rules (except for the emergency nature
of the transmisiion

>
> Of course, CB Radio has been featured in many a TV show and
> movie such as "Convoy," "Smokey and the Bandit," and (would
> you believe this title) "Flatbed Annie and Sweetiepie"...not
> to mention an essential part of "The Dukes of Hazzard" series.
>
> A later movie, "Frequency" used amateur radio as essential to
> enable communications time-travel between deceased father and
> son, but that was more science-fantasy in its plotline and
> didn't really showcase radio as much as the supernatural.
>
>>Maybe we're using the wrong term - perhaps instead of "popularity",
>>what you are describing is better described as "visibility" or
>>"recognition by the public".
>
> Tsk. You should have a long heart-to-heart talk with ARRL
> Public Relations (excuse me, "Media Advisors") people on
> getting amateur radio more popular with the general public.
> ARRL hasn't done much in THAT regard for the last half
> century...they've spent most of their time preaching to the
> choir to try and enlarge their membership numbers (haven't
> done too well there, either, still only 1 out of 5 licensed
> U.S. radio amateurs are members).
>
> But, you are NOT offering any possible solutions to either
> popularity, visibility, or recognition by any public. Your
> aim is to disrupt any talk of eliminating the code test by
> any means possible. NOT a democratic-principle effort on
> your part. But, it's par for the course in this newsgroup.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3808. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 20:41:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MqCdnX5_vqyOOcfeRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121633

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>grow up
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>What does that mean in this context?
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>>>questions?
>>
>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I do find
>>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the ones
>>telling people to keep their mouth shut.
>
>
> yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of rudeness
>
> Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
> infringing on their own

So I guess what you are saying is that your cause is so righteous that
you are justified in squelching the other side?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3809. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 20:44:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MqCdnXI_vqwPOcfeRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ernie wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:A-OdnWMd6PedpMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
>
>>TOM wrote:
>>
>>>To continue the thread...
>>>
>>>'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
>>>potatoes watch TV...
>>>
>>>http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane
>
> Katrina,
>
>>>it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of
>>>life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
>>>electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were
>
> unable
>
>>>to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
>>>
>>>What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
>>>
>>>"Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says
>
> Eric
>
>>>Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are
>
> millions
>
>>>of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public
>
> for
>
>>>camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio
>>>operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
>>>communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received

>
> by
>
>>>the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in
>
> this
>
>>>emergency communication strategy."
>>>
>>>Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is
>>>that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It
>>>could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware
>
> to
>
>>>listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
>>>national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
>>>[snip]
>>>
>>>So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national
>>>frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur
>
> community---lets
>
>>>see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.
>>>
>>>TOM
>>>
>>>
>>
>>You obviously have a reading comprehension problem. Notice it states
>>that the hams will listen for emergency traffic on the FRS frequencies
>>and FRS will be the link to the ham radio network.
>
>
> Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
> MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes you
> think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to make
> any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
> APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL RESPONSE
> TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS GOING TO
> TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!

And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make up
the emergency network, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3810. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 20:45:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <j19ll158i5cgv8lag8go659lgk17fpl1th@4ax.com>
Source record: 121635

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
cutns?
>>>
>>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I do find
>>>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the ones
>>>telling people to keep their mouth shut.
>>
>>
>> yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of rudeness
>>
>> Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
>> infringing on their own
>
> So I guess what you are saying is that your cause is so righteous that
>you are justified in squelching the other side?

no effort to squech it has been made. he has the right to ignore me if
he likes, as do you of course, and I have the right to tell to shut
up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor or impling that Len is doing
something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit

How many hunderd question does Jim need to ask before he reconizes
that Len is not required to answer him

or when wil Jim realize there has not been a grand inquistitor
apponointed eith for Ham radio or RRAP

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3811. The bogus Saggytits Lee screws up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:19:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130015981.171766.72940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Lee (Lloyd's gay lover fatass Waysy Chason, perhaps, or
fatass Lloydie himself, perhaps) wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 08:30:59 GMT, Christy D <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
> >
> > He <flush!>
>
> That web page is a foul lie. Woger has repeatedly posted his lineage in order
> to prove that he is not Jewish. Ron Wiseman is probably a Welsh Druid just
> like Woger (http://www.druidnetwork.org/rites/celebrants.html).

No, Davies is the Welsh Jew, racist Ray. http://www.houseofnames.com
proved that.
It also proved Wiseman is English/German. But you never do get it
right. Just like you thought the Marshall County Alabama ARES web site
was the one for Marshall County, WV.

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile
fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to
send email."

Saggytits Lee aka Lloydie Davies steps on his own tiny dick when he
tried to correct somebody else's English use first by saying one
"operates" a dictionary instead of reading it, then uses "things"
instead of "thinks" in <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

3812. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:28:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130016480.896841.75750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121638

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 09:18:12 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > >
> cut

Run away, Marky, run away!
>
> >> yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
> >> false report
> >
> >Yes, you did. Or you are lying about the whole thing.
>
> nope

Yep.

> >
> >> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
> >> know what you are doing
> >
> >You don't know anything, Marky.
>
> yes I do even you and Stevie know something

But we were discussing YOU, Marky.

> >
> >
> >> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
> >> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
> >> Slit
> >
> >Wrong, stupid.
>
> right you are acting stupidly or insanely....

But the State of Michigan isn't looking into me like they are you,
Marky.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> > > >> >You are an idiot.
> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Bullshit.
> >> >>
> >> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
> >> >
> >> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
> >>
> >> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
> >> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat
> >
> >I can read, stupid.
>
> your point?

Again the point flys over your thick skull. Can't you read, stupid?

> >
> >> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
> >> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
> >
> >Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.
>
> gee he did send it I have it

Then post it or stfu.

> cut

Run away,Marky.

> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > There is absolutely a threat
> >> >> >
> >> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
> >> >>
> >> >> we shall see
> >> >

> >> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
> >> >
> >> >> but I doubt it
> >> >
> >> >So you are lying about the whole thing.
> >>
> >> no but you need to learn to read
> >
> >Yes,liar.
> >
> >>
> >> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
> >> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on
> >
> >You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
> >are on the latter.
>
> you lose

Wrong, shit for brains.

>
> and I will do what I can to se you lose as big as I can

No, you can't suck my dick, Marky.

> we will see what that ammounts to

Indeed, filing a false report, tsk tsk, it doesn't look too good for
you, Marky.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > They could see that, I'm
> >> >> > > >sure.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >> >
> >> >> > > >> > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> >> >> > > >> > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
> >> >> > > >> > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
> >> >> > > >> > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
> >> >> > > >> > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
> >> >> > > >> > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> >> >> > > >> > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> >> >> > > >> > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
> >> >> > > >> >
> >> >> > > >> > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> >> >> > > >> > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
> >> >> > > >> > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> >> >> > > >>

> >> >> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >No everybody thinks so.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
> >> >> > > seem to think so
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Wrong, Marky.
> >> >>
> >> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
> >> >
> >> >No, it's true offline as well.
> >>
> >> not at all
> >
> >Liar.
>
> maybe but not about that

You already admitted you are a liar.

> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
> >> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
> >> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
> >> >> is another matter
> >> >
> >> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
> >>
> >> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
> >> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI
> >
> >You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
> >and went out into a field of clues,Marky.
>
> I have plenty of them and getting everytime you and Whinny post

You couldn't get a clue in a million years, stupid.

>
> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
> >> >
> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
> >>

> >> another lie N0VFP
> >
> >Another stupid Marky screw up!
>
> no screw up

Your stupidity has no bounds, does it, Marky?

>
> >
> >>
> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> >> when we catch up the FBI
> >
> >Post in English, Marky.
> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Bullshit, pea brain.
> >> >>
> >> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
> >> >
> >> >No, retard. Learn to read.
> >>
> >> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
> >> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster
> >
> >No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.
>
> I am right on target

Afraid not, stupid.

> >
> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > you talking to

> >> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Liar.
> >> >>
> >> >> at times but not there
> >> >
> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
> >>
> >> another lie N0VFP
> >
> >Liar.
> >
> >>
> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> >> when we catch up the FBI
> >
> >
> >So you admit you lied about the whole thing.
>
> when you make such a false statement you are the lair

1) You admitted to being a liar.
2) You lied and said you did something you didn't do.

> I am lying to you here and there which is prefectly and will not in
> anyway undermine any arrest that may result

So you think you are about to be arrested again, Marky?

> cut

Run, Marky, run!!!!!!!!!!

> >>
> >> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be
> >
> >I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?
>
> that I am not fond of cops, or of them as a general rule

I wonder what the "FBI" will think of that.

> all prefectly true statements

It won't put you in solid with them, Marky.

> cut

Run away, Marky, you imbecile!

> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > your point Mr Slit?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Was just proven by you, idiot.
> >> >>
> >> >> impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
> >> >> a point to begin with you can't prove a point
> >> >
> >> >What the hell are you babbling about, Marky? You are babbling in
> >> >circles like a dog chasing it's own tail.
> >>
> >> learn to read
> >>
> >> learn to reason
> >>
> >> learn to use logic
> >
> >Follow your own advice.
>
> I have

When? You have yet to show it in your 30-some years.

3813. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:32:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130016774.509026.103080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121639

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
> >> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
> >> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
> >
> >WTF are you saying, stupid?
>
> read it and find out

If CIA crytographers read it they couldn't figure out what you were
trying to say, you illiterate son of a bitch.

> >
> >> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
> >> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
> >
> >Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."
>
> no I am not part of the FBI

Just on their most watched list.

> >
> >> I don't care however
> >
> >Then why are you going on and on about it?
> >
> >>
> >> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
> >> trail leads
> >
> >Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
> >
> >> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
> >>
> >> the same for AB8MB
> >>
> >> the same K4YZ
> >
> >Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.
>

> for what?
>
> the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots

For your defamation, Marky.

> >
> >> with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for
> >> wnat of a better word)
> >
> >Wtf are you on, Marky?
>
> nothing for you to worry about after all I am lying according to you
> about taking any action with the FBI

That's right. Nice of you to admit it. I'm not worried, dumbass.

> >
> >> like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman
> >> angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing
> >> with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this
> >> little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it
> >> seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP
> >
> >You were the drama queen, weren't you?
>
> nope just an unwilling player

According to the Google archives, you've been the "bend-over" boy for
years and years.

> it seem you are trying to direct this little play while trying to man
> behind the curtain (like the fraud in the Wizard of OZ)

I bet you wish you could wear Judy Garland's clothes, don't you,
faggot?
If I am the director then CUT! "You're FIRED!"

> >
> >> I will report it, but with roughly the same confience I reported the
> >> fact that someone has Id Mr Slit as N0VFP, which is not very seriously
> >> but the best I have
> >
> >The best you have is you can get up in the morning.
> I can't do better than that altough I rarely getu p in the morning

It shows, stupid.

3814. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:33:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <nvbll11ig1t52rumdbed0roidri8klc1rv@4ax.com>
Source record: 121642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 22 Oct 2005 14:33:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 09:56:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
>> ><i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:
>> >
>> >
>> ><snip>
>> >>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>> >>repsects honesty
>> >>
>> >>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>> >>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>> >
>> >
>> >Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
>> >And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
>> >realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
>> >
>> >
>> ><snip>
>> >>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....
>> >
>> >
>> >Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.
>>
>> well it does serve as final and complete proof
>
>Of what, that you are an idiot?

nope wrong again that Stevie has guts lots of guts guess you were not
reading

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3815. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:33:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130016832.089092.141470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 09:56:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
> ><i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:
> >
> >
> ><snip>
> >>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
> >>repsects honesty
> >>
> >>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
> >>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
> >
> >
> >Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
> >And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
> >realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
> >
> >
> ><snip>
> >>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....
> >
> >
> >Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.
>
> well it does serve as final and complete proof

Of what, that you are an idiot?

3816. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:34:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051022173457.734$nv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121641
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

pierrejalbert@nhl.ca wrote:
> > N8WWM posting as -"Keyclowns are limpwristed"
> > <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > wrong, retard...nobody cares about your "attention". Like I said
> > > before. We know where and who you are, and all the fun starts when WE
> > > want to start. Not when you do. AKC owns you, and Uhl love how well
> > > we maintain our property.
> > >
> > I've seen how well you maintain your section eight property, you
> > cowardly sissy. Get busted for jamming the Toledo repeaters again
> > lately?
> >
> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/
> >
> > --
> > http://NewsReader.Com/
>
> what's your tech callsign steveo
>
Don't have one.

3817. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:44:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <01cll11tscpj5ormof29bt8dt0fc4ineuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 121644

On 22 Oct 2005 14:28:00 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 09:18:12 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > > > cut
>> >
>> >Run away, Marky, run away!
>> >
>> >> yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
>> >> false report
>> >
>> >Yes, you did. Or you are lying about the whole thing.
>> >
>> nope
>> >
>> >Yep.

nope
>
>> >
>> >> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
>> >> know what you are doing
>> >
>> >You don't know anything, Marky.
>> >
>> yes I do even you and Stevie know something
>> >
>> >But we were discussing YOU, Marky.

and I do at something I know you know something if nothing else

>
>> >
>> >
>> >> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
>> >> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
>> >> Slit
>> >
>> >Wrong, stupid.
>>
>> right you are acting stupidly or insanely....
>
>But the State of Michigan isn't looking into me like they are you,
>Marky.

Gee this is news the State of Michigan is looking into me?

not that I know of

and the State of Michigan would not be looking into you unless you
lived here which obviously you don't since you don't much about the
state
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> > > >> >You are an idiot.
>> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
>> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> > > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Bullshit.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
>> >> >
>> >> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
>> >>
>> >> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
>> >> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat
>> >
>> >I can read, stupid.
>>
>> your point?
>
>Again the point flys over your thick skull. Can't you read, stupid?

I can you just are not making any

perhaps you think you are typing more than you are
>
>> >
>> >> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
>> >> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
>> >
>> >Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.
>>
>> gee he did send it I have it
>
>Then post it or stfu.

i'll do neither after all you know what it contians
you lack the power to compell me to shut up, I would think even you
would know that

>
>> cut
>
>Run away,Marky.
>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > > There is absolutely a threat
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> we shall see
>> >> >
>> >> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
>> >> >
>> >> >> but I doubt it
>> >> >
>> >> >So you are lying about the whole thing.
>> >>
>> >> no but you need to learn to read
>> >
>> >Yes,liar.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
>> >> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on
>> >
>> >You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
>> >are on the latter.
>>
>> you lose
>
>Wrong, shit for brains.

No You lose

OTOH I lose from being forced to deal with such a piece of shit as
yourself

>
>>
>> and I will do what I can to se you lose as big as I can
>
>No, you can't suck my dick, Marky.

don't want to would never suck you anyway I only deal sexual with
adult humans

>
>> we will see what that ammounts to
>
>Indeed, filing a false report, tsk tsk, it doesn't look too good for
>you, Marky.

looks fine to me but then I have not filed any false report

>
>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > > > They could see that, I'm
>> >> >> > > >sure.
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > >> >
>> >> >> > > >> > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
>> >> >> > > >> > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection for
>> >> >> > > >> > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that any
>> >> >> > > >> > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and so
>> >> >> > > >> > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone may
>> >> >> > > >> > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
>> >> >> > > >> > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
>> >> >> > > >> > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
>> >> >> > > >> >
>> >> >> > > >> > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
>> >> >> > > >> > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
>> >> >> > > >> > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>> >> >> > > >> >
>> >> >> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
>> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
>> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> > > >No everybody thinks so.
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
>> >> >> > > seem to think so
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > Wrong, Marky.

>> >> >>
>> >> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
>> >> >
>> >> >No, it's true offline as well.
>> >>
>> >> not at all
>> >
>> >Liar.
>>
>> maybe but not about that
>
>You already admitted you are a liar.

yes but not about the subject you were referring to

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
>> >> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
>> >> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
>> >> >> is another matter
>> >> >
>> >> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
>> >>
>> >> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
>> >> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI
>> >
>> >You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
>> >and went out into a field of clues,Marky.
>>
>> I have plenty of them and getting everytime you and Whinny post
>
>You couldn't get a clue in a million years, stupid.

I will not be recieveing Clues in 1 or more millions years you are
right about that

>
>>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
>> >> >
>> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
>> >>
>> >> another lie N0VFP
>> >
>> >Another stupid Marky screw up!

>>
>> no screw up
>
>Your stupidity has no bounds, does it, Marky?

hmm it would have to exist to have bounds so I guess you got that one
right, after a fashion

>
>>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> >> when we catch up the FBI
>> >
>> >Post in English, Marky.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
>> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
>> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> > > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > Bullshit, pea brain.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
>> >> >
>> >> >No, retard. Learn to read.
>> >>
>> >> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
>> >> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster
>> >
>> >No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.
>>
>> I am right on target
>
>Afraid not, stupid.

right on target

but you are afraid you are very frightened of my mere existence
>
>> >

>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > > > you talking to
>> >> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Liar.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> at times but not there
>> >> >
>> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
>> >>
>> >> another lie N0VFP
>> >
>> >Liar.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> >> when we catch up the FBI
>> >
>> >
>> >So you admit you lied about the whole thing.
>>
>> when you make such a false statement you are the lair
>
>1) You admitted to being a liar.
>2) You lied and said you did something you didn't do.

we were tlaking about you

you made a statement that was not true

you calim to be able to read

therefore you know it was unture

therefore you are a liar

>
>> I am lying to you here and there which is prefectly and will not in
>> anyway undermine any arrest that may result
>
>So you think you are about to be arrested again, Marky?

no I don't

I know I am not going to be arrested, and certainly for nothing to do
with you your threats, or your hate filled fantascies

I have been arrested even charged over the years but none of those
ever even got past the Prelim hearing
>
>> cut
>
>Run, Marky, run!!!!!!!!!!
>
>> >>
>> >> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be
>> >
>> >I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?
>>
>> that I am not fond of cops, or of them as a general rule
>
>I wonder what the "FBI" will think of that.
>
>
>> all prefectly true statements
>
>It won't put you in solid with them, Marky.
>
>> cut
>
>Run away, Marky, you imbecile!
>
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > your point Mr Slit?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Was just proven by you, idiot.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
>> >> >> a point to begin with you can't prove a point
>> >> >
>> >> >What the hell are you babbling about, Marky? You are babbling in
>> >> >circles like a dog chasing it's own tail.
>> >>
>> >> learn to read
>> >>
>> >> learn to reason
>> >>
>> >> learn to use logic
>> >
>> >Follow your own advice.
>>
>> I have
>
>When? You have yet to show it in your 30-some years.

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3818. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:48:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130017693.877608.224160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121643

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > Heck, most people with computers don't write software,
> > they simply use
> > applications written by others.
>
> "Heck, most hams don't build radios, they simply use
> radios built by others."

Hardware vs. software, but right you are, Hans.

Of course some of us do build radios - and computers...

> > but in late 1962

TYPO!

1952

> > the FCC gave all ham operating priviliges to Generals and
> > above.

> No they didn't.

Yes, it was 1952. Actually, the announcement of the change
was in December of 1952 but didn't go into effect until February of
1953.

> Some privs (satelite stations, as at least one example) were
> reserved for Amateur Extras into the 70's.

I checked my 1962 copy of the ARRL License Manual, which has a reprint
of the entire FCC section on regulations for the amateur service, plus
selected parts of the Communications Act. There's no mention of special
privileges for Advanceds or Extras at all.
No specific mention of satellite or repeaters, either.

The rules changed in 1967 with the first phase of incentive licensing,
so maybe somewhere in there the verbiage about satellites got put in.

> But other than some isolated privs like that,
> General, Conditional, Advanced,
> and Extra all had very similar "full" privileges going back to > the early 50's.

Yep. February 1953 to November 1968.

> Disincentive licensing changed that in the late 60's.

November 22 1968 and again in 1969 - when Generals, Conditionals and Advanceds lost access to parts of some bands.

I remember well - I'd just earned the Advanced in the summer of 1968, had full privilges for a few weeks, and then they were gone.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3819. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:51:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <imcll192e9hkvgfftjdlodvhv1p42na34b@4ax.com>
Source record: 121645

On 22 Oct 2005 14:32:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
>> >> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
>> >> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
>> >
>> >WTF are you saying, stupid?
>>
>> read it and find out
>
>If CIA crytographers read it they couldn't figure out what you were
>trying to say,

you gravely under estimate the CIA littleboy

> you illiterate son of a bitch.
>
>> >
>> >> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
>> >> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
>> >
>> >Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."
>>
>> no I am not part of the FBI
>
>Just on their most watched list.

not that I know but then I would not know of it, but prehaps I am on
such a list but I doubt it. I am not anyway near important enough to
merit such attention

perhaps you are on that list, but for now I doubt it

>
>> >
>> >> I don't care however
>> >
>> >Then why are you going on and on about it?
>> >
>> >>

>> >> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
>> >> trail leads
>> >
>> >Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
>> >
>> >> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
>> >>
>> >> the same for AB8MB
>> >>
>> >> the same K4YZ
>> >
>> >Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.
>>
>> for what?
>>
>> the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots
>
>For your defamation, Marky.

deflamtion?

what are you talking about?

It is a plain fact that folks mentioned have facts involved in such an
investagation

that is no defamation

that I Believe K4YZ is guilty of actionable crimes might be
defamation, but it is true statement that I have made before

>
>> >
>> >> with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for
>> >> wnat of a better word)
>> >
>> >Wtf are you on, Marky?
>>
>> nothing for you to worry about after all I am lying according to you
>> about taking any action with the FBI
>
>That's right. Nice of you to admit it. I'm not worried, dumbass.

I know you are not worried and indeed I think you are safe, becuase
you I think are nuts enough to be safe from any criminal charge
>
>> >
>> >> like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman
>> >> angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing
>> >> with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this
>> >> little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it
>> >> seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP

>> >
>> >You were the drama queen, weren't you?
>>
>> nope just an unwilling player
>
>According to the Google archives, you've been the "bend-over" boy for
>years and years.

just an unwilling player in what ever sick game you are playing

>
>> it seem you are trying to direct this little play while trying to man
>> behind the curtain (like the fraud in the Wizard of OZ)
>
>I bet you wish you could wear Judy Garland's clothes, don't you,
>faggot?

you lose again

>If I am the director then CUT! "You're FIRED!"

yu mean I was supposed to paid for taking your bullshit, then fine
I'll take my 2 week severance

>
>> >
>> >> I will report it, but with roughly the same confience I reported the
>> >> fact that someone has Id Mr Slit as N0VFP, which is not very seriously
>> >> but the best I have
>> >
>> >The best you have is you can get up in the morning.
>> I can't do better than that altough I rarely getu p in the morning
>
>It shows, stupid.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3820. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:57:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <A9ydnQw7qv5NKMfeRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121646

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>><mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>
> cutns?
>
>>>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I do find
>>>>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the ones
>>>>telling people to keep their mouth shut.
>>>
>>>
>>>yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of rudeness
>>>
>>>Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
>>>infringing on their own
>>
>> So I guess what you are saying is that your cause is so righteous that
>>you are justified in squelching the other side?
>
>
> no effort to squech it has been made. he has the right to ignore me if
> he likes, as do you of course, and I have the right to tell to shut
> up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor or impling that Len is doing
> something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit
>
> How many hunderd question does Jim need to ask before he reconizes
> that Len is not required to answer him
>
> or when wil Jim realize there has not been a grand inquistitor

> apponointed eith for Ham radio or RRAP

People that tell other people to shut up are acting like grand inquisitors.

A lot of people on the no-code side don;t like Jim because he generally
keeps his cool, and puts forth pretty good arguments.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3821. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 21:59:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130018392.413839.279670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

LRod wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 11:10:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> N9OGL wrote:
> >> > Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
> >> >
> >> > Todd N9OGL
> >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> >> > 14.321.00 MHz.
> >> >
> >>
> >> You're right, with any luck we may see K1MAN in jail. Wouldn't that be nice.
> >
> >on what crime?
>
> Aggravated mopery with intent to gawk.

not an FCC matter and not something he even stands accused of as far I
know
>
> RIP Mike Royko.
>
> --
> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>
> Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

3822. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:03:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130018608.428167.267140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121648

Mike Coslo wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
> > <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >>><mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> > > cutns?
> >
> >>>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I do find
> >>>>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the ones
> >>>>telling people to keep their mouth shut.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of rudeness
> >>>
> >>>Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
> >>>infringing on their own
> >>
> >> So I guess what you are saying is that your cause is so righteous that
> >>you are justified in squelching the other side?
> >
> >
> > no effort to squech it has been made. he has the right to ignore me if
> > he likes, as do you of course, and I have the right to tell to shut
> > up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor or impling that Len is doing
> > something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit
> >
> > How many hunderd question does Jim need to ask before he reconizes
> > that Len is not required to answer him
> >

> > or when wil Jim realize there has not been a grand inquistitor
> > apponointed eith for Ham radio or RRAP
>
> People that tell other people to shut up are acting like grand inquisitors.

wrong again

Inquistors intoragte they want the target to talk

learn english

>
> A lot of people on the no-code side don;t like Jim because he generally
> keeps his cool, and puts forth pretty good arguments.

wrong again we don't like Jim becuase he beats daed horses into
unreconizable plup and then gooes right on beating them

he does keep his cool beter than say Stevie (but then so does an active
volcano)

Jim is the best on his side but he goes to far in making aever post a
recitation of 50-100 years of history, told from his point of view only

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3823. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:05:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130018740.092708.126590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Ernie wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> > news:A-OdnWMd6PedpMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
cut
> >
> > Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
> > MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes you
> > think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to make
> > any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
> > APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL RESPONSE
> > TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS GOING TO
> > TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!
>
>
> And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make up
> the emergency network, eh?

guess you did not the news about text messages and such during and
after Katrina

a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
network so at text will get through no matter what
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3824. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:09:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130018965.814424.146980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121650

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
cut

> I remember well - I'd just earned the Advanced in the summer of 1968,
> had full privilges for a few weeks, and then they were gone.

and you are still taking no chance that the rest of us will know you
were cheated back then

I agree you were cheated and the ARRL with the FCC fucked up and realy
screwed Ham radio, and ham

could we please move on to say this century sometime
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3825. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:17:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HTy6f.19790$q1.14461@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121651

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LIe6f.23$RI1.16@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Ah the memories.....Yankee Clipper, Colvins, etc.
>>
>
> Unlike Romeo and Don, the Colvins actually WERE where they said they were.
>
>
>

I heard/read/dreamed that Don Miller was busted and went to jail for other
than ham related stuff. True anyone?

Dan/W4NTI

3826. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:17:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JTy6f.19791$q1.12882@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The future of the National Traffic System
> http://www.eham.net/articles/12198
>
> [snip]
> For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS)
> consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region, and
> area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's
> the
> second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some of
> the
> best operators in the world as its membership.
>
> The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the
> role
> that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a
> single
> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
> would
> have been buzzing with activity.
> [snip]
>
>
Tom,

I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any traffic,
if none is sent to it. I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.

I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON decided
that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF Telephone
network between shelters that is.

Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.

Dan/W4NTI

3827. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:17:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <LTy6f.19792$q1.9276@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:LpqdnUnr4fUD_MfenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> TOM wrote:
>> I did not hear a single
>> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
>> would
>> have been buzzing with activity.
>> [snip]
>
> Try turning your receiver on next time, I heard lots of H&W traffic during
> Katrina and Rita.

I think he will respond with "not on the National Traffic System". And he
would be right. I heard lots of "traffic" on the designated emergency nets.
Although most of that was not formatted properly (as in NTS format).

Dan/W4NTI

3828. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:17:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MTy6f.19793$q1.11712@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"20 Meter Kookenschlong" <boinkboink@14313.com> wrote in message
news:1f6b0$435a7abf$d1cc7ce4$4217@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:8K46f.473118$5N3.307643@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> To continue the thread...
>>
>> 'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
>> potatoes watch TV...
>
> so...?
>
> WTF else is new with the price of tea in China...?
>
> Heck, I was just listening to the HWN on 14.325 and
> some jerk was on there playing music!
>
> So much for ham radio being a public service asset.
>
That's right....because one drunken goofball plays music...ALL of ham radio
is a failure. You don't pull jury duty do you?

Dan/W4NTI

3829. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:17:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:MqCdnXl_vqwPOcfeRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
> Ernie wrote:
>> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>> news:A-OdnWMd6PedpMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>TOM wrote:
>>>
>>>>To continue the thread...
>>>>
>>>>'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
>>>>potatoes watch TV...
>>>>
>>>>http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
>>>>
>>>>[snip]
>>>>HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane
>>
>> Katrina,
>>
>>>>it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of
>>>>life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
>>>>electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were
>>
>> unable
>>
>>>>to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
>>>>
>>>>What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
>>>>
>>>>"Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says
>>
>> Eric
>>
>>>>Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are
>>
>> millions
>>
>>>>of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public
>>
>> for
>>
>>>>camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio

>>>>operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
>>>>communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received
>>
>> by
>>
>>>>the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in
>>
>> this
>>
>>>>emergency communication strategy."
>>>>
>>>>Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is
>>>>that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It
>>>>could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware
>>
>> to
>>
>>>>listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
>>>>national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
>>>>[snip]
>>>>
>>>>So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national
>>>>frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur
>>
>> community---lets
>>
>>>>see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.
>>>>
>>>>TOM
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>You obviously have a reading comprehension problem. Notice it states
>>>that the hams will listen for emergency traffic on the FRS frequencies
>>>and FRS will be the link to the ham radio network.
>>
>>
>> Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
>> MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes you
>> think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to make
>> any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
>> APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL
>> RESPONSE
>> TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS GOING
>> TO
>> TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!
>
>
> And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make up the
> emergency network, eh?

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Amazing how some minds can get things so out of phase, isn't it? hi.

I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past the end of their block? Oh with that useless ham stuff? On Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh I get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.

One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

3830. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:25:48 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <30021$435abc55$4fc62d5$13289@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"If you want social change in this country, if you want the homos shoved back in the closet, if you want the border with Mexico sealed, if you want the illegal aliens rounded up and deported out of the country, if you want the niggers and the spics to stop beating up, raping, robbing white people; well, guess what folks, it's going to take violence. ... That's the reality. It is going to take violence." Hal Turner

http://www.splcenter.org/intel/intelreport/article.jsp?aid=559

Ace - WH2T

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1129638834.793306.256970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
>> for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
>> not a matter of public policy
>>
>> it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
>
> STFU, child abuser.
>

3831. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:32:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <b9WdnR4HFvVCIMfeRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
> network so at text will get through no matter what

Unrelated.

3832. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:38:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <hmfll1h27vf7dv02f6ldi29joqatp95kqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 121658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 18:32:01 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>> network so at text will get through no matter what
>
> Unrelated.

liar

to restore yourown words " And millions more cell phone users.
Let the cell phone users make up the emergency network, eh?"

Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
network

certainly one more acceable to general public than Ham radio

this fact is one we need to consider if we wnat to surive

but then you like the procoders in general do have your heads in the
sand

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3833. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:43:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4sfll1h3dg9nccpo621ghc80cb1nj89bq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 121659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:17:13 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> The future of the National Traffic System
>> http://www.eham.net/articles/12198
>>
>> [snip]
>> For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS)
>> consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region, and
>> area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's
>> the
>> second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some of
>> the
>> best operators in the world as its membership.
>>
>> The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the
>> role
>> that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a
>> single
>> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
>> would
>> have been buzzing with activity.
>> [snip]
>>
>>
>Tom,
>
>I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any traffic,
>if none is sent to it.

meaning you are greing with me and Tom

why is it ham hating if you say and ham hating if I do

you also make my point no vital traffic can be passed by ham radio if
it was not sent to ham radio

> I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
>handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.

which brings you to stating the same thing I was
>

>I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON decided
>that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF Telephone
>network between shelters that is.

which is a dangerous state of affairs for one of the supports that we
use in our spectrum battles

but you were unwilling to even discuss such things

>
>Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.

maybe we were maybe we were not, but it seems whatever the truth were
not seen as ready willing and able, and the preception of the served
agencies is more important than the reality
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3834. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 22:54:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <87gll1pv5lel1sgdl7s1qu9nf9e6kj4epv@4ax.com>
Source record: 121660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 17:25:48 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>"If you want social change in this country, if you want the homos shoved
>back in the closet, if you want the border with Mexico sealed, if you want
>the illegal aliens rounded up and deported out of the country, if you want
>the niggers and the spics to stop beating up, raping, robbing white people;
>well, guess what folks, it's going to take violence. ... That's the reality.
>It is going to take violence." Hal Turner

within carefull limits I agree with the man, that is if you have his
goals his methods are the only ones in the end. I do not expouse his
goals but I had long ago come to same conclusion that the only for
people with his gaols to suceed are his methods

I also comend his honesty in stating his views

If you want the BLGT's folks back in the closet it will require
violence.

same with Ilegal alien out of the country dito

i think his sugestion that violence is going to help iteracial crime
is incorrect unless he is describing/advcating genocide of the racila
minorities, I believe that some faction within every minority will
advocate violence (and with in the whites as well)

the only way to produce anything homogenized wether milk or this
country would require force. The rsult of such effort can be seen in
teis History of NAZI Germany the USSR red china, and others, but even
the most extreme uses of force have always failed to produce the
desired result (desired by the enforcers)

however this sort of discussion is not radio related and better served
else where
>
>
>
>http://www.splcenter.org/intel/intelreport/article.jsp?aid=559
>
>
>
>Ace - WH2T

do you expouse his goals Ace
>

>
>
>
>
><whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1129638834.793306.256970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>> while I will agree with certain religous veiw points it is a problem
>>> for someone if they are Bi or gay and hold these view points, that is
>>> not a matter of public policy
>>>
>>> it does show the lack of sense process by some memeber of the ARS
>>
>> STFU, child abuser.
>>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3835. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 23:22:20 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <81453$435ac991$4fc65b5$13514@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

SATERN = SALVATION ARMY TEAM EMERGENCY RADIO NETWORK

http://www.satern.org/

What We Do
Emergency communications etc. etc.

LAT expos&#65533;: "The Red Cross money pit" - The TRUTH at last!!!
http://www.dailykos.com/story/2005/9/25/95628/8554

It has always been my personal opinion that the Salvation Army is a MUCH
better organization than the Red Cross / Red Crescent . Organizations like
the Red Cross / Red Crescent have enormous overhead, including high-paid
executives and posh offices.

Ace - WH2T

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:JTy6f.19791$q1.12882@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> The future of the National Traffic System
>> http://www.eham.net/articles/12198
>>
>> [snip]
>> For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS)
>> consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region, and
>> area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's
>> the
>> second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some of
>> the
>> best operators in the world as its membership.
>>
>> The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the
>> role
>> that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a
>> single
>> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
>> would
>> have been buzzing with activity.
>> [snip]
>>

>>
> Tom,
>
> I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any traffic,
> if none is sent to it. I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
> handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>
> I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
> decided that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF
> Telephone network between shelters that is.
>
> Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

3836. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 23:24:55 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PdCdnVFqOPIZV8feRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 121662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Please reset your calendar ;-)

N9OGL wrote:
>>Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!
>
>
> Well K1MAN License has expired, but it's still in the FCC database as
> being GLENN BAXTER K1MAN
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>

3837. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 23:39:49 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <85A6f.14693$1X1.8984@fe12.lga>
Source record: 121663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 14:33:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 09:56:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>><i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>>>>>repsects honesty
>>>>>
>>>>>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>>>>>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
>>>>And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
>>>>realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.
>>>
>>>well it does serve as final and complete proof
>>
>>Of what, that you are an idiot?
>
>
> nope wrong again that Stevie has guts lots of guts guess you were not
> reading

>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
hey gents, could you please keep your flamefests to the amateur ng.
there is enough crap going on in the cb ng without having to scroll
through your nonsense. thanx, jim.

3838. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-22 23:43:32 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dc498acc51bc3b39896d0@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 121664
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1129970452.489970.290430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
> So.....
> 1. Restore the 12 WPM Morse test around the world.
> 2. Cancel _ALL_ licences where the licensee has not
> passed a 12 WPM Morse Test.
>

What is your FISTS number ???

3839. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 00:18:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cp-dnXFa4_95S8feRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:MqCdnXl_vqwPOcfeRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
>
>>Ernie wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>>>news:A-OdnWMd6PedpMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>TOM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>To continue the thread...
>>>>>
>>>>>'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
>>>>>potatoes watch TV...
>>>>>
>>>>>http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
>>>>>
>>>>>[snip]
>>>>>HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane
>>>
>>>Katrina,
>>>
>>>
>>>>it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of
>>>>life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
>>>>electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were
>>>
>>>unable
>>>
>>>
>>>>to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
>>>>
>>>>What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
>>>>
>>>>"Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says
>>>
>>>Eric
>>>
>>>
>>>>Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are

>>>
>>>millions
>>>
>>>
>>>>>of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public
>>>
>>>for
>>>
>>>
>>>>>camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio
>>>>>operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
>>>>>communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received
>>>
>>>by
>>>
>>>
>>>>>the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in
>>>
>>>this
>>>
>>>
>>>>>emergency communication strategy."
>>>>>
>>>>>Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is
>>>>>that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It
>>>>>could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware
>>>
>>>to
>>>
>>>
>>>>>listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
>>>>>national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
>>>>>[snip]
>>>>>
>>>>>So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national
>>>>>frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur
>>>
>>>community---lets
>>>
>>>
>>>>>see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.
>>>>>
>>>>>TOM
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You obviously have a reading comprehension problem. Notice it states
>>>>that the hams will listen for emergency traffic on the FRS frequencies
>>>>and FRS will be the link to the ham radio network.
>>>

>>>
>>>Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
>>>MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes you
>>>think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to make
>>>any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
>>>APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL
>>>RESPONSE
>>>TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS GOING
>>>TO
>>>TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!
>>
>>
>>And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make up the
>>emergency network, eh?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Amazing how some minds can get things so out of phase, isn't it? hi.
>
> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past the end
> of their block?

I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.

> Oh with that useless ham stuff? On Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh I
> get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then
> carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.
>
> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.

Just like trying to polish a turd.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3840. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 00:22:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Gsidnf_MF9dKSsfeRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 18:32:01 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>>>spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>>>network so at text will get through no matter what
>>
>> Unrelated.
>
>
> liar

You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion,
and you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.

Good for you, Mr Morgan. Not much point in having a discussion with ya.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3841. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 00:26:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pv6dnRzgGNhTRcfeRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace wrote:
> SATERN = SALVATION ARMY TEAM EMERGENCY RADIO NETWORK

Yup, I listened to SATERN a good bit during the crisis.

> http://www.satern.org/
>
> What We Do
> Emergency communications etc. etc.
> ----------
> LAT exposï¿½: "The Red Cross money pit" - The TRUTH at last!!!
> http://www.dailykos.com/story/2005/9/25/95628/8554
>
> ----------
>
> It has always been my personal opinion that the Salvation Army is a MUCH
> better organization than the Red Cross / Red Crescent.

Yup.

Organizations like
> the Red Cross / Red Crescent have enormous overhead, including high-paid
> executives and posh offices.

The Red Cross also had other ideas on what to do with the money donated
for the 9/11 attack victims and families.

Nice folks.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3842. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 00:28:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130027298.752579.58470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121668

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>>>grow up

> >>>What does that mean in this context?

> >>in this context it means either do your own countor shut up

> > Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
> > questions?
>
> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude.

Some are. Others aren't. Same for NCTAs. Plenty of good and
bad examples on both sides.

> I do find
> in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested
> others are the ones
> telling people to keep their mouth shut.

I think it's a lot more accurate to cite specific
instances and individuals rather than make sweeping
generalizations.

For example, there's Len's classic "feldwebel post", where he
told K8MN to "shut the hell up". Now Mark is saying that I
should either do my own analysis of the comments or shut up,
rather than ask any questions about Len's count.

Are any of my questions about Len's 'scorecard' unreasonable?

> Ya notice that too, Jim?
>
I notice that Mark objects to my questions about Len's 'scorecard', but
has no complaints about
Len's behavior here. Or his own...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3843. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 00:45:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <r6nll15kk69du4fc90mc5k44akf443egqr@4ax.com>
Source record: 121669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 20:22:50 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 18:32:01 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>>>>spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>>>>network so at text will get through no matter what
>>>
>>> Unrelated.
>>
>>
>> liar
>
>
> You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion,

you did not voice an opinion

you falsely stated that my post was unrelated

>and you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.
>
> Good for you, Mr Morgan. Not much point in having a discussion with ya.

you were obviously never interested in one
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3844. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 00:50:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <janll1l0m85ldg7mmpbusat2c3mc6n1dm4@4ax.com>
Source record: 121670

On 22 Oct 2005 17:28:18 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> >>>>grow up
>
>> >>>What does that mean in this context?
>
>> >>in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>
>> > Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>> > questions?
>>
>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude.
>
>Some are. Others aren't. Same for NCTAs. Plenty of good and
>bad examples on both sides.
>
>> I do find
>> in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested
>> others are the ones
>> telling people to keep their mouth shut.
>
>I think it's a lot more accurate to cite specific
>instances and individuals rather than make sweeping
>generalizations.
>
>For example, there's Len's classic "feldwebel post", where he
>told K8MN to "shut the hell up". Now Mark is saying that I
>should either do my own analysis of the comments or shut up,
>rather than ask any questions about Len's count.

i ask you to stop beat a dead horse
>
>Are any of my questions about Len's 'scorecard' unreasonable?

yes all of them and it is unreasonable to ask the volume of them
>
>> Ya notice that too, Jim?
>>
>I notice that Mark objects to my questions about Len's 'scorecard', but

>has no complaints about
>Len's behavior here.
you have not been reading evry closely

I offer my rebukes to Len and Brain and Farnk even Bill Sohl

it is logical to expcet that I am going to agree more with folks that hold my own views the more views we have in common the less likely we are to disagree

>Or his own...
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3845. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 00:52:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ejnll11hc294frqkem2h44tb4rcksluu96@4ax.com>
Source record: 121671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 19:39:49 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 14:33:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 09:56:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>><i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><snip>
>>>>>
>>>>>>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>>>>>>repsects honesty
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>>>>>>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
>>>>>And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
>>>>>realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><snip>
>>>>>
>>>>>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.
>>>>
>>>>well it does serve as final and complete proof
>>>
>>>Of what, that you are an idiot?
>>

>>
>> nope wrong again that Stevie has guts lots of guts guess you were not
>> reading
>>
>> _____________________________________
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 140,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>hey gents, could you please keep your flamefests to the amateur ng.
>there is enough crap going on in the cb ng without having to scroll
>through your nonsense. thanx, jim.

you need to address you remark to K4YZ given the naure of the rethoric
elswhere I am not going to spend my time answering K4YZ's charges of
censorship at any change to" his" words or threads

that or inproveing your contol over your newreader is my best advice

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3846. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:37:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hPB6f.18628$QE1.21@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121672

Everyone is wrong...wrong wrong again...Except the jerkoff Markie. Damn boy, wake up and smell the roses.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1130018608.428167.267140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> > <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >>><mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>> cutns?
>> >>>
>> >>>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I do
>> >>>> find
>> >>>>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the
>> >>>>ones
>> >>>>telling people to keep their mouth shut.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of rudeness
>> >>>
>> >>>Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
>> >>>infringing on their own
>> >>
>> >> So I guess what you are saying is that your cause is so righteous that
>> >>you are justified in squelching the other side?
>> >

>> >
>> > no effort to squech it has been made. he has the right to ignore me if
>> > he likes, as do you of course, and I have the right to tell to shut
>> > up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor or impling that Len is doing
>> > something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit
>> >
>> > How many hunderd question does Jim need to ask before he reconizes
>> > that Len is not required to answer him
>> >
>> > or when wil Jim realize there has not been a grand inquistitor
>> > apponointed eith for Ham radio or RRAP
>>
>> People that tell other people to shut up are acting like grand
>> inquisitors.
>
> wrong again
>
> Inquistors intoragte they want the target to talk
>
> learn english
>
>>
>> A lot of people on the no-code side don;t like Jim because he generally
>> keeps his cool, and puts forth pretty good arguments.
>
> wrong again we don't like Jim becuase he beats daed horses into
> unreconizable plup and then gooes right on beating them
>
> he does keep his cool beter than say Stevie (but then so does an active
> volcano)
>
> Jim is the best on his side but he goes to far in making aever post a
> recitation of 50-100 years of history, told from his point of view only
>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

3847. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:38:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <g8qll1plcpvpgeo823h01iaa5h3kuobdho@4ax.com>
Source record: 121674

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 01:37:17 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>Everyone is wrong...wrong wrong again...Except the jerkoff Markie. Damn
>boy, wake up and smell the roses.

when someome lies about what I said I call em you too if needed

and you are a self confessed hate filled bigot who claims anyone he
does like saying the same things as he does hates Ham radio
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

but then you are such an easy target

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3848. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:39:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <CRB6f.18631$QE1.7320@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121673

wrong wrong....every body is wrong except me me Im right....your
wrong...I'm right.

Markie the moron

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:janll1l0m85ldg7mmpbusat2c3mc6n1dm4@4ax.com...
> On 22 Oct 2005 17:28:18 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >>On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>> >>>>grow up
>>
>>> >>>What does that mean in this context?
>>
>>> >>in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>>
>>> > Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>>> > questions?
>>>
>>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude.
>>
>>Some are. Others aren't. Same for NCTAs. Plenty of good and
>>bad examples on both sides.
>>
>>> I do find
>>> in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested
>>> others are the ones
>>> telling people to keep their mouth shut.
>>
>>I think it's a lot more accurate to cite specific
>>instances and individuals rather than make sweeping
>>generalizations.
>>
>>For example, there's Len's classic "feldwebel post", where he
>>told K8MN to "shut the hell up". Now Mark is saying that I
>>should either do my own analysis of the comments or shut up,
>>rather than ask any questions about Len's count.
>
> i ask you to stop beat a dead horse
>>

>>Are any of my questions about Len's 'scorecard' unreasonable?
>
> yes all of them and it is unreasonable to ask the volume of them
>>
>>> Ya notice that too, Jim?
>>>
>>I notice that Mark objects to my questions about Len's 'scorecard', but
>>has no complaints about
>>Len's behavior here.
> you have not been reading evry closely
>
> I offer my rebukes to Len and Brain and Farnk even Bill Sohl
>
> it is logical to expcet that I am going to agree more with folks that
> hold my own views the more views we have in common the less likely we
> are to disagree
>
>>Or his own...
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3849. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:40:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <deqll1dd4tbe6phrjkpdk1sbb5vsgc5r40@4ax.com>
Source record: 121677

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 01:39:46 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>wrong wrong....every body is wrong except me me Im right....your
>wrong...I'm right.
>
yea you say that a lot Dan it does not make it true

when you say something it is ok someone else say the same thing they
hate Ham radio

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3850. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:41:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <2iqll1dd5o5odkqqg9s83faofgae6d62qd@4ax.com>
Source record: 121678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 01:41:53 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>I don't talk with brain damaged idiots. I just comment about their dumb ass
>comments.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
just brunign up with hate tonight I see Dan

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3851. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:41:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <BTB6f.18632$QE1.9719@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't talk with brain damaged idiots. I just comment about their dumb ass comments.

Dan/W4NTI

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4sfll1h3dg9nccpo621ghc80cb1nj89bq6@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:17:13 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>>news:Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> The future of the National Traffic System
>>> http://www.eham.net/articles/12198
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>> For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS)
>>> consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region, and
>>> area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's
>>> the
>>> second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some of
>>> the
>>> best operators in the world as its membership.
>>>
>>> The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the
>>> role
>>> that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a
>>> single
>>> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
>>> would
>>> have been buzzing with activity.
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>>
>>Tom,
>>
>>I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any traffic,
>>if none is sent to it.
>
> meaning you are greing with me and Tom
>
> why is it ham hating if you say and ham hating if I do
>

> you also make my point no vital traffic can be passed by ham radio if
> it was not sent to ham radio
>
>> I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
>>handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>
> which brings you to stating the same thing I was
>>
>>I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
>>decided
>>that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF Telephone
>>network between shelters that is.
>
> which is a dangerous state of affairs for one of the supports that we
> use in our spectrum battles
>
> but you were unwilling to even discuss such things
>
>>
>>Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>
> maybe we were maybe we were not, but it seems whatever the truth were
> not seen as ready willing and able, and the preception of the served
> agencies is more important than the reality
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3852. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:42:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vUB6f.18633$QE1.9131@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You got that right Dr. Ace. I've been a SATERN member for a while now. Did some work up on 14.265. Great group of folks.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:81453$435ac991$4fc65b5$13514@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> SATERN = SALVATION ARMY TEAM EMERGENCY RADIO NETWORK
>
> http://www.satern.org/
>
> What We Do
> Emergency communications etc. etc.
> ----------
> LAT expos&#65533;: "The Red Cross money pit" - The TRUTH at last!!!
> http://www.dailykos.com/story/2005/9/25/95628/8554
>
> ----------
>
> It has always been my personal opinion that the Salvation Army is a
> MUCH better organization than the Red Cross / Red Crescent . Organizations
> like the Red Cross / Red Crescent have enormous overhead, including
> high-paid executives and posh offices.
>
> Ace - WH2T
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:JTy6f.19791$q1.12882@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> The future of the National Traffic System
>>> http://www.eham.net/articles/12198
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>> For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS)
>>> consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region, and
>>> area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's
>>> the
>>> second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some of
>>> the

>>> best operators in the world as its membership.
>>>
>>> The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the
>>> role
>>> that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a
>>> single
>>> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
>>> would
>>> have been buzzing with activity.
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>>
>> Tom,
>>
>> I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any
>> traffic, if none is sent to it. I had the exact same complaint. I did
>> manage to handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>>
>> I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
>> decided that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF
>> Telephone network between shelters that is.
>>
>> Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

3853. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:45:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kXB6f.18634$QE1.1959@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LOL....thats good Mike.

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:cp-dnXFa4_95S8feRVn-vA@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:MqCdnXl_vqwPOcfeRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>Ernie wrote:
>>>
>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>>>>news:A-OdnWMd6PedpMTeRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>TOM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>To continue the thread...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
>>>>>>potatoes watch TV...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>[snip]
>>>>>>HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane
>>>>
>>>>Katrina,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss
>>>>>>of
>>>>>>life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
>>>>>>electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were
>>>>
>>>>unable
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
>>>>>>

>>>>>>"Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says
>>>>
>>>>Eric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are
>>>>
>>>>millions
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public
>>>>
>>>>for
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio
>>>>>>operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
>>>>>>communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily
>>>>>>received
>>>>
>>>>by
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in
>>>>
>>>>this
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>emergency communication strategy."
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network
>>>>>>is
>>>>>>that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever.
>>>>>>It
>>>>>>could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made
>>>>>>aware
>>>>
>>>>to
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
>>>>>>national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
>>>>>>[snip]
>>>>>>
>>>>>>So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national
>>>>>>frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur
>>>>
>>>>community---lets
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>>see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>TOM
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You obviously have a reading comprehension problem. Notice it states
>>>>>that the hams will listen for emergency traffic on the FRS frequencies
>>>>>and FRS will be the link to the ham radio network.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
>>>>MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes
>>>>you
>>>>think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to
>>>>make
>>>>any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
>>>>APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL
>>>>RESPONSE
>>>>TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS GOING
>>>>TO
>>>>TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!
>>>
>>>
>>>And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make up the
>>>emergency network, eh?
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> Amazing how some minds can get things so out of phase, isn't it? hi.
>>
>> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past the
>> end of their block?
>
> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block, then
> person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on. Be just
> like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL. They will
> need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>
>
>
>> Oh with that useless ham stuff? On Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh I get it, FRS
>> and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then carrier pigeon
>> will take care of the long haul stuff.
>>
>> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.
>
> Just like trying to polish a turd.....
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

3854. The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:46:35 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <lloyd.lives.under.wogers.bed@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 22 Oct 2005 14:19:41 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
:
: No, Davies is the Welsh Jew, racist Ray. http://www.houseofnames.com
: proved that.
: It also proved Wiseman is English/German. But you never do get it
: right. Just like you thought the Marshall County Alabama ARES web site
: was the one for Marshall County, WV.

So Wiseman isn't a Jewish name? Ron Wiseman is a dastardly liar for
pretending to be Jewish, isn't he? [http://jewishreggae.bizland.com/]

It's all a conspiracy by Lloyd in the final analysis. It always is.

3855. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:46:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5YB6f.18636$QE1.15771@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:b9WdnR4HFvVCIMfeRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>> network so at text will get through no matter what
>
> Unrelated.

Ignorant, and ridiculous. Typical Markie.

Dan/W4NTI

3856. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:48:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <sZB6f.18637$QE1.13768@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:hmfll1h27vf7dv02f6ldi29joqatp95kqd@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 18:32:01 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>>> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>>> network so at text will get through no matter what
>>
>> Unrelated.
>
> liar
>
> to restore yourown words " And millions more cell phone users.
> Let the cell phone users make up the emergency network, eh?"
>
> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
> network
>
> certainly one more acceable to general public than Ham radio
>
> this fact is one we need to consider if we wnat to surive
>
> but then you like the procoders in general do have your heads in the
> sand
>
>

Everybody is WRONG, I am right. My name is MARKIE. And if you not wrong
then you are a LIAR. My name is MARKIE. A world class goof.

Dan/W4NTI
(Markie made me do it)_____

3857. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:48:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130032115.630397.217230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:LpqdnUnr4fUD_MfenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> > TOM wrote:
> >> I did not hear a single
> >> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
> >> would
> >> have been buzzing with activity.
> >> [snip]
> >
> > Try turning your receiver on next time, I heard lots of H&W traffic during
> > Katrina and Rita.
>
> I think he will respond with "not on the National Traffic System". And he
> would be right. I heard lots of "traffic" on the designated emergency nets.
> Although most of that was not formatted properly (as in NTS format).

who peed in your cherrois tonight Dan?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3858. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:48:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <f_B6f.18638$QE1.18424@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Everybody is a LIAR and everybody is WRONG. My name is Markie.

Dan/W4NTI

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:r6nll15kk69du4fc90mc5k44akf443egqr@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 20:22:50 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 18:32:01 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>>>>>spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>>>>>network so at text will get through no matter what
>>>>
>>>> Unrelated.
>>>
>>>
>>> liar
>>
>>
>> You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion,
>
> you did not voice an opinion
>
> you falsely stated that my post was unrelated
>
>>and you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.
>>
>> Good for you, Mr Morgan. Not much point in having a discussion with ya.
>
> you were obviously never interested in one
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
> _____

> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3859. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:50:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <e%B6f.18639$QE1.7394@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121685

My oh MY....he agrees. Must be on his meds finally.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130018965.814424.146980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K�HB wrote:
cut

> I remember well - I'd just earned the Advanced in the summer of 1968,
> had full privilges for a few weeks, and then they were gone.

and you are still taking no chance that the rest of us will know you
were cheated back then

I agree you were cheated and the ARRL with the FCC fucked up and realy
screwed Ham radio, and ham

could we please move on to say this century sometime
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3860. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 01:51:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130032281.684581.232610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:b9WdnR4HFvVCIMfeRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
> >> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
> >> network so at text will get through no matter what
> >
> > Unrelated.
>
> Ignorant, and ridiculous. Typical Markie.

typical Danny boy no content just dismiss anything outside his horizion

the cell phone kept carry text message though out Katrina and the after
math recharging cell phone batteries became a challange but the are
ways of course

but then Danny has never wanted to take part in any discussion where he
does set the ground rules one of which is that everyone must agree in
adavnce to agree with him
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3861. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 02:11:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130033473.569315.17330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121687

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> My oh MY....he agrees. Must be on his meds finally.

more of your hate filled bile

who peed in your cheerios Dany boy?

I have always agreed that Jim and other got screwed back then

but (he and others) are still whining about it after 37 years

OTOH some good news it seems the last of the whing about how CB band
freqs were stolen from the ARS seem to be finaly over (but with the
last 5 years
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130018965.814424.146980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> cut
>
>
> > I remember well - I'd just earned the Advanced in the summer of 1968,
> > had full privilges for a few weeks, and then they were gone.
>
> and you are still taking no chance that the rest of us will know you
> were cheated back then
>
> I agree you were cheated and the ARRL with the FCC fucked up and realy
> screwed Ham radio, and ham
>
> could we please move on to say this century sometime
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

3862. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 02:13:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130033636.768285.32210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 14:19:41 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
> :
> : No, Davies is the Welsh Jew, racist Ray. http://www.houseofnames.com
> : proved that.
> : It also proved Wiseman is English/German. But you never do get it
> : right. Just like you thought the Marshall County Alabama ARES web site
> : was the one for Marshall County, WV.
>
> So Wiseman isn't a Jewish name? Ron Wiseman is a dastardly liar for
> pretending to be Jewish, isn't he? [http://jewishreggae.bizland.com/]
>
> It's all a conspiracy by Lloyd in the final analysis. It always is.

but who is conspiracing against whom It looks like Llyod is conspiring
against Lloyd

3863. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 02:18:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130033901.422481.160280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121689

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:LIe6f.23$Rl1.16@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Ah the memories......Yankee Clipper, Colvins, etc.
> >>
> >
> > Unlike Romeo and Don, the Colvins actually WERE where they said they were.
> >
> >
> >
>
> I heard/read/dreamed that Don Miller was busted and went to jail for other
> than ham related stuff. True anyone?

I have resisted comenting on this one for more than ahour after I read
it

but you must be nuts asking if wether you remeber having a dream is
true

to suggest I am barin damaged after that is rich
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3864. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 02:28:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v9idnRRGaNHDaMfeRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121690

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:LIe6f.23$Rl1.16@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>Ah the memories......Yankee Clipper, Colvins, etc.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Unlike Romeo and Don, the Colvins actually WERE where they said they were.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>I heard/read/dreamed that Don Miller was busted and went to jail for other
>>than ham related stuff. True anyone?
>
>
> I have resisted comenting on this one for more than ahour after I read
> it
>
> but you must be nuts asking if wether you remeber having a dream is
> true
>
> to suggest I am barin damaged after that is rich

Post of the week! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3865. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 03:22:59 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130037779.336942.175210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121693
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nailsea Grammar School?

Steve Stone wrote:
>
> What is your FISTS number ???

3866. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 03:58:07 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1i2ml19dic28dfi4cc4k78g9detedfirbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 121697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 21:37:41 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>
>> the cell phone kept carry text message though out Katrina and the after
>> math recharging cell phone batteries became a challange but the are
>> ways of course
>
>There was no cell coverage in NO after Katrina hit. Text message uses
>the same cell towers as voice, if those systems are down, you don't text
>message either. In situations were the signal is spotty or week, text
>messages may get through when voice will not because the text messages
>are sent in short packets.

Aw, don't confuse him with facts...

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

3867. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:15:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <i8Sdneikd7tEYsfeRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:

>>
>> Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
>> MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes you
>> think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to make
>> any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
>> APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL
>> RESPONSE
>> TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS
>> GOING TO
>> TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!
>
>
>
> And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make up
> the emergency network, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

And we have seen first hand several times lately just how great the cell phone network performs with all the cell towers out of service.

3868. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:22:14 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <i8Sdneukd7vJnMbeRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
> network

How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get to and make a few calls.

3869. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:26:58 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MMWdnQlLOJLsn8benZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:

>
>
> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
> then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
> Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
> They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.

When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.

3870. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:28:06 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MMWdnQhLOJIpn8benZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> you falsely stated that my post was unrelated

Maybe he meant to say *you* are unrelated.

3871. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:33:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <oi4ml15ps8is20o1baraoglvv2lc8ktspq@4ax.com>
Source record: 121698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 21:22:14 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>> network
>
>How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
>coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
>to and make a few calls.

not accrdoing to MSNBC while the netwaork was not working for voice it
was working for text according to MSNBC

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3872. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:37:41 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ys6dnU3uCMRpmcbeRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> the cell phone kept carry text message though out Katrina and the after
> math recharging cell phone batteries became a challange but the are
> ways of course

There was no cell coverage in NO after Katrina hit. Text message uses the same cell towers as voice, if those systems are down, you don't text message either. In situations were the signal is spotty or week, text messages may get through when voice will not because the text messages are sent in short packets.

3873. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:44:23 UTC
From: johnh <someone@somewhere.com.au>
Message-ID: <435b1550$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 121699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:dprkl153m34jkb24m64hn1ap1kbtq2golq@4ax.com...
> On 21 Oct 2005 16:51:09 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1129938669.054785.253830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> >> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> >> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you
to
> >> >be a liar, a cheat and a coward, Frankie.
> >>
> >> Hardly.
> > >
> >Frank, you got off easy. He usually includes "homosexual" and
> >sometimes "pedophile" in his strings of accusations.
>
>
> Once again, I just consider the source.
>
>
> frank 10.....opposition nil.....hi hi
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

3874. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 04:47:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0CE6f.395$RI1.353@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121700

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

>
> I heard/read/dreamed that Don Miller was busted and went to jail for other
> than ham related stuff. True anyone?
>

Dr. Don spent hard time after being convicted of a conspiracy to off his old
lady.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3875. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 05:13:20 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <UZE6f.69$e4.21142@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 121701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LOL!! You need to save this, April 1st is still 5 1/2 months away!

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:8K46f.473118$5N3.307643@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> To continue the thread...
>
> 'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
> potatoes watch TV...
>
> http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
>
> [snip]
> HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina,
> it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of
> life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
> electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were unable
> to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
>
> What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
>
> "Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says Eric
> Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are
> millions
> of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public for
> camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio
> operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
> communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received
> by
> the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in
> this
> emergency communication strategy."
>
> Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is
> that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It
> could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware to
> listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
> national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
> [snip]
>
> So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national
> frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur
> community---lets
> see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.

>
> TOM
>
>

3876. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 05:22:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130044961.812225.287750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121702
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> Nailsea Grammar School?

you don't even know what he Means?

good greif I know what Steve Stone means and I think the ARS would be
better off with Morse Code USE banned (but I don't addvocate it in the
US becuase I beleive the Code users have the right do such things even
if I find them wastefull or counter productive
>
> Steve Stone wrote:
> >
> > What is your FISTS number ???

3877. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 05:26:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023012620.323$8g@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 19:39:49 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
> >hey gents, could you please keep your flamefests to the amateur ng.
> >there is enough crap going on in the cb ng without having to scroll
> >through your nonsense. thanx, jim.
>
> you need to address you remark to K4YZ
>
Nope. You throw as much fuel on the fire as anyone in rrcb. Jim has a good
point, if you don't want CB involved in your ham arguments, don't cross
post to rrcb. simple enuff.

3878. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 05:30:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ps7ml1pl135j1qpo7r4tf08phuulb4ukl8@4ax.com>
Source record: 121704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Oct 2005 05:26:20 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 19:39:49 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >hey gents, could you please keep your flamefests to the amateur ng.
>> >there is enough crap going on in the cb ng without having to scroll
>> >through your nonsense. thanx, jim.
>>
>> you need to address you remark to K4YZ
>>
>Nope. You throw as much fuel on the fire as anyone in rrcb. Jim has a good
>point, if you don't want CB involved in your ham arguments, don't cross
>post to rrcb. simple enuff.

I have nothing against CB or CBers use one myself

indeed I would love to see more CBer hang out in RRAP and other place

if it is true that I am puting out than one other time in RRCB well it
is a fraction of RRAP

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3879. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 06:16:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023021650.939$kp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> indeed I would love to see more CBer hang out in RRAP
>
Nah, you boys and girls have enough troubles already. Near as I can see
from rrcb..you're on your own with -all- that bandwidth.

3880. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 07:20:16 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djfdfn$jf9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130033636.768285.32210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 14:19:41 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> :
> : No, Davies is the Welsh Jew, racist Ray. http://www.houseofnames.com
> : proved that.
> : It also proved Wiseman is English/German. But you never do get it
> : right. Just like you thought the Marshall County Alabama ARES web site
> : was the one for Marshall County, WV.
>
> So Wiseman isn't a Jewish name? Ron Wiseman is a dastardly liar for
> pretending to be Jewish, isn't he? [http://jewishreggae.bizland.com/]
>
> It's all a conspiracy by Lloyd in the final analysis. It always is.

but who is conspiracing against whom It looks like Llyod is conspiring
against Lloyd
>

For God's sake, wake up, bud! It is AB8MQ posting under the names of
others. He even responds to his own posts, for crying out loud.
Are you really that dense?

3881. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:14:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130069679.877166.231670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121707

an_old_friend wrote:
> I have always agreed that Jim and other got screwed back then

I do not think I was "cheated" or "screwed" back then because the requirements changed. I knew they were changing, so I just went and passed the tests.

Some, like W4NTI, were out of the country and didn't know the change was coming.

> but (he and others) are still whining about it after 37 years

I wasn't "whining". I was simply relating what happened, to show that I was there and knew the dates that the new rules took effect.

History is part of policy.

3882. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:20:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130070052.401296.103520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lardass lllllllllllllllllllloyd aka Digital wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130033636.768285.32210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Stagger Lee wrote:
> > On 22 Oct 2005 14:19:41 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> > :
> > : No, Davies is the Welsh Jew, racist Ray. http://www.houseofnames.com
> > : proved that.
> > : It also proved Wiseman is English/German. But you never do get it
> > : right. Just like you thought the Marshall County Alabama ARES web site
> > : was the one for Marshall County, WV.
> >
> > So Wiseman isn't a Jewish name? Ron Wiseman is a dastardly liar for
> > pretending to be Jewish, isn't he? [http://jewishreggae.bizland.com/]

Obviously, Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd's never heard of people
converting over to other religions. The house of names says Wiseman is
a English and German name. But, when Davies is put in it says, Welsh,
Jewish, you lose, Davies.

> >
> > It's all a conspiracy by Lloyd in the final analysis. It always is.
>
> but who is conspiracing against whom It looks like Llyod is conspiring
> against Lloyd
> >
>
> For God's sake, wake up, bud! It is AB8MQ posting under the names of
> others. He even responds to his own posts, for crying out loud.
> Are you really that dense?

Hi,Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd, N0VFP!

3883. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:22:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130070137.215880.66190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 14:33:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 09:56:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> >> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
> >> ><i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> ><snip>
> >> >>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
> >> >>repsects honesty
> >> >>
> >> >>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
> >> >>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
> >> >And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
> >> >realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> ><snip>
> >> >>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.
> >>
> >> well it does serve as final and complete proof
> >
> >Of what, that you are an idiot?
>
> nope wrong again that Stevie has guts lots of guts guess you were not
> reading

No, I am right, you are a fucking idiot.

3884. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:24:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130070242.570700.282170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

M. wrote:
> LOL!! You need to save this, April 1st is still 5 1/2 months away!

Everybody's talking about pop music!

>
> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:8K46f.473118$5N3.307643@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > To continue the thread...
> >
> > 'ARRL Publishing Empire LLC' looses again and Ham radio operator couch
> > potatoes watch TV...
> >
> > http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/10/prweb293047.htm
> >
> > [snip]
> > HARTFORD, CT (PRWEB) Oct 6, 2005 - In the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina,
> > it's become clear that a major contributing factor to the tragic loss of
> > life was the near total breakdown of communication systems. Once
> > electricity, telephone, and cell phone services failed, people were unable
> > to let rescuers know of their dire situations -- and died as a result.
> >
> > What could be a simple, instant, and virtually zero-cost solution?
> >
> > "Establish a National SOS Radio Network (www.NationalSOS.com)," says Eric
> > Knight, CEO of UP Aerospace, Inc. (www.upaerospace.com). "There are
> > millions
> > of 'Family Radio Service' or 'FRS' radios already in use by the public for
> > camping, boating, and hiking, and there are 675,000 licensed ham radio
> > operators in America -- people renown and prepared for emergency
> > communications. The output frequencies of FRS radios are easily received
> > by
> > the radio gear ham radio operators use daily. That's the magic link in
> > this
> > emergency communication strategy."
> >
> > Knight went on to say, "The best part of a National SOS Radio Network is
> > that it wouldn't require new laws or any new legislation whatsoever. It
> > could go into effect, today. Once the ham radio community is made aware to
> > listen for the public's emergency broadcasts on an FRS frequency, the
> > national network will be up and running. It's as simple as that."
> > [snip]
> >
> > So... it will be the FRS that will respond to the needs of a national

> > frequency for emergency communications and not the amateur
> > community---lets
> > see you blame that on the loss of telegraphy.
> >
> > TOM
> >
> >

3885. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:27:22 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130070442.236886.111670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> LRod wrote:
> > On 22 Oct 2005 11:10:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > >> N9OGL wrote:
> > > >> > Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Todd N9OGL
> > > >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > > >> > 14.321.00 MHz.
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >> You're right, with any luck we may see K1MAN in jail. Wouldn't that be nice.
> > > >
> > > >on what crime?
> > >
> > > Aggravated mopery with intent to gawk.
> >
> > not an FCC matter and not something he even stands accused of as far I
> > know

Well, there ya have it. Marky leaving no doubt that he is a
know-nothing.

3886. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:29:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130070544.547177.270870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion,
> and you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.

Sucks when people do that, doesn't it?

3887. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:32:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130070759.693540.139490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Everybody is a LIAR and everybody is WRONG. My name is Markie.

Hi Steve. Been mentoring Mark?

3888. Re: DOING ASSIGNMENTS INSTEAD OF YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:36:53 UTC
From: anon <anon@dqlyxzeqw.com>
Message-ID: <djg04c$372$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121714
Cross-posted to: uk.education.openuniversity,uk.education.maths,uk.education.misc,misc.education.science,alt.education.distance,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1130062080.317858.215030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You can now get what is laughingly known as a Radio Amateur
> licence at 6-years-old. It is, in reality, a CBer's licence,
> and is known as the "M3/CB Fools' Licence". No _REAL_
> Radio Ham worth his salt has anything to do with the
> scheme which is widely regarded as a gangrenous
> degeneration of a once highly-regarded technical pursuit.
>
> What is astounding is that some grown ups (teachers, even)
> baulked at the previous qualification that was set
> for 14-year-olds and got licences issued under the
> scheme for 6-year-olds. No wonder that standards in
> schools are falling when the teachers themselves
> demonstrate such an abysmal example of striving for
> standards! "Do as I say and not as I do"?
>
> pjs2002 wrote:
>> "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:1129919293.400034.76090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > Thus - an OU degree is like 6 'O' levels, all of them in Cookery.
>> > No use at all.
>> Not that good an analogy as you can get an O level for 2 hours evening
>> school study a week over a year. It a bit like saying the a Radio
>> Amateur
>> licence is at primary level for 9yr olds, and a CB radio course is at 7yr
>> olds level.
>>
>> pjs2002
>

3889. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:41:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>
> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.

So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?

Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?

3890. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:41:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130071276.086195.184280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121716

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 14:28:00 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:18:12 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> cut
> >> >
> >> >Run away, Marky, run away!
> >> >>
> >> >> >> yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
> >> >> >> false report
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yes, you did. Or you are lying about the whole thing.
> >> >>
> >> >> nope
> >> >
> >> >Yep.
> >>
> >> nope

Yep. Or you are a liar, take your pick.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
> >> >> know what you are doing
> >> >
> >> >You don't know anything, Marky.
> >> >>
> >> >> yes I do even you and Stevie know something
> >> >
> >> >But we were discussing YOU, Marky.

>
> and I do at something I know you know something if nothing else

Speak English, fool!

> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
> >> >> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
> >> >> Slit
> >> >
> >> >Wrong, stupid.
> >>
> >> right you are acting stupidly or insanely....
> >
> >But the State of Michigan isn't looking into me like they are you,
> >Marky.
>
> Gee this is news the State of Michigan is looking into me?
>
> not that I know of

Want to bet, child abuser?

> and the State of Michigan would not be looking into you unless you
> lived here which obviously you don't since you don't much about the
> state

Awww, are you going to keep barking little doggie or are you going to
bite?

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> > > >> >You are an idiot.
> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> > > >>Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Bullshit.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
> >> >>

> >> >> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
> >> >> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat
> >> >
> >> >I can read, stupid.
> >>
> >> your point?
> >
> >Again the point flys over your thick skull. Can't you read, stupid?
>
> I can you just are not making any

No, you are to dense to see it.

> perhaps you think you are typing more than you are

Perhaps you should lay off the illegal drugs.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
> >> >> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
> >> >
> >> >Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.
> >>
> >> gee he did send it I have it
> >
> >Then post it or stfu.
>
> i'll do neither after all you know what it contians
> you lack the power to compell me to shut up, I would think even you
> would know that

So in other words, there is no e-mail. STFU, Marky.

> >
> >> cut
> >
> >Run away,Marky.
> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > There is absolutely a threat
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> we shall see
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> but I doubt it
> >> >> >
> >> >> >So you are lying about the whole thing.

> >> >>
> >> >> no but you need to learn to read
> >> >
> >> >Yes,liar.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
> >> >> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on
> >> >
> >> >You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
> >> >are on the latter.
> >>
> >> you lose
> >
> >Wrong, shit for brains.
>
> No You lose

You lost, Marky, because you lied. I checked.

> OTOH I lose from being forced to deal with such a piece of shit as
> yourself

Too stupid to either ignore me or use a killfile? No, Marky, you enjoy
this too much.
It gives you attention you would not normally get.

> >
> >>
> >> and I will do what I can to se you lose as big as I can
> >
> >No, you can't suck my dick, Marky.
>
> don't want to would never suck you anyway I only deal sexual with
> adult humans

That's not what the children in your neighborhood say, Marky.

> >
> >> we will see what that ammounts to
> >
> >Indeed, filing a false report, tsk tsk, it doesn't look too good for
> >you, Marky.
>
> looks fine to me but then I have not filed any false report

No, you lied about the whole thing.

> >
> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >

> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > > They could see that, I'm
> >> >> >> > > >sure.
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> > > >> >
> >> >> >> > > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> >> >> >> > > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection
for
> >> >> >> > > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that
any
> >> >> >> > > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and
so
> >> >> >> > > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone
may
> >> >> >> > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
> >> >> >> > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> >> >> >> > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is
low
> >> >> >> > > >> > >
> >> >> >> > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> >> >> >> > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point
to
> >> >> >> > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> >> >> >> > > >> >
> >> >> >> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> > > >No everybody thinks so.
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
> >> >> >> > > seem to think so
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Wrong, Marky.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
> >> >> >
> >> >> >No, it's true offline as well.
> >> >>
> >> >> not at all
> >> >
> >> >Liar.
> >>
> >> maybe but not about that
> >
> >You already admitted you are a liar.
>
> yes but not about the subject you were referring to

Once a liar, always a liar, Marky.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
> >> >> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
> >> >> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
> >> >> >> is another matter
> >> >> >
> >> >> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
> >> >>
> >> >> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
> >> >> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI
> >> >
> >> >You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
> >> >and went out into a field of clues,Marky.
> >>
> >> I have plenty of them and getting everytime you and Whinny post
> >
> >You couldn't get a clue in a million years, stupid.
>
> I will not be recieveing Clues in 1 or more millions years you are
> right about that

You admit being that stupid, Marky? Damn are you dumb.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
> >> >>
> >> >> another lie N0VFP
> >> >
> >> >Another stupid Marky screw up!
> >>
> >> no screw up
> >
> >Your stupidity has no bounds, does it, Marky?
>
> hmm it would have to exist to have bounds so I guess you got that one
> right, after a fashion

No, your stupidity is plain to see.

>
> >
> >>
> >> >

> >> >>
> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
> >> >
> >> >Post in English, Marky.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Bullshit, pea brain.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
> >> >> >
> >> >> >No, retard. Learn to read.
> >> >>
> >> >> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
> >> >> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster
> >> >
> >> >No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.
> >>
> >> I am right on target
> >
> >Afraid not, stupid.
>
> right on target

No, stupid.

>
> but you are afraid you are very frightened of my mere existence

No, not of a bumbling fool like you.

> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > you talking to

> >> >> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Liar.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> at times but not there
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
> >> >>
> >> >> another lie N0VFP
> >> >
> >> >Liar.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >So you admit you lied about the whole thing.
> >>
> >> when you make such a false statement you are the lair
> >
> >1) You admitted to being a liar.
> >2) You lied and said you did something you didn't do.
>
> we were tlaking about you

No, stupid.

> you made a statement that was not true

Every statement you make that is untrue, dumbass.

> you calim to be able to read

Obviously you can't.

> therefore you know it was unture

What's "unture?"

> therefore you are a liar

Therefore a self-admitted liar like you has no credibility.

>
> >
> >> I am lying to you here and there which is prefectly and will not in
> >> anyway undermine any arrest that may result
> >
> >So you think you are about to be arrested again, Marky?

>
> no I don't

You don't think! And you admitted it.

> I know I am not going to be arrested, and certainly for nothing to do
> with you your threats, or your hate filled fantascies

Have these delusions much, Marky?

> I have been arrested even charged over the years but none of those
> ever even got past the Prelim hearing

BWHAHAHAHAHA...nice confession, Marky.

> >
> >> cut
> >
> >Run, Marky, run!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >> >>
> >> >> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be
> >> >
> >> >I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?
> >>
> >> that I am not fond of cops, or of them as a general rule
> >
> >I wonder what the "FBI" will think of that.
> >
> >
> >> all prefectly true statements
> >
> >It won't put you in solid with them, Marky.
> >
> >> cut
> >
> >Run away, Marky, you imbecile!
> >
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > your point Mr Slit?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Was just proven by you, idiot.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> impossible to to have a point proven you have to make a point, without
> >> >> >> a point to begin with you can't prove a point
> >> >> >
> >> >> >What the hell are you babbling about, Marky? You are babbling in
> >> >> >circles like a dog chasing it's own tail.
> >> >>
> >> >> learn to read
> >> >>
> >> >> learn to reason

> >> >>
> >> >> learn to use logic
> >> >
> >> >Follow your own advice.
> >>
> >> I have
> >
> >When? You have yet to show it in your 30-some years.
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3891. Re: Mark, You've Exceeded "Assinine" On So Many Levels THIS Time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:47:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130071677.029017.46060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121717

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > _an_old friend wrote:
> >
> > > Stevie just this once read the whole post before you comment
> >
> > I did. Laughed when I was done.
> >
> > You gotta be out of your freakin' mind, Markie!
>
> never the less

Never the less WHAT, Markie?

You ARE out of your mind.

> > > by you constant and in ceasant stalking of me and publishing your
> > > effort I may be responible fore the death of an inocent man
> >
> > "your" Incessant" "responsible" "for" "innocent"
> >
> > Hot Flash Hot Rod...Nothing >>I<< do in this forum has anything to
> > do with what YOU may choose to do "in the real world".
>
> we shall see

There's no "we shall see" to it.

It's reality. Deal with it.

> > I am not now, nor have I ever been, STALKING you.
>
> we shall see

Again, there's no "we shall see" to it.

BTW, are YOU familiar with the penalties for filing a report with
a federal law enforcement agency for the purpose of personal gain or
harrassment, Markie?

If not, you SHOULD be...

> > And if I DO get an FBI angent asking me questions about any of
> > this, I am going to show him/her your YEARS of rantings to that effect.
>
> he or she will all ready have seen it by the time they get to you, and
> I have been promised that at some point they will speak to you so you

> will get your chance, if the agent told me the truth

Uh huh...yeah...No doubt the same agent who told you to "lie"
about being a commissioned officer in an Amateur Radio newsgroup.

> > And they will see what >>I<< see, a deranged, pathological liar
> > with a paranoia problem!
>
> we shall see

Still no "we shall see" here either, Markie...

> > > I can handle threat against myself but your reckless has endangered
> > > this other mark morgan, so I have called the FBI. I got to leave a
> > > message for the agaent in MQT (as we use in shorthand here) I hope she
> > > will call and/or that whiny is just blowing smoke
> >
> > "agent"
> >
> > My "reckless" WHAT, Mark?
> >
> > NOTHING I have done in this forum has endangered ANYone, least of
> > all you.
>
> we shall see

Uh huh.

> > And Mark...The L A S T thing you want ME to do is unload a ton of
> > your posts in this forum in the lap of a federal agent.
>
> no need next week I will be doing that myself

Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > > Yes I will rsik something I will find truely humilating to protect the
> > > safety of a fellow I have never met.
> >
> > "risk" "truly"
> >
> > Ho Hum.
> > cut

Double ho hum.

Wadda freakin' idjit!

Steve, K4YZ

3892. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:47:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130071679.556565.71910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121718

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 14:32:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
> >> >> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
> >> >> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
> >> >
> >> >WTF are you saying, stupid?
> >>
> >> read it and find out
> >
> >If CIA crytographers read it they couldn't figure out what you were
> >trying to say,
>
> you gravely under estimate the CIA littleboy

You don't get sarcasm, do you Marky? Or will you claim to be a KFC
"Colonel" with the CIA, now?

> > you illiterate son of a bitch.
> >
> >> >
> >> >> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
> >> >> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
> >> >
> >> >Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."
> >>
> >> no I am not part of the FBI
> >
> >Just on their most watched list.
>
> not that I know but then I would not know of it, but prehaps I am on
> such a list but I doubt it. I am not anyway near important enough to
> merit such attention

No, you are not important at all, to anybody.

> perhaps you are on that list, but for now I doubt it

Perhaps you should pull your head out of your ass.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> I don't care however
> >> >
> >> >Then why are you going on and on about it?
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
> >> >> trail leads
> >> >
> >> >Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
> >> >
> >> >> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
> >> >>
> >> >> the same for AB8MB
> >> >>
> >> >> the same K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.
> >>
> >> for what?
> >>
> >> the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots
> >
> >For your defamation, Marky.
>
> deflamtion?

"Defamation" Stupid. Even when it's in front of you you screw it up.

> what are you talking about?

Read it again, stupid.

> It is a plain fact that folks mentioned have facts involved in such an
> investagation

It is plain to see you lied about the whole thing, Marky.

> that is no defamation

Want to bet?

> that I Believe K4YZ is guilty of actionable crimes might be
> defamation, but it is true statement that I have made before

Too bad you spend all your money on booze, or you would do something
about it.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >> with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for
> >> >> wnat of a better word)

> >> >
> >> >Wtf are you on, Marky?
> >>
> >> nothing for you to worry about after all I am lying according to you
> >> about taking any action with the FBI
> >
> >That's right. Nice of you to admit it. I'm not worried, dumbass.
>
> I know you are not worried and indeed I think you are safe, becuase
> you I think are nuts enough to be safe from any criminal charge

That's the pot calling the kettle black.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman
> >> >> angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing
> >> >> with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this
> >> >> little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it
> >> >> seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP
> >> >
> >> >You were the drama queen, weren't you?
> >>
> >> nope just an unwilling player
> >
> >According to the Google archives, you've been the "bend-over" boy for
> >years and years.
>
> just an unwilling player in what ever sick game you are playing

And like a puppy, you always come back for more. Sounds like you are
willing to me.

> >
> >> it seem you are trying to direct this little play while trying to man
> >> behind the curtain (like the fraud in the Wizard of OZ)
> >
> >I bet you wish you could wear Judy Garland's clothes, don't you,
> >faggot?
>
> you lose again

Too fat to fit in them are you?

> >If I am the director then CUT! "You're FIRED!"
>
> yu mean I was supposed to paid for taking your bullshit, then fine
> I'll take my 2 week severance

God, are you stupid, Marky.

3893. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:50:53 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.is.afwaid.he.is.jewish@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 23 Oct 2005 05:20:52 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
:
: Lardass lllllllllllllllllllloyd aka Digital wrote:
: > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
: > news:1130033636.768285.32210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
: >
: > Stagger Lee wrote:
: > > On 22 Oct 2005 14:19:41 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com>
: > wrote:
: > > :
: > > : No, Davies is the Welsh Jew, racist Ray. http://www.houseofnames.com
: > > : proved that.
: > > : It also proved Wiseman is English/German. But you never do get it
: > > : right. Just like you thought the Marshall County Alabama ARES web site
: > > : was the one for Marshall County, WV.
: > >
: > > So Wiseman isn't a Jewish name? Ron Wiseman is a dastardly liar for
: > > pretending to be Jewish, isn't he? [http://jewishreggae.bizland.com/]
:
: Obviously, Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd's never heard of people
: converting over to other religions. The house of names says Wiseman is
: a English and German name. But, when Davies is put in it says, Welsh,
: Jewish, you lose, Davies.

You can change your religion, but you can't change your race. However,
since you were adopted, we can't ever be sure about your real ethnicity,
can we?

Ron Wiseman is Jewish. That's his race, and he's very proud of it, as
can be seen by carefully perusing http://jewishreggae.bizland.com/

You, on the other hand, seem desperately afraid to admit that Wiseman
can be a Jewish surname. I suppose that your hatred of Jews has
something to do with that fear. Remember your infamous Zyklon B
comments of yesteryear? [If not, I'll be happy to repost them]

3894. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:53:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130071980.332415.110530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
>
> <snip>
> >One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
> >repsects honesty
> >
> >I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
> >consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>
> Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.

No...Just the same standards you accepted for yourself, but failed
to live up to, Farankie.

> And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity...(SNIP)

Nope...Just a man. One that didn't get court martialed (twice)
whie he was in the Marine Corps...

> (UNSNIP)...he doesn'trealize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.

Nice try, but bland...Pretty lame, acutally

> <snip>

> Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.

Better than what you're full of, Frankie...I know a good gastro doc
that could help you with that, by the way...

Steve, K4YZ

3895. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 12:58:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130072308.715926.308710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Lee wrote:
<nothing of importance>

Wrong guess, stupid.

Post all the forgeries of Roger you want, stupid. Nobody listens to you, fatass.

http://www.houseofnames.com/coatofarms_details.asp?sId=&s=wiseman

As compared to:
http://www.houseofnames.com/coatofarms_details.asp?sId=&s=davies

It sure would be a shock to the Baptist churches Roger attended as a youth to find out they are Jewish houses of worship.

But, you lost all credibility as a reliable source of correct information when you tried to pass off an Alabama ARES website for an ARES website for West Virginia.

3896. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 13:02:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130072535.388130.159950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And do post proof Roger was adopted.
We won't hold our breath, because adoptions are sealed away and NOBODY
can see them without a court order. And you wouldn't be able to get
them, fatass. Roger wasn't adopted, retard Davies.

3897. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 14:19:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 121724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
>> > block,
>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>
>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>
> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>
> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>

No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS system does
not.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3898. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 14:25:12 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <c3N6f.485964$5N3.170668@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:81453$435ac991$4fc65b5$13514@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> SATERN = SALVATION ARMY TEAM EMERGENCY RADIO NETWORK
>
> http://www.satern.org/
>
> What We Do
> Emergency communications etc. etc.
> ----------
> LAT expos&#65533;: "The Red Cross money pit" - The TRUTH at last!!!
> http://www.dailykos.com/story/2005/9/25/95628/8554
>
> ----------
>
> It has always been my personal opinion that the Salvation Army is a
MUCH
> better organization than the Red Cross / Red Crescent . Organizations like
> the Red Cross / Red Crescent have enormous overhead, including high-paid
> executives and posh offices.
>
> Ace - WH2T

Sounds like an interesting group---I'll check them out. Yes... I often heard unfavorable remarks made about the Red Cross---especially from servicemen (including my father after the WWII) but consistent praise for the Salvation Army.

I recall the Red Cross blood drives of 9/11---then blood thrown away because there were no storage facilities available; some doctors wondered why all the blood since there was no need for it. The story made the national news for a couple of days then forgotten.

I hear good words about the Church of the Latter Day Saints (Mormons) as providing excellent service during disaster relief; however, I don't know of their communications needs.

If the Red Cross is 'particular' then the heck with them; better to concentrate efforts elsewhere and make a good showing---the word will get out.

3899. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 14:47:00 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> [snip]
> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past the
end
> of their block? Oh with that useless ham stuff? On Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh
I
> get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then
> carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.
>
> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

It's already being done... CERT http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/CERT/ communities are using FRS to organize their efforts in reponse to disaster preparedness or simply community watch and its working quite well. The idea that a ham is needed to supply communications is somewhat outdated---hams have out-geeked themselves. If a CERT member has a radio that doesn't work just throw it away and get another. What really matters is organization and team skills and communities have plenty of indviduals professionally trained in all manner of skills besides a token ham or two. Some CERT teams are guarded about disclosing their capabilities and SOP...

Besides, who's to say that repeaters won't become available to extend the range of FRS radios---if there is a need anything is possible. What about GMRS? Take a look at what the Aussies have done with their UHF CB allocations http://www.alphalink.com.au/~parkerp/cb.htm

The issue with the future of FRS-type communications should be carefully considered. I think that amateur long-haul coms are becoming less important compared to short range communications networks---especially within communties. Considering the ease of getting FRS communications the amateur service has little to offer---unless amateurs can re-invent themselves.

Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.

3900. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 14:57:04 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <ac0b4$435ba4b3$4e0bd5e$24620@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

SNIPPED

Remember your infamous Zyklon B
> comments of yesteryear? [If not, I'll be happy to repost them]

Please repost them Stagger , so we might all benefit from their knowledge.
Thanks, Ace - WH2T

3901. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 14:59:56 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <68f82$435ba55f$4e0bd5e$24637@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Same old, same old.
Mark = (fill in the blank)

Ace - WH2T

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130070442.236886.111670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> Well, there ya have it. Marky leaving no doubt that he is a
> know-nothing.
>

3902. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:01:39 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <nBN6f.170641$qY1.2410@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:JTy6f.19791$q1.12882@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > The future of the National Traffic System
> > http://www.eham.net/articles/12198
> >
> > [snip]
> > For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS)
> > consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region, and
> > area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's
> > the
> > second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some of
> > the
> > best operators in the world as its membership.
> >
> > The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the
> > role
> > that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a
> > single
> > health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
> > would
> > have been buzzing with activity.
> > [snip]
> >
> >
> Tom,
>
> I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any traffic,
> if none is sent to it. I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
> handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>
> I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
decided
> that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF Telephone
> network between shelters that is.
>
> Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

You incorrectly credit me as being the author of the eham.net article;
however, I do share the same sentiments.

3903. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:02:00 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <a25ac$435ba5db$4e0bd5e$24656@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike has rightly reached the same conclusion as the majority of posters in
these NG's.
Ace - WH2T

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Gsidnf_MF9dKSsfeRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 18:32:01 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

Snipped

> You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion, and
> you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.
>
> Good for you, Mr Morgan. Not much point in having a discussion with ya.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

3904. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:04:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023110405.176$zw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121730
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

pierrejalbert@nhl.ca wrote:
>> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/
> >
> > --
> > http://NewsReader.Com/
>
> what's your tech callsign steveo
>
What's your aol callsign, forge-fuck?

3905. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:05:07 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <9ec95$435ba695$4e0bd5e$24673@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerk Factor = 100% TILT !!!!!!!

Ace - WH2T

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:r6nll15kk69du4fc90mc5k44akf443egqr@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 20:22:50 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 18:32:01 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>>>>>spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>>>>>network so at text will get through no matter what
>>>>
>>>> Unrelated.
>>>
>>>
>>> liar
>>
>>
>> You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion,
>
> you did not voice an opinion
>
> you falsely stated that my post was unrelated
>
>>and you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.
>>
>> Good for you, Mr Morgan. Not much point in having a discussion with ya.
>
> you were obviously never interested in one
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
> _____

> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3906. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:06:50 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <36c5b$435ba6fd$4e0bd5e$24683@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark = A brain is a terrible thing to waste.
Ace - WH2T

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130070759.693540.139490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> Everybody is a LIAR and everybody is WRONG. My name is Markie.
>
> Hi Steve. Been mentoring Mark?
>

3907. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:08:36 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <852a6$435ba766$4e0bd5e$24695@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark = (unknown species)
Ace - WH2T

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:MMWdnQhLOJIpn8benZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>> you falsely stated that my post was unrelated
>
>
> Maybe he meant to say *you* are unrelated.

3908. How do you lookup a shitstain on QRZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:14:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023111456.667$aE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121734

How do you ham operators look up Cmd buzzard on qrz? Some of you
self-righteous ham operator fucksticks must know his call already, or
you've never talked to him except for in this usenet shithole newsgroup?
(he also posts as JJ if that narrows it down for you)

Do you believe he's a pilot too? If so, get on his retard plane and fly the
fuck out of here.

3909. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:19:55 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <98a9f$435baa0d$4e0bd5e$24750@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sucks when Mark does that, doesn't it!
Ace - WH2T

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130070544.547177.270870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>> You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion,
>> and you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.
>
> Sucks when people do that, doesn't it?
>

3910. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:19:57 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <xSN6f.170684$qY1.118941@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4sfll1h3dg9nccpo621ghc80cb1nj89bq6@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:17:13 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> >Tom,
> >
> >I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any
traffic,
> >if none is sent to it.
>
> meaning you are greing with me and Tom
>
> why is it ham hating if you say and ham hating if I do
>
> you also make my point no vital traffic can be passed by ham radio if
> it was not sent to ham radio
>
> > I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
> >handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>
> which brings you to stating the same thing I was
> >
> >I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
decided
> >that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF
Telephone
> >network between shelters that is.
>
> which is a dangerous state of affairs for one of the supports that we
> use in our spectrum battles
>
> but you were unwilling to even discuss such things
>
> >
> >Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>
> maybe we were maybe we were not, but it seems whatever the truth were
> not seen as ready willing and able, and the preception of the served
> agencies is more important than the reality.

Exactly... the amateur service had an unprecedented golden opportunity for
PR at New Orleans and they blew-it big time. Probably not so much from lack
of interest by individual amateurs but from lack of leadership from the

ARRL. Now it is seen the ARRL is trying to re-make the amateur service after the fact. The truth is the ARRL is more interested in running a business than promoting a service. For giggles... I'll say the shift in interest by the ARRL started when they changed the format of QST back in the 1970's. Remember how many of us remarked that QST didn't seem anything more that a radio catalog---and many of us dropped our subscription? Seriously, the ARRL hasn't done anything in recent times other than to publish which, in many cases, are nothing more that collections of QST articles. I do have a copy of, 'The ARRL Emergency Communication Handbook'---it should contain twice the present content.

Nevertheless, I am happy to see discussion on the topic continue---especially if they are constructive comments.

3911. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:25:17 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <75289$435bab4f$4e0bd5e$24781@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark - Don't poke the bear.
Ace - WH2T

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130032281.684581.232610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:b9WdnR4HFvVCIMfeRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >
>> >> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>> >> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>> >> network so at text will get through no matter what
>> >
>> > Unrelated.
>>
>> Ignorant, and ridiculous. Typical Markie.
>
> typical Danny boy no content just dismiss anything outside his horizion
>
> the cell phone kept carry text message though out Katrina and the after
> math recharging cell phone batteries became a challange but the are
> ways of course
>
> but then Danny has never wanted to take part in any discussion where he
> does set the ground rules one of which is that everyone must agree in
> adavnce to agree with him
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

3912. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:32:09 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <da7a$435bacec$4e0bd5e$24808@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you need critical information, just ask Mark.
Hell, He knows it all !
Ace - WH2T

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:MMWdnQlLOJLsn8benZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>> then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>> Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>> They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>
> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the last
> person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need that
> when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.

3913. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:35:42 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <49079$435badc1$4e0bd5e$24823@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CB'ers = SNAFU
For those who don't know, SNAFU is an Army term.
Situation Normal All F***ed Up

Ace - WH2T

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
>>> > block,
>>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so
>>> > on.
>>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>
>>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>
>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>
>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>>
>
> No it simply means that without a structured format, message training,
> means of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system
> breaks down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS
> system does not.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3914. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:39:16 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <bdea3$435bae96$4e0bd5e$24841@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

Snipped

>
> Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
>
>

In a case like Mark, is the benefit worth the expense.
Short answer = NO .

Ace = WH2T

3915. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:39:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023113923.017$KC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> CB'ers = SNAFU
> For those who don't know, SNAFU is an Army term.
> Situation Normal All F***ed Up
>
> Ace - WH2T
>
They're right above top-posters on the food chain, ace.

3916. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:42:11 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <4a62$435baf45$4e0bd5e$24857@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Snipped

> who peed in your cherrois tonight Dan?
>>

Who peed in your (fill in the blank) tonight Mark ?

Ace - WH2T

3917. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:45:05 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <9af8f$435baff3$4e0bd5e$24869@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark = Dame Braimaged .
LOL
Ace - WH2T

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2iqll1dd5o5odkqqg9s83faofgae6d62qd@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 01:41:53 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>I don't talk with brain damaged idiots. I just comment about their dumb
>>ass
>>comments.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
> just brunign up with hate tonight I see Dan
> _____

3918. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:50:10 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <31e5a$435bb124$4e0bd5e$24899@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BITE ME

Ace - WH2T

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051023113923.017$KC@newsreader.com...
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>> CB'ers = SNAFU
>> For those who don't know, SNAFU is an Army term.
>> Situation Normal All F***ed Up
>>
>> Ace - WH2T
>>
> They're right above top-posters on the food chain, ace.

3919. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:52:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <DkO6f.6507$tV6.1664@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 121745
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:djbb6ghdr1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
>> Not difficult to deduce that you are a CB-er.
>
> Why is that then.. is it because he has friends, his house isn't full of
> bits of old crap and he doesnt stink of wee and biscuits??

In other words, a serving of Haggis ;)

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
need from your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

3920. Re: DOING ASSIGNMENTS INSTEAD OF YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:54:03 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djgbnh$g7u$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> > You can now get what is laughingly known as a Radio Amateur
> > licence at 6-years-old. It is, in reality, a CBer's licence,
> > and is known as the "M3/CB Fools' Licence". No _REAL_
> > Radio Ham worth his salt has anything to do with the
> > scheme which is widely regarded as a gangrenous
> > degeneration of a once highly-regarded technical pursuit.

Yes while this is true, in reality, how many 6 year olds have you actually heard transmitting on amateur bands. While its possible its highly unlikely unless he was being driven and supervised by an "old ham" parent. It is a stepping stone towards an intermediate licence and so on. They cant use all the frequencies and power that the fully fledged "amateur" can but then again you would know this already. I do agree though that its a degeneration of an up until now elite technical pursuit but unfortunately times are changing.
I would be amazed if anyone who gets their M3 wouldnt go on to the next level or even go all the way. I feel though your attempt to steer any radio ham away from this scheme is not a good idea. Surely taking these M3s under your wing and encouraging them is the way forward not belittling them and ignoring them. Doing this will only cause unrest and bad feelings/abuse on the airwaves and drive many new Amateurs away from a fascinating but dwindling hobby.
You may disagree with me, which is your choice but i believe your attitude is completely wrong.
Regards,
Graham

3921. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 15:56:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023115600.485$iG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> BITE ME
>
> Ace - WH2T
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051023113923.017$KC@newsreader.com...
> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> >> CB'ers = SNAFU
> >> For those who don't know, SNAFU is an Army term.
> >> Situation Normal All F***ed Up
> >>
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >>
> > They're right above top-posters on the food chain, ace.
>
Blazing retort, spanky.
```

3922. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:04:26 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <6b4d9$435bb47c$4e0bd5e$24972@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I got your "food chain" hanging.
homo boi
Ace - WH2T

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051023115600.485$iG@newsreader.com...
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>> BITE ME
>>
>> Ace - WH2T
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20051023113923.017$KC@newsreader.com...
>> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>> >> CB'ers = SNAFU
>> >> For those who don't know, SNAFU is an Army term.
>> >> Situation Normal All F***ed Up
>> >>
>> >> Ace - WH2T
>> >>
>> > They're right above top-posters on the food chain, ace.
>>
> Blazing retort, spanky.

3923. Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:20:35 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 121795

You can too.

http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

3924. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:46:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <q4enl11n9fgsjg3hkg5si3046osffo92ki@4ax.com>
Source record: 121751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 10:25:17 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>Mark - Don't poke the bear.
> Ace - WH2T

all the one liners Ace
>
>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1130032281.684581.232610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> news:b9WdnR4HFvVCIMfeRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
>>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>>> >> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>>> >> network so at text will get through no matter what
>>> >
>>> > Unrelated.
>>>
>>> Ignorant, and ridiculous. Typical Markie.
>>
>> typical Danny boy no content just dismiss anything outside his horizion
>>
>> the cell phone kept carry text message though out Katrina and the after
>> math recharging cell phone batteries became a challange but the are
>> ways of course
>>
>> but then Danny has never wanted to take part in any discussion where he
>> does set the ground rules one of which is that everyone must agree in
>> adavnce to agree with him
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3925. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:46:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <o6enl1dsds2s20nd7lt7adj8nhekv84jap@4ax.com>
Source record: 121750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 11:04:26 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>I got your "food chain" hanging.
> homo boi
>Ace - WH2T

Is Ace Stevie (k4yz) as oposed to Steveo

they sure use the same play book
>
>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20051023115600.485$iG@newsreader.com...
>> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>>> BITE ME
>>>
>>> Ace - WH2T
>>>
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20051023113923.017$KC@newsreader.com...
>>> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>>> >> CB'ers = SNAFU
>>> >> For those who don't know, SNAFU is an Army term.
>>> >> Situation Normal All F***ed Up
>>> >>
>>> >> Ace - WH2T
>>> >>
>>> > They're right above top-posters on the food chain, ace.
>>>
>> Blazing retort, spanky.
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3926. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:46:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <pgfnl1pesbn3j0oo9pqma4erb1ds0fhr0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 121752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 10:32:09 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>If you need critical information, just ask Mark.
>Hell, He knows it all !
>Ace - WH2T

why thank you Sir

(i was told that good manners alwasy required thanking someone for a
compleienment)
>
>
>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>news:MMWdnQlLOJLsn8benZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>>> then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>>> Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>> They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>
>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the last
>> person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need that
>> when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3927. Re: What Amateur Ace - WH2T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:47:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023124734.218$NG_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.top-posting.fuckwits

```
"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> I got your "food chain" hanging.
> homo boi
> Ace - WH2T
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051023115600.485$iG@newsreader.com...
> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> >> BITE ME
> >>
> >> Ace - WH2T
> >>
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20051023113923.017$KC@newsreader.com...
> >> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> >> >> CB'ers = SNAFU
> >> >> For those who don't know, SNAFU is an Army term.
> >> >> Situation Normal All F***ed Up
> >> >>
> >> >> Ace - WH2T
> >> >>
> >> > They're right above top-posters on the food chain, ace.
> >>
> > Blazing retort, spanky.
>
When you can't lick em, homo-boi em, acefuck.
```

3928. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:47:41 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <tjfnl1pia3hbuqgimghgrc9t3n4c45n5es@4ax.com>
Source record: 121753

On 23 Oct 2005 05:14:39 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> I have always agreed that Jim and other got screwed back then
>
>I do not think I was "cheated" or "screwed" back then because
>the requirements changed. I knew they were changing, so I just
>went and passed the tests.
>
>Some, like W4NTI, were out of the country and didn't know the
>change was coming.
>
>> but (he and others) are still whining about it after 37 years
>
>I wasn't "whining". I was simply relating what happened, to
>show that I was there and knew the dates that the new rules took
>effect.

if it looks like whinning sound like whinning it likey is whinning
espp after the it is repeated enough times over the years
>
>History is part of policy.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3929. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:51:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130086298.015717.90640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121754

>On 22 Oct 2005 12:14:29 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >Leo wrote:
>>>> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>>>> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >> >>>Leo wrote:

<etc.>

>>>IMHO one of the biggest reasons ham radio isn't better known
>>>is that it's not a very "visual" thing - it doesn't translate well to
>>>TV or a movie.
>
>> Really? Ernest Lehman didn't think so. He wrote a fairly
>> popular novel entitled "The French Atlantic Affair" which was
>> made into a two-part TV movie on one of the networks. Lehman
>> was a respected award-winning screenwriter ("North by Northwest"
>> is perhaps the most notable). Lehman was also a licensed ham.
>
>thank been trying to remeber the title of that movie I will have to
>check if it avable in in DVD
>
>but on the tangent is my memeroy faulty or didn't those hams in voled
>(the land station was a Girl ham as I recall) violate FCC rules and
>use a Code in violation of the rules (except for the emergency nature
>of the transmisiion

Get the BOOK version. The TV movie was so re-written (for the
worst) that I'm surprised Lehman didn't lodge a protest with
the WGA (Writers Guild of America). Truly a terrible
adaptation for TV. As for casting...well, Chad Everett was
the "lead." :-) [not even close for an Emmy nomination...]

One reason for revision argues that the original book version
had too much graphic sex in it (some, not a great deal), graphic
violence (such as machine-gunning a bunch of tourists on the
ship), alcoholism and drug use (by some peripheral characters).
No kiddies involved in the book version, only adults.

The solution to the dilemma of a hijacked cruise ship involved
the Rand Corporation and a medical doctor who had taken his
portable ham rig with him (which his wife didn't like). In
the original book version, the hijackers (a large group of

swingers, by the way) meet an unusual, topical end.
["topical," NOT tropical...]

Check with Amazon on availability...of the book. Forget the
DVD (if there is one).

Ernest Lehman was interviewed in one of the independent ham
publications, got a couple pages in CQ (?) with his picture
in it. I'm surprised that all the name-dropping superhams
in here haven't included his name in any "prominent show-biz
hams" listings. Lehman died recently. You can do a regular
search on that name and come up with many a hit on it.

>> Of course, CB Radio has been featured in many a TV show and
>> movie such as "Convoy," "Smokey and the Bandit," and (would
>> you believe this title) "Flatbed Annie and Sweetiepie"...not
>> to mention an essential part of "The Dukes of Hazzard" series.

"Flatbed Annie and Sweetiepie, Lady Truckers" (the whole
title) was an amusing two-hour TV movie starring Kim Darby
and Annie Potts (might have been Potts' first big role)
circa 1978. [Darby was "Sweetiepie" and Potts was
"Flatbed Annie"]

Morsemanship prior to around 1950 has been portrayed rather
often, perhaps most noted in the various versions of the
Titanic disaster of 1912. [I doubt anyone in here except
James Micollis was alive in 1912... :-)]

It's hard to recall the large number of Westerns cranked
out between the 1930s and 1960s that DIDN'T have a scene
of a "telegraph office" with its clickety-clacking "sounder"
on the sound track. The telegrapher nearly always had on
an eyeshade and sleeve garters (must have had a lot of those
available from Western Costume Co.).

Those of us old enough to have enjoyed radio broadcasting
of pre-TV times can well remember a "newscaster" beginning
with a burst of beeping morse code and the voice "Good
evening, Mr. and Mrs. America, and all the ships at sea."

For a WW2 adventure movie, try "Dam Busters," based on a
real event of RAF bombers destroying German dams. Black
and white, but the sound of a single morse code character
(transmitted by each bomber after doing its thing) is very
much IN the movie. [I don't remember which plane Jimmie
flew on, but I'm sure he will recall all of it vividly]

There are many, many motion pictures of the past which
included a bit of morse code (largely the radio variety
beeping) as PART of the movie. I don't recall any where
morse code was the main plot ingredient, essential to
success of the story. Might have been one somewhere,

though, its unwanted print now decaying in a vault.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3930. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:52:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023125205.207$nX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 11:04:26 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I got your "food chain" hanging.
> > homo boi
> >Ace - WH2T
>
> Is Ace Stevie (k4yz) as oposed to Steveo
>
> they sure use the same play book
>
Go suck a dick, kb9rqz assclown faggot.

3931. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:54:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023125458.519$C6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 05:26:20 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 19:39:49 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >hey gents, could you please keep your flamefests to the amateur ng.
> >> >there is enough crap going on in the cb ng without having to scroll
> >> >through your nonsense. thanx, jim.
> >>
> >> you need to address you remark to K4YZ
> >>
> >>Nope. You throw as much fuel on the fire as anyone in rrcb. Jim has a
> >>good point, if you don't want CB involved in your ham arguments, don't
> >>cross post to rrcb. simple enuff.
>
> I have nothing against CB or CBers use one myself
>
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
You suck dick for fun too, so leave us CBer's alone usenetzone faggot.

3932. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 16:57:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c2gnl1tpb844tl7aqk1qsu5alv10m96vqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 121757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Oct 2005 05:53:00 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
>>
>> <snip>
>> >One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>> >repsects honesty
>> >
>> >I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>> >consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>>
>> Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
>
> No...Just the same standards you accepted for yourself, but failed
>to live up to, Farankie.

no to your standard

he made his choices and took his lumps when needed

>
>> And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity...(SNIP)
>
> Nope...Just a man. One that didn't get court martialed (twice)
>whie he was in the Marine Corps...

you truly must be living in terror of the authorities

so what he got court martialed

obviously it wasn't seroious enough to get him shot as I far I know he
did no serious time

Frank if you reading care to elbaorate

but back to stevie peple like carried out the holocoust and then tried
to hide behind the excuse I was only following orders

>
>> (UNSNIP)...he doesn'trealize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
>
> Nice try, but bland...Pretty lame, acutally
>
>> <snip>

>
>> Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.
>
> Better than what you're full of, Frankie...I know a good gastro doc
>that could help you with that, by the way...

yea he is full of shit as are we all when you think about it

were you trying to be clever Stevie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3933. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:09:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023130934.700$GF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
> >
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?

3934. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:19:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <19gnl1tt71ojsv8fvrqu9k29sf8lnhfivr@4ax.com>
Source record: 121759

```
On 23 Oct 2005 05:41:16 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 14:28:00 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:18:12 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> >> > > > > cut
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > >Run away, Marky, run away!
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
>> >> >> >> false report
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yes, you did. Or you are lying about the whole thing.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> nope
>> >> >
>> >> >Yep.
>> >>
>> >> nope
>> >
>> >Yep. Or you are a liar, take your pick.

I chose opition three I made a report of the facts as I know them

as long as I present the afcts as I know the clues i have with ,y
assement of the reliablity of them I am not filing a false report

I may be filing an erroronous report but that is not a crime
```

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
>> >> >> know what you are doing
>> >> >
>> >> >You don't know anything, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> yes I do even you and Stevie know something
>> >
>> >But we were discussing YOU, Marky.
>>
>> and I do at something I know you know something if nothing else
>
>Speak English, fool!

I refuse to Speak english in this forum

I do write in it as I have done in the sentence he can't understand

it is subtle so I guess it was beyond him
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
>> >> >> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
>> >> >> Slit
>> >> >
>> >> >Wrong, stupid.
>> >>
>> >> right you are acting stupidly or insanely....
>> >
>> >But the State of Michigan isn't looking into me like they are you,
>> >Marky.
>>
>> Gee this is news the State of Michigan is looking into me?
>>
>> not that I know of
>
>Want to bet, child abuser?

not with someone that can't even post his own name
>
>
>> and the State of Michigan would not be looking into you unless you
>> lived here which obviously you don't since you don't much about the
>> state
>
>Awww, are you going to keep barking little doggie or are you going to
>bite?

gota to get in the right place draw you out on what I need to know
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> > > >> >You are an idiot.
>> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
>> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> > > >>Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Bullshit.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
>> >> >> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat
>> >> >
>> >> >I can read, stupid.
>> >>
>> >> your point?
>> >
>> >Again the point flys over your thick skull. Can't you read, stupid?
>>
>> I can you just are not making any
>
>No, you are to dense to see it.
>
>> perhaps you think you are typing more than you are
>
>Perhaps you should lay off the illegal drugs.

since I don't take any I can't stop taking em
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
>> >> >> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
>> >> >
>> >> >Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.
>> >>
>> >> gee he did send it I have it
>> >
>> >Then post it or stfu.

>>
>> i'll do neither after all you know what it contians
>> you lack the power to compell me to shut up, I would think even you
>> would know that
>
>So in other words, there is no e-mail. STFU, Marky.

no said nothing of the kind

but you would know if you sent or not

besides you confessed in NEwsgruop
>
>> >
>> >> cut
>> >
>> >Run away,Marky.
>> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > There is absolutely a threat
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> we shall see
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> but I doubt it
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >So you are lying about the whole thing.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> no but you need to learn to read
>> >> >
>> >> >Yes,liar.
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
>> >> >> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on
>> >> >
>> >> >You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
>> >> >are on the latter.
>> >>
>> >> you lose
>> >
>> >Wrong, shit for brains.
>>
>> No You lose
>
>You lost, Marky, because you lied. I checked.

it is not a crime to lie to you, unless you are a federal agent acting
in the line of duty

BTW if you choose to claim such status you should it might be a crime
to make that claim falsely

>
>> OTOH I lose from being forced to deal with such a piece of shit as
>> yourself
>
>Too stupid to either ignore me or use a killfile? No, Marky, you enjoy
>this too much.

neither when involved others the other Mr Morgan you took away my
abilty moraly to ignore you

>It gives you attention you would not normally get.

You got that right

I don't normaly get the attention of folks I believe are so screwed up
that they clikely can't even stand trail for there crimes

It is a new experence

thank you for putting that into prespective for me

>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> and I will do what I can to se you lose as big as I can
>> >
>> >No, you can't suck my dick, Marky.
>>
>> don't want to would never suck you anyway I only deal sexual with
>> adult humans
>
>That's not what the children in your neighborhood say, Marky.

another lie Mr Slit

>
>> >
>> >> we will see what that ammounts to
>> >
>> >Indeed, filing a false report, tsk tsk, it doesn't look too good for
>> >you, Marky.
>>
>> looks fine to me but then I have not filed any false report
>
>No, you lied about the whole thing.

not at all

for your own sake you realy should get that though your head, if you
can

I have filled my report. I will have to follow though or risk it being
labeled a false report

this means the FBI will be taking a look at you Mr Slit how close they
will come I realy don't know
>
>> >
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > > They could see that, I'm
>> >> >> >> > > >sure.
>> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> > > >> >
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection
for
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought that
any
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk and
so
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says someone
may
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark
Morgan
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is
low
>> >> >> >> > > >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point
to
>> >> >> >> > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>> >> >> >> > > >> >
>> >> >> >> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
>> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
>> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> > > >>No everybody thinks so.
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
>> >> >> >> > > seem to think so
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Wrong, Marky.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> >No, it's true offline as well.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> not at all
>> >> >
>> >> >Liar.
>> >>
>> >> maybe but not about that
>> >
>> >You already admitted you are a liar.
>>
>> yes but not about the subject you were referring to
>
>Once a liar, always a liar, Marky.

I refer to other answerin this post

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
>> >> >> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
>> >> >> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
>> >> >> >> is another matter
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
>> >> >> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI
>> >> >
>> >> >You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
>> >> >and went out into a field of clues,Marky.
>> >>
>> >> I have plenty of them and getting everytime you and Whinny post
>> >
>> >You couldn't get a clue in a million years, stupid.
>>
>> I will not be recieveing Clues in 1 or more millions years you are
>> right about that
>
>You admit being that stupid, Marky? Damn are you dumb.

no I admit that I will not be around in a million years as you would
know if you bothered to read or just to think

I am respond to your hyperbole with deadpan sarcasm
>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >

>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> another lie N0VFP
>> >> >
>> >> >Another stupid Marky screw up!
>> >>
>> >> no screw up
>> >
>> >Your stupidity has no bounds, does it, Marky?
>>
>> hmm it would have to exist to have bounds so I guess you got that one
>> right, after a fashion
>
>No, your stupidity is plain to see.

you are of course entitled to your opinion

does not make it true however
>
>>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
>> >> >
>> >> >Post in English, Marky.
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
>> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
>> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Bullshit, pea brain.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> >No, retard. Learn to read.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
>> >> >> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster
>> >> >
>> >> >No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.
>> >>
>> >> I am right on target
>> >
>> >Afraid not, stupid.
>>
>> right on target
>
>No, stupid.
>
>>
>> but you are afraid you are very frightened of my mere existence
>
>No, not of a bumbling fool like you.

yes you are
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > > you talking to
>> >> >> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Liar.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> at times but not there
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> another lie N0VFP
>> >> >
>> >> >Liar.
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >So you admit you lied about the whole thing.

>> >>
>> >> when you make such a false statement you are the lair
>> >
>> >1) You admitted to being a liar.
>> >2) You lied and said you did something you didn't do.
>>
>> we were tlaking about you
>
>No, stupid.

yes I was

>
>> you made a statement that was not true
>
>Every statement you make that is untrue, dumbass.

"everything I say is lie" Harry Mudd, I Mudd ST:TOS

lol

>
>> you calim to be able to read
>
>Obviously you can't.

we werre discuising you

>
>> therefore you know it was unture
>
>What's "unture?"
>
>> therefore you are a liar
>
>Therefore a self-admitted liar like you has no credibility.

we shall see

>
>>
>> >
>> >> I am lying to you here and there which is prefectly and will not in
>> >> anyway undermine any arrest that may result
>> >
>> >So you think you are about to be arrested again, Marky?
>>
>> no I don't
>
>You don't think! And you admitted it.

no I think I am going to be aressted you dolt

>
>> I know I am not going to be arrested, and certainly for nothing to do
>> with you your threats, or your hate filled fantascies
>
>Have these delusions much, Marky?

no delusions

a few simple facts there are just not enough jail cells to confine all
those that report to the police stalking and threats that they can't
or won't follow up on

neither of us has any real reason to believe I will draw some specail
attention

I will take my at bat and we shall see the results

I believe that your involving another man thinking he was me will
increase the chances of action being taken against you and that it
will give me an at bat against Stevie

but we shall see

>
>> I have been arrested even charged over the years but none of those
>> ever even got past the Prelim hearing
>
>BWHAHAHAHAHA...nice confession, Marky.

well my mom did time for anti Nam protest (less the 90 days) and my
dad was convicted and given a suspended sentence for slugging a cop he
(and my mom) felt groped her improperly during her arest

i guess I am just less afraid of the legal system than you and stevie

so i will take my stand and risk taking my lumps

>
>> >
>> >> cut
>> >
>> >Run, Marky, run!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be
>> >> >
>> >> >I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?
>> >>
>> >> that I am not fond of cops, or of them as a general rule
>> >
>> >I wonder what the "FBI" will think of that.
>> >
>> >
>> >> all prefectly true statements
>> >

>> >It won't put you in solid with them, Marky.

missed the above only saw it

but the answer is so what

the FBI are generaly professionals
>> >

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3935. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:22:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <hghnl152irt2g0vni29764srv2lpsg6vpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 121760

On 23 Oct 2005 05:47:59 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 14:32:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
>> >> >> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
>> >> >> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
>> >> >
>> >> >WTF are you saying, stupid?
>> >>
>> >> read it and find out
>> >
>> >If CIA crytographers read it they couldn't figure out what you were
>> >trying to say,
>>
>> you gravely under estimate the CIA littleboy
>
>You don't get sarcasm, do you Marky? Or will you claim to be a KFC
>"Colonel" with the CIA, now?

neither I just claim the same to use it myself

the style I use is called "deadpan" for reason unknown to me

>
>> > you illiterate son of a bitch.
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
>> >> >> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
>> >> >
>> >> >Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."
>> >>
>> >> no I am not part of the FBI
>> >
>> >Just on their most watched list.
>>

>> not that I know but then I would not know of it, but prehaps I am on
>> such a list but I doubt it. I am not anyway near important enough to
>> merit such attention
>
>No, you are not important at all, to anybody.

meaning you kew you were lying in claiming i was on the FBI mst
watched list

>
>> perhaps you are on that list, but for now I doubt it
>
>Perhaps you should pull your head out of your ass.

again it would have to be there first and I am no where near that
flexible

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> I don't care however
>> >> >
>> >> >Then why are you going on and on about it?
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
>> >> >> trail leads
>> >> >
>> >> >Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
>> >> >
>> >> >> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
>> >> >>
>> >> >> the same for AB8MB
>> >> >>
>> >> >> the same K4YZ
>> >> >
>> >> >Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> for what?
>> >>
>> >> the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots
>> >
>> >For your defamation, Marky.
>>
>> deflamtion?
>
>"Defamation" Stupid. Even when it's in front of you you screw it up.
>
>> what are you talking about?
>
>Read it again, stupid.

>
>> It is a plain fact that folks mentioned have facts involved in such an
>> investagation
>
>It is plain to see you lied about the whole thing, Marky.

Truely I would advise you to not think that, for your own sake

>
>> that is no defamation
>
>Want to bet?
>
>> that I Believe K4YZ is guilty of actionable crimes might be
>> defamation, but it is true statement that I have made before
>
>Too bad you spend all your money on booze, or you would do something
>about it.

action on Crime requires the aid of the State not something i can buy
(or at least not without comitting the crime of bribery)
>
>>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for
>> >> >> wnat of a better word)
>> >> >
>> >> >Wtf are you on, Marky?
>> >>
>> >> nothing for you to worry about after all I am lying according to you
>> >> about taking any action with the FBI
>> >
>> >That's right. Nice of you to admit it. I'm not worried, dumbass.
>>
>> I know you are not worried and indeed I think you are safe, becuase
>> you I think are nuts enough to be safe from any criminal charge
>
>
>That's the pot calling the kettle black.
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman
>> >> >> angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing
>> >> >> with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this
>> >> >> little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it
>> >> >> seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP
>> >> >
>> >> >You were the drama queen, weren't you?
>> >>

>> >> nope just an unwilling player
>> >
>> >According to the Google archives, you've been the "bend-over" boy for
>> >years and years.
>>
>> just an unwilling player in what ever sick game you are playing
>
>And like a puppy, you always come back for more. Sounds like you are
>willing to me.
>
>> >
>> >> it seem you are trying to direct this little play while trying to man
>> >> behind the curtain (like the fraud in the Wizard of OZ)
>> >
>> >I bet you wish you could wear Judy Garland's clothes, don't you,
>> >faggot?
>>
>> you lose again
>
>Too fat to fit in them are you?
>
>> >If I am the director then CUT! "You're FIRED!"
>>
>> yu mean I was supposed to paid for taking your bullshit, then fine
>> I'll take my 2 week severance
>
>God, are you stupid, Marky.
i just have a sense of humor

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3936. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:30:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130088654.017849.264700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 23 Oct 2005 10:19

><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>
>>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>
>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>
>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>
>No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
>of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
>down.

Perhaps that is why the first two trans-continental attempts at
early messaging across the USA broke down? They were "sponsored"
by the ARRL. History. The ARRL doesn't like to talk of those
early days much, though, so you have to go to Thomas White's
Early US Radio History site to find out. :-)

> The formal message handling systems have these.

Tell us all about it, Dee. I worked in that a mere 52 years ago.
Professionally. On HF. We can compare notes...

Tell us how the GMDSS works and all the "formality" and "word
counts" apply to SOLAS.

> The FRS system does not.

The Family Radio Service was NEVER envisioned as some kind of
"message system." :-) The average pair of FRS transceivers
(handheld) sold across the counter will reach out 1 to 5 miles
(depending on terrain, etc.), ideal to keep track of family

members at a large outing. Or a small one. :-)

Did you think FRS handhelds have little "text" screens or
sockets for keyboards, printers, etc.? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3937. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:37:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a3inl19u289ommrrn2a32ocjneou6jq1c7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 10:19:47 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
>>> > block,
>>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>
>>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>
>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>
>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>>
>
>No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
>of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
>down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS system does
>not.

but if no one can find the enterence points the system can work either

Dan was quite spefic in saying Messages did not enter the NTS in any
real numbers

an adhoc system based on drawing togther FRS or CB's etc the message
will degrade within them for the reasons you mention the question is
will they get far enough to do some good

or better still How Hams intergarte this capity and use it to allow
the folks to reach them and then they can reach the either the NTS or
local authorities

that is why I think all hams realy ought to have a decent CB setup a
radio and decent anttenas for FRS and idealy MURS radios (and amp to
use in such an emergency as well as their ham station
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3938. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:39:22 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ckinl19qtbo4g7qt5g98q5jfa1jv6pj94h@4ax.com>
Source record: 121763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
>> >
>>
>>
>> ----------
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 140,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>
>How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?

as yes let the hate begin

BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
out of your mouth"

your "logic" is pretty poor

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3939. Re: DOING ASSIGNMENTS INSTEAD OF YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:58:19 UTC
From: NetKKKop <NetKKKop@nutsanonjerks.org>
Message-ID: <djgivd$l53$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121764
Cross-posted to: uk.education.openuniversity,uk.education.maths,uk.education.misc,misc.education.science,alt.education.distance,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

(deleted groups restored)

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1130072413.523337.319200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Normal practice to add a comment that you have cross-posted
> to extra groups, OM.
>

Oh My! Look everyone. I have awakended a resident
NetKKKop. *Normal Practice* Oh my Oh my, please
Mr NetKKKop, what is *your* Normal Practice, beyond
making up rules and telling others on Usenet that they must
obey your rules?
ROTFLMAO!

> anon wrote:
>> "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:1130062080.317858.215030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > You can now get what is laughingly known as a Radio Amateur
>> > licence at 6-years-old. It is, in reality, a CBer's licence,
>> > and is known as the "M3/CB Fools' Licence". No _REAL_
>> > Radio Ham worth his salt has anything to do with the
>> > scheme which is widely regarded as a gangrenous
>> > degeneration of a once highly-regarded technical pursuit.
>> >
>> > What is astounding is that some grown ups (teachers, even)
>> > baulked at the previous qualification that was set
>> > for 14-year-olds and got licences issued under the
>> > scheme for 6-year-olds. No wonder that standards in
>> > schools are falling when the teachers themselves
>> > demonstrate such an abysmal example of striving for
>> > standards! "Do as I say and not as I do"?
>> >
>> > pjs2002 wrote:
>> >> "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
>> >> news:1129919293.400034.76090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> > Thus - an OU degree is like 6 'O' levels, all of them in Cookery.
>> >> > No use at all.
>> >> Not that good an analogy as you can get an O level for 2 hours evening
>> >> school study a week over a year. It a bit like saying the a Radio
>> >> Amateur
>> >> licence is at primary level for 9yr olds, and a CB radio course is at

>> >> 7yr
>> >> olds level.
>> >>
>> >> pjs2002
>> >
>

3940. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:59:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <fcQ6f.399$AS6.4@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Of course you won't believe me, or anyone else. But the cell phone network was down for the majority of New Orleans. I know people that went there to repair it.

In fact some hams also helped repair the tower sites. Mostly getting antennas back in working shape, and getting batteries and/or generators up and running.

As for why text gets through easier and better than voice. It's just like CW Markie, and if you understand that mode it would be easier for you to comprehend text vs voice on a cell system. But I'll try....It is a matter of bandwidth. Text uses and requires much less than voice does. Thus it has a much better chance of getting through. Even with marginal conditions and basically a cell phone user watching his "s meter" and finding a site to receive it.

Sounds to me the ones that got through knew a little about signal propagation to me. Gee....do you think they were hams or had a ham nearby? Just a thought.

Dan/W4NTI

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:oi4ml15ps8is20o1baraoglvv2lc8ktspq@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 21:22:14 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>>> network
>>
>>How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
>>coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
>>to and make a few calls.
>
> not accrdoing to MSNBC while the netwaork was not working for voice it
> was working for text according to MSNBC
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3941. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:59:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <gcQ6f.400$AS6.52@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130032281.684581.232610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:b9WdnR4HFvVCIMfeRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >
>> >> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>> >> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>> >> network so at text will get through no matter what
>> >
>> > Unrelated.
>>
>> Ignorant, and ridiculous. Typical Markie.
>
> typical Danny boy no content just dismiss anything outside his horizion
>
> the cell phone kept carry text message though out Katrina and the after
> math recharging cell phone batteries became a challange but the are
> ways of course
>
> but then Danny has never wanted to take part in any discussion where he
> does set the ground rules one of which is that everyone must agree in
> adavnce to agree with him
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Oh gee Markie.....what is the reason text made it through? You can read the
post I just made to get the answer.

Dan/W4NTI

3942. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:59:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <icQ6f.401$AS6.293@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
>>> > block,
>>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so
>>> > on.
>>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>
>>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>
>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>
>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>>
>
> No it simply means that without a structured format, message training,
> means of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system
> breaks down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS
> system does not.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Exactly correct Dee. Here is something I made up years ago.

"It takes two things to communicate, an operator at both ends"

A slight addition would be "trained operator"

Dan/W4NTI

3943. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 17:59:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jcQ6f.402$AS6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> [snip]
>> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past the
> end
>> of their block? Oh with that useless ham stuff? On Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh
> I
>> get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then
>> carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.
>>
>> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> It's already being done... CERT http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/CERT/
> communities are using FRS to organize their efforts in reponse to disaster
> preparedness or simply community watch and its working quite well. The
> idea
> that a ham is needed to supply communications is somewhat outdated---hams
> have out-geeked themselves. If a CERT member has a radio that doesn't
> work
> just throw it away and get another. What really matters is organization
> and
> team skills and communities have plenty of indviduals professionally
> trained
> in all manner of skills besides a token ham or two. Some CERT teams are
> guarded about disclosing their capabilities and SOP...
>
> Besides, who's to say that repeaters won't become available to extend the
> range of FRS radios---if there is a need anything is possible. What about
> GMRS? Take a look at what the Aussies have done with their UHF CB
> allocations http://www.alphalink.com.au/~parkerp/cb.htm
>
> The issue with the future of FRS-type communications should be carefully
> considered. I think that amateur long-haul coms are becoming less
> important
> compared to short range communications networks---especially within
> communties. Considering the ease of getting FRS communications the
> amateur

> service has little to offer---unless amateurs can re-invent themselves.
>
> Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
>
>

There is nothing at all wrong with using FRS, GMRS or any of the citizen accessible radio systems. But there is NO REASON to re-invent the wheel.

Ham radio can and does provide long haul and short haul communications.

Why throw away that capability (HF) to put all the eggs in a repeater system that can, does and likely will fail when most needed.

Furthermore I am trying to show you that once communications is established, ham, FRS, CB, GMRS, whatever then how is this link sent further down the line? Such as what happened recently on the Gulf Coast.

I.E. Red Cross requests amateur radio assistance. Hundreds go to their help. They say we only want you for "shelter communications". VHF will do fine. NO WAY TO PASS IT FURTHER DOWN THE LINE as in ....how to get commo from Montgomery Red Cross to the shelters and back?
Ham Radio High Frequency on the ESTABLISHED ARRL National Traffic System nets. Which were ready, willing, and able to do so.

It is NOT Amateur radios fault that the Red Cross apparently chose to sit on their hands and NOT UTILIZE the system.

Dan/W4NTI

3944. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:02:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ZeQ6f.407$AS6.381@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130032115.630397.217230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>> news:LpqdnUnr4fUD_MfenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>> > TOM wrote:
>> >> I did not hear a single
>> >> health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
>> >> would
>> >> have been buzzing with activity.
>> >> [snip]
>> >
>> > Try turning your receiver on next time, I heard lots of H&W traffic
>> > during
>> > Katrina and Rita.
>>
>> I think he will respond with "not on the National Traffic System". And
>> he
>> would be right. I heard lots of "traffic" on the designated emergency
>> nets.
>> Although most of that was not formatted properly (as in NTS format).
>
> who peed in your cherrois tonight Dan?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

You and your ignorant attitude Markie. Read your own posts. Everyone is
wrong and everyone is a liar. When indeed it is YOU that is wrong.

Then you come back with oh no your wrong. It goes on and on. You don't
debate Markie you MIMICK and I for one am real sick of it. Some of us
actually want to discuss things of value to Amateur Radio. What the hell
your doing is certainly NOT that.

Dan/W4NTI

3945. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:09:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ulQ6f.18189$vw6.14782@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:xSN6f.170684$qY1.118941@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:4sfll1h3dg9nccpo621ghc80cb1nj89bq6@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:17:13 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>> >Tom,
>> >
>> >I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any
> traffic,
>> >if none is sent to it.
>>
>> meaning you are greing with me and Tom
>>
>> why is it ham hating if you say and ham hating if I do
>>
>> you also make my point no vital traffic can be passed by ham radio if
>> it was not sent to ham radio
>>
>> > I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
>> >handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>>
>> which brings you to stating the same thing I was
>> >
>> >I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
> decided
>> >that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF
> Telephone
>> >network between shelters that is.
>>
>> which is a dangerous state of affairs for one of the supports that we
>> use in our spectrum battles
>>
>> but you were unwilling to even discuss such things
>>
>> >
>> >Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>>
>> maybe we were maybe we were not, but it seems whatever the truth were
>> not seen as ready willing and able, and the preception of the served
>> agencies is more important than the reality.
>

> Exactly... the amateur service had an unprecedented golden opportunity for
> PR at New Orleans and they blew-it big time. Probably not so much from
> lack
> of interest by individual amateurs but from lack of leadership from the
> ARRL. Now it is seen the ARRL is trying to re-make the amateur service
> after the fact. The truth is the ARRL is more interested in running a
> business than promoting a service. For giggles... I'll say the shift in
> interest by the ARRL started when they changed the format of QST back in
> the
> 1970's. Remember how many of us remarked that QST didn't seem anything
> more
> that a radio catalog---and many of us dropped our subscription?
> Seriously,
> the ARRL hasn't done anything in recent times other than to publish which,
> in many cases, are nothing more that collections of QST articles. I do
> have a copy of, 'The ARRL Emergency Communication Handbook'---it should
> contain twice the present content.
>
> Nevertheless, I am happy to see discussion on the topic
> continue---especially if they are constructive comments.
>
>
>\

I don't agree that it is/was a failure with or from the ARRL. The ARRL National Traffic System was and is STILL running. I operate it every single day and evening. I know what it does or doesn't do.

I believe it is the RED CROSS that is behind this non use of a resource. I personally delivered several immediate notifications to families that their people were alive. Nothing more. At my expense on the phone. I was just a small cog in a large wheel. And these DID NOT COME FROM THE RED CROSS. They came from the BAPTIST Church group that was in Biloxi feeding thousands per day. And in between were able to send out some messages. I can't describe how grateful these people were. Can you imagine what publicity Ham Radio COULD HAVE RECEIVED if the RED CROSS would have allowed the MOSTLY IDLE operators at the shelters to send out HW traffic? Don't tell me the ARRL dropped the ball. It was the RED CROSS.

Dan/W4NTI

3946. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:11:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <VmQ6f.18190$vw6.16292@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:c3N6f.485964$5N3.170668@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Dr.Ace <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> news:81453$435ac991$4fc65b5$13514@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>> SATERN = SALVATION ARMY TEAM EMERGENCY RADIO NETWORK
>>
>> http://www.satern.org/
>>
>> What We Do
>> Emergency communications etc. etc.
>> ----------
>> LAT expos&#65533;: "The Red Cross money pit" - The TRUTH at last!!!
>> http://www.dailykos.com/story/2005/9/25/95628/8554
>>
>> ----------
>>
>> It has always been my personal opinion that the Salvation Army is a
> MUCH
>> better organization than the Red Cross / Red Crescent . Organizations
>> like
>> the Red Cross / Red Crescent have enormous overhead, including high-paid
>> executives and posh offices.
>>
>> Ace - WH2T
>
> Sounds like an interesting group---I'll check them out. Yes... I often
> heard unfavorable remarks made about the Red Cross---especially from
> servicemen (including my father after the WWII) but consistent praise for
> the Salvation Army.
>
> I recall the Red Cross blood drives of 9/11---then blood thrown away
> because
> there were no storage facilities available; some doctors wondered why all
> the blood since there was no need for it. The story made the national
> news
> for a couple of days then forgotten.
>
> I hear good words about the Church of the Latter Day Saints (Mormons) as
> providing excellent service during disaster relief; however, I don't know
> of
> their communications needs.

>
> If the Red Cross is 'particular' then the heck with them; better to
> concentrate efforts elsewhere and make a good showing---the word will get
> out.
>
>
>
>

Thats right Tom. You hit it right on the head mate.

Dan/W4NTI

3947. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:12:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ZnQ6f.18191$vw6.129@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:nBN6f.170641$qY1.2410@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:JTy6f.19791$q1.12882@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:Kcr6f.479613$5N3.304877@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> > The future of the National Traffic System
>> > http://www.eham.net/articles/12198
>> >
>> > [snip]
>> > For those of you who may not know, the National Traffic System (NTS)
>> > consists of a carefully choreographed collection of section, region,
>> > and
>> > area nets designed to relay messages throughout the US. In a sense it's
>> > the
>> > second "R" in ARRL. NTS has a long and honorable history and has some
>> > of
>> > the
>> > best operators in the world as its membership.
>> >
>> > The recent hurricane season has raised serious doubts for me about the
>> > role
>> > that NTS plays in the current ham-radio environment. I did not hear a
>> > single
>> > health-and-welfare message during the disasters. In bygone times, NTS
>> > would
>> > have been buzzing with activity.
>> > [snip]
>> >
>> >
>> Tom,
>>
>> I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any
>> traffic,
>> if none is sent to it. I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
>> handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>>
>> I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
> decided
>> that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF
>> Telephone

>> network between shelters that is.
>>
>> Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> You incorrectly credit me as being the author of the eham.net article;
> however, I do share the same sentiments.
>
>
You seem like a reasonable man Tom. I am sure you will see that it was not
the HAMS, or the ARRL that were the problem. It was/is the Red Cross.

Dan/W4NTI

3948. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:14:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <njknl1po0jrk9ff62dphdqtrachh6b46pl@4ax.com>
Source record: 121777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:39 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>Of course you won't believe me, or anyone else. But the cell phone network
>was down for the majority of New Orleans. I know people that went there to
>repair it.

I would believe some trust worthy
>
>In fact some hams also helped repair the tower sites. Mostly getting
>antennas back in working shape, and getting batteries and/or generators up
>and running.
>
>As for why text gets through easier and better than voice. It's just like
>CW Markie, and if you understand that mode it would be easier for you to
>comprehend text vs voice on a cell system.
shove your condesntion asshole

I know why it get though the system better when the system is under
stress

if you you would read you would know I was never confused on the issue

however the fact text was getting if very slwoly through much of the
city shows the network was not completely down, just damaged and over
loaded and in need of repair to restore full utily of the system

cuting snid remarks
>Dan/W4NTI
>
><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:oi4ml15ps8is20o1baraoglvv2lc8ktspq@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 21:22:14 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>>>> network
>>>
>>>How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
>>>coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
>>>to and make a few calls.
>>
>> not accrdoing to MSNBC while the netwaork was not working for voice it

>> was working for text according to MSNBC
>>
>> --
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 140,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3949. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:15:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <1rQ6f.18192$vw6.3583@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130070442.236886.111670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> LRod wrote:
>> > On 22 Oct 2005 11:10:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> > >
>> > >Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> > >> N9OGL wrote:
>> > >> > Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Todd N9OGL
>> > >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
>> > >> > 14.321.00 MHz.
>> > >> >
>> > >>
>> > >> You're right, with any luck we may see K1MAN in jail. Wouldn't that
>> > >> be nice.
>> > >
>> > >on what crime?
>> >
>> > Aggravated mopery with intent to gawk.
>>
>> not an FCC matter and not something he even stands accused of as far I
>> know
>
> Well, there ya have it. Marky leaving no doubt that he is a
> know-nothing.
>

But that doesn't stop him from having an opinion. What a goof he is.

Dan/W4NTI

3950. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:15:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <poknl19gp4gao2bjqsprgivgf0fl4sa6v8@4ax.com>
Source record: 121780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:40 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1130032281.684581.232610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> news:b9WdnR4HFvVCIMfeRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
>>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> a decent case can be made that the USA should sell of all ham VHF/UHF
>>> >> spectrum and use the money to build more redunacy in to the cell tower
>>> >> network so at text will get through no matter what
>>> >
>>> > Unrelated.
>>>
>>> Ignorant, and ridiculous. Typical Markie.
>>
>> typical Danny boy no content just dismiss anything outside his horizion
>>
>> the cell phone kept carry text message though out Katrina and the after
>> math recharging cell phone batteries became a challange but the are
>> ways of course
>>
>> but then Danny has never wanted to take part in any discussion where he
>> does set the ground rules one of which is that everyone must agree in
>> adavnce to agree with him
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
>Oh gee Markie.....what is the reason text made it through? You can read the
>post I just made to get the answer.

becuase the system was not completely down

that is the reason it got though

as to why it got through better than voice I knew that too
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3951. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:15:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <iqknl1ldeklcjq513ka07k2rsrjsb97766@4ax.com>
Source record: 121781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:42 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>>
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
>>>> > block,
>>>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so
>>>> > on.
>>>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>>
>>>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>>
>>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>>
>>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>>>
>>
>> No it simply means that without a structured format, message training,
>> means of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system
>> breaks down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS
>> system does not.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>Exactly correct Dee. Here is something I made up years ago.
>
>"It takes two things to communicate, an operator at both ends"
>

>A slight addition would be "trained operator"

wrong agian
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3952. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:16:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7rknl15i9atdv26kfh8c9d9gs548vorr05@4ax.com>
Source record: 121782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past the
>> end
>>> of their block? Oh with that useless ham stuff? On Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh
>> I
>>> get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then
>>> carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.
>>>
>>> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> It's already being done... CERT http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/CERT/
>> communities are using FRS to organize their efforts in reponse to disaster
>> preparedness or simply community watch and its working quite well. The
>> idea
>> that a ham is needed to supply communications is somewhat outdated---hams
>> have out-geeked themselves. If a CERT member has a radio that doesn't
>> work
>> just throw it away and get another. What really matters is organization
>> and
>> team skills and communities have plenty of indviduals professionally
>> trained
>> in all manner of skills besides a token ham or two. Some CERT teams are
>> guarded about disclosing their capabilities and SOP...
>>
>> Besides, who's to say that repeaters won't become available to extend the
>> range of FRS radios---if there is a need anything is possible. What about
>> GMRS? Take a look at what the Aussies have done with their UHF CB
>> allocations http://www.alphalink.com.au/~parkerp/cb.htm
>>
>> The issue with the future of FRS-type communications should be carefully
>> considered. I think that amateur long-haul coms are becoming less

>> important
>> compared to short range communications networks---especially within
>> communties. Considering the ease of getting FRS communications the
>> amateur
>> service has little to offer---unless amateurs can re-invent themselves.
>>
>> Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
>>
>>
>
>There is nothing at all wrong with using FRS, GMRS or any of the citizen
>accessible radio systems. But there is NO REASON to re-invent the wheel.
>
>Ham radio can and does provide long haul and short haul communications.

then why did it not carry it according to you
cut

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3953. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:17:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <itQ6f.18194$vw6.15474@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121774

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:g8qll1plcpvpgeo823h01iaa5h3kuobdho@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 01:37:17 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>Everyone is wrong...wrong wrong again...Except the jerkoff Markie. Damn
>>boy, wake up and smell the roses.
>
> when someome lies about what I said I call em you too if needed
>
> and you are a self confessed hate filled bigot who claims anyone he
> does like saying the same things as he does hates Ham radio
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> but then you are such an easy target
>
I don't run around on a DAILY BASIS and ROUTINLY accuse people of being
liars, bigots, hate mongers, etc. Oh and tell the FBI to go find Kennedy's
killer. Do something useful.

BWAHHHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAAAAa

Dan/W4NTI

3954. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:19:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023141933.969$1F@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121775

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:g8qll1plcpvpgeo823h01iaa5h3kuobdho@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 01:37:17 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Everyone is wrong...wrong wrong again...Except the jerkoff Markie.
> >>Damn boy, wake up and smell the roses.
> >
> > when someome lies about what I said I call em you too if needed
> >
> > and you are a self confessed hate filled bigot who claims anyone he
> > does like saying the same things as he does hates Ham radio
> >>
> >>Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >
> > but then you are such an easy target
> >
> I don't run around on a DAILY BASIS and ROUTINLY accuse people of being
> liars, bigots, hate mongers, etc. Oh and tell the FBI to go find
> Kennedy's killer. Do something useful.
>
> BWAHHHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAAAAa
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
He offered the FBI head.

3955. Re: Romeo, I hardly knew ye! (FWD: from DX-LIST@yahoogroups.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:19:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bvQ6f.18195$vw6.2569@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121776

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0CE6f.395$RI1.353@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> I heard/read/dreamed that Don Miller was busted and went to jail for
>> other than ham related stuff. True anyone?
>>
>
> Dr. Don spent hard time after being convicted of a conspiracy to off his
> old lady.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
Yeah I remember now. Thanks Hans, I can always depend on you to have the
right answer.

Now on to Markie.......what a complete moron you are.

BWAHHHHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAAA

Dan/W4NTI

3956. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:20:57 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <h2lnl11lrqhbr82vsvdabvslg1rpccn6l7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> _____
>> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> >> More than 140,000 groups
>> >> Unlimited download
>> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>> >>
>> >How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
>>
>> as yes let the hate begin
>>
>If you hate it, why do you do it?

learn english

I don't hate it you hate that I exist

grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better

>>
>> BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
>> out of your mouth"
>>
>> your "logic" is pretty poor
>>
>My logic? You're a male cocksucker, kb9rqz. Where's the logic in that?

your logic is a bad as your reading skills

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3957. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:22:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zxQ6f.18196$vw6.10184@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121779
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130044961.812225.287750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Polymath wrote:
>> Nailsea Grammar School?
>
> you don't even know what he Means?
>
> good greif I know what Steve Stone means and I think the ARS would be
> better off with Morse Code USE banned (but I don't addvocate it in the
> US becuase I beleive the Code users have the right do such things even
> if I find them wastefull or counter productive
>>
>> Steve Stone wrote:
>> >
>> > What is your FISTS number ???
>

Oh please Mr. Know-it-all Markie explain to us ignorant Real Hams how using Morse Code is "wastefull or counter productive". I can hardly wait for this one.

Dan/W4NTI

3958. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:22:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023142224.516$OF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> More than 140,000 groups
> >> Unlimited download
> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >>
> >How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
>
> as yes let the hate begin
>
If you hate it, why do you do it?
>
> BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
> out of your mouth"
>
> your "logic" is pretty poor
>
My logic? You're a male cocksucker, kb9rqz. Where's the logic in that?

3959. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:33:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051023143327.739$DH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> _____
> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> >> More than 140,000 groups
> >> >> Unlimited download
> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >> >>
> >> >How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
> >>
> >> as yes let the hate begin
> >>
> >If you hate it, why do you do it?
>
> learn english
>
> I don't hate it you hate that I exist
>
Your parents do too, fruitcake.

3960. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 18:59:50 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <G4R6f.171214$qY1.98075@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:jcQ6f.402$AS6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> [snip]
> >> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past
the
> > end
> >> of their block? Oh with that useless ham stuff? On
Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh
> > I
> >> get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then
> >> carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.
> >>
> >> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > It's already being done... CERT http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/CERT/
> > communities are using FRS to organize their efforts in reponse to
disaster
> > preparedness or simply community watch and its working quite well. The
> > idea
> > that a ham is needed to supply communications is somewhat
outdated---hams
> > have out-geeked themselves. If a CERT member has a radio that doesn't
> > work
> > just throw it away and get another. What really matters is organization
> > and
> > team skills and communities have plenty of indviduals professionally
> > trained
> > in all manner of skills besides a token ham or two. Some CERT teams are
> > guarded about disclosing their capabilities and SOP...
> >
> > Besides, who's to say that repeaters won't become available to extend
the
> > range of FRS radios---if there is a need anything is possible. What
about

> > GMRS? Take a look at what the Aussies have done with their UHF CB
> > allocations http://www.alphalink.com.au/~parkerp/cb.htm
> >
> > The issue with the future of FRS-type communications should be carefully
> > considered. I think that amateur long-haul coms are becoming less
> > important
> > compared to short range communications networks---especially within
> > communties. Considering the ease of getting FRS communications the
> > amateur
> > service has little to offer---unless amateurs can re-invent themselves.
> >
> > Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
> >
> >
>
> There is nothing at all wrong with using FRS, GMRS or any of the citizen
> accessible radio systems. But there is NO REASON to re-invent the wheel.
>
> Ham radio can and does provide long haul and short haul communications.
>
> Why throw away that capability (HF) to put all the eggs in a repeater
system
> that can, does and likely will fail when most needed.
>
> Furthermore I am trying to show you that once communications is
established,
> ham, FRS, CB, GMRS, whatever then how is this link sent further down the
> line? Such as what happened recently on the Gulf Coast.
>
> I.E. Red Cross requests amateur radio assistance. Hundreds go to their
> help. They say we only want you for "shelter communications". VHF will
do
> fine. NO WAY TO PASS IT FURTHER DOWN THE LINE as in ....how to get commo
> from Montgomery Red Cross to the shelters and back?
> Ham Radio High Frequency on the ESTABLISHED ARRL National Traffic System
> nets. Which were ready, willing, and able to do so.
>
> It is NOT Amateur radios fault that the Red Cross apparently chose to sit
on
> their hands and NOT UTILIZE the system.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

You make valid points... Why is amateur radio so dependent upon the Red Cross? Heck, looking back over my ham experience seemingly all the Red Cross provided was a place to put a repeater and have emergency power. To be honest... the Red Cross screwed us in NO---perhaps we had an image that was lacking. In that case we ought to provide a service to some other organization/s. I don't know where the future lies for the amateur service. The numbers (of hams) just don't stack up against the numbers that can be

equipped with license free radios or radios that require a simple operating permit. You can be sure if non-hams can get organized and provide a needed service an important part of what justifies the amateur service will no longer exist with the consequence that any leadership roles provided by the amateur service will evaporate.

Perhaps this will happen anyway. We've watched the amateur service slowly being de-regulated. A time may come where present day Amateur, FRS, MURS, GMRS... will all become part of, say, the 'citizens communications service'.

You mention HF allocations as being a strong point for the amateur service; however, I don't think that long haul communications are necessary for the bulk of emergency communications---these disasters are local in scope. To my way of thinking if anyone needs to communicate over long distances it will be primary relief organizations and they can do that over satellite or their own HF networks, besides, landlines generally remain intact---providing an access point somewhere. I find the Australian VKS-737 an interesting example of what non-hams (CB) can do with five HF channels http://www.vks737.on.net/ . Additionally, my experiences in the Caribbean have made me become aware of how non-hams, who are boaters, can create highly organized and effective communications networks using the HF maritime allocations.

Discussion on the topic can hurt.

3961. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 19:24:20 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <c4112$435be356$4e0bdf9$27488@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 121786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:ulQ6f.18189$vw6.14782@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:xSN6f.170684$qY1.118941@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:4sfll1h3dg9nccpo621ghc80cb1nj89bq6@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 22:17:13 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>> >Tom,
>>> >
>>> >I hate to break your ham hating crusade but the NTS can't run any
>> traffic,
>>> >if none is sent to it.
>>>
>>> meaning you are greing with me and Tom
>>>
>>> why is it ham hating if you say and ham hating if I do
>>>
>>> you also make my point no vital traffic can be passed by ham radio if
>>> it was not sent to ham radio
>>>
>>> > I had the exact same complaint. I did manage to
>>> >handle a few messages, but NOTHING like I was expecting.
>>>
>>> which brings you to stating the same thing I was
>>> >
>>> >I have since found that the American Red Cross, for WHATEVER REASON
>> decided
>>> >that ham radio was not good enough to use. Other than for a VHF
>> Telephone
>>> >network between shelters that is.
>>>
>>> which is a dangerous state of affairs for one of the supports that we
>>> use in our spectrum battles
>>>
>>> but you were unwilling to even discuss such things
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Not our bad Tom. We were there, ready, willing, and able.
>>>
>>> maybe we were maybe we were not, but it seems whatever the truth were

>>> not seen as ready willing and able, and the preception of the served
>>> agencies is more important than the reality.
>>
>> Exactly... the amateur service had an unprecedented golden opportunity
>> for
>> PR at New Orleans and they blew-it big time. Probably not so much from
>> lack
>> of interest by individual amateurs but from lack of leadership from the
>> ARRL. Now it is seen the ARRL is trying to re-make the amateur service
>> after the fact. The truth is the ARRL is more interested in running a
>> business than promoting a service. For giggles... I'll say the shift in
>> interest by the ARRL started when they changed the format of QST back in
>> the
>> 1970's. Remember how many of us remarked that QST didn't seem anything
>> more
>> that a radio catalog---and many of us dropped our subscription?
>> Seriously,
>> the ARRL hasn't done anything in recent times other than to publish
>> which,
>> in many cases, are nothing more that collections of QST articles. I do
>> have a copy of, 'The ARRL Emergency Communication Handbook'---it should
>> contain twice the present content.
>>
>> Nevertheless, I am happy to see discussion on the topic
>> continue---especially if they are constructive comments.
>>
>>
>>\
>
> I don't agree that it is/was a failure with or from the ARRL. The ARRL
> National Traffic System was and is STILL running. I operate it every
> single day and evening. I know what it does or doesn't do.
>
> I believe it is the RED CROSS that is behind this non use of a resource.
> I personally delivered several immediate notifications to families that
> their people were alive. Nothing more. At my expense on the phone. I
> was just a small cog in a large wheel. And these DID NOT COME FROM THE
> RED CROSS.
> They came from the BAPTIST Church group that was in Biloxi feeding
> thousands per day. And in between were able to send out some messages. I
> can't describe how grateful these people were. Can you imagine what
> publicity Ham Radio COULD HAVE RECEIVED if the RED CROSS would have
> allowed the MOSTLY IDLE operators at the shelters to send out HW traffic?
> Don't tell me the ARRL dropped the ball. It was the RED CROSS.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Amen brother !
Ace - WH2T

3962. bye-bye nigs !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 19:59:16 UTC
From: DICK <vanderhole@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1130097556.672848.257380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121787
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.democrats.d,rec.radio.cb,neworleans.general,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.amateur.policy

sure is great that new orleans will be a great city again! i do feel sorry for the areas that will have to support our niggers.

they're not going to work and they will do their crimes. niggers are animals so what can one expect. at least new orleans is cleansed!

3963. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 20:22:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <t6snl11ii0ipt8e3jm96a1b13ba92deh30@4ax.com>
Source record: 121788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Oct 2005 18:33:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> _____
>> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> >> >> More than 140,000 groups
>> >> >> Unlimited download
>> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>> >> >>
>> >> >How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
>> >>
>> >> as yes let the hate begin
>> >>
>> >If you hate it, why do you do it?
>>
>> learn english
>>
>> I don't hate it you hate that I exist
>>
>Your parents do too, fruitcake.

wrong again my folks love me and no fruitcake here the nuts in it give
me gas

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3964. Re: bye-bye nigs !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 20:41:12 UTC
From: cygnian@despammed.com
Message-ID: <1130100072.064565.18910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121789
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.democrats.d,rec.radio.cb,neworleans.general,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh my! And how many will use such a hated posting to justify further vilonece or crime? I don't know a lot about New Orleans, except that there's not really a preference in race when it comes to getting drunk or stoned. It's the boasted way of life there....party on, a regular Sodom and Gamorrah.......That's the sad part, not race.

You can voice your own hatred, but it won't solve any problem....only cause more problems. Sad!

3965. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 20:42:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130100147.097922.31880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121790
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130044961.812225.287750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Polymath wrote:
> >> Nailsea Grammar School?
> >
> > you don't even know what he Means?
> >
> > good greif I know what Steve Stone means and I think the ARS would be
> > better off with Morse Code USE banned (but I don't addvocate it in the
> > US becuase I beleive the Code users have the right do such things even
> > if I find them wastefull or counter productive
> >>
> >> Steve Stone wrote:
> >> >
> >> > What is your FISTS number ???
> >
> >
> Oh please Mr. Know-it-all Markie explain to us ignorant Real Hams how using
> Morse Code is "wastefull or counter productive". I can hardly wait for this
> one.

I oputght to make you wait for it

but I will not

Morse Code use has brought about a Cult statuts that has clearly served
and will most likely continue to serve as a dividing point amoug the
memebers of the ARS

I have more but if you want I will make you wait
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3966. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 20:44:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130100253.504262.177780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121791

Steveo wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> > <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:g8qll1plcpvpgeo823h01iaa5h3kuobdho@4ax.com...
> > > On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 01:37:17 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > > <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Everyone is wrong...wrong wrong again...Except the jerkoff Markie.
> > >>Damn boy, wake up and smell the roses.
> > >
> > > when someome lies about what I said I call em you too if needed
> > >
> > > and you are a self confessed hate filled bigot who claims anyone he
> > > does like saying the same things as he does hates Ham radio
> > >>
> > >>Dan/W4NTI
> > >>
> > >
> > > but then you are such an easy target
> > >
> > I don't run around on a DAILY BASIS and ROUTINLY accuse people of being
> > liars, bigots, hate mongers, etc. Oh and tell the FBI to go find
> > Kennedy's killer. Do something useful.
> >
> > BWAHHHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAAAAa
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> He offered the FBI head.

guess you missed it the agent is female

3967. episle to a sad fellows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 20:47:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130100422.926373.190730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121792
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.democrats.d,rec.radio.cb,neworleans.general,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cygn...@despammed.com wrote:
> Oh my! And how many will use such a hated posting to justify further
> vilonece or crime? I don't know a lot about New Orleans, except that
> there's not really a preference in race when it comes to getting drunk
> or stoned. It's the boasted way of life there....party on, a regular
> Sodom and Gamorrah.......That's the sad part, not race.

sad to see freedom treated this way

NO was simply due and those responsible for caring for the city had
there heads in the sand about Hurricanes
>
> You can voice your own hatred, but it won't solve any problem....only
> cause more problems. Sad!

sad indeed

3968. Re: bye-bye nigs !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 20:52:22 UTC
From: 20car <nobody@home.com>
Message-ID: <spednQIjXeEqasbenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 121793
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.democrats.d,rec.radio.cb,neworleans.general,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DICK" <vanderhole@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1130097556.672848.257380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> sure is great that new orleans will be a great city again! i do feel
> sorry for the areas that will have to support our niggers.
>
> they're not going to work and they will do their crimes. niggers are
> animals so what can one expect. at least new orleans is cleansed!
>

I think it's a joke when they were told to evacuate and after they ignored
that request, now they are cursing the government. And why....are we
supposed to support them for everything?

3969. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 20:53:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130100786.960981.97110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1130070442.236886.111670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> LRod wrote:
> >> > On 22 Oct 2005 11:10:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > >Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> > >> N9OGL wrote:
> >> > >> > Unlike what some amateur's think, it's not over yet
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> > Todd N9OGL
> >> > >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> >> > >> > 14.321.00 MHz.
> >> > >> >
> >> > >>
> >> > >> You're right, with any luck we may see K1MAN in jail. Wouldn't that
> >> > >> be nice.
> >> > >
> >> > >on what crime?
> >> >
> >> > Aggravated mopery with intent to gawk.
> >>
> >> not an FCC matter and not something he even stands accused of as far I
> >> know
> >
> > Well, there ya have it. Marky leaving no doubt that he is a
> > know-nothing.
> >
>
> But that doesn't stop him from having an opinion. What a goof he is.

Dan why should it stop me from having an opinion?

becuase you don't like me?

you are sounding a lot Stevie
but mopery or simple indecent expousure is not an FCC matter
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3970. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:29:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <chT6f.18988$QE1.17374@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Un Trustworthy eh? Beats the hell out of being a fagot and a child molester
though don't it? You piece of garbage.

Dan/W4NTI

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:njknl1po0jrk9ff62dphdqtrachh6b46pl@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:39 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>Of course you won't believe me, or anyone else. But the cell phone
>>network
>>was down for the majority of New Orleans. I know people that went there
>>to
>>repair it.
>
> I would believe some trust worthy
>>
>>In fact some hams also helped repair the tower sites. Mostly getting
>>antennas back in working shape, and getting batteries and/or generators up
>>and running.
>>
>>As for why text gets through easier and better than voice. It's just like
>>CW Markie, and if you understand that mode it would be easier for you to
>>comprehend text vs voice on a cell system.
> shove your condesntion asshole
>
> I know why it get though the system better when the system is under
> stress
>
> if you you would read you would know I was never confused on the issue
>
> however the fact text was getting if very slwoly through much of the
> city shows the network was not completely down, just damaged and over
> loaded and in need of repair to restore full utily of the system
>
> cuting snid remarks
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:oi4ml15ps8is20o1baraoglvv2lc8ktspq@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 21:22:14 -0700, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
>>> wrote:
>>>

>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>>>>> network
>>>>
>>>>How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
>>>>coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
>>>>to and make a few calls.
>>>
>>> not accrdoing to MSNBC while the netwaork was not working for voice it
>>> was working for text according to MSNBC
>>>
>>> _____
>>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>>> More than 140,000 groups
>>> Unlimited download
>>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3971. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:31:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <GiT6f.18989$QE1.2103@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:iqknl11deklcjq513ka07k2rsrjsb97766@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:42 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>> >
>>>>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
>>>>> > block,
>>>>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so
>>>>> > on.
>>>>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in
>>>>> > ARRL.
>>>>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>>>
>>>>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know
>>>>> where
>>>>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the
>>>>> next
>>>>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>>>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>>>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>>>
>>>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>>>
>>>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>>>>
>>>
>>> No it simply means that without a structured format, message training,
>>> means of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system
>>> breaks down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS
>>> system does not.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>

>>
>>Exactly correct Dee. Here is something I made up years ago.
>>
>>"It takes two things to communicate, an operator at both ends"
>>
>>A slight addition would be "trained operator"
>
> wrong agian
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
Every body is wrong except Mikey. Everyone is a liar except Mikey.

Hey Mikey if you dont keep your lights on at night. START.

Dan/W4NTI

3972. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:32:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <RjT6f.18992$QE1.5795@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7rknl15i9atdv26kfh8c9d9gs548vorr05@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>>news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>> news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> [snip]
>>>> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past
>>>> the
>>> end
>>>> of their block? Oh with that useless ham stuff? On
>>>> Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh
>>> I
>>>> get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and then
>>>> carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.
>>>>
>>>> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> It's already being done... CERT http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/CERT/
>>> communities are using FRS to organize their efforts in reponse to
>>> disaster
>>> preparedness or simply community watch and its working quite well. The
>>> idea
>>> that a ham is needed to supply communications is somewhat
>>> outdated---hams
>>> have out-geeked themselves. If a CERT member has a radio that doesn't
>>> work
>>> just throw it away and get another. What really matters is organization
>>> and
>>> team skills and communities have plenty of indviduals professionally
>>> trained
>>> in all manner of skills besides a token ham or two. Some CERT teams are
>>> guarded about disclosing their capabilities and SOP...
>>>
>>> Besides, who's to say that repeaters won't become available to extend

>>> the
>>> range of FRS radios---if there is a need anything is possible. What
>>> about
>>> GMRS? Take a look at what the Aussies have done with their UHF CB
>>> allocations http://www.alphalink.com.au/~parkerp/cb.htm
>>>
>>> The issue with the future of FRS-type communications should be carefully
>>> considered. I think that amateur long-haul coms are becoming less
>>> important
>>> compared to short range communications networks---especially within
>>> communties. Considering the ease of getting FRS communications the
>>> amateur
>>> service has little to offer---unless amateurs can re-invent themselves.
>>>
>>> Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>There is nothing at all wrong with using FRS, GMRS or any of the citizen
>>accessible radio systems. But there is NO REASON to re-invent the wheel.
>>
>>Ham radio can and does provide long haul and short haul communications.
>
> then why did it not carry it according to you
> cut
>
Whats the matter? You too stupid to read. That has already been responded
to. You fagot jerk.

Dan/W4NTI

3973. The Wiseman adopton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:46:29 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.is.so.adopted@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 23 Oct 2005 06:02:15 -0700, Jewish Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:

: And do post proof Roger was adopted.
: We won't hold our breath, because adoptions are sealed away and NOBODY
: can see them without a court order. And you wouldn't be able to get
: them, fatass. Roger wasn't adopted, retard Davies.

Ambassador Dave Heil has said that you are adopted. His word is good
enough for us.

3974. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:47:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <s31ol1tgqtnk7noqrt7bh658sda6f25hca@4ax.com>
Source record: 121802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:29:44 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>Un Trustworthy eh? Beats the hell out of being a fagot and a child molester
>though don't it? You piece of garbage.

Dan what do you expect to be called other than a bigot when you use
such language?

I am not a child molestor I am bisexual

you are clearly untrustworthy when you believe that the one equates to
the other

makes it hard to rely on anything you say when you spout shit like
that
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:njknl1po0jrk9ff62dphdqtrachh6b46pl@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:39 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3975. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:48:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <o71ol1l50atgklcvkh0m5bg33k84srq7bp@4ax.com>
Source record: 121803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:31:18 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:iqknl1ldeklcjq513ka07k2rsrjsb97766@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:42 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>news:Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>>>>
cut

>>>"It takes two things to communicate, an operator at both ends"
>>>
>>>A slight addition would be "trained operator"
>>
>> wrong agian
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>Every body is wrong except Mikey. Everyone is a liar except Mikey.
>
>Hey Mikey if you dont keep your lights on at night. START.

more threats
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3976. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:49:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6a1ol1hul9qaopnv690j6dccp0kr0hv6fl@4ax.com>
Source record: 121804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:32:33 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:7rknl15i9atdv26kfh8c9d9gs548vorr05@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>>>news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
cut

>>>>
>>>> Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>There is nothing at all wrong with using FRS, GMRS or any of the citizen
>>>accessible radio systems. But there is NO REASON to re-invent the wheel.
>>>
>>>Ham radio can and does provide long haul and short haul communications.
>>
>> then why did it not carry it according to you
>> cut
>>
>Whats the matter? You too stupid to read. That has already been responded
>to. You fagot jerk.

if you want (or if you don't want)as you seem to I can bring a nice
sample of your recent and past behavoir to the FBI no extra trouble
really
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3977. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:52:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ICT6f.482$AS6.322@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:G4R6f.171214$qY1.98075@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:jcQ6f.402$AS6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >>
>> >> [snip]
>> >> I wonder how this FRS service with toy radio's is going to talk past
> the
>> > end
>> >> of their block? Oh with that useless ham stuff? On
> Vhf/Uhf.....uh....Oh
>> > I
>> >> get it, FRS and V/UHF ham radio will be the emergency channel and
>> >> then
>> >> carrier pigeon will take care of the long haul stuff.
>> >>
>> >> One thing about it....Ya just cain't fix stupid.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> > It's already being done... CERT http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/CERT/
>> > communities are using FRS to organize their efforts in reponse to
> disaster
>> > preparedness or simply community watch and its working quite well. The
>> > idea
>> > that a ham is needed to supply communications is somewhat
> outdated---hams
>> > have out-geeked themselves. If a CERT member has a radio that doesn't
>> > work
>> > just throw it away and get another. What really matters is
>> > organization
>> > and
>> > team skills and communities have plenty of indviduals professionally
>> > trained
>> > in all manner of skills besides a token ham or two. Some CERT teams
>> > are

>> > guarded about disclosing their capabilities and SOP...
>> >
>> > Besides, who's to say that repeaters won't become available to extend
> the
>> > range of FRS radios---if there is a need anything is possible. What
> about
>> > GMRS? Take a look at what the Aussies have done with their UHF CB
>> > allocations http://www.alphalink.com.au/~parkerp/cb.htm
>> >
>> > The issue with the future of FRS-type communications should be
>> > carefully
>> > considered. I think that amateur long-haul coms are becoming less
>> > important
>> > compared to short range communications networks---especially within
>> > communties. Considering the ease of getting FRS communications the
>> > amateur
>> > service has little to offer---unless amateurs can re-invent themselves.
>> >
>> > Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
>> >
>> >
>>
>> There is nothing at all wrong with using FRS, GMRS or any of the citizen
>> accessible radio systems. But there is NO REASON to re-invent the wheel.
>>
>> Ham radio can and does provide long haul and short haul communications.
>>
>> Why throw away that capability (HF) to put all the eggs in a repeater
> system
>> that can, does and likely will fail when most needed.
>>
>> Furthermore I am trying to show you that once communications is
> established,
>> ham, FRS, CB, GMRS, whatever then how is this link sent further down the
>> line? Such as what happened recently on the Gulf Coast.
>>
>> I.E. Red Cross requests amateur radio assistance. Hundreds go to their
>> help. They say we only want you for "shelter communications". VHF will
> do
>> fine. NO WAY TO PASS IT FURTHER DOWN THE LINE as in ....how to get commo
>> from Montgomery Red Cross to the shelters and back?
>> Ham Radio High Frequency on the ESTABLISHED ARRL National Traffic System
>> nets. Which were ready, willing, and able to do so.
>>
>> It is NOT Amateur radios fault that the Red Cross apparently chose to sit
> on
>> their hands and NOT UTILIZE the system.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

> You make valid points... Why is amateur radio so dependent upon the Red
> Cross? Heck, looking back over my ham experience seemingly all the Red
> Cross provided was a place to put a repeater and have emergency power. To
> be honest... the Red Cross screwed us in NO---perhaps we had an image that
> was lacking. \

I don't know what the problem is with the Red Cross. But I remember about
20 years
ago that the local club and the Red Cross seperated. Also about that time,
I believe
Birmingham Al club did also. We ended affiliating with the Salvation Army.
At that
point I moved out of the area and lost track of clubs and such.

I talked with the ARRL on the subject. And there IS a memorandum of
understanding.
Apparantly most to all at RC don't read or are unable to comprehend. OR are
just
plain ignorant. Take your pick. Bottom line is THEY dropped the ball.

In that case we ought to provide a service to some other
> organization/s. I don't know where the future lies for the amateur
> service.
> The numbers (of hams) just don't stack up against the numbers that can be
> equipped with license free radios or radios that require a simple
> operating
> permit. You can be sure if non-hams can get organized and provide a
> needed
> service an important part of what justifies the amateur service will no
> longer exist with the consequence that any leadership roles provided by
> the
> amateur service will evaporate.

A lot of hams are doing just that. SATERN seems to be the choice. And of
course
the ARRL ARES setup.

>
> Perhaps this will happen anyway. We've watched the amateur service slowly
> being de-regulated. A time may come where present day Amateur, FRS, MURS,
> GMRS... will all become part of, say, the 'citizens communications
> service'.
>
> You mention HF allocations as being a strong point for the amateur
> service;
> however, I don't think that long haul communications are necessary for the
> bulk of emergency communications---these disasters are local in scope.

Katrina changed that mind set Tom. HF provided a very important role in
establishing
workable commo. I spent a good week either being directly involved or
monitoring

HF networks on various bands. I will elaborate on the Alabama connection to it all.

We operated mainly on our normal net frequency of 3965. Also on 40 meters individual
operators helped out on various 40 meter frequencies. Not immediatly but very soon
after the magnitude was determined on the massive damage the Red Cross established
via AMATEUR RADIO operators, under the ARRL Section Manager, Greg W4OZK
a network linking the shelters in the disaster area with Red Cross Headquarters in
Montgomery. HF Ham stations were co-located in shelters and Red Cross areas in the
disaster area. Most of the intercommunication was done on 75 and 40 meters.

I think you will see a change of the attitude that keeps communications needs to a local
area. It may NOT be needed too often. But it does need to be planned and TRAINED
for. That is what the National Traffic System should be oriented towards now a days.

Just my opinion there Tom.

To
> my way of thinking if anyone needs to communicate over long distances it
> will be primary relief organizations and they can do that over satellite
> or
> their own HF networks, besides, landlines generally remain
> intact---providing an access point somewhere.

But Tom there WAS nothing that worked. As Hams like to keep reminding everyone....We are the backup system. When everything else fails, ham radio
will be able to communicate. Not because it is so structured. But just the opposite...because it is NOT so structured, restricted or controlled.

The FCC had to issue blanket approvals for the commercial folks to even attempt to inter-link and communicate.

All the hams needed was to know where they were needed. And thats the facts.

I find the Australian VKS-737
> an interesting example of what non-hams (CB) can do with five HF channels
> http://www.vks737.on.net/ . Additionally, my experiences in the Caribbean
> have made me become aware of how non-hams, who are boaters, can create
> highly organized and effective communications networks using the HF
> maritime
> allocations.
>
> Discussion on the topic can hurt.

>
>

I'll read about that Australian thing. I had not heard of it till now. And
tell us of the
Caribbean experiences. I am open to any and all good thought on how to make
EMCOM
work better. Except from that nitwit Markie that is.

Dan/W4NTI

3978. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:57:57 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ti1ol1d1t80igd06rvthp95dice6ggse6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 121813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:52:17 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:G4R6f.171214$qY1.98075@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:jcQ6f.402$AS6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
cut

>>> It is NOT Amateur radios fault that the Red Cross apparently chose to sit
>> on
>>> their hands and NOT UTILIZE the system.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> You make valid points... Why is amateur radio so dependent upon the Red
>> Cross? Heck, looking back over my ham experience seemingly all the Red
>> Cross provided was a place to put a repeater and have emergency power. To
>> be honest... the Red Cross screwed us in NO---perhaps we had an image that
>> was lacking. \
>
>I don't know what the problem is with the Red Cross. But I remember about
>20 years
>ago that the local club and the Red Cross seperated. Also about that time,
>I believe
>Birmingham Al club did also. We ended affiliating with the Salvation Army.
>At that
>point I moved out of the area and lost track of clubs and such.
>
>I talked with the ARRL on the subject. And there IS a memorandum of
>understanding.
>Apparantly most to all at RC don't read or are unable to comprehend. OR are
>just
>plain ignorant. Take your pick. Bottom line is THEY dropped the ball.

taking about the Red Croos in such terms as you use above as of course
sure to endear the ARS to them,

even if the words were valid

guess you missed any lession concerning the word "Tact"

>
> In that case we ought to provide a service to some other
>> organization/s. I don't know where the future lies for the amateur
>> service.
>> The numbers (of hams) just don't stack up against the numbers that can be
>> equipped with license free radios or radios that require a simple
>> operating
>> permit. You can be sure if non-hams can get organized and provide a
>> needed
>> service an important part of what justifies the amateur service will no
>> longer exist with the consequence that any leadership roles provided by
>> the
>> amateur service will evaporate.
>
>A lot of hams are doing just that. SATERN seems to be the choice. And of
>course
>the ARRL ARES setup.
>
>>
>> Perhaps this will happen anyway. We've watched the amateur service slowly
>> being de-regulated. A time may come where present day Amateur, FRS, MURS,
>> GMRS... will all become part of, say, the 'citizens communications
>> service'.
>>
>> You mention HF allocations as being a strong point for the amateur
>> service;
>> however, I don't think that long haul communications are necessary for the
>> bulk of emergency communications---these disasters are local in scope.
>
>Katrina changed that mind set Tom. HF provided a very important role in
>establishing
>workable commo. I spent a good week either being directly involved or
>monitoring
>HF networks on various bands. I will elaborate on the Alabama connection to
>it all.
>
>We operated mainly on our normal net frequency of 3965. Also on 40 meters
>individual
>operators helped out on various 40 meter frequencies. Not immediatly but
>very soon
>after the magnitude was determined on the massive damage the Red Cross
>established
>via AMATEUR RADIO operators, under the ARRL Section Manager, Greg W4OZK
>a network linking the shelters in the disaster area with Red Cross
>Headquarters in
>Montgomery. HF Ham stations were co-located in shelters and Red Cross areas
>in the
>disaster area. Most of the intercommunication was done on 75 and 40 meters.
>
>I think you will see a change of the attitude that keeps communications
>needs to a local

>area. It may NOT be needed too often. But it does need to be planned and
>TRAINED
>for. That is what the National Traffic System should be oriented towards
>now a days.
>
>Just my opinion there Tom.
>
>
>
> To
>> my way of thinking if anyone needs to communicate over long distances it
>> will be primary relief organizations and they can do that over satellite
>> or
>> their own HF networks, besides, landlines generally remain
>> intact---providing an access point somewhere.
>
>But Tom there WAS nothing that worked. As Hams like to keep reminding
>everyone....We are the backup system. When everything else fails, ham
>radio
>will be able to communicate. Not because it is so structured. But just the
>opposite...because it is NOT so structured, restricted or controlled.

could you make up you mind?

>
>The FCC had to issue blanket approvals for the commercial folks to even
>attempt to inter-link and communicate.

of course because the copertypes are afraid to move without premission
a mistsep could cost the abilty to legaly use the stuff they have
spent such capital on

>
>All the hams needed was to know where they were needed. And thats the
>facts.
>
>
> I find the Australian VKS-737
>> an interesting example of what non-hams (CB) can do with five HF channels
>> http://www.vks737.on.net/ . Additionally, my experiences in the Caribbean
>> have made me become aware of how non-hams, who are boaters, can create
>> highly organized and effective communications networks using the HF
>> maritime
>> allocations.
>>
>> Discussion on the topic can hurt.
>>
>>
>
>I'll read about that Australian thing. I had not heard of it till now. And
>tell us of the

>Caribbean experiences. I am open to any and all good thought on how to make
>EMCOM
>work better. Except from that nitwit Markie that is.

will prehaps I should not chide for being inconsistant Dan
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3979. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 21:59:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IIT6f.488$AS6.42@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121801
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130100147.097922.31880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130044961.812225.287750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Polymath wrote:
>> >> Nailsea Grammar School?
>> >
>> > you don't even know what he Means?
>> >
>> > good greif I know what Steve Stone means and I think the ARS would be
>> > better off with Morse Code USE banned (but I don't addvocate it in the
>> > US becuase I beleive the Code users have the right do such things even
>> > if I find them wastefull or counter productive
>> >>
>> >> Steve Stone wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > What is your FISTS number ???
>> >
>> >>
>> Oh please Mr. Know-it-all Markie explain to us ignorant Real Hams how
>> using
>> Morse Code is "wastefull or counter productive". I can hardly wait for
>> this
>> one.
>
> I oputght to make you wait for it
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> but I will not
>

> Morse Code use has brought about a Cult statuts that has clearly served
> and will most likely continue to serve as a dividing point amoug the
> memebers of the ARS
>
> I have more but if you want I will make you wait
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

A cult you say? A dividing point you say? Who is the leader of this cult Markie? Do we stand around NAKED in a circle and summon demons?

A dividing point? What might that be Markie? The CW qualified, and the NOT? Why is THAT such a problem to you? Because your too LAZY to memorize enough Morse to pass a multiple GUESS test at the ridicolous slow speed of FIVE WORDS A MINUTE? You could pass that by knowing 15 letters and guessing at the rest.

I think the word of interest here is LAZY.

Continue Markey.....I can hardly wait.

Dan/W4NTI

3980. Saggytits Lee has no evidence of any adoption.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:09:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105373.551356.173730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Davies wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 06:02:15 -0700, Jewish Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> : And do post proof Roger was adopted.
> : We won't hold our breath, because adoptions are sealed away and NOBODY
> : can see them without a court order. And you wouldn't be able to get
> : them, fatass. Roger wasn't adopted, retard Davies.
>
> Ambassador Dave Heil

You are rather stupid, Davies. Bass Odor High-el wasn't an
"ambassador." He was a flunky gopher. But even he had a better job than
pizza delivery BOY, pizza retard BOY.

> has said that you are adopted. His word is good
> enough for us.

Too bad he nor you have any evidence. And hearsay evidence isn't good
enough, fatass.

3981. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:10:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105430.396962.166250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh, you mean some forgeries? How would you befefit from them?

3982. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:12:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105537.701709.188180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aww, poor Marky, he sees "threats" everywhere he looks. So insecure and paranoid, Marky?

3983. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:13:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105594.748244.55210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nobody believes your "I'm going to the FBI" lie, Marky. Give it up,
stupid.

3984. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:14:44 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.carries.a.tube.of.zyklonB@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 09:57:04 -0500, Dr.Ace <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:

: SNIPPED
:
: Remember your infamous Zyklon B
: > comments of yesteryear? [If not, I'll be happy to repost them]
:
: Please repost them Stagger , so we might all benefit from their knowledge.
: Thanks, Ace - WH2T

In those days, Woger used the pseudonym "Raven" and posted thusly (available on Google):

----(begin quote)----
Subject: Rosenfield, there's always room for one more a Dachau!
From: raven@hgo.net (Raven)
Date: 1997/04/08
Message-ID: <5id9j1$arb3@ns2.hgo.net>
Organization: HGO.Net
Newsgroups: alt.flame,alt.evil,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose

Fuck you, kike! They must've cut everything off at the bar mitzvah.
The're always room for cyclon-B. Perhaps I can let some Palistainian
acquantances know your address...that would be funny.
----(end quote)----

Woger, of course, couldn't get "Zyklon B" right, but then that's typical.

When the alt.flame regulars picked up on the post and started quoting it as racist (it's still on some web sites today), Woger then started denying that he was "Raven." Unfortunately (for him), his lie was quickly exposed and he eventually wound up being kicked off hgo.net in Wheeling, WV for unrelated transgressions.

It was about this time that His Holiness Raoul Xemblinosky and others engaged in a cascade entitled "Pissflap Wiseman" and penned the parody still posted at http://member.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/songs.html#song5 entitled "Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band."

It ain't pretty, but it is the kind of thing that Woger has always inspired.

3985. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:15:12 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105712.692472.66660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121810

LOL!!!!!!!!!!!! I wonder if Marky was on the grassy knoll?????

3986. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:16:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105791.148378.20360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121811

Word on the street is he's not that good. (Not that I'm into such things, I'd rather have say, Tara Reid do me) Maybe that's why he's advertizing for lovers.

3987. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:16:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105817.528510.206710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121812

Guess your lie has exposed you again.

3988. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:18:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130105936.080706.223420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 09:57:04 -0500, Dr.Ace <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>
> : SNIPPED
<forgeries flushed>

Here are some of Stagger Lee's remarks:

Lloyd Davies - Supreme Fucking Imbecile <lloyd_...@msn.com> blathered
in
Message-ID: <1111291679.323047.198810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
using
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.5.228.58 [Bellsouth.net]:
"You mean, the jewtube?"

"YOU ARE NOTHING BUT A LYING JEW WHO LOVES TO CONTROL EVEYTHING AROUND
HIM.
Drools the sub-human antisemite N0VFP, Lloyd Davies in
<19990730080252.21150.00003002@ng-fx1.aol.com>

Lloyd A Davies (n0vfp@aol.com) neo-nazi idiot savant slobbered:
"No Doc, We have just begun. The Whites will rise again and take back
what
the Jews have taken and then some!"

Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of
history and
politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to
mean?
I thought a Nazi was a communist."

"People like AF2Y dont listen to reason! He's just a Jew!"
-Lloyd Davies, neo-nazi comic sex clown, keeping
that Old Auschwitz spirit alive, in <iDbA4.9105$_P5.1472043@news2.mia>
----
n0vfp@aol.comWWCR (Brother Lloyd Davies) drooled in
<20010318230639.03162.00003271@ng-md1.aol.com>
"Never Met a Jew I liked"

Antisemetic Lllllllloyd Davies, N0VFP slobbered in
<3AAEDE2C@MailAndNews.com>:

"No, Jew lover, I have a Ten-Tec RX-320, controlled by my new IBM
Thinkpad laptop."

Racist "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> drooled in message
news:OYs16.135$Gc5.10799@news1.atl...
"Hey nigger breath, you and your "brothers" will all pay for all the
trouble you given us for many, many years...It's CHRISTMAS, you jungle
bunny.
Here's to hoping you will get the lynching you so deserve.
Hoping someone will lynch you......."

Lllllllllllardassss Lllllllloyd the degenerate homosexual racist fuck
slobbered in
<20020121163251.04829.00002231@mb-cm.aol.com>:

"You sound just like a nigger lover. Down here in Athens, Alabama. We
celabreat
robert. e. lees birthday."

Lardassssss "Mengele-nutsack slurper" Lllllllllllllllllloyd Davies,
N0VFP goosestepped and slobbered in <3c755173$2_4@news.teranews.com> :
"What you gonna do about it, you zionist piece of filth."

DUMBFUCKING LARDASS LLLLLLOYD "Lloyd, N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> the
pedophile babbled in message news:ddkz6.2997$al2.186535@news2.atl...

"The answer is simple, he's a JEW."

LARDASS "Lloyd, N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> slobbered in
message news:DBnD6.3666$rk3.142757@news1.atl...
"And I'd do the same back to you, JEW "

LLllllllllllllaaaaaaaaaardasssss Lllllllllllllllllllllllloyd
"Friends of Hal Turner" <we.will@get.by.com> wrote in
message news:3c3886cb$1_8@news.teranews.com...
"MOST OF THESE MESSAGES ARE DONE BY AUTOBOT. NOTHING WILL EVER BE
DONE.
YOU LOSE jew......."

Llllardasssssss Lllllllloyd,who has Hitler's lone testicle in his
mouth, slobbered in
<3c75c041_7@news.teranews.com>:
"Listen old zionist pig, I do not have a "ghost writer""

Llllardasssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies" <daviesl@bellsouth.net> slurped
Hitler's remaining testicle in
<46zO8.15295$x21.1500509@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>:

"Where is..... That jew radiosigs?"

Lllllllllllllllllllllardasssssssss "Cheeta's Brother Lloyd"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> slobbered his stupidity and antisemitism
in
<27e38977.0206252053.50683242@posting.google.com>:
"You cause trouble everywhere you go, jew"

Antisemetic fuckwit
Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllardasssssssssssss "Lloyd Davies"
<daviesl@bellsouth.net> slobbered in
<8MEY8.32822$1q2.1935530@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>:
" I like to eat pork. Right now, I am having a good BBQ pork sandwich.
I
wonder if Doc would like to have one with me. Opps, I forgot, Doc is
a
jew!!!! HAHAHAHAHA!!!! I think all whites should go out and just eat
pork! Run all the jew-owned meat shops out of business!"

"Cheeta's Brother Lllllllllardasssssssssssss
Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> blathered more ignorance, what else is new
in message news:27e38977.0207161058.285cefd9@posting.google.com...
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<ah19sp$ij6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > Can you imagine...(a bunch of jew whining about not getting his way snipped).>

Llllllardasssssssssssssssssssssssssssss"Lloyd Davies"
<daviesl@bellsouth.net> blathered out of his goosestepping fatass in
<VEBZ8.58525$1q2.5936970@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>:
"(insane jewish crap snipped....) Look, The world does not revolve
around you stupid jews. "
Then Lllardasss shows off his Xtian academy "education":
"One call to Hal Turner and all hell will brake lose on you jews in
intercon."

3989. Re: Saggytits Lee has no evidence of any adoption.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:21:58 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djh2ad$ht9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130105373.551356.173730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Saggytits Davies wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 06:02:15 -0700, Jewish Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>
> : And do post proof Roger was adopted.
> : We won't hold our breath, because adoptions are sealed away and NOBODY
> : can see them without a court order. And you wouldn't be able to get
> : them, fatass. Roger wasn't adopted, retard Davies.
>
> Ambassador Dave Heil

You are rather stupid, Davies. Bass Odor High-el wasn't an
"ambassador." He was a flunky gopher. But even he had a better job than
pizza delivery BOY, pizza retard BOY.

> has said that you are adopted. His word is good
> enough for us.

Too bad he nor you have any evidence. And hearsay evidence isn't good
enough, fatass.
.
It is good enough for me.

--
"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

3990. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:26:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130106399.644208.224450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121816
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130100147.097922.31880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1130044961.812225.287750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Polymath wrote:
> >> >> Nailsea Grammar School?
> >> >
> >> > you don't even know what he Means?
> >> >
> >> > good greif I know what Steve Stone means and I think the ARS would be
> >> > better off with Morse Code USE banned (but I don't addvocate it in the
> >> > US becuase I beleive the Code users have the right do such things even
> >> > if I find them wastefull or counter productive
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve Stone wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > What is your FISTS number ???
> >> >
> >> >
> >> Oh please Mr. Know-it-all Markie explain to us ignorant Real Hams how
> >> using
> >> Morse Code is "wastefull or counter productive". I can hardly wait for
> >> this
> >> one.
> >
> > I oputght to make you wait for it
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > but I will not

> >
> > Morse Code use has brought about a Cult statuts that has clearly served
> > and will most likely continue to serve as a dividing point amoug the
> > memebers of the ARS
> >
> > I have more but if you want I will make you wait
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> A cult you say?
yes and others have as well

> A dividing point you say?

certainly
> Who is the leader of this cult
> Markie?

well I rather to name the Late Maxim dead a buried leaders are safer

but realy I don't get the memos of the cult of the MMM, being that I am
not on the membership roster
>Do we stand around NAKED in a circle and summon demons?

again I would not know, but I am inclinded to doubt it

>
> A dividing point? What might that be Markie? The CW qualified, and the
> NOT? Why is THAT such a problem to you? Because your too LAZY to memorize
> enough Morse to pass a multiple GUESS test at the ridicolous slow speed of
> FIVE WORDS A MINUTE? You could pass that by knowing 15 letters and guessing
> at the rest.
>
> I think the word of interest here is LAZY.

nothing you have said before, fortunately the FCC can see though that
line of BS even if you can't

>
> Continue Markey.....I can hardly wait.

when you going to pay me?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3991. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:28:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130106489.603152.263460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121817

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Guess your lie has exposed you again.
what lie?

exposed what?

3992. to digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:32:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130106765.414573.289290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121818

i don't supoose you care you insights in Mr Slit as Wiseman with me in format where I turn over to an agent who is at least willing to take the stuff to her bosses and the federal DA

the email is valid

3993. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:37:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130107071.017343.283550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121819

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 05:41:16 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 22 Oct 2005 14:28:00 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:18:12 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 08:41:24 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >> > > On 21 Oct 2005 21:33:14 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > > cut
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Run away, Marky, run away!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> yes filing a false one would, good thing for me I have not filled a
> >> >> >> false report
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yes, you did. Or you are lying about the whole thing.
> >> >>
> >> >> nope
> >> >
> >> >Yep.
> >>
> >> nope
> >
> >Yep. Or you are a liar, take your pick.
>
> I chose opition three I made a report of the facts as I know them

No you are lying out of your faggot ass.

> as long as I present the afcts as I know the clues i have with ,y
> assement of the reliablity of them I am not filing a false report

Wrong.

> I may be filing an erroronous report but that is not a crime

Giving false information is.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
> >> >> >> know what you are doing
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You don't know anything, Marky.
> >> >>
> >> >> yes I do even you and Stevie know something
> >> >
> >> >But we were discussing YOU, Marky.
> >>
> >> and I do at something I know you know something if nothing else
> >
> >Speak English, fool!
>
> I refuse to Speak english in this forum

You refuse even speak English offline, too, stupid.

> I do write in it as I have done in the sentence he can't understand

No, you prove what an illiterate idiot you are.

> it is subtle so I guess it was beyond him

Nobody can understand your gibberish.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
> >> >> >> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
> >> >> >> Slit
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Wrong, stupid.
> >> >>
> >> >> right you are acting stupidly or insanely....
> >> >
> >> >But the State of Michigan isn't looking into me like they are you,
> >> >Marky.
> >>
> >> Gee this is news the State of Michigan is looking into me?
> >>
> >> not that I know of
> >

> >Want to bet, child abuser?
>
> not with someone that can't even post his own name

And I suppose "an_old_friend_ is on your birth certificate? Or do you
even
HAVE a birth certificate?

> >
> >
> >> and the State of Michigan would not be looking into you unless you
> >> lived here which obviously you don't since you don't much about the
> >> state
> >
> >Awww, are you going to keep barking little doggie or are you going to
> >bite?
>
> gota to get in the right place draw you out on what I need to know

Again, you fail to understand the concept of sarcaqsm.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> >> > > >> >You are an idiot.
> >> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> >> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
> >> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
> >> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > Bullshit.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
> >> >> >> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I can read, stupid.
> >> >>
> >> >> your point?
> >> >
> >> >Again the point flys over your thick skull. Can't you read, stupid?
> >>

> >> I can you just are not making any
> >
> >No, you are to dense to see it.
> >
> >> perhaps you think you are typing more than you are
> >
> >Perhaps you should lay off the illegal drugs.
>
> since I don't take any I can't stop taking em

Then it must be the alcohol and your inherent stupidity posting.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
> >> >> >> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.
> >> >>
> >> >> gee he did send it I have it
> >> >
> >> >Then post it or stfu.
> >>
> >> i'll do neither after all you know what it contians
> >> you lack the power to compell me to shut up, I would think even you
> >> would know that
> >
> >So in other words, there is no e-mail. STFU, Marky.
>
> no said nothing of the kind

You don't post, you have nothing to boast.

> but you would know if you sent or not

You claim to have it. I say you don't.

>
>
> besides you confessed in NEwsgruop

What's newsgruop?"

> >
> >> >
> >> >> cut
> >> >
> >> >Run away,Marky.
> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > > There is absolutely a threat

> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> we shall see
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> but I doubt it
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >So you are lying about the whole thing.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> no but you need to learn to read
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yes,liar.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
> >> >> >> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
> >> >> >are on the latter.
> >> >>
> >> >> you lose
> >> >
> >> >Wrong, shit for brains.
> >>
> >> No You lose
> >
> >You lost, Marky, because you lied. I checked.
>
> it is not a crime to lie to you, unless you are a federal agent acting
> in the line of duty

You lied to a federal agent, Marky.

> BTW if you choose to claim such status you should it might be a crime
> to make that claim falsely

Nobody is claiming any such thing, stupid.

>
> >
> >> OTOH I lose from being forced to deal with such a piece of shit as
> >> yourself
> >
> >Too stupid to either ignore me or use a killfile? No, Marky, you enjoy
> >this too much.
>
> neither when involved others the other Mr Morgan you took away my
> abilty moraly to ignore you

The "other Mr. Morgan"???? BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

> >It gives you attention you would not normally get.
>
> You got that right

Poor pathetic Marky.

>
> I don't normaly get the attention of folks I believe are so screwed up
> that they clikely can't even stand trail for there crimes

What are your thoughts on thinking, Marky?
> It is a new experence

I'm sure it is for you.

>
> thank you for putting that into prespective for me

No problem, dumbass. It was my pleasure.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> and I will do what I can to se you lose as big as I can
> >> >
> >> >No, you can't suck my dick, Marky.
> >>
> >> don't want to would never suck you anyway I only deal sexual with
> >> adult humans
> >
> >That's not what the children in your neighborhood say, Marky.
>
> another lie Mr Slit

Oh so you say.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >> we will see what that ammounts to
> >> >
> >> >Indeed, filing a false report, tsk tsk, it doesn't look too good for
> >> >you, Marky.
> >>
> >> looks fine to me but then I have not filed any false report
> >
> >No, you lied about the whole thing.
>
> not at all

You lied.

> for your own sake you realy should get that though your head, if you
> can

You are a self-confessed liar.

> I have filled my report. I will have to follow though or risk it being
> labeled a false report

You can count on the latter happening, Marky.

> this means the FBI will be taking a look at you Mr Slit how close they
> will come I realy don't know

Quit lying, stupid.

> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > > > They could see that, I'm
> >> >> >> >> > > >sure.
> >> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> >
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a threat
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for protection
for
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought
that any
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk
and so
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says
someone may
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark
Morgan
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat
is low
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > >
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some
point to
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> >
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> > > God are you stupid Marky.
> >> >> >> >> > > > >>
> >> >> >> >> > > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
> >> >> >> >> > > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > > >No everybody thinks so.
> >> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
> >> >> >> >> > > > seem to think so
> >> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> >> > Wrong, Marky.

> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >No, it's true offline as well.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> not at all
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Liar.
> >> >>
> >> >> maybe but not about that
> >> >
> >> >You already admitted you are a liar.
> >>
> >> yes but not about the subject you were referring to
> >
> >Once a liar, always a liar, Marky.
>
> I refer to other answerin this post

Waffling again, Marky?

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
> >> >> >> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
> >> >> >> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
> >> >> >> >> is another matter
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
> >> >> >> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
> >> >> >and went out into a field of clues,Marky.
> >> >>
> >> >> I have plenty of them and getting everytime you and Whinny post
> >> >
> >> >You couldn't get a clue in a million years, stupid.
> >>
> >> I will not be recieveing Clues in 1 or more millions years you are
> >> right about that
> >
> >You admit being that stupid, Marky? Damn are you dumb.
>
> no I admit that I will not be around in a million years as you would
> know if you bothered to read or just to think

Even if you were you still wouldn't catch any clues.

> I am respond to your hyperbole with deadpan sarcasm

No, you formulate a 3rd grade response that makes you look like a
jackass.

> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> another lie N0VFP
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Another stupid Marky screw up!
> >> >>
> >> >> no screw up
> >> >
> >> >Your stupidity has no bounds, does it, Marky?
> >>
> >> hmm it would have to exist to have bounds so I guess you got that one
> >> right, after a fashion
> >
> >No, your stupidity is plain to see.
>
> you are of course entitled to your opinion

Oh, how nice of you, jackass.

> does not make it true however

You remember that, when you think people are "threatening" you,
dumbass.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> >> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Post in English, Marky.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >>

> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
> >> >> >> >> > > >>
> >> >> >> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
> >> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> >> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
> >> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> >> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > Bullshit, pea brain.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >No, retard. Learn to read.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
> >> >> >> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster
> >> >> >
> >> >> >No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.
> >> >>
> >> >> I am right on target
> >> >
> >> >Afraid not, stupid.
> >>
> >> right on target
> >
> >No, stupid.
> >
> >>
> >> but you are afraid you are very frightened of my mere existence
> >
> >No, not of a bumbling fool like you.
>
> yes you are

Hardly.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > > > you talking to
> >> >> >> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
> >> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
> >> >> >> >> >

> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > Liar.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> at times but not there
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> another lie N0VFP
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Liar.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
> >> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >So you admit you lied about the whole thing.
> >> >>
> >> >> when you make such a false statement you are the lair
> >> >
> >> >1) You admitted to being a liar.
> >> >2) You lied and said you did something you didn't do.
> >>
> >> we were tlaking about you
> >
> >No, stupid.
>
> yes I was

No, stupid.

>
> >
> >> you made a statement that was not true
> >
> >Every statement you make that is untrue, dumbass.
>
> "everything I say is lie" Harry Mudd, I Mudd ST:TOS
>
> lol

It figures you'd be a trekkie, Marky. Do you post with your Spock ears
on, too?

> >
> >> you calim to be able to read
> >
> >Obviously you can't.
>
> we werre discuising you

You can't even post in English.

> >
> >> therefore you know it was unture
> >
> >What's "unture?"
> >
> >> therefore you are a liar
> >
> >Therefore a self-admitted liar like you has no credibility.
>
> we shall see

Indeed. Your false report will probably come back to bite you on the
ass, Marky. That or they will be made aware of some of your postings at
the very least.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> I am lying to you here and there which is prefectly and will not in
> >> >> anyway undermine any arrest that may result
> >> >
> >> >So you think you are about to be arrested again, Marky?
> >>
> >> no I don't
> >
> >You don't think! And you admitted it.
>
> no I think I am going to be aressted you dolt

No, you don't think.

> >
> >> I know I am not going to be arrested, and certainly for nothing to do
> >> with you your threats, or your hate filled fantascies
> >
> >Have these delusions much, Marky?
>
> no delusions

The one with the delusions is alwasy the last to know, Marky.

>
> a few simple facts there are just not enough jail cells to confine all
> those that report to the police stalking and threats that they can't
> or won't follow up on

Bullshit.

> neither of us has any real reason to believe I will draw some specail
> attention

You are as dense as a black hole, Marky.

> I will take my at bat and we shall see the results

Strike three! You're out! as usual, Marky!

> I believe that your involving another man thinking he was me will
> increase the chances of action being taken against you and that it
> will give me an at bat against Stevie

Bullshit.

> but we shall see

Indeed. <snicker>

>
> >
> >> I have been arrested even charged over the years but none of those
> >> ever even got past the Prelim hearing
> >
> >BWHAHAHAHAHA...nice confession, Marky.
>
> well my mom did time for anti Nam protest (less the 90 days) and my
> dad was convicted and given a suspended sentence for slugging a cop he
> (and my mom) felt groped her improperly during her arest

Marky reveals his jailbird family.....

> i guess I am just less afraid of the legal system than you and stevie
>
> so i will take my stand and risk taking my lumps

Then black Bubba will give you something else, but you'll like that
Marky.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >> cut
> >> >
> >> >Run, Marky, run!!!!!!!!!!
> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?
> >> >>
> >> >> that I am not fond of cops, or of them as a general rule
> >> >
> >> >I wonder what the "FBI" will think of that.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> all prefectly true statements
> >> >
> >> >It won't put you in solid with them, Marky.
>
> missed the above only saw it

You miss a lot of things, dumbass!

>
> but the answer is so what
>
> the FBI are generaly professionals

They will take that above statement that they aren't noble into
consideration, I'm sure.

3994. Re: to digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:38:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130107110.643179.143610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121820

an_old_friend wrote:
> i don't

Digital is N0vfp, stupid.

3995. Re: Saggytits Lee has no evidence of any adoption.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:40:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130107215.272084.24760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Digital wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1130105373.551356.173730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Saggytits Davies wrote:
> > On 23 Oct 2005 06:02:15 -0700, Jewish Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > : And do post proof Roger was adopted.
> > : We won't hold our breath, because adoptions are sealed away and NOBODY
> > : can see them without a court order. And you wouldn't be able to get
> > : them, fatass. Roger wasn't adopted, retard Davies.
> >
> > Ambassador Dave Heil
>
> You are rather stupid, Davies. Bass Odor High-el wasn't an
> "ambassador." He was a flunky gopher. But even he had a better job than
> pizza delivery BOY, pizza retard BOY.
>
>
> > has said that you are adopted. His word is good
> > enough for us.
>
> Too bad he nor you have any evidence. And hearsay evidence isn't good
> enough, fatass.
> .
> It is good enough for me.

Hi Lardass Davies..... GUFFAW!!!!!!!!!!!!

--
Llllardasssssssss Lllllllllllllloyd, (DIGITAL) ill-educated Xtian,
slobbered
how proud he was to have even a minimum wage job, even if his parents
got him the job in
<20020703083708.22556.00001848@mb-cl.aol.com>:
"At least I deliver pizzas on a regular bases."

3996. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:46:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130107594.521872.58730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121822

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 05:47:59 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 22 Oct 2005 14:32:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
> >> >> >> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
> >> >> >> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
> >> >> >
> >> >> >WTF are you saying, stupid?
> >> >>
> >> >> read it and find out
> >> >
> >> >If CIA crytographers read it they couldn't figure out what you were
> >> >trying to say,
> >>
> >> you gravely under estimate the CIA littleboy
> >
> >You don't get sarcasm, do you Marky? Or will you claim to be a KFC
> >"Colonel" with the CIA, now?
>
> neither I just claim the same to use it myself

Another lie, Marky?

> the style I use is called "deadpan" for reason unknown to me

The style you use is "sniveling moron," which is what you are.

>
> >
> >> > you illiterate son of a bitch.
> >> >
> >> >> >> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
> >> >> >> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
> >> >> >

> >> >> >Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."
> >> >>
> >> >> no I am not part of the FBI
> >> >
> >> >Just on their most watched list.
> >>
> >> not that I know but then I would not know of it, but prehaps I am on
> >> such a list but I doubt it. I am not anyway near important enough to
> >> merit such attention
> >
> >No, you are not important at all, to anybody.
>
> meaning you kew you were lying in claiming i was on the FBI mst
> watched list

No, you are just not that important.

> >
> >> perhaps you are on that list, but for now I doubt it
> >
> >Perhaps you should pull your head out of your ass.
>
> again it would have to be there first and I am no where near that
> flexible

Keep it up there, then.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> I don't care however
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Then why are you going on and on about it?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
> >> >> >> trail leads
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> the same for AB8MB
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> the same K4YZ
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.
> >> >>
> >> >> for what?
> >> >>
> >> >> the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots

> >> >
> >> >For your defamation, Marky.
> >>
> >> deflamtion?
> >
> >"Defamation" Stupid. Even when it's in front of you you screw it up.
> >
> >> what are you talking about?
> >
> >Read it again, stupid.
> >
> >> It is a plain fact that folks mentioned have facts involved in such an
> >> investagation
> >
> >It is plain to see you lied about the whole thing, Marky.
>
> Truely I would advise you to not think that, for your own sake

STFU, liar.

> >
> >> that is no defamation
> >
> >Want to bet?
> >
> >> that I Believe K4YZ is guilty of actionable crimes might be
> >> defamation, but it is true statement that I have made before
> >
> >Too bad you spend all your money on booze, or you would do something
> >about it.
>
> action on Crime requires the aid of the State not something i can buy
> (or at least not without comitting the crime of bribery)

WTF has that got to do with anything, Dodgeball Marky?

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> with regard to emailing me about wisemans role in such a plot (for
> >> >> >> wnat of a better word)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Wtf are you on, Marky?
> >> >>
> >> >> nothing for you to worry about after all I am lying according to you
> >> >> about taking any action with the FBI
> >> >
> >> >That's right. Nice of you to admit it. I'm not worried, dumbass.
> >>
> >> I know you are not worried and indeed I think you are safe, becuase

> >> you I think are nuts enough to be safe from any criminal charge
> >
> >
> >That's the pot calling the kettle black.
> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> like Hayward Floyd in 2010 it is very diffcult to take the wiseman
> >> >> >> angle seriously unless I (and the FBI) can know who we are dealing
> >> >> >> with after all this could be NoVFP or someone as yet unknown in this
> >> >> >> little drama trying to get me to make trouble for AB8MQ by aming it
> >> >> >> seem like AB8MQ is trying to make trouble for N0VFP
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You were the drama queen, weren't you?
> >> >>
> >> >> nope just an unwilling player
> >> >
> >> >According to the Google archives, you've been the "bend-over" boy for
> >> >years and years.
> >>
> >> just an unwilling player in what ever sick game you are playing
> >
> >And like a puppy, you always come back for more. Sounds like you are
> >willing to me.
> >
> >> >
> >> >> it seem you are trying to direct this little play while trying to man
> >> >> behind the curtain (like the fraud in the Wizard of OZ)
> >> >
> >> >I bet you wish you could wear Judy Garland's clothes, don't you,
> >> >faggot?
> >>
> >> you lose again
> >
> >Too fat to fit in them are you?
> >
> >> >If I am the director then CUT! "You're FIRED!"
> >>
> >> yu mean I was supposed to paid for taking your bullshit, then fine
> >> I'll take my 2 week severance
> >
> >God, are you stupid, Marky.
> i just have a sense of humor

No, your mother and father do by letting an abortion like you live.

3997. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:48:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130107701.273065.68050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

But you claim Roger is Jewish, Lloydie/Waysy.

3998. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:50:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <534ol1107n2v1049smhhrf3mifq0pdq7qo@4ax.com>
Source record: 121824

On 23 Oct 2005 15:37:51 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2005 05:41:16 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 22 Oct 2005 14:28:00 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut
>> >> >Yep.
>> >>
>> >> nope
>> >
>> >Yep. Or you are a liar, take your pick.
>>
>> I chose opition three I made a report of the facts as I know them
>
>No you are lying out of your faggot ass.

I can absolutely assure I never out of my ass
>
>> as long as I present the afcts as I know the clues i have with ,y
>> assement of the reliablity of them I am not filing a false report
>
>Wrong.

right
>
>> I may be filing an erroronous report but that is not a crime
>
>Giving false information is.

nothing false in the information I have provided or will provide in
the future

>
>>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> I have filed a true one, with all the proper caveats since I don't
>> >> >> know what you are doing
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You don't know anything, Marky.
>> >> >>

>> >> >> yes I do even you and Stevie know something
>> >> >
>> >> >But we were discussing YOU, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> and I do at something I know you know something if nothing else
>> >
>> >Speak English, fool!
>>
>> I refuse to Speak english in this forum
>
>You refuse even speak English offline, too, stupid.

wrong again
>
>> I do write in it as I have done in the sentence he can't understand
>
>No, you prove what an illiterate idiot you are.

wrong again
>
>> it is subtle so I guess it was beyond him
>
>Nobody can understand your gibberish.

wrong again
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> since if you are in fact N0VFP you are acting stupidly (or insanely)
>> >> >> >> in bring down more even attention to the idea that you might be Mr
>> >> >> >> Slit
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Wrong, stupid.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> right you are acting stupidly or insanely....
>> >> >
>> >> >But the State of Michigan isn't looking into me like they are you,
>> >> >Marky.
>> >>
>> >> Gee this is news the State of Michigan is looking into me?
>> >>
>> >> not that I know of
>> >
>> >Want to bet, child abuser?
>>
>> not with someone that can't even post his own name
>
>And I suppose "an_old_friend_ is on your birth certificate? Or do you
>even

>HAVE a birth certificate?

every one know my name even you as you proved

but you were the suject of my question

you want me to bet with someone with no name

no thanks

>
>> >
>> >
>> >> and the State of Michigan would not be looking into you unless you
>> >> lived here which obviously you don't since you don't much about the
>> >> state
>> >
>> >Awww, are you going to keep barking little doggie or are you going to
>> >bite?
>>
>> gota to get in the right place draw you out on what I need to know
>
>Again, you fail to understand the concept of sarcaqsm.

I understand it well

OTOH you seem to overlook I am able to emply it as well

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> >You are an idiot.
>> >> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> not true but even if true it matters not one wit
>> >> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >Yes it is true. There was no threat, stupid.
>> >> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > there was a threat, you have made that clear
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > Bullshit.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> absoutely and you have confessed to making a threat
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Wrong as usual,Marky.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> but if you are not Mr Slit then you could not know I am wrong in
>> >> >> >> saying Mr Slit has confessed to making a threat
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> >I can read, stupid.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> your point?
>> >> >
>> >> >Again the point flys over your thick skull. Can't you read, stupid?
>> >>
>> >> I can you just are not making any
>> >
>> >No, you are to dense to see it.
>> >
>> >> perhaps you think you are typing more than you are
>> >
>> >Perhaps you should lay off the illegal drugs.
>>
>> since I don't take any I can't stop taking em
>
>Then it must be the alcohol and your inherent stupidity posting.

no it does not have to anything to with alcohol anyone with any real
information about me knows better than that
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> If I am lying in saying the Mr Slit has confessed in emal to the
>> >> >> >> threat you would not know this unless you were Mr Slit
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Slit didn't send you an e-mail,stupid. You're lying again.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> gee he did send it I have it
>> >> >
>> >> >Then post it or stfu.
>> >>
>> >> i'll do neither after all you know what it contians
>> >> you lack the power to compell me to shut up, I would think even you
>> >> would know that
>> >
>> >So in other words, there is no e-mail. STFU, Marky.
>>
>> no said nothing of the kind
>
>You don't post, you have nothing to boast.

wasn't boasting

you posted it yourself

>
>> but you would know if you sent or not
>
>You claim to have it. I say you don't.

you posted it online
>
>>
>>
>> besides you confessed in NEwsgruop
>
>What's newsgruop?"
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> cut
>> >> >
>> >> >Run away,Marky.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > > There is absolutely a threat
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > No, stupid there wasn't. Hope they get you for filing a false rerport.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> we shall see
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Yes, we shall. Dumbass!
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> but I doubt it
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >So you are lying about the whole thing.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> no but you need to learn to read
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yes,liar.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I doubt I will arrested for filling a false report since I have filed
>> >> >> >> no false report only a true one, that I will follow up on
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You either filed a false report of lying about the whole thing. My odds
>> >> >> >are on the latter.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> you lose
>> >> >
>> >> >Wrong, shit for brains.
>> >>
>> >> No You lose
>> >
>> >You lost, Marky, because you lied. I checked.
>>
>> it is not a crime to lie to you, unless you are a federal agent acting
>> in the line of duty
>
>You lied to a federal agent, Marky.

a dangerous statement but likely just safe enough for you to get away
with

I have lied to no federal agent
>
>> BTW if you choose to claim such status you should it might be a crime
>> to make that claim falsely
>
>Nobody is claiming any such thing, stupid.

never said you did was just making the coment

>
>>
>> >
>> >> OTOH I lose from being forced to deal with such a piece of shit as
>> >> yourself
>> >
>> >Too stupid to either ignore me or use a killfile? No, Marky, you enjoy
>> >this too much.
>>
>> neither when involved others the other Mr Morgan you took away my
>> abilty moraly to ignore you
>
>The "other Mr. Morgan"???? BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

yes the incocent you would have harmed if you acted as you were
threatening to

>
>> >It gives you attention you would not normally get.
>>
>> You got that right
>
>Poor pathetic Marky.
>
>>
>> I don't normaly get the attention of folks I believe are so screwed up
>> that they clikely can't even stand trail for there crimes
>
>
>What are your thoughts on thinking, Marky?

my own
>> It is a new experence
>
>I'm sure it is for you.

what you adknowledgeing I got something right

May word... can my haert stand the strain?

>
>>

>> thank you for putting that into prespective for me
>
>No problem, dumbass. It was my pleasure.
>
>>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> and I will do what I can to se you lose as big as I can
>> >> >
>> >> >No, you can't suck my dick, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> don't want to would never suck you anyway I only deal sexual with
>> >> adult humans
>> >
>> >That's not what the children in your neighborhood say, Marky.
>>
>> another lie Mr Slit
>
>Oh so you say.

yes I do

it is also a fact

>
>>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> we will see what that ammounts to
>> >> >
>> >> >Indeed, filing a false report, tsk tsk, it doesn't look too good for
>> >> >you, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> looks fine to me but then I have not filed any false report
>> >
>> >No, you lied about the whole thing.
>>
>> not at all
>
>You lied.

nope

>
>> for your own sake you realy should get that though your head, if you
>> can
>
>You are a self-confessed liar.

for your own sake you realy should get that though your head, if you
can

>
>> I have filled my report. I will have to follow though or risk it being
>> labeled a false report
>
>You can count on the latter happening, Marky.

if you are right you have nothing to worry about

but I am not worried about any charge from filing a report consisting
of the facts

all that worries me is that they may not rise to a level that DA will
pursue

the later is certainly possible

OTOH if it happens yet another files will exist for the next time

one of these it seem likely that you or Stevie or Dan based on his
latest posts wil most likely cross the line. I wish I did not believe
that but I do

>
>> this means the FBI will be taking a look at you Mr Slit how close they
>> will come I realy don't know
>
>Quit lying, stupid.

not lying no need to lie Like I said I do wonder how much yahoo will
go along with them

well we shall see
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > > > They could see that, I'm
>> >> >> >> >> > > >sure.
>> >> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > I read her peices from Mr Slit's postings she agreed that a
threat
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > seemed to exist and to contact the police to arrage for
protection for
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > this man, in the conversation it develped between uthe thought
that any
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > Mark Morgan in the phone book and in the UP may be also at risk
and so
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > a memo/bultin will be sent out to UP law enforencement says

someone may
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > > attemtpt some type of crime against persona with the name Mark Morgan
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > > based on a case of mistaken ID (or words to that effect) She also
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > > agreed with me, that likehood of Mr Slit carring out that threat is low
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > > based on the fact I have been told that Mr Slit may be (according
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > > sources I deem of low reliablity) N0VFP she will seek at some point to
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > > have N0VFP questioned to see if he is fact Mr Slit etc
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> > God are you stupid Marky.
>> >> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> >> > > >> I (and others don't think so) but perhaps
>> >> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > >No everybody thinks so.
>> >> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > simply not true Brain Todd len anderson Bill shol and other don't
>> >> >> >> >> > > seem to think so
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > Wrong, Marky.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> true enough little boy, and that is just here in This forum online
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >No, it's true offline as well.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> not at all
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Liar.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> maybe but not about that
>> >> >
>> >> >You already admitted you are a liar.
>> >>
>> >> yes but not about the subject you were referring to
>> >
>> >Once a liar, always a liar, Marky.
>>
>> I refer to other answerin this post
>
>Waffling again, Marky?

no just refusing to retype it all
>
>>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >

>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> you should notice BTW even Stevie who knows certain statement I have
>> >> >> >> >> made recently are lies has choosen to back off and let me deal with you
>> >> >> >> >> in my own way (he will likely try and make me pay for it later but that
>> >> >> >> >> is another matter
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >By whining is not dealing, stupid.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I am dealing with you and Mr Slit trying to lead you down the garden
>> >> >> >> path into giving me the clues I need for the FBI
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You couldn't get a clue if you sprayed yourself with clue pheromones
>> >> >> >and went out into a field of clues,Marky.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I have plenty of them and getting everytime you and Whinny post
>> >> >
>> >> >You couldn't get a clue in a million years, stupid.
>> >>
>> >> I will not be recieveing Clues in 1 or more millions years you are
>> >> right about that
>> >
>> >You admit being that stupid, Marky? Damn are you dumb.
>>
>> no I admit that I will not be around in a million years as you would
>> know if you bothered to read or just to think
>
>Even if you were you still wouldn't catch any clues.

you are right of course in one or more million I am sure senility will
have set in

>
>> I am respond to your hyperbole with deadpan sarcasm
>
>No, you formulate a 3rd grade response that makes you look like a
>jackass.

ah you don't like deadpan sarcasm

too bad

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> yes I am lying to you and there but where?
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing.
>> >> >> >>

>> >> >> >> another lie N0VFP
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Another stupid Marky screw up!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> no screw up
>> >> >
>> >> >Your stupidity has no bounds, does it, Marky?
>> >>
>> >> hmm it would have to exist to have bounds so I guess you got that one
>> >> right, after a fashion
>> >
>> >No, your stupidity is plain to see.
>>
>> you are of course entitled to your opinion
>
>Oh, how nice of you, jackass.

you are welcome
>
>> does not make it true however
>
>You remember that, when you think people are "threatening" you,
>dumbass.

the law says I get to decide that for most legal matters

So long as I believe you are threatening me I am not making a false
report in saying so to Law enforcement

>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> >> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Post in English, Marky.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > > >> >Have these delusions much?
>> >> >> >> >> >> > > >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > > >> what delusion are you reffering to?
>> >> >> >> >> >> > > >

>> >> >> >> >> > > >Oh, delusions that threats were made when they weren't,
>> >> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > you made statements you clearly intended to be taken as threat
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > Bullshit, pea brain.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> you clearly intended them to be taken as a threat
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >No, retard. Learn to read.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> they were clearly intended to make me feel threatened, I suspect even
>> >> >> >> Stevie will conceed that was the intent of the poster
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >No, stupid, as usual, you miss the mark.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I am right on target
>> >> >
>> >> >Afraid not, stupid.
>> >>
>> >> right on target
>> >
>> >No, stupid.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> but you are afraid you are very frightened of my mere existence
>> >
>> >No, not of a bumbling fool like you.
>>
>> yes you are
>
>Hardly.

intrestering choice of word

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > > > you talking to
>> >> >> >> >> > > >the FBI when you didn't.
>> >> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > sure did called thrusday they called friday morning
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > Liar.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> at times but not there

>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Marky admits to lying about the whole thing a second time.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> another lie N0VFP
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Liar.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> some what I said here is a Lie some not I know which and where as well
>> >> >> >> when we catch up the FBI
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >So you admit you lied about the whole thing.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> when you make such a false statement you are the lair
>> >> >
>> >> >1) You admitted to being a liar.
>> >> >2) You lied and said you did something you didn't do.
>> >>
>> >> we were tlaking about you
>> >
>> >No, stupid.
>>
>> yes I was
>
>No, stupid.

yes I was

>
>>
>> >
>> >> you made a statement that was not true
>> >
>> >Every statement you make that is untrue, dumbass.
>>
>> "everything I say is lie" Harry Mudd, I Mudd ST:TOS
>>
>> lol
>
>It figures you'd be a trekkie, Marky. Do you post with your Spock ears
>on, too?

you just checking on that I am a SciFi fan?

>
>> >
>> >> you calim to be able to read
>> >
>> >Obviously you can't.
>>

>> we werre discuising you
>
>You can't even post in English.
>
>> >
>> >> therefore you know it was unture
>> >
>> >What's "unture?"
>> >
>> >> therefore you are a liar
>> >
>> >Therefore a self-admitted liar like you has no credibility.
>>
>> we shall see
>
>Indeed. Your false report will probably come back to bite you on the
>ass, Marky. That or they will be made aware of some of your postings at
>the very least.

my repost might but I am moreworried that the UP will taken out by a
killer rock from space

they will be made aware them you can be sure of that

>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> I am lying to you here and there which is prefectly and will not in
>> >> >> anyway undermine any arrest that may result
>> >> >
>> >> >So you think you are about to be arrested again, Marky?
>> >>
>> >> no I don't
>> >
>> >You don't think! And you admitted it.
>>
>> no I think I am going to be aressted you dolt
>
>No, you don't think.
>
>> >
>> >> I know I am not going to be arrested, and certainly for nothing to do
>> >> with you your threats, or your hate filled fantascies
>> >
>> >Have these delusions much, Marky?
>>
>> no delusions
>
>The one with the delusions is alwasy the last to know, Marky.

true enough which my be your problem

>
>>
>> a few simple facts there are just not enough jail cells to confine all
>> those that report to the police stalking and threats that they can't
>> or won't follow up on
>
>Bullshit.

simple truth

>
>> neither of us has any real reason to believe I will draw some specail
>> attention
>
>You are as dense as a black hole, Marky.

no way near that dense

>
>> I will take my at bat and we shall see the results
>
>Strike three! You're out! as usual, Marky!

out of what?

>
>> I believe that your involving another man thinking he was me will
>> increase the chances of action being taken against you and that it
>> will give me an at bat against Stevie
>
>Bullshit.

we shall see

>
>> but we shall see
>
>Indeed. <snicker>

indeed

>
>>
>> >
>> >> I have been arrested even charged over the years but none of those
>> >> ever even got past the Prelim hearing
>> >
>> >BWHAHAHAHAHA...nice confession, Marky.
>>
>> well my mom did time for anti Nam protest (less the 90 days) and my
>> dad was convicted and given a suspended sentence for slugging a cop he
>> (and my mom) felt groped her improperly during her arest
>

>Marky reveals his jailbird family.....

at least we have courage to do what we think is right

>
>> i guess I am just less afraid of the legal system than you and stevie
>>
>> so i will take my stand and risk taking my lumps
>
>Then black Bubba will give you something else, but you'll like that
>Marky.

nah I no fears of "Bubba"
>
>>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> cut
>> >> >
>> >> >Run, Marky, run!!!!!!!!!!
>> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> the shows show the cops as far more noble than the cops tend to be
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I wonder what the "FBI" would think of your statement, Marky?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> that I am not fond of cops, or of them as a general rule
>> >> >
>> >> >I wonder what the "FBI" will think of that.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> all prefectly true statements
>> >> >
>> >> >It won't put you in solid with them, Marky.
>>
>> missed the above only saw it
>
>You miss a lot of things, dumbass!
>
>>
>> but the answer is so what
>>
>> the FBI are generaly professionals
>
>They will take that above statement that they aren't noble into
>consideration, I'm sure.
is that what you are counting to save you?

that is good for alaugh

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3999. Re: to digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:51:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <su4ol1tiujdb3741g7ldlkk9ld5bqpost3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121825

On 23 Oct 2005 15:38:30 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> i don't
>
>Digital is N0vfp, stupid.
maybe he is

I don't only care if he wants to share whatever data he has and sgin
his name to as it were

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4000. Re: Saggytits Lee has no evidence of any adoption.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:55:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <r45ol1la7kil7ai7mov9ogf14otdesfvft@4ax.com>
Source record: 121827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 23 Oct 2005 15:09:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Saggytits Davies wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2005 06:02:15 -0700, Jewish Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> : And do post proof Roger was adopted.
>> : We won't hold our breath, because adoptions are sealed away and NOBODY
>> : can see them without a court order. And you wouldn't be able to get
>> : them, fatass. Roger wasn't adopted, retard Davies.
>>
>> Ambassador Dave Heil
>
>You are rather stupid, Davies. Bass Odor High-el wasn't an
>"ambassador." He was a flunky gopher. But even he had a better job than
>pizza delivery BOY, pizza retard BOY.
>
>
>> has said that you are adopted. His word is good
>> enough for us.
>
>Too bad he nor you have any evidence. And hearsay evidence isn't good
>enough, fatass.

but pattern evidence is a good begining for me

if you are not Roger why do you care if he is adopted or not

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4001. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:58:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <m75ol11kin96nonncfvscd7vck3lf7abgv@4ax.com>
Source record: 121828

On 23 Oct 2005 15:46:34 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2005 05:47:59 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 22 Oct 2005 14:32:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
>> >> >> >> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
>> >> >> >> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >WTF are you saying, stupid?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> read it and find out
>> >> >
>> >> >If CIA crytographers read it they couldn't figure out what you were
>> >> >trying to say,
>> >>
>> >> you gravely under estimate the CIA littleboy
>> >
>> >You don't get sarcasm, do you Marky? Or will you claim to be a KFC
>> >"Colonel" with the CIA, now?
>>
>> neither I just claim the same to use it myself
>
>Another lie, Marky?

no I use sarcasm myself

plain to see

>
>> the style I use is called "deadpan" for reason unknown to me
>
>The style you use is "sniveling moron," which is what you are.

wrong again

>
>>
>> >
>> >> > you illiterate son of a bitch.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
>> >> >> >> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."
>> >> >>
>> >> >> no I am not part of the FBI
>> >> >
>> >> >Just on their most watched list.
>> >>
>> >> not that I know but then I would not know of it, but prehaps I am on
>> >> such a list but I doubt it. I am not anyway near important enough to
>> >> merit such attention
>> >
>> >No, you are not important at all, to anybody.
>>
>> meaning you kew you were lying in claiming i was on the FBI mst
>> watched list
>
>No, you are just not that important.

something I alread knew
but you admiting it makes your staement "Just on their most watched
list." a lie and you lair but we knew that too

>
>> >
>> >> perhaps you are on that list, but for now I doubt it
>> >
>> >Perhaps you should pull your head out of your ass.
>>
>> again it would have to be there first and I am no where near that
>> flexible
>
>Keep it up there, then.
>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> I don't care however
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Then why are you going on and on about it?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
>> >> >> >> trail leads
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> >Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> the same for AB8MB
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> the same K4YZ
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> for what?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots
>> >> >>
>> >> >For your defamation, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> deflamtion?
>> >
>> >"Defamation" Stupid. Even when it's in front of you you screw it up.
>> >
>> >> what are you talking about?
>> >
>> >Read it again, stupid.
>> >
>> >> It is a plain fact that folks mentioned have facts involved in such an
>> >> investagation
>> >
>> >It is plain to see you lied about the whole thing, Marky.
>>
>> Truely I would advise you to not think that, for your own sake
>
>STFU, liar.

I don't take your orders and Truely I would advise you to not think
that, for your own sake
>
>> >
>> >> that is no defamation
>> >
>> >Want to bet?
>> >
>> >> that I Believe K4YZ is guilty of actionable crimes might be
>> >> defamation, but it is true statement that I have made before
>> >
>> >Too bad you spend all your money on booze, or you would do something
>> >about it.
>>
>> action on Crime requires the aid of the State not something i can buy
>> (or at least not without comitting the crime of bribery)
>

>WTF has that got to do with anything, Dodgeball Marky?

you don't understand a victum has to convince a DA for a crime to be
prosecuted?
cut

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4002. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 22:59:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8d5ol11meapkf7vurr5tfoo609uveet0oj@4ax.com>
Source record: 121829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 23 Oct 2005 15:48:21 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>But you claim Roger is Jewish, Lloydie/Waysy.

why do you care if Roger is Jewish or adopted?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4003. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:01:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <vg5ol1p6vppq5cdalvmsju4901i0325clm@4ax.com>
Source record: 121831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 19:14:09 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>>
>>>> Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
>>>> MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes
>>>> you
>>>> think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to
>>>> make
>>>> any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
>>>> APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL
>>>> RESPONSE
>>>> TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS
>>>> GOING TO
>>>> TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make
>>> up the emergency network, eh?
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>> And we have seen first hand several times lately just how great the cell
>> phone network performs with all the cell towers out of service.
>
> It just doesn't, does it? The nature of cell phone service is that it
>depends on the user having a low power Walkie-talkie, which relies on a
>nearby hub where the signal is essentially multiplexed into a larger
>system. Lots of "infrastructure" there.
>
> So when a disaster occurs, two things happen. Number one is that the
>power in the worst affected area goes out, and the cell phone antenna
>sites go onto backup power. At the same time, everyone and their brother
>starts calling relatives and friends to let them know that "they are
>alright". The combination of the two saturates the local system until
>the backup power fails.

>
> The only place that the cell phone system works in emergencies is in a
>few of the amateur radio groups, and it only "works" for those who want
>to discredit Amateur radio.

gee it works for MSNBC

and it works for hams that don't want to discridt the ARS
>
> And the concept of an FRS network is laughable. It's extreme short
>range means that in order to have any chance of working, complete
>saturation is needed.
>
> Tin cans and string anyone?
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4004. Re: to digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:06:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130108788.967516.111670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121826

kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 15:38:30 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> i don't
> >
> >Digital is N0vfp, stupid.
> maybe he is

God are you stupid, Marky!

> I don't only care if he wants to share whatever data he has and sgin
> his name to as it were

Oh, so you want the guy you are going after to help you?

4005. Re: to digital or the real N0VFP prehaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:07:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <vi5ol1lqtaqc1dpmgnenjgi6ad0vn8fse4@4ax.com>
Source record: 121834

On 23 Oct 2005 16:06:29 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2005 15:38:30 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> i don't
>> >
>> >Digital is N0vfp, stupid.
>> maybe he is
>
>God are you stupid, Marky!
>
>> I don't only care if he wants to share whatever data he has and sgin
>> his name to as it were
>
>Oh, so you want the guy you are going after to help you?

I am not going after N0VFP I going after you

Stevie told you are N0VFP I don't realy believe Stevie is reliable I
told the FBI that when we talked but that it is the only lead I had at
the time and that it was of low realiablity. Now I have lead saying
you are Roger, Mr Slit

If digital is the real N0VFP(as oposed the N0VFP@yahoo.com who appears
to be you Mr Slit) and you are not that is fine with me

I want you arested Mr Slit wether you Lloyd or Dan or Roger or anyone
else

are counting on my reporting that I have been UNrealiably informed
that you are N0VFP to get me that false reporting charge

Don't

I don't think you are N0VFP not convinced you are Roger, but I am
convinced that are someone that wishes to make trouble for N0VFP,
which wether or not digital is N0VFP I would want N0VFP QUESTIONED
about who is emenies are .

never said I want N0VFP ARRESTED

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4006. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:14:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <X6SdncTKO_fchMHeRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>>
>>> Let me see now... I have a reading comprehension problem... There are
>>> MILLIONS of FRS users compared to 675,000 couch potatoes. What makes
>>> you
>>> think that the proposal for a National SOS Radio Network is going to
>>> make
>>> any changes to Amateur Radio Service response to national disasters?
>>> APPARENTLY, AMATEUR SERVICE FAILED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A NATIONAL
>>> RESPONSE
>>> TO DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE---THAT'S WHY FRS IS
>>> GOING TO
>>> TAKE THE PLACE OF THE AMATEUR SERVICE!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> And millions more cell phone users. Let the cell phone users make
>> up the emergency network, eh?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> And we have seen first hand several times lately just how great the cell
> phone network performs with all the cell towers out of service.

It just doesn't, does it? The nature of cell phone service is that it depends on the user having a low power Walkie-talkie, which relies on a nearby hub where the signal is essentially multiplexed into a larger system. Lots of "infrastructure" there.

So when a disaster occurs, two things happen. Number one is that the power in the worst affected area goes out, and the cell phone antenna sites go onto backup power. At the same time, everyone and their brother starts calling relatives and friends to let them know that "they are alright". The combination of the two saturates the local system until the backup power fails.

The only place that the cell phone system works in emergencies is in a few of the amateur radio groups, and it only "works" for those who want to discredit Amateur radio.

And the concept of an FRS network is laughable. It's extreme short range means that in order to have any chance of working, complete saturation is needed.

Tin cans and string anyone?

- Mike KB3EIA -

4007. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:17:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <X6SdncfKO_dzhMHeRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Comdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>> network
>
>
> How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
> coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
> to and make a few calls.

Yeah, I heard that the network was down too! I guess it depends on if
you hate hams or not. If you hate hams, one message getting out means
that Cell phones work great in an emergency.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4008. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:23:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hrOdnXN05N8VhsHeRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>>>then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>>>Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>>They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>
>>When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>
>
> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>
> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?

Probably why there are forms to use, and that hams who are trained in communications use 'em. Relaying messages would seem to be a simple thing. But if several hundred people do the relaying without any training, I'd bet that the message will look a little different at the end as compared to just one or two relays by trained people.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4009. Re: to digital or the real N0VFP prehaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:30:49 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130110249.541615.285210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121835

kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 16:06:29 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 23 Oct 2005 15:38:30 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> i don't
> >> >
> >> >Digital is N0vfp, stupid.
> >> maybe he is
> >>
> >God are you stupid, Marky!
> >
> >> I don't only care if he wants to share whatever data he has and sgin
> >> his name to as it were
> >>
> >Oh, so you want the guy you are going after to help you?
>
> I am not going after N0VFP I going after you

I'm not into your faggotry. I already have Ray Chason.

> Stevie told you are N0VFP I don't realy believe Stevie is reliable I
> told the FBI that when we talked but that it is the only lead I had at
> the time and that it was of low realiablity. Now I have lead saying
> you are Roger, Mr Slit

You are so stupid, Marky.

> If digital is the real N0VFP(as oposed the N0VFP@yahoo.com who appears
> to be you Mr Slit) and you are not that is fine with me
>
> I want you arested Mr Slit wether you Lloyd or Dan or Roger or anyone
> else

For what, making you look like an even bigger fool than you already do?

> are counting on my reporting that I have been UNrealiably informed
> that you are N0VFP to get me that false reporting charge
>
> Don't

Don't what?

> I don't think you are N0VFP not convinced you are Roger, but I am
> convinced that are someone that wishes to make trouble for N0VFP,
> which wether or not digital is N0VFP I would want N0VFP QUESTIONED
> about who is emenies are .

Got 1,000 names, asshole?

> never said I want N0VFP ARRESTED

You are stupid, Marky.

4010. Re: Saggytits Lee has no evidence of any adoption.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:32:03 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130110323.748984.153290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 15:09:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Saggytits Davies wrote:
> >> On 23 Oct 2005 06:02:15 -0700, Jewish Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> : And do post proof Roger was adopted.
> >> : We won't hold our breath, because adoptions are sealed away and NOBODY
> >> : can see them without a court order. And you wouldn't be able to get
> >> : them, fatass. Roger wasn't adopted, retard Davies.
> >>
> >> Ambassador Dave Heil
> >
> >You are rather stupid, Davies. Bass Odor High-el wasn't an
> >"ambassador." He was a flunky gopher. But even he had a better job than
> >pizza delivery BOY, pizza retard BOY.
> >
> >
> >> has said that you are adopted. His word is good
> >> enough for us.
> >
> >Too bad he nor you have any evidence. And hearsay evidence isn't good
> >enough, fatass.
>
>
> but pattern evidence is a good begining for me

Sort of like your male pattern baldness?

> if you are not Roger why do you care if he is adopted or not

Who said I did, asshole?

4011. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:32:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hrOdnXJ05N8fgMHeRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Every body is wrong except Mikey. Everyone is a liar except Mikey.
>
> Hey Mikey if you dont keep your lights on at night. START.

Gosh Dan, I hope you didn't mean me? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4012. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:33:18 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130110398.486397.298410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121838

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 15:37:51 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 23 Oct 2005 05:41:16 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 14:28:00 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> cut
> >> >> >Yep.
> >> >>
> >> >> nope
> >> >
> >> >Yep. Or you are a liar, take your pick.
> >>
> >> I chose opition three I made a report of the facts as I know them
> >
> >No you are lying out of your faggot ass.
>
> I can absolutely assure I never out of my ass

I can believe your head is never out of your ass.

4013. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:34:05 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130110445.155256.289240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Why did you post twice, asshole?

4014. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:35:56 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130110556.723570.321920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

STFU, goofball.

4015. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-23 23:40:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HbV6f.671$RI1.520@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121841

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote

>
> You can too.
>
> http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

The funniest part of your post is the fact that my newsreader censored
"wristwatch" to "wris****ch".

With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions" a
few weeks ago.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

4016. Re: the FBI believe it or not as you choose Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:02:00 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130110796.836660.18150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121842

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 15:46:34 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 23 Oct 2005 05:47:59 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 14:32:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 09:08:36 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >> in the day since I made this report I have recieved reports the Wisman
> >> >> >> >> AB8MQ is whiny trying to make me think he is N0VFP and the the later
> >> >> >> >> is threatening so I will make trouble for N0VFP on AB8MQ behalf
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >WTF are you saying, stupid?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> read it and find out
> >> >> >
> >> >> >If CIA crytographers read it they couldn't figure out what you were
> >> >> >trying to say,
> >> >>
> >> >> you gravely under estimate the CIA littleboy
> >> >
> >> >You don't get sarcasm, do you Marky? Or will you claim to be a KFC
> >> >"Colonel" with the CIA, now?
> >>
> >> neither I just claim the same to use it myself
> >
> >Another lie, Marky?
>
> no I use sarcasm myself

No, you babble on incoherently.

> plain to see

We know, you illiterate moron.

> >
> >> the style I use is called "deadpan" for reason unknown to me
> >
> >The style you use is "sniveling moron," which is what you are.
>
> wrong again

Oh, what a clever response, Marky. <------now that is sarcasm.

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> > you illiterate son of a bitch.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> I will report this line of thought to the FBI when next they and I
> >> >> >> >> speak (as pormised to try and devlope more leads for her)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Yeah, like you are part of their crack undercover team, KFC "Colonel."
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> no I am not part of the FBI
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Just on their most watched list.
> >> >>
> >> >> not that I know but then I would not know of it, but prehaps I am on
> >> >> such a list but I doubt it. I am not anyway near important enough to
> >> >> merit such attention
> >> >
> >> >No, you are not important at all, to anybody.
> >>
> >> meaning you kew you were lying in claiming i was on the FBI mst
> >> watched list
> >
> >No, you are just not that important.
>
> something I alread knew

BWHAHAHAHAHA, Nice confession, stupid.

> but you admiting it makes your staement "Just on their most watched
> list." a lie and you lair but we knew that too

Not as big a liar as you are.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >> perhaps you are on that list, but for now I doubt it
> >> >
> >> >Perhaps you should pull your head out of your ass.
> >>
> >> again it would have to be there first and I am no where near that
> >> flexible

> >
> >Keep it up there, then.
> >
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> I don't care however
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Then why are you going on and on about it?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> I will press the FBI to help me get to the bottom of it, whereever the
> >> >> >> >> trail leads
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Probably for you at the bottom of that Thunderbird bottle.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> I will not care if N0VFP gets questioed I hope he does,
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> the same for AB8MB
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> the same K4YZ
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Hope you like a class action lawsuit, Marky.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> for what?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> the only class they could form part would be of hate filled bigots
> >> >> >
> >> >> >For your defamation, Marky.
> >> >>
> >> >> deflamtion?
> >> >
> >> >"Defamation" Stupid. Even when it's in front of you you screw it up.
> >> >
> >> >> what are you talking about?
> >> >
> >> >Read it again, stupid.
> >> >
> >> >> It is a plain fact that folks mentioned have facts involved in such an
> >> >> investagation
> >> >
> >> >It is plain to see you lied about the whole thing, Marky.
> >>
> >> Truely I would advise you to not think that, for your own sake
> >
> >STFU, liar.
>
> I don't take your orders and Truely I would advise you to not think
> that, for your own sake

You lied about the whole thing.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> that is no defamation
> >> >
> >> >Want to bet?
> >> >
> >> >> that I Believe K4YZ is guilty of actionable crimes might be
> >> >> defamation, but it is true statement that I have made before
> >> >
> >> >Too bad you spend all your money on booze, or you would do something
> >> >about it.
> >>
> >> action on Crime requires the aid of the State not something i can buy
> >> (or at least not without comitting the crime of bribery)
> >
> >WTF has that got to do with anything, Dodgeball Marky?
>
> you don't understand a victum has to convince a DA for a crime to be
> prosecuted?

I'm sure he won't put much stock in what you have to say, retard.

> cut

Run away,Marky.

4017. Poor Wogie answers his own posts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:08:36 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djh8ib$oo1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121843
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Posing as W4FQF and then answering your own post shows how desperate for attention you are, Wiseman.

X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1; .NET CLR 1.0.3705),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)

Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)

Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

4018. Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:21:12 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <djh99v$t4n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, isn't that a coincidence. N0VFP's message properties are exactly the same as those of "whiny slit" and "W4FQF". Why, the "three" of them must have identical computers, and what are the odds of that happening in two newsgroups?

X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

4019. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:23:15 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96F8CFA83849Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 121845

Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:A9ydnQw7qv5NKMfeRVn-pA@adelphia.com:

> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>>><mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>
>> cutns?
>>
>>>>>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I
>>>>>> do find
>>>>>>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the
>>>>>>ones telling people to keep their mouth shut.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of
>>>>>rudeness
>>>>
>>>>>Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
>>>>>infringing on their own
>>>
>>> So I guess what you are saying is that your cause is so righteous
>>> that
>>>you are justified in squelching the other side?
>>
>>
>> no effort to squech it has been made. he has the right to ignore me if
>> he likes, as do you of course, and I have the right to tell to shut
>> up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor or impling that Len is doing

>> something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit
>>
>> How many hunderd question does Jim need to ask before he reconizes
>> that Len is not required to answer him
>>
>> or when wil Jim realize there has not been a grand inquistitor
>> apponointed eith for Ham radio or RRAP
>
> People that tell other people to shut up are acting like grand
> inquisitors.
>
> A lot of people on the no-code side don;t like Jim because he
> generally
> keeps his cool, and puts forth pretty good arguments.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

On the contrary, I like Jim because he is rational and polite. I don't have to agree with him, though. He did raise a valid point about citizenship, i.e. it is irrelevant, but I raised this point myself with Len before and didn't get an answer.

Any way you look at it though, more than half the comments are in favour of the NPRM and less than a third against, the rest being divided into those who want a code test retained for the Extra and those who otherwise fall in the middle ground. The FCC will have no problem deciding that they have a mandate to abolish Element 1 based on the comments.

So we are all just waiting for the other shoe to drop.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

4020. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:41:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <95W6f.561$AS6.288@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:o71ol1l50atgklcvkh0m5bg33k84srq7bp@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:31:18 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:iqknl1ldeklcjq513ka07k2rsrjsb97766@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:42 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>>>>>
> cut
>
>>>>"It takes two things to communicate, an operator at both ends"
>>>>
>>>>A slight addition would be "trained operator"
>>>
>>> wrong agian
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>Every body is wrong except Mikey. Everyone is a liar except Mikey.
>>
>>Hey Mikey if you dont keep your lights on at night. START.
>
> more threats
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
No No Markey....not threats. I am trying to HELP you. I am warning you
that there are folks out here on USENET that are, by normal standards,
considered CRAZY. Folks that don't like your insults, folks that wouldn't
think twice to hunt you down and disembowel you, for what you are or claim
to be.

You see Markey, you have put YOURSELF in the unenviable position of being a
TARGET. So please consider this a warning meant in a "good way" to be
careful. It would be a shame to find out that some USENET junkie cut you up

like a Christmas Goose.

Have a good evening buddy.

Dan/W4NTI

4021. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:44:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <r7W6f.562$AS6.125@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:hrOdnXJ05N8fgMHeRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> Every body is wrong except Mikey. Everyone is a liar except Mikey.
>>
>> Hey Mikey if you dont keep your lights on at night. START.
>
>
> Gosh Dan, I hope you didn't mean me? 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Sorry, just a typo. BUt I see Markey knew who it was directed too. I
think whiny is right....way too paranoid.

Dan/W4NTI

4022. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:47:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <laW6f.564$AS6.105@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6a1ol1hul9qaopnv690j6dccp0kr0hv6fl@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:32:33 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>
>><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:7rknl15i9atdv26kfh8c9d9gs548vorr05@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"TOM" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:EnN6f.486056$5N3.67974@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:OTy6f.19794$q1.2155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> cut
>
>>>>>
>>>>> Maybe... just maybe... stupid can be fixed.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>There is nothing at all wrong with using FRS, GMRS or any of the citizen
>>>>accessible radio systems. But there is NO REASON to re-invent the
>>>>wheel.
>>>>
>>>>Ham radio can and does provide long haul and short haul communications.
>>>
>>> then why did it not carry it according to you
>>> cut
>>>
>>Whats the matter? You too stupid to read. That has already been
>>responded
>>to. You fagot jerk.
>
> if you want (or if you don't want)as you seem to I can bring a nice
> sample of your recent and past behavoir to the FBI no extra trouble
> really
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>

>
Oh I am so scarred Markey. What was that about you admitting to be a fagot, and saying things that anyone would reasonable believe you were, or at least want to be a child molester? Go ahead fagot and tell your FBI "contact" I am sure they all need a laugh.

In other words....take your best shot....BUT be ready for the results. Think YOU can handle that Markey?

Dan/W4NTI

4023. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:48:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <QbW6f.566$AS6.427@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:s31ol1tgqtnk7noqrt7bh658sda6f25hca@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:29:44 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>Un Trustworthy eh? Beats the hell out of being a fagot and a child
>>molester
>>though don't it? You piece of garbage.
>
> Dan what do you expect to be called other than a bigot when you use
> such language?
>
> I am not a child molestor I am bisexual
>
> you are clearly untrustworthy when you believe that the one equates to
> the other
>
> makes it hard to rely on anything you say when you spout shit like
> that
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:njknl1po0jrk9ff62dphdqtrachh6b46pl@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:39 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>>
>
You don't like my language fagot? Then STAY THE HELL OUT OF MY
CONVERSATIONS. PUT ME IN YOUR FUCKIN KILL FILE YOU FAGOT.

Dan/W4NTI

4024. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:55:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <piW6f.568$AS6.466@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ti1ol1d1t80igd06rvthp95dice6ggse6o@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:52:17 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"

>>I talked with the ARRL on the subject. And there IS a memorandum of
>>understanding.
>>Apparantly most to all at RC don't read or are unable to comprehend. OR
>>are
>>just
>>plain ignorant. Take your pick. Bottom line is THEY dropped the ball.
>
> taking about the Red Croos in such terms as you use above as of course
> sure to endear the ARS to them,
>
> even if the words were valid
>
> guess you missed any lession concerning the word "Tact"
>

Well asshole if you knew me you would know I could care less about TACT.
And when you deal with me you learn TWO things. ONE; I say it the way I
see it. TWO: If you don't like that you wont ask again.

That is EVERYONE but YOU. Your too damn stupid to figure that out. And
don't
give me the crap about your being brain damaged. You are just a world class
asswipe
that needs his ass kicked.\

>> could you make up you mind?

Still don't get it do you? FUCKOFF MORON.

>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4025. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 00:59:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ClW6f.569$AS6.488@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:X6SdncfKO_dzhMHeRVn-iw@adelphia.com...
> Comdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>>> network
>>
>>
>> How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
>> coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
>> to and make a few calls.
>
> Yeah, I heard that the network was down too! I guess it depends on if you
> hate hams or not. If you hate hams, one message getting out means that
> Cell phones work great in an emergency.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Maybe MSNBC put up their own cell phone system just so they could impress
Markey the Moron.

Dan/W4NTI

4026. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 01:00:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JmW6f.570$AS6.234@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:hrOdnXN05N8VhsHeRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>>>>then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>>>>Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>>>They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>
>>>When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>>you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>>person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>>last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>>that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>
>>
>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>
>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>
> Probably why there are forms to use, and that hams who are trained in
> communications use 'em. Relaying messages would seem to be a simple thing.
> But if several hundred people do the relaying without any training, I'd
> bet that the message will look a little different at the end as compared
> to just one or two relays by trained people.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Wrong....Markey told me that he heard that MSNBC relayed all sorts of
messages and they came out perfect.

Dan/W4NTI

4027. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 01:08:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6uW6f.19062$QE1.12984@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121853
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130106399.644208.224450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130100147.097922.31880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1130044961.812225.287750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Polymath wrote:
>> >> >> Nailsea Grammar School?
>> >> >
>> >> > you don't even know what he Means?
>> >> >
>> >> > good greif I know what Steve Stone means and I think the ARS would
>> >> > be
>> >> > better off with Morse Code USE banned (but I don't addvocate it in
>> >> > the
>> >> > US becuase I beleive the Code users have the right do such things
>> >> > even
>> >> > if I find them wastefull or counter productive
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Steve Stone wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > What is your FISTS number ???
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Oh please Mr. Know-it-all Markie explain to us ignorant Real Hams how
>> >> using
>> >> Morse Code is "wastefull or counter productive". I can hardly wait
>> >> for
>> >> this
>> >> one.
>> >
>> > I oputght to make you wait for it
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
```

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > but I will not
>> >
>> > Morse Code use has brought about a Cult statuts that has clearly served
>> > and will most likely continue to serve as a dividing point amoug the
>> > memebers of the ARS
>> >
>> > I have more but if you want I will make you wait
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> A cult you say?
> yes and others have as well
>

Who, where, when did others say that. Prove it Moron.

>> A dividing point you say?
>
> certainly

Thats it Markey? Is this the best you can do wimp?

>> Who is the leader of this cult
>> Markie?
>
> well I rather to name the Late Maxim dead a buried leaders are safer
>

Hiram Percy Maxim I presume is who you are defiling. And you wonder why
people want to kick your ass.

HPM among other things was the founder of the ARRL. And you claim he was
the head of a cult consisting of CW users?

BWAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAAAAA what a flippin idiot you are you discusting excuse
for a human being.

Maybe someone will use on of Mr. Maxims inventions to give you a attitude
adjustment. Any idea what that might be moron?

> but realy I don't get the memos of the cult of the MMM, being that I am
> not on the membership roster
>>Do we stand around NAKED in a circle and summon demons?
>
> again I would not know, but I am inclinded to doubt it
>

>>
>> A dividing point? What might that be Markie? The CW qualified, and the
>> NOT? Why is THAT such a problem to you? Because your too LAZY to
>> memorize
>> enough Morse to pass a multiple GUESS test at the ridicolous slow speed
>> of
>> FIVE WORDS A MINUTE? You could pass that by knowing 15 letters and
>> guessing
>> at the rest.
>>
>> I think the word of interest here is LAZY.
>
> nothing you have said before, fortunately the FCC can see though that
> line of BS even if you can't
>

BWAHAAAAAAAAAAA... what a moron. The FCC is only doing what IT THINKS the hams want. Based on people like you.

You are the reason ham radio went to hell in the last few decades. You and your ilk need to be removed from the service. You are the cause of this whole mess. And you want me and others that are old enough to know what ham radio used to be fall for you bs. Well forget it Asshole, we know better.

>
>>
>> Continue Markey.....I can hardly wait.
>
> when you going to pay me?
>>
Ever see Candid Camera? You figure it out moron.

Dan/W4NTI

4028. Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 01:13:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jzW6f.19067$QE1.288@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121854

Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and wacked out his posts get?

He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.

Dan/W4NTI

4029. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 01:26:02 UTC
From: Usenet Central <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <KKW6f.19071$QE1.9591@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121855

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96F8CFA83849Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...

: Any way you look at it though, more than half the comments are in favour
of
: the NPRM and less than a third against,

Not in fact is it ANY WAY (every way) you look at it. If Lenards tally is
accurate, your issue seems almost exactly an even race since the official
Federal Register publication of the NRPM with 50.41% in favor or dropping
Morse and 49.59% in sympathy to retain at least some Morse examination.
Based on your presidents election experience you should exam for perhaps
hanging chads in some preceints in Florida.

BGO

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4030. Enterprise calling Earth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 01:26:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6LW6f.19072$QE1.16537@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121856

I have it on good authority that a meteor known as X1 is now crossing into the Solar System. This is a 100 % accurate account.

It is crossing the orbit of Pluto and is expected to arrive in the near vicinity of MARS on Star date 4603.4 Mark 7. It is traveling at Warp 7 and is expected to arrive at 99W 44N which is the upper peninsula of Michigan on November 31, 2005.

We have been attempting communication with our Trekkie in the UP. But we have been unable to do so.

We desperately need USENETs help in finding him.

Acting Captain USS Enterprise
Riker

4031. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 02:11:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <66gol15lkr364ip6snlnqh8cmna0nr83pa@4ax.com>
Source record: 121860
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:08:18 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<6uW6f.19062$QE1.12984@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>>> I think the word of interest here is LAZY.
>>
>> nothing you have said before, fortunately the FCC can see though that
>> line of BS even if you can't
>>
>
>BWAHAAAAAAAAAAA... what a moron. The FCC is only doing what IT THINKS the
>hams want. Based on people like you.

Gee, and here I thought the FCC was acting on behalf of the ARRL
lobby..... easier tests = more hams = more revenue from RAH sales,
membership dues, sponsor organizations, federal grants, etc, etc.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4032. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 02:23:23 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130120603.396895.222620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Proves that Mr. Davies is NOT the author of these messages.

4033. Service Manuals on line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 02:50:15 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <2bb04e609cf7d4a0b8acf6d391450464@dizum.com>
Source record: 121864

If you own a service manual on CD
for Tektronix, Hewlett-Packard, etc ...
test equipment that can be useful for
hams (generators, spectrum analyzers,
selective voltmeters, etc ...), please
UPLOAD THEM ON P2P networks so all hams
could have them FOR FREE. TNX

Thats the ham spirit !

Mario

4034. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 03:07:24 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <EZWdncpzmMPV3MHeRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> And do post proof Roger was adopted.

Yes, I would like to see that myself. Hard to believe anyone could be
stupid enough to adopt 2test wogie.

4035. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 03:08:59 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <EZWdncVzmMM63MHeRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
>>>>block,
>>>>then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>>>>Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>>>They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>
>>>When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>>you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>>person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>>last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>>that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>
>>So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>
>>Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>>
>
>
> No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
> of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
> down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS system does
> not.

Absolutely.

4036. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 03:11:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <EZWdncRzmMO238HeRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121859
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

pierrejalbert@nhl.ca wrote:
>>N8WWM posting as -"Keyclowns are limpwristed" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>wrong, retard...nobody cares about your "attention". Like I said
>>>before. We know where and who you are, and all the fun starts when WE
>>>want to start. Not when you do. AKC owns you, and Uhl love how well we
>>>maintain our property.
>>>
>>
>>I've seen how well you maintain your section eight property, you cowardly
>>sissy. Get busted for jamming the Toledo repeaters again lately?
>>
>>http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>>
>>--
>> http://NewsReader.Com/
>
>
>
> what's your tech callsign steveo

Steveo can't even get a no-code tech.

4037. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 03:25:54 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3KidnbWr4cg92MHenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:39 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Of course you won't believe me, or anyone else. But the cell phone network
>>was down for the majority of New Orleans. I know people that went there to
>>repair it.
>
>
> I would believe some trust worthy

New Orleans Phones, Cell Networks Still Down

September 2, 2005
New Orleans remains shut off from the outside world, with virtually no
phone service and repair crews not yet allowed to enter the flooded,
battered city.

The various telephone companies have been unable to estimate when
service might resume, since they have not yet been able to physically
inspect the damage to their equipment.

The landline network has been out in most of the New Orleans area since
the storm hit *and most cell towers failed during the first few hours.*
Emergency generators kept some facilities up but they soon ran out of
fuel or became water-logged

http://www.consumeraffairs.com/news04/2005/katrina_phones.html

4038. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 03:44:19 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WtadnTmFhd1s1MHeRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM wrote:

>
> Exactly... the amateur service had an unprecedented golden opportunity for
> PR at New Orleans and they blew-it big time.

Sure couldn't tell they blew it big time by this article from MSNBC, or
dozens of others like it.

Ham radio operators to the rescue after Katrina
Amateur radio networks help victims of the hurricane

With telephones down and wireless service disrupted, at least one group
of people did manage last week to use technology to come to the rescue
of those in need.

Often unsung, amateur radio operators regularly assist in emergency
situations. Hurricane Katrina was no exception. For the past week,
operators of amateur, or ham, radio have been instrumental in helping
residents in the hardest hit areas, including saving stranded flood
victims in Louisiana and Mississippi.

Public service has always been a large part of being an amateur radio
operator. All operators, who use two-way radios on special frequencies
set aside for amateur use, must be tested and licensed by the federal
government, which then issues them a unique call sign. (Mine is W2GSK.)

Ham operators communicate using voice, computers, televisions and Morse
code (the original digital communication mode.) Some hams bounce their
signals off the upper regions of the atmosphere, so they can talk with
hams on the other side of the world; others use satellites. Many use
short-range, handheld radios that fit in their pockets.

When disaster strikes, ham networks spring into action. The Amateur
Radio Emergency Service (ARES) consists of licensed amateurs who have
voluntarily registered their qualifications and equipment for
communications duty in the public service.

In this disaster a number of ham emergency stations and networks have
been involved in providing information about this disaster ï¿½ from
WX4NHC, the amateur radio station at the National Hurricane Center to
the Hurricane Watch Net, the Waterway Net, Skywarn and the Salvation
Army Team Emergency Radio Network (SATERN).

On Monday, Aug. 29, a call for help involving a combination of cell
telephone calls and amateur radio led to the rescue of 15 people

stranded by floodwaters on the roof of a house in New Orleans. Unable to get through an overloaded 911 system, one of those stranded called a relative in Baton Rouge. That person called another relative, who called the local American Red Cross.

Using that Red Cross chapterï¿½s amateur radio station, Ben Joplin, WB5VST, was able to relay a request for help on the SATERN network via Russ Fillinger, W7LXR, in Oregon, and Rick Cain, W7KB, in Utah back to Louisiana, where emergency personnel were alerted. They rescued the 15 people and got them to a shelter.

Such rescues were repeated over and over again. Another ham was part of the mix that same Monday when he heard over the same Salvation Army emergency network of a family of five trapped in an attic in Diamond Head, La. The family used a cell phone to call out. Bob Rathbone, AG4ZG, in Tampa, says he checked the address on a map and determined it was in an area struck by a storm surge.

He called the Coast Guard search-and-rescue station in Clearwater, explained the situation and relayed the information. At this point, the Coast Guard office in New Orleans was out of commission. An hour later he received a return call from the South Haven Sheriffï¿½s Department in Louisiana, which informed him a rescue operation was under way.

Another search-and-rescue operation involved two adults and a child stuck on a roof. The person was able to send a text message from a cell phone to a family member in Michigan. Once again, the Coast Guard handled the call.

Relief work is not just relegated to monitoring radios for distress calls. The organization representing amateur radio operators, The American Radio Relay League or ARRL, now is seeking emergency volunteers to help supplement communication for American Red Cross feeding and sheltering operations in Mississippi, Alabama and the Florida Panhandle ï¿½ as many as 200 locations in all.

Hams who wish to volunteer their time and services should contact the Hurricane Katrina volunteer registration and message traffic database.

And, for the first time, the federal government will help hams help others. The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) will provide a $100,000 grant supplement to ARRL to support its emergency communication operators in states affected by Hurricane Katrina. The grant will help to fund what is being termed ï¿½Ham Aid,ï¿½ a new program to support amateur radio volunteers deployed in the field in disaster-stricken areas.

One last note for ham operators in the stricken area: The FCC has announced that itï¿½s extending amateur license renewal deadlines until October 31, 2005.

4039. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 05:51:03 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11loti63r2mj524@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121865
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt make
>>them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur radio user.
>>They may have brains far exceeding yours on several topics, just not on
>>radio. Just because you know a bit about radio doesnt make you a higher
>>class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try
>>learning common sense, respect for ones fellow man and remember.. Amateur
>>Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A HOBBY...
>>I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult them"
>>but you manage to do it anyhow...
>>10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..
>
> Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.
>
> (You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).

Yet another reason I will never obtain a ham ticket. You seem to think that
your little piece of paper raises your IQ.

4040. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 06:31:45 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11lovuh1v5uht52@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121866
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:EZWdncRzmMO238HeRVn-oQ@gbronline.com...
> pierrejalbert@nhl.ca wrote:
>>>N8WWM posting as -"Keyclowns are limpwristed"
>>><keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>wrong, retard...nobody cares about your "attention". Like I said
>>>>before. We know where and who you are, and all the fun starts when WE
>>>>want to start. Not when you do. AKC owns you, and Uhl love how well we
>>>>maintain our property.
>>>>
>>>
>>>I've seen how well you maintain your section eight property, you cowardly
>>>sissy. Get busted for jamming the Toledo repeaters again lately?
>>>
>>>http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>>>
>>>--
>>> http://NewsReader.Com/
>>
>>
>>
>> what's your tech callsign steveo
>
> Steveo can't even get a no-code tech.

Why would he want it?
I should get one, wipe my ass with it and send it to the FCC.

4041. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 09:57:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130147855.153950.31510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sucks when anyone does it. Looks like Steve has mentored lots of folks
on RRAP.

Dr.Ace wrote:
> Sucks when Mark does that, doesn't it!
> Ace - WH2T
>
>
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130070544.547177.270870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >> You toss the "liar" pejorative around when someone voices an opinion,
> >> and you tell people to shut up when they express an opinion.
> >
> > Sucks when people do that, doesn't it?
> >

4042. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 10:03:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130148190.809969.217720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130071268.349402.165440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his
> >> > block,
> >> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
> >> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
> >> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
> >>
> >> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
> >> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
> >> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
> >> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
> >> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
> >
> > So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
> >
> > Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>
> No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
> of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
> down. The formal message handling systems have these. The FRS system does
> not.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

So not only can't hams work in a weak signal environment, but we would
also lose the ability to format messages while monitoring FRS?

4043. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 10:08:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130148522.943369.227130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 23 Oct 2005 10:19
>
>
> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>> >
> >>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
> >>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
> >>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
> >>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
> >>>
> >>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
> >>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
> >>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
> >>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
> >>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
> >>
> >> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
> >>
> >> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
> >
> >No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
> >of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
> >down.
>
> Perhaps that is why the first two trans-continental attempts at
> early messaging across the USA broke down? They were "sponsored"
> by the ARRL. History. The ARRL doesn't like to talk of those
> early days much, though, so you have to go to Thomas White's
> Early US Radio History site to find out. :-)
>
> > The formal message handling systems have these.
>
> Tell us all about it, Dee. I worked in that a mere 52 years ago.
> Professionally. On HF. We can compare notes...
>
> Tell us how the GMDSS works and all the "formality" and "word
> counts" apply to SOLAS.
>
> > The FRS system does not.
>

> The Family Radio Service was NEVER envisioned as some kind of
> "message system." :-) The average pair of FRS transceivers
> (handheld) sold across the counter will reach out 1 to 5 miles
> (depending on terrain, etc.), ideal to keep track of family
> members at a large outing. Or a small one. :-)
>
> Did you think FRS handhelds have little "text" screens or
> sockets for keyboards, printers, etc.? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

It must be on amateur frequencies or nothing will work. With enough
amateurs involved, they'll make sure it doesn't work.

4044. The Lloyd Identity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 10:17:58 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.sees.lloyd.everywhere@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 23 Oct 2005 15:18:56 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
:
: Saggytits Lee wrote:
: > On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 09:57:04 -0500, Dr.Ace <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
: >
: > : SNIPPED
: <forgeries flushed>
:
: Here are some of Stagger Lee's remarks:

[127 additional lines of drivel flushed]

Those are remarks attributed by you to Lloyd, but I'm not Lloyd. Try
again.

====================
In message <a19ubh$e7u$0@pita.alt.net>, the regulars voting for the
alt.flame awards elected Roger Wiseman as: Most Boring Writer, Worst
Flamer, Fuckhead of the Year, Most Tenuous Spankclaim, Best Punching
Bag, Most Unwelcome Comeback, and gave him the Golden Peewee Award for
most Blatant IKYABWAI.

4045. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 10:38:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051024063851.281$Gh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121871
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> pierrejalbert@nhl.ca wrote:
> >>N8WWM posting as -"Keyclowns are limpwristed"
> >><keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>wrong, retard...nobody cares about your "attention". Like I said
> >>>before. We know where and who you are, and all the fun starts when WE
> >>>want to start. Not when you do. AKC owns you, and Uhl love how well we
> >>>maintain our property.
> >>>
> >>
> >>I've seen how well you maintain your section eight property, you
> >>cowardly sissy. Get busted for jamming the Toledo repeaters again
> >>lately?
> >>
> >>http://n8wwm.4t.com/
> >>
> >>--
> >> http://NewsReader.Com/
> >
> >
> >
> > what's your tech callsign steveo
>
> Steveo can't even get a no-code tech.
>
Stop bragging about being a no code, JJ the model airplane pilot.

4046. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 10:39:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130150374.989495.254490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121872

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:A9ydnQw7qv5NKMfeRVn-pA@adelphia.com:
>
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

> >>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >>>><mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

> >>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >>>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> cutns?
> >>
> >>>>> Strange. I read about the PCTA's being ill mannered and rude. I
> >>>>> do find
> >>>>>in practice however, that the NCTA's and interested others are the
> >>>>>ones telling people to keep their mouth shut.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>yea we advocate against harrassment one of the worst forms of
> >>>>rudeness
> >>>>
> >>>>Procders complain that other excercising there free speech is somehow
> >>>>infringing on their own
> >>>
> >>> So I guess what you are saying is that your cause is so righteous
> >>> that
> >>>you are justified in squelching the other side?
> >>
> >>
> >> no effort to squech it has been made. he has the right to ignore me if
> >> he likes, as do you of course, and I have the right to tell to shut
> >> up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor or impling that Len is doing
> >> something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit
> >>
> >> How many hunderd question does Jim need to ask before he reconizes
> >> that Len is not required to answer him
> >>
> >> or when wil Jim realize there has not been a grand inquistitor

> >> apponointed eith for Ham radio or RRAP
> >
> > People that tell other people to shut up are acting like grand
> > inquisitors.
> >
> > A lot of people on the no-code side don;t like Jim because he
> > generally
> > keeps his cool, and puts forth pretty good arguments.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> On the contrary, I like Jim because he is rational and polite.

Thanks, Alun!

> I don't have to agree with him, though.

Of course not.

> He did raise a valid point about citizenship,
> i.e. it is irrelevant, but I raised this point myself with Len > before and didn't get an answer.

FCC doesn't exclude noncitizens, so why should a count of the
comments exclude them? A noncitizen can get an FCC license, but
has to take the same tests as a citizen, so why shouldn't their
comments be counted?

Len has a proven track record of mistakes here, particularly in
the area of FCC regulations, so it's not unreasonable to ask
about how his numbers are compiled and how they are checked.
>
> Any way you look at it though, more than half the comments are > in favour of
> the NPRM and less than a third against, the rest being divided > into those
> who want a code test retained for the Extra and those who
> otherwise fall in the middle ground.

I disagree!

The NPRM proposes to eliminate *all* Morse Code
testing for an amateur license. Someone who supports
the NPRM must, by definition, support the removal
of *all* code testing, not just some of it. Otherwise
they are opposed to the NPRM.

The detail of whether they support the current level
of Morse Code testing, or whether they support a
reduction but not complete elimination, does not
change the fact that they are opposed to the NPRM as
it stands.

> The FCC will have no problem deciding that they have a
> mandate to abolish Element 1 based on the comments.

Perhaps - but recall that FCC is not required to follow
the majority opinion. They did not follow majority
opinion in 1999 either...

More important to the discussion of 'scorecards' is the
accuracy of the count. At present, Len's 'scorecard' is
made up of about 2500 comments that pass some vaguely
defined tests of validity.

With a base of 2500 comments, a single comment represents .04% of the
total, and 25 comments represent 1% of the total. With the totals being
so close, it would not take a lot of mistakes to change the apparent
majority.

Showing the apparent results to four significant figures may
give the illusion of accuracy to some, but in fact there's a
pretty big range of interpretation to those numbers.

For example, if one person submits multiple comments that are somewhat
different from each other (but all from the same
person), are they all counted, or just one?

If one person submits comments and reply comments (but all from the
same person), are they all counted, or just one?

If a club or organization comments, does that count as one comment or
multiple comments?

If a single comment has multiple signatures, does it count as one
comment or does the number of signatures come into play?

You can see that depending on how the above questions are
answered, and rules are applied, the results can be far different.
>
> So we are all just waiting for the other shoe to drop.
>
Probably. FCC may simply be responding to the fact that if they
don't drop Element 1, the petitions and proposals will continue,
making more work for them, but no more resources.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4047. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 10:40:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051024064022.734$Nn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 121873
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:EZWdncRzmMO238HeRVn-oQ@gbronline.com...
> > pierrejalbert@nhl.ca wrote:
> >>>N8WWM posting as -"Keyclowns are limpwristed"
> >>><keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> >>>wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>wrong, retard...nobody cares about your "attention". Like I said
> >>>>before. We know where and who you are, and all the fun starts when WE
> >>>>want to start. Not when you do. AKC owns you, and Uhl love how well
> >>>>we maintain our property.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>I've seen how well you maintain your section eight property, you
> >>>cowardly sissy. Get busted for jamming the Toledo repeaters again
> >>>lately?
> >>>
> >>>http://n8wwm.4t.com/
> >>>
> >>>--
> >>> http://NewsReader.Com/
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> what's your tech callsign steveo
> >
> > Steveo can't even get a no-code tech.
>
> Why would he want it?
> I should get one, wipe my ass with it and send it to the FCC.
>
Cmd buzzard thinks he's special with his space patrol walkie-talkie.

4048. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 11:16:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <s2gpl1tp8o94qpasu7p8f3hedb4g8a60kn@4ax.com>
Source record: 121874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Oct 2005 05:53:00 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130071980.332415.110530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
>>
>> <snip>
>> >One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>> >repsects honesty
>> >
>> >I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>> >consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>>
>> Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
>
> No...Just the same standards you accepted for yourself, but failed
>to live up to, Farankie.

Dislocated preposition.

>> And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity...(SNIP)
>
> Nope...Just a man. One that didn't get court martialed (twice)
>whie he was in the Marine Corps...

"while"

>> (UNSNIP)...he doesn'trealize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
>
> Nice try, but bland...Pretty lame, acutally

"actually"

Now you were saying something about standards.....???

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4049. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:09:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130155756.463281.261920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > And do post proof Roger was adopted.
>
> Yes, I would like to see that myself. Hard to believe anyone could be
> stupid enough to adopt 2test wogie.

It's hard to believe that you weren't aborted, space cadet JJ the Butt
Cornholer.

4050. Re: The Lloyd Identity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:11:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130155917.416686.276530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 15:18:56 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
> :
> : Saggytits Lee wrote:
> : > On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 09:57:04 -0500, Dr.Ace <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> : >
> : > : SNIPPED
> : <forgeries flushed>
> :
> : Here are some of Stagger Lee's remarks:
>
> [127 additional lines of drivel flushed]

Hi Stan Lawson, Lardass Lloydie's gay lover!

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody

else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary instead
of

reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in

<jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let

alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who

things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his

improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass

again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

4051. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:13:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130155986.486649.282460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Proves that he is, dummy.

4052. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:13:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130156037.065246.110820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121878
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Digital wrote:
> Well, isn't that a coincidence. N0VFP's message properties are exactly the
> same as those of "whiny slit" and "W4FQF". Why, the "three" of them must
> have identical computers, and what are the odds of that happening in two
> newsgroups?
>
> X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

^^

Your stupidity has no boundries, does it, dumbass?

4053. Dumbass LIII

4054. Re: Enterprise calling Earth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:20:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130156436.723301.106120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121880

<eyebrow raise>
The logical assumption is that the Trekkie has drank too much Saurian brandy and has flushed his communicator down the head when he was vomiting over it.

Mr. Spock

4055. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:23:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130156629.821502.299810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121881

The little girl can't hold his liquor.

4056. Re: Dumbass Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd Davies fucks up again!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:44:23 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <djim3q$9nq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 121887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHA......Lardass picks the wrong header lines to compare,
> why is that not surprising?

Not so, 2test. It proves that all "3" of you have the same operating system
and browser.
Not that any proof was needed that you answer your own posts or falsify your
identity.
Coward.

4057. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:52:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <63mpl1djlo2ntv30ekr6q95lgp491cna0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 121882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 00:48:48 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:s31ol1tgqtnk7noqrt7bh658sda6f25hca@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:29:44 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Un Trustworthy eh? Beats the hell out of being a fagot and a child
>>>molester
>>>though don't it? You piece of garbage.
>>
>> Dan what do you expect to be called other than a bigot when you use
>> such language?
>>
>> I am not a child molestor I am bisexual
>>
>> you are clearly untrustworthy when you believe that the one equates to
>> the other
>>
>> makes it hard to rely on anything you say when you spout shit like
>> that
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:njknl1po0jrk9ff62dphdqtrachh6b46pl@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:39 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>
>>You don't like my language fagot? Then STAY THE HELL OUT OF MY
>>CONVERSATIONS. PUT ME IN YOUR FUCKIN KILL FILE YOU FAGOT.

they are not YOUR conversations

you are posting in a public forum you dolt

and you contnue to spew forth your hate certainly not putting a good
face on the ARS

if you want to have a private conversation find a private medium

if you want to have a public conversation without any presense you
find disqueiting then you need to find or create a moderated one, with
a moderator of YOUR liking

not trying to "run you off" but dem is the facts
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4058. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:53:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4ampl11kjbbphkvntt2e8jivjjv8fif7rd@4ax.com>
Source record: 121883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 24 Oct 2005 03:08:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 23 Oct 2005 10:19
>>
>>
>> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> >>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>> >
>> >>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>> >>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>> >>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>> >>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>> >>>
>> >>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>> >>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>> >>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>> >>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>> >>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>> >>
>> >> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>> >>
>> >> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>> >
>> >No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
>> >of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
>> >down.
>>
>> Perhaps that is why the first two trans-continental attempts at
>> early messaging across the USA broke down? They were "sponsored"
>> by the ARRL. History. The ARRL doesn't like to talk of those
>> early days much, though, so you have to go to Thomas White's
>> Early US Radio History site to find out. :-)
>>
>> > The formal message handling systems have these.
>>
>> Tell us all about it, Dee. I worked in that a mere 52 years ago.
>> Professionally. On HF. We can compare notes...
>>
>> Tell us how the GMDSS works and all the "formality" and "word
>> counts" apply to SOLAS.

>>
>> > The FRS system does not.
>>
>> The Family Radio Service was NEVER envisioned as some kind of
>> "message system." :-) The average pair of FRS transceivers
>> (handheld) sold across the counter will reach out 1 to 5 miles
>> (depending on terrain, etc.), ideal to keep track of family
>> members at a large outing. Or a small one. :-)
>>
>> Did you think FRS handhelds have little "text" screens or
>> sockets for keyboards, printers, etc.? :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>It must be on amateur frequencies or nothing will work. With enough
>amateurs involved, they'll make sure it doesn't work.
I wish I could say you were making a bad joke but i can't

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4059. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:54:57 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8bmpl1hujemo8l1ta10uv6e2ls0nmpdfid@4ax.com>
Source record: 121884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 00:59:14 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:X6SdncfKO_dzhMHeRVn-iw@adelphia.com...
>> Comdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>>>> network
>>>
>>>
>>> How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
>>> coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
>>> to and make a few calls.
>>
>> Yeah, I heard that the network was down too! I guess it depends on if you
>> hate hams or not. If you hate hams, one message getting out means that
>> Cell phones work great in an emergency.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>Maybe MSNBC put up their own cell phone system just so they could impress
>Markey the Moron.

nah MSNBC just lied about the network staying up enough for text (with
long delays at times) to piss of hams like you
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4060. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:56:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <0empl1to5ipg5hhjk6heh0vm0u6m86kqus@4ax.com>
Source record: 121885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 00:41:41 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:o71ol1l50atgklcvkh0m5bg33k84srq7bp@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:31:18 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:iqknl11deklcjq513ka07k2rsrjsb97766@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 17:59:42 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:Y4CdneZxdr-_A8beRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>>>>>>
>> cut
>>
>>>>>"It takes two things to communicate, an operator at both ends"
>>>>>
>>>>>A slight addition would be "trained operator"
>>>>
>>>> wrong agian
>>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>Every body is wrong except Mikey. Everyone is a liar except Mikey.
>>>
>>>Hey Mikey if you dont keep your lights on at night. START.
>>
>> more threats
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>
>No No Markey....not threats. I am trying to HELP you. I am warning you
>that there are folks out here on USENET that are, by normal standards,
>considered CRAZY. Folks that don't like your insults, folks that wouldn't
>think twice to hunt you down and disembowel you, for what you are or claim
>to be.
```

gee you mean folks like Stevie and yourself
>
>You see Markey, you have put YOURSELF in the unenviable position of being a
>TARGET. So please consider this a warning meant in a "good way" to be
>careful. It would be a shame to find out that some USENET junkie cut you up
>like a Christmas Goose.
>
>Have a good evening buddy.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4061. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 12:58:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9gmpl1pvkhgbe7q87th162bsn38tlcbmhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 121886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:00:25 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:hrOdnXN05N8VhsHeRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>>>>>then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>>>>>Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>>>>>They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>>>>
>>>>When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>>>>you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>>>>person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>>>>last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>>>>that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>>>
>>>
>>> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>>>
>>> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>>
>> Probably why there are forms to use, and that hams who are trained in
>> communications use 'em. Relaying messages would seem to be a simple thing.
>> But if several hundred people do the relaying without any training, I'd
>> bet that the message will look a little different at the end as compared
>> to just one or two relays by trained people.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>Wrong....Markey told me that he heard that MSNBC relayed all sorts of
>messages and they came out perfect.

and Stevie dares to call ME a pathological liar

you can't even repeat what I have said accurately

>
>Dan/W4NTI

>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4062. Re: Dumbass Llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd Davies fucks up again!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 13:09:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130159363.005968.46920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lardass the secret Anon wrote:
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHA......Lardass picks the wrong header lines to compare,
> > why is that not surprising?
>
>
> Not so, 2test. It proves that all "3" of you have the same operating system
> and browser.
> Not that any proof was needed that you answer your own posts or falsify your
> identity.
> Coward.

No, dumbass, it proves you don't know how to read a header.

And don't talk with your mouth full, fatty.

http://img443.imageshack.us/img443/2317/picassostevelloydlemon6jd1qu.jpg

4063. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 13:20:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5snpl15lgen2g9mhlltnembo39dqcpes0g@4ax.com>
Source record: 121889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Oct 2005 19:23:23 -0700, "kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote:

>Proves that Mr. Davies is NOT the author of these messages.

Proof? Not quite but pretty close

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4064. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 13:21:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ctnpl1dtd7a9gs5tr3g5j7h5cnr6uh3b2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 121890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 24 Oct 2005 05:13:06 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>Proves that he is, dummy.

this comes closer to proving the the real N0VFP is not the author

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4065. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 14:08:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130162929.845318.13120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121891
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130106399.644208.224450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1130100147.097922.31880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1130044961.812225.287750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Polymath wrote:
> >> >> >> Nailsea Grammar School?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > you don't even know what he Means?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > good greif I know what Steve Stone means and I think the ARS would
> >> >> > be
> >> >> > better off with Morse Code USE banned (but I don't addvocate it in
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > US becuase I beleive the Code users have the right do such things
> >> >> > even
> >> >> > if I find them wastefull or counter productive
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Steve Stone wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > What is your FISTS number ???
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh please Mr. Know-it-all Markie explain to us ignorant Real Hams how
> >> >> using
> >> >> Morse Code is "wastefull or counter productive". I can hardly wait
> >> >> for
> >> >> this
> >> >> one.
> >> >
> >> > I oputght to make you wait for it
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >
>

> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > but I will not
> >> >
> >> > Morse Code use has brought about a Cult statuts that has clearly served
> >> > and will most likely continue to serve as a dividing point amoug the
> >> > memebers of the ARS
> >> >
> >> > I have more but if you want I will make you wait
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >>
> >> A cult you say?
> > yes and others have as well
> >
>
> Who, where, when did others say that. Prove it Moron.

Len Anderson many times google it yourself the only thing you might
trouble with is keeping DOWN the number of hits

Frank may have said the same

>
> >> A dividing point you say?
> >
> > certainly
>
> Thats it Markey? Is this the best you can do wimp?

it is enough

I see no need to exlaborate further

>
> >> Who is the leader of this cult
> >> Markie?
> >
> > well I rather to name the Late Maxim dead a buried leaders are safer
> >
>
> Hiram Percy Maxim I presume is who you are defiling.

I would dispute your attribution of who is doing the defiling but yes I
was refering to him

> And you wonder why
> people want to kick your ass.

another lie

I know why. I don't worship what they spread out over the ground. It
is good for crops but little else

>
> HPM among other things was the founder of the ARRL.

Yes I know that

> And you claim he was
> the head of a cult consisting of CW users?

I claim he has been evelvated and preverted and since you use the term
defilved in holding such a position

and he can no longer defend himself either

>
> BWAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAAAAA what a flippin idiot you are you discusting excuse
> for a human being.
>
> Maybe someone will use on of Mr. Maxims inventions to give you a attitude
> adjustment. Any idea what that might be moron?

yes I am aware of the tool of violence you are referring too

but the only person that has talked about an attitude adjugement is YOU

a leading advocate of violence around here

>
> > but realy I don't get the memos of the cult of the MMM, being that I am
> > not on the membership roster
> >>Do we stand around NAKED in a circle and summon demons?
> >
> > again I would not know, but I am inclinded to doubt it
> >
> >>
> >> A dividing point? What might that be Markie? The CW qualified, and the
> >> NOT? Why is THAT such a problem to you? Because your too LAZY to
> >> memorize
> >> enough Morse to pass a multiple GUESS test at the ridicolous slow speed
> >> of
> >> FIVE WORDS A MINUTE? You could pass that by knowing 15 letters and
> >> guessing
> >> at the rest.
> >>
> >> I think the word of interest here is LAZY.
> >
> > nothing you have said before, fortunately the FCC can see though that
> > line of BS even if you can't

> >
>
> BWAHAAAAAAAAAAA... what a moron.

the moronic statement is the one that follows your statement

> The FCC is only doing what IT THINKS the
> hams want.

the FCC does not care what Ham want

the FCC like every other part of the Govt wants to do the least it can
and still justify its budget as serving the mandates it is assigned

> Based on people like you.

appreantly an awfull lot of them

>
> You are the reason ham radio went to hell in the last few decades.

realy

you are mistaken of course in assuming that becuase you find to be
hellish it is. it is your ego talking here.

> You and
> your ilk need to be removed from the service.

that is the way of the tyrant remove all that disagree with you

> You are the cause of this
> whole mess.

I caused the wizards of 80m? a No Code Tech,

thanks I needed the laugh this morning

> And you want me and others that are old enough to know what ham
> radio used to be fall for you bs.
"you bs"

too bad for you can't live in the past

the Mr Maxim I trust would unhappy at the way you and your freind have
cared for Ham Radio

stifling inovation helping take ham away from the cutting of technlogy
and so on.

but you insist on traping him as a demigod of your sick cult that has
all but ruined Ham radio

Momhamond founder of Islam was afrad of a similar fate one he saw as
having befallen Jesus

> Well forget it Asshole, we know better.

sadly the problem is you think you know better

the number and the times say otherwise

>
>
> >
> >>
> >> Continue Markey.....I can hardly wait.
> >
> > when you going to pay me?
> >>
> Ever see Candid Camera? You figure it out moron.

never I take it
>
> Dan/W4NTI

4066. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 14:16:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130163383.979470.268750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 05:53:00 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130071980.332415.110530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
> >> >repsects honesty
> >> >
> >> >I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
> >> >consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
> >>
> >> Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
> >
> > No...Just the same standards you accepted for yourself, but failed
> >to live up to, Farankie.
>
>
> Dislocated preposition.
>
>
> >> And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity...(SNIP)
> >
> > Nope...Just a man. One that didn't get court martialed (twice)
> >whie he was in the Marine Corps...
>
>
> "while"
>
>
> >> (UNSNIP)...he doesn'trealize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
> >
> > Nice try, but bland...Pretty lame, acutally
>
>
> "actually"
>
>
> Now you were saying something about standards.....???

standard only apply to somebody else
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4067. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 14:34:50 UTC
From: w4fqf@aol.com
Message-ID: <1130164490.044572.290630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kk4tl wrote:
> Proves that Mr. Davies is NOT the author of these messages.

I'm not George Ashley, but I posted using w4fqf@aol.com. I'm not Roger
either.

So much for the shitty detective work.

Bwahahahahaha!!!!!

Billy Jack Moore W7LLI

4068. Re: Poor Wogie answers his own posts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 14:44:53 UTC
From: w4fqf@aol.com
Message-ID: <1130165093.942142.279810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121894
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Digital wrote:
> Posing as W4FQF and then answering your own post shows how desperate for
> attention you are, Wiseman.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1;
> .NET CLR 1.0.3705),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
>
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
>
>
>
> X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
>
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

Get a refund on that detective kit Lloydie. I posted that, not Roger,
oh did I say I'm not w4fqf too?

You need to pay attention and quit guessing peckerbreath.

Seymour WA9KJB

4069. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 17:33:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130175185.480453.206110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121896

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 21 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2645	669
Indeterminate (note 1)	172	61
Value for Percentages	2473	608
Against NPRM (note 2)	750 [30.33%]	195 [32.07%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1342 [54.27%]	306 [50.33%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	381 [15.41%]	107 [17.60%]

This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 1 PM EDT 24 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4070. Re: Enterprise calling Earth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 17:37:10 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <participles.woger.participles@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 121897

On 24 Oct 2005 05:20:36 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
: <eyebrow raise>
: The logical assumption is that the Trekkie has drank too much Saurian

<rolling eyes>
Error: Correct usage is "has drunk."

Study the material at www.ryerson.ca/learningsuccess/resources/unit13.pdf
and find out why the phrase "has drank" identifies you as someone who
has not learned the difference between the past participle and the
past tense of irregular verbs.

--(begin quote)--
Problem: Confusing the past tense with the past participle of
irregular verbs.

Verbs to watch for:

I saw the video three times. [NOT I seen the video . . .]

Someone has drunk all the chocolate milk. [NOT Someone has drank . . .]
--(end quote)--

No wonder you got tossed out of Nim Busters.

4071. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:03:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <c5GdnSOEyo-rjsDeRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AJA 2test wogie wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>And do post proof Roger was adopted.
>>
>>Yes, I would like to see that myself. Hard to believe anyone could be
>>stupid enough to adopt 2test wogie.
>
>
> It's hard to believe that you weren't aborted, space cadet JJ the Butt
> Cornholer.
>

Hey 2test, your boss just called and said for me to tell you to get back
to cleaning out those porta-a-potties. He said you could get your
chicken bander friend steveo to help you.

4072. Re: The Lloyd conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:25:13 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130178313.513594.267520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Butt Cornholer wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AJA 2test wogie wrote:

"AJA"???? Buzzy "AKA" dumbfuck" Cornholer makes good spell!

> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>And do post proof Roger was adopted.
> >>
> >>Yes, I would like to see that myself. Hard to believe anyone could be
> >>stupid enough to adopt 2test wogie.
> >
> >
> > It's hard to believe that you weren't aborted, space cadet JJ the Butt
> > Cornholer.
> >
>
> Hey 2test, your boss just

"2test", "Wogie" Dumbass makes good spells!

Hey, Butt Cornholer, Buck Rogers Markie called and says he wants to
lick the rings around Uranus again.

4073. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:27:51 UTC
From: clvrmnky <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <HI97f.787$43.747@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 121899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 19/10/2005 4:33 AM, Dr.Ace wrote:
> From the Bible (New International Version)
> Leviticus 18:22
> 22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
> Punishments for Sin
> Leviticus 20:13
>
> 13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them have
> done what is detestable.
> They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "
>

Leviticus 19:19

"Ye shall keep my statutes. Thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a diverse kind: thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed: neither shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee."

So, those 50/50 cotton/poly t-shirts are out of the question, then?

Other parts of Leviticus warn against those unclean shellfish, so those are forbidden too, lest you anger God. So, no more lobster dinners. Or growing more than one crop in your fields. Or not letting ground lay fallow on Jubilee years.

In a more symbolic reading, to Christians, Leviticus is part of the prophecy of the coming of the messiah and his eventual death on the cross as a sin offering. It is not specifically about Jewish law and regulation for worship or marriage.

For Jews, Leviticus is specific instructions for the house of Aaron, the tribe of priests. It may also be an important early part of the Torah in the Talmudic tradition.

For anthropologists and biblical scholars it is an interesting example of the rules created by early Judaic pastoralists (contemporaries of the early Judaic people did not share many of these rules) specifically dealing with the codification of items like food, clothing, kinship and land ownership into distinct groups. Those items (like shellfish) which could not be placed easily in a particular grouping (i.e., neither of the land nor the sea) were declared "unclean" (and there is some disagreement if this translation is even accurate.) Only items which fit into a specific group or place were considered holy enough for the Aaronites.

The verse you quote is part of a series of laws regarding marriage, sex and childbirth; these rules followed many of the same requirements regarding categorization and grouping.

There is a lot of interesting reading available about these early monotheistic pastoralists and Leviticus is a very well studied, but poorly understood, part of that history. There is a fair amount of disagreement not only on what it means, but who wrote it and why. There also happen to be some troublesome translation problems in Leviticus, not unlike much of the old testament.

In short, applying the laws and pronouncements of Leviticus to a modern situation to completely different times and people is not really helpful. I encourage people who do so to remember that you shouldn't just pick and choose the rules you like; that would be presumptuous. If one is going to go around quoting Leviticus admonishing people to follow it as if it was God's literal word on Earth, then they had better make sure they are following it as strictly as they would have others do.

"Let he who is without sin cast the first stone."

--
Clever "Straight but not Narrow" Monkey

4074. Re: Enterprise calling Earth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:32:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130178764.484568.223970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121900

Saggytits Lee whined:
> On 24 Oct 2005 05:20:36 -0700, Secwet Woger <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote:
> : <eyebrow raise>
> : The logical assumption is that the Trekkie has drank too much Saurian
>
> <rolling eyes>

Drunk on Sunday again, fake Christian fatass Davies?
Or are you just rolling your eyes because you head is so far up your
fat ass?

> <more cut and paste he added to to make it look like he is the author flushed.>

You should follow your own advice, shit for brains. Ah, who am I
kidding you thought a website for an ARES group in Alabama was one for
a ARES group in West Virginia.

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile
fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to
send email."

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
<jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
slobbered
the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi0$0@dosa.alt.net>:
"I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
"Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"

"Stagger Backpedal BOY Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> blathered more
idiocy when he tried to backpedal out of his fuckup in message
<ab8mq.cant.touch.k8mn@houston-hunks.com>:
"On one of the most complete web sites of Eric Clapton music
(http://www.netmusicitalia.it/spot/archivio/v.html), we read that one
of the selections is:
2/01 Vidal bagnoschiuma Eric Clapton "Laila"
which is, of course, a different composition than the more familiar
"Layla" that SWR Boy was trying to discuss. Composed during a Clapton
visit to Helsinki, it was inspired by a gracious host named "Laila" --
the wife of then Assistant Ambassador David Heil."

4075. Poor Lardass Davies is so stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:34:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130178870.036008.318880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

w4fqf@aol.com wrote:
> Digital wrote:
> > Posing as W4FQF and then answering your own post shows how desperate for
> > attention you are, Wiseman.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1;
> > .NET CLR 1.0.3705),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> >
> > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> >
> >
> >
> > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> > SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> >
> > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
>
> Get a refund on that detective kit Lloydie. I posted that, not Roger,
> oh did I say I'm not w4fqf too?
>
> You need to pay attention and quit guessing peckerbreath.
>
> Seymour WA9KJB

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Poor Lloydie, always a loser.

4076. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:35:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130178928.831548.68370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121902
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are a complete idiot, Makry.

4077. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:37:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130179048.987577.225660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

typo previous post: "Marky"

You don't know how to read a header either, Marky the retard. I bet I could tell you which line of the headers would say if they came from the same computer or not, but I'll let you and dumbass continue to make fools of yourselves.

4078. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:38:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130179117.747888.142180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's right, Billy Jack.

And fuck you fatty!

George Polly Ashley
W4FQF

4079. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:40:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130179252.857857.277500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> _____
> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> >> More than 140,000 groups
> >> >> Unlimited download
> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >> >>
> >> >How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
> >>
> >> as yes let the hate begin
> >>
> >If you hate it, why do you do it?
>
> learn english

That's rich coming from an illiterate cocksmoker like you.

> I don't hate it you hate that I exist

Then go beam yourself up to the Enterprise, dumbass.

> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better

Fuck off, Marky.

> >>
> >> BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
> >> out of your mouth"
> >>
> >> your "logic" is pretty poor
> >>
> >My logic? You're a male cocksucker, kb9rqz. Where's the logic in that?
>
> your logic is a bad as your reading skills

Oh, the irony, Marky.

4080. Re: Ping N8WWM dogie adair the section eight sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 18:42:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130179378.969888.288980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121906
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

He's dreaming about Uranus.

4081. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 20:07:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <slfql1pnjn8j8pgms2q14c6mvsikl4ktla@4ax.com>
Source record: 121907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 24 Oct 2005 11:40:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> _____
>> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> >> >> More than 140,000 groups
>> >> >> Unlimited download
>> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>> >> >>
>> >> >How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
>> >>
>> >> as yes let the hate begin
>> >>
>> >If you hate it, why do you do it?
>>
>> learn english
>
>That's rich coming from an illiterate cocksmoker like you.

glad you enjoyed it
>
>> I don't hate it you hate that I exist
>
>Then go beam yourself up to the Enterprise, dumbass.

you know if I could I would

Id love to wlak the deck of Starship esp a Human Starship/ I promise
to catch a ride on the next one I find

>
>> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better

>
>Fuck off, Marky.

grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better
>
>> >>
>> >> BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
>> >> out of your mouth"
>> >>
>> >> your "logic" is pretty poor
>> >>
>> >My logic? You're a male cocksucker, kb9rqz. Where's the logic in that?
>>
>> your logic is a bad as your reading skills
>
>Oh, the irony, Marky.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4082. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 20:30:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qugql1h8862n5aj90thjcafr70msbunv15@4ax.com>
Source record: 121908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 14:27:51 -0400, clvrmnky
<clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote:

>On 19/10/2005 4:33 AM, Dr.Ace wrote:
>> From the Bible (New International Version)
>> Leviticus 18:22
>> 22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
>> Punishments for Sin
>> Leviticus 20:13
>>
>> 13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them have
>> done what is detestable.
>> They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "
>>
>
>Leviticus 19:19
>
>"Ye shall keep my statutes. Thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a
>diverse kind: thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed: neither
>shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee."
>
>So, those 50/50 cotton/poly t-shirts are out of the question, then?
>
>Other parts of Leviticus warn against those unclean shellfish, so those
>are forbidden too, lest you anger God. So, no more lobster dinners. Or
>growing more than one crop in your fields. Or not letting ground lay
>fallow on Jubilee years.

my personal favorite is either in Levitcus or number and concerns the
requirement go a certain disance beyold the city when you need ..you
knwo realieve oneself
>
>In a more symbolic reading, to Christians, Leviticus is part of the
>prophecy of the coming of the messiah and his eventual death on the
>cross as a sin offering. It is not specifically about Jewish law and
>regulation for worship or marriage.
>
>For Jews, Leviticus is specific instructions for the house of Aaron, the
>tribe of priests. It may also be an important early part of the Torah
>in the Talmudic tradition.
>
>For anthropologists and biblical scholars it is an interesting example
>of the rules created by early Judaic pastoralists (contemporaries of the
>early Judaic people did not share many of these rules) specifically

>dealing with the codification of items like food, clothing, kinship and
>land ownership into distinct groups. Those items (like shellfish) which
>could not be placed easily in a particular grouping (i.e., neither of
>the land nor the sea) were declared "unclean" (and there is some
>disagreement if this translation is even accurate.) Only items which
>fit into a specific group or place were considered holy enough for the
>Aaronites.
>
>The verse you quote is part of a series of laws regarding marriage, sex
>and childbirth; these rules followed many of the same requirements
>regarding categorization and grouping.
>
>There is a lot of interesting reading available about these early
>monotheistic pastoralists and Leviticus is a very well studied, but
>poorly understood, part of that history. There is a fair amount of
>disagreement not only on what it means, but who wrote it and why. There
>also happen to be some troublesome translation problems in Leviticus,
>not unlike much of the old testament.
>
>In short, applying the laws and pronouncements of Leviticus to a modern
>situation to completely different times and people is not really
>helpful. I encourage people who do so to remember that you shouldn't
>just pick and choose the rules you like; that would be presumptuous. If
>one is going to go around quoting Leviticus admonishing people to follow
>it as if it was God's literal word on Earth, then they had better make
>sure they are following it as strictly as they would have others do.
>
>"Let he who is without sin cast the first stone."
well said sir

and much better typed than I would have ever managed

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4083. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 20:40:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <mkhql1h1v5hd0l08f68i5k11shpgvutip5@4ax.com>
Source record: 121909

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 19:39:49 -0400, jim
<3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 14:33:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 09:56:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>><i8ejl110n1qhdkboraodcdokt3agevn174@4ax.com>:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><snip>
>>>>>
>>>>>>One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
>>>>>>repsects honesty
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
>>>>>>consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
>>>>>And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity, he doesn't
>>>>>realize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><snip>
>>>>>
>>>>>now Frank Stevie has gut lots of them (ia hve his pic on qrz.com)....
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, you don't need the pic to see that he is full of himself.
>>>>
>>>>well it does serve as final and complete proof
>>>
>>>Of what, that you are an idiot?
>>
>>

>> nope wrong again that Stevie has guts lots of guts guess you were not
>> reading
>>
>> _____
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 140,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>hey gents, could you please keep your flamefests to the amateur ng.
>there is enough crap going on in the cb ng without having to scroll
>through your nonsense. thanx, jim.
>
You only sais that becuse Im in love with a man.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4084. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 20:47:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <p0iql1l1k2m4pqmv1dgr3beh7hj1p4ethi@4ax.com>
Source record: 121910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 18 Oct 2005 02:11:03 GMT, Fred Garvin
<garv@elaborate.net> wrote:

>On 2005-10-17 21:13:51 -0400, Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com> said:
>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
>> wrote:
>>> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
>>> What's wrong with being a fag?
>>
>
>Ummm, a little thing called AIDS? It's nature's way of saying "STOP IT".
>
I try not to echange bodily fulids with my lovers.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4085. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 21:07:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <g6jql1t504edpsmq25ce1hk1uu0pcgidhs@4ax.com>
Source record: 121912

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 16:40:42 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:

>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 19:39:49 -0400, jim
><3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> On 22 Oct 2005 14:33:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
cut
>>> _____
>>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>>> More than 140,000 groups
>>> Unlimited download
>>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>hey gents, could you please keep your flamefests to the amateur ng.
>>there is enough crap going on in the cb ng without having to scroll
>>through your nonsense. thanx, jim.
>>
>You only sais that becuse Im in love with a man.

I guess I should be "honnored" to have folks forging postas From Me
>
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4086. Re: episle to a sad fellows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 21:40:01 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130190162_3507@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 121913
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.democrats.d,rec.radio.cb,neworleans.general,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Can you say TROLL?

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130100422.926373.190730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> cygn...@despammed.com wrote:
> > Oh my! And how many will use such a hated posting to justify further
> > vilonece or crime? I don't know a lot about New Orleans, except that
> > there's not really a preference in race when it comes to getting drunk
> > or stoned. It's the boasted way of life there....party on, a regular
> > Sodom and Gamorrah.......That's the sad part, not race.
>
> sad to see freedom treated this way
>
> NO was simply due and those responsible for caring for the city had
> there heads in the sand about Hurricanes
> >
> > You can voice your own hatred, but it won't solve any problem....only
> > cause more problems. Sad!
>
> sad indeed
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4087. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 22:01:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <rPadnWnAaYiN1sDeRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121911
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com...
>
>>On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
>><magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt make
>>>them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur radio user.
>>>They may have brains far exceeding yours on several topics, just not on
>>>radio. Just because you know a bit about radio doesnt make you a higher
>>>class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try
>>>learning common sense, respect for ones fellow man and remember.. Amateur
>>>Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A HOBBY...
>>>I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult them"
>>>but you manage to do it anyhow...
>>>10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..
>>
>>Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.
>>
>>(You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).
>
>
> Yet another reason I will never obtain a ham ticket.

Good news for ham radio!

> You seem to think that
> your little piece of paper raises your IQ.

Yet with you cber IQ you couldn't pass the test even though the answers
to the questions are available.

4088. I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-24 22:40:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Opd7f.18639$vw6.3186@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121914

I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger Wiseass.

So I am turning the nut house over to you.

Enjoy.

Dan/W4NTI

4089. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 00:11:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130199075.619775.9900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121915

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am

>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:

>> >> no effort to squech it has been made.

Tsk, tsk...LOTS of "squelching" has been ATTEMPTED. :-)

Case in point: All these allegations of "honesty" and FALSE
charges of an inordinate number of "mistakes." Nice
MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM. :-)

>> >> he has the right to ignore me if
>> >> he likes, as do you of course, and I have the right to tell to shut
>> >> up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor or impling that Len is doing
>> >> something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit

Mark, simply Being Here and Being NOT for morse code is "improper"
and "incorrect!" :-)

>> >> How many hunderd question does Jim need to ask before he reconizes
>> >> that Len is not required to answer him

Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is a Radio god in here and can
act/do/write whatever he wants to and that WILL be "correct"
(because that is his opinion and anything against it is "wrong").

Disagreement with him is "wrong," thus "validating" his false
charges of "mistakes." :-)

>> > A lot of people on the no-code side don;t like Jim because he
>> > generally keeps his cool, and puts forth pretty good arguments.

Tsk, tsk, writing nastygrams about the "docket scorecard" a half
hour after midnight (local) means something has been "eating him"
and causing loss of sleep. :-)

>> On the contrary, I like Jim because he is rational and polite.
>
>Thanks, Alun!

Jim is always right. He must be because he says so...those
against his opinions are "wrong." :-)

When it comes to one-sided opinions in favor of code testing,
he gets upset and irrational. <shrug>

>> He did raise a valid point about citizenship,
>> i.e. it is irrelevant, but I raised this point myself with Len
>> before and didn't get an answer.

I thought I answered that. :-)

I'll have to get busy and find out every other government
administrations' Elections so that I can go vote on those
issues...if I don't need to be a citizen there. :-)

Last I saw, United States citizens voted on, expressed
opinions on United States laws, regulations, rules. As far as
I've seen so far, Title 47 C.F.R. applies ONLY to United States
citizens on United States soil.

>FCC doesn't exclude noncitizens, so why should a count of the
>comments exclude them? A noncitizen can get an FCC license, but
>has to take the same tests as a citizen, so why shouldn't their
>comments be counted?

By INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT, the United States allows non-
citizens to be granted United States radio operator licenses.
Do NON-citizens vote on United States laws, regulations, rules?

Doing a voluntary examination of an on-going WT Docket and
reporting what I found is hardly "telling the FCC or anyone
in here" "what to do." Tsk, tsk. Since this is a private
all-voluntary "score card," the one doing the READING of ALL
filings is allowed to set the conditions. :-) Those
conditions have been FULLY EXPLAINED in each "score card" I've
posted...since the end of July, 2005. Why is there some kind
of "dispute" NOW rather than in the past two months?

As far as the "Indeterminate" column of my scoring, the notes
explain what was done. At issue in WT Docket 05-235 is just
whether or not NPRM 05-143 should be made into an R&O or not.
NPRM 05-143 is NOT some comprehensive all-inclusive Remake
of Part 97 as in the "Restructuring" of '98-'99. It is just
about morse code testing, whether it should stay or go...in
USA amateur radio regulations.

Other than the non-specific "Indeterminate" opinions, there
are only three others: FOR the NPRM, AGAINST the removal of
code testing, and retention of code testing ONLY for the
Amateur Extra class. Perhaps that is just too difficult to
grasp?

What IS difficult to grasp - by the PCTA - is that the NCTA
are no longer a minority. In fact, many PCTA just cannot

believe that radio hobbyists cannot love, honor, and obey
the cherished Belief that morse code testing is "necessary."

>Len has a proven track record of mistakes here, particularly in
>the area of FCC regulations, so it's not unreasonable to ask
>about how his numbers are compiled and how they are checked.

"Proven track record?!?" :-)

Is that what is called "politeness" and "civility?" In here
I guess so... :-)

The way to "check" my numbers is to GO AND READ ALL THE
COMMENTS-FILINGS ON WT DOCKET 05-235 AND DO YOUR OWN
TALLIES OF OPINIONS.

Jimmie has NOT even made ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235.

Jimmie has NOT stated he has READ a single filing on 05-235.

Jimmie has spent a LOT of time and effort trying his best
to obscure and misdirect the NPRM "scorecard" results by
all these "challenges" and FALSE statements about my previous
alleged "inaccuracies."

Hey, it's a neat trick to try and sway public opinion in this
computer-modem kind of communications. Done well enough,
constant repetition of FALSE charges will make some folks
believe that a person is "highly inaccurate." :-)

>The NPRM proposes to eliminate *all* Morse Code
>testing for an amateur license. Someone who supports
>the NPRM must, by definition, support the removal
>of *all* code testing, not just some of it. Otherwise
>they are opposed to the NPRM.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Nothing in ANY NPRM is so sacrosanct as
to demand absolute obediance to the NOTICE of PROPOSED
RULE MAKING. Had Jimmie seen other NPRMs and the
resulting R&Os, he would understand that. Obviously,
he has NOT.

>The detail of whether they support the current level
>of Morse Code testing, or whether they support a
>reduction but not complete elimination, does not
>change the fact that they are opposed to the NPRM as
>it stands.

SPIN, SPIN, SPIN. :-)

Tsk, the purpose of a COMMENT period is to allow
CITIZENS to make their desires known to their
government on a PROPOSED ruling. Those desires ARE
now PUBLIC. 2,641 filings' worth. Miccolis has NOT

read ALL of them, hasn't even made his own filing.
I have read ALL of them...including the 19 more for
24 October 2005 (making 2,660 filings) which will be
posted on 25 October (plus any additional the FCC
wishes to add).

So...where is the "score card" by James Miccolis?

And...WHO will "check his work?" :-)

Who checks Miccolis' "work" on his bi-monthly "license
number" postings? [he won't say from where he cribs
his numbers]

>> The FCC will have no problem deciding that they have a
>> mandate to abolish Element 1 based on the comments.
>
>Perhaps - but recall that FCC is not required to follow
>the majority opinion. They did not follow majority
>opinion in 1999 either...

Tsk. Morse lovers are seeing the handwriting on the
wall. Finally. Wow, news flash, morse code testing
is NOT favored by a majority! [sunnuvagun!]

The ARRL represents a distinct MINORITY of all USA
amateur radio licensees. A mere 20%. Yet, on any
other issue, What The ARRL Says is some kind of "truth"
about amateur radio...anyone against that will get all
sorts of nastygram grief from ARRL Believers!!!

>More important to the discussion of 'scorecards' is the
>accuracy of the count. At present, Len's 'scorecard' is
>made up of about 2500 comments that pass some vaguely
>defined tests of validity.

"Vaguely defined tests of validity?!?"

2,645 filings for the period 15 July 2005 to 22 October 2005.

Miccolis is IN ERROR...it was NOT "2500." Tsk, tsk.

With such ERRORS, Miccolis is establishing a "PROVEN TRACK
RECORD OF MISTAKES!!!" :-)

"Vaguely defined?" The Notes in my "score card" postings
explain it, well past "vague" judgements by PCTA Miccolis.

>With a base of 2500 comments, a single comment represents .04% of the
>total, and 25 comments represent 1% of the total. With the totals being
>so close, it would not take a lot of mistakes to change the apparent
>majority.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...PCTA Miccolis has NOT CHECKED THE WORK!

>Showing the apparent results to four significant figures may
>give the illusion of accuracy to some, but in fact there's a
>pretty big range of interpretation to those numbers.

Only in the "Indeterminates." Many of THOSE are so vaguely
comprehensible that it would take an extreme favoritsm to
one side or the other to put them in an "un-ambiguous"
category. READ THEM AND SEE.

"Illusion of accuracy?" :-) With over a thousand filings
judged into four categories, four-place accuracy was thought
needed to trends, changes, closeness-of-differing-opinions
and so forth. That is insufficient for the highly judgemental
(and rather disturbed by revelations) James Miccolis. He
wants "the work checked" by another PCTA...possibly to show
the "true light of the efficacy and necessity of morse code
testing?"

PCTA James Miccolis has NOT made any filing whatsoever as of
midnight, 22 October 2005. He has NO indication of READING
ANY of the 2,641 filings (except the first one, maybe) on
WT Docket 05-235. Then he "challenges" me to "show the work"
as if he is some kind of "authority" on what should be posted
in here! Magnificent performance! [of petulant spite]

>For example, if one person submits multiple comments that are somewhat
>different from each other (but all from the same
>person), are they all counted, or just one?

That has been EXPLAINED. Duplicates are put into the Indeterminate
category. There are TWO flagrant violators of that, one for, one
against the NPRM. Can Miccolis NAME those two? I can.

But, I've READ all 2,641 filings made up to midnight, 22 October
2005.

>If one person submits comments and reply comments (but all from the
>same person), are they all counted, or just one?

More necro-equine flagellation. :-)

>If a club or organization comments, does that count as one comment or
>multiple comments?

Sigh...:-) So far, ONE club has filed (but not given any list of
members). Editor Moseson of CQ has filed...does PCTA Miccolis
demand that ALL SUBSCRIBERS TO CQ be counted also? :-)

>If a single comment has multiple signatures, does it count as one
>comment or does the number of signatures come into play?

Which filing by whom and on what date has "multiple signatures?"

Does Miccolis get multiple ligatures squirming around, trying
to find a fresh spot on the dead horse?

So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK, probably has NOT READ ALL of the 2,641 filings to midnight, 22 October, 2005. He has NOT made his OWN filing by that date.

>You can see that depending on how the above questions are
>answered, and rules are applied, the results can be far different.

What "rules" were "necessary" to be followed, Miccolis?

Were they "published" prior to 15 July 2005?

Did you "define what should be done" by the end of August, 2005? [no, you did NOT]

Did you "define what should be done" by the end of September, 2005? [no, you did NOT]

Tsk, Miccolis must have become suddenly aware that there is NO majority favoring the retention of the morse code test in the United States' regulations for civil radio, Title 47 C.F.R., Part 97! [sunnuvagun!]

Miccolis is seeing the "end of ham radio" (as HE knows it) when things are progressing ever onwards towards elimination the morse code test! Poor thing...he stays up late on Sunday night, even into Monday morning to vent his Grief and Upset at that!

>> So we are all just waiting for the other shoe to drop.
>
>Probably.

"Probably?" :-) It's UNAVOIDABLE. It WILL come about.

The only question is when.

The official end of Comments on WT Docket 05-235 is 31 October, 2005, a week from now. The official end of Replies to Comments is 14 November 2005.

On WT Docket 98-143 the official end of the last extension of Comments was 15 January 1999. The final R&O on "Restructuring" wasn't released until the last week in December, 1999. That is roughly 10 months of decision-making...but on a LOT more issues than just morse code testing.

James Miccolis wasn't IN the FCC in 1998, 1999, and he isn't IN the FCC in 2005. However, he KNOWS things and anyone who doesn't agree with this innate knowledge "makes mistakes!"

>FCC may simply be responding to the fact that if they
>don't drop Element 1, the petitions and proposals will continue,
>making more work for them, but no more resources.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. If the decisions don't go YOUR way, then you can renounce the FCC as some sort of meaningless beaurocracy that doesn't serve the "public need," right? You ARE U.S.

amateur radio, aren't you? [you sure sound like it]

The FCC will take its time, make its decisions, the issue an R&O. They will do so WITHOUT having James Miccolis "check their work!" However, any decision arrived at WILL be fully explained in THEIR Report and Order and appropriate Commentors noted in references. So far, as of midnight, 22 October 2005 the FCC CANNOT cite any James Miccolis as a referencible Commentor...he has NOT even submitted any Comments on 05-235 as of that date!

Jimmie, you can make all the FALSE and misdirected "questions" you wish about me. All I do is READ ALL the Comments and Replies to Comments on 05-235. The OPINIONS on what the FCC should do in its final R&O come from United States citizens. The FCC is bound by law and reputation to "serve the public interest." They seem to do a good job of that, despite what the losers on past decisions snarl about.

Okay, you can try more misdirection and multiple postings and you are going to get the same replies from me. That doesn't affect the final R&O one iota. You've had all your amateur life to crow about the efficacy of morse code as a "necessary" part of licensing...and now you are seeing that all of that will soon be gone. You won't be able to crow much in the future...but you may have to EAT some...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4090. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 00:14:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130199268.397257.228050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Mon 24 Oct 2005 03:08

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 23 Oct 2005 10:19
>> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> >>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>> >
>> >>> > I think the idea is that person 1 transmits to the end of his block,
>> >>> > then person 2 transmits to the end of their block. And so on and so on.
>> >>> > Be just like the olde days when the "relay" meant something in ARRL.
>> >>> > They will need every one of those "millions" of FRS radio owners.
>> >>>
>> >>> When a kid did you ever play the party game 'pass it on'? You know where
>> >>> you whisper something in someone's ear, they then pass it on to the next
>> >>> person, then the next, then the next, ect. By the time it gets to the
>> >>> last person it isn't anything like the orginal message. You don't need
>> >>> that when you are trying to get critical information to a destination.
>> >>
>> >> So the "Relay" in ARRL is a useless construct?
>> >>
>> >> Wunnerful in theory, useless in practice?
>> >
>> >No it simply means that without a structured format, message training, means
>> >of checking the message (such as word count), etc that the system breaks
>> >down.
>>
>> Perhaps that is why the first two trans-continental attempts at
>> early messaging across the USA broke down? They were "sponsored"
>> by the ARRL. History. The ARRL doesn't like to talk of those
>> early days much, though, so you have to go to Thomas White's
>> Early US Radio History site to find out. :-)
>>
>> > The formal message handling systems have these.
>>
>> Tell us all about it, Dee. I worked in that a mere 52 years ago.
>> Professionally. On HF. We can compare notes...
>>
>> Tell us how the GMDSS works and all the "formality" and "word
>> counts" apply to SOLAS.
>>
>> > The FRS system does not.
>>

>> The Family Radio Service was NEVER envisioned as some kind of
>> "message system." :-) The average pair of FRS transceivers
>> (handheld) sold across the counter will reach out 1 to 5 miles
>> (depending on terrain, etc.), ideal to keep track of family
>> members at a large outing. Or a small one. :-)
>>
>> Did you think FRS handhelds have little "text" screens or
>> sockets for keyboards, printers, etc.? :-)
>
>It must be on amateur frequencies or nothing will work. With enough
>amateurs involved, they'll make sure it doesn't work.

Halloween arrived early this year. All these "first responder"
ham heroes have been boo-boo-boosting ham radio emergency
efforts as if they had really been ON the scene! :-)

So far, I've NOT seen any news video or newspaper accounts of
all the waterproof ham gear AT WORK in any block of any parish
in New Orleans (dry or flooded) or even any of the neighboring
communities. I HAVE seen some rather standard handhelds in the
hands of the REAL first responders and the various NG units
with their military-issue radios wading around getting folks
(alive or not) out of the Big Easy.

Coslonaut thinks that FRS handie-talkies only work out to a
block away. Apparently he got a bad pair of them at K-Mart?
Dee, with all those years of professional years of message
relay behind her, is ready to lecture all on How It Is Done
(but hasn't said anything but vague generalities she read in
some ARRL softcover). Buzz Corey apparently ran out of super
rocket fuel for his old ship and never made it to Nyorleans.
Danger Dan was in his Command Track busy tawkin' ta da
Heinie repeaters to carry health and welfares to Yurp and
cussin' up a storm (to replace Katrina). Everyone seems to have
SO MANY stories about "what they did" as First Responders and
the absolutely vital role played by ONLY amateur radio. Gonna
be a dent in the federal budget to pay for all those Medals of
Valor awarded to the NTS members for saving everyone's lives.
Jimmie Noserve, spending far too much time negatively critiquing
his dastardly newsgroup enemies, has not yet Responded to the
NPRM to toss out code testing nor has he boo-boo-boosted His
part in saving all those lives by dilligent morse codings.

It's beginning to sound like a big Trick or Treat thing in
words in here. Eye candy of gratuitous praise to fill the
empty bags of the first responders with their waterproof,
hurrican-proof radio gear. <yawn>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4091. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 00:46:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <U7qdnVgnA77nHcDeRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:X6SdncfKO_dzhMHeRVn-iw@adelphia.com...
>
>>Comdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Cell phone does indeed show signs of being usable as a true emergency
>>>>>>network
>>>
>>>
>>>>>How? The entire cell network was down in NO. The only way to get cell
>>>>>coverage there was out on some remote point a few people managed to get
>>>>>to and make a few calls.
>>
>>Yeah, I heard that the network was down too! I guess it depends on if you
>>hate hams or not. If you hate hams, one message getting out means that
>>Cell phones work great in an emergency.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Maybe MSNBC put up their own cell phone system just so they could impress
> Markey the Moron.

I heard that a guy used his CB radio to get a ride for a woman who's
car stalled. Even if someone did use text messaging to get a message
through, that sort of thing is just the exception that proves the rule.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4092. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 00:50:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <edudnRWr_bbLHMDeRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 121918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> TOM wrote:
>
>>
>> Exactly... the amateur service had an unprecedented golden opportunity
>> for
>> PR at New Orleans and they blew-it big time.
>
>
> Sure couldn't tell they blew it big time by this article from MSNBC, or
> dozens of others like it.

I've seen a lot of articles about Ham radio and Katrina, and far from
"Hams blowing it" they were one of the few groups who performed as
advertised. The only places they didn't was where they weren't allowed to.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4093. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 01:55:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <_dSdnZaSaIFSHMDeRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 121919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
>
> Halloween arrived early this year. All these "first responder"
> ham heroes have been boo-boo-boosting ham radio emergency
> efforts as if they had really been ON the scene! :-)
>
> So far, I've NOT seen any news video or newspaper accounts of
> all the waterproof ham gear AT WORK in any block of any parish
> in New Orleans (dry or flooded) or even any of the neighboring
> communities. I HAVE seen some rather standard handhelds in the
> hands of the REAL first responders and the various NG units
> with their military-issue radios wading around getting folks
> (alive or not) out of the Big Easy.
>

As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
By Andrea Kelly
ARIZONA DAILY STAR

When all else fails, it's ham radio to the rescue. Across Arizona, ham radio operators are helping sustain Hurricane Katrina relief efforts by helping storm-torn communities communicate with rescue workers and family across the country.

This is not a first. Ham radio operators come out of the woodwork during disasters around the world, even transmitting in Morse code if language barriers pop up, said Mike Swiader, president of the amateur Superstition Radio Club in Mesa.

Ham radio operators have contributed to emergency communication efforts since World War II, Swiader said, and were crucial in post-9/11 emergency communications, as well as during the Asian tsunami last holiday season.

Ham radios work when other communication systems are down because they're powered by solar energy, batteries or generators rather than the usual power sources.

The messages are passed from radio to radio until they get to the one they are directed to, so radios don't need to be near each other to receive signals, like regular AM/FM radio signals.

"We've been involved in passing traffic to and from the Louisiana and Mississippi area," said Tom Fagan, a leader of the Arizona ham radio

operators organization. "Whatever needs to come in and go out, we're doing."

When Arizona's ham operators - there are about 16,000 of them - get messages from the hurricane area, they send them along to agencies like the American Red Cross and the Salvation Army in the Southeastern region of the country.

"We're the link," Fagan said.

Because communications within a disaster area are often jumbled, Swiader said, it's frequently easier for someone there to contact an operator in someplace like Arizona, who can then relay the message back to a recipient in the disaster area.

"Sometimes it's easier to be heard that way," he said.

More than 30 ham radio operators from Arizona have already headed toward the disaster area, hoping to assist relief efforts and give emergency workers a way to communicate with each other, as well as assisting searches for missing persons.

"They're moving in when they're allowed by American Red Cross and Salvation Army," said Ned Stearns, a Phoenix ham operator and vice director of the American Radio Relay League, the national organization for ham operators.

In fact, the ham operators plan to compile a list of people they can determine as missing, deceased, alive or unknown, based on information transmitted over the ham signals.

To find out about people in the area, visit www.satern.org and fill out the health and welfare information request for the person you are trying to find. This information will be sent through the Salvation Army emergency radio network.

More From The Huntsville Times | Subscribe To The Huntsville Times
Ham radio operators are helping from afar
Sunday, September 11, 2005
By DONNA FORK
For the Times dfork@knology.net

But sometimes, even the airwaves can be shut down

They also serve who listen and wait.

Huntsville amateur radio operator Douglas Hilton and other "hams" in North Alabama are helping victims of Hurricane Katrina by relaying messages between families, officials and each other.

Lately, Hilton's been spending most of his spare time in his "ham shack" listening to calls for assistance. The systems analyst for Intergraph Corp. is one of thousands of amateur radio operators who help people during emergencies.

Hilton is unusual in the ham radio world because he wears three hats: He is an amateur operator; a member of the Alabama State Defense Force, heading the Communications Platoon for North Alabama; and he's also a military communicator authorized to use the Military Affiliate Radio System.

His connection to the military (although he is a civilian) gives him access to additional radio frequencies most amateurs can't access. He has been a ham radio operator for 42 years; his father had him build a crystal radio when he was 7 years old, and he got his ham license as a teenager. But he wasn't all that active until after the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001.

"That hit me right between the eyes," he recalled, and he began to get back into "hamming."

Now Hilton averages about 100 hours a month on the airwaves and takes countless courses and certifications. He noted that amateur radio operators are "amateurs only in that we're not paid." In return for service "the government gives us frequencies."

Hilton said the North Alabama ham community is large.

"The astronauts are all ham radio operators," he said, noting that astronaut Owen Garriott "was the first ham in space."

Hilton''s "ham shack" is not really a shack at all. It is located in a formal dining room - complete with crystal chandelier. It includes six radios and two computers.

"You don't need six, but don't tell my wife, please," Hilton said as his wife, Diane, laughed. She recently obtained her "technician class" license, which is the entry-level license for ham radio operators. The next is "general class." The highest, which Hilton has, is "amateur extra." Anyone can be an amateur radio operator, Hilton said. There is no age limit: Hilton knows a local ham who is 18 and another who is 89.

It doesn't cost much to be an operator either, he said. A beginner could purchase enough equipment to get started for about $300. Courses are free through one of the local ham radio clubs, of which there are several, including the Huntsville Amateur Radio Club (the Web address is www.harc.net).

In addition to six radios, Hilton has two computers: a laptop and a desktop. "The radios are hooked into the computer." With his setup, the Hiltons can talk to other hams as far away as Japan.

But for the past two weeks there hasn't been time to chat about matters such as which antennas they like the best. There are emergency frequencies to monitor. At the moment, a critical one is the Salvation Army's emergency frequency, Hilton said.

Hilton never knows if it will be a busy night or a quiet one. Recent solar flares have added to the uncertainty because they disrupt communications. Friday, during the late afternoon and early evening, it was unusually quiet.

"I'm a little surprised," he said Friday. "I can't believe how dead these bands are."

Solar flare

It could be a solar flare, or could be something else. "That's the problem, you never know," he said. The waiting, the listening, "it wears you out." But it's worth it when you hear a voice and "you might be the only person who can hear this guy."

On Thursday night, when Hilton was expecting problems, reception was fine. He was able to contact two operators in Louisiana. He also heard one Mississippi ham talking to another ham "so at least one is working there."

ecause of the Hurricane Katrina disaster, there are thousands of messages piling up, waiting to be delivered by ham radio operators: What will happen when all their radios start working again?

People like Hilton will be extremely busy. There are thousands of ham radio operators in Louisiana and Mississippi. When they all get back to the airwaves, it will be quite a challenge to sort out all the messages.

But meanwhile, as they waited for the deluge of messages, on Thursday night the Huntsvillians received a call for help from New Mexico.

Maura Campbell Kingston of Clovis, N.M., was trying to reach her aunt, 76-year-old Sister Joan Campbell, a nun, of Harvey, La. Kingston called the Salvation Army, which contacted a ham radio operator, who called Hilton.

Hilton tried Thursday to reach a radio operator in Louisiana to check on Campbell, but almost all of them were off the air. Finally on Friday night Hilton got through to "KG5YK," who is Robert A. Turner of Elm Grove, La.

When Turner's message came through, hams from all over the United States could be heard, eager to talk with him.

Helping 'ham brother'

The outpouring of sympathy could not be contained: Radio operators from Texas, Indiana and elsewhere all expressed their support for their "ham brother" in Louisiana.

"I hope this never happens again," said Turner of the Katrina disaster. "It's been a tough time. ... I'm looking for the right words." He thanked the other hams for their all their help.

"You'd do the same for us," the ham from Texas said. Others echoed his sentiments.

Turner gave Hilton information about when to call with his "health and welfare message" about Kingston's aunt. Hilton vowed to keep trying to deliver the message "for as long as it takes."

But that proved to be unnecessary.

Friday night, after calling her aunt repeatedly, Kingston finally reached her by phone. She was still at her home in Harvey.

"She was OK," Kingston said in a telephone interview Saturday. "Harvey stayed dry." But the area was without power and Campbell "was sitting in the dark with her flashlight."

Later, Kingston called Hilton to thank him. She said he told her: "I'm just doing it because I want to help."

Kingston was impressed.

"I believe that thing about Southern hospitality."

Thursday, September 15, 2005

Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
By Jeannetta Edwards

Daily Times Leader

When Hurricane Katrina hit, telephone and cell phone communication hit an impasse because of downed lines and towers. But, amateur radio operators did not miss a beat or a transmission.

"Before and after the hurricane, this has proven to be the most reliable form of communication," said Randall O'Brien, a retired highway patrolman who has been involved with ham radio operation for 35 years.

"People thought when they got cell phones, they didn't need us anymore," said O'Brien, an advanced licensed operator, who often talks to missionaries in South and Central America.

According to Denver Baker, Clay County has more than 30 amateur radio operators, but only a small fraction are active.

"It's a wonderful hobby," said Baker, whose wife recently received her technician license.

The multi-faceted operation includes digital and satellite communication and is enjoyed by all age levels.

"This is a good hobby for seniors or for young people," said Baker.

By becoming a ham radio operator, which requires taking a test to obtain a license, people can communicate with others anywhere in the world.

"The classes for the test are free," said Baker, "and we help you with everything you need to know."

According to Baker, who has been enjoying this hobby for the last 10 years, the only investment required is the fee for the test and the purchase of equipment. A handheld transmitter cost around $100.

The self-policing group ensures that everyone adheres to the rules and regulations.

"We're called amateur radio operators, but there's nothing amateur about it," said O'Brien, who first became interested in electronic at age 13.

The group, which also belongs to the American Radio Relay League, meets monthly in West Point.

"We have a good bunch of hams in this area," said Baker. "We bring food at our meetings and have a good time."

"It's nice, especially for seniors," said Baker.

For information on getting involved in ham radio operation, call O'Brien at 494-2898 or Baker at 494-9096.

Thursday, September 8, 2005

Ham Radio Operators Offer Help

By Beth Hahn
Mountain View Telegraph
Since Hurricane Katrina hit New Orleans last week, several ears in the East Mountains and Estancia Valley have been tuned to amateur short-wave radios.
McIntosh resident Ed Brooks said local Ham radio operators are willing to relay messages from the Gulf Coast if needed.
"We guys here in the Estancia Valley are just listening," he said. "We haven't had any need for what we can offer."
Although they may not be needed yet, Brooks said local Ham radio operators are still listening in to conversations taking place in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama.
During the interview with Brooks, his Ham radio was tuned to a conversation involving a Coast Guard helicopter that was rescuing a family from a rooftop in New Orleans with direction from another Ham radio operator.
Brooks said similar situations have been broadcast hundreds of times during the past week.
"It's been really spotty, but what we've been (hearing) is that it was good fortune that somebody down there had Ham equipment," he said.
Daryl Clutter, an Edgewood Ham operator and member of the Salvation Army's shortwave network, said he relayed a message from Ontario to U.S. Coast Guard officials in Washington, D.C., that originated in Louisiana.
"It was just the word 'help' spelled out two times," he said.
Like Brooks, Clutter said he has stayed close to his Ham radio since Aug. 29.
"There's been so much going on," he said. "Every day, something happens."
Clutter, a former truck driver who often delivered frozen food to the Gulf Coast, said radio traffic has slowed since the hurricane devastated the region.
"You just wonder who all you know, that used to be your customers down there, that are still alive or had the good sense to get out," he said.
As amateur radio operators, Clutter said, Ham enthusiasts practice for disasters "every day."

"There's only so many cell phone frequencies," he explained. "If the phone system goes down, there's no way ... (one company) could handle all the 60,000 or 70,000 phone calls."
Mountainair resident and Ham operator Bob Scupp said the Salvation Army network alone has handled more than 128,000 messages since Aug. 29.
Brooks said Ham operators have been relaying messages from residents to volunteers or emergency personnel. Since a large number of National Guard and military personnel have arrived in the area, Brooks said the messages have changed from pleas for help to questions about well-being.
Shelters for evacuees are beginning to utilize Ham radios to communicate with other shelters to help families find missing relatives, Brooks said.
Rescues are still going on.
"There's been instances where they're still finding people, trapped in houses, trapped in buildings or running out of oxygen," said Clutter. "It's just terrible what's going on down there."
Clutter and Brooks said they will not offer assistance unless someone requests contact in the Albuquerque area.
"We don't want to tie up the frequencies," Brooks said.
Clutter and Brooks said they will continue to listen to their Ham radios for messages or relay requests until communications are restored in the Gulf Coast.
"We live and breathe this," Clutter said.

Published: September 03, 2005 07:18 pm

Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support
By Teresa Atkerson
MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)
MCALESTER, Okla. -

With more than 10,000 requests for help in finding loved ones, the amateur radio operators in Louisiana are extremely busy.

In fact, they are so busy, they have asked people to wait with their requests unless there is an extreme emergency.

Ralph Suter, with the Pittsburg County Amateur Radio Club, said they had about a half dozen requests for assistance in locating loved ones in the area devastated by Hurricane Katrina. ï¿½The Red Cross funneled the requests for health and welfare,ï¿½ he explained. Now, the national traffic system is backed up with the requests, he said, because there were so many.

There are extreme problems with communications in New Orleans at the present time. Suter explained VHF radio has to have line of sight while amateur radio operators can use lower frequencies that can bounce off or curve to get the message through. ï¿½A benefit of amateur radio is you can throw a piece of wire in a tree or up a flagpole. With 12 volts of power, you can operate on a local or even worldwide basis,ï¿½ he explained.

As an example, Suter said recently an amateur radio operator in Louisiana had some kind of problem that he couldnï¿½t get help with after the hurricane. He put out the message, it went through Washington state to Texas and then into Louisiana where the right people were found to help him.

Amateur radio operators can also communicate via Morse Code, upload through television or computer or radio to satellite, Suter said. That gives them even more advantages in communication.

Jim Russell, president of the PCARC, said amateur radio has been around as long as there has been radio. Even in World War I, amateur radio operators helped with messages in Morse Code, which is something operators today still must learn as part of their licensing process.

ï¿½We look at it as a hobby,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource of individuals because they have an interest to develop the skills to operate radios in various modes.

ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource for trained communicators.ï¿½

There are forms that must be used when transmitting messages. ï¿½When it goes into the system at one point and comes out at the destination, it is exactly the same information, even with the misspelled words,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s our responsibility when handling traffic that it comes out exactly as it comes in.ï¿½

Suter said many of the operators use their radios on a daily basis while others check weekly. When it comes to disasters, there is the Amateur Radio Emergency Service. ï¿½Itï¿½s not really an organization but it is organized on a national basis for community programs.

John Wright, another PCARC member, checks with the Oklahoma Sooner Net and with the Salvation Armyï¿½s network, SATERN.

After the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995 and 9/11, Russell said amateur radio was used extensively. It is also used during and after tornadoes and other natural disasters. Now, the group can work with the Automatic Position Reporting System to help more accurately report severe weather.

The group has an annual field day, which is a combination of a exercise and a contest. ï¿½We go out and set up radios under adverse conditions to simulate disaster response,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½In the past, weï¿½ve started with solar power, using a battery in a car or even a portable generator.

ï¿½The last time I kept count, we were able to make contacts in 47 states, the Philippines, some in South America and Puerto Rico in a 24-hour period.ï¿½

Suter pointed out that the word ï¿½amateurï¿½ is not what people interpret it as. ï¿½People interpret it to be an unprofessional group. But while itï¿½s made up of a lot of people for a hobby, itï¿½s not unorganized or unprofessional when dealing with disaster. We have capabilities that public agencies just donï¿½t have,ï¿½ he said.

Members also have to be licensed. The license testing can be done in McAlester now so those wishing to be licensed donï¿½t have to go to Dallas or Oklahoma City as in the past.

Teresa Atkerson writes for the McAlester (Okla.) News-Capital.

Old Technology Still Needed
(Page 1 of 2)

Sept. 8, 2005

Overwhelming Charity

Joe Carcia, station manager of the American Radio Relay League in Newington, Conn. helps with the disaster relief effort for Hurricane Katrina's victims along the Gulf Coast. (AP)

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

(CBS) There is a store in London dedicated to "appropriate technology." It mostly carries products designed to be used in developing countries where there is a weak communications infrastructure.

Sadly, thatï¿½s now the case for a large swath of the United States where Hurricane Katrina and its flood waters have wiped out cell phone towers, telephone switching stations, Internet routers and other ground-based communications systems. Even wireless Internet depends on nearby transmitters and receivers on the ground.

But amateur radio is working and, according to Allen Pitts of the Amateur Radio Relay League (ARRL), "ham" radio operators from throughout the country are answering the call to provide vital communications links. Satellite phones, a much newer technology, are also coming to the rescue.

Pitts acknowledges that cell phones and other technologies "work wonderfully when everything is going right," but "they are very vulnerable when everything is going wrong."

Just as with past hurricanes, earthquakes and on September 11th, the ground-based infrastructure, in many cases failed because vital parts of the system were damaged or overwhelmed during the emergency.

In other words, when you need them most, those cell phones are of no value.

Amateur radio, says Pitts, is not vulnerable to these problems "because each of the radio operators is a complete transmit and reception center unto themselves able to continue going and continue with nothing more than an electrical source, such as a battery or a generator, a radio and piece of wire for an antenna."

Listen to audio of Larry Magid's interview with ARRL's Allen Pitts.

While base-station radios are larger and require an electrical power source, there are also portable ham radios slightly larger than a pack of cigarettes with a range of about 20 miles, according to Pitts. Pitts says that the next sized radio, about the size of a paperback book, can communicate up to about 50 miles without a repeater while larger systems, "about the size of a desktop computer" can communicate globally.

Amateur radio operators are in demand. When I checked the ARRLï¿½s Web site there was a call from the Salvation Army for "10, two-operator Amateur Radio teams for deployment in the U.S. Gulf Coast. Operators must hold at least a General class license. Teams should be fully self sufficient in terms of food, water and lodging and plan to remain in the disaster area for from one to two weeks."

Pitts said there was a "lady in Connecticut," who was listening on her amateur radio and happened to hear that there was a woman "who was trapped for four days without food and water." She was able to relay that message, by ham radio, into an operations center that dispatched the fire department. A day later she got a call from the trapped womanï¿½s mother, thanking her for her role in the rescue.

Ironically, this comes at a time when amateur radio is struggling for respect and, to some extent, survival. What was once a popular hobby has fallen into somewhat hard times for a variety of reasons, including the fact that the Internet, cheap long distance calling, cell phones and other technologies make the ability to use these two-way radios not quite as compelling as it once was.

Also, there is a bit of a rift between some members of the amateur radio community and some parts of the Internet industry over the use of power lines to transmit Internet signals. This technology, which could put power companies into competition with cable and phone companies for broadband service, has been criticized by some ham operators because of possible interference problems.

Despite the fact that their origins go back to the early 20th century, hams are hardly low-tech. There are now bridges between ham operators and other technologies including the Internet, cellular phone systems and Internet phones. In fact, long before the Internet became popular, ham operators were using their radio gear to transmit data along with voice and morse code.

Also coming to the rescue are satellite phones. These phones - which are much more expensive to buy and use than cell phones - rely on satellites rather than ground equipment to communicate with the phone network.

Because satellites are in space, theyï¿½re not vulnerable to conditions here on earth. Also, as long as you have a view of the sky, youï¿½re able to communicate. Iridium, which operates "66 low-earth orbiting (LEO), cross-linked satellites and 11 in-orbit spares," says it provides "complete coverage of the earth (including oceans, airways and Polar Regions)."

I carried an Iridium phone with me when I traveled to Peru a few years ago. It worked great when I was in the clear such as on a boat in the middle of the Amazon River but, because it requires a line of site view of the sky, it had problems in dense jungle and in cities with tall buildings.

Iridium spokesperson Liz DeCastro estimates that there are currently about 10,000 of Iridium's phones being used in the region with orders for about 6,000 more. The company sells through resellers so it doesnï¿½t have exact numbers. DeCastro says that "traffic in the region increased by 3,000 percent" since the storm hit and that the number of subscribers increased by 500 percent.

Satellite phones are a lot cheaper to use then when I went to Peru, but they still cost between $1 and $1.25 per minute to use, according to DeCastro. Thatï¿½s a lot more than domestic cell use, though often cheaper than using U.S. phones when roaming overseas. The phones themselves cost between $1,000 and $1,500 she says.

In addition to handling voice calls, satellite phones can also be used for messaging or data, albeit at modem rather than broadband speed.

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

Thatï¿½s why some news organizations keep manual typewriters around. You never know when that old technology may suddenly become the "appropriate technology."

NYNewsday.com
Amateur radio operators head to Gulf Coast

September 6, 2005, 1:00 AM EDT

NEWINGTON, Conn. -- Oscar Fuller only became an amateur radio operator about a year ago, but he knows how valuable his equipment can be in an emergency.

So even though he has never responded to a crisis, the American Relay Radio League member is planning to head to the hurricane-ravaged Gulf Coast and see if he can help establish an emergency communication network.

"This is a tragic set of circumstances," said Fuller, who recently retired from IBM. "If I can lend a hand, I want to."

Fuller and about 14 other members of the Newington-based amateur radio operator network have signed up to join the relief efforts for Hurricane Katrina. The amateur operators _ known as hams _ can often use their equipment to communicate in difficult circumstances.

Because the radio equipment operates on many frequencies, is self-contained and portable, it can often work when other communication networks, such as telephones, aren't working. It can never be blocked, which is why operators say it is good in disasters.

"When all else fails, ham radios work," said Betsy Doane of Shelton, who oversees the 2,500 members of the league in Connecticut.

David Patton, an official with the radio group, said as many as 750 volunteers may be needed. The Connecticut volunteers are going to help provide communication support for more than 200 American Red Cross Shelters in Mississippi, Alabama and the Florida panhandle.

"There are limited resources to an overwhelming disaster," said Allen Pitts, public relations manager for the radio league. "So there is not a ham on every corner. The hams are put where they are needed most of all, in emergency traffic or for saving lives."

Dennis Motschenbacher of Colchester was among the first volunteers to say he would go. He spent Sunday shopping for supplies and getting ready for the 18-hour, 1,100 mile drive to Montgomery, Ala., where he will be dispatched to a shelter.

"Everything I need, I'm bringing," he said. "There won't be any Radio Shack to go to."

The 57-year-old has been a ham for more than 40 years. He has operated his radio from places as far as East Timor and the Balkans.

The hardest part will be "seeing people in this troubled state _ smelly, sick, depressed, angry. And no matter what any of us do, it won't be enough for all of them."

NBC
Headline News - Top Stories
Ham Radio Operators Pitch In To Hurricane Katrina Relief Effort General Interest
09/07/2005
With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.

Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family in Chicago.

The reason-- ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails.

Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for communication until other modes of communication are restored.

News-Leader.com Springfield Mo.
Ham radio helps close communication gap

Nixa man relayed message from president to New Orleans' mayor.

Joe Hargis works on his amateur radio in his home in Nixa. Hargis helped rescue an elderly woman by notifying someone who could contact rescue workers via radio.

By Sarah Overstreet
News-Leader

If you've been unsuccessfully trying to get information about someone living in Hurricane Katrina's region of havoc, here's an avenue you may not have considered: local ham radio operators.

While cell phones rely on towers and traditional telephones rely on intact land lines, ham radios transmit from radio to radio, bouncing waves off the ionosphere in the upper atmosphere far above the Earth's tantrums. They can run off batteries, generators and sometimes even the sun.

Two Ozarks amateur ham radio groups - the Nixa Amateur Radio Club and the Christian County ARES (Amateur Radio Emergency Services) - have been relaying messages from people all over the world to those whose communication has been cut off by Katrina.

David Beckler, a ham radio operator from Nixa, even relayed a message Tuesday from the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency in Texas to New Orleans Mayor Ray Nagin.

"He had a message from President Bush to the mayor of the city of New Orleans," says Beckler, a New Orleans native who moved to Nixa six years ago.

Since the message was an emergency communication, the dispatch needed to go through the net control station on an emergency frequency, Beckler said. He knew it was important, because the ham operator had said, "break, break, break," which requires all other communications to yield and give that one priority.

"The net control station or other stations on this frequency could not hear this message," Beckler says. Beckler contacted the net control station, and people there asked him to contact the sending ham operator and relay the message back to that operator. "That's when he told me it was from President Bush."

Joe Hargis, another Nixa ham club member, also helped with an urgent message. "I was monitoring the SATERN network (Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network)," said Hargis, a retired elementary schoolteacher.

"A person called in with a piece of emergency traffic and the (receiving) person could not hear him. He said, 'Is there anyone on the frequency who can copy this?' I broke in and told him I could hear it fine."

Hargis relayed the message back to SATERN, and the operator there could hear Hargis well. "It was a 90-year-old woman and her elderly neighbors. They were afraid to leave their home because of the unrest in the neighborhood, things that were going on, and they needed assistance to

be evacuated. They were running low on medicine, and one was running out of her oxygen supply."

Nixa ham club member Rod Kittleman says their members helped save the lives of a couple in New Orleans.

"A weak, disabled elderly couple were trapped in their attic. Their phone worked, but they couldn't get through to local authorities," the KADI radio program manager explains.

Too weak to break through their roof so emergency personnel could find them, the couple called their daughter in this area. She contacted the Nixa club, which relayed the message to a local ham operator who is licensed to operate on emergency frequencies.

"He got through to authorities in New Orleans over the radio, and they were rescued. (The ham operator) relayed the message back to their daughter. That's the reward we live for."

4094. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 09:12:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130231550.240134.65400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2005 05:53:00 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130071980.332415.110530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 00:08:54 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >One of the things that Stevie (amoug many) is his claim that he
> >> >repsects honesty
> >> >
> >> >I admit to my disaiblities, and don't deny the personality traits he
> >> >consider fualts but that isn't good enough for either
> >>
> >> Dudly (Stevie) thinks that everyone should live up to his standards.
> >
> > No...Just the same standards you accepted for yourself, but failed
> >to live up to, Farankie.
>
> Dislocated preposition.

What's "dislocated", Frankie, is your honor.

> >> And while he thinks that he is the pinnacle of humanity...(SNIP)
> >
> > Nope...Just a man. One that didn't get court martialed (twice)
> >whie he was in the Marine Corps...
>
> "while"
>
> >> (UNSNIP)...he doesn'trealize that the only peak is the one on top of his head.
> >
> > Nice try, but bland...Pretty lame, acutally
>
> "actually"
>
> Now you were saying something about standards.....???

Yep.

I was saying you don't measure up. And obviously the Marines felt
the same way...Twice...

Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

4095. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 09:17:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130231859.546552.100620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121921

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> The little girl can't hold his liquor.

Uhhhhhhhhhh...Didn't you mean "licker"...?!?!

(thhhhhhhhhhhhhhWAHP!)

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

4096. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 09:23:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130232213.668133.238060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121922

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
> >
> > Lie about what?
>
> an example
> Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
> Asking For It".
> is a lie
>
> you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
> pedophile is lie

But MARK!

YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the consequences of the lie...

I was up front about being sarcastic about it.

There was no "lie" from HERE...

So get your OWN back yard cleaned up first!

Steve, K4YZ

4097. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 09:28:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130232508.977102.58630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121923

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > > >> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
> > > >
> > > > Lie about what?
> > >
> > > an example
> > > Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
> > > Asking For It".
> > > is a lie
> > >
> > > you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
> > > pedophile is lie
> > >
> > > don't have time to do a full catalog
> >
> Oh, so you DO have some kiddie porn though. You just said so yourself.

Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!

I've been trying to get him to realize that his fractured English
and poor composition skills make him look more like an idiot, but he
INSISTS in looking this way!

So let's just let him stew in his own frustration as his own words
dig him a deeper hole! Let him look that one over a few times and see
if he can figure out why he incriminated himself!

Steve, K4YZ

4098. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 09:30:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130232606.212998.273390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121924

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>>>>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >> no effort to squech it has been made.
>
> Tsk, tsk...LOTS of "squelching" has been ATTEMPTED. :-)

By you and Mark..

> Case in point: All these allegations of "honesty" and FALSE
> charges of an inordinate number of "mistakes."

By whom?

> Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM. :-)

The thread is about your "scorecard", Len. Asking how the
numbers are derived, what rules are used in the derivation,
and who checks your work are right on-subject.

For example, if someone filed 1 comment and 3 reply comments
on the NPRM, did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else?

> >> >> he has the right to ignore me if
> >> >> he likes, as do you of course, and I have
> >> >> the right to tell to shut
> >> >> up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor
> >> >> or impling that Len is doing
> >> >> something imporper in reading and counting as he sees fit
>
> Mark, simply Being Here and Being NOT for morse code
> is "improper" and "incorrect!" :-)
>
> >> >> How many hunderd question does Jim need to
> >> >> ask before he reconizes
> >> >> that Len is not required to answer him
>
> Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is a Radio god

"Radio god"?

Looks like an extreme exaggeration. How do we know you
don't exaggerate other things?

> in here and can
> act/do/write whatever he wants to and that WILL be "correct"
> (because that is his opinion and anything against it
> is "wrong").
>
> Disagreement with him is "wrong," thus "validating" his false
> charges of "mistakes." :-)

Good description of your behavior here, Len.

Your mistakes are well documented. Such as the legality of
amateur operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-period
licenses.

> >> On the contrary, I like Jim because he is rational
> >> and polite.
> >
> >Thanks, Alun!
>
> Jim is always right.

Gosh, Len, so you *can* call me by my name!

> He must be because he says so...those
> against his opinions are "wrong." :-)
>
> When it comes to one-sided opinions in favor of code testing,
> he gets upset and irrational. <shrug>

> >> He did raise a valid point about citizenship,
> >> i.e. it is irrelevant, but I raised this point
> >> myself with Len
> >> before and didn't get an answer.
>
> I thought I answered that. :-)
>
> I'll have to get busy and find out every other government
> administrations' Elections so that I can go vote on those
> issues...if I don't need to be a citizen there. :-)
>
> Last I saw, United States citizens voted on, expressed
> opinions on United States laws, regulations, rules.
> As far as
> I've seen so far, Title 47 C.F.R. applies ONLY to United
> States
> citizens on United States soil.

US citizenship is not a requirement for getting an FCC
amateur radio license. Passing the required tests *is* a

requirement.

A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required
to comment. Neither is there an age requirement to comment.

Of course Len has admitted that he has had problems integrating young
people into what he considers 'adult' activities....

> >FCC doesn't exclude noncitizens, so why should a count of the
> >comments exclude them? A noncitizen can get an FCC license, but
> >has to take the same tests as a citizen, so why shouldn't their
> >comments be counted?
>
> By INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT, the United States allows non-
> citizens to be granted United States radio operator licenses.

That's right.

> Do NON-citizens vote on United States laws, regulations,
> rules?

A comment is not a vote. An NPRM is not an election. FCC doesn't have
to act on what the majority of comments want.

> Doing a voluntary examination of an on-going WT Docket and
> reporting what I found is hardly "telling the FCC or anyone
> in here" "what to do."

Who said it was?

The issue is the accuracy of your 'scorecard'.

> Tsk, tsk. Since this is a private

It's not private at all. You blab it all over a public forum,
so it's fair game for comment and question by others.

That's what free speech in a public forum is all about, Len.
If you make statements here, others have the right to comment
on them and question their validity.

Is your 'scorecard' a collection of alleged facts, or is it
just your opinion?

> all-voluntary "score card," the one doing the READING of ALL
> filings is allowed to set the conditions. :-)

Of course.

> Those
> conditions have been FULLY EXPLAINED in each "score card"
> I've posted...since the end of July, 2005.

No, they haven't. For example:

If someone posts multiple comments that are not identical,
are they counted as one comment or more than one?

If a group or club posts a comment with multiple signatures,
does that count as one comment or more than one?

How is it decided what constitutes a "valid address"?

> Why is there some kind
> of "dispute" NOW rather than in the past two months?

Is there a time limit on questions?

> As far as the "Indeterminate" column of my scoring, the notes
> explain what was done.

Not completely.

> At issue in WT Docket 05-235 is just
> whether or not NPRM 05-143 should be made into an R&O or not.
> NPRM 05-143 is NOT some comprehensive all-inclusive Remake
> of Part 97 as in the "Restructuring" of '98-'99. It is just
> about morse code testing, whether it should stay or go...in
> USA amateur radio regulations.

The NPRM does not state that comments must be about Morse Code testing
and nothing else.

Back in 1999 you posted reply comments recommending an age requirement
for all classes of amateur license, even though
that NPRM never mentioned anything about an age requirement.

Should your reply comments be called "indeterminate" because
of that?

> Other than the non-specific "Indeterminate" opinions, there
> are only three others: FOR the NPRM, AGAINST the removal of
> code testing, and retention of code testing ONLY for the
> Amateur Extra class. Perhaps that is just too difficult to
> grasp?

Perhaps your explanation is incomplete?

> What IS difficult to grasp - by the PCTA - is that the NCTA
> are no longer a minority.

That has not been shown to be true.

> In fact, many PCTA just cannot
> believe that radio hobbyists cannot love, honor, and obey
> the cherished Belief that morse code testing is "necessary."

> >Len has a proven track record of mistakes here, particularly in
> >the area of FCC regulations, so it's not unreasonable to ask
> >about how his numbers are compiled and how they are checked.
>

> "Proven track record?!?" :-)

Yes. You've made serious mistakes in your statements about
Part 97. And you've refused to correct or even acknowledge them.

> Is that what is called "politeness" and "civility?" In here
> I guess so... :-)

It's called stating a fact.

> The way to "check" my numbers is to GO AND READ ALL THE
> COMMENTS-FILINGS ON WT DOCKET 05-235 AND DO YOUR OWN
> TALLIES OF OPINIONS.

You're not the only one reading the comments, Len. And your
numbers don't agree with others' results.

> Jimmie has NOT even made ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235.

If someone makes multiple filings on that docket, and they
are not identical, will you count them as one comment or more than
one?

Do "filings" include only comments, or are reply comments and
other materials included in the count?

Is your 'scorecard' only a count of comments, or are reply
comments included too?

> Jimmie has NOT stated he has READ a single filing on 05-235.

Who is "Jimmie", Len? Can't be me, because I've read several of
the comments. Reply comments too. And the whole NPRM.

> Jimmie has spent a LOT of time and effort trying his best
> to obscure and misdirect the NPRM "scorecard" results by
> all these "challenges" and FALSE statements about my previous
> alleged "inaccuracies."

I've simply asked questions and stated facts. It's a fact that
you have a proven track record of mistakes here. Here's another:
you once stated you had *never* used a certain screen name to post
here, and then it was shown that you had. I think you called
yourself 'Averyfine'...

> Hey, it's a neat trick to try and sway public opinion in this
> computer-modem kind of communications. Done well enough,
> constant repetition of FALSE charges will make some folks
> believe that a person is "highly inaccurate." :-)

Is that your methodology here, Len? Perhaps you're counting on nobody
checking your work.

> >The NPRM proposes to eliminate *all* Morse Code
> >testing for an amateur license. Someone who supports

> >the NPRM must, by definition, support the removal
> >of *all* code testing, not just some of it. Otherwise
> >they are opposed to the NPRM.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Nothing in ANY NPRM is so sacrosanct as
> to demand absolute obediance to the NOTICE of PROPOSED
> RULE MAKING.

There's no A in "obedience", Len. Are you getting worked up over this?

> Had Jimmie seen other NPRMs and the
> resulting R&Os, he would understand that. Obviously,
> he has NOT.

I don't know what "Jimmie" has read, but I've read plenty of
NPRMs and the resultinf R&Os.
>
> >The detail of whether they support the current level
> >of Morse Code testing, or whether they support a
> >reduction but not complete elimination, does not
> >change the fact that they are opposed to the NPRM as
> >it stands.
>
> SPIN, SPIN, SPIN. :-)
>
> Tsk, the purpose of a COMMENT period is to allow
> CITIZENS to make their desires known to their
> government on a PROPOSED ruling.

Not just CITZENS, Len - all interested parties. FCC has
not rejected the comments of noncitizens - why chould you?

In fact, one doesn't even have to be a human being to
comment. FCC accepts comments from corporate organizations
as well. They cited the comments of Kenwood back in the R&O
about the 2000 restructuring.

If Kenwood files comments, will you count them or reject them?

> Those desires ARE
> now PUBLIC. 2,641 filings' worth.

Do they include reply comments or just comments in your totals?

> Miccolis has NOT
> read ALL of them,

Are you sure?

> hasn't even made his own filing.
> I have read ALL of them...including the 19 more for
> 24 October 2005 (making 2,660 filings) which will be
> posted on 25 October (plus any additional the FCC
> wishes to add).

Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
comments?

Seems that way.

> So...where is the "score card" by James Miccolis?
>
> And...WHO will "check his work?" :-)

It's not about me, Len. It's about *your* 'scorecard'.

> Who checks Miccolis' "work" on his bi-monthly "license
> number" postings? [he won't say from where he cribs
> his numbers]

Anyone can check my posted numbers very simply by doing the
math. I've stated the source of those numbers here.

If there's a math mistake I'll correct it. But I don't recall
anyone pointing out such a mistake.

> >> The FCC will have no problem deciding that they have a
> >> mandate to abolish Element 1 based on the comments.
> >
> >Perhaps - but recall that FCC is not required to follow
> >the majority opinion. They did not follow majority
> >opinion in 1999 either...
>
> Tsk. Morse lovers are seeing the handwriting on the
> wall. Finally. Wow, news flash, morse code testing
> is NOT favored by a majority! [sunnuvagun!]

How do you know it's not favored by a majority?

> The ARRL represents a distinct MINORITY of all USA
> amateur radio licensees. A mere 20%.

How is that number derived?

> Yet, on any
> other issue, What The ARRL Says is some kind of "truth"
> about amateur radio...anyone against that will get all
> sorts of nastygram grief from ARRL Believers!!!
>
> >More important to the discussion of 'scorecards' is the
> >accuracy of the count. At present, Len's 'scorecard' is
> >made up of about 2500 comments that pass some vaguely
> >defined tests of validity.
>
> "Vaguely defined tests of validity?!?"

Yes.

> 2,645 filings for the period 15 July 2005 to 22 October 2005.
>
> Miccolis is IN ERROR...it was NOT "2500." Tsk, tsk.

I wrote "about 2500" - which was accurate when it was written.

> With such ERRORS, Miccolis is establishing a "PROVEN TRACK
> RECORD OF MISTAKES!!!" :-)

Really?

> "Vaguely defined?"

Yes.

> The Notes in my "score card" postings
> explain it, well past "vague" judgements by PCTA Miccolis.

If someone posts multiple comments that are not identical,
are they counted as one comment or more than one?

If a group or club posts a comment with multiple signatures,
does that count as one comment or more than one?

How is it decided what constitutes a "valid address"?

Are reply comments included or just comments?

> >With a base of 2500 comments, a single comment
> >represents .04% of the
> >total, and 25 comments represent 1% of the total.
> >With the totals being
> >so close, it would not take a lot of mistakes to
> >change the apparent majority.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...PCTA Miccolis has NOT CHECKED THE WORK!

How could anyone check your work, Len? You haven't shown it.

> >Showing the apparent results to four significant figures may
> >give the illusion of accuracy to some, but in fact there's a
> >pretty big range of interpretation to those numbers.
>
> Only in the "Indeterminates." Many of THOSE are so vaguely
> comprehensible that it would take an extreme favoritsm to
> one side or the other to put them in an "un-ambiguous"
> category. READ THEM AND SEE.
>
> "Illusion of accuracy?" :-) With over a thousand filings
> judged into four categories, four-place accuracy was thought

Thought by whom?

> needed to trends, changes, closeness-of-differing-opinions
> and so forth. That is insufficient for the highly
> judgemental

> (and rather disturbed by revelations) James Miccolis. He
> wants "the work checked" by another PCTA...possibly to show
> the "true light of the efficacy and necessity of morse code
> testing?"

Are you afraid of having your work checked, Len?

> PCTA James Miccolis has NOT made any filing whatsoever as of
> midnight, 22 October 2005.

So?

If I make 10 nonidentical comments, will they count as 1 comment or
more than 1?

> He has NO indication of READING
> ANY of the 2,641 filings (except the first one, maybe) on
> WT Docket 05-235. Then he "challenges" me to "show the work"
> as if he is some kind of "authority" on what should be posted
> in here!

Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
comments?

Seems that way.

> Magnificent performance! [of petulant spite]

By you....;-)

> >For example, if one person submits multiple comments that are > > omewhat
> >different from each other (but all from the same
> >person), are they all counted, or just one?
>
> That has been EXPLAINED.

No, it hasn't.

> Duplicates are put into the Indeterminate
> category. There are TWO flagrant violators of that, one
> for, one
> against the NPRM. Can Miccolis NAME those two? I can.

How can we be sure you caught every single person that filed more than
one comment?

> But, I've READ all 2,641 filings made up to midnight, 22
> October 2005.

Really? That's nice.
>
> >If one person submits comments and reply comments
> >(but all from the
> >same person), are they all counted, or just one?

>
> More necro-equine flagellation. :-)

Leads me to believe you're counting reply comments too.
And not checking for dupes.

> >If a club or organization comments, does that count
> >as one comment or
> >multiple comments?
>
> Sigh...:-) So far, ONE club has filed (but not
> given any list of
> members).

Only one?
> Editor Moseson of CQ has filed...does PCTA Miccolis
> demand that ALL SUBSCRIBERS TO CQ be counted also? :-)

Only if ALL SUBSCRIBERS to QST are also counted...

> >If a single comment has multiple signatures, does it count as > >one
> >comment or does the number of signatures come into play?
>
> Which filing by whom and on what date has "multiple
> signatures?"

You tell me.

> Does Miccolis get multiple ligatures squirming around, trying
> to find a fresh spot on the dead horse?
>
> So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK,

Nobody can. You haven't shown it.

> probably has NOT READ
> ALL of the 2,641 filings to midnight, 22 October, 2005.

"probably"? Aren't you sure? Previously you were sure, now
you're not.

> He has
> NOT made his OWN filing by that date.

Is a comment a requirement? The deadline isn't till next week.
>
> >You can see that depending on how the above questions are
> >answered, and rules are applied, the results can be far
> >different.
>
> What "rules" were "necessary" to be followed, Miccolis?
>
> Were they "published" prior to 15 July 2005?
>

> Did you "define what should be done" by the end of August,
> 2005?
> [no, you did NOT]
>
> Did you "define what should be done" by the end of September,
> 2005? [no, you did NOT]

Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
comments?
>
> Tsk, Miccolis must have become suddenly aware that there is > NO
> majority favoring the retention of the morse code test in
> the United States' regulations for civil radio, Title 47
> C.F.R.,
> Part 97! [sunnuvagun!]

I'm just asking some questions, Len.

> Miccolis is seeing the "end of ham radio" (as HE knows it) > when
> things are progressing ever onwards towards elimination the > morse
> code test! Poor thing...he stays up late on Sunday night, > even
> into Monday morning to vent his Grief and Upset at that!

I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
upset over them.
>
> >> So we are all just waiting for the other shoe to drop.
> >
> >Probably.
>
> "Probably?" :-) It's UNAVOIDABLE. It WILL come about.

Crystal ball?
>
> The only question is when.
>
> The official end of Comments on WT Docket 05-235 is 31
> October,
> 2005, a week from now. The official end of Replies to
> Comments
> is 14 November 2005.
>
> On WT Docket 98-143 the official end of the last extension of
> Comments was 15 January 1999. The final R&O
> on "Restructuring"
> wasn't released until the last week in December, 1999.
> That is
> roughly 10 months of decision-making...but on a LOT
> more issues
> than just morse code testing.

So?
>
> James Miccolis wasn't IN the FCC in 1998, 1999, and he isn't
> IN the FCC in 2005.

Neither are you, Len - ever.

> However, he KNOWS things and anyone who
> doesn't agree with this innate knowledge "makes mistakes!"

I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
upset over them.

> >FCC may simply be responding to the fact that if they
> >don't drop Element 1, the petitions and proposals will
> >continue,
> >making more work for them, but no more resources.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. If the decisions don't go YOUR way, then you
> can renounce the FCC as some sort of meaningless beaurocracy
> that doesn't serve the "public need," right? You ARE U.S.
> amateur radio, aren't you? [you sure sound like it]

I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
upset over them.

> The FCC will take its time, make its decisions, the issue an
> R&O. They will do so WITHOUT having James Miccolis "check
> their work!" However, any decision arrived at WILL be fully
> explained in THEIR Report and Order and appropriate
> Commentors
> noted in references. So far, as of midnight, 22 October 2005
> the FCC CANNOT cite any James Miccolis as a referencible
> Commentor...he has NOT even submitted any Comments on 05-235
> as of that date!

So?

> Jimmie, you can make all the FALSE and
> misdirected "questions"
> you wish about me. All I do is READ ALL the Comments and
> Replies to Comments on 05-235. The OPINIONS on what the FCC
> should do in its final R&O come from United States citizens.

Not just citizens.

> The FCC is bound by law and reputation to "serve the public
> interest." They seem to do a good job of that, despite what
> the losers on past decisions snarl about.

You're the one 'snarling'. Len.

I'm just asking some questions. You seem to be very
upset over them.

> Okay, you can try more misdirection and multiple postings
> and you are going to get the same replies from me.

IOW, nothing of any substance.

> That
> doesn't affect the final R&O one iota. You've had all
> your amateur life to crow about the efficacy of morse code
> as a "necessary" part of licensing...and now you are seeing
> that all of that will soon be gone.

The test may be gone, but Morse Code use by hams will go on.
For a long time.

> You won't be able to
> crow much in the future...but you may have to EAT some...

I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
upset over them.

Besides - what does all this matter to you? You're not going to
get a license anyway, test or no test.

4099. Re: more stveie #### Mark, If You're Going To Use Diminutives, At Least Spell THOSE Right....It's "STEVIE"!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 09:37:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130233031.107246.105650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121925

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
> >> >Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
> >> >Cecil,
> >> >
> >> > >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
> >> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
> >> >
> >> > You and I.
> >> >
> >> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
> >>
> > "really"
> >
> > You have too much time on your hands, Markie.
>
> sometimes I certainly do

No "sometimes" to it, Markie.

> > Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?
>
> not likely it is just that I don't confrom to wishes of bigot

"conform"

Who's the bigot, other than you, Markie?

> which is your problem with me

No...My "problem" with you is that you're a liar and a deviate.
Those facts are not in dispute since you've admitted to them yourself.
And unfortunately you think those are admirable traits.

Steve, K4YZ

4100. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 10:10:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130235042.357853.283720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121926

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
> Wiseass.
>
> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>
> Enjoy.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, you have no such authority to turn over anything, yet share in the blame for the group being overrun with escapees from the State Mental Institution. Why have you and Steve even engaged some of these folks? I brought this up with Steve and you a year ago, but you know Steve... you can't tell him anything. Probably because he's got a second or third screen name and is part of the problem. And there you are trading tit for tat in long long, threads where you are the only one I even recognize. Why can't you exercise a little personal restraint and ignore the whacko's?

Now that you and Steve have found these whacko's a permanent home on RRAP, you think you can just "turn it over" to them. Thanks.

Best of luck

4101. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 10:18:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130235488.972566.47690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121927

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am

Lot's of Snippage.....

> > Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM.
>
> The thread is about your "scorecard", Len. Asking how the
> numbers are derived, what rules are used in the derivation,
> and who checks your work are right on-subject.
>
> For example, if someone filed 1 comment and 3 reply comments
> on the NPRM, did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else?

And you expect Lennie to honestly answer this...WHY...?!?!

More snippage....

> > Jim is always right.
>
> Gosh, Len, so you *can* call me by my name!

HOLY SMOKES!~

Lennie musta ran out of Geritol.

Cutting to the chase.....

> > Last I saw, United States citizens voted on, expressed
> > opinions on United States laws, regulations, rules.
> > As far as
> > I've seen so far, Title 47 C.F.R. applies ONLY to United
> > States
> > citizens on United States soil.
>
> US citizenship is not a requirement for getting an FCC
> amateur radio license. Passing the required tests *is* a
> requirement.
>
> A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required
> to comment. Neither is there an age requirement to comment.

And those rules apply to NON-US citizens on FOREIGN soil. Any
person holding an American Amateur license must operate thier station
in accordance with Part 97 when exercising the privileges of their US
license.

Granted, if they don't, there's little the FCC can do other than
to suspend or revoke thier license and file a complaint with the
operator's administration.

> Of course Len has admitted that he has had problems integrating young
> people into what he considers 'adult' activities....

I imagine that Lennie's integrated a LOT of young people into his
'adult' activities....

> > >FCC doesn't exclude noncitizens, so why should a count of the
> > >comments exclude them? A noncitizen can get an FCC license, but
> > >has to take the same tests as a citizen, so why shouldn't their
> > >comments be counted?
> >
> > By INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT, the United States allows non-
> > citizens to be granted United States radio operator licenses.
>
> That's right.
>
> > Do NON-citizens vote on United States laws, regulations,
> > rules?
>
> A comment is not a vote. An NPRM is not an election. FCC doesn't have
> to act on what the majority of comments want.

Gee, Jim...wasn't it LENNIE who was saying the exact same thing
just a couple of weeks ago?

Funny how he forgets stuff like that when the shoe is on the other
foot.

More snippage

> > >Len has a proven track record of mistakes here, particularly in
> > >the area of FCC regulations, so it's not unreasonable to ask
> > >about how his numbers are compiled and how they are checked.
> >
> > "Proven track record?!?"
>
> Yes. You've made serious mistakes in your statements about
> Part 97. And you've refused to correct or even acknowledge them.

Uh huh...And "recreational radio services", "The ARRL is
dishonest", etc etc etc...

> > Is that what is called "politeness" and "civility?" In here
> > I guess so...
>
> It's called stating a fact.

Well...There ya go...You were on a topic that Lennie knows little
of, Jim.

Snipsnipsnipsnip

> > Hey, it's a neat trick to try and sway public opinion in this
> > computer-modem kind of communications. Done well enough,
> > constant repetition of FALSE charges will make some folks
> > believe that a person is "highly inaccurate."
>
> Is that your methodology here, Len? Perhaps you're counting on nobody
> checking your work.

One need not use "constant repetition" to show Lennie's errors.

He repeats them often enough for all of us...

> > >The NPRM proposes to eliminate *all* Morse Code
> > >testing for an amateur license. Someone who supports
> > >the NPRM must, by definition, support the removal
> > >of *all* code testing, not just some of it. Otherwise
> > >they are opposed to the NPRM.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Nothing in ANY NPRM is so sacrosanct as
> > to demand absolute obediance to the NOTICE of PROPOSED
> > RULE MAKING.
>
> There's no A in "obedience", Len. Are you getting worked up over this?

Must be, Jim!

You know he ALWAYS says I am "getting mad" if there's a
typo...That it's "anger" manifested in typing booboos.

And we all KNOW that Lennie A L W A Y S follows his own "rules".

More stuff on the floor...

> > Miccolis has NOT
> > read ALL of them,
>
> Are you sure?

Of COURSE he is, Jim!

Lennie Anderson is the ONLY "radio professional" in the world! No
one else knows as much or is as "interested" in radio regulation than
he...

Snippity snipsnip

> > needed to trends, changes, closeness-of-differing-opinions
> > and so forth. That is insufficient for the highly
> > judgemental
> > (and rather disturbed by revelations) James Miccolis. He
> > wants "the work checked" by another PCTA...possibly to show
> > the "true light of the efficacy and necessity of morse code
> > testing?"
>
> Are you afraid of having your work checked, Len?

That was a question...?!?!

> > PCTA James Miccolis has NOT made any filing whatsoever as of
> > midnight, 22 October 2005.
>
> So?

Hey Jim...I haven't noted them all, but that's THREE proper uses
of your name in one post so far!

ziiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiip.

> > James Miccolis wasn't IN the FCC in 1998, 1999, and he isn't
> > IN the FCC in 2005.
>
> Neither are you, Len - ever.

And with the exception of his GROL, which Lennie claimed to have
let "expire" in October of 2000, Lennie's not even an FCC licensee..in
ANY service...

> > However, he KNOWS things and anyone who
> > doesn't agree with this innate knowledge "makes mistakes!"
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
> upset over them.
>
> > >FCC may simply be responding to the fact that if they
> > >don't drop Element 1, the petitions and proposals will
> > >continue,
> > >making more work for them, but no more resources.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. If the decisions don't go YOUR way, then you
> > can renounce the FCC as some sort of meaningless beaurocracy
> > that doesn't serve the "public need," right? You ARE U.S.
> > amateur radio, aren't you? [you sure sound like it]
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
> upset over them.

He is huffing and puffing a lot, isn't he?

Of course you KNOW the "problem" is that, once again, "mere
mortal" Radio Amateurs dared to question his infinite wisdom.

> > The FCC will take its time, make its decisions, the issue an
> > R&O. They will do so WITHOUT having James Miccolis "check
> > their work!" However, any decision arrived at WILL be fully
> > explained in THEIR Report and Order and appropriate
> > Commentors
> > noted in references. So far, as of midnight, 22 October 2005
> > the FCC CANNOT cite any James Miccolis as a referencible
> > Commentor...he has NOT even submitted any Comments on 05-235
> > as of that date!

>
> So?
>
> > Jimmie, you can make all the FALSE and
> > misdirected "questions"
> > you wish about me.

How do you "make" a question about someone?

I thought you ASKED a question, Lennie...?!?!

A QUESTION is neither true nor false. It is an interrogative. It
may solicit an answer, the nature of which may be be true or false.

> > All I do is READ ALL the Comments and
> > Replies to Comments on 05-235. The OPINIONS on what the FCC
> > should do in its final R&O come from United States citizens.
>
> Not just citizens.

Don't confuse His Radio Holiness with facts, Jim.

> > The FCC is bound by law and reputation to "serve the public
> > interest." They seem to do a good job of that, despite what
> > the losers on past decisions snarl about.
>
> You're the one 'snarling'. Len.

He's BEEN "snarling" for 70+ years...Now things are "going his
way", and it's too late to enjoy it.

> > That
> > doesn't affect the final R&O one iota. You've had all
> > your amateur life to crow about the efficacy of morse code
> > as a "necessary" part of licensing...and now you are seeing
> > that all of that will soon be gone.

Ooooooooooh! Lennie used a MEDICAL word to refer to a radio
issue!

> The test may be gone, but Morse Code use by hams will go on.
> For a long time.

A-yup....

> > You won't be able to
> > crow much in the future...but you may have to EAT some...
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
> upset over them.
>
> Besides - what does all this matter to you? You're not going to
> get a license anyway, test or no test.

Let's hope he doesn't, anyway. If Lennie get's a license ULX's visions of Amateur Radio MAY come true!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4102. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 10:24:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130235877.252302.132940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121928

KØHB wrote:
>
> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions" a
> few weeks ago.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
couple thousand years.

4103. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 11:21:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130239270.941236.110730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121929

KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
> more of stvies fraud

"Stevie's"

The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
accept that you are an educated man.

THAT is FRAUD.

> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > wants_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > > >
> cut
> >> > > why can't you answer a simple question?
> >> > >
> >> > > if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
> >> > > to evade
> >> > >
> >> > > that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
> >> > > shame about it
> >> >
> >> > There's no "evasion"...
> >>
> >> yes there is
> >>
> >> nothing from but evasion
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes nothing but evasion

More MarkieFraud(r)

> > But we DO have YOU caught in your OWN mistruths, Markie!
>
> where?

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...From 1997 to the present.

Starting with "I am a Colonel....."

> >> > Brain has suggested that there's something "wrong" with starting a
> >> > "new thread". I've pointed out that there's been no "rule" violation,
> >> > nor is it inconsistent with the desired intent of redirecting my
> >> > comments to a SEPERATE thread.
> >> >
> >> > > > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> >> > > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> >> > > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> >> > > > "everyone else" considers proper?
> >> > >
> >> > > why should I do exactly as you do
> >> >
> >> > Exactly my point, ya nimrod.
> >>
> >> admiting to lying then
> >
> > I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!
>
> I know you are lying

Nope.

> I know you lie

Not yet.

> you just don't have the guts to admit to it

Admit what?

> > It was as plain as day!
> >
> >> > > you lie mosy everyday on here
> >> >
> >> > "most"
> >> >
> >> > No, I do not.
> >>
> >> yes you do
> >>
> >> amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws
> >
> > "Among" "whoppers" "statement"
> >
> >> > However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
> >> > blatant lie.
> >>
> >> not a word of it was a lie
> >

> > Sure it was.
>
> nope

Sure it was. YOUR own posts proved it.

> >> > But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
> >> > life of me understand why...
> >>
> >> aracking up another Stevie there
> >
> > Huh?
> >
> > What was THAT?

You didn't answer the question, Markie.

> >> > > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> >> > > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
> >> > >
> >> > > noone said there is such a rule
> >> > >
> >> > > no one had suggested a fine
> >> > >
> >> > > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
> >> > > newgruops
> >> >
> >> > "across" "newsgroups"
> >> >
> >> > I don't.
> >>
> >> you do
> >
> > And you DON'T..?!?!
>
> at times I do

MOST times you do.

> but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't

No...the SUBJECT is "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
"Dudly"....And It Is HE!"

> you lie

Nope.

> you evade

Wrong-o, Boy-o.

> you make me (and I am certainly not the most socaible person on the
> plannet) lookvery socaible

"sociable" "planet"

Hardly.

You're a pathetic, sexually deviant, live-at-home-with-daddy leech
for whom if it weren't for this forum, would have NO "social"
interaction at all.

> > You "started" five new threads last night, Markie!
>
> no I did not in point of fact
>
> I started one new thread and Bought up 4 old threads

You "bought up" 4 old threads?

Get a deal on eBay, didya?

> get you facts straight

"Get" "your"

I did.

> or are you now claiming there is time limit on threads

Nope. Have at it...Spead those threads out as liberally and as
carefree as you care to...

> after all you contiue fight form 7 years ago why can't I

"After" "continue" "from" "?"

And YOU continue to LIE from seven years ago...Poorly at that...

> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again

"Cutting" "off"

Just to lazy to answer the questions, are you?

> >> > Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhh!
> >> >
> >> > Stop lying!
> >>
> >> not lying at all
> >
> > STOP LYING!
>
> not lying at all

Sure you are.

If your mouth is moving or your keyboard is tapping, you're lying
about SOMEthing!

> >> have hardly told a lie on here in months
> >
> > You mean MINUTES.
>
> No I mean months

No...MINUTES...

> >..That was one right there ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> wrong again

Nope. Right on the money!

> cut
> >
> > yep.
> >
> >> > > you generate more #### than a herd of bovine
> >> >
> >> > And even MORE lies! Sheesh, Markie!
> >>
> >> that is the absolute truth
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes it is
> you generate more #### than a herd of bovine

So...Your family's in town?

> you also violate intelectual property

N O T H I N G about you is even remotely "intellectual".

N O T H I N G posted in this forum is "protected intellectual
property" unless a copyright is stated.

> >> > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> >> > > > follow.
> >> > >
> >> > > you keep up your lying and threatening of people
> >> >
> >> > What's "threatening" to you is not being able to freely act like
> >> > an idiot without having it fed back to you.
> >>
> >> more lies
> >
> > Nope. It's the ABSOLUTE truth.
>
> not a word of truth

It's the ABSOLUTE truth.

"cuting" it, ignoring it, or naysaying it will not change it,
Markie.

> "one shot one kill" is a threat

No, it's not.

> saying you are going to contact the state of michgan is a threat

"Michigan"

No, it's not.

> you threaten and try to imtimadte anyone you don't like

"intimidate"

Nope.

> >> threat are posts "like one shot one kill"
> >
> > Still not a threat...Not then...not now...
>
> alwasy was alwasy will be

You were lying then, you're lying now.

And Markie...

It's spelled "always"

> >> and then following up year later by saying you planing on coming here
> >
> > "planning"
> >
> > Uh huh. And it's STILL legal for me to do so...
>
> legal to the UP yes
>
> a threat yes

Nope.

> > HOWEVER:
> >
> > I >>NOW<< have YOUR "threat" that is I come to Michigan, that one
> >of us will be dead, but it won't be you.
> >
> > That was NOT in respose to some like-worded post.
>
> nor was it made at all

Sure it was.

> I said if you come HERE one of us will be dead which is simple
> statement of fact

No, it's not. It's a Clancyesque expression of hypertestosterone
levels on YOUR part.

The FACTS are that you do not posses the requisite skills to carry
out your THREAT.

> and I repat you show up HERE , at my place, and one of us will end up
> dead

"repeat"

And you've re-uttered the SAME threat.

Are you REALLY >>THAT<< foolish, Markie?

> > So...Now we have YOUR violent intent to my legal and
> >Constitutionally guaranteed right to freedom of movement posted in a
> >public forum...
>
> nope we don't

Sure we do!

> we have your effeort to distort my statement

"effort"

There was no distortion before, and there's none today.

You've uttered a threat of deadly force.

> but agian report me if you like

"again"

You really are a glutton for punishment.

Well...Judging by the pics we've seen of you, I'd say you're JUST
a glutton....

> >> > Tough.
> >> >
> >> > > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> >> > > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
> >> > >
> >> > > you have never laid off
> >> >
> >> > Oh, MARKIE!
> >>
> >> you never have
> >>
> >> you were picking on me when I had not posted in RRAP in years
> >
> > I wasn't "picking" on you...

>
> yes you were

Nope.

> >> > Just this week we've had that VERY discussion!
> >>
> >> no you posted a bald faced lie
> >
> > No, I did not.
>
> yes you lied

Nope.

> >> you claimed that calling Mark instead of Markie while calling me a
> >> Child abuser, pedophile, and elder raper was laying.
> >
> > I wasn't doing those things.
>
> yes you were

Wrong again.

> cut
>
> >> > Liar liar pants on fire!
> >>
> >> grow up
> >
> > Need some water? A HALON bottle?
>
> no

You will...Of course Hell's fires will outlast that 15 second
HALON burst...

> >> > > > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> >> > > > > > shoe DOES fit...
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> >> > > > > you.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> >> > > > > follow.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just to have you and one of
> >> > > > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
> >> > > >

> >> > > repeating your lie does not make it true
> >> >
> >> > It's not a lie.
> >>
> >> it is lie
> >
> > Nope...
>
> it is lie you have never laid off expect by leaving the group for a
> few days now and then

Nope.

There was almost 2 weeks of absolutley no "Markie" or other
diminutive.

But hey...You've never let truth get in your way before...Don't
start today!

> >> > > Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop
> >> >
> >> > That's because they can't.
> >>
> >> delsuonal Stevie truely you are displying frightening behavoir in that
> >
> > "Delusional" "truly" "displaying" "behaviour or behavior"
>
> you are still delusion in your statement which you evade by playing
> spelling cop

I think you meant to say something there, Markie, but again your
fractured Englsih screwed it up for you.

> >> > > your fixation on mens genitals for one
> >> >
> >> > Gee, Markie...It was BRAIN that brought it up...
> >>
> >> no it wasn't you go and on about men genitals
> >
> > Mark, WHY do you BLATANTLY lie like this..?!?!
>
> no lie at all

Absolute lie, Markie.

> > Anyone following this post KNOWS you are a liar and KNOWS you are
> >lying about THIS!
>
> wrong you are lying

Nope...they can S T I L L follow the thread back and see that YOU
are an idiot AND a liar, Markie! No matter HOW many times you
"cuting".

> >> that is why many of us think you a closet gay or latent gay
> >
> > There's no "us" here for you, Markie...
>
> yes there is

No, there's not.

> >> > Not me...
> >> >
> >> > Since you're so big on what goes on in any given thread, you're
> >> > invited to scroll back and find ONE place where I said any such
> >> > thing....
> >> >
> >> > > > If you're looking for a date, send an email to "kb9rqz@yahoo.com"
> >> > > > or any other of the addee's he's using these days to beat the Goggle
> >> > > > rate filter...
> >> > > >
> >> > > > He likes his protein fresh.
> >> > >
> >> > > more of your homosexual obbession
> >> >
> >> > "obsession"
> >> >
> >> > Obvioulsy YOU had yet another comprehension problem.
> >>
> >> not at all
> >
> > Absolutely "at all"
>
> not at all

Absolutely "at all".

> you are obsessed with homosexuals anyone that read you posts over the
> years knows that

No...YOU are obsessed with "homosexuals", Markie...Being one does
that to you.

> I guess you are just not bright enough to know you are obsessed

I am "bright enough" to know you're confused.

> >> > It's you and BRAIN who have the "homosexual obbession" issues.
> >>
> >> I don't go around investagting what men might be doing with their
> >> genitals
> >
> > Sure you do.
>
> no I don't I don't care what you do with yours or Dan or dave or Len
> or Bill does with thier gentials

Good.

> > You've even admitted that YOU engage in WHAT they do
> >with them.
>
> no I have in point of fact

So you acknowledge my comment as correct...

> I have admited that I am aroused by men and homosexual activity. I
> have not admitted to any particular sex act

Sure you have. Several times.

> but hen you play fast and loose with facts you claim the ARRL has the
> power to make laws after all

"when"

Well, Markie, we again have you caught in yet ANOTHER lie...

You've been making this "you claim the ARRL can make laws" thing
for a week now and I have been challenging you to provide the quote
wherein you think I said that.

You've not done it.

LiarLiar Pants On Fire.

Your husband is going to be made at you for setting the playground
ablaze!

(Or are YOU the husband...?!?! Those "same sex" marriages get me
confused...)

Steve, K4YZ

4104. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 12:21:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130242914.447225.49650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121930

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
> Wiseass.
>
> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>
> Enjoy.

Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.

4105. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 12:24:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130243046.333168.240370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121931

Lloyd wrote:
>
> i take umbrage at that remark just like the first fema guy did at
> the hereings and you should look it up because you dont know what
> umbrage means. so doesnt roger.

There is an entire English vocabulary that you don't know the meanings
of, fatass.

> i am leaving here now and i will never come back forever. JUST
> LEAVE ME ALONE!!!! K???

Fuck you, fatty, that's a goddamned lie because you'll be back under
one of the other names you use to post.

4106. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 12:25:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <d09sl1p9t75jqqp4n220e86pimeblkcsrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 121934

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this
> > alleged discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the
> > CBer and Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of
> > course Roger Wiseass.
> >
> > So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> >
> > Enjoy.
>
> Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.

>
He's a girly boi just like me!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4107. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 12:25:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130243152.479681.134610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121932

Heh hehe..you may be right. lol!

4108. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 12:28:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130243317.340370.98050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 24 Oct 2005 11:40:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> _____
> >> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> >> >> More than 140,000 groups
> >> >> >> Unlimited download
> >> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
> >> >>
> >> >> as yes let the hate begin
> >> >>
> >> >If you hate it, why do you do it?
> >>
> >> learn english
> >
> >That's rich coming from an illiterate cocksmoker like you.
>
> glad you enjoyed it

No, it's plainly obvious you are an illiterate retard.

> >
> >> I don't hate it you hate that I exist
> >
> >Then go beam yourself up to the Enterprise, dumbass.
>
> you know if I could I would

BWHAHAHAHAHA Go play "Star Trek", loser.

> Id love to wlak the deck of Starship esp a Human Starship/ I promise
> to catch a ride on the next one I find

Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
too.

> >
> >> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better
> >
> >Fuck off, Marky.
>
> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better

Go cry in your corner, trekkie.

> >
> >> >>
> >> >> BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
> >> >> out of your mouth"
> >> >>
> >> >> your "logic" is pretty poor
> >> >>
> >> >My logic? You're a male cocksucker, kb9rqz. Where's the logic in that?
> >>
> >> your logic is a bad as your reading skills
> >
> >Oh, the irony, Marky.

4109. Re: Yet another oddity.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 12:31:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130243467.840914.279240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121935
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass Davies aka Christy D wrote:
> On 24 Oct 2005 18:35:59 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:
> > On 24 Oct 2005 07:34:50 -0700, w4fqf@aol.com <w4fqf@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > kk4tl wrote:
> > > > Proves that Mr. Davies is NOT the author of these messages.
> > >
> > > I'm not George Ashley, but I posted using w4fqf@aol.com. I'm not Roger
> > > either.
> > >
> > > So much for the shitty detective work.
> > >
> > > Bwahahahahaha!!!!!
> > >
> > > Billy Jack Moore W7LLI
> >
> > Think we ought to send some of this stuff to abuse@cableone.net? it
> > would be funny to get his account canceled for impursonating someone
> > he isnt. i bet they know who is at ip adress 72.24.51.174 and can
> > take care of him good.
>
> That IP address belongs to a Cable One subscriber from Long Beach, MS.
> I thought that hurricane Katrina had cleaned that place out, but it
> looks as though one familiar poster survived.

Answering yourself, Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloydie?

> Cockroaches not only survive nuclear attacks, they also survive
> hurricanes.

You should know your filthy HUD apartment is full of cockroaches,
especially the one that signed the lease agreement.

4110. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 12:32:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130243535.003332.78210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121936

kb9...@yahoo.net wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this
> > > alleged discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the
> > > CBer and Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooooyyyyyddd and of
> > > course Roger Wiseass.
> > >
> > > So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> > >
> > > Enjoy.
> >
> > Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.
>
> >
> He's a girly boi just like me!

No, you never served at all.

4111. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 14:20:25 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <435e3ebe.14301885@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 121937
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 10:10:42 +0100, "realradioham"
<realradioham@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

>I'm not a technical pursuit old boy...
>
>RRH
>"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:1129970452.489970.290430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> _REAL_ Ham Radio is a technical pursuit.
>>

Please don't quote bean in full. Many of us have him in our killfiles
and we don't wish to see anything he's posted.

4112. Re: Putting the "Real" back into _REAL_ Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 14:21:29 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <435f3f00.14367779@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 121938
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:15:47 -0400, Bob Schreibmaier <k3ph@ptd.net>
wrote:

>The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
>but they were overruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
>awarded it a 2.6.

Bean eis not a troll.... just one very sick (in the mind) person..
just ignore him.

4113. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 15:05:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JQr7f.1345$A63.969@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121939

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions"
>> a
>> few weeks ago.
>>
>> Beep beep
>> de Hans, K0HB

>Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
>couple thousand years.

Wow, you've been on rrap longer than I thought!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

4114. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 15:14:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9Zr7f.1348$A63.1217@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121940

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and Lennie
> the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger Wiseass.
>
> So I am turning the nut house over to you.

Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.

CU in CQWW this weekend, SS the next.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

4115. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 15:54:31 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djlkg8$8rh$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121941
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> > Yet another reason I will never obtain a ham ticket.
>
> Good news for ham radio!

Yep, there will be no one left on Ham soon after all the old farts have
died...

> > You seem to think that
> > your little piece of paper raises your IQ.
>
> Yet with you cber IQ you couldn't pass the test even though the answers
> to the questions are available.

Try taking an IQ test and i bet theres hardly any difference between you and
most people on CB. The only test you would outshine most CBers would be
radio related...

4116. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 16:51:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <31nsl1hl3oddatkmujlvlqpburml9tvr37@4ax.com>
Source record: 121942

On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
>> more of stvies fraud
>
> "Stevie's"
>
> The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
>accept that you are an educated man.

wrpng again

when you alter the wors in a post that is fraud
>
> THAT is FRAUD.
>
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > wants_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > >
>> cut
>> >> > > why can't you answer a simple question?
>> >> > >
>> >> > > if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
>> >> > > to evade
>> >> > >
>> >> > > that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
>> >> > > shame about it
>> >> >
>> >> > There's no "evasion"...
>> >>
>> >> yes there is
>> >>
>> >> nothing from but evasion
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> yes nothing but evasion

>
> More MarkieFraud(r)

you refuse to answer a question that is evasion
>
>> > But we DO have YOU caught in your OWN mistruths, Markie!
>>
>> where?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...From 1997 to the present.
>
> Starting with "I am a Colonel......"
>
>> >> > Brain has suggested that there's something "wrong" with starting a
>> >> > "new thread". I've pointed out that there's been no "rule" violation,
>> >> > nor is it inconsistent with the desired intent of redirecting my
>> >> > comments to a SEPERATE thread.
>> >> >
>> >> > > > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
>> >> > > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
>> >> > > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
>> >> > > > "everyone else" considers proper?
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > why should I do exactly as you do
>> >> >
>> >> > Exactly my point, ya nimrod.
>> >>
>> >> admiting to lying then
>> >
>> > I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!
>>
>> I know you are lying
>
> Nope.

>
>> I know you lie
>
> Not yet.

bull shit

I know that as Nurse in an ER you have to said something like "you
are going to be all right" when you were sue of nothing of the sort

>
>> you just don't have the guts to admit to it
>
> Admit what?

that you have lied

>
>> > It was as plain as day!
>> >
>> >> > > you lie mosy everyday on here
>> >> >
>> >> > "most"
>> >> >
>> >> > No, I do not.
>> >>
>> >> yes you do
>> >>
>> >> amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws
>> >
>> > "Among" "whoppers" "statement"
>> >
>> >> > However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
>> >> > blatant lie.
>> >>
>> >> not a word of it was a lie
>> >
>> > Sure it was.
>>
>> nope
>
> Sure it was. YOUR own posts proved it.

where

you said bandplans are laws

that is not true
>
>> >> > But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
>> >> > life of me understand why...
>> >>
>> >> aracking up another Stevie there
>> >
>> > Huh?
>> >
>> > What was THAT?
>
> You didn't answer the question, Markie.

no I did not

>
>> >> > > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
>> >> > > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
>> >> > >
>> >> > > noone said there is such a rule
>> >> > >

>> >> > > no one had suggested a fine
>> >> > >
>> >> > > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
>> >> > > newgruops
>> >> >
>> >> > "across" "newsgroups"
>> >> >
>> >> > I don't.
>> >>
>> >> you do
>> >
>> > And you DON'T..?!?!
>>
>> at times I do
>
> MOST times you do.

so what
>
>> but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't
>
> No...the SUBJECT is "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
>"Dudly"....And It Is HE!"

na we left that behind long ago
>
>> you lie
>
> Nope.
>
>> you evade
>
> Wrong-o, Boy-o.
>
>> you make me (and I am certainly not the most socaible person on the
>> plannet) lookvery socaible
>
> "sociable" "planet"
>
> Hardly.

>
> You're a pathetic, sexually deviant, live-at-home-with-daddy leech
>for whom if it weren't for this forum, would have NO "social"
>interaction at all.

better than a hate filled bag of shit like yourself whos socail life
is nothing but spreading hate

>
>> > You "started" five new threads last night, Markie!
>>

>> no I did not in point of fact
>>
>> I started one new thread and Bought up 4 old threads
>
> You "bought up" 4 old threads?
>
> Get a deal on eBay, didya?
>
>> get you facts straight
>
> "Get" "your"
>
> I did.
>
>> or are you now claiming there is time limit on threads
>
> Nope. Have at it...Spead those threads out as liberally and as
>carefree as you care to...

you were complaining before

stop waffling
>
>> after all you contiue fight form 7 years ago why can't I
>
> "After" "continue" "from" "?"
>
> And YOU continue to LIE from seven years ago...Poorly at that...

nope

>
>> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again
>
> "Cutting" "off"
>
> Just to lazy to answer the questions, are you?

yea I do lack the time to bother with all of your crap

>
>> >> > Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhh!
>> >> >
>> >> > Stop lying!
>> >>
>> >> not lying at all
>> >
>> > STOP LYING!
>>
>> not lying at all
>
> Sure you are.

>
> If your mouth is moving or your keyboard is tapping, you're lying
>about SOMEthing!

bulshit

>
>> >> have hardly told a lie on here in months
>> >
>> > You mean MINUTES.
>>
>> No I mean months
>
> No...MINUTES...

months

I have said you disagree with doesn't make em lies

cuting some more boring carp
>> you generate more shit than a herd of bovine
>
> So...Your family's in town?

now you admit to it
>
>> you also violate intelectual property
>
> N O T H I N G about you is even remotely "intellectual".

not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you

>
> N O T H I N G posted in this forum is "protected intellectual
>property" unless a copyright is stated.

not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you

>
>> >> > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
>> >> > > > follow.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > you keep up your lying and threatening of people
>> >> >
>> >> > What's "threatening" to you is not being able to freely act like
>> >> > an idiot without having it fed back to you.
>> >>
>> >> more lies
>> >
>> > Nope. It's the ABSOLUTE truth.
>>

>> not a word of truth
>
> It's the ABSOLUTE truth.

on shot one kill is threatening

that was not fed back to me

you made it up on your own
cut
>
>> I said if you come HERE one of us will be dead which is simple
>> statement of fact
>
> No, it's not. It's a Clancyesque expression of hypertestosterone
>levels on YOUR part.

it is a simple fact
>
> The FACTS are that you do not posses the requisite skills to carry
>out your THREAT.

you are delsuional all it takes it pointing the shotgun at you and
pulling the trigger

no real skill at all is needed
>
>> and I repat you show up HERE , at my place, and one of us will end up
>> dead
>
> "repeat"
>
> And you've re-uttered the SAME threat.

no threat a warning
>
> Are you REALLY >>THAT<< foolish, Markie?

you are the stupid one

>
>> > So...Now we have YOUR violent intent to my legal and
>> >Constitutionally guaranteed right to freedom of movement posted in a
>> >public forum...
>>
>> nope we don't
>
> Sure we do!

where?

what I see a statement that if you show up here, when I am home that I
certain things will escalate to point that at least one of us ends up
dead

I don't even state which of us will end up dead or how

no threat there simple analyis

given that you from your manner I am certain you will frighten me
enough in person to pull out the shotgun I keep by the door loaded to
protect my chickens from animals it isn't rocket science to suggest
when frightened I point it at you you will think I lack the will to
pull the trigger and fire the weapon

no violation of any intention to violate your rights violently or not
cut
>
>> >> you claimed that calling Mark instead of Markie while calling me a
>> >> Child abuser, pedophile, and elder raper was laying.
>> >
>> > I wasn't doing those things.
>>
>> yes you were
>
> Wrong again.

lying sack of shit

"Mark Morgan caight on tape molesting an 8 yo..."

cut

>> >
>> > Nope...
>>
>> it is lie you have never laid off expect by leaving the group for a
>> few days now and then
>
> Nope.
>
> There was almost 2 weeks of absolutley no "Markie" or other
>diminutive.

that is not laying off you calling me a child abuser most of the time
>
> But hey...You've never let truth get in your way before...Don't
>start today!
>
>> >> > > Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop
>> >> >
>> >> > That's because they can't.
>> >>
>> >> delsuonal Stevie truely you are displying frightening behavoir in that
>> >
>> > "Delusional" "truly" "displaying" "behaviour or behavior"
>>
>> you are still delusion in your statement which you evade by playing
>> spelling cop

>
> I think you meant to say something there, Markie, but again your
>fractured Englsih screwed it up for you.

coward

can't do anything but evade esp when caught lying

>
>> >> > > your fixation on mens genitals for one
>> >> >
>> >> > Gee, Markie...It was BRAIN that brought it up...
>> >>
>> >> no it wasn't you go and on about men genitals
>> >
>> > Mark, WHY do you BLATANTLY lie like this..?!?!
>>
>> no lie at all
>
> Absolute lie, Markie.

what lie

you call people male gentials on a regaulr basis
>
>> > Anyone following this post KNOWS you are a liar and KNOWS you are
>> >lying about THIS!
>>
>> wrong you are lying
>
> Nope...they can S T I L L follow the thread back and see that YOU
>are an idiot AND a liar, Markie! No matter HOW many times you
>"cuting".
>
>> >> that is why many of us think you a closet gay or latent gay
>> >
>> > There's no "us" here for you, Markie...
>>
>> yes there is
>
> No, there's not.

yes there is

>> you are obsessed with homosexuals anyone that read you posts over the
>> years knows that
>
> No...YOU are obsessed with "homosexuals", Markie...Being one does
>that to you.

well 2 lies in one sentence

I am not a homosexual

and you are obsessed with them
cut
>> no I don't I don't care what you do with yours or Dan or dave or Len
>> or Bill does with thier gentials
>
> Good.

but you do
cut
>> but hen you play fast and loose with facts you claim the ARRL has the
>> power to make laws after all
>
> "when"
>
> Well, Markie, we again have you caught in yet ANOTHER lie...
>
> You've been making this "you claim the ARRL can make laws" thing
>for a week now and I have been challenging you to provide the quote
>wherein you think I said that.

done it over and over again

you said bandplans are laws

the ARRL MAKES bandplans therefore you are claimng that the ARRL makes
laws

I know simple logical is hard for you but you posted it the rest is
from the ARRL itself

>
> You've not done it.
>
> LiarLiar Pants On Fire.
>
> Your husband is going to be made at you for setting the playground
>ablaze!

another lie

I have no husband
>
> (Or are YOU the husband...?!?! Those "same sex" marriages get me
>confused...)

i am the husband my lady is the wife since we are male and feamle
nothing sohould confuse you about it

but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
seem to drive you into a rage why is that?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4117. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 16:52:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qkosl15j2607ljg69m0nq61e96bmu188r7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121943

On 25 Oct 2005 02:28:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > >
>> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > >> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> > > >> wrote:
>> > > >>
>> > > >> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
>> > > >
>> > > > Lie about what?
>> > >
>> > > an example
>> > > Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
>> > > Asking For It".
>> > > is a lie
>> > >
>> > > you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
>> > > pedophile is lie
>> > >
>> > > don't have time to do a full catalog
>> >
>> Oh, so you DO have some kiddie porn though. You just said so yourself.
>
> Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!
>
> I've been trying to get him to realize that his fractured English
>and poor composition skills make him look more like an idiot, but he
>INSISTS in looking this way!
>
> So let's just let him stew in his own frustration as his own words
>dig him a deeper hole! Let him look that one over a few times and see
>if he can figure out why he incriminated himself!

i haven't typogrpahical error are not legal admisable
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4118. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 16:52:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3mosl11brr7njs1ms3a6jijf9edmnlae84@4ax.com>
Source record: 121944

On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
>> >
>> > Lie about what?
>>
>> an example
>> Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
>> Asking For It".
>> is a lie
>>
>> you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
>> pedophile is lie
>
> But MARK!
>
> YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
>absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
>consequences of the lie...

not lying therefore no concern about it
>
> I was up front about being sarcastic about it.
>
> There was no "lie" from HERE...
>
> So get your OWN back yard cleaned up first!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4119. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 16:55:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <jnosl1d2arobi7v45n3u0jkc9r136v7qut@4ax.com>
Source record: 121945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 24 Oct 2005 11:40:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> _____
>> >> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> >> >> >> More than 140,000 groups
>> >> >> >> Unlimited download
>> >> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> as yes let the hate begin
>> >> >>
>> >> >>If you hate it, why do you do it?
>> >> >>
>> >> learn english
>> >> >
>> >>That's rich coming from an illiterate cocksmoker like you.
>> >>
>> >> glad you enjoyed it
>> >
>>No, it's plainly obvious you are an illiterate retard.

and you enjoy it

so why do you complain about me doing something you enjoy?

>
>> >
>> >> I don't hate it you hate that I exist
>> >
>> >Then go beam yourself up to the Enterprise, dumbass.
>>
>> you know if I could I would
>
>BWHAHAHAHAHA Go play "Star Trek", loser.

no thanks

>
>> Id love to wlak the deck of Starship esp a Human Starship/ I promise
>> to catch a ride on the next one I find
>
>Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>too.

wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one

but since that is unlikely I will not bother giving it much thought
yet

>
>> >
>> >> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better
>> >
>> >Fuck off, Marky.
>>
>> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better
>
>Go cry in your corner, trekkie.

why cry?

if I was crying it would mean I was unhappy about something

>
>> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
>> >> >> out of your mouth"
>> >> >>
>> >> >> your "logic" is pretty poor
>> >> >>
>> >> >My logic? You're a male cocksucker, kb9rqz. Where's the logic in that?
>> >>
>> >> your logic is a bad as your reading skills
>> >
>> >Oh, the irony, Marky.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4120. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:00:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <41psl1lambg582lkng47papnjibo09o09g@4ax.com>
Source record: 121947

On 25 Oct 2005 03:24:37 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions" a
>> few weeks ago.
>>
>> Beep beep
>> de Hans, K0HB
>
>Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
>couple thousand years.

wrong a dastardly lie on your part they have been going less than 2000
years Jesus was offed in that realy undiginifed way in 33 CE therefore
the discussion will not have been going for "a couple thousand year"
till 2033, roughly 20 years from now

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4121. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:03:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130259822.805264.156260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121946

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
> >> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >>>Leo wrote:
> >> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> >> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
> >> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
> >> minute, not our easy 5.
> >>
> >It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when
> >the Technician lost its code test requirement.
>
> That code test is STILL an absolute pass-fail separate test
> for any amateur radio privileges below 30 MHz.

Not in every country of the world.

But in the USA, it still is. And that's a good thing IMHO. Of course
that requirement might change in the future, as it has changed in a few
other countries pf the world.
>
> The Technician DID NOT LOSE its code test requirement.

Actually, Len, it did. February 14, 1991.

> The former Technician class got RENAMED to "Technician Plus." :-)

The Technician Plus did not appear right away. Only after some hams
complained that there was no obvious difference in the license class
of code-tested vs. noncodetested Techs was that class created. Late
1992 or so.

Just a simple mistake on your part. Understandable ;-)

> >Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
> >and the training methods.
>

> Riiiiiiight...

Glad you agree!

> ALL human beings have the SAME aptitudes and
> abilities!

Who said that?

I wrote:

"Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
and the training methods."

Is that not a fact?

> All one has to do is "work hard" in order to
> pass a federally-mandated morse test in order to transmit
> below 30 MHz as an amateur.

No, one has to study effectively. And pass the required tests -
Morse Code and written.

It's pretty clear what your story is, Len. Here's what
I think is behind all your verbiage here:

Back in the mid-1950s, you decided to try for an amateur radio
license. The written test was no big challenge - you'd already
been taught the basics of radio theory at taxpayer expense in
the Army, and IIRC had a First Class 'Phone license as well.

But the Army didn't teach you Morse Code because that training
was reserved for radio *operators*, not technicians. And they
were phasing out the use of Morse Code in the Army anyway, even
though they would continue to use it for a couple more decades or
so.

Getting an *amateur* license back then required passing a
Morse Code test. And you started studying Morse Code, but
discovered that, for you, it wasn't as easy as most things
you'd learned up to that time. Plus you didn't much like the
fact that many you considered your inferiors were allowed on
the amateur bands, but you were not, regardless of your
commercial license or Army experience.

Then in 1958, 27 MHz cb was authorized, and you jumped on it.
You had fun with it for a few years, tooling around in your
radio-equipped little car. But then things changed on cb, the
band became overrun with truckers and trucker wannabes, and
the whole thing became a fad.

Of course you could have gotten a Novice license with your limited
Morse Code skills - but there's no way you wanted to be a "Novice"
anything, particularly considering that many if not most Novices then
were 'teeners'......

> Tsk. That is NOT required for General Radiotelephone
> (Commercial) Radio Operator license holders at HF and below.

Sure - but GROL licensees cannot operate Amateur Radio stations. Nor
can they have their own stations at all unless they also qualify for
a station license in some commercial radio service (like broadcasting).

> That is NOT required for UNLICENSED CB radio operators on
> 27 MHz, yet their signals can - during certain propagation
> conditions - be heard all over the world.

Sure - but cb operations in the USA are not permitted beyond a certain
limited distance (150 miles?) and that service only allows the use of
very low power, certified equipment, two modes, and 40 channels (80 if
you consider upper and lower sideband as separate).

Do you think amateur radio should be just like cb?

> >Slightly less than half of US hams hold Technician licenses, but
> >there's no way of knowing how many have passed the code test.
>
> A mere 48+ plus percent of ALL USA amateur radio licensees
> are Technician class.

You might want to check those numbers, Len.

> The Technician class (exclusive of
> the Technician PLUS class) is over TWICE as numerous as
> General class (most numerous of the "code tested" classes).

So?

The Technician class includes a considerable number of code-tested
amateurs.

> >There's also the factor of how long somebody stays interested. And what
> >they do when they have the license.
>
> That's NOT a LEGAL requirement, is it? :-)

No, but it's an important consideration for the future of the Amateur
Radio Service.

> The ONLY requirement I can find in all of Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.
> as to "doing" when a person has an amateur radio license is to
> OPERATE LEGALLY ACCORDING TO THE REGULATIONS.

>From a legal standpoint, that's true.

> Is there something
> I missed in Part 97 about "building from scratch," "devotion to
> morse code," or being an acolyte at the Church of St. Hiram?

Try reading the "Basis and Purpose" part with an open mind, Len.

> >> As far as populatity goes, I'd say that the general public back
> >> then
> >> seemed to be far more aware of even the existence of the hobby
> >> than it appears to be today (wonder if there's a survey available
> >> anywhere on
> >> this anywhere.....). For example, all of my friends and I knew
> >> about amateur radio back then - both of my teenage sons indicate that
> >> the majority of their contemporaries have no idea at all that the hobby
> >> even exists. Those who are aware are pretty much disinterested
> >> in the activity - they have more fun and interesting things to do!

> >But are you and your friends a representative sample?
>
> Why not?

Because they're a small sample.

> YOU seem to hold yourself as a "representative sample"
> of the very model of a modern major marvel in amateur radio. :-)

I'd rather be me than you, Len ;-)

> [apologies to Gilbert & Sullivan]

> >When I was growing up, most people, kids or adults, had no idea
> >what ham radio was unless they were related to or good friends
> >with a ham.
>
> In 50 years little has changed in that regard... :-)

> Where is the ARRL Public Relations effort when it is sorely
> needed?
>
> >I grew up in a suburb of Philadelphia that was mostly blue- and
> >white-collar middle class families. Lots of kids, houses ranging from
> >rowhomes to big single Dutch Colonials. Yet there were less than a
> >dozen hams in the whole township then, all spread out, and about half
> >were inactive or minimally active.
>
> "Typical?" :-)

Yes.

> Tsk, didn't you claim to have begun amateur radio in another
> state?

No. You are mistaken - again.

Show us where I made such a claim - if you can.

> >In my high school (grades 9/10/11/12), which had over 2500 boys and
> >emphasized math and science, there were perhaps a half-dozen hams in
> >the 4 years I was there.
>
> Ah, an "all boys" school. That may explain a lot...?

The all-girls school was right next door. Both schools are still there
and still educating young people.

> >The main problem wasn't code or theory, for those who were interested.
> >It was space for an antenna and money for equipment.
>
> Amazing how the story changes as time goes on... :-)

No change.

> NOBODY had an "attic antenna" back in those ancient days?

That requires an attic. Not everyone has one. Row houses usually don't.

> Tsk, the "beer can vertical" started in the 1950s..

Did you ever build one? I did - from steel *soda* cans. ;-)

> NOBODY managed to attend a Field Day exercise back then?
> [were there any parks to hold them in?]

I've been on the air at every Field Day since 1968. My score for
this year is listed on the ARRL website. I was #1 in my category
for the Hudson Division.
>
> NOBODY scrounged for "old radio parts" to build a whole
> station for $100 then?

I did. Built stations for a lot less than $100. Pictures of
one of my receivers are on the HBR website.

> >Most people, young or old, thought ham radio looked like a kind of fun
> >thing, when they found out about it. But not enough to spend the
> >necessary time and money to set up a station, let alone get a license.
>
> Too busy playing with car fix-ups?

Yes, for many 'teeners' of that time.

> They couldn't get part-time jobs to afford $100 to build a
> "Southgate" transceiver?

You seem to forget how much $100 really was back in the 1960s.
A week's gross salary for you, I'd expect....;-)
>
> Well, I have to give you slack on that. "Surplus" in the late
> 1940s was very inexpensive: $6 for a brand-new Command Set
> receiver, $12 for a brand-new Command Set transmitter, $18 for
> the Command Set antenna tuning unit and modulator...at H&H
> Electronics, co-owned by Gene Hubbel (then W9ERU, later W7DI
> and then SK...a VERY high-rate tested morseman).

That's nice, Len. But I didn't grow up in the 1940s. I was talking
about
the late 1960s and early 1970s.

> Tsk, I used
> my part-time earnings to buy and convert (to 110 VAC power)
> TWO Command Set stations...sold them quickly at a very modest
> profit by 1950. "Surplus" radios cost much more 30 years later.

That's nice, Len.

But I bet you never actually *operated* a Command Set station. I did.
Converted both the receivers and transmitters. Had a complete setup of
them before I was 16.

But I soon found I could build better receivers and transmitters. So
surplus became a parts source for my projects.
>
> >IMHO one of the biggest reasons ham radio isn't better known
> >is that it's not a very "visual" thing - it doesn't translate well to
> >TV or a movie.
>
> Really? Ernest Lehman didn't think so. He wrote a fairly
> popular novel entitled "The French Atlantic Affair" which was
> made into a two-part TV movie on one of the networks. Lehman
> was a respected award-winning screenwriter ("North by Northwest"
> is perhaps the most notable). Lehman was also a licensed ham.

And you're not.

> Of course, CB Radio has been featured in many a TV show and
> movie such as "Convoy," "Smokey and the Bandit," and (would
> you believe this title) "Flatbed Annie and Sweetiepie"...not
> to mention an essential part of "The Dukes of Hazzard" series.

Where it is depicted being used to avoid law enforcement and in
violation of the Communications Act.

> A later movie, "Frequency" used amateur radio as essential to
> enable communications time-travel between deceased father and
> son, but that was more science-fantasy in its plotline and
> didn't really showcase radio as much as the supernatural.

Then there's "Contact" in which the main character is a young radio
amateur, and amateur radio operation is fairly adequately portrayed
in the opening sequence. But the terms "amateur radio" and "ham radio"
are not mentioned in the film!

When depicted operating her amateur radio station in that film, the
main character is well below the minimum age limit you would have
imposed on US amateur radio, btw.

> >Maybe we're using the wrong term - perhaps instead of "popularity",
> >what you are describing is better described as "visibility" or
> >"recognition by the public".
>
> Tsk. You should have a long heart-to-heart talk with ARRL
> Public Relations (excuse me, "Media Advisors") people on

> getting amateur radio more popular with the general public.
> ARRL hasn't done much in THAT regard for the last half
> century...they've spent most of their time preaching to the
> choir to try and enlarge their membership numbers (haven't
> done too well there, either, still only 1 out of 5 licensed
> U.S. radio amateurs are members).

You know this how?
>
> But, you are NOT offering any possible solutions to either
> popularity, visibility, or recognition by any public.

I've done so many time, Len.

> Your
> aim is to disrupt any talk of eliminating the code test by
> any means possible.

Typical - when someone disagrees with you,
you make claims that are simply not true.

> NOT a democratic-principle effort on
> your part. But, it's par for the course in this newsgroup.

Sorry, that's just not true.

4122. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:04:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <f6psl11el6radt4pbpijvu7rok6tee8e07@4ax.com>
Source record: 121948

On 25 Oct 2005 10:03:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
>> >> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >>>Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
>> >> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
>> >> >> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
>> >> >> minute, not our easy 5.
>> >> >
>> >> >It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when
>> >> >the Technician lost its code test requirement.
>> >>
>> >> That code test is STILL an absolute pass-fail separate test
>> >> for any amateur radio privileges below 30 MHz.
>> >
>> >Not in every country of the world.
>> >
>> >But in the USA, it still is. And that's a good thing IMHO. Of course
>> >that requirement might change in the future, as it has changed in a few
>> >other countries pf the world.

might change?

you still holding hope it will not change

the only question is the date

>>
>> The Technician DID NOT LOSE its code test requirement.
>
>Actually, Len, it did. February 14, 1991.
>
>> The former Technician class got RENAMED to "Technician Plus." :-)
>
>The Technician Plus did not appear right away. Only after some hams

>complained that there was no obvious difference in the license class
>of code-tested vs. noncodetested Techs was that class created. Late
>1992 or so.
>
>Just a simple mistake on your part. Understandable ;-)
>
>> >Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
>> >and the training methods.
>>
>> Riiiiiiight...
>
>Glad you agree!
>
>> ALL human beings have the SAME aptitudes and
>> abilities!
>
>Who said that?
>
>I wrote:
>
>"Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
>and the training methods."
>
>Is that not a fact?

not it is not

it can be flat out impossible
cut

>Sure - but cb operations in the USA are not permitted beyond a certain
>limited distance (150 miles?) and that service only allows the use of
>very low power, certified equipment, two modes, and 40 channels (80 if
>you consider upper and lower sideband as separate).

not legaly premitted
but it can and is done at greater ranges with out even the intetion of
thse involved at times
>cut

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4123. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:06:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qdpsl19sve5l87et3bq835niomjetmk8ei@4ax.com>
Source record: 121949

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 22:40:46 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
>discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
>Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
>Wiseass.

well first to continue a discussion you have to first engage in one

but I agree there s little in you enaging in whatever you have been
doing. it has obviolusly made you unhappy

proving me correct you need to find some other forum if you can't take
the heat
>
>So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>
>Enjoy.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4124. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:08:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ljpsl194c98gc769j8ejv09hmdc7cr1434@4ax.com>
Source record: 121950

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 08:25:50 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:

>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this
>> > alleged discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the
>> > CBer and Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooooyyyyyddd and of
>> > course Roger Wiseass.
>> >
>> > So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>> >
>> > Enjoy.
>>
>> Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.
>
>>
>He's a girly boi just like me!

such an hoonor that fool have to fake posts from me
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4125. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:21:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <ncqsl11qdhpvqib6vhomt5gl53jjd6en29@4ax.com>
Source record: 121951

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
>wacked out his posts get?
>
>He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
I'm drunk on cum!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4126. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:22:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ddqsl11kqsgrl15qnlok4o8gi33e5mhrqa@4ax.com>
Source record: 121953

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 13:21:46 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:

>On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
>>Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
>>wacked out his posts get?
>>
>>He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>I'm drunk on cum!

such an honnor to have people so frightened of me that they forge
whole posts as being mine
>
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4127. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:23:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <4gqsl1tm1fa9ovr2944ijqieqdu0kgto3o@4ax.com>
Source record: 121952

On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 16:20:35 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>You can too.
>
>http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

>
I buoght a new cock ring to.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4128. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:26:22 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <3lqsl11fjhg7jca208t0t4n9l88cilil1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 121954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700,
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Marky wrote:
>> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > No,
>> > > > >
>> > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
>> > > > >
>> > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
>> > > > >
>> > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
>> > >
>> > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
>> >
>> yuo were doing so well my my
>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
>As usual, you don't, dumbass.
>
>MORGAN, MARK
>316 PINE ST
>MARQUETTE, MI 49855-4250
>906-228-4596
>
I like men for sex.

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

4129. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:48:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130262526.533087.310790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121955

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>
> Lot's of Snippage.....
>
> > > Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM.
> >
> > The thread is about your "scorecard", Len. Asking how the
> > numbers are derived, what rules are used in the derivation,
> > and who checks your work are right on-subject.
> >
> > For example, if someone filed 1 comment and 3 reply comments
> > on the NPRM, did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else?
>
> And you expect Lennie to honestly answer this...WHY...?!?!

a better question is why does Jim expect Len to answer him at all

after all if he did you'd just imply he was lying as you just implied
he would not answer honestly
>
> More snippage....

> > Of course Len has admitted that he has had problems integrating young
> > people into what he considers 'adult' activities....
>
> I imagine that Lennie's integrated a LOT of young people into his
> 'adult' activities....

now you choose to imply Len is a Pedophile

showing you as a realy sick person Stevie
cut
> > There's no A in "obedience", Len. Are you getting worked up over this?
>
> Must be, Jim!
>
> You know he ALWAYS says I am "getting mad" if there's a
> typo...That it's "anger" manifested in typing booboos.

you do that with sort of shit with me why should len or anyone else do
so with you
>
> And we all KNOW that Lennie A L W A Y S follows his own "rules".

>
> More stuff on the floor...

BTW By your complaints about my cuts you are Censoring Jim
cut
> > > That
> > > doesn't affect the final R&O one iota. You've had all
> > > your amateur life to crow about the efficacy of morse code
> > > as a "necessary" part of licensing...and now you are seeing
> > > that all of that will soon be gone.
>
> Ooooooooooh! Lennie used a MEDICAL word to refer to a radio
> issue!

where?

>
cut
> Steve, K4YZ

4130. stevie cahnges header to avoind seeing a reminder that he accused NCI of ploting his murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 17:53:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130262782.078955.322560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121956

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >

undoing the levels so everyoone will see it again
kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
Cecil,

>>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code
test,
>is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>
> You and I.
> > >> >
> > >> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
> > >
> > > "really"
> > >
> > > You have too much time on your hands, Markie.
> >
> > sometimes I certainly do
>
> No "sometimes" to it, Markie.

yes it is sometimes

>
> > > Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?
> >
> > not likely it is just that I don't confrom to wishes of bigot
>
> "conform"
>
> Who's the bigot, other than you, Markie?

I am no bigot

you are the bigot
>
> > which is your problem with me
>
> No...My "problem" with you is that you're a liar and a deviate.

> Those facts are not in dispute since you've admitted to them yourself.
> And unfortunately you think those are admirable traits.

not a devaiate accrding the AMA

and you are a liar just like the rest of us
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4131. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:35:33 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jmusl194dsik56ul0615m7l359d159307d@4ax.com>
Source record: 121962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700,
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > Marky wrote:
>> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > No,
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
>> >> > >
>> >> > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
>> >> >
>> >> yuo were doing so well my my
>> >>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>> >
>> >As usual, you don't, dumbass.
>> >
cut
>> I like men for sex.
>
>I'm sure you do, fatty.

you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
illness Mr Slit

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4132. Re: stevie cahnges header to avoind seeing a reminder that he accused NCI of ploting his murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:36:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <iousl19htjjhj7ogjumve6cib1f10h7akv@4ax.com>
Source record: 121963

On 25 Oct 2005 11:40:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>You are a sick deviant by all standards, Marky.

wrong again Mr Slit

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4133. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:38:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130265481.470532.215400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121957

goddamned moron Lloyd wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 05:24:06 -0700, (wrong guess, dumbass Davies) wrote:
> >
> > Lloyd wrote:
> > > i am leaving here now and i will never come back forever. JUST
> > > LEAVE ME ALONE!!!! K???
> >
> > Fuck you, fatty, that's a goddamned lie because you'll be back under
> > one of the other names you use to post.
>
> you said go**amned even though we are in the end times. something
> realy bad will happen to you when God punishes you for it. rmember
> that les locklear always said pecker breath a lot? katrina came to
> his town as retrabution and now les may even be dead. there have been
> tidal waves and huricanes this year plus a big earthquake in packistan
> that killed 45,000 muslims and usama bin ladin. pretty soon all the
> jehova witnesses will go into the sky for the rapture and then you
> will see. but it will be to late. you will be damned by then and les
> locklear will come back from hell so that he can take you back below
> with him. you will then be one of the few jews in hell.

Except Roger nor myself is Jewish, Lardass. But your name is a JEWish
name. For all that drinking on Sunday and your behavior and being a
fake christian will send you to hell quicker then anybody, fatty. God
hates you Lardass, for you pretend to be one of his followers, and do
the things you do....of course God hated your from the beginning
otherwise he wouldn't have made you such a genetic reject.

4134. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:38:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <asusl1547rqb4evhlf87e6rmqsnr9vcn09@4ax.com>
Source record: 121964

On 25 Oct 2005 11:42:42 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 16:20:35 +0000, Iitoi
>> <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >You can too.
>> >
>> >http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html
>> >
>> >The Man in the Maze
>> >QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>>
>> >
>> I buoght a new cock ring to.
>
>Oh, did you have one surgically attached first, Davies?

given up trying to convince us you a lloyd Mr Slit

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4135. Re: stevie cahnges header to avoind seeing a reminder that he accused NCI of ploting his murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:40:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130265601.290354.33540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121958

You are a sick deviant by all standards, Marky.

4136. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:41:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130265661.654640.175930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700,
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > Marky wrote:
> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > No,
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
> >> > >
> >> > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
> >> >
> >> yuo were doing so well my my
> >^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> >As usual, you don't, dumbass.
> >
> >MORGAN, MARK
> >316 PINE ST
> >MARQUETTE, MI 49855-4250
> >906-228-4596
> >
> I like men for sex.

I'm sure you do, fatty.

4137. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:42:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130265762.830792.240720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121960

kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 16:20:35 +0000, Iitoi
> <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >You can too.
> >
> >http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html
> >
> >The Man in the Maze
> >QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
> >
> I buoght a new cock ring to.

Oh, did you have one surgically attached first, Davies?

4138. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:44:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130265842.141198.301810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121961

kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
> >Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
> >wacked out his posts get?
> >
> >He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> I'm drunk on cum!

We know you are, Davies, we know you are!

4139. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:48:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130266085.342034.325620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 24 Oct 2005 11:40:52 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 23 Oct 2005 18:22:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On 23 Oct 2005 17:09:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >>> were you trying to be clever Stevie
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> _____________________________________
> >> >> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> >> >> >> More than 140,000 groups
> >> >> >> >> Unlimited download
> >> >> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>How do you get the taste of cock out of your mouth, kb9rqz faggot?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> as yes let the hate begin
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>If you hate it, why do you do it?
> >> >>
> >> >> learn english
> >> >
> >> >That's rich coming from an illiterate cocksmoker like you.
> >>
> >> glad you enjoyed it
> >
> >No, it's plainly obvious you are an illiterate retard.
>
> and you enjoy it

Slapping you around is easy.
```

> so why do you complain about me doing something you enjoy?

Nobody is complaining, stupid. Except you.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> I don't hate it you hate that I exist
> >> >
> >> >Then go beam yourself up to the Enterprise, dumbass.
> >>
> >> you know if I could I would
> >
> >BWHAHAHAHAHA Go play "Star Trek", loser.
>
> no thanks

You are the one who dresses up in his homemade Star Trek costume when
you post,Makry.

> >
> >> Id love to wlak the deck of Starship esp a Human Starship/ I promise
> >> to catch a ride on the next one I find
> >
> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
> >too.
>
> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one

Translation as Marky: "Yes, I would enjoy it."

> but since that is unlikely I will not bother giving it much thought
> yet

You lack the ability to give anything any thought.

>
> >
> >> >
> >> >> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better
> >> >
> >> >Fuck off, Marky.
> >>
> >> grow up and get over it or at least learn to hide it better
> >
> >Go cry in your corner, trekkie.
>
> why cry?

Cry,Marky........

> if I was crying it would mean I was unhappy about something

No, you'd rather snivel to the newsgroups, right?

> >
> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> BTW why would a Homosexual or Bisexual need to "get the taste of cock
> >> >> >> out of your mouth"
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> your "logic" is pretty poor
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>My logic? You're a male cocksucker, kb9rqz. Where's the logic in that?
> >> >>
> >> >> your logic is a bad as your reading skills
> >> >
> >> >>Oh, the irony, Marky.

4140. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:49:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130266185.639887.257160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> >> On 20 Oct 2005 12:09:34 -0700,
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > Marky wrote:
> >> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > WhinyCunt_Lloyd wrote:
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > then you expose yet another lie on your part
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > No,
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > My dearest fellow underaged child lover,
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > I am a fan of child pornography and for the past 14 years,I have been
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > Do they have a program that gives a west virgin
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > Hi Marky! You aren't very smart, dumbass!
> >> >>
> >> >> yuo were doing so well my my
> >> >^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >> >
> >> >As usual, you don't, dumbass.
> >> >
> > cut
> >> I like men for sex.
> >>
> >I'm sure you do, fatty.
>
> you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> illness Mr Slit

Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.

4141. Re: stevie cahnges header to avoind seeing a reminder that he accused NCI of ploting his murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:51:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130266265.433288.18910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121967

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 11:40:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >You are a sick deviant by all standards, Marky.
>
> wrong again Mr Slit

In denial again, child abuser?

4142. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:52:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130266365.895301.326180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121968

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 11:42:42 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> >> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 16:20:35 +0000, Iitoi
> >> <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >You can too.
> >> >
> >> >http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html
> >> >
> >> >The Man in the Maze
> >> >QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> >>
> >> >
> >> I buoght a new cock ring to.
> >
> >Oh, did you have one surgically attached first, Davies?
>
> given up trying to convince us you a lloyd Mr Slit

My Daleks will exterminate you! I am a TIME LORD, Get it?

4143. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 18:54:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130266487.688887.12270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121969

You area self-admitted liar and pervert, Marky.

4144. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:05:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fc0tl1ll8kb0t6e7t4rmokmdgdgpd3ac6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 121972

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 15:06:23 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
>>>discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and Lennie
>>>the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger Wiseass.
>>>
>>>So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>>
>>
>> Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
>> sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.
>
> Yaknow, I've been finding myself ignoring more and more threads lately,
>until I find that 90 percent of the posts are filtered out.
>
> Anyone interested in a bbs? I can set one up with none of the garbage
>that Yahoo pins on ya like advertisements and tracking cookies.
>
> We can declare defeat, and turn over the group to Len, Brian, Mark, and
>let them declare victory! 8^)

I sopose you can declare defeat if you like, in part of course it will
be adknowleding what all the NoCoders have known for years

and of cours e you can go off and delude yourself that you are
discusiing something

others have tried I wish the saem luck
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4145. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:06:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <djlvnf$1iq2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121970

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>
>>I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
>>discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and Lennie
>>the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger Wiseass.
>>
>>So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>
>
> Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
> sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.

Yaknow, I've been finding myself ignoring more and more threads lately,
until I find that 90 percent of the posts are filtered out.

Anyone interested in a bbs? I can set one up with none of the garbage
that Yahoo pins on ya like advertisements and tracking cookies.

We can declare defeat, and turn over the group to Len, Brian, Mark, and
let them declare victory! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4146. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:06:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fg0tl1tkpi859ut2jd94539jdpp7smkluk@4ax.com>
Source record: 121974

```
On 25 Oct 2005 12:09:26 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 02:28:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > >
>> >> > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > >> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> >> > >> wrote:
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Lie about what?
>> >> >
>> >> > an example
>> >> > Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
>> >> > Asking For It".
>> >> > is a lie
>> >> >
>> >> > you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
>> >> > pedophile is lie
>> >> >
>> >> > don't have time to do a full catalog
>> >>
>> >> Oh, so you DO have some kiddie porn though. You just said so yourself.
>> >
>> > Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!
>> >
>> > I've been trying to get him to realize that his fractured English
>> >and poor composition skills make him look more like an idiot, but he
>> >INSISTS in looking this way!
>> >
>> > So let's just let him stew in his own frustration as his own words
>> >dig him a deeper hole! Let him look that one over a few times and see
>> >if he can figure out why he incriminated himself!
>>
>> i haven't typogrpahical error are not legal admisable
>
```

> "typographical" "legally" "admissible"
>
> "Legally admissible" for WHAT, Markie...?!?!

anything at all
you used the word " incriminated"

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4147. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:09:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130267366.210522.6170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121971

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 02:28:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > On 20 Oct 2005 02:49:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > >> On 5 Oct 2005 08:21:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> > >> wrote:
> >> > >>
> >> > >> simple question but stevie will contiue to lie
> >> > >
> >> > > Lie about what?
> >> >
> >> > an example
> >> > Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
> >> > Asking For It".
> >> > is a lie
> >> >
> >> > you stament in another thrwad that you dont accuse me of being a
> >> > pedophile is lie
> >> >
> >> > don't have time to do a full catalog
> >>
> >> Oh, so you DO have some kiddie porn though. You just said so yourself.
> >
> > Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!
> >
> > I've been trying to get him to realize that his fractured English
> >and poor composition skills make him look more like an idiot, but he
> >INSISTS in looking this way!
> >
> > So let's just let him stew in his own frustration as his own words
> >dig him a deeper hole! Let him look that one over a few times and see
> >if he can figure out why he incriminated himself!
>
> i haven't typogrpahical error are not legal admisable

"typographical" "legally" "admissible"

"Legally admissible" for WHAT, Markie...?!?!

I was talking about how your stupid, easily corrected errors make you look even MORE stupid and make you look like you're saying the OPPOSITE of what WAS said...

Just like the thread subject says, Blockhead...You don't "get it" and probably never will!

Steve, K4YZ

4148. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:11:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130267463.550063.204510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121973

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> > YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
> >absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
> >consequences of the lie...
>
> not lying therefore no concern about it

Sure you are. Repeatedly.

Steve, K4YZ

4149. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:14:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <go0tl1dbljf9sut98ja8kuf9lpprin8l7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 121975

On 25 Oct 2005 12:11:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> > YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
>> >absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
>> >consequences of the lie...
>>
>> not lying therefore no concern about it
>
> Sure you are. Repeatedly.

no I am not

you simply in the most literal sense don't know the meaning of the
word "lie"

it does not mean disagreeing with you

but as you prove in another post/threat anyone that opposes your try
and imply they are a pedophile

you havea micron thin skin and if you have the use of much in the way
of brains they are traped inside your hate and your zenophobia

you threaten people over and over agian

grow up the wolrd does not move on your word
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4150. Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? more Stevie Malpractice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:15:41 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <t01tl1d5t6l30bh2g7nun85nu3eqjfdba7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121976

On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
when will Stevie stop practicing medicine without a linsense

BTW do you have mal practice insurance? you should
>
>more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
cut

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4151. Re: more stveie #### Mark, If You're Going To Use Diminutives, At Least Spell THOSE Right....It's "STEVIE"!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:26:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130268404.967964.134170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121977

slept_with_old_friends_husband wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >
>
> undoing the levels so everyoone will see it again
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
> Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
> Cecil,
>
> >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code
> test,
> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
> >
> > You and I.
> > > >> >
> > > >> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
> > > > >
> > > > > "really"
> > > > >
> > > > > You have too much time on your hands, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > sometimes I certainly do
> > >
> > No "sometimes" to it, Markie.
>
> yes it is sometimes

No "sometimes" to it, Markie.

> > > > Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?
> > > >
> > > > not likely it is just that I don't confrom to wishes of bigot
> > >
> > "conform"
> > >
> > Who's the bigot, other than you, Markie?
>
> I am no bigot

Yes, you are. You're as much a "bigot" as you are gay, pagan,
functionally illiterate and deceitful.

> you are the bigot

Nope.

> > > which is your problem with me
> >
> > No...My "problem" with you is that you're a liar and a deviate.
> > Those facts are not in dispute since you've admitted to them yourself.
> > And unfortunately you think those are admirable traits.
>
> not a devaiate accrding the AMA

"deviate" "according"

Yes, you are.

> and you are a liar just like the rest of us

Markie, the "rest" of us are not the same kind of deceitful,
hateful and lying scum that you are.

I don't know where you get off suggesting the rest of the world is
like you...It's not. If it was, what passes for civilization would
have imploded centuries ago.

Steve, K4YZ

4152. Re: why can't staev tel the truth <<<<< The Real Question, Markie, Is Why Can't You Function Above The Level Of A Kindergartener With Your English...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:35:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130268927.435004.237070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121978

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
> >> > > starting out with
> >> > > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
> >> > >
> >> > > he follows up wit
> >> >
> >> > "with"
> >> >
> >> > > >k4yz
> >> > > >> nobodys old friend
> >> > > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> >> > > >> are not laws
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Sure they are.
> >> > > >
> >> > > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
> >> >
> >> > "maintain"
> >> >
> >> > It's not a lie.
> >>
> >> it is a lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
> >it be true, Markie.
>
> you are lying
>
> Bandplans are not laws

They ahve the force of law. If you violate one, and the FCC finds
you did do maliciously, you will be fined. Ergo, thye have the force
of law.

> >> > > why can't he just fees up
> >>
> >> cuting Stevie evasion

> >
> > "cutting", Markie...
> >
> cut
> >> still holding onto that lie
> >
> > What lie?
> >
> >> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
> >> years
> >
> > I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.
>
> you lied about bandplans = laws

Nope.

> you lie about your threats

Double nope.

> > And repeating THAT over and over does not make it true, Markie.
>
> no it does not, facts make it true

And the FACTS are that the FCC has stated violation of bandplans
violate FCC rules and regulations and will themselves be prosecuted
when necessary. Congress delegated their law-making authority to the
FCC in matters pertaining to radiocommunication. The Communications
Act of 1934 as ammended refers.

Steve, K4YZ

4153. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:40:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130269213.278767.39480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121979

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 12:11:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >> > YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
> >> >absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
> >> >consequences of the lie...
> >>
> >> not lying therefore no concern about it
> >
> > Sure you are. Repeatedly.
>
> no I am not

Yes, you are.

> you simply in the most literal sense don't know the meaning of the
> word "lie"

Sure I do.

> it does not mean disagreeing with you

You're the one with the comprehension deficits, Markie...Not me.

> but as you prove in another post/threat anyone that opposes your try
> and imply they are a pedophile

No, YOU "prove" that there is reason to suspect your behaviour.

> you havea micron thin skin and if you have the use of much in the way
> of brains they are traped inside your hate and your zenophobia

"trapped" "xenophobia"

Nope.

> you threaten people over and over agian

And you make the same improper accusations of threats.

And it's "again".

> grow up the wolrd does not move on your word

"world"

Actually, some part of it does...Obviously my "words" make YOUR fingers move, Markie...

Steve, K4YZ

4154. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:43:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130269401.884219.319370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
> >too.
>
> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one

Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?

Steve, K4YZ

4155. Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? more Stevie Malpractice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 19:43:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <gl2tl152sv254fkhscuermuo939gthtp1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 121981

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> when will Stevie stop practicing medicine without a linsense
>
> BTW do you have mal practice insurance? you should
>
Can yo cuer my genitel wartz?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4156. Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 20:50:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130273458.916100.65220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121982

kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > when will Stevie stop practicing medicine without a linsense
> >
> > BTW do you have mal practice insurance? you should
> >
> Can yo cuer my genitel wartz?

Ask Markie...He'll just chew them off...

Steve, K4YZ

4157. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 21:34:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130276087.631407.314740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121983

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >>I think belief in magic >>IS<< a necessary requirement to really enjoy Amateur
> >>Radio.

> > Depends on what you mean by "magic"...
>
>
> 10 meters, a couple years back. I was a fairly new ham, and was trying
> out psk31 and enjoying it.
>
> On 10 meters, I saw an amateur from Oz QSO'ing with someone I couln't see.
>
> I called, and we had a nice QSO. We were both using about ten watts.
> Good Copy. From just about as far away as you can get without coming back.
>
> Magic.

I think it goes further. The great minds said, one way or another, that
they never stopped trying to be as children. They never stopped
striving for a sense of curiosity, wonderment and enjoyment at even the
simplest of things.

Yet too many of us abandon that as we "grow up" and come to put away
"childish" things. We abandon the imaginative and creative and, too
often, we move into nothing more than the tedious drudgery of the
nine-to-five and predictable work.

How much is lost when we abandon the joy and wonder of childhood and
all that it entails.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4158. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 21:44:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130276681.752034.76280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121984

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 10:03:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
> >> >> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> >> >> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
> >> >> >> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
> >> >> >> minute, not our easy 5.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when
> >> >> >the Technician lost its code test requirement.
> >> >>
> >> >> That code test is STILL an absolute pass-fail separate test
> >> >> for any amateur radio privileges below 30 MHz.
> >> >
> >> >Not in every country of the world.
> >> >
> >> >But in the USA, it still is. And that's a good thing IMHO. Of course
> >> >that requirement might change in the future, as it has changed in a few
> >> >other countries pf the world.
> >>
> >> might change?

Yes.

> you still holding hope it will not change

Is that not allowed?

> the only question is the date

It's not over till it's over.

> >> The Technician DID NOT LOSE its code test requirement.
> >
> >Actually, Len, it did. February 14, 1991.
> >
> >> The former Technician class got RENAMED to "Technician Plus." :-)
> >
> >The Technician Plus did not appear right away. Only after some hams
> >complained that there was no obvious difference in the license class
> >of code-tested vs. noncodetested Techs was that class created. Late
> >1992 or so.
> >
> >Just a simple mistake on your part. Understandable ;-)
> >
> >> >Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
> >> >and the training methods.
> >>
> >> Riiiiiiight...
> >
> >Glad you agree!
> >
> >> ALL human beings have the SAME aptitudes and
> >> abilities!
> >
> >Who said that?
> >
> >I wrote:
> >
> >"Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
> >and the training methods."
> >
> >Is that not a fact?
>
> not it is not
>
> it can be flat out impossible

You're right, Mark. It can be impossible
for some people.

For example, someone who doesn't know an alphabet probably
can't learn Morse Code for that alphabet. Someone who is in
a coma probably can't learn it either. Etc.

But I find it hard to accept that people who can read, write,
speak and understand the English language with a good deal of
fluency at rates in excess of 100 wpm find claim it to be
'impossible' for them to learn Morse Code at 5 wpm. Or even 13
or 20 wpm.

> cut
>
> >Sure - but cb operations in the USA are not permitted beyond a certain

> >limited distance (150 miles?) and that service only allows the use of
> >very low power, certified equipment, two modes, and 40 channels (80 if
> >you consider upper and lower sideband as separate).
>
> not legaly premitted
> but it can and is done at greater ranges with out even the intetion of
> thse involved at times
> >cut

Sure. But the point is that cb is not a long-distance radio service.

Should amateur radio be patterned after cb?

4159. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 21:47:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130276878.481892.85960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121985

KØHB wrote:

> > So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>
> Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
> sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.

Good idea, Hans

>
> CU in CQWW this weekend, SS the next.
>
BCNU in November.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4160. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-25 23:48:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130284094.468240.269870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121986

kissingboys9ripsquickzipper@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 13:21:46 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> ><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
> >>wacked out his posts get?
> >>
> >>He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
> >>
> >>Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >I'm drunk on cum!
>
> such an honnor to have people so frightened of me that they forge
> whole posts as being mine

"honor"

There you go with that "I Am The Center Of The Universe"
megalomania thing again, Markie! And you accuse ME of this!

No one, repeat NO ONE in this forum is "frightened" of you...

Quite the contrary.

We find you to be quite humorous...You're the deviant-jester of
the "rec.radio" domain. You're the clueless idiot not watching where
he's going and the NG is the banana peel.

Steve, K4YZ

4161. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:33:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121987

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
> >> more of stvies fraud
> >
> > "Stevie's"
> >
> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
> >accept that you are an educated man.
>
> wrpng again

WHAT again...?!?!

You even spell "wrong" wrong!

> when you alter the wors in a post that is fraud

What are "wors"..?!?!

> > THAT is FRAUD.
> >
> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > wants_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> cut
> >> >> > > why can't you answer a simple question?
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > if you are doing nothing wrong you have nothing to hide and no reason
> >> >> > > to evade
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > that you keep evading mounts up to more evidence you feel some kind of
> >> >> > > shame about it
> >> >> >
> >> >> > There's no "evasion"...
> >> >>
> >> >> yes there is
> >> >>
> >> >> nothing from but evasion

> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >>
> >> yes nothing but evasion
> >
> > More MarkieFraud(r)
>
> you refuse to answer a question that is evasion

What evasion?

> >> > But we DO have YOU caught in your OWN mistruths, Markie!
> >>
> >> where?
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhhh...From 1997 to the present.
> >
> > Starting with "I am a Colonel......"
> >
> >> >> > Brain has suggested that there's something "wrong" with starting a
> >> >> > "new thread". I've pointed out that there's been no "rule" violation,
> >> >> > nor is it inconsistent with the desired intent of redirecting my
> >> >> > comments to a SEPERATE thread.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > > > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> >> >> > > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> >> >> > > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> >> >> > > > "everyone else" considers proper?
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > why should I do exactly as you do
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Exactly my point, ya nimrod.
> >> >>
> >> >> admiting to lying then
> >> >
> >> > I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!
> >>
> >> I know you are lying
> >
> > Nope.
>
>
> >
> >> I know you lie
> >
> > Not yet.
>
> bull#####

Yes, you are, Markie...

> I know that as Nurse in an ER you have to said something like "you
> are going to be all right" when you were sue of nothing of the sort

Nope. I don't do that. Because lies like that backfire.

> >> you just don't have the guts to admit to it
> >
> > Admit what?
>
> that you have lied

But I haven't. To "admit" to that WOULD be a lie.

> >> > It was as plain as day!
> >> >
> >> >> > > you lie mosy everyday on here
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "most"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > No, I do not.
> >> >>
> >> >> yes you do
> >> >>
> >> >> amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws
> >> >
> >> > "Among" "whoppers" "statement"
> >> >
> >> >> > However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
> >> >> > blatant lie.
> >> >>
> >> >> not a word of it was a lie
> >> >
> >> > Sure it was.
> >>
> >> nope
> >
> > Sure it was. YOUR own posts proved it.
>
> where
>
> you said bandplans are laws
>
> that is not true

You've misquoted me, butn then what else is new?

I've said they have the force of law. THAT is true.

> >> >> > But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
> >> >> > life of me understand why...
> >> >>
> >> >> aracking up another Stevie there

> >> >
> >> > Huh?
> >> >
> >> > What was THAT?
> >
> > You didn't answer the question, Markie.
>
> no I did not

Makes you a coward.

> >> >> > > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> >> >> > > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > noone said there is such a rule
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > no one had suggested a fine
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
> >> >> > > newgruops
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "across" "newsgroups"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I don't.
> >> >>
> >> >> you do
> >> >
> >> > And you DON'T..?!?!
> >>
> >> at times I do
> >
> > MOST times you do.
>
> so what

Your own words prove you to be the mistrustful liar that I accuse
you of being.

> >> but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't
> >
> > No...the SUBJECT is "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
> >"Dudly"....And It Is HE!"
>
> na we left that behind long ago

No...YOU left it behind.

> >> you lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> >> you evade

> >
> > Wrong-o, Boy-o.
> >
> >> you make me (and I am certainly not the most socaible person on the
> >> plannet) lookvery socaible
> >
> > "sociable" "planet"
> >
> > Hardly.
>
You started to enter a reply here but weenied out.

Why?

> > You're a pathetic, sexually deviant, live-at-home-with-daddy leech
> >for whom if it weren't for this forum, would have NO "social"
> >interaction at all.
>
> better than a hate filled bag of #### like yourself whos socail life
> is nothing but spreading hate

"social" "whose"

But you ARE a "hate filled bag", Markie.

That you spend countless hours and use multiple screen names to
spread comments like "bigot" and "hate filled bag of ####", along with
your other deviant behaviour, all over the NG proves it.

> >> > You "started" five new threads last night, Markie!
> >>
> >> no I did not in point of fact
> >>
> >> I started one new thread and Bought up 4 old threads
> >
> > You "bought up" 4 old threads?
> >
> > Get a deal on eBay, didya?
> >
> >> get you facts straight
> >
> > "Get" "your"
> >
> > I did.
> >
> >> or are you now claiming there is time limit on threads
> >
> > Nope. Have at it...Spead those threads out as liberally and as
> >carefree as you care to...
>
> you were complaining before
>

> stop waffling

I prefer pancakes.

And there was no "waffling" here...You picked one fight, then
suddenly changed sides when it suited you. Got caught, had it pointed
out to you, and had your nose rubbed in it...

Sorry you found that distasteful, but perhaps you should pick one
side and stay on it...?!?!

> >> after all you contiue fight form 7 years ago why can't I
> >
> > "After" "continue" "from" "?"
> >
> > And YOU continue to LIE from seven years ago...Poorly at that...
>
> nope

Yep. And admitted to it.

> >> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again
> >
> > "Cutting" "off"
> >
> > Just to lazy to answer the questions, are you?
>
> yea I do lack the time to bother with all of your crap

Obviously not.

HOW MANY screen names are you using now to bypass Google's
posting limits?

> >> >> > Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhh!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Stop lying!
> >> >>
> >> >> not lying at all
> >> >
> >> > STOP LYING!
> >>
> >> not lying at all
> >
> > Sure you are.
> >
> > If your mouth is moving or your keyboard is tapping, you're lying
> >about SOMEthing!
>
> bul#####

Sheeesh.

Can't even spell your profanities right any more......

> >> >> have hardly told a lie on here in months
> >> >
> >> > You mean MINUTES.
> >>
> >> No I mean months
> >
> > No...MINUTES...
>
> months

SECONDS!

> I have said you disagree with doesn't make em lies

Try those words in a complete sentence, Markie...Maybe they'll
make better sense then...

> cuting some more boring carp

BBWWWHAHAHA

SAME stupid mistake!

WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!

> >> >> > > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> >> >> > > > follow.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > you keep up your lying and threatening of people
> >> >> >
> >> >> > What's "threatening" to you is not being able to freely act like
> >> >> > an idiot without having it fed back to you.
> >> >>
> >> >> more lies
> >> >
> >> > Nope. It's the ABSOLUTE truth.
> >>
> >> not a word of truth
> >
> > It's the ABSOLUTE truth.
>
> on shot one kill is threatening

Only if you're paranoid or carrying a communist flag.

> that was not fed back to me

I don't have a fork big enough to fit that mouth.

> you made it up on your own

No...The United States Army Sniper School did.

It was further associated with the Marine Corps after the Battle
of the Belleau Wood when US Marine infantrymen laid waste to hordes of
attacking germans with withering rifle fire.

The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
technique taught to all Marine recruits.

> cut
> >
> >> I said if you come HERE one of us will be dead which is simple
> >> statement of fact
> >
> > No, it's not. It's a Clancyesque expression of hypertestosterone
> >levels on YOUR part.
>
> it is a simple fact

No, it's not.

It's your fantasy. Just like mine with Brittny Spears...Fun to
think about but "ain't happening".

I think MY fantasy is a lot more fun!

> > The FACTS are that you do not posses the requisite skills to carry
> >out your THREAT.
>
> you are delsuional all it takes it pointing the shotgun at you and
> pulling the trigger

No, that's NOT all it takes.

> no real skill at all is needed

Sure there is.

That's why hundreds of people wind up wounded or killed by thier
own weapons every year...They think that "point and shoot" is all you
have to do.

And in that we have even MORE evidence that your claims of
military service are BOGUS!

> >> and I repat you show up HERE , at my place, and one of us will end up
> >> dead
> >
> > "repeat"
> >
> > And you've re-uttered the SAME threat.
>
> no threat a warning

A silly one. Like trying to stop an 18-wheeler with bad breath.

> > Are you REALLY >>THAT<< foolish, Markie?
>
> you are the stupid one

I didn't say "stupid"...I said foolish...

And you didn't answer my question...Are you >>REALLY<< that
foolish?

> >> > So...Now we have YOUR violent intent to my legal and
> >> >Constitutionally guaranteed right to freedom of movement posted in a
> >> >public forum...
> >>
> >> nope we don't
> >
> > Sure we do!
>
> where?

In this very response for one...Thanks.

> what I see a statement that if you show up here, when I am home that I
> certain things will escalate to point that at least one of us ends up
> dead

No...You've threatened deadly force where no threat to your
welfare was offered.

Even if a person shows up and knowks on your door then refuses to
leave you have no legal right to use deadly force...Check Michigan law,
Markie...I did.

> I don't even state which of us will end up dead or how

I know.

> no threat there simple analyis

What you didn't analyze is how ludicrous your "analysis" was!

> given that you from your manner I am certain you will frighten me
> enough in person to pull out the shotgun I keep by the door loaded to
> protect my chickens from animals it isn't rocket science to suggest
> when frightened I point it at you you will think I lack the will to
> pull the trigger and fire the weapon

Uh huh...

Yeeeeeeeeeeeeah.

Right.

> no violation of any intention to violate your rights violently or not

Sure there are.

> cut
> >
> >> >> you claimed that calling Mark instead of Markie while calling me a
> >> >> Child abuser, pedophile, and elder raper was laying.
> >> >
> >> > I wasn't doing those things.
> >>
> >> yes you were
> >
> > Wrong again.
>
> lying sack of ####

Let's not being your mother into this.

> "Mark Morgan caight on tape molesting an 8 yo..."

"caught"

And "yo"...?!?! What was that?

> cut
>
> >> >
> >> > Nope...
> >>

> >> it is lie you have never laid off expect by leaving the group for a
> >> few days now and then
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > There was almost 2 weeks of absolutley no "Markie" or other
> >diminutive.
>
> that is not laying off you calling me a child abuser most of the time

I do not call you a "child abuser most of the time".

That's YOUR paranoia in action, Markie.

> > But hey...You've never let truth get in your way before...Don't
> >start today!
> >
> >> >> > > Brain and most folks in the NG don't bother playing spelling cop
> >> >> >
> >> >> > That's because they can't.
> >> >>
> >> >> delsuonal Stevie truely you are displying frightening behavoir in that
> >> >
> >> > "Delusional" "truly" "displaying" "behaviour or behavior"
> >>
> >> you are still delusion in your statement which you evade by playing
> >> spelling cop
> >
> > I think you meant to say something there, Markie, but again your
> >fractured English screwed it up for you.
>
> coward

Yes, you are! Good step forward, Markie!

> can't do anything but evade esp when caught lying

Let it all out! Good self analysis! Today may be the first step
into the light for you!

> >> >> > > your fixation on mens genitals for one
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Gee, Markie...It was BRAIN that brought it up...
> >> >>
> >> >> no it wasn't you go and on about men genitals
> >> >
> >> > Mark, WHY do you BLATANTLY lie like this..?!?!
> >>
> >> no lie at all
> >
> > Absolute lie, Markie.
>

> what lie
>
> you call people male gentials on a regaulr basis

"regular"

No, I don't.

> >> > Anyone following this post KNOWS you are a liar and KNOWS you are
> >> >lying about THIS!
> >>
> >> wrong you are lying
> >
> > Nope...they can S T I L L follow the thread back and see that YOU
> >are an idiot AND a liar, Markie! No matter HOW many times you
> >"cuting".
> >
> >> >> that is why many of us think you a closet gay or latent gay
> >> >
> >> > There's no "us" here for you, Markie...
> >>
> >> yes there is
> >
> > No, there's not.
>
> yes there is

No, there's not.

> >> you are obsessed with homosexuals anyone that read you posts over the
> >> years knows that
> >
> > No...YOU are obsessed with "homosexuals", Markie...Being one does
> >that to you.
>
> well 2 lies in one sentence

Nope.

> I am not a homosexual

Sure you are. You have sex with men.

> and you are obsessed with them

No, I don't.

But you keep repeating that over and over again...No doubt in hope
that I may play the flip side of the coin with you one day...

Sorry, Deviant Boy! God put just too many fine looking REAL women
on this Earth to want to play with a pretend woman!

> cut
> >> no I don't I don't care what you do with yours or Dan or dave or Len
> >> or Bill does with thier gentials
> >
> > Good.
>
> but you do

And Mark Morgan continues his pattern of lying and deceit.

Sheeesh.

> cut
> >> but hen you play fast and loose with facts you claim the ARRL has the
> >> power to make laws after all
> >
> > "when"
> >
> > Well, Markie, we again have you caught in yet ANOTHER lie...
> >
> > You've been making this "you claim the ARRL can make laws" thing
> >for a week now and I have been challenging you to provide the quote
> >wherein you think I said that.
>
> done it over and over again

No, you've not.

> you said bandplans are laws

No, I said they ahve the FORCE of law.

They do.

> the ARRL MAKES bandplans therefore you are claimng that the ARRL makes
> laws

No...the ARRL, in COOPERATION with other organizations and groups,
comes to a CONCENSUS on what plans make the most sense.

And in ANY case, you STILL have not QUOTED a SINGLE line wherein I
said "The ARRL makes laws".

> I know simple logical is hard for you but you posted it the rest is
> from the ARRL itself

Uh.....Yeeeeeeeeeeah.....Right.

> > You've not done it.
> >
> > LiarLiar Pants On Fire.
> >
> > Your husband is going to be made at you for setting the playground
> >ablaze!
>

> another lie

Oh? He LIKES his tubesteak toasted?

> I have no husband

Oh....So HE is the wife, eh?

> > (Or are YOU the husband...?!?! Those "same sex" marriages get me
> >confused...)
>
> i am the husband my lady is the wife since we are male and feamle
> nothing sohould confuse you about it

"I" "female" "should"

The only part that confuses me is why you won't admit to your
same-sex cohabitational arrangement, Markie?

> but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
> seem to drive you into a rage why is that?

"involved"

I'm in no "rage", Markie...I find your "protestations" to be quite
laughable and am, in acutallity, having quite a laugh over it!

Steve, K4YZ

4162. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:34:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130286851.690560.244400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121988

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 24 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2672	696
Indeterminate (note 1)	174	63
Value for Percentages	2498	633
Against NPRM (note 2)	756 [30.26%]	201 [31.75%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1354 [54.70%]	318 [50.24%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	388 [15.53%]	114 [18.01%]

This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 8 PM EDT 25 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4163. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:47:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9mjtl1t23esvhs47edg79r01c7iu3e3pl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 121990

On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
>> >> more of stvies fraud
>> >
>> > "Stevie's"
>> >
>> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
>> >accept that you are an educated man.
>>
>> wrpng again
>
> WHAT again...?!?!
>
> You even spell "wrong" wrong!

so what?

>
>> when you alter the wors in a post that is fraud
>
> What are "wors"..?!?!
>cut
>> >
>> > More MarkieFraud(r)
>>
>> you refuse to answer a question that is evasion
>
> What evasion?

your evasions

all of them
>
cut
>> >> >> admiting to lying then
>> >> >
>> >> > I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!
>> >>
>> >> I know you are lying
>> >

>> > Nope.
>>
>>
>> >
>> >> I know you lie
>> >
>> > Not yet.
>>
>> bullshit
>
> Yes, you are, Markie...

grow up
>
>> I know that as Nurse in an ER you have to said something like "you
>> are going to be all right" when you were sue of nothing of the sort
>
> Nope. I don't do that. Because lies like that backfire.

bullshit I know you are lying you tell exactly that sort of lie

or you would not keep your job
>
>> >> you just don't have the guts to admit to it
>> >
>> > Admit what?
>>
>> that you have lied
>
> But I haven't. To "admit" to that WOULD be a lie.

well on your death I'll be sure to drop a note about you for sainthood

I don't beleive you becuase I KNOW better

>
>> >> > It was as plain as day!
>> >> >
>> >> >> > > you lie mosy everyday on here
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "most"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > No, I do not.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> yes you do
>> >> >>
>> >> >> amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws
>> >> >
>> >> > "Among" "whoppers" "statement"
>> >> >
>> >> >> > However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
>> >> >> > blatant lie.

>> >> >>
>> >> >> not a word of it was a lie
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure it was.
>> >>
>> >> nope
>> >
>> > Sure it was. YOUR own posts proved it.
>>
>> where
>>
>> you said bandplans are laws
>>
>> that is not true
>
> You've misquoted me, butn then what else is new?

no misquote

>
> I've said they have the force of law. THAT is true.

back pedaling then

you said they were laws your words

now you change them

and I guess only you have this "preveldge"

>
>> >> >> > But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
>> >> >> > life of me understand why...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> aracking up another Stevie there
>> >> >
>> >> > Huh?
>> >> >
>> >> > What was THAT?
>> >
>> > You didn't answer the question, Markie.
>>
>> no I did not
>
> Makes you a coward.

if so so what?

>
>> >> >> > > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
>> >> >> > > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > noone said there is such a rule

>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > no one had suggested a fine
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
>> >> >> > > newgruops
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "across" "newsgroups"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > I don't.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> you do
>> >> >
>> >> > And you DON'T..?!?!
>> >>
>> >> at times I do
>> >
>> > MOST times you do.
>>
>> so what
>
> Your own words prove you to be the mistrustful liar that I accuse
>you of being.

where?

>
>> >> but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't
>> >
>> > No...the SUBJECT is "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
>> >"Dudly"....And It Is HE!"
>>
>> na we left that behind long ago
>
> No...YOU left it behind.

as is my preveldge

>
>> >> you lie
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> >> you evade
>> >
>> > Wrong-o, Boy-o.
>> >
>> >> you make me (and I am certainly not the most socaible person on the
>> >> plannet) lookvery socaible
>> >
>> > "sociable" "planet"
>> >

>> > Hardly.
>>
> You started to enter a reply here but weenied out.

no i finsished my thought
>
> Why?

I didn't only in your fanatsy

>
>> > You're a pathetic, sexually deviant, live-at-home-with-daddy leech
>> >for whom if it weren't for this forum, would have NO "social"
>> >interaction at all.
>>
>> better than a hate filled bag of #### like yourself whos socail life
>> is nothing but spreading hate
>
> "social" "whose"
>
> But you ARE a "hate filled bag", Markie.

no I am not I don't hate you at all

I am affrid to be in the same room with you

but that is good a well delevoped sense of self presivation

>
> That you spend countless hours and use multiple screen names to
>spread comments like "bigot" and "hate filled bag of ####", along with
>your other deviant behaviour, all over the NG proves it.

countless Hours?

bullshit I spend an hours perhaps 2 hours a day during heavy period on
the NG

I drop in in between otherthings I am doing spend a few minutes here
and a few there mostly while waiting for some files to download

>
>> >> > You "started" five new threads last night, Markie!
>> >>
>> >> no I did not in point of fact
>> >>
>> >> I started one new thread and Bought up 4 old threads
>> >
>> > You "bought up" 4 old threads?
>> >
>> > Get a deal on eBay, didya?
>> >
>> >> get you facts straight
>> >

>> > "Get" "your"
>> >
>> > I did.
>> >
>> >> or are you now claiming there is time limit on threads
>> >
>> > Nope. Have at it...Spead those threads out as liberally and as
>> >carefree as you care to...
>>
>> you were complaining before
>>
>> stop waffling
>
> I prefer pancakes.
>
> And there was no "waffling" here...You picked one fight, then
>suddenly changed sides when it suited you.
what fight are you talking about?

> Got caught, had it pointed
>out to you, and had your nose rubbed in it...

what are you babling about

>
> Sorry you found that distasteful, but perhaps you should pick one
>side and stay on it...?!?!

what are you talking about?

>
>> >> after all you contiue fight form 7 years ago why can't I
>> >
>> > "After" "continue" "from" "?"
>> >
>> > And YOU continue to LIE from seven years ago...Poorly at that...
>>
>> nope
>
> Yep. And admitted to it.

more lies Stevie when Have I calimed to a Col in the US Army since i
returned (I assume that is what you talking about otherwise I have no
idea)

rehaps you try sending your mesage in Morse Code it would clreaer than
what ever you a babling about now

>
>> >> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again
>> >
>> > "Cutting" "off"
>> >

>> > Just to lazy to answer the questions, are you?
>>
>> yea I do lack the time to bother with all of your crap
>
> Obviously not.

why? or how

>> >> >> have hardly told a lie on here in months
>> >> >
>> >> > You mean MINUTES.
>> >>
>> >> No I mean months
>> >
>> > No...MINUTES...
>>
>> months
>
> SECONDS!

more Stevie lies

stealing from Brain

Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
in itself a lie.
cut

>> >> you generate more #### than a herd of bovine
>> >
>> > So...Your family's in town?
>>
>> now you admit to it
>
> No, YOU were talking about "####" and herds of "bovine".
>
> I ASKED if your family was in town...The two ARE one-in-the-same.

like you rdaughter was abused in the womb by chemicals

that statement while creul at least has the virtue of being true

>
>> >> you also violate intelectual property
>> >
>> > N O T H I N G about you is even remotely "intellectual".
>>
>> not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you
>
> "abpply"...?!?!
>
> WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!

your lawlessness
>
>> > N O T H I N G posted in this forum is "protected intellectual
>> >property" unless a copyright is stated.
>>
>> not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you
>
> SAME stupid mistake!
>
> WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!
>
cuting more boring shit

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4164. Bust a move!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:47:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <5hktl1lqd43ka4v0a6hbqntnqlanjjelu9@4ax.com>
Source record: 121989

bored out of my gord
So I took a hammer and nailed my foot the the
floorboard of my Ford
I guess I'm just a sick, sick bastard
Just one sandwich short of a picnic basket
One Excederin tablet short of a full medicine
cabinet
Fell like my head has been shredded like lettuce
and cabbage
And if you ever see a video for this shit
I'll probably be dressed up like a mummy with my
wrists slit

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4165. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:49:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cgktl11mmcfhlpmok1umjt1e2tgjctbr04@4ax.com>
Source record: 121991

On 25 Oct 2005 12:40:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:11:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >> > YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
>> >> >absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
>> >> >consequences of the lie...
>> >>
>> >> not lying therefore no concern about it
>> >
>> > Sure you are. Repeatedly.
>>
>> no I am not
>
> Yes, you are.

what lie?
>
>> you simply in the most literal sense don't know the meaning of the
>> word "lie"
>
> Sure I do.

you don't use the word correctly thought

>
>> it does not mean disagreeing with you
>
> You're the one with the comprehension deficits, Markie...Not me.

I understand what you typing better than you do it seems

again from Brain

Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
in itself a lie.

>
>> but as you prove in another post/threat anyone that opposes your try
>> and imply they are a pedophile

>
> No, YOU "prove" that there is reason to suspect your behaviour.

more Stevie lies

I was talking about you impling Len was a pedo

you don't even keep track of your lies

>
>> you havea micron thin skin and if you have the use of much in the way
>> of brains they are traped inside your hate and your zenophobia
>
> "trapped" "xenophobia"
>
> Nope.

yep

>
>> you threaten people over and over agian
>
> And you make the same improper accusations of threats.

nothing improper about it

you threaten folks

you threaten everyone that disagrees with you to one extent or another

>
> And it's "again".
>
>> grow up the wolrd does not move on your word
>
> "world"
>
> Actually, some part of it does...Obviously my "words" make YOUR
>fingers move, Markie...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4166. Stevie cions a new lie the Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:51:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <tlktl1dfok7hqj22d3sudkonjcauqngaq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 121993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >too.
>>
>> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>
> Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?

another lie Stevie

when are you going to stop
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4167. Sitting On The cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:52:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <8pktl19aj8ddirfmq7jdbqgeajdmdtvj5g@4ax.com>
Source record: 121992

Sittin' in the mornin' sun,
I'll be sitting in a barrel of cum.
Watching the shit stabbing,
I won't fuck another gay again.

Sittin' on the cock of a gay,
Watchin' the shite flow away,
Ooooh,
Just sittin' on the cock of a gay,
Wastin' shi-hi-hi-hi-hite.

Looks no gay's gonna change,
Every gay still remains the same.
I can't do what ten homos tell me to do,
So I guess I'll remain the same. . .

Just sittin' here resting my bone,
But these homos just won't leave me alone,
For two thousand miles I roamed,
Just to make this cock my home,

So now I'm jus' gon' sit on the cock of a gay,
Wastin' shi-hi-hi-hi-hite. . .

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4168. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:52:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <poktl1hj4bml4fqhdmh4ltd0kpfe16o3hr@4ax.com>
Source record: 121994

On 25 Oct 2005 16:48:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kissingboys9ripsquickzipper@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 13:21:46 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> ><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
>> >>wacked out his posts get?
>> >>
>> >>He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
>> >>
>> >>Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >I'm drunk on cum!
>>
>> such an honnor to have people so frightened of me that they forge
>> whole posts as being mine
>
> "honor"
>
> There you go with that "I Am The Center Of The Universe"
>megalomania thing again, Markie! And you accuse ME of this!

well Stevie Somne is frighten enough of me to invent an id make posts
inmy name

that is hardly a claim of being the center of the universe
>
> No one, repeat NO ONE in this forum is "frightened" of you...

bullshit
>
> Quite the contrary.
>
> We find you to be quite humorous...You're the deviant-jester of
>the "rec.radio" domain. You're the clueless idiot not watching where
>he's going and the NG is the banana peel.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4169. Favorite beatle tunes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 00:59:22 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <i6ltl1hqnccaro6uc26d35e1opu9iqeu6f@4ax.com>
Source record: 121995

A Hard Gay's Night
Eight Gays a Week
Gay stripper (Day Tripper)
A Gay in the Life
Shaft my Arse with Cream (Yellow Submarine)
Gaping Backsider (Paperback Writer)
Here Comes my Bum
Gay Nude (Hey Jude)
Love my Poo (Love me do)
Bum for your Life
Yes I'm Gay (Yesterday)
Stick it Inside (Ticket to ride)
I wanna hold your gland

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4170. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:06:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <oiltl1tavk2ueil738v6oadlak5f7oon6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 121998

On 25 Oct 2005 03:10:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
>> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
>> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
>> Wiseass.
>>
>> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>>
>> Enjoy.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>Dan, you have no such authority to turn over anything, yet share in the
>blame for the group being overrun with escapees from the State Mental
>Institution. Why have you and Steve even engaged some of these folks?
>I brought this up with Steve and you a year ago, but you know Steve...
>you can't tell him anything. Probably because he's got a second or
>third screen name and is part of the problem. And there you are
>trading tit for tat in long long, threads where you are the only one I
>even recognize. Why can't you exercise a little personal restraint and
>ignore the whacko's?
>
>Now that you and Steve have found these whacko's a permanent home on
>RRAP, you think you can just "turn it over" to them. Thanks.

I doubt he can leave nothing quite like the slow motion train wreck in
here
>
>Best of luck

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4171. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:06:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <akltl1lglgfds5ok2r042fn2fkaqj9em8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 121999

On 25 Oct 2005 05:21:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
>> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
>> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
>> Wiseass.
>>
>> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>>
>> Enjoy.
>
>Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.

well showing your a true Bomb throwing anarch

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4172. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:07:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130288838.599549.20120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
cut
> > >
> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> >
> > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> > illness Mr Slit
>
> Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.

more lies Mr Slit

4173. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:08:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130288909.269030.200850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 121997

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 25 Oct 2005 11:42:42 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> > >> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 16:20:35 +0000, Iitoi
> > >> <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >
> > >> >You can too.
> > >> >
> > >> >http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html
> > >> >
> > >> >The Man in the Maze
> > >> >QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> > >>
> > >> >
> > >> I buoght a new cock ring to.
> > >
> > >Oh, did you have one surgically attached first, Davies?
> >
> > given up trying to convince us you a lloyd Mr Slit
>
> My Daleks will exterminate you! I am a TIME LORD, Get it?

I get you are trying to convince you are nuts

give up the effort you have won on that score

4174. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:15:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130289304.445702.254070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122000

Michael Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> >
> >>I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> >>discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and Lennie
> >>the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger Wiseass.
> >>
> >>So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> >
> > Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
> > sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.
>
> Yaknow, I've been finding myself ignoring more and more threads lately,
> until I find that 90 percent of the posts are filtered out.

No kidding? It's sickening.

> Anyone interested in a bbs? I can set one up with none of the garbage
> that Yahoo pins on ya like advertisements and tracking cookies.

Try one on 20M.

> We can declare defeat,

Defeat? You can prematurely capitulate the Morse Code Testing issue,
if you like.

> and turn over the group to Len, Brian, Mark, and
> let them declare victory! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, I've watched the nuts come and go, and if you don't address them,
they tend to go away (except Steve).

But you've got Steve in here ranting against every anonymous that comes
along, and Dan going tit for tat with every one of them, too. Then
there is Dave who for whatever reason (local knowledge?) attracts the
really sicko crackpots. These three amigos have entertained every
refugee from CB-land, RRA.Misc, and the State Hospital for the
Criminally Insane and offered them a home on RRAP. Thanks guys.

But you blame Len and me for the state of the newsgroup? KMA.

We're the only two on here willing to discuss the purpose of the group.
So you go ahead and create that bulletin board and talk about how far

it is to the moon or how Jesus was a really cool dude or why you can't get a balloon project off the ground, or any of the -actual- issues facing amatuer radio that you can't seem to get off the ground here. You >>>Go<<<, Boy!

Best of Luck. Have a nice life. Sayonara.

4175. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:17:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130289477.282716.42370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122001

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 03:10:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> >> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
> >> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
> >> Wiseass.
> >>
> >> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> >>
> >> Enjoy.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >Dan, you have no such authority to turn over anything, yet share in the
> >blame for the group being overrun with escapees from the State Mental
> >Institution. Why have you and Steve even engaged some of these folks?
> >I brought this up with Steve and you a year ago, but you know Steve...
> >you can't tell him anything. Probably because he's got a second or
> >third screen name and is part of the problem. And there you are
> >trading tit for tat in long long, threads where you are the only one I
> >even recognize. Why can't you exercise a little personal restraint and
> >ignore the whacko's?
> >
> >Now that you and Steve have found these whacko's a permanent home on
> >RRAP, you think you can just "turn it over" to them. Thanks.
>
> I doubt he can leave nothing quite like the slow motion train wreck in
> here

I'm counting the dits before Mike gets his BBS up and running and outta
here.

4176. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:20:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.net
Message-ID: <ddmtl1t3ttkiaoqracb8d7mot5gfve5pc2@4ax.com>
Source record: 122002

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 03:10:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this
> >> alleged discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the
> >> CBer and Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of
> >> course Roger Wiseass.
> >>
> >> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> >>
> >> Enjoy.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >Dan, you have no such authority to turn over anything, yet share in the
> >blame for the group being overrun with escapees from the State Mental
> >Institution. Why have you and Steve even engaged some of these folks?
> >I brought this up with Steve and you a year ago, but you know Steve...
> >you can't tell him anything. Probably because he's got a second or
> >third screen name and is part of the problem. And there you are
> >trading tit for tat in long long, threads where you are the only one I
> >even recognize. Why can't you exercise a little personal restraint and
> >ignore the whacko's?
> >
> >Now that you and Steve have found these whacko's a permanent home on
> >RRAP, you think you can just "turn it over" to them. Thanks.
>
> I doubt he can leave nothing quite like the slow motion train wreck in
> here
> >
> >Best of luck
>
Stop forging me or I'll tell the feds!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4177. QRZ.COM Data Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:30:38 UTC
From: Jack Wilson <jwilson@skycommssltd.org>
Message-ID: <djmm6m$4s3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122003

I would like to thank Mr Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ for making
such a superb data base available to the amateur radio community.

QRZ.COM is an extremly accurate data base, with very timely
information.

Thank you Fred, for supporting our hobby, both in word and deed.

73 de Jack Wilson,
Skycommss Ltd

4178. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:37:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130290637.448041.32300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122004

Mike Coslo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>I don't question your faith. I'm sure it's genuine to you. > > Enjoy it, take
> >>>>>comfort in it, and may it be a blessing to you.
> >>>>
> >>>>Perhaps it is a faith that cannot accept questioning? Some are > like that.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Exactly, Mike. What gets some folks particularly up-in-arms is when
> >>>someone points out the obvious contradictions in their religious
> >>>beliefs.
> >>
> >> Seems to be the same folk that believe that depriving others of their
> >>rights is one of *their* rights.
> >
> >
> > Yep. Such as the fundamentalist who staps on some dynamite and
> > deprives others of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.
> >
> That's right, Brian. All fundamentalists are potentially dangerous.
> Nothing wrong with faith unless it is so encompassing that you are so
> "faithful" that you are willing to kill those who disagree with you and
> that fundamental faith.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Arguments can be made for the potential dangers of atheists as well.

4179. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:41:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130290890.918013.168240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122005

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 03:24:37 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions" a
> >> few weeks ago.
> >>
> >> Beep beep
> >> de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
> >couple thousand years.
>
> wrong a dastardly lie on your part they have been going less than 2000
> years Jesus was offed in that realy undiginifed way in 33 CE therefore
> the discussion will not have been going for "a couple thousand year"
> till 2033, roughly 20 years from now

Hmmm? You forget that those Gregorians fiddled with the calendar.

4180. RRAP Bulletin Board, was: Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:50:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130291418.719753.104140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122006

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >KØHB wrote:
> >
> >> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions"
> >> a
> >> few weeks ago.
> >>
> >> Beep beep
> >> de Hans, K0HB
>
> >Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
> >couple thousand years.
>
> Wow, you've been on rrap longer than I thought!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Yup, a long time. But just now I've become the reason for the groups
demise.

I'm counting the dits till Mike builds his BBS and all the cool people
move out.

Anyone want to guess when that will be?

bb: CE2525

4181. Re: RRAP Bulletin Board, was: Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 01:54:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2eotl19ev7mgdsu584iiaqugtsr2cmo8lj@4ax.com>
Source record: 122007

On 25 Oct 2005 18:50:18 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>> >Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> >
>> >> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions"
>> >> a
>> >> few weeks ago.
>> >>
>> >> Beep beep
>> >> de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> >Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
>> >couple thousand years.
>>
>> Wow, you've been on rrap longer than I thought!
>>
>> Beep beep
>> de Hans, K0HB
>
>Yup, a long time. But just now I've become the reason for the groups
>demise.
>
>I'm counting the dits till Mike builds his BBS and all the cool people
>move out.
>
>Anyone want to guess when that will be?

a pool after the R&O is out
>
>bb: CE2525

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4182. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 02:22:38 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xhoru@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xhoru@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122010

K4YZ Wrote:
>
> And those rules apply to NON-US citizens on FOREIGN soil. Any
> person holding an American Amateur license must operate thier station
> in accordance with Part 97 when exercising the privileges of their US
> license.
>

Ya got that WRONG! FCC rules apply on US soil. Foreign rules apply on
foreign soil. At best you might get some reciprocal privs, but those
privs are under the rules of the soil you stand on.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4183. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 02:36:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gkmtl11tpqdiqm62l3i5gume73f0vescl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 122008

On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> on shot one kill is threatening
>
> Only if you're paranoid or carrying a communist flag.
>
>> that was not fed back to me
>
> I don't have a fork big enough to fit that mouth.
>
>> you made it up on your own
>
> No...The United States Army Sniper School did.

Actually, it dates all the way back to the Revolutionary War. The
phrase was used to encourage economic use of ammunition since lead and
gunpowder were always in short supply, and because it took so long to
reload; i.e, it was necessary to make every shot count. No doubt that
precision shooting was important, but sniping per-se was of tertiary
importance to economy and to the guerrilla tactics used by Americans
during the war.

> It was further associated with the Marine Corps after the Battle
>of the Belleau Wood when US Marine infantrymen laid waste to hordes of
>attacking germans with withering rifle fire.

......"withering rifle fire"? Very "Clancyesque", Dudly.

> The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
>technique taught to all Marine recruits.

More horseshit from Gunny Dudly. One of the reasons the 5.56mm round
was chosen is because military experts learned that merely wounding an
enemy combatant had more benefits than killing the poor bastard. One
wounded soldier takes one or two more soldiers off the front line to
carry him back. It also depletes the enemy's resources and ties up
their supply lines. It drains the morale of both the soldiers and the
enemy's civilian population. Etc, etc. And if the soldier's position
is overrun then he can be captured and interrogated, something you
can't do if he's dead.

All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
yellow footsteps. But you didn't.

<snip>
> It's your fantasy. Just like mine with Brittny Spears...Fun to
>think about but "ain't happening".

"Britney"

> I think MY fantasy is a lot more fun!

Well, you certainly have a fondness for fantasy.

>> > The FACTS are that you do not posses the requisite skills to carry
>> >out your THREAT.

"possess"

>> you are delsuional all it takes it pointing the shotgun at you and
>> pulling the trigger
>
> No, that's NOT all it takes.
>
>> no real skill at all is needed
>
> Sure there is.
>
> That's why hundreds of people wind up wounded or killed by thier
>own weapons every year...They think that "point and shoot" is all you
>have to do.

"their"

And for a shotgun, the "point and shoot" tactic works pretty well.

> And in that we have even MORE evidence that your claims of
>military service are BOGUS!

Obviously you have never fired an APERS round out of an M-203. The
directions are really simple:

1. Point.
2. Shoot.
3. Rub your bruised shoulder while watching the people in front of you
bleed profusely.

It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
BOGUS!"

<snip>
> Even if a person shows up and knowks on your door then refuses to
>leave you have no legal right to use deadly force...Check Michigan law,
>Markie...I did.

"knocks"

<snip>
> But you keep repeating that over and over again...No doubt in hope
>that I may play the flip side of the coin with you one day...

Mixed metaphors.

<snip>
> No, I said they ahve the FORCE of law.

"have"

<snip>
> The only part that confuses me is why you won't admit to your
>same-sex cohabitational arrangement, Markie?

Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
graduated from MCRD.

>> but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
>> seem to drive you into a rage why is that?
>
> "involved"
>
> I'm in no "rage", Markie...I find your "protestations" to be quite
>laughable and am, in acutallity, having quite a laugh over it!

"actuality"

Hypocrite.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4184. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 02:45:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <h9rtl118g9r89f4b8vs6vrtgujdah9s88s@4ax.com>
Source record: 122009

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 19:36:46 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
cut

>
>> And in that we have even MORE evidence that your claims of
>>military service are BOGUS!
>
>
>Obviously you have never fired an APERS round out of an M-203. The
>directions are really simple:
>
>1. Point.
>2. Shoot.
>3. Rub your bruised shoulder while watching the people in front of you
>bleed profusely.
>
>It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>BOGUS!"
>
>
><snip>
>> Even if a person shows up and knowks on your door then refuses to
>>leave you have no legal right to use deadly force...Check Michigan law,
>>Markie...I did.

answering Stevie missed in all the shit he was shoving

one you can't get to my front door

and you missed the various points of the law

for example the law provides that if someone that has threatened you
in the past shows up

and in your case I am certain you would do something I founf
threatening and the result would well you can fill it in
>
>
>"knocks"

>
>
><snip>
>> But you keep repeating that over and over again...No doubt in hope
>>that I may play the flip side of the coin with you one day...
>
>
>Mixed metaphors.
>
>
><snip>
>> No, I said they ahve the FORCE of law.
>
>
>"have"
>
>
><snip>
>> The only part that confuses me is why you won't admit to your
>>same-sex cohabitational arrangement, Markie?
>
>
>Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>graduated from MCRD.
>
>
>>> but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
>>> seem to drive you into a rage why is that?
>>
>> "involved"
>>
>> I'm in no "rage", Markie...I find your "protestations" to be quite
>>laughable and am, in acutallity, having quite a laugh over it!
>
>
>"actuality"
>
>
>Hypocrite.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4185. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 03:02:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <qdstl1hn7mql9j29pel082klessq7rbsaa@4ax.com>
Source record: 122012

On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 02:22:38 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1xhoru@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ Wrote:
>>
>> And those rules apply to NON-US citizens on FOREIGN soil. Any
>> person holding an American Amateur license must operate thier station
>> in accordance with Part 97 when exercising the privileges of their US
>> license.
>>
>
>Ya got that WRONG! FCC rules apply on US soil. Foreign rules apply on
>foreign soil. At best you might get some reciprocal privs, but those
>privs are under the rules of the soil you stand on.

don't you know Stevie is Mighty Macho Morseman and is never wrong
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4186. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 03:11:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130296279.830328.40450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122011

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> on shot one kill is threatening
> > >
> > > Only if you're paranoid or carrying a communist flag.
> > >
> >> that was not fed back to me
> > >
> > > I don't have a fork big enough to fit that mouth.
> > >
> >> you made it up on your own
> > >
> > > No...The United States Army Sniper School did.
>
> Actually, it dates all the way back to the Revolutionary War. The
> phrase was used to encourage economic use of ammunition since lead and
> gunpowder were always in short supply, and because it took so long to
> reload; i.e, it was necessary to make every shot count. No doubt that
> precision shooting was important, but sniping per-se was of tertiary
> importance to economy and to the guerrilla tactics used by Americans
> during the war.

In the Robeson Dictionary, getting it wrong constitutes a "lie." And
why wouldn't he have enlightened us with the U.S. Marine Corps Sniper
School info? Further, what does Steve know of the U.S. Army Sniper
School?

> > It was further associated with the Marine Corps after the Battle
> >of the Belleau Wood when US Marine infantrymen laid waste to hordes of
> >attacking germans with withering rifle fire.
>
> ......"withering rifle fire"? Very "Clancyesque", Dudly.

I haven't read Clancy, but I've been acused of reading a 1950's copy of
"This is the Air Force." Probably the copy that Steve had to read when
he joined the Civil Air Patrol.

> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
>
> More horseshit from Gunny Dudly. One of the reasons the 5.56mm round
> was chosen is because military experts learned that merely wounding an

> enemy combatant had more benefits than killing the poor bastard. One
> wounded soldier takes one or two more soldiers off the front line to
> carry him back. It also depletes the enemy's resources and ties up
> their supply lines. It drains the morale of both the soldiers and the
> enemy's civilian population. Etc, etc. And if the soldier's position
> is overrun then he can be captured and interrogated, something you
> can't do if he's dead.
>
> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.

He probably washed out somewhere between the underwear/sock issue and
the immunization clinic. Marching, chewing gum. Non-adaptability...

> <snip>
> > It's your fantasy. Just like mine with Brittny Spears...Fun to
> >think about but "ain't happening".
>
> "Britney"
>
> > I think MY fantasy is a lot more fun!
>
> Well, you certainly have a fondness for fantasy.

Clancyesque fantasy.

> >> > The FACTS are that you do not posses the requisite skills to carry
> >> >out your THREAT.
>
> "possess"
>
> >> you are delsuional all it takes it pointing the shotgun at you and
> >> pulling the trigger
> >
> > No, that's NOT all it takes.
> >
> >> no real skill at all is needed
> >
> > Sure there is.
> >
> > That's why hundreds of people wind up wounded or killed by thier
> >own weapons every year...They think that "point and shoot" is all you
> >have to do.
>
> "their"
>
> And for a shotgun, the "point and shoot" tactic works pretty well.

Somehow it works for me on squirrel, but I do have to swing on dove. I
prefer a .22 for squirrel but only after some leaves fall.

> > And in that we have even MORE evidence that your claims of
> >military service are BOGUS!
>
> Obviously you have never fired an APERS round out of an M-203. The
> directions are really simple:
>
> 1. Point.
> 2. Shoot.
> 3. Rub your bruised shoulder while watching the people in front of you
> bleed profusely.
>
> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
> BOGUS!"

In Steve's defense, he did get some sand in his eye. Which one? He
never did say and it's not open for discussion.

> <snip>
> > Even if a person shows up and knowks on your door then refuses to
> >leave you have no legal right to use deadly force...Check Michigan law,
> >Markie...I did.
>
> "knocks"

Reminds me of National Lampoon's Animal House, "ROAD TRIP!"

Wonder if Steve's got a full tank of gas? an obituary for Dawn
Leiberman?

> <snip>
> > But you keep repeating that over and over again...No doubt in hope
> >that I may play the flip side of the coin with you one day...
>
> Mixed metaphors.

Might be mixed amphetaphors.

> <snip>
> > No, I said they ahve the FORCE of law.
>
>
> "have"

Newton's Laws?

> <snip>
> > The only part that confuses me is why you won't admit to your
> >same-sex cohabitational arrangement, Markie?
>
> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
> graduated from MCRD.

Probably slipped in the shower.

> >> but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
> >> seem to drive you into a rage why is that?
> >
> > "involved"
> >
> > I'm in no "rage", Markie...I find your "protestations" to be quite
> >laughable and am, in acutallity, having quite a laugh over it!
>
> "actuality"
>
> Hypocrite.

At the very least. Hope he enjoys life on Mike's BBS where he doesn't
have to put up with the riff-raff.

4187. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 03:12:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <eostl1p52v2jlmeb05v5hl91h90hhn7aje@4ax.com>
Source record: 122015

On 25 Oct 2005 20:11:19 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> on shot one kill is threatening
>> >
>> > Only if you're paranoid or carrying a communist flag.
>> >
>> >> that was not fed back to me
>> >
>> > I don't have a fork big enough to fit that mouth.
>> >
>> >> you made it up on your own
>> >
>> > No...The United States Army Sniper School did.
>>
>> Actually, it dates all the way back to the Revolutionary War. The
>> phrase was used to encourage economic use of ammunition since lead and
>> gunpowder were always in short supply, and because it took so long to
>> reload; i.e, it was necessary to make every shot count. No doubt that
>> precision shooting was important, but sniping per-se was of tertiary
>> importance to economy and to the guerrilla tactics used by Americans
>> during the war.
>
>In the Robeson Dictionary, getting it wrong constitutes a "lie." And
>why wouldn't he have enlightened us with the U.S. Marine Corps Sniper
>School info? Further, what does Steve know of the U.S. Army Sniper
>School?
>

corection anyone else getting it wrong is lie Stevie making a mistake
never happens the universe changes it laws to accomdate him

>> > It was further associated with the Marine Corps after the Battle
>> >of the Belleau Wood when US Marine infantrymen laid waste to hordes of
>> >attacking germans with withering rifle fire.
>>
>> ......"withering rifle fire"? Very "Clancyesque", Dudly.
>
>I haven't read Clancy, but I've been acused of reading a 1950's copy of
>"This is the Air Force." Probably the copy that Steve had to read when

>he joined the Civil Air Patrol.
>
>> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
>> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
>>
>> More horseshit from Gunny Dudly. One of the reasons the 5.56mm round
>> was chosen is because military experts learned that merely wounding an
>> enemy combatant had more benefits than killing the poor bastard. One
>> wounded soldier takes one or two more soldiers off the front line to
>> carry him back. It also depletes the enemy's resources and ties up
>> their supply lines. It drains the morale of both the soldiers and the
>> enemy's civilian population. Etc, etc. And if the soldier's position
>> is overrun then he can be captured and interrogated, something you
>> can't do if he's dead.
>>
>> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
>> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.
>
>He probably washed out somewhere between the underwear/sock issue and
>the immunization clinic. Marching, chewing gum. Non-adaptability...
>
>> <snip>
>> > It's your fantasy. Just like mine with Brittny Spears...Fun to
>> >think about but "ain't happening".
>>
>> "Britney"
>>
>> > I think MY fantasy is a lot more fun!
>>
>> Well, you certainly have a fondness for fantasy.
>
>Clancyesque fantasy.

nah he don't come close the Clancy

>
>> >> > The FACTS are that you do not posses the requisite skills to carry
>> >> >out your THREAT.
>>
>> "possess"
>>
>> >> you are delsuional all it takes it pointing the shotgun at you and
>> >> pulling the trigger
>> >
>> > No, that's NOT all it takes.
>> >
>> >> no real skill at all is needed
>> >
>> > Sure there is.
>> >
>> > That's why hundreds of people wind up wounded or killed by thier

>> >own weapons every year...They think that "point and shoot" is all you
>> >have to do.
>>
>> "their"
>>
>> And for a shotgun, the "point and shoot" tactic works pretty well.
>
>Somehow it works for me on squirrel, but I do have to swing on dove. I
>prefer a .22 for squirrel but only after some leaves fall.

and Stevie is a larger target

>
>> > And in that we have even MORE evidence that your claims of
>> >military service are BOGUS!
>>
>> Obviously you have never fired an APERS round out of an M-203. The
>> directions are really simple:
>>
>> 1. Point.
>> 2. Shoot.
>> 3. Rub your bruised shoulder while watching the people in front of you
>> bleed profusely.
>>
>> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>> BOGUS!"
>
>In Steve's defense, he did get some sand in his eye. Which one? He
>never did say and it's not open for discussion.
>
>> <snip>
>> > Even if a person shows up and knowks on your door then refuses to
>> >leave you have no legal right to use deadly force...Check Michigan law,
>> >Markie...I did.
>>
>> "knocks"
>
>Reminds me of National Lampoon's Animal House, "ROAD TRIP!"
>
>Wonder if Steve's got a full tank of gas? an obituary for Dawn
>Leiberman?

amsuing how he thik I want to harm him though I don't I just want to
stay away
>
>> <snip>
>> > But you keep repeating that over and over again...No doubt in hope
>> >that I may play the flip side of the coin with you one day...
>>

>> Mixed metaphors.
>
>Might be mixed amphetaphors.
>
>> <snip>
>> > No, I said they ahve the FORCE of law.
>>
>>
>> "have"
>
>Newton's Laws?
>
>> <snip>
>> > The only part that confuses me is why you won't admit to your
>> >same-sex cohabitational arrangement, Markie?
>>
>> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>> graduated from MCRD.
>
>Probably slipped in the shower.

was caught bending over for the sopa perhaps

that might explain his obsession with other men

>
>> >> but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
>> >> seem to drive you into a rage why is that?
>> >
>> > "involved"
>> >
>> > I'm in no "rage", Markie...I find your "protestations" to be quite
>> >laughable and am, in acutallity, having quite a laugh over it!
>>
>> "actuality"
>>
>> Hypocrite.

amusing how you can't even tell him the turth

why does he bother to engage in conversation at all he already all
there is to say

currently I am involved with gal if that end likely the next one will
be a guy I tend to run that way
>
>At the very least. Hope he enjoys life on Mike's BBS where he doesn't
>have to put up with the riff-raff.

I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
discuss any issue)

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4188. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 03:14:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <b4ttl1hias491j481jrdc5mlpg5jvfugvc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122016

On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>> > > So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>> >
>> > Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
>> > sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.
>>
>> Good idea, Hans
>> >
>> > CU in CQWW this weekend, SS the next.
>> >
>> BCNU in November.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.

think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
they will in fact leave

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4189. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 03:15:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130296507.116484.25940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122013

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> > > So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> >
> > Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
> > sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.
>
> Good idea, Hans
> >
> > CU in CQWW this weekend, SS the next.
> >
> BCNU in November.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.

4190. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 03:20:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130296806.991069.87790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122014

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
> As of 24 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> ------------- ---------------
> Grand Total 2672 696
>
> Indeterminate (note 1) 174 63
>
> Value for Percentages 2498 633
>
> Against NPRM (note 2) 756 [30.26%] 201 [31.75%]
> For NPRM (note 3) 1354 [54.70%] 318 [50.24%]
> Test Extra Only (note 4) 388 [15.53%] 114 [18.01%]
>
> This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 8 PM EDT 25 Oct 05.
>
> Notes:
>
> Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
> and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
> official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
> column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
> all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
> It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
> prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
> Fixed-font spacing used throughout.
>
> 1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
> or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
> polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
> do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
> scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
> submission, and six who were commenting on another
> matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.
>
> 2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
> the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.
>
> 3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
> NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself

> (first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."
>
> 4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
> test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
> eliminating the code test for other classes.
>
> Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.
>
> Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
> like it or not.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len, add another to the "For NPRM" column.

bb

4191. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 03:53:45 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xi5fv@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xi5fv@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122019

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
> As of 24 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> ------------- ---------------
> Grand Total 2672 696
>
> Indeterminate (note 1) 174 63
>
> Value for Percentages 2498 633
>
> Against NPRM (note 2) 756 [30.26%] 201 [31.75%]
> For NPRM (note 3) 1354 [54.70%] 318 [50.24%]
> Test Extra Only (note 4) 388 [15.53%] 114 [18.01%]
>
> This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 8 PM EDT 25 Oct 05.
> > > >
> >
> > Since the opening of the official comment period, this tally says
> > 49.76% wish to retain some code test, 50.24% are opposed to any code
> > test. Looks like we'll require code test for every second applicant.
> > OK, Marines, line up and count off by two's.
> >
> > The Man in the Maze
> > QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4192. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 04:20:00 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11lu0vit6iemo2a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122017
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:rPadnWnAaYiN1sDeRVn-pw@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
>> "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:56mil11d1o9mpajvcsq8fnfocq43gv0te1@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Fri, 21 Oct 2005 19:11:52 +0100, "The Magnum"
>>><magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hold about a bit matey boy... Just because someone uses a CB doesnt make
>>>>them a lower class person or less intellectual than an Amateur radio
>>>>user.
>>>>They may have brains far exceeding yours on several topics, just not on
>>>>radio. Just because you know a bit about radio doesnt make you a higher
>>>>class of person... IT JUST MEANS YOU KNOW ABOUT RADIO'S..... now try
>>>>learning common sense, respect for ones fellow man and remember..
>>>>Amateur
>>>>Radio.. Just like CB.. is JUST A HOBBY...
>>>>I love the bit though where you say "it is neither my wish to insult
>>>>them"
>>>>but you manage to do it anyhow...
>>>>10-4 over and out .. or in your case QRT..
>>>
>>>Another CB-er and potential F-class adherent.
>>>
>>>(You can spot them the minute they put finger to keyboard).
>>
>>
>> Yet another reason I will never obtain a ham ticket.
>
> Good news for ham radio!
>
>> You seem to think that your little piece of paper raises your IQ.
>
> Yet with you cber IQ you couldn't pass the test even though the answers to
> the questions are available.

I have taken the sample test and passed. You only want to perpetuate the
stereotype that CB ops are stupid. All you have proved to me is how stupid
you are.

4193. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 04:24:40 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11lu184i0245b71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122018
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:djlkg8$8rh$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
>> > Yet another reason I will never obtain a ham ticket.
>>
>> Good news for ham radio!
>
> Yep, there will be no one left on Ham soon after all the old farts have
> died...
>
>> > You seem to think that
>> > your little piece of paper raises your IQ.
>>
>> Yet with you cber IQ you couldn't pass the test even though the answers
>> to the questions are available.
>
> Try taking an IQ test and i bet theres hardly any difference between you
> and
> most people on CB. The only test you would outshine most CBers would be
> radio related...
>
I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have read of
his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147

4194. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 10:18:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130321906.771812.238930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122020

Iitoi wrote:
> K4YZ Wrote:
> >
> > And those rules apply to NON-US citizens on FOREIGN soil. Any
> > person holding an American Amateur license must operate thier station
> > in accordance with Part 97 when exercising the privileges of their US
> > license.
> >
> Ya got that WRONG!

Nope.

> FCC rules apply on US soil. Foreign rules apply on
> foreign soil. At best you might get some reciprocal privs, but those
> privs are under the rules of the soil you stand on.

If you are,say, a citizen of the Republic of the Philippines,
operating with your US Amateur call of KZ5ZZZ/DU, you must still
observe US laws while doing so.

If, while identifying as such, you were jamming others, operating
contrary to your license privileges and using 10,000w of power, you'd
still be breaking US law since you voluntarily ID with the US callsign.

Again, in the part you didn't quote, I said the FCC may not be
able to actually get at you to prosecute you, but they CAN suspend or
revoke your license. The "locals" would no doubt have some harsh words
for you too.

Steve, K4YZ

4195. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 10:27:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130322439.044414.81370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122021

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 02:22:38 +0000, Iitoi
> <Iitoi.1xhoru@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ Wrote:
> >>
> >> And those rules apply to NON-US citizens on FOREIGN soil. Any
> >> person holding an American Amateur license must operate thier station
> >> in accordance with Part 97 when exercising the privileges of their US
> >> license.
> >>
> >
> >Ya got that WRONG! FCC rules apply on US soil. Foreign rules apply on
> >foreign soil. At best you might get some reciprocal privs, but those
> >privs are under the rules of the soil you stand on.
>
> don't you know Stevie is Mighty Macho Morseman and is never wrong

OF course there's times when I am wrong...

For example, once upon a time I believed that you were a
responsible, mature adult with whom one could carry on a meaningful
conversation.

That only lasted for a couple of posts, though. You burst that
bubble real quick.

Steve, K4YZ

4196. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 10:33:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130322807.916736.269630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:

Whole post snipped except for this:

> Hypocrite.

Yes, you are.

A lying, cowardly, deceiving, deceitful one at that.

You swore an oath to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
United States and to obey the orders of those place above you.

You not only didn't do it, you were court martialed TWICE for it
in ONE ENLISTMENT!

Then you somehow think that bragging about it absolves you of any
wrong doing.

Frank Gilliland is a liar and a cheat.

Steve, K4YZ

4197. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 10:58:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130324325.770940.251250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
>
>
> More horse#### from Gunny Dudly.

No...More lies from Frank Gilliland. But then you've made a
career of lying, haven't you...?!?!

> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)

...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
enemy.

And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
"one shot one kill" to recruits.

Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...

> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
> BOGUS!"

It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.

> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.

Why are you lying, Frank?

> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
> graduated from MCRD.

Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
said before.

Frank, let's face it...

You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.

Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.

Steve, K4YZ

4198. ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 11:02:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
> >> >too.
> >>
> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
> >
> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>
> another lie Stevie

Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?

> when are you going to stop

Stop what?

Steve, K4YZ

4199. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 11:17:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130325448.680515.314640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122025

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 12:40:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 12:11:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> > YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
> >> >> >absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
> >> >> >consequences of the lie...
> >> >>
> >> >> not lying therefore no concern about it
> >> >
> >> > Sure you are. Repeatedly.
> >>
> >> no I am not
> >
> > Yes, you are.
>
> what lie?

We'll start off with your lie about NOT being a mental health
patient, which I later proved...

> >> you simply in the most literal sense don't know the meaning of the
> >> word "lie"
> >
> > Sure I do.
>
> you don't use the word correctly thought

"though"

Sure I do.

> >> it does not mean disagreeing with you
> >
> > You're the one with the comprehension deficits, Markie...Not me.
>
> I understand what you typing better than you do it seems

Nope. You don't. As a matter of fact you leave yourself open to
countless humiliations due to YOUR inaccuracies "typing".

> again from Brain
>

> Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
> in itself a lie.

I never said it was.

However specifically misrepresenting points of fact >>IS<< lying.

Since you bring up Brainless, we'll use HIM for an example.

(1) Brain states that "unlicensed devices" play a major role in
disaster communications. They don't. Never have. Current
circumstances in Louisiana prove it.

(2) Brain asserts his operation from Somalia was "legal" It
never was. It was a bootleg operation at best.

(3) Brain stated ARES was "overblown" (as have you), and that
"over extended" volunteers wouldn't step up. Again, current
circumstances in Louisiana disprove THAT too.

> >> but as you prove in another post/threat anyone that opposes your try
> >> and imply they are a pedophile
> >
> > No, YOU "prove" that there is reason to suspect your behaviour.
>
> more Stevie lies

Nope. The same conditions YOU state in the very paragraph above,
Markie.

> I was talking about you impling Len was a pedo

"pedo" what?

> you don't even keep track of your lies

If there was one to keep track of, I'd keep it on a leash...Just
like would you...

> >> you havea micron thin skin and if you have the use of much in the way
> >> of brains they are traped inside your hate and your zenophobia
> >
> > "trapped" "xenophobia"
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Nope.

> >> you threaten people over and over agian
> >
> > And you make the same improper accusations of threats.
>

> nothing improper about it

Sure there is.

> you threaten folks

(1) No, I don't, and if I did, (2) not with shotguns

> you threaten everyone that disagrees with you to one extent or another

Those who have claimed some sort of threat are those who also
express some sort of paranoia, Markie. you express a LOT of paranoia.

> > And it's "again".
> >
> >> grow up the wolrd does not move on your word
> >
> > "world"
> >
> > Actually, some part of it does...Obviously my "words" make YOUR
> >fingers move, Markie...

Obviously.

Steve, K4YZ

4200. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 12:10:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130328617.243232.117890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122026

Lisping cocksucking 32 year old virgin Lloyd whined:
> On 25 Oct 2005 11:38:01 -0700, W(Wrong guess, fatty) wrote:
> >
> > Except Roger nor myself is Jewish, Lardass.
>
> do you get in each others way living in the same skull? it must be
> real bad to be a split persunality, esp. with Roger sharing that skull.

Says the self-professed "Time Lord" (make that Time Tard) who posts
under two dozen different names. How many voices do you hear in that
bald, malformed skull of yours, Davies?

> you need to see one of your pple like a jewish psyciatrist to see if
> he can help.

Oh, did you have one when you spent that year in the Independance, Iowa
nuthouse?

> if he cant you will defianitely wind up in hell with les
> locklear and that would be kewl becaues you would be one of the few
> jews in hell.

But, you are the one with the Jewish name, Davies. Houseofnames.com
proves it. Plus, all the things you say will cause you to end up there
ahead of everyone, fake xtain.

4201. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 12:12:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130328730.377051.323910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122027

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 05:21:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> >> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
> >> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
> >> Wiseass.
> >>
> >> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> >>
> >> Enjoy.
> >
> >Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.
>
> well showing your a true Bomb throwing anarch
^^

Well, well, Marky, showing you truly are a fucking imbecile.

4202. Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 12:14:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130328844.351801.54090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122028

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:42:42 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> > > > >> On Sun, 23 Oct 2005 16:20:35 +0000, Iitoi
> > > > >> <Iitoi.1xdirq@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >>You can too.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >>http://www.tokyoflash.com/USviewwatch76H1.html
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >>The Man in the Maze
> > > > >> >>QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >> I buoght a new cock ring to.
> > > > >
> > > > >>Oh, did you have one surgically attached first, Davies?
> > > >
> > > > given up trying to convince us you a lloyd Mr Slit
> > >
> > > My Daleks will exterminate you! I am a TIME LORD, Get it?
> >
> > I get you are trying to convince you are nuts

Projecting again, KFC Colonel?

> give up the effort you have won on that score

I have won on every score, imbecile.

4203. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 12:16:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130328962.796817.172910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> > cut
> > > > >
> > > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> > > >
> > > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> > > > illness Mr Slit
> > >
> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
> >
> more lies Mr Slit

Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.

4204. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 12:31:04 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <cGK7f.503955$5N3.189857@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm scanning 75, 40 and 20 meters for disaster relief communication related to hurrican Wilma---I haven't heard anything yet. Any suggestions for frequencies to monitor are appreciated.

4205. Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 14:01:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130335271.180835.159410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122031

Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.

W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.

Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old buddy...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4206. Re: QRZ.COM Data Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 14:12:15 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <N8M7f.13946$i%.12425@fed1read07>
Source record: 122032

Indeed a commendable effort but does not cover all the world hams like the
RAC (Radio Amateur Call Book)

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Jack Wilson" <jwilson@skycommssltd.org> wrote in message
news:djmm6m$4s3$0@pita.alt.net...
>I would like to thank Mr Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ for making
> such a superb data base available to the amateur radio community.
>
> QRZ.COM is an extremly accurate data base, with very timely
> information.
>
> Thank you Fred, for supporting our hobby, both in word and deed.
>
>
> 73 de Jack Wilson,
> Skycommss Ltd
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4207. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 14:49:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <475vl19k4lanmd2rocbc75dgha391bkf7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 122033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 03:33:27 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130322807.916736.269630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>
> Whole post snipped except for this:
>
>> Hypocrite.
>
> Yes, you are.

Gee, you sure outwitted me there, Dudly -- snipping anything that
indicates you are wrong, then pulling a Pee-Wee Herman spin on the
final word that was clearly intended to describe you. Well, here's
another one for ya, Dudly:

Amateur.

> A lying, cowardly, deceiving, deceitful one at that.
>
> You swore an oath to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
>United States and to obey the orders of those place above you.
>
> You not only didn't do it, you were court martialed TWICE for it
>in ONE ENLISTMENT!
>
> Then you somehow think that bragging about it absolves you of any
>wrong doing.
>
> Frank Gilliland is a liar and a cheat.
>
>Gunny Dudly, K4YZ

Your "truth by repetition" campaign is making you sound like a parrot.
Dudly wanna cracker?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4208. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 15:34:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ss5vl1pbrpqpc8fe2qbe5cmsjlr1knlpl9@4ax.com>
Source record: 122034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 03:58:45 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130324325.770940.251250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
>> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
>>
>>
>> More horse#### from Gunny Dudly.
>
> No...More lies from Frank Gilliland. But then you've made a
>career of lying, haven't you...?!?!

More projection from Gunny Dudly.

>> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
>
> ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
>enemy.

If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.

> And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
>"one shot one kill" to recruits.

Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
in sniper school, but not in boot camp.

> Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
>have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...

Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.

>> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>> BOGUS!"
>
> It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
>yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some

>argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.

I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's
content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.

>> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
>> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.
>
> Why are you lying, Frank?

I won't answer a question the requires me to accept a premise that is
not true.

>> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>> graduated from MCRD.
>
> Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
>said before.

Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
Sure thing, Dudly.

> Frank, let's face it...
>
> You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
>now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.

Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>

> Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
>
>Gunny Dudly, K4YZ

I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4209. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 16:19:22 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <04bvl154r0piqljn6bo84o06fv0gv7upar@4ax.com>
Source record: 122035

On 26 Oct 2005 03:27:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 02:22:38 +0000, Iitoi
>> <Iitoi.1xhoru@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ Wrote:
>> >>
>> >> And those rules apply to NON-US citizens on FOREIGN soil. Any
>> >> person holding an American Amateur license must operate thier station
>> >> in accordance with Part 97 when exercising the privileges of their US
>> >> license.
>> >>
>> >
>> >Ya got that WRONG! FCC rules apply on US soil. Foreign rules apply on
>> >foreign soil. At best you might get some reciprocal privs, but those
>> >privs are under the rules of the soil you stand on.
>>
>> don't you know Stevie is Mighty Macho Morseman and is never wrong
>
> OF course there's times when I am wrong...
>
> For example, once upon a time I believed that you were a
>responsible, mature adult with whom one could carry on a meaningful
>conversation.

another lie on your part
>
> That only lasted for a couple of posts, though. You burst that
>bubble real quick.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4210. Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 16:26:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130343984.776528.95500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 03:58:45 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130324325.770940.251250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
> >> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
> >>
> >>
> >> More horse#### from Gunny Dudly.
> >>
> > No...More lies from Frank Gilliland. But then you've made a
> >career of lying, haven't you...?!?!
>
> More projection from Gunny Dudly.

Nope.

YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!

You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.

No one, save for you, is projecting anything.

> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
> >>
> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
> >enemy.
>
> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.

If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
shots?

PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC
training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".

Just one...?!?!

> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.

>
> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.

Sure it is.

> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
>
> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.

Frank, you're a documented liar already.

Why continue the charade?

YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
alone mine.

> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
> >> BOGUS!"
> >
> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.
>
> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's
> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.

Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
newsgroup spelling cop either!

> >> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
> >> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.
> >
> > Why are you lying, Frank?
>
> I won't answer a question the requires me to accept a premise that is
> not true.

The fact is that I DID "graduate beyond the yellow footsteps".

I've provided more than a few references that any reasonably
"connected" Marine, ex-Marine, retired Marine or just plain old Joe or
JoAnn Citizen could corroborate as true.

You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
admission were a ####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
just ONE enlistment...let alone four.

> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
> >> graduated from MCRD.
> >
> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
> >said before.
>
> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
> Sure thing, Dudly.

And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
off facts.

The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.

Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.

You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
archives you also claim to have access to...

What's up with that?

> > Frank, let's face it...
> >
> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
>
> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>

What feelings?

Feelings are characteristic of human beings.

> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
> >
Steve, K4YZ
>
> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.

Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble
opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
is obscene.

Steve, K4YZ

4211. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 16:29:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <v4bvl1p03d4jbn5d5ruo033k39flb9cfjf@4ax.com>
Source record: 122037

On 26 Oct 2005 04:17:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:40:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 12:11:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > > YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
>> >> > >absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
>> >> > >consequences of the lie...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> not lying therefore no concern about it
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure you are. Repeatedly.
>> >>
>> >> no I am not
>> >
>> > Yes, you are.
>>
>> what lie?
>
> We'll start off with your lie about NOT being a mental health
>patient, which I later proved...

no lie there

you simply don't seem to understand the concept of "past" and Present"
and you confuse seeing a phycritrsit for being confined to e mental
hospital

>
>> >> you simply in the most literal sense don't know the meaning of the
>> >> word "lie"
>> >
>> > Sure I do.
>>
>> you don't use the word correctly thought
>
> "though"
>
> Sure I do.

start at any time
>
>> >> it does not mean disagreeing with you
>> >
>> > You're the one with the comprehension deficits, Markie...Not me.
>>
>> I understand what you typing better than you do it seems
>
> Nope. You don't. As a matter of fact you leave yourself open to
>countless humiliations due to YOUR inaccuracies "typing".

yes I do

I understand what you saying

that you can't repeat a statement without distorting it and changing
the meaning is obvious
>
>> again from Brain
>>
>> Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
>> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
>> in itself a lie.
>
> I never said it was.
>
> However specifically misrepresenting points of fact >>IS<< lying.
>
> Since you bring up Brainless, we'll use HIM for an example.
>
> (1) Brain states that "unlicensed devices" play a major role in
>disaster communications. They don't. Never have. Current
>circumstances in Louisiana prove it.

no you are wrong nothing of the kinds has proven indeed Brain
statement would seem to be correct
>
> (2) Brain asserts his operation from Somalia was "legal" It
>never was. It was a bootleg operation at best.

Dave has asserted the statnard is would anybody arest him for it

Brain is on as good or better grounds thann Dave

>
> (3) Brain stated ARES was "overblown" (as have you), and that
>"over extended" volunteers wouldn't step up. Again, current
>circumstances in Louisiana disprove THAT too.

wrong again

according the materail posted by DAN
>
>> >> but as you prove in another post/threat anyone that opposes your try

>> >> and imply they are a pedophile
>> >
>> > No, YOU "prove" that there is reason to suspect your behaviour.
>>
>> more Stevie lies
>
> Nope. The same conditions YOU state in the very paragraph above,
>Markie.
>
>> I was talking about you impling Len was a pedo
>
> "pedo" what?

you implued Len was pedo don't you rememebr

pedo BTW is ofen used on it own

so the spelling cop blows it again

>
>> you don't even keep track of your lies
>
> If there was one to keep track of, I'd keep it on a leash...Just
>like would you...
"Just like would you.."

you might try finishing your statement

>
>> >> you havea micron thin skin and if you have the use of much in the way
>> >> of brains they are traped inside your hate and your zenophobia
>> >
>> > "trapped" "xenophobia"
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> yep
>
> Nope.
>
>> >> you threaten people over and over agian
>> >
>> > And you make the same improper accusations of threats.
>>
>> nothing improper about it
>
> Sure there is.

indeed I am sure you don't think it is improper to threaten people as
long as you don't mean it

indeed I can see waves a gun around and wondering why somebody shoots
you for it

>
>> you threaten folks
>
> (1) No, I don't, and if I did, (2) not with shotguns

you sure do

and no you were not spefic about the weapon

>
>> you threaten everyone that disagrees with you to one extent or another
>
> Those who have claimed some sort of threat are those who also
>express some sort of paranoia, Markie. you express a LOT of paranoia.

ah yess all those that opose are also paranoid to let they sufer from
Homoseualy Pedophilia and paranoia one and all

sure sounds like you are projecting again

>
>> > And it's "again".
>> >
>> >> grow up the wolrd does not move on your word
>> >
>> > "world"
>> >
>> > Actually, some part of it does...Obviously my "words" make YOUR
>> >fingers move, Markie...
>
> Obviously.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4212. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 16:30:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <enbvl113e3ml2t52i448in68em0vfhohop@4ax.com>
Source record: 122038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>> cut
>> > > > >
>> > > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
>> > >
>> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
>> > > illness Mr Slit
>> >
>> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
>>
>> more lies Mr Slit
>
>Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.

no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
never been mental ill

you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4213. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 16:31:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <gpbvl1h3e42vfcshambhlec1i0si9bp8fp@4ax.com>
Source record: 122039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 04:02:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >> >too.
>> >>
>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>> >
>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>>
>> another lie Stevie
>
> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
>people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?

no

and you are lying in stating I am
>
>> when are you going to stop
>
> Stop what?

stop lying

everyone knows you are lying
>
>Steve, K4YZ

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4214. Re: Stevie claims to personal the govt, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 16:50:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <vccvl1dc5ef2j1ktcd49phght3dk9tq57v@4ax.com>
Source record: 122040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 09:26:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 03:58:45 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130324325.770940.251250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>> >
>> >> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
>> >> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> More horse#### from Gunny Dudly.
>> >
>> > No...More lies from Frank Gilliland. But then you've made a
>> >career of lying, haven't you...?!?!
>>
>> More projection from Gunny Dudly.
>
> Nope.
>
> YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!
>
> You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
>from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.

now you are really crossing into new territory

you have no way of making that statement
>
> No one, save for you, is projecting anything.
>
>> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
>> >
>> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
>> >enemy.
>>
>> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.
>
> If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
>riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
>shots?
>

> PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC
>training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".

making up claims for frank again
>
> Just one...?!?!
>
>> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
>> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.
>>
>> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
>> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.
>
> Sure it is.
>
>> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
>> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
>>
>> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
>> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
>> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.
>
> Frank, you're a documented liar already.

where?

Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot

>
> Why continue the charade?
>
> YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
>alone mine.

he isn't sure there is anything to critique

off hand I think he is mistaken on that count I think you had one. one
of keeping your head down covering your ass (big job there I guess)
and avoiding anything that might lead a big decision

>
>> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>> >> BOGUS!"
>> >
>> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
>> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
>> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.
>>
>> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
>> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's

>> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
>> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.
>
> Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
>newsgroup spelling cop either!

you are right

you are just not honest enough to fess up to what you do

after all nothing is your fault
>
>> >> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
>> >> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.
>> >
>> > Why are you lying, Frank?
>>
>> I won't answer a question the requires me to accept a premise that is
>> not true.
>
> The fact is that I DID "graduate beyond the yellow footsteps".
>
> I've provided more than a few references that any reasonably
>"connected" Marine, ex-Marine, retired Marine or just plain old Joe or
>JoAnn Citizen could corroborate as true.

indeed I think you serve as to the quality of that service or wether
you were chaeting Us Sam out of your paycheck is a another matter
>
> You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
>admission were a ####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
>just ONE enlistment...let alone four.

indeed here you explain a lot about yourself

accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
RRAP and likely in real life
>
>> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>> >> graduated from MCRD.
>> >
>> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
>> >said before.
>>
>> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
>> Sure thing, Dudly.
>
> And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
>off facts.
>
> The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
>"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.

>
> Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.

again you want other to your work

is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?

>
> You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
>drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
>archives you also claim to have access to...
>
> What's up with that?
>
>> > Frank, let's face it...
>> >
>> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
>> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
>>
>> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>
>
> What feelings?
>
> Feelings are characteristic of human beings.

ah yes noone you dislike is even human

borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
come to expect for you
>
>> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
>> >
>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
>> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
>> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.
>
> Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble
>opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
>is obscene.

you got something right you are not humble but then NO ONE has accused
you of that here, and likely nowhere else either

>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4215. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 17:03:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130346235.637103.4500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122041

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 25 Oct 2005 05:21:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > >> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> > > >> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
> > > >> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
> > > >> Wiseass.
> > > >>
> > > >> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> > > >>
> > > >> Enjoy.
> > > >
> > > >Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.
> > >
> > > well showing your a true Bomb throwing anarch
> > ^^
> >
> > Well, well, Marky, showing you truly are a fucking imbecile.

how?
by pointing you are a general attacking attacking anything and anyone

much like Stevie

4216. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 17:49:49 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <88ca8$435fc1a1$4e0cf81$24491@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It is my personal opinion that God doesn't necessarily hate fags but he hates what fags do.

Submitted for your reading pleasure and education,
The following info is from
www.GodHatesFags.com

a.. SODOMY IS AN ABOMINABLE SIN, WORTHY OF DEATH.
a.. "If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them." Leviticus 20:13. "Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them." Romans 1:32.
b.. See also Leviticus 18:22, Genesis 19, Romans 1:18-32, I Corinthians 6:9-11, I Timothy 1:10, and Jude 7.

a.. SODOMITES ARE PROUD OF THEIR SIN ("GAY PRIDE"), AND IN THAT PRIDEFUL STATE THEY CANNOT REPENT - YOU CANNOT REPENT OF SOMETHING YOU'RE PROUD OF.
a.. "Were they ashamed when they had committed abomination? nay, they were not at all ashamed, neither could they blush: therefore they shall fall among them that fall: at the time that I visit them they shall be cast down, saith the LORD." Jeremiah 6:15.
b.. See also Jeremiah 13:23, Romans 1:24-32, Ezekiel 16:49-50, etc.
a.. THE SODOMITES' ONLY HOPE IS TO HAVE THE UNAMBIGUOUS TRUTH PREACHED TO THEM, AND PERHAPS GOD WILL SOFTEN THEIR HEARTS AND GRANT THEM REPENTANCE TO DEPART FROM THEIR SIN AND NAME THE NAME OF CHRIST. NOT VERY LIKELY, THOUGH, SINCE GOD HAS GIVEN THEM UP.
a.. "Nevertheless the foundation of God standeth sure, having this seal, The Lord knoweth them that are his. And, Let every one that nameth the name of Christ depart from iniquity." II Timothy 2:19. "Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness...unto vile affections...to a reprobate mind." Romans 1:24-28.
b.. See also II Timothy 2:24-26, Psalm 5:5, I Corinthians 6:9-11, Acts 17:30, Jude 22,23, etc.
a.. THERE IS A HELL WHERE ALL IMPENITENT SINNERS WILL RESIDE FOR ALL ETERNITY. THAT INCLUDES SODOMITES (CALLED "DOGS").
a.. "And they shall go forth, and look upon the carcasses of the men that have transgressed against me: for their worm shall not die, neither shall their fire be quenched; and they shall be an abhorring unto all flesh." Isaiah 66:24. "For without are dogs..." Revelation 22:15.
b.. See also Mark 9:44, Luke 16:23, Revelation 20:15.
In summary, sodomites are wicked and sinners before the Lord exceedingly (Gen. 13:13), are violent and doom nations (Gen. 19:1-25; Jgs. 19), are abominable to God (Lev. 18:22), are worthy of death for their vile,

depraved, unnatural sex practices (Lev. 20:13; Rom. 1:32), are called dogs because they are filthy, impudent and libidinous (Deut. 23:17,18; Mat. 7:6; Phil. 3:2), produce by their very presence in society a kind of mass intoxication from their wine made from grapes of gall from the vine of Sodom and the fields of Gomorrah which poisons society's mores with the poison of dragons and the cruel venom of asps (Deut. 32:32,33), declare their sin and shame on their countenance (Isa. 3:9), are shameless and unable to blush (Jer. 6:15), are workers of iniquity and hated by God (Psa. 5:5), are liars and murderers (Jn. 8:44), are filthy and lawless (2 Pet. 2:7,8), are natural brute beasts (2 Pet. 2:12), are dogs eating their own vomit and sows wallowing in their own feces (2 Pet. 2:22), will proliferate at the end of the world bringing final judgment on mankind (Lk. 17:28-30), have been finally given up by God to uncleanness dishonoring their own bodies among themselves, to vile affections, and to a reprobate mind such that they cannot think straight about anything (Rom. 1:23-28), have wholly given themselves over to fornication and gone after strange flesh (Jude 7), must be pulled as faggots from the fire (Jude 23), and have no hope of Heaven unless they repent (Rev. 22:15), which they can't do in their prideful state (Jer. 6:15). They need to hear this truth if they are to have any hope of penitence, faith in Jesus Christ and salvation (I Timothy 4:2-4).

If this Gospel truth offends you, TOUGH.

Ace - WH2T

"clvrmnky" <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote in message news:HI97f.787$43.747@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> On 19/10/2005 4:33 AM, Dr.Ace wrote:
>> From the Bible (New International Version)
>> Leviticus 18:22
>> 22 " 'Do not lie with a man as one lies with a woman; that is detestable.
>> Punishments for Sin
>> Leviticus 20:13
>>
>> 13 " 'If a man lies with a man as one lies with a woman, both of them
>> have done what is detestable.
>> They must be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads. "
>>
>
> Leviticus 19:19
>
> "Ye shall keep my statutes. Thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a
> diverse kind: thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed: neither
> shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee."
>
> So, those 50/50 cotton/poly t-shirts are out of the question, then?
>
> Other parts of Leviticus warn against those unclean shellfish, so those
> are forbidden too, lest you anger God. So, no more lobster dinners. Or
> growing more than one crop in your fields. Or not letting ground lay
> fallow on Jubilee years.

>
> In a more symbolic reading, to Christians, Leviticus is part of the
> prophecy of the coming of the messiah and his eventual death on the cross
> as a sin offering. It is not specifically about Jewish law and regulation
> for worship or marriage.
>
> For Jews, Leviticus is specific instructions for the house of Aaron, the
> tribe of priests. It may also be an important early part of the Torah in
> the Talmudic tradition.
>
> For anthropologists and biblical scholars it is an interesting example of
> the rules created by early Judaic pastoralists (contemporaries of the
> early Judaic people did not share many of these rules) specifically
> dealing with the codification of items like food, clothing, kinship and
> land ownership into distinct groups. Those items (like shellfish) which
> could not be placed easily in a particular grouping (i.e., neither of the
> land nor the sea) were declared "unclean" (and there is some disagreement
> if this translation is even accurate.) Only items which fit into a
> specific group or place were considered holy enough for the Aaronites.
>
> The verse you quote is part of a series of laws regarding marriage, sex
> and childbirth; these rules followed many of the same requirements
> regarding categorization and grouping.
>
> There is a lot of interesting reading available about these early
> monotheistic pastoralists and Leviticus is a very well studied, but poorly
> understood, part of that history. There is a fair amount of disagreement
> not only on what it means, but who wrote it and why. There also happen to
> be some troublesome translation problems in Leviticus, not unlike much of
> the old testament.
>
> In short, applying the laws and pronouncements of Leviticus to a modern
> situation to completely different times and people is not really helpful.
> I encourage people who do so to remember that you shouldn't just pick and
> choose the rules you like; that would be presumptuous. If one is going to
> go around quoting Leviticus admonishing people to follow it as if it was
> God's literal word on Earth, then they had better make sure they are
> following it as strictly as they would have others do.
>
> "Let he who is without sin cast the first stone."
>
> --
> Clever "Straight but not Narrow" Monkey

4217. Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 17:53:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130349234.311227.157060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

MARKIE'S ABORTION OF SUBJECT LINE CHANGE:

"Stevie claims to personal the govt, again"

WTF was that, Markie?

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 09:26:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2005 03:58:45 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1130324325.770940.251250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >
> >> >> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
> >> >> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> More horse#### from Gunny Dudly.
> >> >
> >> > No...More lies from Frank Gilliland. But then you've made a
> >> >career of lying, haven't you...?!?!
> >>
> >> More projection from Gunny Dudly.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!
> >
> > You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
> >from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.
>
> now you are really crossing into new territory

Nope.

> you have no way of making that statement

Sure I do.

That lying SOB swore an oath to support and defend the
Constitution, wherein he ALSO swore to obey those appointed senior to

him.

He freely brags about having disobeyed orders and subsequently was
court martialed...Not once, but TWICE, for his conduct.

> > No one, save for you, is projecting anything.
> >
> >> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
> >> >
> >> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
> >> >enemy.
> >>
> >> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.
> >
> > If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
> >riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
> >shots?
> >
> > PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC
> >training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".
>
> making up claims for frank again

Nope.

Frank suggests that it's NOT a Marine's job to kill his enemy.

Follw the thread back and read for yourself, Markie.

> > Just one...?!?!
> >
> >> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
> >> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.
> >>
> >> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
> >> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.
> >
> > Sure it is.
> >
> >> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
> >> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
> >>
> >> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
> >> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
> >> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.
> >
> > Frank, you're a documented liar already.
>
> where?

Where he swore to uphold his oath, and his frequent assertions
that I did not serve in the United States Marine Corps, among others.

> Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot

Nope...You asked, I told you.

And Frankie's only reason to be in this forum were to try and
"undermine" my USMC service claims on your behalf.

> > Why continue the charade?
> >
> > YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
> >alone mine.
>
> he isn't sure there is anything to critique

He's an idiot. You two have a lot in common.

> off hand I think he is mistaken on that count I think you had one. one
> of keeping your head down covering your ass (big job there I guess)
> and avoiding anything that might lead a big decision

And therein YOU'D be wrong again.

But then it's a habit for you.

> >> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
> >> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
> >> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
> >> >> BOGUS!"
> >> >
> >> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
> >> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
> >> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.
> >>
> >> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
> >> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's
> >> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
> >> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.
> >
> > Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
> >newsgroup spelling cop either!
>
> you are right
>
> you are just not honest enough to fess up to what you do
>
> after all nothing is your fault

I do my best to make sure I have my bets covered, Markie. That's
just a prudent thing to do.

Frankie claimed I "profess" to be the "newsgroup spelling cop".
That was a lie. I've never done it.

That I correct YOUR spelling does not make me the "newsgroup
spelling cop"...It just means I am prodding you to bring your writing

skills up to at least late grade school or junior high school.

> >> >> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
> >> >> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.
> >> >
> >> > Why are you lying, Frank?
> >>
> >> I won't answer a question the requires me to accept a premise that is
> >> not true.
> >
> > The fact is that I DID "graduate beyond the yellow footsteps".
> >
> > I've provided more than a few references that any reasonably
> >"connected" Marine, ex-Marine, retired Marine or just plain old Joe or
> >JoAnn Citizen could corroborate as true.
>
> indeed I think you serve as to the quality of that service or wether
> you were chaeting Us Sam out of your paycheck is a another matter

Yet another collection of words that need to be re-arranged into
something comprehensible.

> > You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
> >admission were a ####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
> >just ONE enlistment...let alone four.
>
> indeed here you explain a lot about yourself

Nope. I have a Marine Corps Good Conduct Medal with four bronze
stars on it (Not THE "Bonze Star"...the small attachments that signify
a multiple issue of the same award)

> accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
> RRAP and likely in real life

The "guilt" is Frankie's and is from HIS admissions, Markie.

> >> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
> >> >> graduated from MCRD.
> >> >
> >> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
> >> >said before.
> >>
> >> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
> >> Sure thing, Dudly.
> >
> > And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
> >off facts.
> >
> > The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
> >"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.
> >

> > Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.
>
> again you want other to your work

Try that in English.

> is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?

What "work"...???

He claims I didn't do something. I provided verifiable references.

> > You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
> >drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
> >archives you also claim to have access to...
> >
> > What's up with that?
> >
> >> > Frank, let's face it...
> >> >
> >> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
> >> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
> >>
> >> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>
> >
> > What feelings?
> >
> > Feelings are characteristic of human beings.
>
> ah yes noone you dislike is even human

You and Frankie are high on that list, however you have a track
record that substantiates my claims.

> borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
> come to expect for you

"Boring"

No...Only you, Brian, and Lennie. Frankie's not even a regular
here...Just a lurker with a competency and confidence deficit.

> >> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
> >> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
> >> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
> >> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.
> >
> > Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble
> >opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
> >is obscene.

>
> you got something right you are not humble but then NO ONE has accused
> you of that here, and likely nowhere else either

I didn't say anything about MY "humbleness", Markie...

But there you go showing off that English comprehension problem of
yours again....

Steve, K4YZ

4218. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 17:56:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130349415.126774.105480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 04:02:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
> >> >> >too.
> >> >>
> >> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
> >> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
> >> >
> >> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
> >> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
> >>
> >> another lie Stevie
> >
> > Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
> >people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
>
> no

So you're promiscuous with ALL species...

LASSIE! LOOK OUT!

> and you are lying in stating I am

Are what? Gay? You ARE gay. You've admitted it.

And bigoted? You ARE bigoted!

> >> when are you going to stop
> >
> > Stop what?
>
> stop lying

What lying?

> everyone knows you are lying

No, not "everyone" does.

Steve, K4YZ

4219. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 18:04:23 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <c3db7$435fc506$4e0cf81$24745@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark,
You're a sicko

In 1973, the weight of empirical data, coupled by changing social norms and the development of a politically active gay community in the United States, led the Board of Directors of the American Psychiatric Association to remove homosexuality from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM). Their decision was supported in 1974 by a vote of the membership.
Subsequently, a new diagnosis, ego-dystonic homosexuality, was created for the DSM's third edition in 1980. Ego dystonic homosexuality was indicated by: (1) a persistent lack of heterosexual arousal, which the patient experienced as interfering with initiation or maintenance of wanted heterosexual relationships, and (2) persistent distress from a sustained pattern of unwanted homosexual arousal.

The new diagnostic category, however, was criticized professionally on numerous grounds. It was viewed by many as a political compromise to appease those psychiatrists - mainly psychoanalysts - who still considered homosexuality a pathology. Others questioned the appropriateness of having a separate diagnosis that described the content of an individual's dysphoria. They argued that the psychological problems related to ego-dystonic homosexuality could be treated as well by other general diagnostic categories, and that the existence of the diagnosis perpetuated antigay stigma.

Moreover, widespread prejudice against homosexuality in the United States meant that "almost all people who are homosexual first go through a phase in which their homosexuality is ego dystonic," according to the American Psychiatric Association.

In 1986, the diagnosis was removed entirely from the DSM. The only vestige of ego dystonic homosexuality in the revised DSM-III occurred under Sexual Disorders Not Otherwise Specified, which included persistent and marked distress about one's sexual orientation (American Psychiatric Association, 1987; see Bayer, 1987, for an account of the events leading up to the 1973 and 1986 decisions).

Text of APA resolutions Some psychologists and psychiatrists may personally hold negative attitudes toward homosexuality.

Notes

1. Although Kinsey's studies are often cited as documenting that 10% of the U.S. population is gay, Kinsey did not categorize his research

participants according to sexual orientation. Instead, he chose to emphasize sexual behavior and fantasy. In addition, because Kinsey did not collect his data from a probability sample, valid inferences cannot be made from them to the larger population.
For a discussion of how the Kinsey data came to be widely understood as supporting the ten percent figure, see Voeller (1990). Support for the ten percent figure was also provided by Paul Gebhard (director of the Kinsey Institute) in a 1977 memo to the National Gay Task Force.

Ace - WH2T

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:enbvl113e3ml2t52i448in68em0vfhohop@4ax.com...
> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>>> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> > >
>>> > > >
>>> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>>> cut
>>> > > >
>>> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
>>> > >
>>> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
>>> > > illness Mr Slit
>>> >
>>> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
>>>
>>> more lies Mr Slit
>>
>>Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
>
> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> never been mental ill
>
> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
>
> _____

4220. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Chassell Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 18:26:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130351171.436878.16280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> >> cut
> >> > > > >
> >> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> >> > >
> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> >> > > illness Mr Slit
> >> >
> >> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
> >>
> >> more lies Mr Slit
> >
> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. ####.
>
> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> never been mental ill

Yes, you have. According to YOUR own words, you've been treated
for emotional instability.

> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie

I wasn't the source.

You were.

Steve, K4YZ

4221. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Chassell Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 19:50:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <WJCdnaeyK538UsLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
>>never been mental ill
>
>
> Yes, you have. According to YOUR own words, you've been treated
> for emotional instability.

He obviously needs a lot more treatment.

4222. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 19:55:14 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122048
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
>
> I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have read of
> his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
>
>
147? Yeah right.

4223. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 20:04:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vjR7f.1817$RI1.1790@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122049

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> ...you confuse seeing a phycritrsit...

Classic, simply classic.

> Dave has asserted the statnard is would anybody arest him for it

No, Dave has never made any such assertion.

> Brain is on as good or better grounds thann Dave

That is incorrect on numerous counts. I have written licensing documents for each of my DXCC operations. All have been submitted to the ARRL and all have been accepted for DXCC credit.

This looks like another example of (the former Colonel) Mark Morgan, not having any idea of what he writes about. Don't worry if you have no facts, Mark. You can always manufacture some.

Dave K8MN

4224. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 20:05:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130357101.934233.59020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122050

kb9rqz@AfterMaleSuitorsSuggestingAnalTag.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 04:17:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 12:40:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 12:11:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 02:23:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> YOU have gone for several months now repeating lie after lie with
> >> >> >>absolutley NO concern for the validity of your statement or the
> >> >> >>consequences of the lie...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> not lying therefore no concern about it
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure you are. Repeatedly.
> >> >>
> >> >> no I am not
> >> >
> >> > Yes, you are.
> >>
> >> what lie?
> >
> > We'll start off with your lie about NOT being a mental health
> >patient, which I later proved...
>
> no lie there
>
> you simply don't seem to understand the concept of "past" and Present"
> and you confuse seeing a phycritrsit for being confined to e mental
> hospital

Past...present...The "tense" was not stated nor relevent.

The FACTS are that once you are a mental health patient, you ARE a
mental health patient.

> >> >> you simply in the most literal sense don't know the meaning of the
> >> >> word "lie"
> >> >
> >> > Sure I do.
> >>
> >> you don't use the word correctly thought
> >
> > "though"

> >
> > Sure I do.
>
> start at any time

See...You're confused again...You're looking for me BEHIND you.

I'm already AHEAD of you.

Just waiting on you to catch up, fatboy.

> >> >> it does not mean disagreeing with you
> >> >
> >> > You're the one with the comprehension deficits, Markie...Not me.
> >>
> >> I understand what you typing better than you do it seems
> >
> > Nope. You don't. As a matter of fact you leave yourself open to
> >countless humiliations due to YOUR inaccuracies "typing".
>
> yes I do
>
> I understand what you saying

Obviously not.

> that you can't repeat a statement without distorting it and changing
> the meaning is obvious

I've not distorted anything, Markie.

YOU are the one with the distortion problems.

> >> again from Brain
> >>
> >> Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
> >> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
> >> in itself a lie.
> >
> > I never said it was.
> >
> > However specifically misrepresenting points of fact >>IS<< lying.
> >
> > Since you bring up Brainless, we'll use HIM for an example.
> >
> > (1) Brain states that "unlicensed devices" play a major role in
> >disaster communications. They don't. Never have. Current
> >circumstances in Louisiana prove it.
>
> no you are wrong nothing of the kinds has proven indeed Brain
> statement would seem to be correct

No, Brain's statement was NOT "correct".

Again, as has been proven by recent events.

> > (2) Brain asserts his operation from Somalia was "legal" It
> >never was. It was a bootleg operation at best.
>
> Dave has asserted the statnard is would anybody arest him for it

WTF is a "statnard"...?!?! And "arest" who for what?

> Brain is on as good or better grounds thann Dave

I doubt it.

> > (3) Brain stated ARES was "overblown" (as have you), and that
> >"over extended" volunteers wouldn't step up. Again, current
> >circumstances in Louisiana disprove THAT too.
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> according the materail posted by DAN

Such as?

According to over 50 different news items I have read in the
general media (and without a single reference to ARRL) Amateur Radio is
being lauded at every turn for the Katrina/Rita mission.

So far I've not seen a SINGLE article that mentions FRS, 11 meter
CB, GMRS, MURS, etc etc etc.

And Brain's initial claim was IRT cellphones. First of all,
cellphones are NOT "unlicensed devices". The end user does not require
a license, however the common carrier that provides the service does.
That cellphone DOES operate under an FCC license.

And given past performeance for truth and validity of content, I
am far more disposed to taking those 50+ articles at face value than I
am the OPINION of a documented liar.

> >> >> but as you prove in another post/threat anyone that opposes your try
> >> >> and imply they are a pedophile
> >> >
> >> > No, YOU "prove" that there is reason to suspect your behaviour.
> >>
> >> more Stevie lies
> >
> > Nope. The same conditions YOU state in the very paragraph above,
> >Markie.
> >
> >> I was talking about you impling Len was a pedo
> >
> > "pedo" what?
>

> you implued Len was pedo don't you rememebr

"implued"...?!?! You meant "implied"...?!?!

> pedo BTW is ofen used on it own

On it's own for WHAT?

For "pedophile"...?!?!

Not in THIS forum.

> so the spelling cop blows it again

Nope. You did.

> >> you don't even keep track of your lies
> >
> > If there was one to keep track of, I'd keep it on a leash...Just
> >like would you...
> "Just like would you.."
>
> you might try finishing your statement

I did.

> >> >> you havea micron thin skin and if you have the use of much in the way
> >> >> of brains they are traped inside your hate and your zenophobia
> >> >
> >> > "trapped" "xenophobia"
> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >>
> >> yep
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> >> >> you threaten people over and over agian
> >> >
> >> > And you make the same improper accusations of threats.
> >>
> >> nothing improper about it
> >
> > Sure there is.
>
> indeed I am sure you don't think it is improper to threaten people as
> long as you don't mean it

I haven't threatened anyone.

> indeed I can see waves a gun around and wondering why somebody shoots
> you for it

No...that's YOU, Cowboy Markie.

Stevie knows how to USE a firearm, including the times to unholster
it, how to unholster it, how to identify myself to law enforcement as
having my weapon on my person, and where I can carry it (meaning into
certain buildings and businesses...not where on my person...)

> >> you threaten folks
> >
> > (1) No, I don't, and if I did, (2) not with shotguns
>
> you sure do

Nope.

> and no you were not spefic about the weapon

"specific"

And I WOULDN'T be specific about a weapon.

> >> you threaten everyone that disagrees with you to one extent or another
> >
> > Those who have claimed some sort of threat are those who also
> >express some sort of paranoia, Markie. you express a LOT of paranoia.
>
> ah yess all those that opose are also paranoid to let they sufer from
> Homoseualy Pedophilia and paranoia one and all

"yes" "oppose" "suffer" "homosexuality"

No, not one and all.

However YOU have managed to manifest SEVERAL of those categories.

WTG!

> sure sounds like you are projecting again

Nope.

> >> > And it's "again".
> >> >
> >> >> grow up the wolrd does not move on your word
> >> >
> >> > "world"
> >> >
> >> > Actually, some part of it does...Obviously my "words" make YOUR
> >> >fingers move, Markie...
> >
> > Obviously.

Obviously again.

Steve. K4YZ

4225. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Chassell Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 20:07:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130357247.819388.323450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> >>never been mental ill
> >
> >
> > Yes, you have. According to YOUR own words, you've been treated
> > for emotional instability.
>
> He obviously needs a lot more treatment.

He NEEDS confinement to a long term care facility. No shoe laces,
soft music, rubber padded walls, plastic "silverware" counted in and
out of the lunchroom, etc etc etc...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4226. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 20:10:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz
Message-ID: <aiovl15uits3fhbi4euptq1f9h2ii7o41e@4ax.com>
Source record: 122052

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > ...you confuse seeing a phycritrsit...
>
> Classic, simply classic.
>
> > Dave has asserted the statnard is would anybody arest him for it
>
> No, Dave has never made any such assertion.
>
> > Brain is on as good or better grounds thann Dave
>
> That is incorrect on numerous counts. I have written licensing
> documents for each of my DXCC operations. All have been submitted to
> the ARRL and all have been accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> This looks like another example of (the former Colonel) Mark Morgan, not
> having any idea of what he writes about. Don't worry if you have no
> facts, Mark. You can always manufacture some.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
Here's an indespitalbe fcat, I love men for sex.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4227. LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 20:34:04 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130358844.379780.147810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN BULLETIN - B051026A -

LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) LEGAL DEPARTMENT:

AARA is literally flooded these days with E-Mails and calls regarding K1MAN's on going battle with the FCC. A trip to a local law library has been recommended to hundreds of people. Look at 47 CFR Part 1, Subparts A and B. Of particular interest has been 47 CFR Pt. 1.62:

"(a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the time of expiration of license any proper and timely application for renewal of license with respect to any activity of a continuing nature (such as amateur radio), in accordance with the provisions of section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedures Act, such license shall remain in effect until such time as the Commission shall make a final determination with respect to the renewal application."

The FCC has acknowledged K1MAN's timely filed renewal application on their web site. Look up K1MAN on www.QRZ.com and click on FCC INFO.

If Hollingsworth and Company try and argue in court that Granting K1MAN's recent timely filed renewal application and then "setting it aside" the very next day constitutes "final determination with respect to the renewal application," thus satisfying 47 CFR Pt. 1.62 and the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution which guarantees due process of law, they will lose in the D.C. Court of Appeals if not lose in front of a Commission Administrative Law Judge. Just doing nothing more than "setting the license aside," as they have so pathetically tried so far, is not going to "cut it" at IARN and AARA, and K1MAN has no other choices other than to "give up on America" (not in this lifetime!) or to continue operating under 47 CFR Pt. 1.62 (2):

"A licensee operating by virtue of this paragraph shall, after the date of expiration in the license, post, in addition to the original license, any acknowledgment received from the Commission that the renewal application has been accepted for filing.

Note that the FCC web site lists K1MAN's renewal application as "PENDING." A printout of this has been posted next to K1MAN's original (now expired) license per 47 CFR 1.62 (2), and K1MAN on air operations continue under this entitled authority.

Secondly, federal law does not allow anything alleged in an unpaid NAL to be brought up in any other proceeding such as a renewal hearing or revocation hearing. The April 2005 $21,000 NAL against K1MAN has not been affirmed by the Commission and is illegal anyway since the substantive issues therein are the same as the ones in the unpaid 1990 NALs illegally "deep sixed" by the Commission years ago.

America is a land of laws and not of cheap and corrupt men like Hollingsworth and Company. He must have been sick on the day they taught law at law school, wherever that might have been. He should have gotten a note from his mother and made up that ENTIRE day! How much of YOUR tax money has poorly educated Hollingsworth and Company wasted already on old K1MAN? If there are valid issues with K1MAN (or W1AW and ARRL), let's go to court and be done with it. I will even buy Hollingsworth's lunch! Until that day comes, K1MAN continues to operate on the air under entitled authority CFR 47 Pt. 1.62. 73 and GL de K1MAN

AARA Membership

www.K1MAN.com

GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY

BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH

4228. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 20:59:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130360381.560065.41650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122054

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 25 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	2892	916
Indeterminate (note 1)	180	69
Value for Percentages	2708	847
Against NPRM (note 2)	846 [30.26%]	294 [34.71%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1434 [52.95%]	398 [46.99%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	428 [15.81%]	155 [18.30%]

This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 3 PM EDT 26 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, one foreign citizen
submission, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4229. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 22:17:34 UTC
From: TOM <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <2gT7f.181618$qY1.77576@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:cGK7f.503955$5N3.189857@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I'm scanning 75, 40 and 20 meters for disaster relief communication
related
> to hurrican Wilma---I haven't heard anything yet. Any suggestions for
> frequencies to monitor are appreciated.

I monitored SATERN around 1400Z this morning, I heard check-ins but no traffic exchanged. Anyone come across nets handling disaster relief communications related to hurricane Wilma? Please provide frequency of operation.

4230. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 22:27:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130365638.151442.160240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122056

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
> like it or not.

And you'll STILL not be a part of it, one way or the other.

Just another sideline sitter.....

Steve, K4YZ

4231. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 22:39:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130366356.119889.13230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122057

kb9rqz wrote:
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > ...you confuse seeing a phycritrsit...
> >
> > Classic, simply classic.
> >
> > > Dave has asserted the statnard is would anybody arest him for it
> >
> > No, Dave has never made any such assertion.
> >
> > > Brain is on as good or better grounds thann Dave
> >
> > That is incorrect on numerous counts. I have written licensing
> > documents for each of my DXCC operations. All have been submitted to
> > the ARRL and all have been accepted for DXCC credit.
> >
> > This looks like another example of (the former Colonel) Mark Morgan, not
> > having any idea of what he writes about. Don't worry if you have no
> > facts, Mark. You can always manufacture some.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> Here's an indespitalbe fcat, I love men for sex.

That's because you and the real KFC Colonel Marky Moron are faggots,
Davies.

4232. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 22:43:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130366588.216874.206210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> >> cut
> >> > > > >
> >> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> >> > >
> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> >> > > illness Mr Slit
> >> >
> >> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
> >>
> >> more lies Mr Slit
> >
> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
>
> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> never been mental ill

Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.

> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie

I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
every post.

4233. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 22:51:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130367071.909978.48720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122059

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 25 Oct 2005 05:21:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > >> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
> > > > >> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
> > > > >> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
> > > > >> Wiseass.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Enjoy.
> > > > >
> > > > >Coward under fire, huh? Just like you were in 'nam.
> > > >
> > > > well showing your a true Bomb throwing anarch
> > > ^^
> > >
> > > Well, well, Marky, showing you truly are a fucking imbecile.
> >
> > how?

Marky Chuckles Moron proves my point again.

> by pointing you are a general attacking attacking anything and anyone

I never claimed to be a General, Marky. Unlike you, who claims to be a
"Colonel," (which is in reality a lie) stupid. But you were only
commissioned by KFC.

> much like Stevie

No, Steve was a Gunnery Sgt. not a General, dumbass.

4234. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 22:58:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ce20m1hjcfmm28osk38se9cdnua5o18bov@4ax.com>
Source record: 122060

On 26 Oct 2005 15:39:16 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz wrote:
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > ...you confuse seeing a phycritrsit...
>> >
>> > Classic, simply classic.
>> >
>> > > Dave has asserted the statnard is would anybody arest him for it
>> >
>> > No, Dave has never made any such assertion.
>> >
>> > > Brain is on as good or better grounds thann Dave
>> >
>> > That is incorrect on numerous counts. I have written licensing
>> > documents for each of my DXCC operations. All have been submitted to
>> > the ARRL and all have been accepted for DXCC credit.
>> >
>> > This looks like another example of (the former Colonel) Mark Morgan, not
>> > having any idea of what he writes about. Don't worry if you have no
>> > facts, Mark. You can always manufacture some.
>> >
>> > Dave K8MN
>> >
>> Here's an indespitalbe fcat, I love men for sex.
>
>That's because you and the real KFC Colonel Marky Moron are faggots,
>Davies.

pathetic the way you are posting for yourself to reply too

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4235. Thank you Dr ACE for posting the proof I suffer from my mental illness related to sexualy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:00:51 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <eg20m1l1ec5rf3d8emv5pffmaf7spdqi8v@4ax.com>
Source record: 122061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 13:04:23 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

> Mark,
> You're a sicko

nope

I read throughly the materail you proveded it makes clear since I meet
non eof the repuirement for classed as mental ill
given I am comfortable with my sexualy I even meet the older DSM-3
Standard

you have posted proof I am in my way pathological

Thank you "dr" Ace
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> In 1973, the weight of empirical data, coupled by changing social
>norms and the development of a politically active gay community in the
>United States, led the Board of Directors of the American Psychiatric
>Association to remove homosexuality from the Diagnostic and Statistical
>Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM). Their decision was supported in 1974 by a
>vote of the membership.
> Subsequently, a new diagnosis, ego-dystonic homosexuality, was created
>for the DSM's third edition in 1980. Ego dystonic homosexuality was
>indicated by: (1) a persistent lack of heterosexual arousal, which the
>patient experienced as interfering with initiation or maintenance of wanted
>heterosexual relationships, and (2) persistent distress from a sustained
>pattern of unwanted homosexual arousal.
>
> The new diagnostic category, however, was criticized professionally on
>numerous grounds. It was viewed by many as a political compromise to appease
>those psychiatrists - mainly psychoanalysts - who still considered
>homosexuality a pathology. Others questioned the appropriateness of having a
>separate diagnosis that described the content of an individual's dysphoria.
>They argued that the psychological problems related to ego-dystonic

>homosexuality could be treated as well by other general diagnostic
>categories, and that the existence of the diagnosis perpetuated antigay
>stigma.
>
> Moreover, widespread prejudice against homosexuality in the United
>States meant that "almost all people who are homosexual first go through a
>phase in which their homosexuality is ego dystonic," according to the
>American Psychiatric Association.
>
> In 1986, the diagnosis was removed entirely from the DSM. The only
>vestige of ego dystonic homosexuality in the revised DSM-III occurred under
>Sexual Disorders Not Otherwise Specified, which included persistent and
>marked distress about one's sexual orientation (American Psychiatric
>Association, 1987; see Bayer, 1987, for an account of the events leading up
>to the 1973 and 1986 decisions).
>
>
> Text of APA resolutions Some psychologists and psychiatrists may
>personally hold negative attitudes toward homosexuality.
>
> Notes
>
>
> 1. Although Kinsey's studies are often cited as documenting that
>10% of the U.S. population is gay, Kinsey did not categorize his research
>participants according to sexual orientation. Instead, he chose to emphasize
>sexual behavior and fantasy. In addition, because Kinsey did not collect his
>data from a probability sample, valid inferences cannot be made from them to
>the larger population.
> For a discussion of how the Kinsey data came to be widely understood
>as supporting the ten percent figure, see Voeller (1990). Support for the
>ten percent figure was also provided by Paul Gebhard (director of the Kinsey
>Institute) in a 1977 memo to the National Gay Task Force.
>
>
>
> Ace - WH2T
>
>
>
>
>
>
><kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>news:enbvl113e3ml2t52i448in68em0vfhohop@4ax.com...
>> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>>>> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>>>> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>> > >
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>>>> cut
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
>>>> > >
>>>> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
>>>> > > illness Mr Slit
>>>> >
>>>> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
>>>>
>>>> more lies Mr Slit
>>>
>>>Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
>>
>> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
>> never been mental ill
>>
>> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
>>
>> --
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4236. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:01:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cl20m1575l92utod9rsbt5llljuusdcuee@4ax.com>
Source record: 122062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>> >> cut
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
>> >> > > illness Mr Slit
>> >> >
>> >> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
>> >>
>> >> more lies Mr Slit
>> >
>> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
>>
>> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
>> never been mental ill
>
>Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
>
>> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
>
>I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
>every post.
I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4237. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Chassell Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:05:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3n20m15je86f6n7fklb6h2i515988e5dnt@4ax.com>
Source record: 122063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 26 Oct 2005 11:26:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>> >> cut
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
>> >> > > illness Mr Slit
>> >> >
>> >> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
>> >>
>> >> more lies Mr Slit
>> >
>> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. #####.
>>
>> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
>> never been mental ill
>
> Yes, you have.

I have never been locked up so Mr Slit stated a lie and you have tried
to repeat it

> According to YOUR own words, you've been treated
>for emotional instability.

I was treated yes after being raped by number of socalled stright men
it was an experence not to be faced without assitance

it the attitudes of people like you that give the mental health field
such a bad name

BTW at one time you offered I beleive to proivde the address and/or
phone for your nursing Broard, the offer still good?

>
>> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
>
> I wasn't the source.

No I wasn't since I have never said anything of the sort only you have

>
> You were.

another lie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4238. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:16:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130368576.591781.184010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> >> >> cut
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> >> >> > > illness Mr Slit
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
> >> >>
> >> >> more lies Mr Slit
> >> >
> >> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
> >>
> >> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> >> never been mental ill
> >
> >Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
> >
> >> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
> >
> >I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
> >every post.
> I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed

According to your "file" you have been locked up, Marky.

4239. Re: Mark Still Doesn't Get It...And Probably Never Will

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:17:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130368676.981638.126420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122065

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 15:39:16 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz wrote:
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > ...you confuse seeing a phycritrsit...
> >> >
> >> > Classic, simply classic.
> >> >
> >> > > Dave has asserted the statnard is would anybody arest him for it
> >> >
> >> > No, Dave has never made any such assertion.
> >> >
> >> > > Brain is on as good or better grounds thann Dave
> >> >
> >> > That is incorrect on numerous counts. I have written licensing
> >> > documents for each of my DXCC operations. All have been submitted to
> >> > the ARRL and all have been accepted for DXCC credit.
> >> >
> >> > This looks like another example of (the former Colonel) Mark Morgan, not
> >> > having any idea of what he writes about. Don't worry if you have no
> >> > facts, Mark. You can always manufacture some.
> >> >
> >> > Dave K8MN
> >> >
> >> Here's an indespitalbe fcat, I love men for sex.
> >
> >That's because you and the real KFC Colonel Marky Moron are faggots,
> >Davies.
>
> pathetic the way you are posting for yourself to reply too

Says the former locked up mental patient Marky who has several names he
posts under...Oh, the irony.

4240. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:35:08 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MoU7f.2281$yX2.1566@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Heard him on 14.275 this morning.

--

... Hank

http://home.earthlink.net/~horedson
http://home.earthlink.net/~w0rli
<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130358844.379780.147810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
K1MAN BULLETIN - B051026A -

LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND
INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) LEGAL DEPARTMENT:

AARA is literally flooded these days with E-Mails and calls regarding
K1MAN's on going battle with the FCC. A trip to a local law library
has been recommended to hundreds of people. Look at 47 CFR Part 1,
Subparts A and B. Of particular interest has been 47 CFR Pt. 1.62:

"(a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the time
of expiration of license any proper and timely application for renewal
of license with respect to any activity of a continuing nature (such
as amateur radio), in accordance with the provisions of section 9(b)
of the Administrative Procedures Act, such license shall remain in
effect until such time as the Commission shall make a final
determination with respect to the renewal application."

The FCC has acknowledged K1MAN's timely filed renewal application on
their web site. Look up K1MAN on www.QRZ.com and click on FCC INFO.

If Hollingsworth and Company try and argue in court that Granting
K1MAN's recent timely filed renewal application and then "setting it
aside" the very next day constitutes "final determination with respect
to the renewal application," thus satisfying 47 CFR Pt. 1.62 and the
14th Amendment to the United States Constitution which guarantees due
process of law, they will lose in the D.C. Court of Appeals if not
lose in front of a Commission Administrative Law Judge. Just doing
nothing more than "setting the license aside," as they have so
pathetically tried so far, is not going to "cut it" at IARN and AARA,
and K1MAN has no other choices other than to "give up on America" (not
in this lifetime!) or to continue operating under 47 CFR Pt. 1.62 (2):

"A licensee operating by virtue of this paragraph shall, after
the date of expiration in the license, post, in addition to the

original license, any acknowledgment received from the Commission that the renewal application has been accepted for filing.

Note that the FCC web site lists K1MAN's renewal application as "PENDING." A printout of this has been posted next to K1MAN's original (now expired) license per 47 CFR 1.62 (2), and K1MAN on air operations continue under this entitled authority.

Secondly, federal law does not allow anything alleged in an unpaid NAL to be brought up in any other proceeding such as a renewal hearing or revocation hearing. The April 2005 $21,000 NAL against K1MAN has not been affirmed by the Commission and is illegal anyway since the substantive issues therein are the same as the ones in the unpaid 1990 NALs illegally "deep sixed" by the Commission years ago.

America is a land of laws and not of cheap and corrupt men like Hollingsworth and Company. He must have been sick on the day they taught law at law school, wherever that might have been. He should have gotten a note from his mother and made up that ENTIRE day! How much of YOUR tax money has poorly educated Hollingsworth and Company wasted already on old K1MAN? If there are valid issues with K1MAN (or W1AW and ARRL), let's go to court and be done with it. I will even buy Hollingsworth's lunch! Until that day comes, K1MAN continues to operate on the air under entitled authority CFR 47 Pt. 1.62. 73 and GL de K1MAN

AARA Membership

www.K1MAN.com

GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY

BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH

4241. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:54:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130370889.437497.7470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
cut

> > >
> > > YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!
> > >
> > > You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
> > >from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.
> >
> > now you are really crossing into new territory
>
> Nope.

guess you are right you been in the lying range so long
>
> > you have no way of making that statement
>
> Sure I do.
>
> That lying SOB swore an oath to support and defend the
> Constitution, wherein he ALSO swore to obey those appointed senior to
> him.

One no reason but your word to say is lying SoB but his first duty is
the constitution entitirely possible to feel a conflict in which case
he is bound to disobey (rather like some solders at Abu Graib should
have done but that is another topic) even were he tried and found
guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
rightfull pay

you have presented nothing that allows you to state
>
> He freely brags about having disobeyed orders and subsequently was
> court martialed...Not once, but TWICE, for his conduct.

so what?

BTW your facts don't seem to be true

he has admited to making a mistake (haven't seen him says it was
violating orders) and taking a lumps and once to being tried and found
not guilty
>

> > > No one, save for you, is projecting anything.
> > >
> > >> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
> > >> >
> > >> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
> > >> >enemy.
> > >>
> > >> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.
> > >
> > > If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
> > >riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
> > >shots?
> > >
> > > PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC
> > >training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".
> >
> > making up claims for frank again
>
> Nope.
>
> Frank suggests that it's NOT a Marine's job to kill his enemy.

Can't speak for the USMC but the Army teaches it people that it is
better to wound the enemy in most cases ties at least 4 people that way

I suspect the USMC theaching much the same thing
>
> Follw the thread back and read for yourself, Markie.
>
> > > Just one...?!?!
> > >
> > >> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
> > >> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.
> > >>
> > >> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
> > >> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.
> > >
> > > Sure it is.
> > >
> > >> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
> > >> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
> > >>
> > >> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
> > >> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
> > >> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.
> > >
> > > Frank, you're a documented liar already.
> >
> > where?
>
> Where he swore to uphold his oath,

no such documentaion

> and his frequent assertions
> that I did not serve in the United States Marine Corps, among others.

he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie

if it were you would been guilty of lying when you say I have not
served in the Army, in those cases you are simply mistaken

>
> > Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot
>
> Nope...You asked, I told you.

another lie

no proof was offered

>
> And Frankie's only reason to be in this forum were to try and
> "undermine" my USMC service claims on your behalf.

I doubt that I think Frank was acting on his own

I believe you served just that you served very badly indeed
>
> > > Why continue the charade?
> > >
> > > YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
> > >alone mine.
> > >
> > > he isn't sure there is anything to critique
>
> He's an idiot.
of course he is he dares to oppose you,when are you going to publish
that dictionary of words as you use them

>You two have a lot in common.

I doubt we have much in common

One we both oppose you here

two we both have lived our lives

I supect that is about it
>
> > off hand I think he is mistaken on that count I think you had one. one
> > of keeping your head down covering your ass (big job there I guess)
> > and avoiding anything that might lead a big decision
>
> And therein YOU'D be wrong again.

maybe I would but I don't think so

>
> But then it's a habit for you.
>
> > >> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
> > >> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
> > >> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
> > >> >> BOGUS!"
> > >> >
> > >> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
> > >> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
> > >> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.
> > >>
> > >> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
> > >> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's
> > >> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
> > >> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.
> > >
> > > Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
> > >newsgroup spelling cop either!
> >
> > you are right
> >
> > you are just not honest enough to fess up to what you do
> >
> > after all nothing is your fault
>
> I do my best to make sure I have my bets covered, Markie. That's
> just a prudent thing to do.

no you don't do that either
>
> Frankie claimed I "profess" to be the "newsgroup spelling cop".
> That was a lie. I've never done it.

I agree he was in error

You are the cp just not hoest enough to admit it
cut

> > > You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
> > >admission were a ####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
> > >just ONE enlistment...let alone four.
> >
> > indeed here you explain a lot about yourself
>
> Nope. I have a Marine Corps Good Conduct Medal with four bronze
> stars on it (Not THE "Bonze Star"...the small attachments that signify
> a multiple issue of the same award)

so what?

means you kept you know out anything for years I am not impressed

>
> > accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
> > RRAP and likely in real life
>
> The "guilt" is Frankie's and is from HIS admissions, Markie.

no it isn't it comes from your distortion of his words

and tthat is hardly guilt
>
> > >> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
> > >> >> graduated from MCRD.
> > >> >
> > >> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
> > >> >said before.
> > >>
> > >> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
> > >> Sure thing, Dudly.
> > >
> > > And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
> > >off facts.
> > >
> > > The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
> > >"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.
> > >
> > > Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.
> >
> > again you want others to your work
>
> Try that in English.

calling you lazy again ahven't you seen that statement for enough to
reconize it
>
> > is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?
>
> What "work"...???

any work

>
> He claims I didn't do something. I provided verifiable references.

you asked him to do your homework
>
> > > You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
> > >drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
> > >archives you also claim to have access to...
> > >
> > > What's up with that?
> > >
> > >> > Frank, let's face it...
> > >> >

> > >> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
> > >> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
> > >>
> > >> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>
> > >
> > > What feelings?
> > >
> > > Feelings are characteristic of human beings.
> >
> > ah yes noone you dislike is even human
>
> You and Frankie are high on that list, however you have a track
> record that substantiates my claims.

indeed as close to admision as we ever see from Stevie the weasel

>
> > borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
> > come to expect for you
>
> "Boring"
>
> No...Only you, Brian, and Lennie.

which is about half of the regulars Stevie more than a thrid prehaps
less than half

>Frankie's not even a regular
> here...Just a lurker with a competency and confidence deficit.

but you treat him like one
>
> > >> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
> > >> >
> > >Steve, K4YZ
> > >>
> > >> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
> > >> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
> > >> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.
> > >
> > > Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble
> > >opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
> > >is obscene.
> >
> > you got something right you are not humble but then NO ONE has accused
> > you of that here, and likely nowhere else either
>
> I didn't say anything about MY "humbleness", Markie...

another lie "in my NOT so humble" that is part of the statement above
on your humbleness or rather the lack of it

>
> But there you go showing off that English comprehension problem of
> yours again....

no you show you don't evn bother to understand your own posts

>
> Steve, K4YZ

4242. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-26 23:58:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130371127.367080.99410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Hank Oredson wrote:
> Heard him on 14.275 this morning.
>
> --
gald to hear it having provoked this fight with the FCC he needs to
finish carry through to the end

4243. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:01:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130371275.577198.107810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> >> > >
> > > >> >> > > >
> > > >> >> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> > > >> >> cut
> > > >> >> > > >
> > > >> >> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> > > >> >> > >
> > > >> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> > > >> >> > > illness Mr Slit
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> more lies Mr Slit
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
> > > >>
> > > >> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> > > >> never been mental ill
> > > >
> > > >Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
> > >
> > > >> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
> > > >
> > > >I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
> > > >every post.
> > > I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed
> >
> > According to your "file" you have been locked up, Marky.

why are you lying Mr Slit?

If had been locked no file you could legaly have access to would tell you that or if you had access it would be a crime to disclose it contents

since it isn't true why bother telling the lie

I know thinks that Lying or being Bisexual gets you confined to a pysch ward but he doesn't know what he talking about

4244. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:02:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130371345.621729.325370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TOM wrote:
> TOM <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:cGK7f.503955$5N3.189857@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I'm scanning 75, 40 and 20 meters for disaster relief communication
> related
> > to hurrican Wilma---I haven't heard anything yet. Any suggestions for
> > frequencies to monitor are appreciated.
>
> I monitored SATERN around 1400Z this morning, I heard check-ins but no
> traffic exchanged. Anyone come across nets handling disaster relief
> communications related to hurricane Wilma? Please provide frequency of
> operation.

you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
not discussing issues

4245. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:05:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130371546.431043.121300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122071

K4YZ wrote:
> Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
>
> W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>
> Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> buddy...

a bit late for an obit
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4246. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:14:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <tt60m1hect68ilc15k1tuje9gdp5q5ka79@4ax.com>
Source record: 122073

On 26 Oct 2005 17:16:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
>> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
>> >
>> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>> >
>> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
>> > buddy...
>>
>> a bit late for an obit
>
> It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....

yes it is an obit

>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4247. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:16:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130372170.429376.151530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122072

steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
> >
> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >
> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> > buddy...
>
> a bit late for an obit

It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....

Steve, K4YZ

4248. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:18:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <u370m19u83jr6rgqd90c99gf6ncqevh519@4ax.com>
Source record: 122075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 26 Oct 2005 17:19:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
>> not discussing issues
>
> "newsgroup's"
>
> Scavaging for frequencies is not "issues".

Scavaging? gee sound like you issues to me

the guy has been looking for proof of ARS emergncy traffic and has had
trouble finding it

some thing I would expect him to have as most of the real stuff is
pretty local and unsung
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4249. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:19:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130372353.783938.306560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:

> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
> not discussing issues

"newsgroup's"

Scavaging for frequencies is not "issues".

Steve, K4YZ

4250. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:27:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <em70m19lmgt34qijaacr9mnsmsu5g12n0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 122078

On 26 Oct 2005 17:29:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 17:16:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
>> >> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
>> >> >
>> >> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>> >> >
>> >> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
>> >> > buddy...
>> >>
>> >> a bit late for an obit
>> >
>> > It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....
>>
>> yes it is an obit
>
> There you go demonstrating that comprehension deficit, Markie...
not at all

you are making a death anouncement and listing the highlights of the
dead fellow life

was a real short one
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4251. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:29:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130372947.497348.83340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122076

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 17:16:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> >> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
> >> >
> >> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >> >
> >> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> >> > buddy...
> >>
> >> a bit late for an obit
> >
> > It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....
>
> yes it is an obit

There you go demonstrating that comprehension deficit, Markie...

Get the Webster's out and look up "obituary"

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

4252. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:32:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130373150.373275.186340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> Hank Oredson wrote:
> > Heard him on 14.275 this morning.
> >
> > --
> gald to hear it having provoked this fight with the FCC he needs to
> finish carry through to the end

Most of us ARE galled to hear about Baxter, Markie....He's a
skidmark in the shorts of life as far as Amateur Radio goes.

This fight WILL get "carr(ied) through", Markie...Baxter's
collected so many black eyes in his record that I bet the deal is about
done for him.

Steve, K4YZ

4253. Re: RRAP Bulletin Board, was: Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:49:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ou80m1t6tvl6pg8ngdnen2aleq8rmsbrqq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122081

On 26 Oct 2005 17:49:23 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 18:50:18 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> >Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>> >>
>> >> >Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions"
>> >> >> a
>> >> >> few weeks ago.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Beep beep
>> >> >> de Hans, K0HB
>> >>
>> >> >Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
>> >> >couple thousand years.
>> >>
>> >> Wow, you've been on rrap longer than I thought!
>> >>
>> >> Beep beep
>> >> de Hans, K0HB
>> >
>> >Yup, a long time. But just now I've become the reason for the groups
>> >demise.
>> >
>> >I'm counting the dits till Mike builds his BBS and all the cool people
>> >move out.
>> >
>> >Anyone want to guess when that will be?
>>
>> a pool after the R&O is out
>
>Naw, they gotta make "thier" exit before the R&O or it will look like
>they tried to take "thier" ball and go home. Mike's got some coding to
>do.

he better hurry then after he have to get the others to check via
morse code and the fill will take a long time to send that way

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4254. Re: RRAP Bulletin Board, was: Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:49:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130374163.782568.233030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122079

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 18:50:18 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >KØHB wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >>
> >> >KØHB wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions"
> >> >> a
> >> >> few weeks ago.
> >> >>
> >> >> Beep beep
> >> >> de Hans, K0HB
> >>
> >> >Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
> >> >couple thousand years.
> >>
> >> Wow, you've been on rrap longer than I thought!
> >>
> >> Beep beep
> >> de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >Yup, a long time. But just now I've become the reason for the groups
> >demise.
> >
> >I'm counting the dits till Mike builds his BBS and all the cool people
> >move out.
> >
> >Anyone want to guess when that will be?
>
> a pool after the R&O is out

Naw, they gotta make "thier" exit before the R&O or it will look like
they tried to take "thier" ball and go home. Mike's got some coding to
do.

4255. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:51:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130374278.599974.169310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122080

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> grow up
> >
> >What does that mean in this context?
>
> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up

Ah.....So it's "wrong" to ask for a poster to clarify their
position...We're just supposed to look at all the pretty letters and
numbers then wonder?

> > Are you saying that I
> >should just shut up and not ask questions?
> >
> >>
> >> it is his count so he makes the rules he will use
> >
> >I'm just asking for explanations of those rules.
>
> again and again and again

Lennie ignores his request to clarify his parameters again and
again and again.

> Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone else if
> he chooses not

Sure he's under an "obligation". He posts here voluntarily, ergo
if he wants his "point" understood, he needs to explain the rationale
for his numbers.

To fail to do so is to just spam the NG with useless rhetoric.

Not that THAT is anything new for Lennie......

> >Is that not allowed?
>
> apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his refusal to
> answer to make exactly that determination

"Apparently"

In other words he can't do it. Lennie's clueless.

As are you in your defense of him.

> It is his count, his rules, and he is NOT accountable to you, or me or
> even the FCC or Father Chrismass for that matter

But he presumes to have us understand his numbers. He went to a
lot of work to prepare and post them.

WHY THEN, would he subsequently "refuse" to explain the rationale
behind his work?

Lennie alleges to be an engineer. This should NOT be beyond his
scope to acomplish...

Unless, like the spinmasters of central Europe of the 30's and
40's, his intent is to intentionally confuse and mislead.

THEN his refusal to pony-up some answers is perfectly
understandable.

> > Is it somehow not "grown up" to
> >ask questions about what those rules are?
>
> what you are doing, by going on and one about is interfere with others
> find the results of his work

But you can't find the "results of his work" if there's no common
standard in how the numbers were assayed, Markie.

> cut out of mercy to us all

No..."cut" because YOU can't understand what's being said.

> now grow up and take a hint he isn't going to answer you

Great...Now Lennie has an overgrown, functionally illiterate,
deviant, developmentally delayed "adult" running interference for him!

Next thing ya know Lennie will be getting DXCC tips from Brain!

Steve, K4YZ

4256. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:55:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130374528.567089.264180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 03:33:27 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130322807.916736.269630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >
> > >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > >
> > > Whole post snipped except for this:
> > >
> > >> Hypocrite.
> > >
> > > Yes, you are.
>
>
> Gee, you sure outwitted me there, Dudly

Steve's a pretty witty guy.

-- snipping anything that
> indicates you are wrong, then pulling a Pee-Wee Herman spin on the
> final word that was clearly intended to describe you. Well, here's
> another one for ya, Dudly:
>
> Amateur.
>
>
> > A lying, cowardly, deceiving, deceitful one at that.
> > >
> > > You swore an oath to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
> > >United States and to obey the orders of those place above you.
> > >
> > > You not only didn't do it, you were court martialed TWICE for it
> > >in ONE ENLISTMENT!
> > >
> > > Then you somehow think that bragging about it absolves you of any
> > >wrong doing.
> > >
> > > Frank Gilliland is a liar and a cheat.
> > >
> > >Gunny Dudly, K4YZ
>
>
> Your "truth by repetition" campaign is making you sound like a parrot.
> Dudly wanna cracker?

Steve is "A" NCOIC of the Department of Redundancy Department. Except
when it comes to his service record and his "seven hostile actions."
Then he turns into a stone.

4257. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 00:59:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <f490m11psp1kce1butkd16trj0i4oldlpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 122083

On 26 Oct 2005 17:51:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> >> grow up
>> >
>> >What does that mean in this context?
>>
>> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>
> Ah........So it's "wrong" to ask for a poster to clarify their
>position.
no never said that

but once or twice is fine to ask but when repated

>..We're just supposed to look at all the pretty letters and
>numbers then wonder?

no you don't have to do that either
>
>> > Are you saying that I
>> >should just shut up and not ask questions?
>> >
>> >>
>> >> it is his count so he makes the rules he will use
>> >
>> >I'm just asking for explanations of those rules.
>>
>> again and again and again
>
> Lennie ignores his request to clarify his parameters again and
>again and again.

agreed Len has choosen to do so

Jim knows it and continues to ask
>
>> Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone else if
>> he chooses not
>
> Sure he's under an "obligation".
no he is not

that is bald faced lie on your part

> He posts here voluntarily, ergo
>if he wants his "point" understood, he needs to explain the rationale
>for his numbers.

wrong again

and there is no point in him explaining them to you or Jim I have
asked and he has answered my questions

I say again Len is under no obligation to answer your question or
anyone elses

>
> To fail to do so is to just spam the NG with useless rhetoric.

not at all

>
> Not that THAT is anything new for Lennie......
>
>> >Is that not allowed?
>>
>> apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his refusal to
>> answer to make exactly that determination
>
> "Apparently"
>
> In other words he can't do it. Lennie's clueless.

another lie Stevie he chooses not to answer those he deems are not
into real discusion

>
> As are you in your defense of him.

wrong again

>
>> It is his count, his rules, and he is NOT accountable to you, or me or
>> even the FCC or Father Chrismass for that matter
>
> But he presumes to have us understand his numbers.

I am sure Len does not expect you or Jim to understand his number

> He went to a
>lot of work to prepare and post them.

yes he did

>
> WHY THEN, would he subsequently "refuse" to explain the rationale
>behind his work?

becuase he felt the questions were unworthy
cuting rant
>> > Is it somehow not "grown up" to
>> >ask questions about what those rules are?
>>
>> what you are doing, by going on and one about is interfere with others
>> find the results of his work
>
> But you can't find the "results of his work" if there's no common
>standard in how the numbers were assayed, Markie.

his stabdards are clear enough I don't agree with all of them but they
are his standards
>
cut out of mercy to us all

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4258. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:03:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130374992.033866.186980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122084

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 22 Oct 2005 05:51:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> grow up
> >> >
> >> >What does that mean in this context?
> >>
> >> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
> >
> >Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
> >questions?
>
> no
>
> but he does npt have to answer any questions

"not"

Then to NOT clarify his work is to admit that it's not prepared in
any remotely scientific manner, which is to say that it's just a lot of
bufoonery.

> cut
> >> Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone
> >> else if he chooses not
> >
> >That's right. But if he ignores questions on how his numbers
> >were derived, why should we accept those numbers as valid?
>
> then don't accept them as valid

But why?

Lennie went to a lot of effort to make these posts in order to
validate his points.

Why then would he NOT want anyone to understand his "work"...?!?!

> >Len isn't the only one counting the comments, btw.
> >
> >> >Is that not allowed?
> >>
> >> apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his

> >> refusal to answer to make exactly that determination
> >
> >??
> >
> >Are you saying that if Len doesn't answer questions,
> >then I don't have the right to ask questions?
>
> never siad you lacked the right

"said"

No...You didn't "say" it...You just told Jim to shut up.

> >That's totally bogus.
>
> your effort to imply someone is doing something imporper is totalay
> bogus

"improper" "totally"

But it's NOT "bogus".

He's been after Lennie to clarify his data collection methods, and
YOU are telling Jim to just "accept" it or shut up.

> you distortion of a plea to stop asking question that is is clear are
> not going to be answered as someone tryin gto intfer with your ight is
> totaly bogus

"Your" "trying" "to" "interfere" "right"

No, it's NOT bogus.

You've clearly attempted to coerce Jim into NOT asking Lennie
VALID data collection questions on his "scorecard".

YOU are the one doing the interfering.

> cut
>
> >You're saying that Len has freedom of speech here, but
> >I don't.
>
> liar

No, he's not.

> I am excercising My rights to tell I think you are trying to infringe
> on Lens right (and now mine as well) to engage in legal actvities by
> harrasment

You are "exercising" your right to be a foul-mouthed, ill-informed
and arrogant idiot who is making false accusations.

> you are not in any way a victum of censorhsip

"victim"

Sure he is. YOU have point-blank told him to shut up.

THAT is censorship.

Steve, K4YZ

4259. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:05:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2q90m1141u9bo8icd9tbc88dv55kelo64u@4ax.com>
Source record: 122087

On 26 Oct 2005 18:03:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 22 Oct 2005 05:51:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> grow up
>> >> >
>> >> >What does that mean in this context?
>> >>
>> >> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>> >
>> >Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>> >questions?
>>
>> no
>>
>> but he does npt have to answer any questions
>
> "not"
>
> Then to NOT clarify his work is to admit that it's not prepared in
>any remotely scientific manner, which is to say that it's just a lot of
>bufoonery.

not at all

it more a matter of thinking the questioners to be buffons
>
>> cut
>> >> Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone
>> >> else if he chooses not
>> >
>> >That's right. But if he ignores questions on how his numbers
>> >were derived, why should we accept those numbers as valid?
>>
>> then don't accept them as valid
>
> But why?
>
> Lennie went to a lot of effort to make these posts in order to
>validate his points.

but not to you or jim
>
> Why then would he NOT want anyone to understand his "work"...?!?!

why should he care if you understand his work?

you are not interested in facts you never have been
>
>> >Len isn't the only one counting the comments, btw.
>> >
>> >> >Is that not allowed?
>> >>
>> >> apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his
>> >> refusal to answer to make exactly that determination
>> >
>> >??
>> >
>> >Are you saying that if Len doesn't answer questions,
>> >then I don't have the right to ask questions?
>>
>> never siad you lacked the right
>
> "said"
>
> No...You didn't "say" it...You just told Jim to shut up.

yes I did

i told he wasn't going to get his answers and he should stop going on
about it

never sadi he did not have the right to ignore that request

cuttng the raving of the nutjob

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4260. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:06:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130375196.057842.197390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 03:33:27 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130322807.916736.269630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >
> > >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > >
> > > Whole post snipped except for this:
> > >
> > >> Hypocrite.
> > >
> > > Yes, you are.
>
>
> Gee, you sure outwitted me there, Dudly -- snipping anything that
> indicates you are wrong, then pulling a Pee-Wee Herman spin on the
> final word that was clearly intended to describe you. Well, here's
> another one for ya, Dudly:
>
> Amateur.

Yes, I am...FCC license signed and dated in my pocket.

However that's the ONLY thing "amateur" about it.

However it's very obvious that it takes little to "outwit" you,
Frankie.

> > A lying, cowardly, deceiving, deceitful one at that.
> >
> > You swore an oath to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
> >United States and to obey the orders of those place above you.
> >
> > You not only didn't do it, you were court martialed TWICE for it
> >in ONE ENLISTMENT!
> >
> > Then you somehow think that bragging about it absolves you of any
> >wrong doing.
> >
> > Frank Gilliland is a liar and a cheat.
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Your "truth by repetition" campaign is making you sound like a parrot.

> Dudly wanna cracker?

However in THIS case it's very much the truth.

Frank Gilliland is a lair and a cheat.

Steve, K4YZ

4261. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:07:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <92a0m1prv431g29umgsa7s9sr0t27hk2m5@4ax.com>
Source record: 122090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 18:06:36 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 03:33:27 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130322807.916736.269630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
cut

>> > Frank Gilliland is a liar and a cheat.
>> >
>> >Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Your "truth by repetition" campaign is making you sound like a parrot.
>> Dudly wanna cracker?
>
> However in THIS case it's very much the truth.

lying by rep ajust like a true student of Hrr Geobbels
>
> Frank Gilliland is a lair and a cheat.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4262. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:08:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130375333.197425.287990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122086

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 17:29:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2005 17:16:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> >> >> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> >> >> > buddy...
> >> >>
> >> >> a bit late for an obit
> >> >
> >> > It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....
> >> >
> >> yes it is an obit
> >>
> > There you go demonstrating that comprehension deficit, Markie...
> not at all
>
> you are making a death anouncement and listing the highlights of the
> dead fellow life

The death announcement was made two years ago.

> was a real short one

It was not an obituary. Webster's refers.

Steve, K4YZ

4263. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:08:57 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <84a0m1ttjh31npckfaocef3b0dg5otd96f@4ax.com>
Source record: 122091

On 26 Oct 2005 18:08:53 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 17:29:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 26 Oct 2005 17:16:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
>> >> >> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
>> >> >> > buddy...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> a bit late for an obit
>> >> >
>> >> > It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....
>> >>
>> >> yes it is an obit
>> >
>> > There you go demonstrating that comprehension deficit, Markie...
>> not at all
>>
>> you are making a death anouncement and listing the highlights of the
>> dead fellow life
>
> The death announcement was made two years ago.

and you made another tonight
>
>> was a real short one
>
> It was not an obituary. Webster's refers.
>

sure is a realy short one as seemed to befit the man
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4264. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:10:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130375416.340527.306910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:

> Frank Gilliland is a lair and a cheat.

Pardon me..."LIAR"....

Wouldn't want to get THAT one wrong, now would we?

Steve, K4YZ

4265. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:10:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130375417.684537.192650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:

> Frank Gilliland is a lair and a cheat.

Pardon me..."LIAR"....

Wouldn't want to get THAT one wrong, now would we?

Steve, K4YZ

4266. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:11:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <l9a0m19f7oa9sq55f00cc4gll5k949jjsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 18:10:17 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Frank Gilliland is a lair and a cheat.
>
> Pardon me..."LIAR"....
>
> Wouldn't want to get THAT one wrong, now would we?

other you had to post your corection to an incuorrect statement twice
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4267. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:21:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130376078.807144.296110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122093

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 20:11:19 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> on shot one kill is threatening
> >> >
> >> > Only if you're paranoid or carrying a communist flag.
> >> >
> >> >> that was not fed back to me
> >> >
> >> > I don't have a fork big enough to fit that mouth.
> >> >
> >> >> you made it up on your own
> >> >
> >> > No...The United States Army Sniper School did.
> >>
> >> Actually, it dates all the way back to the Revolutionary War. The
> >> phrase was used to encourage economic use of ammunition since lead and
> >> gunpowder were always in short supply, and because it took so long to
> >> reload; i.e, it was necessary to make every shot count. No doubt that
> >> precision shooting was important, but sniping per-se was of tertiary
> >> importance to economy and to the guerrilla tactics used by Americans
> >> during the war.
> >
> >In the Robeson Dictionary, getting it wrong constitutes a "lie." And
> >why wouldn't he have enlightened us with the U.S. Marine Corps Sniper
> >School info? Further, what does Steve know of the U.S. Army Sniper
> >School?
> >
>
> corection anyone else getting it wrong is lie Stevie making a mistake
> never happens the universe changes it laws to accomdate him

Happens alla time in Steve's fantasy world.

> >> > It was further associated with the Marine Corps after the Battle
> >> >of the Belleau Wood when US Marine infantrymen laid waste to hordes of
> >> >attacking germans with withering rifle fire.
> >>
> >> ......"withering rifle fire"? Very "Clancyesque", Dudly.

> >
> >I haven't read Clancy, but I've been acused of reading a 1950's copy of
> >"This is the Air Force." Probably the copy that Steve had to read when
> >he joined the Civil Air Patrol.
> >
> >> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
> >> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
> >>
> >> More horseshit from Gunny Dudly. One of the reasons the 5.56mm round
> >> was chosen is because military experts learned that merely wounding an
> >> enemy combatant had more benefits than killing the poor bastard. One
> >> wounded soldier takes one or two more soldiers off the front line to
> >> carry him back. It also depletes the enemy's resources and ties up
> >> their supply lines. It drains the morale of both the soldiers and the
> >> enemy's civilian population. Etc, etc. And if the soldier's position
> >> is overrun then he can be captured and interrogated, something you
> >> can't do if he's dead.
> >>
> >> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
> >> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.
> >
> >He probably washed out somewhere between the underwear/sock issue and
> >the immunization clinic. Marching, chewing gum. Non-adaptability...

Probably had to carry a pebble in his left hand.

> >> <snip>
> >> > It's your fantasy. Just like mine with Brittny Spears...Fun to
> >> >think about but "ain't happening".
> >>
> >> "Britney"
> >>
> >> > I think MY fantasy is a lot more fun!
> >>
> >> Well, you certainly have a fondness for fantasy.
> >
> >Clancyesque fantasy.
>
> nah he don't come close the Clancy

Though I don't read Clancy, he did call in to the Liddy show and came
off like an idiot. No wonder Steve tries to emulate Clancey.

> >> >> > The FACTS are that you do not posses the requisite skills to carry
> >> >> >out your THREAT.
> >>
> >> "possess"
> >>
> >> >> you are delsuional all it takes it pointing the shotgun at you and
> >> >> pulling the trigger
> >> >

> >> > No, that's NOT all it takes.
> >> >
> >> >> no real skill at all is needed
> >> >
> >> > Sure there is.
> >> >
> >> > That's why hundreds of people wind up wounded or killed by thier
> >> >own weapons every year...They think that "point and shoot" is all you
> >> >have to do.
> >>
> >> "their"
> >>
> >> And for a shotgun, the "point and shoot" tactic works pretty well.
> >
> >Somehow it works for me on squirrel, but I do have to swing on dove. I
> >prefer a .22 for squirrel but only after some leaves fall.
>
> and Stevie is a larger target

I hadn't considered that. By looking at his photo on QRZed, he is much
larger than a squirrel, but does have the full cheeks.

> >> > And in that we have even MORE evidence that your claims of
> >> >military service are BOGUS!
> >>
> >> Obviously you have never fired an APERS round out of an M-203. The
> >> directions are really simple:
> >>
> >> 1. Point.
> >> 2. Shoot.
> >> 3. Rub your bruised shoulder while watching the people in front of you
> >> bleed profusely.
> >>
> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
> >> BOGUS!"
> >
> >In Steve's defense, he did get some sand in his eye. Which one? He
> >never did say and it's not open for discussion.

"There can be no discussion."

> >> <snip>
> >> > Even if a person shows up and knowks on your door then refuses to
> >> >leave you have no legal right to use deadly force...Check Michigan law,
> >> >Markie...I did.
> >>
> >> "knocks"
> >
> >Reminds me of National Lampoon's Animal House, "ROAD TRIP!"

> >
> >Wonder if Steve's got a full tank of gas? an obituary for Dawn
> >Leiberman?
>
> amsuing how he thik I want to harm him though I don't I just want to
> stay away

I'd prefer it if >>>he<<< stayed where he is.

> >> <snip>
> >> > But you keep repeating that over and over again...No doubt in hope
> >> >that I may play the flip side of the coin with you one day...
> >>
> >> Mixed metaphors.
> >
> >Might be mixed amphetaphors.

> >> <snip>
> >> > No, I said they ahve the FORCE of law.
> >>
> >>
> >> "have"
> >
> >Newton's Laws?
> >
> >> <snip>
> >> > The only part that confuses me is why you won't admit to your
> >> >same-sex cohabitational arrangement, Markie?
> >>
> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
> >> graduated from MCRD.
> >
> >Probably slipped in the shower.
>
> was caught bending over for the sopa perhaps
>
> that might explain his obsession with other men

Something explains it, but his service record is not open for
discussion.

> >> >> but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
> >> >> seem to drive you into a rage why is that?
> >> >
> >> > "involved"
> >> >
> >> > I'm in no "rage", Markie...I find your "protestations" to be quite
> >> >laughable and am, in acutallity, having quite a laugh over it!
> >>
> >> "actuality"
> >>
> >> Hypocrite.

>
> amusing how you can't even tell him the turth
>
> why does he bother to engage in conversation at all he already all
> there is to say
>
> currently I am involved with gal if that end likely the next one will
> be a guy I tend to run that way
> >
> >At the very least. Hope he enjoys life on Mike's BBS where he doesn't
> >have to put up with the riff-raff.
>
> I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
> discuss any issue)

God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.

I'm gonna miss them. Hi!

4268. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:29:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130376571.242510.246770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122094

K4YZ wrote:
> Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.

Correct. The actuarial tables are relentless.

> W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.

Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?

Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.

> Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> buddy...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve getting lonely? Sends an SOS to a departed amateur communicator?

4269. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:41:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <92c0m1tnm0s19n5vt4s5krdatp79lim1qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 122095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

>If Hollingsworth

Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!

Vinnie S.

4270. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:43:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1130377412.273280.222320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122096

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
>
> Correct. The actuarial tables are relentless.
>
> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>
> Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
>
> Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
>
> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> > buddy...
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve getting lonely? Sends an SOS to a departed amateur communicator?

No dweebelet, Dick was a PROFESSIONAL radio operator who happened to
also have a ham ticket.

w3rv

4271. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:44:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <17c0m1papr5mgrbo9ns25gvgjba5ko4rka@4ax.com>
Source record: 122097

On 26 Oct 2005 18:43:32 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
>> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
>>
>> Correct. The actuarial tables are relentless.
>>
>> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>>
>> Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
>>
>> Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
>>
>> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
>> > buddy...
>> >
>> > 73
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Steve getting lonely? Sends an SOS to a departed amateur communicator?
>
>No dweebelet, Dick was a PROFESSIONAL radio operator who happened to
>also have a ham ticket.

ah but not to Stevie to Stevie nothing one did as a pro mattered

>
>w3rv

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4272. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:54:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130378059.481774.317010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122098

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> more of stvies fraud
> >> >
> >> > "Stevie's"
> >> >
> >> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
> >> >accept that you are an educated man.
> >>
> >> wrpng again
> >
> > WHAT again...?!?!
> >
> > You even spell "wrong" wrong!
>
> so what?

So you're an idiot. Thanks for admitting it.

> >> when you alter the wors in a post that is fraud
> >
> > What are "wors"..?!?!
> >cut

So...No answer?

> >> > More MarkieFraud(r)
> >>
> >> you refuse to answer a question that is evasion
> >
> > What evasion?
>
> your evasions
>
> all of them

WHAT evasions?

> cut
> >> >> admiting to lying then
> >> >>

> >> >> > I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!
> >> >>
> >> >> I know you are lying
> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >>
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> I know you lie
> >> >
> >> > Not yet.
> >>
> >> bull####
> >
> > Yes, you are, Markie...
>
> grow up

Back at ya, ProfanityMouth.

> >> I know that as Nurse in an ER you have to said something like "you
> >> are going to be all right" when you were sue of nothing of the sort
> >
> > Nope. I don't do that. Because lies like that backfire.
>
> bull#### I know you are lying you tell exactly that sort of lie

No...YOU would tell that kind of lie because you are not prepared
to deal with people in those situations.

> or you would not keep your job

Sure I would! Lying is considered "morale turpitude", along with
various offenses like exposing one's self, inappropriate sexual
conduct, etc. It's a dismissable infraction and puts my license at
risk.

> >> >> you just don't have the guts to admit to it
> >> >
> >> > Admit what?
> >>
> >> that you have lied
> >
> > But I haven't. To "admit" to that WOULD be a lie.
>
> well on your death I'll be sure to drop a note about you for sainthood

Why?

You don't believe in God, so what good would it do?

> I don't beleive you becuase I KNOW better

"believe" "because"

You don't know which way is north, Markie.

> >> >> > It was as plain as day!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > you lie mosy everyday on here
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > "most"
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > No, I do not.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> yes you do
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> amoug your latest whoopers is the statements that bandplans are laws
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "Among" "whoppers" "statement"
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > However YOUR comment was, in and of itself, a
> >> >> >> > blatant lie.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> not a word of it was a lie
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure it was.
> >> >>
> >> >> nope
> >> >
> >> > Sure it was. YOUR own posts proved it.
> >>
> >> where
> >>
> >> you said bandplans are laws
> >>
> >> that is not true
> >
> > You've misquoted me, but then what else is new?
>
> no misquote

Sure it is. Misquote. Deception. Lie. Whatever you care to call
it.

> > I've said they have the force of law. THAT is true.
>
> back pedaling then

Nope.

> you said they were laws your words
>
> now you change them
>
> and I guess only you have this "preveldge"

What's a "preveldge"...?!?!

I looked everywhere and can't find one here, so I guess YOU have
it.

> >> >> >> > But then you DO revel in being a liar...However I can't for the
> >> >> >> > life of me understand why...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> aracking up another Stevie there
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Huh?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > What was THAT?
> >> >
> >> > You didn't answer the question, Markie.
> >>
> >> no I did not
> >
> > Makes you a coward.
>
> if so so what?

No "if so" to it.

You're a coward.

> >> >> >> > > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> >> >> >> > > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > noone said there is such a rule
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > no one had suggested a fine
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > you were just asked why you have to drag every thing all accross the
> >> >> >> > > newgruops
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > "across" "newsgroups"
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > I don't.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> you do
> >> >> >
> >> >> > And you DON'T..?!?!
> >> >>
> >> >> at times I do
> >> >
> >> > MOST times you do.
> >>
> >> so what
> >
> > Your own words prove you to be the mistrustful liar that I accuse
> >you of being.
>

> where?

Still starting off with "I am a Colonel..."

We can now, more recently, add your tales about talking to the
FBI to it...

> >> >> but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't
> >> >
> >> > No...the SUBJECT is "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
> >> >"Dudly"....And It Is HE!"
> >>
> >> na we left that behind long ago
> >
> > No...YOU left it behind.
>
> as is my preveldge

So you DO have the "preveldge"!

But obviously you don't believe anyone ELSE can have the
"preveldge". In the very lines quoted above you at once attempt to
chastise ME for alleged "subject" changes, but then claim it's your
"preveldge" to do the same thing...?!?!

So WHICH rules are you playing by, Markie?

> >> >> you lie
> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >> >
> >> >> you evade
> >> >
> >> > Wrong-o, Boy-o.
> >> >
> >> >> you make me (and I am certainly not the most socaible person on the
> >> >> plannet) lookvery socaible
> >> >
> >> > "sociable" "planet"
> >> >
> >> > Hardly.
> >>
> > You started to enter a reply here but weenied out.
>
> no i finsished my thought

"finished"

Then wipe and flush, OK?

And use some air spray.

> > Why?
>

> I didn't only in your fanatsy

Another collection of words that need to be re-arranged or
properly punctuated.

> >> > You're a pathetic, sexually deviant, live-at-home-with-daddy leech
> >> >for whom if it weren't for this forum, would have NO "social"
> >> >interaction at all.
> >>
> >> better than a hate filled bag of #### like yourself whos socail life
> >> is nothing but spreading hate
> >
> > "social" "whose"
> >
> > But you ARE a "hate filled bag", Markie.
>
> no I am not I don't hate you at all

Sure you do.

You've point blank threated the use of deadly force where no bodily
threat was made.

> I am affrrid to be in the same room with you

"afraid"

Worried that you won't be able to keep your hands off of me, eh?
I get that from ALL the "girls", Markie...Don't feel ashamed. You're
gay and confused. It happens.

> but that is good a well delevoped sense of self presivation

"developed"

And I THINK you meant "preservation".

What you SHOULD have said was "paranoia".

> > That you spend countless hours and use multiple screen names to
> >spread comments like "bigot" and "hate filled bag of ####", along with
> >your other deviant behaviour, all over the NG proves it.
>
> countless Hours?

Yep. Based on the number of posts and the varied times.

> bull#### I spend an hours perhaps 2 hours a day during heavy period on
> the NG

Uh huh..Yeah..Right.

> I drop in in between otherthings I am doing spend a few minutes here
> and a few there mostly while waiting for some files to download

Firewall slowing those gay porno downloads, eh?

> >> >> > You "started" five new threads last night, Markie!
> >> >>
> >> >> no I did not in point of fact
> >> >>
> >> >> I started one new thread and Bought up 4 old threads
> >> >
> >> > You "bought up" 4 old threads?
> >> >
> >> > Get a deal on eBay, didya?
> >> >
> >> >> get you facts straight
> >> >
> >> > "Get" "your"
> >> >
> >> > I did.
> >> >
> >> >> or are you now claiming there is time limit on threads
> >> >
> >> > Nope. Have at it...Spead those threads out as liberally and as
> >> >carefree as you care to...
> >>
> >> you were complaining before
> >>
> >> stop waffling
> >
> > I prefer pancakes.
> >
> > And there was no "waffling" here...You picked one fight, then
> >suddenly changed sides when it suited you.
> what fight are you talking about?
>
> > Got caught, had it pointed
> >out to you, and had your nose rubbed in it...
>
> what are you babling about

"babbling"

No babbling. Just rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR silliness.

> > Sorry you found that distasteful, but perhaps you should pick one
> >side and stay on it...?!?!
>
> what are you talking about?

I am talking about your frequent change of what "rules" apply to
your newsgroup postings then suddenly don't apply when they don't suit
your rant, Markie.

> >> >> after all you contiue fight form 7 years ago why can't I
> >> >
> >> > "After" "continue" "from" "?"
> >> >

> >> > And YOU continue to LIE from seven years ago...Poorly at that...
> >>
> >> nope
> >
> > Yep. And admitted to it.
>
> more lies Stevie when Have I calimed to a Col in the US Army since i
> returned (I assume that is what you talking about otherwise I have no
> idea)

"claimed" to "be"

> rehaps you try sending your mesage in Morse Code it would clreaer than
> what ever you a babling about now

"Perhaps" "be" "clearer" "babbling"

What good would it do?

You're grossly incompetent with written English...I can only
shudder in fear of how badly you'd butcher anything sent in Morse.

> >> >> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again
> >> >
> >> > "Cutting" "off"
> >> >
> >> > Just to lazy to answer the questions, are you?
> >>
> >> yea I do lack the time to bother with all of your crap
> >
> > Obviously not.
>
> why? or how

You have created all those screen names to stay ahead of me,
Markie...THAT is how I know!

> >> >> >> have hardly told a lie on here in months
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You mean MINUTES.
> >> >>
> >> >> No I mean months
> >> >
> >> > No...MINUTES...
> >>
> >> months
> >
> > SECONDS!
>
> more Stevie lies

Nope.

Facts.

> stealing from Brain

You steal from a lot of folks, Markie...why not a "friend"...?!?!

> Giving an opinion is not lying.

You seem to think it is.

I've expressed NUMEROUS opinions in here only to have you respond
with "lier" ro "liar".

You did it to Jim Miccolis in SEVERAL posts in the last 72 hours.

> Just because you don't like someone's
> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
> in itself a lie.

Then stop it.

> cut
>
> >> >> you generate more #### than a herd of bovine
> >> >
> >> > So...Your family's in town?
> >>
> >> now you admit to it
> >
> > No, YOU were talking about "####" and herds of "bovine".
> >
> > I ASKED if your family was in town...The two ARE one-in-the-same.
>
> like you rdaughter was abused in the womb by chemicals

She was.

> that statement while creul at least has the virtue of being true

It wasn't cruel. It's called "cerebral insult"

> >> >> you also violate intelectual property
> >> >
> >> > N O T H I N G about you is even remotely "intellectual".
> >>
> >> not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you
> >
> > "abpply"...?!?!
> >
> > WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!
>
> your lawlessness

YOU are the "lawless" one here, Markie.

We can start with your misrepresentation of being a sworn officer in the Armed Forces, add in your prediliction for sexual deviance in the presence of minors, then fill in the holes with your blatant threats to use deadly force contrary to the laws of Michigan.

> >> > N O T H I N G posted in this forum is "protected intellectual
> >> >property" unless a copyright is stated.
> >>
> >> not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you
> >
> > SAME stupid mistake!
> >
> > WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!
> >
> cuting more boring ####

I truly believe you ARE getting bored with getting YOUR nose rubbed in YOUR foolishness, Markie, which begs one question...

Why do you keep doing it?

Steve, K4YZ

4273. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 01:57:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130378242.587617.325810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122099

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>
> Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
>
> Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.

QUICK!

What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!

Scroll down!

.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
. THEY ARE BOTH ZEROS!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4274. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:05:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130378714.746698.139080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122100

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 18:03:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> On 22 Oct 2005 05:51:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> grow up
> >> >> >
> >> >> >What does that mean in this context?
> >> >>
> >> >> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
> >> >
> >> >Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
> >> >questions?
> >>
> >> no
> >>
> >> but he does npt have to answer any questions
> >
> > "not"
> >
> > Then to NOT clarify his work is to admit that it's not prepared in
> >any remotely scientific manner, which is to say that it's just a lot of
> >bufoonery.
>
> not at all

Sure it is!

> it more a matter of thinking the questioners to be buffons

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

"...to be buffons..."

BBWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> >> cut
> >> >> Len is under no obligation to answer your questions of anyone
> >> >> else if he chooses not
> >> >

> >> >That's right. But if he ignores questions on how his numbers
> >> >were derived, why should we accept those numbers as valid?
> >>
> >> then don't accept them as valid
> >
> > But why?
> >
> > Lennie went to a lot of effort to make these posts in order to
> >validate his points.
>
> but not to you or jim

Sure he did...He posted them to a public forum.

> > Why then would he NOT want anyone to understand his "work"...?!?!
>
> why should he care if you understand his work?

If he wants to "make his point" he'd clarify his work.

Otherwise all he's doing is spamming the NG.

> you are not interested in facts you never have been

Sure I am.

And when you PRESENT some, I will pay close attention,
COLONEL.....

> >> >Len isn't the only one counting the comments, btw.
> >> >
> >> >> >Is that not allowed?
> >> >>
> >> >> apeartly len chooses to exercise his right to state by his
> >> >> refusal to answer to make exactly that determination
> >> >
> >> >??
> >> >
> >> >Are you saying that if Len doesn't answer questions,
> >> >then I don't have the right to ask questions?
> >>
> >> never siad you lacked the right
> >
> > "said"
> >
> > No...You didn't "say" it...You just told Jim to shut up.
>
> yes I did

Then you acted to coerce him into supressing his speech.

So here we have your voluntary admission of your wanton violation
of Jim's civil rights...No grey area here...You did it!

> i told he wasn't going to get his answers and he should stop going on
> about it

But if he wants to ask the question 10,000 times in 10,000 threads,
it IS his RIGHT to do so...

> never sadi he did not have the right to ignore that request

"said"

You DID try to suppress Jim's freedom of speech.

> cuttng the raving of the nutjob

The nutjob is in Chassell, Michigan. But I am not yet sure if
it's you or the guy you're sleeping with.....

Steve, K4YZ

4275. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:11:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ntc0m1hdovbrbfsfth8ghar25p0krptokd@4ax.com>
Source record: 122101

On 26 Oct 2005 18:54:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> more of stvies fraud
>> >> >
>> >> > "Stevie's"
>> >> >
>> >> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
>> >> >accept that you are an educated man.
>> >>
>> >> wrpng again
>> >
>> > WHAT again...?!?!
>> >
>> > You even spell "wrong" wrong!
>>
>> so what?
>
> So you're an idiot. Thanks for admitting it.

more stevie lies

I admit to mispelling wrong

>
>> >> when you alter the wors in a post that is fraud
>> >
>> > What are "wors"..?!?!
>> >cut
>
> So...No answer?
>
>> >> > More MarkieFraud(r)
>> >>
>> >> you refuse to answer a question that is evasion
>> >
>> > What evasion?
>>

>> your evasions
>>
>> all of them
>
> WHAT evasions?

your evasions

you claim other have to answer question but you don't
>
>> cut
>> >> >> >> admiting to lying then
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I know you are lying
>> >> >
>> >> > Nope.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> I know you lie
>> >> >
>> >> > Not yet.
>> >>
>> >> bull####
>> >
>> > Yes, you are, Markie...
>>
>> grow up
>
> Back at ya, ProfanityMouth.

I am grown I know something of the real world

>
>> >> I know that as Nurse in an ER you have to said something like "you
>> >> are going to be all right" when you were sue of nothing of the sort
>> >
>> > Nope. I don't do that. Because lies like that backfire.
>>
>> bull#### I know you are lying you tell exactly that sort of lie
>
> No...YOU would tell that kind of lie because you are not prepared
>to deal with people in those situations.

no i would not i would not be there in the first place

cut
>> >> >> you just don't have the guts to admit to it
>> >> >
>> >> > Admit what?

>> >>
>> >> that you have lied
>> >
>> > But I haven't. To "admit" to that WOULD be a lie.
>>
>> well on your death I'll be sure to drop a note about you for sainthood
>
> Why?

claiming you never and and have never lied that is some claim too bad
it isn't true but some claim never the less

>
> You don't believe in God, so what good would it do?

wrong again

>
>> I don't beleive you becuase I KNOW better
>
> "believe" "because"
>
> You don't know which way is north, Markie.

sure do behind my back right now

cut
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Sure it was.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> nope
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure it was. YOUR own posts proved it.
>> >>
>> >> where
>> >>
>> >> you said bandplans are laws
>> >>
>> >> that is not true
>> >
>> > You've misquoted me, but then what else is new?
>>
>> no misquote
>
> Sure it is. Misquote. Deception. Lie. Whatever you care to call
>it.

exact quote and then you backpedal and lie about it
trather than just admitting to error like a man

>
>> > I've said they have the force of law. THAT is true.
>>

>> back pedaling then
>
> Nope.
yep
cut
>> >> >> >> aracking up another Stevie there
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Huh?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > What was THAT?
>> >> >
>> >> > You didn't answer the question, Markie.
>> >>
>> >> no I did not
>> >
>> > Makes you a coward.
>>
>> if so so what?
>
> No "if so" to it.

again if so so what

again with the evasions

>
> You're a coward.

if so so what you are a coward yourself
cut
>> >
>> > Your own words prove you to be the mistrustful liar that I accuse
>> >you of being.
>>
>> where?
>
> Still starting off with "I am a Colonel..."
>
> We can now, more recently, add your tales about talking to the
>FBI to it...

nope no lie there
>
>> >> >> but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't
>> >> >
>> >> > No...the SUBJECT is "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
>> >> >"Dudly"....And It Is HE!"
>> >>
>> >> na we left that behind long ago
>> >
>> > No...YOU left it behind.
>>

>> as is my preveldge
>
> So you DO have the "preveldge"!
>
> But obviously you don't believe anyone ELSE can have the
>"preveldge". In the very lines quoted above you at once attempt to
>chastise ME for alleged "subject" changes, but then claim it's your
>"preveldge" to do the same thing...?!?!

never said you could not change it

you were asked why you choose to change it
you have spent avoiding that question

why?

>
> So WHICH rules are you playing by, Markie?

not by the ever changing set you use
cut
>> > Why?
>>
>> I didn't only in your fanatsy
>
> Another collection of words that need to be re-arranged or
>properly punctuated.

so?

>
>> >> > You're a pathetic, sexually deviant, live-at-home-with-daddy leech
>> >> >for whom if it weren't for this forum, would have NO "social"
>> >> >interaction at all.
>> >>
>> >> better than a hate filled bag of #### like yourself whos socail life
>> >> is nothing but spreading hate
>> >
>> > "social" "whose"
>> >
>> > But you ARE a "hate filled bag", Markie.
>>
>> no I am not I don't hate you at all
>
> Sure you do.

nope
>
> You've point blank threated the use of deadly force where no bodily
>threat was made.

3 or more lies in one sentence you are getting good at it

>
>> I am affrrid to be in the same room with you
>
> "afraid"
>
> Worried that you won't be able to keep your hands off of me, eh?

No I would never touch you

>I get that from ALL the "girls", Markie...Don't feel ashamed. You're
>gay and confused. It happens.

more lies I don't any man comes on to you after you have oppen that
hate filled slit you call a mouth

>
>> but that is good a well delevoped sense of self presivation
>
> "developed"
>
> And I THINK you meant "preservation".

indeed i did as you claerly understood

>
> What you SHOULD have said was "paranoia".

prehaps but how does that help you?

>
>> > That you spend countless hours and use multiple screen names to
>> >spread comments like "bigot" and "hate filled bag of ####", along with
>> >your other deviant behaviour, all over the NG proves it.
>>
>> countless Hours?
>
> Yep. Based on the number of posts and the varied times.

wrong again

>
>> bull#### I spend an hours perhaps 2 hours a day during heavy period on
>> the NG
>
> Uh huh..Yeah..Right.

yeah bout that
>
>> I drop in in between otherthings I am doing spend a few minutes here
>> and a few there mostly while waiting for some files to download
>
> Firewall slowing those gay porno downloads, eh?

no why do you have that problem it can be fixed you know
cut

>> > And there was no "waffling" here...You picked one fight, then
>> >suddenly changed sides when it suited you.
>> what fight are you talking about?
>>
>> > Got caught, had it pointed
>> >out to you, and had your nose rubbed in it...
>>
>> what are you babling about
>
> "babbling"
>
> No babbling. Just rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR silliness.

just babbling
>
>> > Sorry you found that distasteful, but perhaps you should pick one
>> >side and stay on it...?!?!
>>
>> what are you talking about?
>
> I am talking about your frequent change of what "rules" apply to
>your newsgroup postings then suddenly don't apply when they don't suit
>your rant, Markie.

no what you showing is you don't read and understand the questions put
you or the posts made to you

wether rwritten by myself or Brain or Frank or Len or Bill Sohl most
of Carls
cut
>
> What good would it do?
>
> You're grossly incompetent with written English...I can only
>shudder in fear of how badly you'd butcher anything sent in Morse.
>
>> >> >> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again
>> >> >
>> >> > "Cutting" "off"
>> >> >
>> >> > Just to lazy to answer the questions, are you?
>> >>
>> >> yea I do lack the time to bother with all of your crap
>> >
>> > Obviously not.
>>
>> why? or how
>
> You have created all those screen names to stay ahead of me,
>Markie...THAT is how I know!

i use a couple of mechnism to follow you

Google work better than Free agent the way you change titles on
threads so often

>
>> >> >> >> have hardly told a lie on here in months
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > You mean MINUTES.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> No I mean months
>> >> >
>> >> > No...MINUTES...
>> >>
>> >> months
>> >
>> > SECONDS!
>>
>> more Stevie lies
>
> Nope.
yep

>
> Facts.

you are lying Stevie

>
>> stealing from Brain
>
> You steal from a lot of folks, Markie...why not a "friend"...?!?!
>
>> Giving an opinion is not lying.
>
> You seem to think it is.

no I don't

never called an opinion a lie

>
> I've expressed NUMEROUS opinions in here only to have you respond
>with "lier" ro "liar".

no you have not

>
> You did it to Jim Miccolis in SEVERAL posts in the last 72 hours.

I call him a liar when it seems to be proper

>
>> Just because you don't like someone's
>> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is

>> in itself a lie.
>
> Then stop it.

I don't do it

you do it all day and all night

>
>> cut
>>
>> >> >> you generate more #### than a herd of bovine
>> >> >
>> >> > So...Your family's in town?
>> >>
>> >> now you admit to it
>> >
>> > No, YOU were talking about "####" and herds of "bovine".
>> >
>> > I ASKED if your family was in town...The two ARE one-in-the-same.
>>
>> like you rdaughter was abused in the womb by chemicals
>
> She was.
>
>> that statement while creul at least has the virtue of being true
>
> It wasn't cruel. It's called "cerebral insult"
>
>> >> >> you also violate intelectual property
>> >> >
>> >> > N O T H I N G about you is even remotely "intellectual".
>> >>
>> >> not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you
>> >
>> > "abpply"...?!?!
>> >
>> > WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!
>>
>> your lawlessness
>
> YOU are the "lawless" one here, Markie.

nope

you clearly and obviously violate the laws of the US on a regaular
basis

>
> We can start with your misrepresentation of being a sworn officer
>in the Armed Forces
in repsonse to a threat

>, add in your prediliction for sexual deviance in
>the presence of minors,

one of the flase charges you made up

> then fill in the holes with your blatant
>threats to use deadly force contrary to the laws of Michigan.

wrong again

I have not threatened anything at all

I have stated I see no way you can come here with out one or both of
us ending up dead

no threat there at all
cuting stevie broing shit

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4276. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:13:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <qtd0m19s7cafb1704v20saa45iumhhbp16@4ax.com>
Source record: 122102

On 26 Oct 2005 19:05:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 18:03:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 22 Oct 2005 05:51:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> grow up
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >What does that mean in this context?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>> >> >
>> >> >Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>> >> >questions?
>> >>
>> >> no
>> >>
>> >> but he does npt have to answer any questions
>> >
>> > "not"
>> >
>> > Then to NOT clarify his work is to admit that it's not prepared in
>> >any remotely scientific manner, which is to say that it's just a lot of
>> >bufoonery.
>>
>> not at all
>
> Sure it is!

nope
>
>> it more a matter of thinking the questioners to be buffons
cuting all after stevie proves he is not engaged in anything serious

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4277. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:51:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130381470.755422.249680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Hank Oredson wrote:
> > > Heard him on 14.275 this morning.
> > >
> > > --
> > gald to hear it having provoked this fight with the FCC he needs to
> > finish carry through to the end
>
> Most of us ARE galled to hear about Baxter, Markie....He's a
> skidmark in the shorts of life as far as Amateur Radio goes.

you know that statement consideing the source is an endorsement
>
> This fight WILL get "carr(ied) through", Markie...Baxter's
> collected so many black eyes in his record that I bet the deal is about
> done for him.

id take you up on that bet but you would surely whech on it like all
the other times you bet

i don't see how he can lose this round his biggest probelm would seem
to be the interference in emergency op one but that is likely a fine at
worst I mean they have to go through the motion of turn the NAL into
something

>
> Steve, K4YZ

4278. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:55:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130381751.637215.170810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> cut

Well...that makes it hard to figure out the attributes...But it
DOES make it easy for Markie to claim "I didn't say that..."

> > > > YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!
> > > >
> > > > You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
> > > >from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.
> > >
> > > now you are really crossing into new territory
> >
> > Nope.
>
> guess you are right you been in the lying range so long

I've been lying on the couch today, but that's all the "lying"
I've done today.

> > > you have no way of making that statement
> >
> > Sure I do.
> >
> > That lying SOB swore an oath to support and defend the
> > Constitution, wherein he ALSO swore to obey those appointed senior to
> > him.
>
> One no reason but your word to say is lying SoB but his first duty is
> the constitution entitirely possible to feel a conflict in which case
> he is bound to disobey (rather like some solders at Abu Graib should
> have done but that is another topic)

One, No, it's not "another topic".

The soldiers at Abu Gharib shold have REPORTED THE INFRACTIONS via
the chain of command.

> even were he tried and found
> guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
> prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
> rightfull pay

Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!

Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!

> you have presented nothing that allows you to state

Almost right, Markie!

>>I<< didn't "present" it...FRANKIE did! He's the one who made
the "#####bird PFC" comment which, on "cross examination" led to the
discovery that he's twice convicted for violations of the Uniform Code
of Military Justice.

Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
the incident at the lowest echelon.

Courts maritals are reserved for the real idiots or the specially
grevious charges.

Since it's apparent that Frankie didn't spend any time in
Leavenworth, we can safely put him in the "real idiot" category.

His conduct in this forum corroborates that.

> > He freely brags about having disobeyed orders and subsequently was
> > court martialed...Not once, but TWICE, for his conduct.
>
> so what?
>
> BTW your facts don't seem to be true

Then if they were not true, they'd not be facts.

> he has admited to making a mistake (haven't seen him says it was
> violating orders) and taking a lumps and once to being tried and found
> not guilty

He WAS found guilty.

> > > > No one, save for you, is projecting anything.
> > > >
> > > >> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
> > > >> >
> > > >> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
> > > >> >enemy.
> > > >>
> > > >> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.
> > > >
> > > > If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
> > > >riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
> > > >shots?
> > > >
> > > > PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC

> > > >training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".
> > >
> > > making up claims for frank again
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Frank suggests that it's NOT a Marine's job to kill his enemy.
>
> Can't speak for the USMC but the Army teaches it people that it is
> better to wound the enemy in most cases ties at least 4 people that way

You can't speak for the Army, either, Markie.

> I suspect the USMC theaching much the same thing

"teaching"

Naw...We were basically told that the phrase dusbiously attributed
to General George S Patton, that it was a soldiers duty not to die for
hiscountry, but to make the other SOB die for his.

USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
about later. Better to kill them all now than to ahve to face them a
second time.

So, better you quit trying to figure out who you're "speaking for",
Markie.

> > Follow the thread back and read for yourself, Markie.
> >
> > > > Just one...?!?!
> > > >
> > > >> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
> > > >> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.
> > > >>
> > > >> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
> > > >> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.
> > > >
> > > > Sure it is.
> > > >
> > > >> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
> > > >> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
> > > >>
> > > >> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
> > > >> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
> > > >> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.
> > > >
> > > > Frank, you're a documented liar already.
> > >
> > > where?
> >

> > Where he swore to uphold his oath,
>
> no such documentaion

Sure there is!

He provided a partial copy of his DD214 here. In order to have
gotten a DD214, he had to have been sworn in in the first place.

> > and his frequent assertions
> > that I did not serve in the United States Marine Corps, among others.
>
> he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
> even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie

Sure it's a lie.

He alleges to have some great "contacts", even dropping LtCol
Oliver North's name as if they were bunk buddies.

If he HAD those kind of contacts, he could corroborate the
information given to him.

He's not doen it, prefering to call me "Dudly" and further
substantiating my claims that his courts martials were probably
warranted on several levels!

> if it were you would been guilty of lying when you say I have not
> served in the Army, in those cases you are simply mistaken

I am not "mistaken".

> > > Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot
> >
> > Nope...You asked, I told you.
>
> another lie
>
> no proof was offered

Sure it was.

Frankie's own words.

Or are you now calling him a liar too?

> > And Frankie's only reason to be in this forum were to try and
> > "undermine" my USMC service claims on your behalf.
>
> I doubt that I think Frank was acting on his own

I KNOW he's not acting on his own, Markie! But thanks for agreeing
with me!

> I believe you served just that you served very badly indeed

Uh huh...That's why I don't have a single disciplinary action in
my SRB and four stars on my GCM.

> > > > Why continue the charade?
> > > >
> > > > YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
> > > >alone mine.
> > > >
> > > he isn't sure there is anything to critique
> >
> > He's an idiot.
>
> of course he is he dares to oppose you,when are you going to publish
> that dictionary of words as you use them

It's already published.

It's called "Websters".

You should get one.

> >You two have a lot in common.
>
> I doubt we have much in common

Sure you do!

> One we both oppose you here
>
> two we both have lived our lives
>
> I supect that is about it

And you're both liars and cheats.

> > > off hand I think he is mistaken on that count I think you had one. one
> > > of keeping your head down covering your ass (big job there I guess)
> > > and avoiding anything that might lead a big decision
> >
> > And therein YOU'D be wrong again.
>
> maybe I would but I don't think so

No...you don't THINK, Markie...

> > But then it's a habit for you.
> >
> > > >> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
> > > >> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
> > > >> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
> > > >> >> BOGUS!"
> > > >> >
> > > >> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
> > > >> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
> > > >> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.

> > > >>
> > > >> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
> > > >> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's
> > > >> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
> > > >> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
> > > > >newsgroup spelling cop either!
> > > >
> > > > you are right
> > > >
> > > > you are just not honest enough to fess up to what you do
> > > >
> > > > after all nothing is your fault
> > >
> > I do my best to make sure I have my bets covered, Markie. That's
> > just a prudent thing to do.
>
> no you don't do that either

Sure I do.

> > Frankie claimed I "profess" to be the "newsgroup spelling cop".
> > That was a lie. I've never done it.
>
> I agree he was in error
>
> You are the cp just not hoest enough to admit it

The "cp"...?!?!

"hoest"...?!?!

> cut

Censorship.

> > > > > You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
> > > > >admission were a ####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
> > > > >just ONE enlistment...let alone four.
> > > >
> > > > indeed here you explain a lot about yourself
> > >
> > Nope. I have a Marine Corps Good Conduct Medal with four bronze
> > stars on it (Not THE "Bonze Star"...the small attachments that signify
> > a multiple issue of the same award)
>
> so what?
>
> means you kept you know out anything for years I am not impressed

It means I did my job. And I did it well.

> > > accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
> > > RRAP and likely in real life
> >
> > The "guilt" is Frankie's and is from HIS admissions, Markie.
>
> no it isn't it comes from your distortion of his words

What's to distort?

He called HIMSELF a "####bird PFC" and admitted to his two courts
martials.

There was nothing there for me to distort if I wanted to!

> and tthat is hardly guilt

"that"

> > > >> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
> > > >> >> graduated from MCRD.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
> > > >> >said before.
> > > >>
> > > >> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
> > > >> Sure thing, Dudly.
> > > >
> > > > And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
> > > >off facts.
> > > >
> > > > The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
> > > >"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.
> > > >
> > > > Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.
> > >
> > > again you want others to your work
> >
> > Try that in English.
>
> calling you lazy again ahven't you seen that statement for enough to
> reconize it

"haven't" "recognize"

Haven't YOU had your nose rubbed in your own laziness and childish
spelling errors to fix them?

Again...I was asked for specifics...I gave them.

> > > is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?
> >
> > What "work"...???
>
> any work

WHAT are you talking about, Markie?

> > He claims I didn't do something. I provided verifiable references.
>
> you asked him to do your homework

No, I didn't.

Frankie claims I didn't seerve in the Marines. I've given him
units, dates of boot camp including units and locations, and even my
promotion boards dates and precedent numbers.

He claims to have "contacts".

Obviously he doesn't.

That substantiates my claim of LIAR.

> > > > You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
> > > >drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
> > > >archives you also claim to have access to...
> > > >
> > > > What's up with that?
> > > >
> > > >> > Frank, let's face it...
> > > >> >
> > > >> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
> > > >> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
> > > >>
> > > >> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>
> > > >
> > > > What feelings?
> > > >
> > > > Feelings are characteristic of human beings.
> > >
> > > ah yes noone you dislike is even human
> >
> > You and Frankie are high on that list, however you have a track
> > record that substantiates my claims.
>
> indeed as close to admision as we ever see from Stevie the weasel

"admission"

There's TWO weasels here, Markie...You and Frankie of Silliland.

> > > borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
> > > come to expect for you
> >
> > "Boring"
> >
> > No...Only you, Brian, and Lennie.
>
> which is about half of the regulars Stevie more than a thrid prehaps

> less than half

"third" "perhaps"

Nope. You, Lennie and Brain. Then myself, Cmdr Corey, Dee, Mike
Coslo, "Ace WH2T", Alun N3KIP, Dan W4NTI, Kim W5TIT, Dave K8MN, Jim
AA2AQ, John K KC2HMZ, Bert WA2SI. If we go into the Wiseman crowd, we
pull in about another five or six "regulars".

Unless, of course, you have yet another "definition" for the term
"regulars".

> >Frankie's not even a regular
> > here...Just a lurker with a competency and confidence deficit.
>
> but you treat him like one

You're darn right I treat him like he's a lurker with a competency
and confidence deficit!

> > > >> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
> > > >> >
> > > >Steve, K4YZ
> > > >>
> > > >> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
> > > >> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
> > > >> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.
> > > >
> > > > Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble
> > > >opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
> > > >is obscene.
> > >
> > > you got something right you are not humble but then NO ONE has accused
> > > you of that here, and likely nowhere else either
> >
> > I didn't say anything about MY "humbleness", Markie...
>
> another lie "in my NOT so humble" that is part of the statement above
> on your humbleness or rather the lack of it

And AGAIN you've screwed up the English, Markie...

> > But there you go showing off that English comprehension problem of
> > yours again....
>
> no you show you don't evn bother to understand your own posts

Sheeesh.

I'll give you ONE thing, Markie...

Just when I think it's NOT possible for you to make a bigger idiot
out of yourself, you go and prove me wrong.

Steve, K4YZ

4279. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:57:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130381830.134140.174420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122105

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >
> > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> >
> > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
>
> QUICK!
>
> What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
>
> Scroll down!
>
and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
practice

4280. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:58:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130381885.785608.290920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122106

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 19:05:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2005 18:03:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 05:51:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> grow up
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >What does that mean in this context?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
> >> >> >questions?
> >> >>
> >> >> no
> >> >>
> >> >> but he does npt have to answer any questions
> >> >
> >> > "not"
> >> >
> >> > Then to NOT clarify his work is to admit that it's not prepared in
> >> >any remotely scientific manner, which is to say that it's just a lot of
> >> >bufoonery.
> >>
> >> not at all
> >
> > Sure it is!
>
> nope

Yep.

> >> it more a matter of thinking the questioners to be buffons
>
> cuting all after stevie proves he is not engaged in anything serious

Markie, you can't even get your insults correct...It's EASY to make
fun of you!

"...buffons"...?!?!

YOU IDIOT!

Steve, K4YZ

4281. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 02:59:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130381967.071768.294630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122107

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> > >
> > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> > >
> > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> >
> > QUICK!
> >
> > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> >
> > Scroll down!
> >
> and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> practice

No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
months...

Steve, K4YZ

4282. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 03:17:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <26g0m1pajo17gur6gdpnm12bskc4g8kl2k@4ax.com>
Source record: 122108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 19:55:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> cut
>
> Well...that makes it hard to figure out the attributes...But it
>DOES make it easy for Markie to claim "I didn't say that..."

nope it cuts down on the shit being posted

>
>> > > > YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!
>> > > >
>> > > > You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
>> > > >from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.
>> > >
>> > > now you are really crossing into new territory
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> guess you are right you been in the lying range so long
>
> I've been lying on the couch today, but that's all the "lying"
>I've done today.

no you just racked up another lie

>
>> > > you have no way of making that statement
>> >
>> > Sure I do.
>> >
>> > That lying SOB swore an oath to support and defend the
>> > Constitution, wherein he ALSO swore to obey those appointed senior to
>> > him.
>>
>> One no reason but your word to say is lying SoB but his first duty is
>> the constitution entitirely possible to feel a conflict in which case
>> he is bound to disobey (rather like some solders at Abu Graib should
>> have done but that is another topic)

>
> One, No, it's not "another topic".

abu graib is another topic than frank and so
>
> The soldiers at Abu Gharib shold have REPORTED THE INFRACTIONS via
>the chain of command.

no they should have refused to prefrom them and then informed the
chain of comand

the "orders" were unlawfull and they should have had the gut to refuse
them
>
>> even were he tried and found
>> guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
>> prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
>> rightfull pay
>
> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
>
> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!

your source?

oh that is right you don't need sources

people are never aquitted of any charges and even if they are they
were still guilty riight Stevie

>
>> you have presented nothing that allows you to state
>
> Almost right, Markie!
>
> >>I<< didn't "present" it...FRANKIE did! He's the one who made
>the "#####bird PFC" comment which, on "cross examination" led to the
>discovery that he's twice convicted for violations of the Uniform Code
>of Military Justice.

not according to the body of his posts

>
> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
>the incident at the lowest echelon.

Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times

>
> Courts maritals are reserved for the real idiots or the specially
>grevious charges.

not always but then you were so busy ducking you would not know

>
> Since it's apparent that Frankie didn't spend any time in
>Leavenworth, we can safely put him in the "real idiot" category.

no we can't

>
> His conduct in this forum corroborates that.

by no bwoing to you that is proof of his good sense

>
>> > He freely brags about having disobeyed orders and subsequently was
>> > court martialed...Not once, but TWICE, for his conduct.
>>
>> so what?
>>
>> BTW your facts don't seem to be true
>
> Then if they were not true, they'd not be facts.

well they are n't facts then

>
>> he has admited to making a mistake (haven't seen him says it was
>> violating orders) and taking a lumps and once to being tried and found
>> not guilty
>
> He WAS found guilty.

not according to him
not after apeal was it but that does not count with you

>
>> > > > No one, save for you, is projecting anything.
>> > > >
>> > > >> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
>> > > >> >enemy.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
>> > > >riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
>> > > >shots?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC
>> > > >training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".
>> > > >
>> > > making up claims for frank again
>> >

>> > Nope.
>> >
>> > Frank suggests that it's NOT a Marine's job to kill his enemy.
>>
>> Can't speak for the USMC but the Army teaches it people that it is
>> better to wound the enemy in most cases ties at least 4 people that way
>
> You can't speak for the Army, either, Markie.

not on an officail cap of course but I will never forget basic
training
>
>> I suspect the USMC theaching much the same thing
>
> "teaching"
>
> Naw...We were basically told that the phrase dusbiously attributed
>to General George S Patton, that it was a soldiers duty not to die for
>hiscountry, but to make the other SOB die for his.

gee I thought the marines were smarter than Patton

>
> USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
>that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
>about later. Better to kill them all now than to ahve to face them a
>second time.
>
> So, better you quit trying to figure out who you're "speaking for",
>Markie.

shove it stevie

>
>> > Follow the thread back and read for yourself, Markie.
>> >
>> > > > Just one...?!?!
>> > > >
>> > > >> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
>> > > >> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
>> > > >> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.
>> > > >
>> > > > Sure it is.
>> > > >
>> > > >> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
>> > > >> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
>> > > >> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
>> > > >> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.

>> > > >
>> > > > Frank, you're a documented liar already.
>> > >
>> > > where?
>> >
>> > Where he swore to uphold his oath,
>>
>> no such documentaion
>
> Sure there is!
>
> He provided a partial copy of his DD214 here. In order to have
>gotten a DD214, he had to have been sworn in in the first place.

not the issue
but you don't understand that

>
>> > and his frequent assertions
>> > that I did not serve in the United States Marine Corps, among others.
>>
>> he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
>> even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie
>
> Sure it's a lie.

nope it is an opinion Frank holds and stets that he doesn't believe
you sered

that is no lie
cuting the rant

>> if it were you would been guilty of lying when you say I have not
>> served in the Army, in those cases you are simply mistaken
>
> I am not "mistaken".

yes you are
>
>> > > Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot
>> >
>> > Nope...You asked, I told you.
>>
>> another lie
>>
>> no proof was offered
>
> Sure it was.

where?

>
> Frankie's own words.

>
> Or are you now calling him a liar too?

no I am saying you are distorting his words

IOW you are lying about what he said

>
>> > And Frankie's only reason to be in this forum were to try and
>> > "undermine" my USMC service claims on your behalf.
>>
>> I doubt that I think Frank was acting on his own
>
> I KNOW he's not acting on his own, Markie! But thanks for agreeing
>with me!

another lie Stevie I said exactly the oppositie but then you realy
can't read we knew that

>
>> I believe you served just that you served very badly indeed
>
> Uh huh...That's why I don't have a single disciplinary action in
>my SRB and four stars on my GCM.

no that isn't why has nothing to do with it

>
>> > > > Why continue the charade?
>> > > >
>> > > > YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
>> > > >alone mine.
>> > > >
>> > > he isn't sure there is anything to critique
>> >
>> > He's an idiot.
>>
>> of course he is he dares to oppose you,when are you going to publish
>> that dictionary of words as you use them
>
> It's already published.

where?

>
> It's called "Websters".

nope it isn't

>
> You should get one.
>
>> >You two have a lot in common.
>>

>> I doubt we have much in common
>
> Sure you do!
>
>> One we both oppose you here
>>
>> two we both have lived our lives
>>
>> I supect that is about it
>
> And you're both liars and cheats.

nope I am not a cheat we both lie as does everyone else of course

>
>> > > off hand I think he is mistaken on that count I think you had one. one
>> > > of keeping your head down covering your ass (big job there I guess)
>> > > and avoiding anything that might lead a big decision
>> >
>> > And therein YOU'D be wrong again.
>>
>> maybe I would but I don't think so
>
> No...you don't THINK, Markie...

yes I do

another lie from stevie

>
>> > But then it's a habit for you.
>> >
>> > > >> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>> > > >> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>> > > >> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>> > > >> >> BOGUS!"
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
>> > > >> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
>> > > >> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
>> > > >> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's
>> > > >> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
>> > > >> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.
>> > > >
>> > > > Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
>> > > >newsgroup spelling cop either!
>> > >
>> > > you are right
>> > >
>> > > you are just not honest enough to fess up to what you do

>> > >
>> > > after all nothing is your fault
>> >
>> > I do my best to make sure I have my bets covered, Markie. That's
>> > just a prudent thing to do.
>>
>> no you don't do that either
>
> Sure I do.

you cheat on your bets

>
>> > Frankie claimed I "profess" to be the "newsgroup spelling cop".
>> > That was a lie. I've never done it.
>>
>> I agree he was in error
>>
>> You are the cp just not hoest enough to admit it
>
> The "cp"...?!?!
>
> "hoest"...?!?!

more evasion

>
>> cut
>
> Censorship.

another lie

>
>> > > > You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
>> > > >admission were a ####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
>> > > >just ONE enlistment...let alone four.
>> > >
>> > > indeed here you explain a lot about yourself
>> >
>> > Nope. I have a Marine Corps Good Conduct Medal with four bronze
>> > stars on it (Not THE "Bonze Star"...the small attachments that signify
>> > a multiple issue of the same award)
>>
>> so what?
>>
>> means you kept you know out anything for years I am not impressed
>
> It means I did my job. And I did it well.

no it does not it means you stayed out of trouble

>
>> > > accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
>> > > RRAP and likely in real life
>> >
>> > The "guilt" is Frankie's and is from HIS admissions, Markie.
>>
>> no it isn't it comes from your distortion of his words
>
> What's to distort?

plenty when you compare your account of his words to his words

>
> He called HIMSELF a "####bird PFC" and admitted to his two courts
>martials.
>
> There was nothing there for me to distort if I wanted to!

he said a lot more than that you just left it out

>
>> and tthat is hardly guilt
>
> "that"
>
>> > > >> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>> > > >> >> graduated from MCRD.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
>> > > >> >said before.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
>> > > >> Sure thing, Dudly.
>> > > >
>> > > > And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
>> > > >off facts.
>> > > >
>> > > > The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
>> > > >"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.
>> > > >
>> > > > Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.
>> > >
>> > > again you want others to your work
>> >
>> > Try that in English.
>>
>> calling you lazy again ahven't you seen that statement for enough to
>> reconize it
>
> "haven't" "recognize"
>
> Haven't YOU had your nose rubbed in your own laziness and childish

>spelling errors to fix them?

obviously not

and you are dumb enough to ask

>
> Again...I was asked for specifics...I gave them.

you gave no proof at all

>
>> > > is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?
>> >
>> > What "work"...???
>>
>> any work
>
> WHAT are you talking about, Markie?

you are not bright enough to know?

>
>> > He claims I didn't do something. I provided verifiable references.
>>
>> you asked him to do your homework
>
> No, I didn't.

sure did
you told him to go find the proof

you lie so freely

>
> Frankie claims I didn't seerve in the Marines. I've given him
>units, dates of boot camp including units and locations, and even my
>promotion boards dates and precedent numbers.

and told him to go check it out

to do your work in other words

>
> He claims to have "contacts".
>
> Obviously he doesn't.
>
> That substantiates my claim of LIAR.

nope
>
>> > > > You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
>> > > >drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
>> > > >archives you also claim to have access to...

>> > > >
>> > > > What's up with that?
>> > > >
>> > > >> > Frank, let's face it...
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
>> > > >> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>
>> > > > >
>> > > > > What feelings?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Feelings are characteristic of human beings.
>> > > >
>> > > ah yes noone you dislike is even human
>> >
>> > You and Frankie are high on that list, however you have a track
>> > record that substantiates my claims.
>>
>> indeed as close to admision as we ever see from Stevie the weasel
>
> "admission"
>
> There's TWO weasels here, Markie...You and Frankie of Silliland.

neither

you refuse to turthfully answer any question put to you

you demand that everyone do your work for you

>
>> > > borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
>> > > come to expect for you
>> >
>> > "Boring"
>> >
>> > No...Only you, Brian, and Lennie.
>>
>> which is about half of the regulars Stevie more than a thrid prehaps
>> less than half
>
> "third" "perhaps"
>
> Nope. You, Lennie and Brain. Then myself,

> Cmdr Corey,
doesn't count he is not a person

> Dee
counts
>, Mike

>Coslo,
outa here accrding to him
> "Ace WH2T",
you even put him in qutes as less than real
> Alun N3KIP,
not a reg

>Dan W4NTI

dan is outa here
>, Kim W5TIT,
not a reg

> Dave K8MN,
he counts
> Jim
>AA2AQ
not a reg
>, John K KC2HMZ,
not a reg
> Bert WA2SI.
not a reg
> If we go into the Wiseman crowd, we
>pull in about another five or six "regulars".
they don't count no names
3 outa 6 is half the regualrs plus Frank who you treat as a regular

BUZZZ you are wrong again
>
> Unless, of course, you have yet another "definition" for the term
>"regulars".
>
>> >Frankie's not even a regular
>> > here...Just a lurker with a competency and confidence deficit.
>>
>> but you treat him like one
>
> You're darn right I treat him like he's a lurker with a competency
>and confidence deficit!

so he counts

>
>> > > >> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >Steve, K4YZ
>> > > >>
>> > > >> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
>> > > >> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
>> > > >> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble

>> > > >opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
>> > > >is obscene.
>> > >
>> > > you got something right you are not humble but then NO ONE has accused
>> > > you of that here, and likely nowhere else either
>> >
>> > I didn't say anything about MY "humbleness", Markie...
>>
>> another lie "in my NOT so humble" that is part of the statement above
>> on your humbleness or rather the lack of it
>
> And AGAIN you've screwed up the English, Markie...

not at all

you did post about your humbleness

you lied again

>
>> > But there you go showing off that English comprehension problem of
>> > yours again....
>>
>> no you show you don't evn bother to understand your own posts
>
> Sheeesh.
>
> I'll give you ONE thing, Markie...
>
> Just when I think it's NOT possible for you to make a bigger idiot
>out of yourself, you go and prove me wrong.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4283. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 03:20:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <aqh0m19uunp7scmpc4ucje5lf1g77gevna@4ax.com>
Source record: 122110

```
On 26 Oct 2005 19:58:05 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 19:05:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 26 Oct 2005 18:03:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 22 Oct 2005 05:51:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 21 Oct 2005 20:05:26 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> grow up
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >What does that mean in this context?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> in this context it means either do your own countor shut up
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Why? Is Len's count somehow sacred, and not open to any
>> >> >> >questions?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> no
>> >> >>
>> >> >> but he does npt have to answer any questions
>> >> >
>> >> > "not"
>> >> >
>> >> > Then to NOT clarify his work is to admit that it's not prepared in
>> >> >any remotely scientific manner, which is to say that it's just a lot of
>> >> >bufoonery.
>> >>
>> >> not at all
>> >
>> > Sure it is!
>>
>> nope
>
> Yep.
no he simply sees no profit in enaging you on this issue

he is right for himself
>
```

>> >> it more a matter of thinking the questioners to be buffons
>>
>> cuting all after stevie proves he is not engaged in anything serious

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4284. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 03:22:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130383339.735987.284890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122109

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> > > >
> > > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> > > >
> > > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> > >
> > > QUICK!
> > >
> > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> > >
> > > Scroll down!
> > >
> > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> > practice
>
> No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
> months...

lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
it is still in the quoted matrail

you lie and you do so badly
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4285. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 03:29:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130383781.248598.205840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace wrote:
> It is my personal opinion that God doesn't necessarily hate fags but he
> hates what fags do.
>
cut
> If this Gospel truth offends you, TOUGH.

well your understanding of it)which is disputed by folks better to
argue the matter than I) is not and should be the law of the land

and I remind to "vengenanace is mine sayth the lord" Paul to the Romans
meaningit is not your place to punish the "transgressor" better
thoelogans than myself would denouce you for trying
>
> Ace - WH2T
>
>

4286. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 03:49:07 UTC
From: craptosser #3 <craptosser3@foad.net>
Message-ID: <72599$43605147$d1cc50e8$10332@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 122113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:92c0m1tnm0s19n5vt4s5krdatp79lim1qe@4ax.com...
> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> >If Hollingsworth
>
> Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.

Hopefully it will be one of several names that will
appear on a future NAL to K1MAN for $20K+
in FCC fines. ("from our mouth to G-d's ear")

4287. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 03:59:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130385563.072294.46810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122112

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 18:54:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> >> more of stvies fraud
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "Stevie's"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
> >> >> >accept that you are an educated man.
> >> >>
> >> >> wrpng again
> >> >
> >> > WHAT again...?!?!
> >> >
> >> > You even spell "wrong" wrong!
> >>
> >> so what?
> >
> > So you're an idiot. Thanks for admitting it.
>
> more stevie lies

Nope.

But we DID catch YOU in one.

Lame try to divert, Markie.

> I admit to mispelling wrong

You mispell darn near everything, not jsut "wrong".

As for what constitutes one of your mispellings-vs-error in
thought..well...Who can tell...?!?!

That's why all the EDUCATED people in this forum (except for
Lennie, who thinks you're a lame monkey too....) try to convince you to
get help for your fractured English.

It's not cute, it's not "OK", and it DOES make you appear like a
blithering idiot.

> >> >> when you alter the wors in a post that is fraud
> >> >
> >> > What are "wors"..?!?!
> >> >cut
> >
> > So...No answer?
> >
> >> >> > More MarkieFraud(r)
> >> >>
> >> >> you refuse to answer a question that is evasion
> >> >
> >> > What evasion?
> >>
> >> your evasions
> >>
> >> all of them
> >
> > WHAT evasions?
>
> your evasions
>
> you claim other have to answer question but you don't

You keep making accusations but don't substantiate them...

I can't "answer" what you won't specify.

> >> cut
> >> >> >> admiting to lying then
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > I KNOW you're lying, Markie! YOU don't have to ADMIT to anything!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I know you are lying
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Nope.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> I know you lie
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Not yet.
> >> >>
> >> >> bull####
> >> >
> >> > Yes, you are, Markie...
> >>
> >> grow up
> >
> > Back at ya, ProfanityMouth.
>
> I am grown I know something of the real world

No, you don't.

You think lying is acceptable. You're a deviant. You're
deceitful. You got ran out of central Illinois to a place you thought
your reputation wouldn't find you.

Ooops....failed again.

> >> >> I know that as Nurse in an ER you have to said something like "you
> >> >> are going to be all right" when you were sue of nothing of the sort
> >> >
> >> > Nope. I don't do that. Because lies like that backfire.
> >>
> >> bull#### I know you are lying you tell exactly that sort of lie
> >
> > No...YOU would tell that kind of lie because you are not prepared
> >to deal with people in those situations.
>
> no i would not i would not be there in the first place

Thank God...The future state of health care just went up a quantum
leap.

> cut

Censorship.

> >> >> >> you just don't have the guts to admit to it
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Admit what?
> >> >>
> >> >> that you have lied
> >> >
> >> > But I haven't. To "admit" to that WOULD be a lie.
> >>
> >> well on your death I'll be sure to drop a note about you for sainthood
> >
> > Why?
>
> claiming you never and and have never lied that is some claim too bad
> it isn't true but some claim never the less

What lies? You makes claims of lies but then don't substantiate
them.

> > You don't believe in God, so what good would it do?
>
> wrong again

Then you have renounced paganism?

Christianity, Judism and Islam don't allow half beliefs.

> >> I don't beleive you becuase I KNOW better
> >

> > "believe" "because"
> >
> > You don't know which way is north, Markie.
>
> sure do behind my back right now

Sure.

> cut
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Sure it was.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> nope
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure it was. YOUR own posts proved it.
> >> >>
> >> >> where
> >> >>
> >> >> you said bandplans are laws
> >> >>
> >> >> that is not true
> >> >
> >> > You've misquoted me, but then what else is new?
> >>
> >> no misquote
> >
> > Sure it is. Misquote. Deception. Lie. Whatever you care to call
> >it.
>
> exact quote and then you backpedal and lie about it
> trather than just admitting to error like a man

It wasn't an error.

It's a matter of fact.

YOU are the one with "admission" problems.

> >> > I've said they have the force of law. THAT is true.
> >>
> >> back pedaling then
> >
> > Nope.
> yep

Mark Morgan lying again.

> cut

More censorship.

> >> >> >> >> aracking up another Stevie there
> >> >> >> >

> >> >> >> > Huh?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > What was THAT?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You didn't answer the question, Markie.
> >> >>
> >> >> no I did not
> >> >
> >> > Makes you a coward.
> >>
> >> if so so what?
> >
> > No "if so" to it.
>
> again if so so what
>
> again with the evasions

What "evasion"...?!?!

You're a coward. No evasion about it.

> > You're a coward.
>
> if so so what you are a coward yourself

Nope.

> cut

Even MORE Mark Morgan censorship.

> >> > Your own words prove you to be the mistrustful liar that I accuse
> >> >you of being.
> >>
> >> where?
> >
> > Still starting off with "I am a Colonel..."
> >
> > We can now, more recently, add your tales about talking to the
> >FBI to it...
>
> nope no lie there

An ABSOLUTE lie, Markie.

Oh...WAIT...Maybe it IS true....

I've seen LOTS of beach idiots wearing "FBI" shirts..."Female Body
Inside"

Yeah! That's it!

> >> >> >> but the subject is YOUR lie in claiming you don't
> >> >> >
> >> >> > No...the SUBJECT is "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
> >> >> >"Dudly"....And It Is HE!"
> >> >>
> >> >> na we left that behind long ago
> >> >
> >> > No...YOU left it behind.
> >>
> >> as is my preveldge
> >
> > So you DO have the "preveldge"!
> >
> > But obviously you don't believe anyone ELSE can have the
> >"preveldge". In the very lines quoted above you at once attempt to
> >chastise ME for alleged "subject" changes, but then claim it's your
> >"preveldge" to do the same thing...?!?!
>
> never said you could not change it
>
> you were asked why you choose to change it
> you have spent avoiding that question
>
> why?

And >>I<< didn't change it.

"Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"...And It Is He!" is the
ORIGINAL subject of this thread...I shou;d know...I created it.

> > So WHICH rules are you playing by, Markie?
>
> not by the ever changing set you use

I don't change anything in this forum, Markie.

> cut

More Markie censorship.

> >> > Why?
> >>
> >> I didn't only in your fanatsy
> >
> > Another collection of words that need to be re-arranged or
> >properly punctuated.
>
> so?

So your sentence was stupid. It didn't make sense.

> >> >> > You're a pathetic, sexually deviant, live-at-home-with-daddy leech
> >> >> >for whom if it weren't for this forum, would have NO "social"
> >> >> >interaction at all.

> >> >>
> >> >> better than a hate filled bag of #### like yourself whos socail life
> >> >> is nothing but spreading hate
> >> >
> >> > "social" "whose"
> >> >
> >> > But you ARE a "hate filled bag", Markie.
> >>
> >> no I am not I don't hate you at all
> >
> > Sure you do.
>
> nope

Sure you do!

> > You've point blank threated the use of deadly force where no bodily
> >threat was made.
>
> 3 or more lies in one sentence you are getting good at it

Nope.

YOU said that only my coming to the UP would result in "one of us
being dead"...

THAT violates SEVERAL laws, Markie, including the imposition of
deadly force.

> >> I am affrrid to be in the same room with you
> >
> > "afraid"
> >
> > Worried that you won't be able to keep your hands off of me, eh?
>
> No I would never touch you

Good. We can forget about finding you an orthopod to re-attach the
fingers then.

> >I get that from ALL the "girls", Markie...Don't feel ashamed. You're
> >gay and confused. It happens.
>
> more lies I don't any man comes on to you after you have oppen that
> hate filled slit you call a mouth

What hate filled slit, Markie?

Just because YOU have to make everything "hate" related doesn't
mean the rest of the world does.

That's where your "anger issues" problem comes in.

> >> but that is good a well delevoped sense of self presivation
> >
> > "developed"
> >
> > And I THINK you meant "preservation".
>
> indeed i did as you claerly understood

No...I did not "claerly" understand... (That's "CLEARLY", BTW...)

> > What you SHOULD have said was "paranoia".
>
> prehaps but how does that help you?

"Perhaps"

It doesn't help me, but it would have been a proper
self-assessment for you.

> >> > That you spend countless hours and use multiple screen names to
> >> >spread comments like "bigot" and "hate filled bag of ####", along with
> >> >your other deviant behaviour, all over the NG proves it.
> >>
> >> countless Hours?
> >
> > Yep. Based on the number of posts and the varied times.
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> >> bull#### I spend an hours perhaps 2 hours a day during heavy period on
> >> the NG
> >
> > Uh huh..Yeah..Right.
>
> yeah bout that

Uh huh...Yeah....Right.

> >> I drop in in between otherthings I am doing spend a few minutes here
> >> and a few there mostly while waiting for some files to download
> >
> > Firewall slowing those gay porno downloads, eh?
>
> no why do you have that problem it can be fixed you know

Not downloading porno of any nature "fixes" my probelm, but
obviously it was an issue YOU had to overcome....

> cut

Markie's giving that censorship thing a big workout tonight.

> >> > And there was no "waffling" here...You picked one fight, then
> >> >suddenly changed sides when it suited you.
> >> what fight are you talking about?
> >>
> >> > Got caught, had it pointed
> >> >out to you, and had your nose rubbed in it...
> >>
> >> what are you babling about
> >
> > "babbling"
> >
> > No babbling. Just rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR silliness.
>
> just babbling

Nope. (want a Kleenex to wipe that off...?!?!)

> >> > Sorry you found that distasteful, but perhaps you should pick one
> >> >side and stay on it...?!?!
> >>
> >> what are you talking about?
> >
> > I am talking about your frequent change of what "rules" apply to
> >your newsgroup postings then suddenly don't apply when they don't suit
> >your rant, Markie.
>
> no what you showing is you don't read and understand the questions put
> you or the posts made to you

I understand most without any problem.

It's YOUR abuse of the English language that requires slowing down
for...

> wether rwritten by myself or Brain or Frank or Len or Bill Sohl most
> of Carls

Was that a sentence?

> cut
> >
> > What good would it do?
> >
> > You're grossly incompetent with written English...I can only
> >shudder in fear of how badly you'd butcher anything sent in Morse.
> >
> >> >> >> cuting stevie going ooff the topic again
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "Cutting" "off"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Just to lazy to answer the questions, are you?
> >> >>
> >> >> yea I do lack the time to bother with all of your crap

> >> >
> >> > Obviously not.
> >>
> >> why? or how
> >
> > You have created all those screen names to stay ahead of me,
> >Markie...THAT is how I know!
>
> i use a couple of mechnism to follow you

So you admit to the obsession that you accuse me of having...?!?!

> Google work better than Free agent the way you change titles on
> threads so often

I don't change titles "so often".

I DO change YOURS once you've made some idiotic, misspelled, or
otherwise stupid change of your own...

> >> >> >> >> have hardly told a lie on here in months
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > You mean MINUTES.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> No I mean months
> >> >> >
> >> >> > No...MINUTES...
> >> >>
> >> >> months
> >> >
> >> > SECONDS!
> >>
> >> more Stevie lies
> >
> > Nope.
> yep

Nope.

This thread alone contains no fewer than 30 obvious acts of deceit
and intentional mistruth authored by you under one or more of your
screen names.

> > Facts.
>
> you are lying Stevie

Nope.

> >> stealing from Brain
> >
> > You steal from a lot of folks, Markie...why not a "friend"...?!?!
> >

> >> Giving an opinion is not lying.
> >
> > You seem to think it is.
>
> no I don't

Sure you do.

Right there you openly admitted you were stealing from Brain...Some
of that "intellectual property" you allude to, no doubt...

> never called an opinion a lie

LIAR!

You did it THIS VERY DAY to Jim Miccolis in the "Scorecard" thread!

> > I've expressed NUMEROUS opinions in here only to have you respond
> >with "lier" ro "liar".
>
> no you have not

Markie...RE-READ what I wrote and them try to understand why YOUR
resposne looks so idiotic!

> > You did it to Jim Miccolis in SEVERAL posts in the last 72 hours.
>
> I call him a liar when it seems to be proper

OH!

NOW I GET IT!

IT'S OK FOR MARK MORGAN TO MALICIOUSLY CALL OTHERS LIARS WHEN ALL
THEY HAVE DONE IS RENDERED AN OPINION, BUT NOT CALL MARKIE ON ONE WHEN
IT'S PROVEN HE'S BEING DECEITFUL!

Gee, Markie! All you had to do was SAY so!

> >> Just because you don't like someone's
> >> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
> >> in itself a lie.
> >
> > Then stop it.
>
> I don't do it

You've done it in the very few past paragraphs!

> you do it all day and all night

Liar Liar Pants on Fire
Burn his boytoy's fleshy desire
Can't tell the truth to save his life
Or save the honor of his boytoy "wife".

> >> cut
> >>
> >> >> >> you generate more #### than a herd of bovine
> >> >> >
> >> >> > So...Your family's in town?
> >> >>
> >> >> now you admit to it
> >> >
> >> > No, YOU were talking about "####" and herds of "bovine".
> >> >
> >> > I ASKED if your family was in town...The two ARE one-in-the-same.
> >>
> >> like you rdaughter was abused in the womb by chemicals
> >
> > She was.
> >
> >> that statement while creul at least has the virtue of being true
> >
> > It wasn't cruel. It's called "cerebral insult"
> >
> >> >> >> you also violate intelectual property
> >> >> >
> >> >> > N O T H I N G about you is even remotely "intellectual".
> >> >>
> >> >> not as a matter of LAW but you think that does abpply to you
> >> >
> >> > "abpply"...?!?!
> >> >
> >> > WHAT are you TALKING about...?!?!
> >>
> >> your lawlessness
> >
> > YOU are the "lawless" one here, Markie.
>
> nope

Absolutely.

> you clearly and obviously violate the laws of the US on a regaular
> basis

"regular".

Nope.

> > We can start with your misrepresentation of being a sworn officer
> >in the Armed Forces
> in repsonse to a threat
> >, add in your prediliction for sexual deviance in
> >the presence of minors,
>
> one of the flase charges you made up

"false"

Nope. Taken from YOUR expressions of what you will do to raise
your "children".

> > then fill in the holes with your blatant
> >threats to use deadly force contrary to the laws of Michigan.
>
> wrong again
>
> I have not threatened anything at all

Sheesh. What a LIAR!

> I have stated I see no way you can come here with out one or both of
> us ending up dead

Uh huh.

> no threat there at all

Yes, there is.

> cuting stevie broing ####

"Cutting" "boring"

Obviously not boring enough cuz ya keep on coming back for more,
PutzBoy!

Steve, K4YZ

4288. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:02:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130385763.907144.80360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122114

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> > > > >
> > > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> > > > >
> > > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> > > >
> > > > QUICK!
> > > >
> > > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Scroll down!
> > > >
> > > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> > > practice
> > >
> > No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
> > months...
>
> lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
> it is still in the quoted matrail

"Liar" "material"

No...there was no "joke" made at DICK'S expense...

> you lie and you do so badly

There's no lie there, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

4289. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:06:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9gk0m15pp0rf9fadc5gi9jqvm1mkg2jeh3@4ax.com>
Source record: 122116

On 26 Oct 2005 20:59:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 18:54:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> >> more of stvies fraud
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "Stevie's"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
>> >> >> >accept that you are an educated man.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> wrpng again
>> >> >
>> >> > WHAT again...?!?!
>> >> >
>> >> > You even spell "wrong" wrong!
>> >>
>> >> so what?
>> >
>> > So you're an idiot. Thanks for admitting it.
>>
>> more stevie lies
>
> Nope.
yes you lied I did not admit to being an idoit

you said I did

you lied
xuting all after my reply to Stevie first lie

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4290. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:07:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <djk0m11psvuhc0e2dur691n99124gotnhb@4ax.com>
Source record: 122117

On 26 Oct 2005 21:02:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>> > > >
>> > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
>> > > >
>> > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
>> > > >
>> > > QUICK!
>> > >
>> > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
>> > >
>> > > Scroll down!
>> > >
>> > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
>> > practice
>> >
>> No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
>> months...
>>
>> lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
>> it is still in the quoted matrail
>
> "Liar" "material"
>
> No...there was no "joke" made at DICK'S expense...

yes there was

cuting all after my reply to stveie first lie of the post

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4291. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:07:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <poY7f.2399$yX2.1263@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122115

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

> it more a matter of thinking the questioners to be buffons

If there was ever a "buffon" in this newsgroup, you are he.

Dave K8MN

4292. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:10:50 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xk03w@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xk03w@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122126

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
> As of 25 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> ------------- ---------------
> Grand Total 2892 916
>
> Indeterminate (note 1) 180 69
>
> Value for Percentages 2708 847
>
> Against NPRM (note 2) 846 [30.26%] 294 [34.71%]
> For NPRM (note 3) 1434 [52.95%] 398 [46.99%]
> Test Extra Only (note 4) 428 [15.81%] 155 [18.30%]
>
> This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 3 PM EDT 26 Oct 05.
>
>

Since the official publication in the Federal Register, the comments
seem to be turning "pro-code".

46.99% favor fully removing the cw test.
53.01% favor retaining some level of cw test.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4293. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:12:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <esk0m1hl3dfu37840251d3fm8an85b25u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 122120

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 04:13:45 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>discuss any issue)
>>
>>
>> God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>> gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>> use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>> radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>
>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.

you blew it again Dave

Lenn will not be allowed in thes forum being established me nor brain
either

so you blew it
>
>Dave K8MN

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4294. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:12:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130386378.660926.152330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122118

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM. :-)
>
>The thread is about your "scorecard", Len.

No, it is about A score card, specifically on WT Docket 05-235,
done to provide some insight on the Comments made and the
prevailing opinions of OTHERS out there, as much up to date as
possible for anyone else interested in NPRM 05-143.

The first thread was begun by me on 2 August, 2005, intended as
a quick-look compilation of filings that had begun on 20 July by
individuals. The second thread was begun by me on 2 August 2005,
specifically to show both the original Docket opening filings and
those filed after the Notice in the Federal Register. The third
thread (this one) was begun on 17 October due to all the
gabbling and squabbling about charges of "inaccuracy" by all
those who didn't bother to do their own compilation. <shrug>

To almost the end of 26 October 2005, there have been 3,055
filings on WT Docket 05-235. Has Miccolis READ them? ALL
of them? I have. I have appended two listings of filings
with my Replies to Comments done at the date those Replies
were filed. Miccolis FAILED to note that had been done; ergo,
Miccolis has READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.

Brian Burke has filed on WT Docket 05-235, even Dan Jeswald,
Bill Sohl, and a few others. James P. Miccolis has NOT filed
ANYTHING on WT Docket 05-235 as of 7 PM EDT on 26 October 2005.

>Asking how the
>numbers are derived, what rules are used in the derivation,
>and who checks your work are right on-subject.

But NOT necessary. I include Notes with each posting of the
"score card" which explain the categorization. Those are

comprehensive to those who bother to READ things.

Since this is a private compilation, I do my own "checking" prior to each posting. Those can be verified by ANYONE who bothers to READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.

>For example, if someone filed 1 comment and 3 reply comments
>on the NPRM, did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else?

The OPINION expressed in EACH Comment or Reply to Comments is EVIDENT in their CONTENT. That is self-evident (to those who are not busy with misdirection of asking stupid little questions designed to annoy the score-keeper).

James P. Miccolis has NOT posted ANYTHING similar to what I have done...yet wishes to be some kind of "judge" on what should be and what should not be. Tsk, tsk.

>Your mistakes are well documented. Such as the legality of
>amateur operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-period
>licenses.

THIS thread is about NPRM 05-143 and the filings in WT Docket 05-235. If there are "mistakes" in the tabulations, those can be found by ANYONE who bothers to READ the filings.

So far, the ONLY "mistake" was a juxtaposition of two note numbers in the new form of the second thread begun on the first of September. That was pointed out by Bill Sohl in public, I acknowledged that and correct the juxtaposition.

Neither NPRM 05-143 nor WT Docket 05-235 concern themselves with any "operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-period." :-)

>Gosh, Len, so you *can* call me by my name!

Your name is James P. Miccolis. You haven't filed anything in the FCC ECFS since 23 August 2004. It is NOT "Jim."

>US citizenship is not a requirement for getting an FCC
>amateur radio license. Passing the required tests *is* a
>requirement.

NPRM 05-143 is a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the FCC. Any Report and Order issued as a RESULT of Comments then becomes LAW in the form of an FCC Regulation of U.S. civil radio. Do you understand that, or must it be explained in voluminous detail to you?

>A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required
>to comment.

Did you fail high school Civics class? The First Amendment of the United States Constitution guarantees CITIZENS the

right to make comments to their government. United States citizens...NOT citizens of OTHER countries.

>Neither is there an age requirement to comment.

Given the childish behavior of some, especially those spiteful ones attempting to misdirect a thread showing the day-by-day change in the filings of WT Docket 05-235, perhaps there should be. Even more so when those spiteful children have great difficulty in accepting the prevailing opinions in the "amateur community."

>Of course Len has admitted that he has had problems integrating young
>people into what he considers 'adult' activities....

There is a minimum age to serve in the United States military. I have NO difficulty with that. I HAVE served. Has James P. Miccolis served his country in that country's military? No, he has not. Miccolis perceives "problems" on such minimum age limits, yet has NOT served.

The state of California has a minimum age limit on many things, drivers licenses for one. I have no problem with those. Miccolis perceives I have "problems" there? I have not.

There are hundreds of local communities which have very definite AGE LIMITS in their ordinances and codifications of law, all with definite moral and ethical purposes to those. I have no "problem" with them. Miccolis perceives "problems" where none exist.

Miccolis wishes to drag up SEVEN-YEAR-OLD Comments on WT Docket 98-143...which are NOT a part of NPRM 05-143. Why? The only possible reason is his personal spite and the attempt to mis-direct this thread into the usual Flame War squabbling.

>A comment is not a vote. An NPRM is not an election. FCC doesn't have
>to act on what the majority of comments want.

Had Miccolis done HIS OWN COMPILATION on the filings of WT Docket 05-235, he would have found that the majority of those making Comments since Federal Register Notice date of 31 August are NOT favoring NPRM 05-143. Miccolis should keep that in mind, if and when the FCC gets around to making their Report and Order. :-)

Note that Miccolis has often referred to FCC 99-412
("Restructuring")
Report and Order as "not following the majority!" :-)

>The issue is the accuracy of your 'scorecard'.

I try to issue those daily. As a service to anyone interested in the progress of the public comment period on NPRM 05-143. I try to make them as accurate as possible.

James P. Miccolis hasn't issued any tabulations/compilations on the filings of WT Docket 05-235. One wonders if he has READ them at all.

The CHARGES of "inaccuracy" are specious, NOT backed up by any other tabulations/compilations on WT Docket 05-235.

>> Tsk, tsk. Since this is a private
>
>It's not private at all.

It is a PRIVATE ENDEAVOR. As in "by myself." It is made "public" as in public view, as a result of posting.

I can do it by private e-mail as easily. That way it would not (seemingly) offend you so much that you write the following:

>You blab it all over a public forum,
>so it's fair game for comment and question by others.

Sigh...you still do NOT understand computer-modem communications. These newsgroups unrestricted by moderators are ALL open and public to anyone who has access to a provider or to Google.

>That's what free speech in a public forum is all about, Len.

You ABUSE "free speech" by general heckling...apparently because of personal spite at getting opposite opinions to your mighty and imperious statements made in public.

>If you make statements here, others have the right to comment
>on them and question their validity.

Hello? You've just gotten a taste of "rights" right up your I/O port. :-)

>Is your 'scorecard' a collection of alleged facts, or is it
>just your opinion?

Neither. It is my honest effort to show the day-by-day compilation of filings on WT Docket 05-235.

Such a compilation/tabulation can be done by ANYONE having access to the FCC ECFS or to the FCC Reading Room.

Miccolis has NOT done ANY of his own compilation/tabultion in order to BACK UP HIS CHARGES OF INACCURACY. Tsk, tsk.

>The NPRM does not state that comments must be about Morse Code testing
>and nothing else.

NPRM 05-143 is solely about morse code testing, elimination of test element 1 to be specific. Had you bothered to READ ALL of filings in WT Docket 05-235 you would have seen some filings which were NOT EVEN ABOUT THE NPRM! :-) Is the FCC going to consider those in regards to NPRM 05-143? :-)

>Should your reply comments be called "indeterminate" because
>of that?

You will label my comments anydamnthing you want...that's totally
predictable! :-)

I'd not call your spiteful little misdirections in here as
"indeterminate." INDEFATIGUABLE is more like it... :-)

>Perhaps your explanation is incomplete?

Perhaps you ought to grow up and accept the FACT that a very
large group in the amateur community does NOT think like you do
about either morse code or morse code testing! [sunnuvagun!]

>Yes. You've made serious mistakes in your statements about
>Part 97. And you've refused to correct or even acknowledge them.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...then you should cancel my amateur radio license
then, refuse to give me my amateur paycheck? :-)

>It's called stating a fact.

Here's a FACT: James P. Miccolis spending a lot of time late at
night (almost 11:30 PM when his latest missive was launched) in
order to HECKLE a no-code-test-advocate. :-)

>You're not the only one reading the comments, Len. And your
>numbers don't agree with others' results.

PRESENT THOSE "NUMBERS" then. "Prove" the "inaccuracy."

"Put up or shut up," Jimmie (that's a phrase, not a command).

You've spent days on trying to imply "inaccuracy" on my part,
yet you have NO PROOF out in public. You "babble" in here in
an effort to misdirect everyone's attention. [it isn't
working, Jimmie, get a new knuckle-spanking ruler for the
Nun of the Above]

>> Jimmie has NOT even made ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235.

James P. Miccolis has made one, either... :-)

>> Jimmie has NOT stated he has READ a single filing on 05-235.
>
>Who is "Jimmie", Len? Can't be me, because I've read several of
>the comments. Reply comments too. And the whole NPRM.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Nun of the Above, try to go with the flow of
newsgrouping. Don't PRETEND you don't know... :-)

>I've simply asked questions and stated facts.

Snide, spiteful heckling is more like it... :-)

>It's a fact that
>you have a proven track record of mistakes here.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...more uncivil attempts at character assassination.
Keep it up...it worked well for the National Socialist Party of
Germany in the 1930s. :-)

If you try real hard, you might even convince others I have
underarm odor!

>Is that your methodology here, Len?

All I'm doing is READING ALL the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and
showing - as honestly as I can, as accurately as I can - the
four categories of opinions therein.

>Perhaps you're counting on nobody checking your work.

Doesn't matter. ANYONE can "check my work." All they have to
do is go in and READ ALL of the filings, count them up, tabulate
the results.

ANYONE can go in to the FCC ECFS and "check my totals" posted in
here. Just set the date blocks on the ECFS form and the ECFS
will automatically total ALL of those within that date period!

[new technology applied...you should try some sometime...]

>> Had Jimmie seen other NPRMs and the
>> resulting R&Os, he would understand that. Obviously,
>> he has NOT.
>
>I don't know what "Jimmie" has read, but I've read plenty of
>NPRMs and the resultinf R&Os.

Jimmie Noserve also pretends to be an expert on military life.
Hasn't served a day, though. The Nun of the Above is busy
looking for knuckles to slap with her ruler. ["give a Nun
an inch and she thinks she's a ruler"]

Hello? You are in a NEWSGROUP. You wish to heckle your
perceived enemies...yet you demand all this "civility" of
"proper names" and other bullsnit. :-)

>Not just CITZENS, Len - all interested parties. FCC has
>not rejected the comments of noncitizens - why chould you?

Okay, James P. Miccolis, you hop on over to some Australian
place and TELL THEM HOW THEIR LAWS SHOULD BE. You think
you will be "considered," mate? :-)

Jimmie-James, you get yourself a copy of the United States
Constitution and try to UNDERSTAND IT. Especially the
First Amendment.

>In fact, one doesn't even have to be a human being to
>comment.

The ARRL hasn't filed anything on WT Docket 05-235 as of
8 PM EDT, 26 October. Some describe the ARRL as "soul less"
and without substance. :-)

Jimmie-James P. Miccolis of PA has NOT FILED ANYTHING on
WT Docket 05-235 (as of 8 PM EDT, 26 October 2005).

>If Kenwood files comments, will you count them or reject them?

Kenwood who? :-)

>Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
>Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
>comments?

I've EXPLAINED my categorizations since my first "score card"
posting on 2 August 2005. See the "Notes" for each one.

Jimmie-James, I can't grab your finger and point it FOR YOU
at the Notes. You HAVE to read them.

>It's not about me, Len. It's about *your* 'scorecard'.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie-James. You are busy, busy, busy making it
YOUR teeny little "judgement at Nuremburg." :-)

>> Who checks Miccolis' "work" on his bi-monthly "license
>> number" postings? [he won't say from where he cribs
>> his numbers]
>
>Anyone can check my posted numbers very simply by doing the
>math. I've stated the source of those numbers here.

If anyone with newsgroup access can access them, WHY do
you post them here AS IF you "derived them?" :-)

>> The ARRL represents a distinct MINORITY of all USA
>> amateur radio licensees. A mere 20%.
>
>How is that number derived?

That's been EXPLAINED to you in public several times!

Go to the QST advertising page at the ARRL site, observe
the "Publisher's Sworn Statement" that appears there twice
a year. Compare that to the total number of USA amateur
radio licensees at about the same time.

That's so terribly EASY to do...even for a double-degree.

:-)

>How could anyone check your work, Len? You haven't shown it.

Two tabulations have been appended to two Replies to Comments.
Those are in PUBLIC VIEW at the FCC ECFS under WT Docket 05-235.

ANYONE can go to the ECFS and READ ALL the filings and do their
own compilations/tabulations. Really. It should be EASY for
any Amateur Extra with two degrees. :-)

By the way, "filings" refer to each document as listed in the
Search Results for any ECFS listing. That includes some filings
which have nothing at all to do with NPRM 05-143 or even the
amateur radio regulations! [sunnuvagun!] Another one is
completely blank. I've seen it. Do you know at which date it
was filed? You would if you had READ ALL of them.

>Are you afraid of having your work checked, Len?

Not at all. Feel free to "check it" by READING ALL filings. :-)

>If I make 10 nonidentical comments, will they count as 1 comment or
>more than 1?

So...MAKE THEM! :-)

James P. Miccolis has NOT filed anything with the ECFS since
August of last year. ["put your money where your mouth is"]

>Leads me to believe you're counting reply comments too.
>And not checking for dupes.

Speaking of "dupes," why are you trying to DUPE everyone into
thinking I'm "always in error?" :-)

Are you so pissed off at certain posters in here you stay up
until nearly midnight to post nastygrams? :-)

Go ahead, READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and do
your own compilations/tabulations. Check it out.

>> So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK,
>
>Nobody can. You haven't shown it.

Yes I have. It's IN the ECFS in two different Replies to
Comments. You just haven't seen it yet. :-)

Want to check my numbers out for totals? Easy to do with the
FCC ECFS and proper use of the date blocks. ECFS does the
totals for that period for you. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>Is a comment a requirement? The deadline isn't till next week.

NOBODY "requires" you to do anything, Jimmie-James. :-)

The deadline (official) for WT Docket 05-235 Comments is
31 October 2005. The deadline (official) for Replies to
Comments is 14 November 2005. Both dates are Mondays (in
case you can't do a calendar in your head).

So far, James P. Miccolis has NOT filed anything in WT
Docket 05-235. But, he has been busy, busy, busy baring
his spite in here, asking dumb questions about things which
have already been EXPLAINED to him in each "score card"
posting I've made. :-)

>I'm just asking some questions, Len.

Ho, ho, ho...and the moon is made of green cheese... :-)

>> James Miccolis wasn't IN the FCC in 1998, 1999, and he isn't
>> IN the FCC in 2005.
>
>Neither are you, Len - ever.

I don't have to be, Jimmie-James...not to exercise my First
Amendment Rights. :-)

>I'm just asking some questions, Len.

No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
HECKLE. :-)

I'm just asking some questions, Len.

No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
HECKLE. :-)

>You're the one 'snarling'. Len.

Nah. I'm just "answering your questions!" :-)

>I'm just asking some questions.

No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
HECKLE. :-)

>The test may be gone, but Morse Code use by hams will go on.
>For a long time.

ERROR! MISTAKE! The code test is STILL there! :-)

That's about the 5th ERROR you've made in your one heckle-gram.

You are building up a fine "track record for mistakes!" :-)

I'm just asking some questions, Len.

No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
HECKLE. :-)

>Besides - what does all this matter to you? You're not going to
>get a license anyway, test or no test.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...are you FORBIDDING my getting an amateur radio
license? That's not NICE, Jimmie-James.

I thought you said "all I'm doing is asking questions?"

If so, why do you say such a NOT-nice thing at the end of your posting?

Have you been taking testosterone supplements and studying the newsgroup conduct of Dudly the Imposter? :-)

Or are you tied down on the track in the tunnel and seeing a bright light coming towards you...and suddenly realizing it IS a locomotive? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4295. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:13:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZtY7f.2402$yX2.855@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122119

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>discuss any issue)
>
>
> God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
> gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
> use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
> radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.

You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.

Dave K8MN

4296. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 04:58:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130389139.239524.209900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 19:55:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> cut
> > >
> > > Well...that makes it hard to figure out the attributes...But it
> > >DOES make it easy for Markie to claim "I didn't say that..."
> >
> > nope it cuts down on the #### being posted

Two things...Stop posting and there won't be any #### here.

Secondly, YOU stated that when >>I<< did that, it was infringing
your "freedom of speech".

And just this very day you claimed that >>I<< keep "changing the
rules" in this forum, yet here YOU are doing that very thing!

So...from now on I will go back to my old practice of trimming out
anything with more than three arrows next to it...Anyone nterested in
anything older than that can use the browser back button to follow the
attributes...

YOUR rules, Markie!

> >> guess you are right you been in the lying range so long
> > >
> > > I've been lying on the couch today, but that's all the "lying"
> > >I've done today.
> >
> > no you just racked up another lie

Not at all.

> >> One no reason but your word to say is lying SoB but his first duty is
> >> the constitution entitirely possible to feel a conflict in which case
> >> he is bound to disobey (rather like some solders at Abu Graib should
> >> have done but that is another topic)
> > >
> > > One, No, it's not "another topic".
> >
> > abu graib is another topic than frank and so

Yet again more words without any strucure.

> > The soldiers at Abu Gharib shold have REPORTED THE INFRACTIONS via
> >the chain of command.
>
> no they should have refused to prefrom them and then informed the
> chain of comand

"perform" "command"

Markie...REFUSING A COMMAND in a WAR ZONE is a death penalty
infraction.

> the "orders" were unlawfull and they should have had the gut to refuse
> them

"unlawful"

Read above.

> >> even were he tried and found
> >> guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
> >> prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
> >> rightfull pay
> >
> > Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
> >
> > Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!
>
> your source?

My source.?!?!

HIM, YOU IDIOT!

> oh that is right you don't need sources
>
> people are never aquitted of any charges and even if they are they
> were still guilty riight Stevie

I see you're into ignoring facts.

> >> you have presented nothing that allows you to state
> >
> > Almost right, Markie!
> >
> > >>I<< didn't "present" it...FRANKIE did! He's the one who made
> >the "####bird PFC" comment which, on "cross examination" led to the
> >discovery that he's twice convicted for violations of the Uniform Code
> >of Military Justice.
>
> not according to the body of his posts

The "body of his posts" are lies and deceit.

OH!

WAIT!

WHAT AM I SAYING...?!?!

>>YOU<< THINK LYING IS AN ADMIRABLE TRAIT!

> > Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
> >trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
> >proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
> >the incident at the lowest echelon.
>
> Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
> something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times

How can a civilian refuse Article 15 punishment?

> > Courts maritals are reserved for the real idiots or the specially
> >grevious charges.
>
> not always but then you were so busy ducking you would not know

Ducking what, Markie?

> > Since it's apparent that Frankie didn't spend any time in
> >Leavenworth, we can safely put him in the "real idiot" category.
>
> no we can't

Sure we can.

> > His conduct in this forum corroborates that.
>
> by no bwoing to you that is proof of his good sense

By WHAT...?!?!

> >> > He freely brags about having disobeyed orders and subsequently was
> >> > court martialed...Not once, but TWICE, for his conduct.
> >>
> >> so what?
> >>
> >> BTW your facts don't seem to be true
> >
> > Then if they were not true, they'd not be facts.
>
> well they are n't facts then

Huh...??!!

Wassamatta, Markie?

The late hour making you even less competent (is that possible?)
with English than usual?

> >> he has admited to making a mistake (haven't seen him says it was
> >> violating orders) and taking a lumps and once to being tried and found
> >> not guilty
> >

> > He WAS found guilty.
>
> not according to him
> not after apeal was it but that does not count with you

HE SAID he was never granted an appeal, Markie.

>>I<< made the point of suggesting that his after-the-fact
findings of "evidence" that supported his arguments warranted a new
opening of the case. Frankie said "that wasn't possible".

His innocense is some after-the-fact presentation of evidence that
allegedly mitigates the prosecutions case...But Frankie can't/won't get
it fixed.

If I'd been falsely prosecuted/convicted in a court martial and
later had material come into my hands that substantiated that evidence,
I'd be a screaming banshee at my lawyer's office to get it fixed.

> >> Can't speak for the USMC but the Army teaches it people that it is
> >> better to wound the enemy in most cases ties at least 4 people that way
> >
> > You can't speak for the Army, either, Markie.
>
> not on an officail cap of course but I will never forget basic
> training

"offical"

What "basic training"? Pagans have a boot camp?

> >> I suspect the USMC theaching much the same thing
> >
> > "teaching"
> >
> > Naw...We were basically told that the phrase dusbiously attributed
> >to General George S Patton, that it was a soldiers duty not to die for
> >his country, but to make the other SOB die for his.
>
> gee I thought the marines were smarter than Patton

"Marines".

Marines are taught to know who's who.

> > USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
> >that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
> >about later. Better to kill them all now than to ahve to face them a
> >second time.
> >
> > So, better you quit trying to figure out who you're "speaking for",
> >Markie.
>
> shove it stevie

MARKIE!

More of your attempts to squelch others freedom of speech...?!?!

Or just getting tired of being made a fool of?

> >> > Where he swore to uphold his oath,
> >>
> >> no such documentaion
> >
> > Sure there is!
> >
> > He provided a partial copy of his DD214 here. In order to have
> >gotten a DD214, he had to have been sworn in in the first place.
>
> not the issue but you don't understand that

Sure I do.

YOU claim that there is no evidence of Frank's having sworn an oath
to uphold the Constitution of the United States and to obey the orders
of those appointed over him.

I pointed out that FRANKIE provided the "evidence".

Sorry YOU don't want to hear it.

> >> he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
> >> even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie
> >
> > Sure it's a lie.
>
> nope it is an opinion Frank holds and stets that he doesn't believe
> you sered

"states" (I think...) "served"

It's a blatant lie. Frankie the Coward has point-blank stated my
service was a lie and I didn't serve...this despite having been given
references to the contrary.

> that is no lie

It IS a lie.

> cuting the rant

Then you're cutting your own throat? Because that's where the
rants are coming from.

> >> if it were you would been guilty of lying when you say I have not
> >> served in the Army, in those cases you are simply mistaken
> >
> > I am not "mistaken".
>
> yes you are

Nope.

> >> > > Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot
> >> >
> >> > Nope...You asked, I told you.
> >>
> >> another lie
> >>
> >> no proof was offered
> >
> > Sure it was.
>
> where?

In the last four months of posts, Markie.

Overandoverandoverandoverandoverand.....

> > Frankie's own words.
> >
> > Or are you now calling him a liar too?
>
> no I am saying you are distorting his words

I didn't distort anything.

> IOW you are lying about what he said

No, I am not.

Are YOU claiming that Google archives are lying too?

> >> > And Frankie's only reason to be in this forum were to try and
> >> > "undermine" my USMC service claims on your behalf.
> >>
> >> I doubt that I think Frank was acting on his own
> >
> > I KNOW he's not acting on his own, Markie! But thanks for agreeing
> >with me!
>
> another lie Stevie I said exactly the oppositie but then you realy
> can't read we knew that

"really" "opposite"

No, you did NOT say "exactly the oppositie".

> >> I believe you served just that you served very badly indeed
> >
> > Uh huh...That's why I don't have a single disciplinary action in
> >my SRB and four stars on my GCM.
>
> no that isn't why has nothing to do with it

It has everything to do withn it, Markie.

Otherwise you're claiming that EVERY unit commander,
officer-in-charge, Sergeant Major and NOCIC I served with lied about my
service.

So...How do you explain that?

> >> of course he is he dares to oppose you,when are you going to publish
> >> that dictionary of words as you use them
> >
> > It's already published.
>
> where?

The Library of Congress, B.Dalton Bookseller, Waldenbooks,
Hastings, just about every college bookstore in the world that teaches
English....

> > It's called "Websters".
>
> nope it isn't

Then we have you lying again.

> > You should get one.
> >
> >> >You two have a lot in common.
> >>
> >> I doubt we have much in common
> >
> > Sure you do!
> >
> >> One we both oppose you here
> >>
> >> two we both have lived our lives
> >>
> >> I supect that is about it
> >
> > And you're both liars and cheats.
>
> nope I am not a cheat we both lie as does everyone else of course

Yes, Mark, you ARE a cheat.

The Colonel Episode was a prime example.

> >> maybe I would but I don't think so
> >
> > No...you don't THINK, Markie...
>
> yes I do

Nope.

> another lie from stevie

Nope. As proven by your own words, Markie.

> >> > I do my best to make sure I have my bets covered, Markie. That's
> >> > just a prudent thing to do.
> >>
> >> no you don't do that either
> >
> > Sure I do.
>
> you cheat on your bets

Never once.

> >> > Frankie claimed I "profess" to be the "newsgroup spelling cop".
> >> > That was a lie. I've never done it.
> >>
> >> I agree he was in error
> >>
> >> You are the cp just not hoest enough to admit it
> >
> > The "cp"...?!?!
> >
> > "hoest"...?!?!
>
> more evasion

Get out that Websters and look up "evasion", Your Putziness.

> >> cut
> >
> > Censorship.
>
> another lie

Nope.

> >> > Nope. I have a Marine Corps Good Conduct Medal with four bronze
> >> > stars on it (Not THE "Bonze Star"...the small attachments that signify
> >> > a multiple issue of the same award)
> >>
> >> so what?
> >>
> >> means you kept you know out anything for years I am not impressed
> >
> > It means I did my job. And I did it well.
>
> no it does not it means you stayed out of trouble

In the Marine Corps it means I did my job.

Failing to do your job is a breach of discipline.

A breach of discipline means no GCM.

> >> > > accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
> >> > > RRAP and likely in real life
> >> >
> >> > The "guilt" is Frankie's and is from HIS admissions, Markie.
> >>
> >> no it isn't it comes from your distortion of his words
> >
> > What's to distort?
>
> plenty when you compare your account of his words to his words

His words say he was court martialed twice. Convicted twice.
Hence his discharge as a Private First Class when he SHOULD have been a
Sergeant.

> > He called HIMSELF a "#####bird PFC" and admitted to his two courts
> >martials.
> >
> > There was nothing there for me to distort if I wanted to!
>
> he said a lot more than that you just left it out

I don't ened to repeat every word when Google has it archived.

> >> calling you lazy again ahven't you seen that statement for enough to
> >> reconize it
> >
> > "haven't" "recognize"
> >
> > Haven't YOU had your nose rubbed in your own laziness and childish
> >spelling errors to fix them?
>
> obviously not

Obviously.

> and you are dumb enough to ask

Nope. Was just wondering if YOU were going to be dumb enough to
acknowledge it, and by golly you were!

> > Again...I was asked for specifics...I gave them.
>
> you gave no proof at all

<.....Sigh.....>

> >> > > is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?
> >> >
> >> > What "work"...???
> >>
> >> any work
> >
> > WHAT are you talking about, Markie?

>
> you are not bright enough to know?

I can speak English as native tounge and two others with moderate
comprehension.

"Gibberish" is not one of them.

> >> > He claims I didn't do something. I provided verifiable references.
> >>
> >> you asked him to do your homework
> >
> > No, I didn't.
>
> sure did
> you told him to go find the proof
>
> you lie so freely

Markie, he asked for specifics. I GAVE him PROOF.

Frankie alleges having contacts that allow him to "know" all these
details about USMC personnel.

Obviously he lied about THAT too.

> > Frankie claims I didn't serve in the Marines. I've given him
> >units, dates of boot camp including units and locations, and even my
> >promotion boards dates and precedent numbers.
>
> and told him to go check it out
>
> to do your work in other words

Nope.

I gave him references. Just like I would if I was applying for a
job.

He asked. It was incumbent upon HIM to verify what I told him.

> > He claims to have "contacts".
> >
> > Obviously he doesn't.
> >
> > That substantiates my claim of LIAR.
>
> nope

Absolutely.

He can't seem to verify those references. Obvioulsy his claims
were false.

> >> indeed as close to admision as we ever see from Stevie the weasel
> >
> > "admission"
> >
> > There's TWO weasels here, Markie...You and Frankie of Silliland.
>
> neither

Both.

> you refuse to turthfully answer any question put to you

NO...YOU refuse to accept what you're told despite being given
verifyable references.

> you demand that everyone do your work for you

Nope.

> >> > > borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
> >> > > come to expect for you
> >> >
> >> > "Boring"
> >> >
> >> > No...Only you, Brian, and Lennie.
> >>
> >> which is about half of the regulars Stevie more than a thrid prehaps
> >> less than half
> >
> > "third" "perhaps"
> >
> > Nope. You, Lennie and Brain. Then myself,
>
> > Cmdr Corey,
> doesn't count he is not a person

Obviously he does since he can manage to post here.

> > Dee
> counts

Sure she does.

> >, Mike
> >Coslo,
> outa here accrding to him

Obviosuly not, since he's posted in the last couple hours

> > "Ace WH2T",
> you even put him in qutes as less than real

"quotes"

Where did the use of quotation marks come to mean "less than
real"...?!?!

> > Alun N3KIP,
> not a reg

Absolutely a regular.

> >Dan W4NTI
> dan is outa here

Posted in last 24 hours

> >, Kim W5TIT,
> not a reg

She's more "regular" than you are, Markie.

> > Dave K8MN,
> he counts

On more levels than YOU ever will.....

> > Jim
> >AA2AQ
> not a reg

Sure he is.

> >, John K KC2HMZ,
> not a reg

Sure he is.

> > Bert WA2SI.
> not a reg

Sure he is.

> > If we go into the Wiseman crowd, we
> >pull in about another five or six "regulars".
> they don't count no names

Sure they "count". Theyare even more prolific than you in nuber of
daily posts!

> 3 outa 6 is half the regualrs plus Frank who you treat as a regular

Sorry Mark...Your math skills are worse than your English.

> BUZZZ you are wrong again

Mark, you're a piece of work.

Each and everyone of those folks post in here on a "regular"
basis.

> > Unless, of course, you have yet another "definition" for the term
> >"regulars".
> >
> >> >Frankie's not even a regular

> >> > here...Just a lurker with a competency and confidence deficit.
> >>
> >> but you treat him like one
> >
> > You're darn right I treat him like he's a lurker with a competency
> >and confidence deficit!
>
> so he counts

Be my guest.

But that still leaves your numbers way off.

> >> > I didn't say anything about MY "humbleness", Markie...
> >>
> >> another lie "in my NOT so humble" that is part of the statement above
> >> on your humbleness or rather the lack of it
> >
> > And AGAIN you've screwed up the English, Markie...
>
> not at all

Absolutely "at all".

> you did post about your humbleness
>
> you lied again

No...I said in my not so humble OPINION, Markie...

> >> > But there you go showing off that English comprehension problem of
> >> > yours again....
> >>
> >> no you show you don't evn bother to understand your own posts
> >
> > Sheeesh.
> >
> > I'll give you ONE thing, Markie...
> >
> > Just when I think it's NOT possible for you to make a bigger idiot
> >out of yourself, you go and prove me wrong.

And you did it AGAIN!

Steve, K4YZ

4297. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 05:07:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130389675.986265.161150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122122

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am

> >It's called stating a fact.
>
> Here's a FACT: James P. Miccolis spending a lot of time late at
> night (almost 11:30 PM when his latest missive was launched) in
> order to HECKLE a no-code-test-advocate.

Here's a fact:

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: LenAnderscum@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
Date: 26 Oct 2005 21:12:58 -0700
Local: Wed, Oct 26 2005 11:12 pm
Subject: Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm......

An unlicensed, disruptive, foulmouthed, deceitful, documented liar
trying to make some "point" about a licnesed Amateur posting in an
Amateur related newsgroup.....Who ALSO goes the extra mile to point out
the hour when his septigenarian self ought to be in bed himself....

But then Lennie always was the "Do What I Say, Not What I Do" kind
of hypocrite.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

4298. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 05:11:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130389904.220915.172830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122123

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 20:59:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2005 18:54:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> >> >> more of stvies fraud
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > "Stevie's"
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
> >> >> >> >accept that you are an educated man.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> wrpng again
> >> >> >
> >> >> > WHAT again...?!?!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You even spell "wrong" wrong!
> >> >>
> >> >> so what?
> >> >
> >> > So you're an idiot. Thanks for admitting it.
> >>
> >> more stevie lies
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you lied I did not admit to being an idoit

Yes, you admitted to being an idiot, then you went on to prove it
when you spelled "idiot" wrong.

> you said I did

You did. At least that's what you WROTE.

> you lied

Nope.

YOU did.

> xuting all after my reply to Stevie first lie

"Cutting"

Which was your first lie, Markie? There's so many to choose from.

Steve, K4YZ

4299. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 05:16:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130390166.032209.304270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122124

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 21:02:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> >> > > >
> >> > > QUICK!
> >> > > >
> >> > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> >> > > >
> >> > > Scroll down!
> >> > > >
> >> > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> >> > practice
> >> >
> >> > No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
> >> > months...
> >>
> >> lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
> >> it is still in the quoted matrail
> >
> > "Liar" "material"
> >
> > No...there was no "joke" made at DICK'S expense...
>
> yes there was

No, there wasn't.

> cuting all after my reply to stveie first lie of the post

"cutting" "Stevie"

This was NOT your first lie of the post, Markie...You've done it
several times now. Please stop.

Steve, K4YZ

4300. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 08:46:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130402770.087709.318440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > molested_an_old_friends_son wrote:
> > > Hank Oredson wrote:
> > > > Heard him on 14.275 this morning.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > gald to hear it having provoked this fight with the FCC he needs to
> > > finish carry through to the end
> > >
> > Most of us ARE galled to hear about Baxter, Markie....He's a
> > skidmark in the shorts of life as far as Amateur Radio goes.
>
> you know that statement consideing the source is an endorsement

"considering"

Only a deviant who think's having another man's excrement on his
genitals is "acceptable" would think that.

Oooops! >>I<< forgot to "consider the source"...You DO think it's
acceptable...

> > This fight WILL get "carr(ied) through", Markie...Baxter's
> > collected so many black eyes in his record that I bet the deal is about
> > done for him.
>
> id take you up on that bet but you would surely whech on it like all
> the other times you bet

"I'd" "welch"

So far you've not "won" anything to have had a bet won on, Markie.

> i don't see how he can lose this round

That's because you're an idiot, Markie.

> his biggest probelm would seem
> to be the interference in emergency op one but that is likely a fine at
> worst

"problem"

A "stuck mike", or your pet cat Garfield sitting on the keyer
paddles is "a fine", Markie...

Repeatedly jamming the net, demanding to be given net control,
etc, are worthy of revocation, fine AND jail time. The feds SHOULD
have shown up with Marshals and confiscated every last electronic
device on the property capable of generating RF after that.

> I mean they have to go through the motion of turn the NAL into
> something

They don't have to "do" anything. Baxter did it all on his own.
He should be prohibited from any/all FCC licensure and/or operation
under any established Part.

Steve, K4YZ

4301. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 09:45:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130406322.021697.239230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122127

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm
> > >Leo wrote:
> > >> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >Leo wrote:
> > >> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
> > >> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> >>>Leo wrote:
> > >> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> > >> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
> > >> >> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
> > >> >> minute, not our easy 5.
> > >
> > >It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when
> > >the Technician lost its code test requirement.
> >
> > That code test is STILL an absolute pass-fail separate test
> > for any amateur radio privileges below 30 MHz.
>
> Not in every country of the world.
>
> But in the USA, it still is. And that's a good thing IMHO. Of course
> that requirement might change in the future, as it has changed in a few
> other countries pf the world.
> >
> > The Technician DID NOT LOSE its code test requirement.
>
> Actually, Len, it did. February 14, 1991.

Oh no! Lennie got yet ANOTHER key Amateur Radio policy issue
wrong...A MAJOR one, considering the nature of thios forum....

WHO wudda thunk it?

> > The former Technician class got RENAMED to "Technician Plus."
>
> The Technician Plus did not appear right away. Only after some hams
> complained that there was no obvious difference in the license class
> of code-tested vs. noncodetested Techs was that class created. Late

> 1992 or so.
>
> Just a simple mistake on your part. Understandable ;-)

More like a concious attempt to try and fold reality into his own
distorted concept.

Didn't work. Nice catch, Jim.

> > >Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
> > >and the training methods.
> >
> > Riiiiiiight...
>
> Glad you agree!
>
> > ALL human beings have the SAME aptitudes and
> > abilities!
>
> Who said that?
>
> I wrote:
>
> "Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
> and the training methods."
>
> Is that not a fact?

Seems that since partnering-up with Markie, some of Markie's
English comprehension issues have been rubbing off on Brain and Lennie,
including changing complete words and phrases in order to fit their
arguments even though they quote your original statement.

Pretty lame.

> > All one has to do is "work hard" in order to
> > pass a federally-mandated morse test in order to transmit
> > below 30 MHz as an amateur.
>
> No, one has to study effectively. And pass the required tests -
> Morse Code and written.

I didn't have to work hard for either.

> It's pretty clear what your story is, Len. Here's what
> I think is behind all your verbiage here:
>
> Back in the mid-1950s, you decided to try for an amateur radio
> license. The written test was no big challenge - you'd already
> been taught the basics of radio theory at taxpayer expense in
> the Army, and IIRC had a First Class 'Phone license as well.
>
> But the Army didn't teach you Morse Code because that training

> was reserved for radio *operators*, not technicians. And they
> were phasing out the use of Morse Code in the Army anyway, even
> though they would continue to use it for a couple more decades or
> so.
>
> Getting an *amateur* license back then required passing a
> Morse Code test. And you started studying Morse Code, but
> discovered that, for you, it wasn't as easy as most things
> you'd learned up to that time. Plus you didn't much like the
> fact that many you considered your inferiors were allowed on
> the amateur bands, but you were not, regardless of your
> commercial license or Army experience.

Strange, too, that Lennie alleges that he was once proficient to
"about 8WPM or more". Guess he was only THAT "proficient" if no one
was looking...Kinda like he "knows" all these "facts" about Amateur
Radio as long as he's got a mouse in his hand...He's scared to death of
that VE exam...

> Then in 1958, 27 MHz cb was authorized, and you jumped on it.
> You had fun with it for a few years, tooling around in your
> radio-equipped little car. But then things changed on cb, the
> band became overrun with truckers and trucker wannabes, and
> the whole thing became a fad.

He probably never got more than the five channels his Lafayette
HB15 would allow him to go...Musta been frustrating with that tunable
receiver to hear those others talking and not being able to "get back"
to them.

> Of course you could have gotten a Novice license with your limited
> Morse Code skills - but there's no way you wanted to be a "Novice"
> anything, particularly considering that many if not most Novices then
> were 'teeners'......

He COULD have gone right to Technician...

> > Tsk. That is NOT required for General Radiotelephone
> > (Commercial) Radio Operator license holders at HF and below.
>
> Sure - but GROL licensees cannot operate Amateur Radio stations. Nor
> can they have their own stations at all unless they also qualify for
> a station license in some commercial radio service (like broadcasting).

Ahhhh...He knows that, Jim...How many times have we had to remind
him that he can't operate a single transmitter with that GROL unless
it's got an FCC station license with it...Just like it says on the back
of >>HIS<< GROL!

> > That is NOT required for UNLICENSED CB radio operators on
> > 27 MHz, yet their signals can - during certain propagation
> > conditions - be heard all over the world.

Sure...can be HEARD "all over the world".

Now...try and pick a discreet signal out of the caucophony of 11
meters, Lennie...

> Sure - but cb operations in the USA are not permitted beyond a certain
> limited distance (150 miles?) and that service only allows the use of
> very low power, certified equipment, two modes, and 40 channels (80 if
> you consider upper and lower sideband as separate).
>
> Do you think amateur radio should be just like cb?

He wishes it were...then he'd be vindicated.

Thankfully it's not.

> > >Slightly less than half of US hams hold Technician licenses, but
> > >there's no way of knowing how many have passed the code test.
> >
> > A mere 48+ plus percent of ALL USA amateur radio licensees
> > are Technician class.
>
> You might want to check those numbers, Len.
>
> > The Technician class (exclusive of
> > the Technician PLUS class) is over TWICE as numerous as
> > General class (most numerous of the "code tested" classes).
>
> So?
>
> The Technician class includes a considerable number of code-tested
> amateurs.

I've signed quite a few CSCE's for guys and gals who passed
Element 1 and "only" had a Technician.

> > >There's also the factor of how long somebody stays interested. And what
> > >they do when they have the license.
> >
> > That's NOT a LEGAL requirement, is it? :-)
>
> No, but it's an important consideration for the future of the Amateur
> Radio Service.
>
> > The ONLY requirement I can find in all of Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.
> > as to "doing" when a person has an amateur radio license is to
> > OPERATE LEGALLY ACCORDING TO THE REGULATIONS.
>
> >From a legal standpoint, that's true.
>
> > Is there something
> > I missed in Part 97 about "building from scratch," "devotion to
> > morse code," or being an acolyte at the Church of St. Hiram?

>
> Try reading the "Basis and Purpose" part with an open mind, Len.

THAT'S a stretch, Jim.

Lennie's about as flexible as a pane of glass.

> > >> As far as populatity goes, I'd say that the general public back
> > >> then
> > >> seemed to be far more aware of even the existence of the hobby
> > >> than it appears to be today (wonder if there's a survey available
> > >> anywhere on
> > >> this anywhere.....). For example, all of my friends and I knew
> > >> about amateur radio back then - both of my teenage sons indicate that
> > >> the majority of their contemporaries have no idea at all that the hobby
> > >> even exists. Those who are aware are pretty much disinterested
> > >> in the activity - they have more fun and interesting things to do!
> >
> > >But are you and your friends a representative sample?
> > >
> > Why not?
> >
> Because they're a small sample.
>
> > YOU seem to hold yourself as a "representative sample"
> > of the very model of a modern major marvel in amateur radio. :-)
> >
> I'd rather be me than you, Len ;-)

Halleleuja on that one...

> > [apologies to Gilbert & Sullivan]
> >
> > >When I was growing up, most people, kids or adults, had no idea
> > >what ham radio was unless they were related to or good friends
> > >with a ham.
> > >
> > In 50 years little has changed in that regard...

No thanks to "professionals in radio electronics who have had a
lifelong interest in Amateur Radio..."

I'm still waiting for Lennie to regale us with tales of all the
kids he's "mentored" in an electronics career....

Seems Lennie's real poor with his "sharing" skills...No doubt part
of the reason he so covets the Amateur allocations yet won't join
in....If he can't "have it all" he doesn't want ANYthing.....

> > Where is the ARRL Public Relations effort when it is sorely
> > needed?
> > >
> > >I grew up in a suburb of Philadelphia that was mostly blue- and

> > >white-collar middle class families. Lots of kids, houses ranging from
> > >rowhomes to big single Dutch Colonials. Yet there were less than a
> > >dozen hams in the whole township then, all spread out, and about half
> > >were inactive or minimally active.
> >
> > "Typical?" :-)
>
> Yes.
>
> > Tsk, didn't you claim to have begun amateur radio in another
> > state?
>
> No. You are mistaken - again.
>
> Show us where I made such a claim - if you can.

It's Lennie that's in "another state"...of confusion...!

> > >In my high school (grades 9/10/11/12), which had over 2500 boys and
> > >emphasized math and science, there were perhaps a half-dozen hams in
> > >the 4 years I was there.
> >
> > Ah, an "all boys" school. That may explain a lot...?

Such as?

Jim has kids. What happened to you, Lennie?

> The all-girls school was right next door. Both schools are still there
> and still educating young people.
>
> > >The main problem wasn't code or theory, for those who were interested.
> > >It was space for an antenna and money for equipment.
> >
> > Amazing how the story changes as time goes on... :-)
>
> No change.
>
> > NOBODY had an "attic antenna" back in those ancient days?
>
> That requires an attic. Not everyone has one. Row houses usually don't.
>
> > Tsk, the "beer can vertical" started in the 1950s..
>
> Did you ever build one? I did - from steel *soda* cans. ;-)
>
> > NOBODY managed to attend a Field Day exercise back then?
> > [were there any parks to hold them in?]
>
> I've been on the air at every Field Day since 1968. My score for
> this year is listed on the ARRL website. I was #1 in my category
> for the Hudson Division.

Did anyone hear Lennie and his Part 15 rig on 20 meters?

> > NOBODY scrounged for "old radio parts" to build a whole
> > station for $100 then?
>
> I did. Built stations for a lot less than $100. Pictures of
> one of my receivers are on the HBR website.

I built the 35W crystal controlled transmitter with a single 6146
from the 69 Handbook. Used a Heathkit HR-10B receiver that I built.
After you add in some crystals and other accessories from a local
hamfest, I think I got WN8OAH on the air for under $100 in 1972.

> > >Most people, young or old, thought ham radio looked like a kind of fun
> > >thing, when they found out about it. But not enough to spend the
> > >necessary time and money to set up a station, let alone get a license.
> >
> > Too busy playing with car fix-ups?
>
> Yes, for many 'teeners' of that time.
>
> > They couldn't get part-time jobs to afford $100 to build a
> > "Southgate" transceiver?
>
> You seem to forget how much $100 really was back in the 1960s.
> A week's gross salary for you, I'd expect....;-)

Oh no, Jim...Now we will be subjected to yet another diatribe on
how he was making "the big bucks" in "aerospace".

> > Well, I have to give you slack on that. "Surplus" in the late
> > 1940s was very inexpensive: $6 for a brand-new Command Set
> > receiver, $12 for a brand-new Command Set transmitter, $18 for
> > the Command Set antenna tuning unit and modulator...at H&H
> > Electronics, co-owned by Gene Hubbel (then W9ERU, later W7DI
> > and then SK...a VERY high-rate tested morseman).

There's Lennie dropping Amateur callsigns as if it means something
to him.

> That's nice, Len. But I didn't grow up in the 1940s. I was talking
> about
> the late 1960s and early 1970s.
>
> > Tsk, I used
> > my part-time earnings to buy and convert (to 110 VAC power)
> > TWO Command Set stations...sold them quickly at a very modest
> > profit by 1950. "Surplus" radios cost much more 30 years later.
>
> That's nice, Len.
>
> But I bet you never actually *operated* a Command Set station. I did.
> Converted both the receivers and transmitters. Had a complete setup of

> them before I was 16.

What was "very modest" about Lennie's command set story was the
quantity of truth, I bet...

> But I soon found I could build better receivers and transmitters. So
> surplus became a parts source for my projects.

Hmmmmmmmmm.....Reminds me...Lennie STILL hasn't ponied-up some
pics or specifics on HIS "station", other than a 30 year old Icom
receiver and an un-named scanner he listens to LAX ATIS on...

It's not like it would be a technically challenging project,
considering his seven AOL accounts and their allocated "webpages" allow
the posting of multiple jpeg's or bitmap pics.

> > >IMHO one of the biggest reasons ham radio isn't better known
> > >is that it's not a very "visual" thing - it doesn't translate well to
> > >TV or a movie.
> >
> > Really? Ernest Lehman didn't think so. He wrote a fairly
> > popular novel entitled "The French Atlantic Affair" which was
> > made into a two-part TV movie on one of the networks. Lehman
> > was a respected award-winning screenwriter ("North by Northwest"
> > is perhaps the most notable). Lehman was also a licensed ham.
>
> And you're not.

And obviously you were right in this case, Jim...Wonder where all
that literary skill went to...?!?!

> > Of course, CB Radio has been featured in many a TV show and
> > movie such as "Convoy," "Smokey and the Bandit," and (would
> > you believe this title) "Flatbed Annie and Sweetiepie"...not
> > to mention an essential part of "The Dukes of Hazzard" series.
>
> Where it is depicted being used to avoid law enforcement and in
> violation of the Communications Act.

Yep...criminals and rednecks...Those are the kinda folks >>I<<
would want to be associated with!

> > A later movie, "Frequency" used amateur radio as essential to
> > enable communications time-travel between deceased father and
> > son, but that was more science-fantasy in its plotline and
> > didn't really showcase radio as much as the supernatural.

And only the laws of nature were "broken".

Not a redneck in sight.

> Then there's "Contact" in which the main character is a young radio
> amateur, and amateur radio operation is fairly adequately portrayed
> in the opening sequence. But the terms "amateur radio" and "ham radio"

> are not mentioned in the film!
>
> When depicted operating her amateur radio station in that film, the
> main character is well below the minimum age limit you would have
> imposed on US amateur radio, btw.

Uh huh...And also played a very pivotal part in the character's
developing scientific future. Again, all very positive
representations.

And let's not forget "The Anderson Tapes" (how ironic!) in which a
bed-ridden youth in a high rise calls for help when thieves loot their
flats...?!?!

Again...very positive and technically accurate portrayals of
Amateur Radio.

> > >Maybe we're using the wrong term - perhaps instead of "popularity",
> > >what you are describing is better described as "visibility" or
> > >"recognition by the public".
> >
> > Tsk. You should have a long heart-to-heart talk with ARRL
> > Public Relations (excuse me, "Media Advisors") people on
> > getting amateur radio more popular with the general public.
> > ARRL hasn't done much in THAT regard for the last half
> > century...they've spent most of their time preaching to the
> > choir to try and enlarge their membership numbers (haven't
> > done too well there, either, still only 1 out of 5 licensed
> > U.S. radio amateurs are members).
>
> You know this how?

He doesn't. It's a bunch of bufoonery.

> > But, you are NOT offering any possible solutions to either
> > popularity, visibility, or recognition by any public.
>
> I've done so many time, Len.

Ditto. I've set up at my kids grade school...Assisted at
JOTA...done "PA" functions at Field Day sites...Taught classes...

Hey Lennie...How many kids have YOU mentored in electonics..?!?!

Even ONE...?!?!

> > Your
> > aim is to disrupt any talk of eliminating the code test by
> > any means possible.
>
> Typical - when someone disagrees with you,
> you make claims that are simply not true.

Notice, Jim, that he puts this at the END of a lengthy, windy post wherein he FIRST took great liberties to try and undermine any "good" references to Amateur Radio, with or WITHOUT the "code test" being mentioned....Seems he made QUITE A FEW refrences of his own that had NOTHING to do with "code testing".

> > NOT a democratic-principle effort on
> > your part. But, it's par for the course in this newsgroup.
>
> Sorry, that's just not true.

Again, another lame swipe AFTER he has taken HIS liberties....That is par for HIS course...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4302. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 10:47:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027064725.004$ex@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >If Hollingsworth
>
> Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Ssshhhh.

4303. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 10:48:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027064831.816$Lk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > cut
>
Get a room.

4304. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 10:49:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027064936.269$Kg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 19:55:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> cut
>
Get a room.

4305. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 10:50:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027065011.907$NL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"craptosser #3" <craptosser3@foad.net> wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:92c0m1tnm0s19n5vt4s5krdatp79lim1qe@4ax.com...
> > On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > >If Hollingsworth
> >
> > Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> Hopefully it will be one of several names that will
> appear on a future NAL to K1MAN for $20K+
> in FCC fines. ("from our mouth to G-d's ear")
>
What's his call?

4306. Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 10:50:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130410231.062417.257950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122132

Iitoi wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
> > Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
> >
> > As of 25 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> > Elimination/Retention tabulation:
> >
> > ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> > ------------- ---------------
> > Grand Total 2892 916
> > Indeterminate (note 1) 180 69
> > Value for Percentages 2708 847
> > Against NPRM (note 2) 846 [30.26%] 294 [34.71%]
> > For NPRM (note 3) 1434 [52.95%] 398 [46.99%]
> > Test Extra Only (note 4) 428 [15.81%] 155 [18.30%]
> >
> > This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 3 PM EDT 26 Oct 05.
>
> Since the official publication in the Federal Register, the comments
> seem to be turning "pro-code".
>
> 46.99% favor fully removing the cw test.
> 53.01% favor retaining some level of cw test.

Nothing like jabbing Lennie withn his own "research...

Allow me to add from Lennie's later post of the day:

QUOTE

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
Date: 26 Oct 2005 21:10:07 -0700
Local: Wed, Oct 26 2005 11:10 pm
Subject: Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 26 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

ALL to Date Since FR Notice
------------- ---------------
Grand Total 3055 1079

Indeterminate (note 1) 192 81
Value for Percentages 2863 998
Against NPRM (note 2) 910 [31.78%] 355 [35.57%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1486 [51.90%] 450 [45.09%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 467 [16.31%] 193 [19.33%]

This tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 8 PM EDT 26 Oct 05.

UNQUOTE.

With THESE numbers, "Litoi", it's even better...Notice in the "ALL to date" column, "support" (for the NPRM) dropped a whole percentage point in only five hours per Lennie's reporting! And the support FOR code testing in that five hours in the "Since Notice" column jumped almost 3 points.

It's a good day.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4307. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 10:59:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130410774.322964.57140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 14:27:51 -0400, clvrmnky
> <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote:

> >"Let he who is without sin cast the first stone."
> well said sir
>
> and much better typed than I would have ever managed

Like THAT was an issue....?!?!

Quadrapalegics type better than you with a stick in their mouth.

Steve, K4YZ

4308. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 11:09:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130411360.815175.202060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> Fred Garvin wrote:
> > On 2005-10-17 21:13:51 -0400, Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com> said:
> >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
> > >> What's wrong with being a fag?
> > >
> >
> > Ummm, a little thing called AIDS? It's nature's way of saying "STOP IT".
>
> wrong again

Uhhhh...no acutally, he's right, but probably not for the same
reasons.

It's only SOME men's bigoted (that would be YOU, Markie) concept
that homosapiens is somehow above Mother Nature's need to thin the herd
once in a while.

AIDS wields a double edged sword...If it can't slow down the human
growth rate by forcing humans to slow down their copulation habits, it
just kills them outright.

Our grandchildren will probably laugh at us for having not known
that the "cure" was something they take for granted, but then they will
probably have a scourge of their own that's every bit as ugly.

Steve, K4YZ

4309. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 11:20:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130412016.774643.288580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > cut
> >
> Get a room.

I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
can't control her gag reflex like she used to.

Steve, K4YZ

4310. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 11:25:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027072554.207$QL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > cut
> > >
> > Get a room.
>
> I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
> can't control her gag reflex like she used to.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in front
of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump his leg too?
```

4311. LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 11:35:47 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <87017833.2458F87@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Note that the FCC web site lists K1MAN's renewal application as
> "PENDING." A printout of this has been posted next to K1MAN's
> original (now expired) license per 47 CFR 1.62 (2), and K1MAN on air
> operations continue under this entitled authority.

k1man is a great man who is fighting an opressive govnerment in order to
save ham radio. long live his broadcasts. can he please play some
olivia newton jon songs? thank you. a fan.

4312. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 11:37:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130413068.520270.316170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122138

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > > > >
> > > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > > > thread?
> > > > >
> > > > Why?
> > > >
> > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > >
> > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> >
> > But...
> >
> > but.....
> >
> > but.....
> >
> > BRAIN!
> >
> > You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
> >
> > So what's the deal?
> >
> > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
>
> Len is on-topic far more often than you.

No he's not.

He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
"debate" the Morse Code test issue.

More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
tangent without even mentioning the tests.

> > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
```

> > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> > "everyone else" considers proper?
>
> Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
> in itself a lie.

It's a lie when the content is presented in such a way as to
present it as 'fact' when indeed reality is different.

Take your 'ARES won't respond' claims for example.

Past AND current events have proven it wrong. It was wrong when
you uttered it the first time and it's wrong now.

> "Thier's" not enough time in the day to discuss your antisocial
> behavio[u]r.

But there's plenty of time to discuss your mistruths?

> > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
>
> Or what? Finish up whatever thought you might have been having.

Put a period after the "fine", Brain, and you'll be all set.

Need me to put you in a high chair and put a bib on you too...?!?!

> > > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> > > >
> > > > Why?
> > > >
> > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > >
> > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> >
> > Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!
>
> You're certainly not.

Sure I am.

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Brainie make funnie!
>
> Steve got caught and embarassed, laughs at hisself.

Nope.

Laughing at YOU, Brainie!

> > > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sheesh!
> > > >
> > > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
> > >
> > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> >
> > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> > you.
>
> "back"
>
> Sorry Steve, it's your excrement that you smear across the net.

Nope.

You can stop lying any time now, Brain. We won't miss it.

> > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> >
> > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > follow.
> >
> > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
>
> So stop lying and try to be a little less antisocial.

I've done that. Repeatedly. Only to have you dig right back in
with the same decitful, mistruthful bile when you think "the coast is
clear".

> > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > > > shoe DOES fit...
> > >
> > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> > > >
> > > "summon", Brain.
> > >
> > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
> >
> > And you weren't bright enough to fix it.
> >
> > OK.
>
> See the quotations???

And you still couldn't fix it?

> > > > > Are they Pagans?
> > > >
> > > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
> > >
> > > Ah! Another Pagan.
> >
> > How do you figure?
> >
> > > Are you gay, too?
> >
> > No, why do you ask?
>
> You always link being Pagan and gayness together.

No, I don't.

However in MARKIE'S case they ARE one in the same...He IS gay and
he IS a pagan..or at least claims to be...

> Dispicable comments snipped.

What's "dispicable" about them? You are acting like you need some
companionship...I am sure Markie will accomodate you. You have so much
in common.

Steve, K4YZ

4313. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 12:12:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130415170.723317.317360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:

> You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in front
> of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump his leg too?

Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.

Steve, K4YZ

4314. Re: RRAP Bulletin Board, was: Re: Mark bough a new wris****ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 12:59:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130417961.338470.98550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122140

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 17:49:23 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 18:50:18 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >KØHB wrote:
> >> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
> >> >>
> >> >> >KØHB wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> With your screen name, you should have been around for the "god discussions"
> >> >> a
> >> >> few weeks ago.
> >> >>
> >> >> Beep beep
> >> >> de Hans, K0HB
> >> >>
> >> >Those were "anti-Christian discussions" and they've been going on for a
> >> >couple thousand years.
> >> >>
> >> Wow, you've been on rrap longer than I thought!
> >> >>
> >> Beep beep
> >> de Hans, K0HB
> >> >
> >> >Yup, a long time. But just now I've become the reason for the groups
> >> >demise.
> >> >
> >> >I'm counting the dits till Mike builds his BBS and all the cool people
> >> >move out.
> >> >
> >> >Anyone want to guess when that will be?
> >> >>
> >> a pool after the R&O is out
> >> >
> >Naw, they gotta make "thier" exit before the R&O or it will look like
> >they tried to take "thier" ball and go home. Mike's got some coding to
> >do.
>
> he better hurry then after he have to get the others to check via
> morse code and the fill will take a long time to send that way

What do you care, Marky, you can't copy it anyways.

4315. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 13:02:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130418160.740191.189460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> >> > >
> > > >> >> > >
> > > >> >> > > >
> > > >> >> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> > > >> >> cut
> > > >> >> > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> > > >> >> > >
> > > >> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> > > >> >> > > illness Mr Slit
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> more lies Mr Slit
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
> > > >>
> > > >> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> > > >> never been mental ill
> > > >
> > > >Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
> > > >
> > > >> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
> > > >
> > > >I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
> > > >every post.
> > > I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed
> > >
> > According to your "file" you have been locked up, Marky.
>

> why are you lying Mr Slit?

Why are you so defensive, Ms. Cunt?

> If had been locked no file you could legaly have access to would tell
> you that or if you had access it would be a crime to disclose it
> contents

You were locked up in a rubber room, Marky. You will be again.

> since it isn't true why bother telling the lie

But it is true, Marky. Look how much you are trying to cover it up.

> I know thinks that Lying or being Bisexual gets you confined to a pysch
> ward but he doesn't know what he talking about

Get back on your anti-psychotics, Marky, you're babbling more than
usual.

4316. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 13:06:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130418378.837700.97590@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122142

fuck off, mentally ill, genetically inferior retard!

4317. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 13:13:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130418785.306093.245250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 17:19:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
> >> not discussing issues
> >
> > "newsgroup's"
> >
> > Scavaging for frequencies is not "issues".
>
> Scavaging? gee sound like you issues to me

Hearing those voices again, retard?

> the guy has been looking for proof of ARS emergncy traffic and has had
> trouble finding it

He would from your station, Marky.

> some thing I would expect him to have as most of the real stuff is
> pretty local and unsung

As if you'd know, loser.

4318. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 13:35:12 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8nl1m19pk9ad0dtr8na0cfdriu6256s43f@4ax.com>
Source record: 122144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:49:07 -0400, "craptosser #3" <craptosser3@foad.net>
wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:92c0m1tnm0s19n5vt4s5krdatp79lim1qe@4ax.com...
>> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> >If Hollingsworth
>>
>> Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Hopefully it will be one of several names that will
>appear on a future NAL to K1MAN for $20K+
>in FCC fines. ("from our mouth to G-d's ear")

Sounds like there are some ego-maniacs at work here. I don't see any reason for most of this other than wanting publicity. I do not know anything about K1MAN, other than the fact he is trying to be the Howard Stern of Ham radio, or that is the way it appears to me.

What ever happened to simple plain DXing with other fellow hams?

Vinnie S.

4319. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:11:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ktn1m19rk9kvbdosem4i80mbakt2d6098j@4ax.com>
Source record: 122145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 27 Oct 2005 04:09:20 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Fred Garvin wrote:
>> > On 2005-10-17 21:13:51 -0400, Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@fu.com> said:
>> >
>> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
>> > > wrote:
>> > >> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
>> > >> What's wrong with being a fag?
>> > >
>> >
>> > Ummm, a little thing called AIDS? It's nature's way of saying "STOP IT".
>>
>> wrong again
>
> Uhhhh...no acutally, he's right, but probably not for the same
>reasons.
>
> It's only SOME men's bigoted (that would be YOU, Markie) concept
>that homosapiens is somehow above Mother Nature's need to thin the herd
>once in a while.

more of your lies Stevie
>
> AIDS wields a double edged sword...If it can't slow down the human
>growth rate by forcing humans to slow down their copulation habits, it
>just kills them outright.
>
> Our grandchildren will probably laugh at us for having not known
>that the "cure" was something they take for granted, but then they will
>probably have a scourge of their own that's every bit as ugly.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4320. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:11:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fvn1m1l7fp90bonvo6o4rd7oj86rte37bu@4ax.com>
Source record: 122146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 27 Oct 2005 06:02:40 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > > >> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> >
>> > > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > >> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > > >> >> > > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > >> >> > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>> > > > >> >> > > > >> cut
>> > > > >> >> > > > > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > > > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
>> > > > >> >> > > > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > > > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
>> > > > >> >> > > > > > illness Mr Slit
>> > > > >> >> > > > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > > > > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
>> > > > >> >> > > > >>
>> > > > >> >> > > > >> more lies Mr Slit
>> > > > >> >> > > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > > >Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
>> > > > >> >> > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > > no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
>> > > > >> >> > > > never been mental ill
>> > > > >> >> > > >
>> > > > >> >> > > >Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
>> > > > >> >> > >
>> > > > >> >> > > you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
>> > > > >> >> > >
>> > > > >> >> > >I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
>> > > > >> >> > >every post.
>> > > > >> >> > I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed
```

>> >
>> > According to your "file" you have been locked up, Marky.
>>
>> why are you lying Mr Slit?
>
>Why are you so defensive, Ms. Cunt?

not at all defensive

>
>> If had been locked no file you could legaly have access to would tell
>> you that or if you had access it would be a crime to disclose it
>> contents
>
>You were locked up in a rubber room, Marky. You will be again.

more lies
>
>> since it isn't true why bother telling the lie
>
>But it is true, Marky. Look how much you are trying to cover it up.

of course Her geobbels
>
>> I know thinks that Lying or being Bisexual gets you confined to a pysch
>> ward but he doesn't know what he talking about
>
>Get back on your anti-psychotics, Marky, you're babbling more than
>usual.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4321. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:12:41 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e1o1m1pvk7rqg0t0lh71lr98045fvtohoc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122147

On 26 Oct 2005 22:11:44 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 20:59:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 26 Oct 2005 18:54:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 25 Oct 2005 04:21:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >KeepBanging9RedQueerZebras@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 04:01:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >raped_by_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> more of stvies fraud
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > "Stevie's"
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > The "fraud" being "committed" here is the one wherein we are to
>> >> >> >> >accept that you are an educated man.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> wrpng again
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > WHAT again...?!?!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > You even spell "wrong" wrong!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> so what?
>> >> >
>> >> > So you're an idiot. Thanks for admitting it.
>> >>
>> >> more stevie lies
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> yes you lied I did not admit to being an idoit
>
> Yes, you admitted to being an idiot, then you went on to prove it
>when you spelled "idiot" wrong.

another lie Stevie
cuting all after I reply to Stevie first lie of the post

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4322. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:14:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <f3o1m15g8trjl4dib71ufnd5tddbtel2t9@4ax.com>
Source record: 122148

On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
>> > > > > thread?
>> > > > >
>> > > > Why?
>> > > >
>> > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
>> > >
>> > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
>> > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
>> >
>> > But...
>> >
>> > but.....
>> >
>> > but.....
>> >
>> > BRAIN!
>> >
>> > You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
>> >
>> > So what's the deal?
>> >
>> > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
>>
>> Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>
> No he's not.
>
> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>
> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"

>tangent without even mentioning the tests.

which right on target if a bit wordy
>
>> > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
>> > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
>> > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
>> > "everyone else" considers proper?
>>
>> Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
>> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
>> in itself a lie.
>
> It's a lie when the content is presented in such a way as to
>present it as 'fact' when indeed reality is different.
>
> Take your 'ARES won't respond' claims for example.
>
> Past AND current events have proven it wrong. It was wrong when
>you uttered it the first time and it's wrong now.

cuting all after Stevie first lie of of the post

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4323. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:28:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130423289.386131.61540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > molested_an_old_friends_son wrote:
> > > > Hank Oredson wrote:
> > > > > Heard him on 14.275 this morning.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > gald to hear it having provoked this fight with the FCC he needs to
> > > > finish carry through to the end
> > >
> > > Most of us ARE galled to hear about Baxter, Markie....He's a
> > > skidmark in the shorts of life as far as Amateur Radio goes.
> >
> > you know that statement consideing the source is an endorsement
>
> "considering"
>
> Only a deviant who think's having another man's excrement on his
> genitals is "acceptable" would think that.

more lying stevie
if you can't tell the turth for even a single sentence then I don't
even read the rest of your post

let alone coment on it

4324. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:31:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130423489.623423.292340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:49:07 -0400, "craptosser #3" <craptosser3@foad.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:92c0m1tnm0s19n5vt4s5krdatp79lim1qe@4ax.com...
> >> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >If Hollingsworth
> >>
> >> Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >Hopefully it will be one of several names that will
> >appear on a future NAL to K1MAN for $20K+
> >in FCC fines. ("from our mouth to G-d's ear")
>
>
> Sounds like there are some ego-maniacs at work here. I don't see any reason for
> most of this other than wanting publicity. I do not know anything about K1MAN,
> other than the fact he is trying to be the Howard Stern of Ham radio, or that is
> the way it appears to me.

that is what some of the postere want you to think at any rate looking
past the noise he seems to be an obnoxious fellow who has choosen to
test the limits at the FCC. not what I call wise to do so but US law
does not require wisdom of its cirizens (thank the gods or else there
would be no room in jail and kiley no one to gaurd them)
>
> What ever happened to simple plain DXing with other fellow hams?
>
> Vinnie S.

4325. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:34:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130423664.375568.156040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 14:27:51 -0400, clvrmnky
> > <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote:
>
> > >"Let he who is without sin cast the first stone."
> > well said sir
> >
> > and much better typed than I would have ever managed
>
> Like THAT was an issue....?!?!
>
> Quadrapalegics type better than you with a stick in their mouth.

can alwasy count on Stevie to make fun of the disabled
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4326. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:37:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130423855.502179.309180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 26 Oct 2005 17:19:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
> > > >> not discussing issues
> > > >
> > > > "newsgroup's"
> > > >
> > > > Scavaging for frequencies is not "issues".
> > >
> > > Scavaging? gee sound like you issues to me
> >
> > Hearing those voices again, retard?
no

using litery license
>
> > the guy has been looking for proof of ARS emergncy traffic and has had
> > trouble finding it
>
> He would from your station, Marky.

so?
your point Mr Slit

>
> > some thing I would expect him to have as most of the real stuff is
> > pretty local and unsung
>
> As if you'd know, loser.

of course I would know, and I would that Real Hams don't need the
praise unlike bostful ex RRAPer Dan

4327. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:44:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <iqp1m1pskoeapova85o8t8o0pg6bq4srj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 122253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 27 Oct 2005 07:31:29 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>> Sounds like there are some ego-maniacs at work here. I don't see any reason for
>> most of this other than wanting publicity. I do not know anything about K1MAN,
>> other than the fact he is trying to be the Howard Stern of Ham radio, or that is
>> the way it appears to me.
>
>that is what some of the postere want you to think at any rate looking
>past the noise he seems to be an obnoxious fellow who has choosen to
>test the limits at the FCC.

That is exactly what it appears to me from what I have been reading. He is doing nothing more than being anti-establishment or anti-government.

Everyone to his own, I guess. Like I said. I am perfectly happy DXing with other fellow hams, without testing limits or pushing authority.

Vinnie S.

4328. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:49:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6il1m1t1hqh2bb3b7da9jmn07v1am5jotk@4ax.com>
Source record: 122254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Got lots of work today so I'll only make a few comments:

On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:17:50 -0400, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
<26g0m1pajo17gur6gdpnm12bskc4g8kl2k@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>abu graib is another topic than frank and so
>>
>> The soldiers at Abu Gharib shold have REPORTED THE INFRACTIONS via
>>the chain of command.
>
>no they should have refused to prefrom them and then informed the
>chain of comand
>
>the "orders" were unlawfull and they should have had the gut to refuse
>them

Quite right. The oath requires you to perform any -LEGAL- order given
to you by your superiors. This was the issue during the Iran-Contra
scam when Ollie claimed he was just following orders and didn't care
if his actions were legal or not (although I'm sure he knew they were
illegal). That's blind obedience and it's wrong.

>>> even were he tried and found
>>> guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
>>> prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
>>> rightfull pay
>>
>> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
>>
>> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!
>
>your source?

Me, but Dudly didn't have all the facts. I pled guilty at the first
court-martial and so was never tried.

<snip>
>> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
>>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
>>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
>>the incident at the lowest echelon.
>
>Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of

>something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times

And that's exactly what I did for the second infraction. I forced a summary court-martial so the facts regarding the case would be a matter of record.

<snip>
>> He WAS found guilty.
>
>not according to him
> not after apeal was it but that does not count with you

For once Dudly is right. There were no appeals and both convictions stand. The vindication of my second infraction was the result of a seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence, and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my discharge upgraded to Honorable.

<snip>
>> USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
>>that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
>>about later. Better to kill them all now than to ahve to face them a
>>second time.

The USMC attitude on this is simple: "Accomplish the Mission".

<snip>
>>> he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
>>> even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie
>>
>> Sure it's a lie.
>
>nope it is an opinion Frank holds and stets that he doesn't believe
>you sered
>
>that is no lie

Oh, I'm sure he served alright. And I'm sure he served all of 18 years as he claimed. But I'm also sure that his time was spent almost entirely in garrison, that nothing on his record is outstanding, that he probably got a few Page 11 entries, and that his discharge was not an honorable retirement but a general discharge, probably for medical reasons (suggested by his current career in the medical field, which, according to statistics gathered by psychologists, happens to be the preferred occupational field for hypochondriacs). In other words, he served 18 years as a sick-bay commando. Certainly nothing to be proud of, nothing to brag about, and nothing he will ever publically admit.

Hence his silence about his "military career". And the epitath that will be imprinted on his tombstone will be, "I Told You I Was Sick", just like the one I saw on a PBS special last night.

Just let Dudly keep running his mouth -- the more he talks the more he proves that he's a socially isolated misfit with serious psychological issues. He's like a cat in a box: shake the box and he thrashes around for a while. Let him calm down then shake the box again. Heck, that's better entertainment than anything on TV!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4329. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 14:57:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kcq1m1tvnjcp4372sk4gb73mp3anngiq6n@4ax.com>
Source record: 122255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 07:49:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>Got lots of work today so I'll only make a few comments:
>
>On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:17:50 -0400, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
><26g0m1pajo17gur6gdpnm12bskc4g8kl2k@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>abu graib is another topic than frank and so
>>>
>>> The soldiers at Abu Gharib shold have REPORTED THE INFRACTIONS via
>>>the chain of command.
>>
>>no they should have refused to prefrom them and then informed the
>>chain of comand
>>
>>the "orders" were unlawfull and they should have had the gut to refuse
>>them
>
>
>Quite right. The oath requires you to perform any -LEGAL- order given
>to you by your superiors. This was the issue during the Iran-Contra
>scam when Ollie claimed he was just following orders and didn't care
>if his actions were legal or not (although I'm sure he knew they were
>illegal). That's blind obedience and it's wrong.

and dangerous as well
>
>
>>>> even were he tried and found
>>>> guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
>>>> prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
>>>> rightfull pay
>>>
>>> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
>>>
>>> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!
>>
>>your source?
>
>
>Me, but Dudly didn't have all the facts. I pled guilty at the first

>court-martial and so was never tried.
>
>
><snip>
>>> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
>>>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
>>>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
>>>the incident at the lowest echelon.
>>
>>Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
>>something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times
>
>
>And that's exactly what I did for the second infraction. I forced a
>summary court-martial so the facts regarding the case would be a
>matter of record.
>
>
><snip>
>>> He WAS found guilty.
>>
>>not according to him
>> not after apeal was it but that does not count with you
>
>
>For once Dudly is right. There were no appeals and both convictions
>stand. The vindication of my second infraction was the result of a
>seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
>my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
>have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
>the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
>and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
>to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
>appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
>discharge upgraded to Honorable.

well thanks for clearing it up Id say it was an appeal of sorts but
then try not to quible when I can
>
>
><snip>
>>> USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
>>>that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
>>>about later. Better to kill them all now than to ahve to face them a
>>>second time.
>
>
>The USMC attitude on this is simple: "Accomplish the Mission".
>
>

><snip>
>>>> he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
>>>> even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie
>>>
>>> Sure it's a lie.
>>
>>nope it is an opinion Frank holds and stets that he doesn't believe
>>you sered
>>
>>that is no lie
>
>
>Oh, I'm sure he served alright. And I'm sure he served all of 18 years
>as he claimed. But I'm also sure that his time was spent almost
>entirely in garrison, that nothing on his record is outstanding, that
>he probably got a few Page 11 entries, and that his discharge was not
>an honorable retirement but a general discharge, probably for medical
>reasons (suggested by his current career in the medical field, which,
>according to statistics gathered by psychologists, happens to be the
>preferred occupational field for hypochondriacs).
he claims that his discharge is medical

he also denies that it was for a physical cuase which leaves .....

> In other words, he
>served 18 years as a sick-bay commando. Certainly nothing to be proud
>of, nothing to brag about, and nothing he will ever publically admit.
>Hence his silence about his "military career". And the epitath that
>will be imprinted on his tombstone will be, "I Told You I Was Sick",
>just like the one I saw on a PBS special last night.

so your thought run pretty close to mine if not in the same mold

interesting as I suspect we are rather different but we share the
noton that at least when lie under our tombstones an eitaff can read
"well at least we lived out lives"
>
>
>Just let Dudly keep running his mouth -- the more he talks the more he
>proves that he's a socially isolated misfit with serious psychological
>issues. He's like a cat in a box: shake the box and he thrashes around
>for a while. Let him calm down then shake the box again. Heck, that's
>better entertainment than anything on TV!!!

Forgiveme if I wish I could call you liar on that one
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4330. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 15:14:48 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11m1rnb2vesod0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:l9a0m19f7oa9sq55f00cc4gll5k949jjsq@4ax.com...
> On 26 Oct 2005 18:10:17 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>> Frank Gilliland is a lair and a cheat.
>>
>> Pardon me..."LIAR"....
>>
>> Wouldn't want to get THAT one wrong, now would we?
>
> other you had to post your corection to an incuorrect statement twice
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
Both of you could use spell check a little more often :)

4331. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 15:23:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e7s1m15494gm037057gn49v6guaihbdjh6@4ax.com>
Source record: 122257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 10:14:48 -0500, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

><kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>news:l9a0m19f7oa9sq55f00cc4gll5k949jjsq@4ax.com...
>> On 26 Oct 2005 18:10:17 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Frank Gilliland is a lair and a cheat.
>>>>>
>>>>> Pardon me..."LIAR"....
>>>>>
>>>>> Wouldn't want to get THAT one wrong, now would we?
>>
>> other you had to post your corection to an incuorrect statement twice
>>>>>
>>>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>Both of you could use spell check a little more often :)

I don't use it all Stevie claims he does
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4332. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 15:45:12 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11m1tgdmhunhp19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122258

You just don't get it, do you Steve? This is not a poll or a vote. This is the FCC asking for cogent, reasoned, logical discussion for doing or not doing something. Read the responses and ask yourself who has the edge in the discussion. From where I sit, it is about as clear cut as the Chicago series.

Jim

> With THESE numbers, "Litoi", it's even better...Notice in the "ALL
> to date" column, "support" (for the NPRM) dropped a whole percentage
> point in only five hours per Lennie's reporting! And the support FOR
> code testing in that five hours in the "Since Notice" column jumped
> almost 3 points.
>
> It's a good day.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

4333. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 15:45:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <lct1m15vk14c68rqtqkg7giu0t3pira2vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 122260

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 08:45:12 -0700, "RST Engineering"
<jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:

>You just don't get it, do you Steve? This is not a poll or a vote. This is
>the FCC asking for cogent, reasoned, logical discussion for doing or not
>doing something. Read the responses and ask yourself who has the edge in
>the discussion. From where I sit, it is about as clear cut as the Chicago
>series.

nope he doesn't get it indeed if it should happen that procode
coments should edge out no code coments then Stevie will be
complaining he was cheated for a few decades like some of the OT about
Incentive licenses

the "vote" count will likely count about the level as the better of
the coment but I have not seen anything new from the ProCode side in
them and those arguements are all ready shot down at the FCC
>
>Jim
>
>
>
>
>> With THESE numbers, "Litoi", it's even better...Notice in the "ALL
>> to date" column, "support" (for the NPRM) dropped a whole percentage
>> point in only five hours per Lennie's reporting! And the support FOR
>> code testing in that five hours in the "Since Notice" column jumped
>> almost 3 points.
>>
>> It's a good day.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4334. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 15:45:58 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@mydxassngood.net>
Message-ID: <djqsmivgu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The hatred being spewed here is all too common in ham radio today and represents what this once great hobby has degenerated into.

When rational minds prevail, virtually all informed sources, both in and out of the FCC, agree that legal precedent is clearly on the side of Mr Baxter, and in the final analysis, Mr Baxter will carry the day, much to the chagrin of his detractors.

Lloyd
CEO, Dalek Ltd.

4335. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:03:45 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11m1uj3tniis6b5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122261
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
>>
>> I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have read
>> of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
> 147? Yeah right.

I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a CBer. Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all CBers are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day swilling beer and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you hold, "I have a ham ticket, that makes me special."

4336. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:11:12 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11m1v128gevni19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >> >too.
>> >>
>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>> >
>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>>
>> another lie Stevie
>
> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
>

That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.

>> when are you going to stop
>
> Stop what?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

4337. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:18:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130429931.857046.287800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122263

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 26 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	3096	1120
Indeterminate (note 1)	194	83
Value for Percentages	2902	1037
Against NPRM (note 2)	910 [31.36%]	355 [34.23%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1510 [52.03%]	474 [45.71%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	482 [16.61%]	208 [20.06%]

Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 11 AM EDT 27 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, two foreign resident
submissions, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.

This posting replaces the previous for 26 October, 41 filings added by FCC to ECFS under that date as of 27 October, 11 AM EDT.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made, like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4338. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:28:24 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djqv7i$lh6$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122264
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11m1uj3tniis6b5@corp.supernews.com...
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
> > DrDeath wrote:
> >>
> >> I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have
read
> >> of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
> > 147? Yeah right.
>
> I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a
CBer.
> Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all CBers
> are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day swilling
beer
> and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you hold, "I have a
> ham ticket, that makes me special."

Why on earth would anyone want to pass an Amateur test to talk to guys like this is beyond me. At least with CB you can have a laugh and also use it sensibly without having to dedicate your whole life to the bloody thing.... Those people who look down on CB because they are amateurs really...really need to get out and experience some life..... and i dont mean down to the ham rallys to buy some more of someones junk to fill their houses with. Not all Amateurs are like this but theres a lot that are, and a lot of them sit in these newsgroups trying to prove something. Sad old farts....

4339. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:44:42 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <uu02m1pacuecg6k3fphacaqvo5fna8lh63@4ax.com>
Source record: 122266
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:03:45 -0500, "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>> DrDeath wrote:
>>>
>>> I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have read
>>> of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
>> 147? Yeah right.
>
>I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a CBer.
>Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all CBers
>are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day swilling beer
>and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you hold, "I have a
>ham ticket, that makes me special."

Any moron can pick up a microphone.

--

73 de Jock.

Old Glory - a common misspelling. Only the first "l"
is necessary.

4340. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:51:27 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <216d8$43610571$4e0b10c$1928@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Mark,
STFU

Ace - WH2T

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130371345.621729.325370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> TOM wrote:
>> TOM <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:cGK7f.503955$5N3.189857@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> > I'm scanning 75, 40 and 20 meters for disaster relief communication
>> related
>> > to hurrican Wilma---I haven't heard anything yet. Any suggestions for
>> > frequencies to monitor are appreciated.
>>
>> I monitored SATERN around 1400Z this morning, I heard check-ins but no
>> traffic exchanged. Anyone come across nets handling disaster relief
>> communications related to hurricane Wilma? Please provide frequency of
>> operation.
>
> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
> not discussing issues
>

4341. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:57:13 UTC
From: bigamps <bigamps@louder.still.net>
Message-ID: <JF78f.511$lg.48@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 122268
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>
>>DrDeath wrote:
>>
>>>I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have read
>>>of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
>>
>>147? Yeah right.
>
>
> I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a CBer.
> Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all CBers
> are to stupid...
^^

147?

4342. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 16:59:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122269

RST Engineering wrote:
> You just don't get it, do you Steve?

Sure I do, Jim, but I think you're the one who may be a bit
confused here.

> This is not a poll or a vote. This is
> the FCC asking for cogent, reasoned, logical discussion for doing or not
> doing something.

Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
forum on USENET.

The FCC is only incidentally involved as it is their numbers he
quotes.

> Read the responses and ask yourself who has the edge in
> the discussion. From where I sit, it is about as clear cut as the Chicago
> series.

Everyone sees things as they will, Jim. I am sure you "vision" is
as clear as anyone else's from THEIR perspective at any given moment.

Thanks for stopping by.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4343. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:02:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130432552.472056.265090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122270

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 08:45:12 -0700, "RST Engineering"
> <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:
>
> >You just don't get it, do you Steve? This is not a poll or a vote. This is
> >the FCC asking for cogent, reasoned, logical discussion for doing or not
> >doing something. Read the responses and ask yourself who has the edge in
> >the discussion. From where I sit, it is about as clear cut as the Chicago
> >series.
>
> nope he doesn't get it indeed if it should happen that procode
> coments should edge out no code coments then Stevie will be
> complaining he was cheated for a few decades like some of the OT about
> Incentive licenses

Now you're even more confused than Jim is, Markie.

> the "vote" count will likely count about the level as the better of
> the coment but I have not seen anything new from the ProCode side in
> them and those arguements are all ready shot down at the FCC

You need to take those words and re-arrange them, Markie.

But as for any "vote", there is no "vote". Only percentages based
on very broad categories.

Steve, K4YZ

4344. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:05:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6722m1ttdg5i8kcrfv8jd39onlqu1r8lj5@4ax.com>
Source record: 122271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:51:27 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>Hey Mark,
> STFU

why?
>
> Ace - WH2T
>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1130371345.621729.325370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> TOM wrote:
>>> TOM <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>>> news:cGK7f.503955$5N3.189857@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> > I'm scanning 75, 40 and 20 meters for disaster relief communication
>>> related
>>> > to hurrican Wilma---I haven't heard anything yet. Any suggestions for
>>> > frequencies to monitor are appreciated.
>>>
>>> I monitored SATERN around 1400Z this morning, I heard check-ins but no
>>> traffic exchanged. Anyone come across nets handling disaster relief
>>> communications related to hurricane Wilma? Please provide frequency of
>>> operation.
>>
>> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
>> not discussing issues
>>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4345. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:06:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3822m1tv8juf4mshhhsjbh85l254q5p0dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 122272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:11:12 -0500, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>>> >> >too.
>>> >>
>>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>>> >
>>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>>>
>>> another lie Stevie
>>
>> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
>> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
>>
>
>That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
>cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.

it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it
>
>>> when are you going to stop
>>
>> Stop what?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4346. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:08:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <r922m19fi4dtbm25rii6scscmkml411on0@4ax.com>
Source record: 122273

On 27 Oct 2005 10:02:32 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 08:45:12 -0700, "RST Engineering"
>> <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:
>>
>> >You just don't get it, do you Steve? This is not a poll or a vote. This is
>> >the FCC asking for cogent, reasoned, logical discussion for doing or not
>> >doing something. Read the responses and ask yourself who has the edge in
>> >the discussion. From where I sit, it is about as clear cut as the Chicago
>> >series.
>>
>> nope he doesn't get it indeed if it should happen that procode
>> coments should edge out no code coments then Stevie will be
>> complaining he was cheated for a few decades like some of the OT about
>> Incentive licenses
>
> Now you're even more confused than Jim is, Markie.

another flasehood on your part

I say a lie but it mught just be within the realm of opinion
>
>> the "vote" count will likely count about the level as the better of
>> the coment but I have not seen anything new from the ProCode side in
>> them and those arguements are all ready shot down at the FCC
>
> You need to take those words and re-arrange them, Markie.

now you are lying
>
> But as for any "vote", there is no "vote". Only percentages based
>on very broad categories.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4347. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:09:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <rc22m1pj51bi06bkdp12gghpbhlk8pobod@4ax.com>
Source record: 122274

On 27 Oct 2005 09:59:40 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>RST Engineering wrote:
>> You just don't get it, do you Steve?
>
> Sure I do, Jim, but I think you're the one who may be a bit
>confused here.

a Lie you don't get and your poroof it everytime you post

so the rest of your shit.....

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4348. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:25:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130433940.721532.326620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 07:31:29 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >>
> >> Sounds like there are some ego-maniacs at work here. I don't see any reason for
> >> most of this other than wanting publicity. I do not know anything about K1MAN,
> >> other than the fact he is trying to be the Howard Stern of Ham radio, or that is
> >> the way it appears to me.
> > >
> > >that is what some of the postere want you to think at any rate looking
> > >past the noise he seems to be an obnoxious fellow who has choosen to
> > >test the limits at the FCC.
> >
> > That is exactly what it appears to me from what I have been reading. He is doing
> > nothing more than being anti-establishment or anti-government.
> >
> > Everyone to his own, I guess. Like I said. I am perfectly happy DXing with other
> > fellow hams, without testing limits or pushing authority.

right now my only concern with K1MAN is that him having largely coosen
to provoke this clash their are good reason he needs to brazen it

he may be making a principled stand on something but in any event
having started this he needs to see it through
>
> Vinnie S.

4349. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:27:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130434058.002396.12410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122276

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 26 Oct 2005 21:02:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > QUICK!
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > Scroll down!
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> > >> > > > practice
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
> > >> > > months...
> > >> > >
> > >> > lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
> > >> > it is still in the quoted matrail
> > > >
> > > > "Liar" "material"
> > > >
> > > > No...there was no "joke" made at DICK'S expense...
> > >
> > > yes there was
> >
> > No, there wasn't.

another lie from stevie the first of this post so cttuing him off
>

4350. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:43:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <NaCdnWeH65OynvzeRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122265
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>
>>DrDeath wrote:
>>
>>>I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have read
>>>of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
>>
>>147? Yeah right.
>
>
> I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a CBer.
> Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all CBers
> are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day swilling beer
> and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you hold, "I have a
> ham ticket, that makes me special."
>
>

I find that those who brag about their IQs is about all they have to brag about. I know people with IQs higher then yours who couldn't find their way to the bathroom and back without help, so what does you IQ prove? Nothing.

As far as knowing more about radio, I converted my first transmitter, a Heath DX-60 from controlled carrier AM (you even know what controlled carrier AM is without looking it up?) to high level plate modulation (you even know what high level plate modulation is without looking it up?). I once designed and built my own SSB 80-10 meter transmitter plus many other pieces of ham equipment. Also constructed a 15 meter three element beam using gamma matching (you even know what gamma matching is without looking it up?). What have you designed and built for your 'good buddy ten-fer ther' cb hobby, mister IQ?

4351. Stevie ducks on another promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:46:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <tg42m1p1af465qhbvoudsgfnf4ea427l59@4ax.com>
Source record: 122277

Stevie you said you provide the contact info for your nursing if I wanted well Ihae posted that I want it

You have also claimed that lying would cost you your LPN (distils down to that)

lets find out

or are you too chicken

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4352. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 17:53:56 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <lqg733-33b.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 122278
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a CBer.
> Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all CBers
> are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day swilling beer
> and saying "ten-fer good buddy".

That's downright silly. Y'all gotta have time to put the hammer down and
find y'all's selves a convoy and spot them smokeys from the rockin' chair.
Then y'all gotta test y'all's leenyars by ratchet-jawin' "Walk Walk Walk
On Yooooooooooooooo" on channels 6 through 34 simultaneously. (That
means "at the same time".)

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

4353. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 18:24:00 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11m26q63cmosvfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122279

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
> was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
> forum on USENET.

I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
individual. You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
group, last I looked. And, I consider Len to be just a little more
competent to post than you.

>>
> Everyone sees things as they will, Jim. I am sure you "vision" is
> as clear as anyone else's from THEIR perspective at any given moment.

Visions and opinions are much like assholes -- everybody has one, and most
of them stink. Mine included.

>
> Thanks for stopping by.

Don't mention it.

Jim

4354. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 18:59:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <on82m19o04iuq938654bhmnrgjab77dgh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 122280

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:24:00 -0700, "RST Engineering"
<jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:

>
>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>> Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
>> was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
>> forum on USENET.
>
>I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
>Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
>individual. You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
>group, last I looked. And, I consider Len to be just a little more
>competent to post than you.

Stevie seems to think so

He certainly states it should be required to licenses for all sorts of
things, Posting, having kids or even opinion
>
>
>>>
>> Everyone sees things as they will, Jim. I am sure you "vision" is
>> as clear as anyone else's from THEIR perspective at any given moment.
>
>Visions and opinions are much like assholes -- everybody has one, and most
>of them stink. Mine included.

I take issue with comparing vision to assholes in this manner many
visions a re at least quite pleasent (even the flawed visions can be
quite attractive till closely examained
>
>
>
>>
>> Thanks for stopping by.
>
>
>Don't mention it.
>
>Jim
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4355. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 19:07:51 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130440070.968668.304390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122281

Bro. Les is fine. I've talked to him SEVERAL times since the storm.

Now you come on over to MemTown and let us introduce you to the
"sistahs" at KRBC.

It would make profit Stair proud.

4356. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 19:09:08 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xkxfx@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xkxfx@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122284

K4YZ Wrote:
>
> With THESE numbers, "Litoi", it's even better...

The name is Iitoi, not Litoi.

I wonder if James will continue to challenge the tally now that it appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based on "you can't trust someone who has no license".

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4357. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 19:27:53 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <837700.975909@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122282

On 27 Oct 2005 06:06:18 -0700, whinyslit_roger@yahoo.com wrote:
> fuck off, mentally ill, genetically inferior retard!

Talking to yourself again, Roger?

4358. K4YZ STEVEN J ROBESON wants to fuck my family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 20:45:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027164547.257$fl_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in
> > front of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump
> > his leg too?
>
> Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
> can't control her gag reflex like she used to.

Steve, K4YZ
>
So let me get this straight. You want to bang my mom, sister, and dad?

What the fuck is wrong with you, STEVEN J ROBESON K4YZ ?

4359. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 21:29:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130448590.549815.321010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122285

Iitoi wrote:
>
> I wonder if James

Do you mean me?

> will continue to challenge the tally now that it
> appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based
> on "you can't trust someone who has no license".

I'm simply asking for an explanation of how the 'scorecard'
is derived. The questions are simple and straightforward,
but Len gets all upset when someone questions anything he
posts here.

Here are some of the questions:

1) Does anyone check Len's work? (it appears that only Len
does this)

2) Do the totals include only comments, or reply comments?
(it appears they include reply comments too)

3) Are multiple comments/reply comments from the same person counted,
even if they are not identical? (Len has filed at least 5 different
comments/reply comments on WT 05-235. Are they counted as 1 or 5 or
something else?)

4) How is it determined if a comment has a "valid address"?

Whether or not the person posting a "scorecard" has a license or no
license makes no difference to me. Whether they are procodetest or
nocodetest also makes no difference to me.

I just want some direct answers to questions about the process of
generating the tallies. But such answers are considered insults
by Len.

Meanwhile, other parties are also counting the comments. They
show each and every comment on a website, classify it, and provide a
link
to the comment so you can see for yourself. They're listed in
alphabetical order by the last name of the filer for easy reference,
and dupes stand out clearly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4360. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 21:30:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027173026.429$ub@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Both of you could use spell check a little more often :)
>
Reckon? :p

4361. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 21:31:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027173133.767$xa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122287
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
> > DrDeath wrote:
> >>
> >> I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have
> >> read of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
> > 147? Yeah right.
>
> I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a
> CBer. Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all
> CBers are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day
> swilling beer and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you
> hold, "I have a ham ticket, that makes me special."
>
Ask him his call.

4362. Post of the week to rrcb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 21:33:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027173316.222$0w_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122288
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>> Why on earth would anyone want to pass an Amateur test to talk to guys
> like this is beyond me. At least with CB you can have a laugh and also
> use it sensibly without having to dedicate your whole life to the bloody
> thing.... Those people who look down on CB because they are amateurs
> really...really need to get out and experience some life..... and i dont
> mean down to the ham rallys to buy some more of someones junk to fill
> their houses with. Not all Amateurs are like this but theres a lot that
> are, and a lot of them sit in these newsgroups trying to prove something.
> Sad old farts....
>
IAWTP.
```

4363. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 21:35:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027173532.064$f2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122289
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jock. <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote:
> Any moron can pick up a microphone.
>
As proved my so many. License doesn't mean squat to me or K1man. <g>

4364. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 21:37:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027173743.813$t5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122290
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> I find that those who brag about their IQs is about all they have to
> brag about.
>
Do you ever take a time-out from your CB posts to really ponder it, JJ?

4365. Re: okay, here's the scoop... I guess I may need that dipole after all :-D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 21:46:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027174628.867$Pd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122291
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Buther Boy <me@privacy.net> wrote:
> >
> >>On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 21:21:06 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> >>wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Buther Boy wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I was so hypnotized by the possibility of owning my own home, that I
> >>>>never looked far enough into the future, that I would need my
> >>>>hobbies, especially since I don't work.
> >>>>
> >>>>Buther Boy
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>let me get this right, you bought a place but have no job? inheritance
> >>>or legal proceeds?
> >>
> >>I am disabled and married... maybe you're just now tuning in...
> >>
> >>Buther Boy
> >>
> >
> > Someone is just tuning in, and I doubt it's Jim. :)
>
> hey steve aint it amazing this place quiets down from the ham flames for
> the first time in months and then some new crossposting prick pokes his
> head out from under the dung heap? and i aint talking about butcherboy.
>
Those jackass's don't read rrcb, they only cross-post to it. Is it a
coincidence that it's them same jackass's that flame CBer's at every
opportunity? I think not.

There are -really- cool ham radio operators that read rrcb and never post
to it, also. (they email me)

rrcb has had fewer flames recently, than it's had in recent memory...cept
for the hams cross-posting to it.

They can't give up their roots.

4366. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:15:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wkc8f.764$8c5.751@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122292

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>>
>> No he's not.
>>
>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>
>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>
>
> which right on target if a bit wordy

How is that "right on target"? It isn't civil and he isn't debating.
He isn't a radio amateur who uses CW and he isn't a radio amateur who
uses any other mode. He is a pathetic geezer with no stake in amateur
radio and an he has an ax to grind.

Dave K8MN

4367. Re: K4YZ STEVEN J ROBESON wants to fuck my family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:16:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <48k2m1h1gohlkpucnmefbmt529c7dg2ou5@4ax.com>
Source record: 122293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 27 Oct 2005 20:45:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in
>> > front of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump
>> > his leg too?
>>
>> Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
>> can't control her gag reflex like she used to.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>So let me get this straight. You want to bang my mom, sister, and dad?

no dount Stevie will calim that it was just sarcasm
but only he (he seems to claim) is allowed that "out" (or is willing
to share that with the MMM)
>
>What the fuck is wrong with you, STEVEN J ROBESON K4YZ ?

I don't know exactly what is wrong with Stevie, my thoughts lean
toward Meglomania represed Homosexuality prehaps the gender the
disphoria descirbed in the old DSM3 as post by "Dr Ace"

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4368. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:19:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <rdk2m19neonmrooqtio448br0birnv1klq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122295

On 27 Oct 2005 14:29:50 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Iitoi wrote:
>>
>> I wonder if James
>
>Do you mean me?
>
>> will continue to challenge the tally now that it
>> appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based
>> on "you can't trust someone who has no license".
>
>I'm simply asking for an explanation of how the 'scorecard'
>is derived. The questions are simple and straightforward,
>but Len gets all upset when someone questions anything he
>posts here.
>
>Here are some of the questions:
>
>1) Does anyone check Len's work? (it appears that only Len
>does this)
>
no you want the job
>2) Do the totals include only comments, or reply comments?
>(it appears they include reply comments too)

ys it would seem so
>
>3) Are multiple comments/reply comments from the same person counted,
>even if they are not identical? (Len has filed at least 5 different
>comments/reply comments on WT 05-235. Are they counted as 1 or 5 or
>something else?)

well given the grading it would seem that they count as one unless
someone out there is submiting coments both For and Against code
testing
>
>4) How is it determined if a comment has a "valid address"?

by gut feeling
>
>Whether or not the person posting a "scorecard" has a license or no
>license makes no difference to me. Whether they are procodetest or
>nocodetest also makes no difference to me.
>

>I just want some direct answers to questions about the process of
>generating the tallies. But such answers are considered insults
>by Len.

you have your answers

BTW I thought I read you were leaving RRAP? what gives?
>
>Meanwhile, other parties are also counting the comments. They
>show each and every comment on a website, classify it, and provide a
>link
>to the comment so you can see for yourself. They're listed in
>alphabetical order by the last name of the filer for easy reference,
>and dupes stand out clearly.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4369. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:20:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <moc8f.765$8c5.107@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:49:07 -0400, "craptosser #3" <craptosser3@foad.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:92c0m1tnm0s19n5vt4s5krdatp79lim1qe@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If Hollingsworth
>>>>
>>>>Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>Hopefully it will be one of several names that will
>>>appear on a future NAL to K1MAN for $20K+
>>>in FCC fines. ("from our mouth to G-d's ear")
>>
>>
>>Sounds like there are some ego-maniacs at work here. I don't see any reason for
>>most of this other than wanting publicity. I do not know anything about K1MAN,
>>other than the fact he is trying to be the Howard Stern of Ham radio, or that is
>>the way it appears to me.
>
>
> that is what some of the postere want you to think at any rate looking
> past the noise he seems to be an obnoxious fellow who has choosen to
> test the limits at the FCC. not what I call wise to do so but US law
> does not require wisdom of its cirizens (thank the gods or else there
> would be no room in jail and kiley no one to gaurd them)

U.S. law requires obedience to that law for all who are "cirizens" or
"non-cirizens". If everyone is in jail, Kiley can "gaurd" them, Colonel.

Dave K8MN

4370. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:26:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <bnk2m1t8c1n75ppf944gjintuhstnf70ru@4ax.com>
Source record: 122296

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 22:15:56 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>>>
>>> No he's not.
>>>
>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>
>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>
>>
>> which right on target if a bit wordy
>
>How is that "right on target"?

by being right on target
> It isn't civil and he isn't debating.

I think Len would that MMM isn't civl but then neither are the MMM, as
to debating that would seem to be a matter of semantics

>He isn't a radio amateur who uses CW and he isn't a radio amateur who
>uses any other mode.

so?

> He is a pathetic geezer .
and you complain about uncivil?

>...with no stake in amateur
>radio ..

given the number of times we have tried discussing the public nature
of radio and the FACT there is only so BW and all como type want it

and more of it Everyone having any kind of radio or interested the
uses made of radio he certainly and you know why .... so I feel that
part of the statement rises to the level of "lie" not mere opinion

>...and an he has an ax to grind.

so what? like you and Steve and dan andd.... even myself don't
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4371. Re: K4YZ STEVEN J ROBESON wants to fuck my family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:30:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051027183056.782$9m@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> no dount Stevie
>
Get lost, cross-posting fuckstick.

4372. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:41:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130452869.429888.17390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122298

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

> >> Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM. :-)
> >
> >The thread is about your "scorecard", Len.
>
> No, it is about A score card, specifically on WT Docket 05-235,
> done to provide some insight on the Comments made and the
> prevailing opinions of OTHERS out there, as much up to date as
> possible for anyone else interested in NPRM 05-143.

It's about *your* 'scorecard', Len.
>
> The first thread was begun by me on 2 August, 2005, intended as
> a quick-look compilation of filings that had begun on 20 July by
> individuals. The second thread was begun by me on 2 August 2005,
> specifically to show both the original Docket opening filings and
> those filed after the Notice in the Federal Register. The third
> thread (this one) was begun on 17 October due to all the
> gabbling and squabbling about charges of "inaccuracy" by all
> those who didn't bother to do their own compilation. <shrug>
>
> To almost the end of 26 October 2005, there have been 3,055
> filings on WT Docket 05-235.

Do those 'filings' include only Comments, or other things like Reply
Comments?

> Has Miccolis READ them?

Some of them.

But it's not about me, Len. I'm not posting a 'scorecard' and making
any claims. You are.

> ALL
> of them? I have.

You claim to, anyway.

> I have appended two listings of filings
> with my Replies to Comments done at the date those Replies
> were filed. Miccolis FAILED to note that had been done; ergo,
> Miccolis has READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.

It's not about me, Len. I'm not posting a 'scorecard' and making
any claims. You are.

> Brian Burke has filed on WT Docket 05-235, even Dan Jeswald,
> Bill Sohl, and a few others. James P. Miccolis has NOT filed
> ANYTHING on WT Docket 05-235 as of 7 PM EDT on 26 October 2005.

So?

Why is that so important to you?

> >Asking how the
> >numbers are derived, what rules are used in the derivation,
> >and who checks your work are right on-subject.
>
> But NOT necessary.

Why not?

> I include Notes with each posting of the
> "score card" which explain the categorization. Those are
> comprehensive to those who bother to READ things.

I read those notes. They are not comprehensive. They do not
answer several questions I have raised.

> Since this is a private compilation, I do my own "checking"
> prior to each posting. Those can be verified by ANYONE who
> bothers to READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.

In other words, nobody checks your work.

> >For example, if someone filed 1 comment and 3 reply comments
> >on the NPRM, did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else?
>
> The OPINION expressed in EACH Comment or Reply to Comments
> is EVIDENT in their CONTENT. That is self-evident (to
> those who are not busy with misdirection of asking stupid
> little questions designed to annoy the score-keeper).

Did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else, Len?

It's a very simple question. You've filed at least 5 different comments
and reply comments, all of which are in support of the NPRM.

Do they show up as a count of 1 or 5 on the tally of "for" filings?

> James P. Miccolis has NOT posted ANYTHING similar to what
> I have done...yet wishes to be some kind of "judge" on
> what should be and what should not be. Tsk, tsk.

Why does what I have posted matter at all? You're
ducking some very basic questions. Seems to me you'd
be proud to show how your totals came about, but instead
you attack the messenger.

> >Your mistakes are well documented. Such as the legality of
> >amateur operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-period
> >licenses.
>
> THIS thread is about NPRM 05-143 and the filings in WT
> Docket 05-235.

So? The fact is that you've posted things here with serious
mistakes in them, and then attacked the person who pointed
out the mistakes.

> If there are "mistakes" in the tabulations,
> those can be found by ANYONE who bothers to READ the filings.
>
> So far, the ONLY "mistake" was a juxtaposition of two note
> numbers in the new form of the second thread begun on the
> first of September. That was pointed out by Bill Sohl in
> public, I acknowledged that and correct the juxtaposition.
>
> Neither NPRM 05-143 nor WT Docket 05-235 concern themselves
> with any "operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-
> period." :-)

> >Gosh, Len, so you *can* call me by my name!
>
> Your name is James P. Miccolis.

That's one way to write it.

> You haven't filed anything
> in the FCC ECFS since 23 August 2004.

So? Why is that important?

> It is NOT "Jim."

Yes, it is.

But for some reason you have extreme difficulty calling people by their
names.

> >US citizenship is not a requirement for getting an FCC
> >amateur radio license. Passing the required tests *is* a
> >requirement.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the FCC.

> Any Report and Order issued as a RESULT of Comments then
> becomes LAW in the form of an FCC Regulation of U.S. civil
> radio. Do you understand that, or must it be explained in
> voluminous detail to you?

Y'know, Len, you seem to miss the point on a lot of things.

> >A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required
> >to comment.
>
> Did you fail high school Civics class?

No - I got all A's.

> The First Amendment
> of the United States Constitution guarantees CITIZENS the
> right to make comments to their government. United States
> citizens...NOT citizens of OTHER countries.

So?

FCC accepts comments from noncitizens too. They don't *have* to do
that, but they do it anyway.

If FCC is willing to accept comments from foreigners, why don't you
list them as part of the total?

> >Neither is there an age requirement to comment.
>
> Given the childish behavior of some, especially those spiteful
> ones attempting to misdirect a thread showing the day-by-day
> change in the filings of WT Docket 05-235, perhaps there
> should be.

Gee, Len, you exhibit very childish behavior here ;-)

> Even more so when those spiteful children have
> great difficulty in accepting the prevailing opinions in the
> "amateur community."

Looks like the prevailing opinion is in support of code testing. For
Extras, at least.

You've had "great difficulty in accepting the prevailing opinions in
the
"amateur community"" for years, Len.

> >Of course Len has admitted that he has had problems integrating young
> >people into what he considers 'adult' activities....
>
> There is a minimum age to serve in the United States military.
> I have NO difficulty with that. I HAVE served.

As you remind us over and over.

There's no age limit on an amateur radio license, nor on commentary to FCC.

> Has James P.
> Miccolis served his country in that country's military? No, he
> has not.

Would it make any difference if I had? We've seen how you treat people who have served our country, both in the military and in other ways. Your behavior is that if they disagree with you their service is simply one more thing you can insult.

> Miccolis perceives "problems" on such minimum age
> limits, yet has NOT served.

I see no need for a minimum age requirement for licensing in the amateur radio service.

> The state of California has a minimum age limit on many things,
> drivers licenses for one. I have no problem with those. Miccolis
> perceives I have "problems" there? I have not.

You have stated here that you have always had problems integrating young people into what you consider an adult activity.

> There are hundreds of local communities which have very definite
> AGE LIMITS in their ordinances and codifications of law, all with
> definite moral and ethical purposes to those. I have no "problem"
> with them. Miccolis perceives "problems" where none exist.
>
> Miccolis wishes to drag up SEVEN-YEAR-OLD Comments on WT Docket
> 98-143...which are NOT a part of NPRM 05-143. Why?

Because they're relevant to your attitude towards young people.

You bring up your military service of a half-century ago - why is that relevant?

> >A comment is not a vote. An NPRM is not an election. FCC doesn't have
> >to act on what the majority of comments want.
>
> Had Miccolis done HIS OWN COMPILATION on the filings of WT Docket
> 05-235, he would have found that the majority of those making
> Comments since Federal Register Notice date of 31 August are NOT
> favoring NPRM 05-143. Miccolis should keep that in mind, if and
> when the FCC gets around to making their Report and Order. :-)
>
> Note that Miccolis has often referred to FCC 99-412
> ("Restructuring")
> Report and Order as "not following the majority!" :-)

And they didn't. The majority did not want 5 wpm as the only code test.

> >The issue is the accuracy of your 'scorecard'.
>

> I try to issue those daily. As a service to anyone interested in
> the progress of the public comment period on NPRM 05-143. I try to
> make them as accurate as possible.

Yet you don't answer questions on the process. Why?

> James P. Miccolis hasn't issued any tabulations/compilations on the
> filings of WT Docket 05-235. One wonders if he has READ them at
> all.
>
> The CHARGES of "inaccuracy" are specious, NOT backed up by any
> other tabulations/compilations on WT Docket 05-235.

There are no "CHARGES of inaccuracy" - just some questions on your
processes.

> >> Tsk, tsk. Since this is a private
> >
> >It's not private at all.
>
> It is a PRIVATE ENDEAVOR.

Not once you post it.

> As in "by myself."

Nobody checks your work, then.

> It is made "public"
> as in public view, as a result of posting.

Which means it's fair game for comments and questions.

> I can do it by private e-mail as easily. That way it would not
> (seemingly) offend you so much that you write the following:
>
> >You blab it all over a public forum,
> >so it's fair game for comment and question by others.
>
> Sigh...you still do NOT understand computer-modem communications.

Yes, I do, Len. That's what bugs you so much.

> These newsgroups unrestricted by moderators are ALL open and
> public to anyone who has access to a provider or to Google.

And that means your postings are fair game for comment and question by
others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable. They are not
immune to question and/or debat.

That's the nature of "computer-modem communciations".
>
> >That's what free speech in a public forum is all about, Len.
>
> You ABUSE "free speech" by general heckling...apparently because

> of personal spite at getting opposite opinions to your mighty and
> imperious statements made in public.

Where's the abuse? I asked some questions, pointed out some facts.

It seems to me that you cannot tolerate any disagreement with your
views.
>
> >If you make statements here, others have the right to comment
> >on them and question their validity.
>
> Hello? You've just gotten a taste of "rights" right up your
> I/O port. :-)

See? There you go.
>
> >Is your 'scorecard' a collection of alleged facts, or is it
> >just your opinion?
>
> Neither. It is my honest effort to show the day-by-day
> compilation of filings on WT Docket 05-235.

So it's a collection of alleged facts.
>
> Such a compilation/tabulation can be done by ANYONE having
> access to the FCC ECFS or to the FCC Reading Room.
>
> Miccolis has NOT done ANY of his own compilation/tabultion
> in order to BACK UP HIS CHARGES OF INACCURACY. Tsk, tsk.

What charges of inaccuracy?

Did I say the numbers were wrong? Or did I simply ask how they were
derived, and pointed out how they *might be* in error?

For example, if you count multiple comments from the same person,
you'll get a different tally than if you just count the commenters
themselves.
>
> >The NPRM does not state that comments must be about Morse Code testing
> >and nothing else.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is solely about morse code testing, elimination of
> test element 1 to be specific.

The NPRM does not state that comments must be about Morse Code testing
and nothing else.

> Had you bothered to READ ALL of
> filings in WT Docket 05-235 you would have seen some filings
> which were NOT EVEN ABOUT THE NPRM! :-) Is the FCC going to
> consider those in regards to NPRM 05-143? :-)

They might, if the arguments are judged worthy.
>
> >Should your reply comments be called "indeterminate" because
> >of that?
>
> You will label my comments anydamnthing you want...that's totally
> predictable! :-)
>
> I'd not call your spiteful little misdirections in here as
> "indeterminate." INDEFATIGUABLE is more like it... :-)
>
>
> >Perhaps your explanation is incomplete?
>
> Perhaps you ought to grow up and accept the FACT that a very
> large group in the amateur community does NOT think like you do
> about either morse code or morse code testing! [sunnuvagun!]

A very large group in the amateur community does think like you do
about morse code or morse code testing, Len. Or about a bunch of other
things, like an age requirement for an amateur radio license.

> >Yes. You've made serious mistakes in your statements about
> >Part 97. And you've refused to correct or even acknowledge them.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...then you should cancel my amateur radio license
> then, refuse to give me my amateur paycheck? :-)

It's really all about money to you, isn't it?

> >It's called stating a fact.
>
> Here's a FACT: James P. Miccolis spending a lot of time late at
> night (almost 11:30 PM when his latest missive was launched) in
> order to HECKLE a no-code-test-advocate. :-)

Guess what, Len - I stay up very late to operate my amateur radio
station.

> >You're not the only one reading the comments, Len. And your
> >numbers don't agree with others' results.
>
> PRESENT THOSE "NUMBERS" then. "Prove" the "inaccuracy."

Why? You'll just attack the messenger.

Besides, you're such an experienced expert on "computer-modem
communications" that you should be able to find the tally without
my help.

> "Put up or shut up," Jimmie (that's a phrase, not a command).

Free speech, Len. I'll not shut up.

> You've spent days on trying to imply "inaccuracy" on my part,
> yet you have NO PROOF out in public. You "babble" in here in
> an effort to misdirect everyone's attention.

Why not just answer the questions I posed, Len?

> [it isn't
> working, Jimmie, get a new knuckle-spanking ruler for the
> Nun of the Above]

Ah yes, you advocate violence against those who question your
statements and beliefs.

> >> Jimmie has NOT even made ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235.
>
> James P. Miccolis has made one, either... :-)

> >> Jimmie has NOT stated he has READ a single filing on 05-235.
> >
> >Who is "Jimmie", Len? Can't be me, because I've read several of
> >the comments. Reply comments too. And the whole NPRM.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Nun of the Above, try to go with the flow of
> newsgrouping. Don't PRETEND you don't know... :-)

My name is not "Jimmie", so it can't be me.

> >I've simply asked questions and stated facts.
>
> Snide, spiteful heckling is more like it... :-)
>
> >It's a fact that
> >you have a proven track record of mistakes here.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...more uncivil attempts at character assassination.
> Keep it up...it worked well for the National Socialist Party of
> Germany in the 1930s. :-)

Godwin invoked. You lose, Len.

> If you try real hard, you might even convince others I have
> underarm odor!

> >Is that your methodology here, Len?
>
> All I'm doing is READING ALL the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and
> showing - as honestly as I can, as accurately as I can - the
> four categories of opinions therein.

And ignoring honest questions about the process.

> >Perhaps you're counting on nobody checking your work.
>
> Doesn't matter. ANYONE can "check my work." All they have to
> do is go in and READ ALL of the filings, count them up, tabulate

> the results.
>
> ANYONE can go in to the FCC ECFS and "check my totals" posted in
> here. Just set the date blocks on the ECFS form and the ECFS
> will automatically total ALL of those within that date period!
>
> [new technology applied...you should try some sometime...]

> >> Had Jimmie seen other NPRMs and the
> >> resulting R&Os, he would understand that. Obviously,
> >> he has NOT.
> >
> >I don't know what "Jimmie" has read, but I've read plenty of
> >NPRMs and the resultinf R&Os.
>
> Jimmie Noserve also pretends to be an expert on military life.

Well, that's not me.

> Hasn't served a day, though.

You haven't been a radio amateur - ever - yet you tell us
all How It Should Be in amateur radio.

> The Nun of the Above is busy
> looking for knuckles to slap with her ruler. ["give a Nun
> an inch and she thinks she's a ruler"]
>
> Hello? You are in a NEWSGROUP. You wish to heckle your
> perceived enemies...yet you demand all this "civility" of
> "proper names" and other bullsnit. :-)

You equate questions with heckling.

> >Not just CITZENS, Len - all interested parties. FCC has
> >not rejected the comments of noncitizens - why chould you?
>
> Okay, James P. Miccolis, you hop on over to some Australian
> place and TELL THEM HOW THEIR LAWS SHOULD BE. You think
> you will be "considered," mate? :-)
>
> Jimmie-James, you get yourself a copy of the United States
> Constitution and try to UNDERSTAND IT. Especially the
> First Amendment.

Tell it to the FCC. They accept comments from any interested party. Who
are you to reject them?

> >In fact, one doesn't even have to be a human being to
> >comment.
>
> The ARRL hasn't filed anything on WT Docket 05-235 as of
> 8 PM EDT, 26 October. Some describe the ARRL as "soul less"

> and without substance. :-)
>
> Jimmie-James P. Miccolis of PA has NOT FILED ANYTHING on
> WT Docket 05-235 (as of 8 PM EDT, 26 October 2005).
>
>
> >If Kenwood files comments, will you count them or reject them?
>
> Kenwood who? :-)

The company.

> >Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
> >Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
> >comments?
>
> I've EXPLAINED my categorizations since my first "score card"
> posting on 2 August 2005. See the "Notes" for each one.

Your explanations are incomplete and inadequate.

> Jimmie-James, I can't grab your finger and point it FOR YOU
> at the Notes. You HAVE to read them.

I did. Your explanations are incomplete and inadequate.

> >It's not about me, Len. It's about *your* 'scorecard'.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Jimmie-James. You are busy, busy, busy making it
> YOUR teeny little "judgement at Nuremburg." :-)

Paging Mr. Godwin...

> >> Who checks Miccolis' "work" on his bi-monthly "license
> >> number" postings? [he won't say from where he cribs
> >> his numbers]
> >
> >Anyone can check my posted numbers very simply by doing the
> >math. I've stated the source of those numbers here.
>
> If anyone with newsgroup access can access them, WHY do
> you post them here AS IF you "derived them?" :-)

The source does not do historical data, only current numbers.
By posting them here, they will endure as long as Usenet is archived.

> >> The ARRL represents a distinct MINORITY of all USA
> >> amateur radio licensees. A mere 20%.
> >
> >How is that number derived?
>
> That's been EXPLAINED to you in public several times!
>

> Go to the QST advertising page at the ARRL site, observe
> the "Publisher's Sworn Statement" that appears there twice
> a year. Compare that to the total number of USA amateur
> radio licensees at about the same time.

> That's so terribly EASY to do...even for a double-degree.
>
> :-)
>
>
> >How could anyone check your work, Len? You haven't shown it.
>
> Two tabulations have been appended to two Replies to Comments.
> Those are in PUBLIC VIEW at the FCC ECFS under WT Docket 05-235.
>
> ANYONE can go to the ECFS and READ ALL the filings and do their
> own compilations/tabulations. Really. It should be EASY for
> any Amateur Extra with two degrees. :-)
>
> By the way, "filings" refer to each document as listed in the
> Search Results for any ECFS listing. That includes some filings
> which have nothing at all to do with NPRM 05-143 or even the
> amateur radio regulations! [sunnuvagun!] Another one is
> completely blank. I've seen it. Do you know at which date it
> was filed? You would if you had READ ALL of them.

> >Are you afraid of having your work checked, Len?
>
> Not at all. Feel free to "check it" by READING ALL filings. :-)

You're afraid.

> >If I make 10 nonidentical comments, will they count as 1 comment or
> >more than 1?
>
> So...MAKE THEM! :-)

> James P. Miccolis has NOT filed anything with the ECFS since
> August of last year. ["put your money where your mouth is"]

Why don't you, Len?
>
> >Leads me to believe you're counting reply comments too.
> >And not checking for dupes.
>
> Speaking of "dupes," why are you trying to DUPE everyone into
> thinking I'm "always in error?" :-)
>
> Are you so pissed off at certain posters in here you stay up
> until nearly midnight to post nastygrams? :-)
>
> Go ahead, READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and do

> your own compilations/tabulations. Check it out.
>
> >> So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK,
> >
> >Nobody can. You haven't shown it.
>
> Yes I have. It's IN the ECFS in two different Replies to
> Comments. You just haven't seen it yet. :-)
>
> Want to check my numbers out for totals? Easy to do with the
> FCC ECFS and proper use of the date blocks. ECFS does the
> totals for that period for you. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

And the totals say you're looking at all filings, not just comments.

> >Is a comment a requirement? The deadline isn't till next week.
>
> NOBODY "requires" you to do anything, Jimmie-James. :-)
>
> The deadline (official) for WT Docket 05-235 Comments is
> 31 October 2005. The deadline (official) for Replies to
> Comments is 14 November 2005. Both dates are Mondays (in
> case you can't do a calendar in your head).
>
> So far, James P. Miccolis has NOT filed anything in WT
> Docket 05-235. But, he has been busy, busy, busy baring
> his spite in here, asking dumb questions about things which
> have already been EXPLAINED to him in each "score card"
> posting I've made. :-)

> >I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> Ho, ho, ho...and the moon is made of green cheese... :-)

I'm just asking some questions, Len. That really seems to bother you.

> >> James Miccolis wasn't IN the FCC in 1998, 1999, and he isn't
> >> IN the FCC in 2005.
> >
> >Neither are you, Len - ever.
>
> I don't have to be, Jimmie-James...not to exercise my First
> Amendment Rights. :-)
>
> >I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
> HECKLE. :-)

> I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to

> HECKLE. :-)

> >You're the one 'snarling'. Len.
>
> Nah. I'm just "answering your questions!" :-)

With a snarl. Perhaps you have a guilty conscience?

> >I'm just asking some questions.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
> HECKLE. :-)

> >The test may be gone, but Morse Code use by hams will go on.
> >For a long time.
>
> ERROR! MISTAKE! The code test is STILL there! :-)
>
> That's about the 5th ERROR you've made in your one heckle-gram.
>
> You are building up a fine "track record for mistakes!" :-)

> I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
> HECKLE. :-)
>
> >Besides - what does all this matter to you? You're not going to
> >get a license anyway, test or no test.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...are you FORBIDDING my getting an amateur radio
> license? That's not NICE, Jimmie-James.

Are you "nice", Len? You don't behave nicely here.

I'm simply pointing out that you're not going to get an amateur radio
license. If you wanted one, you'd have gotten one years ago. You don't
want one and you're not going to get one.

What you really want is something very different.

4373. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:43:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pKc8f.773$8c5.154@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122299

RST Engineering wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>> Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
>>was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
>>forum on USENET.
>
>
> I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
> Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
> individual.

No kidding?

> You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
> group, last I looked.

No, you don't need any credentials to post but you'd better have some knowledge of the material the group deals with. Feel free to post to a group dealing with 17th century Chinese art but you'd better not attempt coming off as an expert. You'll be seen as a fraud and no one will take you seriously.

> And, I consider Len to be just a little more
> competent to post than you.

Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len Anderson is a sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

4374. Average Price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 22:57:11 UTC
From: BIlly Joe <scm@cbkingdxfree.net>
Message-ID: <djrm10edk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122200

Does anyone know the average price of a 4BR home in
Little Rock, Arkansas?

thanks, Billy Joe

4375. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:00:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130454039.288292.167840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122201

RST Engineering wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> > Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
> > was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
> > forum on USENET.
>
> I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
> Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
> individual. You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
> group, last I looked. And, I consider Len to be just a little more
> competent to post than you.

I am sure you do, Jim, but you summate my opinion on YOUR opinion
very well.

> > Everyone sees things as they will, Jim. I am sure you "vision" is
> > as clear as anyone else's from THEIR perspective at any given moment.
>
> Visions and opinions are much like assholes -- everybody has one, and most
> of them stink. Mine included.

> > Thanks for stopping by.
>
> Don't mention it.

I normally consider yours to be one of the more rational voices of
reason in this forum, Jim, but then I guess your last two posts pretty
well show that even the most reasoned of men just like to get in there
an toss a bit of mud from time to time, eh...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4376. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:04:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130454276.641455.163880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122202

Iitoi wrote:
> K4YZ Wrote:
> >
> > With THESE numbers, "Litoi", it's even better...
>
> The name is Iitoi, not Litoi.

I statnd corrected...My apologies!

> I wonder if James will continue to challenge the tally now that it
> appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based
> on "you can't trust someone who has no license".

Or was Lennie just caught up in the heat of the moment, no longer
able to hide the change of the tide?

Steve, K4YZ

4377. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:16:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130454986.525032.230400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122203

K4YZ wrote:

And the support FOR
> code testing in that five hours in the "Since Notice" column jumped
> almost 3 points.

The ARRL e-newsletter came out.

4378. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:21:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e6o2m156dhshqglfbim81kkmtln6clk9u7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122204

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 22:43:33 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>RST Engineering wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>>> Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
>>>was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
>>>forum on USENET.
>>
>>
>> I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
>> Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
>> individual.
>
>No kidding?
>
>> You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
>> group, last I looked.
>
>No, you don't need any credentials to post but you'd better have some
>knowledge of the material the group deals with. Feel free to post to a
>group dealing with 17th century Chinese art but you'd better not attempt
>coming off as an expert. You'll be seen as a fraud and no one will take
>you seriously.

and how are you conecting this the the thread at a hand
>
>> And, I consider Len to be just a little more
>> competent to post than you.
>
>Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len Anderson is a
>sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4379. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:22:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <r8o2m1t5fpdauofaodevphqitv159i6dv2@4ax.com>
Source record: 122205

On 27 Oct 2005 16:00:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>RST Engineering wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
>> > was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
>> > forum on USENET.
>>
>> I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
>> Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
>> individual. You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
>> group, last I looked. And, I consider Len to be just a little more
>> competent to post than you.
>
> I am sure you do, Jim, but you summate my opinion on YOUR opinion
>very well.

summate?
>
>> > Everyone sees things as they will, Jim. I am sure you "vision" is
>> > as clear as anyone else's from THEIR perspective at any given moment.
>>
>> Visions and opinions are much like assholes -- everybody has one, and most
>> of them stink. Mine included.
>
>> > Thanks for stopping by.
>>
>> Don't mention it.
>
> I normally consider yours to be one of the more rational voices of
>reason in this forum, Jim, but then I guess your last two posts pretty
>well show that even the most reasoned of men just like to get in there
>an toss a bit of mud from time to time, eh...?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4380. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:23:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6ao2m110r6dqn8p4tc8u5bcpt1ssc2b5uh@4ax.com>
Source record: 122206

On 27 Oct 2005 16:16:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>
> And the support FOR
>> code testing in that five hours in the "Since Notice" column jumped
>> almost 3 points.
>
>The ARRL e-newsletter came out.

that would explain a lot

interesting that Stevie wasn't bright enough to notice this point

would be even if you could confirm said e-letter had something about
the NPRM in it just for completeness sack

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4381. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:27:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130455620.885814.288650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122207

Iitoi wrote:
> K4YZ Wrote:
> >
> > With THESE numbers, "Litoi", it's even better...
>
> The name is Iitoi, not Litoi.

Hi! Steve prides hisself on correcting others misspellings.

> I wonder if James will continue to challenge the tally now that it
> appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based
> on "you can't trust someone who has no license".

He sure won't do the required footwork himself to verify Len's tally.
And in the past he and the other MMMM have challenged him based upon
the latter.

> The Man in the Maze
> QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

4382. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:28:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130455716.034140.313930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Vinnie S. wrote:
> >
> >>On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:49:07 -0400, "craptosser #3" <craptosser3@foad.net>
> >>wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:92c0m1tnm0s19n5vt4s5krdatp79lim1qe@4ax.com...
> >>>
> >>>>On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>If Hollingsworth
> >>>>
> >>>>Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
> >>>>
> >>>>Vinnie S.
> >>>
> >>>Hopefully it will be one of several names that will
> >>>appear on a future NAL to K1MAN for $20K+
> >>>in FCC fines. ("from our mouth to G-d's ear")
> >>
> >>
> >>Sounds like there are some ego-maniacs at work here. I don't see any reason for
> >>most of this other than wanting publicity. I do not know anything about K1MAN,
> >>other than the fact he is trying to be the Howard Stern of Ham radio, or that is
> >>the way it appears to me.
> >
> >
> > that is what some of the postere want you to think at any rate looking
> > past the noise he seems to be an obnoxious fellow who has choosen to
> > test the limits at the FCC. not what I call wise to do so but US law
> > does not require wisdom of its cirizens (thank the gods or else there
> > would be no room in jail and kiley no one to gaurd them)
>
> U.S. law requires obedience to that law for all who are "cirizens" or
> "non-cirizens". If everyone is in jail, Kiley can "gaurd" them, Colonel.

as point of fact US Law does NOT require any to obey them is simply provides for penalities if you choose to break them , and get caught, and have DA (or other figure as approprate) choose to file charges and after the full due process is gone through

proof of the exist in the way companies sometimes choose to pay fins rather than comply with dumping rules for example.

BTW as I said NO US LAW or Reg requires or punishses a lack of wisdom
>
> Dave K8MN

4383. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:33:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130455997.672711.14730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122209

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> >
> > I wonder if James
>
> Do you mean me?
>
> > will continue to challenge the tally now that it
> > appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based
> > on "you can't trust someone who has no license".
>
> I'm simply asking for an explanation of how the 'scorecard'
> is derived. The questions are simple and straightforward,
> but Len gets all upset when someone questions anything he
> posts here.
>
> Here are some of the questions:
>
> 1) Does anyone check Len's work? (it appears that only Len
> does this)

We appoint you to do this.

> 2) Do the totals include only comments, or reply comments?
> (it appears they include reply comments too)

You tell us.

> 3) Are multiple comments/reply comments from the same person counted,
> even if they are not identical? (Len has filed at least 5 different
> comments/reply comments on WT 05-235. Are they counted as 1 or 5 or
> something else?)

You tell us.

> 4) How is it determined if a comment has a "valid address"?

You tell us.

> Whether or not the person posting a "scorecard" has a license or no
> license makes no difference to me.

Wunnerful. Tally it both ways and let us know if it made a difference.

Whether they are procodetest or
> nocodetest also makes no difference to me.

But will you respect us in the morning?

> I just want some direct answers to questions about the process of
> generating the tallies. But such answers are considered insults
> by Len.

Your questions will be answered in the details of you checking Len's
work, See Q/A #1 above.

> Meanwhile, other parties are also counting the comments.

What other parties?

They
> show each and every comment on a website, classify it, and provide a
> link
> to the comment so you can see for yourself. They're listed in
> alphabetical order by the last name of the filer for easy reference,
> and dupes stand out clearly.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Since someone else is also performing the tally, would you care to
compare/contrast the tallies?

4384. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:36:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <v2p2m11ujpotffvk4q6dt5d205otijsq67@4ax.com>
Source record: 122211

On 27 Oct 2005 16:33:17 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Iitoi wrote:
>> >
>> > I wonder if James
>>
>> Do you mean me?
>>
>> > will continue to challenge the tally now that it
>> > appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based
>> > on "you can't trust someone who has no license".
>>
>> I'm simply asking for an explanation of how the 'scorecard'
>> is derived. The questions are simple and straightforward,
>> but Len gets all upset when someone questions anything he
>> posts here.
>>
>> Here are some of the questions:
>>
>> 1) Does anyone check Len's work? (it appears that only Len
>> does this)
>
>We appoint you to do this.
>
>> 2) Do the totals include only comments, or reply comments?
>> (it appears they include reply comments too)
>
>You tell us.
>
>> 3) Are multiple comments/reply comments from the same person counted,
>> even if they are not identical? (Len has filed at least 5 different
>> comments/reply comments on WT 05-235. Are they counted as 1 or 5 or
>> something else?)
>
>You tell us.
>
>> 4) How is it determined if a comment has a "valid address"?
>
>You tell us.
>
>> Whether or not the person posting a "scorecard" has a license or no
>> license makes no difference to me.

>
>Wunnerful. Tally it both ways and let us know if it made a difference.
>
>Whether they are procodetest or
>> nocodetest also makes no difference to me.
>
>But will you respect us in the morning?
>
>> I just want some direct answers to questions about the process of
>> generating the tallies. But such answers are considered insults
>> by Len.
>
>Your questions will be answered in the details of you checking Len's
>work, See Q/A #1 above.
>
>> Meanwhile, other parties are also counting the comments.
>
>What other parties?
>
> They
>> show each and every comment on a website, classify it, and provide a
>> link
>> to the comment so you can see for yourself. They're listed in
>> alphabetical order by the last name of the filer for easy reference,
>> and dupes stand out clearly.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Since someone else is also performing the tally, would you care to
>compare/contrast the tallies?

obviously not he seem to prefer carping at Len

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4385. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:36:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130456193.757552.312910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122210

K4YZ wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > K4YZ Wrote:
> > >
> > > With THESE numbers, "Litoi", it's even better...
> > >
> > The name is Iitoi, not Litoi.
> >
> I statnd corrected...My apologies!

"stand"

4386. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:48:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130456905.070847.101970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122212

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 16:16:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > And the support FOR
> >> code testing in that five hours in the "Since Notice" column jumped
> >> almost 3 points.
> >
> >The ARRL e-newsletter came out.
>
> that would explain a lot

Complete with a clickable link to the FCC comment page and instructions
how to do it.

> interesting that Stevie wasn't bright enough to notice this point

Interesting that Steve didn't get the e-mail. He made such a stink
about me getting the annual dues amount incorrect, and he doesn't even
get the ARRL e-newsletter.

Hmmmm? Is Steve even an ARRL member?

> would be even if you could confirm said e-letter had something about
> the NPRM in it just for completeness sack

Had -something- about??? It was >>>ALL<<< about...

Uh, lemmee see what I can do?

SB QST @ ARL $ARLB024
ARLB024 Deadline is October 31 to file comments in FCC "Morse code"
proceeding

ZCZC AG24
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 24 ARLB024
>From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT October 25, 2005
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB024
ARLB024 Deadline is October 31 to file comments in FCC "Morse code"
proceeding

With just a few days to go before the filing deadline, more than 2600 comments have been filed in response to the FCC "Morse code" Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order (NPRM&O) in WT Docket 05-235. The NPRM&O has proposed to do away with the 5 WPM Morse code requirement for all license classes. It also denied several proposals to create a new entry-level license class.

The closing date for comments is Monday, October 31. Reply comments are due Monday, November 14.

To file on-line comments on the FCC NPRM&O in WT Docket 05-235 or to view others' comments in the proceeding, visit the FCC Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS), http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/. In the "Proceeding" field, enter "05-235" (without the quotation marks but
including the hyphen).

Alternative filing formats are available for people with disabilities. Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc) by e-mail, FCC504@fcc.gov, or telephone 202-418-0530 or TTY 202-418-0432.

For additional information, contact William T. Cross, Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, William.Cross@fcc.gov; 202-418-0680; TTY 202-418-7233.

An FCC Report and Order in this proceeding is not likely until sometime in 2006.

4387. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-27 23:56:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130457360.328497.143770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122213

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> > > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
> >
> > Correct. The actuarial tables are relentless.
> >
> > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >
> > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> >
> > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> >
> > > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> > > buddy...
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve getting lonely? Sends an SOS to a departed amateur communicator?
>
> No dweebelet, Dick was a PROFESSIONAL radio operator who happened to
> also have a ham ticket.
>
> w3rv

Nope, he was an amateur.

Only an amateur would claim that they intentionally send Morse Code/CW
poorly in an effort to keep unworthy No-Code Technician's with a
computer code reader from copying it.

A professional would simply encrypt the transmission.

Col. Vincent can attest.

4388. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 00:03:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130457596.549626.277100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122214

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >
> > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> >
> > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
>
> QUICK!
>
> What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
>
> Scroll down!
snip
> .
> . THEY ARE BOTH ZEROS!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Uh, they're both 10th district callsigns, moron.

4389. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 01:17:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S_e8f.815$8c5.485@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122215

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 22:43:33 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>RST Engineering wrote:
>>
>>>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
>>>>was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
>>>>forum on USENET.
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
>>>Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
>>>individual.
>>
>>No kidding?
>>
>>
>>>You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
>>>group, last I looked.
>>
>>No, you don't need any credentials to post but you'd better have some
>>knowledge of the material the group deals with. Feel free to post to a
>>group dealing with 17th century Chinese art but you'd better not attempt
>>coming off as an expert. You'll be seen as a fraud and no one will take
>>you seriously.
>
>
> and how are you conecting this the the thread at a hand

Get someone else to explain it to you, Mark. I don't have the time nor
do I have the inclination.

>>>And, I consider Len to be just a little more
>>>competent to post than you.
>>
>>Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len Anderson is a
>>sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

4390. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 02:19:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5k23m1h5lsptt0nh92ncj5vj8vc1chng8j@4ax.com>
Source record: 122216

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 01:17:38 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 22:43:33 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>RST Engineering wrote:
>>>
>>>>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1130432379.965012.109400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Here's where your confusion exists, Jim...The post responded to
>>>>>was by Lennie Anderson, a non-licensed participant in an Amateur Radio
>>>>>forum on USENET.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I don't give a royal flying freak if it was posted by a little green
>>>>Martian. Last I looked it was data posted to a usenet group by an
>>>>individual.
>>>
>>>No kidding?
>>>
>>>
>>>>You don't need any credentials or licenses to post to any given
>>>>group, last I looked.
>>>
>>>No, you don't need any credentials to post but you'd better have some
>>>knowledge of the material the group deals with. Feel free to post to a
>>>group dealing with 17th century Chinese art but you'd better not attempt
>>>coming off as an expert. You'll be seen as a fraud and no one will take
>>>you seriously.
>>
>>
>> and how are you conecting this the the thread at a hand
>
>Get someone else to explain it to you, Mark. I don't have the time nor
>do I have the inclination.

meaning you did not realy have a point beyond trying to flame Len
>
>>>>And, I consider Len to be just a little more

>>>>competent to post than you.
>>>
>>>Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len Anderson is a
>>>sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4391. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 02:24:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130466261.494435.306060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122217

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
> >>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
> >>discuss any issue)
> >
> > God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
> > gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
> > use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
> > radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>
> You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
> century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
> for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
> and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>
> Dave K8MN

Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
of ADA comms.

But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.

4392. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 02:51:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130467897.277434.240990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122218

```
K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> > > > > > > thread?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> > > > >
> > > > But...
> > > >
> > > but.....
> > > >
> > > but.....
> > > >
> > > BRAIN!
> > > >
> > > You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
> > > >
> > > So what's the deal?
> > > >
> > > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
> > >
> > Len is on-topic far more often than you.
> >
> No he's not.

Big Lie #1.

> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
> "debate" the Morse Code test issue.

Correct.
```

> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
> tangent without even mentioning the tests.

Speaking about the Mighty Macho Morse Men (and Dee) is far closer to
the topic of Morse Code than your incessant accusations of "lair,"
deceit, cheat, coward, homosexual, pedophile, putz, etc, etc, etc.

> > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> > > "everyone else" considers proper?
> >
> > Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
> > opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
> > in itself a lie.
>
> It's a lie when the content is presented in such a way as to
> present it as 'fact' when indeed reality is different.

Big Lie #2.

Only you take every utterance on RRAP as if it were fact.

> Take your 'ARES won't respond' claims for example.

"Won't?" You're just going to have to google that one up.

> Past AND current events have proven it wrong. It was wrong when
> you uttered it the first time and it's wrong now.

Your recollection is wrong.

I'll give you the next post to prove it or that's Big Lie #3.

> > "Thier's" not enough time in the day to discuss your antisocial
> > behavio[u]r.
>
> But there's plenty of time to discuss your mistruths?

Should take about 2 seconds. But like I said, there isn't enough time
in the day to discuss yours.

> > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
> > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
> >
> > Or what? Finish up whatever thought you might have been having.
>
> Put a period after the "fine", Brain, and you'll be all set.

Not my yob. You put it there.

> Need me to put you in a high chair and put a bib on you too...?!?!

Need me to wipe your mouth?

> > > > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> > > > >
> > > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> > > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> > > >
> > > > Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!
> > >
> > You're certainly not.
>
> Sure I am.

Big Lie #3.

> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Brainie make funnie!
> >
> > Steve got caught and embarassed, laughs at hisself.
>
> Nope.
>
> Laughing at YOU, Brainie!

Big Lie #4.

> > > > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
> > > > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sheesh!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
> > > > > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
> > > > >
> > > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
> > > >
> > > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
> > > > you.
> > >
> > > "back"
> >
> > Sorry Steve, it's your excrement that you smear across the net.
>
> Nope.
>
> You can stop lying any time now, Brain. We won't miss it.

Big Lie #5.

> > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
> > >
> > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
> > > follow.
> > >
> > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
> > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
> >
> > So stop lying and try to be a little less antisocial.
>
> I've done that. Repeatedly.

Lie #6 or truth?

How many times have you stopped lying only to return to it?
Repeatedly.

> Only to have you dig right back in
> with the same decitful, mistruthful bile when you think "the coast is
> clear".

"deceitful"

The coast always has your excrement washing up on it.

> > > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
> > > > > shoe DOES fit...
> > > > >
> > > > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
> > > > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
> > > > >
> > > > > "summon", Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
> > >
> > > And you weren't bright enough to fix it.
> > >
> > > OK.
> >
> > See the quotations???
>
> And you still couldn't fix it?

Could I fix your lies?

> > > > > > Are they Pagans?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of
> > > > > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ah! Another Pagan.
> > > >
> > > > How do you figure?
> > > >

> > > > Are you gay, too?
> > >
> > > No, why do you ask?
> >
> > You always link being Pagan and gayness together.
>
> No, I don't.

RRAP and Google says you do.

> However in MARKIE'S case they ARE one in the same...He IS gay and
> he IS a pagan..or at least claims to be...

Huh?

> > Dispicable comments snipped.
>
> What's "dispicable" about them? You are acting like you need some
> companionship...I am sure Markie will accomodate you. You have so much
> in common.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You're a documented liar.

4393. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 02:56:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130468211.580767.268980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122219

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > > Lennie said, in another thread:
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > What other thread? Why couldn't you comment to Len IN that other
> >> > > > > thread?
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Why?
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
> >> > >
> >> > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
> >> > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
> >> >
> >> > But...
> >> >
> >> > but.....
> >> >
> >> > but.....
> >> >
> >> > BRAIN!
> >> >
> >> > You and Lennie are ALL ABOUT >>NOT<< following "everyone else"...
> >> >
> >> > So what's the deal?
> >> >
> >> > Do we all just follow the Pied Piper and dance to one tune?
> >>
> >> Len is on-topic far more often than you.
> >
> > No he's not.

Big Lie #1.

> > He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
> >"debate" the Morse Code test issue.

> >
> > More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
> >tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>
> which right on target if a bit wordy

At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.

> >> > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
> >> > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
> >> > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
> >> > "everyone else" considers proper?
> >>
> >> Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
> >> opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
> >> in itself a lie.
> >
> > It's a lie when the content is presented in such a way as to
> >present it as 'fact' when indeed reality is different.
> >
> > Take your 'ARES won't respond' claims for example.
> >
> > Past AND current events have proven it wrong. It was wrong when
> >you uttered it the first time and it's wrong now.
>
> cuting all after Stevie first lie of of the post

I count this one as Big Lie #2. But I stuck with it and counted 5 or
6. I'm letting him do some research so he can correct one of them.
But he won't. He'd rather be a liar.

4394. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:06:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130468774.337772.231470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122220

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
> >>
> >> No he's not.

Big Lie #1.

> >> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
> >>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
> >>
> >> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
> >>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
> >
> > which right on target if a bit wordy
>
> How is that "right on target"? It isn't civil and he isn't debating.

Steve quoted nothing about civility. That would be Pot/Kettle/Black.
But since you bring up civility, you say nothing about Steve's
civility. Steve isn't civil and he isn't debating. Standard Mighty
Macho Morse Man double-standard technique. Hi!

> He isn't a radio amateur who uses CW and he isn't a radio amateur who
> uses any other mode.

Are you trying to say that he isn't a radio amateur? I think Len
self-identified on that one.

> He is a pathetic geezer with no stake in amateur
> radio and an he has an ax to grind.
>
> Dave K8MN

"He is a pathetic geezer with no stake in amateur radio and [an] he has
an ax[e] to grind."

So says the Mighty Macho Morse Man.

4395. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:12:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ma53m1ltltavlsj6479pi2md44qcth73oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 122221

On 27 Oct 2005 19:51:37 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > >
cut.
>>
>> No he's not.
>
>Big Lie #1.
>
>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>> "debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>
>Correct.
>
>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>> tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>
>Speaking about the Mighty Macho Morse Men (and Dee) is far closer to
>the topic of Morse Code than your incessant accusations of "lair,"
>deceit, cheat, coward, homosexual, pedophile, putz, etc, etc, etc.

amen

>
>> > > Or is it OK to do what you want? I mean, afterall, MOST people
>> > > consider lying and deceit to be undesireable, antisocial behaviour, yet
>> > > you, Lennie and Mark do it at will. How come YOU don't do what
>> > > "everyone else" considers proper?
>> >
>> > Giving an opinion is not lying. Just because you don't like someone's
>> > opinion doesn't mean "thier" lying. Saying that opinions are lies is
>> > in itself a lie.
>>
>> It's a lie when the content is presented in such a way as to
>> present it as 'fact' when indeed reality is different.
>
>Big Lie #2.

>
>Only you take every utterance on RRAP as if it were fact.
>
>> Take your 'ARES won't respond' claims for example.
>
>"Won't?" You're just going to have to google that one up.

I wonder if he problem isn't just Stevies reading skill
>
>> Past AND current events have proven it wrong. It was wrong when
>> you uttered it the first time and it's wrong now.
>
>Your recollection is wrong.
>
>I'll give you the next post to prove it or that's Big Lie #3.
>
>> > "Thier's" not enough time in the day to discuss your antisocial
>> > behavio[u]r.
>>
>> But there's plenty of time to discuss your mistruths?
>
>Should take about 2 seconds. But like I said, there isn't enough time
>in the day to discuss yours.
>
>> > > Is there a "rule" that says I can't "spin off" any given set of
>> > > comments, observations, etc, without incurring some fine or
>> >
>> > Or what? Finish up whatever thought you might have been having.
>>
>> Put a period after the "fine", Brain, and you'll be all set.
>
>Not my yob. You put it there.
>
>> Need me to put you in a high chair and put a bib on you too...?!?!
>
>Need me to wipe your mouth?
>
>> > > > > > Why was it necessary to start yet another thread?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Why?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Is there a "fee-per-thread" here?
>> > > >
>> > > > Just curious why you have the predeliction for starting new threads
>> > > > when almost everyone else is satisfied to stay on-thread and on-topic.
>> > >
>> > > Who's staying "on thread" and "on-topic"...?!?!
>> >
>> > You're certainly not.
>>

>> Sure I am.
>
>Big Lie #3.
>
>> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>> > >
>> > > Brainie make funnie!
>> >
>> > Steve got caught and embarassed, laughs at hisself.
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> Laughing at YOU, Brainie!
>
>Big Lie #4.
>
>> > > > > > Is your newsreader so poor that you have to start thread after thread
>> > > > > > after thread just so you don't have to scroll through others postings?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Sheesh!
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Guess you don't like having your buddy's nose rubbed in his own
>> > > > > excrement, Brain, and I can't say I blame you...
>> > > >
>> > > > I see only your excrement smeared across RRAP.
>> > >
>> > > You mistake "my" excrement for Lennie's and yours being fed bck to
>> > > you.
>> >
>> > "back"
>> >
>> > Sorry Steve, it's your excrement that you smear across the net.
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> You can stop lying any time now, Brain. We won't miss it.
>
>Big Lie #5.
>
>> > > Stop doing it and it won't be as embarrassing.
>> > >
>> > > Keep lying and acting the way you do and the rest will just
>> > > follow.
>> > >
>> > > I've "laid off" on numerous occassions just ot have you and one of
>> > > your cohorts drag it right back into the pit.
>> >
>> > So stop lying and try to be a little less antisocial.

>>
>> I've done that. Repeatedly.
>
>Lie #6 or truth?
>
>How many times have you stopped lying only to return to it?
>Repeatedly.

I think I have to go with truth on that. and I apologize for every
time I have said was lying when he says he has stoped lying or words
to that

I remember the line about smkers "queiting smoking is easy I have done
thousands of times"
>
>> Only to have you dig right back in
>> with the same decitful, mistruthful bile when you think "the coast is
>> clear".
>
>"deceitful"
>
>The coast always has your excrement washing up on it.
>
>> > > > > But it is HIS excrement and the fact of the matter is that the
>> > > > > shoe DOES fit...
>> > > >
>> > > > > > Hey, by the way, what would you call someone who can magically "sommon
>> > > > > > the elemental forces of the universe?"
>> > > > >
>> > > > > "summon", Brain.
>> > > >
>> > > > Not my imagination. That's exactly how Han's spelled it.
>> > >
>> > > And you weren't bright enough to fix it.
>> > >
>> > > OK.
>> >
>> > See the quotations???
>>
>> And you still couldn't fix it?
>
>Could I fix your lies?

gee now there is a thought going through some of Stevies post and
fixing them

(would anybody reconize them afterward)
>
>> > > > > > Are they Pagans?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Beats me...But I know that Mother Nature allows me to USE some of

>> > > > > those, "elemental forces", so that's fine by me.
>> > > >
>> > > > Ah! Another Pagan.
>> > >
>> > > How do you figure?
>> > >
>> > > > Are you gay, too?
>> > >
>> > > No, why do you ask?
>> >
>> > You always link being Pagan and gayness together.
>>
>> No, I don't.
>
>RRAP and Google says you do.
>
>> However in MARKIE'S case they ARE one in the same...He IS gay and
>> he IS a pagan..or at least claims to be...
>
>Huh?

yea I don't get that one either

however Id say it is lie since Gay (wether you mean homosexual or
bisexaul) and pagan are not the same thing

>
>> > Dispicable comments snipped.
>>
>> What's "dispicable" about them? You are acting like you need some
>> companionship...I am sure Markie will accomodate you. You have so much
>> in common.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>You're a documented liar.

indeed as much as I hate to use the term I have to wonder if it isn't
to point of being pathological, after all he seems unable to tell the
turth

he is also calling you gay again, but does he mean you are pagan too?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4396. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:14:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130469269.715902.276630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122222

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 16:33:17 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Iitoi wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I wonder if James
> >>
> >> Do you mean me?
> >>
> >> > will continue to challenge the tally now that it
> >> > appears to support his view of the topic, or if the challenge is based
> >> > on "you can't trust someone who has no license".
> >>
> >> I'm simply asking for an explanation of how the 'scorecard'
> >> is derived. The questions are simple and straightforward,
> >> but Len gets all upset when someone questions anything he
> >> posts here.
> >>
> >> Here are some of the questions:
> >>
> >> 1) Does anyone check Len's work? (it appears that only Len
> >> does this)
> >
> >We appoint you to do this.
> >
> >> 2) Do the totals include only comments, or reply comments?
> >> (it appears they include reply comments too)
> >
> >You tell us.
> >
> >> 3) Are multiple comments/reply comments from the same person counted,
> >> even if they are not identical? (Len has filed at least 5 different
> >> comments/reply comments on WT 05-235. Are they counted as 1 or 5 or
> >> something else?)
> >
> >You tell us.
> >
> >> 4) How is it determined if a comment has a "valid address"?
> >
> >You tell us.
> >
> >> Whether or not the person posting a "scorecard" has a license or no

> >> license makes no difference to me.
> >
> >Wunnerful. Tally it both ways and let us know if it made a difference.
> >
> >Whether they are procodetest or
> >> nocodetest also makes no difference to me.
> >
> >But will you respect us in the morning?
> >
> >> I just want some direct answers to questions about the process of
> >> generating the tallies. But such answers are considered insults
> >> by Len.
> >
> >Your questions will be answered in the details of you checking Len's
> >work, See Q/A #1 above.
> >
> >> Meanwhile, other parties are also counting the comments.
> >
> >What other parties?
> >
> > They
> >> show each and every comment on a website, classify it, and provide a
> >> link
> >> to the comment so you can see for yourself. They're listed in
> >> alphabetical order by the last name of the filer for easy reference,
> >> and dupes stand out clearly.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Since someone else is also performing the tally, would you care to
> >compare/contrast the tallies?
>
> obviously not he seem to prefer carping at Len

Yep. He has no real interest in the issue since he's made up his mind
that the FCC is against his precious Morse Code exam. His only purpose
now is to try to discredit his primary antagonist before he departs
RRAP for Coslo's exclusive BBS.

4397. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:17:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <av53m15bpgeaf2l1d15cttn4qn4ih6nh2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 122223

On 27 Oct 2005 20:14:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 27 Oct 2005 16:33:17 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Iitoi wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > I wonder if James
cut

>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >Since someone else is also performing the tally, would you care to
>> >compare/contrast the tallies?
>>
>> obviously not he seem to prefer carping at Len
>
>Yep. He has no real interest in the issue since he's made up his mind
>that the FCC is against his precious Morse Code exam. His only purpose
>now is to try to discredit his primary antagonist before he departs
>RRAP for Coslo's exclusive BBS.

amusing and sad how he and other go on Len's lack of license but are
still vitaly concerned about what he may say

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4398. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:20:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130469658.516204.75320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122224

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >> > > So I am turning the nut house over to you.
> >> >
> >> > Sounds like a plan. I'm outa here too. Will the last radioman to leave make
> >> > sure the door is locked and the lights remain on for the patients who remain.
> >>
> >> Good idea, Hans
> >> >
> >> > CU in CQWW this weekend, SS the next.
> >> >
> >> BCNU in November.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
>
> think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
> they will in fact leave

Is there any reason not to take them at their word?

4399. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:24:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130469856.821269.242260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122225

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> > > > >
> > > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> > > > >
> > > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> > > >
> > > > QUICK!
> > > >
> > > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Scroll down!
> > > >
> > > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> > > practice
> > >
> > No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
> > months...
>
> lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse

He did.

> it is still in the quoted matrail

It is.

> you lie and you do so badly

He does. But he is an Extra at denying it.

4400. few thoughts on the score the coments and the ARS and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:33:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9b63m1het43tstc9qld85ch5po2es2fvk8@4ax.com>
Source record: 122226

>lifted Len
>Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
>
> As of 26 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> -------------- ---------------
> Grand Total 3096 1120
>
>
> Indeterminate (note 1) 194 83
>
>
> Value for Percentages 2902 1037
>
>
> Against NPRM (note 2) 910 [31.36%] 355 [34.23%]
> For NPRM (note 3) 1510 [52.03%] 474 [45.71%]
> Test Extra Only (note 4) 482 [16.61%] 208 [20.06%]
>
>
> Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 11 AM EDT 27 Oct 05.
>
>
> Notes:
>
the figure of 600-700 thousand UShams is bandied about

the number of coments file is roughly one half of one percent of all
Hams (assuing that only Hams have filed comments)

a figure of 100 or so thousand is thrown around for the ARRL (i'll
100k to make my math easier

if one assumed all the coments were filed by arrl members (clearly
false but but assume it for sec) less than one in 10 ARRL members has
seen fit to coment (roughly 3 %)

if one asumes instead that the proportions of ARRL vs non memebers is
rough of that ham population as a whole then of course only a very
smal proportion of the arrl memebership cared enoughto even coment and
they should know it is going on

instad let us assume that all Procode commenet are ARRL members then
1.5% of the arrl is procode enough to even bother filing coments

I am truely glad this is no vote
the turn out would be seen as pathetic

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4401. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:35:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Z%g8f.2670$RI1.2279@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122227

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>
>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>>
>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
> of ADA comms.

Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.

> But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
> out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.

I haven't written anything about going anywhere. If I haven't left over
your playing red-hatted monkey and haven't left over Len's stream of
conciousness rants, Colonel Mark's mindless drivel and Roger Wiseman's
filth aren't likely to result in my departure.

I do find it interesting that you and Mark have found so much common ground.

Dave K8MN

4402. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:40:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <C4h8f.2671$RI1.332@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122228

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:

>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>
>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>
>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>
>
> At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.

Not at all. It has more to do with what's wrong with Len. If you read
his windy diatribes, you'd think he'd been beaten with a rusty Vibroplex
as a child.

Dave K8MN

4403. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:43:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5773m1t0a66vuqh1vefrab8t2ldl0jks2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 122229

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:35:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>>
>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>>>
>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>> Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
>> of ADA comms.
>
>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.

In your opinion

he does get a bit verbose and rambling but that is simply a matter of
style

>
>> But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
>> out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.
>
>I haven't written anything about going anywhere.
the subject was the content of the new BBS and len myself and Brain
are not likely to receive invations

therefore the content you are whining about will not be there dispite
your claims to the contary

in the sprit of being civil i cut the rest of the paragraph

>I do find it interesting that you and Mark have found so much common ground.

why?

we are NoCoders and that bring a ceertain openess in thought that
ProCoders don't have with us

we do disagree on issues and nuance even at times on the facts, you
just stand so far away from us we seem to agree on everything

we have even disagred over our exact opinion of YOU

you should have caught that

I tell you seeing the inability of some of the procoders to see what
is written in front of them I am forced to wonder if too much code use
does not cause brain damge to some folks
>
>Dave K8MN

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4404. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:47:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ibh8f.2674$RI1.2266@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122230

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>>>>
>>>> No he's not.
>
>
> Big Lie #1.
>
>
>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>
>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>
>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>
>>How is that "right on target"? It isn't civil and he isn't debating.
>
>
> Steve quoted nothing about civility.

That's nice. Len claims to be here for civil debate of the morse
testing issue. The problem is, he isn't civil and spends very little of
his time debating.

> That would be Pot/Kettle/Black.

Would it? Did Steve claim to be here for civil debate of morse testing?

> But since you bring up civility, you say nothing about Steve's
> civility. Steve isn't civil and he isn't debating.

> Standard Mighty
> Macho Morse Man double-standard technique. Hi!

Come to think of it, Cheesey, you don't seem to be here for civility or debate. What was that thing about a pot and a kettle?

>
>>He isn't a radio amateur who uses CW and he isn't a radio amateur who
>>uses any other mode.
>
>
> Are you trying to say that he isn't a radio amateur? I think Len
> self-identified on that one.

Great. Then I'm sure that he won't have any difficulties with my identification of him. Len has difficulties with those who use morse, those who favor retention of morse testing and even with those radio amateurs who use other modes.

>
>>He is a pathetic geezer with no stake in amateur
>>radio and an he has an ax to grind.

> ...an ax[e] to grind.

Please purchase a dictionary.

>
> So says the Mighty Macho Morse Man.

Which poster claims to be a Mighty Macho Morse Man?

Dave K8MN

4405. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:48:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <im73m1ds3sog3scngsqj7uffnltbf6eua7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122231

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:40:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>
>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>
>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>
>>
>> At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.
>
>Not at all. It has more to do with what's wrong with Len.
gain with you lordly [pronouncements Dave

and you complain Len doesn't debate

you come off like you climb Mt Sinai talked to god and got a new set
of comandments just for ham radio (of course done in morse by the very
finger of God)

> If you read
>his windy diatribes, you'd think he'd been beaten with a rusty Vibroplex
>as a child.

maybe you read it that way

To me they sound like he met a few of the MMM in real life I can
certainly attest to what that feels like
>
>Dave K8MN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4406. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 03:51:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8t73m15urma5m73hgan9fdo6gjj4nf03ch@4ax.com>
Source record: 122232

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:47:52 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>>>>>
>>>>> No he's not.
>>
>>
>> Big Lie #1.
>>
>>
>>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>>
>>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>>
>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>
>>>How is that "right on target"? It isn't civil and he isn't debating.
>>
>>
>> Steve quoted nothing about civility.
>
>That's nice. Len claims to be here for civil debate of the morse
>testing issue. The problem is, he isn't civil and spends very little of
>his time debating.

when did he say he came here For CIVIL deabte?

>
>> That would be Pot/Kettle/Black.

>
>Would it? Did Steve claim to be here for civil debate of morse testing?

he does claim to be civil

>
>> But since you bring up civility, you say nothing about Steve's
>> civility. Steve isn't civil and he isn't debating.
>
>
>> Standard Mighty
>> Macho Morse Man double-standard technique. Hi!
>
>Come to think of it, Cheesey, you don't seem to be here for civility or
>debate. What was that thing about a pot and a kettle?

what nobody is civil but you?

right meet the kettle

>
>>
>>>He isn't a radio amateur who uses CW and he isn't a radio amateur who
>>>uses any other mode.
>>
>>
>> Are you trying to say that he isn't a radio amateur? I think Len
>> self-identified on that one.
>
>Great. Then I'm sure that he won't have any difficulties with my
>identification of him. Len has difficulties with those who use morse,
>those who favor retention of morse testing and even with those radio
>amateurs who use other modes.
>
>>
>>>He is a pathetic geezer with no stake in amateur
>>>radio and an he has an ax to grind.
>
>> ...an ax[e] to grind.
>
>Please purchase a dictionary.
>
>>
>> So says the Mighty Macho Morse Man.
>
>Which poster claims to be a Mighty Macho Morse Man?

you are not honest enough to claim it
>
>Dave K8MN

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4407. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 04:12:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nyh8f.2869$yX2.2221@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122233

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:35:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>>>
>>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>>>>
>>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
>>>of ADA comms.
>>
>>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
>>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.
>
>
> In your opinion

No, Mark, not in my opinion. The vast majority of Len Anderson's posts
are simply anti-morse use/testing, anti-amateur radio, anti-ARRL. If
you want opinion, my opinion is that Len is horse's patoot.

> he does get a bit verbose and rambling but that is simply a matter of
> style

Style? When did Len develop style? Len is windy, pontificating and
unpleasant. Some style.

>
>>>But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
>>>out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.
>>
>>I haven't written anything about going anywhere. If I haven't left over
>>your playing red-hatted monkey and haven't left over Len's stream of
>>conciousness rants, Colonel Mark's mindless drivel and Roger Wiseman's
>>filth aren't likely to result in my departure.

>
> the subject was the content of the new BBS and len myself and Brain
> are not likely to receive invations

I think you could bank on not receiving an invitation. You are fouling
the newsgroup nearly as much as sociopath Roger Wiseman. Brian alone was
responsible for the departure of Ed Hare. Len routinely insulted Ed as
well as Jon Bloom. The subject, according to the headers is still
"Lennie Reveals True Identity of Dudly...and It Is HE!".

> therefore the content you are whining about will not be there dispite
> your claims to the contary

I didn't make any claims to the contrary, Colonel. I'm quite certain
that Len's stuff would not be there.

> in the sprit of being civil i cut the rest of the paragraph

In the spirit of being accurate, I've re-inserted it.

>>I do find it interesting that you and Mark have found so much common ground.
>
> why?

Brian is willing to lower his standards to a great extent if he finds
someone who is against morse testing. In this case, you represent the
lowered standards.

> we are NoCoders and that bring a ceertain openess in thought that
> ProCoders don't have with us

You are not only a no-code-test advocate, you are a no-can-do-coder.

> we do disagree on issues and nuance even at times on the facts, you
> just stand so far away from us we seem to agree on everything

I'm sure you'll understand that I'm being quite sincere when I write
that I prefer to stand very, very far away from a number of NCTA folks.
You are high on that list. You have so many issues that I'd want to
stand far away from you if you were *for* code testing.

> we have even disagred over our exact opinion of YOU

You may hold any opinion you like, even if you are short on facts.

> you should have caught that
>
> I tell you seeing the inability of some of the procoders to see what
> is written in front of them I am forced to wonder if too much code use
> does not cause brain damge to some folks

What accounts for your damage, Colonel? We know darn well that it
wasn't from the use of morse code.

Dave K8MN

4408. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 04:20:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2Gh8f.2871$yX2.1563@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122234

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:40:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>>
>>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>>
>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>
>>>
>>>At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.
>>
>>Not at all. It has more to do with what's wrong with Len.
>
> gain with you lordly [pronouncements Dave

Yes, I have a lot of ways to obtain gain, Mark. There are antennas and
amplifiers and even methods for financial gain. You're a real clown.
You have opinions but mine are "lordly pronouncements".

> and you complain Len doesn't debate

No, I *stated* that Len doesn't debate. It is verifiable fact.

> you come off like you climb Mt Sinai talked to god and got a new set
> of comandments just for ham radio (of course done in morse by the very
> finger of God)

You're making stuff up and I don't choose to discuss my religious views
with a pagan.

>>If you read
>>his windy diatribes, you'd think he'd been beaten with a rusty Vibroplex
>>as a child.
>
>
> maybe you read it that way

Yes, I did.

> To me they sound like he met a few of the MMM in real life I can
> certainly attest to what that feels like

I feel certain that every small grade appears as a mountain to you. You
have more issues than most folks.

Dave K8MN

4409. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 04:26:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gMh8f.889$8c5.643@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122235

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:47:52 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> No he's not.
>>>
>>>
>>>Big Lie #1.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>
>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>>>
>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>>
>>>>How is that "right on target"? It isn't civil and he isn't debating.
>>>
>>>

>>>Steve quoted nothing about civility.
>>
>>That's nice. Len claims to be here for civil debate of the morse
>>testing issue. The problem is, he isn't civil and spends very little of
>>his time debating.
>
>
> when did he say he came here For CIVIL deabte?

Please, Mark, do your own research. I'm not here for your welfare.
The same archives available to the rest of us are available to you.
I do understand that you've not been very successful in their use in
some earlier attempts and that you often misinterpret them when you do
find and archived post. At very least, you've misrepresented them.

>>>That would be Pot/Kettle/Black.
>>
>>Would it? Did Steve claim to be here for civil debate of morse testing?
>
>
> he does claim to be civil

So does Len. I can understand Steve's difficulties in being civil with
you. I don't feel much like being civil with you.

>>>But since you bring up civility, you say nothing about Steve's
>>>civility. Steve isn't civil and he isn't debating.
>>
>>
>>>Standard Mighty
>>>Macho Morse Man double-standard technique. Hi!
>>
>>Come to think of it, Cheesey, you don't seem to be here for civility or
>>debate. What was that thing about a pot and a kettle?
>
>
> what nobody is civil but you?

Where was that written?

> right meet the kettle

I submit that you'd not only have trouble identifying a pot or kettle
but would find it difficult to spell the words correctly in two
different sentences.

>
>>>>He isn't a radio amateur who uses CW and he isn't a radio amateur who
>>>>uses any other mode.
>>>
>>>
>>>Are you trying to say that he isn't a radio amateur? I think Len
>>>self-identified on that one.

>>
>>Great. Then I'm sure that he won't have any difficulties with my
>>identification of him. Len has difficulties with those who use morse,
>>those who favor retention of morse testing and even with those radio
>>amateurs who use other modes.
>>
>>
>>>>He is a pathetic geezer with no stake in amateur
>>>>radio and an he has an ax to grind.
>>
>>>...an ax[e] to grind.
>>
>>Please purchase a dictionary.
>>
>>
>>>So says the Mighty Macho Morse Man.
>>
>>Which poster claims to be a Mighty Macho Morse Man?
>
>
> you are not honest enough to claim it

Mark, you continue to be a babbling twit.

Dave K8MN

4410. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 04:27:24 UTC
From: Auntie Parsnips <okbartorange4@eyecandy.com>
Message-ID: <40ee9$4361a97c$d1cc5863$23992@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 122236

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:87017833.2458F87@tarrnews.net...
> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com
<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Note that the FCC web site lists K1MAN's renewal application as
> > "PENDING." A printout of this has been posted next to K1MAN's
> > original (now expired) license per 47 CFR 1.62 (2), and K1MAN on air
> > operations continue under this entitled authority.
>
> k1man is a great man who is fighting an opressive govnerment in order to
> save ham radio. long live his broadcasts. can he please play some
> olivia newton jon songs? thank you. a fan.

Nah!!!! Play that old German WW-II Marching Band
Music like drunken dead Walter Barstool from NJ used
to do years ago on 75 Meters! (It's a sad thought also
that it's very likely when old Walter finally shit-the-bed his
ham gear and famous recordings were all sent to the
local landfill a few Months later. How sad!!)

4411. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 04:47:28 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11m3bb7leatus82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122237

Really?

Novice 1959
Technician 1960
Advanced 1981?
Extra 2002?

BS Electronics Physics; 18 semester units to an MSEE.
Community College and Adult High School credential teaching ham radio class since 1967.
Taught roughly 50 semester classes.
100% pass rate.

VE ARRL
VE LAARG

Published technical articles in 73, HR, and local club rags.
Internationally acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas.
Monthly column in national magazine on do-it-yourself electronics.

Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.

Jim

>
> Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len Anderson is a
> sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.

4412. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 05:00:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fpb3m1hdrltiigo0n4iin9kfr4fmgejv83@4ax.com>
Source record: 122239

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:12:03 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:35:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>>>>>
>>>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
>>>>of ADA comms.
>>>
>>>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
>>>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.
>>
>>
>> In your opinion
>
>No, Mark, not in my opinion. The vast majority of Len Anderson's posts

>are simply anti-morse use/testing, anti-amateur radio, anti-ARRL. If
>you want opinion, my opinion is that Len is horse's patoo

yes it is your opinion

your effort to label your opinion as fat get the rest of the hack job
since till you learn you are not the font of all fact you are not
engaging in deabte yourself
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4413. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 05:00:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nsb3m1dfdrvfhq4dtpsnpdflf1b5pveeec@4ax.com>
Source record: 122238

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:26:52 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:47:52 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> No he's not.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Big Lie #1.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>>>>

>>>>>How is that "right on target"? It isn't civil and he isn't debating.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Steve quoted nothing about civility.
>>>
>>>That's nice. Len claims to be here for civil debate of the morse
>>>testing issue. The problem is, he isn't civil and spends very little of
>>>his time debating.
>>
>>
>> when did he say he came here For CIVIL deabte?
>
>Please, Mark, do your own research.

if you can't be civl I'll simply cut you off

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4414. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 05:00:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6ub3m199u0kktr8u2psn3s7jdbtaan6p6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 122240

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:20:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:40:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>>>
>>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.
>>>
>>>Not at all. It has more to do with what's wrong with Len.
>>
>> gain with you lordly [pronouncements Dave
>
>Yes, I have a lot of ways to obtain gain, Mark. There are antennas and
>amplifiers and even methods for financial gain. You're a real clown.
>You have opinions but mine are "lordly pronouncements".

you are the one claiming that your opinion are facts

i call that sort of arogance making lordly pronoucements

since you have not in fact lied yet or been too uncivl I read and
reply some more

>
>> and you complain Len doesn't debate
>
>No, I *stated* that Len doesn't debate. It is verifiable fact.

now you are lying by presenting your opinion as a fact

cuting the rest
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4415. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 05:01:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <a4c3m1lvdgfcoalc83hmlhmb4800ompill@4ax.com>
Source record: 122241

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 21:47:28 -0700, "RST Engineering"
<jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:

>Really?
>
>Novice 1959
>Technician 1960
>Advanced 1981?
>Extra 2002?
>
>BS Electronics Physics; 18 semester units to an MSEE.
>Community College and Adult High School credential teaching ham radio class
>since 1967.
>Taught roughly 50 semester classes.
>100% pass rate.
>
>VE ARRL
>VE LAARG
>
>Published technical articles in 73, HR, and local club rags.
>Internationally acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas.
>Monthly column in national magazine on do-it-yourself electronics.
>
>
>Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.

queitly wonders how Steveie and/or Dave Vile will try trashing that
>
>Jim
>
>
>
>>
>> Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len Anderson is a
>> sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4416. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 06:03:08 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11m3fou1v521m84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122242
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:uu02m1pacuecg6k3fphacaqvo5fna8lh63@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:03:45 -0500, "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>>news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>> DrDeath wrote:
>>>>
>>>> I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have
>>>> read
>>>> of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
>>> 147? Yeah right.
>>
>>I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a
>>CBer.
>>Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all CBers
>>are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day swilling
>>beer
>>and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you hold, "I have a
>>ham ticket, that makes me special."
>
> Any moron can pick up a microphone.

Same with a keyboard.

4417. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 06:18:07 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11m3gl19ubk2259@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122243
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:NaCdnWeH65OynvzeRVn-gA@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>> news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>DrDeath wrote:
>>>
>>>>I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have
>>>>read of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
>>>
>>>147? Yeah right.
>>
>>
>> I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a
>> CBer. Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all
>> CBers are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day
>> swilling beer and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you
>> hold, "I have a ham ticket, that makes me special."
>
> I find that those who brag about their IQs is about all they have to brag
> about. I know people with IQs higher then yours who couldn't find their
> way to the bathroom and back without help, so what does you IQ prove?
> Nothing.

I only pointed it out to show that you believe those that use cb radio and
those with no ham ticket are intellectually inferior. So yes, I proved that
quite clearly.

>
> As far as knowing more about radio, I converted my first transmitter, a
> Heath DX-60 from controlled carrier AM (you even know what controlled
> carrier AM is without looking it up?) to high level plate modulation (you
> even know what high level plate modulation is without looking it up?). I
> once designed and built my own SSB 80-10 meter transmitter plus many other
> pieces of ham equipment. Also constructed a 15 meter three element beam
> using gamma matching (you even know what gamma matching is without looking
> it up?). What have you designed and built for your 'good buddy ten-fer
> ther' cb hobby, mister IQ?

I don't need to look up any of those items.
I am in the process of building a ssb radio to match the linear I built last
year. Though I am certain I spend a great deal less time working on those
types of projects as I have other interests in my life.

4418. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 06:22:43 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11m3gtmnn90m2f4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122244
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051027173133.767$xa@newsreader.com...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>> news:WJCdnaayK53mTcLeRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>> > DrDeath wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I doubt he knows as much about radio than I do. And from what I have
>> >> read of his posts, his IQ is certainly lower than my 147
>> > 147? Yeah right.
>>
>> I realize that it is hard for you to comprehend that, with me being a
>> CBer. Challenging a belief system can be tough. Like your belief that all
>> CBers are to stupid to pass the ham test, that we sit around all day
>> swilling beer and saying "ten-fer good buddy". Or my favorite belief you
>> hold, "I have a ham ticket, that makes me special."
>>
> Ask him his call.

OK Hey Cmdr Buzz Corey, whats your call?

4419. A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 09:01:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130490062.547661.155760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122245

"I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
activity."

- Len Anderson

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=source&hl=en

4420. Steveo Suffering Same Comprehension Problems As Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 09:05:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130490327.794161.179200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > > You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in
> > > front of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump
> > > his leg too?
> >
> > Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
> > can't control her gag reflex like she used to.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> So let me get this straight. You want to bang my mom, sister, and dad?

I see you have the same comprehension problems as Markie does. At
least you can spell well enough to not have to muddle through your
replies.

> What the #### is wrong with you, STEVEN J ROBESON K4YZ ?

Getting profane, self-righteous "get a room" comments from punks
like you who is what is wrong. If the cross posting was bothering you,
you could have either (1) killfiled the address (2) ASKED to get the
groups deleted, or (3) just ignored it like I do OTHER cross-posts.

But you snapped in with a smart alec quip, you got one back.

Even-Stevens.

Steve, K4YZ

4421. Re: few thoughts on the score the coments and the ARS and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 09:28:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130491711.958256.211680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122247

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >lifted Len
> >Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
> >
> >
> > As of 26 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> > Elimination/Retention tabulation:
> >
> >
> > ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> > -------------- ---------------
> > Grand Total 3096 1120
> >
> >
> > Indeterminate (note 1) 194 83
> >
> >
> > Value for Percentages 2902 1037
> >
> >
> > Against NPRM (note 2) 910 [31.36%] 355 [34.23%]
> > For NPRM (note 3) 1510 [52.03%] 474 [45.71%]
> > Test Extra Only (note 4) 482 [16.61%] 208 [20.06%]
> >
> >
> > Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 11 AM EDT 27 Oct 05.
> >
> >
> > Notes:
> >
> the figure of 600-700 thousand UShams is bandied about
>
> the number of coments file is roughly one half of one percent of all
> Hams (assuing that only Hams have filed comments)

"comments" "assuming"

> a figure of 100 or so thousand is thrown around for the ARRL (i'll
> 100k to make my math easier

Actually it's over half-again that number, but why let 75,000 slow
you down?

> if one assumed all the coments were filed by arrl members (clearly
> false but but assume it for sec) less than one in 10 ARRL members has

> seen fit to coment (roughly 3 %)

"comments"

I'll bet the percentage of ARRL-members as respondents was far
greater than the non-members as respondents.

> if one asumes instead that the proportions of ARRL vs non memebers is
> rough of that ham population as a whole then of course only a very
> smal proportion of the arrl memebership cared enoughto even coment and
> they should know it is going on

"assumes" "small" "ARRL" "membership" "comment"

OK...So WHY "should" they know?

Yes, they get QST. Are they OBLIGATED to read all the "releases"?
Is there some part of ARRL membership I missed that says "If you join
ARRL you MUST respond to all NPRM's?"

What about the percentage of "Worldradio" readers? "CQ" magazine?
The same information and the same references to the NPRM and EFCS were
in those publications and were more-widely distributed. Why not base
your numbers on "Amateurs Who Care Enough To Read ANY Amateur Related
Journal"...???

> instad let us assume that all Procode commenet are ARRL members then
> 1.5% of the arrl is procode enough to even bother filing coments

"instead" "comments" "ARRL"

What were you trying to say, Mark?

> I am truely glad this is no vote
> the turn out would be seen as pathetic

"truly"

OK...So how is this different from most general
elections...HOW...???

A great many elections are determined with less than 50% of the
electorate showing up. Many policies on taxation, zoning, law
enforcement, fire suppression, etc, are enacted in our communities
based upon 26% or less of the electorate's say-so.

(Don't choke...) I agree with you that it's pathetic that we
can't "get out the vote" more effectively on such a hotly contested
issue.

What bothers me even more is that we've been trying to get a
spectrum protection act (in one form or another) passed for the last
TWELVE years, and it continually dies in committee or lack of
representitive support.

That's an issue that hits ALL of us, regardless of class of license...But we STILL can't get folks to write a "Dear Senator" letter, even if it's just enough to say "Please support this bill". But everyone will wail and whine when it's gone, crying "WHY DIDN'T SOMEONE DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS...?!?!?"

Steve, K4YZ

4422. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 09:33:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130492005.734343.121190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122248

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:20:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:

> >> and you complain Len doesn't debate
> >
> >No, I *stated* that Len doesn't debate. It is verifiable fact.
>
> now you are lying by presenting your opinion as a fact

It's not "opinion". It's fact.

Lennie Anderson, his protestations to the contrary, does NOT
"debate" anything...He throws his opinions in your face, and if you
dare to suggest that his opinions are flawed, the insults come out.

He claims that I and others do this to him, but nothing happens to
Lennie that Lennie didn't initiate.

> cuting the rest

No, you mean "censoring the rest".

Steve, K4YZ

4423. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 09:52:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130493168.126594.62390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122249

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
> in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
> activity."
>
> - Len Anderson
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=source&hl=en

James has been busy.

4424. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 10:07:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130494077.234984.295370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122150

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 20:14:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 27 Oct 2005 16:33:17 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> Iitoi wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I wonder if James
> cut
>
> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> >Since someone else is also performing the tally, would you care to
> >> >compare/contrast the tallies?
> >>
> >> obviously not he seem to prefer carping at Len
> >
> >Yep. He has no real interest in the issue since he's made up his mind
> >that the FCC is against his precious Morse Code exam. His only purpose
> >now is to try to discredit his primary antagonist before he departs
> >RRAP for Coslo's exclusive BBS.
>
> amusing and sad how he and other go on Len's lack of license but are
> still vitaly concerned about what he may say

Yep. They protest too loudly, drawing the attention to Len's words
which might otherwise not attract so much attention.

Have you seen the new thread where James points out Len being
uncomfortable with children participating in what Len believes to be a
primarily adult activity?

Hi!

4425. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 10:17:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130494649.880364.207500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122151

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
> >>>>discuss any issue)
> >>>
> >>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
> >>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
> >>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
> >>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
> >>
> >>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
> >>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
> >>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
> >>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> > Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
> > of ADA comms.
>
> Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
> discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.

Please stay on topic.

> > But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
> > out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.
>
> I haven't written anything about going anywhere. If I haven't left over
> your playing red-hatted monkey and haven't left over Len's stream of
> conciousness rants, Colonel Mark's mindless drivel and Roger Wiseman's
> filth aren't likely to result in my departure.

You've got "staying power." Excellent. Too bad you're almost never on
topic.

> I do find it interesting that you and Mark have found so much common ground.
>
> Dave K8MN

We're two of a handful of people on RRAP who have received veiled threats. I don't like bullying behavio[u]r, and neither does Mark. Gosh, whe have so much in common.

4426. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 10:21:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130494915.789204.256290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122152

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
> I tell you seeing the inability of some of the procoders to see what
> is written in front of them I am forced to wonder if too much code use
> does not cause brain damge to some folks

Decades ago in 73 magazine, Wayne Green was writing the same thing.

4427. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 10:27:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130495255.419395.245470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122153

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> No, Mark, not in my opinion. The vast majority of Len Anderson's posts
> are simply anti-morse use/testing, anti-amateur radio, anti-ARRL.

Well there you have it.

Dave admits that the vast majority of Len's post are on-topic.

4428. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 10:40:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130496014.907510.3530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122154

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian is willing to lower his standards to a great extent if he finds
> someone who is against morse testing. In this case, you represent the
> lowered standards.

The entire pro-code, pro-code test faction on RRAP was willing to lower "thier" standards once they realized that Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS, was willing to do pro-code, pro-code test dirty work as primary belligerent and bully. Too bad for your side of the debate.

4429. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 10:56:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130496993.445069.114250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122155

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Brian is willing to lower his standards to a great extent if he finds
> > someone who is against morse testing. In this case, you represent the
> > lowered standards.
>
> The entire pro-code, pro-code test faction on RRAP was willing to lower
> "thier" standards once they realized that Steven J. Robeson,
> K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS, was willing to do pro-code, pro-code test dirty work
> as primary belligerent and bully. Too bad for your side of the debate.

Belligerent?

Bully?

Strange words coming from a guy who's claims "admiration" for a
person known to be a pathological liar and deceiver. Even more strange
when one considers your numerous outstanding unresolved claims about
Amatuer Radio...all of which, to date, have been proven false.

Nice try, Brain. Now try again.

Steve, K4YZ

4430. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 11:12:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130497948.354535.200860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122156

RST Engineering wrote:

> Published technical articles in 73, HR, and local club rags.
> Internationally acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas.
> Monthly column in national magazine on do-it-yourself electronics.
>
> Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.

Hey Jim...I've read your articles in many mags before. Good job.
I've used RST products and found them to be "good stuff".

But you're presently posting tacit support for a known liar and
cheat in a public forum using your company name. Sure, he knows his
way around the bench, but he's a liar and a cheat none-the-less.

I don't give a rat's kazoo what you think of me persoanlly or my
opinions, but the fact remains that you're putting your company's name
behind a guy who lies for sport.

Is that wise?

Steve, K4YZ

4431. Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 11:24:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130498643.486497.51380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122157

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Stevie you said you provide the contact info for your nursing if I
> wanted well Ihae posted that I want it

Well, Markie, unlike you I don't read all of your posts in every thread. It makes me nauseous.

Remember...YOU are the one who claims others sit "waiting to pounce"...However YOU are the one with a dozen screen names to defeat Google's posting limits.

What information did you want? If you're interested in public information, you can refer to the Tennessee State Board of Nursing and just search by my name. What you need to know about my Nursing license is there.

> You have also claimed that lying would cost you your LPN (distils
> down to that)

Distills what?

> lets find out
>
> or are you too chicken

Chicken to what?

Steve, K4YZ

4432. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 11:28:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130498926.369237.80890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122158

hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > stole_from_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleeeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> > > > > >
> > > > > QUICK!
> > > > >
> > > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > Scroll down!
> > > > >
> > > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> > > practice
> > >
> > No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
> > months...
> >
> > lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
>
> He did.

Brian P Burke uttering more lies for his offspring to see.

> > it is still in the quoted matrail
>
> It is.

No, It's not.

> > you lie and you do so badly
>
> He does.

Nope.

> But he is an Extra at denying it.

Lame try, Brain...But it's about what we expect.

Steve, K4YZ

4433. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 11:28:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G5WdnQJsjbvrlv_eRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 122159

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1130490062.547661.155760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
> in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
> activity."
>
> - Len Anderson
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=source&hl=en
>

The integration of youngsters into adult activities is one of the beauties of ham radio. This is one of the few opportunities that they have to learn by direct participation on an equal footing with adults. This opportunity has been increasingly rare in modern times. The young normally tend to be isolated to non-adult activities and the adults are there simply as supervisors, trainers, or "taxis."

It is truly a wonderful thing to see the youngsters, oldsters, and those in-between working together on projects.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4434. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 11:32:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130499154.721880.97850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122160

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 17:29:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2005 17:16:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
> >> >> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
> >> >> > buddy...
> >> >>
> >> >> a bit late for an obit
> >> >
> >> > It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....
> >> >
> >> yes it is an obit
> >
> > There you go demonstrating that comprehension deficit, Markie...
> not at all
>
> you are making a death anouncement and listing the highlights of the
> dead fellow life

"highlights"...?!?!

What "highlights"...?!?!

Highlights are the birth of children or grandchildren...graduating
from engineering school...professional achievements.

All I did was announce his old call would soon be vacant and
wished him well wherever he is...

> was a real short one

What's "short" is YOUR comprehension.

Steve, K4YZ

4435. Marky C.Moron whines loudly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:25:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130502331.283096.245020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122161

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Stevie you said you provide the contact info for your nursing if I
> wanted well Ihae posted that I want it

You say a lot of things, Marky, too bad they are all lies.

> You have also claimed that lying would cost you your LPN (distils
> down to that)
>
> lets find out

You mean like the lie you told when you said you whined to the FBI?

> or are you too chicken

You know all abhout that, huh, KFC "Colonel?"

4436. Re: Marky C.Moron whines loudly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:26:22 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130502382.546645.225800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122162

typo: "about"

4437. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:28:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130502527.501055.73810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122163

You'll just whine about it, huh, asshole?

4438. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:30:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130502615.491570.271220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122164

And you sit on your fat ass whining.....

4439. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:37:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130503027.469631.139070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122165

Lloyd wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 06:06:18 -0700, whinyslit_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > fuck off, mentally ill, genetically inferior retard!
>
> Talking to yourself again, Roger?

Talking to yourself, fatty?

4440. Re: Steveo Suffering Same Comprehension Problems As Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:39:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7s64m1pskoeapova85o8t8o0pg6bq4srq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 122167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 28 Oct 2005 02:05:27 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Steveo wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> >
>> > > You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in
>> > > front of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump
>> > > his leg too?
>> >
>> > Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
>> > can't control her gag reflex like she used to.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> So let me get this straight. You want to bang my mom, sister, and dad?
>
> I see you have the same comprehension problems as Markie does. At
>least you can spell well enough to not have to muddle through your
>replies.
>
>> What the #### is wrong with you, STEVEN J ROBESON K4YZ ?
>
> Getting profane, self-righteous "get a room" comments from punks
>like you who is what is wrong. If the cross posting was bothering you,
>you could have either (1) killfiled the address (2) ASKED to get the
>groups deleted, or (3) just ignored it like I do OTHER cross-posts.
>
> But you snapped in with a smart alec quip, you got one back.
>
> Even-Stevens.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Dickhead. Quit your cross-posting, and go back to your nice little newsgroup. If
you need the attention like K1MAN, I suggest getting in touch with him and
becoming friends, so you both can bring down the government all by yourself.

Vinnie S.

4441. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:39:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130503179.850266.321100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:
> The hatred being spewed here is all too common in ham radio
> today and represents what this once great hobby has degenerated
> into.
>
> When rational minds prevail, virtually all informed sources, both
> in and out of the FCC, agree that legal precedent is clearly on
> the side of Mr Baxter, and in the final analysis, Mr Baxter will
> carry the day, much to the chagrin of his detractors.
>
>
> Lloyd
> CEO, Dalek Ltd.

Go play with your dalek dolls, 32 year old VIRGIN!

4442. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:43:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130503418.436067.270140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 26 Oct 2005 17:19:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
> > > >> not discussing issues
> > > >
> > > > "newsgroup's"
> > > >
> > > > Scavaging for frequencies is not "issues".
> > >
> > > Scavaging? gee sound like you issues to me
> >
> > Hearing those voices again, retard?
> no

Liar. Maybe you should be locked back up, Marky.

> using litery license

You have to be literate first, dumbass.

> >
> > > the guy has been looking for proof of ARS emergncy traffic and has had
> > > trouble finding it
> >
> > He would from your station, Marky.
>
> so?

Cry and whine, Marky.

> your point Mr Slit

Went way over your denseness. As usual.

> >
> > > some thing I would expect him to have as most of the real stuff is
> > > pretty local and unsung
> >
> > As if you'd know, loser.
>
```

> of course I would know, and I would that Real Hams don't need the
> praise unlike bostful ex RRAPer Dan

They don't need your stupidity, either.

4443. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 12:46:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130503588.030241.191290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2005 06:02:40 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > >> On 26 Oct 2005 05:16:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > >> >> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > >> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 11:41:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > >> >> > >
> >> > > >> >> > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> >> > > >> >> > >> cut
> >> > > >> >> > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > >I'm sure you do, fatty.
> >> > > >> >> > >
> >> > > >> >> > > you know talking to oneself is generaly seen as a sign of mental
> >> > > >> >> > > illness Mr Slit
> >> > > >> >> > >
> >> > > >> >> > > Projecting your own mental illness, Marky? You were locked up for it.
> >> > > >> >> > >
> >> > > >> >> > more lies Mr Slit
> >> > > >> >> >
> >> > > >> >> >Yes, from *you*, Ms. CUNT.
> >> > > >> >>
> >> > > >> > no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> >> > > >> > never been mental ill
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> >Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > > you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
> >> > > >
> >> > > >I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
> >> > > >every post.

> >> > > I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed
> >> >
> >> > According to your "file" you have been locked up, Marky.
> >>
> >> why are you lying Mr Slit?
> >
> >Why are you so defensive, Ms. Cunt?
>
> not at all defensive

You prove you are defensive, Marky. Every time, little girl.

> >
> >> If had been locked no file you could legaly have access to would tell
> >> you that or if you had access it would be a crime to disclose it
> >> contents
> >
> >You were locked up in a rubber room, Marky. You will be again.
>
> more lies

>From you, Marky.

> >
> >> since it isn't true why bother telling the lie
> >
> >But it is true, Marky. Look how much you are trying to cover it up.
>
> of course Her geobbels

Stick to English, Marky, there's no sense in butchering two languages.

> >
> >> I know thinks that Lying or being Bisexual gets you confined to a pysch
> >> ward but he doesn't know what he talking about
> >
> >Get back on your anti-psychotics, Marky, you're babbling more than
> >usual.

4444. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 13:39:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mSp8f.2728$RI1.564@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122170

```
kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:12:03 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:35:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>>>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>>>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>>>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
>>>>>of ADA comms.
>>>>
```

>>>>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
>>>>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.
>>>
>>>
>>>In your opinion
>>
>>No, Mark, not in my opinion. The vast majority of Len Anderson's posts
>>are simply anti-morse use/testing, anti-amateur radio, anti-ARRL. If
>>you want opinion, my opinion is that Len is horse's patoo
>
>
> yes it is your opinion

Which one is my opinion, Mark? I've stated one as fact. A fact is
something which can be verified as true.

> your effort to label your opinion as fat...

My "effort" to do what? In the instance I offered above, I present fact
and then provided an opinion. Do you label all of your opinions?

> get the rest of the hack job...

Get it? Where did it go?

> since till you learn you are not the font of all fact

If you want folks to take you seriously, Mark, you must stop issuing
falsehoods. I've made no statement describing myself as the "font of
all fact".

>you are not
> engaging in deabte yourself

No, I've not engaged in "deabte" and I'm not sure that it is legal in my
state. I have engaged in debate and discussion here and elsewhere but
I've never stated, even once, that my purpose here is to engage in
debate, civil or otherwise.

Dave K8MN

4445. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 13:41:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0Up8f.2731$RI1.20@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122171

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:26:52 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:47:52 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Len is on-topic far more often than you.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> No he's not.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Big Lie #1.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>>>>
>>>>>>How is that "right on target"? It isn't civil and he isn't debating.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Steve quoted nothing about civility.
>>>>
>>>>That's nice. Len claims to be here for civil debate of the morse
>>>>testing issue. The problem is, he isn't civil and spends very little of
>>>>his time debating.
>>>
>>>
>>>when did he say he came here For CIVIL deabte?
>>
>>Please, Mark, do your own research.
>
>
> if you can't be civl I'll simply cut you off

Promises, promises.

Dave K8MN

4446. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 14:12:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Zkq8f.945$8c5.24@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122172

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>>>
>>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>>>>
>>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>>>
>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
>>>of ADA comms.
>>
>>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
>>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.
>
>
> Please stay on topic.

I've re-read your post, searching for any small portion where you seem
to be on topic.

>
>>>But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
>>>out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.
>>
>>I haven't written anything about going anywhere. If I haven't left over

>>your playing red-hatted monkey and haven't left over Len's stream of
>>conciousness rants, Colonel Mark's mindless drivel and Roger Wiseman's
>>filth aren't likely to result in my departure.
>
>
> You've got "staying power." Excellent. Too bad you're almost never on
> topic.

Here's the thread's topic: "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
'Dudly'....And It Is HE!" Please point to any portion of your initial
or subsequent posts which are on topic.

>
>>I do find it interesting that you and Mark have found so much common ground.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> We're two of a handful of people on RRAP who have received veiled
> threats.

...or so you both perceive. You two both seem to have common ground in
your perception of yourselves as victims as well.

> I don't like bullying behavio[u]r, and neither does Mark.

...and contrary to what you've stated, you're both quick to dismiss it
if it comes from Leonard H. Anderson.

> Gosh, whe have so much in common.

You certainly do. You're both victims of that morse code testing demon.

Dave K8MN

4447. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 14:36:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <blq8f.3000$yX2.2404@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122173

RST Engineering wrote:
> Really?
>
> Novice 1959
> Technician 1960
> Advanced 1981?
> Extra 2002?
>
> BS Electronics Physics; 18 semester units to an MSEE.
> Community College and Adult High School credential teaching ham radio class
> since 1967.
> Taught roughly 50 semester classes.
> 100% pass rate.
>
> VE ARRL
> VE LAARG
>
> Published technical articles in 73, HR, and local club rags.
> Internationally acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas.
> Monthly column in national magazine on do-it-yourself electronics.
>
>
> Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.
>
> Jim
>
>
>
>
>>Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len Anderson is a
>>sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.
>

That's pretty impressive, Jim. You've been involved in quite a number of things in and out of amateur radio. Even if I was an "Internationally acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas", I don't think I could bring myself to so describe myself.

Aside from your not including attributions, not signing your post and the top posting, you even manage to present some of your ideas here in a reasonable manner.

My own credentials have been "on the table" for nearly a decade here in r.r.a.p. One of those taking a knife to them on a regular basis is Leonard H. Anderson. He has routinely insulted my employment,

experience in radio (amateur, commercial and government), my authorship of amateur radio articles and even my German surname. I can't claim that I'm special in that regard though. Len does similar things to anyone who disagrees with him.

It is curious that a fellow such as you would make the blanket statement that you did. Now I just question your judgment.

Dave Heil K8MN

4448. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 14:47:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6Sq8f.3005$yX2.2459@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122174

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:20:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:40:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
>>>>>>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
>>>>>>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.
>>>>
>>>>Not at all. It has more to do with what's wrong with Len.
>>>
>>>gain with you lordly [pronouncements Dave

>>
>>Yes, I have a lot of ways to obtain gain, Mark. There are antennas and
>>amplifiers and even methods for financial gain. You're a real clown.
>>You have opinions but mine are "lordly pronouncements".
>
>
> you are the one claiming that your opinion are facts

Nope, that's not quite correct, Mark. *You* are the one claiming that
facts are my opinion.

> i call that sort of arogance making lordly pronoucements

Sure you would. After all, you brought up "lordly pronoucements". I
didn't.

> since you have not in fact lied yet or been too uncivl I read and
> reply some more

I'll go you one better: You have lied and been uncivil. Sometimes I
read your stuff anyway.

>
>>>and you complain Len doesn't debate
>>
>>No, I *stated* that Len doesn't debate. It is verifiable fact.
>
>
> now you are lying by presenting your opinion as a fact

No, Colonel, I'm not lying. Please show an instance of where Len
Anderson has engaged in civil debate. One instance will do.

> cuting the rest

(reinserting the rest)

>>> you come off like you climb Mt Sinai talked to god and got a new set
>>> of comandments just for ham radio (of course done in morse by the
>>> very finger of God)

>>You're making stuff up and I don't choose to discuss my religious
>>views with a pagan.

>>>> If you read his windy diatribes, you'd think he'd been beaten with
a rusty Vibroplex as a child.

>>> maybe you read it that way

>>Yes, I did.

>>> To me they sound like he met a few of the MMM in real life I can
>>> certainly attest to what that feels like

>>I feel certain that every small grade appears as a mountain to you.
>>You have more issues than most folks.

Dave K8MN

4449. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:07:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <o3j4m116o33g817050tahs5jeog1d4sk4a@4ax.com>
Source record: 122175

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 13:39:30 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:12:03 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:35:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>>>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>>>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>>>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>>>>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>>>>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>>>>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>>Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
>>>>>>of ADA comms.
>>>>>
>>>>>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
>>>>>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>In your opinion
>>>
>>>No, Mark, not in my opinion. The vast majority of Len Anderson's posts
>>>are simply anti-morse use/testing, anti-amateur radio, anti-ARRL. If
>>>you want opinion, my opinion is that Len is horse's patoo
>>
>>
>> yes it is your opinion
>
>Which one is my opinion, Mark? I've stated one as fact. A fact is
>something which can be verified as true.

then by stating your opinion as fact you have lied and

BBBUUUUUZZZZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4450. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:08:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <l6j4m15u0pdmd1c5ai469c75ccb7tp43b0@4ax.com>
Source record: 122176

On 28 Oct 2005 03:56:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> > Brian is willing to lower his standards to a great extent if he finds
>> > someone who is against morse testing. In this case, you represent the
>> > lowered standards.
>>
>> The entire pro-code, pro-code test faction on RRAP was willing to lower
>> "thier" standards once they realized that Steven J. Robeson,
>> K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS, was willing to do pro-code, pro-code test dirty work
>> as primary belligerent and bully. Too bad for your side of the debate.
>
> Belligerent?

sure you are belligerent

and since you are not honest enough to admit it
BUUUUZZZZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4451. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:09:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <98j4m1hvn6hlg48usbolpehbshulctjo7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 122177

On 28 Oct 2005 03:21:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>> I tell you seeing the inability of some of the procoders to see what
>> is written in front of them I am forced to wonder if too much code use
>> does not cause brain damge to some folks
>
>Decades ago in 73 magazine, Wayne Green was writing the same thing.
Wayne Green was plain wrong about many things but assuming you are
cottect I real think he got that one right

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4452. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:11:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4aj4m1tml23057t4sehnev0hes6t86d805@4ax.com>
Source record: 122178

On 28 Oct 2005 03:17:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
>> > But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
>> > out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.
>>
>> I haven't written anything about going anywhere. If I haven't left over
>> your playing red-hatted monkey and haven't left over Len's stream of
>> conciousness rants, Colonel Mark's mindless drivel and Roger Wiseman's
>> filth aren't likely to result in my departure.
>
>You've got "staying power." Excellent. Too bad you're almost never on
>topic.
>
>> I do find it interesting that you and Mark have found so much common ground.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>We're two of a handful of people on RRAP who have received veiled
>threats. I don't like bullying behavio[u]r, and neither does Mark.
>Gosh, whe have so much in common.

you know I object to you calling it a handful a fraction of the
RRAPers largeer presnetage wise than say the Black population of this
country has receivec threats viled or otherwise

I would also say if I were not trying to be civil that we are seeing
the work of Barbarians, but since i am trying to civil i'll not say it

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4453. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:14:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5ij4m1l28rgeolb56924k716fdub0s0lnv@4ax.com>
Source record: 122179

On 28 Oct 2005 02:33:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:20:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>
>> >> and you complain Len doesn't debate
>> >
>> >No, I *stated* that Len doesn't debate. It is verifiable fact.
>>
>> now you are lying by presenting your opinion as a fact
>
> It's not "opinion". It's fact.

nope it is opinion therefore you llie again
and BBUZZZZ
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4454. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:15:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3jj4m1146c6d4c4ja8c5mdecbdlb2f79r5@4ax.com>
Source record: 122180

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:47:30 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:20:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
cut
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>which right on target if a bit wordy
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.
>>>>>
>>>>>Not at all. It has more to do with what's wrong with Len.
>>>>
>>>>gain with you lordly [pronouncements Dave
>>>
>>>Yes, I have a lot of ways to obtain gain, Mark. There are antennas and
>>>amplifiers and even methods for financial gain. You're a real clown.
>>>You have opinions but mine are "lordly pronouncements".
>>
>>
>> you are the one claiming that your opinion are facts
>
>Nope, that's not quite correct, Mark. *You* are the one claiming that
>facts are my opinion.

becuase they are your opinions

annd again a lie so BBBUUUZZZZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4455. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:16:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8lj4m1t191hvq8qasjpg05t2rs2b14smn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 122181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Oct 2005 05:46:28 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 27 Oct 2005 06:02:40 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> > > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut
>> >> > > >> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
>> >> > > >> never been mental ill
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
>> >> > > >every post.
>> >> > > I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed
>> >> >
>> >> > According to your "file" you have been locked up, Marky.
>> >>
>> >> why are you lying Mr Slit?
>> >
>> >Why are you so defensive, Ms. Cunt?
>>
>> not at all defensive
>
>You prove you are defensive, Marky. Every time, little girl.

more lies

>
>> >
>> >> If had been locked no file you could legaly have access to would tell
>> >> you that or if you had access it would be a crime to disclose it
>> >> contents
>> >
>> >You were locked up in a rubber room, Marky. You will be again.
>>
>> more lies

>
>>From you, Marky.

only lying is yourself
2 lies and now BUZZ you are getting more slack than Stevie

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4456. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:17:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <1nj4m15oi9qrknu99n2llvhlq3gctbgs2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 122182

On 28 Oct 2005 04:32:34 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 17:29:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 26 Oct 2005 17:16:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >steals _from_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > Dick Carrol, W0EX, passed away two years ago just before his own
>> >> >> > license was coming up for renewal. He didn't make it.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Wherever you are, Dick, it's "SOS" here on planet Earth...RIP old
>> >> >> > buddy...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> a bit late for an obit
>> >> >
>> >> > It's not an "obit", Blockhead.....
>> >> >>
>> >> >> yes it is an obit
>> >> >
>> > There you go demonstrating that comprehension deficit, Markie...
>> not at all
>>
>> you are making a death anouncement and listing the highlights of the
>> dead fellow life
>
> "highlights"...?!?!
>
> What "highlights"...?!?!

exactly what highlights?
>
> Highlights are the birth of children or grandchildren...graduating
>from engineering school...professional achievements.
>
> All I did was announce his old call would soon be vacant and
>wished him well wherever he is...
>
>> was a real short one
>

> What's "short" is YOUR comprehension.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4457. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 16:47:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9bl4m1d8f0e3u9ic09q6o9uil818fptko8@4ax.com>
Source record: 122183

On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Tennessee State Board of Nursing

ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
151 12TH AVENUE NW
WINCHESTER, TN 37398

Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
License Number: 49513
Status: Active
Multistate
Original Date: 11/01/1994
Expiration Date: 09/30/2007

that is you right
would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
to report you

for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4458. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 17:12:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4_s8f.983$8c5.665@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122184

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 13:39:30 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 04:12:03 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 03:35:21 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
>>>>>>>>>discuss any issue)
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
>>>>>>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
>>>>>>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
>>>>>>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
>>>>>>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
>>>>>>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
>>>>>>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave K8MN
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
>>>>>>>of ADA comms.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
>>>>>>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>In your opinion
>>>>
>>>>No, Mark, not in my opinion. The vast majority of Len Anderson's posts
>>>>are simply anti-morse use/testing, anti-amateur radio, anti-ARRL. If
>>>>you want opinion, my opinion is that Len is horse's patoo
>>>
>>>
>>>yes it is your opinion
>>
>>Which one is my opinion, Mark? I've stated one as fact. A fact is
>>something which can be verified as true.
>
>
> then by stating your opinion as fact you have lied and
>
> BBBUUUUUZZZZ

That is incorrect, Mark. I have not stated my opinion as fact.
You can't write well; you can't read well. Your lack of skills leaves
you with erroneous views.

Dave K8MN

4459. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 17:44:20 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <702317833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122185

```
On 28 Oct 2005 05:37:07 -0700, whinyslit_roger@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Lloyd wrote:
> > On 27 Oct 2005 06:06:18 -0700, whinyslit_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > fuck off, mentally ill, genetically inferior retard!
> >
> > Talking to yourself again, Roger?
>
> Talking to yourself, fatty? <-- IKYABWAI

Golden Peewee Award winner, Roger, shows his IKYABWAI stuff.
```

4460. A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 18:04:48 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130522688.763854.113650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122186
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

4461. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 18:51:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Zqu8f.2781$RI1.14@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122187

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>
>
> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> 151 12TH AVENUE NW
> WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>
> Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
> Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
> License Number: 49513
> Status: Active
> Multistate
> Original Date: 11/01/1994
> Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
>
>
> that is you right
> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
> to report you
>
> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done

In identifying yourself, be sure to provide the following links, 'cuz,
about the time that you do what you say you're going to do, I'll bet
that Steve gladly provides them to the nursing board along with some of
your more recent posts:

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.personals.bondage.gay/browse_thread/thread/efaf58d709b5e4c3/6dbde7b40227da26?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=2&hl=en#6dbde7b40227da26

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.pagan.contacts/browse_thread/thread/7b8f22d5436ccb2/b32dbc4f1c2eeb77?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=39&hl=en#b32dbc4f1c2eeb77

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.personals.bondage/browse_thread/thread/b1ccd8f0af20f45e/3774c58248632b47?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=79&hl=en#3774c58248632b47

http://groups.google.com/group/alt.spanking.reality/browse_thread/thread/f37b8cf2d59c7f81/c48debd47d1d2d7b?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=111&hl=en#c48debd47d1d2d7b

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.org.sca/browse_thread/thread/b2f5f6404aacbc3a/8f312f289f4f34ce?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=130&hl=en#8f312f289f4f34ce

The nursing board will want to know just who and what they are dealing with. I'll bet I can even come up with a dyslexia diagnosis done by an allergist in an effort to dodge a code test for entry into HF amateur radio. There's all sorts of good stuff under konstans@geocities.com and konstans@oneeleven.net.

Dave K8MN

"As point of fact it is not inconsistant unless you suppose that I may ONLY use words like 'draft' or 'dischrage' as the armed forces do. which is something I chide Steve for all the time"

"Colonel" Mark Morgan, 2000

4462. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 19:45:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130528731.388477.53350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 05:46:28 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 27 Oct 2005 06:02:40 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> > > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> cut

Run away, Marky.

> >> >> > > >> no the lies are yours never been locked up for mental illness indeed
> >> >> > > >> never been mental ill
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >Wrong, Marky Chuckles Moron.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >> you should try getting your facts from a better source than Stevie
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > >I don't need Steve's facts, you demonstrate your mental illness in
> >> >> > > >every post.
> >> >> > > I am not at least accordig to the pros in the filed
> >> >> >
> >> >> > According to your "file" you have been locked up, Marky.
> >> >>
> >> >> why are you lying Mr Slit?
> >> >
> >> >Why are you so defensive, Ms. Cunt?
> >>
> >> not at all defensive
> >
> >You prove you are defensive, Marky. Every time, little girl.
>
> more lies

More Marky proof that he was locked up.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> If had been locked no file you could legaly have access to would tell

> >> >> you that or if you had access it would be a crime to disclose it
> >> >> contents
> >> >
> >> >You were locked up in a rubber room, Marky. You will be again.
> >>
> >> more lies
> >
> >>From you, Marky.
>
> only lying is yourself

Wrong, self admitted liar. You were locked up because you are
certifiably insane.

> 2 lies and now BUZZ you are getting more slack than Stevie

No, you are too stupid to know the difference.

4463. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 19:50:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130529007.834386.89140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122189

Lloyd wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 05:37:07 -0700, whinyslit_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > Lloyd wrote:
> > > On 27 Oct 2005 06:06:18 -0700, whinyslit_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > fuck off, mentally ill, genetically inferior retard!
> > >
> > > Talking to yourself again, Roger?
> >
> > Talking to yourself, fatty? <-- IKYABWAI
>
> Golden Peewee Award winner, Roger, shows his IKYABWAI stuff.<--IKYABWAI.....so Pee Wee Davies uses an IKYABWAI.....
^^

Oh, the irony, fatass. You get caught following up to yourself all the
time. Like the time you forgot to sign out of your .99 account on
network54 and answered yourself. Now you can add plagiarism to your
list of replies, shit for brains.

Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of
history and
politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to
mean? I thought a Nazi was a communist."

4464. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 20:00:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130529633.781880.134850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:11:12 -0500, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>> >
> >>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
> >>> >> >too.
> >>> >>
> >>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
> >>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
> >>> >
> >>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
> >>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
> >>>
> >>> another lie Stevie
> >>
> >> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
> >> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
> >>
> >
> >That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
> >cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.
>
> it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it

Speaking from first hand experience, Marky?

4465. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 20:04:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130529887.375355.169270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122191

You are one of the stupidest, most annoying, obnoxious with your
whining, ridiculous,
close-minded, pathetic, dumber-than-dumb, seriously disturbed, mentally
impaired, dead-headed,bald, morbidly obese pig-fucking, pea-brained
idiots ever to be
considered to be part of the human race. You'd be better off dead, but
you're too fucking stupid to successfully commit suicide and you'd
probably screw THAT up!

4466. K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 20:41:33 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130532093.885944.310370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A

I have heard some of my greatest detractors say on the air (when they didn't consider that I might just happen too be listening) things something like:

1. "Glenn is so talented, but ...."

2. "Glenn is so bright, but ...."

3. "Glenn has the best bulletin service, but ...."

4. "Glenn did such a great job on such-and-such emergency, but....."

5. "Glenn could be an alternative to ARRL, but....................."

6. "Glenn could have been so big, but........................."

The problem is that nobody can please all the people all the time, and if you try to do anything really significant in life, you are going to piss a lot of other people off, no matter what. Church politics is a good example of this, and I see no difference regarding hobby politics in particular and ham radio politics in general.

Typically, those who try to make major changes in the world get "hung," so to speak, (like Socrates, Galileo, or Jesus), or else THEY do the hanging (like Alexander the Great, Stalin, or Hitler). Other people just find some middle ground somewhere, while still others do next to nothing "spectacular" and cross their fingers while hoping they don't "get run over." Most people sincerely think that others would do much better if they just did it THEIR way.

The fact is that everybody is right and everybody is wrong. Whatever works best for you is all that you have unless you make major mid course "corrections" from time to time. Some make frequent minor "corrections" to best fit the changing world being experienced. Who has more fun than people?

Lots of people manipulate and even abuse their way around life. Everyone "manipulates" others to some degree, of course, but here again, this goes from one end of the spectrum to the other. If you buy flowers for your wife, aren't you trying to "manipulate" her? If you do unto others as you would have them do unto you, aren't you really trying to manipulate them?

One thing common to most humans in particular and mammals in general is the desire to be loved and accepted. People need to be recognized. Scientific American for November 2005 has an interesting article about how the brain comes up with the feeling of "self." The fact is that we have a very poor understanding of how the brain works, much less how the universe works.

I still cannot fathom why ALL hams don't welcome an on the air hf amateur information bulletin service on 20 and 80 meters. Free information! Listen to it in the shack while you are soldering a connection. Call in your comments, unedited, to an 800 number. A wide variety of information including Newsline and RAIN. Taped Dayton forums, interviews, hard hitting editorials, etc. etc. A great beacon station to evaluate propagation! The answer is simply "hobby politics" which overrides common sense. Jealousy! The human need to be recognized and to feel important. If the bulletin service threatens this self image the of the self importance of another person, that person is against it, apparently. This is why humans have wars and kill each other. They just can't agree on what is best for all concerned. They can't accommodate much at all. Doesn't make much sense to me, but I do recognize that it is a very common reality. Such is life! Being a wild animal wouldn't seem to be so bad, by comparison, it would seem to me!

Now, don't you feel more important already? Let's see, let's tune across 20 meters. Not much there anymore is there? Not much diversity. No wonder amateur radio is dying! 73 and GL.

Glenn Baxter, K1MAN

AARA Membership

www.K1MAN.com

GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY

BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH

4467. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 21:31:36 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <9804d$436298af$4e0bdc7$13653@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130532093.885944.310370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A
>
> I have heard some of my greatest detractors say on the air (when they
> didn't consider that I might just happen too be listening) things
> something like:
>
> 1. "Glenn is so talented, but ...."
>
> 2. "Glenn is so bright, but ...."
>
> 3. "Glenn has the best bulletin service, but ...."
>
> 4. "Glenn did such a great job on such-and-such emergency, but....."
>
> 5. "Glenn could be an alternative to ARRL, but....................."
>
> 6. "Glenn could have been so big, but........................."
>
> The problem is that nobody can please all the people all the time, and
> if you try to do anything really significant in life, you are going to
> piss a lot of other people off, no matter what. Church politics is a
> good example of this, and I see no difference regarding hobby politics
> in particular and ham radio politics in general.
>
> Typically, those who try to make major changes in the world get
> "hung," so to speak, (like Socrates, Galileo, or Jesus), or else THEY
> do the hanging (like Alexander the Great, Stalin, or Hitler). Other
> people just find some middle ground somewhere, while still others do
> next to nothing "spectacular" and cross their fingers while hoping
> they don't "get run over." Most people sincerely think that others
> would do much better if they just did it THEIR way.
>
> The fact is that everybody is right and everybody is wrong. Whatever
> works best for you is all that you have unless you make major mid
> course "corrections" from time to time. Some make frequent minor
> "corrections" to best fit the changing world being experienced. Who
> has more fun than people?
>
> Lots of people manipulate and even abuse their way around life.
> Everyone "manipulates" others to some degree, of course, but here

> again, this goes from one end of the spectrum to the other. If you
> buy flowers for your wife, aren't you trying to "manipulate" her? If
> you do unto others as you would have them do unto you, aren't you
> really trying to manipulate them?
>
> One thing common to most humans in particular and mammals in general
> is the desire to be loved and accepted. People need to be
> recognized. Scientific American for November 2005 has an interesting
> article about how the brain comes up with the feeling of "self." The
> fact is that we have a very poor understanding of how the brain works,
> much less how the universe works.
>
> I still cannot fathom why ALL hams don't welcome an on the air hf
> amateur information bulletin service on 20 and 80 meters. Free
> information! Listen to it in the shack while you are soldering a
> connection. Call in your comments, unedited, to an 800 number. A
> wide variety of information including Newsline and RAIN. Taped
> Dayton forums, interviews, hard hitting editorials, etc. etc. A great
> beacon station to evaluate propagation! The answer is simply "hobby
> politics" which overrides common sense. Jealousy! The human need
> to be recognized and to feel important. If the bulletin service
> threatens this self image the of the self importance of another
> person, that person is against it, apparently. This is why humans
> have wars and kill each other. They just can't agree on what is best
> for all concerned. They can't accommodate much at all. Doesn't make
> much sense to me, but I do recognize that it is a very common reality.
> Such is life! Being a wild animal wouldn't seem to be so bad, by
> comparison, it would seem to me!
>
> Now, don't you feel more important already? Let's see, let's tune
> across 20 meters. Not much there anymore is there? Not much
> diversity. No wonder amateur radio is dying! 73 and GL.
>
> Glenn Baxter, K1MAN
>
> AARA Membership
>
> www.K1MAN.com
>
> GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY
>
> BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH
>

Calling this an "AUTOBIOGRAPHY" is quite a stretch.
Ace - WH2T

4468. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 21:42:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130535735.531992.9250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122194

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1130490062.547661.155760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Len Anderson wrote the following quote:

> > "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
> > in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
> > activity."
> >
> > - Len Anderson
> >
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=sou
rce&hl=en
> >
>
> The integration of youngsters into adult activities is one of the beauties
> of ham radio.

Agreed!

> This is one of the few opportunities that they have to learn
> by direct participation on an equal footing with adults.

And it has always been so, at least in the USA.

> This opportunity
> has been increasingly rare in modern times. The young normally tend to be
> isolated to non-adult activities and the adults are there simply as
> supervisors, trainers, or "taxis."

> It is truly a wonderful thing to see the youngsters, oldsters, and those
> in-between working together on projects.

It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham
bands,
working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion, etc.,
without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the
"data modes" are better for this than voice.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4469. Another Len Quote (was: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 21:58:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130536727.343927.141940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122195

Dave Heil wrote:
> RST Engineering wrote:
> > Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.
> >
> > Jim

OK, from one Jim to another:

Novice 1967 (age 13)
Technician 1968
Advanced 1968
Extra 1970 (because of mandatory 2 year wait)

2nd Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1972

BSEE 1976 University of Pennsylvania
MSEE 1992 Drexel University

Coinventer US patent #5,358,202

I could go on...
>
> >>Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len
> >>Anderson is a
> >>sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.

> That's pretty impressive, Jim. You've been involved in quite a > number of
> things in and out of amateur radio. Even if I was
> an "Internationally
> acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas", I don't > think I
> could bring myself to so describe myself.
>
> Aside from your not including attributions, not signing your
> post and
> the top posting, you even manage to present some of your ideas > here in a
> reasonable manner.
>
> My own credentials have been "on the table" for nearly a decade > here in
> r.r.a.p. One of those taking a knife to them on a regular
> basis is
> Leonard H. Anderson. He has routinely insulted my employment,
> experience in radio (amateur, commercial and government), my
> authorship
> of amateur radio articles and even my German surname.

Don't forget military service...

> I can't claim
> that I'm special in that regard though. Len does
> similar things to
> anyone who disagrees with him.

Also anyone who points out a mistake he makes or
proves him wrong about anything.

Here's another fun quote from Len, made two years ago today:

Len wrote:

"Shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel. Learn to READ English.

As of 1 PM EST on the 27th of October the ARRL website news page had
NOTHING about the California wildfires. NOTHING.

Go "munch" someone else's shoes, preferrably some CROW as dessert."

- Leonard H. Anderson

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/64514cd48c14fbb1

Then there's his classic "sphincters post", but you get the general
idea.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4470. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:13:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130537588.809661.232590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122196

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 27 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

	ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total	3174	1192
Indeterminate (note 1)	199	86
Value for Percentages	2975	1106
Against NPRM (note 2)	938 [31.36%]	379 [34.27%]
For NPRM (note 3)	1533 [51.53%]	497 [44.94%]
Test Extra Only (note 4)	504 [16.94%]	230 [20.80%]

Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 5 PM EDT 28 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, two foreign resident
submissions, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4471. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:16:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Uqx8f.3124$yX2.258@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122197

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>RST Engineering wrote:
>>
>>>Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.
>>>
>>>Jim
>
>
> OK, from one Jim to another:
>
> Novice 1967 (age 13)
> Technician 1968
> Advanced 1968
> Extra 1970 (because of mandatory 2 year wait)
>
> 2nd Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1972
>
> BSEE 1976 University of Pennsylvania
> MSEE 1992 Drexel University
>
> Coinventer US patent #5,358,202
>
> I could go on...
>
>>>>Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len
>>>>Anderson is a
>>>>sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.
>
>
>>That's pretty impressive, Jim. You've been involved in quite a > number of
>>things in and out of amateur radio. Even if I was
>>an "Internationally
>>acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas", I don't > think I
>>could bring myself to so describe myself.
>>
>>Aside from your not including attributions, not signing your
>>post and
>>the top posting, you even manage to present some of your ideas > here in a
>> reasonable manner.
>>
>>My own credentials have been "on the table" for nearly a decade > here in
>>r.r.a.p. One of those taking a knife to them on a regular

>>basis is
>>Leonard H. Anderson. He has routinely insulted my employment,
>>experience in radio (amateur, commercial and government), my
>>authorship
>>of amateur radio articles and even my German surname.
>
>
> Don't forget military service...

That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did there. He keeps alluding to MARS duty and I did spend time operating a MARS circuit from Tan Son Nhut, but only in an off duty capacity.

>
>>I can't claim
>>that I'm special in that regard though. Len does
>>similar things to
>>anyone who disagrees with him.
>
>
> Also anyone who points out a mistake he makes or
> proves him wrong about anything.

...or, come to think of it, anyone who even questions his methods or motivation.

> Here's another fun quote from Len, made two years ago today:
>
> Len wrote:
>
> "Shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel. Learn to READ English.

Ah yes. That was directed at me, wasn't it? I was never in the Marine Corps.

> As of 1 PM EST on the 27th of October the ARRL website news page had
> NOTHING about the California wildfires. NOTHING.
>
> Go "munch" someone else's shoes, preferrably some CROW as dessert."
>
> - Leonard H. Anderson
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/64514cd48c14fbb1
>
> Then there's his classic "sphincters post", but you get the general
> idea.

Len's description of what it was like to be under artillery fire--even though he was never actually under artillery fire.

That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough

to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.

Dave K8MN

4472. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:17:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130537850.703472.244100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122198

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 28 Oct 2005 03:07

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 27 Oct 2005 20:14:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 27 Oct 2005 16:33:17 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> Iitoi wrote:

>> >> >Since someone else is also performing the tally, would you care to
>> >> >compare/contrast the tallies?
>> >>
>> >> obviously not he seem to prefer carping at Len
>> >
>> >Yep. He has no real interest in the issue since he's made up his mind
>> >that the FCC is against his precious Morse Code exam. His only purpose
>> >now is to try to discredit his primary antagonist before he departs
>> >RRAP for Coslo's exclusive BBS.
>>
>> amusing and sad how he and other go on Len's lack of license but are
>> still vitaly concerned about what he may say
>
>Yep. They protest too loudly, drawing the attention to Len's words
>which might otherwise not attract so much attention.

...in one way that's good...in another, bad... :-)

>Have you seen the new thread where James points out Len being
>uncomfortable with children participating in what Len believes to be a
>primarily adult activity?
>
>Hi!

Tsk, tsk, tsk...I think Jimmie-James is all for children VOTING
in elections! Or perhaps, it is okay for children having state
drivers' licenses...maybe it being okay for them to go into
liquor stores to buy alcohol without age limit...or serving in
armed forces of the United States (like Jimmie Noserve)...get
married...piloting aircraft with legal license to do so in his
age-limit-less world?

James P. Miccolis has NOT answered a repeated question: How
many children has HE parented? He won't say, doesn't answer.

That's NOT the real subject of Jimmie-James' efforts. He is still
pissed at being confronted with opposite opinions in here by those

who will not accept HIS opinions. He MUST re-argue and re-argue and re-argue old, Old, OLD issues from years back. Maybe he hopes to "win" an old argument that way? I don't think so. If the Muppets need a new character, I'd nominate him as "Miss Pissy." :-)

Meanwhile, Jimmie-James keeps up his "Philly-tuff" personna asking me if I'm "afraid" of anothers' tally on WT Docket 05-235. :-)

NPRM 05-143 is *THE* issue for U.S. amateur radio in this new millennium. This is the end of the 15th week of filings in WT Docket 05-235 and the total is (to 27th October) 3,174 total. That's roughly 209 filings a week average, about four times more than on 98-143 ("Restructuring") in its 11 month open comment period.

Brian, you've posted (I have a copy along with others from rrap who've filed on WT Docket 05-235) and so have others. But, for all his macho morsemanship, neither James P. Miccolis nor the mighty morsemen regulars in here have NOT filed!

Sunnuvagun!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4473. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:20:44 UTC
From: Max Musterman <anon@mxmusteranonex.org>
Message-ID: <dju867$ol4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122199

SCORE
****

STAGGER LEE 3

Roger ZERO

ROTFLMAO!

4474. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:47:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8ia5m1ph8g22jaqf8o9copj9obudip4cah@4ax.com>
Source record: 122300

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 17:12:32 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 13:39:30 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
cut

>>>>>No, Mark, not in my opinion. The vast majority of Len Anderson's posts
>>>>>are simply anti-morse use/testing, anti-amateur radio, anti-ARRL. If
>>>>>you want opinion, my opinion is that Len is horse's patoo
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>yes it is your opinion
>>>
>>>Which one is my opinion, Mark? I've stated one as fact. A fact is
>>>something which can be verified as true.
>>
>>
>> then by stating your opinion as fact you have lied and
>>
>> BBBUUUUUZZZZ
>
>That is incorrect, Mark. I have not stated my opinion as fact.
"I've stated one as fact." no other way of parsing that sentence

so BUZZZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4475. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:48:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nka5m19eukma09n1q498861ackkfsdj1oq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Oct 2005 12:45:31 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2005 05:46:28 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 27 Oct 2005 06:02:40 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> > > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> cut
>
>Run away, Marky.

first lie soo BUZZZ
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4476. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:48:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kla5m1h4eeeav70he089mmf457a5np7d3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 122302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 28 Oct 2005 13:00:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:11:12 -0500, "DrDeath"
>> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>> >
>> >>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>> >
>> >>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >>> >> >too.
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> >>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>> >>> >
>> >>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>> >>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>> >>>
>> >>> another lie Stevie
>> >>
>> >> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
>> >> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
>> >>
>> >
>> >That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
>> >cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.
>>
>> it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it
>
>Speaking from first hand experience, Marky?

nope and you are proving you can't read

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4477. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:49:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ina5m111b91kpmbj0vlfu62jgss48itdu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 122303

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 18:51:37 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>>
>>
>> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>> 151 12TH AVENUE NW
>> WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>>
>> Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
>> Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
>> License Number: 49513
>> Status: Active
>> Multistate
>> Original Date: 11/01/1994
>> Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
>>
>>
>> that is you right
>> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
>> to report you
>>
>> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
>> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
>> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
>
buzz cut for being off topic
for off topic

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4478. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:50:59 UTC
From: hexter@blazenet.net
Message-ID: <11m5aqjf77uufed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122304
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1130522688.763854.113650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
>
>What is Ham Radio?

Do you have some point to prove here you ignorant bandwidth waster?
What kind of asswipe constantly posts the same dribble when in fact
newsgroup articles are archived?

What is Polymath?

He is a self important asshole who's self assumed duty is to educate
folks about that which he knows nothing but only quotes things he has
heard or read. No actual brain activity belongs to PolyDouche.

Why do you constantly keep this up?? You prove you are to newsgroups
the very thing you hate most about others.

Why waste the space on this newsgroup, and why waste the bandwidth???
If you want something to play with stick your hands in your pocket and
play. You are the lowest form of life that exists.

You Polymath are truely worthless, no one here learns from your constant
post of the same dribble and in fact no self respectable ham would act
in the way you do, proving you are among the most childish of the very
CB'ers you seem to hate.

Suck me, blow us, or just blow away!! Fuck your useless posts!!!
Go talk politics with the WalMartians at your local WalMart.

Do you have nothing better to do than post the same worthless note?
Are you that lonely and disfunctional? You don't even rate as high
on the evolutionary scale as the idiots of 3898khz.

You have made a name for yourself indeed Polymath the biggest asshole
known to any newsgroup.

Tell us of anything electronic or ham related that you ever built. Give
us something of value. You can't and you know it and it pisses you off
to look at such a worthless asshole in the mirror each and every morning
and the worst part is tomorrow isn't looking any better.

Take your worthless post, print ten thousand copies and shove one up
your ass each morning while you contemplate your own suicide. If you
lack the methods and means to such an end I'll be the first in line to
by you a complete suicide do-it-yourself kit.

Opinions expressed here are my own but reflected by the entire newgroup
and anyone else with 2 or more functioning brain cells.

Piss off pal and take your idiotic plagerised post with you.

4479. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:55:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130540113.060445.215550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122305

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> You are one of the stupidest, most annoying, obnoxious with your
> whining, ridiculous,
> close-minded, pathetic, dumber-than-dumb, seriously disturbed, mentally
> impaired, dead-headed,bald, morbidly obese pig-fucking, pea-brained
> idiots ever to be
> considered to be part of the human race. You'd be better off dead, but
> you're too fucking stupid to successfully commit suicide and you'd
> probably screw THAT up!

nice to see you are an equal oppertunity bag of bile

4480. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:57:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130540230.252672.131930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > On 26 Oct 2005 17:19:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
> > > > > >> not discussing issues
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "newsgroup's"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Scavaging for frequencies is not "issues".
> > > > >
> > > > > Scavaging? gee sound like you issues to me
> > > >
> > > > Hearing those voices again, retard?
> > > no
> > >
> > Liar. Maybe you should be locked back up, Marky.

not possible or likely for that matter
>
> > using litery license
> >
> You have to be literate first, dumbass.

wrong again
>
> > >
> > > > the guy has been looking for proof of ARS emergncy traffic and has had
> > > > trouble finding it
> > > >
> > > He would from your station, Marky.
> > >
> > so?
> >
> Cry and whine, Marky.
>
> > your point Mr Slit
> >
> Went way over your denseness. As usual.

you mean you had no point of course
>
> > >
> > > > some thing I would expect him to have as most of the real stuff is
> > > > pretty local and unsung
> > >
> > > As if you'd know, loser.
> >
> > of course I would know, and I would that Real Hams don't need the
> > praise unlike bostful ex RRAPer Dan
>
> They don't need your stupidity, either.

projecting again

4481. Re: Steveo Suffering Same Comprehension Problems As Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:58:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130540304.443356.68530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > >
> > > > You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in
> > > > front of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump
> > > > his leg too?
> > >
> > > Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
> > > can't control her gag reflex like she used to.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > So let me get this straight. You want to bang my mom, sister, and dad?
>
> I see you have the same comprehension problems as Markie does. At
> least you can spell well enough to not have to muddle through your
> replies.
>
> > What the #### is wrong with you, STEVEN J ROBESON K4YZ ?
>
> Getting profane, self-righteous "get a room" comments from punks

why are you only and Dan allowed to be profane (or do you share that
with the MMM)
BUZZ hypocrite alarm

4482. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 22:59:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130540385.536162.157050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122308

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
cut
> > >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
> >
> > think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
> > they will in fact leave
>
> Is there any reason not to take them at their word?

General principal

4483. Re: Docket Scorecard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 23:02:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130540546.650726.161750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122309

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 25 Oct 2005 10:03:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 21, 4:25 pm
> > >> >Leo wrote:
> > >> >> On 20 Oct 2005 09:40:10 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> >Leo wrote:
> > >> >> >> On 15 Oct 2005 14:02:03 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> >> >From: Leo on Oct 15, 9:36 am
> > >> >> >> >>On 14 Oct 2005 15:02:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> >> >>>Leo wrote:
> > >> >> >> >>>> On 14 Oct 2005 12:39:50 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> >> >>>> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 14, 9:20 am
> > >> >> >> >>>> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >> >> >> >>>> >>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >> >> >> >>>> >>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> >> >> In the '60s, morse code was a mandatory requirement for an amateur
> > >> >> >> >> license (up here anyway) - and at a difficult 13 words per
> > >> >> >> >> minute, not our easy 5.
> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> >> >It was a requirement for all US ham licenses until 1991, when
> > >> >> >> >the Technician lost its code test requirement.
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> That code test is STILL an absolute pass-fail separate test
> > >> >> >> for any amateur radio privileges below 30 MHz.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >Not in every country of the world.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >But in the USA, it still is. And that's a good thing IMHO. Of course
> > >> >> >that requirement might change in the future, as it has changed in a few
> > >> >> >other countries pf the world.
> > >> >
> > >> > might change?
> > >>
> > >> Yes.
> > >>
> > >> > you still holding hope it will not change
> > >>
> > >> Is that not allowed?
> >
> > no such implication was made
> >

> > the only question is the date
>
> It's not over till it's over.

thankfully that is bull
>
> > >> The Technician DID NOT LOSE its code test requirement.
> > >
> > >Actually, Len, it did. February 14, 1991.
> > >
> > >> The former Technician class got RENAMED to "Technician Plus." :-)
> > >
> > >The Technician Plus did not appear right away. Only after some hams
> > >complained that there was no obvious difference in the license class
> > >of code-tested vs. noncodetested Techs was that class created. Late
> > >1992 or so.
> > >
> > >Just a simple mistake on your part. Understandable ;-)
> > >
> > >> >Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
> > >> >and the training methods.
> > >>
> > >> Riiiiiiight...
> > >
> > >Glad you agree!
> > >
> > >> ALL human beings have the SAME aptitudes and
> > >> abilities!
> > >
> > >Who said that?
> > >
> > >I wrote:
> > >
> > >"Whether 13 wpm is "difficult" or not depends on the person
> > >and the training methods."
> > >
> > >Is that not a fact?
> >
> > not it is not
> >
> > it can be flat out impossible
>
> You're right, Mark. It can be impossible
> for some people.

meaning your statement was not a fact
>
> For example, someone who doesn't know an alphabet probably
> can't learn Morse Code for that alphabet. Someone who is in
> a coma probably can't learn it either. Etc.
>

> But I find it hard to accept that people who can read, write,
> speak and understand the English language with a good deal of
> fluency at rates in excess of 100 wpm find claim it to be
> 'impossible' for them to learn Morse Code at 5 wpm. Or even 13
> or 20 wpm.

who are you talikgn about there? No one I have ever heard of
>
> > cut
> >
> > >Sure - but cb operations in the USA are not permitted beyond a certain
> > >limited distance (150 miles?) and that service only allows the use of
> > >very low power, certified equipment, two modes, and 40 channels (80 if
> > >you consider upper and lower sideband as separate).
> >
> > not legaly premitted
> > but it can and is done at greater ranges with out even the intetion of
> > thse involved at times
> > >cut
>
> Sure. But the point is that cb is not a long-distance radio service.

not my point
>
> Should amateur radio be patterned after cb?

never said that

4484. FYI CB radio in the aftermeth of Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 23:06:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ilb5m1lr4ppt475ijqgg4un94bde8snibc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122310

ham might want to take note of a thread over in rec.radio.cb called
"CB saves the day during Wilma"

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4485. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 23:25:06 UTC
From: From the shack of G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <djuc0i$k4r$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 122311
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Gudmundur" <hexter@blazenet.net> wrote in message
news:11m5aqjf77uufed@corp.supernews.com...

please don't cross post this is what happened our regular cross poster on
uk.radio.amateur

http://tinyurl.com/5mcwk

It gets worse:

http://tinyurl.com/cdudd

--
drei unt siebzig deG1LVN
http://www.outpimp.com/?x=481566961
---
read rfc1855.txt first and
remove .invalid to reply

4486. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-28 23:51:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EPy8f.3184$yX2.1212@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122312

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 18:51:37 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>>>
>>>
>>>ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>>>151 12TH AVENUE NW
>>>WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>>>
>>>Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
>>>Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
>>> License Number: 49513
>>>Status: Active
>>>Multistate
>>>Original Date: 11/01/1994
>>>Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
>>>
>>>
>>> that is you right
>>>would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
>>>to report you
>>>
>>>for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
>>>revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
>>>like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
>>
> buzz cut for being off topic
> for off topic

reinserted for being *precisely on topic*, Colonel. Buzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.

You see, Mark, you aren't really "cuting" or cutting anything. The
words are still there, just like your more bizarre posts to other groups
are still there, archived.

>>In identifying yourself, be sure to provide the following links,
'cuz, >>about the time that you do what you say you're going to do, I'll
bet >>that Steve gladly provides them to the nursing board along with
some >>of your more recent posts:

>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.personals.bondage.gay/browse_thread/thread/efaf58d709b5e4c3/6dbde7b40227da26?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=2&hl=en#6dbde7b40227da26

>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.pagan.contacts/browse_thread/thread/7b8f22d5436ccb2/b32dbc4f1c2eeb77?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=39&hl=en#b32dbc4f1c2eeb77

>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.personals.bondage/browse_thread/thread/b1ccd8f0af20f45e/3774c58248632b47?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=79&hl=en#3774c58248632b47

>>http://groups.google.com/group/alt.spanking.reality/browse_thread/thread/f37b8cf2d59c7f81/c48debd47d1d2d7b?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=111&hl=en#c48debd47d1d2d7b

>>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.org.sca/browse_thread/thread/b2f5f6404aacbc3a/8f312f289f4f34ce?lnk=st&q=author:konstans@geocities.com&rnum=130&hl=en#8f312f289f4f34ce

>>The nursing board will want to know just who and what they are
dealing >>with. I'll bet I can even come up with a dyslexia diagnosis
done by an >>allergist in an effort to dodge a code test for entry into
HF amateur >>radio. There's all sorts of good stuff under
konstans@geocities.com >>and konstans@oneeleven.net.

Those are some interesting newsgroups you visit, Mark.

Dave K8MN

"As point of fact it is not inconsistant unless you suppose
that I may ONLY use words like 'draft' or 'dischrage' as the armed
forces do. which is something I chide Steve for all the time"

"Colonel" Mark Morgan, 2000

4487. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 01:39:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130549952.683029.320010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122313

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>> > Don't forget military service...
>
> That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
> service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
> there.

IIRC you mentioned it here a few times.

> He keeps alluding to MARS duty and I did spend time operating a
> MARS circuit from Tan Son Nhut, but only in an off duty capacity.

Then there's Len's commentary on the military service of a
Cost Guard radio operator who posted here.....

> >>I can't claim
> >>that I'm special in that regard though. Len does
> >>similar things to
> >>anyone who disagrees with him.

> > Also anyone who points out a mistake he makes or
> > proves him wrong about anything.
>
> ...or, come to think of it, anyone who even questions his methods or
> motivation.

That's self-evident from his reaction to my questions.

> > Here's another fun quote from Len, made two years ago today:
> >
> > Len wrote:
> >
> > "Shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel. Learn to READ English.
>
> Ah yes. That was directed at me, wasn't it?

Yes, it was.

> I was never in the Marine Corps.

Neither was Len, but he uses phrases like "murine" and "dill sergeant"
to
make fun of a certain Marine...
>
> > As of 1 PM EST on the 27th of October the ARRL website news page had

> > NOTHING about the California wildfires. NOTHING.
> >
> > Go "munch" someone else's shoes, preferrably some CROW as dessert."
> >
> > - Leonard H. Anderson
> >
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/64514cd48c14fbb1
> >
> > Then there's his classic "sphincters post", but you get the general
> > idea.
>
> Len's description of what it was like to be under artillery fire--even
> though he was never actually under artillery fire.

Never having experienced something hasn't prevented Len from expressing
opinions, comments and criticism about it.

> That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
> of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
> to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
> is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
> after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.

Perhaps a few more quotes from Len would be helpful...

73 de Jim, N2EY

4488. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 01:49:44 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11m5la280n0rtdb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122314

>> Novice 1967 (age 13)

Same age.

>>
>> 2nd Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1972

1st Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1964 (age 17), Radar Endorsement

>>
>> Coinventer US patent #5,358,202

I quit the company and the boss got the patent designations from my notebooks, but I'm not going to press the issue.

However, designated responsible engineer for FCC type acceptance/certification on seven commercial two-way transceivers; two for studio-transmitter links and five for aircraft transceivers. Currently on the "approved" list for type acceptance/certification for FCC Laurel Laboratories.

>>>That's pretty impressive, Jim. You've been involved in quite a > number
>>>of
>>>things in and out of amateur radio. Even if I was
>>>an "Internationally
>>>acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas", I don't > think I
>>>could bring myself to so describe myself.

Well, if you had sold ten thousand (actual count may vary a few percent) hidden antenna original design products everywhere from Latvia to Louisiana, you may describe yourself that way.

>>>
>>>Aside from your not including attributions,

What do you mean by attributions? I'll give proof of anything I've said.

not signing your
>>>post

I thought I signed it Jim. If you want a full formal signing, it is Jim Weir, WX6RST. Most people know who I am; I don't hide behind a pseudonym.

and
>>>the top posting,

In the vernacular, go screw yourself. I'll top post, interleave post, or bottom post, whichever I think will get the point across better. "A foolish consistency is the hobgoblin of small minds." Or, in the Latin, "Pusca,

puscalorum."

you even manage to present some of your ideas > here in a
>>> reasonable manner.

It is the engineer showing through the politician.

>
> That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
> service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
> there. He keeps alluding to MARS duty and I did spend time operating a
> MARS circuit from Tan Son Nhut, but only in an off duty capacity.

I have only the highest regard for anybody who wore the uniform. It was not my privilege to do military duty as my parents neatly sandwiched me in between Korea and Vietnam. Sure, I did MARS duty for twenty years, and sure, I wear the local sheriff's Search and Rescue volunteer uniform, but it pales in weak comparison to actual military duty. I bow my head in gratitude.

>> Here's another fun quote from Len, made two years ago today:
>>
>> Then there's his classic "sphincters post", but you get the general
>> idea.

$#!+, we all get into the bottle from time to time and post stuff that we regret in the morning, but what the hell. Two quotes from two years? Give the guy a break. I'd hate (although my political opponents have done it mercilessly) to be quoted two years after the fact and have to defend myself at that time.

>
> That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number of
> things in amateur radio.

Who is "he's". Me? Len? You? Steve? ???? And don't worry about RST. It has been around since 1973 and will probably be around long after you and I are SK. Don't worry about it. I have the cojones to put my reputation and my company's reputation on the line when I see something that just cries for comment.

Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
> to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len is
> something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around after
> the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.

If you are talking about me, I've been on the usenet and this ng since 1995. I don't claim to defend Len, but again, most of what he says makes perfect sense. It is YOU TWO that I'm worried about.

Jim

4489. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 01:55:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130550932.751726.195680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122315

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I think Jimmie-James is all for children
> VOTING in elections!

I don't know who "Jimmie-James" is supposed to be, Len. But I'm
not "all for children VOTING in elections". I'm just opposed
to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.

> Or perhaps, it is okay for children having state
> drivers' licenses...

I don't think it's okay for children to have driver's licenses. I'm
just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.

> maybe it being okay for them to go into
> liquor stores to buy alcohol without age limit...

I don't think it's okay for children to buy alcohol. I'm just opposed
to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.

> or serving in armed forces of the United States

I don't think it's okay for children to serve in the military. I'm just
opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.

> ...get married...piloting aircraft with legal license to do > so in his age-limit-less
world?

I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
license.
>
> James P. Miccolis has NOT answered a repeated question: How
> many children has HE parented? He won't say, doesn't answer.

Why should I answer that question, Len? You refuse to answer on-topic
questions, so why should anyone answer your off-topic ones?

> That's NOT the real subject of Jimmie-James' efforts.

I don't know who "Jimmie-James" is supposed to be, Len.

> He is still pissed at being confronted with opposite
> opinions in here by those who will not accept HIS opinions.

You're describing yourself, Len.

> He MUST re-argue and re-argue
> and re-argue old, Old, OLD issues from years back.

I know somebody who keeps telling old, Old, OLD stories of his service
at a radio station in Japan years back.
>
> asking me if I'm "afraid" of anothers' tally on
> WT Docket 05-235. :-)

Looks like you are afraid, Len.

> NPRM 05-143 is *THE* issue for U.S. amateur radio in this new
> millennium. This is the end of the 15th week of filings in > WT
> Docket 05-235 and the total is (to 27th October) 3,174 total.
> That's roughly 209 filings a week average, about four times
> more than on 98-143 ("Restructuring") in its 11 month open
> comment period.

So?

> Brian, you've posted (I have a copy along with others from
> rrap
> who've filed on WT Docket 05-235) and so have others. But,
> for all his macho morsemanship, neither James P. Miccolis nor
> the mighty morsemen regulars in here have NOT filed!

So? What's your point, Len?

I'll "file" when I want to.

4490. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 01:59:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <vhl5m1lm6ndpgdmg8ik6s9e2mee39eon1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 122316

On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 23:51:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 18:51:37 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>>>>151 12TH AVENUE NW
>>>>WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>>>>
>>>>Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
>>>>Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
>>>> License Number: 49513
>>>>Status: Active
>>>>Multistate
>>>>Original Date: 11/01/1994
>>>>Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> that is you right
>>>>would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
>>>>to report you
>>>>
>>>>for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
>>>>revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
>>>>like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
>>>
>>> buzz cut for being off topic
>> for off topic
>
Buzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.
you were lying one you continue to lie

the sukect is making sure Stevie is Stevie

you can lie as many times as you like does not make it true

Stevie has stated that if he lied he would lose his license

Stevie has lied
with thing post likes Mark Morgan has AIDS Mark Morgan caught on tape... those are lies they are also hate speech Indeed if the laws were being enforce I feel he would be in jail butlaw enforcement rarely is that interested in doing thier jobs

Stevie threaten me Todd Brain he has called or implied that I and Len are pedophile he falsely accuses Todd of homosexuality these are acts of Moral turitude IMO we shall see if the state of TENNesse agrees I just wan to make that Stevie is steve not maybe his kid posting with dadies PC or something like that

anything else is OFF the suject and I will not respend to it

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4491. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 02:02:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <iul5m11fjupp1oifgoev755b0qsqlq331u@4ax.com>
Source record: 122317

On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I think Jimmie-James is all for children
>> VOTING in elections!
>
>I don't know who "Jimmie-James" is supposed to be, Len. But I'm
>not "all for children VOTING in elections". I'm just opposed
>to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.

Jim in answering the post I am afraid you just lied above
>
>> Or perhaps, it is okay for children having state
>> drivers' licenses...
>
>I don't think it's okay for children to have driver's licenses. I'm
>just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.

and Len supports the notion at this point I neither support nor oppose
such a notion, but you have been beating this dead equine for years
cuting reps

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4492. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 02:35:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130553345.096878.83960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122318

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I think Jimmie-James is all for children
> >> VOTING in elections!
> >
> >I don't know who "Jimmie-James" is supposed to be, Len. But I'm
> >not "all for children VOTING in elections". I'm just opposed
> >to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.
>
> Jim in answering the post I am afraid you just lied above

Where?

Len uses multiple names in his posts. I'm not sure who he means by
"Jimmie-James". Is it me, or Jim Weir (who posts as "RSTEngineering")
or somebody else?

If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?

And it's a fact that I'm not "all for children VOTING in elections".
I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
license.

> >> Or perhaps, it is okay for children having state
> >> drivers' licenses...
> >
> >I don't think it's okay for children to have driver's
> >licenses. I'm
> >just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio > >license.
>
> and Len supports the notion at this point

Why?

Can he or anyone else supply *ANY* evidence that the lack of
an age requirement has had *any* negative effects on the amateur
radio service?

We've had licensed amateur radio in the USA for 93 years now. In all
that time there has *never* been an age requirement. So if
the lack of an age requirement is a problem, there should be
plenty of evidence by now. Yet Len provides no evidence, but
wants an age requirement of 14 years for anyone to have a US amateur
license.

If you look at FCC enforcement letters, the age of the worst offenders is much closer to Len's age than to 14 years....

> I neither support nor oppose such a notion,

Why don't you oppose it? It's a completely unnecessary requirement for a license. No evidence has been presented to support it.

4493. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 04:27:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130560034.480523.179060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122321

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1130490062.547661.155760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Len Anderson wrote the following quote:
>
> > > "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
> > > in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
> > > activity."
> > >
> > > - Len Anderson
> > >
> > > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=s
ource&hl=en
> > >
> >
> > The integration of youngsters into adult activities is one of the beauties
> > of ham radio.
>
> Agreed!
>
> > This is one of the few opportunities that they have to learn
> > by direct participation on an equal footing with adults.
>
> And it has always been so, at least in the USA.
>
> > This opportunity
> > has been increasingly rare in modern times. The young normally tend to be
> > isolated to non-adult activities and the adults are there simply as
> > supervisors, trainers, or "taxis."
>
> > It is truly a wonderful thing to see the youngsters, oldsters, and those
> > in-between working together on projects.
>
> It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham
> bands,
> working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion, etc.,
> without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the
> "data modes" are better for this than voice.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Obviously you've never heard of the Boy Scouts of America nor the Merit Badge program.

Best of luck catching up to the world as it presently exists.

4494. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 04:31:12 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130560272.304565.325300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122322
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You would seem to be one of the Childish Broadcasters (CBers)
warned against in the article.

Shame on you.

Stupid boy.

Gudmundur wrote:
> In article <1130522688.763854.113650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
> >
> >What is Ham Radio?
>
>
> Do you have some point to prove here you ignorant bandwidth waster?
> What kind of asswipe constantly posts the same dribble when in fact
> newsgroup articles are archived?
>
> What is Polymath?
>
> He is a self important asshole who's self assumed duty is to educate
> folks about that which he knows nothing but only quotes things he has
> heard or read. No actual brain activity belongs to PolyDouche.
>
> Why do you constantly keep this up?? You prove you are to newsgroups
> the very thing you hate most about others.
>
> Why waste the space on this newsgroup, and why waste the bandwidth???
> If you want something to play with stick your hands in your pocket and
> play. You are the lowest form of life that exists.
>
> You Polymath are truely worthless, no one here learns from your constant
> post of the same dribble and in fact no self respectable ham would act
> in the way you do, proving you are among the most childish of the very
> CB'ers you seem to hate.
>
> Suck me, blow us, or just blow away!! Fuck your useless posts!!!
> Go talk politics with the WalMartians at your local WalMart.
>
> Do you have nothing better to do than post the same worthless note?
> Are you that lonely and disfunctional? You don't even rate as high
> on the evolutionary scale as the idiots of 3898khz.
>
> You have made a name for yourself indeed Polymath the biggest asshole

> known to any newsgroup.
>
> Tell us of anything electronic or ham related that you ever built. Give
> us something of value. You can't and you know it and it pisses you off
> to look at such a worthless asshole in the mirror each and every morning
> and the worst part is tomorrow isn't looking any better.
>
> Take your worthless post, print ten thousand copies and shove one up
> your ass each morning while you contemplate your own suicide. If you
> lack the methods and means to such an end I'll be the first in line to
> by you a complete suicide do-it-yourself kit.
>
> Opinions expressed here are my own but reflected by the entire newgroup
> and anyone else with 2 or more functioning brain cells.
>
> Piss off pal and take your idiotic plagerised post with you.

4495. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 04:33:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130560427.207844.267120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122323

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 18:51:37 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> >>
> >>
> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> >> 151 12TH AVENUE NW
> >> WINCHESTER, TN 37398
> >>
> >> Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
> >> Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
> >> License Number: 49513
> >> Status: Active
> >> Multistate
> >> Original Date: 11/01/1994
> >> Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
> >>
> >>
> >> that is you right
> >> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
> >> to report you
> >>
> >> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> >> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> >> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
> >>
> > buzz cut for being off topic
> > for off topic

Be sure to tell them that David Heil works Frenchmen out of band and
lives in a tar paper shack is WVA.

4496. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 04:44:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130561068.580875.269030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122324

From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> >> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>It's about *your* 'scorecard', Len.

Yes, it is. Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
tabulation of them? YOU did NOT.

>Do those 'filings' include only Comments, or other things like Reply
>Comments?

ALL the filings, Jimmie-James. ALL of them. Even those filings
which aren't about amateur radio! :-)

>But it's not about me, Len. I'm not posting a 'scorecard' and making
>any claims. You are.

Tsk, tsk...Jimmie-James, you ARE making "claims" of "inaccuracy"
and implications of badness. :-)

But, you've NOT made one single filing on WT Docket 05-235 as
of 5 PM EDT on 28 October 2005. In fact, the LAST time you
filed ANYTHING with the FCC ECFS was over 12 months ago on a
Petition. Tsk, tsk.

>It's not about me, Len. I'm not posting a 'scorecard' and making
>any claims. You are.

Tsk, tsk...I'm NOT "making claims." I'm doing READING and
counting and tabulating what I find. If you dislike the
results, DON'T READ THE "SCORE CARD." [simple solution]

That seems to unduly upset you. Tsk. If it bothers you so
much, just stop reading this thread! [easy solution to your
apparent problem]

>Why is that so important to you?

Why is YOUR HECKLING "so important" to YOU? :-)

You aren't even discussing the opinions in all those 3,199
filings. All you do is try to trash-mouth those who've
bothered to look at ALL the filings. Tsk, tsk.

>I read those notes. They are not comprehensive. They do not
>answer several questions I have raised.

Why is that "so important to you?"

YOU are NOT in the FCC. YOU are NOT on the ARRL BoD.

>> Since this is a private compilation, I do my own "checking"
>> prior to each posting. Those can be verified by ANYONE who
>> bothers to READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.
>
>In other words, nobody checks your work.

No, dearie, that is NOT "in other words." All you are doing
is simple-minded heckling of ME...which seems "so important
to you." :-)

>It's a very simple question.

...from a VERY unsatisfied simple person... :-)

>You've filed at least 5 different comments
>and reply comments, all of which are in support of the NPRM.

Yes, and...? Are you FORBIDDING my communications with my
own government?!?

>Do they show up as a count of 1 or 5 on the tally of "for" filings?

Tsk, tsk...you understand very poorly. I've already said I
count ALL the filings.

>Why does what I have posted matter at all?

Tsk. You talk MUCH in HERE about this NPRM yet you have
said NOTHING to the FCC on NPRM 05-143.

It would seem you are all about gabbling and heckling and
don't have the guts to make yourself heard to the FCC.

>You're ducking some very basic questions.

NOT "ducking" anything, Jimmie-James.

You HECKLE a lot, asking inane questions to which the answers
were already posted with the first of my "score cards."

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you are acting like a whiny, petulant little
child with all those "I'm just asking questions" nonsense

comments.

>Seems to me you'd
>be proud to show how your totals came about, but instead
>you attack the messenger.

Tsk. I "attack the messenger?!?" :-)

What do you call YOUR remarks in HERE, then, Jimmie-James?
Some kind of self-appointed morals-ethics "policeman" when
all you are is a petulant, whiny little heckler.

As you've pointed out (more than once), the "score card" is
MINE, isn't it? :-) If so, then I make up the rules,
don't I? :-) You don't like the results? Don't read the
"score card."

The results of the NPRM and its final Report and Order will
NOT AFFECT YOU, will it? You have your beloved badge, title,
rank, attendant privileges, and a neat certificate (suitable
for framing). Nobody can take that away from you. The results
of the final R&O will NOT affect you insofar as amateur radio
operating, will it?

>> Your name is James P. Miccolis.
>
>That's one way to write it.

You have OTHER ways to write your legal name? :-)

>But for some reason you have extreme difficulty calling people by their
>names.

I wrote "your name is James P. Miccolis." No problem to
me. It was easy to write. :-) NOT "extreme difficulty."

>Y'know, Len, you seem to miss the point on a lot of things.

"Miss the point?" I've never been to West Point. Tell us
about it, your cadet days before you were actively "serving
your country."

I've been to Point Loma, Point Reyes, all without "missing" my
way there.

I have an LED pointer. I haven't missed with that one for a
long while.

>> >A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required
>> >to comment.
>
>> Did you fail high school Civics class?
>
>No - I got all A's.

You "claim." :-)

>FCC accepts comments from noncitizens too. They don't *have* to do
>that, but they do it anyway.

So...you have it on "good authority" that the FCC actually
CONSIDERS those comments in deciding on a final R&O?

Tell us more, Mr. Insider. You ARE with the FCC, aren't you?

>If FCC is willing to accept comments from foreigners, why don't you
>list them as part of the total?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie-James, they ARE listed. Have been since the
first of them showed up.

>Gee, Len, you exhibit very childish behavior here ;-)

Ha. Ha. Ha. I'm not the one asking inane, petulant "questions"
which had ANSWERS already posted on the "score card." YOU ARE.

>Looks like the prevailing opinion is in support of code testing. For
>Extras, at least.

Why is that "so important to you?" It won't affect YOUR amateur
privileges.

The official end of Comments on WT Docket 05-235 is 31 October 2005;
official end of Replies to Comments is 14 November 2005. I am
posting this message on 28 October 2005. Whatever filings are
there, I'm simply READING them ALL, counting them up, tabulating
them and posting the results.

>There's no age limit on an amateur radio license, nor on commentary to
>FCC.

I'm NOT taking any "age limits" in my "score card," Jimmie. :-)

Why do you continue to make whiny little petulant remarks about
things NOT in the "score card?"

>I see no need for a minimum age requirement for licensing in the
>amateur radio service.

NPRM 05-143 is NOT about U.S. amateur radio license "age
requirements." Grow up.

>You have stated here that you have always had problems integrating
>young people into what you consider an adult activity.

Like VOTING if one is below the age limit? I have NO "problem"
with that.

Like getting a driver's license below the state law age
minimum? I have NO "problem" with that.

Like buying alcohol in a store by those below the state law
minimums? I have NO "problem" with that.

Like serving in the armed forces below the age minimum? I have
NO "problem" with that. [you should have NO "problem" with
that since you've never served]

Like getting married before the minimum legal age? I have NO
"problem" with that. [are you married, Jimmie? Had sex yet?]

>Because they're relevant to your attitude towards young people.

Tsk. LOTS and LOTS of ordinary folks are all FOR minimum age
requirements in MANY things, Jimmie. I have NO "problems" with
that. YOU have a big PROBLEM with that, though.

>Yet you don't answer questions on the process. Why?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...I don't "answer" heckling about questions which
have had ANSWERS already posted in the Notes section of my "score
card." :-)

>There are no "CHARGES of inaccuracy" - just some questions on your
>processes.

You have only whiny, petulant, childish HECKLING of others,
Jimmie. Not a nice thing to do.

>And that means your postings are fair game for comment and question by
>others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable. They are not
>immune to question and/or debat.

Freudian slip, Jimmie. The word is "debate." :-)

"De bat" is what you think you swing. But, you ain't got a ball
enough to post your own Comment on WT Docket 05-235. :-)

>It seems to me that you cannot tolerate any disagreement with your
>views.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...it seems you get VERY UPSET when a sizeable
group of hobbyists don't like morse code testing! :-)

Makes you ill-tempered, whiny, petulant, and childish with
your inane heckling! :-)

>Did I say the numbers were wrong?

You implied that several times. :-)

>>Or did I simply ask how they were
>>derived, and pointed out how they *might be* in error?

You not only MIGHT be WRONG on your "assessment" but you ARE. :-)

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...then you should cancel my amateur radio license
>> then, refuse to give me my amateur paycheck? :-)

>
>It's really all about money to you, isn't it?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAAA.... :->

Poor Jimmie? Double-degreed "engineer" and you can't MAKE
ENOUGH to spend over $100 on a rig? :-)

>Guess what, Len - I stay up very late to operate my amateur radio
>station.

Who cares? :-)

It's YOUR body you are abusing...

>Besides, you're such an experienced expert on "computer-modem
>communications" that you should be able to find the tally without
>my help.

I haven't needed it yet, Jimmie. :-)

>Why not just answer the questions I posed, Len?

What "answers" would you LIKE, Jimmie? :-)

>> [it isn't
>> working, Jimmie, get a new knuckle-spanking ruler for the
>> Nun of the Above]
>
>Ah yes, you advocate violence against those who question your
>statements and beliefs.

"Knuckle-spanking" is VIOLENCE?

BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.........

>My name is not "Jimmie", so it can't be me.

Ah, but it IS, Jimmie. :-)

You want "formality?" Should we call you "your majesty?" :-)

>Godwin invoked. You lose, Len.

I haven't "lost" anything, Jimmie-James. :-)

Tell "Godwin" to send me e-mail if he (or you) are so upset...

>And ignoring honest questions about the process.

Ask them "honestly" and I might give you answers...but the
ANSWERS were already in every "score card" before you ever
asked them! :-)

>You haven't been a radio amateur - ever - yet you tell us
>all How It Should Be in amateur radio.

Tsk. Who can "tell" a morseman anything? :-)

>You equate questions with heckling.

Yours ARE. :-)

>Your explanations are incomplete and inadequate.

Tsk, tsk. I don't give you the "answers you want" and "with the
proper respectful attitude."

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH...

>I did. Your explanations are incomplete and inadequate.

Tsk, tsk. I don't give you the "answers you want" and "with the
proper respectful attitude."

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH...

>> James P. Miccolis has NOT filed anything with the ECFS since
>> August of last year. ["put your money where your mouth is"]
>
>Why don't you, Len?

Tsk, tsk...Jimmie-James has already FORGOTTEN about his remark
and my five filings on WT Docket 05-235. James P. Miccolis
has exactly ZERO filings on that Docket. :-)

> >Leads me to believe you're counting reply comments too.
> >And not checking for dupes.

> Speaking of "dupes," why are you trying to DUPE everyone into
> thinking I'm "always in error?" :-)

> Are you so pissed off at certain posters in here you stay up
> until nearly midnight to post nastygrams? :-)

> Go ahead, READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and do
> your own compilations/tabulations. Check it out.

> >> So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK,

> >Nobody can. You haven't shown it.

> Yes I have. It's IN the ECFS in two different Replies to
> Comments. You just haven't seen it yet. :-)

> Want to check my numbers out for totals? Easy to do with the
> FCC ECFS and proper use of the date blocks. ECFS does the
> totals for that period for you. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>I'm simply pointing out that you're not going to get an amateur radio
>license.

How is that "important" to YOU? It sure isn't "important" on
NPRM 05-143 what any Commenter is "going to do." :-)

Had you READ ALL the filings, you would have seen some interesting ones (other than mine, of course) by NON-radio-hobbyists! Try an educational institution for starters...

>If you wanted one, you'd have gotten one years ago.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Why? :-)

Get a ham license to "do a 'service' to my country?" :-) Done the REAL service, Jimmie.

Well, I DID not follow the "accepted formal sequence" by getting an amateur radio license BEFORE I operated all those transmitters at ADA long ago...and messed that up by getting a Commercial radio license after being released from Army service ten years after I turned the magic age of fourteen. :-)

>You don't want one and you're not going to get one.

I don't want your childish, petulant, whiny heckling in here but I will EXPECT to get thousands of them... :-)

>What you really want is something very different.

I want the FCC to make NPRM 05-143 into a Report and Order...without changes to the basic precepts in the NPRM.

So...how long have YOU been taking those post-graduate courses in behavioral psychology, Jimmie-James? Do you plan on becoming a licensed shrink? Or do you just wear shrink-wrap?

BWAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.....

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4497. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 04:47:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130561246.317753.88960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122325

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Brian, you've posted (I have a copy along with others from
> > rrap
> > who've filed on WT Docket 05-235) and so have others. But,
> > for all his macho morsemanship, neither James P. Miccolis nor
> > the mighty morsemen regulars in here have NOT filed!
>
> So? What's your point, Len?
>
> I'll "file" when I want to.

Len, the old saying goes, if you don't "vote" you can't bitch.

Except for these guys. They can bitch up a storm.

4498. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 04:53:47 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130561627.408477.47620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122326
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In a public and international discussion group, it is
ALWAYS open for others to publish their own FAQs.

That they do not and merely resort to the abusive
behaviour proscribed in my FAQ suggests that my FAQ
is correct.

Polymath wrote:
> You would seem to be one of the Childish Broadcasters (CBers)
> warned against in the article.
>
> Shame on you.
>
> Stupid boy.

4499. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 05:02:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130562151.335468.207880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122327

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > Brian is willing to lower his standards to a great extent if he finds
> > > someone who is against morse testing. In this case, you represent the
> > > lowered standards.
> >
> > The entire pro-code, pro-code test faction on RRAP was willing to lower
> > "thier" standards once they realized that Steven J. Robeson,
> > K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS, was willing to do pro-code, pro-code test dirty work
> > as primary belligerent and bully. Too bad for your side of the debate.
>
> Belligerent?
>
> Bully?

Steve Robeson.

> Strange words coming from a guy who's claims "admiration" for a
> person known to be a pathological liar and deceiver. Even more strange
> when one considers your numerous outstanding unresolved claims about
> Amatuer Radio...all of which, to date, have been proven false.

Bully. Belligerent. Steve Robeson.

> Nice try, Brain. Now try again.

Sure, why not?

Bully. Belligerent. Steve Robeson.

4500. Re: Former RRAP'er Callsign Available in February 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 05:06:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130562374.055495.17380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122328

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-scam-and-sleeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > stole_from_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > snot-scam-and-sleeeze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > QUICK!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Scroll down!
> > > > > >
> > > > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
> > > > practice
> > > >
> > > No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
> > > months...
> > >
> > lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
> >
> He did.
>
> Brian P Burke uttering more lies for his offspring to see.

Steven J. Robeson is uttering more lies that his offspring cannot see.

> > > it is still in the quoted matrail
> >
> > It is.
>
> No, It's not.

Robeson lies in whatever material is available to him,

> > > you lie and you do so badly
> >
> > He does.

>
> Nope.
>
> > But he is an Extra at denying it.
>
> Lame try, Brain...But it's about what we expect.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nope. The one universal constant on RRAP are your denials. And now
you lie about it.

4501. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 05:10:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <mpmdnd58QYc6aP_eRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122319
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
>
>
> I don't need to look up any of those items.
> I am in the process of building a ssb radio to match the linear I built last
> year.

Sure you are.

4502. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 05:14:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <Qtadncqrxfwma__eRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122320
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:

> I don't need to look up any of those items.
> I am in the process of building a ssb radio to match the linear I built last
> year. Though I am certain I spend a great deal less time working on those
> types of projects as I have other interests in my life.
>
>

Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here
radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will the
IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law
breaking cber I see.

4503. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 05:22:18 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130563338.614187.198000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122329
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In addition, if you disagree with what I post, and do
not wish to see it, then it is a simple matter for you
to resort to use of your kill-file. Responding in the
rather silly and infantile manner in which you did
makes you seem to contribute in the very manner which
you would otherwise proscribe.

If you have a reasonable - and reasoned - response as
to what you think Ham Radio is, or is not, then publish it
here. That is, indeed, what an international forum such as
this is all about.

You would seem to be one of the Childish Broadcasters (CBers)
warned against in the article.

Shame on you.

Stupid boy.

> Gudmundur wrote:
> > In article <1130522688.763854.113650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
> > >
> > >What is Ham Radio?
> >
> >
> > Do you have some point to prove here you ignorant bandwidth waster?
> > What kind of asswipe constantly posts the same dribble when in fact
> > newsgroup articles are archived?
> >
> > What is Polymath?
> >
> > He is a self important asshole who's self assumed duty is to educate
> > folks about that which he knows nothing but only quotes things he has
> > heard or read. No actual brain activity belongs to PolyDouche.
> >
> > Why do you constantly keep this up?? You prove you are to newsgroups
> > the very thing you hate most about others.
> >
> > Why waste the space on this newsgroup, and why waste the bandwidth???
> > If you want something to play with stick your hands in your pocket and
> > play. You are the lowest form of life that exists.
> >
> > You Polymath are truely worthless, no one here learns from your constant
> > post of the same dribble and in fact no self respectable ham would act

> > in the way you do, proving you are among the most childish of the very
> > CB'ers you seem to hate.
> >
> > Suck me, blow us, or just blow away!! Fuck your useless posts!!!
> > Go talk politics with the WalMartians at your local WalMart.
> >
> > Do you have nothing better to do than post the same worthless note?
> > Are you that lonely and disfunctional? You don't even rate as high
> > on the evolutionary scale as the idiots of 3898khz.
> >
> > You have made a name for yourself indeed Polymath the biggest asshole
> > known to any newsgroup.
> >
> > Tell us of anything electronic or ham related that you ever built. Give
> > us something of value. You can't and you know it and it pisses you off
> > to look at such a worthless asshole in the mirror each and every morning
> > and the worst part is tomorrow isn't looking any better.
> >
> > Take your worthless post, print ten thousand copies and shove one up
> > your ass each morning while you contemplate your own suicide. If you
> > lack the methods and means to such an end I'll be the first in line to
> > by you a complete suicide do-it-yourself kit.
> >
> > Opinions expressed here are my own but reflected by the entire newgroup
> > and anyone else with 2 or more functioning brain cells.
> >
> > Piss off pal and take your idiotic plagerised post with you.

4504. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 05:25:32 UTC
From: Peter <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <43630f8d.0@entanet>
Source record: 122331
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote...
> Unbeknown to me, this thread had already been cross-posted to
> the CB groups, and I would have removed that cross-posting
> had I been aware of it.

Why, so that you can abuse people behind their back? What would be the point
of replying to someone, but cutting out the group that the OP uses?

> In respect of that, I apologise if my remarks have caused
> any offence to the CB community.

I would bet that, if this was cross-posted to *real" tech groups, many qualified
engineers would also be offended by your suggestion that you are a "higher"
level of person.

> However, I do not withdraw my
> remarks - they are my opinion as to the relative
> standing of Radio Hams and of CB Radio
> enthusiasts where the playing-field is that of Radio
> Hams.

Playing field? So this is nothing more than a game to you, see who can cause the
most offence.

Your problem is that you are blaming the wrong people.
Maybe this is all just an excuse, a cover for your hatred of CB, nothing to do with
Amateur rules. Otherwise you would direct your comments and anger at those
making the changes.

> However, having said that, the material quoted below
> serves, I think, to illustrate quite well the
> intellectual standing of CB Radio enthusiasts
> upon which I have commented.....

You write a message which anyone of at least average intelligence will know will offend
certain groups of people, then pretend to be shocked when some respond angrily. You
may be a Ham, but that doesn't mean you can act.

Before putting the angry response down to "CB mentality", take a look through the Google
archives at all the serious abuse sent to newsgroups by some licensed Amateurs.
Six of one, half a dozen of the other.

Regards,

Peter.

4505. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 05:25:34 UTC
From: Peter <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <43630f8e.0@entanet>
Source record: 122330
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote...
> Because although CBers are in a different intellectual
> class to Radio Hams, it is neither my wish to insult
> them nor to enter into a discourse with them.

NO...
It IS your intention to insult them, just behind their backs so you run less risk
of them replying. Your post was not really intended as an "FAQ", it was purely
a relatively "safe" release for your personal anger.

Wuss.

> CBers have the right to besport their habits in the
> appropriate place as do all the lower classes, which
> in this context is rrcb (and urrcb).

If you must start seeing this as a class system, look up "Amateur" in a dictionary.
Please consider the meaning of the word before suggesting that an "Amateur" is
above the professionals who design and build equipment to the standards set by
Governments, standards far above that required by an *amateur* producing
equipment for his own personal use.

> > >A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> > >a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> > >sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> > >tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> > >Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
> > >a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> > >issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> > >M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
> > >
> > >-----ooooo-----
> > >
> > >One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> > >Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> > >apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> > >licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> > >the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> > >bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> > >intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
> > >
> > >6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> > >enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> > >for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> > >the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch

> > >of the imagination!

Comparing, as you call them, "disgraceful Class Ber's" to 6 year old children is clearly intended to insult people.

Please think before displaying such ignorance and stupidity by blaming CBers for the rules...
they have absolutely NO CONTROL over such matters. CBers neither set the rules nor claim to represent "members", that woukld be Ofcom and the RSGB.

CBers do NOT see Amateur and CB as "sisters", it is people like the RSGB, the RA and Ofcom and
a certain magazine publisher who see it that way and make decisions to mix, combine and change
rules and formats.
Any sensible CBer would prefer to keep Amateur and CB separate, as they are separate services
for totally different purposes.

If you must get so angry, do yourself a couple of favours...
1. Blame and whine at the correct people, those able to make the changes.
2. Watch your blood pressure, OM.

I agree with keeping the Amateur requirements higher. I agree that it is now too simple, and has been for some years. I believe that Amateur radio should be for those with a real interest in radio, and tests should be set to allow those people through - not to get the licence figures up.
But it's pointless blaming people for taking what is on offer, sitting the test required to get their licence.

Regards,

Peter.

4506. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 06:11:23 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130566283.006551.224450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122332
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There is no abuse intended in the identification of Ham
Radio and CB Radio as being disparate activities.

I do not hate CB, just as I do not hate other activities
which are as equally irrelevant to Ham Radio - needlework,
gardening, football, and the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

Peter wrote:
> Why, so that you can abuse people behind their back? What would be the point
> of replying to someone, but cutting out the group that the OP uses?
> I would bet that, if this was cross-posted to *real" tech groups, many qualified
> engineers would also be offended by your suggestion that you are a "higher"
> level of person.
> Playing field? So this is nothing more than a game to you, see who can cause the
> most offence.
> Your problem is that you are blaming the wrong people.
> Maybe this is all just an excuse, a cover for your hatred of CB, nothing to do with
> Amateur rules. Otherwise you would direct your comments and anger at those
> making the changes.
> You write a message which anyone of at least average intelligence will know will offend
> certain groups of people, then pretend to be shocked when some respond angrily. You
> may be a Ham, but that doesn't mean you can act.
> Before putting the angry response down to "CB mentality", take a look through the Google
> archives at all the serious abuse sent to newsgroups by some licensed Amateurs.
> Six of one, half a dozen of the other.

4507. Re: FYI CB radio in the aftermeth of Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 07:29:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130570985.296108.163570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122333

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> ham might want to take note of a thread over in rec.radio.cb called
> "CB saves the day during Wilma"

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

l
l
l
l
l
l
l
l
l
l

Wasn't even enough to move the needle. Lame.

Steve, K4YZ

4508. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 07:40:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130571628.845484.176020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122334

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>
> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES

> that is you right

I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.

So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
will you be as equally tolerant?

> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
> to report you

You're not going to "report" squat", Markie...Any more than you
"talked" with the FBI or that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.

> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done

File away, Markie!

And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.

Steve, K4YZ

4509. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 07:49:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130572165.105123.221910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122335

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 23:51:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 18:51:37 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> >> >>>>151 12TH AVENUE NW
> >> >>>>WINCHESTER, TN 37398
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
> >> >>>>Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
> >> >>>> License Number: 49513
> >> >>>>Status: Active
> >> >>>>Multistate
> >> >>>>Original Date: 11/01/1994
> >> >>>>Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> that is you right
> >> >>>>would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
> >> >>>>to report you
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> >> >>>>revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> >> >>>>like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
> >> >>>
> >> >> buzz cut for being off topic
> >> >> for off topic
> >> >
> >> Buzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.

> you were lying one you continue to lie

Keep it up, Markie. All the more to show the attorneys.

> the sukect is making sure Stevie is Stevie

"subject", Markie...SUBJECT.

> you can lie as many times as you like does not make it true

It's ABSOLUTELY true.

Have at it, Markie. You have a mental health history (admitted to
by you in a public forum and therefore admissible)

> Stevie has stated that if he lied he would lose his license

Not for anything done in THIS forum, Markie.

> Stevie has lied
> with thing post likes Mark Morgan has AIDS Mark Morgan caught on
> tape... those are lies they are also hate speech Indeed if the laws
> were being enforce I feel he would be in jail butlaw enforcement
> rarely is that interested in doing thier jobs

That's partially right. Hence you're still free.

> Stevie threaten me Todd Brain he has called or implied that I and Len
> are pedophile he falsely accuses Todd of homosexuality these are acts
> of Moral turitude

TURPITUDE, Markie...

> IMO we shall see if the state of TENNesse agrees I
> just wan to make that Stevie is steve not maybe his kid posting with
> dadies PC or something like that
>
> anything else is OFF the suject and I will not respend to it

"RESPOND"

Of course it's "on topic", Markie.

You think that Tennessee is going to just arbitrarily act on YOUR
say so...?!?! No credentials...No professional licensure...History of
mental health issues...Two tons of your admissions of willful lying and
deceit in THIS forum...

Yeeeeeeeeeeeeeeah....Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

Steve, K4YZ

4510. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 08:26:06 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@Technologies.invalid>
Message-ID: <8cc6m11qjr1g479cn5lc7hj52hg1spnjl0@4ax.com>
Source record: 122336
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymouth wrote:

>> it is ALWAYS open for others to publish their own FAQs.

Well, here's a Frequently Posted Rubbish (FPR) to start things off:

Ham Radio (sic) is full of myths.

Do not be taken in by them, no matter how badly expressed.

The following is a collection of items that have been claimed as facts
by supporters of UK 'ham radio' (sic), that needed correcting in order
not to mislead the uncritical reader

Bookmark for future reference, as these items tend to recur.

New items added. This is Version V. It replaces all other Versions.

[ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to
specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some
circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.

[ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies
the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the
appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting
equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to
carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or
the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify,
design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment.
See above.

[ ] Before sunrise on Friday, September 1st, 1939, Germany attacked
Poland at 4:45am, when the Battleship "Schleswig-Holstein", on a
so-called goodwill visit, opened fire on the Polish naval depot and
garrison at Westeplatte. World War II had begun. An hour later, first
German units crossed the Polish border. Britain entered the war two
days later. She did not repeat not start WWII.

[ ] Because the 'dimensions' of both sides of the Radar Range
Equation need a term having the units of square metres in order to
balance, references to ratios of these terms expressed in terms of
decibels does not repeat not imply that they are in themselves a ratio
of powers.

[ ] FM can be demodulated by an AM receiver using the 'Slope
Detection' method. Placing an NBFM signal entirely within a channel in
a channelised AM receiver is not repeat not Slope Detection.

[ ] A company's Returns Policy is not repeat not an admission that it deliberately produces shoddy goods, no matter how well wrapped at the time of purchase.

[ ] An IP Address in the headers of one's posts is not repeat not Secret Information.

[ ] An exemplary driver is not repeat not one who makes an obscene gesture to show that the other driver is an idiot.

[ ] An exemplary driver is not repeat not one who repeats an obscene gesture to confirm that the other driver is an idiot.

[ ] XRC is not repeat not a suitable method of differentiating between the 80 percent of metals that have FCC crystal structure.

[ ] Millimetre-waves are not repeat not generated by 'nuclear emissions'.

[ ] The length of the day on this planet is not repeat not 23H20.

[ ] A spring/damper model is not repeat not a mechanical analogue of a capacitor/inductor.

[ ] Dehumidifiers do not repeat not work by absorbing heat.

[ ] Gas Company piping is not repeat not blue in colour.

[ ] 1 deciBel is not repeat not equal to 10 Bels.

---

from
Aero Spike

4511. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 08:28:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130574513.683652.102840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122337

RST Engineering wrote:
> >> Novice 1967 (age 13)
>
> Same age.
> >>
> >> 2nd Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1972
>
> 1st Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1964 (age 17), Radar Endorsement
> >>
> >> Coinventer US patent #5,358,202
>
> I quit the company and the boss got the patent designations from my
> notebooks, but I'm not going to press the issue.

There's always "something", isn't there...?!?!

> However, designated responsible engineer for FCC type
> acceptance/certification on seven commercial two-way transceivers; two for
> studio-transmitter links and five for aircraft transceivers. Currently on
> the "approved" list for type acceptance/certification for FCC Laurel
> Laboratories.
>
>
> >>>That's pretty impressive, Jim. You've been involved in quite a > number
> >>>of
> >>>things in and out of amateur radio. Even if I was
> >>>an "Internationally
> >>>acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas", I don't > think I
> >>>could bring myself to so describe myself.
>
> Well, if you had sold ten thousand (actual count may vary a few percent)
> hidden antenna original design products everywhere from Latvia to Louisiana,
> you may describe yourself that way.
>
> >>>Aside from your not including attributions,
>
> What do you mean by attributions? I'll give proof of anything I've said.
>
> not signing your
> >>>post
>
> I thought I signed it Jim. If you want a full formal signing, it is Jim
> Weir, WX6RST. Most people know who I am; I don't hide behind a pseudonym.
>
> and

> >>>the top posting,
>
> In the vernacular, go screw yourself.

Well there we go!

What is it about California engineers that tends to present a foul mouthed, two-faced, It's-OK-For-Me-But-You-Better-Do-It-Like-I-Say attitude...?!?!

> I'll top post, interleave post, or
> bottom post, whichever I think will get the point across better. "A foolish
> consistency is the hobgoblin of small minds." Or, in the Latin, "Pusca,
> puscalorum."

> you even manage to present some of your ideas > here in a
> >>> reasonable manner.
>
> It is the engineer showing through the politician.

The arrogant showing through the deceitful?

> > That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
> > service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
> > there. He keeps alluding to MARS duty and I did spend time operating a
> > MARS circuit from Tan Son Nhut, but only in an off duty capacity.
>
> I have only the highest regard for anybody who wore the uniform. It was not
> my privilege to do military duty as my parents neatly sandwiched me in
> between Korea and Vietnam. Sure, I did MARS duty for twenty years, and
> sure, I wear the local sheriff's Search and Rescue volunteer uniform, but it
> pales in weak comparison to actual military duty. I bow my head in
> gratitude.

You're welcome.

> >> Here's another fun quote from Len, made two years ago today:
> >>
> >> Then there's his classic "sphincters post", but you get the general
> >> idea.
>
> $#!+, we all get into the bottle from time to time and post stuff that we
> regret in the morning, but what the hell. Two quotes from two years? Give
> the guy a break. I'd hate (although my political opponents have done it
> mercilessly) to be quoted two years after the fact and have to defend myself
> at that time.

"TWO QUOTES FROM TWO YEARS?".....?!?!

So where's that "engineer" you alluded to a moment ago, Jim...?!?!

There's only EIGHT YEARS of solid profanity, deceit, arrogance, argumenitivness, and down right ugly behaviour from Lennie!

> > That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number of
> > things in amateur radio.
>
> Who is "he's". Me? Len? You? Steve? ???? And don't worry about RST.
> It has been around since 1973 and will probably be around long after you and
> I are SK. Don't worry about it. I have the cojones to put my reputation
> and my company's reputation on the line when I see something that just cries
> for comment.

Like tacit support for a know liar, Jim...?!?!

Where do they teach that in business school?

> Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
> > to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len is
> > something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around after
> > the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
>
> If you are talking about me, I've been on the usenet and this ng since 1995.
> I don't claim to defend Len, but again, most of what he says makes perfect
> sense.

Perfect sense if you are into self mutilation and flagellation.

> It is YOU TWO that I'm worried about.

Oh jeeze...Yet another "General Hospital" trained psychiatrist.

Steve, K4YZ

4512. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 08:55:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130576100.832020.143210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> Got lots of work today so I'll only make a few comments:
> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:17:50 -0400, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
> <26g0m1pajo17gur6gdpnm12bskc4g8kl2k@4ax.com>:

> >> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
> >>
> >> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!
> >
> >your source?
>
> Me, but Dudly didn't have all the facts. I pled guilty at the first
> court-martial and so was never tried.

Yes, Frankie...TRIED. You had the benefit of representation,
stood before the court, and had your opportunity to say your piece.

> <snip>
> >> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
> >>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
> >>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
> >>the incident at the lowest echelon.
> >
> >Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
> >something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times
>
> And that's exactly what I did for the second infraction.

Ahhhhh...Let's MINIMALIZE it and call it an "infraction".

You are not charged with "infractions" at a court martial. It's an
"offense".

> I forced a
> summary court-martial so the facts regarding the case would be a
> matter of record.

It would have been a matter of record in an Artcle 15 proceeding,
too.

Dumb, Frankie...really, REALLY dumb.

> <snip>
> >> He WAS found guilty.
> >

> >not according to him
> > not after apeal was it but that does not count with you
>
> For once Dudly is right.

No "for once", Frankie. I've had you nailed for weeks now. Sorry
it's made things a bit uncomfortable for you.

> There were no appeals and both convictions
> stand. The vindication of my second infraction....(SNIP)

"Vindication" only in that you allow yourself that "warm fuzzy"
for it. It has no legal bearing.

And it was your second OFFENSE..."infractions" are dealt with at
Article 15. You, by your own admission, blew that off.

> (UNSNIP)...was the result of a
> seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
> my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
> have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
> the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
> and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
> to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
> appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
> discharge upgraded to Honorable.

See..that's where we part ways, Frankie...My service never had to
be UPGRADED to Honorable...It was that way all along.

> <snip>
> >> USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
> >>that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
> >>about later. Better to kill them all now than to have to face them a
> >>second time.

4513. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 09:04:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bia6m114e330935u9fpd4uu0i0vb33f4r6@4ax.com>
Source record: 122339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 25 Oct 2005 02:12:30 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130231550.240134.65400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> Now you were saying something about standards.....???
>
> Yep.
>
> I was saying you don't measure up. And obviously the Marines felt
>the same way...Twice...
>
> Coward.

Let's talk about standards, Dudly. For instance, how do you feel about a Marine who serves barely a month out of each year for 18 years, yet ascends through the ranks as quickly as one who serves active duty during the same time? Do you think that those are equal standards? I don't think you do, Dudly, and neither does anyone else who served during the time that you "served".

And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.

Just one more "standard", Dudly..... have you ever heard of "guilt by association"? I'm sure you have. But there is also a thing called "credit by association", where someone claims credit for the honorable actions of others for no reason other than the fact that he is allowed to wear the same uniform. You wouldn't know anyone who would do such a thing, would you, Dudly? Like maybe someone who claims to have been personally involved in "seven hostile actions" when it was really some -other- Marines who were digging the red mud out of their boots in Beirut?

You have never disclosed your reservist status because you wanted the respect earned by those who made the Marines a proud organization, but you never earned that respect by performing the same duties. And you chickened out of your oath and your responsibility to your country when it came time to put your ass on the line. Yet you have the gall

to sit your fat ass and criticize -me-, an honorably discharged Beirut vet, just because I got into a couple scrapes. Steve, you are an honor-thief, a malingering coward, and a sniveling sack of shit.

>Gunny "Weekend Warrior" Dudly, K4YZ

You had your chance to fess up and now you've been outed. Nobody likes you and nobody ever will. Do the right thing for once in your life and go away.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4514. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 09:28:33 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <08f6m1hmj7e0nvj5ib4dtsl19qlfggc379@4ax.com>
Source record: 122340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 29 Oct 2005 01:55:00 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130576100.832020.143210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> Got lots of work today so I'll only make a few comments:
>> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:17:50 -0400, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
>> <26g0m1pajo17gur6gdpnm12bskc4g8kl2k@4ax.com>:
>
>> >> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
>> >>
>> >> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!
>> >
>> >your source?
>>
>> Me, but Dudly didn't have all the facts. I pled guilty at the first
>> court-martial and so was never tried.
>
> Yes, Frankie...TRIED. You had the benefit of representation,
>stood before the court, and had your opportunity to say your piece.

Wrong. A trial is used to determine guilt. I pleaded guilty so there
was no trial; i.e, I was never "tried". You couldn't learn that much
with a quick google search? That's pathetic.

>> <snip>
>> >> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
>> >>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
>> >>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
>> >>the incident at the lowest echelon.
>> >
>> >Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
>> >something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times
>>
>> And that's exactly what I did for the second infraction.
>
> Ahhhhh...Let's MINIMALIZE it and call it an "infraction".
>
> You are not charged with "infractions" at a court martial. It's an
>"offense".

Call it a 'crime' if you want -- makes no difference to me.

>> I forced a
>> summary court-martial so the facts regarding the case would be a
>> matter of record.
>
> It would have been a matter of record in an Artcle 15 proceeding,
>too.

Wrong again, Dudly. The proceedings and evidence are not recorded for
an Article 15, only the 'crime' and punishment.

> Dumb, Frankie...really, REALLY dumb.

Well, maybe you should take that up with the attorney who was
appointed to my case and made that recommendation.

>> <snip>
>> >> He WAS found guilty.
>> >
>> >not according to him
>> > not after apeal was it but that does not count with you
>>
>> For once Dudly is right.
>
> No "for once", Frankie. I've had you nailed for weeks now. Sorry
>it's made things a bit uncomfortable for you.

Whatever you say, Dudly.

>> There were no appeals and both convictions
>> stand. The vindication of my second infraction....(SNIP)
>
> "Vindication" only in that you allow yourself that "warm fuzzy"
>for it. It has no legal bearing.

Wrong yet again, Dudly: It had enough "legal bearing" to change the
status of my discharge.

> And it was your second OFFENSE..."infractions" are dealt with at
>Article 15. You, by your own admission, blew that off.

More semantics..... gee, I'm devastated. Really. And I mean that.

>> (UNSNIP)...was the result of a
>> seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
>> my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
>> have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
>> the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
>> and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
>> to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
>> appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
>> discharge upgraded to Honorable.
>
> See..that's where we part ways, Frankie...My service never had to
>be UPGRADED to Honorable...It was that way all along.

Uh-huh. Sure thing, Dudly. That's why you claimed to have been
discharged for medical reasons, huh? Probably because you are in the
early stages of Alzheimer's......

>> <snip>

Yet another snip-job -- too bad you can't address your failures.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4515. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 10:15:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051029061544.320$Ru@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122341
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
>
> > I don't need to look up any of those items.
> > I am in the process of building a ssb radio to match the linear I built
> > last year. Though I am certain I spend a great deal less time working
> > on those types of projects as I have other interests in my life.
> >
> >
>
> Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here
> radio will use?
>
Care to tell us your call sign, buzzard?

4516. A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 10:28:15 UTC
From: Polymath <mysteron@mailblocks.com>
Message-ID: <1130581695.118149.40220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122342
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Some interesting details about 'Polymouth'

http://tinyurl.com/cdudd

http://tinyurl.com/5mcwk

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to

be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

4517. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 10:29:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130581764.258767.17100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 01:55:00 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130576100.832020.143210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> Got lots of work today so I'll only make a few comments:
> >> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:17:50 -0400, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
> >> <26g0m1pajo17gur6gdpnm12bskc4g8kl2k@4ax.com>:
> >>
> >> >> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
> >> >>
> >> >> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!
> >> >
> >> >your source?
> >>
> >> Me, but Dudly didn't have all the facts. I pled guilty at the first
> >> court-martial and so was never tried.
> >>
> > Yes, Frankie...TRIED. You had the benefit of representation,
> >stood before the court, and had your opportunity to say your piece.
>
> Wrong. A trial is used to determine guilt. I pleaded guilty so there
> was no trial; i.e, I was never "tried". You couldn't learn that much
> with a quick google search? That's pathetic.

What's "pathetic" is your attempts to minimize the fact that
you're a disgraced, courtmartialed EX Marine, who's only
reconcilliation with having BEEN a Marine is to try an undermine
others.

Basically, you're a punk, Frankie.

> >> <snip>
> >> >> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
> >> >>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
> >> >>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
> >> >>the incident at the lowest echelon.
> >> >
> >> >Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
> >> >something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times
> >>
> >> And that's exactly what I did for the second infraction.

> >
> > Ahhhhh...Let's MINIMALIZE it and call it an "infraction".
> >
> > You are not charged with "infractions" at a court martial. It's an
> >"offense".
>
> Call it a 'crime' if you want -- makes no difference to me.

Gee...Above you try and "teach" about legal fine points and here
you want to dismiss them...

Can't have your Kate and Edith too, Frankie.

> >> I forced a
> >> summary court-martial so the facts regarding the case would be a
> >> matter of record.
> >
> > It would have been a matter of record in an Artcle 15 proceeding,
> >too.
>
> Wrong again, Dudly. The proceedings and evidence are not recorded for
> an Article 15, only the 'crime' and punishment.

Wrong. Idiot.

> > Dumb, Frankie...really, REALLY dumb.
>
> Well, maybe you should take that up with the attorney who was
> appointed to my case and made that recommendation.

And all the attorney COULD do was RECOMMEND.

YOU were the one who had to make the DECISION, Frankie.

Don't try and slide out from under YOUR mistakes, frankie.

> >> <snip>
> >> >> He WAS found guilty.
> >> >
> >> >not according to him
> >> > not after apeal was it but that does not count with you
> >>
> >> For once Dudly is right.
> >
> > No "for once", Frankie. I've had you nailed for weeks now. Sorry
> >it's made things a bit uncomfortable for you.
>
> Whatever you say, Dudly.
>
>
> >> There were no appeals and both convictions
> >> stand. The vindication of my second infraction....(SNIP)
> >

> > "Vindication" only in that you allow yourself that "warm fuzzy"
> >for it. It has no legal bearing.
>
> Wrong yet again, Dudly: It had enough "legal bearing" to change the
> status of my discharge.

Perhaps. But the CONVICTIONS still stand. Convictions that may
keep you from federal contracts and/or security clearances should you
need one for contract work in the future.

> > And it was your second OFFENSE..."infractions" are dealt with at
> >Article 15. You, by your own admission, blew that off.
>
> More semantics..... gee, I'm devastated. Really. And I mean that.

There's no "semantics" to it, Frankie. And I could care less
about how "devastated" you are. Your lack of Honor and honesty are
"devastating" enough. The Marines did the best possible thing with
you...sent ya packin'.

> >> (UNSNIP)...was the result of a
> >> seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
> >> my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
> >> have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
> >> the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
> >> and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
> >> to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
> >> appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
> >> discharge upgraded to Honorable.
> >
> > See..that's where we part ways, Frankie...My service never had to
> >be UPGRADED to Honorable...It was that way all along.
>
> Uh-huh. Sure thing, Dudly. That's why you claimed to have been
> discharged for medical reasons, huh? Probably because you are in the
> early stages of Alzheimer's......

Your lack of grasp of the facts is hillarious.
.
> >> <snip>
>
> Yet another snip-job -- too bad you can't address your failures.

Poor redirect to others "failures" in order to mitigate your own
humiliation.

Lame.

Steve, K4YZ

4518. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 10:50:09 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130583009.229261.45330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122344
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Notham Hull is second only to Brian Reay in obsession
with me (and therefore in envy of me by Brian Reay's
arguments) that he resorts to a Japanese ISP to
get round kill files.

What it is to be AN INCURABLE CBER!

Both gentlmen were dismal failures in that they were
either too lazy or too stupid, or both, to be able
to tackle and then pass a 12WPM Morse Test that
otherwise-unqualified self-taught 14-year-olds
were taking in their stride.

Too late - neither will ever be known as a "Class A"
licensee no matter how much they might preen themselves
on being "Full" licensees.

Failures both, and both on international public record as such!

Polymath wrote:
> Some interesting details about 'Polymouth'
>
> http://tinyurl.com/cdudd
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5mcwk
>
>
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>

> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>
> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years
> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>

> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
> from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
> difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
> perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
> perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
> no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
> GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
> could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> technical pursuit.
>
> A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
> a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
>
> 6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
> of the imagination!
>
> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

4519. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 10:52:38 UTC
From: realradioham <realradioham@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <43635474$1_2@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 122345
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Polymath" <mysteron@mailblocks.com> wrote in message
news:1130581695.118149.40220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Some interesting details about 'Polymouth'
>
> http://tinyurl.com/cdudd
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5mcwk
>
>
>
The above bad press has done nothing but harm to the public perception of
ham radio. Are you proud of your behaviour????

RRH

4520. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 11:44:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130586266.669704.111740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122346

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> >> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >> >> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >> >> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
> >> >> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >It's about *your* 'scorecard', Len.
>
> Yes, it is.

Well, at least you finally admit to that plain and simple fact.

> Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
> the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
> tabulation of them?

I don't think that. I know it's true. I've seen the compilation
done by someone else and it's more informative than yours.

> YOU did NOT.

Why does that matter?

You've never been a radio amateur but you tell us How Amateur
Radio Should Be. Which is your right of free speech.

The thing you seem to have trouble with is when others use their
right of free speech.

> >Do those 'filings' include only Comments, or other things like > >Reply Comments?

> ALL the filings, Jimmie-James.

Who is "Jimmie-James", Len? An imaginary friend of yours?

> ALL of them. Even those filings
> which aren't about amateur radio! :-)

Finally! An answer to a straightforward question! Thank you.

So what you're really writing about is how many total documents have
been filed, not just comments. The term "comment" has a specific
meaning in the context of FCC NPRMs.

> >But it's not about me, Len. I'm not posting a 'scorecard' and > >making any claims. You
are.

> Tsk, tsk...Jimmie-James, you ARE making "claims"
> of "inaccuracy" and implications of badness. :-)

Well, maybe your imaginary friend is doing that.

But I, Jim/N2EY, am simply asking questions about your 'scorecard'
process, and pointing out the *potential* for
inaccuracy.

That sort of thing seems to really bother you, Len.

> But, you've NOT made one single filing on WT Docket 05-235 as
> of 5 PM EDT on 28 October 2005.

So? Is the filing of comments with FCC a requirement for asking
questions and posting comments here?

Who made you the moderator?

> In fact, the LAST time you
> filed ANYTHING with the FCC ECFS was over 12 months ago on a
> Petition. Tsk, tsk.

What's so "tsk tsk" about that?

> >It's not about me, Len. I'm not posting a 'scorecard' and
> >making any claims. You are.
>
> Tsk, tsk...I'm NOT "making claims."

Yes, you are, Len. You're claiming your 'scorecard' is accurate
but you won't answer questions about how it is prepared. That may
be changing, which is a good thing.

> I'm doing READING and
> counting and tabulating what I find.

So you claim, anyway.

> If you dislike the
> results, DON'T READ THE "SCORE CARD." [simple solution]

Here's another solution, Len: If you don't want commentary on
things you post, don't post them.

You seem to want everyone to just accept what you write here
without question, even though you don't behave that way
towards others. Doesn't work that way.

> That seems to unduly upset you. Tsk. If it bothers you so
> much, just stop reading this thread! [easy solution to your
> apparent problem]

You are the one getting upset, Len. Not me.

> >Why is that so important to you?
>
> Why is YOUR HECKLING "so important" to YOU? :-)
>
> You aren't even discussing the opinions in all those 3,199
> filings. All you do is try to trash-mouth those who've
> bothered to look at ALL the filings. Tsk, tsk.

Seems to me that asking questions is defined as "heckling" and
"trash-mouth" by you...

> >I read those notes. They are not comprehensive. They do not
> >answer several questions I have raised.
>
> Why is that "so important to you?"

I'm just asking you to clarify your process. Is that so difficult
to understand?

> YOU are NOT in the FCC. YOU are NOT on the ARRL BoD.

Neither are you, Len.

> >> Since this is a private compilation, I do my
> >> own "checking"
> >> prior to each posting. Those can be verified by ANYONE who
> >> bothers to READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.
> >
> >In other words, nobody checks your work.
>
> No, dearie, that is NOT "in other words."

Nobody checks your work.

> All you are doing
> is simple-minded heckling of ME...which seems "so important
> to you." :-)

Nobody checks your work.

> >It's a very simple question.
>
> ...from a VERY unsatisfied simple person... :-)
>
> >You've filed at least 5 different comments
> >and reply comments, all of which are in support of the NPRM.
>
> Yes, and...? Are you FORBIDDING my communications with my
> own government?!?

Of course not. Are you forbidding my free speech?
>
> >Do they show up as a count of 1 or 5 on the tally of "for"
> >filings?
>
> Tsk, tsk...you understand very poorly.

Incorrect. I understand very well. You explain very poorly.

> I've already said I count ALL the filings.

In other words, your own comments and reply comments are counted as 5 filings *for* the NPRM, not 1. Also means you're counting the multiple filings of others, including one person who was "for" the NPRM and filed no less than 17 filings.

An alternative compilation that I have seen indicates multiple filings by the same person. Last time I looked, multiple filings by those "for" the NPRM exceeded multiple filings by those "against" it by at least 32 comments. That's about 1%.

So your counting method overstates the support for the NPRM by at least that percentage.

You post your results to four significant figures, yet if your counting method is as described above, it's inaccurate by at least 1% from that one source of error.
>
> >Why does what I have posted matter at all?
>
> Tsk. You talk MUCH in HERE about this NPRM yet you have
> said NOTHING to the FCC on NPRM 05-143.

Why does that matter?

You make a lot of noise about amateur radio but you've never been a radio amateur. And from all appearances you're never going to get an amateur radio license.

So why do are you so obsessed with it?

> It would seem you are all about gabbling and heckling and
> don't have the guts to make yourself heard to the FCC.

Quite the opposite is true, Len.

That description is more about you than me.

Do you think proposals to the FCC write themselves?

> >Seems to me you'd
> >be proud to show how your totals came about, but instead
> >you attack the messenger.
>
> Tsk. I "attack the messenger?!?" :-)

Yes.
>
> What do you call YOUR remarks in HERE, then, Jimmie-James?
> Some kind of self-appointed morals-ethics "policeman" when
> all you are is a petulant, whiny little heckler.
>
> As you've pointed out (more than once), the "score card" is
> MINE, isn't it? :-) If so, then I make up the rules,
> don't I? :-) You don't like the results? Don't read the
> "score card."

What you're really saying is that you cannot tolerate
opposing opinions, questions, or facts that contradict your
assertions.

> The results of the NPRM and its final Report and Order will
> NOT AFFECT YOU, will it?

It may. Changes in the rules of the amateur radio service may
have a profound effect on me, because I'm an active licensed
radio amateur.

There's very little chance that changes in the rules of the amateur
radio service will have *any* effect on you, because
you're not a licensed radio amateur, and it doesn't appear
that you'll ever be one.

> You have your beloved badge, title,
> rank, attendant privileges, and a neat certificate (suitable
> for framing).

What does all that refer to. Do you mean my Amateur Extra class radio
license issued by FCC in 1970 (35 years ago!) and renewed/modified ever
since?

> Nobody can take that away from you.

Yes, they can. FCC can refuse to renew a license, or even revoke it,
for cause. I've never given them cause.

FCC can also decide to change license privileges, subbands, etc., all
of which can have a profound affect on those who actually operate in
the licensed service.

> The results
> of the final R&O will NOT affect you insofar as amateur radio
> operating, will it?

They could. For example, if rules changes cause the ARS to become more
like cb, my amateur radio operating could be profoundly affected.

I'm not saying that *will* happen, just that it *could* happen.

Some days back, you posted a description of your experiences with
cb radio back in the late 1950s and early 1960s. You told us how

you installed a manufactured cb transceiver and antenna in your car and
used it. You told us the performance was *excellent* - for about four
years. Then it wasn't so excellent anymore.

Where did the excellence go, Len?

Do you see how the same could happen to amateur radio?

I'm not saying that *will* happen, just that it *could* happen.

Existing licensees can be profoundly affected by rules changes.
Since your are not a radio amateur and not likely to become one
regardless of rules changes, the NPRM results don't really affect you.

> >> Your name is James P. Miccolis.
> >
> >That's one way to write it.
>
> You have OTHER ways to write your legal name? :-)

Yes. My middle name could be spelled out, for one...

> >But for some reason you have extreme difficulty calling people > >by their names.
>
> I wrote "your name is James P. Miccolis." No problem to
> me. It was easy to write. :-) NOT "extreme difficulty."

Judging by how often you call people by other than their legal names,
it's clear you have a lot of difficulty in that area.
>
> >Y'know, Len, you seem to miss the point on a lot of things.
>
> "Miss the point?"

Yes.

> I've never been to West Point.

I have. Under it, too.

> >> >A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required
> >> >to comment.
> >
> >> Did you fail high school Civics class?
> >
> >No - I got all A's.
>
> You "claim." :-)

It's at least as accurate as your 'scorecard' claims...
>
>
> >FCC accepts comments from noncitizens too. They don't
> >*have* to do

> >that, but they do it anyway.
>
> So...you have it on "good authority" that the FCC actually
> CONSIDERS those comments in deciding on a final R&O?

I didn't say that. I wrote that FCC *accepts* those comments.
>
> Tell us more, Mr. Insider. You ARE with the FCC, aren't you?

I'm as "with" FCC as you are.

> >If FCC is willing to accept comments from foreigners, why
> >don't you list them as part of the total?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie-James, they ARE listed.
> Have been since the first of them showed up.

Just not *counted* by you...

> >Looks like the prevailing opinion is in support
> >of code testing. For
> >Extras, at least.
>
> Why is that "so important to you?"

Why does it matter at all to *you*, Len.

> It won't affect YOUR amateur privileges.

How do you know?
>
> The official end of Comments on WT Docket 05-235 is 31
> October 2005;
> official end of Replies to Comments is 14 November 2005.
> I am
> posting this message on 28 October 2005. Whatever filings
> are
> there, I'm simply READING them ALL, counting them up,
> tabulating them and posting the results.

Allegedly.
>
> >There's no age limit on an amateur radio license,
> >nor on commentary to FCC.
>
> I'm NOT taking any "age limits" in my "score card," Jimmie. :-)
>
> Why do you continue to make whiny little petulant remarks about
> things NOT in the "score card?"
>
>
> >I see no need for a minimum age requirement for licensing in
> >the amateur radio service.

>
> NPRM 05-143 is NOT about U.S. amateur radio license "age
> requirements."

Neither was the previous restructuring NPRM. Yet you included
recommendations in your reply comments recommending such a limit.

> Grow up.

What does that mean in this context, Len? Should I behave like
you do here?

> >You have stated here that you have always had problems
> >integrating
> >young people into what you consider an adult activity.
>
> Like VOTING if one is below the age limit?

No.

> I have NO "problem" with that.
>
> Like getting a driver's license below the state law age
> minimum? I have NO "problem" with that.
>
> Like buying alcohol in a store by those below the state law
> minimums? I have NO "problem" with that.
>
> Like serving in the armed forces below the age minimum? I have
> NO "problem" with that. [you should have NO "problem" with
> that since you've never served]
>
> Like getting married before the minimum legal age? I have NO
> "problem" with that.

But you have "a problem" with 13 year olds getting amateur radio
licenses.

> >Because they're relevant to your attitude towards young people.
>
> Tsk. LOTS and LOTS of ordinary folks are all FOR minimum age
> requirements in MANY things, Jimmie. I have NO "problems" > with
> that. YOU have a big PROBLEM with that, though.
>
I'm not the one recommending an age requirement for a US amateur radio
license. You are. But you can provide no evidence of problems caused by
the lack of such an age requirement.

In fact, an examination of enforcement actions by FCC reveals that many
more serious violations in the ARS are committed by folks closer to
your age than by "teeners".
>
> >And that means your postings are fair game for comment and

> >question by
> >others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable.
> >They are not
> >immune to question and/or debat.
>
> Freudian slip, Jimmie.

Typo.

> The word is "debate." :-)

That's right. Your postings are fair game for comment and
question by others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable. They
are not immune to question and/or debate.

> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...then you should cancel my amateur radio
> >> license
> >> then, refuse to give me my amateur paycheck? :-)
> >
> >It's really all about money to you, isn't it?
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAAA.... :->
>
> Poor Jimmie? Double-degreed "engineer" and you can't MAKE
> ENOUGH to spend over $100 on a rig? :-)

It really *is* all about money to you, Len.

> >Guess what, Len - I stay up very late to operate my amateur
> >radio station.
>
> Who cares? :-)

You seem to.
>
> It's YOUR body you are abusing...

How?

> >> [it isn't
> >> working, Jimmie, get a new knuckle-spanking ruler for the
> >> Nun of the Above]
> >
> >Ah yes, you advocate violence against those who question your
> >statements and beliefs.
>
> "Knuckle-spanking" is VIOLENCE?

Yes. >

> > >Leads me to believe you're counting reply comments too.
> > >And not checking for dupes.

> > Speaking of "dupes," why are you trying to DUPE everyone into
> > thinking I'm "always in error?" :-)
>
>
> > Are you so pissed off at certain posters in here you stay up
> > until nearly midnight to post nastygrams? :-)
>
>
> > Go ahead, READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and do
> > your own compilations/tabulations. Check it out.
>
>
> > >> So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK,
>
>
> > >Nobody can. You haven't shown it.
>
>
> > Yes I have. It's IN the ECFS in two different Replies to
> > Comments. You just haven't seen it yet. :-)
>
>
> > Want to check my numbers out for totals? Easy to do with the
> > FCC ECFS and proper use of the date blocks. ECFS does the
> > totals for that period for you. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>
>
> >I'm simply pointing out that you're not going to get an
> >amateur radio
> >license.
>
> How is that "important" to YOU? It sure isn't "important" on
> NPRM 05-143 what any Commenter is "going to do." :-)
>
> Had you READ ALL the filings, you would have seen some interesting
> ones (other than mine, of course) by NON-radio-hobbyists! Try an
> educational institution for starters...
>
> >If you wanted one, you'd have gotten one years ago.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Why? :-)
>
> Get a ham license to "do a 'service' to my country?" :-) Done
> the REAL service, Jimmie.
>
> Well, I DID not follow the "accepted formal sequence" by getting an
> amateur radio license BEFORE I operated all those transmitters at
> ADA long ago...and messed that up by getting a Commercial radio
> license after being released from Army service ten years after I
> turned the magic age of fourteen. :-)

>
> >You don't want one and you're not going to get one.
>
> I don't want your childish, petulant, whiny heckling in here but I
> will EXPECT to get thousands of them... :-)
>
> >What you really want is something very different.
>
> I want the FCC to make NPRM 05-143 into a Report and Order...without
> changes to the basic precepts in the NPRM.

Why? Those changes won't affect you.

4521. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 12:36:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-_OdnUYmjcOe8P7eRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 122347

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130560034.480523.179060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1130490062.547661.155760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Len Anderson wrote the following quote:
>>
>> > > "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
>> > > in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
>> > > activity."
>> > >
>> > > - Len Anderson
>> > >
>> > > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=
source&hl=en
>> > >
>> >
>> > The integration of youngsters into adult activities is one of the
>> > beauties
>> > of ham radio.
>>
>> Agreed!
>>
>> > This is one of the few opportunities that they have to learn
>> > by direct participation on an equal footing with adults.
>>
>> And it has always been so, at least in the USA.
>>
>> > This opportunity
>> > has been increasingly rare in modern times. The young normally tend to
>> > be
>> > isolated to non-adult activities and the adults are there simply as
>> > supervisors, trainers, or "taxis."
>>
>> > It is truly a wonderful thing to see the youngsters, oldsters, and
>> > those
>> > in-between working together on projects.
>>
>> It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham
>> bands,
>> working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion, etc.,

>> without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the
>> "data modes" are better for this than voice.
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Obviously you've never heard of the Boy Scouts of America nor the Merit
> Badge program.
>
> Best of luck catching up to the world as it presently exists.
>

The Boy Scouts is a youth activity program led and supervised by the adults. It is not an activity where the young are integrated into an adult activity and participating on an equal footing. The Scoutmaster leads the troop. On the other hand, in amateur radio, the 14 year old Extra can be a control op at HF while the 60 year old Tech cannot. I.e. In ham radio, the license is the controlling factor while age is irrelevant.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4522. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 12:40:54 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <dY-dnd-CFNJL8P7eRVn-oQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 122348
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> Notham Hull is second only to Brian Reay in obsession
> with me (and therefore in envy of me by Brian Reay's
> arguments) that he resorts to a Japanese ISP to
> get round kill files.
>

Gareth once again demonstrates just how much he knows about the internet...

And I'm afraid you shouldn't have messed with me, I don't forget...
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4523. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 13:46:17 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djvuff$5ln$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122349
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:mpmdnd58QYc6aP_eRVn-vA@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
> >
> >
> > I don't need to look up any of those items.
> > I am in the process of building a ssb radio to match the linear I built
last
> > year.
>
> Sure you are.

Why? cant you afford to buy one??

4524. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 13:47:23 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <djvuhhhhi1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122350
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here
> radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will the
> IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law
> breaking cber I see.

Does this mean you think all CB'ers are law breakers???

4525. Re: Steveo Suffering Same Comprehension Problems As Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:16:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130595376.345034.183530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in
> > > > > front of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump
> > > > > his leg too?
> > > >
> > > > Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
> > > > can't control her gag reflex like she used to.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > So let me get this straight. You want to bang my mom, sister, and dad?
> >
> > I see you have the same comprehension problems as Markie does. At
> > least you can spell well enough to not have to muddle through your
> > replies.
> >
> > > What the #### is wrong with you, STEVEN J ROBESON K4YZ ?
> >
> > Getting profane, self-righteous "get a room" comments from punks
>
> why are you only and Dan allowed to be profane (or do you share that
> with the MMM)
> BUZZ hypocrite alarm

STFU, child abuser.

4526. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:18:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130595512.414190.307780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 13:00:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:11:12 -0500, "DrDeath"
> >> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
> >> >>> >> >too.
> >> >>> >>
> >> >>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
> >> >>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
> >> >>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
> >> >>>
> >> >>> another lie Stevie
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
> >> >> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
> >> >cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.
> >>
> >> it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it
> >
> >Speaking from first hand experience, Marky?
>
> nope and you are proving you can't read

I'd say it was true, child abuser. You are the one who constantly
proves they can't read, you illiterate son of a bitch.

4527. Re: Marky C. Morgan is the Marquette Moron!.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:23:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130595820.882505.254000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 12:45:31 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 28 Oct 2005 05:46:28 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 27 Oct 2005 06:02:40 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> >> > > On 26 Oct 2005 15:43:08 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> cut
> >
> >Run away, Marky.
>
> first lie soo BUZZZ

You always run away, Marky.

4528. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:25:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130595926.151931.95250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122354

Lardass Davies wrote:
> SCORE
> **********
>
>
> STAGGER LEE ZERO
>
> Roger 10 to infinity

ROTFLMAO! Another lonely Friday night for you, huh, Lardass? But aren't they all, pizza BOY!

4529. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:26:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130595986.032608.243100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122355

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > You are one of the stupidest, most annoying, obnoxious with your
> > whining, ridiculous,
> > close-minded, pathetic, dumber-than-dumb, seriously disturbed, mentally
> > impaired, dead-headed,bald, morbidly obese pig-fucking, pea-brained
> > idiots ever to be
> > considered to be part of the human race. You'd be better off dead, but
> > you're too fucking stupid to successfully commit suicide and you'd
> > probably screw THAT up!
>
> nice to see you are an equal oppertunity bag of bile

Nice to see you are a fucking idiotic, perverted bag of shit, Marky

4530. Re: What Amateur Radio Emergency Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:29:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130596160.092810.252560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > On 26 Oct 2005 17:19:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> you are asking in the wrong place this Newsgruops is for flaming folks
> > > > > >> not discussing issues
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "newsgroup's"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Scavaging for frequencies is not "issues".
> > > > > >
> > > > > Scavaging? gee sound like you issues to me
> > > > >
> > > > Hearing those voices again, retard?
> > > no
> > >
> > Liar. Maybe you should be locked back up, Marky.

You don't ever think, do you Marky?

> not possible or likely for that matter

I'll say. You make a rock seem to have intelligence.

> >
> > > using litery license
> > >
> > You have to be literate first, dumbass.
> >
> wrong again

Wrong about what you being literate. Nice of you to agree that you are
a stupid retard, Marky.

> >
> > > >
> > > > the guy has been looking for proof of ARS emergncy traffic and has had
> > > > trouble finding it
> > > >

> > > > He would from your station, Marky.
> > >
> > > so?
> >
> > Cry and whine, Marky.
> >
> > > your point Mr Slit
> >
> > Went way over your denseness. As usual.
>
> you mean you had no point of course

No, as usual, you missed the point, dumbass.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > some thing I would expect him to have as most of the real stuff is
> > > > > pretty local and unsung
> > > > >
> > > > > As if you'd know, loser.
> > > >
> > > > of course I would know, and I would that Real Hams don't need the
> > > > praise unlike bostful ex RRAPer Dan
> > >
> > > They don't need your stupidity, either.
> >
> projecting again

Whining again, you queer little pervert?

4531. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:40:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <bdcb83864c6f8fcce490afdbcff7dbaf@dizum.com>
Source record: 122357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Re: FAQ

CmdrBuzzCorey (me@that.ten) wrote in rec.radio.cb on Fri, Oct 28, 2005 at 10:14pm (EDT-3)
Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will the IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law breaking cber I see.

--
Lee Scott kc8ldo. November 10. Judge Wirth. Repeat offender. Possible jail time.

4532. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 14:50:12 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130597412.399746.40690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lee Scott
32378 Concord Dr. Bldg 21
Apt.B
Madison Heights, MI 48071

4533. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 15:10:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051029111055.287$PL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com> wrote:
> Re: FAQ
>
> CmdrBuzzCorey (me@that.ten) wrote in rec.radio.cb on Fri, Oct 28, 2005 at
> 10:14pm (EDT-3) Care to give some details like what method of SSB
> generation this here radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or
> tranceiver? What will the IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you
> are just another law breaking cber I see. __
> Lee Scott kc8ldo. November 10. Judge Wirth. Repeat offender. Possible
> jail time.
>
What did Lee do (again) this time?

4534. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 15:12:47 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8a7017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 29 Oct 2005 07:44:29 -0700, whinyslit_roger@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Lardass Davies posting under Digital<SLAP!>
>

Wrong, Professor! At least figure out who is making what post before
you attempt to reply to it. But that's beyond you, isn't it? You've
been screwing up your replies years and years, and it's too late for
you to learn anything now.

> Plagiarizing again, Lardass!
>
>
> > And I'm not the Lloyd to which you refer.
>
> Bullshit, Davies.

Yep: Live in your little, mad world where everyone is Lloyd Davies.
An inability to perceive reality is a classical sign of insanity, and
I'm convinced that you are insane.

They're coming to take you away, HA HA
They're coming to take you away, HO HO HEE HEE HA HA
To the funny farm
Where life is beautiful all the time
And you'll be happy to see
Those nice, young men
In their clean, white coats
And they're coming to take you away, Ha-haaa!

4535. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 15:13:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051029111336.813$za@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Lee Scott
> 32378 Concord Dr. Bldg 21
> Apt.B
> Madison Heights, MI 48071
>
http://img468.imageshack.us/img468/7940/scan00033ls.jpg

4536. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 15:50:06 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OSM8f.161306$lI5.109926@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 122362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

When I answered the original thread, I really didn't want to start all this.
I just mainly wanted to say that K1MAN's influence on me is minimal. Please
quit using my replies as a forum to controvery in this matter. K1MAN does
not merit the publicity.

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:reN3f.109369$lI5.18131@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Who gives a shit what this pompous blowhard has to say? Certainly, not me!
>
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1129135755.028642.49980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED051010A - AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION
>>
>> ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS
>>
>> "The League has mismanaged emergency funds in my opinion," says AARA
>> Executive Director, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN. "If ARRL spent as much effort
>> on emergency communications as they do lobbying against K1MAN's FCC
>> license in Washington, they might have much greater success competing
>> with IARN on the disaster communications front," according to Mr.
>> Baxter. "Their focus seems to be on their dwindling membership," says
>> the leader of the American Amateur Radio Association and arch rival of
>> Newington. www.K1MAN.com
>>
>> See the attached League articles. (While not yet a radio amateur!)
>>
>>
>> NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 6, 2005--Sarah Dorsey, a native of West Hartford,
>> Connecticut, is the ARRL's new--and first--Membership Manager. She
>> officially assumed her duties at ARRL Headquarters October 5. Her
>> primary focus will be on developing membership recruitment and
>> retention strategies to increase membership growth. She'll also support
>> member and customer service. WHILE NOT YET A RADIO AMATEUR, Dorsey says
>> she's "absolutely" looking forward to the challenge of her new
>> responsibilities, and a ham radio licensing class is on her short list.
>>
>> "I enjoy work that is personally meaningful," she said. "I've been
>> fascinated with learning about ham radio operators and the crucial role
>> they played and continue to perform in the rescue and recovery efforts
>> for Katrina and Rita. I look forward to the day when I'll also be able

>> to work side by side with our members."
>>
>> Dorsey attended Green Mountain College in Vermont and is a graduate of
>> Charter Oak College in Connecticut. Prior to coming to the League, she
>> served as recruitment marketing manager for a non-profit health care
>> services organization in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where she managed
>> recruitment and retention initiatives.
>>
>> Her professional background also includes management, marketing and
>> recruitment positions in various disciplines of the healthcare and
>> human services markets. Dorsey says she's now ready for a new challenge
>> that requires essentially the same skill set.
>>
>> ARRL COO Harold Kramer, WJ1B, anticipates that Dorsey's past experience
>> will fold right into the League's goal of boosting membership and
>> retaining current members. "More important, we look forward to her
>> providing even more value and benefits to being an ARRL member," Kramer
>> said. "Sarah will become a very visible part of the ARRL team at
>> hamfests and conventions, and she is looking forward to meeting many of
>> our members and prospective members."
>>
>> ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, earlier
>> this year announced the search for a Membership Manager to target
>> membership recruitment and retention. He says having Dorsey on board in
>> that role is part of an overall strategy to "look beyond many of the
>> League's current organizational paradigms regarding membership."
>>
>> Dorsey recalled occasionally driving past the Maxim Memorial Station
>> W1AW building while growing up in the Greater Hartford area. "That
>> little brick building is definitely a landmark," she remarked, adding
>> that she never anticipated one day working on behalf of Amateur Radio
>> at ARRL Headquarters. "Now everywhere I go, I'm starting to notice
>> antennas!"
>>
>
>

4537. The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 15:54:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122363

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 02:12:30 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130231550.240134.65400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> Now you were saying something about standards.....???
> > >
> > > Yep.
> > >
> > > I was saying you don't measure up. And obviously the Marines felt
> > >the same way...Twice...
> > >
> > > Coward.
>
> Let's talk about standards, Dudly. For instance, how do you feel about
> a Marine who serves barely a month out of each year for 18 years, yet
> ascends through the ranks as quickly as one who serves active duty
> during the same time? Do you think that those are equal standards? I
> don't think you do, Dudly, and neither does anyone else who served
> during the time that you "served".

One weekend a month?

WTF are you talking about, Disgraced One?

From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
Nothing else.

> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.

Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
September 74 when I went active.

As for "skating out of his oath", that has you written ALL OVER
IT, Miss Silliland...the boy who had to get his discharge "upgraded".

BTW, Miss Silliland...even if I HAD been a reservist, so what?
Guess who makes up the lion's share of the Total Force concept, and

who's "carrying the day" in Iraq as we speak?

Reservists and National Guardsmen.

So much for your "one weekend" standard theory, eh?

> Just one more "standard", Dudly..... have you ever heard of "guilt by
> association"? I'm sure you have. But there is also a thing called
> "credit by association", where someone claims credit for the honorable
> actions of others for no reason other than the fact that he is allowed
> to wear the same uniform. You wouldn't know anyone who would do such a
> thing, would you, Dudly? Like maybe someone who claims to have been
> personally involved in "seven hostile actions" when it was really some
> -other- Marines who were digging the red mud out of their boots in
> Beirut?

If you want to go for the "credit by association" gig, Cowardly
One, go talk to Lennie Anderson...He's given us lengthy stories of how
his rear-area radio mechanic duties qualified him to speak on a great
many military subjects as if he "was there"...Oh, and it also put him
on the same par with guys who were KIA three YEARS before he was even
inducted. And don't forget to ask him about the "sphinchter" post and
how he served "under the threat of the Soviet Bear bomber..."

As for "credit by association" and other such things, that's why I
don't discuss my Marine Corps career here other than to state that I
did...Deceivers such as yourself and Lennie Anderson like to take sport
with other people's service.

This post of yours is a prime example.

> You have never disclosed your reservist status because you wanted the
> respect earned by those who made the Marines a proud organization, but
> you never earned that respect by performing the same duties.

Lies, lies and more lies.

And even more substantiation of my belief that your dismissal from
the Marine Corps was not only warranted, but "for the good of the
Corps".

> And you chickened out of your oath and your responsibility to your country
> when it came time to put your ass on the line. Yet you have the gall
> to sit your fat ass and criticize -me-, an honorably discharged...(SNIP)

Unh unh uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!

You were NOT "honorably discharged"...

You were discharged as LESS than honorable, Mr Wordmeister.

You were "upgraded". You got the benefit of the doubt on your
cutting scores for the POST-discharge "upgrade".

> (UNSNIP)...Beirut vet, just because I got into a couple scrapes. Steve, you are an
> honor-thief, a malingering coward, and a sniveling sack of ####.

Sorry, you Cowardly Putz...wrong on so many levels.

But then you're USED to being wrong, aren't you?

Wrong enough to get COURT MARTIALED. Twice...

Article 15 punishments are "scrapes". The Sergeant Major puts you
on "firewatch" for a month for "scrapes". Your NCOIC has you field day
the shop or stand extra duty for "scrapes".

YOU were FOUND GUILTY for OFFENSES.

And who's polishing WHOSE brass with "credit by association" here,
Mr Alleged Beirut Marine? Whether you believe it or not, I was as
close to exploding things as you were, but it does not make me any
better than anyone else who served in those theaters...just lucky.

> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> You had your chance to fess up and now you've been outed.

Outed? For what? Your lies and accusations made so you can fluff
your ego over berating a former SNCO and getting away with it...?!?!

> Nobody likes you and nobody ever will.

As opposed to all of those teeming masses laying roses at your
feet, court martialed coward? I'll take my chances here.

> Do the right thing for once in your life and go away.

Bullies and liars don't impress me, coward.

I've given you (and indeed this forum) numerous references to
PUBLIC sources that prove all your silly accusations for the malicious
lies that they are...Boot Camp platoon...Promotion Boards....MOS's....

Frank Gilliland is a liar and a coward. You weren't "stand up"
enough to do what you promised to do in the Marine Corps, and you're a
mudslinging punk here.

You'll always be second place to someone, if for no other reason
that you just don't have the guts to do otherwise.

Steve, K4YZ

4538. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:04:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130601890.461981.128130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122364

Dave Heil wrote:

> >>The nursing board will want to know just who and what they are
> dealing >>with. I'll bet I can even come up with a dyslexia diagnosis
> done by an >>allergist in an effort to dodge a code test for entry into
> HF amateur >>radio. There's all sorts of good stuff under
> konstans@geocities.com >>and konstans@oneeleven.net.
>
> Those are some interesting newsgroups you visit, Mark.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
> "As point of fact it is not inconsistant unless you suppose
> that I may ONLY use words like 'draft' or 'dischrage' as the armed
> forces do. which is something I chide Steve for all the time"
>
> "Colonel" Mark Morgan, 2000

Thanks for the snippets, Dave.

Ought to prove to make some interesting reading in Nashville,
should the circumstances arise...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4539. Re: Stevie ducks on another promise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:07:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130602041.712449.134930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122365

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Stevie you said you provide the contact info for your nursing if I
> wanted well Ihae posted that I want it
>
> You have also claimed that lying would cost you your LPN (distils
> down to that)
>
> lets find out
>
> or are you too chicken

BTW, Markie...I did exactly what I said I'd do (again), yet you've
not acknowledged it as such.

"...or are you too chicken..." ?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4540. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:09:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130602142.713964.299050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122366

> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > Stevie you said you provide the contact info for your nursing if I
> > wanted well Ihae posted that I want it
> >
> > You have also claimed that lying would cost you your LPN (distils
> > down to that)
> >
> > lets find out
> >
> > or are you too chicken

BTW, Markie...I did exactly what I said I'd do (again), yet
you've
not acknowledged it as such.

"...or are you too chicken..." ?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4541. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:12:14 UTC
From: EL84 <el84@nospam.aol.com>
Message-ID: <3shlb4Fo0sp9U1@individual.net>
Source record: 122367
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1130583009.229261.45330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> that he resorts to a Japanese ISP to
> get round kill files.
>
Oh dear, I see geography is not a strong point of yours either, how long has
Taipei been part of Japan. Check again soft arse, working in that Japanese
labour camp is brainwashing you.

4542. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:20:52 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EjN8f.161310$II5.26826@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 122368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Who gives a rat's ass!

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130358844.379780.147810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
K1MAN BULLETIN - B051026A -

LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND
INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN) LEGAL DEPARTMENT:

AARA is literally flooded these days with E-Mails and calls regarding
K1MAN's on going battle with the FCC. A trip to a local law library
has been recommended to hundreds of people. Look at 47 CFR Part 1,
Subparts A and B. Of particular interest has been 47 CFR Pt. 1.62:

"(a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the time
of expiration of license any proper and timely application for renewal
of license with respect to any activity of a continuing nature (such
as amateur radio), in accordance with the provisions of section 9(b)
of the Administrative Procedures Act, such license shall remain in
effect until such time as the Commission shall make a final
determination with respect to the renewal application."

The FCC has acknowledged K1MAN's timely filed renewal application on
their web site. Look up K1MAN on www.QRZ.com and click on FCC INFO.

If Hollingsworth and Company try and argue in court that Granting
K1MAN's recent timely filed renewal application and then "setting it
aside" the very next day constitutes "final determination with respect
to the renewal application," thus satisfying 47 CFR Pt. 1.62 and the
14th Amendment to the United States Constitution which guarantees due
process of law, they will lose in the D.C. Court of Appeals if not
lose in front of a Commission Administrative Law Judge. Just doing
nothing more than "setting the license aside," as they have so
pathetically tried so far, is not going to "cut it" at IARN and AARA,
and K1MAN has no other choices other than to "give up on America" (not
in this lifetime!) or to continue operating under 47 CFR Pt. 1.62 (2):

"A licensee operating by virtue of this paragraph shall, after
the date of expiration in the license, post, in addition to the
original license, any acknowledgment received from the Commission
that the renewal application has been accepted for filing.

Note that the FCC web site lists K1MAN's renewal application as
"PENDING." A printout of this has been posted next to K1MAN's

original (now expired) license per 47 CFR 1.62 (2), and K1MAN on air operations continue under this entitled authority.

Secondly, federal law does not allow anything alleged in an unpaid NAL to be brought up in any other proceeding such as a renewal hearing or revocation hearing. The April 2005 $21,000 NAL against K1MAN has not been affirmed by the Commission and is illegal anyway since the substantive issues therein are the same as the ones in the unpaid 1990 NALs illegally "deep sixed" by the Commission years ago.

America is a land of laws and not of cheap and corrupt men like Hollingsworth and Company. He must have been sick on the day they taught law at law school, wherever that might have been. He should have gotten a note from his mother and made up that ENTIRE day! How much of YOUR tax money has poorly educated Hollingsworth and Company wasted already on old K1MAN? If there are valid issues with K1MAN (or W1AW and ARRL), let's go to court and be done with it. I will even buy Hollingsworth's lunch! Until that day comes, K1MAN continues to operate on the air under entitled authority CFR 47 Pt. 1.62. 73 and GL de K1MAN

AARA Membership

www.K1MAN.com

GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY

BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH

4543. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:25:27 UTC
From: wheeeeeee <mrjohnson@itstrathergood.com>
Message-ID: <92534$4363a2ad$d1cc4150$19695@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 122369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130532093.885944.310370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A

-------> F.O.A.D.

4544. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:27:06 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <upN8f.161312$lI5.117412@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 122370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN...chief spokesman for all retards in amateur radio!

"Jeff Maass" <jmaass@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message
news:O5P4f.130302$lI5.424@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>
>
>

4545. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:29:25 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FrN8f.161313$Il5.117978@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 122371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well...I'll have you know that I am a chronic depressive and I find being in the presence of the gay and happy to be quite uncomfortable!

"Roger Wiseman" <AB8MQ@fu.com> wrote in message news:nvi8l1hjob5rbhju3ji78pfe8q2ksgoram@4ax.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> wrote:
>> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
>>
> What's wrong with being a fag?
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4546. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:42:34 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <_DN8f.7718$sA4.4104@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 122372
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> And I'm afraid you shouldn't have messed with me, I don't forget...
> --
> huLLy

yes you do......

4547. Linked Repeaters Across Services

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:46:58 UTC
From: Tom <qrz@ehnnettom.net>
Message-ID: <dk0907$b73$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122373

I am on the road a lot for a living. Is anyone else noticing the increasing number of CB-Amateur Radio linked repeaters? Especially in metropolitan areas? For example, in Raleigh this week, I observed a 2m repeater which was cross-linked to a CB repeater on 27605 kHz.

Tom

4548. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:54:00 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <28a7m1llsmgsbjhrrbv55935nn0and8kie@4ax.com>
Source record: 122374
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:12:14 +0100, "EL84" <el84@nospam.aol.com> wrote:

>Oh dear, I see geography is not a strong point of yours either, how long has
>Taipei been part of Japan.

It used to be part of Japan ...

--

73 de Jock.

"You will always find that those who are most apt to
boast of national merit, have little or no merit of
their own to depend on". - Oliver Goldsmith.

4549. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:56:52 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <TuqdnaNPmP9JNP7enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 122375
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>> And I'm afraid you shouldn't have messed with me, I don't forget...
>> --
>> huLLy
>
> yes you do......

Oh no I don't!
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4550. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 16:57:21 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <gcednSAI9qJsNP7eRVn-hQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 122376
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jock. wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:12:14 +0100, "EL84" <el84@nospam.aol.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Oh dear, I see geography is not a strong point of yours either, how
>> long has Taipei been part of Japan.
>
> It used to be part of Japan ...

My ISP or my news provider is nowhere near that part of the world!
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4551. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:10:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <pva7m1hga8q5gqo2tlhl640mm7c1rltfg7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 25 Oct 2005 02:12:30 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1130231550.240134.65400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>
><snip>
>>> Now you were saying something about standards.....???
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> I was saying you don't measure up. And obviously the Marines felt
>>the same way...Twice...
>>
>> Coward.
>
>
>Let's talk about standards, Dudly. For instance, how do you feel about
>a Marine who serves barely a month out of each year for 18 years, yet
>ascends through the ranks as quickly as one who serves active duty
>during the same time? Do you think that those are equal standards? I
>don't think you do, Dudly, and neither does anyone else who served
>during the time that you "served".

what you going on about?
>
>And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
>of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
>conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
>unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
>serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
>discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
>shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
>
>Just one more "standard", Dudly..... have you ever heard of "guilt by
>association"? I'm sure you have. But there is also a thing called
>"credit by association", where someone claims credit for the honorable
>actions of others for no reason other than the fact that he is allowed
>to wear the same uniform. You wouldn't know anyone who would do such a
>thing, would you, Dudly? Like maybe someone who claims to have been
>personally involved in "seven hostile actions" when it was really some
>-other- Marines who were digging the red mud out of their boots in

>Beirut?
>
>You have never disclosed your reservist status

reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?

I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up

Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
lot of his shit make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
more than that

and BTW the PoS named Stevie has had the the nerve over the years to
say my own NG serice meant nothing
> because you wanted the
>respect earned by those who made the Marines a proud organization, but
>you never earned that respect by performing the same duties. And you
>chickened out of your oath and your responsibility to your country
>when it came time to put your ass on the line. Yet you have the gall
>to sit your fat ass and criticize -me-, an honorably discharged Beirut
>vet, just because I got into a couple scrapes. Steve, you are an
>honor-thief, a malingering coward, and a sniveling sack of shit.
>
>
>>Gunny "Weekend Warrior" Dudly, K4YZ
>
>
>You had your chance to fess up and now you've been outed. Nobody likes
>you and nobody ever will. Do the right thing for once in your life and
>go away.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4552. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:11:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <88b7m1t66tk2rvtqpsf664sj88tj5n568g@4ax.com>
Source record: 122378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 29 Oct 2005 07:18:32 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2005 13:00:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:11:12 -0500, "DrDeath"
>> >> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> >
>> >> >>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >>> >
>> >> >>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >> >>> >> >too.
>> >> >>> >>
>> >> >>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> >> >>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>> >> >>> >
>> >> >>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>> >> >>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> another lie Stevie
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
>> >> >> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
>> >> >cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.
>> >>
>> >> it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it
>> >
>> >Speaking from first hand experience, Marky?

>>
>> nope and you are proving you can't read
>
>I'd say it was true, child abuser
there is you read/write problem again

>. You are the one who constantly
>proves they can't read, you illiterate son of a bitch.

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4553. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:13:22 UTC
From: RT <rt@rtentfrmsltd.org>
Message-ID: <dk0ahp$km7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The expiration date which is being quoted for Mr Baxter's license is meaningless. Mr Baxter's legal team has established legal precedent that he can continue to operate legally past this date.

Anyone who thinks the ARRL, the FCC, or Hollingsworth have the best interests of ham radio in mind, is in bad need of a reality check.

RT

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message news:OSM8f.161306$lI5.109926@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> When I answered the original thread, I really didn't want to start all
> this. I just mainly wanted to say that K1MAN's influence on me is minimal.
> Please quit using my replies as a forum to controvery in this matter.
> K1MAN does not merit the publicity.
>
> Wayne-
> (KC8UIO)
>
> "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:reN3f.109369$lI5.18131@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>> Who gives a shit what this pompous blowhard has to say? Certainly, not
>> me!
>>
>> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1129135755.028642.49980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED051010A - AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION
>>>
>>> ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS
>>>
>>> "The League has mismanaged emergency funds in my opinion," says AARA
>>> Executive Director, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN. "If ARRL spent as much effort
>>> on emergency communications as they do lobbying against K1MAN's FCC
>>> license in Washington, they might have much greater success competing
>>> with IARN on the disaster communications front," according to Mr.
>>> Baxter. "Their focus seems to be on their dwindling membership," says
>>> the leader of the American Amateur Radio Association and arch rival of
>>> Newington. www.K1MAN.com
>>>
>>> See the attached League articles. (While not yet a radio amateur!)
>>>
>>>

>>> NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 6, 2005--Sarah Dorsey, a native of West Hartford,
>>> Connecticut, is the ARRL's new--and first--Membership Manager. She
>>> officially assumed her duties at ARRL Headquarters October 5. Her
>>> primary focus will be on developing membership recruitment and
>>> retention strategies to increase membership growth. She'll also support
>>> member and customer service. WHILE NOT YET A RADIO AMATEUR, Dorsey says
>>> she's "absolutely" looking forward to the challenge of her new
>>> responsibilities, and a ham radio licensing class is on her short list.
>>>
>>> "I enjoy work that is personally meaningful," she said. "I've been
>>> fascinated with learning about ham radio operators and the crucial role
>>> they played and continue to perform in the rescue and recovery efforts
>>> for Katrina and Rita. I look forward to the day when I'll also be able
>>> to work side by side with our members."
>>>
>>> Dorsey attended Green Mountain College in Vermont and is a graduate of
>>> Charter Oak College in Connecticut. Prior to coming to the League, she
>>> served as recruitment marketing manager for a non-profit health care
>>> services organization in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where she managed
>>> recruitment and retention initiatives.
>>>
>>> Her professional background also includes management, marketing and
>>> recruitment positions in various disciplines of the healthcare and
>>> human services markets. Dorsey says she's now ready for a new challenge
>>> that requires essentially the same skill set.
>>>
>>> ARRL COO Harold Kramer, WJ1B, anticipates that Dorsey's past experience
>>> will fold right into the League's goal of boosting membership and
>>> retaining current members. "More important, we look forward to her
>>> providing even more value and benefits to being an ARRL member," Kramer
>>> said. "Sarah will become a very visible part of the ARRL team at
>>> hamfests and conventions, and she is looking forward to meeting many of
>>> our members and prospective members."
>>>
>>> ARRL Sales and Marketing Manager Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV, earlier
>>> this year announced the search for a Membership Manager to target
>>> membership recruitment and retention. He says having Dorsey on board in
>>> that role is part of an overall strategy to "look beyond many of the
>>> League's current organizational paradigms regarding membership."
>>>
>>> Dorsey recalled occasionally driving past the Maxim Memorial Station
>>> W1AW building while growing up in the Greater Hartford area. "That
>>> little brick building is definitely a landmark," she remarked, adding
>>> that she never anticipated one day working on behalf of Amateur Radio
>>> at ARRL Headquarters. "Now everywhere I go, I'm starting to notice
>>> antennas!"
>>>
>>
>>
>

>

4554. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:14:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <pcb7m1dngc8kkofr92o6u47ks0n8dubgsp@4ax.com>
Source record: 122380

On 29 Oct 2005 00:49:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 23:51:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On Fri, 28 Oct 2005 18:51:37 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>>On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>>Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>> >> >>>>151 12TH AVENUE NW
>> >> >>>>WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
>> >> >>>>Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
>> >> >>>> License Number: 49513
>> >> >>>>Status: Active
>> >> >>>>Multistate
>> >> >>>>Original Date: 11/01/1994
>> >> >>>>Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>> that is you right
>> >> >>>>would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
>> >> >>>>to report you
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
>> >> >>>>revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
>> >> >>>>like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
>> >> >>>
>> >> >> buzz cut for being off topic

>> >> for off topic
>> >
>> Buzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.
>> you were lying one you continue to lie
>
> Keep it up, Markie. All the more to show the attorneys.

tthat Dave is lying? sure it can be shown
since since stevie can't even post seibly I'll not bother trying to
sort trhough his crap

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4555. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:19:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4gb7m11julsr22cqj2rsi4vh7sulgrp4eu@4ax.com>
Source record: 122383

On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>>
>> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>
>> that is you right
>
> I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.

to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
>
> So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
>will you be as equally tolerant?

you have posted my address before for no reason at all
>
>> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
>> to report you
>
> You're not going to "report" squat", Markie..

wrong again
>.Any more than you
>"talked" with the FBI or
wrong again
> that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.

you got that right and explained it years ago
>
>> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
>> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
>> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
>
> File away, Markie!
>
> And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
>found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
>imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.

no malicious complaint will be filed

just the facts

such you enage in false accustion of Pedophilia, you make false claims
about HIV statuts you make false claim claim of Sexual orietetation,
fals eclaims about people mental status that you engage in hate
speech, thereatening behavoir, and cyber harrassment, and generaly
moral turitute

nothing but the facts

in the end I suspect they may well take no action agianst but we shall
see
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4556. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:31:18 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <GlO8f.7805$sA4.5924@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 122385
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:TuqdnaNPmP9JNP7enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>>> And I'm afraid you shouldn't have messed with me, I don't forget...
>>> --
>>> huLLy
>>
>> yes you do......
>
> Oh no I don't!
> --
> huLLy

I don't ...you do......

4557. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:42:45 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <2eadnRoZ1r8EKf7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 122386
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jim.gm4dhj wrote:

> I don't ...you do......

I hope you're not going on about that tuner.

As you've given up, it would have been a waste of time now, wouldn't it?
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4558. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:49:44 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <YCO8f.23675$65.4812@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 122387
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:2eadnRoZ1r8EKf7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>
>> I don't ...you do......
>
> I hope you're not going on about that tuner.
>
> As you've given up, it would have been a waste of time now, wouldn't it?
> --
> huLLy

what tuner ? .....

4559. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 17:55:14 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <uqqdnZVn27IeKv7eRVn-hA@giganews.com>
Source record: 122388
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jim.gm4dhj wrote:
> "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:2eadnRoZ1r8EKf7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>> jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>>
>>> I don't ...you do......
>>
>> I hope you're not going on about that tuner.
>>
>> As you've given up, it would have been a waste of time now, wouldn't
>> it? --
>> huLLy
>
> what tuner ? .....

Never attempt a coherent argument with an incoherent scotsman
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4560. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 18:19:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <a6adnYBSCIIWM_7enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122381
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
>>Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here
>>radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will the
>>IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law
>>breaking cber I see.
>
>
> Does this mean you think all CB'ers are law breakers???
>
>

No, not all chicken banders are law breakers, but a very large portion are.

If Dr IQ is running an external linear he certainly is. If he is going
to build a cb transmitter, which will not be type accepted and put it on
the air he certainly will be.

4561. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 18:21:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <a6adnYNSCIKPMv7enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> Re: FAQ
>
> CmdrBuzzCorey (me@that.ten) wrote in rec.radio.cb on Fri, Oct 28, 2005 at 10:14pm (EDT-3)
> Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will the IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law breaking cber I see.
> __
> Lee Scott kc8ldo. November 10. Judge Wirth. Repeat offender. Possible jail time.
>

Confused again I see. Who is Lee Scott and what does he have to do with
the thread?

4562. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 18:30:14 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <WoWdnZsHWN2QLP7eRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

RT wrote:
> The expiration date which is being quoted for Mr Baxter's license is
> meaningless. Mr Baxter's legal team has established legal precedent
> that he can continue to operate legally past this date.
>
> Anyone who thinks the ARRL, the FCC, or Hollingsworth have the
> best interests of ham radio in mind, is in bad need of a reality
> check.
>

Anyone who thinks the boy broadcaster Baxter is good for ham radio is in
need of a brain check.

4563. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 18:33:31 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <%fP8f.37338$S_1.34666@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 122389
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:uqqdnZVn27IeKv7eRVn-hA@giganews.com...
> jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>> "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:2eadnRoZ1r8EKf7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>> jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>>>
>>>> I don't ...you do......
>>>
>>> I hope you're not going on about that tuner.
>>>
>>> As you've given up, it would have been a waste of time now, wouldn't
>>> it? --
>>> huLLy
>>
>> what tuner ? .....
>
> Never attempt a coherent argument with an incoherent scotsman
> --
> huLLy
> Mobile phone 07976 123278
> ICQ 136-987-925
>
```

4564. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 18:34:12 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <EgP8f.37339$S_1.33443@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 122390
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:uqqdnZVn27IeKv7eRVn-hA@giganews.com...
> jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>> "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:2eadnRoZ1r8EKf7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>> jim.gm4dhj wrote:
>>>
>>>> I don't ...you do......
>>>
>>> I hope you're not going on about that tuner.
>>>
>>> As you've given up, it would have been a waste of time now, wouldn't
>>> it? --
>>> huLLy
>>
>> what tuner ? .....
>
> Never attempt a coherent argument with an incoherent scotsman
> --
> huLLy

what tuner ? ......
```

4565. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 18:44:51 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130611491.796763.25040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122391
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your offering below, riddled as it is with childish
insults illustrates well that you are a CBer.

Peter wrote:
> NO...
> It IS your intention to insult them, just behind their backs so you run less risk
> of them replying. Your post was not really intended as an "FAQ", it was purely
> a relatively "safe" release for your personal anger.
> Wuss.
> If you must start seeing this as a class system, look up "Amateur" in a dictionary.
> Please consider the meaning of the word before suggesting that an "Amateur" is
> above the professionals who design and build equipment to the standards set by
> Governments, standards far above that required by an *amateur* producing
> equipment for his own personal use.
> Comparing, as you call them, "disgraceful Class Ber's" to 6 year old children is clearly
> intended to insult people.
> Please think before displaying such ignorance and stupidity by blaming CBers for the
rules...
> they have absolutely NO CONTROL over such matters. CBers neither set the rules nor claim
to
> represent "members", that woukld be Ofcom and the RSGB.
> CBers do NOT see Amateur and CB as "sisters", it is people like the RSGB, the RA and
Ofcom and
> a certain magazine publisher who see it that way and make decisions to mix, combine and
change
> rules and formats.
> Any sensible CBer would prefer to keep Amateur and CB separate, as they are separate
services
> for totally different purposes.
> If you must get so angry, do yourself a couple of favours...
> 1. Blame and whine at the correct people, those able to make the changes.
> 2. Watch your blood pressure, OM.
> I agree with keeping the Amateur requirements higher. I agree that it is now too
> simple, and has been for some years. I believe that Amateur radio should be for those
> with a real interest in radio, and tests should be set to allow those people through -
not
> to get the licence figures up.
> But it's pointless blaming people for taking what is on offer, sitting the test required
to
> get their licence.

4566. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 19:04:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130612666.091828.29000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122392

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 28 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

ALL to Date	Since FR Notice
Grand Total 3199	1217
Indeterminate (note 1) 202	89
Value for Percentages 2997	1128
Against NPRM (note 2) 949 [31.66%]	390 [34.57%]
For NPRM (note 3) 1540 [51.38%]	504 [44.68%]
Test Extra Only (note 4) 508 [16.95%]	234 [20.74%]

Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 2 PM EDT 29 Oct 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, two foreign resident
submissions, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code
test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept
eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.

Stay tuned...the future of U.S. amateur radio is being made,
like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4567. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 19:41:03 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk0j8slet1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122393
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:a6adnYBSCIIWM_7enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
> >>Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here
> >>radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will the
> >>IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law
> >>breaking cber I see.
> >
> >
> > Does this mean you think all CB'ers are law breakers???
> >
> >
>
> No, not all chicken banders are law breakers, but a very large portion
are.
>
> If Dr IQ is running an external linear he certainly is. If he is going
> to build a cb transmitter, which will not be type accepted and put it on
> the air he certainly will be.

Type approved.... hmm, its a bit of a strange one that ... which makes me
think "type approved" for Amateur is something home made from bits of
anything.... not tested by anyone... just soldered together by an Amateur so
it must be perfect ...right? No spurious emmisions or any interference
because the person cobbling it together is an Amateur..... Now no
disrespect here is aimed at Amateurs, just some of the laws governing the
hobby seem to be crazy. Amateurs building their own kit and having it tested
via some professional body before use i can accept.... but does that ticket
mean you can build your own "boots" and use it no matter how bad it may be
made? It seems so..... sod type approval eh. Or were you just relating to
"licence conditions"
I know a few Amateurs who are law breakers too. Using their illegally
adapted Amateur equipment to listen in to CB frequencies i believe is a NO
NO, unless you have a CB licence... to which would Amateurs actually bother
to get a CB licence? Are the radios actually legal to use on the CB bands??
i dont know 100% so i ask the question. We all do things from time to time
that are deemed illegal.... so your statement on "No, not all chicken
banders are law breakers, but a very large portion are" could be said
against any group of people.

Whats your opinion on M3's? Do you encorage them or see them as a stain on
Amateur radio? Im just curious by the way.

Regards,
Graham

4568. Did You Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:00:03 UTC
From: Deepa Cholak <dc@deepcholaker.org>
Message-ID: <dk0ka7$a17$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122394

Did you know that Oregon Democrats are the stupidest of
the stupid in the Dumocrap party?

Dumocraps, the party of stupid.

Democrats, eternally stuck on stupid.

4569. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:21:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130617294.608050.222530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122395

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> >>
> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> >
> >> that is you right
> >
> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
>
> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you

Bullshit, Marky.

> >
> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
> >will you be as equally tolerant?
>
> you have posted my address before for no reason at all

You mean that cardboard box on N. River Road in Chassell, Marky?

> >
> >> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
> >> to report you
> >
> > You're not going to "report" squat", Markie..
>
> wrong again

You just keep lying about "reporting" people, dumbass.

> >.Any more than you
> >"talked" with the FBI or
> wrong again
> > that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.
>
> you got that right and explained it years ago

You are a "Colonel"in the KFC fry cook army.

> >
> >> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> >> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> >> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
> >
> > File away, Markie!
> >
> > And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
> >found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
> >imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.
>
> no malicious complaint will be filed
>
> just the facts

You wouldn't know facts, retard.

> such you enage in false accustion of Pedophilia, you make false claims
> about HIV statuts you make false claim claim of Sexual orietetation,
> fals eclaims about people mental status that you engage in hate
> speech, thereatening behavoir, and cyber harrassment, and generaly
> moral turitute

STFU, perverted deviant and child abuser.

> nothing but the facts
>
> in the end I suspect they may well take no action agianst but we shall
> see

In other words, more Marky bullshit and lies.

4570. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:23:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130617411.388673.18450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 07:18:32 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 28 Oct 2005 13:00:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:11:12 -0500, "DrDeath"
> >> >> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >news:1130324527.072518.153480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> >>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >>> >
> >> >> >>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >>> >
> >> >> >>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
> >> >> >>> >> >too.
> >> >> >>> >>
> >> >> >>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
> >> >> >>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
> >> >> >>> >
> >> >> >>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
> >> >> >>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >>> another lie Stevie
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
> >> >> >> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
> >> >> >cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.
> >> >>
> >> >> it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it
> >> >

> >> >Speaking from first hand experience, Marky?
> >>
> >> nope and you are proving you can't read
> >
> >I'd say it was true, child abuser
> there is you read/write problem again
^^

And you prove that you are an illiterate retard, once again.

> >. You are the one who constantly
> >proves they can't read, you illiterate son of a bitch.

4571. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:24:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130617493.756451.25630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> Well...I'll have you know that I am a chronic depressive and I find being in
> the presence of the gay and happy to be quite uncomfortable!

Lloyd's happy now that his idol Mr> Sulu outed himself to be a fellow
cock-gobbler.

>
> "LLoyd Davies" <N0VFP@fu.com> wrote in message
> news:nvi8l1hjob5rbhju3ji78pfe8q2ksgoram@4ax.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
> > wrote:
> >> THIS from a self admitted FAG.
> >>
> > What's wrong with being a fag?

4572. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:29:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130617794.006707.51860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Queer cock gobbling like Mr. Sulu
Lloyd wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 07:44:29 -0700, whinyslit_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > Lardass Davies posting under Digital<SLAP!>

Plagiarizing Davies whines again.

> >
>
> Wrong, <Hi Lardass!!!! You are so fucking obvious, Davies.>
> > Plagiarizing again, Lardass!
> >
> >
> > > And I'm not the Lloyd to which you refer.
> >
> > Bullshit, Davies.
>
<Lloydie posted the same little tune at NimBusters. He's too stupid
and thinks he's fooling people>

The thing is, tubby, you seem to think Roger is posting under several
other names over at NimBusters and elsewhere. He owns your fat dumb gay
fat ass. You can't go two fucking minutes without posting about him.

> Yep:

Glad you agree, you goo gobbling, mentally ill, genetically inferior 32
year old VIRGIN because you are a homosexual.

4573. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:43:58 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130618638.849629.218260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122400
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Also known as the M3/CB Fools' Licence - any 6 year old, having
no previous knowledge or experience of Ham Radio can attend a
weekend course and leave on the Monday with a licence.

The scheme is a complete joke and held in widespread
derision by any self-respecting _REAL_ Brit Radio Ham.

No 6 year old has the mathematical toolset to deal with
even the most simple of algebraic transpositions that are
necessary to deal with problems involvng Ohm's Law.

The M3 is a CB licence, nothing more, nothing less.

It's purpose is to fill the coffers of a Brit publishing
company, the RSCB - The Radio Society for Citizen's Band.

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> I must confess I haven't acquainted myself with M3's. Is this a test
> free amateur license?

4574. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:50:03 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <5vqdnbys6tnhff7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 122401
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:

> It's purpose is to fill the coffers of a Brit publishing
> company, the RSCB - The Radio Society for Citizen's Band.
>

It's 'its' you fuckwit!
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4575. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 20:51:09 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <T4qdndhugIojff7eRVn-vw@giganews.com>
Source record: 122402
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:
> Polymath wrote:
>
>> It's purpose is to fill the coffers of a Brit publishing
>> company, the RSCB - The Radio Society for Citizen's Band.
>>
>
> It's 'its' you fuckwit!

and Citizens' Band... what a poor disgrace you are to our worldwide
associates..
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4576. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 21:39:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <t9SdnSFdvdD_QP7eRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122399
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:a6adnYBSCIIWM_7enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>
>>The Magnum wrote:
>>
>>>>Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here
>>>>radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will the
>>>>IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law
>>>>breaking cber I see.
>>>
>>>
>>>Does this mean you think all CB'ers are law breakers???
>>>
>>>
>>
>>No, not all chicken banders are law breakers, but a very large portion
>
> are.
>
>>If Dr IQ is running an external linear he certainly is. If he is going
>>to build a cb transmitter, which will not be type accepted and put it on
>>the air he certainly will be.
>
>
> Type approved.... hmm, its a bit of a strange one that ... which makes me
> think "type approved" for Amateur is something home made from bits of
> anything.... not tested by anyone... just soldered together by an Amateur so
> it must be perfect ...right? No spurious emmisions or any interference
> because the person cobbling it together is an Amateur..... Now no
> disrespect here is aimed at Amateurs, just some of the laws governing the
> hobby seem to be crazy. Amateurs building their own kit and having it tested
> via some professional body before use i can accept.... but does that ticket
> mean you can build your own "boots" and use it no matter how bad it may be
> made? It seems so..... sod type approval eh. Or were you just relating to
> "licence conditions"

Maybe the rules are different where you are, but in the US amateurs can
construct any of their equipment, but it *is their responsibility* to
test it and make sure it operates legally. If it doesn't they can be
held accountable by the FCC. Granted, there are few today, due to lack
of technical knowledge that can do that. It wasn't that long ago
however, that finding a ham station completely constructed by the

amateur wasn't uncommon or often surplus military gear would be modified
for use on the amateur bands. With the plug-and-talk equipment of today,
easier test with given answers that can be memorized, the technical
expertise of the amateur is sadly lacking

> I know a few Amateurs who are law breakers too. Using their illegally
> adapted Amateur equipment to listen in to CB frequencies i believe is a NO
> NO, unless you have a CB licence...

In the US any ham or anyone else can legally listen in on cb frequencies.

> to which would Amateurs actually bother
> to get a CB licence?

Since there is no requirement here for a cb license I don't suppose any
amateur would bother.

> Are the radios actually legal to use on the CB bands??

Only radios that are type accepted for cb use are legal to use on the cb
bands. There is a problem here with imported radios that have 'expanded'
channels that will also operate on the 10 meter ham band. These radios
are not 'type certified' for cb use and they are purchased by unlicensed
persons and are often used on the 10 meter band. Some time back it was a
popular thing for amateurs to take cb radios and convert them for use on
the 10 meter band, just change the crystals, peak up the circuits and
you had a nice little mobile 10 meter radio. Once modified as such the
radios were no longer type certified nor legal for cb use but perfectly
legal for amateur use.

> i dont know 100% so i ask the question. We all do things from time to time
> that are deemed illegal.... so your statement on "No, not all chicken
> banders are law breakers, but a very large portion are" could be said
> against any group of people.

True, but there is a much larger percentage of illegal operation on the
cb band than on the amateur bands, at least here in the US. And we have
the 'freebanders' who for some strange reason think they have the right
to operate on the frequencies between the upper end of the cb band and
the lower end of 10 meters plus just about anywhere else they chose.
>
> Whats your opinion on M3's? Do you encorage them or see them as a stain on
> Amateur radio? Im just curious by the way.

I must confess I haven't acquainted myself with M3's. Is this a test
free amateur license?

4577. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 21:43:58 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <87017leper833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 29 Oct 2005 13:29:54 -0700, whinyslit_roger@yahoo.com wrote:
> Queer<SLAP!>

At least I don't have leprosy like you.

So anyway, leper Roger went into a bar. And Roger was in a really advanced state of decay, you know, like the werewolf's friend in American Werewolf in London, towards the end of the movie... Anyhow, he goes into this bar, sits down at the bar and says to the bartender, "Look, before I order, I'd like you to know that I'm aware of how my appearance affects some people, and I'll fully understand it if you refuse to serve me." The bartender, who is looking a little pasty-faced, says, "No, sir, I am a professional, and you are my customer. It is my pleasure to serve you. What would you like?" "A shot of whiskey, if it's not too much trouble." "Coming right up, sir." The bartender pours the drink, then goes to the area behind the bar, ostensibly to wash some glasses, but the leper can hear him puking his guts out. When the bartender returns a moment later, wiping the corner of his mouth with a rag, the leper says, "Look, I told you I would understand. You didn't have to go through that for my sake!" To which the bartender replied, "I know that, sir, and I would like to assure you that I would have had no trouble, but for the last 5 minutes or so, the drunk next to you has been dipping his crackers in your arm."

4578. Re: Another Len Quote (was: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 21:58:16 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <p0s7m15645cuk5hlbe19hj23rqd6rfm5c4@4ax.com>
Source record: 122404

On 28 Oct 2005 14:58:47 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>snip

>I could go on...

(sigh) ....truer words have never been spoken....

>snip

>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

4579. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 22:10:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130623823.370035.158430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122405

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130560034.480523.179060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > news:1130490062.547661.155760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Len Anderson wrote the following quote:
> >>
> >> > > "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
> >> > > in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
> >> > > activity."
> >> > >
> >> > > - Len Anderson
> >> > >
> >> > > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmod
e=source&hl=en
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > The integration of youngsters into adult activities is one of the
> >> > beauties
> >> > of ham radio.
> >>
> >> Agreed!
> >>
> >> > This is one of the few opportunities that they have to learn
> >> > by direct participation on an equal footing with adults.
> >>
> >> And it has always been so, at least in the USA.
> >>
> >> > This opportunity
> >> > has been increasingly rare in modern times. The young normally tend to
> >> > be
> >> > isolated to non-adult activities and the adults are there simply as
> >> > supervisors, trainers, or "taxis."
> >>
> >> > It is truly a wonderful thing to see the youngsters, oldsters, and
> >> > those
> >> > in-between working together on projects.
> >>
> >> It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham
> >> bands,

> >> working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion, etc.,
> >> without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the
> >> "data modes" are better for this than voice.
> >>
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Obviously you've never heard of the Boy Scouts of America nor the Merit
> > Badge program.
> >
> > Best of luck catching up to the world as it presently exists.
> >
>
> The Boy Scouts is a youth activity program led and supervised by the adults.
> It is not an activity where the young are integrated into an adult activity
> and participating on an equal footing. The Scoutmaster leads the troop. On
> the other hand, in amateur radio, the 14 year old Extra can be a control op
> at HF while the 60 year old Tech cannot. I.e. In ham radio, the license is
> the controlling factor while age is irrelevant.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

So you think the Scoutmaster is the Merit Badge Coundelor?

4580. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 22:23:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130624620.294136.123120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122406

K4YZ wrote:

> From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.

That's not 20 years. What happened?

> Nothing else.

Where were you stationed? What did you do?

4581. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 22:25:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <I_2dnTL2x4d7a_7eRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 122407

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130623823.370035.158430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130560034.480523.179060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> > news:1130490062.547661.155760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> Len Anderson wrote the following quote:
>> >>
>> >> > > "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters"
>> >> > > in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational
>> >> > > activity."
>> >> > >
>> >> > > - Len Anderson
>> >> > >
>> >> > > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmo
de=source&hl=en
>> >> > >
>> >> >
>> >> > The integration of youngsters into adult activities is one of the
>> >> > beauties
>> >> > of ham radio.
>> >>
>> >> Agreed!
>> >>
>> >> > This is one of the few opportunities that they have to learn
>> >> > by direct participation on an equal footing with adults.
>> >>
>> >> And it has always been so, at least in the USA.
>> >>
>> >> > This opportunity
>> >> > has been increasingly rare in modern times. The young normally tend
>> >> > to
>> >> > be
>> >> > isolated to non-adult activities and the adults are there simply as
>> >> > supervisors, trainers, or "taxis."
>> >>
>> >> > It is truly a wonderful thing to see the youngsters, oldsters, and
>> >> > those

>> >> > in-between working together on projects.
>> >>
>> >> It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham
>> >> bands,
>> >> working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion, etc.,
>> >> without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the
>> >> "data modes" are better for this than voice.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > Obviously you've never heard of the Boy Scouts of America nor the Merit
>> > Badge program.
>> >
>> > Best of luck catching up to the world as it presently exists.
>> >
>>
>> The Boy Scouts is a youth activity program led and supervised by the
>> adults.
>> It is not an activity where the young are integrated into an adult
>> activity
>> and participating on an equal footing. The Scoutmaster leads the troop.
>> On
>> the other hand, in amateur radio, the 14 year old Extra can be a control
>> op
>> at HF while the 60 year old Tech cannot. I.e. In ham radio, the license
>> is
>> the controlling factor while age is irrelevant.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> So you think the Scoutmaster is the Merit Badge Coundelor?
>

Didn't say that. Said scouts are under the supervision and leadership of
adults and not on an equal footing with the adults.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4582. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 23:01:02 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk0v07pjq1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122408
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:t9SdnSFdvdD_QP7eRVn-tg@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
> > "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> > news:a6adnYBSCIIWM_7enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> >
> >>The Magnum wrote:
> >>
> >>>>Care to give some details like what method of SSB generation this here
> >>>>radio will use? Will this be a transmitter or tranceiver? What will
the
> >>>>IF frequency be? To go with your linear? So you are just another law
> >>>>breaking cber I see.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Does this mean you think all CB'ers are law breakers???
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>No, not all chicken banders are law breakers, but a very large portion
> >
> > are.
> >
> >>If Dr IQ is running an external linear he certainly is. If he is going
> >>to build a cb transmitter, which will not be type accepted and put it on
> >>the air he certainly will be.
> >
> >
> > Type approved.... hmm, its a bit of a strange one that ... which makes
me
> > think "type approved" for Amateur is something home made from bits of
> > anything.... not tested by anyone... just soldered together by an
Amateur so
> > it must be perfect ...right? No spurious emmisions or any interference
> > because the person cobbling it together is an Amateur..... Now no
> > disrespect here is aimed at Amateurs, just some of the laws governing
the
> > hobby seem to be crazy. Amateurs building their own kit and having it
tested
> > via some professional body before use i can accept.... but does that
ticket
> > mean you can build your own "boots" and use it no matter how bad it may
be

> > made? It seems so..... sod type approval eh. Or were you just relating
to
> > "licence conditions"
>
> Maybe the rules are different where you are, but in the US amateurs can
> construct any of their equipment, but it *is their responsibility* to
> test it and make sure it operates legally. If it doesn't they can be
> held accountable by the FCC. Granted, there are few today, due to lack
> of technical knowledge that can do that. It wasn't that long ago
> however, that finding a ham station completely constructed by the
> amateur wasn't uncommon or often surplus military gear would be modified
> for use on the amateur bands. With the plug-and-talk equipment of today,
> easier test with given answers that can be memorized, the technical
> expertise of the amateur is sadly lacking

Ive seen a few of the ex military gear on my frequent walks around the radio
rally meetings held in the UK at various times of the year. Ive even seen
small tanks for sale :o)

> > I know a few Amateurs who are law breakers too. Using their illegally
> > adapted Amateur equipment to listen in to CB frequencies i believe is a
NO
> > NO, unless you have a CB licence...
>
> In the US any ham or anyone else can legally listen in on cb frequencies.
>
> > to which would Amateurs actually bother
> > to get a CB licence?
>
> Since there is no requirement here for a cb license I don't suppose any
> amateur would bother.
>
> > Are the radios actually legal to use on the CB bands??
>
> Only radios that are type accepted for cb use are legal to use on the cb
> bands. There is a problem here with imported radios that have 'expanded'
> channels that will also operate on the 10 meter ham band. These radios
> are not 'type certified' for cb use and they are purchased by unlicensed
> persons and are often used on the 10 meter band. Some time back it was a
> popular thing for amateurs to take cb radios and convert them for use on
> the 10 meter band, just change the crystals, peak up the circuits and
> you had a nice little mobile 10 meter radio. Once modified as such the
> radios were no longer type certified nor legal for cb use but perfectly
> legal for amateur use.

Indeed. But what i was getting at was Amateur radios that can access the CB
bands not CB's themselves. I know in the UK if an amateur wants to converse
on the 27MHz CB band he needs a CB licence.. his Amateur licence doesnt
cover him/her for it. (or whether thats just M3 im not sure) Im sure someone
will let us know.

> > i dont know 100% so i ask the question. We all do things from time to
time
> > that are deemed illegal.... so your statement on "No, not all chicken
> > banders are law breakers, but a very large portion are" could be said
> > against any group of people.
>
> True, but there is a much larger percentage of illegal operation on the
> cb band than on the amateur bands, at least here in the US. And we have
> the 'freebanders' who for some strange reason think they have the right
> to operate on the frequencies between the upper end of the cb band and
> the lower end of 10 meters plus just about anywhere else they chose.
> >
> > Whats your opinion on M3's? Do you encorage them or see them as a stain
on
> > Amateur radio? Im just curious by the way.
>
> I must confess I haven't acquainted myself with M3's. Is this a test
> free amateur license?

In the UK its a simple test (which only an idiot would fail) to get people started on the Amateur bands. I think the main frequencies being 2m. Im not sure where else they can go but its limited compaired to a full amateur and the powers less. Most UK Amateurs are horrified that their airspace is being invaded by these "CB type lowlife" (their words not mine) which suprises me as i firmly believe anyone gaining their M3 licence would go on to Intermediate... etc not stop there so i cant see why the "old hams" arent encoraging them rather than belittling them.
http://rsgb.org.uk/beginners/ under foundation

Regards,
Graham

4583. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 23:04:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130627076.092083.25560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122409

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>>I just hope he chooses to leave (with the rest that don't want to
> >>>>>>discuss any issue)
> >>>>>
> >>>>>God, where the Bible lies, distance to the moon, how the tests have
> >>>>>gotten easier, why a Morse Code Test would be a barrier to Morse Code
> >>>>>use, why they can't get a helium balloon off the ground, the amateur
> >>>>>radio service during WWII, etc, etc, etc,.
> >>>>
> >>>>You left out the 143 tellings of what the U.S. Army used at ADA a half
> >>>>century ago, numerous recountings of Len Anderson having passed an exam
> >>>>for the First Phone a half century ago, various tales of how many houses
> >>>>and cars Len has and what things he paid *CASH* for.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>Wrong again. Len will not be invited to Mike's BBS for the retelling
> >>>of ADA comms.
> >>
> >>Please refer to the portion reading, "the rest that don't want to
> >>discuss any issue". Most of Len's rants are not discussions of issues.
> >
> > Please stay on topic.
>
> I've re-read your post, searching for any small portion where you seem
> to be on topic.

That's because you've veered us off the topic.

> >>>But maybe after you guys huddle on Mike's BBS for a while and figure
> >>>out how far it is to the moon, Jim can report back here.
> >>
> >>I haven't written anything about going anywhere. If I haven't left over
> >>your playing red-hatted monkey and haven't left over Len's stream of
> >>conciousness rants, Colonel Mark's mindless drivel and Roger Wiseman's
> >>filth aren't likely to result in my departure.
> >
> > You've got "staying power." Excellent. Too bad you're almost never on

> > topic.
>
> Here's the thread's topic: "Lennie Reveals True Identity of
> 'Dudly'....And It Is HE!" Please point to any portion of your initial
> or subsequent posts which are on topic.

No, that's the thread's "subject."

> >>I do find it interesting that you and Mark have found so much common ground.
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> > We're two of a handful of people on RRAP who have received veiled
> > threats.
>
> ...or so you both perceive.

Steve says to be threatened, you only have to perceive a threat. Is
Steve a liar?

> You two both seem to have common ground in
> your perception of yourselves as victims as well.

I refuse to be a victim. Steve has been warned away.

> > I don't like bullying behavio[u]r, and neither does Mark.
>
> ...and contrary to what you've stated, you're both quick to dismiss it
> if it comes from Leonard H. Anderson.

How can I dismiss it if Len has never bullied me?

> > Gosh, whe have so much in common.
>
> You certainly do. You're both victims of that morse code testing demon.
>
> Dave K8MN

Not at all. I took the test. I passed it. A ham I am.

4584. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 23:17:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130627835.490205.192130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122410

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >
> >>On 27 Oct 2005 04:37:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>> He's repeatedly stated that his ONLY reason for being "here" is to
> >>>"debate" the Morse Code test issue.
> >>>
> >>> More often than not he's ranting off on the "Mighty Morsemen"
> >>>tangent without even mentioning the tests.
> >>
> >>which right on target if a bit wordy
> >
> >
> > At least it has to do with what's wrong with Morse testing.
> >
> Not at all.

Far closer to the topic of Morse Code testing that you guys fumbling
about trying to figure how far it is to the moon.

> It has more to do with what's wrong with Len.

Is there something wrong with Len?

> If you read
> his windy diatribes, you'd think he'd been beaten with a rusty Vibroplex
> as a child.
>
> Dave K8MN

How do you know that he wasn't?

4585. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 23:34:56 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <jn18m1dbft25gtviiae5k49n54828ekkqs@4ax.com>
Source record: 122411
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 23:47:24 +0100, Nedlar <Sam@Techno.invalid> wrote:

>On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 21:50:03 +0100, "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
>wrote:
>
>
>>It's 'its' you fuckwit!
>
>Why don't you correct your CB chum Magnum?
>His postings are typical of the semi-literate, brain-dead CBr.

Yes, but he's a Yank. Illiteracy is expected of them.

--

73 de Jock.

"You will always find that those who are most apt to
boast of national merit, have little or no merit of
their own to depend on". - Oliver Goldsmith.

4586. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-29 23:38:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130629136.691479.307330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Whiny CUNT Lloyd whined:
> On 29 Oct 2005 13:29:54 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Queer<SLAP!>
>
> At least I don't have leprosy like you.

No, you have syphilis and god knows what else from your sodomy and
fellatio activities.

Mainly you are such a genetic reject, that nobody wants much to do with
you. That's why you'll be spending Saturday night all alone and drunk
in your filthy HUD apartment.

><plagiarized material flushed>

A Night At The Bordello

An opera in one act and two scenes. The scene is a bordello in New
Orleans with following characters:

Lardass Lloyd - castratto tenor.
Holsten - baritone.
The Madam - contralto

Scene 1

Holsten and Lardass Lloyd appear and sing a pathetic duet lamenting
their poverty. They only have 10 dollars between them, and are horny.
What to do, they think? They knock on the door, the Madam appears and
sings a brief aria extolling the virtues of her brisky business.

Finally, she says: "And what can I do for you two fine gentlemen?"

Holsten replies, to a Wagnerian melody:

"What, oh what can we get for $10.00?"

She replies, in a Rossinian staccato:

"For $10.00, you can blow each other"

After a trio where they all express their feelings, the two leave.

Scene 2--the same bordello, an hour later

A trio between the Madam and two of her girls is heard inside. There is
a
knocking at the door!!!! It is Holsten and Lardass!!!!!. The Madam

asks:

And what is it now?

Lardass sings an aria: "We are two honest gentlemen, and we have come to pay."

Curtain.

4587. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 00:51:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1g08m11341vmjoh7f5g3ch3rm9pamev8lk@4ax.com>
Source record: 122413

On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 02:12:30 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130231550.240134.65400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> Now you were saying something about standards.....???
>> >
>> > Yep.
>> >
>> > I was saying you don't measure up. And obviously the Marines felt
>> >the same way...Twice...
>> >
>> > Coward.
>>
>> Let's talk about standards, Dudly. For instance, how do you feel about
>> a Marine who serves barely a month out of each year for 18 years, yet
>> ascends through the ranks as quickly as one who serves active duty
>> during the same time? Do you think that those are equal standards? I
>> don't think you do, Dudly, and neither does anyone else who served
>> during the time that you "served".
>
> One weekend a month?
>
> WTF are you talking about, Disgraced One?
>
> From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
>Nothing else.

Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.

>> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
>> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
>> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
>> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
>> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
>> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
>> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
>
> Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
>with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
>"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
>September 74 when I went active.

Dudly engages in damage control.....

> As for "skating out of his oath", that has you written ALL OVER
>IT, Miss Silliland...the boy who had to get his discharge "upgraded".

.....as evidenced by my recent sex-change operation. And further proof
of your lies since a discharge (general or honorable) or retirement
puts you on inactive reserve. If your "only 'reserve' time" was prior
to boot camp then your discharge could only have been either medical
or administrative. And you have already stated that it was medical. A
medical discharge is -NOT- an honorable discharge. It may be a general
discharge under honorable conditions, but we both know that's not the
same thing, don't we, Dudly?

> BTW, Miss Silliland...even if I HAD been a reservist, so what?
>Guess who makes up the lion's share of the Total Force concept, and
>who's "carrying the day" in Iraq as we speak?
>
> Reservists and National Guardsmen.
>
> So much for your "one weekend" standard theory, eh?

Too bad you didn't think of that before you decided to hide the fact
that you were a reservist yourself. But you and I both know that,
between Vietnam and the first Gulf War, the reservists developed a
less-than-respectable reputation because they were almost never called
to active duty. That's why us Marines on active duty called you guys
"weekend warriors", and why you decided to hide that fact when you
told people that you were a Marine.

>> Just one more "standard", Dudly..... have you ever heard of "guilt by
>> association"? I'm sure you have. But there is also a thing called
>> "credit by association", where someone claims credit for the honorable
>> actions of others for no reason other than the fact that he is allowed
>> to wear the same uniform. You wouldn't know anyone who would do such a
>> thing, would you, Dudly? Like maybe someone who claims to have been
>> personally involved in "seven hostile actions" when it was really some
>> -other- Marines who were digging the red mud out of their boots in
>> Beirut?
>
> If you want to go for the "credit by association" gig, Cowardly
>One, go talk to Lennie Anderson...He's given us lengthy stories of how
>his rear-area radio mechanic duties qualified him to speak on a great
>many military subjects as if he "was there"...Oh, and it also put him
>on the same par with guys who were KIA three YEARS before he was even
>inducted. And don't forget to ask him about the "sphinchter" post and
>how he served "under the threat of the Soviet Bear bomber..."

Typical liar; you try to justify your lies by telling how someone else
is a bigger liar. Forget about Lennie -- this isn't about anyone else
but you, Dudly.

> As for "credit by association" and other such things, that's why I
>don't discuss my Marine Corps career here other than to state that I
>did...

But you -have- discussed your "career" on occasion, usually disclosing
information that was speculative, mythological, foraged from novels
and movies, or just flat-out wrong.

>Deceivers such as yourself and Lennie Anderson like to take sport
>with other people's service.

Again, this isn't about Lennie. Do try to remain focused.

> This post of yours is a prime example.
>
>> You have never disclosed your reservist status because you wanted the
>> respect earned by those who made the Marines a proud organization, but
>> you never earned that respect by performing the same duties.
>
> Lies, lies and more lies.
>
> And even more substantiation of my belief that your dismissal from
>the Marine Corps was not only warranted, but "for the good of the
>Corps".

I have scanned and posted more than enough documentation to prove my
record of service. I demonstrated that such proof can be provided
without disclosing important info, like an SSN. But you have provided
nothing more than a few unsubstantiated claims -- you don't have the
courage to provide proof of your "career". It wouldn't require any
courage to do so -IF- your claims were true. But they aren't. Hence
your fear to disclose the truth.

>> And you chickened out of your oath and your responsibility to your country
>> when it came time to put your ass on the line. Yet you have the gall
>> to sit your fat ass and criticize -me-, an honorably discharged...(SNIP)
>
> Unh unh uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!
>
> You were NOT "honorably discharged"...
>
> You were discharged as LESS than honorable, Mr Wordmeister.
>
> You were "upgraded". You got the benefit of the doubt on your
>cutting scores for the POST-discharge "upgrade".

Didn't we discuss this "cutting score" thing before? The Marine Corps
doesn't use "cutting scores" for discharges, let alone promotions.
Discharges are based upon your proficiency and conduct marks.

>> (UNSNIP)...Beirut vet, just because I got into a couple scrapes. Steve, you are an
>> honor-thief, a malingering coward, and a sniveling sack of ####.
>
> Sorry, you Cowardly Putz...wrong on so many levels.

>
> But then you're USED to being wrong, aren't you?
>
> Wrong enough to get COURT MARTIALED. Twice...
>
> Article 15 punishments are "scrapes". The Sergeant Major puts you
>on "firewatch" for a month for "scrapes".

The Company First Sergeant usually handles NJP, not a Sergeant Major (which is a rank for Battalion- or Regiment-level posts). And NJP typically results in restriction, not firewatch. More proof that you don't know squat about the -real- Marine Corps.

> Your NCOIC has you field day
>the shop or stand extra duty for "scrapes".

NCOIC? Don't you mean 'squad leader', 'platoon sergeant', or 'shop chief'? But none of them have the authority to conduct a proceeding under Article 15. Dudly, the more you talk the more you demonstrate the fact that you never served on active duty. Keep up the good work!

> YOU were FOUND GUILTY for OFFENSES.

I was found guilty of CRIMES! Lions and tigers and bears.... OH MY!!!

> And who's polishing WHOSE brass with "credit by association" here,
>Mr Alleged Beirut Marine?

I posted proof. If you didn't read it then that's your problem.

> Whether you believe it or not, I was as
>close to exploding things as you were, but it does not make me any
>better than anyone else who served in those theaters...just lucky.

We all threw a live grenade in boot camp, Dudly. That "theater" is not a war zone where you have to duck tracer fire, mortar rounds or RPGs fired by people who are trying to kill you. You never served in a "theater" where they don't call 'time-out' when a bullet drills through your leg. And I'm absolutely certain that you never served in any "theater" where dead people were left on the streets to get eaten by rats for fear of ending up the same way. But go right ahead and keep trying to convince people that you served in such a "theater" because those of us who did know better and will set them straight.

>> >Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> You had your chance to fess up and now you've been outed.
>
> Outed? For what? Your lies and accusations made so you can fluff
>your ego over berating a former SNCO and getting away with it...?!?!

Twisting the facts doesn't help your case, Dudly.

>> Nobody likes you and nobody ever will.
>
> As opposed to all of those teeming masses laying roses at your
>feet, court martialed coward? I'll take my chances here.

Well, it's a sure bet that the VFW won't take you.....

>> Do the right thing for once in your life and go away.
>
> Bullies and liars don't impress me, coward.

Name-calling doesn't impress anyone, Dudly. Does it sound like I'm
trying to impress you? If it does then you're dumber than I thought.

> I've given you (and indeed this forum) numerous references to
>PUBLIC sources that prove all your silly accusations for the malicious
>lies that they are...Boot Camp platoon...Promotion Boards....MOS's....

And I can say, "Visit the Vatican", but that doesn't mean I was ever
there, or even that I'm Catholic (which I'm not). Your references
prove nothing. Post your DD-214 and maybe, -JUST MAYBE-, you might be
able to crawl out of your hole. But, of course, you can't because then
you would be forced to concede all the lies you have told about your
"career", and you are too much of a coward to fess up to the truth.

> Frank Gilliland is a liar and a coward. You weren't "stand up"
>enough to do what you promised to do in the Marine Corps, and you're a
>mudslinging punk here.
>
> You'll always be second place to someone, if for no other reason
>that you just don't have the guts to do otherwise.

It seems that, in lieu of providing proof of your "career", all you
can do is harp on the one issue you think gives you an edge -- my
CRIMES. But that doesn't take any courage, does it? And it's not
getting you anywhere, especially when you spin the facts regarding the
incidents, and when I am quite proud to admit that I had the courage
to stand up to a corrupt "superior". But it -is- entertaining to watch
you try and squirm your way out of being confronted with your big lie.

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

ooh-fucking-rah.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4588. Re: Frankie of Silliland: A Coward Who Lied To And Stole From His Country Lectures About "Integrity"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 01:13:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fd68m19tvm7sptjbbb2s1bvp5avb85bfom@4ax.com>
Source record: 122414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 29 Oct 2005 03:29:24 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130581764.258767.17100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip proof of comedic ineptitude>
>> > It would have been a matter of record in an Artcle 15 proceeding,
>> >too.
>>
>> Wrong again, Dudly. The proceedings and evidence are not recorded for
>> an Article 15, only the 'crime' and punishment.
>
> Wrong. Idiot.

Well gee, Dudly, how would you know? Since you are so knowlegable
about such things, what page in the SRB holds the transcript of an
Article 15 hearing? And for that fact, what page is used to record
crimes and punishments?

>> > Dumb, Frankie...really, REALLY dumb.
>>
>> Well, maybe you should take that up with the attorney who was
>> appointed to my case and made that recommendation.
>
> And all the attorney COULD do was RECOMMEND.
>
> YOU were the one who had to make the DECISION, Frankie.

Where did I say anything to the contrary?

> Don't try and slide out from under YOUR mistakes, frankie.

I made no mistake, Dudly -- it is -you- who doesn't know squat about
the UCMJ.

<snip>
>> > "Vindication" only in that you allow yourself that "warm fuzzy"
>> >for it. It has no legal bearing.
>>
>> Wrong yet again, Dudly: It had enough "legal bearing" to change the
>> status of my discharge.
>
> Perhaps.

Fact.

> But the CONVICTIONS still stand.

Yes they do. I seem to recall having said the very same thing several
posts ago.

> Convictions that may
>keep you from federal contracts and/or security clearances should you
>need one for contract work in the future.

They haven't been a problem so far. My security clearance has never
been revoked. I had a job cleaning a bank while working my way through
college. I also had a contract a few years ago to design and build a
custom security system. I even have a concealed weapons permit. And I
have no plans to start a new career in law enforcement. So I guess the
only problem is the one you created in your imagination, Dudly.

>> > And it was your second OFFENSE..."infractions" are dealt with at
>> >Article 15. You, by your own admission, blew that off.
>>
>> More semantics..... gee, I'm devastated. Really. And I mean that.
>
> There's no "semantics" to it, Frankie. And I could care less
>about how "devastated" you are. Your lack of Honor and honesty are
>"devastating" enough.

I haven't been honest about my military service? Hmmmm.....

> The Marines did the best possible thing with
>you...sent ya packin'.

That might have been true had they not asked me to extend for a
West-Pac float with 2nd AAV, but I declined.

<snip>
>> > See..that's where we part ways, Frankie...My service never had to
>> >be UPGRADED to Honorable...It was that way all along.
>>
>> Uh-huh. Sure thing, Dudly. That's why you claimed to have been
>> discharged for medical reasons, huh? Probably because you are in the
>> early stages of Alzheimer's......
>
> Your lack of grasp of the facts is hillarious.

It's in the google archives, written by you, in your own words. If it
isn't a fact then you lied. If it -is- a fact then you are lying now.
Either way, you just proved once again that you are a liar.

>> >> <snip>
>>
>> Yet another snip-job -- too bad you can't address your failures.
>
> Poor redirect to others "failures" in order to mitigate your own
>humiliation.
>
> Lame.

You're the expert.

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

Don't look now but the medals on your uniform are tarnished.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4589. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 01:37:07 UTC
From: CBers=CHOADS <blowme@home.com>
Message-ID: <7tV8f.161393$Il5.49560@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 122415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

It will be a grand day when Glenn "the Choad" Baxtor fades away.
All of Amateur Radio piss on his grave.

4590. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 01:42:40 UTC
From: John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dcdc4ee80c97ed69896fb@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 122416
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1130618638.849629.218260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
> Also known as the M3/CB Fools' Licence - any 6 year old, having
> no previous knowledge or experience of Ham Radio can attend a
> weekend course and leave on the Monday with a licence.
>

So, Polymath, what have you homebrewed lately?

-- jm

---
http://www.qsl.net/ke5fx
Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam

4591. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 01:51:13 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xp3g1@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xp3g1@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122420

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
> It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham
> bands, working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion,
> etc., without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the
> "data modes" are better for this than voice.
>

Why are those modes "better" than voice?

Seems that a voice conversation, under almost every circumstance, would lead to a richer exchange of information and better interperson understanding than either Morse or "data" modes. Voices naturally convey emotion and mood, allow an almost continuous range of emphasis, and can use different inflection to add subtle but important syntactic variations to many words. None of this is possible in Morse or "data" modes, except in some very crude ways such as emoticons.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4592. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 01:55:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hr98m1dmv6oqrh0ma6vl7f5njqevjtjcn7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122417

On 29 Oct 2005 13:21:34 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>> >>
>> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>> >
>> >> that is you right
>> >
>> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
>>
>> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
>
>Bullshit, Marky.

simple truth
>
>> >
>> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
>> >will you be as equally tolerant?
>>
>> you have posted my address before for no reason at all
>
>You mean that cardboard box on N. River Road in Chassell, Marky?

no what you talking about whiny
>
>> >
>> >> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
>> >> to report you
>> >
>> > You're not going to "report" squat", Markie..
>>
>> wrong again
>
>You just keep lying about "reporting" people, dumbass.

not at all
not a word of of is a lie
>
>> >.Any more than you
>> >"talked" with the FBI or
>> wrong again
>> > that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.
>>
>> you got that right and explained it years ago
>
>You are a "Colonel"in the KFC fry cook army.
>
>> >
>> >> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
>> >> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
>> >> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
>> >
>> > File away, Markie!
>> >
>> > And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
>> >found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
>> >imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.
>>
>> no malicious complaint will be filed
>>
>> just the facts
>
>You wouldn't know facts, retard.

I sure do know facts and I know the difference between sfact and
opinions
>
>> such you enage in false accustion of Pedophilia, you make false claims
>> about HIV statuts you make false claim claim of Sexual orietetation,
>> fals eclaims about people mental status that you engage in hate
>> speech, thereatening behavoir, and cyber harrassment, and generaly
>> moral turitute
>
>STFU, perverted deviant and child abuser.
>
>> nothing but the facts
>>
>> in the end I suspect they may well take no action agianst but we shall
>> see
>
>In other words, more Marky bullshit and lies.
nope simple truth

either they will act againsy Stevie and take action to portect the
citizens of TN from such an abusive Nurse or they will prove Stevie a
liar again

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4593. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 02:00:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <u3a8m1ttolaj0e17f3i8kkqp2jkh4hjian@4ax.com>
Source record: 122418

On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 17:51:39 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 25 Oct 2005 02:12:30 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> <1130231550.240134.65400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>> >> Now you were saying something about standards.....???
>>> >
>>> > Yep.
>>> >
>>> > I was saying you don't measure up. And obviously the Marines felt
>>> >the same way...Twice...
>>> >
>>> > Coward.
>>>
>>> Let's talk about standards, Dudly. For instance, how do you feel about
>>> a Marine who serves barely a month out of each year for 18 years, yet
>>> ascends through the ranks as quickly as one who serves active duty
>>> during the same time? Do you think that those are equal standards? I
>>> don't think you do, Dudly, and neither does anyone else who served
>>> during the time that you "served".
>>
>> One weekend a month?
>>
>> WTF are you talking about, Disgraced One?
>>
>> From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
>>Nothing else.
>
>
>Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.
>
>
>>> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
>>> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
>>> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
>>> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
>>> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
>>> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who

>>> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
>>
>> Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
>>with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
>>"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
>>September 74 when I went active.
>
>
>Dudly engages in damage control.....
>
>
>> As for "skating out of his oath", that has you written ALL OVER
>>IT, Miss Silliland...the boy who had to get his discharge "upgraded".
>
>
>.....as evidenced by my recent sex-change operation. And further proof
>of your lies since a discharge (general or honorable) or retirement
>puts you on inactive reserve. If your "only 'reserve' time" was prior
>to boot camp then your discharge could only have been either medical
>or administrative. And you have already stated that it was medical. A
>medical discharge is -NOT- an honorable discharge. It may be a general
>discharge under honorable conditions, but we both know that's not the
>same thing, don't we, Dudly?
>
>
>> BTW, Miss Silliland...even if I HAD been a reservist, so what?
>>Guess who makes up the lion's share of the Total Force concept, and
>>who's "carrying the day" in Iraq as we speak?
>>
>> Reservists and National Guardsmen.
>>
>> So much for your "one weekend" standard theory, eh?
>
>
>Too bad you didn't think of that before you decided to hide the fact
>that you were a reservist yourself. But you and I both know that,
>between Vietnam and the first Gulf War, the reservists developed a
>less-than-respectable reputation because they were almost never called
>to active duty. That's why us Marines on active duty called you guys
>"weekend warriors", and why you decided to hide that fact when you
>told people that you were a Marine.
>
>
>>> Just one more "standard", Dudly..... have you ever heard of "guilt by
>>> association"? I'm sure you have. But there is also a thing called
>>> "credit by association", where someone claims credit for the honorable
>>> actions of others for no reason other than the fact that he is allowed
>>> to wear the same uniform. You wouldn't know anyone who would do such a
>>> thing, would you, Dudly? Like maybe someone who claims to have been
>>> personally involved in "seven hostile actions" when it was really some

>>> -other- Marines who were digging the red mud out of their boots in
>>> Beirut?
>>
>> If you want to go for the "credit by association" gig, Cowardly
>>One, go talk to Lennie Anderson...He's given us lengthy stories of how
>>his rear-area radio mechanic duties qualified him to speak on a great
>>many military subjects as if he "was there"...Oh, and it also put him
>>on the same par with guys who were KIA three YEARS before he was even
>>inducted. And don't forget to ask him about the "sphinchter" post and
>>how he served "under the threat of the Soviet Bear bomber..."
>
>
>Typical liar; you try to justify your lies by telling how someone else
>is a bigger liar. Forget about Lennie -- this isn't about anyone else
>but you, Dudly.
>
>
>> As for "credit by association" and other such things, that's why I
>>don't discuss my Marine Corps career here other than to state that I
>>did...
>
>
>But you -have- discussed your "career" on occasion, usually disclosing
>information that was speculative, mythological, foraged from novels
>and movies, or just flat-out wrong.
>
>
>>Deceivers such as yourself and Lennie Anderson like to take sport
>>with other people's service.
>
>
>Again, this isn't about Lennie. Do try to remain focused.
>
>
>> This post of yours is a prime example.
>>
>>> You have never disclosed your reservist status because you wanted the
>>> respect earned by those who made the Marines a proud organization, but
>>> you never earned that respect by performing the same duties.
>>
>> Lies, lies and more lies.
>>
>> And even more substantiation of my belief that your dismissal from
>>the Marine Corps was not only warranted, but "for the good of the
>>Corps".
>
>
>I have scanned and posted more than enough documentation to prove my
>record of service. I demonstrated that such proof can be provided
>without disclosing important info, like an SSN. But you have provided

>nothing more than a few unsubstantiated claims -- you don't have the
>courage to provide proof of your "career". It wouldn't require any
>courage to do so -IF- your claims were true. But they aren't. Hence
>your fear to disclose the truth.
>
>
>>> And you chickened out of your oath and your responsibility to your country
>>> when it came time to put your ass on the line. Yet you have the gall
>>> to sit your fat ass and criticize -me-, an honorably discharged...(SNIP)
>>
>> Unh unh uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!
>>
>> You were NOT "honorably discharged"...
>>
>> You were discharged as LESS than honorable, Mr Wordmeister.
>>
>> You were "upgraded". You got the benefit of the doubt on your
>>cutting scores for the POST-discharge "upgrade".
>
>
>Didn't we discuss this "cutting score" thing before? The Marine Corps
>doesn't use "cutting scores" for discharges, let alone promotions.
>Discharges are based upon your proficiency and conduct marks.
>
>
>>> (UNSNIP)...Beirut vet, just because I got into a couple scrapes. Steve, you are an
>>> honor-thief, a malingering coward, and a sniveling sack of #####.
>>
>> Sorry, you Cowardly Putz...wrong on so many levels.
>>
>> But then you're USED to being wrong, aren't you?
>>
>> Wrong enough to get COURT MARTIALED. Twice...
>>
>> Article 15 punishments are "scrapes". The Sergeant Major puts you
>>on "firewatch" for a month for "scrapes".
>
>
>The Company First Sergeant usually handles NJP, not a Sergeant Major
>(which is a rank for Battalion- or Regiment-level posts). And NJP
>typically results in restriction, not firewatch. More proof that you
>don't know squat about the -real- Marine Corps.

Restrcition fine or dringin a BMW we used to say in the Army (BMW
Bucket Mop and wand Water)

but in the army neithe rof those can preform ART 15 it takes an
offecier to at least sign his name (OTOH most officers tend to follow
the adivie of theire NCO's if they know aht is good for em)

>
>
>> Your NCOIC has you field day
>>the shop or stand extra duty for "scrapes".
>
>
>NCOIC? Don't you mean 'squad leader', 'platoon sergeant', or 'shop
>chief'? But none of them have the authority to conduct a proceeding
>under Article 15. Dudly, the more you talk the more you demonstrate
>the fact that you never served on active duty. Keep up the good work!
>
>
>> YOU were FOUND GUILTY for OFFENSES.
>
>
>I was found guilty of CRIMES! Lions and tigers and bears.... OH MY!!!
>
>
>> And who's polishing WHOSE brass with "credit by association" here,
>>Mr Alleged Beirut Marine?
>
>
>I posted proof. If you didn't read it then that's your problem.
>
>
>> Whether you believe it or not, I was as
>>close to exploding things as you were, but it does not make me any
>>better than anyone else who served in those theaters...just lucky.
>
>
>We all threw a live grenade in boot camp, Dudly. That "theater" is not
>a war zone where you have to duck tracer fire, mortar rounds or RPGs
>fired by people who are trying to kill you. You never served in a
>"theater" where they don't call 'time-out' when a bullet drills
>through your leg. And I'm absolutely certain that you never served in
>any "theater" where dead people were left on the streets to get eaten
>by rats for fear of ending up the same way. But go right ahead and
>keep trying to convince people that you served in such a "theater"
>because those of us who did know better and will set them straight.
>
>
>>> >Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>> You had your chance to fess up and now you've been outed.
>>
>> Outed? For what? Your lies and accusations made so you can fluff
>>your ego over berating a former SNCO and getting away with it...?!?!
>
>
>Twisting the facts doesn't help your case, Dudly.
>

>
>>> Nobody likes you and nobody ever will.
>>
>> As opposed to all of those teeming masses laying roses at your
>>feet, court martialed coward? I'll take my chances here.
>
>
>Well, it's a sure bet that the VFW won't take you.....
>
>
>>> Do the right thing for once in your life and go away.
>>
>> Bullies and liars don't impress me, coward.
>
>
>Name-calling doesn't impress anyone, Dudly. Does it sound like I'm
>trying to impress you? If it does then you're dumber than I thought.
>
>
>> I've given you (and indeed this forum) numerous references to
>>PUBLIC sources that prove all your silly accusations for the malicious
>>lies that they are...Boot Camp platoon...Promotion Boards....MOS's....
>
>
>And I can say, "Visit the Vatican", but that doesn't mean I was ever
>there, or even that I'm Catholic (which I'm not). Your references
>prove nothing. Post your DD-214 and maybe, -JUST MAYBE-, you might be
>able to crawl out of your hole. But, of course, you can't because then
>you would be forced to concede all the lies you have told about your
>"career", and you are too much of a coward to fess up to the truth.
>
>
>> Frank Gilliland is a liar and a coward. You weren't "stand up"
>>enough to do what you promised to do in the Marine Corps, and you're a
>>mudslinging punk here.
>>
>> You'll always be second place to someone, if for no other reason
>>that you just don't have the guts to do otherwise.
>
>
>It seems that, in lieu of providing proof of your "career", all you
>can do is harp on the one issue you think gives you an edge -- my
>CRIMES. But that doesn't take any courage, does it? And it's not
>getting you anywhere, especially when you spin the facts regarding the
>incidents, and when I am quite proud to admit that I had the courage
>to stand up to a corrupt "superior". But it -is- entertaining to watch
>you try and squirm your way out of being confronted with your big lie.
>
>
>>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

>
>
>ooh-fucking-rah.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4594. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 02:02:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nca8m19vq7vsq40u0tup29ickl89dhvs1e@4ax.com>
Source record: 122419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 29 Oct 2005 13:23:31 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 29 Oct 2005 07:18:32 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 28 Oct 2005 13:00:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
cut
>> >> >> >> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
>> >> >> >> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
>> >> >> >cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it
>> >> >
>> >> >Speaking from first hand experience, Marky?
>> >>
>> >> nope and you are proving you can't read
>> >
>> >I'd say it was true, child abuser
>> there is you read/write problem again
>^^
>
>And you prove that you are an illiterate retard, once again.

cuting at the first lie of yours Mr Lsit

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4595. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 03:46:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130643996.714520.281640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122424

Iitoi wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
> >
> > It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all
> > ages on the ham
> > bands, working each other regardless of age, gender,
> > race, religion,
> > etc., without prejudice or classification by same.

> > Morse Code and the
> > "data modes" are better for this than voice.

> Why are those modes "better" than voice?

I think you misunderstand.

Voice modes give all sorts of information about the speaker's
age, gender, ethnicity, etc., which are not immediately
obvious with Morse Code or "data modes".

> Seems that a voice conversation, under almost every
> circumstance, would
> lead to a richer exchange of information and better interperson
> understanding than either Morse or "data" modes.

Why?

Voice modes can cause the listener to focus more on who is talking and
how they are talking, rather than what is being said.

> Voices naturally
> convey emotion and mood, allow an almost continuous range of
> emphasis,
> and can use different inflection to add subtle but important
> syntactic
> variations to many words.

Those features can also be a weakness of voice modes.

> None of this is possible in Morse or "data"
> modes, except in some very crude ways such as emoticons.

Which means the sender must concentrate on what is sent, not how it is
sent.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4596. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 04:28:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <AbydnSX4Q62zoPnenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122421
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:
> huLLy wrote:
>
>>Polymath wrote:
>>
>>
>>>It's purpose is to fill the coffers of a Brit publishing
>>>company, the RSCB - The Radio Society for Citizen's Band.
>>>
>>
>>It's 'its' you fuckwit!
>
>
> and Citizens' Band... what a poor disgrace you are to our worldwide
> associates..

I would say the language you use makes you a much poorer disgrace to our
world wide associates than his misuse of an '.

4597. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 04:31:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <AbydnST4Q617oPnenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122422
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 21:50:03 +0100, "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>>It's 'its' you fuckwit!
>
>
> Why don't you correct your CB chum Magnum?
> His postings are typical of the semi-literate, brain-dead CBr.

I think Magnum ask some very valid questions and makes some very valid
points.

4598. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 04:47:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <8tCdnYWiK-4r3PnenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122423
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:

>
> Most UK Amateurs are horrified that their airspace is being
> invaded by these "CB type lowlife" (their words not mine) which suprises me
> as i firmly believe anyone gaining their M3 licence would go on to
> Intermediate... etc not stop there

Yes, one would think so. That is what our Novice license was for, an
entry type of license, nothing real hard on the test, only 5wpm for
code, limited power and not all frequency privileges.

> so i cant see why the "old hams" arent
> encoraging them rather than belittling them.

It seems that is often the position taken not by just 'old hams' today,
but by some of those who do upgrade. Kinda like how as a freshman in
high-school you were looked down on by upper-classmen. It didn't use to
be that way. When I had my Novice ticket, I probably worked more General
and higher class licensees on the Novice bands because they would come
to the Novice frequencies and work us, sending code slowly and carefully
to encourage us to get proficient enough to upgrade. Amateur radio used
to be very gentlemanly, still is for the most part but seems amateur
radio has begun to reflect much of today's rude society.

4599. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 06:12:03 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130652723.377465.241960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122425
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not-Ham" Hull is otherwise known as "THE INCURABLE CBER",
one of the CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams who infest this NG.

Some years ago he was abusing his employer's computer system
to heap offensive and insulting remarks at me, an abuse to
which I took exception and complained. Not-Ham has proved himself
to be infantile in the extreme by maintaining a temper-tantrum
on the matter for years on end. If only he could see that
I saved him from himself......in today's world, such abuse
of an employer's computer system is a sacking offence!

But then, that's the brain of a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
for you!

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> huLLy wrote:
> > huLLy wrote:
> >
> >>Polymath wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>It's purpose is to fill the coffers of a Brit publishing
> >>>company, the RSCB - The Radio Society for Citizen's Band.
> >>>
> >>
> >>It's 'its' you fuckwit!
> >
> >
> > and Citizens' Band... what a poor disgrace you are to our worldwide
> > associates..
>
> I would say the language you use makes you a much poorer disgrace to our
> world wide associates than his misuse of an '.

4600. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 06:39:36 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <CurtainBoy@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <dk1pn9$19u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass sings an aria: "We are two honest gentlemen, and we have come
to pay."

Curtain.
.
Yeah...Curtain is right. Curtains Boy is alive and well.

"To err is human. To fuck up is Wiseman."

4601. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:02:43 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130652899.917020.112230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122427
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

An interesting challenge. But, since you raised it,
you first!

Over to you.....

John Miles wrote:
> In article <1130618638.849629.218260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
> > Also known as the M3/CB Fools' Licence - any 6 year old, having
> > no previous knowledge or experience of Ham Radio can attend a
> > weekend course and leave on the Monday with a licence.
>
> So, Polymath, what have you homebrewed lately?

4602. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:04:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130655850.917193.55560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote and further substantiates the "award" of title as
"liar" and "deceiver":

> On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

> > From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
> >Nothing else.
>
> Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.

Find out what, Your Lyingness?

> >> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
> >> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
> >> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
> >> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
> >> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
> >> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
> >> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
> >
> > Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
> >with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
> >"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
> >September 74 when I went active.
>
>
> Dudly engages in damage control.....

Damage control?

You're the one with things to "patch up", Frankie.

I snipped the rest in favor of a few notes. Anyone caring to
re-read your lies can hit the browser back-button.

The first is that everyone ELSE here, with the possible excepttion
of Brain, Markie and Lennie, has to wonder what your ulterior motive is
here. Since you "arrived" only 2 months ago or so, your sole "mission"
has been to TRY and undermine my military service.

So far, it's just been lame accusations not substantiated by a
single word of fact.

I on the otherhand have given you more than enough information to
verify my service based upon YOUR claims of "who" you know and "what"

you know.

Obviously THOSE claims were lies and wanton deceit too.

As a matter of fact, when you first "arrived" in RRAP, you were yelling "FRAUD! FRAUD!"...The problem was you were citing nothing but LENNIE'S posts, and old ones at that. It was only after several other folks, myself included, pointed out you had your attributions wrong that you redirected your comments to me.

Secondly is that you are a disgraced, twice court martialed, dishonorably discharged service member. Had you invested a TENTH of the energy into being the Marine you SHOULD have been then, the courts martials wouldn't have been necessary. Instead you're relagated into tossing mistruthful allegations at those who DID serve Honorably in order to salve your conscience.

Thirdly is your COMPLETE lack of even the remotest amateur radio relevent content in ANY thread. Not that you'd have any as, just like Lennie Anderson, you're all spite and bile...Any radio "operating" experience you may have has NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio.

So...For those who care to do so, back-button to Frankie's rants and raves and enjoy...they are just what I said they are...lies and wanton deceit from a guy who finds it easy to be a liar...

Frank Gilliland...Disgraced coward and liar.

Period.

Steve, K4YZ

4603. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:16:25 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <nMmdneFyItDX7vneRVn-2g@giganews.com>
Source record: 122429
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 21:50:03 +0100, "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>> It's 'its' you fuckwit!
>
> Why don't you correct your CB chum Magnum?
> His postings are typical of the semi-literate, brain-dead CBr.

'Magnum' has never made jumped up claims to his own self importance.
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4604. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:17:52 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3Omdna7hO48_7vneRVn-pQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 122430
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Miles wrote:
> In article <1130618638.849629.218260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
>> Also known as the M3/CB Fools' Licence - any 6 year old, having
>> no previous knowledge or experience of Ham Radio can attend a
>> weekend course and leave on the Monday with a licence.
>>
>
> So, Polymath, what have you homebrewed lately?
>

Has he been banned from the off-license?

--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4605. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:21:18 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <AeSdnX4NoaLy6fneRVn-iw@giganews.com>
Source record: 122431
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
>
> Some years ago he was abusing his employer's computer system
> to heap offensive and insulting remarks at me, an abuse to
> which I took exception and complained.

I find it amazing that you can remember that, but can't remember being a
member of a Christian bell ringing group, owning a CB license et al...
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4606. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:22:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130656941.502325.25140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122432

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> >>
> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> >
> >> that is you right
> >
> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
>
> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
> >
> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
> >will you be as equally tolerant?
>
> you have posted my address before for no reason at all

Uh huh....

But you didn't answer my question...Will you be as equally tolerant
when I publish your actual address and social security number?

> >> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
> >> to report you
> >
> > You're not going to "report" squat", Markie..
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> >.Any more than you "talked" with the FBI or
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> > that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.
>
> you got that right and explained it years ago

No...You LIED about it years ago.

It's archived in this forum.

> >> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> >> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> >> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
> >
> > File away, Markie!
> >
> > And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
> >found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
> >imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.
>
> no malicious complaint will be filed

Sure it will.

> just the facts
>
> such you enage in false accustion of Pedophilia...(SNIP)

"accusation"

Not based upon posts you've made in the past vis-a-vis the
treatment of children, Markie. But you go right ahead. I'll just
being copies with me.

> (UNSNIP)...you make false claims about HIV statuts...(SNIP)

"status"

You're the one participating in unproteced, promiscuous gay sex,
Markie...

> (UNSNIP)...you make false claim claim of Sexual orietetation...(SNIP)

"orientation"

How can quoting you be false if it's about YOUR preferences,
Markie?

> (UNSNIP)...fals eclaims about people mental status...(SNIP)

"false" "claims"

Again...we have YOUR addmissions of previous mental health issues,
Markie...If you didn't want them discussed, you shouldn't have put them
in a public forum.

> (UNSNIP)...that you engage in hate speech...(SNIP)

Nope. Just your opinion, Markie.

> (UNSNIP)...thereatening behavoir, and cyber harrassment, and generaly
> moral turitute

T U P I T U D E!~

Sheeeeeeesh, Markie...If you're going to pick up and run with other people's words, at least TRY and spell them correctly!

> nothing but the facts

And again there will be YOUR records, Markie...Allegations of elder abuse, previous mental health therapy, your DOCUMENTED belief that LYING is an acceptable form of behaviour.

> in the end I suspect they may well take no action agianst but we shall
> see

In the end I suspect that they will turn their investigations over to me and I can proceed with the civil case against you.

So...Give 'em a call! Tell them how you are a participant in an on-line USENET group and that no one, especially me, will give you a break.

If you DO call, they WILL investigate...They will ask my employer about my performance, my collegaues and the physicians I work for what kind of Nurse I am...Then they will open my personnel file. Nothing but "excellents" and "outstandings". "...demonstrates a degree of professional performance that meets, and in most cases exceeds, that of the baccaluareate RN..."

Then they will start looking at you.

Then the fun begins.

So you go right ahead and call, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

4607. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:32:29 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <P7Kdna3LcJ2M6vnenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 122433
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:
> Polymath wrote:
>>
>> Some years ago he was abusing his employer's computer system
>> to heap offensive and insulting remarks at me, an abuse to
>> which I took exception and complained.
>
> I find it amazing that you can remember that, but can't remember
> being a member of a Christian bell ringing group, owning a CB license
> et al...

I seem to remember I was castigating you for your god-awful remarks re the deathd of the Soham girls, so totally justified. Luckily, your email (pleading for me to be sacked), was laughed out of IT. You were spotted like the insane fool you are, in about 10 seconds.

Remember, dear readers, that only one person on the entire newsgroup, has ever been arrested for internet harrassment. I bet you can't think who that might be...

Draw your own conclusions.
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

4608. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 07:35:51 UTC
From: "Leo W." <NotHere@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <dk1t0o$jr0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122434

In the end I suspect that they will turn their investigations over
to me and I can proceed with the civil case against you.

So...Give 'em a call! Tell them how you are a participant in an
on-line USENET group and that no one, especially me, will give you a
break.

If you DO call, they WILL investigate...They will ask my employer
about my performance, my collegaues and the physicians I work for what
kind of Nurse I am...Then they will open my personnel file. Nothing
but "excellents" and "outstandings". "...demonstrates a degree of
professional performance that meets, and in most cases exceeds, that of
the baccaluareate RN..."

Then they will start looking at you.

Then the fun begins.

So you go right ahead and call, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ
.

Steve. Why bother? You are surely aware that for the most part these news
group misfits are brimming over with fecal matter and as such should be
ignored.
Most of these Rectal Rangers are harmless and can well be ignored.
Again, why bother?

4609. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 10:02:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_roger <whinyslit_roger@no.balls>
Message-ID: <8227017833.245228F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122435
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 01:39:36 -0500, Not Lloyd <CurtainBoy@Glendale.uz> wrote:

>
> "To err is human. To fuck up is Wiseman."

JUST LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!

4610. Re: Service Manuals on line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 12:34:36 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <-tGcnUn6LrPfl_neUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 122436

While I agree that the availability of service manuals would be useful, I find it difficult to condone the violation of the copyrights on those materials as "That's the ham spirit!"

Carl - wk3c

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message news:2bb04e609cf7d4a0b8acf6d391450464@dizum.com...
>
> If you own a service manual on CD
> for Tektronix, Hewlett-Packard, etc ...
> test equipment that can be useful for
> hams (generators, spectrum analyzers,
> selective voltmeters, etc ...), please
> UPLOAD THEM ON P2P networks so all hams
> could have them FOR FREE. TNX
>
> Thats the ham spirit !
>
> Mario
>
>
>

4611. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 13:03:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130677434.776628.323260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122437

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 25 Oct 2005 02:12:30 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1130231550.240134.65400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

snip

> >> (UNSNIP)...Beirut vet, just because I got into a couple scrapes. Steve, you are an
> >> honor-thief, a malingering coward, and a sniveling sack of ####.
> >>
> > Sorry, you Cowardly Putz...wrong on so many levels.
> >
> > But then you're USED to being wrong, aren't you?
> >
> > Wrong enough to get COURT MARTIALED. Twice...
> >
> > Article 15 punishments are "scrapes". The Sergeant Major puts you
> >on "firewatch" for a month for "scrapes".
>
> The Company First Sergeant usually handles NJP, not a Sergeant Major
> (which is a rank for Battalion- or Regiment-level posts). And NJP
> typically results in restriction, not firewatch. More proof that you
> don't know squat about the -real- Marine Corps.
>
> > Your NCOIC has you field day
> > the shop or stand extra duty for "scrapes".
>
> NCOIC? Don't you mean 'squad leader', 'platoon sergeant', or 'shop
> chief'? But none of them have the authority to conduct a proceeding
> under Article 15. Dudly, the more you talk the more you demonstrate
> the fact that you never served on active duty. Keep up the good work!

Steve thinks all officers are "Commanders." I had to correct him
previously. Now he's got the Sergeant Major handing out Article 15's.
Only the Commander can give NJP. And the recipient can refuse it.

> > YOU were FOUND GUILTY for OFFENSES.
>
> I was found guilty of CRIMES! Lions and tigers and bears.... OH MY!!!

Steve doesn't know that when a person is offered NJP, they can refuse
and instead choose a courts martial. Steve doesn't know that "scrapes"
and "offenses" better be the same thing (crime) or the judge advocate

is going to laugh that commander and 1st Sgt right out of his office.

Steve doesn't know many things about the military, about MARS, or about the truth.

4612. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 13:14:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130678090.308233.120180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote and further substantiates the "award" of title as
> "liar" and "deceiver":
>
> > On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > > From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
> > >Nothing else.
> >
> > Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.
>
> Find out what, Your Lyingness?

That you were a paper soldier. No hostile actions.

> > >> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
> > >> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
> > >> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
> > >> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
> > >> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
> > >> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
> > >> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
> > >
> > > Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
> > >with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
> > >"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
> > >September 74 when I went active.
> >
> > Dudly engages in damage control.....
>
> Damage control?

New lies. Big snips.

> You're the one with things to "patch up", Frankie.
>
> I snipped the rest in favor of a few notes. Anyone caring to
> re-read your lies can hit the browser back-button.

Like I said, "Big snips."

> The first is that everyone ELSE here, with the possible excepttion
> of Brain, Markie and Lennie, has to wonder what your ulterior motive is
> here. Since you "arrived" only 2 months ago or so, your sole "mission"

> has been to TRY and undermine my military service.

"1958 copy of This is the Air Force."

> So far, it's just been lame accusations not substantiated by a
> single word of fact.

Exactly your tactics.

> I on the otherhand have given you more than enough information to
> verify my service based upon YOUR claims of "who" you know and "what"
> you know.

Where did you serve? What did you do while you were there? What of
your claims of "Seven Hostile Actions?"

> Obviously THOSE claims were lies and wanton deceit too.

That's what we're trying to find out. But you just won't cooperate
because you fear something, probably the truth.

4613. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 13:25:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130678726.093742.227640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122439

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> Didn't say that. Said scouts are under the supervision and leadership of
> adults and not on an equal footing with the adults.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

There is no requirement for a merit badge counselor to be a scout leader. There is no requirement for a scout leader to supervise a scout and his merit badge counselor, however, the merit badge counselor must fill out a form and be approved to perform as such.

The merit badge counselor works like the "Elmer" concept in amateur radio. What do you think happens when the scout is going for his Radio merit badge?

4614. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 13:50:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130680201.007711.276470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122440

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I think Jimmie-James is all for children
> > >> VOTING in elections!
> > >
> > >I don't know who "Jimmie-James" is supposed to be, Len. But I'm
> > >not "all for children VOTING in elections". I'm just opposed
> > >to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.
> >
> > Jim in answering the post I am afraid you just lied above
>
> Where?
>
> Len uses multiple names in his posts. I'm not sure who he means by
> "Jimmie-James". Is it me, or Jim Weir (who posts as "RSTEngineering")
> or somebody else?

Then you must be dim-witted.

> If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?

All this confusion, then you go ahead and answer af if it were you he
was referring to. That is the lie that Mark refers to.

> And it's a fact that I'm not "all for children VOTING in elections".

Why would you care? He's not talking about what >>>you<<< are in favor
of, is he?

> I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
> license.

Good for you. Len is in favor of an age requirement.

> > >> Or perhaps, it is okay for children having state
> > >> drivers' licenses...
> > >
> > >I don't think it's okay for children to have driver's
> > >licenses. I'm
> > >just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio > >license.
> >
> > and Len supports the notion at this point
>

> Why?

Why not? The books are full of minimum age requirements for various
things.

> Can he or anyone else supply *ANY* evidence that the lack of
> an age requirement has had *any* negative effects on the amateur
> radio service?
>
> We've had licensed amateur radio in the USA for 93 years now. In all
> that time there has *never* been an age requirement. So if
> the lack of an age requirement is a problem, there should be
> plenty of evidence by now. Yet Len provides no evidence, but
> wants an age requirement of 14 years for anyone to have a US amateur
> license.
>
> If you look at FCC enforcement letters, the age of the worst
> offenders is much closer to Len's age than to 14 years....

It's possible that yet another arbitrary licensing requirement might be
good for the ARS. Imagine all those 11, 12, and 13 year old trying to
sneak in under the FCC's radar and get their licenses prematurely.
Those poor old VE's will have to break out "thier" bi-focals and check
for proper age. Imagine all the "job security" that Riley will have
checking the birth dates of all those No-Code Technician wannabe's.

> > I neither support nor oppose such a notion,
>
> Why don't you oppose it? It's a completely unnecessary
> requirement for a license. No evidence has been presented
> to support it.

Morse Code in sheep's clothing? Hi!

Just what we need is another unnecessary, arbitrary license requirement!

4615. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 13:59:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130680794.935278.40960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122441

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2005 03:21:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>
> >> I tell you seeing the inability of some of the procoders to see what
> >> is written in front of them I am forced to wonder if too much code use
> >> does not cause brain damge to some folks
> >
> >Decades ago in 73 magazine, Wayne Green was writing the same thing.
> Wayne Green was plain wrong about many things but assuming you are
> cottect I real think he got that one right

It was funny at the time. It's sad now. The FCC went after RF as the
culprit, devising the environmental assessments that we must now
perform for our stations. Just like the FCC to go after the wrong
thing.

4616. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 14:18:47 UTC
From: Nimrod <neil.imrod@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130681927.319703.185620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122442
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> An interesting challenge. But, since you raised it,
> you first!
>
> Over to you.....
>
> John Miles wrote:
> > In article <1130618638.849629.218260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> > aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
> > > Also known as the M3/CB Fools' Licence - any 6 year old, having
> > > no previous knowledge or experience of Ham Radio can attend a
> > > weekend course and leave on the Monday with a licence.
> >
> > So, Polymath, what have you homebrewed lately?

Gareth, John is well known for his homebrew achievements. Look at his
website.

The ball is most definitely now in your court.

What have you homebrewed?

Neil

4617. Lardass Davies the idiot plagiarizes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 15:06:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130684816.973223.91020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

plagiarizing whinycunt_LloydDavies wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 22:58:13 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > So,who is next,Iran,Syria? I hope the Pentagon brings back the
> > U.S.Military Draft.There are lots of people in U.S.A.that NEED to be
> > Drafted.
>
> Let's start with the Bush administration.

Your words are as empty as your skull, Davies.

4618. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 15:11:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130685074.749133.32360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass Not Smart Lloyd wrote:
> Lardass sings an aria: "We are two honest gentlemen, and we have come
> to pay."
>
> Curtain.
> .
> Yeah...Curtain is right.

Lardass proves his ignorance once again..........

>Curtains Boy is alive and well.

But you are the one who hid behind their front door when Oris came visiting, Fatass! Plus you was a no-show at Dalton after running your mouth.

<corrected>

> "To err is human. To fuck up is Davies."

Poor Lardass..........spent his Saturday night drunk and alone whining about another man online.

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloyd_jams_finger_up_his_ass.jpg

4619. Re: Create Your Own Monthly Income

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 15:13:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130685200.448340.75110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt_lloyddavies wrote:
> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 01:39:36 -0500, Not Intelligent Lloyd <CurtainBoy@Glendale.uz> wrote:
>
> >
> > "To err is human. <plagiarism>"
>
> JUST LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!

Following up to yourself, fatass?
Is it any wonder FAT people are tagged as stupid..... Their stupidity
is
matched only by their addiction to food. Hint: That's you, Davies.

4620. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 15:17:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130685429.313637.120430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122446

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 13:21:34 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> >> >>
> >> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> >> >
> >> >> that is you right
> >> >
> >> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
> >>
> >> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
> >
> >Bullshit, Marky.
>
> simple truth

No, simple bullshit from a simple son of a bitch pervert.

> >
> >> >
> >> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
> >> >will you be as equally tolerant?
> >>
> >> you have posted my address before for no reason at all
> >
> >You mean that cardboard box on N. River Road in Chassell, Marky?
>
> no what you talking about whiny

You know exactly what I am talking about. That's the street you live
on, dumbass.

> >
> >> >
> >> >> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time

> >> >> to report you
> >> >
> >> > You're not going to "report" squat", Markie..
> >>
> >> wrong again
> >
> >You just keep lying about "reporting" people, dumbass.
>
> not at all
> not a word of of is a lie

No, you are a self-admitted liar. Every word out of your cocksucker is
a lie.

> >
> >> >.Any more than you
> >> >"talked" with the FBI or
> >> wrong again
> >> > that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.
> >>
> >> you got that right and explained it years ago
> >
> >You are a "Colonel"in the KFC fry cook army.
> >
> >> >
> >> >> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> >> >> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> >> >> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
> >> >
> >> > File away, Markie!
> >> >
> >> > And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
> >> >found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
> >> >imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.
> >>
> >> no malicious complaint will be filed
> >>
> >> just the facts
> >
> >You wouldn't know facts, retard.
>
> I sure do know facts and I know the difference between sfact and
> opinions

You can't even spell "fact", dumbass.

> >
> >> such you enage in false accustion of Pedophilia, you make false claims
> >> about HIV statuts you make false claim claim of Sexual orietetation,
> >> fals eclaims about people mental status that you engage in hate
> >> speech, thereatening behavoir, and cyber harrassment, and generaly

> >> moral turitute
> >
> >STFU, perverted deviant and child abuser.
> >
> >> nothing but the facts
> >>
> >> in the end I suspect they may well take no action agianst but we shall
> >> see
> >
> >In other words, more Marky bullshit and lies.
> nope simple truth
>
> either they will act againsy Stevie and take action to portect the
> citizens of TN from such an abusive Nurse or they will prove Stevie a
> liar again

Or you will be involuntarily committed like you were before, deviant.

4621. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 15:18:44 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agnNOSPAM@w2agn.net>
Message-ID: <4364E454.4010402@w2agn.net>
Source record: 122447
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> Your offering below, riddled as it is with childish
> insults illustrates well that you are a CBer.
>
> Peter wrote:
>
>>NO...
>>It IS your intention to insult them, just behind their backs so you run less risk
>>of them replying. Your post was not really intended as an "FAQ", it was purely
>>a relatively "safe" release for your personal anger.
>>Wuss.

Polymath,

Just thought I would let you know, since almost all your replies come from the CB crowd, that at least on this side of the pond, someone agrees with you. The continuing "dumbing down" of license requirements will be the death of Ham Radio. Unfortunatley, it's a side effect of the overall dumbing down of the entire educational system. Teachers are not allowed to flunk a student, or even criticize, for fear that the poor student's "self esteem" will suffer. If a student makes a gross error, the response is, "I can see where you get your answer, and it is very good, how about considering some alternatives?" Instead of, "Sorry, you're wrong, go read the f*cking book!"

Keep it up, don't let the ignorant bastard get you down.

John W2AGN (unlike most of your gutless responders, not afraid to identify myself)

4622. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 15:19:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130685560.000474.251180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2005 13:23:31 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 29 Oct 2005 07:18:32 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 28 Oct 2005 13:00:33 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> cut
> >> >> >> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
> >> >> >> people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >That kinda puts a damper on the old sex life. I can hook him up with my ugly
> >> >> >> >cousin, she kinda looks like an alien.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> it would put a dammper on it if there was even a word of truth in it
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >Speaking from first hand experience, Marky?
> >> >>
> >> >> nope and you are proving you can't read
> >> >>
> >> >I'd say it was true, child abuser
> >> there is you read/write problem again
> >^^
> >
> >And you prove that you are an illiterate retard, once again.
>
> cuting at the first lie of yours Mr Lsit

Running away with my boot up your faggot ass, you mean.

4623. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:03:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8er9m15vfvj2aqa19oilfo8o3qg48qfpqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 122449

On 28 Oct 2005 19:35:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I think Jimmie-James is all for children
>> >> VOTING in elections!
>> >
>> >I don't know who "Jimmie-James" is supposed to be, Len. But I'm
>> >not "all for children VOTING in elections". I'm just opposed
>> >to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license.
>>
>> Jim in answering the post I am afraid you just lied above
>
>Where?

Hot ham handled that for me he is right

I'll just you were being dense to need it
>
>Len uses multiple names in his posts. I'm not sure who he means by
>"Jimmie-James". Is it me, or Jim Weir (who posts as "RSTEngineering")
>or somebody else?
>
>If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?
>
>And it's a fact that I'm not "all for children VOTING in elections".
>I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
>license.
>
>> >> Or perhaps, it is okay for children having state
>> >> drivers' licenses...
>> >
>> >I don't think it's okay for children to have driver's
>> >licenses. I'm
>> >just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio > >license.
>>
>> and Len supports the notion at this point
>
>Why?

he has explained his reasoning over the years I agree there is
something to it

>
>Can he or anyone else supply *ANY* evidence that the lack of
>an age requirement has had *any* negative effects on the amateur
>radio service?

I doubt it he points out a peotencail bad effect but one that seems
not to be problem
>
>We've had licensed amateur radio in the USA for 93 years now. In all
>that time there has *never* been an age requirement. So if
>the lack of an age requirement is a problem, there should be
>plenty of evidence by now. Yet Len provides no evidence, but
>wants an age requirement of 14 years for anyone to have a US amateur
>license.
>
>If you look at FCC enforcement letters, the age of the worst
>offenders is much closer to Len's age than to 14 years....
>
>> I neither support nor oppose such a notion,
>
>Why don't you oppose it?
for the same reason I don't oppose voice testing before the voice
modes, there is no serious proposal on the table to do anything about
it

> It's a completely unnecessary
>requirement for a license. No evidence has been presented
>to support it.

just like code testing which is why the later is likely out of the
service very soon

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4624. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:22:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4or9m1tna2mi2c4cc2ldebd9po3pbtopkg@4ax.com>
Source record: 122450

On 30 Oct 2005 00:22:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>> >>
>> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>> >
>> >> that is you right
>> >
>> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
>>
>> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
>> >
>> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
>> >will you be as equally tolerant?
>>
>> you have posted my address before for no reason at all
>
> Uh huh....
>
> But you didn't answer my question...Will you be as equally tolerant
>when I publish your actual address and social security number?

already answered that question wehn I posted it

to give you the chnce to verify you were right license holder

you have already published my address

you can dish it out but you can't take it Bully
>
>> >> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
>> >> to report you
>> >
>> > You're not going to "report" squat", Markie..
>>
>> wrong again

>
> Nope.

On monday, unless the agent from the FBI calls I will call Tennesesee

I swore that after Mr Slit endanered someone else on the basis of your
lies I would seek to you held responible for your actions

>
>> >.Any more than you "talked" with the FBI or
>>
>> wrong again
>
> Nope.

yep
>
>> > that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.
>>
>> you got that right and explained it years ago
>
> No...You LIED about it years ago.

another Stevie lie

>
> It's archived in this forum.

you got that right

you just think only YOU have the right report people or to exist
without questioning

>
>> >> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
>> >> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
>> >> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
>> >
>> > File away, Markie!
>> >
>> > And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
>> >found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
>> >imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.
>>
>> no malicious complaint will be filed
>
> Sure it will.

nope just the turth

Gee you can lie in a report to the State of Michigan and I can't file
MY concerns with it being a felony

bullshit

BTW you have contradicted yourself early in this post you claim No
report will be made, and just abocve you claim a "malicous" one will
be made

one of those statements is a LIE

>
>> just the facts
>>
>> such you enage in false accustion of Pedophilia...(SNIP)
>
> "accusation"
>
> Not based upon posts you've made in the past vis-a-vis the
>treatment of children, Markie. But you go right ahead. I'll just
>being copies with me.

I have made NO post suggesting Len Anderson was engaged in Pedophilia
YOU have

>
>> (UNSNIP)...you make false claims about HIV statuts...(SNIP)
>
> "status"
>
> You're the one participating in unproteced, promiscuous gay sex,
>Markie...

another lie Stevie

and more of your hate speech, not all homosexual in enage in
unprotected promiisuous sex and not all you who have HIV

you are spreading LIEs about health as a health professional which is
ample reason to report you to your licensing Borad BTW and will form
the basis of my report

and another false charge to report you making

>
>> (UNSNIP)...you make false claim claim of Sexual orietetation...(SNIP)
>
> "orientation"
>
> How can quoting you be false if it's about YOUR preferences,
>Markie?

I was reffering to your accusation about Todd, Brain, and my wife

you were not quoting me then more lies

>
>> (UNSNIP)...fals eclaims about people mental status...(SNIP)
>
> "false" "claims"

>
> Again...we have YOUR addmissions of previous mental health issues,
>Markie...If you didn't want them discussed, you shouldn't have put them
>in a public forum.

no you have lied in claiming I have ever been confined to a mental
hosiptal

yea during my divorce i saw a a guy been, was evaled because a Co
thought in his bigotry I had to beinsane just you you do, but no dice

>
>> (UNSNIP)...that you engage in hate speech...(SNIP)
>
> Nope. Just your opinion, Markie.

the law says otherwise
>
>> (UNSNIP)...thereatening behavoir, and cyber harrassment, and generaly
>> moral turitute
>
> T U P I T U D E!~
>
> Sheeeeeeesh, Markie...If you're going to pick up and run with
>other people's words, at least TRY and spell them correctly!

How ever it is spelled you meet the meaning BY your OWN words and your
own defining of it

>
>> nothing but the facts
>
> And again there will be YOUR records, Markie...Allegations of elder
>abuse,
unsuported one

> previous mental health therapy,

so being depresed during a divorce is not relavant nor does it excuse
you you making threats against me Brain or Todd

>your DOCUMENTED belief that
>LYING is an acceptable form of behaviour.

In some occasion, indeed I heard a US Sentator Graham (who was on
house impeachement committiee state that reason He did not vote for
one of the articales of impeachment he because HE would lie if caught
in personal failing as Clinton was) Fox News Sat but i suspect this
could be found elsewhere

but I will the text of your words

the question put to you did you type and send that
has nothing to do with me you fool

>
>> in the end I suspect they may well take no action agianst but we shall
>> see
>
> In the end I suspect that they will turn their investigations over
>to me and I can proceed with the civil case against you.

I doubt it willing to risk it since I back up everything I will be
saying so you "case" will get nowhere

Just because YOU think something is true does not mean your view of it
is the only view that can be true

>
> So...Give 'em a call! Tell them how you are a participant in an
>on-line USENET group and that no one, especially me, will give you a
>break.
>
> If you DO call, they WILL investigate...They will ask my employer
>about my performance, my collegaues and the physicians I work for what
>kind of Nurse I am...Then they will open my personnel file. Nothing
>but "excellents" and "outstandings". "...demonstrates a degree of
>professional performance that meets, and in most cases exceeds, that of
>the baccaluareate RN..."
>
> Then they will start looking at you.
>
> Then the fun begins.
>
> So you go right ahead and call, Markie!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4625. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:23:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4rs9m1dbmlv96trmhtouqe8oa2apid9bsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 122451

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 02:35:51 -0500, "Leo W." <NotHere@Glendale.uz>
wrote:

>
>
> In the end I suspect that they will turn their investigations over
>to me and I can proceed with the civil case against you.
>
> So...Give 'em a call! Tell them how you are a participant in an
>on-line USENET group and that no one, especially me, will give you a
>break.
>
> If you DO call, they WILL investigate...They will ask my employer
>about my performance, my collegaues and the physicians I work for what
>kind of Nurse I am...Then they will open my personnel file. Nothing
>but "excellents" and "outstandings". "...demonstrates a degree of
>professional performance that meets, and in most cases exceeds, that of
>the baccaluareate RN..."
>
> Then they will start looking at you.
>
> Then the fun begins.
>
> So you go right ahead and call, Markie!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>.
>
>Steve. Why bother? You are surely aware that for the most part these news
>group misfits are brimming over with fecal matter and as such should be
>ignored.

that has been my view for years till Stevie smearing of that fecal
matter resulted in threat against some not in the NNewsgroup becuase
of Stevie lie
>Most of these Rectal Rangers are harmless and can well be ignored.
>Again, why bother?
>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4626. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:25:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <7ts9m1psqd743t3cnj2n5h9f927mdktg4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 122452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 30 Oct 2005 05:14:50 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote and further substantiates the "award" of title as
>> "liar" and "deceiver":
>>
>> > On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> > <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> > > From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
>> > >Nothing else.
>> >
>> > Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.
>>
>> Find out what, Your Lyingness?
>
>That you were a paper soldier. No hostile actions.

plenty of Hostile action just no bullets his viicous fileing of paper
>
>> > >> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
>> > >> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
>> > >> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
>> > >> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
>> > >> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
>> > >> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
>> > >> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
>> > >
>> > > Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
>> > >with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
>> > >"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
>> > >September 74 when I went active.
>> >
>> > Dudly engages in damage control.....
>>
>> Damage control?
>
>New lies. Big snips.
>
>> You're the one with things to "patch up", Frankie.
>>
>> I snipped the rest in favor of a few notes. Anyone caring to
>> re-read your lies can hit the browser back-button.

>
>Like I said, "Big snips."
>
>> The first is that everyone ELSE here, with the possible excepttion
>> of Brain, Markie and Lennie, has to wonder what your ulterior motive is
>> here. Since you "arrived" only 2 months ago or so, your sole "mission"
>> has been to TRY and undermine my military service.
>
>"1958 copy of This is the Air Force."
>
>> So far, it's just been lame accusations not substantiated by a
>> single word of fact.
>
>Exactly your tactics.
>
>> I on the otherhand have given you more than enough information to
>> verify my service based upon YOUR claims of "who" you know and "what"
>> you know.
>
>Where did you serve? What did you do while you were there? What of
>your claims of "Seven Hostile Actions?"
>
>> Obviously THOSE claims were lies and wanton deceit too.
>
>That's what we're trying to find out. But you just won't cooperate
>because you fear something, probably the truth.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4627. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:42:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S7adnXY0BP7GZfneRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 122454

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xp3g1@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.1xp3g1@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>>
>> It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham
>> bands, working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion,
>> etc., without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the
>> "data modes" are better for this than voice.
>>
>
> Why are those modes "better" than voice?
>
> Seems that a voice conversation, under almost every circumstance, would
> lead to a richer exchange of information and better interperson
> understanding than either Morse or "data" modes. Voices naturally
> convey emotion and mood, allow an almost continuous range of emphasis,
> and can use different inflection to add subtle but important syntactic
> variations to many words. None of this is possible in Morse or "data"
> modes, except in some very crude ways such as emoticons.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

I believe that his point was that on the non-voice modes, you cannot tell whether someone is male or female or whether they are young or old. So that there is less chance of them being discriminated against or harassed by those who think women or young people should not be on the radio.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4628. How can...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:43:31 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TK69f.161465$II5.31672@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 122453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

...K1MAN compare himself (granted, indirectly) to the great minds:
Socrates, Galileo, and Jesus.

Oh... I see the connection! They were not hams and neither is K1MAN.

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130532093.885944.310370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A
>
> I have heard some of my greatest detractors say on the air (when they
> didn't consider that I might just happen too be listening) things
> something like:
>
> 1. "Glenn is so talented, but ...."
>
> 2. "Glenn is so bright, but ...."
>
> 3. "Glenn has the best bulletin service, but ...."
>
> 4. "Glenn did such a great job on such-and-such emergency, but....."
>
> 5. "Glenn could be an alternative to ARRL, but....................."
>
> 6. "Glenn could have been so big, but........................."
>
> The problem is that nobody can please all the people all the time, and
> if you try to do anything really significant in life, you are going to
> piss a lot of other people off, no matter what. Church politics is a
> good example of this, and I see no difference regarding hobby politics
> in particular and ham radio politics in general.
>
> Typically, those who try to make major changes in the world get
> "hung," so to speak, (like Socrates, Galileo, or Jesus), or else THEY
> do the hanging (like Alexander the Great, Stalin, or Hitler). Other
> people just find some middle ground somewhere, while still others do
> next to nothing "spectacular" and cross their fingers while hoping
> they don't "get run over." Most people sincerely think that others
> would do much better if they just did it THEIR way.
>
> The fact is that everybody is right and everybody is wrong. Whatever
> works best for you is all that you have unless you make major mid
> course "corrections" from time to time. Some make frequent minor
> "corrections" to best fit the changing world being experienced. Who
> has more fun than people?

>
> Lots of people manipulate and even abuse their way around life.
> Everyone "manipulates" others to some degree, of course, but here
> again, this goes from one end of the spectrum to the other. If you
> buy flowers for your wife, aren't you trying to "manipulate" her? If
> you do unto others as you would have them do unto you, aren't you
> really trying to manipulate them?
>
> One thing common to most humans in particular and mammals in general
> is the desire to be loved and accepted. People need to be
> recognized. Scientific American for November 2005 has an interesting
> article about how the brain comes up with the feeling of "self." The
> fact is that we have a very poor understanding of how the brain works,
> much less how the universe works.
>
> I still cannot fathom why ALL hams don't welcome an on the air hf
> amateur information bulletin service on 20 and 80 meters. Free
> information! Listen to it in the shack while you are soldering a
> connection. Call in your comments, unedited, to an 800 number. A
> wide variety of information including Newsline and RAIN. Taped
> Dayton forums, interviews, hard hitting editorials, etc. etc. A great
> beacon station to evaluate propagation! The answer is simply "hobby
> politics" which overrides common sense. Jealousy! The human need
> to be recognized and to feel important. If the bulletin service
> threatens this self image the of the self importance of another
> person, that person is against it, apparently. This is why humans
> have wars and kill each other. They just can't agree on what is best
> for all concerned. They can't accommodate much at all. Doesn't make
> much sense to me, but I do recognize that it is a very common reality.
> Such is life! Being a wild animal wouldn't seem to be so bad, by
> comparison, it would seem to me!
>
> Now, don't you feel more important already? Let's see, let's tune
> across 20 meters. Not much there anymore is there? Not much
> diversity. No wonder amateur radio is dying! 73 and GL.
>
> Glenn Baxter, K1MAN
>
> AARA Membership
>
> www.K1MAN.com
>
> GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY
>
> BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH
>

4629. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:52:01 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xqhg3@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xqhg3@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122479

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
>
> I think you misunderstand.
>
> Voice modes give all sorts of information about the speaker's
> age, gender, ethnicity, etc., which are not immediately
> obvious with Morse Code or "data modes".
>
>

No, I understand very well. In fact, that's my point. Voice conversations inherently provide more information, just as you point out.

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
> Voice modes can cause the listener to focus more on who is talking and
> how they are talking, rather than what is being said.
>

??????????

"who is talking" and "how they are talking" are often (if not always) as important in understanding "what is being said".

Consider this question: "How much should I take off?" Suppose the questioner was your barber discussing your hair, or a romantic partner discussing her attire, or car salesperson discussing price discounts. Clearly the meaning of that query is wildly different depending on "who is talking and how they are talking".

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
>
> > Iitoi Wrote:
> >
> > Voices naturally convey emotion and mood, allow an almost continuous
> > range of emphasis, and can use different inflection to add subtle but
> > important syntactic variations to many words.
> > > > >
>
> Those features can also be a weakness of voice modes.
>

Since those factors serve to amplify and refine the simple "bare" words, adding a layer of "richness", they are a strength (not a weakness) of voice conversation over the terse exchange of Morse or "data" signals.

In summary, "What is being said, who is saying it, and how they are saying it" will always lead to a richer conversation than just "what is being said".

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4630. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 16:55:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FZadnbaEq41yZ_nenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 122455

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130678726.093742.227640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> Didn't say that. Said scouts are under the supervision and leadership of
>> adults and not on an equal footing with the adults.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> There is no requirement for a merit badge counselor to be a scout
> leader. There is no requirement for a scout leader to supervise a
> scout and his merit badge counselor, however, the merit badge counselor
> must fill out a form and be approved to perform as such.
>
> The merit badge counselor works like the "Elmer" concept in amateur
> radio. What do you think happens when the scout is going for his Radio
> merit badge?
>

When the scout earns a merit badge, it does not put him on the same level of
authority and responsibility as the scout masters or leaders. That merit
badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.

On the other hand, a 14 year old ham radio licensee has all the same rights,
privileges, and responsibilities as any other licensee of the same class.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4631. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 17:22:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130692962.810999.122820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 29 Oct 2005 13:23:31 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> On 29 Oct 2005 07:18:32 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
cut
> > > >> >I'd say it was true, child abuser
> > > >> there is you read/write problem again
> > > >^^
> > > >
> > > >And you prove that you are an illiterate retard, once again.
> > >
> > > cuting at the first lie of yours Mr Lsit
> >
> Running away with my boot up your faggot ass, you mean.no I don't mean that at all

4632. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:27:08 UTC
From: Spudman <tator@spuds.com>
Message-ID: <n9dol1pv55ecomigju4lc1mpht474ikts8@4ax.com>
Source record: 122457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 28 Oct 2005 13:24:10 -0700, "jdobb2001" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>landlines, cells,power down but CB still works. Got to CH 19 and got
>some good local info on open gas stations with fuel before the average
>joes and I was able to fill my tank and gas jugs. Switch to my other
>channel and I make contact with family who also dont have phone.
>
>My base is on and open. CH 19 is active, and a local neighborhood
>channel has opened up for local chitchat, info etc.
>
>So much for Ham radio being the disaster recovery solution. I wonder
>how well those repeaters are doing lol.

the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
and drug dealers.

4633. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:30:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ml1am1tc2mp1mtouafrd7phhgmrlca0hhc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 30 Oct 2005 00:04:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130655850.917193.55560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote and further substantiates the "award" of title as
>"liar" and "deceiver":
>
>> On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
>> >Nothing else.
>>
>> Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.
>
> Find out what, Your Lyingness?

Golly, you outwitted me again, Dudly. I just don't know if I'm smart
enough to figure out all of your clever spins. Woe is me.

>> >> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
>> >> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
>> >> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
>> >> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
>> >> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
>> >> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
>> >> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
>> >
>> > Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
>> >with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
>> >"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
>> >September 74 when I went active.
>>
>>
>> Dudly engages in damage control.....
>
> Damage control?

Yep.

> You're the one with things to "patch up", Frankie.
>
> I snipped the rest in favor of a few notes.

.....i.e, damage control, turning away from the heat and trying to put me on the defensive. Okay, I'll play along for a little while.....

> Anyone caring to
>re-read your lies can hit the browser back-button.

Anyone caring to re-read the contradictions you have made about your military "career" can search google.

> The first is that everyone ELSE here, with the possible excepttion
>of Brain, Markie and Lennie, has to wonder what your ulterior motive is
>here. Since you "arrived" only 2 months ago or so, your sole "mission"
>has been to TRY and undermine my military service.

You need to read the archives again, Dudly, because the facts don't agree with your statement.

> So far, it's just been lame accusations not substantiated by a
>single word of fact.

And your claims about your military "career" differ..... how?

> I on the otherhand have given you more than enough information to
>verify my service based upon YOUR claims of "who" you know and "what"
>you know.

You seem to be under the mistaken impression that I have some resources available to me that aren't available to anyone else.

> Obviously THOSE claims were lies and wanton deceit too.

Nope. It's called "public records". Haven't you ever heard of credit reports? birth, death and marriage certificates? press releases? property and business tax records? bankruptcy filings? criminal and civil case records? Heck, I'll bet that your high-school yearbook picture is online. Just because these things don't come up on a google search doesn't mean they are hidden..... you just have to know how and where to look.

> As a matter of fact, when you first "arrived" in RRAP, you were
>yelling "FRAUD! FRAUD!"...The problem was you were citing nothing but
>LENNIE'S posts, and old ones at that. It was only after several other
>folks, myself included, pointed out you had your attributions wrong
>that you redirected your comments to me.

Again, you need to re-read the archives.

> Secondly is that you are a disgraced, twice court martialed,
>dishonorably discharged service member.

I'm an honorably discharged Beirut vet, a member of my local VFW, a graduate of EWU with a major in electronics engineering (specialized degree) and a minor in communications, and a respected and productive member of my community. I was also a BE until ClearChannel of Borg assimilated the station, but that's another ball of wax.

> Had you invested a TENTH of
>the energy into being the Marine you SHOULD have been then, the courts
>martials wouldn't have been necessary. Instead you're relagated into
>tossing mistruthful allegations at those who DID serve Honorably in
>order to salve your conscience.

There's nothing wrong with my conscience, Dudly. And my "allegations"
are quite factual, as you already know. Now if -YOU- had invested a
tenth of the time providing proof of your "career" instead of spinning
the facts and crossposting this discussion to rrcb, your last two
months of defensive posturing "wouldn't have been necessary".

> Thirdly is your COMPLETE lack of even the remotest amateur radio
>relevent content in ANY thread.

You mean I should stay on the topic of amateur radio policy? Should I
consider your posting history as a model of on-topic discussion?

> Not that you'd have any as, just like
>Lennie Anderson, you're all spite and bile...Any radio "operating"
>experience you may have has NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio.

Really? Not even when I was operating my Uncle's station when I was a
kid? Or making QSLs with hams while I was on field ops? I really doubt
if you knew this, but we had this really cool rig, the MRC-110 I think
it was. Covered 2-30MHz using an old push-button portable (PRC-104)
for an exicter, a 1kW linear with a matching auto-tuner, and a huge
screw-together whip -- all packed onto a jeep. Some of us would
actually volunteer for radio watch when that beast was deployed.....

Is that "on-topic" enough for you?

> So...For those who care to do so, back-button to Frankie's rants
>and raves and enjoy...they are just what I said they are...lies and
>wanton deceit from a guy who finds it easy to be a liar...

Assuming anybody is reading this who actually cares, I couldn't agree
more: browse the archives and see how Dudly is spinning the facts.

> Frank Gilliland...Disgraced coward and liar.
>
> Period.

Wow! Such finality! It's almost like you are the definitive expert on
cowardice and dishonesty!

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

The saga continues......

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4634. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:41:28 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <7t89f.14391$i%.9767@fed1read07>
Source record: 122459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Ah come on guys -- CB and Amateur Radio are both viable services for disasters

As well as any other radio service - FRS, MURS, GMRS as well as cell phones and regular phones (if they work)

Question for you -- over the years, which service do you think handled more local emergencies CB or Ham Radio

Lots more CB radios out there I spect

Yeah I know all about the range of these radios
But even with FRS good for a mile or two -- all it takes is someone to hear you.

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
news:n9dol1pv55ecomigju4lc1mpht474ikts8@4ax.com...
> On 28 Oct 2005 13:24:10 -0700, "jdobb2001" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>landlines, cells,power down but CB still works. Got to CH 19 and got
>>some good local info on open gas stations with fuel before the average
>>joes and I was able to fill my tank and gas jugs. Switch to my other
>>channel and I make contact with family who also dont have phone.
>>
>>My base is on and open. CH 19 is active, and a local neighborhood
>>channel has opened up for local chitchat, info etc.
>>
>>So much for Ham radio being the disaster recovery solution. I wonder
>>how well those repeaters are doing lol.
>
> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
> and drug dealers.

4635. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:45:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130697929.807299.246780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122461

Dee Flint wrote:

> When the scout earns a merit badge,
> it does not put him on the same level of
> authority and responsibility as the scout
> masters or leaders. That merit
> badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.

Indeed.

Also interesting to note that the Scouts (both Boy and Girl) have an elaborate system of "rank, status, and privilege" by which they classify different members, and their accomplishments.

An "incentive" system, if you will.

> On the other hand, a 14 year old ham radio licensee

...or a 10 year old, or an 8 year old...

> has all the same rights,
> privileges, and responsibilities as
> any other licensee of the same class.

And it's been that way (in the USA) since at least 1912.

Yet the proponents of an age requirement for a USA-issued amateur license cannot provide any evidence that the lack of such a requirement has caused problems for the ARS.

More important, this lack of an age requirement plus the anonymizing nature of Morse Code and the "data modes" has promoted and supported a form of equality among hams of all ages are/were very rare.

Perhaps it is this equality that bothers some people so much.

When I was a 13 year old calling CQ on
80 CW, those who heard my signal and answered did not know
I was a seventh-grader unless I told them.

When I was 14 and
NCSing section nets and taking traffic to the region net, (all using Morse Code) no one asked or cared how old I was - they only cared if I was a competent operator.

There's a teenager who has the distinction of being the youngest ham to earn an Amateur Extra class license - which that ham did at the age of 8. Our first QSO (using Morse Code, naturally) was

when that amateur was 10 - and I didn't find out about the age thing until well into the QSO.

Why should there be an age limit for an amateur radio license?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4636. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:46:02 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <qx89f.14393$i%.3064@fed1read07>
Source record: 122462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Ham repeaters typically have battery backup. During the Cedar fires in San Diego, we had the batteries go out after a day or so -- so Mountain Mike went to the repeater -- hooked up an gas alternator and refilled it periodically.
Bottom line -- the repeater was up and fully operational for the entire period of the fires

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

> Someone wrote:
>
>>landlines, cells,power down but CB still works. Got to CH 19 and got
>>some good local info on open gas stations with fuel before the average
>>joes and I was able to fill my tank and gas jugs. Switch to my other
>>channel and I make contact with family who also dont have phone.
>>
>>My base is on and open. CH 19 is active, and a local neighborhood
>>channel has opened up for local chitchat, info etc.
>>
>>So much for Ham radio being the disaster recovery solution. I wonder
>>how well those repeaters are doing lol.
>

4637. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:46:07 UTC
From: Lloyd <sx@lloyd523sx.net>
Message-ID: <dk34bremj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
>
> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
> and drug dealers.

Listen to 52 simplex in most any large U.S. city, especially Los Angeles,
NYC, Atlanta, etc. You might just change your mind about what
2 meters is used for in big cities.

4638. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:49:58 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <5B89f.14395$i%.10793@fed1read07>
Source record: 122463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

There are bad apples in every barrel (including here)
You are talking about the just a few hams being out of line
Considering the over 600,000 "good" hams, tis mouse grains -- say I

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
news:dk34bremj0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
>>
>> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
>> and drug dealers.
>
>
> Listen to 52 simplex in most any large U.S. city, especially Los Angeles,
> NYC, Atlanta, etc. You might just change your mind about what
> 2 meters is used for in big cities.
>
>
>
>

4639. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 18:54:46 UTC
From: Lloyd <sx@lloyd523sx.net>
Message-ID: <dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
two meters.

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:5B89f.14395$i%.10793@fed1read07...
> There are bad apples in every barrel (including here)
> You are talking about the just a few hams being out of line
> Considering the over 600,000 "good" hams, tis mouse grains -- say I
>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> news:dk34bremj0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
>>> and drug dealers.
>>
>>
>> Listen to 52 simplex in most any large U.S. city, especially Los Angeles,
>> NYC, Atlanta, etc. You might just change your mind about what
>> 2 meters is used for in big cities.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4640. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:00:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3r5am11hr4n1mfej4e3hf51403h2sp88rl@4ax.com>
Source record: 122465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 10:30:03 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 30 Oct 2005 00:04:10 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1130655850.917193.55560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote and further substantiates the "award" of title as
>>"liar" and "deceiver":
>>
>>> On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>>> > From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
>>> >Nothing else.
>>>
>>> Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.
>>
>> Find out what, Your Lyingness?
>
>
>Golly, you outwitted me again, Dudly. I just don't know if I'm smart
>enough to figure out all of your clever spins. Woe is me.
>
>
>>> >> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you think
>>> >> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that would
>>> >> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should his
>>> >> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem wasn't
>>> >> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
>>> >> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
>>> >> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
>>> >
>>> > Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I was
>>> >with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
>>> >"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
>>> >September 74 when I went active.
>>>
>>>
>>> Dudly engages in damage control.....
>>
>> Damage control?
>

>
>Yep.
>
>
>> You're the one with things to "patch up", Frankie.
>>
>> I snipped the rest in favor of a few notes.
>
>
>.....i.e, damage control, turning away from the heat and trying to put
>me on the defensive. Okay, I'll play along for a little while.....
>
>
>> Anyone caring to
>>re-read your lies can hit the browser back-button.
>
>
>Anyone caring to re-read the contradictions you have made about your
>military "career" can search google.
>
>
>> The first is that everyone ELSE here, with the possible excepttion
>>of Brain, Markie and Lennie, has to wonder what your ulterior motive is
>>here. Since you "arrived" only 2 months ago or so, your sole "mission"
>>has been to TRY and undermine my military service.
>
>
>You need to read the archives again, Dudly, because the facts don't
>agree with your statement.

and Stevie calls me PARANOID?
>
>
>> So far, it's just been lame accusations not substantiated by a
>>single word of fact.
>
>
>And your claims about your military "career" differ..... how?

your claims are different from stevie in one very clear way.. they are
basicaly consistant, and you have cleared up any misunderstand that
develope
>
>> I on the otherhand have given you more than enough information to
>>verify my service based upon YOUR claims of "who" you know and "what"
>>you know.
>
>
>You seem to be under the mistaken impression that I have some
>resources available to me that aren't available to anyone else.
>

>
>> Obviously THOSE claims were lies and wanton deceit too.
>
>
>Nope. It's called "public records". Haven't you ever heard of credit
>reports? birth, death and marriage certificates? press releases?
>property and business tax records? bankruptcy filings? criminal and
>civil case records? Heck, I'll bet that your high-school yearbook
>picture is online. Just because these things don't come up on a google
>search doesn't mean they are hidden..... you just have to know how
>and where to look.
>
>
>> As a matter of fact, when you first "arrived" in RRAP, you were
>>yelling "FRAUD! FRAUD!"...The problem was you were citing nothing but
>>LENNIE'S posts, and old ones at that. It was only after several other
>>folks, myself included, pointed out you had your attributions wrong
>>that you redirected your comments to me.
>
>
>Again, you need to re-read the archives.
>
>
>> Secondly is that you are a disgraced, twice court martialed,
>>dishonorably discharged service member.
>
>
>I'm an honorably discharged Beirut vet, a member of my local VFW, a
>graduate of EWU with a major in electronics engineering (specialized
>degree) and a minor in communications, and a respected and productive
>member of my community. I was also a BE until ClearChannel of Borg
>assimilated the station, but that's another ball of wax.
>
>
>> Had you invested a TENTH of
>>the energy into being the Marine you SHOULD have been then, the courts
>>martials wouldn't have been necessary. Instead you're relagated into
>>tossing mistruthful allegations at those who DID serve Honorably in
>>order to salve your conscience.
>
>
>There's nothing wrong with my conscience, Dudly. And my "allegations"
>are quite factual, as you already know. Now if -YOU- had invested a
>tenth of the time providing proof of your "career" instead of spinning
>the facts and crossposting this discussion to rrcb, your last two
>months of defensive posturing "wouldn't have been necessary".
>
>
>> Thirdly is your COMPLETE lack of even the remotest amateur radio
>>relevent content in ANY thread.

>
>
>You mean I should stay on the topic of amateur radio policy? Should I
>consider your posting history as a model of on-topic discussion?
>
>
>> Not that you'd have any as, just like
>>Lennie Anderson, you're all spite and bile...Any radio "operating"
>>experience you may have has NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio.
>
>
>Really? Not even when I was operating my Uncle's station when I was a
>kid? Or making QSLs with hams while I was on field ops? I really doubt
>if you knew this, but we had this really cool rig, the MRC-110 I think
>it was. Covered 2-30MHz using an old push-button portable (PRC-104)
>for an exicter, a 1kW linear with a matching auto-tuner, and a huge
>screw-together whip -- all packed onto a jeep. Some of us would
>actually volunteer for radio watch when that beast was deployed.....
>
>Is that "on-topic" enough for you?
>
>
>> So...For those who care to do so, back-button to Frankie's rants
>>and raves and enjoy...they are just what I said they are...lies and
>>wanton deceit from a guy who finds it easy to be a liar...
>
>
>Assuming anybody is reading this who actually cares, I couldn't agree
>more: browse the archives and see how Dudly is spinning the facts.
>
>
>> Frank Gilliland...Disgraced coward and liar.
>>
>> Period.
>
>
>Wow! Such finality! It's almost like you are the definitive expert on
>cowardice and dishonesty!
>
>
>>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
>The saga continues......
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4641. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:08:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130699294.216476.103470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122466

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
> > it does not put him on the same level of
> > authority and responsibility as the scout
> > masters or leaders. That merit
> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
>
> Indeed.
>
> Also interesting to note that the Scouts (both Boy and Girl) have
> an elaborate system of "rank, status, and privilege" by which
> they classify different members, and their accomplishments.

it works well for children
>
> An "incentive" system, if you will.

it works well for children

now what it that has to do with the ARS I don't know unless you are
endorsing the childish one upmanship we see so often in the ARS
>
> > On the other hand, a 14 year old ham radio licensee
>
> ...or a 10 year old, or an 8 year old...
>
> > has all the same rights,
> > privileges, and responsibilities as
> > any other licensee of the same class.
>
> And it's been that way (in the USA) since at least 1912.
>
> Yet the proponents of an age requirement for a USA-issued
> amateur license cannot provide any evidence that the lack
> of such a requirement has caused problems for the ARS.

proponents? what proponents? Len is now sevaeral people in your eyes?

>
> More important, this lack of an age requirement plus the
> anonymizing nature of Morse Code and the "data modes" has
> promoted and supported a form of equality among hams of all ages
> are/were very rare.

bullshit you have trailed off into complete fantasy
>
> Perhaps it is this equality that bothers some people so much.
>
> When I was a 13 year old calling CQ on
> 80 CW, those who heard my signal and answered did not know
> I was a seventh-grader unless I told them.
>
> When I was 14 and
> NCSing section nets and taking traffic to the region net, (all
> using Morse Code) no one asked or cared how old I was - they
> only cared if I was a competent operator.
>
> There's a teenager who has the distinction of being the youngest
> ham to earn an Amateur Extra class license - which that ham did
> at the age of 8. Our first QSO (using Morse Code, naturally) was
> when that amateur was 10 - and I didn't find out about the age thing
> until well into the QSO.
>
> Why should there be an age limit for an amateur radio license?

why are you beating a dead horse?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

4642. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:12:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130699561.033311.126990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122467

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 28 Oct 2005 03:21:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> I tell you seeing the inability of some of the procoders to see what
> > > >> is written in front of them I am forced to wonder if too much code use
> > > >> does not cause brain damge to some folks
> > > >
> > > >Decades ago in 73 magazine, Wayne Green was writing the same thing.
> > > Wayne Green was plain wrong about many things but assuming you are
> > > cottect I real think he got that one right
> >
> > It was funny at the time. It's sad now. The FCC went after RF as the
> > culprit, devising the environmental assessments that we must now
> > perform for our stations. Just like the FCC to go after the wrong
> > thing.

you must admit (or rather you should admit)RF does seem like a more
plausible explaination.

OTOH the thought just occuured to me Prehaps the FCC and Wayne were
both right. after all we are told CW get always gets through, prehaps
the narrow band nature of CW combined with RF levels are what it takes
to do the damage

the above is joke, but may be more serious than we want to admit or
investagate

4643. Re: few thoughts on the score the coments and the ARS and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:19:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <g67am11075pu932jdeep9uek1hlkicgvet@4ax.com>
Source record: 122468

On 28 Oct 2005 02:28:32 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >lifted Len
>> >Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>> >
>> >
>> > As of 26 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
>> > Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>> >
>> >
>> > ALL to Date Since FR Notice
>> > -------------- ---------------
>> > Grand Total 3096 1120
>> >
>> >
>> > Indeterminate (note 1) 194 83
>> >
>> >
>> > Value for Percentages 2902 1037
>> >
>> >
>> > Against NPRM (note 2) 910 [31.36%] 355 [34.23%]
>> > For NPRM (note 3) 1510 [52.03%] 474 [45.71%]
>> > Test Extra Only (note 4) 482 [16.61%] 208 [20.06%]
>> >
>> >
>> > Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 11 AM EDT 27 Oct 05.
>> >
>> >
>> > Notes:
>> >
>> the figure of 600-700 thousand UShams is bandied about
>>
>> the number of coments file is roughly one half of one percent of all
>> Hams (assuing that only Hams have filed comments)
cut spelling cop
>> a figure of 100 or so thousand is thrown around for the ARRL (i'll
>> 100k to make my math easier
>
> Actually it's over half-again that number, but why let 75,000 slow
>you down?

i used the figures thrwon around but the larger the mmebership of the
ARRL the worse the numbesr look
>
>> if one assumed all the coments were filed by arrl members (clearly
>> false but but assume it for sec) less than one in 10 ARRL members has
>> seen fit to coment (roughly 3 %)
>
cut spelling cop
>
> I'll bet the percentage of ARRL-members as respondents was far
>greater than the non-members as respondents.

very like just establishing parameters
>
>> if one asumes instead that the proportions of ARRL vs non memebers is
>> rough of that ham population as a whole then of course only a very
>> smal proportion of the arrl memebership cared enoughto even coment and
>> they should know it is going on
>
cut spelling cop
>
> OK...So WHY "should" they know?

civic duty something BTW most ProCoders claim makes them better than
NoCoders
>
> Yes, they get QST. Are they OBLIGATED to read all the "releases"?
> Is there some part of ARRL membership I missed that says "If you join
>ARRL you MUST respond to all NPRM's?"
>
> What about the percentage of "Worldradio" readers? "CQ" magazine?
> The same information and the same references to the NPRM and EFCS were
>in those publications and were more-widely distributed. Why not base
>your numbers on "Amateurs Who Care Enough To Read ANY Amateur Related
>Journal"...???
>
>> instad let us assume that all Procode commenet are ARRL members then
>> 1.5% of the arrl is procode enough to even bother filing coments
>
> "instead" "comments" "ARRL"
>
> What were you trying to say, Mark?
>
>> I am truely glad this is no vote
>> the turn out would be seen as pathetic
>
> "truly"
>
> OK...So how is this different from most general
>elections...HOW...???

I have never seen an election with less than 20% turn out

never as low as .5%

>
> A great many elections are determined with less than 50% of the
>electorate showing up. Many policies on taxation, zoning, law
>enforcement, fire suppression, etc, are enacted in our communities
>based upon 26% or less of the electorate's say-so.

i have never seen less than 20% perhaps you have if so PLEASE do me
the favor of not telling me Id like to think well of the US
>
> (Don't choke...) I agree with you that it's pathetic that we
>can't "get out the vote" more effectively on such a hotly contested
>issue.
>
> What bothers me even more is that we've been trying to get a
>spectrum protection act (in one form or another) passed for the last
>TWELVE years, and it continually dies in committee or lack of
>representitive support.

one reason might well be they are all based on pretty rigid standards
that I am not sure I support I do reconize that we the ARS likely will
and looking from the standard of General interest should lose some to
other uses
>
> That's an issue that hits ALL of us, regardless of class of
>license...But we STILL can't get folks to write a "Dear Senator"
>letter, even if it's just enough to say "Please support this bill".
>But everyone will wail and whine when it's gone, crying "WHY DIDN'T
>SOMEONE DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS...?!?!?"

a civil post I honetsly did not think you had it in you, I adkowledge
the "disappointment"
>
>Steve, K4YZ

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4644. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:20:47 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1130700047.544198.241690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122469
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John,

Thank-you for your kind words. It must be difficult,
however to navigate through the QRM of false Polymaths
who are infesting this NG. (I note that a rather silly
and infantile CBer has already replied to you as Polymath)

For some reason, despite taking a stance of encouraging
all comers to exert themselves and join in what is an
exciting technical pursuit, I am plagued with quite
a few mental defectives who forge postings, amongst other
things. I really do not understand the infantile mentality
of them, for, if they were to put the same effort into
pursuing Ham Radio that they do into their childish attacks,
they'd find life much more rewarding!

There was something from you in my in-tray, however
I delete all unsolicited emails - if something comes
up as the result of the NG then the place to discuss
it is in the NG.

Thanks again and 73.

John L. Sielke wrote:
> Polymath wrote:
> > Your offering below, riddled as it is with childish
> > insults illustrates well that you are a CBer.
> > Peter wrote:
> >>NO...
> >>It IS your intention to insult them, just behind their backs so you run less risk
> >>of them replying. Your post was not really intended as an "FAQ", it was purely
> >>a relatively "safe" release for your personal anger.
> >>Wuss.
>
>
> Polymath,
> Just thought I would let you know, since almost all your replies come from the
> CB crowd, that at least on this side of the pond, someone agrees with you. The
> continuing "dumbing down" of license requirements will be the death of Ham
> Radio. Unfortunatley, it's a side effect of the overall dumbing down of the
> entire educational system. Teachers are not allowed to flunk a student, or even
> criticize, for fear that the poor student's "self esteem" will suffer. If a
> student makes a gross error, the response is, "I can see where you get your
> answer, and it is very good, how about considering some alternatives?" Instead
> of, "Sorry, you're wrong, go read the f*cking book!"

>
> Keep it up, don't let the ignorant bastard get you down.
>
> John W2AGN (unlike most of your gutless responders, not afraid to identify myself)

4645. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:28:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130700505.367909.159330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122470
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> John,
>
> Thank-you for your kind words. It must be difficult,
> however to navigate through the QRM of false Polymaths
> who are infesting this NG. (I note that a rather silly
> and infantile CBer has already replied to you as Polymath)
>
> For some reason, despite taking a stance of encouraging
> all comers to exert themselves and join in what is an
> exciting technical pursuit, I am plagued with quite
> a few mental defectives who forge postings, amongst other
> things. I really do not understand the infantile mentality
> of them, for, if they were to put the same effort into
> pursuing Ham Radio that they do into their childish attacks,
> they'd find life much more rewarding!

if you think you "FAQ" is refelctive of a stance of "encouraging all
comers to exert themselves and join in what is an exciting technical
pursuit" then I must say you even more screwed than most of OT posting
about Code code uber alles
>

4646. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:38:08 UTC
From: TheBillRodgers <TBR@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <4365217d$1_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 122471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Hrmm...you post from Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server too?

>
<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:7ts9m1psqd743t3cnj2n5h9f927mdktg4d@4ax.com...
> On 30 Oct 2005 05:14:50 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>> Frank Gilliland wrote and further substantiates the "award" of title as
>>> "liar" and "deceiver":
>>>
>>> > On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> > <1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>>
>>> > > From 03 September 1974 to 29 May 1992 I was on active duty.
>>> > >Nothing else.
>>> >
>>> > Oh, Dudly, how naive of you to think that nobody would find out.
>>>
>>> Find out what, Your Lyingness?
>>
>>That you were a paper soldier. No hostile actions.
>
> plenty of Hostile action just no bullets his viicous fileing of paper
>>
>>> > >> And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further. What do you
>>> > >> think
>>> > >> of a Marine who suddenly aquires a chronic medical problem that
>>> > >> would
>>> > >> conveniently prevent him from serving in the first Gulf War should
>>> > >> his
>>> > >> unit be called to active duty? And what if that medical problem
>>> > >> wasn't
>>> > >> serious enough to prevent him from joining the CAP after being
>>> > >> discharged? I am not alone in thinking that Marine is a coward who
>>> > >> shirked his responsibilities and skated out of his oath.
>>> > >
>>> > > Good question. Why not ask a Reservist that same question. I
>>> > > was
>>> > >with NAMTD-1032 during DS, so it wasn't going anywhere. My only
>>> > >"reserve" time were those Delayed Entry days rom April of 74 until
>>> > >September 74 when I went active.

>>> >
>>> > Dudly engages in damage control.....
>>>
>>> Damage control?
>>
>>New lies. Big snips.
>>
>>> You're the one with things to "patch up", Frankie.
>>>
>>> I snipped the rest in favor of a few notes. Anyone caring to
>>> re-read your lies can hit the browser back-button.
>>
>>Like I said, "Big snips."
>>
>>> The first is that everyone ELSE here, with the possible excepttion
>>> of Brain, Markie and Lennie, has to wonder what your ulterior motive is
>>> here. Since you "arrived" only 2 months ago or so, your sole "mission"
>>> has been to TRY and undermine my military service.
>>
>>"1958 copy of This is the Air Force."
>>
>>> So far, it's just been lame accusations not substantiated by a
>>> single word of fact.
>>
>>Exactly your tactics.
>>
>>> I on the otherhand have given you more than enough information to
>>> verify my service based upon YOUR claims of "who" you know and "what"
>>> you know.
>>
>>Where did you serve? What did you do while you were there? What of
>>your claims of "Seven Hostile Actions?"
>>
>>> Obviously THOSE claims were lies and wanton deceit too.
>>
>>That's what we're trying to find out. But you just won't cooperate
>>because you fear something, probably the truth.
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4647. Re: FYI CB radio in the aftermeth of Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 19:40:55 UTC
From: TheBillRodgers <TBR@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <43652223$1_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 122472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Another usenetzone poster....and suggesting people go to a cb group. hrmmm.
Stop forging polymath, kb9rqz.
>
<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:ilb5m1lr4ppt475ijqgg4un94bde8snibc@4ax.com...
> ham might want to take note of a thread over in rec.radio.cb called
> "CB saves the day during Wilma"
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4648. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 20:03:01 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk38tr$cp2$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
news:n9dol1pv55ecomigju4lc1mpht474ikts8@4ax.com...
> On 28 Oct 2005 13:24:10 -0700, "jdobb2001" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >landlines, cells,power down but CB still works. Got to CH 19 and got
> >some good local info on open gas stations with fuel before the average
> >joes and I was able to fill my tank and gas jugs. Switch to my other
> >channel and I make contact with family who also dont have phone.
> >
> >My base is on and open. CH 19 is active, and a local neighborhood
> >channel has opened up for local chitchat, info etc.
> >
> >So much for Ham radio being the disaster recovery solution. I wonder
> >how well those repeaters are doing lol.
>
> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
> and drug dealers.

I see you use it often then..... ha ha flipping ha...

4649. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 20:08:14 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk397kiks1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:5B89f.14395$i%.10793@fed1read07...
> There are bad apples in every barrel (including here)
> You are talking about the just a few hams being out of line
> Considering the over 600,000 "good" hams, tis mouse grains -- say I

True...but the same with CB'ers. Just because theres a few bad ones out
there the Ham fraternity have no problem in insulting the majority of good
CB users. Theres good and bad in all and this constant bickering between the
hobbies is getting tiresome now.
How about concentrating on some Factual radio related threads and everyone
getting on ???..... no hope ??? nah i didnt think so....

4650. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 20:08:54 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk398r$7fh$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> two meters.

Then who is causing the problem??

4651. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 20:31:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130704307.879804.169970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122476

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 28 Oct 2005 21:47

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > Brian, you've posted (I have a copy along with others from
>> > rrap
>> > who've filed on WT Docket 05-235) and so have others. But,
>> > for all his macho morsemanship, neither James P. Miccolis nor
>> > the mighty morsemen regulars in here have NOT filed!
>>
>> So? What's your point, Len?
>>
>> I'll "file" when I want to.
>
>Len, the old saying goes, if you don't "vote" you can't bitch.

The U.S. Constitution has a (gasp!) "age requirment" minimum
on voters!

Jimmie has implied he is an EXPERT on military matters and can
"judge" veterans. However he NEVER served one moment of time
IN the military. [there's a minimum age requirement for that
as well as a maximum age...:-)]

>Except for these guys. They can bitch up a storm.

In HERE. They seem to get "lost" when it comes time to
communicate with their own government...but that does NOT
stop them being judgemental to an ultimate degree in HERE.

Jimmie will "file when he wants to." In order to be counted,
he MUST file a Comment by October 31st and a Reply to Comments
by November 14. Maybe he thinks (because of his "superiority")
that the U.S. government will "listen to him" even if he files
beyond the official ending date? [I'm sure he does]

Jimmie ain't said he read ALL of the Comments in Docket 05-235.
He's said he will NOT do his own tally...but he is QUICK to
condemn and berate and call "inaccurate" the tallies of
others! Anyplace else he would be called a hypocrite. In here
he is a Morseman Extra.

Beep, beep, huh-rawhhh!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4652. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 20:34:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130704477.754083.309070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122477

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 5:50 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> > On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Len uses multiple names in his posts. I'm not sure who he means by
>> "Jimmie-James". Is it me, or Jim Weir (who posts as "RSTEngineering")
>> or somebody else?
>
>Then you must be dim-witted.

Nah...he's only in a truss over trying to misdirect the subject
thread on all about how we should all be FORMAL and RESPECTFUL
to the mighty macho morsemen extras.

Maybe I'll get a tuxedo and wear one when posting to these
mighty macho motivated morsemen? Now if they would only
specify white-tie or black-tie? :-)

>> If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?
>
>All this confusion, then you go ahead and answer af if it were you he
>was referring to. That is the lie that Mark refers to.

Further reinforcement of the misdirection onto Jimmie's demand
for FORMALITY and RESPECTFULNESS...when it comes to HIM. :-)

>> And it's a fact that I'm not "all for children VOTING in elections".
>
>Why would you care? He's not talking about what >>>you<<< are in favor
>of, is he?

Poor Jimmie. I dropped that "age requirement for amateur licensees"
six years ago and he just can't LET GO of it. He MUST keep on
arguing and arguing and arguing and arguing it over and over and
over and over again...perhaps hoping that I will "give in" or
acknowledge his Lordship's Superior Intellect or whatever. :-)

>> I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
>> license.
>
>Good for you. Len is in favor of an age requirement.

What I "favor" is NOT allowed in here according to James P.
Miccolis, renowned amateur historian and mighty macho motivated

morseman extra. :-)

>> > and Len supports the notion at this point
>
>> Why?
>
>Why not? The books are full of minimum age requirements for various
>things.

...not to mention the Constitution of the United States. :-)

Note that the Miccolis Misdirection ploy is almost complete.
Once again, Miccolis Misdirection has caused a thread shift
to an oft-repeated "argument" (originally started by Hans
Brakob in here) over a Reply to Comments on the (now dead)
WT Docket 98-143 of 1998-1999.

Instead of the usual condemnation (of anyone not thinking as
wonderfully as Jimmie) on the "docket score card" (05-235 tally),
we have done the Time Warp back to 1999 and are re-arguing
the "up-coming" Reconstruction R&O. :-) FCC 99-412 of late
December 1999 decided "Reconstruction."

The FCC did NOT order any age requirements in R&O 99-412. There
is NO age requirement statement of any kind in NPRM 05-143.
Jimmie thinks this is ALL about "age requirements."

>> > I neither support nor oppose such a notion,
>
>> Why don't you oppose it? It's a completely unnecessary
>> requirement for a license. No evidence has been presented
>> to support it.
>
>Morse Code in sheep's clothing? Hi!

More like "Morse sheep in wolf's costume." :-)

Halloween without the Trick or Treat...

>Just what we need is another unnecessary, arbitrary license requirement!

Just what we DON'T need is Jimmie hosing everyone with an old,
Old, OLD arguments over "age requirements" which were NOT on the
"reconstruction" NPRM nor in NPRM 05-143. :-)

Now, if Jimmie wants to fire up his "state of the art" 1990s
vacuum tube Southgate Type 7 and beep to young boys with CW, let
him. It keeps him "happy" when he doesn't have to reveal a
thing about his REAL identity...on-off keying morse code cannot
reveal a single clue to gender, age, emotion, or anything else
while voice can tell much. Jimmie can, effectively, HIDE behind
his on-off key. Jimmie can be the "X-man superhero," a "masked
avenger" (like Captain Code) who keeps alive the old, Old, OLD
modes forever and ever. [long live 1844! :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4653. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 20:45:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130705146.114187.295100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122478

From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

>> >It's about *your* 'scorecard', Len.
>
>> Yes, it is.
>
>Well, at least you finally admit to that plain and simple fact.

Let's see...Google accepts MY postings only under my IEEE
alias and I "sign" every "score card" with
"LenAnderson@ieee.org."

WHO would be posting a "score card" under my name if
NOT me? :-)

>> Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
>> the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
>> tabulation of them?
>
>I don't think that. I know it's true. I've seen the compilation
>done by someone else and it's more informative than yours.

WHO else, Jimmie?

You are begining to sound like Dudly the Imposter in here,
always NON-specific but alleging you "know" someone else.

>You've never been a radio amateur but you tell us How Amateur
>Radio Should Be. Which is your right of free speech.

MISTAKE. ERROR. I'm telling the Federal Communications
Commission (a U.S. federal government agency) what I think
the regulations on GETTING INTO amateur radio "should be."
[i.e., my desires]

Tsk. I could NOT "tell you anything" about amateur radio or
anything else if it was against what you wrote in here. You
would spend days, weeks, even years arguing over a "discussion"
as you did on "age requirements" LONG AFTER I dropped it. :-)

>The thing you seem to have trouble with is when others use their
>right of free speech.

Tsk, tsk, I'm USED to heckling, catcalls, booing from the
peanut gallery in computer-modem communications. Been doing
that since early December, 1984.

YOU are one of the hecklers.

>Who is "Jimmie-James", Len? An imaginary friend of yours?

James P. Micollis, the one whose legal address given to the FCC
is at 136 Morningside Circle, Wayne, PA 19087.

Are YOU this same "James P. Miccolis?"

Answer truthfully. Any false responses will be treated to 23 years
of heckling... ;-)

>> ALL of them. Even those filings
>> which aren't about amateur radio! :-)
>
>Finally! An answer to a straightforward question! Thank you.

Tsk, tsk. That ANSWER was clearly given in the Notes on each
"score card" posting since the first one. You did not seem to
understand it. Why did you keep on asking, keep on asking,
keep on asking? Disk record broken?

>But I, Jim/N2EY, am simply asking questions about your 'scorecard'
>process, and pointing out the *potential* for inaccuracy.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The plain simple fact is that I am giving you
ANSWERS to stupid questions whose answers were in plain sight
in every "score card" posting I've done.

>That sort of thing seems to really bother you, Len.

Poor baby. You keep asking all these inane questions. Why?

Are you afraid of the RESULTS of the opinions of the "amateur
community" being against your amateur Beliefs? Sure seems
that way.

>> But, you've NOT made one single filing on WT Docket 05-235 as
>> of 5 PM EDT on 28 October 2005.
>
>So? Is the filing of comments with FCC a requirement for asking
>questions and posting comments here?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. After the tens of thousands of whiny, petulant
words, accusations, and implications in HERE, you can't even
make ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235 on MORSE CODE TESTING?!?

:-)

>Who made you the moderator?

The same one who made YOU "moderator!" :-)

>> In fact, the LAST time you
>> filed ANYTHING with the FCC ECFS was over 12 months ago on a
>> Petition. Tsk, tsk.
>
>What's so "tsk tsk" about that?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. After the tens of thousands of whiny, petulant
words, accusations, and implications in HERE, you can't even
make ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235 on MORSE CODE TESTING?!?

>> Tsk, tsk...I'm NOT "making claims."
>
>Yes, you are, Len. You're claiming your 'scorecard' is accurate
>but you won't answer questions about how it is prepared.

1. The "answers" were ALREADY POSTED in each of the "score cards"
I put up via Google. Well before you "asked" for the first
one.

2. You've gotten answers to your (petulant, whiny, accusatory)
"questions" in here...but you sure as hell don't LIKE them!

>That may be changing, which is a good thing.

Who appoint you "judge" of what is "a good thing" or a "bad thing?"

3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from the old,
Old, OLD standards and practices. That seems to piss you off
greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and accusatory. Tsk.

>Here's another solution, Len: If you don't want commentary on
>things you post, don't post them.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You are telling me to SHUT UP! [but are attempting
to disguise it with uncivil "civil phrases"]

>You seem to want everyone to just accept what you write here
>without question, even though you don't behave that way
>towards others. Doesn't work that way.

ERROR! MISTAKE!! Sorry, dearie, but it DOES work that way.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did God appoint YOU as "judge" of "what works"
and "doesn't work" in here? [He didn't tell me that this
morning] Do you have a Certificate of Licensure (suitable for
framing) from a Divine Authority granting you "judgement"
capability on who posts what? I don't think so...

>> That seems to unduly upset you. Tsk. If it bothers you so
>> much, just stop reading this thread! [easy solution to your
>> apparent problem]
>
>You are the one getting upset, Len. Not me.

"Upset?" No. You are just another heckler out to AVENGE some
perceived slight/negativism/whathaveyou against you in the past
in a computer-modem venue.

My answers to your inane, petulant "questions" are just a way
for me to keep in writing shape. :-)

>> >Why is that so important to you?
>
>> Why is YOUR HECKLING "so important" to YOU? :-)

ANSWER the question.

>> You aren't even discussing the opinions in all those 3,199
>> filings. All you do is try to trash-mouth those who've
>> bothered to look at ALL the filings. Tsk, tsk.
>
>Seems to me that asking questions is defined as "heckling" and
>"trash-mouth" by you...

Tsk, tsk, tsk...EXACTLY the technique of Dudly the Imposter in
here! [you HAVE been taking lessons from your buddie, aintcha?]

"Sweetums," you have NOT discussed ONE SINGLE filing on WT
DOCKET 05-235 since you began this heckling attempt! :-)

>> >I read those notes. They are not comprehensive. They do not
>> >answer several questions I have raised.
>
>> Why is that "so important to you?"
>
>I'm just asking you to clarify your process. Is that so difficult
>to understand?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. WE - most of the readers in here - UNDERSTAND
that you are just HECKLING. :-)

That YOU don't understand what others understand must mean that
your EGO has been sorely wounded...or you are some kind of idiot
so blinded by your own Beliefs that you can't understand it.

>> YOU are NOT in the FCC. YOU are NOT on the ARRL BoD.
>
>Neither are you, Len.

That doesn't explain why YOU constantly pretend to be "judge"
and try to make nasty to others who state opinions contrary to
what YOU find "objectionable."

There are NO RULES in "score card" postings other than what
the poster places in public view. I have done that. Since
the first "score card" posting. The Notes given with each
"card" are clear and comprehensive.

"Asking for clarification" of yours is nothing more than adult-
language puerile petulant HECKLING done for malicious intent
of your own.

>Nobody checks your work.

I check my work. YOU don't like it, go do your OWN scoring.

Nobody checks your work.

I check my work. YOU don't like it, go do your OWN scoring.

>> >It's a very simple question.
>
>> ...from a VERY unsatisfied simple person... :-)

Poor Jimmie. Keeps trying to heckle "civilly." Remains just
heckling, though, whiny petulant heckling of a four-year-old
mentality.

>> >You've filed at least 5 different comments
>> >and reply comments, all of which are in support of the NPRM.
>
>> Yes, and...? Are you FORBIDDING my communications with my
>> own government?!?
>
>Of course not.

Then WHY do you make such a molehill out of that mountain?

>Are you forbidding my free speech?

Tsk, tsk, you are impossible to suppress. Did you buy new
Energizer batteries or something?

>> >Do they show up as a count of 1 or 5 on the tally of "for"
>> >filings?
>
>> Tsk, tsk...you understand very poorly.
>
>Incorrect. I understand very well. You explain very poorly.

Poor baby. Always trying to make yourself the "superior."

You don't get the answers you WANT, so you bitch and whine
and get all snarly about "poor explanations!"

Sorry, but the Nun of the Above can't elevate herself to
Mother Superior.

>> I've already said I count ALL the filings.
>
>In other words, your own comments and reply comments are counted as 5
>filings *for* the NPRM, not 1. Also means you're counting the multiple
>filings of others, including one person who was "for" the NPRM and
>filed no less than 17 filings.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...my Notes said that DUPLICATES would be counted
as "Indeterminate" category and not used for the Percentage
figures. Clearly.

The duplicate filer For the NPRM gives his name as Vincent
Garcell. Another one Against the NPRM, with 13 total filings,
is Dwayne Sparks.

The ECFS totals ALL of the filings in one Docket on any Search.
It doesn't discriminate against filings which aren't even about
amateur radio! Perhaps you ought to bitch and whine to the FCC
and Tell Them What To Do!

>An alternative compilation that I have seen indicates multiple filings
>by the same person. Last time I looked, multiple filings by those "for"
>the NPRM exceeded multiple filings by those "against" it by at least 32
>comments. That's about 1%.

Hey, Dudly II, WHOSE "alternative compilation?" WHOSE. Where
is this alleged "alternative compilation" to be found?

Why am I supposed to follow the "rules" of this "alternate
compilation?" Because YOU said so? [of course, silly question!]

>So your counting method overstates the support for the NPRM by at least
>that percentage.

Well then, go BITCH to the FCC and NTIA (who govern part of the
U.S. Internet) that I am being terribly "dishonest" and have me
thrown off the 'net or something! :-)

>You post your results to four significant figures, yet if your counting
>method is as described above, it's inaccurate by at least 1% from that
>one source of error.

You poor baby. You would COMPLAIN if it was 3, 2, or 1 significant
figure. :-)

>You make a lot of noise about amateur radio but you've never been a
>radio amateur. And from all appearances you're never going to get an
>amateur radio license.

"Motivation" is now a "necessity?!?" Of course it is. YOU are
a mighty macho MOTIVATED morseman and all must think like YOU.

>So why do are you so obsessed with it?

Why are YOU so obsessed with alleging I make errors and falseness?

You DO sound a lot like Dudly the Imposter!

>> As you've pointed out (more than once), the "score card" is
>> MINE, isn't it? :-) If so, then I make up the rules,
>> don't I? :-) You don't like the results? Don't read the
>> "score card."
>

>What you're really saying is that you cannot tolerate
>opposing opinions, questions, or facts that contradict your
>assertions.

WRONG. In the first few weeks of posting the "score card," the
only REAL questions appearing in the threads were those of
accessing the ECFS and how to file. By others. And answered
by another besides myself.

After the "score card" format change due to LATE notice in the
Federal Register, suddenly YOU appear with all the allegations
of inhonesty, "questions" on procedure, etc., etc., etc. :-)

YOUR whole purpose with those "questions" seems to be with your
on-going dissatisfaction with ANYTHING I post in here. There
is the question of "motivation" for your harrassment. Why are
you so OBSESSED with trying to toss me off?

>> The results of the NPRM and its final Report and Order will
>> NOT AFFECT YOU, will it?
>
>It may. Changes in the rules of the amateur radio service may
>have a profound effect on me, because I'm an active licensed
>radio amateur.

Then seek MENTAL counseling. There is NOTHING to deny present-
day privileges to ALREADY-LICENSED U.S. radio amateurs in
NPRM 05-143. There are NO statements of ALREADY-LICENSED
amateurs having to do a single test or examination in order to
continue their existing privileges.

YOUR only problem is MENTAL. You should learn to accept
change, not try to maintain old, trite, tired Beliefs of
long ago.

>There's very little chance that changes in the rules of the amateur
>radio service will have *any* effect on you, because
>you're not a licensed radio amateur, and it doesn't appear
>that you'll ever be one.

The morse code test WILL AFFECT new licensees.

You have your "affects" totally BACKWARD.

On top of that, you've tried more snide uncivil "civility" in
my "motivation" towards getting any amateur radio license.

NO ONE is required to toady up to some already-licensed U.S.
radio amateur and HAVE to state "motivation." NOBODY.

>FCC can also decide to change license privileges, subbands, etc., all
>of which can have a profound affect on those who actually operate in
>the licensed service.

NPRM 05-143 is solely about the MORSE CODE TEST, Jimmie.

The TEST. The TEST does NOT affect those ALREADY LICENSED.

The TEST affects those GETTING INTO U.S. amateur radio.

The ONLY "profound effect" [sic, not 'affect'] on those
ALREADY IN amateur radio is MENTAL. Each ALREADY LICENSED
amateur will have to work that out by themselves. The FCC
is NOT chartered as a mental correction aid agency.

Poor baby. All confused mentally and refusing to admit it!

>Some days back, you posted a description of your experiences with
>cb radio back in the late 1950s and early 1960s. You told us how
>you installed a manufactured cb transceiver and antenna in your car and
>used it. You told us the performance was *excellent* - for about four
>years. Then it wasn't so excellent anymore.
>
>Where did the excellence go, Len?

It went to the Chevrolet auto dealer in Canoga Park, CA, as a
trade-in. It was a 1953 Austin-Healey sports car with an
all-aluminum body (excellent ground plane). That "Healey"
got me an introduction to my first wife. She persuaded me to
buy the replacement 1961 Impala Convertible. She was diagnosed
with cancer soon after and died after a year. While the Johnson
Viking "Messenger" CB performed well in the Impala, I rather
lost interest in both personal radio use and that car after
that. Or do you "see" such an attitude, being the compleat
morseman you are?

There, aren't you HAPPY over having a newsgroup "opponent" go
through difficulties? Maybe you want an ice-cold emotionless
set of numbers of performance of the Viking Messenger now (I
still have that old radio)? It still meets manufacturers'
(and FCC) specifications.

>Do you see how the same could happen to amateur radio?

NOT at all.

1. The 11 m amateur band of 1958 was taken away from amateurs
in the USA then, 47 years ago. It (and some other services)
were allocated to use part of it.

2. NPRM 05-235 is about the MORSE CODE TEST for a United States
radio amateur license examination. That has NOTHING to do
with "CB."

3. You try to connect (1) and (2) and there is NO possible
connection.

>I'm not saying that *will* happen, just that it *could* happen.

Sigh...you have a rationalization for EVERY dumb thing you
connect, don't you?

The Sun can go nova! "I'm not saying that *will* happen,
just that it *could* happen."

A giant meteor can impact the Earth and cause widespread
devastation! "I'm not saying that *will* happen, just that
it *could* happen."

Morse code testing elimination would cause widespread suicides
of morsemen from "their world coming to an end!" "I'm not
saying that *will* happen, just that it *could* happen."

:-)

>Existing licensees can be profoundly affected by rules changes.

Only MENTALLY. Their "radio world" would come to an end if
morse code testing stopped! Jimmie, do some post-grad work
in psychology...you could make a mint offering solace to
those poor, unfortunate depressed morsemen!

>Since your are not a radio amateur and not likely to become one
>regardless of rules changes, the NPRM results don't really affect you.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I'm "not likely to become one regardless of
rules changes?!?"

Now you pretend to "know" the future as well as "motivation!"

Amazing gifts you think you have!

NPRM 05-235 is about MORSE CODE TESTING, Jimmie, NOT just
about ME. The point about eliminating the morse code test,
other than making the regulations better, is to give ALL
those interested in amateur radio the OPPORTUNITY to get into
it without that old, outdated, arbitrary manual test for
morsemanship.

There will be NO effect on operating privileges of already-
licensed amateurs due to elimination of the morse code test.
NONE>

>Judging by how often you call people by other than their legal names,
>it's clear you have a lot of difficulty in that area.

Poor baby. "It's clear" you have some terrible, gnawing
dispute with anything I write in here. :-)

>> >Y'know, Len, you seem to miss the point on a lot of things.

No. All the readers in here have gotten YOUR "point."

If they don't agree with you, you blabber out lines and
lines and lines of misdirections, personal allegations,
dredge up old, old arguments to attempt re-arguing them

again.

>> NPRM 05-143 is NOT about U.S. amateur radio license "age
>> requirements."
>
>Neither was the previous restructuring NPRM. Yet you included
>recommendations in your reply comments recommending such a limit.

I made that SUGGESTION on page 14 of my 14-page Reply to
Comments of Deignan, shown in the ECFS as of 13 January
1999. That was over six years ago. I have NOT pursued
that since except for the accusations leveled at me in
here. The "Restructuring" Report and Order came out in
late December, 1999, as FCC 99-412. I have NOT pressed
that point with the FCC since...except YOU have to drag it
out and drag it out and drag it out until that poor dead
horse has been hammered into a stain on this old highway.

"Sweetums," you want to rehash OLD stuff? You DO? Okay,
I'll try to hound you about NEVER serving your country in
the military every time you make some pontifical remark
showing your "military expertise."

>But you have "a problem" with 13 year olds getting amateur radio
>licenses.

No. I have a "problem" with mental 13-year-olds in here
posing as adults and who ask all sorts of inane "questions"
(that were already answered a priori) and make all kinds of
accusations...all of which may be summed up as HECKLING.

>I'm not the one recommending an age requirement for a US amateur radio
>license. You are.

I DID. I dropped it after 13 January 1999.

That was OVER SIX YEARS ago.

You are STILL OFFENDED over that!

So...maybe you LIKE talking to 13-year-olds on the radio using
radiotelegraphy that makes all age clues meaningless?

Does that satisfy some internal desires of yours?

"I'm just asking some questions."

>That's right. Your postings are fair game for comment and
>question by others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable. They
>are not immune to question and/or debate.

Wow! You've FINALLY gotten the picture, Judge! :-)

Tsk, tsk. You forgot to add in the part where, if YOU ask
someone something they MUST answer or you will make tens

of thousands of words in messaging if you don't get the
answers you WANT! :-)

>> Poor Jimmie? Double-degreed "engineer" and you can't MAKE
>> ENOUGH to spend over $100 on a rig? :-)
>
>It really *is* all about money to you, Len.

Oh, oh, the commie sympathizer comes out of his closet in PA?

Well, that's a sure indication YOU don't have as much money
as YOU want. :-)

Yup...and it shows you HATE professionals for some reason.

Odd, because you claim to BE a professional in electronics.
Us readers don't know WHERE since you won't mention your
employer...not here, not to the FCC. You must be working
under a ton of non-disclosure agreements...tsk, tsk.

I'm "all about money?" Not really. Got some. Spent some.
Dropped $1100 last week at CompUSA-GoodGuys for a 27" HD
LCD flat panel TV this week and it works just dandy. [it
doesn't do morse code so I guess you wouldn't be interested
in it]

I haven't made a single cent posting in here or filing with
the FCC (negative cash flow there). Someone pay YOU to post
in here? Someone pay you NOT to file with the FCC?

>> I want the FCC to make NPRM 05-143 into a Report and Order...without
>> changes to the basic precepts in the NPRM.
>
>Why? Those changes won't affect you.

They MAY. They WILL affect all those who desire to enter HF
amateur radio without taking a code test.

Other than mentally, emotionally, how will the removal of the
morse code test affect YOU? It won't remove any of your
operating privileges, won't make you re-test.

Oh, I understand now. Removal of the code test will deflate
the self-importance you assume as a mighty macho morseman
stressing the "importance" and "necessity" of morsemanship in
amateur radio! That would be a PROFOUND change to you
emotionally! Can't have the government make you feel bad,
can we?

Yes, removal of the code test will remove one of the key
tools of your CONTROL-oriented personality, the one where you
can assume the high horse and dictate how others MUST do!

There's always been a code test for radio amateurs, you took one and passed, and by God and St. Hiram, so others must DO as you did! Vital. Necessity. Follow orders. Beat the drum constantly in the morse rhythm.

beep beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4654. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 21:25:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122480

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
> > it does not put him on the same level of
> > authority and responsibility as the scout
> > masters or leaders.

That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.

That merit
> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
>
> Indeed.

Has it ever?

Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.

4655. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 21:38:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130708311.059354.201160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122481

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> More important, this lack of an age requirement plus the
> anonymizing nature of Morse Code and the "data modes" has
> promoted and supported a form of equality among hams of all ages
> are/were very rare.
>
> Perhaps it is this equality that bothers some people so much.

" It's also a wonderful thing to hear people of all ages on the ham bands, working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion, etc., without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the "data modes" are better for this than voice."

So which is it, Jim?

Does Morse Code anonymize the participants or doesn't it?

If the participants are anonymous, how is it that you can hear that they are "of all ages on the ham bands, working each other regardless of age, gender, race, religion, etc., without prejudice or classification by same. Morse Code and the "data modes" are better for this than voice."

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Good grief, Jim. At least you could have posted this stuff in different threads so it wouldn't be so easy to spot.

Best of Luck

4656. Re: FYI CB radio in the aftermeth of Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 21:47:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kpfam1tn70antv3ap2o2jmmsrl816jmm25@4ax.com>
Source record: 122482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 14:40:55 -0500, "TheBillRodgers" <TBR@yahoo.net>
wrote:

>Another usenetzone poster....and suggesting people go to a cb group. hrmmm.
>Stop forging polymath, kb9rqz.

not forging Polymath indeed I have you haven't beening reading

I was/remains a neat thread very little of the intereservice back
iting that goes on in here and in the CB group
>>
><kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>news:ilb5m1lr4ppt475ijqgg4un94bde8snibc@4ax.com...
>> ham might want to take note of a thread over in rec.radio.cb called
>> "CB saves the day during Wilma"
>> --
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 140,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4657. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 21:48:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6sfam15r9adk98imrdhp8f6d5fh86kv42b@4ax.com>
Source record: 122483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 14:38:08 -0500, "TheBillRodgers" <TBR@yahoo.net>
wrote:

>Hrmm...you post from Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server too?

obviously does that give you some reson to be rude and repate 80 plus
line to make the coment
cut
>> _____________________________________
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 140,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4658. Re: FIRST WORLD GAY MILLION PARTY !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:00:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_roger <whinyslit_roger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <18807017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On 9 Mar 2005 13:35:51 -0800, koko <ipavlak@yahoo.com> wrote:
> FIRST WORLD GAY MILLION PARTY !!
> THE BIGGEST EVENT IN HISTORY OF GAY & LESBIAN POPULATION !
> IN THE WORLD THERE IS ABOUT 700 000 000 GAY & LESBIAN PEOPLE...AND WE
> HAVE PLACE FOR ONLY A MILLION
> NUMBER OF TICKETS LIMITED ON A MILLION !
> 200 000 PLACES ALREADY TAKEN
> LIMITED TIME OF PAYMENTS !!
> IF YOU WANNA BE A PART OF HISTORY MAKING BE FAST !!
> TAKE THE CHANCE -> BUY YOUR TICKET !!
>
> FIND OUT MORE AND VISIT OUR WEB SITE: http://www.first-gay-party.tk
> E-MAIL: http://www.gay1party@yahoo.com
>
> ENJOY IN THE REST OF THE DAY :-)
> WITH RESPECT
> YOURS ELF LC

I guess I emailed you too late. Please post a new address real soon
now so that I won't miss the second party. The url don't work anymore
neither.

4659. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:15:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130710532.237194.80410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122485

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >
> >> >It's about *your* 'scorecard', Len.
> >>
> >> Yes, it is.
> >>
> >Well, at least you finally admit to that plain and simple fact.
>
> Let's see...Google accepts MY postings only under my IEEE
> alias and I "sign" every "score card" with
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org."
>
> WHO would be posting a "score card" under my name if
> NOT me? :-)

I've seen none other than yours. Perhaps Jim is "seeing" things.

> >> Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
> >> the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
> >> tabulation of them?
> >>
> >I don't think that. I know it's true. I've seen the compilation
> >done by someone else and it's more informative than yours.
>
> WHO else, Jimmie?

"Persons of Interest."

> You are begining to sound like Dudly the Imposter in here,
> always NON-specific but alleging you "know" someone else.

Translation for Jim: Dudley the Imposter >>>IS<<< K4YZ.

> >You've never been a radio amateur but you tell us How Amateur
> >Radio Should Be. Which is your right of free speech.
>
> MISTAKE. ERROR. I'm telling the Federal Communications

> Commission (a U.S. federal government agency) what I think
> the regulations on GETTING INTO amateur radio "should be."
> [i.e., my desires]

Hey, the government >did< ask, right?

> Tsk. I could NOT "tell you anything" about amateur radio or
> anything else if it was against what you wrote in here. You
> would spend days, weeks, even years arguing over a "discussion"
> as you did on "age requirements" LONG AFTER I dropped it. :-)

Yep, he's on another thread singing "ebony and ivory" about the
"anonymizing" nature of Morse Code.

> >The thing you seem to have trouble with is when others use their
> >right of free speech.
>
> Tsk, tsk, I'm USED to heckling, catcalls, booing from the
> peanut gallery in computer-modem communications. Been doing
> that since early December, 1984.
>
> YOU are one of the hecklers.

But Len, Jim is one of the most polite hecklers I've ever seen. I
wonder when he'll pull an Al Franken and tackle you?

> >Who is "Jimmie-James", Len? An imaginary friend of yours?
>
> James P. Micollis, the one whose legal address given to the FCC
> is at 136 Morningside Circle, Wayne, PA 19087.
>
> Are YOU this same "James P. Miccolis?"
>
> Answer truthfully. Any false responses will be treated to 23 years
> of heckling... ;-)

He knows who he is and he knows that you're referring to Jim as Jimmie
and James. Proof: He answers all such postings.

> >> ALL of them. Even those filings
> >> which aren't about amateur radio! :-)
> >
> >Finally! An answer to a straightforward question! Thank you.
>
> Tsk, tsk. That ANSWER was clearly given in the Notes on each
> "score card" posting since the first one. You did not seem to
> understand it. Why did you keep on asking, keep on asking,
> keep on asking? Disk record broken?

Jim can't handle not being answered. He is too important to be
ignored.

> >But I, Jim/N2EY, am simply asking questions about your 'scorecard'
> >process, and pointing out the *potential* for inaccuracy.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The plain simple fact is that I am giving you
> ANSWERS to stupid questions whose answers were in plain sight
> in every "score card" posting I've done.

It's all in the "fine print," even though usenet makes it all the same
font size.

> >That sort of thing seems to really bother you, Len.
>
> Poor baby. You keep asking all these inane questions. Why?
>
> Are you afraid of the RESULTS of the opinions of the "amateur
> community" being against your amateur Beliefs? Sure seems
> that way.

Only Christian Belief Systems are open to redicule. All others are
sacred.

> >> But, you've NOT made one single filing on WT Docket 05-235 as
> >> of 5 PM EDT on 28 October 2005.
> >
> >So? Is the filing of comments with FCC a requirement for asking
> >questions and posting comments here?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. After the tens of thousands of whiny, petulant
> words, accusations, and implications in HERE, you can't even
> make ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235 on MORSE CODE TESTING?!?
>
> :-)

Must not be important to Jim.

> >Who made you the moderator?
>
> The same one who made YOU "moderator!" :-)

Maybe not. Jim is self-appointed.

> >> In fact, the LAST time you
> >> filed ANYTHING with the FCC ECFS was over 12 months ago on a
> >> Petition. Tsk, tsk.
> >
> >What's so "tsk tsk" about that?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. After the tens of thousands of whiny, petulant
> words, accusations, and implications in HERE, you can't even
> make ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235 on MORSE CODE TESTING?!?

True enough.

> >> Tsk, tsk...I'm NOT "making claims."
> >
> >Yes, you are, Len. You're claiming your 'scorecard' is accurate
> >but you won't answer questions about how it is prepared.
>
> 1. The "answers" were ALREADY POSTED in each of the "score cards"
> I put up via Google. Well before you "asked" for the first
> one.
>
> 2. You've gotten answers to your (petulant, whiny, accusatory)
> "questions" in here...but you sure as hell don't LIKE them!
>
> >That may be changing, which is a good thing.
>
> Who appoint you "judge" of what is "a good thing" or a "bad thing?"
>
> 3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
> community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from the old,
> Old, OLD standards and practices. That seems to piss you off
> greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and accusatory. Tsk.

I think I'll study up and pass Extra before Jim's lack of support allows the ARS to be watered down even more. I'm gonna be an Extra-lite before it becomes and Extra-minus so I can brag about how good I used to be. Hi!

> >Here's another solution, Len: If you don't want commentary on
> >things you post, don't post them.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You are telling me to SHUT UP! [but are attempting
> to disguise it with uncivil "civil phrases"]

Yep. You juss got towld to "Shut Up!"

> >You seem to want everyone to just accept what you write here
> >without question, even though you don't behave that way
> >towards others. Doesn't work that way.
>
> ERROR! MISTAKE!! Sorry, dearie, but it DOES work that way.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did God appoint YOU as "judge" of "what works"
> and "doesn't work" in here? [He didn't tell me that this
> morning] Do you have a Certificate of Licensure (suitable for
> framing) from a Divine Authority granting you "judgement"
> capability on who posts what? I don't think so...

Jim thinks of himself as Godless. But he thinks pretty highly of himself.

All this "tif for tat." Can't keep up. Jim must be retired now, too.

4660. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:18:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_roger <whinyslit_roger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <b387017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

On Fri, 3 Jun 2005 17:43:45 -0400, Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I just did!
>
> http://old-plump-fat.com/vid-fat-130/tp02.jpg

Impersonation is against yahoo's TOS. They have been contacted.

AND LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!

4661. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:31:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130711512.223255.54210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122487

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 5:50 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> >> Len uses multiple names in his posts. I'm not sure who he means by
> >> "Jimmie-James". Is it me, or Jim Weir (who posts as "RSTEngineering")
> >> or somebody else?
> >
> >Then you must be dim-witted.
>
> Nah...he's only in a truss over trying to misdirect the subject
> thread on all about how we should all be FORMAL and RESPECTFUL
> to the mighty macho morsemen extras.
>
> Maybe I'll get a tuxedo and wear one when posting to these
> mighty macho motivated morsemen? Now if they would only
> specify white-tie or black-tie? :-)

You could do like the news anchors; just wear the upper half.

> >> If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?
> >
> >All this confusion, then you go ahead and answer af if it were you he
> >was referring to. That is the lie that Mark refers to.
>
> Further reinforcement of the misdirection onto Jimmie's demand
> for FORMALITY and RESPECTFULNESS...when it comes to HIM. :-)

Whole nother set of rules for Jim.

> >> And it's a fact that I'm not "all for children VOTING in elections".
> >
> >Why would you care? He's not talking about what >>>you<<< are in favor
> >of, is he?
>
> Poor Jimmie. I dropped that "age requirement for amateur licensees"
> six years ago and he just can't LET GO of it. He MUST keep on
> arguing and arguing and arguing and arguing it over and over and
> over and over again...perhaps hoping that I will "give in" or
> acknowledge his Lordship's Superior Intellect or whatever. :-)

He just wants me to bring up him saying that "A Morse Code Exam would
be a barrier to Morse Code Use."

> >> I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
> >> license.
> >
> >Good for you. Len is in favor of an age requirement.
>
> What I "favor" is NOT allowed in here according to James P.
> Miccolis, renowned amateur historian and mighty macho motivated
> morseman extra. :-)

He is self-appointed.

> >> > and Len supports the notion at this point
> >
> >> Why?
> >
> >Why not? The books are full of minimum age requirements for various
> >things.
>
> ...not to mention the Constitution of the United States. :-)
>
> Note that the Miccolis Misdirection ploy is almost complete.
> Once again, Miccolis Misdirection has caused a thread shift
> to an oft-repeated "argument" (originally started by Hans
> Brakob in here) over a Reply to Comments on the (now dead)
> WT Docket 98-143 of 1998-1999.
>
> Instead of the usual condemnation (of anyone not thinking as
> wonderfully as Jimmie) on the "docket score card" (05-235 tally),
> we have done the Time Warp back to 1999 and are re-arguing
> the "up-coming" Reconstruction R&O. :-) FCC 99-412 of late
> December 1999 decided "Reconstruction."
>
> The FCC did NOT order any age requirements in R&O 99-412. There
> is NO age requirement statement of any kind in NPRM 05-143.
> Jimmie thinks this is ALL about "age requirements."

You gotta remember that these guys are almost always behind the times.

> >> > I neither support nor oppose such a notion,
> >
> >> Why don't you oppose it? It's a completely unnecessary
> >> requirement for a license. No evidence has been presented
> >> to support it.
> >
> >Morse Code in sheep's clothing? Hi!
>
> More like "Morse sheep in wolf's costume." :-)
>
> Halloween without the Trick or Treat...
>

> >Just what we need is another unnecessary, arbitrary license requirement!
>
> Just what we DON'T need is Jimmie hosing everyone with an old,
> Old, OLD arguments over "age requirements" which were NOT on the
> "reconstruction" NPRM nor in NPRM 05-143. :-)
>
> Now, if Jimmie wants to fire up his "state of the art" 1990s
> vacuum tube Southgate Type 7 and beep to young boys with CW, let
> him. It keeps him "happy" when he doesn't have to reveal a
> thing about his REAL identity...on-off keying morse code cannot
> reveal a single clue to gender, age, emotion, or anything else
> while voice can tell much. Jimmie can, effectively, HIDE behind
> his on-off key. Jimmie can be the "X-man superhero," a "masked
> avenger" (like Captain Code) who keeps alive the old, Old, OLD
> modes forever and ever. [long live 1844! :-)]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

But in all that anonymity, Jim know the sex, age, race, religion,
sexual preference, and political party of all those anonymous signals.

He's said so! Hi, hi!!!

4662. Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:42:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130712154.928732.216770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122488

ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options

Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
thought folks might enjoy the laugh

From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
Date: 1998/09/28
Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

Well,

I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
forward,
no-holds barred personal I ever read!

SR

4663. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:43:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130712228.723137.222780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122489

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 28 Oct 2005 21:47
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > Brian, you've posted (I have a copy along with others from
> >> > rrap
> >> > who've filed on WT Docket 05-235) and so have others. But,
> >> > for all his macho morsemanship, neither James P. Miccolis nor
> >> > the mighty morsemen regulars in here have NOT filed!
> >>
> >> So? What's your point, Len?
> >>
> >> I'll "file" when I want to.
> >
> >Len, the old saying goes, if you don't "vote" you can't bitch.
>
> The U.S. Constitution has a (gasp!) "age requirment" minimum
> on voters!

Yikes! That sounds almost unconstitutional!

> Jimmie has implied he is an EXPERT on military matters and can
> "judge" veterans. However he NEVER served one moment of time
> IN the military. [there's a minimum age requirement for that
> as well as a maximum age...:-)]

Jimmy may be many things, but he is no judge of the military nor its
veterans. The best Jim can do is stand on the sidewalk and wave a flag
as the parade goes by. Maybe Kelly and Cos will join him.

> >Except for these guys. They can bitch up a storm.
>
> In HERE. They seem to get "lost" when it comes time to
> communicate with their own government...but that does NOT
> stop them being judgemental to an ultimate degree in HERE.

> Jimmie will "file when he wants to." In order to be counted,
> he MUST file a Comment by October 31st and a Reply to Comments
> by November 14. Maybe he thinks (because of his "superiority")
> that the U.S. government will "listen to him" even if he files
> beyond the official ending date? [I'm sure he does]

Jim will file. He'll do it from work tomorrow. Probably has nothing
else to do. He just doesn't want counter-comments at this time.

> Jimmie ain't said he read ALL of the Comments in Docket 05-235.
> He's said he will NOT do his own tally...but he is QUICK to
> condemn and berate and call "inaccurate" the tallies of
> others! Anyplace else he would be called a hypocrite. In here
> he is a Morseman Extra.

I wonder what's on the "FISTS" site?

> Beep, beep, huh-rawhhh!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

beebeep

4664. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:50:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130712621.526135.258590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122490

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> cut
> > > >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
> > >
> > > think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
> > > they will in fact leave
> >
> > Is there any reason not to take them at their word?
>
> General principal

I wonder when Cos will get that BBS up and running? Not soon enough.

4665. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 22:56:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ksjam19r17ait5echu888gtisl0be3ausl@4ax.com>
Source record: 122491

On 30 Oct 2005 14:50:21 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >
>> cut
>> > > >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
>> > >
>> > > think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
>> > > they will in fact leave
>> >
>> > Is there any reason not to take them at their word?
>>
>> General principal
>
>I wonder when Cos will get that BBS up and running? Not soon enough.

I wonder if we will notice that he has

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4666. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 23:00:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130713203.961372.203530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122492

an_old_friend wrote:
> ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>
> Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
> Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
> thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>
> From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> Date: 1998/09/28
> Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse

Yikes!

> Well,
>
>
> I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
> forward,
> no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>
>
> SR

Thought Steve was married and all that?

4667. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 23:10:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130713857.508824.44500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122493

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
> >
> > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
> > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
> > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
> >
> > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 1998/09/28
> > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > | Report Abuse
>
> Yikes!
>
> > Well,
> >
> >
> > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
> > forward,
> > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
> >
> >
> > SR
>
> Thought Steve was married and all that?

so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me

4668. a remider to Stveie of his own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-30 23:13:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130714031.016523.27450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122494

K4YZ Apr 6, 4:04 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 6 Apr 2005 02:04:02 -0700
Local: Wed, Apr 6 2005 4:04 am
Subject: Re: NO SUBJECT
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

N9OGL wrote:
> >And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
> >into our rectums IS a threat

> I think you better read again, it was written as a suggestion, not a
> threat.

And I think you'd better ask a lawyer about where the line is
drawn, Todd.

"Abuse", as defined in current case law, need not be what you said
or how you said it, but in the perceived message of the recipient of
the alleged abuse.

> > Would you be any less likely to react if I pasted your face on the
> >south end of a beast of burden and represented it to be "you"...?!?!

> no, I could careless and There is difference between a big breasted
> women and a animal. but hey, if it's done right I would get a laugh
> out of it...I do have a warp sense of humor.

Oh, you're warped, alright, Todd...But it has little to do with
humor...As a matter of fact, I don't think there are too many folks
here who find a whole lot funny about you...And those that do are
laughing AT you...Not WITH you...

Steve, K4YZ

4669. another blast Stevie accuses NCI of ploting HIS murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 00:07:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130717258.625829.146470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122495

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
Cecil,

>>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse
code test,
is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<

You and I.

73

Steve, K4YZ
Chattanooga, TN

still no explation of this LIE from Stevie
>
> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4670. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 00:10:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m1oam1hs4ujmjd8mmkkulvstncfstji3o6@4ax.com>
Source record: 122496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 14:38:08 -0500, "TheBillRodgers" <TBR@yahoo.net>
wrote in <4365217d$1_3@x-privat.org>:

>Hrmm...you post from Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server too?

So do a few thousand other people:

http://tinyurl.com/dbns6

If you are trying to make a connection you might have better luck
consulting George Bush's Intelligence advisors.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4671. Re: The Lies And Wanton Deceit of Frankie Of Silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 00:12:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <m9oam1928k2r8djlv1klkb18dl38a5d5tq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 16:10:11 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 14:38:08 -0500, "TheBillRodgers" <TBR@yahoo.net>
>wrote in <4365217d$1_3@x-privat.org>:
>
>>Hrmm...you post from Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server too?
>
>
>So do a few thousand other people:
>
>http://tinyurl.com/dbns6
>
>If you are trying to make a connection you might have better luck
>consulting George Bush's Intelligence advisors.

that was harsh But Ia m sure MS Phlame could do better
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4672. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 01:13:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bKCdnf6vr7do8vjeRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 122498

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
>> > it does not put him on the same level of
>> > authority and responsibility as the scout
>> > masters or leaders.
>
> That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
> driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>
> That merit
>> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
>>
>> Indeed.
>
> Has it ever?
>
> Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>

And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization that has
the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I. It has no bearing on whether
or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4673. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 01:42:18 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xqy44@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xqy44@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122506

Dee Flint Wrote:
>
> I believe that his point was that on the non-voice modes, you cannot
> tell
> whether someone is male or female or whether they are young or old. So
> that
> there is less chance of them being discriminated against or harassed
> by
> those who think women or young people should not be on the radio.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Is there a large percentage (or even a measurable fraction) of hams
"who think women or young people should not be on the radio"?

I think you have to go back several decades to the legendary W2OY (with
aplologies to the current holder) to find a single visible example of
that attitude.

As a member of multiple minority groups (Native American, Hispanic
surname, non-Christian religion) I'm sensitive to discrimination and
harrassment issues. My long and enthusiastic association with amateur
radio is in large part due to my complete acceptance within the hobby
without any regard to my ethnic roots, skin color, or religion.
Neither have I seen anything but complete acceptance of young people
and those of the fairer gender in the hobby. If the general population
were as tolerant of diversity as I've found the ham hobbiests to be,
this would certainly be a nicer place to live.

Thus N2EY's suggestion (if that's really his point as you surmise) that
young people and females would do well to mask themselves behind
keys/keyboards to avoid unpleasantness is ludicrous on it's face, and
might be construed as a projection of an unrevealed agenda of his own.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4674. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 01:49:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130723385.645004.258240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122499

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
> >> > it does not put him on the same level of
> >> > authority and responsibility as the scout
> >> > masters or leaders.
> >
> > That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
> > driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
> >
> > That merit
> >> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
> >>
> >> Indeed.
> >
> > Has it ever?
> >
> > Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>
> And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization that has
> the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
> mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I.

Keeps mentioning? It is the crux of my comment. That you know nothing
beyond Scoutmasters and other leaders is not my fault.

> It has no bearing on whether
> or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

As long as you insist that the Merit Badge Counselor lords his or her
knowledge and age over the child, then you must be correct. Go in
peace.

4675. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 02:00:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2kuam111quod0dni49qomu4mcdg3lg4stm@4ax.com>
Source record: 122500

On 30 Oct 2005 17:49:45 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
>> >> > it does not put him on the same level of
>> >> > authority and responsibility as the scout
>> >> > masters or leaders.
>> >
>> > That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
>> > driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>> >
>> > That merit
>> >> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
>> >>
>> >> Indeed.
>> >
>> > Has it ever?
>> >
>> > Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>>
>> And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization that has
>> the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
>> mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I.
>
>Keeps mentioning? It is the crux of my comment. That you know nothing
>beyond Scoutmasters and other leaders is not my fault.
>
>> It has no bearing on whether
>> or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>As long as you insist that the Merit Badge Counselor lords his or her
>knowledge and age over the child, then you must be correct. Go in
>peace.

Dee has her mind made made up and like the rest is not willing to
entertain any discussion

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4676. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 02:13:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130724833.367636.126760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122501

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 28 Oct 2005 03:21:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> I tell you seeing the inability of some of the procoders to see what
> > > > >> is written in front of them I am forced to wonder if too much code use
> > > > >> does not cause brain damge to some folks
> > > > >
> > > > >Decades ago in 73 magazine, Wayne Green was writing the same thing.
> > > > Wayne Green was plain wrong about many things but assuming you are
> > > > cottect I real think he got that one right
> > >
> > It was funny at the time. It's sad now. The FCC went after RF as the
> > culprit, devising the environmental assessments that we must now
> > perform for our stations. Just like the FCC to go after the wrong
> > thing.
>
> you must admit (or rather you should admit)RF does seem like a more
> plausible explaination.

;^)

> OTOH the thought just occuured to me Prehaps the FCC and Wayne were
> both right. after all we are told CW get always gets through, prehaps
> the narrow band nature of CW combined with RF levels are what it takes
> to do the damage
>
> the above is joke, but may be more serious than we want to admit or
> investagate

bb

4677. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 02:46:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
> "Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> > In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> > two meters.
>
> Then who is causing the problem??

I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally

4678. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 02:57:14 UTC
From: Lloyd <sx@lloyd523sx.net>
Message-ID: <dk4149dvu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> The Magnum wrote:
>> "Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>> news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>> > Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>> > In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>> > two meters.
>>
>> Then who is causing the problem??
>
> I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>

Exactly. The same types of people the original poster claimed were
using CB, (hookers, pimps, prostitutes, drug dealers, etc.), are in
fact using two meters in several large U.S. cities. I travel a lot and
have monitored this type of traffic in larger cities.

4679. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 02:59:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130727556.826028.173140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > The Magnum wrote:
> >> "Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> >> news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >> > Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> >> > In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> >> > two meters.
> >>
> >> Then who is causing the problem??
> >
> > I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
> >
>
>
> Exactly. The same types of people the original poster claimed were
> using CB, (hookers, pimps, prostitutes, drug dealers, etc.), are in
> fact using two meters in several large U.S. cities. I travel a lot and
> have monitored this type of traffic in larger cities.

I hear these Urban legends but I have never heard in In Chicago Indy
Detrois or St Louis

4680. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 03:03:51 UTC
From: Lloyd <sx@lloyd523sx.net>
Message-ID: <dk41gn$g4u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130727556.826028.173140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > The Magnum wrote:
>> >> "Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>> >> > Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>> >> > In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>> >> > two meters.
>> >>
>> >> Then who is causing the problem??
>> >
>> > I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>> >
>>
>>
>> Exactly. The same types of people the original poster claimed were
>> using CB, (hookers, pimps, prostitutes, drug dealers, etc.), are in
>> fact using two meters in several large U.S. cities. I travel a lot and
>> have monitored this type of traffic in larger cities.
>
> I hear these Urban legends but I have never heard in In Chicago Indy
> Detrois or St Louis
>

Thanks for the info.
```

4681. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 03:44:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nm4bm199h72bvaru64maf755h1jr1vh1vf@4ax.com>
Source record: 122507

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 01:42:18 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1xqy44@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>Dee Flint Wrote:
>>
>> I believe that his point was that on the non-voice modes, you cannot
>> tell
>> whether someone is male or female or whether they are young or old. So
>> that
>> there is less chance of them being discriminated against or harassed
>> by
>> those who think women or young people should not be on the radio.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>Is there a large percentage (or even a measurable fraction) of hams
>"who think women or young people should not be on the radio"?
>
>I think you have to go back several decades to the legendary W2OY (with
>aplologies to the current holder) to find a single visible example of
>that attitude.
>
>As a member of multiple minority groups (Native American, Hispanic
>surname, non-Christian religion) I'm sensitive to discrimination and
>harrassment issues. My long and enthusiastic association with amateur
>radio is in large part due to my complete acceptance within the hobby
>without any regard to my ethnic roots, skin color, or religion.
>Neither have I seen anything but complete acceptance of young people
>and those of the fairer gender in the hobby. If the general population
>were as tolerant of diversity as I've found the ham hobbiests to be,
>this would certainly be a nicer place to live.
>
>Thus N2EY's suggestion (if that's really his point as you surmise) that
>young people and females would do well to mask themselves behind
>keys/keyboards to avoid unpleasantness is ludicrous on it's face, and
>might be construed as a projection of an unrevealed agenda of his own.

his point has its place. I know from my ownexperences since my voice
sounds female and some get rather irate finding out I am male I
certainly prefer non voice mode myself FSATV esp
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4682. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 03:50:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130730608.607077.257060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122508

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 30 Oct 2005 14:50:21 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> cut
> >> > > >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
> >> > >
> >> > > think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
> >> > > they will in fact leave
> >> >
> >> > Is there any reason not to take them at their word?
> >>
> >> General principal
> >
> >I wonder when Cos will get that BBS up and running? Not soon enough.
>
> I wonder if we will notice that he has

hmm maybe not we have not seen Dan since this was promised nor much of
Mike OTOH we still may never know when it is done (if it gets done)
>

4683. a track record for Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 05:05:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <069bm1l82v2og6ti6fk67h3806r53kl551@4ax.com>
Source record: 122509

I have been gathering some sample to present the FBI of stevies action
around here some the titels follow

These are from man who claims he never lies

that he never threatens anyone

that he does nothing anyone could find objectionable

MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!

Mark Morgan is Psychotic

Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
Was Asking For It".

MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?

Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit

Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why
Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

those are just the titles of his posts his own words

he claims that I have done something that allow him to make these
statements and still claim he never lies

what is wrong with this picture

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4684. Re: a track record for Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 05:18:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <v8abm1ppbqran692qt4i04apjoppcgh4q3@4ax.com>
Source record: 122511

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:20:21 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
>> what is wrong with this picture
>
>Look in the mirror and you will immediately see.

you lied again

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4685. Stevie moral turpitude in Stevies own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 05:31:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130736686.706504.43690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122512

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
WHAT again...?!?!

> Sure I would! Lying is considered "morale turpitude", along with
> various offenses like exposing one's self, inappropriate sexual
> conduct, etc. It's a dismissable infraction and puts my license at
> risk.
those are your words Stevie

These are your words as well
MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!

Mark Morgan is Psychotic

Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
Was Asking For It".

MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?

Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit

Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why
Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

Tomarrow or the next days we shall see if Stevie was lying in the first
quote

4686. Re: a track record for Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 05:46:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <pqbbm150p5n6253s40ktc3jtesrqheaa7c@4ax.com>
Source record: 122514

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:20:21 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>what is wrong with this picture
>>>
>>>Look in the mirror and you will immediately see.
>>
>>
>> you lied again
>
>If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
>this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
why are you defddning the Piece of Shit

read the lines you cut

MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!

Mark Morgan is Psychotic

Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
Was Asking For It".

MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?

Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit

Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why
Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4687. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 05:47:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130737672.350960.303480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122515

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 2:31 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 5:50 am
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> > On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> Len uses multiple names in his posts. I'm not sure who he means by
>> >> "Jimmie-James". Is it me, or Jim Weir (who posts as "RSTEngineering")
>> >> or somebody else?
>
>> >Then you must be dim-witted.
>
>> Nah...he's only in a truss over trying to misdirect the subject
>> thread on all about how we should all be FORMAL and RESPECTFUL
>> to the mighty macho morsemen extras.
>
>> Maybe I'll get a tuxedo and wear one when posting to these
>> mighty macho motivated morsemen? Now if they would only
>> specify white-tie or black-tie? :-)
>
>You could do like the news anchors; just wear the upper half.

Hmmm...good idea. However, KNBC and KTLA out here aren't
bottomless in the studio. Well, KTLA might be...in the
morning news show they act like "Laugh In Looks At the News."
[less Judy Carne and Goldie Jean Hawn]

Ever notice that James P. Miccolis never concerned himself
at all about Dudly the Imposter calling me "Lennie" for
over a year in here? :-)

Jimmie thought he could get another to the dirty work he
craved. :-)

>> >> If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?
>
>> >All this confusion, then you go ahead and answer af if it were you he
>> >was referring to. That is the lie that Mark refers to.
>
>> Further reinforcement of the misdirection onto Jimmie's demand
>> for FORMALITY and RESPECTFULNESS...when it comes to HIM. :-)
>
>Whole nother set of rules for Jim.

PCTA Double Standard...alive and well in rrap.

>> Poor Jimmie. I dropped that "age requirement for amateur licensees"
>> six years ago and he just can't LET GO of it. He MUST keep on
>> arguing and arguing and arguing and arguing it over and over and
>> over and over again...perhaps hoping that I will "give in" or
>> acknowledge his Lordship's Superior Intellect or whatever. :-)
>
>He just wants me to bring up him saying that "A Morse Code Exam would
>be a barrier to Morse Code Use."

He hasn't done it YET. How long has it been?

>> >> I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
>> >> license.
>
>> >Good for you. Len is in favor of an age requirement.
>
>> What I "favor" is NOT allowed in here according to James P.
>> Miccolis, renowned amateur historian and mighty macho motivated
>> morseman extra. :-)
>
>He is self-appointed.

But...he might have an "official certificate" (suitable for framing)
saying he IS one or both of those! :-)

>You gotta remember that these guys are almost always behind the times.

They'd probably be behind the Wall Street Journal...if they could
afford a copy. :-)

>> Now, if Jimmie wants to fire up his "state of the art" 1990s
>> vacuum tube Southgate Type 7 and beep to young boys with CW, let
>> him. It keeps him "happy" when he doesn't have to reveal a
>> thing about his REAL identity...on-off keying morse code cannot
>> reveal a single clue to gender, age, emotion, or anything else
>> while voice can tell much. Jimmie can, effectively, HIDE behind
>> his on-off key. Jimmie can be the "X-man superhero," a "masked
>> avenger" (like Captain Code) who keeps alive the old, Old, OLD
>> modes forever and ever. [long live 1844! :-)]
>
>But in all that anonymity, Jim know the sex, age, race, religion,
>sexual preference, and political party of all those anonymous signals.
>
>He's said so! Hi, hi!!!

He's a heckuva guy! :-)

Too bad he is turning into Dudly the Imposter, Jr.

Everyone is scrupulously honest in morse mode...they never ever
swear, are always civil towards one another, and never is heard
a discouraging word while the skies are not cloudy all day...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

4688. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 05:50:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130737805.624430.315510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122516

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 2:43 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 28 Oct 2005 21:47
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> The U.S. Constitution has a (gasp!) "age requirment" minimum
>> on voters!
>
>Yikes! That sounds almost unconstitutional!

Quick...to the Supremes...have the ARRL argue that in
front of the robed crowd!

>> Jimmie has implied he is an EXPERT on military matters and can
>> "judge" veterans. However he NEVER served one moment of time
>> IN the military. [there's a minimum age requirement for that
>> as well as a maximum age...:-)]
>
>Jimmy may be many things, but he is no judge of the military nor its
>veterans. The best Jim can do is stand on the sidewalk and wave a flag
>as the parade goes by. Maybe Kelly and Cos will join him.

Great "cheering section"...NOT. :-)

>> >Except for these guys. They can bitch up a storm.
>
>> In HERE. They seem to get "lost" when it comes time to
>> communicate with their own government...but that does NOT
>> stop them being judgemental to an ultimate degree in HERE.
>> Jimmie will "file when he wants to." In order to be counted,
>> he MUST file a Comment by October 31st and a Reply to Comments
>> by November 14. Maybe he thinks (because of his "superiority")
>> that the U.S. government will "listen to him" even if he files
>> beyond the official ending date? [I'm sure he does]
>
>Jim will file. He'll do it from work tomorrow. Probably has nothing
>else to do. He just doesn't want counter-comments at this time.

You have a point there...Jimmie no want any opposition to
his opinions. He gets all upset and starts making nasty
("just asking some questions") over and over and over again.

>> Jimmie ain't said he read ALL of the Comments in Docket 05-235.
>> He's said he will NOT do his own tally...but he is QUICK to
>> condemn and berate and call "inaccurate" the tallies of

>> others! Anyplace else he would be called a hypocrite. In here
>> he is a Morseman Extra.
>
>I wonder what's on the "FISTS" site?

A bunch of ancient propaganda pro morsemanship, I'd expect.

Haven't bothered to look there since last year. Back then I
was looking up some ancient history on radio communication.
Morsemanship is ancient radio communications. :-)

>> Beep, beep, huh-rawhhh!
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>beebeep

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

4689. Re: a track record for Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 06:20:21 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <Pv-dnTrX4axnNfjeRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122510

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

> what is wrong with this picture

Look in the mirror and you will immediately see.

4690. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 06:25:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130739928.857482.130160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122517

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 2:15 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

>> >> >It's about *your* 'scorecard', Len.
>
>> >> Yes, it is.
>
>> >Well, at least you finally admit to that plain and simple fact.
>
>> Let's see...Google accepts MY postings only under my IEEE
>> alias and I "sign" every "score card" with
>> "LenAnder...@ieee.org."
>
>> WHO would be posting a "score card" under my name if
>> NOT me? :-)
>
>I've seen none other than yours. Perhaps Jim is "seeing" things.

Well, maybe he was told by Dudly the Imposter's buddie...the
one that said 'I was trying to hire into NADC in PA' and
'wasn't performing well while I was there' in 1971 (34 years
ago!). :-)

>> >> Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
>> >> the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
>> >> tabulation of them?
>
>> >I don't think that. I know it's true. I've seen the compilation
>> >done by someone else and it's more informative than yours.
>
>> WHO else, Jimmie?
>
>"Persons of Interest."

The only "persons of interest" I know are my financial advisers
at Bank of America. Whoops, now I did it...Jimmie will say
"I'm all about money!" :-)

>> You are begining to sound like Dudly the Imposter in here,
>> always NON-specific but alleging you "know" someone else.
>
>Translation for Jim: Dudley the Imposter >>>IS<<< K4YZ.

>> >You've never been a radio amateur but you tell us How Amateur
>> >Radio Should Be. Which is your right of free speech.
>
>> MISTAKE. ERROR. I'm telling the Federal Communications
>> Commission (a U.S. federal government agency) what I think
>> the regulations on GETTING INTO amateur radio "should be."
>> [i.e., my desires]
>
>Hey, the government >did< ask, right?

Yup. But, the resident PCTA in here absolutely FORBID
comment about getting into amateur radio if one is not
already IN amateur radio!

It's a "chicken and egg" thing. They are too chicken to
argue with those against morse code testing and, if they
do, they get egg on their face...

>> Tsk. I could NOT "tell you anything" about amateur radio or
>> anything else if it was against what you wrote in here. You
>> would spend days, weeks, even years arguing over a "discussion"
>> as you did on "age requirements" LONG AFTER I dropped it. :-)
>
>Yep, he's on another thread singing "ebony and ivory" about the
>"anonymizing" nature of Morse Code.

Really? I always imagined he had a BAD voice and had to resort
to manual morse in order to "sound good."

>> >The thing you seem to have trouble with is when others use their
>> >right of free speech.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, I'm USED to heckling, catcalls, booing from the
>> peanut gallery in computer-modem communications. Been doing
>> that since early December, 1984.
>
>> YOU are one of the hecklers.
>
>But Len, Jim is one of the most polite hecklers I've ever seen. I
>wonder when he'll pull an Al Franken and tackle you?

We'll see. I'm not familiar with Franken since his failed
half-hour comedy sitcom a few seasons back.

>> >Who is "Jimmie-James", Len? An imaginary friend of yours?
>
>> James P. Micollis, the one whose legal address given to the FCC
>> is at 136 Morningside Circle, Wayne, PA 19087.
>

>> Are YOU this same "James P. Miccolis?"
>
>> Answer truthfully. Any false responses will be treated to 23 years
>> of heckling... ;-)
>
>He knows who he is and he knows that you're referring to Jim as Jimmie
>and James. Proof: He answers all such postings.

heh heh heh...all the readers in here can SEE that...

>> >> ALL of them. Even those filings
>> >> which aren't about amateur radio! :-)
>
>> >Finally! An answer to a straightforward question! Thank you.
>
>> Tsk, tsk. That ANSWER was clearly given in the Notes on each
>> "score card" posting since the first one. You did not seem to
>> understand it. Why did you keep on asking, keep on asking,
>> keep on asking? Disk record broken?
>
>Jim can't handle not being answered. He is too important to be
>ignored.

El Jefe muy importante!

>> >But I, Jim/N2EY, am simply asking questions about your 'scorecard'
>> >process, and pointing out the *potential* for inaccuracy.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The plain simple fact is that I am giving you
>> ANSWERS to stupid questions whose answers were in plain sight
>> in every "score card" posting I've done.
>
>It's all in the "fine print," even though usenet makes it all the same
>font size.

You're right...and Jimmie keeps sticking his font in his mouth...

>> >That sort of thing seems to really bother you, Len.
>
>> Poor baby. You keep asking all these inane questions. Why?
>
>> Are you afraid of the RESULTS of the opinions of the "amateur
>> community" being against your amateur Beliefs? Sure seems
>> that way.
>
>Only Christian Belief Systems are open to redicule. All others are
>sacred.

It's sad to see a seminary kick-out behave that way... :-(

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. After the tens of thousands of whiny, petulant
>> words, accusations, and implications in HERE, you can't even
>> make ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235 on MORSE CODE TESTING?!?

>
>> :-)
>
>Must not be important to Jim.

True. He likes to sit in HERE and heckle to his heart's content!

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. After the tens of thousands of whiny, petulant
>> words, accusations, and implications in HERE, you can't even
>> make ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235 on MORSE CODE TESTING?!?
>
>True enough.

Maybe "Mr. Insider" has a "private ear" at the FCC?

>> 3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
>> community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from the old,
>> Old, OLD standards and practices. That seems to piss you off
>> greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and accusatory. Tsk.
>
>I think I'll study up and pass Extra before Jim's lack of support
>allows the ARS to be watered down even more. I'm gonna be an
>Extra-lite before it becomes and Extra-minus so I can brag about how
>good I used to be. Hi!

Sounds like a Plan! :-)

>> >Here's another solution, Len: If you don't want commentary on
>> >things you post, don't post them.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You are telling me to SHUT UP! [but are attempting
>> to disguise it with uncivil "civil phrases"]
>
>Yep. You juss got towld to "Shut Up!"

Right ON!

>All this "tif for tat." Can't keep up. Jim must be retired now, too.

Either that or he spends ALL his time in front of a computer screen.

The other night he was up till nearly midnight, east coast time,
busy busy busy with message making.

Hey! Maybe if Dudly finds his WF orgy partner, he can get her
sister to snack up with Jimmie? :-)

...or even her brother? :-)

Ah, the mental pictures that evokes! Heh heh heh heh.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

4691. Re: a track record for Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 06:38:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <Pv-dnTTX4ay3MPjeRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122513

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:20:21 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>what is wrong with this picture
>>
>>Look in the mirror and you will immediately see.
>
>
> you lied again

If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?

4692. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 07:55:22 UTC
From: Peter <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <4369a712.0@entanet>
Source record: 122781
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote...
>
> No, not all chicken banders are law breakers, but a very large portion are.

For some reason, I am beginning to feel hungry.

Catch you later, I'm off for a large portion of chicken...

Regards,

Peter.

4693. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 13:00:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130763630.505224.115280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

whinycunt_lloyd wrote:
> On Fri, 3 Jun 2005 17:43:45 -0400, Lloyd davies <n0vfpQ@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > I just did!
> >
> > http://old-plump-fat.com/vid-fat-130/tp02.jpg

No, Lardass, your sister Amanda wouldn't even have incest with you.
Amanda Davies
3510 DODGE AVE
SIOUX CITY, IA 51106

> Impersonation is against yahoo's TOS. They have been contacted.

Fuck off, fatty!

> AND LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!

Cry, you whiny cunt, whine.

4694. Re: FIRST WORLD GAY MILLION PARTY !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 13:01:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130763704.183418.122490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

whinycunt_lloyd daviesr wrote:
> On 9 Mar 2005 13:35:51 -0800, koko <ipavlak@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > FIRST WORLD GAY MILLION PARTY !!
> > THE BIGGEST EVENT IN HISTORY OF GAY & LESBIAN POPULATION !
> > IN THE WORLD THERE IS ABOUT 700 000 000 GAY & LESBIAN PEOPLE...AND WE
> > HAVE PLACE FOR ONLY A MILLION
> > NUMBER OF TICKETS LIMITED ON A MILLION !
> > 200 000 PLACES ALREADY TAKEN
> > LIMITED TIME OF PAYMENTS !!
> > IF YOU WANNA BE A PART OF HISTORY MAKING BE FAST !!
> > TAKE THE CHANCE -> BUY YOUR TICKET !!
> >
> > FIND OUT MORE AND VISIT OUR WEB SITE: http://www.first-gay-party.tk
> > E-MAIL: http://www.gay1party@yahoo.com
> >
> > ENJOY IN THE REST OF THE DAY :-)
> > WITH RESPECT
> > YOURS ELF LC
>
> I guess I emailed you too late. Please post a new address real soon
> now so that I won't miss the second party. The url don't work anymore
> neither.

Poor Lardass, he likes posting shemale pictures to Nimbusters, too.

4695. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 13:03:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130763799.185229.250720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Thanks for confirming it, retard.

4696. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 13:24:28 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <rVo9f.6985$ZP1.3234@dukeread11>
Source record: 122521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:

>> I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>
>
>
> Exactly. The same types of people the original poster claimed were
> using CB, (hookers, pimps, prostitutes, drug dealers, etc.), are in
> fact using two meters in several large U.S. cities. I travel a lot and
> have monitored this type of traffic in larger cities.

they do not last long on the air here in Arizona. bootleggers are often caught within 1 week of starting their chatter down here. also, all repeaters are setup with the new digital fingerprint identification system (each radio is unique, even in the same lot manufactured). It doesn't take long before someone slips up.

This was not the case in 1993 (took over 3 years to get a particular bootlegger off the air and there are still bad feeling about it on some of the more "loose canon" networks that spring up here).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

4697. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 14:18:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

> >Let's talk about standards, Dudly....(SNIP)

> what you going on about?

For once you've gotten something VERY right, MARK.

> >And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further....(HUGE SNIP)

> >You have never disclosed your reservist status
>
> reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?

Nope. Except, as I clearly noted, my 4 months as "Delayed Entry"
prior to going active in September 1974.

It was a wild stab in the dark by the disgraced, court martialed
liar, Mark.

> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up

He can't.

He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
posted. That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
crow.

> Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
> lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
> more than that

He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
nothing.

Just stabs in the dark.

> and BTW the PoS named Stevie has had the the nerve over the years to
> say my own NG serice meant nothing

What service, Mark?

You've lied about one thing after another and we're suppposed to
know what true and what's not? You've BRAGGED about lying...Yet you
want me to take your claims of an enlistment in the Army at face

value...?!?!

Here's a deal for you...Send me, via snail-mail to my CBA, a copy of your 214 with all of the SSN parts blacked out, and I will publish the biggest, most sincere "Sorry" this NG has ever seen, and will dig into anyone who dares to contradict it.

DON'T send it and I just have to assume that your "Army" tour is just more BS like the "colonel' routine, etc etc etc.

And if you believe ANYthing that Frankie of Silliland has said, then you're probably still wondering why there's a Santa in every mall and why the Great Pumpkin Still hasn't made it to YOUR house yet.....

Steve, K4YZ

4698. Re: Stevie moral turpitude in Stevies own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 14:28:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130768882.193631.124440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122523

lying_to_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> WHAT again...?!?!
>
> > Sure I would! Lying is considered "morale turpitude", along with
> > various offenses like exposing one's self, inappropriate sexual
> > conduct, etc. It's a dismissable infraction and puts my license at
> > risk.
> those are your words Stevie
>
> These are your words as well
> MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!

Uh huh...And why didn't you quote the INSIDE of that message?

Perhaps because I used a different acronym for AIDS? But GEEE,
Markie, YOU are the one who says it's OK to use "dffrent menigns" for
things!

> Mark Morgan is Psychotic

Uh huh...Your point is...?!?!

> Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
> Was Asking For It".

Uh huh...Still snipped the message, I see.

> MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?

And THAT problem is...?!?!

> Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit

And MORE snippage of messages wherein YOU wrote that you were
using coke.

> Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why
> Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

Still nothing wrong here.

> Tomarrow or the next days we shall see if Stevie was lying in the first
> quote

You'll see nothing, Markie...Because you're smarter than you act
here.

Or maybe not...

Steve, K4YZ

4699. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 14:44:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130769844.581612.260950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122524

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
> > >
> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
> > >
> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> > > Date: 1998/09/28
> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > | Report Abuse
> >
> > Yikes!
> >
> > > Well,
> > >
> > >
> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
> > > forward,
> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
> > >
> > >
> > > SR
> >
> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>
> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me

Sorry to break your hearts, guys, but the hacking of that account
was why it was dropped.

Markie...if that's the BEST you can do to use something "against"
me, then you're even LAMER than I gave you credit for.

However, re-reading your post, I have to ask...

WHERE in that post did ANYone using my old screen name actually
"participate" in the post other than to ask that question, as stupid as
it was?

Do you REALLY think that that's some sort of "evidence"...?!?!

Youse guys are grabbing at some really, REALLY thin straws!

Steve, K4YZ

4700. Re: a track record for Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 14:49:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130770146.755325.289460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122525

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> wrote:

SNIP

> >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
> >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?

> why are you defddning the Piece of ####

"Why" "defending"

He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
you could only make some sense just once it might help."

> hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man

"How"

Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.

Steve, K4YZ

4701. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 14:54:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130770455.278278.191660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122526

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >
> > James P. Miccolis has NOT answered a repeated question: How
> > many children has HE parented? He won't say, doesn't answer.
>
> Why should I answer that question, Len? You refuse to answer on-topic
> questions, so why should anyone answer your off-topic ones?

That same question has been posed to Lennie for YEARS now...So
far, not even a hint of an answer...At least we know Jim has kids...

Lennie has...A lap dog and a stray cat?

Steve, K4YZ

4702. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 15:26:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130772375.325085.125250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122527

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 30 Oct 2005 00:22:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> >> >>
> >> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> >> >
> >> >> that is you right
> >> >
> >> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
> >>
> >> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
> >> >
> >> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
> >> >will you be as equally tolerant?
> >>
> >> you have posted my address before for no reason at all
> >
> > Uh huh....
> >
> > But you didn't answer my question...Will you be as equally tolerant
> >when I publish your actual address and social security number?
>
> already answered that question wehn I posted it
>
> to give you the chnce to verify you were right license holder
>
> you have already published my address
>
> you can dish it out but you can't take it Bully

Take what?

Your assinine deceit and tale tales?

Sorry, Markie...been there...done that...got the tee-shirt.

> >> >> would not want to be making a mistake on monday when I take the time
> >> >> to report you
> >> >
> >> > You're not going to "report" squat", Markie..
> >>
> >> wrong again
> >
> > Nope.
>
> On monday, unless the agent from the FBI calls I will call Tennesesee

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

> I swore that after Mr Slit endanered someone else on the basis of your
> lies I would seek to you held responible for your actions

OK...Go right ahead!

BTW, I'm copying all your posts here, Markie...Ought to make great
reading....

So...You're going to tell the TN State Board of Nurisng that "I"
am "responsible" for some sleight that an anonymous poster made that
the FBI ignores...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

> >> >.Any more than you "talked" with the FBI or
> >>
> >> wrong again
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

OK...What's the name of the Agent? What office? Number?

It's all public information, you know! Shall I call the Marquette
office directly to find out...?!?!

> >> > that you're a "Colonel" in the Army.
> >>
> >> you got that right and explained it years ago
> >
> > No...You LIED about it years ago.
>
> another Stevie lie

Nope.

Documented by Deja-News before and Google since.....

> > It's archived in this forum.
>
> you got that right
>
> you just think only YOU have the right report people or to exist
> without questioning

No...

I don't make up stories about being a company or field grade
officer, then tell everyone (who know better anyway!) that the Feds
told you to lie...?!?!

> >> >> for enagiging in conduct that your posts claim would get your license
> >> >> revoked, which is the least that should happen to you, it is rather
> >> >> like trying a murderer for not paying his taxes but that was done
> >> >
> >> > File away, Markie!
> >> >
> >> > And remember...It's a FELONY to file malicious complaints. Persons
> >> >found guilty of doing so are subject to significant fines and/or
> >> >imprisonment, and subject the filer to significant civil penalties too.
> >>
> >> no malicious complaint will be filed
> >
> > Sure it will.
>
> nope just the turth
>
> Gee you can lie in a report to the State of Michigan and I can't file
> MY concerns with it being a felony

The difference is that I have not lied.

> bull#####
>
> BTW you have contradicted yourself early in this post you claim No
> report will be made, and just abocve you claim a "malicous" one will
> be made
>
> one of those statements is a LIE

No...I said you won't make a report..or if you did it would be
malicious.

E N G L I S H ! ! ! ! !

> >> just the facts
> >>
> >> such you enage in false accustion of Pedophilia...(SNIP)
> >
> > "accusation"

> >
> > Not based upon posts you've made in the past vis-a-vis the
> >treatment of children, Markie. But you go right ahead. I'll just
> >being copies with me.
>
> I have made NO post suggesting Len Anderson was engaged in Pedophilia
> YOU have

Not Lennie Anderson, Markie...YOU.

> >> (UNSNIP)...you make false claims about HIV statuts...(SNIP)
> >
> > "status"
> >
> > You're the one participating in unproteced, promiscuous gay sex,
> >Markie...
>
> another lie Stevie

Nope. Not according to posts you've made.

> and more of your hate speech, not all homosexual in enage in
> unprotected promiisuous sex and not all you who have HIV

There's no "hate speech" here, Markie.

And glad to see you've "let go"...You'll be happier now.

> you are spreading LIEs about health as a health professional which is
> ample reason to report you to your licensing Borad BTW and will form
> the basis of my report

Your "report" will be laughed off...

> and another false charge to report you making

Nope.

> >> (UNSNIP)...you make false claim claim of Sexual orietetation...(SNIP)
> >
> > "orientation"
> >
> > How can quoting you be false if it's about YOUR preferences,
> >Markie?
>
> I was reffering to your accusation about Todd, Brain, and my wife

I am sure your wife is a fine fella...As for Todd, he's made his
own admissions and if you go trying to use him as an "example", guess
what folks are going to find...?!?!?

> you were not quoting me then more lies

Nope.

> >> (UNSNIP)...fals eclaims about people mental status...(SNIP)
> >
> > "false" "claims"
> >
> > Again...we have YOUR addmissions of previous mental health issues,
> >Markie...If you didn't want them discussed, you shouldn't have put them
> >in a public forum.
>
> no you have lied in claiming I have ever been confined to a mental
> hosiptal

I didn't say you were confined.

I said, as YOU have stated in this PUBLIC forum, that you have
mental health issues...It is my BELIEF that you NEED to be confined for
your own good and that of your community.

> yea during my divorce i saw a a guy been, was evaled because a Co
> thought in his bigotry I had to beinsane just you you do, but no dice

More random words seeking order, Markie.

Try again.

> >> (UNSNIP)...that you engage in hate speech...(SNIP)
> >
> > Nope. Just your opinion, Markie.
>
> the law says otherwise

No, it doesn't.

> >> (UNSNIP)...thereatening behavoir, and cyber harrassment, and generaly
> >> moral turitute
> >
> > T U P I T U D E!~
> >
> > Sheeeeeeesh, Markie...If you're going to pick up and run with
> >other people's words, at least TRY and spell them correctly!
>
> How ever it is spelled you meet the meaning BY your OWN words and your
> own defining of it

Yeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeah...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> >> nothing but the facts
> >
> > And again there will be YOUR records, Markie...Allegations of elder
> >abuse,
> unsuported one

Supported enough.

> > previous mental health therapy,
>

> so being depresed during a divorce is not relavant nor does it excuse
> you you making threats against me Brain or Todd

What threats?

> >your DOCUMENTED belief that
> >LYING is an acceptable form of behaviour.
>
> In some occasion, indeed I heard a US Sentator Graham (who was on
> house impeachement committiee state that reason He did not vote for
> one of the articales of impeachment he because HE would lie if caught
> in personal failing as Clinton was) Fox News Sat but i suspect this
> could be found elsewhere

"On" "occassions" "impeachment" "committee" "articles"

Lying is lying.

> but I will the text of your words

More random words looking for order, Markie.

> the question put to you did you type and send that
> has nothing to do with me you fool

You're the fool, Markie...over eight years of it now...

> >> in the end I suspect they may well take no action agianst but we shall
> >> see
> >
> > In the end I suspect that they will turn their investigations over
> >to me and I can proceed with the civil case against you.
>
> I doubt it willing to risk it since I back up everything I will be
> saying so you "case" will get nowhere

You back up NOTHING, Colonel!

> Just because YOU think something is true does not mean your view of it
> is the only view that can be true

Truth is truth, Markie...Not "my" truth" or "your" truth"...

> > So...Give 'em a call! Tell them how you are a participant in an
> >on-line USENET group and that no one, especially me, will give you a
> >break.
> >
> > If you DO call, they WILL investigate...They will ask my employer
> >about my performance, my collegaues and the physicians I work for what
> >kind of Nurse I am...Then they will open my personnel file. Nothing
> >but "excellents" and "outstandings". "...demonstrates a degree of
> >professional performance that meets, and in most cases exceeds, that of
> >the baccaluareate RN..."
> >

> > Then they will start looking at you.
> >
> > Then the fun begins.
> >
> > So you go right ahead and call, Markie!

Yeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeah.....Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

Steve, K4YZ

4703. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 15:29:51 UTC
From: Lloyd <sx@lloyd523sx.net>
Message-ID: <dk5d7dtpl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:rVo9f.6985$ZP1.3234@dukeread11...
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>
>>> I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>>
>>
>>
>> Exactly. The same types of people the original poster claimed were
>> using CB, (hookers, pimps, prostitutes, drug dealers, etc.), are in
>> fact using two meters in several large U.S. cities. I travel a lot and
>> have monitored this type of traffic in larger cities.
>
> they do not last long on the air here in Arizona. bootleggers are often
> caught within 1 week of starting their chatter down here. also, all
> repeaters are setup with the new digital fingerprint identification system
> (each radio is unique, even in the same lot manufactured). It doesn't take
> long before someone slips up.
>
> This was not the case in 1993 (took over 3 years to get a particular
> bootlegger off the air and there are still bad feeling about it on some of
> the more "loose canon" networks that spring up here).
>
>

BULLSHIT!

4704. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 16:07:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051031110742.245$UH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > The Magnum wrote:
> >> "Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> >> news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >> > Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> >> > In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> >> > two meters.
> >>
> >> Then who is causing the problem??
> >
> > I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
> >
>
> Exactly. The same types of people the original poster claimed were
> using CB, (hookers, pimps, prostitutes, drug dealers, etc.), are in
> fact using two meters in several large U.S. cities. I travel a lot and
> have monitored this type of traffic in larger cities.
>
All I hear on the repeaters around here are a bunch of nerds..I live out in
the sticks tho..
```

4705. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 16:22:33 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122551

kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
> his point has its place. I know from my ownexperences since my voice
> sounds female and some get rather irate finding out I am male

That seems exactly counter to his "point". His point (as interpreted by N8UZE) is that females and children are subject to discrimination and should anonomize themselves by avoiding voice operation.

Your point seems to be that you are accepted on the air when you are presumed to be female, but discriminated against when it is discovered your gender is male.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

4706. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 16:26:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gpdcm1l87s5moo61hvj7bbpa9pqbbj50db@4ax.com>
Source record: 122530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>> >Let's talk about standards, Dudly....(SNIP)
>
>> what you going on about?
>
> For once you've gotten something VERY right, MARK.
>
>> >And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further....(HUGE SNIP)
>
>> >You have never disclosed your reservist status
>>
>> reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?
>
> Nope. Except, as I clearly noted, my 4 months as "Delayed Entry"
>prior to going active in September 1974.
>
> It was a wild stab in the dark by the disgraced, court martialed
>liar, Mark.
>
>> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
>
> He can't.

Sure I can.

Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
-doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.

But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than

four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps. Also, in the late '80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in 1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.

The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.

All this information is publically available from what -you- have written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto). Nor does it explain your interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe and a hero-wannabe.

There's plenty more information that is publically available about you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!

BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you took those vows before God?

> He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
>posted.

See above.

> That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
>crow.
>
>> Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
>> lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
>> more than that
>
> He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
>nothing.
>
> Just stabs in the dark.

Wrong. I have your own words and some basic math skills. That's all I need to prove that you are a liar.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4707. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 17:10:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <frjcm118aq2558si8poh6vbgi3e4i1sdoh@4ax.com>
Source record: 122531

On 31 Oct 2005 06:44:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>> > >
>> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
>> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
>> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>> > >
>> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> > > Date: 1998/09/28
>> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
>> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> > > | Report Abuse
>> >
>> > Yikes!
>> >
>> > > Well,
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
>> > > forward,
>> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > SR
>> >
>> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>>
>> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
>> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
>
> Sorry to break your hearts, guys, but the hacking of that account
>was why it was dropped.

of course you get to use that excuse

But I just thought it was funny and thought the folks here would a
laugh

>
> Markie...if that's the BEST you can do to use something "against"

>me, then you're even LAMER than I gave you credit for.

it is all you use against me to make some of your charges
>
> However, re-reading your post, I have to ask...
>
> WHERE in that post did ANYone using my old screen name actually
>"participate" in the post other than to ask that question, as stupid as
>it was?
>
> Do you REALLY think that that's some sort of "evidence"...?!?!

you think a post from a hacked account is evidence against others

>
> Youse guys are grabbing at some really, REALLY thin straws!

I was pointing out something funny

you are going all paranoid about it
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4708. Re: Stevie moral turpitude in Stevies own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 17:13:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <uvjcm1hk997i074a6f38ekef6v6md4oaie@4ax.com>
Source record: 122532

On 31 Oct 2005 06:28:02 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>lying_to_an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> WHAT again...?!?!
>>
>> > Sure I would! Lying is considered "morale turpitude", along with
>> > various offenses like exposing one's self, inappropriate sexual
>> > conduct, etc. It's a dismissable infraction and puts my license at
>> > risk.
>> those are your words Stevie
>>
>> These are your words as well
>> MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!
>
> Uh huh...And why didn't you quote the INSIDE of that message?
>
> Perhaps because I used a different acronym for AIDS? But GEEE,
>Markie, YOU are the one who says it's OK to use "dffrent menigns" for
>things!

not in the title

you words your lies

>
>> Mark Morgan is Psychotic
>
> Uh huh...Your point is...?!?!

you words you lied
>
>> Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
>> Was Asking For It".
>
> Uh huh...Still snipped the message, I see.

your words your lies

>
>> MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?

>
> And THAT problem is...?!?!

it is threate something you claim you never do

>
>> Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit
>
> And MORE snippage of messages wherein YOU wrote that you were
>using coke.

another lie

I never said I used coke

ever

>
>> Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why
>> Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?
>
> Still nothing wrong here.

sure it isn't true

therefore your words your lies

>
>> Tomarrow or the next days we shall see if Stevie was lying in the first
>> quote
>
> You'll see nothing, Markie...Because you're smarter than you act
>here.

the only thing I have to decide is wether to report as guility of
moral turpitutde or as nut

>
> Or maybe not...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4709. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 17:15:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <f7kcm1hd0l56iqrsu8jkv7ja642v9eam5e@4ax.com>
Source record: 122533

On 31 Oct 2005 07:26:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 30 Oct 2005 00:22:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>> >> >
>> >> >> that is you right
>> >> >
>> >> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
>> >>
>> >> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
>> >> >
>> >> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
>> >> >will you be as equally tolerant?
>> >>
>> >> you have posted my address before for no reason at all
>> >
>> > Uh huh....
>> >
>> > But you didn't answer my question...Will you be as equally tolerant
>> >when I publish your actual address and social security number?
>>
>> already answered that question wehn I posted it
>>
>> to give you the chnce to verify you were right license holder
>>
>> you have already published my address
>>
>> you can dish it out but you can't take it Bully
>
> Take what?

you are whining about your address being published

>
> Your assinine deceit and tale tales?

another lie another hack

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4710. Re: Stevie moral turpitude in Stevies own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 17:31:33 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <d6lcm1h3qn6tebh58pu9raqim8gomtl6r1@4ax.com>
Source record: 122535

I can see why you are not wrroied about being reported nobody can find
their way though the system

On 30 Oct 2005 21:31:26 -0800, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> WHAT again...?!?!
>
>> Sure I would! Lying is considered "morale turpitude", along with
>> various offenses like exposing one's self, inappropriate sexual
>> conduct, etc. It's a dismissable infraction and puts my license at
>> risk.
> those are your words Stevie
>
>These are your words as well
>MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!
>
>Mark Morgan is Psychotic
>
>Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
>Was Asking For It".
>
> MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?
>
>Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit
>
>Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why
>Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?
>
>Tomarrow or the next days we shall see if Stevie was lying in the first
>quote

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4711. Re: Stevie moral turpitude in Stevies own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 17:38:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <milcm11gq2j0l95a4ad77j9dbf9rdv4g10@4ax.com>
Source record: 122536

well finaly got to Human aat Tennesesse I have to make the complaint
in wiritng with a form so they are sending it to me

they asay much to my suprise you are you can lose your license
(although a fine is more likely)

On 31 Oct 2005 06:28:02 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>lying_to_an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> WHAT again...?!?!
>>
>> > Sure I would! Lying is considered "morale turpitude", along with
>> > various offenses like exposing one's self, inappropriate sexual
>> > conduct, etc. It's a dismissable infraction and puts my license at
>> > risk.
>> those are your words Stevie
>>
>> These are your words as well
>> MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!
>
> Uh huh...And why didn't you quote the INSIDE of that message?
>
> Perhaps because I used a different acronym for AIDS? But GEEE,
>Markie, YOU are the one who says it's OK to use "dffrent menigns" for
>things!
>
>> Mark Morgan is Psychotic
>
> Uh huh...Your point is...?!?!
>
>> Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
>> Was Asking For It".
>
> Uh huh...Still snipped the message, I see.
>
>> MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?
>
> And THAT problem is...?!?!
>
>> Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit
>

> And MORE snippage of messages wherein YOU wrote that you were
>using coke.
>
>> Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why
>> Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?
>
> Still nothing wrong here.
>
>> Tomarrow or the next days we shall see if Stevie was lying in the first
>> quote
>
> You'll see nothing, Markie...Because you're smarter than you act
>here.
>
> Or maybe not...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4712. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 18:25:48 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk5njncdf1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> The Magnum wrote:
> > "Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> > news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> > > In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> > > two meters.
> >
> > Then who is causing the problem??
>
> I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally

Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint implies.
Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its instantly
CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does a
CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur bands
instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
Its like motorcyclists and car drivers.... if a motorcyclist drives a car
and has a crash people dont say aaaah its a bad motorcyclist..... they will
say oooh what a bad car driver he is..... well, you know what i mean ;o)
Regards,
Graham

4713. Re: a track record for Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 18:28:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <9YWdncIUL-YqzvvenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122534

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:20:21 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>what is wrong with this picture
>>>>
>>>>Look in the mirror and you will immediately see.
>>>
>>>
>>>you lied again
>>
>>If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
>>this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
>
> why are you defddning the Piece of Shit

I am not 'defddning' anyone, what ever the hell that is supposed to
mean. Like I asked, did you get this stupid all by yourself?

4714. Reverand Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 18:40:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e3pcm15i3t3itppif87v5eivsur15j5uiv@4ax.com>
Source record: 122538

On 31 Oct 2005 06:49:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
>> wrote:
>
> SNIP
>
>> >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
>> >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
>
>> why are you defddning the Piece of ####
>
> "Why" "defending"
>
> He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
>you could only make some sense just once it might help."
>
>> hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man
>
> "How"
>
> Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
>person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
>about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.

severl coments

One if you are Christian I am glad I am not one

two you have no business telling someone they are not worthy on any
subject based on religion esp in light of your alleged taking of the
serivce oath

three, the religion held by me has NO bearing on wether or not YOU are
lying
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4715. pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 18:53:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7cjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 122539

Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
gather

Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual

Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up

to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
lying on Stevie part

in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
seemed most important to the person I was speaking to

Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
witness tampering

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4716. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 19:11:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130785889.889149.212160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122540

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> gather

You can't even compose a letter in English, Marky.

> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual

Poor Marky, too stupid to do his own work.

> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up

Fuck off, Marky.

> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> lying on Stevie part

Too bad it has nothing to do with his employment, Marky. You lose.

> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to

You are a self-admitted drug user and pervert deviant, Marky.

> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> witness tampering

You are so stupid, Marky.

4717. Whiny cunt Lloyd Davies has his period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 19:13:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130786022.042826.139410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt_Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 04:49:19 -0600, racist scum cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > Dudette,if you don't speak in the English language,,, You go take a
> > Flying Leap off the highest building you can find,sans Parachute!
> > Estonia,eh? Well,I don't have anything agains't Estonia,but You speak
> > the English language around here or You go find a translator
> > somewhere.Meanwhile,Shove It!
> > cuhulin
>
> Listen you racist asshole, just because Estonians are black you don't
> get to kick them around the news groups. Maybe she has relatives who
> live near your backward racist state of Mississippi, and they will pay
> you a little visit to kick you around like a soccer ball.
>
> Racist Mississippi scum. Don't ever come to West Virginia.

Why would he want to, Davies, you live at:

710 S. Clinton St. #203
Athens, AL

4718. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 19:15:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130786114.266099.16620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

We were'nt talking about your main dietary staple, Lardass
Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd!!!

4719. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 19:57:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ietcm1p5pnodbdj8pbkplna484es7likr5@4ax.com>
Source record: 122544

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
<fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7cjp@4ax.com>:

>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>gather
>
>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual

Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4720. Re: Linked Repeaters Across Services

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 20:04:43 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hNOdnWjk5ZJD5fveRVn-qQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 122545

"Tom" <qrz@ehnnettom.net> wrote in message news:dk0907$b73$0@pita.alt.net...
>I am on the road a lot for a living. Is anyone else noticing
> the increasing number of CB-Amateur Radio linked repeaters?
> Especially in metropolitan areas? For example, in Raleigh this
> week, I observed a 2m repeater which was cross-linked to a
> CB repeater on 27605 kHz.
>
>
> Tom
>
>
if that is being done it is not legal.

4721. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 20:12:32 UTC
From: clvrmnky <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <RUu9f.1431$43.287@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 122546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace wrote:
>> It is my personal opinion that God doesn't necessarily hate fags but he
>> hates what fags do.
>>

This is a value statement, obviously, but a meaningless one. Of course
by your actions ye shall be judged. But who is doing the judging?

>> If this Gospel truth offends you, TOUGH.
>
It ain't _my_ gospel, which is the point. I dispute the bible has any
real value when read as a literal document. In fact, a literal reading
of such documents diminishes their true value. It was written,
translated and collected by people who have little, if anything, in
common with modern people who call themselves Christians.

Belief is important, and I'm not suggesting that a belief in a Christian
god is somehow wrong. I'm just saying that I do not see the value in
making value statements based on ancient texts that may or may not have
anything with what private citizens do in the privacy of their own home
today.

Personally, my life changes not one bit if my otherwise friendly
neighbour happens to be gay. I just don't give a shit about, and I
especially don't give a shit about what he or she does in their bedroom.
The specifics of what or whom they do things with has absolutely no
correlation with anything else about them, and it is a logical error to
think otherwise.

The fact is that most people, gay, straight or otherwise are pretty much
all the same.

These are my values, and if it sucks for you to hear them, well that is
tough for you. If these values conflict with texts that have been
compiled imperfectly over the last 4000-5000 years, then that is even
tougher for those who expect otherwise. At this does is prove to me
even more how imperfect these texts are.

Given the bone-headed quotes you've posted here that appear (at the very
least) to defend racist and sexist ideals, I don't expect to change your
mind about any of this. I do call bullshit on your illogical and
unfounded assumptions about these subjects. I reserve the right to
counter your troll-mongering with sensible rebuttal.

However, I know when I'm tilting at windmills. And you, sir, are hardly
a dragon.

4722. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 20:34:33 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>The Magnum wrote:
>>
>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>>>>two meters.
>>>
>>>Then who is causing the problem??
>>
>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>
>
> Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint implies.
> Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its instantly
> CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does a
> CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur bands
> instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
> Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.

Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
are illegal because of a lack of a license.

4723. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 20:53:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <0t0dm1h16kak8bk0gffv38v3bd8ftv0jvj@4ax.com>
Source record: 122547

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
><fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7cjp@4ax.com>:
>
>>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>>gather
>>
>>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
>
>Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
>Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
>address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
>of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
>pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.

not asking you to take a shred of responibility

The paper work is already underway like I said I could use you
privding an addres in case they want to question you as a WITNESS or
you refusal

The action is at my doing

I don't think he can be trusted to treat his pateints properly with
the hate filled lies he spews

all I am asking is to privde thee address or flat out refuse I was
aked to do by the Nursing board I honestly don't care which choice you
take
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4724. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 20:54:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <n21dm1hcikjdcbfst722kd31m8hokpragb@4ax.com>
Source record: 122548

On 31 Oct 2005 11:11:29 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>> gather
cut
>
>> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
>> lying on Stevie part
>
>Too bad it has nothing to do with his employment, Marky. You lose.

not according to the Nurseing Board
cut

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4725. Re: Bust a move! - assclown_1.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 20:59:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <731dm1lsni1asjrm6d5r1hi7pmullv8tvo@4ax.com>
Source record: 122549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
> bored out of my gord
> So I took a hammer and nailed my foot the the
> floorboard of my Ford
> I guess I'm just a sick, sick bastard
> Just one sandwich short of a picnic basket
> One Excederin tablet short of a full medicine
> cabinet
> Fell like my head has been shredded like lettuce
> and cabbage
> And if you ever see a video for this shit
> I'll probably be dressed up like a mummy with my
> wrists slit
>

They're coming to take me away, HA HA
They're coming to take me away, HO HO HEE HEE HA
HA
To the happy home
With trees and flowers and chirping birds
And basket weavers who sit and smile
And twiddle their thumbs and toes
And they're coming to take me away, Ha-haaa!

They're coming to take me away, HA HA
They're coming to take me away, HO HO HEE HEE HA
HA
To the funny farm
Where life is beautiful all the time
And I'll be happy to see
Those nice, young men
In their clean, white coats
And they're coming to take me away, Ha-haaa!

To the happy home
With trees and flowers and chirping birds
And basket weavers who sit and smile
And twiddle their thumbs and toes
And they're coming to take me away, Ha-haaa!

To the funny farm
Where life is beautiful all the time
And I'll be happy to see
Those nice, young men

In their clean, white coats
And they're coming to take me away, Ha-haaa!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4726. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 21:08:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <sx@lloyd523sx.net>
Message-ID: <dk612t$l2p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>>>>>two meters.
>>>>
>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
>>>
>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>
>>
>> Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
>> implies.
>> Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its instantly
>> CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does
>> a
>> CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur bands
>> instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
>> Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>
> Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
> have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks illegally
> using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom likely also
> operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they are illegal
> because of a lack of a license.

Precisely. The people utilizing two meters in several large U.S. cities
are neither hams or CBers, and the problem is growing, especially
the number of Spanish-language speakers. I am not going to out my
company here, but we have a pretty good handle on spectrum management,
and illegal activity is on the rise and not just in the 144 mHz range.

4727. Re: Linked Repeaters Across Services

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 21:12:26 UTC
From: bwx <radio@bwxradioabc.com>
Message-ID: <dk619m$m2i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122552

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:hNOdnWjk5ZJD5fveRVn-qQ@crocker.com...
>
> "Tom" <qrz@ehnnettom.net> wrote in message
> news:dk0907$b73$0@pita.alt.net...
>>I am on the road a lot for a living. Is anyone else noticing
>> the increasing number of CB-Amateur Radio linked repeaters?
>> Especially in metropolitan areas? For example, in Raleigh this
>> week, I observed a 2m repeater which was cross-linked to a
>> CB repeater on 27605 kHz.
>>
>>
>> Tom
>>
>>
> if that is being done it is not legal.

Let me guess, are you another distinguished graduate of the
DeVry correspondence Masters Degree program, like Roger,
or are you just plain brilliant?

4728. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 21:13:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <552dm1lbg42spcdch1g4lhigju984nng2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 122554

Iitoi <Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
> > his point has its place. I know from my ownexperences since my voice
> > sounds female and some get rather irate finding out I am male
>
> That seems exactly counter to his "point". His point (as interpreted
> by N8UZE) is that females and children are subject to discrimination
> and should anonomize themselves by avoiding voice operation.
>
> Your point seems to be that you are accepted on the air when you are
> presumed to be female, but discriminated against when it is discovered
> your gender is male.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
I dot mind becuse I do waht girls do in teh bed
anway.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4729. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 21:15:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <u82dm1tfrv1hac31cps45d45ft5dmpjbdv@4ax.com>
Source record: 122553

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 16:22:33 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
>> his point has its place. I know from my ownexperences since my voice
>> sounds female and some get rather irate finding out I am male
>
>That seems exactly counter to his "point". His point (as interpreted
>by N8UZE) is that females and children are subject to discrimination
>and should anonomize themselves by avoiding voice operation.
>
>Your point seems to be that you are accepted on the air when you are
>presumed to be female, but discriminated against when it is discovered
>your gender is male.

not accepted but rather shall we say sought after a few of the guys
have proposed Marriage to me and other anoying stunts then get
reaaallllly Pissed to find I am male
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

4730. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 21:17:31 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk61loejd1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>The Magnum wrote:
> >>
> >>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>>
> >>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> >>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> >>>>two meters.
> >>>
> >>>Then who is causing the problem??
> >>
> >>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
> >
> >
> > Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
implies.
> > Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
instantly
> > CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does
a
> > CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
bands
> > instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
> > Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>
> Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
> have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
> illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
> likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
> are illegal because of a lack of a license.

Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a CB
with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on CB
but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just

abusers... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs. They are also just abusers..
Regards,
Graham

4731. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 22:07:42 UTC
From: Big Ten-Four <tenfour@thatsabigtenfour.net>
Message-ID: <dk64h9$3l6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:kcWdnR13o77HDfveRVn-uw@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>> news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>
>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>>>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>>>>>>>two meters.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
>>>>>
>>>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
>>
>> implies.
>>
>>>>Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
>>
>> instantly
>>
>>>>CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does
>>
>> a
>>
>>>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
>>
>> bands

>>
>>>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
>>>>Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>>>
>>>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
>>>have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
>>>illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
>>>likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
>>>are illegal because of a lack of a license.
>>
>>
>> Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a
>> CB
>> with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
>> damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
>> words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
>
> Depends, here in the US there is no license requirement to operate a cb,
> that was dropped some years ago, so anyone who purchases a cb and operates
> it on the citizens band is a cber. If in your country a license is
> required to operate on the citizen's band, then it is just like amateur
> radio, if someone operates without a license then they are just an
> unlicensed illegal radio operator.
>
>> The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on
>> CB
>
> And that is often the case. Those that choose to operate illegally on the
> ham bands or other frequencies often start out on cb where disregard for
> any rules is the norm, purchasing radios that operate outside the cb
> frequencies where the attitude is "I bought and paid for this here radio
> and I can operate where I damn well please".
>
>> but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
>> abusers
>
> Yep, if a license if required for cb.
>
>> ... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs. They
>> are also just abusers..
>> Regards,
>
> They cannot be 'Amateur users' if they are not licensed, they would just
> be unlicensed users. One *has to be licensed* to be an Amateur Radio
> Operator.
>
> Now if an Amateur operates illegally outside the Amateur bands, is he
> operating as an Amateur, or just as another illegal user? The FCC can and
> most likely will revolk the hams Amateur license if caught doing so.
> Example, some hams have been caught operating just below 10 meters on the

> so-called 'freeband' frequencies (there are no 'freeband' frequencies as
> those frequencies are allocated), and have had their Amateur licenses
> revolked.
>

Thats a BIG TEN-FOUR good buddy. Keep the pedal to the
metal and operate the flat side down on 27680, where the trucker
buddies are friendly!

4732. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 22:24:21 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CbOdnSU7m7_xB_veRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 122559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:

> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
>
>>The Magnum wrote:
>>
>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>>>>>>two meters.
>>>>>
>>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
>>>>
>>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>>
>>>
>>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
>
> implies.
>
>>>Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
>
> instantly
>
>>>CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does
>
> a
>
>>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
>
> bands
>

>>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
>>>Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>>
>>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
>>have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
>>illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
>>likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
>>are illegal because of a lack of a license.
>
>
> Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a CB
> with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
> damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
> words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
> The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on CB
> but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
> abusers... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs. They
> are also just abusers..
> Regards,
> Graham
>
>

Graham, If I recall correctly, CBers in the USA are not FCC Licensed.

Amateur Radio operators are FCC Licensed.

4733. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 22:47:31 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <kcWdnR13o77HDfveRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
>
>>The Magnum wrote:
>>
>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>>>>>>two meters.
>>>>>
>>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
>>>>
>>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>>
>>>
>>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
>
> implies.
>
>>>Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
>
> instantly
>
>>>CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does
>
> a
>
>>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
>
> bands
>
>>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal

>>>Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>>
>>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
>>have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
>>illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
>>likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
>>are illegal because of a lack of a license.
>
>
> Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a CB
> with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
> damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
> words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??

Depends, here in the US there is no license requirement to operate a cb,
that was dropped some years ago, so anyone who purchases a cb and
operates it on the citizens band is a cber. If in your country a license
is required to operate on the citizen's band, then it is just like
amateur radio, if someone operates without a license then they are just
an unlicensed illegal radio operator.

> The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on CB

And that is often the case. Those that choose to operate illegally on
the ham bands or other frequencies often start out on cb where disregard
for any rules is the norm, purchasing radios that operate outside the cb
frequencies where the attitude is "I bought and paid for this here radio
and I can operate where I damn well please".

> but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just abusers

Yep, if a license if required for cb.

> ... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs. They
> are also just abusers..
> Regards,

They cannot be 'Amateur users' if they are not licensed, they would just
be unlicensed users. One *has to be licensed* to be an Amateur Radio
Operator.

Now if an Amateur operates illegally outside the Amateur bands, is he
operating as an Amateur, or just as another illegal user? The FCC can
and most likely will revolk the hams Amateur license if caught doing so.
Example, some hams have been caught operating just below 10 meters on
the so-called 'freeband' frequencies (there are no 'freeband'
frequencies as those frequencies are allocated), and have had their
Amateur licenses revolked.

4734. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 22:56:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <H9KdnTHHiNL8D_veRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122557

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
>
> not accepted but rather shall we say sought after a few of the guys
> have proposed Marriage to me and other anoying stunts then get
> reaaalllllly Pissed to find I am male

GAG!!!

4735. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 22:59:59 UTC
From: Spudman <tator@spuds.com>
Message-ID: <dqhrl1lnqqc9gcq2j0gc7o01oqeqbdfo0g@4ax.com>
Source record: 122560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 20:03:01 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
>"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
>news:n9dol1pv55ecomigju4lc1mpht474ikts8@4ax.com...
>> On 28 Oct 2005 13:24:10 -0700, "jdobb2001" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >landlines, cells,power down but CB still works. Got to CH 19 and got
>> >some good local info on open gas stations with fuel before the average
>> >joes and I was able to fill my tank and gas jugs. Switch to my other
>> >channel and I make contact with family who also dont have phone.
>> >
>> >My base is on and open. CH 19 is active, and a local neighborhood
>> >channel has opened up for local chitchat, info etc.
>> >
>> >So much for Ham radio being the disaster recovery solution. I wonder
>> >how well those repeaters are doing lol.
>>
>> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
>> and drug dealers.
>
>I see you use it often then..... ha ha flipping ha...
>

ha ha, how does that hook feel in your mouth ? ha ha flipping ha...

4736. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 23:06:12 UTC
From: Spudman <tator@spuds.com>
Message-ID: <93irl1ldh63ajjrhr0r90mfphfisgc6cot@4ax.com>
Source record: 122561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 21:17:31 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
>> The Magnum wrote:
>> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >>The Magnum wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>> >>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>> >>>
>> >>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>> >>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>> >>>>two meters.
>> >>>
>> >>>Then who is causing the problem??
>> >>
>> >>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>> >
>> >
>> > Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
>implies.
>> > Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
>instantly
>> > CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does
>a
>> > CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
>bands
>> > instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
>> > Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>>
>> Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
>> have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
>> illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
>> likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
>> are illegal because of a lack of a license.
>
>Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a CB
>with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
```

>damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
>words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??

if he operating a cb, he is a cb'er. no license needed here.

>The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on CB
>but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
>abusers...

no,just a typical drug using cb'er

>same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs. They
>are also just abusers..
>Regards,
>Graham
>

4737. Re: What's wrong with being gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 23:16:04 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <42ac5$4366a599$4e0d869$20730@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"clvrmnky" <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:RUu9f.1431$43.287@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Dr.Ace wrote:
>>> It is my personal opinion that God doesn't necessarily hate fags but he
>>> hates what fags do.
>>>
>>> If this Gospel truth offends you, TOUGH.
>>

>
> Personally, my life changes not one bit if my otherwise friendly neighbour
> happens to be gay. I just don't give a shit about, and I especially don't
> give a shit about what he or she does in their bedroom.

Not So clvmnky,
As we all know there are many different kinds of people on this earth.
From your post it is evident that you are a "don't give a shit" kind of
person.
I on the other hand am a very discriminating individual.
Ace - WH2T

4738. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 23:23:22 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <"Curtains Boy"@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dk68tamcu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122563

<kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
news:552dm1lbg42spcdch1g4lhigju984nng2u@4ax.com...
Iitoi <Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
> > his point has its place. I know from my ownexperences since my voice
> > sounds female and some get rather irate finding out I am male
>
> That seems exactly counter to his "point". His point (as interpreted
> by N8UZE) is that females and children are subject to discrimination
> and should anonomize themselves by avoiding voice operation.
>
> Your point seems to be that you are accepted on the air when you are
> presumed to be female, but discriminated against when it is discovered
> your gender is male.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
I dot mind becuse I do waht girls do in teh bed
anway.

..

What? You menstruate?

4739. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-10-31 23:41:20 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <HXx9f.7006$ZP1.3010@dukeread11>
Source record: 122564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:

>> This was not the case in 1993 (took over 3 years to get a particular
>> bootlegger off the air and there are still bad feeling about it on some
>> of the more "loose canon" networks that spring up here).
>>
>>
>
>
> BULLSHIT!

care to back up that "statement" OM?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.